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## PREFICE

Whes I published in 190: my Respomsible cionernment in the Dominions, it was my intention in due conse to develop at length the summary sketeln contained in that book, and in particular to give in detail the evidence on which were based the conchasions there presented. The need for rewriting became more pressing after the maexpectedly swift conclusion of the diseussions of Sonth African union, and the opportmity has been atforded by the readiness of the Charendon Press, on the recommendation of Sir Charles Lucas, K.C.M.G., (.B., Assistant UuderSecretary of State for the Colonies, to undertake the nublication of the work.
My obligations to previous writer's are, I trust, adequately indicated and knowledged by the references in the notes, ex, $t$ in the case of the first edition of Todd's classical treatise, P'arliamentury riorernment in the Brilish Colonics. In this, the linst worl: on the subject, Todd so ably covered the period up to about 1879 that a later writer can add but little. I have, how ee, endeavoured, so far as was compatible with adequat treatment of the subject, to deal with the earlier vears of the history of responsibue government in such a way as to supplement the information given by Todd.

1 own mush alow, which 1 commot bow anknowA.dyre, th disellsions and emmersations with those
 romblat of the retations of the lomperial and the bominion dencrmments. There are, howrow, for trionds whane retirement from andice servier in the
 of indebterhero.e amd it wires mer great phemsume In thank sir Thmmas Gibemberamicharl, Bant.,



 for all that they hate tamght me of the real working of constitmtomal emeromment in the states of the Gommonwealth.

For advice, reticiant, and realling of proofs, I all depply indehted to my romsin. Mr. Jamen Dry dale, and to ṃ. brothers, II. .J. Keith, I. ©., Sercetary to the (iovermment of Bumal and R. ( :
 of that prowince. Whike Mr. R. II: (hapman, of the Clarembon Pross, has again latal me mader great obligation loy his comstant interent in the progress of this book.

It shond be added that the book is wholly ume official, and that no mise has been made of ants. material whis his not ahrades pmblic property.
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 $1: 1+11$ ．
 $1:=1111.1$ ．
 ：11！！11．$\because$ ．


 ： $11!1$ 11．$:$ ．
 $14: \%$ 11．：

## TABLE OF AC＇N（ITED＇

## IMI＇ERIAL．AC＂I＇K

Magna Clarth ：40：3，42：3 n．t．

 1＋6il．3．

4：Eilw，Ill．c．：：N：

2．5 llin．V111．r，l！： $1+31$.

r． $1 . \mathrm{s}: 183 \mathrm{n}$ n． 1.
31 ll cn ．VIII．c．$!1: 1+2!$ ．

（N．（•．31）：1：3\％．

1 Eliz．c．1： 1436.
2l Jac．I．c．1is： 417 ．
lif Car．I．c． 10 ： KS ！．
．，．．r．11：14：ll．
1：1 Car．11．c．12：14：30．
$7 \& 8$ Will．Ill．© ：$:=+1!$ ．
$11 \& 12$ Will．111．c． $7: 1, i \pi i$

＂n．1．18＂3s n．！．
$1: \& 13$ Will． 111. c． $2: 427,1.5 .5+$ n． 8.
19）（icr．II． $1:$ ：37： 411 ．
1 （ien，111．•，23：427，1334，13：3\％．
 n． 3.
18（ion．lll．с．12：1316．
 צ＂リ．
2lirion．11I．c．si：1434．


$\because$ ••• $\because 113: 13: 1$ ．
 1：312．
．，$\quad$ •．x1：：37．



1：3．5n．1，1：37．

1 Will．N．戶． 4 （see I Kilw．VII．（•，万）
＋iln．


$1 \cdot 12: 137: 1,1431$.
 1：1＂̈s．1：38：＋1 1：31．

1．א．：：14：31．
m．11：： $1+16 \mathrm{n} .1$ ．
$\therefore$ ．Mi： $143!$ ．
\＆is Will．IV．c．

（id


$\therefore$（ia）：s，litio．

C．3：：4，i！！，til，3：38 n． 3.
 1067，13：3．1， $1+47$.
．，．$\because .12:$ ： $1: 1: 2=$ ．

．．r．N＂3：list．
．，，．$\because$ ．ki：lis．
，．．．ध．！\％：siol n．is．
 1：32\％． $1+4 i$ ．



 13：2イ n．：3．




c．（if）（cf．15）\＆ 16 Vict．
（．，is3）： $1+34$.
．．r．．л：n＇2n． 3.






〔．120： $7,24,102$ n． 1 ，

（i）© Vict，e．
c．34：131！
，．ᄃ．3s：13－3．
＇In this dable pimiter referenced arr given to Acts which are merely mentoned by date or sh．rt tille in the texi．

## sixiv

## TABLE OF A TTS（ITED

1id Oint．r．in：1：31\％



$$
\text { . } 1: 129,13 \div \mathrm{n} .1 .
$$

$1.11:$ ： 13111.


．，थ．11： 11 音

110 ． 11


－•奢
＂．IIf of（＇manli，：Jos\％．




 $\therefore$ lili：Il．ix．


13 \＆！V＇iヶt．r．us ：＊．
N．N：lifi，
N．！：lifl．）．
5．II：111！
 IU1．； 8.
8．13：f：14



8．2！！： $11 \%$ ．
s． 311 ： ！ 410
8． 11 ： $1101,111!$ ，Il．ix． 1178.
s． $3!2: 414.42!3,43!11$ ． $1,1015-s$.
A． $3: 3$ ：43：11．1，101．i－x．
4．in：+10.5.

15 \＆ 15 Víf．c．12：12：3）．

кs．リ－31：！ロースー．
N．IN． $0 \%$
＊． $19: 117$.
＊． 32 ： 4341 ．
s． 11 ：$\ddagger: 36,1.850 \mathrm{n} .1$.
Sn．t19， $17: 4346,4: 37$.
 $4: 37,178.1201,135.5$. 37，＋42 ॥．I，542．5．58， 1584
11．।．
n in：137，17：n． 1.
．． 87 ： $1: 37$.
ल，is：1：3\％．I01！．
n． 54 ： $1: 3 \%$ ．
N． 11 ：13\％， $1111 \%, 11 . \% 11.2$
＊．194：133．
No li．i：AB7，13k，I：3：1．
ल． 71 ： $1: 37 \mathrm{n} .1,10 \mathrm{is}$ ．

ल． 733 ： $13 \%$
＊． xl ： 4837.
Nhed：liden 112
11： $1:$ Vict．$\subset: 21: 1 / 14$.
 I．：．I：liti．



 I－ 1411.


 II．I（4．${ }^{3}$ ）．









$$
\therefore .7: 110 s .
$$

1．！11 ：13．it 11.1.


ㄷ．．3：tisi，I： $2=$
＂$\because$ 02：llixu． 1 ．




200 2.3 c． $32: 1421$.



$\therefore 1: 2$ ：13： 1.1322.

 ㄷ．11：13：21．
${ }^{1}$ This is the le：refermel to in the Ihak＂of N＂Mcolvtle＇s circular，hat it hardly













$\therefore$ 112：NiE．11．：

 Hi：3 11,1 ．

末心 11.2 .2.

 11111.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text {. .. } 1 . \text { II: Ilis! II. I. }
\end{aligned}
$$



－ $\bar{x}$ ： 71, フォ！

＊ 111 ： 11 in 1.4 .7 .8
$\therefore 11: 3!.7 \because .91$.

＊1：3：7：
＊11：！1．11．1．7is，1．лнiz．

$\therefore$ 1x ：※2．in．1，bin．
$\therefore 211$ ： $1711 . \therefore$
4． 3 ：形

－I－：ilt．

＊． 27 ：illi．
N．2！：इो
小．．．31－31：． 7.

ल．is：tillı．i．

＊．it：7－3．

＊．11：11：． 1.
－$\quad$ in：｜＂1．i．
$\therefore$ in：BEB，lint

$\because$ 行 娍
－II：剈，游

$\therefore$ ： $1=111 . \therefore$

＊，wi：lill $11 . \%$
－जै：沙。

－！1 $\overline{1}:$ ：
4.11

A．SV

（ 3 ）：： $4!4$.
（111）：오，ill
（10）：107． 1 に！
（1：3）：divl．line．
（1．i）：711．万lis．



（こ）：Nil． $1 \%$ ．
（2！！）： $11: 1: 1$

＊！！：


（4）：ジジ．

（111）：ت144 I ．． 71.
（11：：シッ，！1，オ1：－
（1：3）：汭4．潼11． $1 \%$
（11）： $71141-3.711$.
（1．i）：$\overline{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{H} 1$.

－！！：：lis！！ HI ．
-14 ：Biot．
$\because$ 4．5：fiか4，tiv！
$\therefore$ ？！！1 ：1：3：
$\because|11|$ ：－1！．


－11：：天品

－IEAT ：fitil．
－10！：＝ift．

－l：BR ：Hinl．III．
$\therefore$ 1：3i：12t．1：3．
－Iti：Itil．
Ith：fils．li，w．s．it．

$\because!2!: 1351 \mathrm{n} .1,163$.



 17： 11.3 .1322.
xベメvi




 14 Sli ．

 ：14．1：B：－1 4.
：$\because 3: 1$ 1．；11． 3.



 1：3：\％



1：3．7．I：3．11， $16=1$.
r．104：12：3．


＂1：1：3：1．

mol！ $11 . \mathrm{it}$
 1：3in．

 I．I．
1：3」，13＂，

．．．．$\because . ५$ ： $1: 8 \%$.


ध．．．न：1：3：3 п． 3
．．1．II：Mrien． 11.3
$\therefore$＂$\overline{3}: 1113$.
$3 \times \ddot{x} 391 \ddot{i} \quad 1 \quad 4.5137$.

$110: 3111.3,13: 26$.
1：3．7i．
$1 \geqslant 17$ ．

：in：11．im n．f．12：

．．，$\because, 17:$ H．3nit． 1114 n．I．



．． $1 \cdot \frac{4 x}{}$ ： $1: 37 \mathrm{ti}$ ．
c． $5!!$ ：1：3：5n． 1.
40 \＆ 12 V̈̈t．r．33： 132.5 n． 3.
c． 177 ： $131!9$.




尃长













 11：1 п．
 6．5．2．הз．1：3：4，1：3，1，1．51：3．








lii）：l：3：－in，is．
11：1：3：1．




II． $2.1+11.1$ ．
11．$\because$



－．．r．3：3： 37.3.
n． 1.

 n． 1 ．
$\therefore \because: 12-10: 11$.

心hord．s．：：sin n．I．
－3： $57111 . \therefore$ ．
$\therefore 4$ ： $170 \ldots . \%$
s． 6 ：：30．i．
s．1：3： 73.
$\therefore$ It：aten． 1.
s． $34: 73$
$\therefore 34$ ；11ら』．

T．IBLE OF ACTS（ITED）
s．s．ivii
$\therefore 12$ ： $8: 3711.1$.
$\cdots$ …t－6：1：3：3．
$\therefore 131: 13.3$.
－ 177 ： 112 n ． ．
$\therefore 711$ ： 131.
－ 71 ：7：3．4：31．
$\therefore$－$\because 2: 3,1: 34$.
×． 73 ：1：31 n．2．4：34．
s． 74 ：$\overline{3} 3$.
sichel．：1：35， $1: 8.2$.
 $13 \times 2.134 \times 1$.




$$
\because \therefore: \quad 11 \times 1,11 \times \bar{\circ}
$$

$\therefore$ に．7：3：t2：3．

．．．．$\because 14: 137$.
ㄷ．3：：137．
1．3．7： 13.3.






1．3：39 11.


$\therefore$ 73：1314．
$\therefore \mathrm{Ni}$ ：2！s．
ㄷ． 90 ：2！
$\therefore$ 110 ：1：32．
$\therefore$ Hiti： $1201-7,1214$ ．




$\therefore 121$ ： 1.52 .511 .2.
s． 4 ff ：1： $\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{z}$ ．
ㄷ． 48 ：13．3i3．






＂is foict $\because: 3:$ tamernded loy ti：3 d

 11．－．I：3：－lisit．


 rhapr．ii，passim，1．849，l．54．
s． 3 ：sim．

 120s，1215，120！
$\therefore 16: 1,1411$,

（i）\＆i．s． $\mathrm{i}: 364$.
－－$-\operatorname{lin}$ ！пыs．
$\therefore \therefore$ ：t．：$\quad$ illıl．

；：
1月：lis．



$\because 41: \quad \pi=2=4$.

$-45: 13: 3$.
$\therefore$ 1！： 115 п．．

（i）： $121 . \times 37, ~ N: 3, ~ s i o$.
（ii）：7Hi， $17 \mathrm{~F}, 1499 \mathrm{n} .1$ ．
（iv）：： 16.
 1ミす！！，1：いい。
（111）：flil，11！！！n． 1.
（viii）：N心．
（i）：H111．s：5\％．1199 п． 1.
（1）：1114．シ1\％．11s！4 n．I．
（い）：＊心．Ita！II．I．
（（xii）：s17．H！！！п1．I．
（いけ）：II！！！！I．I．

（xvi）：sls．
（xviii）：sls．

（x）：未ls，st：

（いい）：81\％．
（xavi）：11！！！ 1.1.
（Axvii）：f1月，sls，s：\％，Il！！ i1．I．
（Nwiii）：f（6）．sks， 11908 n．$t$.


（xxi）：הlo．




（wnvi）：slo．
（いxvii）：\＄17．sls，sid．
（xxxiii）：slo．
（xxsix）：sl\％．


n．iti：112 n． 1 ．

$\therefore . \therefore$ ：lalन．



$\therefore 163: 1.51) \mathrm{n} .1$ ．

$\therefore$ fi．）： 80.

## xxxvii T．JDLE OF ACTS（ITTED


－tis＂：！lidit．！
－12！：İGこ．

$\because \because: 1: 334.1: 311$.
－$\because 3$ ：Siv．мन．
 1：3い。


$\therefore$＂7：\－7i．1：37，
－is：nili．
$\therefore$ All：NनI；ज．7．
… M；：7！1，जi4．
$\therefore$ ज7：7！1，siヶ，！！23．

－！！：ぶ心

$\therefore$ ！

$\therefore$（lal）：！ 11 ．
$\therefore$ InE：！ $1 \mathrm{H} \%$ ．
$\therefore 1114:$ ： 113 ．
$\therefore \mid 11.5$ ：！ 1 ！ 4 ．


－llm：sl：i．sl！！．－2：


－11：：Nli．！日，
$\therefore$ ． 113 ：a 13 ，！（1）．
 1：10．3．
$\therefore 115: s!4$, （HI）．
s．llf：Nlt．！ни．
$\therefore$ 117：… N1t．（m）：！！



$\therefore$ 1：1：！ハ心．
$\therefore$ 12：3：！ 1 ss．

$\therefore 125: 7!2$.



 n．1，1：3：3．5 n．I．
 14tifin． 3 ．

$$
\because, 2!1: 430,1: 324
$$

1：：il famended I A．：



！1：11．111．ィ．：3：：1：321．
$\therefore$ Eidn．111． 1. 1：： $1417.1418,15+2$. ध．11：1：0．2n． 1.
с． 1.7 ：135．5 11.3.





$\because \quad . \quad \because 110: 132 \pi n$.

$$
\therefore \because s: 1: 37 \% \text { n. }
$$

ध．17：1：17 п． 1.


．．$\quad . \quad 1.7: 1535$
．．．．$\because$ ：：Зスィ．

－ $1.12=$
©．s： $11.5 n . \pi$ ． $4 \%$ ．
$\therefore 4: 41.1 .4 .2 .2$
－110：！ati n．$\because$.

－II：stn．1．l．i：n．I．
－．13：！．．111！． 1.
－1t：s1 п．1．3世，，1．779n． 1.

$\therefore 17: 18 \%$

$\therefore 1!1: 31:$ ： $157-!1$.


－．！！
$\therefore=1-4$ ：－
… 32 －in！：！ $10!1-1 ; 3$.
↔．33：！
ء．34：：

$\therefore \therefore .41 \because:-511114$.
$\therefore$－ $\boldsymbol{3}$ ：！！


\＆．5：：3．5n． 1,1124 ．


s． 64 ： $1 \because 2 \mathrm{n}$ I，1015．
－． $6.5: 1013$.
－s．tix，fily ：！fio．


－5．8．5－！ 11 ：！ 711.
s． $\mathrm{S!}$ ：！！\％．t．
$\therefore$ ！ 11 ：！ 174.
$\therefore$ © 12 ： 174.
s．！！j－11 $5: ~ 5178-81 .^{2}$




＊． $11111-1: 1 ; 3+11$


s．İs ：in： 3 ．
N．．I：30．liol ：！ns．
s． 1333 ：！ 1 （N）．
$\therefore$ 1．36：！ 141 ．

－I 3 si ：！14：3．！！！
－130：：！ 184.
Ns． 1 iti－li：！nt．

$-1+s: 30|=3| 1:$,
s． $14!1: 519111.1$.
$\therefore$ litt：！！！4－1i．


Nrhed．：｜flix -2. lliz：
9 Vdu．Vll．（．Is：İBl．
 n． 1.


 1328 n ． 1.
$1 ; 3: s \mathrm{~s}$ u．$\ddot{1}$.
1328 n.
 $13: 8$ ， 1620.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { e. } 41 \text { : } 1+i=2\}
\end{aligned}
$$


 under liecime ot（onlons fomm that $A(t): I t \sum^{2} ;$

## （ 1.1 .11 ）． 1


1843，（• 1．j：13：311．1：377．
r． $1 \| i j: 1+21$ ．
IStiv，（c．！（ $)$ ：（is．
18tio，r．11t：13：3！11．1．．．．Imperial

1547，（•． $13: 10 ; 32$.
184！c．1：3：19．1：31\％．11：3．
－．193：13：34．1：35 11
－107：10：，

IN：I，c．1न： $1+4 \mathrm{~N}$ ．
lאivt，（： $2: 1+4 \times$ ．
18．íi，c＇，1f1）：5＊フ n．I．
c． $1+1$ ：14：う．
185\％．c．22： 183.
c． 24 ： 357.

14．！！，••1：3！！：112．．

｜mil，1．｜1，：｜11：31．
$\because 1: 1+1$ ：



$\therefore \quad . \quad 1 . \ddot{1}:$ fil．｜lỉl．
．．．． $1 \therefore$ 位：IInt．

．．．，＂．ix：I1！11 II．I．
．．．．1．ㄷi：F：I．
ッ．．．．！！：1：il．
 － $13.11 .$.

$$
\cdots \quad . \quad 1: 2: 3: 1+1 ; i
$$



$3: 3$ V̈int．． 3 ：li．I：－11．I，｜lil（ $-:=: i)$



31 Vír．1：IIV，



－．． $1.11: \overline{0}, 1111$ ．

 1－iるt 11． 2.





t1）Vír． $1 .: 1: 120$ ．

130゙2 11.


ii ．．．（＂．12：F1F．
+1 Virt．r．lif：liन̈，






4．）Vít．©． $4: 3.5 \%$.
：，．．（．，21）：1：31\％．


41 lít．$\because, 30$ ：137

47 Vi （t． $1.15: 313$.

（．ड）：10．51 n． 1.

## TABLE OF ACPIS ITTED



limencil bill：IIN．

1．11：311．
$1 \cdot 11: 11 i=7$ ．



．．．．ध．31）：112！！．
．．．． $1:$ ：3：：THO





－$\quad$－ $2:=$ int







$\therefore 17:$ Tit．




－ース •11！！

．，c．3：7І11n．

．．．．«1．：7に．
－．．$\cdot .37: 111!115: 3$.




－1．33：1：2：3．

－3：：lu：！
1 1：1い．V1I．с．13： $3!+11$.

 e．is：713．


11． 2.5 ．




TdsElw．VII．c． 11 ：HIG！

$$
\therefore 1.5: 31:-10
$$




（．1．5：1353 п．I．

s

（ 115 ： 1118.
ㄷ．Is：lisis．
©．：7（amembed by
1 dシー． ㄷ．2心：18．27．


－•11（amminlal hy
d：ロ̈ッ． 1

$\because$ 1年1：1：13．
$\therefore$ lis： 1102.
1 』：

ジ：1121．
Rictived Nomutes

ㄷ．H：siz．




－$\because 131 ; 110=7$
－$\because 14: 1: 37$.
ル．$\because 141101: 3 \pi$.
1！111，に 3：11！！п．3．
．，$\because .15: 17$.

．－$\quad 11$ ：：： 1 нi．
$\because \quad \because \cdot 4:$ ！a n n． 1.
－ $10.0: 11 s t$.

－1．心：「inn． 1 ．
－ $4 .+1-3: 1: 31,1269$.
（． 1.5 ： $6,411.1$ ．
©．1i：：－ 13.5 ，ititi．

1．$\overline{5}$ ：！！ 14 ！ 1 ． 1.
c．si：11．in．
－．ध．！！：10゙に．
－r．！！：Jinn． 1.
C．111：f111 n． 1.


〔．13！：102：2n．2，I337，1360．
c． $141: 134!1 \mathrm{n} .1$ ．
1．14：：IH．16：
$\because$ It6：！！al，n．1， 132 s н． 1.
135s n1．1i，111：3n．＋（s．lutis）．


## （NTIAlilo

## $10 \%$


シ̈ ．．\＆ $3: 451.694,735$.
311 me．厄． $4!1:$ ：33i
：3i Vict．c．：： $1: 2$
．．．． $1.1: 1$ 1
．． 1.31 ：：7．



1：Vict． $1.2:$ ：
．．，r．t：．nl：пn．I．
．．，と．1！：7いこ。

4t \jet．י．11： $73!3$.
4．：Vicu．є．1：7：3！．
4i Vict． 1 © I 11 ： 733.
4s Vict．c．13：1ibi，
al Vict．e．a ：kitis．




＂＂，$\because$ It（ma lice．Stat．，180\％， $\therefore$ Zss）：（īs．
is Vict．•，35：：37．



$\because \quad \because \quad$ ध． $\bar{\circ}: \overline{7}$

c．1！：$\overline{\text { it }} 7$ ．
s Edw．VII．с．$\because:$ ：
＂＂，$\quad 3: 4$ 而．
＂
 jut．

．，＂$\quad$＂家：


4 l：dw．V＇11．ィ．1！1：7．fs，8．）n． 1.
，．1．ご心：13：3．
r． 1.
10 E．dw．VII．．．3：lizn n．t．


1（éo．V．e．3：iot．
．，．，c． 48 ：7：31，1024．
lictiarll statules



＂$\quad .4!$ ：$\overline{-81} 11.1$ ．
＂ $1.173: 133,124 \mathrm{H} .1$ ．

## かしだにぱ

． $1 \%$


：31014． $1,13: 1 \approx 2$.
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## PART I. INTRODE'TOR

## ('HAP'TER I

## ORI(GIN AND HIS'ORS OF RESPONSIBLE (GODERNALENT


Is isto. when responsible goverment may be said to commence, there were prevailing two main principles of law with regard to the position of the Brition Cobmies. I ${ }^{\text {a }}$ the first place, it was held bey the C'rown lawyers that it was not possible to deprive an Einglishman of the inestimable advantages of buglishlaw, and that therefore if loe settled in parts abroad which were not muder a legitimate foreign sovereignts, he carried with him so much at least of the English law as was appropriate to the vircmotames in which he fomed himerff: But obvionsty the mete carrying with hime of the provisions of suld law would mot have beon adequate to meet the ciremmatanere of a new Colomy. It was impossible to expert the Parliament of Ehyland to leqislate cffectively for distant tertitories comerning which it had, and could have. ins information, and it was therefore necessary that there should be passed by some competent ant harity legislation adapted to the neds of the new colony: But if an Englishman carred with him English law, it was a fixed principle of that law in the late sixteenth and the

[^1]screnteenth centuries, when eolonial settlements beeame of importance. that the money of the subjeet could only be voted by a representative legislature, and that the laws of Enghand could only be changed by a similar legishature. The ('rown lawers. therefore, adopted the view that the King had the power in any Colony by settlement (this was the technieal term adopted) to empower the Governor, or ot her representative of the Crown, to make laws for the peace, welfare. and good government of the settlement, with the advice and consent of a council which acted both as a legislative and executive authority and of an Assembly whieh consisted of the whole or the major portion of the frecholders of the colony. It was not in the power of the ('rown to legislate for such a Colony with the advice of a nominee Council only, though it was never decided in the Courts to what extent the people must be represented in the Assembly: as a matter of fact, the representation in e ary ease was a decidedly liberal one.

Th. other primeiple which guided the lawers of the day was : be doctrine then prevalent at international law of the absolute power possessed by a conqueror over the people of the country he eonquered, an idea applied also to eases of eession. In their view, as the conqueror was not bound in international law even to spare the lives of those who were overeome by him, so he need not accord them any eivil rights whatever. and what he did atecord was his to grant and to take away. Thence followed the doctrine that the (rown has uncontrolled legislative authority over the eonquered or ceded ('olony. ${ }^{1}$ But it would be a mistake to suppose that this status was considered a specially desirable one, even from the royal point of view, especially if, as was the eave with the Colonies early so aequired, there was a chance of white settlement: in those eases the Crown was ready and willing to grant a constitution of the same liberal type as had been necessarily granted to Colonies which had beel acruired by set thement. Nothing. perhaps, can illustrate ${ }^{1} 2 \mathrm{I}$. Will. 5 ; Smith v. Broun, 2 Salk. 666; Betumont v. Barrett. 1 Moo. P. C. at p. $\overline{5}$; Cameron r: Kyle, 3 Knapp, at p. 340 .
more strongly the fore of this view than that in lifise on the eession of Frenela (anada, the Royal Commission to the Captain-General and Governor-in-Chicf of the Province of Quebece contemplated the calling together for purposes of legistation of the freeholders of the provine althomg it was eantionsly provided in the instructions that in the meantime. until the condition of affairs allowed this to be done, the Governor could legislate with the adviee of the Council with which he was asworiated in the Ciovermment. It might, howewr. have been thought that if sueh grants. of fasour comld be made they could also be taken away. hout that view, which was certainly the natural ome, was timally disposed of by the decision of the case of ('amplefll $x$. Hell.' when it was laid down after long delay and much hesitation, but in decisive 1 ems, by Lord Manstield, that a grant of a representative comstitution could not be recalled, and that the legislative power of the Crown in respeet of a comquered or ceded colony departed when the ('rown had granted such a constitution, imbers, indeed. the Crown had reserved a right of revocation in the instrment by which the constitution was granted. The decision rests on no very intelligible gromed of law. but in point of expedience it was certainly deserving of approval.
liom these principles flowed the reenlt that the lmperial Parliament had soon to be invoked for the purpose of wereming the establishment of suitable legislative artangements: in the Colonies. If a Colony were aequired bey settlement, the only constitution which could be granted was one which had a lower homse eleeted by the freeholders. of at any rate by a considerable part of the frecholders. for the exaet nature of the franehise was not defined by any judgement of a eourt, and the (rown had some latitude in settling the details of the franchise. Even if a Cokny had been aequired by conquest, if the (rown had hestowed npon it

[^2]a representative ronatitution, that constitution conld not hereralled hy the power which had granted it, and therefore an Improvial Act was needed to sereme the reversal of a poliey which might have proved imprudently generons. Thus it has resulted that in many rases the comstitutions of the solf-governing parts of the Empire rest on lmperial enatments and not on the roval prorogative. whether exerecised in the shape of the creation in a settled (bonly of a miniature of the lmperial constitation. or in the shape of the grant be a legislative Art of a constitution to a Cony acentired heronguest or cession.

Thas: in the vase of Canada the provisions of the Royal 'ommission of 1763 were allowed to remain a dead better: an Ascembly was indeed convoked pro forma, hut was never allowed to assemble : ${ }^{1}$ moroover, the regmirement that members of the Assembly were to take the oathe of allegiance and supremadey and make the declaration against transubstantiation was a hopeless drawhack to ane possibility of summoning a legishature on the lines contemplated by the Royal ('ommission, which indered was a docmonent havdly defended by any one and for which all seemed to desire to awod accopting responsibility: Acrordingly a purcly nomine begishature was estahbished for ('anadat in $17 \%$, hy the Act If (ieo. 11l. e. 83. The transition to representative govermment took phace in 1791, when the Act 31 (ieo. 111. c. 31 divided ('anada into two provinces and provided each province with the full apparatus of a legishature, consisting of a Governor, a comeil, and an Ascombly. The same principle prevailed in $18+1$. when the Cnion Act of that year, $3 \&+$ Viet. $\operatorname{c} .3$. 3 . mited the two provinces muler a representative legislature. hat simmaneonsly a new start was given in constitutional history hy the emmeriation and adoption of the principle of responsible government.

Of the other provinces of the Dominion, Nova Scotia received a legislature of the usual beameral type in $175 \mathrm{~s},{ }^{-2}$

[^3]under the royal prerogative to create a legislat ture in a act ted (oolony: hefore that date, from 1713 the (eowemment had been administered and legislation carried by a fovernor or Lieutemant-Cowernor, with the aid of a Commel which was at once a legislation and ant exceutise bedy, how the creation of an Awembly followed upon the realization of the fact by the limprial (eovernment, on the advied of the law ofticers, that the legislation power of the ('rown in the Province could probably not lagally be exareised unles. an Assembly was summoned. The in land of Prine Edward, once part of the Province of Nova Scotia, was given a reparate Lieutenant-Governor and a Comencil with exceutive and legislative functions in 1869, and for the same reasons as in the ease of Nowa seotia itself an Ascembly was called into being and met in 1 ia3. ${ }^{2}$ In list the Provine of New Brunswick was created with a Comoril which, as minal, mited legislative and exceutive functions and an Assembly. In both these cases the authority yon which the constitution was based was the power of the (rown to summon miniat ure Parliaments in the colonies.? Responsible govemment in all there followed the creation of it in C'anada, and was fully established in Nova Scotia and New Brunswick in 1sta and in Prince Edward Island in 1850-1.

In the ease of the territories which now constitute the Province of British Columbia, and which were long in the hands of the Hudson's Bay Company, Vaneonver Island was created as a Crown Colony with a nominee legislature in the year 1849, but in 1850 an Assembly was called, despite the insignifieant population of the island. ' $n$ 18is ${ }^{3}$ the tertitory on the mainland known as New ('asedonia was made into a Crown colony, in consequence of the intlux of inhabitants thither as a result of the discoweries of gold. In $1866^{4}$ the mainland and the island were united under the single title of British Columbia, and a legislature of the usual non-representative type was ereated. But,

[^4]

[^5]
 the Dominion atal the Province alike:
'The history of the remaining l'anadian Provinces is perenlias. It was the aime of the Federal (eovermment to sereure the control of the vast lands which were incheded in the grants to the Ihudsones Bay (ompatile and the hmperial (iowermment were anxions to assist them in this attempt. An hmperial Act of lses: ateordingly provided for the aceeptane hy the (rown of the surventer of the chartered companys hands, puivileges, and rights, and term. of surrender were arranged with the (bandian Cowern ment in the following vear, whike ant Order in Comeil of Jume 3o. 18:0, deelared that the North-west Ferritory and Rupert's Land should formpart of the Dominion of ('anada. Provision was also made hy Imperial legiskation of 187 l to make clear the right of the ('allathan Parliament to establish mew provinces in the bominions, and to legislate in such manner as it thought fit for the govermment of parts of North Ameriea which were not inchaded in any provine of the Donainion. In virme of the powers thas confermed ('anadian legisfation of 1850 extahlished a mew provinee in the shape of Manituba, with a fully-developed (iovermment eomsiving of a Licutemant-(iovernor with a Comeil and an Assemble: the (iovernment being conducted on the prineiphes of responsible gowermment. The rest of the teriteries remained for years under a (rown Colony form of administration. but in $19 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{s}}$ two new provinces. Alberta and Saskatehewan, were formed by ('anadian Acts and granted reaponsible goveriment.

Newfoundand was long treated not as a folony at all. hat as a mere temporary place of resont for fishermen from England. and every attempt was made to diseondage anything like permanent rettlement. Thas. so lar as law was enfored at all. it was administered by ofticers: appointed in

[^6] lmperial Aer were moditiod so as to allow of the exereise of the prerogative athe the reation of a representatior legishature of the ordinary type with a (ouncil and Asombly. In 18ing responsible gowernment was timally eoneeded.

In dastralia at first the setelements were treated as little more than eombid stations, and the fowernor ruled as he pleased and made what regulations he pleased. The growth of pophlation and the settlement of free men soon rendered this state of affats intpossible. and in $181!$ it was definitely recognized that the only mamer in which to enater new laws was by some form of legislature. It was clearly impossible to call all Asembly, which was the only power a vailathe to the Crown. and the course of passing an lmperial Act was therefore adopted in 1 ses. Under this det and a charter of justiee iswed in the same vear. the legislative power was exrered by a mominere (ommeil, and this ('ouncil wise eonfirmed by the Aet of 1 ses. ${ }^{-2}$ wheh placed the (iovernment of New Sonth Wales on a more definte basis. In $18 t^{3}$ the prineiple of representative govermment was introdued in the masual form of the creation of a ('ouncil onethird nominee and two-thirds elective, while in 1 siont the legishature was allowed. by an Imperial Aet of that year, to alter its constitution by substitnting two houses for one It did so in an Act 17 Vict. So. 41 , which went beyond the powers actually conterred in some regards, and was therefore confirmed with moditieations by the lmperial dit $18 \& 19$ Viet. c. 54 and at the same time responsible government was introdnced into the colong: In the ease of Tise mania, at first a dependency of New South Wales, a nominee legislature was created in 18.2 .5 mader the authority of the mperial Act of 1823 . 'That body, thongh enlarged in 1842 , remained nominee until 18.5 , but the Council of two-thirds

[^7] Clected and one-thind mod inere members sed up in that peat exereved the power of ereating two homses areorded them


 of New south Wiales mitil s.int, the Aet of that vear ereateal " lepislature of the sambe tape ar that mbsisting in New
 of ercating a Parliament with two chanthers hy a Bill which
 Quconsland, also a pati of Nen South Whales, received a constitution of the nsual bicameral type in lsiat, with rexponsible governatent forthwith. South Anstralia hass a reparate history: migimating in $1 \mathrm{~s}: 3 \mathrm{t}$ as an experiment in free settement, it was governed by a momitnere fommoil
 amtherity given beve the lmperiall det of lsime al Come il of twenty-four members. che-thirel only nominere, whike in lxiai th hy further exerefe of the power given be the Act of lson, the Legislature was reconstituted on the usual bieameral limes and responsibhe government vame into forere. In Weatern Anstialia a mominee Commeil exinted in virtite of various limperial Acts until lstis, when a represeltative clement was int roduced, and in 1 sote, in virt ne of the lmperial Act of 1 sion, the (bumeil berame chective as 10 twothirds of its mumbers. In lsse the ('ommeil pissed an Act cotablishing and ordinaty hicameral constitution, which wate contiomed by an limperial Aet of 1890 , and responsible govemment became a fret accompli.

In the vase of New Zailathd there was little real weganiontion of povernment motil. bey limperial Act of Isin, : f'rown (oblaty form of Cowermment was institnted. Nillurally that did not in any way please the perphe there, and ath Imperial Act of $185^{\circ}-$ was intended to ereate an chaborate form of government with a central Legislature, which whomble
 represemtative hat the members of the Conncile were to be



 tative government with a lew compliatled comstitution，alll ome which abolished the eommexiom ol the erontral and heral
 stillion repmosihle grevemment was intrumed in ls．as di．

## 

 has bern from at represontative form of envermolent the
 land there was ble perion of represontatior posernment，hat
 passed though twe experione bedh of（＇rown Cohnt adminio－


 pessesesion of a mominated legintatmre，but mathe of the xtatesmen who formed part of the tirat indminiathation had had experionere of solf－gncomment vither in the（iape of in the lormer＇Pramsiat and Orange Free state Republic－ In the vase of Manitohat the tramsition was from the comions and indefinite rule af the Hudemis Bay Companye th ordinary repomibhe govemmemt，and in that cater all thome Who formed the Gewermment had had experience of rexpme sible govermment in other parts of（＇imatat．

But it womld be a mistake to assimme that representative growement normally rentts in ath adrane for repobsible
 respeasible frowemment has beon ant als：ane form at pedminary perind of reprexplative geremment are su important as to cemse the impression that repreantative instithtions arre a stage lowarla repromsible govermment． in puint of fiact the cises of retpogresion atre at least an mmerous．For cxample，damaica，after tho centurice of
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 ally whe recuraizei is a fall tly Wias reeogaized as a fall ('olonys.
Britisil (inianta, after longe dispontes with tho lmprorial


 froneral matters, but coen in tintancial mattors the promer





[^9]

the perner cometitiontal on the exintrome of al (ivil Lial. Whith

 Briti-h Fimpire omly the Bahamas, Babladdo. and Batmmeta,

 money vote: in Brommet the fratiore has trent amme-
 10, deal with the atimattem in und bucly ammally. Amt the perncre eorld br resumed at any time; while in Bathado-
 rewhation of timancial athministrations. umbler whict a buty
 of the (Envernor as chaimath, the members of the Vixereltive
 members of the Hewne of A-vombly who atre meminated by the Gowernor. This tmely introdnee all monley vole pre-

 mistahle in chatracter, tendine on the ome hatal to develop inte fill welf-govermment, and on the wher hame lo lall
 as well as the Exerotive is comt rolled hy the ('rown. It would he premat ure to prombmer that the sivelem of reprexptative
 tion of the relation- of the Eixerutive and the Lagi-latmer it has existed amed still exivt in certain part of the world and his worked with mombe surecos. Bot it i- lair tor sils Hat in the British Fimpier it has bevor heren a fortmatto experiment. It has heren lounst imposible tor reone ile the
 from outade with the legi-lature of the date. The lacgia-
 total inatrility to areme the adoption of a pritiey in sempal hamony with its droires and ams, while on the other hand the Execontive Govermment, lored to rely upon the Lexis-

[^10]lature for the preater portion of its permiaty resomeres, has
 body ower which it has be ceffecient cont rol. (iowermors have repeatedlyattomptedtogevern byelying on frequent diswohtions. but this policy has of comes seldom beron surecesfal. and in the main tente todefeat itsown ams bex exasperating the representatives of the peophe and the anstitneme ies ber which they are retmoded. Ender the eiremmstances the existonere of at strong Execoltive is impossible. and the bankruptey of the system waseren strikingly in the rebellions of $1 \times 35$ and 1 s:3s in Lower and Cpher (anada, and similarly in the growing weakness of the (iovermment of Jamaie:a, which ender in the rixing of Istis among the negro popmat tion. ${ }^{1}$ As might be expeeted from the wakness of the Gosormment, the rising was put down with bunceressary
 the depression callsed by the abolition of shavery had led to a \& Latse comstitutioniald revisis the Assembly refusing to rote supplies allul coldeaboming to antorer sweeping reductions in establishments withont comprasation to the dixplated oflierors.
 smipend the comstitution. but the Bill then intronhered was defeated. alld though harmo? was restored temporatily in Isit hy a measme of responsible gevermment, after the

 existing form of govermment to mert the viremmstances of the commmaty. alld the neressity of making some swereping
 The legishature willingly abrogated all the existing machinery of hegislation. allul heft it to Her Majestys (iowemment to shbstitute ally other form of goserument which might be Better suited to the altered ciremmstances of the Cobloly. While rhanges in the eomstitution hatse shere taken place in the direction of greater representation of the people,


the Commeil is stili composed of a majority of oflicial mombers. althomgh mulesis the matter is derelared to be of pressing importane hy the (iosermot, on certain questions the elected members are allowed to derede the isime.

## 

The introduction of reponsible eronemment is insepatal, commerted with the natlue of Latel Durham and his repore ${ }^{\prime}$ of Jan. 31. 1s:39, on the comdition of $\mathbf{f}$ 'andiad. whither he went as:
 the abotive rebellions in beth Epper and Lawer ('analia had proved the batheryptey of the existing system of government. In meither prosince had the sebleme of representative government bern in the least suleressful. The Exerentive
 gramse in the shape of the hereditate (rown revolues and

 the meansof future reveltues. On the of her hatul. the Laeriva-
 it, the Lagislative (ommeil. was elearly and wholly ont of sympathewith the other bemelh of it, white fomm members of the Legishative (ommeil the (fowemor anerepted alvier as to his exerotive atems. Theremht was comstant friedion, amidet Which the provinces faiked atterly to progress. cont mating very st ramgely with the states of the American Cuion to the south of the boder-line. and invitugr invidions comments. Every possible devier was tried to wereme the frietion: Governors were conciliatory, (ionernoms were dietatorial, but both policiex signally failed. amd Lomel Dudam fomm himself in the face of complete berektown of all constitntional govermment: in Lewer ('anadia, inderd, as the result of the rebellions. the constithtion had been recelled by an Imperial

[^11]Act whieh permitted the Governor-Genemal to legislate with the adviee of a commeil smmoned by himself.

It is no doubt easy to show that the eoneeption entertained hy Lord Durham differed very considerably from responsible government as moderstood in 1911, and that he werestimated the advimtages of the measure as a perfeet and final rettlement of all colonial difficultices. Lord burham"s vision was imperfect. but he said enongh to establish his clatim to have seen more clearly. and to have expressed more artienlately than any of his eontemporaries the solntion for the difficulties then confronting government in C'mada. The sulstantial correctness of his views is shown by the faet that in its cssence his exposition of the eharacter of responsible govermment might be arcepted even at the present diay : in rejeceting the proposed solntion of the constitntional question by the expedient of an elected Execontive Council, an idea which has analogies in the eall: history of English constitutional govermment, he wrote:-

Every purpose of popular aontrol might be combined with every advantage of vesting the immediate choice of advisers in the (rown were the colonial Governor to be instructed to seenme the co-operation of the Assembly in his policy by entrosting its administration to such mein as conld command a majority, and if he were given to moderstand that he need eomit on no aid from home in any difference with he Assembly that shoild not direetly involve the relations hetween the dother Comentry and the C'olony.

No alteration in the conditions haid down in this passage has been mate sinee : the only point in wheh changes have taken place is with regard to the further and more complete carrying out of the principles which were there emmeiated. Lord Durham gave a list of matters in whieh he considered Imperial interference justified: this list contains only ' the constitution of the form of govermment, the regnlation of foreign relations, and of trade with the Mother Country, the other British (obonies and foreign nations, and the disposal of the public lands: In all other matiers the colonists shonld have a free hand, as they were the most interested in their own administration and legislation, and were those
on whom the results of unsatisfactory government first recoiled. He laid special stress on the necessity of leaving to the loeal (iovermment all patronage, a recommendation not altoget'rer palatable at a time when, despite vigotous diselamers, posts in the Colonies were a recognized way of disposing of yomber sons for whom no other amplorment conld deeently he found. To his list of execptions to the rule of self-government must of comese be added military and naval atfairs, which he maturally, at a time when two risings lad been put down with the aid of Imporial troops, assumed to be matters for lmperial control. The omission of questions affecting the natives is probably to be attributed to the faret that the question of the rights of the Indians dirl not present itself as of much consequence in the provinces which he deemed to be ripe for self-government at the time of his visit to the Dominion.

The Imperial Govermment were in no hury to adopt in their full form the proposals of Lord Durham in favour of responsible government, but in his instructions to Mr . ('. Poulet Thomson when he went out as Governor, Lord John Russell took. On October 16, 1839, ${ }^{1}$ the important step of annoumeing that the principal offices of the colony would not be considered as being held !y a tenne equivalent to one during good hehavionr, but that the holders would be liable to be called upon to retire whenerer, from motives of public policy or for other reasons. this shonld be fonnd expedient. A further definition of responsible govermment was arrived at after the Comstitution Act of Istor re-nutited the two ('anadas and placed them ats a unit under ono (iovernorGeneral. On September 3. 1st1. Mr. Harrison smimitted to the Legislative Assembly of ('anada, in sulstitution for a set of resohutions proposed hy Mr. R. Baldwin. a series of resolutions whieh define as follows the system of government:-

The head of the Executive Government of the Province being within the limits of his (iovermment the representative of the Sovereign is responsible to the Imperial anthority
${ }^{1}$ Parl. Pop.. H. C. 621, 1848, p. 5 ; ef. Figerton and (irant, C'muedian" Comstilutional History, yp. :biti sey.
alone, but that nevertheless the management of our local affairs ean only he conducted by him ly and with the assistance, counsel, and information of subordinate officers in the Provine : ( 2 ) That in order to preserve between the different branches ${ }^{\text {f }}$ the Prowincial Parliament that harmony which is cesential to the peace. wolfare and grod government of the Province. the chiof advisers of the reprecentative of the sowereign ronstituting a provincial administ ration under him ought to be possessed of the confidenee of the representatives of the people, thus affording a mamantee that the weflunderstood wishes and interests of the people which our Eracious Sovereign has declared shall be the rule of the Irovincial devermment will on all occasions be fathfully prpresented and adrocated: (3) That the people of the Province have moreoser a right to expert from such prowincial administ mion the exertion of their best efforts that the Imperial anthority within its constitutional limits whall be exerefised in the mammen nost consistent with their well-maderstood wishes and interest.

Mr. Baldwin proposed a further resolution to assert the constitational right of the Asembly to hold the provincial administration rexponsible for using their best efforts to procore from the lmperial authorities that their action in mattors afferting fimadian interests should be exereised :th a simikur regard to the interests and wishes of the ( madian people. But this resolntion was manimonsly rejected after debate. It ram, in fact, comnter to the dispatch from Lord . Wohn Rusceli of October 14. 1s:39, in which he somewhat rehemently denied the possibility of full ministerial responsibility in Canath. He asserted that the prerogative in the United Kingdom Was now always excreised on adviece but that could not be the case in ('anada, for C'anadian ministers conld not advise the ('rown, for the ('rown had other advisers for the same functions, and with superior anthorits. This was obvious in the rase of forcign war and international relations, whether of thate or of diplomares, but it applied also even to internal relations, for no Imperial fowermment could actuiesee in the state of athairs which existed in Lower Canada under Mr. P'apineau,

[^12]when British officers were pmished for doing their duty, British emigrants: were defratuded of their property, and British merchants discouraged in their lawful pursuits. The Leegislature therefore claimed only what the Secretary of state conceded, full responsibility in local matters subjeret to the fact that the (iovernor was not responsible to them but to the Crown only.
Lord Sydenhan died of an accident before he could be called upon to realize the ideal of the Legislature. ${ }^{1}$ but his successor, Sir ('. Bagot, who had been Ambassador to Russia when the famous attempt of that Government to cham as mare elausum the waters of Belring seal ked to the protests of the United States and England, which were to be need with such effect hy the latter in the arbitration over the fur seals in 1894, did his best to live up to the maxims of the resolutions, and so did his.s.necersor, Lord Metcalfe, whose views of governnent, however, formed in India and Janaical. rendered him hare!ly an ideal selection for the post. He quarrefled with his. Ministry on a question of patronage: the (iovernment resigned, and with the greatest diffienty he formed a conservative administration and dissolved and appeated to the country. His high chatacter and his conerys secured him a majority, but he had ntterly disregarded the role of a const itutional Governor, ${ }^{2}$ and it was not unfort unate for his reputation that he had to retire through ill-health in 1845. The diffieulties with America over the Oregon boundary caused his sucecesor to be chosen for his nilitary qualities. but on Lord ('atheart's retirement Lord Elgin was chosen by the Whig administration for the post.

It was certainly Lord Elgin who first consistently applied the maxims of responsible goverment in practice. ${ }^{3}$ He was

[^13]determined to stand apart from any appearance of fatomring any one side in the combtry, and to aterept any measure which wats suggested by his ministers, mens it were of so extreme a party chatater that the Assembly or the perople wonld be sure to approve his refinsial. He had trombles to face: his first ministry, a fonsorvative one. was very weak, and he fombl it difficult to induee them to face Parliament, While they were mable to modertake any substamtial work becanse of the chances of defeat in the Assembly : he noted atse that the racial split was mhappy ; a fonservative administ ration meant British cont rol, a Liberal one a French dominion, and he wished for a consmmmation which has partly been falfilled in our time, the division of the Frened into two parties in some correspondence with the divisions in the British party: The principle which governed his action he thus described:-

I give to my ministers all comstitutional support, frankly and withont resorve, and the benelit of the best advice that I ran atford them in their difficulties. lan return for this I experet that they will, in so far as it is possible for them to do so, carry out my views for the maintenance of the commexion of Great Britain and the advancement of the interests of the Province. On this tacit molenstanding we have acted together hamonionsly inp to this time, athough I have never concealed from them that I intend to do nothing which may prevent me from working cordially with their opponents if they are foreed upon me. 'That ministries and oppositions shonld occasionally ehange phaces is of the very essence of our constitutional sistem, and it is probably the most conservative element which it contains. By sulijecting all seetions of politicians int their turn to official responsibilities it obliges heated partisalls to place some restraints on passion, and to confine within the bound of dereney the patriotic zeal with which Whell out of plate they are wont to be amimated.

Lord Elgin: prineiples were carried out in prate ice when, in March fsts, a vote of mo confidence by the Assembly led to the resigmation of his ministers: he made no attempt to keep them in office. and merely appointed a ministry from the opposition, which act he reported to the Secretary of

State, regressing the issule of the usual warmats for the appointments.! The art was a simple one. but it signified for the first time the aloption of ministerial responsibility : a dovernment which had worked harmonionsty with the Govermor had for the first time been ejeeted from office by a vote of the Legisfatnre, and the (iovernor had made no effort to reverse the popular decision. He was later to show his determination to aceept amy measure proposed hy the Government unfess he thonght it was disapperowed by the Assembly or the people. 'The question of the fosses camsed by the suppresion of the rebellion of Lower ('anada hate been the source of unending ill-fereling and tronble, and the new (iovermment in 1849 introduced a measure appropriating $\mathrm{E} 90,0100$ for the payment of chams hased on wanton damage and destruetion, exchuding from the benetit of the law persons ronvicted of treason. This modest measmre, which had been preceded by inquiries authorized by a ('onservative (iovernment in Lord Metealfes time, roused the 'lories to fury ; they sought to embarrass the (iosernorGeneral by dehging him with petitions to dissolve Parliament, or at least to reserve the Bill for the roval pleasure. Lord likgin might easily have evaded responsibility by adopting the second alternative. but he preferred the more courageous and statesmanlike course of assenting to the Bill. He pointed out that a dissolution might have led to a rebedion, but certainly would not have led to the reversal of the established policy, and to reserve the Bill would have involved the Govermment at home in diffieulties which it was not fair to cast upon them.2

Lord Eigin was rewarded for his courage by an attack on his person in Montreal and an attempt at home to secure the dixallowance of the Bill. But he had the eonsolation of seeing the satisfactory termination of a rexed question, and in the remaining years of his office he was instrumental in seemring one great boon for ('anada, in the shape of the reciprocity treaty with the United states in $18 i \operatorname{ta}$. He was
ake an intermediary with the Home (iovernment in the matter of t!e troxps, and wad his intherem againat the determination to make the (botony rely soldey on its own *trongth for defence purposes. He recognized the duty of the (iovernor-deneral to exercise a moderating effere on govermmental hitternes, to eomstitute himself the pat rom of "hacation, of monal and suckial efforts, and to widh an minchatrusive but pervading power for good in the Colony, and when lee left cianada he had given al dear and convincing 'xample of all that was bext in responsible government.

In the eatse of Nosa seotia the prineiphe of responsille gowernment had been adoped in theory contemporaneonsty with its aceeptance for Camada, but it was by no means at omee put into effere. In a dixpatth of November 3, 1st6, however, Earl Grey, in replying to a prizate communication from Sir dohn Harvey, laid down the prineiple that the Lientemant-fovermor should not dismiss his ministers, but allow them to be foreed intor resignation by back of suppert in the Legislature. He alson advised him that he shombd aceept the properats of his ministers unkess they seemed to be based merely on considerations of party advantage, but "rem in such cases the effinad must be conditioned by the fact that it entilled the ministers to resign, and that if the public supported them coneession to their views beeame indevitable, since it could not be too distinctly acknowledged that it was neither possible nor desirable to carry on the Covernment of any of the British Provineer in North Ameriea in opposition to the cpinion of the inhabitants. The Lientemamt-fovernor then proceded to endeavour to arrange al coatition on the basis of the Liberals bing offered four seats in the Comecil and one office, but that wate declined

[^14]on the gromed of the enfaimess of the proposal. The Liberal leaders pointed ont that from isfo to lsta they had left the ('onservatives to enjoy a majority of the seates and the posts, that the agreement had heen broken ip hy the action of the ('onservatives in $1 \times t: 3$ in engrossing seven seats in the Exerention (ommeil, and that ther had aceordingly abandoned their coalition, and that mow the Homse only supported the (iovermment hy one vote instead of their commanding three-fourthes of the members as hefore ista.
 forwarded to the Secretary of State eoppies of two memoranda hy his ('ometil which asked for a statement of the views of Earl (irey as to the mode of comblueting the (iowernment : they depreeated the adoption of fall self-govermment as moderstood hy theit rivals, experially Mr. Howe. and they songht to mantain the limited interpretation put on rexponsible govermment by Sir Gharles Metcalfe when GoveromorCeneral of (anada. When he asserted his refosal to rely. hindly on the advice of the Execotive romed or to smirrender the cont mol of pitronage into their hands, a view which had heen acecpled he the llonse of Aswemhly on Marelt $t$, 18itt, as a correct interpretation of the mole of responsible govermment. They then reforred to the faret that Lard lialkiand had comsistently refised to grovern with any hat a coalition ministry, and that when at the reloretons of lsta Mr. Howe, then a momber of the roalition. went to the combly derdaring for full responsihle govermment, he had herol defeated. and his shbseguent conduct in attacking tho Governor in his newopaper had rendered his appointment to offiee in : coalition impossihle. They also argoled from the poverty of the prowince that a large adoption of the changing of oftiecon womld work very hadly indeed.

Eall Cireys reply of Mareh 31. Isti.2 recapitulated the princijples of responsihle govermment which he thonght both parties really areopted. He laid stress on the necessity for the party on whose adviee the Lientenant-fovernor acted

[^15]having a majority in the Assomble and on the other hand
 the United Kinglom ley a premanent temure, while a limited momber of oftieers should be politieal offieors. vi\%, the Attorner-feneral, the Solicitor-fiencral. the Provincial Fereretary. and pessibly two more officers, and he advised that salaries be attached to two or threer places in the
 Moreoser. any political changes whir hergnimed the surrender of offeres hithertodermed to be permament shonld be aceonnpamied hey the grant of permsions.

In Tammary 18 sts the dispatelt from the Serevery of State was latel before the Lexishature and at the same time the attention of the Honses was called th the properals of the Imperial fowermment for the surrender of the 'rown revemes in return for the grant he Act of a ('ivil List. Tho Asombly asorted its approval of the primeiples emmerated in the dispateh. and promised to comsider the question of a (ivil List and then proceeded todefeat the Gevernment hetwentynine to twenty-two votes. The members of the Execntive fommeil tendered their resignations. with the exeeption of the Provincial Secretary. and, as the opposition derelined to take office withont being aceorded ats a politiond poost that of herectary. it was necessary to remowe the Secretary from office hy the exercise of the prerogative. In the case of the uther two politiend afticers, the Attornere (iencral and the solicitor-deneral, trouble was avoided hy their volmontary resignations. and the new (iovernment, on Fehruary s. Ists. asserted formally its concorrenee in the wiews of the Secretary of state as to the permanency of ordinary publie posts. The catablishment of responsible govermment was finally perfered he the clection of the Athormerefeneral and the Provincial Secretary for the constituencies lo whid they had smbmitted themselves after acoppting office. Mattors. however. were not pot disposed of as mhappily the new Gosermment insisted on the dismisal of the Treasimere. whoer peost it Was intended in divide into two, a Recoiver-tioneral and a Financial Secretary, without compensation to him for
lose of office．The lientenathefiovernor embeavored to induce them to reeronsidere the derisions．hat in vain，and ho then acophicoced in the rexillt with met making alle attempt
 his miniators．Ilis action was altacked in the lomperial
 defombed hey the seremtary of stater

In New Branswick aloo there was delay in ardopting the primeiphes of responsihle goveroment，to which，is Hsinl． the Lientemant－（envernor was not partial．But evelle in
 there was presented to the Lientemant－deromors．Nir lis．
 the Honse any divpateh from the Semperaryot stateremerding

 Sir John Harver was laid hefore the Ilomse，ablal on fobmany
 cleven．that it shomble apmere of the prime iples latel down in that dixpatch．and of their application to the case of New Bromswirk．＂

In the rase of Prime Edwated Istamd there was some dalate
 that there was a fend hetweren the propreters of the i－land
 mintil，on entry into the bominion，the proprictors were bought out at the eost of the Dominion．Fifforts were．how－ ever，made to serome some degere of hatomong between the Assembly and the lixerntive fowemment．and in a petition of $185^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the Homse of $A$ asembly anked for the appoint mont

 J．Itowro i．sisi．s．siti？ 4.


 introwtued by the Aet 31 （ien．III，c． 31.
${ }^{3}$ Prarl．I＇ap．．II．（：B6ti，18t\％．See also the Adidress to the（＇rown uf ．Hath $2: 3,18 . \pi(1)$

Fene then the grant of edf gevemment which the A-acmbly "hamed to have heren foremadowed in Isas was delayed mitil 1 sī, whell it came intor full ellect.

In the eqse of British relombia melf-govermment whs granted un its entry ilto the Dominion of camada. Sy tho crea in of a reprosentative Lapishatme by ant order in
 and lis the local A.t No. 14\%, 1xit, fand was eontimed hy the inatmetions to the Jientemant forsernor wiven he the Dominion (iwermment : it alrealy had an Exacentive dist inct from a lagiviative ('ommetl: the same remark applies also
 and intructions. and to Alherta and Saskatebewan in 1!nn, thomgh murli centier a certain limited self-gevermment had heen conferred upen the North-west Provinces. in $18: 97.1$

In the ease of Newfembland representative gevermment had rather a stomy inception: the dexishatmer was distracted he a puared bet ween the two Honses as to appropriation. Which prevented the minal Acts boing passed in $18: 3$ and 1 sis!; then questions of privilege led to math excitement wad ill-fereling, and the interferenee of the ( intholic clergy in cloctions probleced strong purty disturhmess. Alraidy. in $1 \times t ⿱ 2$ an an Imperial Aet was pasied to allow the ('rown to eatablish a property qualification for members not to exceed ${ }^{\prime}$ hamdred permbls income or dian capital value. to lemgetien mp to two vars the periods of rewidence lated down in the (ommiswon of $1 \times 3:$ anthorizing the smmononing of a legislature, to momagamate the two Houses provided that there should never he more tham two-fifths of the members nominee members. to forbid mone? votes being hromght forwad save on the advier of the (iowernment, and so forth. The Aet was a temperary one. and was extended for one year in 1846, and in $1847^{3}$ the provisions

[^16]alowe mentioned. save that for a single-r hambered legiva there were made permanent. Amebere provivion in the original Act. prowidinge for the appointoment of a sparate



 tres sam it "ablinherl in the Provinces of Nowal somia,









 Eromble, and for increasimg the momber of member- of the
 from Vr. Labonchere, which has become famoms in Newfommeland history arserted that in fature there wombl
 the (olong save after fill comsultation with the Colomial Govermment.

It is hardly necessary to enter into the detaik of the diselnssion of the grant of respemible gowemment for the Colomics of Anstratia in the period betwern lster and fsome. It was reagnized that a change in the form of government toresponsihle gevermment was matmal. and inderel incevitable,

 alteration of their existing comatithtions ty the colonies of New Sontl: Wales, Pamamia. Vibtoria, and Nomth dastralia.

[^17] printerl a rebet committere to prepare a Bill to carry into elfeed the powers conleoded bey the Imperial Act. This committer drew up a Bill in the form of an lmperial Act, with two Bills attached to alter the constitution and to grant a civil Last. This form was adopted beeanse of the mecessity of serouring the repeal ol the Imperial Acts which regnhated the valle and management ol waste lands in the colonies and the appropriation of the revemues thence arising. which the colonies desired to hate meder their own cont mol and mamagement.
 annomenerel the der ision of the Imperial (envernment with regated to the limbere of the fobong. They were prepared to grant reponsilhe forernment in view of the diseoverios of gohl and the inthax ol promation; they were alse willing to concede the eontrol of the waste lands aidel the appropriation of the proseres. of whidh alrearly one hall was
 half to immigration. Ther were mot able to arecept the proposall that the right of the ('rown to dixallow. Acts shomblat
 for they conk sor mo moans on dranines a satisliactory distinction in threr mattross bat they apprower of the Fration of two llomses. and ol the alophtion of ministerial mepomsibilit.

A roper ol the di-pateh to Nra Nomth Wales was simmltanconstle sent on Victorial, with an int imat ion that the views theroin haid down applieri erghally to that 'ohonge and thas the lacrishative (bmmet was invited to lollow the rexample

 Oll the dmat ant hy Now Somth Wales. Which was not
 for it was thonght fretter to awat the reroiph of the dratt


[^18]Was also sent to Somth Amstralia ${ }^{1}$ with an asomamee that, While the himperial (avermment had no desire to diseriminate betwern that folony and the of hers. in riow of its short experience of representative government ther did not know bow far the proposals therein contamed womld be weldome in the (olony, and they left it to the (exvernore to derede in what way they shomld be made known to the people. This dispateh erossed one from the (invernor. forwarding a petition from the Legislative commet to be aceorded the control over surh portion of the rexomes from lands as was mot devoted to immigrations. subjeret to surh provision as might he necessary being mate from the revelmes liy the ( Aovernor for the nse of the aborigines.
The New South Wiale: Bill was procereded with and hirthere. disenseded in the Colony: mhappily the rommittere were indued to reeommend the adeption of an hereditare temare
 Lords, ${ }^{2}$ and there arose a controsersy ahomt that Homse Whieh resulted in mumerons pretitions showing that all cheretive Honse would be proferred: others again dexied a mominere Honser, appointed not for hfe but for live pams: while othese desired that the now hern portions of the dolone shomble
 In the meantime. while the Bill wise Melityed. the Whke of Newrastle. in a dispateh of Angust 4, Is.is. ${ }^{3}$ intimatorl for the Gesernor that he siombl take care to warn all mewlyappointed holdere of posts which wonld be likely to he treated as political that thry could not expere the issual seromity of temmer, and added that he trusted that there womld ber no idea of treing responsible gevermment with at single chamber. At the end of the year the (ionernore atothome the Bill passed hey the Lequislathere as 17 Viet. No. +1.4

On reereiving tie dexisom of the lmperial dionemment to permit reapomible govermment, the Jagislative (ommeil al

[^19]Vietoria at onere procereded to appe int a rommattee to frame a comstitntion, and a bill was pissed theongh the (bomme and sont home for approsial. Like the Nowsomth Wiales Bill, it emberlied measures for the ereations of two Ilomses and the provision of persions for ofliceres retiring throngh petid: al al Changes: it vested the appoint ment of nom-political officers in the (iowernor in (iombil, and contemplated responsibla
 in rontemplating that the lpare Honse would the elective and not nominere ${ }^{1}$

In Komth Australial the anmonmement of the delermination togrant respon-ibh gevermment wiss heartily welcomed. and a Bill was prepared which passed the Laceislative ('mancil as Act No, 3 of 1 siza. and was daly reserved for the signiteratow of the reyal pleasmere The Bill atephed the primeiphe of a hicameral legishatare and made the Veper ('hamber mominere: in other resperts it fothowed generally the mandel

 for the pensions of oflicers retiring on polition gromats $=$ All threr Bills. that of New somth Wales. that of Victoria, and that of Somb dint malias, were mow in the hande of the tmperial (insermment. fint the Nereretary of Nitate. in a dis-
 that there had mot the time to deal with the ghestions
 taining admitted!y rlanses whirh required ther alteration of existing Imprerial . Infs.








[^20]
 lor benh rivil and military axpenditure. This diapatell







 prituriple of eleetion might le comerded. He refered to the
 allel sugerenterl that a bill might be paract in Tammanlial lonthwizh. and mered that it wonld be conlsenient if, untit the wher Bills. that from Tammanial kepl whthint the ha

 constituted a Parliament and mranted al (isal l.i-1, aml
 (ienverion:














 and of Filttria.



difterad from thone in the cease of New somth Wiales mainly in that they added the case of diverer Bilk to those wheh Were hamed as of lmperial interest in the list adopted by the sister (blony: 'The provisions are of romsiderable interest, both for the fate that they eomstitute a deliberate and early attompt to distingnish between lueal and lomperial atfairs, and beranse they indieate romghy the lineson which Imperial control of the Dominion Goverments and Partiaments has been exere ined. and thone of New komth Walles maty be youted at length. Clanse one of the Bill gives legislative althority w the new Parliament, and then adde provisos, of which the relevint one mass:- i
11. The bills on imperial subjects which may be reserved for the signification of Her Majosty pleasmee, of which, after being assented to by the (Aovermor in How Majestros name. may he afterwards disallowed by Her Majosty within the probid hereinatter spedifed, are as follow: that is to sils:-

1. Bill- tonthing the allegianere of the inhabitants of this

$\because$ Bhilk tourhing the natmatization of aliems.
2. Bills relating to treatios between the ('rown and any fordign power.
3. Bills relating to prolitieal intereonse and commmencat tions between this (olony and any utheer of a foreign power ow dependence:
$\therefore$ Bills relating to the emplovenent, command. and discipline of Her Majestys sea and land forees within this Colonge and whatever relates to the defence of the (olony from foretign aggresion. inelhding the command of the monteipal militit and marime.
4. Bills relating to the erime of high treason.
5. Whenevar any question shall arise as to the right of the ( (overmor to vererve any liill for the signification of Har Majesty ploasure thereon, or as to the right of Her Majesty to disaltow any sheh Bill, the samme hall be determined hy the Judieval (ommittere of the Privy (omme il. and in bu' either mamer, exerpt by the comsernt of the saill



[^21]by both Houses of the sad Leqislature actimes forth the guestions an to the determined : Provided that all sumble bill-
 mination, and that ther shall be afterwards smbmitted for the signitieation of thor Majenty platante thereoms of

 mitter in cach such case.

The lmperial (envermment were mathle to acerpt the chaties in question, and they areonding! omitted them in contirming the Act loy the limperial det is \& 1 It Vet. e. it They further inserted provisions permitting the alteration of the constitution by the new lagislature, and made ecrtain minor altematoms. The ( iovernor was almo instrmeted that he was not reguired to reserve bills of local interent merely, nor even Bills affereting the ('ivil List sathe so far as
 interests were to be resperted. At the same time anthther


 Were taken to vest the administration of the (iovermment, in the eare of atsenee or inempacity of the (ionermor, in the Chief Jostiee the President of the legishative (ommeil, and the Colonial sereraty jointly, sine muler the new armagements the colonial secretary would be a politiond officer. The eonstitution was received gladly in the (oblong, and the Governor found only inconveniente in the desire of the existing offiecers who were lable to retire on political grounds claming to tre allowed to retire forthwith, withomt witing for the political gromad to take effere, a courere which he and the judges whom he comsulted derelated that they ronded not do if they wished to seeure the pronsonse provided for them. ${ }^{1}$
 (.) 5.5 , contirmed the comstitmions, amending it in the satme sense as the smilat Aet for New South Wialese and the satme instructions were addressed to the (iovernor at lo low

resorving as at gencral rale Eills of lowal interest．Acurious contretompsere thed from the pasimg of the det：moder the interpretation prat on the det hy his law etfierers，the system of repomsiblegevermment was hrought into effect before a new herinature eame into existernee，and the sitting Lagishative （inumeil prowed ready to defeat the officeres of the（iovermment ＂how had to filer them in their new vapateity as ministers． 1

In the aine of sumth Australia the lmprerial（bowermment dial not provered as in the ease of New South Wiales and Ví＂orial to pases all Act confiming the（＇olomial Bill，No． 3
 that the legistative Council weold do well to recomsider the Mosinions of the original Bill regarding the Legishative 1 ＂uncil：－It w：as added that if this were dones，and if the provisions atherting the lmperial right of disallemance which rentricted the right to bills afferting Imperial interests were altered．it would me：be cosemtial to provide for the ratition－ then of the bill be in lmperial Act．as the passing of the Imperial Act．Is \＆ls Viet．e．sta，regarding the waste lamds． rendered further lmperial leopislation medtess．unleses the mandamontal principles of the bill were altered．The （iwsermer．ont the reerept of this dispatelt，in aceordance with a migestion eomathed in it proceceded to disontre the ＊hertion portiofl of the Legi－lative Combil，but he put before ：he peophe is in alternative to reeponsible govermanemt the dhption of at ostem of having al single chanber of four wficial romine of twelse dertive members selected on
 on al lew frome tase．Thi－wheme foll contirely flat and in
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the passing of the Ineperial Act. Is \& 19 Viot. c. Bet, allowed the (rown to asent to the reserved Bill, is Viat. No. 17. Qithout further Imperial legislation. ${ }^{1}$
 of. In the cance of New Somth Wiales the (ionernor did mot like the sbrtem of iswing a commision to alminister the (iovermment in case of his removal to three persons. and indeed the plat was obvionsly impuatieable, and theretore the Imperial (Govermment decided to vest the acting appointment for the time being the the mitary ofticer next senior to the Major-fieneral commanding in Anstralia. Agatin. the Governor desired to be anthorized to remove members of the Execotive comeil instead of permitting them to remain members thongh not moder summons. and he was ant horized to do this by additional instructions of Mateh Io. Is.ig. And he was anthorized by dispateh to remit fines excerding
 1859) the appointment of a member of the Execotive ('mumeil withont port folio was reported atad approved.

In Victuria more serions tronbles atose: the Lepistative founcil proceeded to endeavour to throw upoat the (iovernor responsibility for appointmonts, and made attempts to secure access to papers on which he had disensed questions of appointmemt: with his ministors. He resisted these attempts, but was inclined to favom the iden of creating behind the Execotive commeil, in the sense of cobinet, a wider commeib corresponding to the Privg (ommeil in Fingland, which the Governor combl resort to for adviee if he were in great dombt as to his line of action. This view was supported by the dart that he ako hed that he had no power to remown nembere of the Exerentive Comecil, and the minister who formed members of Mr. OShamsys Ministry derlined to renign

[^22]When asked to do so. The (iovermor was given by additionat royal instructions of Mareh 10, 1859, powern to remove members of the Executive Comeil, but he did not exereise them, and in the long tim the result which has persisted to the present day was estnblished, mender wheh the Execentive fommeillors retain that position for life, but onty the members of the Ministry of the dhy are normally summoned to the moetings of the Execontive Comncit, though members cinn be removed, and have been removed, if their retention of the position would create a scandal. The idea of using the Comeil as a whole for any but merely formal purposes was disenrded. On the other fiend, the Major-Gencral commanding who had the stecession to the government, was ailowod to retain a seat. though not a member of the Ministry.

In the case of Sonth Anstralia the same phestions arose, but they were disposed of by the issue of a new commission unher letters patent of Fehruary 22, I 8:5s, which (ompowered the ( o vernor to appoint members to the Executive Conncil in addition to those provided for in the Constitution Act, and to remove members; while on the other hand, the administation of the Government was ent rusted to the officer eommanding for the time being in Somth Anstralia. In Tasmania alse the question of the Commeil was eonsidered. But in that rase the decesion arrived at followed the model of Victoria, and nut tiat of New south Wales and South Anstralia.

In granting responsible government to New South Wakes the lmperial (iovermment capressly reeognized that it was desirahle to distinguish the case of the remoter parts of the folung and to divide the folony: The Imperial Act, 18\& 19 Viel. ©. it. therefore contained power to the ('rown to estabIish a separate (ondory ont of the northern part of the Colony, and thas was dous, after petitions from the inhabitants and
 wete attorwards confimed by an Act of the lmperial larliament. ${ }^{1}$ as dombte had arisen as to the fare whether they trictly complied with the terms of the amhority combered by the Aet of Is.j. The new Govemon, Sir George Bowen,

[^23] for six mont hes withont anty legislat:ate, but he hall as his (oolomial Secretary. Mr. (afterwatds Sir Robert) Hombert, Wh" aceompanied him foom kingland. and he with his two
 Trensmer, preanted themselves for election for the disembly and were daly appointed, thas giving the (iowrmon the advantage of experienced ollicers in the Ministre. The Lagishative (ommoil was mominated hy the (:overnore of Niw sonth Wales. but he wisely areoped the adviere of the Gowernor of Qneensland, and thas a combonsly ineonsenient arrangenent resulted withont injury to the now (inlons: ${ }^{1}$
Western Anstralia still stood ontside the system in this as in many other way. While the reat of Alstralial was destined to adopt at mo distant date a poliey of extreme opposition to mative immigration. Werstern Anstralia lowked to the cast for its commexion, and maler its ('rown Colons administration seemed to have little in common with the rest of the continent. from which it was isolated hy lands deemed to be desert and intterly nseless, thongh in 1911 that judgement shows sighs of being reversed. Bnat the desire for responsible government was strengthened beg the gradual inthax of settlers from the west when the gold resonrees of the colony heremme known. and int April Iss:3 the Amminstrator was asted to aseertain from the Home Govermment whether responsible govermment eonld be conceded. The reply of Lord Derhy. of Jnly $\geq: 3$, Ixsis:- indicated difficonties in the vast size of the (oblong. the smatl population, and the fare that al demand for responsible govermment womld probably mean that the (ohboly most be divided as New Sonth Wikes had bern divided. simer the interests of the tropieal north and the rest of the folomy were divergent. The (iovernore, in a dispatch of April 9 , 1884.3 was inclined to advise that the errant is respensible govermment shonld depend on the resill of the elecetions: of 1885 ; he suggested that the four mominated molficial

[^24]members of the existing Lexishative ('ommeit Ahotd be replaced br ath ofticial mominere and there dowtive memberes,



 question was again refenod to bey the (insermor in a disputt of Nowember Is. Issia.' when he was told in reply - that he shomble make it chat that the furperial (iovermenent would not be propared to antrondur to sumall a pepulation the control of all the dimed in Wisetern Anstratia. On Jolly I: 1ssi, the dowepmer reperted a resolation passed bie the Lexpishative commeil in tavenr of reyonsible govermment. Ho defonded the viow, and gave reasoms for hodding that the dolons shombl tow be divided is was suggented. The pepulation of the mothern districts had rapidly increased theongh the rish to the Kimberley goldfichls, the perople there were acerostemed to self-govermment in the easerom provincers. and mothing tess was at all likely to satiofy their demands. He reeommended that provision be made for the matives be etaning the abmigines pootertion band Which was institnted in 1 ssif under the sole cometrol of the (iovermor, who shond be entrmated with Edame a year for the benefit of the abouginese and shombe cont ont the protertors of atives and witnesses to mative labome contracte He revommemed a momince combeil, to bre formed after a
 the Lawer Homse being ampowered to pase Bills ower the head of the Legivative Commeil. after al delaty of eight months, hy a two-thinds majonity. While on the wher hand tatcking
 1ssi.' Sir H. Holland explatined the views of the hapretial Gevermanent: they themght that they eomble mot smrember the tertitury noth of the Murehison River to the responsihle gevermment wheh they were prepared torere athlidede ; in


[^25]of the (rown on the maderstambing that the moneres recetived shonid form a funel for the benefit of the dolony which whimate! wemld the •here extablished. They were not prese pared to divide the (olonse, for the seantre perpulation of the merth conkl mot afford th prex for an administration, and the Impretial ( invermment were not prepared tre place it on : he lmperial artimaters. The lamberonth of the Murehiven




 were followed and onlse oble chamber was rovated. which the Sereretary of Stato was apparently inclimel of favonr at the start. Approval was cepresesed of the propmeal to saldenarel the matives, amd strese wis laid ont the meed of a C'ivil lise for the salaries of the (iowernor. 1here fuleres. and there or fome ministers. 'The (invornere repleal in at dixpatry


 wher states had hioameral legiviatures. abd a cherek on hasty legistation was demblabe They objected to ally.


 (billuil that there was nothing to he gatned from tratinse
 the morth, ryperially as the sum- combing in were lows than ther "xpmese.
 that he adhered to his view as tor the powerol- of hath in
 them: he agreed to a bicameral sivlem. hat prefored a
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with slowly. as there was a deficit in the revenue and the extral expenses must be considered. He also insisted on the retention of an independent aborigines board, and he sent out a draft Bill based on the (iowernors draft. embolying the changes desired. Later comespondenee made it clear that the firs legishative (ommeil was to be nominated by the ( iovernor on his own responsilihity. The Bill was laid before the Leqislative comeril, which acerpted the views of the Home Government on most poists, but desired an clective combeil. and on a suggestion of the (iovernors the Secretary of state agreed to allow a nomince conncil to be appointed, to be suceeded in six years, or when the population reached forouo, hy an elective body. The Bill as amended was laid before the country, a general election took place, and the Bill was then brought before the local Legishature. There were made several amendments shortening the duration of Parliament to fonr vears, which were aceepted by the Home Government, but that Government insisted on the striet adoption of the proposed ('ivil list. and on empowering the Governor, without the consent of the Executive C'ouncil, to set aside native reserves, though the (iovernor was prepared to give way on these points as being of minor importance. ${ }^{1}$

The demand of the colony for full self-govermment was supported hy the other Colonial Govermments in Australin, but some opposition developed itself in England, where it was felt that if the land were handed over en bloc to the Western Australia Government there would be an end of any prospect of large British emigration to the Colony. Sir N. Broome, the Governor, took the musual eourse of writing to The Times a letter to dispel the idea that there would be any prejuclice to emigration by the transfer of eontrol to the local Government. but the Imperial Govermment eould not undertake to pass the Bill as an Imperial Act that year (Iss't), in view of the late date at which it could be introduced, and the mexpectedly strong opposition which revealed itrelf to the proposal. 'The Australian Colonies then

proceeded to deluge the colonial Office with representations in favonr of the grant of self-government and the control of the waste hands, and the ( overmment of Western Anstralia sent home, at their suggestion and that of the colonial sereretary. a deputation consisting of Mr. Parker and Sir T. ('ockburn ('amphell; whike Mr. ( mow Sir Johli) Forrest was deputed to ge to the Eastem States. a deputation not approved by the Secretary of State. ${ }^{1}$ The Bill was in 1890 introduced again into Parliament and referred to a select committer. who heard the views of persoms interested. inchating the deputa tion and the (iovernor.- and the Bill finally became witiont serious alteration an lmperial Act, 53 \& it Virt. e. : 2 i, whereupon responsible govermment was at once int roduced.

In the case of New Zealand the proceceling + were somewhat peculiar. The House of Representativ...s, which was constituted by the Act of 1852 , procected at once, when it met in tume 185t, to consider the question of responsible govermment. and ended with presenting an address on June ${ }^{6}$ to the officer administering the Government asking that ministerial responsilifity shouk be established in the conduct of executive and legislative proceedings by the Government as an essential means by which the general Government could exercise a control over the provineial Government, and as a no less indispensable means of obtaining for the general Govermment the confidence and attarhment of the people. In this position. LieutenantColonel Wynyard, who was administering the Government in the absence of Sir George Crey. comsulted his Excerutive Council, whieh eonsisted, as under the old seheme of permanent officers, the Cobonial Secretary, the Attorney-(ieneral, and the Treasurer ; and he was advised by them that he could not properly do anything which wonld result in the adoption of full responsible government without the approval of the Home Govermment. The Attorney-General adviserl that the Aet of 1852 eontained no reference to the adoption of constitutional govermment, and that indeed the provisinns for the reference of laws to the House of the Legislature for

[^26]${ }^{2}$ Hide, H. (:. I6i, 189M.
consideration implied that the (iovernor should take an active and independent part in legislation inconsistent with the idea of ministerial responsibility. But they also agreed that, in the temper of the Legislat we, no useful purpese could be served mules the (iovernor could act in accordanee with the wishes of the leaders of the Homse and they aceordingly. suggested that, while the existing officers shombe retain their places on the commeil, there shoukd be added theree more members taken from the Honse of liepresentatives who would earry on the hosincess of the foremment in the Asembly. while allowing the existing officers to earry on the ordinary duties of their office. ${ }^{1}$ This curions arrangement was accepted by the leaders of the Honse of Representatives. and not only were three members of that Honse made Exerentive Councillors. but a fouth member was aded to a epresent the Legislative ('omeil, which had entirely disapproved of the ignoring of that Honse in the appointments to the Execontive Commeil. For two months the arrangement worked, but then the members who had been int roduced from the Legislature decided that they could not remain members of the Excentive Council umbes given the full authority and responsibility of exceutive office. They urged that the Honse of lepresentatives would not consent to pass the important measures before it muless it was assured that the measures which it passed would be carried into effeet by those in whom it had confidence and over whom it ponsessed contmo they declared their willingness to make provision in the shape of pensions for the retiring officers. and suggested that the prineiple of responsible government should at once be adopted. The Adminisi rator could not see his way to consent to this proposal: the Attorney-General an!' the Treasurer were lmperial officers. and he was not willing to relice them, evenat their request, of their offices until he had aseertained the decision on the subject of the Secretary of State for the Colonies. As a result, the fonr new members of the Exerntive fommeil resigned, and the fovernor sent messages to the Comeril and the Honse of Representatives dealing with his

artion on the matter. The commeil assured him of sympathy and support, while asking that responsible gevernment should be granted, and the Honse of Representatives. thongh at first lese conciliatores agreed ultimately to pitsis the necessary rotes of supply on the understanding that, pernding the receript of the decision of the seerevary of State. the existing members ouly shomb constitute the Execentive Gouncil, and no attempt shomld be made to eonfuse the position of the old members of a ('rown Colong Vixerntive with the members of an execentive which rested on parliamentary support. ${ }^{1}$

The decision of the secretary of Siate was conveyed in a dispateh of December s, $1854,{ }^{2}$ in which he informed the Administrator that Her Majestys (iowernment had no desire whateverto offer opposition to the extablishment of thesystem known as responsible government in New Zealand, and had no reason to dombt that it would prove the best adapted for developing the interests as well as satisfying the wishes of the eommunity and the only terms which they had to make was the condition aceepted hy the (ieneral Assembly of making fair provision for the officers affected by the new arrangement, who had had a reasonable right to expeet that their posts would be permanent, and who imder the new system would be liable to retire on political grounds. Aceordingly the principle was to be applied forthwith. and steps were taken to appoint a government of ministers. pensions being provided for the former members of the Exeeutive ('ouncil.
5. Responnible Governimext in Solth Africid

In the ease of the cape of fiond Hope the question was inaugurated by Lord C'arnarvon in a dispatch to Sir P. Wodehouse of January $2\left(6.1867,3^{3}\right.$ in which he anmomered that the Imperial Government had decided that the burden of military expenditure in respeet of the colony must be assumed by the Colonial (iovermment. The principle of

[^27]making the (olo: jes pay for their own defoncer had been adopted elsewhere and should be applied to the ('aper bor could the finaneial ditficulties of the ('ape be regarted as exorsing the payment for their own defence. It was therefore intended at once to diminish the foreres in the (ape (1) there batalions - id to support them for the vear 1 stio free of rharge. In lstis two battalions only wonk be supported fere of cost, and for the third the colony mmst be willing to pay at the Aust ralian rate of $£ 40$ a man. In 1s69 onc battalion only womld be supplied withomt charge ; is 1sia all soldiers must be paid for, and in $1 \times 51$-at the full rates of $\mathfrak{L}+1$ for an infant ryman and $\mathfrak{f}$ (o) for an artilleryman womld be payable. and the whole arrangement would be recomsidered after $1 \times-$ ge. The terms offered were reeommended for arceptance with a distinet intimation that they were the best which would be aceorded.

Nir P. Wodehonse replied on Duly 16. 1867.1 He forwarded resohtions from the whole Honse of Parlament, in which they protested against the withdrawa on the gromed that the poliey of the (iovernment was not within their control, and that the measures taken hy the Government were the callse of the military dangers from the natives which rendered necessary the maintenance of the troops. The Governor criticized the representations of the Legis lat ine mafavombly, but he advaneed other gromeds for the retention of the tronjes af the Imperial expense. Responsible government was not really desired by the colney. but the position of the Execolstive dovernment under the present form of constitution was such that nothing eould be weaker or more objectionable, and withont Imperial troops no Governor not supported Ly a responsible ministry conld regulate the atfairs of the country at all. He referred to the difficolties existing between the two race in the colonys and he declared that if the troops were to be entrusted to the dominant party in the Lexislature the whole of the trocpse should be withdrawn, and not left to le disposed of by a Govermment which the lmperial fovernment could not in any way control.

[^28]This vientome protest indured the blake of Burchingham
 of the tinat dial difficulties of the Colmere no step－shomlal be
 the mattor wis for the moment－helved．But it was revimed

 some gencral prolicy with regand to thar Sonth dfrean territories and thrir administration．In reply．Lomd（itam－
 two altematives．He peinted out that the（esormor hat beren mable to indiere the dacki－atmer to hring order into
 timancial rhanges hate not met with his approsal．The Imperial（encrmment were not willing 10 eontime to bear the cost of the military defence of the（＇aper，and womld with－
 one regiment only for the proteetion of Nimonl：Baly．The （ Ewermor was therefore asked to place before the Dexiskatme the alternatiees of placing more power in the hande of the
 ment．
 very stromyty the view that the present constitntom was meatifactory．but he depreated responsible government， Which he dermed to be an aboohte eontradietion in terms． How condel a ministre rexponsible to its own eomstitnencies render ohedience to the permanent power：The issine between them might be shimed or postpened．that it mast come．Responsible govermment he had alwas hedr to be applienhle only for commmaties fast alvancing to fitnes for absohte intependence．and he thonght that iate comese of cents in British North America．Alsoralia．New Zeamand， and damaica hat gone very far to establish that view．He looked mon the combtry as entirely manted for incopen－ Jence．and he combl not satiofy himself of the justire or

[^29]＇Ibid．，1．Lis．

[^30]hamanity of hameling over the large native pepmlation to the meontrolled management of a legishathre eomposed of those whose hathes, interests, and prejobiees were so entirely different. He had therefore prepared and introdued into the Lequstature a Bill to reduer the 1 wo Homacs into one. consisting of a nominere president, font pressons holding oftices of profit mader the ('rown, and lhity-two elective members. It was the hope of the foromon thes to serure the more efferetive presentation of the biews of the (ensernment in the Legishatmes allel to relome the power of the Exmentive to rarry its wishes into law. Tomd Gramille, on
 of rexponsible government. and expressing donht if the change of legisfature wonld effect much strengthening of the fovermment, and stating that if the Bill were rejected the Golone must faed the alternatives lad down in his dispateh of December ! , 156! .

Naturally the Bill was rejected in the Lower Howse by a majority of thirt $y$-fonr to twent $y$-six, hat in reporting the fact on April $2,{ }^{2}$ the Goveruor still $p$ essed for the retention of the troops, meging that in virw of the position in Natal the tronps most be setained, leaving it for the colonial fovernment to give more adeqnate power to the S.xeentive. But though the Legislative Assembly supported the Gevernor by an address to the throne praying for the retention of the troops. the Imperial Govermment declined to aecede to the request, and the Goverument were told that they must take steps to place the finances in order and to make other provision for Colonial defence.

Matters were now complicated by the diseovery of diamonds in territory claimed by the Orange Free State, but on October 17:3 Lord Kimberley addressed a letter to Sir H. Barkly, who had bech rhosen to be the new Governor of the Colony, declaring that the existing form of goverument rould not be allowed to continue, and must be replaced by a Crown Colony control or by responsible government. The

[^31]${ }^{3}$ Hid., C. 4.5\%, p. 46.
existing conatitution, which placed an insuperable prower of

 of transition. The sombal and financial cove to which it was labble had only beron party areded by Imperial assivanere. and by a suceresson of able (iowermose la a firther letter of Sovember 1: 1 . Latel Kimberley intimated that at mond regiment would be allowed to remain in the Colony permbing the derision as to the aldoption of rexponsible govermment. and for some time after, bit the Imperial finvermment were determined not to maintain haprerial fore es in South drioa execpt for Imperial purposes and he warned the new Governor that no cextension of Britinh South Africa womlal be contemplated maless the (ape aeerepted responsibld govermment. Meanwhile the question of the ammexation ol Waterhoer's territory eame prominently to the front, and on the c'ape Legishature agreeing to provide for the administration and defence of the territory in question, a commission Was issued on May 17, 1871, authorizing the ammexation of the lands in ghestion to the Cape. Before this commission was received in the Colony, the existing chief officers of the Gowernment presented a statement of reasons for deploring the intruduetion of responsible government. The praprer drawn up by them on April $\because(6,1871$, is an able one. and effectively shows the difficulties of government at all in a country where there was so great a preponderance of the native race. where there was a sharp line of eleavage bet ween the two sections of the European population, where education even among Emropeans was so backward, where communications were so difficult, and where the people of the cestern provi see cond not be effectively represented in Parliament, as their leaders cond not afford to surrender their private interests to the necessity of a long parlamentary session and absence from their homes. But they were not able to show any real prospect of improvement under the constitution as it stood. They evidently hoped that the state of confusion and difficulty in the finances might pass away, but

[^32]${ }^{2}$ Ibid., P!. 173 ser.
 beximing of Jome sit the mattor wis homght betore the






 the Bill the (Ewromers atated that thomble the majoniter was
 ther mombers for the mistern prowine were aliatid that at
 their interests. "hile members for the frombere distribts. thomgh in favome of respomihle Lewernment. desired to have
 that they might be ahle, despite rexpmathbe fewomment.
 -harges. In the Vipler Hollase howerere the Bill came to
 deleted in the Lawer Hobse. The fia al vote was twelve to nince and in the majority were cipht ceatern members and
 and two eastern members, showning very cleally, as the Geoverner peinted ont. that the whl isme bif west and east had determined the day. But the (iowerome added that he had no dombt that the principhe of reponsible $g$ ormient womld be adophed with ho long delay, and the Seretary ol state re-echoed his view in arknowledging the receepit of the news of the defeat of the measimes.?
The (iovernor was right in his prediction of the finture.
 govemment by cheren to ten votes. the change in view being dae to the fact that two of the fond western members hat decided to give their constitnencies the "prentmity of expressing their opinions on the topice and had recerbed so dear a mandate as to render them determined to ceast their

${ }^{2}$ Hid., 1y. 1:37 ney.

 votes. alld the liverimer was delighted by the rosilt. Hut








 hawe takern platere not that it would have reversed the remble but that it worlal have placed it on a hasis mome see me thath the very slight majority obtained in the ('ommeil.'

The lsill was a brief ome. and merely made it powible
 either Ilouse of Parliament. and provided that the (rown should fix pensions for oflicers who would a tire on political gromeds, viz. the Colonial Serevary, the 'Ireasurer, and tho Attorney-fiencral. The Seeretary of State whally seromed the reyal assent to the reserved Bill, and issued new letters patent re-appointing Sir H. Barkly to be (iovernor. Tha rolonial secretary was mot prepared to fitce all attempt at eleation to Padiament, and the Governor sent for the leader of the movement in firour of responsible government. Mr. Porter, who. Lowever. was mable on grounds of age in form a ministry, and accordingly the fowernor welerted Mr. Molteno for the task, Which he sucerosfally eariod unt. At the sal time the (iowernore following the preecedent he himself h et in Vietoria, retained as an exerontivecombeillor the officer eommanding the froppsin the (iper, who was destined to smeceed to the administ ration in the absenere on incapacity of the dowernor. The party opposed to reponsible govermment continned to petition the (rown. but the Secretary of siate deelined's to aecent their views in favon'
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of a diamemberment of the proviner. Ita urged that it the



 Ento IWO powinces, athd have a contral and two provincial




 the ('iapr.
 ahbost arl metnserm hefore it was adopted. Representative Lowernment was cestathished by the charter of lestb, and in Isti! a supplemontary chater was issured under whels the
 members of the Laginativer ommeil. athody of mixed nomineres amheleretive members, to be memtrers of the Exerotiveromail. In Ixjll the Commeil was asked to pase a Bill beatowing
 of twenty clerpe members, and providing for the posibility of :mion witls the Transwal Repmblice and the Orange Free state: the Bill was not to terome lall without all Act of the Imperial Parlimment, but it did mot pass the Homse. in $1 \times 7 \mathrm{~B}_{3}$ there elected and one nominer members were added to the Homse, and in 1875 a combous change was made in the constitution of the Legislative "ouncil the alding to it for five vears cight non-official nominee members, and by requiring that tasation Bills shonld only be carried hy two-thirds of the members present when they were dise ensed. In 1ssuanother Bill for responsible govermment was introdned. providing for alegislature of two Homses, the uper being a momine body, and for ministerial responsibility. It was sent home by the request $10^{\circ}$ : !e Honse with an address to the Queen, bint Sir Gis me obseley. then in conllexion with the native disturbmees (iovelnor and High Commisnoner of Sonthcast Africa, reported unfavomably upon the project, and








 preceded by lederation with the meigubomring states. Th this
 reconsideration. peintime mat that federation was ont in sinht and insisting that the main burden of internal delence de: rest, mader ally cirommstances, with them. In replying on Fehrmary 2. Isse, the Neretary of state anhorized the
 lature, bint ase proposial wise then shelved, the member mot ret feeling prepared to assume the burden of repporsible government in its entirety. Steps ware, howerore takento increase the momber of members and to extend the franchiare, bitt practically nothing was done to give a native framelise... a fact on which both the (iovernor and the Secretary of state commented with regret. In last the comeil made an attempt to clicit from the Home dovermment what degree of military defence wonld be provided in the event of reflgovernment being adopted. hint that (i, minent was not prepared to answer so hypothetioal a g- tion. In lisx I the question was again bronght before tac Home (iwernment on the motion of the Legia! : ve comeil : they urged that the show progress of tae !ols. . whe dhe to the diverce between the legislative a: the exentive pewer, which created the mfortunate leching that the Goverument was not really that of the people at alll : Whike again. the views of the majority of the popnlar representatives in the chamber cond he thwarted by the action of a minority of elective members together with the nomine members. Moreover, the views of the Colony were represented to the Imperial

[^33]Giovernment not by their representatives, but by a fiovernor who did not act on ministerial advice. They recognized the difficulties of the questions of defence, the native policy, and the pexition of Zululand, which they dexired to have incorporated with Natal, and the eomplieations arising from the small momber of people in the colony from whel to form the parties necessary for the comduct of ministerial govermment, but mone of these ohstatles need, they thought, be fatal, and they adduced reasons for this belief. In particular, they offered that all maters relative to the natives should originate in the Upper Howse, which was to be nomineer, and which would thas be exempt from prejudices such as might exist in a popular body.

In replying on Mardh 5 , I889. ${ }^{1}$ Lerd Kmutsford said that the willingness of the lmperial Government to grant responsible govermment was well known. but he indieated that the proposals as to native affaits were inalequate to seeure the passing of measures in their interests: he satid that the Imperial troops would be withdram, but that tive years' grace would be given for the colony to comeret its own measimes of defence after the passing of self-got orment, that the ammexation of Zulnland was not likely soon to be romerded, amd that after self-govermment was conceded it was probable that the relations of Natal with the native tribes beyond its borders would be cont rasted to the Cowernor, who would be made a High commissioner for the purpose. The Legislative ('ouncil then asked for any suggestions as to provisions for native interests, and Lord Knutsford indicated the reservation of Bills affeet ing natives, and added that Bills frie compulsory labour, the increase of the hut tax, limitation of frecdom of contract. further restrictions as regarde passes, alteration of native law. and so om, would not be likely when reserved to be simetioned. He also asked for the establishment of a protection board for the natives on the model of that set up in Westorn Australia moder the reserved ('olonial Bill of 1 skes and the placing of a sum not less than E16.0日0 annually at the disposal of that board to be spent ' I'arl. Prap., C. (64×7. p. 21.
in the interests of the matives, free from all parliamentary control. But in any rase a gemeral clection must precede any thonght of granting responsible govermment. Surh an dection held in $1890^{1}$ resulted in the appointment of fourtecen members in favour of and ten against responsible government. the majority representing the roast and the border distriets, where the Boer influence prevaiked, and the minority roming from Pietermaritaburg and Comwoti. A Bill was areordingly drafted by a rommittere to establish responsible govermment, which made provision for a legislature of two homses. the upper nominere, for a permanent Under-Secretary for Native Affairs, and for the prowision of an anmal sum for native purposes. It was also provided timat Bills afferting only a class of the population must be passed by a two-thirds majority on the second reading and third reading in the Legislative Assembly. The (iovermment was to be administered by six ministers, and the constitution of the honses conld only be altered by the eonemrence of an absolute majority for the time being of the members of both honses on the seeond and third readings. Provision was also made for pensions to officers retiring on political grommes.: 'The Bill was, however, altered in the Come il so as to substitute one for two honses. It also purported to transfer to the (iovernment of the day all the powers of the Governor as Supreme ('hiof of the matives. which he had exercised hitherto without any control whatever. Native interests were to be proteeted by reservation of bills for the royal assent and hy preliminary consideration by a eommittee of the Legislative Council. The Gowernor, in sending home the Bill. ${ }^{3}$ depreceated the proposal for a single rhamber, as affording risk of hasty kegistation. considered that he shonk be fit free to refuse, if he thought fit, ministerial adviee as to his action as Supreme ('hiof, thongh he would as a rule aceept it, leaving ministers in ease of difference to initiate legislation to effect their ends, whieh tegislation would be reserved for the royal assent. He doubted if the provision for the natives was adequate. hut he thought.
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 minisures. !n the wher hamd. he was siltistied with the proition of the jumpes alled al rivil servants. In which the Gorremor had takell exception, shbjere to provision bexing made that civil arvallts shomld retain their caish ing pernsion
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 Gowsomment stomel tiom, and the Bill w:as modilied in somme
 Colnhial donvorment to control the suprome ('hiaf in his artions: It the salne time, the elelegates were informert that

 and it was alltidipated that agreement womld he minally flor casis.

Thor bill so amemed was laid before the lagistiative
 sulting in the return of ten members in lavolle of and
 members wrer mbsated on an clection petitions alme tivet two alld then fon more members in latour of responsilate sevoment were returnell the Bill as almeoded by the Imperial dowermment beramo law as det No. It al lsems. and repensibla gowormment was inangurated. It has often heron contemed that the grant of respobsible gowermment to Natal was prematmer and int ar, athl there is mo dombt that the small size of the colony. the pationty of the white pembation. Whioh was ceon then vasty memmbered by the native peprentation. atal the presemere in the dolon! of a large momber of matises of India whose ind estry was essemtial for the development of the colony, lont whese prosemere wis. oll manly grombls. mot very acepplahle when their indentures expered and they sotthed there combined to remder the cxperiment a dithentt once allul one whirls celtamber never led to the same satisfactory results as were manifested elsewhere in the Empires. But the grant van low justitiod on the gromed that it was practically an essential preliminary to the posibilit! of the colons joining a con-
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 members and wot mese than nime or fose than six momineres．



 What wonld be deromel self govermment in acendlane with Paghlish views．Bat it was falted to merel with disappocial：


 advamtige in as sistem which had admittedly leren a laihme

 bility．bont in the＇Iramsvatal there were already many prople． aremstomed to respmsible goveroment．Again，the Britioh
 the comtimatore of a rule that wombld kerep them muder



 left them in a hemeless minority in the Legiskature，an tha they would probably he redaced to roling hy a cotalition with a minority in that body．＇There was，indeed，prement remer

[^37]clement of diffienity and confusion, and the adoption of the form of govermment could only be justified by the fact that so recently after a grave war there would be risk in entrusting the Gowernment to a responsible ministry, which would be likely to voice the sentiments of one only of the two sections of the people and to negleet the interests of the other. Moreover, by some advoeates of the rights of the natives it was felt that their interests wonk receive more careful consideration from a Government which was under the Im. perial eontrol than irom a local executive responsible only to a legishiture in which the natives were, in aceordanee wit: the terms of the surrender of the Boers, entirely mopresented.
'i'here were other reasons of convenience in favour of the maintenance of the representative form of government as a preliminary stage: it was reeognized on every side that it would be well that the Orange River ('olony should not be constituted under a responsible government until the experiment had been tried first in the Transvaal, but so long as the two (olonies were under the limperial control it wonld be easy to maintain the working of the Intercolonial Comeil whieh had been called into being in order to manage the railway and pohce affairs, amongst others, of the two Colonies. On the grant of responsible government to one Colony it was felt that it would be very ineonvenient if the other were still Inder the Colonial Oifice. But these considerations were deemed inadequate by the Imperial Government, on the formation of Sir Henry ('amphell-Bannerman's administration, to justify the trying of an experiment in a form of govermment which had never been yet a permanent success, and which wonld only in any case be a temporery measure. They decided, therefore, to introcher full nimisterial responsibility for the general government of the two Colonies, the letters patent for the Transvaal heing introdueed first of all, ${ }^{1}$ and then those for the Orange River Colony: 'The arrangement by which the Intereolonial Conneil managed the railways of the two Colonies as one

[^38]concern was to remain in force, subjere to the right of either Colony to teminate it upon notiee given, and amme stepre were taken to place under independent boards in both Colonies the affairs of the land-set thers, who had taken up land on the fath of (iovermment promises of asoistance, and whese interests were, it was thonght, possibly liable to too striet treatment from a rexponsible govermment-lot ath impossibility, in view of the faet that the seitlement polie. had been inaugmeated in part as a means of bringing In British settlers to rederess the balance of nationalities in both Colomies. Suliject, howerer, to that exeeption, which was merely to be temporary, for the clanses enjoining it were to expire in five years, the lmperial (iovermment eonceded full self-government to republices which hut a few years before had been engaged in a prolonged and dangerons war with the metropolis of the Empire. The comtrast wats strengthened by the fant that the first clectoms in the Transvaal returned to power the ex-leader of the boere forces, Cencral Botha, and by a stroke of good fortune he was able to be present at the colonial Conference of 1305 and to ad: ise His Majesty's Govermment upon the questions affer 'ing the defence of the colony of wheh he was lucemier. The Transval was fated to have but a sloort separate existence as a colony of the limpire, but the conduct of its government was marked by singular ability, and the eonfidence reposed in the value of responsible institutions bey the Iniperial Government in 1901 was proved to be fully. justified. In fact, no more signal example of the benesit: of the system have ever li, en seen. In the Orange River Colony much the same result. followed from the con. sion of responsible govermment until 1909. When the effe, is of the Dinister of Edmeation, an enthmastic heliever in hilingual education, resulted in some difficulties with the English officers of the education department. culminating in the dismissal of three inspectors ${ }^{1}$ and ultimately the resignation of the very able Direetor of Education. But derpite this regrettable incident, in which it would be unfair to see

[^39]any batial ferling as such, the grant of self-goveroment was a sheress. thomgh party government was impossible in a "olong where the opp" ition was in the extrene ferohle.

In the cease of the great federations, ('anatha, Inst batia, ame the Union of Somth Africa, respensihle govermmen' ratme into forere at oncere and they were phanted by Colonters persissing a full measure of rexpomsible govermment.

## (IIADPER II

##  

## 

Ir was one of the theses of the distimenished Viatorian ('hief Justicr. Mr. Higinhotham, that remomsibla government in the Colomies differed from that govermment in Enghand by reason of its being dorived from the statnte law, and not as in lingland from the common lan: The statement was hased on a stody of the Constitution Act of Victoria, and he admitted with desirable camdoner that the purpose of responsible government was merely ruldy expressed therein: it is also chear that ho hath matde Im aderpate stmely-indered, it is fair to saly that it womkd probably have beren difficult for him to dos sh- of the aretnal fact as to the introduction of respomsible gevernment into C anada, for if he had dome so he might have modified his conclasions very materially. As a matter of fact, it is mot untrue to say that, generally speaking, the introlinetion of responsible government has been dae to constitutional practior atad usage hased on the practices in force in the Mother Cometry, and that therefore the responsible government of the lominions rests on mo fimdamentally different hasis from the responsible government of the United Kingdom. It needs only to be added that in some degree there is a greater rerognition of resposisible government in Colonial constitutions than in the British constitution, but. as will be seen, that recognition gos far short of establishing the rule of responsible government.

It was in the ease of the Gowernment of Canada that the rule was first applied; in the Act for uniting the Provinces of Lower and Upper ('anada of $18+0$ it is impossible to find
${ }^{1}$ Murris, Memoir of George Migiuhotham, pp. 150 seq. Contrast Jonkyns, liritish Rute and Juriveliction beyond the sicas, E1. Gil sierl.
a word as to the adoption of rexpmosible geveromernt. and






 to contromplate that the lixeremtive lombeil is a berly to

 of the instrotionse was romsidered bey the Attomerefermeral in lsit' to show that full responsible goweromelt was not contemplated at all. The real anthomity for the adoption of rexponsible generment is not to be fonmel in the law of the tand. Hot evell in the formal royal inst metions. Which of

 "hich he adepted in as somewhat corions manner the pione iple of respmsihlegoverment for intermal atfaimonle, whiledeny-
 the of loer haid down that officers were not, in the valse of those holding the chiof positions, to be deemed to hold hy a perma-
 motives of publice peliey might surgest the experdiency of that step. Ho also intimated that the glant of promesonis to dixplaced officers wonld be suitable, but he experesed cern that view with a certain vagheress. Ald down to the termination of the independent caistene of of catala as a province the position was not varied: the prineiple of rexpensible govermment rested on mothing mome than pata tice. its binding
 to the posibility of his reeall he the lmperial (iovermment on the one hand, and the rendering of his position motemable by the Legislatme refinsing to work with him, on the other.




In the 'ase of Nova Seotia,' Lard Sydenham on his visit int isto sugeseled that the members on the lixerotive (ommeit Nhemtet momally be rhesen fiem the membere of the two
 areropled a seat on all matertaking to modity the extrome



 Lard Fialktand dereland to pult the fill primeiphes of aldpowemment into efferel : he did met approve of them. and he insisted on ruling wilh a coalition Exerollive fonmeil, which he themght was the prepere monle uf prowedhme. In
 for at time, for in Mareh t. Isti. they adopted at rembation Which shewed cleaty that they comsidered that a devermor was only 10 be adviad gemerally bey his (oumbit, and that
 own reyomsibility what was hes. But this syblem rathe to
 the insiatence by the party whielh commanded the majority of the Lergistathere on the adoptions of the new seratem, and (1) the instmelion given by dixpateh to the Lientenant(ewernors. that he shonld act on the prineiples of responsible govermanent. Indeed, the strong step wastaken of removing, moder the pewer whichatl (anadian fovernors had, one mem-
 volnitarily: At the same timestepe were takento seenre the passing of an Act, 1: Viet. e. 1, for granting to the (rown a ('ivil List in remon for the smorender of the hereditary reemeses of the 'rown in the province. The sime step of serenring al Civil List was adepted in the Union Act of 1 sto. and for a time stross was laid mon it, not as creating rexponsible government. Which it whiomsty did not, for sumblists had heen abled at ever sinere representative givermment existed, bat beconse it was felt that a brovincial Parliament should be comielled to determine to spend a certain smof of money at least

[^40]ont the rivil govermment, and that the salare of the fowermor shombl her put heyond the nereessity of all ammal vote.

In the rese of Sew Brmaswicle the conme of revents was prepisely the same as in Nova Seotia, which formed the model

 (i) to. Mareh Istis the limperial (iovermmelit hat defrated purt of the e 'ivil list chargex of the : shand, hut ont that date the pitoments wore stopped, atil by: diopately of Derember



 vies that the Imperial lionernament womld be prepared to conterele responsible govermment in exelange for a ('ivil List. The idgishature then passed $n$ ('ivil List dret. but derlined cutirely to deal with insincose for the present motil the Eixerentive forermment should be bronght into harmonse with the legislative bexts. The rivil li t . Let comtaned a provisom that it was conditional on the anterndere of the Crown revemes, and on the grant of a sostem of rexpensible goverument simiar to that which was in forere in the Provinees
 to inake any provision for the pensions of retiring officers. The Nereretime of State decoded to nereppt the proposal of the Legislathre, subject to certain detailed moditications in the 'ivil List, the the omiswion of the regtimement regarding responsible government. and to the provision of pensions for the whicers retiting on political grounds. The reasons for his decision were that the erant of reamosible gowernment had neser been embodied as a comelition in similar Aets, and there was good reason why it should wet be so. for the term, though very well mulerstood for practical puposes, had no definite meaning in latw. and it was therefore impossible to saly what would he a fultilment of the comdition, whin the teclmical sorse, which might be put lye legal The precess wav only romplot. in 18.it: sue Handay, Now Bransweck.
interperetation on the works. 'The only comblitions, therefore.
 ment were those relution or the surremelor of the ctown revernes ; the seat stomel (as was the case in the other North American lowinees refermed to ont the faith of the ('rown. Tho views of the Sereretary of state in the main prevailed.

 deppatch of dane ol, Ix.t. Therefore in Prince bidward Island alao mo mention of rexponsible Lovemment or legal prowision regarding it, other than the grant of pensions for retiring officers, is known.
To allow rexponsibla govermant torest poon constitn-
 There cemstitutions of the Provinces of lbritish C'ohmohian of Manitobat, and of Alherta and Satkatchewno, contain practically mothing which alferts respom-ible gevernment. The Acts of these 'olonies merely provide that the Eixecotive 'ommeil shall comsist of surh persomes as the (iovermor may appoint or the sperify certain offierers w!os shall be members of the Exeren. ive Conneil. bיit not who shall comstitute the Exerentive commeil. 'lley also permit the members of the fommeit or erertains speritied offieress to sit in Parliament without even re-eloctiom. The rase of Alberta may be eited as illust rating the wholde practioes and ase one of the most striking examples of the unwillines.ess of ('anata to rednee respomsible govermment to a legal sbstem: the Comstitution Aet provides that the Executive Council of the said province shall be composed of suld persons moder surb desighations as the Lientenant-(iovernor from time to time





 Prince Fdwath ishat the mumber is unlimited, as it resis on the ohd
 1873 incorperating the provine in the Dominion.
thinks fit. The Legislative Assembly Aet of the Provinee, $1909, \because$ e provides that there shall be cligible for election and woting in the Assembly any person being a member of the Execotive Comecil, or holding any of the following offices. that is to say, President or (hairman of the Comecil, Attorney-Gencral, Provineial Secretary, Ninister of Agriculture. Minister of Publie Works. Minister of Edueation. or the minister or head of any other pmblie department that may hereafter be organized by statute, of this Prowinee'.
let though there is so little of legal sanction the system of responsible govermment is in fullest operation throughout the Dominion of canada. The maxims which regulate the tenure of offece $h y$ a Gowernment in this country are faithfully observed as much as in the Colonies generally, despite one or two cases of straining of constitutional forms, which, however, have been punished in one way or the other. It is established nsage that a Lientenant-Governor must gowern with the support of a ministry. who again must have the support of the Legislative Assembly, and that ministers will retier when they are defeated, unless they ask for and receive a discolution of parliament. It would be idle to Claim that there is any char distinction between the basis of self-govermment in the Provinees of canada and the ease of English self-government, and Chiof Justiee Higinhotham would never have made the statutory hasis of self-government in the colonies a basis of diserimination had he known the facts of Canadian histo. .

Of coulse as in the case of England. self-government is enfored iy eertain ultimate sametions. The chief one in the Prowinces, where there is no question as in the Mother Country, of the needs of defence, is of course the requirement of an Appropriation Aet ammally, and the refusal of sueh dul Aet will ahays be suceessful in causing a Lieutenant( iovernor to vield : indeed, it is certain that he would now be dismissed by the Dominion Govermment long before anything so drastic took plate, as the cate of Mr. Melnnes in 1900 shows. ${ }^{1}$ he catse of a colony the same rule

[^41]applies, but the dismissal womld be hy the (rown. An instanere where illegal appropriations took place withont the (oxernor being dismissed, is a good illustration of the execption whiehproves the rule. It was in the case of the (ape, where during the Berer Wiar it beeame out of the gheestion to summon the Parliament within the nsmal time of meeting. and the Govermment had to be earriced on without legal sathetion for the expenditure. The Geremor's action was not merely approved by a Ministry who possessed the confidence of the portion of the population which was loyal to the (rown, but it was rendered possible and effective hy the presence and protection of the Imperial forees in Sonth Africa. ${ }^{1}$ Of comrse, even in a province, as Mr. Mehmes's case will show, it is possible for a Licutenant-Governor to govern with the aid of ministers who have no parliancntary support, but that ean never be for long, and in a sense it is a position which, by parfamentary pratetice, oecors in this comentry as well as in the Colonies, in every case where a beaten Government asks for and obtains a dissolution of Parliament, matil the elections are complete.

## 

In the case of Newfonndland the conditions laid down by the dispateh from the Duke of Neweastle of February $\geq 1$, 1854,2 for the grant of responsible govermment were, as subsequently modified, two muly-the provision of adequate pensions for offieers who would be displaced on politieal grounds. and the passing of a measure to increase the size of the Honse of Assembly to thinty, and to provide for redistribution of seats so as to afford fair representation of the Protestant majority in the colong. These measimes were duly passed by the Legrislature as is Viet. e. 2a and r. 3 respertively, and therempon the lomperial (iovermment took steps to issue a new commissions. appointing Mr. (afterwards Sir (harles) Darling to be Gowernor', in which prowision was made for the appointment of a reparate Execontive (onncil for the iskand in plave of the combined Fixecutive and


Legislative Comeil which had matil then existed. while a Legislative ('onneil was cetablished for consist of not less than ten not more than fifteen nominer members. The commission provides that the Execontive fommeil slall be composed in such manner as may be directed by Instructions, and the Instrmetions merely say:-

Now We do direet and declare Our pleasure to be, that the said Executive (ommeil shall consist of sueh persons, not exceeding seven in momber, as you shall from time to time by instriments passed under the publie seal of $\mathrm{O}_{11}$ said laland, in Our name and on Onr behalf, nominate and appoint to be members of the sald Cometil. all wheh persons shall hold their places in the saifl fommeil dinting our pleasure.

The Instructions have not materially been altered sinee : the Executive Conncil is to consist of any persons, not limited in mumbe $\therefore$ who are members of the council by any law of the island, and of such others as the Govere - may appoint, and no law provides for the appointmer. it any Execontive (omelillors ex officio. Moreover, there is no law requiring, any more than in the case of the Conadian Provinees. members of the Executive Comncil to be members of the Legislature in either house. It is of eomrse, as in these provinces, the custom that they should be members. but it is useless to deny that responsible government in Newfomdland rests as entirely mpon the common law as it does in the United Kingelom.

## §3. Tile Aústrilifin Polonies and Stites

In C'anada and Newfoundland we have seen that responsible govermment is esisentially informal in character: it is cotablished by well-maderstood practiees. but not by law. Ninisters need not be members of the Legishature. and they can if they like legally hold uffice for ever, if the Governor chooses to keep them there, in the face of all the protests the Legislature might like to pass. In the rase on the Australian rolonies the matter is otherwise : it woukd be impossible to say that responsible government rests there on legal enactment, but there do exist legal rules which
to some extent rondition the artion of the fiovernor, and help to render responsible government in part neeessary. These rules were adopted deliberately as the expresion of a desire to secure the regime of constitutional rule. but it must be admitted that they fall lamentably short of achieving in law any such result as their framers aimed at

In the New South Wales Constitution ${ }^{2}$ as approwed by the Imperial Government, it is provided ins. 37 that the appointment to all public offices under the (Govermment which should be vacated or croated should be vosted in the Governor with the advice of the Executive Council, with the exeeption of the appointments of the officers liable to retire on political grounds, whichappointments should be vested in the Governor alone, whila minor appointments be Aet of the Legislature or by order of the Governor in Council might be entrusted to heads of departments or other officers. Provision is also made for a Civil List on condition of the surrender of the revenues of the Crown, and provision is made for pensions for officers who on political grounds may retire or be released from their offices. Moreover, it is laid down in s. ls that any person holding any office of profit under the Crow.s shall be incapable of being elected, or of sitting or voting as a member of the Legislative Assembly, unless he is one of the offieers of the Government specitied in the section, viz. the Colonial Secretary, Colonial Treasurer, AuditorGeneral, Attorney-General. and Soli "or-General: or one of sueh additional officers, not heing more than five, as the Governor with the advice of the Executive Comell :nat from time to time, by a notice in the Government gavette. declare to be capable of being elected a member of the Assembly, but re-election was required until 1906. when the practice was abolished. These prowisions sum up, the legal sanction for responsible government in New South Wales even at the present day, and it is clear that they are utterly insufficient to give the (iovernment a parliamentary basis,

18\& 19 Viet, cont (contirming and altering 17 Vict. No. 41 of the local Legislature). ('f. Aet No. $3: 2$ of 1902 , which adds mothing bryond an incidental recognition of ministers ats execulive councillors.
for they do not reguire aven one member of the (iovermment to be a member of the legislative body:

In the case of Victoria there is more legal sinction. The Constitution ${ }^{1}$ as approved by the Imperial Government contains, besides the provisions for the appointment of all save political offieres by the dovernor in (ommeil, the grant of a civil List in cxelange for the (rown revemme, and the provision of pensions for offieers retiring on political grounds, the following clatse (s. 18) :-

Of the following officers of fovernment for the time being, that is to sely the colonial secretary or chiof Secretary, Attornev-denomal. Colonial 'Treasurer or 'Treasimer. Commissioner of Public Works, Collector of ('nstoms or Commissioner of Trade and ('ustoms. Surveror-General or fommissioner of Crown Lands and Surver, and SolicitorGeneral, or the persons for the time being holding those offices, four at least shall be members of the council or Ascmbly.

These officers were required to undergo re-elce:wn if they aceepted office whike in Parlament. But this was earried further by the Officials in P'arlirment Act. 1ssis, s. :3, whieh authorized the (Governor to appoint a nmmber of offieers, not execeding ten. who should be eapable of being elected members of either House of Parliament, and of sitting and voting therein, 'provided atways that smeh offieers shall be responsible ministers of the crown and members of the Execolive Commed, and fonr at least of such officers shall be members of the said Comet or Assembly: This section was consolidated ass. 13 of the Constimtion Aet Amembment Act. 1890 . As in the case of New sonth Wales, re-election remained neressarys. but, as in that case. a change of offiece did not necessitate re-election. This prowision was revised by the Act No. 1864 of 1903. Which provides as follow: :-
5. (1) Notwitlstanding anything contained in the ('onstitution Act Amendment Acts it shall be lawfoll for the Governor from time to time to appoint ary number of officers. so that the entire nmmber shat mot at any one
 l.arislature).
time exced cight, who whall be capable of being dected members of either Honse of Parliament, and of sitting or voting therein. (2) Sum offieers shal! be responsible ministers of the crown and members of the bxeentive Commeil. and fonr at least of such offoces shall be members of the Comed on Asembly. (3) Not more than two of such offieers shatl at any one time be members of the ('ouncil. and not more than six of surd officers shall at any one time be members of the Asembly.
6. No responsible minister of the (rown shath hold offece for a longer period thin three mont hos mese he is or becomes a member of the Comneil or Arembly.

Provision wias also made bys. 9 for ministers to be able to sit and speat in cither house, thongh not to vote in any but the honse of which he was a member, if the House consented, and provided that only one minister at a time had the privilege in either homse.

