IMAGE EVALUATION TEST TARGET (MT-3)



Photographic Sciences Corporation

23 WEST MAIN STREET WEBSTER, N.V. 14580 (716) 872-4503

STATE OF THE STATE

CIHM/ICMH Microfiche Series. CIHM/ICMH Collection de microfiches.



Canadian Institute for Historical Microreproductions / Institut canadien de microreproductions historiques



(C) 1986

Technical and Bibliographic Notes/Notes techniques et bibliographiques

T p o fi

O be the si of file si of

	12X	16X		20X		24X		28X		32X
					1					
This ite Ce doc 10X	em is filmed at t sument est filmé 14)	au teux de ré	etio checked duction indiq 18X	ué ci-dess	ous. 22X		26X		30X	
	Additional comm Commenteires su	ents:/ pplémentaire:	s :							
i	Blank leeves add appear within the neve been omitte il se peut que ce lors d'une restau mals, iorsque cel pes été filmées.	e text. Whene ed from filmin rtaines pages ration apparai	ver possible, g/ blanches ajou ssant dans le	itées		slips, tis ensure ti Les page obscurci etc., ont	sues, etc. he best pe es totalem es per un	, have be ossible in ent ou pa feuillet d es à nous	en refilme nage/ artielleme l'errata, ui veau de fa	ed to nt
:	along interior ma Le re liure serrée distorsion le long	irgin/ paut causar d	a l'ombra ou			Seuia éc	ition avail lition disp holly or s	onible	bscured b	V Afrata
ш ,	Bound with othe Railé avec d'autr Tight binding ma	es documents				inciudes Compra	suppiem nd du ma	entary m tériel sup	eterial/ piémenta	ire
ı ب	Coloured plates Planches et/ou i	iiustrations an	itions/ couleur			Quality Qualité	of print v inégale d	aries/ e l'impres	ssion	
	Coloured ink (i.e Encre de couleur	r (i.e. autra qu	e biaue ou no	/ oira)		Showth Transpa	rough/ rance			
	Coloured maps/ Cartes géograph		Bur			Peges d	letached/ létechées			
	Cover title missi Le titre de couve	ing/ erture manque				Pages d	liscoloure lécolorée:	d, staine s, techeté	d or foxed les ou piq	i/ uées
	Covers restored Couvertura rest					Pages r	estored a	nd/or lan	ninated/ olliculées	
	Covers damage Couvarture ende						damaged, endomma			
	Coloured covers Couverture de c			242		Colours Pages	ed pages/ da couleu	, . r		
whic	th may altar any aduction, or which suel method of	of the images th may signifi	In the cantly change	• •	poin une mod	et exem it de vue imaga re lification	piaire qui bibliogra eproduite	sont peu phique, c , ou qui p méthode	it-être uni qui peuvei seuvent ex normale d	ques du nt modifi
origi	Institute has atti nai copy availeb which may be t	le for filming.	Features of t	his	qu'ii	lui e ét	o possible	de se pr	ocurer. Le	e dátaile

er une filmage

errata to

pelure, an a

iira détails ues du modifier

The images appearing here are the best quality possible considering the condition and legibility of the original copy and in keeping with the filming contract specifications.

Douglas Library

The copy filmed here has been reproduced thanks

Queen's University

to the generosity of:

Original copies in printed paper covers are filmed beginning with the front cover and ending on the last page with e printed or illustrated impression, or the beck cover when appropriate. Ail other original copies ere filmed beginning on the first page with a printed or iliustrated impression, end ending on the last page with a printed or iliustreted impression.

The last recorded frame on each microfiche shail contain the symbol --- (meaning "CON-TINUED"), or the symbol ▼ 'meaning "END"), whichever applies.

Maps, plates, charts, etc., may be filmed at different reduction ratios. Those too large to be entirely included in one exposure are filmed baginning in the upper left hand corner, left to right and top to bottom, as many frames as required. The following diagrams lilustrate the method:

> 1 3

L'exemplaire filmé fut reproduit grâce à la générosité de:

> **Dougies Library** Queen's University

Les images suivantes ont été reproduites avac le pius grand soin, compte tenu de la condition et de la netteté de l'exemplaire filmé, et en conformité avec las conditions du contrat de filmage.

Les exemplaires originaux dont la couverture en papier est imprimée sont filmés en commençant par le premier plat et en terminant soit par la darnière page qui comporte une empreinte d'impression ou d'iliustration, soit par le second piat, seion le cas. Tous les autres exemplaires originaux sont filmés en commençant par la première page qui comporte une empreinte d'impression ou d'iliustration et en terminant par ie dernière page qui comporte une teile ampreinte.

Un des symboles sulvants apparaîtra sur la dernière image de chaque microfiche, seion le cas: ie symbole -- signifie "A SUIVRE", ie symbola V signifie "FiN".

Les cartes, planches, tableaux, etc., pauvent être filmés à des taux de réduction différents. Lorsque le document est trop grand pour être reproduit en un seui cliché, il est filmé à partir de l'angle supérieur gauche, de gauche à droite, et de haut en bas, on prenant le nombra d'Images nécessaire. Les diegrammes suivants iliustrent is méthods.

1	
2	
3	

1	2	3		
4	5	6		

M dial reas a s to s own I have symmetric to the course of the course of

"CANADA AS IT

AN ADDRESS

DELIVERED BEFORE THE COMMERCIAL CLUB, OF PROVIDENCE, R.I., ON SATURDAY, 28TH NOVEMBER, 1891, BY THE

HONOURABLE J. A. CHAPLEAU,

SECRETARY OF STATE OF CANADA.

Mr. Chairman and Gentlemen,-The cordiality of the welcome you have given me reassures me against the natural fear which a stranger must experience in venturing to address, in a language foreign to his own, such an assemblage as I see before me. I had felt honoured by the kind and flattering invitation tendered to me by your clnb: and now, even before I have accomplished the ardnors task I have undertaken in accepting your invitation, I feel rejoiced and happy to have accepted it, when I look at the sympathetic faces, when I hear the sympathetic greetings with which you receive me. I imderstand now why I was not stopped by the American customs officer in entering this country; that intelligent officer must have at once understood that my engagement here was not an alien labour contract, but a most pleasurable visit to a beantiful and most hospitable city. Certainly I could not desire to have a more intelligent and representative andience of the American people than I have Smallest of all among the here to-night. States of the union, Rhode Island, like the little tribe of Benjamin among the twelve tribes of Israel, has always stood among the foremost of the brotherhood of the republics of the western continent. Foremost in order of history, for, was it not here that the Northmen settled 500 years before Colmnbus crossed the ocean? foremost in the gay

the crowned queen of society; foremost in nunufacturing enterprise in proportion to its population; foremost in its unequalied library to which students of American history throughout the world must come, and in the front rank of intellect by its university, the Alma Mater of so many

BRILLIANT AND DISTINGUISHED MEN.

