

**CIHM
Microfiche
Series
(Monographs)**

**ICMH
Collection de
microfiches
(monographies)**



Canadian Institute for Historical Microreproductions / Institut canadien de microreproductions historiques

© 1998

Technical and Bibliographic Notes / Notes techniques et bibliographiques

The Institute has attempted to obtain the best original copy available for filming. Features of this copy which may be bibliographically unique, which may alter any of the images in the reproduction, or which may significantly change the usual method of filming are checked below.

- ☒ Coloured covers / Couverture de couleur
- ☐ Covers damaged / Couverture endommagée
- ☐ Covers restored and/or laminated / Couverture restaurée et/ou pelliculée
- ☐ Cover title missing / Le titre de couverture manque
- ☐ Coloured maps / Cartes géographiques en couleur
- ☒ Coloured ink (i.e. other than blue or black) / Encre de couleur (i.e. autre que bleue ou noire)
- ☐ Coloured plates and/or illustrations / Planches et/ou illustrations en couleur
- ☐ Bound with other material / Relié avec d'autres documents
- ☐ Only edition available / Seule édition disponible
- ☐ Tight binding may cause shadows or distortion along interior margin / La reliure serrée peut causer de l'ombre ou de la distorsion le long de la marge intérieure.
- ☐ Blank leaves added during restorations may appear within the text. Whenever possible, these have been omitted from filming / Il se peut que certaines pages blanches ajoutées lors d'une restauration apparaissent dans le texte, mais, lorsque cela était possible, ces pages n'ont pas été filmées.
- ☐ Additional comments / Commentaires supplémentaires:

L'Institut a microfilmé le meilleur exemplaire qu'il lui a été possible de se procurer. Les détails de cet exemplaire qui sont peut-être uniques du point de vue bibliographique, qui peuvent modifier une image reproduite, ou qui peuvent exiger une modification dans la méthode normale de filmage sont indiqués ci-dessous.

- ☐ Coloured pages / Pages de couleur
- ☐ Pages damaged / Pages endommagées
- ☐ Pages restored and/or laminated / Pages restaurées et/ou pelliculées
- ☒ Pages discoloured, stained or foxed / Pages décolorées, tachetées ou piquées
- ☐ Pages detached / Pages détachées
- ☒ Showthrough / Transparence
- ☐ Quality of print varies / Qualité inégale de l'impression
- ☐ Includes supplementary material / Comprend du matériel supplémentaire
- ☐ Pages wholly or partially obscured by errata slips, tissues, etc., have been refilmed to ensure the best possible image / Les pages totalement ou partiellement obscurcies par un feuillet d'errata, une pelure, etc., ont été filmées à nouveau de façon à obtenir la meilleure image possible.
- ☐ Opposing pages with varying colouration or discolourations are filmed twice to ensure the best possible image / Les pages s'opposant ayant des colorations variables ou des décolorations sont filmées deux fois afin d'obtenir la meilleure image possible.

This item is filmed at the reduction ratio checked below /
Ce document est filmé au taux de réduction indiqué ci-dessous.

10x	12x	14x	16x	18x	20x	22x	24x	26x	28x	30x	32x
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

The copy filmed here has been reproduced thanks to the generosity of:


National Library of Canada

The images appearing here are the best quality possible considering the condition and legibility of the original copy and in keeping with the filming contract specifications.

Original copies in printed paper covers are filmed beginning with the front cover and ending on the last page with a printed or illustrated impression, or the back cover when appropriate. All other original copies are filmed beginning on the first page with a printed or illustrated impression, and ending on the last page with a printed or illustrated impression.

The last recorded frame on each microfiche shall contain the symbol \longrightarrow (meaning "CONTINUED"), or the symbol ∇ (meaning "END"), whichever applies.

Maps, plates, charts, etc., may be filmed at different reduction ratios. Those too large to be entirely included in one exposure are filmed beginning in the upper left hand corner, left to right and top to bottom, as many frames as required. The following diagrams illustrate the method:



L'exemplaire filmé fut reproduit grâce à la générosité de:


Bibliothèque nationale du Canada

Les images suivantes ont été reproduites avec le plus grand soin, compte tenu de la condition et de la netteté de l'exemplaire filmé, et en conformité avec les conditions du contrat de filmage.

Les exemplaires originaux dont la couverture en papier est imprimée sont filmés en commençant par le premier plat et en terminant soit par la dernière page qui comporte une empreinte d'impression ou d'illustration, soit par le second plat, selon le cas. Tous les autres exemplaires originaux sont filmés en commençant par la première page qui comporte une empreinte d'impression ou d'illustration et en terminant par la dernière page qui comporte une telle empreinte.

Un des symboles suivants apparaîtra sur la dernière image de chaque microfiche, selon le cas: le symbole \longrightarrow signifie "A SUIVRE", le symbole ∇ signifie "FIN".

Les cartes, planches, tableaux, etc., peuvent être filmés à des taux de réduction différents. Lorsque le document est trop grand pour être reproduit en un seul cliché, il est filmé à partir de l'angle supérieur gauche, de gauche à droite, et de haut en bas, en prenant le nombre d'images nécessaire. Les diagrammes suivants illustrent la méthode.



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART

ANSI and ISO TEST CHART No. 2



APPLIED IMAGE Inc

300 North Zeeb Road
Warren, Michigan 48090
U.S.A.
Tel: (313) 297-2400
Telex: 250000
Cable: 250000

BABCOCK'S BOOK FOR INVENTORS

AN EXPLANATORY STATEMENT AND GUIDE
FOR CLIENTS AND OTHERS INTERESTED IN

Canadian, U. S. and Foreign Patents

**TRADE-MARKS, COPYRIGHTS AND
SIMILAR EXCLUSIVE PRIVILEGES**

BY

BABCOCK & SONS


99 ST. JAMES STREET - MONTREAL, Canada

Branches :

**OTTAWA and WASHINGTON, D.C.
Representatives in all Foreign Countries**

COPYRIGHT, CANADA, 1916
B. J. BOCK & SONS
AUTHORS AND PROPRIETORS

THIS BOOK WILL BE FOUND TO
BE SHORT ON PICTURES, BUT
LONG ON INFORMATION—ITS
REAL OBJECT. IT HAS BEEN
COMPILED WITH THE SINCERE
BELIEF AND DESIRE THAT IT
MAY PROVE OF USE AND VALUE
TO INVENTORS AND OTHERS
INTERESTED IN PATENT MAT-
TERS.



ALPHABETICAL INDEX

Abandoned Application, Revival of.....	28
Advantages of Washington or Ottawa Branch.....	11
Advantages of Trade-Mark Registration.....	24
Advertising, Free.....	48
Agreements for Assignment of Patents.....	45
Aid to Our Clients.....	47
Application The.....	17
Application, What may be Included in.....	20
Applying, after Foreign Application.....	23
Application for Trade-Mark, consists of.....	25
Application, Course and Treatment of.....	30
Appeals.....	31
Art Searches.....	38
Arbitration, in Interference Practice, Canada.....	40
Assignment of Patents.....	23
Assignments of Trade-Marks.....	45
Be your own Salesman.....	47
Cancellation of Trade-Marks, U.S.....	33
Caveats, Canada.....	43
Caveats, U.S.....	24
Correspondence, Record, Value of.....	12
Clients, Suggestions to.....	12
Company, Forming a.....	52
Conditions to Grant of Patent.....	16
Claims, The.....	18
Cost of Canadian Pat.....	39
Cost of U.S. Patent.....	20

Foreign Patents, Data and Costs—55 to 60.

Cost of Foreign Patents.	55 to 60
Cost of Registering Print or Label.	26
Copyrights.	26
Course to be Followed.	13
Canadian Patents.	39
Compulsory License, Canada.	41
Demands of Manufactures for Improvements or New Inventions	50
Design Patents, U.S.	23
Drawings, The.	19
Duration of U.S. Trade-Mark Protection.	24
Extension of Time for Importation and Manufacture, Canada.	41
Fee Schedule for Filing Canadian and U. S. Patent Applications.	21
Foreign Application, Applying after.	23
Foreign Applicant for Trade-Mark Registration.	25
Forfeited Cases, Renewal of.	28
Foreign Representatives and Policy, Our.	56
Foreign Patents, Data as to Countries and Cost.	55 to 60
Giving Valuable Inventions to Foreigners.	53
Importation into Canada.	41
Importation into Canada, Extension of Time for.	41
Industrial Designs, Canada.	42
Infringement Searches.	37
Infringement Suits.	34
Information—General Searches.	38
Interferences, U.S. Patents.	32
Interferences, U.S. Trade-Marks.	33
Inventor's Record.	22
Letters of Appreciation.	67 to 86
Letters from Lawyers.	69 to 73
Letters from Manufacturers.	74 to 82
Letters from Other Inventors and Clients.	83 to 86

Foreign Patents, Data and Costs—55 to 60.

INDEX

vii

Manufacture in Canada, Extension of Time.....	41
Manufacture it.....	46
Manufacturers, The Demands of, for New Inventions	50
Marking Patented an Article.....	44
Models.....	20
“No Patent, No Fee,” Warning.....	60
Notice of Intention to Apply in Canada.....	40
Objects of Trade-Mark Registration.....	24
Our Clients stay with us.....	65
Our Personal Attention to Every Case.....	64
Our Qualifications and Policy.....	62 and 12
Oppositions, U.S. Trade-Marks.....	33
Ottawa or Washington Branch's Advantages.....	11
Patents, Conditions to Grant of.....	10
“Patent Pending” or “Patent Applied for”.....	44
Patented Articles, Marking.....	44
“Patent Obtained or Fee Returned,” Warning.....	60
Patent Salesman.....	46
Personal Attention to Every Case	64
Preliminary Searches.....	35
Prints and Labels.....	25
Prizes, About.....	48
Profitable Inventions.....	49 to 52
Prosecution of Rejected U.S. and Canada Cases.....	26 and 30
Reward, The Inventor's.....	15
Rejected Cases, Prosecution of.....	26 and 30
Renewal of Forfeited Applications (U.S.).....	28
Revival of Abandoned Applications, Canada and U.S.....	28
Re-issues, Canada and U.S.....	29
Searches, Canada and U. S.....	35
Searches in Arts Generally.....	38
Secret use.....	53
Specifications, The.....	18
Suggestions to Clients.....	12

Foreign Patents, Data and Costs—55 to 60.

Trade-Marks, U.S.....	21 and 25
Trade-Mark Application, U.S., Consists of.....	25
Trade-Marks, Canada	42
Trade-Marks, Notice of Registration.....	44
Time Required to Obtain Patents	43
Title Searches.....	36
United States Patent, What it is.....	15
Validity Searches.....	37
Warning as to Unsafe and Delusive Methods.....	60
Washington or Ottawa Branch's Advantages.....	11
What is my Invention Worth?.....	45
What to Invent.....	41
When Applications May Be Made.....	54

Foreign Patents, Data and Costs—55 to 60.

FOREWORD

To obtain really valuable patent protection for an invention the application must be carefully and conscientiously prepared by an able and competent attorney of long and varied experience in all branches of patent law and patent office practice—that is, by a man who has made a specialty of patent law and practice for a number of years.

Preparing and prosecuting patent applications before the patent offices, and preparing and prosecuting suits in the courts to defend patents, is all law and requires a thorough and special legal training. No man should attempt such a task unless fully prepared. He should have first a thorough training in general law, and then a special training in all of the special law and statutes relating to patents. With such a foundation he is ready for the first early years of his practice. He should be quick to grasp ideas and able to make fine distinctions, and capable of preparing and presenting his arguments in a compelling and convincing manner. Add to this, many years' experience in practice before the patent offices of Canada, the United States and all foreign countries, and many years' practice before the courts, in patent cases, and you will have an attorney amply qualified to obtain for an inventor the fullest possible protection to which he is entitled.

A lawyer who has not specialized on patent law will tell you that he is not capable of handling a patent application. He will call in a man who has specialized on patent law. The differences between patent law and general law are as great as the differences between patent law and civil, mechanical, or electrical engineering, and the engineer will tell you so. The engineer frequently makes very valuable inventions, but he always goes to a specialist in patent law to get protection.

So, first and foremost, your attorney must be a man who has had a thorough training in both general law and patent law, followed by years of practice and experience before the patent offices of the principle countries of the world and before the courts.

PARALLEL CASES

If a \$50,000 estate belonged to you, but you had to go to law to get title, you would be exceedingly careful to employ attorneys you could rely upon to give thoroughly competent attention to your case in every essential detail, because if you failed to get title, or got a worthless title, you would, in effect, lose \$50,000.

On exactly the same principle your interests must have thoroughly competent attention before the office, because if you fail to obtain a patent or obtain one that will not stand as a good legal title or deed, you will, in effect, lose \$50,000 or whatever amount your invention is worth.

The money earning power of inventions is greater than the money earning power of any other property under conditions equal as to the business methods applied. Of this there is abundant evidence on every hand.

IMPORTANCE OF CARE

No other business transaction deserves your more earnest and careful consideration than the patenting of a good invention. The patent will be your only **TITLE OR DEED**, and it is just as highly important that it cover **ALL** of the invention, instead of only a small part, as that the deed to a five-hundred-acre farm shall cover all of the farm instead of only a small part. In a multitude of instances even a very simple device, properly patented, has brought more money than a dozen average farms.

Your profit from the patent you obtain for your invention or your sale of rights to manufacturers or other moneyed interests will depend not only upon the merit of the invention, but, absolutely, upon whether you can convey a complete title—and that will depend upon whether the work involved in obtaining your patent is done **RIGHT**.

Patent Protection And How To Get It

A WASHINGTON OR OTTAWA BRANCH'S ADVANTAGES

are many and important. First, the attorney can avoid loss of time in the mails in correspondence. Sometimes more than a week is consumed each way, whereas a Washington or Ottawa branch receives the Office letter the day it is written and may promptly amend the case, without delay in the mails. Saving of time means a saving of money, a profit.

Our chief advantages however, are, first, that we may, when it seems wise, go across the street and see an Examiner in a particular case and orally point out to him briefly and concisely the distinctions between the elements of the claims and the references cited, at the same time getting more fully his point of view, and then make alterations, if desirable, to meet it, thus greatly expediting the matter, without any traveling expenses or charge to the client for time spent in traveling; second, we are enabled by a walk across the street, to make our own preliminary, title, validity, etc., searches, not delegating this to others, who might prove incompetent or unscrupulous.

These advantages are well recognized by out-of-town patent attorneys and patent law firms, many of whom have their Washington representative or associate to whom they delegate most of their searching and much of their prosecution work, appeals, interferences and work of like nature. In fact we act as Washington associates for quite a number of such firms and attorneys.

In view of these advantages it is wisest, cheapest, most expeditious and by far the safest course to entrust your case in the first instance to a good, reliable patent law firm with offices in Ottawa and Washington.

THE VALUE OF A CORRESPONDENCE RECORD

Rule 4 of the Patent Office Rules of Practice states that "The personal attendance of applicants is unnecessary. Their business can be transacted by correspondence." This rule has worked to the utmost satisfaction. Similarly, we have found it to work as well with our out-of-town clients to whom we have rendered the same services for many years with as good results as to our local clients.

Also, it should be noted that a correspondence system establishes a record, so that in case of contest, etc., the early letters, sketches, descriptions, etc., in regard to any particular invention will prove of prime importance in establishing the earliest date of conception and reduction to practice, and in this way be of vital value, in many cases, to the client.

OUR POLICY

We do not advise a man to patent everything that he may possibly invent, for some inventions are such small improvements and of so little value that they would not be worth the cost of patenting. However, we do advise everyone who has made an invention to which he attaches importance or thinks it likely to pay him to patent it at once, thus, as far as possible, preventing somebody else from cutting in ahead of him.

If some one else does get in ahead, the real inventor will not only lose his rights, BUT the first party will be able to sue the inventor and get damages from him if the inventor uses the invention! The only way that the inventor could prevent this would be by a long and costly legal proceeding—and the outcome would be doubtful, with the odds against him.

SUGGESTIONS TO CLIENTS

1. Act promptly in all matters relating to inventions, trademarks or other similar privileges to which you attach importance.
2. In writing give your full name, your residence and Post Office address; and refer to the last business with us, so that we may please you instantly.
3. In sending instructions send the proper remittance called for, in the form of bank-drafts, money orders, checks, etc., payable to BABCOCK & SONS.

4. If you think we have not treated you fairly we should appreciate it if you would write us fully and explain it. It is to our interest to satisfy you and our aim to do so.

5. All business is, of course, strictly confidential. We cannot tell one client about another client's business without written authority.

7. **Anyone can obtain a patent.**

8. A United States patent is granted for 17 years and it remains in full force and effect for that period, whether worked or not. Canadian patents are granted for 6, 12, or 18 years but must be worked within two years from the date of the patent.

9. A sole inventor cannot apply jointly with his financial backer or partner, but the latter may be protected by an assignment recorded simultaneously with the filing of the application. Likewise, joint inventors cannot apply separately.

10. Postage and expressage on all models, etc., must be prepaid.

11. Models, sketches and correspondence relating to the invention should be preserved. They become of vital importance in case of interference or law suits. Fix the date on them. It is important to have evidence to prove the earliest date of invention.

12. After an application has once been filed, nothing, not shown in the drawings or described in the specification thereof, can be added.

13. In sending model, sketch or photographs of your invention please accompany the same by a good, clear and full description (see preliminary searches). This will greatly expedite matters and save unnecessary correspondence.

14. Please carefully read over all application papers before signing them.

15. A careful reading of this pamphlet will save time and much correspondence—and we will not have to repeat in a letter information clearly and concisely set forth herein.

COURSE TO BE FOLLOWED

When you are ready to apply for a patent send us the data for a preliminary search, together with the remittance of \$5.00 (see preliminary searches).

