



...the discussion of the... the administration of justice... something that has no connection whatever with the matter in dispute.

...THE OPPOSITION... The Times has the audacity to put in the mouth of Mr. Shakespeare...

...THE OPPOSITION... The Times has the audacity to put in the mouth of Mr. Shakespeare...

...THE OPPOSITION... The Times has the audacity to put in the mouth of Mr. Shakespeare...

...THE OPPOSITION... The Times has the audacity to put in the mouth of Mr. Shakespeare...

...THE OPPOSITION... The Times has the audacity to put in the mouth of Mr. Shakespeare...

...THE OPPOSITION... The Times has the audacity to put in the mouth of Mr. Shakespeare...

...THE OPPOSITION... The Times has the audacity to put in the mouth of Mr. Shakespeare...

...THE OPPOSITION... The Times has the audacity to put in the mouth of Mr. Shakespeare...

...THE OPPOSITION... The Times has the audacity to put in the mouth of Mr. Shakespeare...

...THE OPPOSITION... The Times has the audacity to put in the mouth of Mr. Shakespeare...

...THE OPPOSITION... The Times has the audacity to put in the mouth of Mr. Shakespeare...

...THE OPPOSITION... The Times has the audacity to put in the mouth of Mr. Shakespeare...

...THE OPPOSITION... The Times has the audacity to put in the mouth of Mr. Shakespeare...

...THE OPPOSITION... The Times has the audacity to put in the mouth of Mr. Shakespeare...

...THE OPPOSITION... The Times has the audacity to put in the mouth of Mr. Shakespeare...

...THE OPPOSITION... The Times has the audacity to put in the mouth of Mr. Shakespeare...

...THE OPPOSITION... The Times has the audacity to put in the mouth of Mr. Shakespeare...

...THE OPPOSITION... The Times has the audacity to put in the mouth of Mr. Shakespeare...

...THE OPPOSITION... The Times has the audacity to put in the mouth of Mr. Shakespeare...

...THE OPPOSITION... The Times has the audacity to put in the mouth of Mr. Shakespeare...

...THE OPPOSITION... The Times has the audacity to put in the mouth of Mr. Shakespeare...

...THE OPPOSITION... The Times has the audacity to put in the mouth of Mr. Shakespeare...

...THE OPPOSITION... The Times has the audacity to put in the mouth of Mr. Shakespeare...

...THE OPPOSITION... The Times has the audacity to put in the mouth of Mr. Shakespeare...

...THE OPPOSITION... The Times has the audacity to put in the mouth of Mr. Shakespeare...

...THE OPPOSITION... The Times has the audacity to put in the mouth of Mr. Shakespeare...

...THE OPPOSITION... The Times has the audacity to put in the mouth of Mr. Shakespeare...

...THE OPPOSITION... The Times has the audacity to put in the mouth of Mr. Shakespeare...

...THE OPPOSITION... The Times has the audacity to put in the mouth of Mr. Shakespeare...

Various advertisements including 'FOR SALE', '250 Head of Cattle', 'VALUABLE BUILDINGS', 'MAIL CONTRACTS', 'ST. ALICE HOTEL', and 'DR. FULT'S HEART REMEDY'.











Weekly Colonist

FRIDAY, JANUARY 13, 1888. INFORMATION WANTED.

There are two subjects upon which we would most gladly receive the views of our readers. The one is Mr. Shaker's report. The other is the financial condition of the Province. It has been so completely covered in both instances as to be unable to produce copies, but there are not some side issues which it might take up, as usual, to cover its deficit? We fear Mr. Carmichael has poor backing in the Opposition organ. It is poor on facts and "figures."

THE LEADERSHIP.

We understand that Hon. John Robson will take the leadership of the House in the absence of the Attorney-General, the latter gentleman and his colleagues having recently desired him to assume the duties of the Attorney-General. The honorable gentleman's skill as a debater, and his intimate acquaintance with every question in the provincial calendar, will enable him to perform the onerous duties of leader with exceptional credit to himself and to the assistance of the party. During the enforced absence of Premier Davies, the honorable Provincial Secretary has attended to the various offices of the leader, and there could have been no happier selection made for the coming session than that he should lead the House.

DILK'S CRITICISM.

