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S K E T C H IX.
Military Branch of Government.DURING the infancy of a nation, everymember depends on his own induftry for procur-ing the neceffaries of life : he is his own mafon,his own tailor, his own phyfician; and on him-felf he chiefly relies for offence as well as defence.Every favage can fay, what few beggars amongus can fay, Omnia mea mecum porto; and hencethe aptitude of a favage for war, which makeslittle alteration in his manner of living. In earlytimes accordingly, the men were all warriors, andVol. II.
every known art was exercifed by women; which continues to be the cafe of American favages. And even after arts were fo much improved as to be exercifed by men, none who could bear arms were exempted from war. In feudal governments, the military firit was carried to a great height : all gentlemen were foldiers by profeffion; and every other art was defpifed, as low, if not contemptible.

Even in the unnatural flate of the feudal fyftem, arts made fome progrefs, not excepting thofe for amufement ; and many conveniencies, formerly unknown, became neceffary to comfortable living. A man accuftomed to manifold conveniencies, cannot bear with patience to be deprived of them: he hates war, and clings to the fweets of peace. Hence the neceffity of a military eftablifhment, hardening men by ftrict. difcipline to endure the fatigues of war. By a ftanding army, war is carried on more regolarly and fcientifically than in a feudal government.; but as it is carried on with infinitely greater expence, nations are more referved in declaring war than formerly. Long experience has at the fame time made it evident, that a nation feldom, gains, by war; and that agriculture, manufactures, and commerce, are the only folid foundations of power and grandeur. Thefe arts accordingly have become the chief objects of European governments, and the only rational aaufes of war. Among the warlike nations of Greece and Italy, how. would it have founded, that their effeminate defcendents would employ foldiers by profeffion to fight their battles! And yet this is una, voidable in every country where arts and manufactures fourifh; which, requiring little exercife, tend to enervate the body, and of courfe the mind. Gain, at the fame time, being the fole object of indultry, advances felfifhnefs to be the ruling paffion, and brings on a timid anxiety about property and felf-prefervation. Cyrus, though enflamed
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with refentment againft the Lydians for, revolting, liftened to the following advice, offered by Crofus, their former King. "O Cyrus, deftroy " not Sardis, an ancient city, fainous for arts' and ' 6 arms ; but, pardoning what is paft, demand ' 6 all their arms', encourage luxury, and exhort 's them to inftruct their children in every art of ", gainful commerce. You will foon fee, O King, "that inftead of men, they will be women." The Arabians, a brave and generous people, conquered Spain; and drove into the inacceffible mountains of Bifcay and Afturia, the few natives who ftood out. When no longer an enemy appeared, they turned their fivords into ploughfhares, and becarne a rich and flourifhing nation. The inhabitants of the mountains, hardened by poverty and fituati* on, ventured, after a long interval, to peep out from their ftrong holds, and to lie in wait for ftraggling parties. Finding themfelves now a match for a people, whom opulence had betrayed to luxury and the arts of peace to cowardice; they took courage to difplay their banners in the open field; and after many miliitary atchievements, fucceeded in conquering Spain. The Scots, inhabiting the mountainous parts of Caledonia, were an overmatch for the Picts, who occupied the fertile plains, and at laft fubdued them*.

Benjainin de Tudele, a Spanifh Jew, who wrote in the twelfth century, obferves, that by luxury and B 2
effeminacy

[^1] foftnefs, more proper for women than for men; and that the Greek Emperor was reduced to the neceffity of employing mercenary troops, to defend his country againft the Turks. In the year 1453, the city of Conftantinople, defended by a garrifon not exceeding 6000 men, was befieged by the Turks, and reduced to extremity ; yet not a fingle inhabitant had courage to take arms, all waiting with torpid defpondence the hour of utter extirpation. Venice, Genoa, and other fmall Italian ftates, became fo effeminate by long and fuccefsful commerce, that not a citizen ever thought of ferving in the army; which obliged them to employ mercenaries, officers as well as private men. Thefe mercenaries, at firft, fought confcientioufly for their pay; but reflecting, that the victors were no better paid than the vanquifhed, they learned to play booty. In a battle particularly between the Pifans and Florentines, which lafted from fun-rifing to fun-fetting, there was but a fingle man loft, who, having accidentally fallen from his horfe, was trodden under foot. Men at that time fought on horfeback, covered with iron from head to heel. Machiavel mentions a battle between the Florentines and Venetians which lafted half a day, neither party giving ground ; fome horfes wounded, not a man flain. He obferves, that fuch cowardice and diforder was in the armies of thofe times, that the turning of a fingle horfe either to charge or retreat, would have decided a battle. Charles VIII. of France, when he invaded Italy anno 1498, underfood not fuch mock battles; and his men were held to be devils incarnate, who feemed to take delight in thedding human blood. The Dutch, who for many years have been reduced to mercenary troops, are more indebted to the mutual jealoufy of their neighbours for their independence, than to their own army. In the year
ck. I
kk. IX.
The Army.
1672, Lewis of France invade Holland, and in forty days took forty walled towns. That country was faved, not by its army, but by being laid under water. Froft, which is ufual at that feafon, would have put an end to the feven United Provinces.

The fmall principality of Palmyra is the only inftance known in hiftory, where the military fpirit was not enervated by opulence. Pliny defcribes that country as extremely pleafant, and bleffed with plenty of fprings, though furrounded with dry and fandy deferts. The commerce of the Indies was at that time carried on by land; and the city of Palmyra was the centre of that commerce between the Eaft and the Weft. Its territory being very fmall, little more than fufficient for villas and pleafure-grounds, the inhabitants, like thofe of Hamburgh, had no way to employ their riches for profit but in trade. At the fame time, being fituated between the two mighty empires of Rome and Parthia; it required great addrefs and the moft affiduous military difcipline, to guard it from being fwallowed up by the one or the other. This ticklifh fituation preferved the inhabitants from luxury and effeminacy, the ufual concomitants of riches. Their fuperfluous wealth was laid out on magnificent buildings, and on embellifhing their country-feats. The fine arts were among them carried to a high degree of perfection. The famous Zenobia, their Queen, being led captive to Rome after being deprived of her dominions, was admired and celebrated for fpirit, for learning, and for an exquifite talte in the fine arts.

Thus, by accumulating wealth, a manufacturing and commercial people become a tempting object for conqueft; and by effeminacy become an eafy conqueft. The military fpirit feems to be at a low ebb in Britain : will no phantom appear, even in a dream, to difturb our downy reft? Formerly, Europe and Afia, proved a tempting bait to north. ern favages who wanted bread : have we no caufe to dread a finilar fate from fome warlike neighbour, impelled by hunger, or by ambition, to extend his dominions? The difficulty of providing for defence, confiftent with induftry, has produced a general opinion among political writers, that a nation, to preferve its military fpirit, muft give up induftry ; and to preferve induftry, muft give up a military fpirit. In the former cafe, we are fecure againt any invader: in the latter, we lie open to every invader. A military plan that would fecure us againft enemies, without hurting our induftry and manufactures, would be a rich prefent to Britain. That fuch a plan is poffible, will appear from what follows; though I am far from hoping that it will meet with univerfal approbation. To prepare the reader, I fhall premife an account of the different military effablifhments that exilt, and have exifted, in Europe, with the advantages and difadvantages of each. In examining thefe, who knows whether fome hint may not occur of a plan more perfect than any of them ?

The moft illuftrious military eftablifhment of antiquity is that of the Romans, by which they fubdued almoft all the known world. The citizens of Rome were all of them foldiers: they lived upon their pay when in the field; but if they happened not to be fuccefsful in plundering, they farved at home. An annual diftribution of corn among them, became neceffary; which in effect correfponded to the haltpay of our officers. It is believed, that fuch a conftitution would not be adopted by any modern ftate. It was a forced conflitution; contrary to nature, which gives difpofitions to men, in order to fupply hands for every neceffary art. It was a hazardous conftitution, having no medium "between univerfal conqueft and wretcheed flavery.
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very. Had the Gauls who conquered Rome, entertained any view but of plunder, Rome would never have been heard of. It was on the brink of ruin in the war with Hannibal. What would have happened had Hannibal been victorious? It is ealy to judge, by comparing it with Carthage. Carthage was a commercial ftate, the people all employed in arts, manufactures, and navigation. The Carthaginians were fubdued; but they could not be reduced to extremity, while they had accefs to the fea. In fact, they profpered fo much by commerce, even after they were fubdued, as to raife jealoufy in their mafters; who thought themfelves not fecure while a houfe remained in Carthage. On the other hand, what refource for the inhabitants of Rome had they been fubdued ? They muft have perifhed by hunger ; for they could not work. In a word, ancient Rome refembles a gamefter who ventures all upon one decifive throw : if he lofe, he is undone.

I take it for granted, that our feudal fyftem will not have a fingle vote, It was a fyltem that led to confufion and anarchy, as little fitted for war as for peace. And as for mercenary troops, it is unneceffary to bring them again into the field, after what is faid of them above,

The only remaining forms that merit attention, are a ftading army, and a militia; which I fhall examine in their order, with the objections that lie againft each. The firft ftanding army in modern times was eftablifhed by Charles VII. of France, on a very imperfect plan. He began with a body of cavalry termed companies of ordonnance. And as for infantry, he, anno 1448, appointed each parilh to furnifh àn archer: thefe were termed francarchers, becaufe they were exempted from all taxes, This little army was intended for reftoring peace and order at home, not for difturbing neighbouring ftates. The King had been forced into many pe3 rilous
rilous wars, fome of them for reftraining the turbulent fpirit of his vaffals, and moft of them for defending his crown againft an ambitious adverfary, Henry V. of England. As thefe wars were carried on in the feudal mode, the foldiers, who had no pay, could not be reftrained from plundering; and inveterate practice rendered them equally licentious in peace and in war. Charles, to leave no pretext for free quarters; laid upon his fubjects a fmall tax, no more than fufficient for regular pay to his little army*.

Firft attempts are commonly crude and defective. The franc-archers, difperfed one by one in different villages, and never collected but in time of action, could not eafily be brought under regular difcipline: in the field, they difplayed nothing but vicious habits, a fpirit of lazinefs, of diforder, and of pilfering. Neither in peace were they of any ufe : their character of foldier made them defpife agriculture, without being qualified for war: in the army they were no better than peafants: at the plough, no better than idle foldiers. But in the hands of a monarch, a ftanding army is an inftrument of power, too valuable ever to be abandoned : if one fovereign entertain fuch an army, others in felf-defence muft follow. Standing armies are now eftablifhed in every European ftate, and are brought to a competent degree of perfection.
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This new inftrument of government, has produced a furprifing change in manners. We now rely on a ftanding army, for defence as well as offence : none but thofe who are trained to war, ever think of handling arms, or even of defend. ing themfelves againt an enemy : our people have become altogether effeminate, terrified at the very fight of a hoftile weapon. It is true; they are not the lefs qualified for the arts of peace; and if manufacturers be, protected from being obliged to ferve in the army, I difcover not any incompatibility between a ftanding army and the higheft induftry. Hufbandmen at the fame time make the beft foldiers: a military fipit in the lower claffes arifes from bodily ftrength, and from affection to their natal foil. Both are eminent in the hufbandman: conftant exercife in the open air renders him hardy and robult ; and fondnefs for the place where he finds comfort and plenty, attaches him to his country in general *. An artift or manufacturer, on the contrary, is attached to no country but where

[^4]where he finds the beft bread; and a fedentary life, enervating his body, renders him pufillanimous. For thefe reafons, among many, agriculture ought to be honoured and cherifhed above all other arts. It is not only a fine preparation for war, by breeding men who love their country, and whom labour and fobriety qualify for being foldiers; but is alfo the beft foundation for commerce, by furnifhing both food and materials to the induftrious.

But feveral objections occur againft a flanding army, that call aloud for a better model than has hitherto been eftablifhed, at leaft in Britain. The fubject is interefting, and I hope for attention from every man who loves his country. During the vigour of the feudal fyttem which made evefy land-proprietor a foldier, every inch of ground was tenacioufly difputed with an invader: and while a fovereign retained any part of his dominions, he never loft hopes of recovering the whole. At prefent, we rely entirely on a ftanding army, for defence as well as offence; which has reduced every nation in Europe to a precarious ftate. If the army of a nation happen to be defeated, even at the moft diftant frontier, there is little refource againft a total conqueft. Compare the hifsory of Charles VII. with that of Lewis XIV. Kings of France. The former, though driven into a corner by Henry V. of England, was however far from yielding: on the contrary, relying on the military fpirit of his people, and indefatigably intent on ftratagem and furprife, he recovered all he had loft. When Lewis XIV. fucceeded to the crown, the military firit of the people was contracted within the narrow fpan of a ftanding army. Behold the confequence. That ambitious monarch, having provoked his neighbours into an alliance againft him, had no refource againft a
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more numerous army, but to purchafe peace by an abandon of all his conquefts, upon which he had lavifhed much blood and treafure (a). France at that period contained feveral millions capable of bearing arms; and yet was not in a condition to make head againit a difciplined army of 70,000 men. Poland, which continues upon the ancient military eftablifhment, wearied out Charles XII. of Sweden ; and had done the fame to feveral of his predeceffors. But Saxony, defended only by a ftanding، army, could not hold out a fingle day againft a prince now mentioned, at the head of a greater army. Mercenary troops are a defence ftill more feeble, againf troops that fight for glory, or for their country. Unhappy was the invention of a ftanding army; which, without being any frong bulwark againft enemies, is a grievous burden on the people; and turns daily more and more fo. Liften to a firft rate author on that point. "Sitot qu'un etat augmente ce qu'il ap" pelle fes troupes, les autres augmentent les " leurs; de façon qu'on ne gagne rien par-là "que la ruine commune. Chaque monarque ti, " ent fur pied toutes les armées qu'il pourroit a" voir fil fes peuples étoient en danger d'être ex" terminées ; et on nomme paix cet état d'effort " de tous contre tous. Nous fommes pauvres a" vec les richeffes et le commerce de tout l'uni'ك vers; et bientôt à force d'avoir des foldats, nous " n'aurons plus que des foldats, et nous ferons " comme de Tartares* (b)"

But
(a) Treaty of St. Gertrudenberg.

* ": As foon as one fate augments the number of its troops, the neighbour-
" ing flates of courfe do the fame; fo that nothing is gained, and the effect
" is the general ruin. Every prince keeps as many armies in pay, as if he "dreaded the extermination of his people from a foreign invafion; and this " perpetual fruggle, maintained by all againft all, is termed peace. With the " riches and commerce of the whole univerfe, we are in a ftate of po" verty; and by thus continually augmenting our troops, we fhall foon " have none elfe but foldiers', and be reduced to the fame fituation as the " Tartars."
(b) L'erprit des loix, liv. 13. chap. 17.

But with refpect to Britain, and every free nation, there is an objection fill more formidable; which is, that a fanding army is dangerous to liberty. It avails very little to be fecure againft foreign enemies, fuppofing a ftanding army to afford fecurity, if we have no fecurity againft an enemy at home. If a warlike king, heading his own troops, be ambitious to render himfelf abfolute, there are no means to evade the impending blow; for what avail the greateft number of effeminate cowards againft a difciplined army, devoted to their prince, and ready implicitly to execute his commands? In a word, by relying entirely on a ftanding army, and by trufting the fword in the hands of men who abhor the reftraints of civil law, a folid foundation is laid for military government. Thus a ftanding army is dangerous to liberty, and yet no fufficient bulwark againft powerful neighbours.
Deeply fenfible of the foregoing objections, Harrington propofes a militia as a remedy. Every male between eighteen and thirty, is to be trained to military exercifes, by frequent meetings, where the youth are excited by premiums to contend in running, wrefting, fhooting at a mark, \&c. \&c. But Harrington did not advert, that fuch meetings, enflaming the military fipit, muft create an averfion in the people to dull and fatiguing labour. His plan evidently is inconfiftent with induftry and manufactures: it would be fo at leaft in Britain. An unexceptionable plan it would be, were defence our fole object ; and not the lefs fo by reducing Britain to fuch poverty as fcarce to be a tempting conqueft. Our late war with France is a confpicuous inflance of the power of a commercial flate, entire in its credit ; a power that a. mazed all the world, and ourfelves no lefs than others. Politicians begin to confider Britain, and not France, to be the formidable power that threatens univerfal monarchy. Had Harrington's jidable; is to li foreign ecurity, ne. If a abitious icans to ail the ainft a d ready ord, by trufting he refor mi is dan. againf y male ned to ere the end in c. \&c. meet ate an ng laith in. t leaft hld be, lefs fo to be France comhat a . than , and that ston's plan

Sk. IX. The Army.
plan been adopted, Britain mult have been reduced to a level with Sweden or Denmark, having no ambition but to draw fubfidies from its more potent neighbours.

In Switzerland, it is true, boys are, from the age of twelve, exercifed in running, wreftling, and fhooting. Every male who can bear arms is regimented, and fubjected to military difcipline.Here is a militia in perfection upon Harrington's plan, a militia neither forced nor mercenary; invincible when fighting for their country. And as the Swifs are not an idle people, we learn from this inftance, that the martial fpirit is not an invincible obltruction to induftry. But the original barrennefs of Switzerland, compelled the inhabitants to be fober and induftrious: and induftry hath among them become a fecond nature; there fcarcely being a child above fix years of age but who is employed, not excepting children of opulent families. England differs widely in the nature of its foil, and of its people. But there is little "occafion to infift upon that difference; as Switzerland affords no clear evidence, that a fpirit of induftry is perfectly compatible with a militia : the Swifs, it is true, may be termed induftrious ; but their induftry is confined to neceffaries and conveniencies: they are lefs ambitious of wealth than of military glory; and they have few arts or manufactures, either to fupport foreign commerce, or to excite luxury.

Fletcher of Salton's plan of a militia, differs little from that of Harrington. Three camps are to be conftantly kept up in England, and a fourth in Scotland ; into one or other of which, every man muft enter upon completing his one and twentieth year. In thefe camps, the art of war is to be acquired and practifed : thofe who can maintain themfelves mult continue there two years, others but a fingle year. Secondly, Thofe who have been
been thus educated, fhall for ever after have fifty yearly meetings, and fhall exercife four hours every meeting. It is not faid, by what means young men are compelled to refort to the camp ; nor is any exception mentioned of perfons deftined for the church, for liberal [ciences', or for the fine arts. The weak and the fickly muft be exempted ; and yet' no regulation is propofed againft thofe who ablent themlelves on a falfe pretext. But waving thefe, the capital objection againf Harrington's' plan' Atrikes equally againft Fletcher's, That by rdufing a military firit, it would alienate the minds of our people from arts and manufactares, and from conftant and uniform occupation. Phe author hlimifelf remarks, that the ufe and exetcife of arms, would make the ' youth place their honour upon that art, and would enflame them with lowe of milhiary glory'; not adverting, that love, of imilitary glory, diffured through the whole mafs of the people, would unqualify Britain for being a maniffaturing and commercial country, rendering it of little weightir ot confideration in Europe.

The military branch is effential to every fpecies of governithent: the Quakers are the only people who ever' doubted of it: Is it not then mortifying, that a capital branch of government, fhould to this day remain in a flate fo imperfect? One would furpect fome inherent vice in the nature of goveththent, that counteracts every effort of genius to produce a more perfect mode. I an not difpofed to admit any fuch defect, efpecially in an article eflential to the well-being of fociety; and rather that yild to the charge, I venture to propofe the following plan, even at the hazard of being thought an idle projector. And what animates' me greai'l' to make the attempt, is a firin conviction that a uilitary and an induftious fpirit are of equal :ryphrt ice $t$ Britain; and that if either of theth be loft we are undone. To reconcile
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reconcile thefe feeming antagonifts, is my chicf view in the following plan; to which I flall proceed after paving the way by fornc preliminary confiderations.
The firft is, that as military force is effential to every flate, no man is exempted from bearing arms for his country : all are bound; becaufe no perfon has right to be exernpted more than another. Were any difference to be made, perfons of figure and fortune ought firft to be called to that fervice, as hains the moft interefted in the welfare of their counarry. Liften to a good foldier delivering his opinion on that fubject. "Les " levees quii fe font par fupercherie font tout aufli " odieufes; on met de l'argent dans la pochette "d'un homme, ei on lui ditquill eft foldat."Celles qui. fe font par force, le font encore " plus; c'eft une defolation publique, dont' le " bourgeois et l'habitant ne fe fauvent quà force " d'argent; et dont le fond eft toujours un moyen " cxieux. Ne voudroit-il pas mieux etablet, par: " une loi; que tout homme, de quelque conditi" on quili fât, feroit oblige de fervir fon prince et " fa parrie pendant cinq ans? Cette loi ne fçau" roit être defapprouvee, parce qu'il ${ }^{\text {e }}$ eft naturel "et jufte que les citoyens s'emploient pour la " défenfe de l'état. Cette methode de lever des " troupes feroit un fond inépuifable de belles et " bonnes recrues, qui ne feroient pas fujetes' a " déferter. L'on fe feroit même, par la fuite, " un honneur et un devoir de ferver fa tache. " Mais, pour y parvenir, il faudroit n'en excep©r au: uie condition, être févére fur ce point, " et s'attacher a faire exécuter cette loi de pre" férence aux nobles ct aux riches. Perfonne n'en " murmureroit. Alors ceux qui auroient fervi leurs " temps, verroient avec mépris ceux qui repugne" roient à cette loi, èt infenfiblement on fe feroit un " honneur

Take another preliminary confideration. While there were any remains among us of a martial fpirit, the difficulty was not great of recruiting the army. But that tafk hath of late years become troublefome ; and more difagreeable ftill than troublefome, by the neceffity of ufing :deceitful arts for trapanning the unwary youth. Nor are fuch arts always fuccefsful: in our late war with France, we were neceflitated to give up even the appearance of voluntary fervice, and to recruit the army on the folid principle, that every man fhould fight for his country ; the juftices of peace being empowered to force into the fervice fuch as could be beft fpared from civil occupation. If a fingle claufe had been added, limiting the fervice to five or feven years, the meafure would have been unexceptionable, even in a land of liberty. To relieve officers of the army from the neceffity of practifing deceitful arts, by fubftituting a fair and conftitutional

[^5]conftitu a valua with re greater, the few oufnefs in diford but refr Now, as vifed a pl fobriety, tary effe time it the fervi orderly : trious in beneficial out havi legiflatur claiming in the pr portance of Britai promotin medy ag ftate, full were the of them, is not on immortal And $n$ forts I doubt of fent man Vol.
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conftitutional mode of recruiting the army, was a valuable improvement. It was of importance with refpect to its direct intendment; but of much greater, with refpect to its confequences. One of the few difadvantages of a free ftate, is licentioufnefs in the common people, who may wallow in diforder and profligacy without control, if they but refrain from grofs crimes, punifhable by law. Now, as it appears to me, there never was devifed a plan more efficacious for reftoring induftry and fobriety, than that under confideration. Its falutary effects were confpicuous, even during the fhort time it fubfifted. The dread of being forced into the fervice, rendered the populace peaceable and orderly : it did more ; it rendered them induftrious in order to conciliate favour. The moft beneficial difcoveries have been accidental: with, out having any view but recruiting the army, our legiflature ftumbled upon an excellent plan for reclaiming the idle and the profligate; a matter, in the prefent depravity of manners, of greater importance than any other that concerns the police of Britain. A perpetual law of that kind, by promoting induftry, would prove a fovereign remedy againf mobs and riots, difeafes of a free ftate, full of people and of manufactures *. Why were the foregoing ftatutes, for there were two of them, limited to a temporary exiftence? There is not on record another facute better intitled to immortality.

And now to the project, which after all my efforts I produce with trepidation; not from any doubt of its folidity, but as ill fuited to the prefent manners of this illand. To hope that it will

Vol. II.
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[^6]be put in practice, would indeed be highly ridiculous : this can never happen, till patriotifm flourifh more in Britain than it has done for fome time paft. Suppofing now an army of 60,000 men to be fufficient for Britain, a rational method for raifing fuch an army, were there no ftanding forces, would be, that land-proprietors, in proportion to their valued rents, fhould furnifh men to ferve feven years and no longer *. But as it would be no lefs unjuft than imprudent, to difband at once our prefent army, we begin with moulding gradually the old army into the new, by filling up vacancies with men bound to ferve feven years and no longer. And for raifing proper men, a matter of much delicacy, it is propofed, that in every fhire a fpecial commiffion be given to certain landholders of rank and figure, to raife recruits out of the lower claffes, felecting always thofe who are the leaft ufeful at home.

Second. Thofe who claim to be difmiffed after ferving the appointed time, fhall never again be called to the fervice except in cafe of an actual invafion. They fhall be intitled each of them to a premium of eight or ten pounds, for enabling them to follow a trade or calling, without being fubjected to corporation-laws. The private men in France are inlifted but for fix years; and that mode has never been attended with any inconvenience $\dagger$.

Third. With refpect to the private men, idlenefs mult be totally and for ever banifhed. Suppofing
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pofing three months yearly to be fufficient for military difcipline; the men, during the relt of the year, ought to be employed upon public works, forming roads, erecting bridges, making rivers navigable, clearing harbours, \&c. \&c. Why not alfo furnifh men for half-pay to private undertakers for ufeful works? And fuppofing the daily pay of a foldier to be ten pence, it would greatly encourage extenfive improvements, to have at command a number of fout fellows under ftrict dif: cipline, at the low wages of five pence a-day. An army of 60,000 men thus employed, would not be fo expenfive to the public, as 20,000 men upon the prefent eftablifhment : for befide the money contributed by private undertakers, public works carried on by foldiers would be miferably ill contrived, if not cheaply purchafed with their pay *.

The moft important branch of the project, is what regards the officers. The neceffity of reviving in our people of rank fome military fpirit, will be acknowledged by every perfon of reflection; and in that view, the following articles are propofed. Firlt, That there be two claffes of officers, one ferving for pay, one without pay. In. filling up every vacant office of cornet or enfign, the latter are to be preferred; but in progreflive advancement, no diftinction is to be made between the claffes. An officer who has ferved feven years. without pay, may retire with honour.

Second. No man fhall be privileged to reprefent a county in parliament, who has not ferved feven years without pay; and, excepting an actual burgefs, none but thofe who have performed that fervice, fhall be privileged to reprefent a borough.

$$
\mathrm{C}_{2} \quad \text { The }
$$

[^9]The fame qualification fhall be neceffary to every one who afpires to ferve the public or the King in an office of dignity ; excepting only church. men and lawyers with regard to offices in their refpective profeflions. In old Rome, none were admitted candidates for any civil employment, till they had ferved ten years in the army.

Third. Officers of this clafs are to be exempted from the taxes impofed on land, coaches, windows, and plate; not for faving a trifling fum, but as a mark of diftinction.

The military firit muft in Britain be miferably low, if fuch regulations prove not effectual to decorate the army with officers of figure and fortune. Nor need we to apprehend any bad confequence from a number of raw officers who ferve without pay: among men of birth, emulation will have a more commanding influence than pay or profit; and at any rate, there will always be a fufficiency of old and experienced officers receiving pay, ready to take the lead in every difficult enterprife.

To improve this army in military difcipline, it is propofed, that when occafion offers, 5 or 6000 of them be maintained by Great Britain, as auxiliaries to fome ally at war. And if that body be changed from time to time, knowledge and practice in war will be diffufed through the whole army.

Officers who ferve for pay, will be greatly benefited by this plan: frequent removes of thofe who ferve without pay, make way for them; and the very nature of the plan excludes buying and feling.

I proceed to the alterations neceffary for accommodating this plan to our prefent military eftablifhment. As a total revolution at one inftant would breed confufion, the firft ftep ought to be a fpecimen only, fuch as the levying two or three reginents on the new model ; the expence of which
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which ought not to be grudged, as the forces prefently in pay, are not fufficient, even in peace, to anfwer the ordinary demands of government. And as the profpect of civil employments, will excite more men of rank to offer their fervice than can be taken in, the choice muft be in the crown, not only with refpect to the new regiments, but with refpect to the vacant offices of cornet and enfign in the old army. But as thefe regulations will not inftantly produce men qualified to be fecretaries of ftate or commiffioners of treafury, fo numerous as to afford his Majefty a fatisfactory choice; that branch of the plan may be fufpended, till thofe who have ferved feven years without pay, amount to one hundred at leaft.The article that concerns members of parliament muft be ftill longer fufpended : it may however, after the firlt feven years, receive execution in part, by privileging thofe who have ferved without pay to reprefent a borough, refufing that privilege to others, except to actual burgeffes. We may proceed one ftep farther, That if in a county there be five gentlemen who have the qualification under confideration, over and above the ordinary legal qualifications; one of the five muft be chofen, leaving the electors free as to their other reprefentative.

With refpect to the private men of the old army, a thoufand of fuch as have ferved the longeft may be difbanded annually, if fo many be willing to retire; and in their ftead an equal number may be inlifted to ferve but feven years. Upon fuch a plan, it will not be difficult to find recruits.

The advantage of this plan, in one particular, is eminént. It will infallibly fill the army with gallant officers: Other advantages concerning the officers themfelves, fhall be mentioned afterward. All appetite for military glory, cannot fail to be roufed
roufed in officers who ferve without pay, when their fervice is the only paffport to employments of truft and honour. And may we not hope, that officers who ferve for pay, will, by force of imitation, be infpired with the fame appetite? Nothing ought to be more feduloufly inculcated into every officer, than to defpife riches, as a mercantile object below the dignity of a foldier. Often has the courage of victorious troops been blunted by the pillage of an opulent city; and may not rich captures at fea have the fame effect ? Some fea-com. manders have been fufpected, of beftowing their fire more willingly upon a merchantman, than upon a Ship of war. A triumph, an ovation, a civic crown, or fome fuch mark of honour, were in old Rome the only rewards for military atchievements *. Money, it is true, was fometimes diftributed among the private men, as an addition to their pay, after a fatiguing campaign ; but not as a recompence for their good behaviour, becaufe all fhared alike. It did not efcape the penetrating Romans, that wealth, the parent of luxury and felfifhnefs, fails not to eradicate the military firit. The foldier who to recover his baggage performed a bold action, gave an inftructive leffon to all princes. Being invited by his general to try his fortune a fecond time ; "Invite (fays the foldier) one "who has loft his baggage." Many a bold adventurer goes to the Indies, who, returning with a fortune, is afraid of every brecze. Britain, I fufpect,
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fufpect, is too much infected with the fpirit of gain. Will it be thought ridiculous in any man of figure, to prefer reputation and refpect before riches ; provided only he can afford a frugal meal, and a warm garment? Let us compare an old officer, who never deferted his friend nor his country, and a wealthy merchant, who never indulged a thought but of gain : the wealth is tempting; -and yet does there exift a man of fpirit, who would not be the officer rather than the merchant, even with his millions? Sultan Mechmet granted to the Janifaries a privilege of importing foreign commodities free of duty : was it his intention to metamorphofe foldiers into merchants, loving peace, and hating war?

In the war 1672 carried on by Lewis XIV. againft the Dutch, Dupas was made governor of Naerden, recommended by the Duke of Luxembourg; who wrote to M. de Louvois, that he wifhed nothing more ardently, than that the Prince of Orange would beflege Naerden, being certain of a defence fo fkilful and vigorous, as to furnifh an opportunity for another victory over the Prince. Dupas had ferved long in honouraable poverty; but in this rich town he made a Thift to amals a confiderable fum. Terrified to be reduced to his former poverty, he furrendered the town on the firft fummons. He was degraded in a court-martial, and condemned to perpetual prifon and poverty. Having obtained his liberty at the folicitation of the Vifcount de Turenne, he recovered his former valour, and ventured his life freely on all occafions.

But though I declare againft large appointments before-hand, which, inftead of promoting fervice, excite luxury and effeminacy; yet to an officer of character, who has fpent his younger years in ferving his king and country, a government or other fuitable employment that enables him to
pafs the remainder of his life in eafe and affluence, is a proper reward for merit, reflecting equal honour on the prince who beftows; and on the fubject who receives; befide affording an en, livening profpeet to others, who have it at heart to do well.

With refpect to the private men, the rotation propofed, ains at improvements far more important than that of making military fervice fall light upon individuals. It tends to unite the fpirit of induftry with that of war; and to form the fame man to be an induftrious labourer, and a good foldier. The continual exercife recommended, cannot fail to produce a fpirit of induftry; which will occafion 2 demand for the private men after their feven years fervice, as valuable above all other labourers, not only for regularity, but for activity. And with refpect to fervice in war, conftant exercife is the life of an army, in the literal as well as metaphorical fenfe. Boldrefs is infpired by ftrength and agility, to which conftant motion mainly contributes. The Roman citizens, trained to arms from their infancy and never allowed to reft, were invincible. To mention no other works, fpacious and durable roads carried to the veryextremities of that valt empire, fhow clearly how the foldiers were employed during peace; which hardened them for war, and made them orderly and fubmiffive (a). So effential was labour held by the Romans for training an army, that they never ventured to face an enemy with troops debilitated with idlencfs. The Roman army in Spain, having been worfted in feveral engagernents and confined within their entrenchments, were funk in idlenefs and luxury. Scipio Nafica, having demolifhed Carthage, took the command of that army; but durit not oppofe it
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to the enemy, till he had accultomed the foldiers to temperance and hard labour. He exercifed them without relaxation, in marching and countermarching, in fortifying camps and demolifhing them, in digging trenches and filling them up, in building high walls and pulling them down; he himfelf, from morning till evening, going about, and directing every operation. Marius, before engaging the Cimbri, exercifed his army in turning the courfe of a river. Appian relates, that Antiochus, during his winter-quarters at Calchis, having married a beautiful virgin with whom he was greatly enamoured, fpent the whole winter in pleafure, abandoning his army to vice and idlenefs; and that when the time of action returned with the fpring, he found his foldiers unfit for fervice. It is reported of Hannibal, that to preferve his troops from the infection of idlenefs, he employed them in making large plantations of olive trees. The Emperor Probus exercifed his legions in covering with vineyards the hills of Gaul and Pannonia. The idlenefs of our foldiers in time of peace, promoting debauchery and licentioufnefs is no lefs deftructive to health than to difcipline. Unable for the fatigues of a firft campaign, our private men die in thoufands, as if fimitten with a peftilence *. We never read of any mortality in the Roman legions, though frequently engaged in climates very different from 3 their

[^13]their own. Let us liften to a judicious writer, to whom every one liftens with delight: "Nous re" marquons aujourd'hui, que nos armées périffent " beaucoup par le travail immoderé des foldats;
"et cependant c'ćtoit par un travail immenfe que " les Romains fe confervoient. La raifon en eft, " je croix, que leurs fatigues étoient continuelles; " au lieu que nos foldats paffent fans ceffe d'un " travail extreme à une extreme oifivêté, ce qui "6 eft la chofe du monde la plus propre à les faire "perir. Il faut que je rapporte ici ce que " les auteurs nous difent de l'education de fol"dats Romains. On les accoutumoit à aller le " pas militaire, c'eft-a-dire, à faire cn cinq heures " vingt milles, et quelquefois vingt-quatre. Pen" dant ces marches, on leur faifoit porter de " poids de foixante livres. On les entretenoit dans " l'habitude de courir et de fauter tout armés; " ills prenoient dans leurs exercices des epees, " de jąvelots, de flèches, d'une péfanteur double " des armes ordinaires; et ces exercices étoient "continuels. Des hommes fi endurcis étoient or" dinairement fains; on ne remarque pas dans les " auteurs que les armées Romaines, qui faifone la " guerre en tant de climats, periffoient beaucoup " par les maladies; au lieu qu'il arrive prefque " continuellement aujourd'hui, que des armées, " fans avoir combattu, fe fondent, pour ainfi dire, "d dans une campagne * (a)." Our author muft be
(2) Montefquieu, Grandeur de Romains, chap. 2.
*" We obferve now-a-days, rhat pur armies are confumed by the fa" tigues and fevere labour of the foldiers; and yet it was alone by la-
" bour and toil that the Romans preferved themfelves from deltruction.
"I believe the reafon is, that their fatigue was continual and unremit-
"ting, while the life of our coldjers is a perpetual tranfition from fe-
"s vere labour to extreme indelence, a life the moft ruinous of all others.
" 1 mult here recite the account which" the Roman authors give of the "education of their foldiers. They were continually habituated to the " military pace, which was, to marcl: in five hours twenty, and fome-
"times twenty-four miles. In thefe marches each foldier carsied ilxty
"pounds weight. Thiy were accuftomed" to run and leap in arms;
" and in their miiitary exercifes, their fwords", javelins, and arrows,
" were twice " fo frength
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here underftood of the early times of the Roman ftate. Military difcipline was much funk in the fourth century when Vegetius wrote (Lib. 3. cap. 14. 15.). The fword and lilum, thofe formidable weapons of their forefathers, were totally laid afide for flings and bows, the weapons of effeminate people. About this time it was, that the Romans left off fortifying their camps, a work too laborious for their weakly conftitutions. Marefchal Saxe, a foldier, not a phyfician, afcribes to the ufe of vinegar the healthinefs of the Roman legions: were vinegar fo falutary, it would of all liquors be the moft in requeft. Exercife without intermiffion, during peace as well as during war, produced that falutary effect; which every prince will find, who is difpofed to copy the Roman difcipline *. The Marefchal guefles better with refpect to a horfe. Difcourfing of cavalry, he obferves, that a horfe becomes hardy and healthful by conftant exercile, and that a young horfe is unable to bear fatigue; for which reaion he declares againft young horfes for the fervice of an army.

That the military branch of the Britifh government is fufceptible of improvements, all the world will admit. To improve it, I have contributed my mite ; which is humbly fubmitted to the public,
" were twice the ordinary weight. Thefe exercifes were continual, which " ro frengthened the conftitution of the men, that they were alsays in " health. We fee no remarks in the Roman authors, that their armies, " in the variety of climates where they made war, ever perihed by dif" eafe; whilft now-a-days it is not unufual, that an army, without ever " coming to an engagement, dwindles away by difeafe in one campaign."

* Rei militaris periti, plus quotidiana armorum exercitia ad fanitatem militum putaverunt prodeffe, quam medicos. Ex quo intelligitur quanto fludio fius armorum artem docendus fit femper exercitus, cum ei laboris confuetudo et in caftris fanitatem, et in conflictu poffit praftare 'victorian. Vegetius, De re militari," lib. 3. cap. 2.-In Englifb tbus: "Our mafters of " the art-military were of opinion, that daily exercife in arms contributed " more to the health of the troops, than the filll of the pliyfician : from " which we may judge, what care mould be taken, to habituate the " foldiers to the exercife of arms, to which they owe both their health " in the camp, and their vietory in the field."
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lic, a judge from which there lies no appeal. It is fubmitted in three views. The firt is, Whether an army, modelled as above, would not fecure us againit the boldelt invader; the next, Whether fuch an ariny be as dangerous to liberty, as an army in its prefent form; and the laft, Whether it would not be a fchool of induftry and moderation to our people.

With refpect to the firf, we fhould, after a few years, have not only an army of fixty thoufand well-difciplined troops, but the command of another army, equally numerous and equally well difciplined. It is true, that tooops inured to war have an advantage over troops that have not the fame experience ; but with affurance it may be pronounced impracticable to land at once in Britain an army that can ftand againft 100,000 Britifh foldiers well difciplined, fighting, even the firlt time, for their country, and for their wives and children,

A war with France raifes a panic on every flight threatening of an invafion, The fecurity afforded by the propofed plan would enable us to act offenfively at fea, inftead of being reduced to keep our flips at home for guarding our coafts. Would Britain any longer be obliged to fupport her continental connections? No fooner does an European prince augment his army or improve military dif. cipline, than his neighbours, taking fright, muft do the fame. May not one hope, that by the plan propofed, or by fome fuch, Britain would be relieved from jealoufy and folicitude about its neighbours?

With refpect to the fecond view, having long enjoyed the fweets of a free government under a fucceflion of mild princes, we begin to forget that our liberties ever were in danger. But drowfy fecurity is of all conditions the mof dangerous; becaufe the ftate may be overwhelmed betore we even dream
dream of $d$ accomplifh foldiers, he and after peace to people: w when he to his wil its prefent not be fo bility and be under their coun the ambit fuppofing prince co the natior might be
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dream of danger. Suppofe only, that a Britifh King, accomplifhed in the art of war and beloved by his foldiers, heads his own troops in a war with France; and after more than one fuccefsful campaign, gives peace to his enemy, on terms advantageous to his people: what fecurity have we for our liberties, when he returns with a victorious army, devoted to his will? I am talking of a ftanding army in its prefent form. Troops modelled as above would not be fo obfequious: a number of the prime nobility and gentry ferving without pay, who could be under no temptation to enilave themfelves and their country, would prove a firm barrier againt the ambitious views of fuch a prince.' And even fuppofing that army to be totally corrupted, the prince could have little hope of fuccefs againit the nation, fupported by a veteran army, that might be relied on as champions for their country.

And as to the laft view mentioned, the plan propofed would promote induftry and virtue, not only among the foldiers; but among the working people in general. To avoid labour and fevere difcipline in the army, men would be fober and induftrious at home; and fuch untractable fpirits as cannot be reached by the mild laws of a free government, would be effectually tamed by military law. At the fame time, as fobriety and innocence are conftant attendants upon induftry, the manners of our people would be much purified; a circumftance of infinite importance to Britain. The falutary influence of the plan, would reach perfons in a higher fphere. A young gentleman, whipt at fchool, or falling behind at college, contracts an averfion to ftudy; and flies to the army, where he is kept in countenance by numbers, idle and ignorant like himfelf. How many young men are thus daily ruined, who, but for the temptatition of idlenefs and gaiety in the army, would have become ufeful fubjects! In the plan under confideration,
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ence, who have no inheritance but perfonal merit? Both find their account in that connection: men of rank become adepts in military affairs, a valuable branch of education for them ; and of. ficers who ferve for pay, acquire friends at court, who will embrace every opportunity of teftifying their gratitude.

The advantages mentioned are great and extenfive ; and yet are not the only advantages. Will it be thought extravagant to hope, that the propofed plan would form a better fyftem of education for young men of fortune, than hitherto has been known in Britain? Before pronouncing fentence againft me, let the following confiderations be weighed. Our youth go abroad to fee the world in the literal fenfe; for to pierce deeper than eye-fight cannot be expected of boys. They refort to gay courts, where nothing is found for imitation but pomp, luxury, diffembled virtues, and real vices: fuch ficenes make an impreffion too deep on young men of a warm imagination. Our plan would be an antidote to fuch poifonous education. Suppofing eighteen to be the earlieft time for the army; here is an object held up to our youth of fortune, for roufing their ambition : they will endeavour to make a figure, and emulation will animate them to excel : fuppofing a young man to have no ambition, fhame however will pulh him on. To acquire the military art, to difcipline their men, to direct the execution of public works, and to conduct other military operations, would occupy their whole time, and banifh idlenefs. A young gentleman, thus guarded againft the enticing vices and fauntering follies of youth, muft be fadly deficient in genius, if, during his feven years fervice, reading and meditation have been totally neglected. Hoping better things from our youth of fortune, I take for granted, that during their fervice they have made fome progrefs, not only in military
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lic fervice, acquires a habit of bufinefs; and as he is conftantly employed for the public, fatriotifm becomes his ruling paffion *.

The advantages of a military education, fuch as that propofed, are not yet exhaufted. Under regular government promoting the arts of peace, focial intercourfe refines, and fondnefs for company increafes in proportion. And hence it is, that capital is crouded with every perfon who can afford to live there. A man of fortune, who has no tafte but for a city life, happens to be forced into the country by bufinefs: finding bufinefs and the country equally infipid, he turns impatient, and flies to town, with a difguft at every rural amufement. In France, the country has been long deferted : in Britain the fame fondnefs for a townlife is gaining ground. A flanger confidering the immenfe fums expended in England upon coun-try-feats, would conclude, in appearance with great certainty, that the Englifh fpend moft of their time in the country. But how would it furprife him to be told, not only that people of faftion in England pafs little of their time there, but that the immenfe fums laid out upon gardening and plea-fure-grounds, are the effect of vanity more than of tafte! In fact, fuch embellifhments are beginning to wear out of falhion; appetite for fociety leaving neither time nor inclination for rural pleafures. If the progrefs of that difeafe can be flayVol. II.
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[^15]ed, the only means is military education. In youth lafting impreflions are made; and men of fortune who take to the army, being confined moftly to the country in prime of life, contract a liking for country occupations and amufements : which withdraw them from the capital, and contribute to the health of the mind, no lefs than of the body.

A military life is the only cure for a difeafe much more dangerous. Moft men of rank are ambitious of thining in public. They may aflume the patriot at the beginning; but it is a falfe appearance, for their patriotifm is only a difguife to favour their ambition. A court life becomes habitual and engrofies their whole foul : the minifter's nod is a law to them; they dare not difobey; for to be reduced to a private ftation, would to them be a cruel misfortune. This impotence of mind is in France fo exceflive, that to banilh a courtier to his country feat, is held an adequate punifhment for the higheft mifdemeanor. 'This fort of flavery is gaining ground in Britain ; and it ought to be dreaded, for fcarce another circumftance will more readily pave the way to abfolute power, if adverfe fate thall aflict us with an ambitious King. There is no effectual remedy to the fervility of a court life, but the military education here recommended.

A military education would contribute equally to moderation in focial enjoyments. The pomp, ceremony, and expence, neceffary to thofe who adhere to a court and live alway in public, are not a little fatiguing and oppreflive. Man is naturally moderate in his defire of enjoyment; and it requires much practice to make him bear excefs without fatiety and difguft. The pain of excefs, prompts men of opulence to pafs fome part of their time in a fnug retirement, where they live at eafe, free from pomp and ceremony. Here is a retirement, which can be reached without any painful circuit; a port of fafety and of peace, to which we are pi-
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loted by military education, avoiding every dangerous rock, and every fatiguing agitation.

Reflecting on the advantages of military education above difplayed, is it.foolifh to think, that our plan might produce a total alteration of manners in our youth of birth and fortune? The idler, the gamefter, the profligate, compared with our military men would make a defpicable figure: fhame, not to talk of pride, would compel them to reform.

How conducive to good government might the propofed plan be, in the hands of a virtuous king, fupported by a public-fpirited miniftry! In the prefent courfe of advancement, a youth of quality who afpires to ferve his country in a civil employment, has nothing to rely on but parliamentary intereft. The military education propofed, would afford him opportunity to improve his talents, and to convince the world of his merit. Honour and applaufe thus acquired, would entitle him to demand preferment; and he ought to be employed, not only as deferving, but as an encouragement to others. Frequent inftances of neglecting men who are patronized by the public, might perhaps prove dangerous to a Britifh minifter.

If I have not all this while been dreaming, here are difplayed illuftrious advantages of the military education propofed. Fondnefs for the fubject excites me to prolong the entertainment ; and I add the following reflection on the education of fuch men as are difpofed to ferve in a public ftation. The fciences are mutually connected: a man cannot be perfect in any one, without being in fome degree acquainted with every one. The fcience of politics in particular, being not a little intricate, cannot be acquired in perfection by any one whofe ftudies have been confined
to a fingle branch, whether relative to peace or to war. The Duke of Marlborough made an emi. nent figure in the cabinet, as well as in the field ; and fo did equally the illuftrious Sully, who may ferve as a model to all minifters. The great aim in modern politics is, to fplit government into the greateft number pollible of departments, trufting nothing to genius. China affords fuch a government in perfection. National affairs are there fo fimplified by divifion, as to require fcarce any capacity in the mandarines. Thefe officers, having little occafion for activity eitĥer of mind or of body, fink down into floth and fenfuality: motives of ambition or of fame make no impreffion : they have not even the delicacy to blufh when they err : and as no punifhment is regarded but what touches the perfon or the purfe, it is not unufual to fee a mandarine beaten with many ftripes, fometimes for a very llight tranfgreffion. Let arts be fubdivided into many parts: the more fubdivifions the better. But I venture to pronounce, that no man ever did, nor ever will, make a capital figure in the government of a flate, whether as a juidge, a general, or a minifter, whofe education is rigidly confined to one fcience *.

Senfible I am that the foregoing plan is in fe. veral refpects imperfect ; but if it be found at bottom, polifh and improvement are eafy operations. My capital aim has been, to obviate the objections that prefs hard againft every military plan, hitherto embraced or propofect. A. ftanding army in its prefent form is dangerous to liberty; and but a feeble bulwark againft fuperior force. On the other hand, a nation in which every fubject is
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is a foldier, mult not indulge any hopes of becoming powerful by manufactures and commerce: it is indeed vigoroufly defended, but is fcarce worthy of being defended. The golden mean of rotation and conflant labour in a flanding army, would difcipline multitudes for peace as well as for war. And a nation fo defended, would be inwincible.
SKETKH X.

## Public Police woith refpect to the Poor.

AMONG the induftrious nations of Europe, regulations for the poor, make a confiderable branch of public police. Thefe regulations are fo multiplied and fo anxioully framed, as to move one to think, that there cannot remain a fingle perfon under neceffity to beg. It is however a fad truth, that the difeale of poverty, inftead of being eradicated, has become more and more inveterate. England in particular overflows with beggars, though in no other country are the indigent fo amply provided for. Sonie radical defect there mult be in thefe reguations, when, after endlefs attempts to perfect them; they prove abortive. Every writer, diflatisfied with former plans, fails not to produce one of his own; which, in its turn, meets with as little approbation as any of the foregoing:
The firt regulation of the fates of Holland concerning the poor, was in the year 1614 , prohibiting all begging. The next was in the year s649. " It is enacted, That every town, village,
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the innocent poor; their maintenance being a burden upon monafteries. But monafteries beinr put down by Henry VIII. a flatute, 22d yeai ot his reign, cap. 12. impowered the juftices of every county, to licenfe poor aged and impotent perfons to beg within a certain diftrict; thofe who beg without it, to be whipt, or fet in the ftocks. In the firft year of Edward VI. cap. 3. a flatute was made in favour of impotent, maimed, and aged perfons, that they fhall have convenient houles provided for them, in the cities or towns where they were born, or where they refided for three years, to be relieved by the willing and charitable dippoftion of the parilhioners. By 2d and 3 d Philip and Mary, cap, 5. the former flatutes of Henry VIII. and Edward VI. were confirmed, of gathering weekly relief for the poor by charitable collections. "A man licenfed to " beg, fhall wear a badge on his breaft and back " openly."
The firf compulfory flatute was 5 Flizab.cap. 3. empowering juftices of peace to raife a weekly fum for the poor, by taxing fuch perfons as obflinately refufe to contribute, after repeated admonitions from the pulpit. In the next fatute, 14 Elizab. cap. 5. a bolder ftep was made, empowering juftices to tax the inhabitants of every parifh, in a weekly' fum for their poor. And taxations for the poor being now in fome degree familiar, the remarkable ftatutes, 39 Elizab.cap. 3. and 43 Elizab. cap. 2. were enacted, which are the ground-work of all the fubfequent fatutes concerning the poor. By thefe flatuies, certain houfeholders, named by the juftices, are, in conjunction with the church-wardens, appointed overfeers for the poor ; and thofe overfeers, with conlent of two juftices, are empowered to tax the parifh in what fums they think proper, for maintaining the poor.

Among a people fo tenacious of liberty as the Englifh are, and fo impatient of oppreflion, is it not furprifing to find a law, that without ceremony fubjects individuals to be taxed at the arbitrary will of men, who feldom either by birth or education deferve that important truft ; and without even providing any effectual check againft embezzlement? At prelent a Britih parliament would. reject with fcorn fuch an abfurd plan ; and yet, being familiarized to it, they never ferioully have attempted a repeal. We have been always on the watch to prevent the fovereigns encroachments; efpecially with regard to taxes: but as parifh-officers are low perfons who infpire no dread, we fubmit to have our pockets picked by them, almoft without repining. There is provided, it is true, an appeal to the general feflions for redreffing inequalities in taxing the parifhioners. But it is no effectual remedy: artful overfeers will not over-rate any man fo grofsly as to make it his intereft to complain, confidering that thefe overfeers have the poor's money to defend themfelves with. Nor will the general feffions readily liften to a complaint, that cannot be verified but with much time and trouble. If the appeal have any effect, it makes a ftill greater inequality, by relieving men of figure at the expence of their inferiors; who mult fubmit, having little intereft to obtain redrefs.

The Englifh plan, befide being oppreffive, is grofsly unjuft. If it fhould be reported of fome diftant nation, that the burden of maintaining the idle and profligate, is laid upon the frugal and induftrious, who work hard for a maintenance to themfelves; what would one think of fuch a nation? Yet this is literally the cafe of England. 1 fay more: the plan is not only oppreffive and unjuit, but miferably defective in the checking of mal.
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[^17]mal-adminiftration. In fact, great fums are levied beyond what the poor receive: it requires briguing to be named a church-warden : the nomination, in London efpecially, gives him credit at once; and however meagre at the commencement of his office, lie is round and plump before it ends. To wax fat and rich by robbing the poor! Let us turn our cyes from a fcene fo horrid*.

Inequality in taxing, and embezzlement of the money levied, which are notorious, poifon the minds of the people; and imprefs them with a notion, that all taxes raifed by public authority are ill-managed.

Thefe evils are great, and yet are but nlight compared with what follow. As the number of poor in England, as well as the expence of maintenance, are increafing daily ; ; proprietors of land, in order to, be relieved of a burden fo grievous; drive the poor out of the parifh, and prevent all perfons from fettling in it who are likely to become a burden : cottages are demolithed, and marriage obitructed. Influenced by the prefent evil, they look not forward to depopulation, nor to the downfall of hufbandry and manufactures by fcarcity of hands.Every parifh is in a ftate of war with every other parifh, concerning pauper fettlements and removals.

The price of labour is generally the fame in the different fhires of Scotland, and in the diffe-
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rent parifhes. A few exceptions are occafioned by the neighbourhood of a great town, or by fome extenfive manufacture that requires many hands. In Scotland the price of labour refem: bles water, which always levels itfelf: if high in any one corner, an influx of hands brings it down. The price of labour varies in every parifh of England : a labourer who has gained a fettlement in a parifh, on which he depends for bread when lie inclines to be idle, dares not remove to another parith where wages are higher, fearing to be cut out of a fettlement altogether. England is in the fame condition with refpect to labour, that France lately was with refpect to corn ; which họwever, plentiful in one province, could not be exported to fupply the wants of another. The pernicious effects of the latter with refpect to food, are not more obvious, than of the former with refpect to manufactures.
Englifh manufactures labour under a ftill greater hardlhipt than inequality of wages. In a country where there is no fund for the poor but what nature provides, the labourer mult be fatisfied with fuch wages as are cuftomary: he has no refource ; for pity is not moved by idlenefs. In England,' the 'labourers command the market : if-not fatisfied with cuftomary wages, they have a tempting refource; which is, to abandon work altogether, and put themfelves on the parifh. Labour is much cheaper in France than in England : feveral plaufible reafons have been affigned; but in my judgement the difference arifes from the poor-laws. In England every man is entitled to be idle ; becaufe every idler is entitled to a maintenance. In France, the funds allotted for the poor, yield the fame fum annualiy: that fum is always pre-occupied; and France, with refpect to
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all but thofe on the lift, is a nation that has no fund provided by law for the poor.

Depopulation, inequality in the price of labour, and extravagant wages are deplorable evils. But the Englifh poor-laws are productive of evils ftill more deplorable : they are fubverfive both of morality and induftry. This is a heavy charge, but no lefs true than heavy. Fear of want is the only effectual motive to induftry with the labouring poor: remove that fear, and they ceafe to be induftrious. The ruling paffion of thofe who live by bodily labour, is to fave a pittance for their children, and for fupporting themfelves in old age: ftimulated by defire of accomplifhing thefe ends, they are frugal and induftrious; and the profpect of fuccefs is to them a continual feaft. Now, what worfe can malice invent againtt fuch a man, under colour of friendhip, than to fecure bread to him and his children whenever he takes a dinlike to work; which effectually deadens his fole ambition, and with it his honeft induftry ? Relying on the certainty of a provinion againft want, he relaxes gradually till he finks into idlenefs : idlenefs leads to protligacy: profligacy begets difeafes : and the wretch becomes an object of public charity before he has run half his courfe. Such are the genuine effects of the Englifh tax for the poor, under a mitaken notion of charity. There never was known in any country, a fcheme for the poor more contradictory to found policy. Might it not have been forefeen, that to a groveling creature, who has no fenfe of honour and fcarce any of thame, the certainty of maintenance would prove an irrefiftible temptation to idlenefs and debauchery: The poor-houfe at Lyons contained originally but forty beds, of which twenty only were occupied. The eight hundred bedsit conmins at prclent, are not fufficient for thofe who
demand admittance. A premium is not more fucceffful in any cale, than where given to promote idlenefs *. A houle for the poor was erected in a French village, the revenue of which by economy became confiderable. Upon a reprefentation by the curate of the parifh that more beds were neceflary, the proprietor undertook the management. He fold the houfer with the furniture ; and to every proper object of charity, he ordered a moderate proportion of bread and beef. :The poor and fick were more comfortably lodged at home, than formerly in the poar-houle. .. And by that management, the parifi-poor decreafed, inftead of increafing as at Lyons. How few Englifh manufacturers labour the whole week, if the work of four or five days afford them maintenance? Is not this a demonfration, that the malady of idlenefs is widely fpread ? In Briftol, the parifh-poor twenty years ago did not exceed four thoufand: at prefent, they amount to more than ten thoufand. But as; a malady when left to itfelf, commonly , effectuates its own cure; fo it will be in this cafe : when, by prevailing idlenefs, every one
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[^20]one without fhame claims parih-charity, the burden will become intolerable, and the poor will be left to their fhifts.

The immoral effects of public charity are not confined to thofe who depend on it; but extend to their children. The conftant anxiety of a labouring man to provide for his iffue, endears them to him. Being relieved of that anxiety by the tax for the poor, his affection cools gradually, and he turns at laft indifferent about them. Their independence, on the other hand, weans them from their duty to him. And thus, affection between parent and child, which is the corner-ftone of fociety, is in a great meafure obliterated among the labouring poor. In a plan publifhed by the Earl of Hilliborough, an article is propofed to oblige parents to maintain their indigent children, and children to maintain their indigent parents: $\mathrm{Na}-$ tural affection mult be at a low ebb, where fuch a regulation is neceffary : but it is neceffary, at leaft in London, where it is common to fee men in good bufinefs neglecting their aged and difeafed parents, for no better reafon than that the parifh is bound to find them bread : Prob tempora, prob morcs!

The immoral effects of public charity fpread ftill wider. It fails not to extinguifh the virtue of charity among the rich ; who never think of giving charity, when the public undertakes for all. In a fcheme publifhed by Mr. Hay, one article is, to raife a ftock for the poor by voluntary contributions, and to make up the deficiency by a parilh-tax. Will individuals ever contribute, when it is not to relieve the poor, but to relieve the parifh ? Every hofpital has a poor-box, which feldom produces any thing *. The great comfort
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[^21]of fociety is affiftance in time of need; and its firm. eft cement is, the beftowing and receiving kindly offices, eípecially in diftrefs. Now to unhinge or fufpend the exercife of charity, relaxes every focial virtue by fupplanting the chief of them. The confequence is difmal : exercife of benevolence to the diftreffed is our firmeft guard againft the encroachments of felfifhnefs: if that guard be with. drawn, felfifhnefs will prevail, and become the ruling paffion. In fact, the tax for the poor has contributed greatly to the growth of that broveling paffion, fo confpicuous at prefent in England.

Englifh authors who turn their thoughts to the poor, make heavy complaints of decaying charity, and increafing poverty: never once dreaming, that thefe are the genuine effects of a legal pro. vifion for the poor; which on the one hand era. dicates the virtue of charity, and on the other is a violent temptation to idlenefs. Wonderfully ill contrived muft the Englifh charity-laws be, when their confequences are to fap the foundation of voluntary charity; to deprive the labouring poor of their chief comfort, that of providing for them. felves and children; to relax mutual affection between parent and child; and to reward, inftead of punifhing, idlenefs and vice. Confider whether a legal provifion for the poor, be fufficient to atone for fo many evils.

No man had better opportunity than Fielding to be acquainted with the flate of the poor: let us liften to him. "That the poor are a very great " burden, and even a nuifance to the kingdom; " that the laws for relieving their diftrefles and " reftraining their vices, have not anfwered; and " that they are at prefent very ill provided for " and much worfe governed, are truths which every "" one will acknowiedge. Every perfon who hath " property, mult feel the weight of the tax that " is levied for the poor; and every perfon of un" dertanding, inge or ery fo.
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" derftanding, muft fee how abfurdly: it is appli" ed. So ufelefs indeed is this heavy tax and fo " wretched its difpofition, that it is a queftion, " whether the poor or rich are actually more dif" fatisfied; fince the plunder of the one ferves fo " little to the real advantage of the other; for " while a million yearly is raifed among the rich, " many of the poor are ftarved; many more lan" guilh in want and mifery; of the reft, num" bers are found begging or pilfering in the ftreets " to-day, and to-morrow are locked up in gaols " and Bridewells. If we were to make a progrefs " through the outfkirts of the metropolis, and look " into the habitations of the poor, we. fhould " there behold fuch pictures of human mifery, as " mult move the compaffion of every heart that "deferves the name of bu . What indeed muft " be his compofition, who :ould fee whole families " in want of every neceflary of life, oppreffed with " hunger, cold, nakednefs, and filth; and with " difeafes, the confequence of all thefe! The fuff" erings indeed of the poor are lefs known than " their mifdeeds; and therefore we are lefs apt to " pity them. They ftarve, and freeze, and rot, " among themfelves; but they beg, and fteal, and " rob, among their betters. There is not a parifl " in the liberty of Weftminfter, which doth not " raife thoufands annually for the poor; and there " is not a ftreet in that liberty, which doth not " fwarm all day with beggars, and all night with " thieves."

There is not a fingle beggar to be feen in Penfylvania. Luxury and idlenefs have got no fonting in that happy country ; and thofe who fuffer by misfortune, have maintenance out of the public treafury. But luxury and idlenefs cannot for ever be excluded; and when they prevail, this regulation will be as pernicious in Penfylvania, as the poor-rates are in Britain.

Of the many propofals that have been publifhed for reforming the poor-laws, not one has pierced to the root of the evil. None of the authors entertain the flighteft doubt of a legal provifion being neceffary, though all our diftreffes arife evidently from that very caufe. Travellers complain, of being infefted with an endlefs number of beggars in every Englifh town ; a very different fcene from what they meet with in Holland or Switz. eriand. How would it furprife them to be told, that this proceeds from an overflow of charity in the good people of England!

Few inftitutions are more ticklifh than thofe of charity. In London, common proftitutes are treated with fingular humanity: a hofpital for them when pregnant, difburdens them of their load, and nurfes them till they be again fit for bufinefs: another hofpital cures them of the venereal difeafe : and a third receives them with open arms, when, inftead of defire, they become objects of avertion. Would not one imagine that thefe hofpitals have been erected for enco araging proftitution ? They undoubtedly have that effect, though far from being intended. Mr. Stirling, fuperintendant of the Edinburgh poor-houfe, deferves a ftatue for a fcheme he contrived to reform common proftitutes. A number of them were confined in a houfe of correction, on a daily allowance of three pence ; and even part of that finall pittance was embezaled by the fervants of the houfe. Pinching hunger did not reform their manners ; for being $a b$ folutely idle, they encouraged each other in vice, waiting impatiently for the hour of deliverance, Mr. Stirling, with confent of the magiftrates, removed to a clean houfe; and inftead of money, which is apt to be fquandered, appointed for each
pound of oat-meal daily, with falt, water, and fire for cooking. . Relieved now from diftrefs, they longed for comfort : what would they not give for
milk or plenty. flax and price of the matcr bout nine to what $t$ fipin, one firlt quart work. It fuch as ir appeared i danger of
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In every work-houfes for thofe wl vork who a former, men want of em he beft at elves. With
Vol. II. inefs : ifeafe : when, ertion. $s$ have They om beof the for a titutes. ufe of bence ; mbezg huning ab. n vice, erance, es, renoney, or each $r$, and s, they ive for milk
milk or ale? Work, fays he, will procure you plenty. : To fome who offered to fpin, he gave Hlax and wheels, engaging to pay them half the price of their yarn, retaining the other half for the matcrials furnifhed. The fpinners earned about nine-pence weekly, a comfortable addition to what they had before. The reft undertook to fipin, one after another; and before the end of the firft quarter, they were all of them intent upon work. It was a branch of his plan, to fet frec fuch as merited $t$.at favour; and fome of them appeared fo thoroughly reformed, as to be in no danger of a relaple.

The ingenious author of The Police of France, who wroie in the year 1753, obferves, that notvithftanding the plentiful provifion for the poor in that kingdom, mentioned above, there was a general complaint of the increafe of beggars and vagrants; and adds, that the French political writers, diffatisfied with their own plan, had prefented feveral memorials to the miniftry, propoling to adopt the Englifh parochial affeffments, as greatly preferable. This is a curious fact; for at that very time, people, in London; no lefs diffatisfied with thefe affeffments, were writing pamphlete in praife of the French hofpitals. One thing is certain, that no plan hitherto invented, has given fatisfaction. Whether an unexceptionable plan is at all poffible, feents extremely doubtful.
In every plan for the poor that I have feen, work-houfes make one article; to proride work for thofe who are willing, and to make thofe vork who are unwilling. With refpect to the former, men need never be idle in England for want of employmeat ; and they always fucceed he beft at the employment they chufe for themelves. With reipect to the latter, punifhment will Vol. II.
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of feven, nor above that of twelve : under feven, they are too tender for an hofpital ; above twelve their relations can find employment for them. Befide the being taught to read and write, they are carefully inftructed in fome art, that may afford them comfortable fubfiftence.

No man ever called in queftion the utility of the marine fociety; which will reflect honour on the members as long as we have a navy to proe tect us: they deferve a rank above that of gartered knights. That inftitution is the moft judicious exertion of charity and patriotifin, that ever exifted in any country.

A fort of hofpital for fervants who for twenty years have faithfully adhered to the fame mafter, would be much my tafte; with a few adjoining acres for a kitchen-garden. The fund for $\mathrm{pu}^{-}$. chafing, building, and maintenance, muft be taned by contribution ; and none but the contributors, flould be entitled to offer fervants to the houfe. By fuch encouragement, a malady would be remedied, that of wandering from mafter to mafter for better wages, or eafier fervice; which feldom fail, to corrupt fervants. They ought to be comfortably provided for, adding to the allowance of the houfe what pot-herbs are raifed by their own labour. A number of virtuous men thus affociated, would end their days in comfort ; and the profpect of attaining a fettlement fo agreeable, would form excellent fervants. How advantageous would uch a hofpital prove to hufbandry in particular! But I confine this hofpital to fervants who are finle. Men whon have a family will be better pravid. d feparately.
Of all the mifchiefs that have been engendered py over-anxiety about the poor, none have proved more fatal than a foundling-hofital. They tend o cool affection for children, ftill more effecually than the Englifh parifh-charity. At every E 2 the hofpital ; and parental affection among the lower fort turns fo languid, that many who are in no pinch, relieve themfelves of trouble by the fame means. It is affirnned, that of the children born annually in Paris, about a third part are fent to the foundling-hofpital. The Paris almanack for the year 1768, mentions, that there were baptized 18,576 infants, of whom the foundling hofpital received 6025 . The fame almanack for the year 1773 bcars, that of 18518 children born and baptized, 5989 were fent to the foundling-hofpital. The proportion originally was much lefs; but vice advances with a fwift pace, How enormous muft be the degeneracy of the Parifian populace, and their want of parental affection!

Let us next turn to infants fhut up in this hofpital. Of all animals, infants of the human race are the weakeft : they require a mother's affection to guard them againft numberlefs difeafes and accidents; a wife appointment of Providence to connect parents and children in the ftricteft union. In a foundling-hofpital, there is no fond mother to watch over her tender babe; and the hireling rurfe has no fondnefs but for her own little protit. Need we any other caufe for the deftruction of infants in a foundling-hofpital, much greater in proportion than of thofe tunder the care of a mother? And yet there is another caufe equally potent, which is corrupted air. What Mr. Hanway obferves upon parim work-houfes, is equally applicable to a foundling. hofpital. "To attempt," fays he, " to nourifh " an infant in a work-houfe, where a number cs of nurfes are congregated into one room, and "confequently the air become putrid, I will pro" nounce, from intimate knowledge of the fub* ject,
" ter that of pital, th and acco ney bef menceme L. 166, perfons Down th ous than pofed at taken up: the infan red nurfe haps little natural $m$ terly paym better to years; and time, to $g$ A houle der; but b concerns ment of $x$ row a tho proper puni ment that he obferves honour, ne tuous.
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" ject, to be but a fmall remove from faugh" ter; for the cbild mu/t die." It is computed, that of the children in the London founding-hofpital, the half do not live a year. It appears by an account given in to parliament, that the money beftowed on that hofpital from its commencement till December 1757 amounted to L. 166,000 ; and yet during that period, 105 perfons only were put out to do for themfelves. Down then with foundling-hofpitals, more noxious than peftilence or famine. An infant expofed at the door of a dwelling-houfe, muift be taken up: but in that cafe, which feldom happens, the infant has a better chance for life with a hired nurfe than in a hofpital; and a chance perhaps little worfe, bad as it is, than with an unnatural mother. I approve not indeed of a quarterly payment to fuch a nurfe: would it not do better to furnifh her bare maintenance for three years; and if the child be alive at the end of that time, to give her a handfome addition?

A houfe of correction is neceflary for good order; but belongs not to the prefent eflay, which concerns maintenance of the poor, not punifhment of vagrants. I fhall only by the way borrow a thought from Fielding, that fafting is the proper punilhment of profligacy, not any punifh. ment that is attended with fhame. Punifhment, he obferves, that deprives a man of all fenfe of honour, never will contribute to make him virtuous.
Charity-fchools may have been proper, when few could read, and fewer write ; but thefe arts are now fo common, that in moof families children may be taught to read at home, and to write in a private fchooi at little expence. Cha-rity-fchools at prefent are more hurtful than beneficial : young perfons who continue there folong argument would be invincible, if without regular education we could have no knowledge of thefe principles. But Providence has not left man in a ftate fo imperfect: religion and mora. lity are ftamped on his heart; and none can be ignorant of them, who attend to their own perceptions. Education is indeed of ufe to ripen fuch perceptions; and it is of fingular ufe to thofe who have time for reading and thinking: but education in a charity-fchool is fo night, as to render it doubtful, whether it be not more hurtful by foftering lazinefs, than advantageous by conveying inftruction. The natural impreflions of religion and morality, if not obfcured by vicious habits, are fufficient for good condyct: preferve a man from vice by conftant labour, and he will not be deficient in his duty either to God or to man. Hefiod, an ancient and ref. pectable poet, fays, that God hath placed labour as a guard to virtue. More integrity accordingly will be found among a number of induftrious poor, taken at random, than among the fame number in any other clafs.

I heartily approve every regulation that tends to prevent idlenefs. Chief Juftice Hale fays, "That "i prevention of poverty and idlenefs would do "r more good than all the gibbets, whipping. "ports, and gaols in the kingdom." In that view,
view, ga as well meetings low peop a fource fame pri ment bor nounce, in the e practice nicious ef
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view, gaming-houfes ought to be heavily taxed, as well as horfe-racing, cock-fighting, and all meetings that encourage idlenefs. The admitting low people to vote for members of parliament, is a fource of idlenefs, corruption and poverty. The fame privilege is ruinous to every fmall parliament borough. Nor have I any difficulty to pronounce, that the admitting the populace to vote in the election of a parifh-minitter, a frequent practice in Scotland, is productive of the fame pernicious effects.

What then is to be the refult of the foregoing enquiry? Is it from defect of invention that a good legal eftablithment for poor is not yet difcovered? or is it impracticable to make any legal eftablifhment that is not fraught with corruption ? I incline to the latter, for the following reafon, no lefs obvious than folid, That in a legal cftablifhment for the poor, no diftinction can be made between virtue and and vice ; and confequently that every fuch eftablifhment muft be a premium for idlenefs. And where is the neceffity, after all, of any public eftablifhment? By what unhappy prejudice have people been led to think, that the Author of our nature, fo beneficent to his favourite man in every other refpect, has abandoned the indigent to famine and death, if municipal law interpofe not? We need but infpect the human heart to be convinced, that perfons in diftrefs are his peculiar care. Not only has he made it,our duty to afford them relief, but has fuperadded the paffion of pity to enforce the performance of that duty. This branch of our nature fulfils in perfection all the falutary purpofes of charity, without admitting any one of the evils that a legal provifion is fraught with. The contrivance, at the fame time, is extremely fimple: it leaves to every man the objects as well as meafure of his charity. No man efteems it a duty
to relieve wretches reduced to poverty by idlenefs and profligacy: they move not our pity; nor do they expect any good from us. Wifely therefore is it ordered by Providence, that charity thould in every refpect be voluntary, to prevent the idle and profigate from depending on it for fupport.
This plan is in many refpects excellent. The exercife of charity, when free from compulfion, is highly pleafant. There is indeed little pleafure where charity is rendered unncceflary by municipal law ; but were that law laid afide, the gratification of pity would become one of our fwectent enjoyments. Charity, like other affections,' is in. vigorated by exercife, and no lefs enfeebled by difufe. Providence withal liath fcattered benevolence among the foris of men with a liberal hand: and notwitfiftanding the obftruction of municipal law, feldom is there found one fo obdurate, as to refift' the impuffe of compaffion, when a proper öbject is prefented. In a well regulated government, promoting induftry and virtue, the perfons who need charity are not many; and fuch perfons may with affurance depend on the charity of their neighbours *.

It may at the fame time be boldly affirmed, that thofe who need charity, would be more coinfortably provided for by the plan of Providence, than by any legal eftablifhment. Creatures loathrome by difeafe or suaftinefs, affect the air in a poor-houfe; and have little chance for life, without more care and kindlinefs than can be expected from fervants, rendered callous by continual fcenes of mifery. Confider, on the other hand, the confequences of voluntary charity, equally agreea-
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ble to the giver and receiver. The kindly connection it forms between them, grows ftronger and ftronger by reiteration; and fquallid poverty, far from being an obftruction, excites a degree of pity, proportioned to the diftrefs. It may hapien for a wonder, that an indigent perfon is overlooked; but for one who will fuffer by fuch neglect, multitudes fuffer by compelled charity.

But what I infift on with peculiar fatisfaction is, that natural charity is an illuflrious fupport to virtue. Indigent virtue can never fail of relief, becaufe it never fails to enflame compaflion. Indigent vice, on the contrary, raikes indignation more than pity (a); and therefore can have little profpect of relief. What a gloriaus incitement to induftry and virtue, and how difcouraging to idlenefs and vice! Will it be thought chinerical to obferve further, that to teave the indigent on providence, will iend to improve manorers as well as virtue ainong the lower claffes ? Noman can think himfelf fecure againt being reduced to depend on his neighbours for bread. The inflaence of that thought, will make every one folicitous to acquire the good will of others. Lamentable it is, that fo beautiful a fructure fhould be razed to the foundation by municipal law, which, in providing for the poor, makes no diftinction between virtue and vice. The execution of the poor-laws would be impracticable, were fuch a ditinction attempted by enquiring into the conduct and character of every pauper.. Where are judges to be found who will patiently follow out fuch a dark and intricate expifcation? To accomplifh the tadk, a man mult abandon every other concern.

In the firlt Englifh flatutes mentioned above, the legiflature appear carefully to have avoided compulfory charity: every meafure for promoting voluntary charity was firft tried, before the fatal blow

[^23]was. ftruck, empowering parifh-officers to impofe a tax for the poor. The legiflature certainly did not forefee the baneful confequences: but how came they not to fee that they were diftrufting Providence, declaring in effect, that the plan eftablifhed by our Maker for the poor, is infufficient? Many are the municipal laws that enforce the laws of nature, by additional rewards and punifhments; but it was fingularly bold to abolifh the natural law of charity, by eftablifhing a legal tax in its ftead. Men will always be mending : what a confufed jumble do they make, when they attempt to mend the laws of Nature? Leave Nature to her own operations: fhe underftands them the beft.

Few regulations are more plaufible than what are political; and yet few are more deceitful. A writer, blind with partiality for his country, makes the following obfervations upon the $43^{\circ}$ Elifab. eftablifhing a maintenance for the poor. "Laws " have been enacted in many other countries, which " have punifhed the idle beggar, and exhorted the "6 rich to extend their charity to the poor : but it " 6 is peculiar to the humanity of England, to have " made their fupport a matter of obligation and " neceffity on the more wealthy. The Englifh " feem to be the firft nation in Europe in fcience, "" arts, and arms: they likewife are poffeffed of " the freeft and moft perfect of conftitutions, and " the bleffings confequential to that freedom. If " virtues in an individual are fometimes fuppofed " to be rewarded in this world, I do not think it " too prefumptious to fuppofe, that national vir. " tues may likewife meet with their reward. Eng"c land hath, to its peculiar honour, not only made " 6 their poor free, but hath provided a certain "s and folid eftablifhment to prevent their neceffi" ties and indigence, when they arife from what " the law calls the act of God: and are not thefe " beneficent and humare attentions to the miferies
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" of our fellow-creatures, the firit of thofe poor " pleas which we are capable of offering, in be" half of our imperfections, to an allwife and merci" ful Creator !" To this writer I oppofe another, whofe reflections are more found. "In England, " there is an act of the legiflature, obliging every " parilh to maintain its own poor. Scarce any man " living, who has not feen the effects of this law, " but mult approve of it ; and yet fuch are its " effects, that the ftreets of London are filled "" with objects of mifery beyond what is feen in " any other city. The labouring poor, depending " on this law to be provided for in ficknefs and old "" age, are little folicitous to fave, and become " habitually profufe. The principle of charity is efta" blifhed by Providence in the human heart, for " relieving thofe who are difabled to work for them" felves. And if the labouring poor had no de" pendence but on the principle of charity, they " would be more religious; and if they were in" fluenced by religion, they would be lefs aban"d doned in their behaviour. Thus this feeming" good act turns to a national evil: there is more " diftrefs among the poor in London than any " where in Eurnpe ; and more drunkennefs both " in males and "emales (a)."

I am aware, that during the reign of Elifabeth, fome compulfion might be neceffary to preferve the poor from ftarving. Her father Henry had fequeftered all the hofpitals, a hundred and ten in number, and fquandered their revenues; he had alfo "demolifhed all the abbeys. By thefe means the poor were reduced to a miferable condition; efpecially as private charity, for want of exercife, was at a low ebb. That critical juncture required indeed help from the legillature : and a temporary provifion for the poor would have been a proper

[^24]proper mealure; fo contrived as not to fuperfede voluntary charity, but rather to promote it. Unlucky it is for England, that fuch a meafure was overlooked; but Queen Elifabeth and her parliaments had not the talent of forefeeing the confequences without the aid of experience. A perpetual tax for the poor was impofed, the moft pernicious tax that ever was impofed in any country.

With refpect to the prefent times, the reafon now given pleads againft abolifhing at once a le. gal provifion for the poor. It may be taken for granted, that charity is in England not more vigorous at prefent, than it was in the days of Elifabeth. Would our miniftry but lead the way, by fhowing fome zeal for reformation, expedients would probably be invented for fupporting the poor, without unhinging voluntary charity. The following expedient is propofed, merely as a fpecimen. Let a tax be impofed by parliament on every parifh for their poor, variable in proportion to the number ; but not to exceed the half of what is necef. fary: directing the landholders to make up quarterly, a lift of the names and condition of fuch perions as in their opinion deferve charity; with an eftimate of what each ought to have weekly. The public tax makes the half, and the other half is to be raifed by voluntary contribution. To prevent collufion, the roll of the poor, and their weekly appointment, with a fubfcription of gentlemen for their part of the fum, fhall be examined by the juftices of peace at a quarterly meeting; who, on receiving fatisfaction, muft order the fum arifing from the public tax to be diftributed among the poor contained in the roll, according to the eftimate of the landholders. As the public fund lies dead till the fubfcription be completed, it is not to be imagined that any gentleman will ftand out ; it would be a public imputation on his character. Far from apprehending any deficiency, confident
fident I it as honc able wor feverity, fligate, $n$ followed fo as in rity, with
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Even a neglect or folutely to the moft ing diftre will rufh wan dering no tempt: berty to mon begg who give have, to dy is not out being even at the tion, that
fident I am, that every gentleman would confider it as honourable to contribute largely. This agreeable work mult be blended with fome degree of feverity, that of excluding from the roll every profligate, male or female. If that rule be ftrictly followed out, the innocent poor will diminifh daily; fo as in time to be fafely left upon voluntary charity, without neceflity of any tax.

But muft miferable wretches reduced to poverty by idlenefs or intemperance, be, in a Chriftian country, abandoned to difeafes and famine? This is the argument, fhallow as it is, that has corrupted the induftry of England, and reduced multitudes to difeafes and famine. Thofe who are able to work, may be locked up in a houfe of correction, to be fed with bread and water; but with liberty of working for themfelves. find as for the remainder, their cafe is not defperate, when they have accefs to fuch tender-hearted perfons as are more eminent for pity than for principle. If by neglect or overfight any happen to die of want, the example will tend more to reformation, than the moft pathetic difcourfe from the pulpit.

Even at the hazard of lofing a few lives by neglect or overfight, common begging ought abfolutely to be prohibited. The moft profligate are the moft impudent, and the moft expect at feigning diftrefs. If begging be indulged to any, all will rufh into the public: idlers are fond of that wandering and indolent fort of life; and there is no temptation to idlenefs more fuccefsful, than liberty to beg. In order to be relieved from common beggars, it has been propofed, to fine thofe who give them alms. Little penetration muft they bave, to whom the infufficiency of fuch a remedy is not palpable. It is eafy to give alms without being feen ; and compaffion will extort alms, even at the hazard of fuffering for it; not to mention, that every one in fuch a cafe would avoid
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Sk. X. The Poor.
to inform the poor of the provifion made for them. Some fuch mode may probably be effectual; without tranfgreffing the bounds of voluntary charity. But if any one obftinately refufe to contribute after feveral applications, the committee at theit difcretion may tax him. If it be the poffeffor who declines contributing, the tax muft be laid upon liin, referving relief againft his landlord.

In great towns, the poor who ought to be prohibited from begging, are lefs known than in country-parifhes : and among a croud of inhabitants, it is eafier for an individual to efcape the public eye when he with-holds charity, than in country-parifhes. Both defects would be remedied by the plan above propofed: it will bring to light, in great cities, the poor who deferve charity ; and it will bring to light every perfon who with-holds charity.

In every regulation for the poor, Englifh and Scotch, it is taken for granted, that the poor are to be maintained in their own houfes. Pa rochial poor-houfes are creeping into fafhion: a few are already erected both in England and Scotland; and there is depending in parliament a plan for eftablifhing poor-houfes in every part of England. Yet whether they ought to be preferred to the accuftomed mode, deferves ferious confideration. The erection and management of a poor-houle are expenfive articles; and if they do not upon the whole appear clearly beneficial, it is better to ftop: fhort in time.

Economy is the great motive that inclines people to this new mode of providing for the poor. It is imagined, that numbers collected at a common table, can be maintained at lefs expence than in feparate houfes; and foot-foldiers are given for an example, who could not live on their pay if they did not mefs togethcr. But the cafes are not parallel. Soldiers; having the management of
their pay, can club for a bit of meat. But as the inhabitants of a poor-houle are maintained by the public, the fame quantity of provifions muft be allotted to each; as there can be no good rule for feparating thofe who eat much from thofe who eat little. The confequence is what may be expected: the bull of them referve part of their victuals for purchafing ale or fririts. it is vain to expect work from them: poor wretches void of thame will never work ferioully, where the profit accrues to the public, not to themfelves. Hunger is the only efleetual means for compelling luch perfons to work.

Where the poor are fupported in their own houfes, the firft thicg that is doae, or ought to be done, is to eftimate what each can earn by their own labour; and as far only as that falls thort of maintenance, is there place for charity. They will be as induftrious as poffible, becaufe they work for themfelves; and a weekly fuin of charity under their own management, will turn to better account, than in a poor-houfe, under the ditection of mercenaries. The quantity of food for health depends greatly on cuftom. Bufbequius ohferves, that the Turks eat very little tlefh-meat; and that the Janizaries in particular, at that time a moft formidable infantry, were maintained at an expence far below that of a German. Wafers, cakes, boiled rice, with fnall bits of mutton or pullet, were their higheft entertainment, fermented liquors being abfolutely prohibited. The famous Montecuculi fays, that the Janizaries eat but once a-day, about fin-fet; and that cuftom makes it eafy. Negroes are maintained in the Weft-Indies at a very fmall expence. A bit of ground is allotted to them for raifing vegetables, which they cultivate on Sunday, being employed all the reft of the week in labouring for their mafters. They receive a weèk-
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ly allowance of dried fifl, about a pound and a half; and their only drink is water. Yet by vegerabies and water with a morfel of dried fifh, thefe pcople are fufficiently nourifhed to perform the hardeft labour in a moft cnervating climate. I wouk not hase the poor to be pampered, which might prove a bad example to the induftrious: ${ }_{15}$ If they be fupported in the moft frugal manner, the duty of charity is fulfilled. And in no other manner car thy be fupported fo frugally, as to leave to their own difpofal what they receive in cilarity Not a penny will be laid out on fermented inquors, unlefs perhaps as a medicine in ficknefs. Nor does their low fare call for pity. Ale makes no part of the maintenance of thofe in Scotland who live by the fweat of their brows. Water is their only drink; and yet they live comfortably, without ever thinking of pitying themfelves. Many gentlemen driuk nothing but water; who feel no decay either in health or vigour. The perfon however who flould propofe to banifh ale from a poor-houfe, would be exclaimed againtt as hard-hearted and void of charity. The difference indeed is great between what is done voluntaxily, and what is done by compulfion. It is provoling to hear of the petulance and even luxury of the Englifh poor. Not a perfon in London who lives by the parifh-charity will deign to eat brown bread; and in feveral parts of Eagland, many who receive large fums from that fund are in the conftant cuftom of drinking tea twice a-day. Will one incline to labour where idlenefs and beggary are fo much encouraged ?
But what objection, it will be urged lies againft adopting in a poor-houfe the $p^{3}$ n mentioned, giving to no perfon in money more than what his work, juftly eftimated, falls thort of mainRenance? It is eafy to forefee, that this plan can Vol. II. never
never anfwer in a poor-houfe. The materials for work muft be provided by mercenary officers; who muft alfo be trufted with the difpofal of the made work, for behoof of the poor people. Thefe operations may go on fweetly a year or two, under the influence of novelty and zeal for inprovement ; but it would be chimerical to ex. pect for ever ftrict fidelity in inercenary officers, whofe managentent cannot eafily be cheeked, Computing the expence of this operofe management, und giving allowance for sodlefs frands in purchafing and felling, 1 boldly aflimin, that the plan would turn to no account. Confider next the weekly fum given in charity: people confined in a poor-houfe have no means for purchafing necul. faries but at a futlery, where they will certainly be impoled on, and their money go no length.

We are now ripe for a comparifon with ref. pect to oeconomy, Many a houleholder in Edin. burgli makes a thift to maintain a family with their gain of four thillings per week, amounting to ten pounds eight Shillings yearly. Seldom ate there fewer than four or five perfons in fuch a fa. mily ; the luulband, the wife, and two or three children. Thus four or five perfons can be maintained under eleven pounds yearly. But are they maintained fo cheap in the Edinburgh poor-houfe? Not a fingle perfon there but at an average cofts the public at leaft four-pounds yearly. Nor is this all. A great fum remains to be taken into the computation, the interelt of the fum for building, yearly reparations, expence of manage. ment, wages to fervants, male and female. A proportion of this great fum muft be laid upor each perfon, which iwells the expence of then maintenance. And when every particular is taken into the account, I have no hefitation to pro. nounce, that laying afide labour altogether, a 10ヶมม
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man can make a fhift to maintain himfelf privately at half of the expence that is neceffary in a poorhoufe.

So far we liave travelled on folid ground; and what follows is equally folid. Among the induftriciiss not many are reduced fo low, but that they can make fome thift for themferes. The quantity of labour that can be performed by thole whid require aid, cannnt be brnught under any accurate elthmation. 'to pave the way to a conjectute, ilinte whid are reduced to poverty by difFolutencia or theer idfenets, inight ablolutely to be rejected as unworthy of public chanity. If fuch wretches can prevail on the tendermented to relieve them privately, fo far well: they ought not to be indulged with any other hopes. Now laying thefe afide, the quantity of labour may be fairly computed as half maintenance. Here then is another great article faved to the public. If a man can be maintained privately at half of what is necefliry in a poor-houfe, his work, reckoning It lialf of his maintenance, brings down the fum to the fourth part of what is neceffary in a poorhoule.

Undiflinguifhed charity to the deferving and undeferving, has multiplied the poor ; and will multuply then more and more without end. Let it be publicly known that the diffolute and idle have no chance to be put on a charity-roll ; the poor, inftead of increafing, will gradually diminith, till none be left but proper objects of charity, fuch as have been reduced to indigence by old age or innocent misfortune. And if that rule be ftrictly adhered to, the maintenance of the poor will not be a heavy burden. After all, a houle for the poor may poffibly be a frugal fcheme in England where the parihh-rates are ligh, in the town of Bedford for example. In Scotland, it is undoubtedly a very unfrugal foheme.

Sk. XI.

Hitherto of a poor-houfe with refpect to economy. There is another point of fill greater moment ; which is to confider the influence it has on the manners of the inlabitants. A number of perfons, ftrangers to each other, and differing in temper and mamers, can never live comfortably together: will ever the fober and innocent make a tolerable fociety with the idle and profigate? In our poor-houfes accordingly, quarrels and complaints are endlefs. The family fociety and that of a nation under government, are prompted by the common nature of man; and none other. In monafteries and nunneries, envy, detraction and heart-burning, never ceafe. Sorry I am to obferve, that in feminaries of learning concord and good-will do not always prevail, even among the profeffors. What adds greatly to the difeafe in a poor-houfe, is that the people fhut up there, being fecure of maintenance, are reduced to a ftate of abfolute idlenefs, for it is in vain to think of making them work : they have no care, nothing to keep the blood in motion. Attend to a ftate fo different from what is natural to us. Thofe who are innocent and harmefefs, will languifh, turn difpirited, and tire of life. Thofe of a buftling and ref. lets temper, will turn four and peevifl for want of occupation: they will murmur againft their fuperiors, pick quarrels with their neighbours, and low difcord every where. The wort of all is, that a poor-houfe never fails to corrupt the morals of the inhabitants : nothing tends fo much to promote vice and immorality, as idlenefs among a number of low people collected in one place. Anrong no fet of people does profligacy more abound, than among the feamen in Greenwich hofital.
A poor-houfe tends to corrupt the body no lefs than the mind. It is a nurfery of difeafes, foftered by dirtinefs and crouding.

To this fcene let us oppofe the condition of thofe who are fupported in their own houfes. They are
laid unc affiduity charity to lay parfimon own; ar fupports They live live induf is the ct quired th
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laid under the neceflity of working with as much affiduity as ever ; and as the fum given them in charity is at their own difpofal, they are careful to lay it out in the moft frugal manner. If by parfimony they can fave any fmall part, it is their own ; and the hope of encreafing this little fock, fupports their fpirits and redoubles their induftry. They live innocently and comfortably, becaufe they live induftrioufly ; and induftry, as every one knows, is the chief pleafure of life to thofe who have acquired the habit of being conftantly employed.

## S K $\quad \mathrm{E} \quad \mathrm{T} \quad \mathrm{C} \quad \mathrm{H} \quad \mathrm{XI}:{ }^{\prime \prime}$

## A Grat City confidered in Pbyfical, Moral, and Political Viewus.

I N all ages an opinion has been prevalent, that a great city is a great evil; and that a capital may be too great for the ftate, as a head may be for the body. Confidering however the very fhallow reafons that have been given for this opinion, it fhould feem to be but flightly founded. There are feveral ordinances limiting the extent of Paris, and prohibiting new buildings beyond the prefcribed bounds; the firft of which is by Henry II. ann. 1549. Thefe ordinances have been renewed from time to time, down to the 1672, in which year there is an edict of Louis XIV. to the fame purpofe. The reafons affigned are, " Fifft, That by enlarging the city, the air would " be rendered unwholefome. Second, That clean" ing the ftreets would prove a great additional " labour. Third, That adding to the number of " inhabitants would raife the price of provifions, " of labour, and of manufactures. Fourth, That "ground
"6 ground would be covered with buildings inftead " of corn, which might hazard a fcarcity. Fifth,
"That the country would be depopulated by the
" defire that people have to refort to the capital,
" And, laftly, That the difficulty of governing fuch
"6 numbers, would be an encouragement to rob" bery and murder."

In thefe realons, the limiting the extent of the city, and the limiting the number of inhabitants are jumbled together, as if they were the fame. The only reafons that regard the former, are the fecond and fourth ; and thefe, at beft, are trifling. The firft reafon urged ayainlt enlarging the city, is a folid reafon for enlarging it, fuppofing the numbers to be limited; for crouding is an infal. lible means to render the air unwholefome. Paris with the fame number of inhabitants that were in the days of the fourth Henry occupies thrice the fpace, inuch to the health as well as comfort of the inhabitants. Had the ordinances mentioned been made cflectual, the houfes in Paris muft all have been built ftory above ftory, afcending to the flyy like the tower of Babel. Before the great fire anno 1666 , the plague was frequent in Lon. don; but by widening the ftreets and enlarging the houfes, there has not fince been known in that great city, any contagious diftemper that deferves the name of a plague. The third, fifth, and laft reafons, conclude againft permitting any addition to the number of the inhabitants; but conclude nothing againft enlarging the town. In a word, the meafure adopted in thefe ordinances has little or no tendency to correct the evils complained of ; and infallibly would enflame the chief of them. The meafure that ought to have been adopted, is to limit the number of inhabitants, not the extent of the town.

Queen Elifabeth of England, copying the French o:dinances, iffued a proclamation amn 1602 , pro-
wiling
London.
" That
" venien
" are lik
" of Lon
" the fan
" titudes
" and ob
" additio
" thority
" and ot
" finally,
" of them
" means,
" fmother
" one hol
" low, if
" come
$"$ fpread t
" allo into
There a mation, as error is ob the extent ber of inh regulation than in Par houfes ther and are ac but there formerly w terials too
Proceedir which is th titude, con tants, not lame time, point of fa
bising any new buildings within three miles of London. The preamble is in the following words: "That forefeeing the great and manifold incon" veniencies and mifchiefs, which daily grow, and " are likely to increafe, in the city and fuburbs " of London, by confluence of people to inhabit " the fame; not only by reafon that fuch mul"titudes can hardly be governed, to ferve God " and obey her Majefty, without conftituting an " addition of new officers, and enlarging their au" thority; but allo can hardly be provided of food " and other neceffaries at a reafonable price; and " finally, that as fuch multitudes of people, many " of them poor, who muft live by begging or worfe " means, are heaped up together, and in a fort " fmothered with many children and fervants in " one houfe or fumall tenement ; it muft needs fol" low, if any plague or other univerfal ficknels "come amongt them, that it would prefently " fpread through the whole city and confines, and "allo into all parts of the realm."
There appears as little accuracy in this proclamation, as in the French ordinances. The fame error is obpervable in both, which is the limiting the extent of the city, inftead of liniting the number of inhabitants. True it is indeed, that the regulation would have a better effect in London than in Paris. As ftone is in plenty about Paris, houfes there may be carried to a very great height; and are actually fo carried on in the old town: but there being no fone about London, the houfes formerly were built of timber, now of brick; materials too frail for' a lofty edifice.
Proceeding to particulars, the firft objection, which is the expence of governing a great multitude, concludes againft the number of inhabitants, not againf the extent of the city. At the fame time, the objection is at beft doubtful in point of fatt. Though vices abound in a great jiting
city, requiring the fricteft attention of the magiftrate ; yet with a well-regulated police, it appears lefs expenfive to govern 600,000 in one city, than the fame number in ten different cities. The fecond objection, viz. the high price of provifions, frikes only againft numbers, not extent. Befide, whatever might have been the cafe in the days of Elifabeth, when agriculture and internal commerce were in their infancy; there are at prefent not many towns in England when a temperate man may live cheaper than in London. The hazard of contagious diftempers, which is the third objection is an invincible argument againft limiting the extent of a geeat town. It :'. .entioned above that from the year 1666 , whe 1 the ftreets were widened and the houfes enlarged, London has never been once vifited by the plague. If the proclamation had taken effect, the hoafes muft have been fo crouded upon each other, and the ftrects fo contracted, as to have occafioned plagues ftill more frequently than before the year 1666.

The Queen's immediate fucceffors were not more clear.-Fighted than fhe had been. In the year 1624, King James iffued a proclamation againft building in London upon new foundations. Charles I. iffued two proclamations to the fame purpofe; one in the year 1625, and one in the year 1630.

The progrefs of political knowledge has unfolded many bad effects of a great city, more weighty than any urged in thefe proclamations. The firlt I fhall mention, is, that people born and bred in a great city are commonly weak and effeminate. Vegetius (a) obferving, that men bred to hufbandry make the beft foldiers, adds what follows. "Interdum tamen neceffitas exigit,
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[^25]" etiam urbanos :ad arma compelli : qui ubi no" men dedere militix, primum laborare", decur"rere, portare pondus, et folem pulveremque "ferre, condifcant ; parco victu utantur et rufti" co; interdum fub divo, interdum fub papilio" nibus, commorentur. Tunc demum ad ufum " erudiantur armorum : et fi longior expeditio e" mergit, in angariis plurimum detinendi funt pro" culque habendi a civitatis illeccbris : ut eo mo" do, et corporibus eorum robur accedat, et ani" mis *." The luxury of a great city defcends from the higheft to the loweft, infecting all ranks of men ; and there is little opportunity in it for fuch exercife as to render the body vigorous and robuft.
The foregoing is a phyfical objection againf a great city: the next regards morality. Virtuc is exerted chiefly in reftraint: vice, in giving freedom to defire. Moderation and felf-conmand form a character the mott fufceptible of virtue: fuperfluity of animal firits, and love of pleafure, form a character the moft liable to vice. Low vices, pilfering for example, or lying, draw few or no imitators; but vices that indicate a foul above refraint, produce many admirers. Where a man boldly ftruggles againft unlawful reftraint, he is jufly applauded and imitated; and the vulgar are not apt to diftinguifh nicely between lawful and unlawful reftraint : the boldnefs is vifible, and they pierce no deeper. It is the unruly boy, full of animal

[^26]animal fpirits; who at public fchool is admired and imitated; not vhe virtuous and modeft. Vices accordingly that fhow dipirit, are extremely infectious; virtue very little. Hence the corruption of a great city, which increafes more and more in proportion to the number of inhabitants. But it is fufficient here barely to mention that objection, becaufe it has been formerly infifted on.

The following bad effects are more of a political nature. A great town is a profeffed enemy to the free circulation of money. The current coin is accumulated in the capital: and diftant provinces muft fink into idlenefs, for without ready money neither arts nor manufactures can flourifh. Thus we find lefs and lefs activity, in proportion commonly to the diftance from the capital; and an ablolute torpor in the extremities. The city of Milnn affords a good proof of this obfervation. The money that the Emperor of Germany draws from it in taxes is carried to Vi ennna; not a farthing left but what is barely fufficient to defray the expence of government. Manufactures and commerce have gradually declined in proportion to the farcity of money; and that city which the laft century contained 300,000 inhabitants, cannot now mufter above $90,000^{*}$.It may be obferved befide, that as horfes in a great city mult be provided with provender from a diftance, the country is robbed of its dung, which groes to the rich ficlds round the city. But as manure laid upon poor land, is of more advan-
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tage to the farmer, than upon what is already highly improved, the depriving diftant parts of manure is a lofs to a nation in general. Nor is this all: The dung of an extenfive city, the bulk of it at leaft, is fo remote from the fields to which it muft be carried, that the expence of carriage fwallows up the profit.

Another bad effect of accumulating money in the capital is, that it raifes the price of labour. The temptation of high wages in the capital, robs the country of its beft hands. And as they who refort to the capital are commonly young people, who remove as foon as they are fit for work, diftant provinces are burdened with their maintenance, without reaping any benefit by their labour.

But of all, the moft deplorable effect of a great city, is the preventing of population, by fhortening the lives of its inhabitants. Does a capital fwell in proportion to the numbers that are drained from the country? Far from it. The air of a populous city is infected by multitudes crouded together; and people there feldom make out the ufual term of life. With refpect to London in particular, the fact cannot be diffembled. The burials in that immenfe city greatly exceed the births: the difference fome affirm to be no lefs than ten thoufand yearly: by the molt moderate computation, not under feven or eight thoufand. As London is far from being on the decline, that number muft be fupplied by the country; and the annual fupply amounts. probably to a greater number, than were needed annually for recruiting our armies and navies in the late war with France. If fo, London is a greater enemy to population, than a bloody war would be, fuppofing it even to be perpetual. What an enormous tax is Briain thus lubjected to for fupporting her capi-
tal! The rearing and educating yearly for London 7 or 8000 perfons require an immenfe fum.

In Paris, if the bills of mortality can be relied on, the births and burials are nearly equal, being each of them about 19,000 yearly ; and according to that computation, Paris fhould need no recruits from the country. But in that city, the bills of mortality cannot be depended on for burials: Jt is there univerfally the practice of high and low, to have their infants nurfed in the country, tili they be three years of age ; and confequently thofe who die before that age are not enlifted. What proportion thefe bear to the whole is uncertain. But a guefs may be made from fuch as die in London before the age of three, which are computed to be one half of the whole that die (a). Now giving the utmoft allowance for the healthinefs of the country above that of a town, children from Paris wat die in the country before the age of three, cannot be brought fo low as a third of thofe who die. On the other hand, the London bills of mortality are lefs to be depended on for births than for burials. Nonc are inlifted but infants baptized by clergymen of the Englifh church; and the numerous childrea of Papifts, Diffenters, and other fectaries, are left out of the account. Upon the whole, the difference between the births and burials in Paris and in London, is much lefs than it appears to be on comparing the bills of mortality of thefe two cities.

At the fame time giving full allowance for children who are not brought into the London bills of mortality, there is the higheft probability that a greater number of children are born in Paris than in London; and confequently, that the former requires fewer recruits from the country, than
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the latter. In Paris, domeftic fervants are encouraged to marry : they are obferved to be indre fettled than when bachelors, and more attentive to their duty. In London, fuch marriages are' difcouraged, as rendering a fervant more attentive to his own family than to that of his mafter. But a fervant attentive to his own family, will not for his own fake, neglect that of his mafter. At any rate, is he not more to be depended on, than a fervant who continues fingle? 'What can be expected of idle and pampered bachelors, but debauchery and every fort of corruption? Nothing reftrains them from abfolute profligacy, but the eye of the mafter ; who for that renfon is their averfion, not their love. If the poor-laws be named the folio of corruption, bachelor-fervants in London may well be coinfidered as a large alpendix. And this attracts the eye to the poor-laws, which indeed make the chief difference between Paris and London, with refpect to the prefent point. In Paris, certain funds are eftablifhed for the poor, the yearly produce of which admits but a lirnited number. As that fund is always pre-occupied, the low people who are not on the lift, have little or no profpect of bread, but from their own inc alley ; and to the induftrious, marriage is in a great meafure neceffary. In london, a parith is taxed in proportion to the number of its poor ; and every perfon who is pleafed to be idle, is entitled to maintenance. Moft things thrive hy encouragement, and idlenefs above all. Certainty of maintenance renders the low people in England idle and profligate ; efpecially in London, where luxury prevails, and infects every rank. So infolent are the Lohdon foor, that farce one of them will condefcend to cat brown bread. There are accordingly in London, a much greater number of idle and profligate wretches, than in Paris, or in any other town in froporion to the number of inhabitants.
inhabitants. Thefe wretches, in Doctor Swift's ftyle, never think of pofterity, becaufe poiterity never thinks of them : men who hunt after plea. fure, and live from day to day, have no notion of fubmitting to the burden of a family. Thefe caufes produce a greater number of children in Paris than in London; though probably they differ not much in populoufnefs.

