- 0 -

Supplementary Paper on a security. My Delegation shares the opinion of most members that any attempt by the Government of South Africa to annow the territory of South Mest Africa would be a violation of its international peace and security. My Delegation shares the opinion of most members that any attempt by the Government of South Africa to annow the territory of South M.P., 100. 63/4 Statement by Mr. Leo Cadieux, M.P., 100. 63/4 No. 63/4 Statement by Mr. Leo Cadieux, M.P., 100. 63/4 No. 63/4

en

...I should like to explain the position of my Delegation in voting on the resolution contained in Document A/C.4/L.777 on the question of South West Africa and on the amendments submitted by the United States Delegation. During the general discussion on this subject, many delegations expressed During the general discussion on this subject, many delegations expressed anew their abhorrence at the extension of the apartheid policy to South West anew their abhorrence at the Government of South Africa has undertaken Africa, a territory in which the Government of South Africa has undertaken affical, a territory in the government of South Africa has undertaken and the most solemn international obligations to ensure the social, economic, and the most solemn international obligations to ensure the various African and Asian most strongly with many of the views expressed by the various African and Asian most strongly with many of the views expressed by the various African and Asian most strongly with many of the views expressed by the various African and Asian most strongly with many of the views expressed by the various African and Asian most strongly with many of the views expressed by the various African and Asian most strongly with many of the views expressed by the various African and Asian most strongly with many of the views expressed by the various African and Asian most strongly with many of the views expressed by the various African and Asian most strongly with many of the views expressed by the various African and Asian most strongly with many of the views expressed by the various African and Asian most strongly with many of the South the various African and Asian most strongly with many of the views expressed by the various African and Asian most strongly with many of the views expressed by the various African and Asian most strongly with many of the views expressed by the various African and Asian most strongly with many of the views expressed by the various African and Asian most strongly with many of the v

We are in complete agreement with the basic aims of the resolution contained in Document A/C.4/L.777 and wholeheartedly join in the censure of South Africa's application of the degrading policies of apartheid in the territory, as well as of the persistent refusal of South Africa to co-operate territory, as well as of the persistent refusal of South Africa to Government of with the United Nations. We are profoundly regretful that the Government of with Africa has, and I quote, "persistently and deliberately failed to fulfil South Africa has, and I quote, "persistently and deliberately failed to fulfil its international obligations in the administration of the mandated territory of South West Africa", unquote.

The resolution properly reaffirms the right of the people of South West Africa to self-determination and independence. We support a further invitation to the Government of South Africa to establish a United Nations presence in the territory of South West Africa. We also support Operative Paragraphs 7(A) and 7(C) of the resolution.

However,...while my Delegation agrees with the basic aims of this resolution, some of its operative paragraphs contain judgments and call for action by the Assembly which my Government is unable to support.

During the discussion of <u>apartheid</u> in the Special Political Committee, the Canadian Secretary of State for External Affairs pointed out that the division of responsibilities between the Security Council and the General Assembly had been carefully considered at San Francisco and that the balance set forth in the Charter was only achieved after exhaustive negotiations. The imposition of sanctions in specific circumstances was allocated by the Charter to the Security Council and, in our judgment, it would be wrong for the Assembly to attempt to usurp the Council's primary responsibility. It is possible to foresee in the development of the South West Africa situation a time when drastic action of the kind envisaged may be required, which all members should support. In our view such action by the United Nations, acting through the Security Council, should await the outcome of the present proceedings before the International Court and the South African Government's reaction to the Court's findings. For this reason we cannot agree to Operative Paragraph 7(B).

Our reservations about other paragraphs are not as fundamental, but are still important. Operative Paragraphs 4 and 6 deal with questions affecting international peace and security. My Delegation shares the opinion of most members that any attempt by the Government of South Africa to annex the territory of South West Africa would be a violation of its international obligations, especially those it has assumed as a mandatory power; but, in our judgment, it is not wise practice for the General Assembly to make in advance of the circumstances a legal determination of aggression which, under Article 39 of the Charter, is within the primary responsibility of the Security Council. Similar considerations apply in respect to the purported determination of a threat to international peace referred to in Operative Paragraph 6. Speled on to the contract of the state of the st

We also have reservations about Paragraph 8(B), since it seeks to engage the Secretary-General and United Nations agencies in a study which would not be practicable and in judgments which would not be appropriate.

It is in the light of these considerations that we support the amendments to Paragraphs 4, 6, 7, and 8 contained in Document A/C.4/L.779, which, if adopted, will enable the Canadian Delegation to support the resolution as a whole. Failing adoption of these amendments we shall be obliged to abstain on the resolution now before us.

...I emphasize once again Canada's great concern about developments in South West Africa. Once again we urge the Government of South Africa to recognize its international responsibilities for the territory and to cooperate with the United Nations in its attempts to achieve a just solution safeguarding the rights and aspirations of the people of South West Africa.

has decided that certain parts of the proposal now before us make it impossible for the resolution to command our unqualified support.

We are in complete agreement with the basic aims of the resolution contained in Document A/C.4/L.777 and wholeheartedly join in the censure of South Africa's application of the soft the soft the Covernment of the United Nations. We CECTPOIO JEOZ E iberately failed to fulfil South Africa has, and I quot standingations in the administration of the mandated territory of South West Africa", unquote.

The resolution properly reaffirms the right of the people of South West Africa to self-determination and independence. We support a further invitation to the Government of South Africa to establish a United Nations invitation to the Government of South West Africa. We also support Operative Presence in the territory of South West Africa. We also support Operative Paragraphs 7(A) and 7(C) of the resolution.

However,...while my Delegation agrees with the basic aims of this resolution, some of its operative paragraphs contain judgments and call for action by the Assembly which my Government is unable to support.

The greatest source of difficulty for us is Operative Paragraph 7(8).

During the discussion of apartheid in the Special Political Committee, the
Canadian Secretary of State for External Affairs pointed out that the division
of responsibilities between the Security Council and the General Assembly had
been carefully considered at San Francisco and that the balance set forth in
the Charter was only achieved after exhaustive negotiations. The imposition
of sanctions in specific circumstances was allocated by the Charter to the
Security Council and, in our judgment, it would be wrong for the Assembly to
attempt to usurp the Council's primary responsibility. It is possible to
attempt to usurp the Council's primary responsibility. It is possible to
foresee in the development of the South West Africa situation a time when
the action of the kind envisaged may be required, which all members should
drastic action of the kind envisaged may be required, which all members should
support. In our view such action by the United Nations, acting through the
Security Council, should await the outcome of the present proceedings before
the International Court and the South African Government's reaction to the
Court's findings. For this reason we cannot agree to Operative Paragraph 7(B).

No

Ext

Sup

to

se wh

t

GG

b

p:

9

m S