In the *Forthright Review* for January Sir Charles Dilke writes a very interesting article on the Canadian militia. He examines the Canadian militia severely and condemns the false economy which he holds is practiced in this country. He admits that in the *Riel* revolt the troops satisfied one of the severest tests. The system, he says, is infinitely better in point of organization than that of any other country, but the English view of cutting down unnecessary to please false economy is visible here as at home. He says that, as in Great Britain, we pay for what is not efficient for war purposes, and then hope there will be no war. He is very doubtful whether any considerable proportion of the militia could be mobilized to resist an American attack. He is quite certain that we could be made of them in general service, principally because there are not arms enough. England in a serious war would want more than she has at home.

WORSE AND WORSE.

Ingratitude is said to be the basest of crimes, and the Times adds insult to injury by acknowledging with a very good grace the ungrateful act of wayward independence of Mr. Higgins in setting two libel suits in which it was interested, and then proceeds to indicate that in both cases Mr. Higgins' conduct was inspired by selfish motives. What possible influence the relief of the *Times* has upon the ungrateful and dangerous politician, who involved their liberty on the one hand and their purse on the other, could have had on a case of his own we are unable to perceive, but admitting that his motives were selfish, what then? His good offices were very few, he was a man of no ambition, and imprisonment, and they have treated him with ingratitude ever since. The shabby conduct of the *Times* gives rise to a suspicion that but for the testimony of Messrs. Robson, Davis and Mills, it would be quite rendered at all.

IMPROVING THE INTERCOLONIAL.

Our readers already know that the Supreme Court of Canada has given a decision adverse to the province in the previous metal suit between the two governments, and it is also known that the judges were divided, three against and two in favor of the provincial claim. In the minority was Judge Henry, whose decisions have never yet been reversed by the Privy Council. Under these circumstances the signal of the signal are attached to the trains running between St. John and Halifax. There are to be sixteen cars lighted by electricity, the Julian system of storage or accumulators being used. The batteries and the necessary apparatus for charging them are to be placed on the floor. The appliances will weigh somewhere in the vicinity of four tons to fifteen hundred pounds. But perhaps the most important step taken by the department, in the way of improvement in their rolling stock, is the application of air brakes on freight cars. The main object of this change is to lessen the number of accidents with which brakemen frequently meet while handling the brakes. As in the other cases, the department will adopt the system generally if the experiments prove satisfactory. The cost will not be great owing to the fact that all the freight engines on the road have been equipped with the Westinghouse air brakes.

MINERALS IN THE RAILWAY BILL.

Our readers already know that the Supreme Court of Canada has given a decision adverse to the province in the previous metal suit between the two governments, and it is also known that the judges were divided, three against and two in favor of the provincial claim. In the minority was Judge Henry, whose decisions have never yet been reversed by the Privy Council. Under these circumstances it would be naturally expected that an appeal would be taken in this case to the Privy Council. This, we understand, has been determined upon by the Provincial Executive, and we feel confident that the decision will meet with general approval. We are aware that there are those who consider the point not worth contending for, that inasmuch as neither government can make any money, derive any direct revenue from the administration of the railway, it is in the railway belt, it is foolish to spend

