IMAGE EVALUATION TEST TARGET (MT-3)


Photographic Sciences

## CIHM/ICMH Microfiche Series.

## CIHM/ICMH Collection de microfiches.

## 圖

Canadian Institute for Historical Microreproductions/Institut canadien de microreproductions historiques


The Institute has attempted to obtain the best original copy available for filming. Features of this copy which may be bibliographically unique, which may alter any of the images in the reproduction, or which may significantly change the usual method of filming, are checked below.


Coloured covers/
Couverture de couleur
Covers damaged/
Couverture endommagée
$\square$
Covers restored and/or laminated/
Couverture restaurée et/ou pelliculée


Cover title missing/
Le titre de couverture manque
Coloured maps/
Cartes géographiques en couleur
Coloured ink (i.e. other than blue or black)/
Encre de couleur (i.e. autre que bleue ou noire)
Coloured plates and/or illustrations/
Planches et/ou illustrations en couleur
Bound with other material/
Relié avec d'autres documents
Tight binding may cause shadows or distortion along interior margin/
La re liure serrée peut causer de l'ombre ou de le distortion le long de la marge intérieure

Blank leaves added during restoration may appear within the text. Whenever possible, these have been omitted from filming/ Il se peut que certaines pages blanches ajoutées lors d'une restauration apparaissent dans le texte, mais, lorsque cela était possible, ces pages n'ont pas été filmées.

Additional comments:/
Commentaires supplémentaires:

L'Institut a microfilmé le meilleur exemplaire qu'il lui a été possible de se procurer. Les détails de cet exemplaire qui sont peut-être uniques du point de vue bibliographique, qui peuvent modifier une image reproduite, ou qui peuvent exiger une modification dans la méthode normale de filmage sont indiqués ci-dessous.

Coloured pages/
Pages de couleur
Pages damaged/
Pages endommagées
Pages restored and/or laminated/
Pages restaurées et/ou pelliculées


Pages discoloured, stained or foxed/
Pages décolorées, tachetées ou piquées
Pages detached/
Pages détachées


Showthrough/
Transparence


Quality of print varies/
Qualité inégale de l'impression
Includes supplementary material/
Comprend du matériel supplémentaire
Only edition available/
Seule édition disponible
Pages wholly or partially obscured by errata slips, tissues, etc., have been refilmed to ensure the best possible image/
Les pages totalement ou partieliement obscurcies par un feuillet d'errata, une pelure, etc., ont été filmées à nouveau de façon à obtenir la meilleure image possible.

This item is filmed at the reduction ratio checked below/ Ce document est filmé au taux de réduction indiqué ci-dessens.
 filmage

The copy filmed here has been reproduced thanks to the generosity of:

## Library of the Public Archives of Canada

The images appearing here are the best quality possible considering the condition and legibility of the original copy and in keeping with the filming contract specifications.

Original copies in printed paper covers are filmed beginning with the front cover and ending on the last page with a printed or illustrated impression, or the back cover when appropriate. All other original copies are fllmed beginning on the first page with a printed or illustrated impression, and ending on the last page with a printed or illustrated impression.

The last recorded frame on each microfiche shall contain the symbol $\rightarrow$ (meaning "CONTINUED"), or the symbol $\nabla$ (meaning "END"). whichever applies.

Maps, plates, charts, etc., may be filmed at different reduction ratios. Those too large to be entirely included in orte exposure are filmed beginning in the upper left hand corner, left to right and top to bottom, as many frames as required. The following diagrams illustrate the method:

L'exemplaire filmé fut reproduit grâce à la générosité de:

La bibliotheque des Archives publiques du Canada

Les images suivantes ont été reproduites avec le plus grend soin, compte tenu de la condition et de le netteté de l'exempleire filmé, et en conformité avec les conditions du contrat de filmage.

Les exemplaires originaux dont la couverture en papler est imprimée sont filmés en commençant par le premier plat et en terminant soit par la derniére page qui comporte une empreinte d'impression ou d'illustration, soit par le second plat, selon le cas. Tous les autres exemplaires originaux sont filmés en commençant par la premiére page qui comporte une empreinte d'impression ou d'illustration et en terminant par la dernière page qui comporte une telle empreinte.

Un des symboles suivants apparaitra sur le dernière image de chaque microfiche, selon le cas: le symbole $\rightarrow$ signifie "A SUIVRE", le symbole $\boldsymbol{\nabla}$ signifie "FIN".

Les certes, plenches, tableaux, etc., peuvent être filmés à des taux de réduction différents. Lorsque le document est trop grand pour être reproduit en un seul cliché, il est filmé à partir de l'angle supérieur gauche, de gauche à droite, et de haut en bas, en prenant le nombre d'images nécessaire. Les diagrammes suivants illustrent la méthode.

A
LE'TTER
TO
W. Manning, Esq. M. P.
ON THE
CAUSES
OE THE RAPID AND PROGRESSIVE
DEPRECIATION
OF

## WEST INDIA PROPERTY.

SECOND EDITION.

## LGNDON:

lrinted by S. \& C. Mc Duwall, 35, Leadenhall Street,
AND SOLD BY RICHARDSONS', ROYAL EXCHANGE; BCTTARWORTH, FLEET STREET; ANH STOCKDALE, PICCADILLY.
Price One Shilling

## A

## L $\mathbb{T} T \mathbb{T} \mathbb{R}$,

$\mathcal{S} c . \& \subset$.

January 10, 180 ;
MY DEAR SIR,
AT the close of my fommer "I fiter 6s on the Proposition submitted to Govermment fir: " taking the Duty on Muscovado Sugar ad ${ }^{\prime}$ "alorem," I expressed a decided opinion of the perilons state of the British West India colonies. If, in consequence of that opinion, I were desired to suggest a remedy, combining the interest of the West Iadia planter with the most eligible mode of drawing a revenue from the colonies, I should deem it necessary to ask, als a preliminary ciuestion, whether, in suggesting such measures, $I$ an to consider the colonies as constituting a part of the British empire, or as foreign States in alliance with Great Britain:whether the planters are entitled to the privileges
and benefits of British subjects, or are to lee cortsidered as aliens trading to the British ports?
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tinction which I assume to exist in the principles of revenne by taxation, as applicable to trade and to commerce, is fonmed on different data. By trade, or domestic trade, I mean the first conversion into, money of the grower's produce. By commerce, the purchase and resale with a view to prolit, either in the same or another market, of that produce, whether in the same or a mamufactured state. The one is an act of neressity, the other an act of ehoice. Whether the words trade and commerce are justly used in this sense, or not, is mimportant, so yon receive them when they occur in the following pages, as the signs of this meaning. 'Trade, under this detinition, has been always comsidered as an unfit subject of direct taxation. Commerce, on the contrary, has been justly taken as: prolitic source of revenue to the state. I shatl first shew why commeree is; which will the more readily leal to the conclusion, why trade is not, a proper object of such taxation.

Just prineiples of taxation lead to a repartition, amongst the subjects of any Government, of the amount of taxes necessary to its smpport and defence, in proportion to their respective meams. When a tax is imposed on consmmalile commodities, this objeet is distinctiy in riew; but the facility of collection laads to the imposition of the tax on the commodities, in oratsilu, at the Custom-Hunse, or in any sitnation whilst in the hands of the wholesale dealer, before they are divided into portions for individaal use. But the dealer is not the person intended to be taxed; for though Dr. Adim smith
informs us, that " duties of customs were, in the - early part of our history, considered as at tax on the " merchant's profits;" yet, as the wants of the State increased, these duties became, of course, greater than he conk aton to pay, mules he ins creased his profits; or, in other words, moles he charged the tax to the consumer, by an increase on the price of his commodity.

This natural malt of past taxation became in time the principle of the taxation which followed; and it has for many years been an admitted axiom in poritical economy, that taxes on commodities are paid le the consumer.

And this principle is just in all cases where the part! in whose hand the goods are taxed, wan mutate the supply armoring to the demand, and in no oder.

In the case of foreign commodities imported, the importation, being strictly optional, and made with a view to prosit, will not confine if the necessary and nnaroidable expences are not reimbursed. The tax must therefore be paid either by the foreigner, in the dimmutimof his price, or by the consumer, by an advance on it. It can, in no case, be paid by the inporter. Taxation on this principle wonk be limited within the bounds of the importer's profit; whereas on the principle of reimbursement by the consumer, it knows no bonds, except the disuse of the comodeity, from excessive price. The tax on port wine is already double the value of the wine before entry ; but if a further tax were in contemplation, the only question would be, will it lessen the comsianjtion of is on the of the coutse, ss he ininless lie crease ou ne in time d; and it " in poliare paid where the in werkite no no other. orted, the made with essury and The tax ner, in the , by anady the inibe limited whereas on consumer, he commoa port wine: fore entr: ; , the only sumption of
port wine ?-The merchant has the power of timiting the supply to the demand, and his interest is only affeeted by the stork om hand. Rent recollert, it is ouly becanse hee has this power, that the tax fatls pon the comsumer. On this prineiple, taxes are levied hy excise, or otherwise, on varions mammartures, on batley when mated, on bewerise, on distilleries, on candles, on salt, on somp, \&e., in all which cases, the tax is remaid by the consumer, $i$, wanse the mamulacturer limits the supply to the demata, or ahambons his calling, if whers work cheaper than himself. All such engegements: 1 call commeree, muler the definition of purehase with a sien to profit by resate; and commeres, therefuse, $I$ consider as a just means of revenue by taxation.

