



LOWER CANADA.

COPY of the REPORT of the COMMISSIONERS appointed in *Lower Canada*, under an Ordinance of 1 Vic. c. 7, to inquire into the Losses sustained during the late Rebellion; also, of the NAMES of PERSONS who claimed COMPENSATION before the said COMMISSIONERS, and the Amount of their CLAIMS.

(*Mr. Hume.*)

---

---

Ordered, by The House of Commons, to be Printed,  
13 July 1840.

---

---

483.

*Under 8 oz.*

**LOWER CANADA.**

---

RETURN to an Address of the Honourable The House of Commons,  
dated 20 February 1839;—for,

**COPY** of the **REPORT** of the **COMMISSIONERS** appointed in *Lower Canada*,  
under an Ordinance of 1 VICT. c. 7, to inquire into the **LOSSES** sustained during  
the late Rebellion; also, of the **NAMES** of **PERSONS** who claimed **COMPEN-**  
**SATION** before the said **COMMISSIONERS**, and the **AMOUNT** of their **CLAIMS**.

---

Colonial Department, Downing-street, }  
11 June 1839.

H. LABOUCHERE.

(*Mr. Hume.*)

---

*Ordered, by The House of Commons, to be Printed,*  
13 July 1840.

---

(No. 69.)

COPY of a DESPATCH from Lieutenant-General Sir *John Colborne*, G. C. B.  
to the Marquess of *Normanby*, dated Government House, Montreal,  
8 May 1839.

My Lord,

I HAVE the honour to transmit herewith, in answer to the Address of the House of Commons, communicated to me in your Lordship's Despatch, No. 2, of the 25th of February last, copies of the First and Second Reports made by the Commissioners of Indemnity, appointed in Lower Canada, under the provisions of the Ordinance, 1 Vict. c. 7, to inquire into the claims preferred by individuals who have sustained losses during the late rebellions.

The Commissioners have closed their proceedings with regard to the rebellion of 1837, but have not yet furnished me with a copy of their final Report.

I have, &c.

(signed) *J. Colborne.*

The Marquess of Normanby,  
&c. &c. &c.

---

FIRST REPORT of the COMMISSION of INDEMNIFICATION, under 1 Vict. c. 7.  
December 1838.

To his Excellency Sir John Colborne, Knight Grand Cross of the Most Honourable Military Order of the Bath, and of the Royal Hanoverian Order, Lieutenant-general of Her Majesty's Army, Commander of Her Forces in the Provinces of Upper and Lower Canada, and Administrator of the Government of the Province of Lower Canada.

THE Commissioners appointed under the authority of the Provincial Ordinance, 1 Vict. c. 7, intituled, "An Ordinance to authorize the Appointment of Commissioners to investigate the Claims of certain loyal Inhabitants of this Province for Losses sustained during the late unnatural Rebellion," beg leave respectfully to submit to your Excellency the First Report of their proceedings.

Instructed by their Commission to make diligent inquiry into the losses sustained by Her Majesty's loyal subjects during the rebellion which broke out in 1837, the undersigned Commissioners, having taken an office in the city of Montreal, and arranged their plan of proceeding, on the 23d July last, entered upon the consideration of the matters previously submitted to them.

The authority of the Governor-general having been received to adjourn their sittings to such parts of the country as they might find it expedient to visit in the execution of the powers vested in them, the Commissioners proceeded, in the first instance, to the village of St. Charles, where, after due notice given at the church-door and elsewhere, they opened the Commission on the 15th August.

Having completed, by evidence taken on the spot, a number of cases in which indemnification was claimed from the Government, the Commissioners returned to this city on the 3d September, two of their number having been summoned as magistrates to attend the criminal court, when several other claims were heard, which, in order to meet the convenience of the parties, had been fixed for hearing in Montreal.

On the 20th September they resumed their sittings at St. Charles, and afterwards proceeded to St. Denis, whence they returned on the 18th October. From that day to the present date they have continued their sittings in Montreal, employed as well in hearing new cases as in deliberating and deciding upon those which had been heard in the country.

The breaking out of the present rebellion prevented the Commissioners from visiting the parishes in the neighbourhood of Chambly, where there are many claims still to be heard; and also from proceeding to St. Eustache and other parts of the county of the Lake of Two Mountains, in which the loyal inhabitants, who suffered greatly during the late rebellion, are extremely urgent for the adjudication of their claims.

From the apparent tranquillity of the province, however, the Commissioners have little doubt that they will be enabled before long to prosecute their inquiries, on the spot, into the numerous claims preferred from the parts of the district above-mentioned.

The true intent of the Ordinance, namely, the relief of suffering loyalists, being so little understood in the country parishes, and even the very term "loyalty" being, in many instances, totally misapprehended, it might have been anticipated that attempts would be made to introduce before the Commissioners claims preferred by parties who had notoriously borne arms against Her Majesty's Government in this province, or otherwise promoted the late rebellion. These persons, palliating the enormity of their offence under the plea of being compelled by force and menaces to act contrary to their allegiance, still considered themselves, by the Act of Amnesty, replaced in their position as loyal subjects, and fully entitled to participate in the indemnification limited by the Ordinance to the relief of those inhabitants of the province, whose loyalty during the troubles of last winter remained unshaken and unquestionable. Under this impression, they did not hesitate to swear that they were loyal subjects of Her Majesty. But the Commissioners could not disguise from themselves that the obligations of loyalty and allegiance affected very lightly indeed the unlettered *habitant*, and that his sense of duty was at any time liable to be shaken by the persuasion or command of any person speaking his own language, and invested with authority or influence over him.

These considerations, which were very early impressed upon the minds of the Commissioners, induced them rigidly to inquire and ascertain by the best testimony they could procure, whether the claimant came within the terms required by the Ordinance. In every case where the claimant himself was examined, the first clause of the Ordinance was read to him; and he was called upon to declare, under the penalty prescribed, whether he came before the Commission avowing himself one of those for whose relief the Ordinance in question was framed. The same clause was read to the witnesses in each claim, and they were examined on oath, not merely as to the loss sustained, but as to the general conduct and loyalty of the claimant. Each witness was moreover questioned as to other claimants than the one for whom he appeared, and in such a manner as to elicit his unreserved answer on the point of loyalty. The *cures* in the respective parishes were also examined as

to the loyal character of the claimant, and it is but justice to them to state that they promoted to the best of their ability the objects of the Commission. As to the point of loyalty, therefore, the Commissioners apprehend that they acquired the best proof that could be obtained by investigation on the spot, and, consequently, that their decision and award in each case are well founded, equitable and correct. In addition to the evidence of the loyalty of the claimant, affidavits as to the amount of loss sustained, the fairness of the prices charged for each article, with testimony as to the fact of the property having been in the possession of the claimant at the time of the alleged loss, have been taken in every case, and filed of record with the papers belonging thereto. It was the general practice of the claimants to appear before the Commission well provided with certificates and affidavits as to the extent of their losses and the value of the property; but these it was found necessary to disregard, and depositions on oath taken before the Commissioners were solely admitted in evidence. In all cases where goods and effects are stated to have been destroyed, the cost price only of the article is taken to be the value, and nothing, except in very extraordinary cases, has been allowed for alleged loss of profit, interest on capital or time. Where real property in houses and buildings has been destroyed, the Commissioners have availed themselves of the services of an experienced surveyor, who, after being duly sworn to do equal justice, has been employed to inspect and estimate the value.

As the affidavits filed are in general numerous in each case, it is right to explain that where only one has been taken it has been that of the claimant, disqualified by his own confession from prosecuting any claim upon the liberality of the Government.

The Report now submitted to your Excellency contains the decision of the Commissioners on 90 cases. The amount of claims for indemnification already decided is 18,497*l.* 8*s.* 9½*d.* currency; the amount of compensation awarded is 7,427*l.* 3*s.* 3½*d.* currency, showing a saving to Government of 11,070*l.* 5*s.* 6*d.* currency.

Besides the claims decided in the present Report, about 20 cases are nearly complete, which will form part of a Supplementary Report, and be submitted to your Excellency as soon as possible.

Although the Ordinance under which they were appointed does not expressly enjoin the Commissioners to inquire into and report upon claims for losses sustained by loyal subjects, in consequence of the necessary occupation of their property, and injury done thereto, by Her Majesty's forces, the Commissioners have nevertheless given their attention to claims of this nature, which are very numerous, conceiving by implication that such claims were within the scope of their Commission, the losses in question having been the consequence of the late rebellion, and having before them several claims of this description specially referred by the Civil Secretary to their decision.

By the first clause of the Ordinance, 1 Vict. c. 7, the Commissioners are instructed "to inquire into the means which may be possessed by the parties who may have occasioned such losses to indemnify the sufferers; and the legal recourse which the said sufferers may have against the said parties." In pursuance of this instruction, the undersigned Commissioners have not failed to make diligent inquiry as to the real property possessed by the leaders and abettors of the rebellion of 1837. There can be no doubt that the perpetrators of the criminal outrages on persons and property in this district, during the last winter, were generally without character or property, to whom plunder was more immediately an object than the prospective subversion of the Government. In some instances, however, it has been satisfactorily established that persons possessing real property to a considerable amount were deeply implicated, and even actively employed in the outrages committed on the River Richelieu, particularly against the properties of the Hon. P. D. Debartzch, Mr. Chaffers and Mr. Casavant. One individual, by name Lachapelle, who was concerned in the seizure of the seigniorial house at St. Charles, and in fortifying the rebel camp around it, possesses real property more than sufficient to pay the amount of loss claimed by Mr. Debartzch; and as there is reason to believe that this person has again been implicated in the late rising in that part of the district, it may fairly be submitted to your Excellency's consideration, how far it would be expedient to make the property of persons similarly situated responsible for the injuries done by their followers, and contributory to the pecuniary amount of the indemnification awarded to loyal subjects for losses sustained in consequence of their criminal acts. With reference to the three cases above cited, the Commissioners have ascertained that the parties implicated in these outrages have, in their unmolested possession, real property in lands and houses more than sufficient to defray the whole amount awarded as compensation for damages on the River Richelieu. The names of these guilty parties, with a description of their property, are in the hands of the Commissioners, subject to the disposal of Government, and ready to be produced at a moment's notice. There can be no doubt that loyal sufferers, in all cases, have a clear legal recourse against the parties who occasioned their losses; and the Commissioners have ascertained that this legal recourse has been admitted and confirmed by the decision of the highest court of justice in this district; viz. in the case of William Snowdon, of St. Eustache, who, on the 18th October last, recovered damages as plaintiff in two actions instituted by him for trespass and injury, during the rebellion of 1837.

