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## $\mathbb{E X A M I N A T I O N}$

PERHAPS it would be impoffible to point out, upon the face of the whole earth, a country which unites in itfelf more of thofe circumftances which conftitute human happinefs than Nowa-Scotia. It has rcceived from - Providence"a healthy, invigorating climate; equally removed from the torpid feverity of higher latitudes, and the peftilential atmofpheres of the fouth:-a foil, in many parts, cxuberantly fertile ; in all, producing in abundance, every article of ufeful confuraption ;a fituation admirably adapted to commerce, innumerable harbours, and a fillery carable of fupplying the whole world. Neither are the fe natural advantages counteracted by any moral evils, either religions or political. It enjors a free conftitution, whilft, at the fame time, it receives the protection of a mighty empire, of which it forms a conftituent paft without fharing its burthens.-Infead of paying the fleets
and armics, by which it is defended, they are a fource of confiderable wealth to the community; the executive government is another channel through which it derives a revenue, and the trade of the colony is vivified by the capitals of the mother country.-In religion it is equally happy. The Church of England, which is the religion of the Britifh Government, and of an immenfe majority of Britifh fubjects, is the Church by law eftablifhed, by the free confent of the Province, expreffed in the act of its legiflature, in its firt general affembly *. And every other perfuafion is allowed free liberty of confcience, and the uninterrupted exercife of public worfhip, according to their feveral opinions.

This excellent fyftem of toleration was admirably feconded by the liberality of mind, and the good fenfe of the inhabitants. The moft perfect harmony prevailed among the members of the different congregations. No man condemned, or thought ill of his neighbour for his religious opinions. The golden age of the Prophet feemed to be realized; the wolf dwelt in concord with the lamb, and the leopard lay down in friendly fociety with the kid.

Every attempt to difturb this univerfal tranquility, to fow the feeds of difcord, to diffolve the bonds of charity, and to fet man againft his fellow for a mere difference of fentiment in religious matters, deferves the execration of

[^0]every
they are commúanother revenue, 1 by the ligion it England, GovernBritifh Ched, by effed in teral afn is ale unincording
vas adnd, and re moft memJo man our for of the wolf he leohe kid. 1 traniffolve Igainst rent in ion of Gcorge every
every one who boafts of the name of a Chriftian. Since fuch is the imperfect fate of human nature, fo various the impreffions produced by the fame object upon different minds, that fearcely two men are of the fame way of thinking upon even the moft common topics of life, how is it to be expected of the more important? Divifions muft prevail as long as man is man. All that human wifdom can do is to render them harmlefs.

Very different is the tendency of a Letter of Influctions to the Catholic Missionaries, lately printed, and circulated, with great induftry, throughout this Province. The profeffed objects of this Letter are extremely lat: dable; to enforce obedience to the higher powers, to teach the lawfulnefs of the oath of allegiance, to warn people agaimt the emiffaries of difcord, and to fhew them the wickednefs of oaths taken in fupport of illegal combinations. But, unfortunately, thefe fulbjects occupy the fmalleft face of this publicationthe greater part of it is filled with doctrines of a very adverfe complexion; with plaufible mifreprefentations of the tenets of the Romifh Church, and a revival of its haughty pretenfions; with virulent invectives againft all Proteftant minifters of every denomination, and with democratical principles, fupported by affumptions unfounded in truth.

This Letter was totally without juft caufe, or provocation. The Catholics were in the quiet poffefion, and the undifturbed enjoy-
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ment of their rites, and their prejudices. No previous difcuffion had attacked their principles, or irritated their feelings. The Bi/hop of Nova-Scotiu's Charge was not printed till the laft fheet of Mr. Burke's book was in the prefs. With relject to the Poffecript, which was written in anfiver to the Charge, in which he treats a refpectable Prelate of the eftablifhed Church with very indecent and improper language ; 1 fhall leave it to the general opinion; and I believe there is but one upon the fulject. The common fenfe of mankind has long fince decided, that feurrility is the ftrongent proof of the wraknefs of a caufe, and that it demonftrates nothing but the illiberality, and the malevolence, of the perforn, who defcends folow as to employ it.

If there is any evil in there Inftructions it is likely to become very extenfive, for no lefs than a thoufand copies have been printed, and diftributed. And they have been ufhered into the world by a perfor who calls himielf, by what authority I know not, the Vicur-General, and under the pretended faction of the Catholic Bi/hop of 2ucbec. Should any wellmeaning people have been mifled by outward appearances, it is the more neceflary that they fhould be undeceived, and the real drift of the Letter pointed out to them. Under fuch circumftances, filence would be a defertion of duty.

I projofe to confuder Mr. Burlic's Letter: mander three points of view.-Fir/l, His obfer-
s. No princiBi/hop ted till sin the which ge, in of the nt and the geut one fmanility is caufc, : illibent, who ions it no lefs d, and :d into lf, by neral, e Cal-welltward $t$ they of the h ciron of

Letter: obferations
enjoy the advantages of a fociety without per-
tim forming the cluties which its laws exact.

But though he agrees generally to the propriety of this oath, he finds great fault with moft of the claufes in it ; which he fays, "are " mortifying to the Catholics, by obliging them " to difelaim certain opinions, there jpecified, "which their anceftors are fuppofed to have " entertained, and which fuppofition is falle " and groundlets." For thete " calumnious " mifieprefentations" he affigns a reafon, "6 that the legiflature was compofed of men "who knew Catholies only by vague report; ": und law their principles disfigured in flying " fheets and pamphlets; or as they were. " painted in times of general commotion, and "great irritation, by men interefted in fup "preffing Catholicity through political views; " or from the afperfions of fome clergymen, "who had abjured the Catholic communion." Whether the laws againit Papifts were of too fanguinary a nature, I thall not enquire, but. I believe our anceftors, who introduced thore claufes into the oath, had good reafon for that precaution; and were certainly full as well, acquainted with the Catholic religion as we canbe. They had feen it in cevery pofible: fituation. "Fill the reign of IIemry the VIII. it was the entablifhed church. At the reformationit was in a militant fate, and in the difputations which took place, the minuteft articles were thoroughl: examined, difeufied. and proned to the bottom. In enten Jary's.
time, they had feen it triumphant, and perfe-. cuting; and, during the following century, reftlefs and plotting. At the Revolution, when the oaths of allegiance and fupremacy -were fettled nearly in their prefent form, as prefcribed by the legiflature of this province, they were fill fimarting under the tyranny of Popery, again victorious, and again trampling upon every obligation, human and divine. What inferences were to be drawn from them, or whether the means to counteract them were prudent, or juft, is another queftion; but no man can doubt but that the principles, and doctrines, of the Romifh Church were moft perfectly and accurately known.

That the Catholics now renounce thefe doctrines I am happy to find. But when they. make this avowal, would it not have been full as judicious, not to have denied, in fo unqualified a manner, that they had ever believed them! Becaule if this can be proved to be an untrue affertion, does it not very, much weaken their credit upou other points, and render even their fincerioy icry liable to be diftrufted? -It is worth while, therefore, to affertain whether they ever held fach doctrines, or not, if for no other reatons, yet, fince Mr. Burlic has mantained the negative, his acrucity, at leaft, is implicated in the queftion.

The dectrines adverted to are theie: $\qquad$ That if the dovereign of a country happens to be a proteftant, or as they call him a heretic, wo Cathelies are bound to obey hin, and that they
they may even depofe, or murder him ; efpecially if he has been excommunicated by the Pope. That, in their opinion, fo imprefcriptible is this facred right of infurrection, that no oaths, or compacts can fupercede it. That all heretics that is proteftants, may be murdered and deftroyed; and that no faith is to be kept with them. And, laftly, that the Romirh Church can difpenfe with oaths, and abfolve its members from any declarations to the contrary.
Such are the opinions which the oath of allegiance imputes to the Catholics-and indeed it is impoffible to conceive maxims more diabolical. They frike at the very root of all civil fociety. Is it poffible that they can be the doctrines of Chriftianity? of that mild and amiable religion, whofe firft precept is univerfal love and charity, forgivenefs of enemies, and fubmiffion to iujuries? of the meek and benevolent Jefus, who refufed to call down fire from heaven upon thofe who oppofed his golpel and infulted God himfelf in his perfon? yet they were inconteftibly the doctrines of the Romifh Church.

This pofition I thall prove not from " lying " pamphlets, the productions of ignorance, " malevolence, and fanatical phrenzy," but by an authority, which Mr. Burke himfelf will fearcely be inclined to difpute, that of the Pope himfelf: From the canon law, a code which was compiled from the decrees of councils, the opinions of the fathers, and the decretal epirtles
mm ; efpeed by the aprefcripa, that no That all murdered , be kept Romish d absolve the con-
oath of -and inms more ot of all an be the sild and univerenemies, eek and li down offed his perron? is of the " lying trance, but by If will he Pope which cis, the al epicties
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held wnfit, and incapable of holding the fame*.

All heretics or proteftants were excommunicated by one general decree of Pope Innocent the Third.

We excommunicate and anathematize every herefy againft the holy, orthodox, and catholic faith. Condemning all heretics under whatever names they may be diftinguifhed, and who indeed, though they have different faces, have their tails all tied together, fince they agree in vanity $\dagger$.

Many other paffages might be adduced to the fame effect; befides innumerable quotations from Popifh writers of great eminence, by whom the doctrine is afferted and defended. Nor has it been a mere fpeculative principle confined to books; hiftory affords many well known examples of the depofition of royal heretics, which are celebrated with exultation by the Romifh Church. Mr. Burke fays, that " in the great perfecutions of Nero, " and even of Julian the apoftate, if no refift-

[^1]
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ng the
:ommue Innoe every catholic r whated, and It faces, ce they
" ance was made by that incalculable number " of Chriftians who were facrificed to the fury " of thofe tyrants, it was not for want of "power or means." Cardinal Bellarmine, one of the moft fplendid luminaries of that communion, entertains an opinion directly contrary. "If the Chriftians did not depofe Nero, " or Julian, it was only for want of temporal "power *." Who fhall decide when Doctors difagree?

There doctrines were more diftinetly and exprefsly declared $r=$ the council of Trent, which fettled finally nie Roman Faith, and to which the oath of allegiance moft particularly refers. I have not the canons of that council to produce, but I believe what I have already fated is fufficient to convince the reader that it was not through calumny, or ignorance, that rhe Papifts were charged with maintaining that Princes might be clepofed or murdered by the authority of the See of Rome. How far the decrees of the Popes are binding upon Roman Catholics, may be feen in the words of the Creed of Pius the Fourth, which is the ftandard of their religion, and contains the faith which is profeffed by every perfon who embraces it. "I do promife and fwear true " obedience to the Bifhop of Rome."

The next affertions which I fhall confider are thefe, "The pofition that 'tis lawful to " murder or deftroy heretics is no part of the

[^2]
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" Catholic doctrine; and the invectives againft " the Council of Conftance, as if it had put "John Mufs, and Jerome of Prague to death "for herefy, are idle tales." One is really at a lofs which moft to admire, the reprefentations in point of doctrine or of hiftory. Is there any fact better known or attefted, than that the Romifh Church in all ages, when it had the power, has never ceafed to perfecute heretics? Is mankind in its dotage, and has loft all memory of paft events, that fuch fictions are to be impofed upon it? Is the inquifition totally unknown which was inftituted whenever the Church had influence enough, and which the Pope endearoured to eftablith in every country in Europe, fo late as the fixteenth century, for the very purpofe of imprifoning, torturing, and deftroying heretics? Have we to foon forgot Crammer, Ridley, and Latimer, and thofe other Proteftant martyrs who were the victims of Popery in the fhort reign of 2 ucen Mary ?

The moft flender acquaintance with hitory is fufficient to confute thofe mifreprefentations refpecting $J_{o} / m I_{i} / f$, the celebrated champion of Proteftantifm. Nor is it difficult to fee the reafons for this vehemence againft him. 'That Hulfs dechamed, as many other eminent men of that time did, againt the vices of the Romith Clergy, and endeavoured to withdraw his country from blind fubmifion to the Papal power, that he met with great oppolition from the Romifh ecclefiaftics, and that great difturbances were occafoned by their violence, is
res againf had put e to death really at a fentations there any 1 that the t had the heretics? of all mens are to on totally never the which the y country ntury, for ring, and on forgot hofe other ictims of Mary?
th hintory fentations thampion to fee the n. 'That ant men the Roxithdraw he Papal ion from at difturence, is certa!!
certain; but I am yet to learn that $H u / s$ was guilty of fedition, or that he denied, as Mr . Burke informs us, the authority of the civil magiftrate. On the other hand it is related - that he had obtained extraordinary credit at the court of his fovereign, and had intereft with him fufficient to procure an edict in his favour, refpecting fome points in difpute with other divines. A joke of the King of Bohemia, fhews, at leaft, that he was not in ill humour with the reformer.- $H u / s$, it feems, had been obliged to follow the example of his adverfaries, in furthering his fuit by repeated prefents, as was ufual in thofe days. Upon one of thefe occafions the King is reported to have faid with a laugh, that he had got a good goofe (IIu/s in their language fignifies a goofe) which laid every day golden and filver eggs.

As to the crime, real or fuppofed, for which Hufs fuffered, hiftorians inform us, that by the artifices and bribes of his enemies the Romifh Clergy, he was declared an heretic by the Council of Conftance, compofed of ecclefiaftics, becaufe he refufed to obey their order, which commanded him to plead guitty of herefy, againft the dictates of his own confcience; and for herefy, by a fcandalous breach of public faith which had been given for his fecurity, he was brought to the ftake. This Proteftant martyr to the refentment of the Popith Clergy, endured his dreadful punifhment with unparalleled magnanimity and refignation. expreffing, in his laft moments, the nobleft feel-
ings of his love to God, and the moft triumphant hope of the accomplifhment of the promifes which arm the true Chriftian at the approach of death. Sentiments very different from thofe of a feditious malefactor.