That splendid pile of university buildings, your public library, your athenaeum, all with their magnificent collections of books, going np into high scores of thonsands, are monnments of your greatness that put to shame populations of five times your magnitude. (Cheers.) Your State enjoys the proud distinction of having inaugurated the real development of the cotton manufacturing industry on this continent, an industry that has grown to proportions so colossal since Samnel Slater's modest initial efforts at Providence and at Pawtucket Falis. here and looking back into the pages of history, I am reminded that this city of yours is on sacred ground. Sacred to the cause of religious liberty which here had its birthplace, and sacred to the memory of Roger Williams, "one," if I am allowed to quote a high-minded Protestant writer, "of the sweetest sonis with which God ever adorned the earth we tread." Political liberty you who dwell in New England always had in world of fashion so long as Newport remains abundance, but religions liberty you had not,

FC 249. C48

nor did it anywhere exist in the English colonies until, in the mind of Roger Williams, there dawned the Idea of liberty of the soul. I say nowhere else, for even the charter of Maryland excluded Unitarians. First of all, in this city of Providence, was announced the only theory under which men can live in harmony and peace-

THE PRINCIPLE OF RELIGIOUS LABERTY.

If, then, gentlemen, the history of your fair city raises such noble thoughts, how much does its name, Providence?—"La Providence," for the word is a French word too. How it raises our thoughts to the Father of all men whose hand guides the destiny of nations as well as of men-who protected Roger Williams in the wilderness of Narragansett and Champiain on the shore of the great river to the north.

Gentlemen, as I look around and see in your beautiful city, and in an audience such as this, the evidence of prosperity and culture, I can see now bountifully Providence has blessed you. Hls hand has led you along the checkered path of your destiny and brought you out in peace and plenty. I rejoice at it-and as I think of your career and that of the great Union of Republics of which you form part—as I picture, in my imagination, the opening vistas of your increasing prosperity, I rejoice-for, in the family of nations, we are learning that the prosperlty of one is the prosperity of all.

Gentiemen-sons of Roger Williams-children of Providence-can there be a Providence for you and none for us? You know that cannot be. We men of the north feel and know that we also have a history and a career and a destiny before us, and that the luminous star which has guided you will also guide us. We feel that Providence has entrusted to our hands the development of the northern half of this continent, and we are not cowards to skrink from our task.

Men may come here and tell you that the political party I represent are actuated by hostile feelings to you; if they speak so they tell falsehoods. (Hear, hear and cheers.) Yes, falsehoods. (Hear, hear, and cheers.) feelings are kindly, and we are as desirous as they are of extending the intercourse between our country and yours to the farthest limit of friendship consistent with maniy dig-

difference between their party and mine is that my party believes in the destinies of Canada, theirs does not. My party believes in a Providential career for our country, their party thinks that there is no Providence save for others. My firm belief ls that your country and mine can go on, each in his own sphere, developing the resources of this continent, side by side in brotherly amity, distinguished by these individual differences which mark the members of one honsehold, but bearing the family lineaments of civil and political liberty which stamp the races from which we have sprung.

CANADA AND THE UNITED STATES,

placed side by side by nature, must either be friends or enemies. They are too near neighbours, they have too many interests in common, too much ambition of the same kind, to be indifferent to each other. I think it is time they should be friends. (Hear, hear.) If we look back to the past, we find that the two countries started the same year in the race of life. Quebec and Jamestown of Virginia, were both founded in 1608; New England was planted later on. The young Colonies were not out of their leading strings when they began that long series of wars which only ended by the cession of Canada. Those were hard times, when force relgned supreme, when the life of man was counted for very little; when both countries seemed to borrow the ferocities of the Indians. Your ancestors were accused of having sent the Mohawks to butcher, in the dead of night, te inhabitants of Lachine. My ancestors retaliated by sending expeditions against Deerfield and Haverhill, to accomplish massacres which were considered great deeds in those times. And to think that Canadians have travelled, for that glorlous deed, all the way from Montreal to Massachusetts on snowshoes, in the middle of winter! ally the seven years war put an end to the struggle and you came out victors. The colony of New France, had practically been abandoned by the Mother Country, who did not much value "these few acres of snow," as Voltaire called Canada. It was from Boston, and, therefore, from the territory of Rhode Island that the hardest blows were directed against New France. It was so much so that the English colonists were known in nity. Why should we not be so? But the Canada, not as the Americans, but as the Bosl mine is stinles of y believes country, no Provibellef is on, each resources brotherly ldual difs of one neaments

tamp the either be too near erests in he same I think ar, hear.) find that year in stown of 8; New e young g strings of wars Canada. reigned, counted seemed ıs. Your sent the of night, ncestors against sh masdeeds in madians eed, all

setts on

! Fin-

l to the

The col-

iy been

who did

snow,"

om Bos-

tory of

rere dir-

o much

iown in

the Bos-

tonians (les Bostonnais), a name by which the people of the United States were known along the shores of the St. Lawrence, up to a very few years ago.

One feature has always struck me when reading the history of those eventful times: It is the strange, if not the deep, diplomney by which your forefathers alternately used England to turn the French out of Canada. and then used the power of France to drive the English out of this country.

But, gentlemen, that is the history of the past, and, thank heaven, it is forgotten, in this sense, that no evil feeling survives those terrible times. I am not exaggerating when I say that there is no nation under the sun that has more prestige in the eyes of the Canadlans than the Americans. We share the admiration of the world for your greatness, your progress, your Institutions, which we would envy if we did not enjoy the same liberties as those you are blessed with. Like the United States, Canada is a democracy organized on a liberal basis, where the race for power, wealth and honours is open to all; where men at the helm to-day have mostly all come from the humblest ranks of society.

And now, gentlemen, let me again turn back to the pages of history, and from its teachings explain to you the real "struggle in Canada," and the position of "Canada as It is." Let me show you the true Issue which lately returned to power those who are new ruling our country, and clear away from your minds those mists of misconception which our enemies have thrown around it in order to disgnise their own folly and fallure. Let me tell you of some of the people who founded my northland home.

Very little more than 100 years ago there salled from the port of New York a fleet of English ships, bearing with it one of the saddest burdens recorded in history, but one full also of lessons of hope and of courage. was the fleet which carried

THE UNITED EMPIRE LOYALISTS,

seeking in the wilderness new homes and political institutions after their own hearts. That was a small part of the total emigration; yet, in the space of a few weeks, twelve thousand souls-men, women and chil-

York. They were not obscure or unknown They were mostly from the edupeople. cated classes of colonists-owners of property and professional men—but there were people among them of all classes of society. Many of them had served the King in arms. They had fugit for a great iden-they were unionists against secessionists and had fought for the organic union of the Anglo-Saxon Few of them had approved of the parliamentary measures which precipitated the Revolution; but, in war, only two sides are possible, and they chose that which, in their view, had the better right. They left behind them broad cultivated fields and roomy mansions to begin the world anew in iog huts and tents. The fleet carried them. to the rocky coasts of Acadla, a name which covers the territory now known as New Brunswick and Nova Scotla. New Brunswick was not known for years after as a separate Province, and but a handful of people were scattered over that immense territory.

