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## AUTHOR'S PREFACE

THis book is of a kind comparatively new, as yet, in our country. Familiar as are our Lord's words in the Gospels, it has not been custontiary with us to isolate His teaching, or to inquire rithat and how much we may believe on His of direct authority. Nor have we gone first to Him for the form in which to hold our Christian beliefs. The theology of SL. Paul $s 0$ powerfully affected the Reformers, did $s 0$ great a work through their means, and has continued so to mould our religious belief that, in reading the Gospele, it has been our unconscious habit to arrange what we found in them according to the system of doctrine which we owe to that great Apostle. In our practice the theology of the Apoatle has been taken as primary, that of the Master as secondary. The teaching of Jesus has been greatly hidden behind that of Paul, and we have not been accustomed to see it in the form and outline natural to itself. By many recent influences the attention of the Church has been
called to this, and there is now an increasing deaire to so "back to Christ, ${ }^{n}$ as it has been expressed, and to know our Christian fieth first of all in its moot primitiva, mont authoritative, and moet truly universal form. The chapters that follow are an endeavour to meet this deaire, so fir as it can be doae in accordance with the requiroments of this series of text-books-'that the language be plain, that the treatment be brief, and that previous acquaintance with theology be not required.' I send out what 1 have written in mo spirit of over-confidence. 1 am , indeed, 20 far from content with it that 1 could willingly have written it again from the beginning, if I had felt assured that I coold bring it much nearer to my own ideal of a book on our Lord's teaching. I encourage myself by the thought that much that I have written has come to mie as light from our Lord's words in the need and experience of lifo, and by the belief that truth so received can hardly fail to prove of some value to the young men and women for whom this series of volumes, is fint intended, as well as to other readers.

All the four Gospels have been assumed as authentic sources of our Lord's teaching. The Goupel of St. John gives that teaching with so great differences that it is difficult to combine But their fundamental haimony has had recently



## $\therefore \cdots$

$*$
$\qquad$ $\because$
> ay

$\stackrel{\square}{\square}$

## EDITORIAL NOTE

The selection of "Our Lord's Teaching" an pae of the subjects to be dealt with in a series of Handbooks for Guilds and Bible Classes requires no juatification. No subject could be, found of higher interest or importance as a geld for Christian instruction; and for this reason, as well as from the simplicity and clearness of the Author's style, the Editors are hopeful that Dr. Robertson's work will prove very serviceable both 8. to members of Bible Classes and to private. students.
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## OUR LORD'S TEACHING

## CHAPTER I

## THE MANSER OF EIE TEACHINO

Jease, by general consent, the Great Teacher-Fils manner

- His countenance, dress, utterance, and attitudoSpirimal features of His manner: (i) authority, (a) graciouspess, (3) severity, (4) majosty-A transcendent Person.

THE teaching of Jesus is a subject which in these days - it is fitting we should study afresh. In an age like ours when so many things are questioned, it is of great advantage if we can find something to start from about which there is general agreement; and it is agreed among all men whou we need take into account, that Jesus is the greatest religious and moral Teacher whom the world has seen. Many in our day who refuse our creeds, and aput them aside as full of doctrinal subtleties; still declare themselves believers in the Sermon on the Mount, and ready to listen to the teathing of Jesus. Even in heathen countries like India there are found not a few who, withont joining themselves to the Christian Church, have come thus far, that they venerate Jesus as the Great Teacher. And within the Church itself a necessity is widely felt to go back to Jesus Himself, and to see what are the consequences of listening to Him afresh and alone, assuming only that, whatever else He was, He was and remains the world'f Great Teacher in religion.

We may begin our study of His teaching with what

## OUR LORD'S TRACRING

is mont outward in it. We may ins to call ap before us the aspect of the Teacher, and the characteritic mamier in which He tanght.

His fuce we cannot picture to curnelves. No portalit of Fin survives that if not hundreds of geass later thais His time $;$ and thongh th some agen He was epokea of as mena in appoct, and at other thone as, fo allt repecte, the flower of hamanity, this nae simply a reflection frod the anfering of timmphant state of the Church it the time. The Goupelis tell we of the Hating opp of Bis oyes as He prayed, of His sigh at the sight of matiering, of His deeper sigh in meeting with moral parvenity, and thej tell of marked changes in Ifin coumtianace s bat they devcribe no feature of if Eie wore no dintinctive drese, such as cither the prophets or the Rabbis wete, bat only the gumeeits mad is the commion rants of life from which He came forth. Filis rolee and witerance were, in general, of a' calin solemnity, without rehemesce, and withont agitation. Only this is consiotemt with Fifis language and Ifis atitude $s$ for RE sif in 'prowiting, whether fon the gyagogue, of on the mopint, of ta the boat when apealsing to the people on the shore. But there were thmee when, being more deeply mopit, He anied Fitis voico" Jemestood and criod " Jolinvin, 37) Once Efis volce was brokes with cobs and weotith, and there were times when the special fecling of typpethy in ilis tones so impremed the memory of thome who heard, thit the very syilables IIe spoke in the Aramaic torgee have been premerved (Mark vo 4i 3 vi. 34). That Ifts look had power, we know from the efiect it had on meais evil comceicades $s$ ime when it sufficed to drive before Itin tive tenders who profaned the Temple.

Prining from these eitermal leatures, the great epiritual characteristic of His manner in teaching was autherify. This wis what first struck His Galiipeon hearers, "He taught them as one having authority, and not as theit scribes" (Matt. vii. 29). The toribes tanght nothing withont justifying it by quotation from the famons Rabbis Jems appeals to nove; He seldone even reapons It
enough for Him to annoance the truth. Hif own assurance of knowing the truth is aboolute. Here a great contrast appears between HIIm and Socratek, the one teacher of our Weaters world with whom Jems might be compared. Socrates did not profess to know, but to be in search of truth. Jeais never speakp as if in any doubt $;$ He is certain on every subject with which He directh deale rand He always speaks as if His word moue enongh-" I say unto you," or "Verily I say unto yóa," or "Agaid, I any unto you." He places His own worils on a level even with the Old Testament Scriptures, of which He said that they "cannot be broken," and that He came not to destroy them; bat to fullil. In the Sermon on the Mount He quotes commandments from these Seriptures, and then extends, or even correctis, them by His own authority-" Ye have heard that it was said to them of old time, Thon shalt not kill . . . bat I my unto your, that every one who is angry with his brother. . . shall be in dangier." "Ye have heard that it was gaid to them of old time, Thos shalt not forsivear thywelf. . . bat Isay unto you, Swear not at all." "Ye have heand that it was said, An eje for an eye, and a tooth for a tooth: but $I$ say unto you, Rexist not him that is evil" (Matt. V. 21'fí). He doei indeed say, "My teaching bis not mine, but his that sent me," and "The word which ye hear is not mine, bat the Father's who sent me " (John viif 16; xiv. 24). But in this "high humility". His tone of absolute authority in the sphere of refigion is not Jowered.

Two features of Jesus maniner in His teaching may next be named together, because they appear at first so opposite, and because it is remarkable that they should exist together in so high s degree, vis sraciomsmess and sservity. How gracions are such words of His as theme: "Daughter; be of good cheer; thy faith hath made thee Whole " (Matt, ix. 22). "c Fear not, little flock ; for it in your Father's good pleasure to give you the kingdom" (Lake xii. 32). "LLet not your heart be troubled : ye believe in God, believe aloo in me. In my Father'a
house are many mansioas . . . I go to prepare a. place for you " (John xiv. 1, 2). A tender graciousaens appears continually in His words to sufferers, and in His acts of healing. It shows iteelf in His taking up little children into His arms to blew them, and in the personal attruction which even those who had lost character felt in Him. "Now all the publicans and sinners werè draving near unto him for to hear him " (Luke xv. I).

But side by side with this we must' place His frequent ternness. How severe were His reproofs to His own disciples, as when Ho mid to the foremont of them: "Get thee behind me, Satan : thou art a stumblingblock unto me " (Matt. xvi. 23) $;$ or, in reference to another of them : "Did not I choose you the twelve, and ove of you is a devil?" (John vi. 70). How stern were His words to the Pharisees, especially in that last public discourse, of which the refrain, often repeated, is: "Woe unto you, scribes and Pharisees, hypocrites !" (Matt. sxili.). This discourse swells with indignant scorn, and comes neater passion than any other of His utterances. Nor can we shut ourears to the exceeding sternness of tone with which Jesur speaks of the final judgment of God, as where He saym, "Their worm dieth not, and the fire is not quenched" (Mark. ix. 48); or where He pronounces the words, "Depart from me, ye curned, into the eternal fire". (Matt. xxv. 41). In all He utters there in an invariable gravity. Familiar and condescending as He is, and deeply com. passionate, no word ever comes from His lipe which we can describe as light or humorous.

There yet remain, many sayings of Jesus whone tonc and manner seem to require some stronger word than we have used as yet-sayings which have in them not authority only, but majesty, and that beyond all the measures of men. One of these is the invitation, "Come unto me, all ye that labour and are heavy laden, and I will give you rest " (Matt. xi. 28). What majesty of grace and power is in these words 1 How great, too, this other maying, "If any man thint, let him come unto me, and drink" (John vii. 37). And these proclamations of Him.
self-"I am the bread of lifes" "I am the light of the world :" "I am the resurrection, and the lifer:" "Every one that is of the truth heareth my voice " (John vi. 353 viii. 12; xi. 25 ; xviii. 37). Some indeed have found fault with these sayings as going too far in self-ascertion, and have rejected them as unworthy of Jesus. But in this they oppose themselves to the general consent of Christian souls, who have felt in all ages that Jesus had a right 80 to speak, and that what would be nnfitting in others was fitting in Him.

So now already, even in considering the manner and tone of His teaching, does it not begin to appear that we cannot rest in the assumption about Jesus with which we began? We cannot call Him the greatest Teacher of religion and stop there. We must either deny Him that title and withhold it-describing Him rather as touched with fanaticism and self-delusion-or we must give Him a greater title still; for no man, who is like other men, can fitly sajy in this world of so. great trouble and sin, "Come unto me, all ye that labour and are heavy laden, and I will give you rest " 3 or in this world of unsatisfied hearts, "If any man thirst, let him come unto me, and drink." He who is great enough to speak thus, must surely far outreach the limitations by which other men are bounded. We may leave unfixed the title that should be His, and we may wait to learn more fromwhat He taught about Himself; but very soon in our study of the words of Jesus we find it impontible to keep, even if we would, within the assumption that He was the greateat of human Teachers-that, and nothing nores

## CHAPTER II

## THE METHOD OF HIS TRACHINV

Importance of method-Jesus' teaching was ( x oral, (a) occasional, (3) adapted to His hearers, (4) popalar. yee profound and universal-Its populariky belped by (a) simplicity of language, (b) use of examples, (c) use of similitudes, (d) proverbial conciseness-(s) His teaching often paridoxical-Reasons for this-(6) It was whth reserve, and unfolded as men were able to bear it

AGREAT teacher of truth has usually something notable in his method. The method in so important, and contributes so much to make way for the truth, that we often perceive a teacher's success to be chiefly due to what we call his "way of putting thinge." We may expect, then, to find the method of Jesus greatly worth our study. Probably it will bafile us to apprehend it fully, or to discover all its reasons; but what we can trace of it will certainly be instructive.

At the very outset, we notice that this greatest religions Teacher did not commit His lessons to writing. He left no book. His teaching from first to last was oral. He cast it forth upon the winds of Galilee, and com. mitted it to the memory of peasants. This need not for a moment suggest a doubt whether He expected His teaching to endure among men. He Himself said, "Heaven and carth shall pase away, but my words shall not pass away" (Matt. xxiv. 35). This confidence of His is the grander that He wrote down nothing.

Nor was the teaching of Jesus systematic or scientific in its method. Any one will perceive this at once, who will consider what difference there is between His
manner of teaching and a confession of fith, or articies of religion, or a gystem of theology. In contrast with these, His tenching was acciesiomal. It took its shape from the opening, and the need, of the occuaions that arose. It had, therefore, an extempore character. And yet it does not, on this sccount, lose saiversality of meaning. How obviously from the occation Jetus spoke in His interview with the woman of Samaria; yet how universal is the reach of the prorde, "God is a Splitit a and they that worship him mast worship in epifit and truth"? (John iv. 24).

And along with this occasional character of the teaching of Jems goes another and similar feature of His method, its invariable cidaptation to Efis dearoras. This Is the quality for want of which, perhape, preaching mont frequently fills. It is comspicuous in the preaching of Jesus Although He meant His teaching to be univernal, It in expready fitted for Jews, and Jews of that time. How exprealy for them is wach a saying me this: "I Except your righteousness shall exceed the righteouspess of the scribes and Pharisees, ye shall in no wise enter into the kingdom of heaven" (Matt. v. 20). He assumes also constantly that the Old Testatnent is believed and known; and the errors, formalimens, and hypocrisies which He ascails are thowe of the time and country. The teaching of Jesus, surviving as it does in such power to our day, is a proof that the teaching which is most true to the occasion and to the audience of one age, may be the most abiding in its instructiveness to the ages that come after.

This doable character-of adaptation to the audiences who heard Him and permanent significance-is partly accounted for by this next feature of the teaching of Jesns, its popular character. We do not 30 speak of it in any vulgar sense. It was as far as posible from being suited to flatter the peopie, or.7. tickle their ears by oratorical device. But it was in the highe imegree for popalar apprehension, and "the common people heard Lim giadly' (Marle zii. 37): It had this fitnent because

He, more constantly than any other great teacher, directed his appeals to the instinct for truth and right that is common to man, and in respect of which, rich and poor, learned and unlearned, are on the same level. He challenged the winess which the best in man bears to the truth of God. In harmony with this we find the teiching of Jemas simple in language, profound io meaning. "It joins in the highest degree pousible," mays Wendt, "popalar intelligibility and rich significance:" So it has a wonderful' breadth of adaptation at once to greut minds and to the simple in understanding. It is at once popular and mesterrsal.

Its popular Intelligibility is greatly helped also by simplicity of language, and by the constant use of apt axampple and folicicous comparison. Every one will re: collect how Jesus carried home His teaching, so that it could not be misunderstood or forgotten, by examples taken from life, such as the low expressions used in reproach ("Rica," "Thou fool,"), the case of bringing the gift to the altar, and the incident of the widow who gave the two mites. Often a lesson of Jesus, stated shortly, in the form of an example, has wonderful clearness and reach. "Whoooever shall give to drink a cup of cold water only, in the name of a disciple, he shali in no wise lose his reward " (Matt. © . 42). The abundance also of His use of comparisong may be observed in every page of the Goopels. The parables, unique perhaps in literature, come first to our mind as instrancea. But shorter com. parisons, with figurative and aliegorical touches, are continually giving vividness to what He says, and making it memorable to every mind. So the familiar objects and common human labours of the time and country appear in the Gospels, serving spiritual uses-the fowis of the air, the lilies of the field, the shepherd and the sheep, the bondman ploughing or feeding cattle, the lamp on the stand, the hen with the chickens ander her wings. As we read the teaching of Jesus, we find it alive with example, figure, and similitude, and the similitude so fits, and is so subordinate to what is taught, that attention is
never drawn to it but to the truth. The "rich significance," named above, is given not only by the weight of the truth conveyed, bat by the rure conciseness of expresaion, often in proverbial and antithetic form. "The sabbiath was made for man, and not man for the sabbath" (Mark ii. 27). "With what measiare ye mete it shall be measured unte you" (Markiv. 24). "He that is not with me is against me; and he that gathereth not with me scattereth " (Matt. xil. 30). "Every one that exnlteth himself shail be humbled; but he that Eumbleth himself shill be exalted " (Luke xvill. 14). All these features of, the teaching combine to make it at once popular, memprable, and fruitful of instruction.

- Another feature in the method of Jesus is, that He often puts the truth in a form intentionally surprising, or paradaxical, or apparently impracticable. How surprising, for example, the opening of the great sermon in St. Luke-" Bleased are je poor : for yours is the kuagrinm of God." "Woe unto you that are rich I for ye have received your consolation" (vi. 20, 24). These were especially surprising words to Jewish hearers, who thought riches a sign of the favour of heaven. How paradoxical again, such sayings as theso-" I came not to' call the righteous, but sinners" (Mark in. 17). © Whowoever would save his life shall lose it : and whosoever shall lose his life for my sake shall find it" (Matt. xvi. 25). "If any man cometh unto me, and hateth not his own father, and mother, and wife, and children, and brethren, and sisters, yea, and his own life also, he cannot be my disciple" (Luke xiv. 26). How impracticable, again, seems this rule-_ "Whocoever shall compel thee to go one mile, go with him twain " (Matt. V. 4i).

Now it must be remembered, in order to: appreciate this feature of the method of Jemus, that a great difficulty in the way of a teacher of new truth is, that the, people he deals with may already think they know, while they do not. The Jews of that time thought they knew about the kingdom of God, but were greatly mistaken ; they thought that temporal prosperity was the foremont
thing in k , and that they themeelves had a sure righe to that kingdom as children of Abraham. The great difficuity with such hearers was in what they had toil moncarm. They must leurn and unlearn at the mame time. Now the often atartling and paradoxical form of the sayings of Jesus wes happlly fitted for thise Their old belieferectived a shock ; they could, not sup-: pose that they know already what He hed to tell! their minds were stirred to think afresh; they were eet a problem which it would do them good to think $\alpha$.

And, indeed, according to the charricter and aims of Jesus' kingdom, a simeme need of all men-not of Jews only-is the fircing and cultivating of monal thoughtfulnese in themedies.

The aim of Jemas, in teaching about daty, in not so much to secure that grood deede be done, as to make good men-to culturate in men a spirit like His own. Now for this it is necemary that men have their coneciences axcorised to know good and evil. It is profitable for them to have the discipline of seeking the truth and coming to know it better the more carnestly they seek it: So their intereat in truth is tested, and their love of it growa as they advance in knowing it. The (regre for truth brings bleasing to the character ast wor
 tenchinga are so expresed as to be in a high i......int lants of thought, and their purpose is quite as much to itimulate as to reveal. They are surprising, paradoxical, enigmatical, and arouse the mind by the difficulty of receiving them as true. The mind in kept by them in the xifitude of inquing and protreem. We read, for example, The? "ruble of the rich man and Lasarus : "Thoou in
 wher evil ther but now here he in comforted, and 4, Waitin angith'" (Luke xvi. 25). This has the strange appearance of teaching that the rule of God's judgment after death is simply to revence the condition in the earthly life, and that the rich and poor will then exchange places. So some allege that Jexis does bere tecth. But sarely

It is foolish to think so. The purpose of Jesues rather in to stis moral thoughtfulness about the great and unexpected changes another life will certainly bring. These cayiags again: "Whoecever smiteth thee on thy right cheek, turn to him the other also "i "Whosoever shall compel thee to so one mile, 80 with him twain"s "Give to him that asketh thee, and from him that woald borrow of thee turn 'not thou away" (Matt. V. 39, 41, 42), how powerful they have been, not in their literal fulfilment, for an actual turning of the other cheek might be done by a self-complacent legalist, but in stirring Christian hearts to think how to pat away revenge, to forbear the amertion of dights, and to live in love with those that are evil. They serve their purpose by continually retting us a moral problem to solve. They are intended "to arouse the conscience, by baffiling the understanding." They indicate principles of conduct all the more plainly that they are impracticable or futile as rules.

One other feature of the method of Jesus was that His scaching was with msiove, and wnfoldat as man mane able so bear it. "I have yet many things to mas unto you, but ye cannot bear them now" (John xvi. 12). He kept back truths till the hearers might be more ready to receive them. A signal instance of this is the way in which he kept in the beckeground His claim to be the Messiah, and delayed announcernent of it. He spoke of Himself usually as "The Son of Man;" a title not current among the people for the Messiah. He delayed announcing Himself, because the expectation they had in regard to the Mesciah was socarnal and earthly-that, namely, of a conquering king, who would give the Jews a supreme place aming the mations." It is obvious that if Jesus had carly given Himself out plainly as the Mesciah, He would have been utterly misunderstood. He would have meant one thing by that name ; His hearers would have understood quite another thing. So He chosg to labour among them, as it were, incegnito for a time. It seems to have been His purpose that they should first have opportunity, in this way; to know Him
as He was in Himself, If eo be that the reality of His character, and the spirit of His teaching might in time be fell by them to surpases what they expected in their Mesciah-might diaplace their crude earthly expeciations - and they might come to feel Him more worthy of the tite, The Chriy, than the earthly king they looked for.

There is an apparent exception to this reserve of His, which may be said to prove the rule." Early in His ministrit at the, well of Jacob, He said to the woman of Stramria, when she spoke of Messiah coming : "I that 'speak unto thee am he " (John iv. 26). This is a starting and solitary plainness on the part of Jesus It atands alone at that period. But it will be seen from the woman's words, "He will declare unto us all thinges," that her expectation was less of a king than of a prophet. Among the people of Samaria there pis less to overcome of false expectation and earthly bope. Jesus could say athong them what He could not say among the Jews; and this plain announcement at the well of Jacob; "I that spenk unto thee am he," only brings more into view, by contrast, the long reserve of Jesus in His téaching among the Jewa.

The subject of this chapter-the method of Jesus-is seldom thought of by ordinary readera. Nor are they to blame for this. The very perfection of His method leads to its being unobserved. The result of this perfection is that the whole impremion the mind receives is of the greatness and preciousness of the truths conveyed. But by stady of His method we can trace it out in part; we can obtain some glimpses into the wisdom of its adepte. tion to His great purposes, and sometlimes our interppez tation of His words will be the more juat and the more sure that we have become aware of such features of His method as thowe which we have hers reviewed.

CHAPTER III
the great subject of his trachinc.
His great subject, the kingdom of God-Shown to be so by a review of His tenching-The subject was suited to His hearers-It was in the line of Godjs preparation in history-His teaching was nevertheless new and original-Three distinguishing features of it: (1) The kingdom is one of spiritual, not material good things ; (2) It is to be brought in, not by earthly power, but by divine grace; ( 3 ) It is already present, but is to come in future glorious perfection-Attempts to define the 'kingdom' of God-Who is its King ?-Objection to the title " Kingdom of God,". and answer.
SINCE it is so widely agreed that Jesus is our greatest
Teacher, we come with interest to the question, What was the great subject of His teaching? Probably many readers of the Gospels would say, if they must give an answer at once, that the great subject of the teaching of Jesus was-how a soul case te saved. That is what they look into the Gospels in search of, and they would think it safe to say that the great subject of Jesus' preaching must have been a sinner's salvation.

Certainly Jesus did not forget that or leave it out; but His great subject we find, in reality, to have been-ithe kingdom of God. The three first Goopels ring all through with news of the kingdom, and it is also named in the Gospel of St. John. His preaching began with it-"From that time began Jesus to preach, and to saj, Repent ye; for the kingdom of heaven is at hand. . . . And Jesus went about in all Galilee,
teaching in their synagogues, and preaching the gospel of the kingdom " (Matt. iv. 17, 23).

In the Sermon on the Mount, which was the first great utterance of His mind and message, the kingdom of God-or the kingdom of heaven, which is the same thing-is spoken of all through. The sermon begins: "Blessed are the poor in spirit: for theirs is -the kingdom of heaven.". A large portion of the sermon has for its text, "Except your righteousness shall exceed the righteousness of the scribes and Pharisees, ye shall in no wise enter into the kingdom of heaven." Again we read in it, "Seek ye first the kingdom of God and his righteousness"; and "Nat every one that saith unto me Lord, Lord, shall enter into the kingdom of heaven." In fact, the Sermon on the Mount, and the corresponding one in the Goipel of St. Luke; might be summed up under two heads-the Kingdom of God, and the Righteonsness of the Kingdom.

When Jesus, at a later stage of His ministry, began His remarkable method of teaching by parables, He opened parable after parable with the words, "The kingdom of heaven is like", or "Whereunto shall I liken the kingdom of God ? ${ }^{\circ}$ (Matt. xiiii).

When He sent forth His twelve apostles, the com. mission He gave them was this, "As ye go, preach, arying The kingdom of heaven is at hand" (Matt. $x_{0}$ 7). When He sent forth the seventy dthers, He bade them eay, "C The kingdom of God is come nigh unto you," and if in any city the people would not receive them, they were to wipe off the dust from their feet and say, "Howbeit know this, that the kingdom of Goit is come nigh " (Luke $\mathrm{x}^{1} 9,1 \mathrm{I}$ ).

Many other sayings of Jesus will occur to readers in confirmation of what has been said, as, for example, these that follow:-" If I by the Spirit of God cast out devils, then is the kingdom of God come npon you" (Matt, xii. 28). "Suffer the little children to come unto me; forbid them not $a$ fot of such is the kingdom of Cod" (Mart, 2. 14) "CVarily I say unto you, Except

God." Their ideas of what the good time would bring were different from those of our modern world, but also with streng resemblances; and the hope of it, deep in their hearts, had been fanned into a flame just before Jesus begtn to teach, by the startling appeatance and fiery preaching of John the Baptist, who announced to the multitudes who came to him, "The kingdom of heaven is at hand.". The great subject, then, of the preaching of Jesus was signally fitted to catch the attention and enchain the interest of His hearers. It was direčtly in line with their most earnest expectations.