It is a grofs evafion, by no means new, to endeavour to throw off the odium of fuch cruel ties upon the Emperor. For the ecclefiatics pronounced the guilt though they always tranfferred the punifhment of the offender to the fecular power. A right, indeed, of inflicting fome punifhments upon heretics, they always claimed, and exercifed themfelves *. To have claimed the right of life and death would have been going farther than perhaps fovereigns would have been inclined to admit. To avoid the jealoufy of Pronces, and, at the fame time, to maintain the hypocritical affectation of mercy in the midft of perfecutions, they devolved capital punifhments upon the civil Government. The canons againft heretics, after going the full length of ecclefiaftical cenfures, penauces, deprivations, difabilities, depolitions, confifations, and imprifonments, in themfelves a fufficiently formicable lift of evils, deliver them over to the fecular arm to inflift due punifhment + . But the fecular power, that is the fovereign of the country, was bound by another canon to extirpate all perfons whom the Church fhould pronounce to be heretics. If he div not extirpate them, the pope abfolved

[^3] - Sent. Ser retal. Lib. V. Tit. S. Cap. 2.
riumphant e promifes e approach rent from
is new, to fuch cruel cclefiaftics vays tranfider to the inflicting rey always To have ould have fovereigns To avoid ame time, Gation of hey devolcivil Goetics, after 1 cenfures, epofitions, hemfelves ls, deliver inflict due er, that is bound by ns whom e heretics. e abfolved
a public department in England, particularly connected with perfons of the Jewifh Nation. A few years fince, a refpectable man and his wife of that perfuafion came to the office upon fome bufinefs. Whiltt they were waiting, they began converfing with each other in the Spanith language, about their private affairs. The gentieman, with that honourable delicacy which marks the Britifh Character, gave them a polite hint not to mention any thing which they did not wifh him to hear, as he was well acquainted with the language of Spain, having been many years a refident in that country. 'The Jews proved to be natives of it, and this circumftance led to a converfation upon various fubjects, in the courfe of which the gentleman was induced to lament the hardihips which perfons of their perfualion endured from the cruelty of the Inquifition. As an inftance, he mentioned having feen a young Jewifh ginl, not more then fixteen years of age, burned alive at one of the Auto de Fes.-The woman, infantly, in the moft dreadful agitation, ficreamed out in accents of horror impoffible to defcribe, " that it was her daughter Leah." and " that it was upon this occafion that the " family had quitted Spain." She immediately fank fenfelefs into her hunbands arms, and when a little recovered, was conveyed away in a fate little fhort of diftraction, from this accidental revival of the acutenefs of her former feelings. Leah, it appeared, was young, beautiful, amiable, and virtuous; modeft in
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articularly h Nation. in and his office upon iting, they the Spaairs. The delicacy rave them ng which was well n , having country. and this upon vathe genhardfhips rred from inftance, Jewif age, burThe woagitation, npoffible r L.eah." that the nediately ms , and ed away rom this her fors young, odeft in her
her deportment, affectionate to her parents, beloved by her acquaintance, and punctual in the performance of her religious duties. But that religion was, unfortunately, not the religion of the Romifh Church, and fhe fell a facrifice under the inexorable fentence of the Inquifition, which condemns all Jews, heretics and fchifmatics to the flames.

Another claufe of the Oath of Allegiance, which requires the takers to difclaim the pofition that no faith is to be kept with heretics or Proteftants, next comes under Mr. Burke's cenfure. He affures us that, "No Catholic " ever believed it. Catholics know, and be" lieve that all kinds of deceit and duplicity are " effentially bad, forbidden by the divine and " natural law, of courfe, that there is no power " on earth which can authorize them in any " cafe.

I have already fhewn that it was at leaft, the Catholic Doctrine that no faith is to be kept with Heretics, or Proteftants, in the moft important of all obligations, that of allegiance to the fovercign. With refpect to deceit, and duplicity in general, let us again hear the Pope.

THE DECRETUM. CHAP. TIIE XXI*.
"Simulation is uficiul, and is occolionally to "be affiemed." This he proves by many ex-

[^4]amples in fripture, one of them is a little extraordinary. "Nor is it ftrange that good " men thould fometimes diffemble for the fal" vation of themfelves and others, fince our "Lord himfelf, who had no fin, or flefh which "was capable of fin, affumed the falfic appear" ance of finful flefh."-What is to be underftood by fimulation and diffembling is not left to conjecture ; for it is immediately explained in thele words," Behold what Lies are venial " and what are damnable."

Every man who knows any thing of the Romifh Religion muft be fatisfied that it is the firm perfuation of its votaries, that if falfehood is ever juftifiable, and is for "the falva" tion of themfelves and others," it is wherever the glory and the intereft of that Church are :oncerned. This is evident from what I am
titled
tanet
sial p
little exhat good or the falfince our efh which le appearbe unders not left explained tre venial that it is t if falfeie falvawherever urch are hat I am res a delain and t mental the taabfolved any aucording on upon. ys, " be dan ac. ation to. pe neive, any atholics ic. That

That the Pope has actually granted a general previous difpenfation from the Oath of Allegiance when taken to Heretical or Proteftant Sovereigns, has already been fhewn. I thall now produce a fill more general previous difpenfation, from Pope Innocent the Thirt, who has decreed, "That all oaths which are con-. "trary to the advantage of the Romifh "Church, are to be confidered rather as perju-. " ries than oaths *." As it remains with the. Romifh Church itfelf to determine and define what is for its own utility, it is evident that. this difpenfation may be at pleafure extended. in its application to every oath which the various occafions of civil fociety may exact. In. fhort, that Catholics, may break through any, oath whatever, whenever the intereft of the. Komifh Church requires it.

I have now gone through all Mr. Burke's. objections to the Oath of Allegiance, and, I truft, have fully eftablifhed my pofition, that. the doctrines there attributed to the Catholics were not calumnious fictions, and that the legiflature did not require them to be renounced without very fufficient reafons.

That the Catholics of this Province, in taking the Oath, have fincerely difclaimed thefe doctrines, I hope and believe. I am far from attributing fuch maxims to them. I mean only to confute Mr. Burke's affertion that they

[^5]never made a part of the Romifh Religion.Yet one may be permitted humbly to afk, if they were once declared to be Orthodox and Catholic by an infallible and unchangeable church, by what means have they ceafed to be fo? If they were held to be true docttrines two centuries ago, they muft be equally believed to be fo now, for time alone can produce no effect upon fuch general principles, and the unity of faith, which is faid to be "the diftinguirhing "character of the Catholic Church," muft extend to every period of its exiftence, as well as to every member of its communion, or it muft be a vain pretence. For if a general principle, which was pronounced to be true a hundred years ago, is now, by the fame authority, declared to be falfe, there is an end both of the infallibility, and of the unity, of the Catholic Church. At one of thofe periods it muft have been in an error, and, in both, divided againft itfelf. If thefe principles are only difpenfed with, or the execution fufpended, the fame authority may again revive them. Iuftead of denying the exiftence of fuch doctrines, Mr. Burke would have done more fervice to his communion, and would have performed a talk more fatisfactory to all His Majefty's loyal fubjects, if he hadi refolved thefe doubts, which murt naturally occur to every perfon whe at oill confiders the matter.
II. The

## II.

The next fubjects for obfervation are Mr. Burke's principles of temporal authority, or civil government.

It is fomewhat inconceivable, that, in a publication which profeffes to be written to enforce obedience to the conftituted powers, fo many pages fhould be occupied in teaching, and proving thofe very doctrines of the rights of the people, which have been the origin, and foundation, of all the revolutions of modern times.

Let us fee thefe principles in his own words. "The different forms of Government depend "upon the reills and confent of the people; " hence it follows, that though all power in " political Governments is from God; tis by " the miniftry of the people that God commu" nicates it. This therefore is placed by God " himfelf in the great body of the people." He "afterwards fpeaks more plainly, and calls it, "the right of the people to appoint their "King, and to correct all abufes in govern" ment."

Thefe maxims he proceeds to fuppoit by the fcriptures, and by the authority of eminent Britifh Lawyers.
"The principle," he fays, "is proved by the " hiftory of Saul and David. If ever Frinces " were of divine inftitution immediately Saul " and David were of the number, yet both the " one and the other were invefted with regal " authority by the people. Saul; tho' anointed
" by the prophet poffefied no authority till " clected by the people, and 'tho' that elction " by ballot was directed by providence; twas " not the leffs frec; nor the lef's depcudent on the " will of the people which is fo true that be" caule fome of the people expreffed their diffent, "Saul's authority was not confirmed till fome " time after.-So David neither poffeffed nor " pretended to any regal authority; till the rnen " of Juda came and anointed him to reign " over Judah, nor the other tribes till after the " death of $I / h b o / / \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{h}$. The writer remarks that " he reigned feven years, and fix months " over Jucdah, and thirty three-years over "Judah and I/ract; as if he had faid in the "mont exprefs terms, that he rells King by "the appointment of the people, and from the " time of that appointment, not before."

Now is there any one fact more plainly recorded in the feriptures, than that both Sual and David were immediately appointed by God? Mr. Burke, indeed, in fome meafure acknowledges.it. But let us attend more particularly to the hiftory itfelf ${ }^{\text {w }}$. The Jeies had been governed by Judses, under the direct guidance of God himfelf, for a period of about four hundred years. When Sament was grown old, difguited by the ill condat? his fons, the Ifraelites became defirous of having a King like other nations. But, under the fe provoking circumftances, the iniquity and injuftice of their Governors, and wilhing fo earneftly * 1 Sam, Ch. x, xi, xii. ctc.
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for a change in the form of Government, did they proceed to rnake a revolution, and to clect a King? No, the feripture on the contrary informs us that they came to Samuel, and requefted him, as the prophet of God, to make them a King, or to give them a King to judge them. So little does the fcripture fuppofe that they had a free right to alter the form of their Gocorment of their own accord, that ceven their zei/h to utter it, through the intervention of the prophet, was highly difpleafing to God, and was confidered as an act of rebellion againft him*. God however at laft confents to their requeft ; and directs the prophet to appoist a Sovereign. "And the Lord faid to "Sumucl, hearken unto their voice, and mukic "them a King." In confequence God fends Saul to the prophet, and directs him to anoint Saul to be King over his peopie I/rael. Then Samuel took a vial of oil, and poured it upon his head, and kiffed him, and faid, is it not becaufe the Lord hath anointed thee to be captain over his inheritance? whether lie manifeted this his appointment to the people by lots, or any other manner is immaterial. 'That Cod appointed him of his own free choice is very claar, for when Siul appeared, "Sumucl faid " to all the people, fee yc him whom the Lord, " (not the people) hath chofen, and all the " people fhouted and taid, God fave the King." Some of the people indeed, as Mr. Burke fays, "expreffed their diffent," but the ferip-

ture has likewife expreffed its opinion of them, by calling them Children of Belial, or the Devil *. Saul however took upon him the Government, and led his fubjects to victory. After this Samuel propofed to the people, "Come " let us go to Gilgal, and renew the kingdom " there." And all the people went to Gilgal, and there made Saul King. Upon this occafion, Samuel, in God's name, tells all Ifrael, "Behold I have hearkened unto your voice, "and have made a li ing over you." Every paffage is conformable to thefe. When Saut offended God, he faid it repenteth me that $I$ have fet up Saul to be King $\psi$.

From this hiftory it is evident that Saul was appointed, and even anointed King, before any form whatever of election took place. Afterwards, come the ceremonies which Mr. Burke calls the Election.

Now an election, if it means any thing, is a right of chufing, or rejecting, any perfon propofed te fill an office. An clection to choofe, without a power of rejecting, would be no election at all. If Mr. Burke then be right in his reprefentations, that the election of Saul was a free election, and dependent on the will of the people, it follows, that the Ifraclites had a right to reject that perjon rehom God himjelf had appointed to be their Kingr. Or, fince he bings his example as a proof of a general principle, it is the fair conclufion, that democracy or the right of the people to chufe their King,
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on of them, or the Dem the Goctory. Afle, "Come e kingdom to Gilgal, this occaall Ifrael, our voice, ." Every When Saul me that $I$
that Saul ng, before ook place. vhich Mr.
thing, is a erfon proto choofe, ld be no be right on of Saul 1 the will relites had d himfelf fince he eral prinemocracy cir King,
is a right of to imprefcriptible a nature, that it is not to be controuled by God himfelf.

But the juft conclufion which every man must form from the tranfaction is this. That fince Saul was really appointed King by God himfelf, whatever was done by the people was mere matter of form, and ceremony ; a folemn ratification of the appointment ; a public teftimony on the part of the Ifraelites of their fubmiffion to God's choice, and an acceptance on the part of the King, and the mode of his entering upon his Government. A ceremony fomething fimilar to that of an Englifli Coronation.

It is equally clear that David was appointed by God alone, without any choice in the people. When God was offended with Sau! for difobedience, he fent Samue! to him to tell him, "The Lord hath rent the Kingdom of "Ifracl from thee, and hath given it to at " neighbour of thine *." God then fent Samuel to Jefe informing him that he laded prorided $a$ King among his fons. The manner of the appointment is very remarkable, for all Jeffe's fons were brought in review before God. When they were come Samuel looked on Eliab, and, ftruck with his prepolfeffing figure, faid, furely the Lord's anointed is before him. But the Lord faid unto Samuct; Look not on his countenance, or on the height of his ftature; becauif I have refutel him; for toe Iood leeth not as man leach; for man

[^7]C
looketh
looketh on the outward appearance, but the I-ord looketh on the heart. Seven of the fons were in the fame manncr rejected, and David the youngent was fent for fiom the fhecpfold and anointed to be the future fovereign of the Jews. Here was the real election, and God not only chufes the King himfelf, but he even declares the unfitnets of the people to chufe.-Man looketh only on the outward appearance. After Suul's death he was publickily anointed, as Scul had been before. The influence of Abner, who was commander of Soul's armies, and the attachment of many of the I/raclite; to the family of the late King, induced them to retift Daicid's authority. But the fact of refiftance by no means proves the right to refift. The opjofition of the Ifruclites; was in difobedience to Ged's declaration, and a rebellion againft a lawful authority. Accordingly the fcripture informs us that God fet his face againft it, Darid's party waxed ftronger and ftronger, whilt Suul's adherents grew weaker and weaker ; Abiner and Ihbo.heth both perifh, the I/riaclites finally fubmit to Daid, and exprefs their obedience by the utial ceremony of anointing him King.

The fame confequence follows as in the cafe of Soul. If the cermony of anoming was an election, then the people had a right to fer ahde Gods appointment of thair king. But it cies not reft even here. David's clevation to the thrune had a much more important olje fint thomere tompony goremment
ce, but the en of the jected, and from the uture foveal election, imfelf, but e people to u*ctod ap)s publickily

The innander of of many of late Fing, rity. But proves the e If.juclites ation, and ity. Acthat God rty waxed adherents nd I/mboly fubmit ce by the as in the anointing la rioght bin king. d's clevamportant vermment of
of the Jews. It formed a patt of the myfterious fyftem of redemption. That Mefiah, whofe coming, and the manner of it had been revealed by a fucceffion of prophets, and mot particularly unfolded to the partiarch Jaco, was not only to be of the race of Abriham, but the fon of Diaid, and of all the Kings of Juduh. It was in this relation that God promiled David " that his throne fhoukd " ttand for ever." Sow if, as Mr. lanke atferts, Dazid was made king by the apponint. ment of the people, under the right of chating their own fovereign, under a free choice, dependent upon their own will ; which includes an alternative of rejecting, it would follow, time they would have had the right and the power, of defeating God's plan relpecting the Meftin, and that the whole fecme of human talsation food trembling upon the event of a popalar election.

