

The Legal News.

VOL. III. DECEMBER 25, 1880. No. 52.

ELECTION BALLOTS.

In the case of *Newton v. Newell*, recently decided by the Supreme Court of Minnesota, the question of the identity of a candidate voted for by incorrect or misspelled names, and the proper practice in such cases, was before the Court. Although under our system, of making a cross on a ballot on which the names of the candidates are printed, the difficulty is not likely to occur, the following extract from the observations of the judges will be of interest, in relation to the doctrine of *idem sonans*:—

“With reference to the name by which a candidate may be sufficiently designated, we regard the following rules to be correct: If, for a certain office, there is but one person running of a given name, say the name of Frank E. Newell, a ballot for ‘Newell’ simply, without any Christian name or initial thereof, will pass, and should be counted for Frank E. Newell, and so should a ballot for Frank Newell, or F. E. Newell, or F. Newell. So, if to designate the person voted for, letters are used which do not properly spell the name of ‘Newell,’ but do spell a word which is *idem sonans*, this should be counted. All these should be counted, for the reason that they designate the person intended to be voted for with reasonable certainty. But unless the ballot is of one of these kinds, or of equivalent certainty (as it possibly may be, though we do not perceive how), it should be rejected. Therefore, a ballot for ‘Nall,’ or ‘Null,’ or ‘Neden,’ or ‘W. Null,’ should not be counted for Newell. Neither should a ballot for ‘New,’ or ‘Newt,’ or ‘Newto,’ or ‘Newn,’ or ‘Neto,’ be counted for a candidate of the name of Newton. ‘Nuton’ and ‘Newten’ may, however, be properly counted for such candidate. What would be the effect of proof before the District Court that a candidate for an office was commonly known by some abbreviation of his surname, as well as by his full surname, and whether upon such proof a vote by such abbreviation could properly be counted for such candidate, are questions that have not

been discussed in this case, and which we are not now called upon to decide. Certainly such proof would not be admissible before a board of town or county canvassers.” To this we will only add that the determination of what is *idem sonans* must be affected in some degree by circumstances. For example, to take the name that was in question in the above case, if a French-Canadian voter spelled the name “Newto,” we do not think the ballot should be rejected. And if the name of the candidate were French, as, for example, Mignault, the ballot of an English voter in which the name was given as “Meenot” or “Migno” should not be rejected. The attempts of a person of one nationality to pronounce or spell the name of a person belonging to a different nationality are sometimes amusing. We remember, many years ago, being puzzled by a reference to an eminent lawyer as “Mr. Jute,” but a moment’s reflection suggested that the gentleman alluded to was Mr. Doutré.

MC GILL LAW FACULTY.

The appointment of Mr. W. H. Kerr, Q.C., as Dean of the Law Faculty of McGill University, has been announced, and has proved to be an extremely popular one with the *alumni* of the Faculty. We think there is reason to congratulate the University on this appointment. Mr. Kerr is not only a barrister of eminence in the profession, and a gentleman who will fill the office with dignity, but he possesses a qualification which is perhaps more valuable, as it is certainly more rare,—and that is an unaffected sympathy with the aims and studies of young men, which disposes him, at much sacrifice of time and personal ease, to bestow, with the utmost readiness and courtesy, the valuable aid which ripe experience can afford to youth.

THE LATE CHIEF JUSTICE.

London *Truth* gives a pen and ink portrait of the late Chief Justice Cockburn in the following terms:—“At about half-past four or five o’clock on most afternoons when the courts were sitting in Westminster, a little old man shabbily dressed, and—except for the bright piercing glance with which he now and then

eyed a passer-by—singularly insignificant in appearance, might have been met wending his way along Waterloo Place and Piccadilly. Those who, an hour before, had seen the Lord Chief Justice of England in his court, arrayed in wig and ermine, and listened to him, as, in a soft musical voice, he rendered some knotty point of law as clear as crystal, would hardly have recognized him as the same man." The *London Law Times* touches delicately on a well-known fault of the deceased:—"It is equally certain that, whilst he carried on to the bench this high code of honor, the very loftiest sentiments which could animate a judge, the deepest regard for his office, and the keenest sense of its responsibilities, he never thoroughly shook off the passion of the advocate. If there is one fault which can be laid to his charge as a judge, it is that with too rapid a judgment he formed his opinion, basing it frequently upon the evidence and bearing of particular witnesses. The opinion formed, it was put forward in the summing up with the art of the advocate, repressed more or less, but still perceptible, and occasioning sometimes the impression that the scales of justice had not been held with that absolute impartiality which is essential to the strict administration of the law." And the *Law Journal* confirms this by the remark: "His charges to juries were masterpieces of popular oratory; and there was little chance for the most skilful counsel if the Lord Chief Justice became convinced of the duty to sum up against him."

NOTES OF CASES.

SUPERIOR COURT.

MONTREAL, Feb. 28, 1880.

JETTÉ, J.

BREWSTER V. GRAND TRUNK RAILWAY CO. OF CANADA.

Sale—Hypothecs on property sold—Right of purchaser to obtain resolution of sale.

The text of the judgment as recorded sufficiently explains the point decided:—

"La Cour, etc.

"Attendu qu'à une vente à l'encan en juin,

1872, d'un terrain situé à Longueuil, appartenant à la Compagnie défenderesse, et offert en vente par lots à bâtir, suivant plan préparé à cette fin, le demandeur a acquis de la défenderesse, qui lui en a passé titre, le 20 décembre 1872, et ce, pour le prix total de \$2,430, certains lots de terre désignés et décrits en la déclaration du demandeur comme suit savoir:

"Those certain lots of land situate in the parish of Longueuil in the County of Chambly, known as the numbers 39, 40, 46, 47, 71, 72, 73, 74, 76, 80, 176, 177, 178, on a certain plan of the vendor's property made by Joseph Rielle, Provincial land Surveyor, and deposited with the said Theo. Doucet, Notary, the 2nd of October, 1872, and known and designated as the numbers 29, 30, 35, and 36, on the plan and book of reference of the subdivision of lot No. 197 of the said village of Longueuil, and as lots numbers Nos. 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 77, 113, 114 and 115 on the plan and book of reference of lot No. 154 of the parish of Longueuil, without any buildings thereon erected:—"

"Attendu que lors de la dite vente, il a été publiquement annoncé par l'encanteur chargé par la défenderesse de faire cette vente, que le titre de la Compagnie défenderesse susdite au terrain vendu était parfait et indiscutable, que le dit terrain était affranchi de toutes redevances seigneuriales, et qu'il n'a alors été fait mention d'aucune hypothèque ou charge pouvant grever le dit terrain;

"Attendu que le grand total produit par la vente des divers lots composant le terrain alors vendu par la défenderesse ne s'élève qu'à une somme n'excédant pas \$40,000, et qu'après la dite vente, il a été découvert que le dit terrain, et chaque lot composant icelui, y compris les lots vendus au demandeur, était et est encore grevé et affecté de deux hypothèques générales s'élevant à la somme de \$200,000, lesquelles hypothèques étaient, lors de la dite vente, inconnues au demandeur, mais au contraire parfaitement connues de la défenderesse qui en payait les intérêts tous les six mois;

"Attendu que le demandeur, avant d'avoir appris l'existence des dites hypothèques, a payé à la défenderesse partie de son prix d'achat, savoir: une somme de \$607.50; qu'il a ensuite construit sur le dit terrain à lui vendu, diverses bâtisses, maisons, bâtiments, etc., et fait diverses améliorations dont le coût s'élève à la

somme de \$5,500 courant; qu'il a, en outre, fait marché pour vendre partie de ce terrain à un nommé Ferguson, qui a aussi construit sur cette dernière partie, une maison coûtant la somme de \$500; que vu l'impossibilité où s'est trouvé le demandeur de donner au dit Ferguson un titre certain au dit lot de terre, il reste à la charge du demandeur; enfin, que les frais et loyaux coûts occasionnés au demandeur par suite de la dite vente s'élèvent à \$60, lesquelles diverses sommes forment réunies la somme totale de \$6,667.50, cours actuel;

