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## INTRODUCTION.

## Of the Origin of Government.

THE origin of government has in all ages no lefs perplexed the heads of lawyers and politicians, than the origin of evil has embarraffed divines and philofophers: "and 'tis probable the world may receive a fatisfáctory folution on botb thofe points of enquiry at the Same time.

The various opinions on the origin of go-vernment have been reduced to four. I. That dominion is founded in Grace. 2. On force or meer power. 3. On compact. 4. On property.

The firft of thefe opinions is fo abfurd, and the world has paid fo very dear for embracing it, efpecially under the adminiftration of the Roman pontifs, that mankind feem at this day to be in a great meafure cured of their madnefs in this particular; and the notion is pretty generally exploded, and hiffed off the ftage.

To thofe who lay the foundation of government in force and meer brutal power, it is objected; that, their fyftem deftroys all diftinction between right and wrong; that it overturns all morality, and leaves it to every man B 2
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to do what is right in his own eyes ; that it leads directly to fcepticijm, and ends in a-
 his only rule and guide, what fafety can there be either for him or againft him, but in the point of a fword?

On the other hand the gentlemen in favour of the orginal compact have been often fold. that tibeir fyltem is Gimetical and unfupported by realon or experience Quetions like the following have been frequeftly afRed them m and may be again.

WWhen and where was the origina compact for introduciog government into any fociety, or for creating a lociety, made? Who: were prefent and parties to fuch compact? Who acted for infants and women, or who appointed guardians for them? Had there guardians power to bind both infants and women during life, and their potterity after. them Is it in nature or reafon that a ghardian mould by his own act perpetuate his power over his ward, and bind him and his polterity in chains? Is not every man Born as free by nature as his father? Has hot the fame natural right to think and aet and contract for himfelf? Is it poffrbte for a nan to have a natural right to make a flave of himfelf or of his poftrity? Can a father fuperfede the laws of nature? What man is or ever was born free, if every man is not? What will there be to ditinguig the next generation of hen from theif forefathers, that they fhould not have
the fame right to make original compacts as their anceftors had ? If every man has fuch right, may there not be as many original compacts as there are men and women born of to be born? Are not women born as free as men? Would it not be infamous to aflert that the ladies are all laves by nature? If every, man and woman born or to be born has and will have a right to be confulted, and muft accede to the original compat before they can with any kind of juftice be faid to be bound by it, will not the compact be ever forming. and never finithed, ever making put never done ? Can it with propriey be called 9 compact original or derivative, that is ever in trea $\Rightarrow$ o ty but never concluded?"

When it has been faid that each man is bound as foon as he accedes, and that the confent may be either exprefs or tacit, it has been afked, "What is a tacit confent or compact? Does it not appear plain that thofe who refufe their afient cannot be bound? If one is at liberty to accede or not, is he not at liberty to recede on the difcovery of fome intolerable fraud and abufe that has been palmed ypon him by the reft of the high-contracting parties? Will not natural equity in feveral jpecial cafes refcind the original compacts of great men, as effectually as thofe of little men are rendered null and void in the ordinary courfa of a court of chancery ?"

There are other queftions which have been ftarted, and a refolution of them demanded, which
which may perhaps be deemed indecent by, thore who hold the prerogatives of an earthly monatch, and even the power of a plantation government, "fo facred as to think it litile lefs than blafphemy to enquire into their origin and foundation: white the government of the fupreme rule of the univerfe is every day difcuffed with léfs ceremony and decency than the adminiftration of a petty German pripce. I hope the reader will confider that am at prefent only mentioning fuch queftions as have been put by high-flyers and others in church and ftate, who would exclude all compact between a fovereign and his people, without uffering my own fentiments upon them this however I prefume I may be allowed hereafter to do without offence. Thofe who want a fuli anf fwer to them may confult Mr. Locke's difcourfes on government, M. De Vattel's law of nature and nations, and their own confciences.
"' What fate were Great-Britain, Ireland, and the Plantations left in by the abdication of James II? "Was it a tate of nature or of civil government? If a flate of civil government, "where were the fupreme legiliative and executive powers from the abdication to the election of William and Mary? Could the. Lords and Commons be called a compleat parliament or fupreme power without a King to head them? "Did any law of the land or any original compact previous to the abdication provide, that on fuch an event, the fupreme
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power hould devolve, on the two houres? Were not bath houfes fo manifeftly puzzled with the novelty and ftrangenefs of the event, and fo far from finding any act of parliainent, book-cafe, or precedent to help them, that they difputed in folemn conference by what name to call the action, and at laft gave it one, as new in our language and in that of parliament as the thing itfelf was in fact*?"

If on this memorable and very happy event the three kingdoms and the dominions: fell back into a ftate of mature, it will be anked, " Whether every man and woman were not then equal ? If fo, had not every one of them a natural and equitable right to be confulted in the choice of a new king, or in the formation of a new original compact or government, if any new form had been made ? Might not the nation at that time have rightfully changed the monarchy into a republic or any form, that might feem beft? Could any change from a ftate of naure take place without univerfal confent, or at leaft without the confent of the majority of the individuals? Upon the principles of the original compact as commonly

[^0]explained and underftood, could a few hundred theny who before the diffolution of the governmeht had been called, and in fact were, lords, knights, and gentlemen, have lawfully made that glorious deliverer and defender Wh IM, rightfol king ?" Such an one he certainly was, and fuch have been all his illuftrious fucceffors to the prefent happy times; when we have the joy to fee the foptre fwayed ií jultice, wifdom and mercy, by our lawful Squereign George the Third; a prince whoglories in being a Briton born, and whom mayl God lbing preferve and profper.
ons 5 If upon the abdication all were reduced to a fitate of nature, had not apple-women and bratge-girls as good a right to give their refpeative fuffrages for a new king as the philofophery couttier, petit maitre, and politician ? Wereithefe and ten millions of others fuch evermbore confalted on that occafion, than the multitude now are in the adjuftment of that real modern farce, an election of a king of the Romans'; which ferves as a contraft to the grandeur of the antient republics, and hews the littlenefs of the modern German and fome other Gothic conftitutions in their prefent degenerate ftate ?
"In the election of $W$. III. were the votes of Ireland and the Plantations ever called for or once thought of till the affair was fettled ? Did the lords and commons who happened to be then in and about Weftminfter reprefent, and act, for the individuals, not only of the three
three kingdoms, but for all the free-born and as yet unconquered polfiffors and proprietors of their own money-purchafed, blood-purchafed plantations, which, till lately, bewe been defended with little or no afiflance from GreatBritain? Were not thofe who did not vote in or for the new model at liberty upon the principles of the compact to remain in what fome call the delectable ftate of nature, to which by the hypothefis they were reduced, or to join themfelves to any other ftate, whofe folemn league and covenant they could fubfcribe? Is it not a firt principle of the original compact, that all who are bound fhould bind themfelves? Will not common fenfe without much learning or ftudy dictate obvious anfwers to all the above queftions ?--and, fay the oppofers of the original compact and of the natural equality and liberty of mankind, will not thofe anfwers infallibly thew that the doctrine is a piece of metaphyjical jargon and fy.fematical nonfenfe?" Perhaps not.

With regard to the fourth opinion, that dominion is founded in property, what is it but playing with words? Dominion in one fenfe of the term is fynonimous with property, fo one cannot be called the foundation of the other, but as one name may appear to be the foundation or caufe of another.

Property cannot be the foundation of dominion as fynonimous with government ; for on the fuppofition that property has a precari-
ous exiftence antecedent to government, and though it is alfo admitted that the fecurity of property is one end of government, but that of little eftimation even in the view of a mifer when life and liberty of locomotion and further accumulation are placed in competition, it muft be a very abfurd way of fpeaking to affert that one end of government is the foundation of government. If the ends of government are to be confidered as its foundation, it cannot with truth or propriety be faid that government is founded on any one of thofe ends; and therefore government is not founded on property or its fecurity alone, but at leaft on fomething elfe in conjunction. It is however true in fact and experience, as the great, the incomparable Harrington has moft abundantly demonftrated in his Oceana, and other divine writings, that Empire follows the balance of property: it is alfo certain that property in fact generally confers power, though the poffefior of it may not have much more wit than a mole or a mufqualh : and this is too often the caufe, that riches are fought after, without the leaft concern about the right application of them. But is the fault in the riches, or the general law of nature, or ths unworthy poffelfor? It will never follow from all this, that government is rigbtfully founded on property, alone. What thall we fay then? Is not government founded on grace? No. Nor on force? No. Nor on compact? Nor property? Not altogether on either.

Has it any folid foundation? any chief corner ftone, but what accident, chance or confufion may lay one moment and deftroy the next? I think it has an everlafting foundation in the unckangeable wiil of God, the author of nature, whofe laws never vary. The fame omnifcient, omnipotent, infinitely good and gracious Creator of the univerle, who has been pleafed to make it neceffary that what we call matter fhould gravitate, for the celeftial bodies to roll round their axes, dance their orbits and perform their various revolutions in that beautiful order and concert, which we all admire, has made it equally neceflary that from Adam and Eve to thefe degenerate days, the different fexes houłd fweetly attract each other, form focieties of fingle families, of which larger bodies and communities are as naturally, mechanically, and neceffarily combined, as the dew of Heaven and the foft diftilling rain is collected by the all-enlivening heat of the tun. Government is therefore moft evidently founded on the nece/fities of our nature. It is by no means an arbitrary thing, depending merely on compact or buman will for its exiftence.

We come into the world forlorn and helplefs; and if left alone and to ourfelves at any one period of our lives, we thould foon die in want, defpair or deftraction. Sc kind is that hand, though little known or regarded, which feeds the rich and the poor, the blind and the naked; and provides for the fafety of infants

## ( 12 )

by the principle of parental love, and for that of men by Government! We have a King, who neither flumbers nor heeps, but eternally watches for our good; whofe rain falls on the juft and on the unjuft : yet while they live, move, and have their being in him, and cannot account for either, or for any thing elfe, fo ftupid and wicked are fome men, as to deny his exiftence, blafpheme his moft evilent government, and difgrace their nature.

Let no Man think 1 am about to commence advocate for defpotifm, becaufe I affirm that government is founded on the neceffity of our natures; and that an original fupreme Sovereign, abfolute, and uncontroulable, eartbly power muft exift in and prefide over every fociery; from whofe final decifions there can be no appeal but directly to Heaven. It is therefore originally and ultimately in the people. I fay this fupreme abfolute power is originally and ultimately in the people; and they never did in fact freely, nor can they rigbtfully make an abfolute, unlimited renunciation of this divine right*. It is ever in the nature of the thing given in truft, and on a condition, the performance of which no mortal can difyence with; namely, that the perfon or perfons on
whom

[^1]whom the fovereignty is confered by the people, thall inceflantly confult their good. Tyranny of all kinds is to be abhored, whether it be in the hands of one, or of the few, or of the many.-And though " in the laft age a generation of men fprung up that would flatter Princes with an opinion that they have a divine right to abfolute power;" yet "flavery is $\varsigma_{0}$ vile and miferable an eftate of man, and fo directly oppofite to the generous temper and courage of our nation, that it is hard to be conceived that an Englifbman, much lefs a gentleman, thould plead for it *:" Efpecially at a time when the fineft writers of the moft polite nations on the continent of Europe, are enraptured with the beauties of the civil conflitution of Great-Britain; and envy her, no lets for the freedom of her fons, than for her immenfe wealth and military glory.

But let the origin of government be placed where it may, the end of it is manifeftly the good of the whole. Salus populi fuprema lex efto, is of the law of nature, and part of that grand charter given the human race (though too many of them are afraid to affert it) by the only monarch in the univerfe, who has a clear and indifputable right to abfolute power ; becaufe he is the only One who is omnifcient as well as omnipotent.

It is evidently contrary to the firft principles of reafon, that fupreme unlimited power fhould be in the hands of one man. It is the greateft
" idolatry

[^2]" idolatry, begotten by flattery, on the body of pride," that could induce one to think that a fingle mortal hould be able to hold fo great a power, if ever fo well inclined. Hence the origin of deifying princes: it was from the trick of gulling the vulgar into a belief that their tyrants were omnifcient; and that it was therefore right, thit they fhould be co. 'idered as omnipotent. Hence the Dii majorum ©f minorum genti:m ; the great, the monarchical, the little, Provincial fubordinate and fubaltern gods, demi-gods, and femidemi-gods, ancient and modern. Thus deities of all kinds were multiplied and increafed in abundance; for every devil incarnate, who could enflave a people, acquired a title to divinity; and thus the " rabble of the fkies" was made up of locufts and caterpillars; lions, tygers, and harpies ; and other devourers tranflated from plaguing the earth*!

The end of government being the good of mankind, points out is great duties: it is above all things to provide for the fecurity, the quiet, and happy enjoyment of life, liberty, and property. There is no one act which a government can have a right to make, that does not tend to the advancement of the fecurity, tranquility and profperity of the people. If life, liberty and property could be enjoyed

[^3]in as great perfection in folitude, as in fociety, there would be no need of government. But the experience of ages has proved that fuch is the nature of man, a weak, imperfect being; that the valuable ends of life careot be obtained, without the union and affiftance of many. Hence it is clear that men cannot live apart or independent of each other : in folitude men would perifh; and yet they cannot live together without contefts. Thefe contefts require fome arbitrator to determine them. The neceffity of a common, indiffe ent and impartial judge, makes all men feek one; though few find him in the fovereign power, of their refpective ftates or any where elfe in filbordination to it.

Government is founded immediately on the neceffities of human natare, and ultimately on the will of God, the author of nature; who has not left it to men in general to chufe, whether they will be members of fociety or not, but at the hazard of their fenfes if not of their lives. Yet it is left to every man as he comes of age to chufe what fociety he will continue to belong to. Nay, if one has a mind to turn bermit, and after he has been born, nurfed, and brought up in the arms of fociety, and acquired the habis and paffions of focial life, is willing to run the rifque of ftarving alone, which is generally moft unavoidable in a ftate of hermitage, who fhall hinder him? I know of no human law, founded on the law of nature, to reftrain inim
from feparating himfelf from all the feecies, if he can find it in his heart to leave them : unlefs it thould be faid, it is againft the great law of felf-prefervation: But of this every man will think himfelf bis owon judge.

The few bermits and Mifantbropes that ever exifted, thew that thofe fates are unnatural. If we were to take out from them, thofe who have made great zuorldly gain of their godly hermitage, and thofe who have been under the madnefs of entbufiafm, or difappointed hopes in their ambitious projects, for the detriment of mankind, perhaps there might not be left ten from Adam to this day.

The form of government is by nature and by right fo far left to the individuals of each fociety, that they may alier it from a fimple democracy, or government of all over all, to anyother form they pleare. Such alteration may and ought to be made by exprefs compact : But how feldom this right has been afferted, hiftory will abundantly fhew. For once that it has been fairly fettled by compact; fraud, force or accident have determined it an hundred times. As the people have gained upon tyrants, thefe have been obliged to relax, only till a fairer opportunity has put it in their power to encroach again.

But if every prince fince Nimrod had been a tyrant, it would not prove a right to tyranize. There can be no prefcription old enough to fuperifde the law of nature, and the grant of Ged Almighty ; who has given
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to all men a natural right to be free, 'nd they have it ordinarily in their power to make themfulves fo, if they pleafe.

Government having been provcd to be neceffary by the law of nature, it makes no difference in the thing to call it from a certain period, civil. This term can only relate to form, to addiiions to, or deviations from, the fubflance of government: This being founded in nature, the fuperftructures and the whole adminiftration fhould be conformed to the law of univerfal reafon. A fupreme legiflative and a fupreme executive power, muft be placed fomezobere in every commonwealth : Where there is no other pofitive provition or compact to the contrary, thofe powers remain in the zobole body of the people. It is alfo evident there can be but one beft way of depofiting thofe powers; but what that way is, mankind have been difputing in peace and in war more than five thoufand years. If we could fuppofe the individuals of a community met to deliberate, whether it were beft to keep thofe powers in their ozon hands, or difpofe of them in truff, the following queftions would occur-Whether thofe two great powers of Legilation and Execution fhould remain united ? If fu, whether in the hands of the many, or jointly or feverally in the hands of a few, or jointly in fome one individuai ? If both thofe powers are retained in the hands of the many, where nature feems to have
placed them originally, the government is a fimple democracy, or a government of all over all. This can be adminiftred, only by eftablifhing it as a firft principle, that the votes of the majority fhall be taken as the voice of the whole. If thofe powers are lodged in the hands of a few, the government is Arifocracy or Oligarchy*. i.ere too the firft principles of a practicable adminiftration is, that the majority rules the whole. If thofe great powers are both lodged in the hands of one man, the government is a imple Monarcby, commonly, though falliy called abjolute, if by that term is meant a right to do as one pleafes.-Sic volo, fic jubeo, fet pro ratione voluntas, belongs not of right to any moital man.

The fame law of nature and of reafon is equally obligatory on a democracy, an arifocracy, and a monarchy: Whenever the adminiftrators, in any of thofe forms, deviate from truth, jultice and equity, they verge towards tyranny, and are to be oppofed; and if they prove incorrigible, they will be depofed by the people, if the people are not rendered too abject. Depofing the adminifators of a $/ \mathrm{im}$ ple democracy may found oddly, but it is done every day, and in almoft every vote. A, B, and $C$ for example, make a democracy; to day A and B are for fo vile a meafure as a ftand-

[^4]ftanding army ; to morrow $B$ and $C$ vote it out. This is as really depofing the former adminiftrators, as fetting up and making a new king is depofing the old one. Democracy in the one cafe, and monarcby in the other, ftill remain; all that is done is to change the adminiftration.

The firt principle and great end of government being to provide for the beft good of all the people, this can be done only by a fupreme legiflative and executive ultimately in the people, or whole community, where God has placed it; but the inconveniencies, not to fay impoffibility, attending the confultations and operations of a large body of people, have made it neceffary to transfer the power of the whole to a fero: This necefity gave rife to deputation, proxy or a right of reprerentation.