It is clear that the provisions of 1 anta wary the matter a good deal further than nimal. Hintorially they are adopted in part from the preedent of Natal in 1893 . in part from the provisions of the commonwealth constitution. But they do not cetablish responsible government: they do not even constitute the Exeroutive council. and, as in the case of New south Wiales and the other States, the royal instructions still leate the Governor free to appoint sinch other persons as he pleases to be members of the Execntive ('ommeil of the Ntate. But they provide the (qovernor with a madens of a ('oumeil who are reponsible ministers, and they provide that reponsible ministers must in part be ahoo in Darliament: the provisions are clumsy, but it is clear that at any one time four must be in Parliament, and that no one of the whole number ciln hold an office for over three months without becoming a meml er of Partiament. But, again, white a Parlimmontary Fxecotive is contemplated, though not legally provided for in complete measure, there is no hint that the Executive must control Parliament or depend on Parliame:at for its position. The Governor might theoretically" call in a number of non-ministers to make up his Comeil, dnd again, ministers might legally remain in
office though without support in Parlament, if they could only keep seats in Parliament.

In the case of Quecnsland the model of New Sonth Wiales Wit: followed in the Order in Council of Jnme 6, 1859, and in the Constitution Act, 31 Viet. No. 38 , and the same rules apply. The grant of the Crown revemes was already made, and there were no politieal pensions. Officers wore to be "ppointed by the Governor in ('ancil save in the case of politieal officers, who were to be appointed by the (iovemor alone, and minor officers, for whom similar provision was made as in New South Wales. Nothing is added to this by aet of the Legislature or by the royal instructions. and the mate tice of responsible gowermment rests on maige alone. The Act 60 Vict. No. 3 merely permits ministers to sit in P'arlialment and dispenses with re-election on aceeptance of office. Eight maty sit, reven in the Aswembly and one in the Conneil.

In the case of South Australia. On the other hand, an effor was made to embody in the aet ${ }^{1}$ some of the principles of self-govermment. By s. 29 of the Constitution Act, No. : of $1855-6$, it is provided that appointments of officers are to be made by the (iovernor with the advice of his Exeentive Conncil, save in the case of othecers who ate required to be members of Parliament. the appointment or dismissal of whom is by the Act rested in the (iovemor alone, while minor appointments may be delegated by the Legislatme or by the fovernor in Council to the heads of departments or other officers. S. 32 provides that after the first general election of the Parliament no person shall hold any of the offices of Chief Sceretary, Attorney-General. Commissioner of Crown Lands and Immigration, and Commissioner of Public Works for any longer period than three calendar months, unless he shall be a member of the Legislative Council or Honse of Arsembly, and the persons for the time being holding such oftiees shall ex officio be members of

[^42]the Exerntive Council. S. $3: 3$ provides: No officer of the Covernment wall be bomed to obey any order of the Governor involving anse expenditure of publice money : nor shall any warrant for the payment of money or any appointment to or dismissal from office be valid except as herein provided, moless such order, warant, appointment. or dismissal shall he signed by the fiovernor. and countersigned by the ('hief Secretary.' Ministers do not vacate their seats on acrepting othice. Provisom is also made as usual for a Civil List and for persions to officers retiting on politioal groumds. ${ }^{1}$ A.t No. 5 of 1873 altered the position slightly by providing that the Attorner-(ibieral need not be a political officer in the sense of being a member of lanliament, but he must hold office only as long as the Whistry of whel he was a member lield office. An additional minister was also added, to hold office on the same terms as the otlier ministers. Act No. 779) of 1901 provided, as atl alle of retrenclment on federation, that there should be only four officers who should bear such tithes and till sumb offices as the (iovernor might appoint. Aet N゙o. 0.59 of 1908 rased the mumber to six, one of whom slowld be an honorary minister, and not more than four of the ministers were to be at any one time mombers of the House of Assembly. The royal instructions recognize that some members of the Executive council are so ex officio. but they do not limit the number, and the Chief Justioe who administers the Govermment in the absence or incalatity of the Govemor hats a seat in that body:

Even the moderate provisions of the Aet of 18.5 - 6 were criticized as being an undue effort to legislate on matters regarding the prerogative, but the Lientenant-(fovernor, in reporting on the Bill, 2 stated that he was advised that the provisions were not illegal, and that it was for the Imperial Govermment to decide if they should be approved or not. No execption was expressed in respect of them by that Government.

In the case of Tasmania, on the other hand, the absolute

[^43]silence of the comstitution Act of $1 \times \mathrm{Vi}$ (t. No. 17 is quite remarkalle. 'The Act provides for a ('ivil List, and for compensition to officers who may retire on political gronnds:-

Whereas by the opreation of this Aet certain Otficers of the (iovernment will be more liable to loss of office on politieal gromble than heretofore and it is just to compensate the present holders of silech office for the actaral loss of sulde offices in case the same shonld happern mpon political grommes or at their option to compensate them for sum increased liability to loss of office.

But it does not vest any official appointmente in the (invernor in Comeil. and it makes no provixion for ministerial office. It does prowide in s. $2-2$ for the vacating of places in Parliament if an officer acerpts office moder the (rown at pleasme but apparent!y all sheh persons were rligible for re-election. and no distinetion is made between political and ordinary offices. An Act 34 Viot. No. 4: provided that no offieres holding appointmonts from the Eowernor or the Gowernor in Council shonld be elected members of Parliament execept the colonial Sereretary, the (olonial 'Proasmer.' the Attorner-(ienemal. and the Minister of Lands and Works. By another Aet $64 \mathrm{Vi} \cdot \mathrm{t}$. No. 5 , s. 8 , provision was made to alter the provisions of s. 27 of the C'onstilution Aet so as to provide that ministers need not vacute office on aceepting olfice after election to Parliament. The only other legivlation bearing remotely on the question is the provision in the Acts of the Legishatere for the creation of the new offiee of Minister of Lands and Works in 1 stion? and varions Acts settling the salaries of the ministres of the (rown. ${ }^{3}$ But it may nko be boted that the Interpretation Act. 1000 , defines the Governor to mean the Governor arting with the ndvice of his Exceutive comncil. There is not, however, a trace of comexion between the Ministry and Parliament as far as law is coneerned.

[^44]In the rase of thestern Anstratia there is mote eonarions effort to provide for ministerial repornsibility. There is made prowision fit the payment of pensions to oftions remover on politioal grombls: there is aloo a provi-ion exactly like that in foree in New South W̌aldo, Quermsland amel South Anstraliat, ve-ting the appointment - of ofticers in the lathe of the (ewernore in tomeril. except int the ase of
 or order of the ( iovernom in (ommeil to the dieposal of the lemes of departments. A ('ivil Live is provided with tive ministerial salaries in return for the surender of the trown revenues. $\&$. $\because t$ of the ('onstitation late down that offieers holeling offices of protit under the t'rown shall lase offiee on certion to the !allament. But : exepts from the operation of this rale the five exerontive oflice fone of which most be held ber a member of the Lexislative (ommeil) of the (iowernment liable to be vatated on politieal grommeds. Which shall bederignated and declared by the (ionernor in (onmeil within one month of the eoming into operation of the det. Memhers of Parliament alerepting politieal oflioe were to vacato
 Was nominee. to remomination. The det dis Vict. No. 1 : rontimes these provisions. but also provides detinitely for the pexition of the Execentive (iovermoment as follow:-
43. (1) There may be six prineipal exerotive wfoese of the (iovermment liable to be valeated on politial gromble and now more. (2) 'The said wfices shall be suld six offices as shall be designated and derelared bex the fowernor in (ommed from time to time to be the six principal exerentive ottices of the (iovernment fore the purperes of this Act. (3) One at least of sheh exereutive wheres shall always be held by a member of the Laerivative ('mmet.
the last subseretion repeating a provision as to the (omneil contaned ins. 1:3 of the ('onstitution. The roval instrmetions reeognize the right of the fowernor to sedert sheth persons as he think: fit to make up the Exeentive ('ommeil. and, as will be reen, the Aets do mot arthelly refer in terms to the constitution of the Execoutive (omncil at 11 .

## 8 4. New \%tillasu

Wre hate aero in the proveding ehapter how it was foumd presithe tor realle reapomsible erovernment out of the repre-

 the ropal instructions regathang the eomposition of the
 of Herember s. Is.it:-1



 change into operation. In this ronintre the rerognized plan
 responsible to Parliament and lheir eontimanere in offiere paralieally depende on the vote of the lan Honses, beste of
 sistrom into elfere in the North American (oblonies. legislation has indered beron mecessaley to make a thindimer arangement for the surremer hy the t'rown of the teritorial reventme which has gemerally formed pat of the seleme and for the cotablishmum! of a 'ivil List, but not for athy other purpose. In New Vealand the territorial memme hats already beren
 lave no terms to propose with regard to the (ivil bist alredy extablished. Unless, therefore there are local laws in existence which would be repughant to the new system legishation seroms mealled for exepht for the very simple purpose of seruring their pensions ? oretimg offecers, and if mealled fors such logishation is medesiable, thecamse the laws so conated would probably stand in the way of the varions partial ehamese which it might be necessary to achopt in the dotails of as sistem in its math ure liable to much moditieation.

The I'arliementary Disquentification Act, 1s7s, of New Zealand took steps to disqualify oflicers holding appointments under the Govermment from membership of the (eencral Asembly, but it made an exception in the case of members of the Execotive Commeil. provided that there were not more than ten, of whom two must be members of

[^45] larly, hat omite the limitation in member. 'Tlue only other
 membere of the lixerentioe (bmucil who are ministere pased int 1873 and 1ssi, which are thas comsolidated in s. Wh of the (:ivil List Act, b:ws, No :

Each of the Vinisters to whom salany is appropriated moler this Are shall be at member of the Fxerentise (ommeil loblinge ome of more of the minti-lorial offices mentioned in the secome sehedale hereto. hat if lwo or mote surh whires are held at any one time her the same ministere. he wall neverthedes be paid the salaty attanded to one of the : id whices only.

 conncillors.

## S. Sorra drmal

 in the cirembstances alfereting repensible government. 'Tho
 povision for the appointment of lan hew offeres, one a C'ommissioner of ('rown Lande and Jathle Works, the other a Secretary for Nitive Atfairs, whas shall hold othece dhming pleasinre and be appointed bey the (rown, hot, as nsual in


 of Parlament and who ate to hater al right of dehate in either honse if members of one, but not to vote exeret in the hones
 for the there offieres then hokling the posts of seceretary Treasmere, and Ittorney-deneral in the event of retirement on politieal grounds, and the salatios of ministers are haid down and their posts dechared not to be pensionable. The new letters patent domod attempt to alter the eomposition of the Exerutive comeil, leaving it open to the (envernor to appoint ally person whom he chooses in aldition to any who might hy law be members. No law ever made any ministers
member ，mud from the tirat to the last ministerial repmonsi－ bility has exiated merely hy rolatom．

In the rase of Nutal there is a romplete romttiat，and ＂most datermine ethort was malle to aremer the prime iphes
 alterimg the conatitution．The rexerved bill．No．I af later． ＂ontained a rlanar provitling that the Gowernor shomlat
 from the coming into furce of the det，and thereatere from
 altioes were to be appointed bye the（＇man and were ob hold
 fre vatated on political gromme．Fiath mini－tor whold be a member of the laginlative（＇momeil．or be or berome within
 more that two minintere shombl be membere of the t＇momot
 hat ate only in the homse of which he was a member．＇Thent it was latel down họ s．1：：• Phe worls（iovernor in tommil
 deermed to mean the dowermor ateting with the advere of his ministers，amel such ministers shall comstinte the Fixerotive
 －ized this as follows：－
 with the Dedogates varions puilts on detail in which they agree with me that the lathenage of the Bill wave rapable of improvement withont impating the somse．With one excep－
 whichexplan themedres．I shomb．howere wherve that the adelition to（liallise 3 is simply a preathtion in base all matoreseen emergeney should make it neerosary to obtain Leginlater anthority for ally purpose，before the artange－
 thtion．

10．The ome exeption to whieh I refore is in（latlore 1：3， Which（lathere as passed．decdared thatt the Ministers shomble comstitute the Exerontive（＇onmeil．Such a provision appears out of place in a C＇onstitution Act．of which the primary
whent is the "reation of lewinative chambers alled the regnlation of theid finnetions: while the ohjeret in virw womht eghally well he attailed in almollor waty In fact, thromgh-

 ot matittern partioe that of poritive law.



 mominally rematin members of tho Fixerotive lommeil, but









 Anstralian monde. on that the words int the amended bill will be momeros:

I forel eonticlent that it will he agred that the ('on-titution
 of the Exerontive (ommeit.

Aceording!y. the Bill as it became haw as Act No. It of Is!es. comtamed onty the provisions for six ministerial officers. for their righi lo-it in the lavelathere ithont re-elections, and
 on appontment lothe Dinistive withinfone mont lis. Aprintthents to all where exept those liable to be vaciated on
 bexides a ('ivil hist with a sperial provision for the hatives
 ant political momats fom the ports. The bew letters patent contained maty the HEnal provisiont allowing the
 members-1 1 hre were nome-alld ally othor peromb, the



In the rase of the 'Irmsvaal, as was natmal, the model of the case of Nital was followed exactly. (lasse slvii of the lefters pratent of December 6 , 1906, provides for the appointment of not more than six ministers to be appointed ly. the Governor in the Kinges mame, and to hold office at pleasure. These ministers were not subject to re-edertion if members of the Legislatmere or to discomatiteation from clecetion if not members, and a ministere conld speat in both honsers, but voteonly in the homse of which he was a member. But there was no provision requiring that ho shonk be a member of cither homse withan any fixed period or at all. In addition a ('ivil List was granted, and provision made for pensions to retiring oflecers, and all appointments were vested in the hamk of the derernor in ('omedi, save in the case of ministers, and smbject to any haw which might be pasised. But the lefters patent creating the office of Governor which were simultaneonsty issued enlarged the position by providing that ministers shomal be part of the Executive Commeil, but it was not provided that the ministers should constitute the commeil. In the ease of the Orange River (obony the same provisions were adopted, but only five ministerial ohlices were laid down.

## S (i. The Fedmbitions ind the Uxhon

In none of the cases which have so fat been disensed is any provision to be fonnd creating an Excentive (ommeil. The reasen is not ditienth to see : it is due to the sambe fact as areomuts for the absence in the amstitmions of coloniess generally of any powision regarding the office of Gowernor. When it was proposed in the Natal ease to insert smeh provision. The step was deprecated by the Home Government on the gromed that the matter was esometially one for the prepogative and should mot be made the matter of an Act, and the proposal was dopped. ${ }^{1}$ But the case is otherwise with the Federations and the Chion. for obvious reatons. The prerogative of the (rown to create a Ciowernor-fieneral over several provinees or states is indeed elear; it was

exerefised in the earte days of Justraliat, and ako frequent ty and recrularly int the ease of ('illatda. But that was the creation by the prerogative of an offeer with powers over a seme of foblonies which he exereved seppatately in cateh ; he had mot and conld not have any poner over the colonies

 and fir the admi etration of the fowernment her him with the the of : ? on wil wheh is called in (anadal the Jriey
 tiver council. But it is important to mote how little mote is provided bey the Dominion ('omatitution. S. so of the Brefish North A dererere Aet, 1stiz. dee lares that the exerutive government of 'amada is rested in the Queen : s. It provides:-

There shall be a fonmeil to aid and adrise in the (iovernmont of ('indada to be steled the (Guenn: Privy founcil for Gamada, and the perems who are to be members of that Gomed shall bre from time to time ehowen and summoned by the fowemor-dieneral and - worn in as Prive (buncillorse and members thereof may be from time to time removed by the


The Dominion (onstitution contains no other provision regarding the matter : the ynalifieations of semators do not contain alny mention of a wenator mot being a minister, and the qualifientions of members are left to the loeal haws of the provinees te decide until the parthament of ('anada deredes otherwise. But the Dominion Parliament hats reepmed re-clection in case of the acereptanere of salaried office in the Dinistrye ha ('amadal the motel of the lmperial lrive founcil has heen followed, and the members of the Privy 'ommeil retain membrehip, male formally dismised, which wonkl only take place in ciremm-tances wheh woukl jut ify a similar deletion of the name from the roll in England. The lrive fommeil has alos contained some mombers who haterenever held ministerial offie and who are onls appointed homorise rensar. But the rute of rahinet erovernment, which

[^46]hats been dereloped in ('imada perbiape more perteretly than dsewhere is carved ons ass Bombot ${ }^{1}$ points ont, mider the constitutional nasge, not under the regime of formal law, just as moll in the Dominion as in the Provinces.

In the ease of the ('ommonwealth. s. if of the ('onstitution
 athe renders it exereisable by the (iomemor-tieneral as the


There shatl be a Federal Execotive e , mest to adrise the Gowernor-deneral in the govermment on the ('ommonwealth. and the members of the (ommeil shall be chosen and summoned by the (iovernor-deneral and sworn as Execotioe Gommeillers, athe shall hodd ottice during his pleasmre.
S. of permits the (iowernom-(ieneral to appent offieers to administer such departments of the fovermment as the
 - hall hold othere during the pleasure of the fovernor-fieneral. They shatl be memhers of the Federal Execotive Comedi, and shall be the Quecens Vinisters of State for the (ommonwealth. After the tirst gencral election no minister of state shall hold office for a longer periond than three months maless he is or becomes a semater or a member of the Honse of Representatiose. Bys. Bi.s. matil the Parliament otherwise provides. the ministers of state are not to exced seren in number. athe shall hold such offices as the Parliament ors, in the abseme of provision. the fiowemor-demeral dieeds. Vinistersarepermit ted toholdseats in Parliament withont redection. Even in this case it will hereen that it is not daimed that the Execotive come il thall be composed of ministers only. and the letters patent of the fiovernor-General permit him to appoint -neh persons besides ministers as he thinks tit.

In the ease of the ['uion of Somth Viviea the model of the fommonweath hat heen followed with exactmes. There are to be ten ministers whoshall be membersof the Execoutive Gommeil, and who mat be either members of Parliament or mast ohtain seats within there montls. Flofe are not suhjeet tore-rlection becallse of areeptance of oflice. But here

[^47]again the ministers do not constitute the lixecutive ('onneil : they are onl an essential part of it. ${ }^{1}$

This review of the conditions in force will show how far from aceurate was Mr. Higinhothamis view that the responsible government of the Colonies rested on parliamentary enactment. In some cases there is no trace of such enatetment ; in other cases certain members must be inchuded in the Executive ('omed of the Governor. But there is no attempt to do more than provide that the members who aro e.x officio Executive ('ouncillors are also to be, or some of them are to be, members of Paliament. Not one constitution attempts to lay down the law that a government must rule by a parlamentary majority. But of course the rule is mone the less binding, though it is mot laid down in formal language, and the advantage that it does not rest on enactments is seen in the ohvions diffienty which would arise if any effort were made to set forth in terms of law a system so complicated and difficult to express with precision.

[^48]
# P．MR＇T II．THE EXECDTIE のはNERNMEN 

（＇H．NPTER I

## THE゙（：OVERSNOR


Tha：devernor of a folony or state and the diovernor－ Cencral of a Federation or Union are alike appointed by the Imperial（envermment，terhmeally by the king on the advice of the appropriate minister．the secretary of state for the Cobonies．Of course，in the vase of the great appointments： those to（ematat，the Commomwealth，and New Zacaland， and to the Union of Sonth Afreat，it is chear that the Prime Minister is cutitled to be rom whed，white a．．．questions of hiv personal representation in a great Domasion of the （rown it is rextain that the Soweregn mast be expected to lake a persomal interest．and it was beliered that the Juke of＇ommatughts sedection as Conemon－temeral of C＇anada Was an ale of King Edwards．But in addition to the home athorities there has gradhatly been erolved the pratetice of informally consulting the（encrmment of the Dominion of state in question．The immovation was one against winch Mr．Higinbotham with all his：heare protested ；he considered， in his zeal for the separation of the haperial and the（ofonial pheres，that it was never right to atlow of ally such pro－ ceeding as a consultation beforehand with the Government， however informal．＇The matter came to a head in 1888 ，when the fovermment of Queenstand asted that they should be given an opportunity of learning the name of the officer

[^49]proposed for appointment before he was artatly appointed. Lard Kimenford, in a letter to the Agent-(ieneral of Quernslame of Octotore 19. 1sss. deedined to comply with the pro posial on the ground that it was ofwions that the officor elarged with the duty of conducting the foreign relations of the (rown and of adrising the ('rown when any guestion of Smperial as distinct fiom (olonial relations arose, most the selected by the serectary of State for the Queencsappowal. and mast owe his appointment and be responsible to the ('rown alone. It was thet possible, therefore, for the responsible ministers of the Colonye to share the responsibility of nominating the (iowernots. or to have a veto in the selections. But the Serretary of state wis deeply eonseions of the necersity of sedecting a person of high capacity and character for the important post, and hoperl that the selection made wonld prove aereptathe. The whoice fell on Sir H. Blake, and eroked a sorm of indignation : the . Ministry joined with the opposition under Nir sammel (iritlith in deprecoting the appointment. and commonicated their viewe thongh the Arent-liencral, a comse to which Lord Kinutsford took exception. preferming that the matter shonk be dealt with in the misat formal manner thromgh the officer administering the (iovermment. to whom he telegraphed asking the gromuds of the ofjection to the appointment proposed. At this jumeture the Agent-(ieneral of Sonth Anstralia intervened whit a request from his dovernment that they might be informed who was to suceced sir $W$. Robinson. The rease for the refnal fog give the regnired information was conveved (o) the Agent-(ieneral in a letter from the Colonial Office of Norember his, lsss, in which stress was laid upon the Imperial duties of the officer selected, and on the danger of charges of farourtism being bronght againet a ('olonial (:overnor, Who Was approved hy a Colonial (iovernment. if he nsed his diseretion in the delicate hasiness of granting a dissolution in their fasomr. Morcover. it was intimated that it wonld be diffienh to ast a distinguished man to modertake a post

[^50]subject to his appointmont medting the approval of persoms at a distance who could ha: ve no knowledge of his c:apatitios For the post. 'The finvermment of (ginernstand pelt in a -trong reple to the raptest for information of the grombla


 ati Imperital officer, a person alrereptable to the rolomial Gowermment with which he mas werk and which paid his salary. On lhe other hand, in a disenssion in the Vieforian Legislative Assembly on Nowember Ifi, Isss. the Premier deckared himsedf opposed to alls, attempt to seedme a voide in the selection of Govermors. The (iovermment of Sonth Australia, however, on Nowember 21 sellt home a tedegram in whide they disedaimed the right to appoint a (iovernor, but pointed out very effertively the advantages of their being consulted in advance as to the selecetion, in whieh ease they could bring forward any serions oh jeection-and no other objection would be allegerf. They aloo offored to suggest a hame if the Imprerial Govermment wished. New South Wales chimed in on Nowomber $\because=$ hy monding an addese from the Legishative Asombly. in which they anked that no future (dovernor should be sellt out who had not hedd high politieal offiee in the United Kingdom. or heen in Parliament. Theyatoonded that it would he in accordance with theconstitutional privileges of the colonies if the name of any intenderl aponintee were commmicated to the robonial Government.

Sir H. Blake solved the guestion by resigning, but on Jnly 8. 18s!), Lard Kinut ford (explained to all the Anstralian States and New Zandand his views on the matter. After referring to the protests of the three ('olonies he proceeded :-1

Of the romaning Anst masian Colonies moder Responsible Govorment. Vidoria has derdared strongly against any commmications with the colonial Jini-t.ers in regard to the veleretion of the Governot, and the Governments of New Zealand and Tasmanial have made no reprexentation on the suhject to Her Majeoty* Gowermment. I may add that
Parl. I'ap, C. .
although there has been mo oflicial correspondence wish Gannda on this question. I have been infermed that the Jominion dovermment are deridedly of opinion that the appointment of a fiovernor-fiencral should be mathe without any reference to the rexponsible Dinisters.

Her Majesty's (iovermment have read with attention the debates in the (olonial Parliaments. and withont reforring in detail to those dixellssions it may suffier fo: me to saly generally that the fuller reports of them have contimed the opinion which Her Majest $y^{\circ}$ s ( (overmment had heen led to form after considering the information prevonsly received by telegraph. namely: that the expediener of making amy constithtional change in the mode of appointing the fowernor of an Anstmlan colomy has mot been extablished. Ther beliese, in fact. that the objections stated in the letter adthessed on November lith last to the Agentrieneral for Sonth Australia, a cops of which is ambexef for compenione of reference. greatly outweigh the advantage whieh the might in seme rases derive from a knowledge of the op inion of the gentlemen at the time serving is 'olemial Ministers.

Her Majestys (fosermment ferl that the are justificel in claiming, for themselves as well as for their prederessors. that a remarkable meaname of allecess. both as requats the capatity and chatactor of the (iovernors appointed, and as regards the approval with which those appointments have been received in the colonides. has attended the sinerere cmeleavonss which have at all times beren mate to secome the best possible selection in eath case. Ther desire at the same time to point out the diffieuhies which might arise if the areal of selertion were absohtely limited. as has hern smggested, to persons who have held high political oficer in Einglathe.
 persens are eregrently not prepared to retire from a promising puble eatreer at home in order to serve out of England for al tom of rears. and it is worthy of wherration that the shggested limitation woukd havi excholed almost all of the most suceresfal Anstralasian fowemons.

It appears. inderd. to be nocessary onl every gromend that Her Majesty fiovermment shonld eomeluct. withomt assistance from the colony, the contidential negotiations prediminary to the ackection of a (iowemore while they rould not invite a person so selereded by them to allow his name to be sombited for the apmowal of gentlemen at a distance. to whom (thongh well and favomahly knewn here) he mat. be altogether minknown.

I can therefore only peat that the true intereste of the
 rdations betwern the coblonios and thicemantre will, in the
 adhering to the princeples upon which the appointment of Governor has hitherto beren minde.

None the less, the position taken up ber Lod Kint- ford did not prove possible of sllecessul defence. The Margues
 appointment conld not be procerted with, and in fact the principle of comsintation wats in eftere grantert. hadered. it wis not reasomable to demy it, and it was mad that the (Bovermment of New Zealand were romsulted regindiag Lard Onslow's suceresome 'the choice of ahbe men is mos so limited int the United Kix gedom that the (iowermment ean
 if for any good ground an ohjection is taken to a nominere of the (forermment. Tine result of comsultation is mot to transfer the control from tive fensomment to the (iblomy: it merely ensures that the appeintment when mate shath bo a pepmare one, and no (iosermor is likely to be induced to be unfair hey the fact that a partienbar party arecepted his appointment: he is nomally quite well aware that the opposition would have aceepted him just as readily as did the (iovernment of the day. On the other hand, the Imprevial forermment have maintained their resolve not to allow suggestions for the appointment to be make, at any rate in any formal way, though every afort is made to humour inthedual idiosyncrasies. such as the appatent desire of New Zasaland-the most demorratic of all roblonies-for al peer at the head of the fowernment.

## S.2. The (iovernors of tife Aretralian States

The question of the position of the fiovernor in the Anstralian states has, however, become somewhat pressing since federation reduced the importance of the position.

[^51]Eisen before that there were spasmodic attempte to suggest theressation of the paretice of semding ont fiewernore from
 by the eoming into fonce of federatiom. It was kilown that the Provinces of C'anadal were administered by LedelenalatGovermats appointed by the (iowermor-fiancral, and, thongh that arrangement was not pophlar with the atpporteren of state rights, who recognized that to confer the power of appointment on the (iovermor-ficneral was to subject the states to federal control in a way guite ineomsivent with their own views and aspirations, the were inclined in view of prosible eronomies 10 diminish the sathery of the (envernor and allow the ('hief destiee to hold the prost as well as his own. This view was supported hy otheres who were totally opposed to the maintenance of state rights. and who weleomed ang. step) which would have the result of lowering the stathe of the states and forthering their ideal of their abolition as inelependent entities. ${ }^{1}$ Horeover, cuents made it necessiary for all the Govermments to eoonomize, and the obviolis economy of cutting down the Gewernor's pay was appree iated on all sides. But the movement did not iltimately prevat as much as was expereted, ${ }^{2}$ and the state Premiers $\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{a}}$ their Conference at Brishane in May lowi pased a resohation against any interference with the existing system, as bering likely to tend to the lowering of the position of the states, thongh the representatives of Sonth Australia cepressed the view of the state diovernment in fivome of the change from home to local apperintments:a Fone the less. in the . e

[^52]lativa Aasmbly of Vichoria in the pear l！eras．a reahlation





 should lar al ritizen of the state：it wis tot proproad Io deprive the t＇rown of the right of appointme＇lle．Sot it was dexired that the elocicer shomblall fin al member of the
 that reven the highest pest in the commonity was opento its ditizens．It was eromerally moteramed that it was mot properad．had the selection heon left th the（imemment．th
 Victuria．but lor choome a di－tinguinhed ritian formorly in pelitios for the post．But althongh Mr．Frioce visited lingland
 sereretary of state fonmed himatli mable to areerede to the
 October 9．Itors．

The sugyestion was made in the following memorandum for


> Premior's Olfice, Adelaide. Angnst I, lans.
 statcment to your Lomdship）：－

In the interview which your Lardihip was good emongh to grant me daring my reeont visit to England．I had tho homor to place before your the views held by my（ Bovernment








 I！！．1114 x＋リ．
concerning the appointmont of lithere (inwarmers the this Nillt.


 somir favminde comindo.ation.


 been transform liy the perphle the the control of the (in insme t of the (ommonwalth of Ststralian
 the people of Somth Anstralial. the alminiatration hat bern

111. Ferom the fomblation of the state th the present time

IV. The gentomen who hase had the homen to repreadel the (rown in this state have diesharged their daties with \%all and with dignity, to the weat sativetetion of Hl Majestys subjerts in this pertion ol the limpire.

1. During the period of coltontation it was no dombt add
 to dirert and palde the admi datration of gevermment.
V. Eight Vears agu the IJome Parliament, ley pasinge the



 distimgnished for their skil in com-tithtional semproment. allal for the dignity with whioh they hater exomered the powns assigned to them hy the 'rowns. 'The revation of
 position of the stath (invemor.
VII. 'The eost of the Pederal fensomment. In whiel is
 lishment, hass comsidemaldy added to the burden of taxation burne ly the pepple of "the ('mmonweath of Anstratia.
 the expemeltare on sexemment shombl he limited. In respon-e to a ereneral expresion of pulble opinion to this -ffere reduce ions have been made in the number of members of the Nate Hon-es of Parliament.

Vlli. The desire to lessent the eose of ervermment is not prompted ley any dimimtion wi lowally to His Dost (imemons Najesty the Kitis. Penple of this State have been, and still
remmin, intonvely Inval to the ('rown allel limpire. Fividence




 life of the Vimpires. With this remel in view, they dresere that



 the Vother Cimitr!.

















 His. Majocty with inceranal acal and ficlelity.

 completed as sucere-blal torm of olfier. may I he promitted

 tion to the viclls which. on behalf of my Gexormurnt. I now hane the lemon to place hefore volu.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \text { have. dre. } \\
& \text { 'I. PRIN: Promirr. }
\end{aligned}
$$

 Itras. addreayd to the (iovermore:-
sime - I have fine homome to arknowledge the rexapt of

a letter rom your Premier, in which he asks that your surecestor in the government of South Aust abia may be a witizen of the state.
2.1 wish to ar knowledge in the first instane the conrteons and friendly terms in whiels Mr. Priere has embodied his views, implying, I am glad to think, a well deserved compliment to the present (invernor. It is at subject on which I had the advantage of learning Mr. Price's opinions while he was in England, and I fully appreciate the strength of his convictions in this matter and the reasons which he gives in support of his proposal.
3. But the change which is suggested is a very far-reaching one-more so than. perhaps, appeams at tirst sight : and it could no., I consider. be entertained in any rase moness it is to be applied to all the Anstralian Sitates, and not to one alone, and mintil publie opinion in Anstralia is demonstrated to be overwhelmingly in its favonr.
4. The proposal as presented to me is one which wonld leave the appoint ment of the Governor to be made, as now, hy His Majesty the King ; hut His Majesty's choice would be confined to eitizens of the State. and, though I moderstand that Mr. Price does not claim that the choice shomld be expressly made mpon the advice of the responsible Ministry of the State it is clear that the person seleeted would need to be one firly acceptable to the Ministry of the day. Governors. therefore, selected in this manner wonld be gentlemen closely identified with local interests and practically the nominees of the party in power when the governorship fell vacant.
5. When the C'anadian Dominion was established, it was provided in the B...ish North Ameriea Art that the federating provinces shonld be moder Licutenant-Governors appointed hy the (iovernor-General in Comeril, and with salaries fixed and paid by the Dominion Parliament. But under the (fommonwealth Act the States of Australia retain a more independent position and larger powers than the Ganadian provinces. and the Governoms are appointed as before, by the 'rown. From time to time maler the present swatem the King's representative may well be, as has no donbt oceasionally happened in the past. ome who has dither been born or has passed part of his life in the colone of which he is subsequently made governor, but it is of the essenee of the system of appoint ment hy the (rown that llis Majesty shall mot be fettered in his choire.
fi. There is. no donbt, much to be salid in favonr of the
('anadian system muder which the central dovernment appoints provinctial governors, and if the people of Australia were to desire to adopt a similar sprem His Majesty.s Government would in all probability fe disposed to adrise His Majesty that the neressary stepshould be taken to carry out their wishes.
7. So far, I molernand there has been no indieation that the States, whose contention is that they remain -owereign States, would desire that their preregatives shonld be diminished, and the evidence of such sowereignty is in part secured by making the appointment of (iovernor in the same manmer and on the same terms as prior to federation.
8 . I am sending a coppo of this despateh to the other State (ionernors and to the Governor-diencral.
This dispateh formed the subject of disenssion in the Quecmsland Assembly in loon9, in the Vietorian Aswembly in 1910, and in the Assembly of Western Australia, where a member of the babonr party bronght forward, on September 7 , 1!)10, a motion to use (iovermment House as a site for the University, with the idea also of terminating the appointment of a fiovernor from home. But it was agreed ahmost manimonsly that the plan was not a dexirable one and the mot ion was rejected, it being folt that if anything were to be done it must. as suggested by Lord Crewe. be done . the state Governments acting together and approarching the hmperial Govermment. It is indeed clear that the change could only be made when the states have derided to abandon in some measure at least their inckendence. There are. moreover, obvions objections to the selection of the (hief Justice as Governor: ${ }^{1}$ ashead of the Execotive he would have to exercise the prerogative of meres. and though he would normally ate on ministerial advier. yet it is chear that it would be impossible to treat the matter in antheng like so satisfactory a manner as at pesent, when the Exerutive and the judiciary are normally independent. Nor is the fore of this objection

[^53]lessened by the fact that the Chief Jnstice has in the past frequently administered for lung periods, for it has been usimal in the larger ('olonies at least to secure him exemption from other duties, and at any rate to let cases affecting the 'rown in any way be heard before other judges.

The administration of the Govermment in the absence of the covernor, or in case of his incapacity, is usmally delegated bug the letters patent to the Lientenant-Governor, If there is one, and if not to the Chicf Jnstice of the Colony. As long as military fores were maintaned in the Colonies in suffieient mombers to secure the presence there of an officer of standing, it was the eustom (though not the earlier practice) to appoint the senior officer commanding the troops to administer, he being an Imperial officer and free from local ties, and the experiment answered remarkably well. Thins in Canada. mintil the removal of all but a small garrison rendered the practiee impossible, the senior military officer repeatedly administered the Government. ${ }^{1}$ The administration now-first in 190:3-devolves on the ('hief Jnstiee or the senior judge in the absence of the formere: In Newfoundland, owing to the absence of troops. the Chicf Justice administers. In the former south African Colonies, where military foress were kept, the senior officer administered, hut on the fonndation of the L'nion it was felt proper to entrost the administration in the first instance to the ('hicf Jnstice, Who was also raised to the peerage as a token of appreciation of his great services to the Empire. In New Zealand the administrator is the Chicf Jnstice -ince the disappearance of the farrison, and the same rule applies to the Anstralian states. except that other persons have from time to time been selected. Thas in the case of (aneensland the President

[^54]of the Legiskative Commerl holds the post of LientenantGovemor: in Westem Anstralia it is held by an ex-chief Justice. while in the other fome it is held hy the chinef Justice, who in earh rase has heen made Lientenant-Govemor, a post Which earies with it merely an honorary position and style as long as the (iovernor is administering. lat the casc of the ('ommonwealth the plan hats mow been adopted of conferring a dormant commis: for the time being New Sonth Wiales or

The (iovernor-(ieme 'll the two senior state fovemors ti a preterence for the (iovemor of ria ont the ground ontiguty. and the Govemors alike are anthorized, the former $b_{\text {a }}$, etters patent under statute, the latter hel letters patent, to appoint deputies whose appointment is limited by the instruments appointing them, and whose existence does not hamper in any way the action of the Governor-General or Goverume. In the ease of brief absence from the Dominion of New Zealand. Sewfoumdand, of the States the ( dovernots of the Dominion or Newfometland on the States are not deemed to be absent so as to requite the coming into force of the appointment of the LientenantGovernor or other persom as administrator. if they have "ppointed deputios." and the same rule beed to apply to the Colonies in South Afriea which had responsihle government. Moreover, ceren in the case of such temporat absence the (eovernor is to te deemed to possess full power to perform all his functions. a curions position, and one wheh scems open to serious objection. as al Governor would seem prima facie to have power only whin the limits of the territory of his colons, and the assent to a Bill if given outside these limits might be deemed illegral.

The power of appointing deputies where not given hy

 the prower is regulated hy the letters patent. In ald the provinces the Liemtemant-(iovemor is allthorized to appoint deputies for surecifie purpmes: : apparenty a l-eal powet sece Procinciel Legistation, $1867-95$,
p. 19ns.
${ }^{2}$ sere, ceg., New Zealan! Instructions, November 19, 1907, clauses ix and $x$.
statute has been considered to be of doubtful validity by so distinguished a lawyer as the ('hiof Justice of South Australia, ${ }^{1}$ so that it cannot be said to be free from doubt. Bat it does seem quite within the powers of the Governor when he is authorized to do it by letters patent: it is clear that without such authority he could not do it. ${ }^{2}$ There is no case where any act clone by a deputy has been held to be invalid by the conrts, and this is a case where persistent practice would seen to answer adequately theoretic doubts as to the validity of acts so done.3 On the other hand, the validity of acts done by a (iovernor when outside his ('olony has not been determined in any case, and the costom has not ret had much time to estahlish itself as valid. It may also be noted that a deputy Governor, if he is trusted with the full control of the Govemment, may be an ofticer administering the (iovermment within the meaning of the letters patent, and so may receive privileges, e.g. exemption from eustons dues normally granted only to Govemors.

## S. The Shaby of the (Governor

The salary of a Colonial (iovernor is paid in every case of the responsiblegovemment colonies by the colony of whish he is Covernor. In every ease also the amount is provided hy a permanent Act, and is not voted anmmally. Moreover, it is established by law or custom that the salary of a Governor shall not be diminished during his tenure of office : if Parliament decides to reduee the amount it will take effert only when the new (iovemor comes into office. The practice was illust rated by the case of Sir Fowell Buxton,

[^55]Who was appointed to be (iovernom of Sonth Australio. The salary was reduced befone he actually took up office, but there had been notiee se ven of the possibility of reduction, and thongh llr. Chamberlain informed Sir F. Buxton that he was entitled to withelraw his acereptance of office he deelined to avail himself of the permission. ${ }^{1}$ Again, dimimg the financial difficulties in Queensland during the drought a dedurtion was made from all official salaries: the (iovernor's salary was left motouched. but Sir Herbert ('hermside generonsly surrendered a proportional part of hiv own free will until times should improve. As a matter of fact. however, the amoment of the salary is eomparatively mimportant compared with the question of allowances: for example, the official salary of the (iovernor of Vietoria is $£ 5.0$ ont, but no staff is provided: that of the (iovermor of South Australia is fixed at $\mathfrak{f t , O m}$, but practieally nothing else is paid, and he most, in addition to paying income tax, provide himself with a staff at his own expense, an attempt to inerease the allowances failing in 1910. Or again, the Covernor of Tasmania recerves omy $f^{2} .500$ and an allowamee of $f^{2}$ an for a private secretary, and the Labonr party defeated an attempt in 1910 to grant an extra $\mathrm{f} \boldsymbol{0}(0)$ for travelling. The practice as to upkepp of house and grounds varies very much from place to place and from ministry to ministry:- In the rase of C'anada the salary and large allowances have been sufficient to uphold the dignity of the office and since an attempt in the very early days of responsible government in the Dominion there has been no serious project of rehtections. ${ }^{3}$ In the fommonwealth the attempt to secoure in 190 an increase of salary by way of an entertamment allowance for Lord Hopetom resulted in the refusal of the Commonwealth

[^56]${ }^{2}$ Now sumth Wales is particulary geperons, Vieturia much less su, as a result of the presenere there of the Federal (aowrmment. Wiestern Anstratia in 1910 increased the (envernores allowances. For south


 The atlempt lont t'anada Lord Mayonas a lierere.

Parliament tos sanction the proposial, and ended in the retirement of the (iovernor-Genteral. ${ }^{1}$ In ('imadat the CommonWealt hand the linion the salary fixed is elo, omona year; in New
 varies from £is.mo in New South Wiales and Victoria. \&4.006
 in'lasmania, to $\$ 10$, 100 in Newfomblland. In all cases exemption from constoms duties on official belongings is accorded.2

The different bominions also vary in their treatment of the staff of the (fowernor-(ieneralor Governor. In the ease of ('analda an efficient official staff is provided, which is paidl for hy the Dominion (iovernment, and the members of witheh are members of the ('anadian Civil Service, but as long as they are employed in the dovernor-Generalis office are moder his sole control as regards their condnet of affairs. In addition there is a Secretary to the Governor-General, paid by C'anada but chosen by the Governor-General himself, and changing with the different Governors-General. In the Commonwealth of Anstralia there is, besides the Governor-General:s private secretary. who is not paid by the Government, an official private secretary an officer of the Commonwealth Government, by whom he is paid. but under the control of the GovernorGeneral, and that officer is provided with a clerical staff. Similar arrangements are made in the other Dominions and States, but the Governor is essent ially left to deal with matters which eome before him maided save by the assistance which his private seceretaries can render. The help given has been in at least three eases in recent years eonsiderably inereased by the selection of members of the colonial Office for the task in C'anada, Anstralia, and South Africa.

The Governor or officer administering is in every ciavo entitled locally to the style of Exechleney-a style also given to the Lientenant-fovernors by eonrtes-and in the case

[^57]of the Federations and the Union the style is extended to his wife, while by lucal nsigge it aften is given in other placers. In the case of the Federations and the Conion the style is alser adopted in formal correspondence with the Govermor-fieneral. hat not with Governoms. The (iowernor as representative of the susereign is entitled to revtain salutes from lmperial mon-of-war, and receives varions marks of distinetion from local military forees, bands. ${ }^{1} \&\left({ }^{2}\right.$. He wears a special miform, and is contitled to the respeet dive to a representative of the (rown.

There are varions minor maters respecting finvernors which may be moticed. In the first plate, no fovernor is allowed to accept presents as Governor withont the permisiom in each case obtained of the seeretary of State. 2 This permission has been given ats almost a matter of comese as in the case of valedietory presents, hat the practice is not withont diffieulties, and Lord C'arrington, when Governor of New Sonth Wiales, diseouraged it as applied to himsedf. On the other hand, it is sometimes diffienlt to refuse such presents. and though the (iovernor of Tasmania, Sir (: Strickland. in leaving the colony in 1909 on transfer to Western Anst ralia, int imated that he did not intend to apply to the Secretary of State for leave to accept presents, nevert heless one of some small vahe was given to his wife, who had rendered herself very popular in the state. The rule nowadars is of little consequenee, but it was a different matter in the early days of self-govermment, when a fowernor wiedded a very great direet influence. The case of Governor Darling of Victoria, which will be referred to later in detail. show: how serions a position may develop from the practice of grants to the relations or families of Governors. Of late vears a certain antont of troi ble has been raised by the fact that Governors

[^58]have in one or two cases while in office interested themselves in hosinesses comnected with their colonies. In one case at least in Westerm Anstralia the result was that the fenverome of the colony was surd in a publie comet with ot her persoms as al gharantor of a selocome and the rase went against him.' Recontly a dispateh from the Seromary of state has indiealed the disadrantages of surb procedme in the case of (owernors and ex-(iovernors."

## Si. Combesponomince Rotess

The rules as to correspondence hatre at times created a good deal of friction, but they now are settled on a reasonable hasis. ${ }^{3}$ It is detinitely derided that in all coses the Secretary of sitate will expelt that representations from any peroon in a bominion shall eome to him through the Governor. It was argned with great heat by the redonhtable Sir deorge drey. when he settled, after his retirement. in New Zealand, that he was entitled to address the Secretary of State directly, but the Sereretary of State repudiated that view, which had indered been bitterly opposed by Nir (i. Cirey himself when acted on by Imperial military officers during the war of $1860^{2}-\overline{-1}$ : and the (olonial Regulations contain the fixed rule that commmications must be sent throngh the Governor on pain, if not so sent. of being sent back to him for a report. The Governor has no power to hold back a commmication to the Serretary of State, hat must send it on with such report as seems necessary; if the matter relates to internal affairs. it will then be disposed of by reforring the applicant to the Govermment with whose diseretion the question rests. All answers are invariably sent throngh the (iovernor. the only person in the ('olony whom the Secretary of State ever addresses officially, though the serevetary to the Imperial fonference has been ant horized since $190 \%-8$ to correspond direct on minor matters with the ministers of the Dominions who constitute the (onference. ${ }^{4}$

[^59]The official rules as worespondence ate late down in detail in the (ohomial Otfice rules. They eontain at elassiticattion of dispatehos into publie (which are mombered), contidential, and serect. Withe two latter categorides there are two kinds in a responsible-government tolony, those wheh are intended for ministers but deal with matters of military or haval policy, or foreign relations. or simitar questions, personal and comstitutional matters and so forth, which mast not be published withont prior commmonation with the Imprerial (ewermment. The degree of secerey is ilhetrated by the use of "serere ' on 'rontidential 'respertively. Others of the secere dispateles are persomal to the forernor: and such dispatehes he ean only disclose to ministers so far as is expressly or impliedly contained therein. 'The (iovernors dispatehes are likewise publice, contidential, ore secere, but the Serectary of State hats the full right to publish all or any of these dispatehes. In the new edition of the Colonial Regulations this practice is qualified by the express statement that He will usually comsult the fovernor ere he does so. and this but embodies the practice of years, and is obvionsly due in eourtesy to the (ewemor and his Gevernment. The power of publieation at pleasure has never been appled, of course, to the confidential or seeret commmateations of ministers to the Governor, but only to his dispatches. Sir (i. (irey distinguished himself by dechining indignantly to reveive a commmieation for the sereetary of state as contidential. one of the misdemeanomrs resulting in his recall. abd indered a grose violation of publice derency: ${ }^{3}$

[^60]
## ('H.VPTERE II

## TIIE POWERS OF THE: (OOTERNOR

## S. The Detthes Parext

The appoimment of a (boveruor is made ley lettere patent under the (ireat seal, and the appointment is aceompanied be roval instructions muler the sign-manal and signet amplifying the betters patent. it is impertant to notice that the appoirement is not an exercise of legishative anthority. It is anact of the prerogative in its relation to matters of exerntive govermment. As an act of the prergative it can be recalled by the Grown if the pewer is retained to recall it. probably even if the power is not retained. A very Clear illustration of the dist inction bet weren the letters patent constituting the office of Covernor and those constituting the Legislature is comtained in the two sets of leteere patent issued in 1906 for the Transvalal. The former are deedared to be revocable, but the latter ate not: the former deal with matters afferting the exeretive aluthority of the Gowemor. the latter deal with legishation. So also in the case of the instruments cetablishing the office of Governor of the Orange River feleng and th agislature In the case of Newfomdland again there is a : thetion het wern the elatses of the commission which gra wed in $1 \times 3: 3$ a representative legislature and the dianses refering to the office of (iovernor ; the latter danses have oftern since bern moditied, while to restrict the former there wats need of an Imperiat Act. 'The exerefise of the ropal power in the first case was not that
 ramber instructions of $18: 9$ ), rablimg the ('rown to impose a proproty qualifieation for members of the Lagislature and to provede for a residential
 that all money bole shembl he weommended hy the 'rown. This Aet is made in part permanent hy 10 di $111 \mathrm{Vi} \cdot \mathrm{t} . \mathrm{c} .4$.




 the franderhise sert Il.

In the older form in farere motil the aremtios, the praterer

 and procereded to give him all the dimetions nerersilly for gnidance as (bovernor, mat further details were added in instrinetinns.' In the serenties in cerey ease the old plan, which was very incomveniont, and as regind the formal revonstitution of the berishathre was meaninglese and misleading, was ahandoned. and permandent provision has beren
 manent wot of iastroctioms has loren issmed. while the acthal "ppointment of any individunl to be (iovernor is made by a rommission appointing hime the offiere defined in the lefters patent andsubject to the instimetions. The instroctionsatre, of comser liahle to be supplemented he fresh inst metions. and these may be given aither in the form of instrowtions molere the sign-mamal and signer, or merely be dispatelof from the Sereraty of state; whother formally in the royal name on not serms indifferont, as the only anthority which the serere taly of state has over a (ioverome is as the monthpiece of the Crown. 'There is of collse bo legal obligation on the (fovernor
 out for his gnidance in the Colonial Rules and Regulations: disobedienere does not invalidate his ants; it is movely a question of his duty to his Soweregn, and as every (iosemon
 an lomperial officer the (envernor is also stubjeet to eritiofinm in Parlianment; lant like every Coloniall officere, he is assimed

- There is no fundamential distimetion an regatds legal efferet letwern these instruments when they deal with exeentive matters. Fere examjle. pardon is regnlated in the Federations and the Coinuly the instruchons.

 acted within his instrmetions and in good fath.

Int the eane of the ordinary Colong or State un guestion has ever ariven is to the validity of the issuinge of letters patent to detine the daties of the Gevemor. Nor was the point raised bey the Cabladian (iolomment when Mr. Blake ${ }^{1}$ it icized very semrehingly the comminaton and instrmetions




 arall... "ortitution. As a matter of falct, the attark - pateot as "whold was hardly valid, and has $i_{i}$. mo measmre modified bye Profossor Ifarrison Monere $A_{1}$, Iter "'lition of his work on the ('ommonweath of
 kecping of the great wal to the regmien to delegnte the of his appuinting depulie the (iovernor-feneral, to permit allow of the appointurent of the conclitions laid down, and to ment. It is true that the of and administrator of the (ion ofor-
 missing them, and of simmoning, prorogning, and dissolving Parliament given ber clanses III-V of the letters fatent were somewhat monecessary, being copied from the older c 'anadian model whont regard to the exacet terms of the Commonwealth Act, bat they were imnormons: and of the royal instrnetions, the clauses reparding oathes were necessary to rmpower the Governor-General to impose the oathe in ghestion, and that delegating the prerogation of pardon hass not only shown the limitations of the perwer, hat also aboided ' 'amada Siox. P'aple, 1siti, No. 116; 18:7, No. 13.
${ }^{2}$ 'f. Gial rani, The Gimenment of ciouth . Ifrica, i. 37....
"Are C'ommonercalth of Australia, ${ }^{2}$ pp. 3the seq.

- These powers are atso in ath colses (including Newfommand) somewhat needhe... for there are stantory powers its to appointments, nsually giving
 to suppdent the watute and render appropiate appoimment- to the higher oftices by intruments in the King's name.


## CHIP：M THE：POWERS OF THE：GONERNOR

 tiondeal to pardon at all，for the power may mot he indebeded
 Why the inatrmanenta are mot so becessaly in the rase of ＂Fedaration or a lonion－for the same set of instruments． has heron isamed for the Linion of Somth Afriea fexelmbling
 from the fact noted above that the Exacemive（iosermment
 just as atedematon itadi combld not be created by the 1＇0ット。

 remains al matter of disputer and the ghestion has bot heren much enlightened by the eases in the fombls as to the tewernor wot being a Viceros．The tendeney of there docisions，it is held hy Mr．Taming in his Latr Relleting to the C＇olomies．＇is to exempt the（iovernots of＇ohomias from liability to answer in civil atetons for acts of state in the （omrts both of the Govermments and of Eingland．In support of that view he seems to rely upon the ease of $1 /$ nsegrace $x$ ． I＇alifle，${ }^{3}$ dereded in the Privy（＇oundil in 1579 ：the case is so important for it－actual decision，and in its hearing on the yhestion of al（eovernors power．that it may be set ont int pari：－－

To an atetion of treppase brought against the appellant． Sib Anthony Hnsegate，in the supheme lomet of Jamaica． for wizing and detaming at Kingston in damatica，a selowner walled the＇Flowere＇，of whinh the plantifi was charterereand Which had，as alleged，put into the port of Kingetom in distress and for repairs，the appellant pleaded ble following pleat：－

The defondant，Sir Anthomy Musgrave，by his attorney， comes alld sates that he onght not to be eompelled to answer iin this antom，hereatase be sath that at the time of the grievalnees alleged in the said derelaration，and at the time of the commencement of this action，le was and still i－

[^61]
 rollited to the privileses and exomptions appertaining to surb office atel to the lowder thereof, and that the ades eomplained of in the sibid derlaration were dome hy him as Govermor of the sald lstand of Jamaina, and in the exereise of his reasomable diseretion as such. athe as acts of state; and this the dofondamt is ready to verify. wherefore he patys judgment if he onght to be eompriled to answer in this a! •位m.'

The plaintiff demurrel to this plean and the present appeal is from the julgment of the supmeme ('but allowing the demmrer. and ordering the appellant to answer further to the writ and derdamation.

The plea is in form a dilatory plea, and does not profess tor eontain a defence in hare of the action. It was advisedly pleaded as a plen of privilege, with the objeet of mising the glestion of the immonity of the appellant as ( (owernot from being impleaded and compelled to answer in the comets of the colong. That this wasso is plan not only from the form of the plea, hot from an armagement come to between the parties before the argument of the demurer. In an interlocolory procereding to set aside a judgment of mon pros. as irregularly ohbancd. all order was made by comsent - that all pleas of the defendant. Sir Anh hony diusgrave. except the plea of privilege hy attormer. be struck out, fogether with replications amd entry of judghant of men pros. with liberty to the plamiff to demme, it being ammered that the demmere be set down for hearing at the present lerm, and if a julemont respumdert onver the defemdant. Sir Anthony have liberty to plead not guilty be shat utes.'

The derision of the Supreme (Gumt was aceendingly given upen the plea, as a phea of privilege, and altogether upon this asiert of it, the judgment being one of respomdent ollwistr.
[je"n the hearing of the preant appeal the Altorney(Emeral, on the part of the appelant, whilst not giving ip the plea in the shape in which it was pleaded, insisted that if it diselosed a geod defollere in substamere to the ate tion, as he conterded it did, its form and the armangement of the partios might be disurgatede and agenerat judgment given for the defondant : amd, thomgh mader protest from the
 was allowed to take the wider seope which the Attornery-

 amd as rlaming immmaty to the Cembermo hom liabilit.
 think that it rammot. in that aspere of it. Me shatamed.

 a viecoly and therefore lowally during his gevermment on civil or criminal artion will lice aralmat him: the reasom is,
 was diswented fiom and derlated to be withent legal hommdation in the judement of the Larde of the dmbicial Committere
 In that appeal their Lordships were of opinion that the plea of the Lientenant ( owernore of the laland of 'Irimidad to
 claming that whilat lientenant (imerno he was mot liable
 Was fore a private debt contareded by the defondant in England before he berame fencrmor, but the prine iple atfirmed by the judgment is that the (ionernot of al Colome. maler the commission bsally fismed by the frown cammet -latim, as a persomal privilege, exomption fiom loving simed in the condes of the folony. The claim to such exemption is thas met:- If it be salid that the foseromer of a Coblong is ghasi os derefg. the answer is. that he does not erom represent the Soweregngemerally h wing only the functions delegated to him by the to im of has commision, and hoing omly :he offieer to exerome the speritice powers with which that commission chother him.'

The defendant has somg!t to strenghthen his claime of privilege by a wering in his plea that the actes complained of were done by him as fewernor', and 'as alets of state'. Their Lordshipis propose herealter to comsider the particman a wements of this plea. It is emongh here to saly that it appeans to them that if the (iowermer cammot daime vemprtion from being sumed in the compts of the colony in which he hohls that olfice als a persomal privilegre simply from his heing (iovermor, and is obliged to go furdier. his pleal most then show by foper and sulforent arements that the ands complained of were acts of State polieve within the limits of his commission, and were dome hy him as the servant of the ('rowns so as to be, as they are sometimes shorety fermed, atets of state. A plea, howerer, diselosing these facts wonld raise more than a glestion of personal exempt ion

[^62][^63]from beins surd. and wobld afford an answer to the action, not onl? in the conrts of the Colony, bint in all conrts; and therefore it wonld seem to be a consequence of the deefision in Hill $\underset{v}{ }$ Bigge that the question of personal privilege camot pratieally arise, being morged in the larger one, whether the factspleaded show that the acts comnplained of were really wheh acts of State as are not cognizable by any monicipal court.
la the case of the Fabols of the C'menatic v. the Eienst Indien Compray, ${ }^{1}$ Lord Thurlow said, that a pleat pleaded in form to the jurisdietion of the court, but which denied the jurisdiction of all cenuts over the matter, was absurd; and that such a plea. if it meant anything. was a plea in bar.

In their Lordships' view, therefore, thi: plea, if it can be supported, must be sustained on the gromed mainly relied upon by the Attorney-Gcmeral, viz., that it discloses in substance a defonce to the action.

Before adverting to the sulficiency of the averments in this plea, it will be eonvenient to refer to some decisions in which the position of governors of colonies has been considered. In the leading case oi Fabrigas v. Mostyn, the action was brought against Mr. Mostym, the Governor of Minorea, for imprisoning the phantiff, and removing lim by foree from that island. The Governors eprecial plea of justifieation alleged that he was invested with all the powers, eivil and military, belonging to the govemment of the isknd, that the plantiff was guilty of a riot, and was endeavouring to raise a mutiny among the imhabitants, in breach of the peace. and that in rider to presorve the peace and government of the island he was forced to banish the plaintiff from it. It then avered that the acts complained of were necessary for this object, and were done withont undue violence. Upon the trial the Governor failed to prove this plea, and the plaintiff had a verdiet. When the case eame before the court of Queen's Bench, upon a bill of exceptions to the ruling of the judge. Lord Mansficld said his great difficulty had been, after two arguments, to be able clearly to comprehend what the question was that was meant serionsly to be arged. It seems, wowever, that the hiability of the Governor to be suled was raised, and very fully disenssed, one ground of objection being that he could not be sued in England for an act done in a country bevond the seas. and upon this question Lord Manstield deriared that the action wonld, to :se his own phrase, ' most em-

[^64]phatically' lie against the Gowernor. His judgment proceeds to show, in a passage bearing materially on the point now moder disenssion. In what way a defence to sureh an action might be made. Ile says, If he has acted right aecording to the anthority with which he is investerl, he may hay it before the conrt by way of plea, and the cont will exereise their judgment whether it is a sufficiem justification or mot. In this ease, if the jnstilicition had been proved, the connt might have considered it a sulficiont answer ; and if the nature of the ease would have allowed of it. might have adjudged that the raising a mutiny was a good gromed for sureh a proceeding.

In the case of 'ameron $v$. Kyte. whieh came before this board on an appeal from the Colony of Berbice, the question was whether the Governor had anthority to rednee a eommission of $\overline{\text { o per cent. upon all sales in the Colony, granted }}$ to an officer called the Vendme master hy the Disteh West India company before the capitulation of the colony to the British ('rown. It was urged that the dovernor was the Kinges representative exerefising the general anthoriny of the (rown, and. as such, had power to male the dispinted rednetion. It was. however. deepded that the (abermor did not hold the position or possess the anthority songht to be attributed to him. and that the act in question was beyond his powers. In the judgment of this committee, delivered by Baron larke, it is said:-
-There being. therefore no express anthority from the Grown, the right to make such an order most, if it exist at all. be implied from the nature of the offiee of Governor. If a dovernor had. hy virtue of that appointment, the whole sovereignty of the Colony delegated to him as a viceroy, and represented the King in the government of that Coloms. there wonld be good reason to contend that an act of sovereignty done hy him wonld be valid and obligatory upon the subjeet living within his government. provithed the are wonld be valid if done by the sovereign himelf, thomgh sureh aet might not be in conformity with the instrnetions which the Governor had received for the regulation of his own condurt. The breach of those instructions might well be eontended on this supposition to be matter resting between the sowereign and his deputy rendering the latter liable to censure or pmishment. bint not affereting the validity of the act dome. But if the dovernor be an ottieer merely with a limited authority from the ('rown, his assmotion of an aet of

[^65]soverefig power, ont of the limits of the ant hority so given to hime, womld be purely void, and the eomets of the (wheng over which he presided romld not give it any legal effeet We think the oftiee of Gowernore is of the latter deseriptions, for no anthority or dietme has been cited before nes to show that a goverme can be considered as baving delegation of the whole reyal power in any colony, as between him and the subjert, when it is not expressly given be his commissiont. And we are not aware that any eommission to eolonial governons conveys such an extensive ant hority"

Again, it is saide:- All that we deride is that the simple aet of the (iowernom alone, manthorised by his commession, and not proved to be expressly or impliedly anthorised by any instrmetions, is, not equivalent to such an act donde by the ('rown itself.'

In the well-known rasel of the action brought by Mr. Phitlips against Mr. Eyre, the former (iowemor of damaica, for acts dome by him, whilst he was gowemor, in suppresing an insurrection in that colony, the question raised was, Whether the colonial Act of hodemnity was an answer to an action bromght in lingland. That such an Act was thenght to be neressalry, and that it was alone reled on as a defence to the artion, ratises a strong presimption that it had been thonght that the action might. but fore this Act, have been mantained. It is to be ohserved, however. that the fare of of the rebellion and of its suppresson. Were a verred in the plea by way of introdnction to the det of hademmity. and Mr. Jnstier Willes in deliyering the judgment of the bexhequer Chamber, after sayine that the comrt had disCused the validity of the defener upon the only question arghed heromsel. viz., the effere of the colonial A.t. adds.but we are not to be moderstood as therehe intimating that the plea mght not be sustained upon more general gromends as showing that the arets eomplained of were incedent to the enforement of martial law. It is to be noticed that the natmer of those acts. and the oceasion nom which they were committed, were shown by distinct arements in the plea.

It is apparent from these anthorities that the governor of al 'olony (in ordinary vasor) camot be regarded as a viceroy; nor can it be assmed that he possesses gencoal sowereign power. His anthority is deriver from his rommission, and limited to the pewers therehy expresily on impliedly antrusted tw him. Lat it be gramted that for acts of pewer dene he a gowernor mader and within the limits of his com-

[^66]miswon, he is proterted. berallase in doing them her is the servant of the ('rown and is exoreising its sowereign anthority ; the like potertion ramot be extemded to ade which are wholly bevond the allthority ronlided tohim. Suchatets. thongh the gevermor maty assime to do theme aseremor, camot be considered as dome on behalf of the crown. nor to be in any proper sollse alets of state. When questions of this kind arise it must nerosanily be within the prowime of emmisipal courts to determime the true ehatactare of the acts done hy a governor. thongh it maty be that. When it is establisherl that the partionlare ate in question is really an are of state polioy dome moler the ambority of the (rown, the defonce is romphete, and the courts call take no furthere cognizance of it. It is umeneressatry, on this demmerer, to consider how far a fowernor when acting within the limits of his anthority. hat mistakenly. is protereded.

Two relses from Jreland wrere oited hev the defemdants commed, in which the he hemrts stayed proceredinge in actions bromght against the lad Lientenant of treband. In these eases the Lard Licoutenant appeats to have beron regarded as a viereos. In both the facets were brought before the condt and in both it appeared that the atese complamed of were polita al acts done by the Lord Lieutenant in his official capateity. and were assmmed to be within the limits of the authority delegated to him hy the (rown. The comets appear to have thought that under these eiremmstances no adtion wonld lie against the Lord lientemant in Ireland, and upon the facts hromght to their motice it may well be that no adetion would have latin agratnat him anvilucre. (Tromdy

Several eaves were dited during the atemment of atetoms hrought against the East India (ompany and the Serotaty of State for India. in wheh questions have arven whether the alets of the hatian (iowermment were or were not ants of soverefgnty or state, and an beyond the cognizanere of the manicipal courts. The East India (ompanys. ung exereising (under limits) delegaled sovereign pows was sulperet to the furistietion of the numicipal conts in India, and it will be fomd from the deresions that many atets of the Indian fonermment. thongh in some sense they mave he designated atets of state . hate heen dectared to be withen the eognizanee of those comms. Thus in the Rejuh of Tranjures cames the gucstion to be dercisted wate thas stated



[^67]by hord Kingsalown in giving the judgment of the (ommmittee: $\therefore$ What is the real character of the act clome in this case : W'as it a seizare by arbitrary power on behalf of the ('rown of Great Britain of the dominion and property of a neighbonring State, an art not affecting to justify itself on the grommels of monicipal law, or was it in whole or in part a possession taken by the (rown meler colour of legal title of the property of the late Rajalı. in trust for those who by law might be cititled to it: If it were the latter, the defence set up. of course, has no fonndation.' This ( 'ommittee. in deciding the questions thus raised. held that the seizure was of the former character, and therefore not cognizable hy a monicipal court. The answer of the East India (ompany in this case clid not rest on the simple assertion that the seiznre was an act of State, but set ont the ciremmstances moler which the Rajah's property was taken. After referring to the treaties made with the Rajah. it avored that in entering into these treaties, and in treating the sovereignty and territories of Tanjore as lapsed to the East India Company in trust for the crown, the company acted in their public political eapacity, and in excreise of the powers (referring at length to them) committed to them in trust for the (rown of Great Britain, and that all the acts set forth in the answor 'were acts and matters of State '.

In the case of Forester and others v. the Secritury of State for Indir, in which the judgment of this Committee was delivered on the 11th Day 187: a defence of the same nature as that in the last-mentioned case was ret up ; but the decision there was on this point eqainst the Sereretary of State. In this suit also the answer set out the facts wheh were relied on to show that the action of the Government complained of was a politieal act of State.

As far as their Lordships are aware, it will be fomm that in all the suits brought against the Govermment of India, whether in this comntry or in India, the pleas and answers of the Govemment have shown, with more or less particularity, the nature and character of the acts complained of, and the grounds on which, as being politial acts of the sovereign power, they were not cognizable hy the rourts.

Nome of these cases help the present plea. On the contrays. it appears from them not only that the faete were laid before the courts. but that the courts entertaincel jurisediction to inguire into the nature of the acts complained of and it was only when it was established that they bore the character of political acts of State that it was decided they could not
take further eognizance of them. It is to be obsereved that the sovereign anthority eonferred mon the Fast lindia ('onnpany appears in Acts of Parliament. and therefore, withont being pleaded, the conrts wonld have judicial untice of it.

Coming to the present plea, we tind that, after stating that the defendant was ('iptain-General and Governor-in-('hief of the Island of Janaica, the only arrments in it are, that the aets complaned of were done by him as governor of the island. and in the excrecise of his reasomable diseretion ans such, and as acts of sitate. There is no attempt to show the occasion on which the seiznre of the phantiff's ship was made, nor the gromeds on which that seizure, which is mot in itself of the nature of an aet of state, beeame and wass such an act. The plea does not aver', even generally, that the seizure was an act which the defendant was empowered to do as Governor, nor even that it was an act of State. It would have been eontended at the trial, if issme had been taken. that it wonld satisfy the averments of this piea to prove that the defendant assmmed to make the reizme as Govenor, and assmmed to do it as an ane of state. withont showing that the act itself was an act of State. properly so called, and was within the limits of his anthority. It was said that the plea shonld be construed as recpuining. hy implieation, proof of these matters; but having regard to its nature and form as a plea of privilege, this camot property be held to be its meaning. Their Lordships camot but think it was designedly pleaded in its present shape. It was a preliminary plea intended to raise the question whether the Governor, if aeting de facto as such, and doing an act that he assumed and deemed to be an act of State, conld be ralled on to show in the connts of the colony that the seizure complained of was really an act of State, of the natine and class of those which, as governor acting on behalf of the Crown, he had anthority to do. The object of the plea plainly was to stop the conrt from entering mpon such an inquiry ; bint mpon the const ruction now songht to be given to it, this object would, from the tirst, have been firustrated, if issue had been taken, for the court mast then have gone into the very inquiry which it was the manifest purpose of the plea to avert. It appears to their Lordships that the plaintiff eould not have safely taken issue on it. He wonkd have been met at the trial by the objection that it was a plea of privilege, pleaded as a preliminary plea to the jurisdiction, and neither was, nor was intended to be, an answer to the action.

It. Was contented that. mulere • The Supmeme (oont Procedne law. $1 \times 7$ : ${ }^{\circ}$, of the "olony, which provides that deleets in form shall he disregarded, and that, on demumer, the connt shall give judgment aceording to the very right of the canse, the judgment should now le given for the defondant; but their Lordships think, for the feasons abowe given, that upon this ambignons and defective phat a proper and tinal judgment on the right of the eanse cammot be pronomined.

In the result, their Lomships must hambly advise Her Majesty to affirm the judgment of the eonrt helow, and with
inosts.

It is hard to see exalctly how Mr. Tarring dednces this conchasion from the judgement in question. What the case decided would appear to be that the attempt by the (iovernor to set himself up as a Viceroy, i. e. as one against whom no action at all for his official eonduct can be bronght, failed. The Viceroy of Ireland is clearly in that position : that is to saly, an action against him for any official act will be stayed by the conrt on application, without camining the colour of the act in question. The privilege is hased clearly on the fact that the Lord-Lientenant is really in loco regis: he is no more answerable for his aetions than the King himself, and presumably any action must be taken against some subordinate. 'Jhe position of the Lord-Lientenant was apparently not finally thought out by the Judicial Committee, but with regard to the case before them they show clearly, that a (iovernor camot expert to be exempt from jurisdietion undess he shows that he has aded in aceordance with law. But it atso seems clearly established by the words in that case, following the case of C'emerons. Kyte, that the fowernor has not the fall power of the Crown, and that evon lawfiniacts done under the authority of the Governor may be illegal if he has not the requisite delegation of power. Fow example, it was not decided, or indeed elearly bronght forwad. in the case of Puldo whether the act might have been regarded as an act of state against a foreigner: that event it would probably have been held that, had : een ratitied by the Crown even ex post facto, it would have been valid, for that
is the only rase in which and art of siate call the surerestully alloged as a defenore in louglish law: But poobahly it womble not have beod valid had there been no antherity from the ('rewne on the gromed that the (eovermer is mot in pessession
 or impliedly controsted to him. The dowernor in fitet rill logally do, not what the ('rown can do. but what the ('rown has contrnsted to him, or what is vested in him hy legiviation.

But the real question is how murlh the trown mast be deemed to have vested in him of the promganive. The answer ean only be that given by Mr. Higmbotham." all the power necessany for the conduct of the Execoutive finvernment of the colony, and the only eriterion most be fonnd in that idea. In the ease of the commonwealth it is expresely provided in the (onstitation ${ }^{3}$ that the executive prower of the Commonwealth is exercisathe by the (iovernor-fenemal as the representative of the soveregn, and extends to the maintenance of the (onstitution and the laws of the (ommonwealth. The British North Americh Act ${ }^{4}$ and the Union of South Afriea Act ${ }^{\circ}$ also result in the bestewal of a widne executive authonity on the (iovernor-(ieneral. Now is it

[^68] ㄹ.20. R. シ巳: ; 190. I. R. 31.
${ }^{3}$ K. 61. Ina l3rtinh (onlong: and probablyevenin the Federations, it is imposilhe to hold that thete van be drawnany line betweon cexerntive and legishative powers in so far ats to phevent the Laphature exercising ang

 117. $3: 36$.
 the (immmonwealth (innstitution.
 Whike the ('atadian del only applies to the frown. and dones not in s. ! mention the towernor-dencral. How far the frown could delegate its power in the sase of Conada to presons other than the fiovernor-Ciencral is hardly worth eonsidering. (irremonial visits like that of the l) uke of lork lo eren the commonwealth farliament in 1901, and of the buke of

otherwise with the Ictters patent reating the oftion of Governor in the other Dominions and States: they purport to anthorize. empewers, and command the sald (soveromer to do all hinges that belong to his office in aceordance with the letters patent, the ropal instrmetions, and amy laws in foree in the colonge. It is, ino doubt, not ant ideal way of dexeribing the datier of an ottice. but it is not mmemal in Eaglish state docomentes to tind that the substance is left to be expressed in some vague and gencral manner, leaving the content to be gathered from official usage, and that oftional nsage shows clearly that the fenvernor poseseses the whole rexecotive anthority of the (olonty sor far as that anthority is needfal in a (olong. As uxual, the ronstitution laws in the eave of the Federations and the Coione express clearly what is left vagne in the case of the ordinary Colony, where the prerogattive and local haws are the source of the anthority. When this is realized, we are able to lay the specetre of the reserve power of the (iovernor, which seems to owe its anthority to Todd, who wrote in the second cdition of his work on Parliesmentary Covernment in the British Colonies: :-

A constitntional Governon is not merely the somree and warant of all execotive authority within his jurisdiction: he is also the pledge and safegnard against all abnee of pewer by whomsocver it may be proposed or manifested, and to this end he is entrusted with the maintemance of certain rights, and the proformance of certain duties which are essential to the welfare of the whole communty. And while he maty not coneroach upon the rights and privileges of other portions of the hody politio. he is equally bomed to preserver inviolate those whichin appertain to his own office ; for they are a trost which he holds in the name and on behalf of the ('rown for the benetit of the
people.

These are vague words and may woll mean little more than what we have stated above, but they seem to be the somree of the statement in Sir H. Jenkyns's British Rule and Jurisdiction beyond the Secs.s.? that 'there is no do. bt that a Goverior will always be hell to have had all the power necessary for 1. 31 .

1. 10.3.
meeting any rmergency which may have reqnired him to take immediate acelion for the safety of the colony: If he acta in goned fath and having regard to the circumetances reasomably, he will be hedel hamhes. If this memes. as it seroms to mean, that is will exempt a (onwrom from logat liability heranse ler has atered with reasery and ong gomed fath in an comergeme it genes a great dableof far. coperially if it is thonght that there is any eprefial sanctity in the pesition of the (ioseromer. The facte are rearly that. as the exerettion head of the colongs the forermor has the rexpensibitity for the maintename of the government thrown upon him in esperial measure. and that he will therefore be judged in his artions aceording to the daties whirh wore imposed 川on him. How far his aretion-will be held to have been reanollable will depend on ciremmstamers, and will be weighed on the principles lad down in R. v. Pimme!' 'and Phillipes v. Eyre ${ }^{2}$ and the Gowernor will nommally regnire the protertion of the act of indemmity, which saved Eytre from wrious ditforulties. The view that the colonial finvernor has tho full exeentive aththority meded for the gosernment of the ('olone has now received the support of Professor Harrison Hoore, and seems the only satisfactory theory of the (iovernor's position and attributes.

## 今 3. The Lamitations of the Powers of the (iovernob

It is differult to say exactly what prerogatives are excended from the grant in the letters patemt. It may be taken as certain that the pragegtive of comage is not inchaded. The King has a right to eobin money by the prevogative. and to settle questions of tegal :ander and so forth, and this prorogative not being, property speaking, a legislative action, has been and ean be exoreised in colonies posisessing representative institntions, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ which of conrse conld not be the
 ${ }^{2}$ If (1). 13. I.

 Law. pp. i:3, ti4.

[^69] the prerogative i- How exverinel under the Imperint 'ointer Aet of lsill, and has begome a stathtory power whith has

 the prepgative to al (exomon mor can it safely be aswmed that he cerer has possesesed it.

Sor can a Gewornor grant ropal charters of ineorporationaz That agan is a promative right of the ('rown which i- not a hegishative act, and which has beron omed to deato seremal banke doing business in the bominoms. besides othere finameial companios. Surla a charterg gives the bank atatus
 alo still iselled from time to time in remewal of whe rhaters granted lo surfh hanks. e.g. in l!all to the Bank of British
 that the eharter ean only confer priviloges so far as they are in aneordaner with llo law of the land. It is presumed that as the charter power is a prerogitive right the power to
 they ran eomenter to a positive enactment, and not merely when they contlieted with the common law, if vimh contlict existed. ('harters. moreower, sometimes phrpert to repeat chanses of Acte plased in the Colonies. but it is cerenin that such chams are cmpty, except when anthorized hy legishation, as ther sometimes are, as in the ease of the (amatian Lom forporation. On the other hand, charters may have validity themghont the Empire if so expresserl. and if. for cxample, n charter laid down certain rules which were contrary to roles laid down hy an Ale in one (olonge the charter might still have offer chewhere. The system is, however, now antignated, and chaters are, as a mbe. issuled onl! with the consent of the (onlonial (iowemment eoncerned to great. national mudertakigss. like miversitios or leagnes of momes.



which desire to have the adrantage of the regal approval as
 thas it calmot be wail to be dead, is not once whith rath
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 Hanted by the drown withont special iermiswor form t! ('rown. 'lhis permission has been given from ©...... .. tothe (iovermor-dencral of ('anada and the (iowe:...er
 (Eencral of the Únion of somith Africal ${ }^{3}$ Bat , 1.i. A officers hase been abthorized lye letters pate:口
 1. M. (: have been molfered, they have not recerived a rity to duha a man a knight: this mest cither he dome hy



${ }^{3}$ The Duke of Comaught on opening the C Dion Parliament in soult Wficat in $1!10$ inversted several recipients of homours. In 18:9 the Maryure of Larme was permituit on May 24 to invert six members of the



the Sovereign in person, or the homour mast be eonferred ly letters patent.
Again, it is doultful what rights the Governor has as against aliens, that is, whether he can perform against them acts of State : the matter might have been determined in the ease of Musgrave $v$. Pulido, ${ }^{1}$ had the question been presented in proper form to the Comrt. It was again disconsed by the full Conrt of Victoria in the case of Toy $v$. Musgrove, ${ }^{2}$ which involved the question whe ther the Governor had a delegation of the right of the ('rown, which was assumed to exist, to exclucle aliens hy virt ue of the prerogative: this was held to be the case by the Chief Jnstice and one other judge, but four judges conld not see any ground for the view, and the case was decided by the Privy (ouncil, ${ }^{3}$ as too often in nost important constitutional cases, on gromeds which exchuded any decision on this exact point. But whatever may be the case with regard to the prerogative of excheding aliens, -and the doubtfulness of the existence of the prerogative combined with the doubtfulness of its delegation seems. to render appeal to it infinitely dangerons-there still remains the general question whether a Governor ean commit an act of State, or whet her his act mist be ratified by the (rown. It se.ms most probable that even a Governor camot commit such an act, but the matter eamot yet be said to be free from doult. Only, if he did so, it is certain that the act could be ratified ex post facto, 4 and if the colomial Government desired so to act it would obvionsly be wise that the action should be that of the Governor.

It has been held by the Chief Justice of South Australia that the fiovernor has not withont express words the right of declaring a ferry ${ }^{5}$; whether this is sound law or not, it would be diffient to conjerture. The matter is fortunately hardy one of any consequence; the grant of ferries by the prerogative is ohsolete.

[^70]
## §4. Tue Appontment of Kincis coensha

If the view is aceepted that the fovermon has the whole executive power and nothing mare or less, so far ats it is needed for colonial govermment. then it hecomes easier to molerstand the decision of the great case of the appointment of Quecols (ommed which agitated legill direles in Canada for years. On Jamary 4 , 1 sate, the (iovernorGeneral of cimada incuired from the lmperial Gewermment whether since confederation the (iovernor-dencral wats alone entitled to appoint Queen's Commed in C'anada, or whether the power was also pessemed by the Lientenant-(iowernors, and whether a provincial legislature was in a position to pass an Act empowering the Lientenant-Governar to appoint Quecn's C'umsel, and how the question of preeredence shontat be settled. Lord Kimberleg. after ermsulting the law aflerers. replied on Fehrualy 1 , that the Govemor-fiencmal had the power to appoint Quecons: (ommel, and that the LiemtenantGovernor had no such right, bat that the LiemtemantGovernor conld be given the power hy stathte, and might determine thas the right of precodence in prowimeial (enurts between the commsel with appointments from the (iowernorGeneral and those with merely provincial appointments. But despite this correspondence, which he serems not to have known, the Lientenant-(iovernor of Ontario on the advice of his ministers dereiched to appoint rertain conmsel, atht the appointments were notitied in the official gazette of the province. The Dominion (iwermment then dereded to point ont that there was great dombte regarding the somblaces of the appointment of these gentlemen, and agreed to is-nte new commissions hy the (iowernor-demeral. apperinting them
 this procedure, and satid that they would legislate. While the Dominion fowermment recommended that a friendly

[^71]arrangement sloonld be made between the provinee and the federation under whieh the eounsel appointed under the prerogative by the Gosernor-fiemeral, and under statute by the previneial Lientenant-(iovernors, shonld be mutnally recognized.' This plan was agreed to, and an Act of Ontario Was passed in 1872 autiorizing the appointment of Queen's ('onnsel and another Act anthorizing the grant of precedenee by the Liemenant-Govemor.2 Then Queber legishated at the end of $187: 0^{3}$ and Nova seotia in $185 t^{4}$ while the Gowernor-(ieneral in December lsie created several Ontario Queen': ('ommel, and in April 1 sita ereated othew for Queber. New 13rmawick, and British columbia. Some gentlemen reweived donble patents mader the prosineial Actsand undor the lhominion prerogative grant. The matter came before the supreme court of cialadin in the case of
 allthorized the appoint ment of Queronis Counsel, and $\cdot$ : al had anthorized the Lidutenam-(iovernor to grant presedence, and hy an order mader this. Act. Mr. Ritrhie. who held a patent of $18:=$ from the (ionernor-fieneral, low his promedence. He argned that the two Acts were invalist, and that in any ease the Aet of 1 sit could nom be made retronpertive to override the patent of 18.2 . On the firat proin the Supreme ('ourt of the Proviene was axainet him. but topey upheld hi- contention on the verend. From this, "astgeme af Lenoir appealed. But the supreme ('ourt of (anata" hedt that the Are was melron rirex. and that. as the (rewn died not form part of the Legistature on Fierentive of the provisme.
 right. The 'ourt freated the whole matere ate the conforming of a riyht of dignity a powner whith could oniy he conferted




(14) $11+1.1$ I:

 -umada, plps: 3.
by her direct representative the Ciovernor-(ieneral. 'This admirahle judgement." wrote Toddl in lswo. 'entirely aerord with the constitutional doetrine propounded at the becimning of this section. which reserves to the Sowereign, or wher direet and immediate representative, the adminisimtion of the prerogative of homour.'

It dees not sem to hase occorred to the (ourt or for Mr. Tordel to find the ground for the exereve of the right of confertine an honour which justitied the GiovernorGeneral in sting so. It erems to have been assumed that he had the right, and the decision of the ease remained for veare prevalent in ('anada. Rat the whole doctrine received a ruke slow irom thr derision of the Privy (ommeil in the


 a representation of tiae - bown or the Legintatare able to affere rosal promgatiors. This was followed in due comere he the reverial of the pinciple of the decision in the case of Lemoir $V$. Ritchir hy dhe Prisy ('unnell. ${ }^{3}$ They hoid that e. 17:3 of the Revisel stambes of Ontario, which amthorized the Lientenant-dowernor to eonfer precerleme and apment Cucenis Comonel in the province was imfore vires in vies of the powers of the Prowincial Lecristature unders s. of the Briliath Jorth Ameriren Ael. Whater the constitution of the prowinme to provide as to provinceal officers. and to artange judieial matters. The essence of the decision was that the aret was that of appeinting officors. and that




 wher the... P ferkeral purpeses and the othere tor



provincial purposes. It would probably be a mistake to suppose that the passing of any Act was neeessary to enable the Lientemant-Governors to appoint Qucen's (ommsel; it is - lear that the Liemenant-fovernors most have themselves all the powers of the Provincial Execontives: they are not, as the deeisions of the Privy (onmed have shown, mere cratures of the Deminion Gevernment: they eomtinue, as indecel is dedared expressly in the Dominion Constitution, the Execotive Gowernment of the old prowinces before confederation mims the powers sumendered by federation, but the (rown is as much part of the Provincial Gevernment ass it is of the Federal ; and combersely. while the power of the ( dowemor-femeral to create officers for Comadat is me doubted. ren the other hand it is equally clear that such


## Si. The Ateration of Sedis

A case whirh was mixed up) with the ease of the right to Frate Queen's (ounsel shows that the (iowernor would have no right, wave through the elelegation in the letters patent, to keep and use the (ireat seal of the colony. The great seaks themedres are direved hy the (rown ${ }^{2}$ and approved hy the King personally, being engraved as a rule in this eonntry. When the Dominion of Camada was formed the old seals of the prowinees which federated were decmed to be no longer appropriates and acondingly not only was al denign for a new seal approved and appointed to be used in the Dominion hy a royal warant, Which was sent out to ('anada hy the Duke of Burkingham and Chades on October 14, Iscis, but next Fear the seeretary of state sent out in a dispateh of Mays, five seate res the use of the federation and the four provinces. with a wamant mater the sign-manual reguiring their hese,





The.



## CHAP. HI THE POIVERS OF TILE GOVERNOR

and direeting that the whed seals should be returned to ber defaced as nsual by the ('rown in ('omencil.' In reply to thas dispatch the (iovernor-fiencral, on July 2, sent to the Sereretary of Siate : atomorandum from the ('anadian Minister of Justice, who argied ihat in the case of the prowinces the proper authority to change the seal was, under s. 136 of the British Vorth Amerien Ari, the Licutemant-fiovernor in Commeil : he pointed out that the Lieutemant-(iovernors were no honger appointed by the 'rown, but by the finvernorGencral, and suggested that the direct action of the frown was not strictly correct. In replying on August 23 , the Secretary of State insisted that the right of the ('rown to direet what seals were to be used in the provinces was ass clear as its right in commexion with the seal of the Dominion. which had not been chaltenged, and he added that $51: 36$ merely applied to the cases of Ontario and Quebece. That seretion prowided that mitil altered by the lientenantGovernor in Council the great mals of Ontario and Quebee were to remain the same as those used formerly in the Provinces of Upper and Lower ranada respectively. The Secretary of state suggested that this chanse merely showed the method in which the ehange was to take place, and did not limit the rosal prerogative to appoint and direet the seak which were to be used in those prowinees, while in the other prowinees the right was clear. If, however. the elanse was to be read as giving the sole right to the Lientenamt( forernors of the prowinces to aller the preat seals, the same power should be conferved by legislation on the LientemantCovernors of the other two provinces then forming the mion. This anthority coald be given cither by prowincial or hy federal Act. In compliance with this diepateh the Dominion (iosermment semt. On Nowember 16, 1xti9. the great seals to Nowascotiat and Now Brunswiok, with instructions to athopt the new reak for nse in the proviraces. In the ceise of Ghtario and Quebere the new seal were sent with the correspondener. so that the provinces oftuld have the option of adopting the hew seals mader the stathores power

> Sec counda ios si Iitp, Isit, Nu. Nif.
of the Lientemant-fawemon il they so dexired. Nowa Neotiat, howewer, on receiving the seal. sermed not to admire its appearanere for they pressed to be allowed to retain the ohl one, alld while readily admitting the rigis of the ('rown Io issum the warmat appointing the new seat, they requested the Federaf (iovermment to forward to the lmperial liovernment a memorial asking to be allowed to keep the ofd seal, and to pass Aets aththorizing the use of the old seal allud empowering the lieutenant-(iovernor in (ouncil to alter the seal from time to time. The Fedoral (invermment did not apparently take any aletion on this protest or appeal, but let the matter drop, a practice not umasuat in the Dominion. The result was further trouble: the Supreme (ourt of Nova Scotia in the case of Ritchie $v$. Lenoi, ${ }^{1}$ among other things, delivered itself of the dietum that the patentso the Quecris Counsel appointed bey the Licutenant-(iovernor of
 power to appoint Qucens Combel and regulate their preere dence, were invalid becallse they were sealed with the ofd seal, and that the new seal after its delivery to the Lientenant( iovernor in ateordance with the ropal warmant of May 1s69, became the only lawful seal in the province. 'The Provincial Govermment therefore asked the Federal Government to forward to the Quecon an atcherse praying for an Imperial Act to solve the difficenty. But before this request eould be acted uponi, the sercetary of sitate sent to the Govermment of the Dominion a diapatell of Mareh $29.187 \%$. which stated that in the opmion of the law officers of the Crown the directions contatined in the roval warmat of May 7. 1869), were directory and not imperative. and that though the disobedienere of the order was impnopere, it did not invalidate Acts done with the old real unlese and until the now seal wats fomally adopted and the ohd seal sent for rembcellation. But they themght that the hest way wonld be for the Dominion Parliament to patas legishation di-posinge of the matter. By an Act, fo Viet. (., 3. the Dominion Parliamment promeded to adet on this dispateh, and authorized the


## rhap. 1] THE POWERS OF THE GOPERNOR

 the great seal from time to time, and also atothoriaed $1 .{ }^{-1}$ pest farto the une of the great seal of Nowa Sootian as cexisting at the mions, matil sollered by the Licutenant-(iowemors. On the other hand. the Laepishat me of Nowa Seotia, by turn Acts of the sime year, fo Vict. ere. 1 and 2 , empowered the Lientenant-Governor to bise the great seal amd validated all Acts untler the whe seal from 1 stig to the date of change when it teok place. The Dominion (Eoverument let the statates remain in foree, though they eonsidered that they whomble not have beron pissed before the pitsimg of the Dominion legisiation, a strained view, as the right of the Dominion Parlament to leginate was by no means clear.'

It is certain that the case was confused by all parties. In the doct rine which is clearlyeorrect the Lientenant-(fovernors are representatives of the chown for provincial purpones. and tive (fown is: part of the Provine ial Legishatmes. The Gowemor-dioneral is a representative for federal purposes and the Pariament for ferleral purposes. The (iovernor-dieneral had no delegation of the prerogative as regards seaks withont epecial words.hor had the Lientenant-(iovemor, and the grant of a seal to the (iovernor-feneral by the (rown wats deaty legal: on the other hand. the grant of seals by the crown by royal warrant to the provinees generally was efpally eorreet. Bat the attempt to treat Ontarion and Quebee in this Way was illegal, and mo donbt orginated in a slip. It wats found necessary to make special provision in this as is other matters for the vand of Ontarion and Quetree, becallese they were being sepanated argin after maion and comblat weil use the seal of the umion, and an prowision was mathe for the Hase of the old reals of Cpper and Lawer ('amatdat until ot her-
 That elearly took abaly the promeative to appesint other

[^72]seals: it is trie that there aro mo express words fettering the prerogative, hat the enactment is very elear ; it deals with a matter normally regulated by prerogative and deliberately ignores the prerogative, and gives a new and unexpected power to the Lientenant-Governors of the provinees. It was therefore a mistake to address the samo warrant to these provinces as to Nova Scotia and New. Brunswick. It would have been better to suggent to these provinces the adoption of the new seals, and this was indeed nltimately done. Again, the decision of the Dominion Parliament to legislate seems to have Leen clearly wrong: it was no doubt influeneed by a doctrine then prevalent in Canada, and asserted by the supreme Conrt in the case of Lenoir v. Ritchie, ${ }^{1}$ that the Provincial Parliaments eould not touch the royal prerogatives at all, as the ('rown had no part in the legislation of these provinees. But in point of fact the Dominion Parliament had no right to legishate on the topic at all, and the only power which could legislate was the Legislat ure of Nowa brotia or, of course, the Imperial Parliament. The existing letters patent for the Dominions and the states expressly authorize the Governor to keep the great seal and use it for sealing whatever he may have to seal under the Colonial law or practiee with it. He is not authorized to ehange the seal, and this is done by the (rown. In the case of the Commonwealth and the Union the GovernorGencral was anthorized to un- hiv private seal until sueh time as a C'ommonwealth or Linion seal was provided. In the case of the states the Governors were authorized to use the old folonial seals until there were new state seals provided. A new seal was provided, of comse, for the Union of Sout! Africa. but the Dominion of Niow Zealand did not have a new seal on the occasion of the elevation of the Colony to the rank of a Dominion. New seals are also issued on each demise of the ('rown.2

It may be argued from thes ease that the .alct that a subject is sperially mentioned in the lethre patent shows that it is

[^73]one which wonkl not be included in the nsial grant of power to a Colonial fowernor, exapet for expreses worls. But this
 are not historically suld instrments ats can berelied upon for giving indications of deliberate viows of law ons stell "point. They are historically, reviad vervions of doemmenta
 and the idea in selting forth the rights of the (Eoverner wase mainly to sereure that he did not exerejes more of the exeectltive power than he was wanted to do, and therefore the present form of these instruments does not shed light on a distinction between cesecutive anthority and the delegation of special prerogatives. For example, all the letters patent confer on the (ionernom the power of appoititing and dismissing offeres. These clanses are eortably not nereesary to eonfer the right even in cases where, like Tasmania or the (supe, no special provision is marle in the matter in the Constitution Acts. In the Crown Colony leiters patent they are inserted to limit and define, hy the further conditions there added, the power of dismissal, and in the eatly days of responsible govermment, indeed sometimes right down to the days of the issue of permanent letters patent after isis, the power of dismissal was hampered by direetions is to the proedure to be adopted so as to seeme that carch case was fully investigated, just as it all is under the crown Colony régime. Nowadiys when they are merely formal they are otiose, and in this regard the letters patent are hardly nemed.

## sif. The Prehogitive: of Merce

A different problem is presented hy the letare patent eont ferring the power to pardon. Is the power to pardon a prio rogative which is carred hy a grant of execolive anthomity generally? There is matappily no real case on the subjeet which is guite in point. The matter is me of those which have beon consideres! at great length in ('anada in comexion with the power of the Lientenant-(iovermoss to pardon offences against the laws of the provinces. The pewer of protom in canada gemerally was beyond guretion eonfered on the 12:

Governor-feneral he the letters patent or inst metions down to 190:. But did that power carry with it the solo right in Canalia to pardon ofromere, inehoting offences agatinse the law of the provincos? It wa- llar ntention of the limperial Government to effere thisemb. for they derlined toaceept No. tt of the Quebee resolutions, which gave the power to the LientenantGosernors, and, on the atalogey of the raees of the appointment of Quecias Comisel, the reply in c'ianada was for a time that pardons conld be conformed only by one who had a delegation of the megal presugative and that in 'imada tho only person who had shloh a delegation was the fiovernorGencral.' This view was suppented hy the terms of the instruetions down to $190 . \pi$. Fucther, ass the (rown was not, in the view of the camalian anthorities or rourts. a part of the Provinciat Legi-lathres, the Dominion Parliament alone could ronfer the prerogative of pardoning if any legislature were to do so. This view was naturally no longer tenable after the decisions of the Privy (ommeil in the case of The Marilime Brank of C'anola v. The liceiver-Qfuernl of Seet Brumsucich, ${ }^{2}$ and it was accorelingly hell, not only hey the Comrts of Ontario ${ }^{3}$ but also by the Supreme Conrt of (anada, that the Provincial Aet of Ontanio which anthorized the Lieutenant-Governor to pardon offenees agrainst the laws of the provinces was perfectly valid andagood exereise of power. ${ }^{4}$ But it is clear that the courts held that the funer must he granted hy some anthority. cither hy the preregitive or by legislation. With this derision, as with the decision in the case of the appointment of (Gueen's Combel, is bombl ip the fact that the Dominion I'arliament conld not leqishateon the topice,


 clamed as inheront in the firutenant-Gaterner wher the fumer is
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Aet is upholf. hut it is met view of the exact at minge the vallidity of the
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as it is one elearly concerning the constitntion of the provinee, and such legislation is reserved for the exchusiver control of the Provincial Leqislatures hy the Brifish Norlh Americhe Act, 1867. Fet it mest remain donlotful whether the power of pardon might not be assmmed to exist in the rase of its acoidental omission: it is a regular part of the British Constitution as cxereisablo by the exerntive prower: if not absolutely imbispensable, it is yol almost inseparably comnerted with the legishative power, and it seems that it might he hold by the rourts to exist independently of statule. or of cxpress delegation. The rase is mot, lowerer, likely to eome hefore the Courts, for the power is regalarly delegated by letteres patent in the Colonion: in the cane of the Commonwealth the power expressly applies, as in the ease of ('mata since 190,s, to offences against the laws of the Commonwealth, leaving to the state foremors the power of pardoning offenees against the litws of the siates, or offences for which trial may take place in the states (exchaling no doubt offences against (ommonwealth laws as such, thongh this is not clearly expressed). In ('anada the provinees have all by local leges. lation given the Lieutenant-(iovernors power of pardon, and in the depmendencr of Eapmat the Lientenant-(iovernor is given the pewer hy a Commonwealth Act of 1905, an that a legal decision of the guestion is most improhathe. It is of interest fo note that it was hell hy the law offierers of the ('rown' that tho Superintemdent of British Honduras had no delegation of the prerogitive of morey. and that, for what it is worth, tedls against the view that the power to pardon can he clatmed withont express warmat of delegation or law.

## 83. Other Premogevtives

There ato other prevogations which cuite cleaty camot be rlamed for al (iovernor. He does not possess the right topro"laim war or peace, thongh, of remere. he comblake stops
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whenever necessary to repel an invasion of the territory of the eolony of which he was (bovernor. Nor, again, does he possess the power of making treaties without special anthority, which has been sometimes acrorded, especially in the case of the Governors of the Cape and the Transwal. Nor, of eourse, has he ever had the prerogative of ereating legishative bodies, or any prerogatives which are obviously annexed to the ('rown, and could not be applicable to a colonial Governor in any conceivable circumstances. Withont special delegation he coukl not, it seems, create Courts, hut this power has often been given by the Crown. But what he has is great enough for all purposes: he has all the vast executive anthority which must be possessed hy any person who has to administer a Colony or a Dominion. In many matters the Governor or Governor in Council is legally empowered by statute to do all sorts of executive actions which are deemed too considerable to allow of their being properly disposed of merely by being delegated to a minister or department. The pratice of delegating to the Governor or Governor in Council respectively rests on no principle, and varies from Colony to Colony, from Aet to Act, but the difference in wording is unimportant.

In some cases indeed it has been argued that the term Governor denotes personal responsibility. This was held by Sir W. Manning on January 20, 1869, ${ }^{1}$ as regards The Volunteer Force Act, 1867, of New South Wales. He laid it down that the Governor was given a pesition as Commander-inChief, that as such he was hound to accept responsibility, and that ministerial advice was neither desirable nor constitutional. The only result was, of course, that the Governor beeame involved in attacks on his action in connexion with a dismissal under the Act, and Sir H. Rohinson warmly depreeated thus being exposed to a personal responsibility for such aets. ${ }^{2}$ The untenable nature of the distinction of Governor in Council and Governor has been shown by Mr. Justice Clark ${ }^{3}$

[^74]from Australian Acts. The rule of ministerial responsibility is made absolute in the Tiasmanian Intorpretution Act, 1906 , and the Union Interpretetion Act. 1911 , following the ('iper by declaring that Govern or means fiovernor in Council.

Doubt may arise in such case as the exereise of such prerogatives as that of ordering the scizure of enemy vessids in purts on the outhreak of war or otherwise, the grant of days of grace, and the exereise with regard to nemtal versels of the droit de prince. Moreover, the question was discussed at great length in the case of C'hun Tecong Toy, whether or not a Governor by virtue of his commission could perform an aet of State. The Chief Justice of the Supreme Corrt of Victoria held that he could not do so in virtue of has commission, ${ }^{2}$ and Kerferd J. ${ }^{3}$ agreed with him in this view, though they held that in this case he could exereise the prerogative ${ }^{4}$ of excluding an alien, but the majority ${ }^{5}$ of the Court decided against that contention; and though the decision of the majority was reversed on appeal to tho Privy Council, ${ }^{6}$ nevertheless it was reversed on ot her grounds, and the Privy Council expressed no opinion on this particular issuc. It is important to note that in this ease the Chief Justice indieated as matter's which did not fall within the prerogatives necessary for Colonial Government, prerogatives relating to war and peace and the conduct of foreign affairs, which would cover such eases as the droit de prince. Such prerogatives are regarded by Sir J. Quick and Mr. Garran? as being without the sphere which is attributed even to the

[^75]Governor-Gencral of Australia, hy the vesting in him moder the Constitution, ss. 2 and 61 , of the Executive Govermment of the Commonwealth, and the same view appears to have beon held by Mr. Justice Clark. ${ }^{1}$ It would be a mistake to suppose that there is any difference in the delegation of the executive powers in the cases of the Governors-General of the Federations and the Luion and the delegation of the Governors of Colonies and States. The former delegation takes place by statute, but it is no more full and effectual than in the latter case, save in so far as the powers necessary for the Executive (iovernment of a federation with larger legishative powers than those of a simple Colony may exced t'o pewers of the Governors of simple Colonies.

It should, however, be noted that an act of state can be ratified ex post facto, and possibly thus a Governor could be: enabled to perform one, though this case has not yet, it. secms, oceurred.

## §8. The Liabliity of a Govelinor to Suit

The legal cases which decide that the Governor has none of the privileges of a Viceroy have been quoted above for the most part in the judgement of the Privy Council in the ease of Musgrave v. P'ulido. A Governor may be sued in the Courts of the Colony over which he is Governor for private debts, whether contracted in the Colony or outside. ${ }^{3}$ He may be sued also for acts done in his official position as Governor. ${ }^{4}$ In both eases also he may be sued in England subject to the ordinary prineiples of private international law. ${ }^{5}$ 'The ease is neatly exemAustralian Constitutional Laue, p. 66.
${ }^{2}$ See the judgements on the Victoria case, 14V. L. R. 340, though those of a'Beekett and Holroyd JJ. are doubtful even of that. See pp. 120, 169.
${ }^{3}$ Hill v. Bigge, 3 Moo. L'. C. 46.⿹勹. This overrides Martey v. Lord Aylmer, 1 Stuart, 542 , deeided ou the strength of the dietum in Fabrigas v . Mostyn, that a Governor could not be suedin his own Colony; see Whecer, C'onfedcration Latu, p. $10 . \quad$ - Musgrace r. P'ulitu, 5 App. Cas. 102.
${ }^{6}$ F'abrigas v. Mostyn, 20 St. Tr. 81 ; Glynn v. Houston, 2 M. \& G. 337 ; Wall v. llacnamaru, cited in I T. R. $\mathbf{5 3 6}$. Cf. Forsyth, C'ascs and Opinions on C'onstitutional Law', p. S4.
plified by the case of I'hillijes v. Eyre, which arose out of Governor Eyre's action in putting down with needless violence the revolt of the negroes of Jamaica. The Governor pleaded in his defence the passing of an Act of Indemnity in the Colony to which he himself had assented, and the Court upheld the contention, though efforts were made to establish that he was not entitled to rely upon an Act which he himself had secured the passing of.

In the case of a self-governing Colony the responsibility of the (fovemor for his official actions may no doubt seem anomalous. In the case of the Crown in the United Kingrlom the position is simple, because it is clear that the legal maxim that the King can do no wrong results in the transference of responsibility to his real advisers. The responsibility of the Governor might, it may be argued, be thrown upon his advisers. But the rule of law grew up at a time when the Governor of a Colony was, to all intents and purposes, the Executive, and when he was reponsible, as he still is in a Crown Colony, for the administration. In 1869 therefore the Govermment of New Zealand desired the repeal of those Acts so far as they concerned a self-governing Colony: ${ }^{2}$
This was then not accepted, and even now it would hardly be possible to insist on ministerial responsibility unless the doetrine of eomplete ministerial responsibility for all actions were establishedas in England, and that isnot yet true, and probably never ean be true of a Colony so long as it remains such.

There are certain difficulties about the doct rine which show themselves oceasionally in practice. When, for example, the Governor of South Anstralia was served with a mandamu$\therefore$ is matter arising out of a Commonwealtli clection, to which reference will be made below, he was not supplied with eounsel or legal advice by the Commonwealth, and had to rely on the kindness of his ministers, who hardly had any direct interest in the proceeding and who might have refused to pay, thus involving the Governor in a serious difficulty, for the Imperial Government would certainly have

[^76]been loath to paty. lint it is in respert of the eriminal lability of a fowernor that the position is most amomatons. Under Uhe hmperial Act 1 \& le Will. Ill. $\mathfrak{C}$. $:=$ it is provided that if any (iovernom opmerese any of llis Majesty's subjeets begond the seas, or is guilty of ally other erime or oflence eontras to Vinglish law on to the local law. he (an be tried by the Court of Kings lBench in England or before (ommissioncers in any county assigned by the commision. This law wasexteded by te (ieo. HII. (. sit to all persons cmployed civilly on in a military capacity aboad. gruilty of any offence in their cmpleyment. The only place of trial there allowed is the Kingr's Benclo in England, and the Act has been he!d mot to apply to felonics. ${ }^{1}$ as the procedure therein laid down, by information, is that appropriate only to mistemeanoms: a decision which certainly deprived the Aet of most of its value. These statutes were both diselased in the famous case of The Queenv. Eyre, ${ }^{2}$ when it was sought to bring Governor Eyre to justice in England for his exploits in Jamaica. The Queen's Bench were asked to issue a mandamus to a metropolitan magistrate to hear the evidence which was alleged against Eyre, with a view to his being committerl to stand his trial. The Court decided that the case was one in which an indictment coukd legally be offered in England, and that the magisterial proceedings directed hy the Act. 11 \& 1.2 Vict. e. 42, were approprinte, but Eyre eseaped conviction, the Grand Jury despite an elogumt charge by Cockbum ('. J.. ignoring the indietment presented against him, a decision due rather to party feeling than eool judgement. ${ }^{3}$ Proceeding; murler the second Aet were also taken in the case of Cieneral Pieton, who was charged with allowing the torture of Luisa (ableron in the island of Trinidad. but the case was adjourned, and General Pieton's death at Waterloo prevented the giving of a decision which would have been against him, hut woukl. it is said, have ended only in a small sentence. ${ }^{*}$

More important, perhaps, than these musty relies of

[^77] Lsol. provides for the trial of ang peroon, being a lititinh subject, who has heen guilty anywhere of mamsatughter on murder. in Eingland if he is fomed there. 'This Aet has not fret been put suceerastully in operation against a colonial

 having cansed the murder of a soldier her excessive flogging in the ishand of Goree; being eomvieted he was sentenced to death and the sentence wats athelly execonted, despite the fact that nineteen gears had expired since the action, and dexpite the fact that. though the Governor was certainly guilty of conduet very inhumane, he had evidently had no intention of eansing deatle. ${ }^{1}$ Now no Act of Indemnity passed by a Colonial Legistature would appear to avail to save a man from the consequences of putting a man to deaih or committing manslanghter outside lingland: if the act was murder, it remains murder despite the Act of Indemnity. The actual difficulty may be seen if a (iovernor authorizes the proclamation of martial law and the execution under that law of some persons takes place and its legality is questioned. In the colony he will be held free from blame by the Indemnity Act not merely civilly lut criminally; but though the Indemnity Act has avail civilly under the principles of private international law,' it will have no effeet eriminally : this is clear, ${ }^{3}$ though at first sight absurd, but the provision of the Imperial Act was inteneded to cover the cases of duelling abroad, which formerly prevailed. Duclling is in many countries. perhapse even in India, not morder, even if it is illegal, and therefore the Courts have not adopted the doetrine that one comntry can prevent deeds done in it being unlawful in another. Of course. in point of fact the difficulty could be got wer : the Attorner-feneral eould offer a nolle prosequi, or, if be felt mable to do so, the eriminal could

[^78]recoive a pardon after comviction, but it is a striking ease of the difliculty which a levernor maty incur if he act: on the advice of ministers in a mamer which is crimimal. In a recont caso in Natal in 1 gook the magistrato declined to issue process against the C., erentor of Natal.'

S9. The: Guvernons: Labbaty Tu Mandames
The question of the hithility of the Gosemor of a state to the issue of a mandamms was decided in the rase of The Kings. The Gowernor of the state of siouth Austrulin,: which came in 1907 before the ligh cont of the Commonwealth.

The question arose out of a disputed retimen for and clection of senators for the State of South Australia, at the end of 1900 . Of the three eandidates who were returned at the clection, the eleetion of one was declared by the High Conrt, as a ('ourt of Disputed Retums, to be void, and accordingly on July $\because$, 1907, the Govemor forwarded a message to the Legislative (ouncil and the Legislative Assembly of the state, informing them of the vacancy in the representation of the state in the Senate, and saying that he was advised that the vacaney should be filled by the Honses of Parliament sitting togother, as laid down by s. 15 of the Commonwealth Constitution for the case when the place of a senator had become vacant before the expitation of his time of offiec.

It was contended by supporters of the unseated senator, Mr. Vardon, that a fresh election should be held, and that the appointment should not be made by the Honses of Parliament ; hut despite the protest, the Houses of Parliament at a joint sitting on the 11 th of July elected Mr. J. V. O'Loghlin to fill the vacaney. An order nisi for a mandamas to the Governor was then granted by the lligh Court on the ground that a new eleetion ought to have been held,
${ }^{1}$ ('f. P'url. P'ap., ('d. 440:3, p. 12?!.
14 (. L. R. 1497. Curionsly cnough, the Court of British Guiana in 1907 had the same issue before it in the shape of an attempt to mandamus a divernor to grant a certain concession in respect of rubber-bearing lands. It inelined to think a mandanus woukd lic, but hedd that the law gave the Giovernor an absolute discretion, and so did not decide the point.
and it was the daty of the Comernor to canse a writ to be issucel for a new clection. It was contended lafore the High Comrt that it was impensible to issme a manchamus in this caser, and the decision of the High ('ontt was in favour of this romtention. The (onrt puint od out that under the constitutions of the stalles it was provided that mpon a disolntion of the Homse of Amombly the writs for a femeral Filection were to be issued by the Giovernor, but it had never beren suggested that if the eiovernor failed to issule the writs a mandamms would lie from a state (ourt to comper him to do so. There was always a remedy in such a rase, hut it was to be someht from the direct intervention of the Sorereign and not by recomere to a connt of law.
The case of an clection of the semate was not pata. analogous. It was conceivable that the Execentive Government of a state for the time being might desire that no senator should be chosen to fill a partientar vacane?. If they advised the Governor to abstain from taking any action to fill it, and refused to afford him the necessary administ rative facilities, and he accordingly did nothing. it might he: that he would have failed in his dity, but if so it was clean that the duty would be one which he owed to the State allectively. It was not casy to sce how in such a case ho ald perform the duty without dismissing his ministers d finding others, and that power was manifestly one the exercise of which could not be reviewed by any authority but the Suvereign. The duty, therefore, was one of the duties whieh the constitutional head of a state owed to the state (and in the case of a (iovernor, but in a slightly different sense, to the Sovereign), and its performance mast be enforced in the manmer appropriate to the cease of such duties. Instances of such duties, duties of imperfeet obligation, were familiar to students of constitutional law.

Apart altugether from these considerations, they thought that a mandamus would not lie to a Covernor of a state to comped him to do an act in his capacity of dovemor. There Was, of course, no British precedent forsucha writ. Reference had been made to the cases in which it had been held that
 acte done hy him. lint it hy means followed that becanse a Governor was liable to an action for a 16 rompfal ate done by him to the prejudice of an individhal. he wats liable to be commamaded by a mandamme to repair ant ominaion to do a lawfoll ade. It wats settled law that a mandames wonld mot lie agatiast ant oflicer of the ('rown to eomper hime to do ant ate which he omght to do as agent for the ('rown, unless he dan omitted a sepatate daty to the individual seeking the remedy: They did mot think that a Cowernog of a state in the issine of a writ for the election of a semator wats ate ing as agent for the sovereign in this sense, since the daty imposed by the constitation was imposed by statute law and not by delegation bom the sovereign himself. But it Was : daty east mpon him as head of the state, and the same reasons wheh prevented a court of law from ordering the Sovereign to pertorm a eonstitutional duty were applicable to eases whare it was alleged that the ceastitutional head of a state had by his massion fatiled in the perfu: mance of a duty imposed mon him ats such head of a state.

A further case of an attemnt to obtain a mandamus against a Govemor anose in the case of Mormitz v. Comnor, ${ }^{1}$ decided hy the High Comet in lgos. Honwitz had been sentenced to a term of imprisomment with hard labor und he clamed that he was entitled to his release from jais by virtue of s. $5+0$ of the Victoria Crimes Act, 1890 , pursinat to which regnations had been made by the Governor in Council for the remission of sentences under which a prisoner, on earning a certain mmber of marks in proportion to the length of his sentence, might have a portion of the sentence remitted. He applied for a writ of Habeas ('orpus to the Supreme Court of Victoria, but on the retmon of the writ the full Court hed that he was not entitled to be released, and discharged the writ.
'I'he Court deeided that the power given to the Governor' in Council by s. 540 of the Crimes Act, 1890 , was a discretionary power to make regulations, and to mitigate or
 regnlationc.

The Rovernor in fommeil hat power tormit the lerm




 diseretion of the (imernor in fombil in the expreise of the prextative of merer.

 a ministor a cortain doty, a mandamms will lie to him. if he has a speceial dity towards mombers of the public as well as a dhty to the ('rowis. But in atmilar case, if the (Bucernor or the fiovernor in fomeil were sperified ats the persion to perform the daty there womld he mo redress by mamdamos. The difticulties of embeavoring to enforee the action of a (iovermmont by mandamas are too whvions to nered diseussion : if a dhty is imposed on the (iovernor or the Gove nor in Comeil, it menst be assmmed that the intention is to reserve the performanere of the duty for the deliberate action of the (fovermment as a political entity, and to remove the matter from the arbitation of the eonrts. Where the line is to be drawn eamot, of course, be settled hy anything save the will of the Parliament.

## \$ 10. Petitions of Rigiit

Here may be mentioned another prerogative of ll: © Crown which is not delegated to the (iovernor hy the letters patent, and which camot be excerised by him muless under statute. It is a rule of law that the crown and its servants cammet be sued on of?cial contracts. It is recognized that these

[^79]cont rates are coltered into not ont the faith of the adent 'unt on the phblice faith, and it has leren deriderl in Haldimand's cased that the lioveruor is onte of thone servantes agninst Whom it is impossihle to shereerel in any artion oll a leovernment cont ract. Sor has the (:osernor the power of granting a fint to a petition of right, as has the soveroigh in this comutre: It is to be presmencel that it is considemed that tho prerogntive is moedless for the saffegomermment of the count ry. and this is promaly the case. But the result is very ineonvenient, and hits catsed some feeling of friction betwern the lmperial and the Colonial (ewermments, cepecially that of Wextorn dist malia. For as the (invormor camot grant a tiat. if a petition of right is presented it mast be sent home for submission to the sereretary of State, whe lakes the atrice of the law oflierers of the Crown, and in aceordance with their ndvice, which is given wholly an a matter of law in aecordance with the invariable practice in this comotry to grant a tiat if a colourable case of eontrate or the withookling of property $i_{s}$ diselosed, the petition is or is not submitted to the King with the idsice to is:sure the fiat: if the fiat is issued the writ is andorsed ' Lat Right be done in the Supreme Court of the Colony of ---'. and the petition so endorsed is returned to the petitioner, who proceeds then with his action in the courts.

It was naturally eontended at the Colonial Conference of 1897 he the Premiers then present, that in such a case the advice of the local fowermment should govern the question of the grant or the refusal of a that.2 It was suggested that, granting the appropriateness of the granting of a fiat being sulmitted to the Ewereign, yet it was a derogation from the princip! as ponsible government that a fiat should be granted on any advice other than that of the responsible

[^80]minister of the 'rown in the (olong: The view was, however, rejerted bey the serered yo state on the alliore of he law oflierers of the ('rowns.
 of ancient times. It womld he easy for the rolonios to har

 are vare. It is somewhat att that home of the Colmates shonld have tatern an eass at step. Ill have ormme sot of provision in furer for dealing whh rlame agathet tle Ntate. and all of them erotem that mosision a mood deal bevond the limits within hich the petition of right lies in the [rited Kingdom, hit that leavesthe promgative mitomelod,
 petitions have berol dace to the ficet that ther time-limit apponted hy the det hits expied. while againat the commonslaw right time does mot rime.
 eases of those Colonices in which, like the Prosimere of Quchere, the ('ape, Nilal, the Transvalal, and tiae Obange River Colony before mion, and the (rown colonies. Niontins, C'rylon, sit. Lacia, and Trinidad, the law of the mid is not Eaglish law. It has often heen held th.1! the 1 . dion does not lie, ant! the opinion can quote in its !avour the view that the right is one of common law, $\mathfrak{i n} \boldsymbol{t}$ the"efore canmot
 that the right is no more or less that the rifht of the sovereign to waive the right of reflnsing to be sued in his own ronte,

[^81]and it would be strange if any system of law denied the Sovereign that privilege. Noreover, the royal prerogative is certainly the same evelywhere, execpt where it has been lesened by appropriate legishation-the immonity is not by legislation-and therefore the prerogative to wave immonity from suit serms to be one which womld everywhere be in foree. Moreover, there is no case reported where the view that the prerogative does not exist in these colonies has been established. In proint of fact, in the case of ('eylon amd the Mauritius eases are brought by usage, which the Privy Conncil has approved in the case of Ceylon, against the Colenial Govermment direct withont a fiat of any kind, while in the case of the Transwal and the Orange River Colony Acts were passed very soon after the organization of civil government to confrir a right of suit much larger than the common-law right, as is usual in the colonies, which mostly aceept within limits responsibility for torts in connexion with railway and other such mulertakings. An Aet was passed in the Cape' (No. 37 of 18ss), and also in Natal (No. 14 of 1894) as soon as there was any demand, and those Acts deal also with torts, so that no argiment ean be drawn from the passing of Acts to the denial of a common-law right before the Aets were passed. The Transwal and the Orange River Colony legiskation, and the other Acts are consolidated as Act No. 1 of 1910 of the Union. In the case of C'anada and the Corimonwealth similar Acts have been passed, and that of Canada applies to cases arising in Quebee also. ${ }^{1}$

It appears elear that the Aets which are passed refer only to the Crown in its capacity as the ('rown in the Colony. ${ }^{2}$ If the Crown is to be sucd in its capacity as the Imperial Crown then a fiat would in every case be necessary, and

[^82]a fiat eoukl no doubt he granted in cevery case. But a fiat coond abso cortainly be gramed, and the rase heard in England. On the other hand. it does not seem that a fiat could be granted for the hearing in lingland of at caso against the ('rown in its C'olonial capacity'

This catse illustrates the fiact that the (rown posserses in the Colonies all its English prerogatives save in so far as they ate diminished hy kegislation expersoly or tacitly necessatily exchading them, eren if they eamot be exereved by the Governor. This hats been latd down in express terms by the Privy ('ouncil in the case of The Liquiduturs of the Marilime Bank of Camalu v. The Reccierer General of New Brunswick:2 Thus it has been held that in a Colony the right to a felon's georls attachess, the prionity in bankruptey and

 case is cited with appowal by the Iligh Court in sermothen v. The Commen-
 for the haperial (ionermment ansumed that it was liathe for the debt; Porl. Prap. Cill. 4194, p. 11.).