As many of the parties to whom an indemnity has been awarded are resident on the River Richelieu, it was considered important to ascertain whether any claimant for losses sustained during the rebellion of 1837 had been implicated in the late rising in that part

of the district, the avowed object of which was the capture of Sorel, Chambly and St. John's. The Commissioners accordingly obtained the evidence of well-informed persons resident at St. Charles and St. Denis, and the result of their inquiries is satisfactory, inasmuch as it establishes the correctness of their award, by showing, firstly, that no person to whom they had previously awarded pecuniary compensation has had any participation in the insurrection of 1838; and secondly, that almost all the parties by whom indemnification was claimed, but refused by the Commissioners on the ground of disloyalty, in 1837, have again been concerned in traitorous attempts to subvert Her Majesty's authority in this province.

In order that every precaution may be taken against error or deception on the point of loyalty, a certified list of all claimants before the Commissioners has been forwarded to Her Majesty's Attorney-general for the province, to the end that the proposed compensation may be arrested in any case in which that officer has in his possession, or within his reach, any document, testimony or proof, implicating the party in the present or the late rebellion.

Amongst the claims preferred before the Commissioners are several from persons to whom the firm of Wolfred Nelson & Co. was indebted in 1837. These claimants allege, that in consequence of the destruction of the distillery and other property belonging to that firm at St. Denis by Her Majesty's forces, it became insolvent; and as creditors, they call upon Government to indemnify them for their alleged losses, and to place them in the position in which they would now be were the affairs of that firm in prosperous condition. A claim was also preferred, on the part of the firm, for the alleged amount of the property destroyed by order of Government; but that claim, amounting to about 14,000*l.*, was at once rejected, the claimant not coming within the terms of the Ordinance. Neither could the Commissioners entertain the claim of the creditors of that firm, and they were uniformly referred to their legal recourse against the estate. It does not appear reasonable that Government should assume debts accumulated after extensive business transactions; but lest the Government, acting on the supposition that the estate was solvent in November 1837, should think proper to assume those debts, the Commissioners felt it their duty to make inquiries, the result of which convinced them, that it was very doubtful indeed whether the firm would have been able to meet its engagements had not its head become a traitor to his Sovereign, and consequently brought on himself the destruction of his property. Those who maintain that the estate was solvent go upon a very exaggerated estimate of the profits of the business, and of the value of the distillery and other buildings destroyed by order of Government.

The depositions, certificates and other documents appertaining to each case respectively remain in the possession of the undersigned Commissioners for reference. The decision and award have been made after careful consideration of every claim; and the Commissioners have the satisfaction of stating, that in all the cases now reported to your Excellency, the members of the Commission are unanimous.

In concluding their First Report, the undersigned Commissioners beg leave to express a hope that their proceedings as Commissioners, under the Ordinance, 1 Vict. c. 7, some of which were of a difficult and embarrassing nature, will meet with your Excellency's approbation.

All which is respectfully submitted.

Montreal, }  
14 December 1838. }

(signed)

*P. E. Leclere.*  
*E. A. Clark.*  
*C. Tait.*

6 REPORT OF COMMISSIONERS RELATING TO COMPENSATION FOR

NAMES of PERSONS who claimed COMPENSATION before the Commissioners, and the AMOUNT of their CLAIMS.

NO. OF CLAIM.	NAME OF CLAIMANT, AND REMARKS.	AMOUNT CLAIMED.	AMOUNT AWARDED.
1	<p>Macdonell and Holmes, of the city of Montreal, merchants</p> <p>No evidence having been adduced on the part of the claimants, after due notice given to appear, the claim was dismissed.</p>	<p>£. s. d. 81 4 -</p>	<p>£. s. d.</p>
2	<p>Hudson's Bay Company, per James Keith, Esq. - -</p> <p>This is a claim for indemnity for property carried off by a party of rebels, under the command of Amury Girod, from the Company's store at Lake of the Two Mountains, on the 30th November last.</p>	<p>56 15 -</p>	<p>56 15 -</p>
3	<p>Edward Prentice, of Montreal - - - - -</p> <p>Claimant is referred to his legal recourse against the estate of Wolfred Nelson &amp; Co.</p>	<p>245 10 11 -</p>	
4	<p>John Creelman, of Montreal - - - - -</p> <p>This is a claim for indemnity for damage done to the claimant in consequence of the destruction, by order of the military government, of the house of one Thomas Logan, also a claimant (<i>see</i> No. 9.), in which Creelman resided, on the 14th December last.</p>	<p>4 18 -</p>	<p>4 18 -</p>
5	<p>Francis Mullins, of Montreal - - - - -</p> <p>This is a claim for indemnity for the loss of certain wearing apparel belonging to the children of the claimant, at school in the Convent of St. Denis, in consequence of the occupation of that building by Her Majesty's troops in December last.</p>	<p>17 13 3</p>	<p>17 13 3</p>
6	<p>William Unwin Chaffers, of St. Césaire, Esq. - -</p> <p>It has been proved to the satisfaction of the Commissioners, that the claimant, a person of unquestionable loyalty, suffered great loss of property and persecution, even to danger of life, from the disaffected of his own parish, on the commencement of the rebellion of 1837. The claim is founded, firstly, upon the loss of a quantity of merchandize pillaged by the rebels; and secondly, upon that of a number of notes of hand and other papers, valued at 2,500 <i>l.</i> These papers were removed by a clerk, during Mr. Chaffers' absence to the house of his, (the clerk's) mother, who destroyed them on the approach of the rebels, 22d November last. The claimant has omitted to record the amount of sales, of which these notes were the evidence, according to the usual practice of merchants; and having thus lost, as he states, his legal recourse against his debtors, he claims the amount from the Government. His books were saved, and had he made the proper entries therein, as he should have done, he would have saved his legal recourse. Upon these grounds, the Commissioners conceive the claimant has no equitable claim upon Her Majesty's Government for the loss of the said promissory notes and papers. But in consideration of his loyal exertions, his great loss of property, and continued persecution, an award has been made in his favour of two-thirds of the claim.</p> <p>The claimant states his losses to have amounted to 4,100 <i>l.</i>, including loss of profit and other inadmissible items, but limited his claim to 3,000 <i>l.</i>, two-thirds of which the Commissioners award him, in lieu of all claims of every nature soever.</p>	<p>3,000 - -</p>	<p>2,000 - -</p>
7	<p>Jean Baptiste Casavant, of St. Césaire - - - - -</p> <p>The claimant is a loyal Canadian, who has also suffered great loss and persecution from the disaffected in the parish of St. Césaire. Owing to the absence of the necessary proof, the amount awarded falls considerably short of the amount claimed.</p>	<p>1,200 - -</p>	<p>442 6 10</p>



LOSSES SUSTAINED DURING THE REBELLION IN LOWER CANADA. 7

NO. OF CLAIM.	NAME OF CLAIMANT, AND REMARKS.	AMOUNT CLAIMED.	AMOUNT AWARDED.
8	<p>Michel Jean Vilbon, of Montreal - - - - -</p> <p>This is a claim for the loss of a horse, value 25 l., and for loss of profit in business as a bailiff, consequent on the loss of the horse. The claimant, a loyal Canadian, was sent to the rebel camp at St. Charles, on the 22d November last, to reconnoitre, fell into the hands of the insurgents, and lost his horse. The value of the horse is proved, and 12 l. 10s. has been awarded as a compensation for his loss of employment.</p>	<p>£. s. d. 65 - -</p>	<p>£. s. d. 37 10 -</p>
9	<p>Thomas Logan, of Montreal - - - - -</p> <p>This is a claim for the destruction of a dwelling-house and buildings, by order of the Government, on the 14th December last, in consequence of its vicinity to the gaol wall rendering it, by possibility, dangerous to the security of the prisoners confined therein. There is, besides, a claim for effects stolen or lost, and a further claim for loss of business as a tavern-keeper, in consequence of the destruction of the house, stable, &amp;c. This case was specially referred to the Commission by the Civil Secretary, and the proof being complete, the amount of the claim is awarded.</p>	<p>527 17 9</p>	<p>527 17 9</p>
10	<p>James Adams, of Montreal - - - - -</p> <p>The claimant is referred to his legal recourse against the estate of Wolfred Nelson &amp; Co.</p>	<p>1 - -</p>	
11	<p>Honourable P. D. Debartzch, of St. Charles.</p> <p>The testimony in this case not being yet complete, the award of the Commissioners will appear in a Supplementary Report.</p>		
12	<p>Emilie Tétro, of St. Charles - - - - -</p> <p>The claimant is wife of Joachim Jacques, also of St. Charles, but not being <i>séparée de biens</i> from her husband, her claim was withdrawn.</p>	<p>781 4 1</p>	
13	<p>Christophe Lussier, père, of St. Charles.</p> <p>The testimony in this case not being yet complete, the award will be included in a Supplementary Report.</p>		
14	<p>Antoine Leduc, of St. Charles.</p> <p>The proof in this case being yet incomplete, the award will be included in a Supplementary Report.</p>		
15	<p>Léon Kerouac, of St. Charles - - - - -</p> <p>This is a claim for injury done to the claimant's house by the Queen's forces, on their entry into St. Charles under the command of Colonel Wetherall, in November last. The loyalty of the claimant not having been sufficiently proved in evidence, the claim is dismissed.</p>	<p>29 12 7</p>	
16	<p>Jean Baptiste Maranda, of St. Charles - - - - -</p> <p>This is a claim for the amount of certain effects carried away by the Queen's troops on their taking possession of the house of Louis Chicou Duvert, notary, of St. Charles, with whom claimant was then a student. The claimant is a loyal Canadian, and was made prisoner by the insurgents at St. Charles, on their late rising in that village.</p>	<p>11 8 6</p>	<p>8 18 6</p>
17	<p>Francis Mount, of St. Charles, merchant - - - - -</p> <p>The claimant is of undoubted loyalty, has given much useful information, and otherwise rendered services to Government. He is awarded a certain sum for loss of goods sustained by the occupation of his house at St. Charles by Her Majesty's forces, under Colonel Wetherall, in November last. With respect to the claim which he has made against the estate of Wolfred Nelson &amp; Co. for the amount of a protested note, the claimant is referred to his legal recourse against the drawers of the said note, it being impossible for the Commissioners to entertain this part of the claim until the property of Wolfred Nelson &amp; Co. been discussed by his creditors.</p>	<p>250 6 -</p>	<p>61 10 5</p>