Another thing we may observe about this subject-is was in live with the provioins history of the Jews and God's guidance of that history. The subject Jesus spoke about was, in fact, that for which a divine preparation had been going on for ages. For, from whence did the Jews get that strong expectation of a good and glorious time? They got it from the prophets whom God had sent, who had helped to guide their history, and who had expounded to them its divine meaning. The old history, upon which they looked back with pride, had itself been a " theocracy "-that is, a "kingdom of God." Their kings, from David downwards, had been vicegerents of God, who was their real King. Such, at least, was the right understanding of their position and duty, and the glory of the history of the people was just in so far as they realised this ideal. And they had learned from their prophets to think of this, not as merely past, but as again to return-to return in a far more glorious form than it had ever attained in the past, in a form in which the real and the ideal would be one. The king would be another David (Erek. xxxvii. 24), or Son of David, with a divine favour on Himself, and a divine blessing and prosperity on His ${ }^{\text {p }}$ people, in describing which the prophets use the noblest language, perhaps; in human iterature. Where in literature do wé find language so inspiring as in the seventy-second Psalm, in portions of the thinth, sixtieth, and sixty-sixth chapters of Isaiah, of the thirts-first chapter of Jeremiah, of the fifth of Micah, and
of the last of Amos? We see, then, that Jesus, in choosing for His great subject the dingdom of God was placing His teaching in line, not only with the expectations of Hi hearers, but with the whole course of history and prophecy recorded in the Old Testament. In other words, He was making use of, and turning to account, the long preparation which God, as we believe, had made for that kingdom and for His coming. He was entering into His own.

But though the subject which Jesus chose was in the - ine of this long preparation, and was familiar in name and title to the Jews of His time, His teaching was not at all identical with the common expectation of the Jews. It was in a startling manner fresh and original, and the kingdom of God which He spoke of differed greatly from what they looked for. It had, we may eay, three principal distinguishing features.

1. Their hope was of material good things. No doubt the more pious Jews, like Zacharias (Luke i. 77), looked for a kingdom of righteousness and salvation, such as a true understanding of the prophets would have pointed to. But, in the general mind of the people, marvellous plenty, abundance of the good things of this life, vengeance on enemies, and political glory were the chief features of the kingdom of God which they were waiting for. The kingdom of God which Jesus preached was one, first of all, of spiritual good thinge, not meat and drink, but righteousness, peace, and joy in the Holy Ghost. In this respect the kingdom of God differs also from the various forms of socialistic aim and hope which are infuencing large numbers in our day. The opening words of the Sermon on the Mount touch this critical difference between Jesus and the Jewr of His time, and also between His Gospel and the whte of Socialist hope in the present -das. Jew and Socialist alike assume that the key to blessedness is in the possession of plenty, and in circumetances that are advantageous. The average Socialist believes that with general plenty there would come general happiness, and an end of most moral evils. With. Jeane, on.
the contraty, "A man's life consisteth not in the abundance of the things which he powesseth" (Luke xii. 15). Blewedoeis lies not in what men have, but in what they ares It begins in charncter. And so, when "He opened his mouth" (Matt. V. 2), He mid, "Blessed are the poor in spirit: for theirs in the kingdom of heaven."Blesed are the meek. . . Blewed are they that hunger and thirst atter righteonanem. . . . Blessed are the pure fe heart . . . Bleived are the pencemakers: for they shall be called sons of God." This is the.goal which Jesur has in view, a kingdom of God tn which men are like God 'in character, are His true children, and share His own blemednem.
2. Another great diatinction in Jesus' tenching of thd kingdom of God is'in regand to the menas by which it. if to be brought in. The Socialist believes that the good time he looks for can be brought ha by changes in law, government, and social arrangementa He would put an end, for example, to individual rights of property. Property-or capital, at lenst-would be held oaly in common; then all men would be labouring ooly for the common good, and by. this one change we should have a practically new world. Besides this dofinite plan and scheme of Socialists, it has been the woot of many poets and philosophers; who have hoped for in golden age of the world, to nerume that it will come. by the natural, progrendive powers of the human race. They have asisumed that there is a law of progrese in human history, working itwelf out naturnlly, and that in this way the long-hoped-for day of blesing will come. But Jesur suid, "My kingdom is not of this world" (John xviii. 36) ; It does not draw its resources from this world. The kingdom which Jespe prenched is something too high and too blemed to be int up by the ordinary means that men can use, or to come in the ordinary course of human progreses "The world will never coober a golden ape, or ided state." As in the Book of Revelation the New Jerusalem, the Holy City, is seen coming down from God out of heaven, 00 it in with the kingdom of

God which Jesus preached. It is something new, coming down from above; it is built up by supernatural power on a supernatural foundation. 'Therefore we speak of it as a kingdom of grace. This word is not used by Jesus Himself in speaking of it, but it is a true word in describing the kingdom of God which He announced; for that kingdom, as He expounded it, is a sphere in which not nature only is at work, but grace-a redepan: ing power from God which camo by Jesus Himself.)
3. A third distinction and mark of the kingdom of God preached by Jesus is that it is already present. Sometimes, indeed, He speaks of it as far off and to come in a latter day. "Ye shall see Abraham, and Isaac, and Jacob, and all'the prophets, in the kingdom of God" (Luke xiili 28). "I will not drink from hence-. forth of the fruit of the vine, until the kingdom of God shall come" (Luke xxii. 18). But when He was asked by the Pharisees when the kingdom of God cometh, He answered, "The kingdom of God cometh not with observation...for 101 the kingdom of God is in the midst of you" (Luke xvii. 20, 21, mangin). The truth is that, as the kingdom depends on charactor-on the character of true children of God-it had already begun when Jesus Himself was in the world, living as a Son with the Father. It grew as disciples gathered round Him, believed in Him, and learned of Him. It made a great advance when the Spirit was fully given -that Spirit by which men are inwardly changed, born into the kingdom (John iii. 3), and guided into all the truth (John xvi. 13). The kingdom is a kingdom of the truth (John xviii. 37), of which the "Word of God" is the seed (Luke viii. 11), and so it advances by dispensations and crises as men are able to receive the truth. Even the Old Testament dispensation was; in a sense, a first stage of it, and the Jews are spoken of as "the children of the kingdom" (Matt. viii. 12). It reached a new stage when Jesus was teaching in the flesh. "From the days of John the Baptist until now. the kingdom of heaven suffereth violence, and men of
violence take it by force " (Matt. xi. 12). Still another stage of the kingdom was reached when the Holy Gbost began to be given after Jesus' ascended. So was given the divine power by which the kingdom grows and conquers. Another stage yet-the last which Jesus tells ofwill be "When the Son of man shall copme in His glory." Then the kingdom, ss well as the King, will be revealed in glory. Outwardly and inwardly it will be glorious "Then shall the righteous shine forth as the suin in the kingdom of their Father " (Matt. xiii. 43).

We may now attempt to gife a definition of the kingdom of God. Jesus gives nofdefinition of it Himself, and it is difficult for us to make one on account of the manifold meaning He gives the exprension. Sometimes He speaks of the kingdom as consisting of ferroms, as in the words, "Suffer the little children to come unto me . . . for of such is the kingdom of God " (Mark x. 14). ${ }^{\text {. }}$ Often He speaks of it as a thing-the supreme good (summum bonium) of human life; as in the words, "Seek ye first the kingdom of God, and his righteousness " (Matt. Ni. 33). "Fear not, little flock; for it is your Father's good pleasure to give you the kingdom" (Luke xii. \$2). Often again He speaks of it as a sphere or realm which men may be outside of, or'may be within; as in. these other words, "How hardly shall they that have riches enter into the kingdom of God " (Mark $x_{1}$ 23). Yet, in spite of difficulty, it seems necessary that we should try to answer the plain question, What is the kingdom of God spoken of in the Gospels? We may say, then, that it in (a) the niame Jesus used for a good time coming among men-a golden age-which He came in the flesh to begin, comes in the Spirit to adivatice, and will come again in glory to perfect. Or (b) it is a sphere of lifer higher than our natural sphere, into which men can be born-anew (John iii. 3), and in which the Spirit works, imparting the life which is eternal. Or (c) it is 2 new society or commonwealth, which Jesus came to form, of men redeemed from sin, and in fellowship with God as His cons, in which He is to them, and they are to Him and
to one another, all that they are capable of being. So the old promise is glorioualy fulailled, "I will be to them a God, and they shall be to me a peopla"

Who, it may be asked, is the king of this kingdom? Jesus is its King, for He says, "The Son of manishall. . . gather out of his hingdom all things that cause stumbling " (Matt. xiil. 41). But the Father is also fts King ; for Jesus teaches us to pray, "Our Father which art in heaven. . . . Thy kingdom come" (Matt. v. 9, 10). Jesus founds and administers the kingdom for His Father, and St. Paul tells us that the end cometh, "9 when he shall deliver up the kingdom to God, even the Father -. . that God may be all in ill" (i Cor. 2r. 24, 28).

In reflecting now upon this great subject of Jesus' preaching, we see it to be wonderfully high, noble, and inspiring. It is 50 , because it is 20 grandly hopeful for the future of men. It brings into view a "regeneration" (Matit xix. 28), or new-making of men, of society, and indeed of all thinges. Jesus sees, as none ever saw, the strength of the evils by which men are beset; yet still He preaches a kingdgen whth powers of divine grace at work in it so great that it shall prevail over sing sorrow, and death in a glorious manner. His great subject is, as we said, not a soul's salvation, but that of a kingdom of souls. It is more than a man's own good, which, by itself, is not hig highest blessing. It is a world-wide communion in good; it is "Joy in widest commonalty spread." "They shall come from the east and west, and from the north and south, and shall sit down in the kingdom of God " (Luke xiii. 29).

It has been objected to the title of this great topic of Jesus that, though it was most suitable and attractive to His own time and nation, it is not 80 now. People nowadays are not, at the Jews were, expecting and talking about "the kingdom of God." We do not find "the kingdom of God " a prominent topic in the newspapers, and it is in them that we see reflected the strong interests of the people. It has even been suggested that a title like this, in which the word "kingdom" occurs, does
not suif a democratic age like ours. The people of auch an age are repelled, it is said, not attracted, by the word "kingdom." It conveys to them an oppressive sense of authority and obedience, instead of liberty and joy. Should we, then, in preaching the Gospel, use this ditle "the kingdom of God" but littie? Should we gradually drop it as not quite fit for our time? Would Jesus Himself, had His preaching been in our time, have used "commonwealth" for "kingdom" (see Ephes. Hi 12)? Or would He have $s 0$ adapted His teaching to hearers in this modern age as to have aid, "The good time coming Is at hand "?

But no title which leaves out God can truily? ifress a state of blessing for men. And in the title "ikingdom of God "His holy Name appears not merely because of His rule in the kingdom, but because of His gifte; not merely because His will is obeyed in it, bat because His unbounded love and grace work in it and make it what it is, $\rightarrow$ blessed fellowship of men with God and with one anothes. It is the kingdom of the Fatier.

## CHAPTER IV

## THE BASIS OF HIS TEACHING-COD THE FATHE

The Doctrine of God fundamental-Jesus' teaching expreseed in one word, "The Fatber "-This peculiarily His own -Two great debts we pwe to Jesus-We escape from uncertainty and error about God, not by philosophic argument, nor by scientific discovery, but through Jesus - His knowledge of the Father passes to us by spiritual contagion-His character Interprets God's Fatherhood -His other teachings based on this-_This the great spring of human hope.

AVING found what in the great subject of the teaching of Jesus - namely, The Kingdom of God-we go on to inquire whether His teaching has any one fundamental truth, on which it reits. The teaching of Jesus is on a great variety of topics, and it is not connected together by argument or logical deduction. The truths He utters stand by themselves and, with. out other support, find ready response in the true soul. But undoubtedly we do find such a single basis of all His teaching in His doctrine of God. On short reflection, we see that the character of the answers to be made to the greatest questions about ourselves and our destiny must depend nitimately on the doctrine we have of God. We possess life-this we know. But how have we it? Whence have we come? Why are we here? Whither. are we going? What is "right "and our duty? And why do we feet the claim of duty so imperative? The answers to all these supreme questions are determined by the doctrine of God with which we start. Our doctrine may be that there is no God, or that man can know nothing
of Him, or that He is personal and our Almighty Creator. Bat, whatever it be, in it will be found the root from which spring our beliefi on all the great subjects that chiefly concem us.

Looking, then, for the doctrine of Jesus concerning God, one word expresses it in such a manner that it is immediately recognisabie, and easily distinguished from the teaching of all other religions, vis. "The Father." In the teaching of Jeaus that word was first uttered-mofar as our records tell-at the well of Samaria. In the same eentence almost in which He said "God is a Spirit," He named God by this name, "The Father" John iv. 21-24.

We have said that this doctrine is distinctive of Jesus and peculiarly His own. Confucius in China, and Buddha in India, did indeed teach, centuries before Jesus, mandy good rules of life and conduct; but they taught no doctrine of God. Both seem to have thought any knowledge of God quite beyond man's reach. It may be admitted that the ancient people of Hindostan, of the Vedic faith, looked up to the sky, from which came the blessings they valued most, and worshipped the "Heaven. Father." But they did not come near to the teaching of Jesus about God as a personal Father. They spoke only as we do when in poetry we use the expression "Mothier Earth." Among the Greeks, again, we find in Plato's myths the title, "Father and Framer" of the Universe ; but the doctrine of God is vague, and He is thought of as fur removed from men. To the Jews it was given $t 0^{\circ}$ attain the highest place among all ancient nations in divine knowledge. They worshipped the same personal and eternal God of Whom Jesus taught. The holiness and righteousness of God are nobly expressed in their Scriptures; His tender pity also; and they attained sometimes to the thought of His being the Father of Israel as a nation, or of its theocratic King. We find such sayings as these in the Old Testament- "Israel is my son, my firstborn" (Ex. iv. 22). "When Israel was a child, then I loved him, and called my son out of Egypt"
(Hoa, xi. 1). "I will make him (L.c. David, or the Son of David) my firstborn, the higheat of the kings of the earth " (Pra Ixxxix. 27). But the sublime faith that God is the Father of individual men, and of all men, was eever reached in all the Old Testament. One sufficient prool of the immense difference between the teaching of Jesme and the highest level of Did Testament devotion is the single fact that in SL. Mathew's Gonpel alone Jesua speaks of God as Father-"My Father," "Your Father," or "The Father"-more than forty times, while in the Book of Psalms-high, personal, and intimate as the devotion is-God is never once so addremed.

The debt ife owe to Jesus for this doctrine of God is profound, and it may be well to detain our thoughts upoa it here that we may form some right eatimate of it. Not merely Jews and men of that generation were indebted to Him for what. He taught of God, but every generation since, and not least our own. "Two thinge we owe Ilim; both of great price, the first, a strong assurance that God is ; the second, an assurance that H: is "The Father."

Vast multitudes of men and many nations have had very dim and uncertain thoughts about God. Even their best and higheat men have had great doubts of His existence, or painful doubts of His character, or sad errors and misbeliefs about Him. To good and righteous men it has always been painful not to be sure that the world is governed by a living, thinking Person. An awful and terrible world It would be if the atroke of death, and all the thousand woes of human life, carne with no reason, but just by blind, unthinking chance. If human beings, 80 sensitive as they kre, feeling so acutely and liable to so grievous suffering, were under the government of a dead, unthinking system which we call "Nature"-dead and cruel as the stone that falls from the monntain, blindly. inflicting on men whatever lis chance directs-what a tyranny that would be I "If I could not believe," said one; "that there is a thinking mind at the centre of things, life would be to me intolerable."

There has been, of course, in all ages and countries,

some iden of God abiding in the minds of men, with a tendency to warship and religion ; but good men everywhere have longed for certaincy. They have. longed to find God, and they have longed to find Him to be just and good and interested in men. They have sought Him, but have not been able assuredly to find Him. These words of Job (xxiii 3, 8,9) express the heart and mind of many like himself, in many lands, especially in times when the riddle of their own or the world's sorrow presed apon them-
Oh that I knew where I might find Him, That I might come even to His seat 1. . . Behold, I go forwand, but He is not there; And beckward, but I'cannot perceive Him : On the left hand, where He doth work, but I cannot behold Him:
He bideth Himself on the right hand, that I cannot see Him.

Now, if we in this day, we who read these pages, have an assured knowiedge of God and know Him as a Father, how have we come to this? Is it by argument? Is it that in these latter days we have so perfected the arguments for the existence of God, and smoothed away the difficulties which the world suggests as to His character? Hardly so. The arguinents for God's existence have indeed great strength; they outweigh, we think, thowe against it. But they do not suffice, when we lean upon them, to give us a steadfast assurance; they do not sustain us in communion with God. "Strange I" it has been well said, "God is the most necessary of all beings, yet no argument for His existence has ever been constructed thit was satisfying to every mind."

Is it, then, by science and its discoveries, of which we are so proud in our day, that we have come to assurance about God? No, indeed ! To many minds these discoveries incrense the difficulty; they make the univesse.so vast and seem to put God 80 far away ; with
the enlargement of our knowledge, God seems to be more and more withdrawin from the world; and, as a matter of fact, many men, eminent in science, are not believers.

How, then, do we have that assurance of God and of His character which we have claimed? The answer is, by the Lord Jesus and by His teaching. To Him this age in indebted for that faith, which, with its profound comfort, might have died out or gone near to dying out, in spite of all the enlightenment and knowledge of which in these days we boast. The light of science, but for the abiding power of Jesus and His teaching might have been darkness as regards what is highest and best in men, namely their faith in God, and those elements in their character which depend on that faith. Now, as in days long past, the words of St. John are true: "No man hath seen God at any time; the only begotten Son, which is in the botom of the Father, he hath declared him" (John i. 18).

But how is it that we find the teaching of Jems $s 0$ effectual in this matter? How is it that He is able to sustain us in this great faith? He is able to do this and does it because He had in His bosom $s 0$ perfect a knowledge of God, and so unique a sense of God as Father. Therefore He could convey it to us. He does not perhaps anywhere directly say that God is and is a Father. He assumes this--lives in it Himself; and there is something in our Lord's converse about His Father, and with His Father, that carries assurance to our hearts. When we hear Him say, "My Father worketh even until now, and I work"; "I am not alone, because the. Father is with me"; "The Father knoweth. me, and I know the Father "; "The things which I speak, even as the Father hath said nato me, so I speak ${ }^{\text {T }}$; " The Father loveth the Son, and sheweth him all things that himself doeth" (John V. 17; xvi. 32 ; $x_{0} 15$; xii. 50 ; V. 20). As. we hear Him so speak, is hush comes over our souls. We feel that He is speaking of One whom. He knows And when we read of Jems lifting up His ejes to heaven and
praying, "Father !" "Holy Father 1" "O righteous Father I" or of His saying, "Yea, Father, for so it was well-pleasing in thy sight," we cannot doubt there was One to whom He spoke.

If any should dare to say to us, "There was no one; Jeas spoke as the prophets of Baal did, who cried and there was no one to answer; He may bave been deceived as they: were;" we should put away the thought with pity for those who can entertain it. And when, as so often, He speaks to us of owr heavenly Father, or when He says, "II ascend unto my Father and your Father, and my God and your God" (John $2 x$. 17), we come by spiritual contact with Him to have an aspurance about God and about the character of God, as great as the truth of Jesus, as strong as the authority of which we are conscious in Him, -and this is sufficient as a stay for our life.

There is, indeed, a further assurance which we get in intercourse with God. After Jesus has quickened in us a dormant faculty or weakened instinct for the knowledge of God as our heavenly Father, we then come to have a direct knowledge of God by communios with Him. But whenever this childlike instinct is again weakened, and that direct knowledge grows faint within us, and we come to be in doubt of God, we can fall back on the perfect knowledge we find in Jesus, and, by contact with Him, by habitually listening to His words, we can'maintain a faith in God as the Father which will stand the utmost strain of our life.

The name "Father " is not indeed of itself sufficient to secure right thoughts of God. If this name is used without belief in Jesus, there is danger of God being misinterpreted. Men may call Him "Father" and understand the name as if it implied weak ipdulyence, or tolerance of kin, and a slack government of men and of the world. But it cannot be so understood from the lips of Jesus, who is Himself so holy, and who prays with such reverence, "Holy Father I" "O righteoss Father !" The word "Father" has a sure meaning to -us, when interpreted
by the character of Jesus, His Son, who Himself says, "He that hath seen me hath seen the Father" (John xiv. 9).

How truly this knowledge of God as the Father is the basis of Jesus' teaching, and how noble a superstructure of teaching it is fitted to bear, will readily appear. The Psalms express the faith and devotion of the Old Testa. ment, and in them the characteristic title of God is "King." "My King and my God" (Psa. v. 2). "The King of Glory" (Psa. xxiv. 10). "The Lord sitteth as king for ever" (Psa, xxix. 10): . If God be thought of as King, the highest place that can be given to men is that of servants of God. So the great Lawgiver is spoken of as "Moses, the servant of the Lord," and the title of Messiah in that highest Old Testament prophecy in the secopid half of Isaiah is, "The servant of the Lord." But, with belief in God as the Father, the calling of men to be sons of God becomes possible. The way is prepared for the joyful cry, "Behold what manner of love the Father hath bestowed upon us, that we should be called children of God I" (I John iii. 1)

The whole idea of the kingdom of God also is changed when it is thought of as the kingdom of the Father. Love becgmes its great uniting bond rather than law, and the liberty of sons its characteristic feeling rather than the obedience of servants.

The Fatherhood of God, again, is the one sure basis of the brotherhood of men ; and the hope of the inheritance of the sons of God typrings from the same root.

On the doctrine of God's Fatherhood followe also, as a natural consequence, the wonderful teaching of Jesus in regard to God's providential care of us during this present life-a care down to the numbering of the very hairs of our head.

And this doctrine of God's Fatherhood is especially the root and basis of all that Jesus tanght of grace and of redemption from sin. If God be King, we conclude that He will judge. If He be the righteous King, we conclude that He will judge and reward justly. Bnt, if

He be the Father, we are prepared to know that He will seek His lont child until He find him, and that when the child comes to Him with the cry, "Father, I have sinned," he will be received with the welcome so monderfully imaged in the parable of the Prodigal Son, a parable 'which has been traly saidd to have of itself alone exercised more influence on mankind than all the philosophies.

It has been said, and indeed proved, that in the first centuries of our eta, when throughout the Roman world faith had decayed, and liberty aind worthy aims of life had failed, Christianity restored hope to mankind. The age we live in owes to Jesus and His teaching à similar debt. In this age we have seen pesuimism advance its sad account of human life. The question; "Is life worth living ${ }^{\prime \prime \prime}$ has seemed in some circles open to debate. The lose of faith in God is a sufficient explanation. If there were no God, this sadness would be fully justified. To Jesus we owe it-to Him in whose earthly life, as in a glass, we see the image of the Father, and from whose words we catch the happy contagion of faith in the Father - to Him we owe it that hope grows instead of being - quenched, that it animates thousands of souls, and that it inspires the onward march of the Church and of mankind.

And what though carth and sea His glory do proclaim,
Though on the stars is writ that great and dreadful Name;
Yea-hear me, Son of Man-with tears my eyes are dima
I cannot read the word that calls me close to Him ;
I say it afler Thes, with faltering voice ind weak,
"Father of Jemus Chrisi" "-this is the God.I seek.
Anonymanes

CHAPTER
HIS TEACHING ABOUT HIMSEL
Jenas Himself the great problem-Avowed Himself the Messiah, but not plainly at first-Spoke of Himself as "The Son of Man" or "The Son of God "-Both titles practically new to hearers-"." The Son of Man" implies that He was ( 1 ) true man, (2) ideal and representative man: "The Son of God " implies that He was (I) a true Son of God, proved by His intimacy with the Father, (a) such a Son as no othè is (a) in perfect nearness, (b) in eternal being-Value to us of these titles:-One assuring that God is lovo-Another assurling of human sympathy of Jesus-The title "The Christ". showing Him to be the'core and goal of history.
TESUS presented Himself as a problem to His countrymen, and after He had been manifested to them for a sufficient time, the testing questions He put to His disciples were these- "Whom do men say that I am ?" and, "Whom say ye that I am?" On the answer to this latter question it depended whether Jesus would find material for the foundation of a church ; and when Peter answered well, His Master accorded him solemn praise" Blessed art thon, Simon Bar-Jonah : for flesh and blood hath not revealed it unto thee, but my Father which is in heaven" (Matt. xvi. 16, 17). Still, in our time, Jesus is the great problem and the unexhausted subject of human inquirg; still thitquestion meets us and must be answered-"Whom say "ye that I am?" To pass this question by would be to confess indifference to the highest thinge. The most searching and the surest test of character is, what we think of Jesus.

Brought up as we are in the Christian Church, and early taught ita creeds, we cannot approach the subject without prepossessions. Nor can we forget the teachings about the person of Jesus given by His great apostlea Paul and John. We have leant upon these, perhaps, in our life and they have become precious elements of our faith. But in an age of questioning, when, we are anxious to make sure in regard to what we believe, there is great interest for us in the inquiry, What did Jesus teach about Himself? What was His own consciousness of Himself?

In one respect there was great reserve in His teaching about Himself. Not till near the end of His ministiry did He openly avow Himsolf; or allow Himself to $\backslash$ be declared the Messiah, the Christ. Often before, indeed, the consciousness of such a greatness showed itself in incidental sayings. In the Sermon on the Mount, He assumed that He would be the final judge of men" Many will say to me in that day, Lord, Lord, did we not prophesy by thy name, and by thy name cast out devils? ©. . And then will I profess unto them, I never knew you: depart from me, ye that work iniquity" (Matt. vii. 22, 23). It was of Himself He said-_"'A greater than Solomon is herey (Matt. xii. 42). An immense claim on men's alle-rance was-implied in these other words of His-cy If any man cometh unto me, and hateth not his own (tather, and mother, and wife, and children, and brethren, and sisters, yea; and his own life also, he cannot be my. disciple" (Luke xiv. 26). And how majestic these sayings-"I am the bread of life," "I am the light of the world," "I am the resurrection, and the life, "a I am the way, and the truth, and the life " Uohn vi. 35 ; viii. 12 ; xi. 25 ; xiv. 6). But He long withheld from the Jews the plain announcement that He was the Christ. Obviously He did so because this title had been 20 tarnished and carnalised in their thoughts that He would have been quite misunderstood. Had He said to the Jews as frankly as to the woman of Samaria, "I am the Christ," IHe would have been taken
to mean that He wity earthly King of an earthly kingdom such as they were looking for, and their rage of disappointment, speedily following, would have led to His death before He had had time to win true disciples by His life and teaching. It became necessary, therefore, for Jeas to present Himself for great part of His time among men, as it were, incogwifo. He was the Messiah long foretold and prepared for; He ackipted the title privately, and also publicly in the evid (see Matt. xvi. 16, 17; and xxvi. 63, 64) ; but He did not commonly or early use it.