A Power of legiflation, without a power of execution in the fame or other hands, would be futile and vain : On the other hand, a power of execution, fupreme or fubordinate, without an independent legifature, would be perfect defpotifm.

The difficulties attending an univerfal congrefs, efpecially when fociety became large, have brought men to confent to a delegationof the power of all: The weak and the wicked have too often been found in the fame intereft; and in molt nations have not only brought thefe powers jointly into the hands of one, or fome few, of their number; but
made them bereditary, in the families of defpotic nobles and princes.

The wifer and more virtuous fates, have always provided that the reprefentation of the people fhould be numerous. Nothing but life and liberry are naturally hereditable: This has never been confidered by thofe, who have tamely given up both into the hands of a ty: rannical Oligarchy or defpotic Monarchy.

The analogy between the natural, or material, as it is called, and the moral world, is very obvious; God himfelf appears to us at fome times to caule the intervention or combination of a number of fimple principles, thcugh never when one will anfwer the end; gravication and attraction have place in the revolution of the planets, becaule the one would fix them to a centre, and the cther would carry them off indefinitely; fo in the moral world, the firt fimple principle is cquality and the power of the whole. This will anfwer in fimall numbers; fo will a tolerably virtuous Oligarchy or a Mcnarchij. But when the fociety grows in bulk, none of them will anfwer well fingly, and none worfe than abfolute monarchy. It becomes neceflary therefore as numbers increafe, to have thofe feveral powers properly combined; fo as from the whole to produce that harmony of government fo often talked of and wifhed for, but too feldom found in ancient or modern fates. The grand political problem in all ages has peen to invent the beft combination or difti-
bution of the fupreme powers of legifation and execution. Thofe ftates have ever made the greateft figure, and have been moft durarable, in which thofe powers have not only been feparated from each other, but placed each in more hands than one, or a few. The Romans are the moft fhining example; but they never had a balance between the fenate. and the people; and the want of this, is generally agreed by the few who know any thing of the matter, to have been the caufe of their fall. The Britifl conflitution in theory and in the prefent adminiftration of it, in general cumes neareft the idea of perfection, of any that has been reduced to practice ; and if the principles of it are adhered to, it will, according to the infallible prediction of Harrington, always keep the Britons uppermoft in Europe, 'till their only rival nation fhall either embrace that perfect model of a commonwealth given us by that author, or come as near it as Great-Britain is. Then indeed, and not till then, will that rival and our nation either be eternal confederates, or contend in greater earneft than they have ever yet done, till one of them thall fink under the power of the other, and rife no more.

Great-Britain has at prefent, moft evidently the advantage, and fuch opportunities of honeft wealth and grandeur, as perhaps no ftatic ever had before, at leaft not lince the days of Gulius Cafar, the deftroyer of the Roman glory and grandeur, at a time when but

## ( 22 )

but for him and his adherents both might have been rendered immortal.

We have faid that the form and mode of government is to be fettled by compact, as it was righeful'y done by the convention after the atdication of James II. ana affented to by the firft reprefentative of the nation chofen afterwards, and by every parliament, and by almoft every man ever fince, but the bigots to the indefealible power of tyrants civil and ecclefiaftic. There was neither time for, nor occafion to call the whole people together : if they had not liked the proceediugs it was in their power to controul them; as it would be fhould the fupreme legillative or executive powers ever again attempt to enflave them. The people will bear a great deal, betore they will even murmur againt their rulers: but when once they are thuroughly roufed, and in earneft, againft thofe who would be glad to enflave them, their power is irrefifible *.

At the abdication of King fames, every ftep was taken that natural juftice and equity could require; and all was done that was poffible, at leaft in the wretched fate in which he left the nation. Thofe very noble and worthy patriots, the lords firitual and temporal of that $d y y$, and the principal perfons of the commons, advifed the prince, who in confequence thereof caufed letters to be " written to the lords firitual and temporal, being proteftants, and other letters to the feveral coun-

[^5]
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counties, cities, univerfities, horoughs and cinque-ports, for the chufing fuch perfons to reprefent them as were of right to be fent to panliament, to meet at Weftminfter upon the 22d of January 1688, in order to fuch an eftablifhment, as that their religion, laws and liberties might not again be in danger of being fubverted." See $W$. छ M. feff. i. C. i.

Upon this elections were made, and thereupon the faid lords spiritual and temporal and commons met, and proceeded to affert their rights and liberties, and to the election of the Prince and Princefs of Orange to be King and Queen of England, France and Ireland, and the dominions thereto belonging. The kingdom of Scotland agreed in the fame choice: Thefe proceedings were drawn into the form of acts of parliament, and are the bafis of the acts of union and fucceffion fince made, and which all together are the fure foundation of that indifputable right which his prefent Majefty has to the Crown of Great Britain and the dominions thereto belonging ; which right it is the greateft folly to doubt of, as well as the blackeft treafon to deny. The prefent eftablifhment founded on the law of God, and of nature, was began by the convention, with a profeffed and real view, in all parts of the Britifo empire, to put the liberties of the people out of the reach of arbitrary power in all times to come.

But the grandeur, as well as juftice, equity and goodnefs of the proceedings of the nation
on that memorable occafion, never have been nor can be fo well reprefented as in the words of thofe great men who compofed the convention; for which reafon partly, but principally beaufe they thew the rights of all Britifh fubjects, both at home and abroad, and fhould therefore be in as many hands as poffible; I have tranfcribicd the following claufes.
i $W . \mathcal{O}^{\circ} M$. feff. i. chap. i. preamble and fec. 1. entitled-
"A An act for removing and preventing all queftions and difputes concerning the aflembling and fitting of this prefent parliament.

For preventing all doubt and fcruples which may in any wife arife concerning the ereting, fitting and proceeding of this prefent parliament; be it declared and enacted by tis: King's and Queen's moft excellent Majeflies, by and with the advice and confent of the lords fipitual and temporal, and commons, now affembled, and by authority of the fame.

IIdly. That the lords $f_{p}$ :itual and temporal, and commons, convened at Weftminfter, the two and twentieth day of January A. D. 1688, and there fitting the $13^{\text {th }}$ of February following, are the two houfes of parliament, and fo mall be and are hereby declared, enactcd and adjudged to be, to all intents, conftructions, and purpofes whatfoever, notwithftanding any want of writ or writs of fummons, or any other defect of form or default whatfoever, as if they had been fummoned according to the ufual form.

I of $W$. § $M$. felf. 2. chap. 2. fec. 3, 4, 5, 6, $11,12$.

An act derlaring the righto and liberties of the fubject, and fettling the fucceffion of the Crown.

Whereas the lords firitual and temporal, and commons, affembled at Weftmintter, lawfully, fully and freely reprefenting all the eftates of the people of this realm, did upon the $13^{\text {th }}$ of February A. D. 1688 , prefent unto their Majeftics, then called and known by the names and ftile of Willian and Mary, Prince and Princefs of Orange, being prefent in their proper perfons, a certain declaration in writing, made by the faid lords and commons in the words following, viz.

Whereas the late King James the fecond, by the affiftance of divers evil counfellors, judges, and minitters employed by him, did endeavour to fubvert and extirpate the proteftant religion, and the laws and liberties of this kingdom.
I. By affuming and exercifing a power of difpenfing with and fufpending of laws, and the execution of laws, without confent of parliament.
2. By committing and profecuting divers worthy prelates, for humbly petitioning to be excufed from concuring to the faid aflimed power.
3. By iffuing and caufing to be executed a commifiion under the great feal for erecting a
court, called, The ccart of commiffioners for ecclefiaftical caufes.
4. For levying money for and to the ufe of the crown, by pretence of prerogative, for other time, and in other manner, than the fame was granted by parliament.
5. By raifing and keeping a ftanding army within this kingdom in time of peace, without confent of parliament, and quartering foldiers contrary to law.
6. By caufing feveral good fubjects, being proteftants, to be difarmed, at the fame time when papifts were both armed and employed, contrary to law.
7. By violating the freedom of election of members to ferve in parliament.
8. By profecutions in the court of King's. Bench, for matters and caufes cognizable only in parliament ; and by divers other arbitrary ard illegal courfes.
9. And whereas of late years, partial, corrupt and unqualifiec perfons, have been returned and ferved on juries in trials, and particularly divers jurors in trials for high treaton, which were not freeholders.
10. And exceffive bail hath been required of perions committed in criminal cafes, to elude the benefit of the laws made for the liburty of the fubjects.
11. And exceffive fines have been impofed; and illegal and cruel punifhments inflicted.
12. And feveral grants and promifes made of fines and forfeitures, before any conviction
or judgment againft the perfons, upon whom the fame were to be levied.

All which are utterly and directly eontrary to the known laws and ftatutes, and freedom of this realm-

And whereas the faid late King James the fecond having abdicated the Government, and the throne being thereby vacant, his highnefs the prince of Orange (whom it hath pleafed Almighty God to make the glorious inftument of delivering this kingdom from popery and arbitrary power) did (by the advice of the Lords fpiritual and temporal, ans divers principal perfons of the commons) caufe letters to be written to the lords spiritual and temporai, being proteftanis, and other letters to the feveral counties, cities, univerfities, boroughs, and cinque - ports, for the chufing of fuch perfons to reprefent them, as were of right to be fent to parliament, to meet and fit at Wertminfter upon the two and twentieth of Januasy in this year 1688, in order to fuch an eftablifhment, as that their religion, laws, and liberties might not again be in danger of being fubverted. Upon which letters, elections having been accordingly made :

And thereupon the faid lords firitual and temporal and commons, purfuant to their refpective letters and elections, being now affembled in a full and free reprefentative of this nation, taking into their mot ferious confideration the beft means for attaining the ends aforefaid ; do in the firft place (as their ancef-
tors in like cafe have ufualy done) for the vindicating and afferting their antient rights and libertics, declare,
t. That the pretended power of fufpending of laws, or the execution of laws, by regal authority, without confent of parliament, is illegal.
2. That the pretended power of difpenfing with laws, or the execution of laws, by regal authority, as it hath been affumed and exercifed of late, is illegal.
3. That the commiffion for creating the Jate court of commifioners for ecclefiaftical caufes, and all other commiffions and courts of like nature, are illegal and pernicious.
4. That levying money for or to the ufe of the crown, by pretence of prerogative, without grant of parliament, for longer time, or in other manner, than the fame is or thall be granted, is illegal.
5. That it is the right of the fubjects to petition the King; and all commitments and profecutions for fuch petitioning are illegal.
6. That the raifing or keeping a ftanding army within the kingdom in time of peace, unlefs it be with confent of parliament, is againft law.
7. That the fubjects which are proteftants, may have arms for their defence, fuitable to their conditions, and as allowed by law.
8. That election of members of parliament pught to be frece.
9. That the freedom of feech, and debates; or proceedings in parliament, ought not to be impeached or queftioned in any court or place out of parliament.
10. That exceffive bail ought not to be required, nor exceffive fines impofed ; nor crucl and unufual punifhments inflicted.
II. That jurors ought to be duly impannelled and returned ; and jurors which pafs upon mens trials for high treafon, ought to be freeholders.
12. That all grants and promifes of fines and forfeitures of particular perfons before conviction, are illegal and void.
13. And that for redrefs of all grievances, and for the amending, ftrengthening, and preferving of the laws, parliaments ought to be held frequently.

And they do claim, demand, and infift upon all and fingular the premifes, as their undoubted rights and liberties; and that no declarations, judgments, doings, or proceedings, to the projudice of the people in any of the faid premifes, ought in any wife to be drawn hereafter into confequence or example:

To which demand of their rights they are particularly encouraged by the declaration of his Highnefs the Prince of Orange, as being the only means for obtaining a full redrefs and remedy thercin -

Having therefore an entirc confidence, that his faid Highnefs the Prince of Orange, will perfect the deliverance fo far advanced by him,
and will ftill preferve them from the violation of their rights, which they have here afferted, and from all other attempts upon their religion, rights and libertics.
II. The faid Lords fpiritual and remporal, and commons affembled at Wefteninfter, do refolve that William and Mary Prince and Princefs of Orange be, and be declared, King and Queen of England, France and Ireland, and the dominions therem:o belonging, to hold the crown and royal dignity of the faid kingdoms and dominions to them the faid Prince and Princefs, during their lives, and the life of the furvivor of them; and that the fole and full exercife of the regal power be only in, and executed by the faid Prince of Orange, in the names of the faid Prince and Princefs, during their joint lives; and after their deceafes, the faid crown and royal dignity of the faid kingdoms and dominions to be to the heirs of the body of the faid Princefs; and for default of fuch iffue, to the Princels Anue of Denmark, and the heirs of her body; and for default of fuch iffue, to the heirs of the body of the faid Prince of Orange. And the Lords fpiritual and temporal, and commons, do pray the faid Prince and Princefs to accept the fame accordingly.
IV. Upon which their faid Majefties did accept the crown and royal dignity of the kingdom of England, France and Ireland, and the d mimons thereunto belonging, according to the refolutions and defire of the faid
lc. Is and commons, contained in the faid declaration.
V. And thereupon their Majefties were pleafed, that the faid lords fpiritual and remporal, and commons, being the two houfes of Parliament, fhould continue to frt, and with their Majefties royal concurrence, make effectual provifion for the fettlement of the religion, laws and liberties of this Kingdom; fo that the fame for the future might not be in danger again of being fubverted; to which the faid lords fpiritual and temporal, and commons did agree and proceed to act accordingly.
VI. Now in purfuance of the premiles, the faid lords fpiritual and temporal and commons, in parliament affembled, for the ratifying, confirming and eftablifhing the faid declaration, and the articles, claufes, matters and things therein contained, by the force of a law made in due form by authority of parliament, do pray that it may be declared and enacted, That all and fingular the rights and liberties afferted and claimed in the faid declaration, are the true, ancient and indubitable rights and liberties of the People of this kingdom, and fo thall be efteemed, allowed, adjudged, deemed, and taken to be ; and that all and every the particulars aforcfaid, thall be firmly and ftrictly holden and obferved, as they are expreffed in the faid declaration ; and all officers and minifters whatCocver fhall ferve their Majefties and their fuc-
fucceffors according to the fame in all times to come.
XI. All which their Majefties are contented and pleafed flall be declared, enacted, and eftablifhed by authority of this prefent parlian ment, and hall ftand, remain, and be the law of this realm for ever; and the fame are by their faid Majefties, by and with the advice and confent of the lords firitual and temporal, and commons, in parliament affembled, and by the authority of the fame, declared, enacted, and eftablifhed accordingly.
XII. And be it further declared and enacted by the authority aforefaid, that from and after this prefent feffion of parliament, no difpenfation by non obffante of or to any ftatute or any part thereof, fhall be allowed; but that the fame fhall be held void and of no effect, except a difpenfation be allowed in fuch ftatutes, and except in fuch cafes as thall be fpecially provided for by one or more bill or bills to be paffed during this prefent feffion of parliament.
$12 \& 13$ of William III. chap. 2. fec. $3 \& 4$. " Whereas it is necefliary that further pros vifion be made for fecuring our religion, laws and liberties, after the death of his Majefty and the Princefs Anne of Denmark, and in default of iffue of the body of the faid Princefs, and of his Majefty refpectively; it is enacted,

That after the faid limitation hall take effect, judges commiffions be made quam-
diu fe bene gefferint, and their falaries afcertained and eftablifhed; but upon the addrefs of both houfes of parliament, it may be lawful to remove them;

That no pardon under the great feal of England be pleaded to an impeachment by the commons in parliament.

Whereas the laws of England are the birthright of the people thereof, and all the Kings and Queens, who Chall afcend the throne of this realm, ought to adminifter the government of the fame ac ording to the faid laws, and all their officers and minifters ought to ferve them according to the fame; all the laws and ftatutes of this realm for fecuring the eftablifhed religion, and the rights and liberties of the people, and all other laws and ftatutes now in force, are by his Majefty, with the advice and confent of the lords ipiritual and temporal, and commons, ratified and confirmed."

I hall clofe this introduction with a paffage from Mr. Locke.
" Though, fays he, in a conftituted common wealth, flanding upon its own bafis, and acting according to its own nature, that is, acting for the prefervation of the community, there can be but one fupreme power which is the legillative, to which all the reft are and muft be lubordinate; yet the legiflative being only a fiduciary power, to act for certain ends, there remains ftill, " in the people, a fiprene power to remove, or alter, the ligilative when
they find the legillative act contrary to the truft repofed in them." For all power given, with truft for the attaining an end, being limited by that end, whenever that end is manifeftly neglected, or oppofed, the truft muft neceffarily be forfeited, and the power devolve into the hands of thofe who gave it, who may place it anew where they fhall think beft, for their fafety and fecurity. And thus the comminity perpetually retains a fupreme power of faving themfelves from the attempts and defigns of any bcdy, even of their legiflators whenever they thall be fo foolifh, or fo wicked, as to lay and carry on defigns againft the liberties and properties of the fubject. For no man, or fociety of men, having a power to deliver up their prefervation, or confequently the means of it, to the abfolute will and arbitrary dominion of another; whenever any one fhall go about to bring them into fuch a flavifh condition, they will always have a righi to preferve what they have not a power to part with; and to rid themfelves of thofe who invade this fundamental, facred and unalterable law of felf-prefervation, for which they en* tered into fociety.

And thus the community may be faid in this refpect to be always the fupreme power, but not as confidered under any form of government, becaufe this power of the people, can never take place, till the government be diflolved." Locke on Government, B. in. C. 13 .

This he fays may be done, " from without by conqueft; from witnin, ift. When the legiflative is altered. Which is often by the prince, but fometimes by the whole legiflative. As by invading the property of the fubject, and making themfelves arbitrary difpofers of the lives, liberties and fortunes of the people; reducing them to llavery under arbitrary power, they put themfelves into a ftate of war with the people, who are thereupon abfolved from any further obedience, and are left to the common refuge which God hath provided for all men, againft force and violence. Whenfoever therefore, the legiflative Thall tranfgrefs this fundamental rule of fociety ; and either by ambition, fear, folly or corruption, endeavour to gain themfelves, or put into the hands of any other an abfolute power over the lives, liberties and eftates of the people, by this breach of truft, they forfeit the power the people had put into their hands for quite contrary ends, and it devolves to the people, who have a right to refume their original liberty, and by the eftablifhment of a new legiflative (fuch as they thall think fit). provide for their own fafety and fecurity, which is the end for which they are in fociety."