Similarly, the 1 'rown is exempt from lan ving its vensels seized for damago
 If any action $\mathrm{i}_{\text {is }}$ brought which ders int fall within the ternes of a statute,







 ill :lll Act.
${ }^{2}$ [1s: $12 \mid 1.4 .437$.
${ }^{3}$ C'f. in re Butemanis Trust, 1.5 Ey. 3in. This was of courme phior to





 opinion in 19nos. A. L. R. 11. The lmperial Bankruptey det, 40 d 47

company liguidation, ${ }^{1}$ the exemption from liability for salvage by a ship ly an aetion in rem or otherwise.2 So all the lands are held ultimately from the (rown, and the 'rown is entitled to all lands which are unoccupied and to escheats, ${ }^{3}$ treasure trove, and intestate estates. But all these prerogatives may be affected by local legishation. ${ }^{1}$ A prerogative to extradite eriminals probably does not exist in either England or, therefore, in the Colonies. ${ }^{j}$
${ }^{1}$ In re Oricntal Ibank C'orpuration, (x perte the C'rorm, 28 CII. 1). 643.
${ }^{2}$ Voung v. 心.心. Neotia, [190:3] A. C: sul.
${ }^{3}$ Cf. The Falkland Istanls Compeny v. The Queen, ㄹ. Moo. I'. (C. (N. S.) 266, and see Forsyth, Cases and Opinionson C'onstitutional Law, pp. 176 seq. The prerogative right to gold and silver mines applies generally, and that to escheats is also applieable (see Altorney-lieneral of Ontario v. Mereer, 8.1 pp . Ciss. 767). That tosturgeons and whates and swans is not asserted in the Colonies'so far as I hiow, though as to sturgeons it has Ineen recog. rized recently in fact in England; ef. Bathick v. Jachesm, 311 N. Z. L. R. 343 , when the statute 17 Edw. H. e. 2 as to whales was held not to apply to New Zualand.

- Exchange Bank of C'anath v. Reg., 11 App. Cas. 157, followed in Mauritius by C'olonial Goecrnment v. Laborle, 1902, Mauritius Decisions, 20 . It rests on the Civil Code of Quebee, s. 1994, taken with Civil Procedure Code, s. 611. See also Altorney-liencral v. Black (18.28), Stuart, 3:4; Monk v. Ouimet (1875), 19 L. C. J. 75; Altorney-Genctal v.Judth, 7 L. N. 147 ; Lefroy. Legistative P'ower in C'rnudy, p. 18:2.
${ }^{5}$ For the right are dieta in I/ure v. Kaye, 4 'Tame. 35 and E'ast India Co. v. Camplell, 1 Ves. $\mathbf{E f 0}$, and it wis argued that it existed in the Commonwealtl case of Broun w. Lizars. $\because$ ( $: 1$ L. 1R. $83 \overline{7}$. The Court denied the right in accordance with ('larke, Extradition, ${ }^{4} 1$ 11. 23, 22; Encyclopectior of the Lates of Eingland, v. 267 , 268.


## CHAP'TER HI

## THE GOVERNOR AND MLNLSTERS

§1. The cooernor and the Executive Council
In a Crown Colony the Governor in effect constitutes the Executive Government: he is indeed surrounded with a Council, and he is often required by law to do certain things in Comncil : morcover, he is expected by constitutional practice and by the royal instructions to deal with much business in Conncil, and as a matter of fact the business of the Colony is in large measure so disposed of, by disenssion and consideration of questions raised in the several departments. But the Governor is entitled to overrule, and does readily overrule if he thinks it desirable, his Exeentive Council, and the responsibility for decision rests upon him, in so far as he is not able by reference home to throw it upon the Secretary of state.
The matter is far otherwise in a self-governing Dominion or State. There the Governor occupies a position nearly the reverse of that occupied by him in a Crown Colony. The ministers govern while the Governor looks on, ${ }^{1}$ is the popular conception of responsible govermment, and the idea has been given additional force by utterances of so distinguished a man as the late Mr. Goldwin Sinith. 'A Govenor is now politieally a cipher,' he wrote; ' he holds a petty conrt and bids champagne flow under his roof, receives civie addresses and makes flattering replies, but ho has lost all power not only of initiation but of salutary control.' This was written no donbt under the influence of the dis-
${ }^{1}$ Cf. Lord Latnsdowno in Honse of Lomds, Ipril $10,19 \mathrm{n}$; ; (ol. Necely in

 Surton v. Fulton, :39 N. ('. R. :02; [100s]. . C. 45l; Dilke, I'rublems of Grater Li.ilain, i. 295 , $\geq 96$; Transval Leyishatice C'onneil Debate.s, 190 \%, p. 135.
appointment felt by some people in (canada at the failure of Lord Dufferin to dismiss the Minist ry of Sir John Macdonald when it becance diseredited hy the Pacifie Railway seandals in 1873 , and at the grant of a dissolution in 1891 to himpurely for party advantage; but it is neither a wise nor a just utterance. No doubt there is a tendeney in the great work of 'lodd ${ }^{1}$ to see too nuch of the other side of the rase, to present the Govenor as a benevolent genins presiding over the destinies of the country and exereising the same sort of influcnec that, on his theory, was exereised by the Sovereign in the Mother Country. But not only was that theory of the action of the Sovereign hardly in accordance with the facts, but the Governor can never hope to attain that dignity of position which gives a Sovereign a clam to the respectful attention of even the ministers who lead the Imperial House of Commonss and control the destinies of the Empire. None the less, there are many important functions yet in the hands of the Governor, and he may exercise an influence over the Colony of which he is Governor much greater than is suspected by outsiders who do not realize the working of the Govermment. Of course this is essentially a matter of individual character. If a Governor, "efers to allow political matters to go on with his formal cone arrence, he may do so ; in many cases the difference will not be obvious, and the loss may not be great. On the other hand, it must be remembered that a Governor is entitled to take the same close interest in political events as the Sovereign in this country, that he is entitled to the fullest confidence of his ministers, that he is entitled to be informed ai once of any important decisions taken by his Cabinet, and that he has the right to diseuss with the utmost freedom any such proposals. He can point out objections, he can give adviee, he ean deprecate measures, he can secure important alterations, but always at the price

[^83]of remaining helhind the seenes. If he remains a full term of office he can gain more and more the confidence of Governments and increase his influrnce. Horeover, that influence will normally be for good. for he stands above lesser party fereling, and he is momber of a community which hav greater interests and prohluess wreatere men thath can he experterl from a Dominion in the present stage of development. Morenver, besides the field of polities he has all the fields of arts, seienee, literature, open to him, and of recent Giovernors it may suffice to name Sir William Macgregor as one who at onee dealt with great suceess with a difficult position in Newfoundland and carned a reputation for learning of much depth and varicty, ${ }^{1}$ while Sir Thomas ('armichacl distinguished himself no less by his taet and political skill than by continuing in Victoria his entomological studies. The influence of the Governor-fienerals of Camada has been varied and lasting: in their own ways, men like Lord Dufferin, Lord Lansdowne, Lord Aberdeen, Lard Minto, and Lord Grey impressed themselves on the national life. ${ }^{2}$ But these considera.ions are matters in which lefinite statement is impossible: they may be sufficient to show how very far from the true view Mr. Goldwin Smith's statement must be deemed to have been.
It must be noted that the fiovernor of a self-governing Dominion is, like his Crown Colony brother, legally by no means in the hands of his ministers. It is true that in the ease of the Federations and of the Union he is advised in his duties by an Exceutive Comecil created by statute: the same remark applies to the Provinces of Ontario and Quehee, where the creation of such councils by statute was renclered necessary by the division of the Province of (amada into these provinces. On the other hand, the Executive Comencils

[^84]of Nova Scotia. New Branswick, and Prince Edward Island, and of Britiah C'olumbia, are continuations in statut ery form of the old Executive ('ouncils which cexisted under the royal letters patent hefore the ereation of the Iominion. In the case of Manitoha, Alberta, and Saskatchewan again, the Executive Councils necessarily exist unde: the Dominion Acts constituting the provineses, and are confirmed hy Provincial Acts, as the practice of issuing letiers patent for creatina such Councils has never been adopted by the GovernorGemeral of canada, nind, inderel, it is olviously more convenient to do it be the Act of Constitution. But in the six States of the Commonweatth, in the Dommion of Now Zealand, in the four Colonies of the Cape, Natal. the Transvaal, and the Orange River Colony before the Union, and in Newfoundland, the Executive Council owes its existence to the royal letters patent eonstituting the offie of (iovernor. Now many acts are assigned by law to the fovernor in Council and many to the fiovernor, who hy his instructions is required to consinth his council in the exerution of such acts just as much as in the execution of acte which he does not by law perform in ('ouncil, and some again are entrusted to his ministers. In none of these eases can it be said that the Governor must act under ministerial advice : apart altogether from the fact that in law he could in every single case swamp his Comeil with nomince members, and so earry his measure-which is a mere legal possibility, and is not. of course, ever done, though it remains a ronceivable puser in an emergency-he is ver bound to aceept the advice of his ministers. He camot indeed do many things without their advice. for it is provided by law. either in the Constitution Acts or in the Interpretation Acts, or by authoritative nsage. that a Governor in Council must act on the advice of the Council. ${ }^{1}$ that is, of the majority of
${ }^{1}$ In the Tasmanial Interpretation Art, 19wis, s. 12, fiovernor is defined to mean the Governor acling with the advice of his Exerutive Comell. This carries the matter to its furthest, and is not convenient, but vere (inpe Aet

 (Nouth Africia).
the Council, and so he cannot perform nny aet in Council without a majority, but he can always refuse to act, and st can force his ministers to give way on the proint at issine or to resign their poste. Eyen in the cane of a ministerial act he can forbid the minister to perform any action on pain of dismissal, so that legally a dovernor is far removed from being a figurehead.

The relation of the Governor to his Execntive Council has been the subject of much disenssion, and the prineiples laid down are of such interest as to justify the comsideration of two of the views expressed at length. It may first, however, be nseful to set out the relations in typical cases as laid down in the letters patent and instructions. In the ease of Newfoundland, the only Colony which still, under self-goternment, bears the name with pride, it is provided in Clause II of the letters patent of March 2s, 1876, as follows :-
And vio do hereby declare our pleasure that thero shall be an Ex -utive Conncil in our said Colony, and that the said Council shall consist of such persons as are now or may at any time be deelared by any law enaeted by the Legislature of our said Colony to be members of our said Conneil, and of such ot her persons as nur said Governor shall from time to time in our name and on our behalf, but subject to any law as aforesaid, appoint under the pulblic seal to be members of our said Conncil.

There is no substantial difirence in the enactments for the other Colonies where the Executive Conncil is constit: a by letters patent, but in the other cases, those of the ix States of the Commonwealth, New Zealand, and formerly of the four Sonth African Colonies, the wording of the last portion of the clause was slightly altered so as to read in the case of New Zealand: 'and of such other persons as the Governor shall from time to time in our name and on our behalf, bit sulbject to any law as aforesaid. appoint under the publie seal of the Dominion to be members of the Excentive Conncil of the Dominion.' This section appears to contemplate the possibility of a law which forthate the adding more than a certain mumber of members to the
(omncil, but at any rate there is mo example of any law yot having been passed. ${ }^{1}$ and the position, therefore, is not affected by the words in question. In the cave of the Federations and of the Union the question of the constitution of an Executive Comed does not appear at all, the matere being dealt with in the Constitution Acts, where the Exeentive Comncil is constituted ly the Acts, thomgh the number of members is not limited or defined in any way:"
The relation of the fiovernor to ministers is more precisely indiented, not in the letters patent, hut in the reyal instructions. The oldest form is still ithastrated by the case of Newfomdland, where the relative portion of the instructions of March 28,1876 , mus as follows:-3
III. And We do require Our said Governor to communicate forthwith to Our Executive Comuril for Our said Cobony these Our Instructions, and likewise all such others from time to time as he shall find convenient for Our Service to be imparted to them.
IV. And We do herehy direet and enjoin that Our said Executive Comencil shall not proceed to the despateh of husiness muless duly smmmomed by authority of Gur said Governor, and unlese three Members at the least (exelusive of himself or the Member presiding) be present and assisting throughout the whole of the meetings at which any such business shall be despatched.

[^85]$V$. And We do farther direet and rojoin that Oar said Fovernor do atterd and preside at the meetinges of Our said

 as may be appointed by him in that behalf, ors, in the absence of any such Member. the semion Member of the satid Execotive Comeil actably preaent shall preside at all surd meretings. the seniority of the Wrmbers of the (ommeil being iegnated areoreding to the order of their repertive apporintments as. Members of Oin said ('omeril.
VI. And we do further direet and cojoin that a full and exact Journal or Minute be kept of all the deliberations. acts, procedings, votes, and resolutions of Our said Execoutive Comenc, and that at each meeting of the said fommeil the Minntes of the last meeting be read over, confirmed, or amended, as the ease may reguire. before proceeding to the dexpateh of any other bisiness. And We $\therefore$ finther direet that twice in cach year a full transeript of all the Minntes of the said commeil for the preseding half vear be transmitted to Us throngh one of our Principal Secretaries of State.
VII. And We do further direct and enjoin that, in the execution of the powers and anthoritios committed to Onir said Gewernor by One said Letters Patent. he shall in all cases consult with Our sald Fxecotive Council, excepting only in cases which may be of such a nature that. in his judgment, Our service would sustain material projudice by consulting Our romncil thereupon, or when the matters to be decided shall be too unimportant to require their advice, or too urgent to admit of their advier being given by the time within which it may be necessary for him to act in respect of any such matters. Providerl that in all such urgent cases he shall subsequently, and at the earliest practicable period, communicate to the said Vixecutive fonncil the measures which he maty so have adopted, with the reasons thereof.
VIII. And We do anthorize Our said fiovernor. in his diseretion, and if it shall in any ease appear right. to act in the exercise of the power committed to him hy Our said Letters Patent, in opposition to the advice which may in any such eases be given to him ly the Members of Our said Executive Comeil. Provided, nevertheless. that in every such case he shall fully report to Us hy the first comvenient opportmity such proceeding with the grounds and reasons thereof.

The terms of the Newfoundland instructions are deeidedly
nntiquated in form. Theyare, howeror, the same ant he terms of the former (ape instructions. In the ease of the inst ructions of $180: 3$ nud 1000 to the Aust malian Sitates, which agree in substance with those of New Zaraband, a much midder form is adopted, which removes the suggestion that the (iowermor is to act withont the adviereof his (ommeil in urgent or trivial cases, or in cases when consultation wonld be prejudicial to the folony, provisions bormwed from the system of ('rown Colony administ ration, which are now ant igmated nud a surd. It was the presence of this chases, anong other things, in the instruetions of the fovernor of New Zabland which indiced his legal adviser in 1 sitit to doubt whether it was possingle or intended to introduce fult responible gowernment within the 'olony; wot the new form was only introlueed in New Zealand and the States in 1892. In the ease of the Transvatal in 1006, and the Orange River Golony in 1907, the same temes were adopted as in the case of the Anstralian States. and the same terms nppeared also in the Natal instructions of 1893. But in the Fatal instructions it was provided that this ruke should not apply to the powers of the Giovernor as Supreme Chicf, hut that in the exercise of such powers, other, of eourse, than those vested in the fovernor in ('ouncil hy law, he should aequaint his ministers with his proposed action, and as far as possible arrange with them the course of action he intended to adopt, hut the ultimate decision in every ease must rest with the finvernor. There was no similar provision in the instructions for the Transval, and the Orange River Colony, no douht because the result of these instrmetions had been practically of no effect, hat it was provided by law in the letters patent creating the Legislature that the Governor should exereise over the natives all power and anthority vested in him as paramount chicf, and the use of the term ' Governor' in that elanse as contrasted with the use of the term 'Governor in Cemncil' in the next clanse, relative to the holding, if thonght fit, of meetings of the natives, was evidently inteuded to insist upon the personal artion of the (iovernor, if!-
The following ext race from

- mght it necessary so to act.
- instructionste $\because$ Goweruor
 form normal in suchlanse:-
IiI. The (inveruor shall forthwith remmmeneate these
 surb others from time for time as he shall find eronsonient for Otir service to impn . 6 them.
IV. 'The Gowere rehall atternd nand preside at the meretings
 or erasomable catise, aud in his absencer shel member as ming loe appointed be him in that hehalf, or in the alowere of surch member the serione member of the Fixerentive (ombat arthaly present shall jreside : the seniority of the members
 their resperefer appointments as members thereof.
V. The Execoltive (bumeil shall mot procered to the despateh of business unless dily summoned by aluthority of the
 the fevernor or of the member presiding) be prowell and asesising thronghot the whole of the mectinges at which any sur h husiness shall be despateherl.
VI. In the exerention of the powers and anthorities vested in him. the rewerum shall he sulided hy the adviere of the Execoltive founcil. but if in any rase he shall ser sufficient canse to diverent from the opinion of the said ('ome il. he may art in the rexcrise of his said powers and authorities in opposition to the opiaion of the fonneril, reporting the matter to Us without delay, with ther reasoms for his so acting.

In any such easo it shall he competent to any. Member of the said ('omencil to requive that there be reeorded upon the Minutes of the commet the grounds of any adviee or opinion that he may give upon the question.

In the case of canada there is no provision for any Executive Commeil in the letteris patent, and the instrmetions are all but silent on the topire: ther contain inderel since 1sis ouly the sapient clanse:-

Aud we do reguire Olar maid Goveruot-feneral to communialte forthwith to the Privy ('mmod for Our said Dominion these Onf instructions, and likewise all surls others from time to time as he salll find convenient for Ons service to be imparted to them.

The same mode has been followed in the ease of the
 Ore of the powers which are. "uphntherementer
in the lettere patont has the peremiarity that thomgh it is
 It is omittert simere int : in the rase of ('mada, tho local law
 Wenlh, which had nus lande in lant, and tho [inion of Eomth
 that the mondel whish was followed was that whirh hard berot baid hlown in the two cases of the fermentions. It is the power tomake hand grants. Tlar power wasan important one in the oll times when the power to grant land was vahmble, and
 but the whold fied was gradably rovered by legishation, and tho pewer bereme otiose and needless: aceordingly in the case of ('anada it was omittol on tho simpention of Mr. Blake in the fefters patent issued in Isis. The right has received disenssion in the ('omrts in a New Zealand case. ${ }^{1}$ lat in that Dominion mow the land is all disposed of node estatury anthority.

There is some difficulty as to the rlanse in tor, letters patent which ocemrs in marly all, anthorizing the (iowernor to exereise the powers of the (rown as to stmmoning, dissolving, and prowgining the Legishantes. In the rase of Newfomdland (as in that of Nova Sootia, New Brmswick, and Prince Edwad INAnd before mions) there is incinded ako a clanse empowering the Governor to make laws with the advice and consent of the legislative bodies. white the ammbers of the (onmeil are provided for and their method of appointment. In the case of New South Wiales, Queensland, and New Zealand, and formerly in the Colong of Natal, in : Idition to the powers of summoning, provgining, und disoblving, are given powers of appointing membere to the nominer Legislatior (ommeiks, and in the case of the Cape. as in the cease of Newfomblland, the power eonterred incladed the power to make laws with the Legislature. The powers of stmmoming. prorogning ath di-abling are also giver in the ease of the Federations amb of the Union. They were not













 of the ('apne to make latwe with the alil of the two homses: be was piven that power by the poyal order in ('onme it of May ols. Is.on, and this power has nevor simer beron capable of reveration by the ('rown, at) that to include it in letters patent, the perwe to rowke whish is expresty reserved, is not desirable. Songian it has nevor been open th the ('rowns, since the commission granted to the (invernor in 1 s: $3: 2$ combstituting a hegishature for Niwformaland, torevoke the perwor of legishation piven to that body, and the inchasion of the: power in the letters patent of Neafombiland ise oproll to ohjeetion as they also are liable tor chathere. Ont the other
 those letters patent is legitimate. for there ts motrotionon of latw or conatitntional rule providing for the number. In the whor cases. ass. for example, that of New Zealamd. where the powers it question are all given by the (omstitution Act to the Governor, the repetition of then is only meaningles.s.

Other danses are no longel inserted in the instraments, sucit as thase fommery momal. delegating to the (invernon the pewer of granting mariage licences. probate of wills, letters of administration, the custudy of idiots, and so forth. All these matters we regulated by local law, and the gramt of prerogative powers is noither requisite nor uscful, z and Mr. Blake's advice in favour of their removal was property
 C'anada sicss. Pap., 15\%7. No. 13. Siec above, 1. 10t

- Cnder the Forcign Marriages . Aet, 1s9:, the (iuremur Las a sitatutory
followed. The questions were of some interest in Canada, fon on federation the question was raised who could grant marriage liecences and was decided in favour of the Governor- (iencrally Sir J. Matcdonald and the law officers of the (rown.' But the latter advisel that the power to regulate the grant of lieences laty in the Provincial Legislatures, and they all se legislated and removed dillientices. Similarly the right to appoint to benefies, formerly given to tho Licutenant-(iovernors of the Provinces and to the GovernorGenoral of Cimada, was clamed for tho (iovernom-(ioneral,' and exercised by him until disposed of by Provincial Aets, while the hreak-up of the old position of the ( hureh generally terminated the grant of powers in this regard of Ciovernors.


## s. The: Views of Mr. Bhake

The simplification of letters patent and instructions aliko in the case of Canada, to which reference has been made above, wats due in the main to the atetion of Mr. Blake, then Minister of Justice in the Canadian Covernment. In 1875 Lord Cornarvon addressed to the Ciovermor-deneral of canada a diepateh explatining the reasons which had evolied a desire to remodel the pratice of issming letters patent. Hitherto it had been the eustom to do so on the apointment of each Governor. including in his commission, which passed under the great seal, all the mathinery of the (fovernor's office. It took time to secure the passing of an instrmment under the great seal, alld in tho meantime a temporary eommission used to be wiven mader the sign-manmal allowing him to ate under the commassion of his predecessor. This was obvionsly inconvenient besides being of choubtul legal validity, and therofore it was decided to issno in all cases
 regand to marriages. These puwers rembined in the Austablian letters patent until 1swo. But they did not vecur in the New Zealand letter.;


${ }^{2}$ In a New Branswich catse ins Lafor.
 Blako's visit in isiti to England and his conference with the secretary of
promancont letters patent and instructions, leaving the Governor merely to receive a comminsion referring to the leteos patent and instructions. A draft of those suggested for ( ianadar was colelosed and suggestions for amendment asked. The form propeocel was hot a happe one: it was a common form for any Cohny including in the letters patent provision for an lixerentive (ouncil. riants of land, appointments of judges and other otiocers, pardons, dismissals of officers, appointments of deputies. smmmoning, prorgguing and diswolving Padiament. and the granting of marriage licences. of letters of administation, probates of wills, and the care of idiots and lanaties and their estates. The instructions contaned provisions for the Execontive Comed including the duty of the Governor presiding, the kerping of minutes, and the duty of consulting, which was based on the Newfonndland fom, with power to differ, and requiting consultation only if the matter was not megent or not trivial, and if consultation would not be prejudicial to the service. Then clanses forbade the mixing up) of different matters in one law, fave a list of rexerved Bills, instrueded him as to sending home joumals and seceing as to latws having marginal abstracts, regulated the power of pardon, and required the (iovernor to promote religion and education among the natives, and to send home a hoe-book. The a onding of such a form wats in many ways foolish. for it Was cleaty a Cown Colony form, and wats quite at variance with the fomm issued even to Lord Dutferin in $1 \times 5: 3$ hut the criticisms which were made upon it resulted in the removal of the mumerous antiquated forms presented by it.

But the most important part of the representation of the Minister of Justice was his criticism on the chanses relating stitle. Latter. on the request of the Gpposition after sir J. Macdomalde's Covermment took ofthere, the further correspundener wats mate publice in




 1sit; Nital. July $20.189: 3$.
to the powers of the (iovernor-fiencral to act in commexion ith his ('omeil. The instructions to Lord Dufferin contemfrated that hestould smmmon the (ouncil, amd empowered him as follows:-
 opinion of the major part or of the whole of our satid Prisy Combeil se present it shall he compertent for von to execonte the powers and anthorities vested in you hy one stid rommission and hy the ore our instrations in opposition to such their opinion, it being nowertheless onf pleasure that in cerery rase it shall be competent to amy member of our said Prixy Comeril to record at hength on the mimutes of our said 'omed the grounds and reasons of any advice or opinion he may give upon any question brought maker the consideration of ont ('ommeil.

The next clanse hat ond rexuired the kerping of exact minutes of the ('ommeil, and the confirmation of the mi, s. In the new draft ('lanse $V$ provided for the Cowomor-deneral presiding at Commeil meetings; Clause VI for the keeping of minutes; Clanse Vll for consultation execpt in urgent or trivial lases, and in urgent casce for subsequent commmication of his action; Clanse Vlla, the pewer toat in the exereise of the power committed to him bey the saded commission, in opposition to the adviee which might in any such case be given to him by the members of the said Exeentive Council, but reguiring in such cases a report of his atetion with the gromals and the reatson thereof.

Ont these clatuses the minister commented ats follows:-
('lanse 5 . This Clanse eorresponds with the existing
 Cojoining the (iovernor to attend and preside at the meetings $f$ the Council, mess when prevented by some necessary or reasonable cause.

The practice for a very great mumber of years has been that the business of Conneil is done in the absenee of the Govern On very execptional oceasionst the Governor may preside, but these would oecur only at intervals of years and wonld probably be for the purpose of taking a formal decision on some extraordinary occasion, and not for aleliberation.

The mode in which the businces is done is by a report to the (anemor of the recommendations of the Comed sitting as a Committee, sent to the Govennor for his con-

## CHAP. WI THE GOYERNOR ANO MINISTERG

sideration, disenssed where necessary between the fiowermer and the first minister, and beeoming operative non being marked 'approved' by the Governor. 'This systom is in accordance with constitutional principle, and is fommed wory convenient in practice. It would be a violation of surb principle and extremely rmbarrasing to all patios in praction that the Gevernor shomld atteme and preside at the deliberations of (ommeil. and it would be inceperdient to lay down stell a ruke mases it is intended to be wharemed.

The sub-committee think the proposed change ohjecetionable.
('lanse fi. This is identical with the existinge danse 7 . In practice the minutes of perecedinges of fommeil are mot read ower and contirmed. These proceedings are extremely vohminous, a very large part of the public business which is transacted in England hy departmental ace ion being managed here through (ommeil. In the majority of cases the minutes have been in the interval approved hy the (eovernor and acted on. It might be as well under the rifemmstances to omit the words providing for this procerlure.

Clanse 7. This clause is new and does not appear suited to Canada. By it in the execution of the powers committerd to the Governor, it is provided that he shall eomsult with the Comeil. cxeept in cases in whieh in his jurlgment Her Majesty's service womld sustain material projudice by comsulting the comeil thereupon, or when the matters to be cleeided shall be too unimportant to require their adviere. or too urgent to admit of their advice brise viven in time.

According to the accepted view of e:口 fovermment it is the rule that the Governor shombl act under advice, and it wonld be contrary to this view now to propose fresh additions to his individual power of action and by consequence frewin limitations to the powers and responsihilities of his adveras.
( lanses. This clanse correcponds with the exist ing clanser anthorising the Governor to act under cortain limitations in opposition to advice, hat changes to some extent ite provisions.

The language of the present instructions appears less objectionable than that of the proposed subatitute. exerpting the new proviso, which seems proper. The existing clanes gives the power in case the fiovernor sees sufficient reason to dixsent,' the proposed clause gives it. "in the Governors diseretion and if it shall appenr right, "angmage which may pessibly bear a wider interpretation as to the erant of a power of which a free people are naturally jealons.

In so far as it may be intended hy the clanse to vest in the Governor the full constitutional powers which Her Majesty if she were ruling personally instead of through his ageney could exercise, it is of course porfectly correct. The GovernorGeneral has an undoubted right to refuse compliance with the advice of his ministers, whereupon the latter must either adopt and become responsible for his views or leave their places to be filled by others prepared to take that course.

But the lanrouage of the clanse is wider and seems to authorise action in opposition to the advice not merely of a particular set of ministers but of any ministers.

Notwithstanding the generality of the language there are but few eases in which it is possible to exercise such a power; for as a rule the Governor does and must act through the ageney of ministers, and ministers must be responsible for such aetion.

As to cases not falling within this limitation subcommittee assume that the power in question i. to be exereised only in the rare instances in which. owing to the existence of substantial Imperial as distinguished from Canadian interests, it is considered that full freedom of action is not vested in the Canadian people. In all other cases the sub-committee assume that the Governor is as of course to aet on the advice of responsible iministers.

The sub-committee have not attempted to formulate with absolute precision the indicated limitations, but the general sense in which according io their view the clause should be framed and understood will, they trust, sufficiently appear from their observations.

The same principle, that the Governor-General should b 3 a constitutional monarch, he carried out in his views on the question of pardon: he could not admit that the GovernorGeneral was at liberty to use his personal diseretion at all, exeept conceivably in eases where Imperial interests were concerned, and even then he deprecated any reference to the power of deviating from ministerial advice. So in the legislative sphere he wished the Governor-General to be allowed full freedon of assent to all Camadian Acts, leaving them to be disallowed if the Imperial Government took exception to them. His conception and that of the Government of Camad:a of the day was that the whole (iovernment
should be that of an independent kingdom save only in the eases, contemplated as very few, where the Imperial (iovernment should intervene as a resilt of the fact that dimada was not iur Imperial puwer but a dependency. But such eases must be allowed to be dealt with as and when they arose, while nothing should be put on fomal reood to diminish the constitutional (awermment of ('inatia. And where Imperial intereste were not involved there slanld be full ministerial reaponsihility just ass in the Cnited Kingelom. It is interesting to see how far we have travelled from dard John Russell's views in 1s:39, when this clatim for full responsible government he entirely repudiated even in internal affairs, thinking that even in these matters the Governor mast retain a rertain independence in the lm perial interest. It is most interesting to see how clearly Mr. Blake, iike his predecessor, saw that the whole principle of the Imprial Govermment was entire and full ministerial responsibility : at the (olonial Conference of $18 \times 3$. and still later. there were many Colonial statesmen who took the same wide view as was taken by Todd' of the powers of the Sovereign to refuse ministerial adviere in England, regardless of the truth that the precedents they cited were all signs of the times when true responsible government had not yet been established in the country.

## §̧. The Views of Mr. Higinbotimam

The views expressed by Mr. Blake in the ease of ramada were adopted, but in a much more extreme and less reasonalle form by the Chief . Justice of Victoria, Ceorge Higinbotham. ${ }^{2}$

Mr. Higinbotham was eonvinced that the C'olonial Offere was determined to assert an illegal and improper interference in the affairs of the Colony: The first form in which, as

[^86]a Dudge, he encombtered as he believed this incorrect attitule, arose ont of the cate of a murderer named Morgan. The royal instructions called upon the forernore to require the Julgere who tried the catse to make at written repent, athle if he thought fit, to ask him to attend the Exerention (comeril. Mr. Higimbotham was only willing for attod or furnish a report provided he was asked fodo so bev lawfal anthority. that is, hy Her Majesty": Ministers for Victorit. The Judges whene were resperted, and no referenee to the resal instrmelions was given as a reamon for regniting his attendance. His views. however. were more formally expreseed in a letter to Sir Hemry Holland. Seceretary of State for the colonies. dated Fehriang as. 185 a. in reoponse 10 a request made though the Ciovernor that he would state contidentially his opinion on the subject of the rosal instructions given to the Governoss of Vietoria and other Anstralian Colonies. He insisted on pointing ont to the seceretary of State that he was athersing him in his private eapateity as an English politician interested in Colonial affatrs, and not as the ministerial head of the Colomial Office. He meded that his views were personal, and they were not generally aceepted hy, or known to, any considerable class of the population. He quoted a resolntion which he had hronght forward in 1869 to the effect -

- That the official commmication of alvice, suggestions, or instructions, ley the Secretary of State for the Colonies to Her Majestys representative in Victoria, on any subject whatsoever connceted with the loeal government. except the Giving or withholding of the Royal asent to or the reservation of Bills passed hy the two Honses of the Vietorian Parliament, is a practice not sanctimed by law, derogatory to the independence of the Qneenis representative. and a violation both of the principles of the system of responsible government and of the conotitutional rights of the people of this Colony.'
This resolution, thongh earried by forty votes to cighteen against the Government of Victoria. had not, he admitted,

[^87]beem aerepted later on. Morcover, her added that his combdemmation. mur, palified and siveres, of the combluct of the Colomial onfiee was mainly direeted against the permaneme
 tions to the Covernor of Vietolia. There had becon, in his opinions, ue chatuge in the comminien and instruct ims i...ned since 1850, althomy repomible gowemment had been introduced in 1sing. The Victorian Comstitution A.t geabe power to the (rown. Her Legialative Commeil. and the Legishative Asombly to make laws in and for Vietoria in all casces whatsoever.

Ministers chosen by the representative of the (rown advio him in all things relating to the comednet of the ordinary domestic affairs of state and the exeroltive administration of existing laws. with the single exception created be stathe law of the giving or withlolding of the royal assem to, or the reservation of, Bills. Questions involving lmperial interesw, including the control of Her Majesty $\%$ military and naval forees, the questions affecting relations with foresen atates, do not come within the pmeriew of the Constitntion siatute. As regards all surld ghestions, the fowermor is still an oftieer of the Imprerial Goverment, and is bomad to obey the instructions given to him cither dired fly fom the ('rown or throngla the secretary of state. With repeet to the sane quentions and interestr. Tier Majestys. Ministers for Victoria camot tender responsible advice. They may, if they think fit-they will, so long as ratiomal and fricmolly relations exist between the two (ioverments-assist the Imperial officer ty all means in their power to perform his dutics to the hmperial (evermment. But with reperet to local affairs. suhiect to the single exception above mentioned, the case is wholly different. The statute does not he express grame conver any powers of pregratives to the Cowernor. But the eration hy stature of the swatem of repmombe government neecsarily involves the vesting in the representative of the (rown. ipon his appointment and by virtue of the statute, of all powers and prerogatives of the crown necessay in the condere of loeal affairs and the admanistration of lan: Allow me to reflesest pour aperial attention to this point. that it is by virtue of the Constitution Acts themselves of the Australian Cobomice-asuming these Acts to have reated in ealeh of the Colomies the syatem of respmember Eforemment-that the prerogatives and powers which are
necessary for carrying that system into effeet and operation are tmasferred from the severeign, and are versted in the representative of the sowereign. I am aware that it has been mrged by those who have desired to uphold the fowernment hey the (ohomial Othee of these ('olonies, and who have therefore supported the (eovernors instructions in their present form. that, although responsible government has hern created in the Anstratian folonies hy the Imperial statutes, prequatives and powers are from time to time ronfererel on the (iovermer he the (rown. ancording to its phasure by a separate instriment, and bon by forere of the Act of Pathamont. If the peliew which the colomial ( Othere has stadily pursued for the last hirty veas has promer from at real hat mistaken behef in this docetrines and not. as hata herem more probably comjertwed. from the natural but very censurable desime of irresponsible subordinate otheres to retain for their department by stratagem a pewer which they know has beon taken away from it hy law, it is to be deeply applored that the (olonial Oftioe has not dhaing that long period songht competent legal advice upon a subjert which eoneerns so nearty its own dmtios as well as the highert rights and interests of thene Austalian commmaties. Aa legal proposition. I ventare to alfirm that the doctrine is wholly motenable and false. If ft were truse, all the (iohomalal Comstitutionstatutes wombl be a dead letter. amd all publio. rights of these commanities womld cherend mot upon the grant of Parliament, hat upont the will or caprice. exerted from day to day, of the Imperial Iminter. Responsible govermment camot exist unless some powers and prerogatives are vested in the representative of the (rown, for the exereise of which Ministers of the (rown, appointed by the Crown, are responsible to Parliament.

The representative of the (rown had vested in him hy forec of the Constitution Siatute and by virtur of his appointment as Governor such powers and prerogatives of the Crown, and only such as were necessary in the conduet of the ordinaty dhies and functions of government, and the administ mation of the existing law within the colony. The (iovernor in his character of the Queenis representative, and exereising the powers and prerogatives of the crown vested in him hy statute, was lemally independent of all external intluence and authority, and could be lanfully gruided
only by the advice of his responsible ministers. With the exception of the difference of historical origin, responsible government existing in Australia by statate and not by common lnw, its limitation to local affairs and the reservation of Bills, the malogy between the British and Colonial vistems of government, and between the King and the Governor, was complete. He disenssed in detail the lettors patent and the instructions; he pointed ont that the letters patent purported to vest dertain anthorities in the fovernor which were already vosted in hirn by the fonstitntion Statute, and to limit his action hy untractions given meler the sign-manual and signet, or through a Secretary of state, or hy Order in Conneil, and surh limitations were void and ithegal. The duty had down in Clause V'I of the Instrnetions 10 consult an Executive ('ouncil was meahingless if it applied to the Executive Comeil, which in Victoria inchuded exministers, and if it meant the Cabinet the instruetion was ummeaning and void. 'The duty of the (iovernor to consult his advisers did not spring from the royal instructions. If the clause referred to consulting them on Imperial matters this was an indirect instruetion, offensive in form and without either legal anthority or means of enforcement to Her Majesty's Ministers for Vietoria to do something which they were not required by their duties as Ministers of the Crown to do.

Clause VII of the instructions, which provided-' The Governor mayact in the exereise of the powers and ant horities granted to him hy our said letters patent in opposition to the advier given to him be the members of the Exeentive Council, if he shall in any care deem it right to do so, but in ally such rase he shall fully report the matter to us bey the first convemient opportunity, with the grounds and reasons of his action,' could only be characterized as a distinet denial of the existing public law of Victoria. As direct instigation to Her Majesty's representative to violate that law it offered grave indignity and conveyed an mmistakable menace to him and his advisers.

He criticized with equal seventy Clause XI of the Instrue-



 and that the (iovernor should gramt or withhold a patidon in hiv wwn delitneratr judgernellt.



 hatre marginal abstateds athe wher minon details. He thomeht that these were ridienlous provisions and not suitable for inchavions.:

He reformed to the attempts of the (iolonial Otfiee from istaf to lstis to cherek leghestation in favolle of a protective tariff. He also protested thatt the Colonial Oflice nompered its claty in that it did not assert suffecontly charly its own duty to the Empire by refnsing to recognize of permit any dieed interfarence in international guestions hy the (iwernment or by the peophe of ally pat of the Eampire. A clear distinetion shond be dratw bet ween the right of the ? donial Office to interfere in local atfatiss by indirect conereion or ly cont of of the representative of the (rown. Whed whomble whicially and epenty withdrawn. While the lapereial fowernmont should assert its elams and its powers in lmperial matters. He added that the hestowal of homomes mpen Alustalian ditizens on hmperial advioe was mot open to objoretion on constitutional or heral gromuls. hat he argued that life titles of the highest ramk should be awatedel in the Colonies be the reprecutative of the rown on the adviee of colonial advisers, and on the revommendation of both Honses of the (oulonial l'ithliamomt.

The views whieh he expresed in the eorvespondene

1 This requirement was omitleol in the mew instmations of 1 stor.

 ate to these puints were only given by dixpatch.










 juriadietion, ats the actes of the offorom were acte of state

 acts were donce in virt ter of the powern the 'rown to exelale


 delondant on the promm that the premgitive of exchating

 law in the representative of the ('rown in Viequpiat, and conld
 ministers. He towk wros-on to expros all full koght his "pinion ont the shljeet of the collatitutiontil rights of selfEevarnment belongitir to the peophe of Vietoria. In the
 follows:-

1 alle of "phinion. lime that the Fomstitution A.e. as amended and limited he the tumstution Stathte. is the








constifition, of complete syatem of government, as well as
 present to the minde of the framere of the tomatillion ACt,
 legal cappon-ion int that Act: thirdlys. Hat the two bodies






 ment of Vieforia. eonsialing of the Ministers of the ('rowns.
 of all the pewers bealerl hy the Comatitation A.e int the
 athe that they and they aloner. hate the right to inthernes, mitles, athe cont onl hime in the exerefere of his comstitutional


 A.t.! smime to, and co-extemeive, as regards the internal atfatis of Victorias, with the fometions posescesed amel exercised hy the fimperial fowermment with regatel to the intermal
 ment of Viatoria, in the exerotion of 4 stathllo? powers of the Gowermo expresed and implied and in the exeretise of its own fimetions. has a legal right and daty, suthject to the appowal of Parliament, ame so far as may be consistent with the atalnte law and lle prowisions of ireaties binding the Crown. He (iowermment, and the Legislatime of Victoria, to do all acts and to make all provisions that can be necersary and that are in its opinion leecessary or expedient for the reasomable and propere administ tation of law. and the condlued of publice affairs, and for the sermity, satety. or Welfare of the people of Vieforia.
The ciase in question wiss decided against the defendant
${ }^{2}$ Hecombemand as nsurpations of authority the delegation by the letters patent of the pener to appoint ofticems, given ly 18 \& 19 Vict. c. 55 , sched. s. 38, to summen and prorggue liatiament, and dissolve the Assembly (ithit., s. :3?), and the pardoning power which he belie wed to be inherent in the executive anthority: see pe $3 \mathbf{S N}_{2}$. It may be added that the also heted in another case that there was no ternitemial limitation on the tegistative (at butily of the l'arliament (oee l'att 111, chap, ii).
 "ppent was limoght to the Prise ('momeil. "hich deroded that ar alien had nolegal right conforeable hes ation to colter
 befow: 'lle : aloo held that oll the tome of the Aet of

 to arrepp the momer trodered when the ship hatl eleal! viohated the law hy himging elowe thate the legal nember-



 of the Chiel destice. Jot the firat platere. hes was right in


 compertent to deeide. Soadrambere lia- lakell of his melvere

 the instructions wore combered in a shight! different form in the shapre of a dispate.f. Soreorer the insisternee hat

 for al (ohmial liovermment is junt illll proper: Bat it seemiimporsible to maintain the porition that the (ioverothor is a
 that therefore he is whliged to ate on the adseree of his ministers int the same sellse as that in which the King of the
 is it pessible lo mathath the shatp divtimetion whill the
 as head of the Colonial Cowemment alld as all Imperial

[^88] to issert of the respemilitity of the Cohnial fionermment in intermal matters amd the ahsulate control of the lmperial ( exproment in extermal atfaims.

As a matter of fart. the (iosermor ith his twofold capacity as head of the Cohnial Exeentive and repreantative of the ('rown, alld as all otficer appoint ed ly the haperial (iovernment. repres as a link between the haperial and the (oblonial Gowepments, and it is imposible to trat hims as serving solely in either capratit. It is impussible to doubt the
 instructions from the ('rown; the Chief Instice stated that if apperinted to are as officer administerimer the (iowemment. in the absente of the Governor he would derline to semd reports to the secotary of state exerent surh as he was anked hy his ministers to fumish.' That he adopted that position, which was no doubl legienl, is sufficient to show how mopractial were his vews of the position of the fovemor. On the one hamd he comphasized ahmost mane ensiantly the deperndent chanacter of a fobmial (iosemment. Whik on the ot her hand he emphasized the iulependenee of its administration. The reparation of the two sides of its atetivities is impossible. A Cofonial lionemment is part of the Empire and must phate its thate in the extemal refationse of the Empire, and ois the other hand it camot elam. owing to the fate that it is mot a separate contity. the full development of ministerial responsibility which appertans to the Minist ey in the United Kingdom, and which is enjoyed by the Execttive Cewermanent of a sovereinn state in the full techmeal sense of the term.

## S. The Duth. Position of the fockernor

There is certainly this great advantage abont the views of both Mr. Higimbotham ant Mr. Blake that they distinguish clearly between the Governor in his post as head ${ }^{1}$ Aecordingly he was net :lltwed to administer the (iownment at any

 of aresonsible-government (olony or Dominion and the Governor atetires as all Imperial wilicer in the Imperial interest. The distinetion is fumdamental, and most form the
 of ther it it views if tase ina rey able mell as to the proition of
 officer Ha couns : : of involving lmperial interests. but it is at mistake to treat his actions in that capacity as being cases illustrating his perition as an Imperial oflicer, wheh is what weffect Told does: he is not, when he disolvere Partiament on ministerial adviere or refases to do so, acting in Imperial interests; he is areting in the interests of the (iovernment of Which he is head, and it is merely confosing to eompare suel action withaction in opposition to ministers takenon lmperial gromels. In the former case he is responsible so fitr as the head of covernment can be respomsible to the people of the Colony: in the latter to the Crown at home, advived by the Mmistry of the day. It is no doubt true that as the people in the Colony camnot dismis. him, it may be said that he is not responsible to them: it was in fact deelared by the resohtions of September 3. Istl, which adopted responsible government in Cimada, that the Governor was responsible to the Imperial authority alone, and it is quite ohvions that it wonld not be reasomably practicable to recere that the formal temmer of the Governor shonld depend in any way "pon more than one authority; it wouk then become possible for a colonial Government to proceded to determine the temme of offiee of a Governor who ated against their advice on Imperial grounds-for a distinetion of power with ${ }^{\text {a }}$ regard to loeal and Imperial matters would be impossible in prate iee-and the (iovernor womld therefere lose his value for the purposes of the Imperial Coverament. But it was reengnized by Lord Durham in his promouncement on responsible government that the Governor mast learn only to look for support to the lmperial authorities where he anted in the lmperial interest. Agalin, it was attempted in the diselssions preeding the adoption of the Anstralian
eomstitutions to lay down rules by which the (iovernor conld be removed on votes of two-thirds majorities of cither home. This attempt was not approved hy the imperial dovernment, and dropped, but it was only an attempt to recognize what is the rule, that a forernor who cannot work with ministers must be recalled, unless he has aeted on ' nperial grounds, and the dispute is not one between him and ministers, but betwen the Imperial and Colonial Ciovernments.

As a mattor of fact, the Governor is exposed to censme by his Parliament, and it would depend on the terms of the eensure whether or not he was recalled by the Imperial Government. Aman": usefulness need not by any means be gone because he has been censured. There are several instances of eensime on record, both in respeet of actions which were in effeet Imperial and of aetions which were Colonial. For example, in 1861 an attempt was made by the Legislature to eemsure Governor Sir W. Denison in New South Wates for his action in sealing a land grant himself when the Secretary declined to do so ; he acted in aecordance, or in supposed aceordance, with his instructions from the Imperial Government, which until is:5 had had the ultimate eontrol of the lands, and felt itvelf bound to make the grant alluded to, and the motion of censure was not actually carried. ${ }^{1}$ In 1857 a vote of censure was passed by the New Zealand Parliament upon the Governor, Lord Normanby, beculuse of his action in deelining to appoint Mr. Wilson to membership of the Legislative Comeil when a vote of non-eonfidenee in ministers was pending. on the ground that it was not proper for the Governor to take notiee of a matter in agitation in the Lower House as a reason for refusing to accede to adviee temetered by his ministers. The Governor then asked his ministers to advise him what reply he should return to the resolution passed by the Lower House. but they deelined to advise him, and deelined to aceept his view that they should either resign and give him the chanee of obtaining new ministers who would assist him or defend his aetion. Aceordingly Lord Normanly sent home the correspondener.

[^89] and had the satisfaction of receiving a full approval of his conduct from the Socretary of State for the Colonies. In 1877 the Lower IIouse of the Parliament of Tasmania passed a vote of censure upon the Governor, Mr. Wrdd, for his conduct in granting his ministers a diswolution, but here again the Governor's conduct wat: uphes: by the Socretary of State.? In the long controwery in Soith . Ifriea which led to Sir Bartle Freres dismisall of the Molteno Ministry. it was moved in the Assombly hy Mr. Mereminam, ${ }^{3}$ that the frovernor had exceeded his constitutional functions in insisting on the eontrol of the Colonial forees being plated under the Imperial authorities, aml that the action taken by the Govermor had beren prejudicial to the colony amd had delayed the temmination of the rebellion. It was then ruled by the Speaker that it was contrary to constitutional principle and parliamentary practice to move any direet eensure on His Exedlency the fovemor as the representative of the Sovereign, and it being held by the anthorities on parliamentary govermment that the ministers in offiee arre responsible for the actions of His Exeellency the Governore. The m..ion was therefore amended to avoid any direct cen. It it was not earried even in that form. ${ }^{4}$ In Victona Robinson was the objeet of a vote of censume in without adviee, and was reriticere fiberation of a eonvict the dismissal of a voluntecr officer.5 for his action regarding

In a very of a volunteer officer. ${ }^{5}$
Throne was replied to by aneensland the speech from the then atdres in which regret was


 No. 1!!.
${ }^{3}$ ('f. Dlulteno. Nir Jallen Jultemo, ii. Bs:3.

- Cape House of Assmbly Jotcs, Hay ? 9 , 1s78; Parl. I'ap., (\% 21+4. PD. 196. 197. (f. below, P1. 219, note 1. 234. 2:35.
${ }^{5}$ (f. Purl. P'ap., C. 1202 and 1248. It was proposed in April 1 stio io censure the Licutemant-Governor of New Branswick for his action in disagrecing with ministers (Pope, sir John Machlomald, i. 297), and a vote of censure was passed on Lieutenant-Gomernor Doyle of Nowa Scotia in 1868, which he insisted on thas llonse expunging (ibid., i. ©?9!).
expresed in strong bitt comrteons forms at the action of the Governor in derlining to aceept the advier of the leader of the then Govermment to take steps to secmer that the [pper Hemse shombly yiold to the wishes of the Lewer Honse as regateds legi-lation. The (iovermon refinsed, and on the resignation at the Binistry as a result of his action sent for Mr. Philp, the leader of the Opposition in the Honse, who took npon himesf the formation of the Ministry. though the Lower Hoose rofnad him its confidence and protested against being dissolved. The general elections went hopelesisly against the new Government, which did not ohtain more than a third of the House, and it had to resign, whereupon the new (6, vemment addressed a remonstrance to the Governor especially on the gromed that his action hat taken place without the grant of supply, and had hindered the progress of importint public work. which were needed for the development of the state. The Governor's action was very freely eritieized in the House in the dobate, as it had bren in the eountry during the eampaign, where some n: mbers tmened the election into an onstanght mpon His seelleney, but the Govermment had no desire to go further with the matter, and the (iovernor, in ateknowledging the address, merely promised to send it on to the Secretary of State. This action terminated the matter, as no reply from the Secretary of State was ever published. ${ }^{1}$ Similarly in 1908 an attempt was made to disapprove the action of the Governor of Vietoria, Sir Thomas C'armichael, because of his decision in giving a diswolution in the previous year to Sir Thomas Bent. The Governor, at the request of the House, submitted to the Parliament a statement of the reasons for his aetion, and the matter then terminated. In none of these eases did the fovernor seriously suffer in repatation from the attempted eensure, hut it is of course clear that hat his action in any case been seriously at fanlt the Imperial Government wonld have terminated the employment of an officer whose utility would have been gone.
Thore are two caser in the Dominion of Canada where

a Lieutenant-Governor has been recalled beeanso of his disobedience to what the Dominion Government consider the rules of responsible government. In the first ease, Mr. Lue Letellior was recalled in 1878 from the Province of Quebee because he had in the exereise of his discretion dismissed a Ministry whieh had still a majority in the Lower House, and summoned another Government, which on dissolution was only sustaned by a harrow ha jority. He had been censured by the two Houses of the Dominion Pialiament for his condact by a striet party vote, as ite wats an adherent of the Liberal Ministry which was defeated by Sir John Macdonald. ${ }^{1}$ Much later, in 1900, the Liberal Government of the day recalled one of its own supporters because he had dismissed it Ministry which hatd a natajority, if an uneasy one, in the Legislature, and had ruled for some months with a Ministry of which only one member had a seat in the Legislature, which had no real following in the country, and whieh had delayed the holding of a session of the Legislature as long as possible so as to seeure it.s position."

Not only is the Governor open to eriticisun by the, Colonial Parliament, but he is subjeet to it from the Imperial Parliament ats well. Early in the history of responsible govermment the Lieutenant-Governor of Nova Scotia was eondemened by a seetion in the Imperial Parliament for his aetion in permitting the retirement of the provincial Treasurer, as a result of the introduction of responsible government, without reeuring for him full compensition. ${ }^{3}$ The principles of responsible government were then energetically supponted by Earl Girey, and no eensume was pasied. The conduct of Sir C. Darling in the ease of the Victorian di-putes between the two Houses of Parliament in 1 s 66 was verysererely eanvassed in Parliament, ${ }^{1}$ and on Mareh 25,1879 , a deliberate attempt Was made by the Opposition in the Luperial Parliament to censure the Govermment and Sir Bantle Frere for his aetion in deelaring war against the Zulu king without instructions

[^90]from the lengal Crown. The motion was negatived by a strict party vote. but Nir Bartlo Frere was to some extent shererseded hy the appointment of Sir Garnet Wolseley to be High Commissioner in Nonth-edst Africed.

In British Cohmbia in laos attempts were made to censure the conduct of the Licutenamt-fovernor in i 90 ob in refusing assent to the hmmigration Bill of the Provincial Legislature of that year. but the spanker of the Assembly Wats sucerespful in preventing atomal cemsure being recorded though freling ran very high.:"
 criticized in the Honse of Commons and culogized in the Monse of Lords.
 1910 attempted ariticinmo of Lard fireysurtion in Comada were mot in the fommons hy the Un tersereretary of state.
 lutice .lssembly Jonernals, 1901s, 115. $7,21,31$.

## CHAPTER IV

## THE (GOVERNOR AS HEAD) OF THE DOMHNION GOVERNMENO'

S. The Dhadration of the Lowbe Hocre

We hatre seen that the fowmor, as a rule, camot act except with the aid of ministers ; as was pointed out by Mr. Blake in the diseusion of the mal instractions, the (iovernor must have some ministerial officers to aswist him to act at all, and a Colonial (iovernment can refine him all asisistance, even in so slight a matter as the mee hanical means of earrying out an order. Of course, oceasionally cases may happen where the Govermor has the mechanical means of acting within himself ; for example, the grant of a parion needs, strietly speaking, no assistance from ministers ; ${ }^{1}$ the pardon would cperate when signed hy the Ciuvernor without need of further attion, and would eatuse further imprisonment to be illegal, wo that the friends of the imprisoned man could secure his releave by habeas corpus, and dhe prisoner on securing his release could sue for damages for faise imprinonment. if a Dimistry were to go the length of trying to refure to obey suell a direction. Or again, sometimes the act required may be as simple as that of Sir 1 I . Denison 2 in sealing is grant which the minister had refused to seal, for the Governor is the legal custedian of the seal as latid down in the letters patent. Or again, it may be merely the publication of a document such as the Royal Order in Council of September 1907 regarding the Newfoundland fisheries, which the Governor himedf armaged to have published in the gazette of the Colony, both eases being cases of obedience to Imperial orders. But normally the Governor's attitude

So in October 1864 Sir C. Grey offered a pardon to the rebel on his onn revponsibility, the Dinistry lesigning its at result; cf. I'arl. I'np., March 2 , 1s60. p. 4.
New south Wates Legisiulvee dosembly Voles, 1861, i. js, 416 ,

is parmive; he refuses action, and thus forces mininters either to resign or give way.

But if ministers resign and do not give way-and of "ourse normally over any mater of importance the Dini. ity in miable to give waty, for its supporters would not apponse nuch action-then the Giovernor mast be prepared to findother advisers in every cane where the action is taken as head of the Dominion Ciovermment and not under lmperial instructions. As Was said to the Lientenimt-Govemor of Noval Seotia in Istis. ${ }^{\prime}$ it was impossible to carry on the (iosemment of Camadia except with the will of the people, and therefore, if tue ministers whom the Liovernor has refused to acept have the call of the people, he must yield or go, and a sensible Governor will, beaning this in mind, remember that his duty is only to appeal to the verdiet of the people when he thinks that on the whole he will seeure it - that is, when the Dinistry are not really in tonch with the wishes of the people It in a complete mistake to suppose that the Governor is entitled to refune advier becallese he doen not approve the atetions of his ministers and thinks that he may have a good chance of getting a majority for the Opposition if he refuses their advice. his duty is nut to his own conseience, but to the perple of the Dominion which he governs, and he should execute that duty independently of every other consideration.

The normal for:n of the refusal to aceept ministerial adríe is when a Ministry beaten in P'ariament, or which is losing its hold on Parliantent, ask- for a dissohtion in order that it mity strengthen its hand in the comntry: Now the lmperial pratice in this regard is, of course, that the minister receives a dissohtion when he asks for it. There is in favour of thiview the most important anthority, and the expressions of upinion which have been made on the other side from time to time are hardly authoritative. It is inded clear that the refusitl of a dissolution is much too dangerous a course fur the Crown to take; it at onec reduces the Crown, however

[^91]
relactantly. to be a partisan in a politionl atmoggle. /lll the
 only a temporary temant of offiere, and his persomality and prpmatity are mot thinge of the highest moment : he may disemedit the post of (inomone and woaken the Imperial



 power than his predecersorms in the sixtion and seventies. and there are fewer of these clatims, preposterons on loth wifles to an mimplesioned view. than then were rife. But the popmarity of the Crown is omly bonome ont by abohote ministerial responsibility: the hevalty of the country to the Crown mast depenel in politioal bateres on the fording that whatever is done is done not as a royal whim hat at the will of a Ministry commanding inflamer in the eombtry. Aus other theory howeres seecious, is sure in the long run to learl to the degradation of the Crown, which owes its abeolate scomity as Lord John Rossell pointed ont in 1 s39. to it standing apart from all political strife.

The question of diswolution always, from the nature of the ease, presents the Gownor with a possibility of differing from his ministers with suceess: it necessarily implies the existemee in the Colony of two parties. of which olle is in possessons of the Gevermment. hut the other has been successful in driving them to appeal to the people. The Governor has therefore a difficult task, not merely in deciding to refuse to accept ministerial adviee but in deciding to aceept it; for the fact that the preregative is not expeeted as a matter of course to be used as the Ministry adyises, prevents him from sheltering behind the advice of his ministers. If he acts on their adviec he may easily find himself quite as unpopmlar as if he had refused to doso. and indeed the fovernor is expeeted to do what is best for the conntry, a course by no means lumbally at all simple or catsy.

There are two important facte which the Governor monst :omsider in granting or refosing al diswohtion. In the tirst
place. the doration of Colomial Parlianments in bidef. and has Hever berit so long at that of the Parliament of the Chiterl Kingdom. st that he most remember that if lea refosen :t

 the shorthens of lialianment and the impurtant work which has: to te deme, render a dissolntion to bre avoded if posiblle. for the waste of time, experone, and di-lorationt of a general clection, if leses netoms in themselves thath the same freatures in this combtry. are embally important to a smaller combmomity. Woreover. there is growing stronger and strongen the fereling, in Anstralia at leart. that a dicsolntionderes wormes to the members of Parliament. Who thas are not merely
 on the English seale, hat are fort in jecplandy of losing their salaries. ant important consideration in a place where the paid member is an institution. 'Then a seemal romsideration is the question of supply: it camot. of comsere be made sille gute mon that a Mini-try which dow: us a diswohtion shembl ohtains supply for in that rase the Lower Homse womled be able to prewort itedf being disolved against its will. but it is all imporiant consideration how far there will be formels legally available for polble services. If there are not funds, of comser, the (iovernment simply has to rpern on. trosting oll an act of indemmity in the form of an ex $y^{\text {mast }}$ fucto appopriation ; but not only is there the haking chanere that the appropniation may not be granted, but there is always the diffieulty that no (iovermment without suply can do mone than kerp the rontine serviers going. and in a young connt y a loss of time is more severe than in an older commmity.

The ease of refusal of dissolation and the grant mider ciremmstances of difficnlty are almost immmerable. and many of them are interesting. One of the most inportant of the eanlier cases is that of Covernor-(beneral Sir E. Head, of the united Province of Canada in Angust 18 ses. on the defeat of Mr. Macdonalds: Ministry. ${ }^{1}$ Henent on the ir resignation for



Mr．Brown and Mr．Durion，amb－Hgan－ated that Mr．Brown





 to tre alre that the（iwsembent rombl not be matlaged ley ther whl adminiat tittion withont a di－onhtions：that al di－a whtion promiond lithe prosuret of chathge：that there War
 Ministry ange－perial reficaley to dabl witl：the tromblew then affereting the two parts of the prowinere allel that the time of harves was ineonvonicolt lor and chations．On learning the dereision the alministrations resingel and the new ministers look their sats again，not being romprelled to
 in the tomere of their offeres．＇This．hemerere involved as


 eriticizerl in publie，and the Art was later changerd．
 to grant ministers a discolntion after defoat in the Homse． and the ease is interesting beeanse hae met in his dofencer the argiment that the（iovernor is a more fignedead．Mr．
 in the same pexition as the Queen，and the（＇ouncil in the position of the Cabinet at home，forgetting entiaely that the Governor is himself respensihbe to the Home（evermment． and that it is no exerese for him to say in allower to any Charge against hiv administration of atfairs．I did so by the adviee of my（＇ouncil．＇His action was justifed by the result，as the Opposition formed a suecessfal administration．＂

In 1875 the（iovernor of New Somth Willes sent home for


ndvier au to hiv artion oll comexiolle with the grant of a divahution whell smply was mot granterl being made conditionally our supply being obtained. It had herom,
 montl. after the hegiming of the finameial year, and diwohntions were frequent. He had grantod sum diavolutions in Mareh and Aughat, reserving himsidf the right formonsiler the matter if temperary smply was not conecherd hey the
 the Secretary of State, tu Sir T' Erskine May, who sympathized with the dowernor in his desire fos serure that stmply shonld be granter, but who thought that there was whenetion to lefting the Barliament know that he had granted a dissolution conditimally on the fowerment whtaining smply, sinee thas the Cower Homer o mold defeat the promise of a dissolution; he was therefore in favome of a definite fonsent or refmal after full diverswion with ministers and consideration of the situation. Mr. Bramb, the Spakere, thenght that his action was somed in substance, and that it was very essential to wheck thre most mulesirable position which hed grown of in the Colong. which hampered the Ginvernor and interf. I with the efliciency of administration, making the Honse master of it onvo diswolution hy refusing to do more than pass supply from month to month. The improvement in methodw wareen in 1858 on the resignation of the Farnell Ministry. The Governor asked Sir J. Robertson to take office; he did wo. and arked Mr. Farmell to seneme supply; the ex-Premier agreed, but the Asembly omitted any provivion for the Exhitition then about to be held. Sir J. Robertson retired, and the Cinvernor invited Mr. Farnell to resume office, but the Assembly would not agree to transact business white the Farnell administration was in office. so that the Govemor wint fors Sir H. Parkew. Who surceeded in forming, with the aid of Nir J. Robertom, a Govermment. Supply was then, by the aid of Mr. Firmell. granted, thuss following the English practice.?

[^92]

 him to diswolve when defeathel, and wopresonted hat they
 givellome. They alanpminted ont that they hath not appeated

 likely tols with them if they appeated to it, and that it was improhabla that there combl be formed any atah ahbinine tration from the existime Parlianment But the (iowermor refosed to anerept thoir alviere : her was not fully feppared to acecpt their viow of the Finglioh pesitions. thongh it is protty chear that he really agreed with throm. hut he dwelt apon the



 "riticizal very hiterle hy the ontgoming Vinintry, and it was
 tedief that they might very easily have won in the combtry
 a diswolution hy the Fiveh Ministry, whim after full com-iderat-
 ill-adrised comse of laying hefore Parlament the momonalldam in which he exphathed the pmestion, with theresolt that the Assembly eriticized the views of the favermor, al critioinm to which he retmed wery wisely no reply, and he had the satiofaction of having his action uphed by the Seeretary of state. In 1879 he had more trombles on his head. for Mr. Crowther, who had followed Mr. (ibblin. Mo. Fysh's smeersor
${ }^{1}$ Purl. Pap., II. ('. 34ti. 1873. In 187.5 the Acling Governor. Sir II Staweil, refnsed a disoolution to Mr. Kerferd in August, and then hater reflased une to Mr. Berry, beeanse he dident think that there were wear parte tincs on which the Honse and peophe conld divide: sere Morrise.



[^93]in the leadership of the party. asked for a dissolution on the gromen that it was desimble to test the feeling of the country on the principles of direct taxation and a change of relations between the Honses. The Gowemer derlined, as the Honse had heren elected moler their anspiese, there was mo dear line of division in the country on the topies suggeseded by the Govermment as being ripe for settlement, and there was no real prospect of any dissohition resulting in a clear verdict for a policy rather than for pewoms. ${ }^{1}$

In South Australia in 1871 the Governor aceorled a dissolution to ministers on their heing defeated in the Assembly by the easting vote of the Speaker, though both Houses passed addresses asking him uot to dissolve; his action was clearly eorrect in the case of so close an issue, as a Ministry formed without a dissolution eould not have had any stability.?

New Zealaud, as usual, preseuts interesting features. In 187: the Governor, Sir G. Bowen, declined to grant the Stafford Ministry a dissolution, because he saw uo prospect of any result from sueh a dissolution, and he asked that the Government should be constructed on a wider hasis, which was accomplished by the formation of an administration on October 11 under Mr. Waterhouse. But he quarrelled with Mr. Vogei and rewred in Mateh 1873; his successor, Mr. Fox, resigned after a month of office, but happily Mr. Vogel was successful in kerping a majority together for a time. ${ }^{3}$ In 1877 the Grey Liberal Ministry asked the Govevnor, Lord Nomminy. for a disonlution, because, having taken office in October on the defeat of their predecessors undev Major Atkinson on a vote of confidenee, they would have been defeated in the House before they had time to develop, their policy. hut for the casting vote of the Speaker. They

[^94]urged that they were entitled to a dissolution. as the Honse had been elected under the anspices of their rivals, and there was every prospect that an election would leave them in a substantial majority. The Governor decelined, berciluse he did not think there was any certainty of a change in the view: of the country, there was no great puestion at issue, othere arrangements were possible and there wats no grant of applys. He could not madertake to consider a dissolution mhess supply were granted for three months. The Ministry then advanced the view that the power to dissolve wats one resting on the Constitution Act. not on the prerogative, and therefore should be exercised on ministerial advice withont regard to the grant of supply. The fovernor rejoined that he had a clear diseretion to dissolve under the Aet, and that the royal instructions left him full diseretion to refuse to dissolve despite miansters' adviee, and he refused to dissolve. Chtimately Parliament was promgued. the usual supplies having been voted. ${ }^{1}$ A month later the Governor was age in asked to dissolve, but he had now come to the conclusio, that it was not necessary to do so. as the Premier could probably eommand a majority in the next Parliament. On the other hand, the Premier argued that the Governor was only a eonstitutional monareh, and must dissolve on advice. The matter was referred to the Secretary of State for the ('olonies, who on February 15, 1878, definitely appresed the views taken by the Governor of his rights and his duties. White emphasizing his duty to consider carefully the views of his ministers. ${ }^{2}$ In July 1879 the Govermment, however. Was defeated in the House on a motion of no confidence, and the new Governor eonsented to dissolve on eondition that Pinliament should be called as soon as possible. This was agreed to. but both Honses addresiced the fiovernor to seeme thit there should be mo delay in summoning them, and the fiovernor then asked for an assurance from the Premier that he would advise the House to be smmmoned early. ${ }^{3}$ The assurance



reguired was given, and Parliament when it met turned out the Govermment hy two votes, and Mr. Hall ${ }^{1}$ formed a Giovernment. The Governor was not yet rid of his troubles, for the ex-Prenicr, who was in good and bad alike strenuous, revealed to the Honse that he had been eompelled by the Governor, with the alternative of resignation, to take the step nereesaly to allow Mr. Hall to resign his plaee on the Legislative Comeil, of whieh he was a member, in order to beeome a eandidate at the election for the Lower Honse. Fortunately the episode did the Governor no harm. for his action had been elearly in the right. ${ }^{2}$

There is also an interesting ease that is worth mentioning as a sequel to the ease of Mr. Letellier, whielt will be addneed below. Mr. Joly, who was called by Mr. Letellier to office, had never a strong liold of the Government. He was at last defeated by six votes in the Lower House, and the Upper House had already stopped the supplies, and so he asked in 1879 for a dissolution on the gronnd that he anticipated a majority from the country. The request was refused, on the ground that he had already had one dissolntion, that he had never had a substantial majority, that there was no likelihood of the grant heing effectual in returuing lis party in strength, and beeause the Legislature only la, ited four years, and slould not be frequently dissolved. The Lientemant-Governor's aetion was upheld by the fact that Mr. Chaplean formed with ease a hew Govermonent.

The question of the power of the Governor to dissolve Parliament was raised by Sir F. Dillon Bell at the Colonial Conference of 1887 on behalf of New Zealand. ${ }^{3}$ He admitted that there had been eases in the past where there had been mudeniable advantages in the position of personal influenee
${ }^{1}$ This was reconstructed under Mr. Whitaker in 1882, then reconstrueted in 18R.3 under Major Atkinson, Who was defoated in 1884 , and after a dis. solution itsigned on defeat at the pells.

 discretion as to dissolntion, sere Bakere. ('unstitution of sioulh Alastralia. 1. xxp: Foldwin Smith. 'anala, pe. 194. 19.i: and rf. litke. Prolleme of (Sreatfr Britain. i. 294, 2!n.
which was given to the Governor, for ho could thens exereise a moderating inthenco over the strong spirit of partyism which might oxist at any particular moment. But, on the other hand, the (iovermment of New Zealand of the day. wore of opinion that whatever advantages that position of morlerating inthence and power conferaed were more than rounterbalaneed by the a political position on th, that wats prodnced in rereating to the shispicion or rather against him. It was not an of the Governor which tended mputation of part feeling ract that much want of confidence had heen felt with regara to the personal qualifications or impart iality of the (iovernor himself, hut the party, which was disappointed by his refusal, hate lannefoed imputations of partiality and partisamship against the (fovernor, and the Govermment of New Zealand thonght that the Prine Ninisters in the Colonies shonld be given the same position as the Prime Minister in England that is to saly, that the fiovernor shoukl, mess there was some very extraorelinary canse for interference, as a matter of conrse take the advice of his ministers for the time being as to the question of the disolution of Pariament. Sir Ciralam Berry, who was: a representative of Vietoria, thonght that the principle contended for by Sir $F$. Dillon Bell was right; that is t saty, that a dissohition should be granted as a matter of course and not as a matter of favonr. and that it should not he a personial matter on the part of the Governor, but a constitutional finnetion, which he would exereise meder advice esately in the same way as he exercised all other functions. Mr. Serviee. also a representative of Victoria, dissented entirely from Sir Grahan Berry's view, and expressed doubt as to whether the Queen granted a disoblution whenever asked for. Sir John Downer, on behalf of South Aust ralia, thought that it was most melesirable to alter the existing cusiom. and le shggested that the pratice in lingland was still the same as in the Colonies. Sir Sammel (inffith, representing Qucensland, concurred in thinking that the change wouid be most indesirable. He had kinown cases in the Australian Colonies where the Ciovernors were advised by ministers to dissolve

Parliament on the assmmption that the advice would not bealeceded to. In one case the advice was not taken, in the of her it was-to the great dismay of the Government. This was spocially a caso in which there should be some superion and ralmer anthority to determine whether a dissolution were necessary or not. To adopt any other rule would introduce grave constitutional changes and wouk diminish to a very great extent one of tho powers of the crown. On the other hand, Sir William Fitzherbert, one of the reprerentativer of New Zaaland was strongly of opinion that the reponsibility of ministers in this reppect should be complete. Sir Robert Wisdom, however, on hehalf of New sombl Wales, considered that the proposal was quite improper; no inconvenience had attended the refusal of the Governor to acept advice except the inconvenience to the Ministry tendering the advice, and the public had never sulfered so far as he know by the refusal of the Governor to grant a dissolution; the opinion of Sir Ambrose Shea, on tehalf of Newfoundland, was evidently against the idea, and no action was takenaceordingly as the result of the disenssion.

The year ls99 saw the curious feature of three refusals of dissolution of Parliament by Colonial Covernors in Australia. On September $\overline{3}$, 1s99, Mr. Reid was defeated on a vote arising out of a personal matter-the payment of an allowance to a commissioner-and asked Lord Beanchamp, for a dissolution, which was not aceorded, donbtless because there was no real public issue at stake and Mr. Lyne was ready and able to form a Ministry to carry on Mr. Reid's own plans. On November $\geqq s$ Mr. Kingston was defeated in the South Anstralian LIouse of Assembly, wats refured by Lord Femmpon a dissolntion, resigned. and was suceceded by Mr. Solomon. who, however, had to resign in a few days, "hen sir F . Holder, treasirer in Mr. Kingston's Ministry, took office for a couple of years. On December I Sir (i. Turner was defeated in the Vietoria Legislative Assembly, and Lord Brassey refused hima dissolution, Mr. Allan McLean being sent for and holding office for nearly a year. ${ }^{1}$

[^95]The principles which wer laid down at the (olonial Conference have never been varied in any degree, and recent history affords many interesting examples of their being followed. In the cate of the (ommonncalth there have been three cases of the refusal of the dowernor-fencral to gramt a dixolution. In 1904 the Labour Ministry of the day was defeated on the guestion of the fomeifiation and Arbitration Bill by a coatition of the party fed by Mr. Deakin with that led by Mr. Reid. The Premier applied for a dissolution, thinking no dombt that it would be desirable to see if the country would not decide bet ween the rival pelicies ly sending back a strong Labour P'aty, even if it were not strong enongh to control the Covermanent. But the (iovernor-(iencral declined to grant a dinoblution, no donbt on the broad ground that the persibility of Pardiamentary dovernment had by mo mealls been cahturted. 'This was obviously the case, for a Ministry, that of Mr. Reid and Mr. Mr.Lean, had been agreed upon to unite the followers of Mr. Reded and Mr. Deakin, and that Ministry held office mutil June-July 1905, when, the coalition having broken down, the Prime Minister was defeated at the opening of Parliament. Mr. Reid then applied for a dissolution, but again the Governor-fimera! refised to grant one. . Mattera had now been patted up again between the Lalbour Party and Mr. Deakin, who had acted together against Mr. Reid, until Mr. Reid and the Labomr Party coaleseced to defeat Mr. Deakin on the Conciliation and Arbitration Bill by extending its operat ion to railway employees, a proposal which was held to be ultru cires by the Commonwealth Hien! rourt in view of the fact that raihways were state agencies, and as such could not be interfered with by the Commonw calth. Again, the new coalition (iovermment-for though the Labour l'arty would not join the Ministry they supported it very steadily was successful for a time, until, in view of the elections, Australia Ilonse of Assembly Detbits. 1899. p1. 917.ect. The ground of Mr. Kingston's failure was prersonal: Kir F. Holder entereed folleral politics in 1901, when Mr. Jonkins became Premicr, an wfice which he helel until 190.


which were due in 1910, the Labour party withdrew formally its support from the Govermment, in order that it should be able to go before the country as a united party and fight the Government seats. This resulted in the retirement of Mr. Deakin. who, however, made no attack on the Labour diovermment until they declined, in the early part of 1909, to consent to the presentation of a Drendnought to the Imperial Govermment at a time when feeling ran hish in Australia, and when New Z.aland had led the way by a manificent offer of support. Then they were turned out by a coalition bet ween the supporters of Mr. Deakin and Mr. Cook, Mr. Reid having retired to make room for the possibility of fusion, which could not have been accomplished as long as he was in active political life. The Governor-General refised Mr. Fisher a dissolution, and Mr. Deakin took office. ${ }^{1}$ The party, however, was completely defeated at the general eleetions and retired, and a Lahour Covermment took its place in April 1916.
In the States oi the Commonwealth there have recently been strong examples of the difficulties of a Governor's position. In south Australia, Mr. Price. the Premier, applied to the Governor in 1906 for a dissolution on the ground that he was anxious to take the steps neeessary under the Constitution Aet of 1901 to secure a penal dissolution of the Lower House with a view to cocreing the Upper House, with which he was engaged in a bitter controversy over the right of franchise. The (iovernor was unwilling to dissolve a Parliament which had not long been in existence, and in which the Premier had only a small majority in the Lower House and was in a hopeless minority in the Upper House. He therefore declined to gramt a dissolation execpt as a last resort, and tried to find if any member of the Lower House could form a tiovermment. Ho soon found that this was impossible, and he therefore recalled Mr. Price and undertook to give him the dissolution for which he asked, and matters were settled with the Upper House in the direetion of a con-

[^96]cession as to the franchise. thongh not ome so large as was desired by the Lower Honse. The action of the fiovernor was approved by the publie press and by the people generally. ${ }^{1}$
In 1907 the Governor on Qucensland was involved in a question of great difficulty also arising out of the relations of the two Houses. He was anked by his Premier, under circumstanees which will be dotailed elsewhere, to consent to swamp, if need be, the Upper House : he refused to do so, and the Premier resigned. He then sent for Mr. Philp, who was mable to obtain supply. The Lower Houso declared that it was willing and ready to go on with businesw, that important matters awaited disposal, and protested against a dissolution; but the Governor insisted on dissolving, with the result that Mr. Philp, was badly beaten and the old Ministry reinstated, whereupon the House, at the instanee of the new Ministry, passed an address regretting tho Governor's action, but took no further step to proceed against him.2 It is clear in this ease that the Governor was not correct in thinking that there was a reasonable chanee of the Government being suceessful at the elections, but he was probably influeneed by the fact that the election would decide legitimately the fate of the Upper House. It did so, and in a curious manner, for the coalition by which Mr. Pliip, had been defeated, eonsisting of Lahour and Kidstonites, rapidly dissolved, and Mr. Kidston, backed by his quondam enemies, proceeded to colve the relations of the Houses by arranging a Referendum Aet ${ }^{3}$ to decide in eases of disputes between the two Honses.
In the ease of Vietoria in 1908 the position was very peculiar. A very suong Government by sheer muddling frittered away its large majority, and shortly after seeming quite in vincible found itself defeated in the Assembly. What ensued ean best be set out in the memorandum of the facts made by the Governor and agreed to by the ex-Premier, which by the consent of the Governor was communicated to

[^97]the Assembly in response to a request from the leader of the Lahour party in tho Howse on Fehmary is, 1900.
On 3rd Decomber the forerument were beaten in tho Legiulativo Assembly hy 11 majority of twelve on a direct vote of no confidence.

The Premier reported this to menext day, and told mo that the (abinet were mannmous in dexiring a dissolution, which lre strongly advivel me to give in the interest of the state. He recognized that, especially onf the mattor of a proposed dissolution, the arlvice of a Premier who had lost the confidence of the House must be received with catution ; bat he was prepared to support he vir is by argument.
'Two coluses wre open to me-to follow the Premier's adviee and diswolve: or to rejert his advice, ast him to tender his resignation, and endenvour to find a member of one of the two Homses to form an Administration.

My chity was to take the comre which I thonght most likely to meet with the approval of the constitnencies.
The Asembly was elected in Mareh, 1907. Its seeond session was expected to finish atmost at once, and it could, in any case, only sit through one more session. It was quite possible, therefore, that it mo longer represented the views of those who eleeted it.

On the other hamd, members even of a comparatively old Pinliament are not likely to declare their want of confidence in a Minist re without some reason for helieving that popular fecling is with them.

Christmas and haryest time seemed to me a peenaliarly unsuitable season in which to hold a general clection; and there Wha much to be said for delay unt il recent legislation, enlarging the franchise. could take effect. I pointed this ont to the Premier : he told me that Ministers knew that dissolution at that time would be unpopular. and that its impopularity must do them harm in the const it uencies: they nevertheless asked for it. Which was. he claimed, proof that they had strong grounds for believing that the electors had full ionfidence in them.

In any ease. I thought the importance of verming a trine representation of the country ought to outweigh any inconvenience in the time chosen for an election.

Tho reasons which the Premier gave me for advising diseshation were three:-
(1) He believed that the Legislative Assembly, if it really had no eonfidence in the Government, did not represont the convincing indieations of He quoted recent hy-elections as convincing indieations of public feeling.

Ifolt that this belief, if well founded, was a strong argument for dissolution, and the hy-elections which supported the fiovernment certanly gavo an air of probability to the Premiers contantion.
(2) He pointed out that some of thone whon woted ngainst the Ministry did so arowedly. mot hecanse they disherieved in the policy of the (iowerniment, but beranse they thought that cortain of his own past actions showed want of uprightness. Ther made accusations against him. the truth of which he indignantly denied : but he said that if these were grounds for checlaring want of contidence in the Ministry it was only fine to himself, to the Ministors who supported him. and to the country that the constituencies shomid be ansed to pronounce their judgment.

I did mot think this in itself a reason for granting a diswolution. though the case for one might bo strengthened if dissohtion gave the clectors an opportunity to express their views on matters concerning the lonomer of their Sitate.
(3) The Premier thought that if I did not follow his advice. I ronded only ask one of two men to form a dovernmenteither Mr. Prendergast, the recognized keader of the Opposition, or Mr. Murray, who had moved the vote of no confidence. Either of these, he thonght, would be willing to form a Ministry, and might for the moment succeed ; but to ask cither to do so wonld not he in the interest of the Stater, for le felt certain that no (iovernment led by either of them would last for many days. He believed diswohtion was in any case inevitable before long. and ought to be given to the Ministry which the country had placed in power with so large a majority in $190 \%$.

I did not think that my choiee was necessarily contined to one of these two gentlemen, nor did I think that the Premiers opinion that dissohtion was inevitable was neressarily correet ; but I felt that, if I should deride to diswolvo Parliament, there was some reason in his clam to be allowed to appeal to the count ry whilst still in office.
I did not serionsly consider whether I should look for a leader in the Legislative council ; for I believed that the Legislative Assembly would never aecept as Premier one who was not a member of their own Honse.

The majority in favour of the vote of no confidence was made np of fiftem members of the Labonr Party, who never had any confidence in Sir Thomas Bent's Ministry, and $2:=$ former supporters who had lost confidence in it ; but who. both at the last general election, and apparently still, wero

Pposed to the Labour liarty. The di mombers who, by voting against the motion, showed their eonfedence in the Cabinet, were the mose mmerons seretion in the Homse. It Was ohvions that mo leador conld ferm a stable fiovermonent in the Asxembly then existing molese he could command support from two of these sertions. Tho Premier asoured me that his sipporters womld continuo to oppore the Labone lart $y$, and wrere nut likely to le frimdly to thore mon-Labome memberes who had voted ngane: himb.
 of the Oppesition. The Labobre Party, in their attitude to
 Mr. I'romedergast. therefore, with only fiffern followers, conld het command the confidenere of the Homse, maless there had heren a rhange in : ne attithde of a considerable momber of moth-babomr members towards him. Of this there was mo themsedves to have not have beed fair to the Labonr lauty miless I was prepared to allow him tor to form a Ministry. chectors. And, as 1 saw no sign thatpeal at once to the Whirh had hitherto been so spposed the worstitnencies, party as to retmrn so members against it and oudydergast is its favour, womld like an apperal made to the comptry fifteen in 1 did not ferd justified in asking Mr. Mrenderountry by him, Administration.

I condd find no evidence of Mr. Marray having ever been regarded as a leader in the llonso, and mothing had been disclosed in debate on his motion to show that anything had arsent to give him that position. The majority Which
suported him, thongh large, secome tocarry that one motion: two of $t$, ome entirely formed deliberately expressed donbt as to o who voted with him deve; some were well known to he , lowing him in anything with whose representatives the hostile to the Labour Party, shown hy their speeches they then roted: others had themselves on the land questat ther wero divided ameng expected that the Goverment with which it was gene ally mothing showed that the Labour womld shortly deal; and further surnport. Mr. Murray conld any Ministry formed at that moment hy. onthing to lead mo to thind no real stability; and 1 saw sinistry. onght to appeal to the bather than the present Indeed. there was eviden to the eountry at a dissohntion. had said that one reason for to the contrary. Mr. Mirray
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 sineo it hatel takers place.

 oble of these. a member of the lagivative tombeil, "as retmond mopposed: while of thore hehonging to the Lagatative Amombly. two reverivel magoritios latger than they had
 majority (as: was lese thats that whioh her had at thas
 the combteme of his rentatituents.

The debate on the wote of somentidence had miade me lhink that powibly the Homse as a whole dowimel a chamger of Premior rather than a dange in the prolfowed poliey of the
 athong there who had heren in the habit of supporting the Gowernment had peinted loant leader as arreptable. I =hombly have folt bemod to consither whether I whght not wask hime to try to form a (iowermmont : hont in spite of the fald that reront changes in tho (ishimed mast hase directed public. attention to those who develop the proliey of the State. nothing seemed to indieate that thero was amy smell leader.
Tossmm up, the evidence hefore me hed me to believe that
 "hirh were the onle eloar indications of oplinions, and which weve in favomr of the (owermment, did dexire al chatere of Mimist re. there Nas men proof that ther wished for either
 "as tho ipparent pobability of either of those genthemen being able at that moment form a stable dewornment.
 to try to do so, I hatl no reasomable gromble fori differing from the Premieres view that diswhtion was ine vitahle; that a dissolution at Christmas time wonld not increase the
 not give the Premier any mofair adrantage if, in the abseme o of elear :ndieations of desire in the combtry for any wher definite leader, or for a poliey other than that whish his dovernment professed, I allowed him to appeal to the chectors. It was my duty to act in local mathers on the advice of the Ministry as expressed by the Iremier. untesel was prepared
for find other advisers leeter able than they to ermeluet His
 Sit eontraty to the feelinges of the cometry. I did met
 I 10 th that if I refised to arerpht the alvieo of the Iremion 1 ald be doing so withent remsonable coptainty of m! bring sippurterl he the wontithemero. - refore "greed to dissolve Partiament

I 'remier coneorred with me in thinkis that the new

 $1^{1}, \quad$ of the var. mbticiont money was legally avialable wese ' ' 'i hitites of the State without buy furt her
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a diswohed Parliament at once to permit of its "a : On the earlient day whiels the Premier thought

The actun of the fiovernur was nut pepphbar. becanse the members oll Pratiment did not like being sent batk to their "obletitumede an somen after the last chertions, and the seatson of the year was not woll sulted for doctionerering. But at more serions mattere rame to light: the Anditor ealleal attention in ar repert of theromber 3 B . lank. to the fate that bage stme: were being expelded not merely without parliamentary allhority. hat alon wilhent :s wame from the dowernor. It appeared that the 'lyatimer. Who wase ahas Pren:ia: ance instructions for the expenditure willont regatel to the legal difficoltice of the position, leceanse it was neressary to keep the state molvent. The matter was taken IIP on the assembling of latliament. When the ministers at once resigned as thry were chearly in a minority, dud the matter was entrosted to a commission for infuity. But the eommission mainly elicited the fact that fimancial inegulatrities on a large seale were usial, hot that the ex-Promien hat acted in any very imporoper way, bearing in mind the

[^98] showed that the dewermor hat int wo wiot been to blame:
 factory asomance, which wan bot, it serms. warmated by facto. He combly not properly have taken any -tepe finthio to safeguatel himadf, and the preeredent of the action on
 the extent of his daty, was fully camied out hy him. The
 as ahowing that he had areded wrongly ing gathting al dionhor
 wase that there was no provere of at atomg (ioverument withont a dissolution, while after the disonthtion he was rathled
 comsiderable feat in vion of the varied amd controting pationint the state and the ahormere of ally great dividing lime

 Tax Bill, and ministers then asked the (invernom for a dianlationt. Ilis artion is shonn hy his spereth ont chang the third session of the sixth l'allimeme, onseptember las. I!no:

I have to thank yon for the mamen atteation which you
 of the session have lecen bromght to all about athe mexperted terminations.

In view of the rejeetion by the Lagistative ('ommeit of a bill providing for the imposition of 'Iasation ont the lonimpored Ville of Land. We Alvisers decemed it lo be thoid duty tw tender their resimbion. Seronge howner, that the (insernment retains the lifl contidence if the Legitative drembly.
 "opplying. meder presoll eiremm-lanees, with a finther refrlest made for a Dissohtition.

I ame eontident in the hope that the propesat- which will he presented to won on rasarombling will merne that fitcomr-
 emplatically hy that hramel of the Lexislatme in whols all
 my Advisers comsider to be of vital import.nne to the finame ial - bilbility and development of the Sitate.

period from your duties, and aceordingly declare this Parliament prorogied until Tuesday, the 8th day of October, then to meot for the despatel of business.
The result of his action was in every way satisfactory. The Upper House proved on the meeting of the Parlinment more amenable to reason, and an Act was passed after concessions on both sides.
In Tasmania an interesting case of a dissolution being refused arose in 1904, when the Aeting Covernor was placed in the not very usual position of having to decide the issue in the absence of the Governor on leave; the circumstances are clearly and effectively set out in the memorandum which was addressed to the Premier on July 5 by the Chief Justice in his capacity as administrator of the Government:-
The Acting Governor this morning has received through the Premier the adviec of Ministers that a dissolution of the House of Assembly should take place. The Acting Governor thereupon asked the Premier to state in writing the grounds upon which such advico was tendered, and has tereived the following reply from Mr. Propsting :-

- Tour Excellency,-Following men your request of this morning, 1 now have the honour to ect out hereunder the grounds wh on which 1 apply ment assumed oftien a dissolntion of Parliament. The present Govern18 th August in the same vear. A Arelieve that and met the House on the of the House of Assembly were returned bledted to in reduet ion of embers diture, a reduction of the nuwher of member and the ion of expenfrotate. or for a non-inguisiturial for of members, and the imposition of perssonal exertion provisions of the Income Tax Act. objects were passed through the Honse of Assembly; and sent to the Legishative Council in the session of 1903 , and were all rejected or atmended in such $a$ way that the House of Assembly comld not aecept them. Parlianent prorogned on the 18 th Derember, $19 \% 3$, and wals ealled together in Marell. 1904, when the ${ }^{\text {principal }}$ taxation me are adopted ly the House of Axscmbly in hen of the provisions of the income tax referred to above rugued, and net again on the sth Junce, 19athe whent wist thereupon proboth Houses consulting there sth Jme, 1994, when a hill to provide for enees bet ween them wass rejected by the in the case of contimed differof Assembly then made a request thy he Legisisiative Council. The Honse constitutional refurm and tinanctul the ('omncil for a free conferenee upon las the hegislative conncil. It is claimed ly lagislat conntry is with themin in their Councillors in the course of debate that the sent by the Honse of Assembly to the Council are rejeceted. ground mewsures that the country is with the House of Assembly, and this is demonstrated
by the majoriny held ly the tiovermment in that llomas. and I dombt Whether any Shinistry formed from those who are opposing the (ievernment conld carry on.
The reduced revente retarned and returnable from the (ommomeselth necessitates a recensideration of pledges given by menters of the lhomo. of Assembly to the electors upen the question of tadalion. 1 majanty in that Honse are pledged to the repeal of the perathal exerion provisions of the income tax.
 a molitication of the personal exertion chatures and of hae wermparey hax, which was passed by the Honse of Assembly this year, hut rejected liy the Conncil.
four Exectleney is aware that barliamemt has granted the (owernment supplies to the 3 oht of soptember next.
thave the honour to be,

> Yinir Excelleney,
> Yuar most whedient remant,
> (Nigned) 16. B. Phersmas.
> l'remier.'

The position is a mosi musmal one. It is most unusual for Mimisters to advise a dissolution when there has been no adverse vote against them.

Ministers assumed office in April hast year. immediately after the general election, which had resulted in the pronounced defeat of the then existing Administration. The cardinal feati: of their taxing policy was the repeal of tho tax on ineomes derived from personal exertion. They have on more than one occasion sucessfully carried throngh the House of Assembly a bill for its repeal, and the bulk of their proposals. especially those relating to taxation and finance, have been passed in this House by substantial majorities. There has been no vote of want of confidence passed in either branch of the Legislature, and presumably Dimisters possess the eonfidence of that House to which constitutional nsage aceords the right of primarily determining their existence as: an Administration. But Ministers are quite unable to obtain the sanction of the Legislative Council to their proposals, and repeated attempts to this end have failed comspicuonsly. A conference with the Legislative Council upon constitutional reform and tinancial questions has been requested quite recently by the Assembly, but the Legislative Council found itself mable to comply with the request, and the relations between the two Houses dluring the last few months have not facilitated the transaction of publie business. The Premier within the last few days has informed Parliament of the falling off in the revenue, and has called at tention to the disquieting financial outlook. He also has informed the Acting Governor that in eonsequence of the altered con-
ditions of the public finances. it will be impossible for him, in the interests of the State, to contime to recommend the abolition of a substantial part of the income tax, although nome modification of its incidence is, in his opinion, desirable. Ho also is of opinion that additional taxation is necessary. Supplies have been granted for the period up to the $30 t$ ? September next.

The public bnsiness of the comery is at a standstill, there is a growing deficit and a deereasing revemme, and it is imperative that withont momecessary delay measmes shonld be adopter hy Parliament for adjasting the finances. In these rircmmstances the Acting Governor is advised to grant a
disolntion.

The prerogative power of diswolving Parliament onght not to be exercised except for the henefit of the people. A
dissolntion is an appeal by Ninisters to the peope in the dissohtion is an appeal by Ministers to the people in the hast resort to deternine some question of poliey, and in almost avery case in which it is granted it is preceded by some adverse vote of the popular Chamber. hn the present caso there has been no adverse vote. Is there any question of policy on which Ministers can appeal ? When the Government took office its policy was to repeal the personal exertion clauses of the ineome tax. but altered conditions have made that policy inexpedient, if not impossible. and Ministers can no longer pursue it. This brings Ministers substantially into aceord with the view taken by the Legislative conncil as regards the income tax, and presumably removes the diffichity created hy the expressed intention of Ministers not to collect the tax. The Acting Governor thinks that this circumstance will go far to remove the difference of opinion betweon the two Honses as regards other taxation proposals, and will conduce to more harmonions relations. The finamcial condition of the comntry itself appears to have solved one great difficonlty, and it is obvions that as there is no difference on this question, there is nothing to appeal to the country upon. The proposal for constitutional reform of the Legislative Conncil has been made a chisfinte issue by Ministers, but it does not appear to the Acting Governor to be the paramount and pressing question now. It is to a great extent factitious, and has arisen ont of the rejection of financial measures. The adjustment of the finances is now the supreme question, and the Acting-Governor is of opinion that the people of the State wonld so regard it. The altered financial conditionis appear to neressitate a reronsideration of the position, and the submitting of new financial proposals
for meeting the exigencien of the state. On this question there can be no appeal to the people at present, becamse Ministers have not yot sulmitted to Parliament measures whieh they say are neecssary. 'There is nothing to appeal upon. If such measures are submitted, they may rereion approval, in which case a diswohtion, costing somic $£ 1,20 \%$, would be an extravagant and aboidable error, expecially at a time when rigid eeonomy appears to be neecesary: Moreover, the deeision of the clectors, to be of any value for future gnidanee, would have to be given on definite taxing proposals, and none have yot been formulated to meet the altered conditions. The form which the additional taxation said to be necessary is to take has not been diselosed. It is astied that the Assembly may be dissolved. in order that an appeal maty be made to the eonntry on a tinancial policy which not only has not been rejeeted hy the Assembly, hut has not been even submitted to it.

There only remains to be considered the existing relations between the two Houses. In adciition to the reasons already stated, the Aeting Governor does not think that this guestion has become so acoute as to justify an appeal to the comotry in regard to $i t$. One great difference of opinion, probably the one on which all others have manaly depended. hais been removed, and as regards new proposals, there may be no difference. but if there is. a dissolution is mot the only remedy. It by no means follows that another Administration could not be formed from the present Parliament which could submit proposals that would be acceptable, and which would bring the Honses into agreement.

The eorrse of events has gone a long way to remove canser for disagreement, and if there exists any other method than dissolution to bring aboat a complete agrerment. the Acting Governor thinks that it is his duty to mee it. Extraordinamy means need not be used to terminate a disagrecment which is in a fair way of being terminated her orlinary means. It is not the duty of the Aeting fovernor to take sides with one braneh of the Legislature against the other. or to critieize the aetion of either Homse. It is only when disputes between them transeend the lawful bommes: of Parliamentary warfare and seem to be irreconeilable by any other means, that he is justified in the attempt to invoke the aid of the people to restore harmony by dissolving the popular Chamber.
With the exception of the gnestion of constitutional reform of the Legiskitive Comed, the Aeting (bovernor fails to sere
that there is any inportant political question upon which contending parties are directly at issuc.

Existing diffieulties may be disposed of withont reconurse to extreme remedios.

In eonsidering the question of dissolution, the Arting Giovernor desires to pay the greatest attention to any representations that have beon made to him by his constitutional advisers, but it is his duty to consider the question solely in reference to the general interests of the people, and not from a party standpoint. If he believes that a strong and cffieient Administration can be formed that would eommand the confidence of the existing Assombly, and be able to carry on the public business, he ought not to resort to the "extreme medicine' of the Constitution.

The present House of Assembly was elected only fifteen months ago. The !aw provides that a general election shall take place every three years, and it does not appear to the Acting Governor to be desirable that this period should be shorlemed withont reasons of great gravity. A general election at the present time would not be benetieial to the public interest, for it would delay the consideration of the financial condition of the country, which apparently is so serious as to demand immediate ittention, and it wonld prolong a period of political umrest which has become distanteful to the people.

The Acting Governor does not. $H_{j o n}$ a review of the position, consider that there is any suffieient ground for the dissohition of a comparatively young Honse of Assembly, at a time when the financial position of the state is suffering by the delay in passing necessany measmes, and when it is reasomably probable that the present Parliament could furnish an Administration able to carry on the business of the conntry ; and, also, the Acting fovernor is not aware of any reason why an Administration possessing the confidence of the House of Assembly, and laving supplies, should not proceed with the public business in the ordinary way.

In Tasmania again, in 1909, the new Governor, Sir Harry Barron, was confronted by a difficult position. When the ministers met the House of Assembly on October 21 , the leader of the Opposition, Sir Elliott Lewis, gave notice of a motion of want of confidence. The House then adjourned to the next day, when after a debate whieh lasted till midnight, the Ministry was defeated by a majority of six, the
voting locing sixteen to ten. The Premier then addressed to the Governor a memorandum asking that he might be granted a dissolution, but the dissolntion was refused, and the Governor sent for the leader of the Opposition, whon was able to form a fairly strong Govermment as compared with the Government of the Labour Party. The following is the text of the menorandmen and the (iovernors reply:-1
Mr. Earle presents his respeetful compliments to his Exaelleney the (fovernor. In aceordance with the commission recently entrusted to him by the (iovernor. Hr. Earle formed an Administration, which succeeded that of Sir Elliott Lewis. Ministers wrere duly sworn in on 2oth inst. . but at the first subsequent meeting of the Honse of Assembly on the eind inst, a vote of want of confidener in Ministers was moved by Sir Elliott Lewis, and earried by 16 votes to 111.

A brief retronpect of the recent political history of the State is necessary to permit of a proper molerstanding of the situation ereated by this adverse vote.

Daring the existence of the last Parliament the Government of the Hon. J. W. Evans held office at the period of the general elections, which took place in April last. Mr. Evans had oceupied the position of Premier for nearly five years. There were at the election considerable electoral diftienties affecting Mr. Evans's Administration, and in respeet of one important question it is highly probable, if not absolntely certain, that Mr. Evans would have suffered defeat if he had met the newly-elected Parliament. The settlement of the question fell to the lot of other Ministers.

Sixty candidates offered themselves for election. Of these, aceording to a careful analysis which Mr. Earle has made' 20 represented the views of the party which support Mr. Earle-12 of these weie returned. There were $2: 3$ who represented the opposite polisical view-l4 were returned. Twelve candidates took a middle course, inclining in many respeets towards the views propounded by Mr. Earle and his party, and only one was returned. Fonir of the remaining eandidates eannot be classified, but their publie declarations indicated that they were in sympathy with the political views of Mr. Earle. One other candidate was rejected.

Ic has been stated in Parliament by the Hon. A. E. Solomon (the Attorney-Gemeral in the last Administration.: with evident truth, that Mr. Evans did not assume churing
Pul. Pap, 1909, No. is.
the clocetion the authority usially exarcised by a leader, and, as already stated, he did not meet the newly-dected Parliament in the eapracity of a Minister of the Crown.
1.Mr. Evans continued to hold office for some time after the general dections, but shortly before the meeting of Parliament he called together those members of the Honse of Assembly (with one exception) who were not dechared adherents of the party of which Mr. Earle was the recognized leadrr. One result of this eonference was the resignation of Mr. Evans as Premier, in eonsequence of which Sir Elliott Lewis was entrusted with the duty of forming an Administration. This he suceceled in doing, assmming office as Premier on June 19. Mr. Evans, notwithstanding his long service as first Minister of the Crown, was not included in the (iovernment, althongh Mr. Hean, the Minister for Lands in his (iovernment, was reappointed to that office.

Under the cireumstances already detailed it is cevident that no member of the Honse of Assembly was elected as a declared supporter of Sir Elliott Lewis personally. The recont proceedings in Parliament show that no binding ohligations existed to support him as a Parliamentary leader, although he was apparently requested to assume that position when Mr. Evans retired. Sir Elliott Lewis has had to rncounter in the brief period of four months, since he assumed office as Premier, two votes of want of confidence proceeding from members who had taken part in the conference already referred to, and who were nominally supporters of his Govornment. The frist adverse motion, deelaring that the House disagreed with the financial proposials of the Government, was defeated (September $\bullet 3$ ), hut very shortly after the defeat of this motion a prominent member on the Government side made a direet attaek upon Sir Ellintt Lewis's administration, and his motion declaring that the House had not confidence in the Government or in its proposals with regard to taxation was earried by 18 votes to 10. Upon this adverse vote Sir Elliot Lewis resigned, and Mr. Farle suceeeded him as Premier. Mr. Darle was at once mot, as before stated, hy a vote of want of confidence and dofreated.

From this retrospect it appears to Mr. Earle that he is fully warranted in asserting that the members who voted with, Sir Elliot tewis in support of his no-confidence motion, are united only for the pmrpose of defeating the present finvernment. It is one thing to unite for the purpose of attaining some definite object, but it is quite another to
work harmonionsly together after the objocet aimed at has
been attained.
Of the six memberss who within a week dectared by their votes their want of eonfidence in two Administrations, one is an ex-Premicr, who was passed over when Sir Ellontt Lewis formed his Government, while one of his colleagnes was retained in office : one gencrally credited, notwithstanding some assertions to the eontrary, with aspirations to serve the State in high office, is the subject of the puhbic declaration by Sir Elliott Lewis in the appendix to this momorandmon; one has on two occasions, by his vote, expressed his want of confidence in Sir Elliott Lewis; and one was elected neithor as a supporter of Mr. Evans nor of the party of which Sir Elliott Lewis is now the aceredited leader.

Having regarel to these circumstanees, Mr. Earle submits to the Governor that in order to aseertain truly the state of parties in the Honse of Assembly it is necessary to look not at the most recent vote, but at that which bronght about the downfall of the Lewis administration. That vote reveals the existence of the three parties. The party on which Sir Elliott Lewis can rely consists of eleven members: the party which supports Mir. Earle consists of twelve members; the third party which voted in the majority, hy which Sir Elliott Lewis was defeated, consists of six members. Mr. Earle begs to remind the Governor that the existing Parliament was elected under the auspices of Mr. Evans, and that inasmuch as the party associated with Sir Elliott Lewis was identified with the former Ministers, it is correct to say that Parliament was clected under the auspices of the opponents of Mr. Earle's Government.

Mr. Earle submits to the Governor that there does not exist in the present Honse of Assembly the material nereesary to form a stable Government. In xubmitting this advice to the Governor it is pointed out that:-
(1) The present Honse of Assembly was not elected under the auspices of the present fovermment. but of their opponents.
(2) The vote of want of confidence in Mr. Eitlo ${ }^{\circ}$ x Government is a vote against a Government which has not already appealed to the country, and which, althombh brought into existence in consequence of the action of their opponents, has been denied an opportunity of stating their policy, or of attempting to carry on the business of the State.
(3) Ministers have reasonable grounds for believing that
the adverse vote against the fovernment would be reversed by a new Parliament.
(4) In the condition of parties there is no reasonable prospeet of any Government obtaining sufficient support to crable them to conduct the publie business in a satisfaetory manmer.
(ia) 'Ihe attempt to unite in a common party a number of members who wore cleeted to represent varying policies is in effect a misrepresentation of the electors. And the records of Parliament Now that the attempt had failed.
(6) 'Ille Lewis Administration was defeated in connexion with their finaneial proposals. Considerable elissatisfaction witly existing methods of taxation was shown to exist during the eleetions, and Sir Elliott Lewis simply proposed to inerease the present rates of taxation by 25 per cent. The policy of Mr. Earle's party is to remodel the system of taxation, incluthing the repeal of the Taxation Aet under which the Ability Tlax is levied, and the Land Tax Act, 190.). Important proposals of fimance have therefore arisen, which the House has shown a marked disability to real with. The new proposals have never been before the cleetors, and it is highly desirable that whatever Government is to hold office should reeeive from the electors clear authority to deal with the question of finance on welldefined lines.
For these reasons Ministers think that a dissolution of l'arliament at the present juncture would be in the general interests of the people of this State.
(Sgd.) J. Earle, Premier.
The reply of the Governor to the above was as follows :--
To the Hon. the Premier of Tismania :-
(1) The Govermor, in eoming to a eonclusion on the reqiest for a dissolution subinitted to him by the Prenier, fully realizes that the present House of Asscmbly was not elected under the auspices of his Ministry.
$(2)$ It is equally true that the vote of want of eonfidenee is against a Government whieh has not as such appealed to the eountry, bint at the recent general e. tion Mr. Earle's party was, it is presumed, a united one, I it apparently had every opportmity of decharing its polie, to the electors, who, it must be assumed, voted to a considerable extent for or against that policy.
(3) In the opinion of the Governor nothing has occurred
to give hime reasomable gromeds for the belief that a diondirtion womld resint in a working majority in favour of the present Ministers.
(4) As the two parties in Opposition have arranged a coatiton, on what gromeds it is not for the (iovermor to ascertain, there is a reasomable prosperet of a suftionently stable (iovernment to earry on the (iowernment of the Stute.
(5) Nog great question is now at issule which was not before the electers at the reeont general elections.
(6) The Governer feeds deeply his rexpumibility in hatione to give a decision on wucl a difticonlt question sio somen after his arrival in the cometry, but his daty is to aret in areormanee. with what he considers in the best interests and wolfare of the people in the State. He regrets, therefore that he forels compeded to decide agamst the atvier of his Ministers, and refuses to burden the comutry with the expeonse amd minest of another general election after such ia shomet interval of time. He must therefore give his decided opsinion that a dissolution is underirable.

> (iovernment House, Hobirt. October 25,1909 .
(Sigd.) HamRi B.mbos.

The serions responsibility devolving upon a (iovermor by the diseretion in matters of dissolution whidh he still retains is exemplified in a striking manmer by the ease of the Newfomadiand elections in 1909.1 There was then returned to Parliament an equal number of members. eighteen, on both sides in the Heuse of Assembly, and a deadlock ensmed. The first question would arise as to the relection of a Speaker. It was chear that when the Governor attended in person at the House and asked them to choose a Speaker, the Honse would be unable to obey his request. Sir Robert Bond then approached the Governor and asked that a dissolntion should be granted, but the Governor deelined to consent to do so. His action was obvionsly desirable in view of the fact that the country eonld ill afford the expense and tronble of a new clection, and there was a chance that a new Prentior

[^99]might be able to carry with him part of the following of Sir Rohert Bond, if the latter insisted, as was probable, on resigning in view of the refusal of the Governor to acerpt his adviee. Sir Robsert resigned and the Covernor then sent for the leader of the Opposition, Sir Edward Morris, "hos aceepted office and proceeded to form a Government. But he was umable to detach any of Sir Robert Bumb's following from him, or to elect a speaker on the meeting of the House, and he was eompelled to advise the (iovernor to diswolve the Ilouse. Naturally this action was strongly resented by Sir Robert Bond, who pointed out that he had been refused a dissolution in similar circumstances, and argned that, if it were necessary to dissolve, the Gevemor shonld recall him to office and permit him to have a disiolution. 'The fovernor, however, adhered to the view that the dissolution which was elearly inevitable should be granted to sir Edward Morris, and this was done witl the result that the Premier was suceessful at the polls and eame back at the head of a substantial majority.

It is elear that, though at tirst sight there seems to have been some hardship in the fact that Sir Robert Bond was refused a dissolution, the eonse followed was exaetly in concordance with the law of the constitution. It was the duty of the Governor to exhaust every possible chance of forming a Govermment before he diswolved a House whichs lad just met after a general election, in which both sidew had placed their policy fully before the country, and whied, therefore, must be deemed to show that neither party had a clear majority in the comatry. T'r give under these eiremmstances a diswolation to the Premier would have probably meant cither a repetition of the first equality of numbers, or at best a slight majority for one or the other party, for the possession of the Government in the ease of dissolution in Newfoundland has always been regarded as a great advantage in matters of polities. It was therefore fairly obvious that a dissolution granted to Sir Edward Morris would be likely to result in a substantial majority for his party, and thas secure a stable Covernment. Sir Robert

Bomd alat monst be atmitted to hate been guilty of a ation-




 intered uncertain whether the (iownemer womh hater in ihat dase given him at disadntion, but at any rate the vithation womlal hate been mench more favemable to hime that it arthally wis.
 diberence of "pinion with ministers falls unter amother categery and will be treated later. But inn interesting example of the diftientties of a ('ulonial Promier was alfordeal ly the circometances in whish lor. dameson found himself

 Ministry had. through internal disabsion, last menre almb mone ol weight. fimally, the defeetion of a member in the
 possible to proced with supply there be tiae Prenidnonts Pasting vote, as long ats the House was sitting and did but go into Committere, hat onfe the Homse was in Committere mothing whatever eonkl be done, amd thomgh the Homes, When out of Committere, conld resolve that the Committere shoulel proceed to dispose of the Bill, there was no meathby which effect conld be given to this resolation, and an effort to move to omit the ('ommitterestage faliorl throngh the Opposition membersstaying awaymalleaving the Hemse without a ponoum. Eventually the Prime Minister was compedled to promise to ask for a dissolutions if he were granted supply. amd the grant then was made and the dovernor granted a dissulution. In such a ease it is clear that the fovernor hatel no alternative, as the parties were agreed that there must be a reference to the people which alone conld settle the issne, and in point of fact the issue resulted in the decisive defeat of the Ministly and the return to power of Mr. Memiman.

[^100]
##  

It in of comser char that a Mininge which has berod deleated amd is simply wating to lease offier. moless the comntry rethrise it to pewer, callmot be allowed tor exereion the mone important fillotions of (iovermment. If they triod to donar. it wonld be the daty of the (ienvernog to restatin theme allad if bered be to diamis. The instanere in which this primeiple hain heron laid dewn ato momerous: for (example. Sir dohat
 membere of the C'perer Honse of New Sonth Wates at the request of his Ministry, noted the fact that an they had uos real suppoit in the combtiy and were on the verge of a defeat he had dectined theob application. for that among other
 Sir (i. (imey for a diswohtion in New Zoaland in 187!, expresely laid stress on the face that the Ministry mast comlime its activities to mere rontime matters matil it had appeated anceressfally to the peophe." In 1877 the Marpaess of Normanhy dechined to aceept the advion of his ministere to add a member to the Legislative Council of New Zenland While a vote of censure was pending against them in the Lewer Honse, and thengh on the victory of the fovernment in the dehate he at once made the appointment, the protests of the (iovemment were not acerpted as valid by the Soerctary of state to whom the incident was reported:s On the other hand. Lord Onslow, in 1891, on the defeat of the New Zealand Ministry, was nevertheless willing to create a limited nomber of members of the Upper House at the rerpest of the Ministry. They desired to ereate deven new members, and insisted that he must aceept their advice or resignation. He, however, by negotiations indueed them to

[^101] the apmintmenta were mot mate on party gromble, but to strengthen the honse. He whmited the prowedent of band



 llis atetion was "prosed by the serentary of state. who.
 tendered by ministers. "h.a h. inderel, wanmot in hamony with


Thar elaswieal mase bearing ont this perint is that of Lamel
 of Nir Joha Wachmalel fared ablmewhat batly after his deatla



 matter of the seheols, what in the reant the general elecetions "ront decisively against the paty, allel on duly 4 the 1 invernorfieneral fomel it necessary to meltres the following minnto to the Prime Mininter :-

Until July the ith as at present arranged, it is now $1 \cdot$. that we shall know whether or not yon deem the re" 1 : the (ieneral Election decisive against the Covernmed. de I know to what extent these results may be metio. that chate which yon mame as fimal in this regard.

After taking every means in my peser to inform my:-1. it is impessible for me to ignore the probability that in the Fent of your hecoding to meet Pbrliament the present Administration will fail to serume the suppent of the Honsere of C'ommons.

This hypothesis serms to me to have inportant bearings.
In the lirst place, the basimes to be transacted by Parliament, thongh foreseen and not in charater exceptional, is urgent. The supplies for the publieserviee areabeadyentirely exhansted. 'This contingeney was in view when the date of the meeting of Parliament was tixed. It is in the public
interest that Parlianemt shall meet on as early a day as possible, and be ahle to proceced to husiness forthwith.

Again, in regard to the varions recommendations which in detail or be inference we diselusiod ont 'Thuseday, athe in legard to all hosinesw which is mot urgent and yet ontside romtine administrative reguirements. the asommption that the (iovermment has failed to sereme the eondidence of the olectorate at the polls., leaves undiminishod, indeed increases, the stringency of the limitations of ant already somewhat. perealiar position.

Let me explain my meaniag. The ciremmatances are these :
The prevons Administration (with Sir Matekenzie Bowell as Prime Minister). reprenenting the views of the same political party and laving a majority in both chambers. failed to passis its proposed legistation. and on the enth of April Parliament expired hy efthe of thane, without having granted supples for the publie serviee beyond the 30th of Jmme. Sulsequently, when no Parliament wats or could be. under the circumstances, in existemee, the present Administration was formed. So far, therefore, as these are dependent upon the subseguent approval of Parliament, the aets of the present Administration are in an musual degree provisional. And as the powers of an Administration undonbtedly full and umestrieted must surety always be used with discretion, theio aserese would seem to be righty limated. under suels eiremor stances as the present. Wo the transation of all necessary puhlie business, while it is further a dinty to aroid all acts Whicla mat embarrass the suceceding Government.

On this gromed 1 wound ask your forther consideration of sombe of the reeommendations which we dise ussed incidentally on Thursday. (On this gromed too. I felt ohliged to withhold the expression of my acquieseenere myour suggestion as to the appointment of Somators or Jndges. (Youlave since then lad before me certain recommendations as to Sematorshijse whiol are vacant.)

These ate life appointments, and with them. undror such
 all other life appointhents, allel the creation of all new oflieres amd appointments, for the comsideration of the incoming Nimisters, males always suld a comren is shown to be conttraly to the publie interest.

In the case of the Senate, which consists of serenty-aght members. it is to be noted also that there are said to be mow mo more than dive Semators who are liberals. And it may. wedl be meged that to aggravate this inernality at the
present time would not only tend to embarrass the probable suecessor of this Ciovermment, hat to incrase the risk of frietion between the two chambers of the Legiskatme.

In the rase of Julges, I will only add that, bearing in mind the ordinary length of their temure of office and also the long political predominaner of one political party in the bominion Parliament, the carrent dednetion as to the complexion of the political opinions represented npon the Bench, whether baseless or woll fomeded, is not umatnral.
As to the remaining recommendations whieh are before me, and generally ats to other husincsis of a similar natmre. all seem to me to be subjeet to the same governing considaration. Whatever business can wait without detriment to the public. interest, may property do so.

There is a recommendation of a refund of money which requires the sametion of Parliament. Sueh recommendations will have to be placed before Parliament by the Ministers of the day; and yon may perhapse comsider that they may be left to be dealt with by these Ministers.

In Mr. Jaynes case my special coneren is imdieated in the latter part of the Memomandam of the Cowrmon (ienoral's sereretary of the loth June where the question is arked Whether this appointment is in accordance with the Statntes and Regulations which govern such cases, i.e. Whether it infringes upon an existing law, onder which cireumstancos, it. With any other eases of a similar kind if there be any such, camot properly receive sanction.

1 mention another case, viz. the recommendation of an officer to the pont of Assistant Superintendent of the Cartridge Factory at Queber. This position has been vacant for tho years. It secoms, therefores desirable to reserve it. With any bthersimilarrecommendations as to valeancersot homgharat ion for the eonsideration of the incoming foremment, maless this rourse ean be shown to be detrimental to the ponble interest.

One other matter remains to which yon asked my attention pesterday, and which it may be convoniont that I shombd mention here. I refer to your remarks on the Memorandal wheh Thave from time to time forwarded for the consideration of Council. I have careftilly considered these remantis, and my conchsions and obsorvations are as follons:-

On ireferring to the books of the fiovernor femeralss offiee 1 find that the Memoranda sent by my predecessors are similar in form to those which thase ransed to be sent. As to the recoreling of sulel commmonations, this has rvidently becon done in the past. Hy own experience cortainly makes mie
think that this is proper and desirable and eontributes to rontinnity of fovermment. As to the accessibility of sueh bipers to sueresive cabinets, it most be borne in mind that, whether specitically so deseribed or not, all sueh papers are esentially confidential. Their contronts are made known only to those who are bonnd by oath of weelecev, and they camot be laid hefore Parlimment xcept with the consent of the diovernor-feneral. I fail, therefore in ser that there has lately been any departure from precedent or from practice in this matter.

These observations will indicate to vou in the meantime the result of such consideration as 1 hate so far been able to give to the business now before me.

Sir ( 'hantes 'Tupper replied on July s. He explained the motives which had led to the - uggeredel appointments. Ie. Ho athenced the case of Mr. Mackenzie. who made several appointments between his defeat on september 1\%. isis. and his resignation on Ortoher 16 following. The failure to grant supply was due to the umaralleled obstruction of the Opposition taking advantage of the fact that Parliament would expire on April $2 . \mathrm{S}^{1}$ He proceded to add :-

I should fail in my duty to your Excellency as well as to the principles which gevern the adnanistration of pulbia. atfairs in Canada. where Parliamentary (iovermment is camrial on preceisely as it is in Eingland. if I diel not draw your atters tion to the very serions consergateses of the wiews which yon have indicated as gniding your ation on the present or casion. The recognized authorities on Parliamentary Law atod the practice both in England and in Canada have. I comberme. setted these quastions beyond dispeste. Todd. in his Perblete


- The vediet of the commtry having lsan promonneed against Ministers at a general eloction: it is meverthelose competent for them tas remain in affice until the new Parliamemt hat

[^102]met and given a dehimitive and limal deresion upon their merits: for the Homare of abmmons is the heritimate orgatl of the perople, whese opionons eanmot be constitutionally aseretained exerpt thengh their representationes in loaliat ment. It is neressary, however, and aroorling to preredent that, under such rimemmetaneres. the now Parliament shomble be called together withoni delas:

And one prage inl3:-
For, motwithstanding their iestgnations, the ontgoing Ministen are bound to conduret the ordinary businces of Parlament and of the comentry so hong as they retain the seats of olfice. They contime. Inoreover, in full prosession of their officialauth rity and fonctions and must mext and incor. the full respon-sbilits of alf pmblie transatetion- motil their


And on page. ilt:-
It Was always the practiere to till nf varancios. Pentages promised hy a Minister- prederosoms in ollier hat been granted, though now instrunso?t had heoll sigmed or soabled on the subject.