I fhall add but one other objection to a great city, which is not flight. An over grown capital, far above a rival, hias, by numbers and riches, a diffrefling influence in public affairs. The pon pulace are ductile, and eafily mifled by ambitious ond defigning magiftrates. Nor are there wanting sucal times, in which fuch magiftrates, acquiring artificial influence, may have power to difturb the puivic peace. That an overgrown capital may prove dangerous to fovereignty, has more than once been experienced both in Paris and London.

It would give one the fpleen, to hear the French and Englifh zealoufly difputing about the extent of their capitals, as if the prolperity of their country depended on that circumftance. To me it appears like one glorying in the king's-evil, or in any contagious diftemper. Much better employed would they be, in contriving means for leffening thefe cities. There is not a political meafure, that would tend more to aggrandize the kingdom of France, or of Britain, than to fplit its capital into feveral great towns. My plan would be, to confine the inhabitants of London to 100,000 , compofed of the King and his houlhold, fupreme courts of juftice, government-boards, prime nobility and gentry, with neceffary fhopkeepers, artifts, and other dependents. Let the reft of the inhabitants be diftributed into nine towns properly fituated, fome for internal commerce, fome for foreign. Such a plan would diffufe life and vigour through every corner of the illand.
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## Origin and Progrefs of American Nations.

HA VING no authentic materials for a natural hiftory of all the Americans, the following obfervations are confined to a few tribes, the beft known ; and to the kingdoms of Peru and Mexico, as they were at the date of the Spanifh conqueft.

As there has not been difcovered any paffage by land to America from the old world, no problem has more embarraffed the learned than to account for the origin of American nations: there are as many different opinions as there are writers. Many attempts have been made for difcovering a paffage by land ; but hitherto in vain. Kamfkatka, it is true, is divided from America by a narrow ftrait, full of iflands: and M. Buffon, to render the paffage ftill more eafy than by thefe iflands, conjectures, that thereabout there may formerly have been a land-paffage, fwallowed up in later times by the ocean. There is indeed great appearance of truth in this conjecture; as all the quadrupeds of the north of Afia feem to have made their way to America: the bear, for example, the roe, the deer, the rain-deer, the beaver, the wolf, the fox, the hare, the rat, the mole. He admits, that in America there is not to be feen a lion, a tiger, a panther, or any other Afiatic quadruped of a hot climate: not, fays hre, for want of a land-paflage; but becaufe the cold climate
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* Our author, to his theory, th more but juftice iateft accounts, t: ka, whish of all
climate of Tartary, in which fuch animals cannot fubfift, is an effectual bar againft them *.
But to give fatisfaction upon this fubject, more is required than a paffage from Kamkatka to America, whether by land or fea. An inquiry much more decifive is totally overlooked, relative to the people on the two fides of the ftrait; particularly, whether they have the fame language. Now by late accounts from Ruffia we are informed, that there is no affinity between the Kamkatkan tongue, and that of the Americans on the oppofite fide of the frait. Whence we may affuredly conclude, that the latter are not a colony of the former.
But further. There are feveral cogent arguments to evince, that the Americans are not defcended from any people in the north of Afia or in the north of Europe. Were they defcended from either, Labrador, or the adjacent countries, muft have been firft peopled. And as favages are remarkably fond of their natal foil, they would have continued there, till compelied by over-population to fpread wider for food. But the fact is directly contrary. When America was difcovered by the Spaniards, Mexico and Peru were fully peopled; and the other parts lefs and lefs, in proportion to their diftance from thefe central countries. Fabry reports, that one may travel one or two hundred leagues north-weft from the Mifinfippi, without feeing a human face, or any veftige of a houfe. And fome French officers fay, that they travelled more than a hundred leagues from the delicious country watered by the Ohio, through Louifiana, without meeting a fingle faVol. II.
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[^29] it extremely probable that they were the firft inhabitants of America. In travelling northward, the people are more and more ignorant and favage: the Efquimaux, the moft northern of all, are the moft favage. In travelling fouthward, the Patagonians, the moft fouthern of all, are fo ftupid as to go naked in a bitter cold region.
1 venture ftill farther; which is, to indulge a conjecture, that America has not been peopled from any part of the old world. The external appearance of the inhabitants makes this conjecture approach to a certainty; as they are widely different in appearance from any other known people. Excepting the eye-lafhes, eye-brows, and hair of the head, which is invariably jet black, there is not a fingle hair on the body of any American : no appearance of a beard. Another diftin. guilhing mark is their copper-colour, uniformly the fame in all climates, hot and cold; and differing from the colour B every other nation. Ulloa remarks, that the Aniericans of Cape Breton, refemble the Peruvians, in complexion, in manners, and in cuftoms; the only vifible difference being, that the former are of a larger ftature. A third circumftance no lefs diftinguifhing is, that American children are born with down upon the fkin, which difappears the eighth or ninth day, and never grows again. Children of the old world are born with fkins fmooth and polifhed, and no down appears till puberty.

The Efquinaux are a different race from the reft of the Americans, if we can have any reliance on the moft friking characteriftical marks. Of all the northern nations, not excepting the Lap. landers, they are of the fmalleft fize, few of them exceeding four feet in height. They have a head extremely grofs, hands and fect very fmall. That
they are ti.me and gentle appears from what Ellis fays in his account of a voyage, anno 1747, for difcovering a north-weft paffage, that they offered their wives to the failors, with expreflions of fatisfaction for being able to accommodate them. But above all, their beard and complexion make the ftrongeft evidence of a diftinct race. There were lately at London, two Efquimaux men and their wives; and I have the beft authority to affirm, that the men had a beard, thin indeed like that of a Nogayan Tartar ; that they were of a copper colour like the other Americans, but yellow like people in the North of Afia.
It has been lately difcovered, that the language of the Equimaux is the fame with that of the Greenlanders. A Danifh miffionary; who by fome years refidence in Greenland had acquired the language of that country, made a voyage with Commodore Pallifer to Newfoundland ann. 1764. Meeting a company of about two hundred Efquimaux, he was agreeably furprized to kear the Greenland tongue. They received him kindly, and drew from him a promife to return the next year. And we are informed by Crantz, in his hiftory of Greenland, that the fame Danifh miffionary vifited them the next year, in company with the Rev. Mr. Drachart. They agreed that the difference between the Efquimaux language, and that of Greenland, was not greater than between the dialects of North and South Greenland, which differ not fo much as the High and Low Dutch. Both nations call themfelves Innuit or Karalit, and call the Europeans Kablunet. Their ftature, features, manners, drefs, tents, darts, and boats, are entirely the fame. As the language of Greenland refembles not the language of Finland, Lapland, Norway, Tartary, nor that of the Samoides, it is evident, that neither the Efquimaux nor Greenlanders are a colony from any of the countries mentioned. G 2 Geo.
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[^30][^31]fuppofing the human race to have been planted in America by the hand of God later than the days of Mofes, Adam and Eve might have been the firt parents of mankind, i. e. of all who at that time exifted, without being the firft parents of the Americans. The Terra Auffralis incognita is feparated from the reft of the world by a wide ocean, which carries a fhip round the earth without interruption:. How has that continent been peopled? There is not the nighteft probability, that it ever has been joined to any other land. Here a local creation, if it may be termed fo, appears unavoidable; : and if we muft admit more than one act of creation, even the appearance of difficulty, from reiteration of acts, totally vanifheth. M. Buffon in his natural hiftory affirms, that not a fingle American quadruped of a hot climate is found in any other part of the earth: with refpeet to thefe we muft unavoidably admit a local creation ; and nothing feems more natural, than under the fame act to comprehend the firft parents of the American people.
It is poffible, indeed, that a hip with men and women may, by contrary winds, be carried to a very diftant fhore. But to account thus for the peopling of America, will not be much relifhed. Mexico and Peru muift have been planted before navigation was known in the world, at leaft before a fhip was brought to fuch perfection as to bear a long courfe of bad weather. Will it be thought, that any fuppofition ought to be em. braced, however improbable, rather than admit a feparate creation ? We are, it is true, much in the dark as to the conduct of creative provi, dence; but every rational conjecture leans to a feparate

[^32]feparate creation. America and the Terra Auftralis muft have been planted by the Almighty with a number of animals and vegetables, fome of them peculiar to thofe vaft continents: and when fuch care has been taken about inferior life, can fo wild a thought be admitted, as that man, the nobleft work of terreftrial creation, would be left to chance? But it is fcarce neceffary to infift upon the topic, as the external characters of the Americans above-mentioned reject the fuppofition of their being defcended from any people of the old world.

It is highly probable, that the fertile and delicious plains of Peru and Mexico, were the firft planted of all the American countries; being more populous at the time of the Spanifh invafion, than any other part of that great continent. This conjecture is fupported by analogy : we believe that a fpot not centrical only but extremely fertile, was chofen for the parents of the old world; and there is not in America, a fpot more centrical or more fertile for the parents of the new world, than Mexico or Peru.

Having thus ventured to fate what ofcurred upon the origin of the Americans, without pretending to affirm any thing as certain, we proceed to their progrefs. The North-American tribes are remarkable with refpect to one branch of their hiftory, that, inftead of advancing, like other nations, toward the maturity of fociety and government, they continue to this hour in their original ftate of hunting and fifhing. A cafe fo fingular roufes our curiofity; and we wih to be made acquainted with the caufe.

It is not the want of animals capable to be domefticated, that obliges them to remain hunters and fifhers. The horfe, it is true, the theep, the goat, were imported from Europe ; but there are
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plenty of American quadrupeds no lefs docile than thofe mentioned. There is in particular a fpecies of horned cattle peculiar to America, having long wool inftead of hair, and an excrefcence upon the fhoulder like that of the Eafl-India buffalo. Thefe wild cattle multiply exceedingly in the fertile countries which the Miffifippi traverfes; and Hennepin reports, that the Indians, after killing numbers take no part away but the tongue, which is reckoned a delicious morfel. Thefe creatures are not extremely wild; and, if taken young, are eafily tamed : a calf, when its dam is killed, will follow the hunter, and lick his hand. The wool, the hide, the tallow, would be of great value in the Britifh colonies.
If the fhepherd-ftate be not obftructed in America by want of proper cattle, the only account that can or need be given is paucity of inhabitants. Confider only the influence of cuftom, in rivetting mento their local fituation and manner of life: once hunters, they will always be hunters, till fome caufe more potent than cuftom force them out of that flate. Want of food, occafioned by rapid population, brought on the fhepherd-ftate in the old world. That caufe has not hitherto exifted in North America : : the inhabitants, few in number, remain hunters and fifhers, becaufe that ftate affords them a competency of food. I am aware, that the natives have been decreafing in number from the time of the firft European fettlements. But even at that time, the country was ill peopled: take for example the country above defcribed, fretching northweft from the Miffifippi : the Europeans had never any footing there, and yet to this day it is little better than a defert. I give other examples. The Indians who furround the lake Nippifong, from whence the river St. Laurence iffues, are in
whole butifive or fix thoufand ; and yet theircountry is of great extent ithey live by hunting and fifhing, having bows and arrows, but no fire-arms; and their cloathing is the fins of beafts: they are feldom, if ever, engaged in war; have no commerce with any other people; Indian or European, but live as if they had a world to themfelves (a) If that country be ill peopled, it is not from fcarcity of food; for the country is extenfive, and well ftored with every fort of game. On the fouth and welt of the lake Superior, the country is level and fruitful all the way to the Miffifippi, having large plains covered with rank grafs, and fcarce a tree for hundreds of miles: the inhabitants enjoy the greateft plenty of fifh, fowl, deer, \&c.; and yet their numbers are far from being in proportion to their means of fubfiftence. In hort, it is the conjecture of the ableft writers, that in the vaft extent of North America, when difcovered, there were not as many people, laying afide Mexico,' as in the half ot Europe.

Paucity of inhabitants explains clearly why the North-American tribes remain hunters and filhers, without advancing to the fhepherd-ftate. But if the foregoing difficulty be removed, another ftarts up, no lefs puzzling, viz. By what adverle fate are fo rich countries fo ill peopled ? It is a conjecture of M. Buffon, mentioned above, that America has been planted later than the other parts of this globe. But fuppofing the fact, it has however not been planted fo late as to pre. vent a great population; witnefs Mexico and Peru, fully peopled at the era of the Spanith invafion: We muft therefore fearch for another caufe; and none occurs but the infecundity of the North-American favages. M. Buffon, a refpectable author; and

[^33]and for that reaion often quoted, remarks, that the imales are feeble in their organs of generation, that they have few children; to enforce which remark he adds, that the quadrupeds of Amscrica, both native and tranfplanted, are of a diminutive fize, compared with thofe of the old world. A woman never admits her hufband, till the child the is nurfing be three years old; and this led Frenchmen to go often aftray from their Canadian wives: The cafe was reported by the priefts to their fuperiors in France : what regulation was made has efcaped my memory. Among the males, it is an inviolable law, to abftain from females while they are engaged in a military expedition, This is pregnant evidence of their frigidity; for among favages the authority of law, or of opinion, feldom prevails over any frong appetite : vain would be the attempt to reftrain them from fpirituous liquors; though much more debilitating. Neither is there any inftance, of violence offered by any North-American favage, to European women taken captives in war.
Mexico and Peru, when conquered by the Spaniards, afforded to their numerous inhabitants the neceffaries of life in profufion. Cotton was in plenty, more than fufficient for the cloathing needed in warm climates : Indian wheat was univerfal, and was cultivated without much labour. The natural wants of the inhabitants were thus eafily fupplied $y$ and artificial wants had made no progrefs. But the prefent fate of thefe countries is very different. The Indians have learned from their conquerors a multitude of artificial wants, good houfes, variety of food, and rich cloaths; which muft be imported, becaufe they are prohibited from exercifing any art or calling except agriculture, which fearce affords them neceflaries: and this obliges a great proportion of them to live fingle.

Fven agriculture itfelf is cramped; for in moft of the provinces there is a prohibition to plant vines or olives. In fhort, it is believed that the inhabitants are reduced to a fourth part of what they were at the time of the Spanifh invafion. The favages alfo of North America who border on the European fettlements, are vifibly diminifhing.When the Englifh fettled in America, the five nations could raife 15,000 fighting men : at prefent they are not able to raife 2000 . Upon the whole, it is computed by able writers, that the prefent inhabitants of America amount not to a twentieth part of thole, who exifted when that continent was difcovered by Columbus. This decay is afcribed to the intemperate ufe of fpirits, and to the fmall-pox, both of them introduced by the Europeans *.
It is obfervable, that every fort of plague becomes more virulent by tranfplantation. The plague commits lefs ravage in Egypt, its native place,
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place, than in any other country. The venereal difeafe was for many ages more violent and déftructive in Europe, than in America where it was firl known. The people who failed with Chriftopher Columbus, brought it to Spain from Hifpaniola, Columbus, with thirty or forty of his failors, went directly to Barcelona, where the King then was, to render an account of his voyage. All the inhabitants, who at that time tripled the prefent number, were immediately feized with the venereal difeafe, which raged fo furioully as to threaten deftruction to all. The fmall pox comes under the fame obfervation; for it has fwept away many more in 'America,' than ever it' did' in Europe. In the 1713, the crew of a Dutch veffel infected the Hottentots with the fmall pox; which left fcarce a third of the inhabitants. And the fame fate befel the Laplanders and the Greenlanders. In all appearance, that difeafe, if it abate not foon of its tranfplanted virulence, will extirpate the natives of North America; for they know little of inoculation.
But fpirituous liquors are a ftill more effectual caufe of depopulation. The American favages, male and female, are inordinately fond of firituous liquors; and favages generally abandon themfelves to appetite, without the leaft control from thame. The noxious effects of intemperance in fpirits, are too well known, from fatal experience among ourfelves : before the ufe of gin was prohibited; the populace of London were debilitated by it to a degree of lofing, in a great meafure, the power of procreation. Lucky it is for the human feecies, that the invention of favages never reached the production of gin; for fpirits, in that early period, would have left not one perfon alive, not a fingle Noah to reftore the race of men: in order to accomplifh the plan of Providence, creation
creation muft have been renewed oftner than once ${ }^{*}$.
${ }^{4}$ IT In the temperate climates of the old world, there is great uniformity in the gradual progrefs of men from the favage fate to the higheft c vilization : beginning with hunting and fifling, ad. vancing to flocks and herds, and then to agriculture and commerce. One will be much difap. pointed, if he expect the fame progrefs in Ame. rica. Among the northern tribes, there is no. thing that relembles the fhepherd-ftate: they continue hunters and fifhers as originally; becaufe there is no caufe is potent as to force them from that fate to become fhepherds. So far clear. But there is another fact of which we have no example in the old world, that feems not fo eafily explained: thefe people, without paffing through the fhepherd-ftate, have advanced to fome degree of agriculture. Before the feventeenth century, the Iroquois or five nations had villages, and cul. tivated Indian corn: the Cherokees have many finall towns ; they raife corn in abundance, and enclofe their fields: they breed poultry, and have orchards of peach-trees. The Chickefaws and Creek Indians live pretty much in the fame manner. The Apalachites fow and reap in common; and put up the corn in granaries, to be diffributed among individuals when they want food. The Hurons raife great quantities of corn, not only for their own ufe, but for commerce. Many of thefe nations, particularly the Cherokees, have of late got horfes, fwine, and tame cattle; an im. provement borrowed from the Europeans, But
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corn is of an earlier date: when Sir Richard Greenville took poffeffion of Virginia in the reign of Queen Elizabeth, the natives had corn; and Hennepin affiures us, that the nations bordering on the Miffifippi had corn long before they were vifited by any European. Hubbandry, it is true, is among thofe people ftill in its infancy; being left to the women, who fow, who reap, who fore up in public granaries, and who diffribute as need requires. The inhabitants of Guiana in South America, continue to this day hunters and fifhers. But though they have neither flocks nor herds, they have fome hufbandry; for the women plant caffava, yams, and plantains. They make a liquor like our ale, termed pizoree, which they drink with their food. And though they are extremely fond of that liquor, their indolence makes them often neglect to provide againft the want of it. To a people having a violent propenfity to intemperance, as all favages have, this improvidence is 2 blefling; for otherwife they would wallow in perpetual drunkennefs. They are by no means fingular; for unconcern about futurity is the charateriftic of all favages : to forego an immediate for a diftant enjoyment, can only be fuggefted by cultivated reafon. When the Canary illands were firft vifited by Europeans, which was in the fourteenth century, the inhabitants had corn; for which the ground was prepared in the following manner. They had a wooden inftrument not unlike a hoe, with a fpur or tooth at the end, on which was fixed a goat's horn. With this infrument the ground was ftirred; and if rain came not in its proper feafon, water was brought by canals from the rivulets. It was the women's province to reap the corn : they took only the eats; which they threfhed with fticks, or beat with their feet, and then winnowed in their hands.Hufbandry probably will remain in that ftate a-
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The woinen were dextrous at Spinning; and manufacures of cotton and hair abounded every where.
The populoufnefs of Mexico and Peru affords irrefragable evidence, that the arts of peace were there carried to a great height. The city of Mexico contained 60,000 families *; and Montezuma had thirty vaffals who could bring into the field, each of them, 100,000 fighting men. Tlafcalla, a neighbouring republic governed by a fenate, was fo populous as to be almoft a match for the Emperor of Mexico.
The public edifices in the city of Mexico and houfes of the nobility, were of fone, and well built. The royal palace had thirty gates opening to as many freets. The principal front was of jafper, black, red, and white, well polifhed. Three fquares, built and adorned like the front, led to Montezuma's apartment, having large rooms, floors covered with mats of different kinds, walls hung with a mixture of cotton-cloth and rabbitfurs ; the innermoft room adorned with hangings of feathers, beautified with various figures in lively colours. In that building, large cielings were formed fo artificially without nails, as to make the planks futtain each other. Water was brought into the city of Mexico, from a mountain at a teague's diftance.
Gold and filver were in fo high efteem, that vefiels made of thele metals were permitted to


[^36]ed feathers, by which they made a fhift to conmunicate fome fimple thoughts; and in that manner was Montezuma informed of the Spanifh invafion.

There was great ingenuity fhewn in regulating the calendar : the Mexican year was divided into 365 days; and into 18 months; containing 20 days each, which made 360 ; the remaining five intercalary days were added at the end of the year, for making it correfpond to the courfe of the fun. They religioufly employed thefe five days upon diverfions, being of opinion that they were appropriated to that end by their anceftors.
Murder, theft, and corruption in officers of fate, were capital crimes. Adultery alfo was capital; for fémale chaftity was in high eftimation. At the fame time, confent was deemed a fufficient caufe of divorce, the law leaving it to the parties concerned, who ought to be the beft judges. In cafe of a divorce, the father took care of the male children, leaving the female children rrith the mother. But to prevent rafh feparations, it was capital for them to unite again.
It may be gathered from what has been faid, that there was a diftinction of rank among the Mexicans. So ftrictly was it obferved, as to be difplayed even in their buildings: the city of Mexico was divided into two parts, one appropriated to the Emperor and nobility, and one left to plebeians.
${ }^{2}$ Education of children was an important article in the Mexican police. Public fchools were allotted for plebeian children; and colleges well endowed for the fons of the nobility, where they continued till they were fit for bufinefs. The mafters were confidered as officers of ftate : not without reafon, as their office was to qualify young men for ferving their king and country. Such of the young nobles as made choice of a military life, Vol. II.
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Concerning the patrimony of the crown, mines of gold and filver belonged to the Emperor ; and the duty on falt brought in a great revenue. But the capital duty was a third of the land-rents, the eftates of the nobles excepted; upon whom no tribute was impofed, but to ferve in the army with a number of their vaffals, and to guard the Emperor's perfon. Goods manufactured and fold were fubjected to a duty; which was not prejudicial to their manufactures, becaufe there was no rival nation within reach.

Montezuma introduced a multitude of ceremonies into his court, tending to infpire veneration for his perfon; an excellent artifice in rude times, of however little fignificancy among nations enlightened and rational. Veneration and humility were fo much the tone of the court, that it was even thought indecent in the Mexican lords, to appear before the King ia their richeft habits. Veffels of gold and filver were appropriated to his table, and not permitted even to the princes of the blood. The table-cloths and napkins, made of the fineft cotton, with the earthen ware, never made a fecond appearance at the Emperor's table, but were'diftributed among the fervants.
In war their offenfive weapons were bows and arrows ; and as iron was not known in America, their arrows were headed with bones fharpened at the point. They ufed alfo darts and long wooden fwords, in which were fixed fharp flints; and men of more than ordinary ftrength fought with clubs. They befide had flingers, who threw fones with great force and dexterity. Their defenfive arms, ufed only by commanders and perfons of diftinction, were a coat of quilted cotton, a fort of breaft-plate, and a flield of wood or tortoifefhell, adorned with plates of fuch metal as they their faces and bodies being deformed with paint, in order to ftrike terror. They had warlike inftruments of mufic, fuch as fea-fhells, flutes made of large canes, and a fort of drum made of the trunk of a tree hollowed. Their battalions confifted of great numbers crouded together, without even the appearance of order. They attacked with terrible outcries in order to intimidate the enemy; a practice prompted by nature, and formerly ufed by many nations. It was not defpifed even by the Romans; for Cato the elder was wont to fay, that he had obtained more victories by the throats of his foldiers, than by their fwords; and Cæfar applauds his own foldiers, above thofe of Pompey, for their warlike fhouts. Eagernefs to engage is vented in loud cries: and the effects are excellent : they redouble, the ardor of thofe who attack, and ftrike terror into the enemy.

Their armies were formed with eafe: the princes of the empire with the cacics or governors of provinces, were obliged to repair to the general rendezvous, each with his quota of men.

Their fortifications were trunks of large trees, fixed in the ground like palifadoes, leaving no intervals but what were barely fufficient for difcharging their arrows upon the enemy.

Military orders were inftituted, with peculiar habits as marks of diftinction and honour; and each cavalier bore the device of his order, painted upon his robe, or fixed to it. Montezuma founded a new order of knighthood, into which princes only were admitted, or nobles defcended from the royal Itock ; and as a token of its fuperiority, he became one of its members. The knights of that order had part of their hair bound with a red ribbon, to which a taffel was fixed hanging down to the fhoulder. Every new exploit was honour-
ed with an additional taffel ; which made the knights with ardor embrace every opportunity to fignalize themfelves. As nothing can be better contrived than fuch a regulation for fupporting a military fpirit, the Mexicans would have been invincible had they underfood the order of battle : for want of which that potent empire fell a prey to a handful of Atrangers. I differ from thofe who afcribe that event to the fire-arms of the Spaniards, and to their horfes. Thefe could not be more terrible to the Mexicans, than elephants were at firf to the Romans : but familiarity with thefe unwieldy animals, reftored to the Romans their wonted courage; and the Mexicans probably would have behaved like the Romans, had they equalled the Romans in the art of war.

When that illuftrious people, by their own genius without borrowing from others, had made fuch proficiency in the arts of peace, as well as of war ; is it not ftrange, that with refpect to religion they were no better than favages? They not only practifed human facrifices, but dreffed and eat the flefh of thofe that were facrificed. Their great temple was contrived to raife horror: upon the walls were crouded the figures of noxious ferpents : the heads of perfons facrificed were ftuck up in different places, and carefu ly̆ renewed when wafted by time. There were eight temples in the city, nearly of the fame architecture; 2000 of a fmaller fize, dedicated to different idols; fcarce a ftreet without a tutelar deity; nor a calamity that had not an altar, to which the diftreffed might have recourfe for a remedy. Unparallelled ignorance and ftupidity, obliged every Emperor at his coronation to fwear, that there fhould be no unfeafonable rains, no overflowing of rivers, no fields affected with Iterility, nor any

The author we chiefly rely on for an account of Peru is Garcilaffo de la Vega: though he may be juftly fufpected of partiality ; for, being of the Inca race, he beftows on the Peruvian government, improvements of later times. The articles that appear the leaft fufpicious are what follow.
The principle of the Peruvian conflitution feems to have been an Agrarian law of the fricteft kind. To the fovereign was firft allotted a large proportion of land, for defraying the expences of government ; and the remainder was divided among his fubjects, in proportion to the number of each family. Thefe portions were not alienable: the fovereign was held proprietor of the whole, as in the feudal fyftem; and from time to time the diftribution was yaried according to the circumftances of families. This Agrarian law contributed undoubtedly to the populoufnefs of the kingdom of Peru.
It is a fure fign of improved agriculture, that aqueducts were made by the Peruvians for watering their land. Their plough was of wood, a yard long, flat before, round behind, and pointed at the end for piercing the ground. Agriculture feems to have been carried on by united labour: lands appropriated for maintaining the poor were
copper
inftrum
of nails
The to
flint ; continu ing no ffrengt they er Cufco, flanding

Sk. XII.
American Nations.
firft ploughed; next the portion allotted to foldiers performing duty in the field; then cvery man feparately ploughed his own field; after which he affifted his neighbour: they proceeded to the portion of the curaca or lord; and laftly to the King's portion. In the month of March they reaped their maize, and celebrated the harveft with joy and feafting.

There being no artift nor manufacturer by profeffion, individuals were taught to do every thing for themfelves. Every one knew how to plough and manure the land : every one was a car. penter, a mafon, a fhoemaker, a weaver, \&c.; and the women were the moft ingenious and di. ligent of all, Blas Valera mentions a law, named the law of brotherbood, which, without the profpect of reward, obliged them to be mutually aiding and affifting in ploughing, fowing and reaping, in building their houfes, and in every fort of occupation,

As the art was unknown of melting down metals by means of bellows, long copper pipes were contrived, contracted at the end next the fire, that the breath might. act the more forcibly on it ; and they ufed ten or twelve of thefe pipes together, when they wanted a very hot fire. Having no iron, their hatchets and pick-axes were of copper ; they had neither faw nor augre, nor any inftrument that requires iron: ignorant of the ule of nails, they tied their timber with cords of hemp. The tool they had for cutting ftone, was a fharp flint ; and with that tool they fhaped the ftone by continual rubbing, more than by cutting. Having no engines for raifing ftones, they did all by ftrength of arm, Thefe defects notwithftanding; they erected great edifices; witnefs the fortrefs of Cufco, a ftupendous fabric. It paffes all underftanding, by what means the ftones, or rather great
great rocks, employed in that building, were brought from the quarry. One of thefe ftones, meafured by Acofta, was thirty feet in length, eighteen in breadth, and fix in thicknefs.

Having neither fciffars nor needles of metal, they ufed a certain long thorn for a needle. The mirrors ufed by ladies of quality were of burnifhed copper: but fuch implements of drefs were reckoned too effeminate for men.

With refpect to mufic, they had an inftrument of hollow canes glewed together, the notes of which were like thofe of a organ. They had love-fongs accompanied with a pipe; and war-fongs, which were their feftival entertainment. They compofed and acted comedies and tragedies, The art of writing was unknown: but filken threads, with knots caft upon them of divers colours, enabled them to keep exact accounts, and to fum them up with a readinefs that would have rivalled an expert European arithmetician. They had alfo attained to as much geometry as to meafure their fields.

In war their offenfive arms were the bow and arrow, lance, dart, club, and bill. Their defenfive arms, were the helmet and target. The army was provided from the King's fores, and no burden was laid on the people.

In philofophy, they had made no progrefs. An eclipfe of the moon was attributed to her being fick; and they fancied the milky way to be a ewe giving fuck to a lamb. With regard to the fetting fun, they faid, that he was a good fwimmer, and that he pierced through the waves, to rife next morning in the eaft. But fuch ignorance is not wonderful ; for no branch of fcience can make a progrefs without writing.

The people were divided into fmall bodies of ten fanilies each ; every divifion had a head, and
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and a regifter was kept of the whole; a branch of public police, that very much refembles the Englifh decennaries.

They made but two meals, one between eight and nine in the morning the other before funfet. Idlenefs was punifhed with infamy : even children were employed according to their capacity. Public vifitors or monitors were appointed, having accefs to every houfe, for infpecting the manners of the inhabitants; who were rewarded or punifhed according to their behaviour. Moderation and induftry were fo effectually enforced by this article of police, that few were reduced to indigence; and thefe got their food and cloathing out of the King's ftores.

With refpect to their laws and cuftoms, children were bound to ferve their parents until the age of twenty-five; and marriage contracted before that time, without confent of parents, was null. Polygamy was prohibited, and perfons were confined to marry within their own tribe. The tradition, that the Inca family were children of the fun, introduced inceft among them; for it was a matter of religion to preferve their divine blood pure, without mixture.

It was the chief article of the Peruvian creed, upon which every other article of their religion depended, that the Inca family were children of their great god the fun, and fent by him to fpread his worthip and his laws among them. Nothing could have a greater influence upon an ignorant and credulous people, than fuch a doctrine. The fanctity of the Inca family was fo deeply rooted in the hearts of the Peruvians, that no perfon of that family was thought capable of committing a crime. Such blind veneration for a family, makes it probable, that the government of Peru under the Incas had not fubfifted many years ; for a government founded upon deceit and fuperftition, can-
not long fubfilt in vigour. However that be, fuch belief of the origin of the Incas, is evidence of great virtue and moderation in that family; for any grofs act of tyranny or injuftice, would have opened the eyes of the people to fee their error. Moderation in the fovereign and obedience without referve in the fubjects, cannot fail to produce a government mild and gentle; which was verified in that of Peru; fo mild and gentle, that to manure and cultivate the lands of the Inca and to lay up the produce in florehoufes, were the only burdens impofed upon the people, if it was not fometimes to make cloaths and weapons for the army. At the fame time, their kings were fo revered, that thefe articles of labour were performed with affection and alacrity.

The government was equally gentle with regard to punifhments. Indeed very few crimes were cominitted, being confidered as a fort of rebellion againft their great god the fun. The only crime that feems to have been punifhed with feverity, is the marauding of foldiers; for death was inflicted, however inconfiderable the darrage.

In this empire, there appears to have been the moft perfect union between law and religion; which could not fail to produce obedience, order, and tranquility, among that peoplc, though extremely numerous. The Inca family was famed for moderation: they made conquefts in order to civilize their neighbours; and as they feldom if ever tranfgreffed the bounds of morality, no other art was neceffary to preferve the government entire, but to keep the "people ignorant of the true religion. They had virgins dedicated to the fun, who, like the veftal sirgins in Rome, were under a vow of perpetual chaflity.

This fubject fhall be concluded with fome flight obfervations on the two governments I have been defcribing. Comparing them together, the Mexi-
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can government feems to have been fupported by arms; that of Peru by religion.
The kings of Peru were hereditary and abfolute : thofe of Mexico elective. In contradiction however to political principles, the government of Peru was by far the milder. It is mentioned above, that the electors of the Mexican kings were hereditary princes; and the faime electors compofed the great council of fate. Montefquieu therefore has been mifinformed when he terms this a defpotic'monarchy (a) : a monarchy can never be defpotic, when the fovereign is limited by a great council, the members of which are independent of him. As littie reafon has he to term Peru defpotic. An abfolute monarchy it was, but the fartheft in the world from being defpotic: on the contrary, we find not in hiftory any government fo well contrived for the good of the people. An Agrarian law, firmly rooted, was a firm bar againft fuch inequality of rank and riches, as lead to luxury and diffolution of manners : a commonwealth is naturally the refult of fuch a conftitution; but in Peru it was prevented by a theocratical government under a family fent from heaven to make them happy. This wild opinion, fupported by ignorance and fuperftition, proved an effectual bar againft tyranny in the monarch; a moft exemplary conduct on his part being neceffary for fupporting the opinion of his divinity. Upon the whole, comprehending king and fubject, there perhaps never exifted more virtue in any other government, whether monarchical or republican.
In Peru there are traces of fome diftinction of ranks, arifing probably from office merely, which, as in France, was a bulwark to the monarch againt the peafants: The great fuperiority of the Peruvian

[^37]Peruvian Incas, as demi-gods, did not admit a hereditary nobility.

With refpect to the, progrefs of arts and manufactures, the two nations differed widely : in Mexico, arts and manufactures were carried to a Surprifing height, confidering the tools they had to work with: in Peru, they had made no progrefs; every man, as among mere favages, providing the neceffaries of life for himfelf, As the world goes at prefent, our multiplied wants require fuch numbers, that not above one of a hundred can be fpared for war. In ancient times, when thefe wants were few and not much enlarged beyond nature, it is computed that an eighth part could be fared for war: and hence the numerous armies we read of in the hiftory of ancient nations. The Peruvians had it in their power to go ftill farther: it was poffible to arm the whole males capable of fervice: leaving the women to fupply the few neceffaries that might be wanted during a fhort campaign; and accordingly we find that the Incas were great conquerors.

The religion of the Peruvians, confidered in a political light, was excellent. The veneration they paid their fovereign upon a falfe religious principle, was their only fuperfition; and that fuperftition contributed greatly to improve their morals and their manners : on the other hand, the religion of Niexico was execrable.

Upon the whole, there never was a country deftitute of iron, where arts feem to have been carried higher than in Mexico: and, bating their religion, there never was a country deftitute of writing, where government feems to have been more perfect. I except not the governinent of Peru, which, nol bering founded on political prin. ciples, but on fur mita, might be more mild, but was far from Seting fo fididy founded.
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MORALITT, theology, and the art of reafoning, are three great branches of a learned education; and juftly beld to be fo, being our only fure guides in palfing through the intricate paths of life. They are indeed not fo effential to thofe terned men of the world: the moft profound philofopber makes but an innfitid figure in faflionable company; would be fomewhat rdiailous at 1 courl-ball; and an abfolute abfurdity ",ow, the gamefters at Artbur's, or jockeys at Newmarket. But, thefe cogent objeclions notwith/tanding, I venture to pronounce fuch ftudies to be not altogether unfuitable to a gentleman. Man is a creature full of curiofity ; and to gratify that appetste, many roam through the world, fubmitting to beat and cold, nay to bunger and thirft, without a figh. Could indecd that troublefome gueft be expelled, we might bug ourfelves
felves in ignorance; and, like true men of the world, undervalue knowledge that cannot procure money, nor a new fenfual pleafure. But alas! the expulfion is not in the power of every one; and thofe who muft give vent to their curiofity, will naturally employ it upon fudies that make then good members of fociety, and endear them to every perfon of virtue.

And wore we even men of the world in fuch perfection, as to regard nothing but our own intereft; yet docs not ignorance lay us open to the crafty and defigning? and does not the art of reafoning guard many an boneft man from being milled by fubtile fophifms? With refpect to right and wrong, not even pafion is more dangerous than error. And as to religion, better it were to fettle in a conviction that there is no God, than to be in a fate of wavering and fluctuation; fonetimes indulging every loofe defire, as if we were not accountable beings; and fonetimes yielding to fuperfitious fears, as if there were no god but the devil. To a welldifpofed mind, the exiftence of a fupreme benevolent Deity, appears bighly probable: and if by the ftudy of theology that probability be improved into a certainty, the conviction of a fupreme Deity who rules with equity and mildnefs, will be a fource of conftant enjoyment, which $I$ boldly fet above the titillating pleafures of external fenfe. Poffably there may be lefs prefent amufement in abftract ftudies, than in nerws-papers, in party.pamphlets, or in Hoyle upon Whift: but let us for a moment anticipate futurity, and imagine that we are reviewing paft tranfactions,-_bow pleafant the retrofpect of thofe who bave maintained the dignity of their nature, and employed their talents to the beft purpojes !

Contradictory opinions that bave influence on practice, - will be regretted by every perfon of a found beart; and as erroneous opinions are commonly the rejult of imperfect education, I zeculd gladly bope, that a remedy is not altogether out of reach. At the revival of arts and jciences, the learned lansuages were our jole fudy, be-
caufe in then were locked up all the treafurcs of ufcful knowledge. This fudy has long ago ceafed to be the chief object of education; and yet the original plan is banded down to us zeith very little variation. Wi/hing to contribute to a more perfect fy/tem of education, Iprefent to the public the following Jletches. The books that bave been publifbed on morality, theology, and the art of reafoning, are not eminent either for fimplicity, or for perfpicuity. To introduce thefe into the fubjects mentioned, is my aim; with what fuccefs, is with deference fubmitted to the judgement of otbers. The biforical part, bitherto much neglefted, is neceffary as a branch of my general plan; and I am bopeful, that, befide inftruction, it will contribute to recreation, which, in ab-- fract fudies, is no lefs neceffary than pleafant.
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## Principles and Progrefs of Reafor.

## S E C.TIONI.

## Principles of Reafon.

I V ER Y affirmation, whatever be the fubject, is termed a propofition.

Truth and error are qualities of propofitions. A propofition that fays a thing is what it is in reality, is termed a true propofition. A propofition that fays a thing is what it is not in reality, is termed an erroneous propofition.

Truth is fo effential in conducting affairs, that man would be a disjointed being were it not agreeable to him. Truth accordingly is agreeable to every human being, and falfehood or error difagreeable. The purfuit of truth is no lefs pleafant than the purfuit of any other good *.

Our knowledge of what is agreeable and difagreeable in objects is derived from the fenfe of beauty, handled in Elements of Criticifin. Our knowledge of right and wrong in actions, is derived

[^38]Sk. I. I .
rived from the moral fenfe, to be handled in the fketch immediately following. Our knowledge of truth and error is derived from various fources.

Our external fenfes are one fource of knowledge : they lay open to us external fubjects, their qualities, their actions, with events produced by thefe actions. The internal fenfes are another fource of knowledge : they lay open to us things paffing in the mind ; thinking, for example, deliberating, inclining, refolving, willing, confenting, and other acts ; and they alfo lay open to us our emotions and paffions. There is a fenfe by which we perceive the truth of many propofitions ; fuch as, That every thing which begins to exift mult have a caufe; That every effect adapted to fome end or purpofe, proceeds from a defigning caufe; and, That every effect adapted to a good end or purpofe, proceeds from a defigning and benevolent caufe. A multitude of axioms in every fcience, particularly in mathematics, are equally perceived to be true. By a peculiar fenfe, of which afterward, we know that there is a Deity. There is a fenfe by which we know that the external figns of paffion are the fame in all men; that animals of the fame external appearance are of the fame fpecies; and that animals of the fame fpecies, have the fame properties (a). There is a fenfe that dives into futurity: we know that the fun will rife to-morrow; that the earth will perform its wonted courfe round the fun ; that winter and fummer will follow each other in fucceffion; that a ftone dropt from the hand will fall to the ground; and a thoufand other fuch propofitions.
Vol. II.
I
There

[^39]There are many propofitions, the truth of which is not fo apparent : a procefs of reafoning is neceffary, of which afterward.

Human teftimony is another fource of know.? ledge So framed we are by nature, as to rely on human teftimony; by which we, are informed of beings, attributes, and events, that never came un. der any of our fenfes.

The knowledge that is derived from the fources mentioned, is of different kinds. . In fome cafes, our knowledge includes abfolute certainty, and produces the higlieft degree of conviction : in other cales, probability comes in place of certainty, and the conviction is inferior in degree. Knowledge of the latter kind is diftinguifhed into belief, whigh concerns facts; and opinion, which con cerns relations, and other things that fall not under the denomination of facts. In contradif, tindion to opinion and belief, that fort of knowledge which includes abfolute certainty and proa duces, the higheft degree of conviction, retains its. proper name. Ta explain what is here faid, I enter intp particulars.
The fenfe of feeing, with yery few exceptions, affords knowledge properly fo termed: it is not in our pawer to doubt of the exiltence of a perfon we fee, touch and converfe with, When fuch is our conftitution, it is a vain attempt to call in queftion the authority of our fenfe of leeing, as fome writers pretend to, do. No one ever called in queftion the exiftence of internal actions and paffions, laid open to us by internal fenfe; and there is as little ground for doubting of what we fee. The fenfe of feeing, it is true, is not always correct: through different mediums the fame object is feen differently: to a jaundic'd eye every thing appears yellow; and to one intoxicated with liquor, two candles fometimes appear four: But we are never left without a remedy

[^40]in fuch a cafe: it is the province of the reafoning faculty, to correct every error of that kind.

An object of fight recalled to mind by the pow. er of memory, is termed an idea or fecondary perception. An original perception, as faid above, affords knowledge in its proper fenfe; but a fecondary perception affords belief only. And Nature in this, as in all other inftances, is faithful to truth; for it is evident, that we cannot be fo certain of the exiftence of an object in its abfence, as when prefent.

With refpect to many abftract propofitions, of which inftances are above given, we have an abfolute certainty and conviction of their truth, derived to us from various fenfés. We can, for example, entertain as little doubt that every thing which begins to exift mult have a caufe, as that the fun is in the firmament; and as little doubt that he will rife to-morrow, as that he is now fet. There are many other propofitions, the truth of which is probable only, not ablolutely certain ; as, for example, that winter will be cold and fummer warm. That natural operations are performed in the fimpleft manner, is an axiom of natural philofoply: it may be probable, but is far from being certain *.
In every one of the inflances given, conviction arifes from a fingle act of perception : for which reafon, knowledge acquired by means of that perception, not only knowledge in its proper fenfe, but alfo opinion and belief, are termed intuitive knowledge. But there are many thinge; the knowledge of which is not obtained with fo much facility.-

$$
I_{2} \quad \text { Pro. }
$$

[^41]Propofitions for the moft part require a procefs or operation in the mind, termed reafoning; leading by certan intermediate fteps, to the propofition that is to be demonftrated or made evident; which, in oppofition to intuitive knowledge, is termed difcurfive knowiledge. This procefs or operation mult be explained, in order to underftand the nature of realoning. And as reafoning is inofly employed in difcovering relations, I fhall draw my examples from them. Every propofition concerning relations, is an affirmation of a certain relation between two fubjects. If the relation affirmed appear not intuitively, we muft fearch for a third fubject, intuitively connected with each of the others by the relation affirm. ed :'and if fuch a frubject be found, the propofition is demoriftrated, for it is intuitively certain, that two fubjects connected with a third by any particular relation, muft be connected together by the fame relation. The longeft chain of reafoning may be liiked together in this manner. Running over fuch a chain, every one of the fubjects muft ap. pear intuitively to be connected with that immediately preceding, and with that inmediately fubfequent, by the relation affirmed in the propofition; and from the whole united, the propofition, as above-mentioned, muit appear intuitively certain. The laft ftep of the procefs is terined $a$ conclufion, being the lat or concluding percéption.

No other reafoning affords fo clear a notion of the foregoing procefs, as that which is mathematical. lquality is the only mathematical relation; and comparifon therefore is the only means by which mathematical propofitions are afcertained. To that fcience belong a number of intuitive propolitions, terined axioms, which are all founded on equality. For example : Divide two equal lines, each
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each of them, into a thoufand equal parts, a fingle part of the one line muft be equal to a fingle part of the other. Second: Take ten of thefe parts from the one line, and as many from the other, and the remaining parts muft be equal ; which is more fhortly exprefled thus: From two equal lines take equal parts, and the remainders will be equal ; or add equal parts, and the fums will be equal. Third: If two things be, in the lame refpect, equal to a third, the one is equal to the other in the fame refpect; I proceed to fhow the ufe of thefe axioms. Two things may be equal without being intuitively fo ; which is the, cafe of the equality between the three angles of a triangle and two right angles. To denonftrate that truth, it is neceffary to fearch for fome other angles that intuitively are equal to both. If this property cannot be difcovered in any one fet: of angles, we muft go more leifurely to work, and try to find angles that are equal to the three angles of a triangle. Thefe being difcovered, we next try to find other angles equal to the angles now difcovered; and fo on in the comparifon, till at laft we difcover a fet of angles, equal not only to thofe introduced, but alfo to two right angles. We thus connect the two parts of the original propefition, by a number of intermediate equalities; and by that means perceive, that the te two parts are equal among themfelves; it being an intuitive pro-pofition, as mentioned above, That two things are equal, each of which, in the fame refpect, is equal to a third.

I proceed to a different example, which concerns the relation between caufe and effect, The propofition to be demonftrated is, "That there "exifts a good and intelligent Being, who is the "caufe of all the wife and benevolent effects that "a are produced in the government of this world." That there are fuch effects, is in the prefent ex- In order to difcover the caufe of /thefe offects; I begin with an intuitive propofition mentioned above," That every effect adapted to a good end " or purpofe, proceeds from a defigning and be" nevolent caufe." The next ftep is to examine whether man can be the caufe: he is provided indeed with fome fhare of wifdom and benevtlence; but the effects mentioned are far above his power, and no lefs above his' wifdom. Neither can this carth be the caufe, nor the fun, the moon, the ftars; for, far frons being wife and benevolent, they are not even fenfible. If thefe be excluded, we are unavoidably led to an invifible being, endowed with boundlefs power, goodnefs, and intelligence; and that invifible being is terimed God.

Reafoning requires two mental powers, namely, the power of invention, and the power of perceiving relations. By the former are difcovered intermediate propofitions, equally related to the fundamental propofition and to the conclufion : by the latter we perceive, that the different links which compofe the chain of reafoning, are all connected together by the fame relation.

We can reafon about matters of opinion and belief, as well as about matters of knowledge properly to termed. Hence reafoning is diftinguifhed into two kinds; demonftrative, and probable. Demonftrative reafoning is alfo of two kinds: in the firft, the conclufion is drawn from the nature and inherent properties of the fubject : in the other, the conclufion is drawn from fome principle, of which we are certain by intuition. With refpect to the firft, we have no fuch knowledge of the nature or inherent properties of any being, material or immaterial, as to draw conclufions from it with certainty. I except not even figure confidered
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fidered as a quality of matter, though is the object of mathematical reafoning. As we have no flandard for determining with precifion the figure of any portion of matter, we cannot with precifion reafon upon its what appeärs to us a ftraight line may be a curve, and what appears a rectilinear angle may be curvilinear. How then comes mathematical reafoning to be demonftrative? This' queftion may appear at firft fight puzeling; and I know not that it lias any where been diftinctly explained. Perhaps 'what follows may be fatisfactory.

The fubjects of arithmetical reafoning are numbers. The fubjects of mathernatical reafoning are figures. But' what figures'? Not fuch as I fee; but fuch as $I$ form an idea of, ab:tracting from every imperfection. I explain myfelf. There is a power in man to form images of things that never exifted; a golden mountain, for example, or a river running upward. This power operates upon figures : there is perhaps no figure exifting the fides of which are ftraight lines; but it is eafy to form an idea of a line that has no waving or crookednefs, and it is eafy to form an idea of a figure bounded by fuch lines. Such ideal figures are the fubjects of mathematical reafoning, and thefe being perfectly clear and diflinct, are proper fubjects for demonftrative reafoning of the firft kind. Mathematical reafoning however is not merely a mental entertainment : it is of real ufe in life, by directing us to operate upon matter:- There polfibly may not be found any where a perfect globe, to anfwer the idea we form of that figure: but a globe may be made fo near perfection, as to have nearly the properties of a perfect globe. In a word, thougli ideas are, properly firaking, the fubject of mathematical evidence; - yet the end and purpofe of that evidence is, to direct us with refpect to figures. as they really exilf; and the
nearer any xeal figure, approaches to its ideal per. fection ${ }_{0}$, with the greater accuracy will the mathematical truth be applicable.

The component parts of figures, viz. limes and angles $s_{\text {: }}$ are extremely fimple, requiring no defimition. Place before a child a crooked line, and one that has no appearance of being crooked: call the former a crooked line, the latter a ftraight line; and the child will ufe thefe terms familiarly, without hazard of a miftake.. Draw a perpendicular upon paper: let the child advert, that the upward line leans neither to the right nor to the left, and for that reafon is termed a perpendicular: the child will apply that term familiarly to a tree, to the wall of a houfe, or to any other perpendicular. In the fame manner, place before the child two lines diverging from each other, and two that have no appearance of diverging: call the latter parallel lines, and the child will have no difficulty of applying the fame term to the fides of a door or of a window. Yet fo accuftomed are we to definitions, that even thele fimple ideas are not fuffered to efcape. A fraight line, for example, is defined to be the fhorteft that can be drawn between two given points. Is it fo, that even a man, not to talk of a child, can have no idea of a ftraight line till he be told that the thorteft line between two points is a flraight line? How many talk familiarly of a ftraight line who never happened to think of that fact, which is an inference only, not a definition. If l had not beforehand an idea of a ftraight line, I fhould never be able to find out, that it is the Shortelt that can be drawn between two points. D'Alembert ftrains hard, but without fuccefs, for a definition of a firaight line, and of the others mentioned. It is, difficult to avoid finiling at his definition of parallel lines. Draw, fays he, a Atraight line: erect upon it two perpendiculars of the fame length:
upon line: firft me by the underft pendicu very 10 own mi could $f$ ning th alone is and eve neceffity the idea two per through it more
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upon their two extremities draw another fraight line; and that line is faid to be parallel to the firft mentioned; as if, to underftand what is meant by the expreffion two parallol lines, we mult firft underftand what is meant by a fraight line, by a perpendicular, and by two lines equal in length. A very flight reflection upon the operations of his own mind, would have taught this author, that he could form the idea of parallel lines without running through fo many intermediate fteps: fight alone is fufficient to explain the term to a boy, and even to a girl. At any rate, where is the neceffity of introducing the line laft mentioned ? If the idea of parallels cannot be obtained from the two perpendiculars alone, the additional line drawn through their extremities will certainly not nake it more clear.

Mathematical figures being in their nature complex, are capable of being defined; and from the foregoing fimple ideas, it is eafy to define every one of them. For example, a circle is a figure having a point within it, named the centre, through which all the ftraight lines that can be drawn, and extended to the circumference; are equal ; a furface bounded by four equal ftraight lines, and having four right angles, is termed a fquare; and a cube is a folid, of which all the fix furfaces are fquares.

In the inveftigation of mathematical truths, we afift the imagination; by drawing figures upon paper that refemble our ideas. There is no neceffity for a perfect refemblance : a black fpot, which in reality is a finall round furface, ferves to reprefent a mathematical point; and a black line, which in reality is a long narrow furface, ferves to reprefent a mathematical line. When we reafon about the figures compofed of fuch lines, it is fufficient that thefe figures have fome appearance of regularity: lefs or more is of no importance; becaule our reafoning is not founded upon them,
them, but upon our ideas. "Thus, to demonftrate that the three angles of a triangle are equal to two right angles, a triangle is drawn upon paper, in order to keep the mind fteady to its object. After tracing the Ateps that lead to the conclu. fion, we are fatisfied that the propofition is true; being confcious that the reafoning is built upon the ideal figure, not upon that which is drawn upon the paper. And being alfo confcions, that the enquiry is carried on independent of any particular length of tlie fides; we are fatisfied of the univerfality of the propofition, and of its being applicable to all triangles whatever.

Numbers confadered by themfelves, abftractedly from things, make the fubject of arithmetic. And with rejpect both to mathematical and arithmetical reafonings, which frequently confift of many steps, the procefs is thortened by the invention of ligns, which, by a fingle dafh of the pen, exprefs clearly what would require many words. By that means, a very long chain of reafoning is expreffed by a few fymbols; a method that contributes greatly to readinefs of comprehenfion. If in fuch reafonings words were neceffary, the mind, embarraffed with their multitude, would have great difficulty to follow any long chain of reafoning. A line drawn upon paper reprefents an ideal line, and a few fimple characters reprefent the abftract ideas of number.

Arithmetical reafoning, like mathematical, depends entirely upon the relation of equality, which can be afcertaned with the greateft certanty among many ideas. Hence, reafonings upon fuch ideas afford the highett degree of conviation.: I do not fay, however, that this is always the cale ; for a man who is confcious of his own fallibility, is feldom without fome degree of diffidence; where the reafoning confifts of many feps. "And though on a review no error be difcovered, yet he is con-
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feious that there may be errors, though they have elcaped him.

As to the other kind of demonftrative reaforing, founded on propofitions of which we are intuitively certain; 1 juitly call it domonftrative, becaule it affords the fame conviction that arifes from mathematicai reafoning. In both, the means of conviction are the fame, viz. a clear perception of the relation between two ideas: and there are many relations of which we have ideas no lefs clear than of equality; witnefs fubftance and quality; the whole and its parts, caufe and effect, and many others: From the intuitive propofition, for example, That nothing which begins to exift can exift without a caute, I can conclode, that fome one being mult have exifted from all eternity, with no lefs certainty, than that the three angles of a triangle are equal to two right angles.

What falls next in order, is that inferior fort of knowledge which is termed opinion; and which, like knowledge properly fo termed, is founded in fome inftances upon intuition, and in fome upon realoning. But it differs from knowledge properly for termed in the following particular, that it produces different degrees of conviction, fometimes approaching to certainty, fometimes finking towards the verge of improbability. The conftancy and uniformity of natural operations, is a fit fubject for illuftrating that difference. The future fuccelfive changes of day and night, of winter and fummer, and of other fucceffions which have hitherto been conftant and uniform, fall under intuitive knowledge, becaule of thele we have the higheft conviction. As the conviction is inferior of fuccellions that hitherto have varied in any degree, thefe fall under intuitive opinion. We expect fummer after winter with the utmolt contidence; but we have not the fame confidence, in expecting a hot fummer or a cold winter. And
yet the probability approaches much nearer to cer. tainty, than the intuitive opinion we have, that the operations of nature are extremely fimple, a propolition that is little relied on.

As to opinion founded on reafoning, it is obvious, that the conviction produced by reafoning, can never rife above what is produced by the in. tuitive propofition upon which the realoning is founded. And that it may be weaker, will appear from confidering, that even where the fundamental propofition is certain, it may lead to the conclufive opinion by intermediate propofitions, that are probable only, not certain. In a word, it holds in general with refpect to every fort of rea Toning, that the conclufive propofition can never rife higher in point of conviction, than the very loweft of the intuitive propofitions employed as fteps in the reafoning.

The perception we have of the contingency of future cyents. opens a wide field to our reafoning about probabilities. That perception involves more or lefs doubt according to its fubject. In fome inftances, the event is perceived to be extremely doubiful; in others, it is perceived to be lefs doubtful. It appears altogether doubtful, in throwing a dye, which of the fix fides will turn up; and for that reafon, we cannot juftly conclude for one rather than for another. If one only of the fix fides be marked with a figure, we conclude, that a Blank will turn up; and five to one is an equal wager that fuch will be the effect. In judging ot the future behaviour of a man who has hitherto been governed by intereft, we may conclude with a probability approaching to certainty, that intereft will continue to prevail,

Belief comes laft in order, which, as defined above, is knowledge of the truth of facts that falls below certainty, and involves in its nature, fome degree of doubt. It is alfo of two kinds; one fornded
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upon intuition, and one upon realoning. Thus, kntowledge, opinion, belief, are all of them equally diftinguifiable into intuitive and dilcurfive. Of intuitive belief, I difcover three different fources or caufes. Firf, A prefent object. Second, An object formerly prefent. Third, The teftimony of others.
To have a clear conception of the firft caufe, it 'muff' be obferved, that anoing the fimple perceptions that compofe the complex perceptron of a prefent object, a perception of real and prefent exitence is' one. This perception rifes commonly to certainty; "in which cafe it is a branch of know. ledge property fo termed ; and is handled as fuch above. But this perception falls below certainty in fome inftances; as where an object, feen at a great diftance or in a fog, is perceived to be a horfe, but fo indiftinctly as to make it a probability only. The perception in fuch a cale is termed belief. Both perceptions are fundamentally of the fame nature ; being fimple perceptions of real exiftence. They differ only in point 'ff' diftinctriefs: the perception of reality that males a branch of knowledge, is fo clear and difininet as to exclude all doubt or hefitation : the perception of reafity that occafions belief, being lefs clear and diftinet, makes not the exiftence of the object cerrain to us, but only probable.
Writfr refpect to the fecond caufe; the exiftence of an ablent object, formerly feen, amounts not to a certainty ; and therefore is the fubject of belief only, not of knowledge. Things are in a continual flux from production to diffolution; and our fenfes are accommodated to that variable fcene: a prefent object adimits no doubt of its exiftence; but after it is removed, its exiftence becones lefs certain, and in time finks down to a flight degree of probability.

Human teftimony, the third caufe, produces belief, more or lefs ftrong, according to circumftances. In general, nature leads us to rely uponthe veracity of each other; and commonly the degree of reliance is proportioned to the degree of veracity. Sometimes beiief approaches to a certainty, as when it is founded on the evidence of perfons above exception as to veracity. Sometimes it finks to the lowelt degree of probability, as when a fact is told by one who has no great re-: putation for truth. The nature of the fact, common or uncommon, has likewife an influence: an ordinary incident gains credit upon very flight evidence; but it requires the ftrongeft cvidence to overcome the improbability ' of an event that deviates from the ordinary courfe of nature. At the fanes time, it mult be obferved, that belief is not aliways founded upon rational principles. Thete are biatles and weaknefles in human nature that fometimes difturb the operation, and produce belief without fufficient or proper evidence : we are difpoled to believe on very, llight evidence, an interefting event, however rare or fingular, that atarms and agitates the mind ; becaufe the mind in agitation is remarkably fufceptible of impreffons: for: which reafon ftories of ghoits and apparitions pafs current with the vulgar. Eloquence afo has great power over the mind ; and, by making deep impreflions; enforces the belief of facts upon evidence that would not be regarded in a çol moment.

The dependence that our perception of real exiftence, and confequently belief, hath upon oral evidence, enlivens focial intercorrfe, and promotes fociety. But the perception of real exiftence has a ftill more extenfive influence; for from that perception is derived a great part of the entertainment we find in hiftory, and in hiftorical fables (a).
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At the fame time, a perceptiont that may be raifed by fiction as well as by trurh, would ofen miflead were we abandoned to its impulfe: but the God of nature hath provided a remedy, for that evil, by erecting within the mind a tribunal, to which there lies an appeal from the rafh impreftions of fenfe. When the delufion of eloquence or of dread fubfides, the perplexed mind is uncertain what to believe. A regular procefs commences, counfel is heard, evidence produced, and a final judgement pronounced, fometimes confirming, fometimes yarying, the belief impreffed upon us by the lively perception of reality. Thus, by a wife appointment of nature, intuitive belief is fubjected to rational difcuflion: when confirmed by: reafon, it turns more vigorous and authoritative: when contradicted by realon, it difappears among fenfible peoples. In fome inftances, it is too headItrong for reafon; as in the cafe of bobgoblins and apparitions, which pafs current among the vult gav in fpite, of reafon.

We proceed to the other kind of belief, that which is founded on reafoning; to which, when intuition fails ras, we muft have recourfe fof afcertaining facts. . Thus, from known effects, we infer the exiftenge of unknown caufes. That au elfoth onthe have a caufe, is an intuitive propo-. fitigns but to alcertain what particular thitng is: the gaufer requises commonly a, procets of reafoningan Thionis ene; of the means by which the Deity, the primary caufed is made known to us, as men-tioned abover Reafon, in tracing caufes from known effects, produces different degrees of conviction. . It fometimes produces certainty, as in proving the exitence of the Deity; which on that. aceonnt is handled above, under the head of knowledge. For the moft part it produces belief only, which, acoording to the ftrength of the reafoning, Lomotim: approaches to ceatant; fometinues is
fo : weak as barely to turn the fcale on the fide of probability. Take the following examples of different' degrees of belief founded on probable reatoning. $\therefore$ When Inigo Jones flourifhed and was the only architect of note in England; let it be: fuppofed, that his model of the palace of Whitehall had beên prefented to a ftranger, without mentioning the author. The ftranger, in the firft place, would be intuitively certain, that this was the work of fome Being, intelligent and fkilful. Secondly, He would have a conviction approaching to certainty, that the operator was a man. And, thirdly, He would have a conviction that the man was Inigo Jones ; but lefs firm than the former. Let us next fuppofe another Englifh architect little inferior in reputation to Jones: the franger would fill pronounce in favour of the latter; but his belicf :would be in the loweft degree.

When we inveftigase the caufes of certain effects, the reafoning is often founded upon the known nature of man. In the high country, for example; between Edinburgh and Glafgow, the people lay their coals at the end of their houfes; without any fence to fecure them from theft: whence: it is rationally inferred, that coals are there' in plenty. In the weft of Scotland, the corn.ftacks are covered with great care and nicety $\%$ whence it is inferred, that the climate is rainy, Placentia is the capital town of Bifcay the only town in Newfoundland bears the fame names from which circumftance it is conjectured, that the Bifeaymers were the firft Europeans who made a fettlement in that ifland.

Analogical reafoning, founded upon the uniformity of nature; is frequently employed in the inveftigation of facts; and we infer, that facts of which we are uncertain, muft refemble thofe of the fame kind that are known. The reafonings 20 natwal phitofopy are moftiy of that kind. Take
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Take the following examples: We leain from experience, that proceeding from the humbleft vegetable to many there are numberlefs claffes of beings rifing one above another by differences fcarce perceptible, and leaving no where a fingle gap or interval : and from conviction of the uniformity of nature we infer, that the line is not broken off here, but is carried on in other worlds, till it end in the Deity. I proceed to another example. Every man is confcious of a felf-motive power in himlelf; and from the uniformity of nature; we infer the fame p 3 wer in every one of our own fpecies. The argument here from analogy carries great weight, becaufe we entertain no doubt of the uniformity of nature with refpect to beings of our own kind We apply the fame argument to other animals; though their refemblance to man appears not fo certain; as that of one man to another. But why not alfo apply the fame argument to infer a felf-motive power in matter? When we fee matter in motion without an external mover, we naturally infer, that, like us, it moves itfelf. Another example is borrowed from Maupertuis. "As there is no known fpace of the "earth covered with water fo large as the Terra ". Auftralis incognita, we may reafonably infer, that
 "but that there mult be forme portion of land." The uniformity of nature with refpect to the intermixture of fea and land, is an argument that affords but a very flender degree of conviction; and from late voyages it is difcovered, that the argument holds not in fact. The following argument of the fame kind, though it cannot be much relied on, feems however better founded. "The inhabitants of the " northern hemifphere, have, in arts and fciences, "excelled fuch of the fouthern as:we have any " knowledge of : and therefore among the later " we ought not to expect many atts, nor much cul" tivation."
VoL. $11 . \quad$ K After

After a fatiguing inveftigation of numberlefs particulars which divide and fcatter the thought, it may not be unpleafant to bring all under one view by a fuccinct recapitulation.

We have two means for difcovering truith and acquiring knowledge, viz. intuition and rëafoning. By intuition we difcover fubjects and their attributes, pallions, internal action, and in fhort every thing that is matter of fact. By intuition we alfo difcover feveral relations. There are fome facts and many relations; that cannot be difcovered by a fingle act of intuition, but require feveral fuch acts linked together in a chain of reafoning.

Knowledge acquired by inturion, includes for the moft part certainty : in fome inftances it includes probability only. Knowledge acquired by reafoning, frequently inicludes certainty ; but more frequently includes probability only.
$\because$ Probable knowledge, whether founded on intuiti. on or on reafoning, is termed opinion when it concerns relations; and is termed belief when it concerns faets. Where knowledge includes certainty, it retains its proper name.

Reafoning that produces certainty, is termed demonfrative; and is termed probable, when it only produces probability.

Demonftrative reafoning is of two kinds. The firft is, where the conclufion is derived from the nature and inherent properties of the fubject : mathematical reafoning is of that kind; and perhaps the only inftance. The fecond is, where the conclufion is derived from fome propofition, of which we are certain by intuition.

Probable reafoning is endlefs in its varieties; and affords different degrees of conviction, depending on the nature of the fubject upon which it is employed.
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## S ECT. II.

## Progress of Reafon.

A Progréfs from infancy to maturity in the nind of man, fimilar to that in his body, has been often mentioned. The external fenfes, being early neceffary for felf.prefervation, arrive quickly at maturity. The internal fenfés are of a flower growth, as well as every other mental power: their maturity would be of little or no ufe while the body is weak, and unfit for action. Reafoning, as obferved in the firtt fection, requires two mental powers, the power of invention, and that of perceiving relations. By the former are difcovered intermediate propofitions, liaving the fame relation to the fundamental propofition and to the conclufion ; and that relation is verified by the latter. Both powers are neceffary to the perfor who frames an argument, or a chain of reafoning: the latter only, to the perfon who judges of it. Savages are miferably deficient in both. With refpect to the former, a favage may have from his nature a talent for invention ; but it will ftand him in little ftead without a ftock of ideas enabling him to felect what may anfiwer his purpofe; and a favage has no opportunity to acquire fuch a fock. With refpect to the latter, he knows little of relations. And how thould he know, when both ftudy and practice are neceffary for diftinguifhing between relations? The underitanding, at the fame time, is among the illiterate obfequious to paffion and prepoffellion; and among them the imagination acts without control, forming conclufions often no better than mere dreams. In fhort, confidering the many K 2 caufes
caufes that mincad from juff reafoning, in days efpecially of ignorance, the erroneous and abfurd opinions that have prevailed in the world, and that continue in fome meafure to prevail, are far from being furprifing. Were reafon our only guide in the conduct of life, we fhould have caufe to complain; but our Maker has provided us with moral fenfe, a guide little fubject to error in matters of importance. In the fciences, reafon is effential ; but in the conduct of life, which is our chief concern, reafon may be an ufeful affiftant ; but to be our director is not its province.

The national progrefs of reafon has been flower in Europe, than that of any other art : ftatuary, painting, architecture, and other fine arts, approach nearer perfection, as well as morality and natural hiftory. Manners and every art that appears externally, may in part be acquired by imitation and example: in reafoning there is nothing external to be laid. hold of. But there is befide a particular caule that regards Europe, which is the blind deference that for many ages was paid to Ariftotle; whio has kept the reafoning faculty in chains more than two thoufand years. In his logic, the plain fimple mode of reafoning is rejected, that which Nature dictates'; and in its ftead is introduced an artificial mode, Thowy but unfubftantial, of no ufe for difcovering truth ; but contrived with great art for wrangling and difputation. Confidering that reafon for to many ages has been immured in the enchanted cafte of fyllogifm, where phantoms pafs for realities; the flow progrefs of reafon toward maturity is far from being furprifing. The taking of Conftantinople by the 'Jurks ann. 1453, unfolded a new fcene, which in time relieved the world from the ufurpation of Ariftotle, and reftored reafon to her privileges. All the knowledge of Europe was centered in Conftantinople; and the learned men of that city, abhorring the Turks and their government,
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Reafon.
ment, took refuge in Italy. The Greek language was introduced among the weftern nations of Europe: and the fudy of Greek and Roman claffics became falhionable. Men, laving acqui.cu new ideas, began to think for themfelves : they exerted their native faculty of reafon: the futility of Ariftotle's logic became, apparent to the penetrating ;: and is now apparent to all. Yet'fo late a's the year 1621, feveral perfons were banifhed from Paris for contradicting that philofopher, about matter and form, and about the number of the elements. And fhortly after, the parliament of $\mathrm{Pa}-$ ris prohibited, under pain of death, any thing to be taught contrary to the doctrines of Ariftotle. Julius II. and Leo X. Roman Pontiffs, contributed zealoully to the reformation of letters; but they did not, forelee that they were allo contributing to the reformation of religion, and of every fcience that depends on reafoning. Tho the fetters of fyllogifu have many years ago been fhaken off; yet like, a limb long kept from motion, the reaConing faculty has fearcely to this day attained its free and natural exercife.' Mathematics is the only fcience that never has been cramped by fyllogifin, and we find reafoning there in great perfection at an early period. The very flow progrefs of reafoning in other matters, will appear, from the following induction.
To exemplify erroneous and abfurd reafonings of every fort, would be endlefs. The reader, I prefume, will be fatisfied with a few inflances; and I hall endeavour to felect what are amufing. For the fake of order, I divide them into three heads. Firf, inflances fhowing the imbecillity of human realon during its nonage. Second, Erroneous reafoning occafioned by natural biaffes.Third, Erroneous reafoning occafioned by acquired biafles. With refpect to the firt, inftances are endlefs of reafonings founded on erroneous premifes.
mifes. It was an Epicurean doctrine, That the gods have all of them a human figure ; moved by the following argument, that no being af any other figure has the ufe of reafon. Plato, taking for granted the follawing erroneous propofition That every being which moves itfelf muft have a foul, concludes that the world mult have a foul, becaufe it moves itfelf (a). Ariftotle taking it for granted, without the leaft evidence and contrary to truth, that all heavy bodies tend to the centre of the univerfe, proves the earth to be the centre of the univerfe by the following argument.${ }^{6}$. Heavy bodies naturally tend to the centre of the © univerfe : we know by experience that heavy " 6 bodies tend to the centre of the earth : there© 4 fore the centre of the earth is the centre of the "G univerfe." Appion ridicules the Jews for adhering literally to the precept of refting on their fabbath, fo as to fuffer Jerufalem to be taken that day by Ptolomy fon of Lagus. Mark the anfwer of Jofephus: " Whoever pafles a fober ${ }^{\text {ce }}$ judgement on this matter, will find our prac"tice agreeable to honour and virtue; for what ${ }^{6}$ can be more honourable and virtuous, than to "s poftpone our country, and even life itfelf, to "the fervice of God, and of his holy religion?" A frange idea of religion, to put it in direct oppofition to every moral principle! 'A fuperfitious and abfurd doctrine, That God will interpofe by a miracle to declare what is right in every controverfy, has occafioned much erroneous reafoning and abfurd practice. The practice of determining controverfies by fingle combat, commenced about the feventh century, when religion had degenerated into, fuperftition, and courage was efteemed the only moral virtue. The parliament of Paris in the reign of Charles VI. appointed a fingle combat between

[^42]two gentlemen, in order to have the judgement of God whether the one had carmitted a rape on the other's wife. In the 1454, John Picard being accufed by his fon-in-law for toa great familiarity with his wife, a duel between them was appointed by the fane parliament. NVoltaire juffly oblerves; ${ }^{2}$ that the parliament decreed a parricide to be committed, in order to try an acculation of incert, which poffibly was not committed. The trials by water and by fire, reft on the fame erroneous foundation. In the fgrmer, if the perfon accufed funk to the bottom, it was a judgement pronounced by God that he was innocent : if he kept above, it was a judgenent that he was guilty. Fleury (a) remarks, that if ever the perfon acculed was found guilty, it was his own fault.In Sicily, a woman accufed of adultery, was compelled to fwear to her innocence : the oath, taken down in writing, was laid on water; and if it did not fink, the woman was innocent.: We find the fame practice in Japan, and in Malabar. One of the agticles infifted on by the reformers in Scotland, was, That public prayers be made and the facraments adminiftered in the vulgar tongue. The anfwer of atprovincial council was in the following words: "That to conceive public "prayers: or adminifter the facranents in a"y " language but Latili, is contrary to the tradi, "tions and practice of the Catliolic church for " many ages paft; and that the demand cannof " be granted, without impiety to God and difo"bedience to the church." Here it is taken for granted, that the practice of the church is always right $;$ which is buijding an argument on a very rotten foundation. The Caribbeans abftain fron fwines: fieh ; taking it erroneoufly for granted,
(a) Hiftoire Ecclefiatiquee.
that fuch food would make them have fmall eyes, held by them a great deformity. They alfo ab. ftain from eating turte ; which they think would infect them with the lazinefs and ftupidity of that animat. Upon the fame erroneous notion, the Brafilians abftain from the fleh of ducks, and of every creature that moves flowly. It is obferved of northern nations, that they do not open the mouth fufficiently for diftinct articulation; and the reafon given is, that the coldnefs of the air makes them keep the mouth as clofe as poffible. This reafon is indolently copied by writers one from another: people enured to a cold climate feel little cold in the mouth; befide that a caufe. fo weak could never operate equally among fo many different nations. The real caufe is, that northern tongues abound with confonants, which admit but a finall aperture of the mouth, (See Elements of Criticifn, chap. Beauty of language.) A lift of German names to be found in every catalogue of books, will make this evident, Rutgerfius, for example, Faefcb. To account for a fact that is certain, any reafon com, monly fuffices.
A talent for writing feems in Germany to be cftimated by weight, as beauty is faid to be in Holland. Cocceius for writing three weighty folia volumes on law; has obtained ampng his countrymen the epithet of Great. This author, handling the rules of fucceffion in land-eftates, has with moft profound erudition founded all of them upon the following very fimple propofition; In a competition, that defcendent is entitled to be preferred who has the greateft quantity of the predeceffor's blood in his veins, 2yaritur, has a man any of his predeceffor's blood in his veins, otherwife than metaphorically? Simple indeed! to build an argument in law upon a pure metaphor.

Next of reafonings where the conclution follotws not from the premiles, or fundamental propofition. Plato endeavours to prove, that the world is endowed with wifdom, by the following argument. "The world is greater than any of its " parts: therefore it is endowed with wifdom; "f for otherwife a man who is endowed with " wifdom would be greater than the world (a)". The conclufion here does not follow; for "tho" man is endowed with wifdom, it follows not, that he is greater than the world in point of fize.- Ies Zeno endeavours to prove, that the world had the ufe of reafon, by an argument of the fame ${ }^{4}$ kind. To convince the world of the truth of the four gofpels, Ireneus (b) urges the following'arguments, which he calls demonftration. 'c There are four " quarters of the world and four cardinal winds, "confequently there are four gofpels in the church, " 6 as there are four pillars that fupport it, "and "four breaths of life that render it immor"tal." Again, "The four animals in Ezekiel's " vifion mark the four ftates of the Son of God. "The lion is his royal dignity : the calf his prieft " hood: the beaft with the face of man his' hu" man nature: the eagle his fpirit which de"fcends on the church. To thefe four animalis " correfpond the four gofpels, on which our Lord " is feated." John, who teaches his celeftial bri" gin, is the lion, his gofpel being full of confu" " dence: Luke, who begins with the prienthood " of Zachariah, is the calf: Matthew, who def" " cribes the genealogy of Chrift according to the " flefh, is the animal refembling a man : Mark " who begins with the prophetic fpirit coming "from above, is the eagle. This gofpel is the " fhorteft of all, becaufe brevity is the character " of
(a) Cicero, De natura Deorum, lib. 2. § 12.
(b) Lib. 3. cap. 12.
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Rome or at Pekin? The Syrians and Greeks did nos for a tong time eat fifh. Two reafons are afiligned; one is,' that finh is not facrificed to the gods, the other, that being rimmerfed in the féa theie loop no up to hediyen (d). The firt would aford a more plafable argument for eating finh. And.if the other have any weight, it would be an arguneent for facrificing men, and neither fif nor camte. In jutification of the Saliclaw, which prohibits female fuccer? fion, it was long hell a conclufive argument, That inithe fleripture lilies ate frid neither to worl nor to foing, Vieira, termed by his countrymen the Lu/ttanian Cicero, publifhed fermons, one of which bed gins thus, "Were the fupreme being to form lim: "felf vifibly, he would chufe the circle rather than, " the triangle, the fquare, the pentagon, the duo. "decagon, or any other figure." But why appear, in any of thefe figures? And if he were obliged ta appear in fo mean a hape, a globe is, undoubtedly more beautiful than a cirçle. Peter Hantz of Horn, wholived in the laf century, imagined that Noak' ark is the true conftruction of a hhip, "which," faid he, "is the workmanthip of God, and therefore perfeet; as if a veffel made merely for foating on the water, were the beft alfo for failing. Sixty or feventy years ago, the fahion prevailed, in imitation of birds, to fwallow fmall fones for the fake of digeftion; as if what is proper for birds, were equally proper for men, The Spaniards, who laid, watte a great part of the Weft-Indies, endeavoured to excule their cruelties, by maintaining, that the natives were not men, but a fpecies, of the Ouran Outang, for no better reafon; than that they were of a copper colour, fooke an unknown language, and had no beard. The Pope iffued a buill, declar-: ing that it pleafed him and the Holy Ghon to acknowledge the Americans to be of the human race, This,

[^43] faid to Be a demonfration: No deed $\mathrm{can}^{\mathrm{Be}} \mathrm{a}^{2}$ c'tentamentwile a man is alive, becaule it is not " neceffirily his ultima voluntas; and no man can "make a teftament after his death." Both premifes are true, but the negative conclufion does not follow: it is true a man's deed is not his ultima voluntas, while he is alive but does it not becone his ultimia voluntas, when he dies without altering the deed ?

Mary reafonings have paffed current in the world as good coin, where the premifes are not true; nor, fuppofing them true, would they infer a conclufion. Plato in his Phoedon relies on the following argument for the immortality of the foul, "Is not death ' the oppofite of life? Certainly. And do they not "give bitth to each other ? Certainly. What then " is produced from life Death. And what from "death? "Life. It is then from the dead that all, of things living proceed; and confequently fouls ex " if after death." God, fays Plato, pade but five worlds, becaufe according to his definition there are but five regular bodies in geometry. Is that a reafon for confining the Almighty to five worlds, not one lefs or more. Arifotle, who wrote a book upon mechanici," was much puzzled about the equilibrium of a balance, when unequal weights are hung upon it at different diftances from the centre.
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[^44]Sk, $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{f}}{ }^{2}$.
Having obferved, that the arms of the balance defcribe portions of a crele, he accounted for the equilibrium by a notable argument: © Ailthe properties " of the circle are wonderful: the equilibrium of "the two weights that defribe portions of a circle "c is wonderful. Ergo, the equilibrium muft be "one of the properties of the circle. What are we to think of Arifotle logic, when we find him capable of fuch childifh reafoning? And yet that, work has been the admiration of all the world for centuries upon centuries. Nay, that foolifh argument has been efpoured and commented upon by his difciples. for the tame length of time. Toproceed to another infance: Marriage within the fourth degree of confanguinity, as wefl as of affinity, is profibited by the Lateran council; and the reafon given is, That the body being made up of so four elements has four different humours in it The Roman Catholics began with beheading beetics, hanging them, or foning them to death. But fuch punifhments. Were difovered to be too llight, in matters of faith, It was demonftrated, that heretics ought to be burnt in a llow fire: it being taken for granted, that Godpunifhes them in the other world with flow fire, it was inferred, "That as every prince and every ma"giftrate is the image of God in this world they "ought to follow his example." Here is a double error in reafoning: firt, the taking for granted the fundainental propofition, which is furely not felf-evident ; and next, the drawing a conclufion from it without any connection. The heat of the fun, by the reflection of its rays from the earth, is greatly. encreated in paffing over the great country of Africa. Hence

[^45]Hence rich mines of gold, and the black complexion of the inhabitants. In paffing over the Allantic it is cooled : And by the time it reacies the continent of America, thas lort much of its vigour. Hence no gold on the eaft fide of América. But being heated again in pafing ove á great Ypace of land, it produces much gold in Peru. Is not this teafoning curious? What follows is no léf fo. Huetuiu Bifhop of Aufranches, declaiming againt the vanity of eftablifh. ing a perpetual fuicceflion of defcendents, obferves, that other writers had expofed it upon moral principles; butt that he would cut it down with a plain metaphyfical arrgument. "Father and fon are relative "'ideas; and the relation is at an end by the death " of either. My will therefore to leave my eftate "s to 'my'y fon, is abfurd; becaufe after my death, he " is no longer my fon." By the fame fort of arguinent he deimoniftrates the vanity of fame. "The re"s lation that fabfilts betweeen a man and his charac"t ter, is at ân end by his death : and therefore, that "c the character given him by the world, belongs " not to him nor to any perfon." Huetius is not the only writer who hai urged netapayfical arguments contrary to common fenfe.

It once was a general opinion among thofe who dwelt near the fea, that people never die but during the ebb of the tide. And there were not wanting plaufible reafons. The fea, in flowing, carries with it vivifying particles that recruit the fick. The fea is falt, and falt preferves from rottennefs. When the fea fink's in ebbing, every thing finks with it: nature languifhes : the fick are not vivified: they die.

What fhall be faid of a reafoning where the conclufion is a flat contradiction to the premifes? If a man thooting at a wild pigeon happen unfortunately to kill his neighbour, it is in the Englifh law excufable Koonicide; becaufe the fhooting an animal that is no man's property, is a lawful act. If the aim be at a tame fowl for amufement, which is a trefpafs on
the property of annther, the death of the man is manilaughter, If the tame fowl be fhot in order to be ftoien, it is murdet, by reafon of the felonious intent, From this laft the following confequence is drawn, that if a man, endeavouring to kill another, miffes his blow, and happeneth to kill himfelf, he is in judgement of the law guilty of wilful and deliberate feff-murder ( $a$ ). Strange reafoning! to conftrue an at io be wilful and deliberate felf-murder, contrary to the very thing that is fuppofed.

A plentiful fic irce of incoriclufive reafoning, which prevails greatly', turing the infancy of the rational faculty, is the making of no proper diftiinction bctween frong and weak relations. Minutius Felix, in his apology for the Chriftians, endeavours to prove the unity of the Deity from a moft diftant analogy or relation, "That there is but one king of the bees, " and that more than one chief nagiffrate would "؛ breedconfufion." It is a proflitútion of reafon to offer fuch an argument for the unity of the deity. But any argument paffes cuirrent, in fupport of a propofition which we know before-hand to be true. Phatarch fays, "that it feemed to have happened by "' the peculiar direction of the gods, that Numa " was born on the 21 ift of April, the very day in "which Rome was founded by Romulus;" a very childifh inference from a mere accident. Suppofing Italy to have been tolerably populous, as undoubtedly it was at that period, the 21 ft of April, or any day of April, might have given birth to thoufands. In many countries, the furgeons and barbers are claffed together, as members of the fame trade, from a very flight relation, that both of them operate upon the human body. The Jews enjoyed the reputation, for centuries, of being ikilful phyficicans. Francis I. of France, having long laboured under a difeafe that eluded the art of his own phyfi. cians,
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cians, applyed to the Emperor Cbarles V. for a Jewin phyician from Spain Finding that the perfon fent had been converted to Chritianity, the King tefured no emplyy him as if a Jew were to lofe his firill upon being converted to Cbriftianity. Why did not the King order one of his own phyficians to be converted to Ju ifm. The following childifh argument is built ur a an extreme night relation, that between our Saviour and the wooden crols he fuffered on. "Believe me," Jays Julius Firmicus, "that the devil omits nothing to deftroy miferable " mortals ; converting himfelf into every different " form, and employing every fort of artifice. He " appoints wood to be ufed in facrificing to him, " knowing that our Saviour, fixed to the crofs, "c would beftow imtiortality upon all his followers. "A pine-tree is cut down, and ufed in facrificing to "the mother of the gods. A wooden image of "Ofiris is buried in facrificing to Ifis. A wooden " image of Proferpina is bemoaned for forty nights, " and then thrown into the flames. Deluded mor"tals, thefe flames can do you no fervice. On the "contrary, the fire that is aeftined for your punifh" ment rages without end. Learn from me to know "that divine wood which will fet you free.. A " wooden ark faved the human race from the uni"verfal deluge. Abraham put wood upon the " Thoulders of his fon Ifac.: The wooden rod "Aretched out by Aaron brought the children of " Irrael out of the land of Egypt. Wood fyeeten " ed the bitter waters of Marah, and comforted the " children of Ifrael after wandering three days: " without water. A wooden rod ftruck water out " of the rock. The rod of God in the hand of "Mofes overcame Amalek. The patriarch dream. " ed, that he faw angels defcending and afcending "upon a wooden ladder: and the law of God was " inclofed in a wooden ark. Thefe things were ex" hibited, that, as if it were by certain fteps, we " ${ }^{\prime}$ might
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- titighe areenal to the weof of the crodig whichis a oor falkation The wood' of fhe ctors fiutaifs' the si heavenly màehite, fupports the foundations of the sf earth, and leads men to eternal life. The wood "of the devil burns and perifites, and its affees carty "c down findefs' to the loweit pit of hell,", The'very flighteft telations make an impteffion on a weak undertanding: It was a fancy of Antoninus Geta, in ordering this table, to have fervices compofed of difhes beginilife with the fane letter; fuch as lamb, and bobter, broth, heef, blood-pudding; pork plumb.icake "pigeons, potatoes. The name of John, king of Scotland was changed into Robert, for no betterreafon than that the Johns' of France and of England thad been unfortumate.
In reáfoning, inftances are fot rare, of miftaking the caufe for the effec, and the effect for the caufe. Whep a fone is thrown from the hand, the cor nuance of its motion in the air, was once univerally accounted for as follows: "That the air follows the "iftone at the heels,' and puifies it on." The cffec here is mittaken for the caufe the air indeed followis the fone at the heels; but it only fills the vacuity mate by the fone, and does not puifh it on. It has been filiy urged againft the art of phyfic, that phyficians are rare among temperate people,' fuch'as have ne wants but thofe of nature; and that whelre phyficianis abound, difeafes abound. This is miftaking the caufe for thr effeet, And the effect for the caufese people in health have no occafion for a phyfician; ; but indolence and luxury beget difeafes, and difeafes:beget phyficians.
During the nonage of reafon, men are fatisfied with words inerely, Inftead of an argument. A reàprofpect is charming ; but we foon tire of an unbaunded profpect. It would not give fatisfaction to fay, that ha th too extenfive; for why fould 'tot'a profpect be trelifhed, Kowever extenfive? Bat employ a foreign term and fay, that it is trop vafe, we
Voc. II: L
enquire no farther : 2 term that is not familiar, makes an impreffion, and captivates weak reafon. This oblervation accounts for a mode of writing formerly in common ufe, that of fuffing our language with Latin words and phrafes. Thefe are now haid afide as ufeiefs; becaufe a proper emphafis in reading, makes an imprefien deepcr than any foreign term can do.

There is one proof of the -imbecillity of human reafon in dark times, which would farce be believed, were not the fact fuported by inconteftable evidence. Inftead of explaining any natural appearance by learching for a caufe, it has been common to account for it by inyenting a fable, which gave fatisfaction without enquiring farther For example; inftead of giving the true caufe of the fucceffion of day and night the facred book of the Scandinavians, termed Edda, accounts for that fuccefion by a tale: "The giant Nor had a daughter named Nigbt, of a of dark complexion. She was wedded to Daglinos gar, of the family of the gods. They had a male o: child, whieh they named Day, beautiful and Chin" ing like all of his father's family. The univerfal " father took Night and Day, placed them in hea" ven, and gave to each a horfe and a carp that s they might travel round the world, the one after " the other. Night goes firft upon her horfe named of Rimfax:, [Frofty Mane], who moiftens the earth " with the foam that drops from his bit, which is "the dew, The horfe belonging to Day is named "Skinfaxe, [Shining Mane], who by his radiant " mane illuminates the air and the earth." It is obferved by the tranflator of the Edda, that this way of accounting for things is well fuited to the turn of the human mirrd, endowed with curiofity that is k̀een ; but eafily fatisfied, often with words inftead of ideas. Zoroafter, by a fimilar fable accounts for the growth of evil in this world. He invents a good and an evil principle named Oromazes and Arimanes,
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who are in continual confict for preference. At the laft day, Oromazes will' be reunited to the fupreme God, from whom he iffued. Arimanes will be fubdued, darknefs deftroyed; and the world, purified by an univerfal conflagration, will become a luminous and hining abode, from which evil will be excluded. I return to the Edda, which is flored with fables of this kind. Tlic highef notion the lavages can form of the gods, is that of men endowed with exrraordinary power and knowledge. The only puzzling circuinftance is, how they differ fo much from other men as to be immortal. The Edda accounts for it by the following fable. "The gods prevented the "effect of old age and decay, by eating certain " apples, trufted to the care of Iduna. Loke, the "Momus of the Scandinavians, craftily conveyed " away Iduna, and concealed her in a wood; "" under the cultody of a giant. The gods, be" ginning to wax old and gray, detected the au" thor of the theft; and, by terrible menaces, "compelled him to employ his utmoft cunning; "for regaining Iduna and her apples, in which he "was fuccefsful." The origin of poetry is thus accounted for in the fame work: "IThe gods " formed Cuafer, who traverfed the earth, teaching " wifdom to men. He was treacherounly flain " by two dwarfs, who mixed honey with his "'blood, and compofed a liquor that renders all " who drink of it poets. Thefe dwarfs having " incurred the refentment of a certain giant, "were "expofed by him upon a rock, furrounded on " all fides with the fea. They gave for their ran" foin the faid liquor, which the giant delivered "to his daughter Gunloda. The precious po" tion was eagerly fought for by the gods; but " how were they to come at it? Odin, in the " flape of a worm, crept through a crevive inL 2
if to the cavern where the liquor was concealed. "Then refuming his natural thape, and obtaining "Gunloda's confent to take three draughts, he se fucked up the whole; and transforming him-

- felf into an eagle, flew away to Afgard."The giant, who was a magician, Hew with or all lpeed after Odin, and came up with bim or near the gate of A/gard. The gods iffued out © of their palaces to allift their mafter ; and pre" fented to him' all the pitchers they could lay " hands on, which he inftantly filled with the " precious liquor. But in the hurry of difchargie ing his load, Odin poured only part of the li"quor through his beak, the reft being emitted "through a lefs pure vent. The former is be"ftowed liberally on all who apply for it ; by of which means the world is peftered with an "endlefs quantity of wretched verfes." Ignorance is equally credulous in all ages. Albert, furnamed the Great, flourifhed in the thirteenth century, and was a man of real knowledge. During the courfe of his education he was remarkably dull; and fome years before he died became a fort of cliangeling. That fingularity produced the following ftory. The holy Virgin, appearing to him, demanded, whether he would excel in philofophy or in theology: upon his chufing the former, fhe promifed, that he fhould become an incomparable philofopher ; but added, that to punifh him for not preferring theology, he thould become ftupid again as at firft.

Upon a flight view, it may appear unaccount. able, that even the groffelt favages fhould take a childiff tale for a folid reafon. But nature aids the deception : where things are related in a liveFy manner, and every circumftance appears as paffing in our fight, we take all for granted as true (a). Can an ignorant ruftic doubt of infpiration,
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ration, when he fees as it were the poet fipping. the pure celeftial liguor? And how. can that poet fail to produce bad verfes, who feeds on the excrements that drop from the fundament cven of $a$ deity?
In accounting for natural appearances, even. good writers have betrayed a weaknefs in reafoning, little inferior to that above-mentioned.They do not indeed put off their difciples, with a tale ; but they put them off with a mere fuppofition, not more real than the tale. Defcartes afcribes the motion of the planets to a vortex of ether whirling round and round. He thoughe not of enquiring whether there really be fuch a vortex, nor what makes it move. M. Buffon forms the earth out of a fplinter of the fun, ftruck off by a comet. May not one be permitted humbly, to enquire of that eminent philofopher, what formed the comet? This paffes for folid reafoning; and yet we laugh at the poor Indian, who fupports the earth from falling by an elephant, and the elephant by a tortoife.
It is ftill more ridiculous to reafon upon what is acknowledged to be a fiction, as if it were.real. Such are the fictions admitted in the Roman law. A Roman taken captive in war, loft his privilege of being a Roman citizen; for freedom was heeld effential to that privilege. But what if he made his elcape after perhaps an hour's detention? The hardhip in that cafe ought to have fuggefted an alteration of the law, fo far as to fufpend the privilege no longer than the captivity fubfifted. But the ancient Romans were not fo ingenious. They remedied the hardhhip by a fiction, that the man never had been a captive. The Frederician code banifhes from the law of Pruffia an endlefs number of fictions found in the Roman law.
law (a). Yet afterward, treating of perfonal rights, it is laid down as a rule, That a child in the womb. is feigned or fuppofed to be born when the fiction is for its radvantage ( $b$ ). To a weak reafoner, a fiction is a happy contrivance for refolving intricate queftions.! Such is the conftitution of England, that the Englifh law-courts are merely territorial ; and that no fact happening abroad comes under their cognifance. An Englith hanan, after murdering his fellowtraveller in France, returns to his native country. What is to be done? for guilt ought not to pafs unpunifhed. The crime is feigned to have been coms: mitted in England.

- Ancient hiftories are full of incredible facts that paffed current during the infancy of reafon, which at prefent would be rejected with contempt. Every one who is converfant in the hiflory of ancient nations, can recall inftances without end. Does any perfon believe' at prefent, though gravely reported by hiftorians, that in old Rome there was a law, for cutting into pieces the body of a bankrupt, and diftributing the parts among his creditors? The fory of Porlenna and Scevola is highly romantic ; and the fory of Vampires in Hungary, thamefully abfurd. There is no reafon to believe, there ever was fuch a ftate as that of the Amazons; and the ftory of Tha: leftris and Alexander the Great is certainly a fiction. Scotch hiftorians defcribe gravely and circumftantially the battle of Luncarty, as if they had been eye-witneffes. A peafant and his two fons, it is faid, were ploughing in an adjacent field, during the heat of the action. 'Enraged at their countrymen for turning their backs, they broke the plough in pieces; and each laying hold of a part, rufhed into the midt of the battle, and obtained a complete victory over the Danes. This ftory has every mark of fiction: A man following unconcernedly his ordinary
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- Pafca the infinity "how yo " infinite " place." matical po it can be ey celerity! a is big wit nuff. batte, on which depended his wife and children, his goods, and perhaps his own tife ; chree men, without rank or figure, with only a flick in the hand of each, femming the tide of victory, and turning the fate of battle. I mention not that a plough was unknown in Scotland for a century or two after that batte; for that circumfance could not create a doubt in the hiftorian, if he was ignorant of it.'
Reafon, with refpect to its progrefs, is fingular. Morals, manners and every thing that appears externally may in part be acquired by imitation and example; which have not the flighteft influence upon the reafoning faculty: The only means for advancing that faculty to maturity, are indefatigable ftudy and practice; and even thefe will not carry a nian one ftep beyond the fubjects he is converfant about: cxamples are not rare of men extremely expert in one ficience, and grofsly deficient in others, Many able mathematicians are novices in politics, and even the common arts of life: ftudy and practice have ripened them in every relation of equality, while they remain ignorant, like the vulgar, about other relations. A man, in like manner, who hà beftowed much time and thought in political matters, may be a child as to other branches of knowledge ".

I proceed to the fecond article, containing erroneous reafoning occafioned by natural biaffes. The firft bias I flall mention has an extenfive influence. What

[^49]What is feen, makes a deeper imprefion than what is repprted or difcoyered by reflection. Hence it is, that in judging of right and wrong, the ignorant and illiterate are flruck with the external act only, without penetrating into, will or intention which lio out of fight. Thus with refpect to covenants, laws, vows, and other att that are completed by words, the whole weight in days of ignorance is laid upon the exte. nal expreffion, with no regard to the meaning of the fpeaker or writer. The blefling beftowed by Jfaac upon his fon Jacob, miftaking him for Efau, is an illuftrious inftance. Not only was the bleffing intended for Efau, but Jacob, by deceiving his father, had rendered himfelf unvorthy of it (a) ; yet Irac had pronounced the founds, and it was not in his power to unfay them: Nefait vow emifa reverti"* Johhu, grolsly impofed on by the Gibeonites denying that they were Canaanites, made a covenapt with them; and yet though he found them to be Capaanites, he held himfelf to be bound. Led by the fame bias people think it fufficient to fulfil the words of a vow, however hort of intention. The Duke of Lancafter, vexed at the obftinate rèfiftance of Remes, a town in Britany, vowed in wrath not to raife the fiege till he had planted the Englifh celours upon one of the gates. He found it inceeflary to raife the fiege; ; but his vow ftood in the way. The governor relieved him from his fcruple, "permitting him to plant his clours upon one of the gates; and he was fatisfied that his vow was fulfilled. The following is an example of an abfurd conclufion deduced from a precept taken literally, againft" common fenfe. We are ordered by the Aporte, to pray ${ }_{i}$ always ; from which Jerom, one

[^50]of the fathers 0 argues thus: ${ }^{6}$ Conjugal enjoyment " is inconfiftent with praying ; ergo, conjugal en"joyment is a fin." By the fame argument it may be proved, that eating and drinking are fins; and that fleeping is a great fin, being a great interruption to praying. With refpect to another text, "That " a bilhop muft be blamelefs, the hufband of one, " wife", taken literally, a very different conclufion is drawn in Abyffinia, That no man can be ordained a prefbyter till he be married: Prohibitions have been interpreted in the fame fhallow manner. Lord. Clarendon gives two inftances, both of them relative to the great fire of London. The mayor propofing. to pull down a houfe in order to ftop the progrefs of the fire, was oppofed by the lawyers, who declared the act to be unlawful; and the houfe was burnt without being pulled down. About the fame time it was propoled to break open fome houfes in the temple for faving the furniture, the poffeffors, being in the country; but it was declared burglary to force open a door without confent of the poffeffor. Such literal interpretation, contrary to common fenfe, has been extended even to inflict punifhment, Ifadas was bathing when the alarm was given in Lacedemon, that Epaminondas was at hand with a numerous army. Naked as he was, he rufhed againft he enemy with a fpear in one hand and a fword in the other, bearing down all before him. The Ephori fined him for going to battle unarmed; but honoured him with a garland for his gallant behaviour. How abfurd to think that the law was intended for fuch a cafe! and how much more abfurd to think, that the fame act ought to be both punifhed and rewarded!' The King of Caftile being carried off his horfe by a hunted hart, was faved by a perfon at hand, who cut his belt. The judges thought a pardon abfolutely requifite, to relieve from capital punifhment a man who had lifted a fword
a fivord dgaint his fovereign *. It is fahtary re. gulation, that a man who is abfent cannot be tried for his life. Pape Formofus died fuddenly without fuffering any punifhment for his crimes. He was raifed from his grave, drefled in his pontifical habit; and in that hape a criminal procefs went on againft hitn. Could it ferioully be thought, that a rotten carcafe brought into court was fufficient to fulfil the law The fame abfurd farce was played in Scotland; upon the body of Logan of Reftahig, feveral years after his interment. The body of Tancred King of Sicily ${ }^{1}$ was raifed from the grave, "and the hèad cat of for fuppofed rebellion, Henry IV: of Caftile was depofed in abfence; but, for a colour of juftice, the following ridiculous fcene was acted, A wooden ftatue dreffed in a' royal habit, was placed on'a theatre; "and the fentence of depofition was foleninhly read to it, as if it had been the King himfeffe The Archbifliop of Toledo feized the crowhi, another the feeptre, a third the fword; and the ceremony was concluded with proclaiming another king. How humbling are fuch fuch feenes to man, who values himfelf upon the faculty of reafon as his prime attribute ! An expedient of that kind would now be rejected wich difdain, as fit only to amufe children; and it grieves me to obferve that law-proceedings are not yet totally purged of fuch abfurdities. By a law in Holland, the criminal's confeffion is effential to a capital punifhment, no other evidence beirig held fufficient : and yet if he infilt on his innocerice, he is tortured till he pronounce the words of confeffion ; as if founds merely were fufficient, without will or intention: The prattice of England in a fimilar cafe, is no lefs ab. furd. $=11$

* A perfon unacquainted with the hiftory of law, will imagine that Swift has carried beyond all bounds his fatire againdt lawyers, in faying, that Gulliver had incurred a cäpital punifhment, for faving the Fmperor's palice by piffing out the fire ; it being capital in any perfon of what quality foever, to make waser within the prepincts of the palace.
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[^51]furd Confeffion is not there required; but it is fequired; that the perfon accufed fhall plead, and fay whether he be innocent or guilty. But what if he ftand mute? He is prefled down by weights till he plead; and if he continue mute, he is preffed till he give up the ghoft, a torture known by the nama of Peine forte et dure *. Further, law, copying rer ligion, has exalted ceremonies above the fubttantial part. In England fo ftrictly has form been adhered to, as to make the moft trivial defeet in words fatal, however certain the meaning be. Murdredavit for murdravit, feloniter for felonice, have been adjudged to vitiate an indictment. Burgariter for burglariter thath been a fatal objection; bit burgulariter hath been holden good. Webter being indicted for murder; and the ftroke being laid "finiftro bracio" inftead pf ". brachio," he was difmiffed. A. B. alias dictuis A:C. Butcher, was found to vitiate the indict. ment; becaufe it ought to have been A. B. Butcher, alias dictus A. C. Butcher. "So gladium in dextra fua, without manu.
No bias in human nature is more prevalent than a defire to anticipate futurity, by being made ${ }^{2} C_{0}$ quainted beforehand with what will happen. It was indulged without referve in dark times ; and hence omens, auguries, dreams, judicial aftrology, oracles, and prophecies, without end. It fhows ftrange weaknefs not to fee, that fuch foreknowledge would be a gift more pernicious to man than Pandora's box : it would deprive him of every motive to actin on ; ; and leave no place for fagacity, nor for contriving means to bring about a defired event. Life is an enchanted caftle, opening to interefting views that inflame the innagination and excite induftryRemove the vail that hides futurity.-To an active, buftling

[^52]bufling, animating fcene, fucceeds a dead ftupor, men converted into fatues; paflive like inert matter, becaufe there remains notia fingle motice to action. Anxietyi about futurity roufes our fagacity toprepare for what may happen; but an appetite to know what fagacity cannot dif over, is a weaknefs in nature inconfiftent with every rational principle *.
Propenfity to things rare and wonderfuls is a natural bias no lefs univerfal than the former. Any ftrange or unaccountable event roufes the attention, and enflames the mind :awe fuck it in greedily, wifh it to be true, and believe. it to be true upon the flighteft evidence ( $a$ ). $A$ hart taken in the foreft of Senlis by Charles VI of France, bore a collar upon which was infcribed, Cafar hoc me donavit. *. Every one believed that a Roman Emperor :was meant, and that the beaft muft have lived at leaft a thoufand years; ovetlooking that the Emperor of Germany is alfo fyled Cafar, and that it was not necefflary to go back fifty years. This propenfity dif. plays itfelf even in childhood: ftories of ghofts and apparitions are anxiouifly liftened to; and firmly believed, by the terror they occafion; the vulgar accordingly have been captivated with fuch fories, upon evidence that would not be fufficient to afcertain the fimpleft fact: The abfurd and childih prodigies that are every where fcattered through the hiftory of Titus Livius; not to mention other ancient hiftorians, would be unaccountable in a writer of fenfe and gravity, were it not for the propenfity mentioned. But human belief is not left at the mercy of evéry .irregular bias: our maker has fubjected belief to the correction of the rational faculty; and accordingly, in proportion as reafon advances toward

[^53]ward maturity, wonders, prodigies, apparitions, incantations, witcheraft, and fuch ftuff, lofe their influence. That reformation however has been exceedingly flow, becaufe the propenfity is exceedingly trong. Such abfurdities found credit among wife men, even as late as the laft age. I am reai dy to verify the charge, by introducing two men of the firlt rank for underftanding : were a greater number neceffary, there would be no difficulty of making a very long catalogue. The cele: brated Grotius fhall lead the van. Procopius in his Vandal hiftory relates, that fome orthodox Chriftians, whofe tongues were cut out by the Arians, continued miraculounly to fpeak as formerly:And to vouch the fact, he appeals to fome of thofe miraculous perfens, alive in Conftantinople at the time of his writing. In the dark ages of Chriftianity, when different fects were violently enflamed againft each other, it is not furprifing that grofs abfurdities were fwallowed as real mifacles : but is it not furprifing, and allo mortifying, to find Grotius, the greateft genius of the age he lived in, adopting fuch abfurclities? For the truth of the foregoing miracle, he appeals not only to Procopius, but to feveral other writers (a); as if the hearfay of a few writers were fufficient to make us believe an impoffibility. Could it ferioully be his opinion, that the great God who governs by general laws, permitting the fun to fhine alike upon men of whatever religion, would miraculounly fufpend the laws of nature, in order to teftify his difpleafure at an honeft fect of Chriftians, led innocently into error? Did he alfo believe what Procopius adds, that two of thefe orthodox Chriftians were again deprived of fpeech, as a punifhment inflicted by the Almighty for cohabiting with proftitutes ?