If our report shows the device to be new you should then instruct us, sending the required remittance, to prepare the application papers. If such search should show the device to be old the copies of patents sent may suggest some alterations or modifications that may avoid them. After such alterations have been made a second search should be made. Should this prove to be new the course then would be to instruct us to prepare the papers, as above.

After we have prepared these papers we will forward them for signing, with instructions. After signing they are to be returned to us for filing, together with a remittance of the balance of all fees, excepting the final government fee (in U.S. case), which may be paid after allowance.

In the usual simple case of 1 sheet of drawings and 1,500 words of specification matter, etc., the amount to be paid before filing is \$50, including the first government fee, the drawing and our fee for preparing the application.

After using a trade-mark on goods you should send us a specimen, with statement of exact goods used on, and remittance of \$5.00 (see preliminary searches), instructing us to make a search to see if it has been registered by another, before you go to the expense of applying for registration.

Should you after receiving our report and copies of the nearest references found, decide to proceed with the Canadian application it would be wise to consider foreign applications at the same time, especially U.S., England and France, for the sooner your application is filed in each country the safer are your interests therein.

The reason we suggest U.S. especially is the enormous market and the desire of all manufacturers, large and small, to get hold of new inventions which are protected by patents. The two countries are very closely bound together in all ways. The patent laws of the United States are the most liberal to the inventor of any patent laws in the world; and the United States grants more patents, yearly, than any other country in the world, and nearly as many as all other countries together. There is no country so good for the inventor as the United States. Next in order come England, France and Canada.

THE INVENTOR'S REWARD

A patent is a monopoly granted by a government for a limited time to make, use and sell an invention.

A monopoly is usually considered antagonistic to the public welfare, and so there must be some strong reason for it. If no protection of inventions were granted, as soon as a meritorious and highly valuable invention were made, anyone could use it. Thus there would be no inducement to inventors and the industries and civilization would suffer accordingly, having none of the comforts and conveniences, and none of the time, money and labor-saving devices that are now so common in all industries and walks of life.

To stimulate invention, therefore, it became necessary to provide some reward for the inventor, and none is so fair, elastic and automatically adjustable to all cases as the patent monopoly for a limited period, whether the invention be very valuable or one of little importance; for if it be very valuable there will be a public demand for it, a large market, and the inventor may either derive his compensation by making a very large profit on each article, or by making a small profit on each article and selling them in great numbers. Thus the reward or profit may always be in proportion to the importance of the invention or improvement.

UNITED STATES PATENT WHAT IT IS

A United States patent is a monopoly granted for 17 years, giving the right to make, use and sell the invention and to prevent any other person from making, using or selling the invention, or any such modification thereof as comes within the scope of the claims within the United States.

They are granted under the authority of the Federal Constitution: Art. I, Sec. 8, "The Congress shall have power to promote the progress of Science and the Useful Arts by securing for limited times to Authors and Inventors the Exclusive Right to their respective Writings and Discoveries."

The sole object of all patent laws enacted by the Congress of the United States under this Constitutional provision has been to encourage invention by the means therein mentioned.

The prime condition to the grant of a patent is that the device must be *new* and *useful*. To determine this the inventor should have a Preliminary Search made by us before proceeding with his patent application.

A patent may be obtained for a new article of manufacture, a machine, a composition of matter or a process of manufacturing, etc.

Many inventions are patented in which are shown structures closely resembling previous known devices, and such patents are sustained as valid. The reason is apparent. If the old device is not perfect in construction and operation there is room for improvement, and slight changes often bring success out of failure; and no matter how slight may be the apparent difference, if it really exists it is proper subject-matter for a patent.

A United States patent may be worked or not, as desired, without penalty. Many of our clients have found that by certain new machines they have constructed they can reduce the cost of production of their specialty and have made only a few of these machines for use in their factories. This is perfectly legitimate and a common and well-known matter in which to gain reward for the patent. It is evident that by such course they can sell their product at a reduction, that is, undersell their competitors, and still make their old profit on each article and at the same time increase their number of sales, thus getting ample compensation for their initiative and inventive skill.

CONDITIONS TO GRANT OF PATENT (Canada and United States)

In addition to the condition that the device must be *new* and *useful* it is also required that it must, of course, be Operative, and that the inventor must file in the Patent Office an application in writing clearly disclosing the invention and the manner and process of making, constructing, compounding and using it, in such full, clear, concise and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art or science to which it relates, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make, construct, compound and use the same; and in the case of a machine the principle and the best mode in which it is contemplated to apply that principle shall be explained, so as to distinguish it from other

inventions; and the applicant shall PARTICULARLY POINT OUT AND DISTINCTLY CLAIM THE PART, IMPROVEMENT, OR COMBINATION WHICH HE CLAIMS AS HIS INVENTION OR DISCOVERY. Where admissible the applicant shall accompany this by a drawing illustrating the invention, and in all cases the applicant must append an oath or affirmation setting forth, among other matters, that he invented the device so set forth and claimed.

The reason for such disclosure is obvious. The grant of the patent is for a limited time (7 years). After the expiration of such period the invention becomes the property of the public, and it is essential that persons skilled in the art may be able, from such description, to use the invention. Otherwise the public would be deprived of the object of the patent laws, and the utility of the patent would be null and void, unless the description, hereinafter required, is so clear and concise as to enable a person skilled in the art to make and use the same.

APPLICATION

(Called in for examination)

The application must be accompanied by the specification, touched on above, and a drawing where the nature of the invention is such that a drawing is necessary. A government fee.

As soon as the application is filed it is complete in every essential part. It is not necessary to make a reduction to practice. This is of great importance in case someone else is trying to get a patent on the same invention at about the same time.

No matter not shown in the application as originally filed can later be added to the application, as filed, will determine the greatest breadth with the patent can be construed. Considering this, it is apparent that the preparation of the specification, claims and drawing are matters of vital importance to the inventor, as upon them the patent must stand or fall. To show the importance of these parts of the application we shall briefly describe each.

A.—THE SPECIFICATION (Canada and United States)

The specification, as stated under **CONDITIONS TO GRANT OF PATENT**, must be so clear and concise as to enable anyone skilled in the art to which the invention relates to make and use the same.

If by reason of unskilled preparation, ambiguous wording, insufficiency of description, etc., due to lack of experience in patent matters, hurry or indifference, the specification be so vague that this cannot be done it would be impossible to determine the value or scope of the claims and the patent would fall completely.

The preparation of the specification is therefore of vital importance and it should be prepared only by one with a high degree of training in this specialty and with the desire to give the best that is in him.

The ablest legal practitioners, having no special acquaintance with patents, do not attempt to prepare a specification. They admit their inability in patent matters, though they may be authorities on other legal matters.

We receive and prepare patent applications from many such attorneys, who desire to protect their client's interests and entrust us with the preparation of the papers, etc.

The Patent Office recognizes the importance of care in preparing the application and advises all applicants and inventors not familiar with patent matters to employ competent counsel.

B.—THE CLAIMS (Canada and United States)

The claims are the vital part of the patent. They define what the inventor claims as his invention, and no claim will be infringed by any device which does not have all the parts called for in the claims. Thus, it is clear that the fewer parts a claim has, the greater will be the protection it gives. For instance, a claim describing the invention in every minor detail—"a picture claim"—is of no real value in the way of protection, except in very highly developed arts, because it is very easily avoided by modifying the construction in minor details and yet the results obtained will be as good or better.

Each claim must be complete in itself and must describe an operative device. Where any doubt as to meaning arises the specification is to be referred to to determine the exact meaning and the claim is to be construed in accordance therewith.

However, a claim can never, in construing it in relation to the specification or otherwise, be given a broader meaning than is found within its exact wording, though a claim may, by reason of the prior art, etc., be restricted. The reason is obvious: in the first instance it is sought to extend the right granted, thus encroaching on the right of the public, while in the latter case some of the right claimed is abandoned to the public.

What has been said as to care in regard to the preparation of the specification applies with much greater force with regard to the drawing of the claims. It requires over five years' very thorough experience to draw a satisfactory claim.

C.—THE DRAWINGS (Canada and United States)

The drawings form an important part of the application and patent in article, machine, etc., patents and in composition and process patents where the invention is capable of illustration, for they must show very clearly and "rapidly" the construction of the invention in the best way of applying it and its operation. The drawing, as a general rule, must be so clear that the invention can be understood from it, without reference to the specification. In addition to this it must be made in India ink, on a certain sized sheet of Bristol board with a certain sized "sight," leaving a space at the top for the heading, and it must be shaded in accordance with the requirements of the Office. The views of the drawing must be of such size as to clearly show the construction and must be so taken as to clearly show the construction and operation with the least number of views.

In view of the requirements and the purpose of the drawings, it takes an artist skilled in this particular branch of drafting to make a satisfactory drawing, and the Office recognizes this in advising applicants "to employ competent artists to make their drawings."

We use the utmost care in selecting our draftsmen and employ only those whom we know are competent.

MODELS **(Canada and United States)**

Models are not now required by the Patent Office unless called for by the Examiner during the pendency of the application. As a matter of fact we find that they are required in very few cases indeed, though in many cases it is an advantage to have them to show and explain to the Examiner, even though not required by him. However, unless already constructed, they may well wait until we request them.

WHAT MAY BE INCLUDED IN AN APPLICATION **(Canada and United States)**

Only one invention may be protected in an application, excepting where the operation of the one invention is dependent on the operation of another. Thus, if the inventions are capable of use separately they must be embodied in separate applications, likewise the preferred form of an invention and a modified form cannot both be claimed specifically in the one application, though the preferred form may be claimed specifically and the modified form may be covered by the general terms of another claim, provided, of course, that the state of the art is such that it will permit of the use of such general or broad terms in the latter claims. As a general rule, however, where much importance is attached to the modified form it is wise to file an application for, and to thus specifically protect, it also.

THE COST OF A U.S. PATENT

The first government fee in every case is \$15, payable on filing, and the final government fee, payable any time within six months after allowance, is \$20. Our fee for preparing the application papers, including 1 sheet of drawing and 1,500 words of specification and claim matter is \$35. For every additional sheet of drawing the charge ranges from \$5 to \$15, depending on the work involved, usually \$5, and for any excess over the 1,500 words we charge at the rate of 50 cents per 100 words. Of course in rare, or highly complex, inventions the

question of time would have to enter more definitely into the equation and the charge would have to be greater, but in the majority of cases the above definite rates would apply.

In paying in the final fee we make a nominal charge of \$1 for our time and trouble in filling out the blanks, postage, etc.

Above fees cover the preparation and filing of the application and the adjustment of technical difficulties that may arise in the Patent Office, but not the prosecution of an application rejected on prior patents or other anticipations, for which see pages 30 to 31.

FEE SCHEDULE

Inventions differ radically in character, as to relative simplicity or complication of structure, or as to the relative amount or technical character of service that will be required for the proper preparation of the application for patent.

The following schedule of fees or charges is a condensed tabulation arranged for convenience in quoting clearly and concisely upon a wide range of cases.

It is for preparing and filing either a Canadian or a United States patent application. When you request us to file an application we will quote you the exact cost from this schedule, if you wish. You will then know, in advance, the exact cost and not be taken by surprise with unexpected additional charges.

		FEE SCHEDULE					
Payments		A	B	C	D	E	F
First		\$ 20	\$ 25	\$ 30	\$ 35	\$ 35	\$ 45
Second		30	30	35	35	45	45
Totals.....		\$ 50	\$ 55	\$ 65	\$ 70	\$ 80	\$ 90
Payments		G	H	I	J	K	L
First		\$ 50	\$ 55	\$ 65	\$ 75	\$ 80	\$ 95
Second		60	65	70	80	95	100
Totals.....		\$110	\$120	\$135	\$155	\$175	\$195
Payments		M	N	O	P	Q	R
First		\$100	\$115	\$135	\$145	\$160	\$180
Second		110	125	140	155	170	190
Totals.....		\$210	\$240	\$275	\$300	\$330	\$370

We find that most cases for simple inventions range from A to G (\$50 to \$110). There are more at \$50 than at any other figure. Cases above G (\$110) mostly relate to rather large machines, more complicated—such as, printing machines, shoe making machines, typewriters, looms, typesetting machines, moving picture machines, etc.

EXPLANATION OF THE FEE SCHEDULE

If we quote you \$55 for preparing and filing an application, as in Column B, for example, we call for \$25 first payment, on receipt of which the application papers are promptly prepared and forwarded to you for approval and signature together with copies of the drawings of your invention made according to the Patent Office regulations. With return of these papers remittance of \$30 would be necessary to cover the second payment which always includes the first government fee of \$20, for Canada or \$15, for the United States. We then file your case in the Patent Office and it receives the best possible attention in every particular.

INVENTOR'S RECORD

We keep you fully and promptly posted and send you copies of any reports from the examiner and copies of our replies so that you may have a complete record of your application, from start to finish. We advise our clients to have us make a copy of the application for them at the time of filing it. Our charge is just enough to cover the clerical work and it is very convenient to refer to, either when you write to us, or when you explain the invention to others, after the application has been filed. The cost is 10 cents per page for the specification and claims, and 25 cents per sheet for the drawings.

This schedule does not include the final government fee of \$20 in every United States case, nor such small charges as may be necessary for prosecution of the case after it is filed, for which see pages 30 to 31. The United States final government fee of \$20 is not due until your application has been passed and allowed by the Examiner. He then notifies us and you are allowed six months from the date of notice. The patent issues about one month after this final fee has been paid.

APPLYING AFTER FOREIGN APPLICATION

A foreign patent granted on an application filed more than twelve months before the United States application will be an absolute bar to the grant of a United States patent. But a patent may be granted where the United States application is filed within twelve months from the filing of the first foreign application, or on an application filed thereafter, provided no foreign application, filed more than twelve months before the United States application, matures in patent before the grant of the United States Patent.

ASSIGNMENT OF PATENTS

An assignment is a deed or other writing transferring the entire title or an undivided part interest. A grant is a like document of transfer confined to some particular portion of the country only. Whatever relates to rights under a patent and is not an assignment or grant is a license, in a broad sense, as judicially defined. Our minimum charge for an assignment or grant, which should always be prepared with great care, is \$5, including the government record fee. Charges for licenses, agreements and like documents depend largely on the time employed. The usual simple assignment is but \$5.

UNITED STATES DESIGN PATENTS

For any new and ornamental design to be used on any article of manufacture, the term of patent is either $3\frac{1}{2}$ years, 7 years or 14 years, as first selected, but neither of the two first mentioned terms can be extended to the full term after the allowance, though they can before such action, on paying in the difference in government fees.

Our fee, including the government fee and drawing is:

For $3\frac{1}{2}$ year design patent, \$40;

For 7 year design patent, \$45;

For 14 year design patent, \$60;

in each instance \$20 to be remitted with instructions, the balance to be sent before filing. There is NO final fee.

A preliminary search is desirable in this for the same reasons as in mechanical, etc., inventions, our charge in each instance being \$5, and we should need a good, clear showing of the design.

CAVEATS

Caveats have been found of doubtful value in the United States and were abolished by Act of July 1, 1910.

U.S. TRADE-MARKS

The law of February 20th, 1905, and subsequent amendments thereto, govern at present as to the registration of trade-marks in the United States Patent Office. This law was enacted in pursuance of the Constitutional provision giving Congress the power (Art. I, Sec. 8) "To regulate commerce with foreign nations, among the several States and with the Indian tribes."

In addition to the conditions set forth in this provision the applicant must be the first who has used the mark; and the mark itself must not be either directly or indirectly descriptive, or geographical, or the flag or coat of arms of the United States, any State, or foreign nation; or the emblem or insignia of any fraternal organization or association. Registration is good for 20 years and may be renewed as often as desired.

OBJECTS

The main objects are to encourage legitimate merchants and others and to enable them to be known by their deeds and build up a large business, having their goods identified by their mark, and to prevent others from pirating their marks, from making inferior goods and trading on the legitimate merchants' reputation to the great damage of the latter, and to prevent the practice of fraud and deceit on the public. Thus the Law has both the interests of the merchant or manufacturer and of the public in view.

ADVANTAGES

(Canada and United States)

The chief advantages are that registration enables the owner to sue an infringer and have him enjoined and the infringement stopped. Other advantages are that the registration operates

as evidence of ownership; that the proprietor may recover profits and damages for infringement; and that in proving profits it shall be sufficient to prove the sales, the defendant being under the burden of showing the items of cost.

FOREIGN APPLICANT

Where an applicant resides abroad he must first register the mark in his home country, unless he has an establishment in the United States for manufacturing the articles on which the mark is used.

THE APPLICATION CONSISTS OF

a petition, statement, declaration, five specimen labels as actually used, the government fee, and a drawing.

COST

Our charge for preparing and prosecuting a trade-mark application is \$30, including the government fee, \$10, and the drawing. This includes all prosecution before the Primary Examiner. \$20 should accompany your instructions, the remaining \$10 to come with the papers when returned by you after signing.

In this also it would be well to have a search made to determine whether the mark has been previously registered. Cost of the search is \$5. In ordering this search you should send a specimen label and remittance of \$5.

PRINTS AND LABELS

(United States only)

Prints and labels are authorized by the Copyright Law of June 18th, 1874, enacted under the provisions of Art. I, Sec. 8, of the Federal Constitution.

A print is used for advertising purposes, such as newspaper advertisements, letter-heads, etc., but not upon the goods; a label is used upon the goods. Both must have an artistic value and may be descriptive, but they must not be trade-marks. A print or label protects the general design, etc., but does not protect the wording. The same design may be registered both as a print and a label in separate applications.

Both are copyrighted for a period of 28 years, which may be extended for a further term of 28 years.

Our charge, including the government fee, is \$18 for each, to accompany your instructions. We should need 8 specimens of the print or label.

COPYRIGHTS.