The examples of Sall and Daial then afford' no argument in favour of the Righis of Man. Nothing like election appears in the fucceffion of the other kings of Jutlull. The throne was eftablifhed in the family of Davi,, and the government was hereditary. Yet the fame form of inauguration continued which had been employed upon the appointments of Saul and David. The new kiug was anointed, crowned, and received the acclamations of the people. Thus Rehoboam fucceeded Solomon by the hereditary fucceffion, yet the fcripture ufes the fame language, for it fays that all C 2 Ifrael
lirael came
King." The revolt of the ten tribes in the reign of Rehoboam and their electing $J$ croboam for their ling, though acted "by the exprefes or tacit ronfent of a sreat mujority of the peyle," no lefs than ten parts out of twiche, I hope will not be quoted as an authority for the right of the people to chure their fovereign. It was a complication of rebellion, iapliety, and idolatry. They forfook, at the fame time, as is not unufual in more recent tranfactions, of the fame fort, their king and thair God; and he juftly punifhed them for it, by carrying the whole nation into captivity, never to be again reftored,

But it is not from examples alone that Mr. Burke deduces his argument; he fays that "this right of the people to appoint their king " is formally declared by MIofes." In my ap"Wreheition, he declares directly the contrary. "When thou art come," fays the infipired lawiver, " into the land which the Lord thy God "giveth thee, and thalt fay, I will fet a king "ower me; thou thalt in any wife fet him " king over thee whom the Lord thy God /hall "chutie" + Me exprefsly cxcludes the people from clecting, and referves the choice in every cafe to himiclf. The appointments which he thus declared to be with himfelf, he actually and univerfally exercifed; their three firlt kings, Saul, David, and Solomon, he appointed.
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the reign of an for their cf: or tacit pisle," no c, I hope or the right n. It was , and idotime, as is ons, of the I; and he rrying the be again
that Mr. fays that their king In my apcontrary. ired lawthy God et a king fet him God /hall «e people in every which he actually ree firlt Pointed
by name, and then eftablifhed an hereditary government in the family of David*.
If Mr. Burke could mifreprefent the feriptures, it could fcarcely be expected that the ${ }^{-}$Lawyers would fare better under his hands. With refipect to both his legal authorities, Blackftone, and Bracton, he has been guilty of unfair quotation. By taking a part only of their fatements, and feparating them from what goes before, or follows after, by laying down as the general rule what is intended merely as an exception; by altering their c. pressions, and by udding roords of his oten, in their name, he has made them both to hold doctrines directly contrary to their real opinions.

The firft quotation is this, as it ftands in Mr. Burke's book, " A philofopher," fays Jultice Blaclijlone, "will confider a King as a mun "appointed by mutual confent to prefide over " many, and will pay him that respect "which the principles of fociety demand." This paffage taken by itfelf, efpecially with the alteration which he has made in it, by fubttituting the fofter word refject, feir what Biackfionehimfelf ftiles Recerence and duty, is certainly democraticalenough, by reprefenting the king upon the loweit footing ponfible. Could any man fuppofe that it was extrafed from an author, who in that very chapter, and paragraph, from which it was taken is futing, and defcribing the very higheft points of Ilis
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Majefty's dignity and prerogative, and is demonftrating their neceffity to the fupport of fo-
and is deport of focivil libercading his every mofary to difs , not only on of Mam certain capacity, fany other. sh a plizoyal Per$y$ mutucl and will. which the ta ma/sof ndecfrac? $l s$ a $m a n$ ves. 「he his high
powers iin attriuature : ider him d to pay y enable. he bui-

Ics, Mr. ed, thole abitract.
edly fpeaking, Sir William Blackftone fays, if ${ }^{f}$ taught to the mafs of mankind are calculated to render them apt to grow infolent and refractory. -How this agrees with Mr. Burke's text, "Remind them to be fubject to princes and " powers, to obey magiftrates, and to be pre"pared for every good work," I am unable to difcover. He has adminiftered the poifon without the antidote.

The quotation from Bracton, which is taken likewife from the Commentaries, in Mr. Burke appears thus:-" The King is under "the Law, becaufe the Law makes the King." Recollect that this very paffage is produced to prove that Governments depend on the will and confent of the people, and that they have a right to correct all abufes in Government, and then confider whether that doctrine is - much favoured by Bracton's words at large :"The King" fays he, "ought not to be fiubject "to Man, but to God, and the Law; for the "Law maketh the King." It is impoffible to find a paffage more decidedly adverfe to the principles which it is garbled to fupport.
"From thefe principles," Mr. Burke contimues," the Juftice concludes that there are " in fociety inherent latent powers to correct all "abules in Goverrment, which no climate, no " time, no conflitution, no contract can ever "deftroy, or diminifh." I have already hewn that he has mifreprefented thofe principles, and that no fuch conclufion can therefore be drawn from them. But, in this pa:fage
fage the moft material words, "to correct all "abufes in Government." which he has quoted as Blackftone's, are not Blackftone's, but entirely his own. This will appear from the paffage at length. That eminent Lawyer ftates it to be the legal doetrine, "that the " King is the reprefentative, and minifter of " God upon earth, that every thing is under " him, whilft he is fubject to none but God. "That his perfon is facred, and that no jurif" diction upon earth has power to try him; "that if fuch a power of jurifdiction over him " were vefted in any tribunal, there would foon " be an end of the Conftitution." Such is the general doctrine, and he then proceeds to confider what remedy the law has provided in cafe the Sovereign fhould be fo ill-advifed as to be guilty of moft grievous public oppreffion; and he obferves, that if the oppreffion, " tended to diffolve the Conftitution, to fub. "vert the fundamentals of Government; " fhould advance with gigantic ftrides, and " threaten defolation to a fate; in fuch cir"، cumftances we muft leave to future genera-. " tions, whenever neceffity, and the fafety of "the rehole fhall require it, the exertion of " thofe inherent, though latent powers of fo"ciety, which no climate, no time, no confti" "tution, no contract can ever deftroy or dimi" nifh."
All that Blackfone's doctrine amounts to, is this:-That in fuch extreme, and extraordinary cafes, where the very exiftence of fo-
correct all has quoone's, but oear from it Lawyer "that the ninifter of is under but God. no juriftry him; over him ould foon uch is the s to consvided in dvifed as preffion; opreffion, to fub. :rnment ; des, and luch cir-generaafety of rtion of s of fo-conftior dimi-
unts to, extraore of focicty
ciety is endangered, a country muft employ fuch extraordinary means, as their prudence fhall fuggeft to them. But Mr. Burke has taken a part of Blackftone's words, which relate only to this extreme cafe, and has applied them to a general power of "correcting all "abufes in Government," not only attributing to Blackftone thofe words, which are entirely Mr. Burke's own invention, but making, by fuch infertion, that truly Conftitutional Lawyer to hold democratical principles, as oppofite as light and darknefs, to thofe which he has been ftating and proving through the whole of his admirable Chapter upon the King's prerogative, from which Mr. Burke pretends thefe expreffions are extracted.

Since then Mr. Burke's political doctrines. are fupported neither by the feripture or the lawyers, which indeed make directly againft them, his divine miniftry of the pcople multftand upon its own foundation.

How far fuch abftract rights might exift among a number of men, not formed into fociety, and where all things were in common, it is ufelefs to examine, as it is a ftate which does not lubfift in this country, or perhaps any where elfe. We have here both a regular government, and private property, and Mr. Burke's principles lead to the abolition of both. That a majority of the people, as a mere majority, are invefted with thefe rights of government, without any regard to property, and other confiderations, in practice has never
been admitted by the moft ardent democrats. In all the conftitutions which revolutionary maduefs eftablifhed in France, fome qualification of property and independance limited the right of fuffrage. But Mr. Butrlic makes mere number the teft of lawful government, and holds that no political power can be vefted in any man or body of men but by the exprefs or tacit confent of a sreat mujority of the people.

But obferve the extent of thele principles. Since as Mr. Burke afferts, all political government is from God, and is communicated by the minifty of the people, they have the power to change it whenever they pleate. Nor, according to him, are they bound to confuit any other rule in the change than theirown fovereign, pleafure. "If the auticurs of the revolution," fays Mr. Burke, "were jatifiable, or not, or

110
th
ft:
an
an

* m
th
no
th
ge
yil
to
fid
cit
we
lev
his "even, in the moft unfavourable fenfe, fup"pofe them perfectly unjulifiable; if the A." merican revolution was as caufelefs as fome " writers have reprefented it ; fill, he fays, " it does not in the leaft diminifh the force " of his reafoning." The detpotic people then without caufe, and without juftification, may deftroy a fublifting government; the political power eftablifhed by them becomes immediately a lawful authority. They may again alter it caufelecty, and unjuftifiably; ftill all is right. They may repeat the experiment again and again as often as their inclination for fuch paftime recurs; thefe miniters of God can do
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democrats. volutiona!!'v e qualificeilimited the re makes overmment, n be vefted the exprefs of the peoprinciples. cal governated by the the power
Nor, aconfuit any fovereige volution;' r not, or cufe, fupif the $A$. if as fome he fiys, the force pple then, :ion, may political immediagain altill all is ent again for fuch d can do no.
no wrong. Such a political fyftem, if fyftem that can be called which has in it nothing of ftability, no fundamental law, no rule of right and wrong but popular caprice, in confution and mifchief does not yield precedence to the moft downright anarchy. In plain Englifh the doctrine is this, that a fuccefsful rebellion is no rebellion at all, but a lawful act ; for if even. the leaft numerous party in a country can once get the government into the il own hands, the pike or the guillotine, are never failing receipts to infure the tacit confent of all the reft.

But Mr. Burke's doctrines cannot be confidered as mere remote fipeculation, they muft either apply to the govermment under which we live, or they are perfeetly ufelefs and irrelevant. As he is writing for the inftrection of his own congregations, he muft be underfood to intend to teach them fomething which is applicable to their own fituation, fomething which may ferve them as a rule of acticn.

He is fuarcely forming plans of political morality for the new government in the MiIfippi, or for the fubjects of the King of Monomotapa? When he lays down a political principle in general terms, and without any exception, it muft neceffarily include the liritilh Empire, and it muft even principally refer to it, and to this part of it in particular. I totaily deny then that thefe goremments are valid only becaufe they have been approved by a great majority of the poople. I will fuggeft another and mach fecurer fommation; it fubfins by the laies
lures, of the Country, which have eftablifhed the Britifh conftitution, and which no individuals, nobody of men, no majority of the people, have a right to contravene, or to refift. Theif have indeed afcertained that the people fhall have a certain fhare in the government by their reprefentatives, but the general form of that government, the fucceffion to the throne, the privileges, and prerogatives of the crown, and each diftinct part of the conftitution, are perfectly independent of any choice in the people : not a fhadow of any thing like election is to be feen in them. The right of electing a fovereign has been wifely renounced by the Britifh Empire from the carlieft periods of its exiftence. To revive it would be totally to endanger the unity, peace, and tranquility of the nation ; to give life to defperate and envenomed faction, and to expofe it to deluges of blood, and the unfieakable evils to which an elective government is expofed; evils which peculiar circumftances may perhaps for a time prevent, but which muft inevitably happen fooner or later, as long as ambition, felf-intereft and refentment, fhall continue to hold a place in the human breaft.

Such were not the principles of the authors of the revolution in the reign of James the Second, to which Mr. Burlie refers. They were anxious to explain, and to juftify their conduct to the nation, not by recurring to any fuppofed right in the poople to nominate their Monarch, but as an act of ablolute necelfity,

## eftablifhed

 no individuthe people, fift. Thefe seople thall ent by their orm of that throne, the crown, and on, are perin the peoike election f electing a iced by the eriods of its totally to anquility of and envendeluges of ) which an evils which s for a time bly happen felf-intereft cold a place the authors James the ers. They uttify their -ing to any inate their c neceffity, unterunder newv and unprecedented circumfances, the King's abdication of the government and an actual vacancy of the throne. And even then they did not have recourfe to the dangerous expedient of an election but they preferved as nearly as poffible the old line of hereditary defcent, and the eitablifhed forms of the coniftution.

Of thefe principles, though open to a great many offervations, I fhall tay nothing further, than that they are the corner fone of all the modern fweeping revolutions, that they compofe the creed of all Jacobins, and are the effence of the deftructive doctrines which were fo fucceffully propagated by Tom Paine; that they are maxims which Mr. Surke camot preach without violating his oath of Allegiance, and which his congregation camot practice , without becurring the guilt of high treaton, and committing the fin of rebellion.

## III.

I now enter upon the laft fubjee I propofod to cexamine, Mr. Furke's opinions retpeeting Spiritual Authority.

By the Oath of Allegiance, it is required of the pertons who tike it to dechare, that they do not believe that the Pope of Rome, or any cther foregn Prince hath any temporal, or civil pozer within this realm. This doctrine, I believe, in terms at leaft, the Romanifts have never controverted, and it is therefore admitted by Alr. Barke. But could any man have. imagined by what arguments he has fupportcd
I)
it? He has proceeded to prove that all political, civil, and temporal jurifdiction, and preeminence are vefted in the King, by denying that he has any fpiritual power; authority, orjurisdiction whotcwer. It is a curious method of reminding people " to be fubject to princes " and powers," by pointing out to them, that there are certain fubjects upon which they may lawfully difobey them. Nothing in the oath certainly required his faying a word upon the
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ominipotence which no civil government certainly ever laid claim to, for he gives him ali the authority which Chrift exercifed upon earth. The manner in which he has introduced this topic is no lefs curious than the mode of bringing in the laft. For as he there proved that the Kiing had every temporal authority by totally denying his fpiritual power, fo now he has demonitrated that the Romifh Church can poffefs no temporal power, becaufe they holl the highef fipiritual authority that can be conceived.

How much èvery man of all religious pe:fuafions, is interefted in this enquiry, the following confiderations will thew. Tojuilge by names only, and the great number of diftindt congregations, it might feem that an infinite variety of different religions were comprehended under the general denomination of Chrittianity. But the leaft attention to their tenets will make it obvious, that there are only two really diftinct claffes of Chriftians, who differ from each other in effential points; thefe are, the Proteftants, and the Papifts. The effential difference between them is this, that all Proteftants acknowledge no other rule of faith and doctrine than the Holy Scriptures; whereas the Roman Catholics hold the Pope, and under him the Popiih Clergy, to be the reprefentatives of God, and of Jefus Chrift; and confequently that their authority is equally a rule of faith and doctrine with the Holy Scriptures, and equally biading upon the confciences D 2
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of men. Nay, that the Scriptures themfelves are to be underftood only in that fenfe which the Romifh Church thinks proper to give them: From this matcrial difference as to the very fources from whence religion is to be deduced naturally flows an immenfe number of other variations, which it is not neceffary now to enumerate. On the enntrary, between the numerous fects of Proteftants, there is no difference whatever as to what is the general rule of faith and doctrine. 'The diftinetions arife merely upon the contruction of the fame law, which produces a difference of opinion, either upon the mere external forms of religion, or upon mere feculative points of little importance to the fubftance of Chriftianity.

