




**IMAGE EVALUATION
TEST TARGET (MT-3)**



**Photographic
Sciences
Corporation**

23 WEST MAIN STREET
WEBSTER, N.Y. 14580
(716) 872-4503

**CIHM/ICMH
Microfiche
Series.**

**CIHM/ICMH
Collection de
microfiches.**



Canadian Institute for Historical Microreproductions / Institut canadien de microreproductions historiques

© 1982

Technical and Bibliographic Notes/Notes techniques et bibliographiques

The Institute has attempted to obtain the best original copy available for filming. Features of this copy which may be bibliographically unique, which may alter any of the images in the reproduction, or which may significantly change the usual method of filming, are checked below.

L'Institut a microfilmé le meilleur exemplaire qu'il lui a été possible de se procurer. Les détails de cet exemplaire qui sont peut-être uniques du point de vue bibliographique, qui peuvent modifier une image reproduite, ou qui peuvent exiger une modification dans la méthode normale de filmage sont indiqués ci-dessous.

- Coloured covers/
Couverture de couleur
- Covers damaged/
Couverture endommagée
- Covers restored and/or laminated/
Couverture restaurée et/ou pelliculée
- Cover title missing/
Le titre de couverture manque
- Coloured maps/
Cartes géographiques en couleur
- Coloured ink (i.e. other than blue or black)/
Encre de couleur (i.e. autre que bleue ou noire)
- Coloured plates and/or illustrations/
Planches et/ou illustrations en couleur
- Bound with other material/
Relié avec d'autres documents
- Tight binding may cause shadows or distortion
along interior margin/
La reliure serrée peut causer de l'ombre ou de la
distortion le long de la marge intérieure
- Blank leaves added during restoration may
appear within the text. Whenever possible, these
have been omitted from filming/
Il se peut que certaines pages blanches ajoutées
lors d'une restauration apparaissent dans le texte,
mais, lorsque cela était possible, ces pages n'ont
pas été filmées.
- Additional comments:/
Commentaires supplémentaires:

- Coloured pages/
Pages de couleur
- Pages damaged/
Pages endommagées
- Pages restored and/or laminated/
Pages restaurées et/ou pelliculées
- Pages discoloured, stained or foxed/
Pages décolorées, tachetées ou piquées
- Pages detached/
Pages détachées
- Showthrough/
Transparence
- Quality of print varies/
Qualité inégale de l'impression
- Includes supplementary material/
Comprend du matériel supplémentaire
- Only edition available/
Seule édition disponible
- Pages wholly or partially obscured by errata
slips, tissues, etc., have been refilmed to
ensure the best possible image/
Les pages totalement ou partiellement
obscurcies par un feuillet d'errata, une pelure,
etc., ont été filmées à nouveau de façon à
obtenir la meilleure image possible.

This item is filmed at the reduction ratio checked below/
Ce document est filmé au taux de réduction indiqué ci-dessous.

10X	12X	14X	16X	18X	20X	22X	24X	26X	28X	30X	32X
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

The
to th

The
poss
of th
filmi

Orig
begin
the l
sion,
othe
first
sion,
or ill

The l
shall
TINU
which

Maps
differ
entire
begin
right
requi
meth

The copy filmed here has been reproduced thanks to the generosity of:



Library Division
Provincial Archives of British Columbia

The images appearing here are the best quality possible considering the condition and legibility of the original copy and in keeping with the filming contract specifications.

Original copies in printed paper covers are filmed beginning with the front cover and ending on the last page with a printed or illustrated impression, or the back cover when appropriate. All other original copies are filmed beginning on the first page with a printed or illustrated impression, and ending on the last page with a printed or illustrated impression.

The last recorded frame on each microfiche shall contain the symbol \rightarrow (meaning "CONTINUED"), or the symbol ∇ (meaning "END"), whichever applies.

Maps, plates, charts, etc., may be filmed at different reduction ratios. Those too large to be entirely included in one exposure are filmed beginning in the upper left hand corner, left to right and top to bottom, as many frames as required. The following diagrams illustrate the method:



L'exemplaire filmé fut reproduit grâce à la générosité de:

Library Division
Provincial Archives of British Columbia

Les images suivantes ont été reproduites avec le plus grand soin, compte tenu de la condition et de la netteté de l'exemplaire filmé, et en conformité avec les conditions du contrat de filmage.

Les exemplaires originaux dont la couverture en papier est imprimée sont filmés en commençant par le premier plat et en terminant soit par la dernière page qui comporte une empreinte d'impression ou d'illustration, soit par le second plat, selon le cas. Tous les autres exemplaires originaux sont filmés en commençant par la première page qui comporte une empreinte d'impression ou d'illustration et en terminant par la dernière page qui comporte une telle empreinte.

Un des symboles suivants apparaîtra sur la dernière image de chaque microfiche, selon le cas: le symbole \rightarrow signifie "A SUIVRE", le symbole ∇ signifie "FIN".

Les cartes, planches, tableaux, etc., peuvent être filmés à des taux de réduction différents. Lorsque le document est trop grand pour être reproduit en un seul cliché, il est filmé à partir de l'angle supérieur gauche, de gauche à droite, et de haut en bas, en prenant le nombre d'images nécessaire. Les diagrammes suivants illustrent la méthode.

errata
to

pelure,
en à

NWP

972.13

T452 B

THE
WESTERN LAW TIMES.

Vol. II.

JUNE, 1891.

No. 3.

Recorder Adam Thom.

In our former sketch of the life of this very able man, so inseparably connected with the early history of this country, we expressed a desire to obtain his portrait. We have, we are very glad to say, been able at last to do so, and have had the same reproduced for the benefit of the profession and the public. We can inform our readers that the likeness is a faithful one, chosen for that special reason, and is taken from an amateur photograph of Dr. Thom when "at ease" in his sitting room, and in his 72nd year. We take this opportunity of supplementing the particulars contained in our former notice. Adam Thom was born at Breehin, Forfarshire, on 31st of August, 1802, and was the second son (his elder brother Thomas dying in infancy) of Andrew Thom, of Leith, merchant, by his wife Elizabeth, daughter of the Rev. Thomas Bisset, D. D. St. And., minister of Logierait, Perthshire, by a daughter of the Rev. Thomas Tullideph, D. D., Principal of the United Colleges of St. Salvador and St. Leonards, St. Andrews, 1739-1777, Moderator of the Kirk of Scotland in 1742, and one of His Majesty's chaplains 1761-1777. He married, first, a daughter of the Rev. George Bisset, A. M., Udry, Aberdeenshire, and sister of the late Rev. James Bisset, D. D., minister of Bourtie, Aberdeenshire, and Moderator of the Kirk of Scotland in 1862, by whom he had no issue; and, second, in 1839, Miss Anne Bluchford, by whom (who died 23rd of January, 1876) he had two children--Frances, who died in infancy, and Adam Bisset Thom, born 2nd of August, 1843, at Lower Fort Garry, Man., and now living at Galt, Ontario, unmarried. Adam Thom's younger brother, John, married, first, Margaret, daughter of Alexander Cant, Caithness; and second, Miss Amelia Gardner. John Thom died in Cleveland, Ohio. Of his two sisters, the elder, Frances, married her cousin, Alexander Bisset, of Leith, Scotland, ship-master, and died in 1825; the younger, Alison, married the late Hugh Cant, of Galt, and died in 1879.

The 21st day of February, 1890, is a date of exceptional significance, in the legal history of Western Canada. On that day, at the advanced age of eighty seven, in Torrington Square, London, died Adam Thom, LL. D., the first Recorder of Rupert's Land, and the father of the Bench and Bar of

Western Canada. He was born in Brechin, and educated at King's College, Aberdeen, whence he graduated M. A. in 1824. About the year 1832, he emigrated to Canada, and in 1833 established and was first editor of the *Settler*. He was subsequently editor of the *Montreal Herald* in 1836-38, read law in Montreal with Mr. James Charles Grant, and was called to the bar of Lower Canada in 1837. The celebrated report of the Earl of Durham, on the state of British North America, was drawn up by Mr. Charles Buller, with the assistance of Mr. Thom; in fact according to the *Law Times* of March 1st, 1890, Mr. Thom was considered to be the chief author of the report. Under the *nom de plume* of "Camillus," he wrote the memorable "Anti-Gallic Letters," addressed to the Earl of Gosford, Governor-in-Chief of the Canadas.*

Some few years after the establishment of the "Governor and Council of Assiniboia," it became apparent to the Hudson Bay Company, that judicial procedure should be instituted in Rupert's Land, on a more substantial basis, and in a more efficient manner, than had been the case in the past. Accordingly, the General Quarterly Courts were formally established in 1839, and Mr. Thom arrived in the spring of that year at Red River, and entered upon his duties as Recorder of Rupert's Land, with a salary attached to the office of £700 per annum. In addition to the Recordship, he was the legal adviser to the Governor of Assiniboia, who was instructed to be guided by Mr. Thom's advice in matters of law. He was also senior member of the Governor's Council, and, as such, virtually presided at the general court. The difficulties of his position were many and of no trifling nature. The settlers persisted, and perhaps they might be excused for doing so, in looking upon him, as not only the Recorder, but the paid servant of the Hudson's Bay Company, and while none ventured to impeach his uprightness and integrity, yet they maintained that, be he never so impartial, his interest being inseparable from that of the company, he could not be completely unbiased in his holdings. Be this as it may, there can be no doubt that he conscientiously discharged his duties in a manner that could scarcely be more satisfactory under the existing circumstances, which required no little degree of tact. Events progressed with as little friction as could be expected, till the famous trial of Guillaume Sayer, who in 1849 was charged with trading furs with the Indians. The display of armed force by the French half-breeds during the trial, and the demonstration which took place after it, brought matters to such a crisis that, in order to avoid a collision, Mr. Thom retired from the bench till some time in 1850. For the space of about a year justice was administered by Major Caldwell, the Governor of Assiniboia. A very complicated case of great importance—*Foss v. Pelly*—having arisen, Mr. Thom again resumed his office for the purposes of the trial. The Governor, however, disagreeing with Mr. Thom on his finding, probably because he would not find as the Governor wanted, managed either to secure his permanent removal or to force him to resign. He then, till 1854—about four years—acted as the clerk of the court over which he had formerly presided, and with the same salary. In the beginning of September of that year, he left Red River with his wife and son and returned to Scotland, sailing from

* "Anti-Gallic Letters addressed to the Earl of Gosford, Governor-in-Chief of the Canadas", by "Camillus",
12 mo. 226 pp., Montreal, 1836

York Factory on the 18th of October by H. B. ship *Prince of Wales*.* In 1856 he received the honorary degree of LL. D. from his old university. His busy life did not prevent his retaining an interest in his former literary career, or from pursuing his studies, for in 1848 he published a work entitled *The Chronology of Prophecy*. It will not be out of place to make a few extracts from contemporary writers and travellers in regard to him. Major J. Wesley Bond† who visited Red River, speaks of him as "a very leading man," who was "very active, energetic, and possessed of considerable talent." Bishop Mountain‡ found him "an exceedingly able man, possessing a varied range of information and deeply engaged, latterly, in biblical studies." Alex. Ross †† who considered the appointment of a Recorder rather in the light of a mistake, and was sometimes opposed to Mr. Thom, yet states that he was a "gentleman of talent, and high attainment in his profession." The Rev. John Ryerson says that he was "a gentleman of learning and superior ability in the legal profession."§ Hargrave thus feelingly refers to him: "I cannot close this cursory glance at the official career of the pioneer of the law in the Red River Settlement without stating that at the close of his long and often unquiet sojourn of fifteen years in this singularly situated place, he left behind him the reputation of great ability, and of kindly hospitality in his private relations among those of his acquaintances best able to appreciate the former, and who had shared in the latter." Mr. Thom is principally known to the legal profession by his careful and elaborate judgment on the jurisdiction and powers of the Hudson Bay Company, delivered in the celebrated case of James Calder, on the 17th of August, 1848, contained in one of the old record books of the Quarterly Court. This judgment is of much value, and is entitled to more weighty consideration than it has so far received; doubtless the fact of its never having been printed is mainly responsible for this. Quite irrespective of the merits of Mr. Thom, an especial interest attaches to him because of his having been the first lawyer in Rupert's Land, and of the unique position he occupied. A halo of romance is thrown round his name, when we pause to think of the vast extent of his jurisdiction, and the wild and peculiar people over whom that jurisdiction was exercised, and that to the extent of capital punishment. How wonderful the change since then! Mr. Thom had been for so many years a stranger to this country, that his name was but rarely mentioned, even in legal circles, and most of those who thought of him regarded him as no longer among the living. None the less is he entitled to a prominent place in the early history of Rupert's Land, whose best interests he faithfully strove to serve. The members of the bar of Western Canada may congratulate themselves that they have Mr. Thom as their precursor; and it is no disparagement to the

* Hargrave's *Red River*, pp. 90. Ryerson's *Hudson Bay*, pp. 62, 106 et seq.

† *Minnesota and its Resources*. V. appendix *Camp Fire Sketches*.

‡ *Journal of the Bishop of Montreal*, pp. 82.

†† *Red River Settlement*, pp. 224.

§ *Hudson Bay*, pp. 63.

Berch to say, that his life will bear favorable comparison with any judge who has succeeded him.—*Western Law Times*, May, 1890.

*
New in Red. type

In the winter of 1882, while staying in London, which the subject of this sketch used facetiously to call "the wen of the world," the writer very often met a retired old gentleman frequently known as "Judge Thom," who had more than 40 years before made his entree to Red River Settlement as first Recorder of Rupert's Land. At the time of meeting in London, the Judge had entered upon his eightieth year. He was tall, and, though walking with a slight stoop, was of commanding presence. He was what people usually call a man of marked individuality. His opinions were all formed; he had views on any matter that came up for discussion; and was very fond of a talk with a passing friend. In conversation with the old gentleman, it would be at once noticed that he had a large fund of information, and to any visitor from Manitoba it was surprising to see how the lapse of 30 years' absence from the country had not effaced a line from memory in regard to the affairs of all the families of that time resident in Red River. In fact, Judge Thom had a marvellous mind for details. Some would no doubt have called him loquacious, but to most he was a very interesting man. Dr. Thom's Aberdonian accent had not been greatly softened by his colonial residence, nor by his subsequent sojourn in London. In speech and ideas the Judge was a strong man, and it will be our pleasing duty this evening to have the outlines of his somewhat eventful life, which ended a little more than two months ago.

Adam Thom was born in Breechin, Forfarshire, on the 31st August, 1802, and had the remembrance to the last of having seen, in his third year, the great rejoicing that took place after Nelson's great victory at the battle of Trafalgar, October 21st, 1805. In the year 1819, young Thom entered King's College, Aberdeen, where he was a successful student, and graduated in 1824 with the degree of Master of Arts. It was in the second year of his course at Aberdeen that he met with one who, far away on the plains of Rupert's Land, was to be his intimate friend and companion, whom indeed he was to call his "alter ego." This was John McCallum, of whom we shall speak more fully, and who it will be remembered founded the school, which became in time St. John's College in this city. Scotland was then, as now, sending up its young men to the great metropolis, which contains more Scotchmen than Edinburgh; and in 1825 both Thom and his fellow-student McCallum found themselves earning their bread there as classical masters in schools at Woolwich and Blackheath respectively.