(Canada and United States)

Copyrights protect any publication, manuscript, musical, etc., production, work of art, etc., for a period of 28 years. When so protected, the book, work of art, etc., cannot be copied except by license from the owner of the copyright. Where a book, pamphlet, painting, photograph, sculpture, or musical composition is of any value it should be copyrighted to prevent copying. The cost in Canada or the United States is but \$10. For books and the like we should need three copies bound in stiff covers. For paintings, sculpture, and the like we should need three mounted photographs of the work. Books and the like must be printed and published in Canada before they can be protected. Likewise, they must be printed in the United States before they can be protected there.

We are always glad to get estimates and arrange the printing and binding for our clients.

PROSECUTION OF REJECTED CASES

(Canada and United States)

It sometimes happens that an inventor will prepare and file his own patent application and attempt to prosecute it before the Patent Office—a very risky course for one not very familiar with patent matters for the reasons fully set forth elsewhere in this booklet. Almost universally in such cases the Office in acting for the first time on the case holds the specification informal as not being on the right size of paper, not having sufficient margin, not being in permanent ink, etc. Then the drawings are generally objected to as not meeting the very numerous requirements of the Office. These are the more technical objections. In addition to these the specifications so prepared are often vague, and of course the claims are open to objection as being informal for any one of a number of

reasons, which the average inventor would not anticipate, such as being for an aggregation, each claim not being complete in itself, claiming an inoperative device, etc.

Should the inventor possibly work his way through this mass of formality he then is confronted with the task of prosecuting his case on its merits, and is practically certain to run afoul here, going to either one extreme or the other, being either discouraged by the Office action on the claims or else maintaining that the references cited do not in any manner approach his invention. This will often happen also with the inexperienced and incompetent attorney.

It takes an attorney with a great deal of skill, experience and knowledge of patent law to know just how to construe a claim and the best manner in which to handle the case, pointing out clearly and concisely the reasons why the references do not apply, or, if necessary, amending the claims to avoid the references and yet secure all the protection to which the inventor is entitled. One lacking this skill and experience is very liable to cancel the broader claims, thus abandoning the full protection to which the inventor is entitled, retaining only the very narrowest claims, which are of very little protection and value to his client.

We make a specialty of this class of cases and have received a number, both from clients who tried to prepare and prosecute their own cases and got beyond their depth, and from others who employed incompetent counsel.

Our advice is to give the matter prompt attention and to refer it to a competent attorney specializing in patent law, before taking any further action after the first Office letter, thus leaving the attorney free action, with no final rejection barring further amendment. If there is any invention involved you will, with such aid, usually get all protection to which you are entitled without need for appeal. However, after final rejection it is in such cases often possible by appeal, suggestion of claims, etc., to obtain such protection, but this is more expensive, as it involves appeals, petitions, and much other work.

Our charge in cases of this class, where the invention is simple and where the case has not been finally rejected, is from \$20 up, which amount is to accompany your instructions.

Where the case has been finally rejected we will furnish estimate of our fee as the occasion arises.

RENEWAL OF FORFEITED APPLICATIONS

(United States only)

A forfeited application is one upon which a patent has been withheld for failure to pay the final fee within six months after the date of allowance.

When the patent has been withheld for this reason, any person having an interest in said invention may at any time within two years from the date of such allowance file a renewal of the original application. This involves the payment of the first government fee, \$15, again, as in the first instance. The application will then be subject to re-examination and allowance. Most of these renewal cases are allowed almost as a matter of course, though in rare instances they are rejected.

Our fee in such cases is \$20, with the first government fee of \$15, making a total of \$35, which should accompany your instructions. When the case is reallocated there is the final government fee of \$20 to be paid.

REVIVAL OF ABANDONED APPLICATIONS

(Canada and United States)

The statute provides that the application must be completed within one year and that after the application has been acted on by the Office the applicant must file a complete response to such action within one year from the date thereof, otherwise the case will be held abandoned, no further action being given by the Office therein. However, the Commissioner has the power to revive such cases, if upon a proper showing as to the cause of the delay, in his discretion justice requires it.

The showing must be very strong and also it must appear that this course is the only remedy open to the applicant. All statements must be reinforced with affidavits and action looking to the revival should be taken **AT ONCE**.

There is no government fee in such cases.

Our minimum fee for preparing and filing such petition and affidavits and arguing the matter before the Commissioner is

\$50, which should accompany your instructions, together with a very full statement of all reasons why the case was not prosecuted within the year.

REISSUES

(Canada and United States)

A reissue is granted whenever the original patent is inoperative or invalid by reason of insufficient or defective specification provided the error has arisen through inadvertence, accident or mistake, without any fraudulent intent.

It has been decided by U.S. Supreme Court that to warrant new or broadened claims in a reissue, such claims must not only be indicated in the original application, but it must also appear that they constituted a part of the original invention and were sought and intended to be secured by the original patent.

All recent decisions emphasize strongly the necessity of careful and skilful preparation and prosecution of the original application. Inventors should remember this when tempted to employ any attorney whose chief recommendation is that he will do the work cheaply or on a contingent basis. Such services are the most costly in the end.

The Patent Office, recognizing the importance of careful and skilful preparation and prosecution of all cases, advises inventors "to employ a competent attorney, as the value of patents depends largely upon the skilful preparation of the specification and claims."

The first government fee in reissue cases is \$30 on filing. Our fee and the other items of cost depend on the work involved, which necessarily varies and is to be arranged for separately in each case.

In Canada the government fee is \$4 for each remaining year of the patent.

COURSE AND TREATMENT, OF AN APPLI- CATION BY THE PATENT OFFICE, THE COPYRIGHT OFFICE, ETC.

After an application is filed, whether it be for a patent, trade-mark, print, label, or copyright, either in the Patent Office or Copyright Office of this or any foreign country, it is taken up in the order of its filing. That is to say, all other cases previously filed and awaiting action are acted on until yours is reached, when it is acted on.

In patent applications the Office generally points out in its first letters verbal changes, etc., matters of formality, to be made in the specification, and rejects some claims or all of them, citing prior patents, etc., in support of such rejection.

To this letter we respond, making such changes as seem desirable and limiting or recasting the claims in such manner as to avoid the references cited and yet retain the broadest scope of the claims to which the inventor is entitled. To this response we make it our policy to add an argument concisely setting forth in a compelling manner, to the Examiner, the distinctions between the claim as so amended or changed, and the references. In case we are of the opinion that the claims, as they stand, are not met by the references we request reconsideration, instead of making alterations in the claims, and append an argument, as above, in support of such request.

This procedure continues until we have obtained all the protection to which we believe the applicant is entitled and the case is allowed, or until final rejection.

Where prior patents or other references are cited and it becomes necessary to amend to avoid them and to file an argument pointing out why the claims, as amended, avoid the references, we always make some charge, depending on the time and work so spent. Usually \$10, total, suffices in the simplest cases, the more complex cases, of course, calling for a larger amount for the prosecution work. These charges are generally made according to the actual time and work spent on each amendment as it occurs, but, if desired, we will furnish estimate of total charge for all subsequent prosecution of the case after the first action has been given. We prefer, however, the plan of making the charges according to the time actually

spent on each amendment, as we can be sure of getting paid for all of our time and work and at the same time the client will only pay for time and work actually given in his case, so that this plan is much fairer to both parties than any other plan we know of.

After a case has been finally rejected the only course left is either to appeal to the Board of Examiners-in-Chief (see under heading "Appeals") or to drop the application. We find in most cases in which there is any real novelty we can obtain all the protection to which the inventor is entitled without recourse to appeal, but in some instances the Examiner cannot or will not see the invention, and then it becomes necessary to appeal.

APPEALS

(United States)

If the Primary Examiner refuses to allow the application or any claim thereof, three distinct appeals are available to the applicant.

First. -An appeal from the Primary Examiner to the Board of Examiners-in-Chief, which is composed of three experienced examiners. This tribunal carefully reviews the record of the application, and either affirms or reverses the decision of the Primary Examiner.

Second.- If the decision of this Board be adverse, appeal may be taken to the Commissioner of Patents in person.

Third. From an adverse decision of the Commissioner an appeal lies to the Court of Appeals of the District of Columbia. The expense of such an appeal, however, is considerable.

The cost of these appeals varies with the circumstances of each case, \$50 sufficing in the usual simple case for the first appeal; and \$60 for an appeal to the Commissioner.

In trade-mark applications an appeal may be taken direct from the Primary Examiner to the Commissioner in person, and the cost of such an appeal will usually be \$50.

As in patent cases, an appeal lies from an adverse decision of the Commissioner to the Court of Appeals of the District of Columbia, and the expense of such an appeal would be about the same as a similar appeal in a patent case.

INTERFERENCES

(United States)

A patent interference is a proceeding instituted for the purpose of determining the question of priority of invention between two or more parties claiming substantially the same patentable invention. Although the Commissioner lacks the power to cancel a patent, an interference may be declared between a patent and a pending application, provided that the patent was not granted more than two years prior to the filing date of such application. In case the Office finds the applicant to be the prior inventor it may issue a patent to him for the same invention.

After the declaration of interference each party is required to file a sworn preliminary statement setting out when he first conceived the invention; first disclosed it to others; first made a drawing or model; first made a practical test, etc. This statement, later, must be supported by testimony to be taken as in suit in equity, and each party is bound by the averments in his preliminary statement and cannot go back of the earliest date mentioned therein. The case is argued by counsel and decided by the Patent Office on argument and evidence submitted. The patent is awarded to the first inventor. Appeal from the Patent Office may be taken to the Court of Appeals of the District of Columbia.

Our usual charge for preparing and filing a Preliminary Statement is \$25.

Experienced counsel is required for the successful conduct of interference cases, as great skill and experience is necessary. Too much care cannot be taken in the preparation of the papers and in handling the case from start to finish.

We cannot state with certainty the charges and expenses in interferences, as they vary with each case; some may prove very light and others very heavy, depending on the interests involved, the complexity of the case and the value of the invention. Our charges for services in the case are at the usual time rates in searches, infringement suits, etc.

Interferences are very rare.

TRADE-MARK INTERFERENCES**(United States)**

Trade-mark interferences are declared by the Patent Office between two or more applicants when the marks applied for are thought to interfere, to determine which applicant adopted and used the mark first. Each party is held strictly to the averments of his statement forming part of the application, and these are to be supported by evidence as in patent application interference.

TRADE-MARK OPPOSITIONS**(United States)**

Any person who believes he would be damaged by the registration of a mark may oppose the same by filing a notice of opposition, stating the grounds therefor, in the Patent Office within thirty days after the publication (in the Official Gazette) of the mark sought to be registered, which notice shall be verified.

This has the same force and effect as the statement of a trade-mark application, and the procedure is the same as in interferences.

APPLICATION TO CANCEL A TRADE-MARK REGISTRATION**(United States)**

Application to cancel a trade-mark registration may be filed by any person whenever he shall deem himself to be injured thereby. Notice is given the registrant and the procedure is much the same as in trade-mark interferences. The registration may be canceled whenever it shall appear that the registrant was not entitled to the use of the mark at the date of his application, or that the mark is not used by the registrant or has been abandoned.

The charges and expenses in these, as in other interferences, cannot be stated with any certainty, as they vary with each case, according to the interests involved, the value placed on the mark by the parties and the services rendered.

INFRINGEMENT SUITS, ETC.

(Canada and United States)

Infringement, a word that is used in patent litigation, is the unauthorized making, using or selling of something protected by a patent. Infringement suits are brought by patentees, usually in equity, to stop such use, sale or manufacture and to recover profits and damages.

Before starting suit, if you have purchased the patent from another, the first step is to look up the title and see that it is perfect (see under heading "Title Searches"). The next step is to have us make a validity search (see under heading "Infringement and Validity Searches"); if this proves your patent sound, the next step is to give the infringer formal notice to stop infringement, and if he ignores this the next step is to file your bill of complaint requesting an injunction and profits and damages. The defendant files his answer to this, and evidence is then taken by both sides. The case then goes to trial, the briefs are filed by both sides, and the court hears counsel in argument for both sides and renders the decision.

If you are notified to stop infringement you should refer the matter to us and have us investigate (see under heading "Validity and Infringement Searches"). Should this prove that you do not infringe, you may generally end the matter by having us send the patentee or owner of the patent a copy of our report. If suit is brought against you, such a search is absolutely necessary in order to properly prepare your answer, unless you choose to give up making the article in question.

In all such cases we require a retainer which varies in accordance with the interests involved and the services rendered. For taking testimony in such cases we charge at the rate of \$30 per diem, time spent in traveling included, and, of course, all traveling expenses are to be paid. Before starting on a trip to take testimony, etc., we expect a remittance of \$100 in advance, and all traveling expenses that can be foreseen, the balance to come on receipt of our bill.

Infringement suits on trade-marks, copyrights and design patents follow much the same lines as the usual patent infringement suits and involve like expenses.

SEARCHES

(Canada and United States)

These are of various kinds, having different objects in view, and being known as 1, Preliminary Searches; 2, Title Searches; 3, Infringement and Validity Searches; and 4, General Information or Art Searches.


1. PRELIMINARY SEARCHES

The first condition to the grant of a patent is that it must be NEW. This can generally be ascertained by a small search through the prior United States patents in the same general class of the particular invention under consideration. All United States patents are classified by employes of the Patent Office and open to inspection and search in the Search or Attorney's Room thereof. These records are about the most complete in the world, and a search through them will generally show the correct state of the art. After making such a search we report to the inventor, with copies of the two or three nearest references found, and advise him as to the best course to pursue. If it should show the device to be old we advise him against applying, thus saving him the expense of the first government fee, the drawings and the attorneys' fee. On the other hand, should the search show the device to be probably patentable, we advise the client accordingly in our report. In this case the copies of references sent may suggest valuable changes that may be made with advantage. Also, in case financial aid is desired a favorable report of such a search from us will be of great assistance in securing it.

The above remarks apply to contemplated patent applications everywhere. It is best to have a search, such as described, made first in the United States patents already granted, though, after such a search has been made for the United States application, it, of course, is not necessary to have another search made on the same device in Canada and other foreign countries. The one search will give a pretty good estimate of the art in all countries.

To make such a search we should need a model, good, clear sketches, or photographs of the invention in various positions

and operations, accompanied by a good, full, clear and concise description of the device and its various parts, and a statement of operation and of the advantages claimed. A good way to



do is to mark each element or part with a number and then refer to it in the description by that number, as here shown, using the same number for the same part wherever it occurs.

In the case of a design we should need a good, clear drawing or photo of the design.

In the case of trade-marks it is also desirable to have such a search made to see whether your mark has already been preempted by another for the same class of goods. For this we should need a specimen of the labels used and a statement of what articles it is used on.

Our charge for such a search in all cases is \$5, to accompany the necessary data and your instructions.

2. TITLE SEARCHES

A title search is a search through the assignment records of the Patent Office to determine who, on these records, holds the title to the patent or invention under consideration. To make such a search we should need to know the full name of the inventor and date, or, in the case of a patent, the number would suffice; but in all cases it is best to give the full name, date and number, if possible, to avoid possibility of mistake.

We charge \$5 for each patent or application so investigated, the remittance to accompany your order.

3. INFRINGEMENT AND VALIDITY SEARCHES

It sometimes happens that patents are granted in which all the claims are void, or the broader ones are void, or the claims are broader than warranted by the showing of the prior art, of which the best showing was not found by the Patent Office when searching for anticipations or references to determine the scope of the protection to which the applicant was entitled. Sometimes the best references will be found in the most unlikely places, and it becomes very difficult and takes much time to find them. As a good many more than a million patents have been granted by the United States alone, and as the United States grants patents at the rate of from 800 to 1,000 a week it will be easily seen what a large field has to be covered. Also, the Office has to consider the patents of all countries in connection with the examination of any case, and where a foreign patent shows the elements claimed it will be a sufficient answer. In addition to searching up the novelty of invention the Examiner also has to examine it critically to see that it is in correct form, that the specification is perfectly clear and that all the requirements of the law have been complied with.

In view of the number of patents issued and of the number of applications filed and which fail, and in view of the examination and searching to be done in each case, it is apparent that the Patent Office absolutely cannot make an exhaustive search in every case.

Where a reference, either United States or foreign patent or publication, can be found that is dated prior to the date of the invention on which patent was granted and which reference shows all of the elements of the claim or claims thereof, or of the broadest claims thereof, such claims will be void, and such reference will be a good and complete defense to a suit for infringement of them, and, if the reference patent be an expired one, the invention covered thereby will belong to the public, and anyone may make, use and sell it. The same applies if the reference publication be more than two years prior to the application.

Also, it sometimes happens that a construction, which is alleged by the patentee to infringe, is shown in some very early prior and expired patent. This also will be a complete

defense to a suit for infringement by such construction, without a long argument and controversy as to the exact scope of the claims of the complainant's patent, etc.

In view of these facts it becomes absolutely essential to have a thorough search of the U.S. and foreign patents made, either when being sued for infringement or when proposing to sue another for infringement. In very important cases it may be desirable to search through publications, etc. If the search turns up references which show the claims to be void, or to be very much narrower in scope than appears on their face, they will constitute a good defense, and often, where they are clearly exact anticipations, our report and opinion accompanied thereby will end the matter. Of course, where one is contemplating suing another and such a search shows the claims of the patent relied on to be void or too narrow to include the constructions of the fancied infringer, it will save the expenses of such a suit, which are heavy.

Likewise, it is desirable, before paying any large sum in the purchase of a patent, or expending any large sum in the erection of plants, etc., to manufacture an article under a patent to have such a search made to make sure that the patent is worth the price in consideration, or that it is wise to expend the money in the plants. In other words, before going to a large expense in regard to a patent it is desirable to first determine as definitely as you can that the patent is valid and will stand the grilling fire of litigation.