[^54]I proceed to our famous hiftorian, the Earl of Clarendon, the other perfon I had in view... A man long in public bufinefs, a confummate politician and well fored with knowledge from books as well as from experience, might be fortified againft foolifh miracles, if any man can be fortified : and yet behold his fuperfitious credulity in childifh flories; no lefs weak in that particular, than was his cotemporary Grotius. He grayely relates an incident concerning the affafimation of the Duke of Buckingham, the fum of which follows. "There were many ftorics fcattered abroad " at that time, of prophecies and predictions of " the Duke's untimely and violent death ; one " of which was upon a better foundation of "credit, than ufvaily toch difcourfes are founded " upon. There was an officer in the King's ward" robe in Wiudfor cafle, of reputation for ho" nefty and difcretion, and at that time about the "age of fifty. About fix months before the " miferable end of the Duke, this man being in ${ }^{c c}$ bed and in good health, there appeared to him " at midnight a man of a venerable afpect, who "drawing the curtains and fixing his eye upon " him, faid, Do you know me, Sir? The poor " man, half dead with fear, anfwered; That he "thought him to be Sir George Villiers, father " to the Duke. Upon which he was ordered " by the apparition, to go to the Duke and tell " him, that if he did not fomewhat to ingrati" ate himfelf with the people, he would be fuf" fered to live but a fhort time. The fame per"fon appeared to him a fecond and a third " time, reproaching him bitterly for not per"forming his promife. The poor man plucked " up as much courage as to excufe himfelf, that " it was difficult to find accefs to the Duke, and "s that he would be thought a madman." The " appa-
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" apparition imparted to him fome fecrets, which " he fiaid would be his credentials to the Duke. "The officer, introduced to the Duke by in ir "Ralph Freeman, was received courteounly,morm "They walked together near an hour; and the "Duke fometimes fpoke with great commotion, "though his fervants with Sir Ralph were at fuch "، a intance that they could not hear a word."The officer returning from the Duke, told, Sir "Ralph, that when he mentioned the par"ticulars that were to gain him credit; the "Duke's solour changed; and he fwore the " officer could come to that knowledge only by " ge devil; for that thefe particulars were known "c only to himfelf, and to one perfon more, of " whofe fidelity he was fecure The Duke, who " went to accompany the King at hunting, was " obferved to ride all the morning in deep "thought ; and before the morning was fpent " left the field and alighted at his mother's " houfe, with whom he was hut up for two "f or three hours. When the Duke left her, his "countenance appeared full of trouble, with a " mixture of anger, which never appeared be"fore in converfing with her: and fhe was found " overwhelmed with tears, and in great agony. ". Whatcyer there was of all this, it is a notorious "truth, that when fhe heard of the Duke's murder, " he feemed not in the leaft furprifed, wor did ex"prefs much forrow."

The name of Lord Clarendon calls for more attention to the foregoing relation than otherwife it would deferve. It is no article of the Chriftian faith, that the dead preferve their connection with the living, or are ever fuftered to return to this world : we have no folid evidence for fuch a fact; and rarely hear of it, except in tales for amufing or terrifying children. Secondly, The ftory
ftory is inconfiftent with the fytem'nf Providence; which for the beft purpofes, has drawn an impenetrable veil between us and füturity. Thirdly, This apparition, though fuppofed to be endowed with a miraculous knowledge of future events, is however deficient in the fagacity that belongs to a perfon of ordinary underfanding. It appears twice to the officer, without thinking of giving him proper credentials ; nôr does if think of them till fuggetted by the officer. Fourthly, Why did not the appartion go directly to tlie Dike himfeef; "what neceffity for employing a third perfon? The Duke mult hiave heen much more affetted with an apparition to himfelf, thaif with the hearing it at fecond hand. The officer was afraid of being taken for a madman'; and the Duke had fome reafon to think him fuch. Laftly, The apparition liappened above three months before the Duke's death; and yet we hear not of a fingle ftep taken by him, in purfuance of the advice he got. The authority of the hiftofian and the regard we owe him, have drawn from ine the foregoing reflections, which with refpeet to the fory itfelf are very little neceffary ; for the evidence is really not fueli as to verify any ordinary occurrence. His Lordfhip acknowledges, that he had no evidence but common report, faying, that it was one of the many ftories ctattered abroad at that time. He does not fay, that the flory was related to him by the olficer, whofe name he does not even mention, or by Sir Ralph Freeman, or by the Duke, or by the Duke's mother. If any thing happened like what is related, it may with good reafon be fuppofed, that the officer was crazy or enthufiaftically mad: nor have we any evidence beyond common report, that he communicated any fecret to the Duke. Here are two remarkable inflances
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of an obfervation made above, that a man may be high in one fcience and very low in another. Had Grotius, or had Clarendon, ftudied the fundamentals of reafon and religion coolly and impartially, as they did other fciences, they would never have given faith to reports fo ill vouched, and fo contradictory to every found principle of theology.

Another fource of erroneous reafoning, is a fingular tendency in the mind of man to myfteHes and hidden meationgs. Where an object makes a deep impreffion, the buly mind is feldonii latififid with the fimple and obvious intenfrgent ; invention is toufed to allegorize, and to pierce into lidden views and purpoles. I hiave a notable example at haind, will plepect to forms and ceremonies in religious worthip. Jofephus (a), talking of the tabernacle, has the following paffage. "Let any man confider the ftrueture " of the tabernacle, the facerdotal veftments, the "veffels dedicated to the fervice of the altar ; " and he mull of neeelitity be convinced, that " our lawgiver waf a pious man, and that all "the clamours againft us and our profeffion, are " mere calumny. For what are all of thefe but " the image of the whole world? This will ap" pear to anly man who foberly and impartially " examines the matter. The tabernacle of thirty " cubits is divided into three parts; two for the " priefts in general, and as free to them as the " earth and the fea; the third, where no mor" tal mult be admitted, is as the heaven referv"ed for God himfelf. The twelve loaves of fhew" bread fignify the twelve months of the year. "The candlcftick, compofed of feven branches, " refers to the twelve figns of the zodiac, through " which the feven planets fhape their courfe; Vot, 11, M "and

[^55]a better cannot be found. Such propenfity there is in dark ages for allegorizing, that even our Saviour's miracles have not efcaped. Whereever any feeming difficulty occurs in the plain fenfe, the fathers of the church, Origen, Auguftine, and Hilary, are never at a lofs for a myftic meaning. "S Sacrifice to the celeftial gods with "an odd number, and to the terreftrial gods "with an even number," is a precept of Pythagoras. Another is, "Turn round in ador"ing the gods, and fit down when thou haft "worfhipped." The learned make a ftrange pother about the hidden meaning of thefe precepts. But, after all, have they any hidden meaning? Forms and ceremonies are ufeful in external worfhip, for occupying the vulgar ; and it is of no importance what they be, provided they prevent the mind from wandering. . Why fuch partiality to ancient ceremonies, when no hidden meaning is fuppofed in thofe of Chriftians, fuch as bow. ing to the eaft, or the prielt performing the liturgy, partly in a black upper garment, partly in a white? No ideas are more fimple than of numbers, nor lefs. fufceptible of any hidden meaning; and yet the profound Pythagoras has imagined. many fuch meanings. The number one, fays he, having no parts, reprefents the Deity: it reprefents alfo order, peace, and tranquillity, which refuit from unity of fentiment. The number two reprefents diforder, confufion, and change. He difcovered in the number threc. the moft fublime myfteries: all things are compofed, fays he, of three fubftances. The number four is holy in its nature, and conftitutes the divine effence, which confifts in unity, power, benevolence, and wifdom. Would one believe, that the great philoSopher, who demonftrated the 47 th propofition of the firt book of Euclid, was the inventor of fuch
$$
\mathrm{M}_{2} \quad \text { childifh }
$$
childifh conceits? Perhaps Pytharoras meant only to divert himfelf with them. Whether fo or not, it feems difficult to be explained, how fich trifles were preferved in memory, and handed down to us through fo many generations. All that can be faid is, that during the infancy of knowledge, every novelty makes a ligure, and that it requires a long courfe of time to feparate the corn from the chaff*. A certain writer, fmitten with the conceit of hidden meanings, has applied his talent to the conftellations of the zodiac. The lion typifies the force or heat of the fun in the month of July, when he enters the conftellation. The conitellation where the fun is in the morth of Augult is termed the virgin, fignifying the time of harveft. He enters the balance in September, denoting the equality of day and night. The forpion where he is found in October, is an emblem of the difeafes that are frequent during that month, \&c. The balance, I acknowledge, is well hit off; but I fee not clearly the refemblance of the force of a lion to the heat of the fun; and ftill lefs that of harveft to a virgin : the fpring would be more happily reprefented by a virgin, and the harveft by a woman in the act of delivery.

Our tendency to myftery and allegory, difplays itfelf with great vigour in thinking of our forefathers and of the ancients in general, by means of the veneration that is paid them.' Before writing was known, ancient hiftory is made up of tradi-

[^56]Sk. I. 2.
traditional fables. $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$ Trojan Brutus peopled Englani; and the Scots are defeended from Scota, daughter to an Egyptian king. Have we not equaily reaton to think, that the hiftories of the heatien gods are involved in fable? We pretend not to draw any hidden meaning from the former : why fhould we fufpect any fuch meaning in the latter? Allegory is a fpecies of writing too refined for a favage or barbarian : it is the fruit of a cultivated imagination; and was a late invention even in Greece. The allegories of Efop are of the fimplet kind : yet they were compofed after learning began to flourifh; and Cebes, whofe allegory about the life of man is juftly celebrated, was a difciple of Socrates.Prepoffeflion however in favour of the ancients makes us conclude, that there mult be fome hidden meaning or allegory in their hiftorical fables; for no better reafon than that they are deftitute of common fenfe. In the Greek mythology, there are numberlefs fables related as hiftorical facts merely; witnefs the fable of gods mixing with women, and procreating giants, like what we find in the fabulous hiftorics of many other nations. Thefe giants attempt to dethrone Jupiter: Apollo keeps the fheep of Adinetus: Minerva fprings from the head of Jove *: Bacchus is cut out of his thigh: Orpheus goes to hell for his wife: Mars and Venus are caught by Vulcan in a net; and a thoufand other fuch childifh ftories. But the Greeks, many centuries after the invention of fuch fooligh fables, became illuftrious

[^57]illuftrions for arts and fciences; and nothing would fatiofy writers in later times, but to dub thetn profound philofophers, even wher mere favages. Hence endlefs attempts to detect myfteries and hidden meanings in their fables. Let other interpreters of that kind pafs: they give me no concern. But I cannot, without the deepeft concern, behoid our illuftrious philofopher Bacon employing his talents fo abfurdly. What inbecility mult there be in human nature, when fo great a genius is capable of fuch puerilities ! As a fubject fo humbling is far from being agreeable, I conime niyfelf to a few inftances.In an ancient fable, Promethers med man out of clay; and kindling a bundlu of birch rods at the chariot of the fun brought down fire to the earth for the wie of his creature man. And tho' ungrateful man complained to Jupiter of that theft, yet the god, plealed with the ingenuity of Prometheus, not only confirmed to man the ufe of fire, but conferred on him a gift much more confiderable : the gift was perpetual youth, which was laid upon an afs to be carried to the earth. The afs, wanting to drink at a brook, was oppofed by a ferpent, who infifted to have the burden; without which, no drink for the poor afs. And thus, for a draught of plain water, was perpetual youth transferred from man to the ferpent. This fable has a ftriking refemblance to many in the Edda; and in the manner of the Edda, accounts for the invention of fire, and for the mortality of man. Nor is there in all the Edda one more , childifh, or more diflant from any ajppearance of a rational meaning. It is handled however by our philofopher with much folemin gravity, as if every fource of wifdom were locked up in it. The explanation he gives, being too long to be copied here, fhall be reduced to a
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few particulars. After an elogium upon fire, his Lordfhip proceeds thus. "The manner wherein " Prometheus ftole his fire, is properly defcribed " from the nature of the thing; he being faid " to have done it by applying a rod of birch to the " chariot of the fun: for birch is ufed in ftrik${ }^{6}$ ing and beating; which clearly denotes fire to " proceed from violent percuflions and collifions of " bodies, whereby the matters ftruck are fubti${ }^{\text {ar }}$ lized, rarefied, put into motion, and fo prepared " to receive the heat of the celeltial bodies. And " accordingly they, in a clandeftine and fecret " manner, fnatch fire, as it were by ftealth, from "the chariot of the fun." He goes on as follows. "The next is a remarkable part of the "fable; which reprefents, that men, inftead of " gratitude, accufed both Prometheus and his fire " to Jupiter: and yet the accufation proved fo " pleafant to Jupiter, that he not only indulged " mankind the ufe of fire, but conferred upon " them perpetual youth. Here it may feem "f frange, that the fin of ingratitude thould meet " with approbation or reward. But the allegory " has another view; and denotes, that the accu"fation both of human nature and human art, " proceeds from a noble and laudable temper " of mind, viz. modefty; and alfo tends to a very "good purpole, viz. to ftir up frefh induftry " and new difcoveries." Can any thing be more wire-drawn?

Vulcan, attempting the chaftity of Minerva, had recourfe to force. In the ftruggle, his femen, falling upon the ground, produced Ericthonius; whofe body from the middle upward was comely and well proportioned, his thighs and legs fmall and deformed like an cel. Confcious of that defect, he was the inventer of chariots ; which howed the graceful part of his body, and conceaied
cealed what was deformed. Liften to the explanation of this ridiculous fable. "Art, by the various 's ufesit makes of fire, is here reprefented by Vul"c can : and Nature is reprelented by Minerva, be"caufe of the induftry employed in her works. " Art; when it offers violence to Nature in order "c to bend her to its purpofe, feldom attains the end " propofed. Yet, upon great ftruggle and applica"s tion, there proceed certain imperfect births, or "tlame abortive works; which however, with "great pomp and deceitful appearances, are tri" umphantly carried about, and thown by im" "pottors." I admit the ingenuity of that forced meaning ; but had the inventer of that fable any latent meaning? If he had, why did he conceal it? The ingenious meaning would have merited praife; the fable itfe!f none at all.

I fhall add but one other inftance, for they grow tirefome. Sphinx was a monfter, having the face and voice of a virgin, the wings of a bird, and the talons of a gryphin. Slie refided on the fummit of a mountain, near the city Thebes. Her manner was, to lie in ambulh for travellers, to propofe dark riddles which fhe received from the mufes, and to tear thofe to pieces who could not folve them. The Dhebans having offered their kingdom to the man who thould interpret thefe riddles, Oedipus prefented himfelf before the moniter, and he was required to explain the following riddle: What creature is that, which being born four-footed, becomes afterwards two-footed, then three-footed, and laftly fourfooted again. Oedipus anfwered, It was man, who in his infancy crawls upon his hands and feet, then walks upright upon his two feet, walks in old age with a ftick, and at laft lies four-footed in bed. Oedipus having thus obtained the victory, flew the montter ; and laying the carcafe upon an afs, carried it off in triumph. Now for the explanation. "This "is an elegant and inftructive fable, invented to
" reprefent fcience : for Science may be called a " monfter, being ftrangely gazed at and admired " by the ignorant. Her figure and form is various, " by reaion of the vaft variety of fubjects that fcience " confiders. Her voice and countenance are repre" fented female, by reaton of her gay appearance, " and volubility of fipeech. Wings are added, be" caufe the fciences and their inventions fly about " in a moment ; for knowledge, like light commu" nicated from torch to torch, is prefently catched, " and copiouilly diffufed. Sharp and hooked talons " $s$ are elegantly attributed to her; becaufe the axi" oms and arguments of fcience fix down the mind, " and keep it from moving or llipping away." Again: "All fcience feems placed on high, as it " were on the tops of mountains that are hard to " climb: for fcience is juftly imagined a fublime " and lofty thing, looking down upon ignorance, " and at the fame time taking an extenfive view on " all fides, as is ufual on the tops of mountains. "'Sphinx is faid to propofe difficult queftions and " riddles, which the received from the Mufes. "Theie queftions, while they remain with the "Mufes, may be pleafant, as contemplation and " enquiry are when knowledge is their only aim: " but after they are delivered to Sphinx, that is, to " practice, which impels to action, choice, and de"s termination ; then it is that they become fevere " and torturing; and unlefs folved, ftrangely per" plex the human mind, and tear it to pieces. It " is with the utmoft elegance added in the fable, "t that the carcafs of Sphinx was laid upon an afs; " for there is nothing fo fubtile and abftrufe, but " after being made plain, may be conceived by the " floweft capacity." According to fuch latitude of interpretation, there is nothing more eafy than to make quidlibet ex quolibet.

## "Who would not laugh if fuch a man there be? "Who would not wece if Aiticus severe be?"

I will detain the reader but a moment lorger, to hear what our author fays in jultification of fuch myiterious meaning. Out of many reafons, I felect the two following. "It may pafs for a farther indi"cation of a concealed and fecret meaning, that c' fonse of thefe fables are fo abfurd and idle in their " narration, as to proclaim an allegory even afar off, " A faole that carries probability with it, may be " fuppofed invented for pleafure, or in imitation of " hiftory ; but what could never be conceived or " related in this way, muft furely have a different " ufe. For example, what a monftrous fiction is " this, That Jupiter fhould take Metis to wife; and " as foon as he found her pregnant eat her up ; "s whereby he alfo conceived, and out of his head " brought forth Pallas armed! Certainly no mor" tal could, but for the fake of the moral ir couches, ss invent fuch an abfurd dream as this, fo much out "s of the road of thought." At that rate the more ridiculous or abfurd a fable is, the more inftructive it mutt be. This opinion refembles that of the ancient Germans with refpect to mad women, who were held to be fo wife, as that every thing they uttered was prophetic. Did it never occur to our author, that in the infancy of the reafoning faculty, the imagination is fuffered to roam without control, as in a dream; and the vulgar in all ages are delighted with wonderful fories; the more out of nature, the more to their tafte?

We proceed to the other reafon. "The argu"s ment of moft weight with me is, That many of "c thefe fables appear not to have been invented by " the perfons who relate and divulge them, whether " Homer, Hefiod, or others; for if I were affured "s they firlt flowed from thofe later times and au-
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Sk. I. 2.
Reafon.
" thors, I fhould never expect any thing fingularly " great or noble front fuch an origin. But who" ever attentively confiders the thing, will find, " that thefe fables are delivered down by thofe wri-
" ters, not as matters then firt invented, but as re" ceived and embraced in earlier ages. And this " 6 principally raifes my efteem of thofe fables; " which I receive, not as the product of the age, " or invention of the poets, but as facred relics, " gentle whifpers, and the breath of better times, " that from the traditions of more ancient nations, "came at length into the flutes and trumpets of the "Greeks." Was it our author's fincere opinion, that the farther back we trace the hiftory of man, the more of fcience and knowledge is found ; and confequently that favages are the moft learned of all men?

The following fable of the favage Canadians ought to be myfterious, if either of the reafons urged above be conclufive. "There were in the beginning but " fix men in the world, (from whence fprung is not " faid): one of thefe afcended to heaven in queft " of a woman named Atabentfic, and had carnal " knowledge of her. She being thrown headlong " from the height of the empyrean, was received on " the back of a tortoife, and delivered of two " children, one of whom dew the other." This fable is fo abfurd, that it myit have a laient meaning; and one needs but copy our author to pump a deep myftery out of it, however iittle intended by the inveiter. And if cither abfurdity or antiquity entitle fables to be hold facred relics, gentle whifpers, and the breath of better times, the following Japanefe fables are well entitled to thefe diftinguifhing epithets. "Bunfio, in wedtock, having had no children for many years, addreffed her prayers to the gods, was heard, and was delivered of 500 eggs. Fearing that the eggs might produce monfters, fhe pracked them up in a box, and threw them into the
river. An old fifherman finding the box, hatched the eggs in an oven, every oife of which produced a child. The children were fed with boiled rice and mugwort-leaves; and being at laft left to fhift for themfelves, they fell a-robbing on the highway. Hearing of a man famous for great wealth, they told their ftory at his gate, and beged fome food. This happening to be the houfe of their mother, the owned them for her children, and gave a great entertainment to her friends and neighbours. She was afterward inlifted among the goddeffes by the name of Benfaiten! her 500 fons were appointed to be her attendants; and to this day the is worlhipped in Japan as the goddefs of riches." Take another
whe of the fame ftamp. The Japanefe have a tathe of lucky and unlucky days, which they believe to b,ore been compofed by Abino Seimei, a famous aftrologer, and a fort of demi-god. They have the following tradition of him. "A young fox, purfued by hunters, fled into a temple, and took flielter in the bofom of Abino Jallima, fon and heir to the king of the country. Refufing to yield the poor creature to the unmerciful hunters, he defended himfelf with great bravery, and fet the fox at liberty. The hunters, through refentment againft the young prince, murdered his royal father ; but Jaflima revenged his father's death, killing the traitors with his own hand. Upon this fignal victory, a lady of incomparable beauty appeared to him, and made fuch an impreffion on his heart, that he took her to wife. Abino Seimei, procreated of that marriage, was endowed with divine wifdom, and the precious gift of prophecy. Jaflima was ignorant that his wife was the very fox whofe life he had faved, till fhe refumed by degrees her former fhape." If there be any hidden myftery in this tale, I fhall not defpair of finding a myftery in every fairy-tale invented by Madam Gomez.
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It is lamentable to obferve the flow progrefs of human underftanding and the faculty of reafon. If this reflection be verified in our celebrated philofopher Bacon, how much more in others? It is comfortable, however, that human underftanding is in a progrefs toward maturity, however llow. The fancy of allegorizing ancient fables, is now out of falhion : enlightened reafon has unmalked thefe fables, and left them in their nakednefs, as the invention of illiterate ages when wonder was the prevailing paffion.

Having difcuffed the firft two heads, I proceed to the third, viz. Erroneous reafoning occafioned by acquired biaffes. And one of thefe that has the greateft influence in perverting the rational faculty, is blind religious zeal. There is not in nature a fyftem more fimple or perfpicuous than that of pure religion; and yet what a complication do we find in it of metaphyfical fubtilties and unintelligible jargon! That fubject being too well known to need illuftration, I hall confine myfelf to a few inftances of the influence that religious fuperfition has on other fubjects.

A hiftory-painter and a player require the fame fort of genius. 'The one by colours, the other by looks and geftures, exprefs various modifications of paffion, even what are beyond the reach of words; to accomplifh thefe ends, great fenibility is requifite, as well as judgement. Why then is not a player equally refpected with a hiftory painter? It was thought by zealots, that a play is an entertainment too fplendid for a mortified Chriftian; upon which account players fell under church-cenfure, and were even held unworthy of Chriftian burial. A hiftory-painter, on the contrary, being frequently employed in painting for the church, was always in high efteem. It is only among Proteftants that players are beginning to be reftored to their privileges as free citizens; and there perhaps never exifted a hiftory-
hiftory-painter more juftly efteemed, than Garrick, a player, is in Great-Britain. Ariftarchus, having taught that the earth moves round the fun, was accufed by the Heathen priefts, for troubling the repofe of their houfehold-gods. Copernicus, for the fame doctrine, was accufed by Chriftian priefts, as contradicting the fcriptures, which talk of the fun's moving. And Galileo, for adhering to Copernicus, was condemned to prifon and penance : he found it neceffary to recant upon his knees. A bias acquired from Ariftotle, kept reafon in chains for centuries. Scholaftic divinity in particular, founded on the philofophy of that author, was more hurtful to the reafoning faculty than the Goths and Huns. Tycho Braché fuffered great perfecution for mairtaining, that the heavens were fo far empty of matt er as to give free courfe to the comets; contrary to A riftotle, who taught, that the heavens are harder that a diamond : it was extremely ill taken, that a fimple mortal fhould pretend to give Ariftotic the lic. During the infancy of reafon, authority is the prevailing argument *.

Reafon is eafily warped by habit. In the difputes among the Athenians about adjufting the form of their government, thofe who lived in the high country were for democracy; the inhabitants of the plains were for oligarchy; and the feamen for monarchy. Shepherds are all equal : in a corn-country, there are a few mafters and many fervants: on thipboard, there is one commander, and all the reft fubjects. Habit was their advifer: none of them thought of confulting reafon, in order to judge what

[^58]was the beft form upon the whole. Habit of a different kind has an influence no lefs powerful. Perfons who are in the habit of reafoning, require demonftration for every thing : even a felf-evident propofition is not fuffered to efcape. Such demonitra. tions occur more than once in the Elements of Eus clid, nor has Ariftotle, with all his fkill in logic, entirely avoided them. Can any thing be more felfevident, than the difference between pleafure and motion? Yet Ariftotle attempts to demonfrate, that they are different. "No motion," fays he, "ex"cept circular motion, is perfect in any one point of " time : there is always fomething wanting during ${ }^{66}$ its courfe, and it is not perfected till it arrive at its " end. But pleafure is perfect in every point of " time; being the fame from the beginning to the "end." 'The difference is clear from perception : but inftead of being clear from this demonftration, it fhould rather follow from it, that pleafure is the fame with motion in a circle. Plato allo attempts to demonftrate a felf-evident propofition, that a quality is not a body. "Eivery body," fays he, "is a fub" ject: quality is not a fubject, but an accident; "ergo, quality is not a body. Again, A body can" not be in a fubject : every quality is in a fubject ; "ergo, quality is not a body." But Defcartes affords the moft illuftrious inftance of the kind. He was the greatelt geometer of the age he lived in, and one of the greateft of any age; which infenfibly led him to overlook intuitive knowledge, and to admit no propofition but what is demonftrated or proved in the regular form of fyllogifin. He took a fancy to doubt even of his own exiftence, till he was convinced of it by the following argument. Cogito, ergo fum: I think, therefore I exift. And what fort of a demonftration is this after all? In the very fundamental propofition he acknowledges his exiftence by the term $I$ : and how abfurd is it to imagine a proof neceffary of what is admitted in the fundamentai
mental propofition ? In the next place, How does our author know that he thinks? If nothing is to be taken for granted, an argument is no lefs neceffary to prove that he thinks, than to prove that he has intuitive knowledge of his thinking ; but has he not the fame of his exifting? Would not a man deferve to be langhed at, who, after warming himfelf at a fire, fhould imagine the following argument neceffary to prove its exiftence, "The fire burns, ergo " it exilts?" Liften to an author of high reputatiattempting to demonftrate a felf-evident propofition. "The labour of B cannot be the labour of C ; be"caufe it is the application of the organs and pow" ers of $\mathbf{B}$, not of C , to the effecting of fomething ; "c and therefore the labour is as much B's, as the "c limbs and faculties made ufe of are his. Again, "s the cffict or produce of the labour of B. is not the " effect of the labour of C : and therefore this effect "* or produce is $\mathbf{B ' s}$, not C's ; as much B's, as the " labour was B's and not C's : Becaufe, what the la" bour of B caufes or produces, B produces by his "c labour ; or it is the product of B by his labour: " that is, it is $1 \times$ product, not C's, or any other's. "s And if C fhould pretend to any property in that " which B can truly call his, he would act contracy " to truth (a)."

In every fubject of reafoning, to define terms is neceflary in order to avoid mitakes: and the only poffible way of defining a term is to exprefs its meaning in more fimple terms. 'lerms exprefing ideas that are fimple without parts, admit not of being defined, becaufe there are no terms inore fimple to exprefs their meaning. To fay that every term is capable of a definition, is in effeck to fay, that terms refemble matter; that as the latter is divifible without end, fo the former is reducible into fimpler terms without end. The habit however of defining is fo inveterate
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[^59]inveterate in fome men, that they will attempt to define words fignifying fimple ideas. Is there any necelfity to define motion: do not children underftand the meaning of the word? And how is it porfible to define it, when there are not words more fimple to define it by ? Yet Worfter (a) attempts that bold taik. " A continual change of place," fays he, " or leaving one place for another, with" out remaining for any fpace of time in the $f$ "place, is called motion." That every body in tion is continually changing place, is true : change of place is not motion; it is the effect motion. Gravefend (b) defines motion thus, "Motus eft tranflatio de loco in locum, five conti" nua loci mutatio*;" which is the fame with the former. Yet this very author admits locus or place to fignify a fimple idea, incapable of a definition. Is it more fimple or more intelligible than motion? But, of all, the moft remarkable definition of motion is that of Ariftotle, famous for its impenetrability, or rather abfurdity, "Actus entis " in potentia, quatenus in potentia $\dagger$." His- definition of time is numerus motus fecundum prius ac pofterius. This definition as well as that of motion, may more properly be confidered as riddles propounded for exercifing invention. Not a few writers on algebra define negative quantities to be quantities lefs than nothing.

Extenfion enters into the conception of every particle of matter ; becaufe every particle of matter has length, breadth and thicknefs. Figure in the fame manner, enters into the conception of every particle of matter; becaule every particle $\begin{array}{lll}\text { Vol. II. } & \mathrm{N} & \therefore \quad \text { of }\end{array}$
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of matter is bounded. By the power of abftraction, figure may be conceived independent of the body that is figured; and extenfion may be conceived independent of the body that is extended. Thefe particulars are abundantly plain and obvious; and yet obferve what a heap of jargon is employed by the followers of Leibnitz, in their fruitlefs endeavours to define extenfion. They begin with fimple exiffences, which they fay are unextended, and without parts. According to that definition, fimple exiftences cannot belong to matter, becaufe the fmalleft particle of matter has both parts and extenfion. But to let that pafs, they endeavour to fhow as follows, how the idea of extenfion arifes from thefe fimple exiftences. "We may look upon fimple exiftences, " as having mutual relations with refpect to their "، internal fate: relations that form a certain "" order in their manner of exiftence. And this " order or arrangement of things, co-exifting and "c linked together but fo as we do not diitinctly "c underftand how, caules in us a confufed idea, " from. whence arifes the appearance of exten" fion." A Peripatetic philofopher being afked, What fort of things the fenfible fpecies of Ariftotle are, anfwered, That they are neither entities nor nonentities, but fomething intermediate between the two. The famous aftronomer Ifmael Bulialdus lays down the following propofition, and attempts a mathematical demonftration of it, "That " light is a mean-proportional between corporeal "f fubitance and incorporeal."

1 clofe with a curious fort of reafoning, fo fingular indeed as not to come under any of the foregoing heads. The firft editions of the lateft verfion of the Bible into Englifh, have the following preface. "Another thing we think good " to admonifl thee of, gentle reader,' that we " have
"s have not tied ourfelves to an uniformity of " phrafing, or to an identity of words, as. fome " peradventure would wifh that we had done, " becaufe they obferve, that fome learned men " fomewhere have been as exact as they could " be that way. Truly, that we might not vary " from the fenfe of that which we have tran"s flated before, if the word fignified the fame in "s both places, (for there be fome words that "s be not of the fame fenfe every where), we "s were efpecially careful, and made a confcience " 6 according to our duty. But that we fhould ex" 6 prefs the fame notion in the fame particular " word; as, for example, if we tranflate the "s Hebrew or Greek word once by purpofe, ne" ver to call it intent; if one where journeying, "s never travelling; if one where think, never fup"pole; if one where pain, never ache; if one "s where joy, never gladnc/s, \&c.; thus to minde " the matter, we thought to favour more of cu" riofity than wifdom, and that rather it would " breed fcorn in the Atheift, than bring profit " to the godly reader. For is the kingdom of "God become words or fyllables? Why fhould " we be in bondage to them, if we may be free; " ufe one precifely, when we may ufe another, " no lefs fit, as commodiounly? We might alfo " be charged by fcoffers, with fome unequal " dealing toward a great number of good Eng" lifh words. For as it is written by a certain " great philofopher, that he fhould fay, that thofe " logs were happy that were made images to be " worfhipped; for their fellows, as good as they, " lay for blocks behind the fire : fo if we fhould " fay as it were, unto certain words, Stand up " higher, have a place in the Bible always; and " to others of like quality, Get ye hence, be ba" nifhed for ever, we might be taxed peradven-
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"s ture with St. James his words, namely, to be "partial in ourfelves, and judges of evil thoughts." Quaritur, Can this tranllation be fafely relied on as the rule of faith, when fuch are the tranfators?

APPENDIX.

## $\begin{array}{llllllll}\mathbf{A} & \mathbf{P} & \mathbf{P} & \mathbf{E} & \mathbf{N} & \mathbf{D} & \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{X} .\end{array}$

IN reviewing the foregoing fketch, it occurred, that a fair analyfis of Ariftotle's logic, would be a valuable addition to the hiftorical branch. A diftinct and candid account of a fyttem that for many ages governed the reafoning part of mankind, cannot but be acceptable to the public.Curiofity will be gratified, in feeing a phantom delineated that fo long farcinated the learned world; a phanton, which fhows infinite genius, but like the pyramids of Egypt or hanging, gardens of Babylon, is abfolutely ufelefs unlefs for raifing wonder. Dr: Reid, profeffor of moral philofopliy in the college of Glafgow, relifhed the thought; and his friendihip to me prevailed on lim, after much folicitation, to undertake the laborious tafk. No man is better acquainted with Ariftotle's writings; and, without any enthufiaftic attachment, he holds that philofopher to be a firtrate genius.
The logic of Ariftotle has been on the decline more than a century; and is at prefent relegated to fchools and colleges. It has occafionally been criticifed by different writers; but this is the firft attempt to draw it out of its obfcurity into day-light. From what follows, one will be enabled to pals a true judgement on that work, and to determine whether it ought to make a branch of education. 'The Doctor's effay, as a capital article in the progrefs and hiftory of the fciences, will be made welcome, even with the fatigue of fqueezing through many thorny paths, before a diftinct view can be got of that ancient and flupendous fabric.

Sk. I.
It will at the fame time fhow the hurt that A riftotle has done to the reafoning faculty, by drawing it out of its natural courfe into devious paths. His artificial mode of reafoning, is no lefs fuperficial than intricate : I fay, fuperficial ; for in none of his logical works, is a fingle truth attempted to be proved by fyllogifim that requires a proof: the propofitions he undertakes to prove by fyllogifm, are all of them felf-evident. Take for inflance the following propofition, That man has a power of felf-motion. To prove this, he affumes the following axiom, upon which indeed every one of his fyllogifins are founded, That whatever is true of a number of particulars joined together, holds true of every one feparately; which is thus expreffed in logical terms, Whatever is true of the genus, holds true of every fpecies. Founding upon that axiom, he reafons thus :" All animals have " a power of felf-motion: man is an animal : ergo, " man has a power of felf-motion." Now if all animals have a power of felf-motion, it requires no argument to prove, that man, an animal, has that power: and therefore, what he gives as a conclufion or confequence, is not really fo; it is not inferred from the fundamental propofition, but is included in it. At the fame time, the felf-motive power of man, is a fact that cannot be known but from experience; and it is more clearly known from experience than that of any other animal. Now, in attempting to prove man to be a felf motive animal, is it not abfurd, to found the argument on a propofition lefs clear than that undertaken to be demonftrated? What is here obferved, will be found applicable to the greater part, if not the whole, of his fyllogifms.

Unlefs for the reafon now given, it would appear fingular, that Ariftotle never attempts to apply his fyllogittic mode of reafoning on any fubject handled by himfelf: on ethics, on rhetoric,
and o
withou rules. pacity gifm tainly folely he ha can be withou to be h Som Romifh and fca ries be extent
men, th perfons
will rej perfona refpect plete, $t$ pect to curiofit that de flheets, man wi pain w left free

In, m Ariftotl amuling colours ior mor of Arif cloud to cient fo I am dd went, is

Sk. I.
and on poetry, lie argues like a rational being, without once putting in practice, any of his own rules. It is not fuppofable that a man of his capacity could be ignorant, how infufficient a fyllo. gifm is for difcovering any latent truth. He certainly intended his fy!tem of logic, chiefly if not folely for difputation : and if fuch was his purpofe, he has been wonderfully fuccefsful; for nothing can be better contrived for wrangling and difputing without end. He indeed in a manner profeffes this to be his aim, in his books De Sopbiflicis elenchis.

Some ages hence, when the goodly fabric of the Romifh fipiritual power thall be laid low in the dutt, and fcarce a veltige remain; it will among antiquaries be a curious enquiry, What was the nature and extent of a tyranny, more oppreffive to the minds of men, than the tyranny of ancient Rome was to their perfons. During every ftep of the enquiry, pofterity will rejoice over mental liberty, no lefs precious than perfonal liberty. The defpotifin of Ariftotle with refpect to the faculty of reafon, was no lefs complete, than that of the Bifhop of Rome with retpect to religion ; and it is now a proper fubject of curiofity, to enquire into the nature and extent of that defpotifm. One cannot perufe the following fheets, without fympathetic pain for the weaknefs of man with refpect to his nobleft faculty; but that pain will redouble his fatisfaction, in now being left free to the dictates of reafon and common fenfe.

In, my reveries, J have more than once compared Ariftotle's logic to a bubble made of foap-water for amuling children; a beautiful figure with fplendid colours; fair on the oetfide, empty within. It has for more than two thoufand years been the hard fate of Ariftotle's followers, xion like, to embrace a cloud for a grodefs. - But this is more than fufficient for a preface: and I had almof forgot, that I an detaining my readers from better entertainment, in liftening to Dr. Reid.
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If his talents had been laid out folely for the difcovery of truth and the good of mankind, his laurels would have remained for ever freh : but he feems to have had a greater paffion for fame than for truth, and to have wanted rather to be admired as the prince of philofophers than to be ufeful: fo that it is dubious, whether there be in his character, moft of the philofopher or of the fophift. The opinion of Lord Bacon is not without probability, That his ambition was as boundlefs as that of his royal pupil; the one afpiring at univerfal monarchy over the bodies and fortunes of men, the other over their opinions.If this was the cafe, it cannot be faid, that the philofopher purfued his aim with lefs induftry, lefs ability, or lefs fuccefs than the hero.
His writings carry too evident marks of that philofophical pride, vanity, and envy, which have often fullied the character of the learned. He determines boldly things above all human knowledge; and enters upon the moft diffioult queftions, as his pupil entered on a battle, with full affurance of fuccefs. He delivers his decifions oracularly, and without any fear of miftake. Rather than confefs his ignorance, he hides it under hard words and ambiguous expretfions, of which his interpreters can make what they pleafe. There is even reafon to fufpect, that he wrote often with affected obfcurity, either that the air of myftery might procure greater veneration, or that his books might be underftood only by the adepts who had been initiated in his philofophy.
His conduct towards the writers that went before him has been much cenfured. After the manner of the Ottoman princes, fays Lord Verulam, he thought his throne could not be fecure unlefs he killed all his birethren. Ludovicus Vives charges him
him with detracting from all philofophers, that he might derive that glory to himfelf, of which he robbed them. He rarely quotes an author but with a view to cenfure, and is not very fair in reprefenting the opinions which he cenfures.

The faults we have mentioned are fuch as might be expected in a man, who had the daring ambition to be tranfmitted to all future ages, as the prince of philofophers, as one who had carried every branch of human knowledge to its utmoft limit; and who was not very fcrupulous about the means he took to obtain his end.

We ought, however to do him the juftice to obferve, that although the pride and vanity of the fophift appear too much in his writings in abftract philofophy ; yet in natural hiftory the fidelity of his narrations feems to be equal to his induftry; and he always diftinguifhes between what he knew and what he had by report. And even in abftract philofophy, it would be unfair to impute to Ariftotle all the faults, all the obfcurities, and all the contradictions, that are to be found in his writings. The greateft part, and perhaps the belt part, of his writings is loft. There is reafon to doubt whether fome of thofe we afcribe to him be really his; and whether what are his be not much vitiated and interpolated. Thefe fufpicions are juftified by the fate of Ariftotle's writings, which is judicioully related, from the beft authorities, in Bayle's dictionary, under the article Tyrannion, to which I refer.

His books in logic which remain, are, I. One book of the Categories. 2. One of interpretation. 3. Firft Analytics, two books. 4. Laft Analytics, two books. 5. Topics, eight books. 6. Of Sophifms, one book. Diogenes Laertius mentions many others that are loft. Thofe I have menti-
oned have commonly been publifhed together, under the name of Ariftotle's Organon, or bis Logic ; and for many ages, Porphyry's Introduction to the Categories has been prefixed to them.

## Sect. 2. Of Porphyry's Introduction.

In this Introduction, which is addreffed to Chryfoarius, the author obferves, That in order to underftand Ariftotle's doctrine concerning the categories, it is neceffary to know what a genus is, what a spccics, what a Specific difference, what a property, and what an accident; that the knowledge of thele is alfo very ufeful in definition, in divifion, and even in demonftration : therefore he propofes, in this little tract, to deliver fhortly and fimply the doctrine of the ancients, and chiefly of the Peripatetics, concerning thefe five predicables; avoiding the more intricate queftions concerning them; fuch as, Whether genera and fpecies do really exif in nature? or, Whether they are only conceptions of the human mind? If they exift in nature, Whether they are corporeal or incorporeal ? and, Whether they are inherent in the objects of fenfe, or disjoined from them? Thefe, he fays, are very difficult queftions, and require accurate difcuffion; but that he is not to meddle with them.

After this preface, he explains very minutely each of the five words above-mentioned, dividés and fubdivides each of them, and then purfues all the agreements and differences between one and another through fixteen chapters.

## Sect. 3. Of the Catcgories.

The book begins with an explication of what is meant by univocal words, what by equivocal, and what by denominative. Then it is obferved, that what we fay is either fimple, without compofition or ftructure, as man, borfe; or, it has compofition and itructure, as, a man fights, the borfe runs. Next comes a diftinction between a fubject of predication; that is, a fubject of which any thing is affirmed or denied, and a fubject of inhefion. Thefe things are faid to be inherent in a fubject, which although they are not a part of the fubject, cannot pollibly exift without it, as figure in the thing figuredt Of things that are, fays Ariftotle, fome may be predicated of a fubject; but are in no fubject; as man may be predicated of James or John, but is not in any fubject. Some again are in a fubject, but can be predicated of no fubject.Thus, my knowledge in grammar is in me as its fubject, but it can be predicated of no fubject ; becaufe it is an individual thing. Some are both in a fubject, and may be predicated of a fubject, as fcience; which is in the mind as its fubject, and may be predicated of geometry.Laftly, Some things can neither be in a fubject, nor be predicated of any fubject. Such are all individual fubftances, which cannot be predicated, becaufe they are individuals; and cannot be in a fubject, becaufe they are fubftances. After fome other fubtilties about predicates and fubjects, we come to the categories themfelves; the things a-bove-mentioned being called by the fchoolmen the antepradicamenta. It may be obferved, howcver, that notwithftanding the diftinction now explained.
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plained, the being in a fubject, and the being predicated truly of a jubject, are in the Analytics ufed as fynonymous phrafes ; and this variation of Atyle has led fome perfons to think that the Categories were not written by Arifotle.

Things that may be expreffed without compofition or flructure, are, fays the author, reducible to the following heads. They are either fubfance or quantity, or quality, or relatives, or place, or time, or baving, or doing, or fuffering. Thefe are the predicaments or categories. The firft four are largely treated of in four chapters ; the others are flightly paffed over, as fufficiently clear of themfelves. As a fpecimen, I hall give a fummary of what he fays on the category of fubftance.
Subftances are either primary, to wit, individual fubtances, or fecondary, to wit, the genera and fpecies of fubftances. Primary fubitances neither are in a fubject, nor can be predicated of a fubject ; but all other things that exit, either are in primary fubftances, or may be predicated of them. For whatever can be predicated of that which is in a fubject, may alfo be predicated of the fubject itfelf. Primary fubftances are more fubftances than the fecondary; and of the fecondary, the feecies is more a fubfance than the genus. If there were no primary, there could be no fecondary fubflances.
The properties of fubftance are thefe: i. No fubtance is capable of intenfion or remifition. 2. No fubftance can be in any other thing as its fubject of inhefion. 3. No fubftance has a contrary; for one fubftance cannot be contrary to anothe:; nor can there be contrariety between a fubftance and that which is no fubtance. 4. The moft remarkable property of fubftance, is, that one and the fame fubflance may, by fome change in itfelf, become the fubject of things that are contrary. Thus the fame body may be at one time hot, at another cold.

Let this ferve as a fpecimen of Ariftotle's manner of treating the categories. After them, we have fome chapters, which the fchoolmen call poftpradicamenta ; wherein, firft, the four kinds of oppofition of terme are explained; to wit, relative, privative, of contrariety, and of contradiction. This is repeated in all fyftems of logic. Laft of all we have dif. tinctions of the four Greek words which anfwer to the Latin ones, prius, fintul, motus, and babere.

## Sect. 4. Of the book concerning Interpretation.

We are to confider, fays Ariftotle, what a noun is, what a verb, what affirmation, what negation, what fpeech. Words are the figns of what paffeth in the mind; writing is the fign of words. The figns both of writing and of words are different in different nations, but the operations of mind fignified by them are the fame. There are fome operations of thought which are neither true nor falfe. Thefe are expreffed by nouns or verbs fingly, and without compofitions.

A r:oun is a found which by compact fignifies fomething without refpect to time, and of which no part has tignification by itfelf. The cries of beafts may have a natural fignification, but they are not nouns: we give the name only to founds which have their fignification by compact. The cafes of a noun, as the genitive, dative, are not nouns. Non bomo is not a noun, but, for diflinction's fake, may be called a nomen infinitum.

A verb fignifies fomething by compact with relation to time. Thus valet is a verb; but valetudo is a noun, becaufe its fignification has no relation to time. It is only the prefent tenfe of the indicative that is properly called a verb; the other tenfes and moods are variations of the verb. Non valct may be calied a verbum infinitum.

Speech is found fignificant by compact, of which fome part is alfo fignificant. And it is either enunciative, or not enunciative. Enunciative fpeech is that which affirms or denies. As to fpeech which is not enunciative, fuch as a prayer or wifh, the confideration of it belongs to oratory, or poetry. Every enunciative fpecch muft have a verb. Affirmation is the enunciation of one thing concerning another. Negation is the enunciation of one thing from another. Contradiction is an affirmation and negation that are oppofite. This is a fummary of the firft fix chapters.
The feventh and eighth treat of the various kinds of enunciations or propofitions, univerfal, particular, indefinite, and fingular; and of the various kinds of oppofition in propofitions, and the axioms concerning them. Thefe things are repeated in every fyrtem of logic. In the ninth chapter he endeavours to prove by a long metaphyfical reafoning, that propofitions refpecting future contingencies are not, determinately, either true or falfe; and that if they were, it would follow, that all things happen neceffarily, and could not have been otherwife than as they are. The remaining chapters contain many minute obfervations concerning the equipollency of propofitions both pure and modal.

CHAP.

## C H A P. II.

## REMARK'

## Sect. 1. On the Five Predicables.

TH'E writers on logic have borrowed their materials almoft entirely from Ariftotle's Organon, and Porphyry's Introduction. The Organon however was not written by Ariftotle as one work. It comprehends various tracts, written without the view of making them parts of one whole, and afterwards thrown together by his editors under one name on account of their aff. nity. Many of his books that are loft, would have made a part of the Organon if they had been faved.

The three treatifes of which we have given a brief account, are unconnected with each other, and with thofe that follow. And although the firft was undoubtedly compiled by Porphyry and the two laft probably by Ariftotle, yet 1 confider them as the venerable remains of a philofophy more ancient than Ariftotle. Archytas of Tarentum, an eminent mathematician and philofopher of the Pythagorean fchool, is faid to have wrote upon the ten categories; and the five predicables probably had their origin in the fame fchool. Ariftotle, though abundantly careful to do juftice to bimfelf, doss not claim the invention of either. And Porphyry, without afcribing the latter to Ariftotle, profeffes only to deliver the doctrine of the
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the ancients and chiefly of the Peripatetics, concerning them.

The writers on logic have divided that fcience into three parts; the firft treating of fimple apprehenfion and of terms; the fecond, of judgement and of propofitions; and the third, of reafoning and of fyllogifms. The materials of the firt part are taken from Porphyry's Introduction and the Categories; and thofe of the fecond from the book of Interpretation.

A predicable, according to the grammatical form of the word, might feem to fignify, whatever may be predicated, that is, affirmed or denied, of a fubject : and in that fenfe every predicate would be a predicable. But logicians give a different meaning to the word. They divide propofitions into certain claffes, according to the relation which the predicate of the propofition bears to the fubject. The firf clafs is that wherein the predicate is the genus of the fubject; as when we fay, This is a triangle, fupiter is a planet. In the fecond clafs, the predicate is a fpecies of the fubject; as when we fay, This triangle is right-angled. A third clafs is when the predicate is the fpecific difference of the fubject ; as when we fay, Every triangle bas three fides and three angles. A fourth when the predicate is a property of the fubject; as when we fay, The angles of every triangle are equal to two right angles. And a fifth clafs is when the predicate is fomething accidental to the fubject; as when we fay, This triangle is neatly drawn.
Each of thefe claffes comprehends a great variety of propofitions, having different fubjects, and different predicates; but in each clafs the relation between the predicate and the fubject is the fame. Now it is to this relation that logicians have given the name of a predicable. Hence it is, Vol. II. O that
that altho the number of predicates be infinite, yet the number of predicables can be no greater than that of the different relations which may be in propofitions between the predicate and the fubject. And if all propofitions belong to one or other of the five claffes above-mentioned, there can be but five predicables, to wit, genus, species, differentia, proprium, and accidens. Thefe might, with more propriety perhaps have been called the five clafles of predicates; but ufe has determined the: to be called'the five predicables.

It may alfo be obferved, that as fome objects of thought are individuals, fuch as, Julius Cafar, the city Rome; fo others are common to many individuals, as good, great, virtuous, vicious. Of this laft kind are alt the things that are expreffed by adjectives. Things common to many individuals; were by the ancients called univerfals.All predicates are univerfals, for they have the nature of adjectives; and, on the other hand, all univerfale may be predicates. On this account, univerfals may be divided into the fame claffes as predicates; and as the five claffes of predicates above-mentioned have been called the five predicables, fo by the fame kind of phrafeology they have been called the five univerfals; although they may more properly be called the five clafles of univerfals.

The doctrine of the five univerfls or predicable's makes an effential part of every fyftem of logic, and has been handed down without any change to this day. The very name of predicables lhews, that the author of this divifion, whoever he was, intended it as a complete enumeration of atl the kinds of things that can be affirmed of any fubject ; and fo it has always been underitood. It is accordingly implied in this divifions, that all that can be affirmed of any thing what-
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ever, is either the genus of the thing, or its fpecies, or its fpecific difference, or fome property or accident belonging to it.

Burgerdick, a very acute writer in logic, feems to have been aware, that flrong objections night be made to the five predicables, confidered as a complete enumeration: but, unwilling to allow any imperfection in this ancient divifion, he endeavours to reftrain the meaning of the word predicable, fo as to obviate objections. Thofe things only, fays he, are to be aćcounted predicables, which may be affirmed of many individuals, truly, properly, and immediately. The confequence of putting fucli limitations upon the word predicable is, that in many propofitions, perhaps in moft, the predicate is not a predicable. But admitting all his limitations, the enumeration will fill be very incomplete : for of many things we may affirm truty, properly, and immediately, their exiftence, their end, their caufe, their effect, and various relations which they bear to other things.Thefe, and perlaps many more, are predicables in the ftrit fenfe of the word, no lefs than the five which have been fo long famous.

Although Porphyry and all fubfequent writers, make the predicables to be, in number, five ; yet Arifotle linineif, in the beginning of the Topics, reduces them to four; and demonftrates, that there can be no more. We flall give his demonftration when we come to the Topics; and flall only here obferve, that as Burgerfick juftifies the five-fold divifion, by reftraining the mean: ing of the word predicable; fo Ariftotle juftifies the fourfold divifion, by enlarging the meaning of the words property and accident.
After all, I apprehend, thiat this ancient divifion of predicables with all its imperfections, will bear a comparifon with thofe which have been fubllituted
in its ftead by the moft celebrated modern philofo. phers.

Locke, in his Effay on the Human Underfanding, having laid it down as a principle, That all our knowledge confifts in perceiving certain agreements and difagreements between our ideas, reduces thefe agreements and difagreements to four heads: to wit, 1. Identity and diverfity ; 2. Relation ; " 3 . Coexiftence ; 4. Real Exiftence (a). Here are four predicables given as a complete enumeration, and yet not one of the ancient predicables is included in the number.
The author of the Treatife of Human Nature, proceeding upon the fame principle that all our knowledge is only a perception of the relations of our ideas, obferyes, "That it may perhaps be efteemed "an endlefs tark, to enumerate all thofe qualities " whicl admit of comparifon, and by which the " ideas of philofophical relation are produced: but " if we diligently confider them, we fhall find, that "s without difficulty they may be comprifed under " feven general heads : 1. Refemblance; 2. Idencit tity; 3. Relations of Space and Time; 4. Rela"i tions of Quantity and Number ; 5. Degrees of "Quality ; 6. Contrariety; 7. Caufation (b)." Here again are feven predicables given as a complete enuneration, wherein all the predicables of the ancients, as well as two of Locke's, are left out.
The ancients in their divifion attended only to categorical propofitions which have one fubject and one predicate ; and of there, to fuch only as have a general term for their fubject. Thie moderns, by their definition of knowledge, have been led to attend only to relative propointions, which exprefs a relation between two fubjects, and thefe fubjects they fuppofe to be always ideas.

## 2

Sect.
(a) Book 4, chap. 1.
(b) Vol, 1, p, 33 , and 125 .

## Sect. 2. On the Ten Categories, and on Divifions in general.

The intention of the categories or predicaments is, to mufter elvery object of human apprehenfion under ten heads: for the categories are given as a - complete enumeration of every thing which can be expreffed without compofition and firucture; that is, of every thing that can be either the fubject or the predicate of a propofition. So that as every foldier belongs to fome company, and every company to fome regiment ; in like manner every thing that can be the object of human thought, has its place in one or other of the ten categories ; and by dividing, and fubdividing properly the feveral categories, all the notions that enter into the human mind may be muftered in rank and file, like an army in the day of battle.

The perfection of the divifion of categories into ten heads, has been ftrenuoully defended by the followers of Ariftote, as well as that of the five predicables. They are indeed of kin to each other : they breathe the fame firit, and probably had the fame origin. By the one we are taught to marfhal every term that can enter into a propofition, either as fubject or predicate; and by the other, we are taught all the poffible relations which the fubject can have to the predicate. Thus, the whole furniture of the human mind is prefent to us at one view, and contracted, as it were, into a nut-fhell. To attempt, in fo early a period, a methodical delineation of the vaft region of human knowledge, actual and poffible, and to point out the limits of every diftrict, was indeed magnanimous in a high degree, and deferves our admiration, while we lament that the human powers are unequal to fo bold a flight.
A regular diftribution of things under proper claffes or heads, is, without doubt, a great help both
to memory and judgement. As the philofopher'a province includes all things human and divine that can be objects of enquiry, he is naturally led to attempt fome general divifion, like that of the categories. And the invention of a divifion of this kind, which the fpeculative part of mankind acquiefced in for two thoufand years, marks a fuperiority of genius in the inventer, whoever he was. Nor does it appear, that the general divifions which, fince the decline of the Peripatetic philofophy, have been fubflituted in place of the ten categories, are more per. fect.

Locke has reduced all things to three categories ; to wit, fubftances, modes, and relations. In this divifion, time, fpace, and number, three great objects. of human thought, are omitted.

The author of the Treatife of Human Nature has reduced all things to two categories; to wit, ideas, and impreffions; a divifion which is very well adapted to his fyftem; and which puts me in mind of another made by an excellent mathematician in a printed thefis I have feen. In it the author, after a fevere cenfure of the ten categories of the Peripatetics, maintains, that there neither are nor can be more than two categories of things; to wit ${ }_{2}$ data and quafita.

There are two ends that may be propofed by fuch divifions. The firft is, to methodize or digett in order what a man actually knows. This is neither unimportant nor impracticable ; and in proportion to the folidity and accuracy of a man's judgement, his divifions of the things he knows, will be elegant and ufeful. The fame fubject may admit, and even require, various divifions, according to the different points of view from which we contemplate it : nor does it follow, that becaufe one divifion is good, thereforc another is naught. To be acquainted with the divifions of the logicians and metaphyficians, without a fupceftitious atachment to them,

Sk
may be of ufe in dividing the fame fubjects, or even thofe of a different nature. Thus, Quintilian borsows from the ten categories his divifion of the topics of rhetorical argumentation. Of all methods of arrangement, the moft antiphilofophical feems to be the invention of this age; I mean, the arranging the arts and fciences by the letters of the alphabet, in dictionaries and encyclopedies. With thefe authore the categories are, $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}, \& \mathrm{C}$.

- Another end commonly propofed by fuch divifions, but very rarely attained, is to exhauft the fubject divided; fo that nothing that belongs to it fhah be omitted. It is one of the general rules of divifion in all fyftems of logic, That the divifion fhould be adequate to the fubject divided : a good rule, without doubt ; but very often beyond the reach of human power. To make a perfect divifion, a man mult have a perfect comprehenfion of the whole furbject at one view. When our knowledge of the fubject is imperfect, any divifion we can make, muft be like the firf fketch of a painter, to be extended, contracted, or mended, as the fubject fhall be found to require. Yet nothing is more common, not only among the ancient, but even among modern philofophers, than to draw, from their incomplete divift. ons, conclufions which fuppofe them to be perfect.

A divifion is a repofitory which the philofopher frames for holding his ware in convenient order. The philofopher maintains, that fuch or fuch a thing is not good ware, becaufe there is no place in his ware-room that fits it. We are apt to yield to this argument in philofophy, but it would appear ridicudous in any other traffic.

Peter Ramus, who had the fpirit of a reformer in philofophy, and who had force of genius fufficient to fhake the Ariftotelian fabric in many parts, but infufficient to erect any thing more folid in its place, tried to remedy the imperfection of philofophical divifions, by introducing a new manner of die.
viding. His divifions always confifted of two members, one of which was contradictory of the other; as if one Mould divide England into Middlefex and what is not Middlefex. It is evident that thefe two unembers comprehend all England : for the logicians oblerve, that a term along with its contradictory, comprehend all things. In the fame manner, we may divide what is not Middlefex into Kent and what is not Kent. Thus one may go on by divifions and fubdivifions that are abfohutely complete. This example may ferve to give an idea of the fpirit of Ramean divifions, which were in no finall reputation about two hundred years ago.

Ariftotle was not ignorant of this kind of divifion. But he ufed it only as a touch-ftone to prove by induction the perfection of forne other divifion, which indeed is the beft ufe that can be made of it. When applied to the common purpofe of divifion, it is both inelegant, and burdenfome to the memory; and, after it has put one out of breath by endlefs fubdivifions, there is ftill a negative term left behind, which fhows that you are no nearer the end of your journey than when you began.

Until fome more effectual remedy be found for the imperfection of divifions, I beg leave to propofe one more fimple than that of Ramus. It is this: When you meet with a divifion of any fubject imperfectly comprehended, add to the laft member an et cetera. That this et cotera makes the divifion complete, is undeniable ; and therefore it ought to hold its place as a member, and to be always underftood, whether expreffed or not, until clear and pofitive proof be brought that the divifion is complete without it. And this fame et catera is to be the repofitory of all members that thall in any future time fhew a goad and valid right to a place in the fubject.
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## Sect. 3. On Diffinctions.

Having faid fo much of logical divifions, we fhall next make fome remarks upon diftinctions.

Since the philofophy of. Arifotle fell into difrepute, it has been a common topic of wit and raillery, to inveigh againft metaphyfical diftinctions. Indeed the abufe of them in the fcholaftic ages, feems to juftify a general prejudice againft them : and fhallow thinkers and writers have good reafon to be jealous of diftinctions, becaufe they make fad work when applied to their flimfy compofitions. But every. man of true judgement, while he condemns diftinctions that have no foundation in the nature of things, muft perceive, that indiferiminately to decry diftinctions, is to renounce all pretenfions to juft reafoning: for as falfe reafoning commonly proceeds from confounding things that are different; fo without diftinguifhing fuch things, it is impoffible to avoid error, or detect fophiftry. The authority of Aquinas, or Suarez, or even of Arifotle, can neither ftamp a real value upon diftinctions of bafe metal, nor hinder the currency of thofe of true metal.

Some diftinctions are verbal, others are real. The firt kind diftinguifh the various meanings of a word ; whether proper, or metaphorical. Diftinctions of this kind make a part of the grammar of a language, and are often abfurd when tranllated into another language. Real diftinctions are equally good in all languages, and fuffer no hurt by tranflation. They diftinguifh the different fpecies contained under fome general notion, or the different parts contained in one whole.

Many of Ariftotle's diftinctions are verbal merely; and therefore, more proper materials for a dictionary of the Greek language, than for a philofophical treatife. At leaft, they ought never to have
been tranflated into other languages, when the
or ufe cause, is to adulterate the language, to introduce foreign idioms into it without receflity or ufe, and to make it ambiguous where it was not. The diftinctions in the end of the Categories of the four words, prius, fom:th, motas, and babere, are all verbal.

The modes or fpecies of prius, according to Ariftotle, are five. One thing may be prior, to another : firl, in point of time; fecondly, in point of dignity; thirdly, in point of order; and fo forth, The modes of fimul are only three. It feems this word was not uled in the Greek with fo great latitude as the other, although they are relative terms.

The modes or Species of motion he makes to be fix, to wit, generation, corruption, increafe, decreafe, alteration, and change of place.

The modes or fpecies of baving are eight. 1. Having a quality or habit, as having wifdom. 2. Having quantity or magnitude. 3. Having things adjacent, as having a fword. 4. Having things as parts, as having hands of feet. 5. Having in a part or on a part, as having a ring on one's finger. 6. Containing, as a cafk is faid to have wine. 7. Poffeffing, as having lands or houfes. 8. Having a wife.

Another diftinction of this kind is Ariftotle's diftinction of caufes ; of which he makes four kinds, efficient, material, formal, and final. Thefe diftinctions may deferve a place in a dictionary of the Greek language ; but in Englifh or Latin they adulterate the language: Yet fo fond were the fchoolinen of diftinctions of this kind, that they added to Ariftotle's enumeration, an impulfive caufe, an exemplary caule, and I don't know how many more. We feem to have adopted into Englifh a final caufe; but it is merely a term of art, borrowed from the Peripatetic philofophy, without neceffity
the this reign make ms in prius, anoint of forth, s this titude to be creafe,
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or ufe: for the Englifh word end is as good as final caufe, though not fo long nor fo learned.

## Sect. 4. On Definitions.

It remains that we make fome remarks on $A$. nifuete's definitions, which have expofed him to much cenfure and ridicule. Yet I think it mutt be allowed, that in things which need definition and ad nit of 'it, his definitions are commonly judicious and accurate; and had he attempted to de ne fuch things only, his enemies had wanted gre mater of triumph. I believe it may likewife be id in his favour, that until Locke's effay 3 is wrote, there was nothing of importance ivered by philofophers with regard to definition beyond what Arifotle has faid upon that fubject.
He c afiders a definition as a fpeech declaring what a ling is. Every thing effential to the thing de ined, and nothing more muft be containce in the definition. Now the effence of a thing condilts of thefe two parts : Firft, What is cominon to it with other things of the fame kind; and fecondly, What diftinguifhes it from other things of the fane kind. The firft is called the gents: of the thing, the fecond its jpecific diffirence. The definition therefore confifts of thefe two parts. And for finding them, we mult have recourfe to the ten categories; in one or other of which every thing in nature is to be found. Fach category is a genus, and is divided into fo many fpecies, which are diftinguifhed by their fpecific differences. Each of thefe fpecies is again fubdivided into fo many fpecies, with regard to which it is a genus. This divifion and fubdivifion continues until we come to the loweft fpecies,
which can only be divided into individuals diftinguifhed from one another, not by any fpecific difference, but by accidental differences of time, place, and other circumftances.
The category itfelf being the higheft genus, is in no refpect a fpecies, and the loweft fpecies is in no refpect a genus; but every intermediate order is a genus compared with thofe that are below-it, and a fpecies compared with thofe above it. To find the definition of any thing, therefore, you muft take the genus which is immediately above its place in the category, and the fpecific difference, by which it is diftinguifhed from other fpecies of the fame genus. Thefe two make a perfect definition. This I take to be the fubftance of Ariftotle's fyftem; and probably the fyftem of the Py thagorean fcool beiure Ariftotle, concerning definition.

But notwithftanding the fpecious appearance of this fyftem, it has its defects. Not to repeat what was before faid of the imperfection of the divifion of things into ten categories, the fubdivifions of each category are no lefs imperfect. Arifotle has given fome fubdivifions of a few of them; and as far as he goes, his followers pretty unanimoully take the fame road. But when they attempt to go farther, they take very different roads. It is evident, that if the feries of each category could be completed, and the divifion of things into categories could be made perfect, ftill the higheft genus in each category could not be defined, becaufe it is not a fpecies; nor could individuals be defined, becaufe they have no fpecific difference. There are alfo many fecies of things, whofe fpecific difference cannot be expreffed in language, even when it is evident to fenfe, or to the underftanding. Thus, green, red, and blue, are very diftinct fpecies of colour; but
who can exprefs in words wherein green differs from red or blue?
Without borrowing light from the ancient fyttem, we may perceive, that every definition muft confift of words that need no definition; and that to define the common words of a language that have no ambiguity, is trifling, if it could be done; the only ufe of a definition being to give a clear and adequate conception of the meaning of a word.

The logicians indeed diftinguifh, between the definition of a word, and the definition of a thing; confidering the former as the mean office of a lexicographer, but the laft as the grand work of a philofopher. But what they have faid about the definition of a thing, if it have a meaning, is beyond my comprehenfion. All the rules of definition agree to the definition of a word : and if they mean by the definition of a thing, the giving an adequate conception of the nature and effence of any thing that exifts ; this is impoffible, and is the vain boaft of men unconfcious of the weaknefs' of human underftanding.
The works of God are but imperfectly known by us. We fee their outfide; or perhaps we difcover fome of their qualities and relations, by obfervation and experiment affifted by reafoning: but even of the fimpleft of them we can give no definition that comprehends its real effence. It is juftly obferved by Locke, that nominal effences only, which are the creatures of our own minds, are perfectly comprehended by us, or can be properly defined; and even of thefe there are many too fimple in their nature to admit of definition. When we cannot give precifion to our notions by a definition, we muft endeavour to do it by attentive reflection upon them, by obferving minutely their agreements, and differences, and efpecially by a right underftanding of the powers of our own minds by which fuch notions are formed.

The principles laid down by Locke with reo gard to definition and with regard to the abufe of words, carry conviction along with them. I take them to be one of the moft important improvements made in logic fince the days of Ariftotle: not fo much becaufe they enlarge our knowledge, as becaufe they make us fenfibie of our ignorance ; and fhew that a great part of what fped culative men have admired as profound philofophy, is only a darkening of knowledge by words without undertanding.
If Ariftote had underfood thefe principles, many of his definitions, which furnifh matter of triumph to his enemies, had never feen the light: let us impute them to the times rather than to the man.: The fublime Plato, it is faid, thought it neceflary to have the definition of a man, and could find none better than Animal implume biper; upon which Diogenes fent to his fchool a cock with his feathers plucked off, defiring to know whether it was a man or not.

## Sect. 5. On the Struclure of Speech.

The few hints contained in the beginning of the book concerning Interpretation relating to the ftructure of fpeech, have been left out in trea. tifes of logic, as belonging rather to grammar; yet I apprehend this is a rich field of philofophical fpeculation. Language being the exprefs image of human thought, the analyfis of the one muft correfpond to that of the other. Nouns adjective and fubftantive verbs active and paffive, with their various moods, tenfes, and perfons, mult be expreflive of a like variety in the modes of thought. Things that are diftinguifhed in all lan: guages, fuch as fubftance and quality, action and palfion.
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## Sect. 6. On Propofitions.

Mathematicians ufe the, word propofition in a larger fenfe than logicians. A problem is called a propofition in mathematics, but in logic it is not a propofition : it is one of thofe fpeeches which are not enunciative, and which Ariftotle remits to oratory or poetry.

A propofition, according to Ariftotle, is a fpeech wherein one thing is affirmed or denied of another. Hence it is eafy to diftinguilh the thing affirmed or denied, which is called the predicate, from the thing of which it is affrmed or denied, which is called the fubject; and there two are called the terms of the propofition. Hence likewife. it appears, that propofitions are either affirmative or negative; and this is called their quality. All affirmative propofitions have the fame quality, fo likewife have all negative; but an affirmative and a negative are contraty in their quality.

When the fubject of a propofition is a general term, the predicate is affirmed or denied, either of the whole, or of a part. Hence propofitions are diftinguifhed into univerfal and particular.All men are mortal, is an univerfal propofition; Some men are learned, is a particular; and this is called the quantity of the propofition. All univerfal propofitions agree in quantity, as alfo all particular : but an univerfal and a particular are faid to differ in quantity. A propofition is called indefinite, when there is no mark either of univerfality or particularity annexed to the fubject: thus, Man is of ferw days, is an indefinite propofition ; but it muft be underftood either as univerfal or as particular, and therefore is not a
third fpecies, but by interpretation is brought under of the other two.

There are alfo fingular propofitions, which have not a general term, but an individual for their fubject; as, Alexander was a great conqueror.Thefe are confidered by logicians as univerfal, becaufe, the fubject being indivifible, the predir cate is affirmed or denied of the whole, and not of a part only. Thus all propofitions, with rep gard to quality, are either affirmative or negar tive ; and with regard to quantity, are univerfal or particular ; and taking in both quantity and quality, they are univerfal affirmatives, or univerfal negatives, or particular affirmatives, or particular negatives. Thefe four kinds, after the days of Ariftotle, came to be named by the names of the four firf vowels, $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{O}$; according to the following diftich :

> Affrit, $A$, negat $E$, fod univerfaliter ambe: Afferit, I, negat O, fed particulariter ambo.

When the young logician is thus far inftructed in the nature of propofitions, he is apt to think there is no difficulty in analyfing any propofition, and fhewing its fubject and predicate, its quantity and quality; and indeed, unlefs he can do this, he will be unable to apply the rules of logic to ufe. Yet he will find, there are fome difficulties in this analyfis, which are overlooked by Ariftotle altogether; and although they are fometimes touched, they are not removed by his followers. For, I. There are propofitions in which it is difficult to find a fubject and a predicate; as in thefe, "It rains, It finows. 2. In fome propofitions either term may be made the fubject or the predicate as you like beft; as in this, Virtue is
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the road to bappinefs. 3. The fame example may ferve to fhew, that it is fometimes difficult to fay, whether a propofition be univerfal or particular. 4. The quality of fome propofitions is fo dubious, that logicians have never been able to agree whether they be affirmative or negative; as in this propofition, Whatever is infentient is not an animal. 5. As there is one clafis of propofitions which have only two terms, to wit, one fubject and one predicate, which are called categorical propofitions; fo there are many claffes that have more than two terms. What Aritotle delivers in this book is applicable only to categorical : propofitions; and to them cnly the rules concerning the figures and modes of fyllogifms, are accommodated. The fubfequent writers of logic have taken notice of fome of the many claffes of complex propofitions, and have given rules adapted to them ; but finding this work endlefs, they have left us to manage the reft by the rules of common fenfe.
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## C H A P. III.

## Account of the Firft Analytics.

> Sect. 1. Of the Converfion of Propofitions.

$\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{N}}$N attempting to give fome account of the Analytics and of the Topics of Ariftotle, ingenuity requires me to confefs, that though I have often purpofed to read the whole with care, and to underftand what is intelligible, yet my courage and patience always failed before I had done.Why thould I throw away fo much time and painful attention upon a thing of fo little real ufe ? If I had lived in thofe ages when the knowledge of Ariftotle's Organon intitled a man to the higheft rank in philofophy, ambition might have induced me to employ upon it fome years of painful ftudy; and lefs, I conceive, would not be fufficient. Such reflections as thefe, always got the better of my refolution, when the firft ardor began to cool. All I can fay is, that I have read fome parts of the different books with care, fome flightly, and fome perhaps not at all. I have glanced over the whole often, and when any thing attracted my attention, have dipped into it till my appetite was fatisfied. Of all reading it is the moft dry and the moft painful, employing an infinite labour of demonftration, about things of
the moft abftract nature, delivered in a laconic ftyle, and often, I think, with affected ' courity; and all to prove general propofitions, which when applied to particular inftances appear felf-evident.

There is probably but little in the Categories or in the book of Interpretation, that Ariftotle could claim as his own invention : but the whole theory of fyllogifin he claims as his own, and as the fruit of much time and labour. And indeed it is a ftately fabric, a monument of a great genius, which we could wifh to have been more ufefully employed. There muft be fomething however adapted to pleafe the human underftanding, or to flatter human pride, in a work which occupied men of fpeculation for more than a thou. fand years. Thefe books are called Analytics, becaufe the intention of them is to refolve all rea. foning into its fimple ingredients.

The firft book of the Firft Analytics, confifting of forty-fix chapters, may be divided into four parts; the firft treating of the converfion of propofitions; the fecond, of the ftructure of fyllogifms in all the different figures and modes; the third, of the invention of a middle term ; and the laft of the refolution of fyllogifms. We thall give a brief account of each.

To convert a propofition, is to infer from it another propofition, whofe fubject is the predicate of the firft, and whofe predicate is the fubject of the firft. 'This is reduced by Ariftotle to three rules. 1. An univerfal negative may be converted into an univerfal negative: thus, No man is a quadruped; therefore No quadruped is a man. 2. An univerfal affirmative can be converted only into a particular affirmative : thus, All mon are mortal; therefore Some mortal beings are men. 3. A particular affirmative may be converted into a particular affirmative : as, Some men are juft therefore, Some juft perjons are men. When a propofition may be converted without changing
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its quantity, this is called fimple converfion; but when the quantity is diminifhed, as in the univerfal affirmative, it is called converfion per accidens.

There is another kind of converfion, omitted in this place by Ariftotle, but fupplied by his followers, called converfion by contrapofition, in which the term that is contradictory to the predicate is put for the fubject, and the quality of the propofition is changed; as, All animals are fentient ; therefore, What is infentient is not an animial. A fourth rule of converfion therefore is, 'That an univerfal affirnative, and a particular negative, may be converted by contrapofition,

Sect. 2. Of the Figures and Modes of pure Syl$\log \mathrm{i} / \mathrm{ms}$.

A fyllogifm is an argument, or reafoning, confifting of three propofitions, the laft of which, called the conclufion, is inferred from the two preceding, which are called the premifes. The conclufion having two terms, a fubject and a predicate, its predicate is called the major term, and its fubject the minor term, In order to prove the conclufion, each of its terms is, in the premifes, compared with a third term, called the middle term. By this means one of the premifes will have for its two terms the major term and the middle term ; ath this premife is called the major premife, or the major propofition of the fyllogifm. The other premife muft have for its two terms the minor term and the middle term, and it is called the minor propofition. Thus the fyllogifm confifts of the three propofitions, diftinguifhed by the names of the major, the minor, and the conclufion: and although each of thefe has two terms, a fubject and a predicate, yet there are only three different terms in all. The major term is always the predicate of the conclufion,
conclufion, and is alfo either the fubject or predicate of the major propofition. The minor term is always the fubject of the conclufion, and is alfo either the fubject or predicate of the minor propofition. The middle term never cuters into the conclufion, but ftands in both premiles, either in the polition of fubject or of predicate.

According to the various pofitions which the middle term may have in the premifes, fyllogifms are faid to be of various figures. Now all the poffible pofitions of the middle term are only four: for, firft, it may be the fubject of the major pro. pofition, and the predicate of the minor, and then the fyllogifm is of the firf figure ; or it may be the predicate of both premifes, and then the fyllogifn is of the fecond figure; or it may be the fubject of both, which makes a fyllogifin of the third figure; or it may be the predicate of the major propofition, and the fubject of the minor, which makes the fourth figure. Ariftotle takes no nocice of the fourth figure. It was added by the famous Galen, and is often called the Galenical figure.

There is another divifion of fyllogifms according to their modes. The mode of a fyllogifm is determined by the quality and quantity of the propofitions of which it confilts. Each of the three propofitions muft be either an univerfal affirma. tive, or an univerfal negative, or a particular affirmative, or a particular negative. Thefe four kinds of propofitions, as was before obferved, have been named by the four vowels, A, E, I, O; by which means the mode of a fyllogifm is mark. ed by any three of thofe four vowels. Thus A, A, A, denotes that mode in which the major, minor, and conclufion, are all uníverfal affirmatives; E, A, E, denotes that mode in which the major and conclufion are univerfal negatives, and the minor is an univerfal affirmative.
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To know the pofible modes of syllogifin, we $m$ uit find how many different combinations may be made of three out of the four vowels, and from the art of combination the number is found to be fixty-four. So many poflible modes there are in every figure, confequently in the three figures of Ariftotle there are one hundred and ninety-two, and in all the four figures two hundred and tifty-fix.

Now the theory of fyllogifin requires, that we fhew what are the particular modes in each figure, which do, or do not, form a juft and conclufive fyllogifm, that fo the legitimate may be adopted, and the fpurious rejected. This Ariftotle has fhewn in the firlt three figures, examining all the modes one by onc, and paffing fentence upon each; and from this examination he collects fome rules which may aid the memory in diftinguifhing the falfe from the true, and point out the properties of each figure.

The firft figure has only four legitimate modes. The major propofition in this figure muft be univerfal, and the minor affirmative ; and it has this property, that it yields conclufions of all kinds, affirmative and negative, univerfal and particular.

The fecond figure has alfo four legitimate modes. Its major propofition muft be univerfal, and one of the premifes muft be negative. It yields conclufions both univerfal and particular, but all negative.

The third figure has fix legitimate modes. Its minor mult always be affirmative; and it yields conclufions both affirmative and negative, but all particular.

Befides the rules that are proper to each figure, Arifotle has given fome that are common to all, by which the legitimacy of fyllogifms may be tried. Thefe may, I think, be reduced to five. 1. There muft be only three terms in a fyllogifm. As each
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from the materials before collected, you muft feek a middle term which has that relation to the fubject and predicate of the propolition to be proved, which the nature of the fyllogifin requires. Thus, fuppofe the propofition I would prove is an univerfal affirmative, I know by the rules of fyllogifms, that there is only one legitimate mode in which an univerfal affirmative propofition can be proved; and that is the firft mode of the firft figure. I know likewife, that in this mode both the premifes mult be univerfal affirmatives; and that the middle term muft be the fubject of the major, and the predicate of the minor. Therefore ' $r$ ins collected according to the general ..... teek out one or more which have thefe two properties; firf, That the predicate of the propofition to be proved can be univerfally affirmed of it ; and fecondly, That it can be univerfally affirmed of the fubject of the propofition to be proved. Every term you can find which has thofe two properties, will ferve you as a middle term, but no other. In this way, the author gives fpecial rules for all the various kinds of propofitions to be proved; points out the various

Sect. 4. Of the remaining part of the Firft Book.
The refolution of fyllogifins requires no other principles but thofe before laid down for conftructing then. However it is treated of largely, and rules laid down for reducing reafon to fyllogifins, by fupplying one of the premifes when it is underftood,
derfood, by rectifying inverfions, and putting the propofitions in the proper order.

Here he fpeaks alfo of hypothetical fyllogifms; which he acknowledges cannot be refolved into any of the figures, although there be many kinds of them that ought diligently to be obferved; and which he promifes to handle afterwards. But this promife is not fulfilled, as far as I know, in any of his works that are extant.

Sect. 5. Of the 'Sccond Book of the Firft Analytics.
The fecond book treats of the powers of fyllogifms, and fhows, in twenty-feven chapters, how we may perform many feats by them, and what figures and modes are adapted to each. Thus, in fome fyllogifins feveral diftinct conclufions may be drawn from the fame premifes: in fome, true conclufions may be drawn from falfe premifes: in fome, by affuming the conclufion and one premife, you may prove the other ; you may turn a direct fyllogifm into one leading to an abfurdity.

We have likewife precepts given in this book, both to the affailant in a fyllogiftical difpute, how to carry on his attack with art, fo as to obtain the victory; and to the defendant, how to keep the enemy at fuch a diftance as that he fhall never be obliged to yield. From which we learn, that Ariftotle introduced in his own fchool, the practice of fyllogiftical difputation, inftead of the rhetorical difputations which the fophifts were wont to ufe in more ancient times.

## REMARKS.

## Sect. 1. Of the Converfion of Propofitions.

$W_{E}$E have given a fummary view of the theory of pure fyllogifms as delivered by Ariftotle, a theory of which he claims the fole invention. And I believe it will be difficult, in any fcience, to find fo large a fyftem of truths of fo very abftract and fo general a nature, all fortified by demonftration, and all invented and perfected by one man. It fhows a force of genius and labour of inveftigation, equal to the moft arduous attempts, I fhall now make fome remarks upon it.

As to the converfion of propofitions, the writers on logic commonly fatisfy themfelves with illuftrating each of the rules by an example, conceiving them to be felf-evident when applied to particular cafes. But Ariftotle has given demonitrations of the rules he mentions. As a fpecimen, I fhall give his demonftration of the firft rule. "s Let $A B$ be an univerlal negative propo"fition; I fay, that if $A$ is in no $B$, it will fol" low that $\mathbf{B}$ is in no $A$. If you deny this con" fequence, let $B$ be in fome $A$, for example, " in C ; then the firft fuppofition will not be true; " for C. is of the B's." In this demonftration, if I underftand it, the third rule of converfion is
A $P$. affumed, that if $\mathbf{B}$. is in fome $\mathbf{A}$, then A mult be in fome B , which indeed is contrary to the firlt fuppofition.
fuppofition. If the third rule be affumed for proof of the firf, the proof of all the three goes round in a circle; for the fecond and third rules are proved by the firft. This is a fault in reafoning which Ariftotle condemns, and which I thould be very unwilling to charge him with, if I could find any better meaning in his demonftration. But it is indeed a fault very difficult to be avoided, when men attempt to prove things that are felf-evident.

The rules of converfion cannot be applied to all propofitions, but only to thofe that are categorical ; and we are left to the direction of common fenfe in the converfion of other propofitions. To give an example: Alexander was the fon of Philip; therefore Philip was the father of Alexander: $\mathbf{A}$ is greater than $\mathbf{B}$; therefore $\mathbf{B}$ is lefs than A. Thefe are converfions which, as far as I know, do not fall within any rule in logic; nor do we find any lofs for want of a rule in fuch cafes.

Even in the converfion of categorical propofitions, it is not enough to tranfpofe the fubject and predicate. Both mult undergo fome change, in order to fit them for their new ftation, for in every propofition the fubject muft be a fubftantive; and the predicate muft be an adjective.Hence it follows, that when the fubject is an individual, the propofition admits not of converfion. How, for inftance, fhall we convert this propofition, God is omnifcient ?

Thefe obfervations fhow, that the doctrine of the converfion of propofitions is not fo complete as it appears. The rules are laid down without limitation; yet they are fitted only to one clafs of propofitions, to wit, the categorical ; and of thefe only to fuch as have a general term for their fubject.

Sect. 2. On Additions made to Arifotle's Theory.

Although the logicians have enlarged the firft and fecond parts of logic, by explaining fome technical words and diftinctions which Ariftote has omitted, and by giving names to fome kinds of propofitions which he overlooks; yet in what concerns the theory of categorical fyllogifms, he is more full, more minute and particular, than any of them : fo that they feem to have thought this capital part of the Organon rather redundant than deficient.

It is true, that Galen added a fourth figure to the three mentioned by Ariftotle. But there is reafon to think that Ariftotle omitted the fourth figure, not through ignorance or inattention, but of defign, as containing only fome indirect modes, which when properly exprefled, fall into the firlt figure.

It is true alfo, that Peter Ramus, a profeffed enemy of Arifotle, introduced fome new modes that are adapted to fingular propofitions, either in his rules of converfion, or in the modes of fyllogifm. But the friends of Ariftotle have dhewn, that this improvement of Ramus is more fpecious than ufeful. Singular propofitions have the force of univerfal propofitions, and are fubject to the fame rules. The definition given by Ariftotle of an univerfal propofition applies to them; and therefore he might think, that there was no occafion to multiply the modes of fyllogifm upon their account.

Thele attempts, therefore, fhow rather inclination than power to difcover any material defect in Aritotle's theory.

The moft valuable addition made to the theory of categorical fyllogifms, feems to be the invention of thofe technical names given to the legitimate modes, by which they may be eafily remembered, and which have been comprifed in thefe barbarous verfes.

> Barbara, Cclarcnt, Darii, Ferio, dato primæ; Cefarc, Cameftris, Feftino, Baroco, fecundæ; Tertia grande fonans recitat Darapti, Felapton; Adjungens Difamis, Datifı, Bocardo, Fcrifon.

In thefe verfes, every legitimate mode belonging to the three figures has a name given to it, by which it may be diftinguifhed and remembered.And this name is fo contrived as to denote its nature : for the name has three vowels, which denote the kind of each of its propofitions.

Thus, a fyllogifm in Bocardo muft be made up of the propofitions denoted by the three vowels, O , $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{O}$; that is, its major and conclufion muft be particular negative propofitions, and its minor an univerfal affirmative; and being in the third figure, the middle term muft be the fubject of both premifes.

This is the myftery contained in the vowels of thofe barbarous words. But there are other myfteries contained in their confonants: for, by their means, a child may be taught to reduce any fyllogifin of the fecond or third figure to one of the firft. So that the four modes of the firft figure being directly proved to be conclufive, all the modes of the other two are proved at the fame time, by means of this operation of reduction.For the rules and manner of this reduction, and the different fpecies of it, called offenfive and per imspoffible, I refer to the logicians, that I may not difclofe all their mytteries.

The invention contained in thefe verfes is fo ingenious, and fo great an adminicle to the dextrous management of fyllogifms, that I think it very probable that Ariftotle had fome contrivance of this kind, which was kept as one of the fecret doctrines of his fchool, and handed down by tradition, until fome perfon brought it to light.This is offered only as a conjecture, leaving it to thofe who are better acquainted with the moft ancient commentators on the Analytics, either to refute or to confirm it.

Sect. 3. On Examples ufed to illuftrate this Theory.
We may obferve, that Ariftotle hardly ever gives examples of real fyllogifms to illuftrate his rules. In demonftrating the legitimate modes, he takes $A, B, C$, for the terms of the fyllogifm. Thus, the firft mode of the firft figure is demonftrated by him in this manner. "c' For,', fays he, " if A is attributed to every B, and B to every " $C$, it follows neceffarily, that $A$ may be attri" buted to every C." For difproving the illegitimate modes, he ufes the fame manner; with this difference, that he commonly for an example gives three real terms, fuch as, bonum, babitus, prudentia; of which three terms you are to make up a fyllogifm of the figure and mode in queftion, which will ap. pear to be inconclufive.

The commentators and fyftematical writers in logic, have fupplied this defect; and given us real examples of every legitimate mode in all the figures. We acknowledge this to be charitably done, in order to affift the conception in matters fo very abftract ; but whether it was prudently done for the honour of the art, may be doubt.
ed. I am afraid this was to uncover the nakednefs of the theory: it has undoubtedly contributed to bring it into contempt; for when one confiders the filly and uninftructive reafonings that lave been brought forth by this grand organ of fcience, he can hardly forbear crying out, Parturiunt montes, et nafcitur ridiculus mus.Many of the writers of logic are acute and ingenious, and much practifed in the fyllogittical art; and there mult be fome realon why the examples they have given of fyllogifms are fo lean.

We flall fpeak of the reafon afterwards; and fhall now give a fyllogifin in each figure as an example.

No work of God is bad;
The natural paffions and appetites of men are the work of God;

Therefore none of them is bad.
In this fyllogifm, the middle term, zoork of God, is the fubject of the major and the predicate of the minor; fo that the fyllogifin is of the firft figure. The mode is that called Celarent; the major and conclufion being both univerfal negatives, and the minor an univerfal affirmative. It agrees to the rules of the figure, as the major is univerfal, and the minor affirmative ; it is alfo agreeable to all the general rules; fo that it maintains its character in every trial. And to fhow of what ductile materials fyllogifms are made, we may, by converting fimply the major propofition, reduce it to a good fyllogifm of the fecond figure, and of the mode Ccfare, thus:

Whatever is bad is not the work of God ;
Alll the natural paffions and appetites of men are the work of God;

Thercfore they are not bad. Another example:

Every thing virtuous is praife-worthy ;
Some pleafures are not praife-worthy ;
Therefore fome pleafures are not virtuous.
Here the middle term praife-worthy being the predicate of both premifes, the fyllogifin is of the fecond figure; and feeing it is made up of the propofitions, A, O, O, the mode is Baroco. It will be found to agree both with the general and fpecial rules: and it may be reduced into a good fyllogifn of the firft figure upon converting the major by contrapofition, thus :

What is not praife-worthy is not virtuous;
Some pleafures are not praife-worthy;
Therefore fome pleafures are not virtuous.
That this fyllogifm is conclufive common fenfe pronounces, and all logicians muft allow; but it is fomewhat unpliable to rules, and requires a little ftraining to make it tally with them.

That it is of the firlt figure is beyond difpute ; but to what mode of that figure thall we refer it ? This is a queftion of fome difficulty. For, in the firft place, the premifes feem to be both negative, which contradicts the third general rule; and moreover, it is contrary to a fpecial rule of the firf figure, That the minor fhould be negative. Thele are the difficulties to be removed.

Some logicians think, that the two negative particles in the major are equivalent to an affirmative ; and that therefore the major propofition, What is not praife-zortioy, is not virtuous, is to be accounted an affirmative propofition. This, if granted, folves one difficulty; but the other remains.The moft ingenious folution, therefore, is this: Let the middle term be not praifo-wortby. Thus, making the negative particle a part of the middle term, the fyllogifin ftands thus :

Whatever is not praife-wortby is not virtuous;
Some pleafures are not praife-worthy;
Therefore fome pleafures are not virtuous. Yol. II.

By this analyfis, the major becomes an univerfal negative, the minor a particular affirmative, and the conclufion a particular negative, and fo we have a juft fyllogifm in Ferio.

We fee, by wis example, that the quality of propofitions is not fo invariable, but that, when occafion requires, an affirmative may be degraded into a negative, or a negative exalted to an affirmative.
Another example:
All Africans are black;
All Africans are men;
Thereforc fome men are black.
This is of the third figure, and of the mode Darapti; and it may be reduced to Darii in the firlt figure, by converting the minor.

All Africans are black;
Some men are Africans;
Therefore fome men are black.
By this time I apprehend the reader has got as many examples of fyllogifms as will ftay his appetite for that kind of entertaimment.

## Sect. 4. On the Demonfration of the Theory.

Ariftotle and all his followers have thought it neceffary, in order to bring this theory of categorical fyllogifms to a fcience, to demonftrate, both that the fourteen authorifed modes conclude juftly, and that none of the reft do. Let us now fee how this has been executed.

As to the legitinate modes, Ariftotle and thofe who follow him the moft clofely, demonftrate the four modes of the firft figure directly from an axiom called the Dicium de omni et nullo. The amount of the axiom is, That what is affirmed
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of a whole genus, may be affirmed of all the fpecies and individuals belonging to that genus ; and that what is denied of the whole genus, may be denied of its fpecies and individuals. The four modes of the firt figure are evidently included in this axiom. And as to the legitimate modes of the other Ggures, they are proved by reducing them to fome mode of the firlt. Nor is there any other principle affumed in thefe reductions but the axioms concerning the converfion of propofitions, and in fome cafes the axioms concerning the oppofition of propofitions.

As to the illegitimate modes, Ariftotle has taken the labour to try and condemn them one by one in all the three figures : but this is done in fuch a manner that it is very painful to follow him. To give a fpecimen. In order to prove, that thofe modes of the firft figure in which the major is particular, do not conclude, he proceeds thus: '/ If A " is or is not in fome B, and B in every C, no "conclufion follows. Take for the terms in the " affirmative cafe, good, babit, prudence, in the ne" gative, good, babit, ignorance." This laconic flyle, the ufe of fymbols not familiar, and, in place of giving an example, his leaving us to form one from three affigned terms, give fuch embarraffment to a reader, that he is like one reading a book of riddles.

Having afcertained the true and falfe modes of a figure, he fubjoins the particular rules of that figure, which feem to be deduced from the particular cafes before determined. The general rules come laft of all, as a general corollary from what goes before.

I know not whether it is from a diffidence of Ariftotle's demonftrations, or from an apprehenfion of their oblcurity, or from a defire of improving upon his method, that almoft all the writers in logic I have met with, have inverted his Q. 2 order, remains but to apply thefe general and feecial rules, and to reject every mode which contradicts them.

This method has a very fcientific appearance; and when we confider, that by a few rules once demonftrated, an hundred and feventy-cight falie modes are deftroyed at one blow, which Arifto. tle had the trouble to put to death one by one, it feems to be a great improvement. I have only one objection to the three axioms.

The three axioms are thefe: 1 . Things which agree with the fame third, agree with one another. 2. When one agrees with the third, and the other does not, they do not agree with one another. 3. When neither agrees with the third, you cannot thence conclude, either that they do, or do not agree with one another. If thefe axioms are applied to mathematical quantities, to which they feem to relate when taken literally, they have all the evidence that an axiom ought to have : but the logicians apply them in an analogical ferfe to things of another nature. In order, therefore, to judge whether they are truly axioms, we ought to frip them of their figurative drefs, and to fet them down in plain Englifh, as the logicians underftand them. They anount therefore to this. 1. If two things be affirmed of a third, or the third be affirmed of them ; or if one be affirmed of the third, and the third affirmed of the other; then they may be affirmed one of the other. 2. If one is affirmed of the third, or the third of it, and the other denied of the third, or the third of it, they may be denied of the othcr.
3. If both are denied of the third, or the third of them ; or if one is denied of the third, and the third denied of the other ; nothing can be inferred.

When the three axioms are thus put in plain Englifh, they feem not to have that degree of evidence which axioms ought to have ; and if there is any defect of evidence in the axioms, this defect will be communicated to the whole edifice raifed upon them.

It may even be fufpected that ann attempt by any method to demonftrate that a fyllogifm is conclufive, is an impropriety fomewhat like that of attempting to demonftrate an axion. In a juft fyllogifm, the connection between the premifes and the conclufion is not only real, but immediate; fo that no propofition can come between them to make their connection more apparent. The very intention of a fyllogifin is, to leave nothing to be fupplied that is neceffary to complete demonftration. Therefore a man of common underftanding who has a perfect comprelienfion of the premiles, finds himfelf under a neceflity of admitting the conclufion, fuppofing the premiles to be true; and the conclufion is connected with the premifes with all the force of intuitive evidence. In a word, an immediate conclufion is feen in the premifes, by the light of common fenfe; and where that is wanting, no kind of reafoning will fupply its place.

Sect. 5. On this Theory, confudered as an Engine of Science.

The flow progrefs of ufeful knowledge, during the many ages in which the fyllogiftic art was moft highly cultivated as the only guide to fcience, and its quick progrefs fince that art was difufed, fuggelt a prefumption againt it ; and this prefnmption
tion is ftrengthened by the puerility of the cxamples which have always been brought to ill utrate its rules.

The ancients feem to have had too high notions, both of the force of the reafoning power in man, and of the art of fyllogifin as its guide. Mere reafoning can carry us but a very little way in moft fubjects. By obfervation, and experiments properly conducted, ' the ftock of human knowledge may be enlarged without end; but the power of reafoning alonc, applied with vigour through a long life, would only carry a man round, like a horfe in a mill who labours hard but makes no progrefs. There is indeed an exception to this obfervation in the mathematical feiences. The redations of quantity are fo various and fo fufceptible of exact meufuration, that long trains of accurate reafoning on that fubject may be formed, and conclufions drawn very remote from the firft principles. It is in this fcience and thofe which depend upon it, that the power of reafoning triumphs; in other matters its trophies are inconfiderable. If any man doubt this, let him produce, in any fiubject unconnected with mathematics, a train of reafoning of fome length, leading to a conclufion, which without this train of reafoning would never have been brought within human fight. Every man acqnainted with mathematics can produce thoufands of fuch trains of reafoning. I do not fay, that none fuch can be produced in other fciences'; but I believe they are few, and not eafily found; and that if they are found, it will not be in fubjects that can be expreffed by categorical propofitions, to which alone the theory of figure and mode extends.

In matters to which that theory extends, a man of good fenfe, who can diftinguifh things that differ, can avoid the fnares of ambiguous words, and is moderately practiled in fuch maters, fees
at once all that can be inferred from the premifes; or finds, that there is but a very fhort ftep to the conclufion.

When the power of reafoning is fo feeble by nature, efpecially in fubjects to which this theory can be applied, it would be unreafonable to expect great effects from it. And hence we fee the reafon why the examples brought to illuftrate it by the molt ingenious logicians, have rather tended to bring it into contempt.

If it thould be thought, that the fyllogiftic art may be an ufeful engine in mathematics, in which pure reafoning has ample fcope: Firft, It may be obferved, That facts are unfavourable to this opinion : for it does not appear, that Euclid, or Apollonius, or Archimedes, or Hugens, or Newton, ever made the leaft ufe of this art ; and I am even of opinion, that no ufe can be made of it in mathematics. I would not wifh to advance this raflly, fince Ariftotle has faid, that mathematicians reafon for the moft part in the firf figure. What led him to think fo was, that the figure only yields conclufions that are univerfal and affirmative, and the conclufions of mathematics are commonly of that kind. But it is to be obferved, that the propofitions of mathematics are not categorical propofitions, confifting of one fubject and one predicate. They exprefs fome relation which one quantity bears to another, and on that account mult have three terms. The quantities compared make two, and the relation between them is a third. Now to fuch propofitions we can neither apply the rules concerning the converfion of propofitions, nor can they enter into a fyllogifm of any of the figures or modes. We oblerved before, that this converfion, $A$ is greater than $B$, therefore $B$ is lefs than $A$, does not fall within the rules of converfion given by Arir ftotle or the logicians; and we now add, that
this fimple reafoning, $A$ is equal to $B$, and to $C$; therefore $A$ is equal to $C$, cannot be brought into any fyllogifm in figure and mode. There are indeed fyllogifms into which mathematical propofitions may enter, and of fuch we fhail afterwards fpeak: but they have nothing to do with the fyftem of figure and mode.

When we go without the circle of the mathematical fciences, I know nothing in which there feems to be fo much demonftration as in that part of logic which treats of the figures and modes of fyllogifin; but the few remarks we have made, fhew, that it has fome weak places: and befides, this fyitem cannot be ufed as an engine to rear itfelf.

The compafs of the fyllogiftic fyftem as an engine of fcience, may be difcerned by a compendious and general view of the conclufion drawn, and the argument ufed to prove it, in each of the three figures.

In the firlt figure, the conclufion affirms or denies fomething of a certain fpecies or individual; and the argument to prove this conclunion is, That the fame thing may be affirmed or denied of the whole genus to which that fpecies or individual belongs.

In the fecond figure, the conclufion is, That fome fpecies or individual does not belong to fuch a genus; and the argument is, That fome attribute common to the whole genus does not belong to that fpecies or individual.

In the third figure, the conclufion is, That fuch an attribute belongs to part of a genus; and the argument is, That the attribute in queftion belongs to a feecies or individual which is part of that gẹnus.

I apprchend, that, in this flort view, every conclufion that falls within the compafs of the three figures, as well as the mean of proof, is comprehended.
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prehended. The rules of all the figures might be eafily deduced from it; and it appears, that there is only one principle of reafoning in all the three; fo that it is not ftrange, that a fyllogifm of one figure fhould be reduced to one of another figure.

The general principle in which the whole terminates, and of which every categorical fyllogifm is only a particular application, is this, That what is affirmed or denied of the whole genus, may be affirmed or denied of every fpecies and individual belonging to it. This is a principle of undoubted certainty indeed, but of no great depth. Ariftotle and all the logicians affume it as an axiom or firft principle, from which the fyllogiftic fyftem, as it were, takes its departure : and after a tedious voyage, and great expence of demonfration, it lands at laft in this principle as its ultimate conclufion. O curas bominum! O quantum oft in rebus inane!

## Sect. 6. On Modal Syllogifms.

Categorical propofitions, befides their quantity and quality, have another affection, by which they are divided into pure and modal. In a pure propofition, the predicate is barely affirmed or denied of the fubject ; but in a modal propofition, the affirmation or negation is modified, by being declared to be neceffary, or contingent, or polfible. Thefe are the four modes obferved by Arifotle, from which be denominates a propofition modal. His genuine alfciples maintain, that thefe are all the modes that can affect an affirmation or negation, and that the enumeration is complete. Others maintain, that this enumeration is incomplete; and that when an affirmation or negation is faid to be certain or uncertain, probable or improbable, this makes a modal propofition, no lefs
lefs than the four modes of Ariftotle. We fhall not enter into this difpute; but proceed to obferve, that the epithets of pure and modal are applied to fyllogifms as well as to propofitions. A pure fyllogifm is that in which both premifes are pure propofitions. A modal fyllogifm is that in which either of the premifes is a modal propofition.

The fyllogifms of which we have already faid fo much, are thofe only which are pure as well as categorical. But when we confider, that through all the figures and modes, a fyllogifm may have one premife modal of any of the four modes, while the other is pure, or it may have both premifes modal, and that they may be either of the fame mode or of different modes; what prodigious variety arifes from all thefe combinations? Now it is the bufinefs of a logician, to fhew how the conclufion is affected in all this variety of cafes. Ariftotle has done this in his Firft Analytics, with inmenfe labour ; and it will not be thought ftrange, that when he had employed only four chapters in difcuffing one hundred and ninety-two modes, true and falfe, of pure fyllogifms, he fhould employ fifteen upon modal fyliogifins.