Other exiles streamed over the northern border of the colonles which had become the United States. They entered what is now the prosperous Province of Ontario, then a wilderness of forests roamed through by scattered bands of Misslauga Indians. Their strong arms and brave hearts supported them in their arduous labours, and they built up in Ontario, as in New Brunswick and Nova Scotia, political institutions unsurpassed in the union of freedom with order, by anything which the genius of the Anglo-Saxon race has produced elsewhere. became farmers in the western province and on the Atlantic coast, they became sallors; or, rather they continued to be sallors, for the settlers were chiefly from the seaboard colonles; and at this very day, owing to their maritime enterprise and skill, the Dominion of Canada stands fourth among the nations of the world in the registered tonnage of ship-Thus the loyalists proceeded to clear up a new land for themselves-now the Dominion of Canada.

Loyallsts! A strange word that—singularly antiquated; for are not all the "enlightened" asking what is loyalty? Why should an Illusion of past ages invade the domain of practical politics? These absurd peoplethese ancestors of ours-only 100 years ago dren-sailed from that single port of New actually had political principles! Loyalty is

the honour of nations, an abstract idea which "disiliusionized" people do not apprehend. Practical men sucer at such abstractions, but practical men are, in such matters, the most inconsequential in the whole world. The world is, and always has been ruled by ideas, for man does not live by bread alone, and nations which lose their ideals disappear, not having any real inner continuity of life. Loyalty in a people is what character is in a man, the inner and abiding principle which shapes his outward conduct to one definite and stendily consistent type and grows stronger in thus shaping it. Loyalty is that which holds together the congeries of races and tongues called Switzerland, and which saved the United States in the great civil war.

So much for one element which had a large share in making the history of Canada, but intermingied with them was a people of noble and ancient lineage, to whom I am proud to belong-a people isolated from the parent stock-a people abandoned by their natural parents, who found in the British Crown, though alien in race, in language and religion, a friend and protector when their need was the sorest, and under whose sway they enjoyed that liberty of the soul of which Roger Williams had dreamed. Is 1t any wonder, then, gentlemen, that gratitude with the French colonists should soon have developed into loyalty, and that there should have sprung up a deep-rooted feeling of attachment to the British Crown as the tried guardian of their language, their institutions and their laws? (Cheers.) With such a stock of men, strong hearted, level headed. patient toilers of the land and sea, Canada was well equipped for all emergencies. against open agression as well as subtle and tortuous methods of encroaciment. Gold knows we were spared neither of those. Whether we look back in o our memories or listen to our grandparents, we find that every decade had brought its own troubles and alarms. There were the Maine boundary, the Oregon question, the sympathizers of '37, the 'codfish war,' the Fenian raids, and other weary disputes, during every one of which our speedy and irretrievable ruin has been confidently predicted; just as our candid friends are now cheerfully waiting the appalling results of the McKinley tariff

dent and thinking men who happened to govern our country during those irritating times, relying upon the loyalty and the tried experience of the people, succeeded in preserving confidence at home and peace abroad. We had the extraordinary case of a Prime Minister reigning almost supreme over a democratic community during over a quarter of a century almost without interruption. (Hear, hear, and cheers.) However, it must be admitted that

THE PRESENT CANADIAN OPPOSITION

had good reason to anticipate success at the elections which would necessarily have taken place in the antunm, for the sixth parliament was in the last year of its life. The influence of the Local Government was in their favour in all the Provinces. They had been out of power since 1878, and It was thei: turn; for a Government so long in office as the present Dominion Cubinet must make many active enemies and lukewarm friends. The farmers were uncomfortable and disposed for a change when the Opposition committed the irretrievable blunder of identifying their party with a policy of unrestricted reciprocity with the United States, and thus traversing the continuous traditions of Canadian sentiment and history. Sir John Macdonald watched the moment when they should become hopelessly committed, and then unexpectedly dissolved the House and threw himself upon the national feeling of the people. The battle was fought politically, as far as party programme went, on a protectionist basis, but really upon a far deeper issue-that of national existence. No doubt this was disclaimed by the Opposition. doubt Sir Richard Cartwright comes of a good loyal stock. No doubt Mr. Laurier would deprecate a union which would dwarf the importance of his race and religion, but covered up though it was in every way, the issue was there, and the quick sense of

THE PEOPLE DETECTED IT AT ONCE.

and alarms. There were the Maine boundary, the Oregon question, the sympathizers of '37, the 'codiish war,' the Fenian raids, and other weary disputes, during every one of which our speedy and irretrievable ruin has been confidently predicted; just as our candid friends are now cheerfully waiting the appalling results of the McKinley tariff to overtake us. But with all this, the prunch are felt that in a "dicker" with the United States Government, the national independence was safer in the hands of the present Cabinet than in that of their opponents. That a proposition to permit the United States Government to regulate our commerce and settle our tariff should have secured even the measure of support it did ought to suggest much searching of con-

ppened e irriy nud cceeded l peace case of upreme over a luter-How-

taken parlla-The was in They lt was n office make riends. dlsposommit⊦ tifylng ed recl-

ut the

us tra-Can-John n they , and se and lng of tleally, n prodeeper doubt

No of a aurier dwarf n, but y, the

h the ual inof the oppolt: the e our luve it did

t con-

The power science to our present rulers. proposed to be handed over so frankly to Washington we had won after a long and hard struggle with our own motherland. was a thing above all others of which we were most jealous, and yet, at the last election, an Important inhority voted apparently to yield it up to the United States. stone is good enough to throw at a political antagonist, and once in power the Opposltion would feel its responsibilities; but to permit Congress to close our ports against Great Britain by means of the McKiniey tariff, or any such Chluese legislation as It may adopt, is not a declaration of independence-something might be said for thatbut a remuciation of Independence and a declaration of abject dependence which would stagger the self-respect of the smallest Central American Republic. Such a polley would rapidly diminish the imports from England and France, and atterly destroy our own manufactures. Theu, after 10 or 12 years, the Detroit experience would be re-We should be told that we ought not to expect the advantages of free trade with the United States unless we are prepared to share all the burdens of citizens. Then with our manufactures ruined and our self-respect gone we should be compelled to sneak by a back way into the American Union, instead of entering it like free men by free men's votes. But, say "superior persens," why resist the inevltable? Annexation must come sooner or later, and they point to the wealth of the United Statesits millionaires, the greatest in the world. The reply is easy. Very rich men are not a strength, but a weakness to a state. (Hear, Enormous disparity of fortune has always been a sign of impending change and the stability of a state rests rather upon the absence of very poor men than upon the presence of very rich ones. Again the "Review of Reviews" assures us in connection with a portrait of Mr. Wiman that "Canada is the outer fringe" upon a great industrial community of which it should normally be an integral portion. Canada has not the material resources of the United States, but she has existed independent of them since the settlement of America; first as French Canada, then as Canada of the exlies. and now as Canada of a mited people, and

she should not continue independent. Moreover, let it be granted that eventually that is her fate, it is no reason why she should rush to lt. A man of sense does not shoot himself because he must dle some day Theers and laughter.)

