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## Mx LORD,

THE bill for the government of Quebec, whillt it engages the witention of the public, cannot but bring back to our minds that glorious ara when Canada was added to the Britifh empire by the ficcefs of hig Majefty's arm, diretted by the genius, and animated by the vigour of your councils. It has soo often happened that national nuifiom has :llept, while the fpirit of conqueft has been awake $\beta$ in the midf of vietories and of triumphs, it is not to be wondered that the low and fitl voice of jurifprudence was never heard; B but,

## [ 2 ]

but, at length, is the time come when a fyftem of government is to be formed for that extenfive country, differing from our own in her religion, her laws, her habits, and her cuftoms. Had the queftion once occurred to your Lordhip how that ought to be done, the poffeffion of Canada might not perhaps have been the firf object of your care in preference to Guadaloupe, Martinique, and the other rich i@lands which were reftored to the French and Spaniarde in the Weft Indies. But it does not appear that the civil eftablimment of Ca nada had ever a place in yolt thoughts; becaufe, after thirteen year3 poblition of the country; your mind was 10 entirely vacant on this fubject, that I don't find your Lordflip propofed one idea of your own, either for the framing of any law, or for the amendment of that law which has lately teceived the royal affent.

## [ 3 ]

break forth from your retirement ? Surely, my Lotd, your condefcenfion is not fuch as to lead you to become the metr harbinger of my Lord Mayor, and his addrefs within the palace, and of his co-pdtriots witbout, who attended his Majefy from St. James's to the parliament.

The dook of the houfe of lords ate Chut, but Lord Chatham's expreffions are not (nor ate ticto heate to be) confined. I mean not to cotrment on your affortment of the ephethets by wich you decribed the ge of patrit, $t$ they.
 you faid, was eftablifhed, the othant church devoted, atd the veil of its temple rent afunder; and that twe lings minifters inight as well liegin to poll down all the proteftant feepled and that thefe minifters had at length thrown

## [ 5 ] <br> off the marque, and opened their plan of defpotifm.

This plan of defpotifm, my Lord, is the fubfitution of an act of parliament in lieu of a government by proclamation; a proclamation which at firft was dictated, has been often varied, and till this time has fubfifted by the meer will and pleafure of the crown. It was imperium bominis that has governed the Canadians fince the pitade it is imperium legis that is to govern them hêreftee is it heceffary foume to explain itt puthe Lordnipy which is a fate of Wbetry and which of tyranny? ConTrof ant with the hiftory and fate of mifions, your LLordilip knows that all Thofe unhappy people who have loft their libertieg have feen thofe liberties end precildy where the government of will began. But your Lordmip is pleafed to reverfe this propofition; and you, who

## [ 6 ]

in your love of paradoxes formerly told us that Canada was conquered in Germany, now tell us that this fame Canada is enifaved, becaure it is no longer 'to be governed by proclamation, but by law.

Let us fop for a moment, to fee what the go arnment of Canada was, under the proclamation which you wih to perpetuate,-it comprehended Eaft Florida, W:A Florida, and the Grenades, together with Canada, countries as different in their eftablifhments as in their foil, and in their climates various therefore were the inftuctions given to the feveral governors, and aftewards changed according as information and experience pointed out new fyftems. In Canada, the French laws alone "prevailed till 1764 , then the Englif laws

## [7]

got fome footing. The governors and officers of juftice always doubtful which to take for their guide, fometimes preferring the Englif, fometimes the French laws, as each feemed applicable to the. cafe before them-One year a proclamation, another year an infruction to a governor, another year a local ordinance, changed the principle, and varied the courfe of their jufticiary proceedings.In this flate of fluctuation, no man knew by what right he could take, or give, inherit, or convey, poffefs, or enjoy property; or by what mode or rule he could bring his right to a trial. One neceffary confequence was a frequent refort to the crown for amendment, explanation, and decifion; "cujus eft "condere, ejus eft interpretari."-And what lefs than defpotifm is the power of the crown, when it can create or interpret,

## [8]

interpret, eftabliah or deftray laws, by virtue of tis own mandates?

The condition of thefe wrecthed people under this government, is dofcribed by lord Coke in the very motto which he chofe for his works, "mifera " eff fervitus ubi jus ef vagum aut in"cognitum." I need not tell youf Lordhip that the parliament of Henry VIII. gave the king's proclamations the power of law ; it mufl give fome comfort to all fober people to fee the parliament of this day annul the force of a proclamation, in order to eftablifi law.-If therefore I can agree with you, my Lord, in thinking the king's minifters are fo atrocious as to have formed any plan of defpotifm, I muft agree with you alfo, that they are more inept and Shallow in the execution, fince they

## [ 9: ]

have let go the very power which you fay they grafp at. And if there could ever be a proper time to infult the king's perfon with a cry of arbitrary power, furely, my Lord, there could have been no time lefs feafonable than that, when he was going to give his affent in parliament to reftore to the Canadians their birth-right in their laws, and to relinquih that very power which conqueft had put in his hands.