J am very willing to excufe myfelf from entering upon this great branch of logic, by the judgement and example of thole who cannot be charged either with want of refpect to Ariftotle, or with a low efteem of the fyllogiftic art.

Keckerman, a famous Dantzican profeffor, who fpent his life in teaching and writing logic, in his huge folio fyftem of that fcience, publifhed ann. 1600, calls the doctrine of the modals the crux logicoruin. With regard to the fcholaltic doctors, among whom this was a proverb, De modalibus non guftabit ofinus, he thinks it very dubious, whether they tortured moft the modal fyllogifms, or were moft tortured by them. But thofe crabbed geniufes, fays he, made this doctrine fo very thorny,
that it is fitter to tear a man's wits in pieces than to give them folidity. He defires it to be oblerved, that the doctrine of the modals is adapted to the Greek language. The modal terms were frequently ufed by the Greeks in their difputations; and, on that account, are fo fully handled by Ariftotle : but in the Latin tongue you fhall hardly ever meet with them. Nor do I remember, in all my experience, fays he, to have obferved any man in danger of being foiled in a difpute, thro' his ignorance of the modals.

The author, however, out of refpect to Ariftotle, treats pretty fully of modal propofitions, fhewing how to diftinguifh their fubject and predicate, their quantity and quality. But the modal fyllogifms he pafles over altogether.

Ludovicus Vives, whom I mention, not as a deyotee of Ariftotle, but on account of his own judgement and learning, thinks that the doctrine of modals ought to be banifhed out of logic, and remitted to grammar; and that if the grammar of the Greeft tongue had been brought to a fyftem in the time of Ariftotle, that moft acute philofopher would have faved the great labour he has beftowed on this fubject.

Burgerfdick, after enumerating five claffes of modal fyllogifms, obferves, that they require many rules and cautions, which Ariftotle hath handled diligently ; but that as the ufe of them is not great and their rules difficult, he thinks it not worth while to enter into the difcuffion of them ; recommending to thofe who would underftand them, the moft learned paraphrafe of Joannes Monlorius upon the firft book of the Firft Analytics.
All the writers of logic for two hundred years back that have fallen into my hands, have paffed over the rules of modal fyllogifms with as little ceremony. So that this great branch of the doctrine of fyllogifm, fo diligently handled by Ariftotle, fell
fell into neglect, if not contempt, even while the doctrine of pure fyllogifins continued in the higheft efteem. Moved by thefe authorities, I fhall let this doctrine reft in peace, without giving the leaft difturbance to its afhes.

Sect. 7. On Syllogifms that do not belong to Figure and Mode.

Ariftotle gives fome obfervations upon imperfect fyllogifms: fuch as, the Enthimema, in which one of the premifes is rot expreffed but underftood: Induction, wherein we collect an univerfal from a fuli enumeration of part.culars: and Examples.. which are an imperfect induction. The logicians have copied Ariftotle upon thefe kinds of reafoning, without any confiderable improvement. But to compenfate the modal fyllogifms, which they have laid afide, they have given rules for feveral kinds of fyllogifm, of which Ariftotle takes no notice. Thefe may be reduced to two claffes.

The firf clafs comprehends the fyllogifms into which any exclufive, reftrictive, exceptive, or reduplicative propofition enters. Such propofitions are by fome called exponible, by others imporfoct. ly modal. The rules riven with regard to thefe are obvious, from a juft interpretation of the propofitions.

The fecond clafs is that of hypothetical fyllogifms, which take that denomination from having a hypothetical propofition for one or both premifes. Moft logicians give the name of bypothe. tical to all complex peopofitions which have more ternis than one fubject and one predicate. I ufe
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the word in this large fenfe; and mean by hypothetical fyllogifms, all thofe in which either of the premifes confifts of more terms than two. How many various kinds there may be of fuch fylogifms, has never been afcertained. The logicians have given names to fome; fuch as the copulative, the conditional by fome called hypothetical, and the disjunctive.

Such fyllogifms cannot be tried by the rules of figure and mode. Every kind would require rules peculiar to itfelf. Logicians have given rules for fome kinds ; but there are many that have not fo much as a name.

The Dilemma is confidered by moft logicians as a fpecies of the disjunctive fyllogifm. A remarkable property of this kind is, that it may fometimes be happily retorted : it is, it feems, like a hand-grenade, which by dextrous management may be thrown back, fo as to fpend it's force upon the affailant. We fhall conclude this tedious account of fyllogifms, with a dilemma mentioned by $A$. Gellius, and from him by many logicians, as infoluble in any other way.
"Euathlus, a rich young man, defirous of " learning the art of pleading, applied to Pro" tagoras, a celebrated fophif, to inftruct him, " promifing a great fum of money as his re" ward; one half of which was paid down; " the other half he bound himfelf to pay as "foon as he fhould plead a caufe before the " judges, and gain it. Protagoras found him a " very apt fcholar; but, after he had made "good progrefs, he was in no hafte to plead "caufes. The mafter, conceiving that he in" tended by this means to hhift off his fecond " payment, took, as he thought, a fure method " to get the better of his delay. He fucd Eu" athlus before the judges; and, having open-
"ed his caufe at the bar, he pleaded to this " purpofe. O moft foolifh young man, do you " not fee, that,' in any event, I muft gain my " point ? for if the judges give fentence for me, " you muft pay by their fentence; if againft "c me, the condition of our bargain is fulfilled, " and you have no plea left for your delay, af" ter having pleaded and gained a caufe. To " which Euathlus a fiwered. O moft wife maf" ter, I might have avoided the force of your "c argument, by not pleading my own caufe." But, giving up this advantage, do you not " fee, that whatever fentence the judges pafs, "I am fafe? If they give fentence for me, I " am acquitted by their fentence; if a jainft me, " the condition of our bargain is not fuifilled, " by my pleading a caufe, and lofing it. The "c judges, thinking the arguments unanfwera" ble on both ides, put off the caufe to a long " day."

CHAP.

## C H A P. V.

Account of the remaining books of the Organon.

## Sect. 1. Of the Laft Analytics.

IIN the firt Analytics, fyllogifus are confidered in refpect of their form; they are now to be confidered in refpect of their matter. The form lies in the neceffary connection between the premifes and the conclufion; and where fuch a connection is wanting, they are faid to be informal, or vicious in point of form.
But where there is no fault in the form, there may be in the matter ; that is, in the propofitions of which they are compofed, which may be true or falfe, probable or improbable.
When the premifes are certain, and the conclufion drawn from them in due form, this is demonftration, and produces fcience. Such fyllogifms are cailed apodictical; and are handled in the two books of the Laft Analytics. When the premifes are not certain, but probable only, fuch fyllogifms are called dialcctical; and of them he treats in the eight books of the Topicks. But there are fome fyllogifms which feem to be perfect both in matter and form, when they are not really $\mathrm{fo}_{\mathrm{o}}$ : as, a face may feem beautiful which is but
but painted. Thefe being apt to deceive, and produce a falfe opinion, are called fophiftical; and they are the fubject of the book concerning Sophifms.
To return to the Laft Analytics, which treat of demonftration and of fcience: We fhall not pretend to abridge thefe books; for Arillo. tle's writings do not admit of abridgement: no man in fewer words can fay what he fays; and he is not often guilty of repetition. We fhall only give fome of his capital conclufions, omitting his long reafonings and nice diftictions, of which his genius was wonderfully productive.

All demonftration muft be built upon principles already known; and thefe upon others of the fame kind; until we come at firft principles, which neither can be demonftrated, nor need be, being evident of themfelves.

We cannot demonftrate things in a circle, fupporting the conclufion by the premifes, and the premifes by the conclufion. Nor can there be an infinite number of middle terms between the firft principle and the conclufion.

In all demonftration, the firft principles, the conclufion, and all the intermediate propofitions, muft be neceffary, general, and eternal truths: for of things fortuitous, contingent, or mutable, or of individual things, there is no demonftration.

Some demonftrations prove only, that the thing is thus affected; others prove, why it is thus affected. The former may be drawn from a remote caufe, or from an effect : but the latter mutt be drawn from an immediate caufe; and are the moft perfect.

The firft figure is beft adapted to demonfration, becaufe it affords conclutions univerfally affirmative; and this figure is commonly uld by the mathematicians.

The demonftration of an affirmative propofition is preferable to that of a negative; the demonftration of an univerfal to that of a particular ; and direct demonftration to that ad abfurdum.

The principles are more certain than the conclufion.

There cannot be opinion and fcience of the fame thing at the fame time.

In the fecond book we are taught, that the queftions that may be put with regard to any thing, are four: 1. Whether the thing be thus affected. 2. Why it is thus affected. 3. Whether it exifts. 4. What it is.

The laft of thefe queftions Ariftotle, in good Greek, calls the What is it of a thing. The fchoolmen, in very barbarous Latin, called this, the quiddity of a thing. This quiddity, he proves by many arguments, cannot be demonftrated, but muft be fixed by a definition. This gives occafion to treat of definition, and how a right definition fhould be formed. As an example, he gives a definition of the number three, and defines it to be the firlt odd number.

In this book he treats alfo of the four kinds of caufes; efficient, material, formal, and final.

Another thing treated of in this book is, the manner in which we acquire firt principles, which are the foundation of all demonftration. Thefe are not innate, becaufe we may be for a great part of life ignorant of them; nor can they be deduced demonftratively from any antecedent knowledge, otherwife they would not be firt principles. Therefore he concludes, that firft principles are got by induction, from the informations of fenfe. The fenfes give us informations of individual things, and from thefe by induction we draw general conclufions: for it is a maxim with Ariftotle, That there is nothing in the underftanding which was not before in fome fenfe.
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The knowiedge of the firf principles, as it is not acquired by demonflration, ought not to be called fcience ; and therefore he calls it iitclligence.

## Sect. 2. Of the Topics.

The profeffed defign of the Topics is, to flew a method by which a man may be able to reafon with probability and confiftency upon every queftion that can occur.

Every queftion is either about the genus of the fubject, its fpecific difference, or fomething proper to "it, or fomething accidental.

To prove that this divifion is complete, Ariftotle reafons thus: Whatever is attributed to a fubject, it muft either be, that the fubject can be reciprocally attributed to it, or that it cannot. If the fibbject and attribute can' be reciprocated, the attribute either declares what the fubject is, and then it is a definition ; or it does not declare what the fubject is, and then it is a property. If the attribute cannot be reciprocated, it muit be fomething contained in the definition, or not. If it be contained in the definition of the fubject, it muft be the genus of the fubject, or its fpecific difference; for the definition confifts of thefe two. If it be not contained in the definition of the fubject, it muft be an accident.

The furniture proper to fit a man for arguing dialectically may be reduced to thefe four heads: 1. Probable propofitions of all forts, which may on occafion be affumed in an argument. 2. Diftinctions of words which are nearly of the fame fignification. 3. Diftinctions of things which are not fo far afunder but that they may be taken for one and the fame. 4. Similitudes.

The fecond and the five following books are taken up in enumerating the topics or heads of argument that may be ufed in queftions about the genus, the definition, the properties, and the accidents of a thing; and occafionally he introduces the topics for proving things to be the fame, or different; and the topics for proving one thing to be better or worfe than another.
In this enumeration of topics, Ariftotle has fhewn more the fertility of his genius, than the accuracy of method. The writers of logic feem to be of this opinion: for I know none of them that has followed him clofely upon this fubject. They have confidered the topics of argumentation as reducible to certain axioms. For inftance, when the queftion is about the genus of a thing, it mult be determined by fome axiom about genus and fpecies; when it is about a definition, it mult be determined by fome axiom relating to definition, and things defined: and fo of other queftions. They have therefore reduced the doctrine of the topics to certain axioms or canons, and difpofed thefe axioms in order under certain heads.

This method feems to be more commodious and elegant than that of Ariftotle. Yet it muft be acknowledged, that Ariftotle has furnifled the materials from which all the logicians have borrowed their doctrine of topics ; and even Cicero, Quintilian, and other rhetorical writers, have been much indebted to the topics of Ariftotle.
He was the firt, as far as I know, who made an attempt of this kind: and in this he acted up to the magnanimity of his own genius, and that of ancient philofophy. Every fubject of human thought had been reduced to ten categories; every thing that can be attributed to any fubject, to five predicables: he attempted to reduce all the forms of reafoning to fixed rules of figure and 112 mode, offenive and defenfive in every caufe, fo as to leave no roon to future generations to invent any thing new.

The laft book of the Topics is a code of the laws according to which a fyllogiftical difputation ought to be managed, both or the part of the affailant and defendant. From which it is evident, that this philofopher trained his uiffiples to contend, not for truth merely, but for vi?ory.

## Sect. 3. Of the book concerning Sppijms.

A fyllogifm which leads to a falfe conclufion, mult be vicious, either in matter or form : for from true principles nothing but truth can be juftly deduced. If the matter be faulty, that is, if either of the premifes be falfe, that premife mult be denied by the defendant. If the form be faulty, fome rule of fyllogifm is tranfgreffed; and it is the part of the deterdant to fhew, what general or fpecial rule it is that is tranfgreffed. So that, if he be an able logician, he will be impregnable in the defence of truth, and may refift all the attacks of the fophift. But as there are fyllogifms which may feem to be perfect both in matter and form, when they are not really fo, as a piece of money may feem to be good coin when it is adulterate ; fuch fallacious fyllogifms are confidered in this treatife, in order to make a
defendant more expert in the ufe of his defenfive weapons.

And here the author with his ufual magnanimity, attempts to bring all the fallacies that can enter into a fyllogilim under thirteen heads; of which fix lie in the diction or language, and feven not in the diction.

The fallacies in diction are, I. When an ambiguous word is taken at one time in one fenfe, and at another time in another. 2. When an ambiguous phrafe is taken in the fame manner. 3. and 4. are ambiguities in fyntax; when words are conjoined in fyntax that ought to be disjoined ; or disjoined when they ought to be conjoined. 5. Is an ambiguity in profody, accent, or pronunciation. 6. An ambiguity ariling from fome figure of fpeech.

When a fophifm of any of thefe kinds is tranflated into another language, or even rendered into unambiguous expreffions in the fame language, the fallacy is evident, and the fyllogifm appears to have four terms.

The feven fallacies which are faid not to be in the diction, but in the thing, have their proper names in Greek and in Latin, by which they are diftinguifhed. Without minding their names, we thall give a brief account of their nature.
r. The firft is, Taking an accidental conjunction of things for a natural or neceffary connection: as, when from an accident we infer a property; when from an example we infer a rule; when from a fingie act we infer a habit.
2. Taking that abfolutely which ought to be taken comparatively, or with a certain limitation. The conftruction of language often leadsinto this fallacy: for in all languages, it is common to ufe abfolute terms to fignify things that carry in them fome fecret comparifon; or to ufe unlimited terms, limited.
3. Taking that for the caufe of a thing which is only an occafion, or concomitant.
4. Begging the queftion. This is done, when the thing to be proved, or fomething equivalent, is affumed in the premifes.
5. Miftaking the queftion. When the conclufion of the fyllogifm is not the thing that ought to be proved, but fomething elfe that is miftaken for it.
6. When that which is not a confequence is miftaken for a confequence; as if, becaufe all Africans are black, it were taken for granted that all blacks are Africans.
7. The laft fallacy lies in propofitions that are complex, and imply two affirmations, whereof one may be true, and the other falfe; fo that whether you grant the propofition, or deny it you are entangled ; as when it is affirmed, that fuch a man has left off playing the fool. If it be granted, it implies, that he did play the fool formerly. If it be denied, it implies, or feems to imply, that he plays the fool ftill.

In this enumeration, we ought, in juftice to Ariftotle, to expect only the fallacies incident to categorical fyllogifms. And I do not find, that the logicians have made any additions to it when taken in this view ; although they have given fome other fallacies that are incident to fyllogifms of the lypothetical kind, particularly the fallacy of an inscomplete enumeration in disjunctive fyllogifms and dilemmas.

The different fpecies of fophifins above mentioned are not fo precifely defined by Ariftotle, or by fubfequent logicians, but that they allow of great latitude in the application; and it is often dubious under what particular fpecies a fophifti-
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cal fyllogifm ought to be claffed. We even find the fame example brought under one fecies by one author, and under another fpecies by another. Nay, what is more ftrange, Ariftotle himfelf employs a long chapter in proving by a particular induction, that all the feven may be brought under that which we have called miftaking the queftion, and which is commonly calied ignoratio clenchi. And indeed the proof of this is eafy, without that laborious detail which Ariftotle ufes for the purpole : for if you lop off from the conclufion of a fophitical fyllogifm all that is not fupported by the premifes, the conclufion, in that cafe, will always be found different from that which ought to have been proved; and fo it falls under the ignoratio clenchi.

It was probably Ariftotle's aim, to reduce all the poffible variety of fophifms, as he had attempted to do of jult fyllogifins, to certain definite fpecies: but he feems to be fenfible that he had fallen fhort in this laft attempt. When a genus is properly divided into its fpecies, the fpecies fhould not only, when taken together, exhault the whole genus; but every fpecies fhould have its own precinct fo accurately defined, that one fhall not encroach upon another. And when an individual can be faid to belong to two or three different fpecies, the divifion is imperfect ; yet this is the cafe of Ariftotle's divifion of the fophifms, by his own acknowledgement. It ought not therefore to be taken for a divifion ftrictly logical. It may rather be compared to the feveral fipecies or forms of action invented in law for the redrefs of wrongs. For every wrong there is a remedy in law by one action or another : but fometimes a man may take his choice among feveral different actions. So every fophiftical fyllogifm may, by a little art, be brought under one
or other of the fpecies mentioned by Ariftotle, and very often you may take your choice of two or three.

Befides the enumeration of the various kinds of fophifms, there are many other things in this treatife concerning the art of managing a fyllogiftical difpute with an antagonift. And indeed, if the paflion for this kind of litigation, which reigned for fo many ages, fhould ever again lift up its head, we may predict, that the Organon of Ariftotle will then become a fafhionable ftudy: for it contains fuch admírable materials and documents for this art, that it may be faid to have brought it to a fcience.

The conclufion of this treatife ought not to be overlooked: it manifefly relates, not to the pre. fent treatife only, but alfo to the whole analytics and $\mathrm{tc}_{p}$ ics of the author. I fhall therefore give the fubftance of it.
"Of thofe who may be called inventers, fome " have made important additions to things long "s before begun, and carried on through a courfe
*6 of ages; others have given a fmall beginning to " things which, in fucceeding times, will be brought
*s to greater perfection. The beginning of a thing, "s though fmall, is the chief part of it, and re"s quires the greateft degree of invention; for it * 6 is eafy to make additions to inventions once " begun. Now with regard to the dialectical art, ${ }^{6} 6$ there was not fomething done, and fomething "c remaining to be done. There was abfolutely "c nothing done: for thofe who profeffed the art "s of difputation, had only a fet of orations com" pofed, and of arguments, and of captious quef. ${ }^{6}$ tions, which might fuit many occafions. Thefe © their fcholars foon learned, and fitted to the "s occafion. This was not to teach you the art, cs but to furnifh you with the materials produc-

Sk. I

Sk. I.
" ed by the art: as if a man profeffing to teach " you the art of making fhoes, fhould bring you " a parcel of fhoes of various fizes and fhapes, " from which you may provide thofe who want. " This may have its ufe; but it is not to teach " the art of making fhoes. And indeed, with re" gard to rhetorical declamation, there are many " precepts handed down from ancient times; but " with regard to the conftruction of fyllogifms, " not one.
"We have therefore employed much time and " labour upon this fubject ; and if our fyltem ap"pear to you not to be in the number of thofe "things, which, being before carried a certain " length, were left to be perfected; we hope for " your favourable acceptance of what is done, and " your indulgence in what is left imperfect."

## C H A P. VI.

Reflections ont the Utility of Logic, and the Means of its improvement.

Sect. 1. Of the Utility of Logic.
M E N rarely leave one extreme without running into the contrary. It is no wonder, therefore, that the exceffive admiration of Ariftotle, which continued for fo many ages, fhould end in an undue contempt ; and that the high efteem of logic as the grand engine of fcience, lhould at laft make way for too unfavourable an opinion, which feems now prevalent, of its being unworthiy
thy of a place in a liberal education. Thofe who think according to the fathion, as the greateft part of men do, will be as prone to ga into this extrene, as their grandfathers, were to go into the contrary.

Laying afide prejudice, whether fafhionable or untafhionable, let us confider whether logic is, or may be made, fubfervient to any good purpofe. Its profeffed end is, to teach men to think, to judge, and to reafon, with precifion and accuracy. No man will fay that this is a matter of no importance; the only thing therefore that admits. of doubt, is, whether it can be taught.

To refolve this doubt, it may be obferved, that our rational faculty is the gift of God, given to men in very different meafure. Some have a large portion, fome a lefs ; and where there is a remarkable defect of the natural power, it cannot be fupplied by any culture. But this natural power, even where it is the ftrongeft, may lie dead for the want of the means of improvement : a favage may have been born with as good faculties as a Bacon or a Newton : but his talent was buried, being never put to ufe; while theirs was cultivated to the beft advantage.

It may likewife be obferved, that the chief mean of improving our rational power, is the vigorous exercife of it, in various ways and in different fubjects, by which the hatit is acquired of exercifing it properly. Without fuch exercife, and good fenfe over and above, a man who has ftudied logic "all his life, may after all be only a petulant wrangler, without true judgement. or fkill of reafoning in any fcience.

I take this to be Locke's meaning, when in his 'Thoughts on Education he fays, "If you would " have your fon to reafon well, let him read Chil" lingworth.". The fate of things is much altered fince Locke wrote.' Logic has been much improved,
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improved, chiefly by his writings; and yet much lefs ftrefs is laid upon it, and lefs time confumed in it. His counfel, therefore, was judicious and feafonable; to wit, That the improvement of our reafoning power is to be expected much more from an intimate acquaintance with thic authors who reafon the beft, than from fudying voluminous fyfems of logic. But if he had meant, that the ftudy of logic was of no ufe nor deferved any attention, he furely would not have taken the pains to have made fo confiderable an addition to it, by his Effay on the Human Underftanding, and by his Thoughts on the Conduct of the Underftanding. Nor would he have remitted his pupil to Chillingworth, the acuteft logician as well as the beft reafoner of his age; and one who, in innumerable places of his excellent book, without pedantry even in that pedantic age, makes the happieft application of the rules of logic, for unraveling the fophiftical reafoning of his antagonift.

Our reafoning power makes no appearance in infancy; but as we grow up, it unfolds itfelf by degrees, like the bud of a trec. When a child firft draws an inference, or perceives the force of an inference drawn by another, we may call this the birth of bis reafon: but it is yet like a new-born babe, weak and tender; it muft be cherifhed, carried in arms, and have food of ealy digeftion, till it gather ftrength.

I believe no man remembers the birth of his reafon: but it is probable that his decifions are at firf weak and wavering; and, compared with that fteady conviction which he acquires in ripe years, are like the dawn of the morning compared with noonday. We fee that the reafon of children yields to authority, as a reed to the wind; nay, that it clings to it, and leans upon it, as if confcious of its own weaknefs.

When reafon acquires fuch ftrength as to ftand on its own bottom, without the aid of authority
or even in oppofition to authority, this may be called its manly age. But in moft men, it hardly ever arrives at this period. Many, by their fituation in life, have not the opportunity of cultiva. ting their rational powers. Many, from the habit they have acquired of fubmitting their opinions to the authority of others, or from fome other principle which operates more powerfully than the love of truth, fuffer their judgement to be carried along to the end of their cays, either by the authority of a leader, or of a party, or of the multitude, or by their own patfions. Such perfons, however learned, however acute, may be faid to be all their days children in underftand. ing. They reafon, they difpute, and perhaps write; but it is not that they may find the truth ; but that they may defend opinions which bave defcended to them by inheritance, or into which they have fallen by accident, or been led by affection.

I agree with Mr. Locke, that there is no ftudy better fitted to exercife and ftrengthen the reafoning powers, than that of the mathematical fciences; for two reafons; firf, Becaufe there is no other branch of fcience which gives fuch fcope to long and accurate trains of reafoning; and, fecondly, Becaufe in mathematics there is no room for authorivy, nor for prejudice of any kind, which may give a falfe bias to the judgement.

When a youth of moderate parrs begins to fudy Euslid, every thing at firf is new to him. His apprethenfion is unfteady: his judgement is feeble; and refts partly upon the evidence of the thing, and partly upon the authwity of his teacher. But every time he goes over the definitions, the axioms, the elementary propofitions, more light breaks in upon him: the language becomes familiar, and winveys clear and fteady conceptions: the judgement is confirmed: he begins to lee what demonfration is; and it is impoffible to fee it with-
out being charmed with it. He perceives it to be a kind of evidence that has no need of authority to ftrengthen it. He finds himfelf cmancipated from that bondage ; and exults fo much in this new ftate of independence, that he fpurns at authority, and would have demonftration for every thing; until experience teaches him, that this is a kind of evidence that cannot be had in moft things ; and that in his moft important conce:ns, he muft reft contented with probability.
As he goes on in mathematics, the road of de. monftration becomes fmooth and eafy: he can walk in it firmly, and take wider fteps : and at laft he acquires the habit, not only of underftanding a demonftration, but of difcovering and demonftrating mathematical truths.
Thus, 2 man, without rules of logic, may acquire a habit of reafoning juftly in mathematics; and, 1 believe, he may, by like means, acquire a habit of reafoning juftly in mechanics, in jurifprodence, in politics, or in any other fcience. Good lenfe, good examples, and affiduous exercife, may bring a man to reafon juftly and acutely in his own profeffion, without rules.

But if any man think, that from this concelfion he may infer the inutility of logic, he betrays a great want of that art by this inference: for it is no better reafoning than this, That becaufe a man may go from Edinburgh to London by the way of Paris, therefore any other road is ufelefs.

There is perhaps no practical art which may not be acquired, in a very confiderable degree, by example and practice, without reducing it to rules. But practice, joined with rules, may carry a man on in his art farther and more quickly, than practice without rules. Every ingenious artift knows the utility of having his art neduced to rules, and by that means made a fcience. He is thereby
thereby enlightened in his practice, and works with more affurance. By rules, he fometimes corrects his errors, and often detects the errors of others : he finds them of great ufe to confirm his judgement, to juftify what is right, and to condemn what is wrong.

Is it of no ufe in reafoning to be we!! acquainted with the various powers of the human underftanding, by which we reafon? Is it of no ufe, to refolve the various kinds of reafoning into their fimple elements; and to difcover, as far as we are able, the rules by which thefe elements are combined in judging and in reafoning? Is it if no ufe, to matk the various fallacies in reafonting; by which even the moft ingenious men have been led into error? It muft furely betray great want of underfanding, to think thefe things wfelef's or unimportant. Thefe are the things which logicians have attempted ; and which they have executed; not indeed fo completely as to leave no room for improvement, but in fuch a manaer as to give very confiderable aid to our reafoning powers. That the principles laid down with regard to definition and divifion, with regard to the converfion and oppofition of propofitions and the general rules of reafoning, are not without ufe, is fufficiently apparent from the blunders committed by thofe who difdain any acquaintance with them.

Although the art of categorical fyllogifm is better fitted for fcholaftic litigation, than for real improvement in knowledge, it is a venerable piece of antiquity, and a great effort of human genius. We admire the pyramids of Egypt, and the walls of China, tho' ufelefs burdens upon the earth. We can bear the moft minute defcription of them, and travel hundreds of leasues to fee them. If any perfon fould with facrilegious hands deftroy or deface them, his menory woud be lad metimes errors of firm his to con-
we!! ac: human it of no ling into is far as elements g? Is it in reaous men y betray fe things gs which ley have to leave manner reafoning with reegard to tions and without ers comnce with
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in ablorrence. "The predicaments and: predicables, the rules of fyllogifn, and thestopics; have a like title to our 'veneration' as antiquities : they are uncommon efforts, not of haman power, but of human genius; and they make a remarkable?period in the progrefs of human reafon.

The prejudice again!t logic has probably been frengthened by its being taught too early in life. ${ }^{i}$ Boys are often taught logic ns they are taught their creed, when it is ${ }^{i}$ an exercife of memory only, without underftanding One ?may as well expect to underfand grammar before the can Speak, as to underftand logice before he: can reafon. It muft even be acknowledged, that commonly we are capable of reafoning in mathematics more early than in logic. The objects prefented to the mind in this fcience, are of a very abftract nature, and can be diftinctly conceived only when we are capable of attentive reflection upon the operations of our. own unders ftanding, and after we have been accuftomed to reafon. There may be an elementary logic; le. vel to the capacity of thofe who have been but little exercifed in reafoning; but the moft important parts of this fcience require a ripe underftand. ing, rapable of reflecting upon its own operations. Therefore to make logic the firft branch of fcience that is to be taught, is an old error : that ought to be corrected.

## Sect. 2. Of the Improvement of Logic.

In compofitions of human thought expreffed by fpeech or by writing, whatever is excellent and whatever is faulty, fall within the province, either of
of grammar, or of rhetoric, or of logic. Pro. priety of expreffion is the province of grammar ; grace, elegance, and force; in thought and inf expreffion, are the province of rhetoric ; juftnefs and accuracy of thought are the province of logic.

The faults in compofition, therefore, which fall under the cenfure; of logic, are obfcure and indiftinct conceptions, falfe judgement, inconclufive reafoning, and all: improprieties, in diltinctions, definitions; divifion; or method: To aid our rational powers, in avoiding thefe faults and in attaining the oppotite excellencies, is the end of logic; and whatever there is in it that has no tendency to promote this end, aught to be thrown out.

The rules of logic being of a very abftract nature, ought to be illuftrated by a variety of real and ftriking examples taken from the writings of good authors.: It is both inftructive and entertaining, to obferve the virtues of accurate compofition in writers of fame. We cannot fee them, without being drawn to the imitation of them, in a more powerful manner than we can be by dry rules. Nor are the faults of fuch writers, lefs inftructive or lefs powerful monitors. A wreck, left upon a fhoal or upon a rock, is not more ufeful to the failor, than the faults of good writers, when fet up to view, are to thofe who come after them. It was a happy thought in a late in. genious writer of Englifh grammar, to collect under the feveral rules, examples of bad Englihh found in the moft approved authors. It were to be wifhed that the rules of logic were illuftrated in the fame manner. By thefe means, a fyitem of logic would become a repofitory; wherein whatever is moft accurate in dividing, diftinguifh. ing, and defining, fhould be laid up and difpofed in order
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order for our imitation; and wherein the falfe fteps of eminent authors fhould be recorded for our admonition.
After men had laboured in the fearch of truth near two thoufand years by the help of fyllogifins, Lord Bacon propofed the method of induction, as a more effectual engine for that purpofe. His Novum Organum gave a new turn to the thoughts and labours of the inquifitive, more remarkable and more ufeful than that which the Organum of Ariftotle had given before; and may be confidered as a fecond grand æra in the progrefs of human reafon.
The art of fyllogifm produced numberlefs difputes; and numberlefs fects who fought againft each other with much animofity, without gaining or lofing ground, but did nothing confiderable for the benefit of human life. The art of induction, firlt delineated by Lord Bacon, produced numberlefs laboratories and obfervatories; in which Nature has been put to the queftion by thoufands of experiments, and forced to confefs many of her fecrets, that before were hid from mortals. And by thefe, arts have been improved, and human knowledge wonderfully increafed.
In reafoning by fyllogifm, from general principles we defcend to a conclufion virtually contained in them. The procefs of induction is mote arduous; being an afcent from particular premifes to a general conclufion. The evidence of fuch general conclufions is probable only, not demonftrative: but when the induction is fufficientlycopious, and carried on according to the rules of art, it forces conviction no lefs than demonftration itfelf does.

The greateft part of human knowledge refts upon evidence of this kind. Indeed we can haye no other for general truths which are contingent Vol. II. S in
in their nature, and depend upon the will and ordination of the maker of the world. He governs the world he has made, by general laws. The effects of thefe laws in particular phenomena, are open to our obfervation; and by oblerving a train of uniform effects with due caution, we may at laft decypher the law of nature by which they are regulated.

Lord Bacon has difplayed no lefs force of genius in reducing to rules this method of reafoning, than Ariftotle did in the method of fyllogifm. His Novum Organum ought therefore to be held as a moft important addition to the ancient logic.Thofe who underftand it, and enter into its fip rit, will be able to dittinguin the chaff from the wheat in philofophical difquifitions into the works of God: They will learn to hold in due contempt all hypothefes and theories, the creatures of human imagination; and to refpect nothing but facts fufficiently vouched, or conclufions drawn from them by a fair and chafte interpretation of nature.

Moft arts have been reduced to rules, after they had been brought to a confiderable degree of perfection by the natural fagacity of artifts; and the rules have been drawn from the beft examples of the art, that had been before exhibited: but the art of philofophical induction was delineated by Lord Bacon in a very ample manner, before the world had feen any tolerable example of it. This, altho it adds greatly to the merit of the author, mult have produced fome obfcurity in the work, and a defect of proper examples for illuftration. This defect may now be eafily fupplied, from thofe authors who, in their philofophical difquifitions, have the moft frictly purfued the path pointed out in the Novunn Organum. Among thefe Sir. Haac Newton apa
pears
pears to hold the firt rank; having, in the :hird book of his Principia and in his Optics, had the rules of the Novum Organum conftantly in his eye.
I think Lord Bacon was alfo the firf who endeavoured to reduce to a fyltem the prejudices or biafles of the mind, which are the caufes of falfe judgement, and which lie calls the idols of the baman underffanding. Some late writers of logic have very properly introduced this into their fyttem; but it delierves to be more copioully handled, and to be illuftrated by real examples.
It is of great confequence to accurate reafoning, to diftinguifh firt principles which are to be taken for granted, from propofitions which require proof. All the real knowledge of mankind may be divided into two parts : the firlt confifting of felf-evident propofitions; the fecond, of thofe which are deduced by juft reafoning from felf-evident propofitions. The line that divides thefe two parts ought to be marked as diftinctly as poffible; and the principles that are felf-evident reduced, as far as can be done, to general axioms. This has been done in mathematics from the beginning, and has tended greatly to the advancement of that fcience. It has lately been done in natural philofophy: and by this means that fcience has advanced more in an hundred and fifty years, than it had done before in two thoufand. Every fcience is an unformed fate until its firft principles are afcertained: after which, it advances regularly, and fecures the ground it has gained.
Altho fritt principles do not admit of direct proof, yet there muft be certain marks and characters, by which thofe that are truly fuch may be diftinguifhed from counterfeits. Thefe marks ought to be defrribed, and applied, to diltinguilh the genuine from the fpurious.

In the ancient philofophiy, there is a redundance, rather than a defect, of firt principles. Many things were affumed under that character without a juft title: 'That nature abhors a vacuum; That bodies do not gravitate in their proper place; That the heavenly bodies undergo no change; That they move in perfect circles, and with an equable motion. Such principles as thefe were affumed in the Peripatetic philofophy, without proof, as if they were felf-evident.
Des Cartes, fenfible of this weaknefs in the ancient philofophy, and defirous to guard againf it in his own fyitem, refolved to admit nothing until his affent was forced by irrefiftible evidence. The firft thing that he found to be certain and evident was, that he, thought, and reafoned, and doubted. He found himinelf under a neceffity of believing the exiftence of thofe mental operations of which he was confcious: and having thus found fure focting in this one principle of confcioufnefs, he refted fatisfied with it, hoping to be able to build the whole fabric of his knowledge upon it; like Archimedes, who wanted but one fixed point to move the whole earth. But the foundation was too narrow; and in his progrefs he unawares affimmes many things lefs evident than thofe which he attempts to prove. Although he was not able to fufpect the teftimony of confcioufnefs; yet he thought the teftimony of fenfe, of memory, and of every other faculiy, might be fupected, and ought not to be received until proof was brought that they are not fallacious. Therefore be applies thefe faculties, whofe chameter is yet in queftion, to prove, That there is ani infinitely perfect Being, who made him, and who made his fenfes, his memory, his reafon, and all his fac altics; That this Being is no deceiver, and therefore coald not give him faculties
ties that are fallacious; and that on this account they delerve credit.

It is frange, that this philofopher, whe Sound himfelf under a neceffity of yielding to the teftimony of confcioufnefs, did not find the tame neceflity of yielding to the teftimony of his fenfes, his memory, and his underftanding: and that while he was certain that he doubted, and reafoned, he was uncertain whether two and three made five, and whether he was dreaming or awake. It is more ftrange, that fo acute a reafoner fhould not perccive, that his whole train of reafoning to prove that his faculties were not fallacious, was mere fophiftry; for if his faculties were fallacious, they might deceive him in this train of reafoning; and fo the conclufion, That they were not fallacious, was the only tettimony of his faculties in their own favour, and might be a fallacy.

It is difficult to give any reafon for diftrufting our other faculties, that will not reach confcioufnefs itfelf, And he who diftrufts the faculties of judging and reafoning which God hath given him, muft even reft in his fcepticifm, till he come to a found mind, or until God give him new faculties to fit in judgement upon the old. If it be not a firft principle, That our faculties are not fallecious, we mult be abfolute fceptics: for this principle is incapable of proof; and if it is not certain, nothing elfe can be certain.

Since the time of Des Cartes, it las been faffionable with thofe who dealt in abfract philofophy, to employ their invention in finding philofophical arguments, either to prove thofe truths which ought to be received as firt principles, or to overturn them: and it is not eafy to fay, whether the authority of firft principles is more hurt by the firlt of thefe attempts, or by the laft: for fuch principles can ftand fecure only upon their own
bottom; and to place them upon any other foundation than that of their intrinfic evidence, is in effect to overturn them.

I have lately met with a very fenfible and judicious treatife, wrote by Father Buffier about fifty years ago, concerning firft principles and the fource of human judgements, which, with great propriety, he prefixed to his treatife of logic. And indeed I apprehend it is a fubject of fuch confequence, that if inquifitive men can be brought to the fanie unanimity in the firft principles of the other fciences, as in thofe of mathematics and natural philofophy, (and why fhould we defpair of a general agreement in things that are felf-evident ?), this might be confidered as a third grand æra in the progrefs of human reafon,
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## Principlcs and Progrefs of Morality.

THE principles of moratity are little under. ftood among favages : and if they arrive at maturity among enlightened nations, it is by flow degrees. This progrefs points out the hiforical part, as firft in order: but as that hiftory would give litthe fatisfaction, without a rule for comparing the morals of different ages, and of different nations, 1 begin with the principles of morality, fuch as ought to govern at all times, and in all nations. The prefent fketch accordingly is divided into two parts. In the firft, the principles are unfolded; and the fecond is altogether hiftorical.
S E C T. I.

## Human Actions analyfed.

THE hand of God is no where more vifible, than in the nice adjuftment of our internal frame to our fituation in this world. An animal is endued with a power of felf-motion; and in performing animal functions, requires no external aid. This in particular is the cafe of man, the nobleft of terreftrial beings. His heart beats, his blood circulaies, his ftomach digefts, evacuations proceed, \&xc. \&xc. By what means? Not furely by the laws of mechanifm, which are far from being adequate to fuch operations. They are effects of an internal power, beftowed on man for preferving life. The power is exerted uniformly, and without interruption, independent of will, and without confcioufnefs.

Man is a being fufceptible of pleafure and pain : thefe generate defire to attain what is agreeable, and to thun what is difagreeable; and he is enabled
enabled by other powers to gratify his defires. One power, termed inftinct, is exerted indeed with confcioufnefs; but without will, and blindly with. out intention to produce any effect. Brute animals act for the moft part by initinct : hunger prompts them to eat, and cold to take fhel. ter ; knowingly indeed, but without exerting any act of will, and without forefight of what will happen. Infants of the human fpecies, little fuperior to brutes, are, like brutes, governed by infinct : they lay hold of the nipple, without knowing that fucking will fatisfy their hunger ; and they weep when pained, without any view of relicf. Another power is governed by intention and will: in the progrels from infancy to niturity, the mind opens to objects without end of defire and of averfion; the attaining or flunning of which depend more or lefs on our own will;: we are placed in a wide world, left to our own conduct; and we are by nature provided w:th a proper power for performing what we intend and will. The actions performed by means of this power are termed ioluntary. Some effects require a train of actions; walking, reading, finging. Where tb fe actions are uniform, as in walking, or nearly fo, as in playing on a mufical inftrument, an act of will is only neceffary at the commencement : the train proceeds by habit without any new act of will. The body is antecedently adjufted to the uniform progrefs; and is difturbed if any thing unexpected happen: in walking for example, a man feels a fhock if he happen to tread on ground higher or lower than his body was prepared for. The power thus acquired by habit of acting without will, is an illuftrious branch of our nature; for upon it depend all the arts, both the fine and the ufeful. To play on the violin, requires wonderful fwiftnefs
nefs of fingers, every motion of which in a learner is preceded by an act of will: and yet by habit folely, an artift moves his fingers with no lefs accuracy than celerity. Let the moft handy perfon try for the firft time to knit a ftocking: every motion of the needle demands the ftricteft attention; and yet a girl of nine or ten will move the needle fo fwiftly as almoft to efcape the eye, without once looking on her work. If every motion in the arts required a new act of will, they would remain in infancy for ever; and what would man be in that cafe? In the foregoing inftances, we are confcious of the external operation without being confcious of a caufe. But there are various internal operations of which we have no confcioufnefs; and yet that they have exifted is made known by their effects. Often have I gone to bed with a confufed notion of what 1 was ftudying; and have wakened in the morning completely mafter of the fubject. I have heard a new tune of which I carried away but an imperfect conception. A week or perhaps a fortnight after, the tune has occurred to me in serfection; recollecting with difficulty where I heard it. Such things have happened to me frequently, and probably allo to others. My mind muft have been active in thefe inftances, tho ${ }^{\circ}$ I knew nothing of it.

There ftill remain another fpecies of actions, termed involuntary; as where we act by fome irrefiftible motive againft our will. An action may be voluntary, though done with reluctance; as where a man, to free himfelf from torture, reveals the fecrets of his friend: his confeffion is voluntary, tho' drawn from him with great reluctance. But let us fuppofe, that after the firmert refolution to reveal nothing, his mind is unhinged by exquifite torture: the difcovery
he makes is in the ftricteft fenfe involuntary: he fpeaks indeed : but he is compelled to it abfolutely :igainft his will.

Man is by his nature an accountable being, anfwerable for his conduct to God and man. In doing any action that wears a double face, he is prompted by his nature to explain the fame to his relations, his friends, his acquaintance; and above all, to thofe who have authority over him. He hopes for praife for every right action, and dreads blame for every one that is wrong.: But for what fort of actions does he hold himfelf accountable? Not furely for an inftinctive action, which is done blindly, without intention and without will: neither for an involuntary action, becaufe it is extorted from him againft his will : and leaft of all, for actions done without confcioufnefs. What only remain are voluntary actions, which are done wittingly and willingly : for thefe we mult account, if at all accountable; and for thefe every man in confcience holds himfelf bound to account.

More particularly upon voluntary actions. To intend and to will, though commonly held fynonymous, fignify different acts of the mind. Intention refpects the effect: Will refpects the action that is exerted for producing the effect.It is my intention, for example, to relieve my triend from diftrefs; upon feeing him, it is my Will to give him a fum for his relief: the external act of giving follows; and my friend is relieved, which is the effect intended. But thefe internal acts are always united: I cannot will the means, without intending the efiect; and I cannot intend the effect, without willing the means *.
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Some effects of voluntary attion follow neceffarily: A wound is an effect that neceffarily follows the ftabbing a perfon with a dagger: death is a neceffary effect of throwing one dawic from the battlements of a high tower. Some effects are probable only: I labour in order to provide for my family; fight for my country to refcue it from oppreffors; take phyfic for my health. In fuch cafes, the event intended does not neceffarily nor always follow.

A man, when he wills to att, muft intend the neceffary effect: a perfon who ftabs, certainly intends to wound. But where the effect is probable only, one may act without intending the effect that follows: a ftone thrown by me at random into the market place, may happen to wound a man without my intending it. One acts by inftinct, without either will or intention : voluntary actions that neceffarily produce their effect, imply intention : voluntary actions, when the effect is probable only, are fometimes intended, fometimes not.

Human actions are diftinguifhed from each other by certain qualitics, termed right and wrong. But as thefe make the corner-ftone of morality, they are referved to the following fection.

SECT.

## S E C T. II.

> Divifion of Human Actions into Right, Wrong, and Indifirent.

THE qualitics of right and wrong in voluntary actions, are univerfally acknowledged as the foundation of morality ; and yet philofophers have been ftrangely perplexed about them. The hifto. ry of their various opinions, would fignify little but to darken the fubject : the reader will have more fatisfaction in feeing thefe qualities explained, without entering at all into controverfy.

No perfon is ignorant of primary and fecondary qualities, a diftinction much infifted on by philofophers. Primary qualities, fuch as figure, cohefion, weight, are permanent qualities, that exift in a fubject whether perceived or not. Secondary qualities, fuch as colour, tafte, fmell depend on the percipient as much as on the fubject, being nothing when not perceived. Beauty and uglinefs are qualities of the latter fort : they have no exiftence but when perceived; and, like all other fecondary qualities, they are perceived intuitively; having no dependence on reafon nor judgement, more than colour has, or fmell, or tafte (a).

The qualities of right and wrong in voluntary actions, are fecondary, like beauty and uglinefs and

[^62]and the other fecondary qualities mentioned. Like them, they are objects of intuitive perception, and depend not in any degree on reafon. No argument is requifite to prove, that to refcue an innocent babe from the jaws of a wolf, to feed the hungry, to clothe the naked, are right actions: they are perceived to be fo intuitively. As little is an argument requifite to prove that murder, deceit, perjury, are wrong actions: they are perceived to be fo intuitively. The Deity has beflowed on man, different faculties for different purpofes. Truth and falfehood are inveltigated by the reafoning faculty. Beauty and uglinefs are objects of a fenfe, known by the name of tafte. Right and wrong are objects of a fenfe termed the moral fenfe or confcience. And fuppofing thefe qualities to be hid from our perception, in vain would we try to difcover them by any argument or procefs of reafoning : the attempt would be abfurd; no lefs fo than an attempt to difcover by reafoning colour, or tafte, or fmell *.

Right and wrong, as mentioned above, are qualities of voluntary actions, and of no other kind. An inftinctive action may be agreeable, may be difagreeable; but it cannot properly be denominated either right or wrong. An involuntary act is hurtful to the agent, and difagreeable to the fpectator' but it is neither right nor wrong. Thefe qualities alfo depend in no degree on the event. Thus, if to fave my friend from drowning I plunge into

[^63]into a river, the action is right, though I happen to come to late. And if I aim a ftroke at a man behind his back, the action is wrong, though I happen not to touch him.

The qualities of right and of agrecable, are infeparable; and fo are the qualities of wrong and of difagreeable. A right action is agreeable, not only in the direct perception, but equally fo in every fubfequent recollection. And in both circumftances equally, $\quad \mathrm{ng}$ action is difagreeable.

Right actions at lifhed by the moral fenfe into two kind uglyt to be done, and what may be done, or kil undone. Wrong actions admit not that diftinction : they are all prohibited to be donc. To fay that an action ought to be done, means that we are tied or obliged to perform ; and to fay that an action ought not to be done, means that we are reftrained from doing it. Though the necellity implied in the being tied or obliged, is not phyfical, but only what is commonly termed moral; yet we. conccive ourfelves deprived of liberty or freedom, and necelfarily bound to act or to forbear acting in oppofition to every other motive. The neceffity here defcribed is termed duty. The moral neceffity we are under to forbear harming the innocent, is a proper example; the moral fenfe declares the refraint to be our duty, which no motive whatever will excufe us for tranfyrefling.

The duty of performing or forbearing any action, implies a right in fome perfon to exact performance of that duty ; and accordingly a duty or obligation neceffarily infers a correfponding right. My promife to pay L. 100 to John, confers a right on him to demand performance. The man who commits an injury, violates the right of the perfon injured; which entitles that perfon to demand reparation of the wrong.
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Duty is twofold ; dut) to cthers, and duty to ourfelves. With refpect to the former, the doing what we ought to do, is termed $j u / f$ : the doing what we ought not to do, and the omitting what we ought to do, are termed unjuft. With refpect to ourfelves, the doing what we ought to do, is termed proper: the doing what we ought not to do, and the omitting what we ought to d , wre termed improper. Thus, right, fignifying 3. Mutaty of certain actions, is a genus; of which jugt and proper are fpecies: wrong, fignifying a quality of other actions, is a genus; of which unjuft and improper are fpecies.

Right actions left to our free will, to be done or left undone, come next in order. They are, like the former, right when done; but they differ, in not being wrong when left undone. To remit a juft debt for the fake of a growing family, to yield a fubje $\&$ in controverfy rather than go to law with a neighbour, generoufly to return good for ill, are examples of this feccies. They are univerfally approved as right actions: but as no perfon has a right or title to oblige us to perform fuch actions, the leaving them undone is not a wrong : no perfon is injured by the forbearance. Actions that come under this clafs, fhall be termed arbitrary or difcretionary, for want of a more proper defignation.

So much for right actions, and their divifions. Wrong actions are of two kinds, criminal and culpable. What are done intentionally to produce mifchief, are criminal: rafh or unguarded actions that produce mifchief without intention, are culpable. The former are reftrained by punifhment, to be handled in the 5 th fection; the latter by reparation, to be handled in the 6th.

The divifions of voluntary actions are not yet exhaufted. Some there are that, properly fpeak-
Duty ing, cannot be denominated either right or wrong.

Actions




Actions done merely for amulement or paftime, without intention to produce good or ill, are of that kind ; leaping, for example, runining, jumping over a fick, throwing a fone to make circles in the water. Such actions are neither approved nor difapproved: they may be termed indifferent.

There is no caufe for doubting the exiftence of the moral fenfe, more thian for doubting the exiftence of the fenfe of beauty, of feeing, or of hearing. In fact, the perception of right and wrong as qualities of actions, is no lefs diftinct and clear, than that of beauty, of colour, or of any other quality; and as every perception is an act of fénfe, the fenfe of beauty is not with greater certainty evinced from the perception of beauty, than the moral fenfe is from the perception of right and wrong. We find this fenfe diftributed among individuals in different degrees of perfection: but there perhaps never exifted any one above the condition of an idiot, who poffeffed it not in fome degree; and were any man entirely deftitute of it, the teerms right and wrong would be to him no lefs unintelligible, than the term colour is to one born blind.

That every individual is endued with a fenfe of right and wrong, more or lefs diftinct, will probably be granted; but whether there be amorlg men what may be termed a common fen $\int_{6}$ of right and wrong, producing uniformity of opinion as to right and wrong, is not fo evident. There is no abfurdity in fuppofing the opinions of men about right and wrong; to be as various as about beauty and deformity. And that the fuppofition is not deftitute of foundation, we are led to fufpect, upon difcovering that in different countries, and even in the fame country at different times, the opinions publicly efpoufed with regard to fight atid wrong, are extremely various; that among fome nations
nations it was held lawful for a man to fell his children for flaves, and in their infancy to abandon them to wild beafts; that it was held equally lawful to punifh children, even capitally, for the crime of their parent ; that the murdering an enemy in cold blood, was once a common practice; that human facrifices, impious no lefs than immoral according to our notions, were of old univerfal; that even in later times, it has been held meritorious, to inflict cruel torments for the flighteft deviations from the religious creed of the plurality ; and that among the moft enlightened nations, there are at this day confiderable differences with refpect to the rules of morality.
Thefe facts tend not to difprove the reality of a common fenfe in morals : they only prove, that the moral fenfe has not been equally perfect at all times, nor in all countries. This branch of the hiftory of morality, is referved for the fecond part. To give fome interim fatisfaction, I fhall flortly obferve, that the favage fate is the infancy of man: during which, the more delicate fenfes lie dormant, leaving nations to the authority of cuftom, of imitation and of paffion, without any juft tafte of morals more than of the fine arts. But a nation, like an individual, ripens gradually, and acquires a refined tafte in morals as well as in the fine arts: after which we find great uniformity of opinion about the rules of right and wrong ; with few exceptions, but what may proceed from imbecillity, or corrupted education. There may be found, it is true, even in the moft enlightened ages, men who have fingular notions in morality, and in many other fubjects; which no more affords an argument againft a common fenfe or ftandard of right and wrong, than a montter doth againt the flandard that regulates our external form, or than an exception doth againft the truth of a general propofition.
VoL. II. That

That there is in mankind an uniformity of opinion with relpect to right and wrong, is a matter of fact of which the only infallible evidence is obfetivation and experience: and to that evidence I appeal; entering only a caveat, that, for the reafon above given, the enquiry be confined to enlightened nations. In the mean time; I take liberty to fuggeft an argument from analogy, That if there be great uniformity among the different tribes of men in feeing and hearing, in pleafure and pain, in judging of truth and error, the faine uniformity ought to be expected with refpect to right and wrong. Whatever minute differences there may be to diftinguifh one perfon from another, yet in the general principles that conftitute cur nature, internal and external, there is wonderful uniformity:

This uniformity of fentiment, which may be termed the common fenfe of mankind wuitb refpect to right and wrong, is effential to focial beings. Did the moral fentiinents of men differ as much as their faces, they would be unfit for fociety: difcord and controverfy would be endlefs, and major vis would be the only rule of right and wrong.

But fuch uniformi: fentiment, though general, is not altegether univerfal: men there are, tis above-mentioned, who differ from the common fenfe of mankind with refpect to various points of morality. What ought to be the conduct of fuch men? ought they to regulate their conduct by that fandard, or by their private conviction? There will be occafion afterward to oblerve, that we judge of others as we believe they jidge of themfelves; and that private conviction is the ftandard for rewards and punilhments (a).

But with refpect to every controverfy about property and pecuniary intereft, and in general, about every civil right and obligation, the common fenfe of mankind is to every individual the flandard, and not private conviction or fcience; for proof of which take what follows.
We have an innate fenfe of a common nature, not only in our own fpecies, but in every fécies of animals. And that our perception holds true in fact, is verified by experience; for there appears a remarkable uniformity in creatures of the fame kind, and a diffornity, no lefs renarkable, in creatures of different kinds. It is according: ly a fubject of wonder, to find an individual deviating from the cominon nature of the fecies, whether in its internal or external fructure : a child born with averfion to its mother's milk, is a wonder, no lefs than if born without a mouth, or with more than one.
Secondly, This fenfe dictates, thiat the common nature of man in particular, is invariable as well as univerfal; that it will be the fame hereafter as it is at prefent, and as it was in time paft ; the fame aniong all nations, and in all corners of the earth : nor are we deceived; becaufe, allowing for flight differences occafioned by culture and other accidental circumftances the fact correfponds to our perception.
Thirdly, We perceive that this common nature is right and perfect, and that it ougbt to be a mos del or flandard for every human being. Any remarkable deviation from it in the fruture of an individual, appears imperfect or irregular ; and raifes a painful emotion: a monftrous birth, exciting curiofity in a philofopher, fails not at the fame time to excite averfion in every feectator.
This. fenfe of perfection in the common nature of man, comprehends every branch of his nature, T 2
and particularly the common fenfe of right and wrong; which accordingly is perceived by all to be perfect, having authority over every individual as the ultimate and unerring fandard of morals, even in contradiction to private conviction. Thus, a law in our nature binds us to regulate our conduct by that ftandard : and its authority is univerfally acknowledged; as nothing is more ordinary in every difpute about meium et tuum, than an appeal to common fenfe as the ultimate and unerring ftandard.

At the fame time, as that flandard, through infirmity or prejudice, is not confpicuous to every individual ; many are miffed into erroneous opinions, by miftaking a falfe flandard for that of nature. And hence a diftinction between a righ: and a wrong fenfe in morals; a diftinction which every one underftands, but which, unlefs for the conviction of a moral ftandard, would have no meaning.

The final caufe of this branch of our nature is confpicuous. Were there no ftandard of right and wrong for determining endlefs controverfies about matters of intereft, the ftrong would have recourfe to force, the weak to cunning, and fociety would diffolve. Courts of law could afford no remedy; for without a ftandard of morals, their decifions would be arbitrary, and of no authority. Happy it is for men to be provided with fuch a flandard: it is neceffary in fociety that our actions be uniform with refpect to right and wrong; and in order to uniformity of action, it is neceffary that our perceptions of right and wrong be alfo uniform: to produce fuch uniformity, a ftandard of morals is indifpenfable. Nature has provided us with that fandard, which is daily applied by courts of law with fuccefs (a).

[^64] ctions be 5 ; and in ffary that alfo uniandard of ovided us by courts

In reviewing what is faid, it muft afiord great fatisfaction, to find morality eftablifhed upon the folid folndations of inturtive perception; which is a finglt mental act complete in tifelf, having no depertqence on any antecedent propofition. The moft "iccurate reaforing affords not equal con. viction'; for every fort of reafoning; as explained in the fketch' immediately foregoing, requires not only felf-evident truths or axioms to found upon; but employs, over and above various propofitions to "bring out its conclufions. By intuitive perception folely, without reafoning, we acquire knowledge of right and wrong; of what we may do, of what we ought to do, and of what we ought to abftain from : and confidering that we have thus greater certainty of moral laws than of any propofition difcoverable by reafoning, man may well be deemed a favourite of heaven, when he is fo admirably qualified for doing his duty. The moral fenfe or confcience is the voice of God within us; conftantly admonifhing us of our duty, and requiring from us no exercife of our faculties but attention merely. The celebrated Locke ventured what he thought a bold conjecture, That moral duties are fufceptible of demonitration: how agreeable to him would have been the difcovery, that they are founded upon intuitive perception, ftill more convincing and authoritative!

By one branch of the moral fenfe, we are taught what we ought to do, and what we ought not to do ; and by another branch, what we may do, or leave undone. But fociety would be imperfect, if the moral fenie ftopped here. There is a third branch that makes us accountable for our conduct to our fellow-creatures; and it will be made evident afterward in the third fketch, that we are accountable to our Maker, as well as to our fellow-creatures.

- It follows ffom the ftandard of right and wrong, that an action is right or wrong, independent of what the agent may think. Thus, when a man, excited by friendfhip or pity, refcues a heretic from the flames, the action is right, even tho he think it wrong, from a conviction that heretics ought to be burnt. But we apply a different ftandard to the agent: a man is approved and held to be innocent in doing what he himfelf thinks right : he is difapproved and held to be guilty in doing what he himfelf thinks wrong. Thus, to affaffinate an atheift for the fake of religion, is a wrong action; and yet the enthufiaft who commits that wrong, may be innocent : and one is guilty, who againt confcience eats meat in Lent, though the action is not wrong. In fhort, an action is perceived to be right or wrong, independent of the actor's own opinion; but he is approved or difapproved, held to be in' nocent or guilty, according to his own opinion.


## S E C T. III.

Laws of Nature refpecting our Moral Conduct in
A standard being thus eftablifed for regulating our moral conduct in fociety, we proceed to inveftigate the laws that refuil from it. But firft we take under confideration, what other principles concur with the moral fenfe to qualify men for fociety.

When we reflect on the different branches of human knowledge, it might feem, that of all fubjects human nature fhould be the beft underftood; becaufe every man has daily opportunities to ftudy it, in his own paffions and in his own actions. But hu:-
man nature, an interefting fubject, is reildom left to the inveftigation of philofophy. Writers of a fweet difpofition and warm imagination, hold, that man is a benevolent being, and that every man ought to diregt his condyct for the good of all, without regarding himfelf but as one of the number (a). Thofe of a cold temperament and contracted mind, hold him to be an animal entirely felfifh; to evince which, examples are accumulated without end (b). Neither of thefe fyftems is that of nature. The felfifh fyftem is contradicted by the experience of all ages, affording the cleareft evidence, that men frequently ait for the fake of others, without regarding themfelves, and fometimes in dired oppofition to their own intereft *. And however much felfifhnefs may prevail in action; man cannot be an animal entirely felfif, when al! men confirire to put a high eftimation upon genero:fity, benevolence, and other focial virtues : even the moft felfifh are ditgufted with felfifinnefs in others, and endeavour to hide it in themfelves. The moft zealous patron of the felfif principle, will not venture to maintain, that it renders us altogether indifferent about our fellow-creatures. Laying afide felf-intereft with every connection of love and hatred, good fortune happening to any one gives pleafure to all, and bad fortune happusing to any one is painful to ail. On the other hant, the fyftem of univerial benevolence, is no lefs contradictory to experience ; frum which we learn, that men consmonly are difpofed to prefer their own intereit before that of others, efpecially where there is no ftrict
connection:

[^65]regulatceed to But firft princiify men all fuberftood; tudy it, But hu$\operatorname{man}$
connection : nor do we find that fuch bias is condemned by the moral fenfe. Man in fact is a complex being, compofed of principles, fome benevolent, fome felfifh : and thefe principles are fo jufly blended in his nature, as to fit him for acting a proper part in fociety. It would indeed be lofing time to prove, that without fome affiction for his fellow-creatures he would be ill qualified for fociety. And it will be made evident afterward (a), that univerfal benevolence would be more hurtful to fociety, than even abfolute felfifhnefs. *.

We are now prepared for inveftigating the laws that refult from the foregoing principles. The feveral duties we owe to others fhall be firft difcuffed, taking them in order according to the extent of their influence. And for the fake of perficicuity, I fhall firf prefent them in a general view, and then proceed to particulars. Of our duties to others, one there is fo extenfive, as to have for its object all the innocent part of mankind. It is the duty that prohibites us to hurt others: than which no law is more clearly dictated by the moral fenfe; nor is the tranfgreflion of any other law more deeply flamped with the character of wrong. A man may be hurt externally in his goods, in his perfon, in his relations, and in his reputation. Hence the laws, Do not fteal; Defraud not others ; Do not kill nor wound; Be not guilty of defamation. A man may be hurt internally, by an action that occafions to him diffrefs of mind, or by being impreffed with falfe

## (d) Seat. 4.
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falfe notions of men and things. Therefore confcience dictates, that we ought not to treat men difrefpectfully; that we ought not caufelefsly to alienate their affections from others; and, in general, that we ought to forbear whatever may tend to break their peace of mind, or tend to unqualify them for being good men and good citizens.

The duties mentioned are duties of reftraint. Our active duties regard particular perfons; fuch as our relations, our friends, our benefactors, our mafters, our fervants. It is our duty to honour and obey our parents; and to eftablifh our children in the world, with all advantages internal and external: we ought to be faithful to our friends, grateful to our benefactors, fubmiffive to our mafters, kind to our fervants; and to aid and comfort every one of thefe perfons when in diltrefs. To be obliged to do good to others beyond thefe bounds, muft depend on pofitive engagement; for, as will appear afterward, univerfal benevolence is not a duty.

This general fketch will prepare us for particulars. The duty of reftraint comes firf in view, that which bars us from harming the innocent; and to it correfponds a right in the innocent to be fafe from harm. This is the great law preparatory to fociety; becaufe without it, fociety could never have exifted. Here the moral fenfe is inflexible: it dictates, that we onght to fubmit to any diftrefs, even death itfelf, rather than procure our own fafety by laying violent hands upers an innocent perfon. And we are under the fame reftraint with refpect to the property of another; for robbery and theft are never upon any pretext indulged. It is indeed true, that in extreme hunger I may lawfully take food where it can be found ; and may freely lay hold of my neighbour's horfe, to carry me from an enemy who threatens death. But it is his duty as a fel-low-creature to affift me in diftrefs; and when there
there is no time for delay, 1 may lawfully ufe what he ought to offer were he prefent, and what 1 may prefume he would offer. For the fame reafon, if in a florm my fhip be driven among the anchor-ropes of another mip, I may lawfully cut the ropes in order to get free. But in every cafe of this kind, it would be a wrong in me to ufe my neighbour's property, without refolving to pay the value. If my neighbour be bound to aid me in diftrefs, confcience binds me to make up his lofs ".

The prohibition of hurting others internally, is perhaps not effential to the formation of focieties, becaufe the tranfgreflion of that law doth not much alarm plain people: but where manners and refined fentiments prevail, the mind is fufceptible of more grievous wounds than the body ; and therecut the sopss ind to get But. But in every
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fore, without that law, a polifhed fociety could have no long endurance.
By adultery, mifchief is done both external and internal: Each fex is fo conftituted, as to require frict fidelity and attachment in a mate. The breach of thefe dulies is the greateft external harm that can befal them : it harms them alfo internally, by breaking their peace of mind. It has indeed been urged, that no harm will enfue, if the adultery be kept fecret; and confequently, that there can be no crime where the fact is kept fecret. But fuch as reafon thus do not advert, that to declare fecret adultery to be lawful, is in effect to overturn every foundation of mutual truft and fidelity in the matrimonial flate. It is clear beyond all doubt, fays a reputable writer, that no man is permitted to violate his faith ; and that the man is unjuf and barbarous who deprives his wife of the only reward the has for adhering to the auffere duties of her fex. But an unfaithful wife is fill more criminal, by diffolving the whole ties of nature: in giying to her hufband children that are not his, fhe betrays both, and joins perfidy to infidelif: ty (a).
Veracity is commonly ranked among the active duties; but erroneoufly: for if a man be not bound to fpeak, he cannot be bound to fpeak truth. It is therefore only a reftraining duty, prohibiting us to deceive others, by affirming what is not true. Among the many correfiponding principles in the human mind that in conjunction tend to make fociety comfortable, a principle of veracity *, and a principle that leads us to rely on hu-

man

(a) Emile, liv, 5.
Fruth is always uppermoff, being the natural ifue of the mind it
requires no art for training, no inducement nor temptation, but only that
we yield to natural impulfe. Lying on the contrary, is doing violence
to our nature; and is never practifel, even by the wortt of men, with.
man teftimony, are two: without the latter, the former would be an ufelefs principle'; and without the former, the latter would lay us open to fraud and treachery. The moral fenfe accordingly dictates, that we oughit to adhere ftrictly to truth, without regard to confequences.

It mult not be inferred, that we are bound to explain our thoughts, when truth is demanded from us by unlawful means. Words uttered voluntazily, are naturally relied on, as expreffing the fpeaker's mind; and if his mind differ from his words, he tell's a lie, and is guilty of deceit. But words drawn from a man by torture, are no indication of his mind ; and he is not guilty of deceit in uttering, whatever words may be agreeable, however alien from his thoughts: if the author of the unlawful violence fuffer himfelf to be deceived, he ought to blame himfelf, not the feaker.

It need farce be mentioned, that the duty of veracity excludes not fable, nor any liberty of speech intended for amufement only.

Active duties, as hinted above, are all of them directed to particular perfons. And the firf 1 thall mention, is that between parent and child. The relation of parent and child, the ftrongeft that can exift between individuals, binds thele perfons to exert their utmoft powers in mutual good offices. Benevolence anong other blood-relations, is allo a duty; but not fo indifpenfable, being proportioned to the inferior degree of relation.

Gratitude is a duty directed to our benefactors. But though gratitude is ftrially a duty, the meafure of performance, and the kind, are left mont.
out fome temptation. Speaking truth is like ufing cur natural food which and with$s$ open to accordingy to 'truth,
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ly to our own choice. It is fcarce neceffary to add, that the active duties now mentioned, are acknowledged by all to be abfolutely inflexible, perhaps more fo than the reftraining duties: many find excules for doing harm; but no one hears with patience an excufe for deviating from truth, friendfhip, or gratitude.

Diftrefs, tho' it has a tendency to convert benevolence into a duty, is not fufficient without other concurring circumftances; for to relieve every perfon in diftrefs, is beyond the power of any human being. Our relations in diftrefs claim that duty from us, and even our neighbours : but diftant diftrefs, without a particular connection, farce roufes our fympathy, and never is an object of duty. Many other connections, too numerous for this thort effay, extend the duty of relieving others from diftrefs; and thefe make a large branch of equity. Tho' in various inftances benevolence is converted into a duty by diftrefs, it follows not, that the duty is always proportioned to the degree of diftrefs. Nature has more wifely provided for the fupport of virtue : a virtuous perfon in diftrefs commands our pity: a vicious perfon in diftrefs has much lefs influence; and if by vice he have brought on the diftrefs, indignation is raifed, not pity (a).

One great advantage of fociety, is the co-operation of many to accomplifh fome ufeful work, where a fingle hand would be infufficient. Arts, manufactures, and commerce, require many hands : but as hands cannot be fecured without a previous engagement, the performance of promifes and covenants is, upon that account, a capital duty in fociety. In their original occupations of hunting and fifhing, men living fcattered and difperfed, have feldom opportunity to aid and benefit each other ;
(a) Elements of Criticiin, vol. 1, p. IS7, edit. 5.
other; and in that firuation, covenants, being of little ufe, are little regárded : but hubandry, requiring the co-operation of many hands, draws men together for mutual alfiftance; and then covenants make a figure: arts and commerce make them more and more neceffary; and in a polifhed fociety great regard is paid to them.

But contracts and promifes are not confined to cominercial dealings: they ferve alfo to make bene. volence a duty; and are even extended to connect the living with the dead : a man would die with regret, if lie thought his friends were not bound by their promifes to fulfil his will after his death : and to quiet the minds of men with refpect to futurity, the moral fenfe makes the performing fuch promifes our duty. Thus, if I promife to my friend to erect a monument for him after his death, confcience binds me, even tho' no perfon alive be entitled to demand performance: every one perceives this to be my duty ; and I muft expect to fuffer reproach and blame, if I neglect my engagement.

To fulfil a rational promife or covenant, deliberately made, is a duty no lefs inflexible than thofe duties are which arife independent of confent. But as man is fallible, often mifled by ignorance, and liable to be deceived, his condition would be deplorable, did the noral fenfe compel him to fulfil every engagement, however imprudent or irrational. Here the moral fenfe gives way to human infirmity: it relieves from deceit, from impofition, from ignorance, from error; and binds a man by no engagement but what anfwers the end fairly intended. There is itill lefs doubt that it will relieve us from an engagement extorted by external violence, or by overbearing paffion. The dread of torture will force mof

## B. III.
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men to fubmit to any terms; and a man in ir sinent hazard of drowning, will volunta5. promife all he has in the world to fave him. The moral fenfe would be ill fuited to the imbecillity of our nature, did it bind men in confcience to fulfil engagements made in fuch circumftances.
The other branch of duties, thofe we owe to ourfelves, fhall be difcuffed in a few words.Propriety, a branch of the moral fenfe, regulates our conduct with refpect to ourfelves; as $\mathcal{F}$ ufice, another branch of the moral fenfe, regulates our conduct with refpect to others. Propriety dictates, that we ought to act up to the dignity of our nature, and to the flation allotted us by Providence: it dietates in particular, that temperance, prudence, modefty, and uniformity of conduct, are felf-duties. Thefe duties contribute to private happinefs, by preferving health, peace of mind, and felf-efteem; which are ineftimable bleffings : they contribute no lefs to happinefs in fociety, by gaining the love and efteem of others, and aid and fupport in time of need.
Upon reviewing the foregoing duties refpecting others, we find them more or lefs extenfive; but none fo extenfive as to have for their end the good of mankind in general. The mof 'extenfive duty is that of reftraint, prohibiting us to harm others: but even that duty has a limited end; for its purpofe is only to protect others from mifchief, not to do them any pofitive good. The active duties of doing poitive good are circumferibed within fill narrower bounds, requiring fome relation that connects us with others; fuch as thofe of parent, child, friend, benefactor. The fighter relations, unlefs in peculiar circumfances, are not the foundation of any active duty: neighbourhood,
for example, does not alone make benevolence a duty : but fuppofing a neighbour to be in diftrefs, relief becomes our duty, if it can be done without diftrefs' to ourfelves. The duty of relieving from diftrefs, feldom goes farther; for tho' we always fympathife with our relations, and with thofe under our eye, the diftreffes of the remote and unknown affect us very little. Pactions and agrecments become neceffary, if we would extend the duty of benevolence beyond the limits mentioned. Men, it is true, are capable of doing more good than is required of them as a duty; but every fuch good mult be a free-will offering.

And this leads to arbitrary or difcretionary actions, fuch as may be done or left undone; which make the fecond general head of moral actions. With refpect to thefe, the moral fenfe leaves us at freedom: a benevolent act is approved, but the omiffion is not condemned. Thís holds ftrictly in fingle acts; but in viewing the whole of a man's conduct, the moral fenfe appears to vary a little. As the nature of man is complex, partly focial, partly felfifh, we have an intuitive perception, that our conduct ought to be conformable to our nature ; and that in advancing our own intereft, we ought not altogether to neglect that of others. The man accordingly who confines his whole time and thoughts within his own little fphere, is condemned by all the world as guilty of wrong conduct ; and the man himfelf, if his moral perceptions be not blunted by felfifhnefs, muft be fenfible that he deferves to be condemned. On the other hand, it is poffible that free benevolence may be extended beyond proper bounds: where it prevails, it commonly leads to excefs, by prompting a man to facrifice a great intereft of his own to a fmall intereft of others; and the moral fenife dictates, that fuch conduct is wrong. The juit temperament,
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Thus, moral actions are divided into two claffes : the firft regards our duty, containing actions that ought to be done, and actions that ought not to be done ; the other regards arbitrary or difcretionary actions, containing actions that are right when done, but not wrong when left undone. Society is indeed promoted by the latter; but it can fcarce fubfift unlefs the forner be made our duty. Hence it is, that actions only of the firt clafs are made indifpenfable; thofe of the other clafs being left to our free-will:: And hence alfo it is, that the various propenfities that difpofe us to actions of the firft clafs, are diftinguifhed by the name of primary virtues; leaving the name of fecondary virtues to thofe propenfities which difpofe us to actions of the other clafs $\dagger$.
The deduction above given makes it evident that the general tendency of right actions is to promote the good of fociety, and of wrong actions, to obifruct that good. Univerfal benevolence is indeed not required of man ; becaufe to put it in practice, is beyond his utmoft abilities. But for promoting the general good, every thing is required of him that he can accomplifh; which will appear from reviewing the foregoing duties. The prohibition of harming others is an eafy tafk: and upon that account is made univerfal. Our active duties are very different : man is circumferibed both in capacity and power : he cannot do good but in a flow fucceffion; and therefore it is wifely ordered, that his obligation to do good fhould be confined to his relations, his friends, his benefactors. Even diltrefs makes not benevolence a general duty : all a man can readily do, is to Vol. II. U . .. relieve

[^67]ralieves thofe at hand; and accordingly we hear of diftant misfortunes with little or no concern.

But let not the moral fyitem be mifapprehended, as if it were our duty; or even lawful, to prolecute what upon the whole we reckon the moft beneficial to fociety, balancing ill with good. The moral fenfe permits not a violation of any perfon's right, however trivial, whatever benefit may thereby accrue to another. A man for example in low circumftances, by denying a debt he owes to a rich mifer, faves himfelf and a hopeful family from ruin. In that cafe, the good effect far outweighs the ill, or rather has no connterbalance: but the moral fenfe permits not the debtor to balance ill with good; nor gives countenance to an unjuft act, whatever benefit it may produce. And hence a maxim in which all moralifts agree, That we muft not do ill to bring about good; the final caufe of which fhall be given below (a).

## S E C T. IV.

## Principles of Duty and of Benevolence.

HAVING thus thortly delineated the moral laws of our nature, we proceed to an article of great importance, which is, to enquire into the means provided by our Maker for compelling obedience to thefe laws. The moral fenfe is an unerring guide ; but the moft expert guide will not profit thofe who are not difpoled to be led. This confideration makes it evident, that to complete the moral fyftem, man ought to be endued with fome principle or propenfity, fome impulive power,

[^68]
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The author of our nature leaves none of his works imperfect. In order to render us obfequious to the moral fenfe as our guide, he hath implanted in our nature the principles of duty, of benevolence, of rewards and punifhments, and of reparation. It may poffibly be thought, that rewards and punifhments, of which afterward, are fufficient of themfelves to enforce the laws of riature, without neceffity of any other principle. Human laws, it is true, are enforced by thefe means ; becaufe no higher fanction is under command of a terreftrial legiflator. But the celeftial legiflator, with power that knows no control, and bevolence that knows no bounds, hath enforced his laws by means no lefs remarkable for mildnefs than for efficacy: he employs no external compulfion ; but, in order to engage our will on the right fide, hath in the breaft of individuals eftablifhed the principles of duty and of benevolence, which efficacioully excite them to obey the dictates of the moral fenfe.
The reftraining and active duties being both of them effential to fociety, our Maker has wifely ordered, that the principle which enforces thefe duties, fhould be the moft cogent of all that belong to our nature. Other principles may folicit, allure, or terrify; but the principle of duty affumes authority, commands, and infifts to be obeyed, without giving ear to any oppofing motive.
As one great purpofe of fociety, is to furnifh opportunities of mutual aid and fupport; nature feconding that purpofe, hath provided the principle of benevolence, which excites us to be kindly, beneficent, and generous. Nor ought it to efcape obfervation, that the author of nature, attentive to our wants and to our well-being, hath endued us
with a liberal portion of that principle. It excites us to be kind, not only to thofe we are connected with, but to our neighbours, and even to thofe we are barely acquainted with. Providence is peculiarly attentive to objects in diftrefs, who require immediate aid and relief. To the principle of benevolence, it hath fuperadded the paflion of pity, which in every feeling heart is irrefiftible.To make benevolence more extenfive, would be fruitlefs; becaufe here are objects in plenty to fill the moft capacious mind. It would not be fruitlefs only, but hurtful to fociety : I fay hurtful ; becaufe frequent difappointments in attempting to gratify our benevolence, would render it a troublefome gueft, and make us cling rather to felfif. nefs, which we can always gratify. At the fame time, tho there is not room for a more extenfive lift of particular objects, yet the faculty we lave of uniting numberlefs individuals into one complex object; enlarges greatly the fphere of benevolence. By that faculty our country, our government, our religion, become objects of public fpirit, and of a lively affection. The individuals that compofe the group, confidered apart, may be too minute, or too diftant, for our benevolence : but when united into one whole, accumulation makes them great, greatnefs makes them confpicuous; and affection, preferved entire and undivided, is beftowed upon an abftract object, as upon one that is fingle and vifible; but with energy proportioned to its greater dignity and importance. Thus the principle of benevolence is not too fparingly fcattered among men. It is indeed made fubordinate to felf intereft, which is wifely ordered, as will afterward be made evident (a): but its power and extent are nicely proportioned to the limited capacity of man, and to his fitua-
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tion in this world; fo as better to fulfil its deftination, than if it were an overmatch for felfinteref, and for every other principle.
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## Lazus refpecting Rewards and Punißments.

REFLECTIN G on the moral branch of our nature qualifying us for foclety in a manner fuited to our capacity, we cannot overlobk the hand of our Maker ; for "means fo finely adjufted to an important end, never happen by chance. It muft however be acknowledged, that in, many individuals, the principle of duty has not vigour nor authority fufficient to ftem every tide of unruly paffion : by the vigilance of fome paffions, we are taken unguarded; deluded by the fly infinuations of others: or overwhelmed with the formy impetuofity of a third fort. Moral evil is thus.introduced, and much wrong is done. This new fcene fuggefts to us, that there mult be fome article ftill wanting to complete the moral fyftem; fome means for redreffing fuch wrongs, and for preventing the reiteration of them. To accomplifh thefe importent ends, there are added to the moral fyftem, faws relative to rewards and punifhments, and to reparation; of which in their order.

Many animals are qualified for fociety by inftinct merely ; fuch as beavers, fheep, monkeys,' bees, rooks. But men are feldom led by inftinct : their actions
actions are cominonly prompted by paffions; of which there is an endlefs variety, focial and felfinh, benevolent and malevolent. And were every paffion equally entitled to gratification, man would be utterly unqualified for fociety: he would be a thip without a rudder, obedient to every wind, and moving at random without any ultimate deftination. The faculty of reafon would make no oppofition; for were there no fenfe of wrong, it would be reafonable to gratify every defire that harms not ourfelves : and to talk of punihment would be abfurd ; for punifhment, in its very idea, implies fome wrong that ought to be redreffed. Hence the neceflity of the moral fenfe, to qualify us for fociety: by infructing us in our duty, it renders us accountable for our conduct, and makes us fufceptible of rewards and punifhments. The moral fenfe ,fulfils another valuable purpofe: it erects in man an unerring ftandard for the application and meafure of reywards and punifhments.

To complete the fyftem of rewards and punilhments, it is neceflary that a provifion be made, both of power and of willingnefs to reward and punifh. The author of our nature hath provided amply for the foriner, by entitling every man to reward and punifh as his native privilege. And he has provided for the latter, by a noted principle in our nature, prompting us to exercile the power. Impelied by that principle, we reward the virtuous with approbation and efteem, and punifh the vicious with difapprobation and contempt. And there is an additional motive for exercifing that principle, which is, that we have great fatisfaction in rewarding, and no lefs in punifhing.

As to punifhment in particular, an action done intentionally to produce mifchief, is criminal, and greeable, raifes my refentment; even where 1 have no connection with the perfon injured; and the principle mentioned impels me to chaitife the delinquent with indignation and hatred. An injury done to myfelf raifes my refentment to a bigher tone: I am not fatisfied with fo flight a puniBment as indignation and hatred : the autbor mult by my hand fufter mifchief, as great as he has made me fuffer. . ,

Even the moft fecret crime efcapes not punifh. ment. The delinquent is tortured with remorfe: he even defires to be punifhed, fometimes fo ardently as to punifh himielf *. There cannot be imagined a contrivance more effectual to deter one from vice, than remorfe, which itfelf is a grievous punifhment. Self-punifhment goes ftill farther: e-

very


#### Abstract

- Mr. John Kello, minifter of Spot in Eaft Lothian, had an extraordiniry talent for preaching, and was univerfally held a man of fingular piety, -His wife was handfome, chearful, tender-hearted, and in a word pofiefled all the qualities that can endear woman tw her hufband. A pious and rich widow in the neighbourhood tempted his avarice. She clung to him as ia spiritual guide ; and but for his little wife, he had no doubt of obtaining her in marriage. He turnod gradually peevih and difcontented. His change of behaviour made a deep impreffion on his wife, for the loved him dearly : alld yet the was anxious to conceal her treatment from the world, Her meeknefs, her fubmiffion, her patience, tended to increafe hisfullennefs. Upon a Sunday motining when on her knees the was offering up her devotions, he came foftly behind her, put a rope about her neck; and hung her up to the cieling. He boltet his gate, creeped out at a window, walked demurely to church, and charmed fis hearers with a moft pathetic fermon. After divine fervice, he invited two br three of his neighbours to pafs the evening, at his houre, telling them that his wife was indifpofed, and of late inclined to nielancholy; but that the would be glad to fee them. It furprifed them to finid the gate bolted anid none to anfwer: much more when, upon its being forced open, they found her in the pofture mentioned. The hufband feemed to be fruck dumb; and counterfeited forrow to much to the life', that his gueft forgetting the deceafed, were wholly interefted about the living. His feigned tears hovever became real: his foul was oppreffed with the weight of his guilt. Finding no relief from agonizing remorfe and from the image of his murdered wife conftantly haunting him, he about fix weeks after the horrid deed went to Edinburgh and delivered himielf up to juftice.He was condemned upon his own confeffion, and executed $4^{\text {th }}$ October 1570,


very criminal, fenfible that he ought to be punift. ed; dreads punifhment from others; aind this dread, however fmothered during profperity, breaks out in adverfity, or in depreflion of mind :whis crime fares him in the face, and every accidental misfortune is in his :difturbed imagination interpreted to be a punifment: "And they laid one ". to another, We are verily guilty concerning ": our brother, in that we faw the anguilh of his " foul, when he befought us; and we would nere "hear: therefore is' this diftrefs come uy uns. "And lieuben anfwered them, faying, "ipake "I not unto you, faying," Do" not fin againft "the child; and ye would not hear? therefore be" hold talfo his bloodsis required (a).". *... : .
(a) Gencfis, xlii, 21.

- John Duke of Britany, commonly termed the Good Duke, illuftrious for generofity, clemency, and piety, reigned forty-thrce years, wholly employed ghout the goodi of his fubjects. He was fucceeded by his eldert fon Francls, a prince weak and fufpicious, and confequently liable to be milled by favodrites. Arthur of Montauban, in love with the wife of Gilles, brother to the Duke, perfuaded the Duke that his brother was laying plots to dethrone him. Gilles being imprifened, the Duke's beft friends conjured him to pity his, unhappy brother, who might be imprudent, but affuredly was innocent ;-all in vain, Gilles heing profecuted before the three cflates of - the province.for high treafon, was unanimoully abfolved; which irritated , the Duke more and more. Arthur of Mentauban artfully fuggefed to his mafter to try poison; which having mifcarried, they next.refolved to farve the prifoner to death. The unfortunate prince, through the bars of a window, cried aloud for bread; but the paffengers durf not fupply him. One : poor woman: only had courage more than once to lip fome bread within the window. He charged a prieft, who had received his confeffion, to declare to the Duke, MThat feeing juftice was refured lim in this world, he "f appeated to Heaven ; and called upon the Duke to appear before the " judgement-feat of God in forty days.". The Duke and his favourite, amazed that the prince lived fo ions withust nourifhment, employ d affafing to fmother him with his bed-ciont' The prien, in lience to the orders he had received, prefented birmict, heio: che Duke, and with a loud voice cited him in name of the decteacd Lord Gilles to appear before God in forty days. Shame and remorfe verified the prediction. The Duke was feized with a fudden terror; and the image of his brother expiring by hisis orders, haunted him day and night. He decayed daily without any marks of a regular difcafe, and dicd within the forty days in frightful agony.

See this fubject further illuftrated in the Sketch Principles and Progrefs of Tbeoligy, chap. I.
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No tran ${ }^{\text {Ng }}$ reffion of felfduty efcapes punifhment, more than cranfyreffion of duty to others.' The punilhments, though not the faine; differ in degree more than in kind. Injuftice is punifhed with remorfe: impropriety with thame, which is reniorie in a lower degree. Injuftice raifes indignation in the beholder, and fo doth every flagrant impropriety : flighter improprieties receive a milder punifhment, being rebuked with fome degree of contempt, and commonly with derifion (a).

So far we have been led in a beaten track; but in attempting to proceed, we are entangled in mazes and intricacies. An action well intended may happen to produce no good; and an action ill intended may happen to produce no mifchief: a man overawed by fear, may be led to do mifchief againlt his will; and a perfon, miftaking the ftandard of right and wrong, may be innocently led to do acts of injuftice.By what rule, in fuch cafes, are rewards and punifhments to be applied ? Ought a man to be rewarded when he does no good, or punifhed when he does no mifchief; ought he to be punifhed for doing mifchief againft his will, or for doing mifchief when he thinks he is acting innocently? Thefe queftions fuggeft a doubt, whether the ftandard of right and wrong be applicable to rewards and punifhments.
.…We have feen that there is an invariable ftandard of right and wrong, which depends not in any degree on private opinion or conviction. By that ftandard, all pecuniary claims are judged, all claims of property, and in a word, every demand founded on intereft, not excepting reparation, as will afterward appear. But with refpect to the moral characters

[^69]characters of men, and with refpect to rewards and punifhments, a different ftandard is erected in inflexible; which is, the opinion that men have of their own actions. It is mentioned above, that a man is efteemed innocent in doing what he himfelf thinks right, and guilty in doing what he himfelf thinks wrong. In applying this ftandard to rewards and punifhments, we reward thofe who in doing wrong are however convinced that they are innocent; and punifh thofe who in doing right are however convinced that they are guilty.* Some, it is true, are fo perverted by improper education or by fuperftition, as to efpoufe numberlefs abfurd tenets, contradictory to the ftandard of tight and wrong; and yet fuch men are no exception from the general rule : if they act according to confcience, they are innocent, and fafe againit punifhment, however wrong the action may be; and if they act againft confcience, they are guilty and punilhable, however right the action may be: it is abhorrent to every moral perception, that a guilty perfon be rewarded, or an innocent perfon punifhed. Further, if mifchief be done contraiy to Will, as where a man is compelled by fear or by torture, to reveal the fecrets of his party; he may be grieved for yielding to the weaknefs of his nature, contrary to his firmeft refolves; but he has no check of confcience, and upon that account is not liable to punifhinent. And laftly, in order that perfonal merit and demerit may not in any meafure depend on chance, we are fo conflituted as to place innocence and guilt, not on the event, but on the intention of doing right or wrong; and accordingly, whatever
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Sk. II. i. 5. Morality. 299 be the event, a man is praifed for an action well intended, and condemned for an action ill intended.

But what if a man intending a certain wrong, happen by accident to do a wrong he did not intend ; as, for example, intending to rob a warren by fhooting the rabbits, he accidentally wounds a child unfeen behind a bufh? The delinquent ought to be punifhed for intending to rob; and he is alfo fubjected to repair the hutt done to the child: but he cannot be punifhed for the accidental wound; becaufe our nature regulates punifhment by the intention, and not by the event *.
A crime againft any primary virtue is attended with fevere and never-failing punifhment, more efficacious than any that have been invented to enforce municipal laws: on the other hand, the preferving primar: virtues inviolate, is attended with little merit. The fecondary virtues are directly oppofite : the neglecting them is not attended

[^72]ed with any punifhment; but the practice of them is attended with illuftrious rewards. Offices of undeferved kindnefs, returns of good for ill, generous toils and fufferings for our friends or for our country, are attended with confcioufnefs of felf-merit, and with univerfal praife and admiration; the higheft rewards a generous mind is fuf. ceptible of.
From what is faid, the following obfervation will occur: The pain of tranfrreffing juftice, fidelity, or any duty, is much greater than the pleafure of performing; but the pain of neglecting a generous action, or any fecondary virtue, is as no. thing compared with the pleafure of performing. Among the vices oppofite to the primary virtues, the moft ftriking moral deformity is found'; among the fecondary virtues the noft ftriking moral beauty.

## S E C T. . VI.

Laws refpecting Reparation.

THE principle of reparation is made a branch of the moral fyitem for accomplifhing two ends: which are, to reprefs wrongs that are not criminal, and to make up the lofs fuftained by wrongs of whatever kind. With refpect to the former, reparation is a fpecies of punifhment : with refpect to the latter, it is an act of juftice. Thefe ends will be better underftood, after afcertaining the nature and foundation of reparation; to which the following divifion of actions is neceffary. Firtt, actions that we are bound to perform. Second, actions that we perform in profecution of a right
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or privilege. Third, indifferent actions, defcribed above.:. Actions of the firft kind fubject not a man to reparation, whatever damage enfues; becaufe it is his duty to perform them; and it would be inconfiftent with morality that a man fhould be fubjected to reparation for doing his duty. The laws of reparation that concern actions of the fecond kind, are more complex. The focial ftate, highly beneficial by affording opportunity for mutual good offices, is attended with fome inconveniencies; as where a perfon happens to be in a fituation of neceffarily harming others by exercifing a right or privilege. If the forefight of harming another reftrain ne not from exercifing my right, the intereft of that other is made fubfervient to mine : on the other hand, if fuch forefight reftrain me from exercifing my right, my intereft is made fubfervient to his. What doth the moral fenfe provide in that cafe? To preferve as far as poflible an equality among perfons born free and by nature equal in rank, the moral fenfe dictates a rule, no lefs beautiful than falutary; which is, That the exercifing a right will not juftify me for doing direct mifchief; but will juftify me, though I forefee that mifchief may poffibly happen. The firft branch of the rule refolves into a propofition eftablifhed above, That no intereft of mine, not even life itfelf, will authorife me to hurt an innocent perion. The other branch is fupported by expediency: for if the bare poffibility of hurting others were fufficient to reftrain a man from profecuting his rights and privileges; men would be too much cramped in action, or rather would be reduced to a ftate of abfolute inactivity. With refpect to the firlt branch, I am criminal, and liable even to punifhment : with refpect to the other, I am not even culpable, nor bound to repair the mifchief that happens to enfue. But this propofition admits a temperament,
which is, that if any danger be forefeen, I am in fome degree culpable, if I be not at due pains to prevent it. For example, where in pulling: down an old houfe I happen to wound one pafling accidentally, without calling aloud to beware.

With refpect to indifferent actions, the moral fenfe dictates, that we ought carefully to avoid doing mifchief, either direct or confequential. As we fuffer no lofs by forbearing actions that are done for paftime merely, fuch an action is culpable or faulty, if the confequent mifchief was forefeen or might have been forefeen; and the actor of courfe is fubjected to reparation. As this is a cardinal point in the doctrine of reparation, I fhall endeavour to explain it more fully. Without intending any harm, a man may forefee, that what he is about will probably or poffibly pro. duce mifchief; and fometimes mifchief follows that was neither intended nor forefeen. The action in the former cafe is not criminal; becaufe ill intention is effential to a crime : but it is culpable or faulty ; and if mifchief enfue, the actor blames himfelf, and is blamed by others, for having done what he ought not to have done. Thus, a man who throws a large ftone among a crowd of people, is highly culpable; becaufe he muft forefee that mifchief will probably enfue, though he has no intention to hurt any perfon. As to the latter cafe, though mifchief was neither intended nor forefeen, yet if it might have been forefeen, the action is rafl or uncautious, and confequently culpable or faulty in fome degree. Thus, if a man, fhooting at a mark for recreation near a high road, happen to wound one paffing accidentally, without calling aloud to keep out of the way, the action is in fome degree culpable, becaufe the mifchief might have been forefeen. But though mifchief enfue, an action is not culpable or faulty if all reafonable precaution have been adhibited:
B. III.
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the moral fenfe declares the author to be innocent $\dagger$ and blamelefs : the mirchief is accidental; and the action may be termed unlucky, but comes not under the denomination of either right or wrong. In general, when we act merely for amufement, our nature makes us anfwerable for the harm that enfues, if it was either forefeen or might with due attention have been forefeen. But our rights and privileges would profit us little, if their exercife were put under the fane reftraint: it is more wifely ordered, that the probability of mifchief, even forefeen, fhould not reftrain a man from profecuting his concerns, which may often be of confequence to him ; provided that he act with due precaution. He procceds accordingly with a fafe confcience, and is not afraid of being blamed either by God or man.
With refpect to rafh or uncautious actions, where the mifchief might have been forefeen though not actually forefeen; it is not fufficient to efcape blame, that a man, naturally rafh or inattentive, acts according to his character; a degree of precaution is required, both by himfelf and by others, fuch as is natural to the generality of men: he perceives that he might and ougbt to have acted more cautioufly; and his confcience reproaches him for his inattention, no lefs than if he were naturally more fedate and attentive. Thus the circumfpection natural to mankind in general, is applied as a ftandard to every individual ; and if a man fall fhort of that fandard he is culpable and blameable, however unforefeen by him the mifchief may have been.
What is faid upon culpable actions, is equally applicable to culpable omiffions; for by thefe alfo mifchief

Innocent here is oppofed to culpable: in a broader renfe it is oppofed to criminal. With refpect to punifhment, an action though culvable i innocent, if it be not criminal: with refpect to reraration, it not innocent if it be culpable.
mifchief may be occafioned, entitling the fufferer to reparation. If we forbear to do our duty with an intention to occafion mifchief, the forbearance is criminal. The only queftion is how far forbearance without fuch intention is culpable: fuppofing the probability of mifchief to have been forefeen, tho? not intended, the omiffion is highly culpable; and though neither intended nor forefeen, yet the omiffion is culpable in a lower degree, if there have been lefs care and attention than are proper in performing the duty required. But fuppofing all 'due care, the omiffion of extreme care and diligence is not culpable*.

By afcertaining what acts and omiffions are culpable or faulty, the doctrine of reparation is rendered extremely fimple; for it may be laid down as a rule without a fingle exception, That every culpable act, and every culpable omiffion, binds us in confcience to repair the mifchief occafioned by it. The moral fenfe binds us no farther ; for it loads not with reparation the man who is blamelefs and innocent: the harm is accidental; and we are fo conftituted as not to be refponfible in confcience for what happens by accident. But here it is requifite, that the man be in every refpect innocent: for if he intend harm, though not what he has done, he will find himfelf bound in confcience to repair the accidental harm he has done; as, for example, when aiming a blow unjuftly at one in the dark, he happens to wound another whom he did not fufpect to be there. And hence
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it is $\mathbf{a}^{\text {r }}$ rule in all municipal laws, That one verfans in illicito is liable to repair every confequent damage. That thefe particulars are wifely ordered by the Author of our nature for the good of fociety, will appear afterward (a). In general, the rules above mentioned are dictated by the moral fenfe; and we are compelled to obey them by the principle of reparation.

We are now prepared for a more particular infpection of the two ends of reparation above mentioned, The repreffing wrongs that are not criminal, and the making up what lofs is fuftained by wrongs of whatever kind. With refpect to the firft, it is clear, that punilhment in its proper fenfe cannot be inflicted for a wrong that is culpable only; and if nature did not provide fome means for repreffing fuch wrongs, fociety would fcarce be a comfortable ftate. Laying confcience afide, pecuniary reparation is the only remedy that can be provided againft culpable omiffions: and with refpect to culpable commiffions, the neceffity of reparation is ftill more apparent; for confcience alone, without the fanction of reparation, would feldom have authority fufficient to reftrain us from acting rafhly or uncautioufly, even where the poffibility of mifchief is forefeen, and far lefs where it is not forefeen.

With refpect to the fecond end of reparation, my confcience dictates to me, that if a man fuffer by my fault, whether the mifchief was forefeen or not forefeen, it is my duty to make up his lofs; and I perceive intuitively, that the lofs ought to relt ultimately upon me, and not upon the fufferer, who has not been culpable in any degree.

In every cafe where the mifchief done can be eftimated by a pecuniary compenfation, the two
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[^74]ends of reparation coincide. The fum is taken from the one as a fort of punifhment for his fault, and is beftowed on the other to make up the lofs he has fuftained. But in numberlefs cafes where mifchief done cannot be compenfated with money, reparation is in its nature a fort of punifhment. Defamation, contemptuous treatment, perfonal reftraint, the breaking one's peace of mind, are injuries that cannot be repaired with money; and the pecuniary reparation decreed againft the wrong-doer, can only be confidered as a punifhment inflicted in order to deter him from reiterating fuch injuries: the fum, it is true, is awarded to the perfon injured; but not as fufficient to make up his lofs, which money cannot do, but only as a folatium for what he has fuffered.

Hitherto it is fuppofed, that the man who intends a wrong action, is at the farne time confcious of its being fo. But a man may intend a wrong action, thinking erroneoufly that it is right ; or a right action, thinking erroncoufly that it is wrong; and the queftion is, What fhall be the conifquence of fuch errors with refpect to reparation. The latter cafe is clear: the perfon who occafionally fuffers lofs by a right action, has not a claim for reparation, becaule he has no juft caufe of complaint. On the other hand, if the action be wrong, the innocence of the author, for which he is indebted to an error in judgement; will not relieve him from reparation. When he is made fenfible of his error, he feets himfelf bound in confcience to repair the harm he has done by a wrong action: and others, fenfible of his crror from the beginning, have the fame feeling: nor will his obftinacy in refifting conviction, nor his dullnefs in not apprehending his error, mend the matter: it is well that thefe defeets relieve him from punifhment, without wronging others by denying a claim for reparation. $\Lambda$ man's errors ought to
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affect himfelf only, and not thofe who have not erred. Hence in general, reparation always follows wrong; and is not affected $y$ any erroneous opinion of a wrong action being right, more than of a right action being wrong.

But this doctrine fuffers an exception with refpect to one who, having undertaken a truft, is bound in duty to act. A judge is in that fate: it is his duty to pronounce fentence in every cafe that comes before him ; and if he judge according to his knowledge, he is not liable for confequences. A judge cannot be fubjected to reparation, unlefs the judgement he gave was intentionally wrong. An officer of the revenue is in the fame predicanent. Led by a doubtful claufe in a flatute, he makes a feizure of goods as forfeited to the crown, which afterward, in the proper court, are found not to be feizable: he ought not to be fubject to reparation, if he have acted to the beft of his judgement. This rule however muft be taken with a limitation : a public officer who is grofsly ignorant, will not be excufed ; for he ought to know better.

Reparation is due, tho' the immediate act be involuntary, provided it be connected with a preceding voluntaryact. Example: " If A ride an unru" ly horfe in Lincolns-inn-fields, to tame him, and " the horfe breaking from A, run over B. and grie" voufly hurt him; B flall have an action againft "A: for tho' the mifchief was done againtt the " will of A, yet fince it was his fault to bring a wild " horfe into a frequented place where mifchief " might enfue, he mult anfwer for the conlequen"ces." Gaius feems to carry this rule ftill farther, holding in general, that if a horfe, by the weaknefs or unilkilfulnefs of the rider, break away and do mifchief, the rider is liable (a). But Gaius probaX 2 bly
(x. 1. 8. § r, ad les. Aqui!.
bly had in his eye a frequented place, where the mifchief might have been forefeen. Thus in general, a man is made liable for the mifchief occafioned by his voluntary deed, tho' the immediate act that occafioned the mifchief be involuntary.

## S E C T. VII.

Final Caufes of the foregoing Laws of Nature.

S
EVERAL final caufes have been already mentioned, which could not conveniently be referved for the prefent fection, being neceflary for explaining the fubjects to which they relate ; the final caufe for inftance of erecting a ftandard of morals upon the common fenfe of mankind. I proceed now to what have not been mentioned, or but flightly mentioned.

The final caufe that peefents itfelf firit to view, refpects man confidered as an accountable being. The fenfe of being accountable, is one of our moft vigilant guards againft the filent attacks of vice. When a temptation moves me, it immediately occurs, What will the world fay? I imagine my friends expoftulating, my enemies reviling-it would be in vain to diffemble-my fpirits fink-the temptation vanifhes. $2 d l y$, Praife and blame, efpecially from thofe we regard, are ftrong incentives to virtue: but if we were not accountable for our conduct, praife and blame would feldom be well directed ; for how fhall a man's intentions be known, without calling him to account? And praife or blame, frequently ill-directed, would lofe their influence. 3dly, This branch of our nature, is the corner-ftone of the criminal law. Did not a man think himfelf accountable
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able to all the world, and to his judge in a peculiar manner, it would be natural for him $t$. think, that the jufteft fentence pronounced againt him, is oppreffion, not juftice. 4thly, It promotes fociety. If we were not accountable beings, thofe connected by blood, or by country, would be no lefs thy and referved, than if they were utter ftrangers to each other.

The final caufe that next occurs, being fimple and obvious, is mentioned only that it may not feem to have been overlooked. All right actions are agreeable, all wrong actions, difagreeable. This is a wife appointment of Providence. We meet with fo many temptations againft duty, that it is not always eafy to perfevere in the right path : would we perfevere, were duty difagreeable ? And were acts of pure benevolence difagreeable, they would be rare, however worthy of praife.

Another final caufe refpects duty, in contradiftinction to pure benevolence. All the moral laws are founded on intuitive perception; and are fo fimple and plain, as to be perfectly apprehended by the moft ignorant. Were they in any degree complex or obfcure, they would be perverted by felfifhnefs and prejudice. No conviction inferior to what is afforded by intuitive perception, could produce in mankind a common fenfe in moral duties. Reafon would afford no general conviction; becaufe that faculty is diftributed in portions fo unequal, as to bar all hopes from it of uniformity either in practice or in opinion. We are taught befide by woful experience, that reafon even the moft convincing, has no commanding influence over the greater part of men. Reafon, it is true, aided by experience, fupports morality; by convincing us, that we cannot be happy if we abandon duty for any other intereft. But conviction feldom weighs much againft imperious paffion; to control which the vigorous and command-
ing principle of duty is requifite, directed by the fhining light of intuition.