Such a search is a much more serious proposition than the preliminary search, and usually takes from four to five days' steady searching on simple inventions, and on the more complex cases often runs between three weeks and a month. In rare instances where the interests involved are large they run to much more.

Our charge for such a search is at the rate of \$30 per diem for each day or part of a day spent therein, a remittance of \$75 in advance to accompany your instructions, and the balance to come immediately on receipt of our report and bill.

4. GENERAL INFORMATION OR ART SEARCHES

General information or art searches are often desired to discover what has actually been done in some particular industry,

how the by-products of some industry may be used to the best advantage and the best means therefor, also to discover the most up-to-date and economical machinery and apparatus to be used in a particular manufacture. This is the age of the economist, when no by-product is to be wasted, and of the efficiency expert, whose office it is to get the utmost returns from the least effort and expenditure of both time, labor and money; hence the importance of time, labor and money-saving machinery. We are not efficiency experts, but a search, such as indicated above, will generally yield very valuable information which will many times repay you for the expense thereof, and which you may yourself apply without further aid.

For such a search we charge at the rate of \$30 per diem for each day or part thereof spent therein, \$100 to accompany your instructions, the balance to come immediately on receipt of our report. In requesting such a search you would want to indicate as definitely as possible just what information you desire.

CANADA

CANADIAN PATENTS

Canadian Patents are granted for terms of 6, 12 and 18 years, and the two former may be extended to the latter on the payment of the required government fee. The government fee for the 6-year term is \$20; for 12 years, \$40; and 18 years, \$60. The petition for extension must be filed before the expiration of the 6th or 12th year. No delay beyond the exact date is allowed. Our fee for each petition is \$3, to accompany your instructions, the original patent and the government fee, as above. Each patent is nominally for 18 years.

Our fee for preparing the application, etc., is the same as in United States patent applications—see "Cost," under this heading. Thus for simple one-sheet case the amount to come before filing would be \$50, of which \$20 should accompany your order, the balance to come when returning the papers after signing for filing.

After the United States application has been allowed the inventor is practically certain of obtaining a corresponding patent in Canada as well as in other countries. The Canadian

patent may be applied for within one year after the grant of the first foreign patent. However, we strongly advise that the Canadian and foreign applications be filed at the same time as the United States, to guard against piracy of the invention by parties who see it and consider it of sufficient value to warrant them in stealing the foreign rights.

NOTICE OF INTENTION TO APPLY

The law provides that where a man obtains a foreign patent, anyone in Canada may make, use and sell the device thus disclosed, even after the grant of the Canadian patent, provided such manufacture, use and sale is begun before the grant thereof, UNLESS the patentee in the foreign country files his application in Canada, or files NOTICE that he intends to apply in Canada, before the expiration of THREE MONTHS from the granting or sealing of his first foreign patent. Our charge for preparing and filing such notice, including the government fee, is \$10.

ARBITRATION

(Very rare)

Arbitration in Canada corresponds to the interference in the United States Patent Office, though the course to be followed is radically different.

Where two or more applications conflict they are to be submitted to the arbitration of three skilled persons, one to be chosen by each applicant, the third by the Commissioner; their decision from which no appeal can be taken, is final as far as concerns the granting of the patent.

If either side fails to appoint an arbitrator the patent shall be granted to the other party.

After the arbitrators are appointed they are sworn by a judge, and then jointly and severally have the power to summon witnesses, take testimony, and gain access to books and other documentary evidence.

Each applicant is to pay the fees of his own arbitrator, to be agreed on beforehand the fees of the third being borne jointly.

Our fees would be the same for preparing all necessary papers, taking evidence, arguing, traveling expenses, etc., as in infringement suits and interferences before the United States Patent Office, \$30 per day and expenses. Out of about 8,000 applications filed each year there are about 9 cases, only, of arbitration.

COMPULSORY LICENSE

The Canadian patent must be manufactured or worked within two years after the grant thereof and continuously thereafter, unless put under the provisions of the Compulsory License Clause within six months after the date of the patent. Over 80 per cent. of all patents granted can be put under this provision, thus completely avoiding the expense and burden of actual manufacture, otherwise required. Our charge for preparing and filing application therefor is \$5, to accompany your order.

IMPORTATION

The patented article cannot be imported into Canada beyond one year after the patent is granted, without forfeiting the patent protection, unless such term is extended before the expiration thereof.

EXTENSION OF TIME FOR IMPORTATION AND MANUFACTURE

Both the term for manufacturing, 2 years, and the term for importation, 1 year, may, in some cases, be extended, the exact length of such extension in either case depending on the particular circumstances of each case. The maximum extension allowed is 1 year for importation and 2 years for manufacturing. Heretofore these extensions were granted almost as a matter of course for from one to two years, and sometimes several such extensions were granted in a particular case. There has been objection that this privilege was being abused, and that only one extension could legally be granted, so within the last few years they have become much more exacting, and a very strong case must be made before the extension will be granted. Now it is necessary to state definite facts to support your petition for such extension and to support these facts by your own

affidavit and as many other affidavits as you can get in corroboration thereof, and to reinforce these by arguing the matter orally.

Our minimum fee for filing such a petition for either extension is \$50, the fee being regulated in accordance with the time taken, expenses, etc. As stated, the showing must be very strong, in either case, and it is far safer not to rely on them, but to make your arrangements well within the time definitely allowed by law, thus avoiding all risks and expenses attendant on such petitions.

TRADE-MARKS

Trade-marks are of two classes: General and Specific.

A General trade-mark is a man's sign in trade, applicable to all goods he may handle, and is of perpetual duration.

A Specific trade-mark is for the mark as used on some specific goods, and has a duration of 25 years, which may be extended for an indefinite number of similar terms. Where a general and specific trade-mark conflict the latter is entitled to registration provided the proprietor of the former had not used it on the particular articles in question before the specific applicant so applied it, according to a very recent decision of the Exchequer Court.

The Government fee for the general trade-mark registration is \$30, for the specific \$25. Our fee for preparing and presenting either, including the drawing, is \$10, to come with your instructions.

We should need five specimens of the mark as used.

INDUSTRIAL DESIGNS

Industrial designs correspond to United States Design patents and are registered in the Department of Agriculture for any new design to the author or proprietor for a term of five years, which may be extended for from one to five years more.

Such registration can be obtained only by persons residing in Canada.

Our charge for such registration, including all government fees for five years, and the drawing, will be \$25, to come with your order.

CAVEATS

Caveats are granted in Canada to protect an inventor, while completing his invention, against piracy, the possibility of an independent inventor filing, etc., for one year. They give no right to prevent others from making, using or selling the device. Only a PATENT will do this.

We do not urge these caveats, as we do not feel that the amount of protection actually given is worth the expense.

Our charge, including the government fee, 1,500 words and one sheet of drawings, is \$20, all excess to be charged for as in U.S. applications for patent.

THE TIME REQUIRED TO OBTAIN A PATENT (Canada and United States)

The time required to obtain a patent cannot be foreseen with any degree of accuracy and it is quite impossible to give any reliable estimate thereof. It depends on the amount of work in the particular division of the Patent Office to which the application is referred. There are many divisions, and they are more or less in arrears with their work, the divisions of the United States Patent Office being 43 in number at present, and in the Canadian Patent Office 15.

We are very prompt with the preparation of the necessary papers and drawings, all cases being filed at the earliest moment. After this the length of pendency rests solely on the condition of the work in the particular examining division, for we make it a point to amend promptly after each official action and prosecute vigorously, to the end that the case may be allowed as early as possible consistent with the full protection to which the applicant is entitled.

In the United States after allowance the final fee must be paid within six months. The patent will issue on the fourth Tuesday after the first Thursday on or after which it is paid.

In Canada there is no final fee, hence no necessity for the formal notice of allowance, and the patent is therefore granted and forwarded on the first Tuesday after it is determined to be in proper shape and allowable.

PATENT PENDING

The above brand or "Patent Applied For" is often found on articles on the market, and all applicants for patent have a right to use one or the other as desired, if they wish to introduce their invention before the patent issues. It seems desirable, however, in most cases, to let this wait until the patent is secured, because of the danger of giving an advantage to unscrupulous persons. However, it gives you no protection except in so far as it warns others that you have applied for a patent.

The extensive manufacture and disclosure during pendency of the application may cause you to lose the right to foreign patents thereon, as someone, seeing your invention, may proceed to patent it in foreign countries; for in some countries of Europe, the FIRST APPLICANT, whether inventor or not, is entitled to the patent. After the patent is granted you have plenty of time to introduce your invention and can do so with perfect safety.

MARKING PATENTED ARTICLES

In Canada and the United States an article manufactured under a patent should be marked with the word *Patented*, followed by the year, for example, "Patented, 1913."

It is not necessary to, and wisest not to, stamp on the article either the number of the patent or the name of the inventor. The notice given is sufficient, and if anyone desires any further information let him go to the expense of an investigation; for such further information is usually desired only by interests antagonistic to, or in competition with, you. In Canada there is a maximum penalty of \$100 for each offense for not marking. In the United States there is no penalty.

As to marking in other countries we will supply information as the occasion may arise.

TRADE-MARKS—NOTICE OF REGISTRATION

Notice of registration of the mark should be given the public in the United States, by affixing or printing on the label and on or directly beneath the mark, "Registered in U.S. Patent Office" or "Reg. U.S. Pat. Off."

In Canada such notice should be similarly placed and as follows, "Trade-mark registered Canada, 191—."

TRADE-MARK ASSIGNMENTS **(Canada and United States)**

A trade-mark can only be assigned in connection with the business and good will of the registrant.

For preparing and recording the simplest assignment agreement our charge, including the government recordation fee, is \$5. For the longer ones with various stipulations our charges are regulated by the time taken, the interests involved and the services rendered.

WHAT IS MY INVENTION WORTH?

This is a question often put to us, and one that should never be asked of a patent attorney. We are in no position to foretell accurately or even approximately the value of any invention. The inventor is best qualified to answer this for himself, having seen the need, being usually a practical man engaged in that particular line. The main reason, however, is that the value of an invention depends so much on the personal equation. One man by his perseverance and business ability might reap a fortune, where another, desiring and expecting to make a fortune easily and at once, might become disappointed and cease all efforts, thus obtaining nothing. The same energy and ability applied in perfecting the invention if properly applied commercially will doubtless result in a profit in proportion to the value of the invention. The value, therefore, in most instances, depends on the inventor.

ASSIGNMENT AGREEMENTS

A patent is a vested right, and may be disposed of entirely or partially; or licenses, from exclusive down to shop rights, may be disposed of. However, it should be remembered that an owner of an undivided interest of a patent, however small that interest may be, has the right to make, use and sell the device covered thereby without being liable in any way to any of the other owners of said patent for any part of the

profits he may make, unless special provision is made for this in the agreement of assignment.

Our charge for preparing and recording the usual assignment, including the government fee, is \$5, except where special services and time are required by reason of the nature of such agreements, and the necessity of very clearly and elaborately specifying the various conditions, obligations and arrangements between the parties and defining the rights of each. Such instruments, it is obvious, take much time, and the charges therefor must rest on the amount of time taken, the matters to be considered, the services rendered and the value thereof to the parties.

PATENT SALESMEN

We do not undertake to sell patents, and, we regret to say, we do not know of a single patent salesman or alleged salesman whom we can recommend as honest and trustworthy.

As soon as you receive your patent you will generally receive a stack of circulars from these quacks who will offer to sell your patent for you. They all require some payment at first, to pay for advertising, a model, for putting a cut of your invention in their catalogue, etc. These first payments run anywhere from \$5 to \$50, and after they get it they lose all interest in the selling proposition. They live on the first payments and, as about 1,000 patents are granted yearly by the Canadian Patent Office and 40,000 by the United States Patent Office, their field of operations is large. Our best advice is to ignore all such offers. You will save money and disappointment.

MANUFACTURE IT

We advise you to manufacture the article. If properly managed the demand or market will be in accordance with the value of the invention and your reward will be automatically regulated in accordance therewith. If you lack capital, and the device is a meritorious and valuable one, you can generally get men with capital interested and form a corporation to manufacture it, thus securing for yourself a fair share in the profits from the invention. We have a number of clients who have followed this course to their profit.

BE YOUR OWN SALESMAN

However, if you cannot manufacture it, and desire to dispose of your patent right, the best way is to construct a good, well-finished model and to be your own salesman, calling on men interested in that line personally and explaining and demonstrating your invention to them. The invention is a child of your brain, you are much more enthusiastic about it than another would be, know all its advantages and various ways in which it can be applied to advantage, and you can bring these clearly and forcibly before a prospective purchaser.

AID TO OUR CLIENTS

Whenever possible we are always glad to assist our clients in disposing of their patent rights by s. e. license or royalty. A great many of our clients are themselves manufacturing and selling their own inventions, thus getting all of the profits. Wherever possible, that is the course we advise.

When desired, we are always glad to give any client a list of manufacturers dealing in the same general line of machines or articles as the particular invention. The client can then take up direct negotiations with these manufacturers.

An inventor should be the best salesman for his invention as he is more familiar with it and its advantages than any one else.

It is direct dealing between inventor and manufacturer that we have found to be most profitable to the inventor and most satisfactory to all parties. It is the course we advise.

If our opinion is wanted on the terms or conditions of an agreement we shall be glad to give it at a moderate charge for the time and services required.

Where money is needed to market a good practical patented invention and it can be clearly shown that there is a large profit in view, we shall be glad to give the names and addresses of any of our clients who, at the time, may have money to invest that way. But the inventor, in such cases, generally can easily get all needed cash pretty quickly from his friends and acquaintances.

When a client desires circulars, booklets, or other advertising literature prepared to assist in marketing his invention we shall

be glad to assist him in all ways possible. For this, as for all other services, we make a moderate charge, and give the matter our best personal attention.

Briefly:—As far as possible, we serve and assist our clients from the time they first submit their inventions to us until their inventions are actually on the market and paying returns.

ABOUT FREE ADVERTISING

We do not indulge in any such flimsy, catch-client, inducements. Our aim is to satisfy and keep every client who entrusts us with his case. Our clients want advice and services of real value and they are glad to pay a moderate fee for it. Some firms do offer "free advertising." Such advertising is worth just about what is paid for it, of course, practically nothing. However, such offer frequently "does the trick," and the client is caught, temporarily.

ABOUT PRIZES

From time to time a number of prizes have been offered to inventors for solving very difficult and baffling questions. Needless to say, the questions are extremely difficult and will probably be solved, eventually, by men of very high technical skill and of long experience in the particular line. It is not probable that they will be solved by "outsiders" not pretty thoroughly acquainted with the particular art or industry to which they relate. However, that has sometimes happened.

The following prizes are at present offered:—

- \$100,000 for the best substitute for gasoline.
- \$26,000 for new substances for denaturing alcohol.
- \$350,000 for new applications of denatured alcohol.
- \$20,000 for a means to cure Asiatic Cholera.
- \$1,250 for making briquettes from waste low grade coal.
- \$30,000 for devices for saving life at sea.
- \$5,950 for a safety miner's lamp.
- \$10,000 for apparatus for the sick and wounded in war.
- \$100 for leather splitting machine.
- \$1,250 for briquettes from slack coal.
- \$10,000 for automatic train control.

\$100,000 for safety devices for aeroplanes.
\$2,000 for sugar cane harvester.
\$2,500 for carburetor.
\$163 for safety glove.
\$12,000 for (U.S.) naval construction and devices.
\$10,000 for (U.S.) postal service apparatus.
\$500 for marking oyster shells.
\$1,500 for motor car speed indicator.
\$10,000 for field motor ambulance.

There are a great many other prizes offered and more are being added continually. More complete information may be had about them at any time. They simply emphasize the great need for improvement in almost every conceivable industry and occupation and the enormous field that there is for invention. And that field is steadily and rapidly increasing.

WHAT TO INVENT

From the above it is evident that no one can give a really concise statement on this subject. There is room for a great deal of improvement in nearly everything with which we are familiar to-day. A very brief comparison of to-day's equipment, machinery and apparatus with that of twenty-five years ago is very convincing proof. How recent are the first printing press, sewing machine, loom, railroad, telegraph, telephone, phonograph, electric light, electric car, moving picture, submarine, aeroplane, etc., etc., yet each of these has opened up an enormous field, in itself, for further development. So, when we are asked what to invent, the only comprehensive answer is any improvement which will appreciably better an existing machine, apparatus or device. There is always a market for such an invention. Of course, there are the great scientific inventions, above mentioned, and others in time will appear. For instance, artificial leather, which is almost identical with real leather, has been recently invented, and for years synthetic rubber has been sought. But these great inventions are rare.

Small devices and articles which may be manufactured and marketed in large quantities at a low cost are about the largest and best field for inventors. A great many thousands of very comfortable fortunes have been made from such patents. The

most striking instance recently met by us is a patented temporary plug for bicycle tires. For about ten years the United States' rights, alone, have been paying steadily increasing profits. For 1913 the profits on the United States' rights were over \$50,000. It is patented in all important foreign countries.

There are thousands of other cases, including a number of our clients. To cite even a part of them would require a long tabular statement which would add very little information, though interesting, possibly, from the point of view of variety.

So, the booklets, "What to Invent," issued by several firms, are, necessarily, only a long catalogue of existing machines, apparatus, etc., with statements that improvements on them are desired to overcome one or another defect. Their idea is that by a little study an inventor can probably work out a successful improvement on practically any machine. We do not believe that is good policy. In our experience, and all other reliable firms with whom we have spoken, we find that the really successful inventors are those who have made improvements in lines with which they are familiar—a farmer for farm machinery and implements; a machinist for machinery; an aviator for aeroplanes; a carpenter for furniture, etc. It is the old rule, that every man knows his own trade best, and we believe it is much the wisest policy for him to develop inventions in that trade. Of course, there are many cases where a man in one trade has made a valuable invention in a totally different trade, but such are exceptions.

