



VOL. XXXVII.

NOVEMBER 10th, 1909.

No. 4.

The Campus.

[NOTE—In publishing the following article on the campus question, we wish merely to say that apart from the question of the advisability of taking the campus as a building site, the students had rights therein that have been violated without notice of any kind, and all that they ask is the official assurance that suitable grounds for athletic purposes will soon be provided as an equivalent. A reply covering points at issue will be given in next Journal.—Ed.]

THE writer of the leading article in the first issue of the Journal, referring to the "sacrifice" of the Campus, claims that his aim was merely to present to the students the facts relating thereto, and thus show them that their case was presented, but without success. The casual reader will, no doubt, agree with me, that according to this article the representatives of the students made a strenuous protest against the action of the University authorities in this matter. If the object of the article was merely to make this one point clear, its purpose has been served; but if the intention was to present *all* the facts connected with this matter, then I think the writer has failed. And this is to be regretted, for the students are entitled to know all the facts so that they may form an unbiased opinion. It is also to be regretted that one finds so many qualified statements, when the claim is made to a statement of facts. For example, in the first paragraph, it is stated that "it is very hard to find anyone now who frankly admits that he advocated taking the upper campus for a building site." Now, if anyone will take the trouble to inquire, he will find that all the staff, except two or three, of the School of Mining are now, and always have been, in favor of locating the buildings on the upper campus. Their position was made very clear and emphatic, early in June when a plan was drawn up embodying the probable building requirements for the next twenty-five years. The sites then selected for the new Chemistry and Metallurgy buildings are practically the ones which have been finally decided upon by the Governors. This plan was presented to the Building Committee after being approved in writing by all the heads of departments then in the city, two or three only being absent. In view of this, I am at a loss to find any ground for the charge that there was "a complete change of front, or at least of emphasis" on the part of members of the Science Faculty. As far as I can see the only change possible is in the opposite direction.

The reasons which led to the selection of the upper campus as a site for the new buildings are very clear and well defined. These reasons will appear in a clearer light if it is assumed that the University had acquired the Or-

phans' Home lot prior to the present year. The question to be settled then is: should the campus be left where it is (or was) and the buildings placed on the north side of Union street, or should the campus be moved across Union street, and the buildings placed on the south side of this street. Now the only objection raised against the placing of the campus across the street is that it would be too far away from the Gymnasium and the University. How about the Chemistry building, which will be used by a far greater number of students than the campus? The footballites would have all the students taking Chemistry and Mining and Metallurgy walk this distance between classes, all winter, to save their smaller number a few steps, two or three evenings a week for six or eight weeks in the autumn. If the campus is for exercise, surely the short walk would not seriously hurt the enthusiasts who use it. The convenience of the buildings to the main body of students is of considerable importance, for it is only a few years ago that the time allowed between classes was changed from five minutes to seven and one-half, and if the new buildings were placed across Union St., this time would, in all probability, have to be changed to ten minutes. This would mean an additional loss of over four per cent., which is worth considering, especially in view of the fact that the question of lengthening the session has been up for consideration more than once. Apart from the question of convenience is that of cost. If the University had owned the Orphans' Home lot, the earth which has been placed on the corner of the campus might have been dumped on this lot, and the expenditure of an additional \$1,000 would finish the work of forming a campus. If, on the other hand, the buildings were placed across the street, it would cost \$8,000 to \$8,500 to make heating and lighting connection to the central plant, while the cost of making these connections to the buildings on the campus will be only \$4,500. There is thus a net saving in favor of moving the campus of at least \$2,500, to say nothing of the annual loss on account of the greater distances, if heat and electricity had to be carried across Union street. But these are comparatively minor items when we contemplate future expansion. It does not require any keen prophetic vision to foresee that when a site is wanted for a future Science building—and this will be needed within the next five years at the present rate of growth—it will perforce be on the Clergy street side of the Orphans' Home lot, for the grip of the football interests, if sufficiently strong to hold the campus now, would be doubly strong then. Imagine now, for example, the inconvenience to the whole student body if a new Physics building were placed on Clergy street. The extra cost to connect such a building to the central plant would be at least \$5,000; and—Shade of Mars—the campus would have to be dug up again. Within ten years the Orphans' Home lot would be all taken up. And then where? If the Science buildings are to be kept within co-operating range of one another the next step would of necessity be to acquire the property on the west side of University Ave. But, perhaps, by that time we would have a Carnegie behind us.

From the foregoing it is clear that the views of the majority of the Science Faculty would not be changed by the purchase of the Orphans' Home property

at any time. Taking into consideration the financial resources of both the University and the School of Mining, it is our opinion that the best interests of both will be best served by placing the new buildings on the upper campus, and since the University exists for the benefit of the students, it follows that the interests of the latter will also be best served.