A good deal has been said, at various times In our history, ubont the invasion of Canada from the United States. There might be some reason of late to talk about the lavaslon of the United States from Canada. Contrary to the enstom of (Hear, hear,) war, however, the invaders from Canada reecivo the

KINDEST RECEPTION IN THIS COUNTRY

Some become eltizens of the United States and help to lucrease your prosperity. Some come here for the purpose of negotiating treatles, not always with success. And some, like myself, come at the kindest of invitations to deliver public addresses on public questions. And all of them have occasion to say, at the end of the visit, what Artenus Ward is reported to have said to the people of a western town: "Gentlemen, I never was in a place where I was treated so well-nor, may I add, so often." (Laughter.) This kludly treatment, well and often, did not begin to-day. Long ago, ln 1854, Lord Elgin was received in the United States with a remarkable enthusiasm. (Cheers.) In 1850 the people of Buffaio gave him u reception on the occasion of a formal visit to the Welland Canal, and an annising story has been told by the mayor of Buffalo at the time. An enthusiastic guest, as he listened to Lord Elghi, said: "Fine fellow. comes here we'll make him mayor." As the speech went on, the enthusiastic gentleman said exeitedly, "By George, we'd make him Governor of the State." And finally as the eloquent orator worked on the feelings of the andience, the mayor's friend slapped the mayor on the shoulder and cried, "Heavens, we'll make him president-nothing less than president." (Cheers and laughter.) In 1865, one of the greatest orators that Canada ever produced, Hon. Joseph Howe, was present at the great convention at Detroit and defivered an address on the occasion which deserves to be considered as one of the great orations of the literature of public affairs on on this continent. I refer to that speech there seems to a Canadlan no reason why for the special purpose of quoting from it one paragraph which is as true and as living in its interests as it was upon the day its utterance moved the

MINDS OF THE DETROIT CONVENTION

"I may well feel awed," said Mr. Howe, "in the presence of such an andlence as this, but the great question which brings us together is worthy of the andience, and challenges their grave consideration. What is that question? Sir, we are to determine how best we can draw together, in the bonds of peace friendship and commercial prosperity the three great branches of the British family. In the presence of this great theme all petty interests should stand rebuked; we are not dealing with the concerns of a city, a province or a state, but with the future of our race in all time to come."

In 1874 Lord Dufferin, whose name in every part of the world is a synonym for brillancy and ability, had a friendly reception from the Chicago Board of Trade, and, in the course of his speech, he said: "In the policy which the Government of Canada has shown Itself willing to promote, I belleve there existed but one motive, and that is the desire to come to an understanding with the Government of the United States. I do not think that for one r oment we have lmaghied that ln any agreement or treaty which may be negotiated, it would be either possible or desirable to make a one-sided bargain. What we desire is fair and equal dealing, and I believe, you, gentlemen, are actuated by the same honourable sentlment."

I have referred to these events and quoted these speeches in order to bring before the mlnds of those who may have forgotten them, or may be too young to remember them well, the fact that there has been established between these two countries a tradition of friendly relations among public men, and between public men and public bodies on both sides of the line—a tradition which no man in his senses wishes to see broken, which every man who cherishes a love for peace and the prosperity which accompanies and promotes peace wishes to see continued and confirmed. It was in accordance with this tradition of friendliness that Mr. Lanrier, the leader of the Opposition in Canada, was entertained in Boston on the 17th instant, at a banquet at which the Governor of the State was present. It is in

accordance with this same tradition of friendllness that you, gentlemen, have done me the honour of inviting me to be present and to nddress you this evening. I am here to-day animated by the friendilest feelings towards this country, and by the most perfect loyalty to my own country and to my Queen. I may then venture to carry on in this address that tradition of reciprocal friendliness which Lord Elgh established, which Howe made memorable, which Lord Dufferin reasserted, and which I have learned from my lamented friend, Sir John Maedonald, to appreciate and value myself. (Applanse.) Gentlemen, I think you do well to receive the name of SIr John Mucdonald with applause, for

HE WAS YOUR FRIEND AS WELL AS MINE,

and when he died your Interests as well as ours suffered a temporary loss. If I do not say an Irreparable loss it is because, in this world, no man is essential, and all losses are repaired and all vacancles filled in time. But Sir John Macdonald established in his lifetime and left to us, old colleagues, a tradition which we are willing, may, very desirons, to carry on, a tradition of friendly commercial relations with the United States, consistently with the maintenance of Canadlan interests in the protection of its rising industries. (Cheers.) To show you how well established is this tradition, let me detail for you as men of business the steps which in times past have been taken by the Government of which Sir John Macdonald and many of his late and present colleagues were members to establish reciprocal relations between these countries.

THE HISTORY OF RECIPROCITY NEGOTIATIONS,

as appears by our laws and reports, shows that Canada has always been favourable towards fair and friendly trade relations with the United States. In 1847 an address was moved in the Legislative Assembly of Canada praying that negotiations should be entered into with the Government of the United States to procure the admission of Canadian products for consumption in their markets on the same terms as the products of the United States were admitted for consumption into Canada, that perfect reciprocity may be established between the two countries. In that same year old Canada passed a law reducing rates of import duties on United States pro-

dnets a-hal Britis and n relyh Unite recip 97432 of th legisl Wils WIIS mny artlel Act of tl duty then produ admi impo slinil of C Repr

ingte and of the the 1849

lu th

In

treat tlate ticles and ten ;

> anin salte table all 1 erea hide or n slate man pitch ber unn wee

ducts from twel e and a-half to seven and f frienda-half per cent, and raising the rate upon me the British imports from five per cent ') seven and to und a-half per cent. This measure was passed e to-day relying upon the supposed willingness of the towards United States to negotlate a fair measure of loyalty reciprocity between the two countries. I may gave an immense advantage to the exporters ess that of the United States, but no corresponding ch Lord legislation was enacted by that country, nor memorwas reciprocity granted, In 1849 an Act ed, und was passed enacting "that whenever under unented any law of the United States of America the ate and articles emmerated in the sciedule to that men, I Act annexed, being the growth or production of Sir of this province, shall be admitted free of dnty into said United States of America, then similar articles, being the growth or production of the said United States, shali b well as admitted into this /province - free of duty when do not imported direct from the United States." A

> In 1850 Sir Francis Hlncks visited Washington on behalf of the Canadlan provinces, and addressed un able letter to the chalrman of the Comulttee of Commerce in favour of the adoption of a measure of reciprocity on the basis followed by the Canadian Act of 1849. His efforts failed, and the

shuilar bill was reported by the Committee

of Commerce and passed by the Honse of

Representatives, but falled of consideration

in the Senate in both 1848 and 1849.

UNITED STATES SENATE REFUSED TO ACT.

In 1854, after much correspondence, a treaty of reciprocity was at length negotiated. Under this treaty the following articles were declared free in both countries, and the treaty was to continue in force for ten years :-

SCHEDULE.