## 8 REPORT OF COMMISSIONERS RELATING TO COMPENSATION FOR

NO. OF CLAIM.	NAME OF CLAIMANT, AND REMARKS.	AMOUNT CLAIMED.	AMOUNT AWARDED.
		£. s. d.	£. s. d.
18	Francis Mullins, of Granby. This was a general claim for indemnity for loss and inconvenience sustained from the rebels. No specific sum was asked, and after inquiry none is awarded.		
19	Josephite Frechette, wife of Peter Spink, of St. Charles, merchant and postmaster. The claimant is duly <i>séparée de biens</i> from her husband, Peter Spink, and carries on business in her own name in the village of St. Charles. On the 17th November last her house and store were pillaged by the rebels, and great loss sustained, as proved in evidence taken on oath on the spot. Another portion of the claim is for a debt due to the claimant by Wolfred Nelson & Co., which cannot be entertained, and she is referred to her legal recourse against that estate. There is a further claim to a small amount for damage done by the troops under Colonel Wetherall in November last, which is also supported by evidence.	424 9 11	181 8 11
20	Rosalie Bonier, wife of François Mignault, of St. Denis - This is the wife of a person charged with aiding in the murder of Lieutenant Weir, 32d regiment, but against whom no bill was found. Not being duly <i>séparée de biens</i> from her husband, the claim was dismissed, which was for injury done by Her Majesty's forces under Colonel Gore.	136 18 -	
21	Cyrile Beaudreault, of St. Denis. The evidence on this claim not being yet complete, the report on it is postponed.		
22	Joseph Chenette, of St. Denis - - - - - This being a claim against the estate of Wolfred Nelson & Co., the claimant is referred to his legal recourse; there is, besides, an affidavit made before the Commissioners, stating that the claimant was a serjeant in Wolfred Nelson's force.	205 1 6	
23	Henry Deschambault, of Boucherville - - - - - This being also a claim against the estate of Wolfred Nelson & Co., the claimant is referred to his legal recourse.	110 - -	
24	Guillaume Dillaire, of St. Denis - - - - - This is a loyal Canadian, who has rendered good service to the civil authority, having been intrusted with a mission to observe and report the proceedings of the agitators at the meeting of the five counties, 23d October 1837. His claim is for indemnity for the destruction of his house and premises, and the movables therein, by the troops under the command of Colonel Gore, at St. Denis, on the 2d December last. It appears that they were set fire to by an intoxicated soldier, without any order or approval on the part of the commanding officer.	503 6 8	439 19 10
25	Therese and Louise Dormicourt, of St. Denis - - - These are two maiden ladies, without relatives in this country. Unlike the generality of the inhabitants of St. Denis, they did not abandon their dwelling on the occasion of the attack on that village by the troops under Colonel Gore, or on the second retributory visit of that officer on the 2d December last. After the action caused by the armed resistance of Wolfred Nelson to Her Majesty's forces on the 23d of November last, these ladies, in the true spirit of christian charity, received into their house three wounded soldiers, viz., Thomas Gant, of the 66th regiment, William Simpson and Robert Robinson, of the 24th regiment, and attended them for several days, until	71 9 11	71 9 11

NO. OF CLAIM.	NAME OF CLAIMANT, AND REMARKS.	AMOUNT CLAIMED.	AMOUNT AWARDED.
		£. s. d.	£. s. d.
	until they were able to be removed. A non-commissioned officer, also, of another regiment, which the claimant could not designate, died in their house, and was by them decently interred. The claimants have satisfactorily proved that these benefits did not exempt them from the misfortune of having their house pillaged on the second visit of Colonel Gore, by some soldiers or stragglers, and for this loss they claim compensation. The Commissioners are satisfied of the justice of this claim, and in consideration of the admirable conduct and example of these ladies, they respectfully suggest to the consideration of Government the propriety of bestowing upon them some additional gratuity.		
26	Madame Veuve Dutalmé, of St. Denis - - - - This is a claim for compensation for goods lost and furniture destroyed during the occupation of the claimant's residence in St. Denis by a party of Her Majesty's forces in December last. Part of the claim for the value of a book of accounts, 100 <i>l.</i> , is inadmissible, and several items have been reduced in amount.	263 14 10	130 17 4
27	Madame Veuve Lappare, of St. Denis - - - - This lady resides in the same house as the claimant immediately preceding, and suffered loss from the same cause and at the same time.	59 14 3	36 5 9
28	Jacques Fredette, of St. Denis - - - - It appears in evidence that this man, although one of Wolfred Nelson's farmers, on shares, took no part in the rebellion of 1837, but on the 23d November went into the Concessions with his family for safety. Inhabiting a house belonging to Wolfred Nelson, the same was burned by the troops on the return of Colonel Gore to St. Denis, and his property, consisting of grain, furniture, clothes, linen, &c. destroyed.	83 10 6	65 - -
29	Louis Guerout, Esq., of St. Denis. This case, not having been closed, will be included in a supplementary Report.		
30	Mrs. Widow Guerout, of St. Denis. This case, not having been closed, will be included in a supplementary Report.		
31	J. B. Masse, merchant, of St. Denis - - - - The claimant not coming within the terms of the Ordinance in the opinion of the Commissioners, the claim is rejected.	594 10 2	
32	Veuve Paquette, of St. Denis - - - - This is a claim for destruction by Her Majesty's forces. The claimant is an inoffensive widow, and the claim is generally sustained by evidence. Several items overcharged are reduced.	97 18 - <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub>	63 14 8
33	William Phillips, Esq., of Quebec. This case, not being closed, will be included in a supplementary Report.		
34	William Price & Co., of Quebec. This case, not being closed, will be included in a supplementary Report.		
35	Joseph Quoy, dit Dragon, of St. Denis - - - - This man, by his own admission, was one of those who were armed against the Queen's forces in Madame St. Germain's house, at St. Denis, on the 23d November last, under the command of Wolfred Nelson.	461 18 7	

10 REPORT OF COMMISSIONERS RELATING TO COMPENSATION FOR

NO. OF CLAIM.	NAME OF CLAIMANT, AND REMARKS.	AMOUNT CLAIMED.			AMOUNT AWARDED.		
		£.	s.	d.	£.	s.	d.
36	<p>Veuve St. Germain, of St. Denis.</p> <p>The evidence in this case not being yet complete, it will form part of a supplementary Report.</p>						
37	<p>Elizabeth &amp; Therese St. Germain, of St. Denis - - -</p> <p>This case is well made out by evidence. The claimants are two single ladies, who supported an aged mother, eighty-four years old. They lived a very retired life, and had no connexion with politics. It is presumed that it was owing to their name that their house and property were burned by the troops in December last.</p>	209	12	5	184	15	5
38	<p>Luther Sawtell, merchant, of Montreal - - - - -</p> <p>This is a claim for the value of certain property in the claimant's store at St. Denis (consisting principally of liquors), which was destroyed by order of the officer in command of a portion of the Queen's troops, in order to prevent the soldiers drinking the same, at St. Denis, in December last. The claimant is a person of unquestionable loyalty, and the case is made out in the most satisfactory manner.</p>	172	3	10	172	3	10
39	<p>Louise Bouvier, of St. Ours - - - - -</p> <p>This is a poor woman, some of whose clothes were taken during the march of the troops through the village of St. Ours.</p>	2	7	1	2	7	1
40	<p>Antoine Daigle, of St. Denis - - - - -</p> <p>Evidence was taken in this case; but it appearing to the satisfaction of the Commissioners that the claimant did not come within the terms of the Ordinance, the claim is rejected.</p>	227	-	10			
41	<p>Michel Godard, of St. Ours - - - - -</p> <p>This is a claim for a piece of cloth taken by the troops or their followers, on their march through the village.</p>	3	10	-	3	10	-
42	<p>François Xavier Lavigne, of St. Ours - - - - -</p> <p>The claim is for damage done to a schooner by the Queen's forces. It appears that the boat was seized by Wolfred Nelson, without the consent of the claimant, and moored in the River Richelieu, opposite the house of Madame St. Germain, then occupied by the rebels. Major Reid, of the 32d, declared that the troops had been fired upon from this boat, and it was partially burned. The claim is for the repairs, and the claimant having proved his loyalty, it is admitted, with some deduction.</p>	58	1	8	54	6	8
43	<p>Julien Lebœuf, of St. Ours - - - - -</p> <p>This is a good claim (a small reduction made) for damage done to claimant's house and effects, on the return of the troops under Colonel Gore, after the action at St. Denis. The claimant deserves honourable mention, for having protected and attended two wounded soldiers, who were left behind at St. Ours on the 23d November last.</p>	34	17	7	32	5	2
44	<p>Louis Moge, of St. Ours - - - - -</p> <p>This claim is rejected, the claimant not coming within the terms of the Ordinance.</p>	54	3	5			
45	<p>Joseph Jermain, of St. Ours - - - - -</p> <p>This claim is rejected, the claimant not coming within the terms of the Ordinance.</p>	12	10	-			
46	<p>Germain, Richard, of St. Ours.</p> <p>The evidence in this case not being complete, the award will be contained in a future Report.</p>						

LOSSES SUSTAINED DURING THE REBELLION IN LOWER CANADA. 11

NO. OF CLAIM.	NAME OF CLAIMANT, AND REMARKS.	AMOUNT CLAIMED.	AMOUNT AWARDED.
		£. s. d.	£. s. d.
47	James Greenshields, of Rouville. This case, not being closed, will be included in a future Report.		
48	Joseph Marie Lavoie, of Rouville. This case, not being closed, will be included in a subsequent Report.		
49	William Macbean, of Rouville - - - - - This is a claim made by a loyal subject for damage done by the rebels to his distillery and premises at St. Charles.	171 13 4	150 - -
50	Marchand & Soupras, of Rouville, merchants and co-partners. This claim not being closed, it will be reported hereafter.		
51	Simon Talon, dit L'Esperance, of St. Hyacinthe, Esq., J. P. The claimant is a loyal Canadian, who was made prisoner in November 1837, by the soi-disant General, T. S. Brown, at St. Charles, and by him robbed. His claim is for loss of property sustained from the rebels, for which compensation is awarded, and for damages, loss of profit, crops, &c., and extra expenses, which part of the claim is disallowed.	1,215 7 5½	530 2 8
52	J. B. Bernard, of Belœil, merchant. This case not being quite complete, the award will be included in a future Report.		
53	Ignace Lacroix, of Chambly. This case not being closed, the award will be made in a subsequent Report.		
54	Dempster & Rodger, of Montreal, merchants and co-partners. This claim has been withdrawn, after the evidence of one of the claimants being taken.	62 - -	
55	Firmin Perrin, of St. Antoine, merchant. This claim, not being quite complete, will form part of a subsequent Report.		
56	J. B. Gaudrault, of St. Césaire. This case, not being closed, will be subsequently reported.		
57	Jacques Dorion, M. D., of St. Ours. This case will be included in a subsequent Report.		
58	Theophile Lemay, père, of St. Marie - - - - - This is a claim made by a loyal Canadian, for damage done to his property by the rebels, and for loss of time, crops, &c. He identifies J. B. Tetro, of St. Marie, who is a proprietor of two farms, as one of those from whom he sustained injury.	275 14 2	119 3 9
59	Theophile Lemay, junior, of St. Marie. This claim is withdrawn, after some inquiry made.		
60	François Vigeant, of St. Marie. This case not being closed, it will be reported hereafter.		
61	Joseph Benoit, of St. Hyacinthe. This case not being closed, it will be reported hereafter.		