Idem Chap. 9.

## Of Colonies in general.

THIS fubject has never been very clearly and fully handled by any modern F 2 writer,
writer, that I have had the good fortune to meet with; and to do it juftice, would require much greater abilities than I pretend to, and more leifure than I ever expect will fall to my Thare. Even the Englifh writers and lawyers, have either intirely waved any confideration of the nature of Colonits, or very lightly touched upon it, for the people of England never difcovered much concern for the profperity of the Colonies, till the revolution ; and even now fome of their great men and writers, by their difcourfes of, and conduct towards them, confider them all rather as a parcel of little infignificant conquered ilands, than as a very extenfive fettlement on the continent. Even their law-books and very dictionaries of law, in editions fo late as 1750 , Ipeak of the $\mathrm{Bri}-$ tifb plantations abroad as confifting chiefly of iflands; and they are reckoned up in fome of them in this order-Famaica, Barbadoes, Virginia, Maryland, New-England, New-York, Car lina, Bermudas. At the head of all thefe I/lands (for there is no diftinction made) ftands Famaica, in truth a conquered ifland; and as fuch, this and all the other little Weft-India iflaids deferve to be treated, for the conduct of their inhabitants and proprietors with :egard to the Northern Colonies : divers of thefe colonies are larger than all thofe iflands together; and are well fettled, not as the common people of Englavd foolifhly imagine, with a compound mongrel mixture of Englijb, Indian and Negro, but with freeborn Britifh
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white fubjects, whofe loyalty has never yet been fufpected.

There is a man now living, or but lately dead, who once was a fecretary of ftate ; during whofe wonderful conduct of national affairs, withour knowing whether famaica lay in the Mediterranean, the Baltic, or in the Moon, letters were often received, directed to the Governor of the illand of New -England. Which ifland of New-England is a part of the continent of North-America, comprehending two provinces and two colonies; and according to the undoubted bounds of their charters, containing more land than there is in the three kingdoms. But I muft confine myfelf to matters of more importance than detecting the geographical blunders, or refuting the errors of dead, fuperannuated or otherwife ftupified fecretaries of fate, who are now all out of place.

If I were to define the modern Colonifts, I fhould fay, they are the noble difcoverers and fettlers of a new world; from whence, as from an endlefs fource, wealth, and plenty, the means of power, grandeur and glory, in a degree unknown to the hungry chicfs of former ages, have been pouring into Europe for 300 years paft: In return for which, thofe Colonifts have received from the feveral flates of Europe, except from Great-Britain, only fince the revolution, nothing but ill-ufage, flavery and chains, as faft as the riches of their ozen earning, could furnilh the means of forging them.

A plantation or colony, is a fettlement of fubjects in a territory disjointed or remote from the mother countty, and may be made by private adventurers or the public ; but in both cafes the Colonitts are intided to as ample rights, l:berties and privileges as the fubjects of the mother country are, and in fome refpects ta more.

## If the natural Rigbts of Colonits.

I$\rightarrow$ HOSE who expect to find any thing very fatisfactory on this fubjeen in particular, or with regard to the law of nature in general, in the witings of fuch authors as Grotius and Puyendirf, will find themfelves much miftaken. It is their conftant practice to eftablifh the matter of right on the matter of fact: This the celebrated Roufjeau exprefly fays of Grotius, and with the fame reafon he might have added an hundred others. "Thi learned refearches into the laws of nature and nations are often nothing more than the hiftory of ancient abufes, fo that it is a ridiculous infatuation to be too fend of Atudying them.*" "This was exactly the cafe with Grotius. $\dagger$ " The fentiments on this fubject have therefore been chicfly drawn from the purer fountains of one or two of cur Englifh writers, particularly from Mr. Locke, to whom might be added a ferw of other nations; for I have feen but a few of any country, and of cll I have feen, there

[^6]there are not ten worth reading. Grotius, B. 3. C. 1. fec. 21. difcourfing of confederates on unequal terms, according to his manner, fays, " to the inequality in queftion may be referred fome of thofe rights which are now called right of protection, right of patronage, and a right termed mundiburgium; as alfo that which mother cities had over their colonies among the Grecians. For as Tbucydides fays, thofe colonies enjoyed the fame righis of lijerty with the other cities, but they owed a reverence to the city whence they derived their origin, and were obliged to render her refpect and certain expreffions of honour, fo long as the colony was well treated."

Grotius de jure belli, \&c. B. I. C. 3. 2 I.
" Hitherto alfo (fays he) may be referred that feparation which is made when people by one confent, go to form colonies. For this is the original of a nerv and iswependent fate. They are not content to be flaves, but to enjoy equal privileges and freedom, fays Tbucydides. And King Tullius, in Dion. Hali. Says, we look upon it to be neitber trutb nor jufice, that nother cities ought of neceflity and by the law of nature to rule over their colonies."

$$
\text { B. 2. C. 9. fec. } 10 .
$$

"Colonies, fays Pufenciorf, are fettled in different methods. For either the colony cuntinues a part of the commion-wealth it was fent out from, of elfe is obliged to pay a dutiful refpect to the mother common-wealth, and to be in readinefs to defend and vindicate
its honour, and fo is united to it by a fort of unequal confederacy ; or laftly, is erected into a feparate common-wealth, and affumes the fame rights with the fate it is defcended from." ——Pufend. B. 8. C. i1. ó.
"Different common-wealths may be for ")ed out of one by common confent, by fending out colonies in the manner ufuai in old Greece. For the Romans afterwards, when they fent a colony abroad, continued it under the jurifdiction of the mother common-wealth, or greater ccuntry. But the colonies planted by the Greeks, and after their method, conftituted particular common-wealths, which $w^{+}$ obliged only to pay a kind of deference and dutiful fubmiffion to the mother commonwealth."——Pufend. B. 8. C. 12. fec. 5.

From which paflages it is manifeft that thefe two great men only ftate facts, and the cpinions of others, without giving their own upon the fubject: And all that can be collected from thofe facts or opinions, is; that Greece was more generous, and a better mother to her colonies than Rome. The conduct of Rome towards ier colonies, and the corruptions and oppreffions tolerated in her provincial officers of all denominations, was one great caute of the downfall of that proud republic.

Dr. Strahan fays, " there is a great affinity between the Britilh colonies and thofe of the $S$ paniards and other nations, who have made fetile:nents among the lndians in thofe parts:

For the gtants made by our Kings of tracts of lands in that country, for the planting of colonie", and making fettlements therein, appear to have been made in imitation of grants made by the Kings of Spain to the proprietors of lands in the Spanifh colonies, upon the very fame conditions, and in confideration of the fame fervices to be performed by the grantees. So that the government of the Spanifh colonies and the rights of the proprietors of lands therein, depending chiefly on the rules of civil and feudal law, as may be feen by the learned treatife of Solorzanus, de indiarum jure, the knowledge of the faid laws muft be of fervice likewife for determining any controverfy that may arife touching the duties or forfeitures of the proprietors of lands in our Englifh colonies. _ Pref. to tranflat. of Domat.

With fubmiffion to fo great an authority as Dr. Strahan, it is humbly hoped that the Britifh colonifts do not hold their lands as well as liberties by fo lippery a tenure as do the Spaniards and French. The will of the Prince is the only tenure by which they hold; and the government of the Spanifh and French fettlements is in every refpect defpotic.

It is well known that the firft American grants were by the Bulls of the Popes. The Roman Pontiffs had for ages ufurped the moft abominable power over princes: They granted away the kingdoms of the earth with as little ceremony as a man would leafe a theep-cot. Now according to Dr. Strahan's logic, it may be inferred, that the canon law and the Popes

Bulls, muft be of fervice likewife, for determining any controverfy that may arife, toucbing the duties or forfeitures of the proprietors of lands in the Britilb colonies. And indeed it muft be owned, if we were to judge of fome late proceedings * by this rule, we mult allow that they favor more of modern Rome and the Inquifition, than of the common law of England and the conftitution of Great-Britain.

In order to form an idea of the natural rights of the Colonifts, I prefume it will be grant that they are men, the commor children or fame Creator with their brethren of Great-britain. Nature has placed all fuch in a ftate of equality and perfect freedom, to act within the bounds of the laws of nature and reafon, without confulting the will or regarding the humour, the paffions or whims of any other man, unlefs they are formed into a fociety or body politic. This it muft be confeffed is rather an abftract way of confidering men than agreeable to the real and general courfe of nature. The truth is, as has been fhewn, men came into the world and into fociety at the fame inftant. But this hinders not but that the natural and original rights of each individual may be illultrated and explained in this way better than in any other. We fee here by the way a probability, that this abftract confideration of men, which has its ufe in reafoning on the principles of government, has infenfibly led fome of the greateft men

[^7]men to imagine, fome real general ftate of nature, agreeable to this abftract conception, antecedent to and independent of fociety. $T$ is is certainly not the cafe in general, for moft men become members of fociety from their birth, though feparate independent fates are really in the condition of perfect freedom and equality with regard to each other; and fo are any number of individuals who feparate themfelves from a fociety of which they have formerly been members, for ill treatment, or other good caufe, with exprefs defign to found another. If in fuch cafe, there is a real interval, between the feparation and the new conjunction, during fuch interval, the individuals are as much detached, and under the law of nature only, as would be two men who thould chance to meet on a defolate inland.

The Colonifts are by the law of nature free born, as indeed all men are, white or black. No better reafons can be given, for enflaving thofe of any colour, than fuch as baron Montefquieu has humouroully given, as the foundation of that cruel flavery exercifed over the poor Ethiopians ; which threatens one day to reduce both Europe and America to the ignorance and barbarity of the darkeft ages. Does it follow that it is right to enflave a man becaufe he is black ? Will hort curled hair, like wool, inftead of Chrittian hair, as it is called by thofe whofe hearts are as hard as the nether millitone, help the argument? Can any logical inference in favour of flavery, be drawn from a flat nofe, a long or a fhort face? NoG 2 thing
thing better can be faid in favour of a trạde, that is the moft hocking violation of the law of nature, has a direct tendency to diminim the idea of the ineftimable value of liberty, and makes every dealer in it a tyrant, from the director of an African company to the petty chapman in needles and pins on the unhappy coaft. It is'a clear truth, that thofe who every day barter away other mens liberty, will foon care little for their own. To. this caufe muft be imputed that ferofity, cruelty, and brutal barbarity that has long marked the general character of the fumar-iflanders. They can in general form no ic . of government but that which in perfon, or oy an overfeer, the joint and feveral proper reprefentative of a Creole*, and of the $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{l}$, is exercifed over ten thoufands of their fellow men, born with the fame right to freedom, and the fweet enjoyments of liberty and life, as their unrelenting afk-mafters, the overfeers and planters.

Is it to be wondered at, if, when people of the ftamp of a Creolian planter get into power, they will not ftick for a little prefent gain, at making their own pofterity, white as well as black, worfe flaves if poffible than thofe already mentioned.

There is nothing more evident, fays Mr. Locke, than " that creatures of the fame fpecies

[^8]cies and rank, promifcuoully born to all the fame advantages of nature, and the ufe of the faine faculties, fhould alfo be equal one among another, without fubordination and fubjection, unlefs the mafter of them all hould by any manifeft declaration of his will fet one above another, and confer on him, by an evident and clear appointment, an undoubted right to dominion and fovereignty." "The natural liberty of man is to be free from any fuperior power on earth, and not to be under the will or legiflative authority of man, but only to have the law of nature for his rule". This is the liberty of independent ftates; this is the liberty of every man out of fociety, and who has a mind to live fo; which liberty is only abridged in certain inftances, not loft to, thofe who are born in or voluntarily enter into fociety; this gift of God cannot be annihilated.

The Colonifts being men, have a right to be confidered as equally entitled to all the rights of nature with the Europeans, and they are not to be reftrained, in the exercife of any of thefe rights, but for the evident good of the whole community.

By being or becoming members of fociety, they have not renounced their natural liberty in any greater degree than other good citizens, and if it is taken from them without their confent, they are fo far enilaved.

They have an undoubted right to expect, that their beft good will ever be confulted by their rulers, fupreme and fubordinate, without any partial views confined to the particular
intereft of one illand or another. Neither the riches of Jamaica, nor the luxury of a metropoli, , fhould ever have weight enough to break the balance of truth and juftice. Truth and faith belong to men as men, from men, and if they are difappointed in their juft expectations of them in o:e fociety, they will at leaft wihh for them in another. If the love of truth and juftice, the only fpring of found policy in any ftate, is not ftrong enough to prevent certain caufes from taking place, the arts of fraud and force will not prevent the moft fatal effects.

In the long run, thofe who fall on arbitrary meafures, will meet with their deferved fate. The law of nature, was not of man's making, nor is it in his power to mend it, or alter its courfe. He can only perform and keep, or difobey and break it. The laft is never done with impunity, even in this life, if it is any punifhment for a man to feel himfelf depraved; to find himfelf degraded by his own folly and wickednefs from the rank of a virtuous and good man, to that of a brute ; or to be tranfformed from the friend, perhaps father of his country, to a devouring Lion or Tyger.

The unhappy revolutions which for ages have diftreffed the human race, have been all owing to the want of a little wildom, common fenfe and integrity, in the adminiftration of thofe, whom by their ftations, God had in kindnefs to the world, rendered able to do a great deal, for the benefit of mankind, with
the exertion of a fmall portion of private and public virtue.

## Of the Political and Civil Rigbts of the Britihh Colonifs.

HERE indeed opens to view a large field; but I muft fudy brevity-Few people have extended their enquiry after the foundation of any of their $:=$ ghts, beyond a charter from the crown. There are others who think when they have got back to old Magna Cbarta, that they are at the beginning of all things. They imagine themfelves on the borders of Chaos (and fo indeed in fome refpects they are) and fee creation rifing out of the unformed mafs, or from nothing. Hence, fay they, fpring all the rights of men and of citizens.- But liberty was better underfood, and more fully enjoyed by our anceftors, before the coming in of the firf Norman Tyrants, than ever after, till it was found neceffary, for the falvation' of the kingdom, to combat the arbitrary and wicked proceedings of the Stuarts.

The prefent happy and moft righteous eftablifhment is juftly built on the ruins, which thofe Princes brought on their family; and two of them on their own heads - The laft of the name facrificed three of the fineft kingdoms in Europe, to the councils of bigotted old women, priefts, and more weak and wicked minifters of fate: he afterward went a grazing in the fields of St. Germains, and there died in difgrace and poverty, a terrible example
example of God's vengeance on arbitrary princes!

The deliverance under God wrought by the prince of Orange, afterwards defervedly made King Wm. III. was as joyful an event to the colonies as to Great-Britain : in fome of them, fteps were taken in his favour as foon as in England.

They all immediately acknowledged King William and Queen Mary as their lawful Sovereign. And fuch has been the zeal and loyalty of the colonies ever fince for that eftablifhment, and for the proteftant fucceffion in his prefent Majefty's illuftrious family, that I believe there is not one man in an hundred (except in Canada) who does not think himfelf under the beft national civil conftitution in the world.

Their loyalty has been abundantly proved, efpeciaily in the late war. Their affection and reverence for their mother country is unqueftionable. They yield the moft chearful and ready obedience to her laws, particularly to the power of that auguft body the parliament of Great-Britain; the fupreme legiflative of the kingdom and its dominions. Thefe I declare are my own fentiments of duty and loyalty. I alfo hold it clear that the act of Queen Anne, which makes it high treafon to deny " that the King with and by the authority of parliament, is able to make laws and ftatutes of fufficient force and validity to limit and bind the crown, and the defent, limitation, inheritance and government thereof" is founded
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founded on the principles of liberty and the Britifh conftitution: and he that would palm the doctrine of unlimitted paflive obedience and non refiftance upon mankind, and thereby or by any other means ferve the caufe of the Pretender, is not only a fool and a knave, but a rebel againft common fenfe, as well as the laws of God, of Nature, and his Country.

E I I alfo lay it down as one of the firft principles from whence Iintend to deduce the civil rights of the Britilh colonie ; that all of them are fubject to, and dependent on Great-Britain ; and that therefure as over fubordinate governments, the parliament of Great-Britain has an undoubted power and lawful authority, to make acts for the gencral good, that by naming them, thall and ought to be equally binding, as upon the fubjects of GreatBritain within the realm. This principle, I prefume will be readily granted on the other fide the atlantic. It has been practiced upon for twenty years to my knowledge, in the province of the Maflacbufetts-Bay; and I have ever received it, that it has been fo from the beginning, in this and the fifter provinces, through the continent ..

I am aware, fome will think it is time for me to retreat, aftor having exprefled the power of the Britifh parliament in quite fo ftrong terms. But it is from and under this very power and
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its acts, and from the common law, that the political and civil rights of the Colonifts are derived : and upon thofe grand pillars of liberty mall my defence be refted. At prefent therefore the reader may fuppofe, that there is not one provincial charter on the coatinent; he may, if he pleafes, imagine all taken away, without fault, without forfeiture, without trial or notice. All this really happened to fome of them ir, the latt century. I would have the reader carry his imagination ftill further, and fuppofe a time may come when inftead of a procefs at common law, the parliament fhall give a decifive blow to every charter in America, and declare them all void. Nay it hhall alfo be granted, that it is barely poffible, th time may come, when the real intereft of $t$... whole may require an act of parliament to an: nihilate all thofe charters. What could follow from all this, that would Chake one of the effential, natural, civil or religious rights of the Colonifts? Nothing. They would be men, citizens and Britilh fubjects after all. No act of parliament can deprive them of the liberties of fuch, unlefs any will contend that an act of parliament can make flaves not only of one, but of two millions of the commonwealth. And if fo, why not of the whole? I freely own, that I can find nothing in the laws of my councry, that would jultify the par-liament in making one flave, nor did they ever profeffedly undertake to make one.

