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# THE SETGNTORTAJ, TRNTRTE IN CANADA, AD <br> <br> PLAN OF COMMUTATION <br> <br> PLAN OF COMMUTATION <br> LI <br> J. C. TACHLL 

"It is evident that no great law reform cim
" be devised, withont the ocemrence of indivi-
" dnal cases of hardship, and that seheme mast
" be considered the inost eligible which contains
"the best general rule."
(Report of the Commissioners of 1813.)
" Indubio libentati favendum est."
(Roman Aphorism.)

## IRRNTED BY ORDER OF TIIE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

(Translated from the French.)


QUEBEC:

To the Members of the Seigniorial Concenton of the Comty of Rimoushi.
Gexthemen,
In complianee with your wishes, I now offer you a new project fur the settlement of the Seigniorial Tenure in Canada. The only reward I desire in return for my labours, is, that you will serionsly and impartially examine it. I crave pardon for presenting you with a paper which bears evidence of having been hastily drawn up,-in compiling it. however, I had, above all things, to be concise.

> Your devoted servant,
imouski, 21st February, 1854
J. C. TACHE.


## ROOMS OF TIE RIMOCSKI CONVENTION.

In obedience to the instructions given at a public mecting of the Parish of St. Germain de Rimonski, hed on the welfh of February last, the Commitice of organization which was then constituted, issmed a Circular to the different Parishes of the Comaty calling upon them to elect delegates with a vinw to the cestablishing of a Seiguiorial Convention, to sit at Rimouski, on the twenty-first of the present month.

> J. BTEE. LEPAGE,
> Secretary to the Committee of organization.

Rimonski, 21st February, 18:1.

## MINUTES

of the semiomial convention of the county of rimouski, hegux and hele
J. C. TACHÉ, Esquire. M. P. P., President, $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { P. GAUVREAU, Esquire, } \\ \text { BEN. DIONNE, Eqquire, }\end{array}\right\}$ Vice-Presidents. BEN. DIONXE, Eqquire, P. L. GAUVRLAUU. Esquire, $\}$ Secretaries. L. F. GiARON, Esquire, \}

Beleyates ir iresent:

| MM. A. Bertrand,..... Green Island, J. Bte. Bélanger,. . St. Simon, <br> M. Côté,............ Rimonski, <br> J. Coté, ............... Bic, <br> F. Chamberland,... Bic, <br> C. F. Caron,...... St. Simon, <br> S. Coté,....... .... Green lshand, <br> J. 13. Chambertand, Kakouna, <br> J. Durnin, ............ Ste. Flavic, <br> J. Dutremble,....... Ste. Flavie, <br> P. Dechamplain, .. St. Luce, <br> Dr. Dubé, ........ Trois Pistoles, <br> Ed. Durette,....... St. Eloi, <br> Dr. Desjardins,..... Green Island, <br> Benj. Dionne,...... Kakouna, <br> J. Fourner, .......... Ste. Flavie, <br> J. Forbes,............ Matane, <br> A. E. Gauvreau,... Ste. Luce, <br> P. Gauvreau, ....... Rimouski, <br> L. P. Gauvreau,... Rimouski, <br> J. Garon, ........ . Rinouski, <br> L. F. Garon, ........ Rimouski, <br> D. Gagné, ........... St. Fabien, <br> L. A. Huot, ......... Matane, <br> F. Hudon,........... St. Simon, <br> J. IIeath,............ Grecn Island, <br> J. Lévesque,......... Mitis, <br> A. Langevin,....... Ste. Lucc, <br> C. Lepage,.......... Rimouski, |  |
| :---: | :---: |

The Seigniors who took part in the meeting were:
Messsrs. D. Fergusson, Seignior of Mitis,
L. N. Gauvreau, Seignior of Villerai,
C. Rioux, Co-Seignior Trois-Pistoles.

After the meeting had spent some time in deliberation, the following motions were made :-

Dr. Dobé moved, seeonded by Mr. A. E. Gaurreau :
That it bue resolved that the seigniorial question is a measure of urgent necessity, and that there is no other means of immediately determining it than hy adopting a miform and simplified system in order to know at onee what is to be done, and to avoid the delays, mistakes and difficulties of every deseription which arise from enquiries, arbitrations, commissions and appointments.

Carried manimonsly.
Mr. Edouard Martin moved, seconded by Dr. Desjardins,
That it be resolved that it is the opinion of this Convention that the plan proposed by Dr. Taché, member for the Comnty of Rimonski, has placed the guestion of the Seigniorial Commatation in a new light, and that the said plan is that which the Comnty of Rimouski adopts in so far as it is eoncerned in the question.

Carried manimonsly,
Mr. Théophile St. Laurent moved, seconded by Mr. Jean Sirois - -
That this Convention undertakes in the name of the Connty of Rimorski to have printed in pamphlet form the project of Br. Tache as well as the explanations and commentaries aceompanying it for the purpose of distribution anong the jublic at large.

Carried manimonsly.
Mr. John Heathmoved, seconded by Mr. Charles Francois Caron :-
That this Convention, in the name of the Comnly of Rimonsli reqnests the other Comoties maturely to cxamine the project of Dr. Thehe, certain as the said Convention is, that this projeet which does justice to all parties, will be adopted after a serions examination.

Carried unanimously.
Mr. J. Caron moved, seconded by Mr. R. Sproat:-
That this Convention entertain the opinion that the nembers from Up; er Canada will support the members from Lower Canada on the important question of the abolition of the Seigniorial rights.

Carricd unanimonsly.
Mr. I. A. Huot, moved, seconded by Mr. F. Chambertand, that in answer to the appeal from the County of Kamonraska to the inhabitants of this District, this Convention offers for its consideration the project just adopted.

Carried unanimously.
Mr. J. 13. Pouliot moved, sceonded by Mr. J. Garon,
That in order to hasten the immediate solution of the Scigniorial question, it becomes necessary that this Convention should come to an understanding with the other Anti-Seigniorial Conventions of this Province with a view to the adoption of a miform plan for the whole Province, to be submitted at the nest meeting of the Legislature.

The said motion was rejected by the whole of the meeting exeept the movers, on the principle that it was calculated to affect the adoption of the project in question, and that the Convention had already appealed by a Resolution to the other parts of the Country.

On motion of Mr. J. Forbes, seconded by Mr. J. B. Pouliot ;
Resolved that the thanks of this meeting are due to the President, both for his services in the present circumstance, and his constant efforts to promote the interests of the Country and of his County in particular; and that the same thanks are also due to the Vice Presidents and Secretaries, for the interest taken by them in the present question.

## True Copy.

$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { P. L. GAUVREAU, } \\ \text { L. F. GARON, }\end{array}\right\}$ Secretaries.

## i.

I had hitherto only considered the Seigniorial Tenure with a view to the redressing of the wrongs arising from it, and only desired commutation to the extent necessary to remove the obstucles to industry, consequent in certain cases upon the Tenme in censice. In so doing I went no further than to adopt the opinion of the most eminent men who have given their serious attention to this subject, and defer to the views expressed by the great majority of the censitraires in the petitions pesented by them to those in authority. These opinions are set forth in the letters and documents annexed to the lieport of the Commis. sion of 1843. "The complaints brought or raised against our Seigniorial 'lenure", wrote the censitaires of St. Cyprien de Léry, "could only have been made ugainst the speculators who have become Seiguiors of our filest Scegniories, and against the silence maintuinced in our Courts of Justice, with respect to the unlawful proceedings which have compromised this system."

I am yet of opinion that in a country newly gettled and devoted exclusively to agriculture, there is no better system of concediug lands than that practised moler the Seigniorial Tenure, as established in Canada by the laws of France. "Ihe system of Seigniories" said the celebrated Andrew Stuart in the Report of the Committee of 1820, "with respect to the settlement of wild lands, is calculated to produce and has produced in this country an equal division of lands, an effect favorable to human happiness, morality, hahits of industry, the stability of the laws of Government, and the military strength of the country."

I am far from espousing the opinion of those who pretend that the Seigniorial Tenure, by the individual relations it creates, tends to lower and degrade the people. Michelet, speaking of the feudal tenure in France, says, " Servile forms, minds free and bold, such is the feudal tenure." Morcover, say the Commissioners $0 . .^{\prime}$ '43, "The Canadian Seignior was not possessed of many of the odious and outrageous rigis.s and privileges which belong to the Feudal Scignior in Europe." From the Feudal Tenure we had preserved what is good, and it is probably in part to this institution that we are indebted for the chivalric manners and exquisite politeness which characterize our population ; for, let us endeavour to preserve these excellent qualities when the Seigniorial Tennre shall have ceased to exist, and let us beware of casting insult upon these gradually fading institutions; liberty and equality are better upheld when unaccompanied by trivial and unbeseeming actions.

There always have been and always will be large proprietors, whether they be patriarchs, Seigniors or merchants; the possession of large property is a necessary element in the progress of the human race : but the result invariably is, the abuse of institutions, and thence arise those shocks, either anticipated or unforeseen, which are to be met with in the history and the Legislation of every nation, under the names of Jubilec, agrarian laws, and revolutions, and which hereafter will be called expropriation of property for the the public interest, with indemnification. In the early period of our history the Canadian husbandman might say, under our Tenure, upon setting foot in his new country, and planting his axe in the forest tree: "this soil is minc," and hence the adage applied to one who has no written title, "My axc is my title." In truth the holder under free and common soccage can hardly say as much as this.

Were we to consider the Seigniorial Temure only with reference to the relation existing between the Censitnire and the seignior, it would be impossible to hring any sound argument in favor of the doctrine of compulsory commutation against the Censitaire, because upon him rests the charge, upon his shonders is the burthen placed, and to him in such ense bolongs the choice. Any haw tending to change the nature of 1 privilege has for its effect to cave the burthen of him who suffers. Without this the law would be useless or vexations. "It wouid be nu net of sovereign injustice," said the lnepector General, Mr. Hincks, in 1853, "to impose a forced commutation, and this on aceount of the exactions of the Seigniors." This appears evident, in so far asit relntes to a Law for regulating private transactions; in such a position, the Seigniorial guration con:l ouly be solved by means of a law establishing the respective rights of Seiguiors and Cemsitaires, and by a law of vohuntary commutation. I desire no further pronfs of the justice of this opinion in its application, than the contest of interests, the multiplicity of pretensions, and the conflicting anthorities, to which may be added the mass of d tails which characterise all schemes of settlement. This idea has been brought out by Messes. Buchaman, Taseherem, and Smith, in the report of 1843 , and it was ulso the opinion of the Committee of 185.

Happily, however, the question may be looked at in a broader light. The fendal tenure trammels not only the Censitaire, but socicty at large, and, to use the spiritel language of old tradition, "l'enferme sous portes et !!meds du ciel ì lu terre."* IIypothceary credit, public and private enterprise, and the rights of third parties, all owe submission to the Régime of this Tenure. I will cite an example, from which I shall draw a fact of importance to the canse. The Province, in conjunction with wealthy Companies, is now engaged in the construction of a net-work of railways; but, for this purpose, Seigniorial property has to be traversed and a portion of it to be purchased; now every lot of fendal lamel, in virtue of the indivisibility of the ecns. is subject to the payanent of lods et ventes. Let a transaction be effected equivalent to sale, and it will be necessary to pay to the Seigniors the twelfth part of the value of the railwnys.

In a case like this, and in that of the foundation or enlargement of Towns, or the establishment of mills or factories, it is not the Censitaire, the present occupier of rural property, who suffers the greatest wrong, but the mechanic, the capitalist, and as a conseguence, society in general, whose progress may be retarded, and even paralyzed. The husbandman, whose property is transmitted from father to son, pays no lods et ecntes, and the country proprictor who sells to a manufacturer a small portion of his lands, sells it for what it is worth to him, nay even more, and the lods et ventes which acerue by the application of capital no longer concern him.

Mr. lerzcy, of D'Aillebout, writing to the Commission of Enquiry into the Scigniorial Tenure, says :-"Were I at liberty to commute the tenure of the lands now in my possession, I should have but few reasons for doing so ; not that I have individually any objection to change their 'Tenure, but because I prefer to keep the moncy I should have to expend in effecting the change, and employ it in a much more profitable manner." This was also the opinion expressed by Messrs. 'Taché, of Kanouraska, Raymond, of St. Jacques, and a multitude of others, who could not be accused of ideas cither retrospective or oldfashioned. It was under the influence of as wise a view that Attorney General Drammond, during the discussion on the Bill of last session, made the following declaration, which was received with applause by the whole House: "I will never consent to any measure rendering commutation inmediate and forced on the part of the Censitaire." It is not then on this ground that I approach the question. I would treat it as a measure of general utility, required by a revolution which has taken place in the construction of society, and I lay down this principle, that in this act of expropriation to serve the public

[^0]interest, the Seignior should he indemified to the full umount of what is justly due to him ; that the Censitaire should pay an nuount equisalent to what is due by him : that society ought to contribute in propertic, to the benefits it would receive, and the surifice it womblapose: fine a sacrifiee it is that socicty imposes on the esergion in furcing him to provide ansther merans of investment for his capital. and a like sacrifies it inposes oa the Consitaire in compelling him toredeem those casual dues, which he now pays only in virtue of an act of his own will.

## II

It is expedient in the first place to give a listory of the Sempiorial Themure, for the purpose of showing the object and intent of its introduction into Camada, nad to explain the extent of the duties it imposes upon cach member of Society. It is not neecsary to investigate history for the origin of the fendal law in larope ; it is phan that this institution was fornod gradually ont of the decentralization of Goverument and justice, carried to its farthest limits by prow and warlike nations, jealons of their rights vith no recoular form of Goverument, divided anong themselves as to origin and interests, !ut accustomed to the same life and the same habits, and compelled to mite ou improme oceasimens for purposes of attack or defonece.

Immediately after the discosery of Camala, the first care of the Kings of limee was to endeaverr to efficet the settlement of the Country, with a view to the conversion and cicilization of the Indinas, and for the bencfit of the Kiny's suljects. It is therchere upoa an historical falkehood that some of the ecigniors base their assertion that the concersions of lands thronghont the extent of New France, were only intended to benelit one elass at the expense of the other ; and their alvocates memory signally failed him when he asserted that the lings of France considerel " the people to be so abject, ns not to merit any consideration." History will prore that during the entire progress of the work of transformation which took place here, phaticularly between the tenth and twelfh centuries, the struggle in France was mantaned between the people and the King on the one hand and the high vassals on the other.

However this may be, the manifest intention of the Kings of France is expressed in all the letters and documents having reference to the Colony. "The entire history of " early legislation in Canada," said Mr. Chauveau in the last Session of Parliament, "is nothing more than a scheme of colonization."

The powers and attributes granted by Jenry IV, first of all to Messrs. de Roberval, de Monts, and subsequently to Guillaume de Caen, not having produced the desired effect, Louis XIII, in 1627, constituted by Royal Charter the Compagnie des Ccnt Associés, and reveled the powers granted to de Caen, giving to the Company the Country of New Jrance en toute propriété, justice et Scigncurie and in the preamble to this charter, the King explains the object of this grant in the following terms. "The King being " possessed of the same desire as the deceased Henri le Grand, his father......hat, to seek "out and discover......in the Country of New France, a locality suitable for the establish" ment of a Colony, and by that means to lead the inhabitants to a knowledge of the " true God, to civilize them and to derive from the said lands some advantageous com" merce for the benefit of the King's subjects."

This Company of New France conceded Seigniories to different persons, always keeping in view the manifest intention of colonization. "The wish to settle advantageously the Colony of New France, causing us to seek out those who would contribute to that end their own influence and property, \&c., (Concession by the Company to the Reverend Jesuit Fathers.)

