#  

|  | NIPEG, MANTTOBA, WEDNESDAY, JUNE 19, 1895 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 为 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | dedem |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | , |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | ama |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | den |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | the sexday gol |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { praying that His Honor will cause the } \\ & \text { said memorial to be transmitted to His } \\ & \text { Excellency the Governor-General-in- } \\ & \text { Counniy } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{\text {A }}$ Ateres Matas the procesion of the |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | min |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ency-in-Council was pleased to make upon the 21st day of March, 1895 , atter |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| of the memorial which Hon. Mr. Green- way will move on Monday are then |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | batim the Erpiles zasteen noum |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | erame |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { the remedial order and then submits the } \\ & \text { following: } \\ & \text { "The privileges which by the said } \\ & \text { order we are commanded to restore to } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| for their efficiency than existed prior tothe said date. |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | den |  |  | men |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| education they did not possess the at- tributes of efficient modern public schools. Their conduct, manactement | Sideme |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | mam Therimememe reviluty |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Commiententabe, and on monoray |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | sur |  |  |
| tional standpoint. Some of these obje tions may be briefly indicated: |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | d |  |  |  |  |
|  | and |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
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THE REVEW is on sele ot


The grathurest grview
$\qquad$
The article we wrote lately on the Sunday question is bearing fruit, as may be seen by the editorial we reproduce in
another column, from the Free Press. The letter whieh our morning contem porary quotes is in Mr. Goldwin Smith's
happiest vein, and shows what that master of English might have done bad he been a Catholic. Untortunately to
many of his writings are honeycombed -with the prejudices of a Protestant tradition which has proved too strong for his
better judgment. Hence his wise and almost Catholic views on the observanc.
of Sunday are all the more welcome.
Thousands of handbills are being ci
colated in Winnipeg, announcing th culated in Winnipeg, announcing th
advent of Evaugelist Leyden, who coming from Boston to lecture here "Romanism the Danger A head," "The
Priest in the Confessional Box," and other sengational sabjects of a similar nature. The motto, heading one side of the yellow handbills-a most appropriate
color by the bre-is "Stand by our Public Schools." This reveals the purpose ap with appeals to religious bigotry the illogical position of the local government, who will doubtiess spend the people's
money in order thus to set law-abiding citizens by the ears. When poor lawthey abuse the other side.
We have written to Boston to find out all about this Leyden's past. The first
characteristic we note is his name, a forelgn one, a name famous in the nals of the early Reformation, when
John of Leyden immortalized himself by immoralities that would have shocked lecture Canadians upon the danger they run from the Pope, whose followers dissainta snd heroes. The second characfrom. Boston, the home of Protestant its attempts at convincing its own citi ens of the abominations of Rome tha nearly one half of the Hubbites are
Catholiss. We shall give further particabout Leyden.

Those who engaged the services of this on the look-out lest he should prove to be as disreputabie as the common run of
ex-Romanist evangelists, as, for instance, the drunken Slattery, the demirep Mar garet Sheppard, and that " lecherous ol
he goai," as the Globe Review lately dub bed an aged sinner, whose vile books are but the reflex of his lustful imagination and woiselessly away from Winnipeg by the very people who had called hin here, in order that his most recent lech-
ery might be hushed up. The refuse and garbage of the Catholic Church con

