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## PREFACE

## TO THE LNGLISH EDITION.

As the security of our persons, our reputations, our properties, and our liberties, depends upon Jurons, it can never be unseasonatle to infiorm or remind them of their nuries. While they remain ighorant of the nature of their station, they cannot determine with propriety; while they feel not its importance, they will not conduct themselves with spiri. When questions, however, which relate to the welfare of the j'eoples. are agitated in Cours of Justice, Instructions of this kind become of more then ordinary importance. Errors upon thase occasions may be attended with fatal consequences, si:cce powers will be introdurc.ll which may, in the end, overturn the Costiturion.

Lur it is not upon the good intentions of Jurymen only that we mu:t depend. If they should be prevented, cilter by fraud or

## [ iv ]

force, from discharging the trast reposed in them, their endeavours will avail little to the protection of the subject. Their rights ought, therefore, to be guarded against all attacks, especially as men of great eminence in the law have publickly declared that they liave lately been invaded:-and to be defended, they must be understood.

A knowledge, then, of whatever relates to the office of Juries, geems ai this time cssentially necessary, since from hence alone can arise the proper execution of its duties and the preservation of its rights.To promote this end, the following Pamphlet is now republished. Its character for paspionity and strength of reasoning, is so well established, that it is needless to enlarge upon it here.-If it meets the success which its merit deserves, it will, perhaps, be a priacipal means of preserving the trial by Jury, in its full force, to posterity.
sed in lle to rigits ist all emilared -and oorl. elates time ce aof its hts. mpher for is so $0 \mathrm{Cl}-$ ccess haps, trial

## ADVER'TISEMENT

## TO I'HE ENGLISH READER.

THIS Treatise has passed through many editions. It appeared first in the year 1680 ; it was reprinted in the year 1731, and again in 1752, 1763, 1764, 1770, and 1711 ; and is now presented to the Public at least for the Eigith time.
N. B. Many circumstances might lead the reader to suspect, that this Dialogue has been altered in order to accomodate the present times. This edition, however, has been carefully collated with the First, which was printed in London, for Richard Janeway, in Queen's Head Alley, Pater-noster-Row, in the year 1680. So scrupulously has the original been adhered to, that not a single word has been altered,

## [ vi ]

except in the translation of the Latin sentences in page 3d, the latter of which was before unintelligible. Liberties, indeed, have been taken with the orthography and pointing, but these have not in the least af. fected the sense.

## THE PEOPLE OF CANADA:

I kNow not that I could make you a more valuable offering than this litule book; which, having produced the greatest benefit in Eingland, cannot fail, if read with attention, to be of the same service here.

I have observed with pain that the ends of Justice are frequently obstructed or delayed, and sometimes wholly defeated, through the ignorance of Juries as to what enncerns their rights, duties, and privileges. By this dangerous ignorance, the most iniquitous suits have been ofiell gained, and innocent men have suffered. The Trial by Jury is the most glorious institution of our country.-It is that by which our lives, our liberties, and our property are secured. Yet what avails this great right, if you continue to slamber in ignorance of its true nature and power? - Read this book, and read it with attention. Let its contents sink so deeply into your minds, that they may never be forgoten, and you will be always ready to confront and defeat the mal-practices of the law, and even to correct the Judge on the bench, should he be forgetfint of bis duty, or wilfully partial. Let this
book have its flace next to your Bible, and let it be sludied nearly as often. I hope it will be found in every cottage in the collntry. It is not published for the sake of gain, but to do good ; it is, hierefore, sold at a price so low that every one may have it.$I$ truat it will get into every family, and be alike the study of rich and poor, old and young, for I believe it is 'alone capable of giving a high character to your country, and of enabling you to raise up an impenetrable bulwark against oppression and injustice, and against the arbitrary power of corrupt Judges; (should you ever be cursed with so great a curse, and all the artful twistings and shiftings, sherking and quirking, of the wicked or subtle lawyer.

If you make good use of your Religious Toleration;-If you fully understand, and know how to exercise, the invaluable rights connected with the Trial by Jury ; and, if you take care to keep a watchful eye on the conduct of your Representatives in Parlia::ent, being cautious" whom you send the:e;-with that rich, and beautiful, and extensive country which God has given you - it will be your own fault, and that of your cbildren, if Canada does not take the highest rank anongst those nations which are destined to cover the American continent.

That it may be so, is the fervent prayer of
Your Devoted Humble Servait, CHARLES FOTHERGILL.
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sc. sc. scc.

Berristcr.
MY old Client! a good morning to you. Whither so fast? you seem intent upon some important afiair.

Juryman. Worthy Sir! I ain glad to see you thus opportunely, there being scarce any person that I could at this time rather have wished to meet with.

Barr. I shall esteem myself happy, if in any thing I can serve you.-The busiuess, I pray?

Jurym. I am summoned to appear upon a Jury, and was just going to try if I could get off. Now I doubt not but you can put me into the best way to oblain that favour.

Baır. It is probable I could: but first let me :now the reasons why you desire to decline that service.

Jurym. You know, Sir, there is something of trouble and loss of time in it:-and men's lives, liberties, and estates, (which depend upnn a Jury's'guilly, or not guilty, for the plaintiff, or for the defendant,) are weighty things. I would not wrong my conscience for a world, nor be accessary to any man's ruin. 'There' are others better skilled in such matters. I have ever
so loved peace, that I have forborne gring to law (as you well know) many times, though it hall been much to my loss.

Barr. I commend your tenderness and modesty; yet must tell you, these are but genctal and weak excuses. - As for your time aud troulie, it is not much; and, however, can it be better spent than in doing justice, and serving your coentry? 'To withdraw yourzolf in such cases is a kind of sacrilege, -a rolbing of the public of those duties which you justly owe it. The more peaceableman you have been, the more fil you are : for the office of a Juryman is, conscientiously to judge his neighoour; and needs no more law than is easily learnt to direct him therein. I look upon you, therefore, as a man well qualified with estate, discretion, and integrity; and if all such as you should use private means to avoid it, liow would the king and country be honestIy served? At that rate we should have none but fools or knaves entrusted in this grand conerti, on which, (as you well observe) the lives, liberties, and estates of all England depend.

Your tenderness not to le accessary to any man's being wronged or ruined, is, as 1 sail, much to be comneended. But may you not incur it mawares, by seeking thus to avoid it? P'ilute was nut innocent because he washed his hands and said, 'He would have nothing to do with the blood of that just one.' There are fuuts ot omission as well as commission. When you are logally called to try such a cause, if you shall shaff: out yourself, and therehy persons perhaps less conscientious happen to be made use of, and so a vildan escupes justicr, or an innocent man is ruinell, ly a prepossessed or nemligent vurdict; can you think yourself in-such
al case wholely biameless? Qui non prohibet cum potes!, jubet: That man abets an evil, who prevents it not, whrn it is in his power. Nec caret scrupulo societatis occullat, qui evidenter fucinmi definit olviare: Nor can he escape the suspicion of being a secret accomplice, who evidenily declincs the prevention of an atrocious crime.

Jurym. Truly, I think a man is lound to do all the good the can ; espec:ally when he is lawfully called to it. But there sometimes happen nice cases, wherein it may be difficult to discharge one's conscience without incurring the displiasure of the court, and thence trouble and damage may arise.

Barr. That is lut a vain and needless fear. For, as the jurors' privileges (and every Englishman's in and l,y them) are very considerable; so the laus have no lese providently guarded them against invasion or usurpation. So that there needs no more than, first, under. standing to how your duty; and, ill the next phace, conrarg and resolution to practise it with impartiality and integrity, tree from ascursed bribery and malice, or (what is full as bad in the end) base and servile fear.

J: $\quad$ ym. I ann satisfied, that as il is for the alvantage and honour of the public, that inen of understanding, suhstance, and honesty, should he employed to serve on juries, that justice and right may fairly be administered; so it is heir own interest, when called thereunto, readily to hestow their attendance and service, to prevent ill precelents from asen otherwise qualifed; which may hy degrees tatilly, thoush insensibly, undermine our just hirth-rights, and perhips fall heavy ora day upon us, or our posterity. But, for my own part, I
am fenful least I should sufier through my ignorance of the duty and office of a Juryman; and cherefore, on that necount principally it is, that I desire to be excused in my appearance; which if I understood but so well as I hope many others do, I would with all my leart attend the service.

Z3arr. Youspeak houestly, and like an Englishntan. But if that be all your canse of scruple, it may soon be removed, if you will but give yourself a very litile trouble of enquiry into the necessary provisions of the law of England relating to this matter.

Jurym. There is nothing, of a temporal concern, that 1 wonld more ghadly be informed in ; because I am salisied it is very exprdient to be generally known. And frest, I would learn how loneg trials by Juries have been usrd in this nation. *

Barr. Even time out of mind;-so long, that our hest histonians cannot date the origin of the institution; being indeed cotemporary with the nation itself, or in ase a3 soon as the people were reduced to any form oi civit goverument, and administration of justice. Nor have the several conquests or revolutions, the mistures of foreigners, or the mutual feuds of the natives, at any lime been able to suppress or ovesthpow it. For,
I. That Juries (the thing in effect and substance, though perhaps not just the number of tie eive men,) were in use among the Dritons, the first inhabitants of

[^0]this islard, appears by the ancient monuments and writincs of that nation; attesting that their Frepholuers had always a share in all trials and determinations of differences.
II. Most certain it is, that they were practised by the Saxons,* and were then the only courts, or at least an essential, and the greater part of all the courts of judicatare: for so, to onit a multitude of other instances, we find in King Ethelred's Laws, "In singrlis Centuriis, \&ce." " In every humdred let there be a court, and let twelve ancient ficemen, together with the Lord, or rather, acconding to the Saxon, the Gieve, i. e. the chicf magistrate amourst them, be sworn, that they will not coudemn any person that is innocent, nor acquit any one that is guilty."
lil. When the Nurmans came in, Wiliiam, thoug! common!y called 'the Conqueror,' was so firr fiom abrogating this privitege ol Juries, $\dagger$ that in the fuurth year of his reign, he confimed all King Edward the Confesscr's laws, and the ancient rus!oms of the king. den, whereof this was an essential and most material part. Nay, he made use of a Jury chosen in every county, to ieport atid certify on their oaths what those laws and customs were; as appears in the proom of such his coufirmation.
IV. Afterwards when the Great Charter, commonly called Magna Charta. which is nothing else than a recital, confirmation, and corruboration of our ancient

[^1]English liberties, was made and put under the great seal of England, in the ninth year of King Henry the 'Ihind, which was anno Domini 122.5 ; then was his privilege of trials by Juries in an especial manner confirmed and established; as in the fourteenth chapter, "That no anercoments shail be assessed, but by the oath of good and honest men of the vicinage." And more paricularly in that golden mine and twenticth chapter,_-_No freeman shall be taken or imprisoned nor be disseised of his frephold or liberties, or free customs, or be outlawed, or exiled, or any other way destioyed; nor shall we pass upon him, or condemn him but thy the lawful juctement of his perrs," \&c. Which Grand Charter having bren confirmed hy abose thirty acts of Parliament, the said right of Juries thereby, and by constant usage, and common custom of Erighand, which is the comenon lav, is brought down to us as ona undoubted birth right, and the best inheritance of every Euglishman. For as that famous lawyer, Chief Justice Coke,* in the words of Cicero, excrllently avers, ' $M a$ jur haereditas venit umicuique nostrum a jure et legibus, qucen a parentibus.' 'lt is greater inheritance, and vare to be valued, which we derive from the fundamental constifution and laws of our comntry, than that which comes to us from our respective parents; for without the former, we have no claim to the latter.