About this time, a great outflow of the British people was taking place to the New World. In the year 1831, upwards of 30,000 people left the British Isles for Canada. Over pressure of population and political discontent were no doubt the chief factors in this great emigration. In the following year, a popular movement to Canada was headed in the south of England by Lord Egremont, and three ships carried the Sussex colony to the St. Law

rence. To the enterprising mind of young Thom the opportunities said to be afforded by Canada were a great attraction, and so taking the last ship of the season (1832), the "Rosclind," from London, after a rough passage, the vessel even running aground at Anticosti in the St. Lawrence, the young adventurer reached Montreal. Carried away by the New World fever in the following year, his friend, McCallum also accepted the task, under the patronage of the Rev. David Jones, the Hudson Bay Chaplain at Red River, of founding a boarding school for the children of the Hudson Bay company officers and others at the headquarters of the company; and sailed by the company's ship early in 1833 to come by way of Hudson Bay, to the scene of his future labors. Young Thom established in Montreal a paper called *The Settler*, of which he was the chief editor and principal contributor, aided by some members of the "Beefsteak Club," which then existed there, of which the late James Charles Grant was one. He also entered on the study of law in Montreal, and with such diligence, that having his time shortened by one year because of his degrees, he was admitted to the Bar of Lower Canada in the year 1837.

To any of Mr. Thom's friends it was evident that there was in him to the end of his life a strange restlessness of disposition. It agrees completely with this that he should not have settled down to the routine of a lawyer's life. His disposition led him to take great interest in public affairs. He was in mental characteristics something of an independent thinker, and yet his conclusions were usually rather staid and ordinary. His mental bias was evidently that of a radical, while his social disposition led him to somewhat cling to prevailing ideas and customs. In method, he was a radical; in fact, he was conservative. It will be necessary to bear in mind this somewhat striking inharmoniousness, in order to understand some of the episodes of his life. Affairs in Montreal at this time were in a strained condition. It was shortly before the rebellion of 1837. The British colony in Lower Canada held the reins of power; the French Canadians were in a highly dissatisfied state. Louis Papineau was stirring up his French compatriots. In his seditious career he came out boldly for Republican principles. "The time has gone by," said Papineau, "when Europe could give monarchs to America. The epoch is approaching when America will give republics to Europe." Now Adam Thom, though, no doubt sympathizing with the just claim of the French Canadians for self government, was intensely British in feeling, and therefore entered with great ardour into the discussions then going on. Well educated, fond of society, which in Montreal was entirely under the control of the ruling powers, and with his career to make, the young lawyer threw himself into the wordy warfare, and wrote the Anti-Gallie Letters, signed "Camillus," remembered for many a day for their anti-French fervor and power; and also those signed "Anti-Bureaucrat," criticising the petition of the revolutionists of Lower Canada, presented to the British House of Commons; both of which series of letters were afterwards published in separate form. For a time he occupied the position of editor of the leading English journal of Lower Canada, the *Montreal Herald*. His prominence as a publicist naturally drew to him the attention of the Earl of Durham, who arrived in

Canada on his mission of pacification on May 29th, 1838. This brilliant nobleman, whose manliness and earnestness in assailing the existing abuses in Canada called forth from a French-Canadian writer the acknowledgement that "he was one of the truest friends misruled Canada ever had," and who did more for Canada in the short six months of his stay in the New World than any other Governor-General in his full term, had the faculty of associating with himself men of the greatest ability. As to the great report, which was, at the time, described "as one of the ablest and most important state papers of this age," Justin McCarthy says of him in his "History of our Own Times," "His policy for the Canadas was a great success. It established the principles of a colonial government." With him on his staff Lord Durham had brought over, as secretaries and assistants, three men of exceptional ability—Mr. Charles Buller (afterwards the Right Hon. Charles Buller, member of the British House of Commons); the brilliant though somewhat wayward, Edward Gibbon Wakefield; and Thomas Turton, a very clever barrister. To this group of able assistants the young lawyer, Adam Thom, was added, and in the train of the great Liberal Statesman he returned to Great Britain in the autumn of 1838, where he spent the winter in London.

In 1835 the Hudson's Bay Company received back from the Earl of Selkirk's heirs the transfer of the District of Assiniboine, which had been sold to the Earl in 1811. As the population of the Settlement had grown by this time to about 5,000 souls, it was deemed wise to have established some simple form of legal institutions. A council of fifteen members appointed by the Hudson Bay Company met at Fort Garry on the 12th of February of that year, and passed certain ordinances. Among these was one dividing the settlement into four districts, and establishing a quarterly court in each of these competent to deal with small amounts. Each of these courts was empowered to refer any case of doubt or difficulty to the Court of Governor and Council of Assiniboine, as the Red River Legislature and judicial body was called. The establishment of a Court of Appeal, such as had been decided on, and the fact that the Governor of the Colony was sometimes a trader and at other times a military officer, led the Company to consider the necessity of appointing a trained lawyer to adjudicate in such cases as might arise, and to give legal advice to the Company in its complicated business. Alexander Ross argues at some length against the need of this, but his reasons show he had little comprehension of the principles on which alone communities can advance. Sir George Simpson had met the young lawyer and political writer in Montreal, and offered Mr. Thom, on the completion of his engagement with Lord Durham, the new judgeship then decided on; and, accordingly, the first Recorder of Rupert's Land, or he is also called the President of the Red River Court, left England, came by way of New York, and reached Fort Garry in the spring of 1839. Sir George Simpson was credited with great shrewdness in making the appointments for the Hudson Bay Company. It is evident from the very considerable salary—£700 sterling a year—paid the new judge at a time when incomes were ridiculously low on Red River, as well as from the unanimous opinions of Ross, Bishop Mountain, Rev. John Ryerson, and James Hargrave, the historian of the Hudson Bay

Company, that Adam Thom was a man of decided ability, upright character and very extensive reading. It would seem to one now that a lawyer who had practised longer at the bar, and who had not been so pronounced as a publicist in Montreal, would have made a more impartial judge; but the fact that for ten years he administered law in the courts without complaint, would seem to show that the troubles, which arose in the later years of his judgeship, arose rather from the inevitable conflict between the Company and the people than from any fault of his.

We turn aside, for a little, to look at the career of Mr. Thom's college friend, John McCallum, who, as we have seen, came in 1833 to Red River, to establish what to-day has become St. John's College, with its affiliated schools; and it may be premised that in him we have one of the truest and most practical men of the old Red River Settlement. With the aid of his superior, Rev. Mr. Jones, buildings were erected between the southwest corner of the present St. John's churchyard and the river bank. In the year 1836, Mr. McCallum married the daughter of Chief Factor Charles, of the H. B. Co. The school steadily grew, and five years after its founding Rev. Mr. Jones returned to England. Mr. McCallum then became head of the institution, so that, when the old friends from Aberdeen met at Red River, the one, Judge Thom, was the head of the legal, the other, McCallum, of the educational interests of the wide extent of Rupert's Land. Originally, the boarding-school had been begun under the auspices of the Church Missionary Society, but at the time of the visit to Red River, in 1844, of Dr. Mountain, Bishop of Montreal, a change had taken place, for he says, "It is now conducted by Mr. McCallum on his own account with the help of an allowance from the company. It is really a nice establishment, and the premises attached to it have more neatness and finish than is common in young and remote settlements. The youths have a separate garden for their own amusement." Mr. McCallum had by his patience and industry taken such a hold on the community, that on the visit of the Bishop of Montreal it was deemed advisable to ordain him, which event took place on the 7th of July, 1844. Mr. McCallum's duties not only included the school but for the next three years the incumbency of the parish church, which then reckoned amongst its hearers all the people of Kildonan. Judge Thom had for several years taken up his abode at Lower Fort Garry. In the year 1846, the British Government, being in the midst of the contention with the United States over the Oregon question and probably on account the enforcement of the Company's claims, thought it wise to send out the 6th Royal regiment to Red River. The Lower Fort being required for the troops, Judge Thom was compelled to seek quarters elsewhere, and seems to have lived, for a year, three or four miles to the north of the fort. In 1847, he purchased the house, then just built by Chief Factor Charles, now known as Bishop's Court, the seat of the Metropolitan of Rupert's Land. Judge Thom refers with peculiar pleasure to the changes which had made him "door neighbor" to his old friend McCallum, "with nothing but a paddock between." The school was now at its height for there were in it more than fifty paying pupils, including girls. From it came A. K. Isbister, one of the most distinguished men born

in Rupert's Land, and to the "McCallum school" members of the older generation of Red River settlers look back with fond affection. Sad indeed was it for education and religion on Red River that Mr. McCallum died in 1849. Judge Thom became his executor, and Bishop Anderson, the first Bishop of Rupert's Land, arrived just in time to perform the funeral services of the worthy teacher.

On Mr. McCallum's death, the school immediately began to decline. Bishop Anderson was so busy with the other duties of his office, that the institution was suffered to languish. In 1855, a re-organization was attempted, a number of the leading people of the country were formed into a college board, the name of St. John's College was chosen, and the coat of arms, with the beautiful motto, "In Thy Light shall we see Light," adopted. In three or four years, the want of success compelled the closing of the college. In 1865 the present Bishop of Rupert's Land arrived at Red River. The McCallum school buildings had become a ruin. On his leaving on his first journey in his diocese, the bishop gave orders that they should be pulled down. This was partially done, but the central building was thought good enough to be preserved. It was accordingly spared, and those who have come to Manitoba even in recent years may remember the house occupied by the Rev. Samuel Pritchard—the remnant of the McCallum buildings. Bishop Machray refounded St. John's College in 1866, from which time it has had an ever increasing and prosperous existence. In memory of Mr. McCallum, his widow and daughter presented at various times to St. John's College an excellent anemometer, a good microscope and other instruments, etc. Judge Thom always took a deep interest in St. John's College, being one of its honorary fellows; and was also a benefactor of the Manitoba (Presbyterian) College.

From his high position and public sympathies, Judge Thom became a most influential man in the Red River Settlement. He had a marvellous gift of languages. He was exceedingly approachable, and his ardent temperament led him to do all sorts of kind services for those who sought his assistance. When the Bishopric of Rupert's Land was founded, he became the Registrar; when the Kildonan church wanted a deed, he drew it up, and made it so firm in its provisions that when changes were necessary a few years ago in the tenure they were very difficult to make. Though the agent of the Hudson Bay Company, and therefore bound to carry out the policy of the Company, as to not encouraging the entrance of too many religious bodies on Red River, he is said to have had a hand at the same time in framing the petitions forwarded to London by the Presbyterians of Kildonan. The Rev. John Ryerson, on his visit to Red River in 1854, tells of his going down to Kildonan to hear a lecture from Judge Thom "On the state and progress of the Red River Settlement," and the hearer says that the subject was treated "with great eloquence, beauty and ability." In the Council held at Fort Garry, the judge was a leading spirit, and we are told that by the people generally "his influence was regarded as disproportionately great." The Council being looked upon as the instrument of the Hudson's Bay Company, it is quite evident that his being a ruling influence

in that body would subject him to severe criticism by the people, and that to a certain extent his influence as a Judge would be lost. As already stated, the relations of the settlers on the Red River to the Hudson Bay Company had become very unsatisfactory. The Company, by their charter, no doubt had a monopoly of the fur trade. But the mass of the people being hunters, and finding it difficult to gain a living otherwise, hardly recognized this—and indeed the Company had not enforced their claim. For some reason, according to some, on Judge Thom's advice—it was decided to enforce the right of Company. Accordingly, in 1844, Governor Christie issued two proclamations, one of them requiring each settler, before the Company would carry any goods for him, to make a declaration that for the past winter he had not, directly or indirectly, engaged in the fur trade; the other proclamation required the writer of any letter, which was sent by post to write his name on the outside, and should he not have made the declaration required as to trading in furs, then his letter must be deposited in the office, open, to be examined before being sent. These were tyrannical and severe enactments. Cases are cited in which settlers, traders, and even missionaries, were caused much inconvenience and loss by these stringent regulations. The governor and the legal adviser, Judge Thom, naturally received the greater part of popular disapproval. The French half-breeds took the lead in the agitation against the Company. A strange story is related as to the way in which the English half-breeds who had hitherto supported the claim of the Company, came to throw in their lot with their French fellow-countrymen. A company officer had left his two daughters at Fort Garry to be educated. One of them was the object of the affection of a young Scotch half-breed, and at the same time of a young Highlander. The young lady is said to have preferred the Metis, but the fond parent favored the young Highlander. The Scotchman, fortified by the father's approval, proceeded to upbraid the Metis for his temerity in aspiring to the hand of one so high in society as the lady. As love ruined Troy, so it is said this affair joined French and English half-breeds in a union to defeat the Company.

During the five years after the publication of the proclamation, a constant agitation was going on among the French. The leader of this uproar bore a name better known to the present generation as that of his son, Louis Riel. Riel, the elder, was born at Isle a la Crosse, and was the son of a French Canadian father and a French half-breed mother. He was educated in Lower Canada, came to the Northwest to enter the service of the Company, and was for two years a novice in the Oblate order. He afterwards built a water mill on the Seine, three or four miles from St. Boniface, made a canal nine miles long to feed it, and was married to one of the well-known Lagimodiere family, and from this union sprang Louis Riel of rebellion notoriety. The miller of the Seine was a very capable man; had a great power over his fellow-countrymen; and was a born agitator. When popular feeling had been thoroughly roused, it happened that in 1849 Guillaume Sayer, a French half-breed trader, bought goods, intending to go on a trading expedition to Lake Manitoba. It was determined to arrest Sayer and three of his associates. This was done, but Sayer alone was kept in prison.

As the day of the trial drew near, the excitement grew intense. Governor Coldwell was known to be obstinate. Judge Thom, it was remembered, had written the famous "Anti-Gallic letter" in Montreal; he was, moreover, said to be the director of the policy of restriction, and a strong Company man. The day of trial had been fixed for Ascension day, May 17th, and this was taken as a religious affront by the French. The court was to meet in the morning. On the day of the trial, hundreds of French Metis, armed, came from all the settlements to St. Boniface church, and, leaving their guns at the door of the church, entered for service. At the close, they gathered together and were addressed in a fiery oration by Louis Riel. A fellow-countryman writing of the matter says: "Louis Riel obtained a veritable triumph on that occasion, and long and loud the hurrahs were repeated by the echoes of the Red River." Crossing by way of Point Douglas, the Metis surrounded the unguarded court house at Fort Garry. The governor and Judge arrived and took their seats at eleven o'clock. A curious scene then ensued, the magistrates protesting against the violence, Riel in loud tones declaring that they would give the tribunal one hour, and that if justice was not done, then they would do it themselves. An altercation then took place between Judge Thom and Riel, and with his loud declaration: "*Et je declare que dis ce moment Sayer est libre*"——drowned by the shouts of the Metis, the trial was over, and Sayer and his fellow prisoners betook themselves to freedom, while the departing Metis cried out: "*Le commerce est libre! le commerce est libre! vive la libre.*" This crisis was a serious one. Judge Thom, at the suggestion of Sir George Simpson, did not take his place on the bench for a year, though he still held his position and his emoluments. It was the end of the attempt of the Company to enforce its distasteful monopoly.

