





**IMAGE EVALUATION
TEST TARGET (MT-3)**



**Photographic
Sciences
Corporation**

23 WEST MAIN STREET
WEBSTER, N.Y. 1458C
(716) 672-4503

15 18 22 25
16 19 23 26
17 20 24 27
18 21 25 28

**CIHM/ICMH
Microfiche
Series.**

**CIHM/ICMH
Collection de
microfiches.**



Canadian Institute for Historical Microreproductions / Institut canadien de microreproductions historiques

11 15 19 23
12 16 20 24
13 17 21 25
14 18 22 26

© 1986

Technical and Bibliographic Notes/Notes techniques et bibliographiques

The Institute has attempted to obtain the best original copy available for filming. Features of this copy which may be bibliographically unique, which may alter any of the images in the reproduction, or which may significantly change the usual method of filming, are checked below.

L'Institut a microfilmé le meilleur exemplaire qu'il lui a été possible de se procurer. Les détails de cet exemplaire qui sont peut-être uniques du point de vue bibliographique, qui peuvent modifier une image reproduite, ou qui peuvent exiger une modification dans la méthode normale de filmage sont indiqués ci-dessous.

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Coloured covers/
Couverture de couleur | <input type="checkbox"/> Coloured pages/
Pages de couleur |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Covers damaged/
Couverture endommagée | <input type="checkbox"/> Pages damaged/
Pages endommagées |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Covers restored and/or laminated/
Couverture restaurée et/ou pelliculée | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pages restored and/or laminated/
Pages restaurées et/ou pelliculées |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Cover title missing/
Le titre de couverture manque | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pages discoloured, stained or foxed/
Pages décolorées, tachetées ou piquées |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Coloured maps/
Cartes géographiques en couleur | <input type="checkbox"/> Pages detached/
Pages détachées |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Coloured ink (i.e. other than blue or black)/
Encre de couleur (i.e. autre que bleue ou noire) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Showthrough/
Transparence |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Coloured plates and/or illustrations/
Planches et/ou illustrations en couleur | <input type="checkbox"/> Quality of print varies/
Qualité inégale de l'impression |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Bound with other material/
Relié avec d'autres documents | <input type="checkbox"/> Includes supplementary material/
Comprend du matériel supplémentaire |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Tight binding may cause shadows or distortion
along interior margin/
La reliure serrée peut causer de l'ombre ou de la
distorsion le long de la marge intérieure | <input type="checkbox"/> Only edition available/
Seule édition disponible |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Blank leaves added during restoration may
appear within the text. Whenever possible, these
have been omitted from filming/
Il se peut que certaines pages blanches ajoutées
lors d'une restauration apparaissent dans le texte,
mais, lorsque cela était possible, ces pages n'ont
pas été filmées. | <input type="checkbox"/> Pages wholly or partially obscured by errata
slips, tissues, etc., have been refilmed to
ensure the best possible image/
Les pages totalement ou partiellement
obscurcies par un feuillet d'errata, une pelure,
etc., ont été filmées à nouveau de façon à
obtenir la meilleure image possible. |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Additional comments: / [Printed ephemera] 1 sheet (verso blank)
Commentaires supplémentaires: | |

This item is filmed at the reduction ratio checked below/
Ce document est filmé au taux de réduction indiqué ci-dessous.

10X	12X	14X	16X	18X	20X	22X	24X	26X	28X	30X	32X
					✓						

The copy filmed here has been reproduced thanks to the generosity of:



Library of the Public
Archives of Canada

The images appearing here are the best quality possible considering the condition and legibility of the original copy and in keeping with the filming contract specifications.

Original copies in printed paper covers are filmed beginning with the front cover and ending on the last page with a printed or illustrated impression, or the back cover when appropriate. All other original copies are filmed beginning on the first page with a printed or illustrated impression, and ending on the last page with a printed or illustrated impression.

