

Canadian Statement in Explanation of Vote on British Guiana in the Fourth Committee given by Dr. Haidasz, December 10, 1965

Mr. Chairman:

I should like to explain the vote which the Canadian Delegation has just cast on the resolution on British Guiana contained in Document A/C.4/L.809.

Canada has close ties with British Guiana, and has therefore noted with satisfaction the successful outcome of the recent constitutional conference which resulted in the setting of a date for independence. The resolution took the outcome of the conference into account and my Delegation has therefore supported it.

We had reservations however about Operative Paragraphs 1 and 3. While the necessity for a state of emergency is to be regretted, we consider it worth drawing attention to the fact that the state of emergency was declared in May 1964 at the request of a freely elected government. It remains in force as a result of a vote in the Legislature of British Guiana which was freely elected in December 1964 under a system of universal adult suffrage and which, under the constitution, has the power to determine this matter. We understand also that a large proportion of persons who were detained when the state of emergency was originally declared have now been released.

Now that the date for independence has been set it is the view of my Delegation that the General Assembly should not recommend any measure which might indirectly or otherwise tend to disturb the peaceful transition to independence.

Centralan atatement in Explanation of vote on written Sulana in transcounth Committee Given by Dr. Haldasz, December 46, 1865

Mr. Chairman:

I should like to explain the vote which the Garaulan Deladation has just cost on the resolution on British Guisna contained in Document A/C.4/L.880.

Canada has close ties with Pritish Guiana, and has therefore noted with solisfaction the successful outsoms of the recent constitutional conference which resulted in the settinged close date for independence. The resolution took the outcome of the conference into account and my Delegation has therefore supported it.

We had reservations however about the rative Paragraphs 1 and 3. Wille the necessity for a state of emergency is to it apartited was consider it wants or extreming attention to the fact that the state of emergency was extended in May 1864 at the request of a fractly elected government. It remains in force as a result of a vater in the Legislature of oritish Guiana which was firely elected in December 1864 under a system of universal acult suffrage and which, under the constitution, has the power to determine this matter. We understand also that a large cropertion of persons who were detained when the state of emergency was originally declared have now been released.

Now that the date for indecunience has been set it is the view of my welegation that the Seneral Assembly should not a recommend any measure which might indirectly or otherwise tend to disturb the geogens; tending the disturb the geogens; the constition to indecendence.