

The National Cash Register

books cash and credit sales and establishes a perfect check system in a retailer's business. Call and examine.

OFFICE: 63 KING-ST. WEST TWELFTH YEAR.

GREAT BRITAIN'S BUDGET

EXHIBITS A SURPLUS OF OVER ONE MILLION POUNDS.

Not Alcohol, But Tobacco, Responsible for the Increase—The Revenue Tax From Tax Exceedingly Satisfactory—The Results Show Widely Diffused Prosperity Among Workmen.

LONDON, April 11.—The Hon. George J. Goschen, Chancellor of the Exchequer, presented the budget to the House of Commons to-day.

Mr. Goschen said good fortune again permitted him to submit a budget showing a surplus of £1,067,000. This was largely due to the expanding departments, for it was not so easy to cut down the expenditure of the revenue. There had been times when he suffered great anxiety. During January and February the budget was not bright, but during March it was better and this enabled him to submit a satisfactory result.

In the last budget the estimate of expenditure was £299,294,000. The actual expenditure was £298,227,000, leaving a surplus of £233,000. This was a remarkably small difference between the estimates and actual expenditures.

The total estimate of expenditures during the last three years was £294,000,000. The total difference in the expenditures was only £127,000. This statement was received with cheers.

Analyzing the revenue Mr. Goschen said that the total estimated £219,730,000, being £36,000 above the sum calculated on. It was not alcohol that caused the increase in the revenue. The revenue tax from tax was exceedingly satisfactory, yielding £34,000 over the estimate. Tobacco produced £23,000 and the duty on alcohol £10,000 above the previous year.

Mr. Goschen declared that wages had not fallen in the last year, but still widely diffused prosperity among workmen.

The total revenue showed £243,000 over the estimate. The increase in the consumption of home spirits was greater in England than in Ireland and Scotland. Mr. Goschen estimated the revenue for the current financial year at £201,477,000 and the expenditures at £220,400,000. This will not allow of a remission of taxation, with the exception of a minor reduction in the present rate of duty on spirits.

SO A-JAUNTING THEY WILL GO

TO SEE HOW CASH RUN IN THE CITIES OF THE STATES

A Liberal Mood—A Radical Chairman—Recessed—Ald. Macdonald—A \$500,000 Hospital—Trafalgar Square—Another Letter From Mr. Blake.

All the members of the council were present last night. As soon as the Mayor called order, Ald. Hallam read a copy of a letter from the Hon. H. A. Everett, amounting to \$70,100 for services rendered as an expert during the street railway arbitration last year.

On motion, the Council, Monday, April 11, was set apart as the Civic Holiday. Communications were read and the secretary of the Toronto Humane Society reported on the proposed abolition of the Royal Victoria Hospital and from the secretary of the Toronto Children's Aid Society to the same effect.

Ald. Atkinson gave notice that he would introduce a by-law appointing a permanent chairman of council in the event of the resignation of the Mayor.

Mr. Goschen's motion that the engineer be requested to consider the advisability of running night cars to Downing Street and Woodbine Avenue was adopted. Ald. Leslie moved, "That the Executive Committee be requested to consider the advisability of a by-law appointing a permanent chairman of council in the event of the resignation of the Mayor."

The Mayor did not think a \$500,000 addition to the budget was necessary. He had been voted for the purpose and that he thought was enough.

Ald. Graham released the whole story of the inclusion and explained to the council the unenviable condition the city is in at present with reference to a contagious disease.

Ald. Hallam thought the hospital would be a waste of money. He had been told by the Hon. H. A. Everett that the hospital would be a waste of money. He had been told by the Hon. H. A. Everett that the hospital would be a waste of money.

\$100,000 FIRE AT PARIS.

The immense plant of the Paris Manufacturing Company Totally Destroyed—The Albion Works Saved.

PARIS, Oct. 11.—The Paris Manufacturing Company's plant is a total loss from fire. The fire was first seen by the watchman in the first flat. It soon spread to the second and third floors.