Tralle, I have defined to be the first conversim into money of the grower's prodnce. This dues nut furnish just means of tasation; because the grower has not, genmally speaking, the power of limitise the supply to the demand, at least not with sufficient expedition, to aroid the lonses which an orerotocked market would throw upon him. The produce of the soil i; so indefinite and variable, that it is impossible to ennme to the grower the command of the market, without risking a short supply. If a tax of 20s. per quarter was laid on wheat, to be paid at the tiane of sale, it is evident that in a short crop, the farmer would be repaid, but it is equaily clear that in. a large crop, the tas would fall upon himself, as the price would thea be, as it now is, regulated by the consmaner. The buyer calculates not the selter's
cort; then irspectave necessitios govern lire prese: and if the farmor has not, as he ohvionsly has not, the power of regulating the supply, his lumentations on the rate of duty would oprate no more on the feelings of the miller, the brewer, and the distiller, than the sufferings of the consumer do now on the nerves of the farmer. The principle of taxation on commerce, as before explained, has been sufficiently recornised by the imposition of taxes amomating to 20 millions per annum, through the medinun of enstoms and excise, on the merchant or mannfacturer, with the professed object of reimbursement by the consumer. A different principle respecting trade, is both negatively and positively recognised by the revemue laws of Great Britain. There is no instance of direct taxation on any of the great articles of inland profluce, on wheat, or barley, or rye, or oats, or hay, or potatoes.

It will not be supposed that the exemption of these articles from taxation, arises from their being articles of first necessity: they are no more so, many of them not so much so, as salt, candles, or soap —barley in the raw state, certainly not more so than harley malted, on which the duty is equal to the average value : and their being articles of tirst neeessity, would render them the fittest objects of taxation. -But raw produce is not tased, because it is impossible to give the grower that command of the market which is necessary to ensure his rembursement, without risking a scarcity of the articles of first necessity. Cyder might be considered as an exception, in which the principle is abandoned; but cyder,
like or le later or 1 does actu of : 1 factı mer
prese ais. not, atations on the istiller, on the tion on iciently ring to of cuser,with we conis both reveme f lirecet rorluce, otatoes. tion of $r$ being ore so, or soap so than to the necesxation. imposmarket ;ement, lirst ne-excepcyder,
like malt, is a mannfacture: :mal thongh the greater orless produce of apples and barley camot be regulated from year to yem, to suit the demand for cyder or beer, the supply of eyder and malt will, and does, regulate itself to the demand, and the tax is actually paid by the consumer. Though the growth of apples and barley be trade therefore, the mamufacture of cyder and malt is, on my principle, commerce. The duty on hops seems really to form an exception; and if my principle be correct, the duty monst, in a plentiful crop, and I suppose does, fill on the grower.

The distinction I have assumed, is sufficient!y recognised by the revenue laws, when we thas find the whole raw produce of the soil of Great inritain exempt from direct taxation: but his is nesutise recoguition. The principle is positively admittid in the duty payable to the excise on sales by inction. My house, my furniture, my horses and carriages, my ships, and their tackle-that which 1 have bought, and sell agein, pays a duty of 1 s . in the found; hut the grower pays no such tax: the produce of his farm is exempt, doubtless on the principle that, as the sale duty is not an incuriable and necessary rhatse, it would fall on the grower, and not on the consumer.-Foreign produce pays the tax, but the produce of the British colonies is exempt for twelve months, if in the hands of the importer.

On what principle, then, is trade, and the produce of Great Britain, made to contribute to the exigencies of the State? -On the principle of protit.

The direct taxes on land are few; hut where they
occur, they are, like the poor's rates and parochiat assessments, levied in proportion to the rent-that is. on the ammal ralue of the thing rated. (The landtax is, 1 know, an anomaly ; and, from the peculiarity ol its origin, to which 1 adserted in my former letter, camot be comprehended in any genems reasoning.) This principle of rating and taxing income in Great Britain, has led to the adoption of personal taxesthose called Assessed Tanes, and the Tax on Income, Profits \&cc., which all have for their basis, the real or supposed ability of the party to bear them. Whether his rapences, or his income, be taken as the standard, the prineiple is the same, the one being considered as evidence of the other ; but no instance occurs to me, in which the English landholder is taxed on his shoss, without refrence to his net profits, except in ther case of tithes, which do not derive their origin fromany theory of political economy.

There are therefore two distinct principles of taxation: one, where the party charged, is to be reimbused; and the other, where it is intended he should be the contributor. In the former case, the amount of the tax is comparatively indifierent to the party paying ; in the latter, his happiness and existence depend on the equity of the assessment.

These preliminary observations on general prineiples have appeared to me essential to the right understanding of the subject before us. The distinction I assume between trade and commeree, as objects of taxation, is now obvious (whatever be the propriety of the terms) ; and the question therefore recurs, under which of these is the intercoune
rochiait that is. landuliarity : letter, oning.) Great tixesncome, real or Wether andard, ısidered ccurs to ton bis xcept in r origin e reimeshould amount re party ence de-
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between Great Britain and her colonies to be classed? -This intercourse, of trafte, consists of two distiact parts-the iuportation of West India produce, and the exportation of British merchandize.

The importation of West India produce is first to be considered; and two points suggest themselves for consideration :-

The principle adopted in taxing the produce;
And the justice of the application of the principle to the case.

The great and leading feature of West India taxation, in che duty collected at the Custom House of 27 s . per Cwt. on sugar, being, communibus ammis, twice the net value of the sugar to the grower. That this tax is imposed on the prineiple of commerce, and to be repaid by the consumer, is sufficientiy obvions from its anount; the reparated declarations of Ministers on crery increase of duty, may be considered as more satisfactory evidence. But all doubt is obviated. when it is recollected that the duty of 97 s . attaches only to sugar used at home; when the commodity is sent abroad, no duty is retained. If the duty wat intemed to fall on the seller, it would equally be demanded, whether his sugar be consumed by an Englishman or a foreigner? Not being intended to fall on the seller, it munt therefore be intended to fall on the buyer (or consumer), the ouly two partie conserned in the transaction. Let it be admitted, then, that the importation of sugar is taken as commerce, and the duty of 275 . per Cwt. levied on the prineiple, that " taxes on commodities are paid ly
the consumer;" and we will proceed to consider the justice of the application of the principle to the case. The axiom, " that taxes on commodities are paid by the consumer," I have admitted to be true in all cases where the party in whose hauds the goods are taxed, can regulate the supply according to the demand. If the West India planter has the means of doing this, he has no just ground of complaint. If he has not this power, the principle is adopted in a case to which it does not apply.

We have seen that in foreign commerce, undertaken with a view to profit, the equilibrium between demand and supply regulates itself. The market price of the commodity is established on the cost and expences which the importers necessurily and equally incur ; to which is added a reasonable compensation for time, lahour, and interest of money. If, from any cause, improvident speculation, or unforeseen occurrences, the price falls below this standard, importation ceases, until the demand becoming greater than the supply, the importer makes his own terms, and renews his enterprises. And the same reasoning will be found to apply, with some modifications, to the produce of land at home; if the price of grain be inadequate, for any length of time, to the expences of cultivation, more land will be laid down in grass, and vice rersa. A variety of changes offers in the cultivation of land in England; and if an extreme case be supposed, the most unproductive farms would successively be thrown up, until the supply being hrought down to the demand, more adequate prices
ise. by all are md. ing has e to
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reen rket and cally ition any cur-ortathan and will the n be nces rass, culcase ould ,eing rices
herame current : other considerations, however, would here intervene-the price of labour would fall, if provisions became cheap. But it is necaless to pursue this saject, becanse, as the first produce of the Lifitish soii is exempt from taxation, no responsibility attaches upon Govermm nt in respect of the maket, a ar! the c"ses are not analogots. It is obvious that the reasoning applicable to the preceding cases, does $n$ ot aply to the sugar colonies, because no means exist of proportioning the spply to the de-mand.--This can oul Le done when the supply is too great, either by , hanging the cultivation, lessening the produce of existing estates, or sending it to market: where it would meet a demand.

No opportunit is howerer, offered of changing the produce of the seil in the West Indies-

1st. Because there is no tropical produce, the consumption of which in England would justify the application to its cultivation of so much land as would, in any sensible digree, diminish the quantity of sugar.