Juym. But has this method of trial never been attempted to be invaded or justied out of practice?

Burr. It is but rarely that any have arrived to. so
grc:a ry the as bis r conmpter, by the

And enticth risonad ee cusvay de. nn him Which e thirty by, and irgland, is as 010 c of every fuestice $-9,{ }^{6}$ Mct legibus, nd more amental It which without
great a confidence; 'for it is a most dangrous thing to shake, or alter, any of the rules, or fundamental points of the common law, which in truth are tho main pillars, and suppoters of the fabric of the commonwalih :' these are Judge C'oke's words." Yet sometimes it has been endeavoured ; but so sacred and valuatble was the institution in the cyes of our an. cestors, and so tenacious were they of their privileges, and zealous to maintain, and preserve surb a vital part of their birth-right and freedon, that no such attempts could ever prove effrectual, hut insways ended with the shame and severe punisliment of the rash undertakers. For example,

1. Andirew Horn, an eminent lawyer, in his book, entitlei, The Alirror of Justises, written in the reign of King Edward I. now near 400 years ago, in the tith chapter, and first section, records, That the renowned Suxon King Alfred cansedfour-and forty justices to be hanged in one year, ns murderers, lor their false judg. ments. And there rec ites their particular crimes, most of ham being in one kind or other infringements, violations, and encroachments of and upon the rights and privileges of Juries. Amengst the rest, that worthy author tells us, " he hanged one Justice Cadwine, because he judged one Hackwy to death without the consent of all the Jurors; for whereas he stood upon his Jury of tivelve men, because three of them would hare saved him, this Cadwine removed those three, and put others in their room on the Jury, against the
said Hackwy's consent." Where we may observe, that
e, that gaiust runted , were noved, gal al-forth-
jrdse[ ut and was in act of ecious reby it ustices juforut any e men, discretempts agrinst de and Coke) ing all he opudes of knimht, peare, jurious
act, as commonly in like cases it falleth out, a new office wats erected, and they mado masters of the king's forfeitures.'

But not only this statute was justly, soon after the decease of Henry VII, repealed by the stat. of the 1 II'w. VIII. chap. G. bui ulso the said Empson and Dudley, notwithstanding they had such an act to back theirn, yet it beiug against Magua Charta, and consequently void, were fairly executed for their pains ; and several of their under-agents, as promoters, informers, and the like, severely punished, for a warning to all others that shall dare, on any pretence whatsoever, infringe our English liberties*. For so the lurd $\dagger$ Coke having, elsewhere, with detestation nentioned their story, pathetically conclndes, 'Qui eorum vistigiis instant, exitus per horresca t.' 'Let all those who shall presume to tread their steps, tremble at their dreadfulend.' Other iastances of a later date might be given, butl suppose these may suffice.

Jurym. Yes, surely; $\ddagger$ and by what you have discoursed of the long continued use of Juries, and the zealous regardsour ancestors had not to part with them, I perceive that they were estermed a special privilege. Be pleased, therefore, to acquaint me, wheruin the excellency and adrantirges to the people, by that method of trial above others, may consist.

[^2]Rarr. This question shows you liave not heen much conversant abrual, to observe the m:serable condition of the poor people in most other nations, where they are cither wholly suliject to the drspotic arbitrary lust of their rulers, or at best under such laws as render their lives, liberties, and estatis, liab'e to be disposed of al the discretion of strangers appointed their judse; most lines mercenary, aud crealures of prerogative; sometines malicious and oppressive ; and ofien partial and corrupt*. Or suppose them ever so just and up. right, yet still has the suljocl no security aginst the attachs of unconscionable witursses. Yea, where there is no sufficient evidence, upon baresiepicions, they are obnoxious to the tortures of the rack, which of ent malie an innocent man confess himsilf guilty, merely to get out of present pain. Is it not thell an inestiontble happiness to be bern, and live under such a mild and righteous constitution, wherein all these mischiefs, as fir as human prudence can provide, are preventcd? where none can he condemued, either by the power of superior enemins, or the rashness or ibl-will of any juilge, nor by the bold alfirmations of any pro. figate evilence : but no less than tivelve horest substantial, impartiad men, his neighbours, who conseganntly cannot be presumed to be unacquainted either with the matters charged, the prisoner's course of life, or ${ }^{\text {r }}$ the credit of the evidence, must first be fully satisfied ia their consciences, that he is guily; and so all un-

[^3]much adition e Hiey ry lust reuder sposed udses; galive; partial and up. the at. e there hey are h of.eı merely estiln 1 a mild schiefs, revent. hy the jill-will ny pris. est sub. requnntier with life, ${ }^{r}$ satisfied all un-
animously pronouner him upon their oaths? Are not these, think you, very materinl privileges ? $\dagger$

Jurym. Yes, certuinly; though I never so well con. sider-d them before. But $n$ sw 1 plainly see our forefathers had, and will still hinve, all the reason in the "orld to be zealous for the inaintenance and preses vation there of trom subversion or encroachments, and to transmit them entre to posterity. For, if unce this lauk be bi wen dawn or nighlected, an ocean of oppression, and the ruins of infinite numbers of peopli, (as i.l Empson and Dudley's days) maly easily lollow, when on any pretence they may be made cri:ninuls, and then finell iu vast sums, with protext to enrich the king's colfes, but indeed to ferd those insaliate vuitures that promote such unreasomable prosecutions. But since you have tanght me so much of the antiguity and excellency of Juries, icannot but erave the continnance of your fivour, to açuaint me somewlat more particularly of their ollice and power by law.

Burr. $f$ I shall gladly comply with so reasonable and just a request. t'A jury of twilve nen are by our 'laws the only proper judges of the matter in issue be'fore them.' As for instance,
I. That testinony which is delivered to iniluce a Jury to believe, or not to believe, the matier of fact in

[^4]issue, is cilled in law, Evidrnce; hecause therehy the Jury may, ous of many matters of tact, Evidere veritatem; that is, see clearly the truth, of which they are proper judgr s.

1I. When aty muter is swors, or [when a] deed [i-] reall, or offered, whether it stall be bulicved, or not, or whetier it be true, or false, in point of fact, the Jurors are proper judres.

1II. Whether such an act was done, in such, or such se manner, or to such, or such, an intent, the Jurors are Juiges. For the court is not a judge of these matters, which are evidence to prove, or clsprove, the thing in issue. And therefore the wituesses are always order. ed to direct their sjeeech to the Jury ; they beili.j tho proper judges of their testimony. And in all pleas of the crown, or matters criminal, the prisoner is sail 'to put himself for trial upou his comntry ;' which is explained and referred by the clerk of the court, to be ineant of the Jury, saying to then, ' which country you are.'

Jurym. Well then, what is the part of the king's justices, or the court? what are they to take cognizance of; or do, in the trials of men's lives, liberties, and properties?

Burr. Their office, in general, is to do equal justice and right: particularly,
I. To see that the Jury be regnlarly returned and du. $\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{y} \text { sworn. }}$
II. To see that the prisoner (in cases where it is permitable) he allowed his lawful challenges.

11I. 'To advise by law, whether such matter may be
given in evidence, ar not ; such a whiting read, or not ; or aurh a mam mhintlad to be 1 witnese, \&e.
IV. Because by their! aroing, nud exprience, they are prosumed to be best juatified to ask pet bineut ques. ti ns, and, in the mort perspicunas manme, , yourato sift out trath fom ammarat telious inpertinent coriand stances and tantolugies: they threfore commonly ex. anine the witnersis in the conart ; yet rotexchuling the Jury, who of right ming, hod, where they see canse, ongh to ask thin any ree ersury questions; whicinnodoubtioly they may lawlully dis with modesty and dis. cretion, without legging any trave. For ii a sinif leave be necessary, it implies in the court a ighat when they list to dchiy it; und how then shall the Jury know the truth? And since we see, that council, whotoo citen (--Pulet hect aprohia nolis) for their fees striva onIy so bathe witaesses, nad stifle truth, take upon C.."a dinily to int eromate the evidence; it is absurd to thin!. that tite Ju:ors should nothive the same privilege who are upn: their oaths, an:l proper julges of the mat er.
V. As a discreat and lawful assistant to the Jary, * they doofen recapitulate and stan up the heats of the evideater: 'ul the Jururs are still to consider whether it be done fruly, fuliy andimpartinlly; for one man's momory may souncr fail than welve's. He may likewise state the haw to tham ; that is, deliver his opinion where the case so difficult, or they desire $i$. But since, ex facto jus ciatr, all matere of law arises out $\mathrm{c} f$ mat. ter of fact, so that tiil the fact is settled there is su room for hav: therefore all such discourses of a jodio?

[^5]to a Jury are, or ought to be, hypothetical, not coescive; conditional, and not positive, viz: 'If you find ' the fact thus or thus' (still leaving the Jury at liberly to find as they sce canse) 'then you aro to fitid for the - plaintiff; but if you tind the fact thus, or thus, then ' you are to find for the delendant, or the like;' guilty, or not guilty, in cases criminal.