In 16,3, the Company, feeling themselves mable to accomplish the und for which they hal been formed, resigned their rights, and in lobt Lomis XiV emstitut the
 Amerien", in secigniory, with all rights of peperty and jurishictinn "to effect their settlement, and establish a comacree on wenried on there by strangers."

Their attempt nut having bees sucesssifh, the great ling revoked the consension, and in 167.2 remited the property of the Company of the West Indies to the dmanans of the Crown. "To preserse to our subjects," says the edict, "the advuntuges which their courage and industry hal carned for them" and Louis, considering it expedicat to provide for the concession of new lands, anthorized Messrs, de leronteme mad buchesnem, then Governor mad latendant, to grant coneessions, and after that period all emeessions were male by the kiag or his representatives, the greater part of them enamencing ass follows: "His Dajesty having mways zealonsly songht the onems of advancing in the most remote Cominties the glory of Goal and the Christian name, the first and main object of the establistment of a l'rench Colony in Canada, se., \&e."

We have seen that the King revoked at will and witheut indemification the concessions made to the Companies; on different oceasions, forfitare was even ledared against individuals on aceount of negtect ia setting ; here is an example boraring date 165:, "1Laving given notice to Charlotte Lacombe, widow of Antnine Cadde, that we desired, in conformity with the will of the King, that she should thrn to acemut and settle the Piviere de la Madmeine...... .withont havig emmencel to make any settement apon the said river and lands, wherefore we iatimad her........that we modid reanite the said river aud lands conecded to the said Gathe to the domain of His Majesty, that he might grout it to chather person "iho wrold catublish settlements fire the


The concessions were made on eondition of settlemem; and of conceding at the customary rates amb cherges. The reciprosal relations and luties of the Setgniur te the King, and of the Censitaire to the Seignior were, and still ate, regulated by custom, and the Edicts und hoyal decrees, (Arets Royaux) an! the Ordinances and decisions of the Intendants, established the Jurispualence in matere of interpretation.

Until the Cession of Canada, the Crown of France and its representatives watehed with paternal care the settlement of the Conntry, and mantuineal the rights of Censitaire Settlers or those wishing to become such, and any attempt at encroachuent or refusal to concede on the part of the Seignior, was instantly repressed. The Edict of 1663 and the Arrêts issued between 1672 and 1711, with, and above all, the Arrêt of Marly, established elearly the respective obligations of Scignior and Censitaire. "Express enactments defined the exact nature and extent of the rights of the grantecs of the Crown, and the obligations by them assumed upon their investiture with their several possessions." (Report of the Commission of 1843.)

The King rescrved to himself the fealty and homage (foi et hommage) and the immunitics it sccures, as Lord Paramount. The Canadian Scignior had the dominant property in the enfeoffed soil, comprising all the rights arising therefrom in virtue of the Custom, and of the Edicts and Arrêts, upon condition of managing and conceding on pain of forfeiture. The Cousitaire had the occupation for his own use (domaine utile) of the soil, on condition of paying the customary rents and of settling thereon, on pain of forfeiture.

Matters were in this state-binding all parties, at the time of the Cession of Canada to the Crown of England, presering to the Canadian suljects all their institutions, laws, usages and customs. The new Government, caring little for the happiness of its new subjects, and being desirous of gaining over the high familics of the Country or replacing them by speculators, took no steps to maintain or cause to be respected the rights of the Censi-
taires ; and if, on various ocensions, the authorities appeared to take the mater into consideration, their attention never went so far as to induce then to apply the slightest remedy. After the Conquest, therefore, a great number of the Seiguiors began to make encronchments, and they have continued until now burthening the contracts with reserves and charges of every kind. In vain dill the Censitaires pray that the Lecgishature would take their hardships into consiberation. The Report of 1831 remained without being carried into effert; the Address of the Honse of Assembly of 1824 , praying " that the right of the subject to a concession of wild lunds, subject to the customary charges and conditions might be preserved intact," was muceded; the proceedings between the years 1821 and 1836 were alike unsuceessful aguinst the arbitrary will of the Goverument, and since 1763 , the date of the first encroachments, the exngrgeruted pretensions of eertain Seigniors have been protested ngainst, and have been exereisel in opposition to the will of the population of the Country.

I cannot conclude this brief sketch of the Itistory of the Seigniorial Tenure in Camada, without quoting the tonching representation made by the Censitaires of Lacolle, of the encroachments exereised to their detriment. "The origimal setters in these Seigniorics are what are termed in Canadn West, United Eimpire Loyalists. They were persons, who from their devotion to the British Crown at the time of the Revolution in the American Colonies, and on the final settlement of the boundary between the American Republic and the present British Ameriean Colonies, left their all in the Republic and in a state of porerty betook themselves to the nearest liritish possessions. Thus they arrived at the Scigniory de Benujeu on the River Lacolle, now the Seigniory of Lacolle, which was during the Revolutionary troubles and the uneertainty of their fumal issue, purchased for $n$ mere trifte by Major General Christie, of the British Army, from Mr. De Beanjen, the grantee of the Crown. At the time of the arrival of these unfortunate sufferers in the country, they found a large tract of the wilderness in the possession of the snid General Christie, and in their then needy state, it was absolutely necessary that they should obtain lends for the support of their nunerous fanilies, without delay sufficient to enable them to inform themselves what the original conditions were, upon which the lands were held by the then possessor. Nor was it casy at that time (say sixty years ago) for persons of their condition to obtain such information, and believing from the exalted station of the individual, that he would not impose any burthens beyond his legal rights, they accepted the lands from him on his own conditions, and such as they and their descendants are convinced, he has no legal right to impose, being bound to them by contracts; in all cases where the Censitaires have appealed to the Courts of Justice and plended the charter, the Courts have, invariably of late years, set the charter aside and condemned the contract, as if it were a voluntary one."-(Documents of the Commission of 1843.)

I may be permittel to remark that, at the same time that the United Empire Loyalists sacrificed to their loyalty the interests of their families, the French population who were not influenced by the same motives of attachment, rejected the offers of the New Republic, and that several years later, (in 1812,) they shed their blood on the frontier to defend the integrity of British territory. Under these circumstances, there is not a man of honour, throughout the extent of the Empire, who will not admit that Government is bound to make the most ample reparation for wrongs which unite the character of ingratitude with that of injustice.

## III

The first step to be taken for the settlement of the Seigniorial question, is to reduce the respective protentions of the Seigniors and Censitaires to their fair value and set each in the positions assigned to them by the laws which guarantee the rights of the one party and the other ; happily this requires neither tinee nor trouble, for the altogether exceptionable position of Canada, as compared with that of the Districts in France geverued by the customary law has had the offect, that every important question with reference to the Scigniorial Tenare has teen decided in a clear and definite manner by the Edicts, Aerets and Ordinances; so that almost the sole remaining connection with the French feudal law is the use of the feudal hangage which gives a value to certain expressions as for instances the words cens, lanalici. Let it be clearly understood that 1 only allude here to what has reference to the Concession of Lands and that I do not intend to speak of the application of the feudal law with respect to inheritauce, succession, and other circumstances in civil life. In effect, the Crown grantel to certain individuals as Seiguiorial grants, lands of great exteat, with the object emphatically expressed of settling them, and for the purpose of rendering the concession thereof to the people, both speedy and unattended with difficulty, thereby reserving the right to regulate and limit the extent of the privilege, according as the requirements of the Colony became apparent, and to resume the graut mate in trust, as circumstances required, without by so doing committing an act of injustice, iuasmuch as the management having ceasel, there was no further cousideration for the fees attached to it, "The Lave of Canada," says our historian Mr. Garnean 'at first only looked upon the Seignior in the light of a steward to Government." These concessions were generally made in preference to those who had rendered services to the state or distinguished themselves by their talents and energy.

This was cffected as follows: the King held in reserve for the wants of the People, lands designated as the Royal Domain In the distribution of these lands some sort of administrative system was required ; the King, reasonahly presuming that in dispersing throughout the extent of a new country a number of intelligent and active men, charged to effect its settlement, in consideration of a certain profit accorded to them as the settlement advanced, appointed agents to whom he conceded land sufficient in extent for the foundation of a samall colony; he obliged them to settle thereon, and for this purpose these agents were permitted to select for themselves, each within the limits of his agency, a lot of land for their exclusive use (origin of the private domain). The Ling, being desirous of ensuring the obedience of his agents required them to present themselves from time to time to take an oath to that effect (origin of the fealty and homage). The King, anxious to watch over the settlement of the Country, and to obtain with ease all nenessary information as tothe progress of the colony required his agents to furnish him this infurmation (origin of the rent roll, avcu et dénombrement). To prevent the interest established in favor of the agents in the property committed to their charge being used by them for purposes of speculation, the King ordained that in case of sale of the right of inv-stiture he should be paid one fifth part of the purchase money (origin of the quint.) To prevent the agents from mal-administration by refusing to effect settlements, by selling or by exacting rates which might be too burthensome or prohibitory, the King required
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them to concede to all, indiscriminately, at a rate universally made known (origin of the obligation to concede ut the eustomary charges without exuctiag any sum of money or other conditions.

Mills for the grinding o. grain having been found necessary for the maintenance of the Colony, and the settlers being too poor to crect them for themselves, the King required the ngents to erect them, and to render this obligation less burthensome, he compelled the settler to make exclusive use of the mill connected with the agency to which he belonged, aud to pay a fixed toll for its service, (origin of banality). The King, desirous of compensating his agents for the trouble and expenses required in this superintendence, permitted them to levy upon each settler benefited by such superintendence, an annual rate attached to each superficial arpent of conceded land; in affecting in this manner each arpent conceded, the King had a further object in view, which was to induce the settlers to concede only so much land as they could turn to advantage, (origin of the rents). The King, anxious to facilitate the management of his agents and induce the settlers to assume the sedentary habits of the hasbandman, by discouraging frequent mutations obliged the tenants to pay to the agents one twelfth of the price of each sale of land (origin of the cens bearing with them the right of lods et ventes.)

Finally, to inspire the settlers with the respect which was due to those occupying an intermediate position between the King and his people, the Royal Acts addressed these agents as Seigniors, appointing them the dispensers of jastice according to their capacities and to circumstances; this quality, in virtue of the Custom, invested with certain honorific (and sometimes lucrative) rights the individual upon whom it was conferred.

All the other rights claimed by certain Canadian Scigniors are encroachments, with the exception of the right of pre-emption or Retrait Conventioncl, which is properly speaking only a guarantee afforded by the Censitaire to the Seignior, of the good faith of his transactions, and which does not in itself constitute an inmunity; unless it be pretended that it gives a rightto the Scignior to select his Censitaires; a principle correct enough if applied to the European Lord of early history whose vassal was his man-atarms, but which eculd not reasouably be applied in Canada.

From the thousand and one documents which testify to the correctness of the definition I have just given, I will only cite a few passages from the Arrêts of 1 686 and 1711, or the Arrêts of Marly, from the ordinances of the Sth May and 16th November, 1727, and from the grant of the Scigniory of the Lake of Two Mountains, which bears a special and privileged character, I will add a quotation from a letter by Messrs. Beauharnois and Hocquart, to the then Minister, it being in effect a commentary upon the grant to which I have just referred.

The Arrêt of 4th June, 16S6, has reference to banality, and cnacts as follows: "His " Majesty the King sitting in Council, having been informed that most of the Seigniors " who are proprietors of fiefs in New France, neglect to erect the banal mills necessary for "the subsistence of the iuhalitants of the said Country, and in order to remedy an evil so " prejudicial to the welfare of the Colony, hath ordained and doth hereby ordain that all the "Seiguiurs............................................shall be bound to crect therein batal mills " within one year after the publication of the present decree \&c., \&c."

It may be remarked here that a great difference exists between this right of banality or rather this obligation to build grist mills, and the pretended right to the unnavigable streams and water courses. Who wants the end wants the means; the Canadian Seigniors have undoubtedly the right within the limits of their fiefs to the possession of the water-power necessary to drive their banal mills, but all appropriation beyond that must be regarded as an encroachment ; the proprietor whose land borders "on the stream and who suffers inconvenience from the channel of a water course traversing
his property, should enjoy the advantages offered by nature in compensation; the departure from this general principle in favor of the High Justiciaries of lirance brought about a state of things which has never existed here.

The Arret of 1711, dated at Marly, defines the obligations of the Seignior towards the settler in the following terms: "And His Majesty ordains that all the Seigniors in the "said Country of New France shall concede to the settlers the lots of land which they may "demand of them in their Seigniories, at a ground rent, and without exacting from them "any sum of money as a consideration for such concessions; otherwise and in defiult of " their so doing, His Majesty permits the said settlers to demand the said lots of land from "them by a formal summons, and in case of their refusal, to make application to the "Governor and Lieutenant General and Intendant of the said Country, whom His Majesty " enjoins to concede to the said settlers the lands demanded by them in the said Seigniories, "for the same dues as are laid upon other lands in the said Scigniories, which dues shall "be paid by the new settlers into the hands of the receiver of His Majesty's domain, in "the City of Quebec, without its being in the power of the Seigniors to claim from them " any dues of any kind whatever, \&c., \&c."

This act is the ratification of the Colonial Policy of the King of France, and suffices to prove that the Seignior was not at liberty to sell at any price, however low it might be, and that on the contrary he was bound to concede, at the customary rates, because in substituting the Governors and Intendants for the refractory Seignior, they are enjoined to conform to the ordinary rates. This provision respecting the customary rents springs as it were from the prohibition to sell and exact any sum of money as the price of concessions. "Without a uniform rate" says Mr. Leblanc, "the rule of concessions would be a mockery for this reason, that they might be evaded by a demand of rates so high that the Censitaire would be unable to accept them." Mr. Badgley renarked before the Committee of 1851, "It must be evident that a high money price for the lot or an " exorbitant charge in the shape of rent, affect the matter in precisely the same manner."
The following are the terms generally contained in the grants to the Seigniors, "to the ordinary cens, rentes, and ducs per arpent of land in front by forty in depth." The nature of the privileges granted to the Seigniors, having been defined, we have now to examine their extent, by establishing the exact value of each of the rights of cens et rentes, lods et ventes and banality, the only rights we shall consider, they being the only ones they possessed after ceasing to hold the office of Justiciaries.

The Ordinance of Sth May, 1727, signed Dupuy, speaks incidentally of an Ordinance of Raudot which condemned the inhabitants to take out a new title from the Sieur Levrard, "at the simple rate of 20 sols and a live capon for each arpent in front, by twenty in " depth and six pence of cens, for the whole of the said concession."
The Ordinance of 16 th November in the same year, speaking of customary rates, enacts as follows: The King being desirous that in order to a more rapid settlement of the country, the Seigniors there should concede the lands at a low rate, there are hardly any lots of land which are conceded at more than one sol per superficial arpent and at one penny of cens, and that there are on the contrary a great number of lots of land which are conceded at sixpence per arpent, only, though covered with beautiful timber, the cutting of which is the first profit realized by the grantees. (It may be here remarked that this latter clause establishes that the reservation as to the cutting of timber, contained in contracts dated within a certain number of years back, is an extortion.)

In the Deed of Concession of the Seigniory of the Lake of Two Mountains to the gentlemen of the Seigniory of St. Sulpice, the cens et rentes are nominally fixed as follows;-"That they shall concede such lands at the mere rent of twenty sols and " one capon for each arpent of land in front by forty in depth, and six-pence (six deniers)
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" of cens, and they shall not mention in the said concessions any sum of money or any " other charge except the mere rent."