The last recorded frame on each microfiche shall contain the symbol → (meaning "CONTINUED"), or the symbol ▼ (meaning "END"), whichever applies.

Maps, plates, charts, etc., may be filmed at different reduction ratios. Those too large to be entirely included in one exposure are filmed beginning in the upper left hand corner, left to right and top to bottom, as many frames as required. The following diagrams illustrate the method:



L'exemplaire filmé fut reproduit grâce à la générosité de:

La bibliothèque des Archives
publiques d'Canada

Les images suivantes ont été reproduites avec le plus grand soin, compte tenu de la condition et de la netteté de l'exemplaire filmé, et en conformité avec les conditions du contrat de filmage.

Les exemplaires originaux dont la couverture en papier est imprimée sont filmés en commençant par le premier plat et en terminant soit par la dernière page qui comporte une empreinte d'impression ou d'illustration, soit par le second plat, selon le cas. Tous les autres exemplaires originaux sont filmés en commençant par la première page qui comporte une empreinte d'impression ou d'illustration et en terminant par la dernière page qui comporte une telle empreinte.

Un des symboles suivants apparaîtra sur la dernière image de chaque microfiche, selon le cas: le symbole → signifie "A SUIVRE", le symbole ▼ signifie "FIN".

Les cartes, planches, tableaux, etc., peuvent être filmés à des taux de réduction différents. Lorsque le document est trop grand pour être reproduit en un seul cliché, il est filmé à partir de l'angle supérieur gauche, de gauche à droite, et de haut en bas, en prenant le nombre d'images nécessaire. Les diagrammes suivants illustrent la méthode.

ire
détails
es du
modifier
er une
filmage

es

errata
to

pelure,
on à



32X

LEGISLATION

The most fearful social convulsions could not fail to arise out of any successful attempt in 1819, by so changing its details as to *lower* our fixed Price of Gold down to the level that the Working-Classes still remain so ignorant as not to know that the low power of money—or, in other words, for lowering the exchangeable value of property long and most cruel experience, that the principle of the Money Law of 1819 would Demand would naturally award it, by leading to the export of Gold (which *whenever the Foreigner prefers taking Gold*, which he of course does, unless the price for the Foreign Commodities in payment of which the imbecility of our Government (even although that same Foreigner did not import into this country Gold, or other price for the Foreign Commodities in payment of which the imbecility of our Government clearly, that the fact of Gold being absurdly fixed at the same low rate when it is as a commodity necessarily fixes down, as the general rule, to the same low rate, as Commodities, which have to be sold *against Gold as a Commodity* to Foreigners. Our Official and Annuitant Classes thus participate in the monstrously and un-derstandable Artizan, and this sacrifice of our Working-Classes operates a permanent reduction of himself that he ceases to be a consumer of other than the merest necessaries, and (under the Free-Trade system) the product of foreign labour, in payment of which the Foreign price of Gold in this country being at an advance over the price abroad, equal to the profit which the free and unrestricted operation of the natural regulator of prices would award him. And, as in this state of degradation in the circumstances of our country we are not able to attend to Politics or Public Questions without fatally injuring their families, a few leaders among themselves except bad men and bad subjects, who, by their own example, UNIVERSAL SUFFRAGE. Now, however, a total change in their views of what is just and right, which cannot fail to secure them the active sympathy and co-operation of the people, in this condition, have eagerly caught at such absurdities as Organizations of Labour, which were excluded, just as sinking men catch at straws; but *straws* they have no other. The Working Masses will no longer permit their reason to be insulted by the silly doctrine that the only possible cause of increased wages is increased employment, which, if it were not for the distresses and the Working Men's distresses having led them into a much better condition (of labour) than is possessed by the Middle Classes, they see that to be the only way of *raising their wages permanently*, such an alteration of our Money Law would be a *VALUE OF MONEY*, as when less property and a smaller quantity of commodities are required to purchase a Working Man's time and labour will do the same thing. Thus the interests of all classes are the same, and inseparable; and as thousands of the Upper and Middle Classes have been misled (by many of the Chartist leaders), Chartism, under an improved leadership, will be seen to be ONLY MEANS TO THE GREAT COMMON END in view, if the Money power is found to be done to the labour of the country by the repudiation of the Monetary Scheme.

