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## THE BRIGHTON POISONING CASE:

On the fourth of April. 18.59 the trial of Wilidam Menry King, M. D., of Brighton. C. W., was commenced, and concluded the following day. The case Anothrr instance has unfortunately beenminal and scieutific point of view. whercin a knowledge ol medicine has been added to those already on record wherein the physician has cruelly, persisut to the worst pus-ible purpose skill for the destiverion of life under persistently and remorselesslv used his bushand has stood by the budside of bise pretence of saving - wherein the ber words of comlurt and of bupe, bis dying wife, and while spenking to dath. Fortunately for society, few mentager ber to a gradual and paintul as this. Here and there they ure to tre toupe found capable of suth arrocities erime, and their names are mentioned ever aftebut hey stand pre ominent in beings scarcely within the Iorders of humanity as baving been those of misest of destraction within the reach of the maty. Though Science plucirs not confined taje in day a gone by ; bippily, the ted main, mknown to the sions. Should the e who acquire it firr the gratifietion dge sbe imparts is munn of detection power she gives the abosed, in other het their unboly pas. whe seek tu make bar rarely sails to bring down pui-hinent se places the manifest than in ber a putner in crime Never was thinent upou the man As the trind of or cnse now befire us. place in Cunadit, we Krong is one of the most im. concernerl. The princ pal uctor in ihese sad sceunes of Sophiushurgh, Prinee Eiward coupe sad scenes paren's removed to Cramabe nows, in the year 1835 in the township purchased a finm, and liy untiong inghon, in Nortbumberland, where they siderable manuat of properig. When elphtsuccerded in aceumulating a con. and wett to the sormat School in Toratiteen years of age: King l-fi bome, the study of modi-ine. biving thits early in that city be first commenced course of tuition so :oon as he should the eputemined to go through er regular a first-clavs eertificate, he was appointed teanded 10 do so. Upon obtaining Central Schuol, Humilton in which situation it was part furth division of the knowledge of on pligsiolugy. In pursuance of his part of tim duty to lecture went to Philad the haling art, he studi d under Dr, Gremination to guin a Hrere we find hiphia where be entered the Homecopathentees and in 1855 his ling stay b ing ding tan winter ressions - the expeuse nedical College. prool of the asidg mannly d.frayed by his futher-inpenses. attendun: upon diplomis: one from with which he pursued his studi w, he prurwson. In Penn-ylvanin Mud cul the coll-ge previou-Ig mentioned, a secund from three Eviletic Medical cull University in Thiladiluhia ; and a seculld from the villave of Briyhtoullege also oi Puilddelphia. In is and a thlrd from the In this he ge, mas and immollintely commenced the per returard to the confilence of many puople been vary succersful, at any rate of midicine. month, and, as he prople. His riceipts, it ls reporte any rate her gainid the
and wealth," when the events which led to his arrest took place. By those who have known him, Dr. Klng is sald to have been a purhiug, energetic young fellow. Proud of hls attminmenta, and possesslig the gift of lospiring others with the bellef that he was deeply learned-an idea he was not slow so inculcate-he was just the man to succeed in the proftssion he had chosen, so far as money making is concerned. He is described, while in Brighton, at having been to all appearance of strictly moral habits-regularly attending Church, and careful in hls language. His address and appearance are scarcely calcalated to produce an impression in his favour. He is about five feet six or seven lnches la height ; has dark hair ; wears a moustache and dark beard. His face is not of that description which would lead persons to imagine its owner was likely to be guilty of so terriblencrime as that of which he has been found guilty. His eyes are dark, and his complexion rather saliow, but clear. The idea derived from conversing with him is that he would be by no means backward in asserting either real or pretended elaims ; and be has that peculiar cast of countenance belonging to men who make themselves agrecable, principally for purposes of gain. He has a quick way of looking at those with whom he is confronted, as though "taking the measure" of his man. During his confinement, he received very flatteriag letters from gentlemen both in the United States and in Canada, all bearing testimony to his good character as a man, and his skill as a pbysician. Among those who thus wrote him were:-Messrs. J Bruee, A. M., Hamilton; Alexander H. Laidlaw, A. M.. Principal of the Monroe Grammar School, P, iladelphia ; J. H. Eldridge, Principal of the Hancock Grammar Echool, Philadelphia; F. Smith, M. D., of Springvale, Hartford County, Mary land; and J. H. Thomas, M. D.', Professor of Anatomy and Physiology, Medical Cu!lege, Philadelphia. In the year 1854, while at the Normal School, King married Miss Sarah Anae Lawson, a young lady about the same age as bimself, of very prepossessing appearance, well educated and of an exceedingly respectable family. Report speaks highly both of herself and parents in every respeet. The y own a larg farm about a mile fr m Brighton, upon which they reside, aud have a family of four sons and two daughters. About twelve months after the marriage of Fing with Miss Lawson, their first and only child was born. Previous to this event it was suspected that Mrs. King was not well treated by her husband, and under this impression, and to ensure all necessary attention, her parents placed her under their own roof during her confinement. Complaint she disdained to make, preferring rather to submit to wrong than to expose her husband's conduct. During her illness, however, the suspicions of her friends were more than confrmed. At this critical period, when she demanded the sympathy of every manly heart, she received letters of a very distressing character from ber husband, in which he charged ber with a want of ch istity. but being expostulated with, he apparently repented of his cruel coaouct, and asked pardon of his wife in the most humble manner. That pardon being granted, he demanded the return of the letters he had written, and presented a pistol at his brother-in law, Olinton M. Lawson, into whose possession they had come, upon his refusing to give then up. They were at length returned, hut not, before copies had been taken-which copies might have been produced at the trial had the Crown Prosecutor thought them necessary. Their child, happily for itself perhaps. did not live more than three months.
In Toronto, Mr. and Mrs. King kept boarders. and sdopted the same means for increasing their income when in Hamilton. While her husband was in Philadelphia, Mrs. King resided with her parents. King was at College during the winter months only of 1855, '56, and 's7, together with he first quarter of 1858 . For three summers he taught in various Common Schools of Brighton Township, and finally returned home in March. 1858, when, as already remarked, be commenced to practise bis profession. There is evidence
to prove that he did not live happily with his wife whilo in Brigiton ; mad suthclent to prove also, that she by no means monopolized his affections. While on a visithat his house be became aequainted with a Miss Vandervoort, realding by some to be a good-looking aut twenty miles from Brighton. Sho is thought years of age, and is said to have been pretty well educated is about twenty lady, Miss Dorcas Garrett, also, received attentions froin Dr Kinother young in the township of Murray, east of Brlghton from Dr. King. She lives her father, is a member of the Society of Mr. Townsend Garrett, of Hallowell township, Prince Edward county. Friends, und n mative farm moro than forty years ago. Miss Garrett county. He camo to hle present Vandervoort. With both these young ladies King about the name age as Miss what transpired before the coroner's inquest, it exchanged letters, but from sharply checked the Doctor when the proaltions he appear that tho former bounds of propriety. He had sent her a note, in wo mado over-stepped the herself in readiness to become his wlfe, as it we in which he asked her to hold live long. It appeared, too, that the prisoner did not thluk her mo. Wellg to cated as the lady of a professional man should bot thlik her so well eduthis defeet, recommended her to induce should be; and, in order to remedy was kind enough to point out the course of study to send her to school, and proper reply was returued to thls disgraceful she should pursuo. A very threatened to expose her suitor if hisgraceful eplstle, in which Miss Garrett like description. In the middle of dared to make any further advanoer of a her husband, it is said, having announced to Mer a King became seriously III; much to her surprise, that she would ned to her a short tlmo previonsly, and ber she died, notwithstanding the asiduou a month. On the 3rd of Novemher illness, she frequently vomited after takingtions of the Doctor. During The retching was violent in the extrer taking the medicines he adminintered. times did she beg of her husband to and caused her great pain. Many larly administered,--he would entreat her to the medicine he regumeans by which ber life could be saved. Frequently it as the only Divine aid on the efforts he was professedly requently would ho implore health; when speaking of her, be nsed $+\cdots$ masedy making to restore her to appeared to be bis study to do all in his ar to altionate language, and it few days however, before she died hie er to alleviate her suffering. $\boldsymbol{A}$ pockets of a coat belonging to the, her nither happened to examine tho likeuess of the above-mentioned Miss Vanders in one of them, she found a from the lady herself. This she did and Vandervoort, accompanied by a note dead, but when once out, it brought make known untll her daughter was Garrett. Still further to excite suspicio light the affair with Mlas Doreas parchased half an ounce of arie suspicion, it was ascertained that King had and, subser, sently to that a summoned, and the body exhumed. his wife was ill, King had declared Evidence was adduced to show that whilo womb; but the medical man courd that she was suffering from an ulcernted discovered that she was precnant find no trace of any such discars. They system was in a healthy condition. but all connected with that part of the any other supposition than that it had bot being able to necount for denth upon liver were taken out, and sent to had been caused by poison, the stomach and foand no less than eleven grains of Professor Croft, Toronto, for analrais, who body was exbumed, King was visiting some in the stomach alone 1 When the Brighton, after hearing whot was going some patients, and upon returniug to Mr. Vandervoort's, where he arg going oD, he drove off at once to Sldney, to excited at his visit, and especially He told them that he was chaily when be explained his reasons for makingit. sach sccusation had then been with having poisoned his wlfo. though no
arrested, but that a warrant would be issued for Miss Vandervoort also ; and arged upon her parents that they should aliow their daughter to fly with him to the States until the matter was settled. After much hesitation, they acceded to the demand, upon the understinding that King should take miss Vandervoort to ber aunt's, at Cape Vincent. This plan was adopted, but King's stay was short. A warrant was lasued for his arrest, and while the inquest was proceeding, he was brought buck and lodged in prison.