Gralu, flonr, and breadstuffs of all kinds; anlmals of all kinds; fresh, smoked and salted meats; cotton, wool, seeds and vegetables; undried fruits, dried fruits; fish of all kinds; products of fish, and of all other creatures ilving in the water; poultry, eggs; hides, furs, skins or talls undressed; stone or marble in its crude or mwronght state; slate; butter, cheese, tallow, lard, horns, mamme; ores of metals of all kinds, coal, pitch, tar, turpentlne, ashes, timber and humber of all kinds, round hewed and sawed. mmanufactured in whole or in part, fire-

fish-oil, rice, broom-corn and back, gypsum, ground or unground, hewn, wrought or unwrought lmrr or grindstones, dye stuffs, flax, hemp and tow, annuanufactured, unmaunfactured tobacco, rags.

Scarcely had the treaty; been put into operation when agitations began in the United States for its amendment or abrogation. The border cities complained that their manufactared goods næt an Import duty at the Cauadian frontier, that Canadian duties on mannfactures were raised from 15 to 20 per cent. This, in the face of the fact that mannfactured goods were excluded by express words from the operation of the trenty, that United States duties on naumfactured goods imported from Canada were higher than Canadian duties on like articles, and were raised by the Morrill tariff; that consular fees were imposed for proof of origin of free goods, and that the United States used no effort to obtain free use of the State canals The agltation was for Canadian vessels. taken up by the Legislature of New York State and pressed upon Congress by the resointion of both Houses of that body. it had its effect. In 1865 notice of the abrorogation of the treaty was given by the United States, but neither Grent Britahi nor Canada abandoned the friendly attitude they had always taken. When the notice of the abrogation of the treaty of 1854 was given on March 17, 1865, by Mr. C. F. Adams, In London, to Lord John Russell, the British Minister was disposed to think that the Government of the United States was not serlons, so great a body of commercial opinion In the United States seemed favourable to the conthmance of a treaty. In 1865 Shr Alexander Galt and Hon. W. P. Howland from Canada, Hon. W. A. Henry from Nova Scotia, and Hon. A. J. Sm.th from New Brmswick, were sent by their respective Governments to Washington to co-operate with Sir F. Bruce In a friendly attempt at negotiation for a renewal of the treaty of These gentlemen found "that no renewal or extension of that existing treaty would be made by the American authorities, but that whatever was done must be done by legislation."

THE NEGOTIATIONS FAILED,

owing to the unfriendly feeling in Conwood, plants, shrubs and trees, pelts, wool, gress, a result which Lord Clarendon, in a

INE.

in this sses are ie. But ils lifen tradlv desirly com-States, Canas rising ow well tail for ddeli in Governld and es were ons be-

rions, shows

ourable ns with ess was Canada entered United anadian kets on United

on Into be es-In that educing

tes pro-

desputch to Sir F. Bruce, most sincerely deplored. By the Customs Act of 1868, section 6, certain emmerated articles, the growth of the United States, were permitted to be Imported luto Canada from the United States " free of duty or at a less rate of duty thmu is provided in the said schedule, upon the proclamation of the Governor in Conneil, whenever the United States shall provide for the Importation of similar articles from Canndn into that country free of duty, or at a less rate of duty than is now imposed on the importation from Canada of such articles into the l'nited States." This was an olive branch held out by Canada to the United States in spite of the hostile experiences of previous years. In 1869, Sir John Rose was sent by the Canadian Government to Wushington, and, in conjunction with Sir Edward Thornton, proposed new negotiations, with the consent und approval of the British Goverument of that time, for a reciprocity trenty based on the treaty of 1854, with the addition of manufactured articles to the free list, the mntnai opening of the coasting trade, the protection of patents and copyrights, and a treaty of extradition. It was found impossible to make any propositions which the American Government would accept, and the negotiations fell through. In 1871, during the session of the joint committee which framed the Washington treaty, Sir John A. Maedonald, commissioner for Canada, and his colleagues, the British commissioners, proposed: "Timt the reciprocal treaty of 1854 should be restored in principle." The United States commission replied in the negative. In 1872 the Government of Sir John Macdonald in response to a resolution of the Board of Trade of the Dominion, eailed attention to the fact "that both Her Majesty's Government and the Government of Canada lave availed themselves of every suitable opportmity, since the abrogation of the reciprocity treaty, to press upon the Government of the United States the desirability of a renewal of reciprocai trade relations between the latter comtry and Canada, npon a broad and liberal basis; and submits for the favourable consideration of Your Excellency in Conneil that the Dominion Board of Trade be infermed that should the Government of the United States comply with the wishes of the United States National Board of Trade, the subject will receive the fullest consideration shown: (1) In the address of 1847; (2) in the

of the Government of Chanda. The United States National Board of Trade in 1872 had petitioned Congress for a renewal of reciprocui trade relations with Canada; and the Dondalon Board of Trade had brought this fact to the notice of the Government of Canada.

In 1874 Mr. George Brown, at the instance of the Mackenzie Government, which, by Its minute of Council, declared Its belief, "That, a anost favourable opportunity was presented for renewal of negotiations for a reciprocity trenty," was sent as a compalssioner to Washington, and, in conjunction with Sir Edward Thernton, after a good deal of discussion, negotiated a draft trenty of reciprocity; but the President did not even ulinde to it by message, nor did the Senate of the United States, a thing within the scope of its nuthority, ratify or even deign to discuss it.

THE FAILURE OF GEORGE BROWN'S ATTEMPT in 1874 had such an effect on Mr. Mnckenzie's Government that during the remainder of its term it made no further attempt in that direction. In 1875, when Mr. Wallace usked if the Government intended to renew negotiations, Mr. Muckenzie replied: "We will always be ready to negotiate for a reciprocity treaty with any mation." In inaugurating the National Policy in 1879, which had become an essential part of public policy in Canada, if it were to have any great national industries, the Government of Sir John Macdonald did not overlook their traditional good-wili towards the United States and towards fair reclprocal relations. Therefore, the Customs Act of 1879, chapter 15, section 6, contained a special emetment still favouring reciprocity on a liberal scale. No miswer was made to that offer. In 1887, when Sir Charles Tupper was at Washington, he made a formal proposal once more to the Government of the United States for a matual arrangement providing for greater freedom of commercial intercourse between the United States and Canada and Newfoundland, Mr. Bayard's reply was a flat refusal. It will thus be seen that the position assumed by Canada has from the first been thoroughly consistent, and continuously favourable to the adoption and maintenance of

A JUST AND REASONABLE MEASURE OF RECIPROCITY with the United States. This has been

Act 1 of st and liana 1888 ada 1854. of re busis min. lishh tire t ont objec his v sent Jonn the s

his n

Th unre to C foreg sixty to t Unit the s the : over won with facti the on C WO ! ralse this and onr for 1 We are: sayl if y inst no l alth (Che

ls a ougl Stat The United 1 1872 lind of recipro-; and the rought this rnment of

he instance hich, by its ief. "That, s presented reciprocity r to Washdr Edward discussion, rocity: but e to it by the United of its auscuss it.