In 18.is, Lard Palmerston, after his tender of rexignation, and before his surecesor was appointed, allotted threr of the highest honomes of the (rown--h hree (iarters-whilh were then unappropriated. to three eminent moblemen. his friends and supporters. And in listis. 1 pon the diswolmtion of the second Russell Ministry, an offere was tilled op hes that diovernment which did not become vacant imtil two dey: after their resignation had been tendered to Her Majosty: The interference of Parliament with the exprexe of the prepensative under such ciremomstanere has mever taken
 " Hagrant character.

Alod (11 pays in: :-


 Ws- med su-timerl Parlianment








Parliament on May $31 s t$ and did not resign matil defented by a majority of $1 \% 3$.
 previously voted tho listimates for the year and expedited pmblice hisimes. He was defeated by a majority of 40 ; but he did not resign matil he was defeated by a direct vote of want of contidence. 350 to 310 .

He then quoted the case of Lord Onslow's appointment of six members of the Legislative Council of New Zealand, and the approval of his action by the Secretary of State in 1891. He pointed ont that after his defeat in 1878 by a majority of between eighty and ninety, Mr. Mackenzie was allowed to appoint a dopute minister, a judge of the Supreme Court of ('imada, four puisne judges. and a County ('ourt - Indere He also asserted that the jndges of Canada had in many cases, inclading the then Chiof Jnstice, been appointed hy the Lilweral pary. and that the Senate only twiee in Mr. Markenzie's fovermment refused to aceept his measures, and then they were in sympathy with Mr. Markenzie's own -lyporter's, and he added :-

I may venture to remind Your Excellency that the exigencies of the problice serviee and the difficulties to which fon have afluded have been cansed by the obstruction of publie hosinesw by the Opposition, not withstanding that the Gensermment. of which I wat the leader in the Honso of Commomas. had the support of a large majonity of that Howse. At that time the nutortmate circumstance to whiels Thave referered, chabled eomparatively few pereons to prevent any legisation or public. bunams being lone hy the House. Had the Oppaxtion in Camada dopted tho rourse followed
 boted the womates for the yede and expedited public
 I laillorere why surin , tovt rextion on the part of an Oppesition - trould entith them to the sperial comedration of the ('rowns.

With reference to the ingumios whal jomr Exedonar. has from time to time domoght lit to addreso to the (Jerk of the Privy "ommeil 1 can onty re ate mye improssion that

 prime Dinistor or the Winister difendy romemed rathe
than by means of otticial momoranda which berome part of the Records of (Bomeil.

In concluvion, I maly he permitted to sily to Vour Exadlemey that, moder the lifitish romstitutional system which ('mathathas the happinese to enjog. the Queens reprementa-
 removed from the arena of phble controversy, however tiereo the contlict of part irs maly be : and in my judgment no more fatal mistake could be marle than ally interpenition in the mathagement of publice affiars which wonldeanse the tionemor(iemeral to be identited witlo cither one party or the wher.

Adhering respertfully but firmly to the opinions 1 have ventured to express in this memorandmon, which I regret to tind do not agree with those of Your livertheney, it remains only for mo to temere the revighation of my reilleagnes athe myself, and to ank that we may he relieved fiom one respensibilities as Mmisters of the trewn at the earliest comentemer of Sour Exeellency.

Too this meme rindum Lowd Aberdeen simpl! replied on July 9 as follows:-

My action at the presont time has bern gnided solely bey a regard for the following facts. namely. that -

1. Parliament expired on April eath.

2 The result of the Ceneral Elections on Jme obrt was the defeat of the fiovermment.
3. The supplies for the public service rame to an end on . Jone 3oth, and hy the view that, pending the assembly. of Parliament, the ful! powers and anthority, mondestionably
 direetions only as ane demanded by the evierela bes of the
 formbaras: the sucereding Administrationt.

Sir ('harkes Tupper alsu very velemently attacked tha

 manner the rale of demoseracy beremting the abore of powor by a ministor after la hat erased to mjoy the suppent of the people.' The leoteticiat realto of the whele aftair wete

[^103] land rendered the position very diffentt：the Government dial not aflempt to make ally appointments or contrncts with und exerptiom，which eomld be disapproved hy theid －Heresoms．and thes aveided the menfortmate crent which look plater in（＇anadat when the new dovermment vancelled matuy of the aprointmonts matle by the outgoing fonvern－ mont．Fhere is，howerer．no danht that that（iovernment had stamed it：fimetioms．None the less．in loos，after their defoat at the general elertion of that vear．the fovermment of Siew Brmawiek．Which had held whtere since lsel．not merely remained for neally a month in utfice after their fathere to serne their return to powere bit asked the Lientronat－f inverume to matie rertain appoint ments，which loe dealimed tu da on the gromed that they no longer repre－ wherel the will of the people：The question was much einnsased in commexion with the resignation of the Ross Dlimistry in 190.5 in Ontatio．as ther made varions appoint－ ments．and there appointmenis were nat nrally resented by． their political ypponents．3

The position of the（iwwernor with regratel th his ministers when they eamot eertainty command the support of the Lergislatme is coriously illotrated hy a remarkable series of revits which took place in Nowfombland in 1 s 93 and 1894.4 In the former vear the（iwermment of Sir W．Whiteway returned to wfice with a very substantial majority in the Lowre Howse of 36 members，having $\geq t$ members to 10 ． But as usuta！the viotory of the party had been serenred hy judiedins expenditnere at the election time of the fincis raised



 in ．Vion formillame．

[^104]constructing rommeting roade thetweon the mallog: of the Cobong. This procedure had lomg berea manal. hut unhappily all Act had just been passed with regated to cormpt patations. and the practice turned ont to the illecral. ('omequmentle the Opposition produced petitions. just before the time for
 of 17 members of the majonits. incelndieng the whole of the
 the (iovermment by surprive. or they wombl have hern pres


 majorit.s, but a lange majority whieh was. however. Imeding its tenne in a very meortain mammer. Ther sitnation wat compliated by the fact that it was neromary tor pas the Insual anzatal bill for giving powers to the chtione of the Imperia! Governmemt tor the enforedment of the Fremelt 'Treaties, and sir W. Whiteway was mot ready to pars the Bill exactly in the form in which it was desired hy the Lmperial Govermment : in particular. he devired merely 10 procure a temporary Act. The prococodings agatins the members of the House lexulted in Mareh in the mematinge of the Survegor-(iemeral and Mr. Wimel-. and the Promier concerived the idea of a Bill rincedling the Filections A.t under which these members bad lest their seath. On the
 cated as msmal to the Assembly. the Prime . Dinister and a deputation of twenty members apprateled the (iowornment dissenting from the juderement on the erommel that the judgement was wronge ats it was all attempl for imtritere with the diseretion of the Exerentive (iwsernment in -pronelus money on proble works between the di-molntion of Pathat ment and the new cheetiens. Thery ished for at di-athations. bitt the (iovernor was masilling to comsent that the? shombed have onte. on: the gromad that. de-pite ther majority. they were not really ratitled to ham a di-ablations.



Imajority of the (Govermment side wond shortly be unscated. Aecordingly, the (fovernor in the exercise of his diseretion refinsed to grant a dissohtion, and therenpon the (iowermment resigned office on April II, I894, on the ground of his refusal.

Tho (iovernor asked tho leader of the Opposition to form a Ministry, fund he was allowed a short prorogation of Parliament to emable him to form the (iovermment. The Legishative Assembly on the 13 th of April passed al resohut ion protesting ngainst the atotion of tho liovernom. and anking him to dissolve the Legislatme forthwith so ats to prevernt the chaos which wonld ensine in the abeenee of Revenue and Supply Aets, and the ent misting of the (iovermment to a party consisting of only one third of the members of the llomese.

Tho Honse procerded io resedind the resohntion it had paisened for the gront of smpply. and der lared that for any persons in the fovernment of the Colony to pay any smms for or towards the support of services poted after the Legishature should have been prorogned or diswolsed before an Appropriation Act had been passed, womld be a gross breach of the publie tomst, and derogatory to the findamental prineiples of the Legislatime and subwersive of the principles of responsible arovormment. They also protested that the minority in tho Homse shonid not be colthasted with the collection of taxes for the purpose of revemue.

The position was very difficoll. an the Reveme Aet expired oun the Ith of June, and on the other hand it was practically impossible to hold a gencral dection in the spring, as the people of the colony were congaged in ! reparing for the tisheries, and the diftienlties of and efertion wonld interfere with those preparations. No eovere. disahtion at onee wonld terminate the trials of the election petitions.

The (iovernor', on the adviee of ministers, prorogned the House of Assembly to the 23 red of May. But it was found impossible to ohtain supply liy the 11 th of J me and aceordingly the taxes were levied on the :minority of the Execntive (iovermment alone and under the protection of a man-of-wan stationed at $s$. Johnt $\therefore$. In the meantime al diswhtion was withaed and kepi ower until the termination of the election
petitions. When these chertion petitions had mensated, onf the 3lat of July, Nir W. Whiteway, Mr. Rohort Bomd. Mr. Wiatson. and others. a Proclamation Was issued callings together the Legishatmer and by the the of Alygust acereral Bills were passed and supply was granted, :homgh it was omly earried with great ditficulty in the Lpper Honse, in which the ex-Ministry held a comsiderable majority of mat The fovernment, however, only held oftiee on a doubtful temmer, having no real majority. and the Ministry rexigned not long afterwards on the tinametial erisis of is! 4.

## 

While the power of refusing a dissohntion is ferguently excreised. it is different with the power of dismissing minis. ters. That power is clamed by 'Todd ${ }^{1}$ for the ('rown om the strength of the action of Willian IV in $18: 34$ amd white the precedent is not perfertly in point, it is certainly a precedent Which is not fortumate, and the dicta ${ }^{3}$ whichat the present day regard it as a possihlo course of action seem chearly wrong as tending to tho subversion of the constitution and the mitimate overthrow of monarehical institutions. Now in effect is it much different in the Colonies: the power hits been exercised and may again be exercised, for it is not one Which would be fatal in any sense to it Governor or to the Imperial Govermment, hut is an extreme moidsure: it is wiser to let the constitution work out slowly but surely its own rhanges and not to attempt to rush mat ter on.

Such was the view taken hy Lord Elgin in the clissie cance of the Rebellion Losses Bill in ('imadat in 1sta! ' That measure cooked ahmost ineredible outhorsts of anger on tho pret of the loyalists in (anada, and mery pressure wats hrought io hear on the Governor-(iencmal to insist on the resignation of ministers; he firmly deelined to do so, and his firmness was proved to be correct by the fact that the

[^105]Minintry lad a atrong hold on the (ioveriment for monte time after. In Is:th. howeser, there excurred a striking rase of dismiswal in Now Bromswick, where the Logishature hat passed at quite moworkable ligmen prohibition law, and the dientenant-(ioverume was amxions that the (iovermment
 on the loppie of lifter legislation. The Liente ant-leovernor declared that he would mot dream of dimonding without the consent of the Fixerotive (bumel, and therefore demanded that they shomblemsent or rexigh. They were mowilling (o) do (ither. hut "volnthally rexighed after the Prowindial
 Hob Assembly: the action of the Lientenant-(ioncernor was upheld by the result. Iom tlee obmoxious det was reperaled by amajority of thit?-cight votes to 1 wor in the Avembly, mad both Honses expressed satisfaction with the lientenant(Guvernor's artion and its results.' In |sing, arcording to Sir IV. Denison, ho indared his mintuters In New Sonth Wales to abstain from pressing an illegal measure, but he hat resolved to dismiss them if they presisted in their comen ot action.2 In 1861 the (iovernor of Newfomalland dismised a Dinistry, lacing dissatisfied with the atwere tendered to him, and gramed Mr. Woyles, the leader wh the Opporition, a disoohtion, homgh the Asombly passed on Varch it. 1861. a reoblation :gainst the di-solution.:

The dismisxal of his ministers was aho a course mered upon
 singnlarly free as regate the Ferkeral (ionermment firn rases of refinsal of diswohtions, and it has been governed without interval by ministers holding by a secone tomme. In 1s73 the Ministry in oflier Was that of Sir Jolm Mardonald In April 1873. whortly after the genemal election, thero w. We bronght against the Ministre charges of having obtained
 Hannay. Iom lionnsurick. ii. I80, IsI.

- V'icereg'el Life, i. His. (1. i. 4;3.).


funds to bribe the constituencies by means of promising varions privileges to capitalists in connexion with the buikling of tho Pacifie Railway. Natmally feeling ran high in Cannda, and the Governor-General was asked hy the Liberal press to put in force the reserve powers of the ('rown and to dismiss the ministors. He derlined to do so, and left matters to dovolop. A Royn! ('ommission of three judges was nt last appointed to insestigate, and the ovidence taken by then was laid before the Parlianent when it reassembled in October, together with his own dispatehes to the secretary of state. 'The result was a strong onthurnt of feeling in Parhament, which led to the resignation of the Ministry to avoid a vote of censme, and to the formation of n now (iovernment by Mr. Mackenzie, which hold office matil 1878 . The GiovernorCeneral was shown by the result to have acted wisely: he recognized, as he wrote to tho Secretary of State, that he could have di-mised his Ministry, and have taken the chance of Parliament aproving his action, but he did not feel justified in doing so on the evidence before him. It was therefore with justice that he congratulated himself, in reporting on the termination of the incident to the Secretary of sitate, that the resulh had been brought abont not by an ill-ronsidered and hasty exereise of hmperial authority, nor he the application of premature pressure from without, but hy the free ind spontamenns ation of the representatives at the ('anadian people. He reergnized that he could have wed the power of dismisial. and that he wonld have done so if comential, but he matmally was glad to have avoided the the of an instrment which would probably hate told against the party which sutght to find ont the real facts of the case by emabling the (avermment to divent attention to what would have been catled an inwasion of the power. of ('anada.'
 I'ap., C. 911. The matter is told at lenglt in P'ope's sior John Machenald. erperially ii, 1-4. 50. The proposal to insentizate If Huntingden's charges cane first in the form of a larhinnentary Commistere and a Bill was paserd to give it power to administer this, bitt wan it illmed ns ultra rire (under s. Is of 30 Viet. c. 33. Then l'arlament Ired i.. discus,
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On the other hand. (iohlwin simith severely condemned his inaction. and a large Parliamentary deputation asked him to disregard the adviec of his ministers and secure earlice a decision of Parliament. ${ }^{1}$

But the Provinee of Quebee was a little later to be the secne of a striking instance of the exereise of the power of dismissal. The Lieutenant-Governor of that Province, Mr. Lue Letellier do St. Just, an ex-member of the Mackenzie Administration, found it necessary tudismiss his Government for the reasons given in the memorandum of Mareh $1,187 s$. communicating his decision :-2

The Lieutenant-Governor deems it right to observe that, in his memorandum of the enth February inst.. he in no way expressed the opinion that he believed that the Premier "rer had the intention of taking upon himself the right. - of having measures passed without his approbation. or of disregarding the prerogatives of the representative of the ('rown.'

But the Prime Minister cannot lose sight of the fact that, although there was no intention on his part, in fact the thing exists, as the Lieutenant-Governor told him.

The fact of having proposed to the Houses several new and important measures without having proviously in any way advised the Lientenant-(iovernor thereof, although the intention of disregarding his prerogatives did not exist, does not the less constitute one of those false positions which plate the representative of the Crown in a critical and diffieult position with regard to the two Houses of the Legislature.

The Lieutenant-(iovernor cannot admit that the responsibility of this state of affairs should rest with him.

With regard to the Bill intituled 'An Aet respecting the Quebee, Montreal, Ottawa and Oceidental Railway', the Premier camot claim for that measure the asserted general anthorisation which he mentions in his letter, for their inter-

[^106]view was on the 19 th Fobruary and that Bill wats hefore the Legishature several days before that date. without the Lientenant-Governor having heen in any way informed of it by his adviners.

The Lieutenant-(fovernor expressed at that time to the Premier how much he regretted that legitation; he represented to him that he comsidered it contrary to the principles of law and justice : motwithstanding that, the measure was carried throngh both Houses until adepted.

It is true that the Premier gives in his letter, as one of the reasons for acting ats he did. 'that this permiswion of using the name of the representative of the ('rown had, hesides, alwass been granted him by the paderesson of the present Lieutenant-Governor, the late lamented Mr. ('aron.'

This reason cannot be one for the Lientenant-(iovernor, for in as atcing he would have abdicated his position as representatue of the Crown, which ase neither the LientenantGovernor nor the Premier eould reconcile with the ohligationss of the Lieutenant-Governor towards the (rown.

The Lientenant-Governor regrets having tostate, as he tohd the Premier, that he has not been informed. in general, in an explicit manner of the measures adopted by the cabinet. althongh the Lientenant-Governor had of ien given the Premier an opportmity to do so e epecially during last veare.

From time to time, since the last vession of the Legislature. the Lieutenant-fovernor has drawn the attention of the Iremier to several subjects regarding the interests of the Province of Qucher, amongst ofhers:

1st. The enomons expenditure oecasioned hy very lagge mbsidies to several railways, white the Province was hurdened with the construction of the great railway from Queber to Ottawa, which shonld take precedence of the others; : and this, when the state of our finances obliged us to undertake loans disproportioned to oner revenue.
and. The necessity of reducing the expenses of the (ivil Govermment and of the Legislature instead of having reconrse to new taxes, in view of avoiding financial embarrassment.
'The Licutenant-Ge vernor expressed also, but with regret, to the Premier, that the Orders passed in 'omncil to increase the salaries of Civil Serviee servants seemed to him inopportune, at a time when the Government were negotiating with the Bank of Montreal a han of half a million, with power to increase that loan to $81,000,000$, at a rato of
interest of 7 （seven）per cent．；and indeed，oven to－day （lst of March），the Lieutenant－（iovernor is obliged to allow an Order in Council to be passed to obtain the last half million for the Government，without which the Government would be unable to mect its obligations，as 1 was informed by the Hon．the Provincial Treasurer to－day by order of the Prime Minister．

The Premier did not let the Sieutenant－Govanor hnow， then or since，that the Government were in such a state of penury as to necessitate special legislation to increase public taxation．

Therefore the Lieutenant－Governor said and repeated these things to the Premicr，and he deems it advisable to record them here，that they may servo as memoranda for himself and for the Premior．

It therefore results ：
1st．That，although the Lieutenant－Governor has made many recommendations in his position as representative of the Crown to the Premier on these different subjects of public interest，his advisers have undertaken a course of administrative and legislative acts contrary to these recom－ mendations，and without having previously advised him．

2nd．That the Lieutenant－Governor has been placed， without evil intention，but in fact，in a false position，by being exposed to a conflict with the will of the Legislature， which lie recognizes as being，in all cases，supreme，so long as that will is expressed in all constitutional ways．

The Lieutenant－Governor has read and examined carefully the memorandum and documents which the Premier was kind enough to bring him yesterday．

There are，in the record，petitions from several municipal corporations and from citizens of different places，addressed to the Lieutenant－Governor，against the resolutions and the Government Bill，with regard to the＇Quebec，Montrcal， Ottawa，and Occidental Railway＇．

The I ：eutenant－Governor was only yesterday able to take cognizance of some of these petitions，as they had not been communicated to him bef．he received them in the record．

The Lieutenant－Governor，after having maturely deliber－ atod，cannot accept advice of the Premier with regard to the sanctioning of the Railway Bill，intituled＇An Act respecting the Quebec，Montreal，Ottawa，and Occidental

For all these causes the Lieutenant－Governor cannot
conclude this memorandum without oxpressing to the Premier the regret he feels at being no longer able to continue to retain him in his position, contrary to the rights and privileges of the Crown.
(Signed) L. Leteidifir.
To this tho Premicr replied on March 2, 1×78:Your Excellency,

I have the honour to acknowledge the receipt of your memorandum, in which you come to the conchusion that you can no longer continue to retain me in my position as Prime Minister. There is no other duty for me to fulfil but to submit to the dismissal from office, which your Excellency has notifiod mo of, declaring at the same time my profound respect for the rights and privileges of the Crown, and my devotion to the interests of the Province.

> I have, \&c.
> (Signed) C.B. De Boucherville.

After the dismissal he sent for Mr. Joly and allowed him to have a dissolution of Parliament. Mr. Joly was returncel with a hare majority, which was only socured by the device of having elected as Speaker in the Lower House a member who had been elected as an opponent, and his action was bitterly resented by the Conservative party in Canada, at that time still in a minority in the Lower Housc of the Dominion, but in a majority as always in the Scnate. The Senate therefore censured his conduct, while the Lower House was only able to approve it by declaring that it was a local matter for local decision, and not a casc for interference by the Dominion Governmont. But a change of Ministry took place, and a privato momber insisted on dividing the House in support of a motion against the LieutenantGovernor, whereupon the Governor-General was asked to dismiss him. He demurred, and the Governor-Gencral agrced to a reference home, which was accordingly madc. The case against the Lieutenant-Governor was stated by the Premier in an able paper datcd April 14, 1879, which deserves quotation from its clear enunciation of one view of the powers of a Governor and his dutics. After oxplaining that the action of Mr. Letellicr had been inspired by a desire to inter-
vene in Dominion politics by helping his party in quebec in view of the clections of $1 \times 7 \mathrm{~s}$, he said :- 1

Notwithstanding ${ }^{1}$ be purchase of the Speake a vote of want of confidence was passed in the Legishative $A$ semblys. and a similar resolution was adopted in the Upper Howise. Mr. Joly, however, did not resign as he ought to have done, and as the Lieutenant- (iovernor ought to have called npon hina to do. He held to office and proceeded with the business of the country. He sucecoled in carrying the supplics, and the fact of his having donce so is quoted as a proof of the substantial confidenee of the House in him. But the refusal of supples is an antiquated procedure, and has long since heen suceceeded in England by votes of want of confidence, and for the same reasons which induced the $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{p}}$ position at Quebee to vote the supplies. The refusal to do so wonk have clogged the whole machinery of Government, would have stopped the construction of the Gowernment railways and ruined the contractors. and at a time of great depreswion would have deprived very many working men of the means of subsistence. The Opposition therefore patriotically deemed it wise, while persisting in their expression of want of confidence, not to obstruct the whole bnsiness of the comntry. During the whole of the legislative existence of Mr. Joly he has thas been rarrying on the Government hy the improper partisanship of the Lieutenant-(Governor. and the casting vote of a Speaker purchased with his connivance. In the session of the Dominion Parliament of 1578 the conduct of Mr. Letellier was brought before the House of Commons: by Sir John Macdonakd. the leader of the Opposition. who moved the following resolution :-
-That the recent dismissal by the Lientenant-Governor of the Province of Quebec of his Ministry was, under the circumstances, mwise and subversive of the position accorded to the advisers of the Grown since the concession of the principle of responsible Govermment to the British North American Colonies.'

On reference to the debates. it will be seen that Mr. Maekenzie's Govermment did not defend Mr. Letelliers action. although they surported their old colleague by a vote of 112 to 70 . During the same session the Senate passed by a vote of 37 to 20 the following resolution :-

That the messages of lus Excellency the GovernorGeneral of the 2 (ith March and sth April he now read. and

[^107]that it he resolved that the eomere adoped he the Lientenant -
 was at variance with the comstitutional prine iplos upoll which Responsible (invermment shomld beronhlucted.

Then came wis last atutumen the gronctal clection fore the
 sulmitted to the perphe. ome of the mose prominent wase the condurt of Shr. Latalliers and the wotes of the 1 wo Honsers of Parliament with respere to it. In the Provinere of Quabere it was the guestion of the day, and the opinion of the electors may be known by the retirn of 48 wentlemen pledged to Mr: Latellior** contemnation against 17 shpporters. Whon the present session of Darliament met, Mr. Momsscant, a representative from Quebee. bromght forward a motion identical in its terms with that moved in the previous session hy Sir John Macdonald, and it was carricd by a vote of 136 i, is members. The analysis of this vote sulfieiontly shows that the general condemmation of Mr. Letellier sionduct was not confined to his own Province.

Under these circumstances the Gowernor-General's advisers thonght it their duty to comvey to his Exaellency thrir opinion that after the Senates resolution of hast session, and the vote of the Ilouse of Commons during the present session, Mr. Letellier's nowfolness was gone. and they advised his removal: and now the whole question stands for the consideration of Her Majesty's Government on the GovernorGeneral's reference.

It is necessary now to consider the tenure of office by Lieutenant-Governors appointed inder British North America Aet, 1867. When the revolutions on which that Act was based were being prepared it was thonght expedicolt to continue in the Dominion the English practice with respect to Colonial Governors. This might have been dome. without legislative enactment, but to prevent the possinility of its being supposed that Leutenant-(iovernors nime the new réging were of necessity to be in sympathy will the Dominion Ministav of the day, and to be removabe with every change of party, the provision in the 59 th clanse was int roduced which sacs that no Lientenant-(fovernor shatl be removable within five vears of his appointment except for eanse assigned, which shall be communieated within one month after the order for removin is made. and shall be coammanicated by messiage to the Sollate and Homse of ( mmmon ms.
'This left the temure to be one of pleasure as before. But
was intended hy statutory enartment to establish the practice which obitnins in Eigland. It gives no vested right to a Lientenant-(iowemor in his oflice for five vears: it does not place him in the position of a julge who holds oftice daring good behaviour. although removiable by vote of both honses. Ther statute meroly operates and was meant to operate as a chect upon the capric ioms and arbit rary exercise of the power of chinissal by comprelling the Ninist y to submit the reasons tor the excreise of the ropal pleasure tor Parliament. A Lientenant-Governor is still removable and onght to be removable whenever it is felt by the Dominion Govermment that it is for the publie interest that he should be displaced. Due regard should of course be hat to his feelings and position. and the power should not be lightly exercised: but it is not necessary that he should be tried, convicted, or even charged with gross moral or personal wrong.

If, as in the case of Imperial officers of tike position, it becomes necessary or expedient for the adrantage, good govermment. or contentment of the people governed that he should be removad, it is the duty of the Dominion Government to discard him. His usefuhess may have been destroyed by accident or misfortune as well as by fanlt, but still the usefulness once gone the office should atso go. This is, we know, the practice in England. but there Her Majest $y^{\circ}$ s Government have the means from the multiplicity of offices at their gift to remove the unsuccessful or erring Governor to another sphere of action. H re the same means can scarcely be said to exist. It may perhaps he said that stronger reasons should therefor ha assigned for the dismissal of a Governor ; but, of - hand, a Canadian officer so removed is not deprir or prospects. He belongs to

- professional status
$\therefore$, and his office is considered more as a dignified $r$ life than one of profit or emolument. At the end of his five years he has no claim for another appointment or for further consideration, and he stands in a position similar to that of a minister who has lost power. In Mr. Letellier's case it is net in the opinion of his Excelleney's advisers at all necessary in order to justify their advice to go behind the vote of Parliament; it is sufficient for them that Parliament has passed a eensure on his official conduct.

After such a vote it must be obvious that he cannot either with profit or advantage be maintained in his position. At the same time they must express their finl concurrence in
the justice of the cemente. There proved that he their votes in the Lequistatere: bat had they mot voted at all. we evols if their "pinion had heen areree to that arriwed at by I'arlia ment. it serems eloar that ther are bomed to respere that
 removal. It has beril angued that whike he the ixth elather

 Seal, the sith chase provides that he whall hoded office during the pleasire of the (iovernor- (ienoral, amel that therefore While the appointment mast be under the adrice of a Responsible Vimistry, the removal may be mado hy his Excellency withont reierence to his (ouncil, und the $1: 2 h_{1}$ clanse of the Act is puoter! in support of that view. 'That clause provides as to what powers, anthorities, and fimetions are to be vested in tho (iovernor-(ecment with the adviee of his Prive Comeil, and what in the Governor-(ieneral himself. The argiment is not. however, tenable. Leng before Confederation the primeiple of what is known as Responsible Government had heoll eone eded to the colonios now mited in the Dominion. This prine iple extablished that in all matters of internal concern the representative of the e 'rown should act according to the advice of Dlinimas enjowing the reonfidener of Parliament. The concession was not withdrawn hy the Confederation Act. On the eontrare it hexins by a preamble stating the desire of the Prowine es to be mited into one Dominion with a cemstitution similar in principle to that of the United Kingdom: and this has been carried out in theory and praetice in the bominion of Canada from the commencement of its cxistence The principle forms part of onr constitution now as it did in those of the sereral Provinces before the Union. It is a part of the fex mon" sripte of the constitntion. and any express enactment of the principle was wisely avoided.

A comparison between the elasticity of the British constitntion and its gradnal development under an mowriten law with the rigidity of a written conatitution as existing in the United siates has shown the superiority of the formers stem. Whether, therefore in any case power is given to the (iover-nor-fieneral to act individually or with the aid of hiv l'ommeil the act as one within the scope of the Canadian Constitution must be on tho advice of a Responsible Minister. The distinction drawn in the statute between an aet of the Fovernor and an ate of the Cowremor in conncil is a teehmical one. and arose from the fict that in ('amada for a long period








 ramse, and mant defend it theres and be liable to remane shomld the ratise le deemad insilficiont.
 responsible or opert to censure in any way by larliament. As ller Magestys representation he heolds the sime remstitational position in that rexperet as the Queren does in Finghand. It seems to follow, Herefore that upert the Vinistry of the day most rest the rosponsibility of adsising the removal, of assighing the callse, and of justifying its sulficience.
'Two stee ial promels have been merged why Mr. Letedier shonld not he removed: first. that the motion wif censme made in the late larliament having heren lost, the eatse shombl not be re-rpuened without hew eatsise ; weond, that . Ir. . Ioty asse med the whole responsibility of the Lientenant-(irvernors act, and alter an appeal to the perphe his Minist ry stili exists. As in the first ground it may be answered that, as already stated. the argements used in oppesition to the motion did mot attempt to justify his conderel. but were fomeled on the inexperlieney of raixing the guestion at that time when Dr. .boly had gone or was abont to go to the country, that the plicstion lad not been before the people at the time the th. Honse of commons was elected, and that it had been one of the subjertsembmitted to the people at the last election for the Dominion. The present Honse of ('ommons coming lresh fam the people and sise osed to express their opinion has hy ath overwheming vo: versed the decision of the expering l'adiament, and ponomened a deliberate censure on Mr. Letelliers comelnet. As to the second ground. the answer is that the Lientenant-Governor of a Province holds the same relation to the Dominion Govermment and legistatme as the dovernor-Gieneral does to Her Majesty and the Imperial Parlament. Here we have mothing to do with the appointment or removas of the: Quecon's representative. We loyally aceept the (ioremomGemeral selered by the Quede and have no right to express ath opinion as to his contimation in otice or recall. All that







 mothing tos sal against his meall for Hhy raller whaterer. If

 lature, and solong as this comstituthonl right is presomed it matters not to them whomay be their Lientenant-(iwsomos: It rests with the Dominion Parliament to appowe or disapprove of a change in the persommel in the Lientomantfinvernombig. The distinetion serms to have brent fally whereved in the Provine of Quebere during the late laceil and bominion clections. It mast he borme in mind that the comstitmenefes and the frandise are the same for both elertions, and the same berly of electore which when the phestion constithe iomally before them was the comparativer merits. of the De Bomeherville and Joly administrations divided in nearly equal mmbers remened to the Dominion Parlatment $4 \times$ as agains 17 . or a majonty of 31 pledged to votofor the ernsure of Mr. Letellieres condure in the place where it alome conld be constitutionally impugned

After full and amxious comsideration his Excedleme $\ddot{y}^{\circ} \mathrm{s}$ advisers desire to express their streng ronvietion that it is highly expedient that the vote of Perliament -homid lne given effect to hy the dismissal of Mr. Letellier. It it is mot. a Provinceal Lientenant- (iosemor will be the only patele ally irresponsible official in (imatar. On the other hame his
 to exereise their powers as sutch with thestrie test imphethatit? As Mr. Letellier has berell the fixst in the case of hie remmesill he will probahly be the last partinaln Lientemant fiew and all further tromble from that somere may he cemsiden as at all ella. His fate will he a warning to otiere tor time to come. Agsim. they are erombinded that peilere an contentment will mot be restored in the lrowince of ( $21 / .1$. so long is he retaths his present position: and lastly, thes think that a Ministryenjoving the comblane of Her Majenty represemtative and a laige majority of both Howses of Parliament and administering all the alfars of 'amad:a whether of a legishative or exerontive ehamater, and inchating
the appointment of Lientemathteromons. may be safoly



 consideration to forme reques lor their inatrontions will

 shombl be removed fomm his ollime.

It will not hase ranaed potm ohsombation, in making this reguest. that the constitutiomal ymestion fo whell it relates is omb atferting the int comal alfaite of the Dominions. and belonges to a dase of subierts with which the ( dovernment and l'artiament ol ramada are fully comprotent to deal. 1 motice with salisfartion that, owing to the abilite alld pationce with which the new romstitntion has beroll 1.0 , er her the ramadian people to filtil the ohjerets with which it was framed. it has very ravely berelomal mereseary to resont to the Improval mothonty fio assistanoe in any of those complications which might have here expereded io arise during the first years of the bominion: and I nered loot point ont to yon that such references should only be made in ciremostances of a vers exerptional nater.

I readily mbmit. howerer, that the prineiples involiod in the particular case now before me are of mone than ordinary importance. The true efferet and intent of those seetions of the British North America Act, Isti\%, which apply te it, have been mudh disenssed: and as this is the tiest case which has oremred inder thuse sections, there is mo procedent for yourgmdance. For this reason. thomgh regretting that any canse should hawe arisen for the reference now made to them. Her Majostys (iovermment approwe of the comerse Which you have taken on the responsibility and with the ronsent of vour ministers, and I will now procered to conver to you the views which they have fomed on the ghestion submitted for their comsideration.
The several ciremmstances affecting the partientar ease of Mr. Letellier havo beers fully stated in Sir .J. A. Maedonalds memorandum of 14 th April, in Lientenant-(iovernor Letelliers letter of 18 th April. and in commmieations which I have since received from Mr. Langevin. who, acrompanied Ly Mr. Abbott. has come to this comitry for the purpose of
 I'nimen. p. liss. n. I.
 the is a member and fome litr. doly, who was amilarly
 oll the part ol Mr. Lamellier. It it had "le 11, Jut of


 rey ably and thoronghly put hefore them by Mrals.


 empower How Majosity's armment en deride it. allid thes do not therefore propeos •.. iprese my opinion with regarid to it. Solt are neare tr - dhe powers given by the Briti-h Soth Smorien A.t, Istiz, with rexpert to thic remosal of
 Maje.ty's dowernment, hat in the (ionermor-tiencral; and I materstand that it is merely in view of the important preedent which yon consider imaly bo exablished hy ant action in this instance, and the dombt wheln yon ent batn as to the meaning of the stat ute, that pon have asked for an abthoritatise expmession of the ophonion of Iler Majextys Govermment on the abstract phestion of the rexpensibilition and functions of the Gescomor-ifonemal in relation to the Lieutenant-Governor of a province mader the British North Aenerica Aet, Ixtiz.

The main prineiples determining the position of the biente it-ionsernor of a provine in the matter now matere consia a ion are plain. Theere ran be no donht that he has an mine ationable ronstitntional right to dimmox his proI ins. ral ministers if, from any canse, he feefe it incombent afon him to dis so. In the cxerei-r of this right, as of ally 1ther of his fimetions, he shonkl, of comse. maintan tho ampartiality towards rival politioal parties which is cescontial ob the proper performance of the daties of his oftere ; and for ally antion he may take be in, melor the sinh seretion of the A.t, directly repunsible to the (ionermor-denemal.

This hrings me at onde to the point with which alone I hate now to deal, namely, whether in dereding whether the condnct of a Leentemant-ifovernor merits removal from oflice it wonld be right and sutfieient for the (iovemon-(ieneral, as in any ordinary matter of administ ration, simple to follow the advereof his ministers, or whether he is placed by the spectial provisions of the Stather mader an ohligation to act mpon his own individual judgenent. With reference to this question
it has been noticed that while under section os of the Aci the appointment of a Lientenint-Governor is to be mado by the Governor-General in ('ouncil by instrument under the Great Seal of C'anada', rection 59 provides that a LieutenantGovernor shall hold office during the pleasure of the Governor(icneral'; and much stress has been laid upon the supposed intention of the Legislature in thus varying the language of those sections. But it must be remembered that other powers vested in a similar way by the Statute in the GovernorGeneral, were clearly intended to be and in practice are. exercised by him by and with the advice of his ministers: and though the position of a Governor-General would entitle his views on such a subject as that now under consideration to peeuliar weight, yet Her Majesty's Govermment do not find anything in the circumstances which would justify him in departing in this instance from the general rule, and declining to follow the decided and sustained opinion of his ministors, who are responsible for the peace and good government of the whole Dommion to the Parliament to which, aceording to the 59th section of the Statute, the eause issigned for the romoval of a Lieutenant-Governor must be communicated.

Her Majest $y^{\prime}$ ' Government therefore can only desire you to request your ministers agatin to consider the action to be taken in the ease of Mr. Letellier. It will be proper that you should, in the first instance, invite them to inform you whether their views, as expressed in Sir J. A. Macdonald's memorandum, are in any way modified after perusal of this dispatch, and after examination of the cireumstances now oxisting, which since the date of that memorandum may havo so materially changed as to make it in their opinion no longer necessary for the advantage, good govermment, or contentment of the province, that so serious a step shonld be taken as the removal of a Lientenant-Governor from office. It will, I am confident, be clearly borne in mind that it was the spirit and intention of the British North America Act, 1867, that the tenure of the high office of Lieutenant-Governor should, as a ruke, endure to: the term of years specifically mentioned, and that not only should the power of removil never be exereised exeept for grave cause, but that the fact that the political opinions of a Lieutenant-Governor had not been, during his former career, in accordance with those held by any Dominion Ministry who might happen to suceeed to power during his term of office, would afford no reason for its exereise.

Tho politicul antecedents and present position of nearly
all the Lieutenant-Governors now holding office prove that the correctness of this view has been hitherte) recognized in practice : and 1 camot doubt that vour advisers, from the opinions they have expressed, would be equally ready with the late Govermment to appreciate the objections to any aetion which might tend to weaken its in thence in the future.

I have directed your attention particularly to this point. becanse it appeass to me to be important that, in considering a case which may be referred to hereafter ats a precedent. the true comstitutional position of a Lientenant-fovernor should the defined. The whole subject may, I am satistied, now be once more reviewed with adrantage, and I camot but think that the interval which has elapsed fand which has from varions causes been mavoidable) may have been nseful in affording means for a thorough comprehension of a wery complieated question, and in allowing time for the strong feelings. on both sides, which I regret to observe have been often too bittorly expressed. to subside

Ancther striking instance of the straining of the power of dissohution and dismissal entrusted to the Governor was shown by the action of the Lieutenant-Governor of British Cohmbia-Mr. T'. R. Mchmes-in the years 1s98-1900.'

In 189s Mr. Mermaes decided to dismiss the Ministry of Mr. 'Turner, which he considered to have no longer the confidence of the people of the province. The Ministry which took the phace of Mr. 'Tumer's (iovernment was also very weak: it failed to meet Parhament in 1900 nntil January 4 ; it was defeated immediately after the meeting of Parliament. and only retained office thronghout January and Febmary hy a majority of either one rote or of the casting rote of the Speaker. Morcover, the Ministry requested the LieutenamtGovernor to approve warrants for certain expenditures which were not authorized by the Legistature. and when the Licutenant-(iovernor asked that he should receive a legal upinion from the Attomey-(ieneral as to the constitutionality of such warrants, no answer was supplied. Further, the (iovermment advised him to take action with a viow to making an important change in the Minerals Act empowering the covernor to cancel certain certificates of improvement

[^108]after they had been issued. Although the Logislature was in session they did not obtain its approval for the alteration, clespite the faet that in the opinion of the LientenantGovernor the modification shonld have been anthorized by an Act and shoukd not have been carried ont by an Order in Comncil. Moreovor, the Government declined to carry out an instruction from the Lieutenant-Governor to issue a ('rown grant under s. 39 of the Minerals Aet to a petitioner named Dnnlop. The Lieutonant-Governor accordingly on February 27, 1900 , addressed his Irime Minister, dismissing him from oflice on the gronnds enmmerated. He then called to office Mr. Joseph Martin. The Secretary of State for the Dominion had telegraphed, just before he took action to dismiss his Ministers, suggesting that as it was meterstood that the (iovernment party was being strengthened by the defection of members from the ranks of the Opposition, it was desirable to wait a time before calling upon his Ministers either to dissolve or to retire after the defeat which they had enconntered in the Legislature on February 23. Later the Secretary of State informed the LientenantGovernor that in the opinion of the Prizy Council of C'anada the Legislature shonld te dissolved at once or shonld be called to meet so that an appal might be made without delay to the people. Thongin the Legislature was dissolved in acrordance with these instructions on April 10, it was found impossible to hold an olection before June 9 , the writs being returnable on June 30 . The Privy Council called upon the Lieutenant-(iovernor is explain his conduct with regard to the selection of Mr. Martin, the delay before dissolving the Legislature, and in completing the Executive Comeil. The Lieutenant-Covernor defended himself in a long report from the varions charges which had been brought against his conduet. With regard to the criticism that the Honse was left in session without any Ministry to carry on the Government, he quoted the British precedent of 1783 , when nn interregmom of thirty-seven days took place after the resignation of the Shelburne Ministry, and the interregnum of twenty-oight days after the assassination of Mr. Perceval
on May 11. 181:. and the interregmom of ten clays after the resignation of the Russell Ministry on Jme 2 隹. Istiti. In this ease the Ministry was sworn in on he day following the dismissal of the Semlin Ministry. He justified the delay in the completion of the personnel of the new ('abinet by instances from ('anadian history-in the Ministry of the Honourable Alexander Matckenzie in 1s7:3, the Minist ry of Sir John Maedonald in 18is, and the Ministry of Sir Wilfrid Laurior in 1896.

In the case of British Columhia three ministers were sworn in at once on February 27 , and another $t$ wo ministers were sworn in within thirty-fivo days after the assumption of office by the Premier. In reply to the aceusation that the persons selected to form the Ministry were new and untried men. he urged that it was unquestionalby solely a matter for the discretion of the Prime Minister to select his collengues withont any intorference, and that he could not have ellecked him in his choice without an mwarrantable excreise of anthority.

The criticism that the ministers had continued in office withont by-eleetions being held for the ratification of their appoint ments by the electorate he met by pointing out that he was advised that in view of the impending d. :olution of the Legislature and consequent general election. such byelections were not neeessary. He also pointed ont that in Ontario ministers of the Crown-the Commissioner of C'rown Lands and the Minister of Agriculture, both defeated during the Ontario general election of 1898 -had both retained office for a period of eight months thereafter.

With regard to the acensation of having dissolved so soon a Legislature so recently clected without having made an cffort to form a Ministry from the mombers thereof. he quoted the case of Manitoba, where the Legiskature was dissolved on November 11. ists. and again on November 26 ; 1879, while at a later period it was disolved on November 11 , 1ss6, and again dissolved on Jnne 16.1888; in the Province of Quebee the Legishature was dissolved on May 10, 1s90, and again on December 22, 1891. In the case of B:itish 1279

Columbia the Legislature was dissolved on Junc $7,189 \%$, and not again dissolved until April 10, 1900.

He denied the statement that legisiatures do not divide on party lines and that coalition should have been permitted. It was true that in British Columbia the Dominion party lines were not followed in provincial elections, but there had been a distinct division on party lines in provincial matters in 1898. Mr. Semlin could not have formed a coalition, for thongh Mr. Semlin moved and earried a motion after his dismissal, 'That this House, being fully alive to the great loss, inconvenience, and expense to the country of any interruption of the business of this House at the $f$ esent time, begs leave to express its regret that His Honour has seen fit to dismiss his advisors, as in the present crisis they have efficient control of the House,' by a vote of twenty-two to fifteen, yet the loader of the Opposition and his former colleagues with one exception voted against the motion, showing that no coalition had been effeeted.

The delay in holding the general election he justified by the case of the dismissal by Lieutenant-Governor Angers of the Mercier Ministry on December 16, 1891, whe ${ }_{2}$ the ensuing general election was not held until March 8 following-the time clapsing being much the same as in the ease of British Columbia-while no censure had been imposed on LicutenantGovernor Angers for his action in the matter. Ho also quoted the circumstances attendant upon the formation of Mr. Pitt's first administration in 1783.

Despite, however, the elaborate explanations furnished by the Lientenant-Governer, it was decided by the Dominion Government that the Lieutenant-Governor should be dismissed on the grounds that his action in dismissing his: ministers had not been approved by the peoplo of British Columbia, and that in view of recent svents in British Columbia it was evident that the Government of the Province could not be carried on in the manner contemplated by the constitution under the administration of Mr. McInnes, whose official conduct had been 'subversive of the principles of responsible government '.

The decision of the Privy Council was obvionsly correct. As the Secretary of State pointed out in a private letter to Mr. MeInnes, there was no parallel in the history of comstitutional govermment that a body of mern, five-sixths of whom had never been members of the Legishature, should be permitted to carry on a fovernment for threo monthe without any publie sanction or approval. Although it was clear that the conditions existing in British cohmbia had made the position of the Lientenant-fiovernor a very difficult one -.. the bitter personal feeling shown between the rivals for place and power intensifying the embarrassment as the rivals were so nearly equal in numbers-it was nevertheless impossible to approve action so completely cont rary to anyordmary theory of responsible government.
The Governor of Newfoundland in 1861 dismissed the Kent Ministry from office, expressly on the ground that he had been attacked by Mr. Kent in the House of Assembly, and his action was upheld by the results, the new Ministry siccuring firm hold of office. ${ }^{1}$

In December 1891 the Lieutenant-Governor of QuebecMr. Angers-decided to dismiss from office the Mereior Ministry. For some months before. it appears, he had declined to treat them with full confidence, and had only maintained them in office pending the result of further investigations into their conduct. It was alleged against them that they had reecived moneys in connexion with the Chaleurs Bay Raihway, and a commission of three justiees was appointed to investigate. The report of the commission asserted positively that certain ministers, including the Premier. had reecived payment in connexion with the railway, and the Lientenant resvernor then took the decisive step of declining any longei ontinue the Ministry in office. In his letter of dismissal he. eged among other things that the ministers had illegally spent money without his sanetion, and had completely misinformed him and misled inim as to public affairs.

The drastic step thus taken by Mr. Angers was decply

resented by Mr. Mercier and his supporters, and his conduct Was violently denomuced as umeonstitutional and illegat. But Mr. de Boncherville, who was asked by the LientenantGovernor to take office, was suceresful in forming a Ministry, and at the clection in March 1 sag he was trimphantly returned with an overwhelming majority of thirty-ome, in a Honse then of seventy-three members. ${ }^{1}$

Among the umerous points diselased during the course of the dispute, which was conducted with much heat on both sides, as the Ministry was a Liberal one and the LientenantGovernor the nomince of a Conservativo Government at Ottawa, there was the point whether the Lientenant-Governor had not broken the law in dissolving the new Legislature before it conld conduct any husiness. with the result that the year 1891 saw no session whatever of the Legislature of Quebec. ${ }^{2}$ It was argued that this was a breach of the provisions of the British North America Aet, which requires one session of the Legislature every year, bit on the other hand it was contended, apparently eorrectly, that it was sufficient that the Legislature should be formaliy smmoned, and that the necessity of having one husiness sessien a year was subject also to the power of the Lieutenant-Governor at any time to dissolve the Legislature. In any case, it was certainly in harmony with eommon sense that the Legislature should not have met until a general election had decided the question as to the confidence of the country in the new Ministry.

In 1903 the Lientenant-Governor of British Cohmbia decided to dismiss Colonel Prior, who was then the head of the provincial Ministry. ${ }^{3}$ Ever since 1900 there had heen constant strife of parties divided on no intelligible lines, and mainly concerned with the ambition for power of the several members of the party. But the Ministry had suffered early. in the year a scrions blow by allegations made against two

[^109] of the ministers in commexion with land transatetions in fatoor ultimatrely of the C'amadian l'acifie Railway Compams. These tramsate ions were deemed to hatre been prejudicial to the interests of the Province and the position of the Premier peronally was weakened by aceusat tums that he had allowed the ( owermment to pive a contrad to a firm of which ho Was a member at a time when he had seen temders submitted hy other firms. 'The Premier justified the position that his firm conded acequt contracts from the prowincial (envermment. allad asserted that it was perfeetly proper to dos so just as it Was perfertly proper for the Attorney-fencral of the province to take steps to secenre the passing of private bills. After being sustained onf ono issue by the casting vote of the Speaker, the fiovernment were event nally defeated, and intended to secure a disoblution from the Lientenant( overnor. This. however, was not coneded, and on Jane I it transpired that the Lientenant-Governor had dismissed the Ministry, giving as ground for doing so his dissatisfaction with the attitude adopted by the Eremier on the question of Govermment contratets. Mr. Mr Bride then consented to accept office. and determined that polities should be carried on on purely Dominion party lines, witlo the result that at the ensuing yeneral election he secured a small but adequate majority on Conservative part y lines, and has sinco that date maintained his position witli ever-increasing strength.

## CHAPTER V

## THE (GOCERNOR AND 'THE LAW

## § 1. 'Ine Exireniture of Puble Funio

There is another limitation to the right and duty of the (iovernor to act on ministerial advice. moles he sees fit for arlequate cause to dismisi his. Minisiry or canse them to resign by refinsing to aecept their advice on some mattor which they deem of essential importance to them in the condect of the Govermment. He is, as we have seen above, bound to obey the law becanse he is not immme from action, criminal or civil, if he disobeys the law. His letters patent and his commission record the duty in clear langnage, and le should remember the paramount importance of being above suspicion of illegality. It is ako a mattor in which his double responsibility, that to his ministers and that to the Secretary of State, comes into fill play. The Colony is entitled to expect that the head of the Government will not in any way infringe the law of tho land ; in a constitutional Dominion there is only one way of altering law, that is the change of the law by the legally constituterl legiskative body, and the violation of law is not a matter which can possibly be rondoned without the gravest canse.

We have seen in the case of dissolutions the duty which the Governor has thrown upon him to try to secure supply before he grants a dissolntion: whenever that is not done there will certainly be a time when the law will, strictly speaking, be violate! if the public obligations are to be met. But this fact is subject to various considerations: in the first place, in tho Anstralian Colonies, which are, and have always been, by far the greatest offenders in this respoct in virtuc of the constant change of Ministries, the practice exists and has always oxisted for moneys to bo paid out on a Governor's warrant anticipating the sanction of Parliament. This custom is not a desirable one, but it has been so rooted in tho practice of those Colonies, now States, that it cannot
be coperted to disappear for a long time. Recent instances of such happenings are afforded by the large sum expended by Mr. Philp's (iovernment in 1907-x. when the Honse hatd refnsed all supply, and had urged the Governor of (Sneensland not to diswolve the House as requested by the Ministry : in that case the opposition was extremely indignant, and there were many threats of what would happen in the country when they cams back to offico; ${ }^{1}$ indeed, Ilat feeling was strong is shown hy the fact that the money in question was ultimately voted in an indirect a mamer that the Labour party, whichwould have resistedencrgetically its appropriation, was cought maware and let the Bill through at the end of the session, when overy one was; thinking of getting away and vigilance was relaxed. In the case of the dissolution in 190 s in Victoria the (iovernor was assured that : apply was available, hut that was not true, and in that instance a most gross violation of law took place, because the I'remier, who was also Treasurer, sipent large sums (over Lis 0,060 ) not merely with only tie consent of the Govemor, which would have been at any rate. if undesirable, a not rare oceurrence in the case of Anstralia, but without the sanction of a Govenores warrant, in the face of the constitution and in face of the Audit Acts.' None the less, though a committee was appointed by the new (iovernment to investigate the case, it did not appear that sir 'Thomas Bent had been much of a sinner compared with the long tradition of financial irregularity in the case of Vistoria. In 'Jasmania, again, a very vigilant and careful Governor found it necessary without legal appropriation to approve the issue of certain sums of money to the judges, who were
${ }^{1}$ The (iurernment of Mr. Kidston, whieh took oflice on Mr. P'hilp's re-ignation, in face of the result of the general elections refused even to priy wages until a supply Bill had been paswed. Similar tactics were employed in 1908 by the bominion Covernment to meet obstruction of supply in Cunada; sere C'anadian Amunal Rerien, l9ns, p. 53.
a Vietoria P'arliamentary Debates, 1909, pp. 9 sed., 330-3; I'arl. I'ap., 1909, Siss. 2, No. 1. It should be noted that in most of the Dominions there ate now provisions in the Audit or other Aets allowing in eertain ciremmstances xpeciall expenditure (c. g. Canada Rec. stat., IEOt, e: :24. s. 42), but these provisions are constantly being exceeded.
doing extra work doring a vaconury in the bench: this uetion was attacked in the Aswembly, hot the Opposition
 that the are was illegal. In the case of Wratern Alstatia the same ( iovernor, int lans), was fored to allow the breting of Parliament to te delayed matil daly : Es, after the retmon from linghand of his I'rimior. who had heron there on a visit, amd at the combtry was for a romsidemathe periond without logal ant homity lon appropriation at all. In Komth dastralia

 as lowal, bye less than three ministers, ome aftor anothere, it being defonded by one minister as a comveniont and.
 merely assists the lower Hoble to sereme its sway over the Upper Homer. which am hatdy rejeel expenditure whiot has abrealy bern incumed. and rais is certamly in sonth
 Honse. bate it is quite helphess in the, mattor: the omly posidibe adion would be to refnise unply, and despite the linge powers in law of the Upper Home of that state the Homse dine not interfere with pepmlare expenditme if the members wish to retain their veats in Parliament. It is signiticant of the whole pesition that the fiovermment of Westem Anstalia * amommed. evidently with honest pride, in 191t, that thongh they had the money for a certain ponblic. Work they wonld not spend it without a legal appropriation: it is not culice certain whether their andienee was as appreciatiec of the virthe thas displayed as it shomblhave been.

New Simth IViales used to be the wonst offender of all, if
 the convermem. Fir a cane in 1877 ner Leyislative council Jourmals, $187 \%$, riess. 4. No. 11. p. 13.

 Anstrulian, December 1.i, 1son, Wecember 1.5. 1910: South Australia Anditor's Report, 1910. pp. xi, xii. Cf. alse, Adelaide Adertiser, November 2 2. 2-1 (nn), as to persomal duty thewn on Covernor under Loan Aet No. bis of 1 s!ni. The practice in Nerwfound land is also very irregular.
in leal it is persiblo to make divtinetion- of degreve betwern



 state for the (Blonios. on the application of the (insor wro
 the pravire and the limits within whith it combl he ciarriod







 sheh pasments as are rofered to in the third patiglaph of - omir Dexpatch.

The payments mentionerd in the haid !atramph are called low when the amomit apropriated for any particular anovore has proved in he insalficient, or an itront may have heroll


I apprehemd that you cammon legally exersiav a power of 'xproding moners withont an Appoppriation Act. and that rom wonla prima facie be bonnd to refose to sign a warrant salletioning ally expenditure of pulble moner which has not herem athorised by law.

But as in England, so in New Sombll Wales. Mases of suprente emergeney may arise. We it may he imposible to athere to the strict and proper rule without de riment to the puble interest, and when the (iovernment at lome takes npon itself the responsibility of sanctioning such expenditure. Such are vases where a mevice voted replites more bomey thath has been voted, or where some wholly menforeseron contingency arises of too urgent a mature to allow of the regnired expenditure being prevomsly sinhmitted to Parliament for their sanction.
(ases of this kind mast be dealt with by the fovernor on the responsibility of his ministers, and he most exereve. his own judgment upon a rarofinl eonsideration of all the circomstances bronght under his notice be those ministers.

I shall not altempt to give vou more dethite instructions













 pamgaph of vomb beopatch. 'In the following cextimates
 is repaid to the (ivil Contingentey Fimml.

 estathinhed in the Colong, though without further information I allt mot ily melf in a pexition to judge whether such
 if propered it would be inkely to whatan the sametion of the (iohnial Jogislat . .e.'
 Secoretary of Stater all a dilliculty which had arisen in the matter of such watuats. He had paid solle salaries on "Winrant iswned without the appoval of Parliament, amb the Jegistative Commeil had protested. As he read the. Constitution Aet, an appropriation wan not required in anthorize the (iovermment tosign any warant, but to anthorize the 'Treasirer to act upen the Governor's warrant, no matter wholl or how loug before signed. He quoted as his anthority for his action the dispateln of 1 sos.

To this dispatel Lord Granville replied, disapproving the views of the Governor, and this gave rise to an interesting disenssion of the views of the secretary of state by the Excentive Conromment of the Colony, The following (xtracts will show the position adopted hy eithere side, and are of importance an illastrating the views held of rexponsible govermment ly Lord Ciramville.

[^110]'Ilse 'Treasmore of the (onlomy in a minnto of Noplomber is.

 theme instruetions for having asonted to the paybrols in

 uf the ('onncil.
 differs from the former in an far as this romerome retimater





 thengh Alont of actual meressity "."

Land (iranville in reply (bespatioh loth dume 1860) (expresores himself as folloms:

 -isapprowe the eomre which yon allopted in anthorising the
 tion det; hat at the same time I think that youl have
 that the mere fact that a certain momber of publier officerers would be put to a temperary incomvenionee cameot be viowed
 must in the nature of thingsisentt from any delay in passing all Ap "priation Act ; num is it sumh a case of expediento as justifies a violation of law.

But imbependently of theore romsiderations, the questions is settled prospetively by the aretion of the Largisiative Commeil, as I comsider it chear that exerpt in cane of absohute and inmmediate necessity (sulfh, e.g., as the proservation of life) 10 expenditure of pmblie mency shomble be inewred
 that both branches of the Legishature will hold the expenditure itself umohjeetionable, but also that they will approve of that expenditure being made in anticipation of their consent.

Your Lordship will not therefore be at liberty on any futme oceasion ter repeat the step which you have allopted ill this curne.'

[^111]Lord Granville appers to consider expenditure without parliamentary sametion justifiable on two grounds only－ lst．on the gromind of necessity，end，on the ground of expediency acompanied by a reasonable presumption that both branches of the Legisfature will subsequently approve of the expentiture．

Neverthelers，in the very ease maler comsideration，Lord （iranville，even if he does mot dierdly eensmere，at least expresily prohibits for the future the comere taken by Lord Belmore in laving，upon the alvier of his ministers moler ciremmstances of great emergency．assented to an expenditure which，althomgh not strietly legal，hatd been sandioned by the Legislative Assembly，both hy resohntion and by bill． and to whielt，althongh the Bill for the purpose hat by a mere inadvertener failed to pass the Legislative Commeil． there eonld be no donbt whatever that the sanction of that borly wonld have been afterwards whtained．

Writhont further dwelling，however，upon this apparent diserepaney between principles latid down and the application of those principles by the Socretary of State for the Colonies， I invite the serions attention of my colleagues to the probable effect of these instructions，and to the cmbarrassiments in which the present or any fiture Government of this Colony might be thereby involved．

Wre see that in a case where every constitntional step was taken，exerpting the final step of obtaining the teclinical consent of the Upper（＇hamber，in a case of such＂emergeney ${ }^{\text { }}$ that delay on the part of the Execolive might have leen dangerons to the pmblic interest，the secretary of states disapproval of the conrse adopted is scarcely withheld，while his injmetion against its repetition is peremptorily imposed．

It then beeomes a grave question whether hy prolibitory instructions to the Governor of this lind the free action of responsible govermment in this Colony is mot liable to be seriously impeded；whether onr pesition and functions as Responsible Advisers of his Excelleney，and ministers responsible to Parliament，are not interfered with by the Sercetary of State so as to affeet the prineiple of Colonial independenee．Lord Granville seems to have overlooked the fact that the artion of the lixecotive Comeil in cases like that referred to is not that of the Governor alone，but the joint action of the（iovernor and his Responsible Advisers． The Governor，mo dombt，is responsible to the Imperial Government，but his alvisers are responsible to the Parlia－ ment of this Colony，and to bind the（ioveromer by thas
laying down an arhitrary comrer of procedure may bring him into collision with his ministers on matters affereting local interests alone, and invole surd an eneroachment upon the privileges of the peophe and Parliament of this Colony as appears quite inconsintent with those broad and enlightemed principles of solf-govermment whinh have been long arknowledged in this colonys and of liter so strongly impresed mpon the rolonier by the Imperial fovermment.

The magnitude and frequency of morexpeted demands "pon our public funds may be cistimated from the amomit of supplementary appropriations made by farliament amnually during a series of years, say ten :-

| 1859 | supplem | ti | $£$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1 \times 60$ | do. | do. | $\begin{aligned} & 8,6 \geq 3 \\ & 7 x, 190 \end{aligned}$ |
| $1 \times 61$ | do. | do. | 78.6334 |
| 186: | (io. | (lo. | 145,050 |
| 1863 | do. | (1). | fors, 715 |
| 1814 | (lo. | do. | 121,593 |
| 186.5 | do. | do. | 110.0 (i) |
| 1860 | do. | do. | 181.57t |
| 1867 | do. | do. | $1 \doteq 4.666$ |
| 1868 | do. | do. | 201.070 |

The greater part of this large smpplemental expenditure has been from time to time dealt with as having originated under circumstances of emergency which were lield to justify the exercise of Exacutive responsibility, and which was afterwards on that gromed legalized by the harmonions action of both Chambers.

1 may here point out that the practice in England is to pay moneys upon the resohtion of the Honse of Commons alone, a practice expressly authoriod and recognised by the 2!)th and 30th Vict. (ap). 39 , nee. 14, viz. :

When any sum or sums of money shall have been granted to Her Majesty by a resolntion of the Honse of Commons or by an Act of Parliament to defray expenses for any specitied pmblice services. it shatl be lanfal for Hor Majouty by Her Royal Order under the Sign Mammal, commersigned by the 'Treasimy, to authorise and require the Treasmy to issme out of the credits to be granted to them on the Exchequer Acomints the sums whioh may be required from time to time to defray surd expenses.
In opposition to the idea of Execntive responsibility ratertained by Lord Ciramille, I have recited by way of
eontrast the opinions on the subject expressed by the Dukes of Newcastle and Buckinghan and Chandos and Sir W. Denison and Sir G. Grey. I also add an extract from Todd's work on P'arliamentary Government in England, viz. :-- It is therefore erroneous to suppose that the Government can be absolutely precented from any misapplieation of the parliamentary grants.
Even were it poswibe ment from expending monev it would not be politic to restrain the (eovernauthority of Parliament. In the words of Mr. Maces without the previous Boad of Audit) colses must constantly of Mr. Macmblay (Secretary to the govermment as onfs where it benstantly wise in so complicated a system of in the exereise of their diserectionary the duty of the Exerntive ant horities. ments of the Legislature , rustiary powers, boldyy to set aside the re puirethe facts of the ease shath have been explaine sense of Partiament when itl and it would be not a publice adenexpained to acequit them of all blame; Government ware to be depriwed of age, but a public valamity, if the diseretionary authority:.'

To the same effect we have od declaration by a Committee of the House of Commons, that in special emergeneies expenditure un: sorised by Parliament becomes absolutely essential. In a suel cases the Executive must take the responsibility of sanctioning whatever immediate urgency requires; and it has never been found that Parliament exhibited any reluetance to supply the means of meeting such expenditure.

Under these circumstances I advise ny colleagues to join with me in an expression of opinion against the instructions lately issued by the Right Honourable the Secretary of State for the Colonies to his Excellency the Governor as amounting to an interference in matters of local governnent whin our responsibility as ministers of the Crown, and representatives of the Parliament and the people of this Colony, upon a question entircly unconneeted with Imperial

Lord Granville replied to this minute in a dispateh of January 17, 1870, ${ }^{1}$ as follows:-

In my Despatch of the 16 th of Jume I eonvoyed to you my orinion that, execpt in case of absolute and immediate necessity (such, e. $g$. as the preservation of life), no expenditure of public money should be incurred without sanction of haw, unless it could be presumed not only that both branehes of the Legishat ure would hold the expendituro itself unobjectionable, but ako that they would approve of that expenditure heing made in anticipation of their consent:

Par. Pup., (: 217. p. 124.
and I added in effeet an instruction that vor wonld not be at liberty hereafter to issue your warrant for any expendinno not sanetioned by law. except muder the ronditions abowe described.

He then quoted the protest of the Treasuror and continued :-

So formal a protest from your ministers aleainst the unconstitutional character of the inst ations sent out to vou renders it $m y$ duty to explain fully to them and to the people of Now south Willes the position adopted in this matter by Hor Majesty's (Govermment and the con-iderations by which they are led to it.

I begin by admitting unreservedly that the matter now in hand is one of purcly local interest. in respert to which Her Majesty's Government only desire that you should conform your conduct to the wishes of the colony when constitutionally ascertained. Those wishes are constitutionally ascertained through two ehamels. the Legislat ure and the Executive Government.
The general rules by which the conduct of yourself and your ministers are to be regulated are prescribed by the Legislature in all free ountries. the most solemn and authoritative organ of the national will.

In the application of those rules vou are authorised to accept as the interpreter of public will a ('ouncil presumerl to possess the confidence of the Legislature and constituting the Executive Government.

In any ordinary easo, if the law required you to do one thing and your advisers reanimended you to do another. there can be no doubt that the deliberate enartments of the Legislat ure would he more binding on you than the opinion of a Council derivineg its anthority from that Legishature and commissionea not to dispense with the law hut to administer it. It would be your plain duty to obey the law, and it would be idle to speak of such oberdience as uneonstitutional. This your ministry would probably admit. but they would argue that emergencies may eonfesedly arise in which it may become the duty of a public officer, or indeed of a private citizen, to overstep the law, and that in a cave like the present it is for the Executive Council and not for the (iovernor to determine whether such a case has in fact arisen.
This present case. so far as it is material to this constitutional question, is as follows:
The 53rd section of the Constitution Act provides that.
subject to eertain charges. the revenuo of the (olony shall be subject to bo appropriated to surh sperifie purposes as by any Act of the legishature of the colony whall he presoribed in that behalf.' The 'Legislature of the (oblony' consists of the (iovernor, Comeil, and Asmembly, and it follows that to sered money withont the anthority of the Governor, Countil, and Ascmbly is a breach of the law.

The rith sertion of the Constitution Act provicles that no part of that revemue shall be issued or shall he made issmable exrept in pursuancer of warrants under the hatud of the (invernor of the colony dirented to the Public 'Treasurer thereof.'

On the Governor, therefore, is inposed the duty of seeing that no breach of the law is eommitted.

Your ministers are of opinion that if they desire the Governor to sign a warmant nuthorising the issue of any amount of publie momey for a purpose confersedy unwarranted by law, he is bound, whatever his opinion maty be, to eompls rith their demand, if only they place before him a statement, even if it appears to him to be monfonded, that an emergeney has arisen justifying that expenditure. Any position less unqualified than this would leave some personal diseretion to the Governor, and therefore some opening for the collision whieh Mr. Sammel holds to be uneonstitutional.

Her Majesty's Govermment eamot adopt this conclusion. They admit that the Legishature of New South Wiales might, if they had ehosen, have deprived the Governor of all right to interfere with the publie finanee. It might have left the 'Treasurer without eontrol in his issue of publie money, or subjeeted him in this respect to the eheek of the Auditor or some other permannt or political officer. Instead of doing this they lave made the Governor responsibe for the execution, and therefore for every violation of the law. That responsibility is, in the opinion of Her Majesty"s Government, a personal one.

The distinetion drawn by Mr. Samuel in the passage I have first quoted from his memorandum letween the action of the Governor alone and that of the Governor in Council is eorreet and material, but it is misapplied. He rightly. assumes that duties imposed by law on the (iovernor aloni are to be exereised by him, with an antount of personal diseretion far greater than belongs to him when arting in Conneil. But it will be seen by reference to the above citerl elanse from the Constitution Aet that, to reverse. Mr. Simmel's
language, 'the action in eases like that referred to is that of the Governor alone, and not the joint action of the Governor and his Responsible Advisers.' It is true that the personal responsibility of the Governor in no way absolves him from attaching great weight to the opinions of his ministers in respect to fact, law, or experliency. He must almost neeessarily acerpt their statements on matters on which he is himself imperfeetly informed. But with these qualifications he remains in the last resort the judge of his own duty, and is not at liberty on the advice of his ministers to sign the warrant required by the sisth chause of the Constitution Aet, if he is elearly eonvineed that to do so would be to coumit an act contrary not only to the letter but to the spirit of the law.
1 ant unable therefore to recall the instructions calready communicated to you. You are to consider the Legislature as the most authoritative exponent of the will of the Cobony. When the Legislature lias enacted a law you are not to transgress that law unless on a reasonable convietion that the Legislature would itself approve your doing so. But you are justified in assumino surh an approval under the pressure of one of those overwh. to anticipate or define, which dispe mergencies, dangerous eases of less moment when there are with all rule, or in suming that the Legislature will supecionc reasons for preexpenditure, and will desire its sanction to a eertain specific I trust there is little chance, as apprehended by Dicipated. that adherence to these instructions will bring Simurt, collision with your ministers. I should derply you into But in so painful a contingency it would be betteregret it. collision with your advisers than with the law. A difference howerers than with the law. it necestary to however, with your ministers woukd render myself dispose ascertain the wishes of the colony. I am unanthorised expedituat the olstacle which is imposed on simetion of the Governor, in addition of course to personal ment of the ministry is a weful obstacle. improbable that the Colony would pronounce in fo is not retaining it. But Her Mojesty's Government have now of to dictate one or the other conclusion. Whate no desire decision of the colony other conclusion. Whatever is the If the ouestion arises how that decisionshould to it. the first and most satisfactory answer is that it expressed, embodied in an enactment repeating or modifiould ho 5.jth section of the Constitution Act.)' (or modifying the

If. however, the passing of such an Act is likely on raise any collateral issmes, or otherwise to he attended with diffienlty or clelay, I think that in the present case, which is rather constitutional than legal, the desire of tie community would be sufficiently expressed by an Address from hotli liranches of the Legislature.

If therefore the Comeil and Assembly should request you to be hereafter guided by the advice of your ministers in the execution of the duties imposed on you by the sisth section of the C'onstitution Act, Her Majesty authorises you to arcede to that request and will then hold you relieved of the persomal responsibility which now attaches to you.

Not much resulted from this correspondence, for the truth is that the necessity of providing money by such warrants: will always exist miless a Parliament has strong traditions of financial responsibility, and whatever the canse-whether from the practice in Crown ('olony days where the authority of the Secretary of State is acted upon whenever given. and the grant ratified afterwards, a procedure harmless in a case where the Secretary of State has control of the Legislature or from the needs of young communities-the Colonies have not as a rule strong views as to constitutional action in financiai matters. Thus in 1910 the New South Wales Act No. 44 covers over $£ 207,000$ suspense expenditure in anticipation of sanction. There are exceptions to that rule : on a recent oceasion in Canada in the face of obstruction in the House of Commons, the Government refused to pay salaries, ${ }^{1}$ but this step was regarded as decidedly a case of financial purism, and the Conservative Government in 1896 went on spending moneys freely though supply had expired, ${ }^{2}$ until the Governor-General questioned
${ }^{1}$ ' 'anadian Annual Revien, 1908, p. is3. One of Lieutenant-Governor Angersis eharges against Mr. Mercier was of illegal expenditure; see C'anadian Giazeffe, xviii. 296, 313 . The laek of parliamentary authority for the expenditure of funds was insisted on by Sir W. Laurier as a ground for inaction in regard to sending troops to Soutla Afriea in 1899 ; see Willison. sir Ifilfrid Laurier, ii. 239. For a ease of ('ommonwealth irregularity, ste" rinzelte, 1911. pp. 1:222 sey.; Aet No. 2 of 1910.
${ }^{2}$ Sipe (imada House of Commons Debates. 1896, Sess. 2, pp. 58 seq., 1i21-852. ('f. also Sir R. C'urtwright's remarks, ibid.. 1891. pp. 4537 seq.: : Sisw. Pap. 1896, Sess. … No. 8; but ef. Canadian Anmual Revier, 190.. 19. 147: for the resignation of the Auditor-General, as a protest.
their action and refused their aldiece with the result of a retirement of the Ministry, when their artion in spenting money was criticized severely by Sir Wilfrid Laturicu, and they retorted by censuring the new Government for spending money before Parliament voted it. ${ }^{1}$ And in 1909, in New Zealand, when the Primo Minister required to go to England on the invitation of the Imperial Giovernment, to attent the naval and military conference of that year, he would not go until he had induced Parliament to meot for a brief period and pass supply (Act No. 1), so as to provide means for carrying on the Government in his absence. In 1910 matters were simplified by passing a general Act. No. 43, allowing for expenditure at current rates for the first quarter of each new financial year.

Another aspect of the question was shown in the fir lous Darling case in Victoria : ? Sir ('. Darling in that case, uere the Lower and the Upper Houses were at variance, sanctionerd the levying of daties on a mere resolution of the Lowar House, the raising of a loan withont legislative sanction, tho sum being made a legal debt by an adm:ssion of liability under the Crown Remedies Act, 28 Virt. No. 241 , and the payment of official salaries without appropriation. The, opinion of the Secretary of State on these proceedings wats conveyed in two dispatches of November 27,1865 , and February 26,1866 , from which the following are extracts. After reciting the law of 22 Viet. No. 86, under which appropriations required the sanction of the Audit Commissioners, who had to be satisfied that the sums were logally. available, and the signat ure of the Governor, and the Crourn Remedies Act, which empowered the Governor to satisfy from the consolidated fund the demand of a claimant against the Colonial Government who had obtained a certifieato from the Supreme Court of the validity of his claim, he proceeded :

In this state of the law the Guvernment, with your sanction, prevailed upon one of the banks in which a 'Public'

[^112]


Areonnt, was kept. to lend yon or them eretain smme of money and to carry that money to n separate aceomst, which was to be acted mon by you or them withont the eoncurrene of the Audit Commissioners: and it was agreed that the Bank shonld at once petition the sirprome Conrt meder the Act ex Viat. for reparmont of this loan, that vonr (Eowernment should at once confers judgment, and that pont should therenpen enable them torepary themselven ont of the P Pablic Areoment the amoment they had placed to this new aceomet.

I do not quite elearly miderstand whether the eonemremere of the Andit ('ommis siomers was nerosestry on was ohtalined to this reparmont. But this is of minor imbortance. The offoret, practically. was to transfer the publie money out of the Public Aceome ' From which the Bank comld not ordinatily issine it. withont the Andit ('ommissionerse certiticate, to antother aceount entirely mader the cont rol of the (insernment.

The money so obtained has. I modrestand. been applied by the Exerutive Govermment to the payment of salaries. and I suppose to other immediate purposes speceificed in the Appropriation Bill. which the commeil refused to pass. I infer that it is be the extension and contimation of this process that the dovermment has been sinee rarried on.

This, I think, is a correct statement of the material fiests, on which 1 proceed to express my opinion.

First. I have no hesitation in salying that independently of the Judgment of the Supreme ('ourt, no consideration. at least none that is disemmble in your despatehes, shouk have induced yon to give your eone urrence to the levying of these duties.

The plea that taxes are levied in this eomentry on a votr of the Homse of (ommons: before they are impored by law imanifestly irrelevant. Sheh taxes are solevied because it inot doubted that the Bill imposing them as from the date of the Resolution of the Honse of (ommons on which the Bill is founded (and after which only they are levied), will become law, by the concurrence of the two other Braneheof the Legislature. If such concurrence were withheld, the sums so levied by anticipation would be repaid, and ther would of course be no longer levied.

But in the present case you and your Government were perfectly aware that the Bill wonld not receive the sanction of the wholo Legislature, and the exaction of these dutiowas not in anticipation but in defiance of the judgment of the: Legishative Comeil. It was, therefore not only in its origin unlan firl, but there even was every reason to presume that a state of thinge in which the fersermment of a lititi-h
 personis from when the supreme (onint hats dorelated that
 enealentahle misehise bevonel the limits of the dolonie in Whieh it has bern allowed to weronr.

Next. I donot understand on what gromed it can have heren magined that fou wore logalty amhoriad to homew from "private lank large sums of numey on helalf of the pulble.





 geney dide exist. If papolionts were legally dae from thes

 "ase so due to them in the ordinary comere of law. It was fine one or other bramely of the legretature to viell. or for both to compromise their difference. It was mit for youl to
 unwarmanted cither her bat Commission or he the laws of the folony. I must puint ont that hy slleh a prowerding the
 lonal lalnk. might at ally moment withdrall any amomot of publir foms from the • Poblic. Seeomme to which it is collsignerl hy lat. amd place it at their own rommand reliesed fromit all the chereks with wheh the Laceislatmere hats carefally surromided it.

Thirdly: as to the expenditure of the monery- thus obtained. I time it dilfienlt to alypuse that hy the irown Remedirs and Liabilities Act ther Legisathere intemded to emable the Govermment te discharge withont ite concurpence. theme ordinary expenses of (dovernment which it reences to itemf the right to re-eomsider ammally. It may. perhaps, be donhted whether office-holders who are mider a standing notiere that their sabares are dependent on laws. anmally pasied. hy the Colonial Padiament. Wonld be ireated by the Supreme (bont as having at elam upon the fovernment independently of any such law. But it is not allegod that the s.י.reme Court was ever called upon to give judgment on the question, and vou do not inform me of any law which wonld warrant yon in paying away any ponhlie money exeept
mader the muthority either of suth a julgment or of the Auditors" certifiente.
As it present ndvised, therefore 1 nm of cipinion that in these three respects--in collecting duties without sumection of law : in contructing a loan without sanction of haw : Hud in plating sularies without sandetion of law-yon have de: parted from the principle of condnet announced by yourself and approved by me-the primeiple of ripid actherence to the law. I decply regret this. The Qurea's Representative is justitied in leferring very largely to hix constitutional advisers in hutters of polier and even of equity. But he is imperatively bound to withlohl the (Queen's anthority from atl or any of those manifestly unlawfor proceedings by which one political party. or one member of the bedy politic. is oceasionally tempied to endeavour to establish its preponderane over another. I minguite sure that all homest and intelligent Colonists will concor with me in thinking that the powers of the (rown ought never to be nsed to nuthorize, or facilitute any net which is regnired for an immediate politisal purposic. but is forbidden by haw.
It will be for the gentlemen whe guicte the opinions of the Colony: or form the majorities in the two Honses of the Legishature, to ascertmin, nod you will of comre afford them (very facility for aseertnining. how the (ioverment of the Colony is to be courced ons. it is for you to take eare that all proeedings taken in the Queens bame. nul under your anthority. ure comsistent with the law of the Cohmy.
As I sait! in the begiminge of this desprteh. I could have wished to pentpone ally expression of mb "pinion mutit I should he in possession of the papers which you lead me to expeet iy the next mail. But the contimued viohation of the law. with the eoncurrence of the Queens representative, wo:? d be so serions an evil that I have felt compelled this to address you now. I helieve that I have stated correctly the facts of the case. I have given you my view of the law arising from those facts. I have to instruet you in this. ans in every other case, to conform yourself strictly to the line of conduet which the law preseribes.

In a dispate of Febmary 26, 1xti6. Mr. Cardwell wrote :I have already, in my despateli No. 107 of the 27 th November, instructed you that some of the acts of your Govermment to which yon gave your sanction were illegal. and have directed you to retrace your steps. But rour present despatel imposes upon me now obligations. I shall
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therefore briefly erview the ciremonsames mader whitla this dedress has been udopted by tho leotitimers.

The eonrse pursued in the Asocmbly with resperet la tho 'Tariff und Appropriation Bills Was mot wanrated hig thas praciee of the English House of (ommons, to which, by the Constifition Aet, it wise intemded that the A - ombly of Victoria should gencrally couform. Heve, not ons? is a lbill introdured on the very day on which Revolutionis for tho alteration of customs dutios aro agreed to, for the purpore of giving effert to lhose Resolutions. but erery oxertion is made to pass the Bill with as little delay as posible. Igain. ItO practice is more carefinlly ohereved thant that which uvoids what is called ta...king. or the combination of ally. other ensetments with the Bill of Appopriation. But stili, in the case which las areured meder your gevermment, the delay of the Tariff Bill. and its mions with the Appropriation Bill, were exposed to the same rherets to whirll the like
 The Supreme (omrt was able to vindicate the right wit aty sulbject who might (omplan that daties were levied from him illegally, and the Legislative (onmeil was able to maintain its own privileges hy laying aside the compoumb Bill. I do not think it womld have beren de arable for vout on int erfere in any surh mamer as to withdraw these matter from their wrdiany sphere, and or give to the dispote as chametere. Whald did not naturally Pelong to it, of a comethet between ISe Assembly of Viotoria and the Reprementative of the frown. I ant not able to saty that, in the atelnal rimenmstances of the case. you had it in your peser to inthence or control the course of affairs withont incorving the ri-k of - wr h a ronsequence.

But your onght to have insterposed. with all the woight of four anthority, when your linisters continned to levy the duties notwithstanding the adverse derision of the (ionrt. still more evidently was it yomr duty to whhbld sour personal co-operation from the seheme of burowing mbine: in a manner mbathorized by law. I say manthorized by law. becanse the loan itself had not bern sumetioned by the Legislature of Victoria, and beeallse the judement which enabled you to repay tha loan, having been ohtained as it was, can be regarded only as a form undre colour of whirls the substance of the law was ovaded. By these provedings the Supreme Court and the Legishative Comed wero pracetically deprived of the power with wheh the Constitution intended to invest them. This conduct on your part
finvolved a cravo respomsibility : and it has led, hy maturnl ronisequence, to the Aldress which 1 latere now to consider.

The Secretary of State proweeded to ammonnce the decivion of the Imperial Cowormment to terminate Sir (C. Darliaris temme of oftiere, and directed him to leave the Colony in the "harge of the ofticer eommanding.
( incernor Darline was therefore removed fiom oftice. But
 alan of the exserntial dirty of whereving the law: in a dispateh of Fehruary I Istix, the secretary of Shate wrote :-

But in any case in which the baw insents your with the power of preventing the isolle of publice funds ly rofinsing four warant. or of proventing the conchasion of aty cont ract, for the satisfaction of which no money has heen provided by Parliament. Her Majenty's (iovermment are mable to relieve sou of the nero ty of teciding for yonredf, areording to the circomstaney whether you wombl he warranted in asing that power in order to prevent an iswoe of publice funds which maty appear to you unconstitutional.

In the comstitutional struggle, as renewed in 18 s . the Governor had the misfortme to receivo a rather sovere rebuke from the Secretary of State for his action in allowing the Govermment to dismise a large mumber of public servants. His action was mot, it was clear, illegal, for it was upheld by
 on which the rensure of the Secretary of State was based was the necessity of maintaning the rule of the constitution that publice servants who were not ministers were not liable to dismissal on political grounds. In a dispateh of July 5 , $1878 .{ }^{2}$ the Secretary of State laid down the rule that the Governor was hound to secure respect for law, though he might normally act on the advice of his law otticers if they advised as law officers not as ministers, hut even if the advised he was not bound to accept their legal advice if he felt that it was wrong. He might break the law in case of necessity, but the necessity must be very st rong and very clear: the responsibility was a grave one, and should only.

[^113] be said that the sermetary of State wats wrong in the matter.
 mellat be very chary of heaking the law. hot whether int the ease in guestion it was really bert ond of thore installees

 oll the whole a cahol julderment mant aly that the (invermer made out for himadf in the correspe velence a very stomge thengh not neereswaty comvincing cans.

In the elnce of the Tram-saal ant interenting example of


 providing for the election of sematose to the Partialnemt of the Union. It was, hewever, desibed hy the (ionermment It pay to the members of Partiament the full satary to which they wonld nominally have heron emtitled had the wesion
 for the whole nomont were issued to the members of the Lower Housce. The action of the Ministry was by moneallLenerally popmhar. as it was felt that fo make full pilyment for sos hoort a period was not a legitimatemplosment of publio. finds.and aterordingly an interetiet wasappledifor and ghanted on IV v 2 by the Supreme ('ont in reppeet of the pilyment in question. The matter then came before the supreme (oullt. and on May lo julgement was delivered by the 'hief. Ju-tiere which while holding that the phantiffs had no loreves sermeli. laid it down clearly that the payment propesed wis a contrat vention of Act No. IE of $190 \%$, regulating payment tomembers of Parliament and atan pobably a eont lat bent ion of the i intit Aet No. 14 of 1907 . inasmuch as money could only be withdrawn from the Exchequer Aceonnt wider cower of a yereat wartant from the (iovernor in vitue of s. 20 of that Act, ${ }^{2}$

[^114]and even if the Governor were able to concur that the special payment was necessary in the public interest, yet the fact remained that the necessity arose while the House Was in session, and could have been dealt with in Parliament hy means of a Bill. As a matter of fact the (ir ciament had intended to deal with it in Parliament, but * *nowleato that the Opposition in the Upper House wonl' no: :ppanve the proposal induced the (iovernment to make the may!emi without obtaining the assent of that Honse. Nomiais. ! shd ing these dicta of the Supreme Court the Transval (iovernment proceeded to ask the Governor to issue a special warrant for the sanctioning of the payment of the amount in question. When the warrant was issued tho Legislature had risen. and therefore the objection by the chief Justice that the Legislature was in session when the payment was made did not apply, strictly speaking, to the signing of the warrant. The action of the Arministrator was much questioned. and the matter was brought before the Inperial Parliament, when the Under-Secretary of State accepted for his chief full responsibility for the action of the Administrator, who it appeared had telegraphed home for instructions: and had received authority to sign the warrant. In the Honse of Commons on Jume 29 , the defence of the Aministratoms action was based by the Cuder-secretary of State on the grounds that he had signed on the advice of ministers. and that as the Audit Act defined Govemor in that Aet to mean Governor in Council ${ }^{1}$ the Admmistrator was bound to act on the advice of his ministers, and could not aet otherwise. It was not made quite clear whether the UnderSecretary of State considered that he must always act on the advice of his ministers, or whet her he merely hed that the case was not one in which it would have becn justifiable to declinn. to erept advice. The matter seemed so unsatisfactory 10 Lord Northeote that he raised the question in the House of Lords on July 25 , and Lord ('rewe gave a more complete'

[^115]statement as to the position of a (ioverno: ${ }^{1}$ He pointed out that no illegality had been committed by the Administ rator in signing the warrant. He thas disregarded the viow of the Chief Justice that the payment tw members in excess of the amount authorized in the Act No 12 of 1907 was a eome travention of the statute. and he evidently held that the other point made by the (hiof Justice, that the expenditure could not legally be authorized by a warrant under s. 20 of the $A$ udit Act becanve the necessity for sum expenditure had arisen, if at all, while Parliament wats still in seswion, Wass only an obiter diclum of the ('hief Justice, and was not a decision binding on the Administrator. He admitted, however. that a Covernor musi not normally, whether advised by ministers or not, participate in an illegal action. Sucla participation could only be approved in case of most supreme publie necessity, and normally in such cases the action would not be such as would be pronounced illegal until after it had been taken. Horeover, the (iovernor had his Attorncy-deneral and his legal advisers. and he presumably, loot as a rule being a legal expert himself. was entitled to take the view of the state of the haw from them. That being so. here did not think that it was reasonable or necessary to lay. down instructions for a fiowernor as to what he was fodo if action wereproponed to him which he considered illayal. hut he recoosnized the prineiple that a fowernor of a Colonve even when ateting as (iovernor in ('ouncil, was not to regatel the adsiee of his ministers as having an anthority superior to that of the law, and that except in the case of the most urgent public necessity it was his duty to refuse to approwe an illegal action.

A much more serious feature of this case is the fact that the money was paid withent any (invernores wardat at all. Under the letters patent granting responsible government. and under the Audit Act: the procedure with regard to expenditure in the Transaal was as follows:-

All monevs reecived were paid into an Excherpuer Account and expenditure was met from the Paymaster-(ieneral's Aceount, which was kept in funds by transfers from time to time from the Excherger Acrount. 'The transfers were only

[^116]made on the anthority of the (iovernor's sarrant, which was isstred upon a requisition by the 'lreasurer, and a certificate hy the Auditor, that the funds requisitioned by the 'Treasury were legally availahle for issice. But the value of this procedure was completely vitiated by two facts. It appears that to system was that all the officers shotuld draw uponone accoumt, the Daymaster-General's Aecount, and it was possible for the Treasury. after money had been tansferred from the Exchequer Accomnt to the Paymaster-General's Accomnt to meet the expenditure under the one head in the estimates, to divert that expenditure to an entirely different purpose, eren one for which no provision at all had been made in the ostimates. This method of managing the public aceonnts was conclemed by the Transval Pablic Services Commission, and hy the Auditor-fieneral in paragraph 30 of his report for the Vear ended June 30, 1907, hut no alteration was made in the practice. Then the Treasury, even if there were no balance in the Paymaster-General's Aecount, used to allow overdraftson that Acconnt despite the protests of the Anditor-General and the Public Accoment Committee in 1909 . The result was that there was mothing whatever to prevent the totally illegalaction of paying salaries before Parliament had cor I at all. ${ }^{1}$

- Ministers are of comser persmally rexpmaible for th legal acts.
 it may often be that imperachment which is guite ohmotere is regards the Dominions-would be the only powible pmishmem. Cases are not rare of other illegal deeds, such as Sir H. Parke's eflorts illegally to exelude
 which failed. It was also a Prime Minister of New South Wiales who in 1 196 removed illegally wire netting while detained by the Commonwealth ('ustems Department: Turner, Austration C'mmemwealh, pp. 180)-2. Malversation in office, such as that of Mr. ('rick in New somth Walere is of course pmishable in the erdmary way, and minor oftereses (surla is these of Mr. Mehenzie in Virtorin in ISM:3) may be mat hy losw of office. For: grose example of disregard of law by a Ministry and Governor-General, ef. the extradition of Lamirande in Canada (Clarke. Extradition. Pp. 116-8; ('anada Ness. Pap., 1s(6--8. No. 50). For Nir H. Robinsen's
 the violation of law in the cape in the war, see Cd. 1162. For New Zealand cases, see linsden, iii. 1.59, Ifin. 8.51, 1.in).
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But these are lesser matters. ${ }^{1}$ and the real importance of the question arises in the application of the rule to the proclamation of martial law hy the Governor. In no solfgoverning (olony is there any provision for martial law as part of the law of the land, and there is therefore no staiutory basis on which the proclamation of such law can rest. Nor again can it be held that there is any common-law right to prockaim martial law: it is no part of the prerogative to upset the established law of the land. On the other hand, there need not necessarily be any illegality in the issue of a proelamation of martial haw : it would be diffie oult to see what crime would be committed by the mere issue, and at any rate. even if concervably there might be regated as being some crime in issuing a prochamation which might lead to serious disturbances from aggriesed citizens, the risk of any Court so holding does not seem to be great. For after all, the proclamation etripped of its phaseology merely means that, in the opinion of the Executive, there exists a state of matters in which the suspension of the ordinary legal forms is necessary, and it operates as a waming to fitizens that this is the case, and that they should therefore be on their guard to maintain order : it may even be that wheh a prockamation may have effeet in terrifying evil-doers and mitigating the evil results of their machinations against the State. Now the acts done moder martial law may be viewed in two aspects: there are acts which can be justified
 South Australia found itself faeed with a most serions strike, whieh paratried the food-supply of the town of Adelade. The (ommmasonery of D'ulice gave colour to a doctrine whel would have athowed rooting to pass uncheeked, and anarehy threateneth. Fortunately the fiwermment inter. vened with a correct statement of the liw by its Attorney fieneral, and the strike sussided just in time to prevent sebions diflienties. The fioneruor Was beblieved to have brought inthente to bear in wout of the vindication of the law, and an attack-chearly mojustitiol-on lim by the i'remior at a cetebration banquet secmed to hend colour to this ledief. "Flue Opprsitith severely consured the (inwernment : see delelade litgister, December 17-31, 1910, January 9. 1911.
hy the common law as acts which are necessary for the maintenance of orker and peace. The common law is not loath to rocognize such acts: it knows that the safety of the law at times requires that its ordinary prescriptions must yield ; for example, there can be no doubt that even in England in the case of actual hostilities there is a right which may be called a common-law right to disregard the rights of individuals in the cause of the State, e.g. to enter private honses, to seize private property for martial uses, and so on. Whether such scizure ought not to be paid for is matter of equity not of logal obligation, and in any case the essential thing is that in taking goods in this way the taker would not beacting as a robber, who might be killed if necensary for suecessful resistance. but would only be acting in accordance with : he law: The common law of Eughand ix the common law of most , f the self-governing ('olonies, and in any case the Roman Dutch law and the French law of Quebee admit as clearly as the English law the doct rine salus reipublicue suprema lex.

But it must be at once admitted that thix common-law right has not sufficient definition to be a trust worthy guide in caves of action in emergencies. A the best it may extend, as Sir F. Pollock ${ }^{1}$ has argued, to cover acts done in good taith for the purpose of quelling revolt, but it is not certain that it does extend so far, and it may he well that the view taken by Professor Dice, ${ }^{2}$ which restricts it to necessary acts, is more sound. And in any case, whether the criterion be reason or necessity, the criterion will be applied in cold blood long after the events by a judge sitting in a conrt far removed from all the circumstances which make reason or necessity obvious in one's actions. It is therefore clear that, in the interest of those who act under martial haw, they will be well advised not to fail to secure for themselves acts of indermity. As a matter of fact, it will be found that acts of indemnity are invariably adopted after the exercise of martial law in the C'olonies, and that such acts will bar eivil proceedings in this conntry is proved by the ease of Phillips

[^117]v. Eyre,' which arose out of the , Jamaica :ixing and its suppression by the fiovernor, and by the case of Re, v . Tilonko,' in which in 1907 the Privy Council said :-
Their lordships are unable to advise his. Majesty to grant special leave to appeal in this case. The question raised is cettled by an Ast of Natal, and it is not within the power or within the province of the Board to diseuss or consider the policy or expediency or wisdom of an Act, or to do anything beyond deciding whether the Aet applies. Their Lordships are of opinion that the Act applies and they are bomud by it and must give effect to it.

It is therefore important that indemnity act- should he worded so as to cover all that it is right io cover without afforting a eover to acts of private malice thone moder the pretence of suppressing a rebellion. The Irish case of Wright v. Fitzgerald ${ }^{3}$ shows that such an act is not covered by the ordinary act of indemnity. and the Colonial Office in 1st:-: followed this precedent by declining to approve a New Zcaland Act which was not limited to an indemmity for acts done in good $t$,th in the suppression of the native rising in that Colony, bui covered all acts done in the suppression of the rebellion without qualifieation. In the case of the indemnity acts passed after the Boer war by the Cape and Natal the protection given was most carefully worded wo at to cover only acts done in good faith by the officers concerned in repressing the disturbances in those Colonies, nor does it scem that there were thus protected any serious cases of abuse. ${ }^{5}$ On the other hand, the Indemnity Act passed by Natal in 1906, No. 51, to legalize the acts done by the officers and others in the Colony during the rebellion of that year, was severely eriticized not only in England but in South Africa, as a bad departure from precedent in that it was provided that all acts . me by military or civil officials should have been deemed to have been done in good faith. while the acts of non-officials were legalized only if either

[^118]they arted under the instructions of such officials or in good faith. But the Imperial Government allowed the net partly becanse it was not desirable to allow the régime of martial law to continue in the Cobony, and partly because the Ministry were not willing to withdraw martial haw meness tlen act came into force and protected them from suit. ${ }^{1}$
The proclamation by the Natal Government in 1906 of martial law, and its maintenance in 190- and 1:908 deppite the : whence for much of the time of any obvious necessity for the system, was moth eriticized in England and even in South Africa. Fortunately the matter was not complicated to any serions extent by the fact of any misuse of the powers which the Government thus possessed, and the question can be considered as practically one of eonstitutional law. In the first place. it was asked whether a fovernor could proclain martial law when as a matter of fact there was no actual war being waged in the Colony. The answer would appear to be that it would be difficult to declare that any such action was illegal; any artion might be illegal. but hardly the proclamation. Again. it was suggested that there was no possibility of martial law existing if there were no war. The argument secms fairly sound but obvionsly it must be left to the Courts to decide an a matter of fact whether or not there is war. It appears very clearly from the cases of Marris ${ }^{2}$ and van Reenen ${ }^{3}$ that the Colonial Courts have no right to interfere if there is war being waged ; but it rests: for the Court to decide if war is being waged; the only way of preventing it so deciding is by force. The whole position is admirably laid down by the judgement of the Privy Conncil in the case of Tilonko's appoal for special leave to appeal from a judgement of the court-martial sitting at Pietermaritzburg, which was declined on November 2, 1906, for the grounds set out in the following judgement :-_4
This is an application for special leave to appeal to His Majesty in Council. It is desirable to cali attention to that
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fact, becanse the learned conned for the Jetitinner has . Imom time to time shed the phrate that his right to appeal rammet borefued. There is not right to appeal. This is an appleare tion for speeda leave to appeal. which their Jordshipe have


The fomdation upon whell ©omsel for the Petitioner lats proceeded is a totally inaterorate amang hetween the procredings of a Dilitary (bourt sitting mbler what is callerd the Dutiny dot, and procerdinge whiell are tot constituterd aceording to any systom of law at all. It is by this time a :ery familiar observation that what is called martial law, is mo law at all. 'The motion that 'martial law' cxi-t hy reason of the Proclamation-inle expersion whel the leamed Gomsel has mote than once used-is an entire delosion. The right to administer force against fore in ate wal war downot depend upon the Proclamation of matrial law at all. It depends upon the question whether lacere is way or mot. If there is war, there is the right to repel fore bey fores. but it is found eonvenient and decorous, from thane to time, to anthorize what are called ' (ourts' to administer punishments, and to restrain by acts of represion the violence that is committed in time of wat. instead of deaving surh pminhment and repression to the casual action ot persons anting without sufficient consultation, or withont sufficient order or regularity in the procedure in which things alleged to haw been done are proved. But to attempt to make these proeedings of so-called (ourts-Martial , admani-tering summary justice under the supervision of a military commander, amalogous to the regular proceedings of oforts of dastice is quite illusory ${ }^{1}$ Such acte of justice are justified by necessity, he the fate of actual war : and that they are so justified under the eiremmatanees is a fact that it is monger necessary obinsist upen, becanse it has heen over and over again so derided by courts as to whose authority there can be no doubt. But the question whet her war existed on not may of course, from time to time be a question of doubt, and if that had been the question in this case. it is possible that some of the wherrations of the learned (ommel with regard to the periond of trial, and the eomese that has been pursued, might have required consideration. But no such question arises here. An Aet of Parliament has been passed in Natal whels in son in the ease of the twolve Nalal nativessentencerl by ordere of a mentat
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terms enacts the legality of the sentences in ghestion. and provides that those shatif be dremed to bo sentences passed in the regular and ordinary course of criminai juriselietion. This board has no power io review these sentences. or to empuire into the propricty or impropriety of passing surla an Aet of Parlament. The only thing lor persons who are mubject to such an Act of Pathiment to do is to ober. 'The furestion in this cose arises under the Natal A.t of Parliament in respert of offences committed in Natal. which Act has been assented to by the (ewermor and, having the foree of law. is hinding on their Lordships. 'The lang. age of the Act appears to their Lardships to be subjeet to no question of doubt or ambignity at all.
section ti enacts that :-
 administrerime Martial Lam under the anthority of the dimernew of of the Commandant of Militia in Natal, or ly any military oflier purperting to


 (i) he law ful, and in so far an the same shall hen hate heren alterdy carried intw effect. shall he dermed to be final anternes paswed by duly and legally
 mome. hut they shat be and remati in foree and shall he eatried ond in the


Cinder these cirrumstances their Lordships feel that it is impossible to contertain any guestion of appeal. and the will therefore lumbly advise His Majesty to dismiss the Petition. Their Lordships are of opinion that in the circumstances of this case the Petitioner onght to pay the costs of the Petition.
'Thirdly, it has been diseussed with some confusion of thonght whether or not the (iovemor is regrired to act on ministerial advice in proclaming martial han. The answer is of conrse legally that he is not bound ; he is never hound to alet on ministerial advice. and still less so when he may ineur even with an indemnity aet personal responsibility, and, eren if he iss safe from chance of criminal conviction, runs the risk of being in a troublesome position. No Govennor wishes to be hated before magistrates, as happened in the case of Eyre. ${ }^{1}$ or to have a Chief Jnstice delivering a long atdress to a grand jary in which he possibly figures as the villain. But it is clear that this is precisely one of the

[^119] font the in aroord with that of ministers. If a Mini-tha,




 In acrept repmoshilit! for earrying oll the fon ermoment. It is certainly within the bommth of posathitity that a rotimight arise in whirl: it was eleally the umhapleg (iowrome

 the satid that this is one of the casse where the (ionormot vail hardly be experted 10 dither from ministors. Similarly the

 the folonial ( invermment should be deprived of ofle-government: the matter wombl be a reritieat prow that the fmperial Emermment did mot comsider tho (iowermment and the Parliament capable of eondueting with propriets the affair of the country. Bat even oo, the Imperial finvernment raised, in eommexion with det coo. of of loms.? the ghention wheh they had before raised, that the Aet was too widely worded and would rover rertain grato alleged wronge eommitted in the connme of the matter of the repression, but the Set was not disallowed. It may be moted that the Aet expressly resorved power to pumish civil and military peroonfor any wrongdoing in a mammer to be derided by the (iovernor. The Ace is a remarkable docement, for it ratitice and makes all the actions of the (bowermor and the varions officers legal, and rontimms the sentences and makes them legal sentences, and allows pardon by express condetment to the (iovernor in Executive Council. This is at stange





 intertion to diardiminate betwern there rerimes athd ardinaty -rimese but it is a signticant eomment upent the whole stlmation that Nir Matthew Nathan, all experionced ofticor athl an able (iovernor, wrote oll dily Is. Itans :- I rall atill find mote |mo jutilication| for the mandernamer of martial law for a proiol of cight montha in a commtry where there has beeol meither war nor rebedtoms.

Fortmately matial haw has mot ofton beron dechared in

 its epretation was verol limited: In Natal there were aceral

 latere districts of both the rape and Natal foll meder its
 alld the Oramge River Colong after ammexations:3 Natal agath in lembe in the disturbancer in Zuhatand. ham for resort
 durin e the lomg native wats after 1 stiz. at at had dome in 1s.5.7-7. but Ansmalia has mot meeded it. and 1 'amada has hard mo disturlance since the North-llise Rebellion of 1 sx. 1 10 justify at proclamation.

In the ('ipe of fiond Hope there were a good manty cases of interest int the 1 The Court steadily asserted its right to inguire into conses muder mantial law. In Reg. V. Bekker ${ }^{\circ}$ it granted all order to a jailer to show by what ratse Behker was confined in jail. In the case of Rey. Cichlenhuys: "the conrt declined to order the military authorifies to admit the applicant to bail. becamse as long as martial law existed in any district and it was tot shown that it was mot necessary. the (omt should not interfere. recongnising that if were thought fit the court could interfere. So

[^120] in Rex v. Sinule olut athers 1 to set aside the arreat by the militar! of persoms admitterl to bail under. Int No. th of lame. In that case the (ourt revergized that axal rulde it -homblat not




 artions of the military anthorites after the ware but wheld wot interfere with them in the meantime. su in Reimeter $\therefore$ Altorney-fienerol' the court ordered the dixeharge of Ramerke from the ematody of a rivil jatior who detained him on military orders, on the erommel that he hat mer rixht to dos so. hat dorlined to grant an oreler intordieting the military anthoritios from truing the man molor military law
 anthorities therant a permit to Mimatar toretam to his farm.

Acting on this primeiple. the (onnt dectimed to uphold : conviction for prison-braking in Rex v. Limk on'l Wimmer. when the prisoner was merely confined he order of the military authonitie - ad amilar fropesition was latid clown

 Merme. the comrt quashed convietions which phrported to Le eomvietions hy magistrate ats such for breathers of martial. law regnlations. After the prodamation of peare a mate "isi was granted in e.t purte Buthor ant othere." "alling on t..." ofticer commanding the tronpsin the diveret to show callse why he shomel not be interdieted frome celling confiseated property of certain Briti-h sulijert - Whove goots had berot

${ }^{3} 11$ Sileil, 515.5.
${ }^{3}(19003)$ I: $2:$ T. R. 144.
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seizel after the proctamation of peate and agan alter peraer
 recover his atow in the hande of the defembant，whe had beren
 not＇hamge the cowner＇ip ol the stork．

In Natal several important rasew on martial law aroso
 P＇osfmester－cicmerel：the phention was raisel whether it was within the grower of the Pontmaterefiemeral，acting moler mattial－faw regnlations．Lo thetain and open letters atdressed to private individals The（omet there hedel that martial lall was in some cas－justifiable．that acts of this kind in furtherance of military operation eroble be invertigated hy the＇mat－of Natal．and that there were justitable in sof far a－ real neressity uxistert．＇Ilai－neresesity they held to be proved hy statements which wore mate her（iemeral butler．that the
 ellemp．that in fact that whon letters were opered he was able to ranty out surprise moventents which hat been impessible when tetter were not opened，and they theretore declined to geve the phatitiff Woreom the relief low which he asked．
 C＇ummemling ${ }^{3}$ the yuestion was raised whether the Comet had any right to interlipe with the derision of an adminis－ trator of martial law．and the fomrt dereided that it combleos interlere．but when the ease artmally eame on for considera－ tion it also deciged that it wond mit interfere．It held that the treatment of the two natives in that ease who were pmished for being opies was reasomab＇and proper in view of the neressities of war．
subserguent to these cases was the（＇ape ease decided on apperal to the Prixy（ommeil in re Jharmis．That case，the jullegement in which is mohappily too brief to be satiofactory and not whithout ambignity，extablinher as a hinding ruke that when war was actually proceeding rivil（＇ourts must not int torlere；hat it cannot be said fo base done more than this．

[^121]and anse intorence drawn from the wording wl the deri-ant




Another riner of impertane wiad dereded hev the prive




 bolt the pheres did not charly show that ho hatd alded in hia
 to removing ally ambignity on this quention time Supremme Comet reversed the derinion as fire as it was Liven be the

 that tho derixion was purdy one siver by the magiotrate at administrator of martial law. There was mo recoded as in
 heen revered. for it was agreed bey the (hed Jobice of the supreme (burt of the ('ape that the supreme ('onrt had wo jurisdietion to deal with or to atlee the jurlerement of martiallaw Cobrts. It shombl be moted that this dereision doces not in ant way invalidate the view expresel in the (ourt below -that the simpreme (omrt conld impuife into mattere done during martial law when the war wats no longer raging-and but for the Indemnity Art pared in the ('apee no doubt the Supreme ('ourt wonld have sxereised freely such powers.
 1906, the eflere of thene deri-ions war elanis: :ern in the allitude adopted bey the (ourt. In the rase of Mesta athed Guedere Ge Rex $^{4}$ the (bome held that they had no juristiction to review the judgement of the magintrate given when arting in his copacity as special administrator moler martial law. even thongh the records shownd that the proceedinge took place in the Martial Latw ('onrt and Magistrate'; Comrt, it
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appearing that they in fact took place in the administration of martial law.

In the case of Kimber v. Colonial Govermment. ${ }^{1}$ which was a claim for the value of a horse which had been commandeered by a trooper under orders for use against the natives. the (ourt examined ehaborately the guestion whether the aet took place under martial law or not, and coming to the conclusion that it did, they held that they had no juriseliction to interfere, but it may be noted that the court was elearly of opinion that it had a full right to inguire into the circumstances and to decide whether or not the case was one which fell within the category.

In the case of Tilonko ${ }^{2}$ the ('ourt was invited to examine into the circumstances in which that native chief was being detained in the ('entral Jail at Pietermaritzhurg. In that case it was alleged that about July 30, 1906, when Natal was not in a state of war, thongh martial law still existed. Tilonko was tried before a court-martial at Pietermaritzburg, found guilty of sedition and public violenee, and since then had been detained in jail. The Commandant of Militia put in an affidavit which stated that his trial and detention were done under martial law and were not justiciable by the Court. 'This view was accepted by Beaumont J., who thought that during the existence of martial law the Court was not at liberty to inquire into the question whether at the time when the act complained of was committed there was existing such a state of war or rebellion as to justify the exercise of the arbitrary powers of martial law in a place admittedly within the areas covered by the Proclamation and after the outbreak of hostilities, though he appeared to think that the Court was entitled to satisfy itself, as had been done in the case of Kimber. that the act complained of was done by virtue of martial law and under the authority. of those in whom the power of martial law was vested. On the other hand. Dove Wilson J., after quoting the affidavit. said that he was not satisfied that a mere statement that martial law was in existence, and that an act done under the
' (1!mii) 2li N. L. R. .i2t.

authority of that martial haw was necessary was sufficient to oust the jurisdietion of the court to inquire into the propriety of the act. At the same time. looking to the faret that the situation in the Colony was in the eyer of the Executive so seroms that matial haw was still in forer. he was not prepared to dispose of the application without giving the respondents an opportmity of tiling a further affidavit stating the grounds on which the necessity arose. With this view Broome J. concurred.

As a matter of tact, no further steps were taken in the matter, as the Indemnity Aret received the roval assent. and it was not thonght neeresary to deal with the matter further in the courts of the Colony:

On the other hand, attempts were made in England to obtain an adjudieation of the Prive (ouncil on the question. In the first place it was sought to being an appeal from the decision of the Comrt Martial in Natal on the question. but, as has been seen abowe the Privy (buncil rejected the attempt ${ }^{\text {t }}$ on the exprese gromad that the ludemnity. Aret was binding upon the Conrt, and that therefore it was quite impossible for at. setion to be taken by the (olonial (iovernment in the matter. It is clear from the judgement that the Privy (omeil were not prepared to deny that it was open to the court to examine the question whether or not a state of war was actmally existing, and the remark of Lord Halsbury shows elearly that the decision in the case of Marais ${ }^{2}$ mast not be deemed to assert that the mere statement that war is raging is sufficient to onst the jurisdiction of the court. It might be noted too that a line of argument which might have been adopted does not sem to have been urged: the Indemmity Art provided that the sentenees should be contirmed and prisoners still detained treated as though they were detaned moder ordinary sentences of the rivil ('onrts. It might have been contended that by making the sentences equivalent to those of the civil Courts a right of appeal from such sentences was bronght into existenere. but it is very improbable that such a contention would have

[^122]received favourable consideration by the ('ourt. A further attempt was made to bring an appeal from the decision of the Supreme Court of Natal cited above, and the Privy ('ouncil naturally held that the Act of Indemnity was conclusive, and to mark their disapproval of bringing the case again in this form condemned the appellant to pay the costs of the Attorney-Gencral of Natal. ${ }^{1}$ From these rases it appears, therefore, fairly certain that the civil Courts still retain power to inquire whether war is raging, but that if they find war is raging they must not exercise their jurisdiction in any matter where the existence of war is urged as a reason for barring their action. This of course leaves them free to take whatever action is necessary when the war is over, and the consciousness of this state of affairs ovidently weighed with the Govermment of Natal in declining to withdraw martial law mutil after the Indemnity Act had received the royal assent. It cannot be said that the situation is very satisfactory, and it may be added that no case has yet disposed of the clear difficulty that a Governor or other officer who takes steps under martial law may be tried in England either minder the statutes of 1699 and 1802, or under the Offences Aguinst the Person Act, 1861, s. 9 of which renders justiciable in the United Kingdom offences of murder or manslanghter wherever committed by a British subject. Fortunately, it .eems clear that this enactment does not give power to demand action under the F'ugitive Offenders Act, 1881, but it is clear also that an act of indemnity conld not be pleaded in bar of an Imperial statute, and there is some force in the protest that was mado by the New Zealand Ministry and Governor in 1869, that the position of a Governor acting on the advice of his responsible ministers in such a case would be mensatisfactory and abnormal. ${ }^{2}$
${ }^{1}$ [1917] A. C. 461.
${ }^{2}$ See Parl. Pap., H. (. 307, 1869, p. 401) : (: 8.3. pp. 33, 191. The lmperial fovermment disposed of the matter somewhat lightly by thinking that the case of Eyre showed that an indemnity art barred action in Bagland. But that applied only to civil hability, not tocriminal liability. and Eyres law costs were very heavy. and hat to be defrayed by a "ommitter of supporter..

## CHAPTER VI

## THE GOVEPNOR AS AN IMPERIAL OFFICER

## § 1. The Gournvor`s Duty under Imperial Instructions

'I'he Governor, besides acting according to law, has to act according to the instruetions of the Seeretaty of State. He is called upon to do so by the instruments which create his office and appoint him Governor, ${ }^{1}$ and he obeys the Secretary of State as the mouthpiece of the crown. It is no longer the practice to issue all instructions in the name of the (hown. as was once the enstom, and the royal name is reserved for the most important formal inst ruments. but the instruction of the secretary of State is issued for the Crown, and is as binding as though conveyed in a formal instrument. It has indeed been argued in Canada that the prerogative cannot bo exereised by anything less than a formal instrיnment $;^{2}$ this was done with reference to the question of the validity of legislation as to the appointment in the ('anadian provinces of Qucen's Counsel, but it is impossible to accept that view as so expressed. The formal intimation is sometimes moro suitable than the informal, but in the absence of law to the contrary the intimation of the royal pleasure under the hand of the Secretary of State is sufficient.

Now these instructions may in many rases place the Governor in opposition to the Ministry of the day, and. as a matter of fact, historically there have been many cases in which this divergence has appeared. The instructions have always been based on some broad Imperial interest which was supposed to require their maintenance, and therefore wherever the Governor has obeyed them and differed from his ministers they have really rested npon Imperial

[^123]gromnds, in the sense that they rested on grounds which the Imperial Government believed it was their duty in the interest of the whole Empire to maintain. Thus, as will be seen latere. for years they thought that it was right that all pardons in the case of riminals should be given on the deliberate judgement of the Covernor. advisedly insisting upen this rule in the cose of local matters as well as Imperial. Or, as will also be seen later, they insisted on Governors reserving corrency Bills, divorce Bills, and Bills for different ial duties along with Bills more clearly of Imperial interest in the narrower sense of the term in which are incheded only matters which affect the Empire independently of the particnlar part concerned. such as matters affecting the control of the lmperial troops in the (olonies and acts prejudicing persons in other parıs of the Empire, or British shipping. 'The whole process of self-government has consisted in a development of the conception of the narrower sense of Imperial interest. and in the recognition of the fact that the government of a colony in its internal affairs is normally not a matter with which the Imperial Government 'an or should interfere : it may be satid in a wider sense that the good or the bad government of a colony is a matter of intense importance to the Empire, but it is of more importance to the colony, and the colony mast be left to deeide whether or not it approves its system. The principle is a somnd and very wise one; the various parts of the Empire must develop internally on their own lines: there must be no effort at a miformity even if that uniformity is much better in theory than the diversity which independence always produces. The real life of the Empire might well fail ent irely Iosurvive art ificial miformity, for the Empire is an organism in which the development of the whole is dependent on the free growth of the several parts.

Of this new sense of Imperial interest there is no trace at all in the old-fashioned letters patent and instrmetions of the C'ape and of Newfoundland. But save in such eases the prerogative of mercy is to be exereised subjeet to ministerial advice according to the letters patent isomed for the

Australian States. New Zealand. He Commonweath. and (anada. In the care of the Tramsual, the Otange River ('olony, and Natal. there is silence as to Imperial interests, and this is followed by the cesse of the Union of south Africa, for in all these cases a more antique model-that of the ('ape -is followed, which throws upon the (iovernor in every rapital ease the duty of dereding on his own deliberate judgement what contrice to take. In the case of Xiatai the Governor was givel with regard tohis acts as suppeme ('hiof a free hand after communcating his views to the Ministry and endeavouring to secure their co-operations. athed in the case of the Tramsaal and the Orange River ('olong it wats arranged in the letters patent ronstituting the representative Legislature and responsible govermment that the (invernom as opposed to the Governor in Conncil should exereise tho functions of supreme or paramount chief. la both rases the control of the natives was deemed an lmperial interest. not on the ground of any spectial duty of the lmperial Government to secure the good government of the natives in these Colonies, hut because the Imperial Govermment is rexponsible for the rest of British South Afriea, and unrest among any set of the natives communicates itself at once to the others, a fact fully appreciated by the Transval and Orange River Golonies when during the Natal native rebellon they sont men to the assistance of the Colonys and a considemation which weighed very heavily with those who agreed to mito the Colonies of South Africa. The matters in which the Governor is required to reserve Bills are now all matters which can iatly be said to hare imperial intrest in the natrower sense : they concern divorer. which 'ris Imperial hearing. as a question of private international law: any present to the Governor himself which is due to the Imperial Govermments control over the exoremer rumemey and differential dut ies: any law containing provisions inconsistent with treaties; any law interfering with the discipline and control of the Imperial troops where there are detachment: still sit mated in the Colonies.and an! extmordinary lat aflecting the prerogative, the shipping of the Empire, or the rights
and properties of persons not residing in the Colony. But what is more important still is the fact that all these provisions may be read as only applying in the cases where they substantially affect the classes of subjects mentioned in their Imperial aspert as affecting people and places outside the Colony in question. You can legislate as you think fit fer yourselves, the lmperial (ioverument in effect says, hut you must not whithout seme check such as reservation legislate for us.