A propofition laid down above, appears a fort of myftery in the moral fyftem, That though evidently all moral duties are contrived for promoting the general good, yet that a choice is not permitted among different goods, or between good and ill; but that we are ftriclly tied down to perform or forbear certain particular acts, without regard to confequences; or, in other words, that we muft not do wrong, whatever good it may produce. The final caule 1 am about to unfold, will clear this myftery, and fet the beauty of the moral fyftem in a confpicuous light. I begin with obferving, that as the general good of mankind, or even of the fociety we live in, refults from many and various circumftances intricately combined; it is far above the capacity of man, to judge in every inftance what particular action will tend the moft to that end. The authorifing therefore a man to trace out his duty by weighing endlefs circumftances good and ill, would open a wide door to partiality and paffion, and often lead him unwittingly to prefer the preponderating ill, under a falfe appearance of being the greater good. At that rate, the opinions of men about right and wrong, would be as various as their faces; which, as obferved above, would totally unhinge fociety. It is better ordered by Providence even for the general good, that, avoiding complex and obfcure objects, we are directed by the moral fenfe to perform certain plain and fimple acts, which admit no ambiguity.

In the next place, To permit ill in order to produce greater good, may fuit a being of univerfal benevolence; but is repugnant to the nature of man, compofed of felfifh and benevolent principles. We have feen above, that the true moral balance depends on a fubordination of felf-
love to duty, and of difcretionary benevolence to felflove ; and accordingly every man is fenfible of injuftice when he is hurt in order to benefit another. Were it a rule in fociety, That a greater good to any other would make it an act of juftice to deprive me of my life, of my reputation, or of my property, I fhould renounce the fociety of men, and affociate with more harmlefs animals.

Thirdly, The true moral fyftem, that which is difplayed above, is not only better fuited to the nature of man and to his limited capacity, but contributes more to the general good, which I now proceed to demonftrate. It would be lofing time to prove, that one entirely felfifh is ill fitted for fociety ; and we have feen (a), that univerfal benevolence, were it a duty, would contribute to the general good perhaps lefs than abfolute felfifh. nefs. Man is too limited in capacity and in power for univerfal benevolence. Even the greateft monarch has not power to exercife his bencvolence, but within a very narrow Sphere; and if fo, how unfit would fuch a duty be for private perfons, who have very little power? Serving only to diftrefs them by inability of performance, they w uld endeavour to fmother it altogether, and give full fcope to felfiflnefs. Man is much better qualified for doing good, by a conftitution in which benevolence is duly blended with felf-love. Benevolence as a duty, takes place of felf.love; a regulation effential to fociety : bencvolence as a virtae, not a duty, gives place to felf-love; becaufe as every man has more power, knowledge, and opportunity, to promote his own good than that of others, a greater quantity of good is produced, than if benevolence were our only principle of action. This holds, even fuppofing no harm done to any perfon: much more would it hold, were
(a) Sect. 4.
were we permitted to hurt fome, in order to produce more good to others.

The foregoing final caufes refpect morality in general. We now proceed to particulars ; and the firft and moft important is the law of reftraint. Man is evidently framed for fociety : and as there can be no fociety among creatures who prey upon each other, it was neceffary to provide againft mutual injuries; which is effectually done by this law. "Its neceffity with refpect to perfonal fecurity is felf-evident; and with refpect to property, its neceffity will appear from what follows. In the nature of every man there is a propenfity to hoard or ftore up things ufeful to himfelf and family. But this natural propenfity would be rendered ineffectual, were he not fecured in the poffeffion of what he thus flores up; for no man will toil to accumulate what he cannot fecurely poffefs. This fecurity is afforded by the moral fenfe, which dictates, that the firft occupant of goods provided by nature for the fubfiftence of man, ought to be protected in the poffeflion, and that fuch goods ought to be inviolably his property. Thus, by the great law of reftraint, men have a protection for their goods, as well as for their perfons; and are no lefs fecure in fociety, than if they were feparated from each other by impregnable walls.

Several other duties are little lefs effential than that of reftraint, to the exiftence of fociety. Mutual truf and confidence, without which fociety would be an uncomfortable fate, enier into the character of the human fipecies; to which the duties of veracity and fidelity correfpond. The final caufe of thefe correfponding duties is obvious: the latter would be of no ufe in fociety without the former ; and the former without the latter, would be hurtful by laying men open to fraud and deceit.

With refpect to veracity in particular, man is fo conftituted, that he muft be indebted to information for the knowledge of moft things that benefit or hurt him ; and if he could no tdepend upon information, fociety would be very little beneficial. Further, it is wifely ordered, that we fhould be bound by the moral fenfe to fpeak truth, even where we perceive no harm in tranfgreffing that duty ; becaufe it is fufficient that harm may enfue, though not forefeen. At the fame time, falfehood always does mifchief: it may happen not to injure us exterrally in our reputation, or in our goods; but it never fails to injure us internally: the fweeteft and moft refined pleafure of fociety, is a candid intercourfe of fentiments, of opinions, of defires, and wifhes ; and it would be poifonous to indulge any falfehood in fuch intercourfe.

Becaufe man is the weakeft of all animals in a ftate of feparation, and the very ftrongeft in fociety by mutual aid and fupport ; covenants and promifes, which greatly contribute to thefe, are made binding by the moral fenfe.

The final caufe of the law of propriety, which enforces the duty we owe to ourfelves, comes next in order. In difcourfing upon thofe laws of nature which concern fociety, there is no occafion to mention any felf-duty but what relates to fociety; of which kind are prudence, temperance, induftry, firmnefs of mind. And that fuch qualities fhould be made our duty, is wifely ordered in a double refpect ; firf, as qualifying us to act a proper part in fociety ; and next, as intitling us to good-will from others. It is the inrereft, no doubt, of every man, to fuit his behaviour to the dignity of his nature, and to the ftation allotted him by Providence; for fuch rational conduct contributes to happinefs, by preferving health, procuring plenty, gaining the efteem of others, and, which of all is the greateft blef- time of need.

I proceed to the final caufes of natural rewards and punifhments. It is laid down above, that controverfies about property and about other matters of intereft, muft be adjufted by the ftandard of right and wrong. But to bring rewards and punifhments under the fame ftandard, without regard to private confcience, would be a plan unworthy of our Maker. It is clear, that to reward one who is not confcious of merit, or to punifh one who is not confcious of demerit, cannot an. fwer any good end ; and in particular, cannot tend either to improvement or to reformation of manners. How much more like the Deity is the plan of nature, which rewards no man who is not confcious that he incrits reward, and punifhes no man who is not confcious that he merits punifhment! By that plan, and by that only, rewards and punifhments accomplifh every good end, a final caufe moft illuftrious!

The rewards and punifhenents that attend the primary and fecondary virtues, are finely contrived for fupportiag the diftinction between them fet forth above. Punifhment mult be confined to the tranigeffion of primary virtues, it being the intention of nature that fecondary virtues be entirely free On the other hand, fecondary virtues be entiely free. On the other hand fecondary virtues are more highly rewarded than primary: generofity, for example, makes a greater figure
B. III.
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than juftice; and magnanimity, heroifm, undaunted courage, a fill greater figure. One would imagine at firlt view, that the primary virtues, being more effential, fhould be intitled to the firf place in our efteem, and be more amply rewarded than the fecondary; and yet in elevating the latter above the former, peculiar wifdom and forefight are confpicuous. Punifhment is appropriated to enforce primary virtues; and if thefe virtues were alfo attended with the higheft rewards, fecondary virtues, degraded to a lower rank, would be deprived of that enthufiaftic admiration which is their chief fupport : felf-intereft would univerfally prevail over benevolence ; and would banifh thofe numberlefs favours we receive from each other in fociety, which are beneficial in point of intereft, and ftill more fo by generating affection and friend fh ip.
In our progrefs through final caufes, we come at laft to reparation, one of the principles deftined by Providence for redrefling wrongs committed, and for preventing reiteration. The final caufe of this principle where the mifchief arifes from intention, is clear : for to protect individuals in fociety, it is not fufficient that the delinquent be punifhed; it is neceffary over and above, that the mifchief be repaired.
Secondly, Where the act is wrong or unjuft, though not underftood by the author to be fo, it is wifely ordered that reparation fhould follow; which will thus appear. Confidering the fallibility of man, it would be too fevere never to give any allowance for error. On the other hand, to make it a law in our nature, never to take advantage of error, would be giving too much indulgence to indolence and remiffion of mind, tending to make us neglect the improvement of our rational faculties. Our nature is fo happily framed, as to avoid thefe extremes by diftinguifhing between
than
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gain and lofs. No man is confcious of wrong, when he takes advantage of an error committed by another to fave himfelf from lofs: if there muft be a lofs, common fenfe dictates that it ought to reft upon the perfon who has erred, however innocently, rather than upon the perfon who has not erred. Thus, in a competition among creditors about the eftate of their bankrupt debtor, every one is at liberty to avail himfelf of an error committed by his competitor, in order to recover payment. But in lucro captando, the moral fenfe teacheth a different leffon; which is, that no man ought to lay hold of another's error to make gain by it. Thus, an heir finding a rough diamond in the repofitories of his anceftor, gives it away, mif. taking it for a common pebble : the purchafer is in confcience and equity bound to reftore, or to pay a juft price.

Thirdly, The following confiderations refpecting the precaution that is neceffary in acting, unfold a final caufe, no lefs beautiful than that laft mentioned. Society could not fubfift in any tolerable manner, were full fcope given to rafhnefs and negligence, and to every action that ftrictly fpeaking is not criminal ; whence it is a maxim found. ed no lefs upon utility than upon juftice, That men in fociety ought to be extremely circumfpect, as to every action that may poflibly do harm.On the other hand, it is alfo a maxim, That as the profperity and happinefs of man depend on action, activity ought to be encouraged, inftead of being difcouraged by dread of confequences. Thefe maxims, feemingly in oppofition, have natural limits that prevent their encroaching one upon the other. There is a certain degree of attention and circumfpection that men generally beftow upon affairs, proportioned to their importance: if that degree were not fufficient to defend againft a claim of reparation, individuals
would be too much cramped in action ; which would be a great difcouragement to activity : if a lefs degree were fufficient, there would be too great fcope for rafh or remifs conduct; which would prove the bane of fociety. Thefe limits, which evidently tend to the good of fociety, are adjufted by the moral fenfe; which dictates, as laid down in the fection of Reparation, that the man who acts with forefight of the probability of mifchief, or acts rafhly and uncautiounly without fuch forefight, ought to be liable for confequences; but that the man who acts cautioully, without forefeeing or fufpecting any mifchief, ought not to be liable for confequences.
In the fame fection it is laid down, that the moral fenfe requires from every man, not his own degree of vigilance and attention, which may be very fmall, but that which belongs to the common nature of the fpecies. The final caufe of that regulation will appear upon confidering, that were reparation to depend upon perfonal circumftances, there would be a neceffity of enquiring into the character of individuals, their education, their manner, of living, and the extent of their underftanding; which would render judges arbitrary, and fuch law-fuits inextricableBut by affuming the common nature of the fpecies as a ftandard, by which every man in confcience judges of his own actions, law-fuits about reparation are rendered $\epsilon a f y$ and expeditious.

## S E C T. VIII.

## Libcrty and Neceffity confidered with refpect to Morality.

HAVING in the foregoing fections afcertained the reality of a moral fenfe, with its fentiments of approbation and difapprobation, praife and blame; the purpofe of the prefent fection is, to Hhew, that thefe fentiments are confiftent with the laws that govern the actions of man as a rational being. In order to which, it is firft neceifary to explain thefe laws; for there has been much controverfy about them, efpecially among divines of the Arminian and Calvinift fects.

Human actions, as laid down in the firft fection, are of three kinds: one, where we act by in. ftinct, without any view to confequences; one, where we act by will in order to produce fome effect : and one, where we act againft will. With refpect to the firft, the agent acts blindly, without deliberation or choice; and the external act follows neceffarily from the inftinctive impulfe *.Actions done with a view to an end, are in a very different condition: into thefe, defire, and will,

[^75]will, enter: defire to accomplifh the end goes firt ; the will to act in order to accomplifh the end, is next; and the external act follows of courfe. It is the will then that governs every external act done as a mean to an end; and it is defire to accomplifh the end that puts the will in motion; defire in this view being commonly termed the motive to act. Thus hearing that my friend is in the hands of robbers, I burn with defire to free him: defire influences my will to arm my fervants and to fly to his relief. Actions done againt will come in afterward.
But what is it that raifes defire? The anfwer is ready : it is the profpect of attaining fome agreeable end, or of avoiding one that is difagrecable. And if it be enquired, What makes an object agreeable or difagreeable ; the anfwer is equally ready, that our nature makes it fo. Certain vifible objects are agreeable, certain founds, and certain fmells: other objects of thefe fenfes are difagreeable. But there we muft ftop; for we are far from being fo intimately acquainted with our own nature as to affign the caufes. Thefe hints are fufficient for my prefent purpofe: if one be curious to know more the theory of defire, and of agreablenefs and difagreeablenefs, will be found in Elements of Criticifif (a).
With refpect to inftinctive actions, no perfon, I prefume, thinks that there is any freedom: an infant applies to the nipple, and a bird builds a neft, no lefs neceffaity than a fone falls to the ground. With refpect to voluntary actions, done in order to produce fome effect, the neceflity is the fame, though lefs apparent at firf view. The external action is determined by the will: the will is determined by defire : and defire by what is agreeable or difagreeable. Here is a chain
(a) Chap. 2.
of caufes and effects, not one link of which is arbitrary, or under command of the agent : he cannot will but according to his defire : he cannot defire but according to what is agreeable or difagrecable in the objects perceived: nor do thefe qualities depend on his inclination or fancy ; he has no power to make a beautiful woman ugly, nor to make a rotten carcafe fmell fweetly.

Many good men apprehending danger to morality from holding our actions to be neceffary, endeavour to break the chain of caufes and effects above mentioned, maintaining, "That what" ever influence defire or motives may have, it is "s the agent himfelf who is the caufe of every " action; that defire may advife, but cannot com" mand; and therefore that a man is ftill f:ee " to act in contradiction to defire and to the "ftrongeft motives." That a being may exift, which in every cafe acts blindly and arbitrarily, without having any end in view, I can make a fhift to conceive : but it is difficult for me even to imagine a thinking and rational being, that has affections and paffions, that has a defirable end in view, that can eafily accomplifh this end; and yet, after all, can fly off, or remain at reft, without any caufe, reafon, or motive, to fway it. If fuch a whimfical being can poffibly exift, Iam certain that man is not the being. There is perhaps not a perfon above the condition of a changeling, but can fay why he did fo and fo, what moved him, what he intended. Nor is a fingle fact ftated to make us believe, that ever a man acted againft his own will or defire, who was not compelled by external force. On the contrary, conftant and univerfal experience proves, that human actions are governed by certain inflexible laws; and that a man cannot exert his
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felf-motive power, but in purfuance of fome defire or motive.

Had a motive always the fame influence, actions proceeding from it would appear no lefs neceffary thar he actions of matter. The various degrees of influence that motives have on different men at the fame time, and on the fame man at different times, occafion a doubt by fuggefting a notion of chance. Some motives however have fuch influence, as to leave no doubt : a timid female has a phyfical power to throw herfelf into the mouth of a lion, roaring for food; but the is withheld by terror no lefs effectually than by cords: if the fhould rufh upon the lion, would not every one conclude that fhe was frantic? A man, though in a deep fleep, retains a phyfical power to act, but he cannot exert it. A man, though defperately in love, retains a phyfical power to refufe the hand of his miftrefs; but he cannot exert that power in contradiction to his own ardent defire, more than if he were faft afleep. Now if a ftrong motive have a neceffary influence, there is no reafon for doubting, but that a weak motive mult alfo have its influence, the farne in kind, tho' not in degree. Some actions indeed are ftrangly irregular: but let the wildeft action be fcrutinized, there will always be difcovered fome motive or defire, which, however whimfical or capricious, was what influenced the perfon to act. Of two contending motives, is it not natural to expect that the ftronger will prevail, however little its excefs may be? If there be any doubt, it mult arife from a fuppofition that a weak motive can be refifted arbitrarily. Where then: are we to fix the boundary between a weak and a ftrong motive? If a weak motive can be refifted, why not one a little ftronger, and why not the ftrongeft? In Elements of Criticifm (a)
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the reader will find many examples of contrary motives weighing againft each other. Let him ponder thefe with the ftricteft attention: his conclufion will be, that between two motives, however nicarly balancèd, a man has not an arbitrary choice, but muft yield to the ftronger. The mind indeed fluctuates for fome time, and feels itfelf in a meafure loofe: at laft, however, it is determined by the more powerful motive, as a balance is by the greater weight after many vibrations.

Such then are the laws that govern our voluntary actions. A man is abfolutely free to act according to his own will; greater freedom than which is not conceivable. At the fame time, as man is made accountable for his conduct, to his Maker, to his fellow-creatures, and to himfelf, he is not left to act arbitrarily ; for at that rate he would be altogether unaccountable: his will is regulated by defire; and defire by what pleafes or difpleafes him. Where we are fubjected to the will of another, would it be our wifh, that his will fhould te under no regulation? And where we are guided by our own will, would it be reafonable to wifh, that it hould be under no regulation, but be exerted without reafon, without any motive, and contrary to common fenfe? Thus, with regard to human conduct, there is a chain of laws eftablifhed by nature, no one link of which is left arbitrary. By that wife fyftem, man is made accountable: by it, he is made a fit fubject for divine and human government: by it, perfons of fagacity forefee the conduct of others: and by it, the prefcience of the Deity with refpect to human actions, is clearly eftablifhed.

The abfurd figure that a man would make acting in contradiction to motives, fhould be fufticient to open our eyes without an argument. What a del.
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a defpicable figure does a perfon make, upon whom the fame motive has great influence at one time, and very little at another? He is a bad member of tociety, and cannot be rely'd on as a friend or as an aflociate: But how highly rational is this fuppoled perfon, compared with one who can aet in contradiction to every motive? The former may be termed whimfical or capricious: the latter is worle; he is abfolutely unaccountable, and cannot be the fubject of government, more than a lump of matter unconfious of its own motion.

Let the faculty of acting be compared with that of reafoning : the comparifon will reconcile every unbiaffed mind to the neceffary influence of motives. A man is tied by his nature to form conclufions upon what appears to him true at the time. This indeed does not always fecure him againt error; but would he be more fecure by a power to form conclufions contrary to what appears true ? Such a power would make him a moft abfurd reafoner. Would he be lefs abfurd in acting, if he had a power to act againft motives, and contrary to what he thinks right or eligible? To act in that manner, is inconfiftent with any notion we can form of a fenfible being. Nor do we fuppofe that man is fuch a being : in accounting for any action, however whimficad, we always afcribe it to fome motive; never once dreaming that there was no motive.

And after all, where would be the advantage of fuch an arbitrary power? Can a rational man wifh ferioully to have fuch a power? or can he ferioully think, that God would make man fo whinfical a being? To endue man with a degree of felf-command fufficient to refilt every vitious motive, without any power to refift thofe that are virtuous, would indeed be a valuable gitt; too raluable indeed for man, becaufe it would

$$
\mathrm{I}_{2} \text { exaht }
$$

exalt him to be an angel. But fuch felf-command as to refift both equally, which is the prefent fuppofition, would be a great curfe, as it would unqualify us for being governed either by God or by man. Better far to be led as rational creatures by the profpect of good, however erroneous our judgment may fometimes be.

While all other animals are fubjected to divine government and unerringly fulfil their deftination, and confidering that man is the only terref. trial being who is formed to know his Maker and to worfhip him ; will it not found harh that he alone fhould be withdrawn from divine government? The power of refifting the ftrongeft motives, whether of religion or morality, would render him independent of the Deity.

This reafoning is too diffufe : if it can be comprehended in a fingle view, it will make the deeper impreflion. There may be conceived different fyftems for governing man as a thinking and rational being. One is, That virtuous motives fhould always prevail over every other motive. This, in appearance, would be the moft perfect government : but man is not fo conftituted; and there is reafon to doubt, whether fuch perfection would in his prefent ftate correfpond to the other branches of his nature (a). Another fyltem is, That virtuous motives fometims prevail, fometimes vicious; and that we are always determined by the prevailing motive. This is the true fyltem of nature ; and hence great variety of character and of conduct among men. A third fyltem is, That motives have influence; but that one can act in contradiction to every motive. This is the fyftem I have been combating. Obferve only what it refolves into. How is an action to be accounted for that is done in contradiction to every motive? It wanders from the region of com-
(a) See book 2. Ketch 1. at the end,
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mon fenfe into that of mere chance. If fuch were the nature of man, no one could rely on another: a promife or an oath would be a rope of fand: the utmoft cordiality betwcen two friends would be no fecurity to either againft the other: the firft weapon that comes in the way might be lethal. Would any man wifh to have been formed according to fuch a model? He would probably wifh to have been formed according to the model firft mentioned : but that is denied him, virtuous motives fometimes prevailing, fometimes vicious; and from the wifdom of Providence we have reafon to believe, that this law is of all the beft fitted for man in his prefent flate.
To conclude this branch of the fubject: In none of the works of Providence, as far as we can penetrate, is there difplayed a deeper reach of art and wifdom, than in the laws of action peculiar to man as a thinking and rational being. Were he left loofe to act in contradiction to motives, there yould be no place for prudence, forefight, nor for adjufting meansto an end : it could not be forefeen by others what a man would do the next hour ; nay it could not be forefeen even by him-. felf. Man would not be capable of rewards and punifhments: he would not be fitted, either for divine or for human government: he would be a creature that has no refemblance to the human race. But man is not left loofe; for though he is at liberty to act according to his own will, yet his will is regulated by defire, and defire by what pleafes and difpleafes. This connection preferves uniformity of conduct, and confines human actions within the great chain of caufes and effects. By this admirable fyltem, liberty and neceffity, feeningly incompatible, are made perfectly concordant, fitting us for fociety, and for government both human and divine.

Having explained the laws that govern human actions; we proceed to what is chiefly intended in the prefent fection, which is, to examine how far the moral fentiments handled in the foregoing fection are confiftent with thefe laws. Let it be kept in view, that the perception of a right and a wrong in actions, is founded entirely upon the moral fenfe. And that upon the fame fenfe are founded the fentiments of approbation and praife when a man does right, and of difapprobation and blame when he does wrong. Were we deftitute of the moral fenfe, right and wrong, praife and blame, would be as little underfood as co. lours are by one born blind *.

The formidable argument urged to prove that our moral fentiments are inconfiltent with the fappofed neceffary influence of notives', is what follows.' "If motives have a neceffary influence on " our actions, therc can be no good reafon to " " praife a man for doing right, nor blame him "for doing wrong. What foundation can there " be either for praife or blame, when it was "6 nôt in a man's power to have acted otherwile? ${ }^{6}$ 'A man commits murder, inftigated by a fud" den fit of revenge: why fhould he be punifh" ed, if he acted neceffarily, and could not re"fift the violence of the paffion ?" Here it is fuppofed, that a power of reffiftance is effential to praife and blame. But epon examination it will be found, that this fuppofition has not any fupport in
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With refpect to the firft, the meral fenfe, as we have feen above, places innocence and guilt and confequently praife and blanie, entirely upon will and intention. The connection between the motive and the action, fo far from diminifhing, enhances the praile or blame. The greater influence a virtuous motive has; the greater is the virtue of the actor, and the more warm our praife. On the other hand, the greater influence a vitious motive has, the greater is the vice of the acter, and the more violently do we blame him. As this is the cardinal point, I wiff to have it confidered in a general view. It is effential both to human and divine government, that the influence of motives fhould be neceffary. 'It is equally effential, that that neceffary influence fhould not have the effect to leffen guilt in the eftimation of men. To fulfil both ends, guilt is placed by the moral fenfe entirely upon will and intention: a man accordingly blames himfelf for doing mifchief willingly and intentionally, without once confidering whether he acted neceffarily or not. And his fentiments are adopted by all the world a they prom nounce the fame fentence of condemnation that he himfelf docs. A man put to the torture, yields to the pain, and with bitter reluctance reveals the fecrets of his party: another does the fame, yielding to a tempting bribe. The latter only is blamed as guilty of a crime; and yet the bribe perhaps operated as ftrongly on the latter, as torture did on the former. But the one was compelled againft liis will to reveal the fecrets of his party ; and therefore is innocent : the other acted willingly, in order to procure a great fum of money; and therefore is guilty.

With refpect to reafon, I obferve, that the moral fenfe is the only judge in this controverfy, not
the faculty of reafon. I fhould however not be afraid of a fentence againft me, were reafon to be the judge. For would not reafon dictate, that the lefs a man wavers about his duty, or, in other words, the lefs influence vitious motives have, the more praiic-worthy he is; and the more blameable, the lefs influence virtuous motives have?.

Nor are we led by common fenfe to differ from reafon or from the moral fenfe. I man commits murder, overcome by a fudden fit of revenge which he could not refift : do we not reflect, even at firft view, that the man did not will nor wifh to refift? - on the contrary, that he would have committed the murder, though he had not been under any neceflity? A perfon of plain underftanding will fay, What fignifies it whether the criminal could refift or not, when he committed the murder wittingly and willingly? A man give poifon privately out of revenge. Does any o doubt of his guilt, when he never once repented; though after adminiftering the poifon it no longer was in his power to draw back? A man may be guilty and blame-worthy, even where there is external compulfion that he cannot refift. With fword in hand I run to attack an enemy: my foot flipping, I fall headlong upon him, and by that accident the fword is pufhed into his' body. The external act was not the effect of Will, but of accident: but my intention was to commit inurder, and I am guilty. All men acknowledge that the Deity is neceffarily good. Does that circumftance detract from his praife in common apprehenfion? On the contrary, he merits from us the higheft praife on that very account.

It is commonly faid, that there can be no virtue where there is no ftruggle. Virtue, it is true, is beft known from a ftruggle: a man who has never met with a temptation, can be little confident of his virtue. But the obfervation taken on to be ate, that in other have, the e blamehave? ffer from an comrevenge ect, even nor wifh uld have not been n underether the ommitted nan giv any 0 e repentCon it no ? A man nere there ft. With : iny foot $d$ by that dy. The but of acit murder, e that the cumftance ehenfion? ne highett it is true, who has little conion taken
in a ftrict fenfe, is undoubtedly erroneous. A man, tempted to betray his truit, wavers; but after much doubting refufes at laft the bribe. Another hefitates not a moment, but rejects the bribe with difdain : duty is obftinate, and will not fuffer him even to deliberate. Is there no virtue in the latter ? Undoubtedly more than in the former.

Upon the whole, it appears that praife and blame reft ultimately upon the difpofition or frame of mind *. Nor is it obvious, that a power to act againft motives, could vary in any degree thefe moral fentiments. When a man commits a crime, let it be fuppofed that he could have refifted the prevailing motive. Why then did he not refift, inftead of bringing upon himfelf thame and mifery? The anfwer muit be, for no other can be given, that his difpofition is vitious, and that he is a deteftable creature. Further, it is not a little difficult to conceive, how a man can refift a prevailing motive, without having any thing in his mind that hould engage him to refift it. But letting that pafs, I make the following fuppofition. A man is tempted by avarice to accept a bribe : if he refift upon the principle of duty, he is led by the prevailing motive : it he refift without having any reafon or motive for refifting, I cannot difcover any merit in fuch refiftance: it feems to refolve into a matter of chance or accident, whether he refilt or do not refift. Where can the merit lie of refifting a vitious motive, when refiftence happens by mere chance? and where the demerit of refifting a virtuous motive, when it is owing to the fome chance ? If a man, actuated by no principle, good or bod, and having no end or purpofe

[^77]purpofe in view, fhould kill his neighbour, I fee not that he would be more accountable, than if fie had acted in his fleep, or were mad.

Human punifhments are perfectly coniftent with the neceffary influence of motives, without fuppofing a power to withftand thein. If it be urged, That a man ought not to be punifhed for committing a crime when he could not refift: the anfwer is, That as he committed the crime intentionally and with his eyes open, he is gailty in his own opinion, and in the opinion of all men. Here is a juft foundation for punifhment And its utility is great; being intended to deter people from committing crimes. The dread of punifhment is a weight in the fcale on the fide of virtue, to counterbalance vitious motives.

The final caufe of this branch of our nature is admirable. If the neceflary influence of motives had the effect either to leffen the merit of a virtuous action, or the demerit of a crime, morality would be totaliy unhinged. The moft virtuous action would of all be the leaft worthy of praife; and the mof vitious be of all the leaft worthy of blame. Nor would the evil fop there: inftead of curbing inordinate, paffions, we fhould be encouraged to indulge them, as an excellent excufe for doing wrong. Thus, the moral fentiments of approbation and difapprobation, of praife and blame, are found perfectly confiftent with the laws above-mentioned that govern human actions, without neceffity of recurring to an imarinary power of acting againft motives.

The only plaufible objection I have met with againft the foregoing thcory, is the remorfe a man feels for a crime he fuddenly commits, and as luddenly repents of. During a fit of bitter remorfe for having flain my favourite fervant in a violent paffion, without juft provocation, I accufe myfelf for having given way to paftion; and acknowledge
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knowledge that I could and ought to have reftrained it. Here we find remorfe, founded on a fyftem directly oppofite to that above laid down ; a fyftem that acknowledges no neceffary connection between an action and its motive; but on the contrary, fuppofes that it is in a man's power to refift his paffion, and that he ought to refift it. What thall be faid upon this point? Can a man be a receffary agent when he is confcious of the contrary, and is fenfible that he can act in contradiction to motives? This objection is ftrong in appearance; and would be invincible, were we not happily relieved of it by a doctrine laid down in Elements of Criiicifm (a) concerning the irregular influence of paffion on our opinions and fentiments. Upon examination, it will be found, that the prefent cafe may be added to the many examples there given of that irregular influence. In a peevifh fit I take exception at fome flight word or gefture of my friend, which I interpret as if he doubted of my veracity. I am inftantly in a flame: in vain he protefts that he had no meaning, for impatience will not fuffer me to liften. I bid him draw, which he does with reluctance; and before he is well prepared, I give him a mortal wound. Bitter remorfe and anguilh fuccecd inftantly to rage. "c What have I done? I have murdered my innocent, my beft friend; and yet " I was not mad-with that hand I did the " horrid deed; why did not I rather turn it againft " my own heart?" Here every impreffion of neceffity vanifhes : my mind informs me that I was abfolutely free, and that I ought to have fmothered my paffion. I put an oppofite cafe. A brutal fellow treats me with great indignity, and proceeds even to a blow. My paffion riles beyond the poffibility of reftraint: I can fcarce for-
bear fo long as to bid him draw ; and that moment I ftab him to the heart. I am forry for having been engaged with a ruffian; but have no contrition nor remorfe. In this cafe, I never once dream that I could have refifted the impulfe of paffion : on the contrary, my thoughts and words are, "That flefh and blood could not bear the " affront ; and that I muft have been branded " for a coward, had I not done what I did." In reality, both actions were equally necefflary. Whence then opinions and fentiments fo oppofite oo each other? The irregular influence of paffion on our opinions and fentiments, will folve the queftion. All violent palfions are prone to their own gratification. A man who has done an action that he repents of and that affects him with anguifh, abhors himfelf, and is odious in his own eyes: he wifhes to find himfelf guilty; and the thought that his guilt is beyond the poffibility of excufe, gratifies the paffion. In the firft cafe accordingly, remorfe forces upon me a conviction that I might have reftrained my pafiion, and ought to have reftrained it. I will not give way to any excufe; becaufe in a fevere fit of remorfe, it gives me pain to be excufed. In the other cafe, as there is no remorfe, things appear in their true light without difguife. To illuftrate this reafoning, I obferve, that paffion warps my judgement of the actions of others, as well as of my own. Many examples are given in the chapter abure quoted : join to thefe the following. My fervant aiming at a partridge, happens to fhoot a favourite fpaniel crofling the way unfeen. Inflamed with anger, I ftorm at his ralhnef:, pronounce him guilty, and will liften to no excule. When paffion fubindes, I become fenfible that the action was merely accidental, and that the man is abfolutely innocent. The nurfe over-lays my only child, the long-expected heir to a great eftate.
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With difficulty I refrain from putting her to death: "The wretch has murdered my infant: " The ought to be torn to pieces." When I turn calm the matter appears to me in a very different light. The poor woman is inconfolable, and can farce believe that fhe is innocent : fhe bitterly reproaches herfelf for want of care and concern. But, upon cool reflection, both the and I become fenfible, that no perfon in found fleep has any felf-command, and that we cannot be anfwerable for any action of which we are not confcious.Thus, upon the whole, we difcover, that any impreffion we occafionally have of being able to act in contradiction to motives, is the refult of paffion, not of found judgement.

The reader will obferve, that this fection is copied from Effays on Morality and Natural Religion. The ground-work is the fame : the alterations are only in the fuperftructure; and the fubiect $\mathrm{i}_{\sim}$. sridged in order to adapt it to its prefent place. The preceding parts of the fketch were publifhed in the fecond edition of Principles of Equity. But as law-books have little currency, the publifhing the whole in one effay, will not, I hope, be thought improper.

## $\begin{array}{llllllll}A & P & P & E & N & D & I & X\end{array}$

Upon Cbance and Contingency.

IHOLD it to be an intuitive propofition, That the Deity is the primary caufe of all things ; that with confummate wifdom he formed the great plan of government, which he carrics on by laws fuited to the different natures of animate and inatiimate beings; and that thefe laws, produce a regular chain of caufes and effects in the moral as well as the material world, admitting no events but what are comprehended in the original plan (a). Hence it clearly follows, that chance is excluded out of this world, that nothing can happen by accident, and that no event is arbitrary or contingent. This is the doctrine of the effay quoted; and, in my apprehenfion, well founded. But I cannot fubferibe to what follows, " That we " have an impreflion of chance and contingency, " which confequently mult be delufive." I would not willingly admit any delufion in the nature of man, unlefs it were made evident beyond contradiction; and 1 now fee clearly, that the impreffion we have of chance and contingency, is not delufive, but perfectly confiftent with the eftablifhed plan.

The explanation of chance and contingency in the faid effay, fhall be given in the author's own words, as a proper text to reafon upen. "In our " ordinary train of thinking, it is certain that all " events appear not to us as neceffary. A mulcs itude

[^78]" titude of events feem to be under our power " to caufe or to prevent; and we readily make " a duftinction betwixt events that are neceffary, " $i$. $c$. that muft be; and events that are contin" gent, i. c. that may be, or may not be. This " diftinction is void of truth : for all things that " fall out either in the material or moral world, " are, as we have feen, alike neceffary, and a" like the refult of fixed laws. Yet, whatever "conviction a philofopher may have of this, the " diftinction betwist things neceflary and things "contingent, poffeffics his ordinary train of " thought, as much as it poffeffes the moft illi" terate. We ack univerfally upon that diftincti" on: nay it is in truth the caufe of all the la" bour, care, and induftry, of mankind. I iJ" lultrate this doctrine by an example. Conftant " experience hath taught us, that death is a ne" ceffary event. The human frame is not made " to laft for ever in its prefent condition; and no " man thinks of more than a temporary exiftence " upon this globe. But the particular time of " our death appears a contingent event. How" ever certain it be, that the time and manner of " the death of each individual is determined by a " train of preceding caufes, and is no lefs fixed " than the hour of the fun's rifing or fetting; " yet no perfon is affected by this doctrine. In $"$ the care of prolonging life, we are directed ": by the fuppofed contingency of the time of death, " which, to a certain term of years, we confider as " depending in a great meafure on ourfelves ${ }_{2}$ by " caution againft accidents, due ufe of food, exer" cife, \& c. Thefe means are profecuted with the " fame diligence as if there were in fact no neceflary " train of caules to fix the reriod of life. In " fhort, whoever attends to his own practical " ideas, whocver refects upon the meaning of "the
" the following words which occur in all languages, "" of things poflible, contingcnt, that are in our porver " to caufe or prevent; whoever, I fay, reflects " upon thefe words, will clearly fee, that they " fuggeft certain perceptions or notions repug" nant to the doctrine above eftablifhed of uni" verfal neceflity."
In order to flow that there is no repugnance, I begin with defining chancc and contingency. The former is applied to events that have happened ; the latter to future events. When we fay a thing has happened by chance, we furely do not mean that chance was the caufe; for no perfon ever imagined that cbance is a thing that can act, and by acting produce events: we only mean, that we are ignorant of the caufe, and that for aught we fee, it might have happened or not happened, or have happened differently. Aiming at a bird, I fhoot by chance a favourite fpaniel: the meaning is not, that chance killed the dog, but that as to me the dog's death was accidental. With refpect to contingency, future events that are variable and the caufe unknown, are faid to be contingent ; changes of the weather, for example, whether it will be froft or thaw to-morrow, whether fair or foul. In a word, chance and contingency applied to events, mean not that fuch events happen without any caufe, but only that we are ignorant of the caufe.
It appears to me, that there is no fuch thing in human nature as a fenfe that any thing happens without a caufe : fuch a fenfe would be grofsly delufive. It is indeed true, that our fenfe of a caufe is not always equally diftinct : with refpect to an event that happens reguiarly, fuch as fummer, winter, rifing or fetting of the fun, we have a diftinct fenfe of a caufe: our fenfe is lefs diftinct with refpect to events lefs regular, fuch as alterations of the weather; and extremely indiftinet with
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with relpect to events that feldom happen, and that happen without any known caufe. But with rejpect to no event whatever does our fenfe of a caufe vanilh altogether, and give place to a fenfe of things happening without a calufe.

Chance and contingency thus explained, fuggeft not any perception or notion repugnant to the doctrine of univerfal neceflity; for my ignorance of a caufe, does not even in my own apprehenfion, exclude a claufe. Defcending to particulars, l take the example mentioned in the text, namely, the uncertainty of the time of my death. Knowing that my. lite depends in fome meafure on myfelf, I ufe all means to preferve it, by proper food, exercife, and care to prevent accidents. Nor is there any delufion here. I am moved to ufe thefe means by the defire I have to live: thefe means, accordingly prove eflectual to carry on my prefent exiftence to the appointed period; and in that view are fo many links in the great chain of caufes and effects. A burning coal falling from the grate upon the floor, wakes me from a found fleep, I ftart up to extinguifh the fire. The motive is irrefiftible : nor have I reafon to refilt, were it in my power; for I confider the extinction of the fire by my hand, to be one of the means chofen by Providence for prolonging my life to its deftined period.

Were there a chain of caufes and effects eftabiifled eutirely independent on me, and ware my life in no meafure under my own power, it would indeed be fruitlefs for me to act; and the abfurdity of knowingly acting in vain, would be a prevailing motive for remaining at reft. Upon that fuppofition, the ignava ratio of Chrylippus might take place; cui $\sqrt{2}$ pareamus, nibil omnino agamus in vita*. But I act neceflarily when in-

Vol. II. $Z$ gluenced

[^79]fluenced by motives ; and I have no reafon to forbear, confidering that my actions, by producing their intended cffects, contribute to carry on the great chain.

## P A R T II.

## Progrefs of Morality.

HA VIN G unfolded the principles of mo. rality, the next ftep is to trace out its gradual progrefs, from its infancy among favages to itg maturity among polifhed nations. The hiftory of opinions concerning the foundation of morality, falls not within my plan ; and I am glad to be relieved from an article that is executed in perfection by more able hands (a).

An animal is brought forth with every one of its external members; and completes its growth, not by production of any new member, but by addition of matter to thole orignally formed. The fame holds with refpect to internal members ; the fenfes, for example, inftinct, powers and faculties, principles and propenfitics: thefe are coeval with the individual, and are gradually unfolded, fome carly, fome late. The external fenfes, being neceffary for felf-prefervation, foon arrive at maturity. Some internal fenfes, of order for example, of propriety, of dignity, of grace, being of no ufe during infancy, are not only flow in their progrefs toward inaturity, but require much culture. Among favages they are farce perceptible.
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The moral fenfe, in its progrefs, differs from thofe laft mentioned; being frequently difcovered, even in childhood. It is however flow of growth, and leldom arrives at perfection without culture and experience.

The moral fenfe not only ripens gradually with the other internal fenfes mentioned, but from them acquires force and additional authority: a favage makes no difficulty to kill an enemy in $c$ 保! blood: bloody fcenes are familiar to him, and has moral fenfe is not fufficiently vigorcus to give him compunction. The action appears in a different light to a perfon of delicate feelings; and accordingly, the moral fenfe has much more authority over thofe who have received a refined education, than over favages.
It is pleafant to trace the progrefs of morality in members of a polifhed natio:. Objects of external fenfe make the firf impelfions; and from them are derived a flock of fimple ideas. $\mathrm{Af}_{-}$ fection, accompanying ideas, is firft directed to particular objects, fuch as my father, my brother, my companion. The mind, opening by degrees, takes in complex objects, fuch as my country, my reli, ion, the governinent under which I live; and thele alfo become objects of affection. Our connections multiply; and the moral fenfe, acquiring ftrength as the mind npens, regulates our duty to every connected objc $?$. Objects of hatred multiply as well as objects of affection, and give full fonpe to diffocial paflions, the moft formidable antagonifts that morality has to encounter. But nature hath provided a remedy: the perfon who indulges malice or revenge, is commonly the greateft fufferer by the indulgence: men become wife by experience, and have more peace and fatisfaction in foftering kindly affection: ftormy paffions are fubdued, or brought under rigid difcipline; and benevolence triumphs over felfilhnels. We Z. 2 refine
refine upon the pleafures of fociety: we learn to fubmit our opinions: we affect to give preference to others; and readily fall in with whatever fweetens focial intercourfe: we carefully avoid caufes of difcord; and overlooking trivial offences, we are fatisfied with moderate reparation, even for grofs injuries.

A nation from its original favage ftate, grows to maturity like the individuals above defcribed, and the progrefs of morality is the fame in both. The favage ftate is the infancy of a nation, during which the moral fenfe is feeble, yielding to cuftom, to imitation, to paffion. But a nation, like a member of a polifhed fociety, ripens gradually, and acquires a tafte in the fine arts, with acatenefs of fenfe in matters of right and wrong. Hatred and revenge, the great obftacles to moral duty, raged without control, while the privilege of avenging wrongs was permitted to individuals (a). But hatred and revonge yielded gradually to the pleafures of focicty, and to the growing authority of the moral fenfe; and benevolent affection prevailed over diffocial paffions. In that comfortable period, we hear no more of cruelty as a national claracter : on the contrary, the averfion we have to an enemy, is even in war exercilid with moderation. Nor do the ftormy paffions ever again revive; after a nation begins to decline from its meridian height, the paflions that prevail are not of the violent kind, but felfifh, timorous, and deceitful.

Morality however has not to this day arrived to fuch maturity, as to operate between nations with equal fleddinefs and vigour, as between individuals. Ought this to be regretted as an innperfection in our nature? I think not: had we the fame compunction of heart for injurina on
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individual, and weré injuttice equally blameable as to both ; war would ceafe, and a golden age enfue, than which a greater misfortune could not befal the human race (a).

In the progrefs from maturity to a declining ftate, a nation differs widely from an individual. Old age puts an end to the laiter : there are many caufes that weaken the former : but old age is none of them, if it be not in a metaphorical fenfe. Riches, felfilhnefs, and luxury, are the difeafes that weaken profperous nations: thefe difeafes, following each other in a train, corrupt the heart, dethrone the moral fenfe, and make an anarchy in the foul: men flick at no expence to purchafe pleafure ; and they ftick at no vice to lupply that expence.

Such are the outlines of morality in its progrefs from birth to burial ; and thefe outlines I propofe to fill up with an induction of particulars. Looking back to the commencement of civil fociety, when no wants were known but thofe of nature, and when fuch wants were amply provided for; we find individuals of the fame tribe living innocently and cordially together : they had no irregular appetites, nor any ground for ftrife. In that ftate, moral principles joined their influence with that of national affection, to fecure individuals from harm. Savages accordingly, who have plenty of food and are fimple in habitation and cloathing, feldom tranfgrefs the rules of morality within their own tribe. Diodorus Siculus, who compofed his hiftory recently after Cæfar's expedition into Britain, fays, that the inhabitants dwelt in mean cottages covered with reeds or fticks; that they were of much fincerity and integrity, contented with plain and homely fare; and were ftrangers to the excefs and luxury of rich men. In Friezeland,

[^81]in Holland, and in other maritime provinces of the Netherlands, locks and keys were unknown, till the inhabitants became rich by commerce: they contented themfelves with bare neceflaries, which every one had in plenty. The Laplanders have no notion of theft. When they have an excurfion into Norway, which is performed in the fummer months, they leave their huts open, with. out fear that any thing will be purloined. Formerly they were entirely upright in their only commerce, that of bartering the fkins of wild beafts for tobacco, baandy, and coarfe cloth. But being often cheated by ftrangers, they begin to be more cunning. Iheft was unknown among the Caribbees till Luropeans came among them. When they loft any thing, they faid innocently, "t the "Chriftians have been here." Crantz, defcribing the inhabitants of Ireland before they were corrupted by commerce with ftrangers, fays, that they lived under the fame roof with their cattle; that every thing was common among them except their wives and children; and that they were fimple in their manners, having no appetite but for what nature requires. ln the reign of Edwin King of Northumberland, a child, as hif. torians report, might have travelled with a purfe of gold, without hazard of robbery: in our days of luxury, want is fo intolerable, that even fear of death is not fufficient to deter us. All travellers agree, that the native Canadians are perfectly difinterefted, abhorring deceit and lying. The Californians are fond of iron and fharp inftruments; and yet are fo flrictly honeft, that carpenter-tools left open during night, were fafe. The favages of North America had no locks for their goods : they probably have learned from Europeans to be more circumipect. Procopius bears teftimony (a),
(a) Hiforia Gothica, lib. j.
ces of the nown, till rce: they ies, which Iders have ve an cxred in the pen, with ned. Fortheir only wild beafts But being to be more the Ca m. When tly, "the tz, defcrithey were , fays, that heir cattle; them exthat they no appetite e reign of nild, as hifth a purfe in our days t even fear All travelre perfectly ping. The nftruments; penter-tools e favages of aeir goods : jpeans to be ftimony (a), that
that the Sclavi, like the Huns, were innocent people, free of malice. Plan Carpin, the Pope's ambaffador to the Cham of Tartary, anno 1246, fays, that the Tartars are not addicted to thieving; and that they leave their goods open without a lock. Nicholas Damafcenus reports the fame of the Celtr. The original inhabitants of the ifland Borneo, expelled by the Mahometans from the fea-coaft to the center of the country, are honeft, induftrious, and kindly to each other : they have fome notion of property, but not fuch as to render them covetous. Pagans in Siberia are numerous; and, though grofsly ignorant efpecially in matters of religion, they are a good moral people. It is rare to hear among them of perjury, thieving, fraud, or drunkennefs; if we except thofe who live among the Ruffian Chrifians, with whofe vices they are tainted. Strahlenberg (a) bears teftimony to their honefty. Having employed a number of them in a long navigation, he flept in the fame boat with men whofe nanes he knew not, whofe language he underfood not, and yet loft not a particle of his baggage. Being obliged to remain a fortright among the Oftiacs, upon the river Oby, his baggage lay open in a hut inhabited by a large family, and yet nothing was purloined. The following incident, which he alfo mentions, is remarkable. A Rullian of Toboliki, in the courfe of a long journey, lodged one night in an Oftiac's hut, and the neyt day on the road miffed his purfe with a hundred rubles. His landlord's fon hunting at fome diftance from the hut, found the purfe, but left it there. By his father's order, he covered it with branches to fecure it in cafe an owner fhould be found. After three months, the Ruffian returning, lodged with the fame Oftiac ; and mentioning occafionally the lofs, of his purfe, the
(a) Defcription of Ruffia, Siberia, \&c,
the Oftiac, who at firft did not recollect his face, cryed out with joy, "Art thou the man who loft " that purfe? my fon hall go and thow thee where " it lies, that thou mayeft take it up with thine "s own hand." The Hottentots (a) have not the leaft notion of theft: though immoderately fond of tobacco and brandy, they are employed by the Dutch for tending warehoufes full of thefe commodities. Here is an inftance of probity above temptation, even among favages in the firft ftage of focial life. Some individuals are more liberally endued than others with virtuous principles: may it not be thought, that in that ref. pect nature has been more kind to the Hottentots than to many other tribes? Spaniards, fettled on the fea-coaft of Chili, carry on a commerce with neighbouring favages, for bridles, fpurs, knives, and other manufactures of iron ; and in return receive oxen, horfes, and even children for flaves. A Spaniard carries his goods there; and after obtaining liberty to difpofe of them, he moves about, and delivers his goods, without the leaft referve, to ever one who bargains with him. When all is fold, he intimates his departure ; and every purchafer hurries with his goods to him; and it is not known that any one Indian ever broke his engagement. They give him a guard to carry him fafe out of their territory, with all the flaves, horfes, and cattle he has purchafed. The favages of Brazil are faithful to their promifes, and to the treaties they make with the Portuguefe. Upon fome occafions, they may be accufed of error and wrong judgement, but never of injuftice nor of duplicity.

While the earth was thinly peopled, plenty of food, procured by hunting and fifhing, proraoted population; but as population leffens the ftock of anima!
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animal food, a favage nation, encreafing in numbers, muft fpread wider and wider for more game. Thus tribes, at firft widely feparated from each other, approach gradually till they become neighbours. Hence a new fcene with refpect to morality. Differences about their hunting-fields, about their hunting-fields, about their game, about perfonal injuries, multiply between neighbours; and every quarrel is blown into a flame, by the averfion men naturally have to ftrangers. Anger, hatred, and revenge now find vent, which formerly lay latent without an object : diffocial paffions prevail without control, becaufe among favages morality is no match for them ; and cruelty becomes predominant in the human race.Ancient hiftory accordingly is full of enormous cruelties; witnefs the incurfions of the northern barbarians into the Roman empire; and the incurfions of Genhizcan and Tamerlane into the fertile countries of Afia, fpreading deftruction with fire and fword, and fparing neither man, woman, nor infant.
Malevolent paffions, acquiring ftrength by daily exercife againft perfons of a different tribe, came to be vented againft perfons even of the fame tribe ; and the privilege long enjoyed by individuals of avenging the wrongs done to them, beftowed irrefiftible force upon fuch paflions (a). The hiftory of ancient Greece prefents nothing to the reader but ufurpations, affalfinations, and other horrid crimes. The names of many famous for wickednefs, are ftill preferved; Atreus, for example, Eteocles, Alcmeon, Phedra, Clytemneftra.The flory of Pelops and his defcendents, is a chain of criminal horrors: during that period, parricide and inceft were ordinary incidents. Euripides reprefents Medea vowing revenge againf her hufband
Jafon,
(a) See Hiftorical Law-tracts, tract 1 .

Jafon, and laying a plot to poifon him. Of that infamous plot the chorus exprefs their approbation, juftifying every woman who, in like circumftances, acts the fame part.

The frequent incurfions of northern barbarians into the Roman empire, fpread defolation and ruin through the wholc. The Fo:ans from the highelt polifh degenerating into lavage, affumed by degrees the crucl and lioody manners of their conquerors; and the couquerors and conquered, blended into one mafs, equalled the groffelt bablarians of ancient times in ignomance and brutality. Clovis, King of the Franks, even ater his converfion to Chriftianity, affafinated without remorfe his neareft kinfman. The children of Clodomir, anno 530 , were affafinated by their two uncles. In the thirteenth century, Ezzelino de Arromano obtained the fovereignty of Padua, by maflacring 12,000 of his fellow-citizens. Galeas Sforza Duke of Milan, was affaffinated anno 1476 in the cathedral church of Milan, after the affaffins land put up their prayers for courage to perpetrate the deed. It is a ftill ftronger proof how low morality was in thofe days, that the Pope himfelf, Sextus IV. attempted to affaffinate the the two brothers, Laurent and Julien de Medicis; chufing the elevation of the hoft as a proper time, when the people would be bufy about their devotions. Nay more, that very Pope, with unparallelled impudence, excommunicated the Florentines for doing juftice upon the intended affaffins. The moft facred oaths were in vain employed as a fecurity againft that horrid crime. Childebert II. King of the Franks, enticed Magnovald to his court, by a folemn oath that he fhould receive no harm; and yet made no difficulty to affaffinate him during the gaiety of a banquet. But thefe inftances, however horrid, make no figure compared with the
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maffacre of St. Bartholomew, where many thoufands were inhumanly and treacherouny butchered. Even fo late as the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries, affaffination was not held in every cafe to be criminal. Many folicitous applications were made to general councils of Chriftian clergy, to declare it criminal in every cafe ; but without fuccefs. Ferdinand King of Aragon and Navarre, after repeated affaffinations and acts of perfidy, obtained the appellation of Great : fo little authority had the moral fenfe, during thefe dark and fanguinary ages.

But it is fcarce neceffary to mention particular inftances of the overbearing power of malevolent paffions ciuring thefe ages. An opinion, once univerfal, that the innocent may be juftly involved in the fame punifhment with the guilty, is of itfelf irrefragable evidence, that morality formerly had very little influence when oppofed by revenge. There is no moral principle more evident, than that punifhment cannot be inflicted with juftice but upon the guilty; and yet in Greece, the involving of the innocent with the guilty in the fame punifhment, was authorifed even by pofitive law. By an Athenian law, a man committing facrilege, or betraying his country, was Danifted with all his children (\%). And when tyrant was put to death, his children fuffered the fame fate ( 3 ) , The punifhment of twafon in Macedon, has extended againf the criminal's relations (c). Hamo, a citizen of Carthage, formed a plot to enllave his country, by poifoning the whole fenate at a banquet He was tortured to death; and his children, with all his relations, were cut off without mercy, though they had no acceffion to his guilt. Aniong the Japanefe, a people remarkably ferocious, it is the practice to involve children

[^82]children and relations in the punifhment of capital crimes. Even Cicero, the chief man for learn. ing in the moft enlightened period of the Roman republic, and a celebrated moralift, approves that practice: "Nec vero me fugit, quam fit acerbum " parentum fcelera filiorum ponis lui: fed hoc "6 praclare legibus comparatuin eft, ut caritas libe. " rorum amiciores parentes reipublice redde" ret * (a)." In Britain, every one knows, that murder was retaliated, not only upon the crimimal and his relations, but upon his whole clam; a practice fo common as to be diltinguilhed by a peenliar numes that of dendly felmo As late as the days of King Edmumi, a law was made in England, prohibiting deadly feud, except between the relations of the perfon murdered and the murderer himfelf.

I embrace the prefent opportunity to honour the Jews, by obferving, that they were the firft peo. ple we read of, who had correct notions of mo. rality with refpect to the prefent joint. The following law is exprefs: "The fathers thall not be "sut to death lif the children, neither fhall the " children be put to death for the fathers: eve" ry man thall be put to death for his own "f fin (a)." Amaziah, King of Judah, gave itrict obedience to that law, in avenging his father's death: " And it came to pafs as foon as the " kiugdom was confirmed in his haind, that he " flew his fervants which had flain the king lis "father. But the children of the murderers he " flew not; according to that which is written " in
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" in the book of the law of Mofes (b)." There is an elegant paffage in Ezekiel to the fame purpofe (c): " What mean ye, that ye ufe this " proverb concerning the land of lfrael, faying, "The fathers have eaten four grapes, and the "children's teeth are fet on edge? As I live, " faith the Lord God, ye fhall not have occafion " any more to ufe this proverb in Ifrael. The " foul that finneth, it thall die: the fon fhall not " bear the iniquity of the fon; the righteouf"nefs of the righteous thall be upon him, and " the wickednefs of the wicked thall be upon " him." Among the Jews however, as among otlier inations, there are inftances without number, of involving iminocent children and relations in the fame punifhment with the guilty. Such power has revenge, as to trample upon confcience, and upon the molt exprefs laws. Inftigated with rage for Nabal's ingratitude, King David made a vow to God not to leave alive of all who piffeth againft the wall. And it was not any compunction of confcience that diverted him from his cruel purpofe, but Nabal's beautiful wife who pacified him (d). But fuch contradiction between principle and practice, is not peculiar to the Jews. We find examples of it in the laws of the Roman empire. The true principle of punifhment is laid down in an edict of the Emperors Arcadius and Honorius (e). "Sancimus, ibi effe ponam, "ubi et noxia elt. Propinquos, notos, familia" res, procul a calumnia fubmovemus, quos reos " fceleris focietas non facit. Nec enim adfinitas " vel amicitia nefarium crimen admittunt. Pec" cata igitur fuos teneant auctores: nec ulterius "progrediatur metus quain reperiatur delic" tum.
(b) 2 Kings, cliap. 14.
(c) Chap. 88 .
(d) 1 Samuel, chajp. 2 -
(c) 1. 22. Cod, De poenis.
or tum. Hoc fingulis quibufque judicibus inti"s metur "." Thele very Emperors, with refpect to treafon, which touched them nearer than other crimes, talk a very different language. After obferving, that will and purpofe alone without an ouvert act, is treaion, fubjecting the criminal to capital punifhment and to forfeiture of all that belongs to him, they proceed in the following words (a). "Filii vero ejus, quibus vitan Im. * peratoria fpecialiter lenitate concedimus, (pater"s no enim deberent perire fupplicio, in quibus " paterni, hoc eft, hereditarii criminis exempla " metuuntur), a materna, vel avita, oumium eti" am proximorum hereditate ac fucceflione, habe" antur alieni: teftamentis extraneorum nihil ca" peant: fint perpetuo egentes et pauperes, infa" mia eos paterna femper comitetur, ad nullos "s prorfus honores, ad nulla facramenta perveni" ant: fint poftremo tales, ut his, perpetua egef. "6 tate fordentibus, fit et mors folatiun et vita fupw " plicium *."

Human nature is not fo perverfe, as without veil or difguife to punifh a perfon acknowledged to be innocent. An irregular bias of imagination, which extends the qualities of the principal to its acceffories, paves the way to that unjult
practice

* We ordain, that the punihment of the crime fmall extend to the "criminal alone. We hold his relations, his friends and acquaintances, " unfufpected; for intimacy, friendfhip, or connection, are no proof or " argument of guilt. The confequences of the crime fhall purfue only its " perpetrator. Let this fatute be intimated to all our judges."
(a) 1. 5. Cod. ad leg. Jul. majeft.
+ "By a fpecial extenfion of our imperial elemency, we allow the fons
" of the criminat to live; altho' in Atriat juftice, being tainted with here-
" ditary guilt, they ought to fuffer the punifhment of their father. But
" it is our will that they mall be incapable of all inheritance, cither from
" the mother, the grandfather, or any of their kindred; that they thall be
"deprived of the power of inheriting by the teftament of a franger; that
" they thall be abandoned to the extreme of poverty and perpetual indigence;
" that the infamy of their father thall ever attend them, incapable of ho-
" nours, and excluded from the participation of religious rites; that fuch " in fine, fhall be the mifery of their condition, that life Mall be a punifl" ment, and death a comfort,
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Sk. II. ii. Morality. 35 practice (a). That bias, ftrengthened by indignation againft an atrocious criminal, leads the mind haltily to conclude, that all his connections are partakers of his guilt. In an enlightened age, the clearnefs of moral principles fetters the imagination from confounding the innocent with the guilty. There remain traces however of that bias, though not carried fo far as murder. The fentence pronounced againft havilliac for affaffinating Henry IV. of France, ordains, "That " his houfe be razed to the ground, and that no " other building be ever erected upon that fpot."Was not this in imagination punilling a houfe for the proprietor's crime?
Murder and affafination are not only deftructive in themfelves, but, if poffible, ftill more deftructive in their confequences. The practice of thedding blood unjuftly and often wantonly, blunts confcience, and paves the way to every crime. This obfervation is verified in the ancient Greeks: the cruel and fanguinary character, rendered them little regardful of the ftrict rules of juftice.Right was held to depend on power, among men as among wild beafts : it was conceived to be the will of the gods, that fuperior force fhould be a lawful title to dominion; " for what right "can the weak have to what they cannot "defend?" Were that maxim to obtain, a weak man would have no right to liberty nor to life. That impious doctrine was avowed by the Athenians, and publicly afferted by their ambaffadors in a conference with the Melians, reported by Thucydides (b). Many perfons.act as if force and right were the fame; but a barefaced profeflion of fuch a doctrine, is uncommon. In the Eumenides, a tragedy of Efchylus, Oreftes is arraigned for
(a) See Elements of Criticirm, chap. 2. fect. 5 .
(b) Lib. 5 .
for killing his mother. Minerva, prefident of the court decrees in favour of Oreltes: and for what reafon? "Having no mother myfelf, the murder " of a mother toucheth not me "." In the tragedy of Electra, Oreftes, confulting the Delphic oracle about means to avenge his father's murder, was enjoined by Apollo to forbear force, but to employ fraud and guile. Obedient to that injunction, Oreftes commands his tutor to fpread in Argos the news of his death, and to confirm the fame with a folemn oath. In Homer, even the great Jupiter makes no difficulty to fend a lying dream to Agamemnon, chief of the Greeks.1)iflimulation is recommended by the goddefs Minerva (a). Ulyffes declares his deteftation at ufing freedom with truth (b): and yet no man deals more in feigned ftories (c). In the 22d book of the lliad, Minerva is guilty of grofs deceit and treachery to Hector: When he flies from Achilles, the appears to him in the fhape of his brother Deiphobus, exhorts him to turn upon Achilles, and promifes to aflift him. Hector accordingly, returning to the fight, darts his lance; which rebounds from the fhield of Achilles, for by Vulcan it was made impenetrable. Hector calls upon his brother for another lance; but in vain, for Deiphobus was not there. The Greeks in Homer's time muft have been ftrange. ly deformed in their morals, when fuch a flory could
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Sk. II. ii. Morality. 353 could be relifited *. A nation begins not to polifh nor to advance in morality, till writing be common; and writing was not known among the Grecks at the fiege of Troy. Nor were the morals of that people, as we fee, much purified for a long time after writing became common. When Plautus wrote, the Roman fyftem of morals muft have been extremely impure. In his play termed Menachmi, a gentleman of faflion having accidentally got into his hands a lady's robe with a gold clafp; inftead of returning them to the owner, endeavours to fell them without flame or remorfe. Such a feene would not be endured at prefent, except among pickpockets. Both the Grecks and Carthaginians were held by the Romans to be artful and cunning. The Romans continued a plain people with much fimplicity of manners, when the nations mentioned had made great progrefs in the atts of life; and it is a fad truth, that morality declines in proportion as a nation polifhes. But if the Romans were later than the Greeks and Carthaginians in the arts of life, they foon furpaffed them in every fort of immorality. For this change of manners, they were indebted to their rapid conquefts. The fanguinary difpofition both of the Greeks and Romans appears from another practice, that of expofing their infant children, which continued till humanity came in fome meafure to prevail. The practice continues in China to this day, the populoufnefs of the country throwing a veil over the cruelty; but from the bumanity of the Chinefe, I conjecture, that the practice is rare. The Jews, a cloudy and peevifh tribe, much adVol. II. A a dicted

[^85]dicied to bloodihed, were miferably defective in noral principles. Take the following examples out of an endlefs number recorded in the books of the Old Teftament. Jael, wife of Heber, took under her protection Sifera, general of the Ca. namites, and engaged her faith for his fecurity. She put him treacheroufly to death when afleep; and was applauded by Deborah the prophetefs for the meritorious action ( $a$ ). That horrid deed would probably have appeared to ler in a different light, had it been committed againft Barac, general of the lfraelites. David, flying from Saul, took refuge with Achifh, King of Gath ; and though pro-
zed by that King, made war againtt the King's aflies, faying, that it was againft his own countrymen of Judah. "And David faved neither man " nor woman alive to bring tidings to Gath. "And Achiflh believed David, faying, He hath " made his people lfrael utterly to abhor him: "therefore he fhall be my fervant for ever (b)." This was a complication of ingratitude, lying, and treachery. Ziba, by prefents to King David and by defaming his mafter Mephibofheth, procured from the King a gift of his mafter's inheritance; though Mephibofleth had neither trimmed his beard, nor wathed his cloaths, from the day the King departed till he returned in peace. "And " it came to pafs, when Mcphibofheth was come " to Jerufalem to meet the king, that the king " faid unto him, Wherefore wenteft thou not with "s me, Mephibolheth? And lie anfwered, my lord, " O king, my fervant deceived me; for thy fer" vant faid, I will faddle me an afs, that I may "s ride thereon, and go to the king ; becaufe thy "fervant is lame, and he hath flandered thy fer" vant unto my lord the king. But my lord the " king is as an angel of God: do therefore what
(a) Judges, iv. S.
(b) I Samuel, xxvii.
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" is good in thine eyes. For all my father's houfe "were but dead men before my lord the king: " yet didft thou fet thy fervant among them that " did eat at thine own table: what right therefore " have I to cry any more unto the king ?" David could not poffibly atone for his rafhnefs, but by reftoring to Mephibotheth his inheritance, and punilhing Ziba in an exemplary manner. But hear the fentence: " And the king faid unto him, "Why fpeakeft thou any more of thy matters? "I have faid, Thou and Ziba divide the " land (a)." The fame king, after pardoning Shimei for curfing him, and fivearing that he fhould not die; yet upon death-bed enjoined his fon Solomon to put Shimei to death : "Now therefore " hold him not guiltlefs; but his hoary head bring " thou down to the grave with blood (b)." I wifh not to be mifapprehended, as intending to cenfure David in particular. If the beft king the Jews ever had, was to miferably deficient in morality, what muft be thought of the nation in general ? When David was lurking to avoid the wrath of Saul, he became acquainted with Nabal, who had a great ftock of cattle. "He difcharged " his followers," fays Jolephus (c), " either for " avarice, or hunger, or any pretext whatever, to "touch a fingle hair of them; preaching ftill " on the text of doing juftice to all men, in " conformity to the will of God, who is 1 ot " pleafed with any man that covets or lays vio" lent hands on the goods of his neighbour." Our author proceeds to acquaint us', that Nabal having refufed to fupply David with provifions, and having fent back the meffengers with a fcotfing anfiver, David in rage made a vow, that he would deffroy Nabal with his houfe and family. Our author obferves, that David's indignation againft

$$
\text { A a } 2 \quad \text { Nabal, }
$$

[^86]Nabal, was not fo much for his ingratitude, as for the virulence of an infolent outrage againft one who had never injured him. And what was the outrage? It was, fays our author, that Nabai enquiring who the faid David was, and being told that he was one of the fons of Jeffe, "Yes, " yes," fays Nabal, " your run-away fervants look " upon themfelves to be brave fellows, I war"rant you." Strange loofenefs of morals! I mean not David, who was in wrath, but Jofephas writing fedately in his clofet. He every where celebrates David for his juftice and piety, compofes for him the very warm exhortation mentioned above: and yet thinks him not guilty of any wrong, in vowing to break every rule of juftice and hunanity, upon fo flight a provocation as a fcoffing expreffion, fuch as no man of temper will regard.

European nations, who originally were fierce and fanguinary like the Greeks and Jews, had the fame cloudy and uncorrect notions of right and wrong. It is farce necefiary to give inftances, the low thate of morality during the dark ages of Chriftianity being known to all. In the time of Louis XI. of France, promifes and engagements were utterly difregarded, till they were fanctified by a folemn oath: nor were fuch oaths long regarded; they loft their force, and were not relied on more than fimple promifes. All faith among men feemed to be at an end. Even thofe who appeared the moft fcrupulous about character, were however ready to grafp at any fubterfuge to excufe their breach of engagement. And it is a ftill clearer proof of felf-deceit, that fuch fubterfuges were frequently prepared before-hand, in order to furnifh an excufe. It was a common prac-. tice fome ages ago, to make private proteftations, which were thought fufficient to relieve men in confcience from being bound by a folemn treaty. The Scotch nation, as an ally of France, being comprehended
titude, as e againft what was hat Nabal and being re, "Yes, vants look vs, I warIs! I mean phus writwhere celecompofes mentioned any wrong, ice and hua fooffing will regard. re fierce and and the fame and wrong. es, the low yes of Chrithe time of engagements ere fanctified aths long rewere not re11 faith among In thofe who haracter, were erfuge to ex-

And it is a fuch fubter. e-hand, in orcommon prac. proteftations, elieve men in folemn treaty. France, being coinprehended
comprehended in a treaty of peace between the French King and Edward I. of England, the latter ratified public!y the treaty, after having fecretly protefted before notaries againft the article that comprehended Scotland. Charles, afterward Emperor of Germany, during his minority, gave authority to declare publicly his accellion to a treaty of peace, between his grandfather Maximilian and the King of France: but at the fame time protefted privately, before a notary and witneffes, "That, notwithitanding his public accefi$s 6$ on to the faid treaty, it was not his intention " to be bound by every article of it; and par" ticularly, that the claufe referving to the King " of France the fovereignty of certain territories " in the Netherlands, fhouid not be binding." Is it poffible Charles could be fo blind as not to fee, that fuch a proteftation, if fufficient to relieve from an engagement, muft deftroy all faith among men ? Francis I. of France, while prifoner in Spain, engaged Henry the VIII. of England in a treaty againft the Emperor, fubmitting to very hard terms in order to gain Henry's friendfhip. The King's mimifters protefted privately againft fome of the articles; and the protef was recorded in the fecret regifter of the parlianient of Paris, to ferve as an excufe in proper time, for breaking the treaty. At the marriage of Mary Queen of Scotland to the Dauphin of France, the King of France ratified every article infifted on by the Scotch parliament, for preferving the independence of the nation, and for fecuring the fucceffion of the crown to the houfe of Hamilton; confirming them by deeds in form and with the moft folemn oaths. But Mary previoufly had been perfuaded to fubfcribe privately three deeds, in which, failing heirs of her body, fhe gifted the kingdom of Scotland to the King of France; declaring all promifes to the contrary that had been extorted from her by her fubjects,
to be void. What better was this than what was practifed by Robert King of France in the tenth century, to free his fubjects from the guilt of perjury? They fwore upon a box of relics, out of which the relics had been privately taken. Correa, a Portuguefe general, made a treaty with the King of Pegu; and it was agreed, that each party fhould fwear to obferve the treaty, laying his hand upon the facred book of his religion. Correa fwore upon a collection of fongs; and thought that by that vile ftratagem he was free from his engagement. The inhabitants of Britain were fo loofe formerly, that a man was not reckoned fafe in his own houfe, without a maftiff to protect him from violence. Maftiffs were permitted even to thofe who dwelt within the king's forefts; and to prevent danger to the deer, there was in England a court for laveing or expeditation of maftives, i. e. for cutting off the claws of their forefeet, to prevent them from running. (a). The trial and condemnation of Charles I. in a pretended court of juftice, however audacious and unconftitutional, was an effort toward regularity and order. In the preceding are, th: e king would have been taken off by affaffination or poifon. Every prince in Europe had an officer, whefe province it was to fecure his mafter againft poifon. A lady was appointed to that office by Queen Elizabeth of Fingland ; and the form was, to give each of the fervants a mouthful to eai of the dilh he brought in. Poifon muft have been frequent in thofe days, to make fuch a regulation neceffary. To vouch ftill more clearly the low ebb of morality during that period, feldom it happened that a man of figure died fuddenly, or of an unufual difeafe, but poifon was fufpected. Men confcious of their own vicious difpofition, are prone to fufpect others. The Dauphin,
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Dauphin, fon to Francis l. of France, a youth of about eighteen, having overheated himfelf at play, took a great draught of iced water, and died of a pleurify in five days. The death was fudden, but none is more natural. The fufpicion however of poifon was univerfal ; and Montecuculi, who attended the young prince, was formally condemned to death for it, and executed ; for no better reafon, than that he had at all times ready accefs to the prince.

Confidering the low fate of morality where diffocial paffions bear rule, as in the feenes now difplayed, one would require a miracle to recover mankind out of fo miferable a flate. But, as obferved above (a), Providence brings order out of confufion. The intolerable diftiefs of a ftate of things where a promife, or even an oath, is a rope of fand, and where all are let againft all (b), made people at laft fenfible, that they muft either renounce fociety altogether, or qualify themfelves for it by checking their diflocial patlions. Finding from experience that the gratification of focial affections exceeds greatly that of cruelty and revenge ; men endeavoured to acquire a habit of felf-command, and of reftraining their ftormy patfions. The neceffity of fulfilling every moral duty was recognifed: men liftened to confcience, the voice of God in their hearts : and the moral fenfe was cordially fubmitted to, as the ultimate judge in all matters of right and wrong. Salutary laws and fteady government contributed to perfect that glorious revolution : private conviction alone would not have been effectual, not at leaft in many ages.

From that revolution is derived what is termed the law of nations, meaning certain regulations dictated by the moral fenfe in its maturity. The laws

[^88]laws of our nature refine gradually as our nature refines. From the putting an enemy to death in cold blood, improved nature is averfe, though fuch practice was common while barbarity prevailed. It is held infamous to ufe poifoned weapons, though the moral fenfe made little oppofition while rancour and revenge were ruling paflions. Averfion to ftrangers is taught to vary its object, from individuals, to the nation that is our enemy : I bear enmity againft France; but dillike not any one Frenchman, being confcious that it is the duty of fubjects to ferve their king and country *. In diftributing jufice, we make no diftinction between natives and foreigners: if any partiality be indulged, it is in favour of the helplefs ftranger.

But cruelty is not the only antagonift to morality. There is another, lefs violent indeed, but more cunning and undermining; and that is the hoarding appetite. Before money was introduced, that appetite was extremely faint: in the firft ftage of civil fociety, men are fatisfied with plain neceflaries; and having thefe in plenty, they think not of providing againft want. But money is a fpecies of property, fo univerfal in operation, and fo permanent in value, as to roufe the appetite for hoarding: love of money excites induitry; and the many beautiful productions of indultry, magnificent houfes, fplendid gardens, rich garments, inflame the appetite to an extreme. The people of Whidah, in Guinea, are much addicted to pil. fering. Bozman was told by the king, "That " his fubjects were not like thofe of Ardrah, who " on the flighteft umbrage will poifon an Euro" pean. This, fays he, you have no reafon to " apprehend
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"6 apprehend here: but take care of your goods ; " for fo expert are my people at thieving, that " they will fteal from you while you are look" ing on." In the thirteenth century, fo obfcured was the moral fenfe by rapacity and avarice, that robbery on the highway, and the coining falle money, were in Germany held to be privileges of great lords. Cicero fomewhere talks of banditti who infefted the roads near Rome, and made travelling extremely dangerous. In the days of Henry III. of England, the chronicle of Dunftable reports, that the country was in great diforder by theft and robbery, that men were not fecure in their own houfes, and that whole villages were often plundered by bands of robbers, though the kingdom was otherwife at peace. Many of the King's own houfehold were found to be robbers; and excufed themfelves, that having received no wages from the King, they were obliged to rob for fubfiftence. That perjury was common in the city of London, efpecially among ju-ry-men, makes a preamble in more than one ftatute of Henry VII. In the Dance of Death, tranflated from the French in the faid king's reign, with additions adapted to Englifh manners, a juryman is introduced, who influenced by bribes, had frequently given a falfe verdict. And the fheriff was often fufpected as acceffory to the crime, by returning for jurymen perfons of a bad character. Carew, in his account of Cornwall, fays, that it was an ordinary article in an attorney's bill, to charge pro amicitia vicccomitis *. Perjury in jurors of the city of London is greatly complained of. Stow informs us, that, in the year 1468 , many jurors of that city were punifhed; and papers fixed on their heads declaring their offence of being corrupted by the parties to the fuit. He complains

[^90]plains of that corruption as flagrant in the reign of Elizabeth, when he wrote his account of London. Fuller, in his Englifh Worthics, mentions it as a proverbial faying, "That London juries " hang half, and fave half." Crafton, in his Chronicle, mentions, that the chancellor of the Bifhop of London being indicted for murder, the Bifhop wrote a letter to Cardinal Wolfey, begging his interpofition for having the profecution ftopt, " becaufe London juries were fo corrupted, that " they would find Abel guilty of the murder of "Cain." Mr. Hume, in the firft volume of his hiftory of England (page 417. edition 1762.) cites many inftances from Madox of bribes given for perverting juftice. In that period, the morals of the low people were in other particulars equally loofe. We learn from Strype's annals (a), that in the ounty of Somerfet alone, forty perfons were exc:uted in one year for robbery, theft, and other felonies, thirty-five burnt in the hand, thir-ty-feven whipped, one hundred and eighty-three difcharged though moft wicked and defperate perfons; and yet that the fifth part of the felonies committed in that county were not brought to trial, either from cunning in the, felons, indolence in the magitrate, or foolifh lenity in the people; that other countics were in no better condition, and many in a worfe : and that commonly there were three or four hundred able-bodied vagabonds in every county, who lived by theft and rapine. Harrifon computes, that in the reign of Heury VIII. feventy-two thoufand thieves and rogues were hanged; and that in Elizabeth's time there were only hanged yearly between three and four hundred for theft and robbery. At prefent, there are not forty hanged in a year for thefe crimes. The fame author reports, that in
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the reign of Elizabeth, there were computed to be in Eugland ten thoufand gypfies. In the year 1601, complaints were made in parliament, of the rapine of the juftices of peace; and a member faid, that this magiftrate was an animal, who, for half a dozen of chickens, would difpenfe with a dozen of penal ftatutes. The low people in England are greatly improved in their morals fince the days of Elizabeth. Laying afide London, there are few places in the world where the common people are more orderly and honeft. But we muft not conclude, that England has gained much in point of morality. It has loft more by the luxury and loofe manners of its nobles, than it has gained by good difcipline among their inferiors. The undifciplined manners of our forefathers in Scotland, made a law neceffary, that whoever intermeddled irregularly with the goods of a deceafed perfon, fhould be fubjected to pay all his debts, however extenfive. A due fubmiffion to legal authority, has in effect abrogated that fevere law; and it is now fcarce ever heard of.
To control the hoarding-appetite, which when inflamed is the bane of civil fociety, the God of nature has provided two efficacious principles; the moral fenfe, and the fenfe of property. The hoard-ing-appetite, it is true, is more and more inflamed by beautiful productions in the progrefs of art: but, on the other hand, the fenfes mentioned, arrived at maturity, have a commanding influence over the actions of men; and, when cherihed in a good government, are a fufficient counterbalance to the hoarding appetite. The ancient Egyptians enjoyed for ages the bleflings of gond government; and moral principles were among them carried to a greater degree of refinement than at prefent even in our courts of equity. It was made the duty of every one, to fuccour
fuccour thole who were unjuftly attacked: even paffengers were not exempted. A regulation among them, that a man cuu'? not be imprifoned for debt, was well luited to the tenme of their laws and manners: it could nor have taken place but among an honeft and incialtion people. In old Rome, though remarkable for temperance and aufterity of manners, a debtor could be imprifoned, and even fold as a flave for payment of the debt; but the Patricians were the creditors, and the poor Plebeians were held in woful fubjection *. The moderation of the inhabitants of Hamburgh, and their public fpirit kept in vigour by a free government, preferve morality anong them entire from taint or cor-


#### Abstract

* A bankrupt in England who pays three fourths of lis dcbt, and obtains a sertificate of his good belaviour, is difcharged of all the debts contracted by him before his bankruptcy. Such regulation was perhaps not unfuitable to the moderation and frugality of the period when it was made. But luxury and external how, have now become our ruling paffion; and to fupply our extravagance, money muft be procured at any rate. Trade in particular has degenerated into a feecies of gaming; men venturing their all, in hopes of a lucky hit to clevate them above their neighbours. And did they only venture thair own, the cafe would not be deplorable: they venture all they can procure upon credit; and by that means, reduce to beggary many an innocent family: with refpect to themfelves, they know the worft, which is to be cleared from their debts by a certificate. The morals ol our people are indeed at fo low an ebb, as to require the moft fevere laws againft bankruptcy. When a man borrows a fum, it is implied in the covenant, that all his effects prefent and future flalll lie open to the creditor ; for whiçlı reafon, it is contradicfory to juftice, that the creditor mould be forced to difcharge the debt without obtaining complete payment. Many debtors, it is true, deferve favour; but it ought to be left to the humanity of creditors, and not be forced from them by law. A debtor, at the fame time, may be fafely left to the humanity of his creditors: for if he have conducted his affairs with firict integrity and with any degree of prudence, there will farce be found one man fo hard-hearted, as to ftand out againf the laudable and henerolent intentions of his fellow-creditors. Nay, if he have any regard to character, lie dare not ftand out : he would be held as a monfter, and be abhorred by all the world. To leave a bankrupt thus to the mercy of his creditors, would produce the moft falutary effects. It would excite men to be ftrictly juft in their dealings, and put an end to gaming, fo deftruative to credit ; becaufe mifbehaviour in any of thefe particulars would fet the whole creditors againt their debtor, and leave him no hope of favour. In the late bankrupt-ftatute for Scotland, aceordingly, the claufe concerning the certificate was wifly left out, as unfuitable to the depraved manners of the prefent time.
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ruption. I give an illuftrious inftance. Infteadiof a tax upon trade or riches, every merchant puts privately into the public cheft, what he thinks ought to be his contribution : the total fum feldom falls fhort of expectation ; and among that numerous body of mell, not one is fufpected of contributing lefs than his proportion. But luxury has not yet got footing in that city. A climate not kindly and a foil not fertile, enured the Swifs to temper nce and to virtue. Patriotifin continues the paffion: they are fond or ferving their and are honeft and faithful to each o law-fuit among them is a wonder ; and a door is feldom fhut unlefs to kecp out cold.
The hurtful effects of the hoarding-appetite upon individuals, make no figure compared with what it has upon the public, in every fate enriched by conqueft or by commerce ; which I have had more than one opportunity to mention. Overflowing riches unequally diftributed, multiply artificial wants beyond all bounds : they eradicate patriotifin : they fofter luxury, fenfuality, and felfiflhefs, which are commonly gratified at the expence even of juttice and honour. The Athenians were early corrupted by opulence; to which every thing was made fubfervient. "It "is an oracle," fays the chorus in the Agamemnon of Efchylus, " that is not purchafed " with money." During the infancy of a nation, vice prevails from imbecillity in the moral fenfe: in the decline of a nation, it prevails from the corruption of aflluence.
In a finall ftate, there is commonly much virtue at home, and much violence abroad. The Romans were to their neighbours more baneful than famine or peftilence; but their patriotifm produced great integrity at home. An oath, when given


given to fortify an engagement with a fellow-citizen, was more facred at Rome than in any other part of the world (a). The cenforian office cannot fucceed hut among a virtuous people; becaufe its rewards and punifhments have no influence but upon thofe who are afhamed of vice *. As foon as Afiatic opulence and luxury prevailed in Rome, felfifhnefs, fenfuality, and avarice, formed the character of the Romans; and the cenforian power was at an end. Such relaxation of morals enfued, as to make a law neceffary, prohibiting the cuftody of an infant to be given to the heir, for fear of murder. And for the fame reafon, it was held unlawful to make a covenant de bereditate viventis. Thefe regulations prove the Romans to have been grofsly corrupt. Our law is different in both articles; becaufe it entertains not the fame bad opinion of the people whom it governs $t$. Domitius Enobarbus and Appius Pulcher were confuls of Rome in the 699th year ; and Memmius and Calvinus were candidates for fucceeding them in that office. It was agreed among thefe four worthy gentlemen, that they fhould mutually affift each other. The confuls engaged to promote the election of Memmius and Calvinus: and they, on the other hand, fubfcribed a bond, obliging themfelves, under a penalty of about L. 3000 Sterling, to procure three
(a) L'Efprit des loix, liv. 8. ch. 13.

* In the fifteenth contury, the French clergy from the pulpit cenfured public tranfactions, and even the conduct of their king, as our Brition clergy did in the days of Charles I. and II. They affumed the privilege of a Roman cenfor; but they were not men of fuch authority as to do any good in a corrupted nation.
$\dagger$ In the beginning of the prefent century, attornevs and agents were fo little relied on for honefty and integrity, as to be diqualified by the court of feffion from being factors on the eftates of bankrupts. (Act of federunt 23 d November 1710 ). At prefent, the fators chofen are commonly of that profeffion, writcrs or agents; and it appears trom experience, that they make the beft factors. Such improvement in morals in fo mort a time, has nut many paralled.
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three augurs, who fhould atteft, that they were prefent in the comitia when a law paffed invefting the confuls with military command in their provinces; and alfo obliging themfelves to produce three perfons of confular rank, to depofe, that they were in the number of thofe who figned a decree, conferring on the confuls the ufual proconfular appointments. And yet the law made in the comitia, and the decree in the fenate, were pure fictions. Infamous as this tranfaction was, Memmius, to anfwer fome political purpofe, was not afhamed to divulge it to the fenate. This fame Memmius, however, continued to be Cicero's correfpondent, and his profeffed friend. Prob tempora! prob mores! But the paffion for power and riches was at that time prevalent; and the principles of morality were very little regarded.

It cannot be diffembled, that felfifhnefs, fenfuality, and avarice, muft in England be the fruits of great opulence, as in every other country; and that morality cannot maintain its authority againat fuch undermining antagonifts. Cuftomhoufe-oaths have become fo familiar among us, as to be fwallowed without a wry face; and is it certain, that bribery and perjury in electing parliament members, are not approaching to the fame cool ftate ? In the infancy of morality, a promife makes but a flight imprefinon: to give it force, it is commonly accompanied with many ceremonies (a); and in treaties between fovereigns, even thefe ceremonies are not relied on without a folemn oath. When morality arrives at maturity, the oath is thought unneceffary; and at prefent, morality is fo much on the decline, that a folemn oath is no more relied on, than a fimple promife was originally. Laws have been made to prevent fuch immorality, but in vain : becaufe none but patriots

[^91]triots have an intereft to fupport them; and when patriotifm is banifled by corruption, there is no remaining fpring in government to make them effectual. The flatutes made againf gaming, and againit bribery and corruption in elections, have no authority over a degenerate people.. Nothing is fludied, but how to evade the penalties; and fuppofing flatutes to be made without end for preventing known evafions, new evafions will fpring up in their fead. The mifery is, that fuch laws, if they prove abortive, are never innocent with regard to confequences; for nothing is more fubverfive of morality as well as of patriotifm, than a. habit of difregarding the laws of our country *.

But pride fometimes happily interpofes to flem the tide of corruption. The poor are net allamed to take a bribe from the rich; nor weak flates from thofe that are powerful, difguifed only under the name of fubfidy or penfon. Both France and England have been in the practice of fecuring the alliance of neighbouring princes by penfions; and it is natural in the minitters of a penfioned prince, to receive a gratification for keeping their mafter to his engagement. England never was at any t $t$ fo inferior to France, as to fuffer her

[^92]and when there is no nake them aming, and tions, have
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king openly to accept a penfion from the French king, whatever private tranfactions might be between the kings themfelves. But the minifters of England thought it no difparagement, to receive penfions from France. Every minifter of Edward IV. of England received a penfion from Louis XI.; and they made no difficulty of granting a receipt for the fum. The old Earl of Warwick, fays Commines, was the only exception : he took the money, but refufed a receipt. Cardinal Woiley had a penfion both from the Emperor and from the King of France: and his mafter Henry was vain to find his minifter fo much regarded by the firft powers in Europe. During the reigns of Charles II. and of his brother James, England made fo defpicable a figure, that the minifters accepted penfions from Louis XIV. A king deficient in virtue, is never well ferved. King Charles, moft difgracefully, accepted a penfion from France: what fcruple could his minifters have? Britain, governed by a king eminently virtuous and patriotic, makes at prefent fo great a figure, that even the loweft minifter would difdain a penfion from any foreign prince. Men formerly were fo blind, as not to fee that a penfion creates a bias in a minifter, againft his mafter and his country. At prefent men clearly fee, that a foreign penfion to a minifter is no better than a bribe: and it would be held fo by all the world.

In a nation enriched by conqueft or commerce, where felfifh paffions always prevail, it is difficult to ftem the tide of immorality: the decline of virtue may be retarded by wholefome regulations; but no regulations will ever reftore it to its meridian vigour. Marcus Aurelius, Emperor of Rome, caufed ftatues to be made of all the brave men who figured in the Germanic war. It has long been a practice in China, to honour perfons eminent for virtue, by feafting them anually at the Vol. II. B b Emperor's

Emperor's expence. A late Emperor made an improvement : he ordered reports to be fent him annually, of men and women who when alive had been remarkable for public fpirit or private virtue, in order that monuments might be erected to their memory. The following report is one of many that were fent to the Emperor. "Ac"cording to the order of your Majefty, for erect" ing monuments to the honour of women, who " have been celebrated for continence, for filial " piety, or for purity of manners, the viceroy ' of Canton reports, that in the town of Sinhoei, "s a beautiful young woman, named Leang, fa" crificed her life to fave her chaftity. In the " fifteenth year of our Emperor Canghi, the was "s dragged by pirates into their flip ; and ha" ving no other way to efcape their brutal luft, " the threw herfelf headlong into the fea. Be"c ing of opinion, that to prefer honour before " lite is an example worthy of imitation, we " purpofe, according to your Majefty's order, to " erect a triumphal arch for that young woman, " and to engrave her ftory upon a large ftone, "s that it may be preferved in perpetual remem" brance." At the foot of the report is written, Thee Emperor approves. Pity it is, that fuch regutations houid ever prove abortive, for their purpofe is excellent. But they would need angels to carry them on. Every deviation from a juft felection enervates them; and frequent deviations render them a fubject of ridicule. But how are deviations to be prevented, when men are the judges? Thofe who diftribute the rewards have friends or flatterers; and thofe of greater merit will be neglected. Like the cenforian power in Rome, fuch regulations, after many abufes, will fink .into contempt.

- Two errors, which infefted morality in dark times, have occafioned much injuftice : and I am rivate virbe erected ort is one or. "Acy, for ereetvomen, who $e$, for filial the viceroy of Sinhoei, Leang, faty. In the ghi, fhe was $\rho$; and habrutal luft, e fea. Beonour before nitation, we y's order, to oung wonan, large ftone, etual remenrt is written, hat fuch re$e$, for their uld need anation from a frequent de. dicule. But $d$, when men $e$ the rewards fe of greater nforian power ny abufes, will
ality in dark ce : and I am not
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not certain, that they are yet entirely eradicated. The firf is an opinion, That an action derives its quality of right and wrong from the event, without regard to intention. The other is, That the end jultifies the means; or, in other woids, That means otherwife unlawful, may be lawfully employ-s ed to bring about a good end. With an account of thefe two crrors, I thall clofe the prefent hiftorical fetch.
Thiat inattention is the circumftance which qualifies an action and its author, to be criminal or innocent, is made evident in the firft part of the prefent. fketch; and is now admitted to be fo by every moral writer. But rude and barbarous nations feldom carry their thoughts beyond what falls under their external fenfes: they conclude an action to be wrong that happens to do harm; without ever thinking of motives, of Will, of intention, or of any circumftance that is not obvious to eye-fight. From many paffages in the Old Teftament it appears, that the external act only, with its confequences, was regarded. Ifaac, imitating his father Abtaham, made his wife Rebecca pals for his fifter. Abipelech, King of the l'iiliftines, having difcovered the impofture, faid to Ifac, " What is this thou haft done unto "us? One of the people might have lien with "thy wife, and thou fhouldft have brought guil"tinefs upon us (a):" Jonathan was condemned to die for tranfgrefling a prohibition he had never heard of (b). A fin of ignorance, i. e. an action done without ill intention, required a facrifice of expiation (c). Saul defeated by the Philiftines, fell on his own fword: the wound not being mortal, he prevailed on a young Amalekite to pull out the fword, and to difpatch him B b 2 with

[^93]with it: Jofephus (a) fays, that David ordered the criminal to be delivered up to jutice as 2 zegitide.

The Greeks appear to have wavered greatly about intention, fometimes holding it effential to a crime, and fometimes difregarding it as a circumftance of no moment. Of thefe contraditory opinions, we have pregnant evidence in the two tragedies of Oedipus ; the firft taking it for granted, that a crime confifts entirely, in the external ast and its confequences; the other holding intention to be indifpenfable. Oedipus had killed lis father Laius, and married his mother Jocaf. ta; but without any crininal intention, being ig. norant of his relation to them. And yet hiftory informs us, that the gods punifhed the Thiebans with peftilence; for fuffering a wretch fo grofsly criminal to live. Sophocles author of both tragedies, puts the following words in the mouth of Tirefias the prophet.

## Know theri,

 That Oedipus, in fhameful bonds united, With thofe he loves, unconfcious of his guilt, Is yet moft guilty.And that doctrine is efpoufed by Ariftotle in a hater period; who holding Oedipus to have been deeply criminal, though without intention, is of opinion, that a more proper fubject for tragedy never was brought upon the ftage. Nay as a philofopher he talks currently of an involuntary crime. Oreftes; in Euripides, acknowledges himfelf to be guilty in killing his mether; yet afferts with the fame breath, that his crime was inevitab'e, a neceflary crime, a crime commanded by religion.
vid orderjuftice as ed greatly effential to it as a cirontradictory in the two it for grantthe external holding in as had killed other Jocaf on, being ig. d yet hiftory the Thebans fo grofsly crioth tragedies, th of Tirefias
united, $f$ his guilt,

Arifotle in 2 to have been intention, is of et for tragedy Nay as a phian involuntary nowledges himother ; yet af$s$ crime was in. me commanded

In
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In Oedipus Coloneus, the other tragedy mentioned, a very different opinion is maintained. A defence is made for that unlucky man, agreeable to found moral principles ; that having had no bad intention, he was entirely innocent ; and that his misfortunes ought to be afcribed to the wrath of the gods.

Thou who upbraid'It me thus for all my woes, Murder and inceft, which againft my will I had committed ; fo it pleas'd the gods, Offended at iny race for former crimes. But I am guiltlefs : can'ft thou name a fault Deferving this? For, tell me, was it mine, When to my father, Phobus did declare, That he fhould one day perifh by the hand Of his own child; was Oedipus to blame, Who had no being then? If, born at length To wretchednefs, he niet his fire unknown, And flew him; that involuntary deed Can'f thou condemn ? And for my fatal marriage, Dof thou not blufh to name it? was not fhe Thy fifter, the who bore me ignorant And guiltlefs woman! afterwards my wife, And mother to my children? What fhe did, fhe did unknowing.
But, not for that, $10 \%$ for my murder ${ }^{2} d$ father, Have I deferv'd' thy bitter taunts: for, tell me, Thy life attack'd, wouldft thou have ftaid to ank Th' affaffin, if he were thy father? No ; Self-love would urge thee to revenge the infult. Thus ,was I drove to ill by th' angry gods; This, fhou'd my father's foul revifit earth, Himfelf wou'd own, and pity Oedipus.

Again, in the fourth act, the following prayer is put up for Oedipus by the chorus.

That not opprefs'd by tort'ring pain, Beneath the flroke of death he linger long; But fwift, with eafy fteps, defcend to Styx's drear abode;
For he hath led a life of toil and pain ; May the juft gods repay his undeferved woe.

The audience was the fame in both plays. Did they think Oedipus to be guilty in the one play, and innocent in the other? If they did not, how could both plays be relifhed? if they did, they muft have been grofsly ftupid.

The ftatucs of a Roman Emperor were held $\mathrm{fo}_{0}$ facred, that to treat them with any contempt was high treafon. / This ridiculous opinion was carried fo far out of common feife, that a man was held guilty of high treafon, if a flone thrown by him happened accidentally to touch one of thefe ftatues. And the law continued in force till abrogated by a refcript of Severus Antoninus (a).

In Fingland, fo little was intention regarded, that cafual homicide, and even homicide in felfdefence, were capitally punifhed. It requires ftrong evidence to vouch fo abfurd a law; and I have the ftrongeft, viz. the aft 52 Henry III. cap. 26. converting the capital punifhment into a forfecture of moveables. The fame abfurdity continucd much longer to be law in Scotland. By act iy. parl. 1649, renewed act 22. parl. 1661, the capital punifhment is converted to imprifonment, or a fue to the wife and children. In a period fo Jate as the Reftoration, ftrange blindnefs it was not to be fenfible, that homicide in felf-defence, being a lavful act juitified by the fricteft rules of morality, fubjects not a man to puniflment, more
more than the defending his property againt a robber; and that cafual homicide, meaning homicide committed innocently without ill intention, may fubject him to reparation, but never to any punifhnent mild or fevere.

The Jefuits in their doftrines feem to reft on the external act, difregarding intention. 'It is with them a matter of perfect indifference, from what motive men obey the laws of God; confequently that the fervice of thofe who obey froin fear of punifhment, is no lef's acceptable to the Deity, than of thofe who obey from a principle of love.
The other error mentioned above, is, That the end juftifies the means. In defence of that propofition, it is urged that the character of the means is derived from the end ; that every action muft be wrong which contributes to all ill end. According to this reafoning, it is right to affaffinate a man who is a declared or concealed enemy to his country. It is right to rob a riclr man in order to relieve a perfon in want.What becomes then of property, which by all is held inviolable?' It is totally unhinged. The propofition then is untenable as far as light can be drawn from reafon. At the fame time the tribunal of reafon may be juftly declined in this cafe:Reafon is the only touchftone of right and wrong. And to maintain, that the qualities of right and wrong are difcoverable by reafon, is no lefs abfurd than that truth and faliehood-are difcoverable by the moral feufe. The moral fenfe dictates, that on no pretext whatever it is lawful to do an act of injuftice, or any wrong (a): and men, confcious that the moral fenfe governs in matters of right and wrong, fubmit implicitly to its dictates. Influenced however by the reafoning mentioned, men, during the nonage of the moral

[^94]moral fenfe, did wrong currently in order to bring about a good end; witnefs pretended miracles and forged writings, urged without referve by every fect of Chriftians againft their antagonifts. And I am forry to oblerve, that the error is not entirely eradicated: miffionaries employed in converting infidels to the true faith, are little fcrupulous about the means: they make no difficulty to feign prodigies in order to convert thofe who are not moved by argument. Such pious frauds tend to fap the very foundations of morality.

## S K E T C H III.

Principles and Progress of Theology.

A$S$ no other fcience can vie with theology, either in dignity or importance,' it jufly clains to be a favourite fludy with every perfon endued with true tafte and folid judgement. From the time that writing was invented, natural rell. gion has employed pens without number; and yet in no language is there found a connected hiftory of it. The prefent work will only admit a flight fketch: which' I fhall glory in, however imperfect, if it excite any one of fuperior talents to undertake a complete hiftory.
B. III: der to bring ed miracles rve by every ifts. And 1 not entirely converting bulous about ofeign proe not moved d to fap the
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## $\mathbf{C}$ H A P.

> Exifience of a Deity.

That there exift beings, one or many, powerful above the human race, is a propofition univerfally admitted as true, in all ages, and among all nations. I boldly call it univerfal, notwithftanding what is reported of fome grofs favages; for reports that contradict what is acknowledged to be general among men, require more able vouchers than a few illiterate voyagers. Among many favage tribes, there are no words but for objects of external fenfe : is it furprifing, that fuch people are incapable to exprefis their religious perceptions, or any perception of internal fenfe? and from their filence can it be fairly prefumed, that they have no fuch perception $\dagger$ ? The conviction that men have of fuperior powers in every country where there are words to exprefs it, is fo well vouched, that in fair reafoning it ought to be taken for granted among the few tribes where language is. deficient. Even the groffert idolatry affords evidence of that conviction. No nation can be fo brutifh as to worfhip a ftone, merely as fuich: the vifible objefi is always imagined to

[^95]be connected with fome invifible power ; and the worlhip paid to the former, is as reprefenting the latter, or as in fome manner connected with it. Every family among the ancient Lithuanians, entertained a real ferpent as a houfehold god; and the fame practice is at prefent univerfal among the negroes in the kingdom of Whidah: it is not the ferpent that is worlhipped, but fome deity imagined to refide in it. The ancient Egyptians were not idiots, to pay divine honours to a buill or a cat, as fuch : the divine honours were paid to a deity, as refiding in thefe animals. The fun is to man a fauiliar object : being frequently obfcured by clouds, and totally eclipfed during night, a favage naturally conceives it to be a great fire, fometimes flaming bright, fometimes obfcured, and fometimes extinguifhed. . Whence then fun-worfhip, once univerfal among favages? Plainly from the fame caufe: it is not only properly the fun that is worfhipped, but a deity who is fuppofed to dwell in that luminary.

Taking it then for granted, that our convision of fuperior powers has been long univerffl, the important queftion is, From what caufe it proceeds. A conviction fo univerfal and fo perma--nent, cannot proceed from chance; but muft have a caufe operating conftantly and invariably upon all men in all ages. Philofophers, who believe the world to be eternal and felf.exiftent, and imagine it to be the only deity though without intelligence, endeavour to account for our conviction of fuperior power, from the terror that thunder and other elementary convulfions raife in favages ; and thence conclude that fuch belief is no evidence of a deity. Thus Lucretius,

Praterea, cui non animus formidine divum
Contrahitur? cui non conripunt membra pavore,
Fulminis
wer ; and the prefenting the cted with it. huanians, enold god ; and iverfal among rhidah : it is ut fome deity ent Egyptians urs to a bull urs were paid tals. The fun frequently obduring night, oe a great fire, obfcured, and then fun-wor? Plainly from perly the fun is fuppofed to
our convistiuniverfel, the caufe it prond fo permabut muft have variably upon ho believe the , and imagine at intelligence, viction of futhunder and favages ; and s no cvidence
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Fulminis horribili cum plaga torrida tellus
Contremit, et magnum percurrunt murmura coelum* (a)?

And Petronius Arbiter,
Primus in orbe deos fecit timor: ardua cœlo Fulmina quum caderent difcuffaque mœnia flamnis,
Atque ictus flagraret Athos $\dagger$.
It will readily be yielded to thefe gentlemen, that favages, grofsly ignorant of caufes and effects, are apt to take fright at every unufual appearance, and to think that fome malignant being is the caufe. And if they mean only, that the firlt perception of deity among favages is occafioned by fear, I heartily fubfcribe to their opinion. But if they mean, that fuch perceptions proceed from fear folely, without having any other caufe, I wifh to be informed from what fource is derived the belief we have of benevolent deities. Fear cannot be the fource: and it will be feen anon, that tho' malevolent deities were firf recognized among favages, yet that in the progrels of fociety, the exiftence of benevolent deities was univerfally believed. The fact is certain ; and therefore fear is not the fole caufe of our believing the exiftence of fuperior beings.

It is befide to me evident, that the belief even of malevolent deities, once univerfal among all

[^96]all the tribes of men cannot be aecounted $r$ from fear folely. I obferve firf, That there are many men to whom an ecliple, an earthquake, and even thunder, are unknown: Egypt in particular, tho' the country of fuperitition, is little or not at all acquainted with the two latter; and in Peru, tho' its government was a theocracy, thunder is not known. Nor do fuch appearances frike terror into every one who is acquainted with them. The univerfality of the belief, mult then have fome caufe more univerfal than fear. I obferve next, That if the belief were founded folely on fear, it would die away gradually as men improve in the knowledge of caufes and effects: inftruct. a favage, that thunder, an ecliple, an earthquake, proceed from nạtural caufes, and are not threatenings of an incenfed deity; his fear of malevolent beings will vanifh; and with it his belief" in them, if founded folely on fear. Yet the direct contrary is true : in proportion as the human underftanding ripens, our conviction of fuperior powers, or of a Deity, turns more and more firm and authoritative; which will be made evident in the chapter immediately fol. lowing.

