


# IMAGE EVALUATION TEST TARGET (MT-3)



Photographic Sciences Corporation

23 WEST MAIN STREET WEBSTER, N.Y. 14580 (716) 872-4503 We less than the second second

CIHM/ICMH Microfiche Series. CIHM/ICMH Collection de microfiches.



Canadian Institute for Historical Microreproductions / Institut canadian de microreproductions historiques



# (C) 1984

### Technical and Bibliographic Notes/Notes techniques et bibliographiques

_	12X	16X	20X		24X		28X		32X
									/
	item is filmed at the locument est filmé au					26X		30X	
V	Additional commen Commentaires supp		Docket title page is	bound in a	s last page b	ut filmed a	s first page o	on fiche.	
	Blank leaves added during restoration may appear within the text. Whenever possible, these have been omitted from filming/ Il se peut que certaines pages blanches ajoutées lors d'une restauration apparaissent dans le texte, mais, lorsque cela était possible, ces pages n'ont pas été filmées.				Pages wholly or partially obscured by errata slips, tissues, etc., have been refilmed to ensure the best possible image/ Les pages totalement ou partiellement obscurcies par un feuillet d'errata, une pelure etc., ont été filmées à nouveau de façon à obtenir la meilleure image possible.				
	Tight binding may c along interior margi Lare liure serrée peu	n/ it causer de	l'ombre ou de la			ion availa			
	Bound with other m Relié avec d'autres d						ntary mat érial suppi		re
	Coloured plates and Planches et/ou illus					of print va négale de	ries/ l'impressi	ion	
	Coloured ink (i.e. ot Encre de couleur (i.e.			$\vee$	Showthre Transpar				
	Coloured maps/ Cartes géographique	es en couleu	•		Pages de Pages dé				
	Cover title missing/ Le titre de couvertur			V			, stained o tachetées		
	Covers restored and Couverture restauré						d/or lamin t/ou pelli		
	Covers damaged/ Couverture endomn	nagée			Pages da Pages en	maged/ dommage	ies		
	Coloured covers/ Couverture de coule	our		V	Coloured Pages de				
origi copy which repro	nal copy available for which may be biblio th may alter any of the oduction, or which m usual method of filmi	r filming. Fea graphically une images in ay significar	unique, the itly change	qu'il de c poin une mod	lui a été pet exemplet de vue bimage repification dindiqués	possible d aire qui so ibliograpi roduite, c lans la mé	ont peut-ê nique, qui ou qui peu othode no	urer. Les tre uniq peuvent vent exi	détails ues du modifie ger une

The copy filmed here has been reproduced thanks to the generosity of:

Seminary of Quebec Library

The images appearing here are the best quality possible considering the condition and legibility of the original copy and in keeping with the filming contract specifications.

Original copies in printed paper covers are filmed beginning with the front cover and ending on the last page with a printed or illustrated impression, or the back cover when appropriate. All other original copies are filmed beginning on the first page with a printed or illustrated impression, and ending on the last page with a printed or illustrated impression.

The last recorded frame on each microfiche shall contain the symbol → (meaning "CONTINUED"), or the symbol ▼ (meaning "END"), whichever applies.

Maps, plates, charts, etc., may be filmed at different reduction ratios. Those too large to be entirely included in one exposure are filmed beginning in the upper left hand corner, left to right and top to bottom, as many frames as required. The following diagrams illustrate the method:

L'exemplaire filmé fut reproduit grâce à la générosité de:

Séminaire de Québec Bibliothèque

Les images suivantes ont été reproduites avec le plus grand soin, compte tenu de la condition et de la netteté de l'exemplaire filmé, et en conformité avec les conditions du contrat de filmage.

Les exemplaires originaux dont la couverture en papier est imprimée sont filmés en commençant par le premier plat et en terminant soit par la dernière page qui comporte une empreinte d'impression ou d'illustration, soit par le second plat, selon le cas. Tous les autres exemplaires originaux sont filmés en commençant par la première page qui comporte une empreinte d'impression ou d'illustration et en terminant par la dernière page qui comporte une telle empreinte.

Un des symboles suivants apparaîtra sur la dernière image de chaque microfiche, selon le cas: le symbole → signifie "A SUIVRE", le symbole ▼ signifie "FIN".

Las cartes, planches, tableaux, etc., peuvent être filmés à des taux de réduction différents. Lorsque le document est trop grand pour être reproduit en un seul cliché, il est filmé à partir de l'angle supérieur gauche, de gauche à droite, et de haut en bas, en prenant le nombre d'images nécessaire. Les diagrammes suivants illustrent la méthode.

1	2	3

1	
2	
3	
	2

1	2	3
4	5	6

32X

rrata :o

pelure.

itails s du

odifier

une mage

In the Queen's Beuch.

JOHN JOHNSON,

Appella

VS.

WILLIAM W. WILLIAMS,

Respondent.

RESPONDENT'S CASE.

2 - 3 .

A A

# PROVINCE OF CANADA.

## In the Queen's Bench---Appeal Side.

IN APPEAL FROM THE DISTRICT OF ST. FRANCIS,

JOHN JOHNSON,

Defendant in the Court below,

Appellant,

against

WILLIAM W. WILLIAMS,

Plaintiff in the Court below,

Respondent.

### RESPONDENT'S CASE.

This was an action in the Court below to revendicate a horse. The horse was seized. The Defendant was an innkeepor. The Plaintiff was in possession of the horse prior to and on the 10th of September, 1853. On last mentioned day he stopped at Defendant's inn in Barnston and ordered said horse to be put in his stable and cared for till he called for him. When he was ready to leave he demanded his horse, offering reasonable and customary tavern expenses of keeping, and defendant refused to deliver up the horse to him, whereon he instituted the action appealed from.

As explanatory of the pleadings and evidence it may be stated that one Lathrop Chamberlain owned the horse in question, called "Zack," in 1851-2, and Plaintiff acquired said horse of Chamberlain in 1853. Chamberlain boarded in 1851-2 at Cameron's tavern in Sherbrooke, and in June 1852 a bill was due for board and horse-keeping from him to Cameron, and he requested Cameron to hold said horse in his possession as a plodge till the debt was settled.

The defence set up to the action was that Defendant purchased the horse of one Oliver Cameron, who acquired it of said Lathrop Chamberlain. This defence is met by a special answer to the effect that Cameron never owned the horse and never sold him to Defendant. That the money paid by Defendant to Cameron was Chamberlain's money, and that Defendant only acted as Chamberlain's agent in paying Chamberlain's debt for board and lodging at Cameron's; that the horse was merely held by Cameron until his debt was paid, and was released to Chamberlain; that the Defendant had charged Chamberlain with this identical money, which in his plea to this action he pretends was the price of the said horse, in an account which had been settled by a suit wherein the disputed accounts between Chamberlain and Defendant had been determined; that said horse was never delivered to Defendant, and that Defendant's pretensions were fraudulont and in bad faith; and further, a special donial of the allegations of Defendant's plea.

Samuel Cleveland proves that Defendant stated that Plaintiff drove the horse to his stable and put him in, and that he had paid money for Chamberlain and got a bill of sale of the horse and he meant to keep him till he got a settlement with Chamberlain—proves horse to be valuable, damages \$50.

Norman Cleveland values horse at not less than £75, proves Defendant's admission of taking the horse from Plaintiff same as former witness.

John Hackett worked for Plaintiff in summer and fall of 1853, knows Plaintiff to have possessed the horse as proprietor from July to 10th November, when it was detained from him; prover horse valuable, and badly used by Defendent since he has detained it, and greatly injured and damaged.

E. S. Southmayd heard Defendant say he had the horse in his stable and he would not let him go till he got his pay from Chamberlain, heard him order his ostlor not to let Plaintiff have the horse.

Timothy Winn heard Defendant boast of having driven the horse and that he would do so, valuable horse, &c.

Olivor Cameron, the person from whom Defendant pleads that he purchased the horse, says: "I never claimed to own the horse, but had him in 1...7 possession until my claim was paid, by Mr Chamberlain's request." On being questioned as to the item in an account fyled by Johnson, Defendant in suit Johnson vs. Chamberlain, "Cash lent, del'd O. Cameron, \$200," he says this is the only sum ever delivered by Defendant to him for Chamberlain.