This proclamation, however, we are told, with the treaty, and other acts of rogal authority, was confidered as an engagement, under which the colonifts embarked their perfons, and the merchants their fyetunes for Canada, and that the natisnal faith was plighted to form a government as near as migbt be agreeable to the laws of England; for

C
it

## [ 10 ]

It is faid that none would have embarked or traded thither, without the profpect of Englifh laws, and of Englifh juries. How far the real engagement has been kept, and whether any part of the laws of England, that could De executed, have been with-held, we fhall enquire bye and bye; but firft let me appeal to your Lordhip's knowledge, and the knowledge of every man, whether it is neceffary there frould be a trial by jury, wherever cur merchạnts export their thanuffetures? In all our great foreignt markets' there are no juries: th America there are juries; but if you will afk the merchant whether he expects a furer payment from Hamburgh, ©c. or from Bofton, I don't believe he will anfwet for the Boftonians.

## [ 11 ]

- It would be impertinent, my Lord, to introduce what I Mall take the liberty to fay upon juries, with any panegyrick upon that bleffed infitution, $\%$ Its praifes are written in our hearts: but the conftitution of juries may be compared to a fabrick, where every minute material is effentially neceffary to the fafety, ufefulnefs, and beauty of the whole. Permit me then juft to mention what an Englifh jury is, before I afk what a Canadian jury miff be. In England, the Aheriff in a public manner takes the names out of the lift of frecholders, as chance has placed them. He may return fix panels, which are feventy-two jurors, and he cannot return lefs than four, which are fortyeight at every affize ; and, that thefe jurors may not become hackneyed in their office, or marked for feduction none

$$
1: 12
$$

are to be seturned, but who hiave not ferved for two years before, (except in Middlerex, where the law has been altered, perhaps for the worfe, or Middlefez juries though better practifed, are not more refpectable than other juries;) and in Yorkhire, becaufe of the largenefs of the county, freeholders cannot be returned but once in four years.

Thus, my Lord, the uncertainty of who fall be jurors, and the nature of the office itfelf commencing inftantly, and ending inftantly in public court, gives no poffibility of previous folicitation or feduction; but fill there follows a right of challenge, to exclude every man againft whom a fufpicion lies of partiality or prejudice, whether from affection, affinity, or intereft.

## [ $\times 3$

Let us now, my Lord, fee what is the fund for an Englijh jury in Canada; the number of frecholders, (I do not fay there are none) is fmall indeed; but there are about three hundred Engiinhmen; who are houre-keepers, and of thefe, perhaps thirty or forty are of the rank of merchants and tradefrien; the reft are dibanded foldiers, moft of them futters; and 't is a melancholy confideration that their chief traffick is in fpirituous liquors, of which they fhare pretey largoly with their cuffomers the common colditers. The courts of juftice fit once 2 week. The number of the beter fort of Englinh will not afford one legal panel in the whole year, and infufficient to do the bufinefs of juries, even fuppofing them to give up their tir ${ }^{-}$, and every other occupation to that fervice only : Mr. Maferes * therefore ad-

* Attorney General for the Province of Quebec.
(阵)
unit that the fourthen of attendatice wauld be infolerable without pay; and he propofes furdonillings a head for eyery fime ther ferve; thus the offics of jurymen would became a trade, a trade indeed, that nene of the better fort Wrould follow, but muft fall gf courfe upog thare, veterans who haye left the army for the gin hop Sugh muft be the Englifh jncy in Canad, swithout freep holders, without challenge, without change, and in fhors withous ene attribute pfan Fnglih jary Greuptio optimi fit fefima, is a true plilalage, and I fpeak, it as a proof of the perfection of an Englifh jury, that in an impert feít fate it would ve the went way of trial upon earth

But it may be faid there are above an hundred thoufand Canadians qua-

## (13)

lified to ferve upon jurleg; why rot take your juries from thent ? Becaut your Lordhip will harc'y truit the propetty of your countrymen to a yory of Canađians only. But the juries may be mixed,-in what proportion? If you take an equal number of Etighifh and of Canadians, how are they to deeide at all? Or take an unequat number, and decide by the vote, (as in courts martial) then if the majority of the jury be Canadians, the verdict wift be the lame as if the wholo was Cañadian, or if you throw the majority on the fide of the Englifh, where is the impartiality, on which the Canadian can depend? Befide, the civil law of France, and the trial by jury in England, are fó diffonant, that the forms of one can never be blended into proceedings of the other; the rules in refpect of tenures, alienations,
alienations, dow sy and inheritancel art quite different;-how could the law go on in the :wodifferentlanguages? If the Canadian hould have a cauce to try, how can his aduagate prepare the procefs for an Englijs jury? Or if he goes to an Englifh attorney, how is the latter to fettle a proceeding according to the laws of France?

