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## PREFATORY NOTE.

Tue title-page sufficiently sets forth the end this litule book is intended to serve.

For convenience' sake I have arranged in alphabetical order the suljects treated of, and for economy's sake I have kept in mind that "he that uses many words for the explaining of any subject doth, like the cutte-fish, hide himself in his own ink."

The curious inquirer who sets himself to look for the learning in the book is advised that he will best find it 111 such works as Gcorge P. Marsh's "Lectures on the English Language," Fitzedward Hall's "Recent Exemplifications of False Philology" and "Modern English," Richard Grant White's "Words and Their Uses," i.dward S. Gould's "(;ood Engli.h," William Mathews' "Words: their Use and Abuse," Dean Alford's "The Queen's English," George Washington

Moon's "Bad English" and "The Dean's English," Blank's "Vulgarisms and Other Errors of Speech," Alexander Bain's "English Composition and R.hetoric," Bain's "Higher English Grammar," Bain's "Composition Grammar," Quackenbos' "Composition and Rhetoric," John Nichol's "English Composition," William Cobbett's "English Grammar," Peter Bullions "English Grammar," Goold Brown's "Gramma: of English Grammars," Graham's "English Synonymes," Crabb's "English Synonymes," Bigclow's "Hand-book of Punctuation," and other kindred works.

Suggestions and criticisms are solicited, with the view of profiting by them in future editions.

If "The Verbalist" receive as kindly a welcome as its companion volume, "The Ortho"- pist," has received, I shall be content.
A. A.

New Yors, Uctober, 1882.

Findibw fine worls as you would rouge.--Hare.
Cunt is properly a double distilled lie; the second power of a lie.-Carlyle.

If a gentleman be to study any language, it ought to bo that of his own conntry. -Locke.

In langnage the unknown is generally taken for the mag. nificent. - Rumahd Grant White.

He who has a superlative for everything, wants a measure for the great or small. -Lavater.

Inaccurate writing is generally the expression of inaccurate thinking. - Richabd Grant Wirte.

To acquire a few tongues is the labor of a few years; but to be eloquent in one is the labor of a life.-Anonymous.

Worls and thoughts are so inseparably connected that an artist in words is necessarily an artist in thoughts.-Wilson Flaga.

It is an invariable maxin that worls which add nothing to the sensei or to the clearness must diminish the force of the expression. - Campielen

Propricty of thought and propricty of dietion are commonly found together. Obseurity of expression generally spriugs from confusion of ileas. - Macaulay.

He who writes badly thinks badly. Confusedness in words can proceed from nothing but confuseduess in the thoughts which give rise to them. - CobBertr,

## THE VERTATIST.

A-An. The second form of the indefinite article is used for the sake of euphony only. Herein everyboly agrees, han what everybody does not agree in is, that it is euphomion.s in use an before a word leginning with an aspinated $h$, when the: accented syllable of the word is the second. For myselt, $s$, long as I continue to aspirate the $h$ 's in such words as heroi., harangue, and historical, I shall comtinue to use a before them; and when I adopt the Cockney mote of promomeing such words, then I shall use an before them. To my ear it is just as euphonious to say, "I will crop off from the top of his young twigs a tender one, and will plant it upon an high mountain and eminent," as it is to say an harangue, an heroic, or an historical. $A n$ is well enough before the doubtful British aspiration, but hefore the distinet American aspiration it is wholly out of place. The reply will perhaps be, "But these $h$ 's are silent; the change of accent from the first syllable to the second neutralizes their aspiration." However true this may be in England, it is not at all true in Amerien; hence we Americans should use $a$ and not an before sneh $l$ 's until we decide to ape the Cockney mode of pronouncing them.

Errors are not unfrequently made by omitting to repeat the article in a sentence. It should always be repeated when a noun or an adjective referring to a distinct thing is introduced; take, for example, the sentence, "He has a black and
white horse." If two horses ure meant, it is clear that it should be, " He has a black and "white horse." See Tus.

Ability Caיpacity. The distinctions between these two words are not always olserved ly those who use them. "Cifucity is the power of receiving and retaining knowlenge with facility; ubility is the power of applying kmowledge to proctical pupposes. Both these faculties are requisite to form a great chatacter : capacity to conceive, and ability to exeente designs. Capacity is shown in quickness of apprehension. Ability supposes something done; somothing by which the mental power is exereised in executing, or performing, what has been perceived by the capacity."-(inaham:a " Einglish Synonymes."

Abortive. An outlanlish use of this worl may be occasionally mist with, especially in the newspapers. "A lad was yesterday canght in the act of abotively appropriating a pair of shoes." That is abortive that is untiniely, that has not been borne its full time, that is immature. We often hear abortion t:sed in the sense of faihure, but never by those that study to express themselves in chaste English.

Above. There is little authority for using this word as an adjective. Instead of, "the above statement," say "the foregoing statement." Above is also used very inelegantly for more than; as, "above a mile," "above a thousand"; also, for beyond; as, "above his strength."

Accident. Sce Casualty.
Accord. "He [the Secretary of the Treasury] was shown through the building, and the information he desired was accorded him."-Reporters' English.
"The heroes prayed, and Pallas from the skies
Accords their vow."-Pope.
The goddess of wisdom, when she granted the prayers of her vorshippers, may be said to have accorded; not so, however,
when the slerks of our Sub-'Treasury answer the inquinies of their chief.

Accuse. See Bhame it on.
Acquaintance. Sce Fravio.
Ad. This ah'ro viation for the word advertisement is very justly considered a gross vulgarism. It is doubtful whether it is permissible tador any circmustances.

Adapt-Dramatize. In speaking and in writing of stage matters, these words are often misnsed. To mehtit a play is to molify its construction with the view of improving its form for representation. Plays transhated from one language into another are usually more or less adupted; i.e., altered to suit the taste of the pmilic before which the trans. lation is to be represented. To dromillize is to chancre the form of a story from the maratise the iramatic; i.e., to make a drama out of a story. In the first instance, the product of the playwright's labor is called an alentution; in the second, a dromutization.

Adjectives. "Very often aljectives stand where aldverbs might be expected; as, 'drink deep,' 'this looks atrange,' 'standing erect.'
"We have aso examples of one adjective qualifying another aljective; as, 'uide open,' 'red hot,' ' the pule blue sky.' Sometines the corresponding adverb is used, but with a different meaning; as, 'I fomm the way easy-petsily'; 'it appears clem-elearly.' Although there is a propriety in the employment of the adjective in certain instances, yet such forms as 'inetifiorent well,' 'eatrone bad,' are grammatical errors. 'He was intervegated relutive to that circumstance,' should be relutirely, or in reition to. It is not musual to say, 'I would have done it indrpendent of that circumstance,' but indepentent!! is the proper construction.
"The employment of adjectives for adverbs is accounted for by the following considerations:
"(1.) In the elassical languages the neuter adjective may be used as an adverb, and the analogy would appear to have been extended to English.
"(2.) In the oldest English the adverb was regularly formed from the adjective by addling ' $e$,' as 'soft, softe,' and the dropping of the ' $e$ ' left the adverb in the adjective form; thins, 'clane,' adverb, became 'clean,' and appears in the phrase 'clean gone'; 'fieste, fast,' 'to stick fast.' By a false analogy, many adjectives that never formed adverbs in -e were freely used as adverbs in the age of Elizabeth: 'Thou didst it excellent,' 'equal (for equally) good,' 'excellent well.' This gives precedent for such errors as those mentioned above.
"(3.) There are cases where the subject is qualified rather than the verb, as with verbs of incomplete predication, 'being,' 'seeming,' 'arriving,' etc. In 'the matter seems clear,' ' clear' is part of the predicate of 'matter.' 'They arrived 'safe': 'safe' does not qualify 'arrived,' but goes with it to complete the predicate. So, 'he sat silent,' 'he stool firm.' 'It comes beautiful' and 'it comes beautifully' have different meanings. This explanation applies especially to the use of participles as adverbs, as in Southey's lines on Lodore; the participial epithets appliel there, although appearing to modify 'came,' are really additional predications about 'the water,' in elecantly shortened form. 'The church stood g'eaming through the trees': 'gleaming' is a shortened predicate of 'church'; and the full form would be, 'the church stood and gleamed.' The parciciple retains its force as such, while acting the part of a coördinating adjective, complement to 'stood'; 'stood gleaming' is little more than 'gleaned.' The feeling of adverbial force in 'gleaming' arises from the subords:ate parti-
cipial form joined with a verb, 'stood,' that seems capable of predicating by itself. 'Passing strange' is elliptical: 'passing (surpassing) what is strange.' "-Bain.
"The comparative adjectives wiser, better, larger, etc., and the contrasting aljectives diferent, other, ete., are often so placed as to render the construction of the sentence awkward; as, 'That is a much betier statement of the case than yours,' instead of 'That statement of the case is much beller than yours'; 'Yours is a larger plot of ground than John's,' instead of, 'Your plot of ground is larger than John's'; 'This is a different course of proceeding from what I expected,' instead of, 'This course of proceeding is different from what I expected '; 'I could take no other method of silencing him than the one I took,' instead of, 'I could take no method of silencing him other than the one I took.'"-Gould's "Good English," p. 69.

Administer. "Carson died from blows administered by policeman Johnson."-"New York Times." If policeman Johnson was as barbarous as is this use of the verb to administer, it is to be hoped that he was hanged. Governments, oaths, medicine, affairs-such as the affairs of the state-are administered, but not blows: they are dealt.

Adont. $\mathrm{Th}_{\mathrm{i}}$ word is often used instead of to clecide upon, and of to tak"; thas, "The measures alopted [by Parliament], as the result of this inquiry, will be prodictive of good." Better, "The measures deciled upon," cte. Instead of, "What course shall you wiopt to get your pay?" say, "What course shall you twe," etc. Adopt is properly used in a sentence like this: "The course (or measures) proposed ly Mr. Blank was adopted by the committee." That is, what was Blank's was alopted by the committee-a correct use of the word, as to adopl, means, to assume as one's cown. Adopt is sometimes so misused that its meaning is inverted.
"Wanted to adopt," in the heading of advertisements, not unfrefuently is intended to mean that the advertiser wishes to be relieved of the care of a child, not that he wishes to assume the care of one.

Aggravate. This word is often used when the speaker means to provoke, irritate, or anger. This, "It affiravates [provokes] me to be continually found fault with"; "He is easily ayyranaterl [irritated]." To ay,fravate means to make worse, to heighten. We therefore very properly speak of agfravating circumstances. To say of a person that he is agyravated is as incorrect as to say that he is pallictect.

Agriculturist. This word is to be preierred to agriculturulist. See Conversationist.

Alike. This worl is often most bunglingly coupled with both. Thus, "These bonnets are both alike," or, worso still, if possible, "both just alike." This reminds one of the story of Sam and Jem, who were very like each other, especially Sam.

All. Sce Universal.
All over. "The disease spread all over the country." It is more logical and more emphatic to say, "The disease spread over all the eonntry."

Allegory. An claboratel metaphor is called an allegor!!; both are figurative representations, the worts used signifying something beyond their literal meaning. Thus, in the eightieth Psalm, the Jews are represented under the symbol of a vine:
"Thou hast brought a vine out of Fgypt: thou hast east ont the heathen, and plantel it. Thon preparedst room before it, and didst cause it to take deep root, and it filled the land. The hills were covered with the shadow of it, and the boughs thereof were like the goodly cedars. She sent out her boughs unto the sea, and her branches unto the river.

Why hast thou then broken down her hedges, so that all they which pass by the way lo pluck her? The boar ont of the wool doth waste it, and the wild beast of the fich doth devour it."

An allegory is sometimes so extended that it makes a volume; as in the case of Swift's "Tale of a Tub," Arbuthnot's "John Bull," Bunyan's "Pilgrim's I'rogress," etc. Fables and parables are short allegories.

Allow. This word is frequently misusel in the West and South, where it is male to do service for essert or to be of opinion. Thus, "He allows that he has the finest horse in the country."

Allude. The treatment this word has receivel is to be specially regretted, as its misuse has wellnigh robbed it of its true meaning, wich is, to intimate delicately, to refer to withont mentioning directly. Allude is now very rarely used in ary other sense than that of to speak of, to mention, to nune, which is a long way from being its legitimate signification. |This degradation is donbtless a direct outcome of untutored desire to be fine and to use big words.

Alone. This word is often improperly used for only. That is alone which is maccompanied ; that is only of whict. there is no other. "Virtue alone makes us happy," means that virtue unaided suffices to make us happy; "Virtue only makes us happs," means that nothing else can do it-that that, and that only (not alone), can do it. "This means of communication is employed by man alone." Dr. Quackenbos should have written, "By man on'y." See also Only.

Amateur-Novice. There is much confusion in the use of these two worls, although they are entirely distinct from each other in meaning. An amateur is one versed in, or a lover and practicer of, any particular pursuit, art, or science, hut not engaged in it professinnally. A novic is one who is
new or inexperienced in any art or business--a beginner, a tyro. A professional actor, then, who is new and unskilled in his art, is a novice and not an cematerr. An anateur may ba an artist of great experience and extraordinary skill.

Ancliorate. "The health of the Empress of Germany is greatly amsliorated." Why not say improced?

Among. See Berween.
Amount of Perfection. The observant reader of periodical literature often notes forms of expression which are perhaps best characterized by the word bizarre. Of these queer locutions, amount of perfection is a very good example. Mr. G. F. Watts, in the "Nineteenth Century," says, "An amount of perjection has been reached which I was by no means prepared for." What Mr. Watts meant to say was, doubtless, that a degree of excellence had been reached. There are not a few who, in their prepossession for everything transatlantic, seem to be of opinion that the English language is generally better written in England than it is in America. Those who think so are counselled to examine the diction of some of the most noter English crities and essayists, beginning, if they will, with Matthew Arnold.

And. Few vulgarisms are more common than the use of and for to. Examples: "Come and see me before you go": "Try and do what you can for him"; "Go anc see your brother, if you can." In such sentences as these, the proper participle to use is clearly to and not and.

And is sometines improperly used instead of or; thus, "It is obvious that a langmage like the Greek and Latin" (langnage?), etc., should be, "a language like the Greek ni" the Latin" (language), etc. There is no such thing as a Greet: und Latin language.

Answer-Reply. These two words should not be used indiscriminately. An answer is given to a question; a reply,
to an assertion. When we are addressed, we answer; when we are accused, we reply. We answer letters, and reply to any arguraents, statements, or accusations they may contain. Crabb is in error in saying that replies "are used in personal discourse only." Replies, as well as answers, are written. We very properly write, "I have now, I believe, answerer" your questions and replied to all your arguments." A rejoinder is made to a repl!. "Who goes there?" he cried; and, receiving no answer, he fired. "The advocate replied to the charges made against his elient."

Anticipate. Lovers of big words have a fondness for making this verb do duty for expect. Anticipate is derived from two Latin words meaning before and to take, and, when properly used, means, to ta. , beforehand ; to go before so as to preclude another; to get the start or ahead of ; to enjoy, possess, or suffer, in expectation ; to foretaste. It is, therefore, misused in such sentences as, "Her death is hourly anticipated"; "By this means it is anticipated that the time from Europe will be lessened two days."

Antithesis. A phrase that opposes contrarics is called. an antithesis.
"I see a chief who leads my chosen sons, All armed with points, antitheses, and puns."
The following are examples:
" Though gentle, yet not dull; Strong, without rage; without o'erflowing, full."
" Contrasted faults through all their manners reign ;
Though poor, luxurious; though submissive, vain; Though grave, yet trifling; zealous, yet untrue; And e'en in penance planning sins anew."
The following is an excellent example of personification and antithesis combined:
" Tralent convinces; Genius but excites: That tasks the reason; this the sonl delights. Talent from sober judgment takes its birth, And reconciles the pinion to the earth; Genius unsettles with desires the mind, Contented not till earth be left behind."

In the following extract from Johnson's "Life of Pope," andividual peculiarities are contrasted by means of antitheses:
"Of genius-that power which constitutes a poet; that quality without which judgment is cold, and knowledge is inert; that energy which collects, combines, ampliics, and animates--the superiority must, with some hesitation, bo allowed to Dryden. It is not to be inferred that of this poetical vigor l'ope had only a little, because Dryden had more; for every other writer, since Milton, must give place to Pope; and even of Dryden it must be said that, if he has brighter paragraphs, he has not better poems. Dryden's performances were always hasty, either excited by some ext rna! oceasion gr extorted by domestic necessity; he compol without consideration and published without correction. What his mind could supply at call or gather in one excursion was all that he sought and all that he gave. The dilatory caution of Pope enabled him to condense his scutiments, to multiply his images, and to accumulate all that study might produce or chance might supply. If the flights of Dryilen, therefore, are higher, Pope continues longer on the wing. If of Dryden's fire the blaze is brighter, of Pope's the heat is more regular and constant. Dryden often surpasses expectation, and Pope never falls helow it. Dryden is read with frequent astonishment, aud Pope with .perpetual delight. Dryden's page is a natural field, rising into incerualities, and diversified by the variel exuberance of mbuudant vegetation;

Pope's is a velvet lawn, shaven by the seythe, and leveled by the roller.".

There are forms of antithesis in which the contrast is only of a secondary kind.

Any. This wori is sometimes made to do service for at a'l. We say properly, "She is not any better"; but we ean not properly say, "she does not see $a n y$," meaning that she is blind.

Ansbody else. "Public School Teachers are informed that amybody clse's is correct."-"New York Times," Sumday, July 31, 1SSI. An English writer says: "In such phrases as anybody else, and the like, plse is often put in the possessive case; as, 'anyboly else's servant'; and some grammarians defend this use of the possessive case, arguing that somebod!/ else is a compound noun." It is better gramnar and more euphonious to consider else as being an aljective, and to form the possessive by adding the apostrophe and $s$ to the word that else qualifics; thus, auyboly's else, nobody's else, somebody's clse.

Anyhow. "An excee lingly vulgar phrase," says Professor Mathews, in his "Words: Cheir Use and Abuse." "Its use, in any mamer, by one who professes to write and speak the English tongue with purity, is mpardomahle." Professor Mathews seems to have a special dislike for this colloquialism. It is recognized by the lexicographers, and I think is generally accountel, even by the carcful, permissible in conversation, though incompatible with diguified diction.

Anxiety of Mind. See Lquanimity of Mind.
Apostrophe. Turning from the person or persons to whom a discourse is addressed and appaaling to some person or thing absent, constitutes what, in rhetoric, is called the apoatrophe. The following are some examples:

## "O gentle sleep,

Nature's soft nurse, how have I frighted thee, That thou no more wilt weigh my eyelids down;
And steep my senses in forgetfulness?"
" Sail on, thou lone imperial bird
Of quenchless cye and tireless wing!"
"Help, angels, make assay!
Bow, stubborn knees! and heart with strings of steei,
Be soft as sinews of the new-bom babe:
All may yet be well!"
Appeac. Sce Sema.
Appreciate. If any word in the language has cause to romplain of ill-treatment, this one has. Appreciete means, to estimate justly-to set the true value on men or things, their wortl, beauty, or advantages of any sort whatsoever. Thus, an overestimate is no more appreciation than is an underestimate; hence it follows that such expressions as, "I appreciate it, or her, or him, highly," ean not be eorrect. We value, or prize, things highly, not a,preciate them highly. This word is also very improperly made to do service for rise, ow increase, in value; thus, "Land appreciutes rapidly in the W'est." Dr. L. T. Townsend blunders in the use of appreriate in his " Art of Speech," vol. i, p. 142, thus: "The iawx of harmony . . . may allow copiousness . . . in parts of a discourse . . . in orler that the condensation of other parts may be the more highly arpreciated."

Apprehend-Comprehend. The English often use the first of these two worls where we use the second. Both express an effort of the thinking faculty; but to apprehend is simply to take an idoa into the mind-it is the mind's first effort-while to comprehend is fully to understumd. We are dull or quick of apprehension. Children apprehend much that they do not compreheml. Trench says: "We apprehend many

## tr

truths which wo do not compreheme." "Apprehend," says Crabb, "expresses the we akest kind of belief, the having [of] the least idea of the presence oi a thing."

Apt. Often misusel for likely, and sonetimos for liable. "What is he apt to be doing?" "Where shall I be apt to find hin?" "If properly directed, it will be apt to reach me." In such sentences as these, likely is the proper worl to use. "If you go thero, you will be apt to get into tronble." Here either likely or liable is the proper word, according to the thought the speaker wonld convey.

Arctics. See Rubbers.
Artist. Of late years this word has been appropriated by the members of so many crufts, that it has wellnigh been despoiled of its meaning. Your cook, your barber, your tailor, your boot-maker, and so on to satiety, are all artists. Painters, sculptors, architects, actors, and singers, nowadays, generally prefer being thus called, rather than to be spoken of as artists.

As. "Not as I know": read, "not that I know." "This is not as good as the last" : read, "not so good." "It may be complete so far as the specification is concerned": correctly, "as far as."

As, preceded by such or by same, has the force of a relative applying to persons or to things. "He offered me the same conditions $a$ s he offered yon." "The same condition, thut" wouhd be equally proper. See, also, Like.

Ascribe. See Impute.
At. Things are sold $b y$, not $a t$, auction. "The scens is more beautiful at night than by day": say, "by night."

At all. "It is not strange, for my mole is liing of Denmwk." Had Shakespeare written, "It is not at all strange," it is clear that his diction would have been much less forcible. "I do not wish for any at all"; "I saw no one
ut all": "If he had any desire at all to see me, he would come where 1 am." The at all in sentences like these is superfaous. Yet there are instances in which the phrase is ecrtainly a very convenient one, and secus to be unobjectionable. It is much nsed, and by gond writers.

At best. Instend of at best and at worst, we should say at the best and at the worst.

A! $\ddagger$ last. See At lengtif.
At least. This adverbial phrase is often misplaced. "'The Romans understood liberty at least as well as we.' This must be interpretel to me:m, 'The Romans understood liberty as well as we understand liherty.' The intended meaning is, 'that whatever things the Romans failed to understand, they understood libert!., 'To express this meaning we might put it thus: 'The Romms understood at least liberty as well as we do'; liberty, at lenst, the Romans understood as well as we do.' 'A tear, at leasl, is due to the unhappy '; 'at least a tear is lae to the unhrppy'; 'a tear is due at lecst to the unhappy'; ' $a$ tear is due to the unhappy at least'-all express different meanings. 'This can not, often ab least, be dono'; 'this can not be done otten, at least.' (1. ' It often happens that this can not, be done.' 2. 'It does not often happen that this ean be done.') So, 'man is ahcays capable of laughing'; 'man is eapable of langhing always.' " -Bain.
'At length. This phrase is often used instead of at last. "At lenyth. we minared to get away": read, "at last." "At learyth wis heard from him." To hear from any one at length is to hear fully; i. e., in detail.

Authoress. With regard to the use of this and certain other words of like formation, Mr. Gould, in his "Good Englisl," says: "Poet means simply a person who writes poetry ; and audior, in the sense under consideration, a person
who writes poetry or prose-not a mall who writes, but a person who writes. Nothing in cither word indicates sex; and everyboly knows that the functions of both poets and anthors wre common to both sexes. Hence, authoress and poetess are superfluons. And they are supertiuous, also, in another respect-that they are very ramely used, indeed they hardly can be usel, independently of the name of the writer, as Mrs., or Miss, or a female Cluristian name. They are, besides, philological absurdities, bounts they are fabicicated on the false assumption that their primules indicate men. They are, moreover, liable to the clatege of affectation and prettine is, to say nothing of pelimitic pretension to accuracy.
"If the ess is to be permitted, there is mo reason for excluding it from any nom that indicates a person; and the next editions of our dictionaries may be made complete by the addition of woitiess, officeress, m whigeress, shperintend. entes:, secretaryess, trecurnereses, walkeress, tallieress, and so on to the end of the vocabulary."

Avocation. See Vocation
Bad cold. Inasmuch as colds are never gond, why say a bad coll? We may talk about slight colds and severe colds, but not about bud colds.

Baggage. Sce Luggace.
Dalanco. This word is very frequently and very erroneonsly used in the sense of rest, remainder. It properly means the props of one thing orer another, and in this sense and in no ot wer should it be usel. Hence it is improper to tith about the batence of the celition, of the evening, of the money, of the toasts, of the men, cte. In such cases we should say the rest or the remainder.

Barbarism. Defined as an offence against good usage, hy the use of an impoper word, i.e., a word that is anti-
quated or improperly formed. Preventative, enthuse, agriculturalist, donate, etc., atre burbuisms. See also Sorecism.

Basn to. We not unfrequently hear a superfluous to tacked to a sentence; thas, "Where have you been to?"

Deg. We often see letters begin with the worls, "I leg to acknowlelge the receipt of your favor," ete. We should write, "I lefg leure to acknowledge," ete. No one would saly, "I beg to tell yon," instend of, "I beg leave to tell you."

Begin - Commence. These words have the same meaning; carcful speakers, however, gencrally prefer to use the former. Indeed, there is rarely any good reason for giving the preference to the latter. See also Commence.

Being built. Sec Is men: bumt.
Belongines. An old idiomatic expression now coming into use again.

Beside-Besides. In the later mabridged editions of Webster's dietionary we find the following remarks coneerning the use of theme two words: "Beside and bexites, whether used as prepositions or adrerls, have heen considered synonymons from an early period of our literature, and have been freely interchang l by our best writers. There is, howcver, a tendency in present usage to make the following distinction between them: 1. That beside be used only and always is a prepresition, with the original neaning by the side of ; as, to sit beside a fountain; or with the closely allied meaning aside from, or out of; as, this is beside our prescht purpose: 'Paul, thon art lisside thyself.' The adverhial sense to be wholly transferced to the cognate word. 2. That besides, as a preposition, take the remaining sense, in chldition to; as, besillos all this; besides the consideration here oflered'There was a famine in the land besides the first famine.' And that it also talse the adverbial sense of morcover, beyonel,
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etc., which had been divided between the words; as, besides, there are other considerations which belong to this casc."

Best. See At nest.
Between. 'This word is often misused for anong; thus, "'The word fellou, however much in use it may be betwern men, sounds very objectionable from the lips of women.""Loudon Queen." Should be, among" men." Between is used in reference to two things, parties, or persons; among, in reference to a greater number. "Castor and Pollux with one soul betreen them." "You have amony you many a purchased slave."

Blame it on. Here is a gross vulgarism which we sometimes hear from persons of considerable culture. They use it in the sense of accuse or suspect; thas, "He blames it on his brother," meaning that he accuses or suspects his brother of having done it, or of being at fanlt for it.

Bogus. A eolloguial term ineompatible with dignified diction.

Both. We sometimes hear such nbsurd sentences as, "They botl resemble each other very much"; "They are hoth alike"; "They bolh met in the street." Both is likewise redundant in the following sentence: "It performs at the same time the oflices loth of the nomimative and objective cases."

Bound. The use of this word in the sense of delermined is not only inelegant but indeicusible. "I am bound to have it," should be, "I am deftrmincl to have it."

Bravery-Courage. The eareless often use these two words as thongh they were interchangeable. Bratery is inborn, is instinctive ; correye is the product of reason, calenlation. Jhere is much merit in being eonsogeons, little merit. in being brave. Men whe are simply brace are careless, while the comrageons man is always cantions. Bravery often degenerates into temerity. Moril couruge is that firmness of
principle which enables a man to do what he deems to be his cluty, although his action m:ay subject him to adverse criticism. True moral coatruge is one of the rarest and most adinirable of virtues.

Alfred the Great, in resisting the attacks of the Danes, displayed bravery; in entering their camp as a spy, he displayed courage.

Bring-Fetch-Carry. The indiscriminate use of these three words is very common. To lring is to convey to or toward-a simple act ; to fetch means to go and bring-a compound act; to carry often implies motion from the speaker, and is followed by uway or aft, and thus is opposed to bring and fetch. Yet onc hears such expressions as, "Go to Mrs. D.'s and bring her this bundle; and here, you may fetch her this book also." We use the words correctly thus: "Fetch, or go brin!, me an apple from the cellar"; "When you come home bring some lemons"; "Carry this book lome with you."

British against American English. "The most important pecnliarity of American English is a laxity, irregularity, and confusion in the use of particles. The same thing is, indeed, observable in England, lut not to the same extent, though some gross departures from idiomatic propriety, such is different to for different from, are common in England, which none but very ignorant persons would be guilty of in America. . . . In the tenses of the verbs, I am inclined to think that well-educated Americans conform more - closely to grammatical propriety than the corresponding elass in England. . . . In gencral, I think we may say that, in point of naked syntactical accuraey, the English of America is not at all inferior to that of England; but we do not diseriminate so preeisely in the meaning of words, nor do we habitually, in either conversation or in writing, express ourselves so gracefully, or employ so elassic a diction, as the
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English. Our taste in language is less fastidious, and our licenses and inaccuracies are more frequently of a character indicative of want of refinement and elegant culture than those we hear in educated society in England."-George P. Marsh.

British against American Orthoepy. "The causes of the differences in pronunciation [between the English and the Americians] are partly physical, and therefore difficult, if not impossible, to resist ; and partly owing to a difference of circumstances. Of this latter class of influences, the universality of reading in Americ: is the most obvions and important. The most marked difference is, perhaps, in the length or prosodical quantity of the vowels; and both of the eauses I have mentioned concur to produce this effect. We are said to drawl our words by protracting the vowels and giving them a more diphtlongal sound than the English. Now, an Englishman whe reads will hạbitually ntter his vowels more fully and distinctly than his comntryman who does not; and, upon the same principle, a nation of readers, like the Americans, will pronounce more deliberitely and clearly than a people so large a proportion of whom are mable to read, as in England. From our miversal habit of readius, there results not only a geeater distinctness o* artuculation, but a strong tenlency to assimilate the spoken to the written language. Thus, Anericans incline to give to every syllable of a written word a distinct enunciation ; and the popular habit is to say dic-tion-el-y, mil-it-ar-y, with a secondary accent on the penultimate, instead of sinking the third syllable, as is so common in England. There is, no doubt, something disagrecably still in an anxious and affected conformity to the very letter of orthography; and to those accustomed to a more hurried utteranee we may seem to drawl, when we are only giving a full expression to letters
which, though etymologically important, the English habit. nally slur over, sputtering ont, as a Swedish satirist says, one half of the word, and swallowing the other. The tendency to make the long vowels diphthongal is noticed by foreigners as a peculiarity of the orthoëpy of our language; and this tendency will, of course, be strengthened by any canse which produces greater slowness and fullness of articalation. Besides the influence of the habit of reading, there is some reason to think that climate is affecting our articulation, In spite of the collness of our winters, our flowa shows that the climate of even our Northern States belongs, upon the whole, to a more southern type than that of England. In southern latitudes, at least within the temperate zone, articulation is generally much more distinct than in the nothern regionWitness the pronunciation of Spanish; Italian, Turkish, as compared with English, Danish, and German. Participating, then, in the plysical influences of a southern climate, we have contracted something of the more distinct articulation that belongs to a dry atmesphere antha ciear sky. And this view of the case is confirmed by the fact that the inhabitants of the Southern States incline, like the people of southern Europe, to throw the accent toward the end of the word, and thas, like all nations that use that accentuation, bring out all the syllables. This we observe very commonly in the comparative Northern and Southern pronunciation of proper nancs. I might exemplify by citing familiar instances; but, lest that should seem invidions, it may suffice to say that, not to mentio. anore important changes, many a Northern member of Congress goes to Washington a dectyl or a trochee, and comes home an amphibruch or an iambus. Why or how external physical canses, as climate and modes of life, should aflect pronunciation, we can not say; bat it is evident that material influences of some sort are producing a change in our
habits, one ney to ers as 1 this cause lation. \& some n. In at the whole, whern ation is egionkish, as ipating, we have on that ais view tants of :outhern ord, and g out all the comf proper es ; but. say that, Northern a trochee, y or how e, should dent that ige in our
bodily constitution, and we are fast acquiring a distinct national Anglo-American type. That the delieate organs of articulation should participate in such tendencies is altogether natural; and the operation of the canses which gire rise to them is palpable even in our handwriting, which, if not uniform with itelf, is generally, nevertheless, so unlike common English segipt as to be readily distinguished from it.
"'To the joint operation, then, of these two causesmiversal reading and climatic inflnences-we must ascribe our habit of dwelling upon vowel and diphthongal sounds, or of drawling, if that term is insisted upon. . . . But it is often noticed by foreigners as both making us more readily understood by them when speaking our own tongue, and as eonnected with a flexibility of organ, which enables us to acquire a better pronunciation of other languages than is usual with luglishmen. In any case, as, in spite of the old adage, speech is given us that we may make ourselves understood, our drawling, however prolonged, is preferable to the nauseous, foggy, mumbling thickness of articulation which characterizes the cockney, and is not unfrequently affected by Englishmen of a better class."-George P. Marsh.

Bryant's Prohibited Words. See Index Expurgatorits.

But. This word is misused in various ways. "I do not doubt but he will be here" : real, doult that. "I should not wonder but" : read, if. "I have no doubt but that he will go": suppress but. "I do not doubt but that it is true": suppress but. "There can be no doubt but that the burglary is the work of professional cracksmen."-"New York Herald." Doubt thut, and not but that. "A careful canvass leaves no doubt but that the nomination," etc. : suppress but. "There is no reasonable doubt but that it is all it professes to be": suppress but. "The mind no sooner entertains any
proposition but it presently hastens," etc.: read than. "No other resource but this was allowed him": read, than.

By. See At.
Calculate. This word means to ascertain by computation, to reckon, to estimate; and, say sonie of the purists, it never meaus anything else when properly used. If this is true, we can not say a thing is calculaded to do harm, but must, if we are ambitious to have our English irreproachable choose some other form of expression, or at least some other word, likely or apt, for example. Cobbett, however, says, "That, to Her, whose great example is so well calculuted to inspire," etc.; and, "The first two of the three sentences are well enough calculuted for ushering," etc. Calculate is sometimes vulgarly used for intoud, purpose, expect; as, "He calculates to get off to-morrow."

Caliber. This word is sometimes used very absurdly; as, "Brown's Essays are of a much higher caliber than Smith's." It is plain that the proper word to use bere is order.

Cant. Cant is a kind of affectation; affectation is an effort to sail under false colors; an eflort to sail under false colors is a kind of falschood; and falsehood is a term of Latin origin which we often use instead of the stronger Saxon term lying!
"Who is not familiar," writes Dr. William Matthews, "with scores of pet phrases and cant terms which are repeated at this day apparently withont a thought of their meaning? Who ever attended a missionary meeting withont hearing 'the Macedonian cry,' and an accomt of some 'little interest' and 'fields white for the harvest'? Who is not weary of the ding-dong of 'our Zion,' and the solecism of 'in our midst'; and who does not long for a verbal millennium
when Christians shall no longer 'feel to take' and 'grant to give'?"
"How much I recrret," says Coleridge, "that so many religious persons of the present day think it necessa:y to adopt a certain cant of manner and phraseology [and of tone of voice] as a token to each other [one another]! They improve this and that text, and they must do so and so in a prayerful way ; and so on."

Capacitï. See Ability.
Caption. This word is often used for hearling, but, thus userl, it is condemned by careful writers. The truc meaning of caption is a scizure, an arrest. It does not conse firom a Latin word meaning a head, bnt from a Latin word meaning to E ize.

Caret. Col,bett writes of the caret to his son: "The last thing I shall mention unler this head is the caret [1], which is used to point npward to a part which has been omitted, and which is inserted between the line where the carct is placed and the line above it. Things should be called by their right names, and this should be called the blunder-mark. I would have yon, my dear James, scorn the use of the thing. Think before you write; let it he your custom to write correctly and in a plain hand. Be careful that neatness, grammar, and sense prevail when you write to a blacksmith about shoeing a horse as when you write on the mot important subjects. Halit is powerful in all cases; but its power in this case is truly wonderful. When yon write, bear constantly in mind that some one is to read and to urderstand what you write. This will make your hand-writing and also your meaning plain. Far, I hope, from my dear James will be the ridiculous, the contemptible affectation of writing in a
slovenly or illegible hand, or that of signing his name otherwise than in plain letters."

## Carry. See Brivg.

Case. Many persons of considerable enlture eontinually make mistakes in conversation in the use of the cases, and we sometimes meet with gross errors of this kind in the writings of authors of repute. Witness the following: "And everybody is to know him except $I$."-George Merideth in "The Tragic Comedies," Eng. ed., vol. i, p. 33. "Let's you and $I$ go": say, me. We can not say, Let I go. Properly, Let's go, i. e., let us go, or, let you and me go. "He is as good as me": say, as $I$. "She is as tall as him": say, as he. "You are older than me": say, than $I$. "Nobody said so but he": say, buthim. "Every one can master a grief but he that hath it": eorrectly, but him. "John went ont with James and $I$ ": say, and me. "You are stronger than him": say, than he. "Between you and $I$ ": say, and me. "Between you and the!"": say, ant them. "He gave it to John and $I$ ": say, and me. "You told John and $I$ ": say, and me. "He sat between him and $I$ ": say, and me. "He expects to see you and $I$ ": say, and me. "Yon were a dunce to do it. Who? me?" say, I. Supply the ellipsis, and we should have, Who? me a clunce to do it? "Where are you going? Who? me?" sily, I. We can't say, me going. "Who do you mean?" say, whom. "Was it them?" say, they. "If I was him, I would do it": say, were he. "It I wis her, I would not go": say, were she. "Was it him?" s:ay, he. "Was it her?" say, she. "For the benefit of those whom he thought were his friends": say, who. This error is not easy to detect on account of the parenthetical words that follow it. If we drop them, the mistake is very apparent; thus, "For the benefit - th se whom were his fricuds."
wh
wh
"On the supposition,"eays Bain, "that the interrogative who has whom for its oljective, the following are errors: who do you take me to be?' 'who should I mect the other' day?' 'who is it by?' 'w'o dis' yon give it to?' 'who to? ' who for?' But, consilering that these expressions occur with the best writers and speakers, that they are more eneryetic than the other form, and that they leard to no ambiguity, it may be doubted whether grammarians have not exceeded their prov'nce in condemning them.'

Cobbett, in writing of the pronouns, says: "When the relatives are placel in the sentence at a distance from their antecedents or verbs or prepositions, the ear gives us no assistance. 'Who, of all the men in the world, du you think I suw to-day?' 'Who, for the sake of numerous services, the office was given to.' In both these cases it should be whom. Bring the verb in the first and the preposition in the second ease closer to the relative, as, who I saw, to who the office was given, and you see the error at ouce. But take care! 'Whom, of all the men in the worhl, do you think, was chosen to be sent as an ambassador?' 'Whom, for the sake of his numerous services, had an olfice of honour bestowed upon him.' These are nominative cases. and ought to have who; that is to say, who was chosen, who hud an office."
"Most grammarians," says Dr. Bain, in his "Higher English Grammar," "have laid down this rule: 'The verb to be has the same case after as before it.' Macaulay censures the following as a solecism: 'It was him that Horace Walpole called a man who never made a bal figure but as an author.' Thackeray similarly adverts to the same deviation from the rule ; "Is that him?" said the laly in questionable grammar.' But, notwithstanding this," continues Dr. Bain, "we certainly hear in the actual specch of all classes of society such expressions 'it was me,' 'it was him,' 'it was her,' more
frequently than the prescribed form.* .'This shy ereature, my mother says, is me'; 'were it me, I'd show him the ditterence.' -Clarissa Harlowe. 'It is not met you are in love with.' -Ahlison. 'If there is one character more base than another, it is him who,' etc.-Sydncy Smith. 'If I were him'; 'if I hmi been her,' etc. The anthority of gool writers is strong (n) the side of oljective forms. There is alsn the analogy of the French languace ; for while 'I am here' is je suis ici, the answer to ' who is there?' is moi (me); and c'p.st moi (it is me) is the legitimate phrase-never c'est je (it is I)."

But moi, according to all French grammarians, is very often in the nominative case. $N L o i$ is in the nominative case when usel in reply to "Who is there?" and also in the phrase " C'est moi," which makes "It is $I$ " the correct translation of the phrase, and not "It is me." The French equivalent of "I! I am here," is "Moi! je suis ici." The Erenchman uses moi in the nominative case when $j e$ would be inharmonious. Euphony with hin is a matter of more importance than grammatical correctuess. Bescherelle gives many examples of moi in the nominative. Here are two of them: "Mon avocat et moi sommes de eet avis. Qui veut aller avec lui? Moi." If we use such phraseology as " 1 t is me," we must do as the French do-consider me as being in the nominative case, and offer euphony as our reason for thus using it.

Whey shall we put noms (or pronoms) preecding verbal, or participial, noms, as they are called by some grammarians

[^0]--inflintives in ing, as they are calied ley others- in the pos sessive case?
"' I am surprised at John's (or his, your, etc.) refusing to go.' ' I am surprised at John (or him, you, ete.) refusing, to go.' [In the latter sentence refteing is a participle.] The latter construetion is not so common with pronoms as with nouns, especially with such noms as do not readily take the possessive form. 'They prevented him going forwarl': better, 'They prevented his going forward.' 'He was dismissed without any rectson being assigned.' 'The boy died through his clothes being burned.' 'We hear little of any connection being kept up between the two nations.' 'The men rowed vigorously for fear of the tide turning against us.' But most examples of the construction vilhout the possessive form are obviously due to mere sloverliness. . . . 'In case of your being absent': here being is an infinitive [verbal, or participial, noun] qualified by the possessive your. 'In case of you being present': here being would have to be construed as a participle. The possessive construction is, in this case, the primilive and regular construction; the other is a mere Lapse. The difficulty of adhering to the possessive form occurs when the subject is not a person: 'It does not seem safe to rely on the rule of demanel creating supply': in strictness, 'Demand's creating supply.' 'A petition was presented against the license bsing granted. But for the awkwarduess of extending the possessive to impersonat snbjects, it would be right to say, 'against the license's being granted.' 'He had conducted the ball without any complaint being urged against him.' The possessive would be suitable, but undesirable and unnceessary."-D'rofessor Alexander Bain.
"Though the ordinury syntax of the possessive case is sulficiently plain and easy, there is, perhaps, among all the puzzling and disputable points of grammar, nothing more

1lificult of decision than are some questions that oceur respecting the right management of this case. The observations that have been mate show that poserssives before particjples are schlom to be apppowel. The following example is manifestly inconsistent with itself; and, in my opinion, the three possessices wre all wromy: 'The kitchen, too, now begins to give dreadful note of preparation; not from armorers accomplishing the knights, but from the shopmuid's chopping forcemeat, the "pprentice's cleaning knives, and the journemman's receiving a practical lesson in the art of waiting at table.' 'The daily instances of men's dying around us.' Say rather, 'Of men dying around us.' The leading word in sensopught not to be made the adjunct inconstrnction.' -Goold Brown.

Casualty. This word is often heard with the incorrect aldition of a syllable, casuality, which is lot recognized by the lexicographers. Some writers object to the word casualty, and always use its synonym uccident.

Celebrity. "A number of celebrities witnessed the first representation." This word is frequently msed, especially in the newspapers, as a concrete term ; lut it would be better to use it in its abstract sense only, and in sentences like the one above to say distinguished persons.

Character-Reputation. These two words are not synonyms, though often used as such. Cherucher means the sum of disti"guishing qualities. "Actions, looks, words, steps, form the aliphabet by which you may spell chamacters." -Lavater. Requtation means the estimation in whieh one is held. One's reputation, then, is what is thought of one's character ; consequently, one may have a good reputation and a bad charaeter, or a good character and a lad reputation. Calmany may injure reputation, but not character. Sir Peter does not leave his chatracter behind him, but his reputationhis good name.

Cheap. The dietionaries define this adjective asmeaning, bearing a low price, or to be had at a low price; hut nowadays good usage makes it mean that a thing may be had, us has been sold, at a bargain. Hence, in order to make sure of being understood, it is better to say low-priced, when oue means low-priced, than to use the word cheap. What is lowpriced, as everyboly knows, is often dear, and what is highpriced is often cheap. A diamond necklave might be chenp, at ten thousand dollass, and a pinchbeck necklace dear at ten dollars.

Cherubim. The Hebrew plural of cherub. "We are authorized," says Dr. Campbell, "both by use and analogy, to say either cherubs and scraphs, according to the English idiom, or checubim and seraplim, aecording to the Oriental. The former suits better the familiar, the latter the solemn, style. As the words cherubim and seraphim are plural, the terms chevubims and scraphims, as expressing the plural, are quite improper."-"Philosophy of Rhetoric."

Citizen. This word properly means one who has certain political rights; when, therefore, it is used, as it often is, to designate persons who may be aliens, it, to saty the least, betrays a want of care in the solection of words, "Several citizens were injured by the explosion." Here some other word-persons, for example-should be used.

Clever. In this country the word clever is most improperly used in the sense of good-natured, well-disposed, goodheartel. It is properly used in the sense in which we are wont most inelegantly to use the word smart, though it is a less colloguial term, and is of wider application. In England the phase "a clever man" is the equivalent of the French phrase, "un homme d'esmit." The word is propelly used in the following sentences: "Every work of Arehbishop Whately must be an cbject of interest to the admirers of clever reason-
ing"; "Cohbett's letter . . . very ciever, but very mischievous"; "Bonaparte was certainly as clever a man as ever lived."

Climax. A clanse, a sentence, a paragraph, or any literary composition whatsoever, is said to end ath a climax when, by an artistic arrangement, the more eff :tive is made to follow the less effective in regular gradation. Any great departure from the order of ascending strength is called an anti-climax. Here are some examples of climax :
"Give all diligence; add to your faith, virtue; and to virtue, knowledge; and to knowledge, temperance; and to temperance, patience; and to patience, godliness; and to godliness, brotherly kinduess; and to brotherly kinduess, charity."
"What is every year of a wise man's life but a criticism on the past! Those whose life is the shortest live long enough to laugh at one-half of it; the boy respises the infant, the man the boy, the sage both, and the Christian all."
"What a piece of work is man! how noble in reason! how infinite in faculties! in form and moving, how express and admirable! in action, how like an angel ! in apprelension, hov like a god!"