Two or three innocent colony charters have peen threatened with deftruction an hundred
and forty years paft. I wihh the prefent enemies of thofe harmlefs charters would reflect a moment, and be convinced that an act of parliament that thould demolith thofe bugbears to the foes of liberty, would not reduce the Colonifts to a ftate of abdolute flavery. The ivorft enemies of the charter governments are by no means to be found in England. It is a piece of juftice due to Great-Britain to own, they are and have ever been natives of or refidents in the colonies. A fet of men in Ametica, without honour or love to their country, have been long grafping at powers, which they think unattainable while thefe charters ftand in the way. But they will meet with infurmountable obftacles to their project for enflaving the Britifh colonies, hould thofe, arifing from provincial charters be removed. It would indeed feem very hard and fevere, for thofe of the colonifts, who have charters, with peculiar privileges to lofe them. They were given to their anceftors, in confideration of their fufferings and merit, in difcovering and fetding America. Our fore-fathers were foon worn away in the oils of hard labour on their little plantations, and in war with the Savages. 'They thought they were earning a fure inheritance for their pofterity. Could they imagine it would ever be thought juft to deprive them or theirs of their charter privileges ! Should this ever be the cafe, there are, thank God, natural, inherent and infeparable rights as men, and as citizens, that would remain after the fo much wilhed for cataftrophe, and H 2 Thich,
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which, whatever became of charters, can never be abolifhed de jure, if de facto, till the general conflagration*. Our rights as men and freeborn Britifh fubjects, give all the colonifts enough to make them very happy in comparifon with the fubjects of any other prince in the world.

Every Britifh Subject born on the continent of America, or in ary other of the Britifh dominions, is by the lavi of God and nature, by the common law, and by act of parliament, (exclufive of all charters from the crown) entided to all the natural, effential, inherent and infeparable rights of our fellow fubjects in Great-Britain. Among thofe rights are the foilowing, which it is humbly conceived in man or body of men, not excepting the parliament, juftly, equitably and confiftently with their own rights and the conftitution, can take away.
itt. That the fupreme and fubordinate powers of legilation flould be free and facred in the bands wobere the community bave once rigbtfully placed them.

2dly. The upperiar national legifative cannot be altered jultly till the commonwealth is diffolved, nor a jubordinate legillative taken away without forfeiture or other good caufe. Nor then can the fubjects in the fuburdinate govern-
ment

[^10]ment be reduced to a ftate of flavery, and fubject to the defpotic rule of others. A fate has no right to make flaves of the conquered. Even when the fubordinate right of legiflature is forfeited, and fo declared, this cannot effect the natural perfons either of thofe who were invefted with it, or the inhabitants*, fo far as to deprive them of the rights of fubjects and of men.-The colonifts will have an equitable right, notwithfanding any fuch forfeiture of chartef, to be reprefented in parliament, or to have forme new fubordinate legiflature among themfelves. It would be beft if they had both. Deprived, however, of their common rights as cubjects, they connot lawfully be, while they remain fuch. A reprefentation in Parliament from the feveral colonies, fince they are become fo large and numerous, as to be called on not on y to maintain provincial government, civil and military, among themfelves, for this they have chearfully done, bue to contribute towards the fupport of a national ftanding army, by reafon of the heavy national debt, when they themfelves owe a large one, coniracted in the common caufe, cannot be thought an unreafonable thing, nor if afked, could it be callsd an immodeft requet. $2{ }^{n i}$ fentit commodum fentire debet et onius, has been thought a maxim of equity. But that a man Should bear a burthen for other people, as well as himfelf, without a return, never long found a place in any law-book or decrees, but thofe of

[^11]
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of the moft defpotic princes. Befides the equity of an Âmerican reprefentation in parliament, a thoufand advantages would refult from it. It would be the moft effectual means of giving thofe of both countries a thorough knowledge of each others interefts; as well as that of the whole, which are infeparable.

Were this reprefentation alluwed; inftead of the feandalous memorials and depofitions that have been fornetimes, in days of old, privately cooked up in an inquifitorial manner, by perfons of bad minds and wicked views, and fent from America to the feveral boards, perfons of the firft reputation among their countrymen, might be on the fpot, from the feveral colonies, truly to reprefent them. Future minifters need not, like fome of their predecefiors, have recourfe for information in American affairs, to every vagabond ftroller, that has run or rid poft through America, from his creditors, or to people of no kind of reputation from the colonies; fome of whom, at the time of adminiftring their fage advice, have been as ignorant of the ftate of this country, as of the regions in Jupiter and Saturn.

No reprefentation of the colonies in parliament alone, would, however, be equivalent to a fubordinate legiflative among themfelves; nor fo well anfwer the ends of increafing their profperity and the commerce of Great-Britain. It would be impoffible for the parliament to judge fo well of their abilities to bear taxes, impofitions on trade, and other duties and bur-
burthens, or of the local laws that might be really needfui, as a legiflative here.
$3^{\mathrm{dly}}$ : No legilative, fupreme or fubordinate, bas a rigbt to make itfelf arbitrary.

It would be a moft manifeft contradiction, for a free legiflative, like that of Great-Britain, to make itfelf arbitrary.

4thly. The fupreme legiflative, cannot jufly af unize a power of ruling by extempore arbitrary decrees, but is bound to dippenfe juftice by known fettled rules, and by duly autborized independent judges.

5thly. The fupreme power cannot take from any man any part of bis property, without his confent in perfon or by reprefentation.

Gthly. The legilative cannot transfer the power of making lawes to any other bands.

Thefe are their bounds, which by God and nature are fixed, hitherto have they a right to come, and no further.

1. To govern by fated laws.
2. Thofe laws fbould bave no otber end ultimately, but the good of the people.
3. Taxes are not to be laid on the people, but by tbeir confent in perfon, or by deputation.
4. T'seir whole power is not transferable *.

Thefe are the firft principles of law and juftice, and the grent barricrs of a free ftate, and of the Britih conftitution in particular. I afk, I want no more-Now let it be fhewn how it is reconcileable with thefe principles, or th many other fundamental maxims of the Britifh conftitution, as well as the natural and ci-

[^12]vil rights, which by the laws of their country, all Britih fubjects are entitled to, as heir beft inheritance and birth-right; that all the northern colonies, who are without one reprefentative in the houfe of Commons, hould be taxed by the Britifh parliament.

That the colonifts, black and white, born here, are free born Britilh fubjects, and entitled to all the effential civil rights of fuch, is a truth not only manifeft from the provincial charters, from the principles of the commor law, and acts of parliament; but from the Britifh conflitution which was re-eftablifhed at the revolution, with a profeffed defign to fecure the liberties of all the fubjects to all ger nerations *.

In the 12 and 13 of Wm . cited above, the liberties of the fubject are fpoken of as their beft birth-rights-No one ever dreamed, forely, that thefe liberties were confined to the realm. At that rat:, no Britifh fubjects in the dominions could, witheut a manifeft contradiction, be declared entitled to all the privileges of fubjects born within the realm, to all intents and purpoles, which are rightly given foreigners, by parliament, after refiding feven years. Thefe expreffions of parliament, as well as of the charters, mult be vain and empty founds, unlefs we are allowed the effential rights of our fellow-fubjects in Great-Britain.

Now can there be any liberty, where property is taken away without confent? Can it with

[^13]with any colour of truth, juftice or equity, be affirmed, that the northern colonies are reprefented in parliament? Has this whole continent, of near three thoufand miles in length, and in which, and his orher American dominions, his Majefty has, or very foon will have, fome millions of as good, loyal and ufeful fubjects, white and black, as any in the three kingdoms, the election of one member of the houfe of commons?
ls there the leaft difference; as to the confent of the Colonifts, whether taxes and impofitions are laid on their trade, and other property, by the crown alone, or by the parliament? As it is agreed on all hands, the Crown alone cannot impofe them, we fhould be juftifiable in refufing to pay them, but muft and ought to yield obedience to an act of parliament, though erroneous, till repealed.

I can fee no reafon to doubt, but that the impofition of taxes, whether on trade, or on land, or houfes, or hhips, on real or perfonal, fixed or floating property, in the colonies, is abfolutely irreconcileable with the rights of the Colonifts, as Britifh fubjects, and as men. I fay men, for in a ftate of nature, no man can take my property from me, without my confent: If he does, he deprives me of my liberty, and makes me a flave. If fuch a proceeding is a breach of the law of nature, no law of fociety can make it juft.-The very act of taxing, exercifed over thofe who are not reprefented, appears to me to be depriving them of one of their moft efiential rights, as
freemen ; and if continued, feems to be int effect an entire disfranchiferment of every civil righ:. For what one civil right is worth a rufh, after a man's property is fubject to be taken from him at pleafure, without his confent? If a man is not his own affefor in perfon, or by depury, his liberty is gone, or lays intirely at the mercy of others.

I think I have heard it faid, that when the Dutch are anked why they enflave their colonies, the:r anfwer is, that the liberty of Dutchmen is confined to Holland; and that it was never intended for Provincials in America, or any where elfe. A fentiment this, very worthy of modern Dutchmen; but if the:r brave and worthy anceftors had entertained fuch narrow ideas of liberty, feven poor and diftreffed provinces would never have afferted their rights againft the whole Spanifh monarchy, of which the prefent is but a fhadow. It is to be hoped. none of our fellow fubjects of Britain, great or fmall, have borrowed this Dutch maxim of plantation politics; if they have, they had better return it from whence it came; indeed they had.' Modern Dutch or French maxims of ftate, never will fuit with a Britifh conftitution. It is a mavim, that the King can do no wrong ; and every good fubject is bound to believe his King is not inclined to do any. We are bleffed with a prince who has given abandant demonftrations, that in all his actions, he ftudies the good of his people, and the true glory of his crown, which are infeparable. It would therefore be the higheft
degree of impudence and difloyalty to imagine that the King, at the head of his parliament, could have any, but the moft pure and perfect intentions of juftice, goodnefs and truth, that human nature is capable of. All this I fay and believe of the King and parliament, in all their acts; even in that which fo nearly affects the intereft of the colonifts; and that a mont perfect and ready obedience is to be yielded to it, while it remains in force. I will go further, and really admit, that the intention of the miniftry was not only to promote the public good, by this act, but that Mr. Chancellor of the Exchequer had therein a particular view to the "eafe, the quiet, and the goad will of the Colonies," he having made this declaration more than once. Yet I hold that it is poffible he may have erred in his kind intentions towards the Colonies, and taken away our finh, and given us a ftone. With regard to the parliament, as infalibility belongs not to mortals, it is poffible they may have been mifinformed and deceived. The power of parliament is uncontroulable, but by themfelves, and we muft obey. They only can repeal their own acts. There would be an end of all government, if one or a number of fubjects or fubordinate provinces hould take upon them fo far to judge of the jultice of an act of parliament, as to refufe obedience to it. If there was nothing elfe to reltrain fuch a ftep, prudence ought to do it, for forcibly refifting the parliament and the King's laws, is high treafon. Therefore let the parliament lay
what burthens they pleafe on us, we muft, it is our duty to fubmit and patiently bear them, till they will be pleafed to relieve us. And it is to be prefumed, the wifdom and juftice of that auguft affembly, always will afford us relief by repealing fuch acts, as through miftake, or other human infirmities, have been fuffered to pafs, if they can be convinced that their proceedings are not conflitutional, or not for the common good.

The parliament may be deceived, they may have been mifinformed of facts, and the colonies may in many refpects be mifreprefented to the King, his parliament, and his miniftry. In fome inftances, I am well affiured the colonies have been very ftrangely mifreprefented in England. I have now before me a pamphlet, called "The Adminiftration of the Colonies," faid to be written by a gentleman who formerly commanded in chief in one of them. I fuppofe this book was defigned for public information and ufe. There are in it many good regulations propoled, which no power can enforce but the parliament. From all which I infer, that if our hands are tied by the paffing of an act of parliament, our mouths are not ftopped, provided we fpeak of that tranfcendent body with decency, as I have endeavoured always to do; and chould any thing haye efcaped me, or hereafter fall from my pen, that bears the leaft afpect but that of obedience, duty and loyalty to the King and parliament, and the higheft refpect for the miniftry, the candid will impute it to
the agony of my heart, rather than to the pravity of my will. If I have one ambitious wih, it is to fee Great-Britain at the head of the world, and to fee my King, under God, the father of mankind. I pretend neither to the fpirit of prophecy, nor any uncommon fkill in predicting a Crifis, much lefs to tell when it begins to be "nafcent" or is fairly midwiv'd into the world. But if I were to fix a meaning to the two firf paragraphs of the Adminifiration of the Colonies, though I do not collect it from them, I hould fay the world was at the eve of the highent fcene of earthly power and grandeur that has been ever yet difplajed to the view of mankind. The cards are thuffling faft through all Europe. Who will win the prize is with God. This however I know, detur digniori. The next univerfal monarchy will be favourable to the human race, for it muft be founded on the principles of equity, moderation and juftice. No country has been more diftinguighed for thefe principles than Great-Britain, fince the revolution. I take it, every fubject has a right to give his fentiments to the public, of the utility or inutility of any act whatfoever, even after it is paffed, as well as while it is pending.- - The equity and juftice of a bill may be queftioned, with perfert fubmiffion to the legiflature. Reafons may be given, why an act ought to be repealed, and yet obedience muft be yielded to it till that epeal takes place. If the reafons that can be given againft an act, are fuch as plainly demonftrate that it is againft natural equity, the
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executive courts will adjudge fuch aets void. It may be queftioned by fome, though I make no doubt of it, whether they are not obliged by their oaths to adjudge fuch acts void. If there is not a right of privace judgment to be exercifed, fo far at leaft as to petition for a repeal, or to determine the expediency of rifking a trial at law, the parliament might make ittelf arbitrary, which it is conceived it cannot by the conftitution.-I think every man has a right to examine as freely into the origin, fpring and foundation of every power and meafure in a commonwealth, as into a piece of curious machinery, or a remarkable phenomenon in nature ; and that it ought to give no more offence to lay, the parliament have erred, or ate miftaken, in a matter of fact, or of right, than to fay it of a private man, if it is true of both. If the affertion can be proved with regard to either, it is a kindnefs done them to fhew them the truth. With regard to the public, it is the duty of every good citizen to puint out what he thinks erroneous in the commonwealth.

I have waited years in hopes to fee fome one friend of the colonies pleading in public for them. I have waited in vain. One privilege is taken away after another, and where we thall be landen, God knows, and I truft will protect and provide for us even thould we be driven and perfecuted into a more weftern wildernefs, on the fcore of liberty, civil and religious, as many of our anceftors were, to thefe once inhofpitable thores of America. I
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had formed great expectations from a gentleman, who publifhed his firft volume in quarto on the rights of the colonies two years fince ; but, as he forefaw, the ftate of his health and affairs have prevented his further progrefs. The misfortune is, gentlemen in America, the beft qualified in every refpect to ftate the rights of the colonifts, have reafons that prevent them from engaging: fome of them have good ones. There are many infinitely better able to ferve this caufe than I pretend to be ; but from indolence, from timidity, or by neneceffary engagements, they are prevented. There has been a moft profound, and I think Thameful filence, till it feems almoft too late to affert our indifputable rights as men and as citizens. What, muft pofterity think of us. The trade of the whole continent taxed by parliament, ftamps and other internal duties and taxes as they are called, talked of, and not onc petition to the King and Parliament for relief.

I cannot but obferve here, that if the patliament have an equitable right to tax our crade, it is indifputable that they have as good an one to tax the lands, and every thing elfe. The taxing trade furnifhes one reafon why the other fhould not be taxed, or elfe the burdens of the province will be unequally born, upon a fuppofition that a tax on trade is not a tax on the whole. But take it either way, there is no foundation for the diftinction fome make in England between an internal and external tax on the colonies. By the firgt is meant a tax on
trade, by the latter a tax on land, and the things on it. A tax on trade is either a tax of every man in the province, or it is not. If it is not a tax on the whole, it is unequal and unjuift, that a heavy burden fhould be laid on the trade of the colonies, to maintain an army of foldiers, cuttom-houfe officers, and fleets of guard-hips ; all which, the incomes of both trade and lands would not furnifh means to fupport fo lately as the lant war, when all was at ftake, and the colonies were reimburfed in part by parliament. How can it be fuppofed that all of a fudden the trade of the colonies alone can bear all this terrible burden. - The late acquifitions in America, as glorious as they have been, and as beneficial as they are to Great-Britain, are only a fecirity to thefe colonies againft the ravages of the French and Indians. Our trade upon the whole is not, I believe, benefited by them one groat. $\cdots$ All the time the, French Iflands were in our hands, the fine fugars, \&cc. were all Thipped home. None as I have been inforined were allowed to be beought to the colonies. They were too delicious a morfi! fur a North American palate. If it be faid that a tax on the trade of the colonies is an equal and juft tax on the whole of the inhabitants: What then becomes of the notable diftinction between external and internal taxes? Why may not the parliament lay flamps, land taxes, eftablifh tythes to the church of England, and fo indefinitely. I know of no bounds. I do not mention the tythes out of any difrefpect to the church of

Englànd, which I efteem by far the beft $n a-$ tional church, and to have had as ornaments of it many of the greateft and beft men in the world. But to thofe colonies who in general diffent from a principle of confcience, it would feem a little hard to pay towards the fupport of a worthip, whofe modes they cannot conform to.

If an army muft be kept up in America, at the expence of the colonies, it would not feem quite fo hard if after the parliament had determed the fum to be raifed, and apportioned it, to have allowed each colony to affefs its quota, and raife it as eafily to themfelves as might be. But to have the whole levied and collected without our confent is extraordinary: It is allowed even to tributaries, and thofe laid under military contribution, to affefs and collect the fums demanded. The cafe of the provinces is certainly likely to be the hardeft that can be inftanced in fory. Will it not equal any thing but down right military execution? Was there ever a tribute impofed even on the conquered? A fleet, an army of foldiers, and another of tax-gatherers kept up, and not a fingle office either for fecuring or collecting the duty in the gift of the tributary ftate.