Once in gaol. he prepared most actively for his trial. The Hon. John Hillyard Cameron was engaged to defend him. Medical men were also summoned to his assistance. and on the day of trial there appeared Professor Hempel uod Dr. Sauders of Philiadelphin. with Drs. Vontagen of Brantford and Nichol of Simeoe, C. W. On behalf of the Crown, Mr. Galt, Q. C., was retained. The medicel witnesses were l'rofessor Croft, and Drs. Hodder and Bethune of Toronto; Gross and Proctor of Brighton, and Gilchrist of Hamilton. When before the Grand Jury, King preserved an unruffed demennour. He declared bimself quite sure of acquittal, and appeared to be so.
One day previous to the week of trial, a brotber of the accused came to Cobourg aud called at a chemist's shop, where he purchased twenty-five cents worth of strychnlne. Upon being asked his name, he replied that it was King, aud ndmitted his relationship to the accused. From the shop he went to the prison, where he saw his brother. The groler was present during the interview, and as the two were allowed to converse only through a smail hole in the cell door, he is quite sure nothlng was handed from one to the other. King explains that the strychnine was purchased for the purpose of poisoning foxes, of which there are many about his father's farm. Excuses of this description are always at hand.

## THE TRIAL.

The trlal took place before Judge Burns, and created the most intense excitement, not only in Cobourg and Brighton, but in all the country ronnd about. At an early hour, large numbers of teams, laden with people ansious to witness the proceedings, came into town. The railways aliso contributed their quot 4 , and socu after eight o'clock the steep steps leading up to the Court-House, and the brow of the hill upon which it stands, were coveled with a crowd, jammed together as tight as it was possible for buman beings to be and live. It was a noisy crowd, with great strength of lungs, which thiy tested to their utmost extent. Not once or twice, but continuourly .indred, oue might have thought from the sustained roar that an clection merting was being beld. Numbers of women came into Cobourg. but a glanco at the Court House steps was sufficient to assure hem that crinoline could not bear so areat an amount of compression as was required to get within the door wag, and they at once abandoned all hope of effreting an entrince. But notwithstaiding the aisence of the ladies the room was soon filled to its utmost extent. There were probably about three hundred people present. Among them, besides the witnesses, were a numb + of medical gentlomen aod the sturlunta of the Cobourg Medical College, to whom a holiday had been given that they might be able to attend. The Court-House is emall, low,

Ili-lighted, aud worse ventilated. Mr. Justice Buras was stuck on a slightly elevated pintform, behind a concern which looked very like an old ceunter borrowed from some chandier's shop.
The Court was opened at haif-past nine o'clock-Mr. Galt, Q.C., who has been conducting the Crown cases, prosecuted, assisted by the County Attorney, Mr. J. A. Armour. Hon. J. H. Cameron appeared for the defence.
The following were the names of the jury:--Joseph Rosevier, Wllliam Goskins, William Humphries, Richard Barratt, William Smith, Jonathan Porter, Levi Dudley. Dantel Richtman, William Robinson, William Clarke, Samuel Inggart, and Ifenry D. Alger. Seven challenges were made by the prisoner's connsel. None were made on behalf of the Crown.
Mr. Galit opened the case. He impressed upon the jury the fact that it Was their duty to divest themseives of any prejudice they might have conceived inasinuch as the prisoner was now, in the eye of the law, as innocent as any one of then. He was accused of having murdered bis wife by certain appliances withia bis reach, nud with the nature of which he was well acquainted. No person could bave becu $\ln$ a more favourable pesition for carrying out his purpose. for not only was he a physician by profession, but he waited upon his wife as her medical attendant. The learned gentleman and afterwards addres ted the events which had led to the arrest of the prisoner, murder, it is necessary to the jury on those points to which, in triais for bent upon them to do wo direct attention. The first thing it would be incumposition to accomplish the deed, for the fact that the prisoner was $\ln$ a to betried, ia that those mea, for the alleged perpetration of which he was were within his reach. ne mans by which the deceased had come by her death deceased, up to the 14th October. Gall) would prove to the jury that the was then seized with violent per last, was in a sound state of health - that she died on the $3 d$ November. It would accompanied with retching, and finally men, there was nothing to cruse da be shewn that, in the opinicn of medical shown that eleven grains of a death except poison, and it would also be The next thing he Mr. Gast) would were found in the stomach of the deceared. commission of the crine. This prove was a probable motive for the affection and illicit intercourse wholive would be found in the pr:soner's whom. with greater freedom, he another woman-to pay his addresses to Mroins and opportunity for be had, it was to be feared, murdered his wife. exu ise of his profession for working out his designs he bad found in the sesu in the fact that he had prophegied thengest evidences of guilt would ke and strength. Could aupthing be more repugnant to human while in health conduct of the prisoner, in assuring his wife and her friends that she couid not he safely delivered of the child with which she was pregnant, but that she must cease to breathe before she gave it birth? This the prisoner bad done; he had stated that the deceased wes suffering under a disease of the womb, which, had it existed, would hav- inevitably left traces behind it, but of which there were none. It would be proved that the medicine taken hy Mrs. King was administered by her husband, but the exact description of medicine could not be proved from the knowledge of the parties who saw it given. Wituesses would be brought furward whe would tell the jury that the prisoner had given a white powder to his wife, which, would not float in water, and was exceedingly diffcult to mix with it. Each dose caused a burning thirst, follows d by retching all, as Professor Croft would tell them, symptoms of poisoning by arsenic. The accused was an homœopathic physiclan, and the learned counsel for the defence might take the ground that he had administered the medicine for remedial purposes, but that he was the victim of a mistake. If this were done, the whole case would be narrowed down to one of intent,
and he entreated the jury to weigh well the evidence which would be given on that point.

Simon Davidson, M.D., was the first witness called. He was examined by Mr. Galt. He said-I am a coroner of this county. I held an inquest on the body of the prisoner's wife, on the 8th of November last. Her stomach was removed, and placed in a clean earthen dish on the first dny of the inquest in the presence of the jury. From that dish it was taken and placed in a bottle, in the neck of which I placed a cork, and tied it down with a string. This bottle I took with me to Brighton. I locked it up in a bureau, and put the key in my pocket. Naxt day-the jury having adjourned over-by their consent, I sealed the bottle, wrapped it up, and sealed the paper; placed tbls in a case, which was also sealed, and forwarded it to Queen's College, Kingston.
Oross examined by Mr. Cameron-I was present in the room the whole timo of the inquest. I did not leave the room from the time the stomach was taken from deceased untll I placed it in a bottle. The bottle was a pickle bottle. I washed it out with cold water. Between the time the bottle was tied up, and the time I got to Brlghton, three quarters of an hour might have elapsed. The place where the inqnest was held is about three miles and a-balf from frighton. I stayed at Mr. DeLong's tavern in Brighton that aight. The cupbourd or closet in which I placed it, was behind the bar in Mr. DeLong's. I kept the key in the pocket of my pantaloons, which I did not take off that night, as I sat up writing out the papers relative to the inquest. DeLong told me there was no other key than the one which he gaveme. A man named Squires sitting in the room asked me to show him the stomach. I simply took the bottle from my pocket and let him see it. Next day the stomach was placed in a clean dish, In the presence of the jury, as Drs. Gross and Proctor wished again to see it, the post mortem examination having previonsly been conducted by candle light. No one besides the doctors touched it. The table was between me and them. The stomach was in the dish about fifteen or twenty minutes. It was then replaced in the bottle and sealed in the presence of the jury. The stamp I used was a penny piece. I am not sure that a penny plece was used on the twine that I wound round the box. I rather think I used an ordinary wafer stamp-but am not confident. I gave the package to Mr. Robert Barker, one of the jury to take to Kingston, on the day it was sealed.

Romert Barker M.D.-I was one of the jury who enquired into the death of Mrs. King. The bottle contnining the stomach was delivered to me. The stomach was secured as described by last witness. I received it on the morning of eitber the 10th or 11th of November, and by the twelve o'elock train I went o Kingston. The package was in my earpet bag, which I kept in my hand all the time. In the evening I saw the Professrar of Queen's Oollege, who declined to give me a decisive answer until next morning. I left my bag in a closet of the laboratory, which I locked up. Next morning I called, took it away and returned with it to Brighton-the package being precisely in the same condition as when I received it.
Cross-examined. - When the stomach was placed in the bottle it (the bottle) was not at once corked. The post mortem examination was conducted in the school-house, in the presence of the jury. There was fourteen or fifteen on the jury. No one, that I saw, elther went near to the body or touched it. 1 do not think that during the post mortem examination any of the relatives of the deccased were present. It was not impossible, certainly, for any of the jurors to drop somethirg into the basin whieh contained the stomach; but I do not think any of them went near it. The mouth of the bottle was not corked on the day the stomach was taken from the body, it was merely covered with paper tied down with string. Next day I procured a cork, and when the
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jury reassembied the Coroner produced the bottle in the same state ad it bad been the day previous.
Eimon Darinsom recalled. - I received the bottle from Mr. Barker, the same day that he returned from Kingston. I placed it in a locked closet, and kept it until the morning of the 13th. I then started with it for Toronto. I got as far as Colborne, when, learning that King had been arrested, I determined to return. I gare the stomach to Mr. Keeble, the conductor, to take to Professor Or .t.

Cross-examined. - Between the time I placed the bottle in the closet after I received it from Mr. Barker, and the time I took it out on the thirteenth, I did not see it.
James Kennes examined.-I am a conductor on the Grand Trnnk. On the 13th November last. I received a small box from Sinon Davidson. He instructed me not to let it out of my castody until sueh time as I placed it in the bands of Professor Croft. This was about seven o'clock, A.M. I locked it up in a cuploard of the baggage car used for bondoil goods. Upon arriving in Toronto, I gave it to Professor Oroft.