2d. Because the permanency of operation, and the expence of machinery incident to the cultivation of surgr, preclude the $i$ le: of rarying the cultivation according to the demand of the norhet at home.

Lastly-Because the uncertainty of West India crops is so great, that no calculation of one years produce can be founded on that of the year which preceded it.

Agaiu-Estates cannot in the West Indies be partially abandoned, or left unwrought, to be
recultivated in better times. Weat ludia estates, unlike those in Enrope, or in England at least, are cultivated by persons attached to the soil, procured at a great charge, and who must be fed, lorlged, and clothed at the expence of the property, whether employed, or not ; the estate must also bear its proportion of island taxes on the number of its negroes and acres, and kerp in repair extensive worls. Much of this expence is incurred in Europe and America, for which there are no means of payment but the produce ; to defray this, therefore, the estates must be worked, and the less the produce sells for in Europ, the greater the necessity of producing more, since the expences are not regulated by the same standari: they would, in fact, be materially increased by a dercliction of cultivation, as the rum (which is usually set agomst the expences, and is not taken into calculation as part of the produce) would be diminished in the same proportion as the sugar. The last resource, another market, is equally unavailable. The mother-country monopolizes the proe duce of the colonies; and, though herself overstocked, prohibits the diversion of a single hogshead of sugar, and insists on her rights, under circumstances of such hardship and oppression, as induce me to consider her conduct in this respect as a distinct and intolerable grievance. But were the right more mildly exercised, the monopoly is inconsistent with choice and free-will in the grower ; and it has been shewn that it is essential to the power of the seller to throw the tax on the consumer, that
the importation should be voluntary and uncontrouted.

It is clear, therefore, that the West India planters have not, individually or collectively, the means of proportioning the supply to the demand. The taxation on their produce proceeds, however, it has been shewn, an the principle of their possessing them. The destrnction of St. Domingo, the interruption of communication between France and her colonies, and the consequent high price of sug in Europe during part of the last war, together with short crops in the Euglish colonics, confirmed the error, or prevented its detection.

The illusion is however dispelled ; and it is indisputable that, in the rapid increase of duties on West Iudia sugar, Government has acted on a principle true in itself, but inapplicable to the case. The consequences of such an error, where the tax amomits to more than the value of the sugar to the proprietor, will be readily :uticipated; but that I may not impute to it more mischief than it has occasioned, I shall, before I state the sitnation to which the planter is reduced, advert to certain contratictions in the system of West India taxation, which may possibly have contributed their share to the destruction of his property. Hitherto we have seen the importation of West India produce considered as commerce: in his exports, the planter is "unally considered as a foreign trader. If he export lead, he pays the duty; if German linens, he receives the drawback, though the consmmer at home does neither; which being an old regulation, would
contirm the opmion, if any contirmation were wanting, that the principles of commerce were originally considered as applicable to this traffic. So far all was cunsistent in theory, however erroneons in applieation : but a few years ago this consisteney was broken in upon, and a new principle was introduced.

Though Great Britain monopolized the importation of the produce of the colouies, still, consistently with the pinciple of emmmerce, the produce when here, was allowed to find its own level in the market. The high price whieh for some time obtained, raised a popular cry. Sugar was decmed an article of tirst uecessity, and a right of pre-emption claimed on behalf of the people of Great Britain, similar to that which has been assumed in respect of corn. To enlorce this right, the principle of the Com Laws was partally applied to sugar ; the exportation was prohibited when the price exceeded a certain standard, and, at lower prices, it was cheeked by the imposition of cortain daties; and these regulations are still in force. When this Act passed, a death-blow was wiven to the commercial system, hitherto applied wo the produce of the colonites. A right was assumed on the part of the Legislature, to interfere with the prohts of the planter; and dose profits were thencefurward ansumad as justly subject to contronl, and have been stibsequentiy taken as the basis of taxation. As the several temporary Acts regulating the exportation of sugar, expired, the application for rebewal became ananxions object with the planter atd merchant, ans the detemmation of the masi-
mum of price, a contest with the Minister-a suffin cient proof that their interests were involved in it; and in the last year, when it was found experient to abaudon the increased tax on sugar, on the representation of the planters, that they were unable to bear the addition, the direct tax of 3 s . per Ciwt, was withdrawn, and its operation made conditional on the market price of sugar. When the average price of sugar shall be
515. without the duty of 27 s . an additional duty of 1 s . to take place 32s. ................. " .................... . is. "
53s. ................. " .................... 3 s.
It is evident that the Minister conld not have is his contemplation a repayment by the consumer of a tax levied on these principles, in any state of the markets ; and it is clearly therefore to be considered as a tax on the vendor. Aud here, though interfering with my general object, I eaunot furbear to notice an erroneous assmmption in the principle, as well of the Act, restricting the exportation of sugar, as of the Minister's concession to the planters in the last year. It seems to be assumed in bothinstances, that the price of sugar is a criterion of the prots of the grower-that at a price fixed by the Acts, he is sufficiently paid, to justify checking his market; und, in the second instance, that when the average price of suyar is abeve 50 s . the grower can afford to pay more duty than when it is below that amount : bat, singular as it may appear, no endeavour was used to ascertain these facts, and in trath they are
unascertainable. Admitting that, on a full crop, the price of 50s. repays the planter, it is ohrions that, on a half crop, the same price would be inadequate to his reimbmsement. Nay, the price may be est:ablished on monsal and excessive expences, for freight, or insmance, as in the case of superiority of the enemy's squadrons in the West Indian seas. But whether this be a just criterion, or not, it will not he denied that, as such it has been assmed, and that it is rirtually admitted that the phater has been taxed matil the increase of 1 s . per Cwt. on his sugar emmot be levied exerpt on an excess of price.

Fet, as if confusion were to meet no cond, the slender pittance of profit which has been admitted to be no longer capable of taxation, is made liable to the operation of the Property Tax, and subject to 4 dechuction of 10 per cent.!

And now the force of the question, which at the beginning of this letter 1 put hypothetically to the Minister, may be fully understood; but the same answer will not serve. Are the colonies to be tased on priaciples of trale, or those of commerce? Is the 27 s . duty, a tax on the phanter, or on the consnmer? If on the consumer, provide for the planter the means of enforcing the repayment. If on the phanter, why is he taxed more highly than other British subjects? and why is 10 per cent. Income Tax levied on him, who atrealy pays an assessment equal to his whole income, as his direct contribution to the State? -I an contending here for principhes, and 1 throw aside abmalance of minor grievances which their
derehection has prothesed, that I may proceed to the second ereneral head of Viest India interconse-the axport of British merc'andize. This export forms, pronpe, the most important fature to the mother comstry uf her commexion with the colonies.
'fhe ishands have me manfactures: all the implements, machuery, and utensils; all the clothins; all the hardware; much of the fresh and salt provinions; every thing used on the estates or on the persons of the inhabitunts, comes from England; excopt in cases in which she is either mable or utiwilling to supply it. The exports from Britain may, theredore, he justly termed implements used in the mamulacture of Went Iulia produce; and the policy of Great Britain, us well as consistency of operation, would lad her to facilitate and enconmge this expert. Yet the fact is otherwise; ral and frivolons diffentics are throva in the way of a trate which the mother country has the gratest interest to encourare.

Many articles o" first necossity to the estates, can only be sent bular special liance from the Navy Boand and of conrse at an m!ditional expence. A coil of rope for antle larness, a roll of sat cloth for the windmill, a sheet of copper to mend his still, a bar of irou for borse-shoes, a tarpawhing to cower his stores from the ran, are objects of imporance to the phanter, but for permission to export which, the comsont of the Nasy Doamd mem be chtaized. Semeral whips were actually seized in the last antum, and mome competled to unlond the ir carere, becanse at
frew hats of iron had been put ou board with the homedrdere of the officer; and their release was only ther result of speccial application to, and an investigation of the ciremastances by, the Treasury. The export of Homr, of barley, of beans, and oats, is limited by Act of Parliament to an hundredth part of an adequate supply; though vats, at least, are constantly imported, and are a more article of commerce. Provisions, therefore, mast be ohtained from America, get is the colonist prohibited from paying for these provisions in sugar ; and if the American wants not rom, the negroes may starve. Nor are shipments made to the West Indies as those constwise, to Neweastle, Edinburgh, oi Bristol. They are subject to atl the forms, intricacies, vexations, and expences of revenue laws and revenue officers.