Lastly, they are to take the verdict of the Jury, and thereupon to give jndgment according to law. For the office of a juige (as Coke well observes) is jus dicene, not jus dare ; not to make any laws by strains of wit, or furced interpretations ; lut plainly, and impartially. to dectare the law already established. Nor can they refuse to accept the Jury's verdict when agrecd: for if they stould, and force the Jury to return, and any of them should miscarry for want of accommodation, it would undoubtedly be muther ; and in such case the Sury may, without crime, force their liberty; because thay are illegal'y confined, (having given in 'heir rerdict, and thereby hom stly discharged their oflice,) and ate not to be starved fur any man's pleasure.

Jurym. Bull have been told, that a Jury is on'y julge of naked matter of fact, and are not at all to tike upen them to meddle with, or regard, matter of faw, but leave it wholly to the enurt.

Barr. 'I'is most tine, Jurors are judges of matters of fact: that is their proper province, their chief busines; but yet not ex luding the consideration of niatter of haw, as it arses out of, or is complicated with, andinduences the fact. For to say, they are not at all to medule with, or have respect to, law in giving their radice:, is not un'y a false posilion, and coniradected by
you find l liberty 1 for the s , then guilty, ry, and For the s dicere, of wit, artially. an they cd: for diny of tion, it ase the secause eir rer. e,) and tatall or their cled by
overy day's experience; but also a very dangerous and pernicious one ; tending to defeat the principal end of the institution of Juries, and so subtilly to undermine that $u$ bich was too strong to be battered down.
I. It is false : For, though the direction, as to matter of law separately, may brlong to the judge, and the finding the matter of fact does, peculiarly, belong to the Jury ; yet most your Jury also apply mater of fact and law together ; and from therir consideration of, and a right judgment apon both, bring forth their rerdict: For d. we not see in most general issues, as upo:a mot guilty-pleaded in trespass, breach of the peace, or felony, though it be matter in law whether the party be a trespasser, a breaker of the peace, or a felon; yet the Jury do not find the fact of the case by itself, leaving the law to the court; but find the party guilty, or not guilty, generally? So as, though they answer not to the question stugly, what is law? yet they determine the law, in all matiers, where issue is juned. So linewise is it not every day's practice, that when pirsons are indirted for murder, the Jury not only fiad theu gailty, or not guily ; but many times, uron heaning, and weighing of circumstantes, bring thea in, either guilty of inurder, manslangher, per infortunium, or se defendento, as they see causi? Now do they not, herein, complicately resolve both law and fuct? $\Lambda_{\text {ld }}$ to what end is it, that when any person is prosecuted upon any statute, the statute itself is usually read to the Jurors, but ouly that they may judge, whether, or no, the matter be within that statute? But to put the business out of doubt, we have the suffrage of that oracle of Jaw, Littleton, who in his 'Tenures, sect. 368. declares, 'That if a Jury
whit the upen then the krowiedge of the law upon the mater, they may.' Which is areed to likewise by Coke in his comment therenpon*. And therefore it is tale to say that the Jury hath not power, or doth not us freguenty to arply the fact to the law; and thence aking their mensurns, jadge ot, and determine, the crime, or issue, by their verdictt.
2. As Juris have ever been vosted wilh such power ty baw, so, to exc'ule then iom, or dinelier them of the sathe, whe utterly to defent the end of theme institutiont. For then, if a person shouh be inclited for doesg any conmon innocent act, if it he but loth. ed, and diveniscr, in tie indictment, with the nathe of

[^6]in upon likewise 1 -refore or doth aw ; :nd termise, their inindis ted ut inth. nat:e of

## f our own

 Ete Blacki8. particu:he practice rulisite the phace, the (thry lisve fucls vily, mot, was! tot suilys.' in 10 deI: the latho , lfeit senal, it is ne-:-Su that is implied; act. IHhin of the fact w couceris

13, are not llawing abnen guilty, imocence. as a focl: appeos, is a iminal, it is Prayer, ard
treason, or some other high crime, and proved, by witnesses, to have been done by him ; the Jury, though satisfird in conscience, that the fact is not any such of: fence as it is called, yet becau e (according 10 this fond opinio:) they have no power to juilge of law, and the fact charged is futy proved, they shall, at this rate, be bound to find him guilty : and being so found, the judge may pronounce sentence agninst him, for he finds him a convicted traitor, \&c. by his peers. And thus, as a certain physician boasted, that he hiad killed one of his patients with the best method in the world; so here should we have an innocent man hanged, drawn, and quartered, and all according to law.

Jurym. Giod forbid that any such thing shnuld be practised : and indeed I do not very fully understand you.

Barr. I do not say it ever hath been, and I hope it never will be practised: but this I will say, that nccording to this doctrine, it may be ; and consequently Juties may therehy be rendered, ratier a snare, or engine of oppression, than any advantdge or guardian of our legal libertirs against arbitrary injustice; and made mere properties to do the drudgery, and bear the blame

[^7]of unreasonable prosecutions. And since you seem so ciul as not to perceive it, let us puianimaginary case; not in the least to abet any irreverence towards His Ma . jesty, but only to explain the thing, and shew the absurdness of this opinion.-Suppose then a man should be indicted, for that $h r$ is a false traitor, not having the fear of God beforr his eyes, sic. did, traiterousiy, presumptuously, argiast his nllegiance, and with an intent to affront His Majesty's person, and goverument, pass by such, or such, a royal statue, or effigies, with his hat on his head, to the great contempt of His Majesty and his authority, the esil example of others, aganst the peace, and Mis Majesty's crown and dignity. Being hercupon arraigued, and having pleaded not guil:y, suppose that sufficient evidence should swear the mattrr of fact laid in the indictment, viz: that he did pass by the statue, or picture, with this hat on; now imajine yourrelf olle of the Jury that were sworn to try him; what would you do in the matter?

Jurym. Do? why I should be satisfied in my contscience, that the mais had not, herein, committed any crime, and so I would bring himin, not guilty.

Barr. You speak as any honest man would do : but I hope you lave not forgot the point we were upon. Suppose therefore, whell you thought to do thus, he conrt, or ole of your hrithren, should tike 3 ou up, and teil yoll, that it was out of jour power so to do: "for look " ye (saith he) my masters! we Jurymen are only to " find matter of fact; which being fully proved, as in "thes cas" brore us it is, we must find the paty puil"ty. Whether the thing lie treason, ar nut, does ne!
secm so y case; His Ma. the abn should ring the siy, pre. in intent ut, piss with his
Majesty aganst Being l:y, supmalt r of ss by the your1 ; what ny con:Hed any 0 : but I n. Suprecourt. and tell for look only to d, as in Ity does ne?
": belong to us to enquire ; it is said so here, you see, " in the indictment ; and let the court look to that, they "know best. We are not judges of law. Shall we med"dle with niceties and punctilios, and go contrary to " the directions of the court? So perbaps we shall bring " ourselves into n Promunire (as they say) and perhaps "never be suffered to he Jurymen agail. No, no, "the matter of fact you see is proved, and that is our " business; we must ro accordiur to our evidence, we "cannol do less; truly it is something haril, and I pity "the poor man, hut we cannot belp it," \&c. Atter these notable documents, what would you do now?

Jurym. I should not tell what to say to it; for I have heard several ancient Jurym non speak to the very same effect, and thought they talked very wisely.

Barr. Weil then, would you consent to bring in the man guilty?

Jurym. Truly I should be fimewhat unwilling to do it; but I do not see which way it cam be avoided, but that he must be frund guilty of the fact.

Burr. God keep eveiy honest bidy trom such Jurymen! llave you no more regard to your $Q_{\text {ath }}$ : to jour conscience? to Justice? to the life of a man?

Jurym. Hold! lold! pethafs we would not bring him gully generally, but only guilty of the fact ; * tinding no more, but, guilty of passing ly the statue with his hat on.

Barr. This but poorly mende tho matter, and siguifies little or mothing : for sur ha finding hath gencrally

[^8]been refused hy the court, as lieing no verdict ; though, it is said, it was lately allowed sonicwhere in a case that required favour. But, suppose it were accepted, what do you intelld sliould become of the prisoner? Must not he be kept in prison till all the Judges are at leisure, and willing, to meet, and argue the business? Ought you not, and what reason can you give why you should not, absolutely acquit, and discharge him? Nay, I do aver, you are hound, by your oaths, to do it; by saying with your mouths to the court, what your conscience cannot but dictate to yourselves, ' not guilty.' For pray consider, are you not sworn, that you will well and truly try, and true deliverance* make ? There is tone of tals story, of matter of fact, distinguislied from law, in your oath ; hut you are, 'well,' that is, fully, nuld'truly, 'hat is impartially, toiry the prisoner. So that if upon your coasciences, and the best of your understand. ing, by what is proved against him, you find he is gulty of that crime wherewith he stands charged, that is, deserving death, or such other punishment as the law inflicts upon an offence so denominated ; then you are to say, he is guilty. But if you are not satistied, that either the act he bas committer!, was treason, or other crime, (though it be never so often callid so ;) or that the art its.lf, if it were so criminal, was not done: then wliat remains, but, that you are to acquit him? for the end of Juries is to preserve mpu from oppression; whi h may happen, as well by imposing, or ruining them tor that as a crime, which indeed is none, or it least not . such, or so great, as is pretended; as hy charging them

[^9]; though, case that ted, what Must not ure, and ught you ould not, do aver, ying with ce cannot ray conand truly is rone of m law, in nued' truo that if derstandhe is guil, that is, os the lais ou are to , that eior other ) or hat ace: then ? for the n ; whi h them tor least not ing them
with the comonission of that, whish, in trath, was not committed. And how do you with, and truly by, and true deliserance make, when indeed you do hat delfver him up to others to be coaldmued, far that, which: yourselves to not helieve to be any cilme?

Jurgm. Well; bun the supposed case is a case unsup. prasable. it is not to he imarined, that any such thise shoudhappen ; nor to be thought, that the juthes will condronn any man, though hrought in guity by the $\mathrm{J}: \mathrm{a}$ ry, if the matter, in itself, he not co crimital by daw.