THE DEMANDS OF MANUFACTURES

The production of an absolutely new idea is now a rare occurrence. The inventions of to-day are generally improvements on the old ideas of the past. But it is unquestionably a fact that an improvement is often a more valuable invention than a basically new one.

Almost every manufacturer is constantly on the lookout for improved articles to add to his line of manufacture, or improvements for those already being manufactured. The article which sells is the one having the most advantages, or meets the greatest need, and it is often the case that an invention strongly appeals to a manufacturer when it is really only a small improvement

on what has already gone before, because it gives him "talking points;" that is, it has some specific feature or advantage to which the salesman can point when introducing the device to purchasers.

Many inventors feel that it is hardly worth while to obtain a patent unless their idea is absolutely new, and there is nothing else for the same purpose. If the great inventors of the past had followed this plan, however, there would be no such thing as the six-cylinder gasoline automobile, and we would still be using the old "one lugger," with its weak power plant and its jolty riding qualities. We would still be using the telegraph only, and the telephone would be unknown. Horse cars would be in daily use, and the electric trolley, with its enormous speed and carrying capacity, would be unheard of, and in hundreds of other arts we would be using the incomplete apparatus of the past century instead of the highly improved mechanism of to-day.

There is one advantage which the improvement has over the absolutely new idea, which does not seem to occur to every one, and that is that when an improved article is put on sale there is already a market for it by reason of the use of the original device. Take, for instance, the phonograph. Edison invented the wax cylinder record. It was very satisfactory in some ways, but unsatisfactory in others. The company manufacturing it, however, exploited the machine by wide advertising, and not many years ago it was possible to find a wax cylinder machine in almost every home.

A Washington inventor, Emil Berliner, saw the disadvantages of the wax cylinder, which soon wore out and was easily broken, and started in to make a machine with an indestructible record. The flat rubber record was the result, and now the disc machine has almost entirely supplanted the old style. When Berliner put his new phonograph on sale he found a market and a demand already established for it. Every one knew the enjoyment that can be gotten out of a talking machine, and he only had to show the public that his was better than the old device in order to make thousands of sales. If he had been the first to produce a phonograph, he would have had to make the machine known to the public and create a demand for it at an expense of thousands of dollars. As it was, he simply came into the field with a better

machine, cheaper to make and of greater lasting qualities, and by pointing out these features reaped a fortune.

Henry Ford realized the advantages of improvements resulting in cheapness when he began to work toward the reduction of prices in automobiles. At one time the Ford car sold for \$2,000, then it dropped to about \$1,000, and has since that time been reduced to less than \$500. It is as good in all respects, and better in many, than the \$2,000 car, and although the factory turn out one machine every four minutes the demand cannot be supplied. The machine has not the advantages possessed by some high priced machines, but it is cheaper to buy, cheaper to run and the loss by depreciation is not as great. It therefore meets the requirements of those who desire a low-priced, sturdy and efficient motor car for business or pleasure, and, as such, is a striking example of the "value of cheapness."

This is the life story of progress since the invention of the stone axe, the spear and the bow and arrow, and it will be so long as civilization lasts. The improved article or a cheapened article will supplant the unimproved or expensive device, and he who devises, patents and promotes the valuable ideas, either in improvements or in the reduction of cost, will reap the profit.

MARKETING A PATENT OR FORMING A COMPANY

There are many ways of getting a fair return out of a good patent-protected invention.

The inventor frequently makes royalty arrangements with a good reliable manufacturer. This is the most successful and, generally, the easiest way. A small amount paid by the manufacturer on each article, means nothing to the manufacturer, because he simply adds that much to the selling price of the article and the consumer pays. On the other hand, it is astonishing how fast those small amounts pile up and become a very comfortable sum in the course of a single year. Another method is to sell licenses for certain sections of the country.

Frequently, the owner of a patent will try to sell either the whole or a part of the patent rights outright for a cash sum. This is a good plan if he can get a fair price, but he very seldom gets as much for it as he gets by royalties.

Another plan is to form a company and sell stock. This is also good. One difficulty is that the various expenses of organizing and running a company make quite a hole in the profits and there is always the possibility of trouble among the officers or loss of control by the inventor and his associates.

We strongly advise the inventor to either manufacture and sell the invention himself, or to arrange with a manufacturer on a royalty basis, or to sell a part or the whole of his rights. We are always glad to give advice in the matter if our clients will write us fully.

SECRET USE

It would be practically impossible to keep an invention secret, and yet make any large profit therefrom, for 17 years. A patent in the United States or Canada, assures you of protection for 17 or 18 years, respectively, and when so protected you may openly make, use and sell, if infringing no earlier patent, for the life of the patent, in which time many valuable inventions have reaped fortunes. Also during this time you will probably be able to improve on your invention, secure a patent on such improvement and manufacture under such latter patent. This in effect, would extend the life of your first patent.

GIVING VALUABLE INVENTIONS TO FOREIGNERS

There are very few inventors that do not place a high value on their inventions and in many cases this high valuation is quite justified, yet nine-tenths or even a larger proportion of Canadian and United States patentees present the entire world, outside of the United States and Canada, with the fruit of their genius and labors by neglecting to patent their inventions in foreign countries.

The inventor expects to reap a rich reward from his invention here. Why would not the same invention be equally or even more valuable abroad, where the population is more concentrated and where the invention should be easier to market and distribute than in this comparatively sparsely-settled country? Nearly forty thousand patents are granted each year by the United States and over seven thousand by Canada,

and among them are many inventions of great utility and value. It is hard to realize that these valuable inventions are actually presented to the people of Europe, Mexico and Central and South America, whereas by a relatively small expenditure they could have been protected by patent in the principal foreign countries. And, if patented, there is no reason why they could not be made to pay by manufacture of the article, or by the sale of the patent rights, as much or more as the Canadian or United States patent, with the same effort and ability. Also, the sale of any such foreign patent rights, even at low figures, will give you a good start financially in working your patents elsewhere.

In the matter of foreign patents you want to act quickly before someone else lodges his claim as prior applicant.

It is well for United States and Canadian inventors to file their foreign applications at as early a date as possible. By doing thus they will head off independent foreign inventors who might file during the pendency of the Canadian application; *and also, and most important*, they will defeat that class of persons who make a practice of pirating desirable inventions here and obtaining patents for them abroad.

WHEN APPLICATION MAY BE MADE

In all countries, speaking generally, application may be made before the publication, public sale, or use of the article in the respective country.

In all countries belonging to the International Convention application may be filed any time within twelve months after the filing of the English or United States application, provided the applicant is a citizen or subject of one of the countries which is a member of the convention. Most of the important countries are members of the convention.

Canada does not belong to the International Convention, and an application may be filed here any time within one year after the date of the grant or sealing of the first foreign patent.

In all cases, however, it is best to apply before publication or use, except possibly in Canada, even in countries of the International Convention, as applications under this Convention would require expensive certified copies and much else, which is avoided by earlier application. In this connection it is to be noted that when the Canadian patent is granted it is

published in the Patent Office Record, and copies of this are sent to all countries belonging to the International Convention or otherwise having agreements to exchange patent publications, etc. When these copies are received in such countries it is held, in most of them, though not all, to be a publication which prevents filing a later application except under the International Convention.

More definite information will be supplied you when the occasion arises.

COST OF FOREIGN PATENTS

Following charges cover preparation, filing, and first year's tax, in each case, and the adjustment of any formal objections. They do not include the extra expense of filing under the "Convention" when that is necessary. In very rare instances division, limitation or additional drawings are required, or interferences are declared. In such cases a moderate charge for time and services will be made. Should such a case arise, you will be fully notified and give your approval before any charge is made.

These rates do not apply to rare and unusual cases of great complexity, or very highly technical.

A retainer of \$10 per application should be sent with the order to prepare them. We shall then immediately start preparation of the application. The powers of attorney and authorization forms will be sent you with instructions for signing. After signing, you should immediately mail them to us, with check, draft, or money order for the balance of our fees. We will then immediately file the applications, and keep you promptly and fully informed.

When from five to ten foreign countries are taken at the same time there is a reduction of 5 per cent. When over ten foreign countries are taken at the same time there is a reduction of ten per cent.

The rates quoted apply to applications containing 1,500 words or less, and one sheet of drawings.

For each additional sheet of drawings we make an extra charge of \$5.

For every additional 100 words, or part thereof, in French, German, Spanish, or Italian, \$1; in ANY OTHER LANGUAGE, \$1.50.

OUR FOREIGN REPRESENTATIVES AND POLICY

We have our special associates in the capitals of all foreign countries who stand at the top of their profession in the respective countries and whom we have selected after many years' experience as best fitted to guard our clients' interests in their respective countries. In addition to this we prepare all cases in our own offices and send them to our associates with instructions as to the prosecution and, after each official communication, we give them our additional instructions as to exactly what course to pursue.

By reason of this arrangement we can assure to our clients prompt services of the highest order in patent and trade-mark, etc., matters in all countries for very moderate fees.

FOREIGN PATENTS

COUNTRIES, STATISTICS AND COST OF PATENT

NOTE.—We also register trade-marks, copyrights and all other analogous governmental privileges in all foreign countries, and shall be glad to supply information and estimate of charge therefor, though it is out of the question to embody such information in this pamphlet.

ARGENTINE REPUBLIC, 5, 10 or 15 years, \$175. Pop., 6,800,000. Area, 1,212,000 sq. miles. Industries—Agriculture, sheep and cattle raising, wool, wheat, hides, tallow and frozen meats and mining.

AUSTRALIA, 14 years, \$115. Pop. 2,400,000. Area, 3,000,000 sq. miles. Industries—Sheep raising, agriculture, wine making, mining and manufacturing.

AUSTRIA, 15 years, \$75. Pop., 28,000,000. Area, 187,000 sq. miles. Industries—Agriculture, mining, manufacturing of glass and general manufacturing.

BELGIUM, 20 years, \$50. Pop. 7,500,000. Area, 11,400 sq. miles. Industries—Manufacturing and mining, iron, lead, copper, zinc, lace, flour and starch, whisky, beer, etc.

BRAZIL, 15 years, \$120. Pop. 20,000,000. Area, 3,200,000 sq. miles. Industries—Agriculture, growing of woods for dyeing and cabinet work, shipbuilding, fruit, coffee, rubber, tobacco, grain, cattle raising, etc.

CHILI, 10 years, \$225. Pop., 3,200,000. Area, 300,000 sq. miles. Industries—Agriculture, maize, wheat, barley, oats, beans, lentils, vines, tobacco, flax, hemp, Chili pepper, potatoes, etc.; horse and cattle raising, copper and gold mining, etc.

CUBA, 17 years, \$100. Pop., 2,000,000. Area, 44,000 sq. miles. Industries—Sugar and tobacco.

DENMARK, 15 years, \$60. Pop., 2,000,000. Area, 15,000 sq. miles. Industries—Grain, raising of sheep, cattle and horses, manufacturing of dairy machinery and apparatus, etc.

FRANCE AND COLONIES, 15 years, \$75. Pop., 40,000,000. Area, 207,000 sq. miles. Industries—All important industries, manufacturing, carving, pottery, glass, chemicals, textiles and dyeing.

GERMANY, Colonies and Protectorates, 15 years, \$75. Pop., 60,000,000. Area, 210,000 sq. miles. Industries—Germany is remarkably well developed in every line of industry, and manufactures practically all industrial articles for home consumption; being also a large exporter. It has large leather, textile, milling, metal and wood-working, electrical and ship-building industries, also in chemicals.

GREAT BRITAIN, 14 years, \$75. Pop., 47,000,000. Area, 121,000 sq. miles. Industries—Manufacturing of all kinds, especially machinery and apparatus; mining operations on a large scale; agriculture. It has very highly developed textile and metal working industries.

HOLLAND, 15 years, \$75. Pop., 5,700,000. Area, 12,000 sq. miles. Industries—Agriculture, horses, sheep, cattle, poultry and swine raising; flax, hemp, tobacco, cottons, etc.; manufacturing of bricks, margarine, cocoa, chocolate, linen, candles, confectionery, earthenware, automobiles, boats, shoes, starch, flour, printing and accessories, engines, bicycles, fecula, oils, beer, geneva and other liquors. Diamond cutting is also highly developed and carried on extensively.

INDIA, 14 years, \$100. Pop., 300,000,000. Area, 1,800,000 sq. miles. Industries—Agriculture, mining, coal, crude petroleum, salt, manganese, saltpetre, gold, mica, rubies, jade, etc. There are many kinds of manufacturing, including woolen and paper mills, breweries, distilleries, etc.

ITALY, 15 years, \$75. Pop., 34,000,000. Area, 110,000 sq. miles. Industries—Agriculture, manufacturing and mining. Silk is an important industry, also fruit raising. The chief manufactures are woolen, straw and felt hats, furniture, chemicals, paper, machinery of all kinds, mosaics, pottery, Venetian vases, alabaster, ornaments, etc.

JAMAICA, 14 years, \$175. Pop., 900,000. Area, 40,000 sq. miles. Industries—Agriculture, tropical fruit and products such as sugar, rum, coffee, bananas, oranges, maize, rare cabinet woods, dye woods, etc.

JAPAN, 15 years, \$110. Pop., 50,000,000. Area, 163,000 sq. miles. Industries—Agriculture, mining and manufacturing. Agricultural products of all sorts, including tobacco, tea, potatoes, wheat, rice, etc. Mining products include copper, iron, silver, agate and rock crystal, coal, etc. Chief manufactures are silk, cotton, matches, paper, grease, lacquer ware, porcelain, bronze, etc. Ship building is carried on extensively.

MEXICO, 20 years, \$85. Pop., 13,500,000. Area 760,000 sq. miles. Industries—Agriculture, maize, wheat, barley, Chili pepper, cotton, coffee, sugar, tea, tobacco, vanilla, hemp, flax, grapes and all tropical fruits: mahogany, ebony, caoutchouc, etc. Mining, gold, copper, lead, silver, quicksilver, iron, coal, etc. Manufacture of woolen and cotton goods, etc.

NEW ZEALAND, 14 years, \$75. Pop., 900,000. Area, 105,000 sq. miles. Industries—Agriculture, flax, butter, cheese, tallow, gum, timber, sheep, wool, twine, kauri pin, rosin, etc. Mining, coal mining is an important industry, also the mining of gold, iron and copper.

NORWAY, 15 years, \$70. Pop., 2,400,000. Area, 124,000 sq. miles. Industries—Timber dressing, mechanical engineering, etc. manufactures, shipbuilding, pulp making, match making, dairy products, skins, furs, etc.; also herring and cod fisheries and fish-oil form very important industries.

PANAMA, 6 to 15 years, \$170 to \$350. Pop., 450,000. Area, 32,000 sq. miles. Industries—Agriculture and mining. Gold, shells, mother of pearl, shells, cabinet woods, bananas and medicinal plants. Note.—The Panama Canal Zone is covered by the United States patent.

PERU, 15 years, \$80. Pop., 4,000,000. Area, 500,000 sq. miles. Industries—Mining, silver, gold, copper, quicksilver, coal. Agriculture, cocoa, coffee, sugar, cocaine, guano, llama and alpaca wool, etc. The large petroleum beds and rubber forests provide two very important industries.

PORTUGAL, 15 years, \$80. Pop., including the Azores and Madeira, 5,500,000. Area, 35,500 sq. miles. Industries—Agriculture, grains, textile plants, vines, fruits and nuts. Mining, lead, copper, tin, antimony, coal, manganese, iron, bay salt, etc.; chief manufactures, gloves, silk, woolen, linen and cotton fabrics, metal and earthenware goods, tobacco, cigars, etc. Exportation of mine products is an important industry. There are notable oil fields.

ROUMANIA, 15 years, \$135. Pop., 6,700,000. Area, 50,000 sq. miles. Industries—Agriculture and mining. Agriculture, maize, millet, barley, rye, beans, peas, vine growing, fruits of all sorts, etc. Cattle and sheep raising form an important industry. There are also great forests which furnish woods of all kinds. The mining of rock salt forms an important industry.

RUSSIA, 15 years, \$150. Pop., 157,000,000. Area, 8,235,000 sq. miles. Industries—Agriculture, timber, all grains in great quantity, potatoes, hemp, flax, tobacco, etc. Mining extensively of all sorts, including gold, platinum, copper, iron, rock salt, kaolin, marble, lead, etc. The largest output of petroleum in the world comes from Russia, while both steam and anthracite coal exist in inexhaustible quantities. Manufacturing of all sorts is carried on extensively.

SANTO DOMINGO, 5 to 15 years, \$200 to \$250. Pop., 600,000. Area, 1,800 sq. miles. Industries—Agriculture, cocoa, sugar, coffee, tobacco, mahogany and other woods, wax, honey, logwood, fustic, turtle, sheep hides, bananas, divi-divi, etc. Gold mining is carried on also.

SPAIN, 20 years, \$75. Pop., 20,000,000. Area, 196,000 sq. miles. Industries—Great copper, lead and iron mines besides other minerals. Agriculture, vine growing, olives, oranges, lemons, pomegranates, dates, wheat, barley, maize, etc. Manufactures are developing.

