

## NEW : GOODS

PERFUMES,  
HAIR BRUSHES,  
TOOTH BRUSHES.

---

**CAIRNCROSS & LAWRENCE**  
Chemists and Druggists,  
253 DUNDAS STREET,  
wt.

---

**MAN AND HORSE.**

---

Professor Gleason Masters the Elitist

A large crowd, including many ladies, saw Professor Gleason's performance last night at the Queen's Avenue Rink. The exhibition was daring and skilful, some of the stunts being always entertaining. In addition the spectators received a good deal of information in regard to the handling of horses, and the pointers will doubt be useful to many. The professor again condemned the use of the overcheck by the bitners. He also emphatically denounced the "locking" of the reins. His notifications of the mane or tail of a well-known horseman present got the advantage of this, and one of them

The performance started off with the breaking in of two nervous horses by Mr. Benson's admirable method—band music, snare drums, bass drums, bells, fire-crackers, whistles, paper flags, etc. The event of the evening was his tussle with "Tommy O." the champion pacer owned by Mr. Peck, of Athol, Mass. The horse, which is a beauty, was muzzled at first. "Throwing"

out. The animal showed signs of gentleness, but he ought to be taught. The professor's prodigious muscle and skill triumphed in the end, and "Tommy O" lay snoring at the feet of his master. The muzzle was then removed and the horse, which seemed to have been cured of his snoring habit, attempted no violence on his master. The professor then turned to give him to his mouth. "Professor Gleason was loudly applauded for the victory. It was his hardest battle he had experienced, he said. His host subject was a remarkably voracious kicker that created a good deal of trouble by its decided objections to carry anything in its mouth. But the animal gave in, and eventually was driven around as quiet as a lamb, though prodded the rear.

"Professor Gleason will give two performances today—afternoon and evening. Tonight, Tommy will receive his first lesson. The other three horses will be given the same treatment. The price has been, many said,

**State of Trade.**—The New York, March 18.—Dun, Wiman & Co.'s weekly review of trade says: Storms, weather and bad roads have much retarded trading during the past week, resulting in a comparatively small clearing of oil trade in many cities, but nevertheless reports indicate on the whole improvement in the distribution of goods and a fairly active clearing of stocks. Some branches of trade during the last seven days for the States 208, and for Canada 22; total as compared with 246 last week and 250 the week previous. Last week's clearing of oil amounted to 100,000 barrels, the figures being 275, representing 225 in the United States and 40 in Canada.

symptoms of Catarrh—Headache, obstruction of the nose, discharges falling into the throat, sometimes profuse, watery, aerid, at others, thick, tenacious, purulent, bloody, putrid and offensive; eyes red, watering, inflamed; ears, nose and throat, sore; smell and taste impaired, general debility. Only a few of these symptoms likely to be present at once. Sage's Remedy cures the worst cases, 50 cents. Sold by druggists everywhere, 5000 a cure. Either would be profitable.

**You Know This Person Who Writes to Leonard & Wilson, Seneca.**  
Some time ago I received a bottle of the Royal Government Balm of Fir and it was invaluable in removing a case of rheumatism with which my daughter was afflicted. I shall be glad to testify to its efficacy, and to the kindness of Leonard & Wilson, Seneca.

in place send at your earliest con-  
 venience. *J. BLACK,*  
 Fast avenue, Hamilton, Ont.  
 or sold by *ARMISTEAD & NATHAN,* 349  
 Adelaide street, city. *vt*

---

**New York Store.**  
  
*GROCERIES,*  
*WINES and*  
*LIQUORS,*  
 Wholesale and Retail

B. SMITH,  
Dundas Street and 9  
Market Square.



## SPECIAL NOTICES

...furniture cheaper than ever. Get your  
 ruites, easy chairs, etc., reduced  
 before the busy season, at **THAYFORD'S**, 55  
 of 7 King street.

Teeth without plates; gold and porcelain  
 crown; established fifteen years. **J. H.**  
 Bitter oranges arrived at **R. MONTGOMERY'S**.

Ice cream and lunch parlors. Hot lunch  
 1 hours. Pastry fresh daily. **Con-**  
 tending, near Talbot. **J. FRIEDL, Dundas**  
 71

T. C. Thornhill, 402 Talbot street, opti-  
 cian, watchmaker, jeweler and engraver,  
 we watches a specialty. Also umbrellas and  
 repaired. **St. James**

America's Greatest Railroad Excursion  
 to Washington and New York.  
 Via New York Central and Pennsylvania  
 Railroads. Personally conducted. Through  
 sleeping cars 5:40 p.m., Monday, March  
 11, arrive at Washington Tuesday morn-  
 ing, 11:30. Tickets cost to return by air  
 and returning via train in ten days. Only \$10  
 for the round trip. Stop over allowed at  
 Baltimore and Philadelphia on \$10  
 tickets, and at New York as well as those  
 at hotels and for side trips to Old Point  
 Comfort, Mount Vernon, etc. Call on  
 nearest New York Central agent, or ad-  
 dress **EDSON J. WYKES, No. 1 Exchange**  
 Building, Buffalo, N. Y., for information and  
 illustrated circular. 89-a

A practical cook book containing nearly  
 1000 valuable receipts free to housekeepers  
 and traveling. **STRONG'S** drug store, 184  
 Dundas street. 47 if

Wabash Line.  
 A man going west should remember the  
 Wabash. Wabash trains run to all the  
 east and southwest points. It runs the  
 fastest equipped trains on earth; the only  
 one using the palace reclining-chairs cars  
 (free) from Detroit to St. Louis, Kansas  
 City and Omaha. All trains go through  
 the great tunnel at St. Louis. Special  
 parties for California, weekly time tables  
 and all information from your nearest  
 ticket agent, or **J. A. RICHARDSON, Cana-**  
 dian Passenger Agent, Toronto. 31-first

From the Jews of Death.  
 Some surprising cures have been record-  
 ed from the use of Miller's Emulsion of Cod  
 Liver Oil in the most desperate cases of  
 consumption. When all other remedies  
 have failed Miller's Emulsion nearly always  
 succeeds. It is the best kind of a flesh and  
 blood maker, and it cures all diseases  
 that succeed by the physicians of the  
 Dispensary Asylum, Penitentiary, Hotel Dieu,  
 and General Hospital in Kingston, Ont. In-  
 gredients, 50 cents and \$1 at London drug  
 stores.

W. S. Sibley, who for the past four years kept  
 the Wabash and Wellington stables and  
 drove 3122 horses, has retired and contem-  
 plates a new kind. Home-made pies a specialty. 77

More Excursion to Washington, D.  
 C., on April 25, via the Most Picturesque  
 Route, the Erie and New York Central, Erie  
 and Lehigh Valley Railways.  
 Just one more chance to see the sunny  
 North before the hot weather sets in.  
 Every person who is desirous of traveling  
 at night-seeing should avail themselves of  
 it. Grand opportunity to see the world at cost.  
 The small cost of \$10 round trip Suspension  
 Bridge to Washington, with the privilege  
 of visiting Baltimore and Philadelphia on  
 our return home. Tickets will be on sale  
 at the Suspension Bridge at 4:40 p.m. Magnifi-  
 cent vestibule Pullman sleepers will run  
 direct to Washington. For further in-  
 formation apply to **S. J. SHARP, 19 Wel-**  
 lington street east, Toronto. 44g ed

Notice of Removal.  
 J. Fulcher, formerly of Toronto, has removed  
 his business to the Gustin House stable on  
 Dundas street. Saddle horses will be sent  
 to any part of the city, and riding lessons  
 will be given as before. Telephone 1000  
 ywt

Ten dollars will buy beautiful children  
 Large stock fancy chairs, bedroom  
 sets, etc. Best \$1 chair in the city  
 for 75c. **J. BRYANTON, 123 King street. Tele-**  
 phone 479. ywt

K. D. K. is "worth its weight in gold,"  
 sells like hot cakes," is really all it recom-  
 mended, "an excellent remedy" and "a  
 certain dyspepsia cure" ever offered to the  
 public. See testimony.