I am aware it will be objected, that the parliament of England, and of Great-Britain, fince the union, have from early days to this time, made acts to bind if not to tax Ireland : I anfwer, Ireland is a conquered country. I do not, however, lay fo much fucefs on this; for K
it
it is my opinion, that a conquered country has, upon fuimiffion and good behaviour, the fame right to be free, undei a conqueror, as the reft of his fubjects. But the old notion. of the right of conquefl, has been, in moft nations, the caufe of many feverities and heinous breaches of the law of nature: If any fuch have taken place with regard to Ireland, they fhould form no precedent for the colonies. The fubordination and dependency of Ireland to Great-Britain, is exprefly declared by act of parliament, in the reign of G.I. The fubordination of the Cobonies to Great-Britain, never was doubted, by a lawyer, if at all; unlefs perhaps by the author of the AdminiAration of the colonies: He indeed feems to make a moot point of it, whether the colony legiflative power is as independent or as the legillative Great-Britain holds by its conftitution, and under the great charter."-The yeaple hold under the great charter, as it is vulgarly expreffed from our law-books: But that the King and parliament fhould be faid to hold under Margna Cbarta, is as new to me, as it is to quefticn whether the colonies are Jivordinate to Great-Britain. The provincial legiflative is unqueftionably fukordinate to that of Great-Britain. I hall endeavour more fully to explain the nature of that fubordination, which has puzzled fo many in their enquiries. It is often very difficult for great lovers of power, and great lovers of liberty, neither of whom may have been ufed to the fudy of law in any of its branclees, to fee the difference
between fubordination, absolute flavery and fubjection on one fade, and liberty, independense and licencioulinefs on the other. We Should endeavour to find the middle road, and confine ourfelves to it. The laws, the proceedings of parliament, and the decifions of the judges, relating to Ireland, will reflect light on this subject, rendered intricate only by art.
" Ireland being of itfelf a diftinct dominion. and no part of the kingdom of England (as it directly appeareth by many authorities in Calvin's cafe) was to have Parliaments' holden there as in England." 4 Int. 349.

Why could not the colonies have, why are they not entitled to their affemblies, or parliaments, at leaft, as well as a conquered dominion?
"Wales, after the conquer of it by Edward the First, was annexed to England, jute proprietatis, 12 Ed. I. by the fatute of Rutland only, and after, more really by 27 H .8 . and 34, but at first received laws from England, as Ireland did; but writs proceeded not out of the Englifh chancery, but they had a chancery of their own, as Ireland hath; was not bound by the laws of England, unnamed till 27 H .8 . no more than Ireland is.

Ireland in nothing differs from it, but haveing a parliament gratian Regis (i.e. upon the old notion of conquest) fubject (truly however) to the parliament of England. None doubts Ireland as much conquered as it ; and as mach

A very ftrong argument arifes from this authority, in favour of the unconquered plantations. If fince Wales was annexed to England, they have had a reprefentation in parliament, as they have to this day; and if the parliament of England does not tax Ireland, can it be right they fhould tax us, who have never been conquered, but came from England to colonize, and have always remained good jubjects to this day?

I cannot find any inftance of a tax laid by the Englifh parliament on Ireland. "Sometimes the King of England called his Nobles of Ireland, to come to his parliament of England, $\mathcal{E} c$. and by fpecial words, the parliament of England may bind the fubjects of Ireland."-3 Iu/t. $35^{\circ}$.-

The following makes it clear to me, the parliament of Great-Britain do not tax Ireland. " The parliament of Ireland having been prorogued to the month of Auguft next, before tby bad provided for the maintenance of the government in that kingdom, a project was fet on foot here to fupply that defect, by rerrenching the drawbacks upon goods exported thither from England. According to this fcheme, the 22 d , the houfe in a grand committee, confidered the prefent laws with refpect to drawbacks upon tobaccoes, mullins, and Eaft India filks, carried to Ireland; and came to two refolutions, which were reported the next day, and, with an amendment to one of them,
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agreed to by the houfe, as follows, viz. 1.That three pence per pound, part of the drawback on tobacco to be exported from Great-Britain for Ireland, be taken off.
2. That the faid diminution of the drawback do take effect upon all tobacco exported for Ireland, after the 24 th of March 1713 , and continue until the additional duty of three pence halipenny per pound upon tobacco in Ireland, expiring on the faid 24th of March, be regranted: and ordered a bill to be brought in, upon the faid refolutions."

Proceedings of Houfe of Com. Vol. 5.72.
This was contitutiona: there is an infinite difference between taking off Britih drawbacks, and impofing Irifh or other Provincial duties.
" Ireland is confidered as a provincial government, fubordinate to, but no part of the Realm of England," Mich. 11. G. 2. in cafe of Otway and Ramfay ——"Acts of parliaments made here (i.e. in England) extend not to Iteland, unlefs particularly named; much lefs judgments obtained in the courts here ; nor is it poffible they fhould, becaufe we have no officers to carry them into execution there." ib.

The firft part feems to be applicable to the plantations in general, the latter is not; for by reafon of charter refervations and particular acts of parliament, fome judgments in England may be executed here, as final judgments, before his Majefty in council on a plantation appeal, and to from the admiralty.

It feems to have been difputed in Ireland, fo lately as the 6 Geo. I. Whether any act of the Britih parliament bound Ireland; or at leaft it v/as apprehended, that the undoubted right of the Britifh parliament to bind Ireland, was in danger of being fhaken: this, I prefume, occafioned the act of that year, which declares, that " the kingdom of Ireland ought to be fubordinate unto and dependent upon the Imperial Crown of Great-Britain, as being infeparably united thereto. And the King's Majefty, with the confent of the lords and commons of Great-Britain in parliament, hath power to make laws to bind the people of Ire-land."-This parliamentary power muft have fome bounds, even as to Ireland, as well as the colonies, who are admitted to be fubordinate $a b$ initio to Great-Britain; not as conquered, but as emigrant fubjects. If this act thould be faid to be a declaration not only of the general, but of the univerfal power of parliament, and that they may tax Ireland, I afk, Why it has never been done? If it had been done a thouland times, it would be a contradiction to the principles of a free government; and what is worfe, deftroy all fubordination confiftent with freedom, and reduce the people to Jlavery.

To fay the parliament is abfolute and arbitrary, is a contradiction. The parliament cannot make 2 and 2, 5 : Omnipotency cannot do it. The fupreme power in a ftate, is jus dicere only :-jus dare, ftrictly fpeaking, belongs alone to God. Parliaments are in all
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cafes to declare what is for the good of the whole ; but it is not the declaration of parlias ment that makes it fo: There muft be in every inftance, a higher authority, viz. GOD. Should an act of parliament be againt any of inis natural laws, which are inmutably true, their declaration would be contrary to eternal truth, equity and juftice, and confequently void : and fo it would be adjudged by the parhiament itfelf, when convinced of their miftake. Upon this great principle, parliaments repeal fuch act, as foon as they find they have been miftaken, in having declared them to be for the public good, when in fact they were not fo. When fuch miftake is evident and palpable, as in the inftances in the appendix, the judges of the executive courts have declared the act " of a whole parliament void." See here the grandeur of the Britifh conftitution!. See the wifdom of our anceftors! The fupreme legiflative, and the fupreme executive; are aperpetual check and balance to each other. If the fupreme executive errs, it is informed by the fupreme legiflative in parliament: if the fupreme legiflative errs, it is informed by the fupreme executive in the King's courts of law. Here, the King appears, as reprefented by his judges, in the higheft luftre and majefty, as flupreme executor of the commonwealth; and he never mines brighter, but on his throne, at the head of the fupreme legiflative. This is government! This, is a conftitution! to prelerve which, cither from foreign or domeftic foes, has colt oceaas of blood and treafure in
every age ; and the blood and the treafure have upon the whole been well fpent. Britih America, hath been bleeding in this caufe from its fettlement: we have fpent all we could raife, and more ; for notwithftanding the parliamentary reimburfements of part, we ftill remain much in debt. The province of the Maffacbufetts, I believe, has expended more men and money in war fince the year 1620 , when a few families firf landed at Plymouth, in proportion to their ability, than the three Kingdoms together. The fame, I believe, may be truly affirmed, of many of the other colonies; though the Maffacbufetts has undoubtedly had the heavieft burthen. This may be thought incredible : but materials are collecting ; and though fome are loft, enough may remain, to demonftrate it to the world. I have reafon to hope at leaft, that the public will foon fee fuch proofs exhibited, as will shew, that I do not fpeak quite at random.

Why then is it thought fo heinous by the author of the adminiftration of the colonies, and others, that the colonifts fhould afpire aftei "a one whole legillative puwer" not in-: dependent of, but fubordinate to the laws and parliament of Great-Britain ?-It is a miftake in this author, $t$ ) bring fo heavy a charge as bigh treafon againft fome of the colonifts, which he does in effect in this place*, by reprefenting them as "claiming in fact or indeed, the fame full free independent unrefrained power and legilative will, in their fe-

[^14]veral corporations, and under the King's comthiffion, and their refpective charters, as the government and legiflature of Great-Britain holds by its conflitution and under the great chaster." No fuch claim was ever thought of by any of the colonifts. They are all better men and better fubjects; and many of them too well verfed in the laws of nature and nations, and the law and conftitution of GreatBritain, to think they have a right to more than a provincial fubordinate lagilative. All power is of GOD. Next and only fubordinate to him in the prefenc fate of the wellformed, beautifully conftructed Britifh monarchy, ftanding where I hope it ever will ftand, for the pillars are fixed in judgment, righteoufnefs and truth, is the King and Parliament. Under thefe, it feems eafy to conceive fubordinate powers in gradation, till we defcend to the legiflative of a town council, or even a private focial club. Thefe have each "a one whole legiflative" fubordinate, which, when it does not counteract the laws of any of its fuperiors, is to be indulged. Even when the laws of fubordia ation are tranforefled, the fuperior docs not deftroy the fubordinate, but will negative its acts, as it may in all cafes when difapproved. This righ of negative is effential, and may be inforced: but in no cafe are the effential rights of the fubjects, inhabiting the fubordinate dominions, to be deftroyed. This would put it in the power of the fuperior to reduce the inferion to a flate of flavery; which cannot be rightfully done,
even wih conquered enemies and rehicls. Afte: fatisfaction and fecurity is obtained of the former, and examples are made of fo many of the latter, as the ends of government require, the reft are to be reftored to all the effential rights of men and citizens. This is the great law of nature; and agreeable to this lav, is the conftant practice of all good and mild governments. This lenity and humanity has no where been carried farther than in Great-Britain. The Colonics have been fo remarkable for loyalty, that there never has been any inftance of rebellion or treafon in them. This ly yalty is, in very bandfone terms, acknowledsed by the author of the adminiftration of the colones. "It has been often fuggefted, that care fhould be taken in the adminiftration of the plantations, left, in fome future time, thefe colonies hould become independent of the mother country." But perhaps it may be proper on this cccafion, nay, it is juflice to say it, that if, by becoming independent, is nciant a revolt, noihing is farther from their nature, their interefts, their thoughts. If a defection from the alliance of the mother country be fuggefted, it ought to be, and can be truy faid, that their fpirit abhors the fenfe of fuch; their attachment to the proteftant fucceffion in the houfe of Hanover, will ever ftand unihaken; and nothing can eradicate from their hearts, their natural and almoft mechanical affection to Great-Britain, which they conceive under no other fenfe, nor call it by any other name than that of bome. Any fuck
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fugrgetion, thercfore, is a falfe and unjuft afperfion on their principles and affections; and can arife from nothing but an intire ignorance of their circumftances*." After all thi loyalty, it is a little hard to be charged wih c aiming, and reprefented as afpiring after, independency. The inconfiftercy of this I lave. We have faid that the loyalty of the colonies has never been furpected; this muft be reftricted to a juft fufpicion. For it feems there have long been groundlefs fufpicions of us in the minds of individuals. And there have always been thofe who have endeavoured to magnify thefe chimerical fears. I find Mr. Dummer complaing of this many years fince. "There is, fays he, one thing more I have heard ofien urged againft the charter colonies, and indeed it is what one meets with from people of all conditions and qualities; though, with due refpect to their better judgments, I can fee neither reaton nor colour for it. It is faid that their increafing numbers and wealth, joined to their great diftance from Britain, will give them an opportunity, in the courfe of fome years, to throw off their dependence on the nation, and declare themfelves a free ftate, it not curbed in time, by being made entirely fibject to the crownt.'

This jealouly has been fo long talked of, that many feem to believe it well grounded. Not that there is any danger of "a revolt", even in the opinion of the author of the adminifluration, but that the colonits will by fraud or L 2 force
*Adminitration, p. 25, 26. $\therefore$ Defence, 60.
force, avail themfelves, in "fact or in deed", of an independent legiflature. This, I think, would be a revolting with a vengeance. What highel revolt can there be, than for a province to affiume the right of an independent legiflative, or ftate? 1 muft therefore think this a greater afperfion on the Colonifts, than to charge them with a defign to revolt, in the fenfe in which the Gentleman allows they have been abufed: It is a more artful and dangerous way of atacking our liberries, than to charge us with beng in open rebellion. That conld be co futed itifanty: but this feeming indirect way of charging the colonies, with a defire of throwing off their depen ency, requires more pains to confute it than the other, therefore it has been iecuried to. The ruth is, Gentlemen have had dearments in America, the functions of whi h they have not been fortunate in cxecuing. The people have by thefe means been rendered uneafy, at bad Provincial Mealures. They have been reprefented as fuctious, feditious, and inclined to denocracy, whenever they have refufed paffive cbedience to provincial mandates, as atbitrary as thofe of a Turkifh Bufhaw: I fay, Provincial mandates; for to the King and Parliament they have been ever lubmiffive and obedient.

Thefe reprefeitations of us, many of the good people of England fwallow with as much eafe, as they would a bottle-bubble, or any other flory of a cock and a bull; and the worft of it is, among fome of the molt credulous, have been found Stars and Garters. However, they
they may all reft affured, the Colonifts, who do not pretend to underftand themfelves fo well as the people of England; though the anthor of the Adminittraion makes them the fine compliment, to fay, they " know their bufinefs much better," yet, will never think of mdependency. Were they inclined to it, they know the blood and the treafure it would cuft, if ever effected; and when done, it would be a thouland to one if their libertics did not fall a facrifice to the victor.

We all think ourfelves happy under GreatBritain. We love, efteem and reverence our mother country, and adore our King. And could the choice of independency be offered the colonies, or fubjection to Great-Britain upon any terms above abfolute flavery, I am convinced they would accept the latter. The minutry, in all future generations, may rely on it, that Britifh America will never prove undutiful, till driven to it, as the laft fatal refort againft minifterial oppreffion, which will make the wifeft mad, and the weakeft ftrong.

Thefe colonies are and always have been; "entirely fubject to the crown," in the legal fenfe of the terms. But if any politician of " *tampering activity, of wrong-headed experience, milled to be meddling," means, by "curbing the colonies in time," and by "ber ing made entirely fubject to the crown ;" that this fubjection fhould be abfolute, and confined to the crown, he had better have fuppreffed his wifhes. This never will nor can be done, withe

[^15]without making the colonifts vaffils of the crown. Subjects they are; their lands they hold of the crown, by common foccage, the freeft $f$ udal tenure, by which any hold their lands in England, or any where clfe. Would this gentleman carry us back to the ffate of the Goths and Vand is, and revive all the military tenures and bondage which our forefathers could not bear? It may be worth noting here, that few, if any inftances can be given, where colonies have been difpofed to forfake or difobey a tender mother : But hiftory is full of examples, that armies ftationed as guards over provinces, have feized the prey for their general, and.given him a crown at the expence of his mafter. Are all ambitious generals dead? Will no more rife up hereafter ? The danger of a ftanding army in remote provinces is much greater to the metropolis, than at home. Rome found the truth of this affertion, in her Sylla's, her Pompey's and Cæfars; but the found it too late: Eighteen hundred years have rolled away fince her tuin. A continuation of the fame liberties that have been enjoyed by the colonifts fince the revolution, and the fame moderation of government exercifed towards them, will bind them in perpetual lawful and willing fubjection, obedience and love to Great-Britain : She and her colonies will both profper and flourifh : The monarchy will remain in found healih and full vigour at that bleffed period, when the proud arbitrary tyrants of the continent thall either unite in the deliverance of the hu-
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man race, or refign their crowns. Refaed, human nature muft and will be, from the general havery that has fo long triamphed over the fpecies. Great-Britain has done much towards it: What a glory will it be for her to complete the work throughout the world!

The author of the Adminiftration (page 54) "deferibes" the defects of "provincial courts," by a "very defcription," the firft trait of which is, "The ignorance of the judges." Whether the defcription, or the defcription of the defcription, are verily true, cither as applied by Lord Hale, or the adminiftrator, is left to the reader. I only afk, who makes the judges in the provinces? I know of but two colonie", viz. Connecticut and Rhode-IIland, where they are chofen by the people. In all other colonies, they are immediately appointed by the crown, or by his Majenty's governor, with the advice of what the Adminiftrator calls, the " governor's council of ftate." And if they are in general fuch ignorant creatures, as the Adminiftrator defcribes them, it is the misfortune, not the fault, of the people, in the colonies. However, I believe, juftice in general, is as well adminiftered in the colonies, as it will be when every thing is devolved upon a court of admiralty, general or provincial. The following is very remarkable: "In thufe popular governments, and where every executive officer is under a dependence for a temporary, wretched, and I had almoft faid arbirrary fupport, on the deputies of the people*."

Why

[^16]Why is the temporary fupport found fault with ? Would it be wife to give a governor a falary for a longer time than his political life? As this is quite as uncertain as his natural life, it has been granted annually. So emery governor has the charice of one year's falary after he is dead. All the King's officers are not even in the charter provinces "dependent on the people" for fupport. The judges of the admiralty, thofe mirrors of juftice, to be trutted, when none of the common law courts are, have all their commiffions from home. Thefe, befides other fees, have fo much per cent. on all they condemn, be it right or wrong; and this by aEt of parliament. Yet fo great is their integriy, that it never was fufpected that 50 per ient. if allowed, would have any influence on their decrees.