Vo. The prefin eo should be used only when the word to which it is joined begins with a vowel, as in co-eral, coincident, co-operate, ete. Con is used when the word begins with a consonant, as in con-temporary, con-junction, cte. Copartner is an exception to the rule.

Commence. The Britons use or misuse this word in a manner peculiar to themselves. They say, for example, "commenced merchant," "commenced actor," "commenced politician," ant so on. Dr. Hall tells us that commence has been employed in the sense of "begin to le," "become," "set up as," by first-class writers, for more than two centuries. Careful speakers mako small use of commence in any sense;
they prefer to use its Saxon equivalent, begin. Sec, Iso, Begin.

Comparison. When only two oljects are eompared, the comparative and not the superlative degree should be nsed; thus, "Mary is the older of the two"; "Jolm is the stronger of the two"; "Brown is the richer of the two, and the riches man in the city"; "Which is the more desimble, health of wealth?" "Whioh is the most desirable, health, wealth, or genius?"
> "Of two such lessons, why forget
> The mobier and the manlier one?"

Completed. This word is often incorrectly used for finished. That is somprete which lacks nothing; that is finished which has had all done to it that was intended. The builder of a house may finish it and yet l... e it very i.lcomplete.

Condign. It is safe to say that most of those who use this word do not know its meaning, which is, suitable, deservol, merited, proper. " $\mathrm{J}^{\text {" }}$ з endeavors shall not lack condign praise"; i. c., his endeavors shall not lack moper or their merited praise. "A villain condignly punished" is a villain punished according to his deserts. To use condign in the sense of severe is just as incorrect as it would be tc use deserved or merited in the sense of severe.

Confirmed invalid. 'ihis phrase is a convenient mode of expressing the idea it conveys, bit i: is difficnlt to defead, inasmuch as confirmed mean. strongthened, established.

Consequence. This word is sometimes used instead of inyortunce or moment; as, "They were all persons of more or less consequence": read, "of more or less importance." "It is a matter of no consequence" : read, "u! no moment."

Consider. "This worl," says Mr. Richard Grant White, in his "Words and Their Uses," "is pereerted from its true
meaning by most of those who use it." . Consider means, to meditate, to deliberate, to reflect, to revolve in the mind; and yet it is made to do service for thiak, suppose, and regard. Thus: "I consider his course very unjustifiable"; "I have always considered it my duty," etc.; " I consider him as being the cleverest man of my aequaintance."

Contemptible. This word is sometimes used for contemptuous. An old story says that a man once said to Dr. Parr, "Sir, I have a contemptible opinion of you." "That does not surprise me," returned the Doctor; "all your opinions are contemptible." What is worthless or weak is contemptible. Despicable is a word that cxpresses a still more intense degree of the contemptible. A traitor is a despicable character, while a poltroon is only contemptible.

Continually. See Perpetually.
Continue on. The on in this phrase is generally superfluous. "We continued on our way" is idiomatic English, and is more euphonious than the sentence would be without the particle. The meaning is, " We continued to travel on our way." In sich sentences, however, as "Continue on," "He continued to read on," "The fever continued on for some hours," and the like, the on gencrally serves no purpose.

Conversationist. This word is to be preferred to conversationalist. Mr. Richard Grant White says that conversationalist and agriculturalist are inadmissible. On the other hand, Dr. Fitzedward Hall says : "As for conversationist and conversationalist, agriculturist and a!picu'turalist, as all are alike legitimate formations, it is for convention to decide which we are to prefer."

Convoke-Convene. At ove time and aunther there has been some discussion with regard to the correct use of these two words. According to Crabb, "There is nothing imperativo on the part of those that assemble, or convene, and aothing
biuding on those assembled, or convencel: one assombles, or convenes, by invitation or request; one atteuds to the notice or not, at pleasure. Convoke, on the other hand, is an act of authority; it is the call of one who has the anthority to give the call; it is heeded ly those who feel themselves bound to altend." Properly, then, President Arthur convokes, not convenes, the Senate.

Corporeal-Corporal. These adjectives, though regarded as synonyms, are not used indiscriminately. Corporal is used in reference to the body, or animal frame, in its proper sense; corporeal, to the animal substance in an extended sense -opposed to spiritual. Corporal punishment; corporeal or material form or substance.
"That to corporeal substances could add
Speed most spiritual."-Milton.
"What seemed corporal
Melted as breath into the wind."-Shakespeare.
Couple. In its primitive signification, this word does not mean simply two, but two that are united by some bond; such as, for example, the tie that unites the sexes. It has, however, been so long usel to mean two of a kind considered together, that in this sense it may be deemed permissible, though the substitution of the word two for it would often materially improve the diction.

Courage. See Beavery.
Crime-Vice-Sin. The confusion that exists in the use of these words is due largely to an imperfect understar ding of their respective meanings. Crime is the violation of the law of a state; hence, as the laws of states differ, what is crime in one state may not be crime in another. Vice is a course of wrong-doing, and is not modified either by conntry, religion, or condition. As for sin, it is very difficult to define $\therefore$ ut it is, as what is sinful in the eyes of ue man may not
be sinful in, the eyes of another; what is sinful in the eyes of a Jew may not be sinful in the eyes of a Christian ; and what is sinful in the eyes of a Christian of one country may not be sinful in the eyes of a Christian of another comntry. In the days of slavery, to harbor a runaway slave was a crime, but it was, in the eyes of most people, neither q ice nor a sin.
 Out of what? We may crusk the life out oi a man, or crush a man to death, and crush, not crush out, a rebellion.

Cultured. This word is said to be a product of Boston -an excellent place for anybody or anything to come from. Many persons object to its use on the ground that there can be no such participial adjective, because there is no verb in use from which to form it. We have in use the substantive culture, but, though the dictionaries recognize the verb $t$, culture, we do not use it. Be this oljjection valid or be it no $\iota$, cuttured having bnt two syllables, while its synonym cultira eel has four, it is likely to find favor with those who mm,loy short words when they convey their meaning as well as long ones. Other aljectives of this kind are, moneyed, vise ed, slippered, lettered, talented, cottaged, lilied, isced, gifted, and so forth.

Curious. This word is often usel instead of strens:', e remarkable. "A curions fact": better, "a remar' able fact." "A curions proceeding": better, "a strente procteling."

Dangerous. "He is pretty sick, but not dangerous." Dangerous people are generally most dangerous when they are most vigorous. Say, rather, "He is sick, but not in dienger."

Dearest. "A gentleman once began a lettre to his bride thus: 'My dearest Maria.' 'The lady replien: 'My dear John, I beg that you will mend either your unce or ymar grammar. You call me your "deurest Maria"; am I to maderstand that you have other Marias?'"-Moon's "Bad English."

Leceiving. "You are deceiving me." Not unfrequently rimeining is used when the speaker means trying to deceive. It $t$ when we do not expect deception that we are deceivel.

Decimate. 'This word, meaning as it properly does to 'ithe, to take the tenth part, is hardly permissible in the ense in which it is nsed in such sentences as, "The regiment held its position, though terribly decimated by the enemy's urtillery." "Thougin teribly tithed" would be equally correct.

Demean. This worl is sometimes erroneously used in the sense of to delase, to disfrace, to humble. It is a reflexive verb, and its true meaning is to behure, to carry, to conduct; as, "He demecons himself in a gentlemanly mammer," i.e., He behaves, or carries, or conducts, himself in a gentlemanly manner.

Denude. "The vulture," says Brande, "has some part of the head and sometimes of the neek denuded of feathers." Most birds might he denuled of the feathers on their heads; not so, however, the vulture, for his head is always featherless. A thing can not be denuled of what it does not have. Denuding a vulture's head and neck of the feathers is like demuding an cel of its scales.

Deprecate. Strangely enough, this worl is often used in the sense of disapprove, censure, condemn; as, "He eleprecates the whole proceeding"; "Your course, from first to last, is universally deprecuted." But, according to the authorities, the worl really means, to emteavor to avert by prayer ; to pray exemption or deliverulue from ; to beg off; to entreat; to urge against.
" Daniel kneeled upon his knees to deprecate the captivity of his people."- Hewyt.

Despite. This word is often incorrectly preceded by in and followed by of; thus, "In despite of all our efforts to detain him, he sct out"; whic' should be, "Despite all our effo:ts," etc., or, "In spite oj all our efforts," etc.

## Determined. See Bound.

Diction. This is a gencral term, and is applicable to a single sentence or to a connected composition. Bad diction may be due to errors in grammar, to a confused disposition of words, or to an improper use of words. Diction, to be good, requires to be only correct and clear. Of excellent examples of bad dietion there are very many in a little work by Dr. L. T. Townsend, Professor of Sacred Rhetoric in Boston University, the first volume of which has lately come under my notice. The first ten lines of Dr. Townsend's preface are :
"The leading genius ' of the People's College at Chantanqua Lake, with a [the?] view of providing for his course a text book, asked for the publication of the following laws anc principles of speech. ${ }^{3}$
"The author, not seeing sufficient reason " for withholding what had been of much practical benefit' to himsclf, consented. 6
"The sulject-matter herein contained is an outgrowth from ${ }^{7}$ occasional instructions ${ }^{8}$ given ${ }^{9}$ while occupying the chair ${ }^{10}$ of Sacred Rhetoric."

1. The phrase leading genius is badly chosen. Founder. projector, head, organizer, principal, or presideit-some one of these terms would probably have been appropriate. 2. What course? Race-course, course of ethies, asthctics, rhetoric, or what?" 3. "The following laws and principles of speech." And how cane these laws and principles in existence? Who mate them: We are to infer, it would seem, that Professor Townsend made them, and that the world would have had to go withont the laws that govern language and the principles on which language is formed had it pleased Professor 'Townsend to withhold them. 4. " $S$ 'fli-

[^1]cient reason"! Then there were reasons why Professor Townsend ought to have kept these good things all to himself; only, they were not sufficient. 5. "Practical benefit"! Is there any such thing as impractical benefit? Are not all benefits practical? and, if they are, what purpose does the epithet practical serve? 6. Consented to what? It is easy to see that the Doctor means acceded to the request, but he is a long way from saying so. The object writers usually have in view is to convey thought, not to set their readers to guessing. 7. The outgrowth of would be English. 8. "Occasional instructions"! Very vague, and well calculated to set the reader to guessing again. 9. Given to whom? 10. "The chair." The definite article made it necessary for the writer to specify what particular chair of Sacred Rhetoric he meant.

These ten lines are a fair specimen of the diction of the entire volume.

Page 131. "To render a given ambiguous or unintelligible sentence transparent, the following suggestions are recommended." The words in italics are unnecessary, since what is ambiguous is unintolligible. Then who has ever heard of recommending suggestions?

Dr. Townsend speaks of mastering a subject before publishing it. Publishing a subject?

Page l33. "Violations of simplicity, whatever the type, show either that the niind of the writer is tainted with affectation, or else that an effort is making to conceal conscious poverty of sentiment under loftiness of expression." Here is an example of a kind of sentence that can be mended in only one way-by rewriting, which might be done tluas: Violations of simplicity, whatever the type, show either that the writer is tainted with affectation, or that he is making an effort to conceal poverty of thonght under loftiness of expression.

Page 143. "This quality is fully stated and recommended," ete. Who has ever heard of stutia!g a quelity?

On page 145-Dr. Townsend says: "A person can not real a single book of poor style without having his own style vitiatel." A book of poor style is an awkward expression, to say the least. A single batly-written book would have been mobjectionable.

Page 160. "The presented pieture produces instantly a definite effect." Why this unusual disposition of words? Why not say, in accordance with the idiom of the language, "The picture presented instantly produces," etc.?

Page 161. "The boy studies . . . geography and hates everything connected with the sea and land." Why the boy? As there are few things besides seals and turtles that are connected with the sea and land, the boy in question has few things to hate.

On page 175, Dr. Townsend heads a chapter thus: "Art of acquiring Skill in the use of Poetie Speech." This reminds one of the man who tried to lift himself over a fence by taking holl of the seat of his brecches. "How to acquire skill" is probably what is meant.

On page 232 , "Jeremy Taylor is among the best models of long sentences which are both clear and logical." Jeremy Taylor is a clear and logical long sentence?! True, our learned rhetorician says so, but he doesn't mean it. He means, "In Jeremy Taylor we find some of the hest examples of long senterces which are at once elear and logical."

Since the foregoing was written, the sceond volume of Professoc Townsent's "Art of Speech" has been published. In the brief preface to this volume we find this characteristic sentence: "The author has felt that clery!men more than those of other professions will study this treatise." The ante: edent of the relative thase being clerymanen, the sentence, it
will be perceived, says: "The author has felt that clergymen more thin clergymen of other professions will stady this treatise." Comment on such "art" as Professor Townsend's is nut necessary.

I find several noteworthy examples of bad diction in an article in a recent number of an Australian magazine. The following are some of them: "Lurge cupitul always manages to make itself master of the situation ; it is the small capitalist and the sinall limiholder that would suffer," ete. Should be, "The 'arge capitalist . . . himself," ete. Again: "The small farmer would . . . be despoiled . . . of the meagre profit which strenuous labor had conquered from the reluctant soil." Not only are the epithets in italics superflnous, and consequently weakening in their effeet, but idion does not permit strenuons to be used to qualify labor: hard labor and strenuous effort. Again: "Capital has always the choice of a large field," Should be, "the choice offered by a large ficld." Again: "Should eapital be withdrawn, tenements would soon prove insufficient." Should be: "the number of tenements would," etc. Again: "Men of wealth, therefore, would find their Fifth Avenue mansions and their summer villas a little more burdened with taxes, but with this inerease happily balanced by the exemption of their bonds and mortgages, their plate and furniture." The thought here is so simple that we easily divine it; but, if we look at the sentence at all carefully, we find that, though we supply the ellipses in the most charitable mamer possible, the sentence really says: "Men would find their mansions more burdened, but would find them with this increased burden happily balanced by the exemption," cte. The sentence shonl have been framel somewhat in this wise: "Men . . . woull find their . . . mansions . . . more burdened with tases, but this increase in the taxes on their real estate would he happily

Ahancel by the exemption from taxation of their bonds, :"ortgages, plate, and furniture." Again: "Men generally . . . would be inclined to laugh at the idea of intrusting the modern politician with such gigantie opportunities for enriching his favorites." We do not intrust one another with opportunities. T'o enrich wonld better the diction. Again: "The value of land that has acerued from labor is not . . . a just object for confiscation." Correctly: "The value of land that has resulted from labor is not justly . . . an object of confiseation." Accrue is properly used more in the sense of spontaneous growth. Again: "If the state attempts to confiscate this increase by means of taxes, either rentals will increase correspondingly, or such a cheek will be put upon the growth of each place and all the enterprises connectel with it that greater injury would be done than if things had been left untoucherl." We have here, it will be observed, a confusion of moods; the sentence begins in the indicative and ends in the conditional. The words in italics are worse than superfluous. Rewritten: "If the state should attempt to confiscate this increase by means of taxes, cither rentals would increase correspondingly, or such a eheck would be put upon growth and enterprise that greater injury would," etc. Again: "The theory that land . . . is a boon of Nature, to which every person has an inalicuable right equal to every other person, is not new." The words theory and boon are here misused. A theory is a system of suppositions. The things man receives from Natmre are !ifts, not boons: the gift of reason, the gift of specch, etc. The sentence should be: "The decluration (or asscrtion) that land . . . is a gift of Nature, to which every person has an inalienable right equal to that of any other person, is not new." Or, more simply and quite as forcibly: ". . . to which one person has an inalienable right equal to that of another, is not new." Or,
more simply still, and more forcibly: ". . . to which one man has as gool a right as another, is not new." By substitating the word man for person, we have a word of onc syllable that expresses, in this connection, all that the longer word expresses. The fewer the syllables, if the thought be fully expressed, the more vigorous the diction. Inalienability being foreign to the discussion, the long word inatienable only encumbers the sentence.
"We have thus ${ }^{1}$ passed in review the changes and improvements ${ }^{3}$ which the revision contains" in the First Epistle to the Corinthians. It has s not, indeed, ${ }^{6}$ been possible to refer to ${ }^{7}$ them all; lout so many illustrations have been given in 9 the several classes described that the reader will have ${ }^{10}$ a satisfactory ${ }^{11}$ survey of the whole subject. Whatever may be said of other portions ${ }^{12}$ of the New Testament, we think it will be generally admitted that in this Epistle the changes have improved the old ${ }^{18}$ translation. They are such as ${ }^{14}$ make the English version ${ }^{15}$ conform more completely ${ }^{16}$ to the Greek original. If this be ${ }^{17}$ true, the revisers have done a good work for the Clisureh. ${ }^{18}$ If it be true ${ }^{19}$ with regard to all the New Testament books, the work which they have done will remain ${ }^{20}$ a blessing to the readers of those books for 21 generations to come. But the blessing will be only in the elearer presentation of the Divine truth, and, therefore, it will be only to the glory of God."

This astonishingly slipshod bit of composition is from the pen of the Rev. Dr. Timothy Dwight. If the learned Profe:sor of Divinity in Yale College deemed it worth while $t_{0}$ give a little thought to manner as well as to matter, it is probable that lis dietion would be very different from what it is; and, if he were to give a few minutes to the making of verbal corrections in the forcooing paragraph, he would perhaps, do something like this: 1, change thus to now; 2, write
some of the changes; :3, strike out and imprurements; 4, for contains ch...ges sulstitute some other form of expression; 5 , instead of has been, witn was; 6, strike out indeed; 7, instead of refer to, write $c \cdot i$; 8 , change illuswertions to ramples; 9, instead of in, write of ; 10, instead of the reader will have, write the veraler will be able to !fet; 1!, change sutisfuctory to tolerable; 12, change portions to pi.tr; 13, not talk of the old translation, as we have no pew one; 14, strike out as superfluous the words are such an ; lib, change revion to tert; 16, rubstitute aearly for completely, which docs not alait of comparison; 17, substitute the indicative for the conditional; 18, end sentence with the word wow; 19, introlluce also after be; 20, instead of remain, in the sonse of be, use be; 21, introduce the after for. As for the last sentence, it icminds one of Mendelssohn's "Songs withrit Words," though here we have, instead of a song and no words, words and no song, $\because$ rather no meaning. $\Lambda$ s is often true of cant, we have here simply a syntactical arrangement of words signify. ing-nothing.

Ti Protessor Dwight were of those who, in common with $t^{\prime}$; Addisons and Macaulays and Newmans, think it worth while to give some attention to diction, the thought conveyed in the paragrap! under consideration would, perhaps, have been expressed somewhat in this wise :
"We have now passed in review some of the changes that. in the revision, have been made in the Firsi Epistle to the Corinthians. It was not possible to site them all, but a sufficient number of exmmples cf the several classes described have been given to enable the reader to get a tolemble survey of the whole subject. Whatever may be saill of the other parts of the New Testament, we think it will be generally admitted that in this Epistle the ehanges have improved the

nearly to the Greek. This leing true, the revisers have done a good work; and, if it be also true with regard to all the New Testament books, the work which they have done will be a blessing to the readers of these books for the generations to come."

Die with. Man and brute die of, and not rith, fevers, consumption, the plague, pneumonia, old age, and so on.

Differ. Writers differ from one another in opinion with regard to the particle we should use with this verb. Some say they differ with, others that they differ from, their neighbors in opinion. The weight of anthority is on the side of always using from, though A may differ with C from D in opinion with regard, say, to the size of the fixed stars. "I differ, as to this matter, from Bishop Lowth.' -Cobbett. Different to is heard sometimes instead of different from.

Directly. The Britous have a way of using this word in the sense of when, as soon as. This is quite foreign to its true meaning, which is immediately, at once, straightway. They say, for example, "Directly he reached the city, he went to his brother's." "Directly [the saint] was dead, the Arals sent his woollen shirt to the sovereign."-"London News." Dr. Hall says of its use in the sense of as soon as: "But, after all, it may simply anticipate on the English of the future."

Dirt. This word means filt: or anything that renders foul and unclean, and moans nothing else. It is often inproperly usel for earth or loam, and sometimes even for sand or gravel. We not unfrequently hear of a dirt roal when an unpaved roal is meant.

Discommode. This word is rarely used ; incommode is accounted the better form.

Disremember. This is a word vulgarly used in the senso of forget. It is said to bemore irequently heard in the South than in the Nurth.

Distinguish. This verb is sometimes improperly used for discriminate. We distinguish by means of the senses as well as of the understanding; we discriminate by means of the understanding only. "It is difficult, in some cases, to distin!puish between," etc.: should be, "It is diflicult, in some cases, to discriminate between," ete. We distin!uish one thing from another, and discriminate between two or more things.

Dock-Wharf. The first of these words is often improperly used for the second. Of docks ther re several kiuds : a naval dock is a place for the keeping o 1 stores, timber, and materials for ship-building; a dry uva as a place where vessels are drawn out of the water for repairs; a wet dock is a place where vessels are kept alloat at a certain level while they are loaded and unloaded ; a sectional dock is a contrivance for raising vessels out of the water on a series of air-tight boxcs. A clock, then, is a place into which things are received; hence, a man might fall into a lock, but could no more fall off a dock than he could fall off a hole. A wharf is a sort of quay ,uilt by the side of the water. A similar structure built at a right angle with the shore is generally called a pier. Vessels lie at wharves and piers, not at docks.

Donate. This word, which is defined as meaning to give, to contrihute, is looked upon by most champions of good English as being an abomination. Donation is also little used by careful writers. "Donctr," says Mr. Goald, "may be dismissed with this remarl: : so long as its place is occupied by give, bestour, grant, present, etc., it is not needed; and it shonld be meeremonionsly bowed out, or thrust out, of the seat into which it has, temporarily, intruded."

Done. This past participle is often very inclegantly, if not maproperly, used thms: "He lid not ery ont as some have done against it," which sloould read, "He did not ery out as some have against it"; i. e., "as some have crie? out ivgiust it."
"Done is frequently a very grat offader against grammar," says Cohbett. "T'o do is the act of cloing. We sec people write, 'I did not speak yesterday so well as I wished to have done.' Now, what is meant by the writer? He means to say that te did not speak so well as he then wished, or was wishing, to speak. Therefore, the sentence should be, 'I did not speak yeaterday so weil as I wished to do.' That is to say, 'so well as I wishel to do it'; that is to say, to do or to perform the act of speaking.
"Take great care not to be too free in your use of the verb to do in any of its times or modes. It is a nice little handy word, and, like our oppressed $i \ell$, it is made use of very often when the writer is at a loss for what to put down. To do is to acl, and therefore it never can, in any of its parts, supply the place of a neuter verb. 'How do you do?' Here do refers to tho stute, and is essentially passive or nenter. Yet, to employ it for this purpose is very eommon. Dr. Biair, in his $\mathbf{2} 3 \mathrm{~d}$ Lecture, says: 'It is somewhat unfortunate that this number of the "Spectator" did not end, as it might have done, with the former beatiful period.' That is to say, done it. And then we ask, Done what? Not the act of ending, because in this case there is mo action at all. The verh means to come to an end, to cease, not to to ctny further. This same verb to end is sometimes an active verb: 'I end my sentence'; then the verh to do may supply its place; as, 'I have not ended my sentence so well as I might have done'; that is, done it; that is, done, or performel, the act of ending. But the Number of the 'Spectator' was no actor; it was expeeted to perjorm nothing; it was, by the Doctor, wished to have ceased to proceed. 'Did not end as it very well might have endel. . .' This would have been correct; but the Doctor wished to avoil the repetition, and thus he fell into bad grammar. 'Mr. Speaker, I do not feel so well satistied
as I should have done if the Right Honorable Gentleman . . d explained the matter more fully.' To feel satisfied is-when the satisfaction is to arise from conviction produced by fact or reasoning-a senseless expression; and to supply its place, when it is, as in this case, a neuter verb, by to clo, is as senseless. Done what? Done the act of feeling! 'I do not feel so well satisfied as I should have done, or exccuted, or perijormed the act of feeling'! What incomprehensible words!"

Don't. Everybody knows that don't is a contraction of do not, and that doesn't is a contraction of does not; and yet nearly everybody is guilty of using don't when he should use doesn't. "So you don't go ; John doesn't either, I hear."

Double Genitive. An anecdote of Mr. Lincoln-an anecdote of Mr. Lincoln's. We see at a glance that these two phrases are very different in meaning. So, also, a portrait of Brown-a portrait of brown's. No precise rule has ever been given to guide us in our choice between these two forms of the possessive ease. Sometimes it is not material which form is employed; where, however, it is material-and it generally is-we must consider the thought we wish to express, and rely on our discrimination.

Dramatize. Seo Adart.
Drawing-room. Sce Parlor.
Dress-Gown. Within the memory of many persons the outer garment worn by women was properly calleal a !own by everybody, instead of being improperly called a dress, as it now is by nearly evervborly.

Drive. See Ride.
Due-0wing. These two words, though close synonyms, should not be used indiscriminately. The mistake usually made is in using clue instead of ouing. That is due which ought to be paid as a debt; that is owing which is to be referred to as a source. "It was owing to his exertions that
the seheme succeoded." "It was owing to your negligencr. that the aecident happened." "A certain 1 tspeet is due : men's prejudices." "'This was owiu!, to an indifference to the: pleasures of life." "It is due to the public that I should tel' all I know of the matter."

Each other. "Their great anthors address themselve: not to their country, but to each other."-Buckle. Euch other is properly applied to two only; one another must 1 , used when the number considered exceeds two. Buck: should have written one another and not each other, unless i. meant to intimate that the Germans had only two $\mathrm{gr}^{\mathrm{r}}$. authors, which is not probable.

Eat. Grammarians difier very widely with regard to t: : conjugation of this verb; there is no doubt, however, th... from every point of vew the preferable forms for the preterin and past participle are respectively ate and euten. To ra fined ears the other forms smack of vulganity, although sul, ported by good auth rity. "I ate an apple." "I have eat"" dinner." "John ate supper with me." "As soon as yon have euten breakfast we will set out."

Editorial. The use of this adjective as a substantive is saill to be an Americanism.

Education. This is one of the most misused of words. A man may be well ac: and yet be a persco of little educution; on the other hand, a han may be a person of good education, and yet know little of the contents of text-books. Abraham Lincoln and Edwin Forrest knew comparatively little of what is generally learned in schools; still they were men of eulture, men of edrucation. A man may have ever so much book-lnowledge and still be a boor ; but a man can not be a person of good education and not be-so far as mamer is concernel-a gentleman. Eiluertion, then, is a whole of which Iustruction and Breeding are
the parts. The man or the woman-even in this democratic country of ours-who deserves the title of gentleman or lady is always a person of citucation; i.e., he or she has a sufficient acquaintance with books and with the usages of social intercourse to aequit limself or herself ereditably in the society of cultivated people. Not moral worth, nor learning, nor wealth, nor all three combined, can maidel make a gentleman, for with all three a man might be melucated-i.e., coarse, unbred, unschooled in those things which alone make men weleone in the society of the refined.

Effectuate. This word, together with ratiocinate and eventuate, is said to be a great favorite with the raral members of the Arkansas legislature.

Effiuvium. The plural of this word is efluria. It is a common error with those who have no knowledge of Latin to speak of "a divagreeable eflluvia," which is as incorrect as it would be to talk about "a disagreeable vapors."

Effort without Effect. "Some writers deal in expletives to a degree that tires the ear aul offends the understanding. With them ererything is excessively, or immensely, or extremely, or veustly, or suiprisingly, or wonderfully, or abundantly, or the like. The notion of such writers is that these words give strength to what they are saying. This is a great error. Strength must be found in the thought, or it will never be found in the words. Big-sounding words, without thoughts eorresponding, are effort without effect."-Willian Cobbett. See Fonchier freble.

Egoist. "One of a class of philosophers who professed to be sure of nothing but their own existence."-Reid.

Egotist. "One who talks much of himself."
"A tribe of egotists for whom I have always had a moital aversion."-"Spicetator."

Either. This word means, strictly, the one or the other of two. Unlike both, which means two taken collectively, either, like each, may mean two considered separately; but in this sense each is the better worl to use. "Give me either of them" means, Give me the one or the other of two. "He has a farm on either side of the river" would mean that he has two farms, one on each (or either) side of the river. "He has a farm on both sides of the river" wonld mean that his farm lies partly on the one side of the river and partly on the other. The use of either in the sense of each, though hiblieal and defensible, may be accounted little if any better than an affectation. Neither is the negative of either. Either is responded to by or, neither by nor; as, "either this or that," "neither this nor that." Lither and neither should not-strictly -be used in re' 'ion to more than two oljects. But, though both either and $h_{1, n}$ are strictly applicable to two only, they have been for a very lons time used in rolition to more than two by many good whens ; and, as it is often convenient so to use them, it seems probal'lo that the ustom will prevail. When more than two things are referred to, any and none should be used instead of either and neither ; o. "ceny of the three," not, "either of the three"; ""n me of the fom," not, "neither of the four."

Either Alternative. The word alternative means a choice oflered between two things. An, conatice urit, for example, offers the altermative of chon between the doing of a specified act or of showing cause why it is not done. Such propositions, therefore, as, "You are at liberty to choose cither alternative," "T'wo alternatives are presented to me," "Sereral alternatises presented themselves," and the like, are not correct English. The word is correctiy used thus: "I am confronted with a hard alternatice: I must either denounce a friem or betray my trust." We rarely har the word alterpate or any of it ererivatives correctly pronomuced.

## Flder. Sce Oldfr.

Elegant. Professor Proctar says: "If you say to an Ameriean, 'This is a fine morning,' he is likely to reply, 'It is an elegant morning,' or perhaps oftener by using simply the word elegant. This is not a pleasing use of the worl." This is not American English, Professor, hat popinjay English.

Ellipsis. The omission of a word or of words necessary to complete the grammatical construction, but not necessary to make the meaning clear, is called an rllipsis. We almost always, whether in speaking or in writing, leave out some of the words necessary to the full expression of our meaning. For example, in dating a letter to-day, we should write, "New York, Angust 25, 1881," which would he, if fully written out, "I am now writisg in the eity of New York; this is the twenty-fifth day of August, and this month is in the one thousand eight hundred and eighty-first year of the Christian era." "I am going to Wallack's" means, "I am going to Wallack's thectre." "I shall spend the summer at my aunt's"; i. c., at my aunt's house.

By supplying the ellipses we can often discover the errors in a sentence, if there are any.

Enjoy bad Health. As no one has ever been known to enjoy bad hoalth, it is hetter to emplny some other form of expression than this. Way, for example, he is in fecble, or delicute, health.

Enthuse. This is a word that is oecasionally heard in conversation, and is sometimes mpt with in print; but it has not as yet made its ppearance in the dictionaries. What its ultimate fate will be, of eourse, no one can tell ; for the present, however, it is studionsly shmmed ly those who are at all careful in the selection of their language. It is said to be most used in the South. The writer has aceer secn it
anywhere in the North but in the eolumns of the "Bcston Congregationalist."

Enigram. "The worl epigram signified originally an inscription on a monament. It next came to mean a short poem containing some single thought pointedly expressed, the subjects being very various-anatory, convivial, moral, culogistic, satirical, humorous, etc. Of the various devices for brevity and point cmployed in such compositions, especially in modern times, the most frefuent is a play upon words. . . . In the epigram the mind is rousel by a contlict or contradiction between the form of the langnage and the meaning really conveyed."-Bain.

Some eximples are :
"When you have nothing to say, say it."
"We can not see the wood for the teces"; that is, we can not get a general view because we are so engrossed with the details.
"Verbosity is cured by a large vocabulary"; that is, he who eommands a large vocabulary is able to select words that will give his meaning tersely.
"By indignities men come to dignities."
"Some people are too foolish to commit follics."
"He went to his imagination for his facts, and to his memory for his tropes."

Epithet. Many persons use this word who are in error with regard to its meaning; they think that to "apply epithets" to a person is to vilify and insult him. Not at all. An epithet is a word that expresses a quality, good or bad ; in term that expresses an attribnte. "All adjectiees are epithets, but all eprithets are not ádjectives," says Crabb; "thus, in Virgil's Pater Encas, the pater is an epithet, but not an ad jective." Epithet is the technical term of the rhetorician ; ad. jective, that of the grammarian.

Equally as well. A reclusdant form of expression, as any one will see who for a moment consides it. As well, or equally well, expresses quite as much as equally as well.

Equanimity of mind. This phrase is tautological, and expresses no more than does equanimity (literally, "equal mindedncss") alone; hence, of mind is superfluous, and consequently inelegant. Anxiety of mind is a searecly less redundant form of expression. $A$ capricious mind is in the same category.

Erratum. Plural, errata.
Esquire. An esquire was originally the shich-bearer of a knight. It is much, and, in the opinion of some, rather absurdly, used in this country. Mr. Richard Grant White says on the subject of its use: "I have "t to discover what a man means when he addyesses a letter to John Dish, Esqr." He means no more nor less than when he writes $M /$. (master). The use of Esq. is quite as prevalent in England as in America, and has little more meaning there than here. It simply belongs to our stock of courteons epithets.

Euphemism. A description which deseribes in inoffensive language that which is of itself offensive, or a figure which uses agreeable phraseology when the literal would bo offensive, is called a euphemism.

## Eventuate. See Effectuate.

Everlastingly. This adverb is misused in the South in a manner that is very apt to excite the risilility of one to whom the peculiar misuse is new. The writer recently visited the upper part of New York with a distinguished Southern poet and journalist. It was the gentlemu's first ride over an elevated road. When we were fairly under way, in admiration of the rate of speed at which the cars were moving, he exclaimed, "Well, they do just evcilustimgly shoot "ulung, don't they!"

Every. This word, which means simply each or all taken separately, is of late years frequently made, by slipshod speakers, to do duty for perfect, entire, great, or all possible. Thus we have such expressions as every pains, every confidence, every praise, every charity, and so on. We also have such diction as, "Erery one has this in common"; meaning, "All of us have this in common."

Every-day Latin. A fortiori: with stronger reason. $A$ posteriori: from the effect to the cause. A priori: from the cause to the cffect. Bona ficle: in good faith; in reality. Certiorari: to be made more certain. Ceteris paribus: other cireumstances being equal. De ficto: in fact; in reality. De jure: in right; in law. Ecce homo: behold the man. Ergo: therefore. Let cetera: and the rest; and so on. Excerpta: extracts. Exempli gratia: by way of example; abbreviated, e. $g$., and ex. gr. E.c officio: by virtue of his office. Ex parte: on one side; an ex parte statement is a statement on one side only. Ilidem: in the same place; abbreviated, ibid. Idem: the same. Il est: that is; abbreviated, i.e. Imprimis: in the first place. In statu quo: in the former state; just as it was. In statu quo ante bellum: in the same state as before the war. Intransitu: in passing. Index expurgatorius: a purifying index. In extremis: at the point of death. In memoriam: in memory. Ipse dixit: on his sole assertion. Item: also. Labor omnia rincit: labor overcomes every difticulty. Locus sigill: the place of the scal. Multem in parvo: much in little. Mutatis mutandis: after making the necessary changes. Ne phus ultra: nothing beyond; the utmost point. Nolens rolens: willing or unwilling. Nota bene: mark well; take particular notice. Ommes: all. O tempora, O mores! O the times and the manners! Otimm cum digmitute: ease with dignity. Otium sine dignitate: ease without dignity. Perticeps criminis: an aceomplice. Pecori: I have simed. Par
se: by itself. Prima facie: on the tirst view or appearance: at first sight. Pro bono publico: for the public good. Quid nunc: what now? Quicl pro quo: one thing for another; an equivalent. Quondam: formerly. Jiara avis: a rare bird; a prodigy. Resurgam: I shall rise again. Seriatim: in order. Sine die: without specifying any particular day; to an indefinite time. Sine qua non: an indispensable condition. Sui generis: of its own kind. Vade mecum: go with me. Verbatim: word by word. Versus: against. Vale: farewell. Via: by the way of. Vice: in the place of. Vide: sce. Vi et armis: by main force. Viva roce: orally; by word of month. Vox populi, vox Dei: the voice of the people is the voice of God.

Evidence-Testimony. These words, though differing widely in meaning, are often used indiscriminately by careless speakers. Ecidence is that which tends to convince; testi mony is that which is intended to convince. In a judicial investigation, for example, there might be a great deal of testimony-a great deal of testifying-and very little eri/lence; and the evidence might be quite the reverse of the testimony. See Proof.

Exaggeration. "Weak minds, feeble writces and speakers, delight in superlatives." Sce Effont without Effect.

Except. "No one need apply exerpt he is theroughly familiar with the business," should be, "No one need apply unless," etc.

Excessively. That class of persons who are never content with any form of expression that falls short of the superlative, frequently use excessively when exceedingly or even the little word very would sarve their turn better. They say, for example, that the weather is excessively hot, when they shomld content themselves with saying that the weather is very
warm, or, if the word suits them better, hot Intemperance in the use of language is as much to be censured as intemperance in anything else; like intemperance in other things, its effect is vulgarizing.

Execute. This word means to follow out to the end, to carry into effect, to accomplish, to fulfil, to perform ; as, to execute an order, to execute a purpose. And the dietionaries and alnost universal usage say that it also means to put to death in conformity with a judicial sentence; as, to execute a criminal. Some of our carcful speakers, however, maintain that the use of the word in this sense is indefensible. They say that laws and sentences are executed, but not criminals, and that their excention only rarely results in the death of the persons upon whom they are executed. In the hanging of a criminal, it is, then, not the criminal who is executed, but the law and the sentence. The criminal is honged.

Expect. This verb always has reference to what is to come, never to what is past. We can not expect backward. Instead, therefore, of saying, "I expect, you thought I woull come to see you yesterday," we should say, "I suppose," etc.

Experience. "We experience great difficulty in getting him to take his medieine." The word have ought to be big enough, in a sentence like this, for anybody. "We experienced great hardships." Better, "We sufferel."

Extend. This verb, the primary meaning of which is to stretch out, is used, especially by lovers of big worls, in eonnections where to give, to show, or to offer would be preferable. For example, it is certainly hetter to say, "They showed me every courtesy," than "They extended every courtesy to me." See Every.

False Grammar. Some examples of false grammar will show what every one is the better for knowing : that in literature nothing should be taken on trust ; that errors of grammax
even are found where we should least expect them. "I d, not know whether the imputation were just or not." Enerson. "I proceeded to inquire if the 'extract' . . . wore a voritable quotation."-Emerson. Should be was in bo: eases. "How sweet the moonlight sleeps!"-Townsend, "A" of Speech," vol. i, p. 114. Shoull be sweetly. "There is 1 . question but these arts . . . will greatly aid him," etc.-Ibid., p. 130. Should be that. "Nearly all who have been disti:!guished in literature or oratory have made . . . the generoli eonfession that their attainments have been reached throus ${ }_{s}$ patient and laborious industry. They have declared that speaking and writing, though once difficult for them, her become well-nigh reereations."-Ibil., p. 143. The have bet. should be were, and the have become should be became. "Mans" pronominal adverbs are correlatives of each other."-Hark ness's "New Latin Grammar," p. 147. Should be one another. "Hot and cold springs, boiling spriugs, and quiet springs lic within a few feet of each other, but none of them are proper'," gevsers."—Appletons' "Condensed Cyclopedia," vol. ii, 1 ' 414. Should be one another, and not one of them is proper'y : geyser. "How much better for you as seller and the natio" as buyer . . . than to sirk . . . in eutting one anothe," throats." Should be each other's. "A minister, noted ff. prolixity of style, was onee preaching before the immates e? lunatic asylum. In one of his illustrations he painted a see of a man condemnel to be hung, but reprieved under $t$ : gallows." These two scatences are, so fanlty that the on' way to mend them is to rewrite them. They are from a wh. that jrofesses to teach the "art of speceh." Mended: ". minister, noted for his prolixity, once preacheal before t? inmates of a lunatic asylum. By way of illustration f. painted a seene in which a $\quad \mathrm{man}$, who had been condemned to be hanyed, was reprieved under the gallows."

Female. The terms male and fenule are not unfreguently used where good taste wonld suggest some other word. For example, we see over the doors of school-honses, "Entrance for males," "Entriute for females." Now bucks and bulls are males as well as boys and men, and cows and sows are females as well as girls and women.

Fetch. See Bring.
Fewer. S'ee Less.
Final Completion. If there were such a thing as a plurality or a series of completions, there would, of course, be such a thing as the final completion; but, is every completion is final, to talk about a final completion is as abourd as it wonld be to talk about a final finality.

First rate. There are poople who object to this phase, and yet it is well enough when properly placed, as it is, for example, in such a sentence as this: "He's a 'tirst-class' fellow, and I like him first rate; if I didn't, 'you bet I'd just give him 'hail Colnmbia' for 'blowing' the thing all round town like the big fool that he is."

Firstly. George Washington Moon. says in defense of firstly: "I do notobject to the occasional use of first as an adverb; but, in sentences where it woull be followed by secondly, thirdly, etc., I think that the adverbial form is preferable." To this, one of Mr. Moon's eritics replies: "How. ever desiathle it may be to employ the word first $y$ on certair occasions, the fact remains that the employment of it ou any oceasion is not the best usiuge." Wobster inserts firstly, but remarks, "Improperly used for first."

Flee-Fiy. These verbs, though near of kin, are not interchangeable. For example, we cam not say, "He flew the city," "He glew from his enemies," "He glew at the approaten of dangor," flew being tho imperfece tense of to fly, which is
properly used to express the action of birds on the wing, of kites, arrows, etc The imperfect tenso of to flee is fled; hence, "HIe, Me, the sity"," ete.

Forcible-feeble. This is a "novicy" kind of diction in which the woull-he foreible writer defeats his object by the overuse of expletives. Examples: "And yet the areat centralization of wealth is one of the [great] evils of the day. All that Mr. ——nttcr: [says] upon this point is forcille and just. 'This centralization is due to the enormous reproductive power of capital, to the immense advantage that rostly and complicated machincry gives to great [large] est blishmente, and to the marked difference of personal force mong men." The first !freut is misplaced ; the word utters is misused ; the secoud wreat is ill-chosen. The other words in italies only enfeeble the sentence. Again: "In countries where immense [large] estates exist, a breaking up of these vanst demesnes into many minor freeholds would no doult be a [of] very great advantage." Suistitute larye for immense, and take out vast, many, and very, and the language becomes much more foreible. Again: "The reryf first cflect of the - taxation plan wonld be destructive to the interests of this great multitule [class]: it would impoverish our imumerable farmers, it would confiscate the earnings of [our] industrious tradesmen and artisans, it would [and] paralyze the hopes of struggling millions." What a waste ff portly expletives is here! With them the sentence is high-flown and weak; take them ont, and introduce the words inclosed in brackets, and it becomes simple and forcible.

Friend-Acquaintance. Some philosopher has said that he who has half a dozen friends in the course of his life may esteem himself fortunate; and yet, to judge from many people's talk, one would suppose they had friends by the scorc. No man knows whether he has any friends or not
until he has "their adoption tried"; hence, he who is desirous to eall things by their right names will, as a rule, use the word acruaintence instead of frieml. "Your friend" is a fasorite and very objectionable wiy mony people, especially young people, have of writing themselves at the bottom of their letters. In this way the obscure stripling protests himsolf the presin of the first man in the land, and that, too, when ha is, perhaps, a comparative stranger and asking a fayor.

Galsome. Here is a good, sonorous Anglo-Saxon wordmeaning malignant, venomous, churlish-that has fallen into disuse.

Gantleman. Few things are in worse taste than to use the term gentleman, whether in the singular or plural, to designate the sex. "If I was a gentleman," says Miss Snooks. "Genticmen have just as much curiosity as ladies," says Mrs. Jenkins. "Gicutlemen have so much mere liberty than we ladies have," says Mrs. Parrenue. Now, if these ladies were ladies, they wouk in each of these cases use the word man instead of goulteman, and roman instead of lady; further, Miss Snonks would say, "If I were." Well-bred men, men of culture and refinement-gentlemen, in short-nse the terms lady and !patteman comparatively little, and they are especially eareful not to call themselves gentlemen when they can avoid it. A gentleman, for eximple, does not say, " I, with some other gentlemen, went," etc.; he is careful to leave out the word other. The men who use these terms most, and especially those who lose no opportunity to proclaim themselves geutlemen, belong to that class of men who cock their hats on one side of their heads, and often wear them when and where gentlemen would remove them; who pride themselve on their familiarity with the latest slang; who proclaim
their independence by showing the least possible considera. tion for others; who laugh long and loud at their own wit; who wear a profusion of cheap finery, such as outlandish watch-chains hooked in the lowest button-hole of their vests, Brazilian diamonds in their shirt-bosoms, and big seal-rings on their little fingers; who use bad grammar and interlard their conversation with big oaths. In business correspondence Smith is addressed as Sir, while Smith \& Brown are often addressed es Gentlemen-or, vulgarly, as Gents. Better, much, is it to address them as Sirs.

Since writing the foregoing, I have met with the following paragraph in the London publication, "All the Year Round": 'Socially, the term 'genticman' has become almost vulgar. It is eertainly less employed by gentlemen than by inferior persons. The one speaks of ' $a$ man I know,' the other of ' $a$ gentleman I know.' In the one case the gentleman is taken for granted, in the other it seems to need specification. Again, as regarels the term 'lady.' It is quite in accordance with the usages of socicty to speak of your acquaintance the duchess as 'a very nice person.' People who would say - very nice lady' are not generally of a social elass which has much to do with duchesses ; an? if yon speak of one of these as a 'person,' you will soon be made to feel your mistake."

Gents. Of all vulgarisms, this is, perhaps, the most offensive. If we say gents, why not say ludes?

Gerund. "' I have work to do,' ' there is no more to say,' are phrases where the verb is not in the common intinitive, but in the form of the gerund. 'He is the man to do it, or for doing it.' 'A house to let,' 'the course to steer by,' 'a place to lie in,' 'a thing to be done,' 'a city to take refuge in,' ' the means to do ill deeds,' are adjective gerunds; they may bo expanded into clauses: ' $a$ house that the owner lets or will let'; 'the course that we should stecr by'; 'a thing that
should be done'; 'a city wherein one may take refuge'; 'the means whereby ill deeds may be done.' When the to ceased in the twelfth ceutury to be a distinctive mark of the dative infinitive or gerund, jor was introduced to make the writer`s intention clear. Hence the faniliar form in 'what went ye out for to sec?' 'they eame for to show him the temple.' '"-Bain.

Get. In sèntences expressing simple possession-as, "I have got a book," "What has he got there?" "Have you got any news?" "They have got a new house," etc.-got is entirely superfluous, if not, as some writers contend, absolntely incorrect. Possession is completely expressed by have. "Foxes have holes; the birds of the air have nests"; not, "Foxes have got holes ; the birds of the air have got nests." Formerly the imperfent, tense of this verb was $g a t$, which is now obsolete, and the perfent participle was gotten, which, some grammariaus say, is growing obsolete. If this be true, there is no good reason for it. If we say eaten, written, striven, forgotten, why not say gotten, where this form of the participle is more euphonious-as it often is-than got?

Goods. This term, like other terms used in trade, should be restricted to the vocalbulary of commerce. Messrs. Arnold \& Constable, in common with the Washington Market hucksiar. very properly speak of their wares as their goods; but Mrs. A.rnold and Mrs. Constable should, and I doubt not do, speak of their gowns as being made of fine or coarse silk, cashmere, muslin. or whatever the material may be.

Gould against Alford. Mr. Edward S. Gould, in his review of Dean Alford's "Quecu's English," remarks, on page 131 of his "Good English": "And now, as to the style* of the Dean's book, taken as a whole. He must be held respon-

[^2]sible for every error in it; because, as has been shown, he has had full leisure for its revision.* The errors are, nevertheless, mmerons; and the shortest way to exhibit them ist in tabular form." In several instinnees Mr. Gould wonld not have taken the Dean to task had he known Euglish better. The following are a few of Mr. Gould's corrections in which he is clearly in the right:
Paragraph
4. "Into another laul thun"; should be, "into a land other than."
16. "We do not follow rule in spelling other worls, but custom"; should be, "we do not follow rule, but custom, in spelling," ete.
18. "The distinction is observel in French, but never appears to have been made," ctc.; real, "appectis never to have been made."
61. "Rather to aspirate more than less"; should he, "to aspirate more rather then less."
9. "It is sail also only to oceur three times," etc.; read, occur only tince times."
44. "This doubling only tekes place in a syllable, ete.; read, "takes place only."
14.. "Which ean only bo decided when those circumstances are known "; read, "can be decided only when," ete.
166. "I will only say that it producos," ete.; read, "I will say only," "etc.
170. "It is said that this ean only be filled in thus"; read, " can be filleel in onty thus."
368. "I cin only deal with the complaint in a general way"; read, "deal with the complaint only," etc.
86. " $h$ so far as they are idiomatic," ctc. What is the use of in?
171. "Try the experiment"; "tried the experiment." Real, make and made.
345. "It is most generally used of that very sect," ete. Why most?
362. "The joining together two elanses with a third," ete.; read, "of two clanses," etc.

[^3]Gown. See Dress.
Graduated. Stulents do not graduate ; they are graduatel. Hence most writers nowalays say, "I wec, he was, or they were gradmated"; and ask, "When were you, or was he, graduatel?"

Grammatical Errors. "The correctness of the expres. sion grammatical error's has been disputed. 'How,'it has been asked, 'can an error be grammatical?' How, it may be replied, can we with propriety say, grammatically incorrect? Yet we can do so.
"No one will question the propriety of saying gremmatically correct. Yet the expression is the acknowledgment of things frammatically incorrect. Likewise the phrase iframmatical correctness implies the existence of grammatical iscorrectuess. lf, then, a sentenco is grammatically incorrect, or, what is the samo thing, has arammatical incorrectness, it includes a grammatical error. Grammatically incorrect signifies incorbect with relation to the rules of grammar. Grammuticul errors signifies errors with helation to the ruleg of grammar.
"They who ridicule tho phrase grammatical errors, and substitute the phrase errors in grammar, make an egregions mistake. Can there, it may be asked with some show of retson, be an error in grimmar? Why, grammar is a science founded in our nature, reierable to our ideas of time, relation, methoul ; imperfect, doubtess, as to the system by which it is represented; but surely we can speak of error in that which is crror's eriterion! All this is hypereritical, but hypereriticism mast lee met with its own weapons.
"Of the two expressions-a frammatical error, and an error in grammar-the former is preferable. If one's judirmont ean aceept neither, one mast relinguish the belief in the possibility of tersely expressing the idea of an offence against
grammatical rules. Inteed, it would be difficult to express the idea even by circumlocution. Should some one say, 'This sentence is, according to the rales of grammar, incorrect.' 'What!' the hypercritic may exclaim, 'incorrect! and according to the rules of grammar!' 'This sentence, then,' the corrected person would reply, 'contains an error in grammar.' 'Nonsense!' the hypercritic may shout, 'grammar is a science; you may be wrong in its interpretation, but principles are immutable!'
"After this, it need scarcely be added that, grammatically, no one can make a mistake, that there can be no grammatical mistakes, that there can be no bad grammar, and, consequently, no bad English; a very pleasant conclusion, which would save us a great amount of trouble if it did not lack the insignificant quality of being true."-"Vulgarisms and Other Errors of Speech."

Gratuitous. There are those who object to the ume of this word in the sense of unfounded, unwarranted, unreasonable, untrue. Its use in this sense, however, has the sanction of abundant authority. "Weak and gratuitous conjectures." -Porson. "A gratuitous assumption."-Godwin. "The gratuitous theory."-Southey. "A gratuitous invention."De Quincey. "But it is needless to dwell on the improbability of a hypothesis which has been shown to be altogether gratuitous."-Dr. Newman.

Grow. This verb originally meant to increase in size, but has normally come to be also used to express a change from one state or condition to another: as, to yrow dark, to ! row weak or strong, to grow faint, etc. But it is doubtful whether what is large can properly be said to grow small. In this sense, become would seem to be the better word.

## Gums. See Robbers.

Had have. Nothing could be more incorrect than the bringing together of the se two auxiliary verbs in this manner; and yet we occasionally find it in writers of repute. Instead of "Had I known it," "Had you seen it," "Had we been there," we hear, "Had I have known it," "Had you have seen it," "Ilad we have been there."

Had ought. This is a vulgarism of the worst dessrip. tion, yet we hear people, who would be highly indignant if any one should intimate that they were not laulies and gentlemen, say, "He hud ought to go." A fitting reply would be, "Yes, I think he better had." Ought says all that had ought says.

Had rather. This exptession and hed better are much used, but, in the opinion of many, are indefensible. We hear them in such sentences as, "I hat rather not do it," "You had better go home." "Now, what tense," it is asked," is had do and had !o? If we transpose the words thus, "You had do better (to) go home," it becomes at once apparent, it is assertel, that tine proper word to use in conneetion with rather and better is not had, but would; thus, "I would rather not do it," "You would better go home." Examples of this use of had can be found in the writing of our best authors. For what Professor Bain has to say on this subject in his "Composition Grammar," see Subjusctive Mood.

Half. "It might have been expressed in one half the space." We see at a glance that one here is superfluous.

Hanged-Hung. The irregular form, hung, of the past participle of the verb to hang is most used; but, when the word denotes suspension by the neek for the purpose of destroying life, the regular form, hanued, is always used by careful writers and speakers.

Haste. See Hurre.
Heading. Sce Cartion.

Yealthy - Wholesome. The first of these two words is often improperly used for the second; as, "Onions are a heallhy vegetable." A man, if he is in good health, is hectlhy; the food he eats, if it is not deleterions, is wholesome. A healthy ox makes wholesome food. We speak of healthy surrommlings, a healthy elimate, situation, employment, and of wholesome food, alvice, examples. Heulthiul is generally used in the sense of conducive to health, virtue, morality; as, healthful exercise, the heulthich spirit of the commmitymeaning that the spinit that prevails in the community is conducive to virtue and good morals.

Felpmate. The dietionaries suggest that this word is a cormption of help and meet, as we find these words used in Gen. ii, 18, "I will make him a help meet for him," and that the proper word is helpmeet. If, as is possible, the words in Genesis mean, "l will make hiin a help, meet [suitable] for him," then neither helpmate nor helpmeet has any raison d'être.

Highfalutin. This is a style of writing often called the freshman style. It is much indulged in by very young men, and by a class of older men who instinctively try to make up in clatter for what they lack in matter. Examples of this kind of writing are abundant in Professor L. T. 'Tunnseml's "Art of Speech," which, as examples, are all the better for not being of that exaggerated deseription sometimes met with in the newspapers. Vol. i, p. 131: "Very often adverbs, prepositions, and relatives drift so far from their moorings as to lose themselves, or make attachments where they do not belong." Again, p. 135: "Every law of speech enforces the statement that there is no excuse for such inflated and defective style. [Such style!] To speak thus is treason in the realms and under the laws of langmage." Again, p. 175: "Cultivate figure-making habitudes. This is done by asking the spiritual import of every physical object seen; also by
forming the habit of constantly metaphorizing. Knock at the door of anything met whieh interests, and ask, 'Who lives here?' The process is to look, then close the eyes, then look within.', The blundering inanity of this kind of writing is equalled only by its bumptious grandiloquence. On p. 187 Dt. Townsend quotes this wholesome admonition from Coleridge: "If men would only say what they have to say in plain terms, how much more eloquent they wouht be!" As an example of reportorial highfalutin, I submit the following: "The spirit of departed day had joined communion with the myriad ghosts of eenturies, and four full hours fled into etemity before the citizens of many parts of the town found out there was a freshet here at all."

Hints. "Never write about any matter that you do not well understand. If you elearly understand all about your matter, you will never want thonghts, and thoughts instantly become words.
"One of the greatest of all faults in writing and in speaking is this: the use of many words to say little. In order to guard yourself against this fault, inquire what is the substance, or cmount, of what yon have said. Take a loug speech of some talking Lord and put down upon paper what the amount of it is. Yon will most likely find that the amomut is very small; but at any rate, when you get it, you will then be able to examine it and to tell what it is worth. A very few examinations of the sort will so frighten you that you will be for ever after upon your guand aganst talkiag a great deal and scubiay little."-Cobiseth.
" Be simple, bo unafiected, be honest in your speaking and writing. Never use a long word where a short one will do. Call a spade a spale, not a well-known obiong instrument or mumal husbendry; let home be home, not a residence; a place a place, not a locality; and so of the rest. Where a shaia
word will do, you always lose by using a long one. I'ou lose in clearness; you lose in honest expression of your meaning; and, in the estimation of all men who are qualitied to judge, you lose your reputation for ability. The only true way to shine, even in this false world, is to be modest and unassuming. Falschood may be a very thick crust, but, in the course of time, truth will find a place to break through. Elegance of language may not be in the power of all of us; but simplicity and straightforwardness are. Write much as yon would speak; speak as you think. If with your inferiors, speak no coarser than usual ; if with your superiors, no finer. Be what you say; and, within the rules of prudence, say what you are."-Dean Alford.
"Go critically over what you have written, and strike out every word, phrase, and clanse which it is found will lcave the sentence neither less clear nor less forcible than it is withont them."-Swinton.
"With all watchfulness, it is astonishing what slips are made, even by good writers, in the employment of an inap. propriate word. In Gibbon's 'Rise and Fall,' the following instince occurs: 'Of nineteen tyrants who started up after the reign of Gallienus, there was not one who enjoyed a life of peace or a natural death.' Alison, in his 'History of Europe,' writes: 'Two great sins-one of omission and one of commis-sior-have been commilled by the states oi Europe in modern times.' And not long since a worthy Scotch minister, at the close of the services, intimated his intention of visiting some of his peopie as follows: 'I intend, cluring this week, to visit in Mr. M--'s district, and will on this occasion take the opportunity of embracing all the servants in the distriet.' When worthies such as these offend, who shall call the bellman in question as he cries, 'Lost, a silver-handled silk lady's parasol'"
"The proper arrangement of words into sentences and paragraphs gives clearness and strength. To attain a clear and pithy style, it may be necessary to cut down, to rearrange, and to rewrite whole passages of an essiry. Gibbon wrote his 'Memoirs' six times, and the first chapter of his 'History' three times. Beginners are always slow to prune or east away any thonght or expression which may have cost labor. They forget that brevity is no sign of thonghtlessness. Much consideration is needed to compress the details of any subject into small compass. Essences are more difficult to prepare, and therefore more valuable, than weak solutions. Pliny wrote to one of his friends, 'I have not time to write you a short letter, therefore I have written you a long one.' Apparent elaborateness is always distasteful and weak. Vividness and strength are the product of an easy command of those small trenchant Suxon monosyllables which abound in the English language."-"Leisnre Hour."
"As a rule, the student will do well to banish for the present all thought of ornament or elegance, and to aim only at expressing himself plainly and clearly. The best ornament is always that which comes unsought. Let him not beat about the bush, but go straight to the point. Let him remember that which is written is meant to be read; that time is short; and that-other things being equal-the fewer words the better. . . . Repetition is a far less serions fault than obscurity. Young writers are often unduly afraid of repeating the same word, and refuire to be reminded that it is always better to use the right word over again than to replace it by a wrong one-and a word which is liable to be misunderstood is a wrong one. A fruak repatition of a word has even sonetimes a kind of charm-as bearing the stamp of truth, the foundation of all excellence of style."-Hall.
"A young writer is afraid to be simple; he has no faith
in beanty madnrned, hence he crowils his sentences with superlatives. In his estimation, turgidity passes for eloquence, and simplicity is but another mane for that which is weak and mumeaning."-George Washington Moon.

Honorable. Sce Reverend.
How. "I have heard how in Italy one is beset on all sides by beggar : real, "heard that." "I have heard how some crities have been preified with claret and a supper, and others laid asleep with soft notes of flattery."-Dr. Johnson. The how in this sentence also should be that. How means the manner in which. We may, therefore, say, "I have heard how he went about it to circumvent you."
"And it is gool judgment alone can dictate how far to proced in it and when to stop." Cobbett comments on this sentence in this wise: "Dr. Watts is speaking here of writing. In snch a case, an alverb, like how fur, expressive of longitudinal space, introduces a rhetorictl figure; for the phain meaning is, that judgment will dictate how mach to write on it and not how far to proceed in it. The figure, however, is very proper and much better than the literal words. But when a figure is begm it should be carried on throughont, which is 1:0t the case here; for the Doctor bagins with a figure of lagitudinal space and enls with a figure of time. It shoula Ine been, where to stop. Or, how long to proceed in it and wind to stop. 'To tell a man how for he is to go into the Libstern comaties of America and when he is to stop, is a very ditiorent thing from telling him how for he is to go and where lee is to stop. I have dwelt thas on this distinction for the lwpose of putting you on the wateh and guarding yon against confounding figures. The less you use them the better, till you understand more about them."

Homanitarianism. This word, $n$ its original, theologienl sense, meats the doctrine that denies the gothead of

Jesus Christ, and avers that he was possessed of a human nature only; a humentitarian, therefore, in the theological sense, is one who believes this doetrine The word and its derivatives are, however, nowalayn, both in this comtry and in England, most used in a hmmane, phikanthropic sense; thins, "The anlience enthmsiastically endorsed the humanitariomism of his eloquent discourse."-Hatton.

Hung. See Hanglid.
Hurry. Though widely differing in meaning, both the verb and the nom hurry are contimally used for laste and hasten. Hury implies not only heste, but haste with confusion, finry; while heste implies only rapidity of action, an eager desire to make progress, and, unlike hurry, is not incompatible with deliberation and dignity. It is often wise to hasten in the affairs of life; lut, as it is never wise to proceed without forethought and methol, it is never wise to hurry. Sensible people, then, may be often in haste, but are never in a hurry; and we tell others to make hesite, and not to huri!g up.

Hyperbole. The magnifying of things beyond their natural limits is called haperbole. Language that signifies, literally, more than the exact truth, more than is really intended to be represented, by which a thing is represented greater or less, better or worse, than it really is, is said to be huperlolical. Hyperbole is exaggeration.
"Our common forms of complimentare almost all of them extravagant lypperboles."-Blair.

Some examples are the following "Rivers of hool and hills of slain."
"They were swifter than eag'es; they were stronger than lions."
"The sky shmank upward with unnsual dread, And trembling 'liber div'd leneath his bed."
"So frowned the mighty combrants, that hell Grew darker a their frown."
"I saw their ehice tall as a rock of ice; his spear the blastel fir; his shield the rising moon; he sat on the shore like a clond of mist on a hill."

Ice-cream-Ice-water. As for ice-cream, there is no such thing, as ice-cream would be the prodnct of frozen cream, i. e., crean made from ice by molting. What is called ice-cream is cream iced ; henee, properly, icel eream, and not ice-cream. The product of melted ice is ice-water, whether it be cold or warm ; but water made cold with ice is iced water, and not ice-water.

If. "I donbt if this will ever reach you": say, "I doubt whether this will ever reach you."

Ill. See Sick.
Illy. It will astonish not a few to learn that there is no such word as illy. The form of the ulverb, as well as of the adjective and the noun, is $i l l$. A thing is ill formed, or ill done, or ill made, or ill constructed, or ill put together. "Ill fares the land, to hastening ills a prey,

Where wealth accumulates and men decay."-.-Goldsmith.
Immodest. This aljective and its synonyms, indecent and indelicate, are often used without proper diserimination being made in their respective meanings. Indecency ind immodesty are opposed to morality: the former in externals, as dress, worts, and looks; the latter in eonduct and disposition. "Indecency," says Crabb, may be a partial, immodesty is a positive and cutire breach of the moral law. Indecency is less than immodesty, but more than indelictey." It is ind cont for a man to marry agaial very soon after the death of his wife. It is indelictle for any one to obtrude himself upon another's retirement. It is iulecent for women to expose their persons as do some whom we can not call immodest.
" Immorlest worls admit of no defence, For want of decency is want of sense."
$\because$ in of Rosenmmon.

Impropriety. As a rhetorical term, defined as an error in using words in a sense different from their recognized signification.

Impute. Non-painstaking writers not unfrecuently use impute instead of ascribe. "The numbers [of blunders] that have been imputed to liin are endless."-."Appletons' Journal." The use of impute in this connection is by no means indefensible; still it wonld have been better to use ascribe.

In our midst. The phrase in our midst and in their midst are generally supposed to be of recent introduction ; and, though they have been used hy some respectable writers, they nevertheless find no favor with those who study propriety in the use of language. To the phrase in the mildst no one objects. "Jesus came and stood in the midst." "There was a hut in the midst of the forest."

In respect of. "The deliberate introduction of incorrect forms, whethe" by the coinage of new or the revival of obsolete and inexpressive syntactical combinadions, ought to be resisted even in trifles, especially whese it leads to the confusion of distinct ideas. An example of this is the recent use of the adverbial phrases in respect of, in regard of, for in or with respect to, or regard to. This innovation is withont any syntac.ical ground, and onght to be condemned and avoided as a mere grammatical crochet."-George P. Marsh, "Lectures on the Euglish Language," p. 660.

In so far as. A phrase often met with, and in which the in is superfluons. "A want of proper oppremity would suffice, in so far as the want could be shown." "We are to act up to the extent of our knowledge; but, in so far as our knowledge falls short," etc.

Inaugurate. This word, which means to install in offico with ecrtain ceremonies, is made, by many lovers of big words, to do service for begin; but the somer these rnetorlica
high-flicrs stop inauguraing and content themselves with simply bergiming the things they are called upon to do in the ordinary routine of rlaily life, the sooner they will cease to set a very bad examplo.

Indecent. See Immodest.
Index expurgatorius. William Cullen bryant, who was a careful student of English, while he was editor of the "New York Evening lost," songht to prevent the writers for that paper from using "over and above (for 'more than'); artiste (for 'artist'); aspirant; al:thoress; beat (for 'defeat'); bagging (for 'capturing'); balance (for 'remainder'); bang:act (for 'dimner' or 'supper'); bogus; easket (for: 'coflin'); claimed (ior 'assertcil'); collided; commence (for 'begin'); c'mpete; cortége (for 'procession'); cotemporary (for 'contemporary'); couple (for 'two'); darky (for 'negro'); day hefore yestertay (for 'the day before yesterday'); debut; decrease (as a verh); democracy (applied to a political party); develop (for 'expose'); devouring element (for 'fire'); donate ; employé; enacted (for 'acted'); indorse (for 'approve'); en roate; es'.; graduate (for 'is gralnated'); gents (for 'gentlemen'); 'Hon.'; Ifouse (for 'House of Representatives'); humbug; inaugurate (for 'begin'); in our midst; item (for ' particle, extract, or paragraph'); is being done, and all passives of this form; jeoparlize; jubilant (for 'rejoicing'); javenile (for 'boy'); lady (for 'wife'); last (for 'latest'); lengthy (for 'lom'); leniency (for 'lenity'); loafer' loan or loaned (for 'lemd' on' 'lent'); locaten; majority (relating to places or ciremmstanices, for 'most'); Mrs. President, Mrs. Governor, Mrs. Gememal, and all similar titles; mutual (for 'common'); ollicial (ior 'officer'); ovation; on yesterday; over his signature; pants (for 'pantaloons'); parties (for 'persons'); partially (for 'partly'); past two weeks (for 'last two weeks' and all similitr expressions relating to a delinite time); puetess; purtion (for
'part'); pisted (for 'infornied'); progress (for 'altrance'); reliahle (for 'tructworthy'); readition (for 'performance'); repudiate (for 'reject' or 'disown'); retire (as an active verb); Lev. (for 'the Rev.'); role (for ' part'); ronghs ; rowdies; secesh; sensation (for 'noteworthy event'); standpoint (for 'point of view'); start, in the sense of setting ont; state (for 'say'); taboo; talent (for 'talents' or 'ability'; talented; tapis; the deceaserl ; war (for 'dispute' or 'disagrement')."

This index is offered here as a emriosity rather than as a guide, though in the main it may safely be used as such. No valid reason, however, ean be urged for discouraging the nse of several words in the list; the words aspirant, banquet, casket, compete, decrease, prorress, start, talented, and deceased, for example.

Indicative and Subjunctive. "'I see the signal,' is uncoulitional; 'if I sec the sigual,' is the stme fact expressed in the form of a condition. The one form is saill to be in the indicutire mood, the mood that simply states or indicutes, the action; the other form is in the sulimuctive, coditional, or conjunctive mool. There is sometimes a slight variation made in English, to show that an atfirmation is made as a condition. The moorl is cathed 'subjnnetive,' becanse the affirmation is sulpigined to another aflirmation: 'If I see the sigmal, I will call out.'
"Such forms as 'I may sce,' 'I can see,' have sometimes been consilered as a varicty of mool, to which the mame ' Potential' is given. lint this can not properly be maintainced. There is no thace of any inflection corresponting to this meaning, as wed fiml with the subjunetive. Jorewer, such a mund would have itself to bo subativided into indicative and subjnuctive forms: 'I may go,' 'if I may go.' And further, we might proceer to eonstitute other moods on the same analogy, as, for exumple, an obligatory moon 'I mu-t
go,' or 'I ought to go'; a mood of resolution- - I will go, you shall go'; a mood of gratification-' 1 am delighted to go'; of deprecation-'I inn grievel to go.' The only difference in the last two iustances is the use of the sign of the infinitive 'to,' which does not oceur after 'may,' 'cam,' 'must,' 'onght,' ete, but that is not an essential diference. Some grammariaus consider the form 'I do go' a separate moorl, and term it the emphatic mood. Butall the ahove objections apply to it likewise, as well as many others."-Ban. Sue Subjuvetive Mood.

Individual. This word is often most improperly used for person; as, "The imlicidual I saw was not over forty"; "There were several individulds on board that I had never seen before." Individual means, etymologically, that which can not be diviled, and is usen, in speaking of things as well as of persons, to express unity. It is opposed to the whole, or that which is divisible into parts.

Indorse. Careful writers generally diseountenance the use of indorse in the sense of sanction, approve, applard. In this signification it is on the list of prohibiterl words in some of our newspaper oflices. "The following rules are intorsed by nearly all writers upon this subject."-Dr. Townsend. It is plain that the right word to use here is approved. "The public will heartily indorse the sentiments uttered by the conrt."-New York " Evening Telegran." "The public will heartily apmore the sentiments expressed by the court," is what the sentence shonld be.

Infinitive IMood. When we can choose, it is generally better to use the verb in the infinitive that in the participial form. "Ability being in general the power of doing," ete Say, to cio. "I desire to reply . . . to the proposal of subl stituting a tax upon land values . . . and melin!t this tax, as near [nearly|as may be, equal to rent," etc. Say, to substi.
tute and to malie. "This quality is of prime importance when the chief object is the imparting of knowledge." Say, to impicert.

Initiate. This is a pretentions word, which, with its derivatives, many persons-enpecially those who like to be grandiloquent-- use, when homely English would serve their turn much better.

Innumerable Number. A repetitional expression to be avoildel. We may say immerable times, or numberless times, but we should not say an innumerable mumber of times.

Interrogation. The rhetorical figure that asks a question in order to emphasize the reverse of what is asked is called interrogution; as, "Do we mean to sulmit to this measure? Do we mean to submit, and consent that we ourselves, our country and its rights, shall be trampled on?"
"Doth God pervert julgment? or doth the Almighty pervert justice?"

Introत- -ce. Sce Present.
Irony. That mole of speech in which what is meant is contrary to the literal meanilig of the worls-in which praise is bestowed when censure is intended-is called irony. Irony is a kind of delicate sareasm or satire-raillery, mockery.
"In writimes of humor, fighes are sometimes used of so delicate a nature that it shall often happen that some people will see things in a direct contrary sense to what the author nod the majority of the realers molerstanl them: to such the mest imocent iromy may appear incligion."-Cambrilge.

Irritaie. : Aghayate.
Is being built. A toherable idea of the state of the disenssion reguding the propriety of using the locution is being built, and all lise expmssions, will, it is hoperd, he obtained flom the following extracts. The Rev. leter Bullions, in his " Uamman of the Linglish Language," says:
"There is properly no passive form, in English, corresporting to the jrouressive form in the active voice, except where it is male by the participle in!, in the passive sense, thus, 'The house is buildins'; 'The graments are making'; - Wheat is selling,' ete. An attempt has been made by some yrammarians, of late, to banish such expressions from the banguage, thongh they have been used in all time past by the hest writers, ani to justify and defend a chmsy solecism, wilh has been recently introduced chiefly through the newspaper press, but which has gained such currency, and is becming so familiar to the ear, that it seems likely to prerail, with all its uncouthness and deformity. I refer to such expressions as 'The honse is being built'; 'The letter is being written'; 'The mine is being worked'; 'The news is being \{elegraphed,' etc., etc.
"'his mode of eypression had no pxistence in the language till willin the lust fifty yeurs. * This, imdeed, would not make the expression wrong, were it otherwise mexerptionable; hut its recent origin shows that it is not, as is pretendel, a necessery form.
"This form of expression, when analy\%ed, is found not to express what it is intented to express, aml would be used only by such as are either igmorant of its import or are c.e. less and loose in their use of language. To make this manifust, let it be consilered, tirst, that there is no monessier. form of the verb to be and no need of it; hen" - Te is no suth expression in Finglish as is bring. Of coms the expressimin 'in being built,' for example, is not a compomed of is being ami buit, but of is and being buit: that is, of the verb oo be and the present ircimple pussive. Now, let it be olscerved that the only ver!s in which the present participle passive expresess a contimed action are those mentioned above as the

[^4]first class, in which the regular passive form expresses a confinuance of the action; as, is lored, is desired, etc., and in which, of consse, the form in question (is lein!! buitt) is not required. Nohody would think of saying, 'He is being loved'; 'This result is being desired.'
"The use of this form is justified only by condemning an astablished usage of the langnage; namely, the passive sense in some verbs of the participle in ing. In reference to this it is flipmantly asked, 'What does the house luild?' 'What doen the letter write?' etc.- taking for granten, without attcmpting to prove, that the participle in ing can not have a passive scuse in any verb. The following are a few examples from writers of the hest reputation, which this novelty wonld eondemin: 'While the ceremony was performing.'-Tom Brown. 'The court was then holding.'--Sir G. Mekenzie. 'Aul still be doing, never donc.'- Butler. 'The books are selling.'-Allen's 'Grammar.' 'To know nothing of what is transacting in the regions above us.'-Dr. Blair. 'The spot where this new and strange tragedy was acting.'-F. Everett. 'The fortress was building.'-Irving. 'An attemptis making in the English l'arliament.'-D. Webster. 'The chureh now erecting in the city of New York.'-'N. A. Review.' 'These things were transacting in England.'- Bancroft.
"This new doctrine is in opposition to the almost unnnimons juldment of the most distinguished gremmariens and crities, who have consilered the subject, and expressen their views concerning it. The following are a specimen: • Expressions of this kind are condemmed by some eritice; but the nsage is muquestionably of far better anthority, and (accorling to my apprehension) in far better taste, than the more complex Whaseology which some late writers ariopt in its stead; as, "'T", ${ }^{\prime}$ hooks ane bow oheins soll."' - fiooll Brown. 'As to bue notion of iutrouncmén a new and more complex patspite
form of conjugation, as, "The bridge is being built," 'The bridge was being buill," and so forth, it is one of the most absurd and monstrons innovations ever thought of. "The work is now being published," is certainly no better English than, "The work was being publishecl, has been being pub. lished, had been being publishot, shall or will be being published, shall or will hute been being pub/ished," and so on through all the moods and tenses. What a language we shall have when our verbs are thins conjngated!'-Brown's 'Gr. of English Gr.,' p. 361. De War observes: 'The partieiple in ing is also passive in mony instances; as, "The house is building," "I heard of a plan forming,"' etc.- Qunted is 'Frazee's Grammar,' p. 49. 'It would be an absurdity, indeed, to give up the only way we have of denoting the ineomplete state of action by a passive form (viz., by the participle in ing in the passive sense).'-Arnold's 'English Grammar,' p. 46. 'The present participle is often used passively; as, "The ship is building." The form of expression, is being built, is being com illtd, etc., is almost universally condemned by grammarians, but it is sometimes met with in respectable writers; it occurs most frequently in newspaper paragraphs and in hasty compositions. Sce Woreester's "Universal and Critical Dictionary."'-Weld's 'Grammar,' pp. 118 and 180. "When we say, "The honse is building," the alveates of the - new theory ask, "Building what?" We might ask, in turn, when you say, "The field ploughs well,"-" l'loughs what?" "Wheat sells well,"-"Sells what?" If usage allows us to say, "Whent sells at a clollar," in a sense that is not aetive, why may we not say, "Wheat is selling at a dollar," in a sense that is not active ?'-Hart's 'Grammar,' p. 76. 'The prevailing practice of the best authors is in favor of the simple form ; as, "The house is building." -Wells' 'School Grammar,' p. 148. 'Several other expressions of this surt
now and then oceur, such as the new-fingled ani most uncouth solecism, "is being done," for the grond ohl Euglish idiom "is doin!""-an absurl periphrasis driving out a pointed aud pithy turn of the English limguage.'--'N. A. Review,' guoted by Mr. Wells, p, 148. 'The phase, "is being built," and others of a similar kind, have been for a few years insinuating themselves into our language; still they are mot Enghish.'-Harrison's 'Rise, Progress, and Presenc Structure of the English Langrage.' 'This mode of expression [the house is being built] is becoming quite common. It is liable, however, to several important objections. It appears formal and pedintic. It has not, as far as I know, the support of any respectable grammarian. The easy and natural expres. sion is, "The house is builling."'-Prof. J. W. Gibbs."

Mr. Richard Grant White, in his "Words and Their Uses," expresses his opinion of the locution is being in this wise: "In bad eminence, at the head of those intruders in language whieh to many persons seem to be of established respectability, but the right of which to be at all is not fully admitted, stands out the form of speech is being done, or rather, is being, which, about seventy or eighty years ago, began to affront the eye, toment the ear, and assault the common sense of the speaker of plain and idiomatic English." Mr. White devotes thirty pages of his book to the discussion of the subject, and adduces evidenee that is more than sufticient to convince those who are content with an ear parte examination that "it can hardly be that such an incongruons and ribiculons form of specel as is being done was contrived by a man who, by any stretch of the name, shonk be included among grammariaus."

Mr. George D. Marsh, in his "Lectures on the English Language," says that the deviser of the locution in question was "some grammatienl pretender," und that it is "a
awkward neologism, which nerther convenience, intelligibility, not syntactical congruity demands."
'To the e gentlemen, and to those who are of their way of thinking. with regarl to is bein!, Di. Fitzedward Hall replies at some length, in an article published in "Suribner's Monthly," for $\Lambda_{p}$ ril, 1572. Dr. Hall writes:
" 'All really well ellacatel in the finglish tongue lament the many innovations introluecl into our lagmace from Anerica; and I doubt if more than one of these novelties deserve aceeptation. That one is, sulotituting a componnd participle for an active verb nsed in a nenter signification: for instance, "The honse is being buit," insteal of, "The house is buildin!." Such is the assertion and such is the opinion of some anonymons luminary,* who, for his liberality in welcoming a supposel Americanism, is somewhat in athvance of the herd of his comitrymen. Almost any populat expression which is considered ats a novelty, a Briton is pretty certain to assume, ofl-ham, to have originated on our side of the Atlantic. Of the assertion I have quoted, no proof is offered; and there is little probability that its anthor hal any to offer. 'Are being,' in the pluase 'are being thrown up,' is spoken of in 'The North American Review'出as 'an out rage upon English idium, "to be detested, abhorren, axe erated, and given orer to six thonsind" pemy-puper editors', and the fact is, that phases of the form here puinted at have hitherto enjoyed very much less favor with us than with the kinglish.

[^5]"As lately as 1560, Dr. Worcester, referring to is being buitt, ete., white acknowledging that 'this new form has leen used by some respectable writers,' speaks of it as having 'been introdneed' 'within a few years.' Mr. Richard Grant White, by a most peentiar process of ratiocination, endeavors to prove that what Jr. W'n'cester calls 'this new form' came into existence just fifty-six years ago. He premises that in Jarvis's translation of 'Don Quixote,' published in 174., there occurs 'were carrying,' and that this, in the edition of 1S1S, is sophisticated into 'were being carriel.' 'This change,' continues our logician, 'and the appearance of i.s being with it perfect participle in a very few books publshed between A. D. 1515 and 1820 , indicate the former period as that of the origin of this phraseology, which, althongh more than half a century old, is still pronounced a novelty as well as a nuisance.'
"Who, in the next place, devised our modern imperfect passive? The question is not, originally, of my asking; but, as the learned are at open feud on the subject, it should not be passed by in silence. Its deviser is, more than likely, as undisenverable as the name of the valiant antediluvian who furst tastel an oyster. But the rleductive chanacter of the miscreant is another thing ; and hereon there is a war between the philosophers. Mr. G. L'. Marsh, as if he had actually spotted the wretched creature, passionately and tategorically denounces him as 'some grammatical pretenter.' 'But.' roplies Mr. White, 'that it is the work of any grammarian is more than doubtful. Grammarians, with all their faults, do not deform langnage with fantastic solecisms, or even seek to emrich it with new and startling verhal combinations. They rather resist novelty, and devote themselves to formulating that which use has alrealy established.' In the
same page with this, Mr. White compliments the great unknown as 'some precise and feeble-minter? sonl,' and elsewhere calls him 'some pedantic writer of the last generation.' Toadd even one word toward a solution of the knotty point here indicated transeconds, I confess, my utmost competence. It is painful to pieture to one's self the agonizing emotions with which certain philologists would contemplate an anthentie etligy of the Attilit of speceh who, by his is being, built or is being clone, first offered violence to the whole cirele of the propricties. So far as I have observel, the first grammar that exhibits them is that of Mr. N. S. Skillern, M.A., the first edition of which was publishel at Gloucester in 1S02. Robert Southey had not, on the !th of October, 1795, been out of his minority quite two months when, evidently delivering himself in a way that had already become familiar enough, he wrote of 'a fellow whose uttermost upper grinder is being torn out by the roots by a mutton-fi,ted barber.'* This is in a letter. But repeated instances of the same kind of expression are seen in Southey's graver 'writings. Thus, in his 'Colloquies,' etc., t we read of ' such [nunneries] as at this time are being reëstablished.'
"'While my hand was being drest lyy Mr. Young, I spoke for the first time.' wrote Coleridge, in March, 1797.
"Charles Lamb speaks of railities which "wre being acted before us,' and of 'a man who is being strangled.'
"Walter Savage Landor, in an imaginary conversation, represents Pitt as saying: 'The man who possessed them may read Swedenborg and Kant while he is being tossed in a blanket.' Again: 'I have seen nobles, men and women,

[^6]kneeling in the street licfore these bishops, when no ceremony of the Catholic Chareh uecs being perjormed.' Nlso, in a translation from Catullas: 'Some criminal is being tried for murder.'
"Nor does Mr. Do Quincey scruplo at such Euglish as 'made and being made.' 'the bide that wen being married to him,' and 'the shafts of Heaven were even now being forred.' On one occasion he writes, 'Not ione, not even (accordng to modern purism) being done'; as if 'purism' meant exactness, rather than the avoidance of neoterism.
"I need, surely, name no more, among the dead, who fomed is being built, or the like, acceptable. 'Simple-minted common peoplo and those of enlture were alike protected against it by their attachment to the idiom of their mother tongne, with which they felt it to be directly at variance.' So Mr. White informs us. But the witers whom I have quoted are formidible exceptions. Even Mr. White will scareely deny to them the title of 'people of culture.'
"So much for offenders past repentance; and we all know that the sort of phraseology under consideration is daily becoming more and more common. Tlic best written of the English reviews, magazines, and jommals are perpetually marked by it; and some of the choicest of living linglish writers employ it freely. Among these, it is enough if I specify Bishop Willerforee and Mr. Charles Reate. *
" Extracts from Bishop Jewe! downward bein! also given, - Lord Micanky, Mr. Dickens, 'Tho Atlantic Monthly,' amd 'The Drooklyn Wagle' are alleged by Mr. White in moof that perple still use such phrases as 'Chelsea Hospital ures bminlin!,' and 'the train zees propurint. 'Hlence we sce,' he

[^7]
## IMAGE EVALUATION TEST TARGET (MT-3)


culds," 'that the form is bein! clone, is being made, is brin! Imilt, lacks the support of authoritative nsage from the periond of the earliest classical English to the present day.' I fully coneur with Mr. White in regarding 'neither "The Brooklyn Eagle" nor Mr. Diciens as a very high authority in the use of language'; yet, when he has renounced the aid of these contemned straws, what has he to rest his inference om, as to the present day, but the practice of Lord Macanlay and 'The Atlantic Monthly'? Those who think fit will bow to the dictatorship here preseribed to them; but there may he those with whom the classic sanction of Southey, Coleriige, and Landor, will not be wholly void of weight. All scholars are aware that, to convey the sense of the imperfeets passive, our ancestors, centuries ago, prefixed, with is, ete., in, afterward corrupted into $a$, to a verbal substantive. . 'The house is in building' could be taken to mean nothing but ardes ariificientur; and, when the in gave place to $a, \dagger$ it was still manifest enough, from the context, that building was goveracel by a preposition. The second stage of change, however, namely, when the a was omitted, entailed, in many cases, great danger of confusion. In the carly part of the last century, when English was undergoing what was then thought to be purification, the polite world sulstantially resigned is a-building to the vulgar. Toward the close of the same century, when, under the influence of free thought, it began to be felt that even ideas lad a right to faithful and unequivocal representation, a just resentment of umbignity was evidenced in the creation of is being built. The lament is too late that the instinct of reformation did not restore the old form. It has gone forever; and we ure now to make the best

[^8]of its snecessors. " "The brass is forging," ' in the opinion of Dr. Johnson, is 'a vicions expression, probably corrupted from a phrase more pure, but now somewhat obsolete, . . . "the brass is aforying." Yet, with a true Tory's timidity nud aversion to change, it is not surprising that he went on preferring what he found estahlished, vicious as it coufessedly was, to the end. But was the expression 'vicions' solely because it was a corruption? In 1757 Willian Beekford wrote ns follows of the fortune-tellers of Lisbon: 'I saw one dragying into ithlit, as 1 passed by the ruias of a palace thrown down by the unthquake. Whether a familiar of the Inquisition was griping her in his clutches, or whelher she was taking to account by som diseppointed volary, I will not pretend 10 answer.' Are the expressions here italicized either perspicuous or graceful? Whatever we are to have in their place, we should be thinkful to get quit of them.
"Inasmuchas, concurrently with buildiag for the active participle, and bring built for the corresponding passive purticiple, we possessed the former, with is pretixed, as the active present imperfect, it is in rigid accordance with the symmetry of our verb that, to construct the passive present imperfect, we pretix is to the latter, producing the form is being built. Such, in its greatest simplicity, is the procedure which, as ewill be seen, has provoked a very levanter of ire and vilifica. tion. Bint anything that is new will be excepted to by minds of a certain order. Their tremulous and impatient dread of removing ancient lamduarks even disqualities them for thoroughly investigating its character and pretensions. In has built and will buith, we limd the active participle perfect and the active infinitive suhjoined to anxiliaries; and so, in hess been built and will be built, the passive participle perfect and he passive infinitive are subjoined to anxiliaries. In is building and is being built, we have, in strict harmony with
the constitution of the perfect and future tenses, an auxiliary followed by the active participle present and the passive participle present. Built is determined as active or passive by the verbs which qualify it, have and be; and the grammarians are rigit in considering it, when embodied in has brilt, as active, since its amlogte, emborlied in has been built, is the exclusively passive beru buitt. Besides this, has been + buitt would signify something like has existed, built,* which is plainly neuter. We are debarred, therefore, from such an analysis; and, by parity of reasoning, we may not resolve is being build into is being + built. It must have been an inspiration of analogy, felt or unfelt, that suggested the form I am discussing. Is being + built, as it can mean, pretty nearly, only exists, built, would never have heen proposel as adequate to convey any but a neuter sense; whereas it was perfectly natural for a person aiming to express a passive sense to prefix is to the passive concretion being built. $\dagger$
"The analogical justification of is being built which I have brought forward is so obvious that, as it occurred to myself more than twenty years ago, so it must have occurred spontaneonsly to hundreds besiles. It is very singular that those wio, like Mr. Marsh and Mr. White, have pondered long and painfully over locutions typified by is being built, should have missed the real ground of their grammatical defensibleness, and should have warmed themselves, in their opposition to them, into uttering opinions which no calm judginent can accept.