Barr. It is most true, I do not believe that ever that case will happen. I put it as a thing of apmarent absurw'y, that you wight the more clearly oberere the unreasomableness of tias doctrine ; but withall wast tell you, hat it is not impossible that some colher ceses may renty happn, of the suan, or the the mare, thenge rimre fine, and phasible. And, though we aprochend bot, that iuritg the reign of His Majesty that now is, (whose life (iedtong preserve) any Julges will be mate, that would so wrest the law ; yet : hat secu:ity is ther, hut that some sucessora may not be so enutions in their clioice? and, though our benches of judicature be at pesent furnished with gentlemen of great integri'y, yet, there may oue day happe' some Tresilian, urkinsman of Empson's, io get in, (for what bus heret, may bre) who, Empzom-lke, ton, shill pretend it to be for his mater's service to increase the momber of criminala, that tis coffere may lie filled with finca, and forfeinurs: and then such mischiefs may aise. And Jurien, having opon confidence parted with their just priviliges, shall then, too late, strive to re-assume them, when the num-
ber of ill preecdents shall be pouched to inforee tint as oi right, whirh in truth was al first n wrong, grounded on easiness and ignorance. Had our wise, and wary ancestore, thought fit to depend so far upon the contingent honesty of juiges, they needed not to have been So \%ealotis io continue the usage of Juies.*

Jurgm. Yet still I have heard, that in every intict. ment, or information, there is atwas som thing of torm, or hav, and, something clee, of fact; and it seems reasonable, that the Jury slooml not be bound up nicely to fiad every hrmality threrein expressed, or else to nequit (pernps) a not rions criminal. But if they find the essential matter of the crime, then they ought to tind him guilty.

Barr. Yousay trie, and therefore must note; that there is a wide diffrence to be mate between words of course, raised by implication of law, and essantial words, that pither make, or really aggravate, the rime charged. The law does suppose and innty every trespass, brearll of the peace, evary felony, murder, or treason, to be done Viet Armis, with force, and arms, \&c. Now, if a perion be iadicted for murder by poison, and the matter proved; God Corbid the Jury should scruple the finding him guilty upon the indictment, m rely brease, hify do not tind hat part of it, asto force, and arms, proved! for that is implied as a necessary, or alluwable, fiction of law.

[^10]But on the other side, when the malter in issue, in itself, and taken as a waked proposition, if of such a nature, as no action, indictment, or information will lie fur it singly ; but it is worked up by speciàl nggrava. tions into matier of damage, or crime ; (is that it was done to scandalize the governmeut, to raise sedition, to affront aunhority, or the like, or with such, of such, an eviliutent:) If these aggravations, or some overt act to manifist such ill design, or iutention, be not made out hy evidence, then ought the Jury to find the party, notguilty. For example:

Bishop Latimer (alierwards a martyr in bloody Queen Mary's days, for the Protestant religion) in his sernon preached befure the most excellent King Edward VI, delivered these words: "I must desire your grace to hear poor men's suits yourself.' 'The saying is now, 'That money is heard every where :' 'If he be rich, he shall soon have an end of his matter.' 'Others are f, in to go home with wreping teara tor any help they call wbtain at any judge's hand. Hear men's suits yourself, I require you in God's benall; and put them not to the hearing of these velvet coats, these up-skips. Amongst all others, one especially moved me at this time to speak: 'This it is, Sir: A gentewoman came and told me, that a great uan kerpeth certain lands of hers from her, and will be her temant $n$ spite of her teeth. And that in a whole twelve month sine could not get but one day for the hearing of her matter, and the same day, when it should be heard, the groat man broamh on his sile a great sight of lawyers for his counct. The gentlewomin had but one man of law,
and the great mat shades him so, that be emnot thll whit to du. Eo that when the mather rane to the point, the jube was a moms to the ofentlewoman, that she should let the great man have a quidues. in har lans. I hesuech your grace, that ye would low to the:e mattres.
'Aud gou, poud julges! henken what Gond waith in has holv book; Aulite illos, ila parum, ut magnom, hear them (saith he), the emall as well is the great; the poor as well as the ri li; regardnepram, fear no man. And why? Quia Dumini jultaina est, tho juder meat is Goi's. Matk this saying, thon fated juige; the d vil will hrine this eenteree iggainst thee at the day
 pent no!, and maend: they are wore than the wicked juiks that Clarist speaketh of, Luke the 10th, that meither fiated God, nor the word. One jidgen are worse than this judge was; for the whit neither thar men for
 nor any thiner elor ; yen, some of them will conmand them to * wasd it they be importunate- I herad say that when a suitor cume to one of them, he said, 'What farlow is it, that givath these folhes comarl to be so illiportmate? He deserves to be punished, and cumnitted to ward.' 'Marry, sir, panist ne then ; it is even I that gave them comsel. I would glady be punisled in such a cause; and if you amend not, I will cause them to ery cut יpon you still ; even as long as l hive.' These gre the very words of that goold bishop, and marlyr, fothe Latimer: © But now-a-days the julges be airaid to

[^11]
## camot tell

 I the point, n , that slie a her lans. ,I Cond saith ut mamem, !he great; :on, Pearno $t$, the juctrred julge; ee at the thy if they rethe wieked th, that neien are worse harar mon for rtunateness, ill comenand I henad say said, ' What Io be so illsmin comnitted ; it is evenI - punished in II couse them hive.' These and martyr, es be atraid to
lienr a poorman agnanst the rich; in monch, they will cither pronomice against him, or sodrive offtie poor man's suit, that he shall not be able to go through with it.!' Jurym. 'Truly they are somewhat bold, hut I thiak very lionest ones. But what signify they to our discourse?

Barr. Onty this ; suppose the judres of those times, thinking themselves agrsieved by such his freedom, should have brought an indirtment against him, setting firsth, that 'falsely, and maliciously, intending to scandalize the goverument, and the adininistration of justice, in this realin, and to bring the same into contempt, he did speak, publish, and declare the fatse, and scandalous, words befure recited.'

Ju"ym. I conceive the judges had more wit than to t: ouble themselves about such a business.

Bar. That is nothing to the purpose; hut suppose, I ony, by them, or any body else, it had been dane; ant his speaking the w.rds had been proved; and you had then been living, and one of the jury?

Jurym. I would have pronounced him not gaiky, ans beenstarved to death before I would have consented (1) a contrary verdict; because the words in themselves are not criminal, nor rell ecting upon any particulars; and as for what is supposed to be lai! on the indiciment or information, 'that they were published, or spuken, to scundalize the government, and the ad. ministration of justice, or to bring the sime into contempt,' nothing of that appears.

Burr. Yull resolve, as every hoarst, und rerstandinm, conscientions man would do in the likec ase; for when a man is prosecuted for that whirh, in itself, is wo

[^12]crime. how drealfully socver it maj be set uut, (ns the inguixitors in Spain use to clothe innorent protestants, whom thiny ronsign to the flam"s, will Samberitus, garments all over hepainted with devila, that the perple theholding them in so hellish a drese, may be so fire frompi ying them, that they may rather condrinn them in their thoushts as miscreants not wothy to live, although in truth they know nothing of their ciuse; - gatl siy, moterthanading any such bugbear artitices, on innocent man ought to be acquitted, and mot he and all his fimily ruined, and prihap, ulterly undone, for words or matters, harmless in the mselpes, mid possibly very well intended, hut only remered criminal, by being thins hideously dreased up, and wrested with sume fir-fethed, furced, and odious construction.

Jurym. This is a matter well worthy the consileration of all Juries; for imbed I have otten wondered to observe the adverbs in declarations, indictments, andiuformations, in sone cases to be harml ss vinegar and pepper, and in others, henbane streped in aquafortiz.

Harr. That may easily hapinn, where the Jury daes not distinumish legal impliatio =, from sush as constitute, or materialy ageravate, the cime; for if the Jury shatl refinse to tiad the later in cases where there is woi direct proof of them, viz. that such mart was done fatisely, scandously, malici misy, wih an: ntemt to raise sedition, defame the governament, or the like, their mouths are not to be stopt, nor ther tonse:ences satisfied with the court's teling them ...You have nothing to do with that; it is oniy mattor of form, or matter of daw; you are onty to examine the fut, whether he rotestants, mitus, garhe perple be so fac Irimu them o live, alcaluse ;) rartitices, wit he and ilone, for did possibly nal, by bewith sume consileme wondered nictinents, ss vinegar 1 in aqua-

- Jury dias as consti-- ifthe Jol re there is $t$ was done cut to raise like, thrit nces satisve nothing $r$ matter of hether he
spoke such words, wrote or goid such lonok, or the lik .* Fur now, if they should Ignorantly take thas for an answer, and loring in the prisoner guilly, though they mean and intend, of the laked fact, or bure act, ouly; yet the derk recording it demands a further confirmation, saying to them, hus: 'Will then, yon say A. B. is guily of the trespiss, or misdecaeanor, in manuer and farm as ha stands indicted; and so you say all?' 'To whic! the foreman answe:s for himself and his fellows, 'Yes.' Whereupon the verdict is drawn up-'Jivatores super sacramenthon suma dicme', \&c. - The jururs dosay upon their oaths, that A. B. maliciousiy, in contempt of the hing and the goverument, wilh as intent to seandalize the admanatration of justice, and to bran the same into comempl, or to raise sedion," Su. (is the words before wera hid,) spake sach worli, publishod such a book, or did such oa act, ng nimst the peree of our lord the king, his crown and digaty.

Tims a venoict, so mill ol in law, quasi veritatis, bo. caluse it ought to be the voice or silying of trumb $\dagger$ itself, my become compused in its material piont of falichood. Thas iwe mea ignorantly drop into a pijary. And will not every conscipntious man tremble to pawn tusa mel und w the sacred and drealful solemaity of an oath, thatist and just fy a lye upen eecord to at prozerity ? besides the wrons done to the

[^13]+ Vere dićam.
p :soner, who theruby, perlapa, csmes to be ianged, atid so the jury, in foro conecientiae, are certainly guilty of lis murder; or at least he i , by fine a imprisonsment, undene, with all his famiy, whose jnst curses will fall heayy on stich mijust Jurymen, and all their presterity, who, agniast their oatlis and duty, accasioned their causeless miscry. And is all this, hink you, nutiang but a matter of formatiy?