The constitution of the Court at Fort Garry made it quite possible for the Recorder to absent himself, and for the Governor and associated magistrates to carry on the business. About a year after the Sayer affair, a very complicated case arose in which what would be called the leaders of society at Fort Garry were involved. It was a quarrel of Company officials. Capt. Foss, staff officer of the pensioners, brought an action for defamation of character against Trader Pelly and his wife and two other persons for connecting his name dishonorably with the family of the gentleman in charge of Fort Garry. Governor Simpson and Judge Thom examined into the case privately, and on the occasion of the trial Judge Thom took his seat again as Recorder, though apparently much to the displeasure of Governor Coldwell. After this, for a year, with the approval of Simpson, the Recorder did not sit. Records of other cases than those mentioned are found to-day in proceedings. Up to the year 1849 the work done by Judge Thom seems to have been very satisfactory and efficient. Col. Crofton testified that in 1847 the legal business was done in a perfectly smooth and successful manner. In 1848, Judge Thom delivered judgment on the Calder case, involving the jurisdiction of the Hudson's Bay Company, and that has been quoted with approval as an important opinion in the Supreme Court of Canada by a prominent Q. C. of this city.

Fifteen years of service in the remote and isolated settlement of Red River had enabled the Recorder to accumulate a handsome competence. His friend McCallum was dead, and the dissensions between the Company and the people made it disagreeable for the well abused judge to remain in his New World sphere. He accordingly resigned, and returning by way of York Factory sailed from that port in the Company's ship, "The Prince of Wales" on the 20th of September, 1854, with wife and son. On the vessel was the Arctic explorer, Dr. Rae, who had just found the first traces of Sir John Franklin; and also the Rev. John Ryerson, who has left a written account of the voyage, which proved to be tedious and dangerous, taking nearly six weeks to London. In the second year after his return, Judge Thom received the honorary degree of L. L. D., from his own University at Aberdeen in recognition of his attainments; and on the granting of a constitution for a university of Manitoba, in 1877, he was nominated one of the first six Honorary Fellows of St. John's College. He lived at Edinburgh in what might seem to be his declining years, but removed to London in 1870 and took up his abode in his well known residence, 49 Torrington Square, a score of years longer. The family of his departed friend were a constant care to him. For them he always showed a passionate regard.

The Bishop of Montreal, on his visit to Fort Garry in 1844, mentions that at that time Recorder Thom "was deeply engaged latterly in Biblical studies." In 1821, at Aberdeen he had joined the Hebrew class. But like numbers of great students he had become involved in the seemingly hopeless mazes of the interpretation of the prophecies of Scripture. In 1847, he completed for publication his work, entitled "The Chronology of Prophecy," on the typical character of what he calls "Abraham's 430 years." An active mind like that of Judge Thom must have something on which to work. In not having enough to fill up his time and utilize his energies, he must have some abstruse line of study. His mind seems to have had a bent towards mathematics, and his inclination and probably early training led him to a minute study of the Bible, even in the original tongues. As showing his bent toward figures, the writer remembers Judge Thom saying that he never got into a London omnibus—many of whose figures run up into the thousands—without resolving the number into its factors, and combining them in every possible manner. Nothing delighted him so much as to get an appreciative listener, and to refer for an hour at a time to the marvellous events of history, and to show that they were not isolated, but were part of a great system of development.

In summing up the life of the first Judge of Rupert's Land, it is evident we are dealing with a man of great activity and capacity. He was perfectly at home in the Greek and Latin classics; he was a Hebrew scholar and well acquainted with our own literature. He was well versed in law, and gave his opinions with fullness and decision. An active newspaper writer in his earlier days, he always maintained a lively interest in public affairs. It was his misfortune to be ousted between the two strong forces of a great trading company's interest, and the natural aspirations of a people after freedom. No doubt this wounded his proud spirit deeply, and prevented him from

ever visiting the Red River again as he would have liked to have done. He was no trimmer; he was not even politic. He had strength of feeling and tenacity of purpose. Though somewhat difficult to work with, yet he was open and at heart kind and considerate. Passing away as he did on the 21st of February of this year (1890), in his eighty-eighth year, in a quiet old age, we may well drop a sympathetic tear to the memory of the honest old warrior.—*From the Records of the Historical and Scientific Society of Manitoba (1890).*

CALDER'S CASE—SAYER'S CASE.

We draw the particular attention of the profession and of our readers generally to the reports of these celebrated cases, which we have felt constrained to print in full in this issue. We refer to the former because of the Recorder's very able and exhaustive analysis of the rights of the Hudson's Bay Company, which have attracted so much attention lately, and also because it is the first written judgment ever delivered in the Courts of the country that has come down to us; to the latter because of the historical interest with which it is associated, and also to give the official report of a trial concerning which many writers have given free reign to fancy and exaggeration without taking the trouble to ascertain what the parties chiefly interested had to say in the matter. Neither of these cases have been printed before.

COURT OF GOVERNOR AND COUNCIL.

DISTRICT OF ASSINIBOIA, }
RUPERT'S LAND. }

IN THE CASE OF JAMES CALDER.*

At an ordinary meeting of the Court which was held on Thursday the seventeenth day of August in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and forty-eight.

Present: †

ALEXR. CHRISTIE, Esqre.
ADAM THOM, "
JOHN BUNN, "
ALEXR. ROSS, "

* Taken verbatim from vol. 1, pp. 100-114 incl., of the General Quarterly Court Records deposited in the Legislative Library at Winnipeg.

† Of these gentlemen, Mr. Christie was Governor of Assiniboia, Mr. Thom, Recorder of Rupert's Land, Mr. Bunn, Councillor of Assiniboia, and Mr. Ross, Sheriff of Assiniboia.

Mr. Recorder Thom commenced the proceedings by reading his opinion in the case to this effect :—

“James Calder has been brought into Red River Settlement to be tried before us for a felonious homicide alleged to have been committed by him in Peace River, which, after passing through Great Slave Lake, continues its course to the Arctic Ocean under the name of McKenzie's River.

Have we jurisdiction in the matter? Individually, I answer in the affirmative, submitting at the same time, the grounds of my opinion to my brethren on the bench. But before I enter on the subject, I beg to express my satisfaction, that, on a point of such difficulty and importance, my habitual mode of discharging my duty has not left me at liberty to be influenced by the circumstances of the case under consideration. I need not remind you that I have uniformly embraced every opportunity of binding myself down in the absence of most of the extraneous guarantees of judicial impartiality, by the enunciation of every general principle that can be brought to bear on any particular issue. In pursuance of this rule of action, I have already twice anticipated the very opinion, which I am now about to justify and support. Six years ago I communicated it to my official superiors in an essay on the trade and judicature of what is commonly known as the Indian Territories ; and in February, 1845, I embodied the same in a detailed view of our jurisdiction, which I addressed along with various other matters, to the Grand Jury of this district.

To come to the merits of the case.

The Hudson's Bay Company's charter, on the 9th page of the printed copy, conveys “the sole trade and commerce of all those Seas, Streights, Bays, Rivers, Lakes, Creeks and Sounds, in whatever latitude they shall be, that lie within the entrance of the Streights commonly called Hudson's Streights, together with all the lands, etc.” Coupled with the professed object of finding “a new passage into the South Sea,” nothing can fairly be held to “lie within” the starting point of the royal grant, excepting what may be continuously connected with “Hudson's Streights” by means of the sea. Now, down to the discovery of the straits of the Fury and Hecla, Hudson's Bay alone, in addition, of course, to Hudson's Straits, was known to “lie within” the starting point in question. As, previously to such discovery, the competition between the Hudson's Bay Company and the fur traders of Canada had come to a close, the only matter in dispute between the rival associations with respect to the extent of Charles the Second's Letters Patent, as distinguished from their validity, was not how much of the coast, but how much of the adjacent interior the charter comprised, so that the distinguished lawyers on either side were not required to consider the stretch of the charter to the westward.

But the discovery of the Straits of the Fury and Hecla, under the light of other discoveries, brought within the range of the charter the whole of the westward coast as far as the borders of Russian America, showing the same to “lie within” the entrance of Hudson's Straits. The Hudson's Bay Company, it is true, did not alone affect all those discoveries. This fact, however, does not stand in the way of the aforesaid interpretation of the Company's

claims. The charter does not limit the Company in this respect to the enjoyment of its own discoveries; on the contrary, moreover, the 18 Geo. 2, ch. 17,—a statute passed to encourage and reward the search for a North-West Passage—expressly saves the “estate, etc.,” of the Hudson’s Bay Company. In the analogous case, too, of the South Sea Company, the 9 Ann. ch. 21, s. 50, vested in that corporation all the islands that might be discovered within certain limits whether by its own ships or by those of the Crown.

Nor was the extent of the grant, which this interpretation claims, at all inconsistent with the spirit of the seventeenth century. Carolina, which, according to its charter, was private property as well as Rupert’s Land, covered the whole width of the continent from the Atlantic to the Pacific; and New France, as vested in a number of individuals by Louis the Thirteenth, was to extend in length from Florida to the Arctic Circle, and in breadth from Newfoundland to Lake Huron, and thence as much farther westward as the parties interested might carry the Gallic name.

But in another respect also, the charter has had a growing accomplishment, and that, too, beyond the most liberal construction of its language. According to the terms alike of the application and of the grant, the Company’s Territories, within the general limits aforesaid, were to be restricted to such places as were “not already actually possessed by or granted to any of our subjects or possessed by the subjects of any other Christian Prince or State.” Now there is reason to believe, that before, the fur traders of New France had reached some of the tributaries of Hudson’s Bay. If such a fact could have been clearly established, then the entire extent of French possession would have been lost to the charter, even on its own showing. But the actual right would, in all probability, have been very different, for the treaty of Utrecht, without enquiring into the origin or duration of French possession, transferred to the Company the French portion, whatever it might be, of the territories as generally described in the charter. The gradual extension to the westward therefore of the maritime border of the Company’s territories rests at once on the essential terms of the grant, and on the historical analogies of the inland boundary. Then, as the charter includes not merely “Seas and Streights,” but “Rivers and Lakes”—thus, in fact, comprising everything back to the height of land—Peace River, as a matter of course, must follow the fortunes of the spot where its waters discharge themselves into the ocean, being just as much within the chartered territories as the mouth of MacKenzie’s River, neither more certainly so, nor less certainly so.

Were I not anxious, at this distance from the busy world, to render these observations as complete as possible, I should hardly deem it necessary to anticipate an objection that may be founded on the use, whether chartered or popular, of the words “Hudson’s Bay.” If the South Sea Company held by one and the same term and from one and the same date, not only the American coast of the Pacific, but also a considerable part of the Atlantic coasts of Spanish America, then may the Hudson’s Bay Company consistently claim to hold something more than the shores of an inland sea from which it takes its name. Again, to cite the more formal title of the corporation

last mentioned, surely the Adventurers of England "trading into Hudson's Bay" might without violating the proprieties of language, have sailed *through it or beyond it*, even if the document that incorporated them had not contemplated the finding of "a new Passage into the South Sea," and, in fact, the very document in question stated in its preamble, that Prince Rupert and his associates had "undertaken an expedition for Hudson's Bay in the North-West part of America for the discovery, etc." But even if not included in the primary and absolute grant aforesaid, Peace River may still be ours, under a secondary and contingent provision of the charter. That instrument on its twelfth page grants "unto the said Governor and Company, and their successors, that they shall forever hereafter have, use and enjoy not only the whole entire and only Trade and Traffick and the whole and entire and only Liberty, Use and Privilege of Trading and Trafficking to and from the Territory, Limits and Places aforesaid, but also the whole and entire Trade and Traffick to and from all Havens, Bays, Creeks, Rivers, Lakes and Seas into which they shall find entrance or passage by Water or Land out of the Territories, Limits and Places aforesaid." In this case where the Company's enjoyment was to depend on the Company's discovery, there was contemplated something very different from the mere coast which might from time to time be ascertained to "lie within the entrance of the Hudson's Streights" in as much as these adjacent or subsidiary regions might be reached either by water or by land, or by both land and water. If a practicable "Passage into the South Sea" had been found, the secondary and contingent grant might have comprised the shores of the Pacific, provided such shores were visited through such passage, thus bringing the Hudson's Bay Company into lawful competition with the monopolies of the South Sea Company and the East India Company, just as these two monopolies potentially came into lawful competition with each other, the one by the Cape of Good Hope and the other by Cape Horn. Again, though a practicable channel was never discovered along the Arctic Ocean, yet the secondary and contingent grant might have embraced such of the shores of the Pacific as lay across the Rocky Mountains; something of the kind would appear to have been in the view of the framers of the charter, for in the sequel of the last quotation, the inhabitants of the primary and absolute grant are differently described from those of the secondary and contingent, the former being characterized as "Natives and People," and the latter as "Nations."

Now, Lieutenant Hearne, journeying "by land" on behalf of the Hudson's Bay Company, was the first to penetrate into that part of this country, which discharges its waters into the Arctic Ocean, as distinguished from Hudson's Bay, having preceeded by about seven years, the earliest visit of the fur traders of Canada. Besides following the Coppermine River to its mouth, that officer, incomparably the most enterprising of all the northern discoverers, crossed Great Slave Lake, at once the receptacle of Peace River and the reservoir of Mackenzie's River, in 1771 or 1772, while it was only in 1778 that the Company's Canadian opponents first surmounted the Portage La Loche; so that as the primary and absolute grant was to extend from the shores of the sea to the height of land, both Peace River and Mackenzie's

River, as appendages of Great Slave Lake, then clearly fell by parity of construction within the range of the grant which was secondary and contingent.

To sum up the whole, Peace River under either grant, appears to me to be embraced within the limits of the Company's chartered right of exclusive trade.