ATTEMPT

dackeuzie's inder of its u that dire asked If ew negoti-"We will n reciproluauguratwhich lad e polley in at national John Mactraditional States and Therefore, 15, section till favour-No nuswer when Sir i, he nuide he Governa mutual r freedom the United lland. Mr. . It will ssunned by thoroughly able to the

RECIPROCITY has been (2) lu the

Act passed in 1849, in the enstoms emictment of statutory offences in 1868, 1879 and 1888 and la the speedy ratification by our Parliament of the treaties of 1854, 1871 and 1888; (3) in the repeuted efforts made by Canada for the contimunce of the old treaty of 1854, and, after 1ts abrogation, for the renewal of reciprocal relations on a fair and equitable basis. This expose establishes that, in Cauuda, we all agree on tipe necessity of establishing closer connaerciai relations between the two countries. The disagreement begins on the menus to attain that desirable object. The only party who has not shown his willingness to do mything, but whose consent is all important in the matter, is Brother Jonathan, who must laugh in his sleeve at the sight of our struggie, if he has made up his mind

NOT TO TICADE WITH THE CANICKS.

The great argument put forth in favour of unrestricted reciprocity is that It would open to Canada a market of C0,000,000 of people forgetting they would find in that market of sixty millious, competitors in all we can sell to the Americans, They forget that the United States are the greatest producers of the world, and that there is not one article of the farm which they do not produce, overlook the fact that our young industry would be crushed in its struggle in Canada with the powerful and old American manufactures. Of course, there is no denying the fact that your tariff is very hard ou Canada. It pinches in several places, but we are not on that account to stand there and ralse our hands to Heaven, (Hear, hear.) In this straft we did and are doing what shrewd and energetic Americans would have done in our position. We turned around and looked for new markets for our surplus productions. We have succeeded with many articles and are sure to dispose of the balance before long. saying in the meantime to you: Gentlemen, If you wish to trade with us, we are ready; just now is the time, but remember we are no beggnrs, and can afford to do without you, although not without some hard efforts. (Cheers.)

THE M'KINLEY TARIFF

is a measure for the passing of which we ought not to feel angry with the United States. It has done us good. It has caused 1891, was the largest of all the years given.

us to realize that we can stand upon our own feet, where before we leaned a little for support upon the 1'nited States. hear.) Here and there commodities we have to seil have experienced restricted sale speaking in general, the McKiniey tariff has not been feit to be injurious lu lts re-The best proof of this is the circulasuits. lation of bank notes. You know we, in Canada, inve the best banking system in the world, not excepting even the Scotch system, on which ours is modelied. (Hear, henr.) Years ago "Hunt's Merchants' Magazine," of New York, enlogized the paper currency of Canada as possessing a decided proclivity nil the time and especially in duil seasons to return to the emitting banis. That is to say, such notes cannot be kept out except so far as they are la active employment, for they cau find no resting place ontside of the vault of the Issner, and this makes them fluctuate in amount exactly in obedience to the wants of commerce. A few weeks ago Mr. Cornwall, cashler of the Bank of Buffalo, read a paper at the meeting of the American Bankers' Association, in New Orleans, lu which he said:

"Canada has for many years existed under n banking law which has given her a circulating medium fully meeting all the requirements of every senson, both as to elasticity and safety, and to-day she has the most perfect currency system of any nation in the world except Scotland."

Now, the circulation under this bunking system is the best test of the state of the country. If there is prosperity, the circulation expands; If times are dull, down goes the circulation.

BEFORE AND AFTER.

Here is a table which shows the condition of things after and before the McKinley tariff went into force.

The expansion of circulation from July to October of each year was:

1. In 1885	 	 	\$4,968,000
2. In 1886	٠.	 	6,439,000
3. In 1887	 	 	6,167,000
4. In 1888	 	 	6,005,000
5. In 1889	 	 	4,890,000
6. In 1890	 	 	5,313,000
7. In 1891	 	 	6,602,000

You will see that the increase in the circulation required for the business of the comtry in October, as compared with July of

It was, with the exception of three previous Octobers, the hirgest of any year. It was the largest in 10 years. (Hear, hear,) Now, in Canada the expansion of circulation from July to October in each year is due to the crops in the first place. It is the farmer and the moving of his products that run up the circulation. You will see, therefore, that

THE CIRCULATION IS THE BEST TEST

of the condition of the farmer. If the Mc-Kinley tarlff had hit the Canadian farmer hard the circulation would have been of only a normal character, or belov the average. But the circulation last October was \$1,250,000 above the average of the previous six years, or 24 per cent. of an increase. It ls evident that the McKinley turiff has done Canadian farmers no harm. Why? Simply because we have sought for other markets and have been successful, and have found these markets were profitable, less liable to interference and with better prospect of future growth. (Hear, hear)

THE MARKETS WE HAVE SOUGHT

are principally for food products; our lumber and other products of the forest, the world needs and takes; there is a constant demand for these. During 10 years, 1880-89, exports of forest products averaged \$22,386,-000 a year. In 1890 they were \$26,180,000, cr \$3,800,000 above the average. These look after themselves. So of the products of our mines. Our asbestos is the best in the Our phosphates are of the highest werld. quality. Our nickel will soon be in the steel armor plates of the navies of the world, recent experiments in the United States showing the immense value of nickel in the composition of these armor plates.

NOW OUR FARM PRODUCTS

are finding their way to the old countries of Europe-principally to England. The points that troubled our farmers when the McKinley tariff came into force were barley, lambs, horses and eggs. The general trend of our exports of agricultural products during 25 years has been increase in exports to Europe and relative decrease in such exports to the United States. That is quite natural and irrespective of tariffs. In 1868 we sent 66,36 per cent, of our farm products to the United States, and 34.61 per cent, to Great Great Britain, and 36.50 per cent, to the United States, as near as possible a complete reversal of the positions occupied by those two countries as takers of our farm products and during that period the aggregate trade of Canada in those products has increased in a large proportion. ley tariff

SIMPLY STIMPLATED THE MOVEMENT

which has been going on for nearly a quarter of a century. With respect to barley, we set to work and grow two-rowed barley such as California grows, and now we appear in the English markets as competitors of Callfornia, instead of supplying the eastern and middle states, as we did. (Hear, We sent in 1890 to England five times the quantity of barley we did in 1889, and very much more in 1891 than 1890-the reports being very favourable, and showing that our barley in England will have the same superiority it has in the United States. With respect to eggs, we used to send all we had to spare to the United States. It was convenient. It suited the stage of development of our transportation facilities. the McKinley tariff came in force just when we had solved the question of transportation of fragile articles, and we were able, without a break in the continuity of movement, to switch off to the English market. season we have sent three and one-half million dozen eggs to England, where ln 1889 we sent about 3,000 dozen. We have

SUBSTITUTED MILLIONS FOR THOUSANDS.