But after all these dificulties, expences, and embarrassments are removed, comes a tax of 4 per cent. on their value. I will not pretend to circumscribe the taxation of onr own commodities on export by any principle : the tax is called a convoy dity ; which name is assumed, I imasine, to justify doubling the mate of tax to the West Indian, becanse he crosses the Athantic. Goods in a ship, withont convoy, equally pay it as in one who avails herself of its protection; nor are mure, or stronger convoys appointed now than before the fax was laid.-Its application to the West India phater is donbtless made in furtherance of the principle, that he is a foregner carrying on commerce, and is to be repaid ly the comsumer. But is not this tax grossly partial? Does
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 y the ation , hert of y Act rinite y im-Pronerica, these its not. pments b Newject to nces of
and emer cent. ribe the by any ; which ling the : erosses convoy, fits proroys apts applias made foreigner 1 by the a? Does
it, in any other single instunce, bear in the same way un an English subject? Does any other man, exeept the planter, export commodities for use, and not fire sule, beyond the extent of personal consmuption? The truth probably is, that the case of the West India planter was not noticed when the Act passed. The tax was intended to operate on forcigners, who come here to buy what they camot get elsewhere; but its distinctions being geographisal, juxtaposition is the only gronnd of exemption ; the: American eitizen mad British West Indian pay alike, becanse their lot is cast in the same quarter of the ghobe. Every thing confirms the inference that, in the eye of the revenne laws, the West ludian is a foreigner trading to Great Britain. On what gromme, then, are his supposed profits made the criterion of increased duty, or his real income rendered liable to the tax on property :

It will hardly be duabted, after these explamations, that the ouly basis of West India taxation has been the wants of Cireat Britain, and that it is needless to look for any other principle. It may be more useful to compare the situation of a landholder in the colonies, with that of a landholder in Great Britain.

We will suppose them both resident in England. They are equally liable to the tax on property: in proportion to the net produce of their estates; the evidence adduced by the one, being the rent he receives; and by the other, the reait of a stated account, very fluctuating in its amount, and therefore c 2
rexations in explanation. Jixclusive of this, the English lamdiodder pays the land-tax, amonatime on the average of the himerilom, to 1 s . in the pount, of 5 pre cent. on the net prohluer the wid colmist pays $\frac{f}{1}$ per cent. duty to the Crown on his gross promduce, being requal to 10 pere cent. oat the net. Agrainst the poor's rate, county liates, and statute duty, suluposing them ultimutely paid bey the lamillord, and not by the consmmer, the planter sets the colonial taxes and rates, the same in kind, and gromece in proportion; he pays the expences of the enunty of St. Kitts, Antiona, or Jamaica, as the Einphish estate does those of Kent, of Essex, or ol Sury ; and moreover, maintains his own superammated lisbourers, which is a heavier tax than the poor's rate in Enghand. He also pays the expence of a Militia force, and that of the administration of justice in the colonies, which are at home a national concern, to which he also contributes. All persoual and sumptuary taxes-taxes of every description, which do not directly attach on the soil, are obvionsly paid equally, in proportion to his expenditure, by exery resident in Great britain, whether his income be derised from Yorkhine or from Jamaica. Hitherto the balance inclines in favomr of the West Indian, in point of contribation to the State; but what will the comitry gentleman set in the scale against 27 s . per ewt. on sugar sent to market, and 4 per cent. duty on a large portion of the expences inproducing it ? Can heset 27s. per guarter on his wheat at Bear Quay, and 4 per cent. on the expences of the farmer in growing
it? Will the tax on atericulamal harses amomat to
 do this, he is delicient to ihis :amomet in the comparion ; such a tax en hiv prednce would erpat, or easeed the we of his retatre, whel is premely the case with the phather. Stht the ansace has manmbly berol-the corsanary pars it! Let the la alhodeder tre the experinse:at. A tha on the porlace of the soil of (irest bytain, what in proportion to the tax on the prodnere we the istands, would mmennt to more than all the anamal tases at present imposed in (irent Britain: and I have shewn that English property is, better eiremastamed than that of the ivers ladian (thongh mot well caleulated), to mbore the repaymeat. Ihe will thence also have an opportmity of judging whether any further incomeribince attaches to the paraent aif a fine on the sale of his produce, exceding its aet value, in expectation of reimbursement.

So mach, howerer, depends on the prool that, from whatever cause, the grower of sugar has not, in faet, beou able: to arail himself of the importer's advantages to enforee repaymant of the duty, and charges on his rommodity, that I will risk being tredins:, :ather than incom-hnsise.

It is apparent that the rent of land in Great Britain has fibownd. to a considerable extent, the depreciation of mones, the increase of taxes, and the consequent increased expences of living. I say it is apparent; becanse, withont recurning to particalan eases within every one's ohservation, it is obvinn-
that, had not this effect followed, the depreciation of money consegront upon the increase of the national debt and taxes, in the American and French wars, would have swept from socicty every family of rank and ancestry in Great Britain, in the same manner as we see those who have depended solely on the interest of money or the public funds, daily sinking on the scale of relative income, and vanishing from the circles which they formerly adorned.

Can it be shewn that any similar adrantage has favoured West India estates:-Will it be assumed that this species of property has improved with the times?-If it be so, then may it be assumed, that the consumer has repaid the inereased taxes and expences. But if, since the beginning of the French war, the tax on sugar has been increased from 19s. 4d. per Cwt. to 27 s. and conditionally to 30 s . ; if, during this period, the produce of estates has, on an average of years, diminished in proportion as the expences have increased; then is it as obvious that the planter, and not the consumer, has paid the increased charges. And it is but a natural consequence that he too, like the stockholder and annuitant, should have seceded from the society in which he was formerly conspicuous. I think I need not go about to prove that this secession has pretty generally taken place.

It will be said, has not the class of country genthemen of $£ 500$ per annum, before the American war, also disappeared ?-Doubtless it has. The possessor of $£ 500$ per annum cannot now, as forty years ago,
maintain the rank of a comery gentleman; but though the class has disappeared, the individuals have not: if you wonld find them or their children, you must look for them in the class of e 1000 per anmum ; for in this proportion have their estates improved. Unfortumately for the West Indian, his means have not equally improved with the times, as the following docmments will sufficiently prove.
has med 1 the that 1 exench : 4d. uring erage ences the e in-:onsed anty in need pretty genu war, sessor s ago,

TABLE,
Shewing the Duty, Sale Charges, and Price of Sugar, from 1791 to 1800.

Freight \& Total Gross Sale Net Price Year. Duty. Salecharg Salecharg. Price. perCwt. 1791......12 4 .. 8 6.. 叭 $10 . .67$ 4.. 46 is 1792......12 4 .. $8 \quad 6$.. 2010 .. 694 .. 486 War. 1793......12 4 .. 12 0 .. 944 .. 70 4 .. 460
 1795.......15 0 .. 12 6 .. 276 .. 775 .. 4911


| Average of ? <br> 6 years. | 13 | 8 | 11 | 0 | 24 | 8 | 69 | 2 |  | 4 | $+6$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1797 | . 17 | 6 | 19 | 0 | 30 | 6 |  | 6 |  | 51 | 0 |
| 1798. | .19 | 4 | 13 | 0 | ט2 | 4 | 86 | 0 |  | 53 | 3 |
| 1799 |  | 0 | . 15 | 6 | 33 | 6 | 75 | 0 |  |  | 1 |
| 1800. |  | 0 | 13 | 6 | 53 | 6 |  | 0 |  | 40 |  |

$$
1801 \ldots . . \overline{20} 0 . \overline{140} . \overline{340} . \overline{640} . \overline{500}
$$ War.

1803......24 0... 10 0 .. 34 0 .. 67 0 .. 330 1804...... 26 6 .. 14 0 .. $406 \ldots 806$.. 396
 $1806 \ldots . .270 \ldots 150 \ldots 42.0 \ldots 680 \ldots 90$


- Peace Freight.

From this Tabic the following results are clearly deducible:-

1st. What the price of the commodity has no progressive reference to, and the efore is not founded on, the expences of bringing it to market.
sud. That the inercase of duty and sale charges in the second period, bryond the amount in the first, is 13s. per Cwt.

Srd. That in the first periot, the aremge net price to the planter was . . . . . . . . 4.4s. Gd. jer Cwt. and in the latter 30s. 7l.
making a difference of . . . . . . . 13s. 11d. iggainst the planter in the fatter period.

Or, to state the same fact another way, his charges in the latter period have increased 13s. the gross price in the for-
mer period was . . . 609s. ed.
in the latter. . . . . .68s. 3d.

Difference against the planter in
gross price ................ 0s. 11d.
Total as before ———13s. 11d.
It firther appears that the areage net price of sugar, in the former period, was 44s. 6d., after deduction of the duty and charges of salc. The evidence adduced to the Committee of the Heuse of Commons now sitting, proves, I understand, that the charges of manufacture (namely, the cost of stores from Europe, and bills drawn from the colonies, for the island expences beyond the procecds of the rum) anount to

21s. per Cirt. (ay own calculations for St. Kitts would give an average of 25 s .). A deduction of 21 s . from the net price of the first six years, wonld leave $\mathbf{Q 3 s}$. (id. per C'wt., or per hogshead of 13 Cwt. . . 215 5 6

And one hmolred such hogsheads wonld have produced, as the planter's real income . ........................... . 1527100
In the last period of six years the net price having been 30s. 7d., the deduction of gis. leares 9s. 7d. per Cut., or per hogshead $\mathfrak{f} 64 \mathrm{~s}$. 7 d .
And 100 net hogsheads now produce .. 62918 4
Reduction of income on 100 hogsheads in the last period, taking the charges of inanufacture at the same rate in both
periods.............................. $E^{\text {g } 04} 11$ \&
Supposiag, therefore, an estate in the West Indies to have been purchased between the years 1791 and 1790 , on the then average net produce, valued at twelve years' purchase, the cost would have been $E^{\prime} 18,324$. And the average return on such purchase, for the last six years, would be under $3 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent. on the money laid out; no allowance being made for hurricane, invasion, or other casualty, to which, however, West India estates are proverbially liable.