“Attendu que le demandeur, vu l'existence sur le terrain à lui vendu comme susdit des dites hypothèques de \$200,000, lequel montant, dépassant d'une somme énorme son prix d'achat, ne laisse au demandeur aucun espoir de pouvoir jamais utiliser le dit terrain, et l'expose à un danger constant d'expropriation sur action hypothécaire, demande la résolution de la vente à lui faite, et le remboursement des sommes par lui payées et dépensées à l'occasion du dit contrat;

“Attendu que malgré la demande plus considérable faite par le demandeur, la preuve n'établit sa réclamation que jusqu'à concurrence de la dite somme de \$6,667.50 en dernier lieu mentionnée;

“Attendu que la défenderesse a plaidé à l'encontre de la dite demande :

1o. Que le demandeur a acheté avec pleine connaissance des hypothèques grevant le dit terrain, et s'est ainsi exposé aux risques de son dit contrat; et 2o. Que bien que les hypothèques grevant le dit immeuble puissent être une cause de trouble et donner droit au demandeur de demander caution, il n'a pas droit, vu l'article 1535 du Code civil, à autre chose qu'à tel cautionnement, et ce, jusqu'à concurrence seulement de la balance du prix qui reste à payer par le demandeur, savoir: \$1,822.50;

“Attendu que la preuve ne justifie pas l'allégation de la défenderesse, que le demandeur avait acheté à ses risques et avec connaissance des hypothèques sus-mentionnées; et que la demande du demandeur doit par suite être appréciée au seul point de vue du droit de résolution de la vente, réclamé par le demandeur, à raison des hypothèques considérables qui grèvent les terrains à lui vendus;

“Considérant que c'est un principe fondamental de notre droit civil, principe reconnu et

formulé dans l'article 1065 de notre Code, que la condition résolutoire pour cause d'inexécution des obligations de l'une des parties est toujours sous-entendue dans les contrats synallagmatiques;

“Considérant que dans le contrat de vente, une des obligations principales du vendeur est de mettre la chose vendue en la pleine puissance et possession de l'acheteur, (C. C. 1492), et que cette obligation n'est pas remplie si l'acheteur n'a qu'une propriété incertaine et une possession équivoque et menacée;

“Considérant que dans l'espèce, l'énormité des hypothèques grevant les immeubles vendus au demandeur, relativement au prix par lui payé, rend impossible la sécurité du titre du demandeur et l'expose au danger permanent d'actions hypothécaires devant avoir pour résultat nécessaire son dépouillement et sa spoliation;

“Considérant en outre, que par suite de ce danger constant d'éviction, le demandeur est complètement privé des avantages qu'il avait le droit d'attendre de la propriété et possession des dits terrains, et qu'il ne peut ni les revendre, ni les hypothéquer, ni les bâtir, ni les améliorer, et qu'en conséquence, loin d'en pouvoir tirer les fruits et avantages que la loi assure, il se trouve n'avoir entre les mains qu'une propriété forcément inerte et stérile;

“Considérant que l'article 1535 du Code Civil, en donnant à l'acheteur le droit de se refuser au paiement du prix, non-seulement lorsqu'il est troublé par une action en revendication ou par une action hypothécaire, mais même, lorsqu'il a seulement juste sujet de craindre d'être troublé, n'enlève pas à l'acheteur le droit de demander, s'il le préfère, la résolution de la vente pour cause d'inexécution de la part d'obligation prise par le vendeur conformément à la disposition de l'article 1065;

“Considérant que l'acheteur est bien fondé à demander cette résolution lorsque la totalité de son prix d'achat ne pourrait suffire à désintéresser les créanciers hypothécaires, et que, même en payant ce prix entre leurs mains, il resterait encore exposé à être dépouillé de l'immeuble vendu, et que l'offre d'un cautionnement pour le remboursement de ce prix ne peut, dans les circonstances, être déclarée satisfaisante;

“Considérant enfin que la résolution de la vente en cette cause met le demandeur en droit de réclamer et d'obtenir de la défenderesse,

tant les sommes par lui payées sur le prix de la dite vente, que celles par lui employées aux constructions et améliorations faites sur les dits immeubles, ainsi que les loyaux coûts, le tout s'élevant comme susdit, à la somme de \$6,667.50;

“ Renvoie les exceptions et défenses de la défenderesse, et adjugeant sur les conclusions du demandeur, déclare la vente faite par la défenderesse au demandeur comme susdit, résolue et annulée à toutes fins que de droit, et en conséquence, casse et annule le titre de la vente passé entre les dites parties le 20 décembre 1872, devant Mre. Théo. Doucet, notaire, et condamne la défenderesse à rendre et payer au demandeur la dite somme de \$6,667.50, cours actuel, avec intérêt sur icelle à compter du 9 janvier 1878, jour de l'assignation, jusqu'à paiement, et les dépens, y compris le coût des pièces produites au soutien de la demande, les dits dépens distraits à Maîtres Davidson & Cushing, avocats du demandeur.

Davidson & Cushing, for the plaintiff.

Geo. Macrae, Q.C., for the defendants.

MONTREAL, December 15, 1880.

JOHNSON, J.

CARTER v. FORD et al.

Sureties in Appeal—*Tender*.

Sureties in Appeal, when the judgment has been confirmed, and the Court has not granted leave to appeal to the Privy Council, are liable for the costs absolutely, and they have no right to annex a condition to a tender of such costs, that the money shall be returned in the event of the Privy Council granting a special application to appeal, and the judgment being reversed on such appeal.

JOHNSON, J. This is a mere question of costs. The defendants, being sued as securities in appeal, paid the money into Court, and it was taken by the plaintiff under an order of the Court; but the question of the sufficiency of the tender that was originally made, and of the one now made by the *consignation*, still remains. I regret to see that the point in dispute has given rise to some acrimony, but it is really one which, apart from any feeling that it may have given rise to, could suffer no doubt when looked at impartially. Mr. Bethune had received instructions to sue the two bondsmen; and the declaration was drawn (see the evidence

of Fisher), when a tender was made of the debt; but unfortunately accompanied by a condition that was inadmissible. This condition was based on the alleged fact that the judgment of our Provincial Court of Appeals had been made the subject of a special application to Her Majesty in Her Privy Council, and the condition asked before paying the money was that the plaintiff should undertake to return it if the judgment should be reversed. The defendants of course had no right to make any condition of the sort; and the tender was declined by Mr. Bethune on that ground, and also because he had no authority to act to that extent for the plaintiff. This was at 3 p.m.; and Mr. Bethune seeing, or fancying he saw, obstacles unnecessarily made to the payment of the money, at once ordered his clerk to lodge the fiat, which was immediately done. After this there was another tender made to Mr. Abbott, who refused on account of the same condition being asked. Whether he was right or whether he was wrong in that refusal is not the question now; for at that time the fiat had been lodged, and the writ was issued the next morning.

The defendants contend that they did not wish to impose any condition, but the notarial tender is here before me, and it says plainly:—“On condition that if the judgment rendered in the said matter be reversed, the money will be returned to them who now pay as Molson's sureties.” The defendants had a perfect right to *dénoncer* this appeal to the Privy Council if they pleased, and to reserve their own right to any recourse that the final judgment might entitle them to; but that was a different thing from insisting upon an express condition to restore the money. The judgment might have been reversed, leaving the question of costs in the Provincial Court just where it was, and there might never be any right to get the money back at all. Besides there was no evidence of the fact of the appeal, that the plaintiff was bound to notice. It was said that the mere lodging the fiat gave rise to no costs at all. That is not the point, however. The only point is what is raised by the plea after writ issued, and that is whether the amount of the debt alone was a sufficient tender then. I hold that it was not, but that the costs incurred up to filing of plea were due then; and the offer made in the plea was not a repetition

of the previous offer, for the plea contains no such condition at all; and if it had, the plaintiff could not have got the order for the money, which was made on the express ground that there was no condition—the only ground, indeed, on which the law would allow the plaintiff to take it. Judgment for plaintiff for costs only.