SWEDEN, 15 years, \$75. Pop., 5,476,000. Area, 173,000 sq. miles. Industries—The match industry in this country is

BOOKS AND SERIES

... the world. Agriculture, woods of all
... pine, birch and fir, products of the
... oats, barley, potatoes, dry products, etc.
... iron and steel of a very high grade,
... lead, mercury, zinc, cobalt, alum, sulphur,
... and other minerals.
... 1,000,000. ... 72,000 sq.
... wool, hides, horn, hair,
... barley, maize, etc. Sheep and
... industry and consumes the
... Gold mining to some extent.
... \$175 to \$300. Population 100,000.
... Industries: Agriculture, coffee,
... Mining, general other

The ... considered the most important countries
... they are the ones generally selected by
... Information as to any others will be supplied fully
... may arise. The Central and South American
... so important at present as European countries
... cost is generally more, but it is quite low that
... and general conditions in ... and
... American countries may be stimulated ...
... Panama Canal to such an extent that ... on a
... convention therein would be of great

WARNING

Too much care, skill and faithful attention to a client's
interests cannot be given in the preparation of the specifica-
tion and claims of a patent application and in its prosecution
before the Patent Office. In mechanical, composition and
process patents many very valuable inventions, on which fortunes
depend, are involved, and any lack of care or skill, or any care-
lessness for the interests of the client in preparing the specification
and drawing the claims and in subsequent prosecution before
the Patent Office, will result either in a worthless patent or in
a patent the claims of which are so restricted as to be of no
value. The engraving with the ribbon and seal may be artistic,
but otherwise it has no value.

You may be tempted to employ some attorney who offers, as his main recommendation, that he will take the case for "serv's all fees," or that he will handle it on the "no patent, no pay" or "patent obtained, or fee returned" basis. While this may at first appear as an economy, it is generally a very great extravagance, for most attorneys of this class know little about patent matters and leave the actual work of preparing and prosecution to their employees, often boys just out of school and novices, while they devote their energies to raking in more clients whom they proceed to treat in the same manner. Thus, a valuable invention is often lost to the inventor by reason of the patent being void or the claims being so narrow that they are of no value, these contingencies being due to the fact that the person who actually prepared and prosecuted the case knew little or next to nothing about patent matters. Also, in most such offices the employees ARE REQUIRED TO TURN OUT A CERTAIN NUMBER OF CASES OR AMENDMENTS A DAY; this is *their* MAIN OBJECT, FOR ON IT DEPENDS THEIR POSITION AND SALARY. THIS MEANS HURRIED AND FAULTY WORK, LEADING TO WEAK OR VOID PATENTS.

We make no such offers, give no "certificate of patentability," nor do we give a free search. Our time is valuable and we charge for all time employed and services rendered. We charge \$5 for our preliminary examinations or searches and give you our candid opinion as to patentability, with copies of the two or three closest references found. On receipt of this you are to determine as to further procedure. If we think it patentable we will say so; if not, we will be equally frank and advise you against applying.

We need no cover and seek none, but look after your interests to the best of our ability and expect to get paid for our services and time so expended.

The best plan for an inventor or others interested in patent matters is to employ a reasonably good attorney and to make sure that he will be prompt, careful and efficient. This is the only safe way in

OUR QUALIFICATIONS AND POLICY

This office was established in 1877 by the senior member of the present firm.

The senior member, WM. H. BARCOCK, who graduated in 1869 from the Law Department of Columbia University, now George Washington, one of the leading law schools of the United States, with the degree of Bachelor of Laws, has long been a member of the bar of the Supreme Court of the United States, the Court of Appeals of the District of Columbia and other tribunals, State and Federal, in various parts of the country. From the beginning of 1873 to the summer of 1877 he served as an Examiner in the Patent Office, and he has spent the remaining 39 years within a few feet of it and in practice before it as well as before the various patent offices of the world, and in Federal Courts in patent and analogous causes, in which latter class of work he has had much invaluable experience, having been lately retained in a string of infringement causes in Texas, involving the oil well, screen and pump, etc., industry. He has never interrupted such work by more than a few days' rest or absence, and during so long a period has necessarily prepared and prosecuted numerous applications in all the various arts.

During so long a practice (forty-three years continuous) he has prepared and prosecuted a great many patent applications on which very valuable patents have been granted and has prosecuted a great many infringement suits. He has, of course, made a great many infringement and validity investigations and had a very extensive and complete experience in every branch of patent and trade-mark law, in Canada, the United States, and for all foreign countries.

Because of his ripe experience and expert knowledge gained by his forty-three years' steady work and study in patent matters he is eminently qualified to represent inventors before the Patent Offices of the United States and Canada and in foreign countries, through associates of the highest standing, and to represent the interests of inventors, corporations, manufacturers and others in patent suits.

W. S. BARCOCK, the second member, completed a thorough course in law in the George Washington University in 1907. In addition, he had a course of three years' thorough training

in the office of Robert Haines, a former United States Patent Office Examiner, who was a widely known expert in all lines of textile machinery. Robert Haines was also a registered patent attorney and a lawyer. Prior to starting his law course, in 1904, W. S. BABCOCK had had considerable experience in patent work for a number of years.

He is a registered patent attorney and a lawyer. He is a member of the bar of the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia, Washington, D.C., and of the Court of Appeals of the same city. He has made a good many thousands of searches to determine the patentability of various inventions, and has made a large number of validity and infringement investigations. He has taken part in a considerable number of interference and arbitration cases, and has acted as expert and counsellor in a number of patent suits. He has prepared and prosecuted a good many thousands of patent and trade-mark applications for Canada, the United States, and all important countries. He has prepared and prosecuted a number of appeals and petitions within the Canadian and United States Patent Office.

Briefly:—His experience of over seventeen years has covered every line of patent, trade-mark, and similar work on a pretty large scale both in Canada and the United States, and the experience thus gained gives him exceptional advantages in serving our clients in getting the proper kind of patent protection for their inventions, wherever they wish them protected.

The junior member, RICHARD E. BABCOCK, who graduated from the Law Department of the George Washington University in 1911 with the degrees of Bachelor of Laws and Master of Patent Law, has been steadily associated with this office since 1906. He is a member of the bar of the Court of Appeals of the District of Columbia, and of other tribunals, and a registered patent attorney and lawyer. He has also taken a special course dealing with the internal-combustion engine, especially as adapted to automobiles, the construction of automobiles, and dealing with other associated mechanical arts. During his ten years' experience in this office he has made thousands of searches to determine as to the novelty and probable patentability of inventions, has made a number of validity and infringement searches and has assisted the senior member in a number of others, and other special investigations. He has

prepared and prosecuted a great number of applications distributed through the various arts and has assisted in court suits.

By reason of his long experience, special training and expert knowledge obtained by study and work in this specialty, he is well qualified to represent inventors before the United States and Canadian Patent Offices and before the Patent Offices of foreign countries through local associates of the highest standing, and in cases before the courts.

We use the utmost care in selecting our draftsmen and clerical force, employing only those whom we know to be well qualified for the work to be performed, without seeking to employ those who will work for the least without regard to the quality of work. We make a point of quality, not quantity, and in this, as in everything else, we give our clients only the best to be had.

All matters have our personal attention, all cases are prepared and prosecuted, and all searches made by one of the members of the firm personally.

It would be an easy matter to cancel out all claims in an application that were objected to in the first official action, accepting only the ones that were allowed, and thus establish a reputation for promptness. But this means a disregard of the client's interests by usually giving up all protection that would be of any value to him, and such a reputation is undesired by us.

The only way that anyone can expedite a case and still protect a client's interest faithfully is to respond promptly to all Office actions, amending as the case demands, and pointing out clearly and compellingly in an argument, which should be appended to each amendment, the points of distinction between the elements of the claims and the elements of the references relied on by the Examiner, and this is the course that we follow. Fighting for all the applicant is entitled to and sticking until we get it, even though the inventor, who generally knows very little about the value and office of a claim, may urge us strenuously to hurry the grant of the patent.

OUR CLIENTS STAY WITH US

We are rendering services now to many clients to whom this office rendered services in 1877 to 1890, and they have been highly satisfied with our services. Many of them are manufacturers, and by reason of their long acquaintance with patent matters have come to a realization of the importance of the claims and the necessity for care, skill and faithfulness to a client's interests in the preparation and prosecution of patent applications.

Also, we have been receiving for over thirty years all the United States and Canadian patent and trade-mark applications from a number of the leading patent attorneys or agents and firms in Europe.

These facts in themselves are high testimonials to the value placed on our services by our clients and associates.

On the following pages will be found a number of voluntary unsolicited letters containing very gratifying remarks about our qualifications and services from eminent legislators and from clients all over the United States and embracing lawyers, manufacturers and others. Other references will be cheerfully furnished you if desired.

In conclusion we would say that—

We will not handle cases on a contingent arrangement, but expect in all cases to get paid, usually in advance, for all services rendered.

We refuse to accept patent cases on any other basis than that of giving our client the benefit of our best efforts at a fair charge, for the same reason that a good lawyer in your locality would refuse to accept court cases on any other basis.

Letters of
Appreciation and Approval

Written during Recent Years.

**We present at the beginning a few letters from
legislators of long experience and
National Eminence**

O
UNITED STATES SENATE.

January 26, 1914.

HON. W. H. BARCOCK,
Washington, D.C.

Dear Sir: Allow me to congratulate you on your book, compiling the patent laws and patent regulations, with much valuable information and suggestions added to the same. Your long experience as a patent lawyer has qualified you in a high degree for the preparation of this compilation, and I am sure your book will be found a most valuable and suggestive aid to those who desire to secure patents. You deserve great credit for undertaking this work and issuing this publication.

Yours truly,
KNUTE NELSON.

— 0 —

Miles Poindexter, Wash., CHAIRMAN; William S. Kenyon, Iowa;
George W. Norris, Nebr.; Harry Lane, Oreg.
UNITED STATES SENATE,
Committee on Expenditures in the War Department,

January 24, 1914.

MR. WILLIAM H. BARCOCK,
33 Le Droit Bldg., 802 F St.,
Washington, D.C.

Dear Sir:—I take this means of congratulating you on your useful compilation and exposition of the patent laws of the United States. You and your firm have had long experience in patent law practice, and the successful and faithful services you have rendered to many clients in that branch of the profession will make your work a standard authority.

Very truly yours,
MILES POINDEXTER.

— 0 —

Sixty-Third Congress.

JOHN H. STEPHENS, Tex., Chairman; Chas. D. Carter, Okla.; James M. Gudger, Jr., N. C.; Thomas F. Konop, Wis.; J. D. Post, Ohio; Carl Hayden, Ariz.; Lewis L. Morgan, La.; Dorsey W. Shackelford, Mo.; Robt. P. Hill, Ill.; Allan B. Walsh, N. J.; John R. Clancy, N. Y.; John M. Evans, Mont.; Wm. H. Murray, Okla.; Denver S. Church, Cal.; Charles H. Burke, S. Dak.; Philip P. Campbell, Kans.; Bird S. McGuire, Okla.; Clarence B. Miller, Minn.; Charles M. Hamilton, N. Y.; Patrick D. Norton, N. Dak.; Arthur R. Ruplev, Pa.; James Wickersham, Alaska; James V. Townsend, Clerk; Paul H. Humphrey, Asst. Clerk.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
COMMITTEE ON INDIAN AFFAIRS,
WASHINGTON.

February thirteenth, Nineteen Fourteen.

My dear Sir:—It gives me pleasure to state that upon investigation I find your firm to have a reputation for careful, painstaking and conscientious work and that you are commended by men of high position to all those seeking the services of patent attorneys.

Very truly yours,
C. D. CARTER.

MR. WM. H. BARCOCK,
LeDroit Building,
Washington, D.C.

LAWYERS

This does not include any of the commendatory letters that we receive from any of the large number of our associates specializing in patent law—for obvious reasons. There are two or three patent attorneys we represented and who have retired or died, and letters from whom are included. As to Mr. Low we would say that this is not the first instance of an attorney, on his disability or death, directing his practice to this office, as far as he could control the matter. Also letters from Judge C. H. HANFORD and Hon. H. BYRD CASSEL, Ex-Member of Congress, are included under this heading. Judge HANFORD invented an important and rather complex machine and obtained a patent on it through our establishment. Mr. CASSEL was an officer of a corporation which we represented as counsel in an infringement suit. We do not include any letters from foreign correspondents, as this would take up a great amount of space to no purpose.

CHAMBERS OF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE,
DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON,
CORNER FOURTH AVENUE AND MARION STREET.

Seattle, Washington, Nov. 23, 1903.

Wm. H. BARCOCK,
Patent Lawyer,
Washington, D.C.

Dear Sir:—By your last communication I am encouraged to hope that my patent will be issued very soon, and that the claims allowed will be valuable and amply sufficient to protect my invention.

I have parties waiting to negotiate for the purchase of my right, and it will be very much to my advantage if the patent can be obtained within the next ten days.

I inclose herewith a postal money order for the amount called for in your letter. This has been delayed a few days on account of my being absent in the eastern part of the State when your communication was received.

I feel obligated to you for prompt and faithful attention to my interests.

Very truly yours,

C. H. HANFORD

Fifty-Seventh Congress.

GEORGE W. SMITH, Ill., Chairman; James E. Watson, Ind.; H. Burd Cassel, Pa.; Alvin Evans, Pa.; C. Q. Hildebrandt, Ohio; T. F. Marshall, N. Dak.; George Sutherland, Utah; Francis W. Cushman, Wash.; William A. Jones, Va.; Philip D. McCulloch, Ark.; George G. Gilbert, Ky.; Ashbury F. Lever, S.C.; Dudley G. Wooten, Tex.; R. W. Wilcox, Hawaii, Delegate; John D. Morgan, Clerk.

COMMITTEE ON PRIVATE LAND CLAIMS, HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, U. S.
Washington, D.C., January 18, 1902.

MR. WILLIAM H. BABCOCK,
Washington, D.C.

My dear Mr. Babcock:—Your letter of January 15th addressed to me at Marietta was forwarded to me here for reply. I understand that Mr. Kulp will have some applications for patents, and he tried to see you last week when he was in Washington, but you were not in your office when he was there. Any work that Mr. Kulp may offer you to do will be paid for promptly.

I note what you say in reference to the balance due you from the old company, and I will make it my business to see that the money is paid to you as soon as possible and get all that I can for you. I appreciate your position in the matter and will be only too glad to secure for you what is possible.

Very truly yours,

H. BURD CASSEL.

F. M. THOMPSON, ATTORNEY-AT-LAW,
120 Randolph Street,
Chicago, July 27, 1900.

WM. H. BABCOCK,
Washington, D.C.

Dear Sir:—Find ck. herewith fee in Faust & Ichberg.

I am pleased with your work, and will remember you whenever I need a Washington man.

Yours,
F. M. THOMPSON.

147 East Second Street,
Oswego, N.Y., February 24, 1899.

MRS. H. V. HECKMAN,
Albany, N.Y.

Dear Madam:—Your two favours Jan. 21 and Feby. 21—came to hand in due time, the first found me in bed in a doctor's hands, suffering from an attack of gripe from which I have not yet recovered, and I fear that I never shall. In regard to your application for patent I would say that my health is so very precarious that it is uncertain whether I shall ever be able to attend to business again. You ask me to refer you to some one who can attend to this case for you, and I would say that in the course of my business I have occasionally employed W. H. BABCOCK, of Washington, a former Examiner in the Patent Office, to attend to some of my work, he is a competent attorney and very reliable.

Very respectfully yours,

WM. H. LOW.

E. W. ABBOTT, REAL ESTATE AND FIRE INSURANCE,
10 Whitney Opera House Block,
Detroit, Mich., June 11, 1900.

W. H. BABCOCK,
Washington, D.C.

Dear Sir:—Enclosed find check to pay for new drawing in case of Sarah J. Colman for Detachable Clamp or Name Plate for Belts or Animal Collars. I am well pleased with the manner in which you have conducted this matter, and shall not forget you if I have any occasion for an Associate Attorney in the future, which undoubtedly I shall have.

Yours truly,

E. W. ABBOTT.

JAMES L. JENKS, ATTORNEY-AT-LAW,
Pacific Bank Building, 255 Main Street.
Pawtucket, R.I., March 24, 1902.

WILLIAM H. BARCOCK,
Washington, D.C.

Dear Sir:—Yours of the 22nd received. I think you have done all that could be done to get an allowance in the Bain case. I was afraid of it from the start. Have written to my man, but imagine that he will probably drop it. Better luck next time.

Very truly yours,
JAMES L. JENKS.

— 0 —

WALLACE G. McCAULEY, ATTORNEY-AT-LAW,
No. 120 North Third Street.
Lafayette, Ind., April 2d, 1909.

WM. H. BARCOCK, *Att.*,
Washington, D.C.

Dear Sir:—I am pleased to acknowledge your favor and copy of the answering amendment and argument of the delivery basket.

I am intending leaving here before the summer is over, and at that time I will be pleased to turn over to you whatever unfinished work I have in the patent line. It is probable too that I will need your assistance on the Newman patent. Mr. Crum has some more ideas in reserve, and will take them up as soon as the present patent is put through.

Yours truly,
W. G. McCAULEY.

— 0 —

FRANK E. BROWN, ATTORNEY,
Waterville, Maine, Sept. 25, 1908.

W. H. BARCOCK, ESQ.,
Washington, D.C.

My dear Sir:—I am writing you in the interest of a client of mine who has an adjustable hoe, which he wishes to patent, if he can.

You and my father, the late S. S. Brown, have done business together for some years, and I take the liberty to continue where he left off.

Possibly you may not have heard of his death. It occurred on the fifth of this month. He went home to dinner in the best of spirits and at about twelve o'clock was taken with a shock from which he died at ten o'clock that night.

Now for my client's business.

He has an adjustable hoe or pick. I am sending you a blue print of it, and it is so simple I think you can have no trouble to understand it fully.

When it is one degree of slant it is a regular hoe, in another it can be used as a pick to pick the ice from the sidewalk and as a scraper to scrape the ice from the sidewalk. It can also be used to trim the edge of a lawn.

Will you kindly look the blue print over and ascertain if there is anything in the way of patenting it and let me know, and we will pay you for the trouble and through you obtain the patent if one can be obtained.

Very truly yours,
FRANK E. BROWN.

— 0 —

LAW OFFICES OF JAMES M. SWIFT, GEORGE GRIME, JOHN T. SWIFT,
Citizens Savings Bank Building,
Cor. Main and Bedford Sts.