money in contending about it. We have submitted this in the hands of the Dominion government, the development of these mines might be hindered, if not absolutely prevented by the imposition of onerous conditions. As a matter of fact, we know that the simple construction of the Dominion, securing sovereignty over these lands is now operating against their development. It is no secret that capitalists, especially American capitalists, openly declare that they will not invest their money in mining enterprises under Dominion regulations. It may be some extent prohibitive, but it is a fact all the same. But there is another ground of objection to Dominion administration. The railway belt is exceedingly crooked—so much so as to render it difficult, if not practically impossible, to define the boundaries, so as to be intelligible for general purposes, and one can readily anticipate the uncertainty which would ever haunt the proprietor, and, indeed, the miner, as to which side of the boundary he might be on, and the thousand and one complications and perplexing issues certain to arise out of the attempt of the federal government to administer the minerals in an extremely crooked and undefined and undetermined strip of land running through the heart of the province. It is clearly in the interest of the province that development should not be trammeled by any such conditions. It is in the interest of both the province and the Dominion. It is worth while, therefore, for the province to exhaust every reasonable means to avert such conditions. One would imagine the federal authorities would see that it is not in their interest to contend for that which promises neither profit nor glory—can only bring vexation and expense. But it is still another ground of objection to Dominion administration. Roads have not been very expensive, must be made to these mines. A case in point: At the present moment the provincial government are being importuned to construct a road connecting the Heculivest mines with the railway—a work which will probably cost less than ten thousand dollars. But if the land and the minerals belong to and are administered by the federal government, can the duty of constructing roads through federal lands to federal mines be fairly said to fall upon the provincial government? One would think not. Had the province been allowed to administer the roads, they would have expended many thousands of dollars in making roads solely for mining purposes, and hundreds of thousands more yet be similarly spent before anything like extensive and general mineral development can take place in the mountain region under consideration. Now, who shall make these roads? It naturally occurs to one to conclude that the duty of making these roads must go with the precious metals lying for them. It is to be hoped that the federal government will be disposed to take place in the mountain region under consideration, and not push their contention any further. If there were any money in it, one could understand the tenacity with which the Federal Government pursue the subject. But, as has been shown, there is no possible profit in it for them, and if the duty of road making goes with the minerals, the Federal Government would unquestionably be largely out of pocket, while, as above said, the province would be out and injured, too, by the development of its mineral wealth within the railway belt being paralytic. Under all the circumstances, the Provincial Government do well to appeal the case; but the Federal Government would be doing better if they rendered an appeal unnecessary by abandoning their contention.

EDITORIAL COMMENTS.

OF 718 names on the list, Ottawa has 800 lady voters this year.

The thesis of Dr. Broder, of Montreal, on "The intervention of surgery in the treatment of diseases of the kidney," has been crowned by the Institut de France.

MONTREAL will shortly gain possession of Logan's farm from the Dominion government and convert it into a splendid park, expending nearly \$100,000 on its embellishment.

CARDINAL TACHÉ and the bishop of Quebec's province and Ottawa have sent an address congratulating the Pope on his jubilee. His Holiness replied granting the apostolic benediction and complimenting them on the work of the church in Canada.

MR. CHARLES E. KNAPP, of Dorchester, N. B., a barrister of thirty years' standing and registrar of probates for the county of Westmorland, has written a letter to the Hon. John Dicks in advocacy of the annexation of Canada to the United States. Mr. Knapp has always been an annexationist and a Grit and his voluminous writings on his pet theme were long the delight of Maritime province newspapers.

REV. LYMAN ABOTT has been selected as pastor of Plymouth church at the same salary as was paid Mr. Beecher. No definite term of service has been fixed, and it is probable that Mr. Abbot will remain permanently as Mr. Beecher's successor. He has filled the pulpit most of the time since Mr. Beecher's death, and the church has shown unexpected strength under his administration. He will also retain his editorial connection with the *Christian Union*.

EVERYBODY is talking about the brilliant star visible in the East, popularly called the "Star of Bethlehem," which some people believe appears once in three hundred years. Sir Robert Ball, Astronomer Royal, has been deputed to investigate the subject. The Star of Bethlehem is nothing but our friend Venus, which appears as a morning or evening star once in a year and seven months. It follows, therefore, that this star must sometimes be seen in its capacity as Christmas.

The Canadian Pacific Railway Company's earnings and expenses for November, 1887, were: Gross earnings, \$1,300,236; working expenses, \$770,349; net profit, \$529,887. In November, 1886, there was a net profit of \$448,296, and in the eleven months ending November 30th, 1887, the figures are as follows: Gross earnings, \$10,454,071; working expenses, \$7,314,261; net profit, \$3,139,810. For the eleven months ending November 30th, 1886, the figures are as follows: Gross earnings, \$10,454,071; working expenses, \$7,314,261; net profit, \$3,139,810.

On account of the disagreeable drizzle and deluge at Toronto, on Monday the 29th ult., the Commercial Union are agitating to have the saloons closed on Christmas and New Year's day. Later stories of scenes on that day show that the state of things was even worse than first reported. One saloon, that had to close at 7 o'clock in the evening, had twenty-three men lying drunk on the floor when the doors were closed. More than one hundred cases were in the police court as a result of Monday's fun. Several serious fights took place, and in one saloon a man was stabbed. And this "moral" Toronto!

SIR BRUCE ROBINSON, who died at falling recently, was forty years ago a well-known figure in the public life of Newfoundland, and presided for many years in the latter country. Eleven years afterwards he was created a Queen's Counsel, and sat in the Newfoundland Parliament for several years, being twice sent as a delegate to England in 1850 and 1857. He held a judgeship in the supreme court of Newfoundland, and on his retirement in the latter year received the honor of knighthood at the hands of Her Majesty as a recognition of his long and faithful judicial services.

The news comes that Maxwell, the condemned murderer, has turned his thoughts towards the next world, and is now preparing a full confession of his crimes and the extraneous circumstances of his apprehension and trial. He has made his first Communion and become a member of the Roman Catholic Church. The man killed his friend and fled across the great South Sea, thinking that because both murderer and victim were strangers in the country he could escape the vigilance of the police. He was captured by the police of the United States, after its recent decision, and must be expected to interpose, and Maxwell must hang. It will, after a man has exhausted all his possibilities of villany, and yet fails in the mountain region under consideration, and not push their contention any further. If there were any money in it, one could understand the tenacity with which the Federal Government pursue the subject. But, as has been shown, there is no possible profit in it for them, and if the duty of road making goes with the minerals, the Federal Government would unquestionably be largely out of pocket, while, as above said, the province would be out and injured, too, by the development of its mineral wealth within the railway belt being paralytic. Under all the circumstances, the Provincial Government do well to appeal the case; but the Federal Government would be doing better if they rendered an appeal unnecessary by abandoning their contention.

PROF. DR. S. J. TORRES, of South Carolina, preside at the S. C. of the Modern Language Association recently held in Philadelphia. The proceedings began with an address by Dr. Smith, of the University of Pennsylvania, in which he made some interesting remarks on the necessity of world of finished education being also men of the world. Worldly knowledge and fact were essentials of success in every kind of human endeavor. Prof. Charles F. Kroch, of Hoboken, N. J., read the first paper of the meeting on "The methods of teaching modern languages." Prof. Kroch's subject was very interesting. Prof. E. S. Sheldon, of Harvard, one of the most fluent and accomplished speakers of the day, read a paper on the accomplished athlete, read an interesting paper on "Some specimens of a Canadian-French dialect spoken in Maine." Prof. Sheldon's paper concluded the morning session, and was entertaining to those who have made researches among various dialects of the country and also among its final session in the afternoon, when the following papers were read: "A study of Lord Macaulay's English," by President Henry E. Shepherd, College of Charleston; "American literature in the classroom," by Prof. Albert H. Smyth, of Philadelphia; "The English curriculum in the university," by Dr. James W. Bright, of John Hopkins University, Maryland; "The earliest works on Italian words and lexicography," published in England," by Prof. Marshall Elliott, of John Hopkins University.

A FRENCH EDVILANDER, who was intimately acquainted with Mr. Owen Connolly, whose sudden death while making out a poor widow was recorded yesterday, speaks of him as a man of such distinguished talents that in a wide field and with better opportunities would have made him one of the world's famous men. Mr. Connolly landed in Prince Edward Island when a young man as an emigrant, absolutely illiterate and almost absolutely penniless. "Throughout his life he had none of the opportunities of acquiring wealth by virtue of increase or the value of lands or of public franchises controlled by him, for land values have remained steady on the island and the only railway is operated by the Dominion government in trust for the people of the country, which it would be very costly to destroy. Several American railroads between Chicago and the Atlantic seaboard run partly through the Canadian territory, and of course it would be ruin to them to abrogate the treaty stipulations in regard to goods in bond while in transit. We should lose a hundredfold more than we should gain by such abrogation. We observe, by the way, that the *Chronicle* is busy abusing Cleveland's administration, on the supposition that it is responsible for the discrimination in the tariff at the time of his death, got into difficulties in other hands, but from this he took hold of it the business steadily improved. He always regretted his lack of education, and showed his practical belief in its benefit by leaving his wealth

to a few bequests—or about three quarters of a million dollars—to provide for the education of Roman Catholic children in the province. Mr. Connolly was a religious and charitable man, and his sad demise has caused a wide-spread grief in the province.

It is understood on good authority that the Nova Scotia Sugar Refining Company realized a profit of between \$300,000 and \$400,000 in the past year's operations. Sales were in the vicinity of \$2,000,000 and early and judicious purchases of the raw article, all of which were bought before the heavy advance, together with the rise in the price of refined sugar which raised the full benefit, enable them to close the year with a magnificent result. A half-yearly dividend of 10 per cent. on the capital stock of \$150,000 is about to be declared. The year 1887 has been one of the most prosperous in Nova Scotia in 15 years. There has been progress and prosperity in every branch of the industry. In the sugar industry, there were only 121 failures, with \$700,000 liabilities in the whole province. Banks made money. Fishermen got high prices for fish. The output of coal has been the largest in its history. There has been improvement in the coal trade. From 1860 to 1877 he had a judgeship in the supreme court of Newfoundland, and on his retirement in the latter year received the honor of knighthood at the hands of Her Majesty as a recognition of his long and faithful judicial services.

THE COMMERCIAL UNION ABSTENTION. Mr. Chamberlain had had already expressed himself on the question, and he had had no reason to change his views. How was an arrangement of the kind to be made? It was not possible that Canada would give up its control of the tariff and allow it to be fixed by the British Parliament. If Canada gave up that she could not be an independent country. A correspondent suggested that the tariff might be adjusted by the commission on the basis of the views of both countries, whereupon Mr. Chamberlain promptly replied that the tariff would be fixed by the British Parliament. There was a temporary switch of the Commercial Union matter, inasmuch as it was proposed to break it into two parts, one in favor of fair trade and the other in favor of a tariff. Mr. Chamberlain had expressed his opinion that the usage of Englishmen were not to be altered, and that the tariff should be fixed by the British Parliament. He then put the direct question, "If you view the view do you, that the weight of argument is in favor of the scheme by which the tariff would be fixed by the British Parliament?" Mr. Chamberlain replied that the tariff would be fixed by the British Parliament. There was a temporary switch of the Commercial Union matter, inasmuch as it was proposed to break it into two parts, one in favor of fair trade and the other in favor of a tariff. Mr. Chamberlain had expressed his opinion that the usage of Englishmen were not to be altered, and that the tariff should be fixed by the British Parliament. He then put the direct question, "If you view the view do you, that the weight of argument is in favor of the scheme by which the tariff would be fixed by the British Parliament?" Mr. Chamberlain replied that the tariff would be fixed by the British Parliament.

MR. CHAMBERLAIN'S VIEWS ON THE TARIFF. Mr. Chamberlain had had already expressed himself on the question, and he had had no reason to change his views. How was an arrangement of the kind to be made? It was not possible that Canada would give up its control of the tariff and allow it to be fixed by the British Parliament. If Canada gave up that she could not be an independent country. A correspondent suggested that the tariff might be adjusted by the commission on the basis of the views of both countries, whereupon Mr. Chamberlain promptly replied that the tariff would be fixed by the British Parliament. There was a temporary switch of the Commercial Union matter, inasmuch as it was proposed to break it into two parts, one in favor of fair trade and the other in favor of a tariff. Mr. Chamberlain had expressed his opinion that the usage of Englishmen were not to be altered, and that the tariff should be fixed by the British Parliament. He then put the direct question, "If you view the view do you, that the weight of argument is in favor of the scheme by which the tariff would be fixed by the British Parliament?" Mr. Chamberlain replied that the tariff would be fixed by the British Parliament.

MR. CHAMBERLAIN'S VIEWS ON THE TARIFF. Mr. Chamberlain had had already expressed himself on the question, and he had had no reason to change his views. How was an arrangement of the kind to be made? It was not possible that Canada would give up its control of the tariff and allow it to be fixed by the British Parliament. If Canada gave up that she could not be an independent country. A correspondent suggested that the tariff might be adjusted by the commission on the basis of the views of both countries, whereupon Mr. Chamberlain promptly replied that the tariff would be fixed by the British Parliament. There was a temporary switch of the Commercial Union matter, inasmuch as it was proposed to break it into two parts, one in favor of fair trade and the other in favor of a tariff. Mr. Chamberlain had expressed his opinion that the usage of Englishmen were not to be altered, and that the tariff should be fixed by the British Parliament. He then put the direct question, "If you view the view do you, that the weight of argument is in favor of the scheme by which the tariff would be fixed by the British Parliament?" Mr. Chamberlain replied that the tariff would be fixed by the British Parliament.

MR. CHAMBERLAIN'S VIEWS ON THE TARIFF. Mr. Chamberlain had had already expressed himself on the question, and he had had no reason to change his views. How was an arrangement of the kind to be made? It was not possible that Canada would give up its control of the tariff and allow it to be fixed by the British Parliament. If Canada gave up that she could not be an independent country. A correspondent suggested that the tariff might be adjusted by the commission on the basis of the views of both countries, whereupon Mr. Chamberlain promptly replied that the tariff would be fixed by the British Parliament. There was a temporary switch of the Commercial Union matter, inasmuch as it was proposed to break it into two parts, one in favor of fair trade and the other in favor of a tariff. Mr. Chamberlain had expressed his opinion that the usage of Englishmen were not to be altered, and that the tariff should be fixed by the British Parliament. He then put the direct question, "If you view the view do you, that the weight of argument is in favor of the scheme by which the tariff would be fixed by the British Parliament?" Mr. Chamberlain replied that the tariff would be fixed by the British Parliament.

MR. CHAMBERLAIN'S VIEWS ON THE TARIFF. Mr. Chamberlain had had already expressed himself on the question, and he had had no reason to change his views. How was an arrangement of the kind to be made? It was not possible that Canada would give up its control of the tariff and allow it to be fixed by the British Parliament. If Canada gave up that she could not be an independent country. A correspondent suggested that the tariff might be adjusted by the commission on the basis of the views of both countries, whereupon Mr. Chamberlain promptly replied that the tariff would be fixed by the British Parliament. There was a temporary switch of the Commercial Union matter, inasmuch as it was proposed to break it into two parts, one in favor of fair trade and the other in favor of a tariff. Mr. Chamberlain had expressed his opinion that the usage of Englishmen were not to be altered, and that the tariff should be fixed by the British Parliament. He then put the direct question, "If you view the view do you, that the weight of argument is in favor of the scheme by which the tariff would be fixed by the British Parliament?" Mr. Chamberlain replied that the tariff would be fixed by the British Parliament.

MR. CHAMBERLAIN'S VIEWS ON THE TARIFF. Mr. Chamberlain had had already expressed himself on the question, and he had had no reason to change his views. How was an arrangement of the kind to be made? It was not possible that Canada would give up its control of the tariff and allow it to be fixed by the British Parliament. If Canada gave up that she could not be an independent country. A correspondent suggested that the tariff might be adjusted by the commission on the basis of the views of both countries, whereupon Mr. Chamberlain promptly replied that the tariff would be fixed by the British Parliament. There was a temporary switch of the Commercial Union matter, inasmuch as it was proposed to break it into two parts, one in favor of fair trade and the other in favor of a tariff. Mr. Chamberlain had expressed his opinion that the usage of Englishmen were not to be altered, and that the tariff should be fixed by the British Parliament. He then put the direct question, "If you view the view do you, that the weight of argument is in favor of the scheme by which the tariff would be fixed by the British Parliament?" Mr. Chamberlain replied that the tariff would be fixed by the British Parliament.

MR. CHAMBERLAIN'S VIEWS ON THE TARIFF. Mr. Chamberlain had had already expressed himself on the question, and he had had no reason to change his views. How was an arrangement of the kind to be made? It was not possible that Canada would give up its control of the tariff and allow it to be fixed by the British Parliament. If Canada gave up that she could not be an independent country. A correspondent suggested that the tariff might be adjusted by the commission on the basis of the views of both countries, whereupon Mr. Chamberlain promptly replied that the tariff would be fixed by the British Parliament. There was a temporary switch of the Commercial Union matter, inasmuch as it was proposed to break it into two parts, one in favor of fair trade and the other in favor of a tariff. Mr. Chamberlain had expressed his opinion that the usage of Englishmen were not to be altered, and that the tariff should be fixed by the British Parliament. He then put the direct question, "If you view the view do you, that the weight of argument is in favor of the scheme by which the tariff would be fixed by the British Parliament?" Mr. Chamberlain replied that the tariff would be fixed by the British Parliament.