In the article previously referred to, reference is made to some action which was taken by two members of the Science Faculty on the day previous to the meeting of the Board of Governors at which the site was finally accepted. As I happen to be one of the two referred to, I can speak with authority on this phase of the question. In the first place the primary object of any action on this particular day was prompted by the thought that if building operations were not soon commenced there would be no hope of having the buildings ready for occupancy in October, 1910. We therefore resolved to *reassert* our position to the members of the Board of Governors in the hope that the matter would be settled at the approaching meeting. While engaged at this, we were informed that some of the members of the University, who are particularly interested in football, had stated that to take away the campus would be a serious blow to the life of the University, and that no other site would do for a campus. Our opinion was (and is now) that if the lower campus were levelled for Rugby purposes, the Orphans' Home lot or the common might be secured for Association, and the Footballites would be as well off as they are now. It appeared obvious then, that the author of such a statement as cited above must regard football as the first interest of the University, and was therefore suffering from a severe attack of *Footballitis* which needed special attention. On examination, however, we found that this was not the case. All agreed that the students should be satisfied with any campus close to the University, and that if the Orphans' Home lot were secured for a campus site, the buildings should be located on the site as selected. Our purpose in addressing the students' representatives the same day was to point out the financial advantage of placing the buildings near the central plant and the convenience to the main body of students in passing from one building to another. All we asked was a written statement to the effect that they would be satisfied with a campus anywhere in the immediate vicinity of the University, but this was refused, notwithstanding the fact that it was agreed to verbally by all who were present.

Regarding the question of the purchase of the Orphans' Home lot, I would like to point out that the Trustees never offered \$22,500 for this property. All the negotiations in this connection were undertaken by the Governors of the School of Mining, and if it had been found that this property could be purchased for the price mentioned, the Governors were prepared to *recommend that it be purchased by the Trustees.*

In conclusion I wish to make it clear that while I have advocated taking the upper campus, I am not opposed to football. By all means, let us have athletics of any kind that will develop physical manhood and at the same time bring the students together so that they may know more of each other, but let us be sane about it.—L. W. GILL.

Letters to Men About College.

DEAR PADDY:—"Every dog has his day," but some dogs' days are all night. Not so with thee, for thou art a jolly dog—yea, a very sun-dog for brightness and good cheer. Thy day is to-day and will always be so, for what carest thou for to-morrow—"sufficient unto the day is the evil thereof," so "let's eat, drink and be merry." If thou couldst but bluff a knowledge of mineralogy, thou wouldst indeed be happy, but take a tip from an old timer, "say nothing and saw wood"—for, "even a fool when he holdeth his peace is counted wise, and he that shutteth his lips is esteemed a man of understanding."

But enough! We remember the words of Mark Antony, and lest thy good deeds should be "interred with thy bones," we will not await that sad end to accord thee thy due.

Thy sunny smile is thrice welcome in our halls, and may thy laughing song cheer us for many years to come. Not that we would have thee spend more than the allotted time within our sacred precincts, for we wish thee well, but we are glad to have thee with us while we may. Thou art of the silent men who do things—a most worthy member of that austere body and of thy future we expect great things. For, hast thou not upheld our honor and defended our colors on the gridiron,—yea, even carried those colors to victory. Thy drop kicks are a pleasure to our eyes and thy right valiant tackles bring joy to our old age. And hast thou not, even as Sandy says, "felt the call of the wild" and gone forth into the waste places of the far frontier and with transit and compass nobly served thy country. Verily, and a "scrap" is to thee as bread and wine. But 'tis not alone in the rough and rugged walks of life that thou art famous. Didst thou not descend upon the Levana Tea with thy Irish wit and blarney and set all the fair hearts there assembled, fluttering with hopes and fears? How could they resist thy blue eyes and pretty brogue? And thou art fair to look upon. The way thou lavished chocolate and fudge on those damsels was rank bribery—little wonder that of all science thou alone wert successful at the polls.

Thou hast chosen to be a "Mucker"—good. Thou hast still another choice to make before thy cup of happiness be filled, and we would help thee with it. Choose not for wealth, for there thou mightst lose thine honor; nor for beauty, for it is but of the day; but keep thy feet in the sun-lit path that leads under the protection of Venus through orange blossoms to Hymen's altar and thou shalt find

"—— there's nothing Love
Can't quite completely mend."

Your

Alma Mater.

P. S.—Thou shalt always be most welcome at the Levana Tea.

Queen's University Journal

Published weekly during the Academic Year by the Alma Mater Society of Queen's University.

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF, - - - W. A. Kennedy, B.A.

ASSOCIATE EDITORS, - - - (ARTS, - - - A. G. Dorland. MANAGING EDITOR, - - - R. S. STEVENS, B.A.
 (SCIENCE, - - - H. Bradley.

DEPARTMENTS

LADIES, - - - - (Miss J. Elliott.
 (Miss W. Girdler.
 ARTS, - - - - - C. S. McGaughey.
 SCIENCE, - - - - J. B. Stirling, B.A.
 MEDICINE, - - - - T. M. Galbraith, B.A.
 EDUCATION, - - - - S. H. Henry, M.A.

DIVINITY, - - - - J. W. Johnson, M.A.
 ATHLETICS, - - - - G. A. Platt, M.A.
 ALUMNI, - - - - - W. F. Dyde.
 EXCHANGES, - - - - W. R. Leadbeater.
 MUSIC AND DRAMA, W. M. Goodwin, B.A.