Now, this grant having been made to a privileged order, subject to the onerous and exceptional condition of erecting a church and a fort, and of converting the Indians, must have authorised the exaction of the maximum of concession rates, and indeed we have proof of this conclusion in the letter written by Messrs. Beauharnois and Hocquart, the Governor and lutenlant, at the time at which complaints were brought by the gentlemen of the Seigniory of St. Sulpice, as to the obligation they were under to concede forty arpents in depth. "We are ignorant of the reasons which have determined His " Majesty to establish in the patent of 1718 , the depth of the concessions at forty arpents, ' and the amount of the cens and rentes."
" It was thought that his intentions would be fullowed out by inserting in the conces" sions of 1733 at the customary cens, rentes and dues per arpent of land in front, by " forty in depth."
"The observations respecting the justice and equity of proportioning the cens and "dues to the amount of the property which might be more valuable in one place than in " another, is deserving of consideration ; and it appears to us that His Majesty may be satis-
"fied by only inserting in the new patent the words, "at the customary cens, rentes and "dues per arpent of land."
" 'This vague expression will allow the gentlemen of the Scigniory the liberty to con" cede a greater or less depth, and at a larger or smaller amount of cens et rentes, in pro" portion to the extent and value of the lots conceded, and as the usages differ in almost " every Seigniory, the term customary only denies to the ecclesiastics the right of mak"ing their ordinary concessions less than twenty arpents in depth and of exacting higher " rents than twenty sols for every twenty arpents in superficies, and one capon or its equi" valent in corn. With respect to the cous it is a very moderate charge, which is sup" posed to be established only as an acknowledgment of direct Seigniory, bearing with it " lods et ventes; the customary amount in Canada is from six-pence to one sol per arpent " in front, by the entire depth of each concession whatever that depth may be."
" The dcelaration in the Memorial, that the Seigniors in Canada as everywhere else, " have the right to concede $d$ cens et $d$ rentes such quantities of land at such charges as " they may think proper, is not correct as regards the chargos, the constant practice " being to concede at the ratec above statcd, and still more frcquently at a lower rate " If the alleged liberty really existed, it might be greatly abused, in causing the con" cessions which ought to be quasi gratuitous to become regular Deeds of sale."-(This letter bears date 6th October, 1734.)

From all the preceding statements, valuing the capons of that time at 15 sols (Mr. Raudot said the capons were only worth 10 solsin 1707,) It is evident that the maximum of all the dues never amounted to the sum of 2 sols before the Arrêt of Marly and the Ordinances of 1727 , which are now in force. "Notwithstanding these different modes in winich the " wheat rent was made payable, it is a remarkable fact, that on a just calculation, the result " will be found the same, and the highest rate of concession in the District of Montreal, " previous to the Conquest, will be found not to exceed one penny for every superficial " arpent, valuing the wheat at one shilling and eight pence per bushel.-(Commission of 1843.)
"The customary rates of which mention is made in the Arrêt of Marly, were " well known in the Colony, and did not exceed one sol per superficial arpent, and half " a bushel of wheat, or a capon and one sou of cens for every arpent of frontage.(Attorney General Drummond.)

With reapect to the amount of the lods et ventes, no dispute on this subject has cver taken place, it consists of one-twelfth of the purchase money. The mill-toll for grinding is also universally admitted, being absolutely fixed at one-fourteenth.

## IV.

A number of the Seigniors, in answer to what I have just e,tablinhel, to wit : The obligation on the part of the Scigniors to concede at the chstomariy rates, ficed at the maximum of two sous per superjicial arpent, without the right of exactiag any sum af money or any other coudition, and in support of the addiumal charres of which they claim the payment, sct $u_{j}$, the following pretentions.

1st. That the Canalian Seigniors are the exclusive and incommutable propietors of the feudal soil.

2ncl. That the French laws are no Ionger the laws of the Country.
3rt. That the alditional Seigniorial charges are matters of private agreement betweca the parties.

4th. That the decisions of the Courts of Justice have irrew, jurisprudence in their favor.

The proposition that the proprietors of fiefs are exclusive masters of the soil, is the reverse of eommon sense, and would not even have heh good if applied to the great European vassals, whose property was deficient, says M. Guizot, "in that complete independence which is in the present day the great characteristic of real property." An assertion such as this is simply painful to those who honestly and without subterfuge desire an honorable settlement of this question, nor should I have referred to it if similar arguments had not drawn from those who had urged them, an asowal which resolves the question of settlement of the amount of the dues in the Seigniories in which the law has been trodden under foot; these are the terms of the admission: "If the Seigniors were originally merely " trustees, bound to concede at low charges and reserves, it may follow that onily a moderate " degree of mercy should be dealt out to them. (Address on behalf of certain proprietors of Seigniories in Lower Canada 1853.)

I leare to the impartial reader to compare this admission with the laws above cited and I adopt the conclusions they may draw from the examination.

The second argument which goes to maintain that the French laws, as regards the Seigniorial Tenure, are no longer the laws of the country, should be considered a candid admission of the fact that these laws do not defend what the Commissioners of $18+3$ style " the exorbitant pretensions of the Seigniors." We have now to show that these laws are in 1854 the laws of the land.

No one has ever ventured to deny that at the period of the Conquest, the French laws were the only laws then in force throughout the whole extent of Canada : now by the terms of the Capitulation and the Imperial Act of 1774, all the existing laws at the date of the Conquest are declared to be the laws of the country. In a word, what is the Seigniorial Tenure in Canada, but a system constituted and considered by French titles customs, edicts and decrees? and if the Seigniors had been held by the law and the English authorities to be relieved from obedience to the ancient laws in consequence of their not being in use, would the Imperial Purliament have passed a law in favor of the Seigniors, giving to them the right of redeeming themselves from their obligations to the Crown and the inhabitunts of the country, as they did by the Acts known as the Tenures Acts ( 3 George 4th ch 119 and 6 George 4th ch 59.) "The edict of the 6th July 1711, is still in full force" said the Attorney General in 1794. The House of Assembly of Lower

Canadia in 1528 declared, that the rights of the inhabitants of Lower Canala with respeet to the Tenure, are guaranteed hy the terms of the capitulation of the Coleny und by an Act of Parliament passed in the f.urteenth year of the Reign of Ilis Majesty George III (1i7t.)"
'The Commissioners of 1848, after laving cited all the French laws, say, "Such was " the law of the country at the period of the conquest, and it still remains in all its force " and vigor."

Mme. Taché, Seiguioress of Kamourakka, in answer to the Commission of 1848, says, " Joth my pretecessors and myself have, as far as I can julge, been guided in the conecsion of lambls by the arrêt of the Conseil d'Etat of His Most Christian Majesty, bearing date fith July, 1711, as regards all the conditions of the concessions." Moreover, th that seigniory, and in a large number of others, the eens et rentes exacted are far below iws soles per superficial arpent, and will not the Seigniors who have acted in conformity, to the haws and to the moral obligations which it imposes, be an obstacle to the solution of the question.

We now come to the proposition that the adlitional conditions in the concessions are matters of private agrecment, and guaranted by contract between the parties. A contract made in contravention of a formal law is not a contract, and this maxim applies ., ore specialiy to those species of transactions which affect the ground-work of society, fanily rights and property. A stipulation entered into in contravention of public luw, is not valid. More especially does this apply in the present case, when one of the parties has reaped profit from the misfortunes consequent upon conquest, politi al struggles, advantages of superior education, position on the Beuch and in the Legislature, and from the weakness and porerty of the other party.

Viewed even in the light of private transactions, these contracts are pleno jure null on the fice of them. "The validity of every contract depends on four conditions, consent, capacity to contract, an olject the substance of the contract, and a lawfinl caluse for the obligation." Does consent exist on the part of the settlers who have in valin striven by every possible means to release themselves from these acts of encroachment? W Wis the unfortunate head of a family free who, abandoned hy his only protector, the Goverument had to choosebetween misery or banishment and the contract that was offere 1 to him? Did the capacity to make the contract exist on the part of the Seignior when de sudd a portion of a property which did not belong to hinn, and which did in fact belong to the purchaser? Was there a lawful cause of obligation when the Seiguior, like an untilithful s eeward, failed in the trust reposed in hin? When, regrardless of his oath of ocalty and homage, he trod under foot the commands of his Sovereign, turning to his own profit the property of the people, and cast the heaviest obstacles in the way of the advancement of his country? The fraud is clearly palpabie, and in certain cases is accompanied by a profligacy denoting long premeditation. "We cannot overlook," say the Commissioners of 1843. "a stratagem of which some Seigniors, as we are informed, " have avaled themselves to clude the law prohibiting sale by the Seiguior of uncleared " land, or their concession for rent with an additional bouns. The inode of proceeding " to obtain this object is by making a fictitions concession to an agent or friend, who forth": with sells the land and pays the price to the Seignior."

I could cite contracts calculated to make one blush, but it is not my design to excite the passions, and I implore the Censitaires to be meck and calm, as is the duty of a citizen who, confilent in the justice of his cause, feels that his duty is performed. 'There are, moreover, a number of seiguiors whose conduct commands respect, and also a number in whose behalf circumstances and human weakness must be invoked. Let us blot out the past-a grievance which has ceased is speedily forgotten.

I cannot abandon this subject without citing the opinion of the Attorncy General in 1794, addressed to Lord Dorchester ; speaking of recourse to the Courts of Justice, he says, " the enormous expenses nttending an nppeal to His Majesty in Council, to which the Scignior is entitled, deprives the Censituires of the possibility of obtaiuing justice nad compels them to abandon their rights, and throw themselves upon the mercy of their Seignior, who compromises the nction urd grants a new deel of concession upon his own terms." Notwithstanding the reserve, I may say the timidity of the Commissioners of 1843, with respect to a subject of such delicucy, they nevertheless express their opinion in these words. "These transactions may be considered obligatory against the tenant unless they "are repugnnnt to some Edict, Arrêt or Ordinance."

It must not be furgotten that for a long period of time, in consequence of the want of public means of communication and the topographical chatacter of the Country the Seigniorial soil was the only land accessible to the settlers. The lands in free and common soccage were then granted only in extensive tracts to favorites of Government, and it is plainly this circumstance which at the present time ereates such difficulties in the Eastern Townships and shows that rushing from one system to the other would be shunning Chary bdis only to split upon Scylln.

The last proposition they lay down is, that the Courts of Justice have established the jurisprudence so as effectually to legalise contracts between Seignior and Censitaire. In the first place I deny the fact that the decisions of the Courts, with the exception of two, have a character sufficiently important to give, to them the anthority which belonged before the conquest to the Ordinances of the Intendants, inasmuch as these tribunals affected a want of jurisdiction in legal matters, and founded their decisions only with respect to the contracts between the parties without reference to any land. These decisions were so expressed as to declare that the contracts prolucel, bound the parties thereto inasmuch as the Court was not judicially in possession of any Law as to the subject matters of the transactions under their notice. As may clearly be perceived, it is a very slender subtility to rest upon, but to those who are well versed in the history of the times and the composition of the Courts of Justice at that period, nothing will appear extraordinary. Much might be said with respect to these decisions, but it is difficult to discuss this subject without wanting in the respect which the position of the dispenser of Justice must inspire. Silence should as much as possible be preserved with respect to the errors of the Judge, because their publication compromises in the eyes of the people the saintly character of the order of which he is the high priest.

These decisions, moreover, can have no weight in opposition to the terms of the law. Ad summum non exemplis, sed legibus judicandum. "And," as Ferrière says," these decisions are only presumptions of law, of which dishonornble practitioners make use to overturn the principles and elude the provisions of the laws."

I have before stated that two of the Judgments rendered are exceptions to the others; these are, a Julgment of the Military Council held at Montreal in 1762, Colonel Haldimand presiding. The case was an Appeal instituted by the Sieur Le Duc, Seignior of Isle Perrot, from the decision of a Militia Court at Pointe Claire, in favor of Joseph Hénaut, Censitaire. The Judgment in Appeal concluded as follows:-"The parties having been " heard, the Council, convinced that the clause attached to the said Contract obliging the " tenant (Hénaut) to furnish annually half a bushel of wheat and ten sous per arpent, is a "notarial error, the customary charge for concessions in that part of the Country being " the payment of one sou for every superficial arpent of land and half a bushel of corn "for every arpent in front by twenty arpents in depth, ordains that hereafter the rentes "of the land in question shall be puid at the rate of filty-four sous in money, and one " minot and a half of wheat in each year."

It is plain that the Council considered any addition in the amount of the cens et rentes as an innovation so incredible, that they preferred attributing it to an crror on the part of the notary than to any premeditated intention of encroachment on the part of the Seignior, nutwithstanding his appenl from the former Judgment.

The other decision was given in 1828, and was rendered by Jnstices Reid, Foncher and Uniacke in the case of Grey es. MeCallum. This decision has reference to the obligation to concede, and estaolishes that "Berey subject of His Maje.ty has arighit to demand " and oltain from any seignior possessing wild and meonceded lands in his Seigniory, a lot " or a concession of a portion of the said wild and unconeeded lands, to be by every such " subject, his heirs and assigns, held und possessed as their ourn propert, for ceve, subjeet " to the condition of cultivating and improving the said wild lands, and of paying to each "Scignior the reasonable customary and ordinary rents, dues and acknowledyments, " which, by virtue of the feudal tenure in furce in this Province, onght to be paid, se., \&e." The Court therefore compelled the Seignior to concede.

With respect to the allegation that the rentes have never been established at a certain amomet in Camada, it is so far correct that they have raried, without ceasing to be legal, from half a sol to two sous; this circumstance was even noticed by several persons, unacynaintel with the history of the tenure, at the time of the debate on the Drummond bill. "This rate," said Mr. Brown, "never exceeded two sols before the Conquest, "atad I am surprised to see that the Attorney General is desirous of raising it to four sous."

It has been pretended that cens et rentes at a maximum scale of two sous, was a lower seale than that to which the Scignior was eutitled, because the value of money has diminished and that of wheat has increasel. The Camadim Scignior would be an exception to any portion of the creation, were he permitted thus to profit at the same tine by the reduction in the value of money and the increase in the value of grain ; one of these arguments would have been sufficient, for it is evident that the wheat and the capons only exactly represent one half sol per arpent, (one eapon or the equiculent in wheat,) fand that this payment in produce was only stipulated for the benefit of the Censitaire in a Conntry in which money was searce. "It seems to me,"says the Intendant Raulot, "that in every "alternative payment, the payer has the benefit of the choice." Besides the perpetual nature of Seigniorial rates, which survive the attainment of their desired object, namely, the settlement of the Country, makes it a matter of privilege,its nature, and the Seigniors avail themselves of this in the payment of lods et centes upon the increasing value of the lands, and they do so with reason. In France, the Sate never would permit an increase in the rate of real payments upon any pretext. Justinian decreed " That every settler from whom his master shall exact more than the rate that was customary and which had been paid at former periods, shall apply to the first Judge that he may meet, and prove the fact, in order that the master, convicted of so doing, maly be prevented from so exacting thereafter more than he was in the habit of receiving, and be compelled to restore what he shall have extortcel by such increased demand."

Defore bringing this chapter to a conclusion, I ought, in justice to the cause and to the obligation under which I an to investigate all the remote and hidden difficulties of the question, to make a remark which ap ${ }_{\mathrm{r}}$ lies to but a few of the Seigniors, some of whom unfortunately are not of the number of those who have exceeded their rights; it is this, that in fact, some of the proprictors of Seiguiories, do not regret the anti-feudal agitation and the difficulties of the question; knowing as they do that some settlement must be arrived at, they designedly exaggerate their claims, so as to provide for other final re ductions without in the end giving up a good speculation.

I will only cite one instance taken from the communications sent in to the Commission in 1843. A Scignior who gives his name and those of his Seigniories, de-
clares, that these Seigniories brought him in $£ 250$ for the years then last past ; in that part of the letter in which he gives his opinion as to a measure of commutation and the indemnity to be paid to the Scigniors, he says: "fifteen shillings per acre, as an average, may thas be considered an adequate indemnity for the forfeiture of Seigniorind rights." The Seigniories referred to extend over more than :0,000 acres, and are in the possession of the Seignior, so that in round numbers we find-
Present value of Scigniorics referrel to
817,000
Iudemnity required by the Proprietor.
. 100,000
The above figures need no comment ; the olyject is cvident.