Two names He used, the one with equal freedom in Judsea and Galilee, The Son of Mas; the other mostly ${ }^{\circ}$ in His debates with the Jewish leaders at Jerusalem, The Son of God. Both of these were-- $\quad 40$ far as meeting the expectation of the Jews went-incogrito titles. We must, in studying them, put aside the idea that Jesus took either of these names from the Old Testament and used it because it was an understood equivalent for the Messiah. Neither of them was such a title. That passage in Daniel (vit. I3), usnally supposed to contain one of them should be translated, not, " like to the Son of Man," but "like unto a son of man;" and it merely conveyed that the kingdom of the saints of the Most High was typified by a human figure, while the types of the former and lower kingdoms were bestial figures-a lion, a bear, a leoplard. And again, although the Old Testament texts"Thou art my son; this day have I begoten thee" (Psa. ii. 7): "I will be his father, and he shall be my son" (2 Sam. vii. 14); and "I will make him my firstborn" (Psa. lxxxix. 27)-were taken as Messianic, it was only in a vague, honorific, and comparatively distant sense that the Messiah was expected to be a son of God. That these two names were not recognised by the people as distinct Messianic titles is plain from the fact that, after Jesus had long and often spoken of Himself as the Son of *. Man, and the Son of God, they still asked, "Who is this Son of Man ?" and said," "If thou be the Christ, tell us plainly."

From whence, then, came these two titles which Jesus applied to Himself? If they were iot taken from the Old Testament as prophetic, synonyms for the Messiah, and were practically new to His hearers, whence came they? We answer, they came out of His own heart. They were the expression of His own consciousnese of Himself. ${ }^{1}$ Two things He felt and knew: Himself in experience to be, the one of which brought Him into profound-fellowship with men, while the other kept Him in intimate fellowship with God. Out of the former consciousness He called Himself "the Son of Man"; out of the latter, "The Son of God."

First let us atudy the title, "The Son of Man"-that pathetic title, in the utterance of which we may almost perceive a thrill in the voice of Jesius. Two chief truths are conveyed by it, the realify of the humanity of Jesus ("Son of Man"), and the aniqucmess of it (" The Son of Man "). "Sou of Man" is a Hebraism which expresses the ponsession of true human nature, with its characteristic weakness and creaturely dependence, with its characteristic eminence in creation, also, and its characteristic glory on account of God's condescension to it. "S When - I consider thy heavens, the work of thy fingers, the moon and the stars, which thou hast ordained; what is man, that thou art mindful of him? and the son of man, that thou visiteat him? For thou hast made him but little lower than God, and crownest him with glory and honour " (Ps viii. 3-5). Jesus by taking the name "Son of Man" signified His sharing in this lot at once mean and high, of which Pascal saids "If you exalt man, I will abase him if you abase him, I will exalt him." He expressed also by ft His community of feeling with men, His sharing in human affections and intereste, His true experience of human life, His liability to temptation, His exposure like other men to hanger and thirst, suffer. ing and death.

[^0]But, besides all this, in naming Himself, The Son of Man-of which the equivalent in English idiom is, shortly, The Man-He described Himself as the unique and ideal Man, the Man in whom humanity is summed up, and the "fulness of the race made visible," who is the Head and Representative not of the Jews only, but of all nations of men, in whom both sexes, "nid all ranks, learned and unlearned, men of thought and men of action find example and sympathy. This is a title by which Jelus de-judaises Himself, as has been stid, and places Himself in such relation to the whole race of men that their enemies are His enemies, their sorrows His, their burdens His. He ts bound up with their destiny. And as the race is so summed up and represented in Him, He is, in St. Paul's language, the second Adam.

Coming now to the other name and title used for Himself by Jesus, "The Son of God " (which we meet with most frequently in the Gospel of St. John, though not there alone), we find here again two chief things implied —the roality of His Sonship, and the maiquoness of it.

In the discourses or debates of Jesus with the leaders of the Jews at Jerusalem, what weofind Him mont frequently pressing on them is the reality and intimacy of His Sonship with God. These debates are profoundly interesting, beginning with that unsurpussed example of them in the fifth chapter. As we read them we are startled at first, for it seems as if Jesus were violating His own rule, not to give that which is holy to the unclean, nor to cast pearls before swine. To men incredu. lous and hoistile. He discloses the secret ways of Hi intercourse with His Father, and the beauty of the love that expressed itself in that intercourse. After His first words, so surprising for the nearness to God which ther assume: "My Father worketh even untll now, and I work," He goes on to tell of the absolute dependence of the Son on the Father; and the entire acceptance by the Sun of the Father's will. We think it worthy of a true child to say, "I cannot but obey my father." This
"cannot " is noble. It is in the same moral sphere as Luther's heroic, "Here I stand, I can do no other: so help me God !" Similarly Jesus says, "The Son can do nothing of himself, but what he seeth the Father doing: for what things soever he doeth, these the Son also doeth in like manner." "I can of myself do nothing." " I seek not mine own will, but the will of him that sent me" (John v. 19, 30). "I spake not from myself ; but the Father which sent me, he hath given me a commandment, what I should say, and what I should speak " Uohn xii. 49) ; ${ }^{\text {sI }}$ I am come in my Father's name" (John $v$. 43). Jesus represents Himself also as constantly, like a true Son, watching the Father's example, and open in ear to the Father's words; while the Father again in His love to the Son has no reserves with Him, and does not withhold from Him the greatest powers. "The Father loveth the Son, and sheweth him all things that himself doeth: and greater works than these will he shew him, that ye may marvel." "The Father hath given all judgement unto the Son; that all may honour the Son, even as they honour the Father." "As the Father hath life in himself, even so gave he to the Son also to have life in himself" (Johnss 20, 23, 26).

What did Jesus intend by this openness to men so hostile? His chief purpose doubtless was to influence their convictions, that they might be saved (John v. 34), to prove Himself the Son by the most direct and convincing of all proofs, viz that of laying open to them His actual and constant filial intercourse with God, in the beauty and perfect naturalness of it which could not be feigned. The reality of it would be proved by its simple beauty. He sllowed, as it were, ray after ray of His filial glory to shine forth apon them; and had they not been utterly blinded by prejudice they would have felt how truly from the heart Jesus spoke, and would have seen thowe rays of His glory to be so sweet and heavenly that their faith would have been won.

The Sonship of Jesus is real ; it is also unique. There is, indeed, in much that Jesus says about His intercourse
with His Father, nothing different in wimd from that sonship with God which is posillie for us, and is familiar in the experience of all true children of God. Bat there is a manifest difference in dagree. His intercourne with the Father is perfoct, complete, and unmarred by sib. All that Jesus says or does He knows to be of God. "I do mothing of mytelf, bat, as the Father taught me, I speak these things" (John viil. 28). Jesus was conscious of no byrrier, "no film of separition between Himself and the F .ing of all beings." "He that sent me is with me; he hath not left me alone; for I do always the things that are pleasing to him " (John viii. 29). So Jesus calls Himself the Son of God, or the Som. He is the Son ais no one else is, from the completeness with which His Sonship is realised and constintty tived out.

But another question is of profound interest to us. Does Jesus teach the uniqueness of His Sonship on other grounds? Does He make Himself not merely the ideat and porfoct Son, but the eternal Son? Does He teach anywhere His pre-existence before coming into the world, or His eternity of being, or His equality with the Father?

It is unmistakable that He is the Son of God as 16 one elve is among men, in perfection of communion, unbroken and complete, not marred by sin, never wanting in fall responee either on His part' or on the Father's. The terms is which this communion is described seem. to require the doctrinal faith in which we have beep brought ap, that Jesurs is of oine. essence with the Fathef, and one in eternal being with Himi, But does Jesas anywhere say so much as this of Himself? In many passages He speaks so that nothing short of it seems implied.
a His pre-existence is surely involved in such sayings as this: "I came out, from the Father, and am comeg into the world: again, I leave the world, and go unto the Father" (John xvi. 28). We may say with much certainty that it is implied in this: "Glorify thou me . . . . with the glory which I had with thee before the world was" (John xvii. 5). And, though, the expression, "I and the Father are one" (John z 30) mayme understood of a
meral unity, and not expreasly of a unity of essence-- though this may with diftuculty be so taken-in that other saying of Jesus, "Before Abraham was, I am" (John vili. 58), that timeless "I am," cannot, we think, be understood as ex. presing less thas eternal being. The words were sacred to Jewish hearess as the name of the self-existent God revealed to Moses (Exodns iii. 14), and Jesus could not have spoken then to such hearers in a quite lower sense. Jesus accepted also that supreme confession of Thomas, In making which this lant of the eleven disciples became the first-"My Lord and my God" (John xax. 28). Our frith, then, in Jesus as the Eternal Son of God, may stay Itielr not only on the unique communion with God which we see Him enjoying, bat on His ows bellef and chaim and testimony.

It is not meant that there are no other grounds for this great filth. There is also the apostolic tetching to which reference was made in the beginning of thin chapter. And perhaps if the faith of most Christion people were closely inquired into, it would be found to reat largely on their own experience. They have felt the change and bleasing which have reached them through communion with Jesus to be nothing short of divine. He has to them, as it has been expressed, "the value of God;" and they" cannot give Him any lower name than that of the Eternal Son." We have been concerned; however, in this chapter only with our Lord's teaching and with what it, by itself, convers.

Let us end by taking eccount of the value to us of the truths about the person of Jeaus, which we find contained in each of these three titles, The Son of God, The Son of Man, and The Chritit.

1. The Eternal Sonship of Jeme is not a doctrine of merely intellectual interest. Who Jesias was-on this depends our thought of God; the most vital thought in our momal and spiritual life. If Jesus be the Eternal Son, then how grand an act of condescension was His being sent into the world ! That God should have sent some exalted creature as His messenger, or have raised
up a man of eupreme goodness and gin, would indeed have been a token of His thought for men. But If He eent His Son, who was eterpally with Him, He came in a true senice Himself. In Jesus wie have God, as it were, translated into human speech. Jesus is the express image of God, and in His suffering and death, if He be the only begotten Son, we feel that God given Himself for un. He does the utmont that Love prompts, or in able to do. We have final 'accurance that the world in which we find ourselves is governed by Love, that Love is creltion's final law. In spite of all sing, sorrows, and contrary appearances, the mont perfect optimism of faith is vindfcated. God's name, "The Father," is justified and sustained. Our cried may well begin with the words, "I believe in God the Father Almighty."

When once we have felt what the Eternal Sonship of Jesus implies, we canniot part with it. Without it God's dove seems to fall greatly below His power. His power, shown in the visible universe of suns and stary, seeds somid exhibition of His love equally infinite and impresaive, if the balance of the glory of His character in to be mainthined. This we find in the incurnation of the Eternal Son.
2. The titte, the Son of Man, is of almott equal value to us. The reality of the manhood of Jesus, when first apprehended, has been is salvation' to many, so great has been the impulee from finding His to near us in kinship and experience. What comfort it has been to human souls to pray to One who can uaderstand useo. perfectly, because He lived and felt as we dol In temptation or suffering how gustaining has been the thought: "Jears wat "tempted as I. am ;" "Jesus suffered as I guffer ;" " Jemis learned obedience through the things which Fie sutiered, even as I must now learn it." find as we think of the wealth of being now posessed by the Son of Man, and of the glory of His filial. nearness to God, all human buirdens seem lightened, and human hopes raised higher. The whole future of our race is brightened by the belief that the Son of Man belongs to the sace, and is its Head and Representative.

CHAPTER VI
EIE TEACHING ABOUT MAN

1. Brigit side: Worth of men taught by Jesus words, by His deeds, and especially by His Incarnation itself. This worth rests on the Fatherhood of God. Contrast of non-Christian ideas and practico-2. Dark side : Sin in man is ( x ) of awful magnitude and guils, (a) universal; (3) original, (4) too inward for himself to cast out-Man not wholly evil-Are all men children of God ?-In knowledge, moral feeling, and freewill all akin to God; so all potentially and idically His chil-dren-In character and privileges otherwise; so nol all real's-Full seriousness of Jesui' teaching completed by His doctrine of Satan-Tragedy and giory of man's tate: yet ( 1 ) all redeemable, and (s) recemption suffi. cient for all.
T T may well seem strange to us that mas is so difficult a subject of inquiry for man himself. Myateries present themselves in our own nature which are very baffing to us. They have been subjects of discussion for the greatest minds since serious thought began, and still, in many cases, the questions that have been raised remain unsettled; no answers have been agreed upon.

We turn, then, to Jesus, of whom it is said that "He knew what was in uan," and in whose teaching we have a confidence that is abeolute. We ask, What is the character of His teaching about man? Especially we ask, Is it bright or dark? High or mean? Hopeful or unhopeful?

1. The teaching of Jesus has this great and constant brightness, that it always conveys to us a surpassing sense
of the value of men-of the worth, indeed, of every tndividual man. Words of His rendily occur to us of greant weight oa this subject, and questions He aiked bearing on it, to which no answer was expected just because the truth implied was too great and evident for answer to be needed. "Fear not. . . ye are of more value than many sparrows" (Matt, x .3 3 ). "Chat shall a man be profited if be shall guin the whole world, and forfeit his life? or what shall a man give in exchange for his life?" (Matt. xvi. 26). "How much then in a man of more value than a abeep?" (Matt, xii. 12).
That In the view of Jesus the lenat important human being ho of great value, according to the divine and true reckoning; comes out in' His frequent language of deep consideration for the poor, in His' surprising words about children, and fn the indignation with which He was moved when His disciples forbade the children to be brought to Him for His bleming. "Blemed are ye poor" (Luke vi. $20 \%$. "The poor have good tiding: preached to them" (Matt. xi. 5). "Whoso shall, receive one such little child in my name receiveth me" (Matt. xviii. 5). "I say unto you, that in heaven their angels do always behold the face of my Father which is in heaven "(Matt. xviii. 10). "Inasmuch as ye did it unto one of these my brethren, even these least, ye did it unto me" (Matt. $\mathbf{x x v} .40$ ). Perhaps nowhere in His teaching is the value of one human soul in God's sight more strikingly conveged than when he speaks the parables of the lost sheep, the loat coin, and the lost son, and adds the words, "I suy unto you, there is joy in the presence of the angels of God over one sinner that repenteth" (Luke xv. 10).
Besides express words of Jesus on this subject, there is even greater force in what He constantly implies. The weight of earnestness with which all His teaching is leden implies the precionsness of those He ministered to, and of att to whom His words, would be carried. His earnestness would be without reacon if the life of man were not eventruil insits course, and most eventral in its iscme. The deep compassion also of Jesius for individual
the Christian ideas of man's importance. And in our day the discoveries of aistronomy, which show the eiarth to be 30 small a speck in the univerise, and the whole duration of man upon it so small a segment of time-these, it is urged, make man too insignificant for the Christian doctrine of his redemption to be credible Even a religion like Mohammiedanism, which confeties a personal God but thinks of Him only as Sovereign, not as Father, weighe down the humian soni with a senise of its tmsignificince under a God so remole and abeolute. Christianity surpasces all other forms of belief in inspiring those who receive it with sumelevating and strengtiening sense of the infinite worth of their own being to themselves and to God. And in the actual world of affairs and the customary ways' of nations. We find, when we survey them, that everywhere respect for human. life, concern for the good of men, interiat in their bappiness, and sympathy for their sufferinge, rise in proportion to faith In Jeans and familiarity with His teaching. It is in Christian countries that hospitals for the sick, arylums for the insane; refugea for the tempted, homes for orphan children, and all the various energies of philanthropy originato,and multiply:. It is in Christian countries that the lead has been taken in the suppression of the slave trade, the abolition of slavery, the milder and more just punishment of criminals, and the endeavour to make punishment reformatory. In the one case" of a pagan nation beginning to rise out of callous disregard of human suifering-the case of the Japanese-we find that, in orgunising bands of relief for the sick of both sides in war, they have uniconsciously owned the teaching of Jesus to be the great spring of such merciful regard for the maimed and, suffering, by enrolling the helpers under the flag of the Red Cross.
2.- Thus far, the teaching of Jesus about man is bright and hopefal. But now we come to His teaching about human sin, and this is undoubtedly dark.
(1) The whole tone of Jesus in speaking of the sin that is wrought among men shows that He reckoned it
himself. The lenching of Jesus implies, and the univeral conscience, righly appealed to, giver aseent, that sin is arizinal in mand No ove who has learnt of Jesus would suy, "Men are born good."
(4) $\operatorname{Sin}$ is so deep in us that we cannot of curselves cast it out, or rise above it. : For this we need something which is beyond our own power, - ninew birth by the Spirit of God. "Y0 must be born anew," sald Jesus. "That which is born of the fesh is flesh; that which is born of the Spirit in aptrit" (John iii. 6, 7).

So mad an account of man's sinful state has been made a reproach to the leaching of Jesus. But it has commended Christianity to many of the bent and wiest men. A misionary of the Church of Seotland, much honoured in his life and dill remembered, owed the restoration of his frith in a time of great doubt specially to this feature of our Lord's teeching. As he rend in the New Testament, the conviction came powerfully to him -"This book is true. I find bare a true account of my heart." And to our great poet, Robert Browning, the first of arguments for Christianity was that it rejected the lie of men being born good.

I still, to suppone it true, for my part,
See reasons and reasons; this to begin-
"Tis the faith that launched, point-blank, her dart At the head of a lio-taught original sim.
But, serious as is the teaching of Jesum about sin in man; we must not exaggerate it. He did pot say that there is no good in man. Even that word of His which we quoted, "If ye being evil," shows when we read its context that He did not reckon men wholly evil. . He recognised that they had good affections, from the truth and warmth of which within their breasts they might rise to an apprehension of the affections of God Himself. "If ye; being evil, kniow how to give good gifts unto your children, how much more shall your Father which is in heaven give good things to them that ask him ?" (Matt, vii. 12). He recognises here that they had a reil com.
self in his scientific discoveries as orthinking God's thoughts after Him.". Man has also moral semes and moral affection; he can know right frop-wrong, and is capable of loving the right-a truly fod-like faculty. So a great philowopher likens it, in sublimity, to the starry heavens above us. And one thing more man has which complétes his endowment as a moral personality, akin in nature to God, -he has frecwith: Without this he could not be a son of God. Without it he might obey God as an antomaton, or as a slave; but tg love and obey as a oon he must be free. These faculties in men fit them to be chitdren of God; and in the fact that God has so endowed them, we have assurance that His design is that they should be His children. So far all men are His children.

But if, when we speak of men as children of God, we are thinking of their character, of of privileges which they have which go with a certain charncter, - if we mean the great privileges of children of God-a place In the heavenly Father's household, the special love He has to children who love Himi, and the eternal inheritance which He has prepared for His children-if these are what we think of, we cannot say that all men are children of God. Very many have forfeited them by'turning away from God, and rebelling against Him. If we must call such men sons, they are lost sons. The name of son in any sense of privilege, inheritance, or asmured hope does not belong to them. It belongs only to those who turn to God in that freewill which they received for this very end, that they might give to God the trust and obedience of sons. So, while Jesus speaks constantly of God as "The Father," He is found to speak sometimes as if only some amoing mein avere God's children : \&Blessed are the peacemakeri.: for they shall be called ions of God," "Love your enemies, and pray for them that persecute you; that ye may be sons of your Father "hich is in heaven" (Matt. v. 9; 44, 45). We may siy then, ta sccordance with the teaching of Jesus, that all men are potentially and ideally children of God; this is
what they have capacity for, and are meint for by their. Maker, and we may therefore call God "Father of all." But not all men are childrea of God mally, in standing, character, and royal heirship. In harmony with this St. John any, "As many as"received him (Jesuis), to them gave he the right to become childreh of God " (John 1. 12) ; and St. Paul ays, "As many as are led by the

- Spirit of God, theie are the cons of God" (Rom. viii. 14).

The serionsnems of the teaching of Jesus about man and about sin does not comie fully into triow nnless wem take note that, in the Goapela, Jesus makes us aware of a kingdom pf.evil in the background of human life, with a personal ruler of that kingdom and servants under him. The reality of this personal evil Ode and his "demons," at they are called in the Goppels, in certninly a part of the teaching of Jema. It stinds out far more clearly in His discourses than in the Old Testament, as is evident from thenumber and character of the names by which our Lord apeaks of this hoetile power-"Satan" (Matt, iv. 10; xii. 26; Mark fr. 85 Lnkexdi. 31 : John xih. 27). "The evil one" (Matt V. 37 ; vL 13 ; xili. 19, 38 ; John xvii. 15 ). "Beelrebrab the prince of the devils" or demons (Matt. xii. 27). Man is spoken of by Jesus as in mysterious contact, in those depths of his beingnirom which his thoughts come, both with the kingdom of light and with a kingdom of darknem. He ts open to suggestions and infuences from God, to temptationis from Satan. "Evil is . .: not merely a characteristic of humanity and of the moral atmosphere in which homanity movies, bat a supernaturel element affecting the world and man from the ortaide: Temptation is not merely a raility, addrem. ing mar's aense and coliciting his will, but it is a living Power, the representative of a kingdom hoetile to the Divine."1. There is, indeed, nothing in the teaching of Jesus like the Pemian doctrine of the Wicked One having an equal share with the Good One in the making of man; and there is no countenance to the iden onde so nite, of matter being casentialiy evil, and the body of man the ${ }^{1}$ Tulloch, Christine Dacterive of Siming sel
hopelem sphere of eril. Nor is the power of the wicked one put on the mane level with that of God. By the finger of God Jeas casts our devils, and speaks of Himself as the stronges, who is to bind the atrong (Satan) (Matt. xii. 29). Bat that the power of the tempter is very great is seen in the temptations of Jesus Himself, who "inffered beint sempted," and in the title He more than once gives to Satan, "The prince of this world " (John xii. 31 ; xiv. 30 ; xvi. 12), that is to say, the living head by whom human society, alienated from God, is swayed, and with whom it is in communion.

Altogether the view which Jesus gives of humanity is one in which trigedy and glory are mingled. Guided by Jesus, we think of man as great in capacity and nature, akin in these to Cod Himself, great also in the value eet on him by God, and the dedign God has in making him $s$ bet banging between heavien and hell, knowing good and responive to th, while a power from hell - for such is tin-has a hold on his nature which be cannot shake ofi. He can hear God's roice, and he is open to suggestion and inspiration from God. Bit he is also open to suggestion from the head and source of evil, Satan. He has freewill; his freedom cannot be overborne by any force of the tempter; it is constantly implied that he need not sin unlem he himself wills to do it. But in this freedom is involved the sadness that he can reates even the gracions will of God in His Son Jesus "Ye will not come to me, that je may have life" (John v. 40), "How often would I have gathered thy children together, even as a hen gathereth her chickens under her wings, and ye would not l" (Matt. xxiii. 37). If man chooses rightly he becomes, in the full and glorious sense, a child of God. If by choice and habit he yields himealf to evil, he becomes a child of the devil (John viii. 42,44 ).

But still the teaching of Jesus in regard to man leans not to pessimism and hopelessness; but to optimism and bope This on account of two things: (I) His view of men implies that all are redeemable, capable of full


## CHAPTER VI

EIS THACHINO OF LSOHTEOUSNI

1. Fie corrects and rilises the Jdeas of His time-y. In the direction of immarinass; ( s ) illustrated from. His dealiag with (d) cercoponial, (d) the Sabbuth, (c) tantings, and (d) erternals in worthip; (s) true ridhecouspens must be in thought as well alact; and (3) it mus be stome tancoms- - In the direction of widin. ( 1 ) He requfres ponitive service to mes-(s) magranimitoy in conductand (3) gives thelr due ploce to the "feminine" virtues. 11. He makes two atill more fundamental changes, both of them by revealing "The Facher" : ( $x$ ) A now weltimate stamdand of righteousibeas; the great Christian rule, "that ye mas be sons of your' Paller which is in heaven "- Jomens owa life the model-(s) A mowe motive for ithtieosaspes--His teaching unequalled and final. but poofing realiced in the Church.
2. A a teacher of righteonmens, Jesus did not need to begin at the very beginning. Wherever He had appeared in the world, though it had been among people far lower that the Jews, He would have found that they already had some ideas of right and wrong. THie Jews, to whom He did come, and among whom He preached, had been long disciplined by God and taught by His prophets from Moses downwards. They had those Ten Commandments which we still use as heads of duty to God and man. They had many other rules of life and laws of worship which we can read in the Old Testament. And they hind, besides, a great system of traditions about conduct, whote purgose was to fence round these divinely-
 partly of God and pars ind ind in its mature partly permanent, partly tempo , instis work, then, as a teacher of righteousacmery the time and country in which He appeared, was to correct and rulue the ideas of righteonaness which He found previliling He exhibits the true righteonsmem-: that of the kingdom of God-largely by tetting it in contrast with the righteonsness taught aspd practiond among the Jews of His day.