[^9]"'One who is being beaten' is, to Archbishop Whately, 'macouth English.' '"'The bridge is being built," and other phrases of the like kind, have pained the eye' of Mr. David Booth. Such plurives, accorling to Mr. M. Harrison, 'are not Euglish,' To Professor J. W. Gilbs 'this mode of expression . . . appears formal and pedantic'; and 'the easy and uatural expreasion is, "The house is builling."'* In all this, little or nothing is discernible beyond sheer prejudice, the prejndice of those who resolve to take their stand against an innovation, regardless of its utility, and who are really to find an argument against it in any random epithet of disparagement provoked by unrsasoning aversion. And the more recent denouncers in tho same line have no more reason on their side than their elder brethren.
"In Mr. Marsh's estimation, is bcing built illustrates 'corruption of language'; it is 'elumsy and unidiomatic'; it is 'at best but a philological coxcombry'; it 'is an awkward neologism, which neither convenience, intelligibility, nor syntactical congruity demands, and the use of which ought, therefore, to be discountenanced, as an attempt at the artificial improvement of the language in a point which needed no amendment.' Again, 'To reject' is building in favor of the modern phrase 'is to violate the laws of language by an arbitrary change; and, in this peculiar ease, the proposed substitute is at war with the genins of the English tongue.' Mr. Marsh seems to have funcied that, wherever he points wut a beauty in is buildiny, he points out, inclusively, $n$ blemish in is being built.
" The fervor and feeling with which Mr. White advances to the charge are altogether tropical. 'The full absurdity of

[^10]tiit phrase, the essence of its nonsense, seems not to havs been hitherto pointed out.' It is not 'consistent with reason'; 'und it is not 'eonformed to the nomal development of the limgnage.' It is 'it monstrosity, the illugical, confusing, in. : :curate, umidiomatic character of which 1 have at some I 'ugth, but yet impurfectly, set forth.' Fiamlly, 'In fact, it becus nothing, and is the most incongruous combination of vouds and ideas that ever attaincd respectable nsage in any wataed langnage.' These be 'prave'ords'; and it seems a futy that so much sterling vituperative ammmition should bo - veneded in vain. And that it is so expended thinks Mr. White himself; for, though passing sentence in the spirit of a defferys, he is not really on the jud gment-seat, but on the lhwest hassock of despair. As coneerns the mode of expressime exemplified by is being built, he owns that to check its dillusion wonld be a hopeless mulertaking.' If so, why not werve himself for service against some evil not avowelly beyond remedy?
"Again we read, 'Some precise aml feeble-minded son, having been taught that there is a passive voice in English, mad that, for instance, building is an active participle, and builded or buit a passive, felt conseientions seruples at saying "the house is building." For what eould the house build?' As children say at play, Mr. White burns here. If it had ocomre! to him that the 'conscientions scruples' of his hypothetical, 'precise, and feeble-minded soul' were roused hy been luilt, not by built, I suspect his chapter on is being buitt would have been much shorter than it is at present, and very different. 'The fatal absurdity in this phrase consists,' he tells $u s$, 'in the combination of is with being; in the making of the verb to he a supplement, or, in grammarian's phrase, an auxiiary to itself-in absurlity so palpable, so monstrons, so ridiculons, that it should need only to be
pointed out to le scouted.'" Lastly, 'The question is thus narrowed simply to this, Does to be being (esse ens) mean anything mere o: other than to be?'
"Having convictel Mr. White of a mistaken analysis, I am not eoncerned with the olservations which he founds on his mistake. However, even if his amalysis had been correct, some of his arguments would avail him uothing. For instance, is bring fuitt, on his mmerstanding of it, that is to say, is leing + built, he represents by ens adificatus est, as 'the supposel corresponding Latin phrase.' $\dagger$ The Latin is illegitimate ; and he infers that, therefore, the English is the same. But celfificuss est, a trauslation, on the model which he offers, of the netive is building, is quite as illegitimate as ens culificutus ext. By parity of non-sequilur, we are, therefore, to surremler the active is luiding. Assume that a phrase in a given language is intefensible unless it has its counterpart in some other language; from the wery conception and definition of an idiom every idiom is illegitimate.
"I now pass to another point. 'To be aul to exist a e,' to Mr. White's apprehension, 'ferfect synonyms, or more nearly perfect, perhaps, that any two verbs in the language. In some of their meanings there is a shade of diflerence, but in others there is none whatever; and the latter are those which serve our present jurpose. When we say, "He, being forewarned of danger, fled," we say, " He, existin! forewarned of

[^11]danger, fled." When we say that a thing is done, we say that it exists done. . . . Is being clone is simply exists existing done.' But, since is and exists are equipollent, and so being and existing, is being is the same as the mimpeachahle is existing. Q. non E. D. Is existing ought, of course, to be no less objectionable to Mr. White than is being. Just as absurd, too, should ho reckon the Italian somo stato, erct stato, sia stato, fossi stato, saro stato, surei stato, essere stato, and essendo stato. For in Italian both essere anc. stare are required to make up the verb substantive, as in Jatin both esse and the offspring of fuere are required; ard stare, primarily 'to stand,' is modified into a true auxiliary. The alleged 'full alsurdity of this phrase,' to wit, is being bui't, ' the essence of its nonsense,' vanishes thus into thin air. So I was about to comment bluntly, not forgetting to regret that any gentleman's cultivation of logic shonld fructify in the shape of irrepressible tendencies to suicide. But this would he precipitate. Agreeably to one of Mr. White's judicial placita, which I make no apology for citing twice, ' no man who has preserved all his senses will doubt for a moment that "to exist a mastiff or a mule" is absolutely the same as "to be a mastiff or a mule."' Declining to admit their ilentity, I have not preserved all my senses; and, accordingly-though it may be in me the very superfetation of lunacy-I would eaution the reader to keep a sharp eye on my arguments, hereabouts particularly. The Cretan, who, in declaring all Cretans to be liars, left the question of his veracity doubtful to all eternity, fell into a pit of his own digging. Not unlike the unfortunate Cretan, Mr. White has tumbled headlong into his own suare. It was, for the rest, entirely unavailing that he insisted on the insanity of ihose who should gainsay
fundamental postulate. Sanity, of a crude sort, may accept it; and sanity may put it to a use other than is propounder's.
"Mr. Marsh, after setting forth the all-sufliciency of is buihling, in the passive sense, goes on to say : 'The reformers who object to the phrase I am defending must, in consistency, employ the proposed substitute with all passive participles, and in other tenses as well as the present. They must say, therefore, "The subscription-paper is bein!g missed, but I know that a considerable sum is being vantent to make up the amount"; "the great Victeria Bridge has been being built more than two years"; "when I reach London, the ship Leviathan will be being built"; "if my orders had been followed, the coat would hare been being made yesterday"; "if the house had then been being buit, the mortar woud have been being mixed."' We may reply that, while awkward instances of the old form are most abundant in our literature, there is no fear that the repulsive elaborations which have been worked out in ridicule of the new forms will prove to have been anticipations of future usage. There was a time when, as to their adverbs, peeple compared them, to a large extent, with -er and -est, or with more and most, just as their ear or pleasure dictated. They wrote plainlier and plainliest, or more plainly and most plainly; and some adverbs, as early, late, often, seldom, and soon, we still cempare in a way now become anomalous. And as our forefathers treated their adverbs we still treat many adjectives. Furthërmore, obligingness, preparcdness, and designedly seem quite natural; yet we do not feel that they authorize us to talk of 'the seeingness of the eye,' 'the understooduess of a sentence,' or of ' $a$ statement acknowledlyedly correct.' 'The now toe notorious fact' is tolerable; but 'the never to be sufficiently execrated monster Bonaparte' is intolerable. The sun may be shorn- of his splendor; but we do not allow cloudy weather to shear him of it. How, then, can auy one clain that a man who prefers to say is bein! built should say has been being built? Are not
a whard instances of the old form, typified by is buiding, we easily to be pricked out of extan literature as such imstancer of the new form, likely ever to he usal, are to bo invented? And 'the refnmers' have not forsworn their ears. Mr. Marsh, at p. 135 of his admirable 'Lectures,' luys town that 'the alljective reliable, in the sense of worthy of confilence, is altogether unidiomatic'; and yet, at p. 112, he wites 'reliable evidence.' Again, at p. 396 of the same work, he rules that whese, in 'I passed a house achose windows were open,' is ' by no merns yet fully estallished': and at p. 145 of his very learned 'Man and Nature' he writes 'a quadrangular pyramid, the perpendicular of whose sides,' etc. Really, if his own judgments sit so very loose on his practical conscience, we may, without being chargeable with exaction, ask of him to relas a little the rigor of his repitiements at the hands of his neighlors.


#### Abstract

" Beekford's Lisbon fortune-teller, before had into court, was 'dragging into light,' and, perchance, 'was laking to account.' Many moderns would say and write 'being drof!ged into light,' and 'ras beiny taken to account.' But, if we are to trust the conservative critics, in comparison with expressions of the fomer pattern, these of the latter are 'mucouth,' 'clumsy,' 'awkwarl neologisms,' 'philological coxcombries,' 'formal and petlantic,' 'incongruons and ridiculons forms of speech,' 'illogical, confusing, inacenate monstrosities.' Moreover, they are neither 'consistent with reason' nor 'conformed to the normal development of the langnage'; they are 'at war with the genins of the Enghish tongue'; they are 'midiomatic'; they are 'not English.' In passing, if Mr. Marsh will so define the term unidiomutic as to evinee that, it has any applicability to the case in hamd, or if he will arrest and photograph 'the genins of the Jinglish tongue,' sol that we may know the original when we mect with it, he will confor
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a public favor. And now I subtnit for consideration whether the sole strength of those who decry is being huilt and its congeners does not consist in their talent for calling hard names. If they have not an uneasy subconscionsness that their cause is weak, they would, at least, do well in eschewing the violence to which, for want of something better, the alvocates of weak causes proverbially resort.
"I once had a friend who, for some microucopic penumbra of horosy, was charged, in the words of his accuser, with 'as near an approach to the sin against the Holy Ghost as is practicable to human infirmity.' Similarly, on one view, the feoble potencies of philological turpitude seem to have exhibited their most consummate realization in engendering is being built. The supposed enormity perpetrated in its production, provided it had fallen within the sphere of ethies, would, at the least, have ranked, with its denunciators, as a brand-new exemplification of total depravity. But, after all, what incontestable defect in it has any one succeeded in demonstrating? Mr. White, in opposing to the expression objections based on an crroneous analysis, simply lays a phantom of his own evoking; and, so far as I am informed, other impugners of is being buill have, absolutely, no argument whatever against it over and beyond their repugnance to novelty. Subjected to a little untroubled contemplation, it would, I am confilent, havo ceased long ago to be matter of controversy; but the dust of prejudice and passion, which so distempers the intellectual vision of theologians and politicians, is seen to make, with ruthless impartiality, no exception of the perspicacity of philologists.
"Prior to the evolution of is being built and was being built, we possessed no discriminate equivalents to celificatur and adificabatur; is built and vas built, by which they were rendered, corresponding exactly to adificatus est and adifica-
tus erat. Cum cedificaretur was to us the same as ardificabatur. On the wealth of the Greek in expressions of imperfect passive I need not ilwell. With rare exceptions, the Romans were satisfied with the present-imperfect and the past-imperfect; and we, on the comparatively few occasions which present themselves for expressing other imperfects, shall be sure to huve recourse to the old forms rather than to the new, or else to use periphrases.* The purists may, accordingly, dismiss their apprehensions, especially as the neoterists have, clearly, 5. keener horror of phraseological ungainliness than themselves. One may have no hesitation about saying 'the house is being built,' and may yet recoil from saying that 'it should have been being built last Christmas'; and the same personjust as, provided he did not feel a harshness, inadequacy, and ambiguity in the passive 'the house is building,' he would use the expression-will, more likely than not, elect is in preparation preferentially to is being prepared. If there are any who, in their zealotry for the congruons, choose to adhere to the new form in its entire range of exchangeability for the oll, let it be hoped that they will finl, in Mr. Marsh's speculative approbation of consistency, full amends for the discomfort of encountering smiles or frowns. At the same time, let them be mindful of the career of Mr. White, with his black flag and no quarter. The dead Polonius was; in Hamlet's phrase, at supper, 'not where he eats, but where he is eaten.' Shakespeare, to Mr. White's thinking, in this wise expressed himself at the best, and deserves not only admiration therefor, but to be imitated. 'While the ark was built,' 'while the ark

[^12]was preparel,' writes Mr. White himself.* Shakespeare is commended for his ambiguons is eaten, though in eating or an ecting would have been hat only correct in his day, but, where they would have come in his sentence, univocal. With equal reason a man would be rutitled to commendation for tearing his mitton-chops with his fingers, when he might cut them up with a knife and fork. '/s enten,' says Mr. White, 'does not mean has been etten.' Viry true; but a contim:ons unfinishod passion-Polonins's still undergoing manducation, to speak Johnsonese-was in Shakespeare's mind; and his worls describe a passion no longer in generation. The King if Denmark's lord coamberlain had no precedent in Herod, when 'he was eaten of worms'; the original, yevóuevos бк $\omega \lambda \eta \kappa \dot{\beta} / \beta \boldsymbol{\beta} \omega \tau$ оs, yielding, but for its participle, 'he became worm-eaten.'
" Having now done with Mr. White, I am anxious, before taking leave of him, to record, with all emphasis, that it would be the grossest injustice to write of his elegant 'Life and Genius of Shakespeare,' a bouk which does eredit to American literature, in the tone which I have found unavoidable in dealing with h_s 'Words and their Uses.'"

The student of English who has honestly weighed the arguments on both sides of the question, must, I believe, be of opinion that our language is the richer for having two forms for expressing the Progressive Passive. Further, he must, I believe, be of opimion that in very many cases he conforms to the most approved usage of our time by employing the old form; that, however, if he were to employ the old form in all cases, his meaning would sometimes be uncertain.

It. Cobbett discourses of this little neuter pronoun in this wise: "The wo:d $i t$ is the greatest troubler that I know of in language. It is so small and convenient that few are
careful enough in using it. Writers seldom spare this word. Whenever they are at a loss for either a nominative or an objective to their sentence, they, without any kind of ceremony, dap in an it. A very remarkable instance of this pressing of poor it into actual service, contrary to the laws of grammar and of sense, occurs in a piece of composition, where we might, with justice, insist on correctness, This piece is on the subject of grammar; it is a piece written by a Doctor of Divinity and read by him to students in grammar and language in an academy; and the very sentence that I am now about to quote is selected by the author of a grammar as testimony of high authority in favor of the excellence of his work. Surely, if correctness be ever to bo expected, it must be in a case like this. I allude to two sentences in the 'Charge of the Reverend Doctor Abercrombie to the Senior Class of the Philadelphia Academy,' published in 1806; whicn sentences have been selected and published by Mr. Lindley Murray as a testimonial of the merits of his grammar ; and which sentences are by Mr. Murray given to us in the following words: 'The unwearied exertions of this gentleman have done more toward elucidating the obscurities and embellishing the structure of oui language than any other writer on the subject. Such a work has long been wanted, and from the success with which it is executed, can not be too highly appreciated.'
"As in the learned Doctor's opinion obscurities can be elucidateć, and as in the same opinion Mr. Murray is an able hand at this kind of work, it would not be aniss were the grammarian to try his skill upon this article from the hand of his dignified eulogist ; for here is, if one may use the expres. sion, a constellation of obscurities. Our poor oppressed it, which we find forced into the Doctor's service in the second sentence, relates to 'such a work,' though this work is nothing
that has an existence, notwithstanding it is said to be 'executed.' In the first sentence, the 'exertions' become, all of a sudden, a 'writer': the excrtions have done more than 'any other writer'; for, mind you, it is not the gentleman that has done anything; it is 'the cerertions' that have done what is said to be done. The word gentleman is in the possessive case, and has nothing to do with the action of the sentence. Let us give the sentence a turn, and the Doctor and the grammarian will hear how it will sound. 'This gentleman's exertions have done more than any other writer.' This is on a level with 'This gentleman's dog has killed more hares than any other sportsman.' No doubt Doctor Abercrombie meant to say, 'The exertions of this gentleman have done more than those of any other writer. Such a work as this gentleman's has lorg been wanted; his work, seeing the successful manner of its execution, can not be too highly commended.' Meant! No doubt at all of that! And when we hear a Hampshire ploughboy say, 'Poll Cherrycheek have giv'd a thick handkecher,' we know very well that he means to say, 'Poll Cherrycheek has given me this handkerchief'; and yet we are too apt to laugh at him and to call him ignorant; which is wrong, becanse he has no pretensions to a knowledge of grammar, and he may " ery skillful as a ploughboy. However, we will not langh at Doctor Abercrombie, whom I knew, many years ago, for a very kinil and worthy man. But, if we may, in any case, be allowed to langh at the ignorance of our fellow-creatures, that case certainly does arise when we see a professed grammarian, the author of voluminous precepts and examples on the subject of grammar; prolucing, in imitation of the possessors of valuable medical secrets, testimonials vouching for the efficacy of his literary panacea, and when, in those testimonials, we find most flagrant instances of bad grammar.
"However, my dear James. let this strong and striking instance of the misuse of the word it serve you in the way of caution. Never put an it upon paper without thinking well of what you are about. When I see many its in a page, I always tremble for the writer."

Jeopardize. This is a modern word which we could easily do without, as it means neither more nor less than its venerable progenitor to jeopard, which is greatly preferred by all careful writers.

Just going to. Instead of "I am just going to go," it is better to say, "I am just about to go."

Kids. "This is another vile contraction. Habit blinds people to the unseemliness of a term like this. How would it sound if one should speak of silk gloves as silks?",

Kind. See Polite.
Knights Templars. The name of this ancient body has been adopted by a branch of the Masonic fraternity, but in a perverted form-Knights I'emplar; and this form is commonly seen in print, whether referring to the old knights or to their modern imitators. This donhtless is due to the erroneous in. pression that Templar is an adjective, and so can not take the plural form ; while in fact it is a case of two nouns in apposition-a double designation-meaning Knights of the order of Templars. Hence the plural should he Knights Templars, and not Kinights T'emplar. Members of the contemporaneous order of St. John of Jerusalem were commonly called Knights Hospitallers.

Lady. To use the terin larly, whether in the singular or in the plural, simply to designate the sex, is in the worst possible taste. There is a kind of pin-fenther gentility which seems to have a settled aversion to using the terms mon and woman. Gentlemen amd ladies establish their chains to being called such by their bearing, and not by anogatiog to them.
selves, even indirectly, the titles. In England, the title lady is properly correlative to lord; but there, as in this country, it is used as a term of complaisance, and is appropriately applicd to women whose lives are exemplary, and who have received that sehool and home edncation which enables them to appear to ardvantage in the better circles of socicty. Such expressions as "She is a fine lady, a clever lady, a welldressed lady, a good lady, a modest lady, a charitable lady, an amiable lady: a handsome lady, a fascinating lady," and the like, are studiously avoided by persons of refinement. Ladies say, "we romen, the women of Anerica, women's apparel," and so on ; vulgar women talk about $*$ us ladies, the ladies of America, latlies' apparel," and so on. If a woman of culture and refinement-in short, a larly-is compelled from any cause soever to work in a store, she is quite content to be called a sales-woman; not so, however, with your young woman who, being in a store, is in a better position than ever before. She, Heaven bless her! boils with indignation if she is nut denominated a sales lady. Laily is often the proper term to use, and then it would be very improper to use any other ; but it is very certain that the terms iady and gentleman are least used by those persons who are most worthy of being designated by them. With a nice discrimination worthy of special notice, one of our daily papers recently said: "Miss Jennie Halstcad, daughter of the proprietor of the 'Cincinnati Commercial,' is one of the must brillinit young women in Ohio."

In a late number of the "London Queen" was the following: "The terms ladies and gentlemen become in themselves vulgarisms when misapplied, and the improper application of the wrong term at the wrong time makes all tine difference in the world to ears polite. Thus, calling a man a gentlemen when he should be called a man, or speaking of a man as a
man when he should be spoken of as a gentleman; or alluding to a lady as a woman when she should be alluded to as a lauly, or speaking of a woman as a ludy when she should properly be termed a woman. Tact and a sense of the fitness of things decide these points, there being no fixed rule to go upon to determine when a man is a mau or when he is a gentleinan; and, althongh he is far oftener termed the one than the other, he does not thereby lose his attributes of a gentleman. In common parlance, a man is always a man to a man, and never a gentleman; to a woman, he is occasionally a man and occasionally a gentleman; but a man would far oftener term a woman a coman than he would term her a lady. When a man makes use of an adjective in speaking of a lady, he almost invariably calls her a wornan. Thus, he would say, 'I met a rather agreeable voman at dinner last night'; but he would not say, 'I met an agrecable lady'; but he might say, 'A latly, a friend of mine, toll me,' etc., when he would not say, 'A woman, a friend of mine, told me,' etc. Again, a man would say, 'Which of the luelies did you take in to dimer?' He wonld certainly not say, 'Which of the women,' etc.
"Spreaking of people en masse, it would be to belong to a very advanced school to refer to them in conversation as 'pon and women,' while it would be all but vulgar to style them 'ladies and gentlemen,' the compromise between the two being to speak of them as 'ladies and men.' Thus a laty would say, 'I have asked two or three ludies and several men'; she would not saly, 'I have asked several men and women'; neither would she say, 'I have asked several ladies and gentlemen.' Aul, speaking of numbers, it would be very usual to say, 'There were a great many ladies, and but very few men present,' or, 'The ladies were in $t^{1 \text { - } ~ m a j o r i t y, ~ s o ~ f e w ~}$ men being present.' Again, a lady would not say, 'I expect
two or three men,' but she would say, 'I expect two or three gentlemen.' When people are on ceremony with each other [one another], they might, perhaps, in speaking of a man, eall him a gentleman; but, otherwise, it would be more usual to speak of him as a man. Ladics, when speaking of each other [one another], usually employ the term ucman in prefereuce to that of lady. 'Thus they wonll say, 'She is a very goodnatured uomon,' 'What solt of a voman is she?' the term lady being entircly out of place under sueh circumstances. Again, the term yomg laty gives place as far as possible to the term girl, although it greatly depends upon the amount of intimacy existing as to which term is employed."

Language. A note in Worcester's Dictionary says: "Language is a very gene:al • "m, and is not strictly confined to utterance by words, as it is also expressed by the countenance, by the eyes, and ly signs. Tongue refers especially to an original language; as, 'the Hebrew tongue.' The modern languages are derived from the original tongues." If this be correct,othen he who speaks French, German, Eng. lish, Spanish, and Italian, may properly say that he speaks five languages, but only one ton!jue.

Lay-Lie. Errors are frequent in the use of these two irregular verbs. Lay is often used for lic, and lie is sometimes used for lay. This confusion in their use is due, in some measure, donbtless, to the circumstance that lay appears in both verbs, it being the imperfect tense of to lie. We say, "A mason lays bricks," "A slip lies at anchor," etc. "1 must lic down"; "I must lay myself down"; "I must lay this book on the table"; "He lies on the grass"; "He lay,s his plans well"; "He luy on the grass"; "He laid it away"; "He has luin in bed long enough"; "IIe has laid up some money," "in a stock," "down the law"; "He is laying out the grounds"; "Ships lic at the wharf"; "Hens lay eggs";
" H h s ship lay at anchor"; "The hen laid an egg." It will be seen that lay always expresses transitive action, and that lie expresies rest.
"Here lies our sovereign lord, the king,
Whose word no man relies on;

- He never says a foolish thing,

Nor ever does a wise one."

- Written on the bedchamber door of Charles II. by the Eanl of Rochester.

Learn. This verb was long ago used as a synonym of teach, but in this sense it is now obsolete. To teach is to give instruction; to learn is to take instruction. "I will learn, if you will $t$ :ach me." Sec Teach.

Leave. There are grammarians who insist that this verb shoukl not be used without an object, as, for example, it is used in such sentences as "When do you leave?" "I leave to-morrow." The object of the verb-home, town, or whatever it may be-is, of course, underatood; lint this, say these gentlemen, is not permissible. On this point opinions will, I think, differ; they will, however, not differ with regard to the vilgarity of wing leare in the sense of let; thus, "Leave me he"; "Lpite it alone": "Leave her be-don't bother her"; "Leare me sec it."

Lend. See Loav.
Lenghiny. 'This word is of comparatively recent origin, amb, thongh it is said to be an Anericanism, it is a good deal used in Bugiant. The most careful writers, however, both hore and elsewhe:e, math prefer the word long: "a long discussion," "a iong liseouree," etc.

Teniensy. Mr. Goull ealls this word and lenience "two philological abortions." Jenity is modoubtedly the proper word to nse. though both iVehster and Worcester do recognize leniency and lenience.

Less. This word is much used instead of jewer. Less relates to quantity ; fewer to number. Instead of, "There were not less than twenty persons present," we should say, "There were not fewer than twenty persons present."

Lesser. This form of the comparative of little is accounted a corruption of less. It may, however, be used instead of less with propriety in verse, and also, in some cases, in prosc. We may say, for example, "Of two evils choose the less," or "the lesser." The latter form, in sentences like this, is the more euphonious.

Liable. Richard Grant White, in inveighing against the misuse of this word, cites the example of a nember from a rural district, who called out to a man whom he met in the village, where he was in the habit of making little purchases: "I say, mister, kin yer tell me whar I'd bo li'ble to find some beans?" See, also, Apr.

Lie. See Lay.
Like-As. Both these words express similarity; like (adjective) comparing things, as (adverb) comparing action, existence, or quality. Like is followed by an object only, and does not admit of a verb in the same construction. As must be followed by a verb expressed or understood. We say, "He looks like his brother," or "He looks as his brother looks." "Do as I do," not "like I do." "You must speak as James does," not "like James dues." "He died as he haa lived, like a dog." "It is as blue as indigo"; i. e., "as indigo is."

Like, To. See Love.

## Likely. See Apt.

Lit. This form of the past participle of the verb to light is now obsolete. "Have you lighted the fire?" "The gas is lightel." Het for heated is a similar, but much greate!, vulgarism.

Loan-Jend. There are those who contend that there is no such verb as to loan, although it has been found in our literature for more than three hundrod years. Whether there is properly such a verb or not, it is quite certain that it is only those having a vulgar penchant for big words who will prefer it to its synonym lend. Better far to say "Lend me your umbrella" than "Loan ne your umbrella."

Locate-Settle. The use of the verb to locate in the sense of to settle is said to be an Americanism. Although the dictionaries recognize to locate as a neuter verb, as such it is marked "rarely used," and, in the sense of to settle, it is among the vulgarisms that careful speakers and writers are studious to avoid. A man settles, not locates, in Nebraska. "Where do you intend to settle?" not locate. Sce, also, Settle.

Loggerheads. "In the mean time Fiance is at logger. heads internally."-"New York Herald," April 29, 1881. Loggerheads internally? !

Looks beautifully. It is sometimes interesting to note the difference between vulgar bad grammar uid !/enleel bad grammar, or, more properly, between non-painstaking and painstaking bad grammar. The former uses, for example, adjectives instead of adverbs; the latter uses adverbs instead of adjectives. The former says, "This bonnet is trimmed shocking"; the latter says, "This bonnet looks shockingly." In the first sentence the epithet qualifies the verb is trimmed, and consequently should have its adverbial form-shockingly; in the second sentence the epithet qualifies the appearancea noun-of the bonnet, and consequently should have its adjectival form-shocking. The second sentence means to say, "This bonnet presents a shocking appoarance." The bonnet certainly does not really look; it is looked at, and to the looker its appearance is shocking. So we say, in like manner, of a
person, that he or she looks sueet, or charming, or heautifu, or handsome, or horrid, or arrecejul, or timid, and so on, always using an miljectivo. "Miss Corhhan, as Ladly Jeazle, looked charmingly." The grummar of the "New York Herald" would not have been any more incorrect if it had said that Miss Coghlan looked giadly, or sadly, or madiy, or delightedly, or pleasedly. A person may look sick or sickly, but in both cases the qualifying word is an adjective. The verbs to smell, to feel, to sound, and to appear are aiso found in sentences in which the qualifying word must be an adjective and not an adverb. We say, for example, "The rose smells sweet"; "The butter smells goorl, or bad, or fiesh"; "I feel glad, or sad, or bad, or despondent, or annoyed, or nervous"; "This construction sounds harsh"; "How delightful the country appears!"

On the other hand, to look, to fee', to smell, to somen, and to appear are found in sentences where the qualifying word must be an adverb; thus, "He feels his loss keenly"; "The king looked graciously on her"; "I smell it fcintly." We might also say, "He feels sad [adjective], because he fecls his loss lieenly" (adverb); "HI appears well" (adverb).

The expression, "She seemel confusedly, or timidly," is not a whit more incorrect tha: "She looked beautifully, or charming'y." Sce Avjectives.

Love-Like. Men who are at all careful in the selection of language to express their thoughts, and have not an undue leaning toward tha superlative, love few things: their wives, their awecthearts, their kinsmen, truth, justice, and their commtry. Women, on the contrary, as a rule, love a multitude of things, and, among their loves, the thing they perhaps love moot is-tafy.

Luggage-Eagrage. The former of theze words is generally used in lingland, the latter in Americib.

Lunch. This word, when used as a substantive, may at the best be accounted an inelegant abbreviation of luncheon. The dictionarics barely recognize it. The proper phrascology to use is, "Have you lunched?" or, "Have you had y"ar luncheon ?" or, better, "Have you had luncheon?" as we may in most cases presuppose that the person addressed would hardly take anybody's else luncheon.

Luxurious-Luxuriant. The line is drawn mnch more sharply between these two words now than it was iomerly. Luxurious was once used, to some extent at least, in the sense of rank growth, but now all careful writers and speakers use it in the sense of indulging or delighting in luxury. We ta'k of a luxurious table, a luxurious liver, luxurious ease, luxurious freedom. Luxuriant, on the other hand, is restricted to the sense of rank, or excessire, growth or production; thus, luxuriant weds, luxuriant foliage or brauches, luxuriant growth.
"Prune the luxuriant, the unconth refine, But show no mercy to an empty line."-Pope.
Ma.d. Professor Richard A. Proctor, in a recent number of "The Gentleman's Magazine," says: "The word mad in America seems nearly always to mean anyry. For mad, as we use the word, Americans say crazy. Herein they have manifestly impaired the language." Have thiey?
"Now, in faith, Gratiano,
You give your wife too unkind a cause of griof ; An 'twere to me, I would be mad at it."
-"Merchant of Venice."
"And being exceedingly mad against them, I persecuted them even unto strange cities."-Acts $\mathrm{xxvi}, 0$.

Make a visit. The phrase "male a visit," according to Dr. Hall, whatever it once was, is no longer English.

Male. Sce Female.

Marry. There has been some discussion, at one tine and another, with regard to the use of this word. Is John Jones married to Sally Brown or with Sally Brown, or are they married to each other? Inasmuch as the woman loses hername in that of the man to whom she is wedded, and becomes a member of his family, not he of hers-inasmueh as, with few exceptions, it is her life that is mergel in his-it would seem that, properly, Sally Brown is married to John Joncs, and that this would be the proper way to make the annomucement of their having been welded, and not John Jones to Sally Brown.

There is also a difference of opinion as to whether the active or the passive form is preferable in referting to a person's wedded state. In speaking definitely of the act of marriage, the passive form is necessarily used with reference to either spouse. "John Jones was married to Sally Brown on Dec. 1, 1881 "; not, "John Jones married Sally Brown" on such a date, for (unloss they were Quakers) some third person married him to her and her to him. But, in speaking indefinitely of the fact of marriage, the active form is a matter of course. "Whom did John Jones marry?" "Ho married Sally Brown." "John Jones, when he had sown his willd oats, married [married himself, as the French say] and settlerl down." Got married is a vulgarisin.

May. In the sense of can, muy, in a negative clause, has become obsolete. "Though we may say a horse, we may not say a ox." The first may here is permissible; not so, however, the second, which should be can.

Meat. At table, we ask for and offer beef, mutton, veal, steak, turkey, duck, cte., and do not ask for nor offer meat. which, to say the least, is inelegant. "Will you have [not, take] another piece of beef [not, of the beef]?" not, "Will you liave another nicee of ment?"

Memorandum. The plural is memorandt, except when the singular means a book; then the plural is memorandums.

Mere. 'lhis word is not unfrequently misplaced, and sometimes, as in the following sentence, in consequence of being misplaced, it is changed to an alverb: "It is true of men as of God, that worls merely meet with no response." What the writer evidently intelded to say is, that mere words meet with no response.

Metaphor. Animulied comparison is ealled a metaphor; it is a more terse form of expression than a simile. Take, for example, this sentence from Spenser"s "Philosophy of Style": "As, in passing through the crystal, heams of white light are recomposed into the colors of the rainbow; so, in traversing the soul of the poet, the colorless rays of truth are transformed into lorightly-tinted poctry." Expressed in metaphors, this becomes: "The white light of trinth, in traversing the many-sided, transparent soul of the poet, is refracted into iris-huel poetry."

Worosster"s definition of a mefaphor is: "A figure of speceh foumded on the resemblance which one object is supposed to bear, in some respect, to amother, or a figure by which a vord is transfered from a suljeet to which it properly belongs to another, in such a manner that a comiarison is implicil, though not formully erpressed; a comparison or imile connprised in a word; as, "Thy word is a lemp to my eet." " A metaphor differs from a simile in being expressed witl'unt any sigh of comparison; thus, "the silver moon" is a metaphor; "the hoon is bright as silver" is a simile. Ex:mples:
"But look, the morn, in russet mantle elait, Walks der the dew of yon high eastern hill."
"Canst thou not minister to a mind diseasedPluck from the memory a ronted sorrow ?"

## THE VERBALIST.

## "At length Erasinus

 Stemined the wild torrent of a barbarons age, And hrove those holy Vandals off the stage.'"Censure is the tax a man pays to the public for being eminent."

Metonymy. The rhetorical figure that puts the effect for the calluse, the canse for the effect, the container for the thing contained, the sign, or symbol, for the thing signified, or the instrument for the agent, is called intomymy.
"One very common species of metonymy is, when the batge is put for the office. Thus we say the mier for the priesthood; the crown for royalty; for military occupation we say the sword; and for the literary professions, those especially of theology, law, and physic, the common expression is the goon."-Campbell.

Dr. Quackenbos, in his "Course of Composition and Rhetoric," says: "Metonymy is the exchange of names between things related. It is founded, not on resemblance, but on the relation of, 1, Cause and effect; as, 'They have Moses and the prophets,' i.c., tteir writings; 'Gray hairs should be respected,' i.c., old age. 2. Progenitor and posterity; as, 'Hear, 0 Israel !' i. e., descendants of Israel. 2. Subject and attribute; as, 'Youth and beauty shall be laid in dust,' i.e., the young and beautiful. 4. Place and inhabitant; as, 'What land is so barbarous as to allow this injustice?' i.e., what people. 5. Container and thing contained; as, 'Our ships next opened fire,' i. e., our sailors. 6. Sign and thing signified; as, 'The scepter shall not depart from Judah,' i.e., kingly power. 6. Material and thing made of it' as, 'His steel gleamed on high.' i. e., his sworl."
"Petitions having proved musuccessful, it was detarminel to approach the throne moro looldly."

Midst, The. See In our midst.

Mind-Capricious. "Lord Salisbury's mind is capri cious."—"Tribune," April 3, 1881. Sce Equanimity of Mind.

Misplaced Clauses. In writing and speaking, it is as important to give each clause its proper place as it is to place the words properly. The foliowing are a few instances of misplaced clauses and adjuncts: "All these circumstanees brought close to us a state of things which we never thought to have witnessed [to witness] in peaceful England. In the sister island, indeed, we had read of such horrors, but now they were brought home to owr very household hearth."-Swift. Bettor: "We had read, inileed, of such horrors occurring in the sister island," etc.
"The savage people in many places in America, except the govermment of families, have no government at all, and live at this day in that savage manner as I have said before." -Hobbes. Better: "The savage people . . . in America have no government at all, except the government of families," etc.
"I shall lave a comedy for you, in a season or two at farthest, that I believe will be worth your acceptance."Goldsmith. Bettered: "In a season or two at firthest, I shall have a comedy for you that I believe will be worth your zeceptance.'

Among the following examples of the wrong placing of words and clauses, there are some that are as amusing as they are instructive: "This orthography is regarted as normal in England." What the writer intended was, "in England as normal"-a very different thought. "The Normal school is a commodious building capahle of accommolating three hundred students four stories high." "Housenebrer.--A highly respectable middle-aged Person who has been filling the above Situation with a gentleman for upwards of eleven
years and who is now deceased is anxious to meet a similar one." "To Piano-Forte Makers.-A. lady keeping a firstclass school requiring a good piano, is desirous of receiving a danghter of the above in exchange for the same." "The Moor, seizing a bolster boiling over with rage and jealousy, smothers her." "The Dying Zouave the most wonderful mechanical representation ever seen of the last breath of life being shot in the breast and life's blood leaving the wound." "Mr. T- presents his compliments to Mr. H-, and I have got a hat that is not his, and, if he have a hat that is not yours, no doubt they are the expectant ones." Sce Only.

Misplaced Words. "Of all the faults to be found in writing," says Cobbett, "this is one of the most common, and perhaps it leads to the greatest number of "misconceptions. All the words may be the proper words to be used upon the occasion, and yet, by a misplacing of a part of them, the meaning may be wholly destroyed; and even made to be the contrary of what it ought to be."
"I asked the question with no other intention than to set the gentleman free from the necessity of silence, and to give him an opportunity of mingling on equal terms with a polite assembly from which, however uneas:y, he could not then escape, by a kind introduction of the only subject on which I believed him to be able to speak with piopriety."-Dr. Johnson.
"This," says Cobbett, "ir a "ery lad sentence altogetler. 'Hovever unetsy' applies to $\mathbf{s y} \mathrm{ym}^{\prime}, \mathrm{y}$ and not to gentleman. Only observe how easily this might have been avoided. 'From which he, however uneusy, could not then escape.' After this we have, 'he could not chen esrape, by a kind introrluction.' We know what is meant; but the Doctor, with all his commas, leaves the sentence confused. Let us see whether we can not make it clear. 'I asked the question with no
other intention than, by a kind introdurtion of the only subject on which I believed him to be able to speak with propriety, to set the gentleman free from the necessity of silence, and to give him an opportunity of mingling on equal terms with 1 polite assembly from which he, however uneasy, could not then escape.'"
"Reason is the glory of human nature, and one of the chief eminences whereby we are raised above our fellowcreatures, the brutes, in this lower world."-Doctor Watts' "Logic."
"I have before showed an error," Cobbett remarks, "in the first sentence of Doctor Watts' work. This is the second sentence. The words in this lower world are not words misplaced only; they are wholly unnecessary, and they do great harm; for they do these two things: first, they imply that there are brutes in the higher world; and, second, they excite a doubt whether we are raised above those brutes.
"I might greatly cxtend the number of my extracts from these anthors; but here, I trust, aro enough. I had noted down abnut two huncire $l$ errors in Dr. Johnson's 'Lives of the Poets'; but, afterward perceiving that he had revised and corrected 'The Rambler' with ertraordinary care, I chose to make my extracts from that work rather than from the 'Lives of the Pocts.'"

The position of the adverb should be as near as possible to the word it qualifies. Sometimes we place it before the auxiliary and sometimes after it, according to the thought we wish to express. The di...rence between "Tho fish should properly be broiled" and "The fish should be proper'y broiled" is apparent at a glance. "The colon may be properly used in the following cases": should be, "may properly be used." "This mode of expression rather suits a familiar than a grave style": should be, "suits $n$ familiar rather than a
grave style." "It is a frequent error in the writinys even of some good authors": should be, "in the writings of even some goorl authors." "Both the circumstances of contingeney and futurity are necessary": should be, "The-circumstances of contingency and futurity are both necessary." "He has made charges . . . which he has failed utterly to sustain.""New York Tribune." Here it is uncertain at first sight which verb the adverb is intended to qualify: but the nature of the case makes it probable that the writer meant "has utterly failed to sustain."

Mistaken. "If I am not mistaken, you are in the wrong": say, "If I mistake not." "I tell you, you are mistaken." Here mistaken means, "You are wrong; you do not understand"; but it might be taken to mean, "I mistake you." For "you are mistuken," say, "you mistake." If, as Horace and Professor Davidson aver, usage in language makes right, then the grammarians ought long ago to have invented some theory upo w which the locution you are mistaken eould be defended. Until they do invent such a theory, it will be hetter to say you mistake, he mistakes, and so on; or you are, or he is-as the case may be-in error.

More perfect. Such expressions as, "the more perfect of the two," "the most perfect thing of the kind I liave ever seen," " the most complete cooking-stove ever invented," and the like, can not be defended logically, as nothing can be more perfect than perfection, or more complete than completeness. Still such phrases are, and probably will continue to be, used by good writers.

Most. "Dicerybody abuses this word," says Mr. Gould in his "Good English"; and then, in another paragraph, he adds: "If a man would cross ont most wherever he can find it in any book in the Euglish language, he would in alnost every instance improve the style of the book." That this
statement may appear within bounds, he gives many examples from good authors, some of which are the following : "a most profound silence "; "a most just idea"; "a most complete orator"; "this was most extraordinary"; "an object of most perfect esteem"; "a mast extusive erulition"; "he gave it most liberally away"; "it is, most assuredly, not because I value his services least"; " would most serionsly affect us"; "that such a system must most widely and most powerfully," etc.; "it is most effectually mailed to the counter"; " it is most undeniable that," ete.

This word is much, and very erroneously, used for almost. "He comes here most every day." The user of such a sentence as this means to say that he comes nearly every day, but he really says, if he says anything, that he comes more every day than he does every night. In such sentences almost, and not most, is the word to use.

Mutual. This worl is much misused in the phrase "our mutual friend." Macaulay says: "Mutual friend is a low vulgarism for common friend." Mutual properly relates to two persons, and implies reciprocity of sentiment-sentiment: be it whas it may, received and returned. Thus, we say properly, "John and James have a mututl affection, or a mutual aversion," i.e., they like or dislike each other; or, "John and James are mutnally dependent," i. e., they ase dependent on exch other. In usiug the word mutual, care should be taken not to add the words for each other or on each other, the thought conveycd by these words being already expressed in the word mutwel. "Dependent on each other". is the exact equivalent of "mutually dependent"; hence, saying that Johm and James are mutually dependent on each other is as relumdat in form as it would be to say that the editors of "The Great Vilifier" are the biggest, greatest mudslingers in Americia.

Myself. This form of the personal pronoun is properly used in the nominative case only where increased emphasis is aimed at.
"I had as lief not be a live to be In awe of such a thing as I myself."
"I will do it myself," "I saw it myself." It is, therefore, incorrect to say, "Mrs. Brown and myself were both very much pleased."

Name. This word is sometimes improperly used for mention; thus, "I never named the matter to any one": should be, "I never mentioned the matter to any one."

Neighborhood. Sce Vicinity.
Neither. See Eithir.
Neither-Nor, "He would neither give wine, nor oil, nor money."-Thackeray. The conjunction should be placed before the exeluded olject; "neither give" implies neither some other verb, a meaning not intended. Re-arrange thus, taking all the common parts of the contracted sentences together: "He would give neither wine, nor oil, nor money." So, "She can neither help her beauty, nor her courage, non her cruelty" (Thackeray), should be, "She can help neither," etc. "Ho had neither time to intercept nor to stop her" (Scott), shonld be, "He had time neither to intercept," ete. "Some neither can for wits nor critics pass" (Pope), should be, "Some can neither for wits nor eritics pass."

Never. Grammarians differ with regard to the correct ness of using never in such sentences as, "He is in error, though never so wise," "Charm he never so wisely." In sentences like these, to say the least, it is better, in common with the great majority of writers, to use ever.

New. This aljective is often misplaced. "He has a new suit of clothes and a new pair of gloves." It is not the suit and the pair that are new, but the clothes and the gloves.

Nice. Archdeacon Hare remarks of the use, or rather misuse, of this word: "That stupid vulgarism by which we use the word nice to denote almost every mode of approbation, for almost every varicty of quality, and, from sheer poverty of thought, or fear of saying anything cuinite, wrap up everything indiscriminately in this characterless domino, speaking at the same breath of a nice cheese-cake, a nice tragedy, a nice sermon, a nice day, a nice country, as if a universal deluge of niaiserie-for nice seems originally to have been only niais-had whelmed tho whole island." Nice is as good a word as any other in its place, but its place is not everywhere. We talk very properly about a nice distinction, a nice discrimination, a nice calculation, a nice point, and about a person's being nice, and over-nice, and the like; but we certainly ought not to talk about "Othello's" being a nice tragedy, about Salvini's being a nice actor, or New York bay's being a nice harbor.*

Nicely. The rery quintessence of popinjay vulgarity is reached when nicely is made to do service for well, in this wisc: "How do you do?" "Nicely." "How are you?" "Nicely."

No. This word of negation is respondel to by nor in sentences like this: "Let your meaning be obscure, and no grace of diction nor any music of well-turned sentences will make amends."
"Whether he is there or no." Supply the ellipsis, and we have, "Whether he is there or no there." Clearly, the wurd to use in sentences liko this is not no, but not. And yet our best writers sometimes inadvertently use $n o$ with whether.

[^13]Example: "But perhups some people are quite indifferent whether or no it is sail," etc.-Richard Grant White, in "Words and Their Uses," p. 84. Supply the ellipsis, and we have, "said or no said." In a little book entitled "Live and Learn," I find, "No less than fifty persons were there; No fewer," ete. In correcting one mistake, the writer himself makes one. It should be, "Not fewer," etc. If we ask, "The.e were fifty persons there, were there or were there not?" the reply clearly would be, "There were not fewer than fifty." "There was no one of them who would not have been proud," ete., shot be, "There was not one of them."

Not. The correlative of not, when it stands in the first nember of a sentence, is nor or neither. "Not for thy ivory nor thy gold will I unbind thy chain." "I will not do it, neither shall you."

The wrong placing of not often gives rise to an imperfect negation; thus, "John and James were not there," means that John and James were not there in compan!!. It does not exclude the presence of one of them. The negative should precede in this case: "Neither John nor James was there." "Our company was noi present" (as a company, but some of as might have been), should be, "No member of our company was present.'

Not-but only. "Errors fiequently arise in the use of not-lut only, to understand which we must attend to the force of the whole expression. 'He did not pretend to extirpate French music, but only to cultivate and civilize it.' Here the not is obviously misplaced.' 'He pretended, or professed, not to extirpate.' "-Bain.

Notorious. Though this word can not be properly used in any but a bad sense, we sometimes see it used instead of noted, which may be used in either a good or a bad sense. Notorious characters are always persons to be shumed,
whereas noted characters may or may not be persons to be shunned.
"This is the tax a man must pay for his virtues-thoy hold up a torch to his vices and render those frailties notoriirs in him which would pass without observation in another." -Léon.

Novice. Sce Amateur.
Number. It is not an uncommon thing for a pronoun in the plural number to be used in connection with an antecedent in the singular. At present, the following notice may be seen in some of our Broadway omnibuses: "Fifty clollars reward for the conviction of any person caught collecting or keeping fares given to them to deposit in the box." Should be, to him. "A person may be very near-sighted if they can not recognize an aequaintance ten feet off." Should be, it he.

The verb to be is often used in the singular instead of in the piural; thus, "There is several reasons why it would be better": say, are. "How many is there?" say, are. "There is four": say, are. "Was there many!" say, were. "No matter how many there was": say, were.

A verb should agree in number with its subject, and not with its predicate. We say, for example, "Death is the wages of sin," and "The wages of sin are death."
"When singular nouns connected by and are preceded by each, every, or no, the verb must be singular." We say, for example, " Each boy and each girl studies." "Every leaf, and ever!/ twig, and ever!/ drop of water teems with life." "No book and no paper was arranged."

Bucl being singular, a pronoun or verb to agree with it must alss be singular ; thins, "Let them depend eaeh on his own exertions"; "Fach city has its peculiar privileges"; "Eccrybody has a right to look after his own interest."

Eirors are often the result of not repeating the verb;
thus, "Its significance is as varied as the passions"; correctly, "as are the passions." "The words aro as incapable of analysis as the thing signified"; eorrectly, "as is the thing signified."

Observe. The dictionaries authorize the use of this word as a synonym of say and remark; as, for example, "What did you observe?" for "What did you say, or remark?" In this sense, however, it is better to leave observe to the exclusive use of those who relight in being fine.

O'clock. "It is a quarter to ten o'clock.' What does this statement mean, literally? We understemel by it that it lacks a quarter of ten, i. e., of being ten; but it does not really nean that. Inasmueh as to means toward, it rectly means a quarter after nine. We should say, then, a quarter of; which means, literally, a quarter out of ten.

Of all others. "The vice of covetousness, of all others, enters leepest into the soul." This sentence says that covetousness is one of the other vices. A thing can not be another thing, nor can it be one of a number of other things. The sentence should be, "Of all the vices, covetousness enters deepest into the soul"; or, "The vice of covetousness, of all the vices, enters," etc.; or, "The vice of covetousness, above all others, enters," ete.

Of any. This phrase is often used when of all is meant; thus, "This is the largest of any I have seen." Should be, "the largest of all," etc.

Off of. In such sentences as, "Give me a yard off of this picce of calico," either the off or the of is vulgarly superfluous. The sentence would be correct with cither one, but not with both of them. "The apples fell off of the tree": read, "fell off the trec."

Often. This alverb is properly compared by changing its termin ation: often, oftener, oftenest. Why some writers
use more and most to compare it, it is not easy to se. , this mode of comparing it is certainly not euphonious.

Oh-O. It is only the most careful writers who use these two interjections with proper diserimination. The distinction between them is said to be modern. Oh is simply an (xelamation, and should always he followed by some mark of punctuation, usually hy an exclamation pioint. "Oh! you are come at last." "Oh, help him, you sweet heavens!" "Oh, woe is me !" "Oh ! I die, Horatio." $O$, in addition to being an exclamation, denotes a calling to or adjuration; thus, "Hear, O hearens, and give ear, O carth!" "O grave, where is thy vietory!" "O heavenly powers, restoro him!" "O shame! where is thy blush ?"