Joybm. Yes, reaily, a matier of vast importance, amb and consideration; yot I think jon charge the mischefs d ane hy s:och procedicisa a litte too heavy upon the Jurors. Alus, good men! they neen no harm; they do but follow the directions of the cout: if any boty everiappen to be to lhme in such cases, it must be the julges.

Murr. Yes, forsoo:h! that is the Jurymen's common plea; bat do you think it will holl good in the court of Heaven? It is not enough that we mean no harm, but we must do none neither, especially in things of that noment. Nor will ignorance excuse, where it is uffected, and where duly obliges us to inform ourselves better, and where the matter is so plinin and easy to be understoud.

As for the juitges, they have a fairer plea than you, and may quickly return the burthen back upon the Jurors ; for *we,' may they say, 'di.i nothing but our duty, according to usual practice. The Jury, his peers, had found the fellow guilty, upon their oaths, of such an odious crime, and $\mathrm{a}^{4}$ ? anded with such vile presumptions, and dangrous circurstances. They are juctses; we tork him as they prescated him to us ; and according to our duty, pronounced the sentence that the lav
inficts ill such cascs, or set a fine, or ordered corpo. scal panishment upon him, which was very moderate, considering the crime laid in the indictment, or intiormition, and of wh:ch they bad so sworn him guilty. If he were iamocent, or not so badas represented, let his destruction lie upon the jury. We.' At this rate, if ever we should have an unconsrionabie, Judge might he argre ; and thus the gult of the bisot, or ruin, of an innocent man, when it is too late, shall he bandied to and fro, and shomed of from the Jary to the Judge, and from the Judse to the Jury, but really sticks tast to both, hat especially on the Jurors; bechuse the very end of their institution was to prevent all dangers of such oppression; aul in cury such case, they do not only wrong the $r$ uwn suuls, and irreparably injure a particular peison, hut als, basely betray the libertics of their co?ntry in general. For as, withont their ill complinuce and art, no such mischiel caulhphe so by it, ill precedents are made, and the plagne is increased; tonester Juries are disteartened, or seduced by custom from their duties; just privihges are lost by disuser; and prorhaps withun a whle, sonse of themselves way have an hole piaked in their conte, and then they are tried by anothrr Jary just as wise, and bonest, and so deservelly came to suart minder the ruinating effects and example of heir own fully and injustice.

Jurym. You talk of folly, and blame Jurymen, when indeed they ramot help it. They would stmetimes find surh a person guilty, and sucb an one innocent, nad are persuaded they onght so to do; but ti:e court over.rul.s, and furces them to do otherwise.

Barr. IInw, I prey?
Jurym: llow! why, did yeu never hear a Jury threatened to he fined or imprisoned, if they did not comply with the sentiments of the court ?

Barr. I have read of such doings, but I never heard orsav it done: and indeed I du not doubt but our seats of justice are farnished with buth betler men, and better lawyers, than to use any such menaces, or duress; for undoubtedly it is a base and very illegal practice. But, however, will any man that fears Gool, nay, that is but an honest heathen, debauch his consciense, and furswear himself, do his neighbour injustice, betray his country's liberties, and consequently enslave him. self and his posterity; and all this nerely because he is hectored and threatened a little?

Jurym. I know it should net sway with any; but alas! a prison is terrible to most men, w!atever the cause be; and the fine may be such, if one shall refuse to comply, as may utterly ruin one's fanily.

Barr. Fright not yourself; there is no cause for this 2.gue fit to shake your conscience out of frame: if yon are threatened, 'tis but lrutum fuimen, lightmung without a hunderbolt, nothing but big words; for it is well khown, that thene is never a ibdes in englafid THAT CAN FINE OR IMPRISON ANY JURYMAN IN SUCH A cass.

Jurym. Good Sir! I am ha! ashamed to hear a harrister taik thus. Have not some in our memory heen fiaed and imprisoned? And sure that which has actually been done, is not altngether inpossible.

Barr. Your serrant, Sir: Under favour of your nighty wisiom and experience, when I said no judge
could do it, I spoke the more like a barcister: for'it is a musim in law-Id possunus, quad Jure possumus; 'a man is said to be able to do only so monch as he may lawfully do.' But such tining and imprisoning cannot lawfilly be done; the judises have no right or power, by law, to do it; and therfore it may well be said, they cannot, or are not able to do it.

And whreas you say, that some Juries in our memory have been tined and imprisuned, you may possibly say true; but it is as true that it hath been only in our memory; fur no such thing was $p$ act sed in anciont limes; for so I fins it asserted by a lite learned Idge *, in these positive words: ' No case can be offered, either befove attaints granted in general, or after, that ever a Jury was punished by fue and imprisomment by any Judge for not finding according to their evidence, and his direction, until Popham's time; nor is there clear proof, that he ever fined them for that reason, separated from other misd meanours.' And fol. 152, he alfirma, 'That no man can shew, that a Jury was ever punislied upon an information, either at law, or in the star-chamber, where the charge was only for tinding aganst their evidence, or giving an untrue verdict, unless imbracery, subornation, or the like were joined.' So that, you sec, the attempt is an innovation, as well as unjust; a thing unknown to our fore-fathers, and the ancient sages of the law, and therefore so murh the more to be watched against, resisted, \& suppressed whilst young, lest in time this crafty cockatrice's egg, hatched and fostered by ignorance, and

[^14]pusilanimus compliance, grow up into a serpent to bir to be mastered, ant so blast, and destioy the tirstborn of our English fierdoms. And inderd, hersed be God, it hath hitherto been iggorously opposed as of en as tt durst crawl abroad; bein; condeaned in patiament, and knocked on the hend ly the resolntions of the jadges upon solemn argument; as, by and by, 1 sha ldemonstrate.

Jumyn. Well, but are Jurors nat liable then to fine or imprisomnen, in my case whatsoces?

Barr. Now you rua trom the point ; we weretalking of giving their verdect, and yon speak of any case whatsoever. Whreas you shond herein ohserve a necessary distinction, which I shatl give yon in the words of that harned Judge last recited *; Much of the office of Jurors, in order to their verdict, is AImisterial ; as not withurawing from their fellows afier they are swora; not receiving from tither side evidence not given it; coult ; it edting and drinting before their verdet; refu ing t, ge a verdici, $\mathbb{S t}$, wherein if they transpress, they may be fimble. Buthe vodict itsilf, whe" given, is not an act minist rial, but Jathicial, and, supposed to ber, accodding to the hest of their judgment; for which they are not finable, nor to be punished, but by athaint;' that is, by another Jary, in cases where an attinint hes, and where it shall be found that, wiffully, they give a verdct, fabe and corropt.

Now that Juries, otherwise, are in no case punishable, nor can, for giving their verdict acording to thrir consciences, and the best of their juigment, be legally

[^15]pent too he firt. essed be :a ofen apsiliaaions of ad by, 1 II to fine
e talting any case bsirve a in in the Mach of is Minisliter they lence not me thoir in if they lict atself, cial, and, ilginent; whid, but es whre wilfully,
e punish10 th +ir re legatly
hised and implisoned hy any Judge, on colour of not geing according to their evidunce, or tinding contrary to the durections of the coust ; is a truth, hoth fommed on unamswerable seasons, and confirmed by irrefragable authorities.

Jurym. Those I would g'ady hear.
Batr. They are many, but some of the most evicient are these that follow. As for reasons:-
I. A Jary ought not to be fined, or imprisored, becanse they do not foilow the Juige's directions; for if thry do follow his directions, they may yet be attainted; and to suy they gave their verdict according to his diections, is no bar, but the judrment shall he rerersed, and they punished for doing that, which if they had not done, they should, by this opinion, have been fined, and imprisoned, by the Judge. - Which is unreasonable.

1I. If they do not follow his cirection, and be therefore fined, yet they may be attainted, and so they should be donlly punished by distinct judicatures for the same offence; which the common law never admits.
III. To what end i. the Jury to be returned out of the vicinage, that is the neighbourhood, whence the issue arist th? To what end must hundredors be of the Jury, whom the law supposeth to have nearer knuwledgre of the fact than those of the vicinge in general? To whatend are they challenged so scrupulonsly to the array and poll? To what end must they have such a certain freehold, and be prohi, \& legales, homines, and not of afinity with the parties concerned, \&c. If after all this, they implicilly must give a verdict by the dictates, and authority of another man, under pain of tines,
and imprisonment, when sworn to do it according to the best of therrownkiowiodge ? A man camot see by nnuther's eye, nor hear by anothols ear ; no mure can a man condud, or infer, the thing to be resolved by another's understanding or reasoning, unlnss all men's understandings were equally alike. And if, merely in compliance, becauae the Judme says thus, or thus, a Jury shall give a verdict; though suth their verdict should happen to he right, true, and just; yet they being not assured it is so from their own understanding, are fursworn, at hast inforo cimsticntice.
IV. Were Jururs so tinable, then puery mayne, and bail.fi of corporations, all stewards of leets, justices of peace, \&c. whaterer matters are tried before them, shall have verdirts to their minds, or else fine, andinprison the Jurors till they have ; so that such must be either pleased, hamoured, or $\underset{\text { gratilied, else no justice, }}{ }$ or right is to be hat in any court.
V. Whereas a prrson by law may challenge the sheriff, or any Juryman, if of kin to his adversary ; jet he camot challenge a mayor, recorder, justice, Sce. who it is possible will have a verdict fur their kinsman, or aqainst their enemy, or else fine and imprison the Jury thil they have ohnained it; so that by inis means our lives, liberties, and proprories, shall be solely tried by, and remain at the arbitary disposal of every mercenary, or carrupted jastice, mayor, baiiff, or recorder, if aty sull shom, at any time, grt into office.