Bethune & Bethune for plaintiff.

Barnard & Monk for defendants.

SUPERIOR COURT.

MONTREAL, Dec. 15, 1880.

JOHNSON, J.

BEAUDRY v. BROWN et vir, and BOWIE, guardian, *mis en cause*.

Guardian—Discharge by lapse of time.

A defendant who becomes voluntary guardian of effects seized under a writ of execution is liable as such to contrainte par corps.

A guardian is discharged by the lapse of a year after his appointment without proceedings.

The plaintiff moved for a rule *nisi* against J. G. Bowie, the guardian named to effects seized under writ of *saisie-gagerie*.

The *mis en cause* answered, 1. That as husband of the defendant he could not be guardian. 2. That more than a year had elapsed since his nomination without any proceedings by the plaintiff on the demand *en saisie-gagerie*, though default had been entered against the defendant.

JOHNSON, J. Two points have been raised:—1st. That the defendant cannot be guardian. The reported decisions are against that pretension, and it is therefore overruled. See *Munn v. Halferty*, 1 L. C. R., p. 170; *Brooks v. Whitney*, 4 L. C. J., p. 279; *Carley v. Hatton*, 15 L. C. J., p. 140.

The second point raised is that more than a year has elapsed since the seizure. I do not know of any case in which this point has come up,—I mean, any reported case. There was a case in Beauharnois, I have heard, of *Baker v. McDonald*, in which Judge Belanger held that the guardian was not discharged by the lapse of the year. *Doutre*, vol. 2, Art. 842, says our Code has not repealed the 20th article

of the 19th title of the Ordinance of 1667, which in case of opposition liberated guardians after two months upon a regular demand made for that object; and by Art. 22 of the same ordinance the guardian is discharged one year after his appointment, and *pleno jure*. Rule discharged, but without costs.

A. Dalbec for plaintiff.

Archambault & David for *mis en cause*.

MONTREAL, December 15, 1880.

JOHNSON, J.

THE ROYAL INSTITUTION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF LEARNING v. SIMPSON.

Insolvent—Liability for debt not inventoried.

JOHNSON, J. There is no question about the debt here, which is due under a deed of obligation; but the defendant pleads that he is not liable for costs because since he signed the deed he has become insolvent, and is still an undischarged bankrupt, his assignee having distributed his estate. The plaintiff answers that this is untrue; and that even if it were true, the defendant never disclosed the present claim, and therefore cannot get rid of the costs by operation of the insolvent law which, as far as the plaintiff is concerned, has not been complied with.

There is no proof of record of a due compliance with the act, nor of notice of any sort. The fact of insolvency is proved by the defendant, but that is all. Sec. 90 of the law says, "no costs incurred in suits against the insolvent after due notice has been given according to the provisions of this Act shall rank upon the estate;" etc. That may be the case; and indeed from the evidence of the assignee, there would appear to be no estate to rank upon; but that would not prevent a personal condemnation for the costs. Judgment for debt, interest and costs. The proof that should have been made was that under the 11th section, which we have nothing about.

Trenholme & Taylor for plaintiff.

T. & C. C. de Lorimier, and Abbott & Co. for defendant.

SUPERIOR COURT.

MONTREAL, Dec. 15, 1880.

COURT ES QUAL. V. STEWART.

Personal liability where a particular quality is added to signature.

A person who adds the word "Trustee," or other quality to his signature, is personally bound thereby, unless he can show that he signed for a principal, or for an estate, bound by his signature.

JOHNSON, J. The plaintiff here sues as assignee, under the insolvent law, of the Mechanics' Bank, and the action is to recover from the defendant the amount of an undertaking he had with the Bank, and which appears in the shape of a letter to the cashier as follows:—

"Dear Sir,—Please place to the credit of the estate N. Van Alstyne & Co. the enclosed demand note for \$700, with the note of Van Alstyne for same amount as collateral. In consideration of this discount I hereby promise to place you in funds for the amount from the first sales of the stock of castings now on hand. Yours, &c., A. B. Stewart, Trustee."

This letter referred to the note of Norman Van Alstyne at four months, for \$700, made in the defendant's favor as trustee of the estate of N. Van Alstyne & Co., and by him endorsed, and also to the demand note of the defendant himself to his own order and which he likewise endorsed. The declaration avers an understanding between the defendant and the bank, that payment of his demand note should not be asked until the maturity of the other note. It then avers a demand of payment and protest of the Van Alstyne note, and the personal liability of the defendant, notwithstanding that he put trustee after his signature. The pleadings raise substantially the question that arose in the case of *Brown et al. v. Archibald et al.**, in which I held that the defendant was personally liable. That judgment was confirmed in appeal with two Judges dissenting there, so that in the result, there were four Judges against the pretension now raised by the defendant, and two in his favor. On reading the report of the case in appeal, I feel myself bound

by the reasoning of Mr. Justice Cross and Mr. Justice Ramsay. In the present case there was a composition by Van Alstyne & Co. with their creditors, following on a previous insolvency, and a trustee, as they called him, was named, *i. e.*, the defendant, just as was done in the case of Archibald and the others. If by the deed of composition in that case the so-called 'trustees' had no power to bind the estate, the present defendant, Stewart, certainly has none. It belonged to the creditors already, and Stewart only had a supervision of it for their benefit. The leading principle maintained in *Brown v. Archibald* is that *the defendant is liable personally unless he can show that he signed as agent for a principal who was bound by the signature.* The proof in the present case is that Stewart gave an undertaking to apply certain proceeds to pay the note. These proceeds were realized, and he applied the money differently. Judgment for plaintiff.

Maclaren & Leet for plaintiff.

Abbott, Tait, Wotherspoon & Abbott for defendant.

COURT OF QUEEN'S BENCH.

MONTREAL, Dec. 22, 1879.

MONK, RAMSAY, TESSIER, CROSS, JJ.,
ROUTHIER, J., *ad hoc.*

HUDON et al. (plffs. below), Appellants, and
RIVARD (T. S. below), Respondent.

Universal usufructuary legatee—Personal liability—Procedure.

The appeal was from a judgment of the Superior Court, Montreal, March 31, 1876, rejecting the appellants' contestation of the declaration made by the *tiers saisi* Rivard.

In appeal, the judgment was reversed, the Court holding

1. A defendant condemned as universal usufructuary legatee of her deceased husband is in the position of a universal legatee, and is personally bound to pay the amount of the judgment.

2. A garnishee who is summoned to declare what he owes to a defendant designated in the writ as a universal usufructuary legatee, is bound to declare what he owes to such defendant personally, as well as what he may owe

* 1 Legal News, 327; 3 Legal News, 42; 24 L. C. J. 85.

to her in her quality of universal legatee or usufructuary.

3. Where the garnishee declared that he could not state what he owed to the defendant personally, inasmuch as the account between them had not been adjusted, the plaintiff was bound to put the garnishee *en demeure*, and to give him time to settle his account with defendant, and then to have him complete his declaration within a certain delay.

4. The Court, at the final hearing of a contestation of the declaration of a garnishee, has a right to revise a ruling which maintained an objection made by the garnishee to declaring what he owed to a universal usufructuary personally.

The judgment in appeal is as follows :—

“ La Cour, etc. . . .

“ Considérant que Dame Anathalie Trudel, veuve de feu David Laurent, en qualité d'usufruitière universelle du dit feu David Laurent, est tenu avec ses co-défendeurs au paiement du jugement rendu sur l'action en cette cause ;

“ Considérant que le tiers saisi était tenu de déclarer, non seulement ce qu'il pouvait devoir à la défenderesse en sa dite qualité d'usufruitière universelle, mais encore ce qu'il peut lui devoir personnellement, et que la Cour Supérieure, dans le jugement rendu à Montréal, le 31 Mars 1876, et dont est appel, a erré en ne mettant pas le dit tiers saisi en demeure de compléter sa déclaration ;

“ Considérant que le jugement dont est appel est erroné sous ce rapport, et que l'état du dossier ne permet pas de rendre un jugement définitif sur la contestation, vu que la déclaration du tiers saisi est incomplète, et que l'interrogatoire du dit tiers saisi a été erronément limité par le juge de première instance ;

“ Adjudge et ordonne que le dossier en cette cause soit retransmis devant la Cour Supérieure, pour que le tiers saisi y complète sa déclaration dans le délai, et au jour à être fixé par la Cour Supérieure, ou soit mis en défaut de la compléter, et pour qu'il y soit procédé ultérieurement sur la contestation et la saisie arrêt, frais réservés pour suivre l'issue du procès suivant l'adjudication ultérieure de la Cour Supérieure.”