The correction He makes of that righteonesees showe Itself in two main directions-in the direction of inverandmess and in the direction of widith. As we listen to His tenching we perceive that the righteouiseme of the kingdom of God ls not external, like that of the Jews, but inward or spiritual, not narrow like theirs, bet wide
I. We shall iltustrate first this iwwiandicss.
(1) (a) One element in the religion of the Jews which we nowadays have dificulty in apprect tipg was their compowial righteoumesa. We have ta East among Mohammedans or. Hindoos to find Yarallels. to it in our time. There we discover that it is against the religion of a Hindoo to eat cow's feah, and that for a Brahmin the touch of a man of low caste-éven his shadow falling on the Brahimin's food-Is defiling.' So among the Jews it was against the law to eat the flesh of swine, of hares, and of many other animals, and a man became religiously unclean if be touched a dead body, and in other physical ways. The Law of Moees so en. joined; we believe therefore that there was a divine purpose in it for the time then present. But there is a great danger which scoompanies all ceremonial religion. Wherever cerremonial righteouspess has a place in religion side by side with true moral righteousness, the former is apt to get the chief place. It in a far eacier way of being religious than to do right and to be good. There is thus a tendency to emphasise the ceremonial and neglect the moral element in religion, and you come in time to the monstrous result of people who are very religious and at
the same tipe otterly immoral. So it in now among Hindoos, many of whom have far more sense of sin in eating cow's flesh than in lying.

The Jews of our Lord's time had much of this evil leaven, especially the Pharisees, their religious leaders. Extortion, excess, and cruel neglect of parents, were compatible in them with punctilious religious strictness (see Mark vii. 9-13; Matt. xxiii. 23-25).

Jesus did away at a single sweep with all this ceremonial righteousness. Even what had a place in the Lav of Moses He abrogated. He did so with a plain appeil to the moral sense and common sense of His hearers He called them to consider that meats could not defile a man morally, because they do not go into his heart, but into his belly; they do not reach his spinit, bat only his body. So Jesus, in one word and appeal, "made all meats clean." He carried the thoughts of His hearers past this outward religion to what was truly moral, and to what was-inward. He warned them of the great source of real defilement, the heart of man itself. "From within, out of the heart of men, evil thoughts proceed . . . . these evil things proceed from within, and defile the man " (Mark vii. 21).

There are Indications that Jeith Himself conformed to the ceremonial law, as being a Jew and living under the Old Testament dispensation, but His teaching involved the freeing of His Church and kingdom from all ceremonial bonds.

W
(b) A similar change. He made in regard tof rules for the obeervance of the Sabbath. Strict abstinence from labourion the Sabbath had come to be regarded among the Jews as a thing in itself pleasing to God; and this strictness was systemiatised by rules, many of which were foolish, as that a tailor might not carry his needle about his person on the Sabbath, because this would be bearing a burdeń, and a man mighit not wear on that day sandals weighted with nails. The Jewish teachers thus dealt with the Sabbath as if it were an end in itself, and as if man had been made for Sabbath-
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keeping, as he had been made for purity, truth, devotion to God, merfy, and other graces of character inherently noble. But Jesus taught that "The sabbath was made for man, and not man for the sabbath " (Mark ii. 27). Its observances were not ends in themselves, but means to an end-that end being man's true good in body and spirit. The whole system of Pharisaic rules thereby fell to the leyel of ceremonial, with no value in their panctilious observance. Jesus justified His disciples' neglect of them by the example of David, who diaregurded the ceremonial rule againat himself and his men eating the shewbread, which was only for the priests. In appealing to this, and in quoting the prophet's worde, "I desire mercy and not sacrifice," Jesus showed the Law, fully understood, to be on His side, and that He was not de-stroying, but fulfilling it, and bringing out its litent ideal. The Sabbath being madé for man, He claimed, as the Son of Man-the Head of humanity,-to be Lord of it, that is to say, to make His own use of it, and direct His Church in using it. He refused to be hindered from healing on that day, saying, "It is lawful to do good on the sabbath day" (Matt. xii. 12). The Christian conscience, accordingly, has been set free by Jesus from bondage to formal rules about the Sabbath; and in the use of this liberty Christian men and the Christian Church will lay upon themselves just such inle and ordering of the day as shall beat turn to acuant this great means and opportunity for man's good.
(c) Regular fastings on set days or at sét seasons are also treated by Jesus as of the nature of ceremonial, and ${ }^{2 s}$ no part of the righteousness of His kingdom (see Mark ii. 18-22). Abstinence from food and from the pleasures of life He does anticipate as a natural consequence of religious sorrow, but He does not command it. It must be the expression of inward feeling. His disciples did not fast while they had the joy of His company, and in the report of a saying of His in our authorised version, "This kind can come forth by nothing, but by prayer and fasting" (Mark ix. 29), the last two words are an
interpolation. Fasting fixed for recurring day or season is inconsistent with His kingdom, because it may be contriny to inward truth. "Can the sons of the bride-chamber fast, while the bridegroom is with them? As long as they have the bridegroom with them, they cannot fast" (Mark ii. 19). In the Christian Church, which enjoys by His Spirit so much of the presence of the Bridegroom, fasting cannot have the place it had among the Jews. The Christian conscience has liberty here also, and the Christian man is free to fast or not to fast, to deny himself or to use what is pleasant in life, according as he is prompted by inward feeling and by experience of invard profit.
(d) The great principle of spirituality and inwarditiss which underlies the changes now mentioned has sublinge expression, in regard to worship, in the word which Jesus spoke at the well of Samaria-" God is a Spirit : and they that worship him must worship in spirit and truth" (John iv. 24). "Such doth the Father seek to be his worshippers" (iv. 23). By this word Jesus taught that the acceptance of worship by the Father has no dependence of holy place or prescribed ceremony, or priestly mediation, or anything external to the inward truth of the worshipper-his true thought of God, and his sincerity in drawing near to Him.
(2) Another advance of great importance in inwardness of moral teaching has prominence in the Sermon on the Mount. In that "Manifesto of His kingdom," we find Jesus dealing with some of the great abiding moral rules of life of which the Ten Commandments are a summary, -with those particularly which we reckon as of the eecond table, containing our dnty to men. And here, while the Jews thought onf of these being obeyed in rdeded, Jerus required that they be obeyed in thought. So He carried righteousness inwards. He quoted the sixth commandment, and, wheiseas it forbade murder, the act, He forbade hatred, the thought. He quoted the seventh commandment, and, whereas it forbade afultery, He forbade the lnstfuil look. He qugted the words, "Thou shalt
not forswear thyself" -ta form of the ninth commandment -and required in His kingdom that inward truthfulness of character which expresses itself, without need of oaths, in plain simplicity of speech. Passing an to almagiving, prayer, atid fasting, He made the one great teat of their value to be their, inward motive. If they were done to be seen of men, if the thoughts in them were not true to God, they were worthlesh.
(3) Yet another element of inwardness in the moral teaching of Jesus is toe important to be left unnoticed. The righteousness of the kingdom of God which He preached was a spontancows and free righteousness. No righteousness is up to the level of that kingdom if it be done from fear, or even if it be done only from a sense of duty. A man is not at the height of the righteoasness which Jesus pointed to, and exemplified in Himself, unless he does what is right of he own liking, unless he does it because he himself chooses it and prefers it, and his affection goes with it. So Inward is the righteousness of the kingdom, it cannot be overlaid upon a spirit different from it $;$ it is the natural outgoing of a spirit that is good. "The.good masi out of his good treasure bringeth forth good things, 5 and the evil man out of his evil treasure bringeth forth evil things "(Matt. xii. 35). "Make the tree good, and its fruit sood" (Matt. xii. 33). "Do men gather grapes of thorns, or figs of thistles ?" (Matt. vii. 16). So the ethical teaching of Fesus in St. Matthew is in organic unity with the mystical teaching in St. John. The Sermon on the Mount requires the new birth spoken of to Nicodemus, and the kingdom of God brought in by Jesus is the beginning of the time of which our poet Wordsworth rejoiced to think, when liking'and duty will be one, when love and joy will of themselves be sufficient guides of conduct,-

> Serene will be our days and bright,
> And happy will our natuise be,
> When love is an unarring light,
> And joy its own security.
2. We shall illustrate next the advance Jesus made on the Jewish idea of righteousness by widening it.
(1) While the main idea of the Jews in regard to rightcousness was of mot doing evil and not transgressing the Law,-for the language of; the Law usually was, "Thou shalt not "-the righteousness taught by Jesus, both in word and example; was one of active well-doing. Hisdwn life was wholly one of bencicence. He went about doing good. witie said that He came not to be ministered unto, but to minister, and to give His life a masom for many. In His farewell intercourse with His disciples He washed their feet-type of all hamblest ministries-and then He said, "I have given you an example, that ye also should do as I have done to you" (John xiii. 15). He made greatnet in the new kingdom to be determined by service. "Whosoever would become great among you shall be your minister ; and whosoever would be first among you shall be your servant" (Matt. xx. 27). The great sin, as foreshown in His vision of judgment, was the good that had been left undone-" Inasmuch as ye did it. not," or the talent that had been hid useless in the napkin.
(2) Another widening and elevating principle of conduct is contained in His words, "c What do ye more than others?" "If ye love them that love you, what reward have ye? do not even the publicans the same? And if ye salute your brethren only, what do ye more than others? do not even the Gentiles the same?" (Matt. .v. 46, 47). Jesus calls on His disciples not to be content with the customary ways that are approved in the world. They are to be pioneers of that moral advance which the world stands in need of in every department of lifein trade, in politics; in labour, ind social intercourse.' Especially the range of well-doing is not to be kept, as it was among Jews, within the bounds of sect or party. Nowhere was the narrowness of the Jew more conspicuous than in the limitations of his exclusive and sectariap patriotism. "Love thy neighbour" meant to him only that he should love some other Jew; but Jesus extended
the word "neighbour" till it included the Samaritan, and the enemy (Luke $x .37$ ). And when we take this principle, "What do ye more than others ?" along with those most stimulating precepts which we dealt with as examples of paradox in our Lord's teaching (Matt. $v$. 39-42), we see that the morality He calls for is of the noble kind we describe as heroic. An element of heroimm and maghanimity He expects even in the common life of His disciples, in their salutings, lendings, compliances, and forbearinge.
(3) One other instance of widening. A whole class of virtues first came into their due place in the teaching of Jesus, those which have been called the fominine virtues, the virtues of gentleness and patiente. The stronger virtues - those of courage, truth, and rectitude-had long been held in honour, and had found among the Romansand other nations illustrious exemplars. But only since Jesus came and taught among men, by word and by example, have virtues of the gentler class been fully owned as - virtues. Before His time they were often despised; now they are set highest. Patience nnder injury, forgiveness of enemies, charity of judgment, meekness and personad humility"; pity and sympathy with the weak-these y.j now owned as the inghest tokens of character and tife mont worthy of admiration. The thought of modern times differs radically here from that of ancient times. And the change dates from Jexus. There was before Him, an old world of thositic; since. Him, a new world. He effected this partly by His teaching 马h. Putting foremost this new feature of His righteousi 施, 'the great sermond began-"Blessed are the poor in spirit; for theirs is the kingdom of heaven"; "Blessed are the meek"; "Blessed are the merciful "; "Alessed are they that have been persecuted for righteousness' ake." But still more by His example did Jesus change the ideal of righteousneps, and widen the conception of it:. He was Himself meek and lowly in heart. He with abcre all else, the great, patient, loving Sufferer. His death on the Crow it is which has placed ra gulf
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wide petweth pour modern idecty of what

 Herthen whing nd idens．of His time．Hit

 and（ 1 He give them dincu motiove for being fighteount a a He the these boir at once in giving the nert nad higher s mof ofod which He expreseded in the name of ＂Fmither
（1）Let is first see bow this tis so in regard to the atimard of rightecusnex．Our gltimate standerd of right must be the character bCGod．With a new and
Wh Whigher thought of God，aur（ense of duty is also new
thand higher，for we know hat it is God with whom we Thave to do $;$ and the humad conscience has this divine grandeur in it that it cannot exciuste any man from aiming wt the higheit which he knows，So，from the time when Jesus spoke of God＂s＂The Father，＂and showed the Father in the inifror of His own liff＇as a Son，all the moral idens of men began to be raised．This is the root of all the changes in the moral standard which we have already mentioned，From the moment God is known as＂The Father＂the duty of man is to be a trice son of God－to trust Him as a son：should，and bempe Him morally as son should．＂That ye may be sont of your Father whic＂ is in heaven＂（Matt．7．45）：in there words Jesus gat His disciples the gr，Christian rule of life．

What does this，mply？The best anowe question is the life yesiss Himself．He is the example of the true son of God living in＇the world ${ }^{\prime}$ is thenrisible Christian ideal．His life and death， eventuhan His wouds，are the final Christian lat righteouspes，asswermig the question how main is to

Him and do the things which please Him become passions of the soul. All the former indacements to righteousness remain, such as a sense of the claim and beauty and reward of righteousness, but there is added a heart won to the side of righteousness, the love of God being shed abroad in it by the Holy Spirit given to it And not only does the impact of Goods love draw forth an answering love to Himself, but a natural instinct requires us to pass on that luve to our fellowmen. : If we believe that God loves us, we cannot but feel moved to love and serve our brother. So the belief, which we owe to Jesus, of God the Father over all, acting as a moral magnet of infinite power, makes righteousness spontaneous; for by that belief, when it is received, love is compelled, and love is the fulfilling of the law, according to that summary of righteousness which Jesus gave in two Old Testament texts," Thou shalt love the Lord thy God with all thy heart, and with all thy soul, and with all thy mind," and "Thou shalt love thy neighbour as thyself" (Matt." xxii. 37, 39).

No teaching on righteousness equals that of Jesug. The teaching of the-Old Testament prophets and psalmists is indeed often very high. But often, also; it drops, as in tones of complaint; or of loss of trust in God, and in prayers for vengeance on enemies. "Jesus' teaching moves constantly and calmly at an elevation reached by the prophets only at times. Still more does it surpass the best moral teaching among pagan nations, which often reaches high truths, but is always partial and unequal. And there is this other supremacy in the teaching of Jesus, that His ideal or standard of righteousness is not more unique thinn" is the "Moral Dynamic," or motive power that He supplies, by which the standard becomes attainable.

His teaching on righteousness is, we also claim, a final teaching. It perfectly satisfies the conscience and aspira-

in act and spirit, they would be in a perfect state; all the blessedness of right-doing would be theirs. For id higher ideal of righteousnese than that of Jemus we should require to find a higher revelation of God than He has made, and a higher example than that of His own life and death.

But though the teaching of Jemes is final as an ideil of Hife, andithough we have acceme in Him to motive power so adequate, it is a reason for great humiliation to the Chriatian Church that His ideal has been so-slowly, and is even atill so partially, realised in the Church itself and in the society which is influenced by the Church. The moral advances of Christian civilisatiop have been slow. . Evils have been long toleriated which were ulti-
 Church is not, as it should be, a shining testimony to the possibility of purity in an impure world; singlemindedness in a selfish and vain-glorious world, truth in a world of concealments, love in a world still so far from brotherly. And one of the best aigns of tife Christian Church at the present day is that it seems to be burdened with regret that the righteousnems of Christ's kingdom is so little realised in the society of Christian countries even now. There is rising before Christian minds a vision of society penetrated and moved far more than at present by the love which comes of that sense of the Fatherhood of God and the brotherhood of man, which we owe' to Jesme.

40. The termis ef entrance casy-Salvation is a free giftThe one qualification, readiness to receive it-Faith in Jesuls gives this readiness, and so faith saves a. The terms also difficult-Receiving salvation involves a great reaunclation-This deycribed as (i) repentance, (a) hating kindred add $1 / 3 \mathrm{a}$ (3) crose-bearing, (4) renouncing all posessiohs-Faitic to do this if beyond power of men and peedsthe power of God-Silvation is therefore a diN, mywny Il requires a new birthObjection to my i, in in-zalvation, and answer-The need of divina grece no bar to salvation.
VIE shall in this tupuer apply of delver to aty what Jesus has tanght in regard to the eonditions of entrance into the kingdom of God-in ibther words, the terms of salvation for individunt souly We shall try
 to bring together His various an
to the questions, "What must I do to be, saved? ". Wh thall I do, that I may hate eternal life." "and seo. hat is their full resulf; for bo: one saying of His gives the whole truth : often, 4-mdeed, what He says in one place may seem to contradict what. He sages in another. Sometimes, for example, admiscion into the kingdom of God appears to be the easiest thing in the world ; at other times nothing seems, from what He says, to be more difficult.

1. One thing stands out with plainness and certainty in our Lord's teaching, and our frst, step will be to set it down, hamely, that the highest good, the Eingdom of heaven, is a free gifi of God. Salvation is of grace,
that is to say, gratis. This fact about it is in the strongest opposition to the idea of ctherd's contemporaries the Pharisees-an idea more or less congenial, perhaps, to every human breast-that salvation and eternal life are to be earned by righteous conduct, and that, in so far as they are gifts of God, they are bestowed first on the most righteous. Utterly opposed to this is the fundamental truth in Jesus' teaching, that the kingdom of God, with eternal life which is the central blessing of the kingdom, is of grice. Tesus says to His disciples, "Freely ye received, freely give" (Matt. x. 8); "It is your Father's good pleasure to give you the kingdom" (Luke xii. 32); "My sheep hear my voice ... and I give unto them eternal life" (John x. 27, 28). The same truth Jesus sets forth with almost paradoxical emphasis in the parable of the labourers in vineyard (Matt. $x x_{\text {. }}$ ) With that method of concevilan to the ideas of His hearers which He often uses, He speaks as if some of these labourers did earn the peinny (that is to say, eternal life) by working in the vineyard all the day. This is no more than His concession in another place to the idea of there being persons whe need no repentance. Here He uses it to make mote distinct, by contrast, the case, of the others in the parable who worked only portions of the day, and yet recel the penny. So, in the manner most effectual with His andience, He sets forth the truth that eternal life will be given to many who in the service of God have fallen greatly short of earning it, and that; so far as their own righteousness avails, the grace of God puts all on one level. This doctrine has its root in that which was the basis of all the teaching of Jesus-His knowledge of God as the Father. If God were simply King, we should expect Him to judge and reward men according to their work. The legal view of salvation would be justified. But as God is a Father, it is in harmony with His character to deal according to grace.

Now, if the kingdom is of grace, the condition of entering it must be that we receive the gift. This, accordingly, is the chief among the qualifications for
admincion- readiness to receive it without any proud thought of a claim to it by righteousness, or any despairing thought of being excluded from it by unrighteousness. The spirit required is that of the little child-" Verily I say unto you, Whosoever shall not receive the kingdom of God as a little child, he shall in no wise enter therein* (Luke xviii. 17).

And what makes this remdinem to receive? It is faith-faith. is Jesus, faith that He came forth from God (John xvi. 30 ; xvil. 8), faith that His gospel of salvation is true, and Hispromisfe of the kingdom gloriously sufficient. So, as John Weeley preached, "Faith is the beginning of all good in thee, 0 man 1. First believe in Jesus." "This is the work of God," said Jesus Himself, "that ye believe on him whom he hath sent" (John vi. 29). But this faith is so sure to be followed by receiving the git of God, that in the Gospels belicv. ing and recciving are spoken of at practically one, and to receive Jesus is the same as to receive eternal life; for He is the great source of that life. He is the living salvation. Some further proofis and instances may be given here in confirmation of the principle that the kingdom of God is of grace, and that the condition of entering it is not righteousness but faith to receive. Jesus spoke of it as expressly for sinners-"I came not to call the righteous, but sinners " (Mark ii. 17). Great sinners received it, and were filled with a joy and a personal love to Jesus which the ordinary world could not understand, as in the case of the "woman which was a sinner," to whom Jesus said, "Thy faith hath saved thee ; go in peace " (Luke vii. 36-50). No preference was given to those who had sinned least, as if they. had the first right to be forgiven. Rather, in actual fact, the publicans and harlots went into the kingdom of God before the righteous men of the time (see Matt. xxi. 31). Our Lord told in memorable manner of one publican who entered the kingdom in uftering the prayer "God be merciful to me a sinner" (Luke xviii. 13), while a Pharisee who made his righteousness his claim
was rejected. He ended the atory with this, which seems to have been one of His often-repeated and favourite sayings-" Every one that exalteth himself shall be humbled; but he that humbleth himself shall be exalted." It also strong confirmation of the doctrine of zalvation being a free gift that in every new generation in which it has been preached and believed, this grace of God has been maguified in the changed lives of men of every variety of culture, condition, and nation.
2. Thus fur the terms of admisaion into the kingdom of God seem to be the easlest pousible. But there are many sayings of Jesus in which entering the kingdom is spoken of as difficult, and the terms as vety hard. "Strive (He says) to enter in by the narrow door : for many, I say unto you, shall seek to entertin, and shall not be able" (Luke xili, 24), "Narrow is the gate, and straitened the way, that leadeth unto life, and few be they thit find It" (Matt. vil. 14). "If any man cometh onto me, and hateth not his own father, and mother, and wife, and children - yea, and his own life also, he cannot be my disciple. Whosoever doth not bear his own cross, and come after me, cannot be my disciple" (Luke xiv. 26-27), "It ls earier for a camel to go through a negdle' yeyt than for a rich man to enter into the kingdom $\rho$ (God (Mark $x$, 25). These are stern words. No writ could be used to make the terms of admission more hatid. How are we to undentind them?

This is the trath the expres. The act of recelving the salvation of God is accompanied inwardly by a great act of renunciation. $A$ man who receives the great gift of grace is tike one who is offered gold and precious tones, and must first, before he can receive them, empty his hands completely of rubbish, and worthlem things, or of bad and hirtful things, with which they are filled. The earthly good things we cling to are, in comparison with the things of the kingdom of God, such rubbish: the sins we cling to are worse and yet to give them up
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of Jesas is singularly dull-witted. But we know that in these strong word-as in others, where He says, "He that loveth father or mother more than me is pot worthy of me " (Matt. $x_{0}$ 37)-He means that He Himself, who is the King of the kingdom of God, must be Grst in our affections ; that, if we would enter the kingdom, no pain of alietiation from our kindred, even those nearest to us, on account of it, must be allowed to hinder. There were great separations in thoes days on account of the faith of Christ-a man's foes were often those of his own household-and still there are often painful alienations because of Christ a but "this hardness must be borne for the kingdom of God, and for Jesus who is the living salvation. "Yea, his own life also "t man must hate.
and, obeying it to the letter, became the first nonk, known as St. Anthony. How are we to understand this, demand of Jesus? It must mean some great thing ; and yet, if the best Christians now are not wholly in error, it does not always mean what Anthony did, and what that youtng man was called by Jesus to do. This is what it means. The tie must be broken which a man makes in his natural life between himself and his goods. Le must cease to be owner of them in his own reckoning, and become only steward. He must think of them as Cod's, and as to be spent, not ccording to his own will but the will of God. Now, hhis change from owner to steward, if true and complete/is felt to be a real renouncing of all that he hath. It il hard to do,
4. often as hard for the poor man who renounces earthly. hopes, as for the rich who renounces actual possessions. che may follow the guidance of Mammon beckoning from afar, with a trust as idolatrous as if we held his hand."

The hardness of the terms of salvation and of entrance into the kingdom in this aspect of renunciation may' be confirmed by many other sayings of Jesus. It was evidently the choice of His wisdom in dealing with men, that the full truth should be known by them. When a man offered himself and said; "I will follow thee whithersoever thou goest," Jesus warned him to expect utter poverty -"The foxes have holes, and the birds of the heaven have nests; but the Son of man hath not "where to lay his head." When He called another to follow Him and the man said," Lord, suffer me first to go and bury my father, ${ }^{n}$-meaning, perhaps, to bear his father company till death-Jesus said, "Leave the dead to bury their own dead ; but go thou and publish abroad the kingdom of God." When anqther said to Him, "I will follow thee, Lord ; but first suffer me to bid farewell to them that are at my house,"-jesus answered, "No man, having put his hand to the plough, and looking back (clinging, that is, in heart, to the things of the natural life), is fit for the kingdom of God" (Luke ix. 57-62): And in speaking of the sich young man, "It eye, than for a rich man to enter into the kingdom of God " (Mark x. 25).

There are, indeed, great compensations, assured for the rendinciation demanded by, Jesus, compensations far outweighing those losses and separations. Salvation and eternal life are great offers, for which great things may well be given up. And Jesuis says, with expressive emphasis of detail, "Verily I say unto you, There is no man that hath left house, or brethren, or sisters, or mother, or father, or children; or liands, for my sake, and for the gospel,s sake, but he shall receive a hundredfold now in this time, houses, and brethren; and sisters, and mothers, and children; and lands; with persecutions; and in the world to come' eternal life" (Mark x. 29, 30), Faith in. Jesus whom God hath sent, that faith which our Lord declares to be the fundamental work of a Christian soul (John vi. 29)-faith, which is the faculty of beholding what is unseen, and treating the promises of God as certain possessions-this might enable the man to make the great exchange gity accept the gift of eternal life, even at the cost Which Jesus has in iso many ways exemplified. :

But there is something to be learned about this from a saying of Jesus whidh ie must not omit. In answerto His word about its "eint easier.fol a cawel to go through. the eye of a needle than for a rich man to enter into the kingdom of heaven, His disciplés said in astonishment and alarm, "Then who can be saved?" (Mark x. 26)meaning who can, whether rich or poof? Jesus accepted their dilemma; their fear was well founded. "With men it is impossible," He said. He carried His estimate of the difficulty of entering the kingdom to the height $\rho \mathrm{f}$ placing it beyond human power in any case, and He gave the only solution of this enigma of ialvation by adding the words, "But o.:. all things are possible with God" (Mark x. 27).