Cutom-houfe officers univerfally, and Na-val-officers, in all but two or three of the colonics, are; 1 believe appointed directly from home, or by inftruetion to the Governor : and take juf what they pleife, for any refraint they are under hy the provincial acts. But on whom hould a Governor depend for his honourdble fupport, but the people? Is not the King fed from the field, and from the labour of his people? Does not his Majelty himfelf receive his aids from the free grant of his parliament? Do not all thefe originate in the houfe of commons? Did the houfe of Lords ever originate a grant? Do not our law borks inform us that the Lords only affent or difient, but never fo much as propofe an amendment
hult nor ical na-
mendment, on a money bill? The King can take no more than the parliament will give him, and yet fome of his Governors have thought it an infufferable hardhip, that they could not take what they pleafed. To take leave of the Adminiftrator, there are in his book fome good hints, but a multiplicity of miftakes in fact, and errors' in matters of right, which I have not time to mention particularly.

Ireland is a conquered kingdom ; and yet have thought they received very hard meatire in fome of the prohibitions and reftrictions of their trade. But were the colonies ever conquered? Have they not been fubject and obedient, and loyal from their fettlement? Were not the fettements made under the Britifh laws and conftitution? But if the colories were all to be confidered as conquered, they are entitled to the effiential rights of men and citizens. And therefore admitting the righe of prohibition, in its utmolt extent and latitude; a right of taxation can never be inferred from that. It may be for the good of the whole, that a certain commodity fhould be prohibited: but this power ghould be exercifed, with great moderation and impartiality, over ciominions, which are not reprefiented, in the national parliament. I had however rather fee this carried with a high hand, to the utmoft rigour, than have a tax of one billing taken from me withou: my confent. A people may be very happy, free and eafy among themfelves, without a particular branch of foreign trade: I am fure thefecolonics have the M nattial
natural means of every manufacure in $E_{v} ; \rho p e$, and fome that are out of their power to make or produce. It will fearcely be believed a hundred years hence, that the Arrerican manufactures could have been brought to fuch perfection, as they will then probabiy be in, it the prefent meafures are puthed. One fingle acz of parliament, we find has fet people a thinking, in fix months, more than they had done in their whole lives before. It hoowd be remembered, that the moft famous and flourihing manufactures, of wool, in France, were begun by Lewis YiV. not an hundred yeare ago; and they now bid fair to rival the Englifh, in every port abread. All the manufactures that Great-Britain could make, would be confumed in America, and in her own plantations, if put on a right footing; for which a greater profic in return would be made, than de witl ever fee again for woollen fent to any part of Europe.

But though it be allowed, that liberty may be enioyed in a comfortable meafure, where profibituons are laid on the trade of a kingdom or porince; yet if taxes are laid on either, ruithout confent, they cannot be faid to be free. This harner of liberty being once broken down, all is loft. If a fhilling in the pound may be taken from me againft my will, why may not twenty thillings? and if fo, why not my liberty or my life? Merchants were always particularly favoured by the common haw-" All merchants, except enemies, may fafely come into England, with their goods and
and merchandize"- 2 Inft. 28.-And why not as well to the plantations? Are they not entitled to all the Britifh privileges? No, they muft be confined in their imports and exports, to the good of the metropolis. Very well, we have fubmitted to this. The a.ct of avaigation is a good act, fo are all that exclucie foreign manufactures from the plantations, and every honeft man will readily fubfcribe to rhem. Moreover, " Merchant ftrangers, are alfo to come into the realm and depart at pleafure ; and they are to be friendly encertained." 2 Ri. C. I. But to promote the manufactures of England, it is thoughe beft to fhut up the colonies in a manner from all the world. Right as to Europe: but for God's fake, muft we have no trade with other colonies? In fome cafes the trade between Britill colony and colony is prohibited, as in wool, ECc. Granting all this to be right, is it not enough ? No, duties and taxes muft be paid without any confent or reprefentation in parliament. The common law', that ineftimable privilege of a jury, is alfo taken away in all trials in the colonies, relating to the revenue, if the informers have a mind to go to the admiralty; as they have ever done, and ever will do, for very obvious reafons. "It has cver been boafted, fays Mr. Dummer in his defence of the charters, as the peculiar privilege of an Englifhman, and the fecurity of his property, to be tried by his country, and the laws of the land: whereas this admiralty method deprives him of both, as it puts his coftate in the difM 2 potat
pofal of a fingle perfon, and makes the civil law the rale of judgment; which though it may not properly be called foreign, being the law of nations, yet it is what he has not confented to himfelf, nor his reprefentative for him. A jurifdition therefore fo founded, ought not to extend beyond what neceffity requires.". -"If fome bounds are not fet to the jurifdiction of the admiraity, beyond which it thall not pafs, it may in time, like the element to which it nught to be confined, grow outrageous, and overflow the banks of all the other courts of juftice." I believe it has never been doubted by one found, common lawyer of England, whether a court of admiralty ever anfwered many good ends; " the court of King's bench has a power to reftrain the court of admiralty in England; and the reafons for fuch reftraining power are as frong in NewEngland as in Great-Britain," and in fome refpects more fo: yet Mr. Dummer mentions, a clamour that was raifed at home by a judge of the admiralty for New-England, who complained " that the common law courts by granting prohibitions, weaken, and in a manner fupprefs the authority of this court, and all the grood ends for which it was conftituter.' Thus we fee, that the court of admiralty long ago difcovered, no very friendly difpofition towards the common law courts here ; and the records of the houfe of Reprefentatives afford us a notable inftance of one, who was expelled the houfe, of which he had been an unworthy
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memise; for the abufive mifreprefentations of the province, by him fecretly made.

Trade and traffic, fays lord Coke, " is the livelihood of a merchant, the life of the commonwealth, whercin the King and every fubject hath intereft; for the merchant is the good Bailiff of the realm, to export and vent the native commodities of the realm, and to import and bring in, the neceffary commodities for the defence and benefit of the Realm -2 Inft. 28. reading on Magna Charta, C. 15-And are not the merchants of Britih Ameria entided to a livelihood alfo? Are they not Britifh fubjects? Are not an infinity of commodities carried from hence for the benefit of the realm, for which in return come an infinity of trifles, which we could do without? Manufactures we muft go into if our trade is cut off; our country is too cold to go naked in, and we fhall foon be unable to make returns to England even for neceffaries.
"When any law or cuftom of parliament is broken, and the crown poffefled of a precedent, how difficult a thing is it to reftore the fubject again to his former freedom and fafety ?" 2 lat. on the confirmation of the great cbarter - which provides in thefe words : " And for fo much as divers people of our realm, are in fear, that the aids and tafks which they have given to us before time, towards our wars, and other bufinefs of their owngrant and good will (howfoever they were made) might turn to a bondage to them and their heirs, becaufe they might be at another
time found in the rolls, and likewife for the prices taken throughout the realm by our minifters: we have granted for us and our heirs, that we thall not draw fuch aids, tafks nor prices into a cuflom, for any thing that hath been done heretofore, be it by roll, or any other precedent that may be founden."

By the firft ch pter of this act, the great charter is declared to be the common law. I would afk, whether we have not reafon to fear, that the great aids, freely given by thefe provinces in the late war, will in like manner turn to our bondage, if they are to be kept on and inc eafed during a peace, for the maintaining of a flanding army here ?-If it is faid thofe aids were given for our own immediate defence, and that England fpent millions in the fame caufe, I antwer, The names of his preient Majefty, and his royal Grandfather, will be ever dear to every loyal Britifh American, for the protection they dfforded us, and the falyation, under God, effected by their arms; but with regard to our fellow-fubjects of Britain, we never were a whit behind hand with them. The New-England Colonies in particular, were not only fettled without the leaft expence to the mother country, but they have all along defended themielves againt the fre= quent incurfions of the moft inhuman Savages, perhaps on the face of the whole earth, at their own coft: Thofe more than brutal men, fpizited and directed by the moft inveterate, as well as moft powerful enemy of GratBritain, have been conftandy annoying our in-
fant fettlements for more than a century 1 fpreading terror and defolation, and fometimes depopulating whole villages in a night: yet amidft the fatigues of labour, and the horrors of war and bloodihed, Heaven vouchfafed its fmiles. Behold, an extenfive territory, fettled, defended, and fecured to his Majefty, I repeat it, without the leaf expence to the mother country, till within twenty years paft!-When Louiflourg was reduced to his late Majefty, by the valour of his Nerv-England fubjects, the parliament, it muft be cimned, faw meer to refund part of the charges: And every one knows the importance of Louishourgb; in the confulations of Aix la Cbapelle; but for the lofs of our young men, the riches and ftrength of a country, not indeed flain by the enemy, but overborn by the uncommon hardflips of the fiege, and their confinement in garrifon afterwards, there could be no recompence made.-In the late war, the nortbern colonies not only raifed their full quota of men, but they went even beyond their ability; they are Atill deeply in debt, notwithftanding the parliamentary grants annually made them, in part of their expences, in the common, national, coufe: Had it not been for thofe grants. they had all been bankruet long ago; while the fugar colonies have born little or no thase in it : They indeed fent a company or two of Neyroes and Molatioes, if this be worth mentioning, the fieges of Guadaloupe, Martinico and the Havanna : I do not recollect any thing elfe that they have

## 88)

done; while the flower of our youth were annually preffed by ten thoufands into the fervice, and there treated but little betier, as we have been told, than hewers of wood and drawers of water. Provincial acts for impreffing were obtained, only by letters of requifition from a fecretary of fate to a Governor; requiring him to ufe his influence to raife men; and fornetimes, more than were afked for or wanted, were preffed, to give a figure to the Governor, and Chew his influence; a remarkable inftance of which might be mentioned. I would farther obferve, that Great-Britain was as immediately interefted in the late war in America, as the colonies were. Was the not threatened with an invafion at tue fame time we were? Has fhe not an immenfe trade to the colonies? The Britifh writers fay, more than half her profitable trade is to America: All the profis of our trade center there, and is little enough to pay for the goods we import. A prodigious revenue arifes to the Crown on American exports to Great-Britain, which in general is not murmured at : No manufacture of Europe befides Britin, can be lawfully brought here ; and no honeft man defires they ever fhould, if the laws were put in execution upon all. With regard to a few Dutch imports that have made fuch a noife, the truch is, very little has been or could be run, before the apparatus of guardhips; for the officers of fome ports did their duty, while others may have made a monopoly of fmuggling, for a few of their friends, who probably paid
them large contributions; for it has been obferved, that a very fmall office in the cuftoms in America has raifed a man a fortune fooner than a government. The truth is, the acts of trade have been too often evaded; but by whom? Not by the American merchants in general, but by fome former cuftom-houfe officers, their friends and partizans. I name no man, not being about to turn informer: But it has been a notorious grievance, that when the King himfelf cannot difpenfe with an act of parliament, there have been cuftomhoufe officers who have practifed it for years together, in favour of thofe towards whom they were gracioufly difpofed.

But to return to the fubject of taxation: I find that "the lords and commons cannot be charged with any thing for the defence of the realm; for the fafe-guard of the fea, Ecc. unlefs by their will in parliament."

Ld. Coke, on Magna Charta, Cap. 30.
" Impofitions neither in time of war, or other the greateft neceffity or occafion that may be, much lefs in the time of peace, neither upon foreign or inland commodities, of what nature foever, be they never fo fuperfluous or unneceffary, neither upon merchants, ftrangers, nor denizens, may be laid by the King's abfolute power, without affent of parliament, be it never for fo fhort a time."

Viner Prerogative of the King.
Ea. I. cites 2 Molloy. 320 Cap. 12 .fec. 1. "In the reign of Edward III. the Black Prince of Wales having Aquitain granted to him,
him, did lay an impofition of fuage or focage a foco, upon his fubjects of that dukedom, viz. a hilling for every fire, called hearth filver, which was of fo great difcontentment and odious to them, that it made them revolt. And nothing fince this time has been impofed by pretext of any prerogative, upon merchandizes, imported into or exported out of this realm, until Queen Mary's time." 2 Inft. 6I.

Nor has any thing of that kind taken place fince the revolution. King Charles I. his Thipmoney every one has heard of.

It may be faid that thefe authorities will not ferve the colonifts, becaufe the duties laid on them are by parliament. I acknowledge the difference of fact; but cannot fee the great difference in equity, while the colonifts are not ceprefented in the houfe of commons: And therefore with all humble deference I apprehend, that till the colonifts are fo reprefented, the fpirit of all thefe authorities will argue ftrongly in their favour. When the parliament thall think fit to allow the colonifts a reprefentation in the houfe of commons, the equity of their taxing the colonies will be as clear as their power is at prefent of doing it wihout, if they pleafe. When Mr. Dummer wrote his defence of the charters, there was a talk of taking them away, by act of parliament. This defence is dedicated to the right honourable the Lord Carteret, then one of his Majefty's principd fecretaries of ftate, fince Earl of Granville. His third propofition is, that "it is not for the intereft of the crown
to refume the charters, if forfeited." This he proves; as alfo that it would be more for the intereft of Great-Britain to enlarge, rather than diminim, the privilege of all the colonifts. His laft propofition is, that it "feems inconfiftent with juftice to disfranchife the charter colonies by an act of parliament."
" It feems therefore, fays he, a feverity without a precedent, that a people, who have the misfortune of being a thoufand leagues diftant from their fovereign, a misfortune great enough in itfelf, fhould, unfummoned, unheard, in one day, be deprived of their valuable privileges, which they and their fathers have enjoyed for near a hundred years." It is true, as he obferves, "the legiflative power is abfolute and unaccountable, and King, lords and commons, may do what they pleafe; but the queftion here is not about power, but right" (or rather equity) " and hall not the fupreme judicature of all the nation do right?" "One may fay, that what the parliament cannot do juftly, they cannot do at all. In maximis minima eft licentia. The higher the power is, the greater caution is to be ufed in the execution of it; becaufe the fufferer is helplefs and without refort." I never heard that this reafoning gave any offence. Why fhould it ? Is it not exactly agreeable to the decifions of parliament and the determinations of the higheft exccutive courts? (See the Appendix.) But if it was thought hard that charter privi-- leges thould be taken away by act of parliament, is it not much harder to be in part, or $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ in
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in whole, disfranchifed of rights; that have been always thought inherent to a Britilh fubject, namely, to be free from all taxes, but what he confents to in perfon, or by his reprefentative? This right, if it could be traced no higher than Magna Charta, is part of the common law, part of a Britifh fubjects birthright, and as inherent and perpetual, as the duty of allegiance; both which have beenbrought to thefe colonies, and have been hitherto $h$ Id facred and inviolable, and I hope and tuft ever will. It is humbly conceived, that the Britifh colonifts (except only tha - conquered, if any) are, by Magna Charta, as well entitled to have a voice in their taxes, as the fubjects within the realin. Are we not as really deprived of that right, by the parliament afieffing us before we are, reprefented in the houfe of commons, as if the King thould do it by his prerogative? Can it be faid with any colour of truth or juftice, that we are reprefented in parliament?

As to the colonifts being reprefented by the provincial agents, I know of no power ever given the mi, but to appear before his Majefty, and his miniftry. Sometimes they have been directed to petition the parliament: But they none of them have, and I hope never will have, a power given them, by the colonifts, to act as reprefentatives, and to confent to taxes; and if they hould make any conceffions to the miniftry, efpecially without order, the provinces could not by that be confidered as teprefented in parliament.

Hibernia babet Parliamenta $\mathcal{E}$ faciunt liges et noffra fatuta non ligant eos, quia non mittant milites ad Parliamentum. Sed perfona corum junt fubjecti Regis, ficut inbabitantes Cilince Gajconia Ơ Guiena.

12 Rep. 111 . cites R. 3. 12 -
" Ireland hath parliaments, and make laws, and our fatutes do not bind them, becaufe they fend no knigbts to parliament; but their perfons are fubjects of the King, as the inhabitants of Guiene, Gafcony, \&c."

Yet, if fpecially named, or by general words included as within any of the King's dominions, Ireland, fays Ld. Coke, might be bound. 4 Inft. 35 I.
From all which, it feems plain, that the reafon why Ireland and the plantations are not bound, unlefs named by an act of parliament, is, becaufe they are not reprefented in the Britith parliament. Yet, in fpecial cafes, the Britifh parliament has an undoubted right, as well as power, to bind both by their acts. But whether this can be extended to an indefinite taxation of both, is the great queftion. I conceive the fpirit of the Britilh conftitution muft make an exception of all taxes, until it is thought fit to unite a dominion to the realm. Such taxation muft be confidered either as uniting the dominions to the realm, or disfranchifing them. If they are united, they will be intitled to a reprefentation, as well as Wales; if they are fo taxed without a union, or reprefentation, they are fo far disfranchifed.

I do not find any thing that looks like a duty on the colonies before the $25^{\text {th }}$ of C. IF. c. 7. impofing a duty on innumerated commodities. The liberty of the fubject was little attended to in that reign. If the nation could not fully affert their rights till the revolution, the colonies could not expect to be heard. I look upon this act rather as a precedent of power, than of right and equity; if it is fuch, it will not affect my argument. The act appointing a tax on all mariners, of a certain fum per month, to be deducted out of their wages is not to be compared with this: Mariners are not inhabitants of any part of the dominions: the fea is their element, till they are decripit, and then the hofpital is open for all marines who are Britifh fubjects without exception. The general poft-office eftablifhed through the dominions, is for the convenience of trade and commerce : it is not laying any burthen upon it ; for befides that it is upon the whole cheaper to correfpond in this way than any other, every one is at liberty to fend his own letters by a friend. The act of the 6th of his late Majefty, though it impofes a duty ir terms, has been faid to be defigned for a probibition; which is probable from the fums impofed; and it is pity it had not been fo exprefled, as there is not the leaft doubt of the juft and equitable right of the parliament to lay prohibitions through the dominions, when they think the good of the whole requires it. But as has been faid, there is an infinite difference between that and the exer-
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cife of unlimited power of c taxation, over the dominions, without allowing them a reprefentation :' - It is faid that the duties impofed by the new act will amount to a prohibition : Time only can afcertain this. The utility of this act is fo fully examined in the appendix, that I hall add nothing on that head here. It may be faid that the colonies ought to bear their proportion of the national burdens: It is jutt they thould, and I think I have proved they have always done it freely and cheerfully, and I know no reafon to doubt but they ever will.