## V

As it wonld be vain to labour at a plan of commutation and to render an account to one's self of one'slabours, without possessing the necessary statistics, I have been obliged to supply the absence of such information, and to fill up a gap which should long ere this have been filled up by government. I give below the gencral result of my researches. In an appendix annexed to this sketch will be found details calculated to enable one to judge of the correctness of the numbers obtainca.
The total number of concessions made to proprietors of ficfs and unreroked,
throughout the whole extent of Lower Canada, is.....................
The nt:mber of distinct Seigniories may be put down at......... ........... $\mathbf{2 0}$
And by reducing this number in order to obtain an average of about six superficial leagues of inhabited Seigniories only, to
The total number of proprietors of fiefs or Seigniories, and of portions of Seigniories, as co-proprietors is, about
Of this number there are about 15 proprictors possessing 100,000 arpents or more; about 100 proprietors of fiefs containing a superficies of 28,000 to 100,000 arpents, and about 45 proprietors of parts of a less superficies than 28,000 arpents taken together.
The total number of censitaires is.
(See Appendix Table No. 3.)
And the average number of arpents possessed by each censitaire, is
The total superficies in arpents of the land originally held in Seigniory, is... 12,822,503 (See Appendix Table No. 1.)
The number of arpents in ficfs commuted in free and common soccage...... $1,039,012$

> (See Table `o. 2, and remarks.)

Superficial extent in arpents of fiefs held by the Crown. $1,143,058$
(See Table No. 2.)
The superficial extent of the uninhabited fiefs, is. 3,409,056
(See Table No. 9.)
The total superficial extent of the inhabited Seigniories not including those held by the Crown is.

7,230,877
Property conceded in censive and at present occupied throughout Lower Canada, covers a superficial extent in arpents of.

6,523,101
Of which the number of arpents under cultivation is .......................... $3,154,802$
And in forest land 3,368,299

The grand total, at the maximum amount, of the capital due by all the censitaires, other than those of the Crown, is without calculating the fractions.
£825,166
This Capital is represented by the Anumal Revenue of the cens et rentes at the maximum rate of 2 sols per arpent, amounting to.
£21,70\%
And the Revenue of lods et ventes at 2 sols per arpent anounting to...... $£ 21,705$
(See Tables Nos. 7, 8, and 9, with the remarks.)
The same charges due by the Censitaires of the Crown amount to $£ 4, \mathrm{St7}$ representing a capital for commutation, of.

280,783
This total value of the conecded Seigniories is inereased by the following items :-Total value of the mills.

431,232
Value of the private farms and domains, say......................... .......... 312,000
Future value of the concession or commutation of lands still unoccupied, established hereafter.
(Sec Tables Nos. 3 and 7, and remarks.)
The absolute value of the Seigniories as here established is diminished when they are sold, on account of the obligation of the purchaser to pay the quint, and in the productive value, on account of its difficult and scattered collection.

The preceding calculations will all be made use of farther on ; I pass now to the consideration of the different details of the schemes of Commutation that have been proposed

## VI.

Every scheme of commutation contains three principal divisions, 1st. The object of commutation ; 2nd. The mode of carrying it out, and 3rd. The period of redemption.

In the establishment of the dues subject to commutation, it appears to be the general opinion that two subjects alone are liable to redemption, the cens et rentes taken as a whole, and the lods et ventes. With respect to banality, it is evident that it was only introduced for the benefit of the Censitaire, and that the obligation imposed upon the latter by law, was only so done, to place the Seignior in a position to satisfy the wants of the settlement, and I consider it cvident, that the abolition of the right of banality and the establishment of competition, were competition possible, will have the effect of increasing the price of grinding and raising it to the rates usual in Upper Canada and the United States, at all events in one part of the District of Montreal, in the localities where water power is rarely obtained.

As regards the Seignior, he could lose nothing by the abolition of this right, for the simple practical reason, that wherever mills could be placed in situations advantageous to their working, the Seignior has already done so, and that the places in which the Seignior has not erected mills, are places in which mills have but a poor chance of proving productive. To pay attention to this subject would be to hamper to no purpose a measure, the simplicity of which should be its chief merit.

The fixing of the quantum of the cens et rentes has divided the persons who have paid attention to the matter, into three classes. The flrst, which is composed of a certain number of Seigniors determined to give up no part of tho encroachments they laves made, desires that the cens and the rentes shonld be taken and made redeemable necording to the terms of the contracts of concession, without regard to circumstances, the nature of the obligations, the protestations of the Country or the provisions of the Law. This class I havenlready answered, and the Country has done so before me. The second class would raise the rente to four sous the arpent by way of compromise ald duritiam cordis; but inasmuch as it is a difficult matter to impose upon the people of the Country, and for reasons of this nature, an impost that these reasons do not justify, they ullego the increase of the value of the dues paid in produce nui the dimination in value of the dues paid in money ; I have ulready in a previous chapter shown that if one of thess arguments were favorable, the other was equally in opposition to the proposition. 'To what I have ulrealy said on this subject I may ah, that the Censitaire farmer, as the head of a family and a good citizen, ought to allow no aldition to the dues, nor permit a flagrant violation of the provisions of an established law to triumph and be rewarded to the prejudice of his descendants, nor should he nllow the fact to be witnessed, that proprietors under the same title and under the influence of the same law, receive, one, the legal commutation money, nnd another, a usurious sum. I respect the opinion of those who, not being interested in the matter, lave defended this seheme with a view to settle tho question, and on the principle that even this would afford relief to a great number of Censitaires; but I cannot possibly ndopt these sentiments, nor can I feel any pretext for saying to the Seignior and to the Censititire, "you who are only entitled to two sols, shall receivefour, and you who only owe two sols at the very most, must nevertheless pay two more!" Amongst those who advocate tho complete carrying out of the law, and the establishment of the amount of the cens et rentes at the maximum of two sols, I reckon myself, and with them form the third class.

Many methods have been proposed for establishing the value of the casual rights of locts et rentes, which may be reduced, apart from their details, to the three following plans, namely :

1st. To have it permanently established, that the lod or the twelfth part of the value of the Scigniorial soil, shall be the capital of the redemption for the commutation of the riglits of lods et ventes.

2ud. To establish a scale of reduction by which it should be established, in proportion to the value of the property, that $\frac{1}{16}$ or $\frac{1}{10}$ of such value (or another proportion on the same principle), should be the capital for redemption.

3 rd . To establish the real average value of the profits arising from locls et ventes, and turn this revenue into capital at the rate of six per cent. to obtain the amount to be commuted.

Let us examine into the intrinsic merit of each of these three modes of valuation, before discussing their practical application. In order to render the payment of one-twelfth of the entire value of the property held under the tenure, a just one as regards the Censitaire, it would require that the annual revenue produced by the lods et ventes should be the interest of the capital so formed of the twelfth part of the total value of the lands, and that the entire property with its whole value should change hands every seventeen years. This latter condition is evidently impossible, and its assertion appears simply absurd to any one who has paid the very least attention to public economy, and becomes still more so to an observer endowed with ordinary intelligence, who, casting his eyes around him, reflects on the changes which take place in property. Such a system of change were it possible, would be more dangerous to society than war, fire or pestilence. On this subject

I would refer to tables Nos. 7, 8 and 9 , and to the calculations which follow them ; to make this scheme evident, und more plain, let us reduce it to figures. The whole extent of conceded lunds is $6,523,101$ arpents ; the lowest nverage value that con be aflixed to

 Cupital represented by the lods et ventes. Upon this principle a Seigniory nine leagucs in superflcies, would be worth on account of the lorls et ventes alone, the sum in round numbers of $\boldsymbol{E} 13,300$, which is very nearly the real total value of the grenter number of the Seimniories of that extent, including cens et rentes, lods et ventes, privito domains and Mills.
'Thusecond plan proposed for establishing the amount of the rights of lods et ventes into a principatrelecmable in a proportionate scale, must be allowed to be correct in the abstract, on condition howerer, that it bo hasel, as to its amonat, on the real whe of such capital. This phan, first propoan by the Lonomble Julge licial in 1848 , and subsequently by the
 at average periods of time, varying according to the valae of the properties, in other worls, that, of a small property or estate of small value, adds more to the casual revenues derived from the lods et ventes, than property of great value. Judge Reid proposed in contrudiction of this fact but in accordance with the general principle of progressive taxntion, a progressive scale incrensing with the value of the property, and Mr. Badgley a deereasing seale based on the fuct above set out. The limits of the npportionment in Mr. Reid's schemo varied between the tenth and the sixteenth of the real value of the property, and in Mr. Badgley's between tho eighth and tenth. Whatever merit this mode may possess, without reference to the fixed sums, as a matter of calculation and vuluation, it is too difficult of application, to be ever generally put into practice in n new country, and by n people accustomed to voluntary contribution and to an equal division of labor without regard to the wealth of individuals or the extent of their obligition ; a domestic and rural life yet forms too prevailing a feature in our national characteristies, to admit of the sudden introducing of the apjlication of the great theorems of modern public economy in the settlement of such a question.
'To estnblish the fixed real nunual value of the product of the lods et ventes, is then the only plan which remains. Every one now seems to hold the same views ns to the adoption of this principle; opinions differ only as to the means by which the knowlerlge of this value is to be arrived at. Some propose totake rigorous proceedings, similar to those which would be taken in a lawsuit before a Court of Justice, and in the supposition that the object is indeterminate ; others conceive that it would be more advisnble to settle the question by compromise, and to appoint the Legislature of the Country as amiable compositeur and arbitrator between the parties.

Before proceeding with the examination of these two opinions, I would remark, that the opposition made to the settlement of the question by the Legislative authorities without the direct intervention of the parties, is due to a very small number of Seigniors, and that such an opposition is not calculated to creatc astonishment. Humanity has never made one step in the way of progress, without being embarrassed by the opposition of privilege or prejudice; and such opposition does not always spring from real damage to private interests, but more generally from the fear produced by ignorance or idleness, the result of inaction. In the present instance, there are Seigniors who will oppose every attempt at settlement, and yet, in a pecuniary point of view, have everything to gain by any change whatsoever.

The rigorous proceeding to which I have before referred, requires on the part of the Seignior, proof of the extent of his rights; on the part of the censitaire, consideration of
the evidence and in case of difference of opinion, testimony in rebutal ; it requires, on the part of the authorities the hearing of the parties und julgment on the merits, the juigment to include and establish the relative extents of their respective obligations.

The only way, according to this system, of estublishing the nomout of the revenue of the lods et rentes, and of determining it so that it shond furm the basis of a just averuge, is to compel the Seignior according to his obligutions to muke up his rent-roll, und to render the acele et dénombrement for a perioul, let us suy with the Convention at Montreul, of ten yeurs. This aven et denombrement is nothing more than an abstract or exact compilation of the Seignior's books.

It is not to be womdered at that the Seigniors olject to this arcuet denombrement, for it is evilent that in cach of the inhabited Seigniories, it involves an average expense of more than a humbed pounds, as will be almitted by every business man, when he considers that to druw up the denombrement in all its details, it is neeessary to bring up most minutely bouks with four or five handred entries, kept for a period of ten years; this would be imposing a tax upon the Seigniors collectively, of about $\mathfrak{x}^{2} 20,000$, and entail upon feudal proprietors who have not oljeeted to nor opposed $n$ settlement, the payment of the costa arising from the factions opposition of a small minority of the boily. Besides the pecuniary expenditure this denombrament would entail upon the Sciguior, consideruble time would be wasted in the settlement of the question.

Let us however suppose the Seignior to have furnished the statement required, it cannot be admitted as proof without giving the censitaire leave to investigate its correctness and to contest it, if need be. 'That being done, there is yet more to be said; the proofs must be submitted to competent nuthority which shall deeide as to their respective weight, and eatablish the value of the property so as to apportion upon each estate the value of the dues thus settled. If, profiting by the experience acquired by this mode of settlement by arbitrators and Commissioners, we consider the enormous expense, the eadless delay, the continual warring of interests which it creates, maintaine and perpetuates, we can arrive at but one conclusion, which is to declare such a system utterly impossible.

Nothing remains then but to adopt the system of compronise, and let us commence by asserting that a measure of such importance should be honestly discussed, and that in its discussion we should not bring up the fine drawn argument of an advocate thrown upon his last resources. There is nothing new in the question, there is no mystery in it, its extent is not so vast that we lose ourselves in endeavouring to explore it, and we are in possession of sufficient information to enable us to establish a priori, a mean or maximum of the value of the revenues of the Seigniories. It is a fact, that the farther we advance in the study of the question, its difficultics vanish, and we begin to perceive that by simplifying and generalizing, we arrive little by little and without any effort, at a result which it was impossible to foresee, and difficult even to aim at when beginning our researches. The form of compromise being the one I adopt as the means of settling casual rights, I shall return to the subject. I now proceed to examine the plans proposed to effect the redemption.

I can only see two means; that projected in the scheme of the Montrenl Convention, and that of which Mr. Archambault's plan is the model.

Before proceeding to investigate the details of the plan of Commutation submitted by the Montreal Convention, I deem it but justice to myself to state the fact, that the results of the memorable labors of this Convention, as to the principal point, are in perfect accordance with the basis of the proposition I have invariably laid down, namely: "That it is reasonable, aud just to say, that the interest upon the Seigniorial capital being paid by the censitaires for five and twenty years, the payment of the redemption annuity ought to be made by society (represented by Government) for whose benefit commutation has taken
place; on the principle that the erection of a capitul out of the casunl dues of lota et ventes, renders obligatory on the part of tho censitaire, the payment of a charge which at the present time is only optional.

Tor carry out tha redemption, the scheme under our notice proposes the issuing of Provincial Debentures. A fimuciul tramsation of thit mature camot be hermed inexpedient, when an mew eng gement is being enterd inte, some negotiation being "riain set on foot, or when banking ins, itutiont are being trented with, that an in a poition to judge of the value of the propery oferel to them: bot it is imminisuble whan the objeet is to sette with a multitule of indiviluals for clem and e tablished rights, their own property ; beeause these debentures mre, in the money maket, mothing but a specles of property which most generally loses its nominul value, which has, in a word, no fixed value. In the present case you cannot compel the Seigniors to aceept these debentures, wihout guamutecing to them, that in the event of megotiation they will nlwass be mble to obtain their fill value for them. Now I would ask, what dee would be the effect of such a ginmente,
 "period similar to that which in bingland preceded the dethronement in puble opinion of Sir. Indson the Railwy King, and wheh event cansed a writer in the Illustrated Lombon News to remurk: "Mr. Ifulson is neither better nor voorse then the morality of 1515." There is moreover another reason ngainst the adoption of this system, the fane reaso: for which the Latishathre of last Session rejected the ablication of the North Shore Railway Company, for the Provincial gmantee, namely, that our present obligntions to the erelitors of the Province, bind us tu enter into no further bonds of this deseription, amb under the ciremstunes, it woud be imposible to obain this demmal from Gowerment or from the Leceislature, were it in itself reasmable. The Legislature might indeed engage to set apart ammally from the Consolidated Revenne Fand which now umounts to 14 million, a certain sum out of what remains, after payment of the general expenses, the interest upon our debt and the ammal payment to the sinking fund, but it camot for the present contract obligations of mother natme. To this argament it may be replied, that the credit of the l'rovinee could not be involved by making these debentures merely Municipal Debentures, but in this junctare, a fall below par ia their value might forthwith be anticipated.

The issue of debentures would have the further effect of compeling the inhabitant, of the Country, to pay the enormousexpenses of the valuations and aisessments, and would oblige them to pay the cost of a collection, to which the Seignior is sulject alike with every other proprietor, and more so indeed, innsmuch as the administration has been entrusted to him, by the spirit even of the tenure under which he holds. It is well known, however, that the collection made for the public revenue, is more expensive than that mude by individuals; the same objections that I have urged against the system of arbitrators and commissions, all apply to this mode of collection, which is on no account essential. For this reason it was, that the minority in the Convention at Montreal votel against the scheme adopted by the majority, and which determined Mr. Archmbault to publish his phan, which permits the Seignior to collect directly as he now does, the annual amount of the dues. Let us suppose the collection made by the Municipalities, as proposed by the Montreal Convention, including losses, to cost twenty per cent; it is evident that the price of commutation being in round numbers $£ 900,000$, the censitaires will pay the Scigniors $\mathbf{f} 900,000$ if the payment be made directly, otherwise, the same censitaires would be obliged to pay $£ \mathbf{f}, 080,000$ by the system proposed by the Montreal Convention.

As regards the period of commutation, no great difference of opinion appears to exist. The period of 25 years has been generally flxed upon, as calculated to meet all opposing interests; a shorter period would put the censitaire and the Gorernment to inconvenience,
and a greater lengith of time would tend to make the commutation instalment too insignificant a fraction of the capital for the Seignior.

I have examined with attention the numerous plans of settlement of the Seigniorial ques. tion, including those which have been drawn up with a view to a declarntory law, and those which have reference to immediate commutation. All these works have served to develope the question by presenting it in every aspect and under every circumstance. From the stuly of these documents I draw the three following propitions: 1st, That there is no means of retarding immediate commutation ; Smb, That any plan of commutation, to be successful, mast repuliate the system of individualising, and be carried out by general measures ; 3rd, What the seheme of effecting it by commissions, arbitrations and assessments is the most expensire to all parties, the most tedious and the most productive of strife.

At the point at which the anti-Seigniorial agitation has arrived, any measure which does not provide for immediate commutation upon an equitable basis, will only serve to retard the settlement of the question, and to keep the Country in a state of fement by giving to the ensitaires hopes which cannot be realized, and which are often unjust in themselves, by inflaming the appetites of eertain Seigniors anxious to speculate upon the diffec.lty and delay. It is an asecrtaned fact, for instamee, that the Bill of last Session, which happily did not become law, contaning as it did a clause rating the maximum of the rente to four sols, has sharpened the appetite of some Seigniors who had hitherto been restraned within the limits of the law, and who took advantage of this aleclaration, to raise the amount of rentes in their new concessions.

It is clear that all ideas of perfection in a plan of commutation should tend to the simplification of the details. No scheme can ever be made to cmbrace cach individual case, and if every one is desirous of including in the law all the little exigencies of individuals and localitics, or to provide for every isolated case, nothing but confusion will ever be attained.

That it is expedient to endeavour to dispense with all the incidental expenses of arbitrations and assessments, is a fact that every one will admit. The experience of every Country prozes the truth of this proposition. In England, when the amount of indennity for entering upon property in Railway matters, had to be determined, the Companies, by the advice of the most eminent busiuess men, consented to all kinds of sacrifices rather than have recourse to arbitration, and in Camada, whenever the Govermment or Railway Companies have had recourse to arbitration, the result has exemplified the truth of the old French adage, and "that the worst possible settlement is better than the best lawsuit."

In the consideration of this question it is important not to lose sight of one thing, I refer to the effect that commutation wonld have on the privileges of third parties; all the hypothecary creditors of the censitaires have an undoubted right to exact, that no radical change shall take place in the position which the law gamantees to them, as regards their debtors, for it is evident that if the property were charged with the payment of a privileged capital in lieu and stead of an annual rent immutable in its nature, the present securities of a great number of creditors would be destroyed ; and it must not be forgotten that in the organization of society the laws which govern capital are not in perfect faccordance with the naturallaws of labour, and that in agricultural labours especially, a person might pay a rent of six pounds every year of his life, and yct, perhaps could never manage to pay the capital sum of one houdred pounds, which represents this sum at our rate of interest. Upon this principle and in accordance with what I have already laid down with reference to forming a capital of the lods et ventes, and the fact that public interest is the object of commutation, I maintain that the State should interfere, and in strict justice contribute something at all events to the commutation money.
I proceed to give the following plan of commutation in the form of a Bill, which will be ollowed by explanatory observations.
fac

# PLAN <br> of An <br> ACT OF CoMMUTATION <br> or TIIE <br> SEIGNIORIAL RIGHTS. 

VII.

## PREAMELE.

I. Whereas the Seigniorial Tenure was only introdueed into Canada in order to facilitate the settlement of the Country, and whereas the continuance of this system of settlement has ceased to be necessary, and to advance the interests of the people of this Provinen, it has become necessary for the public adrantage, to provide for the complete abolition of the Seigniorial Tenure; be it therefore enacted as follows :

## EXPROPRIATION.

1I. That immediately after the passing of this law, the feudal and Seigniorial Tenure shall be abolished, with all the honorific and lucrative rights or dues created by them, by, in favor of or against any class of inhabitants of this Province, or in favor of the Crown represented by the Government of Canada, and all lands subject to the censive shall come under the action of the tenure in frechold.
III. That all edicts, decrecs, ordinances, acts, customs, agreements and stipulations, relative to the tenure in fief and Seigniory, shall, from the date of the passing of this Act, cease to have any force and effect in this Province, and they are herely abrogated and repealed.
IV. That proprictors in censive are hereby relieved for the future, from the payment of any obligations to the dominant proprictors, and all unconceded lands throughout the extent of the fiefs and Scigniories, shall hencforth be re-united to the domain of the Crown.

## INDEMNIFICATION.

V. That the expropriation decreed as aforesaid, for the purposes above stated, shall be effected, as regards conceded lands, upon the following conditions of indemnification in favor of the proprietors of fiefs and Scigniories so expropriated :

1st. That they shall receive from each of the grantees (concessionnaires), within their censives at the time of the passing of this Act, the same annual sum that they received heretofore, for cens et rentes until it shall reach a maximum rate of two sols currency per superficial arpent ; the only dues not stipulated in kind, convertible into money, being wheat, to be estimated at 5 s . per minot, and capons, to be estimated at ten pence each.

2nd. That there shall be paid annually by each such concessionnaire, an additional sum of two sols per arpent in superficies, as and for interest on the capital represented by the lods et ventes: which ducs, at a general maximum average of four sols per arpent, shall be paid to them for the period of twenty-five consecutive years, and shall cease to be due at the expiration of that period.

3rd. That they shall be entitled to receive from the Government of this Province, for the period of twenty-five years, an ammal sum of two sous (one penny) yer arpent in superficies conceded in consive, and subject to the dues above referred to, as and for a sinking fund annuity for the Scigniorial Capital and a general indemnification.

4th. That the proprictors of arriere firfs, the Crown excepted, shall annually receive as dominants from the proprietors holding of them, a sume egoal to the one-fifith part of two sols per arpent in superfeies, within the limits of such fiefs, the said sum to be paid for the period of twenty-five years only.
VI. That the proprietors of fiefs and Scigniories shall receive from Government, as and on account of expropriation from their wild and unconceded lands, the sums heremafter designated upon the following seale, that is to say, 5 sols currency per superficial arpent, for uneonecded lands situate in the Seigniorics three-fourths whereof are conceded; 4 sols per superficial arpent of unconeeded lands, situate in Seigniories one-half wherenf, at least, is conceded; 3 sols for every superficial arpent of unconceded lands sitnate in Seigniories, one-fourth whereof, at least, is conceded; 2 sols for every superficial arpent of unconceded lands situate in all the other Seigniories, with the exception of the Seigniories of the Island of Anticosti and of Mingan or Terra-Firma; all of which sums shall be paid to them annually for a period of ten years from the passing of this Aet, at the expiration of which period, the payment so to be made, shall be perfected; and that the Propictors of the Seigniories of Anticosti and Terra-Ferma shall annually receive from the Government, the sum of four ponnds eurrency for every league of land in superficies situate in the said Seiguiories, and this payment also shall continue for a period of ten years.
VII. That all the proprietors of fiefs and Scigniories, entitled to and in possession of the same, at the time of the passing of this Aet, shall reserve as their own exclusive property, the Domain by them possessed, oeenpied and improved, in good faith, and the mills by them crected, with the water-powers working the same.
VIII. That the Scigniors or dominant proprictors of property, situate within the limits of Towns and incorporated Villages, shall annuaily receive from the Corporations of such Towns and Villages, during a period of twenty-five years a sum equal to the revenue arising to them from such dependence or censive, to be computed at the average amount of ten years, and also one and two-thirds per cent. of the capital represented by such average revenue, being the annuity destined to extinguish the said capital within the alorementioned period of twentyfive years.

## Execution.

X. That within the six months inmediately afier this Act shall receive the Royal assent, every proprictor in censive of property situate without the limits of Towns and incorporated villages, shall be bound to furnish to the feudal proprictor, of whom he holds, by delivering to him or to his agent, at the domicile to be clected by such Scignior within the limits of the said late censive, an instrument in the form of Schedule A hereunto annexed. And every proprictor of an arriere fiff whose Seignior dominant is other than the Crown, shall furnish to the said Scignior dominant in instrument in the form of Schedule 1 B . The said instruments shall constitute an hypothecary debt bearing privilege of bailleur de fonds prior to any other hypothee granted even before the passing of this Act, the transfer of the property liberating the bailleur from all personal liability; and the holder of the said bonds so granted in his favor shall be bound to deposit the same in the Registry office of the locality therein referred to, and the Registrar of such office shall enregister the said instrument in a separate book, to be called the "Commutation Register," in the usual mamer and form to serve for all purposes, and shall endorse thercon a certificate in the form of Schedule $C$ hercunto annexed, and such Registrar shall be
entilled to demand from the Receiver-General of the Province, who is hereby authorizel to pay the same, the sum of one shilling and three pence currency for every such enregistration, inchoding the ceatifeate thereof.
X. The Receiver-Gencral of the Province, shall be bound to pay annually to the late proprietors of Scigniories, as provided by the fith and sixth sections, 1st. The sum of two sols per arpent in superfieies, of the lands deseribed in the instemants granted in the form of Schedule $A$, upon production of the said instruments beating upon them a certificate of enregistration. 2nd. The respective sums fixed by the seale contaned in the sixth section, for cach arentof uneonceded land, upon proofmade to the Receiver General of the number of mencetied arpents and their situation, and in ease of refusal by the liceever General to receive such proof, then upon proof therenf mate to the satisfaction of two Judges of the Superior Court, and cerificates thereof by such Judges.

KI. 'That the corporation of any town or incorporated vilhare shall assess and leve, in accordance with the assessment Roll, on all property under their jurisdiction and hed in crosier at the time of the passing of this Act, the sum to be fixed by vituc on scetion VIIT, upon proof made to the said corporation or before two Jules of the Superior Court, and such sum shall be paid annually by the corpoations amman, to the proprictors of Scigniorics entitled thereto, by virtue of the section Defore cited.

## gENERAL PROVISIONS.

Xir. 1st. Mypothecary creditors muder hypothecs bearing date prior to the passing of this Aet may be substituted exactly as in analogons cases under the ordinary laws which shall apply also, in all matters connected with this Act to subuitutions and to all the rights of minors, interdicied persons, and women subject to marital anthority.

2nd. In case of sale (deret) it shall not be necessary to enter oppositions, for the preservation of the privileges granted ander this Act, derognting in this respect from the common laws, and in case such opposition shall be made, the costs thereof shall be borne by the opposint.

3rd. Putics holding m mutmain, tutors, curators, subsitués, administrators, and all corporate bodies, shall by virtue of this Aet, exercise all rights which may be exereised by any individual; and corporate bodies holding Seignionial property in mortmain shall be empowered to invest, as they may deen expedient, even in real estate, the sums produced by commutation.

4th. The rent created by virtue of this Act in favor of the heretofore proprietors of Seigniories may be extinguished by arrangement between the parties, and any arrangement between the creditor and debtor ereated under this Aet, for a partial or complete redemption, shall be valid, provided it be made in conformity with the provisions of this Act.
sth. Sums due by virtue of this Act shall be preseribed at the expiration of twelve moniss from their becoming due, and shall not bear interest, and the entire commutation debt shall be preseribed and extinguished at the expiration of the twenty-sisth year after the passing of this Act; but such preseription shall only be pleaded by the person who shall have conformed to the provisions of this Act, and by the tiers détenteurs who shall have notified the creditor of the acquiring by them of the property charged.

6 th . The transformation by virtuc of this Act of any real debt, into a personal debt, by means of promissory notes, shall be a legal transaction, and the same shall be recoverable at all times.

7th. The Government or the Town and Village Corporations may, being interested parties, appear before Courts of Justice, to combat all evidence offered by virtue of the tenth and eleventh sections, and they shall be notified of the day upon which such evidence is to be offered nnder pain of the proceedings being declared null.

8th. Monies payable by Government under this Aet may be stopped and seized in the hands of the Receiver General, by virtue of orders and Judgments of Courts of Justice.

9th. Any fraud against the provisions of this Act, and any attempt to exceed the amount of the obligations arising ont of this Act shall be punished by the forfeiture, to the profit of the victim thereof, of all the money due by the party so defrauded.

10th. All concessions made before the passing of this Act, of Lands within the limits of Seigniories exceeding three hundred acres in superficies, upon which no bond fide elearing has been made before the three months immediately preeeding the sanctioning of this Act, are hereby deelared to be made in contravention of the laws regulating the fendal tenure in this Province, and are null pleno jure, and such lands shall by virtue of this Act be rcunited to the Public Domain.

11th. All Courts of Justice within the extent and limits of their Jurisdiction, shall summarily hear and determine all matters contained in this Act, in the ordinary and most extended manner and as they are empowered to decide all other matters,and to fix the costs thereof.

12th. This Act shall be interpreted in its broadest sense, and all questions incidental thereto, shall be determined in accordance with the ordinary principles of law in analagous cases.

## SCHEDULE A.

I, A. B., the present occupier of a land (or property,) situate in the range of the concessions of the Parisl (or locality) of bounded (succinct description of land,) containing arpents in superficies, do acknowledge myself to be indebted to C. D. at the domicile to be elected by him in the Parishes (or locality) aforesaid, in the sum of shillings currency per annum, to date from the day of the passing of the Act of Commutation, and so to continue by virtue of the said Act for twenty-five consecutive years.

Place
Date
Signature.
(or mark in the presence of two witnesses.)

## SCHEDULE B.

I, A. B., the present holder of deposits funded as commutation money of the arriere fief situate (description)
acknowledge to be indebted to C. D.
heretofore Seignior Dominant of the said fief, in the sum of
currency per annum, being the one fifth part of the commutation sinking fund for the said fief, and to be so indebted for the space of twenty-five years.

Place
date
Signature.

## SCHEDULE C.

## Filed and enregistcred.

Place
date
A.'B. Registrar.
individuals. To raise the cens et rentes above two sols by an act of public authority, is to say, in the name of the law, to the Seigniors who have conformed to it, and these happily form the immense majority, "Yon are very foolish to have so quietly believed in the rhapsodics of your titles and the Edicts, arrets and ordinances which govern them; the correct doetrine, the just method, is to eneroach and grind down, and to eneroach and grind down again."