From these words we learn that not only is eternal life a gift of God, free and unearned by man; but the
power to renounce the things that hinder and to receive the gift needs the working of God also in the man. By him telf alone man cannot raise his farth in Jesus, and in the gift of God through Him, to such power and vivid force as to be able to make the greak exchange of the natural life for the eternal, the things of the world for the things promised by Jesus. Salvation is, we perceive, in the teaching of Jesus, a divine mystery, whether it is regarded on the side of God or on the side of man. We cannot divide it into divine and human parts saying that the gift is God's, the receiving is man's; for even the receiving is impossible without God. And this mystery is not only in the teaching of Jesus; it is in the experience of; His followers. If we take the evidence of those whose entrance into the kingdom of God has been most fully conscious, and is most vividly remembered, we shall find that. while there was a human element in it, and they acted according to those words which call for the utmost energy of man in seeking salvation, "Strive to enter in" (Luke xiii. 24), "The kingdom of heaven suffereth violence, andmen of violence take it by force" (Matt. xi. 12), yet their actual entrance was accomplished only when, in the extremity of their own inability, they cast themselves upon God. It was not by strong resolution that they enteried the kingdom, but by a surrender to God in which they looked for that which was impossible with men to be proved possible with Him.

There remains one discourse of Jesus yet to be con. sidered in regard to admission into the kingdom, a discourse which is usually felt to stand alone. The discourse of Jesus in the third chapter of St. John is alone in one respect, that only there in that Gospel is "the kingdom of God" so named. Why the title is so frequent in the first three Gospels and so rare in the fourth we may be unable to explain. But the thing which in this discourse has been counted very exceptional is the declaration of Jesus to Nicodemus, "Except a man be born anew, he cannot see the kingdom of God," and agdin, "Except a man be born of water and the Spirit, he ieninot enter into the
kingdon of God " (John iii. 3, 5** Many have objected to this teaching in the fourth Gospel as mystical, supernatural, and, inconsistent with theplainer teaching of the first three. But from what we have already reached in our study of sayings taken from these, we may see that the place of divine mystery is no higher in the Gospel of St. John than in the others. Jesus does, indeed, in that Gospel require a new birth. 'He plainly teaches that the things of the kingdom of God are spiritual, and so different from the earthly good things for which Nicodemus and others hoped, that a divine change in the nature and affections is needed before a man can see those higher things-see them in their truth and beauty, and so love them as to be at home in the enjoyment of them. Without this change wrought by the Spirit of God, the things of the kingdom of God do not exist for man as good things ; he is unable to receive them and unable to renounce the things of the natural life. "That which is born of the flesh is flesh"; only "that which is born of the Spirit is 'spirit" (John iii.' 6), only this can enter the kingdom. of God. It is expressly declared to be a mystery. "The wind bloweth where it listeth, and thou hearest the voice thereof, but knowest not whence it cometh, and whither it goeth : : so is every one that is born of the Spirit". (John iii. 8). But the thystery is in full harmony with what we have learned from the teaching of Jesus in the other Gospels. Théy, too, represent salvation as an enigma of which the only solution is God. In them, too, a. man's entrance into life is by the power of God, on whom he has cast himself, that He may work in him to will and to do.

We may how recall from the beginning what we have found in our endeavour to put together the many sayings of Jesus about admission jnto the kingdom of God and bout its texms. First, admission is of grace, gratis. Salyation is a gift so free that sinners may have it, even "publicans and haroots"; it is expressly for sinaers, and it requires only faith to receive it. But for this receiving, ve learn from the teaching of Jesus in all tho

Gospels, a mystery of divine working in us is needed We read in St. Mark, "With men it is impossible, bul not /with God 1 for all things are possible with God" (M) rk x. 27). We read in St. John, "Except a man be born of water and the Spirit, he canngt Inter into the kingdom of God " (John iii. 5). The rath in Jeftis, which moves us to receive Him ind commit ourselves to Him, is too high'a thing to be wholly of man.

Two observations may yet be made.

1. This teaching has no need to fear objection on account of its mystery. The demand often made for religion without mystery is a very superficial one, and the attempt to meet it fails to satisfy: When salvation has been so explained as to be brought down to a natural human level without any mystery, it ceases to command the faith and reverence of men. They are inwardly - conscious that they need a great and divine change; and all who are partakers of the salvation of Christ attribute it to God that they have attained to this grace. "It was the good pleasure of "God (says St. Paul), who separated me; even from my mother's womb, and called. me through his grace, to reveal his Son in me". fGal. io 15, 16). A similar account Christian peoplestill give of their standing in the kingdom of God. And every authentic record of a sopl being brought into the kingdom is felt to be worthy of reverent attention, because God has been in it.
2. This doctrinc of a mystery of diyine grace in the. salvation of a soul places no barrier in the way of any one's salvation, even though Jesus says expressly, "No man can come to me, except the Father which sent me draw him" (John vi. 44). Salvation is not on this account in any degree less possible. We are only thrown thereby upon God, and this makes salyation certainily possible. It is no bar to entering the kingdom that we must depend on God to bring us into it; for if there is one truth more sure than another from the revelation of Jesus regarding the Father it is, that in the salvation of eny soul God may, be depended on.

CHAPTER IX
THE BLESSINGS OF THE KINGDOM OF, COD

1. The great Gospel promise of blessedness belongs to a future and eternal life-This contrasts with Old Testa.. ment teaching. 2. The blessedness promised ., is spiritual-This contrasts with the common expectation of Jews of our Lord's time. 3. Present blessings of the kingdom of God: (a) forgiveness; (b) life, of which Jesus is the source and support-Mystery of this life-1ts meaning and value-Result of these two blessings great and eternal: (c) Other blessings consequent on them. 4 . Jesus' teaching io regard to carthly good things 5. Two objections to His teaching of eternal reward, and answers to these.
THE whole ministry of Jesus, in gracions word and mighty deed, breathes an atmosphere of blessing for those who believe on Him and are admitted into the kingdom of God. We shall in this chapter try to distinguish and reckon up the blessings He promises.
2. First we perceive that the great hope announced by. Jesus belongs to a future life and futute world. 'In the Sermonron the Mount (Máti, vi. 1 ff.) a reward with the Father in heaven is spoken of as the great gain of true righttousness, the sadness of the case of hypocrites being that "they have received their reward"-that" is to say, all. the reward they will get is an carthly one, the praise of men. The kingdom of God, to be sought first as the supreme good-also spoken of as the hid Ireasure and the pearl of great price-is, in its main sense and fulh accomplishment, a thing of another life than this present. It is at the judgment day, when men
are raised from the dead, that the Judge will say to the righteous, "Inherit the kingdom prepared for you from the foundation of the world" (Matt. xxv. 34. See also Mark ix. 47, 48). Accordingly, another name for this greatest good is "eternal life," which in the first three Gospels refers only to the future-" in the world to come eternal life" (Mark x. 30). "Lay not up for yourselves (says Jesus) treasures upon the earth . . . but lay up for yourselves treasures in heaven " (Matt. vi. 19, 20). And among the hopes with which He comforted His disciples when about to part from them this was the first, "In my Father's house are many mansions; if it were not so, I would have told you; for 1 go to prepare a place for you " John rive, 2).

This is a great change from the teaching of the Old Testament, in which, for the most part, the good things promised to the righteous are things of this present world. Jesus "abolished death, and brought life and incorruption to light," and having thus vastly widened the view and hope of men, He placed in the world beyond death the great experience of God's favour to the righteous. Instead of prosperity in this life being the sure portion of God's people, Jesus warns His disciples very plainly that they will suffer persecution, that the world will hate them; and He sometimes calls on them to rejoice in those persecutions as certain marks of fellowship with Himself. "If ye were of the world, the world would love its own : but because ye are not of the world, but I chose you out of the world, therefore the world hateth you " (John iv. 19. See also John xv. 18, and Matt. x. 25). "In the world ye have tribulation " (John xvi. 33). In this language of Jesus about temporal prosperity there is some basis for the paradox of Lord Bacon, "Prosperity is the blessing of the Old Testament, adversity of the New."
2. Next we find that the blessings of the life to come are, in the view of Jesus, spiritual blessings. This is in strong contrast with the beliefs of the Jews of His time. They had attained to believe much more than their fore-
fathers did in a life after this present. They had made such advance upan the faith of former days that they believed-the Sadducees excepted-in the resurrection of the just to a blessed life. But the blessings to which the righteous would be raised were, in their expectation, simply temporal blessings-abundance of the good things of this life. The kingdom of God, in their view, was to bring a time of overflowing weath, of miraculous plenty in corn and wine, and of extraordinary earthly splendour and happiness, which the just would be raised again to share. 'In Jesus' teaching the blessings of the life to come are spiritual. The great joy of that life He does, indeed, often present under the symbol of a feast, as in the parable of the great supper (Luke xiv. 15-24), and in that of the marriage-feast of the min's son (Matt. xxii. i-14); and the great future woe. the form of being excluded from the feast, and left outside in the dark: But; it is most plain that when He speaks of reclining at table, and of eating and drinking in the future kingdom of God, He means the bliss of spiritual joy and of spiritual fellowship. It is such blessedness as the pure in heart have in seeing God (Matt. v. 8), as the true children of God have in being with Him in His house, and as they have in perfict fellowship with one another. When Jesus prays for His disciples before His death, the great thing He asks for them is that they may *e with Him where He is, and mey behold His glory; also "that the love wherewith thou tovedst me may be in them, and I in them " (John. xvii. 24, 26). Such spiritual blessings are those which are called by Jesus "the true riches" in contrast to the wealth of this world, "the nnrighteous mammon" (Luke zvi. 11). And, unlike the treasures of eart rither moth nor rust doth consume them.

Thus far we find that $t$.. to the life-to come, and are ary is ritual character.
3. Are there, then, no blessing promised by Jesus for this present life? Do His promitw bolong entirely to the world to come? Has fe forciblden for His followers
while they are here but the persecutions of which He : warned them? Does He , for this present time, bid them only wait and hope saying, "Fear not, little flock; for it is the Father's good 'pleasure to give you the kingdom." Very far from this. Jesus represents His followers as much more blessed even here and now than men of the world. He does so, however, in fall harmony with His great $p$ che of spiritual blessings over temporal, in full h w with His saying, "A man's life consisteth noty ${ }^{\text {W }}$ andance of the things which he possesseth" (Luke $\mathrm{H}^{2} \mathrm{~F}$ ). His followers are so greatly blessed, because spintial blessings can be enjoyed in large measureeven in this life. For though we are here in the body, our spiritual part is by far the more important. It is in the heart that we are truly blest or unblest; and the kingdom of God begins here in the heart and soul.
(a) The first of the blessings of the kingdom received in this life is the forgiveness of sins. This had a great place in the teaching of Jesus. In one of His parables He likened it to the canceling of a debt of ten thousand talents (Matt. xviii.' 24). In a most solemn hour He spoke of His blood as "shed for many unto remission of sins" (Matti xxvi, 28). And when He had risen from the dead He announced as a divine purpose, to which the Scriptures bore witness, "That repentance, and remission of sins should be preached in his name unto all the nations" (Luke xxivo 47). It is also plain from His 8 teaching that forgiveness follows immedjately on repentance. It is a' grace of the very entrance into the king. dom of God. Often it was the happy experience of sinners who came to Jesus to hear Him say in their first hour of converse with Him, "Thy sins are forgiven," or "Thy faith hath saved thee; go in peace," or "Her sins, which are many, are forgiven" (Mark ii. 5 ; Luke vii. 47.50 ). And not only while He was on earth did Jesus speak this word, "Thy. sins are forgiven." Even now when any man is visited by that sense of sin which came like a lighthning. flash into the heart of Isaiah at the vision of God (Isaiah th), and into the beart of the Psalmist at the thought that he
had done evil in God's sight (Ps. li.), and the man who is so visited comes to Jesus truly believing in His power to forgive, He makes the same answer, and usually in such a manner that it is heard in the depths of the spirit. The truth of Jesps responds to truth in the heart that seeks Him. By the influence of His Spirit, using perhape the instrumentality of His word or His sacraments, a deep and sweet persuasion is wrought in the penitent heart of forgiveness received, and His blood, shed (as He Himself said) for the remission of sins, is an aliding seal and assurance of this grace. No blessing can be felt greater than this, which is received in first entering the kingdom of God; for thereby the pain of self-condemnation is relieved, and the burden of God's condemnation taken quite away. The walls of separation between God and the soul are broken down, the man is brought to God as a child to a reconciled Father, and in this very beginning of salvation there is often an experience of joy as profound as any in its whole earthly course.

In the prayer which Jesus taught His disciples, evidently as a form and model of daily prayer, He bade them say, "Forgive us our debts, as we also have forgiven our debtors" (Matt. vi. 12). This does not imply that forgiveness is daily lost by the sins and imperfections to which human infirmity is liable, or that by these sins the once forgiven man is thrown daily back into the feas and terror of God's condemnation. Jesus said, "He that is bathed "-.in other words, he that has received $\alpha$ great forgiveness like the washing of the whole body" needeth not save to wash his feet"-that is, from daily partial defilements-i" but is clean every whit" (John xiii. 10). The great forgiveness remains; the peace of it should be unbroken ; and the daily prayer, "Forgive us our debts," serves simply the purpose of asking and receiving from the reconciled Father the forgiveness daily needed Lecause of sinful infirmity. With the very acceptance of our service of each day there needs to be mingled forgiveness of ifs faults ; and the sense of this forgiveness is kept fresh within us by, such confession and prayer.
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(b) A second great blessing of the kingdom of God is Eifo. This is a divine gift much spoken of by Jesus in His discourses in St. John's Gospel. It has by no means the ame meaning with that which we ordinarily call "Hife." Indeed thowe who have only the ordinary natural life of men are spoken of as dead in comparison with those who posesse this life. These last are said to have "passed out of death into life". (John V. 24). The full name for this new life in St. John's Gospel is "eternal life" (v. 24), by which is still meant a gift that is bestowed now in this world, bat one which is of an orgin and quality above the natural and temporal life of man. To give this life Jetus declares to have been His great errand in coming into the world-" I came that they may have life, and may have it aboudantly " (John x. 10). He declares Himself to be the source of it-"I am ... . the life" (John xiv. 6). Whoever hears His word and believes in it, receides the git-"Verily, verily, I say unto you, He that heareth my word, and believeth him that sent me, hath eternal life" (v. 24). "Verily, verily, I say unto you. He that believeth hath eternal life" (vi. 47). The beginning of this life is in the new birth spoken of in the third chapter of St. John; and though this is by the Spirit (iii. 5), Jesus is none the less the source of the life, having Himself received it from the Father in order that He might bestow it among men-"As the Father hath life in himself, even so gave be to the Son also tg Aave life in himgelf" (v. 26); "Thou gavest him anthority over all flesh, that whatioever thou hast given him, to them he should give eternal life " (xvii. 2). Jesus is not only the source 中ut the support of this life, as He declares in the words, "I am the bread of life" (vi. 35); "I am the living bread which came down out of heaven" (vi. 51). He gives Himself for the life of the world specially in His death, and the promise is to those who feed apon Him thus sacrificed-" He that eateth my flesh and drinketh my blood hath eternal life ; . . . for my flesh is meat indeed, and my blood is drink indeed " (vi. 54, 55).

In this teaching about "life" there is, no doubt
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great mystery. But as it if the fact that, with all our science, we can little explore the myatery of our natural. life which we received in coming into the world, we need not be surprised that the mystery of this divine life, which Jesus came from heaven to give, should surpass our knowledge. And what Jesus says of eating the Uread that came-down from heaven- eating His flesh and trinking His blood-may cease to be such a hard saying when we find, by patting together different utterances in the same discourse, that coming to Jesus, believing on Him, eating His flesh and drinking His blood, are all names for similar spiritual acts of faith in Jesus, and communion with Him for our salvation. Thus, while we read, "Except ye eat the flosh of the Son of man and drink his blood, ye have not life in yourselves" (John vi. 53), we also read, "This is the will of my Father, that every one that beholdeth the Son, and believeth fhe him, should have cternal life" (vi. 40). "Crede et manducasti," said St. Augustine: "Believe, and thou hast eaten."

The meaning and value of this blesain ft the kingdom may be made clearer in the following mithet. The story of the prodigal son (Luke xy.) is an image of man's return to God and his forgiveness. But if the son, after his return. and after the first joy of his welcome home, found himself without love to his father, without relish for his father's society, and without interest in his father's affairs; if his tastes and likings had been so degraded by his habit of life in the far country that he could not care for the way of life in his father's house, it is plain that, even though forgiven and welcomed and reatored to the place of a son, he would be innblessed, miserable, and without strength to live the life of fellowhip with his father. Not otherwise would it be with any man who had received the first-named blessing of the kingdom, forgiveness, and had been brought to the Father, if he were without the filial mind toward God, had not similar tastes, and lacked the moral strength to do the will of God. He would be miserable. His reconciliation to God would be a failure

## 8

OUR LORDS TEACHING
If he did not also receive the gift of the true won's heart toward God, with the nature and dispoaition to love Him, and to love what He loves a Now the, in of lifo-this divine and eternal life in the sothl of man, of which Jesus is the one source, and which He giva more and more abaindantly to thove who believe in Him and seek to live by Hisu -is a spring and kiviag source of thove pare affections by which a man in saised above the world, truly dwells in the kingdom of God, in at home in that kingdom, and has the love toward God, the real for Godis honous, and the strength in His eervice which befit a child of God, and which conetituts his trug happinems. No doubt a sense of reconecliation to God does naturally stir great grotitude and earnest moral parpoee ; but when we think of the instability of our human nature; " iow much cuar wilt has beep weakened by sielding, fo whall value eaceedingty this divine gift of luch receiving which we have quickened in tus the will to obey the Father as children, and are also endowed with power to do it, with moral strength, ind with affinity of nature to the truth of God.

These two bleaings of the Kingdom, forgivenem and life, already involve so much in their first bestowal that they cas hardly be added to except by the life being given more abuadantly. For by forgivesems we have God for our reconciled Father, and by the git of divine life we receive the heart and affections of children of God. So we are made in a deep sense one with God, which, in itself and in its consequences, is the true and final blemedness of our being. And while the experience of this blemedness begins now, the "life" is "eternal" not only (as before said) in respect of its origin and quality, bat also in respect of its duration. Being divine in its source, and of a quality above the natural life, the death of the body is unable to destroy it, and loues significanceas a real death. The man who has it "shall never eee death" (John viii. 51). It attains its goal and completion in the raising of the body itself to a new and undying Hife. The climax of the promise of life is in the

## BLBSSINGS OR THE RINGDOM OF GOD

Whin in indeq again and again, ic and I will raise him up at ibe taxtiday " (John vi. 40, 44, 54). We have also this great saying of Jesus, "I am the resurrection, and the life : he that believeth on me, though he die, yet shall he live: and whosoever liveth and believeth on me thall never die " John xi. 25).
(c) Other great blewsinga which Jesus promised for this present life, will occur to readers of the Gospels. It is needful only to name some of them shortly, because they are all implied in these two fundamental gits, of consequent on them. They are consequent on our bislonging to Cod by reconciliation as His children; or on our shaying the divine lifo.

There is the great blessing of the Faithers ingoings. which Jesus asks for His disciples with ürgency, on account of their exposure in a hostile world-a"Holy Father, keep them in thy name which thou hast given me" " " I pray, not that thou shouldest take them from the world, but that thou shouldest keep them from the evil one " (John xvii. 11, 15).

There is the Father's sametifyling, or more exactly, His consacrating of the disciples of Jems to their work for Him in the world, so that, renouncing self-gratification, they may be entirely devoted to it-"Sanctify them in the truth: thy word is truth. As thon didst tiend me intothe world, even 80 sent II them into the world. And for their sakes I sanctify myself, that they themselves also may be anactfied in truth " (John xvii. 17-19).

There is the Fatheres discipline, which comes through the word of Jesus, and, when more is needful, through affiction experienced in the natural life, and cheching the carnal will. "My Father is the husbandman \% . every branch [in me] that beareth fruit, he cleanseth it, that it may bear more fruit" (John xv. 1, 2).

There is the shepherd-ture of Josws, who intimately knows His flock, guides each one of them, and will suffer none of them to perish-" He calleth his own sheep by name, and leadeth them out "; "My sheep hear my voice, and I know them, and they follow me; and I
broken. The follower of Jesus, accordingly, who receives "the light of life" Uohn viii. 12) has also freedom. Again, as the life which Jems dives is divine and raises our nature tnto conscions harmony of will and affection with God, the yoke of obedience to the Father, which Jesus bore and invites ns to take npon us, becomes, as He said it would be, an easy yoke (Matt. xi. 29, 30). All the burdens of life, being known at our heavenly Father's choice and appointment, are lightened. That all is well with us is ascured beyond doubt or anxiety by the Son's revelation of the Father, and 50 these great promises of Jesus are fulfilled, "Come unto me, all ye that yabour and are heavy laden, and I will give you rest "/ (hatt. xi. 28). ce Peace I leave with you $;$ my peace I give unto you" (John xiv. 27). In a similar manner, as the nature of a turue child of God finds its full satisfaction in His love and in His service, the git of divine life implies the fulfilment of the promise, "He that cometh to me shall not hunger, and he that believeth on me shall never thiret " (John vi. 35). "If any man thirst, let him come unto me, and drink" (John vii. 37).
4. It will be obeerved that in our enumeration of blesaings promised by Jesus no mention has yet been made of any of the good things of this earthly life. Does, then, our Lord make no promise in regard to these things? Does He evep condemn them as not good, so that there is a merit in refusing them and living an ascetic life, mortifying the fleah as much as possible? We answer, He does not condemn them. He speaks of the sunshine and the rain from heaven as good gifts of God (Matt. V. 45). He speaks of food and ruiment as bestowed by our heavenly Father, who knoweth that we have need of these things (Matt: vi. 26-32). He bids His disciples offer the prayer, "Give us this day our daily bread," and in fegard to our temporal life we are assured of a providential care so minute that the very hains of our head are numbered. But earthly gifts are never placed on the same level of value with spiritual There cannot be the same certainty of promise about
them, because they may be withheld in order that we may gain spiritually. Even in that promise (Mark xvi. 17, 18), "Thees digns shall follow. them that believe .. they shall take up serpents, and If they drink asy deadly thing, it shall in no-wise burt them;" the hurt that is aveured against may ot may not be bodily hurts the promive will be kept if they are protected from hurt in thelr better part. The protmise in regard to food and clothing, "Seek ye first his kingdom . . . and all these things shall be added unto you" (Matt. . . 33) may, in general, be literally fulfilled. But nowhere are earthly riches promised as a reward of fidelity to Jemus. It is indeed true that Christian uprightnese often leads directly to a man's promotion or wealth, and promotion and wealth $s 0$ scquired are to be received as good gits of God." But very often, also, Chriatian fidelity bringe worldly lows; poverty, not riches, may be the higher teatimony to that fidelity. We must read with circumspection that promise of Jems, plain and abeolute as it seem--" Verily I say unto you, There is no, man that hath left house, or brethren, or sisters, or mother, or father" (or wife, Lake xvili. 29)," or children, or lands, for my Gake, and for the gospel's sake, but he shall nocciov a humafredfold now in this time, homess, and bretinen, and sisterd, and mothers, and childrem, and lamds, with sorsacutioni" (Mark id 29, 30). We must read this with caution, remembering how often the meaning of Jesus is deeper than the surface. Certainly this promise does convey that to follow Him brings ws to a greatly more blesied life even in this present time than theirs can be who live for the world, and that losecs for Chriat's sake will be splendidly compensated even here. But we shall not expect the promise to be fulfilled in the very letter. We count it profane that Mormons should argue in defence of polygamy by saying that Jesus here and in St. Luke promised "manifold more" to thoee who for the Gobpel's sake forsook wife or childrep. And if we refuse to take this literally of fathers, mothers, or wives, need we take it literally of houses and lands? But the promise ls kept in ways
which are visible to falth Dr. Amold ataid of his long invalid sister that from her devout uncelfishness abe so enjoyed the interests of IIfe and the beanty of the world that no one reemed to him so fully to "Inherit the earth" as she did. Is not this a glimpee of how lands lost for Christ's anke may be recompeneed a hundredfold in this present time? When SL. Paul speaks of the mother of Rufus having been mother also to him (Rom. xvi. 13), do we not get a glimper of how thoie who have lout friepds or been allienated from kindred through their faithfulnews to Christ, have in the welcome of Christian brethrea and the bleasinge of Christian fellowship found their lives enriched beyond all their lows? And when the same Apontle could think of 80 many in all the churchen whom he knew as his children in the faith, was not this promise fulfilled, to him of "children a hundredfold in this present "? The most solitary man or woman ceases to be the thery who enters into that saying of Jesus, "Whosoevitr shall do the will of my Father which is in: heaven, he is my brother, and sisterf, and mother " (Matt. xii. 50). Our Lord's teaching fully justifies these words of St. Paul's old age and ripe experience, "Godliness is profitable for all things, having promise of the life which now is, and of thit which is to come "( Tim. iv. 8). But the promise of the llfe which now is must not be understood of mere earthly gain or glory or pleasure, and the greatly blessed in this present time have been, for the moat part, men and women who had little of these things and were not dependent on them, being rich in "the life which is.life indeed" (1 Tim, vi. 19).
5. The Gospel has been asailed by some in our day on sccount of that feature of it with which we began this chapter, that the great hope and promise of Jesus is for the life to come. Preachers of the Gospel have been scornfully spoken of as men who preached about heaven when they should have been seeking the gocd of their fellow-men in this present life, which (it is suid) is the only life of which we have any certainty. We might
answer in the words of a French writer: "Wonderful goapel, which, in preparing us for an unseen and eternal life, 20 greatly blesees us in this $l^{\prime \prime}$ No influence has Chadranced the good of men, even in this world, as Christianity. But we rither. reply, How great is lope to men it would be if in this life only we had hope; if we had to go back to the idea of man's absolute mortality, the time when thround among Greeks and Romans at What could me Gospel began to be preached to them I life's sorrows and up to men for all that consolation in from the thought and separations which since Jesus drawn Himself the "Dive Wope of Hoaven since frend of Scame, longed for, to ascuire us of a life to come ? ${ }^{1}$ What heart. rendings have been bealed, what sweet anticipations nourished, by that promise of Jesus, so gracious and distinct; in which the homeliness of heaven, its width and room, its fitness for our abiding, and the actual preparation in it for our renewed and unending life and for the fellowshipe of that life, are assured to us by His truth and by the contagion of His calm certainty-"In my Father's house are many mansions ; if it were not so, I would have told you; for I go to prepare a place for you. And if 1 go and prepare a place for you, I come again, and will receive you unto myself; that where I am, there ye may be also " (John xiv. 2, 3).