Vt. 'fis merearonahle that a Jury should be finable oup pretace of their seing agsinat their eviduce; because it can never be tried, whether or no in truth they

Ig to the ee by aaure ran lved by Ill men's erely in thus, a verdict yet they standing,
$y \cap r$, and ustives of e them, , andim. must. be Justice,
the she ; jet he Scc. who sman, or the Jury eans our tried by, $y$ merce. recorder, e. , finable ruce ; beruth they
did find with, or aryinst, their evidence, by reason no writ of error lies in the case.
VII. Were Jurymen liable to such arbitrary fines, they would be in a worse condition than the criminals tried by them ; for in all civil actions, informations, and indictments, some appeals, or writs of false judgment, or of error, do lie into superior courts to try the regular proceedings of the inferwr. But here can be uo aftertrial, or examination; but the Juryman, if fining at all were lawhal, must either pay the fiac, or lie by it ; without remedy to decide, whether in this particular case he were legrlly fined, or ont.
VIII. Without a fact agreed, it is as impossible for a Judge, or any other, to know the law, relating to that fact, or direct concerning it, as to know an accident that hath no subiect, for as, where there is no law, there is no triusgression, so where there is nu transgression, hare is no phace for la'v : for' the law, 'saith Divine authority, 'is mande for the transgressor.' And as Cuke telis us, Ex facto jus oritur ; upon stating the fact, or transgression matier of law dotharise, or grow out of the root of cie fact. Now the Jury being the sole judyes of fact, and matier in isiane before them, not findiug the fact on which the law sho.dll arise, cannot be said to find arrainst law, which is no other than a sujerstructure on fact ; so to say that they have found aganst the law, when uo fact is found is absurd ; an expression insignificant, and unintell gihle.For no issue can be joined of matter in law ; no Jury oan be charged with the twial of mater in law har ly ne evidence ever was, or can be, givell to a Jury, of what is law, or not: nor can any such oath be givento.
or taken liy a Jury, to try matter in lav ; nor does an athaint lie for such oath, if folse, \&c. But if, by finting against the directions of the court in matter of law, shall he understood, that if the judge having heard the eridence given in cont, (ior he can regularly know to other, thourf the Jury may) shall tell the Jury upon this evidence, the law is for the plaintiff, or fur the defeudant, and the Jury are, under pain of fine, aud inprisomment, to find arcordingly; thet: it is plait, the Jury ought of duty so to do. Now if this wre true, who sees not that the Jury is but a troublesome delay, of griat chares, much furmaty, and no real use in determining rigit, and wrong, but mere echocs to sound back the pleasure of the court ; and consequenty, that trials by then might be befter abolished than continncd? which is at once to spit folly in the faces of our venerabie ancesturs, and enslave our posterity.
IX. As the juige ran never direct what the law is in any matter controverted, without first knowing the fact; so he cannot possibly know the fart but from the evidence which the Jury have : but he c wever fully know what evidence they have; for beriders what is sworn in comrt, (which is all that the judge can know) the Jury, being of the neighbourhood, may, and oit. times do, know something of their own knowiedge, as to the matter itsll, the credit of the evidence, \&ic. which may justly sway them in delivering their verdict; and which self-knowledge of theirs is sof fir countenanced by law, that it supposes them capable thereby to try the matter in issue, and so they must, though no evidence were eriven, on either side, in court. As when any man is indicied, and no evidence comes against him, the direction of the court alwaye is, • You
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are to acquil hiin, unleas of your own knowledge you kt:ow hi $\quad$ gunily ; so that even, in that case, they may find hing guilty, without any witnesses. Now how absard is it to thiuk, that any Judge has power to fine a Jury for going against their evidence, when he that so flaeth, knoweth periapa no:hing of their evidence at all, (as in the list case) or at least but some part of it? For loov is it possible he should lawfully punish them fut What which it is impossible for hin to know?

Lasly, Is any thing more cominon, than for two lawyers, or jnilges, to deduce contrary and opposite conclusions o:at of the same case in law? And why, then, may not tivo men infer distinct conl lusens from the same Iestimony? And consequent!y, may not the $J_{\text {inder }}$ and Jury honesily differ in their opinion, or result from the evidence, as well as two julges may, w!ich often happens? And shall the Jurymen, merely for this differnce of apprehension, merit fine and illoprisomenen, bocause they do that which they cannot otherwise do, preserving their oath and integrity? es. pecially when by law they are presumed to know bet$t$, $r$, and much more of the business than the judge dues, as afuresaid.

Are not all these gross contradi, ting absurdities, and unworthy, by any man that deserves agown, to be put upon the law of England; which has ever owned right reason for its parent, and dutifully submitted to be guided thereby?

Jurym. If the law, as you sing, be resson, then un. dnubtedly this practice of fiung of Juries is most illesg:ll, since there cannot be any thing more unreaonable : bul what authorities have you against it?
sarr. Fou have heardit proved to he a modern up. start ellcroachment, so you cannot expect any direct or express coudemnation of it in ancient times ; because the thing was not then set on foot. Aud, hy the way, though negative arguments are not necessarily conclusire, yet that we mert with no precedents of old of Ju. rica lised for giving their verdict contrary to evidence or the sense of the court, is a violent prestmption that it ought not to he done; for it cennot be surposed that this latter age did tirst of all discover that verdicts were many times not accordiug to the Judge's opinion and liking. Undoubtedly they saw that as well as we; but knowing the same not to be any crime, or pmisha. ble by law, were so modest and honest as not to meddia with it. However, what entertainment it hath met with, when altempted in our times, I shall shew you in iwo remarkable cases.
I. when the late Lord Chief Justice Keeling had attempted something of that kind, it was complained of, and highly resented by the then parliament, as appears by this copy of theic proceedings thereupjn, takent oat of their journal, as follows:

## Die Mercurii, 11 Decemhis, 1607.

- The house resumed the hearing of the rest of the report touching the matter of restraint upon Juries, and that upon the examination of divers witnesses in several cases of restraints put upon Juries by the Lord Chief Justice Keeling, and thercupon resolveth as followeth :
- First, That the proceedings of the said Lord Chief Justice, in the cases now reported, are innovations in the trial of men for their lives and liberties. And that
ise hath used an arbitrary and illegral power, which is of dangerous comequence to the hives and liberties of the perple of England, and tends to the introducing of an arbilrary government.
'Second'y, That in the place of judicature, the Lord Chimf Justice hath under-valund, viitiod, nud contemned magna charta, the great preserver of our lives, freedom, and property.
- Thirdly, That be be bronght to trial in order to condign punishment, in such twanner as the house shall judge most fil and requisitc.'

Die Veneris, 13 Decembris, 1607.

- Resolved, \&c.
-That the precedents and practice of fining or impri: soning of Jurors fur giving their verdicts, are ille;al.'
llere you see it branded in palliament: Next you shall soe it formally condenmed on a so!emn argument by the julges.-The case is thus.

At the sessione for Lendon, Sept 1670, Willinm Pen, and Willian head, (two of the poophe commonly called Quakers,) were indicted, 'for thet they, with others, to the unmber of three hundred, on the lith Aug. Q2 Regis, in Gracechurch.Stiedt, did with force atd a:ms, dec. untawfully and tunultuously asbemble and congregate themseives together, to the disturbince of the prace; and that the eind Willian Pen did there preach, and speak to the said Mead, and other persons, in the open street ; by reason where of a great concourse and tumult of peopl: in the street aforesaid, then and there a long time did remain and contiaue, in contempt of our said Lord the King, and of his law, to the great
disturbance of his pace, to the great terror and diluibunce of many of his lifge poopie and subjects, to the ill example of all others in the like case offendere, a.d against the peace of cur said Lord the King, his crown and Ëignity.'

The prisoners pleadiag not guilty, it wors proved, that there was a meeting at the the in the indictment mentiunel, in Gracicharcli Street, consisting of three or four humbed peopls, in the open strect-that Wiliiam Pen was spoaking, or preaching, to them; but what he suid the witnesses (who weie officers and suldiers sent to disperse them) could not hear. - This was the efiect of the evidence ; whin Sar Johm Hawel, the then recorder, as I find in the print of that trisl, was pleased to sum up to the Jury in these wo.ds.

- You have heard what the indictovent is. - It is for preaching to the people in the street, and drawing a tumiliuan company after then, and Mr. Pen was speaking. If they should not be disiunbed you see tiney Will go on. Thereare thres or four wituesses that have prowd this-:h it he did preach theie, that Mr. Mead dad allow of it. After this you have leard hy substantial witursses what is satd against them : Nuw ve ane vfon the Matter of Fact, which you aie to kebep to, and odecrie as what hath elen fully swong, at your peril.'
'Whis tial began on the Saturday ; the Jury retiriner, afler some considerable time spent in debate, came in, and gave this verdict, -r ruilty of speaking in Gracechure h-Street.' At which the court was off nted, and told them, they 'hud as good say nothing ;' adding, -- Wac it not all unlawful assenbly ?-yuc mem he was
and dijjects, to Tendere, i: g , his
proved, Uctment of three hat Wilon ; but and sut. Plis was wel, the iul, was

It is fur arring a 'en wis see tiney ath have r. Me:id inbotanre abe o refe swuis, etiriner, ane in, Graced, and ling,we ws
spaking to a inmult of people there ?' But the foreman stying, what he had delivered was all he had ia commission ; and others of them affioming, that they allowed of no such words as an 'unlawful assembly' in their verdict; they were sent tack again, and then brought in a verdict in writing subscribed with all their handt, in these words: - We, the Jurors hereafter named, do find William Pen to be guilty of speaking, or, preaching, io an asermbly met together in Gracechurch Street, the 14th of August, 1670. Aild Wim. Mead not guilty of the saidindictment.'

* This the courl resented still worse, and therefore sent them back again, and adjourned till Sunday morning ; but theu too they insisted on the same ver.lict : so the court adjourned till Monday morning; and then the Jury brought in the pisoners generally ' not guilty:' which was recorded, and allowed of. But im. medintely the court fined them forty marks a man, and to lie in prison till paia.

Being hus in custody, Edward Eushel, one of the said Jurors, on the ninth of November following, brought his Habras Corpus in the court of Common Pleas. On which the sheriffs of Leacion made returu, - That be was detained by vistue of an order of arssions, whereby a fine of forty niarks was set upon him and eleven others, partioular'y named; aud every of them being Jurors swon to try the issue $j$,ined he-

[^16]tween the king, and Pen, and Mcad, fur cettain trespasses, contempts, unlawful assemblies, and tumult, and who then, and there, dic acquit the said Pen, and Mead, of the same, against the law of this kinglom, and against full, and manifest, evidence, and aceainst the direction of the court in matter of law, of, and upon the premises openly in court to them given, and declared; and that it was ordered they should be in. prisoned till they severally paid the said $\mathrm{fn}^{n}$, which the said Bushel not having done, the same was the cause of his caption and detention.'*

The court coning to debate the validity of this return, adjudged the sama insufficient: For, I. The words, 'agrainst full, and manifist, evidence,' was tco genernl a clause : the evidence should have been futly, and particulariy, recited; else how shall the court know it was so full at:d evident they have now only the judgment of the sessions for it, that it was so : but said the Judges, 'our judgments ought to he grounded upon our own inferences, and understandings, and not upon theirs.'