Older-Elder. "He is the older man of the two, and the oldest in the neighborhood." "He is the elder of the two sons, and the cldest of the family." "The elder son is heir to the estate; he is older than his brother by ten years."

On to. We get on a chair, on an omnibus, on a stump, and on a sprec, and not on to.

One. Certain proneuns of demonstrative signification are called indefinite because they refer to no particular subjeet. This is one oi them. If we were putting a supposition by way of argmment or illustracion, we misht say, "Suppose $I$ were to lose my way in a wood"; or, "Suppose you were to lose your way in a wood"; or, "Suppose one were to lose one's way in a wood." All these forms are used, but, as a rule, the last is to be preferred. The first verges on egotism, and the secon? makes free with another's person, whereas the third is indiflerent. "If one's honesty were impeached, what should one do?" is more courtly than to take cither one's self or the person widressed for the example.

One should be followed by one, and not by he. "The better acquaisted one is with any kind of rhetorical trick, the
hess liable he is to be misled by it." Should be, "the less liable one is to be misled by it."

In the phrase, "any of the little ones," one is the numeral employed in the manmer of a pronom, by indicating something that has gone before, or, periaps, has to come after. "I like peaches, but I must have a ripe one, or ripe ones."

Professor Bain says, in his "Composition Grammar":
"This promom continually lands writers in difficulties. Fuglish idiom requires that, when the pronoun has to be again referred to, it shoukl be used itself a second time. The correct usage is shown by Pope: 'One may be ashamed to eonsume half one's days in bringing sense and rhyme together.' It would be against idiom to say 'half his days.'
"Still, the repetition of the pronoun is often felt to be heavy, and writers have recourse to various substitutions. been an ear accustomed to the idiom ean scarcely accept with ummixed pleasure this instance from Browning :
"'Alack! one lies oneself
Even in the stating that one's end was truth, Truth only, if one states so much in words.'
"The representative 'I' or 'we' occasionally acts the part of 'one.' The following sentence presents a curions alternation of 'we' with 'one'-possibly not accidental (George Eliot): 'It's a desperately vexatious thing that, after all one's reflections and quiet determinations, we should be ruled by moods that one can't ealculate on beforehand.' By the use of 'we' here, a more pointed reference is suggested, while the vagueness actuaily ıemains.
" Fenimore Cooper, like Scott, is not very partieular ; an example may be quoted: ' Modesty is a poor man's wealth; lout, as we grow sabstantial in the world, patroon, one can afford to logerin to speak truth of himself as well as of his neighbour.' Were Cooper a carefnl writer, we might per-
suale ourselves that he chose 'we' ind 'oue' with a purpose: 'we' might inticate that the speaker lated himself and the patroon direutly in his eye, although at the sane time he wanted to put it generally; and 'one' might hist uhat aodesty succeeled in getting the better of him. Ihit 'himself' and 'his' would alone show that such speculations are too .efined for tho occasion.
"The form 'it man,' which was at one time common, seems to be reviving. In 'Adim Bele' we have, ' 1 man can never do anything at variance with his own nature.' We might substitute 'one.'
"'Men' was more frequent in good writing formerly than now. 'Neither do mon light a candle, and put it under a bushol.' 'Ho men gither grapes of thorms?' Hune is fond of expressing a geneial subject by 'men.'
"'Small birls are much more exposed to the cold than large ones.' This usage is hardly 'indefinite'; and it needs no further exemplification."

Only. This word, wher nsed as an adjeetive, is more frequently misplaced than any other word in the langlage. Indeed, I am confident that it is not correctly placed half the time, either in conversation or in writing. Thus, "In its pages, papers of sterling merit [only] will only appuar" (Miss Bruddon); "Things are getting dull down in Tuxas; they only shot [only] three men down there last week"; "I have only got [only] three." Unly is sometimes improperly used for except or unless; thus, "The trains will not stop ouly when the bell rings." The meaning here is clearly "except when the bell rings."

Dr. Bain, in his "Ifigher Euglish Grammar," speakiag of the order of words, salys:
"The word requiring most attention is oidy.
"According to the position of only, the same words ma. be made to express very different meanings.
""He only lived for their sakes." Here only must be he?. as qualifying 'liverl for their sakes,' the emphasis being o: lived, the word immediately adjoining. The meaning then i , ' he lived,' bnt dill not work, did not die, did not do any othel thing for their sakes.
"'He lived only for their sakes.' Only now qualifies 'fo: their sakes,' and the sentence means he lived for this onc reason, namely, for their sakes, and not for any other reason.
' 6 'He lived for their sakes only.' The force of the wort when placed at the end is peculiar. Then it often has a diminutive or disparaging signification. 'He lived for their saken,' and not for any inore worthy reasou. 'He gave sixpence only,' is an insinuation that more was expected.
"By the use of alone, instead of only, other meanings are expressed. 'He alone lived for their sakes'; that is, he, anci nobody else, did so. 'He lived for their sakes alone,' or, 'for the sake of them alone'; that is, not for the sake of any other persons. 'It was alone by the help of the Confederates that any such design could be carvicl out.' Better only.
"'When men grow virtuous in their old age, they only make a sacrifice to God of the devil's leavings.'-Pope. Here only s rig'tly placed. 'Think only of the past as its remembrance gives you pleasure,' should be, 'think of the past, only as its remembrance,' eto. 'As he did not leave his name, it was only known that a gentleman had called on business ': it was known only. 'I can on? , refute the acensation by laying before yon the whole': this would mean, 'the only thing I am able to do is to refnte; I may not retaliate, or let it drop, I must rejute it.' 'The negroes are to appear at elaureh only in boots'; that is, when the negroes go to church they are to linen no elnthing but bante. 'ple nexroes are to appear only
at church in boots' might mean that they are not to appear anywhere but at church, whether in boots or out of them. The proper arrangement would be to connect the adverbial adjunct, in boots, with its verb, appear, and to make only qualify at church and no more: 'the negroes are to appear' in boots only at church.'"

It thus appears very plain that we should look well to our onlys.

Ought-Should. These two words, though they both imply obligation, should not be used indiscriminately. Ought is the stronger term; what we ought to do, we are morally bound to do. We ought to be truthful and honest, and should be respectful to our elders and kind to our inferiors.

Overfiown. Flown is the past participle of to fly, and flowed of to fiow. As, therefore, it river does not fly over its banks, but flows over them, we should say of it that it has overflowed, and not that it has oveyflown.

Overly. This word is now used only by the unschooled.
Owing. See Doe.
Pants. This abbreviation is not used by those who are careful in the choice of words. The purist docs not use the word pantaloons even, but trousers. Punts are worn ly gents who eat lunches and open wine, and trousers are worn by yentlemen who eat luncheons and order wine.

Paraphernalia. This is a law term. In Roman law, it meant the goods which a woman brought to her hurband besides her dowry. In English law, it means the goonls which a woman is allowed to have after the death of her husbind, besides her dower, consisting of her apparel ant ornaments suitable to her tank. When used in speaking of the affairs of every-day life, it is genenully misused.

Parlor. This word, in the senso of drawing-room, accorling to Dr. Hall, except in the United States and some of the English colonies, is olsolete.

Partake. This is a very fine word to use for eat; just the word for young wonen who hobble on French heels.

Partially-Partly. "It is only partially done." This use of the adverb partiully is sanctioned by high authority, but that does not make it correct. A thing done in part is partly, not partially, done.

Participles. When the present participle is used substantively, in sentences like the following, it is precedel by the definite article and followed by the preposition of. The onitting of the preposition is a common error. Thas, "Or, it is the drawing a conclusion which was before either unknown or dark," should be, "the drawing of a conclusion." "Prompted by the most extreme vanity, he persistel in the writing bad verses," should be, "in writing bad verses," or "in the writing of bad verses." "There is a misuse of the article $a$ which is very common. It is the using it before the word most."-Moon. Most writers would hive said "the using of it." Mr. Moon argues for his construction.

Particles. "Nothing but stuáy of the best writers and practice in composition will enable us to decide what are the prepositions and conjunctions that onght to go with certain verbs. The following examples illustrate some common blunders:
"' It was characterized with eloquence': resd 'by.'
"' A testimonial of the merits of his gramuar': read, 'to.'
"'It was an example of the love to form comparisons': read, 'of forming.'
"'Repetition is always to be preferred before obscurity': read, 'to.'
"'He made an effort for meeting them': real, 'to meet.'
"'They have no other object but to come' read, 'other object than,' or omit 'other.'

- Two verbs are not unfrequently followed by a single preposition, which accords with one only; e.g., 'This duty is repeated and inculcated upon the reader.' 'Repeat upon' is nonsense; we must read is repentec to and inculcated upon.' "-Nichol's "English Compersi: inn, 39. We often see for used with the substantive $8!$ " . . . , the best practice, however, uses with; thus, "Words can not express the deep sympathy I feel with you."-Qneen Victoria.

Party. This is a very good word in its place, but it is very much out of its place when used-as it often is by the vulgar-where good taste would use the word person.

Patronize. This word and its derivatives would be much less used by the American tradesman than they are, if the were better acquainted with their true meaning. Then he would solicit his neighhors' custom, not their patronage. A man can have no putrons without incurring obligatiuns without becoming a protégé; while a man may have ewtomors innumerable, and, iustead of placing himself unde: woigations to them, he may place them under obligatir - to 'im. brinees are the patrons of those tradesmen whor : 'llow to call themselves their purveyors; as, "John Smai... Thtarlasher to H. R. H. the Prince of Wales." Here the $\lrcorner$ sir $\varepsilon$ putronizes John Smith.

Pell-mell. This adverb means mixed or mingled together; as, "Men, horses, chariuts, crowded pell-mell." It ${ }^{\circ}$ can not properly be applied to an individual. To say, for example, "He rushed pell-mell down the stairs," is as incorrect as it would be to say, "He rushed down the stairs miced iogether."
 lish, as, for example, is such phases as per day, $p$ or man, per pound, per tou, and so on. In all such cases it is better to use plain English, and say, a day, a man, apound, $a$-ton, etc.

Per is correct before Latin nouns only; as, per annum, per diem, per cent., etc.

Perform. "She per:/orms on the piano beatifully." In how much better taste it is to say simply, "She ploys the piano well," or, more supel latively, "exceedingly well," or "admirably"! If we talk about performing on masionl instruments, to be consistent, we should call those who perfirm, piano-performers, comet-performers, violin-performers, and so on.

Perpetually. This word is sometimes misused for continually. Dr. William Mathews, in his "Words, their Use and Abuse," says: "The Irish are per, etually using sten l for will." Perpetual means never ceasing, continuing without intermission, uninterrupted; while continual meaus that which is constantly renewed and recuring with perhaps frequent stops and interruptions. As the Irish do something besides misuse shall, the Doctor should have said that they continually use shall for will. I might perhaps venture to intimate that perpetually is likewise misused in the following sentence, which I copy from the "London Qucen," if I were not conscious that the monster who can write and print such a sentence would not hesitate to cable a thunderbolt at an offender on the sligitest provocation. Judge, if my fears are groundless: " Bat some few people contract the ugly habit of making use of these expressions unconsciously and continually, perpetually interlarding their conversation with them."

Person. See Party; also, Individeal.
Personaliy. This word does not, as some persons think, mean the articles worn on one's person. It is properly a law term, and means personal property. "There is but one case on record of a peer of England leaviug over $\$ 7,500,000$ personalty."

Personification. That rhetorical figure which attributes sex, life, or action to inanimate objects, or aseribes to objects and brutes the acts and qualities of rational beings, is called personification or prosopopuia.
"The mountains sing together, the hills rejoice and clap their hanels." "The worm, aivare of his mtent, harangued him thus."
"See, Winter comes to rule the varied year, Sullen and sad with all his rising train."-Thomson.
"So saying, her rash hanel, in evil hour, Forth reaching to the fruit, she plucked, she ate! Earth felt the round; and Na'ure from her seat, Sighing through all her works, gave signs of woe, That all was lost."-Milton.
"War and Love are strange compeers.
War sheds blood, and Love sheds tears;
War has swords, and Love has darts;
War breaks heads, and Love breaks hearts."
"Levity is often less foolish and gravity less wise than each of them appears."
"The English language, by reserving the distinction of gender for living beings that have sex, gives espeecial scope for personification. The highest form of personitication should be used seldom, and only when justitied by the presence of strony feeling. "-Bain.
"Knowlelge and wisdom, far from being one, Have ofttimes no connection. Knowledige dwells In heads replete with thoughts of other men ; Wisdom in minds attentive to their own. Knowledge is proud that he has learned so much; Wisdom is humble that he knows no more."-Cowper.
Phenomenon. Plual, phenomena.
Plead. The imperfect tense and the perfect participle of pleaded not guilty." "You should have pleaderl your eanse with more fervor."

Plenty. In Worcester's Dictionary we find the following note: "Plenty is much used colloquially as an adjective, in the sense of plentiful, both in this country and in England; and this use is supported by respectable authorities, though it is condemned by various critics. Johnson says: 'It is used barb.ronsly, I think, for plentiful'; and Dr. Campbell, in his 'Philosophy of Rhetoric,' s.ys: 'Plenty for plentiful appears to me so gross a vulgarism that I should not have thought it worthy of a place here if I had not sometimes found it in works of considerable merit.'" We should say, then, that money is plentijul, and not that it is plenty.

Pleonasm. Redundancy or pleonasm is the use of more words than are necessary to express the thought elearly. "They retumed back again to the same city from whence they came forth": the five words in italics are reclundant or pleonustic. "The different departments of seience and of art mutually refleet light on each other": either of the expressions in italies embodies the whole idea. "The universal opinion of all men" is a pleonastic expression often heard. "I wrote you a letter yesterday": here a letter is redundant.

Redundancy is sometimes permissible for the surer conveyanee of meaning, for emphasis, and in the language of poetic embellishment.

Polite. This word is much usel by persons of doubtful culture, where those of the better sort use the word lind. We accept kind, not polite, invitations; and, when any one has been obliging, we tell him that he has been kind; and, when an interviewing reporter tells us of his having met with a polite reception, we may be sure that the person by whom he has been received deserves well for his considerate kindness.
"I thank you and Mrs. Pope for my kind reception."Atterbury.

Portion. This word is often incorrectly usod for part. A portion is properly a part assigned, allotted, set asido for a special purpose; a share, a division. The verb to portion means to divide, to parcel, to endow. We ask, therefore, "In what part [not, in what portion] of the country, state, county, town, or street do you live?"-or, if we prefer grandiloquence to correctness, reside. In the sentence, "A large portion of the land is untilled," the right word would be either part or proportion, according to the intention of the writer.

Posted. A word rery much and very inelegantly used for informed. Such expressions as, "I will post you," "I must post myself up," "If I harl heen better posted," and the like, are, at the best, but one remove from slang.

Predicate. This word is often very incorrectly used in the sense of to base; as, "He predicates his opinion on insufficient data." Then we sometimes hear people talk about predicating an action upon certain information or upon somebody's statement. To predicate means primarily to speak before, and has come to be properly used in the sense of assumed or believed to be the consequence of. Examples: "Contentment is predicated of virtue"; "Good health may be predicated of a good constitution." He who is not very sure that he use. the word correctly would do better not to use it at all.

Prejudice-Prepossess. Both these words mean, to ineline in one direction or the other for some reason not founded in justice; but by eommon consent prejudice has come to be used in an unfarorable sense, and prepossess in a favorable one. Thus, we say, "He is mrejudiced against him," and "He is prepossessed in his favor." We sumetimes hear the
expression, "He is prejudicel in his favor," but this can not be accounted a good use of the word.

Prepositions. The errors made in the use of the prepositions are very numerous. "The indolent child is one who [that?] has a ctrong aversion from action of any sort."Graham's "English Synonyınes," p. 230. The prevailing and best modern usage is in favor of to instead of from after averse and aversion, and before the object. "Clearness . . . enables the reader to seo thoughts without noticing the language with which they are clothed."-Townsend's "Art of Speech." We clothe thoughts in language. "Shakespeare . . . and the Bible are . . . models for the English-speaking tongue." -Ibid. If this means models of English, then it should be of; but if it means models for Euglish organs of speech to practice on, then it should be for; or if it means models to model English tongues after, then also it should be jor. "If the resemblance is too faint; the mind is fatigued while attempting to trace the amalogics." "Aristotle is in errer while thus describing governments."-Ibid. Here we have two examples, not of the misuse of the preposition, but of the crroneous use of the adve: b while instead of the preposition in. "For my part I can not think that Shelley's poctry, except by suatches and fragments, has the value of the good work of Wordsworth or Byron."-- Matthew Arnold. Should be, "except in suatehes." "Taxes with us are collected nearly [almost] solely from real and personal estate.""Appleton's Jumal." 'Taxes are levied on estates and colleeted from the owners.
"If I am not commended for the beanty of my works, I may hope to be pardoned for their brevity." Cobbett com. ments on this sentence as follows: "We may commend him for the beauty of his works, and we may purdon him jor their beevity, if wo deem the brevity a fault; but this is not what
he means. He means that, at any rate, he shall have the merit of brevity. 'If I am not commended for the beanty of my works, I may hope to be pardoned on account of their brevity. This is what the Doctor meant; but this would have marred a little the antithesis: it would have unsettled a little of the balance of that seesaw in which Dr. Johnson so much delightel, and which, falling into the hands of novel-writer: and of members of Parliament, has, by moving unencumberel with any of the Doctor's reason or seuse, lulled so many thousands asleep! Dr. Johnson ereated a race of writers and speakers. 'Mr. Speaker, that the state of the nation is very critical, all men will allow; but that it is wholly desperate, few will belicve.' When you hear or seo a sentence like this, be sure that the person who speaks or writes it has been reading Dr. Johnson, or some of his imitators. But, observe, these imitators go no further than the frame of the sentences. They, in general, take care not to imitato the Doctor in knowledge and reasoning."

The rhetoricians would have us avoid such forms of expression as, "The boy went to and asked the advice of his teacher"; "I called on and had a conversation with my brother."

Very often the preposition is not repeated in a sentence, when it should be. We say properly, "He comes from Ohio or from Indiana"; or, " He comes either from Ohio or Indiaza."

Prepossess. See Prejudice.
Present-Introduce. Few errors aro more common, especially among those who are alwatys straining to be tine, than that of using present, in the social world, instead of introduce. Present mems to place in the presence of a superior ; introduce, to bring to be acyuainted. A person is prerented at court, and on an official occasion to our fresi-
deut; but persons who are unknown to each other are introduced by a common aequaintance. And in these introductions, it is the younger who is introduced to the older; the lower to the higher in place or social position ; the gentleman to the lady. A lady should say, as a rule, that Mr. Plank was introduced to her, not that she was introduced to Mr. Blank.

Presumptive. This word is sometines misused by the careless for presumptuous.

Preventive. A useless and unwarranted syllable is sometimes added to this word-preventative.

Previous. This adjective is much used in an adverbial sense; tlius, "Previous to my return," ete. Until previous is recognized as an adverb, if we would speak grammatically, we must say, "Previous'y to my return." "Previously to my leaving England, I calted on his lordship."

Procure. This is a word much used by people who strive to be fine. "Where did you get it?" with them is, "Where did you procure it?"

Profanity. The extent to which some men habitually interlard their talk with oaths is disyusting even to many who, on occasion, do not themselves hesitate to give expression to their feelings in oaths portly and unetuous. If thess follows could be mude to know how offensive to deceney they make themselves, they would, perhaps, be less profane.

Promise. This word is sometimes very improperly used for assure; thus, " [ promise you [ was very mueh astonished."

Pronouns of the First Person. "The ordinary uses of 'I' and 'we,' as the singular and phural pronouns of the tirst person, would appear to be above all ambiguity, incertainty, or dispate. Yet when we consider the force of the phural 'we,' we are met with a contradiction; for, as a rule, only one person can speak at the same time to the same audience. It is only hy some exceptional arran ement, or
some latitude or license of expression, that several persons can be conjoint speakers. For example, a plurality may sing together in churus, and may join in the responses at church, or in the simultaneons repetition of the Lord's Prayer or the Creed. Again, one parson may be the authorized spokesman in delivering a judgment or opinion held by a number of persons in common. Finally, in written compositions, the ' we' is not unsuitable, because a plurality of persons may append their names to a document.
"A speaker using 'we' may speak for himself and one or more others; commonly he stands forwarl as the representative of a class, more or less comprehensive. 'As soon as my companion and I had entered the field, we saw a man coming toward us'; 'we like our new curate'; 'you do us poets tire greatest injustice'; 'we must see to the efficiency of our forces.' The widest use of the pronoun will be mentioned presently.
"'We' is used for ' $I$ ' in the decrees of persons in authority; as when King Lear says:

- Know that we have divided

In three our kingdon.'
By the fiction of plurality a veil of modesty is thrown over the assumption of vast superiority over human beings generally. Or, 'we' may be regarded as an official form whereby the speaker persomally is magnified or enabled to rise to the dig. nity of the occasion.
"'The editorial 'we' is to be understood on the same principle. An author using 'we' appears as if he were not alone, but sharing with ovine: persons the responsibility of his views.
"'This representative position is at its utmost stretch in the practice of using 'we' for human beings generally; as in discoursing on the laws of human nature. The preacher, the novelist. or the philosonher, in dwelling unon the pecmliarity
of nur common constitution, being himself an example of what he is speaking of, associates the rest of mankiud with him, and speaks collectively by means of 'we.' 'We are weak and fallible'; 've are of yesterday'; 'we are doomed to dissolution.' 'Here have we no continuing, city, but we seek one to come.'
"It is not unfrequent to have in one sentence, or in close proximity, both the editorial and the represcutative meaning, the effect being ambiguity and confusion. 'Let $u s$ [the author] now consider why we [humanity generally] overrate distant good.' In such a case the author should fall back upon the singular for himself-' $/$ will now consider-.' 'We [speaker] think we [himself and hearers together] should come to the conclusion.' Say, either ' $I$ think,' or ' $y$ ou would.'
"The following extract from Butler exemplifies a similar confusion : 'Suppose we [representative] are capable of happiness and of misery in degrees equally intense and extreme, yet wo [rep.] are capable of the latter for a much longer time, beyond all comparison. We [chonge of sulject to a limited class] see men in the tortures of prin-. Such is our [back to representative] make that anything may become the instrument of pain and sorrow to us.' The 'we' at the commencement of the second sentence-' $\mathrm{Il}^{\prime}$ see men in the tortures'could be advantageously changel to 'you,' or the passive construction could be subsitiuted; che remaining we's would then be consistently representative.
"From the greater emphasis of singularity, energetic speakers and writers sometimes use 'I' as representative of mankind at large. Thus: 'The current impressions received through the senses are not voluntary in origin. What $I$ see in walking is seen because $I$ have an organ of vision.' The question of general moral obligation is forcibly stated by latey in the individual form. 'Why am $I$ oblimen to keen mp
word! It is sometimes well to confine the attention of the hearer or reader to his own relation to the matter under consideration, more especially in diflicult or non-popular argument or exposition. The speaker, by using ' $I$ ' does the action himself, or makes himself the example, the hearer being expected to put himself in the same position."-Bains "Composition Grammar."

Pronouns of the Second Person. "Anomalons usages have sprung up in connection with the pronouns. The plural form has almost wholly supersec' 'ee singular; a usage more than five eenturies old.*
"The motive is courtesy. The singling out of one person for address is supposed to be a liberty or an excess of familiarity; and the effect is softencl or diluted by the fietion of taking in others. If our address is uncomplimentary, the sting is lessened by the plural form; and if the reverse, the shock to modesty is not so great. This is a refinement that was unknown to the ancient languages. The orators of Greece delighted in the strong, pointel, persomal appeal implied in the singular 'thoa.' In modem German, 'thou' (rlu) is the address of familiarity and intinacy; while the ordirary pronoun is the curionsly indirect 'they' (Sie). On solemn occasions, we may rovert to 'thon.' Cato, in his meditative soliloquy on reading Plato's views on the immortality of the soul before killing himself, says: ' Plato, thou reasonest well.' So in the Commmiments, 'thon' addresses to each inaividual an unavoidable appeal : 'Thou shalt not -..' But our ordi. nary means of making the personal appeal is, 'you, sir,' 'you, madam,' 'my Lord, you -,' ete.; we reserve 'thou' for the special case of addressing the Deity. The appiication of the

[^14]motive of courtesy is here reversed; it would he irreverent to merge this vast personality ia a promiscuous assemblage.
"' ' 'ou' is not unft quently employed, like 'we,' as a representative pronoun. The action is represented with great vividness, when the person or persons addressed may be put forward as the performers: 'There is such an echo among the old ruins and vaults, that if $y / c u$ stamp a little londer than ordinary, you hear the sound repented'; 'Some practice is required to see these animals in the thick forest, even when jou hear them close by you.'
"There should not bo a mixture of 'thon' and 'you' in the same passage. Thas, Thackeray (Arventures of Philip): 'So, as thy sun rises, frient, over the humble house-tops round about your home, shall you wake many and many a day to duty and lahor.' So, Cooper (Wiater-Witeh): 'Thou hast both master and mistress? You have told us of the latter, but we would know something of the iormer. Who is thy master?' Shakespeare, Scott, and others might also be quoted.
"'Ye' and 'you' were at one time strictly distinguished as different eases; 'ye' was nominative, 'you' ohjective (dative or accusative). But the Elizabethan dramatists confounded the forms irredeemably; and 'you' has gradually ousted 'ye' from ordinary use. 'Ye' is restricted to the expression of strong feeling, and in this employment occurs chiefly in the puets."-Bain's "Composition Grammar."

Proof. This word is much and very improperly used for evidence, which is only the medimm of proof, proof being the eflect of evidence. "What elidence have you to otfer in proof of the truth of your statement?" Sec aiso Evidence.

Propose--Purpose. Writers and speakers often feil to discriminate properly between the respective meanings of these two verbs. Propose, correctly used, means, to put for-
ward or to offer for the consideration of others; hence, a mroposal is a scheme or design offered for acceptance or consideration, a proposition. Purpose means, to intend, to lesign, to resolve; hence, a purpose is an intention, an aim, that which one sets before one's self. Examples: "What do you purpose doing in the matter?" "What do you propose that we shall do in the matter?" "I will do" means "I murpose doing, or to do." "I purpose to write a history of Eugland from the accession of King James the Second down to a time which is within the memory of men still living."Macaulay. It will be observed that Micaulay says, "I purpose to write," and not, "I purpose writing," using the verb in the infinitive rather than in the participial form. "On which he purposed to mount one of his little guns." See [nfinitive.

Proposition. This word is often used when proposal would be better, for the reason that proposal has but one meaning, and is shorter by one syllable. "He demonstrated the proposition of Euclid, and rejected the proposal of his triend."

Prosaist. Dr. Hall is of opinion that this is a word we shall do well to encourage. It is used by good writers.

Proven. This form for the past participle of the verb to rrove is said to be a Scotticison. It is not used by careful writers and speakers. The correct form is proved.

Providing. The present participle of the verl) to provide is sometimes vulgarly used for the conjunction provided, as in this sentence from the "Loudon Queen": "Society may be cougratulated, . . . providiny that," etc.

Provoke. See Aggravate.
Punctuation. The importance of punctuation can not be overestimated; it not only helps to make plain the meaning of what one writes, but it may prevent one's being miseon-
strued. Though no two writers conll be found who punctuate just alike, still in the main those who pay attention to the art put in their stops in essentially the same manner. The difference that punctuation may make in the meaning of language is well illustrated by the following anecdote:

At Ramessa there livel a benevolent and hospitable prior, who caused those lines to be painted over his doo
"Be open evermore,
O thou my door !
To none be shut-to honest or to poor:
In time the good prior was succeeded by a man as selfish as his predecessor was generuns. The lines over the door of the priory were allowed to remain ; one stop, however, was altered, which made them read thus:
"Be open ar emmore,
O thou my door!
To none-be shat to honest or to poor!"
He punctuates best who makes his punctuation contribute most to the c.ear expression of his thonght; and that construction is best that has least need of being punctuated.

Tur Comma.-The chicf diference in the punctuation of different writers is usualiy in their use of the comma, in regard to which there is a grom denl of latitude ; much is left to inlivilual taste. Nowan'as the bent pactice uses it sparingly. An idea of the extent is which opinions differ with regard to the use of the coman may bo formed from the following excerpe from a paper preparel for private nee:
"In the following examples, gathered from various sources --chielly from stmulard book-the superihons commas are inclosed in parenthests:
"1. 'It remams(,) perhaps(,) to be said(,) that, if any lesson at all(, ) as to these delicate matters(,) is noeded(,) in this period, it is not so much a lusson,' ete. 2. 'The obedi-
ence is not due to the power of a right anthority, but to the spirit of fear, and(,) therefore(, ) is(, ) in reality(, ) no obedience at all.' 3. 'The patriot distubances in Canada . . . awakened deep interest among the people of the United Statcs(,) who lived adjacent to the frontier.' 4. 'Observers(,) who have recently incestignted this point(,) do not all agree,' etc. 5. 'The wind did(,) in an instant(,) what man and steam together had failed to do in hours.' 6. 'All the cabin passengers(,) situated beyond the center of the boat(,) were saved.' 7. 'No other writer has depicterl(,) with so much art or so much acearacy(,) the halbits, the manners,' etc. 8. 'If it shall give satisfaction to those who hicve(,) in any way(,) befriended it, .at author will feel,' etc. 9. 'Formed(,) or consisting of(,) . ay.' 10. 'The subject [witcheraft] grew interesting; and(,) to examine Sarah Cloyce and Elizaheth Proctor, the deputygovernor(, ) and five other magistrates(,) went to Salem.' 11. 'The Lusitanians(,) who had not left their home(,) rose as a man,' etc. 12. 'Vagno reports . . . had preceded him to Washington, und his Mississippi friends(.) who chanced to he at the capital(,) were not backward to make their boast of him.' 13. 'Our faith has acruived a new vigor(,) and a clearer vision.' 14. 'In 1819(,) he removed to Cambridge.' 15. ' Doré was bom at Strasburg(,) in 1832, and labors,' eta. 16. 'We should never apinly dry compresses, charpie, or wadding(,) to the wound.' 17. '-to stand ille, to look, act, or tinink(,) in a leisurely way. 18. '-lortraits taken from the famers, schoolmasters, and peasintry (.) of the neighborhood.' 19. '-ghadly welcomed painters of llanders, Holland, and Spian(,) to their shores.'
"In all these cases the clauses between or following the inclosed eonmas are so closely comeeted grammatically with the immediately precerling worls or phrases, that they should be read without a perceptible pause, or with only a slight
one for breath, without change of voice. Some of the commas would grossly pervert the meaning if strictly construed. Thus, from No. 3 it would appear that the people of the United States in general lived adjacent to the frontier; from No. 4, that all observers have recently investigated the point in question ; from No. 6, that all the cabin passengers were so sitnated that they were saved, whereas it is meant that only a certain small proportion of them were saved; from No. 10 (Bancroft), that somebody whose name is accidentally omittel went to Salem 'to examine Sarah Cloyce and Elizabeth Proctor, the deputy-governor, and five other magistrates'; from No. 11, that none of the Lusitanians had left their home, whereas it was the slanghter by the Romans of a great number of them who had left the ii home that caused the rising.
"Commas are forquently omitted, and in certain positions very generally, b: the sense ant correct reading require a pause. In the follor mo (xampl , such commas, omited in the works from which they were taken, are enclosed in backets:
" 1 . 'The modes of thought[,] and the types of character which those moiles produce[,] are essentially and niversally transformed.' 2. 'Taken by itscli[.] this deverine could have no effect whatever; indeedi, ] it would amont to nothing but a verhal proposition.' 3. 'Far below[,] the little stream of the Oder fomed over the rocks.' 4. 'Whe the day returned[,] the professor, the artist[,] and I ud to within a hundrel yavis of the shore.' 5. 'Proceeding into the interior of Indiar,] they pasee? through Belgamm.' 6. 'If Loring is defeated in the Sixth Distriet[,] it com be bome.'
"In No. 3, thre retier naturally enunciates 'the little stream of the Oder' as in the objective case after 'below'; but there he comes to a predicate which cumpels him to go back and read differently. In No. 4, it appears that 'the day
returned the professor,' and then ' the artist and I rowed,' etc."

All clauses should generally be isolated by commas; where, however, the connection is very close or the clause is very short, no point may be necessary. "But his pride is greater than his ignorance, and what he wants in knowledge he supplies by sufficiency." "A man of polite imagination can converse with a picture, and find an agreeable companion in a statue." "Though he slay me, yet will I trust him." "The prince, his father being dead, succeeded." "To confess the truth, I was much at fault." "As the heart panteth after the water-brooks, so panteth my sonl after thee." "Whero the bee sucks, there suci I." "His father dying, he succeeded to the estate." "The little that is known, and the circumstance that little is known, must be considered as honorable to him."

The comma is used before and after a phase when co-ordinating and not restrictive. "The jury, having retined for half an hour, brought in a verdict." "The stranger, unwill. ing to obtrude himself on our notice, left in the morning.' "Rom", the city of the Emperors, became the city of the Popes." "His stories, which made everybody laugh, were often made to order." "He did not come, which I greatly regret." "The younger, who was yet a boy, had nothing striking in his appearance." "They passed the cup to the stranger, who drank heartily." "Peace at any price, which these orators scem to advocate, means war at any cost." "Sailor", who are generally superstitious, say it is unlucky to embark on Friday."

Alverbs and stor't phases, when they breuk the connection, shonld be between commas. Some of the most common words and phases so usen are the following: Mas, two, there, indeed, perhaps, surely, moreover, likewise, howerer, tinally, namely,
therefore, apparently, meanwhile, consequently, unquestionably, accordingly, notwithstanding, in truth, in fact, in short, in general, in reality, no doubt, of course, as it were, at all events, to be bricf, to be sure, now and then, on the contrary, in a word, by chance, in that case, in the meantime, for the most part. "History, in a word, is reple'e with moral lesons." "As an orator, however, he was not great." "There is, remember, a limit at which forbearance ceases to be a virtue." "Our civilization, therefore, is not an unmixed good." "This, I grant you, is not of great importance."

If, however, the alverb does not break the connection, but readily coalesces with the rest of the sentence, the commas are omittel. "Morning will come at last, however dark the night may be." "We then procended on our way." "Our civilization is therefore not an unmixed good." "Patience, I say ; your mind perhaps may change."

Adverbial phrases and clauses beginning a sentence are set off by commas. "In truth, I could not tell." "To sum up, the matter is this." "Everythirg being reedy, they set out." "By looking a little deeper, the reason wil! ise found." 'Finally, let me sum up the argument." "If the premises were admitted, I shouhd deny the conclusion." "Where your treasure is, there will your heart be also."

Words used in apposition should be isolated by commas. "Newton, the great mathematician, was very modest." "And he, their prince, shall rank among my peers." In such sentences, however, as, "The mathematician Newton was very modest," and "The Emperor Napolcon was a great so ier," commas are not used.

The name or designation of a person addressed is isolated by commas. "It tonches you, my lord, as well as me." "John, come here." "Mr. President, my object is peace." "Tell me, boy, where do yon live?" "Yes, sir, I will do as you say." "Mr Brown, what is your number?".

Pairs of words.-"Old and young, rich and poor, wise and foolish were involved." "Sink or swim, live or die, survive or perish, I give my hand and heart to this vote." "Interest and ambition, honor and shame, friendship and eumity, gratitude and revenge, are the primo movers in pablic transactions."

A restrictive clanse is not separated by a comma from the noun. "Every one must love a boy who [that] is attentive and docile." "He preaches sublimely who [that] lives a holy life." "The things which [that] are seen are temporal. "A king depending on the support of his subjects can not rashly go to war." "The sailor who [that] is not superstitious will embark any day."

The comma is used after adjectives, nouns, and verbs in sentences like the following :
" Are all thy conquests, glories, triumphs, spoils
Shrunk to this little measure?"
"He fills, he bounds, comects and equals all."
"Who to the enraptured heart, and ear, and eye Teach beanty, virtue, trith, and love, and melody."*
"He rewarded his friends, clanstised his foes, set Justice on her seat, and made his conquest secure."

The comma is used to separite adjectives in opposition, but closely connected. "Though deep, yet clear; though gentle, yev not dull." "Liberal, not l:wish, is kind Nature's hand." "Though black, yet comely; aud though rash, henign."

Alter a nominative, where the verb is understood. "To err is human ; to forgire, divine." "A wise man seeks to

[^15]shine in himself; a fool, in others." "Conversation makes a ready man; writing, an exact man; reading, a full man."

A long subject is often separated from the prelicate by a comma. "Any one that refuses to carn an honest livelihood, is not an object of charity." "The circumstance of his being unprepared to adopt immeliate and decisive measures, was represented to the Government." "That he had persistently disregarded every warning and persevered in his reckless course, had not yet undermined his crelit with his dupes." "That the work of forming and perfecting the character is difficult, is generally allowed "

In a series of aljectives that precede their noun, a comma is placed after each except the last; there usage omits the point. "A beantiful, tall, willowy, sprightly girl." "A quic'., brilliant, studions, learned man." *

A comma is placed between short members of componnd sentences, connected by and, but, for, nor, or, because, whereas, that expressing purpose (so that, in order that), and other conjunctions. "Be virtuous, that you may be respecter?." "Love not sleep, lest you come to poverty." "Man proposes, bu Gol disposes."

A commar must not be placed before that except when it is equivalent to in order that. "He says that he will be here."

A comma must not be placed before and when it connects two words only. "Thme and tide wait for no man." "A rich and prosperous people." "llaiu and honest tiuth wants no artificial covering."

A comma is sometimes necessary to prevent ambiguity. "He who pursues pleasure only defeats the object of his

[^16]creation." Without a comma before or after only, the mean. ing of this sentence is doubtiul.

The following sentences present some misellaneous examples of the use of the comma hy writers on punctuation: "Industry, as well as genius, is essential to the proluction of great worke." "Prosperity is secured to a state, not by tho acquisition of territory or riches, but by the encouragement of industry." "Your mamers are affable, ancl, for the most part, pleasing."*
"However farly a bad man nay appoar to act, we distrust him." "Why, this is rank injustice." "Well, follow the dictates of your inclination." "The commat may be omitted in the ease of too, ctso, therefore, and perhups, when introdnced so as not to interfere with the hammious flow of the period; and, particnlarly, when the sentence is short." $\downarrow$ "Robert Horton, M.D., F. R.S." "To those who labor, sleep is doubly pleasant"; "Sleep is doubly pleasant to those who labor." "Those who persevere, succeed." "To be overlooked, slighted, and neglected; to be misunderstood, misrepresented, and slandered; to be trampled underfoot by the envious, the ignorant, and the vile ; to be crushed by foes, and to be distrusted anl betrayed even by friends-sueh is too often the fate of genins. "She is tall, though not so handsome as her sister." "Verily, verily, I say unto you." "Whatever is, is right." "What is foreordained to be, will be." "The Emperor Augustus was a patron of the fine arts." "Augustus, the Emperor, was a patron of the fine arts." "United, we stand; diviled, we fall." "God said, Let there be light." "July 21, 18S1." "Presirent Gartield was shot, Saturday morning, July 2, 1881 ; he died, Monday night,

[^17]Sept. 19, 1881." "I am, sir, very respectfully, your obedient scrvant, John Jones." "New York, August, 1881." "Room 20, Equitable Building, Broadway, New York."
"When you are in doubt es to the propriety of inserting commas, onit them; it is better to have too few than тоo mi ny."-Quackenbos.

Tin. Smicolos.-leasons are preceded by semicolons; "Economy is no disgrace; for it is better to live on a little than to outlive a great deal." Clauses in opposition are scparated by a scmicolon when the secoud is introduced by an adversative: "Stran's swim at the surface; but pearls lie at the bottom"; "Lying lips are an abomination to the Lord; but they that deal truly are his delight." Without the adversative, the colon is to be preferred: "I'rosperity showeth vice: adversity, virtue." The great divisions of a sentence must be pointed with a semicolon when the minor divisions are pointed with commas: "Mirth should be the embroidery of conversation, not the web; and wit the ornament of the mind, not the furniture." The things enumerated must be separated ly semicelons, when the enunciation of particulars is preceded by a colon: "The value of a maxim depends on four things: the correctness of the principle it embodies; the subject to which it relates; the extent of its application; and the case with which it may be practically carried out." When as introduces an example, it is precerled by a semicolon. When several successive clauses bave a common connection with a preceding or following clause, they are separated by semicolons; as, "Children, as they gamboled on the beach; reapers, as they gathered the harvest; mowers, as they rested from using the scythe; mothers, as they busied thenselves about the household-were victims to an enemy, who disappeared the mument a blow was struck." "Reason as we may, it is impossble not to read in such a fate much that we
know not how to interpret; much of provocation to cruel deeds and deep resentment; much of apology for wrong and perfily; much of doubt and misgiving as to the past; much of painful recollections; much of dark foreboling." "Philosophers assert that Nature is unlimited ; that her treasures are endless; that the increase of knowledge will never eease."

The Colon.-This point is less used now than formerly ; its place is supplied by the period, the semicolon, or the dash; and sometimes, even by the comma. The colon is used very differently by different writers. "Ho was heard to say, '] have done with this world.'" Some writers would put a colon, some a comma, after say. "When the quoted passige is brought in withont any introluctory word, if short," says Quackenbos, "it is generally preceded by a comma; if long, by a colon; as, 'A simpleton, mecting a philo opher, asked lim, "What affords wise men the greatest pleasure?" Tmm. ing on his heel, the sage replied, "To get rid of fools." ""

Formal enumerations of particulars, and direct quotations, when introduced by such phrases as in these words, as follows, the following, namely, this, these, thus, ete., are properly preceded by a colon. "Wo hold these truths to be self-evident: that all men are created equal; that they are ondowed by their Creator with certain inalienable rights; that among these are life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness." "Lord Bacon has summed up the whole matter in the followinc; words: 'A littlo philosophy inclinet'h men's minds to atheism; but depth in philosophy bringeth men's minds to religion.'" "The human family is composed of five races: first, the Caucasian; second, the Mongolian ; third, the," etc.
"All were attentive to the gotlike man,
When from his lofty conch he thus began :
'Great queen,' " etc. - Dryden.
When the quotation, or other matter, begins a now para-
graph, the colon is, by many writers, followed with a dash; as. "The cloth being removed, the I'resident rose and said:"'Ladies and gentlemen, we are,'" ete.
The colon is used to mark the greater brcaks in sentences, when the lesser breaks are markel by semicolons. "You have called yourself in atom in the universe; yon have said that you are but an insect in the solar haze: is your present pride consistent with these professions?" "A clause is cither independent or dependent: independent, if it forms an asscrtion by itself; dependent, if it enters into some other clanse with the value of a part of speed." A colon is sometimes used instearl of a periol to sep arate two short sentences, which are closely conncted. "Never flatter people: leare that to such as mean to betraty them." "Some things we can, and others we can not do: we can walk, but we can not fly."

Tine Perion.-Complete senteners are always followed either by a period, or by an exclanation or an interregation point.*

The period is also used after abbreviations; as, R. D. Tan Nostrand, St. Lonis, Mo.; Jno. B. Jiorris, M. D., F. R. S., London, Eng.; Jas. W. Wallack, Jr., New York City, N. Y.; Jas. B. Roberts, Elocutionist, Phila., Pa.

Intminogation-ront. - This point is nesed after questions put by the writer, and after questions reported directly. " What can I do for you ?" "Whereare you going?" "What do yon say?" cried the General. "The child still lives?" It should not be used whes the uncestion is reported indirectly. "He asked me where I was geing." "The Judge asked the witness if he belicred the man to be gnilty."

Exclamatwi-pont.-- This mark is placed after interjec.

* The only a xecption to this rulo is the ceasioral use of the colon to eparate two shoit srutences that are closely connecter'.
tions, after sentenees and clauses of sentences of passionate import, and after solemm invocations and addresses "Zounds! the man's in earnest." "Pshaw ! what can we do?" "Bah! what's that to me?" "Indeed! then I must look to it." "Look, my lord, it cones!" "Rest, rest, perturbed spirit!" "O heat, dry up my bains!" "!ear mint, kind sister, sweet Ophelia!" "While in this part of the country, I once more revisitel-and, alas, with what melancholy presenti-ments!-the home of my youth." "O rose of May!" "Oh, from this time forth, my thoaghts he bloody or he nothing worth!" "O heavens! die two months ago, and not forgotten yet?"
"Night, sable goidess! from her elon throne,
In rayless majesty now stretches forth Her leaden serpter o'er a slumbering world. Silence, how dead! and darkness, how profound!"
--Young.
" Hail, holy light! offspring of heaven just born !"-Milton. "But thon, O hope! with eyes so fair, What was thy delighted measure?"-Collins.
It will be observed that tine interjection $O$ is an excention to the rule: it is often followed by a comma, but never by an exclamation-point.

An exclamation-point sometimes gives the same words quite another moming. 'ilue difference between "What's that?" and "What's that!" is obvious.

Tira Dusir-Co'hatt dill not favor the use of this mark, as we see from the following: "Let me caution you against the uso of what, by soms, is callel the deth. The dish is a stroke along the line; thes, 'I an rich- 1 was poor-I shall he poor aftin.' 'lhis is wild work indeed! Who is to know what is intenlel hy these deshes? Tinss who have thought papar. like Mr. Lin lley Muray, to place tho dash amongst
the grammatical points, ought to give us some rule relative to its different longitudinal dimensions in different cases. The inch, the threc-guarter inch, the half-inch, the quarter-inch: theso would be something determinate; but 'the dash,' without measure, must be a perilous thing for the young gram. marian to handle. In short, 'the dash' is a cover for ignorance as to the use of points, and it can answer no other purpose."