America's Greatest Railroad Excursion  
 to Washington and New York  
 Via New York Central and Pennsylvania  
 Railroads. Personally conducted. Through  
 sleeping cars 5:40 p.m., Monday,  
 March 21, arrive at Washington Tuesday  
 morning, 11:30. Tickets cost to return by  
 air and returning via train in ten days. Only \$10  
 for the round trip. Stop over allowed at  
 Baltimore and Philadelphia on \$10  
 tickets, and at New York as well as those  
 at hotels and for side trips to Old Point  
 Comfort, Mount Vernon, etc. Call on  
 nearest New York Central agent, or ad-  
 dress **EDSON J. WYKES, No. 1 Exchange**  
 Building, Buffalo, N. Y., for information and  
 illustrated circular. 100c twt

Everything ready-We have everything  
 already now to help you with your house-  
 cleaning. A very large and choice stock  
 of oil on wallpaper and a first-class staff of  
 workmen. All you have to do is make  
 up your mind, and even this will be easy,  
 for our prices are to nice this year, and  
 prices so low that you will be easily suited,  
 we can also show you a fine line of win-  
 dow shades, corner poles, picture hang-  
 ings, etc. Come in to see our stock at our new  
 place, and we will be glad to show them. **E. N.**  
**WENT, 190 Dundas street. ywt**

A social catechism-"And what do you  
 think of a man?" "One who can do  
 without the world." "And by a fool?"  
 "One who fancies that the world cannot do  
 without him."

Minard's Liniment cures Colds, etc.  
 Why is a watchdog larger at night than  
 by day? Because he knows the thief is out at  
 night and taken in is in the morning.

There is nothing more unreasonable than  
 a man who thinks nothing right except  
 what he himself has done.

Mr. J. B. Seale, of Toronto, writes: "A  
 friend of mine suffering from kidney  
 trouble and dyspepsia, sour stomach and  
 constipation, in fact, I was completely pro-  
 strated by suffering intense pain. While in  
 this state a friend recommended me to try  
 Minard's Liniment, and Lyman's Vegetable  
 Discovery. I used one bottle, and the per-  
 sonal manner in which it has cured and  
 delivered a new man of me is such that I cannot  
 withhold from the proprietors this ex-  
 pression of my gratitude.

K. D. K. the Dyspepsia Life. V.  
 100c package mailed to any address. **R. C.**  
**Company, New Glasgow, Nova**  
**Scotia. 72-21-st**







## The Advertiser

Founded by John Cameron in 1863.

**THE DAILY ADVERTISER.**  
Published twice a week, on Tuesdays and Fridays, in duplicate form, making sixteen pages each week.  
By mail, per year, \$1.00.  
By mail, per quarter, \$0.25.  
By mail, per month, \$0.08.  
By mail, per week, \$0.02.  
By mail, per day, \$0.005.

**THE WESTERN ADVERTISER.**  
Published twice a week, on Tuesdays and Fridays, in duplicate form, making sixteen pages each week.  
By mail, per year, \$1.00.  
By mail, per quarter, \$0.25.  
By mail, per month, \$0.08.  
By mail, per week, \$0.02.  
By mail, per day, \$0.005.

**WIVES AND DAUGHTERS.**  
Monthly sixteen pages, when ordered separately, per year, \$1.00.  
By mail, per year, \$1.00.  
By mail, per quarter, \$0.25.  
By mail, per month, \$0.08.  
By mail, per week, \$0.02.  
By mail, per day, \$0.005.

**JOHN CAMERON, President and Manager.**

**ADVERTISING RATES.**  
Made known on application at office. Address all communications to  
**ADVERTISING PRINTING CO.,**  
LONDON, CANADA.

**BRANCH OFFICES:**  
Toronto—E. Dickie, 20 Dundas Avenue.  
Montreal—J. G. Macdonald, 100 St. James Street.  
St. John's—J. G. Macdonald, 100 St. James Street.

**God's in His Heaven,  
All's right with the world.**  
—[BROWNING.]

London, Saturday, March 19.

Though Sir John Thompson is evidently inclined to delay facing the important issues growing out of the London election case, the law of compensation is at work. The longer the case is before the public, the more attention is directed to it, the worse for those who are in the wrong.

The Montreal Star, a strong supporter of the Dominion Government, denounces the admission of Messrs. Baird and Carling into the House of Commons and adds: "Neither of these men should sit in Parliament. Neither was fairly elected, and in both cases violence has been done to the faith of the people in public institutions. The Conservative party will study its own interests if it allows Mr. Baird to fight for his seat at the polls, and sends Mr. Carling to the Senate."

Why to the Senate? Surely a man that the majority of the people of London have expressed their desire to keep out of Parliament should not be placed in either one or other of the Houses, whether by wholesale robbery or by deliberate pitchforking.

**A COSTLY PILGRIMAGE.**  
A great many people have wondered why the cost of governing Canada has nearly doubled during the past dozen years.

There is nothing strange about it to those who have time to dissect the annual reports of the Auditor-General at Ottawa. His report, which was the subject of some discussion in the House of Commons on Friday night, gives ample proof of the prodigious manner in which the people's taxes are dispensed among favorites. To pay legal expenses alone the country was made to give up \$94,055 last year, exclusive of the numerous sums paid to lawyers in the boodle investigations. For paying over the interest on the public debt no less a sum than \$36,299 was paid to London agents, despite the fact that when the country was asked to buy and stock a palace for Sir Charles Tupper in London a guarantee was given that he would manage the payment of interest on the public debt and save the expense of agents. But a far worse exposure of what amounts to nothing more nor less than speculation of the public funds, under guise of legal appropriation, is laid bare by the Auditor-General. Over \$8,000 were voted on Friday night for "minor salaries and contingencies" connected with the office of the High Commissioner in London. This is an enormous sum, and if it is made up in the same manner as the "contingencies" of last year Parliament should have refused to vote it until such time as Sir Charles Tupper recoups the country for his overdrawings of public funds. Sir Charles Tupper was brought over to this country presumably to enter on trade negotiations with the United States, but, as Sir John Macdonald afterwards averred in the House, really at his request to go on the stump as an active partisan in the campaign of February and March, 1891. It was bad enough for a Dominion Government official, drawing public money contributed by both political parties, to go on the stump as an advocate of one of them, and to grossly traduce and insult nearly one-half of the people of the Dominion. But it was positively indecent for him to compel the country to pay the whole of his expenses while engaged in running up and down the country the bitterest partisan of all. The public accounts, however, contain the evidence of that fact. Sir Charles charged \$10 a day for 78 days expenses, and collected that sum, in addition to his salary and pickings of between \$15,000 and \$20,000. Sir John Thompson had no excuse for these payments, but he attempted to evade responsibility for them by stating that Sir Charles Tupper's conduct had been discussed last year. That is a very lame explanation. Tupper's conduct was discussed, but his bill was then kept out of sight.