12 REPORT OF COMMISSIONERS RELATING TO COMPENSATION FOR

NO. OF CLAIM.	NAME OF CLAIMANT, AND REMARKS.	AMOUNT CLAIMED.	AMOUNT AWARDED.
62	<p>Louis Brodeur, of St. Charles - - - - -</p> <p>The claimant not coming within the terms of the Ordinance, as appears by sufficient evidence, the claim is rejected.</p>	<p>£. s. d. 35 19 10</p>	<p>£. s. d.</p>
63	<p>Louis Lussier, fils, of St. Charles.</p> <p>This case, not being quite complete, will be reported hereafter.</p>		
64	<p>Louis Codert, père, of St. Charles - - - - -</p> <p>This is a claim for damage done to claimant's house by the rebels, and also afterwards by the troops, under the command of Colonel Wetherall, in November 1837.</p>	<p>6 10 -</p>	<p>6 10 -</p>
65	<p>Jean E. Raymo, of St. Charles - - - - -</p> <p>This is a man of undoubted loyalty, and particularly obnoxious on that account to the rebels at St. Charles. During the short rising of 1838, in that village, he was made prisoner by the rebels; the claim is for damage done by the troops during their occupation of St. Charles, in November 1837.</p>	<p>22 6 6</p>	<p>22 6 6</p>
66	<p>Antoine Leduc, fils, of St. Charles - - - - -</p> <p>The claimant, after evidence heard, does not appear to come within the terms of the Ordinance. The claim is rejected.</p>	<p>42 3 8</p>	
67	<p>Joachim Jacques, of St. Charles - - - - -</p> <p>This is one of the most notorious traitors in St. Charles; he has been concerned again in the rebellion of 1838.</p>	<p>781 4 1</p>	
68	<p>Charles Christophe Lussier, fils, of St. Charles.</p> <p>This case, not being completed, will be reported hereafter.</p>		
69	<p>Alexis Chenette, père, of St. Denis - - - - -</p> <p>This is a loyal Canadian, more than a hundred years old; he was robbed and cruelly treated by the rebels on the day after the affair at St. Denis.</p> <p>François Lafèche, proprietor of one farm, is proved to have been concerned in this outrage and robbery.</p>	<p>36 1 8</p>	<p>34 10 2</p>
70	<p>Scholastique Chenette, of St. Denis.</p> <p>This case, not being closed, will be hereafter reported.</p>		
71	<p>François Coté, of St. Charles - - - - -</p> <p>The claimant, after strict examination, does not appear to come within the terms of the Ordinance. Claim rejected.</p>	<p>3 - -</p>	
72	<p>Drolet &amp; Durocher, of St. Charles, merchants and partners - - - - -</p> <p>The claimants, after strict inquiry, do not appear to come within the terms of the Ordinance, and the claim is consequently rejected.</p>	<p>1,243 15 3</p>	
73	<p>J. B. E. Durocher, of St. Charles - - - - -</p> <p>Rejected for the above reason.</p>	<p>390 8 2</p>	
74	<p>Joseph Toussaint Drolet, Esq., of St. Marc - - - - -</p> <p>Rejected for the above reason.</p>	<p>307 13 4</p>	
76	<p>Joseph Charron, of St. Charles - - - - -</p> <p>This is a claim made by Mr. Debartzch's farmer, for damage done to his property and effects by the troops on the capture of the rebel position at St. Charles, on the 25th November last.</p>	<p>98 12 10</p>	<p>75 - -</p>

LOSSES SUSTAINED DURING THE REBELLION IN LOWER CANADA. 13

NO. OF CLAIM.	NAME OF CLAIMANT, AND REMARKS.	AMOUNT CLAIMED.	AMOUNT AWARDED.
		£. s. d.	£. s. d.
76	Jean Marie Laroque, of St. Hyacinthe. This case, not being closed, will be reported hereafter.		
77	Louis Petit, dit Bourchemin, of St. Marc. This case, not being complete, will be reported hereafter.		
78	J. B. Benoit, dit L'Hybernois, of St. Charles. This case is not complete, and will be reported hereafter.		
79	Hyacinthe Marotte, of St. Marc - - - - - The claimant does not come within the terms of the Ordinance, and the claim is consequently rejected.	21 15 -	
80	François Modeste Lemire, of St. Charles - - - - - This person's claim is rejected, as not coming within the terms of the Ordinance. In the opinion of the Commissioners, the claimant is liable to an indictment for perjury, in having sworn that he "never set foot in the camp of St. Charles in November last," viz. 1837.	60 4 9	
81	Madame Marchesseault, of St. Charles - - - - - This is the wife of Simeon Marchesseault, now or lately an exile at Bermuda; not being <i>séparée de biens</i> from her husband, her claim is rejected without other reasons.	336 6 9	
82	Jacques Auclair, of St. Charles - - - - - This is a claim for damage done to the <i>fabrique</i> of St. Charles, during the occupation of the church and presbytère by the troops, on the 25th and 26th November last (1837).	83 10 -	75 10 -
83	Michel Charron, of St. Charles - - - - - The claimant not having proved his property in the effects for which compensation is asked, the claim is rejected.	4 - -	
84	François Cormier, of St. Ours - - - - - The claimant is referred to his legal recourse against the estate of Wolfred Nelson & Co.	22 2 2	
85	Messire Demers, curé of St. Denis - - - - - This is a claim for damage done during the occupation of the presbytère by Her Majesty's troops in December last (1837).	103 4 6	82 10 2
86	Dufresne and Mace, of Chambly. This case, not being determined, will be reported hereafter.		
87	Leonard Pine, of Chambly. This case, not being determined, will be reported hereafter.		
88	Louis Chaume, of St. Charles - - - - - The claimant, after evidence taken, does not appear to come within the terms of the Ordinance, and the claim is rejected.	5 - 11	
89	Gabriel St. George, of St. Charles. This case not being complete, it will form part of a subsequent Report.		

## 14 REPORT OF COMMISSIONERS RELATING TO COMPENSATION FOR

NO. OF CLAIM.	NAME OF CLAIMANT, AND REMARKS.	AMOUNT CLAIMED.			AMOUNT AWARDED.		
		£.	s.	d.	£.	s.	d.
90	W. G. Clarke, of Henryville. This case, not having been determined, will form part of a supplementary Report.						
91	Samuel Adams, of Henryville. This case not being closed, it will form part of another Report.						
92	W. Flower, of Delery. The same as to this case.						
93	Cartier & Raymond, merchants, of St. Hyacinthe. The same as to this case.						
94	Loop Odell, of Napierville. The same as to this case.						
95	Isaac Johnson, of Foucault. This case not being determined, the same will form part of a supplementary Report.						
96	David Nutt, of Foucault. The same as to this case.						
97	Seneca Robinson, of Foucault. The same as to this case.						
98	E. C. Desprès, notary, of St. Hyacinthe. The same as to this case.						
99	Horace Lyman, of Granby. The same as to this case.						
100	Abel T. Bangs, of Granby. The same as to this case.						
101	Thomas Wood, of Granby. This case being incomplete, the same will be included in a supplementary Report.						
102	Félicite Brault, of St. John's. The same as to this case.						
103	Louis Bessette, of St. Athanase. The same as to this case.						
104	Benoni Lore, of L'Acadie. The same as to this case.						
105	Laurent Labossière, of Isle aux Noix. The same as to this case.						
106	J. B. Levêque, of L'Acadie. The same as to this case.						
107	Eloi Babin, of L'Acadie. The same as to this case.						
108	Jean Labossière, of Isle aux Noix. This case not being complete, it will be included in a supplementary Report.						
109	Joseph Gaouette, of St. Hyacinthe. The same as to this case.						
110	J. Baptiste Fontaine, of St. Hyacinthe. The same as to this claim.						



NO. OF CLAIM.	NAME OF CLAIMANT, AND REMARKS.	AMOUNT CLAIMED.			AMOUNT AWARDED.		
		£.	s.	d.	£.	s.	d.
111	Louis E. Hubert, of St. Antoine - - - - - This is a loyal Canadian, by whose means the body of the unfortunate Lieutenant Weir, 32d regiment, was discovered at St. Denis. His house was occupied by the troops on their second visit to St. Denis, and much damage sustained.	169	14	6	127	14	6
112	Alexis Pinet, of Varennes, Esq. - - - - - This is a loyal Canadian magistrate, who, in consequence of his opposing the projects of Amury Girod and other agitators last summer, was exposed to a series of injuries and persecutions, which caused him loss to the amount of 1,000 <i>l.</i> currency, although he has limited the claim to 500 <i>l.</i> The case is well made out, and the Commissioners consider him fairly entitled to the award in his favour.	500	-	-	500	-	-
113	François Benoit, of Longueuil. This case not being closed, it will be hereafter reported.						
114	Basile Vincelette, of Longueuil. The same as to this case.						
115	Cecile Chabot Tetu, of St. Hyacinthe. The same as to this case.						
116	Germain Guyon, of St. Hyacinthe. The same as to this case.						
117	J. J. Whitfield, of St. Césaire. The same as to this case.						
118	Augustin Grenier, of St. Denis - - - - - This case is well made out, and the loyalty of the claimant established. The loss was occasioned by Her Majesty's troops on the second visit of Colonel Gore in December last.	65	15	3	65	15	3
119	Dorothée Bissonette, of St. Charles - - - - - This case not having been made out in evidence to the satisfaction of the Commissioners, the same is dismissed.	34	17	5			
120	Louis Chicou Duvert, notary, of St. Charles - - - This is a claim in part for damages sustained by the injury done to the claimant's property by the Queen's forces, on the capture of St. Charles on the 25th November last. Part of the claim is also for a compensation for imprisonment in the gaol of Montreal. It does not appear that the claimant ever carried his views of political reform beyond constitutional bounds. He refused to concur in the resolutions drawn up by the special committee, to be submitted to the meeting of the five counties at St. Charles on the 23d October 1837, although he had previously accepted a nomination as a member of that committee; and he left the room in which they were assembled, as soon as he became acquainted with the nature of those intended resolutions. He refused the use of his premises for the purposes of that seditious meeting, and severely condemned his brother for having permitted it to be held on his ground. After the battle of St. Charles, it is proved that he was mistaken for his brother, the vice-president of the society, who was absent, and under that impression was made prisoner by the troops, and conducted to Montreal, under circumstances of great indignity. His claim for damage done to his property is 270 <i>l.</i> 10 <i>s.</i> , of which the Commissioners	498	10	-	200	-	-