The result would have been still more in farour of the argmoent, had I included the years 1797 and 1798 in the tirst period, and 1799 and 1800 in the
last: but I preferred limiting the first period to 1796, becunse, during the six years preceding, the increase of duty was not very material, and it was also desirahle to draw the comparison from periods with some intervening mterval.

This 'Table, extracted from Sir W. Young's Common-place Book, had not attracted my notice, nor were the calculations made, when, in my former letter, I hazarded an opinion that W est India estates do not on an average, for a series of years, produce 4 per cent. on the capital invested. That opinion was founded on more general reasoning; but the result of the calculation proves that it was not inaccurately formed.

The fact, incontestibly proved by this document, that West India estates have produced, during the last six yoars, less than half what they did from 1791 to 1796 , is aione suflicient evidence that something is radically wrong in the system of their administration. But it was necessary not only to prove the fact, but to account for its existence; and it is accomited for when it is shewn, as I trust it has been satisfactorily, that the grower of sugar has not, even in theory, the power of throwing the increased taxes, \&c. on the consumer, and that in practice he has paid them himself.

But it may be said, the planter, you allow, makes S to 4 per cent. interest of his moner. What onght he to make? -I allow, as the result of the preceding calculation, that the growers of sugar. have obtained for the last six ycars, a return of 3 to 4 per cent. on
capital invested ten years preceding. Supposing, therefore, that 4 per cent. was a just recompence then, I may assume that it cught to be augmented, and angmenting, now, as is the case with all other property; and, if this reasoning be just, it will follow that what ver may be forind to have been a just return for capital invested in 1794, that return ought to be taken at a higher rate now, to enable the proprietor to maintain the same ramk in society.

It has been assumed, in the eomparison, that the West India property was acquired at twelve years purchase, on the net profit for six years.-Was this price, in the eye of the objector, too much or too little?-If it were too much, then is $8 \frac{1}{3}$ per cent. too little average return from a $W$ est India estate, by his own admission. If the price were too little, then is the invested capital to be considered greater than I have taken it, and the profit of the last six years is proportionably reduced below $3 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent.-The truth is, that twelve years purchase is rather more than less, than the usual value; but supposing the purchase to have been made on these terms, it produced thirteen years ago, on average, $8 \frac{1}{3}$ per cent. ; and an equal increase to the average of English estates, in the same period, would bring the reasonable return of capital invested in the West Indies, from ten to fifteen years ago, to $\mathbf{1 0}$ per cent. Nor is this return too great.

The grower of sugar is both landlord and tenant, agriculturist and manufacturer. Perhaps the joint profits of the two first descriptions of men in this
country, wouid be equal, or nearly so, to the return I rlam for the West ludian; but when it is considered alon, that he is engesed ia a very preanious manafatture, mader most unfaroumble circom-stances-tidit his property has moise of the real or imeginary achantages of landed estates in (ireat Britain, I may fairly asome that l have been modeate in predereng his chams.

Rut If formar to mans more on the sitnation of the colonists. If dounthe get entertanes of the necessity of rehef, it will shortly make itself'sufficientiy appatent to command asent. It will be more useful to considne of what natare this rehife shonld be.

I will hist state the objects to be attained, and then discuss the mote of attamment.

The clams of the planter amount to this:-
A demand for his produce equal to the sumply ;
Or, ata amodonenent of the monopoly by Great Britain, and liberty $t$, seek his market where he can hest find it ;
Or, finaliy, a repeal of the duty on his sross produce; and a recurrence to principles of taxation similar to those which govern the taxation of land, and its first produce, in Great Britain.
Neither of the latter alternatives are ayreable ones, I allow; but one of them, 1 will undertake to say, will be the effect of failure in establishing the former: for, as it is very woll observed by Mercator, in Mr. Yorke's Register, Government and the planter camot long carry on trade, on the present tems of partnership.
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A demand equal to the supply, is all in all to the planter-it is all he asks, and all he wants: give him the command of the market to the extent that the importer of foreign commodities possesses it, and you may then tax his produce on the principles of commerce; but till this demand is produced, he requires from the justice of the mother country, an abandonment of the monopoly, or a repeal of the duty.

Having hitherto treated the subject gemerally, it may be satisfactory, before I proceed further, to ascertain the precise extent of the evil, for which a remedy is in the present instance to be provided.

The quantity of sugar in. Great Britain, in the bunds of the importers, is stated on good authority at . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 150,000 hhds. The heets daty expected, will bring perhaps fiom 10 to 20,000 more ; suppose only . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . * 10,000

The total quantity to be consumed, therefore, prior to the arrival of the next crop, is. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 160,000 hhds.

The consmaption of Great Britain and Ireland appears, by returns to the Honse of Commons, to be, 011 :11 average of years, 151,000 , say 150,000 hogrsheads.-OI this consmontion let it be suir

[^0]posed that six months now remain mitil the al rival of the new crop in July next, or 75,000 hogs. heads; this deducted from the stock in hand, would leave 85,000 hogsheads of shgar, which camot be taken off by the ordinary consumption of the country.

In the present circumstances, little relicf can be found from exportation:-lst. Becamse the rigour of French law prohibits the introduction from Stettin to Trieste, of every article of English pro-duce.-9nd. Because, what is more important, the Coutinent is more cheaply supplied by the Americans, from the French and other colonies, than the British planter can, with the increased war expences, now supply it.-3rd. Because the season prechudes mavigation to the northern ports until the return of spring-a period too remote to be of much service in the present difficulty.

The mode of creating a demand at home is, therefore, the first question to be discussed. I endeavoured to shew, in a fomer part of this Letter, that the level, or equilibrium, betweensupply and demand cannot be produced, even prospectively, by lessening the supply; it mast therefore be effected by increasing the consumption to the amount of the present simply, and discouraging a further angmentation.

An opportunity seems at this monient to be affered on terms of public advantage, as well as private benefit to the colonies. It will be obvions that 1 allude to the use of sugar in breweries and distilleries. The benefit to the planter is sufficiently mot be ountry. dic can e the on from ish promit, the Amehan the pences, celudes turn of rvice in ome is, endeaer, that lemand lessen:ted by of the ir ally
t to be well as obvious ies and ficiently
ubvions, and needs no laboured discnssion. It is more necessary to shew why I consider the public 'advuntage as, at this moment, particulurly comprehended in the question. Great Britain is phaced in a new and unforeseen situation. To say she is blockaded, whilst her navies rule the seas, is ridiculous; but it is by no means absurd to anticipate her total separation from the Continent of Europe: this circumstance, which cuts off her exports, cuts off her imports also, not only because the enemy has the power of blockading his own ports, but for another reason, which is not so commonly noticedshe camot pay if she does not receive. The enemy would perhaps wink at exports from his own ports to this country whilst paid for in specie; but this is a trade which it is neither politic nor possible for this nation long to carry on. If therefore our exports are wholly checked, our imports are as completely stopped, as if the ports of the Continent were hermetically sealed.

Now it appears, from the returns made to the House of Commons, that for the last 10 years, Great Britain has not grown grain enough for her own consumption; and that, exclusive of years of particular scarcity, the average inportation may be taken at 800,000 quarters.* 'The particular importation* of 1803 and 1805 were as follow:

| Barley, Qris. | Oats, Qrs. | Wheat, Qrs. | Flour, Cwt |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1803 \ldots \ldots .14,027$ | 485,715 | 279,993 | 559,047 |
| $1805 \ldots \ldots .44,567$ | 461,249 | 899,856 | 73,423 |

* The importation of the year 1801, csacest ed wo million: quaters; hut thet was year of scarci?

M:rh of this it will be seen is oate, which in mot it
exp
sucl Eneland, at least mot in the somth, the liond of man: hot if oats grow dearer in proportion thans what or bather, the collanation of oats will incerease, and that of other com diminish. 'The cost of this importation has hitherto been provided for by
 hat if we can subsitute the sugar we have for the corn we want, there ands, it should seem, bomaparte's best hope of subduing the spirit of Britain. The remedy for both evils seems so obvious, that tor a person maeguainted with the subject, it should seem only uerersamy to state, that sugar will answer the purpose both of listillers and brewers ; that it is not a new experiment, and only requires dinameial rewalations in the Exeise department to secure the reveme.-What then, lie would ask, is the diffieulty ? One objection arises on the part of the landholler in Cerat Britain, who is apmehensise of a depreciation in the price of his grain, if this consmoption be withdrawn; and another on the part of the revenue of Excise.