The country had no more right to pay Tupper's expenses while touring through Canada than it had to pay hundreds of similar charges that in the aggregate run off with hundreds of thousands of dollars. Taxpayers may well ask why they are kept poor paying much higher prices for what they have to buy than are paid in Great Britain. If they had to pay the actual tax in cash every time they bought their supplies, instead of having to contribute the money by concealed indirect taxation on the goods, they would speedily rise up against all taxing parasites of the Tupper tribe. And there are many of them.

**THE ONLY FAIR PLAN.**  
[Lacknow Speech.]  
The right to vote should be limited to the constituency in which an elector actually resides.

**REMEDIES FOR A GREAT EVIL.**  
[Toronto Mail.]  
One of the two things is required to remedy a great evil we suffer from. The London Advertiser says: "Canada at present has enough legislators, big and little, for a population of 20,000,000. We must either get rid of some of the legislators or secure more people. The former would be the easier and the latter the better remedy."

Captain W. H. Flowers, one of the best known pilots in the country, died Friday evening in Memphis, Tenn.

## CURIOSITIES OF TRADE AND NAVIGATION.

The Canadian Trade and Navigation Returns for the year ending June 30, 1891, show the following comparisons:

Total exports..... 1901. 1890.  
Total imports..... 112,575,628 121,872,241  
Total foreign trade \$238,194,214 \$234,677,229  
We did \$237,700,000 in 1873 and \$239,000,000 in 1883.

Won't progress under this "progressive" Government. Since 1870 the United States foreign trade has increased \$900,000,000; i.e., her increase in twenty years is equal to nearly three times our whole trade.

Then again see our trade with the United States kept on increasing before the McKinley Bill got in its claws:

Exports and imports to 1891. 1890.  
Exports to Great Britain \$1,224,384 \$1,743,503  
Imports from Great Britain \$1,224,384 \$1,743,503  
Exports to United States \$1,224,384 \$1,743,503  
Imports from United States \$1,224,384 \$1,743,503

They decreased \$100,000 with Great Britain while they increased \$2,000,000 with the United States. Half the business of our great trunk lines of railway is American business, which could be shut out in a day by the removal of the bonding privileges.

Our vessels have not the right of trading between two American ports which practically shuts them out of the trade. And yet Col. Denison, that loyalist of the day, wants us to deepen our canals to a uniform depth of 20 feet so that American vessels can have free passage.

Surely he would not think that an expenditure of \$60,000,000 or \$100,000,000 more was justified to insure passage of Canadian shipping.

Our total tonnage on the upper lakes and rivers is but a trifle greater than the Americans are building every year for the same service.

Ohio, south of the lake, finds her profitable trade with the people of this continent. That trade is just as essential to us north of Lake Erie, and must come. When it goes the prosperity of Ontario will be great if it goes greater than that of Ohio. Her population will increase as does that of Ohio and Michigan. In the meantime the scramble continues for appropriations for constitutions and personal boodle. Instead of getting prosperity by development of trade in its natural sources the Canadian individual and the constituency are led to hope only for relief through Government assistance. The remedy only adds to the difficulties of those who are not included in the grab, and so it goes on, burdening 100 persons out of every 1,000 in the country.

**WHY THEY LEAVE THE FARM.**  
Conservative members of the Legislature have been lamenting about the dullness of farming, and the difficulty of keeping boys on the farm. They allege that the education given in the public schools is to blame. This trying to shift the blame on the schools might well be given up. Every honest inquirer will discover that the average boy and girl leave the farm because they believe they will make a better living at something else. The best way to make farm life attractive is to make farming increasingly profitable. Farmers who now have to let their sons and daughters leave the farm and look for jobs elsewhere would be able to keep them at home and make life to all of them more tolerable but for the excessive indirect taxation levied for the benefit of companies and to spend on useless extravaganzas decreed by the Ottawa manipulators.

Make farm life easier and more remunerative, and there will be little occasion to ask the question, Why don't the boys and girls stay on the farm?

**"GOD'S IN HIS HEAVEN, ALL'S RIGHT WITH THE WORLD."**  
The able but somewhat eccentric Mitchell Recorder, speaking of the stealing of Mr. Hyman's seat, says: "All's not right" in the world, and certainly not right in London, and therefore the Advertiser should haul down its motto:

"God's in His Heaven,  
All's right with the world."  
Our contemporary must have patience, and it should think straight.

A candidate and a community have been defrauded; therefore, says the Recorder, God's not in His Heaven. As well might some impatient Mitchell Recorder of the days of Judge Jeffreys and Charles the Second have exclaimed, God's not in His Heaven. As well might the down-trodden slave before the American Civil War have protested despairingly, God's not in His Heaven. Yet in the case of Judge Jeffreys and of the insolent slaveholder, God was looking on, though men knew it not. The God-defying Jeffreys ended his life in wretchedness and contempt, dying like a poisoned rat in a hole. The American slaveholder was overthrown, like the Egyptian slaveholder of more ancient time, and the slave was freed.

Wrong and oppression and injustice in the world? More than enough. But no man who reads history, whether sacred or secular, with unprejudiced eye, can fail to see evidence of the constant presence of an ever-watchful, over-ruling and punishing Providence, and in that large and true sense subscribe to Browning's motto:

"God's in His Heaven,  
All's right with the world."

**THE ONLY FAIR PLAN.**  
[Lacknow Speech.]  
The right to vote should be limited to the constituency in which an elector actually resides.

**REMEDIES FOR A GREAT EVIL.**  
[Toronto Mail.]  
One of the two things is required to remedy a great evil we suffer from. The London Advertiser says: "Canada at present has enough legislators, big and little, for a population of 20,000,000. We must either get rid of some of the legislators or secure more people. The former would be the easier and the latter the better remedy."

Captain W. H. Flowers, one of the best known pilots in the country, died Friday evening in Memphis, Tenn.