Fall River, Mass., April 13, 1912.

MESSRS. WILLIAM H. BARCOCK & SON,
Washington, D.C.

Dear Sirs:—Your valued communication of the 12th inst. enclosing official notice from the Patent Office that application No. 681,950, of Albert A. Smith, of this city, has been allowed, has been received. I desire to compliment you upon the speedy culmination of this application so successfully, as your

efforts in this instance are much appreciated by me and will be by my clients.

My people are considering Canadian and British patents, but have thus far only discussed the matter, so that I cannot now say whether or not they will decide to make application for these foreign patents. If they do I will let you know promptly so that you can handle the matter for us, but I would suggest that you do not trouble yourself about the matter until you hear from me definitely.

Yours very truly,

JOHN T. SWIFT.

CARLTON, TOWNES & TOWNES, LAWYERS,
Houston and Beaumont, Texas.
Beaumont, Texas, March 26, 1912.

WM. H. BARCOCK & SON,
Washington, D.C.

Gentlemen:—We take pleasure in acknowledging receipt of your favor of 16th inst., in which you enclose official notice from the Commissioner of Patents to the effect that the application of Andrew J. Henderson for a patent for an improvement in standing valve has been allowed, and we have notified Mr. Henderson to that effect.

The official notice from the Commissioner of Patents requires that final fee of \$20.00 be sent within six months, and with such fee the name of the inventor, title of invention, date of allowance, etc., and would ask that you please advise as to whether there is any particular form in which this information should be given, and if so please send us the proper blank. As soon as we hear from you we will enclose the necessary fee together with that referred to in your last letter.

Mr. Henderson asks that we thank you for your most excellent services, in which expression we join.

Yours truly,

CARLTON, TOWNES & TOWNES.

GEO. P. WILLIS, LAWYER,
El Campo, Texas, March 17th, 1912.

WM. H. BARCOCK, ESQ.,
Washington, D.C.

My Dear Mr. Barcock:—I received your very exhaustive letter about the unpolished rice and I thank you for your letter and the information therein contained; I had told Hancock, the interested party, just about what you would say, but my opinion was guess work and yours is conclusive.

I have had Hancock express to you, prepaid, ten pounds of unpolished rice, and I herewith enclose you express receipt. This rice is not as tempting to the palate in appearance as the white and polished goods, but it is rice and nothing else. While the finished article contains glucose, talcum, etc.

If you can conveniently find a couple of the John Hancock postcards, please send them to me. I may be able to do something with this matter along the lines that you suggested. Again thanking you for your letter, I am,

Very sincerely yours,

WILLIS.

HEAD, SMITH, HARE & HEAD, ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW,
STURTEVANT, TEXAS, March 17, 1913.

MR. WILLIAM H. BARCOCK,
Attorney-at-Law,
Washington, D.C.

Dear Sir:—We have a client here, Mr. C. F. Smith, who has invented a railroad switch that cannot be split in cars running over it, which he desires to patent. He has a model of the switch, which will weigh twenty-five or thirty pounds. We wish you would write us a letter of instructions as to what

to do in the premises in preparing the matter to send it to you for investigation and attention, and desire that you write us fully as to what expense there will be incident to procuring this patent, what fees will have to be paid, and when, to the Department, and what your compensation will be, and how it is to be paid. A full letter at once will be greatly appreciated.

Very truly yours,

HEAD, SMITH, HARE, HANEY & HEAD.

-----0

HUNT, MYER & TEAGLE, LAWYERS,
Commercial Bank Building,
Houston, Texas, 5 19 11.

HON. WM. H. BABCOCK,
Washington, D C.

Dear Sir:—A client of mine is desirous of having a trade-mark registered for a particular brand of butter which is gotten out by his Company, if it is not too expensive. We think he will want this matter attended to, and, of course, we will be glad to have you co-operate with us. Could you advise me the approximate cost of this, including your fee.

I shall await your prompt reply to this letter, and will appreciate your giving the matter early attention.

Mr. E. W. Townes suggested your name to me, and asked that he be remembered to you.

Yours very truly,

SEWALL MYER.

-----0-----

HUNT, MYER & TOWNES, LAWYERS,
Houston, Texas, March 14th, 1907.

WM. H. BABCOCK, ESQ.,
Washington, D C.

Dear Sir:—We are in receipt of your letter of the 11th inst. and in reply beg to say that we did not take the matter up further with you for the reason that the controversy involved in the suit pending at that time was adjusted by the parties. There are, however, other suits with reference to the same patents in contemplation at this time, and should they eventually be filed, we will be pleased to take the matter up with you further.

Very truly yours,

HUNT, MYER & TOWNES.
Per TOWNES.

MANUFACTURERS

From these letters it will be seen that from the beginning our clients have all been satisfied and pleased with our services and have recommended us to others, whose letters will be found herein, and that the latter have recommended us to still others, forming a regular steadily increasing chain of clients, well satisfied with our service.

J. BOEKEL WM. BOEKEL & CO.,
Manufacturers of Metal Goods, Plumbers' Goods, Surgical Goods,
Chemical and Bacteriological Apparatus,
518 Vine Street,

Philadelphia, July 28, 1911

WILLIAM H. BARCOCK & SON,
Washington, D.C.

Gentlemen:—We received your letter of July 27th as well as notice from the Patent Office covering the application of the Centrifugal Devices, and enclosed you will find our check for \$20.00, the final payment for this matter.

We thank you for your efforts and are pleased at your success.

Remaining,

Respectfully yours,

WM. BOEKEL & CO.,

SILVER.

WM. BOEKEL
Metal Spinners and
Surgical Goods

BOEKEL & CO., J. BOEKEL.
Manufacturers of Metal Goods, Plumbers' Goods,
Chemical and Bacteriological Apparatus,
518 Vine Street,

Philadelphia, January 18th, 1901

MR. WILLIAM H. BARCOCK,
Washington, D.C.

Dear Sir:—We are in receipt of your favor of the 17th inst., and thank you for what you have done and intend to do is all right. It just seems as if we can make the claim for a Spray Tube made in three parts and not in one. Statement that the two lower parts can be made in one.

Trusting to hear good news soon, we remain,

Respectfully yours,

WM. BOEKEL & CO.

THE RECORD MANUFACTURING CO.
Manufacturers of George J. Record's Patents,
Records, Folding Portiere Doors, Folding Portiere Screens, Folding
Portiere Office Partitions, Folding Portiere Restaurant Stall
Partitions, Folding Portiere Show Windows
Racks, Etc., etc.

Conneaut, Ashtabula County, Ohio, Nov. 13, 1905.

MR. WM. H. BARCOCK,
Washington, D.C.

Dear Sir:—We are in receipt of Office's notice of allowance of our application for patent on "Curtain Roll," which I wish to acknowledge to you in obtaining. I enclosed find my check on Mutual Sav. Asso. for \$25, as you suggest, to pay for government fee and \$5 extra for service extra.

Yours truly,

GEO. J. RECORD.

Watch the Red Hoops.

THE CONNEAUT CAN COMPANY.

Conneaut, Ohio, June 5 02.

WM. H. BARCOCK,

Patent Attorney,

Washington, D.C.

Dear Sir:—We have a little work which we desire to have done by some one familiar with the lay of the land, and wonder if you are in a position to give us your attention. You will perhaps remember the writer when you recall your trip to Conneaut for the Record Mfg. Co., when you made specifications for the stamping machine; the writer was engaged as stock and cost clerk. We have since left the old ship, and organized for ourselves, and are trying to build a trade outside of the large concern. We have some ideas which we wish to present to you, and if you are at liberty to give us your services, we will take them rather up further with you.

Yours truly,

THE CONNEAUT CAN CO.,

PER H. A. TRUESDALE,

President and General Manager.

— 0 —

H. A. TRUESDALE, *President.*

A. H. CURTISS, *Sec'y and Treas.*

THE CONNEAUT CAN COMPANY,

Incorporated 1901.

Watch the Red Hoops.

Offices in the Principal Cities.

Conneaut, Ohio, November 7, 1913.

WILLIAM H. BARCOCK & SON,

Rooms 33-34, LeDroit Bldg.,

Washington, D.C.

Gentlemen:—We have your letter of the 5th, together with patent papers No. 1,077,677, for which we thank you, and we will be pleased to employ your services when we are again in need of them.

With best wishes, we are your fr.

CONNEAUT CAN COMPANY.

— 0 —

THE CONNEAUT SHOVEL COMPANY,

Shovel Specialists.

The Ronberg Shovel, Mikkola Patent Ore Shovels.

Conneaut, Ohio, 10, 28 10.

W. H. BARCOCK,

Washington, D.C.

Dear Sir:—We have a small improvement for closing up ferrules on the back of shovels that we wish to have patented. The Conneaut Can people tell us that you handled some patents for them that were very satisfactory. We would like to have you quote us prices for making search and getting the patent, providing this die is patentable. We want you drawing and photographs of the die, and full descriptions, etc.

Your friends,

THE CONNEAUT SHOVEL CO.,

PER G. W. BENTON,

Sec'y and Treas.

— 0 —

THE CONNEAUT SHOVEL CO.,

Shovel Specialists.

Conneaut, O., 11, 3 13.

WM. H. BARCOCK & SON,

Washington, D.C.

Gentlemen:—We have yours of the 31st and copies of letters. We believe you have handled this in the best possible way.

We are, your friends,

THE CONNEAUT SHOVEL CO.,

PER G. W. BENTON,

Sec'y and Treas.

ELEVATOR BARGE COMPANY,
E. P. Wilber Trust Co., 18 Broadway, New York.
Rye, Westchester County, N.Y., April 8, 1912.

MESSRS. W. H. BARCOCK & SON,
Washington, D.C.

Gentlemen:—I have yours of the 5th Oct. last, as also of the 1st Feby. last, and for both of which I thank you.

Many years have passed since I first commenced to do business with your senior, and I am pleased to see by your letter that he seems to be the same active man that I have always found him, and that his handwriting does not seem to vary one particle.

In reply to yours of the 1st Feby. will say that I am still inventing in the transportation of coal.

Hoping that you are enjoying good health.

I am, yours very truly,

C. STEWART SCHENCK.

—0—

SOUTH TEXAS GRAIN CO.,
Manufacturers of Stock Feeds.
Houston, Texas, March 10, 1913.

MESSRS. WM. H. BARCOCK & SON,
Rooms 33-31 LeDroit Bldg.,
802-810 F Street, N.W.,
Washington, D.C.

Gentlemen:—We are in receipt of your valued favor of the 6th inst. with enclosure of check for \$30.00, for which we thank you. When we have any further business in your line we will be glad to give same to you.

Yours very truly,

SOUTH TEXAS GRAIN COMPANY,

J. V. NEUBAUS,
Vice President.

—0—

W. H. BARCOCK,
Patent Attorney,
Washington, D.C.

AMERICAN SHOVEL AND STAMPING CO.,
Lorain, Ohio, May 11, 1904

Dear Sir:—You will recall having made investigation for us and our attorney, Geo. B. Martz, upon several occasions in regard to Pressed Steel Seats. We are about to make application for patent on a new idea in regard to same, and will thank you to advise us as to your charge for preparing the application and procuring the patent. If same is satisfactory we would be disposed to send you chimney which would give you the ideas which we wish covered, and would you be in shape to give the matter immediate attention and prosecute it vigorously to the end that we would get the patent at the earliest possible date?

Very truly yours,

AMERICAN SHOVEL & STAMPING CO.,

R. T. J. MARTIN,
Vice Pres. and Gen. Mgr.

—0—

"Parlor Bowling Alley," "Parlor Bagatelle," "Columbia Base Ball,"
"Is Marriage a Failure?" "7 up" or "Help Your Neighbor"
Pat. July 27th, 1897. Send for our Illuminated Circular.
(ESTABLISHED 1870)

M. REDGRAVE,

Manufacturer of Patented Parlor Games,

Factory, 16 Willow Court, 568 Pavonia Avenue,

Telephone 3293

Jersey City, N. J., April 25, 1905

Mrs. Wm. H. Barcock,

Washington, D.C.

Dear Sir:—Your kind favor received and assure you that your efforts are greatly appreciated and I feel confident that you will not cease your efforts until you have secured the patent for me. With best wishes to yourself and family, I am,

Yours truly

M. REDGRAVE.

EVANGELINE IRON WORKS, LIMITED,
Machine Shop and Blacksmith Work of All Kinds. Pipe Threading
and Oil Well Supplies,
Evangeline, La.—Vinton, La.

Vinton, La., Dec. 5th, 1911.

MESSRS. W. H. BABCOCK & SON,
Washington, D.C.

Gentlemen:—We beg to acknowledge receipt of the Patent Papers on the
Savoie Blow Out Preventer which arrived in the mail yesterday.

Should we ever have anything further in this line we shall be glad to have
you handle it for us.

Yours respectfully,

EVANGELINE IRON WORKS, LTD.,
J. E. REANEY.

— 0 —

NEIDICH PROCESS COMPANY,
Manufacturers of Duplicating Machines, Typewriter Ribbons, Carbon
Papers.

Factories and General Offices Burlington, N. J.

Burlington, January 25, 1911.

MR. WM. H. BABCOCK,
Washington, D.C.

Dear Sir:—We have your valued favor of the 24th inst. and enclose here-
with check for your invoice in the matter. The information you have given
us is exactly what we wanted, and we thank you for your promptness in
attending to same.

We are, yours very truly,

NEIDICH PROCESS COMPANY,
S. A. NEIDICH, President.

— 0 —

MARSHALL FURNACE CO.,
Hoheine Furnaces for All Kinds of Fuel.
Marshall, Mich., Aug. 7 '09.

MR. WM. H. BABCOCK,
Washington, D.C.

Dear Sir:—I have a little device on which I am thinking of taking out a
patent.

Mr. J. C. Wells of this city states he has had considerable work done by
you and same has been satisfactory.

Wish you would write me giving me a little information as to what steps
it would be necessary for me to take in order to place the matter before you
in such shape that you may take such steps as are necessary to secure this
patent.

Yours, very truly,

A. E. BACON

— 0 —

THE CHARLES BOLDT GLASS COMPANY,
Manufacturers of Bottles,
Factories: Muncie, Ind., and Cincinnati, O.

Cincinnati, O., August 24, 1914.

MR. W. H. BABCOCK,
Washington, D.C.

Dear Sir:—We have your favor of the 20th inst., and are returning the
petition signed by the Company. You have made a note "assignee sign,"
and we presumed our signature was correct, although the petition specifies
"inventor's full name."

We have a customer who wants to patent a bottle, but this bottle has been
on the market for two years or more and we doubt that anything can be done.
If it can, however, we would be glad to have you advise us.

Yours truly,

THE CHARLES BOLDT GLASS COMPANY,
FR. O. W. SCHWENCK, Sec'y.

OFFICE OF THE EL CAMPO MACHINE CO.,
*Manufacturers of the Gray Pump and Steel Pit with Well Appliances
 for Irrigation Purposes.*

El Campo, Texas, Feb. 21 '10.

MR. WM. H. BARCOCK,
 Washington, D.C.

Dear Sir:—Your kind favor of the 17th inst. duly received. Thank you for the promptness in giving the information requested. Orders are waiting on us for the pumps. Had we the capacity, no telling how many we could sell.

Again thanking you for the information, we are, yours truly,

EL CAMPO MACHINE CO.,
 C. EVANS, *Secretary.*

— 0 —

J. H. RUSHTON
Canoes, Pleasure and Hunting Boats.
 Canton, N.Y., Aug. 26, 1905.

WM. H. BARCOCK, ESQ.,
 Washington, D.C.

Dear Sir:—Your letter and inclosure in re trade-mark "Indian Girl" is received. Thanks. In regard to registration in Canada, at present I would say: No, not trade enough to pay. However, will let it rest.

Yours truly,

J. H. RUSHTON.

— 0 —

THE BOSTON BOOKHOLDER COMPANY,
 Telephone 3760 Main 311 Atlantic Avenue,
 Boston, Massachusetts.

W. H. BARCOCK.

Dear Sir:—Clark Reynolds has perfected certain useful inventions and improvements on printing presses and wishes to retain you to look after his interest in the Patent Office. Kindly communicate with him in regard to amount of cost in fees, etc., amount to be sent with first application.

Trusting you are well, and being pleased to renew my acquaintance with you, I remain, yours,

GEO. E. DREW.

Address CLARK REYNOLDS
 318 Columbus Ave. Boston, Mass.

0

W. M. HARRISON, *President*

N. C. HARRISON, *Treasurer.*

HARRISON WATERPROOF MATERIALS CO., INC.,
Manufacturers—Contractors,

Hudson Terminal, 30 Church St.

Telephone, Cortlandt 3121.
 New York, July 3rd, 1911.

WM. H. BARCOCK & SON,
 Washington, D.C.

Gentlemen:—Noting your esteemed letter of the 1st inst., we have decided that the subject of our proposed patent is not of sufficient importance to undertake the risk naturally shown by your examination. Glad indeed to send you anything that may come up that may mutually interest us.

Very truly yours,

HARRISON WATERPROOF MATERIALS CO.,

W. M. HARRISON, *President.*

ALABAMA CONSOLIDATED COAL & IRON CO.,
Manufacturers of Pig Iron and Coke.

Brookwood, Ala., November 19th, 1903.

MR. W. H. BARCOCK,

Atty. at Law and Sol. of U.S. and Foreign Patents,
Washington, D.C.

Dear Sir:—Mr. Byrne, of Birmingham, Ala., has directed me to you. I wish to make application for letters patent of the U.S. My invention is "Ore and Coal Washer." The drawings are ready in a day or two.

I wish to apply for patent at once in order to protect the invention, because the washer will go into commission as soon as my drawings are ready for manufacturing. Please give me all the necessary instructions. Inform me of the fees and the total cost. I may have to apply for foreign patents.