16 REPORT OF COMMISSIONERS RELATING TO COMPENSATION FOR

NO. OF CLAIM.	NAME OF CLAIMANT, AND REMARKS.	AMOUNT CLAIMED.			AMOUNT AWARDED.		
		£.	s.	d.	£.	s.	d.
	<p>missioners allow 200<i>l.</i> The claim of 223<i>l.</i> for so many days' detention in prison, the Commissioners do not consider as coming within their province.</p> <p>N. B.—Since the Report on this case was made, the Commissioners have examined Colonel Wetherall, c. b.</p> <p>It appears that, although the claimant was mistaken for his brother at the time he was taken, there was a warrant issued against him personally. At the time of his capture he was in a state of complete intoxication, and resisted the troops in the most violent manner, with a sword in his hand. The Commissioners wish this case to be reserved for further inquiry.</p> <p>(signed) P. E. L. E. A. C. C. T.</p>						
121.	<p>Marie Ayotte, of St. Denis.</p> <p>This case not being closed, the same will be reported subsequently.</p>						
122.	<p>Jean Oliver Leclère, of St. Charles - - - - -</p> <p>This is a loyal Canadian, whose property was pillaged by the rebels of St. Charles in November last (1837).</p>	13	10	-	13	10	-
123.	<p>François Chicou Duvert, M.D., of St. Charles - - -</p> <p>The claimant, after strict inquiry, not appearing to come within the terms of the Ordinance, his claim is rejected.</p>	216	11	6			
124.	<p>Charles Dorion, of St. Eustache.</p> <p>This case, not being closed, will form part of a subsequent Report.</p>						
125.	<p>Prudent Malot, of Belœil.</p> <p>The same as to this case.</p>						
126.	<p>Prudent Racine, of St. Charles - - - - -</p> <p>The evidence in this claim not being satisfactory, after due consideration, the same is dismissed.</p>	4	4	9			
127.	<p>François Garant, of St. Denis - - - - -</p> <p>This is a loyal Canadian, who after the action with the rebels under Wolfred Nelson in November 1837, in the first instance saved a wounded soldier's life from three Canadians who levelled their pieces at him, and afterwards conveyed him to the house of Mesdemoiselles Dorincourt, where he was kindly treated as before-mentioned. (See No. 25.) His house was burned on the 2d of December by the troops in consequence of its proximity to that of Guillaume Dillaire. (See No. 24.)</p>	122	5	-	122	5	-
128.	<p>J. B. Tétro, dit Ducharme, of St. Denis - - - - -</p> <p>The claimant not coming within the terms of the Ordinance, the claim is rejected.</p>	61	18	7½			
129.	<p>Veuve Augustin Paradis, of St. Charles.</p> <p>This case not being closed, it will be reported hereafter.</p>						
130.	<p>Emilie Paradis, of St. Charles.</p> <p>The same as to this case.</p>						
131.	<p>Julie Paradis, of St. Charles.</p> <p>The same as to this case.</p>						

LOSSES SUSTAINED DURING THE REBELLION IN LOWER CANADA. 17

NO. OF CLAIM.	NAME OF CLAIMANT, AND REMARKS.	AMOUNT CLAIMED.			AMOUNT AWARDED.		
		£.	s.	d.	£.	s.	d.
132.	Caroline Martelle, of St. Charles - - - - - This case not being made out in evidence is dismissed.	7	15	-			
133.	J. B. Masse, Esq., of St. Denis - - - - - The claimant, after strict inquiry, not appearing to come within the terms of the Ordinance, the claim is rejected.	61	12	-			
134.	Seth Warner, of St. Césaire. This case, not being determined, will be considered in a subsequent Report.						
135.	Thomas Colburn, of Granby. The same as to this case.						
136.	Augustin Paradis, of St. Charles. The same as to this case.						
137.	Dominique Vallieres, of St. Charles - - - - - The claimant not coming within the terms of the Ordinance, the claim is rejected.	10	4	8			
138.	Denis Courtemanche, of St. Charles - - - - - The claimant not coming within the terms of the Ordinance, the claim is rejected.	7	17	1			
139.	J. E. Cormier and Narcisse Bissonnette, of St. Charles. This case, not being complete, will be included in a subsequent Report.						
140.	Joseph Minette, of St. Charles. This case, not being determined, it will form part of a subsequent Report.						
141.	William Boon, of St. Charles. The same as to this case.						
142.	Rosalie Cherrier, of St. Denis - - - - - This is a loyal person, who has become obnoxious to the disaffected at St. Denis. She is better known as the wife of one St. Jacques, and suffered considerable loss in consequence of her opposition to the schemes of the rebels. Her claim is for loss of her effects during the occupation of St. Denis on the second visit of Colonel Gore.	28	3	2	20	-	-
143.	John Henderson, of Beauport. This case, not having been determined, it will form part of a subsequent report.						
144.	André Charby, of St. Denis - - - - - This is a loyal Canadian, although one of Wolfred Nelson's farmers. He did not interfere in the rebellious proceedings. His claim is for property destroyed in one of Mr. Nelson's houses which he inhabited, and which was burned by the troops.	27	-	-	12	13	4
145.	Olivier Chamard, of St. Denis. This case not having been determined, the same will be included in a subsequent Report.						

18 . REPORT OF COMMISSIONERS RELATING TO COMPENSATION FOR

NO. OF CLAIM.	NAME OF CLAIMANT, AND REMARKS.	AMOUNT CLAIMED.	AMOUNT AWARDED:
		£. s. d.	£. s. d.
146	Joseph Charpentier, of St. Denis - - - - - This claimant not coming within the terms of the Ordinance, the claim is rejected.	68 5 -	
147	J. B. Leblanc, of St. Denis - - - - - The claimant is a loyal subject, and although one of the farmers of Doctor Wolfred Nelson, did not take any part in the rebellion of 1837. The troops, on the 3d December last (1837) burned the house which he inhabited as belonging to Mr. Nelson, and with it the effects for which he now claims. The claimant offered the troops 25 l. to allow him to take away his property, which they refused.	81 3 -	45 - -
148	Jacques Dorion, M. D., of St. Ours - - - - - This being a claim against the estate of Wolfred Nelson & Co., the claimant is referred to his legal recourse against that estate.	200 - -	
149	J. B. Chayer, of St. Denis - - - - - This person took no part in the rebellion. On the march to St. Denis on the 23d November 1837, the soldiers were seen to take a mare belonging to the claimant, which was never restored.	7 10 -	7 10 -
150	Marie Anne Cherrier, of St. Denis - - - - - The claimant's house was occupied by the troops at St. Denis in December last (1837) and certain damage to her property and effects has been proved in evidence.	121 16 5	69 15 10
151	Marie Therese Bistodeau, of St. Ours - - - - - This is a claim against Wolfred Nelson & Co., to whose estate the claimant is referred for her legal recourse.	25 - -	
152	Joseph Courtemanche, of St. Denis. The evidence not being complete in this claim, it will be reported hereafter.		
153	Joseph Edouard Mignault, of St. Denis - - - - - The claimant not coming within the terms of the Ordinance, the claim is rejected.	75 4 -	
154	Messire Lagorce, vicaire, of St. Denis - - - - - This is a claim for loss sustained during the occupation of the presbytère by the troops in December last (1837).	16 15 -	16 15 -
155	Joseph Thibeau, of St. Denis - - - - - This is a loyal Canadian. The troops under Colonel Gore, on the 2d December, took possession of claimant's house, and occasioned great damage to his property and effects.	111 15 2	108 3 2
156	Pierre Bourgeois, of St. Denis - - - - - The claimant not coming within the terms of the Ordinance, the claim is rejected.	30 9 7½	
157	Pierre Lamotte, fils, of St. Denis - - - - - The claimant is farmer to Madame St. Germain, but took no part in the rebellion of 1837. The claim is for damage done to his property and effects on the occupation of St. Denis by the troops.	70 11 11	60 - -
158	Denis Bousquet, of St. Denis - - - - - The claimant not coming within the terms of the Ordinance, the claim is rejected.	20 18 6	

NO. OF CLAIM.	NAME OF CLAIMANT, AND REMARKS.	AMOUNT CLAIMED.	AMOUNT AWARDED.
159	François Xavier Lenoir, dit Rolland, of St. Denis - - The claimant not coming within the terms of the Ordinance, the claim is rejected.	£. s. d. 41 - 7	£. s. d.
160	François Masseleau, of St. Denis - - - - - The claimant not coming within the terms of the Ordinance, the claim is rejected.	3 8 1	
161	François Lapierre, of St. Ours - - - - - This being a claim against the estate of Wolfred Nelson & Co., the claimant is referred to his legal recourse.	30 - -	
162	Veuve Deschambault, of St. Denis. This case not having been determined, the same will make part of a subsequent Report.		
163	Louis Fleury Deschambault, of St. Denis. The same as to this case.		
164	William Deschambault, M. D., of St. Denis. The same as to this case.		
165	Pierre Magnaut, of St. Ours. This case, not having been determined, will be included in a subsequent Report.		
166	Angelique Jaric, Veuve Menard, of St. Denis - - - This is a claim for damage done by the troops during their occupation of St. Denis last December (1837).	6 7 4	2 19 10
167	Joseph Bazinet, of St. Denis - - - - - This is a claim for damage done by the troops in their occupation of the village in December last (1837).	3 15 9½	3 15 9½
168	John Dods, of Montreal - - - - - This is a claim for indemnity for the destruction of his bridge at Isle Jésus by the rebels, during last December (1837). The claim is fully made out in evidence. The persons who destroyed the bridge have no property.	108 18 -	108 18 -
169	Charles Gordon O'Dogherty, surgeon, of St. Eustache - This case was heard at Montreal, the witnesses having come in for that purpose. It is a claim for the destruction of claimant's moveables, both by the rebels and by the necessary occupation of his house subsequently by Her Majesty's forces last December.	266 7 9	250 - -
TOTAL - - - £.		18,497 8 9½	7,426 3 3½

(signed) P. E. Leclere.  
E. A. Clark.  
C. Tait.