I have fixed the conversion of the capons and wheat into money value, at five shillings for the wheat and ten pence for the capons; this is a concession in fivor of the Seignior, the total amount of whome cens et rentes is below the maximum rate. The second paracgraph cestablishes in an exaet manner that the loda et dentes shall be represented by a proportionate additional rent equally apportioned over each superficial arjent of land coneeded. This system possesses undonbted superiority, and was recomonended in 18.13, by several persons, and public attention was directed to it by the Commissioners for the Seigniorial Enquiry. Mr. Daniel Arnoldi thus wrote at this date, "I conecive the meagure wonld be far "better and sooner accomplished by a commensurate rente than by any other. "In a business of this nature arbitration wonld be suseeptible of great aboses, "\&c." This was aho the opinion expressed by Messienrs Lacoste and Lemiene, in the Honse of Assembly in 1853.

I have now to show that the quantum I hare fised, is an equitable average for the Scignior, and that the uniformity I establish in the payment of the rente, is just as regards the mass of censituires.

I show hy the annexed table, mmber 7 , and the remarks which follow, that in the thirteen Seigutores therein mentioned, by the returns given in by the seiniors themselves, the average revenue arising from the lods et teates, calculated upon the number of arpents conceded, is rather under two sols an arpent. Py table No. 8, I have shewn that in the five Seigniorics therein mentioned, the average amounts to less than $1 \frac{1}{2}$ sols per arpent. From the information furnished by Mr. Arehambant, it appears that in the Suigniory of l'Assomption the amount is under 15 sols per arpent. The Commissioners of 1843 ascertained that, in the Seigniory of Latuzon, the annual value of the lods et ventes, "would only add aboul one or heo sols per arpent to the amount of the cens et "rentes." Mr. Lacoste, a genilemen of great experience as an agent for Scigniories, and whose information has reference to several Seigniories not included in the above mentioned tables, estimates the value of the eapital of the lods et ventes in 1853 at one-thity-sixth part of the value of the lands en consive ; so that estimating the value of the conceded lands both in wood and muder cultivation at an average of $£ 210$ s. per arpent, this calculation would give an average of $33 \frac{1}{3}$ sols per arpent of eapital, or two sols of annual proportionate rent. Table No. 9 confirms this proposition, that two sols per arpent is the maximum value of the lods et venies. The foregoing is suffieient to convince all reasonable men, and if each of those who seek an upright settlement of the question, wonld apply these ealenlations to his own locality, there would not be a single individnal remaining in the whole extent of the Seigniories, who would not admit the fact, that two sols of annmal rent per superficial arpent is an adequate indemnification, in place and stead of the lods et ventes. With respect to the censitaire, it may ine remarked, that an equal apportionment without regarel to the value of the lands would not be just. I assert that it is perfectly so in its application, for it is a fret universally admitted, that it is property of the least value that coniributes almost the whole amount of the casual profits of lods et ventes, and that for one valuable property which is sold, twenty half-cleared tracts are sold ; it is then true to say, that in a period of twen-ty-five years, the small proprictor in censive, will pay more lods et ventes than the rich proprietor, and that by an equal apportionment the poor man would in fact be benefited. Besides, what would be gained by incurring the costs of valuations and apportionments, if it be not to make one class pay more than another. I am convinced that strictly speaking, this system is the most just and the
least expensive. This was the opinion of Mr. Boutillier of St. Hyacinthe, who said in 1843: " Cpon examination of the Rent Rolls of a number of the Seigniories in different parts of the Province, the annual Seigniorial revente might, I apprehend, be prompily and without difficulty ascertained.' 'This mode has morcover the merit of removing a great inconvenience which, in the case of certain Scigniors would be almost the same as a spoliation without compensation, an ineonvenience which has been represented by Mr. Peter Burnet, in the mernorial addressed by him to Sir John Paekington ; Mr. Burnet shows that the average of ten years which satisfactorily represents the valne of the lorls et ventes in the very large Seigniories or in a certain number of Seigniories, in all the Seigniories taken together, does not alyays represent the average of a Scigniory situated under peculiar circumstances. The case of a Seigniory in the District of Three Rivers, was brought.under my notice, which only contains old lands of great value. This Sigigniory, I am told, prodnced $£ 1000^{\circ}$ of lods et ventes in one year, and has not prodaced any at all for the last fourteen years, so that this property which gives an average of $£ 66$ of lods et ventes per annmon for a period of difteen years, gives nothing at all for a period of fourteen years.

The third paragraph is the key to the fimancial part of the proposed plan, I have adopted the system of extingnishing annmities whirh is universally admitted in public undertakings, I banking transactions, and other financial operations. Aceording to this prineiple an anmity of one and two thirds per cent of the capital added to the interest at the rate of six per cent annoally, extinguishes this capital in a period of twenty-five years. In other words, a capital loaned on a sinking fund on condition of receiving $7 \%$ per cent for five and twenty years, is as well invested as thongh it had been loaned at six per cent, repayable at any period whatsoever. Of the part of the indemnification proposed to be paid by Government I appropriate the proportion of 34 per cent, and set it apart to smooth down the asperitics of the system of generalization and to justify the exereise of the high handedathority which in every case ofexpropriation is necessary; this proportion forming an umportant fiaction of more than two thirds of a sol per superficial arpent of rent added to the four sous of the censitaire, is the interest on the capital granted to the Seignior and which is extinguished by the amuity, leaving rather less than one sol and one third per arpent of ammal rent, remaining from the Goverument grant.

The following is the result of all these calculations applied to each superficial league of Seigniory :

|  | £ | s. d. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum amount of consolidated capital. | 1150 | 84 |
| Annual interest on this capital | 69 | 06 |
| Extinction Annuity. | 19 | 3 |

There cxists in favor of the Seignior a fraction of a sou; the capital is computed as follows:

|  | $\mathcal{L}$ s. d. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Capital due by censitaires.. | $980 \quad 0$ |
| Capital derived from Government | 1700 |
| Interest paid by consitaires | 58160 |
| Interest paid by Government | 104 |

So that the proprietor of a Seigniory, let us suppose of two leagues in front by three in depth, would annually receive for twenty-five years the (maximum) sum of $£ 352$ from the censitaires, and a further sum of $£ 176$ from the Government, and wonld retain as his own property for ever his mills and domains: which would in amount be equivalent to payment in cash of $£ 12,000$ as the value of such Seigniory under ordinary circumstances, abandoning moreover the droit de Quint in favor of the Scignior. It is certain that no Scigniory exists, the relative value of which exceeds this sum, unless the excess be derived from
manor-houses, domains or mills of more than ordinary valuc, a consideration giving rise to no difliculty, under the provisions of my scheme.
'The system of annuities and instalments lias been objected to, on the principle that economy not being a characteristic of a great number of the Seigniors, this mode of redemption would in many cases have the eflect of permitting them " to spend both principle and interest." In reply to this Mr. Cartier said, "if the Seigniors are not wise enough to manage their own affairs, let them have Curators appointed." This is evident, for when the folly of people is made use of as an argument, the conclusion to be drawn should have some reference to the mad-house or to a Curator.

The fourth paragraph has reference to a few arrieres-fiefs, of which the dominant proprictors are not the Crown. The rights of the Seignior Dominant consist in the receipt of one fifth part of the price of each mutation or sale; as this casual right only produces a revenue to the Dorninant at very remote periods it is evident that one fifth part of the value is far too much, as the price of commutation. I consider that the annual payment of one fifth of the Government grant is a large indemnification, in fact it creates in favor of the dominant a fixed annual rent of two pounds nine shillings currency per superficial league besides an annuity of $£ 316 \mathrm{~s}$. 8d., thus effecting in twenty-five years payment of a capital of $\mathcal{L} 230$. The quint has never produced to the Crown any thing approaching that sum considering the extent of the arrieres-fiefs.

Clause VI fixes a scale by which the amount allowed for indemnification, for the reunion of unconceded lands to the domaine of the Crown should vary in proportion to the real value of the lands. For it is at once evident, that the Seigniories which have made the least progress towards scttlement, are those occupying the worst situations, and for the same reason those in which concessions are least frequently made; then, as the property the Seignior has in the value of the unconceded lands, only represents a latent capital which comes under the seisin of the dominant, as fast as it is conceded, the immediate redemption of this capital requires an amount diminishing in proportion to the diminution of the chances of concession-I propose to repay this capital by annual instalments bearing no interest, for the simple reason, that now it is the course which the seturn of the interest on such capital, follows by successive concessions from year to year. The maximuin fixed by the proposed clause, five sols per arpent paid annually, by instalments during ten years, will form a capital of $£ 735$ per superficial league of unconceded and uninhabited country, and the minimum a capital of Le94. I except from the proposed rule, the Scigniories of Anticosti and Terra Firma, because in reality these properties are almost valueless, and have in fact produced to their proprietors nothing worth mentioning. This capital paid regularly without risk, and without any expense attending its management is assuredly worth more than the rights of the Seigniors in these wild lands and unconceded lands, the nominal value of which, diminishes in consequence of the risk and difficulties attending a widely extending, long, and difficult collection.

From the foregoing remarks it may be seen how untenable is the proposition contained in one of the clauses of the Bill last Session, constituting a ground rent (rente foncière) of 7 sols per superficial arpent in consideration of the concession of wild lands in franc aleu; to demonstrate what cruclty to the poor farmer would attend the carrying out of such a provision, it will be sufficient to submit the following calculations to the reader; from the information furnished by the late Honorable Mr. Dionne of Kamouraska, with a candor and good will that does honor to his memory; the beautiful Scigniory of St. Roch des Aulnais, containing six square leagues in superficies was bought for $£ 10,000$, including a magnificent Mill, which produces an annual revenue of $£ 400$; this denotes a more than ordinary progress in cultivation, and the consequently increased value of property contributing the casual profit of lods et ventes and including also therein the quint. Well, according to my proposition a Seigniory of the same ex-

## For a land of 80 arpents not charged with renta bat charged with one

$\qquad$
For a land charged with half a sol of rente per arpent, ..... 8For a iand ellarged, with one sol ol rente,
10
For a land charged with one sol and a half of rente,
For a land charged with two sols or more, i.....n.: ..... 11 ..... $13 \cdot 4 \frac{1}{2}$

Under the clause X, the Province would have to pay annually two sols, for every arpent of land in censive, without the limits of the Crown Seigniorics; now the, unuber of arpents so situated, being $5,941,347$, it follows, that the annual sum for the payment of which the Government will be bound, will be $£ 24,755$; ndeed rather less than this from the fact that the franc aleus and the private domains of the Seigniors are included in the sumo of the arpents conceded. The second paragraph of the same clause, has refergnce to the payment for lands not conceded and reunited to the public domain; it is evident that in this case the Government gains and receives more than their value, because, by paying for the partial right that the Seigniors have to the property, the State becomes the exclusive proprictor. To calculate the annual sums that will haye to be paid for a period of ten years for the redemption of naconceded linds, the following approximate estimates may be set down:
tent, without Mills, clearings, or. babitations, and'stuated under the most unfavorable circumstauces, wauld cost the inhabitants the sam in round numbers of $\mathbf{£ 1 0 , 0 0 0}$, the capital represented by the constituted rent of 7 sols (gid.): per arpent.

Clause V.II. enacts that the Ecigniors shall romain the proprictors of their private domains occupied by them in good faith, and the excliosive possession of their mills, without being subject to the exigencies of laws and customs relating to banality.

Clanse VIII relating to fiefs occupied by Towns or jncorporated Villages it may be easily understood, and I do not believe there is any other general method, for proceeding to commutation under the ciremmstances, than by taking advantage of the Municipal authorities, whose organization is complete, and who have their assessment folls and the necessary officers to carry out this mode of redemption, which will not entail upon these Corporations, more diffienlties than a simple additional taxation for any other object or purpose, whatsoever.: If it. be remarked that the government does not render assistance to the Town Censi-., taire, as it does to the rural Censitaire, I would answer that it is to the special advantage of the former thet commutation takes place, because the due of lods at rentes weighs more heavily upou 'Iown property, and upon the mercantile and, industrial classes who are principally inhabitants of the City or Town.

Clause IX enacts, what shall be the means employed by the Censitaire to guarantee to the Seiguior the payment as regards himself of the annual sump. which he shall be bound to make to him. I maintain that the Government ought to pay the costs of enregistration of the new contracts, inasmuch as by enacting that expropriation shall take place, the Seignior is deprived of the guarantees afforded him by the Seigniorial Tenure, and they are obliged to be renewed in another form ; this expense moreover, only amounts to $£ 4,480$, once paid.

Let us now ascertain what sum eacli Censitaire will have to pay to his Seignior for five and twenty years, by virtue of my proposed plan.' Let us take for example a farm of 80 arpents. The lod's et ventes being calculated at two sols per superficial arpent, such a farm will pay anninally the sum of six shillings and eight pence for that item' and as the rent will be paid; at the rate at present fixed in the contracts, up to the maximum' of two sols, "we shall have 'the total amonint heremafter set out, aecording to the circunstances of the case.

$$
\because a ;
$$

250,000 arpents at 5 sols ( $2 \frac{1}{d} \mathrm{~d}$ ) ..... £2,604
200,000 do at 4 sols (2d.) ..... 1,666
250,000 do at 3 sols ( $1 \frac{1}{2}$ ) ..... 1,562
792,986 do at 2 sols (2d ..... 3,304
454 leagues at $\Lambda$ nticosti and Terra Firma at $\mathbf{L} 4$. ..... 1,816
£10,952

I have established the value of the wild lands, under the different circumstances mentioned in my scheme, on the price obtained at private sales, for old inhabited Scigniories commuted into free and common soceage, and these bases are so far more hiberal for the proprietors, inasmuch as in the commuted Scigniories, the owners have in virtue of their letters patent become exclusive proprictors, instead of holders in cominon as theretofore.

The provisions contained in the XI and XII clanses of the Plan hardly require commentary, the end and means of attaning it explain themselves, and have at different times beon the subject of disenssion in Parliament, and elsewhere. besides the limits necessarily assigned to this work, oblige me to confine myself to advancing propositions, leaving them to the intelligence of the public and of the reader to develope; it will be enongh to say that it will not suffice merely to pernse this treatise, but to form a gencral estimate of it, a profomad study of its details is necessary. I trust that I shall be pardoned the perhaps tedions conciseness, which I have been obliged to have recourse to.

## IX.

On first consideration I had prepared a clause to provide indemnification for the purchasers of Seigniories, who, counting upon the decisious of the Conrts, have paid for these properties a higher price than the one I have established, or who have accepted legacies upon onerous conditions, thereby placing thenselves in the same position, as that of the purchasers above referred to. For notwithstanding what may be said and with reason ton, that these purchasers have acquired such property imprudently or in bad faith, or that their contracts, are de facto aleatory con racts by virtue of which they have become proprietors of contested rights at their own peril and risk; I am nevertheless of the opinion of Messrs. Sicotte, Chapais and many otlers who are of opinion that the Government should, in order to the removal of any pretext for complaint, pay such indemnification, if there really is a purchaser; but no necessity exists for such a provision in the scheme which I submit, becanse in fact the best Seigniories are not worth in the market more than or even as much as the value assigned to them, and I belicve and may state with certainty, that there are not more than three Seigniories in the Country which have ever been sold for a larger sum per superficial league than that which I have established; thesc three are the Seigniories of Beauharnois, Rouville and Terrebonne; now the principal value of these Seigniories is not due to the annual rents and the casual rights, but to the value of the private Domains, manors and mills, which, left in the possession of the Seigniors and freed from the quint and the obligations of banality, afford consequently to the proprietor, in the end, more than perfect combensation. In the Seigniory of Beauharnois in particular, the agent, Mr. Wakefield, further declared in 1843 that the purchase of that property had been a very bad speculation: a circumstance which may serve to convince those who pretend that it is impossible for the purchaser of a Seigniory to make a bad bargain.

It may not be altogether useless to make a remark, which practically removes many difficulties, and it is this, that the Seigniories in which the amount of the rent has been increased illegally, are not those which always produce the largest revenues, and that in all cases they never produce a revenue approaching
the nominal amonnt of the obligations. "The wine press can ter efore from the grape more than a certain quantity of wine!" The exress of the whe dimin shes the value of the propery; then, nsthere ure no sales, or if there an any, they re at a very low priee, but little casual revenne acernes from the lodset ventes; but that is not the only nor yet the principal renson of this fact; the prineipal eause lios in the diffently incurred in the eollection of the revenue, in conseghonee of the passive resistanee oflered by the censitaires to minat encroachmente, arainst whieh neither the Government or the Courts have granted their protection ; it will be sufficient to say that the arrears of errain Seigniories have risen to enormons amomuts, and have been sold lior a mere tritte by the Seigniors. I am awate that the arrears dac to one of these Seigniorial proprictors amometing to $\mathfrak{L} \mathbf{S}$ or $\mathfrak{E}: 30,000$, were sold by himat a loss of nore than five hundred percent. and that one Seignior alone, has within a period of three years been obliged to lodge no less than 179 executions in the oflice of the Sherifi of Montreal.

Those who will refer to the appendiees to the Report of the Commission of 1843 will pereeive that the complaints brought by the Scigniors with respeet to "heal debts" and "frauds committed" afford proof of the faet I have stated. This carties ont what I have already remarked viz: That certain Seigniors exaggerate the value of their Seigriories, and by so doing, they have induced many people to believe that their Seigniories are a second Peru. It is highly amnsing for example to peruse the memorials addressed to the Colonial office on the subject. These documents comenence with an outpouring of political sentimentality, they regret the good old times, when elbow room and free entrance could be obtain. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ to Downing Strect; they speak of demagoguism, the arbitrary will of majorities, of "the Government of Lord Elgin" and of the attempts at spoliation against the Seigniors, but they take very good eare that the amonnt of the revenue of their Seigniorial property should be made known ; and the noble Lords of the 'Treasury would have laughed if any mischievous person had told them that these revennes only amomed to some three hundred pounds for which a more than sufficient compensation has been offered to them. But there is one thing I now begin to suspect, viz: That a certain number of the Seigniors, without refusing the money, attach much more importance to the title of Seignior, and look upon themselves as the aristocracy of the country; sueh persons ought candidly to state their opinion; it is a fine sentiment in a utilitarian age, where a man is always measured by the length of his purse, and some means might be arranged by which an economical order of knighthood might be established for their benefit.

A question was put to the following effeet by Mr. Wakefield, agent for the Seigniors of Beauharnois in 1843. What authority has the Legislative Assembly for making any enaetment with respect to Seigniorics commuted into free and common soccage? For the solution of this question, we must first of all define the respective position to which the parties interested are placed by virtue of the Imperial Act. These titles change into exclusive and independent property to the profit of the Seignior all unconceded lands heretofore possessed by them in Seigniory or under the simple title of dominant; from this it is clear that as regards lands unconceded at the date of the issue of the Letters Patent, the Legislature has nothing to do with them and we need not take them into our consideration; but as regards lands then conceded in censive, these documents simply grant permission to the Seignior and censitaire, without obliging them to alter the tenure of their properties; thusit is manifest that this provision being of a voluntary nature is obligatory on the part of nobody, and binds neither the local Legislature nor individuals, and that a general Colonial provision rendering commutation, generally, obligatory, includes in its effects the parts in censive of the comnuted Seigniories ; inasmuch as an enactment of this nature is not a contradiction of the Imperial Act, but is on the contrary the confirmation of the provisions of that Act ; in a word it would only enact the establishment of a state of things which by the Imperial Act is declared expedient and desirable.

I daim in conolusion a conseientions investigation of the propositions which Whave set out in this treatise, which I only lay before the public, in the firm conyiction that my system only provides for doing justice to all, and in doing so to entail the least cost upon the public generally. I ask for it study, such an would be bestowed upon the labor of ian intimate friend, of whose devotion to the canse you are convinced, and then, instead of soarehing for something with which to find fault, the reader will endenvour to comprehend everyihing, nad if after so careful an examination my saheme be rejected, I shall feel that I have been daceived, though my intentions have been of the sincerest nature.

One word more; let those who are called upon to assist in the solution of this great question, consider the matter in a historical point of view, und cast maside any feelings of self inferest which may assail them, they, will then find the question more easy of solution.
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TABLE No. 1 :
Table of the Fiefs and Seigniories of Lower Canada.

Table of the Fiefs and Seigniories of Lower-Canada.-(Continued.)


|  |  | Seigniories. | Situation according to the new division of Districts. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Superficies } \\ \text { in } \\ \text { Arpents. } \end{gathered}$ | Name of Original Grantees and date of Grant. | REMARKS. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 46 | 48 | D'Autré Est |  |  | Bourdon . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 17-16 |  |
|  |  | D'Autré Ouest. .... | Montreal. | $88: 20$ |  |  |
| 47 | 50 | D'Auteuil.......... | Quebec. | 15876 | D'Auteuil . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1693 |  |
|  | $\cdots$ | D'Argenteuil | Montreal |  |  | Sce D'Aillebout. |
|  |  | Deguir. | Three-Rivers | 25924 | De Guir. . . . . . . . . . . . . 1781 | See D-Aillebor. |
| 48 |  | De Léry. . | Montreal. . . . | 4:336 | De Léry . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1733 |  |
|  | 53 | De l'isle | Quebec. | 28221 | Aubin . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1736 |  |
|  | 54 | Derriere Lanoraie. | Moutreal | 64000 | Never $17: 39$ |  |
| 49 | 55 | De Ramezay. . . . . . | Do. | 42336 | De Ramezay . . . . . . . . . . 1736 |  |
| 50 |  | De Maure (St. Augus | Quebec | 26460 |  |  |
|  | $57$ | De Peiras <br> De Ramezay | Kamouraska... | $\underline{2822} 4$ | Peiras . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1675 | fealty and homage made in 1751 . |
| 51 | $\begin{aligned} & 58 \\ & 59 \end{aligned}$ | De Ramezay. | Montreal. . . | 63504 | De Ramezay . . . . . . . . . . . 1710 |  |
| 52 | 59 | Deschambault. | Quebec....... | 21168 | Grandmaison1 . . . . . . . . . . 1652 | See St. Jean. |
| 53 |  | Desplaines, N. E. |  |  | Le Gardeur. . . . . . . . . . . . . . $177_{7}^{7}$ | see St. Jean. |
| 53 |  | Desplanes, S. O... | Do. ....... | 17900 | Do . . . . . . . . . . . . . $17: 38$ |  |
| 54 | 62 | Dumontier. . . . | Three-Rivers.. | 31742 | Dumontier . . . . . . . . . . . . . 7708 |  |
| 55 |  | Dorvillier | Do. |  |  | SceSte. Anne. |
| 56 | 63 | Lusiblé. | Montreal. | 21168 | Dusablé. . . . . . . . . . . . . . 17339 | ceSre. Anne. |
| 57 | ... | $D_{\text {wquet }} .$ | Quebec. |  |  | Sce Ste. Antoine. |
| 58 | ... | Durantaie | Do. |  |  | See Ladurantaie. |
| 59 | 64 | Dutort $\qquad$ <br> Eboulemens | Do. Do. $^{\text {D }}$. | 53928 |  | The title has not been found. |
| 60 |  | Eboulemens Ecurenils.. | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { Do. } \\ \text { Do. } \end{array}$ | 5:920 | Lessard . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1683 | See Bélair. |
|  |  | Epinay ou L. | 1)o. | 70.56 | \|L'Epinay . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1701 | See Belair. |
| 61 | 67 | Fossambault. | Do ........ | 63504 | Goderville. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1693 |  |
| 62 |  | Foncault. <br> Fournier | Montreal... . . . | $35: 00$ 5010 | Foucault . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 17338 |  |
| 63 |  | fournier. <br> Gamache. | Quebec. . . . $\begin{gathered}\text { Do. } \\ \text { Do. } \\ \end{gathered}$ | 5010 | Fournier . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .1672 |  |
| 64 |  | Gaspé... | Do. | 15876 | De Gaspé . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .173isi |  |
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|  |  | Seigniories. | Situation according to the new division of Districts. | Superficies in Arpents. | Names of Original Grantecs and date of Grant. | REMARKS. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 111 | 124 | anoraie |  | 282.24 |  |  |
| 112 | 125 | La Prairie (de la Mad | do. | $56448$ | Jèsuit Fathers $\qquad$ | Belongs to the Crown. |
| 113 | 126 | Lasalle | do | 21168 | Leber . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1750 | Belons to |
| 114 | 127 | La Tesser | Three-Riv |  | Latesscrie............. . . . . . . .1672 |  |
| 115 | 128 | Lauzon | Quebec . . . . | 254,016 |  | This Fief LaTesserie is comprised in |
| 116 | 12.9 | Lavaltrie | Montreal | 42336 | Lavaltrie. $\square$ | Belongs to the Crown. [Grondines and |
|  | 130 | Augmentation | do. |  | Do. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 173 -1 |  |
| 117 | 131 | Lessard | Quebec | 7056 | Lessard . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1698 |  |
| 118 | 132 | Lessard. | Kamourask | 21168 | Do. .-.... . . . . . . . . . 1696 |  |
| 119 | 133 | Levrard (Les Becquets) | Three-Rivers | 56148 | Levrard . . . . . . . . . . . . 1683 |  |
| 121 | 135 | Livaudiere ... | Quebec | 15876 | Péan Livaudière . . . . . . . 1734 | The proprietor shewed to the Commis- |
| 122 | 136 | Augmentation. | Montreal...... . | 98751 |  | sioners of 1843 limits above 300,000 arpents, this was an erior. |
| 122 | 137 | Lotbinière . | Quebec. |  | Marsolet . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 167 2 | Lotbinière is paity commnt ${ }^{\text {d, }}$, the por- |
|  | 138 | Do. | do. |  | Lotbinière.. . . . . . . . . . . . . 167 16 | tion held iu free and common soceage |
|  | 139 | Do. | do. | 168944 | Do. . . . . . . . . . . . . 1685 | covers a superficies of 111132 ar |
|  | 140 | Do. | do. |  | Do. . .............. $16^{4} 3$ | pents. |
| 123 | 142 | Louis Gagne Be | do. | 840 | Bellavance . . . . . . . . . . . . 1675 |  |
|  | 143 | Augmentation | do | 64058 | LePage and Thibierge.... 1696 | [bouring Fiefs. |
| 124 | 144 | Lussaudière . | Three-Rivers.... | 7056 | Lamothe. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 16.16893 | [This Fief is included in the neigh- [nothing is to be found on the maps. |
|  | 145 | Lusson. | Quebec |  | St. Lusson. . . . . . . . . . . . . 1672 | The title does not give the depth, and |
| 125 |  | Madawaska........ | Kamouraska |  | Lachenaie .. . . . . . . . . . . . . 1683 |  |
|  | 146 | Machiche (Grosbois) | Three-Rivers | 21168 | Grandpré . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 16781 | Madawaska, see Témiscouata. |
| 126 | 147 | Madeleine Rivière ... | Gaspe | 14112 | Riveriu . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1689 | Commited. |
| 128 | 149 | Maranda, N. E. (Duquet | Quebec |  | Duquet . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 167. | The two Fiefs Maranda are comprised |
| 129 |  | Martiuère ... | do. |  | Do. . .............. 16i: | in the superficies of St. Antoine de |
| 130 | 150 | Maskinongé N. E | Three-Rivers |  | Le Gardeur. . . . . . . . . . . . 1672 | See Lamartiniere. [Tilly. |
| 131 | 151 | Maskinongé S. 0 | do. .. | 22932 | Do. ................16 16 |  |
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| 132 | 152 Matane | Kamouraska... | 26460 | D'Armour . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1677 | See DePéiras. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 133 | - Mitis . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | do. |  | Langloiseri* . . . . . . . . . . . . 1714 |  |
| 134 | 153 Mille lsles ......... . . . . . . | Montreal | 190512 | ! umont. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $17 i_{i}$ |  |
|  | 154 Augmentation. . . . . . . . . . . . . |  | 81672 | Gilfard. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $165:$ | Uninhabited. |
| 135 | 155 Mille Vaches . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | Quebec | 705600 | Bismot. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 E61 | Uninhabited. |
| 136 | 156 Mingan or Terre ferm | do. . ${ }_{\text {dontreal. }}$ | 423:36 | lamesay. . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1703 |  |
| 137 | 157 Monnoir . . . . . . . . . . . . | Montreal.... | 42336 | Do. . . . . . . . . . . . . . 17339 |  |
| 138 | 158 Monnoir augmentation . . . . . . . | do. - |  | 1)e Vitré . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1633 l |  |
| 139 | 159 Montapeine or Vitrè. . . . . . . . . . . $\}$ | Quebec . | 5100 | lerment . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1710 |  |
|  | 160 Augmentation. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | Montreal. | 14364 127008 | Boucher . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 176 17 $^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 140 | 162 Mont Murry | Quebec | 127.088 7.1988 | Berırlet | The date of this title is unknown. |
| 142. | .... Mont Louis. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | Gaspé . | 81672 | Nairn . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $176^{2}$ |  |
| 143 | -163 Malbaie or Murry bay ........ | Quebec | 77616 | Bourdon . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1653 |  |
| 144 | 164 Neuville or Point aux Tre..... | To. ...... | 28-2:1 | Laubia . . . . . . . . . . . . $167 \%$ |  |
| 145 |  | Three-Rivers | 42336 | Cressé $\begin{aligned} & 1580 \\ & 1669 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | 166 Augmentation (La Fourche)... | do. |  | Leneuf .1660 | Nothing can be found relating to this Fie. See part of Trois Pistoles. |
| 146 | . . . $\begin{aligned} & \text { Niverville ... . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } \\ & \text { Nicholas Rioux }\end{aligned}$ | Kamouraska |  | Jesuit Fathers. . . . . . . . . . $16: 26$ | Belongs to the Crown. |
|  | 167 Notre Dame des Anges . | Quebec .... | 28284 | esun Fathers............ 1731 |  |
| 147 | 167 Notre Nouvelle Longueuil ... | Montreal... . | 42336 | Lemoinc. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1743 | [perficies here mentioned. |
| 148 | 168 Nouvelle Longue | do. | 4:3.26 | General Hospital. . . . . . . . 161675 | [title ; but its land has only the su- |
| 149 |  | Quebec . . . . . | 3075 | l'achot . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 10.8 . 1 ¢ | pacliot has double this superficies by its |
| 150 | 171. Pachot | Kamouraska .. | 34~8 | Levinar . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1717 | paspébiac is forleited. |
|  | 172 Yasbebiac | Caspé .. | 9525 | Perthuis . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 175 17 | ; Commuted. |
| 151 | 173 Pertliuis. | Quebec. | 176100 | Laval. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1674 | 1 Petite Niation only shews on the map |
| 152 | 174 Petite Nation | Three-Rivers | 1058.t | flnilippe . . . . . . . . . . . . . 165: | 64,000 arpents in superficies. |
| 153 | 175 Pierreville. .-. . . . . . . . . . | three-Rivers | 17640 | ionnaneour . . . . . . . . . . . . 17.31 |  |
| 154 | 176 lointe du Lac(Tonnancour) ... |  |  | D'Eneau . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1696 | - Forreitec. |
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|  |  | Seigniories. | Situation according to the new division of Districts. | Superficies in Arpents. | Names of Original Grantees. and date of Grant. | REMARKS. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 155 | 178 | Port Nouf (Cap Santè) | Quebec | 31752 | Croisille . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1647 |  |
| 156 |  | Quebec ............ | do. |  |  | Town property. |
|  | 179 | Randin. | Three-Rive | 35.28 | Randin. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1672 |  |
|  |  | Augmentation | do. | 3528 | Berthier.. . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1674 |  |
|  | 181 | Reaume | Kamouraska | 7056 | Lacoinbe. . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1677 |  |
| 157 | 18 | Repentigny | Montreal . |  |  | Part of Lachenaie. |
| 158 | 18.2 | Rigaud... | do. | 63504 | Rigand . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1732 |  |
| 159 | 183 | Rimouski... | Kamouraska |  | Lacardonnière.. . . . . . . . . . 1688 | See St. Barnabé. |
| 160 |  | Rivière David. | Three-Rivers |  |  | See Déguir. |
| 161 | 184 | Rivière du Loup. | do. | 28.294 | Lechasseur. . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1683 |  |
| 162 | 185 | Rivière du Loup. | Kamouraska | 84672 | 1)'Artigny. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1689 | This superficies comprises Villerai, |
| 163 | 186 | Rivière Ouelle. |  | 21168 | Pouteillerie . . . . . . . . . . . . .1672 | D'Artigny, and Lachenaie, from |
|  | 187 | Augmentation. . . <br> Rivière du Chéne | Montreal | 28224 | Ramesay . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1750 | Terrebois to Green Island. <br> See Mille-Isles. |
| 164 | 188 | Rivière du Sud. . | Quebec | 49336 | Montmagny . . . . . . . . . . . . 1616 | Including Crane and Goose Islands. |
| 165 | 189 | Requetaillade | Three-Rivers. | 10584 | Roquetaillado. . . . . . . . . . 1675 | The original Title only gave to Louville |
| 166 | 190 | Rouville. | Montreal. | 35280 | Hertel Rouville ........ . . 1694 | $\mathbf{2 1 , 1 6 8}$ arpents in superficies. |
| 167 | 191 | Sabrevois | do. ....... | 42336 | Sabrevois . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1750 |  |
| 168 | 192 | Ste. Anne La Pérade | Three-Rivers.... | 14112 | Lanaudière. . . . . . . . . . . .1672 |  |
| 169 | 193 | Au-mentation | do. | 42336 | Denis Widow Lanaudière . 1697 | Commuted. |
|  | 194 | Augmentation. | do. | 21168 | Pésade .... ............ 1700 |  |
| 170 | 195 | Augmentation. | do. | 42336 | Do. .................. 1735 |  |
| 171 | 196 | Ste. Anne. des Monts | Kamouraska | 35\%8 | Riverin . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 16888 |  |
| 172 | 197 | Ste. Anne la Pocatière. | do. | 15876 | Lacombe. . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1672 |  |
| 173 | 198 | St Antoine (Tilly) | Quebee | 15876 | De Villieu . . . . . . . . . . . 1672 |  |
| 174 | 199 | St. Armand | Montreal....... | 127003 | Levazreur. . . . . . . . . . . . . 17148 |  |
| 175 | 200 | St. Barnabé. | Kamouraska. . . . | 45864 | Lepage . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1751 |  |
|  | 201 | St. Blain . | Montreal. | 3864 28224 | De la Frénièro . . . . . . . . . 1695 |  |
| 177 | 203 | St. Charles | do. ......... | 10584 | Fézeret . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .1701 |  |
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## APPENDIX.