That this is a true reprefentation, is manifert from one conbideration ; that there is no denomination of Proteftants who do not candidly adnit that fatration may be obtained ini any of the other Proteftant lects. The fame criterion will thew that there is an impaffable gulph between the Catholics and all the Proteftants, fince it is one of the popilh doctrines that falvation camot be obtained out of the pale of the Romith Church.

In chufing therefore between the different Prgeftant perfuafions, a man may confult his own fancy and caprice, his habits, or his prejudices, without fear of going very far aitray from the truth, and certainly without much danger of totaily mifling his way. 'Tho' in an equal balance of opinions not effential, where
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$s$ themfelves fenfe which per to give nece as to the is to be denumber of eceffary now between the re is no difgeneral rule netions arife te fame law, inion, cither religion, or of little imianity. , is manifert is no denonot candidly ed iti any of me criterion Tahle gulph Proteftants, nes that falc pale of the
the different y confult his or his prey far aitray without way. 'Tho' ot effential, where
where $n o$ material point of faith, or doctrine ftands in his way, by cvery rule of fociety, and every principle of Chriftian prudence, the Eflabli/hcd C/hurch is entitled to his preference, becaufe in matters of indifference every member of a community ought to fubmit to the regulations eftablifhed by lawful authority; and every good man mult wifh by fuch conformity, to promote the general harmony of the Chriftian body, rather than to give occafion to difcord, hatred, and uncharitablenefs, which are too often the confequences of feparations and divifions.

But between the Romifh Church, and Proteftantifin generally, the choice is infinitely important. For if the Romifh be the true Church then muft we affent to its affertion that fafety is not elfewhere to be obtained ; or, on the other hand, if its petenfions are unfounded, it is not difficult to prove that its errors are of a very effential nature.
"Unity of faith," fays Mr. Burke, " is a "diftinguifhing characteriftic of Catholics." -To fay nothing of many other difputes and diffentions, which divide and diftract the members of that Church, upon a variety of points, both of doctrine and difcipline, they are far from being agreed upon that moft effential queftion, the extent and limits of the power and jurifdiction of the Roman I'ontiff, and it is to this day unfettled, whether the Pope alone, or the Pope and a Council, or a Council without the lope, are poffeffed of infallibility. That D 3 infallibility
infallibility is lodged fomewhere in the Churots of Rome, they are all unanimous, and, from his publication, I prefume Mr. Burkic is of that moft numerous, moft vehement, and moft orthodox party of the united faith, which beftows it upon the Pope.

Let us fee what is the nature of the dignity which is attributed to the Pope, or Bifhop of Rome. The offence of it inded is comprehended in Mr. Burke's definition, all the authority which Chrift exercifed on earth**: But it will more plainly appear if it is a little more difplayed as it is defcribed in the authentic creeds and canons of the Church. He is regularly ftiled the Succeffor of Saint Pcter, the Prince of the Aponties, the Vicogerent, or reprefentative of God, and of Jefus Chrift, and poffeffing the keys of Heaven $\dagger$. Confequently infallible, enjoying full power over all nations, and kingtoms, ahove all councils, judging all, himfelf in this world being fubject to sohe + . To him all Catholiss are bound to promite and fwear true obedience ; and under his authority the Romifh Church is the only Catholic and Aportolic Church, the mother and miftrefs of all Churches $\S$.

No man can be required to believe the exit tence of fuch a very extraordinary authority without the completeft demonitration of itso reality.

[^10]the Churgit , and, from Burke is of at, and moft , which bethe dignity Bifhop of is compreall the auon earth**: it is a little he authench. He is aint Pcter. zgerent, or Chrift, and onfequent ver all nails, judging fubject to c bound to and under is the only mother and.
ve the exit authority tion of its:

It is proper to premife here a remart which is applicable to every part of thete obfervations; that I have not the moft diftant idea of entering into any controverfy with Mr: Burke refpecting any of the doctines of the Roman Catholic Church generally, or confidered with regard to the nembers of that communion. Nothing that he could have publithed within the limits of his own church, would have drawn any anfwer from me. But he has tot confined himfelf within thefe limits; he has fallied forth and has attacked all other religions. Taking for his groundwork that the Church of Rome is the only true Church, he denies the validity of all other ordinations, he treats all othor minifters as perfons who affume to themielves an office into which they have impudently intruded - themfelves without any right whatever, and as mifleading their congregations into dangerous crrors. To defend myfelf and all other prote. ftant minifters againft thefe infinuations which are levelled not only at the Church of England Gut at every doleription of Proteftants; and to thow that if Proteftants do not fubmit to the claims made by Mr. Burke on behalf of the Romilh Church to exclufive fpiritual authority, they have at toaft fome wafons to aliedse in fupport of their Chritian - iberty, thete and thefe only are the motives of the following enquiry.

In confidering the evidence brought forward by the Romifh Clergy in fupport of their fpiritual
tual power, I fhall reduce my obfervations to the following propofitions.

1. That an infallible anthority, whether in the Pope, or in the Church at large is a miracle, which cannot prove itfelf, or be proved by the tradition of thofe who are parties interefted in it; and that it is capable of proof only from divine teftimony; that is either by miracles, or fcripture, and fince miracles no longer exift, by fcripture alone.
2. That there are no paffages in feripture
fervations to
whether in rge is a mior be proved parties inteof proof only thei by mimiracles no
in feripture prefs words; biguous and is only to be ual modes of
e, that fenfe lage is to be and conford that fenfe iconfiftency, cripture. iconfiftency, trary to the Aration, and the feripture
e, produced capable that the
id that the the true meaning
meaning fince it leads to the belief of fuch an incredibility.
3. That it camot be inferred from Chrift's words that fuch power was given to St. Peter, becaufe it is contrary to other parts of feripture, and nearly the fame expreffions are applied to all the Apoftes.
4. That it was never claimed by St. Peter himfelf.
5. That it was never allowed by the other Apoftles.
6. That it is not proved either by feripture, or authentic hiftory, that the Popes are the fuccefiors of St. Peter, that their claims were unknown in the Chriftian world for many centurics, and were never fubmitted to by thofe churches which were moft certainly planted by the other Apofles.
7. That the perfonal characters and conduct of many of the Popes are inconfiftent with their infallibility.
8. That the doctrines of the Popifh Church and their novelty, are irreconcileable with a divine origin.
Prop. I.

Infallibility, or as Mr. Burke ftates it, that capital errors, either in faith or morality, fhall never be found in his Church* is a miracle. For man is naturally a fallible creature, and liable to err. If this is true of individuals, it muft be equally true of any fociety, or body of men; for there can be no qualities in the * Mr. B. pase : 0 .
whole
whole which does not confift in the component parts. The union of any given number of fallible beings cannot produce an infallibility. This quality therefore, whether it is fuppofed to be vefted in the Pope, in Councils, or the Church at large, would be equally miraculous.
But how is fuch a power to be proved! Infallibility differs from almont all other miracles, with which we are acquainted, in that they prove themfceles. When the Red Sea divided, and the Walls of Jericho fell down at the found of a trumpet; when the blind received their fight, the lame walked, and the dead arofe from their tombs, thefe external vifible figns, obvious to all capacities, maniferted the agency of the divinity. But infallibility is not an object of the fenfes; it produces no outward effects contrary to the ufual courfe of nature; it cannot command conviction by performing any acts of fupernatural power. It can be eftablifhed therefore only by external proof.

One fpecies of proof brought forward by the Papifts, in fupport of this authority, I muft object to totaily ; that fuch has been the tradition of the Church. All traditions muft be of an ill favour among Chriftians, becaufe a great part of Chrift's life was fpent in combating them, and in fhewing that the Jews had made God's word of none effect by their traditions. But what does tradition upon this point amount to? Neither more nor lefs
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the compoven number an infallibiher it is fupin Councils, equally mi-
proved! Inother mirated, in that Red Sea dicll down at e blind re$d$, and the external vin , manifeftinfallibility roduces no al courfe of viction by ral power, by exterorward by ity, I muft the tradiis muft be becaufe a n combatthe Jews t by their ion upon e nor lefs than
than this, that the Romifh Clergy require us to believe that they poffefs a moft extraordinary degree of power, becaufe they have been in the habit of faying fo for many centuries, and of anathematizing all who prefumed to contradiet them. The teftimony of men in their own caufe, and to their own advantage, was never admitted to be good evidence in any caufe. But there are likewife particular reafons for diftrufting Catholic teftimony to fuch facts. It is now well afcertained that many writings have been forged to enrich and aggrandize the Romifh Church. Agreements, decrees of Councils, and other records were actually fabricated, by which it might appear that in the firft ages of the Church, the Roman Pontiffs were cloathed with the fame majefty which they affumed in more modern days. Such - forgeries were efteemed meritorious on account of their fuppofed tendency to promote the glory of God, and to advance the profererity of the Church. Befides many learned proteftants who have fatisfactorily demonfrated the fpurioufnefs of many of thefe ingenious performances, the fiction is acknowledged by the Roman Catholics; at leaft by fuch of them as are poffefled of any tolerable degree of impariality*.

This authority being derived from God himfelf can be proved only by a plain, and ex-

[^11]
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prefs declaration from him, manifefted to mankind by methods perfectly incontrovertible ; either by the holy fcriptures, or by outward miracles. The power of working miracles was certainly afferted by the Romifh Church in the days of the darkeft ignorance, but that fort of argument I fupprie will not be openly infifted upon at prefent.

## Prop. II.

The Scriptures then are ti'e only guide upon this head; and if fuch authority is there explicitly and in direct words declared we muft fubmit to it without hefitation. But no fuch paffage is to be found. All the texts produced for that purpofe are ambiguous, uncertain, and figurative, and their meaning can only be difcovered by conjecture, and the ufual modes of interpretation.

Prop. III.
This propofition is too evident to require proof, I therefore merely repeat it,--That, in interpreting feripture that lenfe of an ambiguous and figurative paffige is to be preferred which is moft natural, and conformable to the reft of fcripture, and that fenfe is to be rejected which leads to inconfiftency, and is contrary to the reft of Scripture.
Prop.lV.

In examining the texts which arc quoted to fupport this authority, the nature of the authority itfelf is a material confideration, Infallibility I have before fhewn to be a miracle. Now God nerer works a mirsele without fome

Ated to mantrovertiblé ; by outward ig miracles aifh Church ce, but that t be openly
only guide rity is there red we muit But no fuch exts produous, uncerreaning can ad the ufual
to require it,--1hat, of an am, be preferonformable ate is to be icy, and is
quoted to the autho-Infallia miracle.
e without fome

## (51)

fome neceffity ; for to fay that infinite wifdom ever deviates from the eftablifhed order of things unneceffarily is the height of abfurdity. Of all the undoubted miracles with which we are * acquainted the reafons are apparent. Under the old teftament, the eftablifhment, and prefervation of the knowledge of God, and his laws; under the new teftament the proof of the divine miffion of his fon, afford adequate objects for the extraordinary interference of divine Power. If it can be fhewn therefore that an infallible authority is unneceffary, as far as mere reafoning goes, it is a conclufive argument againtt it. And though mere reafoning cannot overturn a decidedly clear declaration of the Almighty in Scripture, yet it may ferve not a little to help us in difcovering the true meaning of fuch paflages as are doubtful and capable of different interpretations.

Now the neceffity of an infallible authority in the Church depends upon one fole quertionWhether it is neceffary to human falvation? For to no otber purpofe, for no other end, is it fuppofed to be given even by thofe who make pretenfions to it. And this queftion is to be refolved by another, - Whether the holy feriptures are fufficient for that purpofe? For if the holy feriptures are fufficient for human falvation, then is an infallible autlority in the Church umneceffary.

To affert that when Chrift came into the work! to tavefinmers, he did not teach them all F.
things
things neceffary to that end, or that when the Evangelifts were infpired to commit thofe doctrines to writing the infpiration was imperfeet, is to deny the goodnefs, the widdom, or the power of God himfelf. Befides the impiety of fuch an opinion it is contrary to the feriptures themfelves, which declare in many places their own fufficiency. Saint Paul faith to Timothy. * From a Child thou haft known the holy feriptures which are able to make thee wife unto falvation, through faith which is in Chrift Jetus. All feripture is given by infpiration of God, and is profitable for doerine, for reproof, for correction, for inftruction in righteoufnefs; That the man of God may be perfect, thoroughly furnifled unto all good reorks.

The fufficiency of feripture is confirmed by the internal evidence arifing from the nature of Chriftianity itfelf. It is extremely fimple. Chrift came to abolifh a ceremonial law, and to fubftitute in its place a religion of the heart, which muft be comprehended in a vers few precepts. Every fuppofed defect, muft relate either to points of faith, of practice, or of mere aconomy. But every article of faith is diftinctly taught, the exiftence and attributes of God, the Trinity, the Character of Chrift, the myfteries of Redemption, the forgivenefs of fins, and whatever elfe has been the fubject of belief to Chriftians of all defcriptions. This is admitted by the Church of Rome, fince it
at when the mmit thofe was imperwifdom, or les the imrary to the e in many Paul faith thou haft are able to rough faith ture is giprofitable ection, for the man of iflued unto firmed by he nature ly fimple. law, and the heart, ver few zuft relate $r$ of mere th is difributes of hrift, the venefs of fubject of 1s. This fince it has
their own deitruction, he adds, as they do alio the other fcripturcs. The plaineft and cleareft parts of the Gofipel may be, and have been, dreadfully perverted and mifinnderfood, by weak, or enthufiaftic brethren. By fuch perfons the doctrines even of an unerring Church might be mifunderitood as eatily as a text of Scripture, and therefore the Church's infallibility would not afford an cffectual remedy in fuch cafes. Many things were no doubt defigneilly left obfcure, many others appear to be fo from the imperfection of the human faculties, and becaufe in this fate we only fee things as through a glafs darkly : many difficulties the moft learned men of the Romifh Church are incapable of explaining. If thefe obfcurities were fich as to prove any impediment to falvation the prophets and apoftles would not $f_{i}$ peak of the Scriptures as fufficient fo: that parpofe; nor in thofe terms which imply their clearnefs. If our Gofpel be hid, Gys Saint Pau!, it is hid to them that are loft: In whom the God of this world hath blinded the minds of them which believe not, left the light at che grintious Gofpel of Chriff, fhould thine unto them *. Thy word, fays the inipired Pfolmitt, is a lamp unto my feet, and a light unto my path $\dagger$. The Commandment of the Lord is pure, enlightning the cyes + . It is impoffible that God hould vouchfafe to caufe a book to be written, containing his divine law, which fhould yet be fo
hey do alio and clearand have muderftood,
By fuch unerring eatily as a Church's fectual res were no others apof the hute we only many dife Romith If there y impediapoiftles fufficient 13 which 1 be hid, : are loft: 1 blinded left the fhould the inect, and mmanding the
fhould cn, conret be fo ligently
negligently compofed, and fo ænigmatical, as to leave men in the dark in what moft materially concerned them, and was the only object of the revelation. It is fcarcely credible that the Gofpel, which was preached to the a poor, to the ignorant, and the unlearned, fhould have been beyond common comprehenfion. Upon the whole it is much more confiftent with God's wifdom, and goodnefs, that he fhould have revealed his will by the Holy Scriptures fo plainly as to be fufficient for falvation, than that he fhould have left them fo imperfect, or fo unintelligible as to require a perpetual miracle to explain them.