With concise and pithy irony do
His Grace of St. Boniface anewer the by telegraph what bis opinion was of th Manitoba Cabinet's reply to the reme
dial order. Was it designedly or owing to its usual stupidity that the Free Pre
misinformed its readers that it was Winnipeg representative of the Star wh
elicited this reply from Archbish elicited this reply from Archbisho
Langevin? Such a request from a dis tant representative of the great metro-
politan daily would carry far less weight than what really took place, viz.
sending of a special telegram by Editor of the Star, requesting His Grac wire bis opinion of the Greenway
demorial. Nothing could be more ap propriate than the short archiepiscopa despatch : "Sorry for the local goveru
mente bad will. Strange that after five years of public discussion their mem
orial should blandly charge the Ottaw orial should blazdy charge the otaw trust the Federal government and Pa
liament will sustain the decision of th Privy Council of England and st
the constitution of the country." On Friday last the British House o Commons, by a majority of one, roted
statue to that mfamous blackgard statue to that mfamous blackgoard
oliver Cromwell. To bis credit be bulk of the conservatives and the Iris Catholic members against this iniquitous
Orange motion. For two hundred year deserved, with undigguised loathing but first Carly le, the Germanized Jere
my who worshipped nothing so much as Barnum of journalism, have writte eulogies of the Protector which hav
thrown dust in the eyes of an unreflect ing generation and made Cromwell hero. Yet the facts are that he was
cruel as Nero or Caligula, as despotic
$\qquad$
$\qquad$ pers whom the Lord cursed, as great a
nemy of civil and religious liberty a Tiberius or Diocletian. The Cromwel
fable a la Caryle and Stead is part and of perversions of history by which Pre testantism, which has always been desponic in the use orop powters, is made
this provine in sciol matter of champion of liberty
to appear as tne cham It robs in the name of fairplay, it per
secutes in the name of freedom, it bel lows forth its ignorance in the name of
knowledge, with the voice of a dissembling rake
[Since writing the above we learn by
Cablegram that, owing to a motion by Mr
Justin Mc cablegram that, owing to a motion
Justin McCarthy, the proposed Cro
monument has heen withdrawn.

These are the legitimate and logica results of Protestant principles. Revolt choosing, instead of honestly accepting it, can issue only in ultimate rejection of all faith, all virtue, all sincerity language. But, fortanately, the majo
ity of Protestants are not logical; the are far better than their principle This is the great difference between
good Protestants and good Catholics good Protestants and good Catholics.
The former are always hetter than their creed, they are good in spite of thei
Protestant errors and because of th Catholic truths they still retain, whereas are always inferior to their divine faith, and can generally trace their sins to some invol
ant error. oluntary admixture of Protes