Another amault on our Lord's tenching has been made on the ground that the hope of eternal reward which He gives makes men's virtue selfish, and so lowers it or destroys it as virtue. But, we answer, could we fustify the government of the world if bleading did not collow upoo righteouspese? And does virtue remain iteclf, and praieeworthy, only in a univense the government of which is hoatile to it or indifferent? We answer also : that, suce the blessings promised in the Gospel are spiritual, the reward which Jesus offers to goodness is primarily this unselfich one, the attainment of perfection macodness itself.

## Chapter $x$

## tios tenchimo arovt ans own deate

Present need for inquiring into thin-Remiles of inquiry. I. The long shadow care before by His death shows its high significance. 11. Acoording to His own indications of that significance He was, in His death-s. A martyr in the cause of truth-2. A martyr in the cause of love -3. More than martyr ; (a) Redeemer, (b) Sin offering and ground of humian forgivenem-Conafrmations, and answerto objoction.
THE dinth of Jeass is the event in His earthly history
which has been cherished above all others in the memory of His Church. In the full narratives of all the four evangelists, in the writings of the apostles, in the preaching of missionaries, is the devout thought of Chriatian souls, nothing has received eo great place or significance as the story of the Cross of Christ. If we were asked to name the power of the Gospel, by which it has won its waly in the world, and by the loss of which it would be; as it were, disarmed, we should reply in one word, the Croes.

In this chapter we are to inquire what Jesus Himself taught about His death, and, in particular, what meaning and significance He attributed to it. This inquiry. has become the more necessary because some, who believe that Jesus manifested God's mercy to sinner, have ceased to believe that His death was an atonement for men's sins, and the ground of their forgiveness. Those who are of this opinion remind us that we are called upon to forgive a wrong done to us if the wrong-doer is penitent
although there be no atonement made for the wrong, and God (they urge), being infinitely more generous than we, will do no lees Himeelf. They count an atonement unnecesenry, and evis derogatory to the grace of God. There is therefore serious reacon for inguiring what in the full teaching of Jemes oa this mubject-a subject which is of so great concers to all Christian people.

It is not meant that we munat limit our belief about the death of Jemse to what He Himeelf taught during His earthly life. We cannot aecerme that this is all His mind about it, in face of His well-known words, "I have yet many thinge to say unto you, but ye cannot bear them now. Howbett when he, the Spirit of truth, is come, be shall guide you fato all the truth : for be chall not speak from himself; but what thingid wever he shall hear, these shall he speak " (John xvi. 12, 13). These words justify the belief that what the apostles taught by the Spirit anter Jesus had gone into heaven is, in a true sense, part of the tenching of Jesua. But it is of importance for us to sasure ourselves that Jesus' own recorded teaching and that of the apostles are in harmony, and that the latter has unmistakable roots in the former.

On first examining with this view the pages of the Gospels we may be surprised to find Jesus speak so little about the efficacy of H is denth for our salvation. It has pained some, and almoat shaken their confidence, to find that maving efficacy not nearly so frequent a subject in Jesus' own teaching as in the letters of His apostics. His own doctrine about His death seems much less full and explicit than theirs. But, on second thoughts, and when. we compare Him with other great men, the remarkable thing rather is that He says so much of His death by anticipation, and attaches so much significance to it. It is not a usual thing for a great teacher to make his own death his subject ; and that Jewus should have done so is the more striking that His disciples could so little believe it, or bear to hear of it, before it happened (Matt xvi. 22; Mark ix. 32). . We believe there is enough, even in. Jesms' own tenching before His death, to
show that it had great divine significance, to indicate the sture of that agnificance, and to mesure us that the drctrine of the apostles is rpoted in that of their Mastert
I. The importance of the eveat in the thought of Jesus appears firnt from the ahadow that it cast before on His whole miniatry. Even early in His miniatry we find serious reference to it, as If it was from the beginnian the dark background of His prospict. It was early in His miniatry that He referred to it to the words which follow : "Can the sons of the bride-chamber fute, while the bridegroom is with them? . . . But the dayitwill come, when the bridegroom shall be taken away from them" (Mark il. 19, 20). It was carly in His ministry that He made enigmatic reference to it in the worde, "Dentroy this temple, and in three days I will ralse it up " Uohn i1. 19). It was carly in His ministry that He mid to Nicodemus, "As Moses lifted up the serpent in the wildernese, even so muat the Son of man be lifed up: that whoooever believeth may in him have eternal life" (John Iii. 14, 15). Already, ve see, the death of Jesus casts its shadow on His own ipirit, and already He teaches that there is a divine necesalty for it in God's plan of His earthly cousse, and in God's plan of mivation for men.
\& When the crisis of His miniatry in Gallice arrived the same thoughts were implied in the emphatic worde, "Except ye eat the flech of the Soa of man and drink his blood, ye have not Ilfe in yourielves" (John v. 53). On the mount of transfiguration, when the inner glory of Jesus became an outwardly visible glory, and heavenly companions talked with Him, the subject of their converse was " His decease which he was about to accomplish at Jerusalem " (Luke ir 31). From aboat this time the shadow of His death darkened upon Jesus in anticipation, and it became habitual with Him to tell His disciples that it must befall Him, and to prepare them for the sad details of it. "From that time began Jesus to shew unto his disciples, how that he must go unto Jerusalem, and suffer many things of the elders and chief priesta and scribes, and be killed, and the third day be raised up " (Matt. xiv. 21).
"He stendfustly set his face to go to Jerusalem" (Luke ix. 51). Obyiously He was ander pressure of a great sense of duty in view of what He must suffer and would accomplish by His suffering. Once He was going before His disciples in the way, and with such purpose and emotion written on His countenance that "they were amazed; and they that followed were afraid" (Mark $x^{-}$ 32). Jesus took the twelve yet again and said, "Behold, we go up to Jerusalem; and the Son of man shall be delivered unto the chief priests and the scribes; and they shall condemn him to death, and shall deliver him unto the Gentiles: and they shall mock him, and shall spit upon him, and shall scourge him, and shall kill him ; and after three dajs he shall rise again" (Mark $x_{0}$ 33, 34). Another saying of this time is, "I have a baptism to be baptized with; and how am I straitened till it be accomplished I" (Luke xii. 50). We cannot doubt that here He means His death and the load He was feeling in the antlcipation of it. These expressions thicken as the time advances, and drop from Him as at the feast at Bethany, "She hath anointed my body aforehand for the burying" (Mark xiv. 8). In tho whole of the farewell scene and discourses recorded by St. John (in chapters xiii.-xvi.) His approaching "hour ${ }^{n}$ forms the very atmosphere of the thought, solemnising all; but He Himself no longer feels what is impending as shadow, rather as giory. All struggle being over, and the issue being fully accepted, He thinks of it as virtually accomplished; and since "perfect selfsacrifice, even to death, issuing in the overthrow of death, is the truest-glory," He cries, "Now is the Son of man glorified, and God is glorified in him " (John xiii. 31). The shadow retarnis at its very deepest in the giarden of Gethsemane, and is again dispelled by the thrice-attered prayer, "O my Father, if it be possible, let this cup pass awny from me a nevertheless, not as I will, but as thou wilt" (Matt. xxvi. 39).

This review is of itself enough to bear us out in the belief that, in the thought and teaching of Jesus Himself,

His death was of the very highest significance. It was the gool of His life's effort. "For this cause," He says, "came I unto this hour" (John zil. 27). The actual significance of it may not be explicit in any of the words we have quioted, but the thply a meaning in it quite bejond that which belongs to the th in the case of other men. No other man could have spoken of his death as Jesus does, or given it that place in the aim and purpose of his life which He gives it. And in instituting the Lord's Supper is a feast in memory of Himself till He come again , and choosing that one event to be showed forth in it, Jesus gives His death an importance for us surpassing all else in His earthly course, even surpassing the marvel of His birth.
II. The place thus given to His death in the teaching of Jesus is $s 0$ great that we cannot avoid asking what is the value and significance of it, and inquiring what indications He gives of that significance.

1. The very least that can be concluded from His teaching is that, in dying, Fic aras martyr in the cause of turth: All who in this evil world are faithful to truth in a high degree, provoke hostility by their faithfulness, and suffer for it. Yet truth has this right and this claim on men, that they should be faithful to it even unto death. Thousands have been thus faithful. Jesus was ; and this is practically all the significance that many Unitarians see in His death. They explain His forethought about it, and His clear prophecies of it, as just what might be expected from a good and discerning man who saw the force of the evil currents of His time, knew the hatred that was in the breasts of the Jewish leaders, and was conscious of His own unyielding faithfulness, Certainly Jesus Himself does recognise His death as-like the deaths of the old prophets-that of a martyr to the truth. "It cannot be," He said in reference to Himself, "that a prophet perish out of Jerusalem" (Luke xiii. 33). But the pressure with which the forethought of His death often weighed upon Jesus, and the divine necessity implied in
the " musst suffer," "s must be lifted up," do not seem to be accounted for, if He was only to be, as the prophets had been, a martyr to truth.
2. He was further, in His death, a martyr in the cause of love. It is a law of human life that no great service can be done by us to others except at cost to ourselves. We must deny ourselves, we must sacrifice our own pleasure or gain or glory, if we would be profitable in the world. Except we have love enough to give up what is precious to ourselves, we cannot do good; and the greatly fruitful lives have been those in which there was a long death to self. The world advances by this law of sacrifice. "T There was never a country cleared for civilisation, and purified of its swampt and forests, but the first settlers paid the penalty of that which their successors enjoy. There never was a victory won, but the conquerors who took possession of the conquest passed over the bodies of the noblest slain; who died that they might win. "1 Now Jesus Himself sain His death in the light of this law that progress is to be won for men by the sacrifice of self. He so expounded it by an analogy from inanimate nature: "Except a grain of wheat fall into the earth and die, it abideth by itself alone; but if it die, it beareth mach fruit " (John xii. 24). In willing obedience to this law, which reigns both in the moral and in the natural world, He yielded Himself up. He was sustained and cheered by anticipating the "much fruit " of which through death He would be the seed. He taingt also, and leant upofi, the companion truth, that the path of self-sacrifice is that of truest personal gain to a moral being, as would assuredly be made manifest in the eternal world. Of all the sayings of Jesus, this alone is reported by each of the four evangelists-the paradox of elf-sacrifice-" He that loveth his life loseth it ; and he that hateth his life in this world shall keep it unto life eternal" (John xii. 25. See also Matt. x. 39; xvi. 25 ; Mark viii. 35 ; Lake ix. 24 ; xvii. 33).
II. W. Robertion, Scrmons, I. (Ix)
3. So far as we have yet traced the significance of the death of Jesus, it is not singular, but accords entirely with general laws of human experience and infuence. His life and death constitute together the most splendid example of the power for good that resides in self-sacrifice. But there are expreaions that dropped from Him during the time when His death was impending which indicate. His own private thought about it, and give it such a menning and value as make it quite transcend even the most truly self-sacrificing deaths of other men.
(a) One of these sayings of Jessis is the following: "The Son of man came not to be ministered unto, but to minister, and to give his life a ransom for many": (Matt. $\times x_{0}$ 28). He had before said, "The Son of man came . . . to save that which was lost ". (Luke xix. 10). Here He looks forward to doing this by redemption-by giving His own life in exchange for that of others. The actual words used by Jesus are literally, "A ransom. instead of many." "RRansom" was an idee very familiar to those to whom Jesus was speaking. That men should be liberated from slavery, or from under sentence of condemnation; on account of the payment of a sufficient ransom was a thing readily understood. And we cannot doubt that the slavery or condemnation from which the "many" needed to be delivered was that of sin, or that in Jesus view His death would be the sufficient price of that redemption. The hearers of, Jesus could not but understand the " ransom" according to the use of the same word in such a passage as Exodus xxx. 11-16, in which we read how for each soul, when numbered and recorded by name within the old covenant, a "ransom" was paid-" to make atonement for your souls." Such a ransom Jesus expresses His purpose to pay in giving up His life. So He interprets that supreme act, which He declares to be df His own free will-ci I lay down my life for the sheep. . . . No one taketh it away from me, but I lay it down of myself" (John =. 15, 18): Not without ground, then, in the
seaching of Jesus Himself, have St. Paul and the other apostles written in terms. of great assurance of "the redemption that is in Christ Jesus "(Rom. iii. 24), of our being "bought with a price" (1 Cor. vi. 20), and" " "redeemed . . . with precious blood . . . even the blood of Christ" (1 Pet. i. 18, 19). Not without ground in the teaching of Jesus does all Christendom speak of Him as "The Redeemer," and believe that by His death something has been done to liberate us from sin, which no martyrdoms of holy men are ever thought to effect.
(b) Another saying of Jesus, still more explicit in regard to the value, power, and significance of His death, was uttered when He instituted the Lord's Supper. As He gave the cup to His disciples, He said: "This cup is the new covenant in my blood, even that which is poured out for you" (Luke xxii. 20). "This is my blood of the covenant, which is shed for many unto cemission of sins" (Matt, xxyi. 28). That Jesus should speak of a new covenant would be no strange thing to His disciples, familiar as they were with the promise in Jereminh (xxxi. 31), that in days to come God would make. a new covenant with His people. Nor would "blood of the covenant " surprise them, for the first covenant had been ratified by blood, as we read in Exodus (xxiv. 5-8) that they offered burnt offerings, and the blood was sprinkled, half of it g the altar and half of it on the people. The disciples of Jesus would understand their receiving the cup to be in place of that sprinkling of blood on the people, by which their entrance into the first covenant had been signified. If, now, Jesus had. said only, "This cup is the new covenant in my blood," we ntyht have felt bound to interpret His death as a burnt offering (as in Exodus xxiv. "5); and this would have left us in doubt whether it had any propitiatory value, for burnt offerings in the Old Testament do not usually imply expiation, but express simply the offerer's consecration 'to God. But Jesus says more that "This cap is the new covenant in my blood," He adds, "which is shed for many unto remission of sins." In these words He

HIS TEACHING ABOUT HIS OWN DEATH
evidently declares His death to be a sin offering, and His blood to be an expiation of sin. He evidently regards the death in which He is about to offer Himself without spot to God as a sufficient ground on which God can, consistently with Himself and with eternal righteousness, bestow forgiveness on sinners. Here again, accordingly-by these words in which He interprets His death beforehand and links forgiveness to itJesus gives most certain ground for what His apostles teach with such emphasis and joy, of "redemption through his blood, the forgiveness of our trespasses" (Eph. $i_{\text {. 7 }}$ ); of our "being now justified by his blood" (Rom. V. 9) ; of our being while enemies "reconciled to God through the death of his Son" (Rom. 7. 10) ; and of Jesus being "the propitiation for our sins; and not for ours only, but also for the whole world" (I John ii. 2).

This conclusion is in harmony with the general belief of Christian people from the beginning, that there is something very awful in sin; that before sin can be forgiven there is something very awful to be got over (if we may so say) in God, and in the moral order of the universe which is centred in God's character ; and that the offering which Jesus made of Himself in death avails gloriously to meet this necessity. Such a conclusion is in harmony, also, with the great place which we have seen His death had in the thoughts of Jesus all through His ministry, and with His sayingin regard to it, "For this cause came I unto this hour."

Can we now aniswer the objection to which we referred at the beginning of this chapter, that God might be expected to forgive sins, as we are called to forgive wrong done to us , without "atonement"? Two things are overlooked in this objection. First, God does far more in forgiving than we do. We put away-our resentment, but we cannot clear. from guilt. A woman wronged by her husband may on her death-bed forgive him freely all he has done, but his guilt is not thereby removed. He is still liable to judgment for wrong and

Gilure in duty. ${ }^{1}$ When God forgives any one, IIe also removes the guilt. The sinner is no longer liable to the punishment that apraits sin. Some who have porceived how great a thing this is have denied that it can be. They conclude from the order of nature that a man cannot be separated from his sin or the consequences of it. It is indeed a miracle of grace that this should happen; and we need not wonder at comething being first required, which we call "atonement." But from the teaching of Jesus we conclude both that true forgiveness is possible, God removing our transgressions from us as far as the cast is from the west, and that this cannot be done on the easy terms on which one sinner may put away resentment aguinst another.

In the objection with which we are now dealing it is also overlooked that God, out of His infinite mercy, has without alonement dismissed. His resentment against our sinful race; for it is He who sent His Son into the world, and $s 0$ provided the atonement. All that the most forgiving among human souls can do without atonement He has done, and this besides, which is nnspeakably more and greater. The propitiation of the Cross, while meeting a divine requirement, magnifies the forgiving love of God by which it was provided.

One confirmation of the belief that our Lord's offering of Himself is a propitiation for sins cannot be omitted-that of experience. This belief has been in all the Christian ages profoundly welcome to human souls when deeply conscious of sin, and such souls have attained by the blood of Jesus a present peace, a near access to God, and an endaring confidence in the divine mercy, which are attained through no other faith. This will be manifest to any who will study the Christian hymis that are of widest acceptance, and observe the peace and joy connected in them with the Cross of our Lord Jesus Christ, "in whose death our sin's are dead.".

1 Dr. Dale, Christien Doctrine, pe ass

CHAPTER XI
gis tehching amout the holy spirit
Permanence and growth of the kingdom of Jesus due to His sending "another Paraclete" I. This Paraclete (imperfectly named Comforter) is a Person-He is a

- divine Person. a. He is a Teacher of the truth, and gives inward certainty in regard to it. 3. Not Himself, but Jesus, is the subject and source of His teach-ing-Though in us, He does not efface our personality4. He brings us into communion with Jesus. 5 . Through Him the divine life is imparted-Accordingly: (a) by Him we are enabled to enter the kingdom of God, and (b) to fulfil the righteousness Jesus taught; (c) the means of grace are efficacious, and (d) the Gospel advances in the world-This great gift of the Spirit is associated with the Gospel only.
$T$ HE great subject of the teaching of Jesus being the kingdom of God, and the great purpose of His coming being to set up the kingdom of God umong men, let us hear some words of a great man in regard to His success. Napoleon Bonaparte is not one whom we would readily quote in matters of religion. But he set up a mighty kingdom among men, and the genius by which he accomplished this was as great probably as ever showed itself in the world. So the opinion we shall quote has undoubted value. "I search in vain in history," he said, "to find the like of Jeaus Christ, or anything which can appromeh the Gospels. You speak of Ceisar, of Alexander, of their conquests, and of the enthusiasm which they enkindled in the hearts of their coldiers; but can you conceive of a dead man making
conquests, with an army faithful and entirely devoted to his memory? My armies have forgotten me even while Uiving, as the Carthaginian army forgot Hannibal. Such is our power I A single battle crushes us, and adversity scatters our friends. . . Alexander, Csesar, Charlemagne, and myself founded empirea. But on what did we rest the creations of our genius? Upon force. Jesus Christ alone founded His empire upon loves and, at this hour, millions of men would die for Him."

This is a teatimony to the reality, the growth, and the permanence, through ages and millenniums, of the kingdom of Christ, which recalls the words of the Pralmist-" Thy lingdom is an everiasting kingdom, and thy dominion endureth throughout all generations."

Why has this characteristic of permanence belonged to the kingdom set up by Jems? Great earthly kingdoms have been set up by powerful men, but in more or fewer generations they have crumbled; the power by which they were establiithed was gone with the great men who founded the'm, and they had not enough of internal cohesion to endure. The course of the kingdom, of Jesus has been quite different. It began with but a few ; in the time of its Founder it was like a grain of mustard seed. But, as He intended and foretold, it has grown into a great tree, and it if still spreading forth its branches. What is the secret of this permanence and growth? The chief answer to this question is to be found in the fact that after Jesus had gone from this world, He sent another in His place. A great man may found a kingdom, but he cannot provide successors like himself. Jesus promised and sent "another Paraclete," an expression which implies that He was Himself apparaclete, and that the other would supply His place. Nay, the other Paraclete would do more and better than supply His place. His disciples would find it a gain to lose Himself if thereby they had the other. "It is expedient for you that I go away: for if I go not away, the Paraclete will not come nnto you; but if I go, I will send him unto you" (John xvi. 7, margin). Let us' study
what Jesus teaches about this Paraclete, His succensor and subatitute, whom He calls also "The Spirit of truth " and "The Holy Spirit."

1. From the teaching of Jesus we can have no doubi that the other Paraclete is a porsom. Again and again Jesus speaks in this fashion-" $H$ chall teach you all thinges "3 "Eb shall glorify me.". And permonality is implied in the tille "Paraclete," which in our Authorised Version is imperfectly translated "Comforter." The word means "one who is called upon to stand by us, expecially in difficulty or condict." It is, accordingly, the word for an adrocate, and is so used of Jesus Himeelf in i John ii. 1 , where it is sald-" We have a Paraclete (advocate) with the Father, Jesus Christ the righteoura!" But as the word means "one who in apy circumstances by his presence makes strong;" "it may be tranalated also Helper, Cheerer, Encourager. It designates one who by his compinionship supports in duty, as well as comforts in sorrow. And on account of the emphasis with which Jesue represents Him as taking part with the disciples against a world in its very nature hotile, it may well be translated also Succourer or Champion. In the foreview which Jesus gives so distinctly of the hostility of the world in John xv. 18-xvi. II, and of the conflict and witness against the world which His disciples would have to maintain, the Paraclete appears as a Champion whose intervention in the confict would be decisive. "When the Paraclete is come . . . be shall bear witness of me." "And he, when be is come, will convict the world in respect of sin, and of righteousness, and of judgement : of sin, because they believe not on me; of righteouspess, because I go to the Father, and ye behold me no more ; of judgement, because the prince of this world hath been judged" (John xvi. 8-11). The fintervention Fot the Paraclete is "robust and energetic, ${ }^{1}$ even $m$. than soothing ormforting.

It is implied also in the teaching of Jesus that the other Paraclete is a divine person. Jesus conld not well
${ }^{2}$ Dala, Cincistian Dactotion pe zgo
say that it was expedient for Himself to go away, if His substitute were leme than divise. Nor could He hive taught that "whoooever shall speak a word against the Son of man, it shall be forgiven him : but whosoever shall speak against the Holy Spirit, it shall not be forgiven him, neither in this world, nor in that which is to come" (Matt. xii. 32). Nor again could He have joined "the Father, the Son, and the Holy Ghoot," as He has done in the formula of baptism (Matt. xxviii. 19), if.all three were not divine.
2. Another great feature of this Paraclete whom Jeuss promieed to send is that He is a Taachor, a heavenly Teacher. The truth is His great instrument of succour to the disciples of Jeans, and so He is again and again called "The Spirit of truth." And bow do we reconcile this with the claim of Jesus-" "I am the truth," "I am the light of the world." Jesua, we understand, is the great Revenler of God, and of the truth, of God which is needful for man's salvation. How, then, can there be another Revealer? Why should Jesus say of this oiker, "He shall teach you all things ".? The answer is, that this heavenly Teacher does His work in us-" He abideth with you, and shall be in you." His part is to teach inwardly. All the truth He uses is already presented 品.Jesus,-in His life, His work, His death, and His person. But we greatly need an inward power to behold the truth. We lack that holineis without which there is no diving vision. And this Spirit of Truth, who is also the Holy Spirit, purifies our hearts, rights our wills, corrects our purposes, and removes the veils of sin which dim our spiritual vision. The truth that was outward to us, but which we had not the power to apprehend, He gives us inward possersion of. He clears our spiritual sight, so that we see what we could not have seen before; and when from the Scriptures, or any other soorce, the truth of Christ is brought to us, we know it to be true, as a man whose vision has been cleared knows the light.