Sometimes we have been confidered only as the corporations in England : And it may be urged that it is no harder upon us to be taxed by parliament for the general caufe than for them, who befides are at the expence of their corporate fubordinate government*. I anfwer, I. Thofe corporations are reprefented in parliament. 2. The colonies are and have been at a great expence in raifing men, building forts, and fupporting the King's civil government here. Now I read of no governors and other officers of his Majefty's nomination, that the city of London taxes its inhabitants to fupport; I know of no forts and garrifons that the city of London has lately built at its own expence, or of any annual levies that they have raifed for the King's fervice and the common caufe. Thefe are things very fitting and proper to de done by a fubordinate dominion, and it is their duty to do all they are able; but it feems

[^17]
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feems but equal they fhould be allowed to af fefs the charges of it themfelves. The rules of equity and the principles of the conftitution feem to require this. Thofe who judge of the reciprocal rights that fubfift between a fupreme and lubordinate ftate or dominion, by no higher rules than are applied to a corporation of button-makers; will never have a very comprehenfive view of them. Yet, forry am I to fay it, many elaborate writers on the adminifltation of the colonies; feem to me never to rife higher in their notions, than what might be expected from his fecretary to one of the quorum. If I fhould be ranked among this number, I fhall have this confulation, that I have falien into what is called very good company, and among fome who have feen veiy high life below ftairs. I agree with the Ad:miniftrator, that of whatever revenues raifed in the colonies, if they mutt be raifed without our confent;" the firft and Special appropriation of them ou bt to be to th paying the Governors, and all the other Crowon officers;" for it would be hard for the Colonifts to be obliged to pay them after this. It was on this principle that at the laft affembly of this province, I moved to ftop every grant to the officers of the Crown; more efpecially as I know fome who have built very much upon the fine falaries they thall receive from the plantation branch of the revenue. Nor can I think it " injuftice to the frame of human nature"," to fuppofe, if I did not know it, that with fi-

[^18]milar views feveral officers of the Crown in fome of the colonies have been puhhing for fuch an act for many years. They have obtained their wifh, and much good it will do them: but I would not give much for all that will center neat in the Exchequer, after deducting the cofts attending the execution of it, and the appropriations to the feveral officers propofed by the Adminiftrator. What will be the unavoidadable confequence of ail this, fuppofe another war thould happen, and it Ghould be neceffary to employ as many provincials in America as in the laft? Would it be poffible for the colonies, after bejing burthened in their trade; perhaps after it is ruined, to raife men ? Is it probable that they would have fpirit enough to exert themfelves? If it is faid the French will never try for America, or if they fhould, regular troops are only to be employed. I grant our regular troops are the beft in the world, and that the experience of the prefent officers thews that they are capable of every fpecies of American fervice; yet we thould guard againft the worft. If another trial for Canada fhould take place, which from the known temper of France, we may judge the will bring on the firft fair opportunity, it might require 30 or 40,000 regulars to fecure his Majefty's juft rights. If it hhould be faid, that other American duties mult then be levied, befides the imponfibility of our being able to pay them, the daiger recurs of a large ftanding army fo remote from home. Whereas a good provincial militia, with fuch 0 . occational
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occalional fuccours from the mother country, as exigencies may require, never was, and never will be attended with hazard. The experience of paft times will hew, that an army of 20 or 30,000 veterans, half 3000 miles from Rome, were very apt to proclaim Cicefars. The firft of the name, the affaffin of his country owed his falfe glory, to flealing the affections of an army from a commonwcalth. I hope thofe hints will not be taken anifs; they feem to occur from the nature of the fubject I am upon: they are delivered in pure affection to my King and country, and amount to no reflection on any man. The beft army, and the beft men, we may hereafter have, may be led into temptation ; all I think, is, that a prevention of evil is much eafier than a deliverance from it.

The fum of my argument is, That civil government is of God : that the adminiftrators of it were originally the whole people: that they migh have devolved it on whom they pleafed : that this devolution is fiduciary, for the good of the whole: that by the Britihconftitution, this devolution is on the King, lords and commoris, the fupreme, facred and uncontroulable leginlative power, not only in the realm, but through the dominions : that by the abdication, the original compact was broken to pieces: that by the revolution, it was renewed, and more firmly eftablifhed, and the rights and liberties of the fubject in all parts of the dominions, more fully explained and confirmed: that in confequence of this
eftablifhment and the acts of fucceffion and union, his Majefty George JII. is rightful king and fovereign, and with his parliament, the fupremelegiflative of Great-Britain, France and Ireland, and the dominions thereunto belonging: that this conflitution is the moft free one, and by far the beft, now exifting on earth : that by this conflitution, cvery man in the dominions is a free man : that no parts of his Majefty's dominions can be taxed without their confent : that every part has a right to be reprefented in the fupreme or fome fubordinate legillature : that the refufal of this, would feem to he a contradiction in practice to the theory of the conftitution : that the colonies are fubordinate dominions, and are now in fuch a ftate, as to make it beft for the good of the whole, that they fhould not only be continued in the enjoyment of fubordinate legiflation, but be alfo reprefented in fome proportion to their number and eftates in the grand legiflation of the nation: that this would firmly unite all parts of the Britifh empire, in the greateft peace and profperity; and render it invulnerable and perpetual.

## O2 APPENDIN.

## A P P E N D I X.

The City of Bofon, at their Annual Meeting in May, 1764, made Choice of Richard Dana, Fofeph Green, Natbaniel Betbune, Fobr Ruddock, Efqrs; and Mr. Samuel Adams, to prepare Instructions for their REPRESENTATIVES.

The following Inftructions were reported by faid Committee, and unanimoully Voted.

To Royal Tyler*, Fames Otis, Thomas Cufbing, and Oxenbridge Thacher, Efqrs.

Gentlemen, OUR being chofen by the freeholders and inhabitants of the town of Bollon, to reprefent them in the General Affembly the confuing year, affords you the flrongeft teftimony of that confidence which they place in your integrity and capacity. By this choice they have

[^19]have delegated to you the power of acting in their public concerns in general, as your own Prudence hall direct you ; always referving to themfelves the conftitutional right of expreffing their mind, and giving you fuch inftruction upon particular matters, as they at any time fhall judge proper.

We therefore, your conftituents, take this opportunity to declare our juft expectations from you,

That you will conftantly ufe your power and influence in maintaining the invaluable rights and privileges of the province, of which this town is fo great a part: As well thofe rights which are derived to us by the royal charter, as thofe which being prior to and independent on it, we hold effentially as freeborn fubjects of Great-Britain;

That you will endeayour, as far as you fhall be able, to preferve that independence in the houfe of reprefentatives, which characterifes a free people; and the want of which may in a great meafure prevent the happy effects of a free government: Cultivating as you hall have opportunity, that harmony and union there, which is ever defirable to good men, when founded on principles of virtue and public fpirit; and guarding againft any undue weight which may tend to difadjuft that critical balance upon which our happy conftitution, and the bleffings of it do depend. And for this purpofe, we particularly recommend it to you to ufe your endeavours to have a law paffed, whereby the feats of fuch gentlemen
as thall accept of pofts of profit from the Crown, or the Governor, while they are members of the houfe, fhall be vacated, agreeable to an act of the Britifh parliament, till their conftituents fhall have the opportunity of reelecting them, if they pleate, or of returning others in their room.

Being members of the legiflative body, you will have a feecial regard to the morals of this peop!e, which are the bafis of public happinefs; and endeavour to have fuch laws made, if any are ftill wanting, as thall be beft adapted to fecure them: And we particularly defire you carctully to look into the laws of excife, that if the virtue of the people is endangered by the multiplicity of oaths therein enjoined, or their trade and bufi efs is unreaf, nably impeded or embarraffed thereby, the grievance may be redreffed.

As the prefervation of morals, as well as property and right, fo much depends upon the impartial diftribution of juftice, agreeable to good and wholefome law: And as the judges of the land do depend upon the free grants of the general affembly for fupport ; it is incumbent upon you at all times to give your voice for their honourable maintenance, fo long as they, having in their minds an indiffirence to all other affairs, fhall devote themfelves wholly to the duties of their own department, and the farther ftudy of the law, by which their cuftoms, precedents, proceedings and determinations are adjufted and limited.

You will remember that this province hath been
been at a very great expence in carrying on the war; and that it ftill lies under a very grievous burden of debt : You will therefore ule your umoft endeavour to promote pubic frugality as one means to leffen the public debt.

You will join in any propofals which may be made for the better cultivating the lands, and improving the hufbandry of the province: and as you reprefent a town which lives by its trade, we expect in a very particular manner, that you make it the object of your attention, to fupport our commerce in all its juft rights, to vindicate it from all unreafonable impofitions, and promote its profperity.-Our trade has for a long time laboured under great difcouragements; and it is with the deepef concern that we fee fuch farther difficulties coming upon it, as will reduce it to the loweft ebb, if not totally obftruct and ruin it. We cannot help expreffing our furprize that when fo early notice was given by the agent, of the intentions of the miniftry, to burthen us with new taxes, fo little regard was had to this moft interefting matter, that the court was not even called together to confult about it till the latter end of the year; the confequence of which was, that inftructions could not be fent to the agent, though fullicited by him, till the evil had got beyond an eafy remedy.

There is now no room for farther delay: We therefore expect that you will ufe your earlieft endeavours in the General Affiembly, that fuch methods may be taken as will effec-
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tually prevent thefe proceedings againft us. By a proper reprefentation, we apprehend it may eafily be made to appear that fuch feverities will prove detrimental to Great-Britain itfelf; upon which account we have reafon to hope that an application, even for a repeal of the act, fhould it be already paffed, will be fucceffful. It is the trade of the colonies that renders them beneficial to the mother country: Our trade, as it is now, and always has been conducted, centers in Great-Britain, and in return for her manufactures, affords her more ready calh, beyond any comparifon, than can pofiibly be expected by the moft fanguine promoters of thefe extraordinary methods. We are in fhort ultimately yielding large fupplie's to the revenues of the mother country, while we are labouring for a very moderate fubfiftence for ourfelves. But if our trade is to be curtailed in its moft profitable branches, and burdens beyond all poffible bearing laid upon that which is fuffered to remain, we fhall be fo far from being able to take off the manufactures of Great-Britain, that it will be fcarce poffible for us to earn our bread.-

But what fill heightens our apprehenfions is, that thefe unexpected proceedings may be preparatory to new taxations upon us: For if our trade may be taxed, why not our lands? Why not the produce of our lands, and every thing we poffefs or make ufe of ? This we apprehend annihilates our charter right to govern and tax ourfelves-It frikes at our Britifh privileges, which as we have never for-
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feited them, we hold in common with our fel-low-fubjects who are natives of Britain: If taxes are laid upon us in any fhape without our having a legal reprefentation where they are laid, are we not reduced from the character of free fubjects to the miferable ftate of tributary flaves?

We therefore earneftly tecommend it to you to ufe your utmoft endeavours, to obtain in the general affembly, all neceffary inftruction and advice to our agent at this moft critical juncture; that while he is fetting forth the unhaken loyalty of this province and this town-its unrivaled exertion in fupporting his Majefty's government and rights in this part of his dominions-its acknowledged dependence upon and fubordination to Great-Britain; and the ready fubmiffion of its merchants to all juft and neceffary regulations of trade ; he may be able in the moft humble and preffing manner oo remonftrate for us all thofe rights and privileges which juftly belong to us either by charter or birth.

As his Majefty's other northern American colonies are embarked with us in this moft important bortom, we farther defire you to ufe your endeavours, that their weight may be added to that of this province : that by the united application of all who are aggrieved, All may happily obtain redrefs.

Subfance of a Memorial prefented the Houfe, in purfuance of the above Inftructions; and by. them voted to be tranfinitted to Jasper Maudult, E/q; Agent for this Province*; io be improved as be may judge proper.

THE public tranfactions from William I. to the revolution, may be confidered as one continued ftruggle between the prince and the people, all tending to that happy eftablifhment, which Great-Britain has fince enjoyed.

The abfolute rights of Englimmen, as frequently declared in parliament, from Magna Charta to this time, are the rights of perfonal fecurity, perfonal liberty, and of private property.

The allegiance of Britim fubjects being natural, perpetual and infeparable from their perions, let them be in what country they may ; their rights are alfo natural, inherent and perpetual.

By the laws of nature and of nations, the voice of univerfal reafon, and of God, when a nation takes pofieffion of a defert, uncultivated and uninhabited country, or purchafes of Savages, as was the cafe with far the greateft part of the Britifh tettlements; the colonifts tranfplaning themfelves, and their pofterity, though feparated from the principal eftablintment, or mother country, naturally become part of the ftate with its ancient poffeffions, and

[^20]and intitled to all the effential rights of the mother country. This is not only confirmed by the practice of the antients, but by the moderns ever fince the difcovery of America. Frenchmen, Spaniards and Portuguefe are no greater flaves abroad than at home; and hitherto Britons have been as free on one fide of the Atlantic as on the other : And it is humbly hoped that his Majefty and the Parliament, will in their wifdom be gracioufly pleafed to continue the colonifts in this happy ftate.

It is prefumed, that upon thefe principles, the colonits have been by their feveral charters declared natural fubjects, and entrufted with the power of making their own local laws, not repugnant to the laws of England, and with the power of taxing themfelves.

This leginfative power is fubject by the fame charter to the King's negative, as in Ireland. This effectually fecures the dependence of the colonies on Great-Britain.-By the thirteents of George the fecond, chapter the nitith, even foreigners having lived feven years in any of the colonies, are deemed natives on taking the oaths of allegiance, $\mathcal{E}$ c. and are deciared by the faid act to be his Majefty's natural born fubjects of the kingdom of Great-Britain, to all intents, conftructions and purpofes, as if any of them had been born within the kingdom. The reafons given for this naturalization in the preamble of the act are, " that the increafe of the people is the means of adivancing the wealth and ftrength of any nation or country ; and that many toreigners and ftranP 2 gers,
gers, from the lenity of our government, the purity of our religion, the benefit of our laws, the advantages of our trade, and the fecurity of our property, might be induced to come and fettle in fume of his Majefty's colonies in Ameiica; if they were partakers of the advantages and privileges, which the natural born fubjets there enjoy*."

The feveral acts of parliament and charters declaratory of the rights and liberties of the colonies, are but in affirmance of the common law, and law of nature in this point. There are, fays my Lord Coke, regularly three incidents to fubjects born. (I.) Parents under the actual obedience of the King. (2.) Thiat the place of his birth be within the King's dominions. (3.) The time of his birth to be chiefly confidered: For he cannot be a fubject born of one kingdom, that was born under the allegiance of the King of another kingdom ; albeit afterwards the kingdom defcends to the King of the other kingdom. See Calvin's cafe, and the feveral acts of parliament and decifions on naturalization, from Edward the Third to this day. The common law is received and practifed upon here, and in the reft of the colonies; and all antient and modern acts of parliament that can be confidered as part of, or in amendment of the common law, together with all fuch acts of parliament as exprelly name the plantations; fo that the power of the Britifh parliament is held as facred and as uncontroulable in the colonies

[^21]colonies as in England. The queftion is not upon the general power or right of the parliament, but whether it is not circumfcribed within fome equitable and reafonable bounds? It is hoped it will not be confidered as a new doctrine, that even the authority of the parliament of Great-Britain is circumfcribed by certain bounds, which if exceeded, their acts become thofe of meer pozver without rigbt, and confequently void. The judges of England have declared in favour of thefe fentiments, when they exprelly declare, that acts of parliament again/t natural equity are void. That acts againft the fundamental principles of the Britifl conflitution are void*. This doctrine

* "A very important queftion here prefents itfelf. It effentially belongs to the fociety to make laws both in relation to the manner in which it defires to be governed, and to the conduct of the citizens: this is called the Legiflative Power. The nation may entruft the exercife of it to the Prince, or to an affembly; or to the affembly and the Prince jointly; who have then a right of making new, and abrogating old laws. It is here demanded whether, if their power extends fo far as to the fundamental laws, they may change the conftitution of the ftate? The principles we have laid down lead us to decide this point with certainty; that the authority of thefe leginators does not extend fo far, and that they ought to confider the fundamental laws as facred, if the nation has not in very exprefs terms given them the power to change them. For the conititution of the flate ought to be fixed; and fince that was firf eftablifhed by the nation, which afterwards trufted certain perfons with the legiflative power, the fundamental laws are excep:ed from their commiffion. It appears that the fociety had only refolved to make provifion for the ftate's being always frnifhed with laws fuited to particular conjunctures, and gave the legillature for that purpofe, the power of abrogating the antient civil and political laws, that were not fundamental, and of making new ones: but nothing leads us to think that it was willing to fubmit the condtitation itfelf to their pleafurc.
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trine is agreeable to the law of nature and nations, and to the divine dictates of natural and revealed religion. It is contrary to reafon that the fupreme power fhould have right to alter the conftitution. This would imply, that thofe who are intrufted with Sovereignty by

When a nation takes poffeffion of a diftant country, and fettles a colony there, that country though feparated from the principal eftablifiment, or mother country, naturally becomes a part of the ftate, equally with its antient poffeflions. $t$ henever the political laws, or treaties, make no diftinction between them, every thing faid of the territory of a nation, ought alfo to extend to its colonies." D' Vattel.
"An act of parliament made againft natural equity, as to make a man judge in his own caufe, would be void: for jura nature funt immutabilia, Hob. 87. Trin. 12. Jac Day v. Savage S. C. and P. cited Arg 10. Mod. 115. Hill. 11 Ann. C. B. in the cafe of Thoinby and Flectwood, "but fays, that this mutt be a clear cafe, and judges will ftrain hard rather than interpret an act void, ab initio." This is granted, but fill their authority is not boundlefs, if fubject to the controul of the judges in any cafe.
"Hoit, Chief juftice, thought what Lord Coke fays in Doctor Bonham's cafe a very reafonable and true faying, that if an act of parliament thould ordain that the fame perfon thould be both party and judge in his own caufe, it would be a void act of parliament, and an act of parliament can do no wrong, though it may do feveral things that look pretty odd; for it may difcharge one from the allegiance he lives under, and reftore to the flate of nature; but it cannot make one tha: lives under a government both judge and party, per Holt. C. J. 12 Mod. 687, 688. Hill. 13. W. 3. B. R. in the cafe of the city of j ondon v . Wood_It appears in our books, that in feveral cafes the common law hall controul aets of parliament, and fometimes adjudge them to be utterly void; for when an act of parliament is againft common right and reafon, or repugnant or impoffible to be performed, the common law Shall controul it, and adjudge it to be void, and therefore 8 E . 3. 30 Thomas Tregor's cafe upon the ftatute of W. 2. Cap. 38. and Art. Sup. Chart. 9. Herle faid that fometimes ftatutes made contrary to law and right, which the makers of them perceiving will not put them in execution, 8 Rep. 118 Hill. 7. J. Dr. Bonham's cafe.
the people, have a right to do as they pleafe. In other words, that thofe who are invefted with power to protect the people, and fupport their rights and liberties, have a right to make flaves of them. This is not very remute from a flat contradiction. Should the parliament of Great-Britain follow the example of fome other foreign ftates*, and vote the King abfolute and defpotic; would fuch an act of parliament make him fo? Would any minifter in his fenfes advife a Prince to accept of fuch an offer of power? It would be unfafe to accept of fuch a donation, becaufe the parliament or donors would grant more than was ever in their power lawfully to give. The law of nature never invefted them with a power of furrendering their own liberty; and the peo-ple certainly never intrufted any body of men with a power to furrender theirs in exchange for flavery $\dagger$.