Oross-examined.--No one besides myse!f had accers to the cupboard.
Henry Crofr examined.-I am professor of ohemistry at University College, Toronto. I receired the box and bottle from last witness. The box was sealed with common seals. The cork in the bottle bad the stamp of a penny piece upon it. The stomach was emptied into a glass with witer. The liguld was allowed to settle; the upper part was poured off, arsenic. Thent left. This sediment was examined and found to contain white to contsin arsenic in then. The ext examined the coats of the stomach, and found more grains. I wrote to the Coroner to send found in the stomach was eleven deceased.
Simon Darinsons war recal'ed and proved the eecond exhumation of the body; the taking ont of the liver, and its delivery carefully sealed to E. D. Moore, express agent.
E. D. Moome examined.-On the 19 th of November, 1 received a jar from last witness, and kept it in my possesslon until I delivered it to Professor .
Cross-examined.-I placed the jar in an iron safe inside my ear. It was locked up. Next day Idelivered it to Professor Croft.
Professor Crort recalled. - I analysed the liver, and found small quantlties of arsenic in it. Arsenic cannot be put into the liver after death. It must have been taken in during life - that is the reason I wrote for the liver. I sent to the Coroner, Mr. Davidson, some tabes containing metallic arsenic whleh I took from the liver. (Six tubes hermetically sealed, containing the arsenic, were produced.) I did not analyse the whole of the liver.
Cross-examined-I did not determine the quantity of arsenic found in the liver. It was very little, not sufficient to cause death. In the stomach itself I found but little arsenic-in the contents of the stomach about eleven grains. The stomach, with the exception of a slight blush at the extremities, was healthy. It is possille 10 put arsenic in this portion of the body after death. I do not think the paper round the bottle was sealed. The box was sealed. I have no means of knowing whether the arsenic was put into the stomach after death or not. However much might be taken into the stomach, even when it reaches one or two ounces, very little finds its way ioto the tissues of the stomach and liver. Inflammation supervenes generslly about two hours after Death from beell taken. Much more arsenic is generally taken than is found. eight or ten days. I may ensue in six hours after taking it, or extend over eight or ten days. I have read of cases where persons have taken arsenie, and
have died from it several days after, without $t_{t} \mathrm{k} n g$ any in the interval. I do not think the poison could have be en taken into the stomach I examined, long before death. There was no iuflammation, thougb there was a cowmencement of $i t$, in the extremities of the stomach. Some poisons have a cumalative effect. A succession of doses may be given before they take any effect; but the cumu. lative power may, in the end, produce death. I bave known this to be the case with digitulis ; but never heard or sead of it with arsenic.
Re-examined by Mr. Galt. I know of no case wherein arsenic has acted as 2 cumulative poison. The sixteenth of a grain is abcut the usial allopathic dose of arsenic. If a succes iou of such doses bad eten tuken, providing the party lived a short time afterwards, no traces of it would be found. Arsenic administered in large quantities, will produce a nurcotic effect. None of the appearances usually attendant on the taking of arsenic were present in the stomach. The absence of the usual indications by no means excludes the pos sibility of the presence of arsenic. The absence of symptons is the exception.
Nathaniel Ressell. Proctor, M.D., e amined.-I assisted at the post mortem examioation of the body of Sarah Anue Kiug. The body was brought to the school house, and placed upon a door. It presented a rather healthy appearance for oue deceased. There was considemable fat over the muzcular parts. Dr. Gro $\cdot s$ made the incision, and laid tare the stomach, liver and an impregnated womb. There was a dark appearance on the outer covering. which might have been occasioned by coming in contact with a dark fluid. With this exception the organs were healthy. We examined the bowels which were coloured, from sumething, we supposed, which had passed through them. The rectum was also coloured. This we attributed to inflammation. The womb was removed; it contained a fatus, which was quite healthy. The placenta was cut through, but we found nothing wrong. We removed the sternum, and examined the heart and liver, which were quite healthy. The lungs presented a congested appearauce. I never examincd any one before who had died of arsenica:
poison.
Cross examined.-The congestion of the lungs was not such as was sufficient to catuse death. The inflammation of the rectum is, I consider, a sign of arsenical poison. The surface of the stomach was congested-it scarcely amounted to inflammation; it was a state of engorgement; I cannot say to what extent. Taking the stomach au a whole, it did not uppear to me to he a healtty stomach. I cannot say how long the inflammation I observed had existed. I did ot examine very particularly the whole of the inner coating. Before the Soroner: I did not use the word "inflammation"-"congestion" was the word. I supposed the colouring of the coat was caused by the dark fluid I found inside the stomach. "I examined the neek of the womb. There was no trace of disease of any sort. The age of the foctus was between tbree or four months, and presented a healthy appearance. When the skull was removed, the brain contained a little more fluid than is ordinarily the case.
A. E. Fife, M.D., examined.-I was called in to see the late Mrs. King. I think Dr. King sent for me, perbaps about three weeks before her death. Sbe was vomiting at intervals. I preseribed for her ipecacuanha aud camphor. I gave the prescription to Dr. King. He told me that bis wife was vomiling at Intervals-that she was pregnant, and that she had ulceration of the vagina. culty at the vagina was beter terwards, and prisoner stated to me that the diffiwith me was the night befer. The last sime I foir her that she could converse conversation, "I feel much ber deaih. She said to me, in the course of the appeared to me much hetter. She time I saw her was on the morniog of her dook like a dying woman. The last had intervened between then and the time I left cannot say how many hours I called about dusk in the evind the time I left her on the previous evening. called about dusk in the evening, and between eleven and twelve the next
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morning. I was present at the thir
membrane appeared as though it had been inflamed. The rectum presented a like appearance. This might have been caused by the action of the impregnated womb.
Cross-examined.-When I first called on Mrs. King, Dr. King said she was too ill to speak to me, and consequently I held no conversation with her. I think, but I am not sure, that Dr. King once spoke to me about making a pera gray appearance ; ans wife. One of the powders I prescribed whuld present toms Dr. King described in was a mixture of yellow and black. The sympsistent with a woman four or fir general character, I did not consider incon. physicians wr re called in, with the months advanced in pregnancy. No other the day of her death, when she the exception of Dr. Gross, who was there on about an aceident she once bad in in a state of coma. I have beard something complained to me of a pain in the betting either in or out of a buggy. She

James Glichitist MD, exain
organic disease. We examinedined. - I saw the body, but found no trace of but that ${ }^{r}$ 'ttributed to the lengthe brain. It was appareotly a little softened,
Pilke loss, M.D.-I saw Min of time the woman had been deal.
I had not seen her during her Mrs. King two or three hours before her death. post nortem examination. The stomess before that. I was present at the first there was nothing to seal it with. together it was sealed. Ligatures Next morning wben the jury were called The body did not present an eres were placed round each end of the stomach. The lower part of the right lobe of appearance. The liver was hardened. remaind- $r$ was in a healthy state of the lungs was slightly congested-the The inner coat of the stomach. The heart was bealthy, and the womb also. cause death. No one bad anything to slightly discoloured. I saw nothing to I was present when the liver was taken with the stomach exicept Dr. Proctor. Cross-examined. The liver taken out for Professor Croft. arsenic. I should think it would hardened I think by the absorption of such an effeet. It might be produced by large quantity of arsenic to produce large portion of poison ought to bed by a contlnuous taking of arsenic. A stomach was very slight. I did be found in the liver. The inflammation of the neck of the womb. The marks not discover any signs of ulceration in the weeks. was concerned. The medical testimony so far as the post-mortem examination re-assembling -- Ccurt adjourued fifteen minutes for refreshment. Upon Elizabetif Jane Lawson was examined.- I am the mother of the late Mrs. King. On the 14th of October I went to see my daughter, and found her very ill. The Doctor met me at the door of the house, and told me that she had the Doctor gave I went in, and she said she was a little better. Soon after, the spoon. She was very sick medieine. It was a pure white. It was mixed in The doctor said, "Keep it down, Annie." She tried to prevent herself vomiting. She vomited a great deal ; but Annie." She tried to do so, but could not. dark greenish colour. The vomiting better afterwards. The vomit was of a During her illness and alwaysafter taking thined fifteen or twenty mlnutes. We had to kcep a tumbler of taking the medicine, she was very thirsty. night. The prisoner was present, and water by her. I renained with her all house about $9 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$. The first dose was given for his wife. I went to the second about eleven. The next powder given soon after niue o'clock; the previously administered. My daughter had just the same effect as the one doctor said she could not get well. She told me on this, the first day, that the fiery tasted. In the presence of the prisoner ahp ained that the medicine was
cankered and ulcered, and that she could not get well. From that day until the day of her death, I was not absent from the house more than one hour. Dr. King constantly waited upon her, and said frequently, "I would give any other patient, Annie, more of this medicine than you." I saw the Doctor give medicine to my daughter after the visit of Dr. Fife, the evening before her death. He brought something in a tea-cup, and said "Here's Dr. Fife's good medicine, Annie." He told me to mix it with some water, and give it to her. She did not take it-slie put a little on the end of her tongue, and sald it was so fiery that she could not drink it. This was about eighto'elock. About an hour after the prisoner brought some of his own medicine. It was in a spoon shixed with water. It caused vomiting, and her father, who was there, said she could not live ; and that he must have a jury of doctors. He urged upon Dr prisoner to send for morc physicians. About twelve o'cloek he sent for Dr. Fife. My husband went for him. but found he had gone to Colborne. such a state thand came back, my daughter was very ill. She had got into said he knew what Gross wot not drink. Her father wanted Dr. Gross. King that sort. Father asked, would give her-opium, or camphor, or something of asked his wife if she would take it case her ; and King said it would. He then good. He then went rould take it. She said she would if it would do her always mixed the medicine in the offiee] Andiched soming in a spoon. [ He wanted to vomit. He held in the office.] Annie took it, and soon aftcr shoulder. She saide held her down on the bed, by putting his hand on her "Keep it down, Annie.", oh, I cannot, I eannot, I am dying." He saidgreenish hue; with little pieces of skited on the bed linen something of a said-" Now she has thrown it of skin in il. When she vomited, the Doctor it will not do her any hrown it off-she may have a little on her stomach, but her very little." "Yes," said the Dave some more." Father said-"Give Dr. Gross would give her." He Doctor, I will not give her a quarter what as he had given her bufore He went away and brought back about as much to sleep. I asked if she felt bet gave ber the medicine, and Annie was going did not wake again. ?? I recoller; she said she did. She went to slecp, and prisoner. I did not tell recollect finding a likeness in the coat poeket of the rumoured, and he denied havingt it had been found; I sald it had been so room, and was one he usually such a likeness. The coat hung in his wife's Vandervoort. I have usually wore. The likeness produced is that of Miss of hls wife. He paid she conversed with King respecting the pregnant state after her marriage I arked uleerated. She had $a$ child about twelve months clothes. He said it was iron him what made some black spots on her understate, and told me that irou in her blood, that her blood was in a very bad bad a out in my hand, I might not to wash them, but to burn them, as, if I Cross-examined-S she was takeu sick. She didnined of pains as if she was in labour, only after She complained of pain discharges of of pain after baving an iujection. She was troubled with she was alon copious nature. About three weeks before she was taken ill, was ulcerane at my house, and told me that her husband bail said her womb being alone with in such a state that ehe might drop off in the night, and he me this, she said I recollect somensidered strange. He had told her to tell examining her. She asklect some conversation taking place about Dr. Fife She did not decllne. She what was the use. She did not positively decline. matter with me-why send for Dr. Fife?" Dr. Fife examined wer but the her tongue. I remember my danzhter falling from the buggy ; she did not fall on her head. She thonght she did, but the Doctor said-" Oh, no; it was only my arm, Annie." She was not unwell after it; she drove down to Consecon the same day. The Doctor administered redicine four or five times
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a-day. It was always a white mixiure in a spoon. The dose of medicine he gave her, at about nine o'clock the evening before ber death, was like the rest. Weath the 100 m about an hour after she went to sleep, the night before her death. Father and I left the room about 1 o'clock, and went to bed ; the Dr. she had neuld wateh her. In the morning we went to her room, and the Dr. said he had to eve slept better. Her father spoke to her to wis', her good-bye, as would not wake again. I suid I dill not answer. He said he was afraid she her stomach with cold waid I will soon sec if she will awake, and I bathed sleep! I tried to wake her, and said she has no fecling - this is not a right her dear eyes, hut could not! calcd her ly her name. She tried to open
Re examined by Mr. Galt
daughter. There were spots onc underclothes produced belonged to my The way in which the mother them, which the Dostor cut out.
affecting. The prisoncr leaned over the side of gave her testimons was very firmly upon her, all the time she was give side of the boa: with his eycs fixed Norman Bethene. M.D., examined giving her evidence.
whole of the trial. The symptoms I hare been in the Cours during the caused by an irritant poison. The symp have heard described are such as are the same. When taken in large symptoms of arsenic vary, but the effect is amount of arsenic found in the stomech sometimes acts as a narcotic. The does not always produce redness girl who poisoned herself within the the stomach. In the case of a servant no redness of the stomach, although it wast twelre months at Yorkville. I found of poisoning by arsenic a first for it was full of the poison. The symptoms is a burning sensation at the throat, heavy pain by nausea. Then there retching, followed by purgation. I bave heavy pain at the stomach, constant described. A large dose of arsenic would hard the way in which deceased died Cross-examined-Thn fact of excessive produce such effects. female is the exception. I have excessive vomiting existing in a pregnant treat one. I have heard of the action of cases, but bave uever been called to administered in medical dhe action of cumulative poison-of poisous which, Strychinia is one, and digitalis anothe a cumulative effect, and produce death. was one of those also, but it is nother. It nsed to be considered that arsenic more than an eighth of a grain doubted. I have myself never administered at Yorkville, death ensued in arsenic at once. In the case I mentioned inflammation, bat I should look for it anr. I should not expect to find hours before. Arsenic does not produce headache poison bad been given 24 To a Jury-man-Continued vomiting would
Ed. M. Hodoer, M. D., examined I wrue produce headache.
I have heard described are those of agree with Dr. Bethune. The symptoms produce.
Cross-evaminet mo
issuc from a female in discharges I bave heard the mother speaking of might though I think not so capi earlier months of pregnancy, and no disease exist, sometimes, but not nccompanied Pregnant females are affected with vomiting of. I do not belierc that arsed with the burning sensation and thirst spoken very safe medicinc. I havenic is a cumulative medicine. I consider it a occasional Intermissions. I have sinued it in doses for two months, with has produced nausea. It is not, sometimes had to discontinue it, becanse it of ipccacuanlia admiaistered rill therefore, a cumulative poison. One grain up, it will do so. Orfla's opinions produce sickness, perhaps, but if followed denies the cumulative powers of have now been overthrown by Taylor, who attention to be given to the study of
To Mr. Galt, I do not study of poisons. symptom of poison by arsenic.