But in criminal Low the cate is dif: ferent; for to the fact of guilt or ins nocence, one man is as competent as another; and in our own courts, it 10 the actual practice, where e foregner io to be tried, to have a jury de mediedate linguc, one half Englifh, one halr Foo reigners.

I mean not, my Lord, a general defence of the criminal laws of England, as they are of late years multiplied and extended.
extended. For if a moiety of thofe who are condemned were to fuffer death, their blood would cry out for vengeance; and I am perfiades, that the frequency of pardons, even where mercy is due, gives rife to nine in ten of the thefts and robberies that are committed. But the French law of torture to procure confefion, is to us unknown. On the contrary, the acculed perfon is, or ought to be, warned from injuring himfelf by his own confeffion. It is but modern liw that any man could be convicted on hif own confefion, and even now confelfions ought not to be admitted without the greaten caution.

To us is unknown likewife all cruelty of punifhment; no racks, or wheels, or inftruments of barbarity and tyranny are to be feen in our executions. From thefe

D appendages
appendages of derpocifm are the Canadians now delivered, and may live protected in their fortupes, their honours, and their lives, under what I truft will fland for ever, the impregnable fortrefs of an Englifh jury.

In the courfe of all the evidence that has been laid before the public, we find that the Canadians heve empreffec one conftats, uniform wif to be geverned by their own laws, and that the Englith have as fervently defired to be goverald by the laws of England. Tho fentdians are above $100,000_{4}$ the Enginh not more than 2000 men , women, and children. The legiflature was therefore to confider whether the law and government ought to be adapted to the many or to the ferw.

There

There can be no rule for the compofing of laws, but the fentiments and inclinations of thofe who are to be governed by them.

In a fate of nature, liberty knows no bound but that of fuperior force.

Jura inventa metu injufi, and that portion of liberty which each man is willing to give up for the convenience, fafety, and protection of individuals, of - families, of focieties, and of ftates, is the firf principle of law. It is true, the multitude do not compofe the form, but it muft be framed to correfpond with their genius and temper, fo that their underftandings may be prepared to meet, and their hearts ready to embrace it.-The habits, cuftoms, and manners of a people, are the mirror in which D 2
alone

## [ 20 -]

alone their general difpofition may be feen ; even regard muft be had to their prejudices and their weaknefs; for lavo muft be enacted (as Grotius has ex preffed it) "cum fenfu humana imbecillitatis." When Solon was complimented on having given good laws to his countrymen; his reply was, "They are only fuch as "the Athenians are capable of receiv" ing." Even the law of God, as propofed by Mofes, was fubmitted to the judgment of the people before it was adopted by them*.

But if thefe rules are indifpenfablo in the formation, they apply much more forcibly to the actual eftablifhment of law. If nothing but violence can im. pofe law, it would be fill greater tyranny to rob a nation of that law which they approve

* Exodus.


## [25]

approve uponexptriences and which is endeared by habit. Allowing then that the Canadians prefer a worfe law to a better, even that bad choice is decifive: upon the conduct of Great Britain. They yielded themfelves up to our protection and our faith. How then can we deprive them of the firft rights of human nature?

We are now come to that part of the bill which relates to their religion; and knowing, my Lord, how much you are an admirer of civil liberty, and can reprefent it with fo meny graces and advantages, I thould have been glad to have heard that your Lordhip, with equal grace and dignity, had fupported the caufe of religious liberty. But it feems you declared that no true Proteftant could fupport this bill.-No true Proteftant, my Lord, can
be a perfecutor; no true Proteftant can harbour any fuch idea as that of eftablining religiort by force. Is the Spaniard in Mexico to be an example for 2 Proteftant legiflator?

> Religious liberty is nearer to the heart and confcience than civil liberty; for why are Roman Catholics deemed enemies to our conflitution? Not becaufe they don't love liberty, (we owe Magna Charta to them) bui becaufe, without fubverting the zonftitytion and the law, the Romilh religion can never be reftored.