> Prop. V.

Having fhewn that the infallible authority of the Rominh Church is incredible, becaufe it would be an ufelefs, fuperfluous miracle, contrary to reafon, to all the experience we have of miracles, and to all our knowledge of the divine difpenfations, and includes in it an impious affumption that the Scriptures are not fufficient for falvation, I fhall examine the texts of Scripture produced by Mr. Burke in fupport of it.

That the Chriftian Cirirch belongs to Jefus Chrift, the good thepherd who will feed his theep, and who came to govern all nations is undoubted *. But the diftance between our Saviour and the Romifh Clergy is immenfe. The queftion is whether he has communicated the fame powers to them. A man mult have

> * Mr. B. pasece 23, 24, 45, \& 32.
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a ftrong talent for faith before he can believe that the expreffions anfed of the Meffiah, can apply to the Romith Church, and that it was faid of the Pope and his Clergy that David fhould be king over the people, their prince for ever, who fhould rule them with a rod of iron. That when Chrift declared, that he himfelf is him it defcended to his fucceffors, the Pope, and Clergy of Rome. Saint Peter was appointed to conduet the flock of Jefus Chrift with that authority which he himfelf exercifed whillt vifible to his flock §. 'Till the end of time the Church mnit reft on Peter's spiritual powers in his fucceffors $\|$. I am at prefent examining only the power and infallibility of the Church in general ; Saint Pcter and the Pope I thall confider afterwards.

For this inheritance of power Mr. Burke has ftated a regular legal title, in due form. A reftament and two Commissions. 'That both parts of the Bible were called the Old and New Teftaments, we know, and that the word Teftament in the original language fig. nifies a Covenant, namely the Old Covenant

an believe effiah, can that it was hat David prince for od of iron. himfelf is the theephief and a of teaching it of men. ofe hands thority. $\dagger$ " $r$ the chief and from the Pope, was apGus Chrift felf exer'Till the n Peter's I am at ad infalliint Pcter rds.
Ir. Burke form. A hat both Old and that the uage figSovenant
.03. If p. 30 .
of the law, made with the Jews, and the New Covenant of Grace which was entered into with Chriftians. We know likewife that St. Paul has compared the Gofpel to a Teftament, in the other fenfe, meaning a will, be* caufe it received its efficacy from the death of Chrift, as a will becomes valid by the death of the teftator *. But that Chrift really made "a teftament in the common acceptation of " the word, an authentic inftrument by which "a dying perfon conveys rights and powers "which are at his difpofal," + and did thereby intail this infallible fupremity upon St. Peter, or the Apoftles, and the Pope, is to me per$f$ new. I can find nothing of it in the mble. If Mr. Burke had not denounced fuch dreadful punifhments, as the earth's opening and fwallowing up all who thould prefume to ufurp any part of this miniftry, under any other title, I fhould really think that he meant to be jocofe.

Now for the Firf Commiffion.
" Chrift affembled his twelve Apoftes and "gave them power over unclean fipirits to caft "them out." Men in thofe days were fometimes poffeffed by real devils, who produced an effect in the minds and bodies of thofe unhappy perfons fomething refembling madnefs. The Apoftles expelled them from this corporeal poifeffion as we fee in the account of the herd of fiwine and other infances; this is a plain matter of fact, but Mr. Burke has tranf-

[^12]ferred
ferred it into an allegory, and makes it to mean
Ita a " power purely fpiritual and neceffary" in " the Church till the confummation of the " world to deliver fouls from the flavery of " fine." This paffage relates clearly to the cafting out of devils, merely, and was only perfonal to the apoftles, not a word does it fay of the Church or of the end of the world.-" Next is " added by the Evangelifts, that he gave them " power over difeales and infirmity."

As there are now no perceptible devils to caft out, and Mr. Burke renounces the power of working miracles, I do not fee what benefit the Romifh Church can derive from this $\mathrm{fir}_{\mathrm{i}} / \mathrm{l}$ Commission.

The third ground upon which he refts the authority of the Romilh Church is in what he calls the " laft and moft important Commission." It is this, Chrift fays to his $\Lambda$ poftles*, Go ye into all the world and preach the gofpel to every creature. Saint John adds "That Jefus ftood in the midft of them, and faid peace be to you, and fhortly after he faid again, peace be to you, us the Father fent me I fend youe. That is as Mr. Burke explains it, as the Father fent me with an exclufive power of fending others, fo I fend you with the fame power of fending others. The Evangelift continues.-Whote fins ye forgive are forgiven and whole fins ye retain are retained.-This power Chrift vefted in the eleven $\Lambda$ poftles, and enabled them to communicate it to-others.-Or as he before
ftated
it to mean eceffary in on of the flavery of to the cafaly perfonfay of the -"Next is gave them vils to caft power of 1at benefit n this $f i r / t$
e refts the n what he mmission." es*, Go ye gofpel to That Jefus d peace be 1 , peace be ou. That Father fent ing others, of fending :-Whole ofe fins ye mift velted 'd them to he before ftated
ftated it, the fpiritual authority was véfed by Chrift immediately in his Apoftes, and by their miniftry was tranfmitted to their fucceffors. When Chrift fays, "as the Father fent me I' "fend you," he muft be underfood to mean nonly that as the father had dent him to preach the gofpel, fo had he fent them to preach it likewife, and to authorize others to preach it. But it by no means follows from hence that he gave them the fame authority and power which he himfelf poffeffed, much lefs that he enabled them to communicate to others, and in fucceffion to the Pope and the Romith Clergy that authority which he himfelf exercifed whilft he was vifible to his flock. Chrift fays nothing of tranfritting any fuch power. That all that power has not been tranfmitted is clear. Mr. Burke admits that in Jefus Chrift were fome powers that were incommu. *nicable. The power of worling miracles has not been handed down to the Pope and his Clergy, fuch as fpeaking with tongues, and healing ficknefs. This extraordinary power, Mr. Burke fays, was given becaufe it was neceffary to atteft the truth of their miffion ; from whence it may be inferred that if it had not been neceffary it would not have been given; as in the prefent ftate of Chriftianity. Infallibility is a ftanding miracle becaufe man is naturally a very fallible being. A power of forgiving fins is equally a miracle, for no man can have it but by the immediate gift of God. Now apply the fame mode of reafoling to thefe miracles
miracles as to other miracles, and the fame conclufion will follow, that as it is now not neceflary fo it has not been given.

Thefe authentic inftruments the will, and the two Commiffions then are not fufficient to fupport the pretenfions of the Church of Rome. Prop. VI.
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The

The principal paffages of fcripture, which are repeated by Mr. Burke, to found his affertion "that Saint Peter and his fucceffors the " Popes were appointed to conduct the flock of n" Chrift with that authority, which he him"felf exercifed," and which is the bafis of the Romifh religion, are thefe. The firft is a paffage in Saint Matthew.-where Jefus fays to Saint Peter, I fay unto thee that thou art Peter, and upon this rock or ftone I will build my. Church; and the gates of Hell fhall not prevail againft it. And I will give thee the keys of the kingdom of Heaven; and whatfoever thou fhalt bind on earth fhall be bound in heaven; and whatloever thou fhalt loofe on earth fhall be loofed in heaven*.

The fecond is in Saint John, in which Chrift after his refurrection charges Peter " to feed "" his theept-"

It is evident that the power and authority claimed for St. Peter is not here expressly mentioned, there is not a word of pre-eminence over the other apoftles; No infallibiiity ; No Bithopric or Popedom ; No fucceffors, in direct terms. The whole is metaphorical, for Peter. was certainly not literally a Stone, or a Rock; nor was a real edifice of a Church to be built upon him; it will not be fuppofed that there are material gates to Heil, or actual locks to Heaven, and, that Chrift delivered to Peter the corporeal keys of them; or that the binding fipoken of was to be performed by ropes

[^13]or chains.-So refpecting his feeding the lambs and fheep, it was not the animals of thet name which are to be underftood.- Since then thefe words cannot be taken literally, we are left to difcover their figurative meaning by confidering the fubject matter, by inference, by confulting our own common fenfe, and moft particularly by comparing them with other paffages of feripture which are more plain and direct.

What is the general fignification of there words I thall not attempt to inquire. They are undoubtedly fomewhat obfcure. All metaphors, and allegories muft neceffarily be fo; becaufe they are comparifons which fubftitute the thing, in which a likenefs is perceived, in place of the principal fubject to which it is compared. In thefe figurative modes of fpeech from one thing expreffed we are keft to difcover the exact nature of fomething elfe which is underfood, merely from a fimilitude of which we cannot afcertain precifely the extent, or in what exclurive circumftances it may confift ; fince no two things are perfectly alike in all refpects. It feems however rather extraordinary that a point of fuch vaft importance fhould have been left to be difcovered by allegories and metaphors and not diftinctly and direetly declared. But it is unnecefiary to determine their general fignification, for all the meaning with which we have to do at prefent is merely to confider whether Chritt intended by them to beftow a pre-eminence upon Peter, above
the lambs thet name then thefe are left to $y$ confidere, by conmoft parther paffaain and di-
of there re. They All merily be fo ; fubftitute rceived, in hich it is s of fpeech to difcoelfe which uilitude of the extent, s it may fectly alike rather exmportance d by alletly and diary to defor all the at prefent t intended pon Peter, above
above all the other apoftes, and to give him an exclufive authority over his Church which was to defcend to his fucceffors ;-and I think it is very clear that he did not.

To judge from the language and conduct of nour Saviour upon other occations, it feems rather contrary to his doctrines, and the fipirit which he was defirous of intilling, to vett tuch a pre-eminence any where. When the Twelve difputed among themfelves which was greateft: he repreved them, and faid, If any man defire to be firft the fame fhall be laft of all, and fervant of all *. Again,--Be not ye called Rablb; for one is your mafter even Chrift, and all ye are brethren $\dagger$. And it muft be obferved, that both thefe declarations of equality amongtt the Apoftles, were made by Chrift, at different times fiubequent to his - uling thefe words to Saint Peter refpecting his being the rock, which are fuppofed to confer fuch an extraordinary pre-cminence upon him:

That thefe words conveyed no peculiar privilege upon him is evident, becaute nearly the fame erpressions are applied in other parts of Scripture to all the Apofites. The fame allegories occur in feveral other places. Saint Paul tells the Ephcians, that they are built upon the foundation of the Apofilis and Prophets, Jefus Chrift himfelf being the chief corner fone; In whom all the building fitly framed together groweth unto an holy temple in the Lord. In whom ye alfo are builded to-

[^14]gether for an habitation of God through the fpirit *. Saint Paul fays of himfelf, According to the grace of God which is given unto me; as a wife matter builder, I have laid the foundation and another buildeth thereon. But let every man take heed how he buildeth thereon. For other foundation can no man lay than that is laid, which is Jefius Chrift. In the Revelations, Saint John, defcribing the heavenly Jerufalem, fays, the wall of the city had twelve foundations, and in them the names of the truelve apofiles of the Lamb + . In this fenfe thefe paffages are very intelligible, for fince it was the apottles who taught the world the Chriftian religion, Chriftianity might be faid to be built upon them as upon a rock or foundation. So as to binding, and remitting fins ; Chrift affured all the apoftles, whatfoever ye fhall bind on earth thall be bound in heaven; and whatfoever ye thall loofe on earth thall be loofed in heaven + . Whofe foever fins ye remit, they are remitted unto them, and whofe foever fins ye retain, they are retained §. The keys of heaven are evidently another allegorical detcription of the fame power which is expreffed by binding or loofing in heaven and which is more clearly pointed out in other places as the power of forgiving fins. Of feeding Chrift's flock Saint Peter fays himfelf. The elders which are among you I exhort, feed the flock of God which is among you, taking the over* Ephes. 11. 20, 21, 22.
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through the elf, Accordgiven unto ave laid the ereon. But iildeth thereno man lay rift. In the ng the heathe city had he names of In this fenfe , for fince it $e$ world the ight be faid ock or founnitting fins; latfoever ye in heaven; uth thall be ns ye remit, whole foever The keys of gorical defis expreffed nd which is olaces as the ling Chrift's The elders d the flock ng the overMath. XVIII. 18.
fight thereof. Neither as being Lords over God's heritage, but being enfamples to the flock.-And when the chief fhepherd fhall appear, ye fhall receive a crown of glory*. At Ephefus, Saint Paul called together the Elders of the Church, and exhorted them, To take heed unto themfelves, and to all the fiock, over which the Holy Ghoft had made them overfeers to feed the church of God $\dagger$. - Whatever is to be underftood by " the gates of hell " not prevailing," thofe words could convey no particular authority to Saint Peler, for theyare fioken not of him, but of the C/hurch.The gates of hell thall not prevail againft itnot againft him. Nor to they prove the infallibility of the Romifh Church. The word Church in the fcriptures is not confined to any particular defcription of perfons. It fignifies in the moft general fenfe, any affembly. At Athens it was the name of the public affembly of the people. So it occurs in the fame fenfe in the Acts, and it is applied to a company of people affembled in a Heathen Theatre: $\ddagger$ for the word there which is tranflated affembly in the original language is the fame which is ufually interpreted the Church. The Jews affembled at Mount Sinai, are called by Saint Stephen the Church §. Under the fame general idea it is applied mof ufually, to the whole body of Chriftians or perfons poffeffing Chriftianity in any particular place. Thus in the Acts, it is faid that a great perfecution was rai-
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fed againft the Church*.- That elders were appointed in every Church中. Saint Paul fays, he had the care of all the Churches $\ddagger$; and aiks the Corinthiens in what they were inferior to other Churches §. St. Jehen utters prophecies in the Revelation concerning the feven Churches' of Afiu. Nay St. P'aul even calls the Chriftians in one particular private houfe, the Church in the houte of Prijcilla and Aquila $\|$. In one place he falutes Nymphas, and the Church which is in his houle ; and in another he addreffes himfelf to the Church in Philemon's houfe ${ }^{* *}$. Since then it is cevident from feripture, that the word Church is a general term applied to the whole body of Chritians, there is no ground in the world, either from the reafon of the thing, from the paffage itfelf, or from other parts of feripture, to fuppore that in this paffage, it is confuned to one fet of men, to the exclution of all other Chriftians. In this natural and uftial fenfe of the worl, the expreffion, that the gates of hell ihall never prevail againt the Church, muft be uirderftood to refer to the perpetuity of Chriftianity, or that Satan would never be able to extirpate the Chriftian Religion from the world; that religion of which Peter, and the other apoftles, under Chrift were to be the founders. When there is on one hand, an explanation fo inatural, to unforced, fo conformable to other parts of feripture, what un-
 \#Rom: Xft s. \& Colufs.1V. is. ** Phtom.
elders were nt Punl hays, ; ; and aiks re inferior to s prophecies feven Churen calls the houfe, the nd Aquila \|. luts, and the and in anoChurch in it is cevident thurch is a ole body of the world, g , from the of ficripture, $s$ confined to. of all other fial fenfe of he gates of he Church, e perpetuity ald never be ligion from l'eter, and were to be ne hand, an , fo conforwhat un$\$ 2$ Cor. XII. 1:\%. prejudiced
prejudiced man of common fenfe does not fee that it ought to be preferred to another, unnatural, forced interpretation, contrary to reafon and to fcripture, and which leads to the belief of fuch an incontiftency as an ufelets unneceffary miracle.

If Chrift had actually appointed Saint Peter to be the Prince of the Apoftes fo early in his miniftry, this authrricy nuft have appeared in other parts of Chent's diciourfes and conduct; but there are nootles paffages in fripture from which it can be infered; he treats Saint Petcr with no other peculia, marks of attention, employs him in no authoritative office, and requires no obedience or fubmiffion from the reft. It does not feem therefore that Chrift himfelf underftood his own words as conveying fuch authority.

## Prop. VII.

There are extant a great many fpeeches of Saint Peter, in the Acts of the Apoofles, and there are likewife two Epiftles written by him, the latter thortly before his death. His great zeal, and activity in preaching the gofpel, are every where contpicuous, but in none of thefe compofitions, whether addreffed, to Jews, to Gentiles, or to Chriftians ; in private converfations, or in councils, and public Affemblies of the Church, does he afiume to himelelf any fuch pre-eminence. In his lipiftles he ftiles himfelf fimply, a fervant and an apottle of Jefus Chrift, an elder and a witucte of the iufferings of jefus Chrift, and aifo a partaker of
ir 3
the
the glory which fhall be revealed. If he hadt been the infallible Prince of the Apoftles, it is fcarcely conceivable that he fhould have omitted to ftate his high authority, fince it would have given great additional weight and effect to his precepts, his exhortations, and his reproofs, and a peculiar propriety to his warnings againft falfe teachers and herefies.

## PRop. VIII.

It does not appear in any parts of the feriptures that the other Apootles confidered Saint Pcter as having any fort of fuperiority over them. It is remarkable that Saint IIfathere: is the only Evangelift who has mentioned the words of Chrift refpecting Peter's being the rock. Saint Mark and Saint Luke, relate the fame ftory of Peter's confeffing Jefus to be the Mefliah, !ut totally omit the paffage in quet-tion.-Saint Joiln ondy relates the words of Chrifi to Peter, feed my flock.-If thafe words had conveyed fuch an authority to Saint Peter, over all the Church, a doctrine upon which the whole Conftitution of vifible Chriftianity riepended, which fo infinitely interefted the Apootles themfelves, and which it was fo abfolutely necefiary for all Chrifians to be informed of, muft have been known to all the Eviangelifts, and, if known, could not have heen pafled over in filence by the greater part of them. The omiffion proves that the Evangelifts confidered them as of no great importance.

The difputes amongt the Apotles for fuperiority

If he had poftles, it is have omitce it would $t$ and effect ais reproofs, ings againft
f the feriplered Saint iority over tMathewe tioned the being the relate the s to be the ge in querwords of afe words aint Peter, on which hriftianity refted the vas fo abto be inwn to all 1 not have eater part he Evanat impor-
es for fuperiority
periority, generally, and the requeft of the Mother of Zebedec's Children, after this fuppofed inveftment of Saint Peter, fhew that they did not underftand that he had any fuperiority.

If the Chriftian Church ever ftood in need of a fpiritual and infallible ruler ; it was in the diftreffing times immediately after the death of Chrift, and we might have expected to have feen Peter, fupplying the place of his deceafed mafter, and directing his ardent fipirit to the exercife of his deputed authority. Nothing like it appears. Tbat eminent Saint is indeed confpicuous at that critical time, he is feen active, and fervent in zeal, forward in every good work, in healing the fick, and in fufferings, eanneft in prayer, and frequent in harangues; but of any power or authority claimed, or exerted by him, or fubmitted to by the other Apoftles not a fingle trace is to be found. He appoints to no offices, he dccides no differences, he prefcribes no doctrines, he gives no directions. As Chrift chofe the twelve Apoftles, if Peter was appointed to conduct his flock with that authority which he himedf excecifed, it would follow that it was his office to have filled up the vaeancy occafioned by the fall of Judas-hut Matthias was clected to that feation by the difiples, and by lot *. It was all the Apoftes jointly who propofed the inftitution of Deacons, and they were clected by the "whole mutitude." + So

[^15]fal
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fire from Petcr's directing the other Apoftes, that upon one occafion they fent him to $S a$ muria* to inftruct the new converts. The difciples who were converts from Judaifm, inflead of fubmitting to a known authority, contended with himt, nor did Peter in anfwer to them refer to any powe: as Vicar of Chrift, but endeavours to fatisfy them by a ftatement of reafons. When there were diffentions and difputations among the brethren refpecting circumcifion, the queftion was not referred to Peter for his decifion as muf have been the cafe if he was the infallible reprefentative of Chrift, but it was difculfed among the Apoftles and Elders and by them decided $; \ddagger$ and their folemn judgment upon the fubject which was fent to Antioch, is in the name of the Apoftles, the Elders and brethren, no mention being made of Saint Peter. Saint Puul deciares that he himfelf was nothing behind the very chiefert of the Aportles $\S$, and fyeaks likewife of himfelf as upon an equality with Petcr; the gofipel of the uncircumcifion was committed to me as the gofpel of the circumcifion was unto Peter $\|$.
But, without enquiring farther, the fecond Chapter of the Epintie to the Calatians, affords decifive evidence upon the fubject.-St. Poul relates an occurience which is totally inconfinent with the reprefentation given of St . Pater by the Romifh Church. To prevent

\#Gal. U. 7.
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er Apoftes, him to Sa erts. The udaifm, inhority, conanlwer to of Chrift, flatement ntions and eecting cireferred to e been the entative of e Apoftles and their vhich was Apoftles, ion being deciares the very likewile h Peter ; vas comcumcifion
e fecond tians, af-ect.-St. etally incin of St. prevent r. XII. 11,
any
any poffibility of mifconception, I fhall fate the affair in the Apoofle's own words. " When Peter was come to Antioch, I "withfood him," fays Saint Paul, "s to the "face, becaule he wals to be blamed. For be"fore that certain came with James he did " eat with the Gentiles; but when they were "come, he withdrew and feparated himfelf, "fearing them which vere of the circumnci"fion. And the other Jews difiembled like. " wite teilh him; infomuch that Barnabus alto " was carried away with their dissimulation. "But when I faw that they tuellicel not nip. "righthly, according to the trielth of the Gofjecl, "I fiid unto Peter lefore them all,-II thou, "being a Jew, liveft after the manner of the " Gentiles, and not as do the Jews, why com"pelleft thou the Gentiles to live as do the "Jews?" He then proceeds to fhew that men are not to be jutiiied by the works of the law but by faith of Jefus Chrift.

Here then we fee, firf, that Saint Pcter was in an error refipecing one of the moft important points of the Chriftian Religion, inafnuch as that he taught the Gentiles to obferve the ceremonies of the Mofaic Law, which Chrift had abolifhed. The opinion that thofe rites were neceffary to falvation, has been combated by Saint Paul with all the force of his eloquence, particularly in the Epirtle to the Romans. It was neverthelefs entertained by a number of perfons, who, in fubfequent times, entirely feparated themiclyes from the ordinary
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nary affemblies of Chriftians, and were, at length, ftigmatized as Heretics under the names of Nazarenes or Ebionites.

We fee, fecondly, that Saint Peter was incorrect in his conduct likewife. Having affociated with the Heathens, through fear of the Jewifh converts, he withdrew himfelf from cheir fociety, and endeavoured to conceal that urcumftarice by diffimulation; deceiving others and inducing them to follow his example.

Thirdly, Saint Paul publickly rebuked him in the congregation of Chriftians, for thefe erroneous doctrines and improper behaviour; becaufe, the Apoftle fays, he was to be blamed and becaufe he walked not uprightly according to the truth of the Gofpel.

This paffage is pregnant with information. It totally deftroys all idea of Saint Peter's Infallibility, for if there is any truth in Saint $P_{\text {aul }}$, his doctrines were at that time erroneous, and his conduct incorrect. Nor can that fact be explained away, by his having held the true doctrine in the Acts, and in his Epifties: for his infallibility is gone, if after Chrift's an held errors at any time. It completely overturns the affertion that Saint Peter was the Prince of the Apoftles, not only from thofe errors, and mifconduct, but likewife becaute Saint Paul fo far from being fubject to his authority withfood him to his face, and publickly cenfured him. Did Peter then exercife the fame authority which Chrift, whilft in his mortal ftate, and vifible here on
were, at under the
er was iniving affoCear of the afelf from nceal that ceiving o: example. uked him : thete erehaviour; e blamed according ormation. nt Peter's in Saint ime erroNor can is having and in his , if after time. It hat Saint not only but likeeing fub his face, eter then Chrift, here on earth
earth exercifed ?* Would Saint Putul have withftood Chrift to his face, would he have charged him with being to blame, a diffembler, and one that walked not uprightly? This event happened no lefs than nineteen years after the crucifixion, and, confequently, if Peter had been appointed by Chrift in his life time to fuch pre-eminence, it muft have been then fully eftablifhed and admitted. Such decifive authority is fufficient to overturn every conclufion which may be formed from the fuppofed interpretation of a declaration, couched in very ambiguous, obfcure, and metaphorical expreffions, like thofe of our Saviour to Saint Peter.

> Prop.IX.

But whatever might be Peter's powers, and authority, there is another long ftep to take to prove that the Popes or Bifhops of Rome are his fucceffors, and even, if they were his fucceffors, that the fame powers have been given to them. There is not one paffage in the Scriptures, by which it is either exprefsly declared, or from which it can be inferred, that Saint Peter was Bifhop of Rome, or even that he had ever fet his foot in that city. His tranfactions occupy a confiderable portion of the Acts of the $A$ poofles, but it does not appear there that the Capital of the Heathen World was benefited by his labours, or honored by his vifits. No traces of any connexion with the place, or any mention of it whatever, are to

[^16]
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be difcovered in his own Epintles. His firft is dated from Babylon, and is addireffed to the ftrangers fcattered throughoont Portus, Galatic, Cappadocia, Afia, and Bithznia*. His 6 ocond Epiftle was written fhortly before his death to the farme perfons; it is not dated from Rome, and there is nothing in the contents of it from whence it cen be infereed that it was compofed there + In Saint Paul's Epirtle to the Romans, there are no hints of Saint Peter's being Billop there, of any preeminence in that Church, or in the Bifhop of it. And though he concludes with fending his greetings to a great many perfons, Saint Petcr's name is not included in the number. Nor indeed does the Bifhopric of Rome feem very appropriate to the particular calling of Saint Pcter which was that of Apoftle of the circumcifion, as Saint $P_{\text {aul }}$ was of the uncircumcifion. For chough doubtlefs there were many Jews at Rome, yet their chief refidence was in Juden, and the neighbouring cities, whereas Rome was the principal place of the Gentiles. If Peter was ever Bilhop of that place, he muft have deferted his original vocation.

There is not then the fimalleft authority in Scripture for faying that Saint Peter was ever Binop of Rome, and confequently that the Popes are his fuccefors. And here we might reft fatisfied, for if the authos ity of Saint Pcler and his connexion with tl Zomifh Church,

His firft effed to the 'us, Galatiu, His c before his not dated a the conaferreci that int Paul's no hints of of any pree Bifhop of ith fending y perfons, n the numic of Rome ular calling Apoftle of ; of the untlefs there : chief refibouring cipal place of Bifhop of his original
ruthority in $r$ was ever $y$ that the e we might Saint Petcr if Church,
cannot
cannot be proved by Scripture, it has not that evidence which fo important a doctrine requires, before it can command the reafonable affent of mankind.

The circumftances of Saint Peter's ever hat ving been at Rome, and moft undoubtedly of his having been bifhop of it, are very far from being eftablifhed even by Authentic History. They are not fupported by any contemporary authority, but depend folely upon the affertions of writers, who lived many ycars after the time, and whote general character for credulity, and particular errors in many other points, are admitted even by the Romanifts themelves. Bifhop Bull, one of the ableft and mof learned men who have written upon ecclefiaftical affairs, after examining and weighing all the evidence upon which it refts, concludes in thefe - remarkable words. " It is doubtful whether "Saint Peter ever was at Rome, but that " he fixed his chair there is a very idle and " groundlefs imagination."
If Chrift had inveited the Popes, through Saint Pester, with fuch high authority it could faarcely lave been fo long conccaled. Revealed to carly, the knowledge of it muft have prevailed univerfally. It muft have been ingrafted into the Chriftian Religion ittelf, and been co-extenfive with its propagation. But if we confult the genuine hiftory of the Church, we find that it remained totaliy unknown, at leaft was not brought forward in its full exteat, for many centuries after Chritt. The caftern

G Churches
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Churches of Conftantinople, Antioch, Jerufalern, and $A / i a$ Minor, which were planted by the Apoftles, and fome of them even by Saint Pcter himfelf, never heard of fuch an authority, and when it was claimed by the Church of Rome, they treated it as a preteinfion totally unfounded, and never fubmitted to it. It was declared by the Council of Nice, " that the " Patriarchs of Alexandria, and Antioch, had " the fame authority over the Countries round " them, that he of Rome had over thofe that " lay about that City." A mere precedence in point of rank they allowed him, the firft place among equals, but not a fhadow of power, authority, or real pre-eminence over them.