Both the Free Press and the Tribun pointed out with wicked glee the contras between Arcbbishop Langevin trave private Montreal to Winnipeg in Bishop Newnham travelling in the Pullman like any other private citizen But, in the inrst place, we bave no doup
the Anglican Bishop would have gladly accepted a private car, had it been of
fered to him; secondly, Mgr. Langevin fered to him; secondy, Mgr. Langevin
went in the private car only from Mont real to Ottawa on Sir Donald Smith's he travelled like any other citizen hirdy, the Free Press and Tribun has been taking it easy in the last all winter, having been enjoying eigh months of holidays during the twenty-
two months since he was made Biskop of Moosonee ; fourthly, Archbishop La secretary, let alose two, his one companion being a futare missionary; fiftb
above named papers contained the in-
fornation that at the McInnis-Russell
wedding in Knox Church, both the wedding in Knox Church, both th
bride and the bride's mother were tired in silk dresses presented to the
by their brother and son, a missionar in India. Fancy St Pael cilla.
dellined to consider it.
The Local Government have given their answer to the Remedial Order of the answer is an emphatic No. The reply of Mr. Greenway's government
defiant as it is, was not, bowever, unt xpected. and it now remains to be seen what action will be taken by the Dom ell, the Premier of the of the Dominio Government, in a recent speech on this order, said that when the time came for tate to act. We now turn our eyes
Ottawa to see how be intends to redee that pledge. The local government pro Catholic minority of this province, wh Lave patienty and quetly suffered
from the invasion of their legal and con stitutional rights for five long years, the vestigation into the status of the old Catholic system of education, for they protend
to say the Remedial Order commanded them to restore that system. knows better than both the Local and
Dominion Governments the untruthfulness of this statement. The Catholics Manitoba, speaking through their coun
sel, never demanded the restoration o the old system; nor did the Remedial order demand of the local governmen
the restorstion of the old system. That the restorstion of the old system. That
order confined itself strictly within the order conined itself strictly within the
judgment of the Imperial Privy Council It is therefore unjust and unfair of the
Local Goverament to set up such a disLocal Goverument to set up such a dis-
bonest plea in their answer to the Gov-ernor-General-in-Council. It is an insu th the Lords of the Privy Council to
that their decision was given in ignor of the real facts of the case, and it is
equally insulting to the Governor-Gene-al-in-Council to set up the plea that the Remedial Order was prepared in
ignorance of these facts; The judgmen of the Privy Council was given, not upo the status of the schools abolished, bu inority affected by the law of 1890 ; and the Remedial Order was based upon tion of law affecting the locus standi of th Catholic minority, and not a question
the status of the schools abolished. W
wish to tell the Federal Governmen that we will never submit to have our eges conditioned ou the dishonestly alleged statu.
Let the Government recognize o
ights and restore them, and then,
these schools. It was not necessary, is he first place, to abolish these schools aise their status. Every citizen of Can istory, knows the villiany, duplicity reachery and falsehood through whicl
he government waded in abolishing our chools; every one of them knows what rere the motives and what the politica
rascality which prompted that act. In rascaity which prompted that act. In
the face of all these facts, the Greenway government have now the excessive as-
surance, in replying to the Remedial Urder, to say that the only motives
which actuated them were the inefficienthe old system. If that were their only motive, why did they not pass laws which would raise the standard of these The most novel way mproving a system of education is abolish it; and when ordered to restore hat they cannot do so because the old not restore it minus the defective, Thi is the most astounding plea we eve
heard put forward for denying a minority beard put forward for denying a minority
heir legal and constitutional liberties, when ordered to do so by the highes court in the Empire.
After refusing to restore to the Cathoic minority the rights of which the them to have been deprived; after de lining to obey the constitution by whose defiantly doing all this, these brilliant
testing their loyalty and devotion to the
Crown and Porson of our Gracious Queen and the constitution they are defying Truly has it been said
last refuge of rogues.

## innecent comyent

Everyone admits that the late Rigb
Honorable Sir John
lawyer of transcendent ability and
man of incorruptible honesty and pel
sonal and public integrity. Had he de
roted these qualities to the acquiring o a independence for his family, instead of generously giving them to the service the enjoyment of an easy independnce; or better still, he might be now ving with them in that quiet and, to
im, that most sanctified of earthly abodes-a Christian home. But fate
ruled otherwise and we find him be tod otherwise and we find him be
towing every energy and talent he pos essed on the country he loved so much
We find bfm dying in the Royal Pa of Windsor, almost in the presence o is Queen. We find that Queen and ent of Great Britain appreciating, a went of Great Britain appreciating, at
heir true worth, the great services he rendered the Empire; we find ar noble Queen expressing her deep
ympathy for his bereaved family and caressing with motherly affection th ead statesman's afflicted daughter ; pire sending messages of condolence is widow. We witness every honor
nd distinction shown to the remains of ir Jobn. The Home Government spared no expense in showing thei The cost of all this to the Imperial gov ernment must have been, at least, fou
times what it cost the Canadian governent to inter, with becoming solemnity, Yemains of their honored dead.
Yet no imperial statesmen, men et no imperial statesmen, mem
Parliament, or public journal complained of the cost of these hoLors to he country. The action of some mem-
bers of the Ottawa House and of many ournals of Canada who for mere po itical reasons, did not hesitate to com plain of the cost of the funeral; but act ually wished the government to repu diate the costs incurred by them on that mantle the cheeks of every self-respect-
mast a ng Canadian in the land. What would
the people of Great Britain think of Can adians, should they judge us by the con Sir John Thomparping politicians. Sir John Thompson's brilliant services by the Canadian people, and the parlia ment of Canada only followed in the ootsteps of its best and truest citizens, in supplementing the sum voluntarily the support of his widow and children. The kind words and generous sentiment expressed on this occasion by the Hon
Mr. Laurier and Sir Richard Cartwright were in marked contrast to the coars and well high brutal remarks of som
of their followers. The memory an deeds of Sir John Thompson, like thos Mr. MacKenzie are not the property Mr. MacKenzie are not the property of
any political party but the proud beritag of the people of Canada. Now that the
are dead, may we not, at least, give
them credit for the good they undoubtodly did for Canada, and acknowledg that, although human and erring, they hey served her faitbfully.
Sir John Thompson w
caase be embraced the Catholic faith Surely now that he is dead and hi raith fustified, poltico-religious journa the feelings of his family