If. It is not said, that they acquitted the persons indicted nainst full and manifest evidence, colrupily, and knowing the said evidence to be full and manifest. For otherwise it can be no crime ; for that may seem fu!l, and manifest, to the court, wlich does not appear so to the Jury.

1II. The other part of the return, viz: that 'the Jury had arquitted those indicted, anainst the direction of the court in matter of law.' was also adjudged to be

[^17]In trcs. umults, en, and ingdom, inst the d upon and debe in. which was the
this re-
I. The was tco een fule court ov ouly so : but ounded and not anifest. y seem ippear
$t$ 'the irection d to be
eonght, and une easonable; and the fining the Jurics for giving their verdet in any case concluded to be illegal, for the several reasone before recited, and other authorities of law urged to that purpose; and all the precedenis, and allegations, brought to justify the tinn, and commitment, solidly anewered. Wiercupon the chief justice delivered the opinion of the court, 'that the ciluse of commitment was insufficient; and accordingly the said Bughel, and other his fillow-prisoners, we:e discharged, and left to the common law for remedy and reparation of the damages, by that tortuous, illrga! imprisonment, sustained.
Which case is (amongrst others) reported loy that learn"ouge Sir Juhn Vaughan, at that time lord clief juston of the common pleas; set!ing forth all the arguments, reasons, and authoritios, on which the court proceeded the:ein : from which 1 have extracted most of thie reasons which I before recited for this point, and for the greatest part, in the very sords of that reverend author.

Jurym. This resolution hath, one would think, (as you said) innocked this ilegal practice on the bead, b.eyond any possibility of revival ; but may it not one day be denied to be law, and the contrary juaifited?

Bair No such thing can be done without apparentIy violating. and subverting, all law, justice, and modesty: for though the precedentitself be valuable, and without further enquiry is wout to be n:lowed, when given thus delibrately upon soleun debate by the whole court; yet, it is not only that, hut the sound, sulntantial, and everlasting reasons, whereon they grounded such their resolves, that will, at all times,
justify fining of Juries in such cases to be illegal. Be. sides, as the reporter was most cousiderable, both in his quality as lord chief justice, and fur his parts, soundness of judgment, and derp leaming in lav ; so such his book of reports is approved, and recommended to the world, (as appars by the page next after the episthe, by the right hunourable the present lord chancelJor of Englan's; Sir Williaia Scroges, now lord chiet justice of England; my !ord North, chief justice of the Common lleas; and, in a word, by all the judges of Engiand at the tim" of publishing thereof: so that it cannot be imagined how any bowk can chalienge greater authority, uniess we should expect it to be particolar! y confirmed by act of parliament.

- Jougin. You have answered all my scruples; and sitce 1 see the law has made so good provision for Jurymu's privil"ges and safety, God forbid any Juryman should be of so base a temper as to !etray that - Oherwise impregnable fortress, wherein the law hath placed him, to preserve and defend the just rights and liberties of his contry, by treacherously surre endering the same inno the hands of violence or oppression, thourt maskd under eper so fair stratagems and pretences. For my own part, 1 shall not now decline to appear according to my summon; and therrfore, (Ihuggti I fear I have detaned you too long already) shill desire a litle more of your direction about the ofice of a Juryman ia particular, that I uady upright$j$, and bonestly, discharge the same.

Harr. Thomgh I think, from shliat we have discours$e^{\prime}$, being digested, and improved, by your own reason, you may sufficiently inform yourselt; yet; to gratily
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your reguest, I shail add a few brief remmin, as well of whet you ought cautionsily to avoid, as what you must cilipently pursue, and regard, if jou would justly, and truly, do your duty.

First, As to what you mast apoid.
I. I ann very conflent, that you wouid not willingIf viulate the oath which you take : but it is possible that there are such, who as fircuenty break them as take then, through their careliss custom on the one laad, or shavish fear on the other; against whom $I$ would fuily cantinn you; that yon mig defend yourself, atad others, against any enemies of your country's !.$L$ rties, and happiness, and kesp a good couscience towards Gid, and towarcis man.
II. It is freque:t, that when Juries are withirawn, that they may consult of their verdict, they son forget that solemn oath they took, and that mighty charge of the life aadlibely of men, and tieir estates, whereof then they are made judses; and that, on their breath, not only the fortuacs of ti.e particular party, but pe:haps the preservation, or ruin, of several humerus tamilies does soleiy depend : now I say, without due consideraticn of all this ; nay sometimes without one sccious thought, or consu'...d reason, offered pro or con, presently the fo, eman, or one, or two, that call themselves antient Jurymen, (thuygh in truth they never knew what belongs to the $p^{\prime}$ ace more than a common ecliool buy,) rashly deliver their opiniong, and all the rest, in respect to their supposed gravity, and expe. rifnce, or bucause they have tise biggest estates, or to avoid the trouble of disputing the point, or to prevent
the spoiling of dimner by delay, or some such weighty reason, forthwith agree blindiold, or else go to holdiag np of hands, of telliug of noses, and so the major vote carries away captive both the reasm, and the conscience, of the rest: thas riding with sacred ouths, and putting inen's lives, liberties, and properties (as it were) to ithe hap-tazard of cross or pile. This pratice, or something of the like kind, is sisid to be two customary amongst some Jurors, which ocrasions such their extraordinary dispatch of the weightiest, or mest intricate, inatters; but there will come a time when they shall be callol to a severe account for their haste, and negligease; therefore have a care of such fellow jurors.
III. Such shavish fars attends many Jurors, that let but tiar court direct to find auiliy, or not guily, thongh they themseives see no just reason for is; yea, oft times though their owa opimons are contrary, and their consciences toll them it onght to go otherwise; yet, right, or wrong, accordinoly they will briag in their verdict; and, therefore, may of thom never regiod serimsly the con'se, and furcr, of the evidence; what, and how, it was delirered, more, ar lese, to prove die ind cunent, \&c. ; but as the court sams it up, they inld : is if Juries were :ppointed for no other: anpuse but to echo back, what the benth would have done. Sucha a bue temper is to be avoiled, as yon would esc pa being foresworn, even though your verdict shou'd be right : for since you do not know it s., to be, by your own judgm hit, or understunding, yon !ave abuzed your oath, and lazirdid your own scoul, as well as your neithbour's life, hberty, or property ; because jou blisully depend on the o-
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P.wob, or pernaps passion of others, when you were sworn w. Il, and truty, to try them yonrselves. Such an implicit faith is near of kin to that of Rome in religion, and, at least, in the next degree, as dimgrous.*
IV. There are some that inake a trad of being \{urymen; that seck for the office; use me:urs to be coimstantly continued in it ; will not give a disobliginer verdict, le;t th y should be discharged, and serve fo more : these standing Jurors have certainly sume ill game to play. Thise ure ollers that hape to signal ze themstiv's, to guta better trade, or some preferment hy s"rving a turn. 'ilbre are others that have particular piques, a:d a humotir of revenge ag.inst such, or such, patien ; if, man he hut mi-called by some odions name, or said to the of an exploded faction; straight they cry, bang lim, find limguilty, t:o punishment can be too bad tor such a fellow; in such a case they think it me. ria to stretchain pevidence on the tenter-books, and stram a ${ }^{\text {mint }}$, flaw, hecanse they fancy it makes for the iaterest of the government; as if injustice or oppression couldinany case be for the trac interest of govemment, when in truth mothing more weakens or destroys it.but this was an oid strat agem, 'ifthou suffer this man to esrape, thus shail not be Cæzar's friend :' when Cesar

[^18]was so far fron either needing, or thanking thein for. any such base services, thint, had he but truly understood them, be would zeveroly have punished their partiality and tyranny.

All these, and the like, pestilnt biasseg, are to be avoided, and abominated, by every honest Juryman.

But dow as to the positive qualifications requisite.
I. You that are Jurymen, shoyld first of all, seri--usly regard the weight, and importance, of the office; your own soule, jther men's lives, liberlies, estates, all that in this world are dear to them, are at stake, and in your hands ; therefore consider these thinge well be. fere-hand, and come substanially furnished, and proTided, with sound, and we'l grounded, cousciences, with clear minds, free from malice, fear, lope or favour; lesi, instead of julging ohlers, thou shouidat work thy own condemnation arid stand in the sight of God, the Creater aind Judre of all m^n, no botter thana murderer, or perju ed milefictor.