At the same time, the Albion Works were saved. The fire was contained by the fire department. The plant was a total loss.

GERHARD HEINTZMAN

REPLIES TO NORTHERNERS' MUSICAL COURSE ADVERTISEMENT.

He shows that references to that advertisement to the Landow Piano Company are erroneous, with the exception of the name of the company.

He shows that references to that advertisement to the Landow Piano Company are erroneous, with the exception of the name of the company.

He shows that references to that advertisement to the Landow Piano Company are erroneous, with the exception of the name of the company.

He shows that references to that advertisement to the Landow Piano Company are erroneous, with the exception of the name of the company.

ANOTHER VICTIM.

Annie Marshall Dies From Apparent Criminal Operation.

Detroit, April 11.—About five weeks ago Miss Annie Marshall, 21 years of age, left her home in Windsor. After remaining there a week she came across to Detroit and took rooms at 129 Michigan Avenue.

She was found dead in her room. The cause of death was determined to be a criminal operation. The police are investigating the case.

INLAND REVENUE CHANGES.

Proposed Amendments to the Excise Act.

Cigars Not to Be Removed From a Factory in Less Quantities Than One Hundred—German Emigrants for Canada—Extending the Telegraph Service to British Columbia.

It also provides that the extension of the inland revenue act, which Mr. Cogan gives notice, provides for the extension of the inland revenue act.

It also provides that the extension of the inland revenue act, which Mr. Cogan gives notice, provides for the extension of the inland revenue act.

It also provides that the extension of the inland revenue act, which Mr. Cogan gives notice, provides for the extension of the inland revenue act.

BLACKSTOCK DECLINES.

His Duties Prevent Him Contesting the Vacant Seat—List of Convention Delegates.

The Young Conservatives met last night and held an important meeting in their rooms, Shaftesbury Hall, Vice-President W. H. Scott was in the chair. After the meeting the committee reported progress.

Mr. Scott was in the chair. After the meeting the committee reported progress. The Young Conservatives met last night and held an important meeting in their rooms, Shaftesbury Hall.

NO EXPORT DUTY ON LOGS.

The Government Will Not Change Its Policy.

Yesterday was Lumber Day in the House. After debating Mr. Ives' Motion for Withdrawal of the Lumber Bill, Mr. Macdonald moved to amend the bill.

Mr. Ives' Motion for Withdrawal of the Lumber Bill, Mr. Macdonald moved to amend the bill. The Government will not change its policy.

Mr. Ives' Motion for Withdrawal of the Lumber Bill, Mr. Macdonald moved to amend the bill. The Government will not change its policy.

Mr. Ives' Motion for Withdrawal of the Lumber Bill, Mr. Macdonald moved to amend the bill. The Government will not change its policy.

THE YOUNG CONSERVATIVES.

His Duties Prevent Him Contesting the Vacant Seat—List of Convention Delegates.

The Young Conservatives met last night and held an important meeting in their rooms, Shaftesbury Hall, Vice-President W. H. Scott was in the chair.

Mr. Scott was in the chair. After the meeting the committee reported progress. The Young Conservatives met last night and held an important meeting in their rooms, Shaftesbury Hall.

THE NEW RIFLE RANGE.

Probable Arrangement of All the Districts—The Rifle Range to be Located on the River.

The Rifle Range to be located on the river. The probable arrangement of all the districts is being discussed.

The Rifle Range to be located on the river. The probable arrangement of all the districts is being discussed.

The Rifle Range to be located on the river. The probable arrangement of all the districts is being discussed.

The Rifle Range to be located on the river. The probable arrangement of all the districts is being discussed.

THE YOUNG CONSERVATIVES.

His Duties Prevent Him Contesting the Vacant Seat—List of Convention Delegates.

The Young Conservatives met last night and held an important meeting in their rooms, Shaftesbury Hall, Vice-President W. H. Scott was in the chair.

Mr. Scott was in the chair. After the meeting the committee reported progress. The Young Conservatives met last night and held an important meeting in their rooms, Shaftesbury Hall.

THE YOUNG CONSERVATIVES.

His Duties Prevent Him Contesting the Vacant Seat—List of Convention Delegates.

The Young Conservatives met last night and held an important meeting in their rooms, Shaftesbury Hall, Vice-President W. H. Scott was in the chair.

Mr. Scott was in the chair. After the meeting the committee reported progress. The Young Conservatives met last night and held an important meeting in their rooms, Shaftesbury Hall.

Mr. Scott was in the chair. After the meeting the committee reported progress. The Young Conservatives met last night and held an important meeting in their rooms, Shaftesbury Hall.

Mr. Scott was in the chair. After the meeting the committee reported progress. The Young Conservatives met last night and held an important meeting in their rooms, Shaftesbury Hall.

THE YOUNG CONSERVATIVES.

His Duties Prevent Him Contesting the Vacant Seat—List of Convention Delegates.

The Young Conservatives met last night and held an important meeting in their rooms, Shaftesbury Hall, Vice-President W. H. Scott was in the chair.

Mr. Scott was in the chair. After the meeting the committee reported progress. The Young Conservatives met last night and held an important meeting in their rooms, Shaftesbury Hall.

THE YOUNG CONSERVATIVES.

His Duties Prevent Him Contesting the Vacant Seat—List of Convention Delegates.

The Young Conservatives met last night and held an important meeting in their rooms, Shaftesbury Hall, Vice-President W. H. Scott was in the chair.

Mr. Scott was in the chair. After the meeting the committee reported progress. The Young Conservatives met last night and held an important meeting in their rooms, Shaftesbury Hall.

Mr. Scott was in the chair. After the meeting the committee reported progress. The Young Conservatives met last night and held an important meeting in their rooms, Shaftesbury Hall.

Mr. Scott was in the chair. After the meeting the committee reported progress. The Young Conservatives met last night and held an important meeting in their rooms, Shaftesbury Hall.

The Toronto World.

A One Cent Morning Paper. Daily (without Sunday) by the year, \$5.00...

THE CITY AND SUNDAY CARS.

THEY WILL NOT BE RUN UNLESS THE PEOPLE DECIDE. Then Only in Accordance With the Lord's Day Act—Toronto Will Have No Sunday Cars.

The Evening Doleful on Saturday gave indications of a change of heart, but the Sunday devotionist appears to have surprised a relapse and its issue yesterday showed that the old symptoms have returned.

Mr. Menzies moved for a return showing the number of bodies received by the Inspector of Anatomy during each of the past five years from charitable institutions, and the number of bodies received from the province; showing also the number of persons dying from natural causes in each of the above named institutions during the same period, and the number of persons who had been executed or who had died by natural causes after spending some time in prison. These returns will be brought down next session.

Now, seriously, what is it that we are asked to do? Are we asked to watch through the years of horse cars to work? The idea only needs to be stated to have been laughed out of court. It is possible that before ten years something better than the trolley may be discovered, but the people of Toronto are practical people and will use what is at hand just now, and when something better turns up they will use that.

When the House in committee took up Mr. Balfour's bill to provide for the admission of women to the study and practice of law, Mr. Meredith moved that the committee rise. He objected to the bill in its present form, more than he did before. The House, he said, had no right to strike the responsibility of the law society, if women were admitted to the study and practice of law, and then they could not be held responsible for their conduct.

Here is a picture of the position: The trolley is the only practicable electric motor system in sight. The Mayor and his associates know that the system is adopted first at any rate, but they want to squeeze the company into agreeing to adopt some other system whenever it shall please the council to so order. That is to say, after the company have gone to a large expense in applying the trolley, it should be at the mercy of any whimsical council which took it into its head to order a trial of another system. The company would have to abandon without compensation the expensive plant which it had put in only a short time before. Women are asked to fair. The company asks that at any time the city desires a change of system some portion at least of the loss should be borne by the city. And it must not be forgotten that the company is in a position to make terms. Whatever system is adopted could be adhered to by the company for 30 years. The stipulated period for which the trolley has been adopted is 30 years. The stipulated period for which the trolley has been adopted is 30 years.

Mr. Meredith moved that the bill be referred back to the committee. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good.

The fact that during the year 1921 this city expended the sum of nearly nine million dollars, and that the receipts from all sources were nearly ten millions, should awaken the citizens to the magnitude of the task which the Mayor and Council have to administer. The provincial finances are a trial when compared with those of this city, and yet the Provincial Legislature exercises a grand authority over our affairs. The management of this city is a big task and a very growing bigger. We need men for the job, and we should bestir ourselves to find them and induce them to take up the burden. No good citizen capable of the work should evade the responsibility. Unfortunately the incapables are always in eager and willing to assume it.

Mr. Meredith moved that the bill be referred back to the committee. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good.

The settlement arrived at in regard to the claim of the separate schools for their lawful share of the stock of the Street Railway Company for taxation purposes was satisfactorily arranged by the Legislative Assembly yesterday. It would have been very unjust to have deprived the Separate School Board of their share of the stock of the Street Railway Company.

Mr. Meredith moved that the bill be referred back to the committee. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good.

Excursion to Washington, D.C., on April 25th, with the privilege of visiting New York via Erie and Lehigh Valley Railways. Just one more chance to visit the sunny South for almost nothing. The excursion will be held on the 25th of April, and will include a visit to Washington, D.C., and New York City.

Mr. Meredith moved that the bill be referred back to the committee. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good.

Do not destroy your health by using poison. Do not destroy your health by using poison. Do not destroy your health by using poison. Do not destroy your health by using poison.

Mr. Meredith moved that the bill be referred back to the committee. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good.

ALBANI AT THE PATILION.

A Gloriously Successful Concert—Boards of Laughter from the "Grand-Bill's" Crowd of Patience at the Toronto—The Music Holds Its Own.

When the entrancing form of I. Suckling announced a concert under the auspices of the public art association, the program of unvarying artistic excellence. All their efforts are provided for success, and Albani's engagement at the Pavilion last evening was a climax of fortune and enthusiasm in a long series of acknowledged triumphs.

TORONTO GENERAL.

AND SAFE DEPOSIT TRUSTS CO. CAPITAL: \$1,000,000. GUARANTEE AND RESERVE FUNDS: \$150,000.

Mr. Meredith moved that the bill be referred back to the committee. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good.

A Spring Opening.

For our spring opening of Baby Carriages this year we show an enormous stock at exceptionally low prices. We have been fortunate enough to secure about 50 sample carriages, beautifully designed and upholstered in latest American styles and which we are selling out at less than manufacturers' prices.

Mr. Meredith moved that the bill be referred back to the committee. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good.

THE OWEN.

ELECTRIC BELT AND APPLIANCES COMPANY. POSITIVELY CURES. THE FOLLOWING: Rheumatism, Sexual Weakness, General Debility, Impotency, Lumbago, Kidney Disease, Nervous Diseases, Urinary Complaints, Prostate, Gonorrhoea, Varicocele, Liver Diseases.

Mr. Meredith moved that the bill be referred back to the committee. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good.

WAREHOUSES: 117 KING-ST. W. TORONTO.

Factory: Toronto Junction.

Mr. Meredith moved that the bill be referred back to the committee. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good.

CRACKIES.

SOLD BY BUFFALO BILL. WHAT THUMPING BARGAINS. GEORGE McPHERSON IS BANGING OUT HIS GREAT ALTERATION SHOE SALE.

Mr. Meredith moved that the bill be referred back to the committee. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good.