## INDEX.

NAMES OF CLAIMANTS.	NO. OF CLAIM.	NAMES OF CLAIMANTS.	NO. OF CLAIM.
Adams, James - - - -	10	Debartzch, P. D. (Honourable) -	11
Auclair, Jacques - - -	82	Deschambault, Henry - - -	23
Adams, Samuel - - - -	91	Dillaire, Guillaume - - -	24
Ayotte, Marie - - - -	121	Dormicourt, Therese & Louise -	25
Bonier, Rosalie - - - -	20	Dutalmé, Madame Veuve - - -	26
Beaudreault, Cyrile - - -	21	Dragon, dit Quoy, Joseph - - -	35
Bouvier, Louise - - - -	39	Daigle, Antoine - - - -	40
Bernard, J. B. - - - -	52	Dempster & Rodger - - - -	54
Benoit, Joseph - - - -	61	Dorion, Jacques. M.D. - - -	57
Brodeur, Louis - - - -	62	Drolet & Durocher - - - -	72
Bourchemin, Petit, dit Louis -	77	Durocher, J. B. E. - - - -	73
Bangs, Abel T. - - - -	100	Drolet, Joseph T. - - - -	74
Brault, Félicite - - - -	102	Demers, Messire - - - -	85
Bessette, Louis - - - -	103	Dufresne & Mace - - - -	86
Babin, Eloi - - - -	107	Desprès, E. C. - - - -	98
Benoit, François - - - -	113	Duvert, Louis Chicou - - -	120
Bissonette, Dorothée - - -	119	Duvert, François Chicou - - -	123
Boon, William - - - -	141	Dorion, Charles - - - -	124
Bistodeau, Marie Therese - - -	151	Ducharme, Tetro dit, J. B. - -	128
Bourgeois, Pierre - - - -	156	Dorion, Jacques - - - -	148
Bousquet, Denis - - - -	158	Deschambault, Veuve - - -	162
Bazinot, Joseph - - - -	167	Deschambault, William - - -	164
Creclman, John - - - -	4	Dods, John - - - -	168
Chaffers, William U. - - -	6	Deschambault, Louis Fleury - -	163
Casavant, Jean Baptiste - - -	7	Frechette, Josephite - - - -	19
Chenette, Joseph - - - -	22	Fredette, Jacques - - - -	28
Codert, Louis (père) - - -	64	Flower, W. - - - -	92
Chenette, Alexis (père) - - -	69	Fontaine, Jean Baptiste - - -	110
Chenette, Scholastique - - -	70	Guerout, Louis - - - -	29
Coté, François - - - -	71	Guerout, Mrs. (widow) - - -	30
Charron, Joseph - - - -	75	Godard, Michel - - - -	41
Charron, Michel - - - -	83	Germain, Joseph - - - -	45
Cornier, François - - - -	84	Greenshields, James - - - -	47
Chaume, Louis - - - -	88	Gaudrault, J. B. - - - -	56
Clarke, W. G. - - - -	90	Gaouette, Joseph - - - -	109
Cartier & Raymond - - - -	93	Guyon, Germain - - - -	116
Colburn, Thomas - - - -	135	Grenier, Augustin - - - -	118
Courtemanche, Denis - - -	138	Garant, François - - - -	127
Cormier, J. B. & Bissonette, Narcisse	139	Hudson's Bay Company - - -	2
Cherrier, Rosalie - - - -	142	Henderson, John - - - -	143
Charby, André - - - -	144	Hubert, Louis E. - - - -	111
Chamard, Olivier - - - -	145	Jacques, Joachim - - - -	67
Charpentiere, Joseph - - -	146	Johnson, Isaac - - - -	95
Chayer, J. B. - - - -	149	Kerouac, Leon - - - -	15
Cherrier, Marie Anne - - -	150		
Courtemanche, Joseph - - -	152		

LOSSES SUSTAINED DURING THE REBELLION IN LOWER CANADA 21

NAMES OF CLAIMANTS.	NO. OF CLAIM.	NAMES OF CLAIMANTS.	NO. OF CLAIM.
Logan, Thomas - - - -	9	Mignault, Joseph Edouard - -	153
Lussier, Christophe (père) - -	13	Masseleau, François - - -	160
Leduc, Antoine - - - -	14	Magnaut, Pierre - - -	165
Lapparc, Madame Veuve - -	27	Menard, Angelique Jarie (veuve) -	166
Lavigne, François X. - - -	42	Nutt, David - - - -	96
Lebœuf, Julien - - - -	43	Odell, Loop - - - -	94
Lavoie, Joseph Marie - - -	48	O'Dogherty, Charles Gordon -	169
L'Esperance, Simon - - -	51	Prentice, Edward - - - -	3
Lacroix, Ignace - - - -	53	Paquette, Veuve - - - -	32
Lemay, Theophile (père) - -	58	Phillips, William - - - -	33
Lemay, Theophile (junior) - -	59	Price, William, & Co. - - -	34
Lussier, Louis (fils) - - -	63	Perrin, Firmin - - - -	55
Leduc, Antoine (fils) - - -	66	Pine, Leonard - - - -	87
Lussier, Charles Christophe -	68	Pinet, Alexis - - - -	112
Laroque, Jean Marie - - -	76	Paradis, Veuve Augustin - -	129
L'Hybernois, Benoit dit, J. B.	78	Paradis, Emilie - - - -	130
Lemire, François Modeste - -	80	Paradis, Julie - - - -	131
Lyman, Horace - - - -	99	Paradis, Augustin - - - -	136
Lare, Benoni - - - -	104	Raymo, Jean E. - - - -	65
Labossière, Laurent - - -	105	Robinson, Seneca - - - -	97
Levêque, J. B. - - - -	106	Racine, Prudent - - - -	126
Labossière, Jean - - - -	108	Rolland, Lenoir dit, François X.	159
Leclère, Jean Olivier - - -	122	Richard, Germain - - - -	46
Leblanc, J. B. - - - -	147	St. Germain, Veuve - - - -	36
Lagorce, Messire - - - -	154	St. Germain, Elizabeth & Therese	37
Lamotte, Pierre (fils) - - -	157	Sawtell, Luther - - - -	38
Lapierre, François - - - -	161	St. George, Gabriel - - - -	89
Macdonell & Holmes - - -	1	Tétro, Emilie - - - -	12
Mullins, Francis - - - -	5	Tetu, Cecile Chabot - - -	115
Maranda, Jean Baptiste - -	16	Thibeaudeau, Joseph - - -	155
Mount, Francis - - - -	17	Vilbon, Michel Jean - - - -	8
Mullins, Francis - - - -	18	Vigeant, François - - - -	60
Masse, J. B. - - - -	31	Vincelette, Basile - - - -	114
Moge, Louis - - - -	44	Vallieres, Dominique - - -	137
Macbean, William - - - -	49	Wood, Thomas - - - -	101
Marchand & Soupras - - -	50	Warner, Seth - - - -	134
Marotte, Hyacinthe - - -	79	Whitfield, J. J. - - - -	117
Marchessault, Madame - - -	81		
Malot, Prudent - - - -	125		
Martelle, Caroline - - - -	132		
Masse, J. B. - - - -	133		
Minette, Joseph - - - -	140		

SECOND REPORT of the COMMISSION of INDEMNIFICATION, under 1 Vict. c. 7.  
February 1839.

To his Excellency Lieutenant-General Sir John Colborne, Knight Grand Cross of the Most Honourable Military Order of the Bath, and of the Royal Hanoverian Guelphic Order, Governor-General of all Her Majesty's Provinces on the Continent of North America, and of the Islands of Prince Edward and Newfoundland, and Captain-General and Governor-in-Chief in and over the Provinces of Lower Canada, Upper Canada, Nova Scotia, New Brunswick, and the Island of Prince Edward, and their several Dependencies, Vice-Admiral of and in the same, and Commander of all Her Majesty's Forces in the said Province of Lower and Upper Canada.

THE Commissioners appointed under the authority of the Provincial Ordinance, 1 Vict. c. 7, intituled, "An Ordinance to authorize the Appointment of Commissioners to investigate the Claims of certain loyal Inhabitants of this Province for Losses sustained during the late unnatural Rebellion," beg leave respectfully to submit to your Excellency the Second or Supplementary Report of their proceedings under the said Commission, as contained in the following pages.

The number of cases contained in the Report now submitted to your Excellency is 34; the pecuniary amount claimed is 13,195 *l.* 9 *s.* currency, and the amount awarded 3,723 *l.* 11 *s.* currency, showing a saving to Government of 9,471 *l.* 18 *s.* currency.

Montreal, }  
18 February 1839. }

(signed) P. E. Leclere.  
E. A. Clark.  
C. Tait.

NAMES of PERSONS who claimed COMPENSATION before the Commissioners, and the  
Amount of their Claims.

(No. 11.)

The Hon. P. D. Debartzch, of St. Charles.

THIS is a claim by the Honourable Pierre Dominique Debartzch, seignior of St. Charles, for compensation for losses sustained in consequence of the occupation of his property by the rebels under Thomas Storrow Brown, in November 1837; whence they were dislodged by a detachment of Her Majesty's troops, under the command of Colonel Wetherall, c. n., on the 25th of the same month.

The claimant's dwelling-house and premises were injured by the rebels during their occupation, and in the successful attack of the position by Colonel Wetherall, the dwelling-house received further injury. The farm-houses and barns, and their contents, were consumed by fire; and other buildings belonging to the claimant were unavoidably included in the conflagration. These several losses form the basis of the claim for compensation from Her Majesty's Government.

Mr. Debartzch having presented a petition to the Earl of Gosford, dated 10th January 1838, making application for a loan against an alleged loss sustained of 6,000 *l.*, the application was referred to the executive council on the 23d of the same month, and he received the sum of 1,000 *l.* currency, as such advance, on the 24th of that month.

Arbitrators were appointed by the Earl of Gosford and the claimant, to estimate the general amount of damage sustained, viz. the Honourable Francis Ward Primrose, on the part of the  
Government



Government, and the Honourable François Xavier Malhiot, on the part of the claimant. Their Report, dated 20th February 1838, referred to the undersigned Commissioners of Indemnification by the Civil Secretary of Government, has been found useful. The undersigned considered it a duty, nevertheless, to go through this claim *de novo*, to re-examine the former, and take the evidence of additional witnesses. The result of this examination they are now about to report to your Excellency.

Some of the items of the claimant's account are evidently estimated *à prix d'affection*,—a valuation that the Commissioners, in the discharge of the trust reposed in them, cannot be expected to recognize.

After a patient and close investigation of this claim, the undersigned estimate the loss sustained by Mr. Debartzch, as well by the occupation of his house and premises as by the means necessarily resorted to by Her Majesty's Government to dislodge the rebels, at the sum of 3,581*l.* 2*s.* 10*d.* currency, as appears by a bill of particulars annexed to this Report, and signed by the undersigned.

In the execution of the trust reposed in the undersigned, they deem it, however, their duty further to report to your Excellency, that the Ordinance, 1 Vict. c. 7, was passed for the relief of loyal subjects. The justice of the British Government decreed that all loyal subjects should be indemnified for losses incurred in consequence of the "unnatural rebellion" of 1837, losses which came upon them at the hands of the Queen's enemies, proceeding from no *laches* in their own bearing as good subjects, but, on the contrary, induced by a long course of undeviating loyalty to the Crown, and of attachment to the existing connexion between the mother country and this colony. The undersigned regret that they cannot report to your Excellency that such, in their opinion, is the position in which the claimant stands. It becomes their painful duty to declare that a strong and indelible impression exists in the public mind, that to the antecedent conduct of the claimant, whose influence over the Canadian population was at one time unbounded, is to be attributed the crisis of political excitement which terminated in the rebellion of 1837.

The undersigned are aware that, during the administration of the Earl of Gosford, the claimant abandoned the line of conduct he had pursued in conjunction with the leaders of the insurgent party; that he was not only raised to the dignity of executive councillor, but also enjoyed the good opinion of that nobleman, as shown by an autograph letter of Lord Gosford, written on the occasion of his Lordship's departure from the province, of which the original was communicated to, and a copy filed with, the undersigned by the claimant. But the effect of the example of his previous public life could not be done away by his simple change of conduct; the evil was too deeply rooted, and the claimant became an object of suspicion and dislike amongst those whom he had lately led with paramount influence. It appears to the Commission that, in revenge for his desertion of his former political partisans, his domain was converted into a rebel camp, and subjected to all the injury consequent on the reduction of the rebels by Her Majesty's forces, as already stated.