Nor has the Company, to the best of my knowledge and belief, sacrificed any of its privilege in the premises by its acceptance of the royal licence, as sanctioned by the 1 and 2 Geo. 4, ch. 66. That statute authorized the issuing of a licence or of licences for trading with the Indians "in all such parts of North America as shall be specified in any such grants or licences respectively, not being part of the lands or territories heretofore granted to the said Governor and Company of Adventurers of England trading to Hudson's Bay and not being," etc. When taken in connection with the avowed aim of the statute to put an end to competition by converting a hitherto open commerce into a monopoly, the exemption of the "Territories" heretofore granted to the Hudson's Bay Company, would, under a fair and liberal construction, comprise as well the regions of the secondary and contingent grant as those of that which was primary and absolute. If so, any licence for Peace River—a stream embraced, as already shown, under either grant—would so far be null and void. In all probability, however, no tract of Wilderness has been particularly specified in the Company's licence, such specification not being necessary for any particular purpose in favor of the chartered holders of the exempted territories. In fact, the license could not be expressed in any terms more advantageous to the Company than the very words of the statute, for in this way alone could it make sure of covering all that the charter had left, or might thereafter be found to leave uncovered.

To bring all this to bear on the question at issue, the chartered privilege of trading carried with it the rights of judicature. The statute, on its sixteenth page, ordained "that all Lands, Islands, Territories, Plantations, Forts and Fortifications, Factories or Colonies, where the said Company's Factories and Trade are or shall be within any of the Parts or Places aforesaid, shall be immediately and from henceforth under the Power and Command of the Said Governor and Company, their successors and Assigns: Saving the Faith and Allegiance due to be performed to us, Our Heirs and Successors."

There would, however, be this difference. If Peace River, under the primary and absolute grant, should form part of Rupert's Land, then would the Company, as feudatory sovereign of the country, have jurisdiction over all and sundry the inhabitants of the same, subject, however, to the restrictions laid down with regard to the native tribes in the charge already mentioned to the grand jury; but if Peace River under the secondary and contingent grant should merely fall within the commercial monopoly, then would the Company, as not being in any sense sovereign of the country, have jurisdiction over those only who may live in its actual employment or under its actual protection. But in the present case, this distinction would be of no moment, in as much as James Calder, the prisoner, had gone to Peace River and was still remaining there as one of the Company's engaged servants.

Nor has the Company ever been deprived of its chartered jurisdiction, though the 43 Geo. 3, ch. 138, apparently intended to place the whole of the North-West under the criminal jurisdiction of the courts in Canada, yet a question was immediately raised whether or not its provisions affected Rupert's Land; and the 1 and 2 Geo. 4, ch. 66, after recording in the most authentic forms the doubts on the subject and deciding the same in favor of the extraneous tribunals enacted, in a rider, "that nothing in this act contained shall be taken or construed to effect any Right, Privilege, Authority or jurisdiction which the Governor and Company of Adventurers trading to Hudson's Bay are by Law entitled to claim and exercise under their Charter."

Under the operation of this rider, our jurisdiction remains in its original state; if it ever existed in Peace River it still does so.

But, even supposing our jurisdiction never to have existed in Peace River, and supposing also, as a matter of course, the jurisdiction of Canada to have been extended over the stream in question, I have still taken the responsibility, as resident counsel of the Hudson's Bay Company of declining to recommend that James Calder should be sent to Canada for trial. It is my opinion, as embodied long ago in the aforesaid essay and charge,* that the 3 and 4 Vic., ch. 35, commonly known as the Act of Union, has not saved to United Canada the jurisdiction vested in the separate provinces by the 43 Geo. 3, ch. 138, and the 1 and 2 Geo. 4, ch. 66.

These statutes, best observed, did not merely provide for the trial in Canada of such persons as were accused of having committed crimes in the North-West. They gave both to the judiciary and to the legislature of either province an authority, not the less certain for it being incidental, in and over the Indian Territories in general, and they moreover gave to the Governor of the Lower Province, the power of appointing magistrates, not only in and for the remoter Wilderness, but also in and for at least a part of the Upper Province itself. This jurisdiction, too complex and peculiar to be saved by any general phraseology whatever, does not seem to me ever to have been contemplated by the framers of the saving or revising sections of the Act of Union.

But the jurisdiction of Canada, even if valid in point of law, is utterly repugnant to justice and humanity. Let us follow a prosecution through its various stages. To quote the very words of the law, as embodied in the two correlative statutes, "Any person or persons guilty," *guilty* being a slovenly substitute for *accused*, "of any crime or offence" may without the intervention of a single magistrate or the guarantee of a single affidavit, be dragged to Canada, from the farthest wilds of the North-West "by any person or persons whatever." During the journey the reputed offender, if he is really to be prevented from escaping, must be subjected to the most severe restraint, in as much as to say nothing of the temptations and facilities of the American settlement of Sault Ste Marie, the hardy denizen of the wilderness

*Vide p. 2. We can find no trace of these valuable papers. They must be in the Hudson's Bay House, London.

may clude his keepers in almost every encampment and on almost every portage. After a period of coercion ranging from six to eighteen months, according to the locality of the crime and the season of the year, the prisoner of "any person or persons whatever" is brought, probably for the first time, within the cognizance of law. If he is then discharged, the oppression of the system needs no comment of mine. But if he is formally committed for trial, he must pass several months in gaol before steps can be taken for the summoning of witnesses, and before he can be confronted with the witnesses for the prosecution, or have the benefit of those for the defense, he must, under the most favorable circumstances, lie two years longer in the prisons of what may to him be a foreign land.

But, the reputed offender's preliminary difficulties are not yet over. His trial may be delayed on various grounds, and to give an instance of this, the very last case, and that is now twelve years old, was postponed from one half-yearly court to another, because neither bench nor bar possessed, or could procure a copy of the very statutes which conferred the jurisdiction. When he is put on his trial at last, the investigation of the truth is more likely than otherwise to suffer from the want of competent interpreters; and even the jurors themselves are almost inevitably deficient in knowledge of local customs and local character.

Meanwhile, the prisoner may be innocent, while the witnesses, who certainly are so, are enduring in the absence of their wives and families, a punishment perhaps heavier than the prisoner himself, even if guilty, may deserve.

Under these circumstances is it too much to hope, that the jurisdiction over what are commonly known as the Indian Territories, if it is not ours already, may be vested in us by the Imperial Parliament, more particularly as the Governor of the District of Assiniboia is henceforward likely to bear a closer relation than before to the Crown.

I beg, therefore, to move, that James Calder, for whose trial in fact, the witnesses are not in this district, be detained in custody, and that a copy of this opinion and also of the evidence in the case be transmitted with the least possible delay to their Honors."

In this motion the other members of the Court unanimously expressed their concurrence. †

† On p. 154 of the same volume, occurs the following entry, which explains itself. There are no signatures.

"William Cochrane and Robert Sutherland hereby promise and bind themselves in a Bond of Ten Pounds Sterling each for the safe keeping of James Calder, in open court this seventeenth day of May, One thousand eight hundred and forty-nine.

District of Assiniboia,
Red River Settlement.

GENERAL QUARTERLY COURT.*

Held at the Court House, on the seventeenth of May, one thousand eight hundred and forty-nine.

Present :

MAJOR CALDWELL, Governor of Assiniboia, President.
 ADAM THOM, Esqre., Recorder of Rupert's Land.
 ALEXANDER ROSS, Esqre., Councillor of Assiniboia, J. P.
 JOHN BUNN, Esqre., do do J. P.
 CUTHBERT GRANT, Esqre., do do J. P.

17th May, 1849.

The Honble. Hudson's
 Bay Company,

v.

Pierre Guilleaum Sayer.

On the defendant being called to answer to the charge† against him a considerable time elapsed before he could be found, but on the assurance of Sheriff Ross that he was near at hand the Court waited, and the Sheriff left the bench in search of him but in place of the defendant coming to the bar of the court, James Sinclair, Peter Garrioch and many others presented themselves as Delegates from a great number of armed Half-Breeds who were outside the court. James Sinclair handed a paper through the Clerk of the Court to the Governor. After the members of the Bench had read the paper, the Recorder asked Js. Sinclair in what capacity they appeared there. He answered, "As Delegates of the people. The Recorder replied that they could not be received in a Court of Justice in that capacity, and then explained to them from the Honble. H. B. Coy's charter, that as the charter then stood the company had the exclusive right of trade, and until that charter was set aside by Act of Parliament any person transgressing by trading was committing a breach of the laws. Sinclair here mentioned that many eminent characters in the Houses of Parliament in England entertained great doubts as to the validity of the H. B. Coy's charter, and in support of which assertion he handed to the bench a *Times* News-Paper of the month of August last. After some further discussion it was offered to Sinclair to plead for the Defendant Sayer

* This Court is the same as the Court of the Governor and Council mentioned on p. 1 in which the preceding judgment *re Calder* was pronounced. The name here given was the one by which it was almost invariably known, though the former is really the fuller and perhaps more correct title. In the records of the Court itself, however, the title in this case is the one employed with but very rare exceptions. This report is extracted verbatim from the General Quarterly Court Records, vol. 1, pp. 151, *et seq.*, in the Legislative Library at Winnipeg. We have made no attempt to alter any of the mistakes or inaccuracies of the clerk.

† That of trading furs in the H. B. Coy's territories without a license from them to do so.

and leave given for him and his party to withdraw and consult on the same, and the offer made by the Recorder to Sinclair that he might sit as Foreman, and Garrioch on the Jury if he wished to do so; all that he wished was a fair and impartial trial. The party then withdrew. After some considerable time Sinclair appeared at the bar with Sayer and the Jurors who had sat on the former cases were still in the box, when Sinclair objected to five of them, and eleven others whose names were called; at last the Jury was as follows:

Mr. Donald Gunn.	Mr. John Vincent.
“ William Thomas.	“ Robert Sandison.
“ James Tait,	“ Prospero Ducliarne.
“ Narcisse Marion.	“ Francois Bruneau.
“ Philip Kennedy.	“ Martin Lavallo.
“ James Monkman.	“ Dominique Ducliarne.

Magnus Linklater, Post Master in the Honorable H. B. Coy's service, being duly sworn and interrogated, deposed:—That the Defendant brought the Furs to the Company's shop, and I asked him if he had traded them, he replied that he had traded some and the others he had hunted himself. He said he had killed some foxes. I did not take the skins from him at that time.

Cross questioned by Sinclair—He did not say whether he hunted the furs this year or three years or ten years past. All which was truth as the deponent should answer to God.

Louison Sayer, being duly sworn and interrogated, deposed:—That he was out with his father hunting and does not know that his father killed anything more than one Red Fox, my father got a present from his relations of a few skins, 2 Parchment skins, 3 Cat skins, and one Martin skin, he traded some furs from the half-breeds; Blankets was the principal articles he traded with. I saw him give a dram of spirits to the people whom he was trading with; does not know if his father got any more furs in a present than those he mentioned before. All which was truth as the deponent should answer to God.

Antoine Morin, being duly sworn and interrogated, deposed:—That Chief Factor, I. E. Harriott, of the H. B. Coy., asked me if I intended to go out to trade and if I did to come to him and he would give me advances for that purpose. All which was truth as the deponent should answer to God.

Alexe Goulet, being duly sworn and interrogated, deposed:—That he had bought a horse from Chief Factor Harriott and had told Mr. Harriott that he would pay him with furs he would trade, and he requested that I should not go near Doctor Todd's Fort. All which was truth as the deponent should answer to God.

The deponent here stated that when Mr. Harriott advanced him credit in the winter he forbid him to trade with Indians but said nothing about Half-Breeds. Chief Factor John Ballenden, of the Hon'ble H. B. Coy., denied this as he himself had told him explicitly to be sure that he did not trade and if he could not pay his debt in furs, he would take salt from him for the balance that might be due in the spring, and that it was solely on his recommendation that Mr. Harriott advanced him, as the character of the Defen-

dant previously had been very indifferent and Mr. Harriott did not wish to have anything to do with him.

The Recorder, after summing up the evidence, addressed the Jury and explained to them the Rights of the H. B. C. to the trade. The Jury withdrew and after some time returned into court with a verdict: That Pierre Guilleaum Sayer is guilty of Trading Furs.

The Foreman, Mr. D. Gunn, then addressed Chief Factor John Ballenden, as the Prosecutor in this case, recommending the Defendant to mercy as it appeared that he thought he had a right to trade as he and others were under the impression that there was a free trade. Mr. Ballenden immediately stated that the company did not value the Furs which had been traded, but it was the principle of the transaction which he looked at, but since a Jury has now given a verdict against the illicit trading he willingly acceded to the recommendation of the Jury and should drop the other three cases.

Charge to the Grand Jury of Assiniboa.

20th, FEBRUARY, 1845.

GENTLEMEN OF THE GRAND JURY,

1. In that country, from which we derive our laws, grand jurors are never permitted to enter on their duty without being specially reminded of its nature and importance. If this precaution be expedient in England, where respectable individuals are so frequently required to act as the pioneers of criminal justice, it must be more than expedient in Rupert's Land, inasmuch as grand juries, besides being so seldom summoned to take part in our judicial proceedings, are altogether unknown to the jurisprudence of that country, whence many, if not most of you, in common with a majority of the bench, derive your origin or education. In Scotland, the country to which I have just alluded, the grand jury's essential business of preferring an accusation before those, who are to ascertain its truth or its falsehood, is performed by one of the highest officers of the government, who may, in general terms, be said to resemble the attorney-general of England.

2. But this peculiarity of the Law of Scotland, over and above furnishing me with a special reason for more than ordinary fullness of explanation, cannot fail to impress you with a solemn and grateful sense of the confiding liberality of the Law of England; which, while it actually screens the collective community from the possibility of official oppression, virtually raises its individual members to the level of one, who is more decidedly superior to me in knowledge and in station, than I am to the least intelligent or the humblest of yourselves.

3. Pondering, therefore, on the dignity of your position, and on the responsibility of my own, and feeling anxious both to protect myself against misconception and to instruct you with precision, I have resolved to speak from this paper, and to hand the same, for your more mature deliberation, to your able and experienced foreman. In other lands, such expositions of law

and judicature almost invariably reach the general ear in all the genuineness of their original force; and, though the institutions of this remote corner of the earth cannot vindicate themselves with a similar voice; yet they undoubtedly possess, in the utmost possible publicity, their best armour of defence against ignorant or interested misrepresentations.

4. Upwards of five years ago, when I had the honor of addressing, for the first and last time, so numerous and influential a body of my fellow-citizens, I strictly confined myself, as perhaps best became a stranger, to the mere discussion of the single case that was to be considered,—a discussion, by-the-by, so extensive and so intricate as in itself to demand all the time that could conveniently be spared, either by the community or by the Court. Now, however, I propose to follow a more comprehensive course; and, though I am happy thus publicly to acknowledge the attention and patience of preceding juries; yet I must, in candour, bespeak on your part a somewhat unusual share of patience and attention, relying on your having brought to the investigation of a fellow-creature's premature death, a self-denying disregard of any temporary inconvenience.