BUSINESS MANAGER, - - - M. R. Bow, B.A.

ASSISTANT, - - - - H. W. McKiel, B.A.

Subscriptions, \$1.00 per year; single copies, 10c.

Communications should be addressed to the Editor, or to the Business Manager, Queen's University, Kingston.

Editorials.

IN a recent address to university students, Hon. G. W. Ross deplores the use on their part of so many slang phrases and inaccurate expressions. No one who has heard the ex-premier speak can doubt for a moment that he is thoroughly in earnest in what he says on the subject, and that his own language qualifies him to make remarks on that of others. There are few men in public life in Canada, among them Sir Wilfrid Laurier, who can compare with Dr. Ross, for felicity of expression and precision in diction. Combined with these qualities is an ease in speech which at once relieves any strain on the listener and commands his attention.

The emphasis of his speech, however, was not put on the importance of accurate enunciation in public address, but upon the need for improvement in everyday conversation. We believe that this touches upon one of the great defects in Canadian education, from home-life through public and high schools to our universities. Correct speaking ought to be one acquisition, at least, of the university graduate.

Yet, our general conversation deservedly comes under censure. We are content with such a slipshod, indistinct, unfinished speech that makes us at times nearly unintelligible even to some of our own people. Form, as a rule, is not a thing that is emphasized at Queen's, but form in speech, is a factor that no amount of brilliance of idea, or importance of subject, will altogether set aside as unnecessary. Perhaps the trend of our life is one of the chief causes of our deficiencies in these respects. There is a certain air of haste, a mildly reckless desire to be doing something and to be done with it, without the balance which considers the importance of doing a thing well. As a result perhaps we are poor speakers, and naturally enough, poor listeners. It is very doubtful if we gain anything by our haste, or if we are able to overtake as much work with as great ease as the educated classes of the United Kingdom for example. Many business men of Kingston could give examples daily, of arrangements going wrong through inaccurate and careless expres-

sions of speech and writing. It is often quite surprising and refreshing to listen to the conversation of the children of some of the recent English emigrants. Their choice of words, intonation, and general construction of sentences are much superior to those of our school children. It strongly reminds us of the generations of British culture, and by comparison that our education leaves us content with slovenly ways of speaking. There is a discipline in trying to speak well, and the results are satisfactory. An Eastern proverb may not be out of place here; it runs as follows, "He who does not speak plainly does not fear God."

Toronto University is having trouble with a small rowdy element among its student body. The actions of some students on Hallowe'en have been so ungentlemanly that the disapproval of the citizens of Toronto, of the University authorities, of the best portion of the student body has been given in strong and decided terms. Newspaper reports make it evident that there are students(!) in Toronto who ought to be shown little consideration by those in authority or by their fellow students. But the trouble is "to find them," as President Falconer has said. One can almost be certain that they are known to quite a number of the students and the students themselves ought to deal with the offenders. It is to be expected from students that any information in regard to guilty parties ought to be given in their own courts. It is a false code of honour that allows men to be silent while their fellow students commit injustices to the student body, or to the public.

(By the way, our courts could do no better work than to inquire into the defacing of Fleming Hall recently). It would be unwise, we think, almost an insult, to introduce, as has been suggested in Toronto, police or detectives to ferret out the offenders, but if students do not want such means forced upon them they themselves ought to take the matter up with all seriousness. It is not enough for those who disapprove of such rowdyism to take no part in it; they must see that others are not allowed to do so either. It is something like a notice in a local theatre, "Gentlemen will not and others must not . . ." In his expression of his trust in Toronto students we should have liked to have seen President Falconer go farther than these words in his address to them:—"As soon as you give us that assurance, we have no desire to curtail your privileges, and we trust the students of this University. One of these functions is next week; the sooner you take action the better."

The Annual Parade is to be held next Saturday evening after the Alma Mater meeting. The committee in charge have had the arrangements in hand for two weeks, and we may expect that the parade this year will turn out "the best yet." But that depends, not on the committee, but on the students as a whole. Year organizations ought to support the parade and subscribe to it as liberally as they can. It gives plenty of scope for originality, and if it is going to be a distinct University affair, of interest and pleasure to the students as well as to their friends, all should be careful not

to spoil it by withholding the few extra dollars that make it a success. The cost to each student is but a trifle, and if he is anxious to save his money he should do so on an occasion where it concerns himself alone. Support something that may not be for yourself alone, and perhaps you may have a little more wholesome self-respect for yourself, and your eye will be brighter and your step firmer even before the parade begins. But we are forgetting ourselves; it is not the Journal's office to improve people, it will be content if it can give the news, interest you, and let you improve yourselves. Our readers will forgive our moralizing ways, and as much as concerns them give their attention to making the Parade of 1909 a decided success.

Ladies.

THE Levana Society is at present considering a scheme to start a lunch counter at which some light refreshment may be secured between the hours of 12 and 2. A committee has been appointed to look into the matter, and it is hoped that the scheme will prove to be practicable for it will be a boon to those unfortunates who have a lecture from 12 to 1, and then another at 2. Other colleges have such counters, and there seems to be no reason why one should not be successful at Queen's.