## ONE OF LORD STANLEY'S MINISTERS.

When Lord Stanley was called upon to approve of Mr. Carling's resignation from the Senate he may have reflected on the vast difference between British and Canadian political methods. The British House of Lords is not favorably regarded by the British democracy, but it has never been the instrument of such a piece of politics as that in which Mr. Carling took part. Mr. Carling was fairly beaten at the polls in 1891, but Sir John Macdonald, by taking him into the Senate, not only allowed him to retain his position in the Cabinet but made him practically a member for London, according to the Tory theory of representation. That theory is that the duty of a member of Parliament is not to take part in deliberations upon public affairs, but to get appointments, public buildings and other good things for his constituents. The Tories, however, were not satisfied with having in Parliament a man who had been rejected by the people; nothing would satisfy them but that the man who had been chosen by the people should be driven back to the polls in 1891, but Sir John Macdonald, by taking him into the Senate, not only allowed him to retain his position in the Cabinet but made him practically a member for London, according to the Tory theory of representation. That theory is that the duty of a member of Parliament is not to take part in deliberations upon public affairs, but to get appointments, public buildings and other good things for his constituents. The Tories, however, were not satisfied with having in Parliament a man who had been rejected by the people; nothing would satisfy them but that the man who had been chosen by the people should be driven back to the polls in 1891, but Sir John Macdonald, by taking him into the Senate, not only allowed him to retain his position in the Cabinet but made him practically a member for London, according to the Tory theory of representation. That theory is that the duty of a member of Parliament is not to take part in deliberations upon public affairs, but to get appointments, public buildings and other good things for his constituents. The Tories, however, were not satisfied with having in Parliament a man who had been rejected by the people; nothing would satisfy them but that the man who had been chosen by the people should be driven back to the polls in 1891, but Sir John Macdonald, by taking him into the Senate, not only allowed him to retain his position in the Cabinet but made him practically a member for London, according to the Tory theory of representation. That theory is that the duty of a member of Parliament is not to take part in deliberations upon public affairs, but to get appointments, public buildings and other good things for his constituents. The Tories, however, were not satisfied with having in Parliament a man who had been rejected by the people; nothing would satisfy them but that the man who had been chosen by the people should be driven back to the polls in 1891, but Sir John Macdonald, by taking him into the Senate, not only allowed him to retain his position in the Cabinet but made him practically a member for London, according to the Tory theory of representation. That theory is that the duty of a member of Parliament is not to take part in deliberations upon public affairs, but to get appointments, public buildings and other good things for his constituents. The Tories, however, were not satisfied with having in Parliament a man who had been rejected by the people; nothing would satisfy them but that the man who had been chosen by the people should be driven back to the polls in 1891, but Sir John Macdonald, by taking him into the Senate, not only allowed him to retain his position in the Cabinet but made him practically a member for London, according to the Tory theory of representation. That theory is that the duty of a member of Parliament is not to take part in deliberations upon public affairs, but to get appointments, public buildings and other good things for his constituents. The Tories, however, were not satisfied with having in Parliament a man who had been rejected by the people; nothing would satisfy them but that the man who had been chosen by the people should be driven back to the polls in 1891, but Sir John Macdonald, by taking him into the Senate, not only allowed him to retain his position in the Cabinet but made him practically a member for London, according to the Tory theory of representation. That theory is that the duty of a member of Parliament is not to take part in deliberations upon public affairs, but to get appointments, public buildings and other good things for his constituents. The Tories, however, were not satisfied with having in Parliament a man who had been rejected by the people; nothing would satisfy them but that the man who had been chosen by the people should be driven back to the polls in 1891, but Sir John Macdonald, by taking him into the Senate, not only allowed him to retain his position in the Cabinet but made him practically a member for London, according to the Tory theory of representation. That theory is that the duty of a member of Parliament is not to take part in deliberations upon public affairs, but to get appointments, public buildings and other good things for his constituents. The Tories, however, were not satisfied with having in Parliament a man who had been rejected by the people; nothing would satisfy them but that the man who had been chosen by the people should be driven back to the polls in 1891, but Sir John Macdonald, by taking him into the Senate, not only allowed him to retain his position in the Cabinet but made him practically a member for London, according to the Tory theory of representation. That theory is that the duty of a member of Parliament is not to take part in deliberations upon public affairs, but to get appointments, public buildings and other good things for his constituents. The Tories, however, were not satisfied with having in Parliament a man who had been rejected by the people; nothing would satisfy them but that the man who had been chosen by the people should be driven back to the polls in 1891, but Sir John Macdonald, by taking him into the Senate, not only allowed him to retain his position in the Cabinet but made him practically a member for London, according to the Tory theory of representation. That theory is that the duty of a member of Parliament is not to take part in deliberations upon public affairs, but to get appointments, public buildings and other good things for his constituents. The Tories, however, were not satisfied with having in Parliament a man who had been rejected by the people; nothing would satisfy them but that the man who had been chosen by the people should be driven back to the polls in 1891, but Sir John Macdonald, by taking him into the Senate, not only allowed him to retain his position in the Cabinet but made him practically a member for London, according to the Tory theory of representation. That theory is that the duty of a member of Parliament is not to take part in deliberations upon public affairs, but to get appointments, public buildings and other good things for his constituents. The Tories, however, were not satisfied with having in Parliament a man who had been rejected by the people; nothing would satisfy them but that the man who had been chosen by the people should be driven back to the polls in 1891, but Sir John Macdonald, by taking him into the Senate, not only allowed him to retain his position in the Cabinet but made him practically a member for London, according to the Tory theory of representation. That theory is that the duty of a member of Parliament is not to take part in deliberations upon public affairs, but to get appointments, public buildings and other good things for his constituents. The Tories, however, were not satisfied with having in Parliament a man who had been rejected by the people; nothing would satisfy them but that the man who had been chosen by the people should be driven back to the polls in 1891, but Sir John Macdonald, by taking him into the Senate, not only allowed him to retain his position in the Cabinet but made him practically a member for London, according to the Tory theory of representation. That theory is that the duty of a member of Parliament is not to take part in deliberations upon public affairs, but to get appointments, public buildings and other good things for his constituents. The Tories, however, were not satisfied with having in Parliament a man who had been rejected by the people; nothing would satisfy them but that the man who had been chosen by the people should be driven back to the polls in 1891, but Sir John Macdonald, by taking him into the Senate, not only allowed him to retain his position in the Cabinet but made him practically a member for London, according to the Tory theory of representation. That theory is that the duty of a member of Parliament is not to take part in deliberations upon public affairs, but to get appointments, public buildings and other good things for his constituents. The Tories, however, were not satisfied with having in Parliament a man who had been rejected by the people; nothing would satisfy them but that the man who had been chosen by the people should be driven back to the polls in 1891, but Sir John Macdonald, by taking him into the Senate, not only allowed him to retain his position in the Cabinet but made him practically a member for London, according to the Tory theory of representation. That theory is that the duty of a member of Parliament is not to take part in deliberations upon public affairs, but to get appointments, public buildings and other good things for his constituents. The Tories, however, were not satisfied with having in Parliament a man who had been rejected by the people; nothing would satisfy them but that the man who had been chosen by the people should be driven back to the polls in 1891, but Sir John Macdonald, by taking him into the Senate, not only allowed him to retain his position in the Cabinet but made him practically a member for London, according to the Tory theory of representation. That theory is that the duty of a member of Parliament is not to take part in deliberations upon public affairs, but to get appointments, public buildings and other good things for his constituents. The Tories, however, were not satisfied with having in Parliament a man who had been rejected by the people; nothing would satisfy them but that the man who had been chosen by the people should be driven back to the polls in 1891, but Sir John Macdonald, by taking him into the Senate, not only allowed him to retain his position in the Cabinet but made him practically a member for London, according to the Tory theory of representation. That theory is that the duty of a member of Parliament is not to take part in deliberations upon public affairs, but to get appointments, public buildings and other good things for his constituents. The Tories, however, were not satisfied with having in Parliament a man who had been rejected by the people; nothing would satisfy them but that the man who had been chosen by the people should be driven back to the polls in 1891, but Sir John Macdonald, by taking him into the Senate, not only allowed him to retain his position in the Cabinet but made him practically a member for London, according to the Tory theory of representation. That theory is that the duty of a member of Parliament is not to take part in deliberations upon public affairs, but to get appointments, public buildings and other good things for his constituents. The Tories, however, were not satisfied with having in Parliament a man who had been rejected by the people; nothing would satisfy them but that the man who had been chosen by the people should be driven back to the polls in 1891, but Sir John Macdonald, by taking him into the Senate, not only allowed him to retain his position in the Cabinet but made him practically a member for London, according to the Tory theory of representation. That theory is that the duty of a member of Parliament is not to take part in deliberations upon public affairs, but to get appointments, public buildings and other good things for his constituents. The Tories, however, were not satisfied with having in Parliament a man who had been rejected by the people; nothing would satisfy them but that the man who had been chosen by the people should be driven back to the polls in 1891, but Sir John Macdonald, by taking him into the Senate, not only allowed him to retain his position in the Cabinet but made him practically a member for London, according to the Tory theory of representation. That