It will be seen that in some cases in executive acts of the ordinary kind, in more in regard to the prorogative of merey, and in quite a number as regards the reservation of Bills, the ( dovernor has no option but to obey his instructions unless he desires to be faithless in his duty to the Imperial (iovernment. The peculiar nature of his position in these cases is reflected in the fact that the Governor is entitled under the Colonial regulations to receive, and, what is more impertant, does receive in each case cre he assents to an Act an assurance frem his law officer, given as such, that the Bill is one which he can properly assent to on legal gremnds, and, where there are any inst ructiens specifying the classes of Bills to be reerved. he adds that there are 110 provisions in the instructions which require reservation. The advice is not giveln by the Premier as Premier, even if he happens: as has heen the case. ${ }^{1}$ te hold the position of Attorney-(ieneral as well; it is given as that of the legal adviser of the (fovernor, as the C'own law officer, as the ('ommonwealth phrase is, and in no other capacity, and in those cases where the Minist, of Justice is alse Attorney-(ieneral he expressly gives the opinion as Attorney-Cieneral. ${ }^{2}$

It will be cenvenient to consider later on the cases in which lmperial interference has been employed in the past and will be used in the future, but the question here arises of

[^124]the position of the Ministry and the Governor when a fovernor, in ohedience to his instructions or what he conceives to be his instrmetions, refinses forecept ministerial advice. In one point the matter is being simplitied : it is 110 longer necessary as it was ceven motil comparatively late in the last centmry, for a fosermor to ate on what he derems to be Imperial gromels withont knowing whether ur not the matter which his ministers intend to do is really one considered by the lmperiad fovernment a cano for serions aldion. In the early days of responsible government, when dispate ches took two months to reach Australia, and there was mo telegraph, the Governor hed an awkinal position: ' he might either neglect Imperial interests, in which case he would probably he recalled. or he might tight with ministers and make the place very meomfortable for himedf by the prewers of setting up an Imperial interes in which the hmperial Government did not happen to be interested. On : ire other hand. if the difficuties are lightened hy bringing the protagonists, the Dominion and the Imporial fionarisatent-. together, there is also the disadrantage that a conveniont buffer for either party has disappeared: the Imperial Government could in the old days dispose of the matter by intimating that the Governor had been too zealous, while the Dominion (iovernment conld assert that they had not objected to the substance hat to the tone of the Goremor's communications to the Ministry.

This question of the relations of the Ministry and the fiovernor is full of constitutional difficulty, but it may be hoped that care will solve it adequately : there is one thing in favour of a satisfactory solution, that it is being realized as a serions question, and that the disappearance of the Colonial Govermments in South Africa leaves the question of the relations of the Mother Comentry and the Dominions to be dealt with by more responsible and prudent heads than can be prodnced by minor Colonies governed by men with

[^125]little experiense of affairs or politieal prudence. Moreover, on the other hand. there is monch less danger of oven the appearance of interference from home when the Dominion addresed is not a minor Colony but a great self-governing entity of the extent of a continent in itself.
'There is, however. one thing elear. that if the principle of full ministerial responsibility is enfored the present ronstitution of the Empire must be abandoned. It is at present still threase that there is one mity the Imperial (iovermment, which speaks for the Empire as a whole and which, in the last resort, must be oboyed if it seems to it neressiary to demand obedience. If it is open to a Dominion (iovernnent to reply to a request for redress to a foreign state with the answer that the Ministry will not aceord it, but will resign, and that no other Ministry will take office, there is at oneo an cond of the unity of the Empire, for the only alternatives before the Eimpire in the long rum are either to acknowledge some common head or to dissolve into fragments which, however united, must cease to be one nation. Of conrse, strictly speaking. the Imperial Parliament might revoke the grant of self-government, but this is quite out of the question : in the height of the Boer war. when the petition was strongly supported. even in the (olony, that the constitution of the ('ape should be suspended. the Imperial Government would not art. but allowed matters to remain in state quo. ${ }^{1}$ nor has Newfondland, even in the financial erisis of 1894 . been deprived of its constitutional independenee.?

On the other hand, if it is the daty of a Dominion not to adopt the policy of a California and defy Imperial obligat ions, it is no less the dinty of the Imperial Government to see that no action of its shall, if it can be helped, run connter to the interests of a Dominion; nor in truthean the Imperial (iovernment bo fairly charged with lack of appreriation of this view. There is therefore every reason to hope that the matter will

[^126]resolve itself gradmally as the growth of power of the Dominions renders them lese hable to the defectes of weakness: the fact that camadia respects the obligations of treaties as rehigionsly as the lmperind Government itwelf is indeed of good anguly for the fature of the Fimpire. ${ }^{1}$

In 1859 the Govermment of ('anarla in a reasoned memorandum raised and diselswed the question whether the Imperian Covernmant conld romtinne in any way for dictate the financial policy of canada without at the same time taking neon itwelf the Fowermment of ('anada. and the robate which was offeetive was not minusified. ${ }^{2}$ ('learly. if a comentry is to be governed the Government must haw in their hands the control of tiseal matters, and the colonial Office itself chaims for itself, in all ('rown Colonies in which it rant, the power over the final financial arrangements of the colons, however ready and willing it may be to ronsent to the Colony exereising full legislative power in wher recgarks. ${ }^{3}$ In $180^{-2}$ there was a dispute in Tasmania as to a parelon given by the Governor on the adviee of his lainistors to Lomisa Hunt, and the Ministry werodefeated on the pluestion of their adviee in both Homses of the Parliament. but they did not rewign beconse they lied that this was not a matter in which the fimal responsibility rested with them, so that they did not regard the votes as really being censures of them. ${ }^{4}$ In 1878 the case of Sir Bartle Frere in the C'ape of Good Hope raised serions diffentties. In that vear the ('ape was in great trouble with two Kaffir wars oa hand, and the Governor wished in his capacity of High Commissioner to coneert operations between the two forces. the Imperial froops on the one hand and the (bomial forcese on the other. But his Ministry, who were anxious to a woid Imprrial
 The same tome pervates the Japanese onmphint a to the fanadian tovern. mond againal British columbian heqivation in ls9s l:0H4
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control. refised to concert measimes, ame instead appointed a member of the Gowermment to take full amd wole charge of the war, white they mode appoint ments and carried ont the eontrol of the forees independently of the (iowernor : the Govermor at late devided to dismise them from office. which he did on Fohruary ㅇ, Isis. and his ate tom was uphed by the fact that the new Ministry under Mr. Spriges sustained an attack on the fovernor in the Honse of Srembly, and were suceesstal by a substantial majority after which matters proceceded smoothly. It will be worn here that the Governor elearly acted. as the Secretary of State suggested in approving his artion. as an Imperial otticer, the High ('ommissioner for south Afriea, entrmated with the duty of considering the matter from the point of view of the whote of the eomentry, nat the Ministrenth, in the opinion of the Secretary of State. in view of this fact have been prepared to yied to his judgement in the matter. In this base the difficutty was disposed of, but not very sutisfactorily. by the fact that the mater resulted like a dismissal on mere intermal gromeds, the Governor tinding now ministers to support his action: but the fact seems to be elear that the Molteno Ministry acted unwioly: if they thomght that the Imperial officer was going tow far their right and duty whs to appeal to the limperial Gowrmment against him, not to take the rave responsibility of comperling the Governor to dismiss them from oflice at a time when the action might have been franght with the gravest dangers to the State.

In 1880 Mr. Todd ${ }^{-}$thas hat down the constitutional doctrine in the case : In all such enses the responsibility of the locai ministers to the local l'arliament would nat urally be limited. They would be responsible for the advice they gave. but could not strietly he held acoountable for their advice not having prevaled, and he procerded to quote the following definition of the sitmation from a dispatell :





## 

 of responsibility in the expreion al the promgative of merey between the fonsernor and the Mininaly: - If it be: the right
 the advice of his ministers, they ramot be helderemosible for
 of it in retiring from the administration of publie aflairs This pesit ion was adopted in full bey the lablanco fiow ornment
 prite with the finsornor as to the aldition of memerse to
 nomber asked for, being moder the impression that it was his duty as an lmperial olficer for mantain the constitntional balance of the llomses contrary to ministerial allvice. a view
 (Bowemor to take on the papers before him. He therelome declined to appoint the twelve members they asked for to redress the balance of delating power in the [pore Honses, not toswamp it, alld offered nine a concersion whel they refused to accept. Ther womld mot. Jowever. resign their olfiees despite the refusal. as the fiowemor wanted them to do. so that the matter might have been derided by a referenee to the constitnencies. hut 1 eferred home to the secretary of State, who in a dispatt $\cdot$ h of September 26 . 1892 . the inmiversary of whieh is now made the Dominior Day of the Dominion, practically told the Governor to aceept ministerial advice wherever the interests of the Tmperial (iowernment were not "oneerned. ${ }^{1}$

In the case of Nillal in 1 mom a arions difference of
 telegraphed that a court martial had ordered the exeremtion of twelve natives ont of twent $\begin{aligned} \\ \text {-four for the monder of certain }\end{aligned}$ police officers. The proceedinge of the fourt had been earefilly reviewed be the fovernor in (ommeil, and being in order and no injustice committed. he hatd areepted the nmanimons ateice of his ministers to carry the senteree into affect. In a reply by telegraph on Marel :2s. .he Serretary

of State said that continned exerentions under martial law were exriting strong opposition, mud as the Imperial (iovernment were retaining troopes in the colony and would have to sanction any act of indemnity, it was greatly to be preferrel that the natives shonld be tried hy a civil ('onrt. Ho adderl: ' I must imprese upon vell neressity of utmost "aution in the matter, and fon shonld suspend exerentions until I have had opportmity of ronsidering your further observatoms." The answer to this telegram was a messago from the Agent-fieneral that the Ministry hat resigned, and the Servetary of State telegraphed to the Governor to ask for full information as to the ciremmstances of the rase. This was supplied by a telegram of Mareh e! ! ' and by a reply of Mareh $30^{2}$ the ineident elosed. The substantial part of the correspondence may he quoted below:-
-Trial of the prisoners took phace in afrerdance with
 act which led to pror-hmation of martial law, Arensed were represented hy eomsel and were allowed to call witnesses. Attorney-General gave it as his opinion that the riremmstances fully justified trial by ('ourt Martial, that the proreedings were in order, and that the accosed had had fair trial. Evidence was conclusive against rondemned men. I went most carefilly into it and prepared préci.s of the evidence against each individual prisoner for information of Executive Conneil.

On receipt of your telegram of yesterday's date, I requested Prime Minister to be good enongh to ordor suspension of executions which had heen fixed for to-morrow pending further instructions from your Lordship. He replied that he regretted that ho conld not anthorize suspension of executions which had heen confimed after fus and deliberate consideration. I disenssed matter with him and explained that this decision would oblige me, as Governor of the Colens. to exercise prerogative of the Crown minder the Lettern Patent and to cancel death warrant wheh I liad igned. Ho quito recognized this bot said that as a most important ('onstitutional question was involved he womld feel whiged

[^127] which ho wrote mo following . Vintte: -




 ill what inthr the prex ill cile umvill|


 Prime Minister is follows:


 State for the coloniere. Eindre.

Tho this he hav replind as follows:-

 made to the semertary of state for the combio.





I trunt that with theredrlitiontal tactoromtaned in thio tele-




## The mply Wa: :-

 as to the procedure ated rivemantances of trial abd tho
 carreful examination of the whede cato and at the evidelle

 in which 1 donin not any mitgating circumatances which maght differentiate then gent were comsidered. has reserved


His Majenty's (Govemment lase it mo time had tho intent in! to interfere with artion ol the Rapmasible (iovernment of Natal or to control (imentor in exemive of prerogit
 all the rircumstances now existing, athe in view presence of British troops in the Colony. His it
 lull and preare information in referente to the fall canes in rogitrd to which ath dit of Indu.nan
ultimately to bo assented to by the l'rown. In the light of the information mow fmonhed His Vajestry (iosermment rerognize that the derision of this grave matter rests in the hamk of your Ministers and vommelf.

The manner in which you have placed the varions asperets of this eftestion before yom Ministers from the 1 tith Nareh omwarels has my approval: hat I regret that yom did met keep me informed by telegraph of the steps yon were taking, or that the telegram anmenmeng the imminent exerotion of these twolve men did not eontain the detaled information Which has now been piven in reply to my telegram of the 2sth. It was this lack of information whirh necerobitaded my telegram. - Elain.

In a diepatelt of April $10^{\prime}$ the Sereretary of Stato eorreeted the error "ommitted by the (awemor in treating the matter as falling umder the royal bint metions as to pardon. He wrote:-

I observe that in your telegram. No. 2 . of loth April. vor -peak of the Exicutive (ommeil having advised yout te exereine "the prerogative of merey'. It serm. dombt fill whether this phase can properiy be appleal in cases of velomedes by comrts martial muder martial haw. and I amd diapom to think that it wombl be more eorrect to say that the bexeretive romeril had adviser vorn mot to combim the death sentences.

Similatly. in yom mimute to Ministers of ! 7 th Marrla you speak of death sentemees (hy comrts matial meler mart ial law) being considered in Exeerntive (ommeil in areordance with Royal Instrmetions . It is chear, however. from the derision of the Jadicial ('ommittere of the Privy ('omeit on the End of April ${ }^{-1}$ that such sentenees canmot be regarded as being on the same footing as senteneespronomened byawfilly. extahlished Comets to which the Reyal Inst metions refor.

In makimg these remarks. I beg that ron will not understand me as in the last degere prestioning the propriety of fomr acting in concmrence with yom Ministers in matters arising ont of the prevert application of martial law.

Meamblike the fiovernment of the rommonwealth of Australia telographed to express the view that interlemener even as to the premgative of pardon with a velf-governing Colony would estable hatagerome preeredent with regard to all the states of the Empire, and appeated for a reemsiderat
tion of the resohtion arrived at by the hmperial fowernment. New Zealamd, with less assimption of superior virtue, fontented itself with asking for information. and said that it felt sure that mo interterene was intended with the powers of a relf-governing Colony. but that wwing to meage and contlieting aleomets it wished to be relieved of anxiets. The facts were brietly telegraphed ont with an a-aratace that there wats no intention of interfereme ber that in view of the presence of british tropst the laprerial (ionserment wero contitled and in daty bomed to obtain fill and preecise informattion as to theserentemers. A disenssion in l'arliament abor took place on April $\because$. hat it camon be said to have alded mueh light to the rase, the dioputants all apparemtly not realizing the exact points at issure. An eftert was mate to indnee the Joticial Committere of the Privy ('ommeil to grant leave to appeal in respert of these sentemeres hat it was decelined for the reasonseriven in the julderments of the ('ourt on April 2 , on whieh day the natives were excedted.

The following is the julgement of the haticial ('ommittee :
Their Lomdships thought it right 10 sit at the earliest mo nent to hear an application which they were informed concemed a matter of life or death. Having heatel it, their Lomelships are mable to advise His Majo-ty to grant this Petition. It is mot ampend from a (ourt. but in substance from an act of the Execotive Evidentle the reponsible fowermment of the Colony ermsider that a serions sithation exists. for Matial haw has been prodatmed. The (ourts of Justice in the 'okong have mot heren asked to interpose; and, apart from purstions as to jurisalietion, any interposition of a judicial chatactor direred whth most imperfere kmowledge both of the danger that hat the atened or maty the aten Satal, and of the facts which eame before the leibmal of wat, would be inemoistent with their Larlihipse dation. Their Lordhipe will therefore hmmhly adviee His Majesty to dismis. the Petitiont.
 widently not suppled adequate information for the Sereretary of State to be able to dee ide whether the case was one for Imperial intervention or mot. It combld simply not be said on the evidence which war then before the Secretary of State
that the natives were or werenot probably gnilty, and in time of apparently profound peace in Sunth Afriea the execution of twelve natives by a sontence of a court martial seomed a strange step. The error of the Governor about the prerogative of mercy was a curious one, though none of his ministers evidently saw it. But it is hard to defend the action of the Natal Govermment, because they must have recognized that the Imperial Govermment had a strong right to intervene, if they thought fit, since there were lmperial forces in the Colony serving the important purpose of keeping the Colony quiet, and available for any omergency if the Colonial forces had suffered a serions defeat. To resign and plunge the Government of Natal into the weakness of an interregnum, or rather to leavo the Govenor without any effective Ministry, for there was no chance then of an alternative Dinistry-was an aetion which camot be felt to be other than ill-advised and preeipitate, and it throws doubt on the arguments in favour of the granting of self-government to the Colony in 1893. At the very least, they should have communicated with the Imperial Government setting out their views. and have waited for a reply before they published to the world the dispute between the Goveruments.

In 1907 a different example occurred: in that year, in view of the hopeless differences with the Government of the United States regarding the rights of American fishermen in the waters of Newfoundland, it was agreed to submit the questions at issue to the arbit rament of the Hague Tribunai. In the meantime a modus vicendi was neccessary, but the loeal Govermment would not consent to it, and it wats found neenssary to override the Covernment by an Order in Council issuec inder an Act of 1819 , which was of course thirteen years before the (iovermment of Newfoundland was formally constituted on a representative basis. The action was strong but neeessary: It was received with great indignation in the Colony, and his opponents tannt the Premier and said he should resign : but Sir Robert Bond maintained that resignation was not the proper attit ude for a Colonial Government, but submission so far as was absolutely inevitable, under protest. ${ }^{1}$ And it may be noted that when in lans the hmperial (iosermment was at variance with the Niaial Govermment, both on the question of the Indemnity Bill aucl the payment of Dimmalnis salary. Which the Natal (iovernment lad stopped but which the Imperial (iovernment on legal advice admitted themselves liable to pay, the Natal (Govermment did not resign."

In connexion with the latter issue it may be interesting to quote remarks of Mr. Evans. M.L.A. of Natal. Who wroto as follows:- ${ }^{3}$

If the Natal (iovermment on the advice of their law ofticers thought the salary should have been suspended or withdrawn. the first thing to do was to obtain the approval of the Secretary of State. If, as was the case. the Secretary of State on the advice of his law offieers ohjected, the Natal Govermment should have eutered a dignified protest and contimed to pay it. Had they done so the dignity of the folony would not have suffered, and all this marest and recrimination, making Natal a hy-wowl among the Britioh people, would have heen avoided. That we aro regarded as hopelessly in the wrong hy the British people is evident by the fact that both pirties in the Honse of ('ommons, those usinally regarded as our friends ats well as those deemed our erities. are at one. Earl ('rewe and Mr. Sy'teltom. Sir (iilbert Parker and colonel Seely. This is the first time I remember this to have happened. and sincely it should give us panse.

Naturally disputes between the Colomial and Imperial Governments are grave and serious things, but the unity of the Empire is more serious still. If there disappears a power which has the theoretie and practical right, subject to the duty of the fullesi consultation, to conclude treaties and to legislate and so forth for the Empire at large. it will be larder to recreate it if the growith of the power of tho Dominions calses them to ask for a Federal Government.

[^128]
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There ares in addition to the dhaties which the (invernor has to perform as the head of the Cobonial (Govermment, many which he has to do as a mandatory of the Lmperial Parliament. Thas. for example, he is empowered to grant eertiti(ates of re-admission to British naturalization, muder s. 8 of the Imperial Naturalizution Act. Ision. Again. hee is given a preat varicty of powers with tegarl to British shipping by so. 84, 90.305 .366 of the Verchant shipping Act, 1894. He is also the anthority for many acts muder the F'ugitire Offemters Act. 1s81. and the Extrudition Acts. 1850 (.. 17) and 1873 ( $: .1$ ). His authority is required if a prosecution of foreigners is taken mader the terms of the Territorial Whaters Jurisdiction Act. Asis. and he is empowered to grant various lieences under the P'arific Istanders Protection Acts. 1872 and 158.5 : he haw dutic- muler on. it. s9, 94, 131, 132 of the Army Aet, Isst, under the C'olomial Corrts of Admiralty At\% $1 \times 90$ (s. 9), and there are a good many other cases. In all these instances there is no doubt that the Governor can legally act withom the adrice of ministers at all, and on the theory of Mr. Higintmatam le should ow act, though that anthority considered that nome of the powers vested in the (doveromor as regards merchant shipping should really be transerved to the (iovemor acting moder Cohnial Acts. But as a matter of fact and of propriets, the fovernor will consult his ministers in every case before acting. For example. it is on ministers that the real burden should fall of deciding whet her or not a fingitive riminal whose ext madition is being asked for should be handed over: no doubt the (iowernor must on imperial ground retain a diseretion, and the mater cean never be our where the Ministry can comstíntionally saly that he must aceept adviecer resign, for he is mot acting in alny direet way as luad of the (fovermment: but still it would te a mistake to imagine that he shonld do suth an act without ministerial advice, illasmuch as he needs ministerial ansistance if there is an. thing to bo dome.

Fortmately there is atmimble authority for this view of
the ease-the reanomed promouncement of the lrive (onmeil of tho Dominion of ('anada in a report which it rembered as to the (iovermment of ('amada, where they exprensly allado to these acts as being rases in wheh the (iovernor-femmal womld ask the adviere of ministers. Moreoter. in a case arising out of the I'reific Lstanders Protertion Actis the Governor of New Zealabl and the Serereary of state were both agreed that the artion of the (iowernor in the matter should be in harmong with the views of ministers and throngh their ageney: and the (iovernan of Vietoria in latos refised on ministerial advice a request for al lierone to reeruit. ${ }^{3}$

In some rases the fovernor is also invested with powers wer other matters deliberately. in order to preserve harmons of atetion betwern his Ministry and some deperdeney. The classieal case is that of the (iovernor of the ('aper who from 187x to 1900 was High (ommissioner for south Africa. until the Boer Wiar a ransferred the erentre of pravity to the Tramsaal, and Lord Mihner as fovernor of the 'Iramsaad and the Orange River (olony became High Commiswioner for somth Afria. After the grant of reponsible government to the Orange River Colony the Govermor of the Tramsiaal Was High (ommissioner. 'The High (ommssionership is now. since 1910, associated with the (iovermor-(ieneralship) of the Union of South Afriea. As High Commessioner the Governor-(ieneral controls the Protertorate of Beehnanaland ${ }^{4}$ and Swaziland ${ }^{5}$ and the Colong of Basutoland, ${ }^{6}$ and is charged with the condnet of Cobonial relations with foreign possessions ins h Africa. There was from 1879 to 1881 a

[^129]High Commiswioner for south-castern Africa, and formerly the Governor of Natal was also a Spectial Commissioner for Zuhnland, which was amexed in 1897 to the Colony. ${ }^{1}$ Moreover, in the early days of the Cape the Covernor as High Commissioner was invested with control over the (rown ('olonies. which were gradually aborbed hy the 'ape': British Kaffratia Gannexed in 186:5 under Act No. 3), Griqualand W'ent (amesed in 1880 mader Act No. 39 of 187i), British Bechmamaland (amnexed in 1895 mider Act No. 41 of 1895)," and minor territories. In all these eases the High Commissigner was expected to manage affairs on his own responsibility, but to accommodate matters so far as possible to the views of his ministers. This was not ahways easy, and for a time Sir H. Robinson had great trouble in carrying on atfairs, and the Rev. J. Mackenzie. who was for some time in charge of Bechmamaland. proposed that the posts of Ciovermor and High Commiswioner should be separated. ${ }^{3}$ The reasons against this proporal were, however, then overwhelming. There was not sufficient work for a High Commissioner who had no other duties: the protectorates were held in the interests of the Cape and Natal, and the adoption of a policy of scparation would have been idte and nseless, the real aim being to secme the interests of the colonies. Or the other hand, amexation was not always wise; for example, Basutoland, after a premature anmexation in 1871 and a rash attempt at disarmament, had to be retransferred to the direct Imperial control in 1884. ${ }^{3}$
${ }^{1}$ Siee Parl. Pap., (: Niss.
*See Parl. I'ap. C. T933.2. I was made a Crown C'olnmy in I88.).
${ }^{3}$ See P'arl. Pap., C'. 488 (1888).

- For the puwers of the High Commissioner befure Union, see Parl. Papl. H. C. 130, 190.5. He still controls the protectorates and Basutoland, and represents the control of the Imperial fiovernment uver Rhodesia, which is administered by the (hartered Company. In his funetions as regards Rhoxlesia he aets on his own responsibility, but in general harmony with the views of his Government in the Union. 'f. the diseussion of the C'melf outrage question in Felruary 1911.


## CHAPTER VII

## THE CABINET SYNTEM IN THE DOMINIONS

## S1. The ('iblafets of the Dominiss

The G Ghinet system in the Colonies is chiefly remarkable beeanse of its clowe resemblance to the kinglish model on which it is based. The conventions of the English constitution are followed in a manner which is almost embarrassing in its closeness of imitation, and the momber of experiments which have been tried is very small, and they have been unimportant in actual result.

There is a certain difference in the mature of the ('abinet : in England the ('abinet is a boly scarcely known in formal law, formed out of the Privy ('ouncil. and besides the C'abinet a Govermment inclades miaisters who have offices and may or may not be Privy Combeillors, but are not of the ('abinet. The Privy Council itself is a body inchuding Cobinet members. ex-Cabinet members, ministers and ex-ministers, who have been called to the board, and many other persons who have been given the rank mainly as a compliment. such as ambassadors, prominent politicians. and distinguished men of various kinds. including occasionally a man like the late Professor Max Müller, who was appointed becanse of his great literary and social qualities.

To this body there is nothing in the Dominions preeisely corresponding. In the first place. in many of the Dominions and states. and in the ('anadian Provinces th - a.fe : simply that the Cabinet is the Executive (ouneil pha and simple: there may be members of that body who are mise elosely in the eonfidence of the Premier than the others. but that is equally true of the Imperial cabinet. and the only determining feature is whether or not they are invited to the formal meetings of the bods, and in both cases the whole ('abinet meets for diseussion. It is the rule in Newfoundland. in the Provinces of Canada, in New South Wales, in South

Anstralia, in Wiotern Anstraliat and in (Quentiand. It akse was the rule in the Transwal, the Orange River Colony, and Natal. In all these eases there wereno distine tions bet ween the Exeentive (ouncil and the rabinet. On the other hand, in the ( $o m m o n w e a l t h$ of Anstratia, in Victorin, and in Tasmania the practice, as also formerts in the rape and now in the Union. is different: the members of the Bexeentive comeil do not resign offier as a normal ruke, though they can be remowed if thought fit by the Gowrmor, and orcasionally this power has been exercised in regard to the two states. and so the Comeil consists of members under summons and members not under smmmons. ${ }^{1}$ Here however, the analogy to the Prisy Cometil is incomplete. for the members of the council under summons atone attend the meetings of the comecil. and there is no parallel to the system in Eingland under which any three councillors may be called upon to make up a quorum for the passing of an Order in Comeil, and where orders are now and then passed when no other members are in attendanee than three officers of the Court. or other members of the Council who neither are nor have heen ministers. Moreover, somet imes Orders in Councilare passed when ministers of both parties happen to be in attendance.
The Privy C'onneil in C'anaria which alone ${ }^{2}$ has the old name-though it is not a tradition but a new name coined in 186i, for the old comeil of the mited Province was ahays called simply the Executive Council-is a little more like in composition to the Privy Council. for bexides exministers it contains, or has contained, one or two persons. speakers of the House of commons who have been placed there for honorary purposes, or like the present High Commiswioner for l'anada. Lord stratheona, who was never a minister in the orduars arnae of the word. Moreover. the Solicitor-(ieneral is a member of the Prive come wil whont bein. " member of the ('abinct. But there again the likeness is 18 . nore than formal. for the members who are not of

[^130]the labinet are not summoned to meteting of the lerive Pomncil noder normal circomstaneer.

It is corions how old and vague ideas of the (iombeil ins a body which can ate as one may revise: in the diseltsion on the case of the prisonce Ihuleon in 'Tasmanial' it Was suggested in the prese that the whole of .... Fixerontiore (oomeil shomal be called lagether to detiberate on the late of the prisoner. bint matmatly that was not dome. Buth it is rather remarkable that in laos there shombl be so distinct an erho of what was a farombe itlea of Sir 12 . Bowen when Governor of Victoria, that the enlarged Privy (ommeil comble perhaps in a case of need be called into being.

Like the l'rivy Comed the Exerentive ('ommeil is momally a creation of the promgative: this is the rase in all the
 and the L'nion of Somth Afriea. In all these cases, as there was being created a new parliamentary bofly which the (rown had no promgative to create it was left right-thongh
 aho be so eroated, and this remark applies ako to the case of the Provinces of Ontario and Quchere, which wero revated ont of the United Province of ('anada by the Britioh North Amerien Act. The Execentive (omncils in the maritime provinces and in British (ohmbia exist in virt te of the prerogative, thongh the Exeentive Commeits lave in varions details heen regalated-not reated-hy Aet since: in the rase of the there provinees an far created by (anada. Vanitoba, Alberta. and saskatchewan. the romeril is created by the Dominion Act esablishing the conztitution and regnlated by provincial Arts. In the cane of New Zealand. Newfomedland, and the Anstalian States. as in the ease of the four Colonies of Sonth Afriea before the ['nions the cexistence of the Comeneil was prowided for in the letters patent, and the

[^131]committee of the Legislative (ouncil of Nital, which drafted the bill fur the Act hy which rexponsible government was given, were informed that torerate the Exerentive ('ommeil was not proper for a colonial A.t. as the matters should be left to the prerogative. It thas happened that the letters patent which confer on the 'lranseal and the Oranere River ('olony self-government saly nothing of the Fixerutive I'onlleil. and the mention of that institution is fremel anty in the ketters patent creating the aftice of Goveromes. (On the other hand. in the cuse of the Dominion. the (inmmonweath, and the Union of Sonth African. the lettors patent are silent as to the Executive ('ummeil altogether.

It has been seen above that ministorial respomsibility to Parliament is very imperfectly secored by law in the Dominions. In canada the result is really not secerred at all. for though in Nova Seotia. New Brmaswick, and British
 as hine, nine, and seven (incroased ta cight by an Act of 1911) respectively. there is nu provision for these ('omerillar being mombers of the Legislature. In Newfoundland the position is the same. In Now South Wiales there is no provision requiring an Execotive (omocillor to be a member of Parlament ; so in Tasmania and Quecosland; in Sontl Anstralia. s. 32 of the C'onstitution Act. 1855-6, provides that eertain persons slall be members of the Executive Comeil ex officin. and must not hold office for more than three months without seat in one or other of the Houses of the Legislature. In Victoria eight members of the Executive Comeil may: have seats in the two Houses, of whom two may be in the

[^132]Upper House, ${ }^{1}$ aud in Western Australia ${ }^{2}$ me of the members of the Executive Commeil must be in the Laginative Comecil. In Now Zeatand there is no axpress provision requiring the members of the Council to be members of L'arliament. ${ }^{3}$ In the Crpe there was no necessity by law for parliamentary tenure of office, but in Natal the period of four months was allowed to the ministers to hocome members of Parliament, bit not more than two were to be members of the Unper House. But there is even then no legal remexion bet een ministers and the Executive Comelil at all. The same rule was adopted in the case of the Transvaul and the Orange River Colony constit utions, aud there again there was no legal connexion between the Executive Commeil and the Ministry other than that provided for in the let ters patent constituting the oftice of fovernor, which told the Governor that the Executive Couneil was to con-ist of ministers and such other persons as he thought fit. In the cine of the Commonwealth, ${ }^{5}$ and of the Union of South Africa, ${ }^{6}$ the tenure of seats in the Execntive Council and the Legislature is reguired of ministers, the time to ohtain a seat being fixed at three months.

As a matter of fact, the practice is for members of the Executive Council to be members of Parliancint: the rule is not absolutely rigid, and there have been a good many cases of its violation. Mr. Airev, in 1907, was a considerable time a minister in Queensland without having a seat; Mr. Kent, Minister of Justice in Newfoundland, held oftice for a time in 1908 without a seat ; in Western Austrahia a minister who had been defeated at the general election in 1908, but whose opponent was being attacked for irregnlarity in the clection, held office while the election petition wats being tried, and his action was energetically defended by the Promier: ${ }^{7}$ In Canada in 1900 the Lieutenat-Governor of British (olumbia entrusted to a Ministry of whom one

${ }^{3}$ New Zealand Act No. 22 of 1908, s. 10 . provides that the paid ministers are to bo Executive Councillors. Ato No. 14 of 1893, s. 9. -63 © 64 Vict. c. 1:, Cunst. s. $64 . \quad$ - 9 Edw. VII. c. 9, s. 14.
${ }^{2}$ Western Anstraliat Paficimentary Ditutio, iMOS, 1. 50. 1200
only lual a seat in the last begislature the condnet of the Government for a period of several monthe, but he was dismissed by the bominion (iuvernment for himaction, which cannot therefore be segarded a* a happy precedent for others to follow. In his defence be quoted several other casen of such happenings, as, for example, two case in Ontario in 1spas. In the Dominime elections of bores, Mr. Templeman, theough defeated in Britioh Cohnmbia, remaned a minister mutil he secured re-edection in $1: n 9$.

The Dominions atill in mome degree retain the in onvenient und stupid practice of requiring ministers after accepting office to vacente their sats. This is still the rule in ('anada, where all ministers who aceept departmental office mu- bor re elected.: This does not. however, apply to case- whe. there has been a new Cabinet formed owing to the doat! in rexignation of the Premier, ${ }^{3}$ that only if a new (iownaman has been instated in its phace : in that case the rexignation has become complete, and however short the temme of offico by the new (iovernment the old Ninist ry must face re-election. This was not once the case, if new offiees were accepted within a month : hence the famons domble slanfle of $18 \bar{x}^{x}$ in Canada. Thus on the death of Sir John Macdomald in 1891 Mr. Abbott formed a new (iovernment, and all the ohd members retained their seats and places. The same procednre was followed in 1894. on the death of Sir John Thompson at Windsor on December 13. when again the ministers did not need to seek re-election: on the other hand, the ministers who changed their offices, Sir ('? 'Tapper, Messrs. Bowell and Ives, took the oath of office of their new departments. In the case of all the Comadian Provincen it is preeitically enacted that acceptance of office by a member of the legishatare vacates a seat. Dut re-clection is allowed. and is not necessary if the monister in question is re-appointed after rexignation within a month, muloss a new Ministry haw been formed in the interim. Appointment to the Legislature
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of a ministar of combe does bot vacato a meat. or the acereptanere al athother pont. of of twe prortfoliow.

Fiarehere blu practice saries; for example, in Now Sunth





 states it remains in torere. hat it mas be haped that it will mot be perpethated. It has indeed been arghod hy. Mr. Toddy that it temde to -tability of govermment by diminishing the ot her-
 in thatave of the (ommonmealth thero may be something in this. Gut there is really mothing rese mbitantial in faveur of as tronblesome and an expensive a ernate of andion.
 The rules as tor the eabinet are numb the sallor as its Englant; the Prime Misias of chooses his colleagnes, exerept in the rase of Lalmatu Dat:ic.... in !mbial. Where in Mr. Fisher's
 toselect the Min P: Prime Minister to aswignthe
 the wishes of thr, $\quad . \quad$..... nis predecesor, Mr. Wiatson, selected his own: : 5 : : ...ignation of the Premier
 alled no formal handinge ate of the otfiees takes place, the Government is decmed to hate resigned en bloc motil the new





 ment in Western Ausi riblia in 1910; Parlamerntary Debates, 1910, p. 8:2. Recelection is still required in Vieloniai also.

[^134]Premier asks them to stay on ; in accordance with this rule Sir N. Moore of Western Australia offered the resignation of himself and colleagues to the Governor, though the Governor decided only to aceept his personal rosignation, a course which, if convenient and corrosponding to facts, was scarcely in accordance with the established practice, for it left the ministors in full possession of their places before the Premier who took the place of Sir N. Nocre had an opportunity of deciding what ministers ho should keep, and it would seem desirable to follow the strict course of accepting the resignations en bloc, and then allowing the members to hold on until the now Premier has decided on his poltey. This avoids the necessity of asking a minister whose presence is not desired to resign his office instead of merely not asking him to remain in office.

It may he doubted whether a Promier in the Dominions has the full control over the Ministry which a Promier in the United Kingdom possesses. Thus in 1908 the Promior of Victoria was noted with some sumprise to have laid down the rule that his colleagnes should dischos measares with him first of all, and obtain his approval hefore they brought them before the public as being his Governmentis views. In the same year one of his colloagnes was the repeated object of attack hy a newspaper which professed itedf as a strong supporter of the Premier. In the case of the Commonwealth Parliament there was during the illuess of the Prime Minister iin 1907 an open tight between the Treasurer and the Minister for Trade, which ended in the retirenent of the former, though

For an older catere of dispegatd of the rule in 1847, see lopee, Sir John

 Cealand. on Mr. Ballance's death in 1893, all the ministers resigned and at
 "its formed on dune $2 l$ under Mr. (now sir 1 :) Hall fones, and on Augnst is he resigned and sir J. Wiad formed a Government, vally only a change ot D'remier. In september 18i6, after a short interval, the At kinson Ministry
 revirnation in (Buecensland all the Ministers resigned. See also Anson. Late of the Cimstitution, ${ }^{3}$ 11. i. $1: 20$.
his retiroment seems to have been thought generally to have been unnecessary, as far as eonstitutional practice went. Moreover his suceessor proceeded at once to repudiate the arrangements made by his predecessor for settling the eternal quesion of the finances of the ('ommonwealth, and adopted and proposed a now sheme of his own, a procoeding which could hardly happen in the United Kingdom, where the Prime Ministor would have arecped the responsibility for the settement with the states, and would not have allowed the promise of the Ministry to bo violated by the change in its personnel.' In 1910 the Minister for Mines in Victoria openly stated that he had fought the cabinet over the sale of coal from the state mines to the publie, and had won his way.

There is undoubtedly in the colonies a eertain lack of definite coherence and loyalty among ministers, hut there are exceptions: in the Dominion ${ }^{2}$ of Canadis the personality of Sir John Macdonatd and of Sir Wilfrid Laurier won for them a position of command simitar to that attained by the Prime Ministor in the United Kingdom. In New Zealand and Newfondland Mr. Seddon and Sir J. Wind and Sir R. Bond and Sir F. Morris have been able to create Giovernments essentially dependent on themselves, but these rases are exceptional, and the rule of Sir R. Bond was finally overthrown by dissension from within, one of his chief lientenants having come to the eonclusion that it was impossible for Sir R. Bond and hinself to co-operate in one Ministry. 'Tho matter of dispute was corions: it took its origin in regard to an order to increase the pay of men working on the roats. for which the Premier clamed that he must ohtain the eredit. while sir li. Morris chamed that it was his act-cearly a declaration of revolt, wince all the arts of the ('abinet muat he regarded as approved and allowed by the Prime Minister.
' 'f. reporta of the L'terniers' (onterence in Briabane of May 190\%. nhd



- In Ont.rio Sir O. Nowat held ofliet: in Promier for twenty tond vears. Mr. MelBride in British Cohmmbia, Mr Roblin bin Manitohb, and Mr. Fielding in Nuva sentia are uther examples.


## §3. The Composition of the Dominion Chbinets;

The size of C'abinets differs considerably from Inominion to Deminion. and in the Dommions there prevalis a someWhat rarions practice of having homoraty mini-pers, who are full members of the (abinet in the hisual sense of the word. bint who do not hold any office with emohments attached thereto. They are available not mevely to conduct governmental business in dither Honse in which they may sit. But they can also be used to do work in the absence or illness of a minister. ${ }^{1}$ on tore as whigs. The institation is eleaty a convenient onfe. and its use is increasing, not decreasing ; it must be remenn' ared that the great distances in the Dominions are pirtls the cause : a minister who visits an ontlying part of the Dominion or state may have lomg distances to go and be away for some days as a matter of conrse in the midde of the session and an ordmary minister probably extremely bisy himself. has no time io attend to the chitien of another office. It mos ako bo remembered that a minister in the Dominions has no aswistance in Parliament cormeponding to the Secretaries and UnderSoretarien of the gersernmental offices in England. The plan was oried in Canade in lisk?, when Parliament provided for a department of trarat and eommero presided over by a minister of trabe having contred and superviwen of the departmemts of rustoms and intand resemme The ebject of this was to appoint a cent moller of crastoms-and whe of intand
 "absenet, and who shomld is tk under the anpervise of the Minister of Trate The propowe wa- admoted is wir




elfect. and the new eontrollers were re-elected to their seatia after appointment in the usual way. In Isestheir position was entirely changed hy their bring callod to the ( Gabinet instead of being left as sithorlinate mini-pere. a "hange which was not contemplated by the Act ereatime tho offere nor intended hy the framer of the Act. ${ }^{1}$ The pa mit wa- that on the formation of the fowernment of Sir filtid Laturier
 arrangement-as they exivied befone 1xat. the two depart-
 and their salaries were raised in lases the the a-mal figure of ministerial salaries." On the other hane another expert-
 fieneral, then created, is filled by inn nuthere whe may at in Parliament. but who is not a member oft tho 1 (amanet. It ihis duty to assi-t the Minister of Jump ane the the consel worh of his department. In New Zonland the flomen edieneral may or may not he a member ni the Fex mixe fancil. aml
 Victorian Attorney-fieneral was in the ( ionernment. hut hot
 of the ('ape had no seat in I'arlatment
 consisted of thirteen members. Who. as: was desirahle in the case of a Federal ('ahinet. ${ }^{4}$ consi=fel of five membrr- form Ontario. four from Quehere cone being a reprewthatise of the English part of the pepmlation). (wo from Novil siontial. and two from New Bran-wick. The ministers way Mminter of Justice and Attornce-fieneral Xinistar of Mihtr. Mminere of ('ustoms. Minster of Fimaner. Sinister of Puhlar Wi, Whe, Manister of Inland Kevente. Minivor of Marime and Fixheries. Postmaster-fieneral. Minister of Lerimblare. Sompary of
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State of Canada. Receiver-fieneral. Secretary of State for the Provinces. and President of the Council. the later post being akin to the post of Prevident of the Council in England, in that it was mainly an honorary ministry. but was no, without portfolio. In 1873. on the coming into office of the Mackenzic Government, there were appointed fourteen ministers. two without portfolio. Mr. Blake and Mr. R. W. Scott. Subsequently the number was reduced to thirteen, but one representative was given to Prince Edward Island, which joined the Dominion in 1873. In 1878 the Speaker of the Senate received a call to the Prisy ('omeil, though without portfolio. ${ }^{1}$ and in 1880, when ho accepted the Lieutenant-Governorship of Now Brunswick. his suecessor in the chair was so appointed." In 1873 the office of Secretary of State for the Provinces was abolished, and a new Miaistry of the Interior created to deal with Indian affairs. Dominion lands. and some other matters formerly entrusted to the Secretary of State for Canada. The Mininter of the Interior is also responsible for the geological surver of Canada, which is presided over by an officer of high technical quatifieations. In 1892 immigration was transferred from the Ministry of Agriculture to the Ministry of the Interior. but the Minister of the Interior still remains without the control of eopyrights, patents, and trade marks, which the Minister of Agriculture retains in riew of their close connexion with the subjectmatter of his office. The Secretary of state for Canada retains the work connected with the provinces. and the preservation of reeords. miscellaneous correspondence, and the registration of instrument: of summons, proclamations. commissions, letters patent, writs, and other documents assed under the Great Seal and requiring to be registered. He in also in charge of the department of public printing and atationery organized in 1886. In 1909 a new office was created under the Serretary of State, that of Under-Secretary of State fon External Affairs, to deal with the many important matleys in wheh 'upasta was interested affecting her extermal relat os, with foneign powers and especially of course her

[^135]relations with the United States of America. ${ }^{1}$ This depart ment resembles. morower. the corresponding chepartment in the commonwealth, for like that department it deals with the correspondence passing with the Socretary of State for the colonies as well a with matters of external iuterest properly so ralled. In $1 \times 79$ the office of RecoirerGeneral was abolished and the dinties assigned to the finance ministor. At the same time the departnent of publie works was divided into two separate departments presided over by two ministers, one designated Minister of Railways and C'anals, and the other Minister of Public Works. The changes in the department were rendered necessary by the constitution of the Canadian Paeific Railway. which threw much responsibility y pon the departments of the Government. In 1884 the Ministry of Marine and Fisheries was divided into two subsections of marine and fisheries administered by one minister and two deputies, but the arrangement was revoked in 1892, to be again restored in a different form in 1910, when the development of the Cinnadian navy required the redivision of the ministry under two deputy heads, with powers oxtending, the one over marine and fisheries, the other over the new navy. Moreover. it was decided in 1909 to create a Minister of Labour as an independent branch of the (iovernment: The Ministry thus in 1911 consisted of the Prime Minister, who was President of the Privy Council. the Minister of Trade and Commerer, the Secretary of State. the Minister of Militia and Defence the Minister of Aurirulture. the Minister of Finance. the Minister of ('ustoms the Minister of. Jistice. the Minister of Inland Revenue and of Mines, the Minizter of Railway and lamaks the Minister of Sarine and Fisheries and of the Naval Serviee. the Minister of Public Works. the Minister of the Interior, the Postmaster-General. the Minister of Laborr, and the Solicitor-Cieneral, a member of the Ministry but not of the rabinet.

[^136]It would be tedions to g!ve in detail the changes of ministerial offices in the provinces. In Ontario there are now, in 1911, in addition to the Prumier, who is President of the Commeil, the Attorney-Gencral. Minister of Eduration, Minister of Public Works, Minster of Lande, Forests und Mines, Secretary. Treasurer, Minister of Agriculture, and three ministers without portfolio. In that province the ministerial salary is six thousand dollars, the Premier reeeiving nine thousand, which eompares With seven thousand dollars in C'anada for ministers, where since 1905 political pensions: lave been provided and a salary for the leader of the Opposition, Mr. Borden. In Quebee there is a Premier and Attome ${ }^{-}$-(iencral. ${ }^{1}$ Minister of Lands and Forests, Provincial Treasurer, Minister of Agrieulture. Minister of Public Works and Labour. Provincial Secretary, Minister of Colonization, Mines, and Fisheries, and two ministers without portfolio. The ministerial salary is six thousant dollars. In Nova Scotia the number of the Executive Council is fixed at nine., of whom only three have portfolios with salaries of five thonsand dollars a year. and an additional thonsand for the Premier : these are the Premier and Provincial Secretary. Attorner(icneral, and C'ommissioner of Mines and Public Works. In New Brunswick, where nine is the maximum. the Premier is Attorney-feneral. and there are the Provincial Seeretary and Reeciver-General. Surveror-fieneral. Chief Commiswioner of Publir Works, (ommissioner for Agriculture. Presideat of the 'ouncil. and Solicitor-femeral. of whom the President is unpaid. and the salaries of the rest vary from two thomsand one hundred to seventeren hundred dollars, with twelve hundred for the Solicitor-fenemal. In Manitoha the President of the Comali, who is Premier. holds also the posts of Minister of Agriculture and Lmmigration. ('ommiswioner of Railways, and Commissioner of Provincial Lands: thereare also a Provincial Treasurer, a Minister of Public Work, ats Attorneg-(encmal. a Prowincial Serretary and a Mmictipal

[^137]('ommissioner and Minister of Education. In British (olumbia there are !esitles the Premior, who is Minister of Mines, a Minister of Fimance and Agricnltmre, an AttorneyCencral. a Provincial secereary who is Minister also of Fducation and Immigratien, a Chief ('ommissioner of Lands, a Minister of Works, and a Prevident of the ('ouncil, and since 1911 a Minister for Rablways. The ministerial salary is five thonsand dollars, and there mand by haw be not more than seven (since 1911 right) members of the Fxecotive Conneil, of whon six (meven since 1 1月11) only (all be paid salaries, ${ }^{1}$ In Prince Edward Ishant there is a Premier who is Attorney-Gencral, a Provincial Secretary who is Treasmrer and Commissioner of Agricolthre and a Commissioner of Publie Works, while there are five or six members also withont portfolio. The paid members receive twelve handred elothars a vear. In Siskatehewan the Exeentive ('ommeil consists of a Premier who is President of the Comed and Minister of Poblic. Works, a Prowincial Preasmrer who is Minister of Education and Minister of Raihays, 'Relegraphs and 'Telephones, an Attomer-(iencral. a Minister of Agricnlture and Provincial Secretary, and a Minister of Mmiojpal Affairs. the salary being five thonsand dollars with an extra thousand for the Premier. In Alberta again the Premier rombines the portfolion of Premer. Prevident of ('mumeil. Minister of Poblic Works and I'ovincial Treasurer, and there are also an Attorney-(ieneral and Minister of Education. a Dinister of Agriculture and a Provincial Sieretary, the salaries being as in saskatehewan. It will be seen how ruriousty the division of dutes varies and how great in the cases of Prince Edward L-land and in Nowa seotia is the contingent of mpaid member - without port folio. a survial in hoth eases from the harge ant amorphoms rommols period preceding ra-pumathe government.

In Xewfomdland the same phenomernon is to be seen: the ministers inclucte the leremier. Who from lame to bams Das Commal Sitretary an Atlomer-fiemeral and Minister of

of Agriculture and Mines, toget her with fome mombers withont portfolio. It was altered by the accession to office of Sir E. Morris, who did not take the office of Colonial Secretary, but remained without portfolio.

In the case of the ('ommonweath the proclamation of the Commonwealth twok offece on January I, 1901. The Governor-General. who had arrived, was ready with a Ministry, having first entrusted sir William Leyne, and then, on his faihre, Mr. Barton. with the duty of forming a Ministry, and so on the taking of the oaths he was prepared to form his Executive ('onncil, wheroupon he proceeded, with their advice, to declare meder the Act that the following ministries should be establishod, those of External Affairs, Attorney-Goneral. Home Aliairs. Treasury. Trade and C'ustoms. Defence, and the Post master-fieneral. Bexides there were two honorary ministers, of whom one bore the title of Vice-President of the Execntive ''ouncil, and was the leader of the Government in the Upper House.' The depart ments of enstoms and excise in the states were on January 1 transferred under the Act to the Commonwesalth, and under proclamations of February 14 and 2is the departments of posts and of defence were transferred with effeet from March 1. The department of external affairs was occupiod in the first place by the Prime Minister, Sir E. Barton. and it included more than might otherwise have been ascribed to the post, namely, immigration and emigration, influx of criminals, and the relations with England, the state Governors: and the Governor-General, the Execntive ('ouncil, and the officers of Parliament. It also deals with the relations of Australia and Papua, the High Commissioner in England. an office only constituted in 1909 after a long period of inadequate representation in this country, and such matters as the relations of Anstralia and the islands in the Pacific. especially in connexion with mail services, and sine 1910 the control of the Northern Territoy. The department has not been held in Labour (iovernments by the Prime

[^138]Minister, who has in all thres been Treasurer, and in the Ministry of 1909 Mr. Denkin held no portítio.

The Attorney-(ieneral is entristed with the condmel of the legal business of the ('ommonweahh, and his department contains the legal draftemen. 'The 'Treasurer controls the financial business of the Govermment, and the audit department is subject lo lis general supervision, thongh the Auditor is given an independent position, and emmot he removed oxcept on addresses from the two llouses of Parliament. The Minister for Home Alfains is entrasted with all electoral matters and with the control of the ('ommonwealth site (Act No. 23 of 1907 and $\mathcal{X}$ ). 2is of 1910 ), but the administration oi the Inealid amel Old Alye I'nsion Acts falls mader the control of the 'Treasmry: The Ministry for Gistoms includes all customs and excise matters and other important Acts dealing with trade. The Minister for Defonce is charged with military and natral defonees, and the Postmaster-General deal- with postal, telegraphice, and telophone matters. There have been from the outset ministers whthout portiolio, two in I9n1. two in lions. and IWo in 1909, and theo in 19t0, lout on mo oreation except in the Dimistry of Jome 1909-April 19t1, when Mr. Deakin Was Prime Dinister without portfolio, hal a mimeter on thet importance been without office. Care hats heen taken to divide the minnistries between staten no as, as far ats po-sible, to ers are representation of all the wates, or four or five all least, in the (iovermment. 1 sum of $\mathcal{E}: 0,000$ is provided in The Constitution for the salamien of mish ters. the distribution


Lir New south Wiales the riseliane ('maneil, berides the Guvernor as l'resident (thec iovernom herp-: President in all the statesand in the ( ommonnealth) incluciothe li, spesident, a minister in the Legislative (innari! withod irgtolio, the
 and Mimister of Justico, Colonial seerete"y, who is diey

[^139]Minister for Agrienlture in Mr. MeGowen's Ministry, the Colonial Tremmere (Premier io Mr. Me (dowen's Ministry), who is also Dinister for Railways, the serretary for Mines, the Secretary for Public Works, the sereretary for Lands, the Minister of Public Instruction. Who is nlow. Minister for Labon" and Industry and other minitere without portfolio. Salaries of the ministers range from E1. s -1 downwards, and the minist rien are very varionsly monper from time to time. In $19+1$ there is but one member in the Lpper House.

III Victoria the Executive Council includen. bevides oxministers who are still formatly members, the l'remier, now Chiof Secretary and Minister for Labour. the Atome - General and Solicitor-General, the Treasurer the Minister of Mines and Forests, the Minister of Education and Railways, the Minister of Public Works nod Health, the Minister of Water Supply and Agriculture, the Minister of Lands, and normally two to.
 extraty for the Premier. There are wo ministers in the Upper House.

In Quensland the Executive Council includes the VieePresedent, whe in 1911 is Premicer and Chiof seceetary. the Semetary wor Public. Instruction and for Public Work:. the Attornev-(ieneral. the seeretary for Public Lathds, the Treasurer, the secretary for Asticulture and Railways, the
 a vear. with esinn wita for the Premier, and the leader of the Opposition i- paid a salary of tena in addition to his parliamentary pay. There ate tun ministers in the (onncil.

In south Alntralial the Exerntive (bondil includers, hesidethe (bovermer the chice thatice who in ex ufficior requated an a member. the Premier, whoin Commisesoner of Public When athe Minimer of Mines, the Chef secretars the Attorneydenerat, the Preasurer and Commiswioner of 'rown Lamdand Lmmigration. the Fi.hister of Education, and the Mimster of Industry and Agriculture. There are now two. but namilly only bue minister in the Upper Honse. The surender of the Northern Territory mav render necessary anuther change of portfolios. The number of ministers: i -
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the Lower ; one (later two) in the Western Australia Upper House, six in the Lower ; one in the Tasmania Upper House, three in the Lower. But the numbers hardly show the extent to which the Upper House is considered inferior, because of the members in the Upper House one at least is merely an honorary minister, so that the Upper House has not the same control of the Government ats the Lower House has. As a result the Upper House have continually contended that the number of ministers therein should he increased, and as continually nothing, or at any rate nothing sub)stantial, has bcen done to meet their wishes. Moreover, the Labour Ministry of South Australia declined in 1910 to introduce any business in the Upper House, with the result that that body had to content itself with dealing with Bills already passed by the Lower House ; so too the Labour Ministry in Now South Wales in 1910-11.

In the case of New Zealand the Executive Council contains, besides the Governor as President, the Prime Minister, who is also Minister of Finance, Postmaster-General, Minister of Telegraphs, Minister of Defence, and Minister of Lands and Commissioner of State Forests, the Minister for Railways, who is also Minister of Marine and of Labour, the Native Minister, the Attorney-General and Minister of Justice, the Minister of Education, who is Minister of Linmigration and Minister of Customs, the Minister of Public Works and Minister of Mines, the Minister of Industries and Commerce, who is also Ninister in charge of Tourists and Health Resorts and Minister of Agriculture, and the Minister of Internal Affairs, who is the Minister of Publie Health, besides a minister without portfolio representing the native race. This confusion of portfolios is due to a desire to diminish the expenditure of the Government. The allotment of ministers to the Upper House has caused much dissatisfaction; in 1876 the number was reduced to one, and an attempt of the Council in 1878 to pass a Bill increasing the number to two was frustrated by the attitude of the Lower House, but the number-one-is still deemed inadequate by the Council.
The Cape Ministry before the Uaion consisted of the Premier
and 'Treasurer, the Minister of Public Works, Minister of Agrieulture, Colonial Sectetary, Attorney-Gencal, and two ministers without nortfolio, while all ex-ministers were included in the Exocutive Comeril. In Natal the Prime Minister was also Minister for Native Affairs, and there were the Colonial Seeretary and Minister of Educarion, Minister of Agriculture and Minister of Defence, Attorney-Generai, Minister for Railways and Harbours, and Treasurer, no honorary minister being appointed, and every minister being a member of the Legislative Assembly. In the Cape there was only one honorary minister in the Council. In the Transvaal there wis the Prime Minister, who was Minister of Agriculture; Colonial Secretary, AttorneyGeneral and Minister of Mines, Colonial 'Treasurer, Minister of Lands and Minister for Native Affairs, and Minister of Public Works. In the Orange River Colony the Prime Minister was Colonial Secretary ; the other ministers wero the Attorney-General, Colonial Treamurer, Minister of Agriculture, and Commissioner of Public Works, Lands, and Mines. In both cases not a single nember of the Ministry sat in the Upper House, and there were no honorary ministers.
In the Union after its constitution on May 31, 1910, ten ministries were established, uamely agrieulture; the interior, mines, and defence; native affairs; education; finance; lands; publie works, posts, and telegraphs; railways and harbours; justiee; commerce and industries. The ministries; were divided among the provinces so that four fell to the Cape, three including the Prime Ministry and the Treasury, two of the most important, to the Transvaal, two to Natal, and two to the Orange River Colony, one being an honorary ministry, making up a Cabinct of eleven. On presenting themselves for election one of the Natal ministers, the exPremier, Mr. Moor, who had been given the pottfolio of commeree, failed to secure election. but he was appointed a senator,' another ministerial appoint ment being made. 'The salaries are $£ 3000$, with $£ 4000$ for the Premicr.

[^140]
## \& I. Insribility of bomivion Ministrats

For the greater part Colonial Ministries are not of prolonged duration. and indecd in some cases the instability has been almost ludicrous: Ministry after Ministry comos into office and disappears in the course of a few weeks or months. In ('anada things have been very different in this regard from the state of affairs in the commonwealth of Anstralia. In the Dominion, the Ministry formed by Sir John Macedonald ${ }^{1}$ in 186\% lasted until 1873. when the seandal in: connexion with the Pacific Railway alienated the country and brought Mr. Mackenzie's Ministry into office. 'That Ministry again lost the support of the conntry in 1878 on the question of tariff policy, and from 1878 to 1896 ('onservative (iovernments remained in office. first under the leadership of Sir John Macdonald, then on his death in 1891 under that of Mr. (later Sir J.) Abhott. then under Sir John Thompson. and on his death in 1894 minder Sir Mackenzie Bowell, and finally, for a very brief period at the end, after the uncoremonions onsting of Sir M. Bowell, under Sir Charles Thpper. In 1896 the differences between the Federal Government and Manitoba added to a change in the viows of Quebec, ${ }^{2}$ secured the return of the Liberals, who have since held office, and even in 1908 their strength was not much weakened despite the difficulties in British Columbia on account of Asiatic exclusion, and the scandals raised by discoveries which showed that the standard of public morality in regard to contracts and patronage in Canada was not as high as it should have been. ${ }^{3}$

[^141]In the provinces things hawe becon different, and Ministric.
 stable, but even there of recent vears matters have rhangel.
 and Mr. MrelBride's Ministry, which replated the chaos of polities on personal gromeds by the party system. has maintained itself since 190!3 in owerwhelming strength in 1907 and 1910 in British ('ohmbia: from $18: 1$ to lown tho Liberak muder Sir a. Mowat and (obonel Ross muled Ontario. bint since then the conservatives meder the lead of Sir , lames Whituey in Ontario. and of Mr. Roblin since 1901 in Man, Dotan, have held office for eonsiderable periods, while the ('onservative Opposition in Quebee is still very wat. thongh it held office for a period after Mr. Mercieres dismissal by Mr. Angers in 1891 . On the other hand. in 190 s $^{1}$ the Conservatives overthrew the Liberal reign in New Brunswick, wheh hart lasted since 1883. There has abready been one change of Premier in Abberta, ant Prince Edward lshath has in the past wavered a good deal.2 Saskutchowan, sinceitserention, has remained Liberal.

In Newfomdland, after soveral elanges, Nir R. Bomd's Ministry lasted from 1900 to 1909, and the next Ministry, of Sir E. Morris, appears to ho firmly seated in office.

In the case of the Commonwealth, changes have bern invessant since 1901. The first Ministry. that of Sir Edward Barton, came to an end through his resignation in 19n:3, but the Government to which Mr. Deakin sneceeded was in effect unchanged in polities. It was overthrown in 1904 on the question of the inclusion of railway servants in the: Conciliation and Arbitration Aet by a coalition bet ween the Labour party and "r Reid's party. ${ }^{3}$ The Labour Ministry Which followed 0 asted from April 25 to Angust 17, 1904,

[^142]when it was owerthrown hy a coalition betwere Mr. Reides party and that of Mr. Deakin. who proceoderl to form tho Reid-DcLean administration, which lasted fiom August 18 , 1904, to July 4. 1905. when on the meeting of Parlianent it was werthrovin by a coalition of Labour and Mr. Deakin's party. The new administration of Mr. Deakin lasted till Nowember $1: 1908$, hut the retirement of Nir J. Forrest on July 29,1907 , cansed a considerable change in the constitution and attitude of the Ministry. Sir. W'. Lexne. his successor as 'Jreasmrer, heing mach more rlosely in touch with dabonr ideais. Mr. Deakins administ ration was overthrown by the desertion, mainly on tactical gromeds in view of the general election in 1910, of the Lahour party, which formed a Government lasting from November 13, 1908, until June 2,1909 , when it was overthrown on the opening of Parliament on the question of naval assistance to the United Kingdom. A new administration was then formed by Mr. Deakili aud Mr. Cook, who lad taken command of Mr. Reid's party, Mr. Reid having resigned sometime previouslyin order to facilitate a coalition. Mr. Reid was appointed High Commissioner for the 'ommonwealth in England. but the combined party was defeated decisively at the general clection in April 1910, and a Labour administration mnder Mr. Fisher took ofice with, for the first time in the Commonwealth, absolute majorities in both Honses of Parliament.

In the case of the states there has been the same lack of political continuity, and the average life of a Government has been extremely short. There have been thirty-four Ministries in New South Waies sinee 1856, twenty-six in Queensland since 1859, forty-one in South Australia since 1856, thirtythree in Victoria, and twenty-seven in Tasmania. The average duration of a Ministry has thus been verv short, save in a fow cases of coalitions, and in some cases conically so ; thus in 1899 Mr. V. L. Solonion was Premier of Sointh Anstralia from December 1 to 10 only, and Mr. Earle's Goverument an Tasmania in 1910 rivalled Mr. Solomon's in brecity. The rise of Labour as an organized force resulted in coalitions,
' P'arliamentury Dibutes linhf. p. 1260.
and the few long Ninistries, w..ich include those of Wr. Gillies with Mr. Deakin in Victoria from 18sti-90, of Mr. Reid in New South Wales from 1894 to 1809, which was mpset by an indiseretion of the Premier, of Sir G. Thener in Victoria from 1894 to 1899 , and from $190+$ to 1908 of Sir T. Bent, whose personal bhnders again terminated the regime. In Queensland since 1903 the party led first by Mr. (now Sir A.) Morgan, sinco 1906 by Mr. Kidston and now by Mr. Denham, has held office with the exception of a brief brea $k$ in November 19, 1907, to February 18, 1908, when their opponents were in a minority in the House and the country. but since 1000 the Government has rested on a ('onservative alliance, reminiscent of the alliance of Sirs. Firiffith and Sir 'I'. MeJlwraith in 1890 . In South Anstralia, since the Liberal achninistration of Mr: Jenkins from 1901 to 100: , the Labour Party havo helat office with a whort break after Mr. Price's death. When Mr. Peake led a party which ultimately accepted a ( onservative alliance. In Western Anstralia governments have of late been short-lived mainly for personal reasons, and the parties aro now fairly evenly divided between the Liberals and Labour, but Sir N. Moore's retirement in 1910 has weakened his side, which on a vote of censure had only a majority of one vote. In Tasmania, since the Iong Ministry of Sir E. Braddon from 1894 to 1890 all has been in flux, and the Government still is very feeble. Sir E. Lewis held office from 1890-1903, and is now again in power, Labour being definitely in a minority. In New Zealand the Liberal party has been in office since 1891, first un ler Mr. Ballance (1891-3). then under Mr. Seddon (1803-6), and now nnele Sir J. Ward. It sprang into being moler Sir G. (irey in 1877-9, and held office from $1881-7$. In the Cape llinistries have been less unstable than in Australia. There were ten Minist ries from 1872 to 1910 , and of these Sir G. Sprigg was Prime Minister in four ( $1878-81,1886-90,1896-8.1900-4$ ), while Mr. Rhodes's Ministry of 1890, which rested on a Bond alliance. ended only in 1896, throngh his participation in tho Raid in 1895. The Bond itself first took office on defeating Sir G. Sprigg in 1898, but Mr. Sehreiner resigned on the
 treasom. Sir (a. sprizg then very skilfully combueted attairs matil 1904, when the gencral ele tion placed him in a minority, and the Progresise held offiee mutil forecel ont of it in lame by the general chertion, which had been brought on somewhat prematurely bey the hos of a majority in the Vpre Honse. Mr. Merriman then led al pit, ty under Bomd inthence matil the,
 ment held offiee in the 'Transwal and Orange River Cotomy repectively from the grant of responsible goverment tulati:

The callese for these changes are mo doulte the lack of questions on which partier could divide ofl party lines. The Labome ${ }^{1}$ party in the only one in Anstralia which is organized an as to be ath effective and united instroment : so tow the Bond party and it. followers in South Africa : all wher parties are very dishogal to their chiefs. and prevent any Ministry having the complete control of legislation which a Ministry in this comentry usially has.

Moreover, the small size of the Dominion Parliaments is. as was pointed out long ago by Lord Elgin." a somrce of great difficulty: the absence from illuesw or other canses of a few members in a small Homse may utterly upset Government policy, and there can be no question that it renders effective legishation more difficult.
One remedy which has been suggested, and to a certain extent insisted upon in recent years, is to have elective ministries, in the hope that in this way. with fewer changes in the Ministry administration at least can be effectively carried on. The subjeet has been disensised a geod many times, ${ }^{3}$ and was examined in Sew Zealand in 1891 by a committee, which
' Labour predominates in the Commonwalth, in sumth Australia, and since 1910 in New Sumth Wates. It is alkogrowing in strength in Vietoria, and in Western Australia all bot equals the Gevermument : in Tasmania it is not likely soon to hold office, and in (une enstand the persematity of Nr. Kidston hoklw it in, lut his retircment in $1: 111$ may alter matters.
${ }^{2}$ Walrond. Lettersa and Aournats of Loril Eigin, pp. 3:1, 40.

 Parliamentary Debates, 1910, Ip. 3 3ti24 sets.
i-sied a long report. hat an far nothing has rewilfed from the disenssions. thongh several prominent statesmen hatwe pronomened themselves as being definitely opposed to it It is diffientt to sere how elective minist rios can he harmonized with effective parliamentary govermment. and it is very donhtful whother after experience of full partiamentary gowernment any dominion or stato would rate to eonfine itarelf to a poxition in which the Ministry of the day was independent of potes in Parliament, and conld not be displaced tor a fixed period. Moreovere it wonld complieate. thongh this is not a very important matter, the relations of the (rown with the Dominion (Boveroment and Parliament:.

On the other hand, it must be almitted that constant rhanges of Ministry, stle has happen in the (ommonwealth. are opposed to all efficient administration: the remedy appears to lie not in making ministries elective. but in refraining from changes in the administration exeept on substantial gromods, and when changes are made, in appointing now members to the vacated posts mather than in transferring existing members to them, thereby mpetting the whole scheme of the fovermment.

One result of the small size of partios is that the rule, perhaps too strictly observed in tho Imperial Parliament, that a Goverr • ill go out if defeated on any measime of any impor If in the Lower Hunse has not been

[^143]rigidly followed in . Alatralia or even in ('amada. It is rerognized t...lt with a small Honsor and with colomial comditions of indepondence it is not a serions matter to be defented in some matter not of tho very firsterato inmbertance. Thas in tho tariff dohates of 1907-8 the Gowermment of Mr. Deakin was on siveral occasions defeated in the Lower Honse without in any way being compelled to resign its position, evon aftor the Minister of 'Trade and ('ustoms had deedared certain of the amendments of vital importanere : apparently the party malerstod that the Treasmere was only bhathing, for they did not obey his hints to vote solid. Viven the Labonr Himistry of 1910 suffered withote resigning a defeal on the frevtion of agibility for antranere to the military eollege. On the other hame a Vinintry may he diaponed to insist on haring the fall contidence of the party: thus in lane. When tho vote for a special payment to Mr. R'mber Reoves. late High ('ommissioner, oll account of his services as fimancial adviser to the Govermment, w's placed hefore the Lower Honse in Now Zealand and was rojected, tho I'rimo Miinister lost no time in calling together a meeting of his followers and insisting that they should rescind the vote, which they did. but they felt no donbt that they had achieved their purpose by inducing the officer in question to give up the position of financinl adviser in London. In all the Australian states and in New Zealand and in the C:anadian provinces there have been cases of minist ries which cling to office despite repeated defeats, or defeats averted only by casting votes of the Speaker ; for example. Sir George Grey's Ministry in Sow Zealand probably, as Lord Normanby remarked in a dispatelt in $1878 .{ }^{1}$ never commanded a majority in the Lower Honse at all. Mr. Joly's Ministry in Quebece lasted from 187.8 to 1870 on the most insecure hasis, with practically no support in the Lower Honse and with a devided majority against it in the Upper House.' The Ministry in British Colnmbia in 1890-1900was helpless, and was defeated on severaloccasions. but would not resign until the Lientenant-Governor docided

[^144]to thro it out.' In lama int the anme provillee the Miniatry retained oflice thongh sipportorl on a llention for a diswolntion only he the Sueakers vote. ${ }^{2}$

There is no lixed rule in the colonies. jost as there is harelly
 when ${ }^{\prime}$ genemal ceretion turns againat thent, or wat to be turned ont on the meeting of the Hensie. The alder existom (as, for example, in ('unada in Isis and Ontario in Isil) was no dombt to merat the Honse and he rejeetori by a vote of 16
 biarnedi retired on defeat at the poll. followed hy Mr. (iladstono's resignation int 187t. allel this llew preverlent was followed by Mr. Mefolloedts: Ministry in Victaria in 18:-.
 1884 the Atkinson Ministry and in $1 \times 8$ the Nont Ministry in Now Zenland resigned on the reant of the polls. (On the other hand. Sir C. T'upper did not resign on the defeat of his party at the polls in 189 i until he fomme that the (ievermor(ieneral was no longer preparee' to areopt his advire as 10 appointments and so forth." But he may have intended to resign before Parliament met, as he based his retention of office in part at least on the fact that all the resilts of the volls were not certain owing to rocounts. In British ('ohmo
in 1900 Mr. Martin's Ministry chung to office for months. though it had no parliamentary support at all. In 1801 the Atkinson Ministry in New Zopland resigned when tho
${ }^{1}$ (Ganada sesse. Pap., 19nor, No. 1it. 1 rother hand, in 18:4. Mr. Molteno wished to resign on a defeat (Wilun,.., si, inth .1frica, i. 244. 24.i), and
 commatia really undivided stiport in the Lower homse for his followers ;
 resigned, with the reall that after a vely buicf pertion of hathonr rule dee party reunited and tumed that party ints. In the ('ape, Sir G. Sprigy retired similarly in 1881 and $18: 10$ (Wilmot. mith Atrica. i. 142: iii. 18). and sir 'T'. scanlen in 1884.
${ }^{2}$ C'anadian Anumal KPrier', 1903, n. 213. ('f. ibicl., '9012. ן. it; l!w!. pp. 333, 334.
${ }^{3}$ ('anadar Ness. Pap. 1890, Sess. 2. No. 7. ('f. a similar came in New Brunswick, Canadian Annual Reriew, 1908, p. $4(1)$; and in Ontario, ibid., $190{ }^{5}$, p. 489.
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[^145]Honses. For this there are varions reasons: the Lower Honse las alone the power of originating Money Bills, and this would leave a Government which had not their support in a helpless condition: then the Upper House has never quite equal powers in regard to Money Bills, while, in all eases save that of the Commonwealth, it does not represent so much democratic fecling as the Lower House. In the latter ease the Upper Honse is more demoeratic than the Lower, but even there the Government depends on the Lower House. It is inded conceivable that the Upper Honse might, in virtue of its position ats at once a democratic Honse and a representative of the states, decide that a Government must depend on it al-a for its existence. but such a claim has not yet been made by that House. and if made would be very inconvenient in result. The Upper House does not divide on purely party lines, but excrises an independence which would be quite impossible if the Government were to depend on it for its existence.
The nearest approach to the control of the fiovernment by the Upper House is perhaps to be seen in the case of the Legislative Council of the Cape. In 1907, by its tacties as to refusing to form the appropriations for the year, it calsed Dr. Jameson to agree to a disiolution, and in 189x. according to Wilmot, ${ }^{1}$ it eompelled the Government to pass a Redisis:ibution Bill, by threatening to prevent legislation. In the former case the Comeil had an equal number of members and the Bond was in opposition: in the latter Sir G. Sprigg's supporters formed the majority of that House.

It may be added that it is beyond question ${ }^{2}$ the right of the Governor to decide whom he whall select as Prime. Minister. This was asserted by Governor Head in C'anada on May $20.18563^{3}$ when on receiving certain adviee he acknowledged it. but pointed out that it was not a matter on which he was bound to act on advice. Again, in 1908. the Speaker of the Commonwealth House of Representatives

[^146]ruled that the matter was a personal act of the GovernorGeneral which was not subject to the usual rule of ministerial responsibility. ${ }^{1}$ It may be said. however. that it is more common in the Colonies to offor advice unasked than in England, where the practice is not to suggest muless a suggesfion is asked for: thus Mr. Gladstone was not consulted on laying down office for the last time. The power of nuggestion is often useful: Sir E. Lewis in 'Tasmania, in 1909, defeated the maleontents of his party by resigning and advising the Governor to send for the leader of the Labour Party and not for the leader of the maleomemes. with the result that Mr. Earle was allowed only a few days of oftice. th" diwsident.; hastening to submit.

## § 5. Tue C'ondect of Beniness with the Govervohe

The procedure with regard to the conduct of actual business het ween the (iovernor and ministers varics considerably in the different Dominions or states.

It is the rule in the commonwealth and under the royal instructions in New Zealand and the Stater that the Governor should preside in Comeil ${ }^{2}$ for the transaction of all husines. which requires to be transacted there. Meetings are therefore held once a week, or as often as may be required, at which such business as is neeessary to be transacted in Comeil is carried out. Of course these meetings are quite distinct from ('abinet meetings. in which police is discussed and determined, hut they assure a most effective and com-

[^147]plete means of keeping a liovernor informed of the important alds of his Ministry. A Governor shoukd always bo present at such meetings if it is at all possible.

In the case of Newfomalland also the same practice is followed. and in all these instances no matter is expected to be brought before the Governor, of other thatl formal moment, with which he has not been made aware beforehand, in order that he may have an opportunity of considering whether or not the question is one in which his consent should be withheld. The withholding of consent is, of course, governed by the principle stated on March 13, 1911, in the House of Commons that except on legal oron Imperial grounds the refusal of assent means that the Governor is prepared to obtain ot her ministers to replace those which he has at the time. if they insist, as may bo the case, on resigning their offices.

In the case of the Dominion of canada the presence in ('ouncil' of the Governor-(ieneral is now practically unknown, cxcept on formal occasions. such as the Proclamation of the Royal Aecession or other casen of high ceremonial. but the same control is assured by the practice of transacting all tho important business of the Govermment in Council, and of requiring that each Order in Council should be submitted for the Governor-Generals sanction before it can take effect. The chief occasion on which sanction to such Orders in Conncil has been refused is, of courso, that with regard to the appoint ments proposed to be made by Sir Charles Tupper after his defeat at the gencral election of 1896 , before he actually left office.* But the practice secures to the Governor(ieneral an adequate means both of knowing what is being transacted and of asserting his control over it ; thus, for example, it would be impossible for the Dominion Government to dismiss an official withont the Governor-General's
' No alse in the provinees, which likewise adopt the practice of the licutenamt Governor signing the Orders in Council. See (ianada Nes.... I'ap., 1875, No. 13, p. 8. The (iovernor once sat with ministers even in C'abinct; see Walronl, Lefters and Jomornalsof Lirid Elgin. p. 110.
 propmed by the Provincial dovermment of New Brunswick were likewise

formal sanction, as in the rate of the termiation in lant of the appointment of Lord Dundonald for insubordination as head of the Militia of Canada.

The Governor's relation with his Ministry must needs be: a very close and confidential relation. and it is obvionsly the duty of both sides to sce that it shath be as cordial as possible. It is not. of course, the right of the (Governore to require information from his ministers of all the measures they propose to adopt : that was formally laid down longe ago. ${ }^{1}$ though lack of such information was one of the grounds on which Mr. L. Letellier dismissed his ministers in Qucher in $1878,{ }^{-}$and something of the same kind influenced the decision of the Governor of the ('ape in dismissing the Molteno Dinistry in the same year:3 But the (fovernor ought to be on such terms with the Premier that he will normally discuss with him his legislative plans and projects: he need not discuss his party politics with the Governor, but ho should keep him well informed of all public matters of any importance. He may ubtain from a Governor with whom ho is in close touch much useful advice : there are many (iovernors who have experience fare exceding that of their Premiers, and in any case a tirst-hand knowledge of what is going on is essential to the discharge of the duty of the Governor as an Imperial efficer. But while in these matters the question is in the end one of courtesy and the co-operation which is essential betwe.ll the head of the Govermment and the representative of the Sovereign, the mater is different when the Governor is called upon to perform any official act whatever : he is then entitled to the fultent information whech he can desire : there is nothing that cam properly be kept back from him, and to withhold information is condurt which would justly deserve the severest fensure. It does not matter that the Governor will normally act on tho advice of his ministers: ${ }^{\text {P }}$ he must be allowed to decide if he will

[^148]do so, and he cannot decide if he is not able to ohtain all the information he neods.

Normally a Governor will, of course, be justified in accepting the advice which he receives from his ministers as being a correct statement of facts and law. ${ }^{1}$ but he is not bound to he so satisfied if he has reason for suspicion, and in matters of law he has been definitely told that he must excreise his own judgement if he is in doubt. In cases where, for any reason, a (iovernor might distrust the statements made by ministers he would be ontitled ${ }^{2}$ to get information from any source which wasavailable, but the responsibility on a Governor who did this would be very great, and of course he would $\ldots$ quire to be prepared to face the resignation of his ministers: happily in modern times the case is not very likely to arise.

It is, of course, grossly improper to anticipate, except in some urgent necessity, the decision of the Governor : ${ }^{3}$ there have oceurred from time to time in Australia cases of releases of crimina!.: before the formal sanction of the (iovernor has been accorded, but on no necasion has the action been defended by ministers, and its lack of propriety is so obvions that a Govemor who dismissed his ministers on the ground of any such action would have popular syme thy with him. There has recently been seen in a Canadian case the danger of an officer of the Giovernment declining to smbmit a petition to the Lieutenant-Governor, on the ground that the decision taken would be that of the Ministry not to grant the petition: in the case in question it was held by the Supreme Court of Canada and the Privy Council that damages were recoverable, though Sir R. Finlay, for the defence, urged that the decision being that of ministers the necessity of actually subrintting it to the Lieutenant-Governor did not exist. ${ }^{4}$

[^149]It is also clear that if ministers and the (bovernor aro to be harmonious the Governor must not-muless under Imperial instructions for an Imperial ond-hold language disagreeing with the policy of his ministers. Thus if a Governor eomes to South Australia, where his Govermment havo docided against religions training in the schools, and makos a speech in favour of religious influences in education, the position will be a difficult one for the Governor and for ministers also with their ultra followers, and not every Governor will be lucky enough to find so able a minister as Mr. Jenkins to defend him, ${ }^{1}$ and to explain away his action as due to ignorance of local circumstances. Nor. again, must a Governor express himself as an entity in political matters beside his ministers in normal circumstances. There is almost an extreme case of that in the effective attack made by Mr. (now Sir George) Reid on the Governor-fieneral of the ('ommonwealth on Jannary 30. 190:. an attack which doubtless helped to induce the Governorfieneral to decide that he would nct remain on in the ('ommonwealth. The Governor-Genelal, Lord Hopetom, with his usual generosity, felt that the Government were being unfairly attacked in Parliament and out of it, because of their failure to send further forces to South Africa to take part in the Boer war. He took, therefore, the opportunity of a speech al a public occasion on Jannary 27 to declare that the Ministry and himself had carefully considered the whole situation, and deeided that more troops were not neeensary, clearly intending to show that as an Imperial officer he was accepting a full share of the responsibility for the decision not to send more men for the time being. But. Mr. Reid ${ }^{2}$ censured the speech as a grave breach of etiquette and as improper, and


 Lond Dudley's support of his ministers views on naval defence was censured in some quarters; see Hobart Mercery, April 2 , 1909 , Mr. Verran. in South Australia, publicly attacked the (iovernor; see Registre, Hecember 29, 1910, which censures his action ; above, $\mu$, 209, note 1.
: ('ommonweath P'orliamentury D) bates. $1901-9 . \mathrm{pp}, 4976$ seq.

> 3TB
the effect was very great: no doubt the cpportunity was too good a one for a party attack to be resisted by a veteran politician, and Lord Hopetoun had certainly gone beyond tho bomudaries of what was permitted : ho was making himself into a partisan, and though the generosity of his motive was cleme and was acknowledged by all, the feeling of the Honse of Representatives was evidently that, thengh very venial, there had been a beeach of propriety. On the other hand, vakdietory speceres may without haringo beyond the limit- permitted to ordinary speeches, and therefore Lord Northeotes valedictory addresses were generally approved in Australia, thongh they were given as expresions of his, own views as to the future and the needs ant duties of Alntralia.

Normally, of course, the rule applies in the self-governing Colonies no less that at home that the Ministry is responsible for all the Governors actions. and must either defend them or resign and leave the way open for the selection of other personswho will a cept responsibility. Thus Lord Normanby, who had the manapy knack of being at variance with his ministers, found himself censured by the New Zealand Honse uf Representatives because he deelined to add a member to the Legislative Council while a vote of censure against his ministers was penting. Ministers declined either to resign or to defend the Governor, and the complained bitterly of their attitude but without any sulcess, as they remained firm in their refusal to act in accordance with his wishes. ${ }^{1}$ But the rule cannot be pressed too far: if the Governor as an imperial officer do sires to act in any matter contrary to the wishes of ministers, they cannot be held to $t$ hound to defend his actions: they are bound to defend the advice they have tendered. but they cannot be held responsible for their advice not having been successful, and the Governor eamot expect a defence from those whose advice he las declined to follow, while. on the other hand, ministers are not justified in leaving the post of duty berause they cannot get all their own way. These principles were laid down as

[^150]regards the prorogative of merry hy Lard darnarvom, and acted onf. greatly to the amoyance of lord filasgow. by his ministers in Is 0. when they rofased to aroept all his suggestions that they should resign oree the dispute as to the Upper House, and stuck to their posts awating the deceision of the Secrotary of state in their facour. In the later caso of lord Chemsford in Queenslaud the prarty of Mr. Kidston took pride in the fact that they had been more considerate to 1 ord ('helmstord, and had resigned office so as to avoid placing hime in the position of awaiting a decixion from home against his ruling in the matter of the proposed appointments. to the Upper House.

The eperehes of the (iovernor to the Houses of Parliament are matters for his ministers. and he has no respomsibility for them. Still, on the other hand. the fovernor has the right to ask that he be mot compelled to make attacks on
 Cape insisted on softening the tone of the speerh from the throne as regards Land C'arnarvon's federation police ${ }^{2}$ In $189^{-7}$ the foveruor of Newfoundland. Sir H. Wurray, actually altered in reading a part of the speech, but the references were only to local matters in that case, although he deviated from them, and the local press criticized his action soverely.s But in 1908 the speeches in Newfoundland and in Queensland both showed due consideration tor the position of the Imperial Government and the (iovernor respectively, though feeling ran high, in the first case against the 'Imperial rescript' of 1907 regarding the fisheries, ${ }^{4}$ and in the second case against the Governor's action in refising Mr. Kidston's advice as to the swamping ui the Upper Honse and his grant of a dissolntion to Mr. Phitp. ${ }^{\text {. }}$

[^151]§ 6. Time Higil Commishonery ind Alientu-fenerif.
A chrions and now important part of the Dominion Government consists in their representation in London. The Agents-General had in the main a business origin : the Crown Colonies no less than the other Colonies nised to keep resident agents in Loudon, often, of comrse, only slightly eonnected with the Colony, to transact all mannor of husiness for them. Gradually the position of these ministers hocame moro political and less commercial, and men of higher status wero appointed to the posit, One of the foremost in pressing this question of status was Sir J. Vogel, Agent-General for Now Zealand, who wrote an amusingly solemu dispateh in February 12, 1879, ${ }^{1}$ to the Now Zealand Government, sotting ont that the term Agent (General was apt to lead to misunderstandings: that an Agent-General for Victoria had found that when he ordered the term to be inseribed on some blinds the person entrusted with the duty turned it into (ieneral Agent. and the truth was that the ageney was rogarded as a general ageney of a most enfarged description of a commercial character. He pressed for the recognition of the term minister resident, and that they should have a defined precedence and status, and be in all respects like ambassadors, subject to the fact that the Colonies were parts of the Empire. It was many years until Now Zealand changed the style of her representative, not until 1905, when the term High Commissioner was adopted. But in the case of Canada the change had been made much earlier : on the occasion of the appointment of Sir Alexander Galt in 1879 they nominated him to act as minister resident in London, and the term High Commissioner was finally resolved upon as suitable ${ }^{2}$ aftor consultation with the Imperial Government. At the same time no attempt was mado to rank the High ('onmissioners among the official hiorarchy or to place them with ambassador., and the full recognition of their claims to be deemed representatives of the Dominions: was hardly accorded until the arrival of Sir Georgo Reid in

[^152]London in 1910, and the recognition ateorded them hy the late King's desire on varions formal ore asions, and be order of the present King at the royal fimeral in $1: 311$, at the -late opening of the Parliament, and at the foronation of 1911.

The Anstrahian Agents (ieneralatone time showed considerable political activity in accordance with the suggestion of Sir J. Vagel, who thonght that triction and fear of promal Govermment might thans be avoided. possibly a reformere to Sir (ienge (ireg's gmarrek with Lard Nomanhy, and tho latter's vigorons measmes to keep him in order. At my rate they on occasion appeared as forming a (ommeil to express the views of the several folonies: thas they attended onf the Secretary of State to ask him to sanction the Divoreo Aet of Victorin, passed in 1889,2 and they mited in resommendations of the adoption of the principle of allowing tho Colonies to know the mames of proposed (invernoss before the final selection was marle, ${ }^{3}$ and they comstantly pressed on the Cohnial Office the question of the Westem Pacific. They. akso appeared at the Colonial Conference of 1887 to represent their Governments along with other persons of distinction. In 189: the Agent-General of New Zealand supported ministers views agamst Lord Ghagow.' But their politionl euergy was limited and still is limited hy several essential facts: the (iovernor as the Kinger representative is clarly. the proper person through whom any important commmeniation should conte. Thas the Seeretary of state in the case of the request from Queensland not to appoint Nir H. Blake, preferred to deal with the officer administering the Covermment and not with the Agent-Genernh. Or again, in Isy:\%, when the Agent-ficneral for New Zealand eathed on the Secretary of Stato to endeavour to induce him to support the Ministry against the Governor, the Secretary of State gave the Governor instructions a day before the AgentGeneral was informed, so that tho Goveruor conld make his own arrangements with ministers instead of their learning

[^153]thedecision from tho Agent-fencral. Then again, apa: I from that difficolty, there is the fact that tolonial fovermments - hange quickly, and that an Agent-(ieneral often necopte the post when his govermment is about to fall : the result is that he camot ever le said to be in the eonfidence of the (iowernment - a geode exnmple of sitheh lack of trinst being the case of Mr. .lenkins. Agent - fene ralfonsonth Anst ralia. whoresigned in
 a loan in sondon belind his back. at attempt which resmlted in something like a fiasco, as owitig to a premature divalgenco by a minister the London firm with which the negotiations had been condreted broke them off. Nor can an Agentfeneral, execpt in exceptional ciremmstanees, evor be really a member of the (Eovernment of the Dominion or State. There is inevitably the result that he becomes an official highly respected. hat not exactly in the confidence of the (iovernment. Such a gencral statement is, of eonerse, subject to exceptions. bitt, broadly speaking, it will not be denied to be eorrect by any person who has observed reeent political events in the Dominions.'

The appoint ment of the High commissioner for the commonwealth has simplified in one way the position of the matter. There re now in Lomion representatives of tho Dominionse expept Newfommiland, all with the stat as of High ('ommissionors, and all posts tilled by men of high standing in the country. Lord ist ratheond, one of the most remarkable men of the century, Sir George Reid, Sir WV. Hall Jones, and Sir R. Solomon. Exeept Lord Stratheona, each of these officers has held high ministerial offiee in his Dominion: Sir (: Reid has heen Prime Minister of the (ommonwealth as well as of New Sonth Wales; Sir IV. Hall Jones has aeted as Prime Minister of New Zealand; and Sir R. Solomon has been minister in the ('ape and the leading fignre in the Crown C'olony administration of the Transvaal. It might therefore

[^154]be expected that a comail of adve for Imperial pmonata could he formed ont of sum material, bitt wothing has
 for the failure to ant resting with the Dominions and ned with the hangerial fovermment. It is douhthul how far thin didiculty con be overcome: if. of comes. har apmintment were purdy ministertial, and the port were $f$ ' from time to time be the mintister appenterl hy the dowerment of thothy, the er wht might easily be that he ofterer an time in the post would be able more mearly to exprese the antiment of hix fovernment, but it camot be ignored that sudt all arrangement woukl have the disadrantage of resulting in
 Agents-General or High commiswioners hater heret retained in office for many years, thus, as far as the mon-pelitical
 experience and kowledger stperior to that which could ever be ponsessed by oftieere whe were frequenty changerl.


 ment as is the Dubrinion Itigh (bmmionioner. an the Pominion (Ewermment alone represeme the Dominion. Thim atate of affairs has recently elicited a vigerous protest from the Premier of Ontario, and is resented also in British Columbia and elsewhere. ${ }^{3}$









 mania, \&e. The Anstralianstates atill have Igents-(ieneral with fallatalle-
${ }^{3}$ C'f. the fact that ex-members of Provine ial Fixecutive Councils recoive the term ' Ilen. only in Conala and England by coutcey, not otlicially;


## ('H.MPTER VII)

## TIIE: ('IVII, NERVICH:

As in the United Kingelom, the Dominions all rerognize the principlo of a promanemt ('ivil Sovide to comelact the exerative rand alministrative work of the departments. But there are rertain broad ditferences between the anses of the Dominions ame the Luited Kingelom. In the first where. the ministers of tho lominions are expereted, as is
aral in view of the leses compliented conditions prevailing there, to do much more rontine work than is clone int the United Kingdom, and, partly as a canse of this, partly as a result, the Dominions do not show a rivil Service comparable with the upper division of the lmperial ('ivil Serviere, nor normally do rivil rervants play an important a part in the lolonial Government. Th, some extent this may bo attributed to the democratie dexire to render all poosts. available to all, and to permit entry to the (ivil Service by all evenentary examination followed by rontine work and crentha! promotion. In the second place, the whole syetem, as applied in Alatralasia, is one of clabornte legal :egnlation, white the lonme ('ivil service depends on lixecentive Order: in ('ouncil, subject only to the Pension Acts and the ordinary law. An Engli h civil servant holds atill at pleasme,' buit hy pactice he holle during good behatiour. There are no boards established or rules lad down as to his dismissal, hut practically he has the fullest investigation, and is removed only on the deceision of a minister of the crown ateting for the Croי… Ag.iln, in the Dominions promotions ${ }^{1}$ This is still the case in the colonies anve whre otherwise expresoly. provided, and the rowal instructions to the state Governors and Ne. Zeraland and Newforndland require it when law does $n$ tt cherwise povide. Canada generally is numh less fond of legal regulation than Australasia in this as in other matters. See Shenton v. Smith. [1845] A. (C. 209; Dunn 4 :

na 4 rule depend in purt on ant anthority exte:atal 10 thes minister: in the Conited limgelom the miniatore is xppromo. anbject morely to the right of the aggrioved ofticer ta nppeal to the 'Fremany, hot for 11 reversal of the deceinion to pase him over, which would not be poswible, hat for aome considernton in other whys. Tho legal fules of the Dominions ure
 possessed in small pepolations ly a (ivil koverer, which has resulted in the detormination io plate tho ('ivil somide beyond the ordinaty apher of politios so ns to awoid the intolerable preandere cha likely to he exeremed ont miniateras. mod it is signitionnt that similar metheres of dealing will the question of postal servonts in lingland have been disenssed.
('mada shows a somewhat milaypy revord in the mattor of the (ivil servier system. In the very heginning it whs found necessary to lay down in great detail to the Lientenant( iovernor of Nown Sootia ${ }^{1}$ the ont lines o! the trme system of a ('iv: I Survice cxcmpt from prolitical interformee and from the loginning Nova Scotin was mwilling to acopet the dectrine. 'lhings, however, grodually improved, though vary slowly, and the principle was lad down that the temme of office, though nt pleasmre. whe ulso, as in the Vhited Kinglom, during good bedaviour-in face if not in law. But this porilion was fembified by arevent facts. In tho first place. the appointment of publice otherors was always a matter in which political influcice bad a good deal to do in the first place: then promotions were often influmed by polition considerattions, and if the holders of othere were not dismissed when a new Govermment camo in thoy might in other ways be made to feed that their presence in the oftere was not lesired. as there were others w!ove chams demamded the rlowe
 Lientemant-Governor of lrince lidward hand, stress was laid by the Soeretars of state on tho most amsatisfactory shte of things whin had prevailed in Nova Seotia, and the Provincial Covormment were arged to adopt the system of

[^155]having a permanent ('ivil Service. In 18:7 steps were taken hy the Parlimment of the mited canada to organizo a servieo with permanent depnty heads and grades. and on federation further Aets wero passed to deal with the ('ivil sorviee of the Dominion. In Iske a long Aet was passed which regnated for many vears the position.

The clefects of the whole plan were brought ont very clearly in 1908, when after murli pressme from theopposition the ('ommisxion which had been appointed to ingnire into the sitation presented their report.' It was severely eriticized in many respects, enpecially by the Minister of Defence, who bronght ont in reply a very ably written report by General Lake, ${ }^{2}$ in which he controverted the attacks made by the (ommission on the large head-quarters staff of $2: 01$ olficers for the management of a force which eonsisted of only abont 3.0 on permanent men. and which drilled vome 40,000 militia ammally. But the weight of the report was beyond doubt, and the points which it criticized were so wrong in principle that it wonld be impossible to defend them on any evidence. It appeared that nomination from a list of datified randidates was the order of the day. that surch nominations were politioal johs, and that after appointment success depended on further politiont inthence : there was little regular promotion, and atl the best mosts were reserved hy ministers for rewarding their friends, with the result that the service was utterty disorganized - the members of the service who owed their posts to political mominations being indifferent to discipline. Moreover, the Commision reported that salarion were too low. and dephored the repeal of the old smperammation arrangements. recommending that they shonld be renewed. and provision made for the supp! y of pensions to widows and children. They atso critidized in the freest manner the administ ration of the Marme Department, and mado allegations of dishonent conduct with regard to tho officials.

Civil Service reform accordingly was introduced in 1908 in anticipation of the general otection, as public feeling had

[^156]investigation by Judge Calsecth of the chargen against the Marine Departmen" : the evidence revenled a sad state of things. described b, one witness as ' bribery, corruption, and boodling ’. At Halifax evidence was given of the sule to (iovermment of goods wholesale. but at retail prices and ant additional protit. Covernment parys, it was said. for the hard times. The effert of the evidence was satisfactory: the minister told his officers to suspend action on the patronage lists: from time to time supplied to them, which consisted of lists of firms from whom. on grounds mainly of polities. the Government desired to see purchases made; the Minister of Railways hastened to say that public advertisement woud replace teuders as the means of procuring stores on the hitercolonial Raih ay ; and Mr. Pugstey decided that he would aholish all patronage hists in his department. that of Public Works. ${ }^{1}$
It is to be noted that the C'anadian ('ivil serviee legislation meludes no provision for pensioning officers, and this defeet also is seen in the Aet of British columbia in the same year for regulating the Civil Service. which established new gradings and had down that promotion should be by merit. The Bill as introduced provided for a superamnuation fund bated on contributions of 3 per celle. on the afficer"s salary and a grant from the (iovermment. but the measure wais energetieally opposed. and Gamada still suffers in the provinces as in the Federal (Government from the disadvamtage. arising out of poorly paid service, which, unlike the Imperial (ivil service, has not the compensation, such as it is, of a pension at its close, and is not redeemed by rocial consideration and mark of royal favour.

In Newfoundlam as might be expected, tho ('ivil Serviee, Which is small, has heen much open to political influence, and there aho no pension system existe. a fact due mainly to the poverty of the Colony.

Things are very different in the Commonwealth, which had better models to follow than the Dominion, and which has not the ovil inflnence of the United States to cormpt its

[^157]can be retrenched, but only for bona fide retrenchment purposes, and they, if aceused of important offences. must be tried by a boated of inquiry, when they may be deprived of leave or fined by the departmental head, reduced in stat us by the Commissioner, or dismissod by the Governor-General in Council, accorling to the enormity of the offence. Moreover, they have civil elams for the salaries payable to them just as ordinary persons have against their employers, though in the Dofence Department the rule is that no contract exists, but members can sue for sums die if deprived of office. On the other hand, the Govermment does not provide pensions, a serions error which is hardly made up for by the pratice of requiring officers to insure their lives. But to compensate for this there is a minimum wage of $£ 110$ for officers over twenty-one yoars of age and a report on a pension sedeme has been iswied.

A* in the case of cimada, and in the case of all the states, the seheme is defective in not providing for any regular Civil Service which whall contain men of superion education : in the ('ommonwealth serviee the members of the clerieal sorvice are admitted by an elem' itary examination, and work up through the grades and subdivisions of the grades. in each of which a year at least must normally be spont. Thus for the posts of deputy heads, and so on, it is necessary to go outside the service and to choose men who are not trained eivil servants. ${ }^{1}$ The result is that no Dominion contains such a Civil Service as that of England.

In the states also the practice of leaving the ('ivil Service to the rontrol of a local public serviee commiseion whieh is supreme over first appointments, and also over promotions and so forth. is in force. It is successful in its aim of securing that is a whole the service is free from politieal jobbery; if. as is the caso. there are from time to time $d$ sputes of some rediousness between the commission and the Government, as, for instance, in the case of the determination of the (boverument of the Commonwealth to make the poost of e.g. in the case of Mr. Whee Hunt. deputy head of the Department in Raternal Affairs.

Assistant-Postmaster an administrative one. still that does not interfere with the general principle, and the ereation of a few posts exempt from C'ivil Service conditions of entry is not common, and has very recent and not very dearly justified precedents in Fingland.

It cannot be said in Anstralia any more than in this comntry, that the difficulty of resisting the demands of civil servants when they exist in large bodies has beren successfully met. In the case of the railways, the difficulties of the Civil Service plus thequestion of the pressure of the pulblic as regards railway rates, has led to the entrosting of the railways to commissioners, who lodd for a tem of years by a statutory temure and can only be removed by Parliament. A commission was set up first in Vietoria in 1884, then by Suuth Aust ralia in 1887, then by New South Wales and Queensland in 1888 , and ia ally. after a strike which brought about the resignation of the general manger. by Western Australia in I!ne. 'The' original commissions consisted of slree members save in Western Australia. but there were difficulties and friction. so that the mumber isnow only ont in Queensland; of the three in New South Wales one has. since 19n6, authority over the ot her two ; and in South Australia there is one who is advised by a board of three, the engineer-in-chicf, general traffie manager. and the locomotive engineer, and in cases of difference bet ween him and the board the minister must decide. In Victoria, aftor several years' trial of a single control, three commiswioners were appointed in 1903 after the ralway strike of that year. The strike resulted also in the extrandinary device of disfranchising for the ordinary constituencies the railwaymen and other civil servants, and in requiring them to vote for members of their own, ${ }^{1}$ an arrangenent whirh was changed in 1906, when the old system was re-int roduced.
 by both sets of men, and one bey each set separately for the Assembly, and members of the serviee were oligible as members The provisions were repealed ly Int No. 2073. For the Civil Servier in New South Wales, sere the Aets of 1902 as amended by Aet No. 2.; i 1910 . See for further information the Commonweath Year Benk, and for the railways, The Civern. ment of South Africa, ii. 131-8: for New Zealand, the Official Yoar Book.
ln the opinion of observers best gnalified to form a judgement, in practice there is some political influence in reforence to railway and civil servants, but it appears to bo on the whole within bounds. It is true that the Civil Servico Commissioners, who control the Civil Service independently of the Government of the day, may bo to some extent subject to political influence, but in many cases they are personally strong enough to be practically independent of the Government, as probably was intended by the Public Service Acts. The authority of a Publie Service Commissioner is often evaded by the creation of temporary appointments or hy the use of the powers reserved to the Governor in Council for exceptional cases, and the application of those powers to everyday contingencios. But, on the other hand, Ministries have seldom much margin of support, and Governors are able to excerise considerable pressure. Again, the public press has no special interest in the publie service, and is not likely to support it against all the other interests. which press for popular support. Noreover, with an expanding popmation there is rapid promotion both in the Railway and the Civil Service, and the competition of the Fedoral Service mans conditions fairly satisfactory in the lower and the intermediate grades, though in the higher grades salaries are not adequate to attract the best men. The loss of the franchise, so often advocated, is hardly effective, for it would be difficult to disfranchise the wives, sons, and daughters of the public servants, and impossible to disfranchise the in less immediate connexions and friends.

In South Africa the C'ivil Servico was not spocially treated. as in Australia. until the Transvaal adopted in 1908 the principle of a public service board which controlled all appointments umber $£ 600$ a year, the limit being fixed to avoid undue formality with regard to selections for the higher posts. In the Union Act certain arrangements are made regarding the control of railways and harbours which will have the effect of removing these services from the normal governmental control. The coming of Union renders necessary a completo reorganization, and the existing system
under the old regime need not further be considered; it is reeorded in The fonermment of south Ifrion.

The rules regarding political action by civil servants differ greatly. In Camela there are many cases of political action both in province and Dominion, and every now and then retribution in the shape of dismissils. ${ }^{1}$ In the Commonwealth the rules have varied with varying Ministries, and in New South Wales, after the Wade Ministry rigidly limited politioal aetion, the Premier in the new Goverment in 1910 at once asserted the right of civil servants to full political action. In Queensland ${ }^{2}$ also a resolution to this offect marked the close of the session of 1910. In South Austratia the Labour Government is in favonr of political propagiandia by eivil servants. In Victoria, Tasmania, and Western Australia there are morestringent rules, at any rate in theory. In New Zealand civil serviuts are in effect apparently frece from restraint.

It is as yet impossible to attribute to the Dominion Civil Services the importance whiel attaches to the Imperial Civil Service, ${ }^{3}$ but the trend of events and the growth of the Dominions will, it may be presumed, ultimately render the (ivil Service more and more worth the attention of the hest educated classes of the community.

[^158]
## PART III. THE PARLIAMENTS OF THE DOMINIONS

## CHAPTER I

## THE POWERS OF DOMINIO. PAIRLIAMENTK

§ 1. The Plenaly Authority of the Paridiments
The question as to the position of colonial Parliaments was first dealt with in the case of Reg. v. Bumeh.' which referred to the Legislative ('mmeil of India, but which emmaciated principles applicable in their full extent to colonial Parliaments. In that case it was stated that the Legislature in India was a delegation of the Imperial Parliament, and that the maxim delegatns mon delegure potest applied to such Parliaments. That contention was acrepted by the majority of the High ('ourt of Calchtta, but was rejected by the Privy ('onncil. It had been provided in that case by the Legislature that certain special laws which had the effect of exchnding the jurisdiction of the High Conrt should apply (1) certain districts specified, and to certain other districts if and when the Lientenant-Govemor, by notification in the
${ }^{1} 3$ App. C'as. 889. 'flae legisfative power in cerey chase in the self. governing Dominions now rests on laperial Acts save in the case of dewte udland, where it exists Buder the Royal (ommission of $183: 2$ authorizing the smmoning of a legintathere. For (amada and the Iro.


 for (pueensland, Act 31 Vict . No. 38, s. 2 , repeating the statutory Order in Comencil of June 6, 18.99: for Western Anstralia, 93 \& $\boldsymbol{i t}$ Vict. c. 26 , *ehed. s. : ; for South Austratia and Tasmania, 13 \& It Vict. e. 59 , w. It (the local Acts change the form, not the powers of the Legislature) ; ior New Zatand, 15 \& 10 liet. r. io. s. $\%$; and for the ('nion of South Africa, 9 Edw. W11. c. 9, s. 59 . Formerly the constitutions of the Maritime I'rovinces of canadia and of the four south. Ifrican Colonies rested on the prerogative.

## 

 it was argued that the power given to the lientemantGevernor was ullru rires the Legishative ('ouncil of India.
In giving the decision of the Judicial Committer, Lord Sothme pointed out that it was left to the LiemtemantGovernor to determine both whet her the haw should apply and if so when. and he added that legislation which did not fix the period for its own commencement, but left that to be done by an external authority. might with quite as mueh reanom be called ineomplete as that which did mot itself immediately determine the whole area to when $^{1 / c h}$ it was to be applied, but left this to be done by the same external authority.

If it was all act of legislation on has part of hee extornal anthority, wo trusted, to enlage the arem within which a law anthally in operation was to be applied, it would seem a fortion 10 be an adt of legisation to bring the law into operation he fixing the time for its eommencement. It had never been doubted that the latter power might be conferred by the Legis. hature upon the Lieut enant-Governor inf Council. It was in fact a power contimally exercised, and it had never occorred 1o any one to dispute it. Lord Selborne went on to say:-
'i heir Lordships think that it is a fallacy to speak of He powers thus conferred upon the Lientenant-Governor (peculiar as they undoubtedly are) as if when they were exercised the efficacy of the arts done under them would be due to any other legislative authority than that of the Governor-General in Conncil. Their whole operation is directly and immediately in and by virtue of this Aet ( $2: 2$ of 1869) it self.

The proper Legislature has exercised its judgement as to place. person, laws. powers : and the rewult of that judgement has been to legislate conditionally as to all these things. The conditions having been fulfilied the legislation is now absolute.
Where plenary powers of legislation exist as to particular subjects, whether in an Imperial or in a Provincial Legis-lature. they may in their Lordships' judgement be well expresed either absolutely or conditionally. Legislation conditional on the nise of partieular powers, or on the exercise tenantndia. - Lorl cmantaply ide not hat to much itself vas 10 ternal
 and in mang circumstanes it may be highly comernient.
 and it ramot he anpoomed that tho lmperial Parliament did mot, when constituting the Indian lagivatme, come emplate
 legislative powers whic li it gramed.
 Horlye v. The Qurem.' In that ease it waw held lye the duclicial Commille of the Privy Commeil that the power- powared

 by delegation trom, or as agento of the louperial l'arliament, but that they had anthority as plenary, and as ample within the limits preseribed, as the lomperial parlianent in the plentitude of its power poseresed and could beatow. Withint Hoe area and limits of subjents mentioned in that seetien. He Provincial Lagivlatures were supreme and had the: rame amtherity as the Imperiad Parliament, or the Dominion Parlament would lave in like cirematances to bedow ont a mmaicipal institution or boely of its own creation ant horit! (1) make by-laws or wexlation- as to subjects aperitied in the enartment, and with tae object of calrying the enactment into operation and effert.

It was held that the Dutario Legislature had prewe to entrost to a Board of Commiswioners, authority to chave regulations in the nature of be-laws and municipal regnlattions of a merely lowal chatarter for the geod envernment of taverins.

The same principle was enumiatted once more in the cave of Pourell $r$. The Apollo r'undle C'onumeny.' where the question raised was as to the power of the Legistature of New somth Wales to delegate to the Execontive anthonity to impose and levy duties. The supreme 'ourt of New somll Wiales held that the Legislature could not delegate its powers, bitt the Privy Comed reversed that decivion and laid it down that

[^159]
## d.jx

the two rases quoterl had pilt an end to the dort rime which "ppered at one time to have beroll cone inred in hat al C'obonial Legiefature was a delegate ol the Imperial Partiament. It was a Legishature restricted to the area of its prowers, bot

 the Priey Comerit held that within the limite preser ribed to them ley the British North America Act. Provincial Lagintatures were supreme, and thewe was ole limit to the muthorits. of a sipreme hegivature execpt the lach of Exeentive power 0 enforee its enarements.
If the Lagishatures do not net hy delegated anthority, it is entively withon their diseretion lye what means and in what manture they shall "arry out the duties to tegistate for the peacere order. and gowd govermment entriated th them. This was nsereted "learl! in the case of Rial v. The Queres.
 whel provided for the administration of ariminal justice in the Sorth-Wiest Territories. Was ultrob riese, and that the Imperial Parliament contd not have intended to permit the Dominion Partiament to degishate with regard to the high rrime of tranom. or an to alterige the rights. Mader an Einglish
 bominion det was not mesesabig for peate. order, and good sowerument.

It was dearly hid down by the comet that this doctrine War not tenable. There said:-

It appeas to be suggen ed that any provisums differnt from the provisions which ill this comintry have heen made for administering peace. order. and good government, ${ }^{3}$


 delegate of the Cimatian t'arliament. Jo App. C'ins, 675.
 power. 'The ohler phrase prefied the needlew in conferring kegiskative Welfire " for 'order'; so e.g. the Royal ('ompord 'public', and had


"mmot as matters of haw be provisiome far the peares obler, and gowe gevermment in the territomien to which the - tather rehtex. and lurthex, that if a (bum of law shald come for
 as a matter of lact and policy to serome peace. order, allat
 stathte direeted to theme ohjeres- hat which the ('onst shonlal think likely to fail of that aflews ans ullour rios and bevomel the eompetenere of the Dominion Jorliament tor ract. 'I'herir Loredships are of the ophinion that there is mot the hean colome for 'wh a remtention: the worts alt the statute are apt to anthonize the 1 It most diseretion of entatment for the athanment of the ohjeets appointerl to them. Ther are worts under whith the wielesi departhres from reminal prowedne hase heon anthosized in Her Majenty's Indian Empire.
 the fore on of the ( olonial larliaments in delogating their
 they all deal with matters which seem al reasoblable mode af
 af the (ommonweath delegate the power to legislate rexate

 and it is casy to fere that this is comeret. bat the lime might har hatal to dran in allo gisen "ase.
 ment is cexerised as al delegation of pewer trom the Improtial larliament was nevertheless raised agall belore the High
 case of Brater v. At W'ay." It was there conteroded hy the
 which provided that goods. the impurtation al which shomald le prohibited be proclamation, shonld he prohihited imports. was ultre rires. It was a delegation of hegistative power hy


 'ourte. In Australia welfare is used in the case of New sumth Wialere.
 and Western Austalia: in Vietoria the pewer is to mahe lans in all cone

[^160]
## :36)

Parliament, and surh delegation was repugnamt to s. I of the Constitution, which provided that the legislative power of the Commonwealth should be vested in a Federal Parliament consisting of the Sovereign and the two Honses. They quoted the American Courts as laying down that it was an axiom in constitutional law universally regarded as a principle esential to the integrity and maintenance of the swisen of government, that no part of the legislative power could be delegated by the Parliament to any tribmal or body. The Commonweath had not the power which the state (iovermments had under their constitutions, to create subordinate hodies with powers of general legislation.
To the ohjection that the State Parliaments had legislated in a similar manner without having any expressed power to create subordinate bodies with powers of general hegisfation, it was replied that the State Parliaments, like the Legisfatures of the Provinces of Canada, had power to atter their constitutions by legislation in the ordinary bay, and a delegation of legislative power would in effect be an alteration of the constitution which rested that power in the Parhament it self.
They did not eontend, however. Hat the Parhament was a delegation of the lmperial larlament and that the maxim delegatus non delegner polest applied. hut that this particular provision was repmgnant to the 'onstitution. The High Conrt decided mamimonsly against the contention of the limitation of the power of Parlimatent. They relied npont the case of ligy. r. Burrah.' The: conhld see Bo difference between the powers that were exereined with regated to C'instoms by the state Parlianents before the ('ommonwealth Constitution came int operation, and the powers conferred on the Parliament of the Commenweall hby he Const it utionitelt. The argument as to the power of the states to alter their constitutions was expressly noted by I saac: J., who pointed out that as a matter of fact the power of the Legislature to alter the constitution depended on the terms of the constitntion as it existed at any given moment, and he referred to the ease of C'ooper v. Commissioner of Income Tha, ${ }^{2}$ as showing

$$
\text { ' } 3 \Lambda_{\text {plp }} \text {. Cils, ss! }
$$

[^161] power arlia inses. lat it ed as of the ower al or the reate ated $2 \cdot 10$ ion, ilres it $11-$ tion tho elf. It is suggested, for "xample, that it would not be possible for a colomial Legislature to enact that the enemies of the comitry should not be regarded as enemies while in the limits of the colony. Or again. can a Colonial Legislature colact that a colonial bishoprice can only be filled by colonialborn elergymen? Or that the Governor should exercise his, prerogative of pardon only in accordance with the voice of a plebiscite? Or can a c'olonial Legisl ture alter the relations between the Governor and the Legislature? The latter question must, in the opinion of Sir H. Jenkyns, be allswered totally in the negative as wholly heyond the powers of any C'olonial Legislature. As will be seen elsewhere, it was the upinion of Mr. Boothby that the Imperial Parlianent alone could pass an Act extablishing a legislative council which the (rown coukl not dissolve. or setting up a limit to the royal choice of i - legal advisers by requiring that they should be, or become: three months membar ; of the Legislature and so forth. and he also denied the power of the Colonial Legislature to allow a Court consisting of the Governor and his Executive comeil to act as a Court of Appeal from the supreme Court of the Cohny: ${ }^{3}$

In this connexion there may her considered the doetrine of mujorn and minorn regulim which, as laid down by ('hitty.! distinguishes between the attributes of the king such as sovereignty, perfection. and perpetuity, which are inherent in and constitute his Majesty": political capacity, and which prevail in every part of the territories subject to the ('rown. by whatever peculiar or internal laws they may be governed. and the minor prerogatives and interests of the (rown which must be regulated by the local law of such places as have peculiar laws. The distinction in the fendal writer: was clearly based on the different eapacities of the ('rown as a sovereign and as a land-owning corporation, and in some cases there has been a tendency to treat the matter as if

[^162] assible of the 11 the lature omialse his ice of relat latter cred any s the thene it the oyal d bo and nial and the

## rinc

these minor prerogatives alone were regulated by the local law, and that others could not be so regulated. But the distinction seems to be ahsolutely without warrant, and the: only true doctrine seems to be that the power to atfect any prerogative depends on the neo of appropriate language in dealing with it : it is probable that any prengative whaterer can be barred by the use of suitable terms in dealing with it.
It is of course a question what terms are sufficient to har the prerogative. Thus it has been held in a series of cases that the prerogative of priority in payment in carses of bankrmptey is existing in ('anada 'and Australia " gencrally, but. on the other hand, it has been decided by the Priver ('ouncil's that it does not exist in Quebee becanse of the fard that the civil code of that Province expressly provides that there whall be only a preference to the crown in regated to this matter when special cireumstances exist. viz. the insolvent being an offieer under obligation to account to the ('rown : and the law of Queber is. aceording to the Imperial Act of 1iat. the old colomial French law. save as moditied he legislation since. But there is no trate in the decision of the Prive Comel that they regarded one premgative as less important than another, or that they areeped the view that the barring of a mino... brerogative was other than the barring of a major $\mu^{\prime \prime} \quad \because$ e: the words barring the general right of the Crown it expressly set out in the rivil code. hut that the right is meant to be barred is evident from the express grant of it in ome case. and the rule is not that the prerogative an only be bared by express words: it can ako be barred bey necessary intendment as in this case.
 4.3., at 19.44. Serealowe. ple 145. 146.

 A. ('. 5l9.
${ }^{3}$ Éxchange Bank of C'anarla v. Reg.. 11 Ipp). ('as. 15\%. ('f. Coblouial
 doctrine is applied to Manritius. There the French law is in foree in virtue of the terms of capitulation and allownece by the Crown. not ly an Imprial det as in Quebec, but the fact of such an let is not in point; the lexal law can hind the C'rown if it ticolorle mo.

## 361 PARLLAMENTS OF THE DUMHNLONS [PATTH

There may also be mentioned the dietum of Sitrong (!..I. of Canada in the pardoning case, where he semed to lay down the rule that no statute regarding the prerogative of pardon would be possible unless passed by the Imperial Parliament. ${ }^{1}$ It is inrpossible to adopt this view, and the l'rovincial Legislatures of C'anada, as a matter of fact. delegate the power of pardoning offences against local enactments to the Lientenant-rovenors. The cases alluded to by the Chief Justice tell in no way in favour of his view : they were C'ushing v. Dupuy, ${ }^{2}$ and in re Louis Marois ${ }^{3}$ decoded by the Privy Council. In both cases the decision merely was that a law world not bo held to take away the prerogative unless it was clearly intended to take it away: and in the case of Cuvillier v. Ayluein ${ }^{4}$ it was actnally held that the power to take away the prerogative lay in the Colonial Leg lature, though the Crown itselt could not divest itself of its aghts by any volmary action alone. Nor is there any dombt that the Canadian Act of 1888 , whieh takes away the prerogative of allowing an appeal to the Privy Council in cases of criminal law, is vatid as far as the prerogative right to grant leave to appeal goes, but it is hable to be overridden by the atatutory right under the Act of $1844^{5}$ to grer heave to appeal.
Sor can it be successtully argued that the Legislature of a 'olony is mable to affect the position of the Governor, thongh this argument undoubtedly derives some strength from the faet that the federal constitution of Canada removes. from all power of alteration by the federal or provincial Parhiaments alike the position of the Lientenant-Govermor. ${ }^{6}$ It is clear that in that case the intention is to seeure that there shall be an exceutive officer with a power as to legislation whatever the form of legislature shall be. Can it be said that this is merely a laying down formally of what follow: inevitably from the very position of the Governor? Tinto

- Attorney-Generai of Cannila v. Alturney-General uf Ontario, $23 \mathrm{~s} .1 . \mathrm{C}$. R. 4iv. at pp. 468, 469. See Laftoy, Legislative Pouer in Canada, pp. 180-2.

 tive of uperial nd the fart, local lluded view : arois: 3 cision $y$ tho way. lucld 1 the livost $\mathrm{OH}^{2}$ is hich the the it is the
seems very diffirult indeed w maintain. The act of altering the post of Covernor and its duties can harlly be said to be heyond the powers of a Colony to legislate for peace, order, and good govermment. Again, any misuse of legislativo authority can be eorrected, whether by the action of the Imperial Parliament or by disallowance of an Act. The ('olonial Logislatures are constantly imposing new dinties ont the Governor ; can it be said that an Act affecting lis position so as to make it elective would be invalid: In the old North American Colonies in some rases the propriotors could select the Governor, subject to ropal assent. ${ }^{2}$ In Tasmania it was proposerl in $18: 53$, in drafting the constitution Act, to make the Governor removable by reason of a twothirds majority of the two Houses of the Legishature : could it have been held beyond the powers of the (rown to assent to such an Act, and for that Act then to be valid:

On the other hand, it is fair to say that a Colonial Legislature must remain within the lomods of colonial legislation. It might indeed allow enemy muljects to trade with Colonial British subjects, and the permission would be valid within the ferritory, assuming of course that the Crown sanctioned an $y$ Act for this purpose, since such trading is illegal at common law. It could resolve (as some politic ians desired to do in the ('ape during the Boer war and now do) to remain neutral in war to the extent that it would not assist the Mother Country - ; it is then for the Mother Country to say whother it will acquiesce in that decision ; if it does not it can of course apply foree to compel participation : but no amount of declarations will create neutrality in international law if the other power concerned does not care to accept such neutrality, and Mr. Gavan Duffy's attempt to obtain a resolution in 1870 from the people of Australia in favour of the neutralizing of the Colonies was properly laughed out of court by his colleagues as impracticable and utopian. Moreover, the legislatures are legislatures for a Colony, and

[^163]they ramot abolish the saters of the Coblony as a Colony. nor the existence of the Legislature. It has indered been argued on the ant logy of the power of the Legislatures of Neot land and Einghand to extinguish themselves in uniting into the Legislature of Great Britain that this power can be exercised, but that is to forget that a Colony is not a sovereign state. That a sovereign state may decide, ass did beotland and as did England, to forgo in part its sovereignty by uniting with another part of the world is not an argument for a subordinate legislature throwing up the duties imposed upon it by the Imperial reown or Parliament.