John B. Shorey proves the tender of the money by Plaintiff for tavern expenses when he demanded his horse, and the refusal to give up the horse.

Henry Cameron, brother of Oliver Cameron, proves same facts as Oliver Cameron, also valuable horse, serious damage to Plaintiff, the detention, horse injured by Defendant, &c.

Defendant's Evidence.

Wright Chamberlain proves making of bill of sale in following terms:

June 25, 1852.

O. Cameron

Bo't of L. Chamberlain,
A small bay horse called "Zack," for One Hundred and Fifty Dollars.

Received Payment.

[(Signed.] L. Chamberlain.

Chamberlain also gives parol evidence of bargain between Defendant and Cameron for said borse.

The remainder of Defendant's evidence is principally respecting a proposed arbitration between Plain-tiff and Defendant, which was not pleaded, and is totally irrelevant to the issue.

The judgment of the Court below was as follows:

"The Court having heard the purities by their respective Counsel, and examined the pleadings, proceedings and proof of record, and on the whole deliberated, considering that the Plaintiff hath proved the material allegations of his declaration, and namely that at and before the Defendant took possession of the horse, and hat a legal right of property of the same, seeing that the Defendant hath failed to substantiate the allegations of his plea, and hath not in any legal way established that at the time that he the Defendant unlawfully took possession of the borse, nor since, he had any right of property or legal possession of the same; considering moreover, that illegal evidence hath been adduced in this cause, and namely that part of the Defendant's evidence mentioned in the Plaintiff's motion of this day for the rejection of such evidence, doth grant the said two rotions, dismisses the Defendant's pleas, and adjudges the Plaintiff to be the lawful owner and proprietor of the said horse, and the science herein made to be good and valid, and doth adjudge and condomn the Defendant to restore and deliver up the said horse to the Plaintiff within fifteen days from the service of this Judgment on him the Defendant; and to pay to the Plaintiff five pounds for his damages, with costs of suit, distraction whereof is granted to J. S. Sanhorn, Esquire, the Plaintiff's Attorney."

The Plaintiff in Court below was entitled to judgment, even if Defendant was the owner of the horse.

The Plaintiff in Court below was entitled to judgment, even if Defendant was the owner of the horse, on the maxim, Spollatus anto omate restituendus. Plaintiff, however, established all necessary proof ownership of the horse, antorior possession as proprietor for more than four months. Defendant's case entirely failed. He established no right of property in the horse. Cameron never owned it and could not have transferred it. The evidence of Wright Chamberlain as to sale from Chamberlain to Ameron is contradicted by both Camerons, that eithough a bill of sale was made, the horse was merely held by Cameron as a pledge till his debt was paid, and was given up to Chamberlain upon the debt being paid. The evidence of Wright Chamberlain of sale form Chamberlain of sale or the contradicted by the court as inadmissible, the alleged contract exceeding 100 livres, and the evidence upon another ground was properly rejected by two witnesses, Cliver and Henry Cameron, and as a matter of oredibility could have no weight. Supposing a bargain of sale completed, there was no delir ery of the horse to Defendant. The sale would in that event be incomplete. Defendant from the summer of 1852 to November 1853, had made no assertion of his claim to the horse. The charge made by him in account with Lachero Chamberlain, of the identical sum of \$200 cash lent, delivered to Oliver Cameron, is conclusive, when compared with Cameron's evidence, against the Defendant. He charged the sum as cash lent and judgmens was rendered in that suit, where the account between Johnson and Chamberlain was contested, and Defendant Johnson was found to endebted to Chamberlain some \$15, for which judgment was rendered; and further it was pretended with considerable proof of the truth of the pretension, that this \$200 paid by Johnson to Cameron for Chamberlain, was Chemberlain's own money. The Respondent feels assured that the judgment of the tourt below must be affirmed.

SANBORN & BROOKS,

Refundant

d horse. tween Plain-

ga, proceed if the materi-of the horse, a legal right is plea, and ssion of the t, that illegal tioned in the s, dismisses d horse, and him the De-whereof is

of the horse, ry proof of the case enuld not have contradicted as a pledge of Wright sinadmissirly rejected contradicted Supposing a that event of his claim um of \$200 against the account bed to Chambersaffirmed.