The establishment of a newspaper at the village of St. Charles, called the "Echo du Pays," was calculated, had it been judiciously and loyally managed, to elevate the minds and instruct the understanding of the rural population; but the press may be abused, and much of the public feeling to the prejudice of the claimant is to be attributed to the political articles which appeared in that paper, some of which were of the most violent and inflammatory character, openly aiming at the subversion of the Government, and others clearly calling upon the Canadian population to "draw the sword."

In the "Echo du Pays" of the 28th November 1833, it is declared, "Enfin pour finir, les Canadiens comprennent que s'il y a des inconveniens à tirer l'épée, il y en a de bien plus graves à redouter et de nuisible à leur nationalité en la laissant dans le fourreau." Again, in the same article, "Maintenant une grave question se presente, à quoi meneraient des bouleversemens? Des flots de sang couleraient, mais au prix de ce sang, les Canadiens gagneraient-ils la liberté et la force? Pourquoi non? Seraient-ils aveugles pour ne pas voir la lumière qui brille aux Etats Unis?" The undersigned have it in evidence, that at the period the "Echo du Pays" was disseminating such articles, the claimant must have been cognizant of all that appeared in its columns, being at the time not only proprietor, but the editor and proof-reader, and the object of the paper appears to the undersigned to have been that of subverting the Government.

After the most mature deliberation, the Commissioners, in the discharge of their duty, cannot but report to your Excellency that they are conscientiously of opinion, that the loss sustained by the Honourable Mr. Debartzch, the claimant, is in a great measure to be attributed to the line of policy followed by that gentleman, which led, according to evidence, to the rebellion of 1837. Under these circumstances, the undersigned, in execution of the solemn trust reposed in them, cannot take upon themselves to decide if the claimant were, at the time he sustained the loss, the loyal subject contemplated by the Ordinance, but feel it their duty to leave it to Her Majesty's Government to determine whether he comes within that category. If his conduct, previous to being called to the executive council, is to be taken as the criterion by which to judge of his loyalty, his claim must in their opinion be rejected; if, on the other hand, the claimant, having been called to the executive council, is to be considered as an extenuation of his antecedent political career, then his claim for indemnification might be sustained.

## 24 REPORT OF COMMISSIONERS RELATING TO COMPENSATION FOR

The undersigned have the honour to refer to their First General Report, dated 12th December 1838, in which they stated that Lachapelle, a person of substance in the parish of St. Marc, was actively concerned in the seizure of Mr. Debartzch's house, for the purpose of resisting Her Majesty's forces. They subjoin to the present Report a list of certain other persons, who are stated on oath to have been aiding and abetting on that occasion.

NO. OF CLAIM.	NAME OF CLAIMANT, AND REMARKS.	AMOUNT CLAIMED.	AMOUNT AWARDED.
13	<p>Christophe Lussier, père, of St. Charles - - - -</p> <p>This is a claim for indemnification for a house and moveables, barn, &amp;c., burned by the troops at St. Charles on the 25th and 26th November 1837. The premises were situated in advance of the rebel camp, and the proprietor, seeing the troops in march, had gone across the river Richelieu to St. Marc, leaving his house closed up; a party of rebels, of whom the claimant was not one, fired across the river from the left bank at the troops, and in the direction of the claimant's house: the house was burned on the 25th, and the barns on the 26th November. After strict examination, the Commissioners find nothing against the loyalty of the claimant, who is a respectable <i>habitant</i>, of good substance, and admit the claim, considerably reduced in amount.</p>	<p>£. s. d. 714 4 -</p>	<p>£. s. d. 523 19 -</p>
14	<p>Antoine Leduc, père, of St. Charles - - - -</p> <p>This is a claim for the destruction of a barn and its contents by the troops, on their advance to attack the rebel position at St. Charles, on the 25th November 1837. The claimant is a respectable <i>habitant</i>, whose loyalty is unimpeached. A party of rebels sent out from the camp at St. Charles to observe the advance of the troops, fired from behind his barn, which was immediately destroyed, as stated in the despatch of Colonel Wetherall; it contained several carriages and implements of husbandry, besides grain, hay, &amp;c.</p>	<p>211 6 8</p>	<p>163 17 11</p>
21	<p>Cyrile Beaudreault &amp; Co., of St. Denis - - - -</p> <p>The claimants do not come within the terms of the Ordinance; the claim is accordingly rejected.</p>	<p>551 7 2½</p>	
29	<p>Louis Guerout, Esq., of St. Denis - - - -</p> <p>This is a claim made by a loyal gentleman of St. Denis, now however of Granby, for loss sustained by the troops during their occupation of that village, on the second coming of Colonel Gore, amounting to 26 l. 5 s. There is also a claim against the estate of Wolfred Nelson &amp; Co. for 715 l. 17 s. 6 ½ d.: for the latter sum he is referred to his legal recourse against that estate.</p>	<p>752 2 6½</p>	<p>36 5 -</p>
30	<p>Widow Guerout, of St. Denis - - - -</p> <p>The claimant is referred to her legal recourse against the estate of Wolfred Nelson &amp; Co.</p>	<p>466 4 5</p>	
33	<p>William Phillips, Esq., of Quebec - - - -</p> <p>The claimant is referred to his legal recourse against the estate of Wolfred Nelson &amp; Co.; the debt, in the opinion of the Commissioners, not being a privileged one.</p>	<p>1,804 16 2</p>	
36	<p>Widow St. Germain, of St. Denis - - - -</p> <p>The claimant was proprietor of the large stone house at St. Denis, which was occupied by the rebels under Wolfred Nelson, and from which Her Majesty's forces, under Colonel Gore, were fired upon on the 23d November 1837. Although it appears she was greatly under the influence of Wolfred Nelson, who ruled the village</p>	<p>3,862 - -</p>	<p>2,127 7 1</p>

NO. OF CLAIM.	NAME OF CLAIMANT, AND REMARKS.	AMOUNT CLAIMED.	AMOUNT AWARDED.
		£. s. d.	£. s. d.
	<p>village with despotic sway, the undersigned, after diligent inquiry, are disposed to admit her claim for indemnification; they believe that her house, from its size, strength and situation, presented itself to the rebel leader as a good position for defence against the Queen's troops; that he seized the premises without the concurrence and against the consent of the claimant. The house is proved to have been full of furniture at the time of its occupation by the rebels, and although it was considerably damaged by them, and penetrated with balls from the howitzer, under Lieutenant Newcomen, R. A., the furniture did not receive material injury.</p> <p>The claim before the Commission is for the subsequent destruction of the house and moveables by the troops, on their second visit to St. Denis. After close examination on the point, it does not appear that the claimant had removed the furniture during the interval between the day of the attack and the return of the troops, a few articles only excepted.</p> <p>According to Madame St. Germain's statement, the house was burned under the impression that she was not a widow, and that, on the contrary, her husband had been actively engaged in the rebellion. The following is an extract from her deposition:—</p> <p>“Le Dimanche après l'incendie, la voiture du Colonel Gore est venu me chercher. Je m'y suis embarquée, et on m'a emmené chez M. Masse, où était le colonel. En entrant, j'ai vu le colonel, et lui dit, 'Je vous demande grace pour ma grange.' Il m'a répondu, 'Oui.' Il m'a pris après dans sa chambre, et me dit, 'Qu'il était chagrin d'avoir brûlé ma maison, ne sachant que j'étais veuve; qu'il croyait que j'avais un mari, qui avait pris parti dans la rebellion.' Il m'a dit, 'de ne pointe me chagriner, qu'il croyait qu'on m'indemniserait.'”</p> <p>The Commissioners were desirous of ascertaining from Colonel Gore the truth of the above representation, and also whether the colonel had any particular reason for burning the house beyond its having been occupied by the rebels on the 23d November, but they were not able to obtain the presence of that officer before the Commission.</p> <p>The claimant's husband died some years ago, with the reputation of a loyal subject; he held a commission in the militia at the time of his death. It is true that one of the claimant's sons was killed, with three other persons, by a cannon ball, but this son was twenty-five years of age, married, did not reside with his mother, and was beyond her control.</p> <p>The claim has been considerably reduced in amount, the indemnification allowed for the buildings being founded on the estimate of the sworn appraiser to the Commission.</p>		
46	<p>Germain, Richard, of St. Ours . . . . .</p> <p>This is a claim for 45<i>l.</i> 16<i>s.</i> 3<i>d.</i> currency, of which 7<i>l.</i> 1<i>s.</i> 3<i>d.</i> is for freight of certain grain seized by order of Government, as belonging to Wolfred Nelson &amp; Co., but which was subsequently given up to Prudent Malot, the proprietor, who, since the filing of this claim, has paid the said sum of 7<i>l.</i> 1<i>s.</i> 3<i>d.</i> to the claimant. The balance of the claim, 38<i>l.</i> 15<i>s.</i>, is for the detention of the claimant's vessel from the period of the seizure to the delivery of the grain.</p>	45 16 3	19 17 6
52	<p>J. B. Bernard, of Belœil . . . . .</p> <p>This being a claim against the late firm of Wolfred Nelson &amp; Co. of St. Denis, the claimant is referred to his legal recourse against that estate.</p>	1,190 12 4	