This view, however, does not merely rest on theory, however stiong. It is supported by the actual pratetice in many cases. 'Thus, for example, when Jamaicat desired to entrust the framing of a new constitution to the ('rown in 186ie it did not merely pass an Act for this end. but the Act was confirmed and ratified by ar: Imperial Act. $29 \& 30$ Vict. e. 12, the law officers having advised that this course was neeessary. Or again in 1876, when it was decided by St. Vincent and Girenada to abandon for finaneial reasons their antonomy, the surrender was ratified by an Imperial Act, $39 \& 40$ Vict. r. 47. On the other hand, it may be urged that the Legislature of the Virgin Islands has reduced itself since 1902 to the Guvernor of the Leeward Islands, and this merely by local acts, bit there again the fact remains that a Governor is the Legislat ure endowed with all the powers which formerly the Legislature possessed. and that not by any reason of the prerogative, but by the vesting in him of all the rights possessed by the old legislature:. He legislates, but he is a legislature in himself. and he can change his own composition, though he is a single person and not a representative legislature within the meaning of the C'olonial Latrs V'alidity Act, 1865, beeause he has had conterred upon himself the powers formerly possessed by the representative legislature of the Colony in the days when it possessed an clective assembly. Or again, in British Honduras the Legislature has reduced itself since 1870 to a nomince body, but that body has all the powers of the old Legislature, and can change its constitution; it has not abolished itself nor attempted to deprive itsolf of its ohd
 legistate within the limits of its constitution. Nor conded it so legislate as 10 permit itself to become a member of a federation. It was clear that for this pmpose an Imperiat Aet wals required.
This principle was maintained steadily in Anstralial, where, however, it might have been held to be rendered necessary by the fact that Imperial legislation was required to create a federation in view of the fact that all the colonies in the (ommonwealth owed their constitutions to Imperial legislation. But it was equally held to be necessary in the "are of the Somth African Colomies when they formed a Union in 1910. In that case the Colenies all owed their position to letters patent, and it conld not be maintained that the need for an Imperial Aet was due to existing Imperial legislation. It was clear that the need was simply hased on the essential position that a colony eamot alter its Colomial status by becoming part of a federation, and that no concert of neighbouring Colonies ean produce this effect.'

If a Colenial Legislature camot extinguish itself it camot abolish the Colonial (iovernor as the representative of the 'rown controlling the exceutive authority of the Colony. It is indeed still regarded as important not to insert provisions in Colonial laws defining in any way the appointment of the Executive Govermment ; thens in the case of the Natal Constitution the proposal of the select committee of the Legislative Comneil which drafted it to insert a clause providing for the appointment of the Covertor by the Crown was omitted at the request of the 1 mperial Govermment, as it was not a convenient manmer in which to legislate, ${ }^{2}$ and in 1906 the Pa liament of South Australia ${ }^{3}$ would not proceed with a Bill introduced at the suggestion of the Chief Justice to regularize the position of the Deputy Governor beeanse it was held to be a matter of prerogative and not a fit subject for legislation. The Chief Justice's doubts were of course

1 The Gincernment of Soulh Africa, i. $45 \mathbf{5}-4$.

${ }^{3}$ Nien Ilouse of A.sembly Debutes, 190n, 1p. 141; Legislative romenril
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rould er of a uprial where, essary create ies in uperial in the Union sit ion it the perial hased er its that fert. ${ }^{1}$ unot f the . It sions f the nstitive the ttell not the vith to e it ject arse
the to the absence of any legal authority for the appoint ment of deputies other than the authority in the lotters patent, but it can hardly be said that his doubts wore necessary or natural. The Bill as introduced was certanly objectionable, if it purported to confer upon the (iovernor"s deputy all the power of the (iovernor, whle the letters patent cxpressly allow the (iovernor to limit the power in such manner as he thinks tit. If the Bill had been passed the (iovernor would of courso have reserved it, and it is hardly likely that it would hate become law, hat it is wortl. mentoming an a good example of the happege-hucky characier of the Colonial Constitution, that the Governor is not requited to reserve such a Bill, though a Bill affecting the Governor"s salary must under : ho Imperial Act of 190 - be reserved. 'The Bill, modilied to a void tho objoctions raised to its predecessor, was passed thirough hoth Houses in 1910 and reserved for the royal assent.
some doubt was felt in South Australia in 185in at 1 ' Whother it was within the powers of the Colonial Legislature to make provisions as to the proposed constitution of tho Lixocutivo Comeil by making certain wheials members in virtuo of their offices, and to require that warmants for expenditure and appointments 0 . dismissals to office shoukd ho signed by the Governor and countersigned by the chief Secretary. The Law Officer of South Anstralias ${ }^{1}$ advised, howover, that the power oxisted; that thene wero matters which by the Imperial Parliament for the United Kinglom could and might be regulated be law, and that there was no reason why thoy should not be regulated similarly as far as legal considerations wore concerned for the Colony of South Australia. He, howover, drew attention to the matter, leaving it for the Imperial Government to decide whether to approve of the terms of the Act or not. The terms of the Act were not criticized by the Imperial Govermment, and it is clear that it would be impossible to take exeeption on logal grounds to suel legislation. On the other hand, there are good grounds of eonvenience for not dealing in any way with exocutive matters by law.

[^164]
## 

 memt. truly representative bodies, not delegates of the clectotate in atys selos. There was some fexding when the Commonwealth Parliament increased its phy to Lbo from E400 in 1907 without any previons consultation of the electorate, and somth Australia in $19+0$ made the increane dependent on the will of the people at a referendum which was taken in April 1901. Western Australia again in 1910 proposed toprovide that the increase shoud only be effer tive from the begiming of the next ladiament. but Tammana boldlly fixed on Jannary 1, 1911, as the date for the new provision operating mader Aet No. ais of 1910 and Westem Australia adopted that date also. Again, the Ontario lagislature in 1901 prolonged for a comple of months the term of its existence despite somo protests." and their adion is not isolated. The remedy for any wrong adtion is the will of the people at the next election, and that must be relied upon if anything is to be found a check.
Fhere is no tendency in the colon: $n$ to introduce a referendum in the s..... sense of the word or to solve thas their difticulties. Se. ! !adlorks beaween the two Houses werferenda are preseribed in the Commonweath in cases of disagreemodits as to the Comstitution onls. just as all amendments of the Constitution require exterenda to confirm the action of Parliament. In Queen-land the procedure may: by Act No. 16 of 1908 , be adopted in ally cane of a deadlock between the Honses, but a smilar proposal in New South Wales was indignamly repudated in 1910 be the Labour party and the project was dropped. No other state hats adopted it, nor is it known in New Zealand or in C'anada, Newfoundland, or South Africa. The constitutional referendam has been used in the Commonwealth already on five ${ }^{3}$ oecasions, and sobath Australia heddin 1911 a referendmon thoquestionof member:
 Mortey in House of Lorde, March 2 S . July 4.1911 .
*Sice Comadian Annuml Regiater. I!w1. p. f29!.
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 reanterd in the rednetion of the mataber of member wit the . S-amble to ninety.

 Anstralia as tor religions colamation: three quedione were put, that of contimance of the exiotimg -
 to demominational mehools. 'The remble were deronively in


 in farour of a sy:tom of melemominational teathing shphlomenterl by acees for demminational pumporso and this rote thongh not combially areeptelly the ( catriel oht by them by Aet No. iof 1911. Nr. Kikloin arguing that the derixion of the people mast in fatimes las wheved. An informal reforemdum on edneation walaken in Viotoria in 1904. hat every effort since to pase a Bill for that end has been rejeeted, ineloting an attompt toint moluce - Heh a clanse in the hast Education det of l!oll In Mani-
 !iet. c. 3.j. and in other peovinces, a reforendam was tatern as to. ammfacture sale and importation of intoxicants. ant a geneval referendmon these topies was hed int 'andala
 favour of prohilition was not stomg emongh for rifet manh.

The nse of the referendum in the Dominions for constitntional alterations has not been manal even int the rase of the formation of federations. In the rate of famala there were no reforenda at all. and only in New Brunswiek was there a general election on the grestions. In the ease of the Chion of South Africa only in Natal was a reforendum held. In Anstralia, on the other hand, referendal wede lefld in all the six states, and it was not until all the six states had coneurred That federation was adopterl. ${ }^{1}$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\text { see beton, Ban } \\
\text { B } b: 2
\end{array}
$$
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## THE TERRITORAAL LAMTATHA OF DOMINOA LECHSLATHON

## § I. Tine Niftre: of the: Limitatios

 all

 "ord ' within 'in the power given to kegivate; ' hat thi exalet wording is momsmal. No dedhetion call therefore be
 int the other easer, for the whole histery of the mather Now that the territorial limitation han caisted thenggl sut.

It granting pewere of legivtation to the Colnties. it is whene that mothing but chao womble result if cand Colong comble legistate without regard to the limite of the colonge The Lumperial Parlianemt can hegistate hor ally palle of
 pmanithity of it being mable to culoner the have bevond the limits of its own tertitory. bat to cham for the condonies a similar power of hegivation woukd ent in hepeless conthsion. This view has repeatedly beoll anerted by the law ofticer of the Crown. For example, with referene to Britioh
 a Cohmial Legivature cammot kegally exemive itw juriadietion hevemed its tervitomal limits-three miles from the shomeor at the momet (ant only do this over prome domicilend in the Colong who may offend against its ordinameer. even


: Trial of Eurl Russell, [I! 1 ] ] I. ('. 446.



 the ghestion within what diatanere of the Fialklalld Salata foreigners might be legally prevented from whate of arol





Thee viow of tho law othorers is down to hatre hered hithed

 merot- for the hembing of apporals the thent lation lige the
 making provisions for appeal- from Briti-h Hondmais for lise

 loin. 1. s:3.

Similarly it is dace lo the territorial limatation of liolonial
 (1) provide for the extradition of oflomencos iuchoding their legal ematerly while leryond the limits of the 1 'shony from whirls they are extradited, and for the remedy on fagitive wtemers daring removal from onte part of the Vimpire to another.' Again, ley the Aet of Will. 11. r. 1\%. it is laid down that whereas hy reason of the eeparation of the (imeromments of the said islands it was mot possible to alroange for the reetion of two ('ourts of Jodicathere in the Weet Indiant I Sands, therefore Imperial legishation had to be pased.

In the ('anadian pri oneres rase. Latd f)urhann had, with his nomine commeil in Lower ('amalia, which was a suecial
 of the recont rebellion and the necessity for suspembing the constitntions, deeided that revtain politieal offenders shonld be bamished to Bermuda. It was then advised by. the law ofliceris of the Cown that the urdinance for effer ting
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this could not be held to be inlire vires: the banishmen wa: legal, but not the confinement beyond Lower (imada. The case of Leomerel Wralson ${ }^{2}$ is an apparent exeeption te this rule: he was a prisoner under a statute of Upper ('anada who was being tramsported to Van Diemen's Land, and in Fingland it was held in his case that the retum to a writ of huluress corpus was not invalid. on the ground that the Colomial Legrislature could not anthorize tramsportation imere fimes of another territory. But in the case in question it appears from the judgement that the point was not dealt with by the court. and that even if the court be deemed to have arcepted to the full the argument of the proseention the matter would merely show that the combined effeet of the Queber Act of 1774, which introduced English law, and the Act of $18: 2$, which mentions transportation among the (olonies, had validated what else might have been an abuse of power by the Legislature. ${ }^{3}$ So Sir John Maedonald, in 1873. pronounced against the validity of an Ontario Act which aluthorized the Lieutenant-Governor to remove any insane person who had come into the province back to the other province or country whence he had come. He laid it down that for removal from ore province to another a bommion Act was required, and for removal to another country an Imperial Aet was necessary. ${ }^{4}$ No in an 'ustralian cane, Rely v. Me.Makin." it was held by the Supreme ('ourt of Victoria that a statme which purported to authorize detention beyond the limits of New Soutl Wales was not valid. In the same vear Lord ('amarvon. ${ }^{\text {i }}$ in the House of Lords. laid it down that no ('olony could transport to another part of the Empire, and Lord Bemore, who had been Governor of New South Wales, agreed. but distingnished between deportation and exile. In the Brisberme. Oyster Fisher'y C'o. v. Emerson.' the ('hief Jnstiee of New sonth Wales laid it

${ }^{2} 9$ A. \& E. 731 : rf. I P. \& D. illi.
${ }^{4}$ 1adroy, Legistutive Prourer in r'amade. pp. :3:3.3. 3:-4,

- Irneincial Lagixhtuion, Isti-9.9. p. Iois.
 Hamerd, sir. 3, rexsiii. loit. .
- Kinox (N. S. W.), so.
ishment 'anada. ${ }^{1}$ ption to ('anada and in writ of 'olonial fines of ippears ith by o have on the of the $\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{and}$ ng the abuse 1873. which nsane other down inion $y$ an case, rt of etenalid. ords. part rinor veen C'o.
down that, whatever might be the power of the lmperial Parlianent, no Colonial Legistature could bind perans resident outside the territory, and he instanced the fare that difficulty had alwats arisen when it was somght to establish a Colonial navy because of the limited extent of Colonial juristiction. It was decided by the Supreme Court of New Zeakand in re Cileich. ${ }^{1}$ that the Cohonial Legiskatmere had no power to anthorize the eonverance on the high sea to another Colony, and the detention outside it: own jurisdiction of any perion whatsoever, such power requiring Imperial authority. On the other hand, Higinbothan J., in the Victorian case of Regima v. ('all, ex parte Varphy., ${ }^{2}$ declared that though as a matter of abstract speculation the Legislature of Vietoria might have no authority outside the Colonial limits, still its enactments were binding on all ('olonial Courts in Victoria.
Other early eases on this question affeet the attempt to give effect to criminal laws of a Colony heyond the territorial limits. Thus in Regime v. Brierly ${ }^{3}$ it was hed by the Chancery Division of Ontario that a C'anadian law was valid which made it an offence for a British subjeet resident in C'anada to commit bigamy anywhere, provided that he had left ranada in order to commit the offence. But this care was overruled or dissented from by the Queen: Bench of Ontario in Regina v. Plourmam, ${ }^{4}$ on the strength of Maleod x . AftorneyGeneral for Ser South Wales," and it is imposibible to follow Mr. Lefroy ${ }^{6}$ in his ingenious attempt to distinguish the cases by the faet that the enactment of New south Wales did not restrict its operation to Britioh subjects resident in that Colony as did the Aet of C'intada.

The whole question was claborately considered by the
${ }^{1} 10$. B. \& F. 太. C. 79 : New Meahand I'arl. I'up. Is80. I. (i.
: - V. L. R. 113, at p. 1:33; cf. alan Rig. v. Peursom, if V. I.. R. 3:3: :


(18!4) 2.5 (). K. (i.i6.
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 Suprome Conrt in the ease in re C'riminal Conle, Bigamy Sections. ${ }^{\text {T }}$ The Code of 1892 by ss. 275,276 , punished bigany committed anywhere by any British subject who left Canada for the purpose. The validity of the enaetment was uphek by fonr judgex, Gwyme, Sedgewick, King, and Girouard. The last-mamed judge rested his deeision on the highest grounds: the Dominion Parliament was, he said, a subordinate legislature, but subordinate only to the Imperial Parliament, and it had all the legislative authority of the Imperial Parliament so long as it did not contravene the positive prohibition of repugnancy enaeted by the Colonial Lams Validity Act. 1865; and the other judges held that at any rate the aet ual exereise of power in this case, one of a Conadian resident, was justified, adopting the view that Macleod's ease depended on the wideness of the terms of the Aet. On the other hand, the (hief Justice pronounced the seetions invalid, and held that the lim ation of the Act to cases of persons: who left Canada for the purpose of committing bigamy did not render it valid. He cited Macleod's case as decisive of the view, and reminded the Court of his judgement in Peek v . shields, ${ }^{2}$ in whieh ho had held that an aet eommitted in England could not be an offence under the insolvency law of Canada. ${ }^{3}$ It seems probable that in the aetual deeision the majority of the Court were right and the Chief Justice wrong, but only on the ground that the offence penalized was leaving Chanada with intent. Clearly the law could punish any leaving of Canada - the erime is committed at latest at the last moment of departure within the territory -and if it punished sub mollo the faet that the aet whieh proved the intent was done elsewhere could not be said to invalidate the penalty on the leaving.In one very 'uteresting case, The Ship 'D. C. Whitney' $v$. St. Clair Navigation C'o., the question was whether C'anadian

[^165]was registered in Scotland, had been registered in Newfoundland the Legislature could not affeet aets done on the high sals beyond its territorial limits. He said :-

The Terru Nown is a ship of the British nation, and as such the Imperial Parliament would unguestionably be competent to give effect to an Act prohibiting with penalties the killing of seals or such like at a specified time anywhere over the seas by persons on board said ship, but that is from suprome and unlike Colonial limited authority.

Little J. ${ }^{1}$ on the whole agreed in this view, though perhaps slightly less decisively; and on the other hand, Pinsent J. ${ }^{2}$ held that the case was one in which the C'ourt had jurisdiction, though it would not have had jurisdiction over a foreign ship pursuing the business from a foreign port : he held, however, that the Legislature could affect things within its limits, even if the action doalt with took place outside the limits, and this view has so much truth in it, and (arter C. J. agreed with it in this regard, that it cannot be denied that laws ean be so worded as to effect pretty much what would have beon effeeted by a direet exercise of extra-territorial legislation. For examplo-and this is no doubt what was at the back of Mr. Pinsent's remarks-if the Legislature enacted, as it did in 1887 (50 Vict. c. 26), that it should not be legal to bring into the ports of Newfoundland soals caught on the high seas in the close season the legislation could not have been held to be invalid. ${ }^{3}$ So to avoid extra-territorial legislation over foreigners, an Imperial Act of $1909^{4}$ was passed by which the landing in England of fish caught by foreign versels trawling in the Moray Firth was forbidden, and thus in great measure the aim of the law could be effected. There is an excellent example of the same principle in the legislation of the Federal Couneil of Australasia in 1888 and 1889 regarding the pearl fisheries in Queensland and Western Australia. Under

[^166]the wide power given in that Act it was posible to nevent all British ships from engaging in the fisheries, however far out at sea, withont taking out a lieence, and in effect, as the fishery could only be carried on by vessels which could rely on the use of the shore for stores and shelter, it was possible. as shown in 1911, to require all foreign vesecels to take ont a licence and pay the fees as a comdition of using the shore at all.

The other case is that of The Queen v. Delepine, in which. as in the former case, the waters of Newfombland were held in the case of bays to extend from a line drawn three miles from headland to headland. quoting the decision of the ('hief Justice of Newfoundland and of the Privg ('ouncil in Anglo-American Telegraph C'o. ‥The Direet C'nited States ('o...' where it was laid down that Conception Bay was territorial waters of Newfondland. 'The well-known ('anadian case of the Frederisk lierring ${ }^{3}$ illustrates, however, only the ordinary three-mile limit if the evidence is to be aceepted as correct. At the same time it may be noted that in a recent case ${ }^{4}$ the Camadian Supreme Court has adopted the doetrine that capture of a vessel which haw just infringed some local law in territorial waters while it is being hotly pursued from these waters is lawful even if the capture is outside the threc-mile limit, as it is recognized as legal in international law, and there scems nothing to justify us in supposing that the doctrine would not be upheld if an appeal had been brought to the Privy C'ouncil on the question. The Court evidently considered the usmal question of the limitation of authority and decided against it. on the ground that the power of the fishing regulation could not be exercised effectively without it. It may be noted also that the Natal Treason C'ourt held that it could punish treason committed outside

[^167]Natal, ${ }^{\prime}$ under its inherent jurisdiction, and not, as of course it might have done, under the Imperial Acts which were not cited.
There are other cases sometimes cited in this connexion which have really nothing to do with the question, but deal with questions of civil rights in a Colony of persons residing abroad. It is abourd to say absohtely, as in the doctrine ascribed by Lefroy to Lom v. Roulledge,: that an atien's rights out side ('anada cannot be affected by a ('anadian Act. That rase is no authority for any such proposition : it is an authority merely for the proposition that an Imperial Act conferring certain privileges camot be rendered invalid by any ('olonial legislation, if such privileges are expressed to extend to the colonies, as was the privilege of obtaining copyright imperially by publication in England in that case. The real position is clearly laid down in Ashbury v. Ellis, ${ }^{3}$ where the Privy Council held elearly that the power given to New Zealand by s. 53 of the C'onstitution Act of 18502 enabled the Legislature to make rules subjecting to the jurisdiction of its tribunals persons neither themselves nor by their agents. resident in the colony, in respect of actions founded on any contract made or entered into wholly or in part to be periormed in the Colony, for their lordships are clear that it is for the peace, order, and good government of New Zealand that the Courts of New Zealand should in any ease of contracts made or to be performed in New Zealand have the power of judging whether they will or will not proceed in the absence of the defendant.' The Court carefully distinguished in that ease between the validity of the law in the Colony and its effect outside in other Conrts, which of course is quite a different thing, and depends on the dort rines of private international law. Thus the cases which treat of the effect in England of judgements obtained in Colonial comrts in these cases are not directed to the effect of C'olonial laws outside the territory, but to the principles of haw which apply if a Court proceeds with a case in the

[^168] cited. nexion ut deal esiding octrine ; right.s
That antho-- cony any extend yright 10 real re the New ed the ion of gents n any to be that New casc have ceed fully law hich the hich $d \mathrm{in}$ fiect ples the
ign :
absence of the defendant. or where the canse of ate tion hats nothing to do with the Colony. 'lo put an extrene case. if a Colony should allow cases to be brought in its ('ourts against persons in England in respect of cathes of action .. i wing in Fingland muder English haw, the judgements of the courts would be probably invalid in any other (onnt of the world hy private international law. but they would not be invalid on the mos restricted gromed that a fohony (anmot legislate for more than its territorial limits. But if it subjerts persons resident in Fingland to actions in its ('ourts for matters afferting the Colony, as. for instance. a cont ract to be pertomed therein, it certainly does not exceed the boundaries of it- valid jurisdietion. thongh the amome of consideration to be paid to its judgements will depend on private intemational law. The principle can be ilhatrated by two reerent rases. In one ${ }^{1}$ the High ('onrt of the C'ommonwealth decided that an det taxing property wonld not be read to apply to property situated in Eingland. hut insisted that the right was bevond doubt to tax property, the proceeds of which were cither actually present in Queensland or were under contact to be present there, so that they must be regarded as being in Queensland. In another case ${ }^{\text {a the Privy ( }}$ (ouncil hedd that the express limitation of the wording of s. 0 of the British North Americe Act, 1867, was such as to formed any Provincial Legislatne to keve death duties on any property whatever not within the province de facto. even if the deceased had died domiciled there, althongh it is the general rute that a C'olonial Legislature can impose death duties on property outside when a man is clomiciled in a pla $:$ on the ground that in law the assets are where the man is domiciled, thongh this does not apply to landed property, which camnot he taxed if outside the Colony, muless under contract to be

[^169]comverted into cash. ${ }^{1}$ It may be noted that the Transwalal Legislature, in Act No. $2 x$ of long regarding death duties. insisted on taxing shares in mining companies, wherever registered. carrying on their business in Sontlo Africa, though the persons owning these shares were not domiciled in Sontlo Afrion: it treats them as assimilated to land as being the proceeds of such land; but the provisions, though not technically wher vires, are such as conld hardly be hold to be binding in England if an attempt were made to compel transfer of shares withont payment of duty, thonegh re course the lan conlal require all transfers to be local on pain of exclusion from transacting business locally at all.

## S. 2. I'lle Recent Intehbretation of the Doctrine

The general doetrine has been of late at once asserted and more closely examined by screral important judgements of the Privy Council, the High Court of Anstralia, and the Supreme (ourt of New Zealand.

The most important of these cases is mopuestionably Macleod v. Attorney-General for New Sonth Wales.2 In that case the interpretation of s. It of the Crimimal Law Amendment Act, I 883, of New South Wales was brought int o question. That seetion enacts that whosocver being married marries. another person during the life of the former hosband or wife. Wheresoever such second marriage takes place. whall bo liable to penal servitude for seven years'. A ('ourt ol Quarter Sessions at Syducy in New Someth Wales convicted Macleod for bigamy. It was contended for the appellant that the Comrt had no jurisdiction to try the appollant at all. The Aet under which he was tried must be interpreted alrelating to offences conmitted within the jurisdiction of the local Legislature by persons subject at the time of the
"The power to tax is recognizac by s. 20 of the Finance A 1 t, 1804 , and the attempt to deny the power to tax property ontside in the case of a domiciled person failed in the case lie Tyson. (1900) 10 Q. L. J. 34 Harrison Moore, C'mmmonuenth of Australia², pp, 33:5)-7. But the effret of such taws elsewhere is a different matter : ef. Npiller s. Turmer, [18:9:
 Dicey, Complint of Lates ${ }^{2}$. Ip. ittiser.

offonce to ats jurisdiction. C'pan ary other comstrintion it wonld be wher cires. the lowal Legislatme deriving from the Imperial l'arhament a jurisdiction limited to the extent of the Colone:

It was argied, on the other hand, that the lobony had finll juristiction, and it was pointed ont that the Imperial l'arlia-
 to that made by the Parliament of Now Sonth Wates. It appeared that Maceood had marred in the colong of New sonth Wiales one wonan in 1 sie. and in her lifetime in $18 s!$ he was married at St. Lamis, in the Nate of Miswomi in the United States of America, 10 amother woman, amel him cont viction for higamy was in respert of that second marriage.

The Privy ('ommeil advised Hor Majesty that the julgrement of the Supreme Conrt of New Somth Wiales. which had dismised the appeal bronght fiom the court of Quarter sossions, shombl be reversed. They held that the word wheremever' in the section was miversal in its application, and they contimed as follows:-

Therefore, if their Lordships constrme the stathte as it atands, and mpon the bare words, any person, married to any othor person, who marries a recond time anywhere in the labitable globe. is amenable to the criminal jurisdiction of New Sonth Wiales, if he can be ranght in that colons. That seems to their Lordships to be an impossible construction of the statute : the colony can have no such jurisdiction. and their Lordships do not desire to attribnte to the Cobmial Legislature an effort to enlarge their jurisaliction to suld an extent as wonld be inconsistent with the powers rommitted to a colony. and, indeed, ineonsistent with the most familiar principles of international law. It therefore bece mes neressary to seareh for limitations to see what would be the reasonable limitation to apply to words so general : and their Lardships take it that the words 'whonever being married' mean whosoever being maried and who is amenable, at the time of the offence committed. to the jurisdiction of the 'olony of New Sonth Wales'.

Fourther, interpreting the section as intended to make the offence of bigamy justiciable all over the colons: and to secure that no limits of local venue were to be observed in
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 administering the criminal law in that respect, they thong that this constraction of the statute received support fro the arrangements made in the statute for the trial, the form the indictment, \&e. It wasplainly implied in their opinio that the venne, which was New Sonth Wales, and tho juri diction shonld be sufficient muless the contrary were showUpon the face of this recore the offence is charged 1 have been committed in Missouri, in the United States Smeriea, and it therefore appears to their Lordship, that $i$ is manifestly showa, hevond all possibility of donbt, that the offence chatged was mi offence which, if committed at all Was committed in another comntry, by youd the juristiction of the Colony of New South Wales.

The result, as it appears to their Londships, must be that there was no jurisdiction to they the alleged offender for thi offence. and that this conviction should the set aside. Their Lordships think it right to add that they are of opinion that if the wider construetion had heen applied to the statute. and it was supposed that it was intended thereby to comprelend cases so wide as those insisted on at the bar, it would have been beyond the jurisediction of the Cobmy to enact such a law. Their jurisdiction is conflined within their own territories, and the maxim which has, beell more than once quoted. Extrun territorimm jus. dicenti impmene non peretar, would be applicable to such a case. Lord Wensloydale, when Baron Parke, advising the Honse of Lords in Jefferys $\mathbf{v}$. Beosey, ' expreses the same proposition in ry torse language. He says: 'The Legislature has no - ed over any persons except its own suldeets-that is, f $\cdot$ on-natural-horn subjeets, or resident, or whilst they ate ithiu the limits of the kiugdom. The Legislature can impowe no duties except on them; and when legislating for the benefit of persons, nust, primin fucie, be consideres to meat the benefit of those who owe obedience to our laws, and whowe interests the Legislature is under a corvelative obligation th protect.' All crime is lucal. The jurisdiction over the crime belongs to the comntry when the erime is committed, and except over her own subjects, Her Majesty and the Imperial Legislature have, power whatever. It appears to theis Lordships that th. effect of giving the wider interpretation to this statute necessary to sustain this indictment would be to comprehend a great deal more than Her Majesty:
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of the Comnon in the conts me: He operation of the Commonwathly 1 we extend on! $\because$ the places within the Commonwalth exeph mo far an a larger juriorliction o operatime is giv
The jurimeti tion of Conciliatio. "calth court, $1 .$. hemi by lan: UConnor J. maid Fonri (the Commonwealth Cour a aree, be contined within the term torial limits on : ' The latis of the commonw eath extend, and it A. I hat apart from the provisions of $\therefore$ s of the foe comstitutions, there laws could han reyend the there miles seat limit aromad Con: 1 . 5 :an "yend the there miles seat
It has sometime !eean', 'that there is ath exception to this rule in thocast ith: i. ......lar and Oriemtul stenm Sieri-
 ment in that casc are important and may be givenat length.

The action out of which this appeal arimes was brought In: the Minister of state for Trate and Custems of the tommonweath of Alastralia against one Charles Ciadd, the master of the British meveltant hip, Ocrume, Belonging to the Appelhant Company, for penaltices under two seetions of the Act No. 6 of 1 got of the Commonwealth of Aust ralia. being the C'astoms Act, Isol
The faets are not ind dispolle. and are set out in the statement of cham and arlmithed by the defence.
The Oceana had of her arival in the Port of sydne! goods liable to duty: and, after lere arrival, mone goods were shipped on thard. "Upon nome of the goods in question were duties paid. although all of them were liable lo duty, but by the arrangenent comemplated and in pursuance of the Cillitoms Act in ynestion. the goods were recured on bearel the Oceane by the 'intome offieer by placing C'ustoms seat upont parts of the ship in which they were stored.
After the ship left the Purt of Sydney for Melbourne, ant White ont the vopage. the defendant calleed the receptarlefor these groods to be opened and the customs seal- to he broken. During the vopage, and afterwards daring the: Ship's stay in the Port of Melbourne. the stoves wore need bis the passengers and erew and for the service of the ship.

[^171]
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the jurisdiet ion of the Austratian ('ommonweallo, sertion 192 "as lowond the power of the Australian ('ommonwealth to chate if applied to such al base as that now moder debate.

Their Lordships think that the objeetion is founded on a misapprehension of what the section enadets. The seetion ansumes the lawful imposition of the Customs reals for the purpose of exempting from duty goods bpon which the (commonwealth might hate exacted impore duties. But in (ase of trade and rommerre, and as a regulation for navigattim. all of which sulbectere are whin the competence of the Commonwealth legistiature. the shipowner is permitted to have on board and in Anstralian perte goods so seated up that they camme be need whike the seate remain mubroken. This is a privilege areorded to the shipowner who might be compelted to pay duties in reepeet of all goods on board his ship. The offecine created by seetion 192 is the comperite act of braking the seals and coming into and Anst ratian port with the seals broken.

When the arangement referred to has been permitted to the shipewner for the purpose of cexmpting him from patying duty, it is immaterial where the ard of braking the seals take phace. When he comes back into an Anstralian port with the reals broken. the offence is complete.

As Mr. Instice Hood points omt, the ship is, by arrangement, converted into a bond so that the stores ramot lansfully le nsed till the tinal departure of the whip.

As has heren pointed out he comsel, the legislation proreeds on precisely the same lines as seetion 135 of the Imperial C'ustoms ' 'omsolidation ACI, 1xigi, and under that rection. if a foreign ship were to take goods so sealed from one bonded warehonse in the United Kingdom to another: although in the course of her vogage she might go outside the territorial limits of the United Kingdom, the very same question might arise, and upon her arrival i.. any other pert in the Cmted Kingdom the master would undoubtedly, in their Lordships opinion, be liable to the penalties ereated be that sertion.

For these reasons their Lordhips will humbly reemmend to 1 li . Majesty to dismiss this appeal. The appellants mu-t pay the custs of it.

It will be seen from this case that the matter was complicated by the actual facts. It was perfertly the that the rats were broken white out beyond the three-mike limit. but there were obvivinty two grounds on wheh the ordinaty.

rule might be held to be valid, and yet. ont the wher hame, the eomblemmation take place. In the tirst place. it might he that, to make the power to legislate for termitorial waters
 for a vessed wheh, having eome into the territorial watere departed therore alml rambe hatk again intor lorritorial


 applied to the aase. It is realr form the judgement that the
 the offence was only complete lye entre into port with the sala broken. It may be that the judgement extablishes mu more than that it was legal for the (bmmonwealth Parliament to enact that entry with the seals broken shonld be an oflonce, thongh the breaking of the seals took plater at seat. This seems to be the interpretation plared on the ease bey the Goverrment of the ('ommonwealth, for in their Nisigation Bill, to strengthen legislation with 1 - ad to the wages pilyable on vessels while engaged in the coasting trade, at rallise (s. 2xא) is proposed noder which the wages int grestion shall not be deremed to have been padid if dedartions atre made outside Abstralia. to make up for the higher wage paid whike ragaged in the roasting trade, and in the notes areompanying the Bill reference is made to the Peminsmbar and Oricntal ease as justifying such legishation.

The matter has further been eomsidered in eommexion with the gurestion of the expmbion of aliens mader the lmmigutaton Aet at the bominion l'arliament. It was held in the (onet of King's Bench of Ontario hy Mr. Justier Anglin, that surh axpulion conld not be justified, on the grommel that it involved exta-teritorial legislation, and the legiskation of the Dominion was resemtially trritorial in chatactor. He relied
 ull sereral other rases, nome of which. loweror, is at rglal whine Io that rase. Ho thomght that the expulsion comblat
 sion heyomel the fromtior.

The decision of the Court was reversed loy the Privy Council in the cases of The Attorney-General for Canada $\dot{v}$ Cain and The Attorney-General for Camada v. Gilhula. ${ }^{1}$ This case again is of sufficient importance to justify quotation of the julgement.

The question for decision in this ease is whether seetion 6 of the Dominion Statute 6 io \& 61 Viet. c: 11 (styled in the respondents" case "The Alien Labour Aet'), as amended by 1 Edw. VIl. e. 13, section 13. is, or is not, ultra vires of the Dominion Legivlature.

In the events which have happened the question has in this instance beeome more or less an academic one, inasmuch as the two persons arrested inder the Attorney-General's warrant grauted moder the authority of section 6 were on the 17th of June, 1905, discharged from custody by orter of Mr. Justice Anglin. and a vear having therefore elapsed since the date of their entry into C'anada they rannot be re-arrested.
Section 9 of $60 \& 61$ Viet. $r .11$ has been amented by 61 Viet.e. 2. and sections 1, 6, and 9 of the Alien Labour Art, as amendel, are in the terms following :-
(1) Frome and after the passing of this Aet it shall be mlawful for any
prerson, company. partureshifp or cerporation, in nuy manner to prepay
the transpretntion, or in any way to assist or enconrage the infportation
Hreemeration of any alich or furcigner into Camula, mender contract or
impertation of sur ur sle crial. express, or implied. made previous to the
kind in Canada.'
(6) The Attorney-Gemeral of Camada. in case he shall be satisfied that of mime ant has heen allowerl toland in Cannda cuntrary to the prohilition handing or entry, to be buth immigrant, within the period of one year after Whenee he came, at the cexpensisto of custorly and returned to the country if hee entered from an adjoining of the owner of the importing vese fol, of partnersisip, eompany, or comporationtry, at the expense of the persum.
(9) Thii Act shail apply unly ' violating Seretion 1 of this Aet.' onch persons as reside in or only th the impertation or mmigration of "hacted and retained in furere, wizans of such forcign countries as hav.. ordimanees applying to canada, of a clanacicter and ritain in foree. laws in The validity of seetion of wis gromds, and was held 0 was impeached on several Dominion Parliament in transeend the powers of the the Attorney-General or mich as it pmported to authoriz. against whom it was to be delegate to deprive persom-- 119061 A. C. 54 , trom Qureensland ly the Higle Court in livbelmes d. Brenan, 4 C. L. R. 39, nada v. . 1 This ation of
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the territorial limits of ('anadia, and upon this point alone the decision of the case turned. It was conceded in argument before their Lordships, on the principle of law laid down by this Board in the case of Macleod v. Attorney-feremed for Tew Soיth Wales. that the statute must, if possible be construed as merely intending to authorize the deportation of the alien across the seas to the country whence he came, if he was imported into ('anada hy sca, or, if he entered from an adjoining comntry, to autlorize his expulsion from ('anada across the Canadian frontier into that adjoining eountry. The judgement of the learned Judge was in effect. based upoin the practieal impossibility of expelling an alien from (anada into an adjoining comentry withont surh ant exercise of extra-territorial constraint of his person by the ('anadian officer as the Dominion Parliament could not authorize. No -pecial significance was attached to the word 'return'. 'The reasoning of the judgement would apply with equal force if the word used had been 'expel ' or ' deport 'instead of 'return '.

In 1763, C'anada and all its dependencies, with the sovereignty. property. and possession, and all other rights which had at any previous time been held or aegnired by the ('rown of France, were ceded to Cireat Britain (St. Catheriue's Milling and Lumber Compar!! v. The Queen):" Upon that event the Crown of England becamo possessed of all legislative and executi? powers within the country so ceded to it. and. save so far as it has since parted with theee powers by legislation, Royal Proclamation. or volmentary grant, it is still possessed of them. One of the rights possessed by the supreme power in every State is the right to refuso to permit an alien to enter that State to annex what eonditions it pleases to the permission to enter it, and to expel or deport from the State. at pleasure, even a friendly alien, especially if it considers his presence in the State opposed to its peace, order, and good govermment. or to its social or material interests: Vattel, Law of Nations. Book I. sec. $\supseteq 31$; Book II. see. 125. The Imperial fiovernment might delegate ${ }^{3}$ those powers to the Govermor or the (iovermment of one of the Colonies, either hy Royal Proclamation which has the foree of a statute (Caimpbeill $v$. Mall $)^{4}$ or by a statute of the ${ }^{1}$ [1891] A. C. 45\%, at p. $450 . \quad{ }^{2} 1+$ App. Cas. 46, at p. 8.3.

- This dnctrine of delegation is curions and infelicitous: it is contrary tw the general trend of deeisions of the Prisy Council (see § 1), and is probably merely an mhappy use of language. See Harrison Moore. ('ommonutealth

- 1 Cowner, :34.
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Luperial Parhament, or be the statute of a heal partiamen tw wheh the 'rown has assented. If this delegation hat taken phace, the depositary or depositaries of the executiv :Inl hegishative powers and authority of the 'rown an enerise those powers and that anthonity to the extent thelegated as effectively as the rown conkl itself haw exerexad them. The following eanes establish thene pro
 Kyte ${ }^{3}$, hephan v. Riom. ${ }^{3}$ But as it is conneded that be thi
Latw of Vation the right to make supreme power in every state has aliens, and to enforere thone laws, it necessarily follows that the state has the pewer to do those things which mist be dome in the very act of expmbion, if the right to expel is to bre exercised effectively at all. notwithatanding the fact that constraint upon the persom of the alien butside the brondaries of the State of the commixaion of a trespase by the state officer on the territories of its neighbour in the mamer pointed ont by Mr. Su-tice Auglin in his judgement shonkd therehy resmit. Aecordingly it was in In re Adram detinitely decided that the Crown had power to remove a foreigner by foree from the Island of Mantinus, thongh. of course, the removal in that case would necessarily involve an imprisonment of the alien ont side British territory, in the ship on board of which he would bo put while it traversed the high sems.
The question, therefore, for decision in this case resolves itself into this: has the Act gill \& 61 Vict. e. 11 , assented to he the Crown, chothed the Dominion (iovernment with the power the Crown itself theretofore undoubtedly possessed to comitry whence to Dominion, or to deport him to the the fact that extraterritorial comina on : If it has, then be exercised in effecting the constraint must necessarily the warrant directing expulsion innsion cannot invalidate. of the statute which authorizes the ander the provisiom-

It has alreadre beeu decided in expulsion. Toys. that the Guvermment in M/nsyrove v. ('hmen Treom! virtue of the powers with of the ('olony of Victoria, bs falw for the peate, order. and whin it was invented to make had allthority to pus and good government of the Cobony: the Colony of lictorian preventing aliens from entering section 1 of the above- On the anthority of this can-- Moo. P. C. 460. at pp. 4 Te-fic

3 кйари, 33: at p. 34:3.
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pires of the Dominion Parliament. The enforeement of the provisions of this reetion mo doubt would not involve extratervitorial constraint, but it wonld involve the exereise of sovereign powers closely allied to the power of expulsion and based on the same principles. The power of expulaion is in truth but the complement of the pewer of exelavion. If entey be prohibited it womld merm to follow that tho Govermment which has the power to exehele shoulth hato the power to expel the alien who ellars in opposition to its laws. In IIorlge v. The Quee"' it Was deevided that al colonial logishature has within the limits preseribed by the stathte. which createf it an anthority as plenary and as amph. as the Imperial Parliament in the plenitume of it- power possessed and comld bestow . If. therefore power orexpel aliens who had entered ('anada agatiost bhe laws of the Dominion was by this statate given th the (evermment of the Dominion, as their Lordshipe think it was. it neresesarily. follows that the statute has also given then power to imposis that extra-territorial constraint which is neressary to chable them to expel those aliens from their borders to the same extent as the Imperial Government conld itself have imposed the eonstraint for a similar purpose had the stathe never been passed.

Their Lordships therofore think that the derision of Mr. Justice Anglin was wrong, and that the appeal should be allowed, and will so hmoly advise His Majesty.

Having regard to the arrangement as to costs made witla the Attomey-General at the hearing of the petition for -perial leave to appeal, and to all the circomstances of the case, their Lordships direct the appellant to pay the rosts of the respondents as between solicitor and cherit.

It will be seen that the Privy (oumeil in this rase in no wise derogate from the principle of the limits of the legislation within the territorial juristiction. As a groneral ruke. what they do hold is in substance that the limitation must not be insisted upon in surh a matuner as to rember the grant of legislative power inefferthal. That. it would reem. it is only fair to concerle. The case, therefore doen not cillty 11 heyond what is reasomably clear. A difticulty however is prosented by this case in its relation to thecase of herg. V. Laskey." That case arose ont of a revolution in South America.

[^172]The Revilutionary (iovermment put on board a Britis versel several of their opponents, and the British vesisi took them to England. It was assumed in the case i fuestion. that the placing on board was legal, but it wa held that the detention on board after territorial waters had been passed was not legal, and that the master of the vesse might be hable in damages. This difficulty would hardly arise in the ordinary case of deporting from c'anada over thi bomedary into the United States, but it might casily arise in the cases provided for in the conadian lommigration Law where persons are deported from comada to their native comentries involving a long sea-voyage. The question might be raised by an action in England for false imprisonment, and on the analogy of Lesley's case it may be held that damages should be awarded. But though the judgement of the Privy Comncil is not binding upon the English Courts. it wonld nevertheless be strange if those Courts did not find some means of explaining away the difficulty. For example. if a man deported from ('anada sued the captain of the vessel on which he was deported for damages for false imprisomment. it would be a sufficient answer that he had been legitimately removed from (anada by the Dominion fovermment. if the master were ruder an obligation by law of the Dominion. as is now the case, to return him to the country from which he came. In Lesley's ease it may. be noted the captain contracted to take the Chilian Revolutiomists expelled from (hili by the Government to England. and thus took upon himself more or less roluntarily the onnof assisting in their detention.
It will be noted that in the opinion of the law offieers in 1sans ' here was a suggestion that the laws of a Colony might be applied outside its limits to persons domiciled in the colony: The dietum was probably based on some misunderstanding or lack of full consideration, and it may have been induced by the fact that by private International Law a Colony could. for example, levy estate duties on the whole of the personal property, wherever situated, of a person who
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died domisiled in the Colony.' In any rase there is mo evidence of the principle being aecopted at that date, but with regard to merchant shipping. the question has

- 'Thisfact has given rise to a good deal of eonfusion as toextra-territorial legislation, whereas it really resta our a doctrine of situs of goods ; see e.c. Dicey, ('onflice of Lames. ${ }^{2}$ pig. 2.3 serf. There is a claswicall example of this confusion in the protests of the High Comminsioner of Cimada and the . Igente Ceneral in 1894 ugainst the Finance Act of that year: sere
 Colonies in the sense that a tax was levied on the Colomial asseds directly; that was not the cate: no prosedings under the Aet conte hawe bere thken in the C'olonies: the only liability was in England, nere was this objection pressed later in the disenswions of domble ineome tax (ef.
 again receivel new life from the resolntion of sunth . Wrical for dieronsinn
 rewomended that the Imperial fiovernment shonld in assersing death dinties make en allownere of the amoment paid on anoets sithated in the Colonies, the intention being to sereare the refluction of the amesoment on -hares int Irans vaal and Cage mining enterprises on whel death dutien ate. pivable in every casse, thongl not regarded ly the limperial (finermment as being assets sitmated in Sionth Africa. The prineiple adopted by the 1 mper ral fiovernment an to the willus of shares in companies is that the share in situated where the tithe is situated, mamely in the phate where the obstre is registered or in the place where it actally is transferect. it it is in a turm transferable lys simple deherey. There is only an exeeption to this hav the rule that shares in compmices which open brancla tobtomial registers are held to be sitmated for purposios of death daties in the l'inited Kimgelon. the the other hand, the ('ape and the 'lramsaal adept the criterion of the places where the company exercises its operations irrespective of any uther consideration, exeept that the Tramsamal adoptes alse the eriterion that shares in all Transval companies. Wherever they carry on their


I enthet immediately arimen in case of death datios. The Finance Aet of 1894 levies surbl ditties on the persemal property. Whefe ver situatedo of a person whodiesdomiciled in the ('nited Kingdom, and makes an allowane" only in respert of duties paid in a colony on assets situated therein. There is. therefore, a contion in eaves of the asects in the Trams rial and the fape in the Whape of shares in companiew which transact business there and aceordingly the Order in Council applying s. 20 of the Finane Aft tothe Cape had to the revoked, and it is impossible to apply that setion to the Transvaal at all.
There in no possible donbt as to the legal right of it Dominion parliament to tax all the assets wheh are physieally within the Dominion, and it may also, it seems clear, tax those assets which, as int the case of the persomal
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 remely reedeal new life fom a judgement of the ('hi dustice of New Kealand.It was held by the Chief Justice of New Zealand,
 I'rion.' that colonial hegivation hav mon'h more than a met teriterial bifert. The question there at issine was whethe
 minimmen sate of wage to be paid to cooks and stewalre '.l veroed trading between New Zabland and Anstralia wa hinding upon two steamship companies. the one registered in Now Zealand and the other registered in Vietoria. Neithe company obeyed the award, for in Anstralian and Fijian ports they called upon the employeces 10 do certain work which under the agreement should have been paid for at "wertime and which was not so paid furs. The (hief Justien derided that ass regards vessels registered in New Zealand the a ward was binding. It is very possible that the decision was correct as regards registered vessels under s. 73.5 of the Merchant shippiing Act," 1894. but he did not base it npont that section but upon the general power of the New Zealand Parliament, underes. 53 of the Comatitution Aet of Ision. to make daws for ther peace, order. and good govermment of Now Zealamed. Ho held that mulese such haws had some extraterritorial effect the power given would be defeated Was there no power to punish a prize-tight between New Zealanders on a foreign vesesel four miles from the comst. on combld a duel between Now Zealianders be fought with imbpunity on a loreign ship four miles from land! It was alku pointed ont hy another member of the Court that privoneron board vesects in transit from one , riwon of the coloms 10, and her were within the jurisdietion of the New Zealant Goupte. He held that the cates In in fite ich a was overruled





Lis. .. \%. I. R. B4.
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 ment that the result womld have heren other had the areaned been a ritizen of New Somth Waler. and he pointed out that a pervon matmalizod in a Colone muder the Natmalization Not of that colomy was only a Briti-h mbjert in rexpert ol the (olonge and he wonld not be shbjert, molese colonial legislatmes had power to bind colomial ditians. In athy legpinative motriotions ontside Britiol tomitore. Ho alon redied on the fare that a ship coold he comsidered as pate of the tervitory of the state whove llag the llees and he held that the haws of New Zealatal applied to permons ont boad a New Zaaland ship as distinct from a British ship when levond the temitorial limits of New Zabland. He admitterd that the doctrine which he lad down was a development of the doetrine of self-govemment. hat he refored to the fied that it had been the ghory of the British ('omatitution that. malike the comstitution of the Conited States. it allowed growth, development, and adaptation, and he heded that the fact that the power had not hitherto heen elatimed was wos prool that the ('onstitution Act did not contain a potence. looth of legishation and administation. hithertonot exoreised in the colony. It is diftient to alecept the views of the Chief Justice. The case of the comveramere of a prisomer fiom one prasoll in a Cobong to another ontside teritorial limits is really covered, a the (omert aedmed to have forgotten, hy

 proint there deedded. namely. the pewer of at Dominion legialature to make adegnate provision for the removal of maderimable perona from within the lolony. It camme be lowd as all agement for the existrace of an extateteritorial anthority in Dominioal partiaments. Nor does it mern reasonable to assmme that on a foreign ship not in tervitorial waters the criminal laws of a Dominion should take general effect ; if a dhel were so lought then the offenders combld be pmoshed in England by virtue of the power eiven hy
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 intended in this regard to put a stop to the practice of duellia by British subjects all over the world. Nor ran it be he that the attempt to dispose of the case of Maclemp ' $w$ satistactory. There is une trace in the judgenent of $t 1$ Privy conncil of the view that they would have differentiate the mater had. Macleod heen domiciled in New Somth Wak instead, as was apparently the case, of mot being domicile there. Nor is there aly justification for the theory that th general colonial legislation applies to a colomial resse The Almiralty Offenees. ('onloniel) Act. 1st9, and certai sections of the Merchrent shipping Act, 1894, confer on Colonial Conrts. jurisdietion to enforee the laws not of the Colong but the laws of England, which are assumed to prevail upon any Britioh ship within the juriseliction of the Admiralty. It is true that a doubtful case remains, namely the position of a British subject by naturalization in a C'olony Colonial naturalization, both by the limitation of the logislative power of a Colony and by the Datnralization Aet, 1xïl, call confer the status of a British subjert only within the artual limits of a Colony, but as a matter of fact this anomaly is not of much importamee, for if a man eommits any offence on board a British ship, in the high seas he is subject, muder $\therefore$ ©886 of the Merchanh Shinping Act, 1894, to the jurisdiction of any Court in His Majesty's dominions, which would have. the power to try the case had the crime been committol within the ordinary jurisdiction of that Court. Even the few cases in which a British subject maturalized in a Colong may escape punishment becanse colonial lans do not apply. beyond the territory may be safely neglected.?
While it camot be held that the attempt of the chiet Justice is very satisfactory or convincing, at the same time it would be idlle to ignore, in view of the cases of cain and Gillula, that the territorial limits of the jurisdiction of the Legislature of a Colony must be deemed to extend so far a: [1891] . . C:


expresme fluelling the held ecel' was it of the rentiated th Wales lomic: iled that the ressel. rertain ufer ont $t$ of the med to $t$ of the namely. Colony: e logis1, 1xin, hin the nomaty. offence under dietion 1 haver mittiol In the 'okny app!
 3:1!!
is meressary for the proper enforement of the power- given. In some case it would be diftienlt to contend that the e powern an be limited to territorial limits in the strict sellec of the word. For cexample, the Britivh Lionth Ammion det,
 (1) legivate for the peace, order, athl gond government of
 and defence. The Parliament of the: ('ommonwathlo al Anstratia has power muder the (imstitution. -. it (wi), to kgivate for the naval and military defene of the commonwealth. Again, He Parliament if the Linim of sumtlo Strica has the fullest powers to legrslate for the (iovernment of South Africa, and so mon in New Zcaland and Nowfomudland.

In all these cases the effecte of their Acta an militaty
 to have effect beyond their territorial limits in respere of their own forces. for the Army Act mily applien to them where the Cobonial Legislature has made no wher prorision.

It wouk be impossible clearly to confine within tervitomial limits the effeet of these laws: maval defene would be quite ineffectual if the ressels ceased to be under any haw when they beft the three-mile limit. On the other hand. if they then fell under the Imperial Acts. which is not the case from the wording of these Acts, then the power of legislation given to the Dominions would cease to be a reality. It follows. therefore, that naval defence involves extra-trmitorial leginbation, though to what extent it must be diffienh to say in view of the absence of athomitative dechation in the comrts. Hitherto, the naval forres of the cohonies, that is to say, of the Anstratian Colonies, which alone until 1910 hat independent naval forces, have been forecw which have been in part raised meder the terms of an Imperial Act, is \& ? ? Vict. c. 1t, and have therefore becon specially provided for by lonere ial legislation. They are new regulated. sume the pansing of the Defence. Arts of the Commonwealth and Canada, by their fegislation, and it is impo-sible to hold that that legislation


 tions of the hal: of the: lommonweath the (Suerois shiph

 of the l'nited Kingelom, and met to foreren raised mulere the : 11 thority of the ( 'rown in Alselralia.

 it is empeowered to legishate hese it (x) for the tisheries ith


 ishathls of the lacitie. It is aloo alllomized to leginlate hy
 and axtioi for immigmatom and emigrations. and the inllas of reminals, and llese matters may rempire extraterritorial cont rol.

Moreover, it is provided lys s. it of the C'ommemorroulte of


 port of destimation are in the $C^{\prime}$ ommonwealth. Tho meaniner af that clatise has herof aththoritatively interpreted hy the: Hight court of the 'ommonmealth in the cinse of The Jerehrent
 I'mprielnry. limuilad:-

It was sought in that rase lor catahtish at juriacliction ol


 acotion hronght the shigse within the ambit of the law of the ('ommomwealth, and it was pointed out that s. ? ${ }^{\prime}$ ) of the Federal Comeil Act ( 48 \& 49 Viet. $r .00$ ) gave wide powers to the






 juristirtion int the ciase. 'The seretiongare juriadictiononly orer vescels whose first purt of elearamonand whose purt of de-fination were in the commonwealth. The port of do-tinationt moant the ene of the voyage and the Ace appled only to cances where thr begiming and end of the volage were beth in the Commonwealth. In the case in question the men t wommbla view was to assimme that their first port of drethathe was an Anstrabian fort, and that was extremej dombthot. but the
 wealth. (\% immor II satid the words of -. . met be lakent to descrihe a rombd voyage legimang ant "ndug wi hin the
 ping trade carried on hy ships owned othl lowis.e.ind ill
 which for many years had extemded to Srow Koalomd and the ishames of the Pacitio abd Indian port-. It was intended by Parliament to plate vessols engaged out rommd popages in the same position as regarels dist malian laws as a Britiwh ship held with regard to Britioh laws, namely. that White on a voyage coming wititin the meaning of the section the Australian ships shouk be, for the purposes of (iommonwealth laws, a floating purtion of (ommonwealth territory. That being the meaning of the section. it appeared io him that when once it was established thet the boyage was of that description. it was immaterial to what part of the world it might extend. so that if it were cotablished that the vogage of the respondent ${ }^{\circ}$ ships was at ronnd bogage. beginning at an Australian port. calling at (ialcontal or any other foreign port. and ending in an Anstralian prott. Hee ships during the whole of the voyage wonld be meler the (ommonwealth laws and mader the juriadiction of (ommonweadth Courts. In the case in question it appeared rather that the commencement and the end of the royage wre in ('alcutta. ${ }^{1}$

[^175]
## CHAPTER IIT

## REPGGNANC'Y OF ('OLONIAL, LAWS

The seeond great ground on which colonial legislatio may be invalid is that of repugnaney to English law. Th male wed always to be that an Act of a colonial legis lature most mot be repugnam to English haw, ${ }^{1}$ and th exact fore of this term was wrapped in deent obsearity few eases over rose upon the point, and they were eatsil diaposed of. But the whole question received a new impon tance when Mr. Benjamin Boothly was appointed a judg of the Supreme Comet of South Anstralia. He prempt? began to emmetate a series of doctrines which, though it part nentalized hy the presence in the Colony of two othe jndges who did not in atl points agree with him, were ver awkward for all concerned in the administration of justice Event nally the two Houses of the Parliament passed, at required by the ('onstitution Act, addresses for his removal and the matter thos came before the Secretary of state fon the Colonies.

The judge $\stackrel{\text { views }}{ }{ }^{2}$ are interesting becanse they show tha high-water mark of distrist of colonial hatw. He asserted that the Court was called upon the examine motn the validity of the Acts which it was required twinterpret : the Select Commetten of the Legistative Comeril which examined him to aseertam his views differell from him in this regard, but the Scl-1

[^176]Gommittee of the Lower Homse, which avikently in great measure understood the position, allowed him the finll right of such examination, as did the (iovernor. 'Then he impeached the validity of the Constitution Act itself, No. 2 of 1855-6, on varions grounds. For one thing, he chought that it was not possible to abridge the prerogative of dissolving all elective Honse, viz. the lommeil, as was done hy the Aet, untess the lmperial Parliament gitve express anthority to do so. He also hed that it was not possible for the (oolonial legishature to abridge the presogative by reghiring that the Attorney-(ecmeral should be selected from offices in Parliament, and he eriticized the provisions of the det for omitting? to reguire re-chection of members who aceepted office alter heing in Parliament. Ho also impeathed the validity of the Real Preperty Act, becanse it depribed the shitor in real property eases of a jury trial an lad down in Magnal (hartat. and further becalnse the Bill shombl, in his opinion, hatve beron reserved under the royal inst ructions, and hiad not beon reserved. He persisted in this view, thongh the dencernor pointed out to him that Lord John Rasedell had expremoly baid it down that the instructionse were not a legal matter which if disoboyed wond invalidate assent, but a direetion to the Governor which he had a personal duty to obey, but disobedience to which did not render an assent invatid. He also hed that the Electomal Acts were invalid berallese they. had not been reserved ass reguired by the constitution, and that all the Customs Acts were invalid for the same reasom.

There call be no dontht that in some resperets the judge Was unveasonable and wrong-headed: he wellt oo fare as 10 deedare that anl Act was invalid which imposed a duty of t'on shillings on the importation into the (iohny of Firenth bataly. beratre it was at vallanere with a traty. the trath being that in the treaty with Frame the guren had undertaken to recommend to Parliament the bevering of at duty of right shillings on brandy imported into the United Kingdom. On the other hand. the law offieers in Eingland uphold him on one peint, and 'ait mafortmately of cimelinal innortance: they held that it was meressary flate lhe 111 :

Electoral Act. No. 10 of 1 sisic, under whirh the Leginativ ('omncil and House of Assentbly were cleeted shonld hav heen reserved under the Imperial Act, $13 \& 14$ Vict. c. 59 $\therefore .32$, and they laid it down that all tho Aets passed by these bodies were therefore invalid. Aceordingly, an Act $25 \& 26$ Vict. c. 11, was hastily passed to validate ex pos fucto the laws of South Australia.

Then various questions were put to the law officers of the ('rown and answered ly them with great care: the question: and answers were as follows:-

1. is the Supreme Court of Sonth Australia bound, and at liberty to inquire into the validity of an Aet passed by the Colonial Legislature, and assented to either by the Queen in Council, or by the Governor in hehalf of Hee Majesty, and in the case of an Act assented to by the Governor, does the fact that such an Act has, or has not. been left to its operation by Her Majesty make any diffelmee respecting its validity :

Supposing the judge at liberty to pronemene on the validity of a Colonial Act, is he to pronomere sucl an Act invalid, if its provisions be, in his opinion, ineonsistent with thuse of an Imperial Statute intended by the British Parliament to extend to the Colonies in general, or to South Australia in particular?
3. Is he to pronounce such an Act invalid, if ite provisionbe. in his opinion, contrary to the principles of Britioh law which he deems fundamental. as by denying the soveregent! of Hor Majesty, by allowing slavery or polygamy, by prihititing Christianity. by authorizing the infliction of panion ment without prial, or the uncontrolled destruefion in atorigines, \&e. :
t. Is he to pronomese sucth an det in ralid if its provimis, be different from thowe which are in fact preseriberd ins respe. of the same matter byritish statute in ferce in Englan though not properly to be doceribe da fomdamental princop. of British law e. g., if the Collonial Act atrelished grand jum or allowed offences to twe tried bey a magial ate for whi a jury is required in England, or dappensed with thee me. nimity of a jury, or varied the number of a jury of ather. the laws of evidence or the law of primogeniture or atr dhued modes of transfering real property manown in 1 . Brilihlaw?
$\therefore$ If the lir-t of the two precoding yuestions is in ild have t. c. 59 , issed by an Act, ex prost s of the unestions.
nd, and ssed ly by the of Her by the as not. differ-
on the: an Act it with ParliaSonth
, 1.
" 1.
(1)
amswered in the affirmative, and the serond in the megative. are we able to suggest any principho which would regulate the distinetion between fundamental principles of which the violation would vitiate a Colonial Aet, nud the mon-fundamental rules or customs of legisation which ib colomial Legishature is at liberty to disregard?
6. T'o what extent wond a single provicion invalid on aceomit of remguancy with Finglish law vitiate the resi of the Art:
7. Would a judge be at liberty to promomee a folonial Aot invalid. though duly asonted to bay the (iowernor, on the ground that it fell within one of the clasees to which he was forbidden to assent whout urgent neressity:
s. In particular, do we ve any reason to donht 1 lie validits of the Sonth Anstralian (onstitutional Aot:
9. Having -pecial reference to the omision of amy refervere
 Vict e. 59, do we see any reason to dowht the powee of tho

11. Do we see anything objertiomable in Mr. Borothly view of his own obligation the contorm his own jutgement to the docisious of the sumeroe Court of whing he i- a member:
'1. And. tinally. whether we sontill wiht the (inmmater: of the Honse of Anembly in thinking Imporial levixationt atvasbie ue neremary in orter to place bepond deald all or any af the abowe plametions.

The report wan as follow: : -
That 1. The powne of the (ioknial lezi-lateme benar "onfered hy Ant of the Imperial Parliameres and limitof by the amo emactment, amt ons valut of imelad sthes



 t is called upon ler administor.
 1. K that the fact of its javing foren ing lot of thit.


- Mas answerthis question in the affirmatme of in tate....
 hes ween the Engl law and the (ialonial remer thent wat the colomal legistature is Afbarmed irnath the efotinz ot


83. This ghestion we allon answer in the affirmative, and on the same gromind of min umplevtiomable repmgnancy
84. This question we answer in the negative. snhjeet to on ohervations in answer to the grestion next following.
$\therefore$ We are mable to lay down any male to fix the dividiat line between fimdanerntal and mon-findamental rules of English law. is relorred to in guestions 3 and 4 . and oun allswers therete. It may safely. however. ho stated that molaws which do mot rest ipon prine iples equally appliable in the mature of thongs to all Her Majestys ('hristian subject i,s every part of the Britiah Dominions can be deemed to
 lagislature void on the gromat of repognancy to the principles of English haw. Wre mave add that we can hard! y anticipate any practical ditficulty in the way of the Comit dereiding the guestion of repugnaney. if called on so to do. It is extremely improhable that the (inlomial Legislatmere wonld pase. that the (iowermor would anations and that the 'rown wonld leave to its eperatios any det repugnant in the above sense. and we think that the irihmole shonlel mot

 anly in cases admitting of no reasomable legal donbt. Such "anes. we think. are not likely to orrar
fi. We think that in an Aet containing variou- distinct alld roparable prowivions. one of onch prowisions invaliel on
 of the Art. Which mghf bre free lrom that defeet



 the aceompranying piaper. No. S11. amb althongh the $1: 3$ \& 11




 'rown and the Gowomor. and to be tor the bater dirempors
 sit? 'ill case of which. When the Statute deme now expmest. reghire the det to be reserved". de he se at libery om

s. Wie see mo reason to doubt the valitity wi the sie.

limited seope in the view of the fonts and the rase of Aluld v. Vurraty revealed new dombts and diffieulties. The right of the l'iarliament to create judges. to establish a ('ourt was denied. and it was had down ber Judge Bonthbug that by
 the Mixed (ommeil had dest roped its existenece. and also the existenere of the Le egislat ure created by Aet No. © of 18:5-ti. The law wifieces were consulted, and gave an opinion of september $2 x$, Istit. which advocated the passing of ant Act (t) remove the dombts-in some cases needless-of South Anst ratian judges There followed upon this correspondente
 timally regulated and determined the position of the laws of the colonies as regards Imperial legislation and repugnancer to the law of Englamer The law receites that doubts have been enternined re-pecting the validity of diverse law: enarted. porporting to have been enacted by the Legislatures of rertain of Her Majest $\boldsymbol{r}^{\circ}$ : 'olonies. and respecting the powers of sum Legistatares, and that it is experdient latat such donhts shond be removed. and in s. I defines Colony as inchading all of Her Majesty ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{s}$ posisessions abroad. in which there vall exist a legishature exesept the (hammel hamds. the S. Se of Mans. and the territories from time to time vested in
 cud lowiviatme i- defined to mean the anthonity other thans the I mperial Pathament of Hor Majesty in Collmeil roms


 of of hath onm Halt are clected hy himbitants of the (onton! T is Term "ohnial Law shall inchode Laws made for an
 Hajali in (mincil:

As. Aat at I'arliament. or ally Provision thereof, shall. in - Motrumg the Iot. be silid to extend to any Colony when It $1-$ made ampheable to surh Colony by the exprese Word (2) Aneremy hatendment of any Act of Parlimont:

[^177]
cance of $\therefore$ The C'ourt. that hey f 1851. ako the 18:5i-15. nion of all Act South ndence which laws of mane: - have law: Legis. ecting it that Ony which 1. the (en) in India. - thant (com ers

The Term 'Gevernor' shall mean the Olicer lawfily. administering the Government of any Colony:

The 'Torm Latters Patent shali mean Letter: Patent muder the (iproat Seal of the United Kingem of tiremt Brilnin none! Ireland.
2. Any Cobonial Latw which is or whall be in ally reppere repugnant to the Provisions of any Act of Parliament extending to the colony to which such Law may relate or rephgiant to meny Order or Regatation made mader Anthority of sur h. A.1 of Parliament, or having in the Colong the Force and Eiffere of such Act, shall be read subject to such Act. Order, or Regulation, and shall, to the Extent of such Repmaney. hut not otherwise, ho and remain absolutely boid and moperative.
3. No colomial Law shall be or be demed to have been void or inoperative on the (iroand of Repmgnancer to tha Latw of Englant, unless the same shall be repmgnant to the Provisions of some sheh det of l'arliament. Order, or Reguliathon as aforesaicl.
4. So Colonial Law. pased with the Comearvence of or asented to ly the (iowernor of ang Colone. or to be hereafter -o passed or assented to, :hall bo on he dermed to have heron reid or inoperative by reasom only of any hatructions with reference to such Law or the subjeet thereof which may hase been given to such (iovernor by or on beinaf oi Her Majesty. ly any hatrument other than the Letters Patent or Inatin ment antherizing surh (awernor to coneme in pasing of to ament to Law, for the P'oure. Order and grod dovernment of
 to in surh Latere Patent or last-mentioned hatmonent.
$\therefore$. Fiver Colonial Legilature shall hate. and lo decomed at all Times to hase had, full Power withian is duri-dietion
 stitute the same and to alter the (innstitution thered. and to make Provision for the A!miniatman of Ja-tion thomin: and every Repremtative Legivathere shall. in wepey to the Culome inder it Jurisdiction. hase and be deemed at all Time to have had. full Power to make Law :rencering the Comstintion, Powers, and Prowedure of sull Lexilature:
 Wanner and Form as may from Time ta Thme be required hy any Act of Parliament. Letters Pantont. Order in Comacil, ir Cokmial Law for the 'Time being in fore in the satid Cobney.
(3. The Certificate of the Clerk of other puper Officer if a Legislative Bedy in any 'olony th the Effect that the


Colential law assonted to by the (iovernor of suth Cohnes or of any Bill reservel for the Signification of Her Majesty Phenmed by the said (iovernor, shall the prima facie Evidene
 or Bill, and. as the Case may be, that suth haw has heen chly and property pased and assented to. or that sied Bill has bern duly abed properly passed and presented to the Cowernor : and any Procla mation purport ing to be pmblished ly Authority of the (Eovernor in amy New-paper int the Cobmy to which suld Law or Bill shall rehate and signifyung
 Her Majestys Assent to any sheh reserved bill as aforesaid. - hall be prima tarie Evidence of surh Disallowance or Assont.

And wherem- bombts are entertaimed respereting the Vialidity. of certain Acte enacted or reputed to be conated by the Legislature of somh Anstruliu: Be it further enacted is follow:
7. All Laws or reputed Laws ellated or purporting to have heron enacted hy the said lekishature or hey Persoms: or Bodies of Persons: for the Time being acting as surch L"gislature, which haw reweived the Asent of Her Majest? in Comneil. or which have received the Assent of the Cowernoin of the said Colony in the Name and on hehalf of Her Majesty: shall be and be deemed to have been valid and effeetnal from the Date of such Assent for all Purposes whatever provided that mothing herein contained shall be deemed t. give Eiffect to amy Law or reputed baw which has beed disallowed hy Her dajesty: ar has expired. or has beron bawfully repraled. of to prevent the lawhel Disallowance on Repeal of alyy baw.

It will be aren that comparing the Act with the opinien of the law oftieres in Jodge Benthlys case there are tw.. important concersione made ase well ass removing the domb: which were posible as to the correctness of the view of $t$ law officers: in the first place, the comdition of nom-repuebance to the general primephes of English law disappeater forgood' : then, in the seecond plate. the question of the mat instructions was setted in law as it had beron laid down to be. ly the secretary of state. In both regande Coblomial legiven
 dowhe. On the other hand. the imposibility of the reprat

[^178] alld for all laid down.

There has heedt howevers some confusiont as tothe tight of
 whed applied to the coloney bot heremen ol the det- being pert in forer there by the limperial Parlament by Irgislation for that place. hat beramor in introdmeng Englinh lan there


 wontested that mo lowal legishation conded alter the lan mem-
 than that the Imperial stathte of 1 ses expremety contemplates changes berion made hy the loceal lagisiathore: it would have imberd been too temible to suppose that the standard of $18: 8$ was to be the permimem bomedary of the legivation of the (oblong: But the principle applies more widely.
 introdnced into a (ohony hy lowal emactment or hy herial enartoment which contains pewer of amombent. the fact that the primeiple is embodied in an lomperial statme makes it no lose possible formend then if it were a pirt of the rommon law: ${ }^{2}$ in the rame of an lomporial det applang directly to the (olonge the rase is ghle different: the lmperial Acts comld be montitied which were introndmed by
 he moditied by expres anthority given by it allel other lmperial dets the distinetion erems whions. lel it at Commomweath det. No. II of l!o!s regarding batime in-




[^179]that the imperial dets should not apply to transertion: governed by that Act of the Commonweald, a 1 c sision which would lawe been waste paper if the Act had appliee to the cascer as limperial Acto and met as legisfation intro duced by an Imprial Act giving a powere of modatication.

One somewhat important point has heren raised in C'amada nameny that while it cannot be denied that Conada is subjec to the operation of the law of lan, yet the British Nom Americh det really given anthority the tharlament of the Dominion to repeal any lmperial Act whatsonesel referving to ('anada passed beforo 1 sbit. It was hedel ha Braper ('. J. in the case of Regimin v. I'aflor.' that the wort 'exchuive' ill s. 91 of the British Jorlh Amerrich . Ie was in temded to operate as a timal remmetation ly the louperial Parlament of any intention to legisate tor the Dminion of tanada. In this judgement it wems that strong t'. . afterwards expressed his conemrence: Lefroy ${ }^{3}$ alwo quot an supporting this siew the case of The Roynhl', in which 1 wa- held that the provision in the Imperial Merehant Shippine AI of tsiat which forbad a salow to briug a suit for wage in the Vier-Admiralts ('ourt for a sum mader san had been
 which tixed the amomen as two hundred dollars in the :"1-4
 tohumbia. But this in a differomice ane and it lalls muder the rule that inn limperial Act can be altered in vinue of a power given theredy. viz. in the wee in question the pewer th regulate: registered ressels. Which lyy a. its inchuded the power to regulate these reseld in a mamer other than that expresely previded for in the Act itsolf. In the cane Holmes v. T'emple.5 however. ('halle call J. in chasions of the Peace of Queher also interpreted exclusive as meaning that the Imperial Padiament had abdicated its functions, lime that opinimu is one of so inferior a tourt, and so little cont

[^180]anctionsis "sision applied 11 introation. Iallada, - whjerel / Nowl" ment ol tsorever hedr b 10 word was ill mperial Illinion
If $\mathrm{C}^{\circ}$. 4llot hich 1 hippiu! r watg (] heom f $1 \times 7:$;
 Briti-h der the pow wer 10 ed the III that
sidered apparently that it is hard! an anthority for anturnge except the danger of puoting judgementa of inferion Courts on puints of law. In the British ('ohmbia ease of T'ai Nings. Magnire. Ciray J. emphatically rejected the dietum of Draper ('. J., und pointed out that the word 'exelnsive' was clearly a word divithing power hetweell the Dominion and provines. So in ex parte Worms ${ }^{2}$ it was said by Dorion (. .J.: "The Aet of 1870 (as to extradition) is not inconsistent with s. 13:2 of the British North Amerien Act of $186 \%$. nud it it were the last Ant would prevail.' In Regint v. The College of Phgsicions ant surgeome of Ontarios the Ontario Cont of (Qucens: Bemblheld that the Imperial Medical Aet of 1808 applied to C'anada, and give a British modical practitioner a light to be registered in Ontario. It Was there very neatly but inefferthally argned that as edmeation was an exdusive perwer of the provinces the loperial Ant of 1868 most be read as bot intemded to interfere with the rxelnsive power. and an mast not be lood to exdude the Ontario authorities from reyuiring the applieant to pias all cxamination as a condition of registration.

The question as to repugnamer of folonial legistation has aka been disenserd in sperial eommexion with the law of ropyright in ('mada.

When the question was bronght fu a head in 1850 the Can:tian Government and their advisers did not deny the power of the Imprial Parliament to legialate regarding ("pporight for the whole Empire. Thus they did not deny that
 Sthe Order in Comeil of lsas tol'altadtat. Was binding upon ('anda. They contemed. huworer, form a comstitutimal puint of view, that such legination -hombl he pased by ('andaland not hy the Imperial Parlabatht. hat there was no
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tegal differenere of opinion on this heat. On the other han
 (ewermment, that the Comatian Parlianent had power t repeal any provisions as to copyright enacted prior to 186 the vear in which the British North America Act was passed Section 91 of that Act empowers the Parliament of Canat to make laws for the peater, orther, and good governmen of C'anada in relation to all matters not coming within th
 lat ure of the Provineer, and for greater eertainty, but not so a to restrict the generality of these terms, it is deflared that the exclusive legislative anthonity of the Parliament of Canadi extends to all matters coming within the classes of subject thereinafter enumerated, which inchude as No. $\geq 3$ copyrights.
It was argued by the Government of Canada, in a memo. randun of Angust 3, 1889, that this section conferred npon the C'anadian Parliament the right to tegishate an to copp. right without regard to any previons legislation whatsoever. whether passed by the Provincial or Inperial Parliaments. subject only to the Imperial right of disallowance and also tw the control by Imperial legislation subsequent to the Britionh North America Act and applicable to Canada. ${ }^{1}$

The interpretation placed by His, Majesty's Government on the terms of the Act of 1867 was quite different ; it was held that that Act conferred npon the Parliament of Canada exclusive, powers as against the Provinces of legislation with regard t." ropyright, but that it did not confer upon Canada any larger. power of legislation than the several provincial legislaturewould have enjoyed had the Act of 1867 never been passet. Thiopinion was expressed at a very carly date, on the 7 th November, 18:I, be Sir Romudeli Paher (afterwards Lord Selborne) and Mr. Herschell (afterwards Lord Herschell), who said:

It is abundantly clear that the provision in the Act of the Imperial Legislature, 30 Vict. cap. 3 , by which the
' I'arl. Pap., (', 7783, pp. $\overline{\text { B }}, 6$. This doctrine first came to light in ar .1. Thumpison's defence of the refusal of Governnent to disallow :he:



Bominion of ('analdat wals constituted. deedaning that the exchave legislative authority of the Dominion P'arliament (extends (a mongst other things) to copyrights, has reference only to the extlusive jmisdiction in (anada of the Dominion Legislature as distinguished from the Legislatanes of the Provinces of which if is composed. ${ }^{1}$
'This opinion was adopted by His Majesty's (iovermment in Loord Cranarvon's dispitt h of Jume lis. isit.2 That dispately was: based on an opinion of the then law offieers of the ('rown (Sir Richard Baggallay and Sir John Holker). given on May 2.2 sit, in which they accepted the viows of Sir Ronndell Palme: and Mr. Hersehell. Moreovere a similar opinion was given by the same two law oftieers on June $1 \times 5$. and in eonsequence of this opinion the (amadian Aet of 1875 with regarel to copyright was expresely confirmed by an Imperial Act. 38 \& 3 ! V'iet. e. .33. Despite these facts. Sir Jolm Thompson, in the memorandum above referred to. stated that the people of (anada could not accept the interpretation Which had been placed upon the Act of 1.367 by His Majesty fiovernment. In support of that opinion he urged not merely the viow of the people and Parliament of Canada, hut eertain eases decided in the Privy council. No answer to this argument wasever sent by the Imperial (iovernment.3

In the case of Hodge v. the Queent whieh Was decided by the Judicial ('ommittee of the Privy Coumcil in 1883, it was held that the Legislative Assembly of Ontario, in the exercise of the legislative powers granted to it by section $0: 2$ of the British North America Act. $1 \times 66^{7}$. did not aet as a dolegate from. or an agent of. the Imperial Parliament. But with allhority as plenary and as ample within the limits prescribed by Section $9:$ as the Imperial Parliament in the plenitude of its power posiosed and conld bestow.

In the case of Porell v. The A pollo ('rnill. Comprony. Limiterl.'s
P'arl. P'ap... H. (: 3339. 1si2. p. it.
P'arl. P'ap., H. (: 144, 187.5. pi. 1:2, 1:3.
 the argument drawn from these cases hy sir J. Thompson, thongh (p. 就? he srems to admit that the comentention is not sound in law.

- ! . Ipp. Cis. $11 \%$.

decided in 188is, the Privy Council laid down a simila doctrine: that is to say that the powers conferred upo a Colonial legislature were not in any sense to be exercised by delegation from, or as an agent of, the Imperial Parliament but within the limits and subject to the areas prescriboc by the Imperial Parliament the local legislature was supreme and had the same authority as the Imperial Parliament.
These cases were evidently interpreted by Sir John Thomp. son to mean that Colonial Legislatures had the same power as the Imperial Parliament in the sense that they could repeal laws passed by the Imperial Parliament and applying to the Colonies in question. In this connexion it is sufficient to observe that this interpretation would render once and for all absurd the Colenial Laws Validity Act, 1865, which declares that Colonial statutes shall be void and inoperative if they are repugnant to the provisions of any Acts of Parliament extending to the Colonies, or repugnant to the provisions of any law or regulation made under the authority of such Acts and having in such Colony the force and effect of such Acts.
Sir John Thompson evidently felt the difficulty of this matter, for he suggests in paragraph 41 of his report that as the British North America Act was passed subsequently to the Colonial Laws Validity Act, it might be argued that it conferred a constitution more liberal than those to which the statute applicd. In the alternative he suggested that the repugnancy indicated must exist in relation to some statute passed after the creation of the Colonial Legislature. He argued that if the view taken by the Imperial Government were correct, it would be impossible for the Parliament of ('anada to make laws in regard to any of the subjects which were assigned to the Canadian Parliament by the Act of 1867, when such legislation was repugnant to any Imperial legislation which existed previously applicable to these subjects in the Colonies, and he asserted that such Imperial legislation had existed.
As a matter of fact, the assertion was, generally speaking. inaceurate. and in point of fact the Imperial legislation applicable to North America had either been expressly
repealed by the Imperial Parliament or the Colonial Legislatures had been empowercel to repeal it. Sir John Thompson did not suggest, and in all probability cortd not have suggested, a concrete case to the contrary.

More importance attaches to two other cases rited by Sir J. Thompson.

In the case of Harris v. Davies. ${ }^{1}$ the Judicial (ommittere of the Privy Comeil decided in $1 \times x$ is that the Legislature of Now South Wiales had power to repeal a statute of James I (21 Jac. 1, cap. Iti.s. 6). and impliedly did so bey an Act, 11 Viet. No. 13, s. 1. of that Colony, which, according to its true construction, placed an action for spoken words upon the same footing as regards costs and other matters as an action for written slander.

The section of the Imperial Act in question provided that in all actions for slanderous words, if the jury assessed the damages under 40s.. the plaintiff should recover only as much costs as the damages so given by the jury.

In the case in question. in New South Wales, the verdict was for one farthing, and the Judge certified for costs. Tho prothonotary refused to tax and allow them on the ground that under the section in question the respondent could not recover more costs than damages. A rule uisi was ohtained by the respondent calling on the prothonotary to show cause why he should not be directed to tax the costs, and the rule was afterwards made absohute. The Supreme ('ourt of New South Wales held that the section of the Act of James ceased on the passing of 11 Vict. No. 13 to have any operation in the Colony. The Privy Comeil took the same view, and the decision was due to the fact that the Act of James, which of course was nassed at a time before any part of Australia was a Brit Jolony, was only introduced into New Soutli Winles by an Imperial Act, 9 Geo. IV. c. 83. which expresty contemplates, by s. 24, limitations and modifieations of that, and the other legislation introduced into the Coleny under the Act. by the Legislature to be set up in Now south Wiles. ${ }^{2}$

[^182]The other cave cited by Sir J. Thompsom also does not really support his contention. It is that of Riel v . The Queen.' decided in Lssi hy the Judicial Committeo. Sir J. Thompson summarizes the case ar follows in paragraphs 38 and 30 of his report :-

There had been three limperial statntes for the regulation of trial for offences in Rupert's Land, since known as the North-West Territories of canada. The Stathes of Canada made other provision inconsistent with these statutes, and the conviction of the prixoner had taken place under the Statutes of Canada. The Lords of the Jodie ial Committee declined to admit an appoit, entertaining to doubt as to the correctness of the ronviction.

But reference to the report of the case will show that the position was quite otherwise. Rich was tried for the erime of treanon hefore a Stipendiary Magistrate and a Justice of the Peace, with the intervention of a jnry of six persons. in the North-West Territories of the Dominion of Canada, and laving been found guilty was sentenced to death. The Court of Queen': Beneh for the Province of Manitoba, on appeal. confirmed the sentence. The petitioner applied for special leave to appeal on the ground that the Stipendiary Magistrate and the Justice had no jurisdiction te try him for treason : if they had, there were errors in procedure which vitiated the trial; viz. there was no indietment preferred by a Grand Jury, no coroner's inquisition, and the evidence wanot taken down in writing as required by Statute. It wat trgued for the petitioner that the Statute under which lo was tried (a C'anadian Statute, 43 Vict, c. $25, \mathrm{s}$. 76), made under the anthority of the Imporial Act $34 \& 35$ Vict. c. Es. was ultro cires the Legislature. Treason was in a peculiar manner an offence against the state, and the Imperial Parliament could not have intended that the Dominion Parliament should legislate upon it to the extent of alteringe the statutory right of a man put npon his trial regarding it. The petitioner was entitled to all the rights which he possessed under English law unless they had been specially taken away.

[^183]He possessed. moler that law, a statutory right totrial betome a judge and a jury of twelve, with it right of rhallenginge thirty-five; and, moreoven it was argned that the Ant wan not neeessary for peace, order, or good government. It was also argned that the C'anadian Act of 1880 had not beren fully. complied with, as the evidenee had been taken in horthand and not in writing.

The decision of the ('onrt, which was deliwered by Lord Hakbiry, was unfavomable to the contention on belaalf of Riel. It was pointed ont that tho Imperial statute of $3+8$ : 8.5 Vict. e. es provided that the Parliament of ('anada might from time to time make provision for the administration, peace, order, and good government af any territory not for the time being inchaded in any province. It could not be held that beeanse the provisions made by the (anarlian Parliament differed from the provisions made in England they were not provisions for peace, order. and good government, nor was it open to a Court to substitnte its own opinion as to whether any particular enactment was calcollated as a matter of fact and good poliey to secure peace, order, and good government for the decision of the Legislature. The Privy Council also dismissed the objection taken as to the use of shorthand instead of ordinary writing.

It is true that in the judgement no speeial mention is made of the faet that Imperial Statutes had formerly regulated judicial proceedings in the North-West Territories before they were merged with Canada. The reason for this was that s. 5 of the Rnpert's Land Aet, 1868 ( $31 \& 32$ Vict. e. 105), which was referred to in the disenssion, and which was before the Conrt, expressly provides that from the date on which Rupert's Land was admitted to become part of the Dominion of C'anada it should be lawful for the Parliament of ('anada to make within the land and territ ry so admitted all such laws, institutions, and ordinances. and to constitute such Courts and officers as might be necessary for the peace. order, and good government of Her Majesty's subjects and wher's therein ; provided that until otherwise enacted by the waid larliament of canada all the powers, anthorities, and jurisEe:
diction of the aremal eonurts of justion then cestablished in Rupert $s$ Land. and of the several ollieers thereot. and of all magistrates and justices then arting within the said limits, shonld eontinue in full force and effeet theremeder. That is to say, the limperial l'arliament expressle anthorized the G'anadian Parlament to alter the lmperial Acts relating to mattors in Rupert's land. It is indeced ohvions that sulth a state of atfairs Was essential: Rugeet*s hand had heen regulated in part hy the anthority of the Hadson's Bay ('ompany and in part loy special Imperial statutes, and when it was given ower to (amada it Was necessary that the C anadian larliament shond be given a free hand to legislate with regard to it. It will therefore be seen that the arguments addnced by Sir Johm Thompson are without validity.

The subjert of eopyright in ('anada, although it has clicited cortain legal decisions. has not. menfortuntely, produced a final deefision on the point disenssed above: that is, the right of the Parliament of ('anada to repeal an Imperial Aet which extends to Canada but which was passed hefore 1867 The right. however. was diseussed and was denied in a l 'anadian case by two judges, namely the case of Smiles $v$. Belford. ${ }^{1}$ in the Appeal Court of Upper Canada. Their decision was to the effect that the Imperial Act of 184: was in force in Canada, and had not been. and could not be. modified by Canadian legislation.

In the case of Lour v. Routledge ${ }^{2}$ it was held that an alien who during the time of his temporary residence in a British Colony pullished in the United Kingdom a book of which he was the author was centitled under the Imperial Act of 184: to the benefit of English copyright, and that British copyright, when once it existed. extended, under the $29 t h$ scetion of the statute, over cerery part of the British Dominions. It was not, indeed, even contended, according t" Lord ('hemsford, before the Court that any local law in C:anada could prevent a native of Canada from aequiring an English copyright which womld extend to Canada as $w: \%$

[^184]as to all other parts of the British Jombion, thongh the repuisitions of the Camadian law had not lexen complied witl.

 Ontario ${ }^{-}$and he the Comet of dppeat of Ontamio: that the Imperial Act of Iste was in forre in C 'allada. This juderoment Was eontimed by the sipperthe (omut of ('illatalat but in giving the decision Sedgewirk J. stated that the ('onrt expressed no opinion ofle way or the other upen the guc-a ion as to whether similes v. Belforl was rightly derided. It was atill opern for discussion as to whether the Parliamont of ( 'allada, having berol given exehsire jurisdiction to leginlate. Ipnn the subject of "opuright, might not. by virtur of that jurishliction, be able to werride lmperial kegislation anteredent to the Brilish North Ameriert Act. Istiz. Therre has bern mo subsergent judicial decision to vary or modify the fuestion in any way. The Judie ial committere of the lrive comeid theelined to grant special heare to appeal from this decision. ne dombt on the gromal that it was romerots locld that the Act of 1842 was still in force. but of combere this. leaves the wider issue metonehed.

It shomld be moted. however. that a similar contention has been put forwad bythe Law lepartment of the (ommonwealth of anstralia in eomexion with the guestion of omerehana shipping. The ('ommonwealth $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ onstitution ( - - il (i) and as)
 legistar : egad to merehant shipping: and as the ('omm … U'onstitntion, which depernds on ant Imperial Act of is subsequent to the Jerchant whipping det. 1894, ss. 735 and 730 of which conferved upon (olonial Parlaments certain restricted powers of legislation with regard to vessels registered in the folonies or engaged in their coasting trade. it was suggesters by the socretary to the Attorney-General's Department that the Aet of 1900 enabled the Commonwealth Parliament to legislate without

[^185]
## 

 The view atopted by the seceretary to the Law Department Was arepped by the (owerment of the fommonwenth in a disputch from the Prime Ministor of Jume 1.5. Bow.:
'Ther reply of His Majesty's (eovrmment was given in a di-puteh of September 18. 19ns, and subsequent !y the date of that dixpatell the provisomis of the draft Commonwralth Navigation Bill were in 1960 so amended as to remove the objections taken by the Imperial (iovernment to its provivions. No such daim appears ever to have been made hy the fovernment of the Commonweath of Anstratia with regard to copyright, and it is admitted that the Coloniml Lam: V'alidity Act applies to Commonwealth kaws ${ }^{4}$
Of comrse it must not be lighty asmmed that an Aet is repmgnamt to an Imperial Act, and muless it is elear that the Imperial Aet does extend it will be assumed not so to extend. ${ }^{5}$

It is a mater of contention in cach case what Aets arr in force by necessaly intendment in the colonies. It has been decided in the case of Neur Zenland I yen and Mercantile Agency C'u. v. Morvison ${ }^{6}$ that the Joint Stock


 1. 4:3.3.
 Jet of 1804 is smberguent to the British . Vorth Imerich det. But also it i-
 ressels applied to ('mada as well as that of 1860 regareling coasting ressel. for the dets Nos. $1: 8$ and 126 of 1573 were bothenacted not under ans supposed power to repeal $\operatorname{lm}$ perial . Icts, lout under the A.t mentioned as set out in the Acts in fuestion ; so in 1908 (c. (it) as regards the coasting trade. For older views as to power to alter Imperial Acts, see Lewis, Esacty on the Government of $D_{\text {ependencies, pp. 91, 0.2. }}$

- See Quick and Garran, Comstitution of the ('ommonutalth, pp. 351. 3is.'. The rule applies oi course to the Canadian Provinces (ef. L'L'nion S. Jacque. de .Montéal v. Bélisle, (iP. C. 31) : and also to the Union Provinces, for it is a rule of common law as well as statutory:
- Pinley v. The Beacon Assurance C'0., 10 (ir. 42:).
${ }^{5}\lfloor 1898\rfloor$. 1. C. 349.
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[^186]detail in the case of C'ooper $\therefore$. Commissioners of Iucome T'ax for the state of Queeuslaud, ${ }^{1}$ decided in 1907 by the High Court of the Commonwealth.

The question there discussed arose from the refusal of Sir Pope ('ooper, Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of Queensland, to pay income tax on his judicial salary muder the Queensland Iucome T'ux Consoliduted Acts, 190:-4, and the Income T'ax Decluratory Act, 190i.

The clam was based on the fact that the (hief Justice's salay was fixed by the Sulary Act, 1901, at $£ 2,500$, and the view the provisions of the C'onstitution Act, $1867,5.17$, providing that the salaries of Judges of the Supreme Court shall be paid and payable to each of them during the term of their commissions, were an equivalent to an enactment that the sabarien should be paid to the judges without reduction or diminution throughout their terms of office.

The Legislature of Queensland were empowered to alter the constitution by express enactment altering or repealing constitutional provisions. But such powers of alteration must be exercised in the proper way, and the mere enactment oi provisions inconsistent with the constitution did not repeal or alter the constitution to the extent of the inconsistency. It was argued that therefore the payment of income tax, if required from judges. Wats to interpret the Iucome Tare Declaratory Act of 190 in such a manner as 1 . be repugnant to the Constitution Act, 1867, and that Act. by virtue of the Colonial Lau's Validity Act, 1865, overrode. the provisions of the later Act. On the other hand, it wacontended that the Constitution Aet of 1867, being merely an Aet of the Queensland Legislature, was of no more effect than any other Act of the Legislature, and therefore its term-

[^187] High
isal of urt of under 4, and istice nd the rovidhall be reomalarie' nution , alter ealin! ration tment d 1101 ineonent of et thr - as t" $t$ Act errod it wal nerel. effect term-
applics h car, whewe hang' Cf. al-o bruary.

CHAP W] ALAERATION OF THE CONSTPTVION : $:$ could be amened in any way be a subequent A. 1 . ald lomgh that Aet did not purport to be an amendment of the comstitation, so that if the Legislatime thonght fit be statute th alter the term of offiec of existing judges or to reduer their salaries they could do so without first amending the cometitution. The High Court deeided against the elaim of sir Pope Cooper. They held that the Act of 1867 declared the constitution of Queensland. and that, though that Act could be amended by legislation as provided for in the And itself. nevertheless the constitution must be amended before it was possible for the provisions as to the temure of office of judges to be altered. But they held that as a matter of fact the levying of income tax on judieial salarios was not really ineonsistent with the constitution. Barton J. expressly held that attempted legislation which wats merely at variance with the Charter of Constitution could not be held to be an effect ive law. on the ground that the ant hority conferred by that instrmment excluded the power to alter or repeal any part of it, mmess the legislation had been preceded by a valid exereise of the power of alteration of the constitution. An implied repeal was not within the power to alter or repeal, and was not valid. bectanse it was not an exercise of legislative power.
He also agreed, however, that the levying of ineme tax wats not contrary to the constitutional provisions as to the salary of judges, and he pointed ont that under the hmperial Acts of 1700 and 1760 . which were the basis of the prorisions in ss. 15 to 17 in the Qucensland C'onstitution Art of 1867. those provisions could not be held to be inconsitent with the levying of income tax on the salaries of judges.
The other justices all concurred in the views expressed by tro Chief Justice and Barton J.

## § 2. The Restrictions on Alteration in Afstrihai

In the ease of the Australian Colonies, now states, the limitations on constitutional alteration were confusing, and nearly unintelligible. The following seems to have been
the practice. but it eamot be said to have been generally admitted. ${ }^{1}$

It was provided bys. 31 of the Imperial Act of $18+22^{2}$ that all Bills exept Bills for temporary laws declared urgent should be reserved :-
(1) Altering or affeeting the divisions or cextent of the several distriets and towns which slould be represented in the Legislative Comeil. or establishing new and other divisions of the same; or
(2) Altering the number of the members of the Council to be chosen by the said distriets and towns respeetively; or
(3) Increasing the whole number of the Legislative Conneil; or
(4) Altering the salaries of the Governor, superintendent: or Judges (this requirement so far as regards the Judges wan repeated by 13 \& 14 Vict. (c. 59 , s. 13).
This section as originally enacted applied only to Bills. passed by the Legislative Council of New South Wales. II was subsequently applied to the Legislative Councils of Victoria, Van Diemen's Land, South Australia, and Western Australia, by the Aet 13 \& 14 Vict. e. 59, s. 12. and it waincorporated in the letters patent of June 6, 1859 (clauses sis and xxii), and thereby applied to Queenstand. Its provision: were applied to Bills passed hy the Parliament of New Sonth Wales hy s. 3 of the New South Wales ('onstitution Act ot 185.5. Apparently the provisions applied after 1855 to both the Legislative Assembly and Legislative Comecil of that Colon!. and of course from the first to both honses in Queenslanis. In the case of Victoria the provisions were similarly applined bys. 3 of the Victoria Constitution Aet of 18:55. They were also applied to Western Australia by s. 2 (e) of the Westem Anstralia ('onstitution Act of 1890 . Apparently also) in virtue of s .12 of the Aet of 1850 they applied also to buth houses of the Parliament of Tasmania, and in virtue of the

[^188]
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same section to the Parliament of South Australia. both of these Parliaments being eonst it uted by a loeal, not an limperial Act. In all these cases, however, unders. 7 of $7 \& 8$ Viet. c. 74. reservation was unnecessaly if the Governor either refused assent to the bill or assented to it in aceordance with instructions previousty received from Her Majesty. 'This was approved only for the case of New South Wales by the section as originally passed. but it was extended by the Act of 180 m to the other Colonies then existing. On the other hand. it was not expressly adopted in the Queensland letters patent, and it is therefore doubtul whether it was in foree there.

In addition to these comparatively simple requirements it was provided in s. 32 of the Aet of $1850,{ }^{1}$ that there should be reserved and laid before the Imperial Parliament before assent all Bills
(1) Altering the laws concerning the election of the Elected Members of the Legislative (ouncil :
(2) Altering the laws concerning the qualifications of electors and elected members.
(3) Establishing in the place of the Legislative Councils at that time existing other separate Legislative Housen :
(4) Vesting ir such separate Legislative Houses the powers and functions of a Legislative Council.

It applied as originally enacted to all the Colonies except Qucensland. and was incorporated in the letters patent of June 6, 1859. As regards classes 3 and 4 its effect may be regarded as spent, and the power of altering their constitutions by ordinary legislation is given to all the Colonies by $\therefore$ E of th" Colonial Lan's Validity Act. 1865.

The result of these provisions seems to be as follows : bys. 2 of $25 \& 26$ Vict. c. 11 , it wasprovided 2 that resel vation and laying before Parliament required by $\therefore .32$ of the Act of 1850 applied only to Bills passed by the original Legislative Councils of New South Wales, Victoria, Van Diemen's Land, and South Australia, and the necessity for reservation and laving before Parliament arises only from the sulsequent

[^189]legislation which adopted the provisions in the constitution. The provisions were again adopted with regard to New South Wales by s. 3 of the Constitution Aet, and also by the same section of the Constitution Act of Victoria. Bills, therefore. affecting the election of the elected members of the Legislative Council of Vietoria, ${ }^{1}$ or altering the laws concerning the qualitication of electors or elected members of the Legislative Assembly of either Victoria or New South Wales required to be reserved and laid before Parliament. In the case of 'insmania there was nosuch provision and reservation of such Bills was not required unless they also fell within the termof 5.31 of the Act of 1842 . In the case of South Australia s. 34 of the Constitution Act of $1855-6$ required that any Bills altering the Constitution of the Legislative 'ouncil or House of Assembly should be reserved, but not that they should be laid before Parliament. In the case of Western Anstralia, as in the case of New South Wales and Victoria the provisions of the Aet of 1850 were repeated in the Constitution Act of 1890 , and Bills of the elasses mentioned were required to in reserved and laid before Parliament. The sime result arose in the case of Bills of Queensland by the operation of the letters patent of June 6, 1859.

The result of these Acts was constant confusion and difficulty. It is sufficient to note that the Electoral Art. No. 10 of 1856 , of South Australia was in error not reserved by the Governor, and thus the whole constitution of the Parliament elected under its terms was vitiated, so that ant Imperial Act of $1862^{2}$ had hastily to be passed to cure the defects, and further doubts had to be removed by the Colonial Larr: Validity Act, 1865. Moreover, under fre-h difficulties later Acts were required, and Bills of New Somth Wales, Victoria, South Australia, Western Australia, and Tasmania were validated in 1893, and in 1901 a set of New South Wales, Queensland, and Western Australia laws wete validated, having not been passed with proper formalitite.

[^190]ntion． Soutlı same efore， cgisla－ ng the lative quired ase of of surch term－ stralia It any ncil or they esterin ctoria． Con－ were The hy the
n and 1 Arl served of the hat in re the䠉 the ：freth South a，and f New s wem alitie．
 without speeial mention all Bills which for any reanon were informal，but which had received the royal assent lt also laid down the following rules regarding reservation of bills：－

1．－（1）There shall be reserved，for the signitieation of llis Majesty＇s pleasure thereon，every Bill passed by the Legis－ lature of any State forming part of the＇ommonwealth of Australia which－
（（ $)$ ）alters the constitution of the Legislature of the state or of either Honse thereof ；or
（b）affects the salary of the（iovernor of the state；or
（c）is，under any Act of the Legislature of the State passed after the passing of this Act．or under any provision eontained in the bill it self，required to be reserved ；
but，save as aforesaid，it shall hot be necessary to so reserve any Bill passed by any such Legislatmre：：

Provided that－
（a）nothing in this Act shall affect the eeservation of Rills in aceordance with any instructions given to the Governor ef the State by His Majesty；and
（b）it shall not be necessary to reserve a Bill for a temporary law which the Governor expressly declares necessary to be assented to forthwith by reason of some public and pressing emergency；and
（c）it shall not be necescary to reserve any bill if the Governor declares that he withholds His Majesty＂s assent，or if he has previously received instruc－ tions from His Majesty to assent and does assent accordingly to the Bill．
（2）For the purposes of this section a Bill shall not be trated as a Bill altering the constitntion of the Legislature of a State or of either House the reof by reason only that the Bill－－
（a）creates．alters，or affects any province．district，of town．or division of a province，district．or town． which returns one or more members to either House of the Legislature ；or
（b）fixes or alters the number of members to be elected for any such province，district．or town．or division of a province，district．or town：or
＇ 7 Edw．VII．七．$\quad$ ．
${ }^{2}$ This include reservation in New south Wales under Act No． $3: 2$ of

 ＊．34：and in Western Australia under is \＆ 5 it Vict．c． 26 ，sehed．．． 33.
(r) increases or decreases the total number of clectis members of cither Honse of the Levislature ; or
(d) concerns the clection of the clective members of th Legislature or either Honse thereof, or the qualiti cations of clectors or elective mombers.
(3) Fertion thirty-three of the Australian Constitution Act, $1 \times 4 \geq$, shall apply to Bills reserved moder this Act in like manner as it applics to Bills reserved under that Aet witl the substitution of references to a State forming part of the Commonwealth of Australia for references to the eolsony of New Sonth Wales and of references to both Honses os the Legiska ture of the State for references tos the Iagislative Council.
(4) So murh of any Act of Parlianent or Order in Conncil as requires any Bill passed by the Legislature of any such State to be reserved for the signification of His Majesty ${ }^{\circ}$ : pleasure thereon. or to be laid before the Honses of Parliament before His Majesty's pleasure is signified, and. in particular. the enactments mentioned in the Schedule to this Act, ${ }^{1}$ to the extent specified in the third column of that sichedule, shall be repealed both as originally enacted and aincorporated in or applied by any other Act of Parliament or any Order in Comneil or letters patent

As if these Imperial restrictions were not sufficient, the Colonial ?arliaments in Australia in passing their Constitution Acts added to the variety of the restrictions upon their own powers. Thus in New Soutli Wales alterations of the constitution of the Legislative Comncil required to be passed on the second and third readings by two-thirde majoritie: of both Houses in each case." Tlis provision was fortunately. repealed in 1857 as regards both Honses; there was an attempt at the time to claim that the repeal was illegal, as the clause conld not be altered except by the two-thind majority required for the alteration of the Legislative Connef itself. This :iew, however, was definitely rejected at the time, and is mainly interesting becanse it wis revived lator on in Queensland. In that constitution analogous provision:



${ }^{2} 18 \& 19$ Viet. c. 54, s. 36 . In addition revervation and laving lefone liuliament were required. Reservation is still necessary under 7 EAlw. Vh. c. T. s. I (I), hut not apparently laying hefore Parliament.
| I'.URT III
clective or * of the IUualiti-

## itution:

 t in like let with t of the yof New Legisilameil. Comucil ny such ajexty" Parliaand. in dule to of that and il lianentnt, the nstitu11 their of the passed joritie mately. ras ill gal, az -third ouncil at the 1 later isions
13 心 $1+$ and $\begin{gathered}\text { in }\end{gathered}$ 1 party. Inefinio w. 111

with legard to majorities had been adopted in aceomdame with its usual practice of following exactly the constitution of the Mother ('olony. It was provided by s. : ${ }^{1}$ that any. alteration of the Legishative Commeil required the passing of the second and third readings of the Bill with the concmrener of two-thirds of members for the time being of the ('ouncil and the Assembly respectively, and every such Bill was to he reserved and a eopy to be laid before both Honses of Patlatment for a period of thinty days at least before Her Majeoty-s assent thereon was signified. These provisions were appliced as in Now Sontl Wales bys 10 to the Lower Hon-e. Wilh the alteration that a mejority of members only was neceranty in the Legislative Comeil, and the assent of the (Quern was not to be given until an address had been prevented hy the Legislative Assembly to the Covernor, stating that the Bill had been so passed. This latter provision was repealed by a simple Act, 34 Vict. No $2 x$, in 1871 , after an attempt had failed in 1870, but the proviso with regard to the Legislative Council did not disappear unti! Act No. 2 of 1908 , when it was repealed by a simple Act, despite the protestis of those who held that it should have been pased by twothirds majorities in both Honses, a step which would have been impossible in view of the relations of parties at the time.

In the case of Sonth Australia ${ }^{3}$ it was provided that alterations in the eonstitution of the Houscs shonld only be made if passed by absolnte majorities in both Honses on the second and third readings. and the inconsenience of this provision was seen in 1910, when the Lower Honse had a majority in favour of passing the Bill of that year wreduce the ('ouncil framehise to that of the Assemble, but by decident an absolute matority was not arailable on the oceasion of the second re: whing of the Bill and the standing orders had to be ' Of the Act 31 Vict. No. 38, following clause axii of the Order in Comacil of June $6,18.59$.
${ }^{2}$ This is not in the Order in Commell but is takenfrom 18 \& 19 Vict. e. it. ched. s. 15. The rale disidipeared in Is.57 in New South Walte.
 disergarded led to the invalidity of the litertiral Int, 1561 , and the limis.

14iny
suspended to merme its re-introdnetion and passing thong the Honse. only to be rejected by the Legindative fonne Reservation of such Bills required be the Constitution wa


In the rase of Vietoria' aboolute majorities are alreguired on the serond and third readings in earlo Honsof Bills for eonstitntional alterations in the Homses mader th
 visions were inserted in the locial Act which provided tha now wange in the constitntion of the Legistative (bonneil the Leginlative Assembly eomld be effered unkess the reeon and thind readings of the Bill were pased with the rol comemer of an aboolnte majority of the whole nomber of the members for the time being of the Legislative comed ath the Legislative Assembly respectively. Moreover. it wat required that there should be reserved by the fievernor for the signitication of the royal pleasure cevery Bill which so phovide for the election of the Legiskative Comed before the dat fised by Part 111 of the Act in question and avery bi which interfered with the operation of s . 59 (dealing wit the ('ivil List). s. 70 (dealing with the appropriation for aborigines), s. 71 (dealing with compensation to officers wh lost office on political grounds). atid s. TO (dealing wit chatges on the consolidated funds which secored eertai rights to ex-civil servants), and Sehedules B. ('. and 1 (eomprising the (ivil List. the grant for aborigines, and the political pensions), and the seetion itself. The rules at 1 reservation disappeared in $1900^{-3}$

These provisions as to majorities and an to procedure on in ervation are still valid, and the ineonvenience cansed in 1 la latter case may be illust rated by the fact that an Act of $1 \times 0$ (61 Virt. No. 7 ) passed in Western Australia, to alter the poni

[^191]through ('ommill. ation was 1907. are alma h Homer ander thre lia $=$ proded that ommeil or 1e reromel the collor of tho meil and it was川for the porick the date ery Bill ing with ition fol cers who ing with certain and |l and the $\therefore$ al reon in el in the of $1 \times 5$ he pow$d \cdot d f(1): 11$
$\therefore$ a chan © in $1 m, 1$
tion in regard to the ahonigines, was fomm toh he bern invalial
 (o) the prochamation of the reyal asseme and rephired to bre

 Was also illostrated hy a rase in liatoria in low:3. It was there yreationed whether the 1 onstitution Smemheme Bill.
 various points which were rased hag petition presented la the fiovernor was whether the vilidity of the bill was alfered by the fact that lambament wat in a differemt plater
 place for holding the sexsion of Parliament: alow whether the bill was mbstantially altered after the ereond and thired readings in the Lower Honse and belone it wa-timally agreed to. and whether in view of its being mbstantially altered it .honld properly have heen presemted for the aseent of the (iowsernor. It was provided hes. bill of the comstitution, that alterations of the comstitution of the Honses were subjert to the second and thire! readings heing passed with the conscorvence of an absolute majority of the whole :mmbers of the members of the Legishative Comed and of the Legistative Assembly. It was suggested. therefore. by upponents of the validity of the measure that no amendinents conld he allowed between the second and thitd readings of tow Bill in the Lower Honse and its readings in the Cpher Honse. The Bill was largely amended hy alterations being made after a free conference betweon the two Honses. It is clear that the alterations and the general proedare were not all all satisfactory, but it does not appear that the invegulantios were sufficient to render the Bill mall and void. At ant riote the rosal asent was not withhed from the Bill, and it mmal be presumed that it was not hedd by the hmperial Cemembment to violate the provisions of se bia of the sehednle. Nevertheless the validity of the Act has since been phestioned

 Hores the validating effect of 7 Edn. VII. 1.7 .
in lathament in lomes, and it is obvions that the reatrictions We hatlly such as exall lisefally be retained.

The question has alsa been disensed whe her the J'arlatment of Tasmania has power to alter the romatitution of the -tate liy establishing ohe Honse instead of the two Honses of the lagishature-a proposal to that efferet having heren under consideration in 1002 . 'The answer wonld oft appeat to be doubtful. 'The C'oloniell Laris I'alirlity del, Isti.⿹, would arem to be sulficient anthority for ans such change if is were - raidered desinable to make it, ats its provisions ate general and there is no gromed on which their effert ran he limited.

## \$3. 'lue ditemation of the (onsmitothon of New Zrinlavir

In the case of New Zealand some dombt exists as to the exart extent of the power of constitational alteration. The constithtion of $185^{2}{ }^{2}$ gave certain definite powers to the Parliament, hat did not spee ially provide as to the alteration of the constitution. It was, however, provided lya a later Act of $1855^{3}$ that the (ieneral Assembly might by ally Aet or Acts from time to time alter, suspend, or repeal all or any of the provisions of the Aet of 1859 , cxecpt those sperified in the Act of 1857 , which inchnded those as to the establishment of provincial conneils, whel became inoperative when the provines were abolished in $1876,{ }^{3}$ and which have . en since formally repealed; the provision in s. $3:$ as to the establishment of a Gencral Assembly, the provision in . 44 as to the time and place of holding the Assembly and the prorogation and dissolntion of the Assembly; the provision ins. 46 as to the taking of the wath of allegiance h! members of the Legislative Council or Honse of Representa-

[^192]
 ontl: the provision in w. is as to the power of the diemeral





 fenties: the provisiont in -. lit as: rathe for rivil alld
 the ('ivil hist on the revenmesarising from the dieporal of
 variation of sims provided imbler s. bit: the prowision in *. 1 regneling the maintenamer of the lams of the aboriginos under which provision might still' be mode by lettom putent despite the grant of welt-gowermornt the the (ohoms: the provisions of s. 7 is as to the mequixition of lands of the aborigines. "ad the provisionsuf s. st; sith regare! to theinlerpretation of the term ' (evermor', and of Sew Wealame ". the interpretation ef the latter term ineluding the bommaries of the colong. The restrietion as to the repeal of $\therefore$. $i$ is was repeated by s. 4 of the Nitioe Lamds Aet, Ixन̈:3, in reliance on the power conferred by an lmperial Act of tati-2
 torepal the Act, and it is formally repented hy the Imperial Act in \& sif V'iet. e. 10. The boundaries of New Zealand wero aloo altered by an 1 mperial Act of 1 s6i3.: Other alterations. the
 have been made by lettors patent validated b: the ('olomial Pammlaries Act, 189.5 .

An impertant question arises as to whether these restrice tons are still part of the law of New Zealand: on whethe.. they most be regarded as having been mperseded by the general power of altering a constitution which is conferred "pon all represontative legislatures hy the (rolurial l.enm:s

[^193]Virlilit! :Im. Isthō. It has- Inerol lichl int New \%aland, an, for exampice dhring the disermanomes of the peasibility of reoloring the Upuer ('hamber clecetive' and of changing the
 tion enth lex made int thexe sertions as the law at peresent atands. It womlal seroll donloffil whether this docetrine is
 mat it wonld appenr to give a right of alteration of the
 as mase be preareribed. It is trite that the existerne of the

 mod it may merionsly loe dombted whether if the pewer were expreined the exereise would be held to be invalid bex ante fomrt. 'The question is obsionsly of more than theoretia interest. sime alteration of the C"per Honse has bern often diseltsicel, though hitherto vainly, and it wonld seem perferetly pessible that the question may in the future cerase to le: merely academie if Mr. Sedelonis iden of a single chamber revives. Bills altoring the Gove:mor's salary or the apper piation for mative atfairs atill reguibe reservation mbler $\therefore$ din of the Act of txis.

## ミ.4. 'lime Al.terition of the Soloti Africion fonstititions

In the ease of the reape there were no restrictions inder the C'onstitmtion Orelinanee of iside as to the alteration ot the romstitation: alterationse contal therefore be made bes a simpla Act, which womld no doubt have been reserved in the rater of important rhangere as in the case of Bill No. 1
 sovation was not legally requisite. ${ }^{3}$

The ease in Natal was preceisely the same binder the Act

[^194]nil, ne, lity of nise the alteramesent rille is terms, of the forms of the itigatt. "ixise, wrro N coretio 1 witm 0 洲 "ase 11 amble "ppronimhr
mader tion , it dre lis wed in No. 1 ghi hir An
 any comential prime iph wan insolved.

IIt the cane of the 'Iranswal and Orange Riser findong the
 Inting rempusible gowerment repuired the rearsation of




 tion was mot required if her dosermor haia previonsly whtained instruetions with regated tus sheh law throngh the sereterang
 until the proctamation in the colong of the roval aso..lat

Sperial ronviderations apply the the alteration of the federal emonstitutions, and the ginestion will be mere - miseniiratly dealt with in Part IV. There remain Niewhmulland and the Camadian Provineres. In the formere there is fill pewer to change the comstitution by a simphe A.t. . lumgh inl the principle laid down in the (Guremand cate, mot bex mere inconsistency. This is, howerer, sobjere to the sallice dombt an in Now Zeatand, for an limperial det allows the ('rown to provide regarding the qualification of memberm of the Honse of Assembly, the qualitication bey residener of rlectors, the simultaneons holdings ol "lections. and the rerommendation of Money Bills by the (iowermer: 'Il:
 confirming eatiare instructions of late. and, at reards Wectoral matters, the rules an laid down appear in the (bom-
 be altered by fowal Act simply maler the gencral perwer in the Cobomial Latus Validity Aef, Istio, thongh it is dear that

 There is mu legal derixion on the print.


the power given in the Acts which are powers to lay down directions, not aetual provisions.

In the Canadian Provinces alteration by simple Act is the rule, but the position of the Lieutenant-(iovernor eannot bre affected. and in Quebec ${ }^{1}$ the alteration of the electoral distriets, specified in a schedule (being English-speaking districts), eamnot be altered mess the majority of members for those districts concur in the second and third reatings, while in Prinee Edward Iskand the proportion of ('ouneillors and the qualifieations of their eleretors (being the relie of the old elective second chamber which existed from 1862 to 1893 ) canont be changed except by a two-thirds majority of the Legislative Assembly:-

In the old Province of Canada there was very little power to alter the eonstitution under the Are of $1 \times+1$ (3) \& Vict. (•, 35). But by an Aet of $185+(17 \& 18$ Vict. c. 118 ), amplo power was eonferred to alter the tenure of offiee of the Legislative Council, which was at once made elective, and to alter by simple Aet (instead, as before by a two-thirds majority) the proportion of members in either House. A later Act (2: \& 23 Viet. e. 10 ) permitted of the Parliament making the Speakership of the Legislative Comen elective.

In the Maritime Provinees all the three, Nova Scotia. New Bromswiek, and lrince Edward Island, had full power to amend the eonstitution by simple Act, which no dumbt in an important matter would need under the instructionreservation. British Columbia only achieved a representalive constitution before its loss of Colonial status in 1871, but on the grant by Order in Council under an Imperial Act ( $33 \& 3+$ Vict. $(6,6 i)$ of a representative legiskature it at once altered the constitution hy Aet No. 147 of 1871.

[^195]
## ('HAPTER V

 THE PRIVILEGES ANI) PROCEDURE:
## \$ 1. The Control of Expenditcre

In every Dominion the rule of course is that moncys can only be raised and expended with the eonsent of Parhament. It is illegal either to levy duties or to spend money without the consent of Parliament, and the first action has been tested in the Gourts and declared to be illegal. when an attempt was made to levy constoms hutios in Victoria without an Act of Parliament. ${ }^{1}$ As regards expenditure the matter is diffecult to bring into court : there is no very obvions way to deal with expenditure which is not obvionsly memely theft, and as a matter of fact the spending of money in the expectation of parliamentary action is a regular part of parliamentary practice in some Colonies, and still prevails in the Anstratian States to a degree which is decidedly unatiofactory. There are the reeent and remarkable cases of the expenditure of over $£ 700,000$ by Mr. Philp's Giovernment in Queensland in 1907-8, when the Lower Honse had refused supply as a protest against the grant of a dissolution, and the much more improper eave in which, at the end of the same year and at the begimning of 1909 , Sir T. Bent anthorized liimself the expenditure of very large sums: withont legal vanetion of any kind, and withont any warrant from the Governor?: In this comexion too shonld be moted the famons effort made by the suggestion of Mr. Higinbotham to solve the guestion of spending moners without law, when there was a deadloek in lietoria, and when he allowed perams elaming moneys from the fiovermment to bring actions to which judgement was confersed, and the smme awarked paid ont. Unhappily this ingenions neheme was

[^196]defeated by its opponents bringing indireetly the question trefore the courts whieh pronounced payments in this way without legislative appropriation to be contrary to law, with the res ${ }^{-1}$ t that the practice eould no longer rank as a convenient athod of securing the appropriation of money withont the coneurrenee of the Upper Honse.
'The gemeral rule, which has no exeeption in the Dominions. seroures a eontrol over all expenditure to the (fovermment of the day by recuiring that any proposed appropriation shall be recommended by the (iovernor to the Lower House.' The action of the Governor in this regarl may be regarded as purely ministerial : he has indeed on one oceasion-that of the grant to Lady Darling in 1868 -been instructed not to bring the matter before the Lower House by making the formal recommendation required, but that is a speetal case, and related to a paymeti to be made to a wife of a servant of the Imperial Government, and the instruction was revoked a month later by a dispatch of February 1, 1868, and the action of the (Governor may now be regarled as being not a matter for diseretion at all. But. in addition to that, all moneys must be issued under a warrant signed by him. and his signing such a warrant is not a ministerial act at all, but a matter in which he must excreise his diseretion and satisfy himself that the grounds for his signatare are gootl.

The mote in whieh moneys are issued may be ilhustrated by the case of the Commonwealth procedure, which is in essentials the ordinary Australian plan of action." The Treasurer draws up statements of money required to the Anditor-Cieneral, whose duty it is, after seeing that the summentioned are legally available. to sign the instrument. Then the instrument is taken by the Treasurer for the

[^197]
## 44 PARIIAMENTN OF THE DO.MINOSS FPARTM

moneys reereived in respect of salus or work done in respect of the acoont, corresponding in the British system to repayments in aid-all money paid by any person for the purpose of the accomnt, and pay due to a militiaman if not elaimed in three months, a curions item but not mimportant. These aeconnts can be used for any payments out of them for the purposes thereof, and the moneys in the fund are to be deemed to be money stambing to the credit of the Trinst Fund, which is one of the three funts into which the original Audit Act of 1901 divided the pubtic fund:: The others were the ('onsolidated Reveme Fund and the Jom Fund, into which all moneys raised by loan fall to be paid, and from which no money is to be issued unless on a definite Act of Parliament specifying the amomet to be paid ant the purposes for which it is to be expended. From the 'Trost Fund nothing ean be spent likewisn without the authority of an Act for the purposes of the fund.

The andit of the aceomests is secmed in each ease by the presenee of an independent Auditor-(iencral, who is appointed for life and who is not removable from offiee save 01 :1n address from both Houses of Parliament. ${ }^{1}$ In the Commonwealth he most not be a member of any Parliament in Anstralia nor an Execitive Councillor, and the GovernorGeneral has a earefnlly guarted right of suspension with a deeision as to removal by both the Houses of Parhament. His salary is fixed at $£ 1,000$ by the Audit Act, whieh iappropriated by the law. In the Commonwealth the plan is similar to that in England; there is in the first place. a staff which is engaged in checking the expenditure and receipt of money in the great departments day by day inchading in their purvies the propriety of departmental rontrate and thesufficiency of govermment stores. Secondli: there is an examination of the aecounts in the office of the Auditor-Cencral. For this purpose he receives month! -tatements from all persons who ree ive or dishorse money of their receipts and dishurements for the periosl, and the

[^198]Treasurer sends him an aceoment daily in the form of a cash sheet. 'Ihe Anditor-iemeral then ran retermine whether the sums paid have been chaly and legally expended, and if
 most surcharge the 'Preasurer. who in turn sureharges the defanting oflicer, and takes such steps as may be necessaty to reeover the missing monery. The offieer is given a right of appeal to the (ievermor-tieneral, who may make sureh order directing the relief of the otherer as may appear to he just and reasomble. Finsily there is reguired the pmblieation of perioclical aceoments for the information of the phblire and of Parliament. Bery gharter the Treasmer mant pablish in the Geazefte a statement in cletail of the receipt and expenditme of the Consolidated Revenue. Loan, and Trust Fumels, with a eomparative statement of the correponding fignres for the last year. He most also ammally prepare a statement of all receipts and expenditure from the several funds, the expenditme to be set out in the rase of the Consolidated Revemue l'med aceording to the classific:a tion adopted in the approp, riation. On this anmal statement the Auditor-(iencral hises his report. Which is presented th hoth Houses of Parliament in reeognition of the tinancial powers of the Senate. In this report is the opportunity for exposing improper expenditure, and similar reports are rendered by the auditors of all the Dominions In ther Commonwealth there is as ret no Pablic Aceoments Committer as there is in Canada and in several of the states.

It will be seen that there is no sulficient mothoul of pmonshing the expenditure of public money withont due warmat. If an officer does so in intent to defrapd there is of comree the eriminal law to punish him, and the divil law to reenere the proceeds if they are still in hi hands. But if the Treasurer himself breaks the law there is no exalet methord of pmishment available; if any attempt were made io proeced criminally against him the Gowernment would $\mathrm{r} \cdot \mathrm{x}$ hypothesi issire a nolle prosequi, and it is not ease to see who conld be able to prosecute. 'I'h" real pumishment in thi ease most be pobblic opiniom. ame since impeachment is

appowe hix action. In the ease of Sir T' Bent his anetions ${ }^{1}$ formed the subjeet of examination by a committere, but it diseovered that irregularities had been the order of the day in liatoria, and of eoomse it would be all error to confuse such irregularities with serims crime.

## \$ Z. The Privileaes of the Pohdambers:

The question of the privileges of the Honses or l'arlianeut in the Colonies has been the subjee of some judicial dereivionte. hot now is perfectly clear. There is un doubt that apatt from statute a colonial legislature had un more real power than a debating society exerpt in so far as measures to preserere mider theresin might be allowed to take more drastic formthan in a mere debating soeiety. It was laid down hy the Privy Council in the case of Kielley s. 'insom" that the Honse of Assembly of Newfoundland had no power to obeder au arrest ou a complaint of rontempt committed out of dooss, on the ground that mo: inch privilege had been couferverl upon it by the (rown even had the Crown had the power to doso, which the Court evidently did not believe, the power wot being requived for the purpose of enforcing the couduct of the proceedings of the House. In the case of Doyle s. Follomer ${ }^{3}$ they deeided that the Legillative Assembly of Dominiea, which was at the time a representative berly: rould not pmish for a routempt committed before it : it could remove an obstruction to basiness but not punish for any action taken. So the Supreme Court of Canada. in
${ }^{1}$ ('i. Victoria L'arliamentary Debates, 1909, ply. 339 seq.: I'arl. I'ap. Sess. 2, No. 1. The usefulfunction of the Auditor is there clearly shom, and the South lustralia (Govermment has asserted its desire for his tree action ; see Ilouse of Assembly Debates, 1910, p. 877. The disadrantidit of the want of proper control cam be seen ian the case of the illegal pay. ments from the Transwal Treasury to members of Parliament in . $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}$ ! 1910; see atove, 110. 265\%, 26it. It was then held hat a civil suit th restrain an illegal payment hy the Treasurer would mot lir. For Camath.
 196. 11. 1. 147 seq.




Lambers v．Woodmorlh．＇on appeal from Nova siontia．held thant the Assembly there could not remowe a memtrel for contempt untess he was actually obstrueting the husines－ of the Homse，and therefore was not justified in remowing a member becanse he would not offer an apology in term： dictated hy the Honse for hating mald an majust arernsition against the Provincial Seceretary．though the supreme Court almitted that the decision was contrary to many
 Again．in Barton v．Taylor ${ }^{2}$ it was held be the Prive（＇omme il as regands the case of New Sonth Wiales that the power of wilf－defence incladed some right to suspend but not a right to suspend indatinitely or for a detinite time depenting on the irresponsible decision of the Honse itself．It is trum that
 mons，but it is settled law that the extraordinary privileges of the Honse are a part of the Ios at comsumbulo Parliemernti which is peeculiar to the Honse in Eingland，and camot ine daimed exeept by virtue of a statute hy the（olonial legis－ latures．In the case of Femton v．Hempton：it was hedrl he the Privy C＇omed that the Legislative Coumol of Tismania could not commit the Comptroller－feneral of convicts there for refusing to appear before them to be examined as to the alleged ill－treatment of certain convicts．

On the other hand，the powers under the more pewne of legislatures ex malnre rei are mot altogether insignifieamt． In Tookeyv．．Meleille ${ }^{4}$ it was held that the Speaker or（＇hair－ man of the Legistative Assembly had power withont a resolution of the House to ejeet from the chamber a member gnilty of disorderly conduct and wilful ohstrmetion of the course of husiak．unde？standing order $\mathbf{1 7 6}$ of the Britivh Honse of commons，whieh had been alopted by the degio－ lative Assembly．In the ease of Inorn＇l v．（＇rick．＇which

[^199]canc before the Privy Comacil in 1908, the question was the legality of a decision of the Legislative Aswembly to surpend Mr. ( 'rick from the Honse of Assembly while certain inguiries were proceeding in the comerts as to his conduct as Minister of Lands. It was argered against the validity of the action takell that the power to proteret their proceedings combld not repuire that a member shomld be removed from the Homse. But the circomstaneres turned out to be very peeviliar a committer had brought in a report and would have comsidered it, but were prewented from daing so by the lagal proceedinge which were impending, and the Pris: Council held that mader the ciremonstaneres the expulsion of Mr. ('rich from the House was perfectly legitimate mader the spectial standing order made for the oceasion.

On the other hand, when legislation has taken plame, there ean be no dombt of the powers of the Parlianent. This legislation is not only possible under the general legivlative power of the Colonies, but is often expressly conferted in the Comstitution Acts, where it is normally given as a power tu confer by legislation on the two Honses of the Parliament and on the members of those Honses, powers equal ta or lew than those of the Lower Honse of the Imperial Parliament this is the case, for example, in the constitutions of Victoria. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ of Western Australia., of South Australia" and of Natal.' There may be added the fact that in all these cases the power could be increased by an alteration of the comstitution carried out in the form preseribed for such alterations, hut as the constitution stands, in now case could simple legislation alter the powers conferred by the Acte which establish the constitutions. In the case of the Commonwealth of Australia

[^200]the privileges of Parliament are to be - tele as atre appointed by Parliament by legishation : until then they are to be thowe which are enjoyed hy the Jmperial Honse of Commons from time to time. Thas the Honse of Commons privileges ane to be the minimum which the Commonwealth has; it maty incrase these privileges by orelinary legislation, thongh it hias not yet doneso. An Act, No. 10 , was pasised in 1908 topmotert parliamentary prints from the danger of libelare inns. In the Cape of Good Hope and Newfommelland the Constitntion Acts contained no hint as to privileges at all, and the privileges of the Honses rest on orthary legislation ; bys. B of the South Africh Act, 190:, the privileges of the Parliament of the Union are to be those of the Cape Lower House whtl l'arlament derides otherwise. It has detined its code hy. Aet No. 19 of 1911, and has imposed on futher Acts the
Thiinlativ. red in power amelt. or lew ment ctoria. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Natal.' poweritntion ns, lint islation ishther straliai ? Vic! is appl: uerely ariamem constitutional obligation that the privilegen exereised mmat not exeeed those of tha Honse of Commons from time to time. In the ease of New South Wiales, ${ }^{1}$ 'Jasmania, ${ }^{2}$ and Queensand, ${ }^{3}$ the Constitution Acts and the letters patent refer merely to the power of each Homse atopting standing orders, and in New Sonth Wales there is still no dot conferring privileges on the Homse. In Tasmania, on the other hand, the defect was removed in 18.5 B by a lexal Act, and in Queensland by an Act, os Viet. No. J, which was consolidated in the Constitution Aet of $18.5^{-3}$. 50 that the matter is now part of the eonstitution of the Colony and subject to alteration only in the mamor appropriate in surb cases. In the Tramsvatal ${ }^{5}$ and the Change River Colony ${ }^{\text {jo }}$ the constitutions allowed eath Honse to take by legishation the privileges of the Honse of Commons from time to time. or any less privileges, ant this privilege was avaled of by tho Pranswal by the Parliamentary Prieileges Act. $190 \%$.

When Jegislation has been pased there is no donbt of its affect, provided of conrse it does not infringe the constitution :

[^201]in Vietorial tworasex hatie berolderided whieh show the very fall nature of the peower which the Parliaments are ahle to eonfer upon shemselses ; it was hehd in Itill v. . Murphey that the [arliament could eommit the appellant in that case for a libel $\quad$ "pon onfe of its members, allal in the ease of the speaker of the lorgi.shtior Assembl! of V'irforin s. Cilass: it was held that the dsaembly eonld exprever the pewe of committing for eontempt withont sperifying the mature ot the contempt, which in bighland is the supreme exalmple wh the pewer of the Ilouse of l'ommons, as it makes it in theor? able to commit any persen whatero for an umeperitied con tempt, although. Were the contempt alleged to be speritied it se clene that, if not realle: al contempt, the ('onts wonld intorfere and release the persom "ommit ted on a habsens rorpus.

In C'anada the ase has beed of solloe interest beenase of the view tirmly hed for a long time by ('madian minister of justice that provincial legislatores wore very homble bodies and loeed loot be allowed to amogate to themselve high powers. 'The J'arlament of Camala itaelf was giver surh privileges ats might be appointed by law. but so as that such privileges should mevor exceed those enjoyed by the Honse of Commons in England at the date of the passins of the British North Amerien Act. In I86s all Aet of the Federal Panliament eomfered power upon committees wh the Selate to examine withesses on oath, alld wats mot, h inadwertenee, disallowed, for it was clearly ultra ribes giving a power not possessed by the Honse of Commoncommitteres in 1867 . In 1873 the matter came more pros minently forward with regitel to an Act of that year givime power to both Honses and their eommitteres to examim witherses on wath. 'The (iowermen-(ieneral assented to the Act, thongh aware that its valiclity was donboful. but anked the fuperial (iovermment to comsider the matter carefully with the result that. While the Act was disallowed. He Lmperial Parliament in $1 s^{5} 5$ altered the provisions of $:=1$ of the British North Ameried Ad by making the limitation ori the power of the Dominion Parliament merely that of not passing any Aet which gave privileges greater than thom

 Purliament detining the privileges thor takell．Thas the




In the ciase of the prowineres the lagiolaterres of（Inturios



 semate．＇The：dets well promply disallowed，hoing held not unly be the Jominion Mini－：of Jotice．bat alow bey the lmperial law witicers．to be ultom rives．：On the other
 the privileges which it elamed．amemmtinw toret！mench the same thing as had berol chamed in the rase ol the previons
 Imemerecat decided that a provimeial hegishature had a right los summon withersises before it alll 10 punish pereolls．who terelined to appear．altel that the provincial Act of INTO Was a proper exereise of the pewer which in itself was inherent in a legislature by reasoi：of its leving cosential for the proper ronduct of its legsontive powers．This teecision went further than was justitied in holding that the prower was inherent in a legishature．and was evidently one of those
 werruled by the deceision in Latuders $v$ ．Womednorth．＂which

 by the judges in ex perter Danserment．But the decisions in
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 hat in ixit there was agnin a diallowance, this time e
 legislation, and this time bot disallowed, in both Ontari
 Woodurorth. while, as moted above, negativing the pewer a legislature withont expreses stat utory anthority to pinnis. for a contempt which did mot actally ohstruct business, th Supreme ('onrt of ('anada in lxã expressly sald: 'Tla Legishatures of Outarion and (iander seem to have romferred on the Honse of Asembly in these powinees extensiv powers to emahle them cfferetively to exerrion their high fille tions and diselange the important duties rast mon them It may be nereseary still forther to extend their perwer The loginhatures of the or her prowimes will prohathy eonside it desirable to take the same connee, and in that way momitakally phace theoe tribmats in the poxition of dignity anm power which it is desitable they shombld possesess.' 'Th derision had been antiepated by the Legiskatare of Nowe Ne tiat hy e. :2 of 18 ati, which gave both Honses the same pivileges as the Honses of the Dominion Parliament, ant both this Act and the Ontario and Manitoha Acts were left to their operation. Thr Minister os Jnstier of ('anad, evidently was strongly in favour of the view the they we: invalid, but he did not go beyoud recommending that the attention of the (iovermment of Nova Scotia should be draw to the provisions of the Adet decmed :medesirable, with a vien (1) their amendment.' But Nova Scotia, haviag ohtaimet the Aet it desired, had mo intention of altering it. 'Th. wher provinees also pasised Acts regarding the privileges : the legishatures. New Bronswick ${ }^{2}$ and Prinere Edward Lamb in 1890. Atherta ${ }^{\prime}$ and Saskatehewans heing of eourse the latest to doso. In British Cohmbia, hy e. 47 of the Reminel Natutes the privileges of the Honser are bot to careed the... of the British House of Commons.

[^202] time of vise fresh Onturio milers v． mower of O pmish ness，the ：＂Tho onferred xtensive gh fille－ 11 them． powers． consider ：lllmis－ ity and ＇Tı． of Now： he sallie ＇ht，and vere left （＇mada ey we： hat $1 / 1$ d＂ぃいい a vien bonind ＇Th． legen ： ｜Wand rese live Reviont d thon
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exdanively to the bominion. the l'risy ('omate were at pains to point ont that all they deceided wats the power ot the legislatures to establish themselves as courts of record. as Was done by the Nova Seotia Act. for the purpene of dealing with contempts as rontempts, not as eriminal offences, a distinction not of much practical importance, but obviously excellent in law. 'The case was followed by
 ruling the Supreme ('ourt of Nowa Seot iat.: and deectling that a person who crea d a disturbance conld legally be removed from the stairs of the Homse of Assembly.

The nature of the privileges conferred may be gathered from the Aet, 1909. c. $_{2} 2$, of Alberta, detining the privileges claimed by that body. 'The Assembly may eompel the attendance of any persons before it, and the production of papers. and the serjeent may issue a watrant or -ubpoena to enforee attendance. Any committere maly examine a witness on oath, ad in exereising the power: eonfered all persons acting under instructions are indemititied. and all sheriffs. constables. and others are boumd to help them. No member shall be liable to any civil aetion or prosecution for things done hefore the House by petition. motion. or otherwise. lixeept for a breath of the preace. no member shall be liable to arrest, detention, or molestation for any civil cause during the session, and for twenty day after and before the session, thas providing against the care of Norton v. ('rick; ${ }^{3}$ in which in New South Wales it was lath down that arrest on a ra. set. Was possible even while tha Assembly was sitting. During the same periods alt member and officers of the Assembly and witnesses summoned before it or a committee are exempted from serving on juries. 'The assombly is made a court and authorized to pomish summarily (1t) assaults, insults. and libels upon the membe - of the Honse while in session ; (b) obstruction or intimidation ot members; (c) oftering or aceppting of a bribe in eonnexion

[^203]wrer al (WWer ol rereorel. jurpose -riminal Htallere, wed Jy , overgr that a cmoved comper prodiserant or Hlay power: 1demmimind to tion etition. al station y day the eran vas laid lile the ember 1 before $\therefore$ 'Thi' marily of that tion ot mexion
18. it H:ー he Homer


 (r) tampering with witnesses in resper wi ally eridence frivell
 forged doremment to the i ambly: (g) foresing docmment -



 is imprisomment daring such pration of the seanon it the Legislative Assembly may awand, alld thre determination ot the Assembly i: to be timal ant! conlela-ive. If ally atetont
 of the Assembly it shall he staperl bye production of the original witle an atfidavit of the eotrerethes of the coper. and the pmblecation of rextract - is proteredod if beme fiele abled withont maliee. 'The arrest and detention of ante provell meter the atuthority of the Aret is to he refleded bey the -rojeant-itt-arms or the keepere of the comblom jail ins
 Monnted Polirer of the Fidmonton district
 sime as those of the Imperial Homse of ('ollollons. thomgh
 In the ease of one of the earliest ICts, that of 'lat-manial. in
 issued it will be a eonelasive almewer that the prisomer is ins enstody moler the anthority of a warmant moller the hatar of the President of the Legislative ('onnoil or trpeaker of the Legislative Assembly, foroviding fon hix detontion om the ground of a contempt, the rontempet to be set out in words to show umder whieh of the heats enmmerated in $\therefore 3$ of the Act the eontempt falls. Jhin is mot the wide power to commit withont aproifying a rontrompt rlained and allowed to the House of commons. 'Ther powre of punishment in the case of Tasmania also is limited to the period of the rescion, and this is a rule in all reases, as in Fingland. Moreover the ('olonial Parliaments do not usablly confor any right to punish by fine, a right which. thongh

## 

theoretimally possessed bey the Honse of Commons, may la
 10: : , howerer. like the ('ape Aet of 188.3 . Werognize the Pewer. The 'Tasmanial and Quecmiland Acte comtan alsu "power to the Homses to diree a porecerotion against any perion who infringes the rights of the Honses or members hy ermmitting any offelee cognizable by the Supreme fourt. and such offences can be pmished by tine and impris : :anceni not to exered two years.

It may seem somewhat anomatons that the Parlaments which have no comstitutional more regarding the extent of their privilages shomblave power to comfer shel privileges as they deem desirable. But the fact is of little importanee : it is fairly certains in the Powinces of Canada that any effort to arrogate great power would lead to the disallowance of the par vincial Act hy the Dominion (iovernment, and in point of - . . it does not seem that any provine ial legislathere hats yet alteapted to take too great powers, thongh no dombt ample powers hase been taken from time to time. It may also be argued ${ }^{1}$ that the limitation of the powers of the Dominion House applies to the provinees. In the other states and Diminions the practice has heem. where powers ate taken. th follow the House of Commons elams as actually exercised at the present day, and unt to extend them. New Somth Walsinderel. for whatever canse. has taken mo real privileges at all.:

11 is possible inctered that New somth Wales may hold the. view that the priviluges which it eomld take are restrieted to making the rules for standing orders which are specified in the Comstitution Act and which it has exereised. It may be that it is held that this grant implicitly exeludes any
 sulud.
${ }^{2}$ New Zaraland, whicla has only powser as to standing orders unter loin


 No. I, and see Jot No. 13 of 1883. For Nowfoundland see Consedideted vtuthes.c.o.s. 10. Quermsland has made its privileges a part of the constntu


other penwers, Bat this is clearly wrong: the pencer tuatere the romstitntion womlel of comrse emable it to take larger powers, but eson withont this it may safrely he satel that "rey legrislatme whel is mot restricted in the sphere of itpowers is able to lay down what privileges it desiese to lay down. It may be objoceterl to thiv view that in the ciases of ('amada the privileges are expressly placed within the power of the Parliament. But thre case is not merely that the perileges are placerl within the power, hat they are also coppressly limited in extent, and finther, it may have been, as was singgested in the case of Fielding v. Thomas by eommel. that the right was conferred in cexpress terms upen the Dominion and not upon the provinces, beranse the matter was one of civil rights, and therefore primu farie reserved to the provinces exchnsively of the power of the Dominion Parliament. Another and probable view is that the provisions were included simply because they form part of a constitution. and shomld be placed in a constitution det, just as has been done in Queensland, whicls enacted the prowisions indepenclently. in a loeal Act, and later incorponated them with the comstiIntion Act of $\mathbf{1 8 6 7}$. In the case of the Cnion of Somth Africa the insertion of the clansese is again justified in a different Way: in earh cate th rivileges to be possestiod were defined in the Acts, and 1. mstead of moressal. South Amstralia, and We in cases like Camada, Viotoria, ther privilegese are merely Aet of Parliament, mely taken gencrally to be laid down by of Commene of Commons.?

[^204]
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The form of the enactment of laws is generally hy the (rown with the advice and eonsent of the two Honses of the Parliament. But there are certain variations: in New Zaban I the laws are enacted hy the (aencral Assembly, whirh inchules the two Homes and the (iovernors. In the ('ommonwealth the eadviee and "onsent disappear. In the ease of th: Provineres of Nova Sootia, New Bronswick, and Prince Edward fsland. Which owe their original constitutions to the Commissions of the Governors.' the pewer was given to the Covernor to enaet laws with the Honses and the form in maintained. thongh in two of the provinces there is now but one House, and in all the Lieutenant-(iovernor takes the place of the Governor. In the Cape the (onstitution Orelin anee of 185.2 gives the power to legistate to the Governor with the two Honses, but in Natal and the Transval and the Orange River Colony it was given to the Crown. In the case of the Dominion of Canada and the other provinces the power is conferred upon the (rown with the Honse of Honses. In the ease of Sonth Anstralia and Tismania the Ineal Constit tion Acto give the power to the (iovernor with the two Honses, but in all the other fone colonies. mon states. the power belongs to the (rown with the two Homsen and this is of comre the cane with the Commonwoalth and the L'nion Parliaments. It is ille to suppose that there is anl! impropriety in the old form which is also followed in New foundland: the Governor legislates as representative of the Crown, and the assent he gives is in all cases in the name and on behalf of the King. The faet is rather ammsingly illustrated by an Aet of Newfomdland in 1910 dealing with Treasury notes, for the Aet eontained a clanse suspending its operation ur.til the royal pleasure had been signified, but ignoring the fact that it hat been signified by the assent of the Governor The conrect form of suspending clause is that laid down bs a dispatch of Jume 20,1884 , from the Secretary of State
' ('f. C'lark, Australian C'onstitutional Lauc, pu. 309 seq.; Hammon Moore, ('ommomuealth of tastralie. pp. 105, 106. There is ceptamls atsolutely no legal difference betwern the cases.

 the officer administering the (iovernment motities hig prorlamation that it is Her Majersty pheasme not to dixallona the same and thereafter it shall come into operation on =um day as the offieer administering the liovernment shall entifs by the same or any other prorlamation.

The assernt to the Acte prased by the lialliament i- given
 grivel by rommission, in others the finserume premonally attends the Parliament and gives asomt, or b:e may acomt to it at the (Goverment offieres The work of asiont ame borowed from the English form, and the words of assemt to an Appropriation Bill are still the same as in Englaned. but they are pronomoed in English, not in Norman French. In ('anala and Qumber the words are sad both in Einglish andal-o in Fremeh, as the legiskatures are bilingal in these mattor moder the British Vorth Ametice Act, and the same remark as to English and Duteh applies to the Cnion Parliament.

The nse of language in parliamentary proceredings is of some interest and importancer. In the E nion Are of $18+11$ it was expressly provided that all instrments for summone the Parliament. for diswolving it and prombuing it. and all
 prowerdings of the two Houses. atal all writton or printed procerdings of reports of committers of the two lioners. *homld be in English only, ame ropios in Froneh. thomgh mot prohibited, were not to be allowed to be reeorded amonite the arehives. No attempt was, very wisely made to onfore the tse of English in the Homses, and the French language was used from the first in debates. the first Speaker of the A-sembly. Mr. ('wvilher'. being a Freneh member of Parliament. Varions roles were made to secure the translation of all matter into French, and in $18+1$ an Act was passed to secure the transhation into French of all statutes and similar the hill the reserved is ahsurd. hut harmless: see Now Zealand farl. P'np.

${ }^{1}$ Where a Bill is reserved, the due publication of assent is essential. or the Bill is not validly an det : see Wiestern dustralia det Nos. $1+$ of 1 gom.


dorrments. But in the session of $1 \times 1 t$ it the Speathe wfined a motion written in Freneh, on the gromed that to recerve it would be a violation of the Union Art. and on an "ppeal to the Homse his deeision was upheld. In 1848 tha provisions of the Union Aet in this regard were repalded the measme had heren med by there suce ossive dowermen(ieneral, and when an address from the Legislative Asembly was rent in 1845 the Imperial fiovermment by a dispatel from Mr. (iladstome of Fehnary 3 , 1846, promised repeal which wis defended by Lord Grey in the Honse of Lords as being proper, on the principle of allowing all their loea conecrus to be regulated acoording to the wishes and fereling. of the people of Canada. Lord Eilgin had the pleasime of announcing the decision of the Imperial Patiament in hi speech on opening the Legislature on fannaly is. is 49 , for it was a measure which he had neged energetieally upon the considetation of the Imperial Govermment. ${ }^{1}$
S. 1:33 of the British North Americe Act pevides that either the English or the French langnage may be need b any person in the debates of the Heuses of the Parliament ot the Dominion of (banada amd of the Hemses of the Legislatme of Quehee, and both those langnages shall be need in the respective reoores and jommals of the Honses, and rither of those langunges may be used by any person on in any phemetme or process in or issuing from any (Court of Canada extablished under the Act and in ar from all or any of the ('onts a Quchec. The Acts of the Padiament of Canada and of the Legislature of Quebee are to be printed in both these langnages. Under this provision everything in the Canadian Parliament is duplicated and issined in French as well as in English: the statutes and the Bills alike are printed in both languages. and recently steps have been taken to acceletate the rapidity of the French version of the proceedings, but the sessions of 1910 and 1911 opened as usial 1 ith complaint- of delay by Mr. Landry, and anendment was promised. The expense is large, and the utility of much of the printing wil.

[^205]『いだリ！ Sication that to If on all 1848 the praled ： rarnot：－ sicmbly lispatch repcal， cords as ir local feeling： sime of $t$ in his． 849，for pon the le：that uscod ly ment ot ishature in the ither of leadin！ hlishert surts aif 1 of the ese lan－ madian H1 as in in both celeritit＂ but the aint－ 11 1．＇The＇ ing nil．

In establishing the Provinee of Manitohat in 1 sion．the samme provision was inserterl by the Dominion linlianent in the constitution（ 33 Vict．e． 3 ，s．$\because 3$ ），but the provision was repealed by the Legislatare of Manitoba in 1 s900．${ }^{1}$ as it had moler its constitution a right to do．＂

In the ease of South Afriea the course has been towatels the more full reeognition of the position of Duteh as a lan－ guage of the state．It was provicied bys．8！of the（＇onstitution Ordinence， 185 en of the Cape that the debates and diselewions should be conducted in Einglish，and that all journals， minutes，and proccedings should be made and reworded 19 the same langmage．The only alteration to thi was dieeted by Act No．I of $1882,{ }^{3}$ which allowed debates and discossions to be ronducted either in English or Dutch．but which went no further，whike the nise of Duteh in legal proceredinges Was
 rule was for all the records to be kept in Einglish；Duteh petitions were accompanied by English translations，and on the other hand，while parliameatary pipers were issued in English in special cases，translations into Duteh were issumed also，and usually a report was acompaniad by a Dutch version，the evidence being left untranslaterl，and the first prints of Bills were translated into Dutch，while a daily record of votes and procecuings was rendered into Dutch； the estimates were also translated，but the whole matter was one of convenience，ant especially of expense，and in later vears much that was onee translated merely out of principle． was allowed to be left mitramslated when it would elomegooel．
In the case of the Transvaland the Orange River Colony the rule laid down in the letters patent of December 6.1906 ， and repeated in the letters patent of the Orange River Colony in June $5,1907,{ }^{5}$ was that debates might be conducted in cither
${ }^{1}$－ 3 Vict．c．14．（＇f．Prosincial Legishation，pp． 909 sery．
${ }^{2}$ In the North－Wist Legishative Comeil both languages were prewithed for by the Act 43 Viet e．25，but see House of Crmmons Journals．Is：M， pp．10f－8，where it was decided to leave the matter in future to the Cimmeil
 new constitutions of Aberta and saskatebewan have mothing a mout this，


[^206]
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language athd that the woten and prowerdings and propose laws shomld be printed in both languages, but all journal entries, minutes, and prose edings in the two Houses wen recorded in English only, while lars were to be issued i both languages. 'Tlus the Dutch language remained in a inferior position, though still recognized as an official lan grager. In the case of the Union of Somth Afriea the matte is different: s. 138 of the South Africh Act, 1909, provide that hoth the Englixh and Dittel langnages shall be the official langhages of the Cnion, and shall be treated on footing of "eprality, and possess and enjoy equal freedon rights, and privileges. All records, journals, and proceeding of the Union Parliament shall be kept in both langmges, all all Bills, Acts, or notices of general pitblie importanere interest iswed hy the (iovermment of the Chion shall be it both languages. The clanse was admittedly a vietory fo the Dintch party, and it seems that originally Dr. (now Sir s. Jameson was mailling to concede the point, but yielde when he found that the matter was being treated as question of honour by the Duteli party, as showing thei cquality with the English. This is its jnstification, thouge otherwise it would be regrettable that artificial steps shouk bre taken to encourage the development of bilingualism is the ('aper, where in the kong rum it can merely add to the complications of edecation and life. It is very doubtful $i$ hilingualism is in any way encouraging to mental growth at any rate, the history of south Afriea has not tended a mole to conconage the view that that commtry is exeeption ally fortunate in possessing intellectual leaders.

There is a curions diffientty in all these casis, viz. which language whall deede where there are diserepant verwiona matter not at all rare; it cammot be said that even in Camada there is any rule generally laid down; apparentes it is. held that the sense and context will decide in favour of the most probable interpretation, or if the Act be a consolidation. the langlage of the Act to be consolidated may be referred th:

[^207]|rilty If

 all diflienty was aroided by the requirement that the erop. of each law to be sigmed hy the (iowernor amel emothed in the office of the Registrar of the Supreme (ourt was to be the English copy, athl was to be final evidence of the terms of the Act. In the case of the Conom there shall be two copies prepated and the (iovermor-General shall sign whieh he. rhooses, and that shall be the timat eopy in cases of disagreement, thengh both c ppies will be emolled in the office of the Registrar of the Supreme (ourt, Appellate Division. He signe some in Dutel, some in English, and eonfusion seemsprobable.

The necessity of safegureling existing interestsis recognized by a provision in the Act whidh exempts existing ofticers from the neressity of acyuiring both tomgues, but the provision of two official languages maty be expertel to tell in favour of Dutch applicants for posts, as the lewming of English will be more common among the Joteh thath the reverse process, for in South Africa. while a knowlodge of English is very valuable, a knowledge of Dutell can hardly. be deemed amywhere absolutely enomial to the ordinaty Englishman.

## § 4. Tife Procedule of Parldament

The procedure of Parliament is based avowedly and minutely on the practices of the Imperial Parliament. It has been so from the begiminge, the pomps of the Impertial chambers having been introduced into Canada at a time when the capital where the Legislatme of Upper C'amarla met was merely a small village. There hate been proposals from time to time to simplify the procedure but they have not been very sympathetieally rereived in any yuarter : indeed. there is some adsantage in inducing the Honses to realize that the aretion which they are engaged upon is of serions importance, and should be treated in a spirit of dignity and responsibility. All the forms are therefore observed. state openings, messages from the Governor, and. What is more important. the full procedure by three readings in either Honses, with committee thages ant wmetimere report etager. though the (amadian Honse of Commens has disearded

## 

this. It is gemerally provided that in cases of doulit the Finglish preeredne shall be followed, but as yet mone of the Honses have had oceasion to molopt the drastio elosmer ralde of the lmperial Parlianent. On the wther hand, they might, it seems, be invoked in cease of nereessity meler the clansex in the rules which allow the aloption of the Imperial procedare for the time being in ecertain eases ; and on Mareh 24, 1904, after there had beon a hopeless eonfusion in the Lower Honse of the Cape Parliament, the Speake asserted and exercised the right of putting the question cul his own althority, following the example of shr. Speake Brand on a famons oceasion in Englis! history. ${ }^{1}$ Threats of action have, however. been made in the direction of elosum resohtions in Canala, when. in 1806, the dying Ministr? of Sir ('. 'Tupper was endeavonring to obtain supply. is 190x, When the Opposition in the Lower Honse persistently and sucessfully bocked operations mutil the Governmen had to earry supply by the more physical exhatistion of al parties to Ste struggle, and in 1911 in the struggle ower reriprotic; sith the United States, whieh led to a dis wh tion." In September 1910 the elosure hat to be used to fre : iny work done by the Upper House of New Zealand. ${ }^{3}$

In eertain cases a time limit has been adopted for speechethe following are the rules in fore as given in a parlianental! return ${ }^{4}$ of 1908 :-

There are no rules in force for limiting the length speeches in the Parliament of the Dominion of Camada or i: the Legislatures of Quenec, Nere Brunsurick, Manitobn, Briti, Columbia, Prince Edurard Islaml, Sashutchewan, and Alberlu

Rale No. 30 of the Legislative Assembly of Omtario provid

[^208]P:SIIT III whet the re if the re rilles I, they verer the mperial and on mf ns:ion Sperakir tion on Speraker reats of chomate Ministry ply. in istently ermment oll of all te ow dis all d to $\mathrm{f}+\mathrm{l}$ . ${ }^{3}$ reechos menta!
ngth da or il , Britivh Alberll" providi-
ker in ther Ther . andiat +13

## 

that no, Wembor shall speak to at motion tor indjonmen the Honse or the I) hate for more the:!: : ©ll minntics.

 shbjeret before it for a longer periond than an lomir allit athit at any one time bulese hy precial leave of the Howne.
 delisered in the Parliament of Sicuramullomil.

There is un provision for atime limit to -prerele in the
 of a motien for the adjomrnoment to dianos a definite matter
 - peaking to the question athall mot -peala for lumer lhall thisty mimites caldh, and othor semators and ther Inower in re ply shall mot excered fifterol minntes cald, while the whole.
 Standing Orders of the Somate. No. bit).

In the Honse of Representatives, the only limitation is that unden Standing Orders 38 and $3!9$ a Mominer moving the adjourmment of the Honser to disednss al definite mather of urgent publice importance camont speak for more than thit? minutes, and no other Momber for more than fiftern minntes.
Standing Order No. 133 of the Lagislitive (emmeil of No... somth Wrales provides that on anye motion for the aljomin. ment of the Honse for the porpere of discrissinge a detinit: matter of urgent publie importance, the seeceless of the moser and the Minister first spraking to the question hall not exceed thinty mimutes rach, and the repereh of ally - Nember or of the mover in reply sall not exered fiftern 1. ess each. Stamding Order No. Zit provides that allo. -tanding moses or order of the Honse mity be su-pended (an motion made in areordance with notice piven and in rasof meerssity, may be smspended on motion made "ithont motice. The question of neressity may be decided ly the Honse upon motion withont motiere or drhate, exapt a statement by the mover limited to ton mimetes.

Nitanding Order No. 49 of the Legislative Assembly provide:That on motions for the adjommment of the Honser to disenss definite matters of urgent pmblic importance, the mover and the Minister first speaking are limitorl to thirty mimutes carlo and any other Member spaking to the yuestion to liftem minntes each. Standing Order No. Itil provides that on a mution of dissent from Mr. Spakeros raling no. Moml ar wall, whont comenromer, spak for more than ten mimutos.
 debate on such question shall have exeeded thirty minnter. 1: ॥ 1

## 

Standing Order No. 333 s provides that mo dobate in athon all the Wrder of the Day far the Hons- tor reder itadf in Committer of Supple of Whys and Means, and mo dmen ment of eontingent motion shatl le entertained withont t
 for such leave, rexerent a statement of thentijeet-mattor of t ithombed motiont. imited to tell mimetos. Standing thed Xn. 30.5 imposera a similar limit of tell minntro on the Womb, mosing that it is a mattor of mpoltt meroosity that


There were no males of the Parlialment of (pmomalnerl prosi ing a limit fors perehes, hot the Stamling thedere provida that on a motinn for the aljomrmoment to diverse a defint matter of megent public importaner. the moser might $n$
 dohatiog the motion, or the mower apreaking in repls, migs Imt sprati for mome than twenty mimites. I tiane limit w －nlloncal itwilf into －Amende thont the 10 mution Hיサ ol the lise Ordi．n ＂．Mamber that the －• pros in proside． ＂definul＂ night 1101 －Nambun小． 1 might limit 1 ：I －－ $1 \times \cdots \cdot$ mower．int （i） 1 ）． $\therefore$ al the ＂pivativ． lir Lack adjoum
 mintlo－ ntes．：anll ceerl III． incr he Hollw （ex of ther 1．St， 1 al proside 1 hooll at lebiatt •יIn 1．II in a iving the opriall＂川 （for all ys a $1110 \cdot 11.11$ ！ayinal 1tell
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Westom Australia. The Sipaker in the dower Home is alway: rereted, and in the case of T'asmania and Sonth Anstralia only is notification of the appointment legally required though in all rases the form of notifeation is followed. If New Yasaland the confirmation of the Governor is stil regnired.' In Camada. the Commonwealth, ${ }^{2}$ and the Union the Speaker of the Lower Honse is elective, but hy practied the appointment is motified, and so with the Presidents in the two latter cases. while in (amada the appointment rest with the Governor- (emeral. as wimal in nomine Honses, and similarly in Quebere and Nova Seotia. In the Lower Honse: of the lrovinces the offiee is elective, and so also in the Hemme of Assembly of Newfonmelland, while there the President of the Legistative (ouncil is appoint ed hy the (iovernor. Until 184 the nsage was in c'anada to present the Speaker for approwal hint it was then droppet. In Camada and the Provinces, and in the Anstralian States, the Speaker asks the (iovernor for the nowal privileges, which are gracionsly accorded. ${ }^{3}$

As regards voting the provisions are emrionsly variod. It C anada and the Commonwealth the law is that the President has a vote, and ihat if the votes are equal the negative prevals, as in the Honse of Lords. In the Union the rule is that the President shall only have a casting vote, and the Speaker in all three cares has only a costing vote. In all the States and in New Zealand President and Speaker alike have only the casting vote by law. In Newfomelland thew is no legal rule and the President and the Speaker might apparently vote twiee. but it is dombtful if this would $\sqrt{\text { a }}$ be ione : there is no cvidence of it on record, and if possible would hardly be actaal. In the Provinces the legal rule ato the Speaker is as in Camada, bnt in Quebee the I'resident has an ordinary vote only, as in Canada.

[^209] ustralia equired, red. In is still Union, practice dents in lit rest ses, and Honse:e Hollar. nt of the. 1til $18+1$ pproval. , and in for thr
ied. In resident legative the rule and ther

In all ser allike 1d ther" $r$ might uld possible 1 rule is resident atter :ar mars:

The l'residents and speakers are all paid salaties as are Chairmen of 'ommittees, and so holdf office until shecessors are appointed : in all eases thoy hold office motil they resign or are removed by a vote of the Honse in whicli they preside. or by the Governor in those eases in which the appointment rests in his hands. The post of Speaker is not bye convention a permanent one as in England : it is always open to elect a new Speaker for a new. Parliament. Eacla Homse hats its offierers, who are not ordinary publie sem vants, and who in nome cases can only be removed by a spectal process. In Victoria in 1910 a dispute arose becallse the (foremor in (ommeil declined to areept the reommembation of the Presilent of the Legislative Comneil for an appointment, and in revenger the Upper Honse adjonrned for a week ass a mode of protest.

The curions position of the Speaker or President is exemplified by the difference in procednre between the Parlianments of certain States and the procedure in the Cnited Kingelom. The British practice is normally followed on the meeting of a new Parliament, but in Tasmania the practice of issuing a commission prior to the election of a President was abandoned in 1884 , and the position laid down that the clection shombl take place before any commmenation from the throne was made. 'This plan is generally followed in ('anada also its regards the Speaker. ${ }^{1}$

One point regarding the Legislatures is of interest, namely the fact that owing to their small size the Speaker has hat on several oeeasions to give a casting vote. The prine iples on which he shonld give such a vote camot be said to be in any. way fixed: in the cave of a vote of non-confidence in ministres in 1878 the Speaker of the Homer of Aswembly of sonth Instralia gave his vote against the Ministry on the gromel Which hedeelared healways followed. not tosipport a Dinistry Which was not in a majority when a vote of non-rontidenere Was moved against it." On the other hand, in the same vear Nir (George Grey's Ministry was upheld in New Zealand bev a wote of the Speaker in the ease of a similar motion, a step ' 'f. Munro, C'instiattion of C'amadu, pp. 47, 11:2. Sime Tasmathiat P'mb.


[^210]which Lord Normanby said was probally due to the desire of a Speaker not to prevent further eonsideration, as is the rule in Enghand. and which therefore could not be used to prove, a: Sir George Cirey tried to use it, that he had the confidence of the House. ${ }^{1}$ It would seem, however, that the Speaker would do well in such cases to conform to the practice ir the Imperial Parliament: any other eomse turns him int a partisall, and it is most desirable that no Speaker shouk oceupy that position, while the Imperial rule would alway: ensire that the Speaker himself would not be eredited witl responsibility for any decision, . ad that the House would be able to consider freety what eourse of action it should adopt This rule was recently elaimet by the President of the Tramsuaal Legislative Council to have governed his action in all cases. In 1874 Mr. C'arter's Ministry in Newfonntlans was: only kept in office by the vote of the Speaker, ${ }^{2}$ ant on what was a vote of censure in 1903 in British Columbia tha Speaker supported the Government. ${ }^{3}$ In 1907 the Presiden in the Cape laid down the rule that he should try to have funds voted and the Government earried on. ${ }^{4}$

The (iovernor-General or Governor has in every case in law the power to prorogue or dissolve Parliament besides thi power to summon it, though the latter power is sulbject t. the rule of ammal Parliaments and must be exerciset in virw of it. ${ }^{5}$ The power is also given in the letters patent, thougl

[^211]the delegation is hardly neressary and is mot requisite. In the Dominions the English practiee in theere matters is followed. but in some of the Provinees of Canada there is power to prorogne indefinitely withont fixing a day, and this is done, avoiding frequent prorogations. In Now Zealand in 1909 the question arose whether when Jarliament siond prorogned to a definite date its mereting be berederated. but this was not done, and in the a a me of statuteny prowision it womld seem that it could $n$ ally be done. there is legislative provision in Thamania ath setoria undere which the Governor can summon the Legislathre for a date not nearer than six days.
The rule is now regular that the Legislatneres of the Dominions are not affected in any way hy the demise of the Crown, there being statntory enactments to that effee t in nearly all the Dominions, save the commonweallh of Anstralia, and in that case, when the question aro in I!日月 on the death of King Edward. it was held that the Parliament was not affected by the demise of the Crown. Mr. Jastiere (lark has argued that the demise of the ('rown produced the result merely by common law, and that withont local legislation every Parliament resting on a statutory hasis $i$ ipso facto is exempt from the rule of common law

It need hardly be said that in convoking, proroguing, and dissolving Parliament the Governor acts on the adviere of ministers, just as in all other matters. It is. howerer. a matter which might canse diffienoly if ministers desired to break the law as to the holding of ammal sessions, but there is no probability of this giving rise to a diepute. In the ('ape during the war the constitution was :o violated. but with ministerial advice and inevitably in view of the rebellion raging, and the defect was coned by an Indemmity Act.






 Hamay, i. 4.\%.

In $1 \times 91$ it Was held by Mr. Angers' advisers in Qneloee that lo fultilled the law when he dissolved at onee the Lower Honse of the Legislature so that no basiness session was actually hedd, and there was a formal meeting only in 1910 in Saskatchewan. In several cases Governors have pht pressure on ministers to mert Parliament early for some reason or other, as when in 187 a Lord Normanby insisted on Sir G. Grey smmmoning the new P'arliament at as early a date as possible, as there had been a dissohotion and a general election. Again. in 1908-9, the teater of the Opposition in Newfonntland demanded that the Legislature shombl meret early, but the ( invernor did not press for a mecting moel before the normal date. In $18 x$ O the Govermment of New Zealand declined to acrelemate the meeting of Parliament at Sir A. ( iordon's request. La 1909 the (iovernor of Western Anstralia was credited with being the cause of the brief session of Parliament held to vote funds for carrying on the Government, and the cry of Downing Street interference was onco more raised. But this is a matter in which a (iovernor may fairly saty that no Govermment shoukl be reluetant to meet those by whom it has been entrusted with power.

In the Commonwealth. New Zealantl. and some of the Statethe ( fovernor-Cieneral or the Governor has the valuable power of remting back a Bill for consideration with amendments ${ }^{1}$ The power which in the case of New Zealand was in 1854 contsidered by the law officer of the ('rown to indicate that the Governor was intended to have a fliscretion in legislation has. of rourse, heen nsed mainly for the pmrpose of govermmental correction of matisfactory legislation, usually tedmical arors. ant for that purpose is guite commonly asedi in the Australian States. 'The same rule applied to the old Coloni-. in South Africa, bnt never in C'mada or Newfoundland.

In one respect the Dominions make real use of what is nus merely a form in England. The pratetice of conferencer in anse of diagreement between the Honses is now merely
' ('f. Quick and riarran, Comstitution of Commomreath, pp. 691, bitr. It
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fermal in Ehgland-the comstitutional confere.oce of 1911 was something altogether outside of the wintitution-hut in the Australian States it is real. Thus in Vietoria in 1910 the Electoral Aet (No. $2: 288$ ) passeel after a full discussion held ins public between members delegated by cither Honse. who agreed to a eompromise. In the simme yoar in Somta Australia there were eonferences oser the ('rown Land Bill, the Closer Settlement Bill, the Payment of Members' Bill, and the Publie Works' Loans: Bills: the proceedings were not reported, but they were real conferrences. ending in carch case in mutual concessions, and a satisfactory adjustment, not formal meetings as in England. Conferencer also are used in New Zealand, the other States of Anstralia, and the Commonwealtte, but Canada and Newfomdtand lave toe weak Cpper Houses to render confereneer desitable or neeresaty:

In the Anstralian states, the fommonwealth. Now Yealand, and the Dominion of camada, there are published Hansard reports. In the commonwealth and in New Someth Wales, Victoria, Quecmiland, and Weetern Australia the reports are extremely full, and wo in the Union and in the Dominion, though Mr. Raoul Dandurand has mensibly suggested ${ }^{1}$ that the Senate Delotes should be curtailed. But Wouth Australia issues a very condensed-and much more useful-record and Tasmania has of late abandoned the printing of debates, which certainly curtails discussion, but is open to other objections; while the ('anadian Provinces nomathy. hat not always dispense with the glories of a Hansard.
In every case the quorm is fixed by law; a third is about the a verage figure.

Though the forms of the lmperial House of Commons ate adopted there is a good deal of difference in the opirit of the ronduct of business. In ('anda harmbes ammerement surh as singing during divisions (a pratiee borrowed perhaps from the United States) is not rare, while in the Austratian states and even in the Commonwealth personalities are tow rife, scenes of disorden are not mencomen, and the Presilent or Speaker must expect to be called a party hack and to be acerned of doing low. dirty work.

[^212]
## CHAPTER VI

## THE LOWER HOUSES

## S. 1. 'The: Francohses

Is each Dominion and in the Anstralian States the Legislature is bicameral, and in seven of the ('anadian Provinces only one chamber exists. The Lower Honse is always a popular body elected on a low franchise. The Lower Honse is styled Honse of Commons in Canada: Honse of Representatives in the Commonwealth and New Zealand, in which Dominion the members of the Lower House are by law called M.I'.s'; Honse of Assembly in South Australia, Tasmania. Newfomudtand, Nova Seotia, the Union of Sonth Africa, and formerly in the Cape of Good Hope ; elsewhere it is known as the Legislative Assembly. The Upper Honse is called the senate in the two federations and the Union, otherwist the Legislative Comeil. The Dominion and State Legislatures are legally styled Partiaments, the Provineial Legistatures are styled Legishatures.

## (a) North America

In the Dominion of Canada the framehise for the Parliament of the Dominion is regulated by the franchise in the Provinces, the general Dominions franchise which wacreated in 1885 having been repealed by the Liberal party: in 1898.' on the ground that the framehise of 188.5 was bare on party considerations and was an unfair interfereme with provincial rights. Under the existing haw, chapter io of the Revised Statutes. 1906, there are mino: provisionallowing for the preparation of new voters' lists in eertain (ases, so as to provide that no voters' list shall be more than a year old. It is also provided by s. 11 as follows:-

No person possessed of the qualifications generally required by the provincial law to entitle him to vote at a provincial rifection wall be disqualified from voting at a Dominim
rection merely by reason of any prowision of the provine ial law disqualifying from having his name on the list or from voting-
(a) the holder of any office: or,
(b) any person emploved in any vapacity in the public service of Canadn or of the province ; or.
(c) any person belonging to or engaged i.n any profesion alling, employment or arcupation: or,
(d) any one belonging to any other class of persons who. although possessed of the patatitiontions gencratly regutired by the provincial law, are, by such law. declared to bo disqualitied hy reason of their liedonging to such class.

There are disfranchised also by chapter $!$ voters whe have taken bribes. There are had down be chapters is. is and 7. Edw. VII. e. H1. chectomal districts which do not coincide with tlee electoral districts in foree in the varions provinces. Each of these districts returns one member. except those of Ottawa. Halifax. and Quecon's (l'rinere Edward lsland), which rach returns two members. 'There are thas 85 districts in Ontario, 65 in (Quchere 17 in Nova Scotia, 13 in New Brunswick, 10 in Manitoha. 7 in British ('dumbia, 3 in Prince Edward Island. and 10 for the Provinere of Saskatehewan, 7 in Alberta, and I for the Vokon Torrilory. The quorum is twenty.

In the Provinces of Canada the qualifications. Which it is hardly necessary to give at length, rim on the same lines. The franchise has always been faily liberal from the beginning, both in Canada, where it depended on an Imperial Act. 31 Geo. III. c. 31, and in the Maritime Provinces under the Governor's Commissions, when it was only possible to set IIf a freeholder or other liberal franchise ty virtue of the prerogative. Sir J. Macdonald war consineed adherent to a property franchise, and it was no doubt a legitimate arrangement at a time when the population was very reatered and in conseguence often illiterate. But changed times have rendered things otherwise, and the nomal franchise is now manhood suffrage, for women's sutfrage is still mpopular

 was given to all males, oll years whe and there monthe residelat. hut a property franchise was reated in 1791 and verluced in 1 sse!.
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in C'anada. Energetic propaganda in Now Brmnwick in 1909 met with an overwhelming defeat in the Legislature.

In the case of the Provinces there prevails on the whole manhood suffrage. In the case of Quebee the suffrage for the Lower House of seventy-four members elected each, as nsmal everywhere in Canada, for one district is regulated by s. . 179-83 of the Revised Statutes, 1909, under which the framehise is given to male persons, being Britislı subjects by birth or matmalization, who holel one of varions qualifications, viz. owners or occupants of immovable property of the value of $\$ 300$ in any municipality which entitled to return ons or more members to the Assembly, and of \$2m in other momicipalities: tenants paying an ammat rent for immovable property of $\$ 30$ or $\$ 20$ in such muncipalities, prowided the real value of the property aceording to the valuation rule is $\$ 300$ or $\$ 200$ respectively ; teachers in an institution under the control of sehool commissioners or trustees; retired farmers or preprietors receiving a rent in money or kind valued at $\$ 100$; farmers' sons working for at least a year on their father's farm, if the farm is of sucll value in to qualify them as electors if divided between them and their father as co-proprietors in equal shares; proprietors sons residing with their father or mother on similar conditions; navigators and fishermen and owners of ral property, boats, nets, fisling-gear and tackle, or of sharein a registered ship which together are of the actual valnu of at least $\$ 150$; priests, rectors, vieaires, missionaricand ministers of any religious denomination; and persom who have salary or wages or revenues of $\$ 300$ a year. and piere-workers who receive $\$ 300$ a year. It is difticult to - ... why manhoorl suffrage is not adopted.
The franchise in Nova Seotia is regulated by chapter $t$. ss. 3-6 of the Revised Statutes, 1900. The requirements ant: twenty-one years of age. a British subject by birtlo ni maturalization. and either assessment as owner of real property to the value of $\$ 1.00$ or of persomal property, or of real and personal property to the value of $\$ 300$, or posiseminn of and property with exemption from taxation. or a y yanly tenan $\begin{gathered}\text { of teal property } y \text { of the value of } \$ 150 \text {, or breing the wom if }\end{gathered}$
wick in ature. whole age for ach, as ated by ich the ects by lalificaerty of itled t" of $\$ 2111$ ent for es, proshation itution ustces; mey or least a alle in mand rietors II (onlof 1 rat shatio: 1 vahlu• matric-els:onIt. and to •••
 ir alsugiven for ussexsment in respeet of ineome to the amoment of $\$ 25 \pi$, or the earning of at least $\$ 2.50$ from some prafession on trate, or from some investment. ar the ownership of real property, bats, ucta, fishing-gear und tatckle, ur of boate, nets, fishing-genrond tarkle, of the netual value of shio. The Homer consiste of thirty-eight members returned by rightecon dist ricts, of which two have three members, and the rest two rach.

In the ease al the Ontario Honse of 1 mi members, our
 Now Bronswick Homse of forty-six members clecterl for sisteen districte (five returning faine members, fonr threer, ther rost two). under e. 3 of ther Revised Nitulutes. 19033, mamhoorl sulfrage applies, and the same is the ease with Britioh ( Bhambia (forty-two members). Mnnitoha (forty-onle members), Alberta (at first twenty-five members-mow lorty-anle members for thirty-nine divisions meder ACt lent, 氏. こ), and Saskatehewan lat first twenty-five. now forty-anc. members). In British Cohmbia one distriat has five, ome folly, and one two members. Under the Act l90s, e. I, in the ease of Prinee Edward Island the Assombly is divited into two groups, filteen of whom are elected by rlector: with a property qualifieation of $\$ 3.25$, while the othere are rected ou a low and romplieated franchise approximating tu manhood suffrage, the property owner thas having two rotes. Residence of a year in the province and three momthin the eleetoral distriet is usumlly required.

There are ecrtain disqualifieations on North American Indians for the franchise. ? They are entitled to wote ferely in Nova Seotia, Prince Edward Island and Quebere : they emmot vote in New Bromswick or in Alberta and Saskatehewan. In Manitoba Indians or persans of Indian blood receiving ammity on treaty moncy from the Crown. or who have

 summerside of one dollar poll-tas, or payment of one dollar under the




received and momer within there seara before, are mot ontithed to beregistered as voters. In British Cohmbia un Ludian whall have his mame phared on the list of woters. In Gutario an enfranchised Indian can wote, and on certain comditions the franchise is given to menfranchised lodians. bint the: are nomally axcluted from the wote.
The other disqualitientions are practivally all on the same lines. The varions I'rovincial Aete dispualify datgex of the Supreme Comert and of the Comity Comerts. permins disqualitiod on the gromed of corropt practions, lumaties, idiontauld persons who are contined in syhme or prions. and panpers or persons in receipt of charitable reliof.

In addition to these diequalifications there are miner diequalifications in varions provinees. In Manitoba aty. persen who is not a British subject by hirth, and who han not revided in some portion of Camada for at least newen yomppreceding the date of registration of electors is only entitled to the franchise if he can read a selected portion or portionof the Manitoba Act in English, French. (ierman, Icelandio. or any seandinavian langnage, iut there is a saving of rightfor persons who had at an carlier date secured their contry on the registration rolls. Chinese are exeludec! from the franchise by Aet 1908, c. : , of Saskatelewan, and they arre excluded along with the Japanese in British hombin under the Act 1899, © : 5.1 and ability to read Arquired by the Act 4 Edw. VII. e. 17.: Plonal voting is ....t allowed wave to a limited extent in Prince Edward Island.

In Newfoundland the franchise is !povided for muler "hapter 3 of the Revised Statutes, 1892.3 The provivime ill question are as follow: :-

Every male British whbject of the full age of twenty-n, years, who for two years preceding the day of rertion han bern resident in this Cobony, and is of somid maderstamblime. whall be co wetent to wote for the election of member: if

[^213]the Honse of Assembly in and for the eheretral diatriel whin whel he has resided for at hast olle vear immertatoly preveding the election: provided that absemore from the distriet of division of a distriet, within the var afomedal. shall not be hedel to dispmalify an elecetor.

No person who shall have reevived relief. as a palyme, from or ont of the phblie momers, at anty time theming the
 selve in the Homse of Aswemilles shall he eomperent on vole at sill election.
 "hose appointment resta with the lionomor. shall lue di-.


 rest one. The framehise has always berol extremely demonratie. athe was shght! restrieted meter the homerial A.t of 1ste, when the two Honses were line the time heing merged, but only by requiring two yease residence as a qualitieation.

## (b) Insetrulia

The present state of the fianchise in the ('mmmemmealth alled the Anstralian States is as follows:-

Under the Gommonwalth Aet No. s of 1901: :
Subject to the disqualitientions hereaflere set out, all peranns not meler twentrone veass of nge whether male or female, marred or mmarried-
(11) Who have lived in Anstablia for sis momths comtinuunsly, and
(b) Who are matmal-bom or matmatized subjerts of the King, and
(c) Whese mames ate on the Elecetoral lioll for ally Electomal Division.
shall be entitled to vote at the reection of Members of the Felate and the Honse of Replesentatives.
 of treasoln, or who has been comvieted and is mbler semtemore or subjeet to be sentene for any offence pmishable under the law of any part of the Kinge shominions hy imprisomment for one year or longer shall be entitled to we te at any eleetion of Members of the Senate or the Honse of Representatives.

No aboriginal native uf instralia, Ania, Airica, or the L-lands of the Pacitie, except New Zealand. shall be entitled



No person ali be entithed ta, vote more than once at the walle clectims.

There ate sere: five robltithencios. divided among the



 doctors are : fol
 (10 maturaliz". tated, rall ely .. place for the ' celon vote therefor, wovide
 fore a year in the ('ommmonalthand six monthe in the Stat. (ow if a natualized subjeet fur one gear "fter natmentization) and have resided within the ehereoral distriet in whel thes clamed to be emodled for a eontimons period of thare months immediately prior to the day of such claim, ons month's residence being sulficient to obtain a tmasfer from ame rlectorate to anothere.

Pervons wot enfilled to mele inchalo: (1) purans: not naturalbor'll or maturalized subjerets: (: 2 ) persoms of imsobund mind (3) persons in reoopht of nid from any publice charitahd institution (except as a pationt moder tratment for aceident or diseate at a hospital): (t) persons in prisoll moder ath eonviction, of (a) convicted of any crime of afferre. Whereve fommitted. for which, if it had heen committed in Nirn sonth Wales. they might have been hafolly sentenced th death or penal arevitude, and hat ve not reeceived a freer patmom therefor. of served the sentencer passed on them: (i) peronWher chring six monthe preereling the loldling of ant ele have been imprisoned without the option of a fine lin in

[^214] unte vear prior to the hodding of all verotion hatw beren convicterl of bribery, intimidation. B:日peranations. If ally similar offence at athy ehection: (x) pervoll whe. dming onse year prior to the holling al an eloetion hatwe lown

 (9) aty persont agalinst whom there is all ulsiati-tiod orther of ally Court for the mantromere of hiv wife of rhihltorn


 or military servied on foll pay.

There are mow ninety electorates. rath retarning whe member: the momber has reathed 1t1. Was then in lowe rodured to $1: 8.5$ and furthor rethetion is prosible. The qumern is twent!.
 shortemed, and the powerty disimalitiontion to be rememen! Mathood suffage dates from lisis. athl in ls:03 all phoral voting and property qualitiontion for mon-resinfolt ehoplor disappeared. In toma female sulfrage was introdnced.
 Iet No. 1117.5 and amending det = were as follow -
 subject to any legal incapacity. Who was a natumb-bern - - lloject of His Majenty, was qualified to bote at cheetions lior the Legishative Assembly, if his Hathe was on the roll of ratepaying electors. or if he was the holler of ath rectore - rizh



 Angust 30, 1899. prowided, howerat. that it -hould mot be lawful for any person on ally (hnf doy to wote in mond than

[^215]
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one electoral district at any election or clections for the Iegislative Assembly. nor to vote more than once at the wame election.
(1) Ratepaying Qualification. Enrolment on the citizen or burgess roll of any city. town, or borough, or any ward thereof, or the voters' roll of any shire, or any riding, or subdivision thereof, in respeet of ratable property in any division of an electoral district, qualified any person to have his name placed on the ratepayers' roll, and to vote for suoh electoral district in sueh division thereof.
(2) Qualification by Electors' Rights. (1.) Residential. Residenee in Victoria for twelve months, and in the same or some other clivision of the district for one month preeeding his applieation for an elector's right, qualified such person to obtain a residential right and to have his name plaeed on the general or supplementary roll, and to vote for the electoral district in which he rosidel. (b) Non-residential. Being seised at law or in equity of lands or tenements for his own life or for the life of any other person, or for any larger estate of the clear vahe of $£ 50$, or of the clear yearly value of $£ 5$, qualified such person to obtain a non-residential right and to have his name placed on the general or supplementary roll, and to vote for the electoral district in which such lunds or tenements were situated.
(3) An elector's right for any district could not be issued to any person who had a right already for the same district. nor (if the application were in respect of a residential qualitication) to any person who had already received a right - in respect of a residential qualifieation in any division of any district whatsocver', nor to any person who was on the roll of ratepaying electors for any uivision of the district for which he sought to obtain a right; nor to any peison who was reeeiving relief as an inmate of any eleemosynary or charitable institution other than a hospital.
(4) Voters' Certificates. 'The holder of a residential right whose name was not on the rolls in force for the divisum in whelh he resided, could, if he had resided therein for mat month, whtain a voter's certiticate moder the provision of $\therefore$ : 23 of Act No. 1601 and $s .3+$ of Act No. 1864. authoriving
him to vote at any clection for the dixiriet mutil the coming into foree of the next general or supplementary roll in which his name could properly be included.

Persons not entitled to vote included foreigncrs who are not naturalized subjects of His. Majesty, and those who do not possess the qualifications, or whose names have been removed from the rolls under the Purification of Rolls Acts, Nos, 1242 and 1601. Manhood suffrage has existed practically since 1858 , female since 1909 , and plural voting disappeared in 1899.

Under an Act of 1910 the electoral franchise has heen simplified. It was proposed by the Government in the Bill which they introduced in the Legislative Assembly to remove altogetler the possibility of one elector being registered in more than one division, but after a eonference between the two Honses a compromise was arrived at muder which, in addition to being registered in the distriet in which he is resident, an owner of property or a holder of a leaselold ereated for not less than one yem shall be entitled to be registered in the division in which his property is sitmated. He can, of course, only vote once at an election, but he will be able to vote in another division at a by-eleetion.

There are sixty-five divisions, each returning , ne memmer. The quorum is twenty.
Under this Act of 1910, No. 2288, sis. 11-13, the franchise is extended to every person of full age who has resided six months in Victoria and in any district for one month preceding the date of any electoral canvass or of his claim for enrolment. Change of residence witlin the same division or to another division of the same district does not alter the right to vote, and a change of district leaves a voter entitled to vote for the old district for three months after his: change of residence, until his name is transferred to the roll of the new district. A person who is enrolled in reespect of residence as an elector for the Assembly may also be cmrolled on the genera! roll if he lias a freehold estate and his name appears on the eitizen or burgess roll, or a separate list for Teltorne or Geelong, or on the municipal roll, or a separatevoters' list for any municipality, or if he is a lessee, under a
lease created for not less than one year. of hands or tenements, and his name is similarly on the roll. But he can vote only once at any elcetion.

A person is disqualified from being enrolled or from voting if-
(a) He is receiving relief as an inmate of any charitable institution other than a hospital ; or
(b) If cluring the three years immediately preceding he has served any terms of imprisonment for periods amounting in the aggregate to at least three months, and imposed without the option of a fine ; or
'c) If cluring such three years he has been convicted of any offence against ss. $\mathbf{2 7 5}-80$ of the Act No. 1075 . or against s.s. $294-9$ of the Crimes Act, 1890 : or
(d) If during the year immediately preceding he has been convieted of having been an habitual drunkard, or an idle and disorderly person, or an incorrigible rogue, or a rogue and vagabond within the meaning of the Police Offences Acts, Nos. 1126, 1こ41, 2093; or
(e) If during the year he has been convicted of an aggravated assault on a woman or child; or
(f) If there is in existence against him an unsatisfied maintenance order for the maintenance of his wife or child, or children. legitimate or not.

Elaborate provision is made for the conduct of elections, a ad for an electoral canvass to secure full enrolment.

By Part 4 of the Act, voting by post at elections for the Assembly is fully regulated.

In Queensland the qualifications for electors are as follows ${ }^{1}$ :-

Every person not under twenty-one years of age, whetiour male or female, married or unmarried, who has resided in Queensland for twelve months continuously, being a naturalborn or naturalized subject of His Majesty, and not disqualified or incapacitated, is entitled to vote for the district in which he or sle resides. Any person nut disqualified or incapacitated, ( $a$ ) having a freehold estate of the clear value of one hundred pounds above all charges affecting the same,
' Nere The Electoral Arfs. I885-1905. as amended by 8 Eilw. V'II. So. is.
or (b) having a leasehold estate of the ammal value of twenty pounds, having nut less than eighteen monthe to rum, may clect to have his or her mame entered on the aleetoral roll of the distriet in which such estate is situate. By the Act of 1905 no pervon is to have more than one vote, and female. suffrage is established.

Persons not entitled to rote include (1) any persont who is of unsound mind : (2) any person attainted of treason. or who has been convieted and is under sentence or subject to be sentenced for any offence pumishable under the law of any part of the King's dominions by imprisonment : or (3) who during six months immediately preceding the sitting of the Registration Conlt. or the holding of the election, has been imprisoned withont the option of a fine for ant aggregats. period of one month ; or (4) whoduring one year inmediatel. prior to the sitting of the Registration Court, or the holding of the clection. has been convicted of being an habitual drunkard, or has been convicted of drmakemess twelve times; or (5) who has been comvieted of being an idle or disorderly person. or an incorrigible rogite, or a rogne and ragabond ; or (6) who has against him an msatisfied order of ary Court for the maintenance of his wife or ehikhen (whether legitimate or illegitimate): or (万) who has heen convided of having committed an aggravated assalult upou his wife within one year: ( $N$ ) any aboriginal native of Australia, Asia, Afriea, or the islauds of the Pacifie: : and ' 9 ) any person who is an inmate of any pulble charitable institution for the reception, maintenance. and care of indigent persons, other than a hospital established under the statutes relating to howitats.

Before Aet 1 Geo. V. No. 3 there were fifty electorates with one member, and cheren with two: :ow all seventr-two have one member. The quomm is sisteren.

In South Australia the quatifications of eleretoss ate, under the Electoral Corde, 1908, No. 971, being a natural-born or naturalized subject, male or female. married or ummamied, twenty-one years of age, emolled before the issue of a writ, and not subject to any disqualification. and six months, continuous residence in the State. Electors call transfer
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tireir names from the electoral roll of one district to another. Claims and transfer forms are obtainable from the returning officer, registrars, and all post offices.
Persons not entitled to vote include (1) any person who has: heen attainted of treason or has been convicted and is under sentence for an offence punishable in any part of His Majesty's dominions by one year's imprisonment or more (i.e. one who has not received a free pardon for sueh offence, or served the sentence for it) ; (2) any person brought into the Northern Territory of South Australia under the Northern Territory Indian Immigration Act, 1882, and any person residing in the Northern Territory, unless a natural-born or naturalized subjeet of His Majesty, of European nationality, or a citizen of the United States, naturalized as a subject of His Majesty : and (3) any insane person. The Northern 'Territory is now a part of the Commonwealth, and Aet No. 1029 has reduced the number of members to forty and the quorum to fifteen ; nine districts counting three member: each, two four, and one five. By s. 21 there is no plural voting. Manhood suffrage dates from 1856, and womanhood from 1894.

In Wentern Anstralia the qualifications of electors were as follows under the Constimtion Acts Amendmemt Act. $1899{ }^{1}$

Every person who had resided in Westerm Anstralia fon six monthe was entitled io be registered as a voter, and after six monthis to vote. who wos (1) twenty-one years if age and not subjeet to any legal ineapaeity ; (2) a naturalborn or naturalized subjeet (for six months) of the King: (3) had in possession within the electoral distriet for which he or she ought to be registered, either a freehold estate of the value of $£ 50$ above all elharges and incumbranees; a leashold estate of the clear ammal value of $£ 10$; or held a pastoral, agrieultural, oceupation, or mining lease, or lieenct from the Crown, subject to the payment of at least fis per annum ; (4) was a householder oceupying any house, warehouse, eounting-house, offiee, shop, or other building of the elear annual value of $£ 10$ within the eleetoral district fur

[^216]which he or she seeks to be registered : (i) hath his or here name upon the clectoral roll of a mmicipality or a road hoard in respect to any properte within the electorate; or (ii) was resident in the electoral district at the time of elamimes registration. Aboriginals, or halfetastes, of Asia, Australasia, or Africa, were not entitled to vote, exeppt in respect of freehold qualifications. Ender the Elecloral Ach, No. 27 of 19015. ss. 17, 18, the option of a property gualitication disappears. and with it incidentally the aboriginaland half-caste framehise altogether. Plmal voting is not allowrel. 'The suffrage was extended to women by the Act $6: 3$ Viet. No. 19. s.s. 3, 21. Tho
 Western Australia, and one in the electoral .istrict.

There are fifty edectoral districts, cach returning onde member. The quorum is sewenteen.

The disqualifieations are, under s. Is of the Al't of 1907 (1) insoundness of mind : (2) sole dependence on state on charitable relief other than hospital reliof ; (3) attainder of treason, and convietion and sentence for a crime pumishabl. by imprisomment for a year or nure in any part of the King's dominions. and (t) being an aboriginal native of Australia, Asia, Africa, or the Askand, of the Pacitic. or a person of the half blood. ${ }^{1}$

In Tasmania the qualitications of electors are as follow.
 No. 13, and 7 Edw. VII. No. 6.
Every person of the age of twenty-one years, not subject to any legal incapacity, who is a natural-benn or naturalized subjeet of His Majesty, or who has received leters of denization or a certificate of naturalization. and has been resident in Tasmania for a period of twelve months, shall be cntitled to be registered as an eleetor, and. as such. qualified to rote at the election of a member to serve in the Honsee of Assmbly for the distriet in which he resides.

Persons not entitled to vote include any person. althongh qualified, if he (1) is, at the tiene of the sitting of the Revision

[^217]Comrt, of manomed mind. or in the receript of aid from any public charitable institution, execept as a patient under treatment for acceident or discase at a hoppital: (2) is in prison under any conviction, or has been convicted of any crime or offence in any part of His Majesty"s dominions, and has not received a free pardon or served the sentence passed therefor. There is no plural woting.

The state is divided into five electorates, cach returning six members, and voting is on the preferential system. The grorum is a third.

It will be observed that in all eases women are permitted to wote in Australia ${ }^{1}$ - the last refuge of men, the Legislative Comncil of Vietoria, having permitted the extension of the franchise to women by an Aet (No. 218.5 ) which, having been reserved, received the royal assent in 1909. It was first adopted in 1893 in New Zealand, hut Canada and South Africa have steadily, so far. rejected the proposals for itadoption in those dominions.

## (c) Verr Zenlaml.

In the case of New Zealand the qualifation under the Comsolidated Stetutes, 1908, No. 111, 天. 35, for the franchise for the Lower House of eighty members, incheding four Maorieach for one district. is as follows: (id) Exery person la wfully on the existing roll of the district in rexpect of a property qualification, so long as he retains such qualifieation: (h) every adult person who has resided for one year in New Zealand, and who has resided in the deetoral distriet for whieh he chaims to vote during the three months immediately preceding his registration on the roll of the distriet, and who is a British subject either by birth or naturalization, or a half(:iste. is entitlefl (subjeet to the provisions of the Aet) to the registered as an elector and to wote at the eleetion of
 wice rejeeted in the Lpper Honse; in South Australia by Aet No. Gl:3 in 1s:4, in Wimern Austaliar hy the det 63 Viet. No. 19, as a Conservative mose. ('f. Pember Reeves, state Experiments in Austratia and N.m Zealamd, i. 14:3 sely. For Tasmania, see 3 Edw. VII. No. 13; Queensland, $\therefore$ Edw. Vht. So. I. Fur the (ommonwealth, af. Paliamentary Intint - .

many under ) is in of any inions, ntence slative of the having It was Sonth for it
ler the m- hise Matoriwfully opert! n: (i) n Now iet for diately 1d who a half) to tr ion of
er tring o. $81: 3 \mathrm{in}$ ervativ. nd S. ensland. In lunti members of l'arliament for that district. Mioris (other than half-eastes) are not entitled to be so registered.

For all the purposes of the Aet a person is deemed to hatere resided within the district wherein he has his usimal place of abode notwithstanding his ocensional absence from such district, and notwithstanding his absence for any period while serving His Majesty as a member of any naval or military foree, or in any eapacity in commexion with such force while on active service. Manhood suffrage dates from 1879, female suffrage dates from 1893. and all property qualifications disappeared in 1896.

A person is not entitled to be registered on more than one electoral roll, and Maoris are only qualified to vote at clections of Maori members under conditions laid down in Part IV of the Act. A half-caste registered under the Act is not qualified to vote at any election of Marori members. Moreover, the following persons are elisqualified by s. 38 (1) of the Aet: An alien. or person of unsount mind, or a person eonvieted of an offence pmishable ly death or be imprisunment for one year or upwards within any part of His Majesty dominions, or convieted in New Zoaland as a public defanler. or under The Polier Offeners Ael, 190s, as an idle and disorderly person, or as a rogle and vagabond. muless suld offender has reeceived a free pardon, or has midergone the sentence or pumishment to which he was adjudged for such offence.

> (d) South Africu.

The old framelives of the Colonies, which are still in foree in the Union pending a miform Cnion franchise, are briefly as follows:-

The franchise in the ('ape in extended moder the cheretoral laws to all persoms. British mbjects. natmal-bom or natmalized, able to sign their names and write their addresses ant ocrupation.
(11) A voter must have been oceupior of property wonth e75 within the electoral division for which he seeks regist ration for twelve monthe: or as an alternative. ( $b$ ) he must have been in receipt of salary or wages at the rate of not loss than fot per ammon for twolve months: provided that
the person elaming to vote shull have resided within the last three months within the electoral division for whiel he claints registration. 'The Registration Act, No. 14 of Issi excludes persons whose only qualificution by posession o property is a share in tribal oceupancy. The old Cap Ascembly consisted of 107 members for forty-six divisions.'

Lunation, and persons convieted for murder, treason, anf other offences, are disqualified.

In Natal the conditions are similar, but there is no clis qualification on the gromed of lunacy, and there is no cdueational qualifieation.
(a) A voter must own immovable property worth $\mathfrak{l}^{\prime}$ within the eonstitueney; or, as an alternative, (b) he mus rent immovable property worth $£ 10$ per annum within the ronstituency ; or as an alternative, ( $c$ ) he must have resider three years in the Colony, and have income worth ex pol month.

Natives, including eoloured people, are disqualified untes: they have resided for twelve years in the Colony, have beet exempted from the operation of native law for seven yearhave been recommended by three duly gmalified Europent electers, and have received a certifieate at the diseretion $w$ the (Govemor, who would act in Comeil. entitling them t registration. Persons who are natives or descendants in the male line of natives of comntries which have not possesser representative clective institutions founded on the parliat mentary franchise are also prevented from voting under ."I Act of 1896 , unless exempted by the Ciovernor in Council. In the wld Assembly there were forty-three member for *eventeen divisions.

In the Transwaal and the Orange River Colony the only qualifieations required are residence for six months before registration, or a total residence of six months in ti: iret years preceding, and residence at the date of regisi
' Nee The cuvernment of south Africa, ii. 396, 347; Parl. Pap., 'd. 234. pp. 65 seq. ; Cape Aets No. 9 of 1892 ; No. 19 of 1898; No. 48 of 1 s 14. No. 5 of 1902; No. 6 of 1908: Natal Charter, July 15, 1850, ss, 11 12: La: No. 11 of 1865; No. 2 of 1883 : Act No. 8 of 1896 : Transwal hetter


in the division in whieh he demands to be registered. Why. white permons are given the framehise, and soldiers onf fall paly from the Imperial Parliament are disqualified, as also thoreWho have recerived relief from public funds otherwioe than by way of repatriation meder the terms of pence of May 31, 1902, or in a public or semi-publie hospital. There is now disqualification on the gromed of hanacy: but there is one on the ground of convietion, without the option of a fine, for crime, anve for treason previons to June 1,1002 .

In the old Legislative Assemblies there were nixty-nime and thirty-five (after 1908, thirty-nine) members respertively, rach for one division.

In the Union of South Africa, unless and intil Parliament makes other provision, the qualifications for the Lower House, which consists of fifty-one members for the Cape Provinere, seventeen for Natal, thinty-six for the Transwal, and seventeen for the Orange Free State Province, each for oms division, will melers. 35 of the Constitution be the samer as those existing in the provinces at the time of the Union being constituted, provided always that no member of His Majesty* Regular Forces on full pay whall be entitled to be registered as a voter. The provisions of the laws in foree in the Colonisat the extablishmont of Union with regard to elecetoral matter: apply to suclo clectioms. but all polls must be taken on onne and the same day, thms ofviating to any lange extent phand roting. No inw which affects the framehise shall diqualify any person in the Province of the C'ape of Gool Hope, who under the laws existing in the (olony at the time of the establishment of the Union is or may become capable of being registered as a voter. from being an registered in the prorince by reason of his meer or colom only, maless the Bill be passed by both Houses of Parliament sitting together, and at the third reading be agreed to by not kess than two-thirds of the total numbers of members of both Houses. Even in such a case no person who at the passing of the law is registered as a voter in any prowince shall be removed from the register by reason only of any disqualification based on race or colour. For the Provincial Comedis the fandehise is the same as for the Union desembly:

## § …The: Memibers

The qualifieatimes for members of the lawer Houses the Dominions follow gencrally the qualifications for th rlectorate, but certain persons qualified to vote are exchute on publie gromels from the right of memberwhip.

The dispualifieations of members of the Houses of Parlia ment, and the conditions on which they shall vacate the reats, are muel the same for the Lower Honses an for th Upper Honses.

## (11) North Americu.

In the Dominion of Canada, members of the provincia legishatmes ' camot be members of the Homise of Commom nor ean members of the semate be members of the Hous of Commons. Officers under the Crown, with certai exceptions speeified in chapter 10 of the Revised Statute. cannot be members, but ministers are qualified for election Government contractors, exeept shareholeders in eompani, (other than eompanies which eontraet for public work and persons on whom contracte devolve by operation of ha for a year after the devolntion, lenders of money to Govern ment, and militiamen, are also exeluded from membership.

In the Provinces of Canala the rules are in the mai similar. Offiec-holders, whether Dominion or provinciat are ineligilde to sit, and presons interested in eontracts und the ('rown are exeluded, with the exception of shareholde in eompanies other than companies which undertake publit works. In Priner Edward Istand dergymen are not eligibl No member of the Legislative Assembly or Legislativ Commeil of other provinces or of the Honse of Commons: Senate of Canada is eligible, and seats are vacated on the oecorrence of similar conditions. Moreover. in every can a member of Parliament may resign his seat, usially be ing given the "ption of dectaring his wish in his plate is the Assembly or by writing meder his hand addressed to the Speaker, or if the Honse is not in session and therci. no Speaker, or the member be the Speaker himself. hy

[^218] excluted
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THE: IOHER HOCSEN
 Honse. Convietion of compt prate ice is a divpalitiontion.

In all cases ministers, if elooted while holding olliees, need not be re-elected. On the uther hamd, if ministers areept offiee after election they must he revelected, but that dowes not apply to a elange of oflice bor to a rexignation iollower hy taking up of office agath within a momth after surll resignation, provided that there has not rlapsed in the interim a ehange of gevermment and a rhange of obleces. the Hew administ rators having oecoupied the oflieres, bor to the areeptance of an additional office.!
In Vewfommelland;" persons holdiene ollices of protit mator the Government or any public barel the members of which are "ppeinted by the (iosermment. or being contractors om areount of publie service. cannot be members. Int eretan speceilied appeintments are exerpted from this rule be. Chapter 4 ol the Comsolidated statutes. Seats are allob vacated on the occurenere of any of the diequalitiontions. and on bankruptey or insolvency a member mant rosign. Ministers who accept office after election must be re-eleceded. bit this does not apply to a minister who acecepts an whice within six months after resignation of amother oftice, mbless the administration has resigned and a new administration has been formed and has weenpied the office in question. There is sinee $1842^{3}$ a property qualifeation of 2, tom dollans. $^{2}$. or an inconie of $f x$ dollars a year.

## (b) Anstratia.

In the Commonwealth the rqualifieations and disqualilic:ations of members under the Comstitution' ateres. filows:

A member (1) must be of the full age of wenty-one yen's and an elector entitled to vote at the dection of members



 Allerta. Act 1909. c. 2.
: 「oms. N゙tat., 18!2, c. 4, amended bụ IU Edw. VII. e. 10.
 stitutes.

of the Honse of Representatives. of a persons pratithed to berome shed elector, and must have berof for three years at the lenst a resident within the limits of the Commonwealth as existing at the time when he in ehosen; (2) must bo a suljeet of the King, either natural-horn or for at least five years naturatized under a law of the United Kingilom, or of a Colony which has become or becomes a state, or of the Commonwealth, or of a state.

There are disqualified as members: Any person being a Senator, and any person who (1) is meder any acknowledgrment of allegianee, obedience, or netherence to a foreign power, or is a subject or a citizen, or entitled to the rights or privileges of a subject or a citizen, of a foreign power; or (2) is attainted of treasmin. or has heon convicted and is uneler sentenee, or subjeret to be sentenced. for any offener pmishable under the law of the Commonweath or of a state. by imprisomment for one year or longer : or (3) is an undiseharged bankrupt or insolvent ; or (4) hohds any offier of profit under the Crown. or any pension payable duriny the pleasime of the Crown out of any of the revennes of the ('ommonwealth ; or (5) has any direct or indirect pecuniary interest in any agreement with the public service of the Commonwealth, otherwine than as a member and in common with the other members of an incorporated company consisting of more than twenty-five persons. By the Commonwealth Electoral Act. 1902, no person is entitled to lue nominated as a member who is at the date of nomination. or was within fourteen days previously, a member of a Stat. Partiament. Conviction for certain electoral offences disqualifies. A seat may be resigned, and is vacated by twu months' absence withont leave, on the occurrence of an! dispuatification, bankruptey or insolvency, and the aeceptance of a fee for services to the Commonwealth or in Palliament to a state or private person.

In New South Wales the qualification and disqualifieationare as follows, under Aet No. 32 of 1902 , and No. 41 of $1906:-$

The qualification for membership is being a man of or abowe twenty-one years of age, and a natmat-born or naturalized Pritislı subject, unless disqualified.

Standing (ommitter on Railways): (5) attainted of any 1 reasen or convicted of any felony or infamons erime in any part of His Majesty's dominions; ( 8 ) an uncertificated bankrupt or insolvent ; and (9) insanity.
$A$ seat is mecuted by resignation, or by (1) the aeceptance of any office or place of profit under the (rown ; (2) faihere to atterid for one entire session without leave of absence granted by the Honse ; (3) taking any oath of allegiance to any foreign prince or power, \&e. ; (4) becoming insolvent or becoming a publie defanlter, or (5) being attainted of treason, or being convieted of felony or infamous crime ; (i) becoming insane: ( $)$ becoming a contractor; ( 8 ) also, by report from the Committere of Elections and Qualifications, that the member is unquatified or disqualified, or las been 'guilty of an illegal practice ' (9) becoming a member of the ('ommonwealth Parliament.

In Queensland the eonditions are as follows, under tha Arts 31 Viet. No. 21 and 60 Vict. No. 3 :

The qualification for membership: Being qualified and registered as a voter.

The disqualifirations inchude (1) being a member of the Legislative Council or of the Commonwealth Parliament (2) holding under the Crown any office of profit (not bein! a political office ${ }^{4}$ ), or a pension during pleasare or for a term of years: (3) and being a mimster of religion.

A seat is oracuted by resignation or (1) being absent for one whole session without the permission of the Assembly ; (2) taking an oath of allegiance or becoming the subject of any foreign power ; (3) beeoming bankrupt : (4) being attainted of treason or being convieted of folony or other infamon rime ; (i) becoming interested in any (iovermment eontrat exeppting as a member of an incorporated eompany cont sisting of more than twenty persons--a rule borrowed. like so mueh rese, from the Mother (olony: (i) aceepting an ofliere under the ('rown other tham a ministerial offore.
 Aets No. 731,790 , and 959 , the conditions are as follow-:

The qualificution for membership is being qualified as im clector. but a mataralized perison mast have resided for fise years in the State.

[^219]P．IRT III of any －ill any ifiented tance of re to at－ nted by foreign oming a ing eoll－ me：（i） nmittere inquali－ tetiere＇： ment． der ther Cl aml of ther ament： theine or for ：

The disqualificrations are the same as apply to cleretors，and the loolding of ant office muler the（＇rown or a pernsion．

A seat is vacuted by（1）resignation on absemee withont leave for onte montlo ；（ 2 ）arceptance of office of protit （exeept ministerial oflicess ${ }^{1}$ ）or persion from（iowermment or taking a（iovermment cont ract ；（3）contanoting allagiance to foreign powers；（4）bankroptcy or publice defanlt： $(0)$ attaimer of treasonf，conviction of folony or infamomas erime；（6）insanity，and（ 5 ）membership of the（ommmon－ wealth Parliament．

In Western Australia，under 0i3 Virt．No．19，s．：2ll，allul 6t Viet．No．is，the conditions are as follows：－

The qualification for membership is being（1）a man of twenty－one years of age and free from legal incapacity ： （シ）a natural－boru smbjere of thr King，or natmalized lon five yeass and resident in Western Anstralia for two yoass ；and （3）resident in Western Australia for at least twolve months．

The disqualificutions molers． 31 inchude being（1）a member of the Legislative Comeril ；（シ）a Judge of the Supreme Connt ； （3）the Sheriff of Western Australial ；（4）a Clergyman or minister of religion；（i）ann mudischarged bankrupt or dehtor
 （6）under attainder of treasen or convidetion of felony in any part of the King s dominions ；and（7）direetly or indirectly eoncerned in any eontrate for the pablie serviere vacept as member of an incorporited trating sore inty of more than twenty persons．＇The hohler of ally oflice on place of protit

 of a political oflicer，：whall il chered，be hell to hatw reaghed such oftioe．Membership of the commonn calth Parliament alos dimpualitios．
 mind；（兰）taking any oath of allogiance，de．，to any foreign plince or power，or becoming al＊nbijere of ：lly forejg הtale


[^220]the meetings of the Legislative Assembly withont obtainin leave of absence from the Honse ; (4) aecepting any pension or place of profit under the Crown, with the exeeptions abov mentioned, this disqualification not extending to naval o military officers on full, half, or retired pay ; (5) bankruptey

In Tasmania, under 18 Vict. No. 17 and 34 Viet No. $\mathbf{5}$, th conditions are as follows:-

The qualification for membership is beng a man twenty-on vears of age, a natural-born or naturatized subject of Hi Majesty, or having obtained letters of denization or certifieat of maturalization, and having resided for twelve month..

The disqualifications inchude (1) the holding from the Covem ment of any office of profit (ministerial offices excepted. any pension) ; (2) being a Government contractor, exeep as a member of an ineorporated company of more than si persons; (3) allegiance to any foreign power ; (4) holdin the office of a Supreme Conrt Judge : (5) being insane (6) attainted of treason, or (7) convicted of any infamou offence; ( ${ }^{8}$ ) membershif, of the Commonwealth Parliament.
A seat is vacated by resignation or (1) absence withon Jeave for one whole session; ( $z^{*}$ ) allegiance to foreign powel (3) becoming bankrupt or insolvent; ( 4 ) beeoming a puib defaulter, or (5) attainted of treason. or (6) convicterl of felom or any infanous erime ; of (i) becoming of masound minat (8) aceeptaner of offiee, and (9) contratetnge for the pullid nervice.

## (1) Nir Zerrland.

The qualitiontion for memberhup in Now \%ealand

(1) Sulject to the provisions of this A.t. arery $n$
 ynalified to he at candidato anal to ler elected at member Parliament for any electural diamiet

Provided that a fersom ahall mut he ars ilecterd
(II) Wher is disegualified at an dertom suder amy frovisions of this Set: or
(b) Who, being a bankrupt withon Whe manning of Bankruptey Aet, 1908, has not ohtained ath o्rder of (harge under that Aet; or
(c) Who is a member of the Legislative Councl ; ob
(d) Whon is a eivil servant on a emintractor. pension ns above naval or kruptey. o. $\overline{5}$, the enty-onc $t$ of Hi, crtificate nths.

## Govern

 epted. or , except than six holdinge insane infameniament. withour power a puble of felong od minat 1e publinirl 1 N̈ 1

Char'. VIJ

## (2) For the pu:puses of this section-

C'ivil servant means any person m the Civil survee of New Zealand, or any persen holdrog any oftice, permanent or temporary, mader or from or at the appointment or nomination of the Crown, or Governor of Ne"l Zealand by virtue of his office, or at or by the nommation or appointment of any offieer of the covermment of New Zealand by virtne of his office, to which any salay is atterbed and paid out of money appropriated by larlimment. It does not include -
(a) The person- "how ate members of the Ficoutive C'onneil; nor
(b) The Speaker we Chairmath on (immmitions of the Homof Representatives; nor
(1) Officers in Hi- Majesty sarms on haty, of of molith ar vohmerers (except officors of the -athe milhthe athi whan ers receiving ammal or peramament -ablation), bon
 of any miversity; nor
(e) Nembers of a Conmissions ismed by tike Gosernor on
 of Parliament appointed as Conmmasoner, there shall in. paid an allowanee for travelling expenses not caceeding ons. frund a day, in adelition to momey paid for cowh, railway stamship, or other prasmenger fare.
 Areatly or indireraly by wr with other, but not a = at momber







 lo any of the person= or cantratet- heremafte: les loment


 freeth in possession of the adme.

1) Ahy sale, purchare, or agreeme it lot katy at .o.
 afts law or statute empowormg tho Kisz al the 'and ir


 h k こ
(r) Contracts for the loan of money. or secaritios given for the payment of moneving only.
(d) Contracts for adrertising by which a sum execeding lifty pounds is payable, if the contract is entered into after public temer.

The Neat of any member of Parliament shall beeome val:ant-
(a) If for one whole sersion of the General Asembly le fails. without permission of the Honse, to give his attendance in the Honse : or
(b) If he takes any oath or makes any deelaration or acknowledgement of allegiance, ohedience, or adherence, to any foreign prince or power ; or
(e) If he does or concurs in or adopts any act whereby he may beeome a subject or eitizen of any foreign state of puner. or entitled to the rights, privileges. or immmaties ol a subjert of any foreign state or power; or
(1) If he beeomes a barkrupt within the meaning of the faws relating to bankruptey: or
(f) If lor is a puisie defaulter or is convicted of any erime pmishable by doath of ly imprisomment with hard labon for a torm of two yeats or upwasts, or is convicted of a corrupt praction: or
(f) If he becomes a contractor on a avil servant as detine in :. exthereof : or
(g) If he resigns his wot by writing mader his hamb addressed and delivered to He speaker of the Honser, "A
 atment from Nen Zakland. of if the resigning member is the जroahro: or
 las- etertion woil: or
(1) It be dir- or
 - Hiredalige action
(d) Sicull J Jion

 - forel in latw before union.






In Nital a member most be pualition as at requatered elecetme and hold no office under the ('rown othere than a politioal oftice or an office in the army or havy on retired or lalf-pay. A member conld resign and vacated his seat if le failed to attend for a whole ression, ceased to hold his ynalifieations or to be a Britislosibjere. berame insolvent, was attainted of treasen, ot was mentenced to imprisumbernt for athy infamous
 or remaimed a party to a liosermment (ontrat for onf month, but this did not apply to a purrlaser of (iovermment land on a lessere of ( dovermment lalld.
 moset be qualitied to be regintered as a voler. mist mot hold all oftice of protit meler the ('rown other than a ministerial oftice or certain wher specified oftices. manst mot be all morehabilitated insolvent, not be insanme. or have alded as a registering or revising officer of a soters list for the division for whicla le stood. He conld resigh allel her vatated his real if Ire failed to attend for a whole ordinary session. eeased to trea British subject, betame insolvent. Was a puhbie defialler. or was attainted of treason or was sentellered to impurisonment for all infamons offence. become of unsoumd mind. or acerpted :nys office of protit under the ('rown exeept -Itr-h oftiores is did not disqualify for election to mombership).

The qualifications for members of the Honse of Assembly in South Africa are as fullows:-

He must (a) tre qualitied to be registered as a volto for tae election of members of the Honse of A.-embly in once of the provinces. (b) have reseded for tive yeare within the limits of the Conion as existing at the thme when her is cherted : (r) be a British subjert of Bumpleath dexernt.



 ary to sive them in detail.'

## § 3. Tim: Diration of Pardinget

The chration of the Parlinments of the Commonwealth, ${ }^{1}$ and of all the six Anstralian States and of the Dominion of New Zealand, is now redueced to three years. In Canada, under the British North Americh Act, 1867, the Federal Parliament lasts for five vears: the Parliament of Ontario lasts for four years, cxtended only temporarily hy two months in 1901; that of Queber. which was given a duration of four years by Hue Rritish Sorth Amerife Act. has imder a Quebee statute of 1ssi (Rerived statules. 19019. s. 115) been extended to five years. The Hense of Assembly of Nova Seotia has a duration since 1897 of tive yeas: : the Honse of Assembly of New Prumswick, which in 1795 was given a duration of seven years and in 1842 a duration of four years, had, under an Act of 1896 (c. 5). A dhatation of four years and two months, and since 1900 of five vears and two months. The Legislative Assembines of Manitoba, British Cohmbia, and Prince Edward Frand last for four years: and the Legishative Assemblies of Saskatchewan ${ }^{2}$ and Alberta for five years. The Honse of Assembly of Newfoundland has a duration of fom years : that of the Parliament of the Union of south Africa is five years, as formerly in the Cape. Transvaal, and Orange River Colony, against fonr in Natal In all cases a Parliament must by law amually he held -0) that twelse months shall not intervene between the las ansion of one and the first session of the new Parliament. Difficulties have been cansed with regard to this provision Cranala by questions as to return of members for out-


En and Queeraland (in N'M) also it has gone, and in Tasmania hy . Act .at



 1-101-1 in -2 s . For Niw Zealand, see the det of 1879.
${ }^{2}$ Ormally foll reas by dit 1906 , c. 4 , increased by 19月8, c. 4 Fin

 abe: for the rest, the Revised Ntatutes.
of the-way "onst itarncies, hat in 1 R9B in the Dominion Hom-r it was deeided to adopt the date fixed for the retillo of the writs, not of the actabl return, and the role has applied generally since. ${ }^{1}$

## § 4. Payment of Members ${ }^{2}$

In all the Parlianents some payment is made to mombers In the cast of Australia, ill the Parliament of the ('onmmon-

 Wales the Lagishative ('moneilloms' ate mot paid. hot mader Acts No. 320 of $190-$ and No. 41 of 1 mot the member: of the
 Vietoria (where there is a property franchioc and qualifieation for the Upper Hoase), muler Act No. 107.j. and Qurenshand. under Acts of 1896, No. 15 , and of 1909 . No. 18 , the samb mole applies. Lı Sonth Anstralia, under Act No. 399, und Westeris Australia, ${ }^{4}$ meder . Aet No. 34 of 1 Bom, membels of both Honses receive $£ 200$ per anmom: and in 'lasimania El00 per anmom by Aet No. 51 of 19010 , intreased to £l50 by Aet No. 53 of 1910 . In all eases the members reereive free railway passes on the state railways.

In the case of New Zealand the members of the Iegislation Comeil receive $£ 200$ a year payable monthly, and members of the House of Representatives $£ 25$ a month or $£ 301$ a year. with deductions for non-attendance. and with travelling expenses, under the Consolidated Shtutce. 1908. No. InI.





 and Gberolndand the leater of the Opmatitet evets an extra -alars. But




 lung elisplites over the policy ai payment: ch. fllurney coneonl in N. N


In thr Dominion of (imada, under re. In of the Rerixed Stulutes, 1906, the payment of the Dominion members is $\$ 2.500$ for members of the sellate and $\$ 2.500$ for members of the Honse of commons, and travelling expenses, with certain deductions for days of mon-attendanere. In the case
 miloage and \$lo a day for thirty days. or a maximmon of

 umder 31 d:yys. In the rase of Quebere moder the Revised
 Sll a day mhile the ression hasts, if it hasts for thinty days.
 Sova so bia members are paid soma asesiom and travelling expernes: in New Bronswick, under Act of lomet. c. Is. members receive sant a session and their travelling ex-

 their travelling expenses: in British (ohmbia, under Act of
 travelling expenses: white in Prince Edward Asland member receive a payment of $\$ 200$ a vear and $\$ 12$ for postage. Insides travelling expenser. In Alberta and Saskatelowall the payment is stomon y year moder Acts of l909. c. 2, and of 1906. ©. 4 , with deductions for mon-attendance and : milenge allowance, and in Saskatehewan for Jet I! II $1-1$. ©. 1 Bucrease the payment tosi.som.

In Cewfond land members of the Commeil receive si:


 STO, and the pay of the Lapistathere is provided ammally by local Act.

In South Africa, moder the South Afrien Act. I!n!!, the -Im is £fuo for either House. with deductions for $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{o}}$ attendancer. and $f 120$ is granted to the provincial councillin-.
 iii. $3!6,3!1$.

## 

It is not neeessary lo give details relating to dectoral. registration, and similar matters. 'They are regeulated in all cases by foral legislation, and the prowi ioms. While agreeing in substance, differ very widely in detail. and vaty frollt time totime.' 'The isolle of writa for a melleat elertioli reats with the liovermor, in other aiter: with the l'resident or Speaker of the Hemse conteroned.

Voting bey ballot is a general primeiple. holt it is fllatitied to the extent that postat voting hat beren interhared and to some extent maintalned in somer of the 'ohonies. In the
 difficolty, and was one of the reasoms for the pelitioal rivis of 1906 . It was then hedd that the postal vote gave medne farilities for thenging pressme to bear upon voters.and that its abolition was desirable, and it was mold moditied in Act of boos No. $\overline{\text { B }}$, being replaced by an absent vole. It hats also been proposed to abolioh the posial vote int Videria, but it is still retained for both Homses in Act No. esexs. $\therefore$ s. and it exists in the Commonwealth, T'asmaniais, and Wiestern Australia, and as an absent vote in South Anstraliat. and suth a vote is propored for New Somth Wales.

Elaborate provision exists in all the Dominions and Statewith regard to edectoral compotion. In all mases in (amatal :and Newfoundland the Comets deride deretion pretitioms. mot the Parliaments, amel it hats beed heded that in resses of juristiction in cleretoral matters: the lrive ('oumeil will

[^221]
not entertain appeals from Courts from which it would normally hear such appeals, and this principle has been formally adnpted by the High Conrt of the C'mmonwealth of Anstralia There are clearly paramonnt raneons of eonvenienes for the adoption of this rule.

In the Commonwealth eontrowerted elections are now
 part xvi. In New Somth Whles and Vieforia the Houserestill "xercise the right of themselves dealing with petitions: in Quncensland in the House of I Arembly the tribumal is the Supreme court Judge and wix members: in the case of the Commeil as in Now South Wakes, it arecides sulbeet to apmeal to the King in Council ; in Tasmunia und Wiontern Austrulia the Court deecides; and in South Anstrulia, a judge aided hy four members of the Council or the Awambly respectively. Tuder No. 101 of the Consolidated Statutes the court in New Gealand is composed of two judges of the Supreme Court The law courts also deal with surh enses in South Afrim.
There have heen eomparatively few experiments with regard to electoral matters in the Dominions. In the cane of New Zealand the seeond ballot was adopted and was put into force first at the Gemeral Election in 1908. 'The An: was passed in Oetoler 190s. Under that Aet a candidatt must ohtain more than half of the valid votes reeorded If no candidate receives an abolute majority of votes athe result of the first ballot, the seeond ballot beeomis. necessary, and is taken betweon the two camelidates who han. received the highest number of votes, all whers berme exchuded. The date for taking the secomed batlot is fixem as the seventh day after the dose of the pell on the tir-t hallot, exepting in ten elcetorates. Whete the difficulta... of commmieation neressitate an interval of fourteen has being allowed.
The candidate who at the second ballot mereives the high. number of sotes is declared to be elected. There are ir vision- fo: deciding proedure when an equal number of is polled by both eandidntes, the returning offiece gines arating vote; also as to reenonts and election petition
'The Are deces not at prement apply to the chection of representatives of the Dhori race. bitt the forionar is empowered by Order in Conneil tor bing the meond ballat into oprration at may time as regards Maoris.

At the general election ${ }^{1}$ held on November 17, 1908, in twenty-three electoral districts the cundidate who polled
 majority of all the votem polled. Av the resilt of a veromel hallot tifteron of these randidates were elocted allul right deforated. including the leader of the "pperition, Sir IV: Rasodl. The total momber of votes reeorded int there

 at the seromd ballot $1: 20.414$ valid votes and 403 informal were reeorded, being it per eront, of the total roll mumber. Thins there was a dercerase of 6.945 votes, mad if to these be atded di.601 votes of relereons who voted umon
 Who recorded thair votes at the lirst failed to don sir at the reoond ballat.

A good deal of ammeranee was callased to these camdidate: who were erompelled to face a serould election, and there Was a dovement at that time for the repal of the Aet before
 takern to ramy this meavement intor ellocet. thongh the point Was raised daring the dise uss iom of the Filcertomal Art of 1010. Ghe result of the det was smow what unexperted; in casess where two members of the same paty stomed agalinst a thitd mamber of a different party. and ome of the the wats defeated, the supporters of that member were int lined to transfer their awn votro from their own paty to the oppexitions. in
 weasion. Moreover, the stain ont member of finther clectioncoring was melomhterly viry sterere experially owing to the comparatively latge size of the constitnencies and the need of travelling from township to township.

The same princigle of the econd latlot was adopted hy

[^222]

## MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART

(ANSI and ISO TEST CHART No. 2)

A.t No. Is of 1910 in the cane of New somth Wales. Vente it also a candidate would require to receive an absolut majority of votos: if mo sult absolute majority were re corded a second ballot was taken between the candidate whe had received the highest number and the eandidate who har received the next highest mumber: in most districts the second ballot was taken on the seventh day after the chose of the tirst poll; in others not less than fonertern and mor than twenty-me days after the close of the poll. No candi date combld withdraw from the seecond ballot. The Act wa: put in fores at the gemeral election of 1910, But only in the e rases was a second ballot necessary, and in those it appeared a a isfactorily to perform its purpose of preventing split vote: defeating the purposes of the majority of the electors.

In the case of Tasmania ${ }^{2}$ there is in force a most elaborat, wheme for proportional voting. This scheme, which was pur in force in it. full form at the last general election in 1909 has beell considered locally to be quite satisfactory, as it secures the more accurate representation of the parties in the state. On the other hand. it must be admitted that Tasmania presents-whether as a result of the principle. on net-the speetacle of constant instability of government bort that would almost be inevitable in any case, becanse of the fart that the Lower Homse is so small. comsisting omly of thirty members, that it is impossible to have an effectivi party system. It formerly tried the system in 1890, bun abandonet it again in 1901 .

In the case of Queensland the principle of the contingem vote is in operation. The following provisions are laid down with regard to it in ss. $20-15$ of the Act of 1892, No. $7 .{ }^{3}$

[^223]In the suceeding seetions of this Act the trim absolute majority of votes "means a number of votengreater than one-half of the number of all the clectors who vote at an election, exchsive of elertors whose hallot-paperes are rejected. but the casting vote of the returning offierer, when given, shall be ineluderl in reekoning an absohte majomity. of votes.
21. When a poll is taken at an election a candidate shatl mot. except as hereinafter provided. be clected as a member. moless he receeses an absolnte majority of votes.
$\because 2$. Notwithstanding the provisions of the seventy-third section of the Prineipal Act. an clector may, if he thinks fit, indicate on his hallot-paper the name or mames of any candidate or candidates for whom he does not vote in the first instance, but for whom he desires his vote or votes to lif eounted in the event of any eandidate or candidates for whom he votes in the first instance nof reerising an . lesolute majority of votes : and, if he indicates mome than one sum candidate, may indiente the order in whieh he desires that his vote or votes shall be connted for any sueh candidate or candidates.

Such indication shall be made by witing the fignes $: \geq, 3$, or any subsequent number. opposite to the name or names: of the eandidate or eandidates for whom he does not vote in the first instanee. but for whom he desires his vote or rotes to be so counted. and the order inclicated by sueh mmbers shall be taken to be the order in which he desires his vote or votes to be so eominted.

Provided always that no mere irregnlanity or error in writing such figures shall invalidate the vote or wotes given hy an elector in favore of any candidate or candidates in the first instance, if the ballot-gaper of sumberen is otherwise it: ordor.

23 . Whent one membere maty is th be retmond at the vertion, if there is no camelidate who reereves an ab-ohter majority of votes, all the rimadidater "xecpt thome two Who receive the greatest momber of rotes shall be deemed dofeated eandidates.

The vote of every clector who has voted for a defoatorl candidate shall be comnted for that ome (if amy) of the remaining two candidates for whom he has indieat. .d in the mamer aforesad that he desies his vote to be eomeded.




The votes so comed for such remaining eandidates shat bre added to the votes originally given for them, and the eandidate who receives the greatest number of votes, includ ing the votes so eounted (if any), shall be elected.

24 . When two members are to be retmed, and there ar not more than four candidates, the two candidates whe receive the greatest mmber of votes shall be elected.
2.). When two members are to be returned, and there are more than fomr candidates, if there is no candidate who icceives an absolnte majority of votes, all the candidate except those four who receive the greatest momber of vote: shall be deemed defeated candidates.
'The vote or votes of every elector who has voted for a defeated candidate or defeated candidates shall be counted for that one or those two of the remaining fome eandidate for whom the elector has not voted in the first instance bint for whom he has indieated in the wanner aforesaid that he desires his vote or votes to be counted.

The votes so comed for sueh remaining candidates shal be added to the votes originally given for them, and the candidates who receive the greatest number of votes, inclind ing the votes so comited (if any), shall be elected.

If only one candidate receives an absolute majority of votes, he shall be elected.

In that case all the other candidates except those two whe receive the next greatest number of votes whall be deemed defeated candidates.

The vote of every elector who has voted for a clefeatiof candidate shall be counted for that one (if any) of the remain ing two randidates for whom the rlector has not voted in the first instance but for whom he has indieated in the mamer aforesad that he desires his vote to be conmed.

The votes sn comed for such remaining candidates shall lor aded to the rotes originally given for them, and the randidate who receives the greatest momber of votes, ineluding the votes so eomed (if any) shal! be elceted.
$\because(\mathrm{t}$. When two or more candidates, neither of whom $1:$ crocted. receive an equal momber of votes, the retmrning offiecr whall decide by his casting vote which of them have or has the greatest number of votes.

The system is elearly not a success when more than ume member is to be returned. It exists also under the Electornl Acl, 1907, in Western Australia.'

[^224][IART $11 I$ tes shall and the , incluclhere are tes who here are ate who adidates of votes d for : nted for adidater nstance, aid that tes shall and the inchud-
ority of two who deemed
lefeated remain roted in in the ted. es whall and the inethr-
hom tirning m have

12111114 Electoral and an

In the Cobony of the Cape of Cood Hope. muder the Constitution Orelim mer of 185:2, there was formery provinion for plumping at elections of members of the Lpper Honse, the elector being entitled to give as many votes as members were to be eleeted, and to distribute them precisely as he willed. It was proposed when constituting the Coion of South Africa to a lopt generally the principle of proportional representation, but that prineiple was fimally dropped, and is only applied to the Provincial Conncils, which are not legislaturen in the proper sense of the word, and to the elections for the senate, where it has been pronouneed a marked suecess.!

In the case of the Commonwealth of Australia, ${ }^{\text {P }}$ New Zealand, ${ }^{3}$ and the Union of Sonth Africa ' (as before in the case of the Transval and the Orange River Colony), very elaborate provisions are made for the automatie redistribution of electoral districts from time to time, so as to adjust them to the changes of population. Similarly in New Sonth Wiales under the Parliamentary Electorates and Elections. Act, 190:2, and also in Queensland under the Act 1 Geo. V. No. :3, Which makes certain provisions for the representation of the people of Queensland in the Parliament. The provisions are fairly typieal and may be given at length. The number of the members of the Assembly is fixed at seventy-two, have been taken by an . Aet No. 44 of $1: 11, \ldots .20$, to make preforential roting there compmisory, so badty dues it worh; see Parlinmentury Debutes,




 remmissioners, one for the Nurth and onf tor the sonth 小and. and the deviation from the quota in fixed at git masimmon in atual, and bim in an urbath district.

 ly a majority- the (ivemor- (iencral in come hat hang only power to refer biach for consideration. They are bond to pay attention to communty or diversity of interestr, means of communication, physical features, exinting clectural boundaries, and sparsity or density of population, and can allon 15 per eent. either way from the chuta whanned by disiding the thal number of votes ly member.
and the state is to be divided into seventy-two decetora districts each returning one member. As soon as possibl after the passing of the Act commissioners are to be appointer to divide the state into electoral rlistricts. For the pmposer of the division " quota of elecetors shall be ascertained b dividing by seventy-two the total nmmer of electors whos names appear upon the several electoral rolls of the stat on damary 1, 1911. In making the division, eonsideration Whall be given by the commissioners to-(a) eommmity ${ }^{\prime}$ diversity of interest; (b) means of commomication; physical features: (d) the area of proposed districts whic do not comprise any part of a city or town ; and subject thereto the quota of electors shall be the basis for th division of the state into electoral districts, and the comme sioners may adopt a margin of allowance to be used wheneve necessary, but in no case shall such quota be departed from to a greater extent than one-fifth more or one-tifth less On or before Mareh 31, 1911, in each proposed elector: district maps shall be distributed showing the boundaries the proposed district and the several contignons district and the number of electors in the proposed distriet an in the several contignous districts. Objections may $b$ raised and lodged with the commissioners up to April 3 and they mast be considered by the commissioners befor the final division is made. When they have taken int consideration any objeetions. the commissioners shall on hefore dine 30,1911 , forward to the Home seeretary reports the division made, specifying the quota of electors, the mam of each electoral district, its bomelaries, ame the momber Neretors therein, togrether with signed mapsand rolls of deeter rentitled to vote. 'The Governor in Come il is required fort with to proclas:n the names and boundaries which shat berome the electoral districts of the State of Queenslame

Provision is also made in the Act for the registration voters so as to secure the correctnesis of the provisional li (liawn uf) by the rommissioners.

It is also provided that whenever at any time the nomber of voters on the roll of any aistrict is so much above or belo
 tion the margin of allowance of one-fifth, that, in the opinion of the (...sernor in Couneil, it has beeone neeessaly to reduce or in rease, as the case may be, the momber of surh eleetors, so as to approximate the same to the said quota the Gowermor in Comeil may appoint three electoral commissioners with power to alter the bomndaries of the electoral district. Provision is made that the eommissioners shall consider any objections made to the proposed alterations, and for the making by the principal elcetoral registrar of now rulos to suit the altered eiremmstances.

It is impertan: to note that no diseretion is given to the Governor in Council to vary the report of the rommissionere. and that therefore their award whall be final. But mediotribution is not antomatie.

In the other states there is no provision for antomatio. redistribution. F'asmania has adopted the proportional system of representation with large divisions returning six members, and in 1910 Western Australia redistributed the seats on a basis attaeked by the Labour party is concelmed solely in the interests of the Government. ${ }^{1}$ In Victoria ambl South Australia also the electorates are fixed by Act. So also in Newfoundland and in the Provinces of Canada.

In Canada, in the Dominion, redistribution is compulsory. but not automatic, under the British Vorth Amerier Act and $4 \&$ Edw. VIl. ec. 3 and $4: 3$, as the result of each quinquennial census. Accordingly the Honse was last redistributed in 1903 and 1907 , when very considerable changes were made, thebasis being the sixty-five members of Quebec:: The creation of the new provinees in 190:5 in Saskatchewal: and Albertal led to very bitter aeeusations of gerrymardering.s A new redistrilation falls due as the result of the eensas of 1911.
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## ('HAPTER VIT

THE UPPER HOUSES

## I. COMPOSITION AND LEEGAL POWERS

Is this chapter may be given-
(1) The composition of the Seeond Chamber and method of nomination or wection in the case of eacl the Dominions:
(2) Its powers ur disabilicies with regard to:(id) Finance. and
(b) (ieneral Legislation.
(3) The provisions, if any. for the adjustment of differences which may arise between the two ('hambers of regard to :-
(a) Finance, and
(b) Genera! Legislation.

S1. Canadia
(11) The Dominion

Under the British North Americu Act, 1867,' and amend legislation, the Senate of the Dominion of Canada consist: 87 Members, of whom $\geq 4$ represent Ontario, 24 repres Quebee, 10 represent Nowa Scotia, 10 represent New Brı wick, 4 represent Prince Edward Island, 3 represent Bi Columbia, 4 represent Manitoba. 4 represent Suskatch and 4 represent Alberta.

The Senators are summoned by the Governor-Generai the King's name by instrument under the Great seal Canada* and hold their places for life. ${ }^{3}$ The quorum is fifte

The qualifications of a Senator are as follows:-
(1) He whall be of the full age of 30 years ;
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 of Ereat Britain, or of the Parlament of the Lonted Kingedom of Ereat Britain and Treland, or of the Legislatme of omb of the Provinces of UPper C'anala, Lower ('imada, ('anada, Nowa Seotia, or New Bronswick, hefore the Cnion, of of the Parliament of Camada after the Conion
(3) He shall be legally or equitably sebed as of frechold for lis own use and benefit of lands or temement: lache int free and eommon wocage, of sebed on posesessed for hiv own use and beneft of lamels on temements hedd in frame-allen on in roture, within the province for which he is appointed, of the value of foar thossad dollars ower atol above all rents, dues, dehts, charges, mortgages, and encombrameen the or payable out of or charged onf or affeeting the same :
(4) His real and pervonal property shall be together worth four thousand dollars orer and above his dehtemad liabilities;
(i) He shall be rexident in the province fore which he is appointed :
(id) In the ease of Quebee hee shall have his real property qualification in the electoral division for which he is appointed or shall be resident in that division.

A Senator may, however, resign: ${ }^{1}$ and his place shall become vacant in any of the following cases:- :
(1) If for two eonseentive sessions of the Parliament lare fails to give his attendance in the semate
(2) If he takes an oath or makes a deelaration or acknowledgement of allegiance. obedience or adherence to a foreign Power or does an aet whereby he becomes a shbject of citizen. or entitled to the rights or privilages of a subjert or citizen, of a foreign Power;
(3) If he is adjudged bankrupt or insolvent. or apples for the benefit of any law relating to insolvent debtors. of becomes a publie defaulter ;
(4) If her is attainted of teason or convidetel of felony or of any infamous crime;
(5) If he ceases to be qualified in reapect of property on of residence; provided, that a Senator shall not be deemed to have eeased to be qualified in resperet of residence by reason only of his residing at the seat of the (bovernment of ranada while holding an offiee unther that fovernment requiring his presenee there.

```
30 Vict, с. 3. «. 30.
```

2. It is provided by s. ins of the hritish Dowth Ame Act that B Bills for appropriating aly part of the por revenue, or for imponing any tax or impont, shall origir in the Honse of Commons.' 'There is ao other provis limiting the power of the Sellate with regard either finance or to general legislation.
3. The British A'orth Amerien Act doen not eontain provision expressly stated to the intended to be for adjustment of differenees betwern the Senate and Honse of Commons whether with regard to finaner on general legislation. But it is provided by o. : 6 that ' i any time, on the weommendation of the (iovernor-(iene the King thinks fit to dieret that three or six memberes added to the Semate. the Gowerno-dineral may, by summ to there or six qualified persoms, as the ease may be, rel senting "qualis the there divisioms of (amada, add to semate areordingly: The three divisions referved to Ontario: Quelere ; the Maritime Provineses, viz. N Seotia, New Bronswide, and Prinee Edward lsland. is provides that 'in the ease of suell atdition being at time made. the fovernor-(ieneral sitall not summon persion to the semate except on a further like direction the King on the like recommendation, until each of the th divisions of C'mada is represented by twenty-four sema atid no more.'