Dr. Betuone reealled. - Christison says that diffieulty in passing the urine sa sympton of arsenical poisoning. I knew a case myself, in Eingland, of two weeks' standing, corroborative of this.
Margaret A. Nix, an uunt of the late Mr. King, examined. - She described the symptoms of deconsed. and corroborated the "vidence of Mrs. Lawson. King administered the medicine generally. Unee I gave her some out of his hand. It was a white powder, and settled at the bottom of the spoon She anid it was like fire in her mouth. Twice, I recollect he gave her medicine which hat a comforting effect.

Cross-examined. - I did not state that she felt better after the dose I gave her, when I was before the Coroner. It was misunderstood, through my stating that I saw her better on two vecasions after the Doctor had given her medicin :. When sh: told me the Doctor said she was ulecrated she said she did not think she was so bad as he said She did not comp ain to me of uny discharge,
Joirs M. Lawsos-(father of Mrs. King).-I risited my daughter when I beard she was ill. I asked her husband wbat was the matter with hel. He said that she was cankered right through, and that her womb was nemrly closed up. He said before her face that $h$ r case was incurable-that she must abd would dic. I urged upon him to get ather doetors several times, He at lasu aid he would have Dr. Fife. I did not consider that he (Dr. Fife) did any good, and I wanted other doctors. Dr. King on these oecasions would get quite angry with me. The night before my daughter died I said, "Whlliam Heary, if God spares my life, I will have a jury of doetors in the mornning." He asked me who I should have. I said Dr. Gross for one. He said Dr. Gross was the greatest enemy he had, and that he knew what he would glve his wife. I asked what Dr Gross would give, and he said calomel or opium. Witness here detailed the circumstances of the death of deceased exactly as narrated by his wife.

Cross-examiued.-The last dose I saw him give her was about one o'clock. He said it was opium.

Sarail H. Young, examined.-I saw Mrs. King on the 14th. Dr. Klug told me that his wife bad complicated diseases, and was incurable. She was a healthy looking person. and about twenty six years of age. When I suggested that other physicians should be called in, he objected to it. He said he would not call in Dr. Gross, and as to Dr. Fite, he had called on him. In conversation with him about her, be told me that she was not to hismind-a very good wife to get money, but he would like her improved in many respects. Simos Davidson was again recalled, and produeed two letters which he took from Dr. King's trunk.
Melinda Freeland Vandervoort, examined.-I know the prisoner at the bar. I reeeived the letter produced from him. I sent him the likeness prodneed and the enclosed note.
Cross-examined.-Mrs. King asked me to send the likeness to ber. I never had any improper intercourse with Dr. King.
To Mr. Galt.-I directed the likeness to Dr. King. I thought that when I got the letter it was written for amusement. I sent him this letter in answer, for amusement !

Mr. Galt - (severely)-Go down-I must read these letters, but do not wish to do so in your presence !

The following letters were then read by the learned Counsel :-
(Enclosed with the portrait.)
Dr.-Plese inform me if you reeeive this. I arrived home safe and quil well
W. H. King, M.D.

Truly yours.
M. F. VAN.

Sweet inttle lidmp of good nattre, I for the arrival of the olject of $m y$ thouphate long lonked wrudent anxiety too much perseverance to pive up, sud als, Iat vegan to derparr. Sill, I had ing (Mouday) and found the most precious thiug to the P. O. Ihis mornearth. Better to me than all California. I winl wor (except the original) on it was, but could I indulge in the hope that those winning er, tell you what would ever be found in moy pussesion, all troubles would then gen al smiles purfect infatuation to me. Can you keep from sherificing rourself upon the hymeneal altar tor the next yur? I wish so. Now I aumat n luss to know whe ther to take this as a token of friendship or l-. Will you inform me which you mean it for, and if the latter it will certainly southe und refresh my drouping spirits? All you say shall be perfectly confidential. You need never have the teast suspicion of this coken being seeu or bandled tor any o'ber than its present possessor. Furthermore, if you corruspond with ree I will guarantee upon my word and honour that detection shall wever bappen Yru are theretiore, perfectly safe ; but ohl could I hut know whe her you could reciprucate my feelings or not. Mucl would I give to be assued on this point. It might give me the most exquisite juy, or it might cause me unter pain. Yet turs token shall cheer me many a time while riding through the lourly wild.

I must clain your indulgence that your sense of prop iety and goid taste will , ardon me for thus punctually giving exprension tu my feelinge. Do not betray the confidence I have reposed in you. OI I would likי to may a thousand things to you that flash throngh my imagination like a panoramie display, but I must not venture for the present. May I hear from that ol $j_{1}$ et all de ur to "g h-? Why is it so yon might ask? Well, I would like to tell that some other time.

Please accept ten thousand thanks for such a treasure as I received this morning. It shall always remain in mg possession uuless called for by lis Identity.
OI those luvely smiles, so plainly delineated. I must think you meant for something. I cannot pussilily he deceptions. They have told ycu en ugh that you may judge pr- tty ne irly where my h-is now could you be induced to tell te whrre your's is? 0 do!

You $w$ ll observe that this lettur is annnymous for frar somehody might get your krys and read it; as it is, if they should. they would not be wistr, but uy name ahull re-. Yon know whom it is from just us well as if my name was aparnded. Do you remember -, and ce, ec, uld "guing to Califurnia." Wu:ll, when you write sigu Vun. Do not judge of my lilirary atain. ments from the style of there hast! thrown together sent nees, for I pard more attention to ideas than style. Come and vidit us whenever you can. __ is very sick-last night we thought she would die.