1I. Observe well tho record, indictinent, e. nimation that is read, and the several parts thereof both as to the matter, maner, and forn.
III. Take dive notice of, and pay regard to, the evidence offered for proof of the iidictinent, and each part of it, as well to manner, and form, as to matter; and if you suspect any subornation, foul practice, or tampering hath been with the wilicases, or that they have any malice, or sinister design ; have a specinl regaid to the circuinstances, or incoherences, of their tales, and enilenvour, by apt questions, to sift out the truth, or discover thin villaing. eAid, for your better
liein tor. y under. ed their
re to be uryman. suisite. all, seriie office ; Tates, all ake, and well be. and pro. iences, or favour; work thy Goid, the na mur-
里
c. .an ui- eof buth the erind each matter; clice, or hat they ecinl reof their out the r better
eatisuclizn, endearour to write diven the evidence, or the heade ihereof, that you inay tha better recail it to manory.
IV. Tike notice of the nature of the crime cbarget, und what law the grosecution ingrinnded npon, and distin. gilshtionupposed crimpal fact, whiclis proved, from The aggravating circumsances, which are not proved.
V. Remenber that in Juries there is uo pluratity of voices te be allowed a sevell canuot over-rule, or, $3 y$ virtue ol inajority, conclude five s 110 , har cli sen one. But as the verdich is given in the name of all the (welve, or else fis void; so every one ofthen minat be actially agreeling, aid satisf od in his paricular understindins, ant consciance, of the truht, and rigliteous. ness, of such w.rict, or elue he is lor sporn. And, ther fore, ir one matidifer in opinion from his fellows. they must be kepr together, till eithor ihy, by streight of reason, or argunelt, can atialy him, or he conviace Then. Forte is ngt to lse hectored, minh less pumished, by the cont into a compliance : for the Lind Cbiof Justice Vauglan asys well, * "If a man iffer in juig. ment from his fellows, whereby they are teptaday aid a nisht, thingh lis disent may not in truth be so rea. somable as the cpimon of the reat that agree; yet if is jutgnent bugo satistied, one diogreeior, can be no more crianina, than fuer, or five disagraeing with he, rest." Upon which occosion the shid auth r recites a reinarkible case out of on accient thav bous " A J!Lae yould not aree with his fell ws for wa dye, gid heing demanded by the judges, il he woud neree, sidu 4. would first die in prisult i whereupen fie ivas cons:
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mitted, and the verdict taken; but upon better adrice, the verdict of the eleven was quashed, and the Juror discharged withont fine ; and the justiceb said ' the way was to carry them in carts" (this is :o be understood at assizes, where the judge raunot stay, but must remove is sucha time into another county) until they agreed, and nit by fining them. And as the julges erred in taking the rerdict of eleven, so they did in imprisoning the twelfth." And therefore, you see, on second thoughts, released him.
VI. Endeavour, as much as your circumstances will jermil, at your spare hours to read, and understand, the fundamental laws of the country; such as Magna Charta, the l'etition of Right, the late excell, at act tor Habeas Corpus's, Horne's Mirror of Justices, Sir Edw. Coke, in his 2J, 3d, and sth parts of the Institutes of the Law of England, and Judge Vaughan's Reports.These are books frequent to be had, and of excellent use to inform any reader, of competent apprehension, of the true liberties and privileges, which every Englishuman is justly entitled unto, and estated in, hy his birthright; as also the nature of cimes and the punishnents severnl'y, and reapectively, inflicted on them by law; the office, and duties, of Judges, Jurirs, and all officers, and ministers of justice, \&c. which are highly necessary for every Juryman, in some competent measure, to know: for the law of Exgland hath not Haced trials by Juries, to stand between ment, and death (ir destrution, to so litte purpose, ns to pronounce men guilly, without regard to the nature of the offence, or to what is to be inflicted therenpon.

For want of duly understanding, and considering, these thinge, Jurics, many times: p'unge themselves

Irice, Juror eway od at move reed, ed in oning cond
swill tand, lagna ct for Edw.
tes of ts.一 llent sion, - Eny his pu. hem and igh. :ent not eath nce ce,
into lumentable perplexities; as it befel the Jurg who were the triers of Mr. Udal, a minister, who in the 32d year of Queen Elizabeth, was inwicted, and arraigned, at Croydon in Surry,* for high-treasun, for detaming the Queen, and her government, in a certain book an-titled, 'A Demonstration of the Discipline, \&e' And though there was no direct, but a scambling haidow of proof ; and though the liook, duly considered, containrd no mitter of treison, but certain words which by a furced construction were laid to teud to the defamation of the goverument, and so the thing [wasj prosecuted under that name; yet the Jury, not thinking that in pronouncing him guilly, they had upon their nath pronounced himg guilty of treason, and in die as a traitor; but suppoing that they had only declared him guilly of making the book; hereuper: they bronght lisn in guilty : but when, after the Judge's sentence of death against him, which they never in the least intended, they found what thiry had dune; they were conformded in themselves, and woml have done ary thing in the world to have revoked that unwary pernicious verdict, when, alas! it was ino fate. Dr. Fuller has this witty note on this gentleman's conviction, 'that it was conceived rigorous in the greatost, which at hest' (:aith lir)'is crupl in the least degree.' And it seems so Queen Elizabeth thourht it, for she suspended exccution, and he died naturally. But his story survives, to warnall succecking Jurymen to endeavour belterio understand what it is they do, and whal the consequences therrol will he.
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[^0]:    * Uur ambor in his fith: pinge, told as, that he intenced to point nul, wilh respect lojuries, hot their Antiquily; 2d their Excetlent Designed Use ; $\mathbf{3 d}$. Ihair Offee and Just Privileges. In answay to the Jaryman's gnestion, and in compliance with his own pro. mise, be is now going to treat of the Antiguily of Juries.

[^1]:    * La'nb, p. 215. Coke, lst Part, Institutes, ful. 155.
    $\dagger$ See Speiman's Clossar. in the word Jurata. B 3

[^2]:    * See Sir Rich. Baker's Chron, p. 251, priated in 1674. +4 part Institut. fol. 41
    $\ddagger$ The Juryman bavi:og been instructed in the antiquity of Juries, is now golng to entuire wherein their advantage consists. The Barrister accordingly shewa the benefis which may arise from them. Thus the antion perfurus the second yart of what be proposed in the title page.

[^3]:    *See all this excellently made out, nod more nt lirese, hy tha L. C. J. Furtescue, aften wards chancellor lu h. lien. WI in his Book De laudibus Legnin Anglice caf. 26, :7, 88, 2.

[^4]:    1 It may be of inportance to add one observalion here :Though a phatianseot, to supply the necessities and purposes of an ahandonell adnuinistration, should ofpress us with inxes, white the conscitution remains, in other respects, miniolated, the contianance of Jurios in their legal force will sccure our repulations, our personal libertics, uur limbs, and our lives.
    $\ddagger$ The author now proceeds to the execution of the third, and last gart of his proposed plata.

    I See Colie, Sth jart of Instit. fol, 84.

[^5]:    * Vaiencen's Repurts in Bushell's case: fol 111 .

[^6]:    * Before the procead diante arose, an abine writer bis our own
     simic's fommonaries, vol 1.p.3. vol. Ill p. 37\%, 378 prarticulaply wal. IN. 1. 5 ind, e55. 4hed.

    Font mily ine expross usertion of lawyers-and hie practice of the eourts, prove. that Jorios are antherized to detromice the lan, sofar as it relates to the fart; hat, in the thind phice, the nouds, in which vardicis mast lie given, induritu, that thry have this power If Suries had hern npmented to judge of farls muly, hie wuds 'dane'er' iont dane.' or words of a like import, whild lave seen substituted for lie words 'fuilty,' or 'not guily.' Fowrear, a our ancestres linve phaced it in their aption to deformine the luw, su far an it is comented with the fact: the late Finge of their verdicte comprohends, when necessary, theit senisionts upon both. If any action is sain to be comianal, it is newessery to dotermine wheher the ection happoed:-Su that when a Jury declares that a man is gally, the linct is implied; becanse they eamot affix guilt, where biom is mo fact. When a lury dechares a mun mot guilty. the determination of tie fact is left masertain; hecanse it is muecrssary ; for the law concerns itreif with actions, only so far as they are criminal.
    $\ddagger$ From the ductrine, that Juries, in the case of hilipla, are not judges of law, as well as fact, necessarily fows the foltan ing absurinty; vize that it is the duty of Juris to dechare meli guilty, or thit cuily, in whom they perevise neidur guill, or innocence. --A - Asain: If, hecanse a circomstance is established as a foct: it is to be reputed as a crime, every incident which hapens, is a crime. Now, if printins and publishing ondy be eriminal, it is - Cinal to print und proligh the Book of Common Prayer, ard the Bible.

[^7]:    It is hard to say, on what priaciples this right of Juries can ho disputed. "If Jurymen, hecanse not bred to the law, are suppused insapahle of knowing what is, or what is aot, law ; it folJuws that none but lawyers can jnstly be punished for a breach of the law: for, surely, that man is rather unforthnate, Phan faulty, who ignorminly transgresses the luw."-hesides, if it is wise to vest the determination of law, where it concerns facts, In the Jury, when any civil or criminal suit is in pustion ; certainly it is wise to entrust the lury with the same power, in all suits, which particularly cunceru the state: brause, in such suits, the determinatiou is niways of more conseqnence, ałt judges are more likely to be nuder an inhience, which is ingurious to the rights of the people.

[^8]:    * Is mot his exhelly simitar to a tate reruice givon ia the case of the King against Woorljall?

[^9]:    * Of their vesdict, this is meant.

[^10]:    * If lia reader is desirons of seing the many milapyy consc. quences. :o which surh a depeadence would aboject us, het him read the second pustserip to the letter bin Almond, in mutter of libel. There are many observations in that publication, which give grat contimation to the doctrines here laid down, and shew the writer to have haun jossossed of exceedingly gicat abilitics, jud; ment, and learnins.

[^11]:    * Pisols.

[^12]:    + See aisu Latiaures Thind Scrinon.

[^13]:    
    
    
     msuar have reasin to be comsincen that those made in vur smes are not catrely widn-ut fomadation.

[^14]:    ${ }^{*}$ Lord chief justice Vaughan, in Lis Reports, fol. 146.

[^15]:    * Vaughan's Reports, fol, 152.

[^16]:    * Nore - Thonglt thi, Jiry, fur ther excrill-ill example of cone rage, and constancy, deserve the commendation of evpiy good Inglishman; yel, if they had been better advised, they might have brought ihe privinets in not guilty at first, and saved ihemselves the troutile, and inconvenienvies of these two nights restraint. See State litiais, vol. If. p. 606, ill ful. Yide note" to p. 16. E 3

[^17]:    *See Bushel's Case in Vaughan's Meports at large.

[^18]:    * Though the Judyra are tikely to he more ubie thon Jurymen, yet Joymell are likely th he mive homest than Judges; esperinal. ly in al! canes where the power of the prenthative, or the riphts oi the people, are in dispute. Ourithts, lberfore, bulinas in. dividuals, and as a people, are more likely to be secure, while Suries follaw the result of their nwn opinion: for less danger will arise irom tise initakes of Jorymen, than from the corrup. tion al Judres. Besides, improper verdicts will but seldon ucrur; since Jurips will avail lhemelves of the abilities. and learning, of the Judges, hy consnlting them upon all points of linw ; and thus to the advantage of information, may add their owa impartiality.

[^19]:    ${ }^{*}$ Rep fol 151.

[^20]:    *Sco State Trials, ful. vol. I. p. 161.