Saint Cyprian, Bifhop of Carthage, whofe writings are received among the works of the holy lathers by the Roman Church*. refifted thefe encroachments of the Pope, and infifted with the the utmoft warmth upon the equality in point of dignity and authority that fubfifted among all members of the epifcopal order; he rejected with contempt the Pope's interference, and afferted that bithops were anfwerable to God only + .

Cyprian is achnowledged as a Saint in the Romilh Church, and prayed to as tuch ; yet from his wonks one of the moft learned men of this age has declared, that," if Cyprian's authority * Decret. Diftinc. XV. Cap. 3.

- Cyprian's own words are. Habet in ecrlefix adminiftratione vo!pmentis fiex antrian libcrum unus gufgue prox vflus, rationem actus fui Domino rudliturus. Epitt. 7.?.p. 13.).
$: h$, Jerufalern, anted by the by Saint Pcan authority, ae Church of afion totally $o$ it. It was e," that the Antioch, had intries round er thofe that precedence im, the firft fhadow of inence over
hage, whofe vorks of the ch*. refifted and infifted the equality hat fubfifted al order ; he interference, niwerable to
it in the Roh; yet from men of this i's authority s , rationem actus

In the Apoftolic age, a bifhopric was only a pholl inc-eminence in toil, in poverty, and in ciunger. When the Holy See grew rich and powerful, it became an object for worldly ambition. Intrigue and faction prevailed. The feamlefs coat of the Church was rent by fcandalous torhifire. Sometimes two, nay even three Popes, at the fame time, were feen roaming about the world, excommunicating and anathematizing each other, and all pretending to infallibility. It was upon an occa? fion of this fort that the Council of Conftance was iffembled, to reftore the tranquility of the
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"tend to reft in others what they don't poffers " themelves, is, to qualify it in very mild " terns, an unvarrantable affertion."- When Mr. Burke makes thele broad declarations, is he informed, that, for a thoufand years from the death of Chrift, the Popes were choten by the Gener If mbly of Rome? And that all the clergy: he whility, the burgeffes, and the

[^17]was only a verty, and grew rich for worldly prevailed. als rent by , nay even were feen nunicating d all pren an occa: Conftance lity of the o dreadful erent perf Gregory enth, and glaced the als of the commuyy the mie at large ty, to pren't poffers very mild "-When rations, is cars from chofen by id that all s, and the

Pcople

Pcople had a vote in the election? That it was Pope Nicholas the Sccond, about the yeall 1060, who firft endeavoured to reftriet the general right of election, loy giving the Cardinals the power of deliberating firft, though he adds "himtelf, that " the confent of the other clergy, " and of the People fhall be required to confirm "their choice?" And that it was not 'till the next century that Alesander the Third had the address, to transfer and confine the right of electing entirely to the College of Cardinals ?

I have already ftatud the pious frauds of the Pontiffs to fupport their affumed power. Before the end of the eight Century they had forged a deed of gift from the Emperor Courftantine, by which he was made to refign to them the fovereignty of Rome, Italy, and all the Provinces of the Weft. It was publifhed to the World and declared to be authentic by Pope Adriun the Firt, and is enrolled among the decrees of the canon law. At the reftoration (1) arning the fiction was detected; its falfehood is now admitted even by Cardinal Baronius, and other adrocates of the Romihn Church, and the very Pope himfelf laughs at it, as appears by an ancelote related be Cardinal du Perron. Cardinal Ciefiomes cannowledges candidly that he found manifett prow of the forgery, and faltehoob upon the face of the writing itfelf*.

This fiction was applied not only to con-

[^18]
## 80 )

vey a temporal power, but it ferved pretty trongly to infinuate, if not directly to affert; that the Pope was the Deity himfelf.

For Pope Nicholaus fays "It is Thewn evi"dently enough, that the Pontiff can neither " be bound, or loofed, by the fecular power, "fince it is plain that he is called God by the "pious Prince Conftantine; and it is mani" feft that God cannot be judged by man *. Whilft fuch were the heads of the Church, it would not argue any great want of charity to fuppofe that the inferior minifters were not of the moft unfpotted purity. Yet Mr. Burke fays, that " the abandoned profligacy of the " Clergy is a calumny as often refuted as pub" lithed." What credit is due to his charges of "calumny" is I think already pretty evident to the reader. Every paye of hiftory, every ecclefiaftical writer, fwarms with the general accufation, and with particular examples of the fraud, the arrogance, the extortion, the unbridled licentioufnefs, and the enormous crimes of the Clergy, and Monks of all denomina. tions before the reformation; proved too by moft authentic documents. Nor do the more judicious, and beft informed among the Romanifts pretend to deny a fact, which is eftablithed beyond all poffibility of contradiction. $\boldsymbol{p}_{\text {ROP. XI. }}$
The internal Eividence, derived from the
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rved pretty ly to affert; Thewn evican neither ular power, God by the $t$ is maniman *. le Church, of charity s were not Mr. Burke acy of the ted as pubis charges tty evident tory, every he general camples of on, the unous crimes lenomina_ ed too by the inore 5 the Ro$h$ is eftaradiction.

## from the

$\therefore$ ifeculari poin conitat $\dot{a}$ pio Dcum aplum eft.
nature
nature of the popith religion itfelf, affords another ftrong argument againft the Church's infallibility. Now though it may be faid, that, if, as is afferted, the Church is infallible, its decifions muft be right, however abfurd, or wicked they may feem to be ; yet, as this infallibility is not directly proved to our fatisfaction, but is left to be collected from circumftances and inferences, it is not unfair to bring its doetrine to the teft of the holy feriptures which we actually know to be infallible.What is certain affords the beft criterion whereby to judge of what is doubtful. If then the Church of Rome impofes doctrines contrary to or inconfiftent with Scripture, as has been fully proved at large by many learned writers, it affords good reaton to believe, that its authority is not derived from the fame fource, from , the eternal God, "with whom is no variable" nefs neither fhadow of turning."

It is not my prefent purpofe to enter into a difcuffion of the Doctrines of Popery in the detail. But fince Mr. 13urke* has brought forward one of them, and painted it in very delufive colours, it may be proper to notice it. I mean the belief, that the Saints and Angels are to be honored and prayed to, and that they do pray to God for us.-'Tis matter of furprife, he flys, that any man can prevail on himfelf to refute the Saints and Angels ia heaven a power which $M$ Iofes exercifed with efficacy, " Aud Mofes prayed unto the Lord,
6. and the Lord was appeafed ;" a power which Simners exercife praying one for the other."-Whis Doctrine is open to the following obtervations. 1. The Scriptures no where teach us to pray to Saints and Angels. 2. Neither the old or new Teftament can furnith a fingle example of it. Mo/es praying to God for the Ifretelites whillt he was alive, and which it was his office to do, is no more to the purpofe, than a Church of England Clergyman's praving for the King and People every Sunday at Church. Could a fingle inftance have been produced in which the Ifiruclites had prayed to Mofes after his death it would have been a ftronger cafe in point. 3. We are commanded to lerve God only, and to pray to him. Thou thalt worfhip the Lord thy God, and him only fhalt thou ferve*. 4. The Scripture tells us that there is one Mediator between God and man, the man Chrift Jefust. If any man fin we have an advocate with the Father, Jefus Chrift +. To apply to the Saints to perform thele offices, is to deny the efficacy of Chrift's mediation, or to doubt his goodnefs.5. St. Peter and St. Paul refued to be worfhipped upon earth.--6. When Saint John was going to worthip an angel he refuted."See thou do it not for 1 am thy fellow fer-vant."-\%. Is there any fufficient affurance that they are in a fituation to hear our prayers? s. If good mengo to heaven immediately upon their deaths, is there any certainty that all who
have
a power ne for the he followno where ngels. 2. an furnith ng to God alive, and ore to the d Clergyople every le inftance uclites had rould have e are comray to him. God, and e Scripture ween God $f$ any man le Father, ats to perefficacy of oodnefs.o be woraint Johns refu'ed.ellow feraffurance r prayers ? ately upon at all who
have
have been canonized have actually found their way thither? God only knows the human heart, by which alone men are judged.-Contemplate a Becket, and an Anfelm, made Saints by the Pope for infulting their fovereigns, and oppofing their authority.

Mr. Burle fays, "the only power Saints and "Angels poffers are to pray for us. That they "can grant us neither Grace nor Glory." The liturgies publithed under the authority of the Chuich prove that the Saints are prayed to for every bleffing in heaven above, and in the earth beneath.

Mr. l3urle fays, " they (that is the Proter" tants) do not confider that if there be any thing "ncw in the doctrine which they pretend to " teach, it muft be of their own invention."

I might anfwer by afking, What has time to do with truth? 'The novelty of an opinion may be indeed a reafon for bringing it to the teft of a candid examination, but it is no proof whatever of its falfehood. Mankind were not created omnifcient, knowledge is not intuitive ; the progrefs of all fcience has been flow and gradual ; even religion itfelf was not revealed all at once, and there was a time when Chrittanity itfelf was unheard of. The mathematical Theorem, that the quare of the hypothenufe is equal to the fquares of the other two fides of a right angled triangle is a truth which fubfifted from all eternity, yet it was unknown until it was demonftrated by Pythagoras. How many of the laws of nature had heen in operation
operation ever fince the creation before they were difcovered by Sir Ifaac Newton, and other philofophers!-The Continent of America was probably co-eval with the world, yet who was certain of its exiftence until Columbus pointed out the way? -If the errors of Popery continued undetected during whole centuries of ignorance, was truth excluded by prefcription?

But which religion, I fhould be glad to know, is moft open to the charge of novelty at all; the Proteftant perfuafion which takes for its only rule and guide the Holy Scripture, the word of Chrift and his Apoftles, and confequently is as old as Chriftanity itfelf, or the Romifh Church, which has derived mort of its roctrines from the decrees of Popes, and the decifions of Councils, in times long fubfequent, to thofe of Chrift and the Apootles?. The precife time when each of thefe diftinguifhing tenets of that Church were firft introduced, their gradual Progrefs, and final eftablifhment, have been diftinctly traced, and correctly afcertained. Moft of them were fubfequent to the firft public eftablifhment of Chriftianity by Conftantine, above three hundred years after the death of our Saviour. From this time new doctrines, and new corruptions encreafed in a regular gradation to the completion of the enormous fabric by the Council of Trent.

There doctrines were therefore rejected by the proteftants at the reformation, becaufe upon diligent enquiry, and the light of learning which began
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efore they $m$, and $o-$ of Amehe world, until $C_{0}$ e crrors of ng whole cluded by
to know, ty at all; :es for its pture, the nd confelf, or the d mort of s, and the bfequent, The prenguifhing iced, their ent, have afcertainnt to the ianity by ears after this time encreafed on of the Crent. ejected by aufe upon ng which began
began to beam upon them, after ages of fipiritual darknefs, they were fully fatisfied that they were the inventions and additions of men.

Proteftantifm is the Chriftian Religion purified from the corruptions by which its real nature was almof hid and extinguifhed. It is the fincere doctrine taught by Chrift and his Apoofles, and profeffed by Chriftians in the earlicit ages.

The infallible fupremacy which we jurtly refufe to the Popifh Church, we do not claim for ourfelves. Proteftants admit no human fpiritual authority as binding upon their confciences. They look for their religious duties no where but in their Bibles. Their minifters impofe upon them no other doctrines as neceffary to falvation, exact no other practices, than what are there clearly to be found. They do not require their congregations to fubmit implicitly to their authority, " but they requert " them to judge what they fay, to prove all things, and to hold faft that only which is good*." To take God's word for their lav and that reafon, which God has given for their guidance, as their beft interpreter.

Yet thefe are the unafluming men, whom Mr. Burke 中 accufes of "an intolerable excefo " of pride, little inferior to that of the Demon "the King over all the Sons of pride. To "whom he applios the words of Scripture they "prophecy falcls, 'tis a lying vilion, and di"vination the fratd and feduction of their

* Cor. X. 13. 1 Thefs. V. 21. + Bage 41 , \&ec. \&c. \&c,
" 6 hearts."

66 hearts."-of whom he fays that "they are "deluded men, wild enthufiaits, canting hypo${ }^{6}$ crites, felf taught and conftituted teachers, and " judges of the world. Who obtrude with ama6 zing confidence, or rather unparalleled effion" tery, the ravings of their wild imaginations, " on a well meaning and pioufly inclined but " unniformed people; thieves and robbers; de"ferving the dreadful punifhment which God " inflicted upon Corah, Dathan, and Abiram for " prefuming to ufurp a miniftry which he had " placed in other hands; thofe of the Church of "Rome! men in a fate of Perdition, in the " gall of bitternefs, and the bond of iniquity; de"ceived by the fpirit of illufion, miftaking Pha"rifaical malevolence for pure Chriftian zeal. "Agents and emiffaries of that foul fiend, "whom the Scripture emphatically calls a liar, " and the father of lies, of the party of the de" vil, and imitating his cnvy."

Thefe are the flowers of eloquence which Mr. Burke has fattered with to liberal a hand over the whole body of Proteftant Minifters. The Church of England, the Pretbyterians, the Auabaptifts, the Methodifts, and all other Non-conformitts, of every denomination, are all equally comprehended in them; for the Church of Rome holds them all equally heretical. "Though their faces are difierent," fays the Pope, " their tails are all tied toge"ther, becaufe they agree in vanitr." I have felected thefe choice paniges as a curious pipecimen of the language in which a pefon thi-
at "they are anting hypoteachers, and de with amaalleled effronmaginations, , inclined but 1 robbers ; deit which God nd Abiram for which he had the Church of dition, in the finiquity; deiiftaking PhaShriftian zeal. at foul fiend, lly calls a liar, arty of the de-
quence which liberal a hand ant Minifters. Prefbyterians, , and all other omination, are hem; for the equally hereare different,"
all tied togenity." I have a curious fipea perion fliling
ling himfelf a Chriftian Clergyman, can indulge himfelf without provocation, againft all other Chriftian Clergymen, who happen to differ from him in opinion. It may ferve likewife as a fair fample of the tolerance of the Romifh Church, and may teach an ufeful leffon to all defcriptions of Proteftants, of what might be expected if its power was equal to its good will. - If they fay thefe things in the green wood, what would they not do in the dry?