## 26 REPORT OF COMMISSIONERS RELATING TO COMPENSATION FOR:

NO. OF CLAIM.	NAME OF CLAIMANT, AND REMARKS.	AMOUNT CLAIMED.	AMOUNT AWARDED.
		£. s. d.	£. s. d.
55	Firmin Perrin, Esq., of St. Antoine - - - -	889 18 4	250 - -
	<p>This is a claim made by a loyal and praiseworthy Canadian magistrate, founded upon various items; viz. as well for property pillaged by the rebels under Wolfred Nelson in November 1837, as for loss sustained by the forced sale of large quantities of grain, in consequence of the seizure and detention of the same by Wolfred Nelson; also for general loss and injury to his commercial transactions. An action was brought by the claimant in the King's Bench against Wolfred Nelson, on which judgment has been obtained in favour of claimant for 400 l. Upon the judgment execution has been levied, and certain monies are now returned before the said court for distribution. The Commissioners are therefore unable to report upon this part of the claim until after the discussion of the property of Wolfred Nelson, and the final distribution of the proceeds.</p> <p>They deem it, however, their duty, in consequence of the well-known loyalty of the claimant, in a neighbourhood inhabited by persons disaffected to the Government, and as a special compensation for the actual loss he has sustained, and the vexation he has been put to, as well as an example to others, to recommend that the sum of 250 l. currency be granted to him in lieu of all claims upon Her Majesty's Government.</p>		
57	Jacques Dorion, M.D., of St. Denis - - - -	7 2 6	7 2 6
	<p>This is a claim for certain articles taken from the claimant's house by a party belonging to the troops under the command of Colonel Gore, on their second march to St. Denis.</p>		
61	Joseph Benoit, Esq., of St. Hyacinthe - - - -	200 - -	
	<p>This is a claim against the late firm of Wolfred Nelson &amp; Co.; and the claimant is therefore referred to his legal recourse against the estate.</p>		
63	Louis Lussier, fils, of St. Charles - - - -	13 10 2	9 - -
	<p>This is a claim for the destruction of the claimant's wearing apparel, &amp;c. in the house of Charles Christophe Lussier (No. 68).</p>		
68	Charles Christophe Lussier, fils, of St. Charles - -	198 2 -	126 5 8
	<p>This is a claim for the destruction of a house, with furniture, clothing, &amp;c. adjoining to the house and premises of Christophe Lussier, père, (No. 13.) and burned at the same time, and from the same cause.</p>		
78	Joseph Benoit, dit L'Hybernois, of St. Charles - -	134 1 9	89 7 8
	<p>This man inhabited a farm-house belonging to the Honourable P. D. Debartzch, which was burned by the troops on their advance to the attack on St. Charles on the 25th November 1837. The value of the house is included in the claim made by Mr. Debartzch (No. 11). The claim is for moveables, clothing, &amp;c., and the loyalty of the claimant being unimpeached, it is admitted, with deductions.</p>		
89	Gabriel St. George, of St. Charles - - - -	15 11 4	10 8 3
	<p>The claimant lived in the house inhabited by Joseph Benoit, dit L'Hybernois (No. 78), and lost his wearing apparel when that house was burned as above. The claimant was sent with a message, as is supposed, from Lieutenant-colonel Gury to Thomas Storrow Brown, calling upon him to lay down his arms; but the action commenced before he was able to return with the answer of Brown, which was to the effect, "that if the troops would leave their artillery and arms, he would allow them to return to Montreal!"</p>		

NO. OF CLAIM.	NAME OF CLAIMANT, AND REMARKS.	AMOUNT CLAIMED.	AMOUNT AWARDED.
		£. s. d.	£. s. d.
93	<p>Cartier and Raymond, of St. Hyacinthe.</p> <p>This case has been commenced, but the parties not having used becoming diligence, it cannot be included in this Report. Mr. Cartier has been summoned, but has not yet appeared.</p>		
98	<p>Etienne Couillard Desprès, of St. Hyacinthe . . . .</p> <p>This is a loyal man, who exerted himself to prevent the rising of the <i>habitans</i> in his neighbourhood at the commencement of the rebellion of 1837. He cut down the liberty pole opposite to the house of Madame Dessaulles, at St. Hyacinthe, which had been erected there in honour of L. J. Papineau. His claim is generally for loss of time, and for expenses incurred in residing in Montreal with his family, having been obliged to fly from St. Hyacinthe. The Commissioners cannot entertain the claim, no actual loss having been sustained.</p>	103 7 6	
109	<p>Joseph Gaouette, of St. Hyacinthe . . . . .</p> <p>This is a claim for loss of time, and for a gratuity, in consequence of the claimant's conduct in opposing the rebels, who threatened and ill-treated him. As in the preceding case, the Commissioners cannot entertain it.</p>	73 - -	
113	<p>François Benoit, of Longueuil . . . . .</p> <p>This is a claim for articles taken from claimant by the troops during their occupation of Longueuil, on the occasion of the arrest of Demerais and Davignon.</p>	7 14 6	5 3 -
114	<p>Basile Vincelette, of Longueuil . . . . .</p> <p>This is a case precisely similar to the one preceding.</p>	11 12 9	7 15 2
120	<p>Louis Chicou Duvert, of St. Charles.</p> <p>The Commissioners beg to refer to their original Report in favour of the claimant, previous to the addition of the Note appended to it.* They have since taken additional evidence, which has removed the doubts they entertained when that note was written, and they adhere to their original award in claimant's favour, as carried out in the columns of their First Report, dated 12th December 1838.</p>		
* See p. 15, 16.			
121	<p>Marie Ayotte, of St. Denis . . . . .</p> <p>This is a claim made by a single woman for loss of her wearing apparel, taken away from her mother's house at St. Denis, during its occupation by the troops and volunteers in 1837.</p>	18 14 2	12 10 -
125	<p>Prudent Malot, of Belœil . . . . .</p> <p>This being a claim against the firm of Wolfred Nelson &amp; Co., of St. Denis, the claimant is referred to his legal recourse.</p>	408 7 3	
129	<p>Widow Paradis, of St. Charles . . . . .</p> <p>This is a claim made by a loyal and respectable woman, mother of the claimants under the three next numbers. Immediately after the capture of St. Charles by the troops under Colonel Wetherall, her house was pillaged, and she suffered great damage, as well in the loss of her own as of her daughter's clothing.</p>	10 1 8	6 14 6
130	<p>Emilie Paradis, of St. Charles . . . . .</p> <p>Daughter of the above.</p>	24 16 7	16 11 -
131	<p>Julie Paradis, of St. Charles . . . . .</p> <p>Another daughter of the claimant, No. 129.</p>	21 19 8	14 13 4
136	<p>Augustin Paradis, of St. Charles . . . . .</p> <p>Son of the claimant, No. 129.</p>	50 13 10	33 15 10

28 REPORT OF COMMISSIONERS RELATING TO COMPENSATION FOR

NO. OF CLAIM.	NAME OF CLAIMANT, AND REMARKS.	AMOUNT CLAIMED.	AMOUNT AWARDED.
141	William Boon, of St. Denis - - - - - This is a claim by a loyal man for damage done to his house, moveables and effects at St. Denis, in November 1837, partly by the rebels and partly by the troops, amounting to 51 l. 17 s. 6 d. There is also a claim for wages due to claimant by Wolfred Nelson & Co., amounting to 94 l. 0 s. 6 d; for the latter amount he is referred to his legal recourse against the estate.	£. s. d. 145 18 -	£. s. d. 38 18 -
143	John Henderson, of Beaufort - - - - - The claimant is referred to his legal recourse against the estate of Wolfred Nelson & Co.	312 15 -	
145	Oliver Chamard, of St. Denis - - - - - This is a claim for damages sustained during the occupation of St. Denis by the Queen's forces in December 1837, amounting to 112 l. 9 s. 1 d. currency, and also for 649 l. 4 s. 4 d. currency, due to claimant by the firm of W. Nelson & Co.; for the latter he is referred to his legal recourse against the estate. The former claim is admitted, the claimant not having appeared, by evidence, to have carried his views of reform beyond constitutional bounds having on the contrary totally disapproved the proceedings of the insurgents, and done all in his power to dissuade the <i>habitans</i> from continuing their hostility to lawful authority.	761 - -	112 9 1
152	Joseph Courtmanche, of St. Denis - - - - - The claimant not coming within the terms of the Ordinance, the claim is rejected.	20 13 2½	
162	Widow Deschambault, of St. Denis - - - - - This is a claim by the seigneuresse of St. Denis for indemnification for the loss of several articles of value, jewellery, &c. deposited by her in the house of Madame Guerout, and taken away by the troops during the occupation of St. Denis in December 1837.	167 5 10	111 10 6
		£. 13,195 9 -	3,723 11 -

(signed) P. E. Leclerc.  
E. A. Clark.  
C. Tait.

BILL of PARTICULARS referred to in claim No. 11, by the Honourable *Pierre Dominique Debartzch*.

Loss and damage done to houses, buildings and engine, as estimated by Commissioners on the items Nos. 1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13 and 22 of the claimant's account, filed before the undersigned.	£. s. d. 1,888 7 10	
Loss and damage done to moveable property, being on items Nos. 2, 3, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21 and 23 of claimant's account - - - - -	1,199 18 -	
One-half of the unthrashed grain and straw (the other half belonging to Charron, the claimant's farmer), and being on items Nos. 14, 15 and 16 of claimant's account	201 12 6	
Loss and damage to library - - - - -	291 4 6	
Amounting to the sum of Three thousand five hundred and eighty-one pounds two shillings and ten-pence currency - - - - -	3,581 2 10	

This is the Bill of Particulars referred to in case No. 11, the Honourable *Pierre Dominique Debartzch's* claim: see page 22 of this Report.

(signed) P. E. Leclerc.  
E. A. Clark.  
C. Tait.

LIST of REBELS actively concerned in the Seizure of Mr. *Debartzch's* House in November 1837, referred to in page 22 of this Report.

H. Gauvin.  
 R. Desrivières.  
 — Desrivières.  
 T. S. Brown.  
 Baptiste Bonnet.  
 ——— Odelin.  
 ——— Ducharme.  
 Denis Courtemache.  
 Dominique Vallières.  
 J. B. Cormier.  
 Boucher de Belleville.  
 Captain Lachapelle.

S. Marchesseault.  
 Louis Brodeur.  
 Alexandre Drolet.  
 Charles Drolet.  
 J. T. Drolet.  
 J. B. E. Dorocher.  
 F. X. Lemire.  
 Joachim Jacques.  
 Louis Chaume.  
 J. B. Bougret, dit Dufort.  
 Prudent Racine.

I N D E X.

NAMES OF CLAIMANTS.	NO. OF CLAIM.	NAMES OF CLAIMANTS.	NO. OF CLAIM.
Ayotte, Marie - - - -	121	Henderson, John - - - -	143
Benoit, Francis - - - -	113	Leduc, Antoine - - - -	14
Benoit, dit L'Hybernois - - - -	78	L'Hybernois, Bcnoit dit - - - -	78
Benoit, Joseph - - - -	61	Lussier, Christophe - - - -	13
Bernard, J. B. - - - -	52	Lussier, Louis - - - -	63
Boon, William - - - -	141	Lussier, Charles C. - - - -	68
Beaudreault, Cyrille - - - -	21	Malot, Prudent - - - -	125
Cartier and Raymond - - - -	93	Paradis, Widow - - - -	129
Chamard, Olivier - - - -	145	Paradis, Emilie - - - -	130
Courtemanche, Joseph - - - -	152	Paradis, Julie - - - -	131
Debartzch, Hon. P. D. - - - -	11	Paradis, Augustin - - - -	136
Deschambault, Widow - - - -	162	Perrin, F. - - - -	55
Desprès, E. C. - - - -	98	Phillips, W. - - - -	33
Dorion, Jacques - - - -	57	Richard, Germain - - - -	46
Duvert, L. C. - - - -	120	St. George, Gabriel - - - -	89
Gaouette, Joseph - - - -	109	St. Germain, Widow - - - -	36
Guerout, Louis - - - -	29	Vincelette, Basile - - - -	114
Guerout, Widow - - - -	30		