5. To give a summary of what I shall hereafter offer in detail, you wield, as a grand jury, two branches of authority, the power of indicting under such bills as may be submitted to you, and the power of presenting of your own accord any breaches, whether direct or indirect, whether essential or collateral, of the criminal law: and, as the case of alleged homicide which has called you together will, from the national origin of both parties, involve a nice and difficult inquiry as to the extent of our legal control over pure Indians, I shall lay before you, at considerable length, a preliminary sketch of our general jurisdiction, civil as well as criminal, as the best, or, perhaps, the only, means of expounding and illustrating my views of the special jurisdiction in question.

6. If we had to consider merely our jurisdiction in the abstract, or if we held under a written deed of delegation any specific jurisdiction, that was not repugnant to the fundamental principles of justice, we should, in either case, be satisfied with knowing, that we derived our authority from the actual rulers of the country, whether they might be its rightful rulers or not. But when, in the absence of any definite commission, we are constrained to betake ourselves for minute information to the letters patent of Charles the Second, we have an immediate interest in the validity of that document, as being, in such a case, our only guide in ascertaining our specific jurisdiction, and our only defender in justifying the same. If the Charter be good, then our safe course is to interpret it well; but, if the Charter be bad, the best interpretation cannot shield us from the penal consequences of usurping the judicial control of property, liberty and life.

7. Down to the date of the Charter, the crown of England confessedly possessed, and habitually exercised, the right of exclusively granting foreign trade and colonial dominion to private individuals or to public corporations without the consent of the houses of parliament. Now, though the parliament subsequently limited this high prerogative; yet it did so, not by formally repealing it, but merely by practically superseding it; thus tacitly admitting the validity of all its preceding results. But the royal grants in question

were repeatedly fortified with parliamentary sanction in the most express and positive terms, so as to be rendered valid, even if originally defective; and perhaps no document was ever more frequently confirmed by the paramount authorities of any country than the Charter of Prince Rupert and his distinguished associates.

8. By 7 & 8 Will. 3, ch. 22, the proprietary plantations, such as Rupert's Land, were regulated in such terms as expressly involved a parliamentary recognition of all royal grants of colonial dominion. By 6 Ann, ch. 37,—a statute which proposed to facilitate the colonial trade—all the estates, rights, and privileges of the Hudson's Bay Company were declared to be saved, notwithstanding the tenor and tendency of the Act itself; so that here was a general recognition of the whole Charter with a special reference to its commercial provisions. By 18 Geo. 2, ch. 17, a considerable reward was offered for the discovery of a north-west passage through Hudson's Straits; and, even against this possible instance of nominal encroachment, the Charter of Rupert's Land was again saved, in the same words, and with at least the same effect,—a saving the more remarkable, inasmuch as it was almost entirely superfluous. By 14 Geo. 3, ch. 83, the northern boundary of Canada was to be southern boundary of "the territory granted" to the Hudson's Bay Company, the parliamentary province merely claiming to the northward what the letter of the royal grant, without regard to actual possession, might leave unappropriated. By 1 & 2 Geo. 4, ch. 66, the Charter of Rupert's Land was twice expressly recognised: its first section, though its single object was to prevent competition, yet confined the license to the country not covered by the Charter; thus positively saving, as in the last mentioned case, the extent of territory, and negatively assuming the right of trade as an already existing security against the dreaded evil; and the closing section of the Act revived, in the most emphatic language, the chartered jurisdiction which one of the intermediate sections had extinguished. But this statute, when taken in connexion with the statute which it amended, would clearly show that the royal Charter, so far from needing a parliamentary recognition, could stand even against a parliamentary attack, unless made in a form more pointed than that of inference and implication. Though 43 Geo. 3, ch. 138, extended the jurisdiction of the Canadas over the adjacent territories as having no jurisdiction of their own, yet Rupert's Land, comprising, by-the-by, the only adjacent territories, was considered to be exempted, inasmuch as it had the jurisdiction of which the statute presumed the absence; and this very opinion, after being promulgated by parliament itself in 1 & 2 Geo. 4, ch. 66, was first remedied and then left to its operation, as already seen, by that same statute.

9. But the royal charter has been recognized by public documents, more important in their effect, though, perhaps, less obligatory in their character, than Acts of Parliament. In the reign of Queen Anne, the treaty of Utrecht transferred from France to England all right and title to the chartered territories, French Canada and French Louisiana; thus accepting the charter as the arbiter of their northern boundaries, and rendering to its limits the very homage which English Canada and the Indian territories still render to the

same. Again, in 1794, the Treaty of amity, commerce, and navigation between Great Britain and the United States, permitted the subjects and citizens of the respective powers to cross at pleasure the international boundary, and, under certain restrictions, to trade on either side of the same; but though it based the stipulation on the highest possible ground, the expediency of promoting international harmony; yet it held sacred the borders of the chartered territories, not by any clause introductory of a new privilege, but merely by a parenthesis declaratory of an old one.

10. Thus has the document, from which this court must draw any definite notion of its jurisdiction, been placed on the deepest and broadest foundations, even if its inherent validity, were a subject of reasonable and honest doubt. It has been sanctioned by every variety of parliament, by the Parliament of England and Scotland, and by the Parliament of England, Scotland and Ireland; it has been sanctioned by five of the eight intermediate predecessors of Victoria; it has been sanctioned with respect to its exclusive trade, with respect to its local jurisdiction, and with respect to its geographical extent; it has been sanctioned as against individual subjects and as against individual aliens; it has been sanctioned as against neighboring colonies, and as against foreign states; and what is more than all this, it has been proved to be independent of any sanction by triumphing, on merely technical grounds, over a direct attack of the supreme authority of the empire.

11. Having thus shown that the letters patent of Charles the Second may be safely adopted as a guide, let me now proceed to interrogate them as to the nature of our jurisdiction. On the sixteenth page of the printed copy I find these applicable words:—

* * * “The Governor and his Council of the several and respective
 “places where the said Company shall have plantations, forts, factories, col-
 “onies, or places of trade, within any of the countries, lands, or territories
 “herely granted, may have power to judge all persons belonging to the said
 “Governor and Company, or that shall live under them, in all causes, wheth-
 “er civil or criminal, according to the laws of this kingdom, and to execute
 “justice accordingly. And, in case any crime or misdemeanor shall be
 “committed in any of the said company’s plantations, forts, factories, or
 “places of trade within the limits aforesaid, where judicature cannot be ex-
 “ecuted for want of a Governor and Council there, then in such case it shall
 “and may be lawful for the Chief Factor of that place and his Council to
 “transmit the party, together with the offence, to such other plantation,
 “factory, or fort, where there shall be a Governor and Council, where justice
 “may be executed.” * * *

12. This passage obviously presents four separate and distinct topics of discussion, the rule of decision, the geographical range, the standing of the parties in any cause, and the constitution of the tribunal.

13. With regard to the rule of decision, the express provision of the Charter is little better than superfluous; for, according to the fundamental principles of colonial settlements, Rupert’s Land, unless its Charter had positively determined the contrary, would have been subjected to “the laws of this kingdom,” as existing at the time of the grant. Our principal rule of

decision, therefore, is the law of England, of 2nd May, 1670; or, to speak more correctly, such portions of the same as might be adapted to the condition of the country. On a point of such fundamental and vital interest, I prefer relying on the opinion of certain parliamentary commissioners, as quoted by an able writer:

" In the case of an uninhabited country, discovered and planted by English subjects, all the English laws then in being, which were the birthright of every subject, are immediately there in force. But this doctrine must be understood with many and great restrictions. Such colonists carry with them only so much of the English law as is applicable to their own situation, and the condition of an infant colony. Thus they acknowledge as in force, 1st, the Common Law of England; 2nd, such Acts of Parliament as passed before the settlement of their colony, and are applicable to its condition. Under the qualification contained in the last part of the rule, many entire exceptions have been admitted. Of this, the bankrupt and poor laws; the laws of police; tithes; and the mortmain acts, furnish apt and familiar examples. Of acts passed subsequently to its settlement, such only are considered to affect a settled or chartered colony as have that possession expressly named, or virtually included in them. But all navigation acts, acts of revenue and trade, and acts respecting shipping are obligatory, though the colonies are not named in them.

14. On one most important point, however, the closing sentence of this quotation is not applicable to this country. In practice, whatever may be the theory of the case, Rupert's Land, differing, as it does, in government and constitution from every other dependency of the United Kingdom, is exempted from the operation not only of revenue-laws in general, but even of those revenue-laws in particular which expressly affect the colonial possessions of the empire in this quarter of the globe. At this moment, if we stood in the same political relation to the mother-country as our brethren of Canada, our imports from the United States would be burdened with duties heavy in themselves, and liable to be made more heavy by the imperative addition of all such duties as are, or may hereafter be, levied on our imports from the United Kingdom. To offer instances of the advantages of our peculiar position,—advantages of a kind to be appreciated even by the least thoughtful members of the community,—coffee would pay five shillings a cwt., being, I apprehend, at least three times the amount of our municipal duty; raw sugar would pay the same, being, as nearly as possible, five times the amount of our municipal duty, even on refined sugar; refined sugar would pay twenty *per cent.* not on the invoice price, but on the local value; and cottons, stoves and tobacco would pay seven *per cent.*, to be similarly estimated,—one and all of these duties being to be paid over and above the existing tax, or any modification of the same.

15. But to return to the quoted passage—in the face of authority so high and so explicit, the vague generality of the Charter can never be permitted to introduce the English laws of to-day for the present and of to-morrow for the future; nor, in this case, is expediency repugnant to authority: for, surely a fixed rule, which may from time to time be modified to suit our condition, is

more convenient than a rule ever varying to suit the condition of others, but never to be varied to suit that of ourselves.

16. But the law of England of 2nd May, 1670, is not our only rule of decision. To pass without further notice the obvious addition of the municipal regulations, the Charter furnishes a subsidiary rule of its own; or rather, the Charter is, with respect to Rupert's Land, one of "the laws of this kingdom" of 2nd May, 1670, and as such must be judicially noticed without being pleaded by the interested parties. If, for instance, any suit that might involve an infringement of the chartered privileges were to arise, we should be bound to inquire whether the infringement in question had been legalized by the requisite license, and, according to the result of such inquiry, to reject the suit or to decide it.

17. To pass from the rule of decision to the geographical range, I must endeavour to trace the limits not merely of the district of Assiniboia, as being the immediate object of our jurisdiction, but also of Rupert's Land, as containing the other districts, which, for the purposes of criminal justice, are indirectly subjected to this tribunal, as the only resident Court of Governor and Council.

18. Assiniboia is the common name of two very different districts, the judicial district and the municipal. In the Honorable Company's resolutions of 1839, for the appointment of a governor, a council, and two sheriffs, the judicial district is described to be such portion of Lord Selkirk's original grant as may lie within Her Majesty's dominions; whereas, in the local regulations of 1841, the municipal district is limited to a circle of a hundred miles in diameter, with the forks as a centre. The boundary of the former, which alone requires to be more particularly defined, begins at the Lake of the Woods on the international line; follows the main stream of the Winnipeg River; crosses the Winnipeg Lake so as to reach land in the latitude of fifty-two degrees and a half; travels due west to the Lake Winnipeg; crosses the same so as again to reach land in the latitude of fifty-two degrees; once more runs due west till it meets the most distant waters of the Assiniboine in that direction; then proceeds due south, to the southern border of Rupert's Land; and lastly, along the same, returns to its original point of departure. Of the judicial district thus defined, the municipal district forms hardly one-eighth part; and it would have been manifestly absurd and preposterous to extend our local regulations over so wide and wild a surface. In some respects, such extension would have been as unjust and presumptuous, as it would have been preposterous and absurd. To take as the most tangible instance, the import duty—the contemplation of the larger district would have taxed the outfits of at least four distant posts, Fort Alexander, on Winnipeg River, Manitoba House on Manitoba Lake, Fort Pelly on Assiniboine River, and Fort Ellice on Beaver Creek; and even if such outfits had been specially excepted, the contemplation of the larger district, inasmuch as it would touch, as already seen, the international boundary, would have appeared to set aside the chartered corporation's essential privilege of closing or opening the external trade of Rupert's Land. As the matter actually stands, the local regulation merely provides that all articles, which are not expressly exempt-

ed, shall be liable to pay toll on entering the smaller district, from whatever point of the compass, whether within Rupert's Land or without, they may have originally come, and by whatever means, whether legal or illegal, they may have reached the circular boundary of local taxation; and the actual receipt of such toll on the part of the municipal authorities, of course, confers no right whatever, whether retrospective or prospective, to the prejudice of third parties.

19. Having thus defined the district of our immediate jurisdiction, and distinguished it from another district of the same name, I shall now enter on the difficult and interesting task of defining in mass those districts, which for the purposes of criminal justice, are indirectly placed under our superintendence. The interior boundary of the chartered territories undeniably coincides with all the remotest heights of land, that send down water to meet the maritime boundary of the same. But the maritime limit, on which all the other limits thus depend, seems to me to be much less certain than is generally imagined. Some lawyers, to whom I could not without impertinence even acknowledge myself to be immeasurably inferior, have, I believe, offered the opinion that the maritime limit coincides with the coasts of Hudson's Straits and Hudson's Bay, so as to exclude from Rupert's Land, all that lies beyond Portage La Loche. But the Charter, with express reference to the anxiously desired discovery of a north-west passage between the Atlantic and the Pacific, comprised all that might lie in any latitude within the entrance of Hudson's Straits; the corresponding condition of any longitude being doubtless omitted, as necessarily involved in such express reference to an indefinite extent of westerly exploration, or as necessarily involved even in the very words of the provision itself. Now, in this view of the case, Rupert's Land would clearly advance westward, step for step, with the progress of maritime discovery; being, at the date of the opinion aforesaid, precisely what the lawyers in question held it to be; but having, since that time, carried its maritime boundary to the north-east angle of Russian America, and that, in a great measure, through the exertions of its own adopted children. This palpable truth has perhaps been kept out of sight by a looseness of phraseology, to which the charter itself has given rise,—“The Governor and Company of Adventurers of England, trading into Hudson's Bay,” being naturally abbreviated into Hudson's Bay Company, and Hudson's Bay Company's territories, being as naturally abbreviated into Hudson's Bay Territories. Had the corporation been described, as it might more precisely have been, as trading into Hudson's Straits, the same abbreviations would have been equally probable in themselves, but not equally liable to the same inferential misapplication. But the chartered territories, as thus defined, have been considerably modified by Treaties, the international boundary from Canada to the Rocky Mountains, generally lying to the north of the height of land; and if, at any point, such international boundary lies to the south of the same, as it actually does lie at the south-west angle of our judicial district, there must exist a corner of the empire, which falls not within our own jurisdiction, but within the jurisdiction of the Indian territories.