theory is that the duty of a member of Parliament is not to take part in deliberations upon public affairs, but to get appointments, public buildings and other good things for his constituents. The Tories, however, were not satisfied with having in Parliament a man who had been rejected by the people; nothing would satisfy them but that the man who had been chosen by the people should be driven back to the polls in 1891, but Sir John Macdonald, by taking him into the Senate, not only allowed him to retain his position in the Cabinet but made him practically a member for London, according to the Tory theory of representation. That theory is that the duty of a member of Parliament is not to take part in deliberations upon public affairs, but to get appointments, public buildings and other good things for his constituents. The Tories, however, were not satisfied with having in Parliament a man who had been rejected by the people; nothing would satisfy them but that the man who had been chosen by the people should be driven back to the polls in 1891, but Sir John Macdonald, by taking him into the Senate, not only allowed him to retain his position in the Cabinet but made him practically a member for London, according to the Tory theory of representation. That theory is that the duty of a member of Parliament is not to take part in deliberations upon public affairs, but to get appointments, public buildings and other good things for his constituents. The Tories, however, were not satisfied with having in Parliament a man who had been rejected by the people; nothing would satisfy them but that the man who had been chosen by the people should be driven back to the polls in 1891, but Sir John Macdonald, by taking him into the Senate, not only allowed him to retain his position in the Cabinet but made him practically a member for London, according to the Tory theory of representation. That theory is that the duty of a member of Parliament is not to take part in deliberations upon public affairs, but to get appointments, public buildings and other good things for his constituents. The Tories, however, were not satisfied with having in Parliament a man who had been rejected by the people; nothing would satisfy them but that the man who had been chosen by the people should be driven back to the polls in 1891, but Sir John Macdonald, by taking him into the Senate, not only allowed him to retain his position in the Cabinet but made him practically a member for London, according to the Tory theory of representation. That theory is that the duty of a member of Parliament is not to take part in deliberations upon public affairs, but to get appointments, public buildings and other good things for his constituents. The Tories, however, were not satisfied with having in Parliament a man who had been rejected by the people; nothing would satisfy them but that the man who had been chosen by the people should be driven back to the polls in 1891, but Sir John Macdonald, by taking him into the Senate, not only allowed him to retain his position in the Cabinet but made him practically a member for London, according to the Tory theory of representation. That theory is that the duty of a member of Parliament is not to take part in deliberations upon public affairs, but to get appointments, public buildings and other good things for his constituents. The Tories, however, were not satisfied with having in Parliament a man who had been rejected by the people; nothing would satisfy them but that the man who had been chosen by the people should be driven back to the polls in 1891, but Sir John Macdonald, by taking him into the Senate, not only allowed him to retain his position in the Cabinet but made him practically a member for London, according to the Tory theory of representation. That theory is that the duty of a member of Parliament is not to take part in deliberations upon public affairs, but to get appointments, public buildings and other good things for his constituents. The Tories, however, were not satisfied with having in Parliament a man who had been rejected by the people; nothing would satisfy them but that the man who had been chosen by the people should be driven back to the polls in 1891, but Sir John Macdonald, by taking him into the Senate, not only allowed him to retain his position in the Cabinet but made him practically a member for London, according to the Tory theory of representation. That theory is that the duty of a member of Parliament is not to take part in deliberations upon public affairs, but to get appointments, public buildings and other good things for his constituents. The Tories, however, were not satisfied with having in Parliament a man who had been rejected by the people; nothing would satisfy them but that the man who had been chosen by the people should be driven back to the polls in 1891, but Sir John Macdonald, by taking him into the Senate, not only allowed him to retain his position in the Cabinet but made him practically a member for London, according to the Tory theory of representation. That theory is that the duty of a member of Parliament is not to take part in deliberations upon public affairs, but to get appointments, public buildings and other good things for his constituents. The Tories, however, were not satisfied with having in Parliament a man who had been rejected by the people; nothing would satisfy them but that the man who had been chosen by the people should be driven back to the polls in 1891, but Sir John Macdonald, by taking him into the Senate, not only allowed him to retain his position in the Cabinet but made him practically a member for London, according to the Tory theory of representation. That theory is that the duty of a member of Parliament is not to take part in deliberations upon public affairs, but to get appointments, public buildings and other good things for his constituents. The Tories, however, were not satisfied with having in Parliament a man who had been rejected by the people; nothing would satisfy them but that the man who had been chosen by the people should be driven back to the polls in 1891, but Sir John Macdonald, by taking him into the Senate, not only allowed him to retain his position in the Cabinet but made him practically a member for London, according to the Tory theory of representation. That theory is that the duty of a member of Parliament is not to take part in deliberations upon public affairs, but to get appointments, public buildings and other good things for his constituents. The Tories, however, were not satisfied with having in Parliament a man who had been rejected by the people; nothing would satisfy them but that the man who had been chosen by the people should be driven back to the polls in 1891, but Sir John Macdonald, by taking him into the Senate, not only allowed him to retain his position in the Cabinet but made him practically a member for London, according to the Tory theory of representation. That theory is that the duty of a member of Parliament is not to take part in deliberations upon public affairs, but to get appointments, public buildings and other good things for his constituents. The Tories, however, were not satisfied with having in Parliament a man who had been rejected by the people; nothing would satisfy them but that the man who had been chosen by the people should be driven back to the polls in 1891, but Sir John Macdonald, by taking him into the Senate, not only allowed him to retain his position in the Cabinet but made him practically a member for London, according to the Tory theory of representation. That theory is that the duty of a member of Parliament is not to take part in deliberations upon public affairs, but to get appointments, public buildings and other good things for his constituents. The Tories, however, were not satisfied with having in Parliament a man who had been rejected by the people; nothing would satisfy them but that the man who had been chosen by the people should be driven back to the polls in 1891, but Sir John Macdonald, by taking him into the Senate, not only allowed him to retain his position in the Cabinet but made him practically a member for London, according to the Tory theory of representation. That theory is that the duty of a member of Parliament is not to take part in deliberations upon public affairs, but to get appointments, public buildings and other good things for his constituents. The Tories, however, were not satisfied with having in Parliament a man who had been rejected by the people; nothing would satisfy them but that the man who had been chosen by the people should be driven back to the polls in 1891, but Sir John Macdonald, by taking him into the Senate, not only allowed him to retain his position in the Cabinet but made him practically a member for London, according to the Tory theory of representation. That theory is that the duty of a member of Parliament is not to take part in deliberations upon public affairs, but to get appointments, public buildings and other good things for his constituents. The Tories, however, were not satisfied with having in Parliament a man who had been rejected by the people; nothing would satisfy them but that the man who had been chosen by the people should be driven back to the polls in 1891, but Sir John Macdonald, by taking him into the Senate, not only allowed him to retain his position in the Cabinet but made him practically a member for London, according to the Tory theory of representation. That theory is that the duty of a member of Parliament is not to take part in deliberations upon public affairs, but to get appointments, public buildings and other good things for his constituents. The Tories, however, were not satisfied with having in Parliament a man who had been rejected by the people; nothing would satisfy them but that the man who had been chosen by the people should be driven back to the polls in 1891, but Sir John Macdonald, by taking him into the Senate, not only allowed him to retain his position in the Cabinet but made him practically a member for London, according to the Tory theory of representation. That theory is that the duty of a member of Parliament is not to take part in deliberations upon public affairs, but to get appointments, public buildings and other good things for his constituents. The Tories, however, were not satisfied with having in Parliament a man who had been rejected by the people; nothing would satisfy them but that the man who had been chosen by the people should be driven back to the polls in 1891, but Sir John Macdonald, by taking him into the Senate, not only allowed him to retain his position in the Cabinet but made him practically a member for London, according to the Tory theory of representation. That theory is that the duty of a member of Parliament is not to take part in deliberations upon public affairs, but to get appointments, public buildings and other good things for his constituents. The Tories, however, were not satisfied with having in Parliament a man who had been rejected by the people; nothing would satisfy them but that the man who had been chosen by the people should be driven back to the polls in 1891, but Sir John Macdonald, by taking him into the Senate, not only allowed him to retain his position in the Cabinet but made him practically a member for London, according to the Tory theory of representation. That theory is that the duty of a member of Parliament is not to take part in deliberations upon public affairs, but to get appointments, public buildings and other good things for his constituents. The Tories, however, were not satisfied with having in Parliament a man who had been rejected by the people; nothing would satisfy them but that the man who had been chosen by the people should be driven back to the polls in 1891, but Sir John Macdonald, by taking him into the Senate, not only allowed him to retain his position in the Cabinet but made him practically a member for London, according to the Tory theory of representation. That theory is that the duty of a member of Parliament is not to take part in deliberations upon public affairs, but to get appointments, public buildings and other good things for his constituents. The Tories, however, were not satisfied with having in Parliament a man who had been rejected by the people; nothing would satisfy them but that the man who had been chosen by the people should be driven back to the polls in 1891, but Sir John Macdonald, by taking him into the Senate, not only allowed him to retain his position in the Cabinet but made him practically a member for London, according to the Tory theory of representation. That theory is that the duty of a member