The answer to the objection of the landholder (in itseli a very rational one), is howerer complete. Whilst the ports of the Continentare shat, there must be in the market a deficiency of grain to the amount. u! the anmal importation. A suce edateuna for this inportation, not exceoding its averge anomet, the farmer cimot bee. Sugar can only be nised as this sacostanemm, without loss to the distiler and herwer, whilst the price is low : the momeat an
export trade is opened, the price will rise; and till such trade is opened, grain camnot be imported.

The interest of the English furmer is therefore sufficiently guarded by the circunstances themselves. But if the lundholder still thinks he shall want a market-if he still thinks he shall have grain to spare-if he is contident in his resources, let him assume the supply of the West lndies. Let him obtain from Parliament a repeal of the Act restricting the export of grain to the colonies; and freyuent convoys alone are necessary to secure to him a market for 200,000 quarters of grain, now obtained from America in flour; in retnm, the planter should remit his rum, to be consumed in the navy of Great Britain, instead of foregn braidy, which, strange to tell, is still, in this war of commerce, contracted for to : m enormous amonit. This intercourse would indend be a reciprocation of benelits; the planter's sugar would be consumed, a market secured to the farmur for any surphis corn he may have; the money sent amually to our enemies for brandy sared to the nation ; an additional quantity of British shipping employed, is proportion to the quantity of corn sent out, and rum brought home; and, above all perhaps in importance, a community of interest created between the mother country and the colonies, the value of which is, at this moment especially, without bound:, But if the landholder be not thas contident, if her dare not undertake this supply, he can have no fears of the effect of withdrawing com from the distilieries, and partially fion the porterbewerics in Great Britails.

Let it lie admitted for the moment, that the mulatitution of sugar for malt, is practicable without injury to the farmer, and let us contemplate its effects:-the planter, and all concerned with him, relieved during the remainder of the war, from the apprehension of min ; the manufacturers and artizans of Great Britaitr paid for the past, and looking to certain employment for the future, for the colonits are their surest friends in time of war; the revenue relieved from the positive necessity of abandoning, at the least, one half of the present duty on sugar, and confidently anticipating the receipt of above two millions of daty for the sugar now on hand; the ship-owner relieved of an anxiety greater perhaps than ull the rest-he is the carrier, and has brought to this country $\mathbf{1 5 0 , 0 0 0}$ hogsheads of sugar, now unsold, and on which his charge amounts, at the average freight of 9 s . per cwt. to $£ 900,000$; and last, but not least in the consideration, there results an exulting triumph over the machinations of our enemy. Do you suppose he had not especially in view the privation of foreign grain, as the first object of his blockade? Look to the proclamation of the king of Holland ; equal or greater restrictions are laid on the sailing of ships from the Dutch ports, than on the entry. Yet our imports from Holland are chiefly corn and provisions, as they are equally from the ports in the Daltic, of which the enemy has acquired possession. Let us now reverse the picture ; let us suppose the Government insensible of the advantages and the dignity of wrapping Great Britain and her colonies at this moment in the same cloak, sults an enemy. iew the t of his e King laid on s, thanl and are lly from has acpicture ; f the adt Britain e cloak,
and making them all in all to each other; let us suppose the proposition rejected.

The first consequence will be, that, as the sugar cannot be converted into money, those who depend on its produce for siipjort and payment, must feel all the poignancy of distress. No commiseration or good-will can emble the merchants or factors to advance a sum of nearly four millions, urgently demanded from the produce of the sugar on hand, exclusive of the duty to Government, amounting to nbout $2 \frac{1}{2}$ millions more. Like the boat's crew which escapes from the wreck, and, returning, udds to its load, till all simk together, the merchants may easily sink thernselves by imprudently adding to the load they bear; lut they cannot, by any personal sacrifices, save those who require assistance. This smm of four millions, the supposed value of 150,000 horgs. heads, or $9,000,000$ Cwt. at 40 s . per Cwt. ex Duty (which exceeds the present avernge), onght to be distributed in the following proportions:-
To the ship-owners, at 9s. per cwt. . . . . . $£ 900,000$ To the British manufacturers for value of stores sent out, estimated at $1 \geqslant s$. per ewt............................... $1,200,000$
To the same, as the bill-holder, for bills drawn from the West Indies, on an average 9s. per cwt. . . . . . . . . . . . . . 900,000 To the insurer . . . . . . . .vs. od.) Docii and port charges, os. 10d. 5 s .8 d . 580,000 Merchant, broker, dec. 2s. lod.

3,880,000
Balance to the phater. . . . . $\frac{120,000}{4.000,000}$

Consider the ramifications through which these anas respectively circulate; contemplate the banks of the 'Thame:, and of every other navigable river in Cireat Britan, and estimate the proportion of $£^{\prime} 900,000$ due to each dock-yard, rope-walk, sailbilt, ship-chamder; contemplate the misery to arome from the non-fulfilment to these, of enguments ou which they rely, for the daily wages of those employed in them respectively; consider the: minute distribution of $\mathfrak{L} 2,100,000$ amongst the manufactures of Great Britain. The plantation stores are of a description abundantly too minute for enmmeration; but the supply is by no meatis conlined to wealthy and wholesale dealers; scareely a shop in the metropolis that has not an interest in the question: the underwriter, the merchunt, the broker, must disappoint where they are elisappointed ; and a scason of cruel disappointment will the present season be. Annuities, jointures, and interest of debts are to be provided for from the pittance renainng to the planter, from whence his fanily is also to be supported. If those to whom this interest is due, camot be paid, they too must fial in their engagements; and such false steps are not so easily recovered as avoided.

But there is an objection to the use of sugar, in the breweries at least, from another quarter-I mean the lievenue Boards.-Difficultics occur in cheeking the proportions of malt and sugar, of equalizng the duties, \&c. \&c. With this I concern myself not. fomprtant us revenue is, it is surely second to trade.

Revenue is to commerce, what Govermment is to the people: so long as their interests can be made to go hand in hand, it is well; but wlen these become incompatible, l leave you to determine who is to give way.-I must not be told that the necessity of relief to the colonies is admitted ; but that it cannot be granted, because it interferes with the convenient collection of the revenue. Does the excise officer imagine the ruin of the colonies may not, in some degree, affect the revenue also?

But I should hope the objections of the Board of Excise are not irremovable. The revenue from malt is collected in two different stages: one, when the barley is malted-this is 34 s . 8 d . per quarter; the other on the wash, when used for distillation, or on the beer when brewed. Supposing $1 \frac{1}{4}$ Cwt. of sug:ir to be equal to one quarter of malt (and as this, quantity is the medium between opposite statement;, it is probably not wide of the truth), the duty on sngar, at 27 s . per Cwt. will be 33 s . Sd.-a difference of no great importance in the question. The principal difficulty arises in collecting the duty on the beer in the brewery. No great objection occurs to the use of sugar in the distilleries, where, at present, nearly two-thirds of the barley is used raw.

I admit there is difficuity : the solntion of sugar in water, is an operation so much more rapid than the decoction of malt, its fermentation is so quickly produced, and its residum, if it can he suid to be ams thing, is so trilling in comparison with grains, that the exciseman loses many of his present checks, and
must of necessity, it is stated, be perpetually present to witness the proceedings. Moreorer, it is apprehended that molasses may be used instead of sugar : either imported molasses, on which the duty is small compared with that on sugar ; or molasses produced in the British refinery, which, though it may be said to have paid the sugar duty, finds at present a consumption in the north of England, without any drawback or bounty. Its consumption, in the place of sugar or malt, would therefore be a loss of the duty thereon respectively.

1 assume not the competency to remedy these incouveniences; the worst that can happen, seems to be the constant attendance of an exciseman at each considerable brewery. In the distilleries, regulations of duty would be requisite to induce the use of sugur in preference to grain. Two hundred weight of sugar produces a quantity of spirit equal to seven bushels of barley and three bushels of malt. But two hundred weight of sugar, at the lowest price, would cost 100 s . to 105 s . : seven bushels of barley, at 4 s . $6 \mathrm{~d} .$, would cost 31 s .6 d .; three bushels of malt, at 11s.-33s.; together. ..............64s. 6d. Balance against the sugar...................40s. 6 d .

105s. 0d.
Jut 2 Cwt. of sugar has paid a duty of . . . . . . . . 54 s . The 3 bushels of malt, only. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 13s.