20. Of the two imperial statutes, which extend the jurisdiction of the

Canadas over the Indian territories, neither the one nor the other appears to have barred the growing operation of the Charter. The 43 Geo. 3, ch. 138, might, indeed, possibly claim a concurrent authority with ourselves beyond Portage La Loche, on the ground that, at the time of its passing, there really existed no right of jurisdiction on the waters of the Mackenzie; and the 1 and 2 Geo. 4, ch. 66, could certainly claim nothing more, and might perhaps be unable to claim even as much. But even this concurrent authority appears to involve the self-same inconsistency with the Charter as that which has been already shown to have excluded the external jurisdiction from the chartered territories in general,—that fundamental document having prospectively planted, from the beginning, its own jurisdiction over the whole of the granted territory, though confessedly, in a great measure, neither possessed nor discovered. I subjoin the applicable passage :

* * “ All lands, islands, territories, plantations, forts, fortifications, factories, or colonies, where the said Company’s factories and trade are, or SHALL BE, within any the parts or places afore limited, shall be IMMEDIATELY AND FROM HENCEFORTH under the power and command of “ the said Governor and Company.” * *

21. Though this claim of a wider jurisdiction is not likely to be directly carried into effect, inasmuch as the heads of such districts, as lie beyond the Portage, can hardly be expected to assume the preliminary responsibility of sending offenders to be tried by this Court; yet the question may be raised, and ought as soon as possible to be raised before the tribunals of the neighbouring province; and every denizen of this vast wilderness of a world has a personal interest in releasing every part of the same from a jurisdiction so absurdly and inconveniently remote as to inflict inevitably on the witnesses more grievous punishment than what perhaps the criminal himself may deserve.

22. Having thus considered our rule of decision, and the geographical range of our jurisdiction, I shall now endeavour to ascertain, who may be parties to any suit or action. On this point, the passage of the Charter which I have quoted speaks merely of defendants; doubtless presuming that, according to the dictates of common law and natural justice, every person may appear here as freely as elsewhere, in the character of a prosecutor or of a plaintiff: and before going farther, I may add that the express provision of the Charter, with regard to defendants, namely, that they are to be such as live under us, is just as consistent with natural justice and common law, as is its tacit presumption with regard to plaintiffs and prosecutors. To offer one preliminary remark more, I propose to consider the subject of parties to a civil suit, separately and distinctly from that of parties to a criminal prosecution.

23. In a civil suit, no individual, whether a settler or a savage, whether a foreigner or a subject, is personally disqualified, as such, for resorting to this Court as a plaintiff; excepting that he never can recover judgment for the specific restitution of lands or houses situated beyond the limits of our judicial district. Even if lying within any other district of Rupert’s Land, such property is not in our power; and if lying in any other country, it is not

liable even to be regulated by laws identical with our own. I need hardly state the obvious exception, that the plaintiff, if his claim does not exceed five pounds, can take any defendant, who may reside within four miles of either river, only before the inferior Court of such defendant's own section of the settlement, as distinguished even from the municipal district.

24. Again, in a civil suit, no individual can be constrained to stand before this Court in the character of defendant, unless he has been regularly summoned within the limits of the judicial district, either by receiving the summons himself, or by having the same left for him with a grown person at his ordinary dwelling. In the case, however, of an Indian, his liability to appear and answer as a defendant is necessarily subject to some important qualifications. If the plaintiff claim a debt under a contract, he incurs a considerable risk of being defeated by the inherent illegality of the transaction; and even where the transaction may not be vitiated by the violation of our fundamental law, every tribunal is, in justice and humanity, bound to give to a defendant, who is by comparison a child for life, the same exemption as if he really were a minor,—an exemption from the operation of every bargain that is not solidly advantageous to himself; or, in other words, an exemption from the payment of everything but really necessary supplies, furnished at reasonable rates. If, again, the plaintiff claim, not a debt under a contract, but damages for a wrong, the liability of an Indian defendant, must, in my opinion, be regulated by the same principles in a civil suit as in a criminal prosecution; the two things, however different in appearance, being intimately connected together in reality. If a wrong does not amount to a crime at all, then, of course, it can be the subject only of a civil suit but not of a criminal prosecution; but if it does amount to a crime, then it may be the subject of either or of both; excepting that, where the crime exceeds a misdemeanor, the civil suit, in order to prevent composition of felony, must not be begun till the criminal prosecution be closed. Though with respect to the higher class of crimes, this law is, practically, almost inoperative at home, where a conviction of felony ruins all whom it does not banish or destroy; yet here, where punishments are generally light and brief, it may beneficially be made to give private satisfaction to the injured individual, as well as public atonement to the outraged community. But to return to the case of an Indian defendant; you will now see more clearly, why his civil liability for a private wrong, as has already been stated, must be regulated by the same principles as his criminal liability for a public offence; and the principles in question of this his common liability will be considered under the remaining subdivision of this head.

25. In a criminal case, just as in a civil suit, no individual, whether a settler or a savage, whether a foreigner or a subject, is personally disqualified, as such, for resorting to this Court as a prosecutor; and here, again, I need hardly state the obvious exception, that the prosecutor, if he sue for a local penalty of not more than one pound, can take any defendant, who may reside within four miles of either river, only before the inferior Court of such defendant's own section of the settlement, as distinguished even from the municipal district.

26. On the subject of criminal defendants in general, our jurisdiction varies according as the offence may have been committed in our own judicial district or in any other district of Rupert's Land. In the former case, we can lay a bill of indictment before the grand jury in the absence of the accused party, though we cannot proceed to trial before a petty jury without his presence; but, in the latter case, we can employ neither the one jury nor the other till the accused party has been placed at our disposal by the resident authorities of the proper district. You are, of course, aware that we cannot hear and determine offences committed beyond the limits of Rupert's Land; but, though we cannot try such offences, yet we may incidentally be required to take some cognizance of them in aid of other jurisdictions. If an offender against the criminal laws of England, or of Scotland, or of any other part of the British empire be found in our judicial district, we must, on our allegiance, assist ministerially, if required, in apprehending such offender to be conveyed to the scene of crime and punishment. But if an offender against the criminal laws of the United States take refuge among us, we are entitled and bound, before surrendering him under the Ashburton Treaty, to satisfy ourselves, by a kind of preliminary trial, *first*, that he is not a fugitive slave, guilty only of snatching the means of escaping to this land of equal liberty; and *secondly*, that he is really liable to be convicted, both in law and in fact, before the ultimate tribunal. This auxiliary jurisdiction perhaps no other country in the world possesses in so remarkable a degree. When the contiguous wilderness of the United States is the scene of the offence, we may be bound, according to circumstances, to aid any one of the three jurisdictions of England, or Canada, or America. In every case, unless the crime, as such, be exempted from the operation of the Ashburton Treaty, America may claim our intervention; if the offender be a British subject, either America or Canada may require our help; and if both the offender be a British subject and the offence be murder or manslaughter, either America or Canada, or England may demand our assistance.

27. From the consideration of defendants in general, I now come to that of Indian defendants in particular. The political position of the aboriginal tribes is not that of individual subjects, but of dependent communities, connected with the empire by what the writers on the law of nations denominate an unequal alliance,—the essential points of inequality being these, that they are not permitted to hold political intercourse with any foreign power, or to naturalize among themselves any civilized individuals, whether foreigners or subjects. But to explain this second point of inequality, these aboriginal nations do not necessarily consist of Indians alone: for, though they cannot naturalize strangers, yet a child of mixed blood unless born in recognised wedlock, may, according to the subsequent circumstances of his life, be held, at least for many purposes, to have adopted either the civilized nationality of his father, or the savage nationality of his mother. For the present purposes, if not for every other, any half-breed members of an aboriginal community must be reckoned by us as Indians; and it is on this same principle that, in the neighboring country, they sometimes, if not always, enjoy the poor privilege of sharing in the pittance doled out to their tribe, when it is driven from the

lands on which perhaps the bayonet has planted it, to another place of exile but not of rest. Here, however, the classification in question is comparatively of no practical importance. Though, on the one side of the international boundary, the half-breeds generally prefer the savage equality of the wilderness to the serf-like inferiority with which law and prejudice alike oppress them within the pale of civilization; yet, on the other side of the same line they have almost universally embraced the proffered privileges of British subjects on this congenial spot, where neither prejudice nor law recognises any distinctions of colour, or origin, or race. We are all members of the same little community—a community in many respects the most interesting that the world has ever seen. We are all subjects of the same sovereign—a sovereign the mightiest and most illustrious on earth. We are all citizens of the same empire—an empire of matchless freedom, opulence, and renown. But, to return to the aboriginal communities: it is on this ground alone of separate and distinct nationality that we can recognise their chiefs as their representatives, though not provided with any special authority: for, if the Indians really were our fellow-citizens, we could not extend to them this indulgence without helping to split society into factions; however willing practically to acknowledge a leadership won by participating in toils and dangers, and by dispensing hospitality and bounty.

28. Now, though our criminal jurisdiction, as already defined, extends over all classes in general from the entrance of Hudson's Straits to the more northerly feeders of the Missouri, and from the Lake of the Woods to Portage la Loche, or perhaps to the mouths of the Mackenzie; yet, with respect to any Indian in particular, he must be held to be exempted, wherever and whenever he may act within the due limits of the nationality of his own tribe. When the natural incidents of open warfare are set aside, as not at all amounting to legal crimes, such subordinate nationality must always give way to our supreme nationality, as often as the one comes into collision with the other; and this obvious and undeniable principle appears to afford an easy solution of every imaginable case. Under the law of nations, our every establishment, whether a solitary house or a populous settlement, plants our supreme nationality within the range of its ordinary operations; and, therefore, within such range, one savage has no more right to injure another, than a settler has to injure either of them, or than either of them has to injure a settler. If, however, Indians have been permitted, in a national capacity, to encroach on such range, whether for permanent residence or for temporary sojourn, they ought, in my opinion, to be exempted, with respect to each other, for petty offences committed within such limits as they may nationally occupy,—excepting, perhaps, when their chief has, either specially or generally, disclaimed in our favor his own national jurisdiction. Under the same law of nations, moreover, the most lonely traveller carries before himself and his property the broad shield of our nationality across and along the territories of the heathen; excepting, however, that the individual, who may be travelling in defiance of our law, has voluntarily chosen to cast our nationality behind him, and to rely alike for protection and for redress against the savage on his own lawless arm. In this particular, the law of nations is not

restricted to the intercourse of civilized states with barbarous tribes, but extends, though in a modified form, to the intercourse of civilized states with each other. Every community possesses the acknowledged right of avenging the wrongs, which its members may have sustained at the hands of any other community, either by public war or by private reprisals,—a right, by-the-bye, which is expressly vested in the Hudson's Bay Company by its Charter. Now such a right obviously comprises, what is far more consistent both with humanity and with justice, the lesser right of demanding, or, if necessary, of seizing, for the purposes of trial, any Indian, who may have injured us even within the territory of his tribe. It might, however, be prudent to initiate, if possible, the civilized rule of previously requiring satisfaction from the nation of the offender, more particularly if the injury were such as to admit compensation; and even with respect to the gravest offences, it might still be prudent to leave the punishment, if likely to be adequate, in the hands of the tribe.

29. To come to the fourth subdivision of this head, namely the constitution of this tribunal, the Court of Governor and Council, in addition to the governor as its essential head and myself as its legal organ, comprises such members of the council as are justices of the peace. But it is only as councillors that the magistrates and myself sit; their peculiar duty, as magistrates, being limited to the municipal district; and my own peculiar duty as Recorder, having reference to Rupert's Land. For judicial purposes, the whole council is inconveniently numerous; and, if a selection must be made, those members, who so ably and laboriously discharge the proper functions of a justice of the peace, are clearly best qualified for the task and best entitled to the distinction. But, if the magistrates, from any cause whatever, should be unable to attend in sufficient numbers, the governor would, of course, command the services of as many other councillors as might be required to supply the deficiency,—every councillor, on accepting office, having thereby pledged himself to enforce the laws, from the fundamental Charter of the country down to the latest local enactment.

30. On the important subject of juries, as an appendage of this Court, the Charter was altogether silent, and perhaps necessarily so, inasmuch as, till after many years, it could hardly anticipate, that there could be conveniently collected, within any district, a sufficient number of intelligent and disinterested individuals. But the aid of a jury, though not enjoined, was yet not prohibited; and, accordingly, in 1839, by the spontaneous desire of the Governor-in-Chief, the inhabitants at large of Red River Settlement were entrusted with that best description of self-government, the practical dispensation of civil and criminal justice. For so inestimable a boon I gladly embrace this opportunity of stating that the community was exclusively indebted to Sir George Simpson: for, as I was myself unavoidably ignorant alike of the qualifications and of the dispositions of the people, I was inclined to deprecate the measure as perhaps premature. Sir George, however, felt confidence where I entertained doubts; and I soon found reason to believe that my doubts were wrong and his confidence right.—But the elevation of the people at large in the social and political scale is only the secondary recommendation

of the system ; its essential blessing being this, that it places the administration of justice above the very suspicion of partiality. In so limited a society, where every individual is so closely beset by the personal ties of blood or of marriage or of intimacy, one and the same set of judges, however uprightly and independently we might act, could not expect uniformly to convince the unsuccessful parties of our independence and uprightness in the weighing of evidence, the determining of facts, and the estimating of damages ; more particularly as we should not be bound, like a jury, to arrive at an unanimous decision, and might thus by the diversity of our own opinions, foster, or even elicit, the dissatisfaction of the loser. But from such surmises and uncertainties the verdict of a jury is, as effectually as possible, exempted. *First*, the proper officer does his best to bring together only such men as he believes to be wholly unprejudiced and disinterested : *secondly*, the parties themselves may make assurance doubly sure by challenging, with or without reason, in criminal prosecutions, or by putting preliminary questions, on oath if necessary, in civil suits : and *thirdly*, the whole body, so carefully selected and so rigidly sifted, must come to one and the same opinion on every point submitted to its consideration.

31. But in disclaiming, as I have done, for myself, personally all merit in the matter, I have no hesitation in claiming for the law, of which I had been appointed to be the first interpreter, the praise of rendering Sir George's enlightened and patriotic determination practically efficient. The respective provinces of bench and jury are these—that the bench declares the law, and the jury ascertains the facts ; and though the bench is not required, like the jury, to be absolutely unanimous, yet the distinction between them, as just now stated, clearly involves the necessity, that the bench shall address the jury with one collective and harmonious voice. Now, a number of unprofessional men, however acute in intellect or cordial in feeling, could hardly expect, in the unavoidable absence of any common principles of knowledge and belief, to arrive within any reasonable time, at so desirable a result ; having first to disentangle the law, to be declared by themselves, from the facts, to be ascertained by the jury ; and then to point out which of the facts ought to bear on the verdict, as distinguished from the damages, and which of them on the damages, as distinguished from the verdict. If such a co-operation had been attempted, its inevitable, though unintentional, effect would have been the mutual encroachments of the two bodies, the bench usurping the functions of the jury, and the jury usurping the functions of the bench. Thus would one of the two have been worse than superfluous, for each would, in a great measure, have relieved the other from the sense of responsibility ; so that, at last, the abolishing of juries, at least in civil cases, would have been hailed as a remedy ; bad, indeed, in itself, but not so bad as the disease. But, gentlemen, let me not be misunderstood. Your excellent governor and magistrates, however impossible it might have been for them alone to give to the settlement the full benefit of the noblest birth-right of British colonists, Trial by Jury, perform an invaluable service to the cause of justice and order as the colleagues of a professional stranger, who, in the absence of legal practitioners and of a public press, ought to feel, and even to covet, the vigilant

supervision of those who are best fitted to appreciate and protect your private and public rights.