Philofophers of more enlarged views and of deeper penetration, may be inclined to think that the operations of nature and the government of this world, which loudly proclaim a Deity, may be fufficient to account for the univerfal belief of fuperior powers. And to give due weight to the argument, I fhall relate a converfation be. tween a Greenlander and a Danifl miffionary, mentioned by Crantz in his hiftory of Green. lind. "It is true,"' fays the Greenlander, " we c: were ignorant. Heathens, and knew, little of a "G God, till you came. But you mult not ima" gine, that no Greenlander thinks about thefe " things,
B. III. ountes $r$ at there re earthquake, pt in partiis little or ter ; and in cracy, thunappearances acquainted belief, muft than fear. ere founded ually as men and effects : eclipfe, an fes, and are ; his fear of ith it his berr. Yet the ion as the onviction of turns more which will ediately fol.
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' 6 things. A kajak (a), with all its tackle and " implements, cannot exift but by the labour of " man; and one who does not underftand it, "would fpoil it. But the meaneft bird requires " more fkill than the beft kajak; and no man "can make a bird. There is ftill more fkill re" quired to make a man: by whom then was he " made? He proceeded from his parents, and they " from their parents. But fome mult have been " the firft parents: whence did they proceed? "Common report fays, that they grew out of the " earth : if fo, why do not men itill grow out " of the earth? And from whence came the " earth itfelf, the fun, the moon, the ftars? Cer" tainly there mult be fome being who made all " 6 thefe things, a being more wife than the wifeft " man." The reafoning . here from effects to their caufes, is ftated with great precifion; and were all men equally penetrating with the Greenlander, fuch reafoning might perhaps be fufficient to account for the conviction of a Deity, univerfally fpread among favages. But fuch penetration is a rare quality among favages; and yet the conviction of fuperior powers is univerfal, not excepting even the groffelt favages, who are altogether incapable of reafoning like our Greenland philofopher. Natural, hiftory has made fo rapid a progrefs of late years, and the finger of God is fo vifible to us in the various operations of nature, that we do not readily conceive how even favages can be ignorant: but it is a common fallacy in reafoning, to judge of others by what we feel in ourfelves.: And to give jufter notions of the condition of favages, I take liberty to introduce the Wogultzoi, a people in Siberia, exhibiting a friking picture of favages in their natural "ftate. That people were baptized at the command
(a) A Greenland boar,
command of Prince Gagarin, governor of the province; and Laurent Lange, in his relation of a journey from Peterburg to Pekin anno 1715, gives the following account of their converfion. "I had curiofity," fays he, " to queition them "s about their worfhip before they embraced Chrif" tianity. They faid, that they had an idol hung "c upon a tree, before which they proftrated them"c felves, raifing their eyes to heaven, and howling " with a loud voice. They could not explain " what they meant by howling; but only, that "s every man howled in his own fathion. Being " interrogated, Whether, in raifing their eyes to "s heaven, they knew that a god is there, who "fees alt the actions, and eyen the thoughts of "s men; they anfwered fimply, That heaven is "f too far above them to know whether a god be "s there or not ; and that they had no care but " 6 to provide meat and drink. Another queftion " being put, Whether they had not more fatif" faction in worfhipping the living God, than they "formerly had in the darknefs of idolatry; they " anfwered, We fee no great difference, and we " do not break our heads about fuch matters." Judge how little capable fuch ignorant favages are, to reafon from effects to their caufes, and to trace a Deity from the operations of nature. It may be added with great certainty, that could they be made in any degree to conceive fuch reafoning, yet fo weak and obfcure would their conviction be, as to reft there without moving them to any fort of worlhip; which however among favages goes hand in hand with the conviction of fuperior powers.

If fear be a caufe altogether infufficient for our conviction of a Deity, univerfal among all tribes; and if reafoning from effects to their caufes can have no influence upon ignorant favages; what

## B. III.
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Included in the fenfe of Deity, is the duty we are under to worfhip him. And to enforce that duty, the principle of devotion is made part of our nature. All men accordingly agree in worThipping fuperior beings, however they may differ in the mode of worfhip. And the univerfality of fuch worfhip, proves devotion to be an innate principle $\dagger$.
The perception we have of being accountable agents, arifes from another branch of the fenfe of Deity. We expect approbation from the Deity when we do right ; and dread punifhment from him when guilty of any wrong; not excepting the moft occult crimes hid from every mortal eye. From what caufe can dread proceed in that cafe, but from conviction of a fuperior being, avenger of wrongs? The dread, when immoderate, diforders the mind, and makes every unufual misfortune pafs for a punifhment inflicted by an invifible hand. "Ard they faid one to another, "We are verily guilty concerning our brother, " in that we faw the anguifh of his foul, when " he befought us, and we would not hear: there" fore is this diftrefs come upon us. And Reu"ben anfwered them, faying, Spake I not unto " you, faying, Do not fin againft the child; and

$$
" \text { ye }
$$

[^97]" ye would not hear? therefore behold alfo his " blood is required (a)." Alphonfus King of Naples, was a cruel and tyrannical prince. He drove his people to defpair with oppreflive taxes, treacheroufly affafinated feveral of his barons, and loaded others with chains. During profperity, his confcience gave him little difquiet; but in adverfity, his crimes flared him in the face, and made ${ }^{\text {hin }}$ believe that his diftreffes proceeded from the band of God, as a juft punifhment. He was terrified to diftraction, when Charles VIII. of France ap. proached with a numerous army: he deferted his kingdom; and fled to hide himfelf from the face of God and of man.

But admitting a fenfe of Deity, is it evidence to us that a Deity actually exifts? It is complete evidence. So framed is man as to rely on the evidence of his fenfes (b); which evidence he may reject in words; but he cannot reject in thought, whatever bias he may have to fcepticifm. And experience confirms our belief; for our fenfes when in order, never deceive us.

The foregoing fenfe of Deity is not the only evidence we have of his exiftence : there is additional evidence from other branches of our nature. Inherent in the nature of man are two paffions, devotion to an invifible Being, and dread of punifhment from him, when one is guilty of any crime. Thefe paffions would be idle and abfurd, were there no. Deity to be worfhipped or to be dreaded. Man makes a capital figure; and is the moft perfett being that inhabits this earth : and yet were he endued with paffions or principles that have no end or purpofe, he would be the molt irregular and abfurd of all Beings. Thefe paffions both of them, direct us to a Deity, and afford us irrefiftible evidence of his exiftence.

[^98]B. III. old alfo his cing of $\mathrm{Na}-$ He drove res, treachers , and loadrity, his conin adverfity, id made hin om the hand was terrified f. France ap. deferted his from the face
$s$ it evidence $t$ is complete ly on the evience he may at in thought, ticifm. And $r$ fenfes when
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Thus our Maker has revealed himfelf to us, in a way perfectly analagous to our nature : in the mind of every human creature, he has lighted up a lamp, which renders him vifible even to the weakeft fight. Nor ought it to efcape obfervation, that here, as in every other cafe, the conduct of Providence to man, is uniform: It leaves him to be directed by reafon, where liberty of choice is permitted; but in matters of duty, he is pro vided with guides lefs fallible than reafon: in performing his duty to man, he is guided by the maral fenfe; in performing his duty to God, he is guided by the fenfe of Deity. In thefe mirrors, he perceives his duty intuitively.
It is no flight fupport to this doetrine, that if there really be-a Deity, it is highly prefumable, that he will reveal himfelf to man, fitted by nature to adore and worfhip him. To other animals, the knowledge of a Deity is of no importance : to man it is of high importance. Were we totally ignorant of a Deity, this world would appear to us a mere chaos: under the governet ment of a wife and benevolent Deity, chance is' excluded; and every event appears to be the res fult of eftablifhed laws: good men fubmit to whatever happens, without repining: knowing that every event is ordered by divine Providence, they fubnit with entire relignation; and fuch refignati-on is a fovereign balfam for every misfortune.
The fenfe of Deity refembles our other fenfes, which are quiefcent till a proper object be prefented. When all iss filent about us, the fenfe of hearing lies dormant; and if from infancy a man were confined to a dark room, he would be as ignorant of hiş feife of feeing, as one born blind. Among favages, the objects that roufe the fenfe of Deity, are uncommon events above the power of man. A favage, if acquainted with no events but what ate tamiliar, has no perception of Vot. 11. : Cc fuperior
fuperior powers; but a fudden eclipfe of the fun, thunder ratting in his ears, or the convulfion of an earthquake, roufes his fenfe of Deity, and directs him to fome fuperior being as the caufe of thefe dreadful effects. The favage, it is. true, errs in afcribing to the immediate operation of a Deity, things: that have a natural caufe : his error however is evidence that he has a fenfe of Deity, no lefs pregnant, than when he more juftly attributes to the immediate operation of Deity, the formation of man, of this earth, of all the world.
The fenfe of Deity, like the moral fenfe, makes no :capital figure among favages; the perceptions of both fenfes being in them faint and obfcure. But in the progrefs of nations to maturity, thefe fenfes become more and more vigorous, fo as among enlightened nations to acquire a commanding influence; , leaving no doubt about right and wrong, and as fittle about the exittence of a Deity.

The obfcurity of the fenfe of Deity among favages, has encouraged fome fceptical philofophers to deny its exiftence. It has been urged, That God does nothing by halves; and that if he had intended to make himfelf known to men, he would have aftorded them conviction equal to that from feeing or hearing. When we argue thus about the purpofes of the Almighty, we tread on flippery ground, where we feldom fail to ftumble. What if it be the purpofe of the Deity, to afford us but an obfcure glimpfe of his being and attributes? We have reafon from análogy to conjeture, that this may be the cafe. From fome particulars mentioned above (a), it appears at leaft probable, that entire fubmiffion to the moral fenfe, would be ill fuited to man in his prefent ftate; and would prove more hiurtful than berieficial. And to me it appears evident, that to be confious
(a) Book 2 . fuction r.
B. III. of the fun, nvulfion of ty, and dile caule of . true, errs of a Deity, error howof Deity, juftly atDeity,' the the world. nfe, makes perceptions id obfcure. , thefe fen0 as among landing inand wrong, Deity. among faohilofophers rged, That it if he had he would 0 that from thus about ad on llipo ftumble. bity, to afbeing and ogy to conFrom fome ears at leaft moral fenfe, efent ftate; beneficial. to be con. ficous

Sk. III. $i$. Theology. $3^{87}$
fcious of the prefence of the Great God, as I am of a friend whom I hold by the hand, would be inconfiftent with the part that Providence has 'deftined me to act in this life. Reflect only on the reftraint one is under, in prefence of a fuperior, fuppofe the King himfelf: how much greater our reftraint, with the fame lively impreffion of God's awful prefence! Humility and veneration would leave no room for other paffions: man would be no longer man; and the fyltem of our prefent ftate would be totally fubverted. Add another reafon : . Such a conviction of future rewards and punifhments as to overcome every inordinate defire, would reduce us to the condition of a traveller in a paltry inn, having no wifh but for daylight to profecute his journey. For that very reafon, it appears evidently the plan of Providence, that we fhould have but an obfcure glimple of futurity. As the fame plan of Providence is vifible in all, I conclude with affurance, that a certain degree of obfcurity, weighs nothing againft the fenfe of Deity, more than againft the moral fenfe, or againft a future fate of rewards and punifhments. Whether all men might not have been made angels, and whether more happinefs might not have refulted from a different fyftem, lie far beyond the reach of human knowledge. From what is known of the conduct of Providence, we have reafon to prefume, that our prefent Itate is the refult of wifdom and benevolence. So much we know with certainty, that the fenfe we have of Deity and of moral duty, correfpond accurately to the nature of man as an imperfect being; and that thefe fenfes, were they abfolutely perfect, would convert him into a very different being.

A doctrine efpoufed by feveral writers ancient and modern, pretends to compofe the world without a Deity; that the world,, compofed of aniCcs 2 mals,
mals, vegetables, and brute matter, is felf-exiftent and eternal; and that all events happen by a neceffary chain of caufes and effects. It will occur even at firft view, that this theory is at leaft improbable : can any fuppofition be more improbable than that the great work of planning and executing this univerie, beautiful in all its parts, and bound together by the moft perfect laws, fiould be a blind work, performed, without intelligence or contrivance? lt would therefore be a fufficient anfwer to obferve, that this doctrine, though highly improbable, is however given to the public, like a foundling, without cover or fupport. But affirmatively I urge, that it is fundamentally overturned by the knowledge we derive of Deity from our own nature: if a Deity exift, felf-exiftence muft be his peculiar attribute; and we cannot hefitate in rejecting the fuppofition of a felf-exiftent world, when it is fo natural to fuppofe that the whole is the operation of a felf-exiftent Being, whofe power and wifdom are adequate to that great work. I add, that this rational doctrine is eninently fupported from contemplating the endlefs number of wife and benevolent effects, difpiayed every where on the face of this globe; which afford complete evidence of a wife and benevolent caufe. As thefe effects are far above the power of man, we necelfarily afcribe them to a fuperior Being, or in other words to the Deity (a).

Many grofs and abfurd conceptions of Deity that have prevailed among rude nations, are urged by fome writers as an objection againft a fenfe of Deity. That objection thall not be overlooked ; but it will be anfwered to better purpofe, after thofe grofs and abfurd conceptions are examined in the chapter immediately following.
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The proof of a Deity from the innate fenfe here explained, differs materially from what is contained in effays on morality and natural religion (a). The proof there given is founded on a chain of reafoning, altogether independent on the innate fenfe of Deity. Both equally produce convition; but as fenfe operates intuitively without reafoning, the fenfe of Deity is made a branch of human nature, in order to enlighten thofe who are incapable of a long chain of reafoning; and to fuch, who make the bulk of mankind, it is more convincing, than the moft perficuous reafoning to a philofopher.

## C H. A P. II.

## Progrefs of Opinions with refpect to Deity.

TH E fenfe of Deity, like many other delicate fenfes, is in favages fo faint and obfcure as eafily to be biaffed from truth. Among them, the belief of many fuperior beings, is univerfal. And two caufes join to produce that belief. The firt is, that being accuftomed to a plurality of vifible objects, men, mountains, trees, cattle and fuch like, they are naturally led to imagine a like plurality in things not vifible; and from that flight bias, flight indeed but natural, is partly derived the fyttem of Polytheifin, univerfal among favages. The other is, that favages know little of the connection between caufes and effects, and ftill lefs of the order and government of the world: every event that is not familiar, appears to them 1 fingular

[^100]fingular and extraordinary; and if fuch event exceed human power, it is without hefitation afcribed to $a^{\text {a }}$ fuperior being. But as it occurs not to a favage, nor to any perfon who is not a philofopher, that the many various events exceeding human power and feemingly unconnected, may all proceed from the fame caufe; they are readily afcribed to different beings. Pliny aféribes Polytheifun to the confcioufnefs men have of their imbecillity: "Our powers are confined within " narrow bounds: we do not readily conceive " powers in the Deity much tave extenfive; and " we fupply by number what is wanting in pow"er "." Yolytheifin, thus founded, is the firft ftage in the progrels of theology; for it is em. braced by the rudelt favages, who have neither capacity nor inclination to pierce decper into the nature of things.

This ftage is diftinguifhable from others, by a belief that all fuperior beings are malevolent. Man, by nature weak and helplefs, is prone to fear, dreading every new object and every unufual event. Savages, having no protection againft ftorms, tem. pefts, nor other external accidents, and having no pleafures but in gratifying hunger, thirf, and animal love; have much to fear, and little to hope. In that difconfolate condition, they attribute the bulk of their diftreffes to invifible beings, who in their opinion muft be malevolent. This feems to have been the opinion of the Greeks in the days of Solon; as appears in a converfation between him and Crœfus King of Lydia, mentioned by Hemoldus in the firit book of his hiftory. "Ciuetts, faid Solon, you afk me about huc' man affairs; and I anfiwer as one who thinks, " that all the gods are envious and difturbers of " mankind."

[^101]
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Sk. IIf. ii. Theology. 391 " mankind." The negroes on the coaft of Guinea, dread their deities as tyrants and oppreflors: having no conception of a good deity, they attribute the few bleflings they receive, to the foil, to the rivers, to the trees, and to the plants. The Lithuanians continued Pagans down to the fourteenth century; and worlhipped in gloomy woods, where their deities were held to refide. Their worlhip probably was prompted by fear which is allied to gloominefs. The people of Kmfkatka acknowledge to this day many malevolent deities, having little or no notion of a good deity. They believe the air, the water, the mountains, and the woods, to be inhabited by malevolent fipiris, wh m they fear and worlhip. The favages of Guiana afcribe to the devil even their molt common d". eafes; nor do they ever think of another ren ed , but to apply to a forcerce to drive him Such negroes as believe in the devil, pai his images white. Befide the Efquimaux, there are many tribes in the extenfive country of Labra or, who believe the Deity io be malevolent, and worthip him out of fear. When they eat, they the w a piece of flefh into the fire as an offezing to hi ; and when they go to fea in a canoe, they throw fomething on the fhore to render hom propitions. Sometimes, in a capricious fit, they go out with guns and hatchets to kill him; and on their return boaft that they have done fo.

Conviction of fuperior beings, who, like men, are of a mixed nature, fometimes doing good, fonetimes mifchief, conftitutes the fecond ftage. This came to be the fyltem of theology in Greece. The introduction of writing among the Greeks while they were little better than favages, produced a compound of character and manners, that has not a parallel in any other nation. They were acute in fcience, fkilful in fine arts, extremely deficient in morals, grofs beyond conception in
theology, and fuperftitious to a degree of folly; a ftrange jumble of exquifite fenfe and cablurd nonfenfe. They held their gods to refemble men in their external figure, and to be corporeal.In the 2 Ift book of the Iliad, Minerva with a huge fone beats Mars to the ground, whofe monftrous body covered feven broad acres. As corporeal beings, they were fuppofed to require the nourifhment of meat, drink, and fleep. Homer mentions more than once the inviting of gods to a feaft: and Paufanias reports, that in the temple of Bacchus at Athens, there were figures of clay, seprefenting a feaft given by Amphyction to Bacchus and other deities. The inhabitants of the inland Java are not fo grofs in their conceptions, as to think that the gods eat the offerings prefented to them : but it is their opinion, that a deity brings his mouth near the offering, fucks out all its favour, and leaves it taftelefs like water *. . The Grecian gods, as defcribed by Homer, drefs, bathe, and anoint like mortals. Venus, after being detected by he: huiband in the embraces of Mars, retires to Paphos,

Where to the pow'r an hundred altars rife, And breathing odours faent the balmy fkies : Conceal'd the bathes in confecrated bow'rs. The Graces unguents fhed, ambrofial fhow'rs, Unguents that charm the gods! She laft affumes. Her wondrous robes; and full the goddefs blooms. Odyssey, book 8.

Juno's drefs is moft poetically defcribed, Iliad book 14. It was alfo univerfally believed, that the gods were fond of women, and had many children by
them.
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them. The ancient Germans thought more fenfibly, that the gods were too high to refemble men in any degree, or to be confined within the walls of a temple. The Greeks feem to have thought, that the gods did not much exceed themfelves in knowledge. When Agefilaus journeyed with his private retinue, he ufually lodged in a temple; making the gods witneffes, fays Plutarch, of his molt fecret actions. The Greeks thought, that a god, like a man, might know what paffed within his own houfe ; without knowing any thing paffing at a diftance. "If it be true," fays Ariftotle, (Rhetoric, book 2.) '" that even the gods do not "know every thing, there is little reafon to ex"pect great knowledge among men." Agamemnon in Efchylus, putting off his travelling habit and dreffing himfelf in fplendid purple, is afraid of being feen and envied by fome jealous god.We learn from Seneca, that people flrove for the feat next to the image of the deity, that their prayers might be the better heard. But what we have chiefly to remark upon this head, js, that the Grecian gods-were, like men, held capable of doing both good and ill. Jupiter, their higheft deity, was a ravifher of women, and a notorious adulterer. In the fecond book of the liiad, he fends a lying dream to deceive Agamemnon. Mars feduces Venus by bribes to commit adultery (a). In the Rhefus of Euripides, Minerva, difguifed like Venus, deceives Paris by a grolis lie. The ground-work of the tragedy of Xuthus is a lying oracle, declaring Ion, fon of Apollo and Creufa, to be the fon of Xuthus.Oreftes in Euripides, having flain his mother Clytemneftra, excufes himfelf as having been milled by Apollo to commit the crime. "Ah !" fays he,

[^103]he, " had I confulted the ghoft of my father, "6 he would have diffuaded me from a crime that "s has proved my ruin, without doing him any " good." He concludes with oblerving, that having acted by Apollo's command, Apollo is the only criminal. In a tragedy of Sophocles, Minerva makes no difficulty to cheat Ajax, promifing to be his friend, while underhand the is ferving Ulyffes, hiṣ bitter enemy. Mercury, in revenge for the murder of his fon Myrtilus, entails curfes on Pelops the murderer, and on all his race *. In general, the gods, every where in Greek tragedies, are partial, unjuft, tyrannical, and revengeful. The Greeks accordingly have no referve in abufing their gods. In the tragedy of Prometheus, Jupiter, without the leaft ceremony, is accufed of being an ufurper. Efchylus proclaims publicly on the ftage, that Jupiter, a jealous, cruel, and implacable tyrant, had overturn. ed every thing in heaven; and that the other gods were reduced to be flaves. In the Iliad, book 13. Menelaus addreffes Jupiter in the following words : "O Father Jove! in wifdom, they " fay, thou excelleft both men and Gods. Yet " all thefe ills proceed from thee; for the wick"s ed thou doft aid in war. Thou art a friend " to the Trojans, whofe fouls delight in force, " who are never glutted with blood." The gods were often treated with a fort of contemptuous familiarity, and employed in very low offices.Nothing is more common than to introduce them as actors in Greek tragedies; frequently for trivial purpofes: Apollo comes upon the ftage moft courteoufly to acquaint the audience with the fubject of the play. Why is this not urged by our critics,
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Sk. 111. ii. . Theology. 395 critics, as claffical authority againft the rule of Horace, Nec deus interfit nifi dignus vindice nodus *. Homer makes very ufeful fervants of his gods. Minerva, in particular, is a faithful attendant upon Ulyffes. She acts the herald, and calls the chiefs to council (a). She marks the place where a great ftone fell that was thrown by Ulyffes ( $b$ ). She affifts Ulyffes to hide his treafure in a cave (c), and helps him to wreftle with the beggar ( $d$ ). Ulyffes being toft with cares in bed, fhe defcends from heaven to make him fall anleep (e). This laft might poffibly be fqueez'd into an allegory, if Minerva were not frequently introduced where there is no place for an allegory. Jupiter, book 17. of the Iliad, is introduced comforting the fteeds of Achilles for the death of Patroclus. Creufa keeps it a profound fecret from her hufband, that fhe had a child by Apollo.It was held as little honourable in Greece to commit fornication with a god as with a man. It appears from Cicero ( $f$ ), that when Greek philofophers began to reafon about the deity, their notions were wonderfully crude. One of the hardeft morfels to digeft in Plato's philofóphy, was a doctrine, That God is incorporeal; which by many was thought abfurd, for that, without a body, he could not have fenfes, nor prudence, nor pleafure. The religious creed of the Romans feems to have been little lefs impure than that of the Greeks. It was a ceremony of theirs, in befieging a town, to evocate the tutelar deity, and to tempt him by a reward to betray his friends and votaries. In that ceremony, the name of the tutelar deity was thought of importance; and for that

[^105]that reafon the tutelar deity of Rome was a profound fecret *. Appian of Alexandria, in his book of the Parthian war, reports, that Antony, reduced to extremity by the Parthians, lifted up his eyes to heaven, and befought the gods, that if any of them were jealous of his former happinefs, they would pour their vengeance upon his head alone, and fuffer his army to efcape.The ftory of Paris and the three goddeffes gives no favourable impreffion, either of the morals or religion of the Romans. Juno and her two fifter-deities fubmit their difpute about beauty to the fhepherd Paris, who confcientioufly pronounces in favour of Venus. But
> manet alta mente repoftum Fudicium Paridis, fpretaque injuria forma.
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Juno, not fatisfied with wreaking her malice againft the honeft fhepherd, declares war againft his whole nation. Not even Eneas, tho' a fugitive in foreign lands, efcapes her fury. Their great god Jupiter is introduced on the ftage by Plautus, to deceive Alcmena, and to lie with her in the fhape of her hufband. Nay, it was the opinion of the Romans, that this play made much for the honour of Jupiter ; for in times of national troubles and calamities, it was commonly acted to appeafe his anger ;-a pregnant inftance of the grofs conceptions of that warlike people in morality, as well as in religion.

A divifion of invifible beings into benevolent and malevolent, without any mixture of thefe qualities, makes the third ftage. The talents and feelings of men, refine gradually under good government : focial amufements begin to make a figure : benevolence is highly regarded; and fome men are found without gall. Having thus acquired a notion of pure benevolence, and finding it exemplified in fome eminent perfons, it was an eafy ftep in the progrefs of theological opinions, to beftow the fame character upon fome fuperior beings.This led men to diftinguifh their gods into two kinds, effentially different; one entirely benevolent, another entirely malevolent ; and the difference between good and ill, which are diametrically oppofite, favoured that diftinction. Fortunate events out of the common courfe of nature, were accordingly afcribed to benevolent deities; and unfortunate events of that kind to malevolent. In the time of Pliny the elder, malevolent deities were worfhipped at Rome. He mentions a temple dedicated to Bad Fortune, another to the difeafe termed a Fever. The Lacedemonians worhipped Death and Fear ; and the people of Cadiz Poverty and Old Age; in order to deprecate their wrath.

Such gods :were by the Romans termed Averrunci, as putting away evil.

Conviction of one fupreme benevolent Deity, and of inferior deities, fome benevolent; fome malevolent, is'the fourth ftage. :Such conviction, which gains ground in proportion as morality ripens, arifes from a remarkable difference between gratitude and fear. Willing to fhow my gratitude: for fome kindnefs proceeding from an unknown hand, feveral perfons occur to my conjectures; but I always fix:at laft upon one perfon as the moft likely. Fear is of an oppofite nature; it expands itfelf upon every fufpicious perfon, and blackens them all.: Thus upon providential good fortune above the power of man, we naturally reft upon one benevolent Deity as the caufe; and to him we confine our gratitude and veneration. When, on the other hand, we are ftruck with an uncommon calamity, every thing that pofibly inay be the caufe, raifes terror. Hence the propenfity in favages to multiply objects of fear; but to confine their gratitude and veneration to a fingle object. Gratitude and veneration, at the fame time, are of fuch a nature, as to raife a high opinion of the perfon who is their object; and when a fingle invifible being is underfood to pour out bleffings with a liberal hand, good men, inflamed with gratitude, put no bounds to the power and benevolence of that being. And thus one fupreme benevolent Deity comes to be recognized among the more enlightened favages. With refpect to malevolent deities, as they are fuppofed to be numerous, and as there is no natural impulfe for elevating one above another; they are all of them held to be of an inferior rank, fubordinate to the fupreme Deity.

Unity in the fupreme being hath, among philofophers, a more folid foundation, namely unity
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of defign and of order in the creation and government of this world *. At the fame time, the paffion of gratitude, which leads even favages to the attribute of unity in the fupreme being, prepares the mind for relifhing the proof of that unity, founded on the unity of his works.

The belief of one fupreme benevolent Deity, and of fubordinate deities benevolent and malevolent, is and has been more univerfal than any other religious creed. I confine myfelf to a few inftances; for a complete enumeration would be endlefs. The different favage tribes in Dutch Guiana, agree pretty much in their articles of faith. They hold the exiftence of one fupreme Deity, whofe chief attribute is benevolence; and to him they afcribe every good that happens.But as it is againft his nature to do ill, they believe in fubordinate malevolent beings, like our devil, who occafion thunder, hurricanes, earthquakes, and who are the authors of death, difeafes, and of every misfortune. To thefe devils, termed in their language Yowaboos, they direct every fupplication, in order to avert their malevolence; while the fupreme Deity is entirely neglected : fo much more powerful among favages, is fear than gratitude. The North-American favages have all of them a notion of a fupreme Deity, creator and governor of the world; and of inferior deities, fome good, fome ill. Thefe are fuppofed to have bodies, and to live much as men do, but without being fubjected to any diftrefs. The fame creed prevails among the negroes of

## Benin

[^107]Benin and Congo, among the people of New Zeland, among the inhabitants of Java, of Madagafcar, of the Molucca iflands, and of the Caribbee iflands. The Chingulefe, a tribe in the ifland of Ceylon, acknowledge one God crcator of the univerfe, with fubordinate deities' who act as his deputics: agriculture is the peculiar province of one, navigation of another. The creed of the 'Tonquinefe is nearly the fame. The inhabitants of Otaheite, termed King George's I/Aand, believe in one fupreme Deity; and in inferior deities without end, who prefide over particular parts of the creation. They pay no adoration to the fupreme Deity, thinking him too far elevated above his creatures to concern himfelf with what they do. They believe the fars to be children of the fun and moon, and an eclipfe to be the time of opulation. According to Arnobius, certain, Roman deities prefided over the various operations of men. Venus prefided over carnal copulation; Puta alfifted at pruning trees; and Peta in requefting benefits : Nemeftrinus was god of the woods, Nodutus ripened corn, and Terenfis helped to threfh it ; Vibilia affifted travellers; orphans were under the care of Orbona, and dying perfons, of Nænia; Offilago hardened the bones of infants; and Mellonia protected bees, and beftowed fweetnefs on their honey. The inhabitants of the ifland of Formofa recognile two fupreme deities in company; the one a male, god of the men, the other a female, goddefs of the women. The bulk of their inferior deities are the fouls of upright men, who are conitantly doing good, and the fouls of wicked men who are conftantly doing ill. The inland negroes acknowledge one fupreme being, creator of all things; attributing to him infinite power, infinite knowledge, and ubiquity.They believe that the dead are converted into
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fpirits, termed by them Iananini, or protectors, being appointed to guard their parents and relations. The ancient $\mathbf{C}$ is and feveral other northern nations, acknowleaged one fupreme being ; and at the fame time worfhipped three fubordinate deities; Thor, reputed the fame with Jupiter; Oden, or Woden, the fame with Mars; and Friga, the fame with Venus*. Socrates taking the cup of poifon from the executioner, held it up toward heaven, and pouring out fome of it as an oblation to the fupreme Deity, pronounced the following prayer : "I implore the immortal God that " my tranflation hence may be happy." Then turning to Crito, faid, "O Crito! I owe a cock ta "Efculapius, pay it." From this incident we find that Socrates, foaring above his countrymen, had attained to the belief of a fupreme benevolent Deity. But in that dark age of religion, fuch purity is not to be expected from Socrates himfelf, as to bave rejected fubordinate deities, even of the mercenary kind.
Different offices being affigned to the gods, as above mentioned, proper names followed of courfe. And when a god was afcertained by a name, the bufy mind would naturally proceed to trace his genealogy.
As unity in the Deity was not an eftablifhed doctrine in the countries where the Chriftian religion was firft promulgated, Chriftianity could not fail to prevail-over Paganifm; for improvements in the mental faculties lead by fure fteps, though low, to one God.

The fifth fage is, the belief of one fupreme benevolent Deity, as in that inmediately foregoing, with many inferior benevolent deities, and one only who is malevolent. As men imVol. II. $\quad$ D d $\quad$ prove

[^108]prove in natural knowledge and become nilful in tracing caufes from effects, they find much lefs malicé and ill-defign than was imagined : humanity at laft prevails, which with improved knowledge banifh the fufpicion of ill-defign, in' every cafe where an event can be explained without it. In a word, a fettled opinion of good prevailing in the world, produced conviction among fome nations, lefs ignorant than their neighbours and lefs brutal, that there is but one malevolent fubordinate deity, and good fubordinate deities without number. The ancient Perfians acknowledged two principles; one all good and all powerful, named Hormuz, and by the Greeks corruptly Oromazes; the other evil, named Abariman, and by the Greeks Arimancs: Some authors affert, that the Perfians held thefe two principles to be co-eternal : others that Oromazes firft fabfifted alone, that he created both light and darknefs, and that he created Arimanes out of darknefs. That the latter was the opinion of the ancient Perfians, appears from their Bible; ternied the Sadder ; which teaches, That there is one God fupreme over all, many good angels, and but one evil fpirit. Plutarch acquaints us; that Hormuz and Ahariman, ever at variance; formed each of them creatures of their own famp; that the former created good genii, fuch as goodnefs, truth, wifdom, juftice ; and that the later created evil genii, fuch as infidelity, falfehood, oppreflion, theft. This fyftem of theology, commonly termed the Manicbean fyftem, is faid to be alfo the religious creed of Pega , with the followint addition, that the evil principle only is to bc worfhipped; which is abundantly probable; as fear is a predominant paffion in barbarians. The people of Florida believe a fupreme benevolent Deity, and a fubordinate deity that is malevolent : neglecting the former, who, they fay, toes no harm, they bend their whole attention to at he created he latter was appears from teaches, That , many good arch acquainto or at variance, ir own ftamp; fuch as goodhat the later ty, falfehood, heology, com. is faid to be ith the followhe only is to dantly probaon in barbarieve a fupreme e deity that is who, they fay, ble attention to foften

Sk. III. ii. Theology. 103 foften the latter, who, they fay, toments them day and night. The inhabitants o Dariet acknowledge but one evil fpirit, of whom they are defperately afraid. The Hottentots, mentioned by. fome writers as altogether deftitute of religion, are on the contrary farther advanced toward its purity, than fome of their neighbours. Their creed is, That there is a fupreme being, who is goodnefs itfelf? of whom they have no occafion to ftand in awe, as he is incapable by his nature to hurt them; that there is alfo a malevolent fipirit, fubordinate to the former, who muft be ferved and worhipped in order to avert his malice. The Epicurean doctrine with refpect to the gods in general, That being happy in themfelves they extend not their providential care to men, differs not widely from what the Hottentot believes with refpect to the fupreme being.
Having traced the fenfe of deity, from its dawn in the groffert favages to its approaching maturity among enlightened nations, we proceed to the laft fage of the progrefs, which makes the true fyftem of theology; and that is, conviction of a fupreme being, boundlefs in every perfection, without fubordinate deities, benevolent or malevolent. Savages learn early to trace the chain of caufes and effects, with refpect to ordinary events : they know that farting produces hunger, that labour occafions wearinefs, that fire burns, that the fun and rain contribute to vegetation. But when they go beyond fuch familiar events, they lofe fight of caufe and effect : the changes of weather, of winds, of heat and cold, imprefs them with a notion of chance : earthquakes, hurricanes, forms of thunder and lightning, which fill them with terror, are afcribed to malignant beings of greater power than man. In the progrefs of knowledge light begins to break in upon them : they difcover, that fuch phenomena, however tremendous, come unD d 2 der
der the general law of caufe and effect ; and that there is no ground for afcribing them to malig. nant fpirits. At the fame time, our more refined fenfes ripen by degrees: focial affections come to prevail, and morality makes a deep impreflion. In maturity of fenfe and underftanding, benevolence appears more and more; and beautiful final caufes are difcovered in many of nature's productions, that formerly were thought ufelefs, or perhaps hurtful : and the time may come, we have folid ground to hope that it will come, when doubts and difficulties about the government of Providence, will all of them be cleared up; and every event be found conducive to the general good. Such views of Providence banifh malevolent deities; and we fettle at laft in a moft comfortable opinion; either that there are no fuch beings; or that, if they exift and are permitted to perpetrate any mifchief, it is in order to produce greater good *. Thus; through a long maze of errors; man arrives at true religion, acknowledging but one Being, fupreme in power, intelligence, and benevolence, who created all other beings, to whom all other beings are fubjected, and who directs every event to anfwer the belt purpofes, This fyftem is true theology $\dagger$.

Having gone through the different ftages of re. ligious belief, in its gradual progrefs, toward truth and purity, I proceed to a very important article, The hiftory of tutelar deities. The belief of tutelar deities preceded indeed feveral of the ftages mentioned, witnefs the tutelar deities of Greece and
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and Rome ; but as it is not connected with any one of them exclufive of the reft, the clearnels of method required it to be poftponed to all of them. This belief, founded on felfifthnefg, made a'rapid progrefs after property in the goods of fortune was eftablifhed. The Greeks, the Romans, and indeed moft nations that were not mere favages, appropriated to themfelves tutelar deities, who were underftood to befriend them upon all occafions; and in particular, to fighe for them againft their enemies. The Iliad of Homer is full of miraculous battles between the Greeks and Trojans, the tutelar deities mixing with the contending parties, and partaking of every dif $n$ deatit only excepted which immortals cou: 1 fuffer. The lares, penates, or houfehold-gods, of Indoftan, of Greece, and of Rome, bear witnefs, that every family, perhaps every perfon, was thought to be under the protection of a tutelar deity. Alexander ab Alexandro gives a lift of tutelar deities. Apollo and Minerva were the tutelar deities of Athens; Bacchus and Hercules of the Boeotian Thebes; Juno of Carthage, Samos, Sparta, Argos, and Mycené; Venus of Cyprus; Apollo of Rhodes and of Delphos; Vulcan of Lemnos; Bacehus of Naxus; Neptune of Tenedos, \&c. The poets teftify, that even individuals had tutelar deities:

Mulciber in Trojam, pro Troja ftabat Apollo: Itqua Venus Teucris, Pallas iniqua fuit. Oderat Ærieam, propior Saturnia Turno; Ille tamen Veneris numine tutus erat. Srpe ferox cautum petiit Neptunus Ulyffem; Eripuit patruo frepe Minerva fuo * (a).

Though
(a) Ovid. Trig. Jib. T. eleg. 2.

* "The rage of Vulcan, and the martial maid,
" Purfu'd old Troy; but Phobbus' love repay'd.
" Eneas

Though the North-American favages recognife a fupreme Being, wife and benevolent, and alfo fubordinate benevolent beings who are intrufted with the government of the world; yet as the great diftance of thefe fubordinate beings and the full occupation they have in general government, are fuppofed to make them overlook individuals, every man has a tutelar deity of his own, termed Manitou, who is conftantly invoked during war to give him viftory of his enemies. The Natches, bordering on the Miffifippi, offer up the fkulls of their enemies to their god, and depofite them in his temple. They confider that being as their tutelar deity who affifts them againft their enemies, and to whom therefore the flull of an enemy muft be an acceptable offering. Tho' they worlhip the fun, who impartially fhines on all mankind; yet fuch is their partiality, that they confider themfelves as his chofen people, and that their enemies are his enemies.

A belief fo abfurd fhows woful imbecillity in human nature. Is it not obvious, that the great God of heaven and earth governs the world by inflexible laws, from which he never can fwerve in any cafe, becaufe they are the beft poffible in every cafe? To fuppofe any family or nation to be an object of his peculiar love, is no lefs impious, than to fuppofe any family or nation to be an object of his peculiar hatred : they equally arraign Providence of partiality. Even the Goths had more juft notions of the Deity. Totila, recommending to his people juftice and humanity, fays, " Quare fic habete, ea quæ amari ab homi" nibus folent ita vobis falva fore, fi juffitix
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Totila, rend humanity, bari ab homie, fi juftitiæ " re-

Sk. III. ii. Theology: 407 " reverentiam fervaveritis. Si tranfitis in mores "c alios, etiam Deum ad hoftes tranfiturum. Ne" que enim ille, aut omnino hominibus, aut uni " alicui genti, addicit fe focium *."
That God was once the tutelar deity of the Jews, is true ; but not in the vulgar acceptation of that term, importing a deity chofen by a people to be their patron and protector. The orthodox faith is, " That God chofe the Jews as " his peculiar people, not from any partiality to "them, but that there might be one nation to " keep alive the knowledge of one fupreme " Deity; which @hould be profperous while they " adhered to him, and unprofperous when they "declined to idolatry; not only in order to make " them perfevere in the true faith, but alfo in or"der to exemplify to all nations the conduct of "his Providence." It is certain, however that, the perverfe Jews claimed God Almighty as their tutelar deity in the vulgar acceptation of the term. And this error throws light upon an incident related in the Acts of the Apoftles. There was a prophecy firmly believed by the Jews, that the Meffiah would come among them in perfon to reftore their kingdom. The Chriftians gave a different fenfe to the prophecy, namely, that the kingdom promifed was not of this world. And they faid, that Chrift was fent to pave the way to their heavenly kingdom, by obtaining forgivenefs of their fins. At the fame time, as the Jews held all other nations in abhorrence, it was natural for them to conclude, that the Meffiah would be fent

[^111]fent to them only, God's chofen people : for which reafon, even the apoftles were at firft doubtful about preaching the gofpel to any but to the Jews (a). But the apoftles reflecting, that it was one great purpofe of the miflion, to banifh from the Jews their grovelling and impure notion of a tutelar deity, and to proclaim a ftate of future happinef's to all who believe in Chrilt, they proceeded to preach the gofpel to all men: "Then "Peter opened his mouth and faid, Of a truth I " perceive, that God is no refpecter of perfons: "c but in every nation, he that feareth him, and " worketh righteoufinefs, is accepted with him (b)." The foregoing reafoning, however, did not fatisfy the Jews : they could not digelt the opinion, that God fent his Mefliah to fave all nations, and that he was the God of the Gentiles as well as of the Jews. They ftormed againft Paul in particular, for inculcating that doetrine (c).

Confidering that religion in its purity was eftablifhed by the gofpel, is it not amazing, that even Chriftians fell back to the worfhip of tutelar deities? 'They did not indeed adopt the abfurd opinion, that the fupreme Being was their tutelar deity: but they held, that there are divine perfons fubordinate to the Almighty, who take under their care nations, families, and even individuals; an opinion that differs not effentially from that of tutelar deities among the Heathens. That opinion, which flatters felf-love, took root in the fifth century, when the deification of faints was introduced, fimilar to the deification of heroes among the ancients. People are fond of friends to be their interceffors; and with regard to the Deity, deified faints were thought the propereft interceflors. Temples were built and dedicated to them ; and folemn rites of worthip inftituted
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tuted to render them propitious. It was imagined, that the fouls of the deified faints are at 11berty to roam where they lift, and that they love the places where their bodies are interred; which accordingly made the fepulchres of the faints a common rendezvous of fupplicants. What paved the way to notions fo abfurd, was the grofs ignorance that clouded the Chriftian world, after the northern barbarians became mafters of Europe. In the feventh century, the bifhops were fo illiterate, as to be indebted to others for the fhallow fermons they preached; and the very few of that order who had any learning, fatisfied themfelves with compofing infipid homilies, collected from the writings of Auguftin and Gregory. In the ninth century, matters grew worfe and worfe; for thefe faints, held at firf to be mediators for Chriftians in general, were now converted into tutelar deities in the ftricteft fenfe. An opinion prevailed, that fuch faints as are occupied about the fouls of Chriftians in general, have little time for individuals; which led every church, and every private Chriftian, to elect for themfelves a particular faint, to be their patron or tutelar deity. That practice made it neceffary to deify faints without end, in order to furnifh a tutelar deity to every individual. The dubbing of faints, became a new fource of abufes and frauds in the Chriftian world : lying wonders were invented, and fabulous hiftories compofed, to celebrate exploits that never were performed, and to glorify perfons who never had a being. And thus religion among Chriftians, funk down to as low a ftate as it had been 'among Pagans.

There ftill remains upon hand, a capital branch of our hiftory ; and that is idolatry, which properly fignifies the worfhipping vifible objects as deities. But as idolatry evidently fprung from religious worhip, corrupted by the ignorant and brutifh ;
brutifh ; it will make its appearance with more advantage in the next chapter, of whịch religious worlhip is the fubject.

We have thus traced with wary fteps, the gra. dual progrefs of theology through many ftages, correlponding to the gradual openings and improvements of the human mind. But though that progrefs, in almoft all countries, appears uniform with refpect to the order of fuccefion, it is far otherwife with refpect to the quicknefs of fucceflion : nations, like individuals, make a progrefs from infancy to maturity; but they advance not: with an equal pace, fome making a rapid progrefs' toward perfection in knowledge and religion, while others remain ignorant barbarians. The religion of Hindoltan, if we credit hiltory or tradition, had advanced to a confiderable degree of purity and refinement, at a very early period. The Hindoftan Bible, termed Cbatabbbade or Sbaftab, gives an account of the creation, lapfe of the angeis, and creation of man ; inftructs us in the unity of thee Deity, buṭ denies his prefcience, as being inconfiftent with free-will in man; all of them profound doctrines of an illuminated people, to eftablifh which a long courfe of time muft have been requifite, after wandering through er. rors without number. Compared with the Hindows in theology, even the Greeks were mere favages: The Grecian gods were held to be little better than men, and their hiftory as abovementioned, correfponds to the notion entertained of them,

In explaining the opinions of men with refpect to Deity, I have confined my view to fuch opinions as are fuggefted by principles or biaffes that make a part of common nature; omitting many whimfical notions no better than dreams of a roving imagination. The plan delineated, fhows wonderful uniformity in the progrefs of religion through
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through all nations. That irregular and whimfical notions are far otherwife is not wonderful. Take the following fpecimen. The Kamkatkans are not fo Itupidly ignorant, as to be altogether void of curiofity. They fometimes think of natural appearances.-Rain, fay they, is fome deity piffing upon them; and they imagine the rainbow to be a party-coloured garment, put on by him in preparing for that operation. They believe wind to be produced by a god fhaking with violence his long hair about his head. Such tales will fcarce amufe children in the nurfery. The inhabitants of the ifland Celebes formerly acknowledged no gods but the fun and the moon, which were held to be eternal. Ambition for fuperiority made them fall out. The moon being wounded in llying from the fun, was delivered of the earth.

Hitherto of the gradual openings of the human mind with refpect to Deity. I clofe this fection with an account of fome unfound notions concerning the conduct of Providence, and concerning fome fpeculative matters. I begin with the former.

In days of ignorance, the conduct of Providence is very little underftood. Far from having any notion, that the government of this world is carried on by general laws, which are inflexible becaufe they are the beft poffible, every important event is attributed to an immediate interpofition of the Deity. As the Grecian gods were thought to have bodies like men, and like men to require nourifhment ; they ware imagined to act like men, forming fhort-fighted plans of operation, and varying them from time to time, according to exigencies. Even the wife Atheniars had an utter averfion at philefophers who attempted to account for effects by general laws: fuch doctrine they thought tended to fetter the gods, and to prevent them from governing events at their pleafure. ven by the gods of fome grievous calamity, Anaxagoras was acculed of Atheifm for attempting to explain the eclipfe of the moon by natural caufes: he was thrown into prifon, and with difficulty was relieved by the influence of Pericles. Protagoras was banifhed Athens for maintaining the fame doctrine. Procopius overflows with fignal interpofitions of Providence; and Agathias, beginning at the battle of Marathon, fagely maintains, that from that time downward, there was not a battle loft but by an immediate judgement of God, for the fins of the commander, or of his army, or of ane perfon or other. Our Saviour's doctrine with requect to thofe who fuffered by the fall of the tower of Siloam, ought to have opened their eyes; but fuperlitious eyes are never opened by inftruction. At the fame time, it is deplorable that fuch belief has no good inHuence on manners: on the contrary, never doth wickednefs fo much abound as in dark tines. A curious fact is related by Procopius (e) with refpect to that fort of fuperftition. When Rome was befieged by the Goths and in danger of deftruction, a part of the town-wall was in a tottering sondition. Belifarius, propofing to fortify it, was oppofed by the citizens, affirming, that it was guarded by St. Peter. Procopius obferves, that the event anfwered expectation ; for that the Goths, during a tedious fiege, never once attempted that weak part. He adds, that the wall remained in the fame ruinous ftate at the time of his writing. Here is a curious conceit.-Peter created a tutelar deity, able and willing to counteract the laws by which God governs the material world. And for what mighty benefit to his votaries? Only to fave them five or fifty pounds in rebuilding the crazy part of the wall.
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It is no lefs inconfiftent with the regular courfe of providence, to believe, as many formerly did, that in all doubtful cafes the Almighty, when appealed to, never fails to interpole in favour of the right fide. The inhabitants of Conftantinople, ann. 1284, being fplit into parties about two contending patriarchs, the Emperor ordered a fire to be made in the church of St. Sophia, and a paper for each party to be thrown into it: never doubting, but that God would fave from the flames the paper gi:en in for the party whofe caufe be efpoufed. But, to the utter aftonifhment of all beholders, the flames paid not the leaft regard to either. The fame abfurd opinion gave birth to the trial by fire, by water, and by fingle combat. And it is not a little remarkable, that fuch trials were common among many nations that had no intercourfe one with another: even the enlightened people of Indoftan try crimes by dipping the hand of a fufpected perfon in boiling oil.-Such uniformity is there with refpect even to fuperftitious opinions. Pope Gregory VII. infifting that the Kings of Caftile and Aragon fhould lay afide their Gothic liturgy for the Romifh, the matter was put to trial by fingle combat ; and two champions were chofen to declare by victory the opinion of God Almighty. The Emperor Otho I. obferving the law-doctors differ about the right of reprefentation in land-eftates, appointed a duel; and the right of reprefentation gained the victory. If any thing can render fuch a doctrine palatable, it is the believing in a tutelar deity, who with lefs abfurdity may interpofe in behalf of a favourite people. Appian gravely reports, that when the city of Rhodes was befieged by Mithridates, a itatue of the goddefs Ifis was feen to dart Hames of fire upon a bulky engine, raifed by the befiegers to overtop the wall.

Hiftorians mention an incident that happened in the ifland of Celebes, founded on a belief of the fame kind with that above mentioned. About two centuries ago, fome Chriftian and fome Mahometan miffionaries made their way to that ifland, The chief king, fruck with the fear of hell taught by both, affembled a general council ; and ftretch. ing his hand towards heaven, addreffed the following prayer to the fupreme being. "Great God, " from thee I demand nothing but juttice, and " to me thou oweft it. Men of different religi" ons have come to this illand, threatening eter" nal punifhment to me and my people if we dif. " obey the laws. What are thy laws ? Speak, 0 " my God, who art the author of nature : thou "c knoweft the bottom of our hearts, and that we " can never intentionally difobey thee. But if it " be unworthy of thy effence to employ the lan"6 guage of men, I call upon my whole people, " thec fun which gives me light, the earth which " bears me, the fea which furrounds my empire, 's and upon thee thyfelf, to bear witnefs for me "s that in the fincerity of my heart I wifh to know '6 thy will; and this day I declare, that I will ac" knowledge as the depoftaries of thy oracles, "s the firft minifters of either religion that fhall " land on this ifland."

It is equally erroneous to believe, that certain ceremonies will protect one from mifchief. In the dark ages of Chriftianity, the figning with the figure of a crofs, was held not only to be an antidote againft the fnares of malignant fpirit3, but to infpire refolution for fupporting trials and calamities: for which reafon no Chriftian in thofe days undertook any thing of moment, till he had ufed that ceremony. It was firmly believed in France, that a gold or filver coin of St. Louis, hung from the neck, was a protection againt all difeafes : and we find accordingly a hole in every remaining
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remaining coin of that king, for fixing it to a ribband. In the minority of Charles VIII. of France, the three eftates, ann. 1484, fupplicated his Majefty, that he would no longer defer the being anointed with holy oil, as the favour of heaven was vifibly connected with that ceremony. They affirmed, that his grand-father Charles VII. nerer profpered till he was anointed; and that heaven' afterward fought on his fide, till the Englifh were expelled out of his kingdom $\dagger$. The high altar of St. Margaret's church in the ifland Icolm. kill, was covered with a plate of blue marble finely veined; which has fuffered from a fuperfitious conceit, that the fmalleft bit of it will preferve a fhip from finking. It has accordingly been carried off plece-meal; and at prefent there is fcarce enough left to make an experiment. In the Sadder, certain prayers are enjoyned when one fneezes or piffes, in order to chale away the devil. Cartwheels in Libon, are compofed of two clumfy boards nailed together in a circular form. Tho the noife is intolerable, the axles are never greafed ; the noife, fay they, frightens the devil from hurting their oxen.
Nay, fo far has fuperfition been carried, as to found a belief, that the devil by magic can control the courfe of Providence. A Greek bilhop having dreamed that a certain miracle had failed

[^113]failed by magic; the fuppofed magician and his fon were condemned to die, without the lealt e. vidence but the dream. Montefquieu collects a number of circumftances, each of which, though all extremely improbable, ought to have been clearly made out, in order to prove the crime (a). The Emperor Theodore Lafcaris, imagining magic to be the caule of his diftemper, put the perfons fufpected to the trial of holding a red-hot iron without being burnt. In the capitularies of Charlemagne, in the canons of feveral councils, and in the ancient laws of Norway, punifhments are enacted againtt thofe who are fuppofed able to raife tempelts, termed Tempeftarii. During the time of Catharine de Medicis, there was in the court of France a jumble of politics, gallantry, luxury, debauchery, fuperftition, and Atheifm.It was common to take the refemblance of enemies in wax, in order to torment them by roafting the figure at a flow fire, and pricking it with needles. If an enemy happened in one inftance of a thoufand to pine and die, the charm was eftablifhed for ever. Sorcery and witcheraft were fo univerfally believed in England, that in a preamble to a ftatute of Henry VIII. anno 1511 , it is fet forth, " That fmiths, weavers, and women, " boldly take upon them great cures, in which "they partly ufe forcery and witchoraft." The firft printers, who were Germans, having carried their books to Paris for fale, were condemned by the parliament to be burnt alive as forcerers; and did not efcape punifhment but by a precipitate flight. It has indeed much the appearance of forcery, that a man could write fo many copies of a book, without the dighteft variation.
(a) L'Efprit des loix, lib. 12. sh. 5.
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Superftition flourifhes in times of danger and difmay. During the civil wars of France and of England, fuperftition was carried to extravagance. Every one believed in magic, charms, fpells, forcery, witchcraft, \& 4 c. The moft abfurd tales palt current as gofpel truths. Every one is acquainted with the hiiftory of the Duchefs of Beaufort, who was faid to have made a compact with the devil, to procure Henry IV. of France for her lover. This ridiculous fory was believed through all France; and is reported as a truth by the Duke de Sully. Muft not fuperflition have been at a high pitch, when that great man was infected with it ? James Howel, eminent for knowledge and for the figure he made during the civil wars of England, relates as an undoubted truth an abfurd fiction concerning the town of Hamelen, that the devil with a bagpipe enticed all the rats out of the town, and drowned them in a lake; and becaule his promifed reward was denied, that he made the children fuffer the fame fate. Upon a manufcript doubting of the exiftence of witches, he obferves, " that there are fome men of a " mere negative genius, who crofs and puzzle " the cleareft truths with their but, yet, if: they " will flap the lie in truth's teeth, tho' the vifibly " ftands before their face without any vizard. "Such perverfe crofs-grain'd fipitits are not to " be dealt with by arguments, but palpable " proofs: as if one deny that the fire burns, " or that he hath a nofe on his face. There " is no way to deal with him, but to pull hin " by the tip of the one, and put his finger into the " other."
In an age of fuperftition, men of the greatert judgement arc infected: in an enlightened age, fuperfition is confined among the vulgar. Would one imagine that the great Louis of France is an exception? It is hard to fay, whether his vanity
VoL. Il. E e or
or his fuperftition was the moft eminent. The Duke of luxembourg was his favourite and his moft fuccelsful general. In order to throw the Duke out of his favour, his rivals accufed him of having a compact with the devil. The King permitted him to be treated with great brutality, on evidence no lefs foolifh and ablurd, than that on which old women were fome time ago condemned. as witches.

There are many examples of the attributing extraordinary virtue to certain things, in themfelves of no fignificancy. The Hungarians were poffeffed of a golden crown, fent from heaven with the peculiar virtue, as they believed, of beftowing upon the perfon who wore it, an undoubted title to be their king.

But the moft extraordinary effort of abfurd fuperfition, is a perfuafion, that one may control the courle of Providence, by making a downright bargain with God Almighty to receive from him quid pro quo. A herd of Tartars in Siberia, named by the Rullians Baravinfioi, have in every hut a wooden idol about eighteen inches high; to which they addrefs their prayers for plenty of game in hunting, promiling it, if fuccefsful, a new coat or a new bonnet: a fort of bargain abundantly brutifh; and yet more excufable in mere favages, than what is made with the Virgin Mary by enlightened Roman Catholics; who upon condition of her relieving them from diftrefs, promife her a waxen taper to burn on her altar. Philip II. of Spain made a vow, that, upon condition of gaining the baitle of St. Quintin, he would build the monaftery of Efcurial ; as if an eftablifhment for fome idle monks, could be a motive with the great God to vary the courfe of his Providence + . Befide

[^114]
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Befide the abfurdity of thinking that fuch vows call have the effect to alter the eftablifhed laws of Providence ; they betray a moft contemptible notion of the Deity, as if his favours, like a horfe or a row, could be purchaled with money.

But however loofe and disjointed events appear to the ignorant, when viewed as paft or as palling ; future events take on a very different appearance. The doctrine of prognoftics, is evidently founded upon a fuppofition that future events are unalterably fixed; for otherwife that doctrine would appear abfurd, even to the ignorant. No bias in human nature has greater influence, than curiofity about futurity; which in dark ages governs without control: men with no lefs folly than induftry have ranfacked the earth, the fea, the air, and even the ftars for prognoftics of future events. The Greeks had their oracles, the Romans their augurs, and all the world their omens. The Grecian oracles and the Roman auguries, are evidently built upon their belief of tutelar deities; and the numberlefs omens that influence weak people in every country, feem to reft upon the fame foundation *.

Ancient hiftories are ftuffed with omens, prodigies, and prognoftics: Livy overflows with fooleries of that kind. Findiefs are the adverfe omens, reported by Appian of Alexandria, that are faid Ee 2 to

[^115]to have given warning of the defeat of Craffus by the Parthians; and no fewer in number are thofe which liappened at the death of the Emperor Hadrian, if we believe Spartianus. Lampridius, with great gravity recires the omens which prognofticated that Alexander Severus would be Emperor: he was born the fame day on which Alexander the Great died: he was brought forth in a temple dedicated to Alexander the Great: he was named Alcxander ; and an old woman gave his mother, a pigeon's egg of a purple colour produced on his birthday. A comet is an infallible prognoftic of the death of a king ? But of what king? Why, of the king who dics next. Suetonius, with the folemnity of a pulpit-inftructor, informs us, that the death of the Einperor Claudius was predicted by a comet ; and of Tiberius, by the fall of a tow. er during an earthquake $\dagger$. Such opinions, having" a foundation in our nature, take faft hold of the mind, when invigorated by education and example. Even philofophy is not fufficient to eradicate them but by flow degrees : witnefs Tacitus, the moft profound of all hiftorians, who cannot forbear to ufher in the death of the Emperor Otho, with a foolifl account of a ftrange unknown bird appearing at that time. He indeed, with decent referve, mentions it only as a fact reported by others; but from the glow of his narrative it is evident, that the ftory had made an impreflion upon him. When Onofander wrote his military inftitutions, which was in the fourth century, the intrails of an animal facrificed were ftill depended on as a prognoftic of good or bad fortune. And in chap. 15. he endeavours to account for the misfortunes that fometimes happened after the moft favourable prognoftics; laying the blame,

[^116]Craffus by er are thofe emperor HaLampridius, which prog. ald be Emwhich Alexrht forth in
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blame, not upon the prognoftic, but upon fome crofs accident that was not forefeen by the tutelar deity. The ancient Germans drew many of their omens from horles: "Proprium gentis, equorum " prefagia ac monitus experini. Publice aluntur " iifdem nemoribus ac lucis, candide, ct nullo mor" tali opere contacti, quos preffos facro curru, " facerdos, ac rex, vel princeps civitatis, comi" tantur, hinnitufque ac fremitus obfervant. Nec " ulli aufpicio major fides, non folum apud plebem, " fed apud proceres, apud facerdotes $\dagger$ (a)." There is farce a thing feen or imagined, but what the inhabitants of Madagafcar confider as a prognollic of fome future event. The Hindows rely on the augury of birds as the old Romans did. Though there is not the flighteft probability, that an impending misfortune was ever prevented by fuch prognoltics; yet the defire of knowing future events is fo deeply rooted in our nature, that omens will always prevail among the vulgar, in fite of the cleareft light of philofophy $\ddagger$.

With refpect to prophecies in particular, one apology may be made for them, that no other prognoftic of futurity is lefs apt to do mifchief. What Procopius (b) obferves of the Sybilline oracles, is equally applicable to all prophecies,""That " it is above the fagacity of man to explain any " of them before the event happen. Matters are " there

[^117]" there handled, not in any order, nor in a con" tinued difcourfe : but after mentioning the dif" treffes of Africa, for example, they give a fight "s touch at the Perfians, the Romans, the Aflyri'6 ans; then returning to the l?omans, they fall " flap-dafh upon the calamities of Britain." A curious example of this obfervation, is a book of prophecies compofed in Scotland by Thomas Learmont, commonly called Thomas the Rbymer, becaule the book is in rhyme. Plutarch in the life of Cicero reports, that a fpectre appeared to Ci ero's nurle, and foretold, that the child would become a great fupport to the Roman ftate; and moft innocently he makes the following reflection, " This might have paffed for an idle tale, " had not Cicero demonftrated the truth of the " prediction." At that rate, if a prediction happen to prove true, it is a real pronin.. ; if other. wife, it is an idle tale. There hav: on n prophecies not altogether fo well guarded as the Sybilline oracles. Napier, inventor of the logarithms, found the day of judgement to be predicted in the Revelation; and named the very day, which unfortunately he furvived. He made another prediction, but prudently named a day fo diftant as to be in no hazard of bluthing a fecond time. Michel Stifels, a German clergyman, fpent moit of his life in attempting to difcover the day of judgement; and at laft announced to his parilhion. ners, that it would happen within a year. The parifhioners, refolving to make the beft of a bad bargain, fpent their time merrily, taking no care to lay up provifions for another year ; and fo nice was their computation, as at the end of the year to have not a morfel remaining, either of food or of induftry. The famous Juricu has thown great ingenuity in explaining prophecies ; of which take the following inftance. In his book, intitled Accomplyment of the Propbecics, he demonfrates, that the
B. III. or in a coning the difgive a fight the Affyri, they fall ritain." A is a book by 'Thomas the Rbymer, arch in the appeared to child would n fate; and ing reflectian idle tale, ruth of the diction bap$\cdots$; if othern prophe. as the Sye logarithms, predicted in day, which another prefo diftant as fecond time. n, fpent moft the day of his parifhionar. The paeft of a bad king no care ; and fo nice of the year ther of food u has thown es ; of which book, intitled onftrates, that the
the beaft in the Apocalypfe, which held the poculun: aureum plenum abominationum ${ }^{*}$, is the Pope; and his reafon is, that the initial letters of thefe four Latin words compofe the word papa; a very fingular prophecy indeed, that is a prophecy in Latin, but in no other language. The candid reader will advert, that fuch prophecies as relate to our Saviour and tend to afcertain the truth of his miffion, fall not under the foregoing reafoning ; for they do not anticipate futurity, by producing foreknowledge of future events. They were not underftood till our Saviour appeared among inen; and then they were clearly underfood as relative to him.

There is no end of fuperftition in its various modes. In dark times, it was believed univerfally, that by certain forms and invocations, the fpirits of the dead could be called upon to reveal future events. A lottery in Florence, gainful to the government and ruinous to the people, gives great fcope to fuperftition. A man who purpofes to purchafe tickets, muft faft fix and thirty hours, muft repeat a certain number of Ave Maries and Pater Nofters, muft not feak to a living creature, muft not go to bed, muft continue in prayer to the Virgin and to faints, till fome propitious faint appear and declare the numbers that are to be fuccefsful. The man, fatigued with fafting, praying, and expectation, falls alleep. Occupied with the thoughts he liad when awake, he dreams that a faint appears, and mentions the lucky numbers. If he be difappointed, he is vexed at his want of memory; but trufts in the faint as an infallible oracle. Again he falls afleep, again fees a vifion, and again is difappointed.

Lucky

[^118]Lucky and unlucky days, which were fo much rely'd on as even to be marked in the Greck and Roman calendars, make an appendix to prophecies. The Tartars never undertake any thing of moment on a Wednefday, being held by thein unlucky. The Nogayan Tartars hold every thirteenth year to be unlucky: they will not even wear a fword that year, believing that it would be their death: and they maintain, that none of their warriors ever returned who went upon an expedition in one of thefe years. They pafs that time in fafting and prayer, and during it never marry. The inhabitants of Miadagafcar have days fortunate and unfortunate with refipect to the birth of children: they deftroy without mercy every child that is born on an unfortunate day.
There are unlucky names as well as unluzky days. Julien Cardinal de Medicis, chofen Pope, was inclined to keep his own name. But it being obferved to him by the cardinals, fays Guichardin, that the popes who retained their own name had all of them died within the year, he took the name of Clement, and was Clement VII. As John was held an unlucky name for a king, John heir to the crown of Scotland was perfuaded to change his name into Robert; and he was Robert III.

I clofe this important article with a reflection that will make an impreffion upon every rationa! perfon. The knowledge of future events, as far as it tends to influence our conduct, is incorifinent with a flate of activity, fuch as Providence has allotted to man in this life. It would dcprive him of hopes and fears, and leave him nothing to deliberate upon, nor any end to profecute. In a word, it would put an end to his activity, and reduce him to be merely a paflive being. Providence therefore has wifely drawn a veil over future evcints, afording us no light for prying into them but fagacity and experience.
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Thefe are a few of the numberlefs abfurd opinions about the conduct of Providence, that have prevailed among Chriftians, and ftill prevail among fome of them. Many opinions no lefs abfurd have prevailed about fpeculative points. I confine myfelf to one or two inftances; for to make a complete lift would require a volume. The firft I fhall mention, and the moit noted, is tranfubftantiation; which, though it has not the leaft influence on practice, is reckoned fo effential to falvation, as to be placed above every moral duty. The following text is appealed to as the fole foundation of that article of faith._ " And as they were eating, Jefus took bread, and " bleffed it, and brake it, and gave it to the " difciples, and faid, Take, eat ; this is my body. " And he took the cap, and gave thanks, and " gave it to them, faying, Drink ye all of it: " for this is my blood of the new teftament, " which is thed for many for the remiffion of " fins. But I fay unto you, I will not drink " henceforth of this fruit of the vine, until that " day when I drink it new with you in my Fr" ther's kingdom (a)." That this is a metaphor, muft ftrike every one: the paffage cannot even bear a literal meaning, confidering the final claufe; for furely the moft zealous Roman Catholic believes not, that Chriftians are to drink new wine with their Saviour in the kingdom of heaven. At the fame time, it is not fo much as infinuated, that there was here any miraculous tranfubftantiation of the bread and wine into the body and blood of our Saviour; nor is it infinuated, that the apoftles believed they were eating the flefl of their mafter, and drinking his blood. St. Johr, the favourite apoftle, mentions not a word of this ceremony, which he certainly would not have
have omitted, had he imagined it an effential article of faith.

But fuppofing tranfubftantiation were clearly expreffed in this text, yet men of underftanding will be loth to admit a meaning that contradicts their five fenfes. They will reflect, that no man now living ever faw the original books of the New Teftament; nor are they certain, that the editions we have, are copied directly from the originals. Every remove from them is liable to errors, which may juftly cre..ee a fufpicion of texts that contradict reafon and common fivife. Add, that the bulk of Chriftians have not even a copy from the original to build their faith upon; but only a tranflation into another language.

And this leads to what chiefly determined me to felect that inftance. God and nature have beftowed upon us the faculty of reafon, for diftinguifhing truth from falfehood. If by reafoning with candor and impartiality, we dilcover a propofition to be true or falfe, it is not in our power to remain indifferent : we muft judge, and our belief mult be regulated by our judgement. I fay more, to judge is a duty we owe our Maker; for to what purpofe has he beftowed reafon upon us, but in order to direct our judgement? At the fame time, we may depend on it as an intuitive truth, that God will never impofe any belief on us, contradictory, not only to our reafon, but to our fenfes.

The following objection however will perhaps relifh more with people of plain underftanding.-Tranfabflantiation is a very extraordinary miracle, reiterated every day and in every corner of the earth, by priefts not always remarkable either for piety or for morality. Now 1 demand an anfwer to the following plain quetion: To what good end or purpofe is fuch a profufion of mi-
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will perhaps erftanding.- ordinary miravery corner of remarkable eiw I demand an fion : To what ofufion of miracles
racles fubfervient? I fee nonc. But I difcover a very bad one, if they have any influence'; which is, that they accuftom the Roman Catholics to more cruelty and barbarity, than even the groffert favages are ever guilty of : fome of thefe indeed devour the fleth of their enemies; but none of them the flefh of their friends, efpecially of their greateft friend. But to do juftice to people of this religion, I am confident, that this fuppofed miracle has no influence whatever upon their manners: to me it appears impoflible for any man feriouily to believe, that the bread and wine ufed at the Lord's fupper, is actually converted into the body and blood of our Saviour. The Romift church requires the belid $f$ of tranfubfantiation; and a zealous Catholic, out of pure obedience, thinks he believes it. Convince once a man that falvation depends on belief, and he will believe any thing; that is, he will imagine that he believes: Ûredo quia impoflibile eft *.

That our firft reformers, who were prone to differ from the Romifh faith, fhould adopt this doctrine, fhows the fupreme influence of fuperftition. The Lutherans had not even the excufe of inattention: after ferious examination, they added

[^119]added one abfurdity more; teaching that the bread and wine are converted into the body and blood of our Saviour, and yet remain bread and wine as at firft ; which is termed by them confubftantiation. I am perfuaded, that at this time not a fingle man of them harbours fuch a thought.

Many perfons, impenetrable by a ferious argument, can difcover falfehood when put in a ridiculous light. It requires, I am fenfible, a very delicate hand to attark a grave fubject with ridicule as a teft of truth; and for that reafon, I forbear to offer any thing of my own. But I will fet before my readers fone extracts from a book of abfolute authority with Roman Catholics. Though tranfubftantiation be there handled in the moft ferious manner, with all the ceremonies and punctilios that naturally flow from it, yet in my judgement it is happily contrived to give it a moft ridiculous appearance. The book is the Roman Miffal, from which the following is a literal tranflation.
" Mals may be deficient in the matter, in the " form, in the minifter, or in the action. Firf, " in the matter. If the bread be not of wheat, " or if there be fo great a mixture of other " grain that it cannot be called wheat bread, " or if any way corrupted, it does not make a " facrament. If it be made with rofe-water, or " any other diftilled water, it is doubtful whe" ther it make a facrament or not. Tho' cor" ruption have begun, or tho' it be leavened, it " makes a facrament, but the celebrator fins griev" oufly.
"If the celebrator before confecration, obferve " that the hoft is corrupted, or is not of wheat, " he mult take another hoft: if after confecra"s tion, he muft ftill take another and fwallow
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" it, after which he muft alfo fwallow the firft, " or give it to another, or preferve it in fome "place with reverence. But if he had fwal" lowed the firft without obferving its defects, he " muft neverthelefs fwallow alfo the perfect holt; " becaufe the precept about the perfection of the "facrament, is of greater weight than that of " taking it fafting. If the confecrated hoft difap" pear by an accident, as by wind, by a miracle, " or by fome animal, anothér muft be confe" crated.
" If the wine be quite four or putrid, or made " of unripe grapes, or be mixed with fo much " water as to fpoil the wine, it is no facrament." If the wine have begun to four or to be corrupt" ed, or be quite new, or not mixed with water, " or mixed with rofe-water or other diftilled water, " it makes a facrament, but the celebrator fins " grievoufly.
"If the prieft, before confecration, obferve that " the materials are not proper, he mult ftop, if " proper materials cannot be got ; but after con" fecration, he muft proceed, to avoid giving " fcandal. If proper materials can be procured by " waiting, he mult wait for them, that the facri" fice may not remain imperfect.
"Second, in form. If any of the words of " confecration be omitted, or any of them be chang"ed into words of a different meaning, it is no fa" crament: if they be changed into words of the "fame meaning, it makes a facrament; but the " celebrator fins grievoully.
" Third, in the minifter. If he does not intend " to make a facrament, but to cheat ; if there be " any part of the wine, or any wafer that he has " not in his eye, and does not intend to confe" crate ; if he have before him eleven wafers, and " intends to confecrate only ten, not determining " what
" what ten he intends : in thefe cafes the con" fecration does not hold, becaufe intention is
" requifite. If he think there are ten only, and
" intends to confecrate all before him, they are
" all confecrated; therefore priefts ought always
" to have fuch intention. If the prieft, thinking
" he has but one wafer, thall, after the confe-
" cration, find two Iticking together, he muft take
" off all the remains of the confecrated matter ;
" for they all belong to the fame facrifice. If in
" confecrating, the intention be not actual by wan-
" dering of mind, but virtual in approaching the
" altar, it makes a facrament : tho' priefts fhould
"be careful to have intention both virtual and
" actual.
" Befide intention, the prieft may be deficient
" in difpofition of mind. If he be fufpended, or
" degraded, or excommunicated, or under mor-
" tal fin, he makes a facrament, but fins griev-
ss oully. He may be deficient alfo in difpofition
"s of body. If he have not fafted from mid-
" night, if he have not tafted water or any other
" drink or meat, even in the way of medicine,
" he cannot celebrate nor communicate. If he
" have taken meat or drink before midnight, even
" tho' he have not flept nor digefted it, he does
" not fin. But on account of the perturbation of
" mind, which bars devotion, it is prudent to re-
" frain.
" If any remains of meat, fticking in the mouth,
" be fwallowed with the hoft, they do not pre-
" vent communicating, provided they be fwailow" ed not as meat, but as fpittle. The fame is " to be faid, if in walhing the mouth a drop of " water be fwallowed, provided it be againft our " will.
" Fourth in the action. If any requifite be want" ing, it is no facrament; for example, if. it be " celebrated
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"celebrated out of holy ground, or upon an al-
" tar not confecrated, or not covered with three
" napkins: if there be no wax candles; if it be
" not celebrated between day-break and noon;
" if the celebrator have not faid mattins with lauds;
" if he omit any of the facerdotal robes; if thefe
' robes and the napkins be not bleffed by a bi-
" hop ; if there be no clerk prefent to ferve, or " one who, ought not to ferve, a woman for example; if there be no chalice, the cup of which is gold, or filver, or pewter; if the veltment be not of clean linen adorned with filk in the middle, and bleffed by a bifhop; if the prieft ce" lebrate with his head covered; if there be no miffal prefent, though he have it by heart. " If a gnat or fpider fall into the cup after " confecration, the prieft muft fwallow it with the blood, if he can: otherwife, let him take it out, wafh it with wine, burn it and throw it with the wafhings into holy ground. If poifon fall into the cup, the blood muft be poured on " tow or on a linen cloth, remain till it be dry, " then be burnt, and afhes be thrown upon holy " ground. If the hoft be poifoned, it muft be " kept in a tabernacle till it be corrupted. " If the blood freeze in winter, put warm cloths " about the cup: if that be not fufficient, put the " cup in boiling water.
" If any of Chrift's blood fall on the ground " by negligence, it muft be licked up with the " tongue, and the place fcraped : the fcrapings " mult be burnt, and the afhes buried in holy " ground.
" If the prieft vomit the eucharift and the fpe" cies appear entire, it mult be licked up moft " reverently. If a naufea prevent that to be done, " it muft be kept till it be corrupted. If the " fpecies do not appear, let the vomit be burnt, " and the afhes thrown upon holy ground."

As the forcgoing article has beyond inter. tion fwelled to an enormous fize, I fhall add but one other article, which fhall be extremely fhort'; and that is the creed of Athanafius. It is a heap of unintelligible jargon ; and yet we are appointed to believe every article of it under the pain of eternal damnation. As it enjoins belief of rank contradictions, it feems purpofely calculated to be a teft of flavifh fubmiflion to the tyrannical authority of a proud and arrogant prieft $\dagger$.

## C H A P. III.

## Religious Wor/hip.

IN the foregoing chapter are traced the gradu. al advances of the fenfe of Deity, from its inperfect ftate among favages to its maturity among enlightened nations; difplaying to us one great being, to whom all other beings owe their exiftence, who made the world, and who governs it by perfect laws. And our perception of Deity, arifing from that fenfe, is fortified by an intuitive perception, that there neceffarily muft exift fome being who had no beginning. Confidering the Deity as the author of our exiftence we owe him gra. titude; confidering him as governor of the world, we owe him obedience: and upon thefe duties is founded the obligation we are under to worthip him. Further, God made man for fociety, and implanted in his nature the moral fenfe to direct his conduct in that ftate. From thefe premifes,
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## B. III.

 ond intenall add but nely thort; t is a heap e appointed the pain of ief of rank ulated to be rannical auit +d the gradu. from its imaturity among one great beheir exiftence, erns it by perDeity, ariling intuitive perexift fome being the Deity owe him graof the world, there duties nder to wora for fociety, oral fenfe to From thefe premifes, ce fufficient to weigh rch.

Sk. III. iii.
Theology. 433 premifes, may it not with certainty be inferred to be the will of God, that men fhould obey the dictates of the moral fenfe in fulfilling every duty of juftice and benevolence? Thefe moral duties, it would appear, are our chief bufinefs in this life; being enforced not only by a moral but by a religious principle.

Morality, as laid down in a former fketch, confifts of two great branches, ithe moral fenfe which unfolds the duty we owe tc our fellow-creatures, and an active moral principle which prompts us to perform that duty. Natural religion confifts alfo of two great branches, the fenfe of Deity which unfolde our duty to our Maker, and the active principle of devotion which prompts us to perform our duty to his. The univerfality of the fenfe of Deity proves it to be innate : the fame reafon proves the principle of devotion to be innate; for all suen agree in worfhipping fuperior beings, whatever difference thece may be in the mode of worfhip.

Both branches of the duty we owe to God, that of worfhipping him, and that of obeying his will with refpect to our fellow-creatures, are fummed up by the Prophet Micah in the following emphatic words. "He hath fhewed thee, O man, " what is good; and what doth the Lord require " of thee, but to do juftly, to love mercy, and " to walk hambly with thy God ?" The two articles firf mentioned, are moral duties regarding our fellow-creatures: and as to fuch, what is required of us is to do our duty to others; not only as directed by the moral fenfe, but as being the will of our Maker, to whom we owe abfolute obedience. That branch of our duty is referved for a fecond fection : at prefent we are to treat of religious worthip, included in the third article, the walking humbly with our God.

$$
\text { VoL. II. Ff } \quad \text { SECT. }
$$

'TH E obligation we are under to worflip God, or to walk humbly with him, is, as obferved above, founded on the two great principles of gratitude and obedience; both of thein requiring fundamentally a pure heart, and a well-difpofed mind. But heart-worlhip is alone not fufficient : there are over and above rcquired external figns, teflifying to others the fenfe we have of thefe duties, and a firm refolution to perform them. That fuch is the will of God, will appear as follows. The principle of devotion, like moft of our other principles, partakes the imperfection of our nature : yet, however faint originally, it is capable of being greatly invigorated by cultivation and exercife.Private exercife is not fufficient. Naiure, and confequently the God of nature, require public excrcife or public worfhip: for devotion is infectious, like joy or grief (a); and by mutual communication in a numerous affembly, is greatly invigorated. A regular habit of exprefling publicly our gratitude and refignation, never fails to purify the inind, tending to wean it from every unlawful purfuit. This is the true motive of public worfhip; not what is commonly inculcated, That it is required from us, as a teftimony to our Maker of our obedience to his laws: God, who knows the heart,

[^121] add upon the general head, that lawgivers ought to avoid with caution the enforcing public worfhip by rewards and punifhments: human laws cannot reach the heart, in which the effence of worthip confifts: they may indeed bring on a liftlefs habit of worfhip, by feparating the external act from the internal affection, than which nothing is more hurtful to true religion. The utmolt that can be fafely ventured, is to bring public worlhip under cenforian powers, as a matter of police, for preferving good order, and for preventing bad example.

The religion of Confucius, profeffed by the literati and perfons of rank in China and Tonquin, confifts in a deep inward veneration for the God or King of heaven, and in the practice of every moral virtue. They have neither temples, nor priefts, nor any fettled form of external worfhip: every one adores the fupreme Being in the manner he himfelf thinks beft. This is indeed the moft reined fyitem of religion that ever took place among men; but it is not fitted for the human race: an excellent religion it would be for F•f 2 angels;

[^122]angels; but it is far too refined even for fages and philofophers.
Proceeding to deviations from the genuine worfhip required by our Maker, and grofs deviations there have been, I begin with that fort of worflip which is influenced by fear, and which for that reafon is univerfal among favages. The American favages believe, that there are inferior deities without end, moft of them prone to milchief: they neglect the fupreme Deity becaufe he is good; and direct their worfhip to foothe malevolent inferior deities from doing harm. The inhabitants of the Molucca iflands, who believe the exiftence of malevolent beings fubordinate to the fupreme benevolent Be ing, confine their worihip to the former, in order to avert their wrath; and one branch of their worfhip is, to fet meat before them, hoping that when the belly is full, there will be lefs inclination to mifchief. The worhip of the inhabitants of Java is much the fame. The negroes of Benin worfhip the devil, as Dampier expreffes it, and facrifice to him both men and beafts. They acknowledge indeed a fupreme Being, who created the univerfe, and governs it by his Providence: but they regard him not; "for," fay they, it is " needlefs, if not impertinent, to invoke a being, " who, good and gracious, is incapable of in" juring or molefting us." Gratitude, it would appear, is not a ruling principle among favages.

The aufterities and penances that are practifed in almoft all religions, fpring from the fame root. One way to pleafe invifible malignant powers, is to make ourfelves as miferable as polfible. Hence the horrid penances of the Faquirs in Hindoftan, who outdo in mortification whatever is reported of the ancient Chriftian anchorites. Some of thefe Fa-
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Sk. III. iii. r. Theolegy. 437 quirs continue for life in one pofture: fome never lie down : fome have always their arms raifed above their head: and fome mangle their bodies with knives and fourges. The town of Jagrenate in Hindoftan is frequented by pilgrims, fome of them from places 300 leagues diftant; and they travel not by walking or riding, but by meafuring the road with the length of their bodies; in which mode of loco-motion, fome of them confume years before they complete their pilgrimage. A religious fect made its way fome centuries ago into Japan, termed Bubjdoifts, from Bubs, the founder. This fect has prevalied over the ancient fect of the Sintos, chiefly by its aufterity and mortifications. The fpirit of this fect infpires nothing but exceffive fear of the gods, who are painted prone to vengeance and always offended. Thefe fectaries pals moft of their time in tormenting themfelves, in order to explate imaginary faults; and they are treated by their priefts with a degree of defpotifm and cruelty, that is not paralieled but by the inquifitors of Spain.Their manners are fierce, cruel, and unrelenting; derived from the nature of their fuperftition.The notion of invifible malevolent powers, formerly univerfal, is not to this hour eradicated, even among Chriftians; for which I appeal to the faftings and flagellations among Roman-Catholics, held by them to be an efleatial part of religion.Peopie infected with religious horrors, are never ferioufly convinced that an upright heart and found morality make the effence of religion. 'The doctrine of the Janfenifts concerning repentance and mortification, fhows evidently, however they may deceive themfelves, that they have an impreffion of the Deity as a malevolent being.They hold the guilt contracted by Adam's fall to be a heinous fin, which ought to be expiated
by acts of mortification, fuch as the torturing and macerating the body with painful labour, exceffive abftinence, continual prayer and contempla. tion. Their penances, whether for original or voluntary fin, are carried to extravagance; and thofe who put an end to their lives by fuch feverities, are termed the facred victims of repentance, confumed by the fire of divine love. Such fuicides are efteemed peculiarly meritorious in the eye of Heaven ; and it is thought that they cannot fail to appeafe the anger of the Deity. That celibacy is a ftate of purity and perfection, is a prevailing notion in many countries: among the Pagans, a married man was forbidden to approach the altar, for fome days after knowing his wife; and this ridiculous notion of pollution, contributed to introduce celibacy among the Roman. Catholic priefts *. The Emperor Otho, anno 1218, became a fignal penitent: but inftead of atoning for his fins by repentance and reftitution, he laid himfelf down to be trodden under foot by the boys of his kitchen; and frequently fubmitted to the difcipline of the whip, inflicted by monks. The Emperor Charles V. toward the end of his days, was forely deprefled in fpirit with fear of hell. Monks were his only companions, with whon he fpent his time in chanting hymns. As an expiation for his fins, he in private difciplined himfelf with fuch feverity, that his whip, found afier his death, was tinged with his blood. Nor was he fatisfied with thefe acts of mortification : timorous and illiberal folicitude flill haunting him he aimed at fomething extraordinary, at fome new and fingular act of picty, to difplay his zeal, and to merit the favour of Heaven. The act he fixed on, was as wild as any that fuperftition
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ftition ever fuggefted to a diftempered brain: it was to celebrate his own obfequies. He ordered his tomb to be erected in the chapel of the monaftery : his domeftics marched there in funeral proceffion, holding black tapers: he followed in his flroud: he was laid in his coffin with much dolemnity: the fervice of the dead was chanted ; and he himfelf joined in the prayers offered up for his requiem, mingling his tears with thofe of his attendants. The ceremony clofed with fprinkling holy water upon the coffin; and the afliftants retiring, the doors of the chapel were fhut. Then Charles role out of the coffin, and ftole privately to his apartment.

The hiftory of ancient facrifices, is not fo accurate, as in every inftance to afcertain upon what principle they, were founded, whether upon gratitude for favours received, or to folicit future favour. Human facrifices undoubtedly belong to the prefent head: for being calculated to deprecate the wrath of a malevolent deity, they could have no other motive but fear ; and indeed they are a raoft direful effect of that paffion $\dagger$. It is needlefs to lofe time in mentioning inftances, which are well known to thofe who are acquainted with ancient hiftory. A number of them are collected in Hiftorical Law-tracts (a): and to thefe I take the liberty of adding, that the Cimbrians, the Germans, the Gauls, particularly the Druids, practifed human facrifices ; for which we have the authority of Julius Cafar, Strabo, and other arthors. A people on the bank of the Miffifippi, named Tenfas, worihip the fun ; and, like the Natches their neighbours, have a temple for that luminary,

[^124]minary, with a facred fire in it, continually burning: The temple having been fet on fire by thunder, was all in flames when fome French travellers faw them throw children into the fire, one after another, to appeafe the incenled deity. The Prophet Micah (ai), in a paffage partly quoted above, inveighs bitterly againt fuch facrifices: Where": with thall i come Lefore the Lord, and bow " myfelf before the high God ? fhall I come before " him with burnt-offerings, with calves of a year old? " will the Lord be pleefed with thoufands of rans, "s or with ten thoufands of rivers of oil? fhall " I give my firf born for my tranfgrellion, the " fruit of my "ody for the fin of my foul? He " hath fhewed thee, O man, what is good: and "s what doth the Lord require of thee, but to do " juitly, to love mercy, and to walk humbly with " thy God?"

The ancient Perfians acknowledged Oromazes and Arimanes as their great deities, authors of good and ill to men. But I find not Arimanes, the evil principle, was ever an object of any religious worfhip. The Gaures, who profefs the ancient religion of Perfia, addrefs no worfhip but to one God, all-good and all-powerful.

Next, of worfhipping the Deity in the character of a mereenary being. Under that head come facrifices and oblations, whether prompted by gratitude for favours received, or by felf-intereft to procure future favours; which, for the reafou uxntioned, I fhall not attempt to diftinguith. As the deities of early times were thought to refemble ment, it was a natural endeavour in men to conciliate their favour by fuch offerings as were the mott relifhed by themlelves. It is probable, that the firt facrifices of that kind, were of fwecimelling herbs,
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which in the fire emitted a flavour that might reach the noftrils of a deity, even at a diftance. The burning incenfe to their gods, was practifed in Mexico and Peru; and at prefent is practifed in the peninfula of Corea. An opportunity fo favourable for making religious zeal a fund of riches to the priefthood, is feldom neglected. There was no difficulty to perfuade ignorant people, that the gods could eat as well as fmell: what was offered to a deity íor food; being carried into the temple, was underfood to be devoured by him.

With refpect to the Jewifh facrifices of burntofferings, meat-offerings, fin-offerings, peace-offerings, heave-offerings, and wave-offerings, thete were appointed by God himfelf, in order to keep that ffiff-necked people in daily remembrance of their dependance on him, and to preferve them if pot fible from idolatry. But that untractable race did not adhere to the purity of the inftitution: they infenfibly degenerated into the notion that their God was a mercenary being ; and in that charater only, was the worfhip of facrifices performed to him. The offerings mentioned were libetally beftowed on him, not fimply as a token of their dependence, but chiefly in order to avert his wrath, or to gain his favour $t$.

The religious notions of the Greeks were equally impure: they could not think of any means for conciliating the favour of their gods, more efficacious than gifts. Homer paints his gods as exceffively mercenary. In the fourth book of the iliad, Jupiter fays, "Of thefe cities, honoured the " mop by the foul of Jove, is facred Troy. Never " fands the altar empty before me, oblations pour"ed

[^126]" ed forth in my prefence, favour that afcends the " ikies." Speaking in the fifth book of a warrior, known afterward to be Diomedes, "Some god he " is, fome power againft the Trojans enraged for " vows unpaid: deftructive is the wrath of the " gods." Diomedes prays to Minerva, " With ${ }^{66}$ thine arm? ward from me the foe : a year-old " heiler, O Queen, thall be thine, broad-fronted, "s unbroken, and wild: her to thee I will offer "s with prayer, gilding with gold her horns." Precifely of the lame kind, are the offerings made by lupertititus Roman-Catholies on the Virgin Mary, and fo faints. Eleefra, ill the tragedy of that name, fupplicates Apolla in the following terms.
> O) hear Electra too

> Who, with unfparing hand, her choiceft gifts Hath never failed to lay before thy altars; Accept the little All that now remains For me to give.

The people of Hindoftan, as inentioned allove, atone for lheir lins by auftere penances; but they have no notion of prefenting gifts to the Deity, nor of deprecating his wrath by the flefh of animals. On the contrary, they reckon it a fin to flay an "living creature; which reduces them to vegetable food. This is going too far ; for the Deity could never mean to prohibit animal food, when originally man's chief dependance was upon it. The abftaining however from animal food, fhows greater humanity in the religion of Hindoftan, than of any other known country. The inhabitants of Madagafcar are in a ftage of religion, common among many nations, which is, the acknowledging one fupreme benevolent deity, and many malevolent deities. Moft of their worfhip is indeed addrefled to the latter; but they have fo far advanced before feveral other nations, as to offer f a warrior, ome god he enraged for rath of the va, " With a year-old oad-fronted, I will offer rns." Pretings made Virgin Mary, f that name, etins.
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Philofophy and found fenfe in polifhed nations, have purified religious worfhip, by banifhing the profeflion, at leaft, of oblations and facrifices. The Being that made the world, governs it by laws that are inflexible, becaule they are the beit; and to imagine that he can be moved by prayers, oblations, or facrifices, to vary his plan of government, is an impious thought, degrading the Deity to a level with nurfelves: "Hear, O my peo"ple, and I will teftify againt thee : 1 am " God, even thy God. I will take no bullock " out of thy houfe, nor he-goat out of thy fold: "for every bealt of the foreft is mine, and the "cattle upon a thoufand hills. Will I eat the " flefh of bulls, or drink the blood of goats? Of"fer unto God thankfiving, and pay thy vows " to the Moft High. Calr upon me in the day " of trouble : I will deliver thee, and thou fhalt " glorify me (a)." " Thou defireft not facrifice, " elfe would I give it; thou delighteft not in " burnt-offering. The facrifices of God are a " broken fpirit: a broken and a contrite heart, " O God, thou wilt not defpife (b)." " For I " defired mercy, and not facrifice; and the know" ledge of God more than burnt offerings (c)." In dark ages, there is great flew of religion, with little heart-worlhip: in ages of philofophy, warm heart-worlhip, with little fhew *.

## This

(a) Pfalm 50.
(b) Pralm 5 r .
(c) Hofea vi. 6.

* Agathias urges a different reafon againt facrifices. "Ego nullam " naturan effe exiftimo, cul voluptati fint fredata fanguine altaria, et " animantium lanienx. Quod fi qua tamen eft cui ifta fint cordi, non " ea mitis et benigna elt aliqua, fed fera ac rabida, quatem pavorem "poeta fingunt, et Metum, et Bellonam, et Malam Fortunam, et Dif"cordiam, quain indomitam appellant."-[In Englif, thus: "I cannot
"conceive, that there fhould exift a fuperior being, who takes delight
" in the sacrifice of animats, or in altars ftained with blood. If fuch
"there be, his nature is not benevolent, but barharous and cruel. Such
" indeed were the Cods whom the poets have created: fuch were Fear
" and

This is a proper place for the hiftory of ido. latry; which, as will anon appear, fprung from religious worfhip corrupted by men of thallow underltanding and grofs conceptions, upon whom things invifible make little impretlion.

Savages, even of the loweft clals, have an impreffion of invifible powers, though they cannot form any diftinct notion of them. But fuch innpreffion is too faint for the exercife of devotion. Whether infpired with love to a good being, or impreffed with fear of an ill being, lavages are not at eafe without fome fort of vifible object to fix their attention. A great fone ferved that purpofe originally; a very low inftrument indeed of religious worlhip; but not altogether whimfical, if it was introduced, which is highly probable, in the following manner. It was an early and a natural cuftom among favages, to mark with a great ftone, the place where their worthies were interred ; of which we have lints every where in ancient hiftory, particularly in the poems of Offian. "Place me," fays Calmar, mortally wounded, " at " the fide of a ftone of remembrance, that fu" ture times may hear my fame, and the mother

[^127]" of Calmar rejoice over the ftone of my renown." Superftition in later times having deified thefe worthies, their votaries, rejoicing as formerly over the ftones dedicated to them, held thefe flones to be effential in every act of religious worlhip performed to their new deities*. Tradition points out many ftones in different parts of the world, that were uled in religious worfhip. The fun was worlhipped at Emefa in Syria by the name of Elagabalus, and under the form of a black conical ftone, which, as univerfally believed, had fallen from heaven on that facred place. A large ftone worfhipped by the l'effenuntians, a people of Phrygia, under the name of Idea mater, was, upon a folemn embaffy to that people, brought to Rome; it being contained in the Sybilline books, that unleds the Romans got poffeflion of that goddefs, they never would prevail over Hannibal. And Paufanias mentions many ftones in Greece, dedicated to different divinities; particularly thirty fquare ftones in Achaia, on which were engraved the names of as many gods. In another place, he mentions a very ancient ftatue of Venus in the ifland Delos, which, inftead of feet, had only a fquare fone. This may appear a puzzling circumftance in the hiftory of Greece, confidering that all the Greecian gods were ori-

[^128]ginally mortals, whom it was ealy to reprefent by ftatue: : but in that early period, the Greeks knew no more of ftatuary than the moft barbarous nations. It is perhaps not eafy to gather the meaning of favages, with refpect to fuch fones : the moft natural conjecture is, that a great fone, dedicated to the worlhip of a certain deity, was confidered as belonging to him. This notion of property has a double effect : the worflippers, by comnection of ideas, were led from the fone to the deity: and the fone tended to fix their wandering thoughts. It was probable imagined, over and above, that fome latent virtue communicated to the fone, made it holy or facred. liven among enlightened people, a fort of virtue or fanctity is conceived to refide in the place of worfhip : why not alfo in a fone dedicated to a deity ? The ancient Ethiopians, in their worlhip, introduced the figure of a ferpent as a fymbol of the deity : two fticks laid crofs reprefented Caftor and Pollux, Roman divinities: a javelin reprefented their god Mars; and in Tartary formerly, the grod of war was worfhipped under the fymbol of an old rufty fabre. The ancient Perfians ufed confecrated fire, as an emblem of the great God. Though the negroes of Congo and Angola have images without number, they are not however idolaters in any proper fenfe: their belief is, that thefe images are only organs by which the deities fignify their will to their votaries.

If the ufe that was made of ftones and of other fymbols in religious worfhip, be fairly reprefented, it may appear ftrange, that the ingenious Greeks funk down into idolatry, at the very time they were roaking a rapid progrefs in the fine arts. Their improvements in ftatuary, one of thefe arts, was the caufe. They began with attempting to carve heads of men and women, reprefenting their deified heroes; which were placed upon the fones dedicated
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Sk. III. iii. 1. Thseology. 447 dedicated to thefe heroes. In the proger. 1 s ot the art, ftatues were executed complete in every member; and at laft, flatues of the gods were made, exprefling fuch dignity and majelty, as inlenfibly to draw from beholders a degree of devotion to the ftatues themfelves. Hear Quintilian upon that fubject. "At qua Polycleto detuerunt, Phidia at. "que Alcameni dantur. Plidias tamen diis quam " hominibus efficiendis melior artifex traditur: in " cbore vero, longe citra amulum, vel fi nihil " nifi Minervam Athenis aut Olympium in Elide " Jovem feciffet, cujus pulchritudo adjeciffe ali" quid etiam recepta religioni videtur; adeo ma" jeftas operis deum requavit *." Here is laid a foundation for idolatry: let us trace its progrefs. Such ftatues as are reprefented by Quintilian, ferve greatly to enflame devotion; and during a warm fit of the religious paffion, the reprefentation is loft, and the ftatue becomes a deity; precifely as where King Lear is reprefented by Garrick : the actor vanilhes; and, behold! the King himfelf. This is not fingular. Anger occafions a metamorphofis ftill more extraordinary; if I happen to ftrike my gouty toe againft a ftone, the violence of the pain converts the ftone for a moment into a voluntary agent; and 1 wreak my refentment on it, as if it really were fo. It is true, the image is only conceived to be a deity during the fervour of devotion ; and when that fubfides, the image falls back to its original reprefentative ftate. But frequent inftances of that kind, have at laft the effect among illiterate people to convert the image into a fort of permanent dedty:

[^129]ty : what fuch people fee, makes a deep impreffion; what they fee not, very little. There is another thing that concurs with eye-fight, to promote this delufion : devotion, being a vigorous principle in the human breaft, will exert itfelf upon the meaneft object, when none more noble is in view.

The ancient Perfians held the confecrated fire to be an emblem only of the great God: but fuch veneration was paid to that emblem, and with fo great ceremony was it treated, that the vulgar came at laft to worfhip it as a fort of deity. The priefts of the Gaures watch the confecrated fire day and night : they keep it alive with the pureft wood, without bark: they touch it not with fword or knife : they blow it not with bellows, nor with the mouth : even the prieft is prohibited to approach it, till his mouth be covered with fine linen, left it be polluted with his breath: if it happen to go out, it mult be rekindled by triking fire from flint, or by a burning glafs.

The progrefs of idolatry will more clearly appear, from attending to the religion of the Greeks and Romans. The Greeks, as mentioned above, made ufe of ftones in divine worfhip, long before idolatry was introduced : and we learn from Varro, that for a hundred and feventy years after Numa, the Romans had no ftatues nor images in their temples. After ftatues of the gods becane fathionable, they acquired by degrees more and more refpect. The Greek and Roman writers talk of divine virtue being communicated to ftatues; and fome Roman writers talk familiarly, of the numen of a deity refiding in his ftatue. Arnobius, in his book againft the Gentiles, introduces a Gentile delivering the following opinion. "We do " not believe, that the metal which compofes a " ftaute, whether gold, or filver, or brais, is a " god. But we believe, that a folemn dedication " brings down the god to inhabit his image ; and
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" it is the god only that we worhhip in that image.? This explains the Roman ceremony, of inviting to theirfide the tutelar deities of towns befieged by them, termed evocatio tutelarium deorum. The Romans, cruel as they were, overflowed with fuperftition; and as they were averfe from combating the tutelar deities even of their enemies, they endeavoured to gain thefe deities by large pronifes, and afliurance of honourable treatment. As they could not hope that a ftatue would change its place, their notion muft have been, that by this ceremony, the tutelar deity might be prevailed upon to withdraw its numen, and leave the flatue a dead lamp of matter. When Stilpo was banifhed by the Areopagus of Athens, for affirming, that the ftatue in the temple of Minerva, was not the goddefs, but a piece of matter caryed by Phidias; he furefy was not condemned for faying, that the ftatue was made by Phidias, a iact univerfally known: his herefy confifted in denying that the numen of Minerva refided in the ftatue. Auguftus, having twice loft his fleet by ftorm, forbade Neptune to be carried in proceffion along with the other gods: imagining he had avenged himfelf of Neptune, by neglecting the favourire flatue in which his numen refided.

When faints in the Chriftian church were deified, even their images became objects of worthip; from a fond imagination, that fuch worfhip draws down into the images the fouls of the faints they reprefent : which is the fame belief that Arnobius, in the paffage above mentioned, afcribes to the Gentiles; and is not widely different from the belief of the Pagan Tartars and Oitiacs, by and by to be mentioned. In the eleventh century, there was a violent difpute about inages in the Creek church; many afferting, that in the images of our Saviour and of the faints, there refides an inhercnt fandity which is a proper obVol. II. Gg jeat confine their worhip to the perfons reprefented, but ought alfo to extend it to their images.

As ignorant and favage nations can form no conception of Deity but of a being like a man, only fuperior in power and greatnefs; many images have been made of the Deity conformable to that conception. It is ealy to make fome refemblance of a man; but how is power and greatnefs to be reprefented ? To perform this with fuccefs, would require a Hogarth. Savages go more bluntly to work; they endeavour to reprefent a man with many heads, and with a ftill greater number of hands. The northern Tartars feem to have no deities but certain ftatues or images coarfely formed out of wood, and bearing fome diftant refemblance to the human figure. To palliate fo grofs an abfurdity as that a god can be fabricated by the hands of man, they imagine this image to be endued with a foul : to fay whence that foul came, would puzzle the wifeft of them. That foul is conceived to be too elevated for dwelling conftantly in a piece of matter : they believe that it refides in fome more honourable place; and that it only vifits the image or idol, when it is called down by prayers and fupplications. They facrifice to this idol, by rubbing its mouth with the fat of fifh, and by offering it the warm blood of fome beaft killed in hunting. The laft ftep of the ceremony is, to honour the foul of the idol with a joyful fhout, as a fort of convoy to it when it returns home. The Oftiacs have a wooden idol, termed The Old Man of Oby, who is guardian of their fifhery: it hath eyes of glafs, and a head with fhort horns. When the ice diffolves, they crowd to this idol, requefting that he will be propitious to their fifhery. If unfuccefsful, he is loaded with reproaches: if fuccefsful, he is entitled to a fhare of the capture. They make a feaft for him,
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Sk. III. iii. 1 . Thbeology. $45^{\pi}$ rubbing his fnout with choice fat; and when the entertainment is over, they accompany the foul of the idol a little way, beating the air with their cudgels. The Oftiacs have another idol, that is fed with milk fo abundantly, as to come out on both fides of the fpoon, and to fall down upon the vefture; which however is nevet wafhed, fo little is cleannefs thought effential to religion by that people. It is indeed ftrangely abfurd, to think, that invifible fouls require food like human creatures; and yet the fame abfurdity prevailed in Greece.

The ancient Germans, a fober and fenfible peo. ple, had no notion of reprefenting their gode by ftatues, or of building temples to them. They worfhipped in confecrated groves (a). The Egyptians, from a jult conception that an invifible being can have no refemblance to one that is vifible, employed hieroglyphical figures for denoting metaphorically the attributes of their gods; and they employed, not only the figures of birds and beafts, but of vegetables; leeks, for example, and onions. This metaphorical adjunct to religion, innocent in iffes, funk the Egyptians into the moft groveling idolatry. As hieroglyphical firures, compofed frequently of heterogeneous parts, refemble not any being human or divine; the vulgar, lofing fight of the emblematic fignification underftond by poets and philofophers only, took up with the plain figures as real divinities. How otherwife can it be accounted for, that the ox, the ape, the onion, were in Egypt worfhipped as deities? Plutarch it is true, in his chapter upon Ifis and Oftris obferves, that the Egyptians worfhipped the bull, the cat, and other animals; not as divinities, but as reprefentatives of them, like an image feen in a glafs; or, as lie expreffes it in another part of the $G g^{2}$ fame (a) Tacitus De munibus Germmnom, cape o
fame chapter, "c juft as we fee the refemblance of "s the fun in a drop of water." But that this muft be underttood of philofophers only, will be probable from what is reported by Diodorus Siculus, that in a great famine, the Egyptians ventured not to touch the facred animals, though they were forced to devour one another. A. fnake of a particular kind, about a yard long, and about the thicknefs of a man's arm, is worfhipped by the Whidans in Guinea. It has a large round head, piercing eyes, a flort pointed tongue, and a finooth kin, beautifully feckled. It has a Atrong antipathy to all the venemous kind; in other refpects, innocent and tame. To kill thefe finakes being a capital crime, they travel abtuut ummolefted, even into bedchambers. They occafioned, anno 1697, a ridiculous perfecution. A hog teafed by one of them, tore it with his tufks till it died. The priefts carried their complaint to the king; and no one prefuming to appear as counfel for the hogs, orders were iffued for flaughtering the whole race. At once were brandifhed a thouland cutlaffes; and the race would have been extirpated, had not the king interpofed, reprefenting to the priefts, that they ought to rett fatisfied with the innocent blood they had fpilt. Rancour and cruelty never rage more violently, than under the mafk of religion.