Difference 41s.
From whence, therefore, a drawback on sugar may

Le allowed to the distiller, adequate to the diflerence of price.-At present, also, a higher cluty is taken on sugar-wash, namely, $2 \mathrm{~s} .0 \frac{1}{4} d$. per grallon, than on corn-wash, which is $16 \frac{1}{2} d$. per gallon; the reduction of this duty to an equivalent standard, would of course experience no difficulty, if directed by proper authority. But a doubt arises in my mind, from this statement of the relative powers of sugar and grain in the distilleries, which is sanctioned by high authority, as compared with their respective effects in the brewery.

It appears that $\mathscr{L}$ Cwt. of sugar are required to replace 10 bushels of grain in the manufacture of spirits; yet it has been asserted that 1 Cwt. of sugar will replace 8 bushels of malt in the brewery, though hitherto $1 \frac{1}{4}$ Cwt. of sugar has been considered as the substitute for that quantity. I am not aware that the brewer omits to turn his malt to the best arcount, or that he leaves much spirit or saceharum in the grains when he turns them out ; and I cannot imagine, therefore, how it happens that 8 bushels of malt do him no more service than 1 Cwt. of sugur, whilst 10 bushels of grain do, to the distiller, the service of 2 Cwt. -If the fact be as it is stated, I am confident the distiller may use the brewer's grains to mull adrantage ; thongh I never could find that even pigs derived much nourishment from them.The arithmetical proportion of sugar against a quarter of malt in the breweries, proceeding on the distiller's calculation, is $1 \mathbf{C w t}$. 2 grs. 11 lb .; allid this agrees so nearly with all statements on former occu-
sions, that I am not yet disposed to believe them in-correct.-The intrrest of the revenue in the question before us, hinges in a great measure upon this point. If the Revenue Boards collect their opinions on this sulject from their inferior officers, it is just possible they may be deceived.

These officers are, perhaps, in the habit of checking, in some degree, the quantity of beer brewed, by the quantity of grains turned out. The higher this proportion of grains is taken, the less beer to be taxed; and if the officer ehnses to deceive himaelf, the brewer may not take the tronble to undeceive hin, the rather as grains form quite as convenient a standard for the brewer as he can desire ; for, if I mistake not, prime malt aboolutely melts, dissolves, and leaves little or no residnum.

The quantity of beer of a given strength, whieh can be brewed with 1 Cwt of sugar, has, I presume, hern corroctly ascrutained by actual experiment; anl it is only necessary to ascertain what quantity of malt is commonly used to brew the same quantity. If this quantity be assmed on general or supposed data, it may, for the reasons I have before staterl, be erroncously given. And I an further inclined to think it is so; becanse the saving to the brewer by the use of sugar, if 1 Cwt. wonld represent 1 quarthe of malt, wonk seldom, in the last six years, have herea lowe than 10 s , and often as high as gos. and apwards, on that quantity: he mightat present buy Fond sumar for his purpoce at 5 s., and will certainly sit no good malt mader s8s. Yet the brewer does
not buy sugar ; which is suflicient evidence to me that the quantity he requires, is greater than is now stated.-It camot be answered, that though it has been his interest to use sugar, he could not do it withont detection, or he would not do it to defraud the revenue; because either of these answers would go the length of defeating the objections now made on behalf of the Excise to the introduction of sugar.

Assuming, therefore, that the brewer has not been in the practice of clandestinely using sugar, the objector is in this dilemma : either it was his interest, but the check has proved sufficient to prevent the clandestine use ; or it was not his interest, and the equivalent of sugar is set too low. In the first case, the difficulties in protecting the revenne disappear; in the second, its interest is not put in hazard, as $1 \frac{1}{2}$ Cwt of sugar pays 40 s .6 d . duty, whilst 1 quarter of malt pays 34 s .8 d .

In the event, however, of the rejection of this only mode which occurs to me, of ereating a demand for the sugar on hand, there remain yet two alternatives for its consideration:-First, An abandomment of the monopoly.

I care not in what mode, or under what limitations this be granted, so the practical advantage I have in view, be derived from it-viz. the licence to send the produce, in neutral slips, to any ports where it can find a market; and especially 1 have in view the intercourse between the British Islands and the Continent of Europe in American bottoms, exactly in the same way in which it now subsists between the

French colonies and France. The interruption of this last intercourse would probably alone answer all the purpose; but as, notwithstanding the strong case made out by the anthor of "War in Disguise," the British Government has not chosen to avail itself of the fortunate opportunity of soing to war with Ame-ricu-of doing that now, which, sooner or later, must be donc-and of convincing America that Great Britain, though she has conceded much, will not concede every thing, I have not considered this as a resource.-The mere proposal of throwing open the colonial trade, and in neutral bottoms too, will expose me, 1 know, to the disapprobation of various descriptions of men-of statesmen, financiers, shipowners, and revenue officers ; even Sir Josiah Child will tell me that the value of colonies to the mother conntry is only in proportion to the strictness of the monopoly. All this I know as well as he; but the " value of a thing is just what it will bring."-Sugar, as sugar, will benefit neither the statesman, the revenue, nor the ship-owner; if it camnot be converted into money, cui bono? -if it will bring nothing, it is worth nothing. Is the sugar of any use to the revenue whilst in the docks ?--is its transportation any benefit to the ship-owner, unless he is paid for the carriage of it?-Does he expect to be paid the freight for the sugars he may bring home in the ships now gone out to the colonies? - He knows something of West lndia concerns; and he knows, also, that I possess a still more intimate acquaintance with them on this side of the Atlantic ; and he
will not perhaps disbelieve me when I tell him, that if consumption be not found for the 85,000 hogsheads of sugar in question, he will not, when his ships arrive in July next, be able to collect onetwentieth part of the freight which will be due to him.

It is easy to raise an outcry on the importance of the Navigation Laws to the prosperity of Great Britain ; but it is ridiculons to adhere to the letter, and abandon the spirit. If Great Britain suffer intercourse between France and her colonies by neutrals in time of war, and defeat the object of her own maritime superiority, for what purpose is this superiority acquired? Is it not madness to continue a restriction on her own colonies, which exposes them to all the expences and inconveniences of war, whilst those of the enemy transport their produce with the advantages of peace? But if it be not madness, is it not injustice? Why is that portion of his Majesty's subjects, whose possessions are in the colonies, exclusively to pay the price of maintaining a nursery for the British navy, and of promoting the shipping interest of Great Britain ? If these be, as doubtless they are, objects of great national importance, let them not be abandoned whilst they can be maintained, but let the West Indian pay no more than his just contribution to this common benefit: do his husiness for him as well and as cheap as others will do it, or indemnify him for the loss his own interest sustains in furtherance of the public good.

The last alternative which I have to propose, is
one, which will not fully noswer the planter's purpose, but it is the least the nation can offer. -"In taxing your produce, on commercial principles, we have assumed that you had in your hands all the advantages of commerce : we find you have them not, and we abandon the taxation. This will not create demand, nor get. you out of the embarrassment into which our errors have led you; but, as it respects the future, it discharges us of responsibility. Whether your speculations are profitable or not, concerns not us; we are not instrumental in directly augmenting the cost of your produce, and we leave you, as we do the English grower, to take care of yourself."This is the least the country can say to the planter; but it is more than would be true, since it does not leave the planter free to take care of himself, whilst he is under a monopoly. Which of these alternatives Government will be pleased to recommend, I know mot: the first strongly recommends itself; the others will, I doubt, find no patron but necessity.

In discussing the causes of the rapid and progressive depreciation of West India property, I have rontined myself to those which are most prominent, and most easily understood; but abundance of minor considerations offer, had I time to follow them. If the colonies sink under oppression, they at the same time waste through neglect. In what state of defence are they at all times left?-Would the miseries and devastation which desolated Grenada and St. Vincent in the late war, have taken place, had the slightest attention been paid to their satety-
had not their already insufficient garrisons been removed for purposes of compuest? Would Nevis and St. Kitts have been laid under contribution, their ships taken, and their property ransomed -would the Antigua fleet have been burnt in 180:5, had there been a British squadron on the station? Would the ships in the harbour of Montsernt have been carried off, and the Leeward Island Government been again thrown into confusion at witnessing the undisputed superiority of the French Hag in the West ludian seas in 1800; and an attack on the ships under the guns of Brimstone-hill; had a squadron been stationed in the colonies? If the mischief, arising from this neglect, were only want of contidence, it would be incalculable; but the positive loss by delay, by increased expences of insurance, waste, and uncertainty, is enormons.

In the present year, the evil we now complain of originates, in groat measure, with the late arrival of the convoys, by which the foreign market was lost ; and this delay was oceasioned by the incompetency of the Admitals on the stations to detach ships to protect the trade.

It has always occurred to me to ask, why the primcipalsquadron is stativned to leeward at Jamaic:a, instead of being to windward, at Barbadoes, 'i'riaadada, or Antipua?

Ancnemy's squadron must necessarily pass through the Windward Islands or Leeward Islands to go down tusit. Domingo or Jamaica, and it is easy to follow, if not to intereept them ; but though intelligence may
be received in Jamaica of the destruction or capture of islands to windward, in three days, a squadron at Jamaica can afford no assistance in three weeks, and beating up is a tardy remedy.