Uncertainty about the truth in the things of God and
calvacion in a great pain to many souls who feel unable of themseives to attain to certainty. Must they, then, go to an earthly authodty? No earthly authority can do more than present truth outwardly. It may be able to commend the truth to our acceptance by the respect we have for its character, and the experience we have already had of the wisdom; but still the truth it presents remains cutside of us. "LVén Jesse Himself, though all He said was with aboolute authority, recognised the need of His disciples for an inward teacher. Thin inward Teacher brings no new truth of His own, but He gives the capacity more and more to apprehend the truth that is in. Jesus. What, was forgotten He bring to remembrance; what was given in germ He carries forward fully to its insues. Through Him the great Revealer continues to enlighten the Christian mind-_" He shall teach you all thinge," aid Jesus, "and bring to your remembrance all that I said unto you" (John giv. 26). "I have yet many things to say unto you, but ye cannot bear them now. Howbeit when he, the Spirit of truth, is come, he shall guide you into all the truth " (John xvi. 12, 13). When Jesus avowed Himself a King to Pilate, He indicated that His kingdom was a kingdom of the truth. But how shall such a kingdom keep its ground, or make way in a world such as the present? We say, "Truth is great, and will prevail "; but how often experience seems to belie this proverb : How slow the progress of truth in con. flict with the evil dispositions of men I Bat if the truth which appeared in the person and work of Jesus be brought home to men by the power of a divine witnesis, and if thoee who are "of the truth" are put into fuller and fuller possession of it by the Spirit of truth abiding with them for ever (John xiv. 16); then the permanence and prevalence of the kingdom of the truth are indeed secured. And those who are taught by the Spirit have an assurance of knowing the truth and standing in the light, which can be given by no external authority, as of Pope, or Council, or Priesthood.
other Paraciete-may yet be mentioned. The Spirit is one, and His work one, but that work is manifold in its aspects and gifts. Perhaps the most fundamental aspect of it-the basis of all His working-is this, that through Him is impiartad to batitucris the life of Jasse. "He makes them sharess in that tifi. We cannot read the words of Jésus in St. John's Gospel, nor can we listen to the teatimony of Christian people in all ages; without being made aware that a new life, a life of higher power, has been introduced into humanity by the coming and work of Jems. This He declares-an we found in a formier chapter (is) -io be the purpose of His coming: "I came that they may have life, and may have it abundantly " (rohn z. 10). The Father is the first source of this new life, as of all life and all blessing. But the Son is the Yountain of the life for us men, the well from which we draw it-"The Father gave the Son to have life in himself" (John v. 26) ; and "The Son quickeneth (giveth life to) whom he will " (v. 21). "If thou knewest the gift of God,"said Jesus at the well of Samaria, "and who it is that saith to thee, Give me to drink; thon wouldest have asked of him, and he would have given thee living water ..... Whosoever drinketh of the water that I shall give him shall never thirst; but the water that I shall give him shall become in him a well of water springing up unto eternal life" (John iv. 10, I4). From what He further teaches we believe that this life is ministered to us through the Spirit. It is by the working of the Spirit that the Son quickeneth whom He will. The new birth is by the Spirit (John iii. 6). The life that is in the Son pasces into all who are united to Him by the Spirit whom He senls, and they are kept living and fruitful while they abide in Him, as the branches of the vine are kept living and fruitful while they abide in the vine-stem, and receive the flow of its life (John xv. I-8). We may venture to illustrate this further from a notable resource in modern surgery. If through loss of blood a man's body is dangerously weakened, blood may be
transfused into his veins from the body of a friend willing that his own abundance may be drawn upon for the great need of the other. This friend gives, as it were, of his own life to supply that which is weak and fainting in the other. So it is a provision of the kingdom of Christ that those who believe in Him have imparted to them, through the Spirit, of His owt blessed, pare, and inexhaustible moral life, and in this manner the enfeebled powers of our human nature are replenished out of the fulness of God. It follows that the Gospel of Christ is not only world-wide in aim, not only talkes for its work the redemption of mankind, and ancepts for Jesus the title of "Saviour of the World" (John iv. 42; vi. 51), but brings with it a force adequate to the accomplishment of this great task.

We are now enabled to complete the teaching of Jesus at points at which, apart from His doctrine of the Spirit, that teaching can be only imperfectly stated.
(a) For example, we saw in a former chapter (viii.) that we cannot do our part in making the great renunciation without divine help. Only with God is it possible for us to respond to the divine invitation, yield to the divine will, and exchange the temporal for the eternal. Now we may state that it is through the power. of the Spirit, by His working in us, that we are enabled of God to do this. The Spirit is promised to them that ask. "If ye . . being evil, know how to give good gifts unto your children, how much more shall your heavenly Father give the Holy Spirit to them that ask $\operatorname{him}$ ?" (Lake xi. 13).
(b) It is by the indwelling of the Spirit that we can -keep the commandments of Jesus, and fulfil the righteousness which He taught. It was pointed out in a former chapter (vii.) that the religion. of Jesus surpasses all others in moral motive power. This, we said, was due to His revolation of the Father. It will now be seen that it is loy the gift of the indwelling Spirit that the efficiency of this revelation as a motive is secured, and the "moral dynamic" perfected. The Fatherhood of God
takes irresistible hold of a soul in which is the divine life ministered to us by the Spirit ; or, as we may also express it, a soul which has in it a divine life by a divine presence, takes inseparable hold of the Fatherhood of God, and is filled with the affection and impulse that are consequent on conscious sonship with God. So the man in whom the Spirit has free course will be devoted with all his soul and heart and mind to fulfilling the great commandment, "that ye may be sons of your Father which is in heaven," and "它e perfect, as your heavenly Father is perfect" (Matt. v. 45, 48).
(c) The Spirit is also, by the same git of life, the alone spring and secret of the efficacy of prayer, of the word preached, and of the sacraments. Prayer in the Spirit, preaching in the Spirit, hearing in the Spirit, receiving the sacraments in the Spirit, these aloneaccording to the analogy of our Lord's teaching, even when it is not actually expressed-are prevalent and efficicious.
(d) Lastly, it is by the git of the Spirit divinely transforming and inspiring the followers of Jesus, that the Gospel and the kingdom of God advance in the world. "Ye shall receive power, when the Holy Ghost is come upon you: and ye shall be my witnesses both in Jerusalem, and in all Judsea and Samaria, and unto the nttermost part of the earth " (Acts i. 8). So Jesus spoke during the interval between His resurrection and the descent of the Spirit at Pentecont. And the greatness of this promise may come home to us more forcibly if we remember that it was in this same power -by the Spirit-that Jesus Himself, when He was in the flesh, spake the words of God (John iil. 34), and did His mighty deeds. The Spirit descended upon Him at His baptism (Matt. iii. 16). We believe it was then that He was "anointed with the Holy Ghost and with power" (Acts x. 38). In the power of the Spirit He entered on His ministry (I ake iv. 14). He Himself said, "I by the Spirit of God cast out devils" (Matt. xil. 28). For, the great enterprise of casting out

HIS TEACHING ABOUT THE HOL,Y SPIRIT 109
the evil of the world and overcoming Satan in it, He arms His disciples with the same power. He sends the Spirit, who is first of all the Rioly Spirit, as the power of their own inward sanctification. He promises in regard to their preaching, "It is not ye that speak, but the Spirit of your'Father that speaketh in you " (Matt. x. 20). He undertakes through the Spirit to guide them into all the truth, a promise that has been and shall be fulfilled in the progressive ages of the Church. And in a word that startles us as we read it, He says: "Verily, verily, I say unto you, He that belioveth on me, the works that I do shall he do also ; and greater works than these shall he do ; because I ga unto the Father" (John xiv, 12). It is plain from this saying that Jesus anticipated that, after the gitt of the Spirit, His disciples would have a life and a power so great that they, would accomplish spiritual victories and work spiritual changes in human hearts to an extent surpassing what He Himself had done-a promise which has in fact been fulfilled, and yet awaits greater fulfilment as those who believe on Jesus receive it with greater faith.

It has been implied throughout this chapter, and may be here expressly stated in concluding it, that since Jesus came forth from the Father into the world, and again, lehving the world, went to the Father, the Spirit is given in a manner greatly excelling in value the experience of His presence enjoyed by Old Testament saints, or by any to whom Jesus has not been made known. St. John, indeed, speaks of the Spirit as, in a sense, not yet given till Jesus was glorified (John vii. 39), and in this he says no more than is implied in many words of Jesus Himself, as, for example, "If I go not away, the Paraclete will not come unto you; but if I go, I will send him unto you" (John xvi. 7). Why this is so, why the great coming of the Spirit, characteristic of the Gospel dispensation, was 80 long delayed, why it was dependent on Jesus being glorified, these are questions to which the wisest theologians are conscious of being able to give only imperfect answers. We refer here to the subject only that

2 this gift of the Spirit may be the more reverenced, prized, and used 3 and that the Gospel, with which alone it sassociated, may be the more honoured. Was it not becnuec of this that after Jesus had said, "Among them that are born of women there hath not arisen a greater than John the Baptist," He went on and added, "Yet he that in but little in the kingdom of beaven is greater than be" 8

## CHAPTER XII

## his teaching about the church and the family

I. The Church seldom expressly named by Jesuis, but then with emphasis-The purpose of the Church and its ground in human nature-It is specially divine. 1 . In its institution. 2. In its bond of union. 3. In its supernatural powers by Christ's presence and SpiritThese are (a) prevailing power with God, (b) power and authority toward men-These powers bestowed fully on the Church in its ideal perfection, but possessed only in proportion as the spiritual conditions are fulfilled-Duty of the Church not to neglect these powers-Organisation of the Church deferred, and therefore teaching on the Christian ministry deferred, till after the Church'; actual beginning at PentecoetWork for which the Church exists-1. Within the Church, for which these means are appointed: ( I ) united worship, (2) discipline; (3) mutual care, (4) the ministry, (5) sacraments-Ground of sacraments in réason-Baptism-The Lord's Supper; 2. Beyond the Church-Means of advancing the Gospel: (x) the unity of the Church, (2) its missionary preachingDuties suggested. II. The Family.-jesus' teaching on nlargiage, and in regard to children-The Christian fanily a part of the kingdom of God.

## L. The Church

*THE Church * is a subject in regard to which there has long been great conflict of opinion, and much difference of sentiment. Some assert very high things of the Church, and claim for it very great authority;
others believe and claim very little. Let us inquire what
-in was taught on the subject by Jesus Himself.
First, we find that He spoke very ravely of it. Only twice in all His discourses is the Church expressly named, and the report of both"bocasions reaches us by one evangelist (Matt. xvi 16-19, and xviii. 15-20). We capnot, however, conclude from this that He thought the Church of small importance; for the mention He does make of it is with great emphasis. He says much regarding it in little spece. Not, indeed, till far on in His ministry does He name it, but when He does, His abrupt words, "I will baild my church" (Matt. xivi. 18), indicate something already long in His mind, snd, though new to His disciples, deep in His own affection. How great the institution was in His thought is plain alco from the emphasis of His prophecy, uttered at the same moment, that His Church would endure through all time, in spite of the powern of decay and death which: are fatal to other institutions and empires-"The gates of Hades shall not prevail against it" (Matt. xvi. 18).

The word used by Jesus, which we translate Church, might also be translated congrigation (seef margin of R.V. Matt. (viii. 17). It is the same wóta which was often used to designate God's ancient Israel, "the congregation of the Lord" (i Chron. xxviii. 8). Jesius therefore implied that He was about to call togetheyran elect race, and constitute ì holy people, which would serve a purpose under the new covenant like to that served by Isracl under the old.

What now is the purpose of the Church? What great need did Jesss see for its existence-what good to be accomplished by it?. The need and call for a Church rests on facts of human nature which have their place in that nature by its original make and creation. Men do not attain the highest good of which they are capable, or have their highest power to do good, while standing alone and apart from each other. It is in union and fellowship that they come to their best and strongest. Also, in this - world of thinges seen and material, spiritual realities obtain
increased power over men's minds by having some visible embodiment. So, in accordance with human nature, the Church is formed as a union for realising, manifesting, and advancing the kingdom of God; and it accomplishes this in two ways--by the higher level of blessing to which its members, so united, attain, gid by the greater power and force with which, so united, they influence the world.

- If, however; the Church were no more than this, It would be simply a natural institution, and no more divine than any other association of meni for worthy ends. But we find that, few as are the words of Jesus about the Church, He invests it with a specially divíne character and promises to endow it with supernatural powers.

1. First we find it is divine in its inastiontion. It is not formed merely by men coming together as they naturally draw to one another when they have a common belief and a common purpose. It has Jesus for its divine founder and builder. "I will build my church," He says. Accordingly it is not a matter of choice with a Christian to be a member of Christ's Church : it is his duty to his Lord.
2. Next we find that its bond of anion is also super. natural. What is this bond? We see it from the instance of Peter, whom Jesus took as the first stone of the building. He was taken on the ground of a supernatural faith. Peter confessed Jesus to be the Christ, the Son of the living God ; and of the faith so expressed Jesus said, "Flesh and blood hath not revealed it unto thee, but my Father which is in heaven." It was in virtue of this divinely-inspired faith that Peter and the other apostles (see Matt. xviii. 18, and Ephes ii. 20) were made first stones of the sacred building, and that other disciples after them are built into it. Jesus is the founder of, the Church, or-with a legitimate variation of metaphor-its "ope foundation," and theclaim to be built on that foundatipn lies in the confession of a like faith with that of Peter.

Of course it is not intellectual assent to a creed which suffices, and the actual words of confession may not be the same that Peter used. He himself, indeed, im-
perfectly realised the meaning of what he salid. There is no reacon to think that he had yet attained to the belief that Jesus was the Eterial Son of God. But be had attained to a faith in Jesus which drew his soul's trust and devotion; and whoever fruly avowa a like faith in Jesus, and obedience to Him, has the qualification for admianion into the Church. Such a faith (as we found in chapter viii.) alwa, has in it something that is divine
3. Next we finde that the Church is andowed soifh supormatural powers. It has such powers because of a supernatural force sustaining it in its dealinies with God and with men. What is this divinte force? It. is the presence of Christ, and the gift of His Spirit. Jenus in His teaching about the Churctr eayy, "Where two or three are gathered together in my name, there am I in the midat of them " (Matti xvili. 20). So dear to Him is fellowship among His people, so much does He value it in itself and for the ends of His kingdom, that He jolns Himself to the company of thoee who meet in His name, even though they be only two or three. Hence the old maxime, Ubi tres, ibe Christus, ath UVi Chiristus, ibi declesia ("Where three are, there is Christ," and " Where Christ is, there is the Church " $\%$. This presence of Christ is the great source of dignity and authority to the Church. And the gift of the Spirit which accompanies His presence is the Church's great source of illuminationbringing atrength, comfort, wiedom, and discernment of the spirits of men. In the assembled Church, after His resurrection from the deid). Jesus sald, "Pence be unto you : as the Father hath sent me, even so send I you. And when he had atid this, he breithed on them, and saith unto them, Receive ye the Holy Ghost " John xx. 22, 23).
Let us now take note of the powers of the Church, mentioned by Jesus, which are consequent on this super. natural force.
(a) There is first a prevailing power with God "If two of you shall agree on earth, as touching any thing that they shall ask, it shall be done for them of my Father which is in heaven" (Matt, xviii. 19). Fellowship
in pasyer has an exceptional power with God, even though it be the felliowship of $s 0$ few, because Jesus joins the company of those who meet in His name, and a company of whom He is one, and whowe prayer is prompted by Him, God will undoubtedly hear. The promise, "Where two or three are gathered together in my name, there am I in the midst $\delta$ f them" (Matt. xviii. 20), has been called "the Charter of Public Worship." ${ }^{1}$
(b) In consequence of the same presence of Christ the Church is invested with great powor and authority cowards mive." "Verily I say unto you, What things soever ye shall bind on earth shall be bound in heaven: and what things soever ye shall loose on earth shall be loosed in heaven" (Matt. xviii. 18). That is to say, whatever the Church does in its government and discipline shall be ratified in heaven; or, in other words, the Church shalt be so guided as not to err; bat have God consenting with ity and its decisions shall have divine authority. Again, in John $x x_{0}$ 23, "Whowe soever sins ye forgive, they are forgiven unto them; whose soever sins ye retain, they are retained." That if to say, the Church, or company of Christ's disciples, shall be so guided in opening or shutting the door of the kingdom of heaven, in assuring souls of forgiveneas, or in declaring that their sins abide upon them, that in these solemn dealings they shall not err, but have God consenting with them. In the first form in which this great authority was given, it seemed to be given to Peter alone-" I will give unto thes the keys of the kingdom of heaven." But we find in Matt. xviii. 18 and in John $x x_{0} 23$ (compared with Luke axiv. 33) the like authority extended to all the Church.

So, then, in the short teaching of Jesus regarding the Church it stands out as a divine institution, which He sustains by His presence in the Spirit, and to which He gives supernatural powers in the things of the kingdom of God.

This may seem startling to many, and they may high much hesitation in believing that 30 great powert $\alpha$ (fx possessed by any Church now. The language of Jegis in regard to the Church and the actual Church which wet see may not seem to correspond. But we must not try to make them correspond, as is too often done, by lgnoring and overlooking the promises of Jesius to His Church, or lemening their plain meaning. It is, however, to be observed that while Jesus utigrethem with the. fulness and certainty with which He ' P maself bestows, they are possemed by the Church only in the measure in which it has the fiith of true discipleship, really meets in the name of Christ, and realiy receives the Spirft whom He gives. If it does not fulfil these spiritual conditions, the Church is no longer the body to which the promises are given; and oaly in proportion an it fulfils them canit be invested with the supermatural powers of which Jesius speaks. He speaks of the Church in its ideal perfection, and declares that its acts are His sets and God's acts, because of its union with Him and with God through Him. But in so far as worldly thought and motive prevent the members of the Church from meeting truly in His name, and in so far as they do not yield themselves to Him and His Spirit, the powers with which the ideal Church is invested are diminished or withdrawn. The Church, therefore; ought never to claim infallibility, being humbly conscious of imperfection. But neither should it go to the other extreme. and throw away, through want of faith in Christ's words: and through want of desire, the great grace and powers He promises. It is the solemn duty of those who meet to take counsel for the government and discipline of the Church to meet so truly in the name of Christ, that what they bind on earth may be bound in heaven. It is the duty of congregations 80 to depend on the promise of Jesus in regard to two or three gathered in His iname, that the prayers offered, the words spoken, and the sacraments dispensed, may ascuredly have divine efficacy. Nor should the Church forget its great power in regard to the forgiveness of sins: "Whose soever sins
ye forgive, they are forgiven unto them; whowe soever ains ye retain, they are retained" (John xx. 23). We cannot, of course, read this promise as if it meant that God would ratify what the Charch has done in error. Nor can the Church do more in any case than deciare by Its forgivencese that God has forgiven, or make its forgive. ness a pledge of Godis. But this declaration may be of much value and power. We know that a man's heart is often greatly lightened by the forpiveness of his brethren. If he has committed sin and afterwards repented of it, the restoration to fellowahip which his brethren accord him will greatly help him to attain peace. If indeed he knowe them to be Christ's servants, men who have received the Holy Ghost, their forgiveneas will be a deep assurance to him that he has received the forgiveness of God. The Cburch should by no means forget or neglect to use such a ministry of pence committed to it. Nor again should it leave unused the power given it of "retaining" sins. A very powerfal effect in convincing of $\sin$ and bringing to repentance may be produced by the Church witnessing in regard to sin in which a brother is living, or excluding him from its fellowship as one who has no longer the place of a brother in Christ, but is become "as the Gentile and the publican" (Matt. zviii. 17).

In the short teaching of Jesus about the Church there is nothing expressly said about its ministry. The Church, like every other society that is to be efficient, must have rulers, and must be so organised that its work may be divided according to the various gifts and talents of its. members. This organisation hardly began, however, while Jesus was on earth, and He does not give directions for it in His teaching. The twelve apostles are the first stones-in a sense, the foundation stones-not'of the Christian ministry, bat of thie whole Christian Church, just as the twelve patriarchs are the foundation stones of the whole Old Testament Church. And the promises which the apostles received were, in general, promires for the whole Church. That this is so in regard to the
promizes we are now dealing with may be accertalned by observing that all the three verser-Matt. xvili. 18, 19, 20 -are addremed to the same persons; and platility the promise in the last verse, which is the ground of all the power spoken of, is not withbeld from any two or three Christian soula. Aliso the great power to forgive sins as a pledge of God's forgivences (Jobn $\times x$. 23), which if the eame as "the power of the keys $n$ first given to Peter (Matt. xvi. 19), was given to a company in which, as we learn from Lake xxir. 33, ordinary disciples were amembled as well as aposties. "It ins necesmary to direct attention to this, because great abuses have arisen in the history of the Chrietina Church through the promiess of upermatural power being claimed excluaively for men ordained to the office of the miniatry, as if these powers belonged to that office alone, and were infallibly conveyed to all who areordnined toitin unbroken guccemaion. Where Jesus said "the Church," the ministry; ass a hierurchy of the Church, has been understood-an error from which those who read the English Bible would have been kept more eafe had our translation reend in these paseages "comgregation "for "church," as was the case in all the early English veraionas. What the place of the Christian ministry is in the Church, and what powers Christ gives to those whom He calls to the office, must be learned from the history of the Church atter the ascension of Jesus, and from the apontolic writinga. Not till Jesua had iscended did the Church actually exist and its organisation make progress. While Jesus was yet in the flesh. His promise to be present everywhere with two or three met in His name could not be fulfilled. It was a prophetic promise. Nor was the Holy Gboat fully given till the day of Pentecoor after Jesus had ascended. From that day we date the existence on earth of the divine institution of the Church of Chujst.

The great work for which the Church existes, of realicing, revealing, and furthering the kingdom of God, dividen iteclis as alrendy indicated, into two portions-the nourishing of the fuith and life of the members of the

Church, and the apreading of the Goopel among thome cutadde of it.

1. For the former end we see that the means appointed by Jesus in His Church are-(i) the united worship to which He has atteched the great promile of His presence ; (2) the discipline, for the exercise of which
He has aleo given His Church authority and power'; (3) the mutual love and cure for each olber which He urtee on His disciples i (4) the minisery of His wood, and the pastoral care both exerciwed iby Himall while on earth, and to be exercised anterwards by thoee whom He calls to auch minititry in the Spirti ; and (5) the two sym. bolic ordinances commonly (ibough aever in the New Testament) called sucrawewts.

The institution by Jesus of these last named was, like the Church itself, an mdaptation to facte of our human nature with which we ale familiar. Spiritual and unseen realties, though supreme in their importance, are apt to be dwarfed in our thoughts, by the obtrusive pressure of things seen, and they guth greatly increased power over our minds by having some visible embodiment. A tangible symbol may be a help to our faith; and by means of it we may grasp more firmely things unseen. It is also a fact of our human nature that such blessings as those of the kingdom of God have much more power in our life when we not oaly have them, but know that we have them. The amcraments are ordinances in which these blessings are conveged or assured to us, not, as in preachiog, by word oaly, but by visible symbol, in which we take hold of them, as it were, by a material handle, and so have greater ascurance of possensing them. The sacraments do not convey to us any other blemings than those which are conveyed to us by the word of Christ. Nothing bigher can be given us than Jesus names in this saying about His word, "The words that I have apoken unto you are apirit, and are Hife." (John vi. 63). Nor do the secraments conviey the bleasings of the kingdom of God to us on any other terms than thoee which the preaching of the word requires.
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If we have not faith and truth in our hearts we receive nothing by them. , But they are, as it were, "a visible word ${ }^{11}$ and a tangible word, in the use of which our faith may attain great vividnesa, assurance, and blessing.

Christian baptism was instituted by Jesus just before He "was received up," as a sacrament of entrance into His kingdom. "Go ye . . . and make disciples of all the nations, baptixing them into the name of the Father, and of the Son, and of the Holy Ghost" (Matt. xxviii. 19). The "name" of God means, in Scripture, the revelation of what He is. Baptism is therefore into the great faith of the kingdom of God, the faith in God, revealed by Jesus, as Father, Redeemer, and Sanctifier. In this faith the baptized person is henceforth to live. And the water of cleansing used in baptism aymbolises the blessings received in entering the kingdom of God, vis. the forgiveness of sins by the blood of Christ, and cleansing from sin's inward pollution by His life-giving Spirit. That so great blessings are assured will not be felt incredible if those who take part in baptism remember the words which Jesus spoke in the same sentence in which He instituted it-"Lo, I am. with you alway, even unto the end of the world" (Matt, xxviii. 20).

The Lord's Supper, as shown by the words and acts of Jesus in instituting it (Matt. xxvi. 26-28; Mark xiv. 22-24; Lake xxii. 19;20; 1 Cor. thi. 23-25) is-(a) a feast of remembrancow-"This do in remembrance of me" (I Cor. xi. 24). By this commemoration, in which the bread and wine are symbols of His body broken and His blood shed, the Christian Church has its love quickened through all ages, and its faith still centred on Jesus and on His death for our salvation. (b) The Lord's Sapper is a foast of reconciliation with God by the death of Jesus. It is a feast following on a sacrifice, as when in Old Testament times the offerers of a sin-offering rejoiced before God in their being reconciled to Him. The sacrifice of the Cross, though now so far back in time, is of undiministhed power with God as an atonement for
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ins. So, for this feast of reconciliation, emblems of the offering are enough, bread broken and wine poured out. By means of them what is present in power to God becomes present to our faith. (c) The Lord's Supper is also an occasion of fosus communicating to ms, and of oar receiving and having nourished in us, that divine and eternal life which (as we saw in chapter ix.) it was His great errand into the world to give. Jesus is present in the Lord's Supper, according to the promise, "Where two or three are gathered together in my name, there am I in the midst of them " (Matt. xviii. 20). Not only are bread and wine placed on the table, according to His word; not only are they broken and poured out-this would be enough if joyful meditation on His death for our sins were the whole purpose of the supper-but. He says also with the bread, "Take, eat, this is my body," and with the cup, "Drink ye all of it." Obviously He communicates to us in this ordinance, and we receive. And from other words of His, spoken at an earlier time, "He that eateth my fiesh and drinketh my blood hath eternal life" (John vi. 54), it is plain that what we receive is the life of which He repeafedly declares Himself to be the one source-a life which He received from the Father, but could communicate to us only after first giving Himtelf in death as a sacrifice for the sin of the world Uohn vi. 51).
2. Passing now to the other great end for which the Church exists-the spreading of the Gospel of the kingdom among those outside of it-we see two great means contemplated by Jesus.
(I) The unity of the Church-the unity of its members in God and in one another-and the powerful effect on the world of the testimony of this union in a divine life. "Neither for these only do I pray, but for them also that believe on me through their word; that they may all be one; even as thou, Father, art in me, and I in thee, that they also may be in us: that the world may believe that thou didst send me" (John xvii. 20, 21). For the rexengthening of this great testimony, Jesus unged
upon His disciples what He called His "new command-ment,"-of a special love to one another. "A new commandment I give unto youi, that ye love one another; even as I have loved you, that ye also love one another. By this ghall all men know that ye are my disciplea, if je have love one to another" (John xiifi. 34, 35).
(2) The second means appointed by Jesus for the spread of His Gospel is the missioniary preaching of the Church. He gave His disciples this great commission: "Co ye into all the morld, and preach the gospel to the whole creation" (Mark xvi. 15); "Go ye... and make disciples of all the nations" (Matt. xxviii. 19). With this command He gave great assurances for their support on actual missionary service. He gave the promise of His"continual presence: "Lo, I am with yon alway, even unto the end of the world" (Matt. xxviii. 20). He gave the assurance of His power in heaven, and of His providential sway over all lands into which they might go: "All authority hath been given unto me in heaven and on earth" (Matt. mxviii. 18). And in the tenth chapter of St. Mattherw we find a wonderful store of conmsels and assurances to missionaries, some only for the time then present, as, for example, tit they should not enter into any city of the Samain tans; but those in the latter part of the chapter meant for all time. He forewarns of the worst oppositions, bat emboldens against these by His own experience, " and by the thought of the great future (vv. 24-28, 34-39). He assures of the care of a Father, without whom not a sparrow falls to the ground (v. 39-3I). And in regard to the dignity of their errand, and the momentous issue of their preaching, He speaks in this manner: "He that receiveth you receiveth me, and be that receiveth me receiveth him that sent me" ( $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}$ 40); "He that rejecteth you rejecteth me; and he that rejecteth me rejecteth hip that sent me" (Luke $x_{0}$ 16).