The third meeting of the Levana Society was held on Wednesday, Nov. 3rd. There was not very much business to be transacted, so the programme was soon commenced. It consisted of the first of the inter-year debates, this time between the senior and junior years on the subject, "Resolved, that the Segregation of the Sexes in Education is Beneficial." The affirmative was supported by the Misses Dickie and Stuart of '10, and the negative, by the Misses Allen and Holmes of '11. The debate was very close, and it took some time for the judges, Mrs. Goodwin, Mrs. Dyde and Miss M. Gordon, to arrive at a decision. At length Mrs. Dyde reported that the year '10 had won by a very narrow margin. In giving the decision, Mrs. Dyde complimented the girls on the freedom and ease with which they spoke.

Miss McK--z-e, translating in Final French—"Made for feet six cubits long."

There were giants in those days.

Scene—A Western school.

Characters—A Queen's '11 girl, and a little Galician lad:

Teacher—Peter, you stay in at recess, and I shall strap you.

Peter (after a period of dead silence)—Mine fader . . .

Teacher—Yes, Peter?

Peter—Mine fader (producing a bright new 10 cent piece) mine fader, he you send dis; you me no lick!

YET ONCE AGAIN.

Half an inch, half an inch,
 Half an inch, onward!
 All in the Halls of Queen's
 Moved the three hundred.
 Forward the Fair Brigade!
 Slow for Grant Hall, they made,
 Each armed and well arrayed,
 Noble three hundred.

Forward the Fair Brigade!
 Was there a maid dismayed?
 Not tho' o'er rendezvous
 Some freshmen blundered:
 Her's not to peek and pry,
 Her's just to smile—quite shy,
 And then to make reply:
 "Why, yes, I thought 'twas thy
 Turn to seek a number."

Freshmen to right of them!
 Freshmen to left of them!
 Freshmen in front of them!
 But where's that next number?
 Searched for both long and well!
 Sometimes not found? Do tell!
 Could a freshman know so well
 That absence works a magic spell
 On the fair three hundred?

Divinity.

THE classes in Divinity began on Monday of this week, and the attendance we are sorry to say, is very small. There is not likely to be an attendance of more than 21 or 22 this year, the smallest in a number of years. The freshman class will probably number about six or seven, which corresponds favorably with the average class during the last few years.

On the evening of Monday, Nov. 1st, a special convocation was held in old Convocation Hall, the occasion being the installation of Rev. Robert Laird, M.A., to the chair of Practical Theology. In the absence of Sir Sandford Fleming, the chair was occupied by Principal Gordon, vice-chancellor. Rev. Mr. Laird was presented by Rev. Prof. Ross, who said that the principle which actuated the appointment of professors of Queen's was to get "the best men available irrespective of nationality or academic connection." And so in the Faculty of Theology at the present time three of its members were

from the ranks of the Canadian church, the fourth from one of the Scottish churches, and now he had the honor to present for installation into the new chair of Practical Theology, one of their own graduates, a native Canadian, Rev. Robert Laird, M.A.

Prof. Ross then gave an outline of Prof. Laird's career, and pointed out that his scholarship, his experience as preacher and pastor in different parts of Canada, and his inside knowledge of the condition and needs of the church generally, ought to qualify him in an eminent degree, to discharge his duties with success, to the great benefit of the students for the ministry of the Presbyterian Church in Canada. After answering the usual series of questions, Prof. Laird was received by Principal Gordon on behalf of the chancellor, and by Rev. Mr. McTavish, on behalf of the General Assembly.

All the students of the faculty are glad to welcome Professor Laird as instructor in the art of preaching and pastoral work.

The Alumni Conference of last week was one of the best and most interesting that has ever been held. It was fairly well attended, and seemed to be enjoyed by everyone. The papers were one and all comprehensive and well thought out. The subjects were very interesting, and the discussion which followed the addresses was very good. Such subjects as "The Problem of Suffering in the Old Testament," "The Development of the Idea of the Kingdom of God," "The pre-Christian Belief in Immortality," "The Development of the Title, Son of Man, in the Old and New Testaments" were discussed in a very clear and appreciative manner. The Chancellor's lectureship was held by Prof. Dyde, who in his richly illustrated lectures on the Philosophy of Art, contributed largely to the success of the conference. The evening sessions were especially interesting. Rev. S. P. Rose gave a very brilliant paper on "The Pulpit of To-morrow"; Prof. Skelton contributed an excellent paper on, "Industrial Insurance"; Professor Morison spoke on, "Calvin, His Place in History and Influence on Modern Thought," and Rev. Mr. Bates, M.A., lately from Tokio, Japan, addressed an appreciative audience on "The Rise of New Japan." The sessions of the Alumni Conference, are indeed, intellectual treats, which are at least very inspiring. May they continue to prosper in their excellent service.

Arts.