expenses, somewhere hidden away from

riterated by these dishonest journa

## gard for the feelings of the family of th

 distinguished departed statesman.
## $\underline{\longrightarrow}$

That "sinate sensation."
We are told by the degpatches in the Free Press, that a sensation was created out of the nine members in the Divorce Committee. We are furthermore tol

| mittee made a report, which was a |
| :--- |
| frection on the |
| Roonan Catholic men |

bers of the Senate who, on account of
their religion, were opposed to divorces.
No doubt, whatever exists regarding the
view which Catholic members, of the
sions," the adoption of which, we are
told, will place the general assembly told, will place the general assembly of
the Presbyterian Church on record
aganst the contention of the Catholics ior their schools in Manitoba. This is
in
just what we would expect from thes
busy-bodies. For five years we hav
been witnessing these so called Chr
iau bodies meeting and invariably soluting against the rights of the Cath
lics of this province. For pure unadul olics of this province. For pure unadult absence of the commonest lams of
Christianity, nothing in this whole ven approach the resolutions of these Christian" bodies. We have bad the Anglican Arcbbishop of Rupert's Land demanding, in the name of the Synod
his Church, that Protestantism-tha the right principles of life,"-be in ated in the schools, while, in his ne
entence, he deprecates the granting Roman Catholics the right to inculc
bat they consider, "the right pri iples of life." We have had the Method ists, year after year, passing similar
immoral and debasing resolutions, de ing with the rights of Catholics, in
one of the bright lights said :" make the beggsre pay taxes any way.
We have had the Presbyterians of Manitoba, in meeting assembled, passing of Dr. Bryce, we are told, sending then prejudice their judgment on th B Tr Robertson, in his report on "the hom missions," so fixes it that the general
assembly of the Presbsterians, ting at Lundon, must pronounce
school question. What bas the issions" of the Presbyterians with the rights of Catholics to their children in a way pleasing to the
selves? It would require a good deal research to discover anything of necting nature between the bom sions of the Manitoba Presbyterians a
$\qquad$
$\qquad$ rights of Catholics, as defined by the Lord chancellor of England in the Privg that absence of connection will not pro Robertson trom interfering in mattert
that do not concern him. Howerer, hill pinion, or that of the general assembly
of the Prestyterians is of no momed ous and we would not trouble ourselvel onfined himself to a mere resolution ithout misrepresenting us. If our readers doubt what we say ry
ommend the following misrepresent

## We quote from the Free Press "Tbe report states thai

 majority of Protestants opposeturn to the state of things prior to
and it is believed that if the pre an ecclesiastics is removed, the bustl
the Roman Catholic laity would
satisfied with the present law. In fad
many of them many of them prefer national
separate schools. The opposition
Protestants is bsed on the fact education 18 a matter handed over
the several provinces, and that any terference would be an infringemet provincial rights. The Privy Counc intra vires, although it admitted th
Catholics had a technical grievan Protestants contend that the bill
rights that secured the Roman Call
olics their separate olics their separate schools was
spurious document, as is proved by reference being made to separ
schools in the bill of rights possed
Winnipeg, or in the document
warded to the Imperial government
of

arely the seven wise men, on this oc know, mery little about the position the Cathlic conscience on this filty and God-forbidden divorce question, when
they undertook making a report to the House reflecting on the attitude of the

## $\xrightarrow{C}$

That elongated shadow of the late the Reverend Dr. Robertson has been
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |



