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## EVCYCLCLL LMTTER

 OF POPE LEO XIII ON TH [covcluded.] the sacred canons" (Conc. Florentinum). Similarly the Fourth council of Lateran declares: "The Roman Cherch, as
mother and mistress of all the faithful, by jarisdiction over all ot thurches. These declarations wore preceded by
the consent of antiquity which evor ac knowledged, without the slightest doubt or hesitation, the Bishops of Rome, and
revered them, as the legitimate sucessors of St. Peter.
and evident testimonies of the hoiy
Fathers which exists to this effect? Most remarkable is that of St. Irenaens who, referring to the Roman Church
says : "With this Chureh, on account of its pre-eminent authority, it is necesaccord"' (Contra Haereses, lib. iii., cap 3, n. 2) ; and St. Cyprian also says of the
Roman Clurch, that "it is the root and mother of the Catholic Cburch, the chair of Peter, and the principal Church (Ep. xlvii., ad Cornelium, n. 3. and Ep. lix., ad eundem, n. 14). He calis it The the successor of Peter: he calls it the principal Churci, on account of the
primacy conferred on Peter himself and his legitimate successors; and the sovice of unity, because the Roman
Cburch is the efficient cause of unity in the Christian commonwealth. For this reason $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jerome addresses } \\ & \text { thus : "My words are spoken to the sucus- }\end{aligned}$ cessor of the Fisherman, to the disciple
of the Cross...... I none save your Blessedness, that is wilb the chair of Peter. For this I know is the rock on which the Church is built (Ep. xv., ad Damasum, ways the public criterion is to him "I ways the publedge every one who is united With the See of Peter (Ep. xvi., and
Hemasum n . 2). And for a like reason St. Augustine pablicly attests that, "the primacy of the Apostolic chair always
existed in the Roman Church" (Ep. aliii., n. 7.) ; and be denies that any one who diasents from the Roman faith can
be a Cathofin." "You are not to be looked upor as bolding the true Catbolic faith if you do not teach that the faith of Rome is to be held" (Sermo cxi., "To be in communion with Cornelius is to be in com. munion with the Catholic Church way Maximus the Abbott teache
that obedience to the Roman Pontiff is the proof of the true faith and of legitimate communion. Therefore in a man does not want to be, or to be callthis or that man.......but let him hasten
 fui and orthodox. He speaks in vain
who tries to persuade me of the orthodoxy of those who, like himself, refuse
obedience to His Holiness the Pope the most boly Church of Rome: that motive of this he explains to be that
ithe A postolic See lias received and hath government, authority, and power o
binding and loosing from the Incarnat Word Himself; and, according to all
holy synods, sacred canons and decrees in all things and through all things, i respect of all the boly charches of
throughout the whole world, since the Word in Heaven who rulesthe Hea
y powers binds and loosens there" (De floratio ex Epistola ad Yetrum illustrem)
Wherefore, what was observed and Wherefore, What was observed a
acknowledged as Christian faith, not by one nation only or in one age, but by tt
East and by the West, and tbrough a East and by the West, and through a
ages, this Ytilip, the priest, the Pont fical legate at the council of Ephesus, io
voice being raised in dissent, recalls vice being raised t, yissent, recals
"No one can doubt, yea, it is known
unto all ages, that St. Peter the Princt of the Apostles, the pillar of the fait received the keys of the kingdom from
our Lord Jesus Cbrist. That is: our Lord Jesus Christ. That is : th
power of forgiving and retaining sin Was given to him who, up to the presen the persons of his successors" (Actio iii.) Chalcedon on the same matter is pre sent to the mind of all: "Peter has
spoken through Leo" (Actio $i . i$ ) to whicl the voice of the Third Council of Con stantinople responds as an echo:
Chief Prince of the A postles was fighting ally his follower and the succossor to sen, and Peter spoke through Agath
In the
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up and proposed Catholic faith draw which was subscribed at the
beginning of the sixth century in the great Eighth Council b
the Emperor Justinian, by Epiphanius John and Menna, the Patriarchs, this solemnity. "For the pronouncement our Lord Jesus Christ saying:
at Petrr and upon this rocit bumb My Curich,' \&c., cannot be passed over. What is said is proved by the re been preserved without stain in Apostolic See" (Post Epistolam,
ad omnes Episc. Hispan., n. 4. declaration ; but it is well to recall the formula of faith which Michael Paleo Lyons: "The same holy Roman Churct possesses the sovereign and plenary primasy and authority over the whole
Catholic Cburch, which, truly and hambly, it acknowledges to have receiv ed together with the plenntade of powe
from the Lord Himself, in the person o Apostles, of whom the Roman Pontiff i the successor. And as it is bound to deend the truth of faith beyond all others cerning the faith it must be determined by its judgment" (Actio iv.).


Heaven, and the gates of Hell shall n
conquer them.' Whence is it, thereior
that you strive the keys of the Kingdom of Heaven
you who fight against the chair when thiey are assembled together. Do
the stheep when they are all asember
together rue the sheep when they are all assembled
together rule and guide the shemberd Do the successors of the Apostles assem on which the successor of St. foundatio in order to derive therefrom strength and stability ? Surely jurisdiction and authority belong to him in whose power hav
been placed the keys of the Kiugdom of Heaven, not alone in all provinces taken singly, but in all taken colectively. And
as the Bishops, each in his own district command with real power not only indiRoman Pontiffs, whose jurisdiction ex ends to the whole Christian commontaken collectively, subject and obedient we have quite sufficiently stiown, made exercise for ever in the Church the poner which He exercised during His
morral life. Can the Apostolic Coliege be authority?
This power over the Episcopal College et forth in Holy Writ, has even been acknowledyed and attested by the
Church, as is clear from the teaching of General Councils. "We read that the Roman Pontiff has pronounced judg-
ments on the prelates of all the churctes ; ments on the prelales of all the cburches;
we do not read that anybod $y$ has proi., Allocutione iii., ad Synodum Romanum an. 869, Cf. Actionem vii., Conc. Constantincopolitani iv).
which is stated thas: "there is no authority greater thah that of the
A postolic See " (Nicolans in Epist. Ixxxvi. A postolic See "(Nicolans in Epist. Ixxxvi.
ad Michael. Imperat.) * Wherefore Gahaius on the decrees of Councils says:
That which the First See has not approved of cannot stand; but what it has thought well to decree lias been received Episcopos Dardania, " (Epist. xxvi., ad reen nuquestionably the office of the Roman Pontiffs to ratify or to reject the
decrees of Councils. Leo the Great resdecrees of Councils. Lee the Great res-
cinded the acts of the Conciliabulum of Ephesus. Damasus rejected those of Ri-
mini, and Hadrian I. those of Constant inople. The 28th Canon of the Council of Cbalcedon, by the very fact that it lack the assent aud approval of the Apostolic Ses, is admitted by all to be worthless. Rightly, therefore, has Leo X. laid down Roman Pontiff alone, as having author itr over all Councils, has full jurisdiction and power to summon, to transfor, to dis the testimony of Holy, Writ from the teaching of the Fathers aud of the Rom. an Pontiffs, and from the decrees of the the very Councils themselves." Indeed Holy Writ attests that the keys of the Kingdom of Heaven were given to Peter
alone, and that the power of binding and loosening was granted to the Apostle and to Peter; but there is nothing to slow that the Apostles received supreme
power without perer and $\Delta$ AAINBT PETER Such power they certainly did not re ceive from Jesus Cbrist. Wherefore, in the natare and authority of the primacy of the Roman Pontiff, no newly conceiv-
ed opinion is set forth, but the ed opinion is set forth, but the venerable
and constant belicf of every age (Sess. iv cap. 3).
Nor does it beget any confusion in the administration that Chiristians are bound hibited in the first place by Divine Wis dom from entertaining any such thought, stituted by the connsel of God Himself In the second place we must note that
the due order of things and their mutual relations are disturbed if there be a two a people, neither of which is amenable to the other. But the authority of the Rom an Pontiff is supreme, universal, inde pendent; that of the bishops hmited an
dependent. "It is not congruous that two superiors with equal authority should be placed over the same flock but that two, one of whom is higher than
theother, should be placed over the sam

A "It is evldent that the judgment of the ity greater, may be refected by no one, nor ta
it lawful for anyone to pass judement on the it lawnin fo,
judgment.'
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## curient comment.

## Innominato

The Casket said late ly that "the person who writes" from Rome "under the pen-names "Innom-
inato" (to the New York Sun) and inato" (to the New York Sun) and
"Bentivoglio" (to a syndicate of Catholic papers) "is constantly watching for the dawn of a new era. According
to him there is a new era dawning in to him there is a new era dawning in new eras of his invariably turn out to be mare's nests." To such purpose has our able Antigonish friend exposed this pretentious sciolist that "two such good Review and the Catholic Columbian dropped like a hot potato the syndica letter which he writes under the other
name of Bentivoglio." The Nova Scotia editor then says that, though the Encyclical on Unity goes directly counter to Innominato's 'rules bf higher politics, he will " probably write next as it proclaim that its appearance marks the dawn of another of his regular fortnightly eras." He did write in a strain of praise for the Holy Father's direct ness, but he prudently said nothing
about the way Leo XIII. overturned Innominato's house of cards. Hitherto the latter has been astray mainly in his now, in his loteogical principles; but N. Y. Sun of July 26th, he betrays childish ignorance of the most element ary facts of history. Anent the rumo that Archbishop Falconio, procurator general of the Franciscans in Rome Apostolic Delegate at Washington, the omniscient correspondent declares tha "Leo XIII. has never dreamed of se lecting him." because Mgr. Falconio is a monk. Not that monks are not worthy men; O no; Innominato is too diplomatic to hint at any disparagement; on the contrary, he lauds them to the skies, but then he, who always talks as if he at his elbow, oracularly informs us that 'a monk, in the Holy Father's mind, has a different part to play, a higher duty to fulfil." Monks "represent knowledge, charity, virtue; they are not the government." " They are, therefore, neither the head that commands nor the heart that sends out to the limbs life and the sum of conserving forces."

Some Popes This is an as Who Have
Been Monks.
history of the last lic Delegate quarter. If the Apostless can the Pope, the head and heart of all church government, be a monk Now what are the facts? Not to speak the pontificate of $S t$, which closed with a Benedictine monk, negory the Great, a Benedictine monk, nor of the eleventh century, which witnessed no less than
seven Benedictine popes, the greatest of seven Benedictine popes, the greatest of
whom was St. Gregory VII. (Hilde-
brand), some of the most distinguishe
Sovereign Pontiffs in later times, such as St. Pius V. and Sixtus V., we Falconio is a monk, for it which Mg. correct to style him a 'religious,' the cognized Catholic substantive to de chastity and obedience in a religiou chastity and obedience in a religiou
order. But, however ignorant Innominato continually proves himself to be we are astounded that he should have ho became Popes. In 1774 died Cl ment XIV., who was a Franciscan Pius VII. (1800-1823) belonged to the Order of St. Benedict; Gregory XVI. during whose pontificate the present
Pope was made archbishop, belonged to Pope was made archbishop, belonged to
the Order of Camaldules. Thus, coun ing from Clement XIV. to Pius IX we find that three out of the seven last Popes in the space of a century were
monks. And, as to Apostolic Delegates many of them in this century have been members of religious orders. In part Smeulders, a Cistercian monk, who was Apostolic Delegate in Canada abou welve years ago, and who was the firs
to recommend to the Holy Father the to recommend to the Holy Father the
aising of Archbishop Taschereau to the Cardinalate. Since Innominato wrote his last screed, rumor has it that the
Superior-General of the Augustinians, ery Rev. Father Martinelli, is to suc ceed Cardinal Satolli. Rumor is verily aining monks on Innominato's devote head. However, he will not be dismayed, he will maintain that such a nomnation is impossible; and, if the Holy Father should have the temerity ake it without consulting him, he wil raightway discover in that nominat

## Stead On

Mr. W.T. Stead's character sketch of Emile Zola in the June Review of Review comes as a severe shock to any Catholics who thought the gifte nglish journalist was one out of the w Protestants capable of appreciat ing , in a sort of a way at least, the
Vicar of Christ. It turns out after all that the would-be noble-minded and tol rant Protestant is only the commonlace victim of a disgruntled ambition. he ave to Rome seven years ago with Pope to his own views of what Holiness ought to do for the salvation of society. On his return he was full of cheerful optimism, so much so indeed that the comic papers pictured Mr. Stea with the Holy Father emerging from the top of his cranium, he was spoken then as having "the Pope on the brain." But, finding that Leo XIII kept on developing his, and not Mr. Stead's, ideas, the latter soured, and adually became as embittered against y would allow him to becaing after popular would allow him to become. So no
he substantially endorses Zola's recen ork, "Rome." For Zola, like Stead sadly vexed and disappointed. Neither them could obtain a private audience id not Holy Father. Perhaps Stead Certainly Zola did ; but the right way approved the condemnation by the Index of his caricature of Lourdes, very properly refused him admittance, and of philosophical theories through hun dreds of pages of blatant trash. Th ook is too tiresome to do much harm but Mr. Stead's approval of it, though
slightly qualified here and there may influence such superficial minds as hav never taken Mr. Stead at his true val uation. Without going as far as Mr W. H. Thorne. who long since dubbed im a journalistic mountebank, we have who rhapsodizes about the unseen and writes beautifully of Christian purity nd charity, has not the most element ary conception of the Christian revela
ion, and can never be trusted when his nordinate self-esteem happens to wounded. Else, how could he, the soulirring champion of so many noble Zola, the transparent hypocrite
pleads "heredity" and "realism" as namable filth, who namable filth, who grandly theorize
about supposed facts and the necessity of detail in order to ensure the sale o his bestial novels untrue to life, and who has never uttered a passing word
in praise of something good without fouling it the next moment with some disgusting obscenity? Even in this lat est work on Rome, which is less lecher ous than its predecessors, he manages to indulge for a short space his prurie fancy. Does Mr. Stead's theory of journ arcation betwe no eternal line of de Does it force the almost heroic author The Maiden Tribute" to consort wi he Preacher of the Gospel of Lust? The Englishman's heretical training, perfervid imagination and boundless belief in himself may make his sincerity probble; but the Frenchman's clear intellect and necessary familiarity with Catholic views preclude the possibility chis being aught but a solemn humbug, whose main purpose in writing urgid bosh about trilogies and the Au ustan blood pulsating "in the veins of e winning of a place among the Fort
Immortals of the French Academy

## canadian "foreigners."

One of the ministers of this Province r. J. D. Cameron, lately said to Woodstock reporter: "It is the Onta
io farmer that will have to settle Man toba. Foreigners, as a rule. do not car stay in a country with such a col climate." We are not concerned with the obvious indiscretion of such a re-
mark, for the speaker's youthful propensity to talkativeness may excuse this odd method of advertising our country what we wish especially to point out is exclusion of all other Canadians. It evident that Mr. Cameron looks upo all immigrants who are not from On ario as foreigners, and consequently be
lieves that settlers from the oldest an the coldest part of Eastern Canada, the Province of Quebec, are not eligible Yet, surely, French Canadians, accust of the greater portion of Ontario, ough to be better able to stand the healthy Manitoba cold. Were Mr. Cameron les exclusive in his tastes, were he more ac French Canadian population of this Province, he would know that the rather enjoy the bracing Manitob winter and that they stay here and multiply with unparalleled vigor and better adapted than they are to thi climate and country, but they, for the oost part, manage their municipal affairs with a thriftiness and economy which many bankrupt municipalities o Ontarian and other English-speaking
settlers would fain imitate. Unless Mr. Cameron wilfully stops his ears, he will and more as he rows older, not in Manitoba alone
n in his incomparable Ontario.
the spoiling of ireland.
The Irish World has already called ublic attention to the fact that England las been, for the past fifty years, annual-
y taxing Ireland $\$ 13,650,000$ over and bove what the latter was liable to pay was made last June in the report of then as made last June in the report of the
Royal Commission appointed to inquire nto the financial relations of Great Britain and Ireland. Ten out of the thirteen indefinite have concluded that, for over half a centary, the stronger partner has been imposing on the weaker an unjnst tax amounting to two millions and three quarters sterling. In other words,
despite the protests of the Irish, England has robbed them every year o £2,70,00. The Royal Commission, the
great majority of which were English men, now declares that the Irish wer right and that the English were wron

## go anjust a payment.

nder the of the Review of Review dominant Partner as Vampire," thua
enlarges upon the monstrous robbery
"It is difficult at first sight to realise that this means ; but when the truth seen in all its bearings, and the Britis public slowly assimilates this very portentous fact, it cannot fail to enormousl Rule, errible significance of getting over the We are rich, Ireland is poor. fact strong, Irelend is weak; we have im posed upon ber our system of tazation with the result that we have compelle her to pay, not one-twentieth of the im perial revenue, which is all that could
be fairly claimed from her, having reard to her wealth and taxable resource but one-seventh, the difference betwee han two and three-quarter millious per nnum."
This way of putting the case is ver orceful. Ireland is paying nearly thre mes as much in yearly taxes as she ould be bound in jastice to pay : for of the entire tax of Great Britain and reland, she pays one-seventh.
Mr. Stead continues: "If this has een persisted in for half a century, it ur poorer Irish fellow-subjects a from ne hundred millions sterling more than hey in justice ouglit to have beeu asked pay. There is no geiting over that It is as hideous as a nightmare to us now hat we have discovered it ; but in face hould feel that England was more of a ampire draining their life-blood, than elder brother upon whose strength and wealth they could confidently re erty

And now comes a curious instance he slowness with which Englishmen, heory so fond of fairplay, assimilate pactice the most portentous proofs unfarness, when the victim of injustice is Irish. "During the half century that wa have been taxing Ireland to the ex-帾 two millions and three quarters per annum above what she ouglit justly have paid, who bas been the leading nancial authority of the English people? Gladstone and none other r. Gladstone, of all English statesmen, desire to do justice to Ireland. Never eless, down even to the present da Wr. Glade commissioners reported, even Mr. Gladstone seems to have had no nkling of the cruel injustice which on fiscal system was inflicting upon the Irish. Can there then be a greater conemnation of the system by which th ar-Irish partnership is carried on harge could that such a gross ove pite all protest, even by Mr . Gladstone himself? And now that the report ha missioners have that the Roval Combefore all men that Ireland has been overcharged to this amount, how long sball we have to wait before an English Government will attempt to readjus Stead concludes: "No fact in my lifetime has aver been brought to ligh wien is certain to trouble the con English people." Let us hope that Mr stead's confirmed optimism will not this ime be at fatlt, and that another balf siences wil not elapse before those con titution of ill-goten gains wrung from oppressed nation

The Tyrol Preserved by the Sacred Heart

Little Tyrol, in the heart of its mountains, itself in the very heart of Europe, has, as a nation, unanimously allied itself to the Sacred Heart, says a writer in the English Messenger. On June 1, 1796, iberating at Boze Government were deloon the Great, then to cbeck Napoon Tyrol. There was no no monadyancing munition, scarcely any army God no amcould save them, and in God alone they rusted, solemnly consecrating their land and nation to the Sacred Heart, and yowing to keep annually a festival in Its
the Imperial Government, and enthus iastically adopted by the people. Their his plans leaving Te. Napoleon changed venient geason ; Tyrol to a more conurned the following when his army reow better prepared, but, the yyrolese, ing in their Divine Ally, rose 1 rustand drove back the enemy once and gain, and finally at Berg-Isel in 1809 Three times again in this century an enmv has knocked at the rocky portals of Tyrol, and each time the Sacred Heart Tas shielded Its faithful ally. The Diet Thel Jas officiall decided to celebrate the National covenant. ow will be renewed before the veneral ble picture of the Sacred Heart, preserved in the grand parish church of Bozen, before which the patriots frst made it in une, 1796. The procession will be headeday Cardinal Haller, Prince-Bishop of Salzourg, once a peasant lad in Tyrol,
accompanied by the Prince-Bishops of Trent and Brixen, and by the chief nembers of the Diet. And from mountadd the voice of the people to this great national act. The faded and tattered banners which led their fathers to vict ory will be there, pleading with them to main faithful to this Divine allegiance ith the Prince of Peace.
hanges in St. Boniface College. Rev. E. Tourangean, S. J., succeed Rev. I. J. Kavanagh, S. J., as Minister, Discipline Famarche, S. J., as Prefect St. Mary's College, Montreal, as Professor of Physics, and will be reFather here by a competent Professor
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