WEDNESDAY morning Mr. Wallace gave a very interesting address to the members of the Political Science classes, on the condition of London's poor. Mr. Wallace has spent some twenty-five years in London, working among the lower classes for the betterment of their wretched condition. He went thoroughly into the causes of the misery and degradation of the slum element. He suggests, as a relief, that the government control all great enterprises such as railroads, telephone systems, etc.; the establishment

of governmental works in which every laborer, even to the least useful, might be employed and receive a fair wage for his work. Then with the power of alternative, he could refuse the present starvation wage of the capitalist. This would keep the wage level above that which merely serves to keep body and soul together. The question is becoming graver each year, Mr. Wallace tells us. Emigration to this country would seem a ready and happy solution of London's problem. Past experience, however, with the type of English laborer that has come to us has not made our business men very anxious to help solve London's trouble by giving them employment when they do come.

None were more annoyed than the Arts' men to see those red daubs on the Science building. Surely the silly work of some irresponsible youth cannot be laid at our door, and we are glad that the Science men have looked at the matter in this light. We trust that the trouble has faded away as easily as the paint has.

How glad we are to see smiling "Geordie" MacKinnon and "Friar" Sutherland with us again.

For goodness sake hustle along that piano!

Science.

THE *Powerful Civils* showed their superiority over the "Wire Pullers" and "Monkey Wrenches" of year '11, when they defeated them by the decisive score 8-3 in one of the most brilliant games of rugby seen in the last week. The line-up was as follows:

Civils—Full back, Caruso MacRostie; halves, Alexander MacDougall Keith Kirkpatrick, Walrus Earle, Silas Cooke; scrumage, Fatty Thomas, Water Rat Burgoing, Foxy Phillips; quarter, Streak Moyer; wings, Tucker Mills, J. Pierpont Morgan, Jeffries Johnston Doncaster, Beethoven Stirling, Angel Face Ramsay.

Electricals—Full back, Constant Weight Burroughs; halves, Auxilliary-Battery Haffner, Low Voltage Trimble, Water Gauge Stewart; quarter, Westinghouse Air-Brake Newman; scrumage, High Amperage Carscallen, Gauss Cameron, Ampere-Joule Caverhill; wings, Jumping Arc Brewster, Tension Coil Lennox, Potential Energy Borden, Accumulator Brush Gates, Jack-Screw Bennett, Power Equivalent Skinner.

After considerable delay in placing the men and telling them what to do, Referee Gallaher tooted his horn. From that moment the play was fast and furious, except when it became so brilliant that some players became spectators. At one time it was thought that Silas Cooke was badly injured, but before the manager, Pegleg Malloch, could reach the other side of the campus, Si had fully recovered. The result was never in doubt; a touch by Earle, a

kick from penalty, etc., did the trick. The horn sounded just in time to save the Electricals from being ignominiously shoved over their own touch line.

Pegleg Malloch and Single Spark Scovil are working overtime to calculate the algebraic sum of favors shown by Referee Gallaher and Umpire McKay.

The Intercollegiate Rifle Match took place last week, under very unfavorable weather conditions. There was a raw wind blowing across the ranges, making good scores at 500 and 600 yards almost impossible. Toronto University took first place with a total score of 690, McGill second with 665, and Queen's third with 650. The Queen's scores were as follows:—D. G. Anglin, 87; A. M. Buck, 84; R. Wright, 82; A. J. Jackson, 82; W. Dalziel, 81; H. L. Phillips, 79; D. Ellis, 78; W. A. Newman, 77. The friendly match between professors resulted as follows:—P. G. C. Campbell, 79; G. J. McKay, 78; A. K. Kirkpatrick, 72; Dr. D. E. Mundell, 68; A. Mcphail, 67.

The committees in charge of the Engineering Society Dinner for this year is as follows:—Convener of General committee, E. H. Birkett; Invitation committee, E. S. Malloch, A. D. Carmichael; Finance committee, J. V. Dobson, N. D. Bothwell, A. Gray, G. Cameron; Reception committee, D. Keeley, D. Anglin; Decoration committee, O. Stanley, W. Morrison; Refreshment committee, A. Bateman, J. Marshall; Programme committee, A. Holland, W. Fletcher, M. Kemp.

Music and Drama.

ON Wednesday, November 17th, a meeting of the Levana Society will be held in Convocation Hall, at which a one-act farce, entitled "A Lunch in the Suburbs" will be presented. Musical numbers will also be given. For several years past a "Levana play" has been presented before a small and select audience, exclusively ladies, the only gentlemen present, so rumor says, being on the stage. This year the public is to be admitted at a nominal charge, the proceeds going to help defray the expenses of delegates from the Levana Society at the Y.W.C.A. convention in Muskoka next spring. If the boys turn out on this occasion as they would like to have on former similar occasions, there will be a rush for seats.

The members of the Dramatic Club have been hard at work reading their parts every afternoon from four to six o'clock. It is believed that they take Sunday afternoons and special holidays off. Those who are to present the parts finally are about to be chosen by the committee of critics. The official criticism has been very favorable so far. The play chosen is "The Rivals," an 18th century production by Sheridan.