This is one of the few instances in which Cobbett was wrong 'The dash is the proper point with which to mark an unexpeeted or emphatic pause, or a sudden break or transition. It is very often preceded by another point. "And Huitzilo-pochtli-a sweet name to roll under one's tongue-for how many years has this venerablo war-god blinked in the noonday sun!" "Crowds gathered about the newspaper bullotins, recalling the feverish scencs that occurred when the President s life was thought to be hanging by a thread. ' WVouldn't it be too bad,' said one, 'if, after all-no, I won't allow myself to think of it." "Was there ever-buc I scorn to boast." "You are-no, I'll not tell you what you are."
"He suffered-but his pangs are o'er;
Enjoyed-but his delights aro fled;
Had friends-his friends are now no more;
And foes-his foes are dead."-Montgomery. "Greece, Carthage, Rome-where are they ?" "He chastens; -but he chastens to save."

Dashes are much used where parentheses were formerly employed. "In the days of Tweed the expression to divido fair-forcible, if not grammatical-acquired much currency.' "In truth, the character of the great chicf was depieted two thousand five hundred years before his birth, and depietedsuch is the power of genius-in colors which will bo fresh as many years after his death." "To render the Constitution perpetual-which God grant it may be !-it is necessary that
its benefits should be practically felt by all parts of the country."

Parexthesis.-This mark is comparatively little used nowadays. The dash is preferred, probably because it disfigures the page less. The office of the parenthesis is to isolate a phrase which is merely ineidental, and which might be onitted withont detriment to the grammatical construction.
" Know then this truth (enongh for man to know), Virtue alone is happiness below."-Pone.
"The bliss of man (could pricle that blessing find) Jis not to act or think beyond mankind."
Brackets. - This mark is used principally to inclose words improperly omitted by the writer, or words introduced for the purpose of explanation or to correct an error. The bracket is often used in this book.

The Apostrophe.-This point is used to denote the omission of letters and sometimes of figures; as, Jan'y, '81; Ive for I have; you'll for you will; 'tis for it is; clon't for do not; can $t$ for can not; It was in the year ' $\mathbf{9 3}$; the spirit of ' 76 ; It was in the years 1812, '13, and ' 14.

Also to denote the possessive case; as, Brown's house; the king's command; Moses' staff; for conscience' sake ; the boys' garden.

Also with $s$ to denote the plural of letters, figures, and signs; as, Cross your $t$ 's, dct your $i$ 's, and mind your $p$ 's and $q$ 's ; make your t's better, and take out the $x$ 's.

Capitals.-A capital letter should begin every sentence, every line of verse, and every direct quotation.

All names of the Deity, of Jesus Christ, of the Trinity, and of the Virgin Mary must begin with a capital. Pronouns are usually capitalized when they refer to the Deity.

Proper names, and nouns and adjectives formed from proper names, names of strects, of the months, of the days of the week, and of the holinlays, are capitalized.

Titles of nobility and of high office, when used to desig. nate particular persons, are capitalized; as, the Earl of Dunrasen, the Mayor of Loston, the Baron replied, the Cardinal pressileri.

The Paragraff.-In writing for the press, the division of matice into paragraphs is often quite arbitrary; in letterwritiag, on the contrany, the several topics treated of should, as a rule, be isolated by paragraphic divisions. These divisions give one's letters a shapely appearance that they otherwise never have.

Purchase. This word is much preferred to its synonym buy by that class of people who prefer the word reside to lire, procure to det, imanyurate to begin, and so on. They are generally of tinose who are great in pretense, and who would be greater still if they were to pretend to all they have to pretend to.

Purpose. Sce Propose.
Quantity. This word is often improperly used for number. Quantit!, should be used in speaking of what is measured or weighed; number, of what is comnted. Examples: "What quentity of apples have you, and what mumber of pineapples?" "Delaware produces a large quantity of peaches and a large number of melons."

Quit. This word means, properly, to lave, to go nway from, to forsake; as, "Avamb! quit miy sight." This is the only senia in which the English use it. In America, it is genearlly in od in the sense of to leave ofl, to stop; as, "Quit your nonse ise"; "Quit langhing"; "Quit your noise"; "He has quet smoking," and so on.

Quite. This worl origitally meant completely, perfectly, totally, entirely, fully; and this in the sense in which it was used by the early writers of Euglish, It is mow often used in the sense of raiher, as, "It is quite wam','; "She is quite
tall": "He is ruite proficient." Sometimes it is incorrectly used in the sense of considerable; as, quite an amount, quite a mumber, quite a fortune. Quite, according to good modern usige, may qualify an adjective, but not a noum. "She is quite the lady," is a vile phrase, meaning, "She is very or quite tadylike."

Railroad Depot. Few things are more offensive to fastidious cars than to hear a railway station called a depot. A depot is properly a place where goods or stores of any kind are kept; and the places at which the trains of a railroador, better, railway-stop for passengers, or the points from winch they start and at which they arrive, are, properly, the etations.

Railway. The English prefer this word to railroad.
Raise the rent. An expression incorrectly used tor incrase the rent.

Rarely. It is no uncominon thing to see this adverb improperly used in such sentences as, "It is very rarely that the puppets of the romancer assime,"etc.-"Appletons' Jonmal," February, 1881, p. 177. "But," says the defender of this phraseology, "rarely qualities a verb-the verb to be." Not at all. The sentence, if written ont in full, wouli be, "It is a very rare thing that," etc., or " The circmustance is a very rave one that," cte., or "It is a very rare ocenrence that." etc. To those who contend for "It is very rarely that," etc., I would say, It is very sadly thet persons of culture will write and then defend-or rather try to defend-such grammar.

Ratiocinate. See Efrmotuta.
Real. This adjective is often vulgarly used in the sense of the adverb rery; thas, real nice, real pretty, real angry, real eute, ind so on.

Recommend. This wrot, which means to commend or praise to another, to declire worthy of esteem, trust, or favor, is sometimes put to strange uscs. Example: "Resolved,
that the tax-payers of the county be recommpuded to meet," etc. What the resolving gentlemen meant was, that the tax payers should be commeled to meet.

Redundancy. See Pleonasm.
Reliable. 'This is a modern word which is often met with; but it is not used by onr careful writers. They prefer its synonym trustworthy, and argue that, in consequence of being ill-formed, reliable can not possibly have the signification in which it is used.

Remainder. See Balance
Rendition. This word is much misused for rendering Example: "'The excellence of Mr. Gilbert's rendition of certain eharacters, Sir Peter and Sir Antony, for instance, is not equaled." etc. Rendition means the act of yielding possession, surreuder, as the rendition of a town or fortress. The sentence above should read, "The excellence of Mr. Gilbert's rendering," etc. Remdition is also sometimes im. properly used for priformance.

Reply. Sce Answer.
Reputation. See Chabacter.
Reside. A big worl that Mr. Wouldbe uses where Mr. Is unes the little word lice.

Residence. In speaking of a man's domicile, it is not only in better taste but more correct to use the term house than residence. A man has a rosidence in New York, when he has lived here long enongh to have the right to exercise the franchise here; and he may have a house in lifth Avenue where he lives. leople who are live in homses; perple who wonld be reside in residences. The former buy things; the latter murchuse them.

Rest. See bahace.
Restive. Some of the dietionarins, Richard Grant White, ond some other writers, eontend that this worl, when properly
used means mailling to go, standing still stabbormly, obstinate, itubborn, and nothing else. In combating this opinion, Fitze ward Hall says: "Very few instances, I apprehend, can Lie produced, from our literature, of this use of restice." Websere gives impatient, measy, as a sceond meaning ; aml this is the sense in which the word is nearly always used.

Retire. It is only the over-nice who use retire in the sense of go to bet.

Revarend-Honorable. Many persons are in doubt whether they should or should not put the before these adjectives. E'mphatically, yes, they should. See "Words and Their Usus," by Richard Grant White, for a full discussion of the question ; also, "Good English," by Edward S. Gould.

Rhetosic. The art which has for its object the rendering of languagu effective is called rhetoric. Without some study of the art o composition, no one can expect to write well, or to judge the siterary work of others.
" True easu in writing comes from art, not chance,
As those move easient who have learned to dance."
Ride-Drive. Fashion, both in Eugland ond in this country, says tnat we must always nse the second of these words when we speak of going out in a carriage, although ride means, accoriting to all the lexicographers, "to be carried on a horse or uther animal, or in any kind of velicle or carriage."

Right. Singularly enough, this word is made, by some perple, to do service for ought, in elaty bound, under obligution to; thus, "You had a riykt to tell me," meaning, "You should have told me." "The Colonists contended that they hud no rịht to pay taces," meaning, "They were under no obigation to pay tixes," i. e., that it was unjust to tax them.

Right here. The expmesions "right here" and "right there "ar". Americanisms. Correctly, "just here" and "just there."

Rolling. The use of this participial adjective in the sense of undulating is said to be an Americanism. Whether an Amerieanism or not, it would seem to be quite mobjectionable.

Pubbers. This word, in common with gums and arctics, is often, in defiance of gool taste, used for overshoes.

Sabbath. This term was first used in England for Sunday, or Lord's day, by the Puritans. Nowadays it is little used in this sense. The word to use is Sunday.

Sarcasm. Bain says that sarcasm is vituperation softened in the outward expression by the arts and figures of disguise-epigram, innnendo, irony-and embellished with the figures of illustration. Crabb says that sarcasm is the indulgence ouly of personal resentment, and is never justifiable.

Satire. The holding up to ridicule of the follies and weaknesses of mankind, by way of rebuke, is callod satire. Satire is general rather than individual, its object being the refornation of abnses. A lampoon, which has been defined as a personal satire, attacks the individual rather than his fault, and is intended to injure rather than to reform.

Said Sneridan: "Satires and lampoons on partieular people eirculate more by giving copies in confidence to the friends of the parties than by printing them."

Saw. The imperfect tense of the velb to see is earelessly used by good writers and speakers when they shonld use the perfect; thas, "I never saw anything like it before," when the meming intended is, "I have never [in all my life] sen anything like it before [until now]." We say properly, "I never saw anything like it when I was in Puris"; but, when the period of time referrel to extends to the time when the statement is mule, it must be howe seen. Like mistakes are made in the use of other verbs, but thoy are inardly as
common; yet we often hear such expressions as, "I was never in Philadelphia," "I never went to the theatre in my life," instead of have been in Philadelphia, and have gone to the theatre.

Section. The use of this word for region, neighborhood, vicinity. part (of the town or comntry), is said to be a Westernism. A section is a division of the public lands containing six hundred and forty acres.

Seem-Appear. Gralam, in his "English Synonymes," says of these two words: "What seems is in the mind; what apmears is external. Things appetr as they present themselves to the eye; they seem as they are represented to the mind. Things appear good or bad, as far as we can judge by our senses. Things seem right or wrong as we determine by reflection. Perception and sensation have to do with appearing; reflection and comparison, with seeming. When things are not what they appectr, our senses are deceived; when things are not what they seem, our judgment is at fault."
"No man had ever a greater power over himself, or was less the man he seemed to be, which shortly after appeared to everyhody, when he eared less to keep on the mask."Clarendon.

Seldom or ever. This phrase should be "seldom if ever," or "seldom or never."

Seraphim. This is the plural of seraph. "One of the sercophim." "To Thee cherubim and seraphim continually do ery." See Cherumin.

Set-Sit. The former of these two verbs is often incorrectly used for the latter. 'lo set; imperfect tense, set; participles, setting, set. To sit; imperfect tense, sat; participles, sitting, sat. To set means to put, to place, to plant; to put in any place, condition, state, or posturc. We say, to set about, to set against, to set ont, to sefgging, to set apart, to
set aside, to sel down (to put in writing). To sit means to rest in the lower part of the body, to repose on a seat, to perch, as a bird, etc. We say, "Sit up," i.e., rise from lying to sitting; "We will sit up," i.e., will not go to hed; "Sit down," i. e., plate yourself on a seat. We sit a horse and we sit for a portrait. Garments sit well or otherwise. Congress sits, so doos a court. "I have sat up long enongh." "I have set it on the table." We wet down figures, but we sit down on the grount. We set a hen, and a hen sits on eggs. We should say, therefore, "as cross as a sitting [not, as a setling] hen."

Scttle. This worl is often inelegantly, if not incorrectly, used for pay. We pay our way, puy our fare, pay our hotelbills, and the like. See, also, Locate.

Shall and Will. The nice distinctions that should be made between these two auxiliaries are, in some parts of the English speaking world, often disregarded, and that, too, by persons of high culture. The proper use of shall and will can much better be lcarned from example than from precept. Many persons who use them, and also should and would, with well-nigh uuerring correctuess, do so unconseiously; it is simply habit with them, and they, though their eulture may be limited, will receive a sort of verbal shock from Biddy's inquity, "Will I put the kettle on, ma'am?" when your Irish or Scoteh comitess would not be in the least disturbed by it.
. Shale, in an affrmutive sentence, in the first person, and will in the secoml and third persons, merely announce future action. Thus, "I shall go to town to-morrow." "I shall not; I shall wait for better weather." "WVe shatl be glad to see you." "I shall soon be twenty." "We shall set out early, and shall try to arrive by noon." "You will he pleasel." "You will soon be twenty." "You will tind him honest." "He will go with us."

Shall, in an affimative senterce, in the second and thirel persons, annomices the spealirr's intention to control. Thus, "You shall hear me out." "You shatl go, sick or well." "He shall be my heir." "They shall go, whetler they want to go or not."

Wisu, in the first person, expmesses a momise, annonaces the speaker's intention to control, prociains ch determiztition. Thus, "I will [I promise to] assist you." "I will [ [ am determined to] have my right." "We will [we promise to] come to you in the morning."

Shall, in an interrogative sentence, in the first and thire $l$ persons, consults, the wi'l or julgment of another; in the secont person, it inquires concerning the intention or future action of another. Thus, "Shall I go with you"" "When shall we see you agains" "When shall I receive it?" "When shatl I get well?" "When shall we get there?" "Shat he come with us?" "Shall you demand indemnity?" "riball you go to tumin to-morrow?" "What shall yon do about it?"

Wilu, in an interrogative sentence, in the secome nerson, asks conceraing the wish, ancl, in the thirl persom, concerning the purpose or future action of others. Thus, "Will you have an apple?" "Will you go with me to my uncle's?" "Will he be of the party?" "I'ill they be willing to receive us?" "When will he be here?"

Will can not be used interrogatively in the first person singular or plural. We can not say, "Will I go?" "Will I help you?" "Will I be late?" " Fill we get there in time?" "Hill we see you again soon?"

Otficial courtesy, in order to aroid the semblance of compulsion, conveys its commands in the you-wil form insteal of the strictly grammatical you-sha/l form. It says, for example "You will proeeed to Key West, where you will find further instructions awaiting you,'

A clever writer on the use of shall and vill says that what. er concerus one's beliefs, hopes, fears, likes, or dislikes, u in not be expressed in eonjunction with I will. Are there tho excerptions to this rule? If I say, "I think I shall go to i'niladelphia to-morrow," I convey the impression that my going depends upon circumstances beyond my control ; but if I say, "I think I will go to Philadelphia to-morrow," I convey the impression that my going depends upon circumstances within my eontrol-that my going or not depends on mere inclination. We certainly must say, "I fear that I shall lose it": "I hope that I shail be well"; "I believe that I shall have the ague"; "I hope that I shall not be left alone"; "I fear that we shall have bad weather'; "I shall dislike the country"; "I shall like the performance." The writer referred to asks, "How can one say, 'I will have the headache'?" I answer, Very easily, as every young woman knows. Let us see: "Mary, you know you promised John to drive out with hiin to-morrow; how shall you get out of it?" "Oh, I will have the headache!" We request that people will do thus or so, and not that they shall. Thus, "It is requested that no one will leave the room."

Whell is rarcly, if ever, nsed for will; it is will that is used for shall. Expressions like the following are common: "Wnere will you be next week?" "I will be at hume." "We will have dinner at six o'clock." "How will you go ahout it?" "When will you begin?" "Whrn will you set ont?" "What will you do with it?" In all such expressions, when it is a question of mere future action on the part of the person s!eaking or spoken to, the auxiliary must be shatl, and not will.

Should and would follow the regimen of shall and will. Would is often used for shou'd; should rarely for mould. Correct speakers say: "I should go to town to-morrow if I had
a horse." "I should not; I should wait for better weather.' "We should be glad to sce yon." "We should have started earlier, if the weather had been elcar." "I shoull like to go to town, and would go if I conld." "I would assist you if I could." "I should have been ill if I had gone." "I would I were home again!" "I should go fishing to-day if I were home." "I neld so like to go to Europe!" "I should prefer to see it tirst." "I shoulle be delighted." "I should be glad to have you sup with me." "I knew that I should bo ill." "I feared that I should lose it." "I hoped that I should see him." "I thought I should have the ague." "I hoped that I should not be left alone." "I was ainaid that we should have bad weather." "I knew I should dislike the comntry." "I should not like to do it, and will not [determination] unless eompelled to."

Shimmy. "We derive from the French language our word chemise-fronounced, shemmeeze. In French, the worl denotes a man's shirt, as well as the under garment worn by women. In this country, it is often prosomeed by people who should know better-shimimy. Rather than call it shimmy, resume the use of the old English words shift and smock. Good usage ungualifiedly condemns gents, pemts, lide, gumts, and shimmy."-"Vulgarisms and Other Errors of Speech."

Should. Sce Ocgint.
Sick-Ill. These words are often usel indiscriminately, Sick, however, is the stronger word, and genemally the better word to nse. Ill is used in England more than with us: there sick is generally limited to the expressing of nausea; as, "sick at the stomach."

Signature, over or under? A man wites under, not over, a signaturg. Charles Dickens wrote under the signature of "Boz"; Mr. Samuel L. Clemens writes under the signatire
of "Mark Twain." The reason given in Welster's Dictionary for prefering the use of under is absurd: viz., that the paper is under the hand in writing. The expression is elliptical, and has no reference to the position either of the signature or of the paper. "Given under my hand and seal" means "under the guarantee of my signature and my seal." "Under his own signature" or "nume" means "under his own character, without disgnise." "Under the signature of Boz" means "under the disguise of the assumed name Boz." We always write under a ecrtain date, though the date be placed, as it often is, at the bottom of the page.

Signs. In one of the principal business streets of New York there is a sign which reals, "German Lace Store." Now, whether this is a store that makes a specialty of German laces, or whether it is a store where all kinds of laee are sold, kept by a German or after the German fashion, is something that the sign doubtless means to tell us, but, owing to the absence of a hyphen ("German-Lace Store," or "German Lace-Store"), does not tell us. Nothing is more common than erroncous punctuation in signs, and gross mistakes by the unlettered in the wording of the simplest printed matter.

The bad taste, incorrect punctuation, false grammar, and ridieulous nonsense met with on signs and placards, and in advertisements, are really surprising. An alvertisement tells us that "a pillow which assists in procuring sleep is a benediction"; a placard, that they have "Charlatte de Russe" for sale within, which means, if it means anything, that they have for sale somebody or something called Charlotie of Russian ; and, then, on how many signs do we see the possessive ease when the plomal number is intended!

Simile. In rhetoric, a direct and formal comparison is called a simile. It is generally denoted by like, as, or 80 ; as,
"I have ventured, like little wanton boys that swim on bladders, These many smmers in a sea of glory."
"Thy smile is as the diwn of vermal day."-Shakespeare. "As, Hown in the sunless retreats of the neean.

Sweet flow'rets are springing no mortul can see; So, deep in my bosom, the prayer of derotion,

Unheard by the world, rises silent to thee."-Moore.
"'Tis with our judgments as with our watehes; none Go just alike, yet wach believes his own."-Pope.
"Grace abused brings for th the fonlest deeds, As richest soil the most luxuriant weeds."-Cowner.
"As no roads are so rough as those that have just been mended, so no simers are so intulerant as those who have just turned saints."-"Iacun."

Sin. Sce Crinm.
Since--Ago. Dr. Johnson says of these two adverhs: "Reckoning time toward the present, wo use since; as, 'It is a year since it happened': reckoning from the present, we use ago; as, 'It is a year ago.' This is not, perhaps, always observed."

Dr. Johnson's rute will harilly suffice as a sure guide. Nince is often used for ago, but ago never for since. Ayo is derived from the participle ayone, while since comes from a preposition. We say properly, "not long" or "some tine a!go [agone]." Since requires a verbal clanse after it; as, "Since I saw you' ; "Since he was here."

Sing. Of the two forms-sung and sung-for the imperfect tense of the verh to sing, the former-sang-is to be preferred.

Sit. Sce Spt.
Slang. 'The slang that is heard among respectahle people is mado un of genume words, to which an ablitiary meaning
is given. It is always low, generally coarse, and not unfroquently foolish. With the exeeption of cant, there is nothing that is more to he shmmel. We sometimes meet with persons of emsiderable enlture who interlarl their tadk with slang ax pressions, but it is safe to assert that they are always persons of coarse natures.

Simant. Sice Cervere.
Smell of. Sen Thste of.
So. Neo As; Sucu; That.
So much so. "The shipments by the coast steamers are very large, so much so [large?] as to tax the eapacity of the different linss."-"'elegram," September 19, 1851. The seatence shonli be, "The shipments by the coast steamers are very large, so large as to tax," ete.

Solecism. In rhetoric, a solecism is tefmet as an offense against the rules of grammar by the use of words in a wrong ronstruction; false syntax.
"Mofern grammarians designate by solecism any word on expression which does not agree with the established usage of writing or speaking. But, as customs change, that which at one time is considered a solecism may at another be regarded as correct language. A solecism, therefore, differs from a betroarism, inasmneh as the latter consists in the use of a word or expression which is altogether contrary to the spirit of the language, and ean, properly spaking, never become estahished as correct language."-"Pemy Cyelopedia." See, also, Babramism.

Some. This word is not unfrequently misused for somewhat; thas, "She is some better to-day." It is likewise often misneel for chout; thas, "I think it is some ten miles from here": rearl, "about ten miles from here."

Syecialty. This fom has within a rexent perion ben generally substinted tor specially. There is mo aphent
reason, however, why the $i$ shonld be dropped, since it is required ly the etymology of the word, and is retained in nearly all other worlis of the same formation.

Specious Fallacy. A fallacy is a sophism, a logical artifice, a decieitful or falso appearance; while specious means having the appearance of tonth, plansible, Hence we see that the very essence of a fallacy is its specionsness. We may very properly saty that a fallacy is more or less specious, but we can not properly say that a fallacy is specions, since without specionsuess we can have no fallacies.

Splendid. This poor word is asel liy the gentler ses to aualify well-nigh everything that has their approval, from a sugar-plum to the national capitol. In fact, ep'endid and renjill seem to be abont the only adjectives some of our superlative young women have in their vocablaries.

Standjoint. This is a word to which many students of Fnglish serioncly object, and among them are the editons of some of our daily papers, who do not allow it to appear in their columns. The phrase to which no oue objects is, puint of ricu.

Giate. This word, which properly means to make known mocifacally, to explain particularly, is often mismsed for sel\%. When sull says all one wante to say, why use a more pretentin!s worn?

Stop. "Where are you stopin!?" "At the Metropatan." The proper wod to use he re is sherimg. To viop

 b. asc, at a hotel, or with a friend. ats the castrmaj ine.

Stom. Sany prems immuo in a carcherss nse of this
 "mows. Thansorn a riolent commotion of the atmopothe is fali-pusabie. A very high wind constitutes a sturn, though it be dry.

Straightray. Here is a good Anglo-Saxon word of two syllables where plase, without any good reason, is being nsurpel by the latin worl im orlintely, of fire syllables.

Street. We live in, not on meet our acquaintances in, not ou-things vecur in, not on-honses are built in, not on, the street, and so forth.

Slyle. This is a term that is used to characterize the peculiarities that ristinguish a witer or a compusition. Correctness and elarmess properly belong to the domain of dirtion; simplicity, conciscness, gravity, clegance, diffuseness, floridity, iore, fecbleness, coarseness, ete., belong to the domain of style.

Suljunctive ITood. This mood is unpopular with not a tew now-ithey grammarians. One says that it is rapidly falling into disuse; that, in fact, there is good reason to suppose it will som become obsolete. Another says that it woulh, perlaps, be better to abolish it cutirely, as its use is a continal soure of dispute among grammatians and of perplexity to schools. Another says that it is a maversai stmmblinghlock; that norody serms to muldrstand it, although almost everyboly attempts to me it.

That the subimetive mon is man loss usman man it was a hmolved yoars ago is criain, hat thiat it is ohsolescent is very far from ecrata, It womld not he easy, 1 : ' ' $\quad$, to find a sinule contin | . .1. witer whon des not ren ... That it is not ahayse cass $t$, determiow what form of it we shonh
 Athegether, as Mr. Chandler sugroses, heamse its comect use is bot always easy, then we are also justifest in abolishing the use of shatl ant will, and of the prepusitions, for surely their right use is likewise at times most puzaling. Neanwhile, most persons will think it well to learn to use the suljunctive mond propely. ivith that oljuet in view, one can not, per-
hapa, do better than to athom io whit Dr. Alexander Bain, Professor of Logic in the University of Aherdeen, says upon the subject. In Professor Rain's "Higher Englith tirammar" we find:
"In snbordinate clanses. - In a clause expressing a condition, and intronned ly a conjunction of condition, the verb is sometines, bit not always, in the smbunctive moon : "If I be able,' 'if I uere strong enough,' 'if thom shonld come.'
"The suijmetive inflexions have been wholly lust. The sense that something is wanting appears to have led many writers to use indiative forms where the subjunctive might be expected. The teurlency appears strongest in the case of ' wert,' which is now usel as inticative (for 'wast') only in poetical or clevated langnage.
"The following is the rule given for the use of the subjunctive mood:
"When in a comitional clanse it is intended to express doubt or denial, use the subjnuctive mood." 'If I were sure of what you tell me, I wublil gen.'
"When the conditional chanse is affirmutive and certain, the verb is inctiontice: 'If that is the case' (as you now tell me, and as I believe), 'I can understand you.' 'This is equiralent to a clause of assmmption, or suppesition: "That being the case,' 'inasmuch as that is the case," ete.
"As futarity is by its nature unertain, the subuactive is extensively used fore futme comditiomality: 'If it min, we shall not be ahle to go'; 'if I be well'; 'if he come shomity'; 'if thon retura at all in peace'; 'thongh he sluy me, g'et will I trust in him.' Tinese eronts are all in the mentain future, rud are put in the subjunctive. $\dagger$

[^18]THE V\&RBA1/ルT.
'A future result or emserguence is expresed by the sub. junctive in such instances as these: I will wait till he
 stones, that he dia'; 'taks heed lest at any time your hearts be overchargen whth surfiting.'
"Uncertainty as to a paat event may arise from our own ignorance, in which case the smbinative is prowsy amployed, and serves the useful puppose of distinguishing our ignorance from our knowledge. 'It any of my readers hus looked with so little attention upein the world arouml him'; this would mean-- 'as I know that they have.' The meaning intended is probably-'as I tho not know whether they have or not," and therefore the subjunctive 'have' is preferahle. 'If ignor. ance is bliss,' whieh I (iromically) admit Han (aray been meaking serionsly, he would have sain, 'if ignomace be biss,' he inmself dissenting frem the propusition,
" $\boldsymbol{A}$ wish contrany to the fact takes the subjunctive: ' 1 wish he were here' (which he is not).
"An i mtion not yet carried out is also mbinjunctive: 'The sentence is that you be imprisoned.'
"The only correct form of the future subjunctive is-" if I shouk.' We may say, 'I do not know whether or not I shail come'; but 'if $I$ shall come,' expressing a coblition, is not an English constraction. 'If he will' has a real meaning, as stones be mate bram:' "if thonlfe the son of food ecme down from the
 xpeakers, they rathy mennt to malic the sublu tion or to fat that ho
 the following: "Now if ©hist be jreathed, that He lose fonm the dead.



 risen, And If Chrimt we bet pash, then in ar preachlng vain, and your faith is also valn,' desan, If hou brin, tiy gift to tho altar, aml there
 Jaritson on tho "F. . $15^{\prime}$ ' "Ably
being tho present subjunctive of the verb 'will' 'if he be willing,' 'if he have the will.' It is in accordance with good usage to express a future subjunctive moning by a present tense; but in that case the form must be strictly sulijunctive, and not indicative. 'If any member alisents himself, he shall forfeit a penny for the use of the club'; this ought to be either 'absent,' or 'should absent.' 'If thou neglectest or doest unwillingly what I command thee, I will rack thee with old cramps'; better, 'if thon neglect or do unwillingly,' or 'if thou shoulh neglect.' The indicative would be justified by the speaker's belief that the supposition is sure to turn out to be the fact.
"The past suljunctive may imply denial ; as, "if the book were in the library (as it is not), it should be at your service.'
"'If the book be in the library,' means, 'I do not know whether it be or not.' We have thus the power of discriminating three different suppositions. 'If the book is in the library' (as I know it is) ; 'if it be' (I am meertin); 'if it were' (as I know it is not). So, 'if it rains,' 'if it rain,' ' if it rained.' 'Nay, and the villains march wide between the legs, as if they herl gyves on,' implying that they had not.
"The same p swer of the past tense is excmplified in 'if I could, I would,' which means, 'I can not'; whereas, 'if I can, I will,' means 'I do not know.'
"The past suhnuctive may be expressed l) an inversion: 'Hach I the power,' 'wore I as I have beenh.'
"In l'riucipal Clauses. - The principal clar" in a comhtional statement also takes the subjunetive am when it refers to what is futhe and contingent, and when it refers to what is past and uncertain, or denied. 'If he should tiy, he would saceed'; 'if I had seen him. I shonld have asked him.'
"The asmal forms of the subjunctive in the principal clanse are 'would,' 'shoull,' 'would have,' 'should have';
11. lit is to be noted that in this application the secomp pernous take the indlexional ending of the indicative: 'shouldst, - wouldst.'
"'If 'twere done when 'tis done, then 'twere (would be) well It were (should be) done rquickly.'
"The English idiom appears sometimes to permit the use uf an indicative where we should expect a subjunctive form. - Many acts, that had been otherwise blamable, were em :lnyed'; 'I had fainter, unless I had believeci,' ete.
"' Which else lie furled and shouded in che soul.'
"In 'else' there is implied a conditional clanse that would .nit 'lie'; or the present may be regarded as a more vivid mon of expression. 'Haul' may be inclicative; just as wo metimes find pluperfect indicative for pluperfect subjuncwe in the same circunstances in Latin. We may refer it to 13 general tendency, as already seen in the uses of 'could,' would,' 'should,' etc., to express conditionality by a past anse; or the indicative may be used as a more direct and vid mode. 'Had' may bs subjnnctive; 'I had fainted' is, - construction, analogous to 'I should have fainted'; the wl for futurity, 'shall,' not being necessary to the sense, is richdrawn, and its past inflexion transferred to 'have.' :mpare Germ. wïrle haben and hätte."

In addition to the foregoing, we find in Professor Bain's "Composition Grammar" the following:
"The caso most suited to the stibjunctive is contingent :urity, or the expression of an ovent unknown ahsolutely, as wing still in the future: 'If to-mortow be fine, I will walk wh you.'
"، Unless I were prepared,' insinuates pretty strongly that I am or am not prepared, according so the manner of the rincipal clause.
" "What's a tall man muless he fight?"
" ' The sword hath ended him: so shall it thee, Unless thou yield thee as my prisoner.'
" 'Who hut minst langh, if sneh a man there le? Who wonht not weep, if Atticns were he?'
"'I am to second Ion if he fail'; the failing is left quite doultful. 'I should very imperfectly execute the task which I have undertaken if I were merely to trat of battles and sieges.' Macaulay thus implies that the scope of his work is to he wider than mere hattles and sieges.
"The subjunctive appers in some other constrenctions. 'I hope to see the exhibition before it close'; 'wait till he return': 'thon shalt stand by the rivers brink against he rome'; 'take heed lest passion suref, thy judgonent'; 'speak to me, though it be in wrath'; 'if he smite him with an instrmment of iron so that he die, he is a mumerer'; 'beware this night that thon crows not my footsteps' (shelley).
"Asmin. 'Whatever this be'; 'whoever he be': 'howe'ar it be ('T Imysin) ; and such like.
"And as loat, O Gorl, as she Huve a grain of love for me, Solong, no doubt, no doubt, Shall I murse in my ditrk heart, However weary, a spark of will Not to be trampled out.'
"The Euture Subjuctive is siven in our seheme of the verb as 'should' in all persons: 'If I shombl, if thon shomht, if he should.' In old Et Mlish, we have 'then shouldst': 'it thou, Lomb, shonthat mark iniquities.'
"An huveted conditional furm has talarn (leep pout in our language, and may be regirde! ns an elewnt and formble varicty. White dispensing with the ennjunction, it toes nos cause ambignity ; nevertheless, conlitionality is well matiod
"'If you shonld abrudon your Penclope ani your bome for Calypso, ---': 'shont you abandon $\qquad$ .
" ' Go not my horse the better, I must become a borrower of the night For at dark hour or twain.'
" ' Here had we now our comntry's hono: roof'd Were the graced person of our Bantuo present.'
" ' Be thou a spirit of health or goblin danm'd, Bring with thee airs from heaven or basts hom hell, fie thy intents wieked or charitable, Thou com'st is such a questionathe shape That I will speak to thee.'
"' Come one, come all, this rock shall fly From its firm base as soon as I. - Seott.
'The following examjles are given by Mätzner:
"'Varney's commanications, be they what they might, were operating in his favor.'--Scott.
"'Governing persons, were they never so insignifient intrinsically, have for most part plenty of Memoir-writers. Carlyle.
"' Fien tere I disposel, I conld not gratify the reaier.' Warren.
"" Bring them hat tome, cost what it may."- Coleriage, - Wrallonstein.'
"' And will yon, nill you, I will marry you' -'Taming ¿ne Shtew.'
"Here i.s usel in the principal clatace for "should be' or "woul.l he.'"
"I In"e ( $=$ shombly bej a fonl, not less than if a panther
Wise panic-stricken ly the antelopes tye,
It slae eseape me. - Nhelley.

[^19]" Were you but riting forth to air yourself, Such parting were too petty.'
"'Ife uere ( $=$ would be) no lion, were not liomans hinds.'
"' Shonld he be ronsed out of his sleep to-night, . . .
It were not well: imleel it were not well.'-Shelley.
"Had is sometimes used in the principal clanse for 'should have' or 'woull have.'*
"'Had I known this before we set ont, I think I had ( $=$ would have) remaned at home.' - Scott.
"'Hadst thou bean killd wien first thou didst presmae,
Thon hadst not livel to kill a son of mine.'
" ' If he
IIad killed me, he hat done a kinder deed.'
"، For once he had been ta'en or slain,
An it had not been his : linistry.'-Scott.
"'If thon halst said him nay, it hued been sin.' $\dagger$
"' Had better, rather, best, as hicf, as well,' ete, is a form that is explainel muder this heating. 'Hal' stambs for 'would have.' The exploded notion that 'hal' is a compated 'would ' must be guarded against.
"'I had as lief not be.' That is-'I rould as lief have not (to) bc.' $=$ 'I would as willingly (or as soon) have nonexistence.'
"'Inal yon rather Cessar were living-_?' 'Wouth you rather have (urould you prejer that) Comsan were living?'
"'Ie hal better reconsider the mater' is 'he acould better huce (lo) reconsider the matter.'.

[^20]"' I had rather be a kitten and cry mew
Than one of these same metre ballall-inongers; I had rather hear a brazen canstick turnerl.'
" Let us compare this form with another that appears siflo by side with it in carly writers. (Cp. Lat. 'habeo' and 'mihi est.')
"'The construction of 'had' is thas illustrated in Chaucer, as in-Nonne Prestes Tale, 300 : "'lby God, I hudde levere than my scherte, That ye hadde ad his legend, as I have.'
"Compare now:
" 'Als me were levere with lawe loose my lyf Then so to fote hem felle.'--Wrigit, 'Polit. S.'
"Here 'were' is unquestionably for 'would be'; and the whole expression might be given by 'hat,' thas: 'Ah, I huthe levere-,' '(to) loose' and '(to) jalle,' changing from subjects of 'were' to objects of 'hadde.'
"So, in the Chancer example above, if we substitute 'be' for 'have,' we shall get the same meaning, thus: 'By God, me were levere-.'.' 'ithe interelange helps us to see more clearly that 'hadde' is to be explaned as subjunctive for 'would have.'" See Indicative and Subjunctive.

Such. "I have never before sfen such a large ox." By a little transposing of the wouds of this sentener, we have, "I have never before seen an ox such large," which makes it quite elear that we should say so large an ox and not such a largp or. As proof that this error in the ase of such is common, we find in Mr. George Washington Moon's "Iean's Kinglisham Bad English," the sentence, "With i.ll due deference to such a high authority on such a very important matter." With a little transposing, this sentence is mede to real, "With all due deference to an authority such high on a matter surl very important." It is elear that the sentence shouhd rean, "With
all due deference to so high an authority on so very important a matter." 'The phrases, such a handsome, such it lovely wach a long, such narrow, ete, are incorrect, and shoutd he so hantome, so lovely, so lows, and so on.

Summon. This rent comes in for its full share of manling. We often hear such expressions ats "I will sumbations him," insterul of rimazon him; and "He was summonsel," in stead of stmmonori.

Superfuous Woids. "Whenever I try to write well, I always finct 1 dan io it." "I shall have fanshed by the luller end of the week." "Iron sinks down in water." "He combiner toypther all the facts." "My brother called on me, and we both took a walk." " $I$ cin do it equelly as well as he." "We conh not forbear from ioing it." "Before I go, I must first be paid." "Wha were compelled to return back." "We forced then to retreat buck fully a mile." "His conduet was approved of by everyboly." "Thay conversed topether for a long time." "The bialloon rose "u very rapidly." "(iive me another one." "Come home as soon as eier you cin." "Who finds him in money?" "He came in last of a!l!." "He has got all he call dury." "What have you got?" "No mattrer what l have !ot." "I have got the homelache." " Have you got any brothers?" "No, but I have got a sister." All the words in itce ics are superfhons.

Superio:. This worl is not menfequently used for ahle, exeellent, gifted; as, "sho is a superior woman," meanillig an pocrellent woman; "Hic is a superior man," meaning an chbe man. The expression an inferior men is not less objection. able.

Suppsititions. 'This word is properly used in the scme of put by a tribis into the pleec or character belonging to another, spurious, counterfint, not gamine; and improperly in the scres of conjectaral, hypothetical, imaginary, presump-
tive; as, "This sa sumposititious ease," menning an imaginary or presumptive case. "The Einglish eritie derived his materials from a stray eopy of some shpmoxititions iminexes devised by one of the "Post' reporters."-" Nation." Here is a correct use of the word.

Swosh. There is a kind of ill-lonlaneed hain in which the rethective and the imangative pery moln ontweight the preseptive. Men to whom this kint of an organzation has bem given genembly have active mime, lout their mimets never present anything cleasly. To their mental vision all is illdefmed, chotic. Thoy see everything in a haze. Whether sheh men talk or write, they are vorbose, illosiol, intangble, wilh-o'-the-wispish. 'Their thonghts are phantombike; like shadows, they eontimmbly escape their grap. In their talk they will, after long dissertations, tell you that they liave not said just what they would like to say; there is always a suhte, lurking something still unexpres-ed, which spmething is the real csocnce of the matter, ami whing yom pentration is caputed to divine. In then writhes they are eccentrie, vagne, lahysinthine, pretentious, transcemental,* and freghentig marammatical. These men, if wite they mut, shombentine themestres to tho demeriptive: for when they onter the: esso yist's doman, which they are wery prone to do, they wite what 1 will renture to call seovis.

Wo fond examper in plenty of this kimd of wrimes in the essays of Mr. Ralph Wahlo Finerson. Inised, the minatial artie who will tabe the tomble to examine any of Mr. Bmer-
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clusion that Mr. Emerson lias seen overything he has ever made the subject of his essays very much as London is seen from the top of St. Paul's in a fog.

Mr. Emerson's detinition of Nature runs thus: "Philoso. phically considered, the omiverse is eomposed of Nature and the Soul. Strictly speaking, therefore, all that is separate from us, all which philo opphy distinguishes from the Nol Me -that is, both Natiare and Art, and all other men, and my own body-must be ranked umler this hame 'Nature.' In enumerating the values of Nature and casting up their smm, I shall use the worl in both senses-in its common and in its philosophical inuport. In inquiries so general as our present one, the inacenracy is not material; no confusion of thought will ocenr. Nature, in the common sense, refers to essences unchanged by man; space, the air, the iver, the leaf. Art is applied to the minture of his will with the same things, as in a house, a camai, a picture, a statue. But his operations, taken together, are so insignificant-a little chipping, baking, patching, and washing-that in an impression so grand as that of the world on the human mind they do not vary the rcsult."

In "Letters and Social Aims" Mr. Emerson writes: "Floquence is the power to translate a truth into langiage perfectly intelligible to the person to whom you speak. He who would convinee the worthy Mr. Munderheral of any truth which Dunderhead does not see, must be a master of his art. Declamation is common; lut sueh possession of thought as is here required, such practieal chemistry as the conversion of $\boldsymbol{a}^{\circ}$ truth written in Corl's language into a truth in Dumderhead's langnage, is one of the most beantiful and cogent weapons that is forgel in the shop of the divine Artificer."

The first paragraph of Mr. Emarson's "Essay on Art" reads: "All departments of life at the present day-Trade,

Politics, Letters, Science, or Religion-seem to feel, and to labor to express, the identity of their law. They are rays of one sun ; they translate each into a new language the sense of the other. They are sublime when seen as emanations of a Necessity contradistinguished from the vulgar Fate by being instant and alive, and dissolving man, as well as his works, in its flowing beneficence. This influence is eonspicuously visible in the principles and history of Art."

Another paragraph from Mr. Emerson's "Essay on Eloquence" : "The orator, as we have seen, must bo a substantial personality. Then, first, he must have power of state-ment-must lave the fact, and know how to tell it. In a knot of men conversing on any subject, the person who knows most about it will have the ear of the company, if he wishes it, and lead the conversation, no matter what genins or distinction other men there present may have; and, in any public assembly, him who has the facts, and can and will state them, people will listen to, though he is otherwise ignorant, though he is hoarse and ungrateful, though he stutters and screams."

Mr. Emerson, in his "Essay on Irudence," writes: "There are all degrees of proficiency in knowledge of the world. It is sufficient to our present purpose to indicate three. One class live to the utility of the nymbol, esteeming health and wealth a final good. Another class live above this mark to the beanty of the symbol, as the poct and artist, and the naturalist and man of science. A third class live above the beauty of the symbol to the beanty of the thing signified; these are wise men. The first class have common sense; the second, taste; and the third, spiritual pereeption. Onee in a long time a man traverses the whole scale, and sees and enjoys the symbol solidly; then, also, has a clear ejo for its beauty; and, lastly, whilst he pitches his tent on this sacred volcanic isle of nature, does not offer to build houses and barns
thereon, reverencing the splendor of God which he sees bursting through each chink and cramy."

Those who ate wont to accept others at their self-issessment and to see things throngh other people's eyes--and ther. are many such -are in danger of thinking this kind of writis? very fine, when in fact it is not only the veriest suoch, bit that kind of swosh that excites at least an occasional doul. with regard to the writer's sanity. We can make no greate i mistake than to suppose that the reason wo do not mucterstani these rhetorical contortionists is because they are so subi.e and profound We understand them quite as well as they understand themselves. At their very best, they are bat incoherent diluters of other men's ideas. They have but one thing to recommend them-honesty. They believe in them selves.
"Whatever is dark is deep. Stir it puddle, and it is deeper than a well,"-Swift.

Synectoche. The using of the naune of a pait for that of the whole, the name of the whole for that of a pa.t, cr the using of a definite number for an indefinite, is calle l, in rhetoric, synecloche. "The bay was covered with sui/s"; i. e., with ships. "The man was ohl, careworn, and gray"; i. e., literally, his hair, not the man, was gray. "A'ine tenths of every man's happiness depends on the reeeption he meets with in the world." "Ho had seen seventy winters." "Thus spoke the tempter" : here the part of the chanacter is named that suits the occasion.
"His roif was at the servico of the outcast; the unfor. tunate ever found a welcome at his thresholl."

Take. I copy from the "London Queen": "The vert to take is open to being considered a vulgar verb when ued in reference to dimner, tea, or to refreshments of any kind. Will you take' is not considered comme il fuat; the verb in
frover for the difing of civilitirs heing to hace." According t., "The Quecn," then, we must say, "Will you have some d.aner, tea, coflee, wine, fish, lifef, salad," etc.

Taste of. The redundiat of, often used, in this country, in connection with the transitive verbs to caste and to smell, is " Cankeeism. We taste or smell a thing, not taste of nor st, cll of' a thing. 'The nenter verls to taste and to smell aro wiven followed by of. "lf butter tastes of brass." "For age h. . tastes of pleasures."
"You shall stifle in your own report, And smell of ealumny."-Shakespeare.
Tautology. Among the things to be avoided in writing is sontolouy, which is the repeating of the same thought, whether in the same or in different words.

Yautophony. "A regard for harmony requires us, in the progress of a sentence, to avoil repeating a sound by employtng the same word more than once, or using, in contiguous words, similar combinati-ns of letters. This fault is known as tattolory,",--Dr. G. P. Quackenbos, "Advanced Course of Composition and Rhetoric," p. 300. 1r. Quatkenhos is in error. The repetition of the same sense is tautology, and the repetition of the same sound, or, as Dr. Quackentos has it, 'the repeating of a somnd by cmphoying the stame word more than once, or by using in contiguous words similar combinations of letters," is tattophony.

Teach. To impart knowledge, to inform, to instruct; as, "Teach mo how to do it"; "Tearh me to swim"; "He taught mo to write." The uncultured often misuse learn for teach. See Iearn.

Tense. The errors made in the use of the tenses are manifold. The one most frequently made by persons of cul-ture-the one that everyboly makes wonld, perhans, be uearer the fact-is that of using the imperject instead of the
perfect tense; thus, " 1 never saw it played but once": say, have seen. "He was the largest man I ever saw": say, have seen. "I never in my life had such trouble": say, have had. Another frequent error, the making of which is not confined to the unschooled, is that of using two verks in a past tense when only one shouid be in that time; thus, "I intended t" have gone": say, to go. "It was my intention to have come": say, to come. "I expected to have jound you here": say, to find. "I was very desirous to have gone": say, to go. " He was better than I expected to have found him ": say, to find.