of Parliament is not to take part in deliberations upon public affairs, but to get appointments, public buildings and other good things for his constituents. The Tories, however, were not satisfied with having in Parliament a man who had been rejected by the people; nothing would satisfy them but that the man who had been chosen by the people should be driven back to the polls in 1891, but Sir John Macdonald, by taking him into the Senate, not only allowed him to retain his position in the Cabinet but made him practically a member for London, according to the Tory theory of representation. That theory is that the duty of a member of Parliament is not to take part in deliberations upon public affairs, but to get appointments, public buildings and other good things for his constituents. The Tories, however, were not satisfied with having in Parliament a man who had been rejected by the people; nothing would satisfy them but that the man who had been chosen by the people should be driven back to the polls in 1891, but Sir John Macdonald, by taking him into the Senate, not only allowed him to retain his position in the Cabinet but made him practically a member for London, according to the Tory theory of representation. That theory is that the duty of a member of Parliament is not to take part in deliberations upon public affairs, but to get appointments, public buildings and other good things for his constituents. The Tories, however, were not satisfied with having in Parliament a man who had been rejected by the people; nothing would satisfy them but that the man who had been chosen by the people should be driven back to the polls in 1891, but Sir John Macdonald, by taking him into the Senate, not only allowed him to retain his position in the Cabinet but made him practically a member for London, according to the Tory theory of representation. That theory is that the duty of a member of Parliament is not to take part in deliberations upon public affairs, but to get appointments, public buildings and other good things for his constituents. The Tories, however, were not satisfied with having in Parliament a man who had been rejected by the people; nothing would satisfy them but that the man who had been chosen by the people should be driven back to the polls in 1891, but Sir John Macdonald, by taking him into the Senate, not only allowed him to retain his position in the Cabinet but made him practically a member for London, according to the Tory theory of representation. That theory is that the duty of a member of Parliament is not to take part in deliberations upon public affairs, but to get appointments, public buildings and other good things for his constituents. The Tories, however, were not satisfied with having in Parliament a man who had been rejected by the people; nothing would satisfy them but that the man who had been chosen by the people should be driven back to the polls in 1891, but Sir John Macdonald, by taking him into the Senate, not only allowed him to retain his position in the Cabinet but made him practically a member for London, according to the Tory theory of representation. That theory is that the duty of a member of Parliament is not to take part in deliberations upon public affairs, but to get appointments, public buildings and other good things for his constituents. The Tories, however, were not satisfied with having in Parliament a man who had been rejected by the people; nothing would satisfy them but that the man who had been chosen by the people should be driven back to the polls in 1891, but Sir John Macdonald, by taking him into the Senate, not only allowed him to retain his position in the Cabinet but made him practically a member for London, according to the Tory theory of representation. That theory is that the duty of a member of Parliament is not to take part in deliberations upon public affairs, but to get appointments, public buildings and other good things for his constituents. The Tories, however, were not satisfied with having in Parliament a man who had been rejected by the people; nothing would satisfy them but that the man who had been chosen by the people should be driven back to the polls in 1891, but Sir John Macdonald, by taking him into the Senate, not only allowed him to retain his position in the Cabinet but made him practically a member for London, according to the Tory theory of representation. That theory is that the duty of a member of Parliament is not to take part in deliberations upon public affairs, but to get appointments, public buildings and other good things for his constituents. The Tories, however, were not satisfied with having in Parliament a man who had been rejected by the people; nothing would satisfy them but that the man who had been chosen by the people should be driven back to the polls in 1891, but Sir John Macdonald, by taking him into the Senate, not only allowed him to retain his position in the Cabinet but made him practically a member for London, according to the Tory theory of representation. That theory is that the duty of a member of Parliament is not to take part in deliberations upon public affairs, but to get appointments, public buildings and other good things for his constituents. The Tories, however, were not satisfied with having in Parliament a man who had been rejected by the people; nothing would satisfy them but that the man who had been chosen by the people should be driven back to the polls in 1891, but Sir John Macdonald, by taking him into the Senate, not only allowed him to retain his position in the Cabinet but made him practically a member for London, according to the Tory theory of representation. That theory is that the duty of a member of Parliament is not to take part in deliberations upon public affairs, but to get appointments, public buildings and other good things for his constituents. The Tories, however, were not satisfied with having in Parliament a man who had been rejected by the people; nothing would satisfy them but that the man who had been chosen by the people should be driven back to the polls in 1891, but Sir John Macdonald, by taking him into the Senate, not only allowed him to retain his position in the Cabinet but made him practically a member for London, according to the Tory theory of representation. That theory is that the duty of a member of Parliament is not to take part in deliberations upon public affairs, but to get appointments, public buildings and other good things for his constituents. The Tories, however, were not satisfied with having in Parliament a man who had been rejected by the people; nothing would satisfy them but that the man who had been chosen by the people should be driven back to the polls in 1891, but Sir John Macdonald, by taking him into the Senate, not only allowed him to retain his position in the Cabinet but made him practically a member for London, according to the Tory theory of representation. That theory is that the duty of a member of Parliament is not to take part in deliberations upon public affairs, but to get appointments, public buildings and other good things for his constituents. The Tories, however, were not satisfied with having in Parliament a man who had been rejected by the people; nothing would satisfy them but that the man who had been chosen by the people should be driven back to the polls in 1891, but Sir John Macdonald, by taking him into the Senate, not only allowed him to retain his position in the Cabinet but made him practically a member for London, according to the Tory theory of representation. That theory is that the duty of a member of Parliament is not to take part in deliberations upon public affairs, but to get appointments, public buildings and other good things for his constituents. The Tories, however, were not satisfied with having in Parliament a man who had been rejected by the people; nothing would satisfy them but that the man who had been chosen by the people should be driven back to the polls in 1891, but Sir John Macdonald, by taking him into the Senate, not only allowed him to retain his position in the Cabinet but made him practically a member for London, according to the Tory theory of representation. That theory is that the duty of a member of Parliament is not to take part in deliberations upon public affairs, but to get appointments, public buildings and other good things for his constituents. The Tories, however, were not satisfied with having in Parliament a man who had been rejected by the people; nothing would satisfy them but that the man who had been chosen by the people should be driven back to the polls in 1891, but Sir John Macdonald, by taking him into the Senate, not only allowed him to retain his position in the Cabinet but made him practically a member for London, according to the Tory theory of representation. That theory is that the duty of a member of Parliament is not to take part in deliberations upon public affairs, but to get appointments, public buildings and other good things for his constituents. The Tories, however, were not satisfied with having in Parliament a man who had been rejected by the people; nothing would satisfy them but that the man who had been chosen by the people should be driven back to the polls in 1891, but Sir John Macdonald, by taking him into the Senate, not only allowed him to retain his position in the Cabinet but made him practically a member for London, according to the Tory theory of representation. That theory is that the duty of a member of Parliament is not to take part in deliberations upon public affairs, but to get appointments, public buildings and other good things for his constituents. The Tories, however, were not satisfied with having in Parliament a man who had been rejected by the people; nothing would satisfy them but that the man who had been chosen by the people should be driven back to the polls in 1891, but Sir John Macdonald, by taking him into the Senate, not only allowed him to retain his position in the Cabinet but made him practically a member for London, according to the Tory theory of representation. That theory is that the duty of a member of Parliament is not to take part in deliberations upon public affairs, but to get appointments, public buildings and other good things for his constituents. The Tories, however, were not satisfied with having in Parliament a man who had been rejected by the people; nothing would satisfy them but that the man who had been chosen by the people should be driven back to the polls in 1891, but Sir John Macdonald, by taking him into the Senate, not only allowed him to retain his position in the Cabinet but made him practically a member for London, according to the Tory theory of representation. That theory is that the duty of a member of Parliament is not to take part in deliberations upon public affairs, but to get appointments, public buildings and other good things for his constituents. The Tories, however, were not satisfied with having in Parliament a man who had been rejected by the people; nothing would satisfy them but that the man who had been chosen by the people should be driven back to the polls in 1891, but Sir John Macdonald, by taking him into the Senate, not only allowed him to retain his position in the Cabinet but made him practically a member for London, according to the Tory theory of representation. That theory is that the duty of a member of Parliament is not to take part in deliberations upon public affairs, but to get appointments, public buildings and other good things for his constituents. The Tories, however, were not satisfied with having in Parliament a man who had been rejected by the people; nothing would satisfy them but that the man who had been chosen by the people should be driven back to the polls in 1891, but Sir John Macdonald, by taking him into the Senate, not only allowed him to retain his position in the Cabinet but made him practically a member for London, according to the Tory theory of representation. That theory is that the duty of a member of Parliament is not to take part in deliberations upon public affairs, but to get appointments, public buildings and other good things for his constituents. The Tories, however, were not satisfied with having in Parliament a man who had been rejected by the people; nothing would satisfy them but that the man who had been chosen by the people should be driven back to the polls in 1891, but Sir John Macdonald, by taking him into the Senate, not only allowed him to retain his position in the Cabinet but made him practically a member for London, according to the Tory theory of representation. That theory is that the duty of a member of Parliament is not