## Your sincere l--,

Dear Doctor,- The time has come for me to respond.
Your's of the 10th inctuit came to hate in good repair. and exceedingly pleased was I while peru-ing its contents. It is with much pl-n'ure, hut at the same time with in degre e of eminurasement, that I imbrace the opportunity to write youl one. I frel an unisial warmth of friendship for you, and n.t heing in the buthit of po traying my weakuess hy way of the pen, expect to find It no easy task-h wever, bope it will ho accept.d. I burdly knew in what manner to addreas you, as clicumstances art with you, it appeare almost in valn fir me to thiuk only as a friend of you. Yel sumething seems to whlsper, " still hope."
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Since I first had the pleasure of an introduction, my heart is constantly with you, and I'm not contented a moment 01 could I forever he with you; I think I should be happy, for indeed I enjoyed myself to excess during my stay in your presence, though suppose now I must eradicate such thoughts from my interesting society. Ware and my destiny must be to love and not share your ship, although of short pe are forme distance apart, yet trust our ties of friendsever. Perhaps you'll pardon my are such as not to allow time or distance to you have unlinked the tend my familiarity when you come to realize that influence over my girlish nature. cord of affection until you have an alarming

One smile one, from your e pu
my bosom never felt by me finance can inspire a depth of veneration in consider it very wrong for me tor any individual. Well now, Dr., don't you it would destroy "Annie's" his correspond with you. I'm afraid if known ton, I would much rather be happiness, and for instance, if I was in her posiwith another, though perhaps you grave than suffer the idea of your intimacy pastime, so I hope you'll not contiuerely express some of your ideas to me for may prove to be something very serious I for I am easily flattered. and it has not returned as yet. In very serious. I am very lonely. My "sister" sing. Please answer, if you deity well, and hope you enjoy the same besI hope you'll not criticise.
Your unwavering,


VAN.
While the let ers were being read the prisoner showed no sense of shame bat rather seemed to share in the am casement which could not be repressed.
Join II. Vandervoort, examined. - The prisoner came to my house in Sidbey, about ten occlock at night, on the 8th November. I had never seen him He knocked at the door I asked ever saw him. I was in bod when he came. a message for your daughter." I cal was there -he said, "A friend, who has shook hands with him He said I called my daughter, who came down and took her into another room They inessage must be delivered in private, and hour. I went and asked if that message was a dom and remained there an By and by they came out. Kat message was delivered yet. They said no. died; that they had got her body up to me that his wife had unfortunately my daughter, which had been found in his in consequence of a likeness of for his apprehension, and for thai of his coat pocket, a warrant was issued him to take her to her aunt's on the of Melinda also. He urged ne to allow went. She returned in about three week's ide. I consented to this, and she Cross-examined.-King did not say anything. son's threatening to shoot my daughter. Elizabeth Vandervoort, wife of last not swear to the prisoner. The per last witness, examined -Said she could that he was accused of poisone person who took away her daughter told her Clinton M. Lawson examined his wife, but that he was innocent. have seen this portrait before. I sam the brother of the late Mrs. King. I day on which my sister died. This, it on the Saturday preceding the Thursletters, excited ing, suspicion. Sitter died on Thursday the feuding of other Sunday. On Monday I complained to the Coroner. 1 was not was buried on inquest. The Coroner grave ane to the Coroner. 1 was not present at the Kingston on Friday, and from thence to fane to arrest Ur. King. I went to got at the Post-office, I went six or eight mile Vincent. From information I by a man named Bate. Gordon, the United States Marshal to a house kept house. I stopped before I got there, so that I States Marshal, went into the been in three minutes when Dr. King jumped might not be seen. He had not
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him. He ran towards the woods, but as I was after 'im quick, he turned into a barn. We went in and found him under the straw in a hog's nest. Cross examined.-- I had a revolver; I said he must be shot if he ran. A lawyer told me that I had no right to take him, and told hius so too. Mr. Cameron.- And yethe came.
Witness (with great determinatiou).-Well, I guess he did!
Mr. Galt.-Didu he come willingly?
Witness.-No, Sir; no, sir-eell
Gerard O. Clabk, a constable examined.-The prisoner told me that he had given his wife poison, but that be had not given her arsenic. He admitted that he had given her morphia and hellebore. I took a number of letters from a trunk of the prisoner's.
Cross-examined. - The conversation took place on the 14 th November. He said he never gave his wife any arsenic ; that if it was given to her at all, some ore else must have given it to her.

Mr. Galt.-That is the case for the Crown, my Lord

## EVIDENCE FOF THE DEFENCE.

Mr. Cameron was afraid he could not get through to-night ; he bad eight witnesses to examine, and would be glad if his Lordship would adjourn. The application was refused.
Charles J. Hempel, M.D., was first called. When the eath was admin istered, several jurymen declared that he did net kiss the book. They therequite made him do it twice or thrice, to make certain. The cpisode created Medica and Therane in the jury box. He said-I am Professor of Materia know the prisoner. He stiudied under meqpathic College of Pennsylvania. I pathic practice is this-for the under me tivo sensons. The gist of Homœoif taken by a healthy persen, would produce we administer medicines which, called upon to prescribe for a disease which has exactly the very same symptoms as those produced by arsenic, and which might be considered by persons who did not know it was a disease, to be a case of arsenical poisoning. Thus, in cholera morbus the symptoms occur, for which a physician would prescribe arsenic : and the symptoms of arsenic, if prescribed in a large dose, would ing the illness of symptoms of cholera morbus. The tirst symptoms attendmorbus. The nausea and vight be occasioned by an attack of cholera natural results of pregnancy. These symptems have been considered as the five or six weeks after conception; they smems are generally found to exist during the first five or six months of pregnancy exist during the whole term, or think that deceased could have of pregnancy. In my judgment I do not Professor Croft found, without learlng more marge dose of arsenic at once as inner surface of a stomach in a healthy state morks of inflammation. The appearance of gocd bick cream. An ine would have pretty much the would have the appearance of cranberry inflamed stomach, on the contrary, pathic treatment I have given as much as one-fifth deep red colour. In homoecase of Asiatic cholera, and have, repeated that a grain of arsenic in the times in the course of forty-eight repeated that dose from twelve to fifteen recovered. A dose of arsenic to act narcotically patients have done well, and To produce such a result, I should think not ly would have to be very great. grains would have to be administered. dose as that. when analyzing the stomach should $\cdot$ xpect to find, after such a fatal results follow the comulation mach, at least sixty grains. I have seen same effects have followed from effects of dig tal:s, but not of arsenic. The efects have followed from medicinal doses of strychnine. From the
evidence I have heard, I think tne decensed might have died in consequence of her nervous system having become exhausted ; or she might have died from from cumulative effects of arsenic. The discharges might have been produced Crisease in the neck of the womb, or by local irritation.
Cross-examined-Dr. King was in our collyge two sesslons; he was one of our best students. Arsenic is used in homœopathic practice. The giving of infinitessimal doses is not the principle upon which homoopathy is founded. in consider Dr. King was competent to practioe after studying for two sessions in our college. There are seven chairs, all of which he had to attend. Hi standiad our professors that his medical knowledge was np to the required give arsenic in prisoner took his degree in March, 1858. It is expedient to inamination. From cases of vomiting. I am not satisfled with the post-mort.m whether ulcers existed or namination they made, the physicians could not tell without external inf or not. The womb might be ulcerated in the interior internal examination iammation existing. If ulceration existed, the least pregnancy. Three graing revenl it. Ulceration might have been caused by but six or ten grains have been taken wi arsenic will suffice to produce death; the state of the system. I do not think the this result-much depends on poisonous doses, but from tho not think the deceased culed from arsenic in opinion, the weight of evidence is in favour effects of several do es. In my lative poison. Dr. cutaneous diseases in large portions. Thetebrated physician, pives it in quarter of a graiu, six or eight times in two largest dose given by him is a longit would remain in the system before it ber three days. I cannot say how of giving one-fifth or one quarter of a grain to a womminated. I never heard moderate doses of arsenic first in treating a do a woman in fever. I would give is very apt to occur in cases of arsenical a disease which required it. Thirst been given as medicine in the present case poisoning. The arsenic may have taining globules, marked Are present case. The arsenic in this bottle, conin this way-one grain of arsenic is $m$ the second trituration. It is produced One grain of this in its turn is mixed with ten grains of sugar of milk. so that one hundred globules of Arvenic 2 would of arsenic. Arsenic 2 could no Arvenic 2 would be necessary to make one grain nated, in consequence of the mixtre in the system; it would become elimithis way may cumulate. I never mire of sugar of milk. Arsenic prepartd in in homœopathic practise wither, knew of arsencous acid being administered endeavour to stop short of symptoms of prepared with sugar if milk We the deceased were attributed to arsenical poison. I think fome symptoms of vomiting, retching, and nausea Benical poinon. Hellebore might produce affecto the liver. Deceased must have toina might affect the brain ; arsenio Re-examined by Mr. Cameron - Purging sone arsenic. arsenic. The fall of deceased wourging is $n$ usual effect of poisoning by dent mi.ht not have any ser ous rin likely aygravate her inalady. The accithen bave induced vomiting. sinking, enervalion and werks hal elapsed, and
To a Juror Helleb ris might be used in pre and coma, resulting in death.
A. H. Fland-ry, M. D., of Pe used in pre nancy iuca-es of voming. chair of Chemistry and Toxicologry in phia, was next examined. I hold the not know the prisunur. I have of lecures. I practised allopathy for the College only during the last courso during the day, a id I have heard the eleven years. I have been in cuurt have never met with a case of arsene rymptoms of dec-asurd describe I. I mirle experimeats nu er's aud dugs ins poiso siug in human beings, but havo I have used arsenic in duses of ons in class, and think the eymptoms sim lar. expect to find a stomach into which lurge to oue-lifth of a graln. I should than that of the deceased. It is a conceded fact buen taken, more influncd
animals are like those in humau beings. Much Intlammation usually appears in thes omach of brutes after the adninistration of arsenio. I should myself in prescribing for a person who exhibited symptoms liko those of d ceased during pregnancy, have used arsenic. I should expeet to flnd ulceratlo i when copious discharges were made, as in the caso of deceased. The syinptoms could not be accounted for on any other hypotbesis. The uloeration of the neck of the womb, with cancerous tendency, would be very dangeronn during pregnancy.

Cross-examined - The most satisfuctory reason I can nssign for the death of the deceased was the fall from the buggy. It is a very obsenre case. Inceonut for the presence of arsenic in this way-Professor Croft said that it was Jooso in the stomach, which leads me to thlnk it was put in after denth-(hisking in the court, which was suppressed)-otherwise it would be in a state of paste, and would stick to the stomach. The death of Mrs. King might have resul ted from medicinal disease. The best toxicoiogists think that arsenic In a cumulative poison. Purging is a constant symptom of arsenical polsoning-ainiost universal. Arsenic might have got into the liver from small medicinal dones. It could not from one large dose

Mr. Galr-Do you set your opionion against that of Dr. Croft, a man who is well kuown in your own country, and has had cases on your slde of the water as well as this?