No. 2.
Table shewing the names and superficies of fiefs the tenure whereof has been commuted, also hase wheref the Crown is Dominmen Propricior, and these which are still minhabited.

COMMUTED.

| $\dot{0}$ | Names. | Superficies. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Anse l'Et:ng.. | 7,056 |
| 2 | Beauharni is... | a 127,000 |
| 3 | Lake Mitis... | 81,672 |
| 4 | Lotbiaièro. | 111,132 |
| 5 | Pabos. | 63,504 |
| 6 | Perthuis. . . . . | c 75,256 |
| $7$ | Mount Louis. . | 74,088 |
| 8 | River Nagdeleine La Pérade. | d 14,112 |
| 10 | Temiscouata.. | 38:2,240 |
|  | Total | 1,039,012 |

Notes on table No. 2.
$a$ The letters patent of Commutation for Beauhurnois are dated 1836; as the lands enneeded before that period came under the general and foreed Commutation, it beemme neeessary to establish its auperfieies, which whe given by Mr. Wakefield, the agent of the proprietors, in hisletter to the Commissiouers of 1843, (see appendix to report, No. 69.) I have, therefore, put down the number which represents one half of the whole superfieies.
$b$ The commutel part of Lotbiniere is the augmentation of the 25th Mareh, 1693 ; its superficies is shews in the table.
e Perthins, which was commuted in 1836, contained about 90,000 arpents up to that period, as I have inferred from the eensus report of 1844, the last we lave; I have, therefore, deducted this number from the totnl superficies.
d La Pérade was commuted in 1830 : by inference from the ceusus of 1831 , I have in the same manner deducted 20,000 arpents in superficies.
$e$ Surel belongs to the Military Authorities. I have put down as uninhabited some fiefs which only contain from 5 to 6 inhabitants.

## APPENDIX.

## No. 3.

Table shewing the superficies of the lands held by the Agricultural population of Lower Camadia under both tenures, and other necessary details.

|  |  |  |  |  | Capital laid out in Grist Mills. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grand Total ......... | 8,113,379 | 3,605,076 | 4,508,303 | 375 | ¢300,754 0 | 95,823 |
| In common soc. cage................... | 2,133,369 | 713,174 | 1,420,695 | 135 | 85,138 00 | 24,072 |
| In Seigniories........ | 5,979,510 | 2,891,902 | 3,087,608 | 240 | 215,616 00 | 71,751 |

Reduction of the last series into arpents.

| Total arpents in <br> fiefs ................. | $6,523,101$ | $5,154,802$ | $3,368,299$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Notes.-The first series is taken altogether and as given by the enumeration of 1851 ; the others have been obtained fron calculatious based on data procured from the same tables and those of the census of 1844.

In tho Seigniories, the sum of $£ 215,616$ as capital invested in Grist Mills, does not include the moving power which I estimate at an amount equal to the enpitul laid out on the buildinga, say, total vulue of the Seigniorial Mills $£ 431,232$.

It is neecssary to establish how many arpents out of the amount of that item which relates to the tenure en fief, are situatein the Selgniories whereof the Crown is Dominant Proprictor. In order to do so, I establish the following proportion: the superficies of the Seigniorial soil is to the superficies of the lands of the Crown fiefs, as the quantity of land held on censive is to the amount required: that is 681,754.

It follows from tho foregoing data that the quantity of lands yet unconceded in the iuhabited Scigmiories, is $1,280,530$ arpents for private fiefs and 561,804 for Crown fiefs.

The following table shews the Counties in Lower Cauadn in which the lands occupied and inhabited are exclusively subject to the tenure on fief, those which are held in common soccage, and those subject to both tenures.

## APPENDIX.

No. 4.

| Exelusively en fiefs. | Exclusively in common soccage. | Mixed. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Huntingdon | Shefford | Beauharnois. |
| Vaudreuil | Sherbrooke. | Missisquoi (small portion on fief.) |
| Montreal.... | Stanstead ...... | Nicolet (small portion in common socoage.) |
| Verchères | Drummond...... | Lotbiniere (small portion in com. soc.) |
| Chambly. . | Megnntic | Dorchester. |
| St. Hyacintho. | , | Bellechasse (small portion in com. soc.) |
| Richelieu . | ............ ............... | Kamouraska (small portion in com. soc.) |
| Yumaska.... | ..................... ..... | Rimouski. |
| Runville .. | ........................... | L'Islet (small portion in com. soc.) |
| Berthier* | ............. ........... | Gaspé (small portion en fief.) |
| Champlain* |  | Bonaventure (small portion en fief.) |
| St Maurice* |  | Ottawa (small portion en ficf.) |
| Portneuf* |  | Two Mountains. |
| Montmorency**. |  | Terrebonne (small portion in com. soc.) Leinster. <br> Quebee (small portion in coms. soc.) Saguenay. |

The Counties marked with an asterisk are in progress of settlement on the Crown Lands.

## No. 5.

Table shewing approximately the superficies of unconceded lands in the Seigniories inlabited and not possessed by the Crown, in eonnexion with the three first provisions of Sections VI and X of the Project or Bill.

| Number of arpents situate <br> in the fiefs about three-quarters of <br> which ure conceded. | Number of arpents in <br> the fiefs about half of which <br> is conceded. | Number of arpents siturte <br> in the fiefs about a quarter of which <br> is conceded. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| About 250,000 | About 200,000 |  |

I have obtained this Tuble by comparing the snperficies of the fiefs with the superficies uccupied aceording to the details of the enumeration of 1844, and by establishing, in order to obtain a definite result, e proportion between the occupied portion of the soil in 1844 with that occupied in 1851.

## APPENDIX.

## No. 6.

Table shewing the revenue derived from the Quint.

| Minimum year, (1811) | $\begin{array}{lll}5 & N_{0} & \\ 5\end{array}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum year, (1803) | 2856 | 16 |  |
| Mean proportion of 47 years, from 1803 to 1851 | 702 | 0 | 0 |
| Mean proportion of 38 years, from 1803 to 1841 | 836 | 1 |  |
| Amount of total Quint during 47 years ........... | 37213 | 1 |  |

General Remaris. - It is tmpossiblo to establishapproximitely the extent of fraac-atens, the siparficies of which is comprised in that of the fiefs; but it is certain that they are few in number. Nor is the number of arrierefiefs easy to establish; this is of no impertanco, however, for the plan provides fur the method of proceeding to the commutation of the fuw existin; urriere-fifs. As the clomatins of the Seigniors aro generally very fine property, they may without exaggeration be valued at an average of £2,000.

A fuct which proves that the comanutution is not comsidered as a very preat advantage liy the proprietors of lands under cultivation, is, that from the 3 rd July, 1826 , th the 24 th Jawary, 88.0 , only 89 applications for commutation in the Crown Soigniories were deposited, coveriag a suprrficies of $581,75 t$ occupied arpents, and besides, these applications hal only referenos to Town prope ty situte in Quebe.

The costs of collection, including losses ty abmionment of hands and insolvability, may be valuel ai 15 per cent, of the gress revenue enterel on the books. These iteas have amonnted to more than $3: 3$, cent. in the Seigniory of Beauharnois.

## APPENDIX.

No. 7.-Table shewing the revenue of divers Seigniories as furnished by the proprielors in the Commissiontrs of 15 fi

| Seigniories. | Districts. | Proprietors. | Superficies in arpents. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Anuual } \\ \text { lods } \\ \text { et ventes. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Annual } \\ \text { cerss } \end{gathered}$ et rontes. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Twotal } \\ \text { righte and } \\ \text { diacs. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Aumat } \\ \text { Recure finces } \\ \text { ?i.!?. } \end{gathered}$ | 1: \% \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | £ | $\pm$ | $\pm$ | £ |  |
| Laprairie ............... | Montreal | The Ciown. | 56448 | 179 | 263 | 412 | 280 60 | - There is a dis.repaney as |
| Nutre Dame ........ ... | Quebec | Do. | 23224 | S9 | 92 | 184 | 60 | respects the sipherfirles of |
| Contrecceur.............. | Montreal. | Hon Mailhot | 28:24 | 300 | 165 | 468 | -25 |  |
| St. Anne and St. Denis. | Kamouraske | Hon. Diomme | 22932 | 71 | 86 | 107 | 275 | andere the's Tinargrathy; have |
| St. Koch des Aulnais.... | Quebec ................. |  | 42336 | 215 | 131 | 346 | 339 | lakell tar leserr owe for ith $s$ <br> table: 1 unpute uina the reve- $^{\text {a }}$ |
| Grandville $\qquad$ | Kamouraska ...........\| | Madame Taché ......... | 52920 | 200 | 60 | 260 | Not given. | nue tile suma of £i-lj for lods et |
| Gran ıpré. Dumontier. and half of Grosbois | Three Rivers ............ | Colonel Gugy........... | *63504 | 125 |  | 250 | Not given. | rentes, the reiarn bellyg alren as a tutal smu: |
| St. Hyacinthe............ | Montreal................. | L. A. Dessaulles......... | 127008 | 400 | 16.10 | 2009 | 1650 | $\dagger$ I have in une out of the |
| Rivière Uuelle ......... | Kamouraska ............ | P Casyrain ............ | 49392 | 130 | 125 | 25. | 340 | aneunt the um of $\pm 215$ on |
| Belaril. | Montreal... .. ........... | Mine de Montenac ... | 42336 21168 | $\dagger 215$ | 25 | 650 | 100 200 | luds et rentes, the same amuunt as fur St. hex h's wisich has the |
|  |  |  | 534492 | 2024 | 2500 | 5084 | 3289 | , |

It wonld seem correct enough to believe that the 13 above-ment:oned Seigniories, sone of the finest of the four large listricts of Lower Canada, may serve together as a basis for cast deduct from the tital amount of the superficies in arpents, the following firnes, shewing the number uf arjents then unconceden, viz : - Fir $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{t}}$. Ros' $\boldsymbol{£ 2 , 0 2 4}$ Os. Od. among 488,492 superficial arpents, we have an annual rent of a little under two sols per arpent, as representing the resence it ine Christie, propristor of the Seigniories thereir mentioned.

## APPENDIX.

## No. 8.

| Seigniories. | Number of mutations during seven years. | Amount of lods et ventes during seven years. | Annual mean amount of lods et ventes. | Superficies of the Seigniories in arpents. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | f | f |  |
| Repentigny. | 5 | 83 | 12 | 15118 |
| Lacolle... | 65 | 378 | 54 | 42336 |
| DeLéry. | 208 | 1428 | 204 | 42336 |
| Bleury. | 195 | 1034 | 145 | 31752 |
| Sabrevois. | 93 | 605 | 86 | 42336 |
| Noyan.. | 94 | 603 | 86 | 42336 |
| Tutals. | 660 | 4131 | 587 | 216214 |

From the total superficies of 12,000 arpents must be deducted as being the number of arpents of unoccupied lands in those Seigniories at the date specified, $£ 587$, apportioned over 204, 214 ar pents, give a little more than $1 \frac{1}{4}$ sol per superficial arpent, as representing the annual value of the lods et ventes of the six Seigniories in question, which are old properties situated in the District of Montreal.

Mr. Louis Archambault, of St. Roch de l'Achigan, in the document published by him in December last, established, that in the Seigniery in which he lived over an area "of $2 \frac{1}{4}$ leagues in front by six in depth'g the lods et ventes produced $£ 200$ per anmum ; from the superficies given must be deducted 15,118 arpents for the Parish of Repentigny, which no longer forms part of the Seigniory, the revenue arising from the lods et ventes of which is $£ 200$; that being done, we have for that Seigniory of L'Assomption rather less than $1 \frac{1}{5}$ sol per arpent as the revenue of the lods et ventes. The result of this is, that in establıshing an apportionment of two sols of annual rent per arpent, the maximum annual product of the lods et ventes is extinguished. From these tables it folln ${ }^{-0}$ s that the total value of the land held in Seigniory conceded and occupied, calculating it only at $£ 210 \mathrm{~s}$. per arpent, does not on average change hands every twenty years as has been stated, but in round members every fifty years, according to the average established by table No. 7, and every sighty by the average of No. 8. Here is another table which proves the proposition set forth that two sols is the maximum value of the revenue of the lods et ventes for all the Seigniories in the Country.
No. 9.

a maximun for :0 years, in beauharnois alone, of about $2 \frac{1}{2}$ sols perge of less than $1 \frac{1}{8}$ sols of annual revenue of lods et ventes per superlicial arpent, and The following is the list in order of date of the documents from whent 1 have collected the above deduct an average of less than 2 sols.
1815
1831
1813
1844
1851
1851
$852-53$
1853
1853 ave collected the above information:



[^0]:    * " Dinds it with bolts and bars from hearen to carth."