R. & L. Laflamme pour appellants.

Loranger, Loranger & Beaudin for respondent.

Hon. T. J. J. Loranger, counsel.

COURT OF REVIEW.

MONTREAL, Dec. 29, 1879.

JOHNSON, JETTÉ, LAFRAMBOISE, JJ.

LALONDE V. ST. DENIS.

[From S. C., Montreal.

Donation—Purchaser of the immoveable donated bound by the obligations of the donee.

The inscription in Review was from a judgment of the Superior Court, Montreal, Rainville, J., July 7, 1879.

JOHNSON, J. The plaintiff, according to custom in this country, gave all her property to her son, among whose obligations was one to furnish a cow while he kept the property. He supplied his mother with the cow, as he had agreed to do ; but he, some time afterwards, sold the property to the defendant, who assumed the son's obligations to the plaintiff. Mother and son lived together à *la fortune du pot* for some time in execution of the deed of donation ; but when the property changed hands, he sold the cow that had hitherto been used by the old lady, who now sues the defendant for the value of the milk. He, the defendant, is no doubt in the shoes of the son, who was the original *donataire* ; and he pleads to the action that the plaintiff permitted, and consented to, the sale of the cow by her son. This, however, is not proved. Then, the defendant pleads that by the terms of the donation the donee was indeed to furnish a cow, which he did ; but was only obliged to furnish another to replace it in case of its death or sickness. The judgment now in review condemned the defendant to pay \$15, and we confirm that judgment. It appears to us quite certain that the defendant is bound to execute the obligations of the donee, who was held to furnish a cow, which the plaintiff is entitled to have and use ; and as long as she does not lose possession of it by any act of her own, she is entitled to have it replaced. It is not because the original donee bound himself specially to furnish another in certain cases that he, or the defendant who is now in his place, should be absolved from furnishing a cow at all.

Judgment confirmed.

Loranger & Co. for plaintiff.

Doutre & Co. for defendant.

SUPERIOR COURT.

MONTREAL, Sept. 25, 1880.

JETTE, J.

ARCHAMBAULT ès qual. v. CITIZENS INS. CO., and
ARCHAMBAULT, mis en cause.

Testamentary Executor—Inventory—Possession.

An inventory made by a testamentary executor or universal legatee in perfect good faith (sincèrement et loyalement) is not invalidated by the omission of unimportant formalities.

A testamentary executor, for the purposes of the execution of the will, is seized of the moveable property of the succession, and may claim possession of it against the legatee (C.C. 918).

The facts of the case were these: E. Z. Archambault died leaving a will, by which he left a number of legacies, including one to his brother, the *mis en cause*, of his life insurance, \$2,000. The plaintiff, his nephew, was appointed universal legatee and testamentary executor. The latter accepted under benefit of inventory, and subsequently, finding the legacies exceeded the value of the estate, he renounced, retaining merely his quality of testamentary executor.

By the present action he claimed the life insurance money, which the Company refused to give up unless the special legatee countersigned the receipt. The special legatee refused to do this, and he was made a party to the cause.

The *mis en cause* pleaded, among other things, that the inventory was not regularly made, and that he, as special legatee, was seized of the life insurance money.

JETTÉ, J., said it appeared by the evidence that the notices for the first meetings were perfectly regular, and that objection was only taken to the notice calling the final meeting. The *mis en cause*, examined as a witness, admitted that the inventory had been made "sincèrement et loyalement." Under these circumstances the special legatee had no grievance, and the objection to the inventory fell to the ground.

Then, as to the possession of the testamentary executor, Articles 918 and 919 of the Civil Code were decisive on this point. Testamentary executors may claim possession of the

moveable property of the succession, even against the legatee.

Judgment for plaintiff.

Archambault & Archambault for plaintiff.

Abbott & Co. for the Insurance Company.

Lacoste & Co. for the *mis en cause*.

RECENT ENGLISH DECISIONS.

Infant—Promise to Marry—Breach of Promise.—In July, 1875, the plaintiff and defendant (both of them then under the age of twenty-one), mutually agreed to marry one another. The engagement continued without any definite understanding as to when the marriage was to take place until March, 1879, when (both having attained the age of twenty-one), the defendant asked the plaintiff, in the presence of her father, to fix the wedding day. She fixed it for the 5th of June, to which the defendant assented; but ultimately he broke his promise. *Held*, by Denman and Lindley, JJ., that what took place in March, 1879, when the wedding day was fixed, was a fresh promise, made after the defendant came of age, and upon a good consideration. *Ditcham v. Worrall*, L. R. 5 C. P. D. 410.

Charter Party—Means for discharging Cargo—Demurrage.—A charter-party was entered into, by which a vessel was to take on board a cargo of steel rails and fastenings, and proceed therewith to the port of East London, in South Africa. In the charter-party was this stipulation: "The cargo is to be discharged with all despatch, according to the custom of the port." The discharge of such a cargo could only be effected there by a warp and lighters. These were under the absolute control of a Company, to which the governmental authorities had transferred all their powers. The Company allowed vessels the use of the warp and lighters in turn, making no exception in favor of any vessels except mail steamers, which, on arriving, were provided for, to the exclusion of other vessels, whether of the Government or of private individuals. The ship arrived at the port, found a great number of vessels there; the number of lighters was insufficient, and the ship could not obtain its turn until more than thirty-one working days had elapsed after its arrival. There was no delay attributable to the master or crew, except what was thus occasioned by the custom of the port. *Held*, that in this case the ship-owner was not entitled to maintain an action against the charterer for demurrage. *Postlethwaite v. Freeland*, L. R. 5 App. Cas. 599.

GENERAL INDEX TO SUBJECTS.

VOL. III.

	PAGES
ACCEPTANCE, Revocation of.....	209, 210
ACCIDENT INSURANCE COMPANIES.....	358
ACCOUNT, Discharge given on first account must be set aside before demanding another account.....	28
Of curator, not contested, held to be admitted.....	24
Réglement.....	273
ACTION, Absence of interest in plaintiff.....	56
Against assignee.....	33
By agent of foreign principal.....	17, 22
By principal on contract made by agent in his own name.....	170
By wife for rent.....	100
Conclusions of, where obligation is alternative.....	34
<i>Couctio indchiti</i>	282
For demolition of bridge.....	33
Hypothecary.....	383
In ejectment a personal action.....	159
<i>In formâ pauperis</i> , costs of depositions, 369, 373	
<i>In formâ pauperis</i> , proceedings after judgment.....	339
<i>In formâ pauperis</i> , revocation of privilege, 315	
Of damages.....	233
<i>Redhibitoire</i> , delay for bringing.....	84
Revocatory, when it is necessary.....	65, 66
Settlement of, in fraud of attorney.....	1, 10, 329, 330
To account.....	28
To change order of hypothecs.....	316
ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE.....	42
ADMISSION, Divisibility of.....	59, 75, 86, 134, 213
ADJUDICATAIRE, Grounds for setting aside <i>décret</i> ..	57
ADVOCATE AND CLIENT, Costs between.....	281, 284
ADVOCATE'S OATH.....	352
ADVOCATE, Remuneration of.....	297
AGENCY.....	43
AGENT, Admissions made by, after termination of agency.....	29
Of foreign principal suing in his own name.....	17, 22
Principal not punishable for agent's disobedient act.....	216
Suing on his principal's contract.....	240
ALIMENTARY ALLOWANCE.....	12, 220
ALTERNATIVE OBLIGATION, failure to give alternative by action.....	34
ALTERATION of street level.....	51
AMENDED DECLARATION, Service of.....	338
AMERICAN LAW REVIEW.....	50
APPEAL, Action to annul letters patent.....	108
Business. See Court of Queen's Bench.	
Failure to give security within the time ordered.....	298
Foreclosure from pleading.....	298
From Circuit Court.....	183, 195
From judgment defining facts for jury trial	108
From judgment of Court of Review affirming judgment of S. C.....	85
From judgment under Insolvent Act.....	109
From interlocutory judgments, 191, 195, 200, 379	
From Privy Council.....	110
From Supreme Court.....	393
<i>In formâ pauperis</i>	308, 397
One writ of, from two judgments.....	299
Petition not filed within the delay.....	196
To Privy Council.....	38, 49, 169, 171, 308, 309, 393
To Privy Council in Election cases.....	38
To Privy Council in injunction cases.....	308
To Privy Council, motion for leave to appeal.....	75, 105, 109
To Queen's Bench from judgment of C. C. in proceeding under Art. 100, Municipal Code.....	69
APPEALS in England, Result of.....	64
Intermediate.....	74
APPOINTMENTS.....	392
ARREST, mistake as to the person.....	237
Probable cause.....	369
ASCENDANT, property reverting to.....	541
ASSAULT.....	351
Conviction a bar to other proceedings.....	154
Indecent.....	139
ASSAULTING a ghost.....	272
ASSESSMENTS illegally exacted, interest.....	282
ASSESSMENT ROLL.....	274
Nullities.....	69
ASSIGNEE'S DISCHARGE, Costs of.....	355
ASSIGNEE, may be represented at sale by deputy..	93
Official, Default of.....	207
Ordinary action of damages against.....	33
ASSUMPSIT.....	391
Evidence as to value of work.....	72
ATTORNEY, Examination of attorney of record as witness.....	143
Fee on letter.....	37
In appeal.....	379
Settlement by parties in fraud of attorney <i>ad litem</i>	1, 10, 329, 330
AUTHORITY of previous decisions.....	249
Respect for.....	18

AVEU. See Admission.		CHINESE, Employment of, in California.....	130
AWARD.....	327	CHITTY.....	272
Motion to set aside.....	326	CHRISTMAS BOXES, Legal.....	408
Must be a fixed sum.....	178	CIRCUIT LIFE, Decline of.....	374
		CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE.....	90
BABY (Mr. Justice), Appointment of.....	353	CLUB, Expulsion from.....	160
BAIL, in case of non-residents in the country ..201, 209		COCKBURN, The late Chief Justice.....	378, 409
Where prisoner had previously fled the country.....	195	CODES.....	113, 169
BAILEE, Sleeping-car company.....	184	CODE, (Civil) of Lower Canada.....	369
BAILEFF, Right to practice in place of original appointment.....	243	COERCIVE IMPRISONMENT.....	255, 314
BAILMENT, valuables left with bathing-house Manager.....	272	Asked for after judgment.....	316
BANK CASHIER.....	306	Form of judgment.....	255
BANKS, Loans by.....	146, 161	COLERIDGE, Chief Justice.....	400
BANKING ACT OF 1871, loans on stock.....	146, 161	COMMENCEMENT OF PROOF.....	59
BANK taking altered draft.....	45	COMMERCIAL MATTER, Agreement between railway Co. and engineer.....	23
Not liable for interest on deposit, after acceptance of check therefor.....	71	COMMISSION, Agent's, on sale of property.....	202
BANKRUPTCY, Liability of bankrupt as stockholder.....	272	For furnishing security on contract.....	232
BAR of Montreal.....	152	COMMITMENT, Signature.....	197
BARRATRY.....	177	COMPANY.....	264
BIGAMY, A singular case of.....	327	Dissolution of.....	185
BILLS AND NOTES. See Note.		Service of summons.....	134
BOARDING HOUSE.....	94	COMPOSITION, Costs of Assignee's discharge.....	355
Lien of boarding house keeper on boarder's effects.....	200	Secret payment in excess of Composition.....	351
BOLINGBROKE on the Law.....	8	Surety.....	318
BRADLAUGH case.....	177	CONDUCTIO INDEBITI, Interest.....	281, 282
BREACH OF PRIVILEGE.....	81	CONGÉ DÉFAUT, Action not returned.....	347
BREVITY of statement.....	328	Motion in appeal.....	392
BUILDING AND JURY FUND, per centage.....	78	CONFESSION, When inadmissible.....	139
BUILDING SOCIETY, Rights of shareholder.....	302	CONSOLIDATION OF STATUTES.....	201
BUILDING SOCIETIES, Right to legislate for liquidation of affairs of.....	61	CONSPIRACY, Each conspirator liable for acts of all.....	240
BURIAL case.....	169	CONSTITUTIONALITY of Dominion Act, 42 Vict., c. 48.....	61
		Of Presbyterian Church Act, 38 Vict. (Que.) c. 64.....	244, 250
CALCRAFT, the hangman.....	48	CONTEMPT OF COURT, Imprisonment for.....	197
CANDIDATE, Personal expenses during the election.....	354	Punishment of.....	345
CAPIAS, After judgment.....	117	Witness.....	337
Appeal to Privy Council.....	49	CONTRACT.....	168
Departure from " Province of Quebec ".....	304	Agent suing in his own name.....	240
Departure with intent to defraud 153, 154, 369		Consideration.....	111
Failure of defendant to explain suspicious circumstances.....	371	Impossibility of performance.....	368
Malicious issue of.....	207	Incomplete.....	115
Return of writ in advance.....	238	In restraint of trade.....	161
Secretion.....	258, 402, 407	Liability of transferee of business.....	234
Service of amended process.....	238	Lien de droit.....	231
Unamended affidavit.....	233, 238	Made by master while ship is in peril.....	99
CARRIER.....	184	Personal liability of person signing as trustee where no principal is bound.....	413
Liability of.....	47	Pleading the right of another under.....	78
Notice limiting liability.....	264	Revocation of offer.....	304
Obligations of.....	386	Sale of insolvent estate.....	364
Telegraph company.....	405	To pay commission for furnishing security.....	232
CERTIORARI, Lapse of time without proceedings.....	159	Warranty.....	350
Omission to make prosecutor a party.....	367	CONTRAINTE PAR CORPS. See Coercive Imprisonment.	
To bring up depositions.....	9, 14	CONTRIBUTORY NEGLIGENCE.....	98
CHALLENGE.....	299	COPYRIGHT.....	48
CHARTER PARTY.....	168	CORONERS' Inquests.....	185
Loading with all despatch.....	170	CORONERS, Jurisdiction of.....	401
Means of discharging cargo.....	416	CORPORATION, Action to set aside resolution of City Council.....	56
		Liability of, for altering level of street.....	51
		Loans by.....	153, 155