To every good man who feels an intereft in the tranquility of the world, the reftlefs and affuming fpirit manifefted in Mr. Burke's Letter prefents a lamentable picture. No fooner are the Roman Catholics permitted to enjoy the free exercife of their religion, than their paitor flies in the face of the Government by which they are protected, furiounly attacks all other perfuafions, and wifhes to monopolize the kingdoms both of this world, and the next. Popery had not been tolerated in this country much more than twenty years when this publication appeared ; and let it be for ever recorded in the annals of this Province, that the very Chapel where Mr. Burke now erects his Battery againftall Proteltant places of worfhip, was in a great meafure built by the friendly and voluntary fubfeription of Proteftants.

With refpect to Mr. Burke's jacobinical doctrines, it is in vain to fay that they were introduced inadvertently or without any bad intention. To a man who has taken of much pains, and ufed fuch difingenucus mans to
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fupport them, they muft have been objects near his heart. Equally abfurd is it to alledge that other and better principles are taught and profeffed likewife, and that the general tendency of the publication feems to be a good one. In thefe days, when jacobinifm is become fo generally detefted; and all governments are fo much upon the alert againft it, 110 man would dare to profefs fuch doctrines openly and directly; they muft be introduced and diffeminated covertly, and guardedly, fufpicion muft be avoided, and even an outward appearance of obedience and loyalty may be made the ftalking horfe to cover defigus deftructive of both. A few pages indeed at the beginning are quite as conftitutional, as the beit fubject could exprefs, but after that, exeept a part at the end where unlawful oaths are condemned, almoft all the book is occupied with thofe fubjects, and principles, which it is the defign of thefe obfervations to point out; principles totally inconfifient with the profeffed object of the Letter. If Mr. Burke really thought infurrection fo great an evil as he ftates it to be, would he have been fo earneft in propagating the jacolinical rights of the poople ? If he to much refpected Government, and honcured " our moft gracious fovereign," would he have endeavoured by fuch arts to lower the falutary prejudice which ought to be entertained refpecting all Royal perfous, and would he have robbed His Majefty of one of the brightent jewels in his
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been objects it to alledge are taught hat the geleems to be a acobinifm is d all governt againft it, ich doctrines e introduced rdedly, fufpian outward alty may be $r$ defigns dendeed at the mal, as the er that, exlawful oaths ok is occuiples, which ons to point it with the Mr. Burke $t$ an evil as en fo earneft ights of the sovernment, fovereign," fuch arts to hich ought Royal pered lis Ma-
rel: in his
crown
crown, his ecclefiaftical fupremacy? Would he have totally counteracted his injunctions to fubmit to the powers that are, by rendering all civil powers infecure, and dependant upon the pleafure of the people? Eftablifh but once in * the minds of men this divine, indefeafible miniftry of the people, and the reft of his book is totally ufelefs. Imprets them once with a firm conv: ion that all lawful power is derived from them, and ftop them from exerting their fovereign authority if you can, by the fentiments of prudence, and duty, at the beginning of the pamphlet. It is like fetting a houte on fire at all ends, and throwing a bucket of water upon it. It all comes to this fhort point, if Mr. Burke was dincere in his wifhes to promote fubordination, he could not have introduced any doctrines of a directly oppofite nature ; but if his defigns were to promote Jacobinifm, he could not have found a more judicious, and effectual mode of doing it than by ufing a cloak of a different colour. Jacobinifns is irreconcileable with loyalty, but loyal pretences are not inconfiftent with Jacobinifin. Loyalty could require no mixture of Jacobinifin to make it palatable, but perhaps a draught of pure Jacobinifin would never have been readily fwallowed, unlefs the edge of the cup had been a little flaroured with more wholefome principles.

But it is not merely a queftion of intention; fuch doctrines he has actually taught, and they are too flattering to human pride not to
make
make a deep imprefion. The divine miniftry of the people will be remembered, when the maxims of fubordination are forgotten. Suppofe then that thefe principles fhould produce here the fame effect which they have done in other countries, even contrary to Mr. Burke's intentions, is he aware that he would be anfwerable before God and man for the dreadful confequences which might enfue.

Whatever may be the defign, and however well imagined the means, there is indeed reafon to hope that they will not prove fuccefsful. The air of this country feems as little adapted to nourith the contagion of democracy, as it does that other, but not greater fcourge of mankind the yellow fever. It has been founded, peopled, cherifhed, and grown to its prefent profperity under loyalty ; and it is difficult to conceive what advantages it could gan from an oppofite conduct. Many of its prefent inhabitants, and their forefathers, have heen too great fufferers in their property, and their perfons, by the principles which Mr. Burlie is now introducing and inculcating, very readily to adopt them. An immenfe majority of the inhabitants of the Province are Proteftants, and as loyal fubjects as any in his Majefty's dominions. The Roman Catholics have been always hitherto equally confpicuous for their attachment to their King and Country, for their liberality of fentiment, and for the propriety of their conduct. This well deferved character all men of fenfe among them
vine minired, when forgotten. oould prohave done Ir. Burke's ld be anc dreadful

1 however aleed reafuccefsful. le adapted tacy, as it Courge of en foundto its preis difficult ouk gan of its preers, have jerty, and hich Mr. culcating, immente ovince are any in his Catholics nfpicuous ad Coun-- and for s well deong them will
will no doubt be realous to preferve, and to manifeft upon every occafion, fince both their duty, and their intereft muft point it out to them ; but it is difficult to fay what changes may have been wrought in the minds of weak, ignorant, and unprincipled perfons, fuch as are unhappily to be found in every commu. nion, from the cloctrines which are now firft publicly taught by their principal paftor. This open avowal of fuch principles however will have one good effect-that of putting Government, and all good fubjects upon their guard, againft the poffible confequences of them.

At any rate, all worthy men of every perfuafion will have to lament Mr. Burke's inditcretion; becaufe he will have contributed to difturb the general harmony which prevailed among the different claffes of Chriftians; and that at a period which moft loudly calls for unanimity. The members of his own congregation will have little caure to thank him. It is rumoured that an application has been made to the Britifh Government for a licence for a Roman Catholic School. But Mr. Burke's publication is a complete anfiver to fuch a petition. No man in his fentes can fuppofe that any fovereign could permit femmaries to he chtablithed, under the direction of perfons who teach the diaine minifiry of the peopic. It is to be feared likewife that his Lecter of Inftruction will throw a great deal of diferedit and fufpicion upon the worthy part of the Koman Catholics who are very undeterving of it. H: From
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From the great influence which the Clergy of that Church are fuppofed to poffefs over their members, it will be concluded that the jacobinical doetrines here difplayed have pervaded the whole body; in which cenfure many excellent men, and good fubjects will naturally, however unjuftly, be comprehended; unlets they effectually remove fuch unfounded prejudices againtt them, by the mott decided and unambiguous conduct.

If in the courfe of thefe obtervations I have been obliged to fpeak pretty plainly refpecting Mr. Burke's publication ; let it be rememberefl that I have been merely upon the defenfiece. My only objeet was to vindicate the legiflature of Great Britain and of this country from a charge of calumny, proteftantifm from an imputation of impofture, and its minifters from a maliguant accufation of being hirelings in the fold of Chrift; that I have been refifting the principles of confufion, and upholling the lawful prerogatives of my fovercign.

Between Mr. Burrés political aud his religions opinions a material diftinction is to be made. The doctrines of Jacobinifon are not connceded with any particular religion, and it is the duty of every good member of fociety to detcet, expote, and refift them, wherever the $\because$ appear, tince they are egually injurious to perions of all religions.

But
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he Clergy of ifs over their the jacobinvc pervaded e many exill naturally, nded ; unlets ounded predecided and
itions I have ly refpecting c remembera the defendicate the leof this counproteftantifm , and its miion of being that I have onfufion, and es of my fo-

1 and his retion is to he nifm are not ligion, and it er of focicty m, wherever $y$ injurious to

But his religious opinions would not have induced me to trouble the public with there obfervations if he had reftrained himfelf to his own Church, and kept within the bounds of decency, and the refpect due even to the involuntary errors of others : if he had not declared open war, againft every other perfuafion, and treated all proteftant minifters with the moft fcurrilous language. ollowing his arguments I have been under the necefity of difcufing the exclufive claim of the Church of Rome to fpiritual authority. Beyond this, I have carefully declined entering upon any of the Roman Catholic Tenets, further than Mr. Burke has voluntarily brought them forward to the notice of the public. I have not willingly or unneceffarily attacked any man's principles, or his prejudices; it was not my purpofe to prove that they are in the wrong, but that we have good grounds to believe ourfelves to be in the right; not to cenfure the Romifh Church, but to hew that Proteftant Minifters are not deferving of the very opprobrious epithets which have been lavifhed upon them.-Of mifreprefenting the tenets of the Roman Catholics I camot furcly be accufed fince I have uniformly fated them in their own words, and from the mort authentic fources; and 1 have alledged few facts without quoting my autherities.

However great the provocation, I have endeavoured to preferve the temper of a Chrittian and a Gentlemen. Againf Mr, Durlie himfelf
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himfelf I entertain neither refentment or ill will. I only pray that God may forgive and amend in him the unfriendly fpirit which he has fhewn in his publication. As to the Roman Catholics in general, however I may lament what I conceive to be errors, not from prejudice, but from ferious inquiry, I condemn them not ; to their own mafter they ftand or fall. As I have no reaion to the contrary fo I feel no fentiments towards them but thofe of a fincere brotherly love. As I have hitherto lived with them in habits of mutual civility and kindnefs, fo I hope always to continue in the fame reciprocal exchange of good offices. I know, and I wifh them to recollect, that we are all the fervants of the fame God, having all the fame hope in his fon Jefas Chrift. Upon other points we may diffe:, upon one fubject there can be no variation of opinion, that univerfal Charity is the characteriftic virtue of all Chriftians. "Though we have the " gift of prophecy, and underfaad all myf" teries, and all knowledge; and though we " have faith, fo that we could remove moun" tains, and have not charity, we are nothing*." In the purfuit and excrcife of this virtuc emulation between all fects of Chrittians would be noble. Inftead of hurling defiance and anathemas at each other, which can never convince the underfanding, though they may inflame the paffions, how much more edifying a fpectacle would it be to fee us flrwing in a

[^20]fentment or ill ay forgive and pirit which he As to the Rovever I may larrors, not from iry, I condemn er they ftand or the contrary fo them but thofe I have hitherto mutual civility ys to continue ge of good offio recollect, that ne God, having Jefas Chrift. liffe;, upon one tion of opinion, aracteriftic virh we have the faind all myfand though we remove mounare nothing*." this virtue emuhrittians would 5 defiance and dich can never ough they may 1 more edifying us ftriving in a

## Omitted in page 12, after line 12.

Now, by another Canon of Pope Urban II, it is declared not to be murder to kill perfons who have been excommunicated.
"We do not confider thofe as murderers, " who burning with the zeal of their catholic " mother againft perfons excommunicated, "Thall kill any of them."*

Taking the two canons together, it is clear, therefore, not only that heretical Sovereigis, but that all heretics whatever by the catholic. tenets, might have been lavfully deftroyed or murdered.

* Decret. Caufa XXIII, Queff:
bitramur, quus adverfus excopmung
aliguos corum trucidaffe contigest.
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[^0]:    * Perpetual Acts of the General Affembly of Nova-scotia, 3 Gcorge
    , chap. v. 1755 .

[^1]:    * Sept: Decretal. Lib. V. Tit. 3. Cap. 9. Hac noftra in perpetuum valitura conftitutione; de apoftolice poteftatis plenitudine fancimus : quod fententiis, cenfuris, et ponis predictis in fuis robore et efficacia remenentibus, omnes et finguli.--Comites, Barones, Marchiones, Duces, Reges, et Imperatores, qui hactenus deviaffe, aut in harefim incidiffe deprehenfi fuerint, aut in pofterum deviabunt, ant in horefim incident, fint etiam eo ipfo abfque aliquo juris aut facti minifterio, Comitatibus, Baroniis, Marchionatibus, Ducatibus, Regnis, et Imperio, penitus, et in totum, perpetuo privasi, et ad illa de catero inhabiles et incapaces habc. antur.
    + Decret. Greg. Lib. V. Tit. 7. Cap. 13. Excommunicamus itaque et authematizanus onnnem hærefim extollentem fe adverfus hanc fanctam, orthodoxam, et catholicam fidem, quam fuperius expofuimus...- Condemiantes hæreticos univerfos, quibufcunque nominibus cenfeantur, facies quidem diverfas habentes, fed caudas ad invicem colligatas, guia de vanitate conveniunt in idipfum.

[^2]:    * Quod fi Cluiniani olim non depofucrunt Neronen, aut Jubianum, id hinit, quia deermat vires tomperales Climithats. Echam, de Rom,

[^3]:    * Decect. Caufa Xisill. \{txat. 4. Cap, 3S. cre.

[^4]:    * Caus. XXIl. Quxen. ․ Vibern fimulatonem, et in tempore affimendam Jchu Regis Iir.cel nos doceat exemplum,-Nec numu, guamvis juftos homines, tamen aliqual fimatare protempere oh fuan et ahormm falutem, cum et irf: Donsinus neace non babens peccatum, nee carnem peccati, fimulationem peccatricis carnis affunpferit.- bee gue ancuducia fuat venialia ct gla dannabilia.

[^5]:    * Decret. Greg. Lib. II. Tit. XXIV. Cap. 27. The Chapter is in. titled,-Juramentum contra urilitatem eccleflaticam preftium non tenet. And the weods of the decfee ate ;-Non juramenta, fed perjusi: potins funt diconda, gtio e nuta ntilitatem ecclefaticam attentantur.

[^6]:    * 1 Sam. ch. 10. v. xxyii.
    thapris.v. ai.

[^7]:    

[^8]:    * 1 E゙Mss, sii. t .
    + Deut. xvii. 14. 15.

[^9]:    

[^10]:    * Vr. Burse's pagcs 50, 21.
    - More Pius's Creed.
    

[^11]:    * For my authority I rcfer to the Ecclefiatical Hiftorian, Mofh im, Who rintes the Centuia Magdeburgenfes. Blondel who has written a treatif upon tome of thefe forgeries. Cardinal Eleury, Iuddews, an! Petr. Constantius, Baronius, and Eteph. Ealuzius.

[^12]:    * Heb. IX. 15, 16. $\quad$ Mr Burke, pis.

[^13]:    * Math. XY1. C. 18, 19 v's.
    + St John XXI. 15-1s.

[^14]:    * Mark 1X. 54, 35. + Math XXIII. S.
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[^16]:    * Mr. B. p. 31 .
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[^19]:    - Decret. Dift. 96. Cap. 7. Satis evidenter oftenditur. à feculari poState nec ligari prorfus, nec folvi poitt: Pontificem, quem contat a pio
    
    

[^20]:    * 1 Cor. Xild