## CURE

Sick headache and relief of the troubles incident to a bilious state of the system, such as indigestion, flatulence, constipation, distress after eating, pain in the bowels, etc. While these most reliable cures have been shown in curing many cases, they are not the only ones.

## HEAD

As the blood is the life of the body, so the head is the seat of the mind. When the blood is impure, the head is affected, and the mind is troubled. Carter's Little Liver Pills are the only cure for this condition.

## ACHE

Is the cause of many of the troubles of the body. It is caused by an impure blood, and is cured by Carter's Little Liver Pills.

Small Pills. Small Dose. Small Price.

## The Little Minister.

By J. M. Burke.

Author of "Window Interiors," "When a Man's Single," "My Lady Nicotine," etc.

"But I knew," the gypsy said, "that the Thurns people would be very unhappy until they discovered where you got the money I am to take to you."

"You should not have said that," interposed Gavin. "I cannot utter such a deception."

"They will foster it without your help," the Egyptian said. "Besides, if you choose, you can say that you got the money from a friend."

"You can say that," Nanny continued, "and I will be glad to remark a little bitterly."

"There is no fear of Nanny telling any one that the friend is a gypsy girl."

"No, no," agreed Nanny, again losing patience. "I will say, let us see. It is a queer to be befriended by an Egyptian."

"It is scarcely respectable," Babbie said.

"It is," answered Nanny.

"I suppose Nanny's unintentional cruelty did hurt Babbie as much as Gavin thought."

"She winced, and her face had two expressions. Her mouth curled as if to tell the minister that gratitude was nothing to her, but her eyes had to struggle to keep back a tear."

"Gavin was touched and saw it, and for moment they were two people who understood each other."

"I, at least," Gavin said in a low voice, "will know who is the benefactor, and think none the worse of her because she is a gypsy."

"This Babbie smiled gratefully to him, and then both looked at the minister."

"Nanny remarking to the minister, 'But I would have been very angry if she had told Enoch that the minister was to take his tea here. Say! I wouldn't touch it!'"

"To Nanny the table presented a rich appearance, for beside the teapot there were butter and loaf-bread and cheeses, a biscuit of which only Babbie knows the secret."

"Draw up your chair, Mr. Dishart," his hostess said in suppressed excitement.

"Yes," said Babbie, "you take this chair, Mr. Dishart, and Nanny will have that one, and I can sit between them on the stool."

"Keep us!" she exclaimed; "the lassie thinks her and me to sit down with the minister! We're not taking that length, Babbie; we're just to stand and serve him, and you will sit down when he has risen."

"Delightful!" said Bobby clapping her hands. "Nanny, you know on that side of him, and I will kneel as she says. You will hold the butter and I will do it."

"But Gavin, as this girl was always for getting, was a lord of creation."

"Sit down both of you at once," he thundered. "I command you."

"Then the two women fell into their seats, Nanny in terror, Babbie affecting it."

## CHAPTER XV.

To Nanny it was a dining experience to sit at the head of her own table, and with assumed calmness, invite the minister not to spare the loaf-bread. Babbie's prattle, and even Gavin's answers, were but an indistinct noise to her, as he little regarded, in the excitement of watching whether Mr. Dishart noticed that there was a knife for the butter, as the minister's eyes were on the teapot.

Every time Gavin's eyes went to his lips Nanny calculated (correctly) how much he had drunk, and yet, when the right moment arrived, she asked in the English voice that is fashionable at ceremonies, "if his cup was room."