Now that a Choral Society is looming up as one of our organizations, there are several matters in connection with it that call for consideration, and one of the more important is that of membership.

The recent action of the Musical committee, in this matter, should meet with the approval of all, when it requires that members only of the separate glee clubs be eligible for membership to the Choral Society. This should be and in all probability is, the view taken by all truly interested; but lately there are some who are willing and anxious to attend the united practices who will not support the club to which they should belong. Such action is to be deplored, and we hope that in the future each separate organization will receive its due support, and by so doing we will best advance, not only the interests of each club alone, but far better, those of the Choral Society.

Education.

PROF. STEVENSON has kindly supplied the present addresses of some of last year's class in Education; we are not surprised at seeing that they hold important positions in the teaching profession of the province. The following are a few of them:

Mr. W. P. Ferguson, M.A., is Science Master in Iroquois High School.

Mr. J. W. Forrester, M.A., is Science Master in St Mary's Collegiate Institute.

Mr. A. D. MacDonnell, M.A., is Mathematical Master in Listowel High School.

Mr. M. Matheson, M.A., is English Master in Brampton High School.

Mr. A. T. Batstone is teaching in Beeton Public School.

Mr. W. H. Bunton is teaching in Stayner Public School.

Miss Elsie Cowie is teaching in Moncton Public School.

Miss Charlotte Hamilton is teaching in the Stratford Public Schools.

Among the class of '08 in Education we note the following names:—

Mr. J. J. McEachern, B.A., is teaching in St. Thomas Collegiate Institute.

Mr. H. P. May, M.A., is teaching in Kingston Collegiate Institute.

Mr. C. A. Shaver, B.A., is teaching in Owen Sound Collegiate Institute.

Miss Dorothy Robertson and Miss Emily Elliott, M.A., are taking Arts classes in Queen's this year.

Mr. W. P. Ferguson, M.A., of Iroquois High School, paid Kingston a flying visit a week or so ago. "Fergie" says there is no work on earth like teaching. He has started the pupils playing rugby, so we may expect to see some good football material enter college from Iroquois High School.

Anyone wishing to learn the latest thing in college rushes might have done so, last week, by watching the eleven male members of the Education class go out of the Junior Latin room, while twenty-five or thirty Latin students were trying to enter. The "five-man buck" was used to such advantage that the Latin class now meets in the Junior Philosophy room. We hope the philosophers will also show them that it requires an effort to enter a class-room.

Medicine.

DR. R. J. Ellis, after spending the summer as Clinical assistant at the Rockwood Hospital, has accepted an appointment as Ship-Surgeon on the Montezuma. Dr. Ellis sails from Montreal to London, where his ship will be tied up for two weeks, thus giving "Dick" time to do the town. After this they call at Antwerp, where they are to take on six hundred emigrants. We wish him a good voyage.

Dr. J. Stead, who has been acting as Assistant Physician at the Brockville Eastern Hospital, has been transferred to Toronto.

A welcome is extended to Messrs. McArdle, '14, of Toronto, Dexter, '12, of Cornell, Macdougall, '11, Meyers, '10, and Kidd, '10, on their return to college.

The committee in charge of the Medical Dance have decided on Nov. 19, as the date of that function. They are making every effort to have this dance the event of the year, and we are confident that their efforts will meet with success.

Prof. B-r-e- at Rockwood Hospital—"We get a large number of our patients from the County of Renfrew."—

Geo. G-b-o- to C-r-l-y C-m-b-l—"That's hard on us, Curly."

W. H-l—"I'd like to strike something like that two weeks holidays every two weeks."

Alumni.

LAST week the Theological Conference held its annual meetings in Convocation Hall. Every fall those of our graduates in Divinity who are not too far away meet here for a week to see old friends and get a mental stimulus from the papers and discussions which will help to carry them through their trying congregational work during the winter. Divinity Hall was filled with faces quite unfamiliar to the present generation of students, but members of the same Alma Mater and still Queen's men. We are always glad to welcome back the members of the Theological Alumni to our halls.

If we were to search throughout the whole university we would not find many who could say that their fathers or mothers were graduates of Queen's. But listen to this!

The Rev. Duncan Morrison is one of our oldest graduates. His son, Judge Morrison, of Picton, is a Queen's man, too, and of no very recent date. His grandson is R. J. McPherson, B.A., '08, now of Winnipeg, and he is

probably the first representative of the third generation to carry away a degree from Queen's. Mr. R. J. McPherson dates a subscription of \$100 to Queen's on the fifth anniversary of his son's birth and expresses the hope that he may be the first representative of the fourth generation to go to the university of his fathers. When things like this happen Queen's is beginning to throw off her swaddling clothes, and is leaving her babyhood behind.

Two of our graduates are situated in Norwood High School. R. G. Lawlor, B.A., '02, as principal, and Harold McFarlane, '09, as one of the masters.

G. W. Hofferd, '08, and Miss Ethel Alford, '07, are two recent appointments to the staff of Peterborough Collegiate Institute.

Exchanges.

A CALL TO DUTY.

Other papers all remind us,
 We can make our own sublime,
 If our fellow schoolmates send us
 Contributions all the time.
 Here a little, there a little
 Story, Schoolmates, song or jest,
 If you want a good school paper
 Each of you must do his best.—Ex.

JUST LIKE US.

"I went to a reception given by the Y.M. and Y.W.C.A. Everybody was *supposed* to get acquainted. Everybody talked and shook hands just like Home-Coming or County Fair. I suspect that I was introduced to most a hundred people. Now, when I meet them in the hall they always speak, but I don't remember their names. If everybody would wear their school clothes to a reception, you would be able to recognize them when you meet them the next day. That night a lot of boys stood off in a corner by themselves, and I don't suppose that they had a good time. One of the girls said it was the mail department of the university. They were standing near the mail-box, so I guess she said it for a joke.—An extract from Willie's Letter Home, in the "*Decaturian*."

THE FRESHMAN'S PRAYER.

Now I lay me down to rest,
 To have some sleep, I'll do my best;
 If I should die before I wake,
 The blame, the Sophomores will take.
 For ever and ever. Amen.—O. A. C. Review.

"IF"

If my bank account would grow like my beard,
I'd never have to borrow;
Could spend all I have, and it needn't be feared
But I'd have it all back to-morrow.—*Collegian.*

God, what a world! if men in street and mart
Felt that same kinship of the human heart,
Which makes them, in face of flame and flood,
Rise to the meaning of true Brotherhood.—*Mitre.*

For October we gratefully acknowledge the receipt of the following exchanges:—The Oxford Magazine, The Notre Dame Scholastic, The Decaturian, the Mitre, the Niagara Index, the Varsity, the Martlet, the Collegian, the Dial, the University of Ottawa Review, the Solonian, the Victorian, the Dalhousie Gazette, the Buff and Blue, the O. A. C. Review, the Tech, the Xaverian, the Fordham Monthly, the Acta Victoriana, and the Assumption College Review.

Athletics.

The championship in the senior series for this season goes to Toronto. Queen's has proved capable of playing the champions to a finish. There was little difference between the teams on Saturday. Every man who saw the game was proud of the splendid showing made by our seniors. The issue of a game on neutral grounds, with the same line-up, would be doubtful. The strength of the team is due to the loyalty of the boys themselves, and to the superb coaching of Mr. Sliter and Marty Walsh. Every student should be proud of Queen's team and its coaches.

RUGBY—QUEEN'S VS. TORONTO.

Queen's senior team showed its strength when it held Toronto to a score of 21-9 on Saturday, at Varsity Athletic Field. The Toronto team has a record of unbroken wins to its credit for the season, and has lately piled up huge scores against its opponents. The supporters of the team expected a runaway game against Queen's, but the local fourteen proved a great surprise. At several stages of the game the Toronto team appeared to be de-

feated. It was only in the last quarter that they took the lead by a sure margin, after Lawson had made a 75 yard run along a side-line. This proved the turning point, for Toronto was enabled to take chances, thus adding several points to their score. But Queen's kept in the game to the finish, and there were few Toronto supporters who did not breathe a sigh of relief when the whistle sounded.

The victory for Toronto means that the Intercollegiate Championship remains in the hands of the Blue and White, the remaining games affecting only the standing of the other teams in the league.

Saturday's game was truly a battle royal. It was the best exhibition of rugby seen in Toronto this season, and the tremendous crowd present found that the interest did not lag at any stage. The teams were more evenly matched than the score indicates. The play, too, was more frequently in Toronto territory than in Queen's. Lawson, the hero of Toronto rugbyists, who was expected to smash through Queen's team at will, did little smashing. He was downed time and again by Queen's wings, getting away only once. Queen's relied on Williams' kicking, with snappy following-up of the wings. Time and again the ball would sail into the air. Elliott and Smith would go up fast, and long gains would result. The wing line, too, covered itself with glory, for not once during the game did Toronto block a kick. Toronto did more line work than their opponents: and utilized their running on the back division whenever a chance was given them. They were, however, forced to resort largely to kicking. The linemen showed more snap in following-up, so surrounding the man who made the catch that a return was out of the question. With few exceptions, the following-up of Queen's team was left to Smith, Elliott or Moran.

Queen's scored five points on a beautiful end run by Leckie from Toronto 25-yard line. The other four points came directly from Williams' skyscrapers. Toronto scored five on Lawson's run, five more when Forbes bucked over and a third five on a dash over the line by Thompson. Their remaining scores were due to Ritchie's conversions, and a neat drop-goal by Dickson. For Queen's every member of the team played grand football. There were few fumbles. The line was strong and sure. The tackling could not have been bettered. The work of Elliott, Smith, and Moran was brilliant in the extreme.

During the first half both teams suffered a number of penalties, and at the time Queen's try was secured three of the fourteen were on the side-line. McLeish got over Varsity's line with the pigskin in the second half when Lawson dropped the ball after a tackle that laid him out, but the play was not allowed by referee Ballard.

FIRST HALF.