The Reformation was not the work of force.-Science had begun to dawn, and to difpel fuperftition. The tyranny of Rome was become hateful, and her authority contemptible, when that great

## $\left[\begin{array}{ll}23\end{array}\right]$

event took place. The defires and opinions of the people co-incided with the humours of the King; and the moment parliament had eftablighed the Proteflant religion, it became not the voice only, but the act of the whole nation. The care of Canada is totally different. The people there adbere to tbeir religion, and did not furrender without a ti pulation and folemn engagement for the free exencife of it. Your Lordhip was minifter when the capitulation was granted by Sir Jeffery Amherft, and you found no fault with that able General for that prudent and humane conceffion. This freedom was again infured at the peace, approved and confirmed by parliament; nor did your Lordhip, in your long difplay of eloquence * on that oc-

* Lord Chatham fooke thrce hours and a half againft the peace,


## 24 ]

fion, once bfame that part of the treaty. Boy you are now pleafed to call the meafure atrocious, Jallotes and inept, becaufe it has fecured to the cletgy their property, and becaufe it has fubfituted an oath of allegiance inftead of that of fupremacy as required by the Ift of Elizabeth. The beft diftinction 1 know between eftablifhment and toleration is, that the greater number has aright to the one, and the lefs to the other. The public maintenance of a clergy is inherent to efablifbment; at the Reformation, therefore, as much of the church eftates as was thought neceflary for its fupport, was transferred to the Proteftant church as by law eftabliphed. Surely then, when the free exercife of the national religion was given to the Canadian nation, it could never be underftood that they were to

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
25]
\end{array}\right.
$$

be deprived of their clergy; and if not, a national provifion for that clergy follows of courfe.

It has' alfo been afferted, that the Proteftant religion is rooted out of Ca nada by this bill. The reverfe is the truth ; for no mah who is, or who may become a Proteftant, is to pay tythes or any church dues to the Romith eftablifhment, but the money is fill to be collected, in order to confitute a fund for the raifing and fupporting of a Proteffant church in Canada.

Some have doubted whether thofe claufes of the 1 Eliz. which eftablifh the oath of fupremacy, extend to any of his Majefty's prefent dominions but fuch as belonged to the crown when that memorable ftatute was made. If
this confruction is a true one, the Canadians were not obliged to take the oath of fupremacy; and the new oath which the Quebec bill has eftablifhed, is fo far an acquiftion, and advantageous to the caure of proteftantifm, as it adds to the common oath of allegiance, and obliges every Catholic of Cañada, who Thall from henceforth exercife any function, civil or teligious, to renounce all pardons' and dijpenfations from any power or perfon wobomfoever contrary to that oath. But if we are to fuppofe the above-mentioned confruction to be falfe, and that eyery part of the ift of Eliz. extends to all his Majefty's prefent dominions, I'will venture then to affert that the Roman Catholic religion'would nothave had in Canada eventhe advantage of a toleration, if the oath of fupremacy had not been repealed. For no honeft Roman

## [ 27]

Roman Catholic Prieft could have taken, that oath in the truefenfe of the wards in which it is expreffed; and if he hadiventured to exercife any ecclefiaftical function without having taken it, he would have been fubject to all the penalties, and difabilities which the law has in fuch cafe inflicted; and that there are perfons in Canada ready to commence profecutions againft every offender of this kind, we can hardly doubt, when we recellect that one grand jury thought it their duty to make a public prefentment of every Roman Catholic of the province; and muft therefore have confidered them not only as perfons not under the protection of the law, but as offenders againft it. But though the legilature has thought fit to repeal the oath effablighed by the iff of Eliz. and to fubfitute another oath in the place

$$
\mathrm{E}_{2} \text { of }
$$

## [. 28 ]

of it, which in truth is no more than what has been frequently done before; yet the King's fippremacy is not on that account in any danger, as has been ignorantly and abfurdly fuppofed. The Quebec bill, inftead of giving up his Majefty's fupremacy, afferts it as eftablifhed by the ift of Eliz. ; that is, in all cafes, ecclefiaftical as well as civil ; no ecclefraftical officer or minifter can exercife in Canada any authority or jurifdietion that is not detived from the crown: and If any man hall hereafter prefume to exercife therein any powers derived from any foreign authority, or juiddiction whatfoever, or fhall maliciounly and advifedly endeavour to ads vance or fupport the claims or pretenfons of the Pope, or of "any foreign prince or fate, he will ftill be fubject to the fame penalties to which he would have

## [ 29, 1

have beenliable if the Quebec bill had never paffed; and the law of England has fill in fore punifhments fully fufficient to deter the moft zealous Catholic of Canada from the commiffion of fuch an offence.