Yours very truly,

L. A. O. GABANY, *Chief Engineer*,
Brookwood, Ala.

—0—

*Represented in the principal Cities of the U.S., Canada, Australia,
New Zealand, Mexico and South America.*

UNIVERSE MANUFACTURING CO., INC.,

*Manufacturers of Leather Goods, Diaries, Memo Books and Advertising
Novelties.*

Bookbinders—Commercial Printers.

Philadelphia, Pa., U.S.A.

Geo. A. Smith, *President*.

Robert J. Brunker, *Vice-President*.

Louis G. Groh, *Treas. and Genl. Mgr.*

April 10th, 1913.

MESSRS. WM. H. BARCOCK & SON,

Room 33, LeDroit Bldg.,

802 F St., N.W.,

Washington, D.C.

Gentlemen: We beg to acknowledge with sincere thanks receipt of your favor of April 9th, and contents carefully noted. After all it would seem to us that the litigation would be a very long one and expensive and very little could be accomplished, for the sale of the little purse, in our opinion, is not worth while to go after.

We are just now making up a purse and expect to send you sample and application for patent shortly. Meanwhile, we remain, with our thanks,

Yours very truly,

UNIVERSE MANUFACTURING CO., INC.

H. W. PITZ & Co.,

Louis G. Groh, *Mgr*

—0—

WHITE FOOT REMEDY CO.,

R. CLAYTON THOMAS, *Manager*

Centreville, Maryland, 5/11/12.

MESSRS. WM. H. BARCOCK & SON,

Dear Sirs: Yours of the 9th received and contents noted and thank you for your candor.

Although I am very much surprised and disappointed, I appreciate the fact that your long experience in this class of work makes you a competent judge. I would like very much to have this medicine protected by copyright, and if you can offer any suggestions they would be appreciated as I do the treatment accorded me by you. Should I make any change or do anything needing the service of an attorney, shall certainly write you.

Yours very truly,

R. CLAYTON THOMAS,
Pres. WHITE FOOT REMEDY CO.

THE CROWLEY IRON & MACHINERY COMPANY.

E. L. SAYOIE, *Manager.*MR. WILLIAM H. BARCOCK,
Washington, D.C.

Crowley, Louisiana, Aug. 31, 12.

Dear Sir:—You having previously obtained a patent for me which was granted last November, and being well satisfied of your services, I now wish you to obtain, if possible, another patent for me, or at least I should say, obtain a patent in a device I am interested in.

My other patent, as you will remember, was for an *Oil Well Blow-Out Preventer*.

Trusting you will give this matter your prompt attention, as in the other patent you obtained for me, I am, your truly,

E. L. SAYOIE,
419 Ave. G, Crowley, La.

— 0 —

POWELL-HASKINS MANUFACTURING CO.,
5 Union Street, Corner North, Telephone Connection, 702 Richmond,
Boston, Mass., March 7, 1912

MR. WM. H. BARCOCK & SONS

My Dear William: I have received your letter of February 24th and thank you for your interest in behalf of my father and myself.

You will note by this letterhead that I am established in manufacturing business and my time is considerably taken up along this line.

Father has faded considerably in the last few years, and although he is still interested in inventions, yet I doubt if he will be able to do anything in a practical way with them any longer. Both of us have surely appreciated your methods of doing business, and we have always felt that in you we had an attorney who would handle out business in the best possible manner, and the writer has taken pleasure in recommending you in several instances and will continue to do so.

Wishing you every success, we remain,
Yours very truly,

LEANDER M. HASKINS.

— 0 —

HAMILTON WATCH COMPANY,
Manufacturers of Finest Quality Watch Movements.
Lancaster, Pa., U.S.A., November Fourth, 1913.

MESSRS. WM. H. BARCOCK & SON.

Gentlemen: I have the pleasure of your very much esteemed favor of the 3rd and at different times in the past have received your letters, but thinking that some time when I was in Washington I would stop in to see you I did not answer the same.

I have not taken out any patents for a long time, and if I had I think I would send them to you. I have changed the nature of my business some years ago, as you will see from the above, and if at any time we take out any patents in this Company I will be glad to keep you in mind, although there seems to be very little room for patents at present in the line of watches.

I am glad to hear that you are getting other business from Lancaster, and extend to you my very best wishes for your continued success, and remain,

Yours very sincerely,

CHARLES F. MILLER, *President.*

— 0 —

W. S. CRUM, *President.*OSCAR YUNDT, *Vice-President.*
W. F. SHERTS, *Sec'y-Treas.*

SANITARY METAL BASKET COMPANY,
*Manufacturers of Metal Delivery Baskets, Egg Delivery Cases and
Paper Bag Holders.*

WM. BARCOCK & SONS,
Washington, D.C.

Lafayette, Ind., 7/13.

Gentlemen: In answer to your letter 3rd inst., will say you can use me for reference at any time. In fact I have recommended you to all that come to me and ask me in regard to patents. We are doing nicely with our baskets.

Respectfully,

W. S. CRUM.

CENTRAL PENNSYLVANIA LUMBER COMPANY.
Williamsport, Pa., June 15, 1906.

W. H. BABCOCK, Esq.

Dear Sir:—My friend, Charles Eldon, of this city, tells me you are a first-class patent lawyer, capable of taking care of a matter in good shape, and generally looking after the interests of a client.

Wish you would kindly give me such general information as you can with regard to the taking out of patents on machine.

Yours truly,

C. S. DUBELLE.

Established 1865.
E. KEELER COMPANY.
Automobile Department.

Williamsport, Pa., May 18, 1906.

MR. WM. H. BABCOCK,
Washington, D.C.

Dear Sir:—In my conversation with Mr. Chas. Eldon, of this city, he advised me that he had had some business relations with you which were very satisfactory. In view of this fact, I enclose \$5 to cover preliminary charges for making search for Patent Claim, which you will find within. Kindly advise me at your early convenience regarding same, and oblige. Sample of Tread shipped by express to-day.

Yours very truly,

A. A. MAITLAND.

Established 1876.
TAMMANY ORGANET CO.,
Manufacturers of Automatic Instruments and Perforated Music Rolls,
17 West 24th Street, Telephone Madison Sq. 4428.
New York, Dec. 22d, 1909.

W. H. BABCOCK.

The writer is in doubt whether Mr. Babcock is still living and conducting business at the same old stand; if so, please note the fact on the within card and oblige.

Yours truly,

J. MCTAMMANY.

THE ATLANTIC REFINING COMPANY
Lancaster, Pa., April 17, 1903.

WILLIAM H. BABCOCK,
Washington, D.C.

Dear Sir:—Kindly send me some information relative to securing and obtaining patents. Have a trivial article, which, if patentable, will place in your hands. For your information, my father, Levi K. Landis, had a cork machine patented in '93, which matter was run through your hands. The article which we have in mind is intended for advertising purposes and household use; is as simple as can be made. Refer to a newspaper file.

Kindly let us hear from you at an early date.

Yours truly,

EMERY LANDIS,
409 East King, Lancaster.

CALDWELL-WATSON FOUNDRY & MACHINE COMPANY,
Furnace, Mill & Mine Work,
P.O. Box 276 Fifth Avenue and 13th Street. Telephone 376.
Birmingham, Ala., July 6th, 1903.

MR. W. H. BABCOCK,
Washington, D.C.

Dear Sir:—We send you under separate cover blueprint of a cinder car and would like to know the parts of said car that are patented; but before investigating same, write us your charges for same. (You were recommended to us by Mr. J. A. Wiggs, formerly of this place.) A prompt reply will be appreciated.

Yours respectfully,

CALDWELL-WATSON FDY. & MACH. CO.

MITCHELL GAS GENERATOR AND BURNER CO.,
Principal Offices, 95 Milk St.
INCORPORATED 1890.

Boston, Aug. 1, 1900.

WM. H. BARCOCK, ESQ.,
709 G St., Northwest,
Washington, D.C.

Dear Sir:—Yours of July 30th was received and we are very much pleased to hear that the original lamp patent of Mr. Mitchell's has been allowed.

Since Mr. Mitchell and myself left Washington we have been favored by decree of the Supreme Court of New Jersey, giving us our case, which practically settles the whole matter, and we expect to finish the Master's hearing this week.

In reference to the model. . . .

This, however, is left entirely to your discretion, knowing, as I do, that you will see that our interests are thoroughly looked after, and if possible keep us posted as to what they are doing.

Have you investigated about the trade-marks, for that is a matter which I wish to attend to as soon as possible.

Mr. Mitchell is now at work upon the improvements to be filed on the Soldering Irons and Sad Iron, and will be forwarded to you in due time.

Very truly yours,

MITCHELL GAS GENERATOR AND BURNER CO.,
Otis Eddy, *Presd.*

OTHER INVENTORS AND CLIENTS

These classes of clients necessarily overlap more or less. Among the following are some who have taken part in extensive manufactures. The number of letters from inventors of course could be very greatly increased if it were feasible to examine the whole volume of past correspondence.

0 ———

D. R. BARTON,
Dealer in Hardware
Co-Op. Building, Second Street
North Yakima, Wash., June 22, 1900.

HON. W. H. BANCOCK,
Washington, D.C.

Your circular letter of May 26th was forwarded to me from Memphis, Tenn., and came in good time, as I had lost your address.

I send in this letter, sketch and description of flue tops which I think will be sufficiently plain to you. Please proceed at once and file caveat. As soon as caveat is filed I will make arrangements for its manufacture, and at expiration of one year can then obtain patent on same. I will be guided by your advice as to the best method of procedure, but I do not wish to go to the expense of a Patent until I see how the flue stop takes with the trade. I believe I have invented a good thing, as there is a great demand for a stop that will remain firmly in the opening.

Please push this matter as fast as possible, so as to prevent anyone getting ahead of me. Send me some of your cards and prices for obtaining Patents, filing caveats, etc., and I will try to obtain some business for you in this section.

Very truly yours,

D. R. BARTON

———0———

Columbia, Tenn., Jan. 5, '06.

WM. M. BANCOCK,
709 G St., Northwest,
Washington, D.C.

Dear Sir:—Rec'd yours dated Dec. 22, and please accept my most hearty thanks for your opinion and frankness. From it I consider you a very reliable and competent patent att'y.

Very sincerely,

L. C. BURGARD,
Columbia, Tenn.

———0———

C. F. PARMENTER,
Machinist.

Invention a Specialty. We have Helped Others, We Can Help You.

Portlandville, N.Y., Feb. 1, 1904.

Dear Sir:—I am advised by Mr. C. B. Hawver of Milford, N.Y., that you are a successful Patent Attorney, and that it would be well for me to employ you in regard to obtaining a patent right on my kerosene oil carburetor.

Very respectfully,

C. F. PARMENTER

DR. G. O. HANNAH,
Dentist,

Cor. Union and Coburg Sts.

St. John, N.B., Aug. 8, 1904.

MR. W. H. BARCOCK,

Dear Sir:—I shall require the services of a Patent Attorney again, and as I do not just now know what your view of myself as a client may be, I should be glad to hear from you. All I want is the assurance that I have your undivided interest in my behalf, as I feel I have the assurance of honest dealing from you already. The case needs no search and will involve much capital.

Kindly let me hear from you

Yours truly,

GEO. O. HANNAH.

—0—

Cor. Mass. and Front Aves.,

Buffalo, New York, Nov. 26th, 1902.

WM. H. BARCOCK, Esq.,

Washington, D.C.

Dear Sir:—In the year of 1883 you obtained a patent for me, No. 281,531, which was assigned to the Chas. Parker Co., Meriden, Conn. Are you still in business? If so please inform me how to proceed to obtain a patent on what we will call a self-draining inner wash boiler, which I think is new and patentable. The model • • • • •

Awaiting an early reply,

I remain, yours respectfully,

WM. G. LEONARD.

0

H. M. WILLIAMSON,
Denver.

W. H. BARCOCK,

Washington, D.C.

Dear Sir:—Replying to your favor of the 12th instant, will say that I am very much pleased with the tone of your letter, and am very well satisfied with your amendment, which I have every reason to believe will be accepted by the examiner.

If you have a copy of the concentrator specifications, as allowed by the Patent Office, kindly forward same to me. I think you have done this work very skillfully, and am very much pleased that you have so well understood the points furnished you.

Trusting that we may have an early allowance, and awaiting the pleasure of your reply, I remain,

Yours truly,

Jan., 17, 1899.

H. M. WILLIAMSON.

0 ———

Columbus, Ohio, June 23, 1905.

WM. H. BARCOCK, Esq.,

Washington, D.C.

My dear Friend:—Your kind favor is duly received and in answer would say I have not been doing anything in the patent business for a long time, but if I had something good to handle I could make good use of it, as I have gone out of manufacturing business, and I have not much business on hand. I am getting old. If you will send me some of your business cards I will try and do you some good. I can frankly recommend you to my friends.

Yours truly,

JOHN SHORT.

WM. H. BABCOCK, ESQ.,

Washington, D.C.

Conneaut, Ohio, Oct. 27, 1903.

Dear Sir:—Your letter containing notice of patent allowance No. 173,45, received. Enclosed you will find New York draft payable to your order for the final fee, Twenty Dollars.

I am pleased that we have encountered no difficulty in securing this patent and with the dispatch with which it has been granted.

I wish to thank you for the interest you have taken in the matter and also for your encouraging letter of the 23rd inst.

Shall be glad to see that you have a supply of penholders as soon as some are manufactured, and if I decide to take out foreign patents I will be glad to have you look after my interests.

Respectfully,

C. M. COOK.

0 ———

W. H. BABCOCK,

Washington, D.C.

Hawkinsville, Ga., Nov. 30, '09.

Dear I am again. This is with good news. I have placed my planter in the hands of a responsible party on a royalty. You remember I spoke of my certified copy being defaced. I want another in case I should need it, so please send, with the cost of one; also information about a caveat. I have something I want to work up and I am obliged to get help on, and I want protection while I work.

I took hands with the President over my Planter at the Georgia State Fair. Again.

Yours respectfully,

SARAH F. DYKES.

———0———

LOUIS G. GROH,
Expert Accountant.

WM. H. BABCOCK, ESQ.,

Washington, D.C.

Philadelphia, 8/3/07

Dear Sir:—Through the courtesy of Wm. Boeckel & Co. having rec'd your name, would inquire whether a Patent could be obtained on a feed box, sketch of which I endeavored to show on back of this.

Awaiting your reply, I am,

Respectfully yours,

LOUIS G. GROH,
73 Collom St., Germantown, Phila.

———0———

SALEM
RIVERSIDEWILLARD R. HAIGHT,
Baptist Pastor,BEREA
KAMOTH-GILEAD
Elizabeth City, N.C., May 3/12.

MR. W. H. BABCOCK,

Washington, D.C.

My dear Mr. Babcock:—I have a friend who has invented a device and desires to patent same. Won't you please let me know by return mail what your terms are for a preliminary examination and the pressing of a patent before the Commissioner of Patents?

With best wishes,

Yours sincerely,

W. R. HAIGHT.

———0———

MR. WM. H. BABCOCK,

Washington.

Houston, Texas, 6/10, 1910.

Dear Sir:—You have been referred to me by Attorneys Walters, Lane & Storey as a Patent Attorney of Washington.

I have an invention that I would like to secure a patent on. Kindly write me at once and give me all possible information as to how to secure the patent.

Yours truly,

A. C. HENRICHS,
612 La Branch, Houston, Texas.

Malden, Mass., June 7th, 1910.

MR. WM. H. BABCOCK,
Washington, D.C.

Dear Mr. Babcock—The papers received all right. I had signed the papers, but I had to wait for the seal, and the delay gave me a chance to remove the application papers. You will notice they were signed the 28th, but I don't think that will make any difference as the seal was not stuck on.

I consider this first class this time; everything please me.

Yours for this morning,

WILLIS MITCHELL.

—0—

LANCASTER INFIRMARY OF OSTEOPATHY,
DR. JOHN D. BURKHOLDER,
511-513 Woolworth Bldg.

Lancaster, Pa., Feb. 9th, 1909.

MR. WM. H. BABCOCK,
Washington, D.C.

Dear Sir:—I find it will be . . .

I am glad that my influence has put you in touch with several parties that are putting business in your hands. Dr. Sherwood was associated with me in office for some time; I often commented on your fighting ability in his presence.

I am, very sincerely yours,

JOHN D. BURKHOLDER, D.O.

—0—

DR. WARREN A. SHERWOOD,
No. 142 North Duke St.

Lancaster, Pa., Aug. 8, 1913.

WM. H. BABCOCK & SON,
Washington, D.C.

Gentlemen:—I have yours of the 7th. I have not forgotten you, neither have I forgotten your ability as patent solicitors, and I beg to state that in the near future I shall visit you in Washington relative to a patent matter. I shall want a preliminary search made for a careful preparation of claims, and I know of no one that will suit me quite so well as yourselves.

Very truly yours,

WARREN A. SHERWOOD.

—0—

Gorham, Feb. 27th, '12.

My dear Friend Babcock:

I would like to know if you are in the land of the living and if you are now in the patent business. I am working on new ideas, and have been for some time; have several. I shall get a preliminary examination on one to start with as soon as I hear from you.

Hope this will find you well and happy.

I am, sincerely yours,

J. T. HASKINS,
Gorham, Me.

—0—

CHARLES H. ELTON,
Taxidermist.

331 West Fourth Street, Williamsport, Pa.
Bell Telephone 744-B.—Postoffice Box 435.

October 12, 1910.

MR. WILLIAM H. BABCOCK,
Washington, D.C.

Dear Sir:—Your letter of the 10th at hand and contents noted. At the present time I am not making any patents. I recommended you to a number of people and possibly they may have business with you.

Respectfully yours,

CHARLES H. ELTON.