(Municipal) Writ of Prohibition to... 274, 277
 (Municipal) Damages caused by construction of necessary works..... 320
 Note made by..... 130
 Responsibility for bad sidewalks..... 406
COSTS..... 347
 Award of..... 41, 61
Congé défaut, motion..... 392
 Counsel arguing his own case..... 336
 Law..... 406
 Letter demanding payment of claim..... 25, 37
 Notice of application for security..... 37
 On land transfers in England..... 16
 Petition to set aside sheriff's sale..... 358
 Revision of judgment on question of..... 219
 Security for..... 143, 184, 298, 303, 304, 373
 Where action is settled in fraud of attorney..... 1, 10
CRIMINAL LAW, Agent disobeying instructions..... 216
 Magazine..... 25, 98
CRITICISM of judgments..... 17
CUMULATIVE SENTENCES..... 376, 393
CURATOR, Must be resident within jurisdiction..... 159
COURT OF APPEAL, (Ontario) Discretionary power to allow amendments..... 336
COURT OF QUEEN'S BENCH..... 193, 217, 225, 226, 241, 266, 297, 402
COURT OF REVIEW, Business of..... 2
COURTS OF APPEAL..... 145
DAMAGES..... 51
 Against Telegraph Company..... 405
 Bad state of sidewalks..... 406
 Criminal prosecution without probable cause..... 230
 Curious case of..... 328
 False arrest, (by mistake)..... 237
 For personal injuries..... 41, 162, 214, 220
 Malicious issue of *capias*..... 207
 Obstruction to navigable river..... 33
 Proof of *force majeure*..... 76
 Street railway company..... 229
 Technical irregularities..... 182
DE BELLEFRUILLE'S Code Civil..... 98
DEBTOR AND CREDITOR..... 153
DECENTRALIZATION..... 97
DECISIONS by a divided court..... 9
DECLARATION, Amended, must be served..... 338
DECLINE (THE) OF CIRCUIT LIFE..... 374
DECRET. See Sheriff's Sale.
DERD, Omission..... 267
DELAY in sending up records..... 401
DELEGATION, Acceptance..... 382
DEMKURK, Putting contractor *en demeure*..... 42
 Putting *en*..... 218
DEPOSIT in Review..... 8
DÉPÔT, Proof of..... 86
DEMURRAGE..... 170, 416
DESTINATION to public use..... 402
DEVLIN, Bernard, Obituary..... 49
DISCHARGE OF JURY before verdict..... 305, 309
DISSIDENTS, school municipality, proof of status..... 20
DIVORCE..... 328
 Cruelty..... 232

DOGS..... 138
DOMINION CONTROVERTED ELECTIONS ACT, Constitutionality of..... 9, 33, 38
DONATION, Liability of purchaser of immoveable given..... 415
 Of moveables, what amounts to actual delivery and public possession..... 91
DOUTRE, Gonzalve..... 80
 (Mr. Joseph), The claim of..... 297
DOWER, Customary law governing..... 285, 290
 Renunciation by wife..... 285, 290
DRUMMOND & CORPORATION of Montreal, Judgment of P.C. commented on..... 51
DRUNKENNESS, Habitual..... 232
DURESS, Contract made under pressure..... 99
DYING DECLARATIONS..... 240
EJECTMENT, Action in..... 159
ELECTION ACT, Constitutionality of..... 9, 33, 38
 (Dominion), sec. 98, hiring teams..... 335
 (Quebec), Action for penalty..... 195
 Ballots..... 409
 Expenses..... 137, 140, 354
 Promise to pay legal expenses..... 334
ELEVATORS, Mixing grain..... 232
EMPLOYEE, Liability for money stolen through his negligence..... 311, 313
ERRORS of legislation..... 49
ESQUIRE, Title of..... 200
EVIDENCE, Accused not obliged to make evidence against himself..... 240
 Admissibility of verbal evidence to prove condition on which stock was subscribed..... 79
 Admission of parol testimony to contradict terms of written receipt..... 143
 Assumpsit..... 301
 Bailiff's return..... 134
 Commencement of proof..... 59
Dépôt of horse..... 86
 Discovered after retirement of jury..... 140
 Dying declarations..... 240
 Grand juror..... 351
 Of contract..... 35
 Of handwriting..... 45
 Of interruption of prescription..... 29
 Parol, of agreement between engineer and railway company..... 23
 Sale..... 391
 Sale in fraud of creditors..... 398
 Taking unanswered interrogatories *pro confesais*..... 331
 The law of..... 113
 To support contestation of declaration of garnishee..... 110
 Under plea of general issue..... 86
 Value of work..... 72
 When testimony of experts is required..... 144
EXCEPTION *à la forme* by two defendants sued as joint tutor..... 349
EXECUTION against railway..... 2
 Effect of attachment in insolvency..... 20
 Guardian..... 325
 Notice of sale..... 117
EXECUTOR..... 416

Causes for removal of	318	INSCRIPTION	195
Powers of	82	By defendant foreclosed from pleading...	37
EXHIBIT	298, 398	For enquête, after discharge of <i>délibéré</i> ,	
EXTRADITION	288	to permit re-opening of enquête.....	92
FIRE INSURANCE. See Insurance.		In review	65, 70, 89
FISHING LEASES, Rivers above tidal water.....	394	<i>En fauce</i>	36, 267
FORENSIC RHETORIC	120	INSOLVENCY, Admission of	101
FRAUD, Settlement in fraud of attorney.....	1, 10	Affidavit for attachment.....	101
Unpunished	209	Discharge of assignee	355
FRAUDULENT alteration of draft.....	386	Proof of status of claimant as creditor....	56
Sale, how it may be attacked	66	INSOLVENT ACT, Effect of appointment of assignee	
FREIGHTER, Liability of	47	on appointment of sequestrator	199
FULLER v. SMITH, Communication.....	18	Indictment under: effect of repeal....	121, 123
GARANT	392	Order under Sec. 68, of Act of 1875.....	243
GARNISHMENT. See <i>Saisie arrêt</i> .		Remedy subsisting under the Act after the	
GLADSTONE on the legal profession.....	73	repeal	381
GRAND JUROR, as witness	351	Repeal of	73, 89, 113, 135, 381
GRAND JURY, Proposed abolition of	97, 106	Action by insolvent after repeal of Act....	242
GUARANTEE, Notice of acceptance.....	351	Debt not disclosed	413
Policy	311, 513	Discharged insolvent not subject to sum-	
GUARDIAN, Consent of relation appointed, must		mary jurisdiction	167
appear	325	Notes given on the eve of insolvency.....	182
Defendant not liable for fees of	86	Registration of hypothec within thirty	
Discharged by lapse of year	413	days	323
Liability of.....	106, 292, 413	INSOLVENT ESTATES, Distribution of	118
HABEAS CORPUS, Appeal.....	394	Fees to Building and Jury Fund from sale	
Where imprisonment is under civil pro-		of	78
cess	106	INSURANCE, Jurisdiction of local legislatures....	326
HARBOR COMMISSIONERS, Suspension of pilot's		INSURANCE, (FIRE), Material fact to be disclosed	
license	338	to insurer	63
Trial of Pilots	142	Preliminary proof	63
HIGH COMMISSIONER of Canada	120	Notice: part of loss payable to creditors..	336
HOMICIDE	368	Ownership of money as between vendor	
HORSE, Apparent defect	84	and purchaser.....	368
HYPOTHEC for future advances, or for credit opened		Payable to mortgagee	129
345, 347, 361		Transfer of	25, 29
Fraudulent preference	323	Premium paid by note	19
To protect endorsement	306	Prior and subsequent insurance.....	335
Without registration	345, 344	Rights of mortgagee.....	265
HYPOTHECATION of property of real owner.....	353	Temporary vacation of premises	232
HYPOTHECARY ACTION, Alienation of immoveable		Vacation of premises	367
Sale of immoveable after institution of....	135	Waiver of condition	160
Without personal conclusions.....	383	INSURANCE (LIFE): Insurable interest	322
HYPOTHECARY CREDITOR, Claim to rent of pro-		INSURANCE (MARINE): Sea-worthiness; protest	
perty	340	of master	124
Protection of	193	Unseaworthy ship.....	368
IDEM SONANS	409	INTELLECTUAL SERVICES, Valuation of.....	204
IDENTITY, Singular case of disputed	352	INTEREST	339
IMPROBATION	36, 267	Depending on mere contingency.....	56
INDECENT ASSAULT	139	Rate of, on debentures	64
INDICTMENT	266	Does not run on overdue coupons.....	64
Amendment of	138, 139	Money borrowed by corporation.....	155
INFANT	416	On bank deposit, ceases from date of ac-	
INJUNCTION	117, 308	ceptance of check	71
By telegraph	265	On money unduly received	281, 282
Evasion of	328	INTERLOCUTORY JUDGMENT.....	183, 191, 195
To party not to execute judgment.....	382	Appeal.....	108
INSCRIPTION	195	Inscription in review	65, 70, 89
By defendant foreclosed from pleading....	37	Powers of Court in rendering final judg-	
For enquête, after discharge of <i>délibéré</i> ,		ment.....	90
to permit re-opening of enquête.....	92	INTERROGATORIES on articulated facts.....	317
In review	65, 70, 89	INTERMEDIATE APPEALS.....	74
<i>En fauce</i>	36, 267	INTERVENTION, Service.....	347
INSOLVENCY, Admission of	101	INVENTORY, Omission of unimportant formalities..	416
Affidavit for attachment.....	101		
Discharge of assignee	355		
Proof of status of claimant as creditor....	56		
INSOLVENT ACT, Effect of appointment of assignee			
on appointment of sequestrator	199		
Indictment under: effect of repeal....	121, 123		
Order under Sec. 68, of Act of 1875.....	243		
Remedy subsisting under the Act after the			
repeal	381		
Repeal of	73, 89, 113, 135, 381		
Action by insolvent after repeal of Act....	242		
Debt not disclosed	413		
Discharged insolvent not subject to sum-			
mary jurisdiction	167		
Notes given on the eve of insolvency.....	182		
Registration of hypothec within thirty			
days	323		
INSOLVENT ESTATES, Distribution of	118		
Fees to Building and Jury Fund from sale			
of	78		
INSURANCE, Jurisdiction of local legislatures....	326		
INSURANCE, (FIRE), Material fact to be disclosed			
to insurer	63		
Preliminary proof	63		
Notice: part of loss payable to creditors..	336		
Ownership of money as between vendor			
and purchaser.....	368		
Payable to mortgagee	129		
Transfer of	25, 29		
Premium paid by note	19		
Prior and subsequent insurance.....	335		
Rights of mortgagee.....	265		
Temporary vacation of premises	232		
Vacation of premises	367		
Waiver of condition	160		
INSURANCE (LIFE): Insurable interest	322		
INSURANCE (MARINE): Sea-worthiness; protest			
of master	124		
Unseaworthy ship.....	368		
INTELLECTUAL SERVICES, Valuation of.....	204		
INTEREST	339		
Depending on mere contingency.....	56		
Rate of, on debentures	64		
Does not run on overdue coupons.....	64		
Money borrowed by corporation.....	155		
On bank deposit, ceases from date of ac-			
ceptance of check	71		
On money unduly received	281, 282		
INTERLOCUTORY JUDGMENT.....	183, 191, 195		
Appeal.....	108		
Inscription in review	65, 70, 89		
Powers of Court in rendering final judg-			
ment.....	90		
INTERROGATORIES on articulated facts.....	317		
INTERMEDIATE APPEALS.....	74		
INTERVENTION, Service.....	347		
INVENTORY, Omission of unimportant formalities..	416		