32. Thus, gentlemen of the grand jury, it is, through the introduction of law, strictly so-called, that yourselves and your fellow citizens have been raised to become, in the most important of all respects, the arbiters of your own destinies; and it is through the same free gift of the Honorable Company, that you enjoy the only true freedom, the privilege of being governed not by the wavering wills of living men, but by the inflexible impartiality of general rules written in the blood and sweat of the wise and good among your fathers. Though to men of information and reflection, such as those whom I have now the honor to address, there is nothing new in what I have just stated, yet, I well know, that very different opinions are industriously disseminated among the ignorant and unwary. It is to be ascribed to the law and to myself, as I am assured by an obliging friend, that debts cannot be collected, and that "rogues" cannot be punished; my correspondent, so far as I can understand him, referring to the strictness of the rules of evidence; in the face of the authentic fact, that such rules have never stood in the way of substantial justice, whether in civil suits, or in criminal prosecutions. Nor, in this respect is the future likely to belie the past. The compliment of allowing "rogues" to escape with impunity, I hardly expected to receive from any quarter; and as I have not deserved it hitherto, so neither do I mean to deserve it hereafter. With regard to the debts, which interest my informant's sympathy, I need merely say that, if they are just in amount, as well as in principle, they have little to dread from a law, which, within the year, admits the creditor's books in his own favor, to be weighed, as evidence, by the jury, according to the regularity of the entries on both sides of the account.

33. Before leaving the subject of juries, I ought, perhaps, to add that, at home, petty offences are often tried in a summary way by justices of the peace. But this hasty jurisdiction, rests on grounds which have no place among us; such as that it has become absolutely necessary through the unmanageable amount of criminal business, and is rendered comparatively safe by the inevitable publicity of all the proceedings of even the humblest tribunals. Among us a similar jurisdiction would be as pernicious as it would be unnecessary. In the event of a conviction, the community, if it heard anything at all on the subject, would most probably hear fifty versions of the charge and half as many of the punishment, without a single syllable as to the actual proof of criminality; and in the event of an acquittal, the few might conclude that there had been a want of evidence and the many might impute erroneous notions as to the impunity of crime; while neither the many nor the few would be likely to contemplate the charitable alternative of the entire falsehood of the accusation. In a word a summary jurisdiction among us would neither vindicate the law by making an example of guilt, nor satisfy that abstract justice, which is the foundation of all law, by publishing the proofs of innocence. To all these unavoidable results of the want of publicity must be added the more serious, though less certain, evil, that the less public tribunal would be more likely to crush unpopular innocence or to screen popular guilt.

34. In criminal prosecutions, to the consideration of which I shall now confine myself, the law demands the aid of two juries, the grand and the petty, the latter consisting of twelve men, and the former containing any number not less than twelve and not greater than twenty-three. The petty jury, which tries the question of guilt or innocence in the presence of the accused party, must, as you all know, be unanimous; for the law humanely permits the steady doubt of one juror to operate to the prisoner's acquittal, in the face of the opposite belief of his eleven associates. The grand jury on the contrary, decides according to the opinion of any twelve, who in a body not exceeding twenty-three, must always be a majority; so that it is never required to be unanimous, unless when twelve only are present. Its special duty, as I have already had occasion to hint, is merely to determine whether there be sufficient ground for sending any person to be tried before a petty jury. Clearly, therefore, it neither requires, nor admits, the presence of the party accused; and as clearly, too, it neither requires nor admits any other evidence than that for the prosecution. To this evidence for the prosecution a grand juror cannot in general apply a sounder test than by asking himself the question, whether he would, if he were on a petty jury, convict the party on such evidence in the event of its being unanswered and unshaken. If his conscience should reply to such question in the affirmative, then is he bound on his oath to join in finding "*a true bill*;" but, if his conscience should reply in the negative, he is not necessarily bound to join in finding "*not a true bill*," inasmuch as the party, when put on his trial, may confess his guilt, or even his own witnesses, as we have sometimes seen in this Court, may furnish stronger testimony against him, than those of the prosecutor. But the two possibilities in question ought not to have any weight, unless the grand juror is morally convinced by the evidence for the prosecution that the accused party is really guilty; and, even without reference to such possibilities, a grand juror's opinion must generally rest rather on moral than on legal grounds, for he deliberates with his colleagues in the absence of judicial supervision or of professional advice. But beyond this there may be reasons of policy for not finding "*a true bill*," even when one is morally convinced of its truth. A suspected person, though he may have escaped from one grand jury, may yet be brought before another and another; while, if acquitted by one petty jury, he is free for ever, in spite of any additional evidence that may transpire, and in spite even of his own subsequent confessions: and a grand juror, therefore, should at all times qualify his merely moral belief by such a consideration, wherever he may have reason to think that any insufficiency of legal evidence may be remedied by delay.

35. This exposition of your principal duty, will show you that, in point of fact, a grand jury is really needed only where the evidence is doubtful, and where the charge is so grave and important as to entitle the accused party to the benefit of all the forms and defences of the law. From February, 1840, to November, 1844, inclusive, no such case arose; and this Court could hardly have felt justified in drawing twenty-three respectable men from their ordinary vocations to enter on preliminary enquiries, which were obviously superfluous. In Beardie's case of November, 1839, as well as in the Indian case

of to-day, the verdict of the coroner's jury might, on general grounds, have allowed the Court to dispense with your attendance. But, in Beardie's case, the preliminary verdict of manslaughter would necessarily have led to an unqualified acquittal: for the deed, if a crime at all, was wilful and deliberate homicide; and by obtaining from the grand jury a true bill to that effect, the petty jury was enabled to temper the dictates of law with the pleadings of compassion, by acquitting the boy of murder, by reason of his years. Again, in the present case, though the preliminary verdict is not liable to the same technical objection, yet it has perhaps not proceeded from so full a consideration of so important a charge as ought to precede the final trial; and, as a general rule, coroner's jurors, with the mangled, and, perhaps, still warm body of a fellow-creature before them, would be something more, or something less, than men, if they did not give way to their impulses incompatible with patient inquiry and dispassionate consideration. A coroner's inquest, however, is an admirable means of collecting evidence on the spot, while the corpse is still sound, and the facts are still recent; and, in this respect, the zealous and eloquent pastor, who, as I am glad to see, continues to discharge the duties of coroner, is entitled to the warmest thanks of the Court and the community.

36. I have thus, gentlemen of the grand jury, prepared you for the special duties of to-day, at much greater length than I at first intended. The subject gradually grew under my pen, till at last I was induced to think, that my labours might possibly have a value beyond the uses of the immediate occasion; and the possibility of such a result, again prompted me to still fuller discussion, particularly with regard to such exceptions and qualifications, as unprofessional hearers could not have been expected to supply for themselves. My address, however, would have been longer, if I had not written it; and even my composition would have required to be more diffuse, if I had not resolved to send my manuscript with you to your own room.

37. Having thus prepared you, as I have just stated, for your special duties, let me now draw your patient attention to the single case that is about to form the main subject of your deliberations, the alleged homicide of an Indian woman by an Indian man, within the limits of Red River Settlement. So far as the nationality of the parties is concerned, the locality of the offence places our jurisdiction in the matter beyond a doubt; so that you have merely to consider whether any homicide be established by the evidence; and whether the homicide that may be so established, be murder or manslaughter. Now, though the first point, as a naked matter of fact, falls entirely within your own province; yet the second point, as comprising not merely fact, but law, appears to demand from me a few explanatory observations.

38. Homicide, if at all criminal, must be either murder or manslaughter. It is murder if committed with malice; and it is manslaughter if committed without the same. So far the inquiry would involve merely a matter of fact, if the law did not interpose with something more than a popular definition of the distinctive malice in question. Of the influence of this legal definition, Beardie's case, which was just now mentioned, would afford us, perhaps the best example on record. One boy directed against another a deadly arrow,

within a deadly distance, and with a deadly aim. Now, though every juror and every judge doubtless saw in this action merely a great degree of boyish thoughtlessness, yet the law, at least as a general rule, necessarily inferred malice, from so voluntary and effectual an application of fatal means to a fatal end; and nothing saved Beattie from a verdict of murder but the law's special limitation of its own general rule to the comparatively mature age of fourteen. Malice, when it is obvious to the senses, is said to be express; but when it is inferred by the law it is said to be implied. Of the former the jury is the judge; but the judge of the latter is the bench. Now, the law always implies malice, unless the crime be reduced by the evidence to one or other of the two sub-divisions of voluntary and involuntary manslaughter. Homicide is voluntary manslaughter when it is committed in heat of blood caused by adequate provocation; and it is involuntary manslaughter when it is committed through accident in the execution of an unlawful act, which does not in itself amount to felony. In the case of voluntary manslaughter, the law extends a reasonable indulgence to human infirmity; but, in the case of involuntary manslaughter, every penal enactment, however in other respects, it may appear to be a dead letter, fortifies itself with pitfalls, which its violators may find to be more formidable than its direct and positive sanctions.

39. If, after this exposition, the evidence may leave you still in doubt as to the classification of the homicide which you are now to consider, your better course will be to return an indictment of murder, because such indictment may, under the direction of this Court, be mitigated by the petty jury into manslaughter, whereas an indictment of manslaughter cannot, in a similar way, be aggravated into murder. In fact, where the grand jury indicts for murder and the petty jury convicts of manslaughter, both juries may be equally correct in their respective decisions: for, as the law presumes all homicide to be murder till the contrary be proved, the palliating circumstances are of course often elicited from the prisoner's witnesses, who, as I have already mentioned, can appear before the petty jury alone.

40. But, you, gentlemen of the grand jury, may not return any indictment of homicide at all; or if you do return one, the gentlemen of the petty jury may acquit the prisoner of homicide in its every shape; and to provide against either of these contingencies, the proper officer has prepared such a bill of indictment for a minor offence as will hardly involve any doubt or difficulty within your peculiar province. The second bill of indictment in question is for an attempt to murder; and I shall add a few observations, in order, if possible, to render the law of the case as clear as the facts seem to be certain. Though a man cannot be tried a second time before a petty jury under a legal charge of which he has once been acquitted; yet he may, in effect, be tried twice, or possibly oftener, under different legal charges arising from one and the same criminal act. To take homicide as an instance,—if the petty jury could not at once mitigate an indictment of murder into a verdict of manslaughter, an acquittal of the former charge might still be followed by a trial for the latter, inasmuch as the homicide, though declared not to have been wilful, might still be criminal; and in the same way an acquittal

of homicide still leaves open any charge that may be founded on the preceding scenes of the tragedy. Now every attempt at any crime whatever is itself a misdemeanour, punishable, in all cases, by fine and imprisonment, and, in certain aggravated cases, by whipping also and the pillory. Nay, so rigorously does the law adopt the popular maxim, which prefers prevention to cure, that it classifies together the attempt actually to commit the crime and the attempt, however unsuccessful, to induce another to commit it. With respect to two offences in particular, this provident vigilance of the law is the best, or rather the only guarantee for private security and public tranquility. If, in a case of perjury, the party swearing falsely could alone be punished, every man's property, every man's liberty, and every man's life would be at the mercy of such as might have the craft to suborn, but not the courage to perpetrate the crime; and if, in a case of sedition, the prime movers could not be reached through all their screens of worldly wisdom, the law would but ill discharge its duty either to the peaceable victims of insubordination, or to the deluded and misguided instruments of cunning.

41. If the prisoner, whether you find homicide or only an attempt at the same, should appear to have been in a state of intoxication, this circumstance will demand your serious consideration. Drunkenness, unless involuntary on the part of the offender, is never received by the law as a palliation of the offence for this plain reason, lest intending criminals may, by anticipation, wash out the deeper dyes of their guilt in the same stream that is to drown their reason. In fact, drunkenness, though I have never seen the point so stated, is likely even to aggravate, in the eye of the law, the offence of slaying a human being. To reduce criminal homicide to voluntary manslaughter, it must, as I have already mentioned, have been committed in heat of blood caused by adequate provocation; but, as the blood, which is already boiling with injected fire, will hardly wait for what would be adequate provocation to more temperate veins, the drunkard might be convicted of murder under circumstances which would render a sober man guilty merely of manslaughter. Again, an accident, which may be innocent in a sober man, may yet, at least on general principles, be involuntary manslaughter in a drunkard, inasmuch as drunkenness is itself a legal crime; and, in truth, accidental homicide, if committed in a state of intoxication, ought to be reckoned not involuntary manslaughter, but wilful murder, for the same plain reason as before, lest drunkenness may be deliberately made the cloak of malice; and clearly must be so reckoned, where the alleged accident is of such a nature as to be rather the consequence of the drunkenness than its concomitant; such, for instance, as throwing one down a precipice by staggering against him.

42. Farther, if the woman should appear to have been intoxicated as well as the man, the probability of his guilt will be lessened in proportion to her inability to guard against the treachery of her own limbs and the severity of the weather; and in either case the tragical event must be mainly imputed to the influence of liquor.

43. This leads me, gentlemen, to discuss the higher and more independent branch of your duty, the presenting of any breach of the criminal law, even where the proper officer has not laid before you a bill of indictment.

You are, in fact, the grand inquest of our judicial district, for, in this respect, you cannot look beyond its borders. When you indict at the suggestion of another, you are merely the auxiliary and minister of this Court; but when you present of your own accord, you rise to the rank of its monitor and guide. It is customary, however, for the Court to offer general hints as to the objects of your superintending care; and I therefore add that, in this capacity, you possess, for the time, the right of presenting not only actual breaches of the criminal law, such as the selling of beer to Indians, but also all dangerous practices, such as the entrusting of loaded arms to children; and, farther, of examining the machinery of our penal jurisprudence, such as the gaol and the police, and of reporting how far the same may be working in accordance with the requirements and necessities of the applicable enactments. But, as hasty opinions are more likely to do harm than good, I deem it expedient to warn you, unprepared as you of course are for the discharge of new and unknown functions, that you ought not to yield at all to the unpremeditated impulse of the moment, or to decide any familiar point without the greatest caution and circumspection; and, as a matter of convenient arrangement, I must suggest to you the propriety of returning the bill of indictment, whether found or not found, before you allow yourselves to be entangled in any more general discussion whatever.

44. Of all the topics which I have suggested, beer-selling alone would appear to require any explanation; but I should not enter on the superfluous task of enlarging on its impropriety before so intelligent and respectable an audience, were not the beer-dealers uniformly disposed to impugn the prohibition as iniquitous; and, to vindicate the traffic as innocent, to calumniate the law as well as to violate it.