Witness-Professor Croft is not a physician, Sir! I gruluated in Phlimelphia in 1850. I attended two conrses of medicine. I never saw arsenic la a human being at all. I did not understand that Professor Croft said bo exumined cases of poisoning by arsenlc.
Mr. Galt-You have not seen cases of poisoning by arsenic in man or woman, but you have poisoned cats and dogss?
Wirness-Yes, sir. (Subdued laughter.)
Mr. Galit-And you thiuk it more likely that the fall from the buggy caused the death of deceased, than the arsenic found in her stomach? Wirvess-I do think so. (Murmurs.)
Mr. Galt-Then I have nothing more to say to you-you may gol
Thomas Nicol, M.D., of Simeoe, C.W., examined-I have been practining for three years. I have experimented wilh arsenic upon ingself. It is frequently used in homœonathic practice. I have given one-tenth of a grala in intermittent fever. I do not think the quantity of arsenlo found in the stomach of deceased was sufficient to produce the symptoms of narcosis described. It is quite insuticient to produce narcosis. At least nlacty graing are necessaiy. It mnre frequent y takes two buadred than a smaller quantity. A teaspoon might hold fit y grains; a table spoon about five hundred and thirty grains. I believe arsenic to be a cumulative poinon. Iu 1855, I experimented on myself. I took, each day for twenty-one days, three dores of oae-third of a grain. I took altogether seven and a half graing. 1 had no symptoms until the eighth day, The symptom* were thirst and voniting, accompanied with violent purging, and symptoms resembling intermitteat fever. They continued for twenty one or tiventy-two days after I had ceased to take the arsenic. I have also tested beliadonia, which; aftiratime, produced symptoms ilke paraiysis of the legs. I consider the canse of the death of the deceased was the shock of her nervous system by the fall from the bugsy. If arsenic had beeu administered twenty four hours hefore her death initamation would bave been developed. The stomach should bave exbibited.a brilliant red, brightening every day after dealh. Arsenic, administered in several smali doses, has an antiseptic effect upon a dead body; it teuds to prevent decomposition. In one large dose, it induces putrefaction. I. was acquaiited with the pr soner at College, and boarded with him during one of the sessinns which he attended.

Cross-examined-The fali from the bngay might be an adjutant, but not a primary cause of denth. Rnervation might be. It in a stomach where twelve other causes of were found there was no inflamination. I should look for medioal students urually stindy chemistry.
The Conrt then adjourned, at about half-past six.

## SEC(NNI) DAY-WEDNESDAY.

The Court opened at balf-past nine $o^{\circ}$ clock.
Menry Belford was the first withess cailed. He sain-I bave krown the prisoner at the bar twelve or fourteell years. I am the Reeve of the township years of age. In the earlir suppose the prisoner to be alront twinty-five Subsequently, he taught to the Normal School in Toronto, and thent four months. After that, be wen: a very hlgh opinion of the prizoner's taught in Hamilton. I have formed intercourse with him. He has alwase secharacter. I have bad frequent morallty.