JETTISON.....	47	MALICIOUS ARREST under <i>capias</i>	207
JOHNSON, Mr. Justice.....	392	MANDATE, Revocation of.....	202
JOINT STOCK COMPANY, Action of shareholder.....	264	MARINE INSURANCE. See Insurance.	
JUDGE, Office of.....	289	MARRIAGE of Roman Catholics by Protestant minister.....	342
Relationship to attorney.....	232, 289, 313	Of white with negro.....	258
Valedictory of.....	185	With deceased wife's sister.....	65, 96
JUDICIAL Appointments.....	378	MARRIED WOMAN. See Wife.	
Business in England.....	105	MARRIED WOMEN in Scotland.....	361
Interruptions.....	264	MARTER AND SERVANT, Desertion from service.....	331
Oath.....	110	Responsibility for money stolen from servant's custody.....	203
Reform.....	377	MATERIAL FACT, Fire Insurance.....	63
System.....	88, 97	MAULE (Judge), Anecdote of.....	376
Surety.....	108, 332	METALLIC FASTENERS.....	112
Work, Distribution of.....	313	MINOR, Breach of promise to marry.....	416
JURISDICTION. See Right of Action.		Contract of.....	332
JURY, Discharge of, before verdict.....	309, 321	Emancipated by marriage.....	332
JURY TRIAL, Option of, form of words.....	85	Tutor cannot buy property of, at sale by licitation.....	114
System.....	290	MISDESCRIPTION, Of immovable sold by sheriff.....	294
KELLY, Chief Baron.....	336, 344	Of immovable sold by assignee.....	256
KERR, Q.C., (W. H.).....	409	MONTREAL, Ottawa and Occidental Railway Co... ..	185
LADY law students.....	24	MOREAU, (Mr.) Q.C., obituary.....	80
LARCENY of lost property.....	144	MORTGAGE. See Hypothec.	
Omission of count in indictment.....	12	MORTGAGEE, Effect of breach of condition of fire insurance policy, on rights of mortgagee.....	25, 29
LAW COSTS.....	406	MUNICIPAL CODE, Appeal from judgment in a proceeding under Art. 100.....	69
In England.....	249	Art. 970, "rate-payer".....	317
LAW REFORM.....	17	MUNICIPAL CORPORATION, Writ of prohibition to, 274, 277	
LAWYERS, Longevity of.....	120	MURDER under peculiar circumstances.....	216
LAWYER, Oldest practising.....	138	MUTUAL BUILDING SOCIETY, Property available as security.....	58
Fee on letter giving notice of claim.....	25, 37	MUTUAL INSURANCE CO.	327
LEASE, Sub-letting, contrary to stipulation of.....	355	Assessments on premium note.....	239, 241
Unhealthy state of premises.....	134	NATURAL BARRIER, Removal of.....	344
LEGAL Adviser's salary.....	256	NAVIGABLE RIVER.....	33
Christmas boxes.....	408	Obstruction of channel.....	121
LEGISLATION.....	401	NEGLIGENCE, Condonation of.....	203
Criticism of.....	353	Contributory.....	98
Effect of general words against special privilege.....	277	Fall of snow from roof.....	76
LENNOXVILLE Law Faculty.....	346	Non-delivery of telegram.....	405
LESSEE of hypothecated property.....	340	Of railway employees.....	162
LESSOR AND LESSEE.....	300	Taking forged draft.....	386
Sub-lease.....	355	NEW TRIAL.....	144
LETTERS PATENT, Action to annul; delay for appeal.....	108	NOTARY, Appeal by, to protect his character.....	36
Parliamentary title.....	335	NOTE (Promissory), accommodation.....	160
LIABILITY for loss by fraudulent alteration of draft.....	385, 386	Affixing stamps in appeal.....	130, 194
LIBEL, Damages for, where defendant has been punished in Criminal Court.....	84	Composition.....	231
Jurisdiction of magistrate.....	144	Made by wife.....	171
Justification of.....	139	NUISANCE, Obstructing the channel of a navigable river.....	121
In way of profession.....	72		
What is a.....	257	OATH, Of Advocate.....	352
LICENSE ACT (Quebec).....	94	Of members of Parliament.....	177
LIFE INSURANCE. See Insurance.		OBITUARY, Messrs. Holt, Brehaut, Delisle.....	56
LODGER.....	94	OBLIGATION, Absolute.....	218
LOSS, by fraud of third party, which of two innocent persons shall bear.....	386	Interest on.....	339
		OPPOSITION, to <i>ventidioni exponas</i>	117
MACDONELL (John A.), The case of.....	81		
MAGISTRATE, Mistake in designation.....	267		