Witnessing, as we all have done, the lurry and indecision in our naral operations, consequent on the sailing of five or six ships of the line from France; the occupation which Villaumez afforded in the last year to twelve or fifteen ships of the British line, ut the least (a sufficient acknowledgment that his destination was unknown) ; it is impossible not to express surprise that a squadron of five or six sail of the line is not at all times kept together in the Windwurd Colonies. There is no point equally vulnerable; there is no one where a priority of arrival of a week, a fortnight, or a month, leads to the same consequences. Can the East Indies be taken by u flect? Are not the ships in that trade few, large, and in comparatively safe harbours? Can the Cape, Ciibraltar, or Malta be taken by surprise? Does the maritime superiority of France for a month, in the East, or in the Mediterrancan, lead to consequences similar to those which result from such a superiority in the Charibbean Archipelago ? Surely it is ubvious that a French squadron cin only sail for the West Indies or the Mauritius, and the probabilities are ten to one in favour of the former. Yet would I not permanently station men of war, as now practised, in what is deemed an unhealthy climate. Why should they not be constantly changed by ships of furce accompunying and protecting our outward and returning
fleets? In proportion as these were numerous, the accommodation would to all parties be greater. This wonld effectually protect and give confidence to the colonies, und tend to render diflicult the ascertainment by the enemy, of the existing force.

In answer to much of what I huve said respecting the defence of the colonies, it will perhaps be usked, has not a ruinous expence been ulready incurred in the colonies, especially in the last war? Nost undoubtedly, ruinons to the nation, and still more ruinons to the British planter. The expence was, however, incurred, not in promoting the interests of the old, but in grasping at new colonies; in St. Domingo, at Martiuique, in expeditions against Trinidada, St. Lucia, Surinam, Demerara, Berbice, and Essequibo; not to mention the well-concerted achievement at Porto Rico. Onr tenderness for our enemies induced us to grasp at all these; to cherish such of them as we could olbtain possession of, to foster, to nourish them, and at peace to restore them to their former owners. This were well: but 1 should like to see it done in some mode not affecting either the pocket or the popularity of the old colonist.

One word more, and I will conclade this Letter, though abundance of matter suggests itself, is I proceed, worthy; I think, of your attention. I wish you to consider, before it is oflered, an expedient, which, I doubt not, will be suggested, and which, because it will give icast trouble, will probably be preferred to more effectual remedies-I mean a lean of Exchequer Bills or money to the Weat India
planters and mortgagees. It is obvious that this expedient will not prodnce demand, or abate finture expence ; and unless in the expectation of short crops, und as emabling the importer to keep the sugar on hand till a demand arises for it, from a reduced supply, I see not what good it will operate. Yet may the necessities be so great as to catchat this, rather than nothing.-The determination must rest on the merits of the case made out ; it is an olsjectionable measure, and should not, if avoidable, be adopted. But in any event a loan, if made, should be made on different conditions from those prescribed by the Act of Parlianent, under which the lom was made to the planters of Grenada mad St. Vincent in the time of their distress. The objection I make, is to the mature of the security required by Government; it requires the personal security of others in aid of that given by the party borrowing ; but its powers supersede, and take priority of, those of every wther creditor-an extent attaches on property of all descriptions; and though I will not assume so much legal knowledge as to determine its operation on a prior mortgage of English property, I am certainly justitied in stating that no man who gives a security to Govermment, either as principal or surety, for the repayment of a loan, under the terms of an Act of Parliament similar to that before alluded to, can make a valid title to any property he may possess. The inconvenience, and the injustice too, of this effect of an extent have been practically felt. If such a meusure be again resort ad to, I trust that either the
sugar, or the estates will be taken as the security ; in the former instunce, the produce conld not he taken, because the distress then arose from devastation, and there was no produce to pawn;-now the evil is superitundance.

The possession of the property points out, at once, those who feel inconvenience from the want of a market. The assmmption of this basis not only conlines applications for relief strictly within the line of those who really suffer from this canse, but the admission of the property by assignment, as a security to the lender, assures to the proper objects of relief' the means of obtaining it ; whereas the difliculties a distressed man must feel in procuring persomal sureties, must increase of course in exact propurtion to the extent of his distress. This is, lonever, under any modification, a temporary expedient;-I have shewn that the evil is radical and pemanome.

The present average prodnce of colonios in British possession, is 100 or 120,000 homsheacis be:yond the home consmoption; a regnlar domand for this must be found. but the new comones are capable of a still further incrase, Trindada cspecially. What is to be done here :--ls she to he proseribed, and her cultivation no further pernitted:That were ham measure dealt out to the capinhants. let she is virtanly proscribed from the growth of sugar by the abolition, so far as she is concemed, of the Africam slave trade.-What mast be done? Her raltivation must be dieceded to another prodnere. 10 v.bich ber present popuhation shall be competent ;
and I incline to suggest coffee, for use in Great Britain in lien of tea.

This is a wide subject, and I am not going to discuss it. The idea is new to you, and numberless difficulties and objections arise; I attempt not at present to :emore them ; but remember, that Trinidada is the least, and China the most improved country in the world, in arts and mamufactures.

I am, dear Sir,
Your's, \&c.
Charles Bosanquet.

## SUPPLEMENT.

()N sending this Letter a second time to the press, I have attentively reperused the various communications which have been made to me, in consequence of its first publication; but as nothing arises out of any of them to invalidate my statements, or disprove my arguments, I have deemed it needless to make cither additions or alteralions.

The possibility of such a result to the grower of sugar, as is produce by my calculations, has been doubted. As an average result, I am lily satisfied of its correctness. Estates whose sustains :re fine, and expences low, if such there be, will of course make more than average retums; but estates of a contrary description will fall below them.

In the interval of time which has occurred since the fist publication of this Letter, the distress has increased, as I foresaw it would, to such a degree " as to command assent:" and we see Parliament
engaged in various, but I fear unavailing, measures to administer relief.

The additional bounty on double refined sugar is a wise and just measure, as it removes a positive bar to exportation when the demand occurs; but it has no tendency to create demand. This can only be effected by increased consumption; and it is a subject of regret that the distilleries and breweries, which will ultimately be resorted to as a remedy, when the disorder is at the height, are not timely recurred to, to prevent its increase.

It has lately occurred to me, that an additional argument to prove the inadequate return of a British West India property, might be druwn from a comparison of British with foreign West India returns.

It has been shewn that, during the last six years, the average net return to the British planter has, been at the rate of $\mathscr{L}^{0} \mathbf{0} 4 \mathrm{~s}$. 7 d . per hogshead of 13 Cwt. or 100 such hogsheads . ..... E622 184

The accounts of an estate in the
Danish Island of St. Croix now lie before me, in which the net profit to the owner, after all expences paid, is at the rate of $£ 12$ 10s. per hogshead, or on 100 hogsheads...................................... 12500 which is exactly double the produce in Britain ; and in fact this estate, being the property of an English gentleman, has paid Property Tax in Great Britain, at a rate rather exceeding this average per hogss head.

Should it be thought that these superior proceeds arise from the peculiar fineness of the sugar, or other favourable circumstances, I can only say, that if the fact be so, contact with Britain neutralises these advantages.-In 1799, St. Croix was taken by the English, and the produce sent to England. Lighty hogsheads were sold in London, and produced (without reference to expences of cultivation) £744 9s. 6d., or about $\mathfrak{£ 9} 6$ 6s. 8d. per hogshead. Forty were reshipped to Copenhagen, where they produced $£ 7545 \mathrm{~s} .5 \mathrm{~d}$., or nearly $£ 19$ per hogshead; so that the proprietor lost 50 per cent. by the consigment of his produce to his own hands: for the difference of freight from St. Croix to Copenhagen, or from London to Copenhagen, was probably compensated by the extra insurance to Great Britain in time of war, the waste and expences incurred by delivery and reshipment in London.

I will add one case more, to prove depreciation. An estate in a British colony, settled by an ancestor of the present possessor in the reign of Charles II. was let, between the years 1750 and 1760 , under circumstances not favourable to a high rent, at $\ell 2100$ sterling. The estaie has been many years in hand, and 27 acres of land have been added to it ; it has been under good management, and is in every respect an advantageous property. I should not know how to fix a value upon it, under present sircumstances; but I find that, two or three years since, a gentlemau well qualitied to judge of its
merits, and desirous to raise its value in the eyes of the proprietor, fixed on $£ 1700$ as the rent which might be obtained for it; so that in fifty years this estate has lessened in annual value $£ 400!$ - What is the present rent of an English property, let in the year 1755 at 2000 -guineas per annum-is it $f 4000$ or 5000 ?
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[^0]:    * This quantity has been exceeded in one fleet from the l.eeward Istands, since the first edition of this Lotter, and a fleet tom Jamaica is daily expecied.