[^22]†" But if the whole ftate be conquered, if the nation be fubdued, in what manner can the victor treat it without tranfgrefling the bounds of jultice? What are his rights over the conqueft ? Some have dared to advance this monttrous principle, that the conqueror is abfolute mafter of his conqueft; that he may difpofe of it as his property, treat it as he pleafes; according to the common exprefin of treatin; a fate ar a coriquered country; and hence they derive one of the fources of defpotic government: but enough of thofe that reduce men to the ftate of transferable goods, or ufe them like beafts of burthen, who deliver them up as the property or patrimony of another man. Let us argue on principles countenanced by reafon and becoming humanity. The whole right of the conqueror proceeds from the jutt deferce of himfelf, which contains the fufport and pro-
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It is now near three hundred years fince the continent of North-America was firt difcovered, and that by Britifh fubjects.* Ten generations have paffied away through infinite toils and bloody contlicts in fettling this country. None of thofe ever dreamed but that they were intitled, at leaft, to equal privileges with thofe of the fame rank Jorn within the realm.

Britifh America has been hiherto diftinguifhed from the flavilh colonies around about
profecution of his rights. Thus when he has totally fubdued a nation with whom he had been at war, he may without difo pute caufe juftice to be done him, with regard to what gave rife to the war, and reguire payment for the expence and damage he has fuftained; he may according to the exigency of the cafe impore penalties on it as an example, he may, fhould prudence fo dictate, difable it from undertaking any pernicious defigns for the future. But in fecuring all thefe views the mildeft means are to be preferred. We are always to remember, that the law of nature permits no injury to be done to ah enemy, unlefs in taking meafures neceffary for a jutt defence, and a reafonable fecurity. Some princes have only impofed a tribute on it; others have been fatisfied of Itriping it of fome privileges, difmembering a province, or keeping it in awe by fortrelles; others as their quarrel was only with the fovereign in perfon, have left a nation in the full enjoyment of a.: its rights, only fetting a fovereign over it. But if the conqueror thinks proper to retain the fovereignty of the vaniquified ftate, and has fuch a right; the manner in which he is to treat the ftate fill flows from the fame principles. If the fcvereign be only the juft object of his complaint, reafon declares that by his conqueft he acquires only fuch rights as actually belonged to the dethroned fovereign, and on the fubmiffion of his people he is to govern it according to the laws of the ftate. If the people do not voluntarily fubmit, the fate of war fubfifts." ?\% When a fovereign as pretending to have the abfolute difpofal of a people whom he has conquered, is for inflaving them, he caufes the ftate of war to fubfilt between this people and him." "Mr. D'Vattel, B. 3. C. 10. fec. 201.

[^23]it, as the fortunate Britons have been from moft of their neighbours on the continent of Europe. It is for the intereft of Great-Britain that her colonies hould be ever thus diftinguifhed. Every man muft wilfully blind himfelf that don't fee the immenfe value of our acquifitions in the late war; and that though we did not retain all at the conclufion of the peace that we obtained ly the fword; yet our gracious Sovereign, at the fane time that he has given a divine leffon of equitable moderation to the princes of the earth, has retained fufficient to make the Britifh arms the dread of the univerfe, and his name dear to all pofterity.

To the freedom of the Britifh conflitution, and to their increafe of commerce, it is owing that our colonies have flourifhed without diminifhing the inhabitants of the mother country ; quite contrary to the effects of plantations made by moft other nations, which have fuffered at home, in order to aggrandize themfelves abroad. This is remarkably the cafe with Spain. The fubjects of a free and happy conftitution of government, have a thoufand advantages to colonize above thofe who live under defpotic princes. We fee how the Britinh colonies on the continent, have out-grown thofe of the French, notwithftanding they have ever eng'ged the Sivages to keep us back. Their advantages over us in the Weft-Indies are, among other caufes perhaps, partly owing to there, 1. A capital neglect in former reigns, in fuffering them to have a firm pofficfion of to many valuable iflands, that we had a better title to then they. 2. The French unable to pulh their
fettlements effectually on the continent, have bent their views to the iflands, and poured vaft numbers into them, 3. The climate and bufinefs of thefe iflands is by nature much better adapted to Frenchmen and to Negroes, than to Britons. 4. The labour of flaves, black or white, will be ever cheaper than that of freemen, becaufe that of the individuals among the former, will never be worth fo much as with the latter; but this difference is more than fupplied; by numbers under the advantages abovementioned. The French will ever be able to fell their Weft-India produce cheaper than our own iflanders : and yet white our own iflanders can have fuch a price for theirs, as to grow much richer than the French, or any other of the King's fubjects in America, as is the cafe, and what the northern colonies take from the French, and other foreign iflands, centers finally in returns to Great-Britain for her manufactures, to an immenfe value, and with a vaft profit to her : it is contrary to the firft principles of policy to clog fuch a trade with duties, much more to prohibit it to the rifque if not certain deftruction of the finery. It is allowed by the moft accurate Britiih writers on commerce, Mr. Poftlethwait in particular, who feems to favour the caufe of the fugar iflands, that one half of the immenfe commerce of Great-Britain is with her colonies. It is very certain that without the fifhery feven-eights of this commerce would ceafe. The filhery is the center of motion, upon which the wheel of all the Britih commerce in America turns. Withcut the American trade, would Britain, as a commercial
ftate, make any great figure at this day in Europe? Her trade in woollen and other manufactures, is faid to be leffening in all parts of the world, But America, where it is increafing, and capable of infinite increafe, from a concurrence of every circumftance in its favour. Here is an extenfive territory of different climates, which in time will confume, and be able to pay for as many manufactures as Great-Britain and Ireland can make, if true maxims are purfued. The French, for reafons already mentioned, can underwork, and confequently underfell the Engli/h manufactures of Great-Britain in every market in Europe. But they can fend none of their manufactures here ; and it is the wifh of every honeft Britifh American that they never may; it is beft they never hould; we can do better without the manufactures of Europe, fave thofe of Great-Britain, than with them : But without the French Weft-India produce we cannot ; without it our fifhery muft infallibly be ruined. When that is gone, our own iflands will very poorly fubfift. No Britih manufactures can be paid for by the colonifts. What will follow? One of thefe two things, both of which it is the intereft of Great-Britain to prevent. 1. The northern colonifts mult be,content to go naked, and turn Savages; or, 2. Become manufacturers of linen and woollen, to clothe themfelves; which, if they cannot carry to the perfection of Europe, will be very lientructive to the interefts of GreatBritain. The computation has been made, and that within bounds, and it can be demonftrated, that if North-America is only
driven to the fatal neceffity of manufacturing a luit of the moft ordinary linen or woollen for each iuhabitant annually, which may be foon done, when neceflity, the mother of invention, hall operate, Great-Britain and Ireland will lufe two millions per annum, befides a diminution of the revenue to nearly the fame amount. This may appear paradoxical, but a lew years experience of the execution of the fugar ack, will fufficiently convince the parliament not only of the inutility, but deAtwctive tendency of it, while calculations may be little attended to. That the trade with the col nies has been of furprizing advantage to Great-Britain, notwithftanding the want of a good regulation is paft all doubt. Griat-B itain is well known to have increafed prodigioufly both in numbers and in wealth fince the began to colonize. To the growth of the plantations Britain is in a great meafure indebted for her prefent riches and ftrength. As the wild wattes of America have been turned into pleafant habitations, and flourihing trading towns; fo many of the little villages and obfeure buroughs in Grear- Britain have put on a new face, and fuddenly ftarted up, and become fair markets, and manufacturing towns, and opulent cities. London ittelf, which bids fair to be the metropolis of the world, is five times more populous than it was in the days of Queen Elizabeth. Such are the fruts of the fpirit of commerce and liberty. Hence it is manifeft how much we all owe to that bcautiful form of civil government, under which we have the happinels to live.

It is evidently the intereft, and ought to be
the care of all thofe intrufted with the adminiftration of government, to fee that every part of the Britifh empire enjoys to the full the rights they are intilled to by the laws, and the advantages which refult from their being maintained with impartiality and vigour. This we have feen reduced to practice in the prefent and preceding reigns; and have the higheft reafon, from the paternal care and goodnefs, that his Majefty, and the Britifh parliament, have hitherto been gracioully pleafed to difcover to all his Majefty's dutiful and loyal fubjects, and to the colonifts in particular, to reft fatisfied, that our privileges will remain facred and inviolate. The connection between GreatBritain and her colonies is fo natural and ftrong, as to make their mutual happinefs depend upon their mutual fupport. Nothing can tend more to the deftruction of both, and to forward the meafures of their enemies, than fowing the feeds of jealoufy, animofity and diffention between the mother country and the colonies.

A conviction of the truch and importance of thefe principles, induced Great-Britain during the late war, to carry on fo many glorious enterprizes for the defence of the colonies; and thofe on their part to exert themfelves beyond their ability to pay, as is evident from the parliamentary reimburfuments.

If the fpirit of commerce was attended to, perhaps, duties would be every where decreafed, if not annihilated, and prohbitions multiplied. Every branch of trade that hurts a community, mhould be prohibited, for the fame reafon that a private gentieman would break off commerce with a tharper or an extorfive
torfive ufurer. 1 is to no purpore to higgte with fach people, you are fure to lofe by them. It is exactly fo with a nation, if the balance is againft them, and they can poffibly fibfirt without the commodity, as they generally can in fuch cafes, a prohibition is the only remedy; for a duty in fuch cafe, is like a compofition with a thief, that for five fhillings in the pound returned, he fhall rob you at pleafure; when if the thing is examined to the bottom, you are at five fhillings expence in travelling to get back your five hillings, and he is at the fame expence in coming to pay it, fo he robs you of but ten Chillings in the pound, that you thus wifely compound for. To apply this to trade, I believe every duty that was ever impofed on commerce, or in the nature of things can be, will be found to be divided between the ftate impofing the duty, and the country exported from. This, if between the feveral pars of the fame kingdom or dominions of the rame Prince, can only tend to embarrafs trade, and raife the price of labour above other ftates, which is of very pernicious confequence to the hubbandman, manufacturer, mariner and merchant, the four tribes that fupport the whole hive. If your duty is upon a commodity of a forcign ftate, it is cither upon the whole ufeful and gainful, and therefore neceflary for the hulbandman, manufacturer, mariner or merchant, as finally bringing a profit to the ttate by a balance in her favour; or the importation will work a balance againft your ftate. There is no medium that we know of. If the commodity is of the former kind, it should be prohibited; but if the latter, im-
ported duty free ; unlefs you would raife the price of labour by a duty on neceffaries, or make the above wife compofition for the importation of commodities you are fure to lofe by. The only teft of a ufeful commodity is the gain upon the whole to the flate; fuch fhould be free ; the only teft of a pernicious trade is the lofs upon the whole, or to the commanity; this fhould be prohibited. If therefore it can be demonftrated that the fugar and molaffes trade from the northern colonies to the foreign plantations is upon the wobsole a lofs to the community, by which term is here meant the three kingdoms and the Britih dominions taken collectively, then, and not till then, thould this trade be prohibited. This never has been proved, nor can be ; the contrary being ceizain, to wit, that the nation upon the whole hath been a vaft gainer by this trade, in the vend of and pay for its manufactures; and a great lofs by a duty upon this trade will finally fall on the Britigh hufbandman, manufacturer, mariner and merchant, and confequentlly the trade of the nation be wounded, and in conftant danger of being eat out by thofe who can underfell her.

The art of underfelling, or rather of finding means to underfell, is the grand fecret of thrift among commercial ftates, as well as among individuals of the fame fate. Should the Britih fugar inands ever be able to fupply Greai Britain and her northern colonies with thofe articles, it will be time enough to think of a iotal prohibition; but until that time, both prohibition and duty will be fcund to be diametrically oppofite to the firft principles of policy.
policy. Such the extent of this continent, and the increafe of its inhabitants, that if eyery inch of the Britio fugar iflands was as well cultivated as any part of Jamaica or Barbadoes, they would not now be able to fupply GreatBritain, and the colonies on this continert. But before fuch farther improvements can be fuppofed to take place in our iflands, the demands will be proportionably increafed by the increafe of the inhabitants on the continent. Hence, the reafon is plain why the Britifh fugar planters are growing rich, and ever will, becaufe the demmed for their produce has, and ever will be; greater than they can poffibly fupply, fo long as the Englifh hold this continent, and are unrivalied in the fifhery.

We have every thing good and great to hope from out gracious Sovereign, his Miniftry and his Pariament; and truft that when the fervices and fufferir ss of the Britifh American colonies are fully known to the mother country, and the nature and importance of the plantation trade more perfectly undertood at home, that the unft effectual meafures will be taken for Derpetuating the Britih empire in all parts of the worlds An empire built upon the principles of juftice, moderation and, equity the only principles that can make a ftate flourinhing, and enable it to elude the machinatiors of itsfecret and inveterate enemies.

[^24]


[^0]:    * On King James's leaving the kingdom and abdicating the government, the lords would have the word defertion made ufe of, but the commons thought it was not comprehenfive enough, for that the King might then have liberty of returning. The Scots rightly called it a forfeiture of the crown, and this in plain Englifh is the fenfe of the term abdication as by the convention and every parliament fince applied. See the hiltory and debates of the convention, and the acts then made.

[^1]:    * The power of God Almighty is the only power that can properly and ftrictly be called fupreme and abfolute. In the order of nature immediately under him, comes the power of a fimple democracy, or the power of the whole over the whole. Sublequent to both thefe, are all other political powers, from that of the French Monarque, to a petty conftable.

[^2]:    * Mr. Locke.

[^3]:    * Kingcraft and Prieftcraft have fell out fo often, that it is a wonder this grand and ancient alliance is not broken off for ever. Happy for mankind will it be, when fuch a feparation stall take place.

[^4]:    * For the fake of the unlettered reader it is noted, that Monarchy means the power of one great man ; Ariftocracy and Oligarchy that of a few; and Democracy that of all men.

[^5]:    * See Mr. Locke on the Diffolution of Government.

[^6]:    * Marquis D'A.
    $\dagger$ Rouffeau.

[^7]:    * Of fome American Court of Atmiralty, if the Reader pleafes.

[^8]:    * Thofe in England who borrow the terms of the Spaniards, as well as their notions of government, apply this term to all Americans of European Extract ; but the Northern colonifts apply it only to the Iflanders and others of fuch extract, under the Torrid Zone.

[^9]:    * This however was formally declared as to Irclatic, but fo lately as the reign of G.I. Upon the old principles' of conqueft the Irifh could not have fo much to fay for an exemption, as the unconquered Golonilts.

[^10]:    * The fine defence of the provincial charters by Jeremy Dummer, Efq; the la:~ very able and learned agent for the province of the Mafachujctts-Bay, makes it :cedlefs to go into a particular coufderation of charter privileges. That piece is unaniwerable, but by power and might, and other arguments of that kind.

[^11]:    * See Magna Charta, the Bill of Rights. 3 Mod. 152. 2 Salkeld 4it. Vaughan 300.

[^12]:    Wie Jocke on Government. B. H. C. xi,

[^13]:    * See the convention, and acts confirming it,

[^14]:    * Page 39 of the adminiftration,

[^15]:    * Adminifration 34.

[^16]:    * Adminit. 56 .

[^17]:    * Sec Adminittration of the Colonics,

[^18]:    * Alm. p. 57.

[^19]:    * Now of the honourable Board; in whore room was req qurned Mr. Thomas Gray, Merchant:

[^20]:    Oniy as a State drawn up by one of the Houfe,

[^21]:    ${ }^{*}{ }^{13}$ G. 2. C. 7.

[^22]:    * Sweden, Denmark, France, \&cc.

[^23]:    * The Cabots difcovered the Continent before the Spaniards.

[^24]:    P.S. By antient and modern gods, P. 10, I mean, , all ididels, from thofe of Old Egypt, to the canonized monfters of modern Rome ; and by king-craft and pzieft-craft, civil and ecelefáatic polity, as adminittered in gen aral till the revolution. I now recohect' that I have been credibly informed that the Britin Sugar colonifts are humane to wards theiAlyes, in compa in with the others. Thersfore in page 2g, let it be rea, foreign Sugar-1flanders and foreign Creoles.