PATENT, Proof that invention was in use before patent was obtained, not admissible under plea of general issue.....	86	RAPE.....	144
PAUPER. (See Action in formâ pauperis; Appeal in formâ pauperis).		RATE-PAYER.....	317
PERRILS of the sea.....	47	REGISTRATION.....	263, 323, 379
PERJURY.....	138	Of judgment against vendor after sale of immoveable, but before registration of sale.....	5
Witness sworn by competent authority	209, 211	Of tutelle.....	12
PERSONAL INJURIES, Measure of damages 41, 162, 214, 220		Privilege.....	242
PILOT.....	142, 338	REGISTRARS, Responsibility of.....	329, 332
PLEA, Of general issue, to action for infringement		RECEIVING STOLEN GOODS, Indictment for, where no evidence is adduced that goods were stolen by another person.....	12
of patent.....	86	RENT paid in advance.....	340
To merits, delay.....	152	RESERVED CASE, Reservation must be preceded by a conviction.....	9, 16
PLEAS, Preliminary, waiver.....	128	RETAINER.....	281, 284
PLEADING.....	315, 349	REVIEW, Court of.....	2
Attacking fraudulent deed.....	66	Court of, will revise judgment where dispute is only on a question of costs.....	219
Brevity in.....	120	Delay before argument.....	8
Lost, original replaced by copy.....	28	Deposit.....	8
Special replication to special answer.....	338	Judgment defining facts for jury trial not susceptible of review.....	77
The right of another.....	78	Judgment of confirmation final.....	85
When all the parties to fraudulent deed need not be called in.....	66	Order cancelling appointment of bailiff not susceptible of review.....	77
POSSESSION.....	133	Order under Sec. 68 of Insolvent Act, 1875.....	243
PRECEDENCE of cases on the roll.....	198	REVOCATORY ACTION, When it should be resorted to.....	65, 66
Table of.....	80	RIGHT OF ACTION.....	129, 136, 196, 239, 241
PREMIUM, Insurance, paid by note.....	19	ROBERTSON, Q.C., (Andrew).....	97
PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH UNION.....	244, 250	ROLL, In appeal, postponement of case.....	199
PRESCRIPTION.....	182	ROMAN CATHOLIC BISHOP, Reference to.....	342
Actions of damages resulting from offences or quasi offences.....	51	ROOKE, (Judge), Anecdote of.....	16
Of interest.....	339	SAISIE ARRÊT, Action for money attached in hands of defendant.....	171
Public street.....	402	Attachment of debt which did not exist at time of service.....	116
Two years', action for value of wood taken away.....	26	Declaration of garnishee.....	414
PRINCIPAL AND AGENT.....	20	Seizure of immovable.....	381
Principal suing on contract made by agent.....	170	SAISIE GAGERIE, Rent not due.....	115
PRIVILEGED CASES.....	42	SAISIE REVENDICATION.....	133
PRIVILEGES OF PARLIAMENT.....	81	SALE by assignee, misdescription.....	256
PRIVY COUNCIL, Appeal.....	49	Contract.....	111
Appeal to, in election cases.....	38	Evidence of.....	391
PRIZE ESSAY.....	233	In fraud of creditors.....	66, 398
PROCEDURE, Inscription in Review.....	65, 70, 89	Hypothees on property sold.....	410
Missing original of plea supplied by copy.....	28	Of horse, apparent defect.....	84
Re-inscription for enquête.....	92	Of insolvent estate, part of assets not delivered.....	364
See Appeal; Costs; Right of Action; Execution; New Trial; Opposition, &c.		Of moveable successively to two persons.....	166
PROFESSIONAL REMUNERATION.....	297	Of railway under execution.....	2
PROHIBITION.....	273, 277	Of stolen goods.....	225
PROVINCIAL LEGISLATURES, Jurisdiction of.....	201	Of succession, warranty.....	87
PUBLIC OFFICER, Extra work performed by.....	204	Of timber limits.....	350
PUBLIC STREET, Destination.....	402	On orders obtained by agents.....	129, 136
PURCHASE, Evidence.....	35	Resiliation.....	379
QUEBEC LICENSE ACT.....	94	Without delivery.....	171
QUEEN'S COUNSEL, Appointments.....	343	SCHOOL TAXES, Proof of status as dissentients.....	20
RAILWAYS, Accident at street crossing.....	98	SEAMEN, Estates of deceased.....	321
Award under Railway Act.....	178	SEAWORTHINESS.....	124
Rights of passengers.....	344	SECRETION.....	258, 402, 407
Right to seize and sell under execution.....	2	Of effects under seizure.....	314
HAMSAV, (Mr. Justice), Memorandum about the Court of Queen's Bench.....	226, 266		

SECURITY, Application to give security after the 15 days.....	195	TACIT RECONDUCTION.....	204, 300
Bond, condition.....	85	TELEGRAPH COMPANY, Rights of person to whom the message is addressed.....	405
In appeal... 129, 143, 194, 208, 309, 378, 392,	412	TENDER.....	23
For appeal to Privy Council.....	109	Conditional.....	412
For costs, notice of motion for.....	37	TEXAS.....	400
For costs, one of two plaintiffs absent.....	373	THESIGER, Lord Justice.....	369, 408
SELLING LIQUOR WITHOUT LICENSE.....	50	THOMPSON, Isaac G.....	50
SENTENCE, Cumulative.....	376, 393	TICHBORNE CASE.....	393
SEQUESTRATOR.....	263	TIMBER LIMITS.....	350
Appointment of, not revoked by appoint- ment of assignee.....	199	TITLES.....	265
SERVANT, Desertion from service.....	331	TRADE MARK, Exclusive use of brands.....	272
SERVICE upon company.....	134	The law of.....	105, 112
Upon president, secretary or agent.....	379	TRADERS' books of account.....	337
SETTING FIRE to manufactured lumber.....	266	TRIAL by Jury.....	351
SETTLEMENT of accounts.....	273	Challenge.....	299
SEXTON, Recorder.....	96	TRUSTEE, Personal liability for contract signed as trustee.....	43, 93, 413
SHAREHOLDER'S action against company.....	264	TUTOR, Buying property of pupil.....	114
SHERIFF'S SALE, Costs on petition to vacate.....	358	TUTORSHIP, Registration of.....	12
Fraud of purchaser.....	357	UNIVERSAL LEGATEE, Possession.....	416
Mis-description of property.....	57, 234	UNIVERSAL USUFRUCTUARY LEGATEE.....	414
Nullities which may be invoked.....	133	VENDITIONI EXPONAS.....	117
SHIP, Contract made by master, while vessel is in peril.....	99	VENUE, Change of.....	144
Liability of registered owner.....	356	VERDICT.....	144
Rights of mortgagee.....	76	WAGERING CONTRACT.....	264
SIDEWALKS, Responsibility of adjoining proprie- tors.....	406	WARRANTOR.....	362
SIGNATURE, adding term "trustees" to signature	43, 413	WARRANTY, Sale of succession.....	87
SLANDER, Non-actionable words.....	57	WATER COURSE, Right to flow of water.....	8
SLEEPING-CAR COMPANY.....	184	WIFE, Authorization by husband to make note....	171
SOLICITORS' LETTERS.....	289	Excluded by husband from his home.....	220
SOLICITORS AND WITNESSES.....	184	Hypothecation of wife's property for debt of community.....	349
STAMPS, Affixing in appeal.....	194	Liability of, for necessaries.....	128, 301
Cancellation of.....	213	Pledging credit of husband.....	129, 268
Double stamping in appeal.....	130	Renunciation of hypothecary rights.....	329, 330
Liability of insurance companies for amounts collected for stamps.....	118	Right to sue for rent.....	100
STATUTE 42 and 43 Vict., cap. 3., s. 30.....	50	WILL.....	137, 312
(Can.), 42 Vict., c. 48, unconstitutionality of	61	Creation of substitution.....	114
When it takes effect.....	135	Interpretation of.....	82
STREET RAILWAY, Uneven road-bed.....	229	Obliteration of words.....	8
SUBROGATION.....	47, 362	WITNESSES.....	184
SUBSCRIPTION of Stock, proof of condition.....	79	In contempt must appear in person.....	337
To hospital.....	347	Protection order.....	400
SUBSTITUTION.....	114	WOOD, Banquet to Sir John Evelyn Wood.....	16
SUCCESSION, Ascendant.....	341	WRIT, Description of plaintiff.....	200
Sale of.....	87	Of error.....	309
SUNDAY WORK.....	177		
SUPREME COURT.....	145, 410		
Appeal to Privy Council.....	393		
SURETY, Endorser of composition notes.....	318		
For costs in appeal.....	412		
Judicial.....	332		
Of official assignee, Liability of.....	207		
Replacing insolvent surety.....	108		