After thus reviewing what Jesus teaches about His Church, two things may especially appeal to us as duties-(1) To do what in us lies to raise to a far
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higher level that unity among disciples of Jesus which He so valugs both for its own sake and for the world's make; and (2) to realise, much more than is common, the sacredness of the Church and of every Christian assembly. Who are they who contribute most to the spiritual power and blessing of our meetings on the Lord's Day? Not those who are merely eminentin talent, wealth, or station, but thowe, however obscure or weak, who come most truly in the name of Jesus, and so secure that presence of His which is the one source of the Church's dignity and authority, and that git of His Spirit which is the one power of blessing.

## II. The Family

From our study of Jesus' teaching on the Church we turn to what He says in regard to the family. We do this with the more interest because, according to the testimony of all history, the worth and blessing of men and nations depend in large measure on the character and ordering of the family life.

If the case of the people among whom Jeaus was born and taught, the family life was already at a high level, at we might conclude from the two noble ex-: amples of the households of Joseph and Mary, and of Zacharias and Elizabeth. Such a family life, with its roots in ancient faith and true devotion, was one of the preparations for the sending of the Son of God into the world as Son of Man; and not even Christian family life has ever received such honour as when the childhood and youth of Jesus were intristed to the care of Joseph and Mary. "In the belief of the Jews, according to their ancient traditions and Scriptures, the family, with marriage on which it was founded, was a divine institution. But in one point especially Jesus corrected their practice and understanding of the divine law. He declared marriage to be, according to God's original design in creation, indimoluble. He quoted words familiar to His hearess from the earliest chapters of Genesis about
the making of mat and woman, from which He drew the conclusion in regard to married persons,' "They are no mope twain, but one flesh" (Mark $x_{0}$ 8) ; and this unity beterg of God, man cannot diseolve it. The practice allowed by the lain of Moeses of divorce, on the one condition of a writing of divorcement being given-a writing the drawing up of which might indeed give ocension for reflection and relenting-Jesus declared to be only a concension to the hardness of their hearts, and not according to the divine ideal. Divorce, He trught, was permisaible only when by sin an actual diveolution of the unity of the fiesh had.already been made. Every marriage receives a greatly added solemnity from these words of Jesus which remove it out of the category of merely haman arrangements, "What God hath joined together "; and law, whether of Church or State, has received a guidance not to be refused from His words that follow, "Let not man put asunder" (Mark x. 9).

Another feature of Jesus' teaching in regard to the fumily-He taught 2 reverence for young children which is of a depth, and founded on reasons, which we still find myiteripure. "See that ye despise not one of these litte ones; for I say unto you, that in heaven their angels do alwass behold the fuce of my Father which is in heaven" (Matt. xviii. 10). "Whowo shall recelive one such little child in my name receiveth me" (Matt, xviii. 5).

When we ask what is the relation between the fumily and the Church, both being divine institations, or between the family and the kingdom of God, we maj answer shortly that the framily is a divine institution of the natural order, beloniging to man's original creation; the Church is a divine institution of the supernatural order. In the Church the kingdom of God is, imper. fectly indeed, but troly reinised. But the family is also raised into the kingdom of God, and becomes a part of it, when the heads of it are united to Christ by faith in Him. To justify this, let it be remembered that, iccording to the original and unchanging order of family life, children are dependent on their parents, both in

## CHAPTER XIII

- EIS TEACHING ABQUT,THE END OF THE WORLD

What is the world's destined end?-Answers from human speculation-The answer of Jesus. 1. A glorious isucue in the victory of good over evil. \&. This to be reached, not simply by gradual progress, but by the advent of the Son of Man. 3. At His advent a general resurrection and a general judgment-Prin* ciples of the judgment: (I) The judgment of the Church will be according to two tests, (a) of charncter, or rendiness for His comiag, and (b) of gervice donce so Him-(2) The judgment of those cutside the Church will be according to their conduct toward the Son of Man in His betethren-By the general judgment all arrearis of Justice will be made up: universal restoration is pot taught. 4 . The time of the endDoiouble andwer of Jesus: this accounted for. 5. The complex ergth of our Lord's coming presented under three hendis, ( 1 ) dynamical, (2) historical, (3) eschato-logical. 6. Attitude befitting the Church and disciples of Jesus-to hope, to watch, and to pray:
WHAT is this world coming to ? Will the history of mankind have an end, and what kind of an end? Hes that history a purpose running through it, and a destined inse to which it advances? Who will give us an answier to these and cimilar questions, which may well command the interest of any hmman soul?

If we aik men of science they will answer, perhapes, that they infer, from what comes under their observation, one certain end to human tife in the world. They find that the heat of the sun diminishes. That great scurce
therefore with authority, and no words command as Hia do the ascent of our conscience.

His teaching in regard to the "last things" is to be found chiefly in the great discourse recorded in Matt. xxiv. and exv. (of which shorter reports are in Mark xiii. and Eake xxi.) Also in Luke xvii. 22-37; xix. 11.27 ; John $v_{0}$ 28, 29 ; and there are incidental references by Jesus to "that day" or "the last day" in many other places.

The following main conclusions may be drawn from this body of prophetic teaching :-

1. We learn that human history is not uncertain and aimless ; it has a goal toward which it is directed. It will have glorious issue. The long conflict between good and evil will come to an end, and the right will prevail. The kingdom of God was the great subject of Jetus' preaching, and an essential feature of it is that, while the kingdom is here amongst us, even is us, in germ and beginning, it is one day to attain to perfection: The kingdom which we now describe as a kingdom of grace is to become a kingdom of glory. "This is the final answer to the prayer which He puts into onr mouths, "Thy kingdom come. Thy will be done, as in heaven, 20-0n earth."
2. This future glory is not to be reached in the way simply of gradual improvement and progreas. The advance of the Gospel does indeed prepare for it. So, in a measure, do inventions like that of printing and modern means of ripid intercourse, by which human progress has been hastened. There are also crises in human history, "days of the Lord," in which the end draws nearer. But the great issue is to be brought in by a supreme crisis arid catastrophe, when the Son of Man Himself shall appear. He is the King of the kingdom of God. It began in Him. He was the founder and the nucleus of it. He supplies the force by which it grows and prevails. He is also the Lord of Providence and of Nature (Matt. xxviii. 18), making them subeervient to it. And by ,His visible
coming it is to leap tafulfilment. He is to interrupt the slow course of history and bring in the kingdom in perfect form, completely vietorious over sin and evil. "The Son of man shall send forth his angels, and they shall gather out of his kingdom all things thatt cmuse stumbling, and them that do iniquity. . . . Then shall the righteous shine forth as the sun in the kingdom of their Father" (Matt. xiil. 41-43).
3. This event is not to concern only the generation living at His appearing, but all men. At the coming of the Son of Man there will be a general resurrection of the dead and a general judgment. These together form the stupendous issue often spoken of by Jesus as "that day." And from first to last in our Lord's teaching He assumes that Ho Himself will then be the Judge of all men. "The hour cometh, in which-all that are in the tombs shall hear his voice, and shall come forth; ${ }^{1}$ they that have done good, unto the resurrection of life; and they that have done ill, unto the resurrection of judgment ${ }^{n}$ (John v. 28, 29). The Son of man shall come in the glory of his Father with his angels ; and then shall he render unto every man according to his deeds " (Matt. xvi. 27).

In the latter part of His great prophetic discourse (Matt. xxiv. 45 to $\times x \mathrm{~m}_{0}$ 46) Jesus gives visions of the judgment, and though these are largely in the form of parable and symbol; we are shown distinctly the great principles in accordance with which He will judge men.
(1) First, we learn how He will judge the Church. The parables of the two servants, the ten virgins, and the talents (Matt. xxiv. $45-\mathrm{xxv} .30$ ) all plainly refer to "His own servants," and the sum of their teaching is that these will at the great day be judged according to two tests, (a) that of chasacter, and (b) that of servicrdowe $t$ Christ. We might have expected the great test to be that of faitk im Josus, but His teaching evidently is that

1 That the resurrection of good and bed will be at one time, and that thin resurrection of believers in Jesus will not precode by a long interval that of others, geems inaplied in John $V_{\text {. }} 88$ (above quoted), and in the promise of Jesus to every one who believes in Ifim, "I will gine fremp at the last dasy" (Johin vi. 40, 44, 54).

He will judge by the frwits of faith in character and work. So the judgment will be on grounds that befit an open public judgment-one which will approve itself to the general comelience of mankind, as well ats to the Jtionge Himself. The first parable shows office-bearers, the eecond, ordinary members of the Church judged according. to charactor, and the teat of character used is madimess for the coming of tinim Cond. Thls will diveloue the state of their hearts If they have had pleainare in the delay of Hig coming, and so have givem themselves to sinful indulgences (xxiv. 49), or if, in the seneral dying, out of a mear expectation of His coming (xxv. 5), they are found immersed in worldly thinge, and through apathy about their higher life have filled to make provision for it ( $x \times v .3$ ), they will be condemned-terribly panished for sin, adly exclinded for apathetic meglect. But if they have been faithful to their spiritual charge, and have cherished their spiritial hopen, they will be wonderfully rewarded (xxiv. 47), and admitted into the eternd joy (xxv. 10).

In the glass of the third paribie-that of the "talentswe see the servants of Jesus judged by Him at the great day, according to the swrice chey lave dowe Fitiv. Those who have used well for Him the opportunities of life will receive His great commendation $s$ they. will enter into His joy, and (as the parable of the pounds in Luke xix. teaches) they will be promoted to higher service, each in proportion to his faithfulness and success. But "c the unprofitable servant" who has thonight only of himself, and has had no spirit of eaterprive for Chriat in his sphere of life, will be "enat into the outer darkness $;$ there shall be the wreeping and gnashing of teeth."
(2) Next, in the vision of judgment given at the, clone of the twenty-fith chapter of St. Matthew-than which nothing grander has ever been wititen for men-we find on what principle thone will be judged who have lived in times and couritries which were without the knowledge of Jesus. That this passage refers to that great multotude we conclade (a) from its place in the discomrso-
after the parables of judgment on the Church; (d) from the words "before him shall be gathered all the matious," which in the usage of the time meant she haathow; (c) from the replies in verges 37 and 44 from which it is plain that, while all servants of Christ know that in ministering to their brethrea they minister to Him, thooe hoie judged will not know it till that great day, when it will come to them as an joyful or a sad muprise. Among the heathen, then, the great reparation will be mades according to their conduct toward the Son of Man, as shown in their treatment of His brethrem. What they have done to their fellow-men Jeman will take as dgoe to Himself. "I was an hungered;" He will my; " and ye gave me meat." The full grinndeur of life, the height of its use in merciful ministration to human needs, the depth of its misuse in selfish neqlect of them, will barst upon their view when the Son of Man on His throne of glory shall say, "Ye did it unto me." "Ye did it not unto me." "And these shall go away into eternal punishment : bat the righteous into eternal life."

Such an issue of bleasing to the righteous and woe to the unrighteous fully satisfies the humaniconscience, and ends the perplexity which has been felt at the success of the wicked, and the many defects of justion which we see in the lives of men. All arrears of justice will /hen be fully made up. "co There is nothing covered, that shall not be revealed; and hid; that shall not be known" (Matt.工. 26). "Every idle wond that men chall speak, they shall give account thereof in the day of judgment" (Matt, xil. 36). But does this isgue satisfy the heart? Do we still long for a kingdom of glory which shall includd all, and into which even the lost shall be ultimately brought, the works of the devil being completely destroyed and the love of God completely victorions?

That such a loniging is in harmony with Godis own heart we may certainty conclide from' His name of "Father."- We argaever liker God, "who willeth that all men should be saved" ( 1 Tim. ii. 5), than when we 50 deaire. But if we ask, Shall this longing be
satisfied? our present knowledge hardly justifies a confident answer. The words in the Goupels which we tranalate "the end of the world" mean rather "the concummation of the age." They signify the winding up of a dispensation. And the word which we translate "eternal" aloag with "punishment" and along with "life" is, more liternlly, "age-lanting." There are thoee, accordingly, who suppose that in this prophecy Jemas tells us of the transition to a new dispensation, and of how that dispensation will goon, but that He does not tell us what its lsave will be. In regard to that isuae, they would bope greatly for all men, even for thome who were coademned at the Great Day of this age. The whole solemn question, which is nised aleo in other forms, cannot be discussed in our short space. It must be said, however, thatt the language of our Lord powerfully conveys the impression that this present life is the time of opportunity; and in the last view which He gives of men they are still shown as divided into two companies. A doctrine of univernal restoration cannot be bailt up on the explicft teaching of Jesus in regard to the "last thinge."

4 One quention in regard to the end and the coming of Jepus remains-the time of it. On this subject we are met by the great difficulty that His words seem contradictory. He eays expressly, "Verily I say unto you, this geperation shall not pass away, till all these things be accomplished" (Matt. xxiv. 34). He said also on another ocondon, "Verily I say unto you, there be some of them that ithad here; which shall in no wise taste of death till they see the Son of man coming in his kingdom" (Matt. 2viL 28). It has been presed by Gibbon, Renan, and others, that there cin be no doubt that Jesus expected the end of the world within a humas lifetime. Of course, If Hie did so He was mistaken, and they argue that if mintaken in this He was mistakee in the whole matter; that His forecast of the future is only a devout imagination. Bat we find in His discourse different, even contrary, atterances in regard to the time of the end. He declares
thet His does not evea Hismeil know when it chall be. ${ }^{4} \mathrm{O}$ t that day or that hour frowiwh no oas, not eves the agevels th harven, mither the goo, bat the Facher" (Mark s" 32) And, fratead of the ned being mare to comp whin on grocretices, Ho often mose language that tapilin lone delay. - He liken the Goepel in ite worktng to a thete kavia leavalise the whole lump of the world, sid to dee whe tonery heman mature el He did could expect thin procem to complete truelf in a genern. tion ILe eny whis goepel of the kingdom shall be preached in the whole world for atectimony mato ill the mations; and then chall the cad come " (Matt zaiv. 14). He metcipates the ovil servant aying in hic beart, My boed dingich his coming. In the parable He speaks of the brdegrocin torying. He mas (Lake odo 24), ce Jerrink thall be trodden down of the Geatiles, matil the thene of the Centiles be falsilled," from which we underatand that en the Jews had ages of oppostunity before the frizment oa their nation, to the Gentien are to have acw of opportunity before the lext judgment. How ire We to account for this double manner of ntterance on our Londreppart-the time of His coming now approximately know, now quite naknown; now near, now fax ; now maxpectedly soon, now anexpectedly late?

One great step in made towards sccounting for it when we perceive that two everits are both epoken of all His coming, which are far apart tin historical time, vis (1) the destruction of Jerusalem, which happened alinoot within that generation (A.D. 70), and was, we belleve, the fudsuent of the Jewish Church and nation; and (2) the lat judgment on the Chriation Church and all nations. Phainty in the early part of our Lord's discourse the actinl dify of Jerusalem and its lat dege ave chiefly epolem of. "When ye see the abomination of desolation ... thanding to the boly place. . . then let them thint are Joden fice wato the mountains" (Matt. xaiv. 15). This warning, taken literally, enabled the followers of Juns to' make a timely escape from the siege of Jerusalem.
vision, in chapter xxvo; beginning "When the Son of mann shall conie th his glory," It in plain that the "end of the world " and last jedgment are epoken of Now we believe we are right to moderstand ic this generation shall not pase," etc., as falfilled tha that coming of J Jem in AD. 70, and the wordes "Of that day and -that hour knoweth no ove", sa referring to the latit jadgament.

But why are these two firs-sepanate crents mingied in this prophetic discourne so liextricably- 80 avo fucodly, we might even may? We answer that apparently our Lord did not intend to espante distincthy for His immediate disciples thove two great comings of His, and so they might be unable to tell of them separately and in onder in thear réport of His wonde. They are twin comingh, each ciding an afe or dispensation, the ase the Jewith, the other the Chrietian. The ope ifs 80 typical of the other that often the words which are true of the first are true tha a wider sense of the recond. Aind while history has an onder of its own, and must be chronologicai, prophecy-like poetry-has quite another order. The great aim of prophece is, not to anticipate history, but to give moral fmpremion and keep the soul in a right attitude to God. With such an aim our Lord might choose to mingle, suther than separate, these two great stages of His coming, which for His own generation, and from his own point of view, were blended together, as two snow-covered penks riling before us, the one behind the other, form one factare in a landscape, and not till we crom the nearer sange does the great hollow between them chain to be noted.
5. The "coming" of Jeans, as spoken of by Himself, in very complex when we take thto view, beaides the dipcourses in Mathew xair. and movo, aleo the sying "I come unto jon" ha John xivi i8, and other words in that farewell discourse; and it may complete our account of thifis subject, and bring what has been mid in this chapter into harmony with what has been mid before (fin chapler xi.), if we may that thie great truth and hope four Lordscocoming may be more fully presented under
three heads. (1) It is dymemical. His promise, "I come unto you " (John xiv. 18), has beem fultilled in Hile coming by the Spirit, which is the power (dymamis) of the new life of His people and of the spread of His kingdom. (a) It is Mistorical. Jesus comes, in a very Important senee, in those great "days of the Lord" which devout men recognive, thoie crives of judgment in the history of nations and churchee, of which notable ecramples are the deatruction of Jerumalem, the fill of Rome, the Reformation, the French Revolution, and historical events of our own time. There in mecordingty a judegent of the world by Jems that is continuous, and in these two aspects- the dynamicell and the historical - the coming of Jesus is rather a proces than a single definite event. This may exphain to we Hils solemin and difficult saying to the High Priest, "Henceforth," that is, from this time coavard, "je shanl see the Son of man sitting at the right hapd of powet, and coming on the clonds of heaven" (Matt. xxvi' 64). But this sontinuous process, whether dypamical or hivtorical, does not exhaust the truch of our Lord's coming. We cannot accept as the whole truth in this matter the maxim that is pressed upon us by many, "The history of the world is the judgment of thit world." We cannot regard the fore-view which Jems gives of the lact judgment as if it were the sumining up in ose vision of a world-long proces. We believe from His teithing in a coming of Jesus which may be called (3) aschetological (from aschata, last things). We believe that He will come in visible glory for a final jodgment, in which the divine government will be completely vindicated, and decision given on every individual life. And we believe that one special feature of this hat judgment will be its openness ; that Its justice will be a pablic justice, the sentence pablic, the grounds of it public, and the whole congregution of Cod's creatures made wituesses to it.
6. With this sublimely great event in prospect, certrin to happen, uncertain ouly in its time, what should be the attitude of the Church and of the disciples of Jesus? He-Hinuelf dechares this. Theys should hope, and they
should watch. They will hope for that great day when Christ shall appear as King ; they will love His appearing ; they will have a hope mingled with awe in the thought of His words from the judgment-tent, and a great comfort of hope in regard to the kingdom of giony which He will bring in. "When thewe things begin to come to pasa (He saya), look up, and lift up your hende; because your redemption draweth nigh " (Lake xid 28).

But agair and agrin, along with wonds of hope, in theye discournes regarding the end, there mingle, like the tollinge of a bell of waminge the calls to watch. "Watch ye at every secreon, maliting supplication, that ye may prevail to encape all these thinge that shall coime to pack, and to stand before the Son of man " (Lave axi. 36). "And what I say unto you I my unto all, Watch" (Mark xiii. 37). This does not mean that we are to cultivate an excited expectation that the end is imminent. Still less does it menn that they bent obey our Lord's command who seek by intricate calculations to wring out of Scripture the secret of the actual year of Hie coming. Surely it is wrong for men thus to try to know and persuade others that they know what "the Father hath set within his own authority" (Acts i. 7), and what was hid even from the Son. Speculations and prophesyings of this sort have often brought discredit on the Christian faith. By watching our Lord means a constant wakefulness in regurd to umeen verities or hopes, a self-recollection and consequent readiness for either of theee two great events which God; for our good, has made uncertain-Christ's coming to un and our going to Him, His advent and our death. 1 . Aind the chief effect of this wakefulness will be that we shall the more earnestly seek to live by Christ preesent with us now. Communion with Jesus now in the great source and secret of Christian holiness and fruitfulatem, and the beat effect which the anticipation of His coming again can have is thit we keep our inper being, which is unseen by men, constantly open to Jtius, conscious of His fellowship, recteptive of His grece, and obedient to

His words. This receptiovmess is above' all else in a Chribtian's attitude ; for to live apart from Him-intercourne with Him suspended-is to have the divine tife in us shrink and wither. "At the branch caninot bear fruit of ituelf, except it abide in the viee $;$ so melther can ye, except ye abide in me." "Apart from me ye can do Firing" John xv. 4, 5). Besples receptivity, watch3 implies, in a Christian, activity-the diligence in apt ater's work which may emable the servant to my poue great day, "Loed, thy pound hath made ten pounds more": (Lake xir. 16). It tupuices alioo what may be called a Christion ascuticisin to view of tempta. tion. Though Jesus in His tenching regards all our nature ws from God, and to be frecly exercifiod, yet He says, "If thy hand or thy foot causech thee to stumble, cut it off, and cant it from thee itingood for thee to enter into lifo matmed or halt, rather than having two hands or two feet to be cant into the eteinal fire. And If thine gye carnech thee to sumble, pluck it out, and cast 3i from thee: it is good for thee to cater into Hife with one cye, ruther them heving two geas to be cunt into the bell of fre" (Matt. zviii. 8, 9). That in to my, good as (1) omplete life ins in which all our powess are exercised, Whriatian who watchfally coosiders his own mecepthbilities and crrcumstances will be right sometimes to limit or deny himeelf, chooding a mie life ruther than a fall one.

With watching Jexus joins Jrayor. "Watch and pray" (Matt. xavi. 41). "Watch ye at every mencon, making supplicution " (Luke, axo 36). "Take ye heod, watch and Pees" (Mark ziii. 33)- Apd here ettention may be called to the wealth of our Lord's teaching in regurd to prayei. (See Matt. Wi 5-i5; vil. 9-11; hro 38; xviii. 19; xivi. $36.42 ;$ Mark L. 35 ; vi. 46; $\frac{12}{}$ 29; xi: 22-25; Luke ix. 18, 28; xi. 1-13; xviii. 1-8; xxiii. 34, 46 ; John xiv. 13; xv. 7 ; xvi. 23, 26, 27 ; xvii.) Few thinge in religion have had so many and apparently strong objections urged against them is prayer. The uniformity of Nature has been urged
agidnat it, and the consequeat unreasonableness of prayer about things that are included within the sweep of natural law. The omniscience of God and His fore-appointment of all thinge have been urged againit it, and the consequent unreasonableness of prayer that attempts to move ${ }^{-}$Him from what He has in His wiedomis choven and decreed. All that prayer can do, it has boen said, is to reconcile me to. God's will. A Christian praying to God is like a man in a boat, who, pulting by i rope, seems to draiw the landwo himelf, while in relity be bat draws himself to the land. But no arguments againat the real efficency of projer can oatweigh with us the revelation of the Fathis, the promines of Jeance and the instinct auld experience of humail hearthi Not only does Jesus eny, "Ack, and it shall be given you s seck, and ye chall find; knock, and \& shall be opened unto you". (Matt vil y); He aleo encourages nos to believe that. humain entrenty does infivence tpe choice and action of God; that; as a Fathes; He 'tic catrentable by His criildrea; that penceverance xind tumportunity in prajer prevail to obtain what whe not at once granted (ree Luke xvili. $1.8 ;$ xi. 58 ): And prajer from thove who abide in Jecuis, and in whoun His words ábldeprayer in the name of Josm- prayer which springs up in the heart throngh His thdwelling and working -to this prajer aboolute promilice of answer are given (Johr xiv. 13; xv. 16 ; xvi. 23, 24, 26, 27). Plainly; prayer is, Hike pienching and secramente, a divine mystery; and a deeper philouophy of God and of man, and of the Spirit of God in man, will, whout doubt, justify the tenching and prominet of Jema. "Howbeit," mid He Himeelf, in a strangely solemn prophetic reproof, "when the Som of man cometh, shall be find frith on the earth? (Lake svili. 85.

Oquicily come, dread judpe of all: For, awful though Thine advent be, All shadows trom the truth will fall, And filcebood die, in sidht of Thee





[^0]:    1 The title "This Soo of Man," does indeed occur for the Memeiah in the book of Enoch, written in the century before our Lord ; but that is a book which we canniot think of an either a source or a mould of our Lond's tenchime.