(b) Quebec

Conder the British Nowh America Act, sis. 71 and 72 amended by the Revisel Statutes. 1909, ss, $84-6$, the Legi tive Comecil of Queber consists of twenty-four members hold their seats for life, and who are appointed by Lientenant-Governor by instrument muder the Great: of the Province, one for each of the twenty-four division the Province. The quorm is ten, including the Speaker

No person can be a Legislative Comeillor who holds office of profit under the Crown in the Province, exeep ministerial office, or who undertakes or excentes or directly or indirectly any contract with the Provin diovermanent muder which money is to be paid. 'This dues
|1•11'I'11| (1111". V11)
 $.11 i$ 1/. Imerien the publir II originate provision rither to
ontain any he for the and the ance or to that *if at or-(iencral,
 Y Nimmontims be, repreadd to the red to arre vi\%. Nova ind. S. $\because 7$ ing at any mmon any irection by of the threer me senator:-
and 72 , an he Legislil mbers who ed by tht Great Seal livisions on Speaker: o holds an . except id tes or h:1Provincial is domer mit
 company. with the rexeption of a compally hatiog tho


 distriet in which the division is sithated), and their sat- athe vacrated it the same cirellmstandes.
2. 'The molve powision aftoreting the ponars of the lagis lative Comacil is that contalumel ins. is of the Brifish Niwth
 Which provides that Bills for apmopriating any pat of the pmblice revente or for impoxing any tas or impor ball origimate in the lawer Homise.
3. No provision exists for the aljustment of dillermerhetwern the $t$ wo ( hambers of the Legishature of (knebere

## (a) Dioner S'rotion

 of Nova Scotia consists of twent $\mathrm{y}^{-0 m e}$ members ${ }^{\prime}$ mominaterd by the Lientenant-(invernor in Comacil. No person (an bre appointed who is a member of the Federal larliament. or
 ment, or is dechared hy the julderoment of a cont of eomprome jurisdiction to be disamalified from bring cheoted to on sittinge
 tion of the law of ('amala relatimg te aldertions on to the frial

 abse. from the fommeil withont the emosint of ther Lie) ant-Governor in Connril.
2. The only provision affecting the powe of the Laginlative Comeil is that contaned in s. is of the British North America Act, which is applied by $s$. !ol to the provinces. and Which provides that Bills for appropriating any part of the public revenue or for imposing any tax or impost shall originat: in the Lower House.
3. No provis ion exists for the adjastment of differences between the tho Chambers of the Legislatmer of Nova Seotia.
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 rlamber anly.

 ('ommeil of Newfonmelland consistes of members momina and appointed hy the King under the sign-Inannal und sig or provisiomally apmointod by the (iovernor nal nftorws contirmerl lig His Najesty. 'The total mumber of tho lagivative ("onncil fors the time hoing resident wit Newfommeland whall bot at any tinte lọ such provisi
 than fifteren. Tho number of members who rom be: :ponin lyy His Anjosty is mot limited in any way. atod ni pres. the ('mmeil rontains twonty-ome members. Fivery mon holds his phace daring the Kinges pleasorre. allal may
 muder the sign-manalal and signet. and with the adriee of Privy ('onmoil. 'Thr . dromm is five.
$\because$ Ry. No. :2t! of the Rules of the House of Asisem alophere nt the first sexsion of the $\mid$ (ith Aswombly and amene in the fifth session of the said Assombly it is provided t all aids and supplios and aids to His bexerllency in Leg lature are the nole gifts of the Assembly: and all bills tle uranting of any such aids and supplies ought to be w.is "he Assembly: and it is the nomoubted and sole ri of the Assembly to direct. limit, and appoint in such 1 ? the ends. purposes, considerations. conditions. limitatio and qualifications of such grants: whieh ought not to changed or altered by the Legislative Council' But Honse will not insiat on its privilegres in the following cea
（＇illardiall IIII Britisl｜ Bronswiok ＊）：that of warels．wim alill ：hat of 18：iti．＇Ilıe ve a single

Laginhative mominated allad siguret． afterwarts of ther silic ent within ןrovisional the whole appointerl at present rernernlor d may be Iis．Majestu＇ lviee of the Assembly d amencted vided that －in Legris II Bills for t to hequin sole right such Bill－ imitations． not to hir

But the wing cari－



 valied，or extmgnialaed

 preverition of oflollers：






（3）When surcla a bill shall ler a private bill．Nor will
 in Private Bills arolt down from t＇：e Lagialative（onmed which relate to tolls or chatges for servere performed and are not in the nature on a tax．

3．＇There is no legislative provision for＇Her settlement of disagreements between the two Honses，whether with regalled （1）matters of finamer or other equestions．But there is bur limitation on the power of the（＇rown to ald to the momber


## S．3．．Ir・ブに

（11）The（＇r．o．mentirvill！＇

 for ededs state directly elomen bey the people of the state voting as one cleetorate．

Until the Parliament otherwise frovides．theme shall her six senators for eath original state．The lartiament may make laws increasing or diminishing the nmmber of s．lators for each state，but so that egual representation of the several original states shall be maintained，and that no original stato shall have less than six smators．The Senatom are chosen

[^228]for a torm of six years, half retiring every three yoars, fre Jume 30, the date having been changed from December to Junc 30 ly Act No. 1 of 196 . The quomm is a thirel

The senator must be of the full age of twenty-one yea and mast be an elector entitled to wote at the eleetion members of the Home of Representatives, or a pers qualified to beceme such elector, and must have be for three years at least a resident within the limits of $t$ Commonwealth as existing at the time that he is chose He must be a subject of the King, either natural-born or f at least five years maturalized moler a law of the Unit Kinglom, or of a Colony which has become or becom a state, or of the Commonwealth, or of a state. ${ }^{1}$

Any persom who-
(i) Is under any acknowledgement of allegiance, obedient or atherence to a foreign power, or is a subject or a citiz or entitled to the rights or privileges of a subjeet or citizen of a foreign power ; or
(ii) Is attainted of treason, or has been convicted and under sentence, or subject to be sentensof, for any offen punishable under the law of the Commonwealth or of a sta by imprisomment for one year or longer; or
(iii) Is an undiseharged bankrupt or insolvent; or
(iv) Holds any office of profit under the ('rown, or an pension payable during the pleasine of the Crown out any of the revemues of the Commonwealth: or
(v) Has ally direct or indiree permiary interest in an agreement with the publie service of the Commonwealt otherwise than as a member and in common with the othe members of an incorporated company consisting of mon than twenty-five persons- - hall be ineap malle of being chome or of sitting as a Senator:-2

Buat subsection iv thoes not apply to the office of an of the King's Ministers of State for the Commonwealt. . or :any of the King's Ministers for a state, or to the receip of pay, half-pay, or a peolsion by any person as an officer ; member of the Kings navy or army, or to the receipt of pa as an officer or member of the naval or military forees,
${ }^{2}$ ss. $43-5$. These provisions apply also to the Honse of Represent dives. Women are apparently cligible; Harrinon Moore. Cimmomeralth

the Commonwealth by any persem whose servicon are mot wholly employed hy the commonweath.
A reat is racated on the happening of any of the ee cwentor on hankruptey, or insolvency, or the acreptanere of a fere for services rendered to the (ommonwath or in Parliament to any person or state, and a seat may be resigned. Comvietion for certain offences under the Electoral Aet disinualitios for two years from election or sitting.

Members of the Lowar House camot of course be senators. and members of State Parliaments canot be nominatel.

The qualification of electors is extended. by Act No. $x$ of 1902 , to adult Britioh subjects of either sex who haw lived in Australia for six monthe contimomsty. Aboriginal natives of Australin. Asia. Africa. or the Mands of the Pacitioexcept New Zealand, camnot vote at Federal elections: imless they have acquired a right to wote at elections for the hower House of a State Parliament. ${ }^{1}$ Each ele tor has only one vote.
2. The powers of the Semate with regard to finance are restricted by s. 5.3 of the Constitution as follows:--

Proposed laws appropriating revenue or moness, or imposing taxation, whall not originate in the semate. But a proposed law shall not be taken to appropriate eremue or moneys, or to impose taxation. ly reason only of its containing provisions for the imposition or appropitiation of tines or other pecuniary penalties, of for the demand or payment on appropriation of fees for tieneres. or fees for service mumer the proposed law.
The Senate maly not amend propored taws imposing baxation, or proposed haw appropriating weme or moner: for the ordinary ammal serviese of the Cowermment.

The Senate may not amend any proposed haw so as to increase any propessed change or birden on the people.
The Senate may at any stager refurn to the Homse of Representatives any propesed haw which the simate may not amend, requesting. hy meserage, the omission or anemdinent of any items or provisions therein; and the Honse of Representatives may, if it thinks fit. make any of such omissions or amendments, with or without modifications.
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## $\therefore \quad " A R L A A M E N T S$ OF' THE DOMINIONS LPAK

It is also provided by s. it that the law which approprit reveme or moneys for the ortinary ammal serviees of (Government shall deal only with surll appropriation; by that laws imposing taxation shall deal only with the imp tion of taxation, and any provision therein dealing with other matter shall be of noceffeet. Unders. is laws impos taxation. exeept laws imposing daties of fonstoms or Excise, shall deal with one subject of taxation only ; laws imposing dinties of C'ustoms shall deal with dinties ('ustoms only, and laws imposing duties of Excise shall with datics of Exeise only:

In all other matters exeept those mentioned in a. 3.3 of 'onstitution. the Senate has equal power with the House Representatives.
3. There are no special provisions for the adjnstment differenees which may arise between the Senate and Honse of Representatives with regard to Finance. In rase of difference, the procedure laid down in $\mathrm{s}$.57 of Constitution applies.

If the Honse of Representatives passes any proposed la and the Senate rejects or fails to pass it or passes it w amendments to which the House of Representatives will agree and if after an interval of three months the Ho of Representatives. in the same or the next session. ang passes the proposed law with or withont any amendme which have been made. suggested, or agreed to by Senate, and the Senate rejects or fails to pass it, or pas it with amendments to which the Honse of Representati will not agree. the Govermor-General may disolve senate and the Homse of Representatives simmene But such dissolution shall not take place within six mont before the date of the expiry of the Honse of Representati by effluxion of time.

If after such diswolntion the House of Representati again passes the proposed law, with or without any amer ments which have been made, snggested, or agreed to by Senate, and the Senate rejects or fails to pass it, or pas it with amendments to which the Honse of Representatis will mot agree the (iowernor-deneral may eonvene a jo
sitting of the members of the semate and of the Homser of Representatives. ${ }^{1}$

The memhers present at the joint sitting may deliberate and shall vote together upon the proposed law is lias proposed by the Heuse af innpresentatives. amt mpon amendments. if ally. Which have been made therem ber one House and not agreed to by the othere and ans such amendments which are atfirened by an absolute majority of the total number of the members of the Semate and Home of Representatives shall be taken to have berol earried : and if the propesed law. with the amendments. if any. so carried is affirmed hy: all abohte majority of the total nomber of the members of the Fenate and Hemse of Representatives. it shall be taken to have been duly passed by both Homses of the Partiament. ant shall he presented to the Govermor- (ieneral for the Kinge assent.

Speeial provision is made for the case of differeneres between the two Houses, with regarel to the amendment of the Constitution. by : $1 \geq$ s of the Constitution. which is as follows:-

This Constitation shall not be altored exerpt in the following mamer :-

The proposed law for the alteration thereof most be passed by an absolote majority of each Homse of the Parliament and. not less than two nor more than six monthe after its passage through both Houses. the proposed law shall he submitted in eaeh State to the clectors qualified to rote for the eleetion of members of the Honse of Representatives.

But if either Homse passes any such proposed law by an absolute majority and the other Homse rejects or fails to pass it. or passes it with any amendment to which the tiratmentioned House will not aga ec.and if after an interval of three months the first-mentioned Honse in the same or the next session again passes the proposed law by an absohte majority with or without any amendment which has been made or agreed to by the other House. and such other Homse rejeets or fails to pass it, or passes it with any amendment to whieh the first-mentioned Housc will not agree. the Governor-General may submit the proposed law as last
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proposed by the first-mentioned Honse, and, either wit withont any amendments subsequently agreed to by Houses, to the elcetors in rach State qualifice to rote the eleetion of the Honse of Representatives. ${ }^{1}$

When a proposet law is submitted to the electors: vote shall be taken in such manner as the Parlian prescribes. But until the qualifieation of electors of mem of the House of Representatives becomes uniform thro out the Commonwealth, ${ }^{2}$ only one half the elector's vo for and against the proposed law slall be counted in state in whieh adult suffrage prevails.

And if in a majority of the states a majority of the elee voting approve the proposed law. and if a majority of the electors voting also approve the proposed law, it s to presented to the Governor-(General for thie King's assen
No alteration diminishin" the propertionate represet tion of any state in either House of the Parliament, or minimum number of representatives of a state in the $\mathrm{Ho}_{0}$ of Representatives, or increasing, diminishing, or othery altering the limits of the state, or in any manner affeet the provisions of the constitution in relation thereto. sl become law, unless the majority of the electors voting that State approve the proposed law.

## (b) Nerr South Wales

Under the Constitution Act $18 \& 19$ Vict. e. 5 . 4 and No. 32 of 1902, the Legivative Comeil of New South Wa consists of persoms unl:, ited in mumber ${ }^{3}$ at present -summoned by the (eovernor in virtue of chanse xi of Letters latent by instrment moder the Grat Seal of state. The quorimin is one-fourth.
A Legislative Comeillor must be of the full age of twent one. and a natural-born subjeet of His Majesty, or naturaliz in Great Britain or in New South Wales, and must not a publie contractor exeept as member of a company exeeedi twenty persons in mumber, ${ }^{4}$ or a member of either House

[^231]the Federal Parliament. No less than four-tifths of the members so summoned shall consist of personss not holding any offiee of emolument under the Crown ; but offieers of His Majesty's sea and land forces on full or half pay. and retired officers on pensions, shall not be deemed to be persons holding an office of emolument under the (rown within the meaning of this seetion.

Members of the Legislative Council hold their seats for the term of their natural lives, but they may resign their seats, and their seats beeome vacant on election to the Federal l'arliament, and :-

If any Legislative ('onncillor-
(a) Fails for two successive sessions of the leggislature to give his attendance in the Legislative come il. unless excused in that hehalf by the permission of His Majesty or of the (fovernor, signified by the (iovernor to the "depislative ('ouncil ; ${ }^{1}$ or
(b) Takes any oath or makes any declatation or acknowledgement of allegiance, obedience, or adherence to any foreign prince or power ; or
(c) Does, eoneurs in, or adopts any ate wherehy he may beecme a subjeet or eitizen of any foreign state or power. $0^{\prime}$ whereby he may beeome entitled to the rights, privileges, or immunities of a subjeet or citizen of any foreign state or power ; or
(I) Beeomes bankrupt, or takes the benefit of any law relating to insolvent debtors ; or
(e) Becomes a public contractor or defaulter : or
(f) Is attainted of treason, or eonvieted of felony or infamous erime.

The members of the Lower House are subject to similar disqualifieations, absenee for one session being a ground.
2. The only provision restrieting the power of the Legislative Comel with regard to legislation is the proviso contained in s. $\overline{5}$ of the New South Wales Act. No. $3:$ of $1!$ under whiel all Bills for appropriating any part of public revenue, or for imposing any new rate, tax. or impost shall originate in the Legislative Assembly ${ }^{\circ}$.
3. There are no legal provisions for the adjustment of

[^232] diflerences which may arise betwere the lagislative (oun and the Legishative Assembly, whether with regard to matt of finanee or lo general legislation, but the mmber of $t$ Upper House is not limited, and the Governor has powrer add members to such extent as he thinks fit.

## (c) Victoriu

Under the Constitution Aet 18 and 19 Viet. e. 55 and $t$ Amending Aets, Nos. 1075, 17:33, 1864, and 2075, the Leg lative Couneil of Vietoria eonsists of thirty-four members, w are elected for seventeen provinces. two for cach provin Hembers hold offiee for six years, but one member for ea provinee retires ecery third year, unless there is a dissohut of the Comeil, in whieh ease one helf of the members ho their seats for three years only, the one receiving the fewe votes retiring first. The quorma is twelve.

A member must be of the full age of thirty years an a natmal-bom subjeet of His Majesty, or who has bee naturalized for ten years previous to election, and $h$ resided during that period in Victoria. He must also f one year previous to the election have been legally equitably seised of or entitled to an estate of freehold possession for his own use and benefit of lands and tenemen in Vietoria of the annual value of $£ 50$ above all charges an encumbrances affeeting the same, other than any publie parliamentary tax, or momieipal or other rate or assessmen No person can leeome a member who is-(1) a judge of an court of Vietoria; (ㅇ) a minister of religion: (3) attainte of any treason, or convicted of any felony or infamons offenc Within any part of His Majesty's dominions ; (4) an uncert ficated bankrupt or insolvent; (5) a public contractor exeept in a partner ip of more than twenty person. ( 1 ) a member of the Legislative Assembly ; or ( 10 the Commonwealth Parliament ; or ( 8 ) who is insane : (9) a Ciovernment officer other than a Minister. ${ }^{1}$

A member may resign his seat, and his seat beeome vacant if he-(1) ceases to he possessed of the proport? qualification; or ( 2 ) is absent for one entire session withomi

[^233]| $1 \cdot .11: \% 11 \mid$ Le C'ouncil to matters ber of the power to

5 and the the Legisbers, who province. r for each issohution bers: hold he fewest
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 las beest and has also for egally or echold in enement: uges and public or essment. ge of any attainted is offenc: uncertintractor personn(i) $\quad 1$
ane : 11
becommsonpert withont
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## 

the leave of the (ouncil: (:3) takes ant wath of allegiatore to any foreign power : (t) heromes insolvent or a pablic defaulter ; ( 5 ) is attainted of treason or commits a felony: (ib) beeomes insane ; (7) becomes coneerned in a public contract. exeept as a member of a partnership of more than twenty persons; or ( 8 ) accepts an offiee of profit under the ('rown. except as Minister, in which case his seat is vacated, but he is eligible for re-clection, or as President of the Comecil, or Chairman of Committees. or becomes a member of the Federal Parliament. ${ }^{1}$

Eleetors are qualified by-(1) owning the freehold or being mortgager or mortgagee in possession, or in the reveipt of the rents or profits, of property sitnate in one and the same province rated at not less than $£ 10$ a year : (2) being kesisee or assignee for the mexpired residne of any term originally. created for a period of not less than five years. or oecmpier of property, in one and the same province rated at not less than 815 a year ; (3) being joint owner, lessee, assignet. or occupier of property sufficient to give each the foregoing qualification; (4) being resident in Victoria and a graduate of any university in the British dominions, a matriculated -indent of Melbourne University, a qualified legal or inedical practitioner, a minister of religion, a certificated sehoolmaster, or a naval or military officer.

All voters not being natural-born subjects of His Majesty must have resided in the state for twelve months previons to the lst of Jannary or the lit of July in any year, and whall have been naturalized at least three years previously:

The suffrage is poss ssed by both men and women sinee 1909, but no person is entitled to more than $0^{\circ}$ vote in the same province. ${ }^{2}$
2. It is provided by :. 56 of the Bill shechuled to thr
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Imperial Act Is \& I! Vict. ce. 万is, that all Billen for appropri any part of the revenne of Victoria, and for imposing duty, rate, tax, rent, retmon, or impost, shall origina the Assembily, and may be rejeeted but not altered by Legislative Council. By s. 30 of the amending Vict Act of 1903, No. 1864 , it is provided as follows:-
(1) A Bill whall not be taken to be a Bill for appropris any part of the revenne of Victoria, or for imposing duty, rate, tax, rent, return, or impost, by reason only containing provisions for the imposition or appropriatio fines or other pecuniary penalties. or for the demane payment, or appropriation of fees for licences, or fees services under such Bill.
(2) The Comeil may once at cach of the undermentio stages of a Bill which the Conncil cannot alter retnon Bill to the Assembly suggesting by message the omis or amendment of any iteme or provisions therein. And Asisembly may. if it thinks fit, make any of such omission amendments with or without modifieations. Provided the Comeil may not suggest any omission or amendn the effect of which will be to increase any proposed cha or burden on the people.
(3) The stages of a Bill at which the Conncil may ret the Bill with a message as aforesaid shall be-
(a) The consideration of the Bill in Committee;
(b) The eonsideration of the report of the Committee;
(c) The consideration of the question that the Bill be $r$ a third time.
3. The following provision is made for disagrecme between the two Houses with regard to matters of finance general legislation by s. 31 of the Act of 1903, No. 1864 :-
(1) If the Assembly passes any Bill and the Couneil reje or fails to pass it, or passes it with amendments to wh the Assembly will not agree, and, if not later than months before the date of the expiry of the Assembly effluxion of time, the Assembly is disisolved by the Goven by a proclamation declaring such dissolution to be grant in consequence of the disagreement between the two Hon as to snein Bill, and the Asscmbly again passes the Bill wi or without any amendments which have been made, Al gested, or agreed to by the Council, and the Comeil rejer or fails to pass it or passes it with amendments to which t Assembly will not agree, the Governor at any time. "! being lesis than nine monthe nor more than twelve mont

## rmentioned

 return such te omissionAnd the missions or ovided that amendment sed charge
nay return
ittee ; alld Bill be read
 containerl in the Comatitntion let, diwolve thr Combil ambl tho desemhly simultunoromla.
 a bill if the Bill is not returned to the . I-..embly whthin there monthe after its framsmiseson to the (immeil abl the s-anon contimue during surf perioul.
(:3) Any Bill by which an alteration may the marle in the ronstitution of the (ommeil or Arambly in in sidardhle I) to the Constitution Aet (other than such altomations as atre rieforred to in s. 61 of the salel Act) shall not fre wothin the opreration of the foregoing provisions of thi- sertions.
 incremse there shall be inserted the worls 'or derereanes

This !urevision refors to alterations in the number of members of the Honses chosen for electoral provinces.

## (d) Quermishowd

Conder Acts 31 V̈irt. Nos. 21 and $3 s$ alled 60 Voirt. No. 3 tho Legishtive ('ommeil of Queensland ronsists of member mulimited in mmber-- Insinally betwern forty and fiftysummoned by the (iovernor in His Majestys namo bey an instrument under the Great seal of the Sitate.

So perison can the smmmoned who is mot of the fill age of
 or naturalized hy an Aet of the Impertal larliament or b


 consiat of persoms mot holding ange wifere of rmolmoment

 No persom who shall directly on imdirectly himself. or by ally
 corions that matmalization in ofler dustratian (emmies is mote acoppod (ef. the ease of Sew semth Wales, where the Aet of 1 !ete still kerph the reatriction to matmalization in New Somt (laldo). Num natmalization ione for the (ommonwealth. and the terme will ind late athe one heneefoth
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peran whatsocere in trust for him or for his nee or theme or on hix aceomet. molertake, exerente, hold and enjoy in t whole or in part any contract or agreement for or on aceon of the puble servier, shall be capable of being summon (1) the Legislative Comeil. This does mot extend to a contract or agreement mole by an incorporated $^{\text {andompany }}$ trading company consisting of more than twenty membe

Members of the Comeril hold ottiee for life, lut a Legislat ('ommeillor may resign his seat by letter to the (iovernor, a his sent is racated if he ( 1 ) shall fail for ten successive sessig of the ('omeil to give his attendance without the permiss of His. Majesty or the (Governor : or (: 2 ) shall take any on wrake any declaration or acknowledgement of allagian whedience. of adherence to any foreign prine or power, Whall do, concor in. or adopt any act whereby he may beeo a subject or citizen of any foreign atate or power, or where he may become entitled to the rights, privileges, or immunit of a smbject or citizen of any foreign state or power ; or shall beeome bankript or take the benefit of any law relat to insolvent debtors; or (4) beeome a publice contractor defaulter, or (5) be attainted of treason or embieted felony or of any infamons erime; or (6) aecept an of under the (rown other than a ministerial office or beeo a member of the Federal Parliament. ${ }^{1}$
 all Bills for 'apropriating any part of the pmblic reve or lor imposing any new rate. tax, of impost shall origin in the Legislatis: $\operatorname{Ass}$ embly'. 'The exact foree of clanse has formed the subjeet of a report of the Privy Cou on referenee from the two Houses in 1886, to which refere will be made in the next chapter.
3. By an Act, No. 16 of 1908 , provision is made for submission of certain Bills to the clectors in the cas differences between the two Houses:-
3.-(1) For the pmposes of this Act a Bill shati be deen to have been rejected a first time whenever such Bill 1
"There are similar provisions with regared to nembere of the Legisla Assembly. See above, f. 496,
duriag a mession of lialiament. Inot leon than inne mentl| Before the close of the seswiont, beren pasaed hy the Laghlatis. Avembly and tramemitted to the leginativer fommeil for
 rlose of the seswion has cither

(b) Pasmed such Bill with ally amendment or amomehment
 reason thereof the Bill has berel bout.
 to have been rejected a serobled time "hen the laginatore Assembly in the next sesxion of Parliament lass, after :all interval of not less that there monthe from the firat le jeetion of the Bill as detined hig the last precerding subsection, agilin paswed wieh Bill (or a Bill sulstantially the same amd tram:nit ted it to the Lagishative ('onncil for its comemenere therem. nut less than one week before the chose of the session, and the Legislative Comeil before the elose of the aeswion haserither-
(e) Rejected or failed to prass such l:ill ; "r
(d) Passed $\leq n \cdot / h$ Bill with ally anmendment or anmendmentin whieh the Legishative Assembly does nont roneme ; and by reason thereof the Bill has igain been lost.
t.-(1) Whenever a Bill has been twior rejereted by the lagishtive Commeil, the (invermer in (onm il may, by fro.
 session in which the Bill was rejered a erodnd times, dired that the Bill so rejeeted shall brembmitted by reforendmen to the electors ; and a reforendenm poll shall aceordingly he taken thereon mader this. A.t at the time apperinted in than behalf. The pinhlication in the darette of surh provemation shatl be condedasive wielonce that the Bill as lant rejeeterl is the same Bill or substantially the same Bill ds the bill rejeeted in the session last hat one proedings. and has hern twier rejeeted by the Legisktive (bmmet.
(2) When a Bill is so directed to be-mbenitted to al referen dinm, a copy of the Bill, in !he form in which it was finally agreed to hy the Legistative Assembis, eretifed an eorred by the Speaker of the Legishation disembly, shall, withon twenty-one days after the issine of the said prodamation, be transmitted by the Clerk of the Legistation Asember to the Home Secretary. Forthwith upon reeript of - mell mpi the Home secretary shall rames the same to be phbli-hed in tho Gazette, logether with such amembments as have berm made. by the Legislative Conncil and which the Legislative Conneit may by resolntion request to be amexed thereto.

## 

$\therefore$ 'Flee promons entithed to vote at the tahing of

15. (1) 'The (Envormer in ('momil may appoint. he ewna


fil case of wieknese or other canse proventing the reform
 manmer apposint some other prowen to art as returning off in lisestead. Notifiention of the appointment of the rett ing ofticer shall be poblialiod in the (anatte.
(:3) 'Ihe rotorming offerer. in aldition to the pmoters douties vesterl in amd imposed $n$ pon him he this Act, shall It sillh of the powors and wall perform sieh of the dutios
 Hecessary for warying this Aet into efferet.
(3) Every returning aftierer appointed under the Eilecti I't shall be an asxistant returning officer for the purpe of this Aet. amd, in addition the the powres and dities vere
 the pewers and shall proform such of the dutios vosted and imposed upen a returning offierer buder the Filereti

(t) 'The writ for the referronchom pell whal' $\because$ divereted


A repy of the writ shall be pmblished in the farette.
7.-(i) 'flae male of exerevising the right to vote a
 sallor as at elertions of mombers of the Leginative Assemb
dad pemerally (exerph as matherwise be provided this det. or anis reghtation mald theromader) (every roma ment eontained in the Filoetions Ace regnlating and mak prosision for the holding and conduct of clections.
 alld all ineidental mattors, shath, so far as applicable there
 melier this A.t: Proviled that thr provisions (if ally) the Filcetions Aet for seroming the ahodute majority of vo -hall not apple.
(2) Every act or omission which womld be pmotishable law. if the same had ocemred in connexion with the holdi of an election, shall be iedel to constitute the like offen cognizable in the ike manner. and pmishable by t like punishm.nt. if the same one urs in connexion with referendim poll.
8. Every assistant retarning offieer shall, in manner ph
ing of the -r jermolls.
 ereturning Act.
Cretmonig III in like ning olliera the retmon-
miners ant , Nhill have dities of a Art a: arro

- Viloctions c purposes s. tien vented ve NHCh of vested in Fileretious ct ireeted
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vote at a tall be the Asombils rovided in ery emat li makimet tions. the ehectionsle theretw be taken if :my) if rol iont
inhable lin e houldini. - whentr. c iny thi. on with :
mer pro-

 umi in "ppesition to the Bill at the vatums pollinkeplace within the elentornl diatriet for whioh lar is the lethrninge
 polling-place shall make a retarn wertitiond lis lima to ho

 asoixtamt retmronge wherer hall therolpont follownh llathe


livery retarn to lae made maler this reetion mas he he


9. 'The total mumber of votes reopertively revortal at the




The result of the reforemelum pell ow enderaed shall line publintied by the Home Necretary in the liazetle within fwenty-eight days from the rethrio of the writ.

Sitch pmblication shall the romelasive revelencer of the result of the referemelumport.
III. If the referendmu poll is deadided in fiavose of the Bill, the Bill slatl be presernted to the (ioserome lon llis
 shall beeome an A.t of lartiament in the same matmer an
 notwithatanding any ian to the contraty.

## (e) Soull . Alsatri.i.e


 of agliteen ehected members. The state is divided intor fons council districts, of which oferetams -ix members alld the
 Comecil. 'The peried of their vervier is regulated hes as. Ill.
 Act. 1901s, No. !5!!, which are an follows:-
10. Subject to the provisiones lereeinafter comtained in to the dissolution of the legishative ('omberil. every member of the said Commeil, exerpt al member ehected to till al casmal


## 

least, calculated as from the first day of Mareh of the in whiel he wan last elected, and for sucls further 1 as is provided for in the next sueseding seetion. Pro nevertheless, if the seat of any member of the Legisl Council beeomes vacant by death, resignation, or othe before the expiration of his term of servies, and a me is returned from the eleetoral distriet in whelh the vac orcurred, he shall hold office omly for the mexpired ter the member whose seat has beef vacated as aforesaid shall, for the pmpose of retirement. be deemed to have elected at the time when suld last-mentioned member or was deemed to be elected : Provided also that where or more members are sor returned at the same time $t$ vacated seats of mequal terms, such terms shall be dee to be held hy the said members ateording to their poe on the poll it their eleetions and that hae who receive: greatest number of votes shall hold the seat which the longest term tor rim, and in the event of a tie the ma shall be determined by lot.
11. Whenever the House of Assembly is dissolved by donernor. or expires by effluxion of time, so many men of the lagisative comeil, not exceeding three for Contral District and two for cach of the other distriet hatye completed the minimum term of serviee provide s. 10 shall retire and vacate their seats, and, sulbert to. all clection to supply the vacancies so ereated shall takel on the day of the next general deetion of the Hons Assombly.
1.2. The periodical retirement of members of the L lative comeil meder the provisions of the last preced section shall be 'etermine as follows:-
(i) The members retiring in each Commeil distriet shal those who have represented such diatrict for the lon lime, calculated from the date of their last eleetion :
(ii) If two ow more members have represented the $s$ Commeil district for an equal time. calenlated as afores the order of retirement as between them shall be determ ley their position on the poll at their election, and he or who had the least mumber of wotes shall retire first. If pusition is cymal in this respert, or if no poll was taken. order of ret irenent bet ween them shall be determined by
(iii) 'The Legislative Comeil slall keep a roll of its meml containing all partioulars necessary for the applieation the foregoing rales ats to their periodical retirement.

A Legislative Commeillor must be a man of the full ag
of the vear lther periox Provided Legislative or otherwise d a member the vacaney ired term of oresaicl, and o have heed nember was $t$ where two time to fill I be deemed reir position receives the which has the matter
lved by the ny memberis ree for the districts, as movided by ect tos. $2 i$, 11 take place c House of
the Legispreceding
ict shall ber the longer oll :
d the salme. : aforesaid. determined he or they :t. If their takren, tu• ned by bot: ts member olieation of nt.
full inge of
thirty years, and a matmal-hom ow a matmalized subjeed of His Majesty, who has resieded within the state for the full period of three years. No perion wan be dected at member
 contraetor, is insane, or has been attainted of treason of convieted of felony or an infamonsorme, is an uncertitiod bankrupt, or is a member of the Federal Parliament.

A seat may be resigned. and the seat is vacated by membership of the Federal Parliament, absenee withome leave for onse month, by aceeptamer of oftice of profit (exerept ministerial offiees) or pension. by loss of nationality, by hambupter. on conviction for treason or felong. alld by lunace. ${ }^{\prime}$
'The franehise for elections to the Lequinative (onmeil is fussessed by adhlt British subjeets of "ithor sex who ate (11) Owners of freehold of the cleal vialue of E.Jl: (b) Ownels of leasehold of the clear ammal vahe of $f^{2}=0$ with at leant three years to rom 'or eontaming a right to finchase: ( 6 ) Oecupiers of a dwelling-house of a clear ammal value of 217 : (d) Registered proprictors of a Crown lease on which theme are improvements to the value of at heant firl. Postmanters and postmistresses, police ottieers in chatge of a peolierestation. railway stationmasters, head sehool teachers who meside in official premises, and officiating ministers of refigion are alow qualified.

Voters must have becon residents for six monthe prior to being placed on the rolls of the council. ${ }^{2}$
2. 'The only provision limiting the pewer of the Legislative Council witly regard to legisation is that contained in the first section of the Soutlo Australia Comstitution Act. No. of $185 \pi=6$, which provides that all Bills for 'approjniating any part of the revenme of the sald Prowine or for inposing, altering, or repealing any late, tax, duty. or impont, hall originate in the House of Asembly



 - thiction to mates seems correct, but has inern domblat.

[^235]3. The following provision is made by Aet No. 959 pas in 1908 for the settlement of differeneer between the Honses :-
(1) Whenever any bill for an Act has been passed by House of Assembly during any session of Parliament, the same Bill, or a similar Bili with substantially the sa ohjects and having the same title, has been passed by House of Assembly during the next ensuing Parliame a gencrab election of the House of Arwembly having ta place between such two Parliaments, and the second third readings of such Bill having heen passed in the see instanee by an absolnte majority of the whole number members of the said Homse of Assembly, and both Bills have beell rejected by or fath to berome law in con guence of any amendments made therein by the Legislat Council. it shall be lawful for hut not obligatory upon Governor of the said state, within six months after the rejection or failure, by proclamation to be published in (iovernment Gazctte, to dissolve the Legislative Council Honse of Assembly, and thereupon all the members of b Houses of Parliament shall vaeate their sf. and memb whall be elected to supply the vacaneies s. reated: or the Governor. within six months after sueh rejeetion failure, to issue writs for the election of three additio members for the Central District and of two additio members for cach of the other distriets of the Legislat (omencil.
(2) After the issule of such writs no vacancy, whet arising before or after the issue thereof, shall be filled, exet as may be necessary to bring the representation of district in which such vacaney oceurs to its proper numl as set forth in First Schedule hereto. Whenever there more seats valcated by members returned for the same distr than are to be fillect, and such members seats were unequal tenme, the seats of those members the mexpir portions of whose terms are the shorter shall be fi tilled.
(3) Upon every sueh dissolution of the Legislative Coun the wrder of retirement. as between the members elect after sueh dissolution, shall be as provided in $s$. 12 of $t$ Act : and one half of such members shall retive after thin years service, calculated from the first day of March the year of their elcetion, or after such further period as provided for in s. 11.

059 pasied en the two
sed by the ment, and $y$ the same sed by the 'arliament. ving taken econd and the second number of both such - in conseLegislative upen the er the last led in the ouncil ind ers of both 1 members ed ; or for jection or additional additional Legislative
, whether ed, exeept on of the er number there ara ne district were of unexpired be first re Council rs elected 12 of thifter thre" Mareh of riod as is

## (j) W'esterm A unstrulian

The Legislative Count il of Western Anstralia monsiots of 30 elected members, who are elected for six years. ${ }^{1}$ They are returned for 10 electorates. each returning three members. At the expiration of two years from the date of tlection, and every two years thereafter. the senior member for the time being retires. Senobity is determined (1) hy date of election: (b) if two wr more members are eleceted on the same day. then the senior is the one who poiled the greatest number of votes: (c) if the chertion be imeontested. or in the case of an equality of voles. then the soniority is determined by the alphabetieat precedenee of sumammes and. il necessary, of Christian names.

A Legistative Counsiltor must be a mate natmal-born or naturalizet Britisl: subject of the age of :30 years or upwarts. and- $(a)$ in the case of a natural-bern subject. mesident in the state for two yeals: and (b) in the sase of naturatized subjects, if naturalized for five years previons to the election and resident in the state during tha: period. He must mot be a member of the Commonwealth Parliament. Judge of the supreme Court, Sheriff of Western Australia. a dergynan, an andischarged bankrupt. under attainter of treason or convietion of felony in any part of His Majesty's dominions, or directly or indirectly coneerned in any public contracts. save as a member of an incorporated tading soriety, or a member of the Legislative Assembly, and an ufficer (other than a minister) vacates offie belection.

Seats in the Legislative ('ommel may be resigned and "or vacated by election to the (ommonwealth Parliament and in the following instances:-

If any member of the Legislative Council aito ins election(1) Ceases to be qualified or becomes disquatitied as aforesaid; or
(2) Takes the benefit. whether by assignment. composition.
' Originally the Council was a nomine budy of fifterin memerers, lan it Was to become elective when the pepulation (exclusive of aborigines) was

 franchise, Acts No. 27 of $19 n 7$, and No. 31 of $1: 141$.
or otherwise, of any law relating to bankrupt or inand debtors: or
(3) liecomes of unsound mind; or
(4) Takes any oath or makes any declaration or ackn ledgement of allcgiance, obedience, or adherence to foreign prince or power, or does, concurs in, or adopts any whereby he may become a subjeet or citizen of any for state or power, or whereby he may brcome entitled to rights, privileges, or immonities of a subject or citizen of foreign state or power ; or
(i) Fails to give his attendance in the Legislative Cou for two eonserentive months of any session thercof with the permission of the council entered upon its journals :
(6) Aceepts any pension during pleasure or for term of y other than an allowance under's. 71 of 'The Constitution. 1889,' or any office of profit from the ('rown, other than $t$ of an officer of His. Majesty's sea or land forees on full, l a: retired pay;-his seat shall therempon become vaca Provided that members accepting offices liable to be vaca on political grounds shall be cligible for re-election. ${ }^{1}$

The franchise is held by adult Bitioh subjects of cit sex who have resided in the state for six montlis, and v cither-(a) Own a freehold estate to the valise of $\mathcal{L}$ (b) occupy a house or own leasehold property rated at $£$ (c) holel Crown leases or licenecs to the vaher of not than $£ 10$ per anmum; or $(d)$ are on the electoral list any mmnicipality or road board district in respect of P perty of the annual rateable valuc of $£ 17$. A tetermi effort was made in 1909 , repeated successfully in 1910 , reduce the franchise for the Upper Honse. ${ }^{\text {? }}$

2 . It is provided by the Constitution Act of 1890 that Bills for appropriating any part of the consolidated reves find, or for imposing, altering, or repealing any rate, $t$ duty, or impost, shall originate in the Legislative Assemk In the amending Act of 1899 repeating the rule laid do in 1894 when the ('onncil became clective the following $p$ vision is made by s. 46 :-

[^236]|P.int III I insolvent
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In the rease of a propored Bill, which. anomeling lo l.aw must have originated in the Legislative Asembly, the Leginlative Council may at any stage return it to the Legislative Assembly with a message requesting the omission or amendment of any items or provisions therem ; and the Legislative Assembly may, if it thinks fit. make such omissions or amendments, or any of them, with or without modifications
3. There is no legal provision for the ease of difference: between the two Houses. whether in matters of timanere or of general legislation.

## (g) Tasmanin

The Legislative Council of Tasmania consists of $1 \times \mathrm{mem}$ bers returned from 1 is districts, Hohart returning 3. Lammreston $\stackrel{2}{ }$, and the remaining 13 districts : © $n d i n g$ I member rach. Each member of the Coumeil hohes his veat for six years from the date of his election. Three members retire the first Monday in May every year. exerept in 1 ! 10 . and every sixth year thereafter, when four retire. ${ }^{1}$

Members of Council mast be mate natural-born or fise vears naturalized British subjects of the age of 30 years or upwards, and must have resided continuousty for five !. in Tasmania or for at least two years immediately preceding the eleetion. No person is qualified to be a member who has a pension payable during pleasure or holds any office of profit under the Govermment, except that of a minister, or who is a Government contractor, unless as a member of an incorporated company of more than six persons, or who owes allegiance to any foreign power, holds the office of Judge of the Supreme Court. is insame, attainted or convicted of treason, felony, or other infamous offence, or is a member of the Commonwealth Parliament. The following are not deemed offices of profit or emohment: Wirdens of Marine Boards, Returning Offiects under the Electoral Act Ofticer: of the Defence Forees of the Commonweath whose serviees
' Originally the temure of office was nine years. altered in 188.5 ( 49 Viet. No. 8) to six. The arrangement for retirement of member lats varimat


are entirely employed by the ('ommonweath diovermmen and Members of the Board of Land Parehase (ommossioner

A member may resign his seat, and his seat is varate if he becomes a subject of a foreign power, is bankrupt insolvent, becomes a public defaulter, is attainted of treasor or convieted of felony. or of any infamons rime, beeome insame, is absent withont leave for ant cotime sessiont, acerel any othere of protit from the (iovermment except a ministeria oflice or perision, or contracts for the pmblie service unle: as a member of an ineorporated company of more than si persons, or beeomes a member of the ('onmonweatth Parlia ment.!
'The electore of the Legislative ('onmeil are qualified beting athlt smbjetets, natmral-borin or naturalized of eithe sex of $2 l$ years of age and upwards, having freehold estat in the electoral elistrict of $£ 10$ a year or being the oceupie of property of the value of $£ 30$ a year, or being a graduat of any University in the British Dominions, a qualitied leg. or medical pratetitioner, an otheiating minister of religion an ottiser or retired otficer of His Majesty's Army or Nas on actaal serviee. or a retired ofticer of the Vohmenter Fore of Tasimania.

2 It is provided by s. 3:3 of the ('omstitution Aet, 1si) that all Bills for appropriating any part of the reveme a for imposing any tax, rate, thaty or impost shall originat in the Honse of Arsembly.
3. 'There is no legai provision for removing difterene which may arise hetween the two Houses of Parliament 'fiamania, whether with regard to fimanemal matters or 1 general legislation.

## St. New Zedland

Conder the Legislature Act. 190x, No. I 111 , the Legislatis Commeil of New Zealand consists of members nulimited mumber summoned for life in the ease of persoms summone lefore $18 \$ 1$. or for seven years: in other eases. by the fover

[^237]nor from time to time in His Majouty = nime hy matmment meler the P'oblice seal of New Zoaland.

No persen shall be summoned or shall loold a seat in the Commeil who is not a male-

 ly or meler any Act of the limperial lartiameint. or by an diet of the Cemeral Assembly of New Zealanel, of
(b) Who at any time theretofore has been bantimpt and has not reeremed his discharge. or who has berell attainted or convieted of any trason, any reme fomerty kuman afelong. or any infamons offence within any palt of HiMajesty Dominions. or as a mble defanter in New Zealand moness he received a free pardon. or has modereme the sentence or punishment to which he was adjnderel in reypere thereoof. or
(c) Is a member of Partiament. or
(d) Who is a contractor. 11
(e) Who is. or within the next preeding six monthe was. a civil servant. This term does not inchlude the persoms who are members of the Exerotive (ouncil. provided that sudh members do not exceed 10 in all ( 2 of which memberes mots be Mancies or halfetastes). nor the spaker or ('hairman of Committees of the C'omeil. nor offierers of His Majesty' Amy or Navy or Militia or Vohunterers. exerep offieres of the Vilitia and Volnoteres receiving annual or permanemt salaries, nor any persolls as members only of ally Sollate Or C'omed or any Chiversity. nor members of al (bimmis-inn issmed by the (iovemor or Ciovernor in fommeil.
'imtractor' is a person who either he himself or direrels or indirectly he or with others, but not as a member it aregistered or ineorporated company or any incorporated hody, is interested in the exerention or enjoyment of any contract or agreement emered into with His ilajesty of with any officer or department of the (iowemment of New Zealand. or with any person for or on acommt of the Publice Servier of New Zealand under which any public money abowe the sum of $f_{50}$ is payable divectly or indiectly io swoll person in any one financial year. but does not extend 10 persons on whom the completion of any contact or arreement devolves by marriage, or as devisee. legatere execentor. or administrator matid twelve monthe after he has been in possession of the same: any sale. purchase. or agrement for taking of land or of or for any interest. estate, or earement therein mader any law or statute rmpowering the King
or the Governor or any person on his lehalf to take, purchas or aequire any lands, or any estate, interest, or easemet therein for any public works or for my other public purpow whatsoever ; contracts for the loan of money or securitie given for the payment of money only ; contracts for adverti ing by which a sum of over $\mathfrak{e}^{5} 0$ is payable, if the contra is entered into after public tender.
A public defaulter "mens a person convicted of wrom fully spending, taking, or using any moneys the propert of the Crown or of any loeal anthority or of any corporatic represented by a local authority.

Members of the Council appointed since the passing the Act of 1891 hold office for se ven yearsonly, to be reekont from the date of the instrmment of appointment, but the may be reappointed. In the ease of any member of $t$ ('ouncil, his seat shall ipso facto be vatated-
(II) If he takes any oath or makes any declatation acknowledgement of allegiance, obedience, or adherenee any foreign prince or power ; or
(b) If he does, or concurs in, or adopts any aet wheret he may beeome a subject or eitizen of any foreign state power, or entitled to the rights, privileges. or immunities a subject of any foreign state or power; or
(c) If he is bankrupt, or compounds with his credito under any Aet for the time being in foree ; or
(d) If he is a public defaulter, or is convicted of any crin pminhable by death or by imprisomment with hard labou for a term of three years or upwards ; or
(e) If he resigns hiw seat by writing under his hand addrene to and areepted by the Governor: or
(f) If for more than one whole session of the (iener Aswembly he fails, without permission of the Covern notified to the Council, to give his attenda: o in 11 C'ouncil.
2. It is provided by s . 5 t of the huperial Aet ( $15 \& 16$ Vii e. 72 ) that it shall not be lawful for the Honse of Representi tives or the Legislative Council to pase, or for the Gevern to assent to, any Bill appropriating to the publir servis any sum of money from or out of His Majestyis revem within New Zealand unless the Governor, on His Majesty behalf, shall first have recommended the House of Repre sentatives to make provision for the specific public purps towards which such money is to be appropriated. casement purposes securities advertiscontraet of wromgproperty ruolintion assing of reckoned Int they or of the ration or erence to whereby state or inities of (rediton: ny crimu d labonr dderessed (iencral Geverno int the Gevermur ". servic revenue Majesty of Reprepurpo.e d. The
(HISE SII THE: UPDER HOCNR
provisions of this seretion render it meresary for anys Appropriation bill to be intiated in the lower fonore.
3. Thera is motegal provision for a settlement of differamer between the two Honses.

## S.i. Nocotil AFiles

(11) ('itpe of Ciomel Hopu:

Under the Comstitulion Oidimemere Is.i: anel amending Arts. No. 1 of 1872 and No. 14 of 1 sens. ther Legistative Commed of the ('ape of (ioxel Hope eomsiaterd in lato of ed elected members, prexided ower ex officio hy the (hiof Instier. The members were elerefel, form for the Wintrom. the Somth-eastern and the Lastern Provineres. there for the North-westem, Soutlowesterm. Nieltand and North-ristern Provinces, and one each for British Bechmamaland and Grigualand West. They kept their seats for seron vear muless the Comeil was soomer di-solverl.?

No person was qualified to be eleceted a member of the Couneil who was ineapacitated to be registered as a voter. was muler the age of 30 vears, was not the ownor for his rwn nse and benefit of immovable property vithate within the Colony of the value of $£ 2.0$ on wer ant abowe all sperial conventional mortgages afferting the same, or who was mot, being the owner of such property to such value but under mortgage, at the same time possessed of property movabla and immovable in the colong to the value of not less than $\mathcal{L} 4.000$ over and abowe his just debts. A married man for the pmposes of this provision was deemed and taken to own or oecupy the whole of the property belonging to his wife. But no person holding an office of protit moder the Crown within the colong. and no uncertifieated insolvent, and no abien who had been registered as a voter hy virtue mevely of having obtained a deed of hurghership, was eligible to bo clected a member of the Comeil. From this proviso were excepted the offices of Colonial Secretary. Treasurer,

${ }^{2}$ Formerly for ten years, with a rulation, one half retiring chery five sars. Originally there were only two provinces, but this was changed in 1874; see Moltemo, wir J. Bulteno, i. 2 loscit.
 Seretary for Agrienttore, and of Irime Minister cerol if holding oure of these offices.
 low witing moder his hand or by telegraph message adderes to the President of the (ommeil, und his seat was vacut if for ome whole session of the larliamene he failed to g his attendance in the (ommeil withont the permission of ('ommeil. or took any onth or made any deelaration ackownledgement of allegiance, obedienere, or abloremere aly foreign prince or power. wr did, conemred in, or alopt ally art wherehy he might berome a solijeet or ritizen ally foreign state or power, or if his extate were negnestrut as insolvent. A seat was also varated if the member shon aceept or be the holder of any oftiee of profit meder the ('ro save and exeept the office of Colonial Seeretary and otl oflices speritied above.'

The qualifications for chectors were the age of 21 per or "pwards, possession of property worth $\mathfrak{E} 7 \boldsymbol{5}$, or reecipt
 conld be newly registered as a voter since the Bellot "t Framehise Act of $189: 2$ moless he eonld sign his mame and wr his address and oreupation. Voters for the Legislati fommeil had as many votes as there were seats to be fille alnd they might give all their votes en one candidate divide them between $t$ wo or more alladidates.
$\because$. The following provision was mate hy s. ss of t Comstilntion Ordinntre as approved by Order in Council the IIth of Mareh, Isise : -

And be it enated. that in regard to all Bills relative the erranting of supplies to Her Majosty, or the imposition any impost, rate or peceniany burden mpon the inhabitan and which Bills shall be of sach a matare that if Bills simil to them should be proposed to the Imperial Parliament (ireat Britain and Ireland, such Bills womld, by the law at cmstom of Parliament. be required to originate in the How of Commons. that all such Bills shall originate in, or be the Governor of the Cape of Good Hope introdnced into. 1
 age and property qualification. Ser almore. pr. arm.
｜ロ，ルルI II orks，allul ven if mot all this rat miliressed 18 varited led to give ion of the mation or heremer to or nlopted ritiz＇n of fuestrat at her slowide the（rown and other 21 yarm receipt of no person Bullot and and writc ＂xislative ，lo litlent． didate or
xis ol thi conirilil in
dative t＂ nexition in habitant－ IIs similial iament of e law and he Hom… or le be Into．the
＇IIII＇，VII｜

 thereof shall，rexpectively，have full peiwer athe anthority te



 Honse of Assembly or the Leqislative Cimucil．
 the people，bint the power was bot，memally at lemat．wid．
 mernt of differences betwern the hequiative romucil and ilae Honse of Ascomblys．hat by as it of the C＇onstitution orrli－ mance it wha provided that the（bowermor might．Whernewr
 the Legishatise Commeil and the Honse of ．I－w．mblys．or dissolve the House of Awombly without divolving Hae Legishative（ommeil．

> (b) Dinterl

Under the Constitution Act．No． $1+$ of $1 \times 9.3, \ldots .1+21$ and amending Aete the Legislative（omencil of Nital consisted in 1910 of thirteen members summoned by the（iovermur in Council in the nathe of His Majgat？be instrmeme mender the Public Seat of the Colony：

Each person so summoned ledd his seat for tom valas from the date of his smmons．but five of the membere of the Legislative Comeel first＊mmoned viteated dacir צatm at the end of fise gears．the pirtienlar members whe wern an to racate their veats being decoded by hot willin the tirat week of the tirst seswion of the laqi－lative Comaril．The members were summend from the follow ing district of the
 Alexambra，an！Alferl ：there from within the cometion of Pietermaritzhurg and Comvoti，and three from within the comenties of Werenen and Klip River．יIn from the Provine of Zoluland，and own from the new terviory（Ctedt）：but not more than two members might he chluw within ally whe county．The quorm was five．
 12：
$\cdots n$
or mpwards. most mot be nobloget to ally dingmalifien which would vacate his seat if it occurred after his npme ment, have resided in the Colony for ten years, und the registered propriator of immovable property within Colong of the value of esoos in wet valiee, after dedue of the amount of all registered mortgages.

A weat in the La gis iative (bumeil was vacated moder se and 33 of the Aet if any member of the Council failed f whole wdimary ammal mession to give his at temdanee in House, or ecased to hodd his qualification, or took any " or made any derlaration or ackowledgement of allegiat obedience, or alloremee to any foreign state or pewer, or concurred in, or adopted any art wherebyhe might berome subject or citizern of any suld state or power, or beeame an solvent, or took advontage of any Aet for the red of insolv debtors, or beeame a puble defaulter, or was attainted treason, or sentenced to imprisomment for any infam erime, or beeame of misoumd mind, or aceepted any of of profit under the Crown where than a politieal office, that of an officer of His Majonty's sea or land forees on $f$ retired, or half pay: The disqualification did not apply the case of persons in receipt of pernsions from the Colon
 Constitution Ast of 1893 on their rettrement on politi grounds. A seat was also vacated if any member of the Let lative Council for the period of ome montle renaimed a pat to any eontract with the Govermment; but this did apply to a purchaser of lame at publie anction from Govermment, or to any lesiere of Ciovermment land. ${ }^{1}$ A me ber of the Council might also resign his seat by writing um his hand addressed to the Governor. A member was eligi for reappointment by the Governor.
2. It was provided bys. 48 of the Comstitution Act of I that all Bills for appropriating any part of the comsolidat revenue fund, or for imposing, altoring. or repealing a rate. tax, duty, or impost, hould originate in the Legislat

[^238] malification is nppointors, and br within the" dednction
mider ss. 32 failed for at athere in the k any outh allegiance. wer, or did, heromine the rame an inof insolvent thainted of infamous any office 1 office, or ces on full, t apply in co Colonial muder $t$ ' . 1 political the Legi-cd a party is did not from the
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## (1.) T'rimuranl!






 matil the completion of the prevex for which the proven in
 Members of the (ommeil were appointed in the hame of Ilis. Majesty by instrmanent meler the Piblio seat al the colomy.
 or "pwards. laverereded in the Colonge for three sears, and
 division of the colomys. Members of the lit: tomatil helel office for tive verars, hat at ally tiane aftor fonm vars of the
 might hate passed a law providing for the clection of members of the Legislative fommeil, wherempem, - Hh.jeret to


 in the law. 'The fuormon wan -ia.

Any member of the leceiviative (inmeil might reximn his
 and moler clanse xxs a soat was valoated if ally member of the Legislative (iommeil shomlal -
 attendancere in the Lemilatione (ion.
(2) Take any wath, w make any raclatation ar ackum-
 forcigit state or power ; or
(3) Do. concur in, or adopt any act wherehy he mi become the subjeet or citizen of any suclo state of power ;
(4) Become an insolvent or takn advantage of any law the reliof of insolvent debtors: or
(5) Be a public defaulter, or be attainted of treason, or sentenced to imprisomment for any infamons erime ; or
(6) Become of msound mind; or
(7) Aceept any offien of profit umber the Crown other th that of a Minister, or that of an officer of Onr naval a military forees on retired or half pay.

Provided that a perison in receipt of pension from the Cro shombld not be deemed to hold an offiee of protit under ('rown within the meaning of this section.'

2 It was proviled by clauses Iv and Ivi of the lett patent that all bills for appropriating any part of the consa dated revenue fund or for imposing, altering, or repealing a rate, ta". duty. or impost. shoulal originate in the Legislati Aswembly, and that 'The Legislative Comeil may eitl accept or reject any Money Bill passed by the Legislati Axormbly, Int may mot alter it.
3. The following provision was made by clanse xxxvii the case of disagreement between the Legislative Comn and the Legislative Asermbly :-
(1) If the Legislative Assembly passes any proposed la and the legislative Comeil rejerets or fails to pass it, or pass it with amendments to which the Legislative Assembly "I not agree, and if the Legislative Assembly, in the next session again passes the proposed law with or withont any amen nents which have been made, suggested. or agreed to by t Legislative (ommeil. and the Legislative Comeil rejects, fails to pass it, or passes it with amendments to which $t$ Legislative Assembly will not agree, the Governon mi Juring that session eonvene a joint sitting of the membe. of the Legislative ('onncil and Legislative Assembly in 1 ! mamer hereinafter provided, or may dissolve the Legislati Assembly, and may simultaneonsly diswolve both the Lexi lative Comeil and Legislative Arembly if the Legislati Council whall then be an elected Comeil. But such disoolt tion shall not take plare withim six months before the date the expiry of the Legislative Assembly by effaxion of tim

[^239]｜IV．VRTHI he might power ；ar Hy law for
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 under the the letters he eonsoli－ caling any ecgislative lay aither cegislative xxxvii for e Councilposed law ，or passes mbly will xt session． $y$ amend－ to by the． ejects，, ． whieh the． mer may member ly in thas egislative he Lagi－ egislative 11 dis：orill－ he datern 1 of timb．
（＇IIAP＇VH｜ THE LPPER HOCNば心
（2）If aft．a such dissolation the Laceivative Amombly again parses the propoced law，with or withomt all：ammert－ ments which have bern made．shggereal．of agreed to hy $\therefore$ ：！egislative（ommeil，and the Leginlative fommeil rejore ts
 for Lesisntwe Assembly will mot agrere the（bovernom may anvene ：joint sitting of the members of the Lagesative
 speater of the Legislation dsombly shall presele．
（3）The members present at alliy joint－itting convenald minder aither of the preereding suberetions mate deliberate and shall wote together upen the pogened falw as last
 ments，if any，wheh taw been made theroin by the one Honse of the Legislature and not agreed to hy the othere and any such amendments which are aftioned by an aboblot．＂ majenty of the total momber of the members of the Lacti－ lative Comet and the Legishative Asembly shall be taken to have beon earied，amd if the poopeoded law．with the amendments，if any．so carried，is affimemed by ath absohnte majority of the total momber of the members of the Lacrisla
 have heen duly pasiced hy the Legistithere．

## （d）Orange River（＇olom！

The Legishatioe Comat of the Onange River（olony as constituted hy elanses ii －vii of the letters patent of the inth of Jume，leot，consisted of efexell members．to be summoned by the Coveruor by an instrment muder the Poblice seal of
 he filled by the（invernom in（ommei）．

It was provided hy the letters patmenthat theer of the
 shombl valate therif veats at the expiration of the thite
 members thereto：fene at the emb of the fifth beat and fome at the end of the sevonth reat：the members who wetime at the end of the third，lifth．and seventh veas to be decide bey lot，and fresh members to be appointed in their plate by the Governor in Council；such members to holdofice for five vears from the date of their smmmens．But membera comble be re－


Power was given in the letters patent for the Legisk at any time after fond vears from the date of the first me of the Commeil, to pass a law providing for the electio members of the Legistative Council. and therempon, su to the providems of such law, the then existing Lergisti Comeril would have been disesteed and the new ('e wonk have beren relected on :uch ronditions as were down in the law.

No person coond be summoned muless he was of the of 30 year: or 1 pwardk. had resided in the Colony for $t$ years, and was qualified to be registered as a voter for electoral divivion of the Colomy.

Any member of the Legislative Comed eonld resign salt by writing moder his hand addressed to the Gover A member of the Legi-hatior (onmeil racated his seat u elanse xxxii if he thonld-
(1) Fail for a whole ordinary anmal session to give attendance in the Legi-lative Council : or
(2) Take any oath, or make any declaration or ackn ledgement of allegianee, obedience. or adherence to foremen state or pewer ; or
(3) Do, conenr in, or adopt any act whereby he m become the subject or eitizen of any such state or power
(t) Become anl insolvent or take advantage of any for the relief of insolvent debtors: or
(5) Be a publice defanter, or be attainted of treason be sentenced to impriomment for any infamous crime ;
(6) Become of unsommd mind ; or
(高 Arepept any office of profit under the Crown other t that of a Ministire, that of a member of the luter-Colo Council. of the Liquor Liecensing Comrt. or of any Commiss appointed by the Governor in Commerl, or under any law make any puble inquiry or that of an officer of Oirr na and military forese on retired or half-pay:

Provided that a person in receipt of pension from Crown shoukd not be decmed to hold an office of profit un the Crown within the meaning of this recetion. ${ }^{1}$
-. By clanse lvi of the letters patent, all Bills for aple prating any pant of the consolidated revenne fund, or

[^240] were to originate in the Legislative Anembly. Ind by Wii The Legishative Comed may either atecept or rejocet any Money Bill pased ly the Legishative Arembly, but mayy neot alter it,
3. The following prowi-ion was mate her chane xaxix for the ease of disagreements between the Legishative Comend and the Legislative Assemb!! :-
(1) If the Legislative A-sembly pames any propmad law and the Legishative Commeil rejects or fails to pasis it, or passes it with amendments to which the Legislative Assembly will not agree, and if the Legislation Asemble, in the next session, again passes the proposed law with or without any amendments which have been made, suggested. or agreed to by the Legislative (ouncil, and the Legishative Council rejeets, or fails to pasis it, or pasises it with amendments to which the Legishative Assembly will not agree, the Governor may during that session convene a joint sitting of the members of the Legishative Comeil and Legishative Assembly in the mamer hereinafter provided, or may dissolve the Legislative Assembly, and may simmitancomsty dissolve both the Legislative commeil and Legishative Assembly if the Legishative Comme ihall then be an clected Couneil. But such dissohution shall mot take place within six monthe before the date of the expiry of the Legislative Assembly by effluxion of time.
(:) If after wuch diswohtion the Legistative Arsombly again passes the moposed law, with or withont any amendments, which have been made, suggested, or agreed te hy the Legislative Council, and the Legislative Commeil rejects or fails to pass it, or pases it with amenelments to which the Legislative Assembly will not agree, the Govermor may convene a joint sitting of the members of the Legislative Council and of the Legrisatier Arembly, at which the Speaker of the Legistative Asembly shall prexide.
(3) The members present at any joint sitting convened under either of the preceding subsections: may defiberate and shall vote together upon the promosed law, as last proposed by the Legislative Assembly, and upon amendments, if any, whieh have been matle therein by the one House of the Legislature and not agreed to by the other, and any sueh amendments which are affirmed hy an aboohute majority of the total number of the members of the Legislative C'ouncil
and Legislative Assembly shall the taken to have be carried, and if the propined law. with the amendmen if any. so carried, is atfirmed by an abolute majority of total mumber of the members of the Legistative Council at Legisp tive Assembly. it shall be taken to have been dut passed by the Legislature.

## (e) C'uion of South Afriru

The semate of South Afriea mader the sounth Africen - Mo9.' which tow effeet from the 31st of May 1910, is co stituted as follows:-
24. For tell yeas after the extablishment of the Cnio, the constitution of the Senate slall. in respeet of the origin prosinces, be a follows:-
(1) Eight semators shall be nominated by the (ioverno Ceneral in Comacil, and for each original provinee eig enators shall be elected in the manner hereinafter provide
(2) The senators to be rominated by the Governo Girneral in C'muncil shall hold their seats for ten years. On half of their mumber whall be selected on the ground man of their thorough acquaintance. by reason of their offiei experience or otherwise. with the reasomable wants an wishes of the colonred races in South Africa. If the se of an semator so nominated shall become vacant. the fioverno Gencral in comucil shall nominate another person to a scmator. who shall hold his seat for ten years.
(3) After the passing of this Act. and before the da appointed for the establishmem of the Luion, the (hovern of each of the Colonies shall smmmon a special sitting both Houses of the Legislature, and the two Honses sittin together as one body. and prexided over by the Speak of the Legislative Asermbly. shall elect eight persons be senators for the province. Such senators shall hold the sats for ten yeats. If the seat of a senator so elected sha become vacant, the prosincial comed of the province $f$ which such senater has hren selected shall choose a peren to hold the reat until the completion of the period for whis the person in whose stead he is elected would have he his seat.
25. Parliament may provide for the mamer in which it Senate shall be constituted after the expiration of ten yeal


[^241](1) The provisions of the lant proceding seretion with regard to nominated venatoas shall eontimut to have efferet.
(2) Eight semators for each provine shall be ele eded he the members of the provincial emmeit on surh province engether with the members of the Homen of Asembly deded for sum prowinec. Such senators shall hold :heir seats for ten pears maless the Senate be somer diswhed. If the seat of ala elected senator shall berome vacant. the members of the provinetial eotureit of the prowituer logether with the members of the Homs. of As:embly elered for wheh provinere, shall choose a person to hold the seat until the completion of the period for whith the person in whese sterd he is chected would have held his seat. The (Boremor-(iemeral in (ommei) shall make regnlations for the foint rlection of semater: prescribed in this section.
26. The qualitieations of a semator shall be as follows:He must-(a) be not less than 30 peats of age: (b) be qualified to be registered as a voter for the election of members of the Honser of Asombly in one of the prowitees ; (r) have resided for five vears within the limit- of the Cnion as existing at the time when he is elected or mominated, as t!e fase may be: (el) ha a British subjeet of latropean descent: (e) in the aate of an electe semator. be the registered owner of immovable moperty bishin the Enion of the value of not less than esolo wer and above any serecial mortgages thereon.

For the purposes of this secetion. residenee in. and preprett. situated within. a colony before its incorporation in the Union shall be treated ase rexdence in ind property sithated within the Union.
 sitting as a semator who-
(10) has been at any time combieted of any erime or offence for which he shall have heren seltenced to imprianment without the option of a tine for a lerm of not bex thall lwaye monthes. meses he shall have reverived a grant of ammety or a free pardon, or moses such imprisomment hall hase expired at least tive vears before the date of his electa... ; or (b) is an unrehabilitated insolvent: or (e) is of momomed mind, and has been so dectared by a competellt colltt : or (d) holds any offiee of profit under the ('rown within the Chion: Provided that the following prowns shall not be
 purposes of thin mbinetion:-
(1) A Minister of State for the Union ;
(2) A person in reecipt of a pension from the (Gown;
(3) An officer or member of His Majesty's naval or milit forees on retired or half pay, or an officer or member of naval or military fores of the Union whose serviees are wholly employed by the Union.

Unders $s$ at if a senator-(a) beromes subjert to anty of disabilities mentioned in the last preeeding seetion ; or ceases to be qualified as required by law ; or (c) faik a whole ordinary session to attend without the perial le of the Senate, his seat shall thereupon beeome vaeant. ${ }^{1}$
$\because$ The provisions of the South Afried Act as to the pow of the Senate are as follows:-
60.-(1) Bills appropriating revenue or moneys or impos taxation shall originate only in the House of Asseml But a Bill shall not be taken to appropriate revenue moneys or to impose taxation by reason only of its contain provisions for the imposition or appropriation of fines other pecuniary penalties.
(2) The Senate may not amend any Bitls no far as th impose taxation or appropriate revenue or monews for services of the doverriment.
(3) The Senate may not amend any Bill so as to inerea any proposed charges or burden on the people.
61. Any Bill which appropriates revenue or moneys the ordinary anmual services of the Government shall d only with such appropriation.
3. The following provision is made ins. 63 of the son Africe Act for the eases of disagreement between the t Ifouses :-
63. If the House of Assembly passes any libll and $t$ Senate rejectsor fails to pass it or passes it with amendmel to which the House of Assembly wiil not agree, and if $t$ House of Assembly in the next session again passes the with or without any amendments which have been mal or agreed to by the Senate and the Senate rejects or fal to pass it or passes it with amendments to whieh the Hon of Assembly wilh not agree, the Governor-General may dmi that session convene i joint sitting of the members of $t$ Senate and House of Assembly. The members present

[^242] heen carried, and if the Bill with the amemdments, if anys. is affirmed hy a majority of the members of the semate and Honse of Ase:mhly present at smoh sitting. it shall be takent to have been duly pased hy hoth Homese of lambiment: Provided that, if the Semate shall reject or fail to pass ans. Bill dealing with the appropriacion of reveme on mentes for the publie nervice, such joint sitting mily be comserned dhring the same session in which the semate so rejeets on fails to pass such Bill.

The question of the powers of the Lenwer and Cpere Honses in legislatures with nomine lpper Ifomese has bechi finally settleed by a deceision of the dodicial commitere of the Prive Council, to whom the matter wat reforred in lsse by the request of both Homses of the Parlament of Quecmshand. In 1854 the question was raised by the Legistative Coumeil of New Zealand, which asemted that it had a right to deal freely with and amend Supply Bills. But in his reply of March 25,1855 . the secretaly of State dectined to acoept this view, and laid it down that as the Eper Honse was not elective it should follow the practice of the Lords in there matters, and not amend Money Bills. ${ }^{1}$ The question was again rased in $186:-3$ in the following circomstancers.: In the ease of a Bill affeeting native fands and athowing them to be disposed of otherwise than thomgh the action of the Crown, there was a provision for the isate of certiticates on payment of a certain rate. The Comeil amended the Bill to provide that any certifieate granted was not to give power to any tribe or person to sell the land ind laded in the eertifieate, or to exchange it or lease it for mere than

[^243]seren years maless the eertitiente was endored by ( Governor and sealed with the publie seal, the amoments on surlo signing and vealing being paid. 'Tlac question rai be the Lowir Homee was whether, the He se of Represe tives having imposed upon a ('rown grant. or an instrom in the mature of a crown grant, a certain tax or duty was eomperent to the lagishative Comed to introduce cnactment to the effect that mo transaction shomal ta place walor amother riass of instmonemts afferting nat lands until such instruments had been practicalḷ̂ transimu into or changed for ('rown grants, so in effect rendering later class of instrments liable to surli tax or duty. law officers advised that the elaim of the Lewer Ho that a breach of privilege had taken place was ill found 'They saite :-

We are of opinion that, if in a Bill introduced in Homse of Representatives and paseed through that Ho a certain tax or duty has been imposed upon a ('rown gra or an instrment in the natme of a Crown grant. it is en petent to the Leginative Comed without any bread the privileges of the House of Representatives to make etheary for any given purpose of another class of instrume intended to affeer native lands meder the provisions of same Bill dependent nom their assuming the form of Cro grants, on which the tax or duty has been so impo by the Honse of Representatives. It is. we think. a falla to represent this as a ease in whid the Legislative Com takes upon itself to impose any tax of duty. It mer provides that a particular kind of instoment shall be nee sary to produce a particulare effect. It has a right to der for itself mpon the form and character of the instrume which shall be wifticient for that purpose and it cannot deprived of that right merely becanse the form of instrmme which it profere is one on which a duty may have be aheady imposed by law or will be imposed if the Bill shon pass-the imposition of the duty on that form of inst ment being the act not of the Legislative Conncil but of $t$ House of Representatives. We do not agree witio the aty ment that the $2 s$. Br?. was not in its nature a tax or dut but the other argmont mged on the part of the Legislati (bundil that the llouse of Repmesentatives ramont. imposing a tas or duty on a particular limed of logal int
 origimating or amemeling Bills relating to the h instroment:
 suggestion that the privilege eomtunded for by the Ilomese of Representatives would in effere be the same ins, if at timp duty being imposed ond deeds in Eingland, the Homer of lerers were therely preduded from eonsidering wherd.er eretain transactions should or shonld not be affeceied by deed. It has never beres smpored in lingland that the privilegre of the House of Commons as to origimating taxation is attemded with such eonseguenees as these.
 the clanms of the Legi. ative ('ommeil maty be aren from the following extract from the gromels atated for their atetion :-

The preselot Bill, :on far at beast ass conterns the application of the immigration and public work- loan anthorized to be raised last year. is not, in their opinion. a Bill of a iod orsuphly It imposes no new firden ont the peophe nom alters anis existing burden, hor is it a grant of momer by way of suphly

The eolonial parliament last year anthorized al very larga loan to be raised on the eredit of the colony to be expended stretly and exclusively on immigration, rallwily, and other phblie works and undertakings specitied in the Aet.

It is proposed by the present Bill to divert part of the money so to be rased to othere objeets of a cognate charareter. and to that extent the Legishative commeil is prepared to concur in the proposed measure. But it propused further to anthorize the forernor to pily wer one-half of the amoment so to be divarted to the proviners.

Such an application of the immighation and puble workGoan anthorized to be mased last year is not, in the opinion of the Comelal, right or comsistent with the engagemonts: mpon the faith of which Parliament has peat conlerated to raise the loam.

The Legislative Council chams it. right to exereine its own judgement mpon that pmint. The roncersion of that right would so matrow as practially to deatoy its propere functions as a legishative body in dealing with ipuetions of similar character which come before the in in at grat vartiety

The Lower Home womld not aceept the anemdments. and the arrangement was made to refer home for the opinion

of the law offeeres, the Bill being in the meantime expre (1) continue only till the erod of the finameial year. A was preplared in which ationtion was ablled tos. it of Comstitntion Act, which merely provides for the Genvert recommendaion to the lower Honse of any appropriat and to s. t of the I'arlimmentury Pribilegre Act, Ishan, : provided that :-

The Legislative Comeil and the Honse of Reprexemtat of New Zaaland resperetisely shall hokd, mjoy, med exen the like priviloges, immunities, and powers as oll Januan 1865, were held, enjoved, and exereied hy the Comn House of l'arliament of (ireat Britain and Ireland, and the committees and members thereof, wo that the same not ineonsistent with or repugnant to sheh and so in of the provisions of the sections of the Comstitntion Act a the coming into opration of this Aet are unrepealed, whe sueh privileges, immunities, or powers wereso held, posses or enjoyed hy custom, statute, or otherwise: and privileges, immunities, and power shall be deemed to and sall he part of the general and public law of the Colf and it shall not be necessary to plead the same, and the si shall in all Conrts and by and before all judges be judici taken notice of.

The report of the kaw ofticers, dated June 18, 1879, as follows:-

We are of opinion that independently of the " liumpon Privileges Act, 1865, the Legislative Comneil ..... not stitutionally justifed in amending the Payment to Proci Bill, 1871, by striking ont the edisputed clatise $\because 8$ (w) authorized a new disposition of the loan moneys raised un the Act of 1870). We think the Bill was a Money Bill, such a Bill in the Honse of Commons in this comtry would have been allowed to be amended by the House of Lords. that the limitation proposed to be placed by the Legislat Council on Bills of aid or supply is too narrow, and wo not be recognized by the House of Commons in England.
2. We are of opinion that the Parliumentary Priril, Act, 1865, does not eonfer on the Legislative Council larger powers in this respect than it wonld otherwise h: possessed. We thimk that this Aet was not intended affeet and did not affect the legislative powers of eit! House of the Legislature in New Zealand. le expresed alr. A ca: N. it of the Ciowermor's propriation, 1865, which

## resentatives

 me exereise Jamary I, - Commons mil, and by le same aro (i) so many on Act as at orl, whether , posscessed, and such med to he, the Colony, ul the sama, e judieialy1872, wa:
liumentury , not rullProvinco $\because 8$ (whinh ised undir y Bill, and would mit Lords. : and Legislatic: and wonid ngland.
Privilege: ouncil ally wise harl. tembed l" of "ither
‘HAI'. V'I| THE: IPPF: HOLNF
 tiver rematamed int their mosenge to the Lagintative (inntal
 of the Legishative (ommeil to vary ar alter the manngement or elistribution of ally monory as preseribed hy the llonse of Repreventatives; that it is within the power of the Honse of Representatives loy Aet of und sompon to vary the appropmiations or managoment of money preasoiled hy det of a previonts sexsiont are woll fanmedeli
Subjeret of eomse to the limitation that the Lagistative Commeil have a perfert right to rejeet any bill pasiond hy the Honse of Ropresentatives having for its whoret to vary the management ar appropriation of moner preweribed hy an Act of the previons session.
The same primeiples were reasserted in the Quermatand case, but with the alded dignity of the anthurity of the Judicial Committere of the Privy (ommeil. The following extracts show the question put and the reply: -

## Most Cibaclous Soyerbigi-

We, Your Majesty's loyal and dutiful sulbjects, the members of the Legislative Comeil and Lagislative Aswombly of Queensland in Parliament assembled, hmmbly approath Your Majesty with a renewerl assmance of our affection and loyalty towards Your Majestys person and Governement.

Questions have arisen between the Legislat Comeil and Legislative Assembly with respect to the relative rights and powers of the two Houses, which questions we are desirons of submitting for the opimion of Yomr Majesty's. Most Homourable Privy Council.
 conld not amemd the old Ige lemsion: Bill, and his ruling was acepuieserd in: see P'mber Reevess, state Experiments in Australia and . Ven Zenland. ii. 247. Rejeetion of an Infome Tix Bill in 1893 and of a Land and Ineomb Tax Assessment Bill in 180.5 by the Leprislative (oumeil of Now Somth Walles
 In 188: Mr. Whitaker's. Ministry introherd payment of members in at
 an ortinary Ipuropriation Bill; see Rusden. Vew \%raland. iii. 4im. In 18.36 the Lagislative Council of Camadn threw out a Nuply bill Ifeciman.
 eonsilted, and a new Supply bill mi .hs the objectionable item had hastily to be passed; sere l'ope. sir .fohn Marilumell, i. 173.
a P'arl. Pıp., C. 4794 ; H. L. 214 . INe!
 gurations which have at arime and which we dexire to wh submitted in the word- following:-
 comtaine the following provi-ions:-

Eection 1. "There shall be within the saire Cotons

Sertion :. W'ithin the said colony of (Sumendand. Majenty shall have pener. he and with the adviere comsent of the sail Comeil and Awombly, to make ha
 in all cases whatsonci: Proviled that all Bills for ap priating any part of the public werme. for imponing : mew rate. tax. or impont, sulbere ahway to the limitati heremafter pmowed. shath originate in the legiwht Asembly of the said condon!
section is. It hall mot be hawfol for the Legrisht Assembly to originate or pates ally wher, resohtion. or for the appropriation of any part of the said comeotida Ravemere Fand, or of any other tax on inpont to any purp which shall not tiret have beed recommended by a meses

 pasised.)
 of the Order in Commeil of tith dume Isors. providing for renstitution of the colony of (Qneensland.

Seetion is is a beemactment of sietion os of the Ant Now somth Wiales. 1i Vict. No. V1. contained in the ti

3. The member of the Legislative Conmeit ate nominat lny the (oovernen for life, subjeret to certain eontingene Thin members of the Legivative Assembly are cleeted the several embetiturencis's into which the colone is divid
4. During the -rssions of $1 \times 8.4$ and $1 \times 8.5$. A Bill to prow for the payment of the expenses incurved by member the Legislative Asembly in attending Parliament" " passed hy the Legislative Asembly, and one each occonrejected by the Lagislative (omucil. Solimit was propo. to the duration of this Bill.
5. In the cetimates of expenditure for the year is. which wee laid before the Legislative Assembly in the ser-i of 188.5 , after the rejection of this Bill for the second ti loy the Legivitative comeril. there was ineluded moder: heading of 'The Legislative Assembly": Establishment
｜1．11T｜｜｜ －lorth thr exire to le
－t．No．3ヶ，
（＇olohy of Assimbly．＇ thond，Her （virr and miake laws her（＇olony for＂1p！ro－ osing ally timitations dreinative
deginhtive m．or Bill， H：adidaterl
 a llueseag hy during II shall lur

に \｜and｜$\because$ ug lor tho hr $A \cdot t$ wf the first Iominatlenl ingenebe leceded hy s divided （1）provirle allafer ol （elll＂wol－
 propに一世 1 Issis li． heresrsi－ion cond time ameder the Hent ；int
（＇II．11＇．V1）

 these drlined hy the Bill which hatd been an rejereter by the Lagialative（bumbil．

6．The ontimatea are mot lomally prewnterl to the Lagin－ lative Commeil，bat are areresible to members．

7．＇The Anmalapropria＇on Bill haviיg teren sent by the

 Asembly＇s istahlishment＇，which smm，in fatet，illelmhed the
 Commeil on the Itth of November ls．is amemed the Bill liy reducing the sum properad to be appropriated for＇the
 athl making the neressary monequentiat amemfments in the words and figntes demoting the total manome of appropria－
 A－membly．There was mothing on the liae of the Bill to indiente．The－perial purpere for which any part of the som
 Lagishative J－ambly＇s extablishment．

8．On the feth of November the Lequivative Anombly retnrned the Bill to the Lagisative Combeil with the following message：－

The Legishative Asembly having had imbler their eon－
 ＂The Appropriation Bill，No．：，＂

Disagrer to the said amondment－for thr following reasome，to when they imvite the most caroful eonsidemation of the Lagishative Conmoil：－

It has beren generally ahmitted that in bitioh colonies in which there ine two hramehes of the lagishatere，the legishative fimetions of the Upher Ilomer cortespont with those of the Homse of Larde，while the Lower Ilomse exereises the rights and perwers of the Homse of Commons．＇This a malogy is recognized in the Stamding Orders of hoth Honsers of the F＇arlinnernt of Quemetand，and in the form of premmble adopted in Bills of Supply，and has hitherto been inviridbly acted upon．

For centuries the House of Lords has not attempted to exercise its power of amending a Bill for appro－ priatimg the public revenue，it being aceopted as an axiom of constitutional government that the right of taxation and of eontrolling the experditure of publie money rests entirely with the Representative House，or，is it is some－ 1279
timese expressed, that there ean be no taxation witho representation.
'The attention of the Legishative Comelil is invited to $t$ opinion given in 1872 by the Attorney-General and Solicitc (ieneral of England (Sir J. D. Coleridge and Sir (4. Jess when the question of the right of the Legislative Coun of New Zealand to amend a Money Bill was formally su mitted to them by the Legislature of that Colony. T Constitution Aet of New Zoeland (5) \& 16 Vict. e. provides that Money Bills must be recommended by t Governor to the House of Representatives, but does 1 formally deny to the Legislative Comeil (which is nominat by the Crown) the right to amend such Bills. The La Officers were nevertheless of opinion that the Council we not eonstitutionally justified in amending a Money Bill, a they stated that this conclusion did not depend upon, a was not affected by the circumstance that by an Act Parliament the two Houses of the Legislature had conferr upon themselves the privileges of the House of Commo so far as they were consistent with the Constitution Aet the Colony.

- The Legislative Assembly believe that no instanee e: be found in the history of constitutional government which a nominated Council have attempted to amend Appropriation Bill. Questions have often arisen wheth a particular Bill which it was proposed to amend proper fell within the category of Money Bills. But the very fa of such a question having arisen shows that the prineif for whieh the Legislative Assembly are now contending $h_{1}$ been taken as admitted.

The Legislative Assembly maintain, and have alwa maintained, that (in the words of the resolntion of the Hou of Commons of 3 rd July 1678 ) all aids and supplies to H Majesty in Parliament are the sole gift of this House, a that it is their undoubted anc. sole right to direet, lim and appoint, in Bills of aid and supply, the ends, purposi considerations, conditions, limitations, and qualifieations such grants, which onght not to be changed or altered the Legislative Council.

For these reasons it is manifestly impossible for Legislative Assembly to agree to the amendments of $t$ Legislative Comecil in this Bill. The ordinary course adopt muder these rirementanes would be to lay the 13 aside. The Legislative Assembly have, however, refrain from taking this extreme course at present, in the beli
that the Legislative council, not having exereised their madoubted power to reject the Bill altogrether. do not desire to eanse the serious injury to the publie service and to the welfare of the Colony which would incvitably result from a refusal to sumetion the necessary expenditure for carrying on the govermment of the 'olons, and in the contident hope that moder the eiremmstances the Leginlative (ouncii will not insist on their amendments.'
9. On the same day the Legislative 'oune il again retmrned the Rill to the Lagislative Assembly with the following message :-

- The Legislative (ouncil hasing hat moder consideration the message of the Legislative Assembly of this day's date relative to the amendments made be the Legislative ('ommeil
 intimate that they insiat on their amendments in the satid Bill:-

Because the Comeil neither arrogate to themselves the position of being a reftex of the Honse of Lords, nor recognize the Legislative Assembly as holding the same relative position to the Honse of Commons.
'The Joint Standing Order's only app)'.. to matters of form comected with the internal management, of the two Honses. and do not affeet constitutional questions.

- Becalase it does not ippear that occasion hats arisen to require that the Honse of Lords should exercise its powers of amending a Bill for appropriating the poblie revemue. and. therefore, the present case is not amalogons: the right is admitted thongh it may not have been exereised.
- Becaluse the ease of the Legislature of New Zealand is dissimilar to that now under consideration, inasmuch as the Constitution Act of New Zaraland differs materially from that of Queensland, and the question submitted did not arise under the constitution Act, bint on the interprestation of a Parliamentary Privileges Act. If mo instance ean be fomd in the history of eonstitutional government in which a nominated combil has attempted to amend an Appropriation Bill, it is becallse no similar cate hats evor arisen.

Berause in the amendment of all Bills." The Constitution Act of $186^{-}$" confers on the Leegislative fommeil powers co-ordinate with those of the Legiviative Assembly and the ammexing of any elanse to a Bill of supply, the matter of Whieh is foreigin to and different from the matter of said liill of Supply is unparliamentaly and temds to the destruc-
tion of constitutional government, and the item whic ineludes the payment of members' expenses is of the natur of a "tack".
'For the foregoing reasons the Council insist on thei amendments, leaving the matter in the hands of the Legis lative Assembly.'
10. On the 13th of November the Legislative Assembly by message, proposed the appointment of a Joint Selec Cominittee of both Houses 'to consider the present con dition of public business, in consequence of no supplie having been granted to Her Majesty for the service of th current fi uncial year.' Such Committee was appointed o the same day, and on the 17 th of November brought $u$ their report, recommending, amongst other things-
'That for the purpose of obtaining an opinion as to th relative rights and powers of both Houses with respect $t$ Moncy Bills, a case be prepared, and that a Joint Addres of both Houses be presented to Her Majesty prayin Her Majesty to be graciously pleased to refer such cas for the opinion of Her Majesty's Most Honourable Priv Council.'
11. The following Acts and documents are to be dceme to form part of this case :-
(1) The Imperial Act, 18 \& 19 Vict. c. 54 ;
(2) The Order in Council of 6th June 1859 ;
(3) 'The Constitution Act of $1867^{\prime}$ (Queensland);
(4) The Standing Orders of both Houses;
(5) A copy of 'The Mcmbers Expenses Bill of 1884';
(6) A copy of 'The Members Expenses Bill of 1885';
(7) The estimates of expenditure for 1885-6, ' Exeeutiv and Legislative Departments ';
(8) 'The Appropriation Bill of 1885-6, No. 2';
(9) Extracts from the journals of the Legislative Coune relating to 'The Appropriation Bill';
(10) Extracts from the votes and proceedings of th Legislative Assembly relating to the same matter.

The questions submitted for consideration are :-

1. Whether 'The Constitution Act of 1867 ' confers 0 the Legislative Council powers co-ordinate with those the Legislative Assembly in the amendment of all Bill including Money Bills.
2. Whether the claims of the Legislative Assembly, a set forth in their message of 12 th November, are wel founded.

We humbly pray that Your Majesty will be graciousl
pleased to refer the said case for the opinion and report of Your Majesty's Most Honomrable Privy Conneil.

> A. H. Palamer,
> President of the Legislative Conncil. Wiblam H. Groom, Legislative $C^{-}$Speaker of the Legislative Assembly. 17 th Ne '1: 1r 1885.
The Judiciai imittee of the Privy conncil reported on the 27th March 1. , as follows:-
' Your Majesty having been pleased, hy Your Order in Council of the sth March instant, to refer minto this Committee a humble Petition from the Legislative Council and the Legislative Assembly of the Colony of Queensland, eoneerning questions whieh have arisen between those two bodies with regard to their relative rights and powers, together with certain documents on the sibject, and to direet that this Committee slould consider the same and report their opinion therempon to Your Majesty at the Board: The Lords of the Conmmittec, in obedienee to Your Majesty's said Order of Reference, have taken the said Petition into eonsideration, and, in answer to the two questions submitted to their Lordships by the said Petitioners, namely :-

1. Whether the Constitution Aet of ! 967 confers on the Legislative Council powers co-ordinate with those of the Legislative Assembly in the amendment of all Bills, including Money Bills?
'2. Whether the elaims of the Legislative Assembly, as set forth in their Message of $1: 2$ th November 188: , are well founded?
'Their Lordships agree humbly to report to Your Majesty as their opinion that the first of these questions should be answered in the negative, and the second in the affirmative,'

Names of the Lords of the Committee making the said Report: The Lord President (Lord (rambrook), the Lord Chaneellor (Lord Herschell). the Duker of Riehmond and Gordon, Lord Aberdare, Lord Blackburn, Lord Hobhouse. Sir Richard Couch.

No witnesses were examined, and Counsel were not heard before the Committee.

It would of eourse be premature to say that the diffienties between nominee and elective Honses have disappeared for
good. There remains in caeh ease the fatet that the nomin House might throw out a Bill for the general supply, a could easily be tempted in a crisis to rejeet a Bill for sor partieular supply, though the aetion of the Lords in 1909 the United Kingdom, and its sequel, are a signifieant war ing against meonstitutional conduct, and the rejeetion a whole Appropriation Bill is unthinkable in Canada, No Zealand, New Sonth Wales, and Queensland. In 1878 t Upper Honse in Quebee threw out the Supply Bill in ord to embarrass the Government of M. Joly, but that w exceptional in two ways: in the first place, M. Joly he office on a most insceure temme, and the province had be much moved by the proceedings in the case of M. Letellic in the second plaee, it was the ease of a nomince House, whi could not be swamped as the numbers were limited. too in Natal, the Upper House in 1905 deelined to acce a native-tax Bill proposed by the Government as a mea of raising revenue: the Bill was not exactly a desiral measure, and the gravamen of the charge against it w mainly that it was unfair to inerease native taxation ev with the usinal requirement of the reservation of the under the royal instructions and the eonsequent necessity securing the assent of the Imperial authorities.

In the ease of the Transvaal an interesting dispute aro in 1908 as to what constituted a Money Bill. ${ }^{1}$ When $t$ Public Service and Pensions Bill eame before the Legislati ('ouncil the President of the Couneil ruled that as some the elanses of the Bill dealt with appropriations the whe Bill was, within the meaning of the letters patent establishi the Legiskture, a Money Bill, and while it could be reject it could not be altered by the Upper House. Several of t members of the Council disputed his ruling, and evential the ( $o$ overmment referred home to the Secretary of state 1 an opinion on the matter. The Secretary of State replied a dispatch, No. 104 of March 25,1909 , conveying the vir of the law ofticers of the (rown on the question, and al sending a eopy of a ketter from the Clerk of the Hom:"

[^244]Commons. The papers were laid before the Transwall Legislature and considered iys a Joint Committer of the $t$ wo Honses, who reported that the law officers were of opinion that the view of the President of the Legivative Comencil was correct, and that the Bill was in effece a Meney Bill, and mader the leters patent coold not be altered by the Legislative Comeil. They based their tecision on the precise wording of the letters patent and not on the practice in the Imperial Parliament, which, as appeared from the letter of the Clerk of the House of Commons, would not hare placed these Bills in the category of Bills to be treated as. Money Bills. The Joint Committee did not advise that any action should be taken, in view of the fact that the Union was imminent, and that no nseful propose comtd have beron served by any action, Int they expressed cleally the view that the Upper Honse shond have power to amend non-appropriation clauses in every case, even if they were introdneed into Bills which dealt incidentally with appropriation. This sohntion was in aceortance with the view of the President, who had hekl that though the Bill could not legally be amended still it was improper for the Govermment to introduce Bills containing incidentally appropriation clanses, and thus preventing any alteration of non-financial matters by the Upere House. It was also in general, but not absohutely, in accordance with the practice of the Imperial Parliament, and it may be compared with the law officers opinion in 1863 regarding New Zealand, and s. fio of the South Africe Act.

It should be noted that no difference has ever been mate between nominee Houses capaite of being wamped and Honses not so capable. The Secretary of State in Isana said that Canada had adopted the British system,' and thin remains true of the limited Semate of the Federation, and Natal and Nova Scotia also were cases of limited nomince Upper Honses.
In 1909 and in 1910 minor guestions have arisen in the cane of New Zealaid as to the pesition of the Comeril. In
 I'up., 11. C. 191, 1s'UU, 1. 9.
the former year the Couneil inserted an appropriation ela in a Reformatories Bill, which was validated ex post fo by a Governor's message being obtained to eover it, a the Speaker deeided that that proeedure was adequate the oceasion. In 1910 the Upper House altered the Crin Amendment Bill by inserting an appropriation clause, a there was rather a warm diseussion, the Speaker ruling $t$ either a Governor's message must be obtained and the Ho formally by resolve deeide not to insist on its privileges, the Bill must be laid aside. The former eourse was adop after a lively debate.

In Canada in 1911 a Bill which affeeted payments to jud wrongly introdueed in the Senate was dropped on exe tion being taken by the Government. It proposed to gra pensions on certain conditions to all judges who had serv as Lieutenant-Goverinors. ${ }^{1}$

[^245]
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     Constitutional Latu; pp. Is serg. The ground of the distinetion letweeth settled and conquered and ceded colonies as set out in Freemon r: Puirlia (I Moo. Ind. $\Lambda_{\text {plp }}$, 3:2) is certainly inaceurate.
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[^4]:    

[^5]:    ${ }^{3} 21$ \& 2.2 Vict. c. $!9$.
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[^6]:    ${ }^{2}$ Canada vatule... 1s-9. p. Ixsxis.
    ${ }^{2}$ Sere 33 d 34 Vict. e. (66; Order in Commel, Iugust 9, Is:ot ; Colonial A.t. No. 147, 187.
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[^10]:    ${ }^{1}$ Ciffientemial The List, 1:111, 11p. 188, 19.
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[^12]:    

[^13]:    His last exploil was catrying a Municipal Districts Bill in the tereh of much opposition ; see Egerton and litant, op. cit., pp. 2xT, 288.
    ${ }^{2}$ His views ans expressed in 1543 are given in Egerton and Cirant, 11. : 295,2946 Cf. behow, p. :21.
    ${ }^{3}$ See extracts fro 1 his correspondener, ibid., pp. 310-34. (ff, ako, Earl Grey, Colonial dicy of Lord John Russell s. Ilministration, i. :2(1)3; Munro, C'onstitution of C'anala, 1.: 20: Eyיrton, C'amata, ifp. 1 H1 sed. 1275

[^14]:     i. ©0, 13. The Executive Comacil was mate distinct from the Lagislative
    
     and (iriat, "p. cit., ple, $297-310$. For atguments for responsible government sece Howi's Leffers and Speeches, extricts of which are given by Egroton and Grant, 11! 197-25:.
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    ${ }^{3}$ Hnit. 1. $2 \cdot 4$

[^16]:     cophaning the . let fir \& if Virt, r. IS.
    ${ }^{2}$ is if liont. e. 120.
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[^24]:    ${ }^{1}$ Parl. Papl.. August 186i.
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[^26]:    ' P'arl. I'ap., ('. .n91s.

[^27]:    
    ${ }^{2}$ 1hid., p. 3!.
    ${ }^{5}$ Ihid., H. ( $: 181,1800$, p. 1. Representative govermment dated from $18 . \pi 3$.

[^28]:    ' I'unl. I'up.. H. (. 181. 1870. p. 3.
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    －Ihid．，ply．17，18 s．r．

[^31]:    I'arl. Sap. II. ('. 181, 1870, 1p. 18, 24.
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[^35]:    P'url. I'up.. ('. 7ulis, p. Is.
    

[^36]:    
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[^39]:    ${ }^{1}$ (f. House of Commons Detates, July 27,1 Yo9.

[^40]:    

[^41]:    ${ }^{1}$ Canada sions. Porpo, 16no. No. 174.

[^42]:    It refers to officers as "Jiable to loss of office by reason of their inability 10 become members of the sitid Pirliament, or to command the support of a majority of the mombers thereof ', a very striking case of the express alfirmation of the constitutional principle, but only in a minor matter.

[^43]:    ${ }^{1}$ See p. 70. note.
    ${ }^{2}$ P'arl. Pup., July 24, 1856, p. 68.

[^44]:    ${ }^{1}$ Renamed chief Secretary and Treasurer lyy +6 Vict. No.s.
    ${ }^{2}$ :3:3 Vict. No. 4.
    
     permatuent.

[^45]:    ${ }^{1}$ I'url. I'up., II. (: 160). 18.j.i', pp. 39 sel.

[^46]:     are there (olonies with mothing in common sater the fiovernor.

[^47]:    

[^48]:    ${ }^{1}$ ! E Elw. VII. e. !), s. 12. 14 .

[^49]:    －Murris，Mlmuir of licurge Miginbetham，1． 2.33.

[^50]:    ${ }^{1}$ Parl. Pap., ('. 58:28 (iss'9). L'f. Dilke, Problems of Grater Britain, i. 337,

[^51]:    - Diker, Problems of rireater Britain. i. 3bti.
    ${ }^{2}$ C'anadian liazelle, xviii. 446 .

[^52]:    - I Bill to reconstitute the Commonweath on the lines of the somth Africim C'nion was intreduced into the commonwoalh Parliament in 19,11 by a Lale ur member ak a luellem it gesuoi.
    ${ }^{2}$ From federation onvards there were comstant proposals tor reduce salaries, and in peint of fict that of the (iovemor of Tasmanial was chlt
     Gewermments did not press for tocal appointments. . Whowamees were also varied and redued, and the State Covernmente of Niew somth Wiake and Victoria hamsferred the fowernment Hunses to the Fedetal Gowermenent
    
    

[^53]:     Tasmanial was acerosed of misusing his position as administrator to obtain information of peromiary value, thengh the charge was derelared unfomed by the Commissioner who examined into it ; see Hobart IICreury, Day It. 1910.

[^54]:     Senior Exereutive ('ouncillon used to atel, as in ('iown Colonies, where the Colonial secretary is accustomed 10 administer.
    ${ }^{2}$ so Mr. Gironard ated in IOIO, When Earl Ciry and the Chief Justice were not a valiable.

[^55]:     bill to legalize the exereise of stathtory powros by a deputy was passed in 1910 by Kouth Justralia, but was reserved.
    ${ }^{2}$ Forsyth, ('ases and Opinions on Comatilutional Latr. f. so.
    ${ }^{3}$ Noreover the Interpretation Acts of the colonies constantly recognize the fact of the admintistration of the (iovermment by persons ippointed other than the Governor. The sepecial grant of power in the case of at federation is due merely to the fart that the Crown conld not constitute a federation withont parliamentary sanction. For a case of a deputy, see 12. v. Amer, 1 Cirt. 78.

[^56]:    

[^57]:    
    
    
     the Fi lerations and Chionf, it is left tharmangement.

[^58]:    
     ${ }^{3}$ e.g. Nir H. Rawson and Nir F. Bedford both received presentations on reliring from oftere and the survie of the former was extended for a year at the reppert of his ministers. Sir T. Cammeharl on hotwing Victeria in 1911 deelined for himedf ad his wife any valuable presents.

[^59]:    
    ${ }^{3}$ (alonial Regulations, chap. iv. (1. Now Zealand I'arl. I'ul., ISxi,
    

[^60]:     which deal with matters (emanating from the . Iceonnts lhepatme of of the
    
     precedence, de.

    F Petitions to the King mast (and very pessibly the (ionemom mipht he lable to suit for disubeving the rule) he sent on with a repert. and all suld pettions ate submitted to the King; relomial Rigulations, No. olf. The
     in the (olony are given in Now. 192 s .
    

[^61]:     －（3rdrod）Pr 4 serp．
    

[^62]:    -1 (comb. Itil.

[^63]:    *3. How. I'. 1. 4m,

[^64]:    ${ }^{1} 1$ lies. Jr. 3ss.

[^65]:    ' B Knapp. 3:32.

[^66]:    

[^67]:    17 1s. 1. 1. Li. Gis. ('t. sullicto
    

[^68]:    ' If. Marrison Moerre, Ad if state in Eiuglish Latr.
    
    

[^69]:    - Chalmers, C'olonial C'urroury, pp. 38 seq.

[^70]:    1. 二. Ipp. ('ins. 11:.
    
    
    
[^71]:    
    
     Momoir of Corrye lliginbutham, 1p. $\$ 1$, , s.2.

[^72]:    
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[^74]:    ${ }^{1}$ ('lark, Australian ('onstitutional Latr, pp. 262 seq .
    ${ }^{2}$ P'arl. I'ap., (․ 1202, pp. $\overline{3} 3$, , it.
    ${ }^{2}$ Op, rit., pp. 2-52-41; cf. Quick and Ciarran. Constitution of ('ommon. werlth, p. 7 HI.

[^75]:    ${ }^{1} 14$ V. L. R. 349.
    ${ }^{3} 14$ V. L. R, 349, at pp. 416,414 .
    ${ }^{3}$ Which probahly hios long since ceased toexivt (even in cextradition catsess
     5 (: L. R. 44J).
    ${ }^{6}$ Williams J., at pp. 413, 414; Holroyd J., at 11. 431, 4:31; a`Beckett J., at p. 435 ; Wrendforsley J., at 1 p. 442,443 .
    © [1891] A. (: $2-2 \times$, on the ground that there was no statutory obligation to accept payment for the (hinese and sen to admit him, and generally that an alien has no right enforeable by action to cuter british territory.
    ${ }^{7}$ Constilution of Commonucalth, p. 391, following lliginbotham ('. J., in 14 V. L. R. 349, at 1. 380 .

[^76]:    
    ${ }^{2}$ Sce I'arl. Pap., II. C. 307, 1860, p. 404) ; C. 83, pp. 33, 191.

[^77]:    - Rex v. Shame, 5 M. d. S. \$03. - 3 (Q. B. 48.
    

[^78]:    ${ }^{1} 28$ St. Tr. int. (f. Camploll, Lietsof the Chief Justiers, iii. 149; Kenny, ('riminal Law, 191. 127, 410 .
    
    

[^79]:    
    
    
    
    

[^80]:    
     granted.as there is no liability of the ('rown; a doetrine followed in Cianala,
    
    ${ }^{3}$ C'f. Harrison Moore. ('ommomuralth of Auspralin, ${ }^{2}$ p. 10.5. There was a Now houth Wialfes rase in 18ti3, a somth dustraliat rase in 1804. and a series in Western Australia from 1897 to 1909.

[^81]:    
    
     afoce, ind is onte sign of the unity of the (roun in the Empire; rf. Hilliams
    
    
    
     it conld have beren refused if asked for at home, I Ihe ement died not take the point of the differing lat of l porerand Lower Cinad: ; inderel the e:ive gexes expressly on the similarity of praction between the two (inndas.

[^82]:    ${ }^{1}$ Whather the prerogative wonld have applied to clams against these Governments without these dets has not beon determined in any ease, but prima facie it womla. There is also a Guebere det regateling leeal petitions of right ; cf. Reg. v. Demers. [1000)] . (. (: 103.
    ${ }^{2}$ lint in theory the King comald griant a fiat for a trial in a colonial court of such a case: cf. Robertson, op. cit., p. 3st. The ('ape and Nital rules of court forbarle suing an Imperial offierer without the sanetion of the eomet
    

[^83]:    ${ }^{1}$ Parliamentary Guzernment in the Cotonise, ed. 1, 1881. He was conscions of the probable criticism (pp, ix, x), but he orerestimat ed similarly the pusition of the ('rown in England, and he did not accept the distinction now soclear between the Crown in the United Kingdom, whieh must always act in advice except in a very narrow sphere, and the dovernor; ef. Lowell, Government of Englane, i. 37-50; Anson, Law of the C'onstitution ${ }^{2}, 11$, i, 37 seq.

[^84]:    ${ }^{1}$ He was appointed Chancellor uf the new l'niversity of gumentand in 1910. and has since been meeasing in work for its advancement.
    ${ }^{2}$ Lord (irey's tonr to Habson Bay is an indieation of one side of a modern Governors a etivity in the interests of his (iowernment and the Dominion. Similarly Lord Dufferin groatly aded his dowerment in their dealiogs with British Colmmbia in eonnexion with the Pacitie Railway by his tuar to the Werst.

[^85]:    ${ }^{1}$ In Noval Seotia the number of the Executive Council is limited to nine by Rerised Statates, 1900, c. 9, r. 1 : and in New Brunswiek by the effeet of the letters patent of November 2, 1s61, to the same number; and in Britisl, Columbia, ly an Act (c. 12) of 1908, to seven (now, by an Let of 19011 , eight). But these are provinces, and there is no parallel now in the case of the States and Dominions, But the memberse need not be in tine Legislature so far as the law is conecrned.
    ${ }^{2} 30$ Vict. c. 3, s. 11 (C'amada); ('onstitution, s. fio ( . Iustralia); ! Edw. VII. c. 9, s. 12 (South Africa). The Executive (ouncils in Ontario and (Quchec are constituted by the British North Amerien Act. contirmed ly the local Acts; by lrovincial Jets in Nusa Scotia, New Branswick, and British Columbiat by the ofd letters putent in Prinee Edward lsland; these in Manitoha, Saskatchewan, and Itherta by the Constitution Aets of 1500 and 1905 of ('anada, and by local A.ts. Siece p. 633.
    "'f. the instructions of Way $4,18.5$. The form is musla the same in the still older instruetions, e. g. those to Lard sydenham of Lugust 30, 1sin
    

[^86]:    ${ }^{1}$ Parliamentary Gorcrnment in the Rritish rellomifs, dr:p. i. I'f. (ilatd-
     in 1873 : s.e P'arl. P'up., Н. ('. 346, 1873, pp). 7.8.
    ${ }^{2}$ See Morris. Memoir of C'orge Miginbotham. II'. Dof self : Quick amd Atran, (omstifution of f'ommommealth. 11. 394 : serf
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     tumal Late, 111. 6:i .
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[^90]:    ${ }^{1}$ Parl. Pap., C. 244J. ${ }^{2}$ Canada Sess. P'ap., 1900, No. 1 14.
    ${ }^{3}$ House of Lords, March 26, 1849; Mansarl, ciii, 126:-89.

    - House of Commons, March 20, 1866; Hansard, clexsxi. 6:21 ; exci. 1976 ,

[^91]:    
    ${ }^{2}$. A Governur cannot dissolve except onadvice, if for no other reason than that he could not without adviee arrange the misehinery for ageneralecection.

[^92]:    ${ }^{1}$ Legislative Assembly Jmurnuls, 1406-7. i. 179. 184-93.
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[^94]:    ${ }^{1}$ Tasmania Legiv/atiof Council Jourmals. 1859. No. fifi: Rusden. op. cit.. iii. 481 .
    ${ }^{2}$ Sonth Australia Leginlutive Commril Jomrmals. 1871, p. (6.5: Howst of Assmbly Jomrnals. 1871, pp. 23i, 2:37.
     iii. 38 serg. He retired in 1876 om his appointment as Igent. Femeral, and Was surcereded ly Major It kinson.

[^95]:    ${ }^{1}$ Soe Quick and Ciarian, Constitulion of Commonucallh, 1. 46.4; South

[^96]:    ${ }^{2}$ Commonweralth P'urliementury Dibates, 190:! p. 2.27. This is a cade of special interest as it is rey possible that a dissolution would have meant the return of the (iovernment; cf. Iurner, op. cit., p. $2: 21$.

[^97]:    1 House of Assembly Debates, 1006, Nesis. 2. pp. nizt wett.

    * I'arliamentary Debates, c. 173.5 seq.: ci. 38 sey. ; helow, pp. is? seq.
     s.f. 606. 64, seq. 716, 717, 767. 801
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[^100]:    
    

[^101]:    

    - New Zonland Parl. Pap., 1879, A. 1 and $\because$. But in 1 sti9 the ( avernom motede apmointments lo the fommeil, both during and after a dehate an at rote of mo contidence. witich was catriod.

    Sen Zealand Cozette, Junc :2!, 1878.
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[^103]:    
    
    
    

[^104]:    
    
    
    
    

[^105]:    
    
    
    -"art. I'ap, Ilay and Jume $\overline{3}$, 1019.

[^106]:    the question un merting in hugust. fint the (iowemor-feneral simplys. dexpite proteste. proregned the Honse of commons-as it had been underfoond that the meoting was to be purely formal, and the fievernment: rupporters were in many cases absent. But the Royal Commission's report was conclusive.
    ${ }^{1}$ ('f. Nir . . (iombon's views on the daty of a Ciumemor in l'arl. P'ap.,
    
    ${ }^{2}$ Parl. P'ap., ( $2.244 \overline{5}$ pp. 102, 103.

[^107]:    

[^108]:    ${ }^{1}$ ('anada Sess. Pap., igov, No. 174.

[^109]:    - See C'anndian Gazette, xviii, 4, 9. 81, 97, 289, 296, 300, 322. 324, 393. 4\%1. 513, 56.5, 584, 588; Canada Sicss. Pap., 1891, No. 86 : 1892. No. 88.
    = ('f. Prorincial Lagivlation, 1867-95, p. 450; in 1910) there was only at formal session in Saskatchewan.
    ${ }^{3}$ See Canadiar Annual Reviel!, 1903, pp. 213 eqq.

[^110]:    ' P'url. P'up, ('. 2173. p. 117.

[^111]:    

[^112]:    

[^113]:    ' Parl. P'ap., H. C. 157, 186\%, p. 5).
    

[^114]:    
    
    ${ }^{3}$ This. . . Is with cases of essential expenditure when lialiament is
    

[^115]:    - The Linion Interpretation Aet, No. bo of 1910.similarlydefines GovernorGeneral to mean in all cases Covernor-General in Cimeil. This is wh incontenient definition, but follons Ciak Aet Nu. zu of $188: 3$.

[^116]:    

[^117]:    Law Quarterly Retion, xviii, 152-8: xix, 23u
    Lane of the C'mantitetion,' p. 833.

[^118]:    ${ }^{1}+$ U. B. 2.5 ; f Q. B. I.
    
    

[^119]:    

[^120]:    - P'arl. Pap., ('1. 43:28. p. 2!!.
    
    
    (1) 112:3. p. 14.
    ${ }^{5}$ (1! (101) IU She 1 , $11 \%$.
    
    - 10 Sheil, 36!

[^121]:    （1：44）1：3（：T．R．I：3：
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[^122]:    

[^123]:    'see e.g. fommonwealth Ietters liatent, chanse $i$; forernor-fieneral's fommission. chase ii .
    

[^124]:    'e.g. in New South Wiales during Mr. Wade's Ministry, 190-10.
    ${ }^{2}$ e.g. in Newfoundland. No in New Zealand the Ittorney-General, not the Minister of Justice, rertifies. In C'andela, for some maknown reasm,
     "hich must legatly be reserved. but that appliex to New Zabland also.

[^125]:    1 The history of Nit leorge lirey in Sonth Ifrieathefore re-pmsibler gusernment, and in New Zeatand lefore and after responsible government. is instructive.

[^126]:    'Nore Parl. Pap.. ('d. 1102 . In New Zealand during the native war uf lstio: Th sporadie rerpests were made by individuals and bextios in the fohny lor the reveration of colonial self gewernment. Int maturally in vilin.
    

[^127]:    
    ${ }^{2}$ see Appendix No. I of Cd. 290.5. Thix virmbar was an outcome of the Ithainat dinturbuntu.

[^128]:     313.
    
     axc. 113-5.

[^129]:    
    
    ${ }^{2}$ New Zealand P'arl. Pap., In!!1. 1. 1. p. 7.
     nots receive ministerial help and atviee, thomgh, strictly reaking, there mathers are not whin the sphere of ministerial acheity.

    - Created in 188.5 , organi\%ed in Is! 1 under Order in Comncil, May $!$.
    ${ }^{5}$ Formerly a pasi-protecomate of the south. Ifrican Republic; attached to High rommissio. r ly Order in Cimmeil. Decromber I, 1906 .
    
    

[^130]:    
    
    

[^131]:    
    
    
     l'nion.

[^132]:    ${ }^{1}$ Rerised statufes, 1000, e. 9, к. 1. The uumber in New Brunswick (Rer. Stat., 1903, e. 10) is not limited, but the old limit by the letters patent of 1861 was nine, and until changed it is binding. It is mulimited in any other province, though in all some persons are ex officio members; see Ontario
     e. 59 : Saskatchewan Act 1906. с. 3: Alberta Act 1909 , с. 6 . There is no limit of numbers in I'rince Edward Island ; Here was none before 1873 in the letters patemt. and the ermstitulion is bol rhanged by . Acts so far in this regarel.
    ${ }^{2}$ Act 190 s, e. 12.

    1. Ind four must.
[^133]:    
    z Rerised simtufes, 19世\%, ․ 11, к. 9.

    - Bourinot, C'onstitution of C'unade. p12. 184. 18 J.

[^134]:    
    

[^135]:    

    - Hind. Fיhmary fo. 1880

[^136]:    ${ }^{1}$ Ste the Fir. Annnal Ruport of the serretary of state for External Atairs, Canada Neas. Pap., 1910 No. 2915. De fucto the Prime Minister is bery much the Ministor for External Iffair*.
    

[^137]:    
     Kingdom.

    2 Rore Shst. Ithn). 1. !!.

[^138]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Harrison Moore, Commonuralth of australim, ip 1ill serf.; (ommonweath Oficial Your Book. 1901-8, p, 970.

[^139]:    
    
    

[^140]:     1:3:4

[^141]:    ${ }^{1}$ It was a continuation of an administration formed in 18.78 in the United Provinces which lasted, with a break in 186 Pope, Nir Jolin Macdonald, and Willison, Nir W'ilfrid Laurier, for the political history of Cianadian parties down to 190 :2.

    2 The views of Quebee since seem again to have changed slightly in view of the naval policy of the (iovermment, which is unpopular, as shown by the defeat of the Government eandidate in the Drummond and Aethabaska division clection in 1910. ('f. Parl. P'ap.. ('d. 5ï82, 1). 38.
    ${ }^{3}$ Cf. Canadian A mmual Revicu, 1008. pp. 306 serf. Matphail, E'says in Politics, pp. 16.4 seq. . Inclection is now to be held on the reciprocity issue.

[^142]:    - Eyen in Nowa Kootia the Conservatives gained seats in I! 11.
    ${ }^{2}$ It has heen liberal sinee 1897 , but the 1908 election was a hoch:
     I)ominion (envermment is Liberal the prowineses tend tobe (enswervatives and
    
    - I'arliamemary Inchatio, 1904. p. 1:3:3: Lurner. . A ustrulien ('ummenurallh. 119. 73 ser.

[^143]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Sydney wheates it. and it is more or lese of a plank in the Labour parts a programme: ef. Wilkre. Austrulavian It merreac!,
    
     cauchs syotem of deciding on mininters and puliey a prantice momewhat vehemently resionted bey their epponents. hint one whil it is diftion! to
     the extraordinary inflamere in Vietoriat of the hate Mr. Batsid seme, proprietor of the Age. who was admittedly consulted, and in many canes obeyed, by practically every Victorian Ministry as is proved bee ond donlt by his Liff. Elective Federal ministers were deemed necesary, becanse of the curious character of the L'pper Hemse in Anstratia, by Sirs. Griffith, sir R. Bilker, and others ; see (Snick and darran, Comstifution if Commenwealth, pp. 708.704 .

[^144]:    ' New Zealand Parl. Pap. 1878, A. 1. p. 3.
    ${ }^{2}$ Parl. Pap., C. 2445.

[^145]:    
    
     would not work harmeniments; in there of the "anm of the (immonnedth
     1873 resigned beferv an adverse votr, which was rertain, rould anthang lx. passed (I'ope, ii. 184 sect.).

[^146]:    ${ }^{1}$ Nouth Africk, iii. 347.
    ${ }^{2}$ (f. Baker, Constitution of south Australiu, p. xxv.
    ${ }^{3}$ Popn, Sir John Macdonadd, i. 336.

[^147]:    ' Parliame ary Debates. 1tms. p. 27ani.
    ${ }^{2}$ For casess of the (iovernors absence. df. New Keatand Interpirfationt
     In the famous decision of the Fxemtive conneil of New Somth Wiates to scize the wire netting cletained by the ('ommonweath (instoms, the Lientenant-Governor was present. vice the (iovernor, who was ill. In the (olonies of Sonth . Wrica the (iovernor was also rexpected to preside and often did so, and sometimes. as in lione in the case of the felselion in Niatal, the Council with the (ioscruor athed ats a Cobinet for proposes of
     Io insist on this in the (ape wats in great meatane prevented hy sit i.
    

[^148]:    1 Nee Lord Carnarvon s di-pilteh to Nir (i. Bowen, November 201 , 1 s6i, in
    
    ${ }^{2}$ Parl. I'ıp., ('. : -14.5 .
    
    

[^149]:    ${ }^{1}$ ('f. Lord Crewe in House of Lords, July :2, 1, 1910. vi, 406-1: ; Homse
    
    ${ }^{2}$ Seethereport of the Victoria Commission on Nir'T. Bent's illegal expendi-
    
    ${ }^{3}$ ('f. New Nouth Wales Legislatiec Assembly Journals, I859-60, i. 1131; I'ırl. I'rf.. C'. 3:38:- p. : 268.
     Ni, \% \% altunl. iii. 44ti.

[^150]:    ' New Zapland Parl. Pup, 1xī, A. 1 ; Ciazette, Junc 21, 1878.

[^151]:    ${ }^{1}$ See above, chap. wi.
    ${ }^{3}$ Eivning Herald. May 13. 18:7
    ${ }^{2}$ Molteno, sir Juhn Mullenu. ii. 4.

    - See Parl. Pap. I'd. 3-6io.
    ${ }^{5}$ In such cireumstances the (iovernor's private infiuence could always the properly exoreised. It is a tixed rule in England not tonse wiolemt terma
     rahuness.

[^152]:    ${ }^{1}$ New Zatand Parl. Pap.. 1970, Susw, 2, D. 3. ${ }^{2}$ Parl. Pap., C. 2594.

[^153]:    ${ }^{1}$ Lord stratheonats premonal ramk an a peer maturally satisfied for long the desires of Canada. ${ }^{2}$ Parl. Pap., (C. 6006 (1890).
    

[^154]:     Commisisumer may of course be technically a member of the Executive Council (as in Canada, the Commonwealth, Victoria, amd Tasmania), but be canmot be a member of the C'ilsimet.
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