George McPherson.

186 YONGE-ST. 3 Doors North of Queen.

Mr. Meredith moved that the bill be referred back to the committee. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good.

Mr. Meredith moved that the bill be referred back to the committee. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good.

Mr. Meredith moved that the bill be referred back to the committee. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good.

Mr. Meredith moved that the bill be referred back to the committee. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good.

Mr. Meredith moved that the bill be referred back to the committee. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good.

Mr. Meredith moved that the bill be referred back to the committee. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good.

Mr. Meredith moved that the bill be referred back to the committee. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good.

Mr. Meredith moved that the bill be referred back to the committee. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good.

Mr. Meredith moved that the bill be referred back to the committee. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good.

Mr. Meredith moved that the bill be referred back to the committee. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good.

Mr. Meredith moved that the bill be referred back to the committee. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good.

Mr. Meredith moved that the bill be referred back to the committee. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good.

Mr. Meredith moved that the bill be referred back to the committee. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good.

Mr. Meredith moved that the bill be referred back to the committee. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good.

Mr. Meredith moved that the bill be referred back to the committee. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good.

Mr. Meredith moved that the bill be referred back to the committee. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good.

Mr. Meredith moved that the bill be referred back to the committee. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good.

Mr. Meredith moved that the bill be referred back to the committee. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good.

Mr. Meredith moved that the bill be referred back to the committee. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good.

Mr. Meredith moved that the bill be referred back to the committee. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good.

Mr. Meredith moved that the bill be referred back to the committee. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good.

Mr. Meredith moved that the bill be referred back to the committee. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good.

Mr. Meredith moved that the bill be referred back to the committee. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good.

Mr. Meredith moved that the bill be referred back to the committee. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good.

Mr. Meredith moved that the bill be referred back to the committee. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good.

Mr. Meredith moved that the bill be referred back to the committee. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good.

Mr. Meredith moved that the bill be referred back to the committee. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good.

Mr. Meredith moved that the bill be referred back to the committee. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good.

Mr. Meredith moved that the bill be referred back to the committee. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good.

Mr. Meredith moved that the bill be referred back to the committee. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good.

Mr. Meredith moved that the bill be referred back to the committee. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good.

Mr. Meredith moved that the bill be referred back to the committee. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good.

Mr. Meredith moved that the bill be referred back to the committee. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good.

Mr. Meredith moved that the bill be referred back to the committee. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good.

Mr. Meredith moved that the bill be referred back to the committee. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good.

Mr. Meredith moved that the bill be referred back to the committee. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good.

Mr. Meredith moved that the bill be referred back to the committee. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good.

Mr. Meredith moved that the bill be referred back to the committee. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good.

Mr. Meredith moved that the bill be referred back to the committee. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good.

Mr. Meredith moved that the bill be referred back to the committee. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good.

Mr. Meredith moved that the bill be referred back to the committee. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good.

Mr. Meredith moved that the bill be referred back to the committee. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good.

Mr. Meredith moved that the bill be referred back to the committee. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good.

Mr. Meredith moved that the bill be referred back to the committee. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good.

Mr. Meredith moved that the bill be referred back to the committee. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good.

Mr. Meredith moved that the bill be referred back to the committee. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good.

Mr. Meredith moved that the bill be referred back to the committee. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good.

Mr. Meredith moved that the bill be referred back to the committee. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good.

Mr. Meredith moved that the bill be referred back to the committee. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good.

Mr. Meredith moved that the bill be referred back to the committee. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good.

Mr. Meredith moved that the bill be referred back to the committee. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good.

Mr. Meredith moved that the bill be referred back to the committee. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good.

Mr. Meredith moved that the bill be referred back to the committee. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good.

Mr. Meredith moved that the bill be referred back to the committee. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good.

Mr. Meredith moved that the bill be referred back to the committee. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good. He said that the bill was a piece of legislation which would do more harm than good.