"Perhaps it was well that Nanny had these matters to engross her for though Gavin spoke freely, he was saying nothing of last night's adventures, and some of the remarks to the Egyptian, if preserved of the calmer contemplation of the morrow, might have seemed frivolous to himself. Usually his observations were scrambled for, like his peace at a wedding, but to-day they were

only for one person. Infected by the Egyptian's high spirits, Gavin had laid aside the minister with his hat, and what was left was only a young man in a white shirt and a pair of trousers, who had assumed his feet at the thought of a soldier's cloak now wanted to be reminded of it. The little minister, who used to address himself in terms of scorn every time he wasted an hour, was at present dallying with a teapot. He even laughed boisterously, flinging back his head, and little knew that behind Nanny's smiling face was a terrible dread, because his chair had once given way before.

Even though our thoughts are not with our company, the mention of our names in a bell to which we always answer. Hearing hers Nanny started.

"You can tell me, Nanny," the Egyptian had said with an arch look at the little minister.

"Oh, Nanny, for shame! How can you expect to follow our conversation when you only listen to Mr. Dishart?"

"She is saying, Nanny," Gavin broke in, almost gaily for a minister, "that she saw me recently wearing a cloak. You know that I have no such thing."

"Na," Nanny answered artlessly, "you have just the thin brown coat with the bird around it, forby the one you have on the now."

"You see," Gavin said to Babbie, "I could not have a new necktie, not to speak of a cloak, without everybody in Thurns knows about it. I dare say Nanny knows all about the bird, and even what it cost."

"Three bawbes the yard at Kyowow's shop," replied Nanny promptly, and your mother's cloak does not suit. Fair weather has the mawwos o't on his topcoat; no that it has the same look on him."

"Nevertheless," Babbie persisted, "I am sure the minister has a cloak; but, perhaps, he is ashamed of it. No doubt it is hidden away in the garret."

"Na, we would have kent o't if it was there," said Nanny.

"But it may be in a chest, and the chest may be locked," the Egyptian suggested.

"Ay, but the key is in the garret isna locked," Nanny answered.

"How do you get to know all these things, Nanny?" asked Gavin, sighing.

"Your congregation tells me. Nannybody would lay by news about a minister."

"But how do they know?"

"I dinna ken. They just find out, because they're so fond of you."

"I hope they will never become so fond of me as that," said Babbie. "Still, Nanny, the minister's cloak is hidden somewhere."

"Loth, what would make him hid it?" demanded the old woman. "Folk that has cloaks doesna bury them in boxes."

As the word "bury" Gavin's hand fell on the table, and he turned to Nanny apprehensively.

"That would depend on how the cloak was got," said the old Egyptian. "If it was not his own."

"Lassie," cried Nanny, "behave yourself!"

"Or if he found it in his possession against his will," suggested Gavin slyly, "he might have got it from some one who picked it up cheap."

"From a wife, for instance," said Babbie, whereupon Gavin suddenly became interested in the floor.

"Ay, ay," the minister was hitting at you there, Babbie," Nanny explained, "for to say you made off with the captain's cloak. The Thurns folk wondered less at your taking it than at your not keeping it. It's said to be a mighty grand."

"It was rather like the one the minister's wife gave him," said Babbie.

"The minister has neither a wife nor a cloak," retorted Nanny.

"The minister has neither a wife nor a cloak," retorted Nanny.

"The minister has neither a wife nor a cloak," retorted Nanny.

"The minister has neither a wife nor a cloak," retorted Nanny.

"The minister has neither a wife nor a cloak," retorted Nanny.

"The minister has neither a wife nor a cloak," retorted Nanny.

"The minister has neither a wife nor a cloak," retorted Nanny.

"The minister has neither a wife nor a cloak," retorted Nanny.

"The minister has neither a wife nor a cloak," retorted Nanny.

"The minister has neither a wife nor a cloak," retorted Nanny.

"The minister has neither a wife nor a cloak," retorted Nanny.

"The minister has neither a wife nor a cloak," retorted Nanny.

"The minister has neither a wife nor a cloak," retorted Nanny.

"The minister has neither a wife nor a cloak," retorted Nanny.

"The minister has neither a wife nor a cloak," retorted Nanny.

"The minister has neither a wife nor a cloak," retorted Nanny.

"The minister has neither a wife nor a cloak," retorted Nanny.

"The minister has neither a wife nor a cloak," retorted Nanny.

"The minister has neither a wife nor a cloak," retorted Nanny.

"The minister has neither a wife nor a cloak," retorted Nanny.

"The minister has neither a wife nor a cloak," retorted Nanny.

"The minister has neither a wife nor a cloak," retorted Nanny.

"The minister has neither a wife nor a cloak," retorted Nanny.

"The minister has neither a wife nor a cloak," retorted Nanny.

"The minister has neither a wife nor a cloak," retorted Nanny.

"The minister has neither a wife nor a cloak," retorted Nanny.

"The minister has neither a wife nor a cloak," retorted Nanny.

"The minister has neither a wife nor a cloak," retorted Nanny.

"The minister has neither a wife nor a cloak," retorted Nanny.

"The minister has neither a wife nor a cloak," retorted Nanny.

"The minister has neither a wife nor a cloak," retorted Nanny.

"The minister has neither a wife nor a cloak," retorted Nanny.

"The minister has neither a wife nor a cloak," retorted Nanny.

"The minister has neither a wife nor a cloak," retorted Nanny.

"The minister has neither a wife nor a cloak," retorted Nanny.

"The minister has neither a wife nor a cloak," retorted Nanny.

"The minister has neither a wife nor a cloak," retorted Nanny.

"The minister has neither a wife nor a cloak," retorted Nanny.

"The minister has neither a wife nor a cloak," retorted Nanny.

"The minister has neither a wife nor a cloak," retorted Nanny.

"The minister has neither a wife nor a cloak," retorted Nanny.

"The minister has neither a wife nor a cloak," retorted Nanny.

"The minister has neither a wife nor a cloak," retorted Nanny.

"The minister has neither a wife nor a cloak," retorted Nanny.

"The minister has neither a wife nor a cloak," retorted Nanny.

WOODS THAT BURN.

THOU SHIP OF EARTH.

Thou ship of earth, with death, and birth, and life and death abroad,

And fire? Desire burning hotly in the hold;

I fear thee, I fear thee, for I hear the tongue and eye

At battle, the deck, and the wild mutineers are bit

The dewdrop may fall from off the petal of thy eye.

But all is dark and wet with blood and stains the gale red.

A pilot, G. a pilot! for the helm is left awry.

And the best sailors in the ship lie there among the dead!

—Sidney Lanier.

SUNLIGHT SOAP.

ON WASH DAY. IT WILL COMFORT YOU.

SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

Don't Worry!!

Try

SUNLIGHT SOAP

ON WASH DAY. IT WILL COMFORT YOU.

SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

IN SCOTCH ENGLISH AND IRISH WOOLENS.

Manufacturers of the Highest Grades and Newest Styles

Rubber Boots & Shoes

ALSO ALL KINDS MECHANICAL RUBBER GOODS,

BELTING, - PACKING, - HOSE - ETC

Toronto Rubber Co. of Canada, (Ltd.)

Warehouse and Offices, 23 King Street West, Toronto.

Factories at Port Dalhousie, Ont.

T. McILROY, JUN. AND M. MACPHERSON, MANAGING DIRECTORS

WE SELL

The Hartman Flexible Wire Mat,