It is amazing how prone even the moft polifhed nations were to idolatry. A ftatue of Hercules was worfhipped at Tyre, not as a reprefentative of the Deity but as the Deity himfelf. And accordingly, when Tyre was befieged by Alexander, the Deity was faft bound in chains, to prevent him from deferting to the enemy. The city of Ambracia being taken by the Romans, and every ftatue of :heir gods being carried to Rome; the Ambraciats complaned bitterly that not a fingle divinity was left thern to worlhip. How much more rati-
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Sk. III. iii. r. Theology. 453 onal are the Hindoftan bramins, who teach their difciples; that idols are emblens only of the Deity, intended metely to fix the attention of the populace:
The firft ftatues in Greece and Tufcany were made with wings, to fignify the fwift motion of the gods.: Thele fatues were fo clumfy, as farce to refemble hiuthan creatures, not to talk of a divinity,...But the admirable ftatues executed in later times, were inagined to refemble' moft accurately the delties reprefented by them : whence the vulgar notion, that gods have wings, and that angels have wings.
"I proceed to what in the hiftory of idolatry may be reckoned the feconil pirt. Statues, we have feen, where at firf uled as reprefentatives onty of the Deity ; but came afterward to be metamorphofed into Deities. The abfurdity did not ftop there: People, not fatisficl with the vifible deities crected in temples for public worthip, became fond to have private deities of their own, whom they worlhipped as their tutelar deities; and this practice fpread fo wide, that among many nations every family had houfehold gods cut in wood or ftone. Every family in Kamikatka has a tutelar deity in the ihape of a pillar, with the head of a man, which is fuppofed to guard the houfe againf malevolent fpirits. They give it food daily, and anoint the head with the fat of fifh. The Prophet Ifaiah (a) puts this fpecies of deification in a moft ridiculous light: " He burn" eth part thereof in the fire: with part there": of he roafteth flefl : of the refidue he imaketh " a god, even his graven image: he falleth down, "s worfhipping and praying to it and faith, De" liver me, for thou art my god:" Multiplication could not fail to fink houtehotd-gods into a degree

[^130]gree of contempt : fome flight hope of good from them, might produce fome cold ceremonial worfhip; but there could be no real devotion at heart. The Chinefe manner of treating their houfehold-gods; will youch for me. When a Chinefe does not obtain what he prays for, "Thou " fpiritual dog," he will fay, "I lodge thee well, " thou art beautifully gilded, treaked with per" fumes and burnt-offerings; and yet thou with" holdeft from me the necefliaries of life."Sometimes they faften a cord to the idol, and drag it through the dirt. The inhabitants of Ceylon ireat their idols in the fane manner. Thor, Woden, and Friga, were the great deities of the Scandinavians. They had at the fame time inferior deities, who were fuppofed to have been men tranflated into heaven for their good works.Thefe they treated with very little ceremony, refuing to worfhip them if they were not propitious; and even punithing them with banifhment; but reftoring them after a time, in hopes of amendment. Domeftic idols are treated by the Oftiacs with no greater reverence than by the people mentioned. But they have public idols, fome particularly of brats, which are highly reverenced: the folidity of the metal is in their imagination connected with immortality ; and greai regard is paid to thefe idols, for the knowledge and experience they mult have acquired in an endlefs courfe of time.

When by philofophy and improvement of the rational faculty, the Pagan religion in Rome was finking into contempt, little regard was had to tutelar deities, to aaguries, or to prophecies. Ptolomy King of Egypt, being thruft out of his kingdom by a powerful faction, applied to the fenate of Rome to be reftored. Lentulus proconful of Syria was ambitious to be employed; buthe had enemies who made violent oppofition. They thee well, with perthou withlife." idol, and nts of Ceyner. Thor, ities of the e time infee been men works. renony, renot propitibanifhment; hopes of ated by the by the peo. idols, fome reverenced: imagination ai regard is e and expeendlefs courfe
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brought religion into the quarrel, alledging a Bybilline oracle, prophefying that Ptolomy thould be reftored but not by an army. Cicero in a letter still extant, gave Lentulus the following advice, that with his Syrian army he fhould invade Egypt, beat down all oppofition, and when the country was quieted, that Ptolomy hould be at hand to take poffeffion. And this the great Cicero thought might be piounly done without contradict. ing the oracle.

Saints, or tutelar deities, are fometimes not better treated among Roman Catholics, than among Pagans. "When we were in Portugal," fays Captain Brydone, " the people of Caftelbranco were "fo enraged at St. Antonio, for fuffering the "Spaniards to plunder their town, contrary, as " they affirmed, to his exprefs agreement with " them, that they broke many of his flatues to " pieces; and one that had been more revered " than the reft, they took the head off, and in " its ftead placed one of St. Francis, The great "St. Januarius himfelf was in imminent danger, "during the lait famine at Naples. They load"ed him with abufe and invective ; and declared " point-blank, that if he did not procure them "corn by fuch a time, he thould be no longer " their faint." The tutelar faint of Cattania, at the foot of Mount Enna, is St. Agatha. A torrent of lava burit over the walls, and laid waite great part of that beautiful city. Where was St. Agatha at that time? The people fay, that they had given her juft provocation ; but that that the has long ago been reconciled to them, and has promifed never to fuffer the lava to hurt them again. At the foot of Mount Etna, a ftatue of a faint is placed as a memorial, for having prevented the lava from running up the mountain of Taurominum, and deflroying that town; the faine having
having conducted the lava down a low valley to the fea.

Let a traveller once deviate from the right joad, and there is no end of wandering, Porphyrius reports, that in Anubis, an Fgyptian city; a real man was wormipped as a god; which is alfo afferted by Minutius Folix, in his apology for the Chriftians. A thoufand wsiters have faid, that the 'fartars believe their high-prieft, termed Dalai Lamu, to be immortal. But that is a miftake: his death is publifhed through the whole country; and couriers intimate it even to the Emperor of China: his effigy is taken down from the portal of the great church, and that of his fucceffor is put in its ftead. The fyftem of the meternipycholis, adopted in that coentry, has occafioned the miftake. 'They believe, that the holy fpirit, which animates a Dalai Lama, paffes upon his death into the body of his fucceffor. The fpirit therefore is believed to be immortal, not the body. The Dalai Lama, however, is the object of profound veneration. The Tartar princes are daily fending prefents to him, and confulting him as an oracle : they even undertale a pilgrimage in order to worthip him in perfori. In a retired part of the temple he is fhown covered with precious ftones $z_{2}$ and fitting crofs-legged. They proltrate themfelves before him at a diftance, for they are not permitted to kifs his toe. The pricits make traffic even of his excrements, which are greedily purchafed at a high price, and are kept in a golden box hanging from the neck, as a charm againft every misfortune. Like the crofs of Jefus, or the Virgin's milk, we may believe, there never will be wanting plenty of that precious ftuff to anfwer all demands: the priefts out of charity will furnifh a quota, rather than fuffer votaries to depart with their money for want of goods to purchale. The perfon of the Japan Pope,
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Pope, or Ecclefiaftical Emperor, is held fo facred, as to make the cutting his beard, or his nails, a deadly fin. But abfurd laws are never fteadily executed. The beard and the nails are cut in the night-time, when the Pope is fuppofed to be afleep; and what is taken away by that operation, is underftood to be ftolen from him, which is no impeachment upon his Holinefs.

That the Jews were idolaters when they fojourn. ed in the land of Gomen, were it not prefumable from their'commerce with the Egyptians, would however be evident from the hiftory of Mofes.Notwithftanding their miraculous deliverance from the Egyptian king, notwithftanding the daily miracles wrouglit among them in the wildernefs; fo ad: dicted were they to a vifible deity, that, during even the momentary abfence of Mofes converfing with God on the mount, they fabricated a golden calf, and worhipped it as their god. "And the Lord faid unto Mofes, Go, get thee "down: for thy people which thou broughteft " ont of the land of Egypt, have corrupted them"felves: they have turned afrde quickly out of the " way which I commanded them : they have made " them a molten calf, have worfhipped it, have "facrificed thereunto," and faid, "Thefe be thy " gods, O Ifrael, which have brought thee up out " of the land of Egypt (a)." The hiftory of the Jews, fhows how difficult it is to reclaim from idolatry a brutifh nation, addicted to fuperftition, and fettered by inveterate habit. What profufion of blood, to bring that obftinate and perverfe people to the true religion! all in vain. The book of Judges, in particular, is full of reiterated relapfes, from their own invifible God, to the vifible gods of other nations. And in all probability, their anxious defire for a vifible king, related in the firlt

[^131]firlt book of Samuel, srofe from their being deprived of a vifible god. There was a neceflity for prohibiting images (*); which would have foon been converted into deities vifible: and is was extremely prodent, to fupply the want of a vifible god, with endlefs fhews and ceremonies; which accordingly became the capital branch of the Jewih worfhip.

It appears to me from the whole hiftory of the Jews, that a grofs people are not fulceptible but of a grofs religion; and without an enlightened underftanding, that it is vain to think of eradicating fuperlition and idolatry. And after all the covenants made with the Jews, after all the chaftifements and all the miracles lavifhed on them, that they were not however reclaimed from the mof groveling idolatry, is evident from the two golden calves" fabricated by Jeroboam, faying, " Behold " thy gods, O Ifrael, which brought thee up out " of the land of Egypt (a)." The people allio of Judah fell back to idol-worhhip under Rehoboam, fon of Solomon (b). Jehu, king of the ten tribes, did not tolerate the worlhip of the other gods (c); but he continued to worlhip the two golden calves fabricated by Jeroboam (d). Down to the days of King Hezekiah, the Jews worfhipped the brazen ferpent erected by Mofes in the wildernefs. The Jews feem indeed to have been a very perverfe people: the many promifes and threatenings announced by their prophets, and the many miracles wrought among thein, had no permanent effect to reltrain them from idolatry; and yet dur. ing their captivity in Babylon, feveral of them fubmitted to be burnt alive, rather than to join in idol-

[^132](a) 1 Kings, xil. 28.
(c) 2 Kings, $x .25$.
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idol-worthip (a). Captivity cured them radically of idolatry; and from that period to this day, they have not been guilty of a fingle relapfe. Xiphilin, in his abridgement of Dion Caflius, relating their war with Pompey many centuries after the Babylon captivity, gives the following account of them. "Their cultoms are quite different from " thofe of other nations. Befide a peculiar man" ner of living, they acknowledge none the " com" on deities: they acknowledge but \%i" " w" hey worfhip with great ven asur, .... " 1 or was an image in Jerufalem ; be"ca. $\quad$ believe their God to be invifible " and ineflable. They have built him a temple " of great fize and beauty, remarkable in the fol" lowing particular, that it is open above, without " any roof."

There lies no folid objection againft images among an enlightened people, when ufed merely to roufe devotion; but as images tend to pervert the vulgar, they ought not to be adinitted into churches. Pictures are lefs liable to be mifapprehended; and the Ethiopians accordingly indulge pictures in their churches, tho' they prohibit ftatues.The general council of Frankfort permitted the ufe of images in churches; but ftrictly prohibited any worfhip to be addreffed to them. So prone however to idolatry are the low and illiterate, that the prohibition loft ground both in France and in Germany; and idol-worhip became again general.

It is probable, that the fun and moon were early held to be deities, and that they were the firt vifible objects of workip. Of all the different kinds of idolatry, it is indeed the moft excufable. Upon the fun depends health, vigour, and cheerfulnefs : during his retiremement, all is dark

[^133]
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dark and difmal: when he performs his majeftic round, to blefs his fubjects and to beftow fecin's, dity, can a mere favage withhold gracitude and veneration! Hear an old Pagan bard inpon that fub: ject. ${ }^{6}$ O thou who rolleft above, round as the ${ }_{6} 6$ hield of my fathers? Whence, are thy beartej: O © fun, thy everlafting light ? Thou comeft forth Gin thy awful beauty, and the ftars, hide their "fface: thou movert alone, for who can be a ccompanion. of thy courfe! The oaks of the ci mointain fall: the mountains decay with years': "the ocean fhrinks and grows again" the moon s. Hierfelf is loft in Heaven: but: thou art forsever "c the famej: rejoicing in the brightnefs of thy 6! courfen: When tempefts darken the world; when If: thunder rolls, and ilightning fies, ithow: low eft " in thy beauty from the clouds, and laughert at
 deity, was in former times univerfal; and prevails in many countries even at iprefent. : The Atnerican favages, worfhipithes fun as fovereign oflthe univerfe, known by the name of Arijkoui amoly the Hurons, and of Agikoue amang the Iroquois.They offer him tobacco, which, they term juitoking the fun : the; chief man in the affembly lights the calumet; and offers it thrice to the rifing fun; imploring his: protection, and reopmmending the tribe to his cáre.? The chief i proceeds to finoke; and every one fmokes in his turn. This ceremony is performed on important occafions onty : lefs inatters are referved for their Manitou. The Miffiflippi people offer to the fan the firft of what they take in hunting ; which their commander artfully converts to his own ufe. The Apalachites, bordering on Ftotida, worfhip the fun ; but facrifice nothing to him that has life 2 they hold him to be the parent of life, and think that he can take

[^134]B. 14.
his majeftic eftow fecint itude and ve pon thatifub: round as the thy beande: 0 comeft forth rs, hide their di can be a oaks of the $y$ with years': 1\% the moon $x$ art for thefs of : thy e world; when rithopulquikeft id laugheft at fun as a real and prevails The Aitnerican of the uniui, among the ie Inoquois.term"jnoking nbly lights the e rifing fun; nmending the eds to finoke;

This cereecafiohs ionily : Kanitou. The firft of what ommander iatte Apalachités, in ; but facrithey hold him $k$ that he :can take

Sk- III. iii. I. Theology. 46.
take no pleafure in the deftruction of any living. creature : heir devotion is exerted in perfumes and fongs.. The Mexicans, while a free people, prefented to the fun a fhare of their meat and drink. The inhabitants of Darien, believe in the fun as their god, and in the moon as his wife, paying them equal adoration. The people of Borneo worlhip the fun and moon as real divinities. The Samoides worfhip both, bowing to them morning and evening in the Perfian manner.
But if the fun and moon were the firft objects of idolatry, knowledge and reflection reformed many from the error of holding thefe luminaries to be deities. "That original intelligence," fay the Magians, " who is the firtt principle of all " things, difcovers himfelf to the mind and un" derftanding only: but he hath placed the fun " as his image in the vifible univerfe; and the " beams of that bright luminary, are but a faint "copy of the glory that fhines in the higher hea" vens." The Perfians, as Herodotus reports, had neither temples, nor altars, nor images : for, fays that author, they do not think, like the Greeks, that there is any refemblance between gods and men. The Gaures, who to this day profefs the ancient religion of Perfia, celebrate divine worthip before the facred fire, and turn with peculiar veneration toward the rifing fun, as the reprefentative of God; but they adore neither the fun, nor the facred fire. They are profeffed enemies to every image of the Deity cut with hands: and hence the havock made by the ancient Perfians, upon the ftatues and temples of the Grecian gods. Such fublimity of thought was above the reach of other uninfpired nations, excepting only the Hindows and Chinefe.
I clofe the hiftory of idolatry wiih a brief recapitulation of the outlines, Admitting the fun and
moon to have been the firt objects of idolatry, yet as Polytheifm was once univerfal, they make only two of the many gods that were every where worthipped. We have feen, that the facred fire was employed in the worfhip of the fun, and that images were employed in the worfhip of other deities. Images were originally ufed for the fole purpofe of animating devotion : fuch was their ufe in Perfia and Hindoftan; and fuch was their ufe in eyery country among philofophers. The Emperor Julian, in an epiftle to Theodore concerning the images of the gods, fays, "We be" lieve not that thefe images are gods: we only "ufe them in worhipping the gods.". In the progrefs toward idolatry, the next ftep is, to imagine that a deity loves his image, that he makes it his refidence, or at leaft communicates fome virtue to it. The laft ftep is, to fancy the image itfelf to be a deity; which gained ground imperceptibly as ftatuary advanced toward perfection.It would be incredible that men of fenfe fhould ever fuffer themfelves to be impreffed with fo wild a delufion, were it not the overbearing influence of religious fuperftition. Crec ria impof: fibile eft, is applicable to idolatry a. ell as to tranfubftantiation. The worfhipping of the fun and moon as deities, is idolatry in the ftricteft fenfe. With refpect to images, the firft ftep of the progrefs is not idolatry: the next is mixed idolatry: and the laft is rank idolatry.

So much upon idoiatry. I proceed to what approaches the neareft to it, which is worhip addreffed to deified mortals. The ancient gods were exalted fo little above men, that it was no hard tafk for the imagination to place in heaven, men who had made a figure on earth. The Grecian heaven was entirely peopled with fuch men, as well as that of many other nations. Men are deified
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deified every day by the Romift church, under the denomination of faints : perfons are frequently felected for that honour who fcarce deferved a place on earth, and fome who never had a place there. The Roman Catholics copy the Pagans, in worfhipping thefe faints in quality of tutelar deities. One branch of the office beftowed on them, is to explain the wants of their votaries to the King of heaven, and to fupplicate for them. The madiatorial office prevaits with refpect to carthly potentates, as weHl as heavenly: being ftruck with awe and timidity in approaching thole exalted above us, we naturally take hold of fome intermediate perfon to folicit for us. In approaching the Almighty, the mind, finking down into humility and profound vencration, fops fhort, relying upon fonse friend in heaven to intercede in its behalf. Temples among the Cochin-Chinefe are conftructed with a deep and dark niche, which is their fanctum fanctorum. They hold that no reprelentation, whether by painting or fculpture, can be made of God, who is invifible: The niche denotes his incomprehenfibility; and the good men placed by them in heaven, are believed to be their interceffors at the throne of grace. The prayers of the Chingulefe are feldom directed to the fupreme being, but to his vicegerents. Interceffors, at the fame time, contribute to the eafe of their votaries $:$ a Roman Catholic need not affume a very high tone, in addrefling a tutelar faint chofen by himfelf.
Falfe notions of Providence have prompted groveling mortals to put confidence in mediators and interceffors of a till lower clafs, namely, living mortals, who by idle aufterities have acquired a reputation for holinefs. Take the following in.flance, the ftrongeft of the kind that can be figured.
gured. Louis XI. of France, fenfible of the approach of death, fent for a hermit of Calabria, named Francifco Martarillo; and throwing himfelf at the hermit's feet in a flood of tears, entreated him to intercede with God, that his life might be prolonged ; as if the voice of a Calabrian friar, fays Voltaire, could alter the courfe of Providence, by preferving a weak and perverfe foul in a wornout body.
Having difcuffed the perfons that are the objects of worfhip, the next ftep in order is, to take under view the forms and ceremonies employed in religious worfhip. Forms and ceremonies illuftrate a prince in his own court: they are neceffary in a court of law for expediting bufinefs; and they promote ferioufnefs and folemnity in religious worflip. At the fame time, in every one of thefe a juft medium ought to be preferved between too many and too few. With refpect to religious worhip in particular, fuperfluity of ceremonies quenches devotion, by occupying the mind too much upon externals. The Roman-Catholic worfhip is crowded with ceremonies: it refembles the Italian opera, which is all found, and no fentiment. The Prefbyterian form of worfhip is too naked : it is proper for philofophers more than for the populace. This is fundamentally the caufe of the numerous feceffions from the church of Scotland that have made a figure of late : people dililike the eftablifhed forms, when they find lefis animation in public worfhip than is defired; and without being fenfible of the real caufe, they chufe paftors for themfelves, who fupply the want of ceremonies by loud fpeaking, with much external fervor and devotion *.
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The frequent ablutions or walhings among the Mahometans and others, as acts of devotion, fhow the influence that the llighteft refemblances have on the ignorant. Becaufe purification, in feveral languages, is a term applicable to the mird as well as to the body, fhallow thinkers, mined $b_{j}$ the double meaning, imagine that the mind, like the body, is purified by water.

The fect of Ali ufe the Alcoran tranflated into the Perfian language, which is their native tongue. Vol. II. Hh

The
Queen Elizabeth, thus defribes the folemnity of her dinner. "While the "was at prayers, we faw her table fet out in the following folemn manner.
"A gentleman entered the room bearing a rod, and along with him another " who had a table-cloth, which, after they had both kneeled three times " with the utmoft veneration, he fpread upon the table, and after kneeling " again, they both retired. Then came two others, one with the rod a. " gain, the other with a falt-cellar, a plate and bread; when they had kneel"ed, as the others laad done, and placed what was brouglt upon the table, "they too retired with the fame ceremonies performed by the firft. At laft "came an unmarried lady, (we were told the was a Countefs), and along " with her a married one, bearing a tafting knife; the former was dreffed " in white filk; who when the had proftrated herfelf three times, in the " moft graceful manner, approached the table, and rubbed the plates with " bread and falt, with as much awe as if the Queen had been prefent : when "they had waited there a little while, the yeomen of the guard entered bare" headed, cloathed in fcarlet, with a golden rofe upon their backs, bringing " in at each turn a courfe of twenty-four difhes, ferved in plate inoft of is " gilt ; thefe difhes were received by a gentleman in the fame order they were " brought, and placed upon the table, while the lady-tafter gave to each of "the guard a mouthfut to eat, of the particular difh, he had brought, for "fear of any poifon. During the time that this guard, which confifts of the "talleft and fouteft men that can be found in all England, were bringing " dinner, twelve trumpets and two kettle-drums made the hall ring for half " an hour together. At the end of this ceremonial a number of unmarried " ladies appeared, with particular folemnity, lifted the meat off the table, " and conveyed it into the Queen's inner and more private chamber, where, " after Mie had chofen for herfolf, the reft goes to the ladies of the court."Forms were greatly regarded among the old Romans, dreffes appropriated to different ranks; lictors, axes, hundles of rods, and other enfigns of power; military merit triumphs rewarded with triumphs, ovations crowns of gold, of leaves, \&.c. \&c. Such appearances ftrike the multitude with refpect and awes they are indeed defpifed by men of plain fenfe; but they regain their credit with pliilofophers. Exceffive courage, the exertion of which is vifible, was the heroifm of the laft age: "I hall never efteem a " king," faid the great Guftavus Adolphus, " who in battle does not ex" pofe himfelf like a private man." By acutenefs of judgement and refinement of tafte, we cling to the fubftance and difregard forms and ceremonies. External how, however, continues to prevail in many inflancse. A young man is apt to be captivated with beauty or drefis: a young woman, vith equipage or a title. And hence many an ill-forted match,

The fect of Omar efteem this to be a grofs impiety; being perfuaded, that the. Alcoran was written in Arabic, by the Angel Gabriel, at the command of God himfelf. The Roman Catholics are not then the only people who profefs to Speak nonfenfe to God Almighty; or, which is the fame, who profefs to pray in an unknown tongue.

At meals the ancients poured out fome wine as a libation to the gods: Chriftians pronounce a fhort prayer, termed a grace.

The grols notion of Deity entertained by the ancients, is exemplified in their worlhipping and facrificing on high places; in order, as they thought, to be more within fight. Jupiter in Homer praifes Hector for facrificing to him frequently upon the top of Ida; and Strabo obferves, that the Perfians, who ufed neither images nor altars, facrificed to the gods in high places. Balak carried Balaam the prophet to the top of Pifgah and other mountains, to facrifice there, and to curfe Ifrael. The votaries of Baal always worChipped in high places. Even the fage Tacitus was infected with that abfurdity. Speaking of certain high mountains where the gods were worfhipped, he expreffes himfelf thus: Maxime calo appropinquare, prccefque martalium a Deo nufquam propius audiri*.

Ceremonies that tend to unhinge morality, belong more properly to the following fection, treating of the connection between religion and morality.

It is now full time to take under confideration an objection to the fenfe of Deity hinted above, arguing from the grofs conceptions of deity among many nations, that this fenfe cannot be innate. The
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The objection is not indeed directly ftated in the following paffage, borrowed from a juftly-celebrated author ; but as it perhaps may be implied, the paffage fhall be fairly tranfcribed. "The uni" verfal propenfity to believe invifible intelligent " power, being a general attendant on human na" ture, if not an original inftinet, may be confi" dered as a kind of ftamp which the Deity has " fet upon his work; and nothing furcly can more " dignify mankind, than to be the only earthly be"ing who bears the ftamp or image of the uni" verfal Creator. But confult this image as it " commonly is in popular religions: how is the " Deity disfigured! what caprice, abfurdity, and ": immorality, are attributed to him (a)!" A fatisfactory anfwer to the objection implied in this paffage, will occur, upon recollecting the progrefs of men and nations from infancy to maturity. Our external fenfes, neceffary for felf-prefervation, foon arrive at perfection: the more refined fenfes of propriety, of right and wrong, of Deity, of being accountable creatures, and many others of the fame kind, are of flower growth : the fenfe of right and wrong in particular and the fenfe of Deity, feldom reach perfection but by good education and much ftudy. If fuch be the cafe among enlightened nations, what is to be expected from lavages who are in the loweft ftage of underftanding? To a favage of New-Holland, whofe fenfe of deity is extremely obfcure, one may talk without end of a being who created the world, and who governs it by wife laws; but in vain, for the favage will be never the wifer. The fame favage hath alfo a glimmering of the moral fénfe, as all men have; and yet in vain will you difcourfe to him of approbation and dif$\mathrm{Hh}_{2}$ approbation,

[^137]approbation, of merit and demerit: of thefe terms he has no clear conception. Hence the endlefs aberrations of rude and barbarous nations, from pure morality. Ot the latter, there are many inftances collected in the preceding tract ; and of the former, ftill more in the prefent tract. The fenfe of deity in dark times has indeed been flrangely diftorted, by certain biafles and paffrons that enflave the rude and illiterate : but thefe yield gradually to the rational faculty as it ripens, and at laft leave religion free to found philofophy. Then it is, that men, liftening to the innate lenfe of deity purif a from every bias, acquire a clear conviction of one lupreme Deity who made and governs the world.

The foregoing objection then weighs not againf the moral fenfe. If it have weight, it refolves into a complaint againft Providence for the weaknefs of the fenfe of deity in rude and illiterate nations. If fuch complaint be folidly founded, it pierces extremely deep : why have not all nations, even in their nafcent ftate, the fenfe of deity and the moral fenfe in purity and perfection? why do thicy not poffefs all the arts of life without necellity of culture or experience? why are we born poor and helplefs infants inftead of being produced complete in every member, internal and external, as Adan and Eve were? The plan of Providence is far above the reach of our weak criticifms : it is but a fmall portion that is laid open to our view; can we pretend to judge of the whole? I venture only to luggeft, that as, with refpect to individuals, there is a progrefs frominfancy to maturity ; fo there is a fimilar progrefs in every mation, from its favage ftate to its maturity in arts and fciences. A child that has juft conceptions of the Deity and of his attributes would be a great miracle; and would not fuch knowledge in a farage be equally fo? Nor can I difcover
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difcover what benefit a child or a favage could reap from fuch knowledge; provided it remained a child or a favage in every other refpect. The genuine fruits of religion, are gratitude to the Author of our being, veneration to him as the fupreme being, abfolute refignation to the eftablifhed laws of his providence, and chearful performance of every duty: but a child has not the flighteft idea of gratitude nor of veneration, and very little of moral duties; and a favage, with refpect to thefe, is not much fuperior to a child. The formation and government of the world, as far as we know, are excellent: we have great reafon to prefume the fame with refpect to what we do not know : and every good man will reft fatisfied with the following reflection, That we fhould have been men from the hour of our birth, complete in every part, had it been conformable to the fyftem of unerring Providence.

$$
\mathrm{S} E \subset \mathrm{C} . \quad \mathrm{I} .
$$

Morality confidered as a brancls of duty to our Makcr.

HAving travelled long on a rough road, not a little fatiguing, the agreeabic part lies before us; which is, to treat of morality as a branch of religion. It was that fubject which induced me to undertake the hiftory of natural religion; a fubject that will afford falutary inftruction; and will infpire true piety, if inftruction can produce that effect.

Bayle ftates a queftion, whether a people may not be happy in fociety, and be qualified for good government, upon principles of morality fingly, without any fenfe of religion. The queftion is ingenious,
ous, and may give opportunity for fubtile reafoning; but it is ufelefs, becaufe the fact luppofed cannot happen. The principles of morality and of religion are equaily rooted in our nature : they are indeed weak in children and in favages; but they grow up together, and advance toward maturity with equal Iteps. Where the moral fenfe is entire, there mult be a fenfe of religion ; and if a man who has no fenfe of religion live decently in fociety, he is more indebted for his conduct to good temper than to found morals.

We have the authority of the Prophet Micah, formerly quoted, for holding, that religion, or, in other words, our duty to God, confifts in doing juftice, in loving mercy, and in walking humbly with him. The laft is the foundation of religious worfhip, difcufted in the foregoing fection: the two former belong to the prefent lection. And if we have gratitude to our Maker and Benefactor, if we owe implicit obedience to his will as our rightful fovereign, we ought not to feparate the worfhip we owe to him, from juftice and benevolence to our fellow-creatures; for to be unjult to them, to be cruel or hard-hearted, is a tranfgreffion of his will, no lefs grofs than a total neglect of religious worthip. "Mafter, which " is the great commandment in the law? Jefus " faid unto him, Thou fhalt love the Lord thy "God with all thy heart, with all thy foul, and " with all thy mind. This is the firf and great " commandment. And the fecond is like unto " it, Thou thalt love thy neighbour as thyfelf. "On thefe two commandments hang all the law " and the prophets (a)" "Then fhall the King " fay unto them on his right hand, Come, ye bleffed " of my Father, inherit the kingdom prepared for " you. For I was hungry, and ye gave me meat: ${ }^{6}$ I was
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"I was thirfty, and ye gave me drink: I was "a ftranger, and ye took me in: naked, and " ye cloathed me: fick, and ye vifited me: in "prifon, and ye came unto me. Then fhall the "righteous anfwer, faying, Lord, when faw we 's thee hungry, and fed thee ? or thirfty, and gave ' thee drink? When faw we thee a flranger, and ' took thee in? or naked, and cloathed thee ? When faw we thee fick, or in prifon, and came unto thee? And the King thall anfwer, Verily I "Gay unto you, in as much as ye have done it " unto one of the leaft of thele my brethren, "s ye have done it unto me (a)." "P Pure religi" on and undefiled before God, is this, To vifit " the fatherlefs and widow in their aflliction ; and " to keep himfelf unfpotted from the world (b)." "Holtias et victimas Domino ofieram quas in " ufum mei protulit, ut rejiciam ei fuum munus? " Ingratum eft ; cum fit litabilis hoftia bonus ani'" mus, et pura mens, et fincera confcientia. Igi" tur qui innocentiam colit, Domino fupplicat ; qui " juftitiam, Deo libat; qui fraudibus abitinet, pro's pitiat Deum; qui hominem periculo fubripit, opti" mam victimam cædit. Hæc noftra facrificia, hæc "Dei facra funt. Sic apud nos religiofior eft ille, " qui juftior * (c)." The laws of Zaleucus, lawgiver to the Locrians, who lived before the days of Pythagoras, are introduced with the following preamble. "No man can queftion the exiftence " of Deity who obferves the order and harmo" ny of the univerfe, which cannot be the pro" duction

[^139]"s duction of chance. Men ought to bridle their " paffions, and to guard againit every vice. God " is pleafed with no facrifice but a fincere heart; " and differs widely from mortals, whofe delight " is fplendid ceremonies and rich offerings. Let " juftice therefore be ftudied; for by that only "can a man be acceptable to the Deity. Let " thofe who are tempted to do ill, have always " before their eyes the fevere judgements of the " gods againft wicked men. Let them always keep " in view the hour of death, that fatal hour which "s is attended with bitter remorfe for tranfgref" fing the rules of juftice. If a bad difpofi" tion incline you to vice, pray to heaven at the " foot of the altar to mend your heart."

Morality is thus included in religion. Some nations, however, leave not this propofition to rea. foning or conviction, but ingrofs many moral dudies in their religious creed. In the 67 th chapter of the Sadder, a lie is declared to be a great fin, and is difcharged even where it tends to bring about good. So much purer is the morality of the ancient Perfians than of the prefent Jefuits. The religion of the people of Pegu, inculcates charity, forbids to kill, to fteal, or to injure others. Attend to the confequence : that people, fierce originally, have become humane and compaffionate. In a facred book of the ancient Perfians, it is written, "If you incline to be a faint, give good " education to your children; for their virtuous "actions will be imputed to you.". The people of Japan pay great refpect to their parents; it being an article in their creed, That thofe who fail in duty to their parents, will be punifhed by the gods. In thefe two inftances, religion tends greatly to connect parents and children in the moft intimate tie of cordial affection. The reverence the Chinefe have for their anceftors, and the ceremonies performed annually at their tombs, tend
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to keep them at home, and prevent their wandering into foreign countries.

Ancient Perfia was fertile and populous: at prefent it is barren and thin of inhabitants. Sir John Chardin accounts for the difference. The climate of Perfia is fo dry, that fcarce a fhower falls during fummer : even grafs will not grow without being watered. This defect of climate was remedied by the ancieñt inhabitants, termed Caures; among whom it was a religious act, to cultivate wafte land, and to plant trees for fruit. It was a maxim in the facred book of that religion, that he who cultivates the ground with care and diligence, acquires a greater ftock of religious merit, than can be acquired by ten thoufand prayers. The religion, on the contrary, of the prefent Mahometan inhabitants, leads them to take no care for to-morrow : they grafp at prefent enjoyment, and leave all the reft to fate.

Superftitious rites in fome religions, are fuccefsfully employed to enforce certain moral duties. The Romans commonly made their folemn covenants in the capital, before the ftatue of Jupiter ; by which folemnity he was underfood to guarantee the covenant, ready to pour out vengeance upon the tranfgreffor. When an oath enters into any engagement, the Burates, a people in Grand Tartary, require it to be given upon a mountain, held to be facred ; they are firmly perfuaded, that the perfon who fwears a falfehood, will not come down alive. The Effenes, a Jewifh feet, bound themfelves by a folemn oath, to thun unlawful gain, to be faithful to their promifes, not to lie, and never to harm any one. In Cochin-China, the fouls of thofe who have been eminent for arts or arms, are worfhipped. Their ftatues are placed in the temples; and the fize of a ftatue is proportioned to the merit of the perfon reprefented. If that be impartially executed, there cannot be
a nobler incitement to public fpirit. The Egyptians did not reach the thought of honouring virtue after death ; but they difhonoured vice, by excluding it from the Elyfian fields.

The falutary influence of religion on morality, is not confined to pure religion, whether by its connection with morality in general, or by inculcating particular moral duties. There are many religious doctrines, doubtful or perhaps erroneous, that contribute alfo to enforce morality. Some followers of Confucius afcribe immortality to the fouls of the juft only; and believe that the fouls of the wicked perifh with their bodies. The native Hindows are gentle and humane : the metemplychofis or tranfmigration of fouls, is an article in their creed; and hence the prohibition to deftroy any living creature, becaufe it might difturb the foul of an anceftor. In the fecond chapter of the Sadider, it is written, that a man whofe good works are more numerous than his fins, will go to paradife; otherwife that he will be thruft into hell, there to remain for ever.

It adds, that a bridge erected over the great - abyfs where hell is fituated, leads from this earth to paradife; that upon the bridge there fands an angel, who weighs in a balance the merits of the paffengers; that the paffenger whofe good works are found light in the balance, is thrown over the bridge into hell ; but that the paffenger whofe good works preponderate, proceeds in his journey to paradife, where there is a glorious city, gardens, rivers, and beautiful virgins, whofe looks are a perpetual feaft, but who muft not be enjoyed. In the fourth chapter of the Sadder, good works are zealouily recommended in the following parable. Zeradulht, or Zoroafter, being in company with God, faw a man in hell who wanted his right foot. " Oh my Creator," faid Zoroafter, " who is that
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" man who wants the right foot? God anfwered, " He was the king t thirty-three cities, reigned " many years, but '. arer did any good, except " once, when, feeing a fheep tyed where it could " not reach its food, he with his right foot pufh" ed the food to it ; upon which account that foot "6 was faved from hell." In Japan, thofe of the Sinto religion believe, that the fouls of good men are trenflated to a place of happinefs, next to the habitation of their gods. But they admit no place of torment; nor have they, any notion of a devil, but what animates the fox, a very mifchievous animal in that country. What then becomes of the fouls of ill men ? Being denied entrance into heaven, they wander about to expiate their fins. Thofe of the Bubfdo religion believe, that in the other world, there is a place of mifery as well as of happinefs. Of the latter there are different degrees, for different degrees of virtue; and yet, far from envying the happier lot of others, every inhabitant is perfectly fatisfied with his own. There are alfo different degrees of mifery; for juftice requires, that every man be punifhed according to the nature and number of his fins. Fcmma $O$ is the fevere judge of the wicked : their vices appear to him in all their horror, by means of a mirror, named the mirror of knozoledge. When fouls have expiated their fins, after fuffering long in the prifon of darknefs, they are fent back into the world, to animate ferpents, toads, and fuch vile animals as refembled them in their former exiftence. From thefe they pafs into the bodies of more innocent animals; and at laft are again fuffered to enter human bodies; after the diffolution of which, they run the fame courfe of happinefs or mifery as at firt. The people of Benin in Africa, believe a man's fladow to be a real being, that gives teftimony after death for or againf him; and that he accordingly is made happy or miferable in ano-
ther world. The Negroes hold that their own country is delicious above all others; and it is the belief of feveral of their tribes, that where-ever they die, they will return to their own country. This is a perpetual fource of comfort, and infpires them with humanity above the other tribes. A religious belief in ancient Greece, that the fouls of thofe who are left above ground without rites, have not accefs to Elyfium, tended to promote humanity; for thofe who are careful of the dead, will not be altogether indifferent about the living.

Immenfe are the bleffings that proceed from the union of pure religion with found morality: but however immenfe, I boldly affirm, that they fcarce counterbalance the manifold evils that proceed from impure religion, indulging and even encouraging grofs immoralities. A few glaring inftances fhall be felected. The firft I thall mention is, the holding religion to confift in the belief of points purely fpeculative, fuch as have no relation to good works. The natural effect of that doctrine, is to divorce religion from morality, in manifert contradiction to the will of God. What avails it, for example, to the glory of God or to the happinefs of men, whether the conception of the Virgin Mary was maculate or immaculate? The following few inftances, felected from a great number, are controverfies of that kind, which for ages miferably afflicted the Chriftian church, and engendered the bittereft enmity, productive of deftruction and flaughter among brethren of the fame religion. In the fifth century, it was the employment of more than one general council, to determine, whether the mother of God, or the mother of Cbrift, is the proper epithet of the Virgin Mary. In the fixth century, a bitter controverfy arofe, whether Chrift's body was corruptible. In the feventh century, Chriftians were divided about the volition of Chrift, whether he had one or two Wills,
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Theology. 477 and how his Will operated. In the eighth and. ninth centuries, the Greek and Latin churches divided about the Holy Ghoft, whether he proceeded from the Father and Son, or only from the Father. In the eleventh century there arofe a warm conteft between the Greek and Latin churches about ufing unleavened bread in the eucharif. In the fourteenth century, it was controverted between Pope John XXII. and the divines of his time, whether fouls in their intermediate fate fee God, or only the human nature of Chrift. Francifcans have fuffered death in multitudes about the form of their hood. It was difputed between the Dominicans and Francifcans, whether Chrift had any property. The Pope pronounced the negative propofition to be a peftilential and blafphemous doctrine, fubverfive of Catholic faith. Many councils were held at Conftantinople, to determine what fort of light it was that the dilciples faw on Mount Tabor: it was folemnly pronounced, to be the eternal light with which God is encircled; and which may be termed his energy or operation, but is diftinct from his nature and effence. A heap of propofitions in the creed of St. Athanafius, as far as intelligible, are merely fecculative, fuch as may be adopted or rejected, without the leaft danger to religion, or to morality; and yet we are commanded to believe every one of them under the pain of eternal damnation. An endlefs number of fuch propofitions, adopted by the Romifh church, clearly evince, that Chriftianity was in that church held to confift entirely in belief, without any regard to good works *: Whether the Alcoran be eternal, or whether it were created, is a difpute that

[^140]that has occafioned much effufion of Mahometan blood. The Calif Mamoun, with many doctors, held it to have been created; but the greater number infifted, that being the word of God, it mult like him be eternal. This opinion is embraced by the prefent Mahometans, who hold all who deny it to be infidels. One great maxim of the Brachmines contained in their ancient books, is, that it is better to fit than to walk, better to lie than to fit, better to fleep than to wake, better to die than to live. This is directly fubverfive of induftry, and confequently of morality. There is among men great uniformity of opinion in matters of importance. Religious differences are generally about trifles, where liberty ought to be indulged without referve (a); and yet upon thefe trifles are founded the bittereft enmities. It ought therefore to be a fundamental law in every church, to abftain from loading its creed with articles that are not effential; for fuch articles tend to eradicate brotherly love, and to convert into bitter enemies, men who are fundamentally of the fame faith. This leads me naturally to fay a few words on religion as a branch of education, of all the moft important branch. Avoiding all the points difputed among the different fects of Chriftians, and leaving myfteries to the future fagacity of your children if they fhall be inclined to pry into them, let them know that there is a God over all, who loves the good, and is an enemy to cvil-doers; that this great Being, though invifible to us, is witnefs to all our words and actions, and that cven our fecret thoughts are not hid from him. Take every opportunity to inculcate this great truth, till it make fo deep an impreffion as to be the great regulator of their conduct. With refpect to cyery intended action, train them up into the labit of enquiring

[^141]B. III.

Mahometan ny doctors, reater numGod, it mult is embraced all who deof the Brach. s, is, that it o lie than to $r$ to die than of induftry, re is among ratters of imnerally about dulged withfe trifles are ght therefore hurch, to abicles that are to eradicate itter enemies, fame faith. ew words on $f$ all the moft points difputariftians, and of your chilinto them, let Ill, who loves ers ; that this is witnefs to cven our feTake every truth, till it be the great fpect to cvery the liabit of enquiring

Sk. III. iii. 2 .
enquiring firft how it will appear in the fight of their Maker at the great day of judgement. This is true religion, the main fupport of virtue. It is all that is requifite in point of education; leaving to thofe who have penetration and leifure to form a more complete fyftem.

In the next place flall be mentioned, certain articles of faith that tend to fap the very foundation of one or other moral duty. What, for example, can more effectually promote cruelty, than the creed of the Idaans, a people in the illand of Borneo, That every perfon they put to death mult attend them as a flave in the other world? This belief makes them prone to war, and occafions affaffinations without end. According to the creed of the favages in Canada, the killing and burning enemies are what chiefly entitle them to be hap. py in another world; and that he who deftroys the greateft number, will be the moft happy. At the fame time, they have no notion of greater happinefs there, than plenty of game, great abundance of all things without labour, and full gratification of every fenfual appetite. The Scandinavians had no notion of greater blifs in another world, than to drink beer out of the fkull of an enemy, in the hall of Woden their tutelar deity : can hatred and revenge indulged in this world be more honourably rewarded ? The doctrine of tutelar deities is equally productive of hatred and revenge : relying on a fuperior power who efpoufes all my quarrels, I put no bounds to my refentment, and every moral duty in oppofition is trampled under foot. The following creed of the inhabitants of the Marian or Ladrone illands, is a great encouragement to cowardice. Heaven, according to that creed, is a region under the earth filled with cocoa-trees, fugar-canes, and variety of other delicious fruits. Hell is a valt furnace, conftantly red hot. Their condition in the other world de-
pends not on good or bad actions, but on the manner of their death. Thofe who die a natural death, go ftrait to heaven : they may fin freely, if they can but fecure their perfons againft violence. But war and bloodfhed are their averfion, becaufe thofe who fuffer a violent death go ftrait to hell. In many ancient nations, a goddefs was worhipped, whofe province it was to promote animal love without regard to matrimony. That goddefs was in Greece termed Aphroditó, in Rome Venus, and in Babylon Mylitta. To her was facrificed, in fome countries, the virginity of young women ; which, it was believed, did fecure their chaftity for ever after. Juftin mentions a cultom in the illand of Cyprus, of fending young women at ftated times to the fea-fhore; where they proftituted themfelves as a tribute to Venus, that they miglit be chafte the reft of their lives. His words are, "Pro reliqua "p pudicitiæ libamenta Veneri foluturas (a)." In other nations, a fmall number only were proftituted, in order to fecure to the remainder, a chafte and regular life. This explains a cuftom among the Babylonians, which, far from being thought a religious act, is held as a proof of abandoned debauchery. The cuftom was, That every woman once in her life would proftitute herfelf in the temple of the goddefs Mylitta. Herodotus reports, that thereby they became proof againft all temptation. And Elian obferves the fame of the L.ydian ladies. Credat Fudaus Apella. Margaret Po. retta, who in the fourteenth century made a figure among the Beguines, preached a doctrine not a little favourable to incontinence. She undertook to demonitrate, "That the foul when abforbed in " the love of God, is free from the reftraint of " law, and may freely gratify cvery natural appe" tite, without contradting guilt;" a cordial doctrine
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trine for a lady of pleafure. That crazy perfon, inftead of being laughed at, was burnt alive at Paris. In the fifteenth century, a fect termed brethren and fifters of the free fpirit, held, That modetty is a mark of inhering corruption; and that thofe only are perfect; who can behold nakednefs without emotion. Thefe fanatics appeared at public worhhip, without the leaft covering. Many tenets profeffed by the Jefuits, open a door to every immorality: "Perfons truly " wicked and void of the love of God, may ex" pect eternal life in heaven; provided only they " be impreffed with fear of divine anger, and " avoid heinous crimes through the dread of fu"ture punifhment." Again, "Perfons may tranf" grefs with fafety, who have any plaufible argu" ment for tranfgreffing. A judge, for example, " may decide for the leaft probable fide of a "queftion, and even againft his own opinion, pro" vided he be fupported by any tolerable autho"rity." Again, " Actions intrinfically evil and "contrary to divine law, may however be in" nocently performed, by thofe who can join, even " ideally, a good end to the performance. For " example, an ecclefiaftic may fafely commit fi" mony by purchafing a benefice, if to the un" lawful act, he join the innocent purpofe of pro"curing to himfelf a fubfiftence. A man who " runs another through the body for a flight af" front, renders the action lawful, if his motive " be honour, not revenge." A famous Jefuit taught, that a young man may wifh the death of his father, and even rejoice at his death, provided the wifh proceed, not from hatred, but from fondnefs of his father's eftate. And another Jefuit has had the effrontery to maintain, that a monk may lawfully affafinate a calumniator, who threatens to charge his order with fcandalous practices. Among the negroes of Sanguin on the river Seftro in GuiVol. II. I i nea,
nia, it is an article of faith that dextrous robbery is no lefs lawful than beneficial.

The Quakers, a fect "generated during the civil wars in the reign of Charles I. contracted fuch an averfion to war as to declare it unlawful even in felf-defence; a doctrine that foars high above morality, and is contradicory to human nature. But by what magic has a tenet fo unnatural fubfifted fo loug? The Quakers exclude pride, admitting no difference of rank, but confidering all men as their brethren. And they exclude vanity by fimplicity and uniformity of drefs. Thus by humility and temperance they have preferved their inftitutions alive. But thefe pafions cannot always be kept in fubjection: vanity is creeping in, efpecially among the females, who indulge in fills, fine linen, bone-lace, \&cc. Vanity and pride will reach the males; and the edifice will totter and fall.

A doctrine that ftrikes at the root of every moral duty, as well as of religion itfelf, is, That God will accept a compofition for fin; a doctrine that prevailed univerfally during the days of ignorance. Compofitions for crimes were countenanced by law in every country (a) ; and men, prone to induige their paffions, flattered themfelves, that they might compound with God for finning againft him, as with their neighbours for injuring them : thofe who have no notion of any motive but interef, maturally think it to be equally powerful with the Deity. An opinion prevaited univerfally in the Chriftian church, from the eighth century down to the keformation, that liberal donations to God, to a faint, to the church, would procure pardon even for the groffert fins. Baring that period, the building churches and monaiterics was in high vogue. This abfurd or rather
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Sk. III. iii. 2. Theology. 483 rather impious doctrine, proved a plentiful harveft of wealth to the clergy; for the great and opulent, who are commonly the boldelt finners, have the greateft ability to compound for their fins. There needs nothing but fuch an opinion, to annihilate every duty, whether moral or religious; for what wicked man will think either of reftitution or of reformation, who can purchafe a pardon from Heaven with fo little trouble ? Louis XI. of France was reinarkably fuperftitious, even in a fuperftitious age. To ingratiate himfelf with the Virgin Mary, he furrendered to her the county of Boulogne with great folemnity. Voltaire remarks, that godlinefs confifts, not in making the Virgin a Countefs, but in abftaining from fin.Compofition for fins is a doctrine of the church of Rome, boldly profelfed without difguife. A book of rates, publifhed by authority of the Pope, contains ftated prices for abfolutions, not excepting the moft heinous fins. So true is the obfervation of Eneas Silvius, afterward Pope Paul 1I. " Nihil eft quod abfque argento Romana curia det : " ipfa manuum impofitio, et Spiritus Sancti dona, " venduntur; nec peccatorum venia nifi numma" tis impenditur *." Of all the immoral atone-ments for fin, human facrifices are the moft brutal; deviating no lefs from the purity of religion, than from the fundamental principles of morality. They wore out of ufe as kindly affections prevailed; and will never again be reftored, unlefs we fall back to the favage manners of our forefathers. Compofition for crimes, once univerfal, is now banifhed from every enlightened nation. Compofition for fins, was once equally univerfal ; and I wifh it could be faid, that there are now no reI i 2 mains

[^143]mains of that poifonous opinion among Chriftians: the practice of the church of Rome will not permit it to be faid. Were men deeply convinced, as they ought to be; that fincere repentance and reformation of manners are the only means for obtaining pardon, they would never dream of making: bargains with the Almighty, and of compounding with him for their fins.

In the practice of religion, the laying too great weight on forms, ceremonies, and other externat arbitrary acts, tends to the corruption of morals. That error has infected every religion. The Sadder, the Bible of the Gaures, prohibits calumny and detraction; lying, ftealing, adultery, and fornication: It however enervates morality and religion, by placing many trifling acts on a level with the molt important duties. It enjoins the deftruction of five kinds of reptiles, frogs, mice, ants, ferpents, and flies that fting. It teaches, that to walk barefoot profanes the ground. Great regard for water is enjoined : it mutt not be ufed during night; and when fet upon the fire, a third part of the pot muft be empty, to prevent boiling over. The bramins have wofully degenerated from their original inftitutions, thinking that religion confifts in forms and ceremonies. As foon as an infant is born, the word Oum mult be promounced over it ; otherwife it will be eternally miferable : its tongue muft be rubbed with confecrated meal: the third day of moon, it muft be carried into open air, with its head to the north. The inhabitants of Formofa believe in he!! ; but it is only for punifhing thofe who fat in certain feafons, or who wear cotton inftead of silk. In the time of Ghenhizcan, it was held in "anary a mortal fin, to put a knife into the fire, to "hip a horfe with his bridle, or to break one bone tiah another; and yet thefe pious Tartars tele treacher.', robbery, murder, to be no fins.
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A faction in Tgina, a Greek commonwealth, treacheroufly affafinated feven lundred of their fellow-citizens. They cut off the hands of a miferable fugitive, who had laid hold of the altar for protection, in order to murder him without the precincts of the temple. Their treacherous affaffinations made no impreflion: but though they refrained from murder in the temple, yet by profaning it with blood, fays Herodotus, they offensled the gods, and contracted inexpiable guilt. Would one believe, that a tribunal was eftablifhed oy Charlemagne more horrible than the inquiition itfelf? It was eftablifhed in Weftphalia, to punifin with death every Saxon who eat meat in lent. It was eftablifhed in Flanders and in Frenchcounty, the beginning of the feventeenth contury. Smollet in his travels into Italy obferves, that it is held more infamous to tranfgrefs the flighteft ceremonial inftitution of the Church of Rome, than to tranfgrefs any moral duty; that a murderer or adulterer will be eafily abfolved by the church, and even maintain his character in fociety ; but that a man who eats a pigeon on a Saturday, is abhorred as a monfter of reprobation. During the twelfth and thirteenth centuries, long curled hair, of which men of fafhion in England were extremcly vain, fuffered a violent perfecution. Anfelm, Archbihop of Canterbury, pronounced the fentence of excommunication againft thofe who indulged in that drefs; and was celebrated by his brethren of the clergy , though at that time excommunication was a dreadful punihment. William of Malmbury relates in lively colours an incident that fhows the grofs fuperfition of that age. "A certain knight, " who was very proud of his long luxuriant hair, "dreamed that a perfon fuffocatcd him with its "curls. As foon as he awoke from his fleep, he "cut his hair to a decent length. The report of " this fpread over all England; and almoft all the " knights reduced their hair to the proper itand. 66 ard. ous than the form ufed in Roman-Catholic countries of baptizing a church-bell ? The prieft, affilted by fome of his brethren, mumbles over fone prayers, and fprinkles the outfide with holy water, while they wafh the infide with the fame precions liquor. The prieft next draws feven croffes on the outfide, and four on the infide, with confecrated oil. Then a cenfer full of frankincenfe is put under the bell to fmoke it. And the whole concludes with prayer.

Liften to a celebrated writer upon this fubject. " It is certain, that in every religion, however "fublime, many of the votaries, perhaps the " greateft number, will ftill feek the divine fa" vour, not by virtue and good morals, which " alone can be acceptable to a perfect being, but " either by frivolous obfervances, by intemperate " zeal, by rapturous ecftafies, or by the belief of "s myfterious and abfurd opinions. When the old " Romans were attacked with a peftilence, they " never afcribed their fufferings to their vices, or " dreamed of repentance and amendment. They " never thought that they were the general rob" bers of the world, whofe ambition and ava"r rice made defolate the earth, and reduced " opulent nations to want and beggary. They " only created a dictator in order to drive a nail " into a door; and by that means they thought " that they had fufficiently appeafed their incenfed " deity (a)." Thus, gradually, the effentials of religion
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Sk. III. iii. 2. Tbeelogy. 487 religion wear out of mind, by the attention given to forms and ceremonies : thefe intercept and exhauft the whole ftock of devotion, which ought to be referved for the higher exercifes of religion. The neglect or tranfgreffion of mere punctilios, are punithed as heinous fins; while fins really heinous are fuffered to pafs with impunity. The Jews exalted the keeping their fabbath holy, above every other duty; and it was the general belief, that the ftrict obfervance of that day was alone fufficient to atone for every fin. The command of refting that day, was taken fo literally, that they would not on that day defend themfelves even againft an affaffin. Ptolomy, fon of Lagus, entered Jerufalem on the Jewifh fabbath, in a hoitile manner, without refiftance. Nor did experience open the eyes of that foolifh people. Xiphilin, relating the fiege of Jerufalem by Pompey, fays, that if the Jews had not refted on the fabbath, Pompey would not have been fuccefsful. Every Saturday he renewed his batteries; and having on that day made a breach, he marched into the town without oppofition. One cannot help finiling at an Amfterdam Jew, who had no clieck of confcience for breaking open a houfe and carrying off money; and being fopped in his flight by the fabbath, he moft pioufly refted, till he was apprehended, and led to the gallows. Nor are the Jews to this day cured of that frenzy. In fome late accounts from Conitantinople, a fire broke out in a Jew's houfe on Saturday: rather than profane the fabbath, he fuffered the flames to fpread, which occafioned the deftruction of five hundred houfes *. We laugh at the Jews, and we have reafon;

[^145]reafon; and yet there are many well-meaning Proteftants, who lay the whole of religion upon punctual attendance at public worfhip. Are the Roman Catholics lefs fuperftitious with refpect to the day of worfhip? In the year 1670, fome Arabians, watching an opportunity, got into the town of Dieu when the gates were opened in the morning. They might eafily have been expelled by the cannon of the citadel; but the Portuguefe governor was obliged to look on without firing a gun, being threatened with excommunication, if the leaft mifchief fhould be done to any of the churches. The only doctrines inculcated from the Romifh pulpit down to the Reformation, were the authority of holy mother church; the merit of the faints, and their credit in the court of heaven ; the dignity and glory of the bleffed Virgin ; the efficacy of relics; the intolerable fire of purgatory; and the vaft importance of indulgences. Relying on fuch pious acts for obtaining remiffion of fin, all orders of men ruthed headlong into vice *; nor was there a fingle attempt to ftem the current of immorality; for the traffic of indulgences could not but flourifh in proportion to the growth of fin. And thus was religion fet in direct oppofition to morality. St. Eloy, bifhop of Noyon in the feventh century, and canonized by the church of Rome, delivers the following doctrine. " He is a good Chriftian " who goes frequently to church; who prefents 4 " his
" which men ought to work: in them therefore come and be healed, and " not on the fabbath-day. The Lord then faid, Thou hypocrite, doth not "each one of you on the rabbath loofe his ox or his afs from the "ftall, and lead him away to watering? and ought not this woman, " whom Satan hath bound, be loofed from this bond on the fabbath" day ?" Luke, xiii. 11.

* An ingenious writer pleafantly obferves, "That a croifade was the South "Sea project of fcrmer times : by the latter, men hoped to gain riches with" out induftry : by the former, they hoped to gain heaven without repentance, " amendment of life, or fanctity of manners." Sir Dazid Dalrymple, " juige of the court of fefion.
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" his oblations upon the altar ; who taftes not " the fruit of his own induftry till part be con" fecrated to God; who, when the holy feftivals " approach, lives chaftely even with his own " wife for feveral days; and who can repeat the "creed and the Lord's prayer. Redeem then " your fouls from deftruction, while you have the " means in your power: offer prefents and tithes " to churchmen : come more frequently to church: " humbly implore the patronage of faints. If " you obferve thefe things, you may in the day " of judgement, go with confidence to the tri" bunal of the eternal Judge, and fay, Give to " us, O Lord, for we have given unto thee."A modern author fubjoins a proper obfervation. "We fee here a very ample defcription of a " good Chriftian, in which there is not the leaft " mention of the love of God, refignation to his " will, obedience to his laws, nor of juftice, be" nevolence, or charity." Grofs ignorance and wretched fupertition prevailed fo much even in the fourteenth century, that people reckoned themfelves fecure of falvation, if at the day of judgement they could how any connection with monks. Many at the point of death, made it their laft requeft, to be admitted into the mendicant order, or to be interred in their burialplace. Religion need not affociate with morality, if fuch filly practices bc fufficient for obtaining the favour of God. Is this lefs abfurd than the Hindoftan belief, That the water of the Ganges hath a fanctifying virtue; and that thofe who die on its banks, are not only exempted from future punifhment, but are wafted flraight to paradife?

Forms and ceremonies are vifible acts, which make a deep impreflion on the vulgar. Hence their influence in reafoning and in morality, as we have feen in the two fketches immediately foregoing ; and hence alfo their influence in re-
ligion. Forms and ceremonies are ufeful at public worfhip; but they ought not to take place of effentials. People however, governed by what they fee and hear, are more addicted to external acts of devotion, than to heart worfhip, which is not known but by reflection.

It will be no excule for relying fo much on forms and ceremonies, that they are innocent. In themfelves they may be innocent; but not fo in their confequences. For they lave by fuch reliance a vigorous tendency to relax the obligations of morality. "La pure morale," fays M. Rouffeau, "eft fi chargée de devoirs féveres que fi on " la furcharge encore de formes indifférentes, "c'elt prefque toujours aux dépends de l'effenti" el. On dit que c'eft le cas de la plupart des " moines, qui, foumis à mille regles inutiles, ne " favent ce que c'eft qu'honneur et vertu." Religious rites that contradict not any paffion, are keenly embraced, and punctually performed ; and men, flattering themfelves that they have thus been punctual in their duty to God, give vent to their paffions againft men. "They pay tithes " of mint, and anife, and cummin ; but omit the " weightier matters of the law, judgement, mer" cy and faith (a)." Upon fuch a man religion fits extremely light. As he feldom exercifes any act of genuine devotion, he thinks of the Deity with eafe and familiarity: how otherwife is it accountable, that the plays, termed Myferics, could be relifhed, where mean and perhaps diffolute perfons are brought on the ftage, acting Jefus Chrift, the Virgin Mary, and even God himfelf ? Thefe objects of worihip were certainly no more regarded than the Grecian gods, who frequently made part of the Dramatis perfonce in Greek plays. Many other facts might be urged, to
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 ful at public ke place of by what they ernal acts of hich is notfo much on nnocent. In ut not fo in by fuch relie obligations ys M. Roufres que fi on indifférentes, de l'effentia plupart des s inutiles, ne t vertu." ny paffion, are rformed ; and ey have thus d, give vent hey pay tithes but omit the gement, merman religion exercifes any of the Deity erwife is it acMy/ferics, could ss diffolute perhg Jefus Chrift, nimfelf? Thefe no more rewho frequently ronce in Greek be urged, to prove
prove the low ebb of religion in thofe days: I felect one or two, which probably will afford fome amufement to the reader. Bartolus, a fanous lawyer, in order to thew the form of proceeding in a court of juftice, imagines a procels between the devil and mankind. The devil cites mankind to appear at the tribunal of Jefus Chrift, claiming them as belonging to him by Adam's fall. He fwells in rage, demanding whether any one dare appear in their behalf. Againft the Virgin Mary offering herfelf as their advocate, the devil makes two objections; firft, That being the mother of the Judge, her influence would be too great; fecond, That a woman is debarred from being an advocate: and thefe objections are fupported by numberlefs quotations from the Corpus Furis. The Virgin, on her part, quotes texts permitting women to appear for widows, orphans, and for perfons in diftrefs. She is allowed to plead for mankind, as coming under the laft article. The devil urges prefcription, as having been in poffeffion of mankind ever fince the fall. The Virgin anfwers, That a mala-fide poffefor cannot acquire by prefcription. Prefcription being repelled, the parties go to the merits of the cafe, which are learnedly difcuffed with texts from the Pandects. The memoirs of the French academy of Belles Lettres (a) has the following ftory. A monk returning from a houle which he durft not vifit in day-light, had a river to crofs. The boat was overturned by Satan, and the monk was drowned when he was beginning to invocate the Virgin Mary. Two devils having laid hold of his foul, were ftopped by two angels. " My Lords," faid the devils, " true it is and not a fable, that "God died for his friends; but this monk was " an enemy to God, and we are carrying him to " hell."
(a) Vol. 1 S .
" hell." After much altercation, it was propofed by the angels, to refer the difpute to the Virgin Mary. The devils were willing to accept of God for judge, becaufe he would judge according to law. "But from the Virgin Mary," faid they, " we expect no juftice: fhe would break to atons " every gate of hell, rather than fuffer one to re" main there a moment who pays any worthip to " her image. She may fay, that black is white, " and that puddled water is pure-God never con" tradicts her. The day on which God made his " mother was a fatal day to us."

People who profefs the fame religion, and differ only in forms and ceremonies, may juftly be compared to neighbouring ftates, who are commonly bitter enemies to êach other, if they have any difference. At the fame time, diffocial paffions never rage fo furioully, as under the mak of religion; for in that cafe they are held to be meritorious, as exerted in the caufe of God. This obfervation is but too well verified in the difputes among Chriftians. However low religion was in the dark ages, yet men fought for forms and ceremonies as pro aris ct focis. In the Armenian form of baptifin, the prieft fays at the firft immerfion, In name of the Father; at the fecond, In name of the Son; at the third, In name of the Hol: Ghoft. This form is bitterly condemned by the Romifh church, which appoints the three perfons of the Trinity to be joined in the fame expreffion, in token of their union. Strahlenberg gives an account of a Chriftian fect in Ruffia, which differs from the eftablifhed Greek church in the following particulars. Firt, In public worlhip they repeat Halleluia but twice ; and it is a mortal fin to repeat it thrice. Second, In celebrating malk, not five but feven loaves ought to be ufed. Third, The crofs ftamped upon a mafs-loaf ought to have eight corners. Fourth, In figning with the crols
was propofed to the Virgin ccept of God $=$ according to $y$," faid they, break to atoms ffer one to reany worthip to black is white, God never con. God made his
gion, and difmay juftly be who are comr, if they have , diffocial pafinder the mafk are held to be of God. This in the difputes eligion was in forms and cethe Armenian at the firit imthe fecond, In zame of the Holy demned by the e three perfons e fame exprel. thlenberg gives Ruflia, which church in the lic worfhip they is a mortal fin elebrating mats, e ufed. Third, $f$ ought to have with the crol's
at prayers, the end of the ring-finger muft be joined to the end of the thumb, and the two intermediate fingers be held out at full length. How trifling are thefe differences! and yet for thefe; all who differ from them are held unclean, and no better than Pagans: they will not eat nor drink with any of the eitablifhed church ; and if a perfon of that church happen to fit down in a houfe of theirs, they wafh and purify the feat *: There are few fects founded upon more trivial differences, than the Turkilh and Perfian Mahometans. The epithets given to the Perfians by the Turks are, "Forfaken of God, Abominable, Blafphemers " of the Holy Prophet;" and fo bitter is their enmity to the Perfians, that the fchools of the feraglio are open to young men of all nations, thofe of Perfia alone excepted. The Perfians are held to be fuch apoftates from the true faith, as to be utterly paft recovery : they receive no quarter in war, being accounted unworthy of life or fla. very : nor do the Perfians yield to the Turks in hatred. Whether coffee be or be not prohibited in the Alcoran, has produced much controverfy in the Mahometan church, and confequently much perfecuting zeal. A mufti, not fond of coffee, declared it to have an inebriating quality, and therefore to be virtually prohibited by Mahomet. Another mufti, fond of coffee for its exhilarating virtue, declared it lawful ; "becaufe," faid he, "all things " are lawful that are not exprefsly prohibited in " the Alcoran." The coffee-houfes in Conftantinople were for a long period aiternately open and thut according to the tafte of the reigning mufti; till coffce at laft furmounting all obftacles, came to be

[^146] runs wild, whenever it lofes fight of its true ends, worfhipping God, and enforcing juftice to man. The Hindows hate the Mahometans for eating the flefh of cows : the Mahometans hate the Hindows for eating the flefh of fwine. The averfion that men of the fame religion have at each other for the moft trivial differences, converts them frequently into brutal favages. Suppofe, for example, that a man, reduced to the extremity of hunger, makes a greedy meal of a dead horfe, a cafe fo deplorable would wring every heart. And yet, let this be done in Lent, or on a meagre dayBehold! every zealot is inftantly metamorphofed into a devil incarnate. In the records of St. Claude, a fmall diftrict of Burgundy, is engroffed a lentence againft a poor gentleman named Claude Guillon. 'The words are, "Having confidered the pro"cefs, and taken adivice of the doctors of law, " we declare the faid Claude Guillon duly con© victed for having carried away and boiled a piece " of a dead horfe, and of having eat the fame, " on the 31 March, being Saturday." And he was beheaded accordingly 28 th July 1629 ; notwithftanding a defence above all exception, That he committed that irregularity to preferve his life. How was it pollible for the monfters to perfuade themlelves, that this fentence was agreeable to God, who is goodnefs itfelf!

No lefs prejudicial to morality than the relying tco much on forms and ceremonies, is the treating fome fins with great feverity; neglecting others equally heinous, or perhaps more fo. In a book of rates for abfolution, mentioned above, no juft difinction is made among fins; fome venial fins being taxed at a higher rate than many of the deepeft dye. For example, the kiling father, mother, brother, fifter, or wife, is taxed at five grofs; and the fame for incelt with a mother or fifter.
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The lying with a woman in the church is taxed at fix grofs; and at the fame time, abfolution for ufury is taxed at feven grofs, and for fimony at no lefs than fixteen grofs *.

A maxim adopted by many pious perfons, has a fmiling appearance, but in its confequences is hurtful both to religion and morality ; which is, That to teftify our veneration for the Deity and zeal for his fervice, the performing public and private worflip and the fulfilling moral duties, are not alone fufficient; that over and above we are bound to faft, to do penance, to honour the priefthood, and to punifh the enemies of God, i. c. thofe who differ from us in principle or practice. This maxim, which may be termed the doctrine of fupcrcrogation, is finely illuftrated by an author mentioned above. "The duties which " a man performs as a friend or parent, feern " merely owing to his benefactor or children ; " nor can he be wanting to thefe duties without " breaking through all the ties of nature and mo" rality. A ftrong inclination may prompt him " to the performance: a fentiment of order and " moral beauty joins its force to thefe natural ties: " and the whole man is drawn to his duty with" out any effort or endeavour. Even with regard " to the virtues which are more auftere, and more " founded on reflection, fuch as public fpirit, fi" lial duty, temperance, or integrity: the moral " obligation, in our apprehenfion, removes all pre" tence to religious merit : and the virtuous con" duct is efteemed no more than what we owe " to fociety, and to ourfelves. In all this, a fu" perfitious man finds nothing which he has pro" perly performed for the fake of his Deity, or " which can peculiarly recommend him to the di" vine favour and protection. He confiders not, " that

[^147]" that the moft genuine method of ferving the "Divinity is, by promoting the happinefs of his " creatures. He ftill looks out for fome more im. " mediate fervice of the fupreme Being : and any " practice recommended to him, which either ferves " to no purpofe in life, or offers the frongeft vio"s lence to his natural inclinations; that prac. "tice he will the more readily embrace, on ac" count of thofe very circumflances, which fhould " make him abfolutely reject it. It feems the more " purely religious, that it proceeds from no mix" ture of any other motive or confideration. And " if for its fake he facrifices much of his eafe and ©: quiet, his claim of merit appears till to rife upon " him, in proportion to the zeal and devotion which " he difcovers. In reftoring a loan, or paying a "debt, his divinity is no wife beholden to inim; " becaufe the acts of juftice are what he was bound " to perform, and what many would have perform. " ed, were there no God in the univerfe. But " if he faft a day, or give himfelf a found whip" ping, this has a direct reference, in his opinion, " to the fervice of God. No otiver motive could " engage him to fuch aufterities. By thefe dif" tinguifhed marks of devotion, he has now ac"quired the divine favour ; and may expect in "recompence, protection and fafety in this world, " and eternal happinefs in the next (a)." My yoke is eafy, faith our Saviour, and my burden is light. So they really are. Every effential of religion is founded on our nature, and to a pure heart is pleafant in the performance: what can be more pleafant, than gratitude to our Maker and obedience to his will in comforting our fellow-creatures? But enthufiafts are not eafily perfuaded, that to make ourfelves happy in the exercifes of piety and benevolence, is the moft acceptable fervice of God that we can perform. In loading religion with unneceflary articles of faith and practice, they contradict
B. III,
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tradift our Saviour, by making his yoke fevere, and his burden heavy *. Law, who writes on Chriftian perfection, enjoins fuch unnatural aufterity of manners, as to be fubverfive both of religion and morality : loofe education is not more fo. Our paffions, when denied proper exercife, are apt to break their fetters, and to plunge us into every extravagance: like the body, which fqueezed in one part, fwells the more in another. In the fame way of thinking, the pious Jeremy Taylor, treating of mortification, prefcribes it as the indifpenfable duty of a Chriftian to give no indulgence even to the mof innocent emotions; becaufe, fays he, the moft indifferent action becomes finful, when there is no other motive for the performance but barely its being pleafant. Could a malevolent deity contrive any thing more fevere againft his votaries?
In the fame firit of fupererogation, holidays have been multiplied without end, depriving the working poor of time, that would be more ufefully employed in providing bread for themfelves and families. Such a number of holidays, befide contradieting Providence which framed us more for action than contemplation, have feveral poifonous effects with refpect to morality. The moral fenfe has great influence on the induftrious, who have no time for indulging their irregular appetites : the idle, on the contrary, lie open to every temptation. Men likewife are apt to affume great merit from a rigid obfervance of holidays and other ceremonies : and having thus acquired, in their opinion, the favour of God, they rely on his indulgence in other matters which they think too fweet for finners.

Monaftic inflitutions are an inprovement upon holidays: the whole life of a monk is intended to Vol. II. Kk k be

[^148]be a holiday, dedicated entirely to the fervice of God. The idlenefs of the monaltic flate among Chriftians, opens a wide door to immorality.

In the third fection, penances are handled as a mode of worhhip, for obtaining pardon of fin. But they are fometimes fubmitted to by the innocent, in order to procure from the Almighty ftill more favour than innocence alone is intitled to; in which view, they are evidently a work of fupererogation. They feem to have no bad effect with refpect to religion as diftinguifhed from morality: the body is indeed tortured unneceffarily; but if enthufiafts voluntarily fubmit to bodily diftreffes, they have themfelves only to blame with refpect to morality, their bad tendency is not fight. Thofe who perform extraordinary acts of devotion, conceive themfelves peculiarly entitled to the favour of God. Proud of this favour, they attach themfelves to him alone, and turn indifferent to every other duty. The favourite of a terreftrial potentate, affumes authority; and takes liberties that private perfons dare not venture upon: fhall a favourite of Heaven be iefs indulged? The Faquirs in Hindoftan fubmit to dreadful penances; and, holding themfelves fecure of God's favour, they are altogether indifferent about the duty they owe to 2 neighbour. So much are they above common decency, as to go about naked, not even concealing what modefty hides. The penances enjoined in the Romilh church, fuch as fafting and Hlagellation, have evidently the fame bad tendency $\dagger$. With refpect to fafting in particular, to what good purpofe it can ferve, except to gluttons, is not readily conceived. Temperance in eating and drinking is effential to health : too much or too little

[^149]little are equally noxious, though their effects are different $\dagger$. Fafting therefore ought never to be enjoined to the temperate as a religious duty, becaufe it cannot be acceptable to a benevolent Deity. Liften to a great prophet on that fubject. "Behold, ye faft for Atrife and debate, and to "fmite with the filt of wickednefs; ye fhall not " faft as ye do this day, to make your voice to " be heard on high. Is it fuch a faft that I have "chofen ? a day for a man to afflict his foul? "Is it to bow down his head as a bulrulh, and " to fpread fackeloth and ahhes under him? Wilt " thou call this a faft, and an acceptable day to " the Lord ? Is not this the faft that I have cho"fen, to loofe the bands of wickednefs, to undo " the heavy burdens, and to let the oppreffed go " free, and that ye break every yoke? Is it not " to deal thy bread to the hungry; and that thou " bring the poor that are calt out to thy houfe ? " when thou feeft the naked, that thou cover him, " and that thou hide not thyfelf from thine own " flefh (a) ?"

The moft extraordinary penance of all, is celibacy confidered as a religious duty. Many fathers of the church declare againft matrimony. St. Jerom in particular fays, That the end of matrimony is eternal death; that the earth indeed is filled by it, but heaven by virginity. The intemperatc zeal of many primitive Chriftians led them to abftain from matrimony, and even from conjugal carefles, if they had the misfortune to be married : believing that the carnal appetite is inconfiftent with pure religion. Edward the Confeffor was K k 2
fainted,

[^150]fainted, for no better reafon than the abftaining from matrimonial duties. Jovinian, in the fourth century, taught that all who obferve the laws of piety and virtue laid down in the gofpel, have an equal title to happinefs in another life: confequently, that thofe who pafs their days in celibacy and mortification, are in no refpect more acceptable to God than thofe who live virtuoufly in marriage without mortification. He publifhed his opinions in a book, againft which Jerom wrote a bitter and abufive treatife, ftill extant. Thefe opinions were condemned by the church, and by St. Ambrofe, in a council at Milan; and Jovinian was banifhed by the Emperor Honorius. Such ridiculous felf-denial was not confined to Chriftians. Strabo mentions a fect among the Thracians, who made a vow of perpetual virginity ; and were much refpected on that account. Garcilaffo mentions virgins in Peru confecrated to the fun : a veftal guilty of frailty was buried alive ; her lover hanged, and the inhabitants of the town where fhe lived put: to the fword. Among all the abfurd acts of mortification, celibacy is the ftrongeft inftance of fuperftition triumphing over common fenfe; for what can be more inconfiftent with common fenfe, not to talk of religion, than an endeavour to put an end to the human fpecies? Barbeyrac, Dc la moralc des Peres, gives examples of fathers of the church who wifhed to extinguifh by celibacy the human fpecies and to haften the day of judgement. Some glimples of reafon have abated the zeal of enthufafts for celibacy; but have not totally extirpated it ; for celibacy of the clergy remains to this day a law in the Romifh church. It cannot however ferioufly be thought the will of our benevolent God, that his priefts fhould be denied the exercife of natural powers, beftowed on all for a molt valuable purpole. This impious reItraint, which contradicts the great law of Increafe
B. III. aining from fourth cenaws of piety ve an equal onfequently, cy and mortable to God rriage withopinions in a bitter and ppinions were St. Ambrofe, $h$ was banifh. ch ridiculous ians. Strabo s , who made ere much refmentions vira veftal guilty r hanged, and fhe lived pat: 1 acts of mornftance of funfe ; for what aon fenfe, not our to put an rac, Dc la mofathers of the celibacy the day of judgeve abated the ut have not tothe clergy reomifh church. ght the will of $s$ flould be debeftowed on his impious relaw of Increafe and

Sk. III. iii. 2.
Theology.
501 and multiply, has opened the door to grofs debauchery in the paftors of the Romifh church, though ecclefialtics ought of all men to be the moft circumfpect in their conduct. Men reftrained from what is neceffary and proper, are more prone than others to break out into grofs irregularities *. Marriage is warmly recommended in the laws of Zoroafter. Children are faid to be a bridge that conducts men to heaven; and a man who has no children, is held to be under the power of Alriman. The prayer of a prieft who has no children, is held difagreeable to Ormufd.

The celibacy of the clergy was countenanced by the Pope; and enforced from a political confideration, That it united the whole clergy into one compact body under his fpiritual Majefty. How fhort-fighted is man! It was juftly efteemed at the time to be the corner-itone of Papal power; and yet became the chief caufe of its downfall. Celibacy precipitated the Romih clergy into adultery, fornication, cunning, diffimulation, and every fecret vice. Will men of fuch manners be liftened to, when they preach purity to others? There was no medium, but either to reform their own manners, or to give every indulgence to the laity. But ignorance and fuperftition in the latter, made the former think themfelves fecure. The reftoration of learning broke the charm. Men beginning to think for themfelves, were provoked at the diffolute lives of their paftors; and raifed a loud cry againft them. Reformers were burnt as heretics;

[^151]heretics; and cletgymen were held to be emiffaries from Satan, to eftablifh his throne upon earth. Knox, that violent reformer, believed ferioufly, that Cardinal Beaton was a conjured enemy to Chrift fefus. Providence brings good out of ill. Had not the clergy been diffolute, poor Chriftians might have laboured under ignorance and ecclefiaftic thraldom to this hour. Our reformers, beginning with their paftors, extended infenfibly their hatred to the doctrines taught by their paftors.Every article of faith was fifted: the chaff was feparated from the corn ; and a reformation was eftablifhed upon the fcriptures, rejecting every innovation of the Romifh church.

There is not mentioned in hiftory a more impudent difregard of moral principles, than a privilege affumed by the Bifhop of Rome to difengage men from their oaths and promifes: it is not a greater ftretch to difengage them from every duty, whether of morality or of religion. The barons of Valentia, dreading a perfecution againft the induftrious Moors, their temants, obtained the following claufe to be inferted in their king's cor ronation-oath : "That he fhould not expel the " Morifoos, nor force them to be baptized; that " he fhould never defire to be relieved from the " oath by a difpenfation from the Pope, nor ac"cept a difpenfation if offered." The Emperor Charles V. took this oath folemnly in prefence of his nobles; and yet accepted a difpenfation from the Pope, abfoiving him from the oath, and from the guilt of perjury in breaking it. Auguftus King of Poland, in the treaty of Altramftadt, renounced the kingdom of Poland to his competitor Staniflaus. The defeat of the King of Sweden at Poltowa, was an inviting opportunity to renew his pretenfions. A folemn treaty ftood in his way; but the Pope removed that obftacle, by annulling the treaty, and fetting him at liberty. The Pope has
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been known to beftow that wonderful privilege upon others. Pope Pafcal II. having with a folemn oath renounced the right of inveftitures, empowered the cardinals to declare his oath null. Bifhops alfo, imitating their fuperior, have affumed the privilege of difpenfing with moral duties. Inftances are not rare, of curates being authorized by their bifhop to entertain concubines, paying for each a regular tax of a crown yearly. Nay in fome provincial fynods, they are enjoined to keep concubines, in order to prevent fcandal. Common proftitutes, licenfed in the city of Leghorn, have a church peculiar to themfelves, and mult not enter into any other. They follow their trade with the utmolt freedom; except in paffionweek, during which they muft forbear finning, under pain of banifhment.

The power of beftowing kingdoms, affumed by the Bifhop of Rome, was an encroachment on the rules of juftice, no lefs bold. Chriftian princes, not many ages ago efteemed the Pope's gift to be their beft title of property. In the 1346, the Venetians requefted the Pope's permiffion to carry on commerce in Afia, and to purchafe there pepper and cinnamon. The Pope not only granted their requeft, but pronounced Anathemas upon any who fhould dare to interfere in that commerce. Ferdinand and Ifabella of Spain, applied to Pope Alexander VI. to veft in them the property of America, difcovered under their aufpices by Columbus. The Pope having formerly granted to the kings of Portugal their difcoveries in the Ealt-Indies, both grants were held facred; and it came to be ftrenuoufly difputed, under which of the grants the Molucca iflands were comprehended. Both grants proceed upon a narrative, of the power beftowed by Almighty God on the Pope as fucceffor to St. Peter and vicar of Chrif. To inagine that the Almighty would beftow fuch
fuch powers on the Bifhop of Rome, or on any human being, flews grofs ignorance of the common rights of mankind, and of the government of Providence.

The groffert of all deviations, not only from found morality but from pure religion, and the moft extenfive in its baneful effects, is a doctrine embraced by eftablifhed churches, not many excepted, That becaufe heretics are odious in the fight of God, it is the duty of the orthodox to extirpate them root and branch. Obferve the confequence: people who differ from the eftablifhed church, are held to be obftinate finners, deferving punifhment liere as well as herceafter. The religion of every country is changeable; and the religion at prefent dominant may foon be under depreffion; which of courfe fubjects all mankind to the rigour of perfecution. An invention more effectual for extirpating the human race, is not within the reach of imagination: the horror of human facrifices is as nothing in comparifon.

Perfecution for differences in religion can never take place but where the minifers of religion are formed into a clafs, totally diftinct from the reft of the people. They made not a diftinct clafs among the old Romans; who far from having any notion of perfecution, adopted the gods of every nation they conquered. A learned writer (a) obferves, that as the number of their gods increafed with their conquefts, it is poffible that they might have worfhipped all the gods in the world. Their belief in tutelar deitics produced that effect.Titus Livius mentions a fect of Bacchanals fpread through Italy. They performed their ceremonies drring night, men and women mixing in the dark after intemperate eating and drinking. Never did wicked wretches deferve more exemplary punifhnuent;
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Sk. III. iii. 2. Thucology. 505 ment; yet liften to the following decree of the Roman fenate, breathing the true fpirit of toleration. "Ne qua Bacchanalia Romæ, neve in Italia " effent. Si quis tale facrum, folenne, et necefst farium duceret, nec fine religione et piaculo fe " id omittere poffe; apud prætorem urbanum " profiteretur: prætor fenatum confuleret. Si ei " permiffum effet, quum in fenatu centum non " minus effent; ita id facrum faceret, dum ne "plus quinque facrificio intereffent ; neu qua pe" cunia communis, neu quis magifter facrorum, " aut facerdos effer*." The Jews were prone to perfecution, becaufe their priefts formed a diftinct body. It is true they believed in tutelar deities: their hatred however of neighbouring nations prevailed to make them hold in abhorrence the worfhip of every other god. Even among themfelves, they were abundantly difpofed to war; and nothing kept within bounds the Pharifees, the Sadducees, and the Effenes, their three feets, but terror of the Roman power. The Chriftian religion implies toleration in its very nature and principles ; and yet became prone to perfecution above all others. Chriftian fects were enflamed againft each other to a degree of brutality; the mof oppofite to peace and brotherly love, inculcated in the gofpel. It was propagated by the orthodox, that Arius expired in a common jakes, and that his intrails burft out. The fame is related of Huneric King of the Vandals, a zealous Arian ; with the following addition, that being poffefled

[^153]poffeffed with the devil whom he had glutted with the blood of many martyrs, he tore his flefh with his teeth, and ended his wretched life in the moft excruciating, though juftly deferved, torments. The falfehoods every where fpread during the fourteenth century againf the Jews, fuch as their poifoning the public fountains, killing Chriftian infants and drinking their blood, with many other falfehoods of the fame ftamp, were invented and greedily fwallowed through the influence of religious hatred. Through the fame influence a law was once made in England, that a Chriftian marrying a Jew hould be burnt alive. The greater part of perfecutions have been occafioned in the fame manner; for men are not fo defperately wicked, as to approve of perfecution, unlefs when blinded by intemperate zeal. The fame religious hatred produced the affaffination of the Duke of Guife, and of two Henries, Kings of France; produced the gun-powder plot; and produced the moft horrid deed that ever was perpetrated among men, the maffacre of St. Bartholomew *.

No falfe principle in religion has fhed more innocent or rather virtuous blood, than that of perfecuting heretics; $i$. $e$. thofe who differ in any article from the religion eftablifhed by law. The doctrine of burning heretics, is in effect the profeffing to burn men eminently virtuous; for they muft be fo, when they fubmit to be burnt alive, rather than be guilty cven of diffimulation. The Mahometan practice of converting people by the fword, if not more rational, is at leaft more man-
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ly. Louis IX. of France, one of its beft princes, would have been a greater bleffing to his people had he been lefs pious: he had an implacable averfion to heretics; againft whom he thought it more proper to employ racks and gibbets, than argument. Torquemada, that infernal inquifitor of Spain, brought into the inquifition, in the fpace of fourteen years, no fewer than 80,000 perfons; of whom 6000 were condemned to the flames, and burnt alive with the greateft pomp and exultation. Of that vaft number, there was perhaps rot a fingle perfon, who was not more pure in religion, as well as in morals, than their outrageous perfecutor. Hunter, a young man about nineteen years of age, was one of the unhappy victims to the zeal of Queen Mary of England for Popery. Having been inadvertently betrayed by a prieft to deny tranfubftantiation, he aüfzonded to keep out of harm's way. Bonner, that arch-hangnian of Popery, threatened ruin to the father, if he did not deliver up the young man. Hunter, hearing of his father's danger, made his appearance ; and was burnt alive, inftead of being rewarded for his filial piety. A woman of Guernfey was brought to the ftake, without regard to her big belly; which burfting by the torture, fhe was delivered in the midft of the flames. One of the guards fnatched the infant from the fire: but the magiftrate who attended the execution, ordered it to be thrown back; being refolved, he faid, that nothing hould furvive which fprung from a parent fo obftinately heretical. Father Paul (a) computes, that in the Netherlands alone, from the time that the edict of Charles V. was promulgated againft the reformers, fifty thoufand perfons were hanged, beheaded, buried alive, or burnt on account of religion. Some Faquirs, crazed with opium and fanati-

[^155]fanaticifm, have been known with poifoned daggers to fall upon uncircumcifed Europeans, and to put every one to death whom they could mafter. In the laft century, a faquir at Surate murdered, within the fpace of a minute, feventeen Dutch failors with feventeen ftabs of a dagger. We think with horror of human facrifices among the ancient Pagans; and yet we behold them every day among Chriftians rendered ftill more horid by the moft atrocious torments that religious hatred can devife.

The great motive to fuch cruelties, is the fuperftitious and abfurd notion, that heretics are God's cnemies; which makes it thought an acceptable fervice to God, not only to perfecute them by fire and fword in this world, but to deliver them over to Satan in the world to come. Another circumftance enflames religious hatred; which is, that neighbours are either intimate friends or bitter enemies. This holds with a flight variation in fects of the fame religion: however minute their differences are, they cannot be intimate friends; and therefore are bitter enemies : they nearer they approach to unifon, if not entirely fo, the greater in proportion is their mutual hatred. Such hatred, fubduing the meek fpirit of Chriftianity, is an additional caufe for perfecution. Blind zeal for what is believed to be the only true religion, never difcovers error nor innocence in thofe who differ, but perverfencfs and criminal obftinacy. Two religions totally different, like two countries in oppofite parts of the globe, produce no mutual enmity. At the fiege of Conftantinople by the Turks, anno 1453, the Emperor, in order to procure afliftance from the princes of the Latin church, ordered mads to be celebrated in one of his churches according to the form ufed in Rome. The people with great indignation protefted, that they
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they would rather fee the Turks in their churches, than the hat of a cardinal.

The hiftory of the Waldenfes, though well known, cannot be too often repeated. In the twelfth century, a merchant of Lyons, n ned Peter Valdo, diffatisfied with the pomp and ceremonies of the Komifh church, ill fuited in his opinion to the humility of a Chriftian, retired to a defert in the high country of Provence, with feveral poo: people his difciples. There he became their fipitual guide, inftructing them in certain foctrines, the fame that were afterward adopted by the Proteltants. Their inceflant labour fubdued the barren foil, and prepared it for grain as well as for palture. The rent which in time they were enabled to pay for land that afiorded none originally, endeared them to their landlords. In 250 years, they multiplied to the number of 18,000 , occupying thirty villages, befide hamlets, the work of their own hands. Priefts they had none, nor any difputes about religion : neither had they occafion for a court of jultice, as brotherly love did not fuffer them to go to law : they worflipped God in their own plain way, and their innocence was fecured by inceffant labour. They had long enjoyed the fweets of peace and mutual affection, when the reformers of Germany and Gerneva fent minifters among them; which unhappily laid them open to religious hatred, the moft unrelenting of all furies. In the year 1540 , the parliament of Provence condemned ninetcen of them to be burnt for herefy, their trees to be rooted up, and their houles to be razed to the ground. The Waldenfes, terrified at this fentence, applied in a body to Cardinal Sadolet, bifop of Carpentras; who received them kindly, and obtained from Francis I. of France, a pardon for the perfons under fentence of death, on condition of abjuring herefy. The matter lay over five years; when the parliament
ment irritated at their perfeverance, prevailed on the King to withdraw his pardon. The fentence was executed with great rigour ; and the parliament, laying hold of that opportunity, broke through every reftraint of law, and commenced a violent perfecution againft the whole tribe. The foldiers began with maffacring old men, women, and children, all having fled who were able to fly; and proceeded to burn their houles, barns, and corn. There remained in the town of Cabriere fixty men and thirty women; who having furrendered upon promife of life, were butchered all of them without mercy. Some women who had taken refuge in a church, were dragged out, and burnt alive. Twenty-two villages were reduced to afhes; and that populous and flourifhing diftrict, became once more a defart.

To conceive this horrid fcene in all its deformity, the people perfecuted ought to be compared with the clergy their perfecutors; for the civil magiftrate was the hand only that executed their vengeance : on the one fide, an induftrious honeft people, pure in their morals, and no lefs pure in their religion : on the other, proud pampered priefts, abandoned without thame to every wickednefs, impure in their morals, and ftill more impure in their religion-the world never furnifhed fuch another contraft. Had the fcene been reverfed, to make thefe wretches fuffer perfecution from the Walden-fes-but that people were too upright and too religious for being perfecutors. The manners of the Chritian clergy in general, before the Reformation, enlivens the contraft. The doctrine promulgated during the dark times of Chriftianity, That God is a mercenary being, and that every perfon however wicked may obtain pardon of his fins by money, made riches flow into the hands of the clergy in a plentiful ftream. And riches had the fame effect upon the Chriftian clergy that they
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they have upon all men, which is, to produce pride, fenfuality, and profligacy: thefe again produced diffipation of money, which prompted avarice, and every invention for recruiting exhaufted treafures $\dagger$ Even as early as the eighth century, the Chriftian clergy, tempted by opulence, abandoned themfelves to pleafure, without moderation; and far exceeded the laity in luxury, gluttony, and luft. When fuch were the paftors, what muft have been the flock! Rejoice, $\mathbf{O}$ Scotland, over the poverty and temperance of thy paftors. During that period, the clergy could read, and like parrots, they could mumble prayers in Latin : in every other refpect, they rivalled the laity in ignorance. They were indeed more cunning than the laity; and underflood their intereft better, if to covet riches at the expence of probity, deferve that name. Three articles were eftablifined that made religion an eafy fervice. Firf that faith is the effence of religion, without regard to good works; and hence the neceffity of being ftrictly orthodox, which the church only could determine. Second, Religious worlhip was reduced to a number of external ceremonies and forms, which, being declared fufficient for falvation, abfolved Chriftians from every moral duty. Remark, that a prieft is always the chief perfon in ceremonial worthip. The third article, That God is a mercenary being, is mentioned above, with its neceffary confequences. Thefe articles brought about a total neglect, both in clergy and laity, not only of morality, but of every effential religious duty. In fine, there never was a religion that deviated more from juft principles, than that profefled by Chriftians during the dark ages. Perfecution reached none but the fincerely pious and

[^156]and virtuous. What a glorious tolerating fentiment doth Arnobius (a) throw out, and what profufion of blood would have been prevented, had it been adopted by all Chriftians!" Da veniam, Rex fumme, " tuos perfequentibus famulos : et quod ture be" nignitatis eft proprium, fugientibus ignolce tui " nominis et religionis cultum. Non eft mirum, " fi ignoraris: majoris eft admirationis, fi fciaris "." The following parable againft perfecution was communicated to me by Dr. Franklin of Philadelphia, a man who makes a figure in the learned world. " And it came to pafs after thefe things, that Abra" ham fat in the door of his tent, about the go" ing down of the fun. And behold a man bent " with age coming from the way of the wildernels " leaning on a ftaff. And Abraham arofe, and " met him, and faid unto him, Turn in, I pray " thee, and walh thy fect, and tarry all night; ": and thou fhalt arife early in the morning, and " go on thy way. And the man faid, Nay; for "I-will abide under this tree. But Abraham preff" ed him greatly: fo he turned, and they went " into the tent: and Abraham baked unleavened " bread, and they did eat. And when Abraham " faw that the man blefled not God, he faid un" to him, Wherefore doft thou not worfhip the 's moft high God, creator of heaven and earth?
" And the man anfwered and faid, I do not wor-
" thip thy God, neither do I call upon his name;
" for I have made to mylelf a god, which abideth
": always in mine houle, and provideth me with
$\because$ all things. And Abraham's zeal was kindled
"6 againtt the man, and he arofe, and fell upon
" him, and drove him forth with blows into the
" wildernefs.
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" wildernefs. And God called unto Abraham, fay" ing, Abraham, where is the ftranger ? And Abra" ham anfwered and faid, Lord, he would not wor" fhip thee, neither would he call upon thy name; " therefore have I driven him out from before my " face into the wildernefs. And God faid, Have " I borne with him thefe hundred ninety and eight " years, and nourifhed him, and cloathed him, not" withftanding his rebellionagainft me ; and couldft " not thou, who art thyfelf a finner, bear with " him one night ?" The hiftorical ftyle of the: Old Teftament is here finely imitated; and the moral mult ftrike every one who is not funk in ftupidity and fuperftition. Were it really a chapter of Genefis, one is apt to think, that perfecution could never have fhown a bare face among Jews or Chriftians. But alas! that is a vain thought. Such a paffage in the Old Teftament, would avail as little againft the rancorous paffions of men, as the following paffages in the New Teftament, tho' perfecution cannot be condemned in terms more explicit. " Him that is weak in the faith, reccive " you, but not to doubtful difputations. For one " believeth that he may eat all things: another, " who is weak, eateth herbs. Let not him that " eateth, defpife him that eateth not; and let " not him which eateth not, judge him that eat"eth. Who art thou that judgeft another man's " fervant? to his own mafter he ftandeth or fall" eth. One man efteemeth one day above another: " another efteemeth every day alike. Let every man " be fully perfuaded in his own mind. But why " doft thou judge thy brother? or why doft thou "fet at nought thy brother? for we thall all ftand " before the judgement-feat of Chrift, every one " to give an account of himfelf to God. I know, "that there is nothing unclean of itfelf: but to " him that efteemeth any thing unclean, to him " it is unclean. The kingdom of God is not Vol. Il. L l " meat and joy in the Holy Ghoft. Let us therefore fol" low after the things which make for peace, and " things wherewith one may edify another (a)." Our Saviour himfelf-declared againft perfecution in the moft exprels terms. The Jews and Samaritans were of the fame religion; but fome trivial difference in the ceremonial part of worfhip, rendered them odious to each other. Our Saviour being refufed lodging in a village of Samaria, becaufe he was travelling to Jerufalem, his difciples fames and Joln faid, "Lord, wilt thou that we c: command fire to come down from heaven, and "confume them, even as Elias did ?" But he rebuked them and faid, "The Son of man is " not come to deftroy men's lives, but to fave "them (b)." $\dagger$.

It gives me real concern, that even the hot fire of periecution, did not altogether purify our Reformed clergy from that fatanical fpirit. No fooner were the Diffenters fettled in New-England, where they fled to avoid perfecution, than they fet on foot a perfecution againft the Quakers, no lefs furious than what they themfelves had fuffered at home. Nor did the Reformed clergy in Scotland lofe fight of the fame magifterial authority that had been affumed by their predeceflors of the Romifh church, on the ridiculous pretext of being ambafladors to men from Jefus Chrift, Upon a reprefentation, ann. 1646, from the commiffion of the kirk of Scotland, James Bell and Colin Camp. bell, bailies of Glafgow, were committed to prifon
(a) Epifte of Paul to the Romans, chap. 14.
(b) Luke, ix. 54 .
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fon by the parliament, merely for having faid, that kirkmen meddled too much in civil matters. Could a defpotic prince have exerted a more arbitrary act? but the church was all powerful in thofe days*.

## L 12

I would

* The Chriftian religion is eminent for a fpirit of meeknefs, toleration, and brotherly love; and yet perfecution never raged fo furiounly in any othes religion. Such oppcfition between practice and principle, is a fingular phenomenon in the hiffory of man. Let us try to account for it. In the Pagan religion 1 difcover few traces of perfecution. Tutelar deities wert univerfal; and, far from impofing thefe deities on others, every nation valued itfelf on being the only favourite of its own deity. Prietts by profeffion have ever been ambitious of impoling on the laity peculiar forms of worlhip and peculiar relgious tenets; but the Greeks and Romans had none fuch. The Jews had priefts by profeffion; and they were befide a gloomy people naturally inclined to perfecution: they hated their neighbours, and were hated by them. The Mahometan religion was fown in a fertile foil. The Arabians were warlike; but ignorant and eafily deltided by a warm imagination. The Koran is finely contrived to impofe upon fuch a people. The ambition of Mahomet correfponded to the warlike genius of his countrymen; who were taught to convert all men to his religion, by the fimple but effectual argument of fire and fivord. This fpirit of perfecution accompanied that of conqueft. The later is now extinguifhed by luxury and fenfuality; and there farce remains any veftige of the former.
Among an illiterate and credulous people, directed by the light of nature to worfhip the Deity, but without any eftablifhed form, every innovation is peaceably and cordially admitted. When Chriftianity was introduced into Britain, the Druids, as appears from Offian, had loft all authority. The people were prepared for the new religion; and there could be no perfecution where there was none to oppofe. Upon that plain people, the Chritian religion had its genuine effect : it foftened their manners, and produced a fpirit of mecknefs and brotherly love. Never was practice more concordant with principle. The feene is very different where a new retigion is introduced in oppofition to one long eftablihed. Zeal for a new religion inflames its converts; and as violent pafficts are infectious, thofs who adhere to the eftablifhed worihip are by degrees equally inflamed. Mutual hatred and perfecution are the never-failing confequences. This was the cafe in countries where the Chriftian religion was firf promulgated.
When that religion began to make a figure, the Roman empire was finely prepared for its reception. The fables of Paganifin, which pafs current as important truths in days of ignor:ance, were now exploded as childih and ridiculous. The defpotifm of the Roman government, and fuccefive irruptions of barbarians, had funk the Roman people, had filled them with fuperfitious terrors, and difpofed thein to embrace any religion that promifed happinefs either here or in another world. Luckily, the new religion was that of Jefus Chrift. The meek fpirit of the gofpel would in time have prevailed over a religion that was groisly idolatrous: but, unhappily, the zeal of the new converts, and their abhorrence of idolatry, was not confined to argument, but was vented with all the violence of religious hatred. Here, the Man got the better of the Chrinian. Thofe of the entablifined reli-
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I would do juftice to every church, not excepting that of Kome; and it is doing that church no more but juftice to acknowledge, that the fpi-
zigion becarae equally viokent, alirough the infection of paffion; and mutual perfecuticn knew no bounds.
This appars to be a fair account of the mutual perfecution between Chriftians and lagans. But perfecution did not fop there : it raged among different feots of Cluiflians no tefs than formerly againf the common eneiny. This requires to be accounted for. Acutenefs and fubtilty formed the character of the Greeks. Every man eminent for learning had his followers: in plilofophy many fects were formed, and much difputation and swrangling enfued. The Chriftian religion was early intr 'iuced into Greece; and its vota:ies :were infected with the fipirit of the nation : the flighteft differences occationed difputes; and fects were formed upon the flighteft differences. In the gorpel, eternal happinets is promifed to thofe who believe in Jefus Chrif. The true fenfe was perverted by the bulk of Chriatians; andt falvation was annc:ed to the nere ast of belief, without repard to good works. Men are prone to fuch a doftrine : they conceive belief to be an eafy matter, as it puts no reftraint upon their paffions: they are extremely villing to believe, provided they be left free to act as they pleafe. Thus as the whote of religion was undertood to refl upon belief, the moft minute differences in belief, became of the higheft importance. That Chrift wais a divine perfon fent by God to correct and reform mankind, is the belicf of the Arians. This is not believing in Chrift, fay the orthodox. "You muft "believe, that he is the Son of God, and equal to the Father." 'This was a capital difpute. But the fpirit of difputation did not reft there : every trifle was made a fubject of wrangling; and hence perfecution without cnd. Violent pations were thus encouraged among Chriftians; and even the moft sumanly vices were meritorious to promote the intereft of one fect againg another. It became a maxim, that ill may be done in order to bring about good; and accordingly every deceit was put in practice by clergymen, not excepting forgery, in fupport of their own fect. Such practices were common as early as the third century. The perfecuting fpirit continues in tigour among the Roman-Catholics, againft thofe who deny the infallibility of their fovereign pontiff. It is high treafon to difregard his authority ; and sebels arc perfecuted with fire and fword in this world, and with eternal damnation in the next. No fooner had Proteftants renounced the Papal authority, than they gave vent to perfecution againft one another.America was the refuge of many difenters from the church of England, to avoid perfecution at home. But faarce were they eflablifhed there, when they raifed a violent perficution againt Quakers, the moft innocuous of all fects.