THE QUESTION OF MONEY—HOW IT WILL BE AFFECTED BY LARGE IMPORTS OF GOLD FROM CALIFORNIA.

TO THE EDITOR OF THE WEEKLY REGISTER.

Edinburgh, 24th Feb. 1849.—Sir,—The late clever article of the 'Times,' on the manner in which an unusually great import of gold would operate on the currency, has delighted as much as it has surprised all monetary reformers, whose fears had been somewhat alarmed by certain obscure and tortuous hints in a December number of Sir Robert Peel's organ, the 'Morning Chronicle,' as to the *adjustment* which might become necessary between our national interests. To narrow observers it is clear that the 'Times,' that greatest *oracle* of public opinion, has no less certainly *reversed* itself because it has as usual had ability to do so without the notice of the general public, and that it is now playing away as complacently against the fixed standard billionists as it has hitherto worked sturdily for these Jews and money-mongers. And the monetary reformers may well be satisfied with this *great fact*, although no man can understand or explain how, on the same principle, the 'Times' could in January contend for the price of gold being by our law kept fixed at the foreign price of L.4, and can in February contend against the law being altered so as to reduce its price to the foreign level when the value of gold falls abroad. Wherein consists, I should like to know, the difference between the present position of the 'Times' and the views of the monetary reformers against whom it has so long and so ably battled? They have insisted that gold should be per-

been the cause of so much suffering. Sir R. Peel has been to our way of only to understand the practice of 1819. Under it (with gold at a price abroad) our commercial life would be a succession of money panics, and it is possible to attain prosperity without the cause of immediate distress in the distance—with wages near the standard of the country, the foreigner finds he can get an ounce of gold or 80 yards of cloth, and he may probably take the cloth, but as soon as prosperity raises the price of the yard, the foreigner finds his ad-vantage in our gold, of which, from its being so high, he can still get an ounce, while of only get 64 yards for L.4—a staggering and positively unjust result. It is clear that for the commodity to be *reversed* himself imported he must have a *higher* price. The export of gold is a facility, and this lessens the energy of the working-class. Wages are thus fixed at a standard of our fixed price of gold, and when again comes into our markets, it is a brick home trade again raising the price of the point, then must the above law be re-enacted by our to-day well-remembered operatives.

But there is the prospect arising from the supply of gold, of the tables being turned. Sir R. Peel and his bill, and if the price of gold falls from L.4 to L.3 the ounce of gold will

only get 64 yards for L.4

RELATION ON GOLD.

use out of any successful attempt in Parliament to perpetuate the principle of Sir R. Peel's Money Law of fixed Price of Gold down to the value to which Gold may fall abroad; for we deceive ourselves if we suppose as not to know that the lowering of the price of Gold is an equivalent term for raising the purchasing the exchangeable value of property, commodities, and labour. The Working-Classes have been taught by of the Money Law of 1819 practically denies to British labour the reward which the Law of Supply and the export of Gold (which upsets the country's Banking facilities), and thus *contracting the currency* which he of course does, unless the prices of British Manufactures approximate in cheapness to that of Gold into this country Gold, or other commodity sold at the cheap rate, but had availed of a Paper or prosperity which the imbecility of our Law puts it in his power to take Gold at a cheap price). They now see at the same low rate when it is in the greatest demand as when it is in the smallest demand for exportation neral rule, to the same low, untaxed, and profitless standard the remuneration to the producers of British as a Commodity to Foreigners, as well as *into Gold as a Money* to our own people in the same market! e in the monstrously undue advantage which the bill of 1819 gives to the Foreigner over the British operates a permanent reduction in the price of British products, by so prostrating the British producer an the merest necessities, a large proportion of which. being eatables, now are (under our irreciprocal in payment of which the Foreigner will never take anything but Gold till compelled to do so by the e over the price abroad, equal at least to the amount of the taxation paid by our Artizan, and the fair the natural regulator of prices (the influence of the Law of Supply and Demand in his particular trade) ation in the circumstances of our Working-Classes, few Working Men are in so independent a position as to hout fatally injuring their families, it has necessarily followed, that the Working Men have been able to get and bad subjects, who, by their conduct, have deferred the triumph of the great Chartist Principle— change in their views of what is their true interests is coming over the convictions of our Working Men sympathy and co-operation of all our Propertied Classes. The Working-Classes, in their sinking ties as Organizations of Labour, Communisms, and Associationisms, from which the Capital Classes straws; but *straws* they have found these delusions to be (however well intended), and our Labour- eared to be insulted by the *silly doctrine that labour is a separate interest*. The Working Men now see that eared employment, which can only arise from improving the condition of the employers of labour; ed them into a much better knowledge of the Money Question (which is in reality the question Classes, they see that to increase the number of bidders for their labour, *the only means of* eration of our Money Laws must be made as will permanently REDUCE THE EXCHANGEABLE maller quantity of commodities come to stand for the same amount of Money, it is evident that less of the hing. Thus the interests of all classes except the Officials, Annuitants, and Money-mongers, are seen to be e Upper and Middle Classes have no objection to Chartist principles (although they reprobate the conduct an improved leadership, will soon be in a position to demand and to carry UNIVERSAL SUFFRAGE AS THE w, if the Money power is found to be so strong in Parliament, as at present constituted, as to prevent justice iudication of the Monetary Schemes of Sir Robert Peel and the usurers.

been the cause of so much suffering to his subjects as Sir R. Peel has been to our working-classes, we have only to understand the practical operation of his bill of 1819. Under it (with gold here fixed down to the price abroad) our commercial history must necessarily be a succession of money panics, for it is utterly impossible to attain prosperity without ITS becoming the cause of immediate distress in this country. For instance—with wages near the starvation point in this country, the foreigner finds he can for L.4 get either an ounce of gold or 80 yards of cloth at 1s per yard, and he may probably take the cloth in preference; but as soon as prosperity raises prices, say to 1s 3d per yard, the foreigner finds his advantage in taking away our gold, of which, from its being fixed in price, he can still get an ounce, while of the cloth he can now only get 64 yards for L.4—a state of things the more galling and positively unjust to our home industry, as it is clear that for the commodity which the foreigner himself imported he must have got the *paper*, or 'prosperity' price. The export of gold contracts banking facilities, and this lessens the employment of the working-classes. Wages are thus brought back to the standard of our fixed price of gold, and the foreigner again comes into our markets. The moment however a brisk home trade again raises prices to the 'prosperity' point then must the above horrid experience be re-enacted by our to-day-well fed-and-to-morrow-starving operatives.

But there is the prospect arising from an increased supply of gold, of the value being turned on Sir Robert Peel and his bill, and if the price of gold goes down from L.4 to L.3 the ounce, the sovereign will essen-

of L.4 from scarceness, opposed the pound sterling being at all times equal to a quarter of an ounce of gold, we must, in the now altered prospects, resist not only any increase of the weight of the sovereign (or, in other words, any lowering of the price of gold), but WHILE GOLD ABROAD REMAINS UNDER OUR NOMINAL PRICE OF L.4, WE MUST PREFER THE PRESENT MONEY LAW TO A SYSTEM OF PAPER MONEY CONVERTIBLE AT THE MARKET PRICE OF GOLD, AS THE FORMER TENDS MORE THAN THE LATTER TO PREVENT THE EXPORT OF GOLD. In the latter way there would be more inducement to export gold as a speculation, as the foreigner would get more weight of gold for the paper pound. In the latter way, if the gold was worth L.2 in the market, the paper pound would buy half-an-ounce of it, while in the former way the paper pound would only buy a sovereign, or a quarter of an ounce of gold. On the other hand, it is equally clear, that with the price above L.4 our fixed price, the paper system would best check the export of gold, as then, with gold at L.5 the ounce, the bearer of a pound note would only get 1.5th of an ounce by this system, while by the other he would demand a sovereign.

SECONDLY.—With the foreign price of gold below our fixed price, it is DECIDEDLY THE INTEREST OF OUR INDUSTRY THAT WE SHOULD SUSTAIN THE LAW AS IT NOW STANDS, AND PREFER THE GOLD TO THE PAPER COUNTER, AS TENDING MORE TO ENCOURAGE THE IMPORTATION FROM AMERICA OF THE LARGEST POSSIBLE SHARE OF THE GOLD NOW GETTING IN CALIFORNIA. The American will bring more gold here if he is *not* to get, at the British Mint, four sovereigns for his ounce of gold, than if the nominal market

to only get 64 yards for L.4—a state of things the more would only buy a sovereign, or a quarter of an ounce

reversed itself because it has, as usual, had ability to do so without the notice of the general public, and that it is now playing away as complacently against the fixed standard bullionists as it has hitherto worked sturdily for these Jews and money-mongers. And the monetary reformers may well be satisfied with this *great fact*, although no man can understand or explain how, on the same principle, the 'Times' could in January contend for the price of gold being by our law kept fixed at the foreign price of L.4, and can in February contend against the law being altered so as to reduce its price to the foreign level when the value of gold falls abroad. Wherein consists, I should like to know, the difference between the present position of the 'Times' and the views of the monetary reformers against whom it has so long and so ably battled? They have insisted that gold should be permitted to rise from L.4 the ounce, say to L.5, and the 'Times' does not now object that gold in price should remain fixed at L.4, although its value abroad reduces to L.3.

No one can help praising the consistent honesty of the 'Times' in its decision that, as in 1819 gold was by law fixed down to a price lower than its natural or average price in this country, without the debtor class being enabled to discharge their debts with proportionably less gold, so in 1849 the debtor should have the same unjust advantage over the creditor class, as the least reparation the latter could offer. Monetary reformers must however deplore that the 'Times' and the bullionists should have taken so low a position in 1819 in regard to money and currency as to view the question as one only between money and property *in existence*, instead of seeing the chief importance of money to be as a machinery for the *production of property* and its proper distribution, so as to give the greatest possible advantage to the industrious classes as opposed to the lazy rich, annuitants, or non-producers of wealth. And we can neither be certain that the bullionists will act like the 'Times' at the present juncture, nor that the present fortunate decision of the 'Times' flows from any other or higher principle than did its most unfortunate opinion as to the money change in 1819. In fact, as bullionism in the past has been the antipodes of patriotism, we can scarcely expect the bullionists now to adopt THE PRINCIPLE OF THE MONETARY REFORMERS, THAT THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE NATIONAL INDUSTRY, OR THE INTERESTS OF LABOUR, IS THE ONLY THING TO BE HAD IN VIEW IN THE REGULATION OF THE MONEY LAWS OF ANY COUNTRY. We ought to be prepared for their attempting to reduce the price of gold with us when it reduces abroad, and to fix it at a low foreign price, thus perpetuating and increasing all the present miseries and degradation of our working-classes. And it behoves us then to think whether we can expect that the working men will stand quietly by, and see the throat of their now golden prospects thus audaciously cut by a conspiracy of Jews, money-mongers, and cosmopolites, headed by Sir Robert Peel. The attempt of the money power to neglect or tyrannise, as hitherto, over the labour power of the country, would at least drive thousands of the middle and upper classes to go for the immediate adoption of Universal Suffrage, as the only means of preventing greater political changes.

Nothing but the most abject political helplessness could have made the working-classes endure up to this day Sir Robert Peel's money law. To see this, and to be satisfied that no tyrant in any country has ever

only get 64 yards for L.4—a staggering and positively unjust—it is clear that for the commodity himself imported he must have a 'periphery' price. The export of gold facilities, and this lessens the employing-classes. Wages are thus of a standard of our fixed price of gold again comes into our markets, a brisk home trade again raised 'periphery' point, then must the above re-enacted by our to-day-well-faring operatives.

But there is the prospect arising from the supply of gold, of the tables being turned. Peel and his bill, and if the price falls from L.4 to L.3 the ounce the result will be that the price of gold will have become the same as it was in 1819, which it has been the object of the bill to do at through the establishment of a money without intrinsic value). Robert Peel built his erroneous opinion on the fact that the ounce being no longer the standard of gold as an article of export will be in competition with our manufactures, the foreigner who spurned gold because he had in his option to export GOLD at the foreign price, will be in competition with the British labour, and by swelling the number of British labour, will still more depress wages. The issue of paper pound (or payable at the London market) will be the only remedy while the foreigner has our fixed price. In no other way can prices long be got by our manufactures even when they had an extensive market—while the article gold always cheap when scarce as when imported from the foreign country. But if the foreigner is to be greatly and permanently fixed at L.4 the ounce, our retention of gold at a quarter of an ounce of gold for our pound sterling, will be the only means of enabling prices of British manufactures to the level of the demand for them. OUR FIXED PRICE ABOVE THE FOREIGN PRICE IN USE BY US OF THE GOLD MONEY WILL BE FAR PREFERABLE, as having advantages which in our circumstances (and the principle of free imports) are of great importance in increasing the employment of our working-classes, and in maintaining the wages of our working-classes. To continue to make gold the basis of our currency and facilities, it is evident that our industry that the greatest gold be imported, as extending the import of gold being an evidence of the export of it is an evidence of the export of British labour. All therefore we ought to prefer is the legal tender for a period, when the price is below L.4 the ounce if thereby the points of preventing gold being exported and of encouraging gold being imported in quantity.

FIRSTLY.—On the same principle of British industry) on which a law was enacted when gold tended to advance at

only get 64 yards for L.4—a state of things the more falling and positively unjust to our home industry, as it is clear that for the commodity which the foreigner himself imported HE must have got the paper, or 'prosperity' price. The export of gold contracts banking facilities, and thus lessens the employment of the working-classes. Wages are thus brought back to the standard of our fixed price of gold, and the foreigner again comes into our markets. The moment however brisk home trade again raises prices to the 'prosperity' point, then must the above horrid experience be re-enacted by our to-day-well-fed-and-to-morrow-starving operatives.

But there is the prospect arising from an increased supply of gold, of the tables being turned on Sir Robert Peel and his bill, and if the price of gold goes down from L.4 to L.3 the ounce the sovereign will essentially have become the same inconvertible counter which it has been the object of monetary reform to get through the establishment of paper money (or money without intrinsic value). The fact on which Sir Robert Peel built his erroneous theory of money (as embodied in his bill of 1819), no longer existing, L.4 the ounce being no longer the standard of the world, gold as an article of export will no more come into competition with our manufactures. The money of a foreigner who spurned goods at a British price, because he had in his option THE COMMODITY SOLD at the foreign price, will then come into our market, and by swelling the number of competitors for British labour, will still more enhance prices and wages. The issue of paper pounds as the legal tender payable at the London market price of gold, was the only remedy while the foreign price was as high as our fixed price. In no other way could remunerative prices long be got by our manufacturers and producers, even when they had an extensive demand for their wares—while the article gold always existed equally cheap when scarce as when plentiful in this country. But if the foreign price of gold is to be greatly and permanently lower than L.4 the ounce, our retention of the sovereign, at a quarter of an ounce of gold, as the counter of our pound sterling, will suit the same purpose of enabling prices of British commodities to rise to the level of the demand for them; and indeed WITH A FIXED PRICE ABOVE THE FOREIGN PRICE, THE ISSUE BY US OF THE GOLD MONEY AS A LEGAL TENDER WILL BE FAR PREFERABLE, as having two indirect advantages which in our circumstances (as having adopted the principle of free imports) will be of vital importance in increasing the employment, and thus ensuring the wages of our working-classes. While we continue to make gold the basis of our bank-note circulation and facilities, it is evidently the interest of our industry that the greatest amount possible of gold be imported, as extending that basis, besides the export of gold being an evidence that we are, just as to export of it is an evidence that we are not, exerting British labour. All therefore will readily perceive that we ought to prefer the sovereign as our legal tender for a pound, when the foreign price of gold below L.4 the ounce if thereby we attained the two objects of preventing gold being exported, and of encouraging gold being imported in the greatest quantity.

FIRSTLY.—On the same principle (the defence of British industry) on which Monetary Reformers have long urged gold to be advanced above our fixed price

would only buy a sovereign, or a quarter of an ounce of gold. On the other hand, it is equally clear, that with the price above L.4, our fixed price, the paper system would best check the export of gold, as then, with gold at L.5 the ounce, the bearer of a pound note would only get 1-5th of an ounce by this system, while by the other he would demand a sovereign.

SECONDLY.—With the foreign price of gold below our fixed price, IT IS DECIDEDLY THE INTEREST OF OUR INDUSTRY THAT WE SHOULD SUSTAIN THE LAW AS IT NOW STANDS, AND PREFER THE GOLD TO THE PAPER COUNTER, AS TENDING MORE TO ENCOURAGE THE IMPORTATION FROM AMERICA OF THE LARGEST POSSIBLE SHARE OF THE GOLD NOW GETTING IN CALIFORNIA. The American will bring more gold here if he is sure to get, at the British Mint, four sovereigns for his ounce of gold, than if the nominal market price in London were L.3, for he could not depend on getting British goods equally cheap in proportion. The price of commodities is only directly regulated by the demand for the particular articles, and (even at present the low fixed price of gold chiefly operates on prices by upsetting our currency through leading to its export), the price of gold has only an indirect and often remote effect on them to the extent it increases or decreases the demand for goods. Now (supposing wages to have risen 50 per cent., or the cloth to have risen in price to 1s 6d, whose Peel or starvation price I assumed as 1s), the American, if he got 4 sovereigns for his ounce of gold, could buy 53 yards of the cloth, whereas, were the market price of gold down to 60s an ounce, he being paid in paper pounds, would only get 40 yards of the cloth at 1s 6d for his ounce of gold. Thus it is clear we should get more gold from America by sustaining our present money law, and this is vital for us, not only as securing us larger sales of manufactures, but as the less gold the Americans retain to themselves the slower will be the development of their banking system, and the less ability they will possess to hold their cotton for high prices, and to increase their manufacturing opposition to us in their own markets and those of other countries. Had the Americans not required to send away, to carry on their war in Mexico, the gold they drew from us in 1847, they could have held their cotton for speculative prices last year, and thus aggravated indefinitely our manufacturing distress in this country.

I hope the vast importance of the SUBJECT OF MONEY at this particular moment will be held sufficient apology for the great length of this attempt to satisfy those who have no time to reflect on such subjects, or whose habits disable them from forming a judgment for themselves, that (although with gold scarce and tending in value above our fixed price, our money ought to be paper pounds convertible into gold at its market price in this country), it will become the interest of our industry to sustain our present money law if the price of gold should fall so much abroad as to leave THE SOVEREIGN so far above the foreign price as to be what monetary reformers have always desired to see the pound, PRACTICALLY AN INCONTROVERTIBLE COUNTER.

Yours very respectfully,

ISAAC BUCHANAN,

Formerly Member for Toronto in the first Parliament of United Canada, and President of the Boards of Trade of Toronto and Hamilton, Upper Canada.