45. Now, while giving to the beer-dealers, as I am bound to do, full credit for perfect sincerity, I am equally bound to tell them, that they have mistaken their remedy. If one individual may practically prefer his own notions of justice to the clear dictates of law, why may not another do the same. Again, if every individual do so, what is this but the despotism of will over duty; the triumph of anarchy over order; the snapping asunder of all the bonds that hold society together? But, even in its more certain and immediate consequences, the habitual infringement of any law is a wilful fraud on those who systematically obey it. To attain the benefits of social life and regular government, every individual sacrifices a portion of his natural liberty, not necessarily because he himself might abuse it, but because others might do so: for it is an inevitable condition of civil security that the offences of knavery lead, in a greater or less degree, to the coercion of honesty; and if others persist in reserving what he himself has surrendered, he is, of course, so far defrauded of the expected price of his own personal sacrifices. The true remedy of the beer-dealers for the alleged grievance is to present a petition to the Governor and Council of Assiniboia for the repeal of the obnoxious regulation, setting forth the hardship of being forbidden to avail themselves to the full of the superabundant riches of the soil, and the inferior intellects of its ancient lords.

46. In maintaining that even a bad law, while it exists at all, ought to be

obeyed, I readily admit that it ought not to be allowed to exist one moment beyond the earliest opportunity of lawfully annulling it ; and, so far as I am concerned, I shall never vote for any regulation which I cannot prove to rest on some foundation stronger than the mere fact of its existence, or the mere will of a majority. But, so far from wishing the beer-dealers to interpret this general concession in their own particular favor, I shall now proceed briefly to examine their opinion, solely because I am most firmly persuaded that they themselves will recoil from the truth as soon as they see it,—a persuasion, which, with regard at least to some of them, I base on my own experience of the respectability of their general characters, and the uprightness of their general transactions.

47. Their only argument I believe to be this, that the beer-drinker, and not the beer-seller, ought alone to be held responsible for all the external evils of his drunkenness. Speaking merely of human laws, which regard not the sin but the crime, I am quite ready to admit that this argument is unanswerable, and irresistible in its general application to civilized men ; and I am also quite ready to admit that the beer-dealer's argument may be honestly framed and honestly urged, with an erroneously exclusive reference to suit its general application. But, though the defence be legally good and valid with respect to the civilized purchaser, who may buy without drinking, and may drink without being drunk, and possibly even without wishing to be so ; yet it is utterly weak and worthless with respect to the reckless barbarian, to whom, from his uncontrollable desires and his susceptible constitution, drinking and drunkenness are as necessarily connected together as flame and combustion. In the general case, therefore, of civilized men, drunkenness is at most only probable ; but, in the particular case of the Indians, absolutely inevitable ; and, even if it were equally certain in both cases, the more serious consequences of the drunkenness of a savage—consequences almost as certain as the drunkenness itself—would still justify the law in visiting the one case with penalties and in passing the other with impunity. As the topic is one of deep, extensive, and durable interest, I shall subjoin to these undeniable generalities a more detailed view of the effects of which the beer-dealer has been shown to be the cause ; and I feel confident, and trust to make you feel equally so, that any beer-dealer, who may still continue morally to justify his illegal course, must have debased other faculties than those of his untutored victims.

48. To begin with his neighbours, the beer-dealer is deficient in that kindly courtesy which forms the sweetest, and perhaps the strongest, bond of social life ; while by putting himself in their power at the same time that he outrages their feelings and tramples on their interests, he unconsciously gives them credit for the very quality of neighbourly forbearance which he has banished from his own breast. When viewed in this light, even he himself cannot consider as venial the habit of letting loose on his acknowledged well-wishers the generally armed creatures, whom he has drugged into fury, as objects of terror and instruments of violence.

49. To pass from his neighbours in particular to the settlement in general, the beer-dealer stretches his self-interest to overlay all the obligations of

political duty and social fellowship, endangering the public tranquility for a few pence, and peradventure taxing, as in the present instance, the time of each of fifty of his fellow-citizens to an amount greater than that of his own miserable gains. But against the settlement, as the destined centre of a vast circle of civilization, the beer-dealer is guilty of a still higher misdemeanor: he does his best to defeat the benevolent object of its noble founder, whose single-hearted enthusiasm it was to make the wilderness glad, and to see the desert blossom as the rose. Of his proceedings it is the unholy tendency to poison the promised blessing in its very springs, by training the red man beforehand to pervert agriculture, the best human aid of his moral and physical improvement, into the actual means of darker degradation and deeper distress.

50. Though on purely religious grounds I cannot enter without trespassing on the province of gentlemen much better qualified for the task than myself, yet I may refer more openly and more boldly than their modesty ever refers, to the debt of pious gratitude which this settlement owes to the benevolent associations that shed on you and on your children, without money and without price, the rich ministrations of the gospel of peace. To pass with this general notice the exertions of Catholic philanthropy, as not falling minutely within my knowledge, the Church Missionary Society, during a period of more than twenty years, has not only supplied the Protestant places of worship with able and zealous clergymen, but also been the essential, if not the exclusive, means of dispensing among the Protestant youth the light of education; thus diverting, for the special benefit of old and young, funds contributed by the humane and charitable for the conversion of the heathen; and on the part of the Protestant beer-dealer, it is an equally unthankful and inconsistent return for the peculiar regards of that association, and for the self-denying sacrifices of its members, to rob its proper nurselings of their glimmering twilight of reason, and thus to disqualify them still more for appreciating and accepting the mingled gifts of civilization and Christianity.

51. With respect to the Indians themselves, the beer-dealer cruelly injures those, whom, both on general and on special grounds, he is bound to regard with a compassionate interest. In comparison with himself they are mere children, legally as well as equitably entitled to the minor's privilege of being defended or delivered from the seductions of covetousness; and their blood, if it does not flow in his own veins, generally flows in the veins of those who are nearest and dearest to himself. In spite, however, of these considerations, the beer-dealer tears from the red man the scanty fruits of his reluctant labour, ingeniously rendering his barbarism more barbarous, through one of the essential instruments of civilization; he does his utmost to make the hungry and naked wanderer more naked and more hungry; and he aggravates the inherent miseries of a homeless life, by divesting his victim at once of raiment and of understanding, by depriving him, in a word, of all that distinguishes the savage from the brute. Besides all this, the beer-dealer is morally accountable, and, perhaps, legally so, to society for his besotted dupes' violations of the law; and whatever, in the present case, may be the judicial opinion of bench or of jury, every farm that may, after this poor woman's

untimely end, continue to drench the unhappy Indians with its moral and physical poison, will, before a higher tribunal, be registered as a field of blood, and assigned at the owner's death to his posterity with all its curses on its breast.

52. This anticipation needs not the eye of prophecy. Even to the eye of sense there has already gone forth a similar decree of the Watchers; and every thoughtful man among you, must even now see the beer-dealer, as the unconscious tool of retributive vengeance, himself directing against his own offspring, the preparatory thunderbolts of the Almighty. By permitting his children to be the witnesses, and, perhaps, the instruments of his own evasive avarice, and of his victim's reeling abasement, he voluntarily exerts a father's paramount influence to sow in their susceptible minds, the opposites of sobriety, humanity and candour. How similar and yet how different was the conduct of one of the gentile tribes of antiquity. Sparta, a celebrated state of Greece, used to intoxicate her slaves, in order to inspire her heathen youths and maidens with a scornful horror of intemperance, thus palliating the wantonness of the injury by the loftiness of the motive; but the beer-dealer sells out by stealth an humiliation more cruel, in proportion to the now higher and holier uses of an unclouded understanding, with the view of teaching his Christian sons and daughters that drunkenness in its most loathsome form, so long as it has a shilling or a rag to tempt cupidity and reward artifice, is one of the useful virtues. Sparta, though she knew nothing of Solomon, yet educated her progeny according to his well-established maxim; but the beer-dealer draws from a book older than the Bible—the book of national and domestic experience—the still better established maxim, that, if a child be trained up in the way in which he ought not to go, he will not, when he is old, depart from the same.

53. If these faintly coloured lines of a horrible picture fail to make the requisite impression on the beer-dealer's nobler feelings, there still remain arguments of more tangible character and more immediate force.

54. Though the express penalties of the municipal regulation may be turned aside, through the unmerited and unrequited silence of indulgent neighbours, yet the indirect consequences of a violation of the law, which are far more to be deprecated, hang by an invisible thread over the most valuable of the beer-dealer's interests and rights. If robbed by any Indian who had been the victim, or the witness, of the wretched traffic, the beer-dealer would most probably be deterred from prosecuting the robber by the dread of legal retaliation; but if robbed by any Indian who was at the time affected by his potions, he would be similarly deterred by prudential sentiments and motives, which would be strong and weighty, even if there were no municipal regulation in existence. But so closely is the path of the lawless beset by the toils of the law, that connivance would be more dangerous than prosecution. I allude not to the moral influence of an example, which would virtuously outlaw all property that might be tainted by the leaven of the red man's spoils; I allude to the legal fact, that to sell impunity to a felon, whether for money or for money's worth, amounts to the grave misdemeanor of composition of felony—a misdemeanor punishable by discretionary fine and discretionary

imprisonment. Nor is this all: the beer-dealer not only thus becomes the sole guardian of some of his own rights, but also, to a certain extent, pledges his interest as the victim's surety with respect to the rights of other men. If the drunkenness were involuntary, and the crime immediate, then, in the eye of the law as well as of reason, the beer-dealer would be the criminal and the Indian merely his instrument; and if the drunkenness were voluntary and the crime remote, even then, provided the jury were satisfied that drinking and drunkenness were one and the same thing to an Indian, the beer-dealer would be liable, in pecuniary damages, for the outrages of the being whom he had divested of understanding, but not of strength; whom he had deprived of the means of seeing the right, but not of the means of doing the wrong; whom he had robbed of the capacity to control himself, but not of the power to injure others. Though the books tell me nothing expressly on this subject, yet they unanimously hold that the owner of a vicious horse is responsible, in one way or other, for all that the animal may do through his carelessness or wantonness; and the beer-dealer, of course, is still more decidedly responsible for the actions of an irrational creature, that he has not only let loose to destroy, but deliberately armed with the weapons of destruction.

55. To offer, beyond my first intention, a few remarks on the subject of distillation, I am in justice bound to begin by admitting, that this correlative offence rests, in a moral view, on very different grounds. The regulation, which the beer-dealer violates, prohibits the intoxicating of Indians with any substance whatever; but the regulation which the distiller violates, prohibits merely the manufacturing of a certain species of intoxicating liquor. But of this comparatively creditable distinction, the distiller can avail himself only when he distills exclusively for domestic consumption; if he bring his whiskey into the market, he clearly incurs the risk of indirectly co-operating in the infringement of the more important regulation. But, under any circumstances, whether he distills for his own family or for the public at large, his offence, in its legal aspect, is more deliberate and audacious than that of the beer-dealer, inasmuch as it commences with the very commencement of the process, and is not necessarily committed under the trying influence of a visible and tangible bribe. Thus far, therefore, the general position of the distiller is not so much superior to that of the beer-dealer as is commonly imagined; and, on a deeper scrutiny, the moral advantage, which, after all, must be restricted to such distillers as do not sell, has, I fear, brought several respectable individuals to the verge of an offence, far more serious than the violation of all our municipal enactments put together.

56. Though, in prosecuting a confessedly unpopular traffic, the beer-dealer's only reliance is on the difficulty of proving his offence; yet the distillers, trusting, forsooth, to the inherent innocence of their forbidden trade, are confidently reported to have combined to resist by force the execution of the law. For many reasons, I cannot believe that men who have such a stake in the country, as all those must have, who have anything to distill, can have actually entangled themselves in the highly penal crime of conspiracy,—a crime which is not the less punishable, however it may be meant merely as

an argument for the repeal of the obnoxious law. That the respectable individuals in question may have talked loosely among themselves on the subject, I can easily believe: for in this country, as in almost every other, any project that has not better reasons to support it, is always backed, in its own imagination, by some dangerous phantom: or other to alarm and dazzle and convince. But even such talking, if not legally criminal, is at least morally so, as tending, both, directly and indirectly to undermine the foundations of peace and order,—foundations in whose stability, every permanent resident in the country, however poor and humble, has a deeper interest than myself. If men wish to be free, they must not only obey the law themselves, but must by all lawful means prevent others from disobeying it,—every man's own obedience being the price of that freedom which the obedience of others alone can secure. But to return to the distillers; selfish discontent is the most culpable on the part of those, whose sole grievance it is, that Providence has blessed them with more than man will allow them to destroy, when contrasted with the self-denying cheerfulness of their less fortunate brethren, who, through the happily rare coincidence of a bad hunt and a bad harvest, are now enduring the most severe privations with the most heroic fortitude.

57. If the duty of obeying the law is to bear any proportion to the value of what the law protects, then must such duty be peculiarly binding on the citizens of Red River Settlement. Their lot has been cast in a land, in which industry is more independent than in any other of the accidents of fortune; and in which illness is in a great measure, exempted from the miseries, and placed above the temptations of less favoured climes; in which the savings of economy, when prudently employed in trade, yield almost unexampled returns; and in which even want, when it does come, is not aggravated by those artificial feelings, which elsewhere embitter the evils of poverty through the shame of disclosing them. Such of you as occasionally read the newspapers of the distant world, must see for how much you have to be thankful, and of how little you have to complain, possessing nearly all the happiness of civilized life, with the smallest possible alloy of its toils and its cares. In a word, you enjoy, almost as freely as air and water, the blessings for which God's chosen people prayed as the covenanted reward of national obedience; and it has often struck me that the beautiful petition of David, while, at the lowest ebb of his fortunes, he was fleeing from the face of his rebellious son, embodies a true picture of your enviable condition.

58. When I see your healthy and comely families nestling in wedded life around you almost as closely as the hen gathereth her chickens under her wings, I may well repeat: "Your sons grow up as the young plants, and your daughters as the polished corners of the temple." When I ride between your almost spontaneous harvests and your untended flocks, I may again exclaim: "Your garner is filled with all manner of store, and your sheep bring forth thousands and ten thousands in your streets." When I observe your gigantic cattle lending their patient strength to your ploughs and again replacing the loan out of the cheap pastures of your plains, I may still ejaculate: "Your oxen are strong to labour, and there is no decay." When I consider, that you know war and bondage only as the scourges of our lands,

I utter the words of the Jewish monarch in the proud and grateful spirit of a British subject: "There is no leading into captivity, and no complaining among you." When I reflect that, through the pious bounty of Europe, you are peacefully basking in the beams of a far brighter dispensation than that which the Jews were commanded to purchase with their labour, and obliged to defend with their lives, I echo with tenfold force the closing summary of the royal psalmist: "Happy are the people that are in such a case; yea, blessed are the people that have the Lord for their God."