(Hear, hear.) The market for eggs in Great Britain is immense, and actual experience shows that we can put our eggs down at a lower rate of freight than France can send We can, with our cooler northern ronte across the Atlantic, transport them in the best condition. The market for horses is increasing. We sent nearly ten thues as many horses to England In 1891 as we did in 1896. They command a bigher price in Englaud, and as soon as we raise just the sorts of horse England wants we get still higher prices. The day for the street car "screw" is past; electricity has electrocuted them. The McKinley tariff finished what little life there was left, and we are going in for better horses. (fanighter.) We did a large Britain. In 1890 we sent 60.08 per cent, to trade in lambs with the United States, and

nice jn thought effects : trade w the Cor stration a large sald the season. market tain, ar w exp \$9,300,0 the Uni recently market our luit cess tha will

SE distribu were it this clas discove cent a This ha standin Great 1 of Ont we had tures. amount over 20 ago; tl and is Now, very in offers 1 those e differer men in sponsil answer ally an made your e came i the An state n earry (of mor improv

bulldin

public

ent. to the a complete 1 by those farm pro-· aggregate ets has lnhe McKin-

MENT

dy a quar-

barley, we

ved barley ow we apcompetitors g the eastl. (Hear, gland five id ln 1889, ı: 1890—the d showing have the ited States. end all we . It was of developtles. But just when nsportation able, withmovement, ket. This e-half mil-

SANDS.

ave

re ln 1889

s in Great experience down at a cam send r northern rt them in for horses n times as we did in ee ln Engt the sorts still hlgher " "screw" ted them. t little life in for betd a large

States, and

nice juicy food they were. The farmers thought that trade would surely feel bad effects from the McKinley tariff. The lamb trade went right along, and in Perth, where the Conservative party had a large demonstration recently-and which is the centre of a large lamb-ralsing district—the farmers sald they never got better prices than this In the article of cheese we find a season. market for all we can produce in Great Britain, and possibly it may surprise some that w exported to outside countries in 1890 over \$9,300,000, against \$8,600,000 exported by the United States to all countries. We have recently found that there is in England a market for all the poultry we can ralse, and our initial ventures have proved such a success that the coming Christmas in England will

SEE MORE TONS OF CANADIAN POULTRY

distributed over the British Isles than there were in previous years single individuals of this class of food. In pork products we have discovered that we have a superiority of one cent a pound over those of the United States. This has stimulated production, and notwithstanding our increased export this season to Great Britaln, we have in the single province of Ontario nearly 400,000 swine more than we had in 1889. With respect to manufactures, the recent census shows that the amount of capital invested has increased by over 200 per cent, as compared with 10 years ago; that the average artisan produces more and is paid more than he was 10 years ago. Now, gentlemen, let me remind you of a very important point when you talk of the offers made by the Liberals of Canada and of those of the Conservatives. There is a great difference in the position of men in power and men in opposition, in men with the grave responsibilities of office and men having to answer only for each of themselves individually and not bound by any of their promises made in opposition. With this truth before your eyes, I can assert that If the Liberals came into power they would not give more to the Americans than we can, for this reason of state necessity, that if they did they could not carry on the Government of Canada for want of money. Canada has spent 50 millions in improving her water ways, 100 millions in bullding railroads, and many millions in other public works. These expenditures constitute cumstances in which a young man is situat-

the public debt which is to be pald, and the tariff is looked to to supply the interest. The carrying out of the Liberal plutform would mean the greatest crisls that Canada has ever seen. The Liberals are too wide awake not to see the breakers ahead of their pollcy, and they would avoid them, but in the meantime lf they can use the Americans to hoist themselves into power they do not see why they should not do it. The unrestricted reciprocity scheme will receive its quietus the very day the Liberals came into power. But I go further, and say that

UNRESTRICTED RECIPROCITY IS DEAD,

The more it is discussed the further off it seems. An Important letter by Hon, Edward Blake completely exhausts the question, and must prevent it from continuing to be the maln plank of the Opposition platform. Rather than follow in its dangerous course, the party of which he has so long been a distinguished leader. Mr. Blake has chosen to abandon public life altogether. When loyalty to the ceuntry prevails over loyalty to such close and long existing party ties, one Is justified in feeling renewed confidence in the destiny o Canada.

Sir, the discussion of that important topic, the commercial intercourse between Canada and the United States, has given rise to some other questions involving directly the national existence of our country. First,

THE QUESTION OF NATIONAL INDEPENDENCE.

There are those who say, and they are not far from telling the truth, that every native born Canadian is Canadian first and last, and that every day the proportion of nativeborn Canadians increases as against the native Britons forming the Dominion. It is true, and I admit lt. that every Canadian wants at maturity a country of his own to live for, to fight for, and, if necessary, to die for. (Hear, hear, and cheers.) Nobody is so deaf to the teachings of history as not to realize the natural fact that colonies, like shoots from the parent tree, gradually but surely tend towards independent life. The only question is a question of time. The age of majority for children has been fixed by the wise legislation of great men at different ages for different countries or different purposes, and it greatly depends upon the cired in relation to his father, either for the line of business he pursues, the amount of interest he has or the measure of liberty he enjoys under the protection of his father, before he finds it useful and wise to go into business on his own account. This is the very position of Canadians. Although dependent on the mother country for our protection among the other nations of the world we are enjoying a measure of political ilberty which is

EQUIVALENT TO INDEPENDENCE.

(Hear, hear.) In that respect I fully agree with Mr. Lanrier, who said at Boston the other day that:

"England has granted to Canada and to all her colonies every right, principle and privilege which she once refused. Nowadays has been realized the truth proclaimed by Charles James Fox in the last century, that the only method of keeping a British colony is to give power to govern themselves. So to-day the British 'Government does not attempt to lay taxes on us or force British goods into our ports. We are at this moment at liberty, and we have the right to tax British goods and British wares. With pride I say it, though Canada is still a colony, Canada is free. The only tie that binds Canada to the motberland is Canada's own will."

After admitting that there is in Canada at the present moment no desire for independence, the Liberal leader says that he believes "that the time has come when the powers of self-government that we have are not adequate to our present development; that we should be endowed with another power, the power of making our own commercial treaties." Here I must

JOIN ISSUE WITH MR. LAURIER,

and I cannot do better than to quote from the powerful contribution of your distinguished fellow-countryman, Mr. Andrew Curnegie, in one of the last numbers of the "Nineteenth Century." Spenking against the scheme of Imperial Federation, which has attracted so much attention in late years, Mr. Carnegie says:

"It surely cannot have failed to attract the attention of the members of the Imperial Federation League that even Sir John Macdonald, a native-born Briton, was forced to amounce that Canada was no longer to be the dependent, but the ally of Britain.

"'In future,' said Sir John, as quoted Mr. Carnegie, 'England would be the centre,

surronnded and sustained by an alliance, not only with Canada, but with Australia and all her other possessions, and there would be thus formed an immense confederation of freemen—the greatest confederacy of clvilized and intelligent men that ever had an existence on the face of the globe.'

"Alliances, adds Mr. Carnegie, are made between independent natious. Sir John must have also embraced the Republic, for this is necessary to make the greatest confederacy of intelligent and civilized men. Sir John asserted the ludependence of Canada to the fullest extent, when he recently commanded Lord Salisbury to tear up a treaty which had been agreed upon by Sir Julian Panncefote and Secretary Blaine, with Lord Salisbury's cordial approval, which the British Government had presumed to make without consulting Canada."

I do not vouch for the accuracy of Mr. Carnegle's representation of Sir John's views, but I believe in that mysterious and natural growth of nations towards independence, which alone can give them the full development of their strength and resources. That sentiment does not exclude, in its patriotism the

FULL EXERCISE OF ALLEGIANCE AND LOYALTY.