Among other common errors are the following: "I seen him when he done it": say, "I saw him when he did it." "I should have went home": say, gone. "If he had went": say, gone. "I wish you had went": say, gone. "He has went out": say, gone. "I come to town this morning": say, came. "He come to me for advice": say, came. "It begon very late": say, began. "It had already began": say, begun. "The following toasts were drank": say, drunk. "His text was that God was. love ": say, is love. Auother error is made in such sentences as these: "If I had have known": say, had known. "If he hat have come as he promised": say, had come. "If you had have told me": say, had told.

Testimony. See Evinence.
Than. Than and as implying comparison have the same case after as before them. "He owes more than $m e$ ": read, than I-i. e., more than I owe. "John is not so old as her": read, as she-i.e., as she is. We should say, then, "He is stronger than she," "She is older than he," "You are richer than I," cte. But it does not always happen that the nominative case comes after than or as. "I love you more than him," "I give you more than him," "I love you as well as him"; that is to say, "I love you more than I love him," "I give you more than I give him," "I love you as well as I love him."

Take away him and put he in all these cases, and the grammar is just as gool, but the meaning is quite different. "I love you as well as him," means that I love you as well as I love him; but, "I love vou as well as he," means that I love you as well as he loces you.

Than whom. Cobbett, in his "Grammar of the English Lancuage," says: "There is an erroneons way of employing whom, which I must point ont to your particular attention, beea ise it is so often seen in very good writers, and because it is rery deceiving. 'The Duke of Argyll, than whom no man was more hearty in the cause.' 'Cromwell, thun whom no man was better skilled in artifice.' A hundred such phrases might be collected from Hisme, Blackstone, and even from Dis. Blair und Johnson. Yet they are bal grammar. In all such cases who shonh be made use of : for it is nominative and not objective. 'No man was more hearty in the cause than he was'; 'No man was better skilled in artifice than he was.' * It is a very common Parliament-house phrase, and therefore presumably corrupt ; but it is a Dr. Johmson phase, too: "Pope, than whom few men had more vanity.' The Doctor did not say, 'Myself, than whom fow men have been found more base, having, in my dictionary, described a pensioner as a slave of state, and having afterward myself become a pensioner.'
"I differ in this matter from Bishop Lowth, who says that 'The relative who, having reierence to no verb or preposition understood, but only to its antecedent, when it follows than, is always in the objective case; even though the pronom, if substituted in its place, would be in the nominative.' And then he gives an instance from Milton. 'Beelzeloub, than whum, Satan except, none higher sat.' It is curious enough

[^21]that this sentence of the lishop is, itself, ungrammatical! Our poor unfortunate it is so placed as to make it a matter of doubt whether the Bishop meant it to relate to who or to its antecedent. However, we know its meaning; lut, thongh he says that who, when it follows thun, is always in the objective cass, he gives us no reason for this departure from a elear general principle; muless we are to regard as a reason the eximple of Milton, who has committed many hundreds, if not thousends, of grammatical errors, many of which the Bishop himself has pointed ont. There is a sort of side-wind attempt at reason in the words, having reference to no verb or preposition understood.' I do not see the reason, even if this could be; but it appears to me impossilit that a noun or pronoun can exist in a grammatical state without having reference to some verb or preposition, either expressed or understood. What is meant by Milton? 'Than Beelzehub, none sat higher, except Satan.' And when, in orver to avoil the repetition of the word Beelzelbub, the relative becomes necessary, the full construction must be, 'no devil sat higher than uho sat, except Satan'; and not, 'no devil sat higher than whom sat.'* The supposition that there can be a noun or pronoun which has reference to no verb and no pre! osiition, is certainly a mistake."

Of this, Dr. Fitzelward Hall remarks, in his "Jecent Exemplifications of F'alse I'hilology ": "That any one but Cobbett would abide this as English is highly improbable; and how the expression-a quite clarsical one-which he discarcs can be justified grammatically, except by calling its than a preposition, others may resolve at their leisure and pleasure."

Thanks. There are many persons who think it in ques. tionable taste to use thankis for thank you.

[^22]That. The best writers often apmear to grope after a sparate employment for the several relativea.
"'TuAT' is the proper restricive, exp'itesiow, biniiing, or dening relative.
"'That,' the nenter of the definite article, was early, in uste as a menter relative. All the other oldest relatives gradnally hropt away, and 'that' cane to be applied also to plural antecelents, and to masculines and feminines. When 'as,' 'which,' and 'who' came forwarll to share the work of 'that,' there secms to have arisen not a little uncertainty about the relatives, and we tind curious donble forms: 'whom that,' 'which tilat,' 'which as,' ete. Gower has, 'Venus whose priest that I am'; Chmeer writes_'This Abbet which that was an holy man,' 'his lovo the whin that he oweth.' By the Elizabethan perion, these double forms have disappened, and all the relatives are used singly withont hesitation. From then till now, 'that' has been stenesling with 'who' and 'which' to regian superior favor, with varying suceess. ' Who' is usel for persons, 'which' for things, in both numbers; so is 'that'; and the only opportmity of a special application of 'that' lies in the important distitution between coürdination and restriction. Now, as 'who' and 'which' are most commonly preferred for comrdination, it would be a elear gain to confine them to this sense, and to reserve 'that' for the restrictive application alone. This arrangement, then, wonh fal! in with the most general use of 'that,' especiatiy beyont the limits of formul composition.
"The use of 'that'solely as restrictive, with 'who' and 'which' solely as coürlinating, also avoitls ambiguilies that often ateml the indisciminate use of 'who' and 'which' for coürdinate and for restrictive clauses. Thus, when we say 'his conduct surprised his linglisli friends, who had not known him long,' we may mean either that his English frienda
generally were surprised (the relative being, in that case, coïrdinating, $)$, or that only a portion of them-namely, the particular portion that had not known hin long-were surprised. In this last case the relatise is meant to define or explain the antecelent, and the downt would he removed by writing thus: "his binstish friemes that had not huown him lang.' So in the following sentence there is a similar ambigaity in the nse of 'which': 'the next winter which yon will sfend in town will give yon opportmities of making a more prodent chuice.' This nay mean, either 'yon will spend next winter in town' ('which' bein's coumdinatin's), or 'the next of the winters when you are to live in town,' let that come when it 'any. In the former ease, 'which' is the proper relative;
he latter case, the meaning is restrictive or defining, amd ...sulal be best brongit out by 'that': 'tise next winter that you wiil spend in town.'
${ }^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$ A further consideration in firnor of employing 'that' for explicative clanses is the muleasant effect arising from the too frequent repletition of 'who' ent 'which.' Grammarians often recommend 'that' as a means of varying the style; but this ead ought to be sought in subeervicuce to the still greater end oi perspienity.
"The following examיles will serve further to illustrate tine distinction between chat, on the one han!, and who and 70.1 ch , on the other:

- In general, Mr. Burchell was fondest of the company of - bitiren, whom he ased turall hambers little men.' 'Whom' if ecre idhomatically used, Wuing the equivaleat of 'and them han ased 10 call,' ete.
" 'Bacon at last, n mighty man, arose,
Whom a wise ling and nation choso
Lord Chancellor of both their laws.'
Wh...also, 'whom' is equal to 'and hi:n'
"In the following instance the relative is restrictive o: defining, and 'that' wonld he preferable: 'the conclusion of the "Iliad" is like the exit of a great man out of company whom he has entertained magnificently,' Compare another of Adlison's sentences: 'a man of polite imagination is let into a great many pleasures that the vulgar are not capable of receiving.'
"Both relatives are introduced discriminatingly in this passage:-'She had learned that from Mrs. Wood, who had ineard it from her husband, who had heard it at the publichouse from the landlord, who had been let into the seeret by the boy that carried the beer to some of the prisoners.'
"The following sentences are ambiguous under the modern system of using 'who'for both purposes:-'I inet the boatman who took me across the ferry.' If 'who' is the proper relative here, the meaning is, 'I met theboatman, and he took me across,' it being supposed that the boatman is known and definite. But if there be sereral boatmen, and I wish to indicate one in particular by the cireumstance that he had taken me across the ferry, I should use 'that.' 'The youngest boy who has learned to dance is James.' This means either 'the youngest boy is James, and he has learned to dance,' or, 'of the boys, the youngest that has learned to dauce is Jnmes.' This lost sense is rest:ictive, and 'that' should be used.
"'Turning now to 'which,' we may have a series of parallel examples. 'The court, which gives currency to manners, should be exemplary' : here the meaning is 'the court should be exemplin'y, for the court gives currency to manners.' 'Which' is the idiomatic relative in this casc. 'The cat, which you despise so much, is a very useful animal.' The relative here also is coürdinating, and not restrictive. If it were intended to point out one individual cat specially despised by the person aidressed, 'that' would convey the semen
'A theory whirh does not tend to the improvement of practice is utterly moworthy of regard.' 'The meaning is restrictive; 'a theory that does not teud.' 'The following sentence is one of many from Goldsmith that give 'that' insteal of 'which' : -'Age, that lessens the enjoyment of life, increases our lesire of living.' Thackeray also was fond of this usage But it is not very common.
" 'Their faith turded to make them inqrovident; but a wise instinct taught them that if there was one thing which ought not to be left to fate, or to the precepts of a deceased prophet, it was the arcillery'; a case where 'that' is the proper relative.
" 'All worde, which are signs of complex ileas, furnish matter of mistake.' This gives an erroncous impression, and should be 'all words that are sigus of complex illeas.'
"'In all cases of prescription, the universal practice of judges is to direct juries by analogy to the Statute of Limitations, to deeide against incorporcal rights which have for many vears been relinquished' : s:y instead, 'ineorporeal rights that have for many years,' and the sense is clear.
"It is necessary for the proper understanding of 'which' $\therefore$ alvert to its pecaliar function of refering to a whole clanse is the antecedent: 'Willim ran along the top of the wall, which alarmed his mother very much.' The antecedent is obviously not the nom 'wall,' but the fact expressed by the entire clauss-.-'William ran,' etc. 'He by no means wants sense, which only serves to aggravate his former folly'; namely, (not 'souse,' but) the circumstance 'that he dees not want sense.' 'He is neither over-exalted by prosperity, nor too nutuch depressed by misfortune; which you must allow marks a great mind.' 'We have done many things which we ought not to have done,' might mean 'we ought not to have done many things' ; that is 'we ought to have done few thinge.
'That' would give the exact sense intended: 'we have done many things thet we ought not to have done.' 'He began to look after his affairs himself, which was the way tomake them prosper.
"We must next alitule to the cases wher" the re'at ve is governod by a preposition. We can use a ?eposition bafore 'who ' and 'which,' but when the relative is 'that,' the preposition must be thrown to the end of the clanse. Owing to on imperfect appreciation of the genins of our language, offense was taken at this usage by some of one leading writers at the beginning of last century, and to this circumstance we must refer the disuse of 'that' as the relative of restriction. *
"'It is curious that the only circumstance connected with Scoti, and related by Lockhart, of which I was a witness, is incorrectly stated in the "Life of Sir Walter."'-Lcslie's

[^23]' Memoirs.' The rolative should be restrietive: 'that I was a witness of:'
"'There are many words which are arljectives which have nothing to do with the qualities of the nouns to which they are put.'-Cobbett. Better: 'there are many words that are adjectives that have nothing to do with the qualities of the nouns (that) they are put lo.'
"' Oticer objects, of which we have not occasion to speak so frequently, we do not designate by a name of their own.' This, if anended, would be: 'other objects that we have not occasion to speak of so frequent.y, we do not,' ete.
" 'Sorrow for the deal is the only sorrow from which we refuse to be divorced': 'the only sorrow (that) we refuse to be divoreed from.'
"Why, there is not a single sentence in this play that I do not know the meaning of.'-Addison.
"'Originality is a thing wo constanily ciamor for, and constantly quarrel with.'-Carlyle.
"' A spirit more amiable, but less vigorous, than Luther's would have shrunk back from the dangers which he braved and summounted ': 'that he braved'; 'the dangers braved and surmounted by him.'
" ' Nor is it at all improbable that the emigrants had been guilty of those faults from which civilized men who settle among an uncivilized people are rarely free.'-Mreanlay. 'Nor is it at all improbable that the emigrants had beeu guilty of the fuults that (such fiults as) civilizel men that settle (sellling, or sellled) amongin uneivilized people are rarely free from.'
"' Prejndices are notions or opinions which the mind entertains withont knowing the grounds and reasons of them, and which are assented to without examination.'-Berkeley. 'The 'which' in both cases slould be 'that,' but the relative
may be entirely dispensed with by participial conversion : 'prejudices are notions or opinions entertained by the mind witholit knowing the grommts and reasons of them, and assented to without examination.'
"The too frequent repetition of 'who' and 'which' may be avoided by resolving them into the conjunction and persomal or other pronoun: 'In such circumbtances, the utmost that Bosquet could be expectel to do was to hohl his groumd, (which) and this he did.'"-Bain's "Higher Engiish Grammar."

This word is sometimes vulgarly used for so; thens, "I was that nervous I forgot everything"; "I was that frightened I coald hardly stand."

The. Bungling writers sometimes write sheer nonsense, or say something very different from what they have in their minds, by the simple omission of the delinite article ; thus, "The indebtedness of the English tongue to the French, Latin and Greek is diselosed in almost every sentence framed." According to this, thene is such a thing as a French, Latin and Greek tongne. Professor Townsend meant to say: "The indebtedness of the English tongue to the French, the Latin, and tine Grcek," ete.

Then. The use of this word as an adjective is condemned in very emphatic terms by some of our grammarians, and yet this use of it has the sanction of such eminent writers as Aldison, Johnson, Whately, and Sir J. Hawkins. Johnson says, "In his then situation," which, if brevity be really the soul of wit, certainly has much more sonl in it than "In the situation he then occupied." However, it is cloubtful whether then, as an adjective, will ever again find favor with careful writers.

## Thence. See Whence.

Think for. We not unfrequently hear a superfluous for tacked to a sentence; thus, "You will find that he knows more about the affair than you think for."

Those kind. "Those kind of apples are best": read, "That kind of apples is best." It is truly remarkable that many persons who ean justly lay claim to the possession of considerable culture use this bablarous combination. It would be just as correct to say, "Those flock of geese," or "Those drove of eattle," as to stiy, "Those sort or kiud of people."

Those who. This phase, applied in a restrictive sense, is the molem substiute for the ancient idiom they thet, an idiom in accordance with the true meaning of thet.
"' They that' toll me the story said'; 'Blessed are they that mourn'; 'and Simon and they thet were with him'; 'I love them that love me, and thry that snck me early shall find me'; 'they that are whole hare no need of a physician'; 'how sweet is the rest of them that labor!' 'I can not toll who to compare them to so fitly as to them that pick pockets in the presence of the juige ' 'they that enter into the state of marriage cast a dio of the greatest contingency' (J. 'Tuylor).
" ' Thut man hath perfect blessedness
II'ho walketh not astray,'
if expressed aceording to the old idiom would be, 'the man hath-that walketh.'
"'That' and 'those,' as demonstrative adjectives, refer backward, and are not therefor: well suited for the forward refercnce implied in making use of 'that which' and 'those who' as restrictive relatives. It is also very cuminous to say 'thut case to which you allude' for 'the case (that) you allude $t a$. .
"Thake now the following: 'The Duke of Wellington is not one of those who intericre with matters over which he has no control': 'the Duke is not one of them that interfere in matters that they have no control over (matters that they can not control, beyoned their control, out of their province).' If 'them that' sounds too antiquated, we may adopt as a con-
venient compromise, 'the Duke is not one of those that ; or, 'the Duke is not one to interfere in matters out of his province'; 'the Duke is not one that interjeres with what he has no control over.'"-Bain.

Threadbare Quotations. Among the things that are in bad taste in speaking and writing, the use of threadbare quotations and expressions is in the front rank. Some of these usés et cussfs old-timers are the following: "Their name is legion"; "hosts of frientis"; "the upper ten"; "Variety is the spice of life"; "Distance lemls enchantment to the view"; "A ching of heauty is a joy for ever"; "the light fantastic toe"; "own the soft imperchment"; "fair women ant brave men"; "revelry by night"; "A rose by any other name woald smell as sweet."

To. It is a well-established rule of grammar that to, the sign of the infinitive mood, should not be used for the infinitive itself; thens, "He has not done it, nor is be likely to." It shonlid be, " nor is he likely to do it."

We often find to, when the sign of the infinitive, separated by an wherb from the veab to which it belongs. Professor A. P. l'caboly says that no stamlaril English writer makes this mintake, and that, so far as ine knows, it occurs frequestly with but one respectable American writer.

Very often to is used insteal of rut; thus, "I have been to the theatre, to char h, to my unders, to a concert," and so on. In all these cases, the preposition to use is clearly at, and not to, See, also, Anb.

To the Fone. A:s ohi illimatic phase, now freely used again.

Tongue. "Much tor"fue and much julzment seldong go together."-l"Wstrange. Sue La:nuage.

Toward. 'Those who profess to know about such things say that etymology furnishus no pretext for the alding of s to
ward in such words as backward, forward, toward, umward, onwarl, downward, afterward, hecwenwarl, earthward, and the like.

Tramsferred Epithet. This is the shifting of a qualify. ing word from its proper subject to some allied subject. Examples:
"The little fields made green
By husbandry of many thrift!y yrars."
" ILe plods his weary way." "Hence to your idle bed !" By this figare the diction is rendered more terse and vigorons; it is much used in verse. For the sake of conciseness, it is used in prose in such plirases as the lunalic asylum, the criminal court, the condemned cell, the blind asyium, the cholera hospital, the four lling asylum, and the like.
"Still in harmonious intercourse they lived
The rural day, and talked the flowing heart."
"There be some who, with everything to make them happy, plod their discontented and melancholy way through life, less grateful than the dorg that lieks the hand that feeds it."

Transpire. This is one of the most frequently misused worls in the langnage. Its primary meaning is to craporate insensibly through the pores, but in this scuse it is not used; in this sense we nse its twin sister perspire. Transpire is now properly used in the sense of to escape from secrecy, to become known, to leak out; and improperly used in the sense of to oceur, to happen, to come to pass, and to elapse. The word is correctly used thas: "You will not let a nord concerning the matter transpire"; "It tramspires [leaks out] that S. \& 3. control the enterprise "; "Soon after the funeral it transpired [became known] that the dead woman was alive"; "It has transpired [leaked out] that the movement originated with John Blank"; "No report of the proceedings was al-
lowed to transpire"; "It has not yet transpired who the candidate is to be." The word is incorrectly used thus: " The Mexican war transpired in 1847 "; "The drill will transpire under shelter "; "The accident transpired one day last week"; "Years will transpire before it will be finishel'; "More than a century transpired before it was revisited by civilized man."

Trifling Minutiæ. The meaning of triftes and of minutice is so nearly the same that no one probably ever uses the phrase trifidny minutie except from thoughtlessness.

Trustworthy. See Reliable.
Try. This wora is often improperly used for make. We make experiments, not thy them, which is as incorrect as it would be to say, try the altenth, or the trial.

Ugly. In England, this word is restricted to meaniug illfavored; with us it is often used - and not without au-thority-in the sense of ill-temperel, vicions, unmanageable.

Unbeknown. This word is no longer used execpt by the unschooled.

Underhanded. This word, though found in the dictionaries, is a vulgarism, and as such is to be avoided. The proper word is underhand. An underhand, not an under. handed, proceeding.

Universal-All. "IIe is umiversally estecmed by all who know him." If he is uniccrally esteemed, he mnst be estermed hy all who know him; and, if he is esteemed by all who know him, he must be mircrally esteemed.

Upward of. This phrase is often used, if not improperly, at least inclegantly, for more than; thus, "I have been here for mpard of a ycar"; "For upurarl of three quarters of a century she has," etc., meaning, for more than three quarters of a century.

Utter. This verb is often misused for say, express. To
utter means to speak, to pronounce; and its derivative utterance means the act, mamer, or power of uttering, vocal expression; as, "the utterance of articulatic soumls." We utter a ery ; express a thonght or sentiment; speak our mind; and, though prayers are suid, they may be utlered in a certain tone or mamer. "Mr. Blank is right in all he utters": read, says. "The court uttered a sentiment that all will applaud": read, expressed a sentiment.

The primary meaning of the adjective utler is onter, on the outside; but it is no longer used in this sense. It is now u:el in the sense of complete, total, perfect, mere, entire; but he who uses it indiseriminately as a synonym of these words will frequently utter utler nonsense-i. e., he will utter that which is without the pals of sense. For example, we can not say utter concord, but we can say utter discord-i, e., without the pale of concord.

Valuable. The following sentence, which recently appeared in one of the more fastidious of our morning papers, is offered as an example of extreme slipshodness in the use of language: "Sea captains are anong the most raluable contributors to the Park aviary." What the writer probably meant to say is, "Sea captains are amoug those whese contribations to the I'ark aviary are the most valuable."

Vast. This word is often met with in forcible-feohlo diction, where it is ased instead of great or large to qualify such words as number, majorty, multitude, and the like. ligig words and expletives should be used only where they aro really needed; where they are not really needed, they go wide of the olject aimed at. The sportsman that hunts small game with buck-shot comes home empty-handed.

Verasity. The loss would be a small oae if we were to lose this word anl its derivatives. Truth and its derivatives woutd supply all our nceds. In the phrase so often heard,
" A man of truth and veracity," veracity is entirely superfluous, it having precisely the same meaning as truth. The phrase, "A big, large man," is equally good diction.

Verbiage. An unnecessary profusion of words is called verbiage verbosity, wordiness.
"I thought what I read of it verbiage."-Johnson.
Sonetimes a better name than verbiage for wordiness would be emptiness. Witness: "Clearness may be developed and cultivated in tiree ways. ( $a$ ) By constantly practicing in heart and life the thoughts and ways of honesty and frankness." The first sentence evidently means, "Clearness may bo attaincl in three ways"; but what the second sentence means-if it means anything-is more tioan I can tell. Professor L. T. Townsend, "Art of Speech," vol. i, p. 130, adds: "This may be regarded as the surest path to greater transparency of style." The transparency of Dr. Townsend's style is peculiar. Alsc, p. 144, we find: "The laws and rules' thus far laid down ${ }^{2}$ furnish ample foundation for ${ }^{3}$ the general statement that an easy and natural + expression, an exact verbal incarnation of one's thinking, ${ }^{5}$ together with the power of using appropriate figures, and of making nice discrininations between approximate synonyms, ${ }^{6}$ each being an important factor in correct style, are attained in two ways. ${ }^{7}$ (1) 'Through morals and mental discipline. (2) Through continuous and intimate 9 acquaintince with such authors as best exemplify those attainments." 10

1. Would not lates cover the whole gromd? 2. En passant I wonld remark that Dr. 'Townsend did not make those laws, though he so intimates. 3. I suggest the worl justify instead of these four. 4. What is natural is easy ; easy, therefore, is superfluous. 5. If this means anything, it does not mean more than the adjective clear would express, if properly used in the sentence. 6. Approximate synonyms!! Who ever
heard of any antagonistic or even of dissimilar synonyms? 7. The transpuency of this sentence is not unlike the transparency of corrugated glass. 8. What has morality to do with correctness: 9. An intimate acquaintance would suffice for most people. 10. Those attainments! What are they? Dr. Townsend's corrugated style makes it hard to tell.

This paragraph is so badly conceived throughout that it is well-nigh impossible to make head, middle, or tail of it; still, if I am at all successiul in guessing what Professor Townsend wanted to say in it, then-when shorn of its redundancy and high-flown emptiness-it will read somewhat like this: "The laws thus far presented justify the general statement that a clear and natural mole of expression--together with that art of using appropriate figures and that ability properly to discriminate between synonyms which are necessary to correct. ness-is attained in two ways. (1) By mental discipline. (2) By the study of our best authors.'

The following sentence is from a leading magazine: "If we begin a system of interference, regulating men's gains, bolstering here, in order to strengthen this interest, [and] repressing elsewhere [there], in order to equalize wealth, we shall do an [a] immense deal of mischief, and without bringing about a more agrecable condition of things than now [we] shall simply discourage enterprise, repress industry, and check material growth in all directions." Read without the eighteen words in italies and with the four inclosed.
"Nothing disgusts sooner than the empty pomp of lan. guage."

Vice. See Crimp.
Vicinity. This word is sometimes incorrectly used withont the possessive pronoun; thus, "Washington and vicinity," instead of "Washington and its vicinity. The primary meaning of vicinity is nearncss, proximity. In many of the cases
in which vicinity is used, neighborhood would be the better word, though vicinity is perhaps preferable where it is a question of mere locality.

Vocation-Avocation. These words are frequently confounded. A man's vocation is his profession, his calling, his business; and his arocations are the things that occupy him incidentally. Mademoiselle Bernhardt's rocation is acting; her avocations are painting aud sculpture. "The tracing of resemblances among the objects and events of the world is a coustant arocation of the human mind."

Vulgar. By the many, this word is probably more frequently used improperly than properly. As a nome, it means the common people, the lower orders, the multitude, the many; as an adjective, it means coarse, low, umefined, as "the vulgar people." The sense in which it is misused is that of inmodest, indecent. The wearing, for example, of a gown too short at the top may be indecent, but is not vulgar.

Was. "He said he had come to the conchion that there was no God." "The greatest of Byron's works was his whole work taken together."-Matthew Arnold. What is true at all times should be expressed by using the verl in the present tense. The smentences above should read is, not was.

Wharf. Sce Dock.
What. "He would not believe but what I did it" : read, but that. "I do not doubt but what I shall go to Boston tomorrow": read, doubt that. We say properly, "I have nothing but what you sco"; "You have brought everything but what I wanted."

Whence. As this adverb means-manindrom what place, source, or cause, it is, as Dr. Johmson styled it, "a vicions mode of speech" to say from whence, Milton to the contrary notwithstanding. Nor is there any more propriety nithe phrase from thence, as thence means-unaided-from
that place. "Whence do you come?" not "Hrom whrues il" you come?" Likewise, "He went lience," not "from he"ree."

Whether. This conjunction is often improperly repeated in a sentence; thus, "I have not decidel whether I shall go to Boston or whether I shall go to Philalelphia."

Which. Jhis promoun as an interrogttive applies to pir $r$. sons as well as to things; as a relative, it is now made to refer to things only.
"Which is employed in coïrdinate sentenees, where it, on they, and a conjunction might answer the purpose; thas, "At school I studied geometry, which (and it) I fonnd useful afterward.' Here the new elause is something indepentent aldel to the previous clanse, and not limiting that clanse in any way. So in the adjectival clanse; as, 'He struck the poor' dog, which (and it, or although it) had never done him harm.' Such instances represent the most accarate meaning of which. Who and which might be temed the coumbinating melatives.
" Which is likewise used in restrictive clanses that limit on explain the antecelent; as, 'The house which he built still remains.' Here the clanse intiotneed ly which specifies, o: points ont, the house that is the subject of the statement, mamely, by the circmantance that a certain jerson milt it. As remathed with regard to who, onr most idiomatic writers prefer that in this particular application, and would say, 'The honse that he built still remains.'"
"Which sometimes has a special reference attnching to it, as tho nenter relative: 'Ciesar crossed the Rubicon, with was in effect a declaration of war.' The antecelent in this instance is not Rubicon, but the entire clause.
"There is a peculiar usage where which may seem to be still regularly usod in reference to personf, as in' Jolm is a moldier, which I should like to be,' that is, 'Aud I stould like to be a soldier.'" See Tuns.

Who. There are fow persous, even anong the most cul. tivented, who do not make frequent mistakes in the use of this pronoun. They sty, "Who did you sce?" "Who did you meet?" "Who did he marry!" Who did you hear?" "Who did he know?" "Who are you writing to?" "Who are you looking at?" In all these sentences the interrogative pronom is in the objective case, and should be used in the objective form, which is whom, and not who. To show that these sentenees are not correct, and are not defensible by supposing any ellipsis whatsocver, we have only to put the questions in another form. Take the first one, and, instead of "Who did you see?" say, "Who saw you?" which, if correct, justifies us in saying, "Who knew he," which is the equivalent of "W"ho did he know?" But "Who saw you?" in this instance, is clearly not correct, since it says directly the opposite of what is intended.

Who was little used as a relative till about the sixteenth century. Bain says: "In modern use, more especially in books, who is frequently employed to introluce a chase intended to restrict, define, limit, or explain a noun (or its equivalent): as, 'That is the man who spoke to us yesterday.'"
"Here the clanse introduced by who is neeessary to define or explain the antecedent the man; withont it, we do not know who the man is. Such relative clauses are typical adjective clauses-i. e., they have the same effect as adjectives in limiting nouns. This may be called the nestriotive use of the relative.
"Now it will be found that the practice of our most idiomatic writers and speakers is to prefer that to who in this applisation.
"Who is properly used in such coürdinate sentences as, 'I met the watchnan, who told me tiere had been a fire.' Hero
the two clanses are distinct and independent; in such a case, and he might be substituted for who.
" Another form of the same nse is when the secoml clause is of the kind termed alverhal, where we may resolve who into a personal or demonstrative pronoun and conjtuetion. 'Why should we consult Charles, who (for he, seeing that he) knows nothing of the matter?'
" Who may be regarled as a modern oljective form, side by side with uhom. For many gool writers and speakers say ' $w$ ho are you tulking of?' 'who does the garden belong to?' 'who is this for ?' ' who irom ?'" ete.

If this be true-if who may be regarded as a modern objective form, side by side with whom-then, of course, such expressions as "lWho did you see?" "Who did you meet?" " Who dil he marry?" "Who were you with?" "Who will you give it $t$ ? ?" and the like, are correct. That they are used colloquially by well-nigh everybody, no one will dispute; but that they are correct, few grammarims will concede. See That.

Whole. This word is sometimes most improperly used for all; thus, "The whole Germans seem to be saturated with the belief that they are really the greatest people on earth, and that they would be misersally recognized as being the greatest, if they were not so exceeding modest." "The whole Russians are inspired with the belief that their mission is to conquer the world."-Alison.

Wholesome. See Healitiy.
Whose. Mr. Geor o Washington Moon discountenances the use of whose as the possessive of which. He says, "The best writers, when spaking of inamimate oljects, use of which insteal of uhose." The correctucss of this statenent is doubtful. The truth is, I think, that goorl writers use that form for the possessive case of which that in their judgment is, io
rach
ence,
silys
noun was help fail to me verse posse the rc whose 'Phil maria use, gram who, the pr watch fully of whe hitve n says t is not high a
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Gach particular case, the more euphonious, giving the proference, perhaps, to of which. On this subject Dr. Campbell says: "The possessive of who is properly whose. The pro noun which, originally indeclinable, had no possessive. This was supplied, in the common periphrastio mamer, by the help of the preposition and the article. But, as this could not fail to enfeeble the expression, when so much time was given to mere conjunctives, all our best authors, both in prose and verse, have now come regularly to adopt, in such cases, the possessive of who, and thus have substituted one syllable in the room of three, as in the exam following: 'Philosophy, whose end is to instruct us in the knowledge of nature,' for 'Philosophy, the end of which is to instruct us.' Some gram. marians remonstrate ; but it ought to be remembered that use, well established, must give law to grammar, and not grammar to use."

Professor Bain says: "Whose, although the possessive of who, and practically of which, is yet frequently employel for the purpose of restriction: ' We are the more likely to guard watehfully against those faults whose deformity wa have seen fully displayed in others.' This is better than 'the deformity. of which we have seen.' 'Propositions of whose trath we have no certain knowlelge.'-Locke." Dr. Fitzedward Hall says that the use of whose for of which, where the antecedent is not only irrational but inanimate, has had the support of high authority for several hundred years.

Widow Woman. Since widows are always women, why say a widow voman? It would be perfectly correct to say a widowed woman.

Widowhood. There is good authority for using tinis word in speaking of men as well as of women.

Without. This word is often improperly used instead of unless; as, "You will never live to my age without you keep
yourself in breath and exercise"; "I shall not go without my father consents": properly, unless my father consents, or, willout my father's consent.

Worst. We should say at the worst, not at worst.
Wove. The past participle of the verb to weave is woven. "Where was this cluth woven?" not wove.

You are mistaken. See Mistaken.
You was. Good usage does, and it is to be hoped always will, consider you was a gross vulgarism, certain grammarians to the contrary notwithstanding. You is the form of the pronoun in the second person phral, and must, if we would speak correctly, be used with the corresponding form of the verb. The argument that we use you in the singular number is so nonsensicul that it does not merit a moment's consideration. It is a custom we have-and have in common with other peoples-to speak to one another in the second person pharal, and that is all there is of it. The Germans speak to one anotier in the third person plumal. The exaet equivalent in German of our How are you? is, How are they? Those who would saly you was should be consisteat, and in like manner say you has and you does.

Yours, \&c. The ignorant and obtuse not unfrequently profess themselves at the bottom of their letters "Yours, \&e." And so forth: forth what? Few vulgarisms are equally offensive, and none eould be more so. In printing correspondence, the newspapers often content themselves with this sho t-hand way of intimating that the writer's name was preceded by some one of the familiar forms of ending letters; this an oecasionsl dunderhead seems to think is sutiiciont iathority for writing himself, Yours, dec.
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## INTROI)UCTION.

## I. LITERATURE AND ITS DEPARTMENTS.

1. Literature in its widest sense embraces all kinds of fiterary productions which have been preserved in writmg ; but is generally restricted to those works that come within the sphere of the literary $a^{n t}$ or rules of rhetoric.
2. Classification. - Literature, in regard to its form, is divided into (1) Prose and (2) Poetry. In regard to matter, it has three divisions : (1,) Composition, designed to inform the understanding by description, narration, or exposition ; (2) Oratory ; (3) Poetry.
3. Description, or descriptive composition, is of two kinds : (1) Objective, where the observer pictures what he describes as it is perceived by his senses or realized by his fancy; (2) Subjective, where the observer, referring to the feelings or thoughts of his own mind, ${ }_{0}$.es his impressions as they lawe been excited by the outward scene. Scott is a good example of an objective, and Byron of a subjective writer.
4. Narration is that kind of composition which gives an account of the incidenta of a series of transactions or arents. It may also be subjective or objective.
5. Exposition includes those literary productions where facts or principles are discussed end conclusions reached by a process of reasoning. It embraces various treatises, from the brief editorial, or essay, to the full discussion in extensive works. To this class belonge the philosophic perm.
6. Oratory is that kind of composition in which arguments or reasons are offered to influence the mind. It admits of the following divisions : (1) Judicial, (2) Political, (3) Religious, and (4) Morsl suasion.
7. Proce compositions are those in which the thoughts are arringed in non-metrical sentences, or in the matural order in eommon and ordinary language. The principal kinds of prose composition are narrative, letters, memoirs, history, biography, essays, philusophy, sermons, novels, apeechos, \&c.
8. Sentences are divided grammatically into simple, compler, compound, and also into declarative, interrogative, imperative, and exclamative. Rhetorically, they are divided into loose sentences and periods.
9. A loose sentence consists of parts which may be separated without destroying the sense. It is generally adopted by Addison.
10. A poriod is a sentence in which the complete sense is suspended until the close. The first sentence of Paradise Lost, and alse the tirst sentence of the Twik, Book III, furnish examples.
11. Poetry is that species of compnsition in which the words are metrically arraged. It also ditlers from prose in (1) having a greater mumber of figures of speech, (2) employing numerous archric, or non-colloquial terms, (3) Breferring epithets to extended expressions, (4) using short and euphonious words instead of what are long or harsh, and (5) permitting deviations from the rules of grammar.
12. Metre is defined as "the recurrence within certain intervals of syllables similarly aflected." This may arise from (1) alliteration, (2) quantity, (3) rlyme, (4) accent, or (5) the number of syllibles.
13. Alliteration, which was the characteristic of Old English poetry, consisted in the repetition of the same letters.
14. Quantity has reference to the length of vowels or syllables. In the chassical languares, quantity was measured by the length of syllables; in English, by the length of the vowels.
15. Rhyme is a similarity of sound at the end of words ; its essentials being (1) vowels alike in sound, (2) consonants before the vowels unlike, and (3) consonants after the vowels alike in sound. Puetry without rhyme is termed blank verse. Blank verse usually consists of five, or five and a half, fect.
16. Accent, which forms the distirguishing feature of English verse, is the stress on a syltable in a word
17. Rhythm. -When the worts of eomposition are so artunged that the succession of accented syllables produces harmony we have thythm. When the accents occur regulaty we have verse, or metre.
18. Couplets, triplets, \&c., are used to designate two, three, de., verses taken torether.
19. Sitanza is a term applied to a part of a pem con. sisting of a number of verses regnlanly molusted to one unother.
20. Feet. - A portion of a verse of pootry eonsisting of two or more syltables emminod accurdiag to accent is called a foot. Two wollablew thas combined is called a diss!llabic foot, which m:y be (1) :an inmens, when the accent is wh the ecend sylatale, of (2) a trocher, when the accent

acoented, or both unaccented. Three syllables combined form a tri-syllalic foot, which may be a dactyl, an amphibrach, or an anapaest.
21. Monometer, dimeter, trimeter, tetrameter, pentameter, and herumeter, are terms that indicate the number of feet or mensures in the verse. Thus five iambic feet are called inmbic pentameter. This is the metre of the Deserted Village, The Tusk, and also of the principal epic, dramatic, philuouphic, and dsscriptive poems. From its use in epic poetry, whore hevoic deeds are described, it is called heroic measure. An iambic hexameter verse is called an Alexandrine.
22. The Elgiac stanza consists of four pentameter lines rhyming alternately.
23. The Spenserian stanza consists of eight heroic lines followed by an Alexandrine.
24. Common Metre consists of four verses, the first and third being iambic tetrameters, and the second and fourth, which rhyme, iambic trimeters.
25. Short Metre has three feet in tho first, second, and fourth lines, and four in the third.
26. Long Metro consists of four iambic tetrameter lines.
27. Ottava Rima is a name applied to an Italian stanza consisting of eight lines, of which the first sir rhyme alternately, and the last two form a couplet.
28. Tho Rhyme Royal consists of seven heroic lines, the first five recurring at intervals and the last two rhyming.
29. The Ballad Stanza consists of four lines, the first and third being iamhic tetrameters, and the second and fourth iambic trimeters.
30. Pauses. - Besides the usual pauses indicated by the punctuation and called sentential pauses, there aro in poetic
diction the Final parse at the end of each line and the Cersural pause.
31. The Ccesural Pause is a suspension of the voice somewhere in the line itself. It is not found in short lines, and in long verses is movable. It genorally occurs near the middle, but may como aiter the 4th, 5 th, (fth, or Tha syllable. It is oiten fomm in the midulle of a foot, but never in the middle of a word. Sometimes a secondiary pauso called demicesiural is found before and also aiter the cerural.
32. Scansion is a term applied to the division of a verse into the feet of which it consists.
33. Classiflcation of Pootry.-In respect to form and mode of treatment, poetry may be divided into (1) Epic, (2) Dramatic, and (3) Lyric.
34. Epio poetry is that variety in which some groat event is described, or where the exploits of heroes are treated of. The leading forms of Epic poctry are these :(1) The Great Epic, as the Iliad, the Fineid, Paradise Lost; (2) The Romance, as the Faerie Queene, The Lady of the Lake ; (3) The Ballad, as Chevy Chase, Macaulay's Lay of Horatius; (4) The Historical Poem, as Dryden's Annus Mirabilis ; (5) The Tale, as Byron's Corsuir, Enoch Arden; (6) The Mixed Epic, as Byron's Chiide IMarold; (7) The Pistoral, Idyll, ©ic., as the Cotter's Saturlay Nïht, the Excursion ; (8) Prose Fiction, including sentimental, comical, pastoral, historical, philosophical, or religious novels
35. Dramatic Poetry deals aiso with some inportant events, but differs from Epic poetry where the author himself narrates the events forming its subject, in having the various chararters represent, in action or conversation, the story to be described. Dramatic poetry is of two kinds, (1) Tragedy, where the human passions and woes or misfortunem of life s

- in such a manner as to ex.
cite pity, as Shakespeare's Macheth or Hamlet; (2) Comedy, where the lighter faults, passions, actions, and follies are represented, as the Merchant of Venice.

36. Lyric Poetry is so called because originally writ. ten to be sung to the Lyre. Its principal kinda are: (1) The Ode, as Gray's Bard; (2) The Hymn, as those of Cowper ; (3) The Song, as those of Burns or Moore ; (4) The Elegy, as Gray's ; (5) The Somet, as those of Shakespeare or Words forth ; (6) The simple Lyric, as Burns' Mountain Daisy.
37. Further Classiflcation as to ohject will embrace; (1) Descriptive poetry, as Thomson's Seasons; (2) Didactic, as Wordsworth's Excursion; (3) Pastoral, as Ramsay's Gentle Shepherd; Satirical, as ljutler's Mudibras; (5) Hunorous, as Cowper's John Gilpin.

## II. FIGURES OF SPEECE

38. A Figure is a deviation from the ordinary form or construction or upplication of words in a sentence for the parpose of greater precision, varicty, or elegance of expression. There are three kinds, viz., of Etymology, of Syntax, and of Rhctoric.
39. A Figure of Etymology is a departure from the usual form of words. The principal figures of etymology aro: Apharesis, Prosthesis, Syncope, Apocope, Paragoge, Dieresis, Stmueresis, T'mesis.
40. Apheresis.-The elision of a syllable from the begimning of a worl, as 'ineath for beneath.
41. Prosthesis.-The pretixing of a syllable to a word, as agoing for going. If the letters are placed in the middle, Epenthesis, as further for farer.
42. Syncope.-The elision of a letter or syllable from the body of a word, ar, med'cine for medicine.
43. Apocope.-The elision of a leiter or syllable fro 」 the end of a word, as tho' for though.

44, Paragoge.-The annexing of a syllable to the end of a word as deary for dear.
45. Diæresis. -'the divison of two concurrent vowels into different syllables, as co-operate.
46. Syncoresis. -The joining of two syllables into one, in either orthography or pronunciation, as dost for doest, lored for low-ed.
47. Tmesis.-Separating the parts of a compound word, as "What time soever." When letters in the same word are interchanged, as brunt for burnt, nostrils for nosethirles, the figure is called Metathesis.
48. A Figure of Syntax is a deviation from the usual colstruction of a sentence for greater beauty or forco. The principal figures of syntax are: Ellipsis, Pleonasm, Syllepsis, Euallage, IIyperbaton, Periphrasis, Tautology.
49. Ellipsis.-An omission of words with a rhetorical purpose, as "Impossible!" Asyndeton is the omission of connectives.
50. Pleonasm.-The employment of redundant words, as "Thy rod and thy staff, they comfort me."
51. Syllopis.-An inferior species of personification, as "The moon gives her light by night."
52. Enallage. - The substitution of one part of speech ror another, as-
"Whether charmer sinner it or saint it If folly grow romantic I must paint it."-Pope.
53. Hyperbaton.-The transposition of words in a sentence, as " $A$ man he was to all the country dear."
54. Periphrasis or Circumlocntion. -The employment of more words than are necessary to convey the sense, as the use of a definition or descriptive phrase instead of a
noun, as " He was charined with the itea of taking us arms in the service of his conentry."
65. Tautology.-The repetition of the same sense is. dillerent words, as-
"The dawn is overcast-the morning lowers,
And heavily in clouds brings on the day." - Addison.
56. A Figure of Rhetoric is a form of opeech artfully varied from the direct and literal mode of expression for the purpose of greater elfect. Rhetorical tigures may be divided into three classes.
57. I. Figures of Relativity. - Antithesis, Simile. Metaphor, Allegory, Personification, Apostrophe, Vision, Allusion, Irony, Sarcasm, S!/necdoche, Metonymy, Euphemusm, Litotes, Lpithet, Cutachresis.
53. II. Figiares of Gradation.-Climax, Myperbole.
69. III. Figures of Emphasis.-Epizenxis, Anuphora, Epiphorra, Anadiplosis, Epunulepsis, Alliteration, Anacoluthon, Aposiopesis, l'araliipsis, Erotesis, Epanorlhosis, Syl. leqsis, E'cphonesis.
60. Antithesis.-The statement of a contrast of thoughts and words, as "The wicked fleo when no man pursueth, but the righteous are bold as a lion."

Under this figure may be mentioned Brymorom, or a contradiction of terms, as "apious fraud"; Autimetalole, where tho worls are reversed in each member of the antithesis, as "A wit with dunces, and a dunce with wits."
(61. Simile or Comparisom. - A formal expression a' $^{\prime}$ resemblance, as: "He shall bo like a tree plated by t] rivers of water."
62. Motaphor.-An implied comparison or a simila without the sign, as "Pitt was the pillar of the State."
63. Allegory. - A contimuation of metuphorv,or a story having a figurative meaning and designed to convey in.
struction of a moral charactor, as Bunyan's I'ilyrim's Progress
64. Personiflcation.-A figure in which somo attribute of lite is ascribed to inmimite objects, as "The momitains sing tonpether, the hills rejoice and clap hands."
(ij. Apostrophe.-A turning off from the subject to address something absent, as "Death is swallowed up in victory. O Death, where is thy sting?"
65. Vision.-The marration of past or absent scenes as though actually presont, as "I see before me the giadiator lic," ete.
i7. Allusion.-That figure by which some word or phrase in a sentence calls to mind something which is not mentioned, as "It may be said of hin that he cane, he saw, he ennquered."
68. Irony.-A figure by which wo mean to ennvey a meaning the contrary of what we say, as where Elijah adirerses the worshippers of Baal, "Cry aloud, for he is a god."
(i9. Sarcasm.-A mode of expressing vituperation under a somewhat veiled form, as the Letters of Junius.
70. Synecdoche.-A figure where-

1. A part is put for the whole, as "A fleet of twonty sail."
2. The species for a gemes, as "our daily bread."
3. The concrete for the abstract, as "The patriot comes forth in his polities."
4. The whole for a part, as "Belinda smiled and all the world was gay."
5. The genus for the species, as "The creature was sad."
6. The abstract for the concrete, as-
"Belgium's capital had gathered then
Her beauty and her chivalyy.'

Antonomasia is a form of synectoche whore a proper tuoun is used to designate a class, :ts-
"Some village Mampulen, that with dauntless breast, The little tyrant of his fields withstood."
71. Metonymy.-A figure where one thing is described by another thing in substituting-

1. The cause for the effect, as
"A time there was, ere England's griefs becan, When every rool of ifround maintaned its man."
2. The effect for the cause, as "Groy huirs should be respected."
3. The sign for tho thing signified, as "He carried away the palm."
4. The container for the thing contained, as "'lue toper loves his botlle."
5. The instrument for the agent, as "The per is mightior than the sword."
6. An author for his works, as "We adınire Addison."
7. Euphemism.-A figuro by means of which a harst? expression is set aside and a softer one substituted in its place, as "The merchant princo has stopped payment."
8. Litotes.-A figure in which by denying the contrary, more is implied than is expressed, as
" Immortal names,
That were not born to die."
74 Transferred Epithet.-An epithet joined to another tc explain its character, as "Tho sumy South"
9. Catachresis.-A figure where a word is wrested from its original application and made to express something at variance with its true meaning, as "Her voice was but the shadow of a sound."
10. Climax.-An ascending serics of thourits or statemencs increasing in strength, as "What a piece of worz
is inan ! how noble in reason ! how infinite in facultles : is form and moving, how express and admirable ! in action, how like an angel! in apprehension, how likea God!Humlet. Where the series is desconding we have an Antidimut, as "If once a man indulges himself in murdor, very som he comes to think little of robbing ; and from sobling he comes next to drimking and Sabbath-breaking, and from that to incivility and procrastination."-Do Quincy.
11. Hyperbole.-A figure by which more is expressed than the truth and whero the exaggeration is not expected to be taken literally, as "'ihey were swifter than eagles, they were stronger than lions." (heferring to David's statement concerning Siul and Jorathan.)
12. Epizouxis.-The immediate repetition of mome word or words for the sake of emphasis, as -
"Restore him, restore him if you can from the dead."
13. Anaphora.-The repetition of a word or phrase at rhe beginning of each of several sentences or parts of a sentence, as-
" No more the fremer's news, the barber's tale, No more the wootman's ballad shall prevail, No more the smith his dusky brow shall clear."
14. Epiphora. - Where the reptsition is at the end, and Anadiplosis. - Where the repetition is in the middle :
"Has he a gust for blood? Blood shall fill his cup."
15. Epanalepsis.-Where there is a repetition at the end of the sentence of the word or words at the beginning.
16. Alliteration. - The repetition of the same letter or letters, as " Apt alliteration's artful aid."
17. Anacoluthon.-A tigure by which a proposition is left unfinished and something else introduced to fiwah the sentence, as-
"If ihou be'st he-but oh, how fallen, how shanged 'rou him who," etc.
18. Aposioposis. - A suddon pause in a sentence by which the conclusion is left unfaished, as"Fur there I picked up on the heather, And there I put within my breast, A noulted foather, an eagle's feather-Well-I forget the rest."- Browning.
19. Paraleipsis or omission.-A figuro by which a speaker pretends to pass by what at the same time he really montions, as "I do not speak of my adversary's scandalous venality and rapacity ; I take no notice of his brusal conduct."
20. Erotesis.-An animated or passionate interrogation, as-
"Hath the Lord said it? and will He not do it ?
Hath He spoken it? and shall He not make it good ?'
21. Epanorthosis. - A figure by which an expression is recalled and a stronger one substituted in it place, as "Why should I speak of his neglect-neglect did I say? call it rather contcmpt."
22. Syllopsis.-The use of an expression which is taken in a literal and metaphorical sense, as-
" Lie heary on him, Earth, for he
Laid many a heavy load on thee."
23. Eicphonesis. - An animated exclamation, asOtheilo. $\quad-\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{my}$ soul's joy,
If after every tempent come such calms,
May the winds blow till they have wakened death."
24. Other figures are often found, as zeugma, whereby a verb, etc., applicablo to only ono clause does duty for two, as -
"They woar a garment like the Scythians, but a language peculiar to themselves."-Sir J. Mandeville

Anacoenosis, where the speaker appeals to the judgment of his audience on the point in debate, as if they had feelings common with his own. The Enigma or riddle. The Epigram, where the mind is roused by a conflict or contradiction between the form of tine language and the meaning to be conveycd, as "The child is father of the man." Personal Metaphor, where acts are attributed to inanimate objects, The Paronomasia or pun. The Parable, Proverb, Repartee, eta.

## III. LIST OF PRINCIPAL WRITERS.

Dryden, John (1630-1700). Annus Mirabilis, Absalom and Ahitophel, Mac Flecknee, The Hind and Panther, Translation of Virgil, Ode for St. Cecilia's Day, Alexander's Feast.

Locke, John (1632-1704). Essay on Human Under. standing, Letters concerning Toleration, I'reatise on Civid Government, Thoughts concernine Education.

Newton, Sir J. (1642-1727). Principia, Optics.
Wycherly, William (1640-1715). Several immoral Comedies.

De Foe, Daniel (1661-1731). Besides editing The Review, wrote Robinson Crusoe, Moll Flanders, History of the Great Plague, Captain Singleton, Mrs. Veal's Apparition.

Bentley, Richard (1662-1.742). Editions of Horace, Tercnce, Phoedrus, and other classical works.

Prior, Mathow, (1665-1721). The Town and Country Mouse, Solomon.

Swift, Jonathan (1666-1745). Tale of $a$ Tub, Drapier's Letters, Gulliver's Travels, and poems including Morning. The City Shower, Rhapsody on Poetry, Verses on My Oun Death.

Congreve, William (1669—1728). Several comedien of
a very immoral tendency, and the tragedy The Morning Eride.
Cibber, Colley (1671-1757). The Comedy Careless Husband.
Steele, Richard (1671-1729). Besides writing for the Tatler, Spectator, Guardian, Englishman, etc., he wrote comedies-The Funeral, The Tender Husband, The Lying Lover, The Conscious Lovers.
Addison, Joseph (1672-1719). Contributions to the Tatler, Spectator, Guardian, Whig, Examiner, etc. Poems -Letter fron Italy, Campaign, Hymns, Roaamond, The Drummer, Cato.
Vanbrugh, John (1672-1726). Thie Provoked Wife.
Rowe, Nicholas (1673-1718). The Fair Penitent and Jane Shore.

Watts, Isaac (1674-1748). Lymns, Logic. The Im. provement of the Mind.
Philips, Ambrose (1675-1749). The Distressed Mother.
Philips, John (1676-1708). The Splendid Shilling.
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## IHE DEVELOPMENT OF ENGLISH POETRY.

Poetry as a Mirror.-The literature of a nation bears an intimate relation to its history. The poets of a period fairly express its prevailing thoughts and sentimants. Great eras in a country's rise and progress have always been found to correspond with the great intellectual eras of its growth. When questions of a political, social, moral or religious importance have stirred men's minds, then have arisen authors whose works have ref'ected the predominant features of the times in which they lived. Thus tha heroic greatness of the Hellenic race is mariod by fomer, not only rich in poetic thought, but elearly the outcome of the mental life and character of ancient Greoce. The age of Pericles, brilliant in politicalachievements, was no less illustrious for its intellectual vigor. The Augustan era, forming the lofty climax of Roman influence and power gave to the Latin lauguage Virgil and Horace, Cicero and Livy. A review of English literature, and especinliy T...ghish pe'ry, exhibits still more clearly this intimaterelationship. ${ }^{\circ}$ "n writings
of Chaucer, Spenser, Shakespeare, Milton, Dryden and Pope as well as Cowper, Burns, Scott, Tennyson and Browning reflect, as with a magic mirror, the genius of the periods of which they are distinguished representatives.

Chaucer belongs to a period when the darkness of the Middle Ages was passing away. Now languages were forming on tho continent, and the happy fusion by courtly influence of Anglo-Saxon and Norman-French, terminated a long struggle for ascendancy, and produced our noble Enylish tongue. It was the age of Dante, of Petrarch, and Boccaccio.-when Wycliffe by his writings, translations and discourses was creating a ferment in the religious world,-when Crecy and Poictiers were gained, and Edward III. was encouraging the settlement of Flemish artisans and extending the trade of the English merchants over every sea of Europe, and thus paving the way for that commercial supremacy which should subsequently add to the nation's glory. With Chaucer is well exemplified the fact that the poet to be successful must live with and for his generation, must suit himself to the, tastes of his public, must have common sympathies with his readers and must adopt a style that accords with the emotions by which he is actuated. The Canterbury Tales, his greatest work, vividly represents that gaily apparelled time when king tilted in tournament, and knight and lady rode along with falcon on wrist, and when friars sitting in tavern sang war songs quite in harmony with the nation's victories on the continent, but little in keeping with their sacred calling. With the "father of English poetry", every character is a perfect. study elaborated with a careful finish and minuteness of touch; the beautiful and grand objects of nature are painted with grace and sublimity ; and results are thus combined which are unsurpassed by any English poet that
lived before his time. He became the acknowledged inventor of the heroic line, characterized not by quantity as that of Greece and Rome, but by accent which thus became a recognized feature of English versification. The legacy left to our literature has not been unproductive in the hands of a long succession of heirs. His influence had its effoct upon all the great poets that followed hin, and upon none more evidently than those of the present century.

Spenser.-The breaking up of old systems, the revolts of the people, and the furious struggles between the Houses of York and Lancaster darkened for a time as with a mist, the lamp of English poetry, but it possessed sufficient vitality to enable it to blaze forth under favorable influences with greater brilliancy than before. The invention of printing ; the interest in classical literature : the study of Greek philosophy, and, especially, the freedom with which religion was discussed, aroused a spirit of activity which added powerful impulses to the growth of the national intellect. The translation of the works of modern Italy, and those of France where letters received an earlier revival ; the circulation of the Scriptures presenting a variety of incidents, images, and aspirations connected with oriental life and manners; the study of the allegorical tales and romances of chivalry and the fostering influence of a learned queen who surrounded her court with men qualified to shine in every department of learning, ushered in a period which is appropriately termed the Augustan age of English literature.

It is not difficult to understand how, with such knightly spirits as Raleigh and Essex, the essential spirit of chivalry, "high thought and a heart of courtesy" an Sidney puts it, found a fitting exponent in Edmund Spenser. Among the poets who flourished exclusively in
the reign of Elizabeth he stands without a rival. Nc master-piece of the great painters ever glowed on canvas with more reality than the Farie Quceue, and no poet wis Wilson, "has ever hat a more exquisite sense of t.e "autiful" than its author. He deemed himself the p ctical son of Chaucer, and was, in his own times, taunted with "affecting the ancients," and with ongrafting on his own language the "old withered words and exploded persons" of a former period. If guilty, so may Virgil and Milton, Scott and Wordsworth receive similar eondemnation. At all events succeeding generations have paid homage to the richness and pathos of his strains, and the author of Paradise Lost, and the author of the Seasons, as well as Scott and Tennyson havebeen essentially indebted to this " Rubens of Encrlish poetry."

Shakespoare. -The new impulses by which the human mind began to be stirred, mark the early part of the siateenth century as the great frontier-line which divides the Literary History of the Middle Ages from what we call Modern. The Revival of Classical Learning opened up to a people zealous for enquiry the rich mines of knowledgo of the Greeks and Romans. Theological discussions aroused à spirit of research and investigation. The extensive circulation of the Scriptures and other works deculed the question of a national tongue. Under Shakespeare, the greatest writer the world has ever seen, the drama reached its higher $t$ perfection. But the " myriad-minded" writer of trigedy and comedy with all his depth, sublimity, creative power and refinemont was inspired by that same love of nature and truth that prevades the works of Chaucer, Spenser and the great modern poets. Nature wis his great precoptress from whose inspired dictates ho spoke-" warm from the hoart and faithful to its fires"--and in his disregard of rules he
pursued at wilh his winged way through all tl labyrinths of fancy and of the human heart. No writh has exhibited such a deep acquaintance with the human beart, its passions, its powers, its weaknesses and its aspirations. From his works may be githered precepts adapted to every condition of life, and to every circumstance of human alfairs, and no writings except the Bible have been more closely interwoven with the language of every-day life.

Milton nobly closes that rich poetry of the imagination which marks tho age bergu by Spenser. With a mind stored with invaluable treasuros of the mines of Grecee and Rome, and an extensive acquaintance with the older English poets, many years actively employed in the keen struggle for civil and religious liberty, well qualified him for undertaking a theme lofty in its conception, and intimately comected with everything in. pertant in the circumstances of human history. In the crash which slattered the regal and hierarchic institutions of the country, his majestic, unwordly and heroic soul saw only the overthrow of false systems, and the dawn of a bright prodiod marked by private investigation and individual liberty. All the higher influences of the Renaissance are summed $u_{p}$ in Milton. That pure poetry of natural description which ho began in L'Alleyro, and Il Penseruso has no higher examples to produce from the writings of Wordsworth, Scott, or Keats. Living in as age when skilful criticism, thongh it purified English verse, gavo rise to false conceits and extravagance, has knowledge of good classical models enabled him to free his works from tho advancing inroads of a rising school.

Not only did he create the English epic and place himtelf by the side of Homer, Virgil and-Dante, but he put new life into the masque, sonnet and elegy, the descriptive
lyric, the song and the choral drama. Though untrue $i$, his descent from the Elizabethans in a want of humos and of the dramatio faculty, we can forget these defecta while we listen to the organ ring of his versification, the stately march of his diction, the beautiful and gorgeous illustrations from nature and art, the brightly coloured pictures of human happincss and innocence, and the lofty sentiments of Paradise Lost. Blank verso, which Surrey had introduced into our literature, is managed by Milton with a skill that shows its power in the construction of ar heroic poom. The depth or sublimity of his conception: finds a corresponding expressiveness in his numbers; and his power over language was not in its variety due to a musical ear, but had its source in the deep feelings of a heart influenced by the conscientious spirit of Puritanism.

The Restoration. With the return of the English people to monarchical government they were sadly disappointed in their expectations of a roturn at the same tinie to their ancient nationality and modes of thought. The exiled Charles and his royalist followers had rubbed off by their friction with the men and manners of other nations much of those external habits and custons, which, if not of the most commendable description, pessessed a sipirit of nationality and patriotism. They returned with strong predelictions in favor of Fronch literature, beng fully impressed with the belief of its superiority over that of every other country. It was not the first or last instance when a foreign literature exercised a marked inflenec ipou our owin. Chaucer, though plainly the poet of char oter and of practical lifo, writes largely after the manner of the Provingals, but improvod by Italian models. Spenser's mannor is also that of the l'rovingals, bnt guided by the authors of a later Italian school. The character of Berman literature influenced Scott, and in our own day, Carlyic.

Milton, as we have seon, was the great representative of the Classical school, now to be followed by the writers who moulded their works after the tastes of Paris. Whe social mischiefs of the Restoration were the worst fruits of the French influence. The Court and the society of the metropolis began to exercise a powerful influence or the various departments of literature. The corrupt and profligate manners of the Court tainted too easily a people who had felt the restraints of Puritan rule. Thelighterkinds of composition mirrored faithfully the surrounding blackness, which required no short period of time, no little exertion and a religious revival to clearitaway. The drama sank to a frightful degree of shame and grossness. Other forms of poetry were marked by no higher object than that to which satire aspires. Writing verse was degraded from a high and noble art to a mere courtly amusement, or pander to the immorality of a degenerated age.

The Artificial School of Poetry. The poets already considered belonged to the "school of nature." Influences were now at work which gave rise to another phase of poetic genius. The Gothic and Rrmance literature of the Middle Ages gave its inspiration to Chaucer, Spenser, Shakespeare and Milton. The study of the Greek and Roman Classics gave an impetus to a class of writers who, influenced by causes of another kind, developed a new style of poetry. The great masters possessed artistic as well as natural powers. The secondary poets of the Elizabethan period, though fresh and impassioned, as a result of the strong feelings that inspired them, were extravagant and unrestrained because of their want of art. When the national lifegrew chill, the poets inspired by no warm feelings becano lavish in the use of "far-fetched raeanings," and fanciful forms of expression. With poetry matravagant in words and fantastio in imagos, the sence
becaine often obscure. The natural style unregulate $i$ by art assumed an unnatural character. Milton, in as lition to the inspiration derived from Gothic and Roman' 3 liter, ature, by his knowledge and imitation of the grea' ditssical models, gave the first eximple in England of a pure, En. ished and majestic style. Those who felt during the Restoration period the power of his genius were also influenced by the "school of inquiry," which all over Europe showed its work in science, politics and religion. In France this tendency to criticise was well represented $i_{\text {n }}$ poetry by Boilean, LaFontaine, and others, whose effort after greater tinish and neatness of expression told on English writers at a time when French tastes began "even to mingle with the ink that dropped from the peet's pen." The new French school was founded on classical models, which had already becomo fashionable in England. The admirers of Charles II. were also admirers of that great nation so friendly to the Stuarıs, which under Louis XTV. had reached the highest point of civilization then attained by any European state. It would be a mistako to conclude that the Restoration was the origin of the "artificial school." The work had already been begun and had made much progress before the death of the Protector. The accession of the "merry monarch" gave it a mighty im. pulse, and in accelcrating the alloption of "cold, gititering manerism, for the swect, fresh light of natural langange" added at the same time the poisonous colvuring of an immoral court.

Oryden. Milton the great leader of the setting age, had scarcely given to the world his Jaradise Lost, when Drydon, the lcader of the rising age, appeared before the public. As a peot his is tho great name of the period that followed the Restoration. Ho lad fallen upon evil times. Tho pant munt refiect his age. There was littlo noble to
reflect. The poetry of the passions of the human heart, the poetry of the affection, and the poetry of religion had shown evident indications of decline. Satire, didactic and philosophical poetry came to the front. Living in a most infamous period of English history when the most flarrant corruption was rampant in church and state, Dryden, in want of better subjects turned satirist. There his wit and sarcasm turned against his opponents rendered him unsurpassed by Horace or Juvenal. Our literature possesses no more vigorous portrait-painter. His choice of words and forms of expression are most appropriate. In versitication he is one of our greatest masters. Ho was a diligent student of the best models. He carried to the highest perfection the rhymed heroic couplet of ten syllables By the occasional introduction of a triplet and the skilful use of the Alexandrine at the end of a paragraph, he knew well how to break the uniformity of the couplet and give to his versification that
"Long-resounding march and energy divine." which gave to his poetry of this metre such vigour, sonorousness and variety.

Pope. The glitter of Dryden's ynetry dazzled the public mind from the death of Milton till his own in 1700. His most distinguished pupil was Aiexander Pope, who as a poet surpasses his master in the most characteristic features of the artificial sehool. In mechanical execution Pope is without a peer. His neatness and correctness of expression, pointed and courtly diction, harmony of versification and melody of rhyme rauk him par excelleme the artist of poctic style. In his polisied iceroic couplets are found sparkling wit, strong sense, good taste and terse and vigorous command of the choicest English. We find, bowover, that colderess of sentinnent and disrogard of the
emotions and passions of the soul which Dryden had observed, carried to such perfection by Pope that the public soon after longed for a return to nature. The age was not designed to cultivate the lighest poetic genius. Matter was regarded of less importance than the form of the words by which it was expressed. We look in vain chrough Pope's elaborately polished verses for those qualities that would place him among the greatest masters of the lyre. He has none of the universality of Shakespeare or sublimity of Milton. Of the varying shades and gradations of vice and virtue, wisdom and folly, he was a nice observer and an accurate describer Had he studied the great English poets more, and paid less attention to the school of Horace and Boileau, his memory would have been hallowed with atill more affectionate and permanent interest. His great object was to express himselfsmouthly. Attractive and lucid utterance was his aim. With a desire 10 "set" gems rather than create them, to make "correct" verso his "study and aim," it is no wonder that " truth" was cften "cut gisort to nake a sentence round." In the first half of the eightcenth century no namo is more bril. liant than that of the author of The Rape of the Lock, Windsor Forest, The T'emple of Fame, The Dunciad and the iraisslation of Homer. In his Epistles and Essay on Man we have numicrous passages that have supplied to sur current literature more phrases and sentiments remarkable for their mingled truth and beauty than are to be found probably in any other picces of equal length.

Decuy of the Artificial School. The greater part of the eighteenth century was, in a literary point of view, cold, dissatisfied and critical. It valued forms more than substance. Warm feelings, grand thoughts and creative genius, were less estecmed than elegance of phrase and aymmel.v of proportion. In \& period when philosophy
was essentially utilitarian, and religion a system of practical morality, it is not surprising that poetry was largely didactic and mechanical. With such attention to form, an active criticism rendered our English prose, when employed by such masters as Addison, for the first time, absolutely simple and clear. For similar reasons during the same period, Nature, Passion. and Imagination decayed in poetry. But matters wore coming to a crisis. Hume and Robertson were beginning their career as historians. Richardson, Fielding and Smollet aroused a taste for light literature. In moral philosophy Jonathan Edwards and Joseph Butler were leying the foundations of systems on a sounder basis. New thoughts moved men. The poets felt the impulse of the transition period. The publication of Warton's History of Poetry and Percy's Reliques revived a taste for the bol free style of our earlier writers. The inspiration seized the writers of verse, and a return from the classical to the romantic, from the artificial to the natural, soon began to manifest itself. Pope's name stood highest until his death in 1744 , but the most distinguished of his contemporaries departed widely from the style of their great masier. Thomson made no attempt to enter the school of polished satire and pungent wit. Equal originality is shown by Young in his startling denunciations of death and juclgment, stirring appeals and choice epigrams. Gray and Collins in aiming at the dazzl. ing imagery and magnificence of lyrical poetry show the "new departure." The former is not without the polish and exquisitely elaborated verse of Pope, but as well as Collins, he shows the freshness, the spirit of imagination, and the sprightly vivacity of the older poets. Akenside in strains of melodious and original blank verse, expatiated on the operations of the mind and tho associated charm of taste and genius. Johnson alone of the eminare
authors of this period seems to have adopted the style of Dryden and Pope. But his ponderous Latinized composition was comnteracted in part by the simplicity of Goldsmith and Mackenzic. Many of the poets of the transition period show the didactic tendency of the times. It required in some cases an ellort to break ofl from what had been popular. To such a low ebb had the public taste been reduced that Gray was ridiculed and Collins was neglected. The spirit of true poetry was not, however, dead. The conventionai style was dostined to foll, leaving only that taste for correct language and polished versitication which Pope had established. The seed was sown and the next generation was to see under Cowper that work completed which Thomson had begun.

The System of Patronage. During the Elizabethart period and considerabe time afterwarls the social standing of literary men was far from encouraging. Itie names of Spenser, Butter and Otway ate sufficient to remind us that warm contemporary recormition was not enough to secure an anthor from : position of want Paraliee Lost yielded its mothor during elewen yors only fis. Ben Johnson in the earlier, and Droden in the latter part of the seventeenth century fomul the laureate's pittance scarcely sutticient to keep their hends above water. The first few years of the next century showed sions of mprovement. In the reign of Charles II., Dorsot had introdncel the system of patronage, which, under Montague, Earl of Halifax, became suhsequently su serviceablo to men of literature. The politwians who came into power with the Revolution were willing for a time to share the public patronage with men of intellectual eminence. Addison, Congreve, Swift and other atuthors of less note won by their pens not anly temporary prohts, but permanent places. Prior, Cay, 'Lickell, Rowo and

Steelo held offices of considerable emolument, and Locke, Newton and others were placed above indigence by the same system of princely favor. Before Pope was thirty the fruits of his pen amounted to over $£ 6000$, and by the popular mode of subscription he received $£ 8000$ for his translation of Homer. Such rewards indicate a reatiness among both political parties to patronize literature with :s beneficence honourable to those who gave, and advantageous to thuse who received. In one respect at least the period may be tormed the Augustan age of literature. Its patrons were in high piaces and were prepared to give it substantial rewards. Fortunately for the cause of literature, though painfully inconvenient for many writers of the "transition peric $\mathfrak{d}$," this system of patronage was doomed shortly after the accession of the House of Hanover.

Decline of Patronage. The reigns of Willian III. and Anne are noted for the encouragement given to literature by those in authority. After the accession of the House of Hanover, there was a marked change. The reign of George II., though prohuctive of much progress in science and literature is marked by no indication of originality. Still it had many authors who deserved better treatment than they received. As the system of party government developed, the political partisans were suflicient to absorb all the sinecures at the disposal of the leaders. Authors were rewarded hy no munificent patronage from the Crown or ministers of state. Harley and Bolingbroke were succeeded by Sir Robert Walpole, a wise dactician, but a man with no tasto for learning, no admiration of genius. His liberality to the extent of £50,000 was extended only to obseure and unscrupulous partisans, the supporters of a corrupt government, whose names might have passed into oblivion tat for the sation
of Pope. Scribbling tor a party in pamphlets and news. papers was rewarded, while genius was neglected. The considerable sums spent on literature were given for services equally degrading to giver and receiver. Men of talent, who would not stoup to the "dirty work" of sustaining with their pens a base administration, might starve in Grub Street, or be pilloried in the Dunciad, although had they lived thirty years before, they might have been entrusted with an embassy oi: appointed Com. missioners, Surveyors or Secretaries. Men like Churchill, who turned their pens to political satire, were well remunerated. Young obtained, in time, a pension, and Thomson, after tasting the worst miseries of author-life, was rewarded with a sinecure. But Collins, Fiedding, and even Thomson and Johnson, were arrested for debt, and the wretched and precarious lives of many, hive made Grub Street, in which they herded together, suggestive of rags, hunger and misery. The age of dedication was intolerable to men of independence of spirit. Authors by profession must either starve or become parasites. The reading public was very limited, and the booksellers, in consequence, were not to be blamed for the small sums given to authors. A better day was dawning. The right of the Press to discuss public affairs created a class of writers of higher moral and literary qualifications. Tho time was rife for the emancipation for ever, of literature from the "system of flattery." The letter of Johnson to Chesterfield gave the "knock-down" blow. It was, as Carlyle calls it, "the far-famed blast of doom proclaiming into the ear of Lord Chesterfield, and through him, of the lietening world, that patronage should be no more." The period between the old and the new system, was one of much privation and suffering. In that period lived Goldsmitn.

Revival of the Natural School. From about the middle of Pope's life to the death of Johnson, was a time of transition. The influence of the didactic and satiric poetry of the critical school, lingered among the new elements which were at work. The study of Greek and Latin classics revived, and that correct form for which Pope sought, was blended with the beautiful forms of " natural feeling and natural scenery." The whole course of poetry was taken up with greater interest ufter the publication of Warton's History of English Poetry, and Dr. Percy's Reliques of Ancient English Poetry in 1765. Shakespeare was studied in a more accurate way, and the chill-likeness and naturalness of Claucer began to give delight. The narrative ballad and the narrative romance, afterwards perfected by Sir Walter Scott, took root in English verse. Forgeries such as Fingal, an Ancient Epic Poem, by Macpherson, and the fabrications of Chatterton,
" the marvellous boy.
The sleepless soul, that perish'd in his pride,"
indicate the drift of the new element. It was felt that the artificial school did not exhibitfully the noble sentiments, emotions and thoughts of the human soul. Man alone had wen treaterl of by the poets. Nature now was taken up. The polish and accuracy of Pope is fully preserved by such writers as Gray, Collins and Goldsmith, but their verse is also " instinct with natural feeling and simplicity." Natural description had appeared already in the poems of the Puritans, Marvel and Milton; but Thomson, in the Seasons, was the " first Poet who led the English people into the new world of nature in poetry, which has moved and enchanted us in the works of Wordsworth, Slee.ey, Keats and Tennyson, but which was entirely impossible for Pope to understand." The real and actual were, as
subjects of song, to be substituted for the abstract, and remote. The increase in national wealth and population, led to the improvement of literature and the ats, and to the adoption of a more popular style of composition. The human intellect, and inagination, unhampered by the conventional stifhess and classie restraint imposed upon former authors, went abroad upon wider survevs and with nore ambitious designs.

I'he age of Cowper. Of all poetical witers of the last twenty fears of the eighteenth century the name of Cowper casts the greatest illustration upon the period in which he lived. The hard artiticial britlianey of Pope standing :' the head of that list, which included Gibbon and Hume, Chesterfield and Horace Walpole had scarcely ceasad to dazzle the poets of the Johnsomian era. The death of "king Samuel" in England, like that of Voltaire in France, was not followed by the accossion of another to the throne of literature. 'i he reaction which followed the iestoration did not readily subside, and the approach of the French Revolution was marked by movements of great social as well as of great political importance. In England the forces which had been silently gathering strength ushered in a revolution no less striking than that which convulsed the continent. The attention of the community was arrested by changes of a moral and religious character, which are still rmming their course. The earnestness of the puritan hat alnost disapeared, and the forms of religion were found with little of its power. Scepticism widely pervaded the wealthy and educated chasses. The progress of free inquiry had produced a general indifiterence to the great questions of Christian speculation. It arose porty from an aversion to theological strife, as a result of the eivil war, and partly from tho new intollectual and material chamels
to which human energy was directed. The spiritual decay of the great dissenting bodies had gone hand in hand with that of the establishment. It was an age of gilded sinfulness among the higher classes, and of a sinfulness ungilded, but no less coarse, among the lower classes. Dronkenness and foul language were not sufticient to render the politician guilty of them unfit to be prime minister. The purity and fidelity of woman were sneered at, as out of fashion. The vast increase of population which had followed the growth of towns, and the rapid development of manufactures had been met by little effort to improve the moral or intellectual condition of the masses. Without schools the lower orders were ignorant, and brutal to a degree which it is hard to conceive. Tl:s rural peasantry who were fast being reduced to a state of pauperism by the abuse of the poorlaw had in many cases no moral or religious training of any kind. Within the towns matters were worse. There was no effective police to withstand tise outbreaks of ignorant mobs. It was the age of the oid criminal law when cutting a pear-tree or stealing a hare, was regarded as a capital crime, while the "gentleman" might with impunity be guilty of duching, gambling, or outrages on female virtue. It was the age of the old system of prison discipline, which aroused the philanthropy of Howard. It was a period which has associated with it fagging and bullying in school and the general application of the rod as the most potent aid in the process of instruction. It was the period with which the names of Walpole and Newcastle are identified, and which has associated with it rotten boroughs, political corruption, party without principle, and all the rancourness of faction warfare. The sights that indicate cruelty and hardness of heart, such as bull-rings, cock-pits and whipping-posta
were quite as common as the fumes that indicate intemperance. It was the age of great reforms. Johnson had left his impress on the improved tone of society and had overthrown the system of patronage; Wilborforce and Clarkson were coming forward to abolish the slave trado. Burke and Pitt were to restore the higher principles of statesmanship, and to redeem the character of public men. A more important reform and one which gave an impulse to all the others, was of a religious character.

In the middle classes, the piety of a former period had not completely died out. From that quarter issued the "Methodist movement," which awakened a spirit of moral zeal, that softened the manners of the people, called forth philanthropists and statesmen who infused clemency and wisdom into our penal laws, reformed our prisons, abolished the slave trade, gave to popular cducation its first impulse, discussed measures for arresting the evils of intemperance, and adopted various methods of a Christian character for bettering the social condition of the humbler classes. (See Green's English History.) The enthusiasm of th, Wesleys and Whitefield was not kindled against the rules of the Church or State, but only against vice and irreligion. The results of their zeal are not confined to the denomination which owes its origin to the movement, and no body is more ready than the English Church to acknowledge the great advantages of the religious revival of the last century.

If Wesley came to revive religion and impress upon his followers that Christian worship was "of the heart," Cowper, who was imbued with the spirit of the movement came to regenerate poetry, to Christianize it, to elevate it, and to fill it again with feeling and with truth. If the ballads of a nation have, as in the case of Burns, a lasting effect in arousing patriotism, the religious poems of Cowper may be regarded no less influential in extending "that religion which exalte and ennobles man."

## 

MASON"S GRADUATED SERIES OF ENGLISII GRAMMARS.
Mason's Outlines of English Grammar. For the use of jurior elasses.
Price,
45 Cents.
Mason's Shorter English Grammar.
With copious and carefully graded exercises, 243 pages.
Price,
60 Cents.

## Mason's Advanced Grammar.

Inciuding the principles of Grammatical Analysis. By C. P. Mason, B. A., F. C . F., fellow of University (Jollege, London. Enlarged and thoronghly revised, with Examinations I'apers added by W. Houston. M.A., 27th Edition, price,

75 Cents.
"I asked a grammar school inspector in the old country to send me the best grammar pullished there. He immediately sent Mason's. The chapters on the analysis of difitenlt sentences is of itseli sufficient to place the work far heyond any English Grammar hitherto before the Canadian pub-lic."-Alex. Sims, Di. A., H. M. H. S., Oakville.

## English Grammar Practice.

This work consists of the Exercises appended to the "Shorter English Grammar," published in a separate form. They are arranged in progressive lessons in such a manner as to be available with almost any text book of English Grammar, and take the learner by easy stages from the simplest English work to tho most difficult constructions in the language.

## Price,

30 Cents.

## Outlines of English Grammar.

These elementary ideas are reduced to regular form by means of careful definitions and plain rules, illustrated by ahundant and varied examples tor practice. The learner is made acquainted, in moderate measure, with the most important of the older forms of English, with the way in which worts are constructel, and with the clements of which modern English is made up. Analysis is treated so far as to give the power of dealing with sentences of plain entistruction and moderate diliculty. In the

## English Grammar

the same suhjects are presented with much greater fulness, and carried to a more advanced and diffienlt stage. The work containg ample materials for the requirements of Competitive Examinations reaching at least the standard of the Matriculation Examination of the University of London.

## The Shorter English Grammar.

is intended for learners who have but a limited amonnt of time at their disposal for English studies; hut the experience of sehools in which it has been the only English Cirammar used, has shown that, when well mastered, thls work also is sufliciont for the London Matriculation Examination.

## 



## Revised Ed. Miller's Language Lessons. Prico,

PRJIFS ( 6 , FIE SLPERIORITE OF MILLER'S REVISED EDITION OVFR ALL OTHEIAS.
Itg enermatus sale
Neaily two hundred thousand have lacen soh whthin the last four years.
Dhller's Swintm's is authorized by the Education Departmet for use in the Sohonls of Oitatio.

Gnly Lhtion ad what by the lrotestant Doard of Ddacation of Montreal, and uyed lamany of emencipal schoels of the l'rovince of quebec.


Miller's hovised swiah in is uscd in nitue tenthes the prineipal sehools of Ontario.

Galy Edition monaxed as an Introductory Ecok to Mason's Grammar, both having the Eaine Defintions.

## A THJHiLUU EXANLNATIGN OIVEN.

To the Preaterat and 11 exhers of the C'ounty of Digin Teachers' Associa. tion: in accordafice with a mation pased at the last regmar meeting of the Association, appuritis the whirsinuet a Committee to consider the respeetive ateritaci bifiemat kingluch ligamemare, with a wew to angrest the
 ly comparing the varicus editions that havo been recominended, we believe that "Mr Her's iswintun's Latguage lessona" is the best adapted to the wants of juniter sapas, and we weuld urge its autherizalion on the Government, and its mituhation into omr bublic sedicels.
Sigued, A. F. Buthis, Co lispector. J. Milka:i, Town Inspector.
 A. Stelel, M. A, "، Graberiale lligh school. N. Cambeicl, " Co of Eigin Model School.

It was moved and seconded that the report be received and adopted. Carricd unanimously

To avoid mistakes, ask for Miller's Swinton's.

## Mason's Outlines of English Grammar.

AUTHOR:ZED FOR USE is :CHOOLS.
New and Improved Edition. For the use of Junior Classes, by C P Masin, B. A., F. C. P., Fellow of University College, Jomdon.
Sixth Engllsh, and fourth Cazadian Edition, price 45 cts.
From the Daly Globe, Toronto.
Mr. C. P. Mason, whese excellent "Fnglish Trammar" is now the anthorized text bow in the selools of Ontarin, has prepared and issued a more elementary and much-nceded work entitled "Ontlines of English (irammar." The text of this work is substantially the same as that of the larger trentise in both matter and form, except that the very claborate notes in the latter are cither omitted or very mmeh reduced in exteut.

## 

HAMILIS SMITIIS M. ITIEMATICAI, WORKS.
Anthorized for nse, and now used in nearly all the prizeipal Schools of Ontarl . (uacbec, Nova Scolia and Manitoha.

## Hamblin Smith's Arithmetic.

An Advanced treatise, on the Unitary System, hy* J. Inambin Smith, M. A., of Gonvillo and C'tins Colleges, and late lecturer of St. I'eter's College, Cabbridge. Adipted to Canadian Schools, by Thomas Kirkland, M. A., Svience Master, Normal Sehool, Toronto, and William Seett, B. A., Head Master Model Sthool for Ontario.
12th Edition,
Price, 75 Cents.
KEY.-A complete Kicy to the above Arithmetic, by the Authors.


Hamblin Smith's Algebra.
An Elementary Agebra, hy J. IIAnmin Smit!, M. A., with Appendix by Alfred Baker, I. A., Mathematical Tutor, University College, Toronto. 3tis Edition Price, 00 Ceąts.
KEY.-A complete Key to Hamblin Smith's Algebra. Price,

## Hamblin Smith's Elements of Geometry.

Coutaining Books I. to VI., and portions of Books XI. and XII., of Euclid, $\because$ \#h Exereises and Notes, by J. Hanmin Smith, M. A., \&e., aid Eamination I:apers, from the Toronto and MeGill Universities, and Normal Schooh, 'heronto.
Price, -
Yamblin Smith's Geometry Books, I and 2. Price, 30 Cents. Zamblin Smith's Statics.

By J. Hanblix sumi, M. A., with Appendix by Thomas Kirkland, M. A.s Fobence Master, Normal School, Toronto.
1rice,

## Eamblin Smith's Hydrostatics.

KEY.—Staties and llydrostaties, in one vohme. $\quad \$ 2.00$.
İamblin Smith's Trigonometry. \$1.25.
KEY.-To the above. $\quad \$ 2.50$.

## 

THE BEST ELEMENTARY TEXT-BOOK OF THE YEAR.

## Gage's Practical Speller.

A MANUAL OF SPELLING AND DICTATION.
Price,
Sixty copies ordered.
Mount Forest Adyocatr.
After careful inspect on we unhesitatingly pronounce it the best spelling book ever in use in our public schools. The Practical Speller secures an easy access to its contents by the very systematic arrangements of the words in topical classes; a permanent impression on the memory by the frequent review of difficult words; and a saving oi time and effort by the selection of only sueh words as are diffleult and of common occurrener. Mr. Reid, H. S. Master heartily recommends the work, and ordered some sixty copies. It is a book that should be on every business man's table as well as in the school room.

Is a necessity.
Piesb. Witness, Ifalifax.
We have already had repeated occasion to speak highly of the Educa. tional Scries of which this book is one. The "Speller" is a necessity; and we have scen no book which we can recommend more heartily than the one before us.

Good print.


The "Practical Speller" is a credit to the publishers in its general get up, classification of sulbjects, and clearness of treatment. The child who uses this book will not have damaged eyesight turough bad print.

Whatitis.
Strathrof Age.
It is a series of graded lessona, containing the words in general use, with abbreviations, etc.; words of similar pronunciation and different spellinf a collection of the most diflicult words in the languare, and a number of literary selections which may be used for dietation lessons, and commett ${ }^{-}$ ted to memory by the pupils
Every teacher should introduce it. Canadian Statesman.
It is an improvement on the old spelling book. Every teacher should introduce it into his classes

The best yet seen.


Colcirstar St"n, Nov: Scotia.
It is away ahead of any"speller"that we have heretofore seen. Our public schools want a good spelling book. The publication Iefore us is the best we have yet seen.



[^0]:    * If this is true in England, it is mot true in America. Nowhere in the United states is such "questionable grammar" as this frequent,y heard in cultivated cireles.
    + "It may be confidently allirmed that with good speakers, in tre ease of negation, not me is the usual practice."-Bain. This, I confldently affirm, is not true in America.-A. A.

[^1]:    * Should be, a text-bonk for his course, and not, for his course a tixit boor.

[^2]:    * Mr. Gould rriticises the Dean's diction, not his atyle.

[^3]:    "Belter, " to revise it."

    * "Is 10 put them in tabular form."

[^4]:    *Rullions' "Grammar" was published in 1e0t.

[^5]:    
     he moans; but what he mesan is, tet, mami=talatio. The extract sinen
    

    + "The anaysos, taken lob qranted in ihis quotation, of are be"no hrown up' into 'are being' and 'thawn w' will be dealt with in ihe fequal, and shown to be mintenable." $\rightarrow+i$ Vol. Xiv, p. 50.4 (1237)."

[^6]:    *"'The Life and Correspondence of the late Robert Sonthey, vol. $i$, p. ${ }^{249}+"$ " eternally locing decliked.'-'The Doctor,' pp "S and 40 (monotome ed.)."

[^7]:    *. 'In 'P'at Yourself in his Place,' chapter $x$, he writes: 'She basked in the present delight, and looked as if she retsbeinyteken to heasen by an angrel.'

[^8]:    * "' Words,' etc., p. 340."
    $\dagger$ "Thomas Fuller writes: 'At his arrival, the last stake of the Chrise tians was on losing.' - 'The Historie of the Holy Warre,' p. 218 (id. 1647.)

[^9]:    * "I express mysif in this manner because I distinguisin between be and exixt."
    - +"Samuel Richarison writes: 'Jenny, who attends me here, has more than once hinted to me that Bliss Jervis loves to sit up late, either reating or being real to by Anne, who, thongh she reads well, is not fond of the rask.'- 'Sir Charles Gramison,' vol. iii, p. 46 (cd. 1754).
    "The transition is yery siight by which we pass from 'sits being read to' to "is being read to." ${ }^{\prime \prime}$

[^10]:    " "I a.n here indebted to the last edition of Dr. Worcester's 'Diotionary,' preface, p. xxxix."

[^11]:    - "' Words and their Uses,' p. :3is."
    $\ddagger$ "'It is beint is smply equal to it is, And, in the supposed corro-
    
     ens with faches, but in lhat of ems wilh est. The absurdity is, in latin, just "hat in is in Engeish, the u-e of is with tring, the making of the werb to de a comphoment to ittelf. - /bid., No. 3is, 355.
    "Aprarently, Mr. Whlfe reangizes no more diteronce hetween sup-
    
    

[^12]:    *"'Bat those tibings which, being not now doing, or having not yet been done, have a natural aptitude to exist hereafter, may be properly said to appertain to the future.'-Harris's 'Hermes,' book I, chap. viii (p. 155, foot-note, ed. 1771). For Harris's being not now doing, which is to translate $\mu \dot{\eta} \gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{2} \dot{\mu} \in \nu a$, the modern school, if they pursued uniformity with more of fidelity than of taste, would have to put beiny uot now being dome There is not much to choose between the two."

[^13]:    *The possessive construction here is, in my judgment, not imperatively demanded. There is certainly no lack of authority for putting the three substantives in the accusative. The possessive construction seems to me, however, to be preferable.

[^14]:    * "The use of the plural for the singular was catabliohed as carly at the beginning of the fourtecnth century."-Norris, p. 118, $1153_{0}$

[^15]:    *"Sothe writers omit the comina in cas"s where the conjunction is used. But, as the conjunction is generally emplayed in such cases for emphasis, commas ought to be used; although, where the words are very closely connected; or where they constitute a elause in the indst of a long sentenco, they may be omitted."-Digelow's "HandLook of l'unctuation."

[^16]:    * "This usage violates one of the fundamental principles of punctuation; it indicates. very impropery, that the noun man is more closely connectel with learned than with the other aljectives. Analogy and parspicuity require a comma after learned."-Quatikenbos.

[^17]:    * Many writers would omit the last two commas in this sentence.
    -The commas before and after purticulerly are hardly necessary.

[^18]:    * "fir. Augug on the ' Englials Tomgur,' nti. 527."
    \& "Ta the following masnges, the hationtho mond wonh bre more ent. able than the subjantive: 'If thou tie the bun of God, command th at thes I

[^19]:    
    

[^20]:    *"So, in German, hätle occurs for watele himell. 'Wiare er da
    
     foe imlicatuce is occusionally ustal; which is expminel ats a more vivid form."
    t"In mincipal chances time intaction of the seend pereon is always
     samplimaterlath- . 'hongh subjonctive, whows, 'hadot.' And this usage is execeringly col m

[^21]:    - "Cromwell-than he no man was more skilled in artilice; or, Crous-well--no man was more skilled in artifice than he (was)."

[^22]:    *" No devil sat higher than he sat, except Satan."

[^23]:    *"Spea'ing of Dryden, Hallansays, 'His "Essay on Dramatic Poesy;" published in 16tis, was reprinted sixteen yea's afterward, and it is curious to observe the chanzes which Draden mado in the expresion. Malone has carefully noted all these; they show both the care the author towle with his own style and the change whach wat gradualy workine the the Englis. languare. The Anghicism of terminatisg the sentence with a prepostions is cejected. Thus, "I can not think so chmtemptibly of the age I live in," is exchanged for "the age in which I live." "A deeper expression of belief than a.l the actot ean persuate us to," is altered, "can insinua' ${ }^{\text {a }}$ into us." And, thonerh the old form eontimed in us. long after the bime of Dryden, it has of tate years been tectoned inclegant, mad prozeribed in all cases, perhaps with an $u$ nece-sary fastidiousness, to which I have not mitormly deferted, since our language is of Teatomio strictuse, and the rules of Latin and lirench grammar ure not always to bind us.'
    "The following examples, taken from Massinger's 'firamd Duke o. FHorcuce,' will show what was the nsage of tho llizabethan waters:-
    "'For I must nse the freelom I was born f . th.'.
    "'In that dumb rhetone whelh you make usi n!?'
    "———it I had been heir
    Of all the globes and seeptres mankind bows to.'
    .. -- ine nmme of fitend
    Which you are pleased to greter the with.'
    --wilfully innorant in maponicn
    of what it did invite him tw.'
    "I Iook to her as om a princess
    $I$ dare not be ambithons of.'
    "."-a duty
    That 1 was born wilh.'