Richand De:Lova, examined-I reside in Brighton. I bave known the prisoner since the spring of 1858 . He attended my family. I was satisfied with the skill and knouledge he displayed. He was in attendance on my family for about six weeks. llis treatment was satisfactory nad ruccessful.
Another witness was called to testify to the chatacter of the prisoner, but Mr. Cambron then proceence for the defence whs here closed. May it please four Lordehip - Gentlemen jury for the defence. He said investigation you have given to this trial men of the Jury-After the patient bear with me, if, in the observations I shall am quite aure you will be ready to more time than is ustanlly takeil in the addrese, I shall appear to take up the circumstances of this case are so the addresses I deliver to juries, because careful an examination, that no time thar and so important, and require so Judge, or by you can be said to be thrown can be given by myself, by the of those circumstances depends the life aray, when on the investigation There is nothing which men are calne life or death of a fellow-creature. than the duty devolving upon us at thion to do which is more important painful than that of men, who sit, like you, to de. There is no position more the course of an individual like yoursely, you, declare by their verdict, what if the verdiet is given against him, which hall be for the few hours or days of the law be carried into effect. Our duty we must live, should the sentence a duty we must perform faitbfilly, becaus we must perform carefully. It is a being in health like ourselves ry, because we know that the life or death of tlemen, that being so, I bave a right to the course we may take. Then, genwhich your own sense of justice, your own you in the firet place to do that powers will induce you to do; to dismiss intelligence, your own reasoning shape of prejudice; to dismlse frem your from your minds everytbing in the heard out of doors; anything that may bavellections all that you may bave not been proved bere; and to recoll may have been stated as a fact which has been given by the witnesses in that bect that it is upon the evidence which has law calls upon you to pronomnce upon, and upon that evidence alone, that the I ask you--I requise you to bring that kind of ence or gullt of the prlsoner. indispensubly necessary for the g that kind of calm consideration to the case, have been broight to ligh ${ }^{\prime}$. proper balancing of the different facts which haps from projudice - perhaps from thow that there have ben-per. must bave, arisen in the minds of the natural irritation which
ceased-I know that there have been spread through that part of t'e country from which the prisoner has come, niatements both prejudicial and wrong. I know that there bave been publications In a newspaper of that locality, which must have tended strongly to prejudice the prisouer in the minds of the people of the surrounding country, anel tended grestly to prevent that kind of falr play every man is entitled to who trusts himself to God and his country. Ik ow that in a paper published in Brighton a great deal had ween stated that was not proved before you yesterday ; and when I know that, I am sure you will bear with me wheu I tell you that anything you may have heard from what others have stated must be plaved entirely on one side. The effect of these tales are only to bring more or less a prejudicial influence against the prisoner ; aud while putting before you the facts, ns far as I can dissect them from the evidence, I press upon you not to allow naything whlch you have henrd out of doors, in the leait degree in the world, to uffect the verdict you will be called on to give-to judge sincerely truly, and honestly upon the ovidenoe alone. I know trom the expmioneo I huve had during these As sizes, that we are fortunate in having upon this jury men of intell gence who will brigg the best of their reasoning powers fully to bear on all the facts of this case, and judging from the verdicts you luve already given, I fuel certain that honesty of purpose will be carried by you into your jury room, and what ever may be the issue-if for the life-or if for the death of the prisoner, I feel I may rely with oertainty upon your careful consideration. For are the facts again $t$ him in this trial? I do not think they are. There ure facts which the prisoner's own unfortunate conduct has placed against him, without the presence of which I would feel no more hesilation in demanding a verdict of acquittal, than I would in any other ordinary case which had been tried during this assizes ; put these one or two circumstances awny, and it would be impossiblo for yon to find a verdict against the prisoner. My learned friend, yesterday, placed before you, in a way which did him the highest cridlt, the facts of the ease, calmly, clearly, and honestly. Hu attempted to make no impression he ought not to have made. He felt it his duty to see that the law was properly administered ; that the law recoguized the principle that every man was to be considered innocent until proved guilty. And in the recognition of that fact, be felt it was not part of his duty to allege one single fact or circnmstance until, established by evidence. I am sure it could not have been otherwise than the admiration of the eourt to see that no amount of feeling was alloved to enter into his address. Let us first take from the commencement of the case, that with which my learued friend set out, and let us ask ourselves as a preliminary point, a point unon which I lay great stress-of the manner in which the stomach of the demased was placed, and the circumstances attending its transmlasion from Brighton to Torouto. In trials of this nature, much depends upon the identity of the substance submitted to cbemical analysis. Nothing is more necessary than that the strictest and clearest proof shall be given, that the substance submitted to the chemist shill be in exactly the same state as when taken from the body, and that nothing sball be allowed to mingle with it, which would in the least degree tend to make any change beforehand of any part of the human body, intended for chemical analysis. The rule of law with regard to this is so plain; so clear, so distinct and so well laild down, that I cannot do better than quoteo thyou the anthority of a man who by lawyers azd physicianis on hoth sides of the Atlantic is admitted to be the best authority upon Medical Jurisprudence this age has produced. The learned Coursel here quoted from Taylor's Medical Jurisprudence, Insisting upon the conditions already mentloned by him (Mr. Cameron) and mentioning a striking case, in whieh it was remarked, that in one case a stomach had been put into a jar hastlly borrowed from a neighbouring grocer's shop, and as the jar could not be shewn to
he clean, the evidence was held to be insufficient. If also mentioned that a private seal should be placed upon the package. Now, the Crown told us that previous to glyng the package containing the stomach to Mr. Barker, it was sealed in this wity-the stomach was first put into a pickle jar and corked. This cork was sealed with a penny picce. The bottied was then placed in browa puper, which was seaicd also ; and then putinto a box, which in Its turn was sealed with three common wafer seals. Professor Croft said it whs threns seals on the was sealed-that the paper was not sealed, and that the -easy of replacement if broken. How did the paper ret the kind described the paper which was sealed by the coroner get broken open? How did it happen that that parcel which the coroner put into the box, with a seal upon it, was received by Prefessor Croft without a seal? Then again, gentlemen, from the school-room whe coroner teld us that Lefore the bottle was removed and that the professional men inquest was held, it was stopped with a cork, took this stomach to Kingaton who examined the body, and Mr. Barker, whothat bottle, tied down by a string - state there was nothing but paper put over himself went out and pirstring - and you will remember Barker said that he morning he took it to Kingston. the cork tiat was placed in the bottle the tant character. I wish you to bear them in discepancies of a nost imporsingle thing thnt is not borne out them in mind, because I do not state one of Taylor on this point. and I tell you the layce. I read to yon the opinion necessary to the safety of every man who is accused of poisoning where that is to be determined by a chemienl analysis of a portion of the human body. The stomach, once in the hands of Professor Croft, I think no oljection can. be shown to the inedical knowledge which produced the results we have seen; because probably I should flad it difficult, not merely within the borders of our own country, but even in the Old World to find a man more competent to perform the duties of chemical analysis than Professor Croft. He bears came, and thigh reputation in this land, but also in the land from which he which soiencs has provided, to whin in the rpplication in any of the tests selves in that position, hovere which oljection can be made. Putting ourfact of the presence of arsenic, we have to be convinced not merely of the and unless we are certain of that, no conviction can was the cause of death, men, we have to consider what are the effects of poisenine in those effects so entirely unlform are the effects of poisening in this way. Are sible ever to be mistaken in their appeared a description that it is imposeffect? And if to, is such an effect consistent with the arsenic a cumulative oner? What are the usual effects of poiscont with the innncence of the pristo pressure, nausea and vomiting, followed hy his niescription? Tenderness other It has been shown, that except io crine of some character or where these symptoms have been prupent after death, merely inflammation of the intestines, but almos life, that there has been not the tissues of the body. And when you prove these symally, inflammation of then you have to ask yourselves, whe prove these symptoms so described, human frame which offer symptoms of simere are diseases incident to the these symptoms consistent with the innocence of character ; and if so, are before us there is an entire have not bad one single word of testimons beginning to end of parging. We ;eeks, to show that there was any'purging, in this casc existing over. Ihree if the dosensed alica from arsenical purging at all; a matter quite impossible of anothe yaptom, and no one wousoning. There is also an entire absence ist who axalysed the body. that the presence of an to admit than the chemand weeks, conld not exist in the stomach witlout an irritant poison for days
ed that a d us that er, It was corked. laced in h in Its id It was that the lescribed Iow dill - did It cal upon atlemen, removed a cork, er, who at over that he tie the importe one opinlon suthaliy - that body. on cal : seen ers of petent bears ch be tests g ourof the death, entleAre mposlative prisrness er or eath, a not on of ibed, the are case We tree ible snce emlays of
an extensive character; so extensive that It could not by any possibility be mistaken. The stomach examined by l'rolessor Croft, he tells us, was of a healthy eharacter, with a siight blush, but without the least symptom of having been subject to an irritant poison for many weeks. I say many weeks, because we have, during all these neeks. the same symptoms exhibited day by day-exhiblted to the last. Three wetks before the denth of ilceased, wo have perslsteat vomiting, continuing from the time she was firsb Ili to the day of her leath. In not one instrace has it been showa in cases of arsenical poisoning that the stomach has presented a healthy appearance, unlers death has easned in less than ten hours. I canno find a single instance where the stomsch did not offer a highly fuffamed sur face, and inore frequently still of actual uleers. But there is a sunposition that the poivon was takeu, he night betore the death of deceased leetween eight and nine oclock - I think the mother said a later time-and the feath eusued abont twe ty-three hours afterwards. There is no cnse on record of a person falling into a slate of coma, where the close of arsenic has been less than ninety grains, and as in this case there $w i t 3$ not a symptom of vomiting after the last administ ration, even allowing lor ellmination, there can bo no question that, instead of eleven or twelve grains belag found. there ought to have been tifty or sixty a leas. This brings us to another supposition. The deceased was gone with child four or five inou ths. She had been suffering from some irregularity, of a ch. racter certainly calculated to make any woinan feel that there mnst have been somethiag the matter with the neck of the womb. For wecks before she was taken ill. her mother spoke of it as being someching onusual. This position was, as I will show to you, precisely that in which many womeu have been during pregnancy. There has been a oonstant vomiting, sometimes of a greenieh liquid, sometımes of a blackish, attended with every one of the symptoms described by the witnesses. If, in addition to this, I show you that the ettlects of a fall-of the very least concassion of the brain may go on quietly untii coma and death easues, then I shall have mado out a case, independent of other circurastances, that will entitle me to claim a verdict of acquittal for the prisoner. Mr. Cameron hero road from "Churchill on the Diseases of Women." It said. in effect, that nausea and vomiting might commence soon after conception, and be continned until gestation. The fluid vomited might be yellow, green, blue, or black, depending upon the condition of the mecous membranc. There was a case given in which, though the rurface of the neck of the womb had a bealthy aypearance, when cut it was found to contain matter. Another case was mentioned, of a woman, four months pregnant, who died with symptoms exactly the same as those of the wife of the prisoner. True, there was no arsenio found in the stomach of any of those woman, and thereforo we have to meet the stand taken by the prosecution in his cass. It would be observed the there were two schools of medicine-the Homoeopathic and Allopathic. Homneopathy is nota system of infinitesimal doses, as has been generally supposed. Dr. Hempe! repudiated the idea. It is a system based upon the maxim similis simlo-upon the principle that those medicines which would produce the same kind of symptoms in a bealthy body, that the body is suffering from, are most likely to effect a care. There is no doubt, then, that according to this theory, the prisoner adopted the treatment which ought to be adopted. To say thai there has been mal practice is one thing-to eay that he has been guilty of murder is another. If the douct ho administered were too large, he is guilty of mal-practice but not of murder. But can this poison so administered have a cumulative effect That effect is thus explained. A small dose of polson may be given, which though innocent in Itself, yet by the ldionyncrafy of some particular body. this poison may cumulate in the sys em, and cause death-although the remedy was the particular
remedy which ought properly to be administered. The case oin a Swedish sailor, to whom strychnine had been administered in this way, was here quoted ; and a celebrated French writer--whose name Mr. Cameron did not give, caid that in the same way as lead might cumulate in the system, and produce aralysis and death, so might arsenic. Beeksmith was quoted to on this point at tho trial of Potimer dwelt upon the contrary opinions given old school were not opposed divided one against the other hommopathists, but by allopathists, and were on continued, you gentleinen must consider conflicting opinions, Mr. Camersistent with the innocence of the prisoner. Whether there is anything consaid that the prisoner told him that he had The last witners who was called at al. When firss questioned be said, the treated his wife with arsenic way as to conve, the meaning that it had not beent was made in such a ous doses. Evidently he had mistaken the impen administered in poisonWe had evidence that the decestaken the import of the prisoner's words. which might have ljeen caused by was troubled with a copious discharge oner requested his wife to allow Dr. Fife to mate a persogina. The prisbut her delicacy shrank from it She Fife to enmace a personal examination, under these circumstarices was not willing confidence in her husland, and place. From the beginning to the end of thg the examination should take show that theso partie+ lived unhappily the case, we have not one word to bickerings existed between them. Not on together; that any jealousy or lable of that sort. If the case had stood engle word, not one single syl was unnecessary to go further int stood entirely here, I would bave felt it often foolish; and the prisoner at the matter. But, gentlemen, men are press against the mpisoner is the fact of has acted foolishly. What does you, having been found in the possession of the prisoner have been read to anderstand how the parents of that to a foreign country in the dead of night, by a man who was to them a perfect stranger. The only thing which can be said, is, that the prisoner did not dishonour the girl, because we have the faet proved that no improper intimacy took place. We have also proved that these two were only acquainted a little more than three weeks before the death of the prisoner's wifo, and yet his supposed affection for this young woman is assigned as the reason which induced him to get rid of his wife. The learned counsel in forcible language urged the improbability of the prisoner being actuated to commit so great a crime from so slight a motive, and after a glance at the efforts made by him to elevate himself above the condition of a mere tiller of the soil, concluded by appealing to the jury to welgh well the evidence aud to give his client the benefit of any reasonable doubt that might exist.
Mr. Galr replied - When addressing the jury yesterday, he had not felt it necessary in the discharge of hls duty to press the case before he had clearly and distinctly laid all the evidenc before the court. It. was now before them, would take up the painful duty to draw their attention to the facts, Ho Mr. Oameron had's first commented learned friend as he had delivered them. beon delivered to Professor Croft upon the way in which the stomach had by Taylor for the purpnse of preserving every one single particular, required When taken from the body, had been corve stomach in the state that it was the bottle in which the stomach was plaplied with. The Coroner said that himself. Tay lor laid it áown that the vessels in frst of all washed out by placed should be clean. There was essels in which the intestines were er's evidence and that of Dr. Was a slight discrepancy between the Coronor's version was the correct one but it seemed probable that the Ccronatomach in a bottle, which bottie he corked reason : he snid he placed the
of brown paper, and in that state carried it in his pocket from the school honse where the inquest was held, to Mr. De Long's, a clistance of three and a half miles. The botile was placed in a small cupboard in the wall ; the key of which was given him by the landlord, who said he had no other. This key the Coroner put into the pocket of lisis pantaloons, which be did not take off at all that night, as he sat up a long time makiog out the papers respecting the inquest. When he weat back to the cupboard he said the bottle was in exactly the same position and in the same state as when he placed it there the preceding night. He then took it to the room where the jury were assembled. A bowl was there procured, which be washed out himself and Into which be emptied the stomach. From the evidence it did not appear that any human being touched it then. It was replaced in the bottle, secured in oison was described, and delivered to the Corouer. Why insinuate that ing-up to the timed the stomach? Up to the time of the prisonel abscondoccurred to the mind pointed the evidence of guilt against himself, it never wife. Could it enter into person to charge him with baving poisoned his diabolically wicked that he could have placed could any person be found so woman, with the idea that upon being discovered it in the stomach of that chemical analysis being made, lead to a charge of murder againt thards, upon a That any one could have done it, if so minded, he murder against the prisoner ? was one argument which was unanswerable. If arsenic could denied. But there in the stomach, it eould not bave been placed in the liver chave beeu placed tiou of nature. And would it not have been a liver. That was an operahad arsenic been placed in the stomach of the deceased if subsequently, when the liver came to be examined, arsenic should be found there too? For how should the man who placed the poison in the stomach know that that identical poison would be found in the liver also? Having arrived at this poiut, it was necessary to consider how the arsenic did come to be in the stomach. Mr. Cameron had urged as a very strong proof in favour of the prisoner, the difference in the symptoms. Every medical witness who had been examined, alleged that vomiting, and burning, and thirst, were symptoms of arsenical poisoning. But still his (Mr. Gall's) learned friend had spoken of the absence of purging. Iset the jury recollect that the witnesses who spoke to these symptoms were women. They were never asked a question on this point, and were not likely to tell of such a symptom without being asked. If Mr. Cameron intended to raise as a defence the plea that areenic was not present, he should have asked the question himself. It was not for him (Mr. Galt) to do it. The arsenic was found in the stomach-it was there. But even without that, there was one symptom which could not fail to carry convletion to the mines of every one. Mrs. Lawson said that when the vomit came out thon the bed clothes, there was a sort of small ekins mixed with it, and when the medical evidence for the detence was given, we were told that that was one of the symptems of arsenical poisoning. Then as to the narcotic effect of would be required a great deal about the large quantity of arsenic which ing of the last medicine produce coma. It was very singular we had heard nothto his wife. The last dose of old Mr. Lawson stated he saw the prisoner give she immedlately fell into a medicine given to that woman was opium, and ces attending the last hours of that insensiblity. What were the circumstanfore her death, she appeared much better. She couversed withe evening beDr. Fife, who saw her, said she was not in a dangerousersed with her mother. dose of medicine was given to her about nine o'clock at night, It occasion every possible symptom of arsenical polsoning. and then at one o'clock in the morning the prlsoner gave her opium. The way in which the came to her dealh was thle-arsenic was the poison the prisoner used to occasion her
death, but she passed out of this world through the effects of opium, having at the same time an amount of arsenic in her stomach which must have produced dealh. To his (Mr.;'Galt's) miad, Dr. Nichol's evidence proved entirely the reverse of what he intended. He said he took arsenic for twenty one days -that for the first eight days he did not feel much effect from it. But afterwards he began to suffer from the effects, with all the symptoms of arsenical poisoning. If that were so, and if his theory were correct, that arsenic would accumulate, he must bave had six or seven grains in his system. If the effect of the poisen was accumulative, this would have killed him, as two grains and a half would produce fatal effects. Mr. Galt here quoted cases from books which Mr. Cameron had used, against this cumulative theory. Professor Hempel, who was brought bere on the part of the defeace, said he never knew the cumulative cffects of arsenic to produce death, thongh he had read of such cases. The way in which the prisoner had spoken to his wife of her discase, saying that she could not survive her coutinement ; that he wished her to tell her mother of her trouble, lest she should drop off snddenly, when it might be considered strange, next passed in review. Then the learned counsel read the letters produced on the first day of the trial, and asked the jury to notice the important fact that King's letter to siss Vandervoort was dated the 101 h of October. That alciongh he said ia that letter that " $\qquad$ was very ill," evidently alluding to his wife. she was not sick until four days afterwards. When Miss. King died, why did the prisoner ran away? There was no charge mide against him. And when he did determine to abscond, why did he go to Vandervoort's-why did be take that girl with him to Cape St. Vincent? Was that tbe conduct of a man who believed be had treated his wife medicinally with ar enic? When at St. Vincent a United States officer, altogether unknown to him, asked at the door of the house if there was anyone there from Brighton, and immediately the prisoner jumped through a wiodow, and made for the woods. Was that conduct consiscent with innocence? Did it not sbow a guilty knowledge in the man's mind? The learned gentleman concluded by recapitulating the heads of his arguments in a clear and concise form, which could not fail to impress them upou the minds of the jury.
His Londsurp in charging the jury remarked that the question had been so fully laid before them by the learned counsei, that there remained but littlo for him to say, beyond reading the evidence aud making such few remarks as seemed necessary. It was his opiuion that Barker was right respecting the cork not being in the bottle until the morning when he took it down to Kingetor. Professor Croft said that there was but slight signs of inflammation ; although it usually followed the administration of arscaic, six or eight hours after death. This was certainly a circumstance in favour of the prisoner, but it must also be taken into consideration that another medical witness said that this would not be the case in all circumstances. The learned Judge then read on without any remark the evidence, until be came to that of Mrs. Lawson, the mother of the deceased, which, he said, required much careful consideration. The jury would remember that she, havlug the idea that the prisoner occasioned the death of her daughter, might be expected to entertain some feeling againat him. The jury would see how far her testimony was borne out by other witnessess. and how much they could uareservedly accept. With respect to the fall from the buggy, according to the mother, it affected her daughter very little, and she drove on to Consecon the same day. She did not complain of any illness until three weeks after this-about six weeks before her death. It was important to note the exact position of affairs, because much stress was laid upon the matter for the defence. Mr. Lawson sald that the prisoner had declared to him in the presence of his wife, (the deceased) that she must die; that she could uot survive ter con-
finement. This was a strange remark for a husband to make to hls wife. Certainly be was her physicia`, and it was the duty of a medical attendant to apprise his patient when in a dangerous condition. Sarah Anue Yonge swore that the prisoner bad declared to her, on the first day of her illness, that his wife did not suit him in many respects. The jury would also notice that the words in the letter of the prisoner to Miss Vandervoort, where he said " was very ill last aight"-four or five days before there was anything the matter with her, as far as her family kaew. The jury would remember King went to Vandervoort's and remained, late at night, closeted with the girl. What passed on that occasion we knew not. Neither party examined Melinda Fandervoort, or put any questions with respect to what had taken place, but the interview occurred. It would be seen that these gentlemen who gave medical evidence for the defence, were all of the Homcopathic school, and it appeared that they frequently administered arsenic as medicine. Dr. Hempel agreed with Professor Croft, that arsenic, to find its way into the liver, must be administered during life. It would be for the jury to consider how they could recoacile this with the statement of the prisoner to Clarke the constawhole question not administered any arsenic to his wife. Reducing the First, what was the primary points as possible, they would stand in this way. or not, that is from poison administered as poison, it from the use of poisonation? Arsenic was undeubtedly found in the stomach of a medicinal prepare was no question about that. Then was the stomachach of the deceased, there Croft, tho stomach of deceased ? From the evidence given thed Professor wheiher they were satisfied that there was no substance put must say Whether there was any atiempt, or whether there was any person interested in doing so. If that stomach was the stomach of the deceased woman, then the question arose, how came the poison there? A theory of one of the witnesses for the defence, was, that it must have been placed there after death, because he considered Inflammation must have supervened to a grcater exinat than spoken of by Professor Croft. But the defence did not rest their case merely on one theory. Another was advanced-that arsenical treatment was most likely the course to be pursued, and proper to be pursued in the case of deceased. Then again other things were relied on, such as the falling of the woman out of the buggy. The fact of there be ng so little inflammation found, was, fno doubt, strong argument, as an isolated fact. But then the jury had to consider what the doctors said with regard to the time at of poisoning omation appeared-whether it would appear in all cases all hands c - uld not have liver contained arsenic, which it was admitted on treatment were pleaded, what there after death. Then suppos ng arse nical stabl-, who swore that the prisoner toe said of the witne-s Clarke, the conThe two attennts of the prisoner to told him be did not use areenie at all? but the jury must be careful not to esca: e must also be takew into account ; His Lordship noticed sereral other vointe af as an absolnte proof of guilt. conclus:on, remi ded the jury that they had a rery tance in the case, and, in not only to the prisoner, but also to sop had a very Impcrtant dinly to perform, to sce that he mas not convicted on society. Their duty to the prisoner was vinced of his guilt theonvicted on mere suspicion; but if they were confor the surpose of rety must not raise any fanciful doubt in their own minds without a proper rdministrg a verdict f acquittal. Society rould not exist society required at the hands of of $t$ "e law, and whenever guilt was clear, if they did doubt, let them come to a right c: nclusion. The jury retired at three o'clock, but not belng able to agree they were locked up for the night. At ten o'clock they returned into court. The cleris
of the court put to them the usual question.-" How say you gentlemen, is the
prisoner guilty or not guilty?" The foreman, amidst most
wi h a strong recommendation to merey. The prisoner did not appear to merey.
dently with much difficults he suppresedpected the verdict; and it was evito make itself manifest. He was immedit the emotion which was struggling an maniest. He was immediately removed.