Since then your Lordfhip has been fo very fevere in your frictures on this part of the Quebec bill, let me again implore you to tellus whateplan you yourfelf would recommends Would you now conftue the free eveptife of religion to be lefs than the Canadians thought it when they threw themfelves upon your faith? Wotld you now become their perfecutor? Or would yon fitl fuffer them to enjoy their religion, with its con-, fequential property; ;: not however by theiconftitutional authority of an act of parliament but by virtue of an actual exercife

## [30]

exercire of a difpenfing power in the arown?

Mour Lordhip is faid to have afferted there two things; that the bill was intended to raife a ftrength in Canada, in order to intimidate other parts of America : and then, that the bill was injurious to the Canadians.

The imputed injury is, that the law of France which is defpotifm, is er tailed, and the law of England which is freedom, annulled.

There is a diftinction to be made between the lazi of France, and the government of France. The one is; the other is not; defpotic. The law of France originated in freedom. The Franks were a people of Germany, who
came
came and fetted in Gaul: their kings were elective, and their power fo limited, that all their authority was derived from their merit and virtue *. They preferved their liberties till the 13 th century, when they were deftroyed by the contrivances of Engeraurd de Marigny, the minifter of Philip the Fair. At this period, the defpotifm of France began; from thence may be dated the fluctuations in the adminiftration of their juftice, the inftability of property, the banifhments of their parliaments, together with their lettres de cachet, none of which, my Lord, are the inAitutions of law, but the exceffes of that power, which has arifen upon the demolition of law. What a glorious and
*. Reges ex nobilitate; duces ex virtute fu'munt; nec regibus infnita vel libera poteftas. Et ducés exemplo potius quam imperio profunt. Tac.
happy revolution would France experience, could you at this moment reftore her ancient laws, free from the controul of power !

This is the very bleffing in which the Quebec bill inftates the Canadians, not torn from the church, but feparated from the fate of Rome; they are in pofferfion of the law which they love, under a government that muft take that law for its guide, where the minitters of the crown can neither iffue a general warrant, nor impriion by a lettre de cachet, but every illegal or oppreffive act that would be impeachable and punihable againft an Englifhman, will be equally criminal, in refpect of the Canadians.

One word to the policy of this bill, and I have done. I do not mean to confider
confider the general policy, whether England had better have refted upon her natural innate frength, or have become the head of a divided empire, over different nations of different faith. Her former flate, as in the days of Queen Elizabeth, was the theme of poetical rapture.

Oh England, model of thy inward greatnefs, Like little body, with a mighty heart.

> Shakespear:

Was the fame poet to celebrate your adminiftration, he would fpeak of England as,

Beftriding the world
Like a Coloffus, -

But, my Lord, whomever we pretend to govern, whether natural-born F fubjects
fubjects or adopted ones, this is certain, that that policy is beft, which is beft calculated to unite them all in one common bond of intereft; affection, and duty.

Here, my Lord, let me afk what was your object in acquiring, what in retaining Canada, but that France might not have at her command a body of men, either to attack our American fettlements in time of war, or harafs them in timo of peace, by inciting the native Indians to invade them ? Would you wih, my Lord, to fpoil the fruits of your jwn conqueft in the worft manner poffible? Which would be, to keep the hearts of the Canadians devoted to France, whenever the might call them to arms.

## [ 35 ]

But there is another confideration which makes the affection of the Canadians ftill more defirable. - I hould be afraid to mention it, if your Lordnip had not proclaimed it already; it is the prefent flate of Bofton: Should, my Lord, a fatal necefity arife, (as your Lordhip has been too apt both to prognofticate, and to advife) to coerce America; do you wifh, in that melancholy event, to combine the heart of the Canadian with that of the Boftonian? Was Canada now in the poffeffion of France, and fhould the Boftonian refolve upon rebellion, there can be no douvt whither he would look for fupport, and for encouragement. But the lofs of that hope may happily difpofe him to better thoughts.

If then, my Lord, the Quebec bill is founded in that firf principle of all law,

## $\left[3^{6}\right.$ ]

tbe concurrence and approbation of the people, and if its end is that, for which all government ought to be inftituted, tbe bappinefs of the governed, then will this bill which your Lơrdhip thought atrocious, Joallow, and inept, apprar confonant to juftice, wifdom, penevolence, and policy; and the legifature of this countiy will have followed an illuftrious example of antiquity, ia making fuch regulations for the Canadians; "ut " in fuà ripâ legiburque fuis, mente animoque nobifuue agarc"