Zeal for a new religion is immoderate. It cools gradually, and at latt vanifhes where that religion has been long eflablifhed and is peaceably fubmitted to. Then it is, that a falutary truth is difcovered, that people of different fects, may live peaceahly together. In England and Holland, men are permitted to worhip God their own way, provided they give nodifftrb). ance to fociety. Holland has given to mankind a glorious example, not only of univerfal toleration, but of permitting men without regard to difterence of religion, to enjoy all the privileges of a citizen. Even the Jews in Surinam are admitted to bear a part in the government. And that lar. dable example is copied by Dritain with refpect to the Roman-Catholics in the inhand Gituade.
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pols gradually, and at laft hed and is peaceably fubinglered, that people of ngland and Holland, men vided they give nordifurb. a glorious example, net a without regard to difa citizen. Even the Jews vermment. Anct that latio the Roman-Catholics in
rit of perfecution was not more eminent in it, than zeal for making converts. The former is retiring out of the world; and I wifh it molt profound reft, never again to awake. People begin to be afhamed of it, as of a garment long out of falhion. Let the other continue for amufement : it is innocent ; and if it do no good, it is not productive of fo much harm.

The defire of making converts procceds from two different caufes. In fuperftitious zealots, it proceeds from an opinion, that all who differ from them are in the road to damnation : for which reafon, there is a rage of making converts among Roman Catholics; who, without ceremony, deliver over to the flames of hell, every perfon who is not of their communion. The other caufe is more natural : every man thinks himfelf in the right, ef ty in matters of confequence; and for that he is happy to find others of his opinion (a). With refpect to the firf caufe, I beg attention to the following confiderations ; not with any hope of converting zealots, but to prevent, if poflible, others from becoming fuch. In none of the works of God is variety more happily blended with uniformity, than in the formation of man. Uniformity prevails in the human face with refpect to eyes, nofe, mouth, and other capital parts : variety prevails in the expreflions of thefe parts, ferving to diftinguifh one perfon from another, without hazard of crror. In like manner, the minds of men are uniform with refpect to their palfions and principles; but the various tones and expreflions of thefe, form different characters without end. A face deftitute of a nole or of a mouth, is monitrous: a mind deftitute of the moral fenie, or of a fenfe of religion, is no lefs fo. But variety of expreflion in different faces, is

[^159]is agreeable, becaufe we relifh variety; and a fimilar variety in the expreffions or tones of pallion; ought to be equally agreeable. Endlefs differences in temper, in tafte, and in mental faculties, that of reafon in particular, produce neceffarily ariety in fentiment and in opinion. Cant God be difpleafed with fuch variety, when it is his own work? He requires no uniformity except with refpect to an upright mind and clear confcience, which are indifpenfable. Here at the fame time is difcovered an illuftrious final caufe. Different countenances in the human race, not only diftinguifh one perfon from another, but promote fociety, by aiding us to chufe a friend, an affociate, a partner for life. Differences in opinion and fentiment, have ftill more beneficial effects: they roufe the attention, give exercife to the underftanding, and fharpen the reafoning faculty. With refpect to religion in particular, per-fect- uniformity, which furnifneth no fubject for thinking nor for reafoning, would produce languor in divine worfhip, and make us fink into cold indifference. How foolifh then is the rage of making profuytes? Let every man enjoy his native liberty, of thinking as well as of acting; free to act as he pleafes, provided only he obey the rules of morality; equally free to think as he pleafes, provided only he acknowledge the great God as his maker and mafter, and perceive the neceffary connection of religion with morality.Strict uniformity in other matters, may be compared to a fpring-day, calm and ferene; neither fo hot as to make us drop a garment, nor fo cold as to require an addition; no wind to rufle, nor rain to make fheiter neceffary. We enjoy the fweet feene for a moment : we walk, we fit, we mufe-but foon fail anleep. Agitation is the element of man, and the life of fociety. Let us not attempt to correct the works of God : the at-
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Sk. III. iii. 2. Theology. 519 tempt will betray us into abfurd errors. This doctrine cannot be better illuftrated than by a converfation, reported by the Jefuit Tachard, between the King of Siam, and a French ambaffador, who in his mafter's name urged that king to embrace the Chrifian religion. "I am furprifed," faid his Majefty of Siam, " that the King of France, my " good friend, thould intereft himfelf fo warmly in " what concerns God only. He hath given to " his creatures different minds and different in"c slinations, which naturally lead them to differ in "c opinion. We admire variety in the material " world: why not admire it in matters of religi" on? Have we not then reafon to believe, that " God takes pleafure in all the different f ims of " worfhip? Had it been the intention of God to " produce uniformity in religion, he would have "formed all men with the fame mind." Bernier introduces fome Gentiles of Hindoftan defending thei: religion much in the fame manner: "That " they did not pretend their law to be univerfal ; "c that they did not hold ours to be falfe, as, for " aught they knew, it might be a good law for " us; and shat God probably made many roads " to heaven."

With refpect to the other caufe above mentioned, the defire of putting people in the right road. To reafon others into our religious principles, is natural ; but it is not always prudent. \& wifh my neighbour to be of my opinion, becaufe I think my opinion right : but is there no danger of undermining his religious principles, without eftablifhing better in their ftead ? Ought I not to reftrain my defire of making converts, when the attempt may poffibly reduce them to abandon religion altogether, as a matter of utter uncertainty? If a man of clear uriderftanding has by fome unhappy means been led into error, that man may be fet right by fair reafoning: but beware of endeavouring
vouring to convert people of low parts, who are indebted for their creed to parents, to education, or to example : it is fafer to let them reft as they are.

At any rate, let us never attempt to gain profelytes by rewards or by terror: what other effect can fuch motives produce, but diflimulation and lying, I ents of every fecret crime? The Emprets on Ruilia ufes a method for converting her Pagan fubjects of Kamikatka, no lefs agreeable than effectual ; which is, to exempt from iaxes for ten years, fuch of them as profefs the Chriftian religion. This practice may be political ; but it tends not to advance religion, and is deftrustive of mo. rality. -Terror, on the other hand, may be equally effectual, $b t$ is not altogether fo agreeable. The people of Rum, one of the Hebrides, were Pa. pifts till the beginning of the prefent cetury, when in one day they were all profelyted to the Pro. teftant faith. Maclean of Coll, their chieftain, went to the ifland with a proteftant minifter, and ordered all the inhabitants to appear on Sunday at public worfhin. They came, but refufed to hear a Proteftant miniter. The chieftain reafoned with them : but finding that his reafonings made no impreffion, he laid hold of the moft forward ; and having made a deep impreflion on him with his cane, puthed him into the church. The relt followed like meek lambs; and from that day have continued firm Proteftants. The Proteftantilm of Rum is Ityled by their Popifh neighbours, the faith of the ycllow fich.

To apply any means for making profelytes, other than fair reafoning, appears to me a ftrange perverfion. Can God be pleafed with ufing rewards or punifhments, or can any rational man juftify them? What then fhould move any one to put them in practice? I fhould be utterly at a lofs to anfwer the queftion, but for a fact mentioned more than once above, that the rude and illiterated judge ducation, or as they are. to gain proother effect mulation and The Emonverting her greeable than iaxes for ten Chriftian re; but it tends utive of moay be equally ceable. The les, were Pa . cetury, when $d$ to the Prohieftain, went ifter, and oron Sunday at efefed to hear reafoned with $s$ made no im. ward ; and hawith his cane, relt followed y have contiantifin of Rum s , the faith of
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by fight only not by reflection. They lay weight on the external vifible act, without thinking of intention, which is not vifible. In truth, the bulk of mankind reft upon the external profeffion of religion: they never think of the heart, nor confider how that ftands affected. What elfe is it but the external act merely, that moves the Romifh miffionaries to baptize the infants of favages even at the moment of expiring? whicn they profecute with much pious ardour. Their zeal nerits apfiaufe, but not their judgement. Can any rational perfon terioufly believe, that the dipping a favage or an infant in water, will make either of them a Chriftian, or that the want of this ceremony will precipitate them into hell ? The Lithuanians, before their converfion to Chriftianity, worfhipped ferpents, every family entertaining one as a houfehold god. Sigifmundus, in his commentaries of Mufçovy, reports the follo ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$ incident. A converted Chriftian having perfuaded a neighbour to follow his example, and in token of his converfion to kill his ferpent, was furprifed at his next vifit, to find his convert in the deepeft melancholy, bitterly lamenting that he had murdered his god, and that the moft dreadful calamities would befal him. Was this perfon a Chriftian more than nominally ? At the end of the laft century when Kempfer was in Japan, there remained but about fifty Japan Chriftians, who were locked up in prifon for life. Thefe poor people knew no more of the Chriftian religion, but the names of our Saviour and of the Virg:r, Mary : and yet fo zealous Chriftians were they as rather to die miferably in jail, than to renounce the name of Chrift, and be fet at liberty. The inhabitants of the ifland Annaboa in the gulf of Guinea have been converted by the Portuguefe to Chriftianity. No more is required of them, as Bofman obferves, but to repeat a Pater

Pater nofter and Ave Maria, confefs to the prieft, and bring offerings to him.

I cannot with fatisfaction conclude this fketch, without congratulating my prefent countrymen of Britain, upon their knowledge of the intimate connection that true religion has with morality. May the importance of that connection, always at heart, excite us to govern every action of our lives by the united principles of morality and religion :what a happy people would we be!

## B. III.

the prieft, his fketch, intrymen of timate conality. May ys at heart, ur lives by religion:-
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Sketches concerning Scotiand.

## S K E T C H I.

Scotcl Entails confdered in Moral and Political views.
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{AN}}$ is by nature a hoarding animal ; and to fecure what is acquired by honeft induftry, the fenfe of property is made a branch of human nature (a). During the infancy of nations, when artificial wants are unknown, the hoarding appetite makes no figure. The ufe of money pioduced a great alteration in the human heart. Money having at command the goods of fortune, introduced inequality of rank, luxury, and artificial wants without end. No bounds are fet to hoarding, where an appetite for artificial wants is indulged: love of money becomes the ruling paffion: it is coveted by many in order to be hoarded; and means are abfurdly converted into an end.
The fenfe of property, weak among favages, ripens' gradually till it arrives at maturity in polifhed nations. In every ftage of the progrefs, fome new power is added to property ; and now for centuries, men have enjoyed every power over their own goods, that a rational mind can defire ( $b$ ): they
(a) Book 3. Mketch 2.
(b) Hiftorical Law-tracts, tract 3 .
they have the free difpofal during life ; and even after death, by naming an heir. Thefe powers are fufficient for accomplifhing every rational purpofe: they are fufficient for commerce, and they are fufficient for benevolence. But the artificial wants of men are boundlets: not content with the full enjoyment of their property during life, nor with the profpect of its being enjoyed by a favourite heir, they are anxiouliy bent to preferve it to themfelves for ever. A man who has amaffed a great eftate in land, is miferable at the profpect of being obliged to quit his hold : to footh his difeafed fancy, he makes a deed lecuring it for ever to certain heirs; who muft without end bear his name, and preferve his eftate entire. Death, it is true, muft at laft feparate him from his idol : it is fome confolation, however, that his will governs and gives law to every fublequent proprietor. How repugnant to the frail ftate of man, are fuch fivollen conceptions! Upon thele however are founded entails, which have prevailed in many parts of the world, and unhappily at this day infeft Scotland. Did entails produce no other mifchief but the gratification of a diftempered appetite, they might be endured, though far from deferving approbation: but, like other tranfgreffions of nature and reafon, they are productive of much mifchief, not only to commerce, but to the very heirs for whofe fake alone it is pretended that they are made.

Confidering that the law of nature has beftowed on man every power of property that is neceffary cither for commerce or for benevolence, how blind was it in the Englifh legiflature to add a moft irrational power, that of making an entail! But men will always be mending; and when a lawgiver rentures to tamper with the laws of nature, he hazards much mifchicf. We have a pregnant inftance above, of an attempt to mend the laws of God in many abfurd regulations for the poor; and
e; and even hele powers rational puree, and they the artificial tent with the ing life, nor ed by a fat to preferve o has amaffed the profpect to footh his ing it for ever end bear his Death, it m his idol : it ; will governs orietor. How e fuch fwollen e founded enparts of the feft Scotland. of but the grathey might be approbation: re and reafon, ief, not only to or whofe fake made. e has beftowed at is neceffary nce, how blind add a moft irhtail! But men en a lawgive: of nature, he a pregnant innd the laws of the poor; and that
that the law authorifing entails is another inftance of the fame kind, will be evident from what follows.

The mifchievous effects of Englifh entails were foon difcovered : they occafioned fuch injuftice and oppreflion, that even the judges ventured to relieve the nation from them, by an artificial form, termed fine and recovery. And yet, though no moderate man would defire more power over his eftate than he has by common law, the legitlature of Scotland enabled every land-proprietor to fetter his eftate for ever ; to tyrannize over his heirs ; and to reduce their property to a fhadow, by prohibiting them to alien, and by prohibiting thein to contract debt were it even to redeem them fron* death or flavery. Thus many a man, fonder of his eftate than of his wife and children, grudges the ufe of it to his natural heirs, reducing them to the ftate of mere liferenters. Behold the confequences. A number of noblemen and gentlemen among us, lie in wait for every parcel of land that comes to market. Intent upon aggrandizing their family, or rather their eflate which is the favourite object, they fecure every purchafe by an entail; and the fame courfe will be followed, till no land be left to be purchaled. Thus every entailed eftate in Scotland becomes in effect a mortmain, admitting additions without end, but abfolutely barring alienation; and if the legiflature interpofe not, the period is not diftant, when all the land in Scotland will be locked up by entails, and withdrawn from commerce.

The purpofe of the prefent effay, is to fet before our legiflature, coolly and impartially, the deftruftive effects of a Scotch entail. J am not fo fanguine as to hope, that men, who convert means into an end, and avaricioully covet land for its own fake, will be prevailed upon to regard, either the intereft of theif country or of their pofterity: but

I would gladly hope, that the legiflature may be roufed to give attention to a national object of no flight importance.

I begin with effects of a private or domeftic nature. To the pofieffor, an entail is a conftant fource of difcontent, by fubverting that liberty and independence, which all men covet with refpect to their goods as well as their perfons. What can be more vexatious to a proprietor of a great landeitate, than to be barred from the molt laudable acts, fuitable provifions for example to a wife or children ? not to mention numberlefs acts of benevolence, that endear individuals to each other, and fweeten fociety. A great proportion of the land in Scotland is in fuch a ftate, that by laying out a thoufand pounds or fo, an intelligent proprietor may add a hundred pounds yearly to his rent-roll. But an entail effectually bars that improvement: it affords the proprietor no credit; and fuppofing him to have the command of money independent of the eftate, he will be ill-fated if he have not means to employ it more profitably for his own intereft. An entail, at the fame time, is no better than a trap for an improvident poffeffor: to avoid altogether the contracting debt, is impracticable; and if a young. man be guided more by pleafure than by prudence, which commonly is the cafe of young men; a vigilant and rapacious fubftitute, taking advantage of a forfeiting claufe, turns him out of poffeflion, and delivers him over to want and mifery.

I beg indulgence for introducing a cafe, which though particular, may frequently happen. A gentleman, who has a family-feat finely fituated, but in the ftate of nature, is tempted to lay out great fums upon improvements and embellifhments, having a numerous iflue to benefit by his operations. They all fail ; and a ftranger, perhaps his enemy, becomes the heir of entail. Fond however
of his darling feat, he is willing to preferve all entire, upon procuring to his heirs a reafonable fum for his improvements; which is refufed. Averfe to lay wafte the work of his own hands, he reftricts his demand to the real value of the growing tim-ber.-All in vain. Provoked at the obftinacy of the heir of entail, he cuts down every tree, difmantles the place; and with a fad heart abandons his beloved habitation. In a bare country like Scotland, is it not cruel to deter proprietors by an entail, from improving their land and embellifhing their family-feats? Is it not fill more cruc, to force a proprietor, who has no heir of his own blood, to lay all wafte, inftead of leaving behind him a monument of his tafte and induftry?

But an entail is productive of confequences ftill more difmal, even with refpect to heirs. A young man upon whom the family-eftate is entailed without any power referved to the father, is not commonly oblequious to advice, nor patiently fubmiffive to the fatigues of education : he abandons himfelf to pleafure, and indulges his paflions without control. In one word, there is no fituation more fubverfive of morals, than that of a young man, bred up from infancy in the certainty of inheriting an opulent fortune.
The condition of the other children, daughters efpecially, is commonly deplorable. The proprietor of a large entailed eftate, leaves at hes death children who have acquired a tafte for fumptuous living. The fons drop off one by one, and a number of daughters remain, with a fcanty provifion, or perlaps with none at all. A collateral male heir fucceeds, who after a painful fearch is difcovered in fome remote corner, qualified to procure bread by the fpade or the plough, but entirely unqualified for behaving as mafter of an opulent fortune. By fuch a metamorphofis, the poor man makes a ludicrous figure; while the daughters,
daughters, reduced to indigence, are in a fituation much more lamentable than the brats of beggars.

Our entails produce another domeftic evil, for which no proper remedy is provided. The fums permitted in moft entails to younger children, however adequate when the entail is made, become in time too fcanty, by a fall in the value of money, and by increafe of luxury; which is peculiarly hard upon daughters of great families: the provifions deftined for them will not afford them bread; and they cannot hope to be fuitably match. ed, without a decent fortune. If we adhere to entails, nunneries ought to be provided.

But the domeftic evils of an entail make no figure, compared with thofe that refpect the public. Thefe in their full extent would fill a volume: they are well known; and it may be fuf. ficient to keep them in view by fome flight hints.

As obferved above, few tenants in tail can command money for improvements, however profitable. Such difcouragement to agriculture, hurtful to proprietors of entailed eftates, is ftill more fo to the public. It is now an eftablifhed maxim, That a ftate is powerful in proportion to the product of its land: a nation that feeds its neighbours, can farve them. The quantity of land that is locked up in Scotland by entails, has damped the growing fipirit of agriculture. There is not produced fufficiency of corn at home for our own confumpt: and our condition will become worfe: and worfe by new entails, till agriculture and in. duitry be annihilated. Were the great entailed eftates in Scotland, fplit into fmall properties of fifty or a hundred pounds yearly rent, we thould foon be enabled, not only to fupply our own markets, but to fpare for our neighbours.

In the next place, our entails are no lefs fubverfive of commerce than of agriculture. There
in a fituatirats of begtic evil, for The fums ildren, howde, become value of moich is pecuamilies: the afford them itably matchve adhere to led.
ail make no ject the pubald fill a vomay be fufse flight hints. tail can comwever profitaalture, hurtful ftill more fo maxim, That 0 the product neighbours, land that is is damped the e is not profor our own become worfe: zulture and in. great entailed properties of nt, we thould own markets, e no lefs fubilture. There
are numberlefs land-eftates in Scotland of one, two, or threc hundred pounds yearly rent. Such an eftate cannot afford bare necelfaries to the proprietor, if he pretend to live like a gentleman. But he has an excellent refource: let him apply to any branch of trade, his eftate will afford him credit for what money he wants. The profit he makes, pays the intereft of the money borrowed, with a furplus; and this furplus added to the rent of his eftate, enables him to live comfortably. A number of land-proprietors in fuch circumftances, would advance commerce to a great height. But alas! there are not many who have that refource: fuch is the itch in Scotland for entailing, as even to defcend lower than one hundred pounds yearly. Can one behold with patience, the countenance that is given to felfifh wrong-headed people, acting in direct oppofition to the profperity of their country? Commerce is no lefs hurt in another refpect: when our land is withdrawn from commerce by entails, every profperous trader will defert a country where he can find no land to purchafe; for to raife a family by acquiring an eftate in land, is the ultimate aim of cvery inerchant, and of every man who accumulates money.

Thirdly, An entail is a bitter enemy to population. Population depends greatly on the number of land-proprietors. A very fmall portion of land, managed with kill and induftry, affords bread to a numerous family; and the great aim of the frugal proprietor, is to provide a fund for educating his children, and for eftablifhing them in bufinefs. A numerous iffue, at the fame time, is commonly the lot of the tenperate and frugal; becaufe luxury and voluptuoufnefs enervate the body, and dry up the fources of procreation. This is no chimera or fond imagination: traverfe Europe; compare great capitals with diftant provinces; and it will be foond to hold univerfally, that Vos. II. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}$ children
children abound much more among the induftrious poor, than among the luxurious rich. But if divifion of land into fmall properties, tend to population; depopulation muft be the neceffary confequence of an entaii, the avowed intent of which is to unite many fmall properties in one great eftate ; and confequently to reduce land-proprietors to a fmall number.

Let us, in the fourth place, take under confideration, the children of landholders with refpect to education and induftry; for unlefs men be ufefully employed, population is of no real advantage to a ftate. In that refpect, great and fmall eftates admit no comparifon. Children of great families, accuftomed to affluence and luxury, are too proud for bufinefs; and were they even willing, are incapable to drudge at a laborious employment. At the fame time, the father's hands being tied up by his entail from affording them fuitable provifions, they become a burden on the family, and on the ftate, and can do no fervice to either but by dying. Yet there are men fo blind, or fo callous, as to be fond of entails. Let us try whether a more pleafing fcene will have any effect upon them. Children of fmall landholders, are from infancy educated in a frugal manner; and they muft be induftrious, as they depend on induftry for bread. Among that clafs of men, education has its moft powerful influence; and upon that clafs a nation chiefly relics, for its fkilful artifts and manufacturers, for its lawyers, phyficians, divines, and even for its generals and itatefmen.

And this leads to confider, in the fifth place, the influence that great and fmall eftates have on manners. Gentlemen of a moderate fortune, connected with their fuperiors and inferiors, improve fociety, by fpreading kindly affection through the whole members of the ftate. In fuch only refides the ich. But , tend to neceffary intent of ies in one e land-prorith refpect is mer be no real adgreat and Children of and luxuwere they at a laborithe father's m affording re a burden 1 can do no et there are be fond of leafing fcene ldren of fmall ed in a fruduftrious, as Among that powerful inin chiefly refacturers, for even for its
fifth place, tates have on fortune, coniors, improve through the h only refides the
the genuine fpirit of liberty, abhorrent equally of fervility to fuperiors and of tyranny to inferiors.The nature of the Britifh government, creates a mutual dependence of the great and fmall on each other. The great have favours to beftow: the fmall have many more, by their privilege of electing parliament-men; which obliges men of high rank to affect popularity, however little feeling they may have for the good of their fellow-creatures. This connection produces good manners at leaft, between different ranks, and perhaps fome degree of cordiality. Accumulation of land into great eftates, produces oppofite manners: when all the land in Scotland is fwallowed up by a number of grandees, and few gentlemen of the middle rank are left; even the appearance of popularity will vanifh, leaving pride and infolence on the one hand, and abject fervility on the other. In a word, the diftribution of land into many fhares, accords charmingly with the free fpirit of the Britifh conftitution ; but nothing is more repugnant to that fpirit, than overgrown eftates in land.

In the fixth place, Arts and fciences can never flourifh in a country, where all the land is engrofled by a few. Science will never be cultivated by the difpirited tenant, who can fcarce procure bread; and ftill lefs if poffible, by the infolent landlord, who is too felf-fufficient for inftruction. There will be no encouragement for arts: great and opulent proprietors, foftering ambitious views, will cling to the feat of government, which is far removed from Scotland; and if vanity make them fometimes difplay their grandeur at their come. try-feats, they will be too delicate for any atticles of luxury but what are foreign. The arts and fciences being thus banifhed, Scotland will be deferted by every man of firit who can find bread elfewhere.

In the feventh place, Such overgrown eftates produce an irregular and dangerous influence with refpect to the Houfe of Commons. The parlia-ment-boroughs will be fubdued by weight of money; and with refpect to county-elections, it is a chance if there be !uft in a county as many qualified landholders as it afford a free choice. In fuch circumftances, will our conftitution be in no danger from the ambitious views of men elevated above others by their valt poffeffions? Is it unlikely, that fuch men, taking advantage of public difcord, will become an united body of ambitious oppreffors, overawing their fovereign as well as their fellow-fubjects? Such was the miferable condition of Britain, while the feudal oligarehy fubfifted: fuch at prefent is the miferable condition of Poland : and fuch will be the miferable condition of Scotland, if the legiflature do not fretch out a faving hand.

If the public intereft only were to be regarded, entails ought to be deftroyed root and branch. But a numberlefs body of fubftitutes are interefted, many of whom would be difinherited, if the tenants in tail had power. To reconcile as much as poffible thefe oppofite interefts, it is propofed, that the following articles be authorifed by a ftatutc. Firft, That the act of parliament 1685 be repealed with refpect to all future operations. Second, That entails already made and completed, thall continue effestual to fuch fubftitutes as exift at the date of the act propofed; but fhall not benefit any fubititute born after it. Third, That power be referved to every proprietor, after the act 168 ; is at an end, to fettle his eftate upon what heirs he thinks proper, and to bar thefe heirs from altering the order of fucceflion; thefe powers being inherent in property at common law.

At the fame time, the prohibiting entails will avail little, if truft-deeds be permitted in their utmoft extent, as in England. And therefore, in order to re-eftablifh the law of nature with refpect to land-property, a limitation of truft-deeds is neceffary. My propofal is, That no truft-deed, directing or limiting the fucceffion of heirs to a land-eftate, fhall be effectual beyond the life of the heirs in exiftence at the time.

## $\begin{array}{lllllll}\mathrm{S} & \mathrm{K} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{T} & \mathrm{C} & \mathrm{H} & \mathrm{II} .\end{array}$

## Government of Royal Boroughs in Scotland.

BY a royal borough is in Scotland underftood, an incorporation that hold their lands of the crown, and are governed by magiftrates of their own naming. The adminiftration of the annual revenues of a royal borough, termed the common good, is trufted to the magiftrates ; but not without control. It was originally fubjected to the review of the Great Chamberlain ; and accordingly the chap. 39. § 45 . of the Iter Camerarii, contains the following articles, recommended to the Chamberlain to be enquired into. "Giff there be an "good affedation and uptaking of the common " good of the burgh, and giff faithful compt "be made thereof to the community of the "Burgh; and giff no compt is made, he whom " 6 and in quhaes hands it is come, and how it "paffes by the community." In purfuance of thefe inftructions, the Chamberlain's precept for holding the ayr, or circuit, is directed to the provoft and bailies, enjoining them, " to call all " thofe who have received any of the town's reve's nues,
" nues, or ufed any office within the burgh, fince "s the laft chamberlain-ayr, to anfwer fuch things " as fhall be laid to their charge." Iter Camer. cap. 1. And in the third chapter, which contains the forms of the chamberlain-ayr, the firft thing to be done after fencing the court, is, to call the baitits and ferjeants to be challenged and accufed from the time of the laft ayr.

This office, dangerons by excefs of power, being fuppreffed, the royal borcughs were left in a ftate of anarchy. There being now no check or control, the magiftracy was coveted by noblemen and gentlemen in the neighbourhood; who, under the name of office-bearers, laid their hands on the revenues of the borough, and converted all to their own prolit. This corruption was heavily complained or in the reign of James V.; and a remedy was provided by act 26. parl. 1535, enacting, ift, That none be qualified to be provoit, bailie, or alderman, but an indwelling burgefs. 2dly, "That no inhabitant purchafe lordfhip out " of burgh, to the terror of his comburgeffes. "And, $3^{\text {dly, That all provofts, bailies, and al- }}$ " dermen of boroughs, bring yearly to the che"' quer at a day certain, the compt-books of their ©c common-good, to be feen and confidered by the " Lords Auditors, giff the fame be fpended for " the common well of the burgh, or not, under "s the penalty of lofing their freedom. And that the " faid provolts, bailies, and aldermen, warn year" ly, fifteen days before their coming to the che"quer, all thofe who are willing to come for ex"s amining the faid accounts, that they may im"pugn the fame, in order that all murmur may "ceale, in that behalf." And to enforce thefe regulations, a brieve was iffued from the chancery, commanding the magiftrates to prefent their accounts to the exchequer, and fummoning the burgeffes to appear and object to the fame.

Sk. II.
A defect in this flatute made it lefs effectual than it was intended to be. Magiftrates, to avoid the penalty, brought the count-books of their common-good to the exclequer; but they brought no rental of the common-good to found a charge againtt them. This defect was remedied by act 28. parl. 1693, containing the following preamble. "That the royal boroughs, by the male" adminiftration of their magiltrates, have fa'ien " under great burdens, to the diminution of their "dignity, and the difabling of them to ferve the "c crown and government as they ought ; and that " the care, overight, and control of the common "" good of boroughs, belong to their Majefties by " virtue of their prerogative-royal ; therefore, for " preventing the like abufes and mifapplications " in all time thereafter, their Majefties itatute and " ordain, That every burgh-royal fhall, betwixt "" and the firft of November next, bring to the " Lords of Treafury and Exchequer, an exact " account of charge and difcharge, fubfcribed by " the magiftrates and towa-clerk, of their whole "public-good and revenues, and of the whole "debts and incumbrances that affect the fame." This completed the remedy, by putting means into the hands of the Barons of Exchequer, to control the accounts enjoined by the former fatute to be yearly given in.
The foregoing regulations are kept in obfervanco Every year a precept iflues from the exchequer, figned by one of the Barons, addrefled to the director of the chancery, requiring hind to make out a brieve for every royal borough. The brieve is accordingly made out, returned to the exchequer, and fent to the feveral fheriffs, to be leived in all the royal boroughs within their bounds, as directed by the ftatute. Thele brieves are ace cordingly fo ferved by the fleriffs; and particular-
ly it is a conftant form in mof of the royal boroughs, to iffue a proclamation, fifteen days before the day named for appearance in exchequer, warning the inhabitants to repair there in order to object to the public accounts of the town: and further, in order to give them opportunity to frame objections, the book and counts are laid open for thefe fifteen days, to be infpected by all the inhabitants,

We learn from the records of exchequer, that from the year 1660 to the year 1683 , accqunts were regularly glven in 10 erchequig, in obedience to the ftatute. The town of Ldinburgh only having failed for fome time, Captain Thomas Hamilton merchant there by an action in ex. chequer, compelled the magiftrates to produce upon oath their treafurer's accounts, which were alccordingly audited. And we alfo learn, that from the Reftoration down to the Union, a clerk to the borough-roll was appointed by the crown, whinfe proper bufinefs it was to examme and audite the accounts of the boroughs.

Notwithftanding the foregoing falutary regulh. tions, and the form conftantly practifed to make them effectual, the boroughs of late years have forborn to prefent their accounts in exchequer; hoping that they would be overlooked by the Englifh court of exchequer, eflablifhed in Scotland after the Union ; which accordingly happened. This neglect in the court of exchequer is greatly to be regretted, becaufe it reduces the royal boroughs, by the mal-adminiftration of their magiftrates, to the fame miferable condition that is fo loudly complained of in the ftatutes above mentioned. It is undoubtedly in the power of the Barons to reftore good government to the boroughs, by compelling the magiftrates to account yearly in the court of exchequer, according to the foregoing regulations:
c royal boen days beexchequer, in order to town: and lity to frame aid open for all the inlia-
liequer, that 83, acequnts ii obediEdinburgh Captain Thoaction in ex. to produce which were in, that from clerk to the rown, whofe ad audite the
utary reguln. fed to make te years have exchequer; by the Englifh tland after the This neglect be regretted, ughs, by the pates, to the loudly comntioned. It is rons to reftore by compelyearly in the the foregoing regulations:
regulations : no more is neceffary, but to fignify publicly that they are refolved to put thefe regulations in execution.

How beneficial that fep would be to this country in general, and to the royal boroughs in particular, will appear from confidering, firft, the unhappy confequences that refult from fuffering magiftrates to difpole of the town's revenues, without any check or control ; and next, the good effects that imult refult from a regular and careful management, under infpection of the King's judges.

The unhappy confequences of leaving magiftrates without any clieck of control, are too vifible to be difgnifed. The reventues of a royal borough are feldoin lald out for the good of the town, but in making friends to the party who are in pofferfion of the magiftracy; and in rioting and drunkennefs, for which every pretext is laid hold of, particularly that of hofpitality to ftrangers. Such mifmanagement tends to idlenefs and corruption of manners; which accordingly are remarkable in moft royal boroughs. Nor is the contagion confined within the town : it commonly fpreads all around.

Another confequence no lefs fatal of leaving magiftrates to act without control, is a ftrong defire in every licentious burgefs, of ftepping into the magiftracy, for his own fake, and for that of his friends. Hence the factions and animofities that prevail in almoft all the royal boroughs; which are violently and indecently purfued, without the leaft regard to the good of the community.

The greateft evil of all, refpects the choice of their reprefentatives in parliament. A habit of riot and intemperance, makes them fit fubjects to be corrupted by every adventurer who is willing to lay out money for purchafing a feat in parliament. Hence the infamous practice of bribery at elections, which tends not only to corrupt the whole mafs of the people, but which is ftill more dread.
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ful,
ful, tends to fill the IIoufe of Commons with men of diffolute manners, void of probity and honour.

But turning from frenes fo difmal, let us view the beautiful effects that refult from an adininiItration regularly carried on, as directed by the ftatutes above mentioned. The revenues of the royal boroughs are fuppofed to be above L. 40,000 yearly. And were this fum, or the half of it, prudently expended, for promoting arts and induftry among the numerous inhabitants of royal boroughs; the bencfit, in a country fo narrow and poor as Scotland, would be immenfe : it would tend to population, it would greatly increafe increafe induftry, manufactures, and commerce, befide augmenting the public revenue. In the next place, as there would be no temptation for defigning men to convert the burden of magiftracy into a benefit, faction and difcord would vanifl ; and there would be no lefs folicitude to fhun the burden, that at prefent is feen to obtain it. None would fubmit to the burden but the truly patriotic, men who would chearfully beftow their time, and perhaps their money upon the public; and whofe ambition it would be to acquire a character, by promoting induftry, temperance and honefty, anong their fellow-citizens.

And when the government of the royal boroughs comes to be in fo good hands, bribery, which corrupts the very vitals of our conftitution, will be banifhed of courfe. And confidering the proper and conftitutional dependence of the royal boroughs upon the king's judges, we may have reafonable affurance, that few reprefentatives will be chofen, but who are friends to heir country and to their fovereign.
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Plan for improving and preforving in order the High. ways in Scotland.
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$\boldsymbol{H}_{\text {IG }} \dot{H} W$ a $r S$ bave in Scotland become a capital object of police, by the increafe of inland commerce, upon which bad roids are a beavy tax. Happily for our country, no perfon is ignorant of this truth; and we fee with pleajure the fruits of their conviction in various attempts, public and private, to eftablifs this valuable branch of police upon the beft footing. As this is no eafy tafk, it may rafonably be boped, that men interefted suill ferioufly apply themfelves to it, and will frecly produce jucb bints as occur to them. In the latter view the following plan is offered to the public: and if, from the various propofals that bave been or Jbail be publifbed, an effective plan can be framed, fuib as completely to anfwer its purpofe, it may fafely be pronounced, that it will produce more benefit to this country, than bas been produced by any other fingle improvemeni fince the union of the two kingdoms.

1. THE juftices of peace, commiffioners of fupply, the fheriff or ftewart depute, and the firlt magiftrate of royal boroughs, fhall be commiffioners for making and repairing highways, bridges, and ferries, in the feveral fhires and fewarties. All the powers given by law to the juftices of peace and commiffioners of fupply with refpect to highways bridges and ferries, thall be transferred to them; and any two fhall be a quorum, except where a greater number is required by this act.
2. The fheriff or flewart depute flall appoint the firft day of meeting of the faid commilfioners, as foon as may conveniently be after the date of the act, by an intimation at each parifh-church upon a Sunday at the clofe of the forenoon-fervice. And the laft Tuefday of March thall yearly thereafter be a day of meeting at the head borough of the fire or ftewartry, in place of the firft or third Tuefday of May appointed by former acts. The commiflioners fhall appoint a preles, convener, and clerk : and they fhall be impowered to adjourn them. felves from time to time.
3. The commiffioners, at their firf meeting, thall divide the fhire or ftewartry into two or more diftricts, as they fee convenient. And if they cannot overtake this work at that meeting, they fhall appoint proper perfons to form a plan of the intended divifions, which plan fhall be reported to the commiffioners at their next meeting, in order to be approved or altered by them. This being fettled, the commiffioners fhall appoint the heritors in thefe feveral diftricts, or any three of them, to meet on a certain day and place, to make
lifts of the whole public roads within their refpective diftricts, and to fettle the order of reparation, beginning with thofe that are the mort frequented. The proceedings of thefe diftrict-meetings muft be reported to the commifioners, at their next meeting; who are empowered to fettle the order of reparation, in calfe of variance among the heritors ; and alfo to add any road that may have been omitted. And they fhall record a fcheme or plan of the whole roads in the fhire, thus enlifted, with their refolutions thereupon, to be feen in the clerk's hands gratis. But upon any juft caufe appearing in the courle of adminiftration, the commifioners fhall be empowered to allter or vary this plan, provided it be a meeting previoully appointed for that purpofe, and where three fifths at leaft of the commifioners are prefent.
4. If the fheriff or ftewart neglect to appoint the firft meeting of the commifioners, he fhall incur a penalty of L.. 100, upon a fummary complaint to the court of feffion by any one heritor of the fhire, with cofts of fuit ; the one half of the penalty to the plaintiff, and the other half to be applied by the commiffioners for the purpofes of this act. If the commiflioners fail to meet at the day appointed by the theriff or ftewart, or fail to divide the fhire or ftewartry into diftricts, within fix months of their firlt meeting, the fheriff or flewart depute, under the forefaid penalty, fhall be bound to do that work himfelf; and alio to appoint the heritors in the feveral diftricts, or any three of them, to make lifts of the public roads as above-mentioned, and to report their refolutions to him ; and he is empowered to fettle the order of reparation, in cafe of variance among: the heritors. If the heritors fail to meet, and to make a lift of the roads as aforefaid, this work fhall be performed by the fleriff, or flewart de-
pute himfelf. And he fliall be indemnified of whatever expences he is at in profecuting the faid work, out of the fums that are to be levied by authority of this act, in manner after mentioned, with an additional fum for his own trouble, to be named by the circuit-judges.
5. No perfon fhall act as a commiffioner upon this ftatute, but who has an eftate within the coumty of L. 200 Scots valuation, or is heir-prefumptive to fuch an eftate, or is named a commiffioner virtute officii, under the penalty of L. 20 Sterling totics quotics, to be profecuted before any competent court, by a popular action, with cofts of fuit ; the one half to * plaintiff, the other half to the purpofes of $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{L}}$ is det.
6. Whereas the fum of 10 d . directed by the at 1669 to be impofed upon each L. 100 of valued rent, is infufficient for the purpofes therein expreffed ; and whereas the fix days fatute-work for repairing the highways is in many refpects inconvenient; therefore inftead of the 10 d . and inftead of the ftatue-work, the commiffioners, together with the heritors poffeffed of L. 200 Scots of valued rent, five, whether commiffioners or heritors, making a quoium, fhall annually, upon the faid laft Tuefday of March, affels each heritor in a fum not excceding. upon each L. 100 valued rent; the affeffment impofed on the heritors to be levied by the collector of fupply, along with the cefs, and by the fame legal remedies. The heritors are entitled to relieve themfelves of the one half of the faid affeffment, by laying the fame upon their tenants, in proportion to the rent they pay; an heritor being always confidered as a tenant of the land he has in his natural poffeflion.
7. With refpect to boroughs of royalty, regality, and barony, and large trading villages, the commiffioners
commiffioners are empowered to levy from each houfeholder, a fum not exceeding 2 s . yearly, more or lefs in proportion to the affeffment of the fliire, to be paid within forty days after notice given, under the penalty of double, befides expence of procefs. Provided, that any of thefe houfehotders who have country-farms, by which they contribute to relieve their landlords as above mentioned, flall be exempted from this part of the affeffiment.
8. If the commiffioners and heritors neglect to affers their flire, or name fo fmall a fum as to be an elufory affeffment, infufficient to anfwer the purpofes of this act, the court of jufticiary, or the circuit-judges, are in that cafe empowered and required to lay on the higheft affeffiment that is made lawful by this act. In cafe of a total omiffion, the commiffioners and heritors who, by neglecting to convene without a good caufe of abfence, have occafioned the faid omiffion, fhall be fubjected each of them to a penalty of L. 20 Sterling. And to make thefe penalties effectual, the truftees for fifheries and manufactures are appointed to fue for the fame before the court of feffion, and to apply the fame, when recovered, to any uffu! purpofe within the flire, efpecially to the purpofes of this act. And to preferve the faid fines entire for the public fervice, the truftees flatil be entitled to cofts of fuit.
9. The fums levied as aforefaid lhall be laid out annually upon the highways, bridges, and ferries, for making, repairing, or improving the fame ; proceeding regularly with the reparation according to the fcheme or plan orde:ed as above to be fettled in each flire and flewartry.
1o. With refpect to roads that are not the firf in order, and for which there is no interim provifion by this att daring reparation of the more frequented roads, the commiffioners are empowered to cxact from cottars and day-labourers their ftatute-
ftatute-work according to the acts prefently in force, to be applied to thefe fecondary roads. The fta-tute-work is not to be demanded unlefs for this purpofe; and is to ceafe totally after the high. ways have, by means of the prefent act, been once totally repaired.
in. The commiffioners and heritors, at all their meetings, fhall bear their own charges.
10. The claufe in the act 166 r , empowering heritors, at the fight of the fheriff; to caft about highways for their convenience, fhall be repealed; and it fhall be declared unlawful, in time com. ing, to turn about or change any highway, unlefs for the benefit of the public, as by fhortening it, carrying it through firmer ground, or making it more level; and to the purpofe the commiffioners fhall be empowered to turn about highways, as alfo to widen the fame, not exceeding thirty feet of ditches. But the commiffioners thall have no power to carry a road through any houfe, garden, orchard or pleafureground.
11. The commiffioners fhall have power to take from the adjacent lands, ftones, fand gravel, or other materials for making the highways, paying always for the damage done.
12. With refpect to highways that bound the properties of neighbouring heritors, which it may be found necellary to alter or widen, the commiffioners fhall be empowered to adjudge to one heritor any fmall bits of ground cut off from the other by the road fo altered; and if fand cannot be given for land, to make a compenfation in money, valuing the land at the current price of the market.
13. In order to prevent water ftagnating on the highways, the commifficners fhall be impowered to make ditches or drains through neighbouring grounds ;
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grounds; and fuch ditches or drains fhall be preferved entire by the proprietors of the land, or at their charges.
14. As the forefaid affeffment, after repairing the highways, may not be fufficient for building bridges or making ferries, where rivers are large; any five of the commiffioners may, for building bridges or making ferries, eftablifh a pontage or toll; fo much for horfes, fo much for fheep, and the double for each beaft in a wheel-carriage. Upon the credit of the toll, the faid commiffioners may borrow money, to be employed wholly upon the bridge or ferry where the toll is gathered.

But before borrowing, an eftimate muft be made of the expence of the work. After the work is finifhed, the fum beftowed on it muft be afcertained : an accurate account mult be kept of the gradual payment of this fum by the toll; and when it is completely paid, the commiffioners muft declare the bridge or ferry to be free.
17. The determinations of the commiffioners fhall be final, unlefs complained of in manner following.
18. If any heritor apprehend that undue preference is given to a certain highway, or conceive himfelf aggrieved by any order or fentence of the commiffioners, it fhall be lawful for tim, within forty days of the act complained of, to enter a complaint in the court of feffion; and the judgement upon fuch complaint flall be final. But fuch complaint thall only be cffectual for damages, and fhall not ftay execution of the work. At the fame time, no complaint fhall be admitted till fecurity be given to pay full cofts in cale the plaintiff be found in the wrong.
19. Former laws concerning highways, bridges, or ferries, to continue in force, unlefs as far as altered by this act.
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20. An annual ftate of what is done by virtue of this act, made up by the commiffioners, or their clerk, fhall, before the laft Tuefday of March, be laid before the truftees for fifheries and manufactures. in order to be made a part of their annual report to the King; and thefe truftees fhall direct proper perfons to infpect what work is done upon the high-rcads, and in what manner. Upon any mifapplication or embezzlement of the money levied, any neglect in levying, or any wong done to the public contrary to the intention of this act, the truftees are required to fet on foot and profecute what redrefs is competent in law or equity, provided the profecution be commenced within a year after the offence.

Query, Ought not hroad wheels to be required?

# CONSIDERATIONS 

THAT

## SUPPORTtherReCEDINGPLAN.

THE laws in Scotland relating to this branch of public police, are numerous; fome enacted while Scotland was a feparate kingdom, fome after its union with England. It is not the purpofe of this effay to enter into a detail of the various regulations eftablifhed by thefe laws: they are generally known; and in the late abridgement of our ftatute-law, they are all recapitulated with brevity and preciion. It fhall fuffice curforily to obferve, that the acts made during the reign of Charles !I. form the groundwork of our regulations concerning highways: the later acts are little more than explanatory of the former.

It feems to have been the plan of the legiflature, that highways fhould be repaired by thofe who are employed in hutbandry; and accordingly, the fix days annual labour is, in the ftatutes of Charles II. impofed apon them only.

This was a meafure not ill fuited to the fate of Scotland at that period. During the laft century, we had little inland commerce to require good roads, except that of corn carried to market; and for that reafon, it was natural to imNn? pofe
pofe upon hufbandmen the burden of repairing highways. Thefe perfons, at the fame time palling the whole fummer in idlenefs, unlefs when called to perform perfonal fervices to capricious and unfeeling landlords, could not think it a hardfhip to have fome part of their time cmployed in ferving themfelves inftead of their landlords.

That annual labour upon high-ways, limited to a few days, fhould be required from men in that condition, appears not unjuft. And why may we not fuppofe the legillature at that time capable of fuch enlarged views, as to prefer this method for repairing highways, in order to bring on gradually a habit of labour and induftry? But the condition of Scotland at prefent differs widely from what it was in the reign of Charles II.; and the regulations for repairing highways which were then proper, have, by alteration of circumftances, become both unjuft and inexpedient.

Unjuit they have become in a high degree. Inland commerce, which begins to flourifh in ricotland, is greatly promoted by good roads; and every dealer, and indeed every traveller, profits by them. But no men are lefs interefted in good roads than day-labourers, or thofc who are commonly called cottars; and yet thefe chiefly are burdened with the reparation. Such men, at the fame time having commonly many children, fiud it difficult to fupport their families, even with their utmolt mduftry. Nothing can be more unjuft than to impofe upon fuch men an annual tax of fix days labour for reparing roads, the goodnefs of which contributes little or nothing to their convenience.

Our prefent laws are inexpedient, as well as unjult. In the firft place, a tax of this nature
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difcourages the propagation of children, in which the frength of a itate confifts : the poor labourer ought to be encouraged with a reward, inftead of being difcouraged with a tax. In the next place, cottars called out to perform the fta-tute-work, obey with reluctance, and trifle away time without doing any thing effectual. To enforce the law, and to compel fuch men to labour, is grievous to the gentlemen who are empowered to execute the law : they cannot punifh with rigour or firmnefs men who have fo good reafon to decline the fervice : they are foon difgufted with being tafkmafters, and the generality defift altogether.

Laws concerning private property are always kept in obfervance; and they execute themfelves, as is commonly expreffed, becaufe there are always a multitude of individuals ftrongly interefted to have them executed. But in making public laws, the great difficulty has ever been, to lay down effectual meafures for putting them in execution : by what means to make fuch laws execute themfelves, is one of the moft intricate problems in politics. Our laws concerning highways, are eminently defective in that refpect: and accordingly, though moft of them have exifted near a century, they never have at any period been executed to any extent. Take the following fpecimen, among many that may be urged, of this defect. Overfeers are forced into the fervice under a penalty, in order to compel the peafants to peiform faithfully their fixdays labour. To hope any good from a reluctant overfeer fet over a fet of reluctant labourers, is a fond conceit: it is much if his refentment tempt him not to encourage their idlenefs. In vain would we expect, that any overfeer, without a fuitable reward, will exert himfelf in promoting the work.

To remedy the hardhip of laying the burden of reparation upon thofe who are leaft able and leaft benefited, and at the fame time to make this remedy effectual, is the purpofe of the foregoing plan. And upon confidering the matter in its different views, the only method that promifes fuccefs, appears to be a county-tax laid upon land according to the valuation, and a ca-pitation-tax on the inhabitants of boroughs.Thefe taxes relieve the labouring poor, and lay the burden where it ought to be laid: and the law will execute itfelf, if that effect can be hoped from any public law : effectual meafures are laid down for levying the tax ; and, if once levied, there is no danger of its being a lowed to lie unemployed in the hands of the collector, for every heritor will be anxious to have fome part employed for his benefit. The danger will rataer be of factious difputes about the diftribution. This danger alfo is attempted to be prevented; and, it is hoped with fuccefs.

Some narrow-minded perfons may poffibly grudge a tax, that loads the prefent generation for the advantage of thofe who come after : but is it rational to grudge, that others fhould benefit by meafures evidently calculated for advancing our own intereft? Let us fuppole, that the heritors of a fhire were to concert meafures in common, for improving their lands: to make good roads would be one effectual meafure; for fuppofing the reparation to coft L. 5000 , their eftates would be bettered double that fum.

To conclude: It is not to be expected that any regulations concerning highways, or concerning any branch of police, can be fo framed as to pleafe every individual. Wife men are practicable men, to ufe an expreffion of Lord Bacon, and will make conceflions in order to promote
the burdent aft able and ne to make of the forehe matter in d that pro-inty-tax laid , and a ca-proughs.oor, and lay id : and the :an be hoped fures are laid once levied, to lie unemor every heriemployed for oe of factious danger alfo is is hoped with
offibly grudge ration for the $r$ : but is it ald benefit by dvancing our he heritors of common, for pd roads would ing the reparauld be better-
bected that any or concerning framed as to en are practiof Lord Baorder to promote
mote a general good, if without fuch conceffions it cannot be obtained. Better far to have a good law, though in our opinion defective in fone articles, than to have no law at all; or, which is worfe, a law eminently defective, unjuft, and in. expedient.

F I N I S.



[^0]:    * Before landers, divi nued the fat killy of Scotl depredations tad fuccefs fuccers in th hive been !! troduce indu reprifals, and but have at train them f :han to others

[^1]:    * Before the time that all Scotland was brought under one king, the high. landers, divided into tribes or clans, made war upon each other ; and continued the farize practice irregularly many ages after they fubmitted to the king of Scotland, Open war was repreffed, but it went on privately by depredations and reprifals. The clan-fpirit was much depreffed by theirtad fuccefs in the rebellion 1715; and totally cruthed by the like bad fuccefs in the rebellion 1745 . The millaefs with which the highlanders have been treated of late, and the pains that have been taken to inroduce induftry among the $r$, have totally extirpated depredations arid reprifils, and have rendered fhem the moft peaceable people in Scotland; hus have at the fane time reduced their military fpirit to a low ebb. To train them for war, military difcipline has now become no defs neceffary :han to others.

[^2]:    * This was the firf tax impofed in France without confent of the three eftates : and, however unconftitutional, it occafioned not the fighteft murmur, becaufe its vifible good tendency reconciled all the world to it. Charles, befide, was a favourite of his people; and juftly, as he mewed by every act his affection for them. Had our firt Charles been fuch a favourite, who knows whether the taxes he impofed without confent of parlianient, would have met with any oppofition? Such taxes would have become cuftomary, as in France; and a limited monarchy would, as in Francc, have become abfolute. Governments, lilie men, are liable to many revolurions: we remain, it is true, a free people $\mathbf{i}^{\prime}$ but for that bleffing we are perhaps more indebted to fortune, that to parriotic vigilance.

[^3]:    * Numqu fub divo et ir nefcia ; deli omnem labo onus ferre, ditam, Rom voluptatibus, crcitio colle agricola gene
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[^4]:    * Numquam credo potulfe dubitari, aptiorem armis rufticam plebem, que fub divo et in labore nutritur; folis patiens; umbrre negligens; balnearum nefcia ; deliciarum ignara; fimplicis animi; parvo contenta; duratis ad omnem laborum tolerantiam membris: cui geftare ferrum, foffam ducere, onus ferre, confuetudo de rure eff. Nec inficiandum eft, pof urbem conditam, Romanos ex civitate profectos femper ad bellum: fed tunc nullis voluptatibus, nullis deliciis frangebantur. Sudorem curfu et campeftri exercitio collectum nando juventus abluebat in Tybere. Idem bellator, idem agricola genera tentum mutabat armorum. Vegetius, De.re militari l. 1. cap. 3.-[In Englijb thus: "I believe it was never doubted, that the country " labourers were, of all others, the beft foldiers. Inured to the open air, " and habitual toil, fubjected to the extremes of heat and cold, ignorant of " the ufe of the bath, or any of the luxuries of life, contented with bare ne"ceffaries, there was no feverity in any change they could make : their " limbs, accuftomed to the ufe of the fpade and plough, and habituated to
    " burden, were capable of the utmof extremity of toil. Indeed, in the " earlieft ages of the commonwealth, while the city was in her infancy, " the citizens marched out from the town to the field: but:at that time "they were not enfeebled by pleafures, nor by luxury: The military youth; "" returning from their exercife and martial ports, plunged into the $\mathrm{Ty}_{\mathrm{F}}$ " ber to wath off the fweat and duft of the field. The warrior and "the hufbandman were the fame, they changed only the nature of theit "arms."]

[^5]:    (a) Les reveries du Compte de Saxe.

    - "The method of inlifting men, by putting a trick upon them, is "fully as odious. They nip a piece of money into a man's pocket, " and then tell him he is a foldier. Inlinting by force is fill more " odious. It is a public calamity, from which the citizen has no means " of faving himfelf but by money; and it is confeqnently the worf of " all the refources of government. Would it not be more expedient to "enact a law, obliging every man, whatever be his rank, to ferve his
    "King and country for five years? This law could not be difapproved
    " of, becaufe it is condifent both with nature and juftice, that every " citizien Mould be employed in the defence of the ftate. Here would
    " be an inexhaúftible fund of good and able foldiers, who would not be " apt to defert, as every man would reckon it both his honour and his "duty to have ferved his time. But to effect this, it muft be a fixed " principle, That there thall be no exception of ranks. This point muft " be rigoroully attended to, and the law muft be enforced, by way of " prefference, firt among the nobility and the men of wealth. There
    "would not be a fingle man who would complain of it. A perfon
    " who had ferved his time, would treat with contempt another who fhould
    " mow: reluctance to comply with the law; and thus, by degrees, it
    "would become a talk of honour. The poor citizen would be comforted
    " addd infpired by the example of his rich neighbour ; and he again would
    " have noctring to complain of, when he faw that the nobleman was not
    " exempted from fervice."

[^6]:    * Several late mobs in the fouth of England, all of them on pretext of fcarcity, greatly alarmed the adminiftration. A fact was difcovered by, 2 private perfon (Six wecks tour tbrough the furth of England) which out minifters ought to have difcovered, that thefe mobs conftantly happened where wages were high: and provifions low ; confequently that they wese. occafioned, not by want, but by wantonnef.

[^7]:    - In Denmark, every land proprietor of a certain rent, is obliged to furnith a militia-man, whom he can withdraw at pleafure upon fubftituting another; an excellent method for taming the peafants, and for rendering them indultrious.
    - $\dagger$ Had the plan for difclarging foldiers after a fervice of five or feven giars been early adopted by the Emperors of Rome, the Pretorian bands would never have become mafters of the ftate. It was a grofs error to keep there troops always on foot without change of members; which gave them a confidence in one another, to unite in one folid body, and to be actuated as it were by one mind.

[^8]:    * Taking three months ple, the pay o the pay of 60,0

[^9]:    *. Taking this for granted, I bring only into computation the pay of the three months fpent in a military difcipline : and the calculation is very fimple, the pay of 20,000 for twelve months amounting to. a greater fum than the pay of $60,00 \mathrm{Q}$ for three months.

[^10]:    * A Roman triumph was finely contrived to excite heroifm; and a fort of triumph no lefs fplendid, was ufual ameng the Fatemite Califs of Egypt. After returning from a fuccefsful expedition, the Calif pitched his camp in a Spacious plain near his capital, where he was attended by all his grandees, in their fineft equipages. Three days were commonly fpent in all manner of rejoicings, feafting, mufic, fire-works, \&c. ${ }^{\circ}$ He marched into the city with shis great cavalcade, through roads covered with rich carpets, ftrewed with Howers, gums, and odorifetous plants, and lined on both lides with crouds of congratulating fubjects.

[^11]:    * The idl miffioners of they judged giving bread ons of land forded them better accon change of $p$ farms one a been made pered in the

[^12]:    (a) Brasiz: hiftcict der granis ciemine, vol zep. ${ }^{7} 52$

[^13]:    * The idlenefs of Britifh foldiers appears fromıa tranfaction of the commiffioners of the anrexed eftates in Scotland. After the late war with France, they judged that part of the King's rents could not be better applied, than in giving bread to the difbanded foldiers. Houfes were built for them, portions of land given them to cultivate at 2 very low rent, and maintenance afforded them till they could reap a crop. Thefe men could not wifh to be better accommodated: but fo accuftomed they had been to idlenefs and change of place, as to be incapable of any fort of work: they deferted their farms one after another, and commenced thieves and heggars. Such as had been made ferjeants muft be excepted : thefe were fenfible fellows, and profpered in their little farms.

[^14]:    *The follo padour) ; and cuous light. in diffipation gentleman hur to ruin himfel the miniftry h trigue. The $\mathbf{F}$ war, the fatig King has bee the fafety of daht, the enen

[^15]:    * The following portrait is fketched by a good hand, (Madame Pompadour) ; and if it have any refemblance, it fets our plan in a confpicuous light. The French nobleffe, fays that lady, fpending their lives in diffipation and idlenefs, know as little of politics as of economy. A gentleman hunts all his life in the country, or perhaps comes to Paris to ruin himfelf with an opera-girl. Thofe who are ambitious to be of the miniftry have fe!dom any merit, if it be not in caballing and intrigue. The French nobleffe have courage, but without any genius for war, the fatigue of a foldier's life being to them unfupportable. The King lias been reduced to the neceffity of employing two ftrangers for the fafety of his crown : had it not been for the Counts Saxe and Louendahi, the enemies of France might lave laid fiege to Paris.

[^16]:    * Phocion is praifed by ancient writers, for fruggling againft an abufe tha: had crept into his country of Attica, that of making war and politics different profefions. In imitation of Arffides and of Pericles, he fudied. both equally.

[^17]:    * In the parifh made fome years higheft rank, take poor-rates in that foon tire of drudgit formerly, and the The poor-rates, is ly. In the year 1773, they amount land-tax.

[^18]:    * In the parih of St. George Hanover-Square, a great reform was made fome years ago. Inhabitants of figure, not excepting men of the higheft rank, take it in turn to be shurch-wardens; which has reduced the poor-rates in that parifh to a triffe. But people, after acquiring a name, foon tire of drudging for others. The drüdgery will be left to low people as formerly, and the tax will again rife as high in that parih as in others. The poor-rates, in Dr. Davenant's time, were about L. 700,000 yearly. In the year 1764 , they amounted to L. $2,200,000$. In the year 1773, they amounted to L. $3,000,000$, equal to fix fhillings in the peund land-tax.

[^19]:    * A London alderman named Harper, who was cotemporary with James I. or his fon Charles, bequeathed ten or twelve acres of meadow ground in the parifh of Sr. Andrew's Holborn, London, for the benefit of the poor in the town of Bedford. This ground has been long covered with houfes, which yield L. 4000 to L. 5000 yearly. That fum is laid out upon charity-fchools, upon defriying the expence of apprenticefhips, and upon a tock to young perfons when they marry ; an encouragement that attracts to the town of Bedford great numbers of the lower claffes. So far well : but mark the confequence. That ençouragement relaxes the induftry of many, and adds greatly to the number of the poor. Hence it is, that in few places of England does the poor's rate amount fo high as in the town of Bedford. A'n extensive common in'the parifh of Charley, Suffex, is the chief caufe of an extravagant affeffiment for the poor, no lefs than nine millings in the pound of rack rent. Give a poor man accefs to a common fór feeding two or three cows, you make him idle by a dependence upon. what he does not labour for, The town of Largo in Fife has a fmall hofpital, erected many years ago by a gentleman of the name of Wood; and confined by him to the poor of his own name. That name being rare in the neighbourhood, accers to the hofpital is eafy. One man in particular is entertained there, whofe father, grandfather, and great-grandfather, enjoyed fucceffively the fame benefit; every one of whom probably would have been ufeful members of fociety; but for that temptation to idlenefs.

[^20]:    * One "except burgh infirmary from it. Wher tributed prohabl mite publicly.

[^21]:    * One exception I am fond to mention. The poor-tax of the Edinburgh infirmary was neglected two or three years, little being expected from it. When opencd, I. 74 and a fraction was found in it; contributed probably by the luwer fort, who were amamed to give their mite publicly.

[^22]:    - The Italians are not more remarkable for a charitable difpofition, than their neighbours. No fewer however than feventy thoufand mendicant friars live there upon voluntary charity $;$ and I have not heard that any one of them ever died of want.

[^23]:    (a) Elements of Criticism, ch. 2. part 7.

[^24]:    (a) Author of Angeloni's Letters.

[^25]:    " But fom
    $"$ and calling t
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[^26]:    * "But fometimes there is a neceffity for arming the tewn's-pcople, " and calling them out to fervice. When this is the cafe, it oughe to be "the firft care to enure them to labour, to march them up and down " the country, to make them earry heavy burdens, and to narden them a" gainft the weather. Their food mould be coarfe and fcanty, and they " fhould be habitnated to ficep alternately in their vents, and in the open "air. Then is the time to inftruct them in the exercife of their arms.
    "If the expedition is a diftant one, they fhould be chiefly employed in the
    " fations of pons or expreffes, and removed as much as poffible from the "dangerous allurements that abound in large cities; that thus they may be "invigorated both in mind and body."

[^27]:    * Is not the following inference from thefe premiffes well founded, that it woild te a ruinous meafure to add Bengal to the Britif dominions? In what manner would the territorial revenues and other taxes be remitted to london? If in hard coin, that country would in time be drained of moriey, its manufactures wotild be annihilated, and depopulation enfue. If remitted in commodities, the public would be cheated, and little be added to the revenue. A land-tax laid on as in Britain would be preterable in every reffect; for it would be paid by the Ealt Indiz company as proprictors of Bengal witl:out deduction of a farthing.

[^28]:    (a) Sce Dr. Price, f. ${ }^{2}$ EA.

[^29]:    * Our author, with fingular candor, admits it as a ftrong objection to lis theory, that there are no rain-deer in Afia. But it is doing no more but juitice to fo fair a reafoner, to obferve, that according to the lateft accounts, there are plenty of rain-deer in the country of Kamikatka, whish of all is the neareft to Ameriga.

[^30]:    * Late mrgument 1 iflands feat South Sea.

[^31]:    * The Danes had a fettlement in Greenland Iong before Columbus faw the Wert Indies. Would it not appear paradoxical to fay that America was difcovered by the Danes long before the time of Columbus, and long befere they knew that they had made the difcovery?
    (d) Picliminary Difcourfe.
    (d) M. Buffon.

[^32]:    *Late difcoveries have annilitated the Terra Aufralis incognita. The argument however remains in force, being equally applicable to many inands fcattered at a great diftance from the continent in the immenfe south Sea.

[^33]:    (a) Account of North America by Major Robert Regers.

[^34]:    * In all the Weß-Indian colonies, the faves continually decreafe fo as to make frequent recruits from Africa neceffary. "This decreafe," fays the author of a late account of Guiana, " is commonly attributed to "oppreffion and hard labour; though with little reafon, as the 』laves "are much more robuft, healthy and vigorous, than their mafters. The "true caufe is, the commerce of white men with young Negro wench. " es, who, to fupport that commerce, ufe every means to avoid con"teption, and even to procure abortion. By fuch practices they are " ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ incapacitated to bear children when they fettle in marriage with their " own countrymen. That is the true caufe, will be evident, from con" fidering, that in Virginia and Maryland, the fock of llaves is kept ©/ up without any importation; becaufe in thefe countries commerce with "Negro women is detefted as infamous and unnatural." The caufe here affigned' may have fome' effect: but there is a ftronger caufe of depopulation, viz. the culture of fugar, lahorious in the field, and unhealthy in the houle by boiling, \&c. The Negroes employed in the culture of cotton, coffee, and ginger, feldom need to be recruited. Add, that where tobacco and rice are cultivated, the fock of Negroes is kept up by procreation, without neceffity of recruits. Becaufe there, a certain portion of work is allotted to the negroes in every plantation; and when that is performed, they are at liberty to work for themfelves. The management in Jamaica is very different: no tafk is there affigned 3 ; and the poor flaves know no end of labour: they are followed all day long by the lower overfecrs with whips. And hence it is, that a plantation in Jamaica, which employs a hundred naves, requires an annual recruit of no fower than feven.

[^35]:    - Charlevoix fays, that an Indian of Canada will give all he is "worth for a glafs of brandy. And he paints thus the effect of drunkennefs upon them. "Even in the Areets of Montreal are feen the moft hock" ing fpectacles of ebriety ; hufbands, wives, fathers,' mothers, brothers, " and fifters, feizing one another by the throar, and tearing one ano"ther wi;h their teeth, like 'fo many enraged wolves."

[^36]:    * We cannot altogether rely on what is reported of this ancient empire with refpect to numbers. The city of Mexico, thougt conniderably enlarged fince. the $S_{\text {panih }}$ conqueft, doth not at prefent contain more than $6 \mathrm{c}, \infty 00$ fouls, incliating 20,000 Negroes and Mulattoes.

[^37]:    (a) L'Efprit des loix, liv, 17, ch, 2 ,

[^38]:    * It has been wifely obferved, that truth is the fame to the underfanding that mufic is to the ear, or beauty to the eye.

[^39]:    (a) Preliminary Difcourfe.

[^40]:    * I have gi by all writers doubting, wil nature, rather undoubtedly is are complex, ferves a mont i carried on wi falte for fimpl

[^41]:    * I have given this propofition a place, becaufe it is affumed as an axiom by all writers on natural philofophy. And yet there appears fome foom for douhting, whether our convilion of it do not proceed from a bias in our mature, rather than from an original fenfe. Our tafle ior fimplicity, whic! undoubtedly is natural, renders simple operations more agreeable than what are complex, and confequently makes them appear more natural. It doferves a moft ferious difcuffion, whether the oprations of nature be alwa;s carried on with the grenteft fimplicity, or whether we be not mified ty wr tafte for timplicity to be of thet epmion.

[^42]:    (a) Cicero, De natura Deorum, lib. 2.§ I2.

[^43]:    (4) Sir John Marfiam, p. 22 z.

[^44]:    - The arís "prohitititen " hapet poled "fut corporis "ex quatuor qualified to be nal falvation

[^45]:    - The original is curious: "Quaternarius entm numerus bene congruit "prohititespi conjugii corporalis; de quo dicit Apoftolus, Quod vir nón "habet poleftatern fui corporis, fed mplier; neque mulier habet poteftatems "'fui cotporis', fed vir' qula quatuor funt humores in corpore, quod contait "ex quathoriclemonntis." Were men who could be guilty of fuch nonfenfe, qualifed to be our leaders in the molt important or all concerns, that of tier. nal talvation?

[^46]:    (A) Iale, Ilats of the Crown, cap. 1. 413.

[^47]:    (a) Elements of Criticifm, vol. I. p. roo. edit. 5 .

[^48]:    (a) Preface, § 28.
    (b) 'Part I. book x. title 4.'§4.

[^49]:    - Pafcal, the celebrated author of Lettres Provinciales, in order to explain thy infinity and indivifibility of the Deity, has the following words. "I will "Mow you a thing both infinite and invifible. It is a point moving with " infinite celerity : that point is in all places at once, and entire in every " place." What an abfurdity, fays Voltaire, to afcribe motion to a mathematical point, that has no exifence but in the mind of the geometer! that it can be every where at the fame inftant, and that it can move with infinite eelerity ! as if infinite celerity could actually exif. Every word, adds he, is big with abfurdity; and yet he was a great man who uttered that Ruff.

[^50]:    (a) Genefis, chap. 27

    * Many more are killed by a fall from a horfe or by a fever, than by thunder. Y鞇 we ara much more afraid of the latter. It is the found that terrifies : though every man kncws that the danger is over when he hears the foundroii.

[^51]:    * Since the arraigned for to the indictm them, as if th

[^52]:    * Since the above was written, the parliament has enacted, That perfons arraigned for felony or piracy, who fand mute, or refufe to anfwer direetly to the indictment, ihall be held as confeffing, and judgement thall pafs againft them, as if they had beer convicted by verdiet or confefion.

[^53]:    * Fore'nowiedge of future events, differs widely from a convißtion, that the events are fixed and immutable : tho latter deaves us free to activity; the fromer annilialates all activity.

    1. "Cæfar gave me this,"
    (a) See Elements of Criticifm, vol. 1. p. 163.ed. 5 ,
[^54]:    (a) Prolegomena to his Hiftory of the Goths.

[^55]:    (a) Jewih Antiquities, book 3 ,

[^56]:    * The following precepts of the fame philofupher, tho now only fit for the Chid's Guide, were originally cherifhed, and preterved in memory, as emanations of fuperior wifdom. "Do not enter a temple for worthip, but " with a decent air. Render not life painful by undertaking too many at" fairs." Be always ready for what may himpen, Nover bind yourfelf by a " vow, nor by an oath. Irritate not a man who is angry." The fertn wife inen'of Greece made a figure in-their time ; but it would be unreatons. We to expect, that what they taught during the infancy of knowledge, fhould wake a rigure in its maturlty.

[^57]:    * However eafy it may be to draw an allegorical meaning out of that fable, I cannot admit any fuch meaning to have been intended. An allegory is a fable contrived to illuftrate fome ackno wledged truth, by making a deeper impreffion than the truth would make in plain words; of which we have feveral beautiful inftances in the Spectator (Elements of Criticifm, chap. 20. §6.) But the fable here was underftcod to be a matter of fact, Minerva be ing wormipped by the Creeks as preal goddefs, the daughter of Jupitar without a mother.

[^58]:    * Ariftotle it would appear, was lefs regarded by his cotemporarics than by the moderns. Some perfons having travelled from Macedon all the wiy to Perfia with complaints againft Antipater ; Alexander obferved, that they would not have made fo long a journey had they received no injury. And Caffander, fontof Antipater, replying, that their long journey was an argument againft them, trufting that witneffes would not be brought from fuch a diftance to give evidence of their calumny, Alexander, fmilins, faid, " Your argument is one of Ariftote's fophifms, which will ferve either fide " equally."

[^59]:    (1) Religion of Nature delineated, fet. 6 paragr. . .

[^60]:    (a) Natural Philofophy, p. 3 x .
    (b) Elements of Phyfics, p. 28.
    *"Motion is, the removing from one place to another, or a contin:? " changé of place."
    $\dagger$ "The action of a being in power, fo far as it is in power."

[^61]:    * To incline, to refolve, to intend, to will, are acts of the mind rela"ive to external action. 'Ilife feveral acts are well undertood; tho' they cannot be defined, being perfectly fimple.

[^62]:    (a) See Elemenis of Criticifm, vol. 1. p. 207. ed. 5.

[^63]:    * Every perception muft proceed from fome faculty or power of perception, termed fenfe." The moral fenfe, by which we perceive the qualities of right and wrong, nay be confidered either as a branch of the fenfe of feeing, by which we perceive the actions to which thefe quatities belong, or as a fenfe diftinct from all others. The fenfes by which objcets are perceived, are not feparated from each other by diftinet boundaries: the forting or claffing them feems to depend inore on tafte and fancy, than on- nature. I have followed the plan laid down by former writers; which is, to confider the moral feafe as a fenfe diftinet from others, bccaufe it is the eafich and cleareft manner of conceiving it.

[^64]:    (.) Elements of Criticifm, vol, 2. p. 490. edit, 5.

[^65]:    (a) Lord Shaftefbury.
    (b) Helvetius.

    * Whatever wiredrawn arguments may be urged for tife felfin fyitem, 25 if benevolence were but refined felfifinefs, the emptinefs of fuch arguments will : clearly appear when applied to children, who know no refinement. 'In them, the rudiments of the focial principle are nd lefs vifible than of the felfin principle. Nothing is more common, than mutual good-will and fondnerg between children : which muit t; the work of nature ; for to reflect upon what is one's intereft, is fet above the capacity of children.

[^66]:    *. This doatrine is obviouny founded on juftices and yet, in the Ro. man law, there are two paffages whiich deny any recompence in fuch cafes, " Item Labio feribit, ficum vi ventotum navis impulfa effet in "funes anchorarum alterius, et naure funes pracidiffent; fillo alio "modo, nifit precifis funibus, explicare se potuit, nullam actionem "dandam j" l. 29. §3. ad leg. Aquil. "Qinod dicitur damnum injuria da"trim Aquilia perfrqui, fic erit accipiendum, ut videatur damnum injuria " datum quod cum damno injuriam attulerit; nift magna vi cogente, " fuerit factum. Ut Celfus frribit circa eum, qui incendii arcendi gra" tia, "vicinas ades intercidit; ct five pervenit ignis, five antea extinc"tus eft," exiftimat legis Aquilim actionem ceffare." l. 49. § 1. eod,-[In Englifb thus: "In the opinion of Labco, if a lijp is driven by the vio"f lence of a tempert among the anchor-ropes of anothes mip, and the "failors cut the ropes having no other means of getting free, there is "'no action competent. -The Aquilian law muft be underfood to ap"ply only to fuch damage as carries' the idea of an injury along with " it, unlers fuch injury has not been wilfully done, but from neeeffry, "Thus Celfus puts the cafe of a perfon who, to fop the progrefs of "a fire, pulls down his neighbour's houie; and whether the fire had " reached that houfe which is pulled down, or was extinguimed bcfore " it got to it, in neither care, he thinks,' will an adion be competent "from the Aquilian law."]-Thefe opinions are undoubtedly erronecus, And it is not difficult to fay what has occationed the error: the cafes mentioned are treated as belonging to the la $x^{\circ}$ Aquilia; which being confined to the reparation of wrongs, lays it juftly down for a rule, That no action for reparation can lie, where there is no culta. But had Ladieo and Celfus adverted, that thefe cafes belong to a different liead, viz. the duty of recompence, where one fufiers lofs by benefiting another, they themfelves would have had no difficulty of fuftaining a claim for making up that lofs.

[^67]:    f. Virtue ficmifics that difpofition of mind wisch gives the afcendant to monai principics. Vice fignisies that difpofition of mind which gives liticic of no aliendant to meral principles.

[^68]:    (a) Seet. 7.

[^69]:    (a) See Elements of Criticifm, chap, 10.

[^70]:    * Virtuous and vicious, innocent and guilty, fignify qualities both of men and of their actions. Approbation and difapprobation, praife and blame, fignify certain emotions or fentiments of thofe who fee or conemplate men and their actions.

[^71]:    * Du
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[^72]:    * During the infancy of nations, pecuniary compofitions for crimes were univerfal; and during that long period very!little weight was laid upon intention. This proceeded from the cloudiners and obfcurity of moral perceptions among barbarians, making no diftinction between reparation and pecuniary punifhment. Where a man does mifchief intentionally, or is verfans in illicito, as expreffed in the Roman law, he is juftly bound to repair all the harm that enfues, .however accidentally; and from the refemblance of pecuniary punifment to reparation, the rule was childifhly extended to punifhment. But this rule, fo little confiftent with moral prirciples, could not long fubfift after pecuniary compofitions gave place to corporal punimment; and accordingly, among civilized nations, the law of nature is reftored, which prohibits punimment for any mifchief that is not intentional. The Englifh muft be excepted, who remarkably tenacious of their original laws and cuftoms, preferve in force, even as to capital punifment, the above-mentioned rule that obtained among barbarians, when pecuniary compofitions were in vigour. The following paffage is from Hales (Pleas of the Crown. chap. 39.) "Regularly he that voluntarily and knowingly intends hurt to "the perion of a man, as for example to beat him, though be intend " not death, yet if death enfues, it excufeth not from the gujlt of mur-
    " der, or manfaughter at leaft, as the circumftances of the cafe happen." And Fofter, in his Crown-law teaches the fame doctrine, never once furpecting in it the leaft deviation from moral principles. "A thoot-
    "eth at the poultry of $B$, and by accident killeth a man: if his in-
    " tention was to iteal the poultry, which munt be collected from cir-
    "cumftances, it will be murder by reafon of that felonious intent; but
    "if it was done wantonly, and without that intention, it will be barely
    "man!aughter." (p. 259.)

[^73]:    * Cuilpa lata equiparatur dolo, fays the Roman law. They are equal with refpect to reparation and to every civil confequence; but they are certainly not equal in a criminal view. The effence of a crime confifts in the intention to do mifchief; upon which account no fault or culfa however grofs amounts to a crime. But may not grofs negligence be a rubject of punifhment? A jailor fees a fate-prifoner taking fteps to make his efcape; and yet will not give himfelf the trouble to prevent it; and fo the prifoner efcapes. Damages cannot be qualified, becaufe no perfon is hurt; and is the jailor cannot be punifhed, he efcapes fiee.

[^74]:    (a) Sect. 7.

[^75]:    * A fonechatter makes its neft on the ground or near it; and the young, as foon as they can mift for themfelves, leave the neft inftinctively. An egg of that bird was laid in a fwallow's neft, fixed to the roof of a church. The fwallow fed all the young equally without diftinetion. The young fonechatter left the neft at the ufual time before it could fly; and talling to the ground, it was taken up dead. Here is inftinet in purity, exerting itfelf blindly without regard to variation of circumfances,The fame is obfervable in our dunghill-fowl. They feed on worms, com, and other feeds dropt on the ground. In order to difcover their food, nature has provided them with an inftiret to frape with the foot; and the inftinct is fo regularly exercifed, that they fcrape even when they are fet upon a heap of corn.

[^76]:    * 'In' an intricate fubject like the prefent, great care mould be taken to avoid ambiguities. The term praife has two different fignifications: in one renfe it is oppofed to blame; in another to difpraife. In the former fenfe it expreffes a moral fentiment : in the latter, is exprefles only the approving any object that pleafes me. I praife one man for his candour, and blame another for being a double-dealer. Thefe, both of them, imply will and intention. I praife a man for being acute; but for being dull, I only difpraife him. I praife a woman for beauty ; but blame not any for uglinefs, I only difpraife them. None of thefe particulars imply will or in. fention.

[^77]:    * Malice and refentment, though commonly joined together, have no refemblance but in producing mifchief. Malice is a propenfity of nature that operates deliberately without paffion: refentment is a paffion to which even good-natured people are fubject. A malicious charatter is efteemed much more vitious than one that is irafcible. Does not tiis shew, that virtue and vice confift more in difpofition than in action?

[^78]:    (a) See Effays on Morality and Natural Religion, part 1. effay 3.

[^79]:    *" The indolent principle; which if we were to follow, we thould "do nothing in life."

[^80]:    (a) See Hinoital Law trafts, tract 1.

[^81]:    (a) Book 2. Ketch 1 ,

[^82]:    (:) Meurfius de legibus Atticis lib. 2. cap. 2.
    (i) Eid. lib. 2. cap. ${ }^{5}$,
    (c) Quintus Curtius, lib, 6, cap, 18.

[^83]:    * "I am fenfible of the hardmip of punifhing the child for the crime of " the patent 1 this, however, is a wife enattment of our laws; for hereby " the parent is bound to the intereft of the fate by the ftrongeft of all ties, " the affection to his offspring."
    (a) Ep. 12. ad Brutum.
    (b) Deuteronomy, xxiv. 16,

[^84]:    * Athens from the nature of its government, as eftablifhed by Solon, was rendered uncapable of any regular or confiftent body of laws, In every cafe, civil and criminal, the whole people were juiges in the laft refort.And what fort of judges will an ignorant multitude make, who have no guide but paffion and prejudice ? It is vain to make good laws when fuch judges are the interpreters. Anacharfis, the Scythian, being prefent at an affembly of the people, faid, "It was fingular, that in Athens, wife men " pleaded caufes, and fools determined them."
    (a) Odyifey, book 13.
    (b) Book 14,
    (c) Book 24, book 15 .

[^85]:    "Upon the fory of Jupiter being deceived by Juno in the rith book of the lliad, Pope fays, "That he knows not a bolder fiction in all "antiquity, nor one that has a greater air of impicty." Pope it would feem was little acquainted with antiquity : for fuch acts of impiety were common among the Grecks; and in particular the incident mentioned in the text, is not only more impious, but alfo a more grofs violation of the lawa of morality.

[^86]:    (a) : Samuel, six, 24. (b) a Kings, ii. 9. (c) Antiquitis, book 6.

[^87]:    (a) Carta de Forefta, cap. 6.

[^88]:    (a) Book 2. Fketch 1.
    (b) Hobbes,

[^89]:    * In onc of our ill-concerted defcents upon France during the late war, fignal humanity appeared, in forbearing to burn a manufactory of fails and ropes, belonging to the King; becaure it would have deftroyed an arjoining building of the fame kind belonging to a private manufenturer.

[^90]:    $t$ "For the friendhip of the theriff,"

[^91]:    - See Hiftorical Law tracts, tract 2.

[^92]:    * Lying and perjury are not in every cafe equally criminal; at leaft are not commonly reckoned fo. Lying or perjury, in order to injure a man, is held highly criminal ; and the greater the hurt, the greater the crime., To relieve from puniphment, few boggle at a lie or at perjury: fincerity is not even expected; and hence the practice of torturc. Many men are not rcrupulous about oaths, when they have no view bur to obtain juftice, to themfulves: the Jacobites, that they might not be deprived of their privileges as Britifh fubjects, made no great dificulty to fivallow oaths to the prefent government, though in'them it was perjury. It is dangerous to vithdraw the fmalleat peg, in the moral edifice; for the whole will totter and tumble. Men creep on to vice by degrees. Perjưy, in order to fupport a friend, las 'becom'e cuftomary of late years; witmefa fictitious qualifications in the electors of par-liament-men, which are made effectual by perjury: yet fuch is the degeneracy of the prefent times; that no man is the worfe thought of upon that account. We muft not flatter ourfelves that the poifon will reach no farther: a man who boggles not at perjury to ferve a friend, will in time become fuch an adept, as to commit perjury in order to ruin a friend when he becomes ar' enenay.

[^93]:    (a) Genefis, chap. 26.
    (b) 1 Samuel, xiv. $4.4 \cdot$

[^94]:    a) See the firft part of this. ketch, Sect. 3. at the end,

[^95]:    $\dagger$ In the language even of Peru, there is not a word for expreffing an abftract idea, fuch as rime, endurance, fface, exifence, fubfance, matter, lody. It is no lefs defective in expreffing moral ideas, fuch as virtue, juffice, gratitude, liberty. The Yameos,, a tribe on the river Oroonoko defcribed by Condamine, ufe the word poettarraccincouroac to exprefs the number three, and have no word for a greater number. The Brafilian language is nearly as barren.

[^96]:    * What man can boaft a firm undaunted foul, That hears unmov'd when thunder thakes tie pole; Nor fhrinks with fear of an offended pow'r, When lightnings flafh, and forms and tempetse roar.
    (a) Lib. 5 .
    $\dagger$ When dread convulions rock'd the lab'ring earth, And livid clouds fist gave the thunder birth, Inftinctive fear within the human breaf The firf ideas of a God imprefs'd.

[^97]:    $\dagger$ See this principle beautifully explained and illuftrated in a fermon upon the love of God, by Doftor Butler Bihop of Durham, a writer of the filt rank.

[^98]:    (a) Gonefis xifi, 21.22.
    (b) See Iffays on Morality and Natural Religion, part 2, foct. 3.

[^99]:    (d) Flrft fetch of this third book, Sect. 1.

[^100]:    A. (d) Part 2, Sect 7.

[^101]:    * Plurality of heads or hands in one idol, is fometimes made to fupply plurality of different idols': Hence among favages the grotefque figure of tome of their idols.

[^102]:    * All Greek writers, and thofe in their ncightowhood, form the world out of a chaos. They had no fuch exalted notion of a deity as to believe, that he could make the world out cfnothing.

[^103]:    (a) Odyffey, book 8.

[^104]:    *The Englifh trannator of that tragedy obferves it to be remarkable in the Grecian creed, that the gods punifh not only the perfons guilty, but their innocent pofterity.

[^105]:    *Nor let a god in perfon fand difplay'd, Unlefs the labouring plot deferve his aid.
    a) Odyffey, book 8.
    (b) Book 8 .
    d) Book 18.
    (e) Book 20 .
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ ) Lib. I. De natura deorum.

[^106]:    *The form of the evocatio follows. "Tuo duciu, inquit, Pythie Apollo, " tuoque numine inftinctus, pergo ad delendam urbem Veios: tibique hine "decimam partem prædæ voveo. Te fimul, Juno Regina, quæ nunc Veios " colis, precor, ut nos victores in noftram tuamque mox futuram urbem " fequare: ubi te dignum amplitudine tua, templum accipiat." Titus Livius, lib. 5. cap. $21 . \longrightarrow$ [In Engliß tbus: "Under thy guidance and di" vine infpiration, $\mathbf{O}$ l'ythian Apollo, I march to the deftruction of $V$ cii; and "to thy firine I devote a tenth of the plunder. Imperial Juno, guardian of "Veii, deign to profper our victorious arms, and a temple fhall be erected " to thy honour, fuitable to the greatnefs and majefty of thy name."] But it appears from Macrobius, that they ufed a form of evocation even when the name of the tutelar deity was unknown to them. "Si dcus, fi deaeft, "cui populus civitafque Carthaginienfis eft in tutela, teque maxine ille qui " urbis hujus populique tutelam recipifti, precor, venerorque, veniamque a " volis peto, ut vos populum civitatemque Carthaginienfem deferatis, loca, * templa, facra, urbemque eorum relinquatis, abique his abeatis, eique po"pulo, civitatique metum, formidinem, oblivionem injiciatis, proditique
    "Romam ad me meofque veniatis, noftraque vobis loca, templa fac:a, urbs;
    " acceptior probatiorque fit, mihique populoque Romano militibufque meis
    "prixpofiti fitis, ut fciamus intelliganmfyue. Si ita feceritis, voveo vobis
    "templa ludorque facturum." Saturnal. lib. 3. cap. 9.___[In Englifo thus: "That divinity, whether god or godtlefs, who is the guardian of the " Atate of Carthage, that divinity 1 invole, I pray and fupplicate, that he will "t defert that perfidious people. Herour not with thy prefence thair tem"' ples, their ceremonies, nor their city ; abandon then to all their fears, " leave them to infamy and oblivion. Fly hence to Rome, where, in my "country, and among my fellow-citizens, thou fhalt have nobler temples, "i and more acceptable facrifices ; thou fhalt be the tutelar deity of this army,
    " and of the Roman' Ataite. On this condition, I here vow to erect tear-
    " ples and inftitute games to thine honour."]

[^107]:    * All things in the univerfe are evidently of a piece. Every thing is adjufted to every thing; one defign prevails through the whole: and this uniformity leads the mind to acknowledge one author; becaufe the conception of different authors without dittinction of attributes or operations, ferves only to perplex the imagination, without beftowing any fatisfaction on the underfanding. Nataral diffory of Religion, by Darvid Hume, E/guire,

[^108]:    * Regnator omnium Sous, catera rubject atque parntin; Tacitis de
     " all ; the rett infcoicr and fubordinere";

[^109]:    *The Abyminians think that the afcribing to the devil the wicked aRt of which the Portugueze declare him to be guilty, is falling into the error of the Manichees, who admit two principles, one good, one evil.

    + Pliny feems to relim the doctrine of unity in the Deity; but is at 2 lofs about forming any juft conception of him, fometimes confidering the weild to be cur only deity, fometimes the fun.

[^110]:    " Fineas fafe, defy'd great Juno's hate
    "For Vınus guards her favour'd offspring's fate:
    " In vain Uly ifes Neptunc's wrath aflails,
    "O'er winds and waves Minerva's power prevaila."

[^111]:    * "Beanfured of this, that while ye preferve your reverence for juftice, " ye will enjoy all the bleffings which are ineftimable among mankind.-" If ye refufe to obey her dictates, and your morals become corrupted, God " himfelf will abandon you, and take the part of your enemies. For alr "though the benevolence of that power is not partially confined to tribe or " people, yet in the eye of his juftice all men are not equally t. oojeito of " his approbation."

[^112]:    (a) See the roth and irth chapters of the Acs of the Apontes.
    (b) Acts of the Aporits, x. 34 (c) Acts of the Apoitles, chap. 13 .

[^113]:    $\dagger$ That ridiculous ceremory is kept up to this day : ruch power has cuftom. Take the following fample of it : "The Grand Prior of Sti "Remi opens the holy phial, and gives it to the Archbirhop, who witl " a golden needle takes fome of the precious oil, about the fize of a " grain of wheat, which he inixes with confecrated ointment. The King "the.t proftrates himfelf before the alter on a violet coloured carpet, " embroydered with fleurs de lys; while they piay. Then the Kng rifes, " and the Archbifhop anoints him on the crown of the head, on the "fomach, on the two eloows, and on the joints of the arms. Af"ter the feveral anointings, the Archbihop of Rheims, the Bifhops " of Lach and Beauvais clofe the openings of the thirt ; the High Cham-
    "berlain puts on the tunic and the royal mantle; the King then kneels
    "again, and is anointed in the palms of his hands." Is this farce lefs ladicrous than that of an Englifh King curing the King's evil with a fouch ?

[^114]:    + Having gained the battle of St. Quintin on the feftival of St. Laurence,

[^115]:    Phibip reckoned himfelf oblized to the faint for this victory, as much as to God Almighty ; and accordingly, he not only built the monatery he had vowed, but alfo a church for the faint and a palace for himfelf, all under one roof : and what is nut a little ludicrous, the edifice is built in refemblance of a gridiron, which, according to ble legend, was the inftrument of Laurence 's martyrion. $^{\text {a }}$

    * It is no wonder that the Romans were fupertitioully addited to omens and auguries: like mere favages, they put no value upon any fcience but that of war; and, for that reafon, they banifhed all phitofophers, as ufelefs members of fociety. Thus, that nation, fo ficree and fo great in war, furrendered themfeives blindiy to fuperitition, and becane flaves to ima. ginary evils. Eve: their griven hitorians wera deeply tainted with that difeafe.

[^116]:    $\dagger$ Chatemagne, though an eminent aftoonomer for his time, was afraid of comets and ectipres.

[^117]:    $\dagger$ " It is peculiar to that people, to deduce omens and prefages fr.... "horfes. Thefe animals are maintained at the public expence, in groves " and forefts, and are not allowed to be polluted with any work for "the ufe of man; but being yoked in the facred chariot, the prief?, " and the king, or chief of the ftate, attend them, and carefully obferve "their neighings. The greateft faith is given to this method of augury, " both amons the vulgar and tie nobles."
    (a) Tacitus, De moribus Germanorum, cap. 10.
    $\ddagger$ Is it not mortifying to humanj pride, that a great philofopher [Bacon] fhould think like the vulgar upon this fubject? With refpect to rejoicings in London upon the marriage of the daughter of James $1 \mathbf{V}$. of Scotland, he fays, " not from any affecion to the Scots, but from a "fecret inftinct and infpiration of the advantages that would accrue from "the match."
    (b) Gothica Hiftoria, lib. I.

[^118]:    * "The golden cup full of abominations."

[^119]:    * A traveller defcribing the Virgin Mary's houfe at Loretto, has the following refiction, When there are fo many faints endued with fuch mita"culous powers, fo many relics. and fo many impregnated wells, each of "them able to cure the moft dangerous difeafes; one would wonder, that "phyficians could live there, or others die. But people die here as elfewhere; " and even churchmen, who preach upon the miracles wrought by elics, " grow fick and die like other men." It is one thing to believe: it is another thing to fancy that we believe. In the year 1666 a jew named Sabatas Levi appeared at Smyrna, pretending to be the true Meffiah, and was acknowledged to be fo by many. The Grand Signor, for proof of his miffion, infited for a miracle; propofing that he mould prefent homfelf as a mark to be thot at, and promifing to believe that he was the Meffiah, if he remained unwounded. Sabatai, declining the trial, turned Mahometan to fave his iffe. But obferve the blindnefs of fuperitition : though Sabatai was fcen every day wafking the ftreets of Conftantinople in the Turkifh habit, many Jews infifted that the true Sabatai was taken up into heaven, leaving only behind him his fhadow; and probably they most piounly faizcied that they belicved fo.

[^120]:    + Bifhop Burnet feems doubtful whether this creed was compofed by Athanaifus. His doubts, in my Apprehenfion, are fcarce fufficient to weigh againtt the unanimous opinion of the Chrittian church.

[^121]:    (1) Elements of Crisicifm, rol. x. p. xSo. edit, 5 .

[^122]:    * Arnobius' (Adverfus gentes, lib. 1.) accounts rationally for the workip we pay to the Deity; "Huic omnes ex more prolternimur, hunc collatis, " precibus adoramus, ab hoc jufta, et lonefta, et auditu tjus condigna, de"pofcimus. \&Non quo ipfe defideret iupplices nos effe, aut amet fubiterni " tot millium venerationem videre. Utilitas heec noftra eft, et commodi " noftri rationem fpectans. Nam quia proni ad culpas, et ad libidinis varios " appetitus, vitio fumbs infirmitatis ingenita, patitur fe femper nofris cogi"tationibus concipi : ut duin illum oramus, et mereri ejus contendimus " munera, accipiamus innocentix voluntatem et ah omn nos labe delicto"rum orinium amputatione purgemus." In Englifb thus: " It is "our culton, to ploltate ourfelves before him; and we ank of him fuch "gifts only as are confiftent with jufticc and with honour, and fuitable to " the character of the Being whom we adore, Not that he receives plea"fure or fatisfaction from the humble veneration of thoufands of his " ertarmres. From this we ounclves derive benefit and advantage; for be" ing the Raves of appetite, and prone to err from the weaknefs of our na" ture, when we addrefs onfelves to Giod in prayer, and ftudy by our acti" ons tomerit his approbation, we gain at laut the wifh, and the inclinationg" "to be viltuons."]

[^123]:    * Fafting and celihacy were by Zoroaller condemned with abhortence, as 3 criminal rejection of the bett gifto of Providence.

[^124]:    + The abbee de Boiffy derives human facrifices from the hiftory of Abraham preparing to facrifice his fon Ifaac, which, fays he, was imifoted by others. A man who is fo unlucky at guefing had bettc be ifent.
    (i) Trat 1.

[^125]:    (b) Clap. 6.

[^126]:    $\dagger$ There is no mention in ancient authors of firh being offered to the gods in facrifice. The featon I take to be, that the moft favoury food of man was reckoned the moft agrecable to their gods; that favages never thought of fifh till land.animals became fcarce; and that the matter as well as form of facritices were cftablifhed in practice, long before men had recourfe to finh for food.

[^127]:    " and Terror, the godders of War, of Evil Fortune and of Difcord."] -Arnobius batters down bloody facrifices with a very curious argument. "Ecce fi bos aliquis, aut quodlibet ex his animal, quad ad " placandas ceditur mitigandafque numinum furias, vocem hominis fu" mat, eloquaturque his verbis: Ergone, O Jupiter, aut quis alius deus "es, humanum eft iftud et rectum, aut requitatis alicujus in eettimati" onc ponendum, ut cum alius peccaverit, ego occidar, et de meo fan" guine fieri tibi patiaris fatis, qui nunquam te laferim, nunquam fciens " aut nefciens, tuum numen inajeftatemque violarim, animal, ut fcis, " mutum, nature mex fimplicitatem fequens, nee muitiformium morum "varietatibus lubricum ?"-In Englifh thus: "What if the ox, while he " is Jed out to flaughter to appeafe the fancied wrath of an offended " dcity, fhould affume the human voice, and in thefe words afto" nim his conductors: Are thefe, O merciful God, are thefe the dictates " of humanity, or of juftice, that for the crime of another I fhould for" feit my life! I have never by my will offended thee, and, dumb as "I am, and uninformed by reafoh, my actions, according to the fim" plicity of my nature, cannot give thee difpleafure, who haft made me ": as I am."]-If this argument were folid, it would be equally conclutive againat animal food,

[^128]:    * Frequent mention is medr of fuct fones in the poems of Offan. "But remember, miy fon, to phace this fword, this bow, and this horn, "within that dark and narrow houfe marked with one gray fone." p. 55. "Whofe fane is m that dark-green tomb? Four fones with " their heads of mofs ftand there, and mark the narrow houfe of death." p. 67. "Let thy bards mourn thofe who fell. Let Erin give the fons " of Lochlin to earth, and ralfe the moffy ftones of their fame; that the "children of the north hereatter may behold the place where their fa"thers fought." p. 78. "Earth here inclofss the lovelieft pair on the "hill: grafs grows between the fones of the tomb." p. 208. In the fame poems we find fones made infruments of wormip. The fpirit of Loda is introduced threatening Fingal: "Fly to thy land, replied the form : " receive the wind and fly. The blafts are in the hollow of my hand. "the courfe of the form is minc. The King of Sora is my fon: he "bends at the tone of my power." p. $2 c 0$.

[^129]:    * "The deficiencies of Polycletus were made up in Plidias and At"camenes. Phidias is reckoned to have had more fkill in forming the " ftatues of gods than of men. In works of ivory he was unrivalied, "although thete had been no other proots of his excellence than the "flatue of Minerva at Athens, and the Jupiter Olympius in Elis. Its "beaury feems to have alded to the received religion; the majeftic fta"tue refembling fo much the god hinfelt."

[^130]:    (d) Chap. 44.

[^131]:    (a) St. I.xod xxxii, 7 .

[^132]:    (b) Deuteronomy, xvi. 22.
    (b) 1 Kings, xiv. 23 .
    (d) 2 Kings, $x, 29$.

[^133]:    (a) Daniel, chap. 3.

[^134]:    4. (a) Ofian.
[^135]:    * External fhow figures greatly in dark times, when nothing makes an impreffien but what is vifible. A German traveller (Hentzner) talking of

[^136]:    * "A approaching nearer to heaven, the prayers of mortals are there " more diftinaly beard."

[^137]:    (a) Natural Hiftory of Religion.

[^138]:    (a) Ma:thew, xx ic 36 .

[^139]:    (a) Matthew, $\mathbf{x x v}$. 34 .
    (b) James, i. 27.
    (c) Minucius Foelix. " Shall I offer to God for a facrifice thofe creatures which his bounty " has given me for my ufe? It were ingratitude to throw back the gift "upon the giver. The moft acceptable facrifice is an upright mincl, an " untainted confcience, and an honeft heart. The actions of the inno" cent afcend to God in prayer ; the obfervance of juftice is more grate"ful than incenfe; the man who is fincere in his dealings, fecures the " favour of his Creator; and the delivery of a fellow creature from dan"ger or deftruction, is dearer in the eyes of the Almighty than the fa" crifice of blood."

[^140]:    * The great weight that was laid upon orthodoxy, appears from a triumphal arch erected over the tomb of Charlemagne, upon which was the following infeription: "Here lies the body of Charles, a great and " orthodox emperor." And yet that orthodox Emperor could not write his name.

[^141]:    (،) Ilements of Criticifm, vol. 2. p. 493. cdit. \%.

[^142]:    (a) Lib. 18, cap. 5 .

[^143]:    * "There is nothing to be obtained from the court of Rome but by the " force of money : even the ceremony of confecration, and the gifts of the "Holy Ghoft, are fold; and the remifion of fins is beftowed only on thofe " who can pay for it."

[^144]:    (s) Natural Hiftory of Religion, by David Hume, Efquire.

[^145]:    * " And there was a woman which had a fpirit of infirmity eighteen " years, and was bowed together. And Jefus laid his hand on her ; and " immediately fhe was made fraight, and glorified God. And the ruler of "the fynagogue with indignation fald unto the people, There are fix days in "immediately the was made fraight, and glorified God. And the ruler of " which

[^146]:    * Chrifians, occupied too much with external forms, have corrupted feveral of the fine arts. They have injured architecture, by erecting magnificent churches in the ugly form of a crofs. And they have injured painting, by withdrawing the beft hands from proper fubjects, and employing them on the legendary martyrdom of pretended faints, and uther fuch difagreeable fubjcês.

[^147]:    * A grofs is the third part of a ducat.

[^148]:    * An old woman walking with others to a facrament, was obferved to pick out the worft bits of the road: "I never can do enough," faint the, "for fweet Jefus."

[^149]:    $\dagger$ A fect of Chriftians, ftyled Flagellantes, held, that flagellation is of equal virtue with baptifm and the other facraments; that it will procuse forgivenefs of fin; that the old law of Chritt is to he abolimed; and a new law fubftituted, enjoining the baptifm of blow to se ade minifered by whipping.

[^150]:    $\dagger$ The Baron de Manfein obferves, that the frequent lents enjoined by the Greek church, contribute greatly to promote difeafes in the Ruffian armies. They are forbidden to touch flefh three fourths of the year. The fynod, it is true, grants a difpenfation to foldiers during war; but fuch is the fuperfition of the people, that few take the benefit of the difpenfation.
    (a) Ifaial, Iviii. $4 . \& \mathrm{c}$,

[^151]:    * An ingenious writer, mentioned above, makes the following obfervation. "The celibacy of ecclefiaftics was originally introduced by fome "fuperftitious refinements on the law of God and nature. Could men " have been kept alive without eating or drinking as well as without " marriage, the fame refinements would have prohibited ecclefiattics from " eating and drinking, and thereby have elevated them fo much nearer' " to the ftate of angels. In procefs of time, this fanatical interdiction " became an inftrument of worldly wifdom : and thus, as frequently hap"pens, what weak men began, politicians completed," Sir David Dal: rymple.

[^152]:    (a) A̧lorinus.

[^153]:    *" Let there be no Bacchanalian ceremonies performed in the city, nor " within Italy. If there be any perfon who reckons it a matter of con"fcience to perform thefe rites, and that he ought not to omit them, let " him fate his opinion to the city-pretor, who mall therenpon confult the "fenate. If liberty be granted him by the fenate when no fewer than a " hundred fenators are prefent, let him perform the facrifice, but privately, " in prefence of no greater number than five perfons. Let there be no " public fund for them, nor any who fhall prefice as prieft or mafter of the " rites,"

[^154]:    * Monficur de Tavannes, afterward Marefchal of France, was a great partifan of the Queen-mother; and fo active in the ma:lacre as with his own hand to murder no fewer than feventeen Hugenots. Having on deathhed made a full confeffion of his fins, "What," faid the prief, " not a " word of Sr. Bartholomew? Of St. Bartholomew !" anfwered the penitent ; " the fervice I did that memorable day to God and the church, is alone " a fufticiert atonement for all my tranfreffions."

[^155]:    (a) Council of Trent, back 5 .

[^156]:    $\dagger$ In the eleventh and twelfin centuries, many of the clergy became merchants; and, being free of taxes, engroffed all. In the Netheriands particularly, there was a great cry, that monaferies wore converted into thops and wathoufes, and the manfons of fecular prieft; into tap-houfes and inns.

[^157]:    (a) Lib. i. Adverfus Gentes.

    * Forgive, Almighty power, the perfecutors of thy fervants; and,
    " in the peculiar benevolence of thy nature; pardon thote men whofe
    " unhappinefs it is to be ftrangers to thy name and worthip. Ignorant
    " as they are of thee, we cannot wonder at the impiety of their atti" ons."

[^158]:    + Toleration in religion, though obvious to common underfanding, ivas not however the production of reafon, but of commerce. The advantage of toleration for promoting commerce, was early difcovered by the Portuguefe. They wate too zealous Catholics to think of fo bold a meafure in Portugal; but it was permitted in Goa, and the inquifition in that town was sonfired to Roman Catholics.

[^159]:    (a) Elements of Criticifm, vol, 2. p. 493, edit, 5.