## THE SENTENCE.

up for sentence. the afternoon of Saturday the 9th of April, he was brought prisoner intended to speak before sentence extensive circulation that the Room was crowded in every part. Aboe was passed upou him, the Court from the cell in which he had so long. About three o'clock he was brought up He was of en ashy paleness. The healitheu confined, and placed in the dock. the trial was fled ; and it seemed healthy colour that tinged his cheeks during conviction prematurely settled upon the shadow of death bad since his ciliousness of manner whjeh charaeteriz face. The confidence, almost superfor the prosecution and defence - had him whilst listening to the witnesses realize the awful position in which had given way, and he seemed to fully a firm step. On entering the box bstood. He walked into the court with countenances of those who had seats, he rapidly and anxiously scanned the Mr. Oameron, who so ably defended inside the Bar, evidently looking for Hon. all hope was not yet extinct.ended him, as if to read in his conntenance that we fancied that his absence wr. Cameron, however, was not present, and prisoners, James Dingman and Ias keenly felt by the prlsoner. After two days in the Common Jail for stealing whitney, had been sentenced to thirty
"I cuove, my Lord, that sentence be pass. Mr. Galt rose and said-
Hus Lonnsilp-William. Henry King, you have been conviam Henry King." of murder; what have you to say that, you have been convicted of the crime解
"I have this much a hush the most profound, replied in a clear, firm voice :of the charge laid against mat that upon my most solemn oath I are not guilty perfectiy clear upon this point.," have no doubt of this ; my conscience is His Lordsimp-After point."
have been convicted of the fair and impartial trial by an intelligent jury you counsel. Every circumstance crime of murder. You have been assisted by able trayed of the doubt which is alalculated to induce the jury to give you the trayed; but all has been in rain enormity of the crime of which It is not my intention to dilate upon the a man of education you will know whave been adjudged guilty. As you are to live, until the sentence of the Cow you bave to do for the time you have fat the jury I must say a few words eurt is carried wut. In regard to the findivig false bopes. The facts brought words, elso that verdict might hold out to you and pointed a character, thatht out on the trial against you were of so plain jury-and they felt that ther they carried conviction to the minds of the der a verdict of "guilty." "y could not conscientlously do otherwise than renown mind, and that I entireig mast say that the facts carried conviction to my pmonounces you "guilty." The findiug of perdict of the jury in so far as it mentendation to mercy. It is not ing of the jury was accompanied by a reyour which the law inflicts upon those who awer to avert from you the punishmercy to mercy to the proper quarter ; but I must o forward the recommendation to
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en, is the UILTY, was eviuggling
brought bat the o Court ught up e dock. during noe his superthesses 0 fully ct with ad the rHon. se that tt, and er two thirty crime lassed ce :ruilty nce is

7 you able a the porthe
1 are have ding you lain the renmy 18 it re-ish1 to 10 the
weight of my position to it. I cannot see that yours is a case in which such a recommeadation is justifiable. I am thus plain that you may know your exact position, and how little reason there is to hope for the influence of the Executive in your b half. It is my duty to say that you must prepare to meet death. You are, as I said before, a man of education ; and It is not necessary for me to advise you to niake an early application at the Throne of Grace for the forgiveness of your sins, as a preparation to meet your God. It now only rem ins for me to pass the sentence of the Court $u_{\text {c on }}$ you, which is, that you be confined in the Common Jail until Thursday the 9th day of June next, on which day you are to be $t_{1}$ ken to the place of execution, and there hanged by the neek unt 1 you are dead. And may the Lord have merey on yeur roul.
The prisoner listened to the remarks of the Judge and to the sentence with composure; but in a few seconds after his Lordship ceased speaking, bis lip quivered, and burying bis face in his handkerchi f he wept convulsively. One by one, the props upun which he had relied were knocked from under him the Judge had extinguished the 1 st ray of hope. An ignominious death ness and a in the face; from it there was no escape. He had assumed a calmwas to be gained by deceng the trial that he did not feel. But notbing now man of strong will wept. Better s, moved. It gives grounds for the belief, that he went to bis dungeon unrepentance is impossible or improbable.
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