I am not prepared to say, with Mr. Laurier, that sluple questions of fiscal policy, or commercial treaties can bring the severance of Canada from its connection with Great Britain, as it did bring it in your country in 1775. I again prefer the anthority of Mr. Carnegie, who writes that:

"It was not a question of taxes that produced the independence of the 'United States, this was the incident only which precipitated what was bound to come a few years sooner or later, independent of any home policy. Franklin and Adams had no idea of separating from the motheriand when they led in the refusal to be taxed from Westminster; but they soon found themselves compelled by a public sentiment, until then latent, to advance to independence,"

Sh, I am a British born subject, and a Frenchman by parentage. I am proud of and loyal to the great country to which I politically belong. I am proud of and true to the blood that runs through my velns, that Norman blood which is the boast of the noblest scions of England. The two nations are deserving your love and respect, as they have mine. You owe to one your birth, as I owe her my freedom as a citizen; the other helped you in your struggle for independence, whilst she gave me my birth as a

man.
banners
cover tl
a parent
of intelli
be denic
tiny of

I do 1

sincere

country

doubt e force m agains would And the tions, ar continen be force know th in this c the Mcl and fin we will the Uni feelings lived in during I do no cousider influenc of the opening slightes from th Governi anyone ing cor gress w our pec and doi

as your affirm with M day in of trade est sacrilatve no idea, s fessions needed countric country

an alliance, not custralia and all there would be confederation of racy of civilized had an existence

egie, are made Sir John must oublic, for this atest confederted men. Sir se of Canada to recently comar up a treaty by Sir Jullan he, with Lord which the Britto make with-

ey of Mr. Carblu's views, but s and natural independence, e full developsources. That its patriotism

AND LOYALTY.

in Mr. Laurier,
policy, or come severance of
ith Great Briour country in
thority of Mr.

axes that pro-United States, ch precipitated w years sooner home policy, idea of sepawhen they led in Westminster; ves compelled hen latent, to

ubject, and a am proud of to which I poof and true to ny veins, that baast of the actwo nations spect, as they your birth, as a citizen; the ggie for indemy birth as a man. Both have noble traditions. In the hanners of both there is giory enough to cover the world (Loud cheers.) With such a parentage, with such traditions of courage, of intelligence, of giory, are the Canadians to be denied the noble ambition, the sure destiny of being a people by themselves,

AN INDEPENDENT NATION ?

I do not doubt it more than I doubt my sincere ailegiance to the constitution of my country and to my sovereign. But I do not doubt either that no power on earth wili force me into submission against my will or agains my conscience. Against my wili I would be made a siave, never a subject. And the hour has passed in the life of nations, and that hour never came in this free continent of America, when free men could be forced into another people's allegiance. I know that it has been said and written, both in this country and in ours, that the effect of the McKinley tariff wili so cramp the trade and finances of the people of Canada that we will be compelled to seek annexation to the United States. Weli, sir, I know the feelings of our people, with whom I have lived in constant communion of sentiment during the 30 years of my political life, and I do not hesitate a moment to say that no consideration of finance and trade can have influence on the loyalty of the descendants of the races of whom I spoke to you in the opening of my address, or tend in the slightest degree to alienate their affections from their country, their institutions, their Government and their Queen. (Cheers.) If anyone in this meeting believes that in refusing commercial intercourse to Canada Congress would undermine the loyal feelings of our people, he is labouring under a delusion and doing an injustice to a people whose

SENTIMENT OF LOYALTY IS AS INDELIBLE

as your own, and I cannot do better than affirm with more energy, if it be possible, with Mr. Laurier, what he affirmed the other day in Boston: "If such a boon as freedom of trade were to be purchased by the slightest sacrifice of my nation's dignity, I would have none of it." Let us rather cherish the idea, sir, that those solemn and proud professions of dignity and courage will not be needed, but that the public men of both countries echoing the sentiments of the two countres echoing the sentiments of the two

nations will find a happy solution of those important problems. For my own part, I look to the future with hope and with security. With Andrew Carnegle, "I would cheerfully set aside the scheme of Imperial Federation, the theory of an Empire Trade League to see realized the grand idea of a race alliance of all the countries biessed with the noble and free political institutions which Grent Britain has devised for the good of humanity, an miliance which would hasten the day when one power would be able to say to any nation that threatened to begin the murder of human beings in the name of wan under any pretence:

Hold! I command you both; the one that stirs makes me his foe.Unfold to me the cause of quarrel and I will judge betwixt you.

A Kriegsverein with power so overwhelming that its exercise would never be necessary."

These are noble words from a noble heart, and I endorse them with the same enthusiasm as I endorse your own countryman's conclusion: "Fate has given to Britain a great progeny and a great past. Her future promises to be no less great and prolific; but however numerous the children, there can be but one mother, and that mother, great, honoured and beloved by all her off-spring—as I pray she be—is this sceptred isle, my native land, God bless her." (Cheers.)

SIR, THERE IS NOTHING TO DESPAIR,

nothing to fear, when the great citizens of a country are disposed to approach and discuss the burning issues standing in the face of two countries in such a lofty spirit, with such a large and warm heart. (Cheers.) I have no doubt but that the same sentiment of noble fellowship which animates you animates the great American nation. (Hear, I know that such is the sentiment. which animates our people in Canada. am not here as a representative of the Canadian Government. I have not and could not have asked such a mission when I accepted your kind social invitation, but I must not forget and you cannot ignore that I am a member of the House of Commons of Canada and that I have the right to convey to you the expression of the good-will, of the heartfelt sympathy, and the offer of the widest possible measure of reciprocity in friendli-

ness and good wishes from my Canadian fellow-countrymen. Yes, in Canada we rejolce in your prosperity, ln your magnificent development, in your patriotle love for your flag, in your solution of some of the great problems that troubled your national existence and in your assured hope of solving them all. But we are proud, too, of our own country and our own flag, of the splendor and strength of our resources, and of the well-nigh boundless possibilities of our future greatness. Even as you do, we love free institutions; these we have, and they are the best sulted to us and to the genius of our population. If you have a republic, we have a commonwealth-"a crowned republic," as It has been happily called. You are far ahead of us in point of numbers, but we know that our people live in peace and plenty no less than yours. (Hear, hear.) And It is our "Providence."

hope that Canada and the United States in friendly rivalry, in all the arts of peace, in all the marts of commerce, may go on through the ages to come, the happiness and prosperity of each acting as a stimulus to the best efforts of the other, each working out a destiny of the brightest augury, and so linked in the bonds of amity and loving kindness that they may be said, somewhat in the majestic words of Milton, "to progress through the great circles of revolving centuries, clasping hands with unfailing joy and bliss in overmeasure forever." (Loud and prolonged cheers.)

Gentlemen, I thank you for your kind invitation, for your cordial reception and for your patient attention. This day will remain one of the brightest of my life and for it I will ever thank, and never forget "Providence."

atted States in s of peace, in may go on liappiness and a stimulus to the working out agury, and so by and loving a somewhat in , "to progress revolving centaling joy and (Lond and

your kind inption and for day will rety life and for never forget