The first period saw the Toronto team hard pressed, and its supporters in doubt. Both teams opened with snap. The play shifted up and down the field, until finally Lawson punted to Queen's 25-yard line. Moran attempted the catch, but the ball was snatched away by Thompson, who

came up from the point at which the ball was kicked. The play appeared off-side, but was allowed, and the ball was soon planted behind Queen's posts. Ritchie converted. Toronto 6, Queen's 0. It was Queen's turn next. The play was in Toronto 25-yard line. Moxley passed across the field to Leckie, who went over the line after a beautiful end run, the first time this season that a try has been scored against the Blue and White. Queen's 5, Toronto 6.

The play continued fast; the tackling clean and sure. It was spectacular football. Both lines attempted close work, gaining little. Before half time Toronto scored 6 more points and Queen's 3, making the standing Toronto 12, Queen's 9.

SECOND HALF.

The second period was as interesting as the first. Williams punted high: Elliott and Smith were pulling down the Toronto halves. It looked like a win for Queen's. Lawson strove to get away, but found it too heavy. He was given a number of chances, however, and finally got an opening. Leckie returned a kick from Dickson. Newton caught on Toronto 25-yard line. He made a beautiful cross-field pass to Lawson, who went 75 yards down the side-line for a try. This was converted. Toronto 18, Queen's 9. Shortly before time was called Dickson made Toronto's score 21, by a drop goal from a few yards from centre field. The game was over. The championship was won. Toronto's hardest fight was past. The line-up of the teams were:

Queen's—Full-back, Williams; halves, Leckie, Moran, Campbell; quarter, Moxley; scrumage, Overend, McLeish, Clarke; line, Gallagher, Erskine, McKay, Lloyd, Smith and Elliott.

Toronto—Full-back, Dickson; halves, Newton, Gall, Lawson; quarter, Forbes; scrumage, Bell, Jones, Ritchie; line, Muir, Kingstone, Hume, Thompson, Parks, Lajoie.

Referee, Geo. Ballard; umpire, Harcourt.

QUEEN'S III VS. VARSITY III.

By a score of 18-6 Queen's III went down to defeat in Toronto, at the hands of Toronto III. To win the championship the game in Kingston next Saturday will have to be won by thirteen points.

The game was a good sample of junior rugby. Queen's was weakened by the absence of Clarke, Spearman, Laird and O'Connor.

Queen's opened the game with a try five minutes after the kick-off, when a Toronto fumble was grabbed up behind the line. Toronto's showed superiority later. Their halves were surer than Queen's in catching and tackling. They also had several tricks that worked nicely on one or two occasions. The half-time score was Toronto 12, Queen's 6. Toronto points were made on runs by the back-division men, Queen's outsides playing too close to the scrumage.

In the second half Toronto scored 6 points on singles over Queen's line. Dick caught nicely, saving many points by cool, quick work. On the line

Toronto showed strength in the second period, making their yards on several occasions. The final score was 18-6.

Queen's line-up was:—Full-back, Twigg; halves, Dick, Connolly, Meikle; quarter, Reid; scrimmage, McDonald, Barker, Battersby; line, McDonald, Cochrane, Stach, Craig, Nelson, Young, Hamilton.

ASSOCIATION—QUEEN'S 1, TORONTO 1.

Queen's Association team, by playing a tie game with Toronto, on Saturday, lack but two points of bringing the championship to Kingston. The failure to win the final game may quite legitimately be charged to hard luck, as Queen's showed superiority throughout, Toronto only scoring a few minutes before full time. The game was a good exhibition of Association. Queen's forwards played with snap and worked their combination perfectly. The line-up was the same as the last game, except that Dug Ramsay's place was taken by Tremble, and Nicol was sent up to the forward line.

De Nobis.

Prof. in Physics—"If a body is immersed in water what will it lose?"

Student—"It's life if it cannot swim."

(Final French—translating English phrases into French).

Prof. C-p-ll—"What is, 'to be in love,' Miss Sp-n-r?"

Miss Sp-n-r (hesitating):—"I—I—don't know."

(Who said "to H— with Athletics?")—A Freshman raffled a pair of his boots this past week to take in the Toronto football excursion; and judging from reports, "he was there with the bells on."

At the dinner table:

Miss H———"Do you have Cappon in Junior English, Mr. F——n."

F—n (Science freshman)—"No, but we have Shakespeare and Long-fellow."

Experimental Physiology Class:

G. W. Burton—"Well gentlemen, do you note that our "fair co-ed" is Dextero-rotatory? Is this Laevulose or Sweet-heart?"

In the future A. H. Harty will be the boy to take care of the fishes in the aquarium, Medical laboratories. It is expected that he will get his Sr. Physiology class in the spring.

Gymnasium Subscriptions.

Previously acknowledged, \$266.50. \$25, Prof. Morison; \$15, A. A. Murphy, A. W. Baird; \$10, C. J. Burns, A. Rintoul, J. A. Donnell, A. M. Bateman, Prof. W. T. McClement; \$5, W. G. Wallace, J. W. Marshall, W. R. Hambly, J. F. Pringle, G. W. McKinnon, A. D. McDonnell, O. W. Murphy. Total, \$406.50.