MR. CHAMBERLAIN'S VIEWS ON THE TARIFF. Mr. Chamberlain had had already expressed himself on the question, and he had had no reason to change his views. How was an arrangement of the kind to be made? It was not possible that Canada would give up its control of the tariff and allow it to be fixed by the British Parliament. If Canada gave up that she could not be an independent country. A correspondent suggested that the tariff might be adjusted by the commission on the basis of the views of both countries, whereupon Mr. Chamberlain promptly replied that the tariff would be fixed by the British Parliament. There was a temporary switch of the Commercial Union matter, inasmuch as it was proposed to break it into two parts, one in favor of fair trade and the other in favor of a tariff. Mr. Chamberlain had expressed his opinion that the usage of Englishmen were not to be altered, and that the tariff should be fixed by the British Parliament. He then put the direct question, "If you view the view do you, that the weight of argument is in favor of the scheme by which the tariff would be fixed by the British Parliament?" Mr. Chamberlain replied that the tariff would be fixed by the British Parliament.

MR. CHAMBERLAIN'S VIEWS ON THE TARIFF. Mr. Chamberlain had had already expressed himself on the question, and he had had no reason to change his views. How was an arrangement of the kind to be made? It was not possible that Canada would give up its control of the tariff and allow it to be fixed by the British Parliament. If Canada gave up that she could not be an independent country. A correspondent suggested that the tariff might be adjusted by the commission on the basis of the views of both countries, whereupon Mr. Chamberlain promptly replied that the tariff would be fixed by the British Parliament. There was a temporary switch of the Commercial Union matter, inasmuch as it was proposed to break it into two parts, one in favor of fair trade and the other in favor of a tariff. Mr. Chamberlain had expressed his opinion that the usage of Englishmen were not to be altered, and that the tariff should be fixed by the British Parliament. He then put the direct question, "If you view the view do you, that the weight of argument is in favor of the scheme by which the tariff would be fixed by the British Parliament?" Mr. Chamberlain replied that the tariff would be fixed by the British Parliament.

MR. CHAMBERLAIN'S VIEWS ON THE TARIFF. Mr. Chamberlain had had already expressed himself on the question, and he had had no reason to change his views. How was an arrangement of the kind to be made? It was not possible that Canada would give up its control of the tariff and allow it to be fixed by the British Parliament. If Canada gave up that she could not be an independent country. A correspondent suggested that the tariff might be adjusted by the commission on the basis of the views of both countries, whereupon Mr. Chamberlain promptly replied that the tariff would be fixed by the British Parliament. There was a temporary switch of the Commercial Union matter, inasmuch as it was proposed to break it into two parts, one in favor of fair trade and the other in favor of a tariff. Mr. Chamberlain had expressed his opinion that the usage of Englishmen were not to be altered, and that the tariff should be fixed by the British Parliament. He then put the direct question, "If you view the view do you, that the weight of argument is in favor of the scheme by which the tariff would be fixed by the British Parliament?" Mr. Chamberlain replied that the tariff would be fixed by the British Parliament.

MR. CHAMBERLAIN'S VIEWS ON THE TARIFF. Mr. Chamberlain had had already expressed himself on the question, and he had had no reason to change his views. How was an arrangement of the kind to be made? It was not possible that Canada would give up its control of the tariff and allow it to be fixed by the British Parliament. If Canada gave up that she could not be an independent country. A correspondent suggested that the tariff might be adjusted by the commission on the basis of the views of both countries, whereupon Mr. Chamberlain promptly replied that the tariff would be fixed by the British Parliament. There was a temporary switch of the Commercial Union matter, inasmuch as it was proposed to break it into two parts, one in favor of fair trade and the other in favor of a tariff. Mr. Chamberlain had expressed his opinion that the usage of Englishmen were not to be altered, and that the tariff should be fixed by the British Parliament. He then put the direct question, "If you view the view do you, that the weight of argument is in favor of the scheme by which the tariff would be fixed by the British Parliament?" Mr. Chamberlain replied that the tariff would be fixed by the British Parliament.

MR. CHAMBERLAIN'S VIEWS ON THE TARIFF. Mr. Chamberlain had had already expressed himself on the question, and he had had no reason to change his views. How was an arrangement of the kind to be made? It was not possible that Canada would give up its control of the tariff and allow it to be fixed by the British Parliament. If Canada gave up that she could not be an independent country. A correspondent suggested that the tariff might be adjusted by the commission on the basis of the views of both countries, whereupon Mr. Chamberlain promptly replied that the tariff would be fixed by the British Parliament. There was a temporary switch of the Commercial Union matter, inasmuch as it was proposed to break it into two parts, one in favor of fair trade and the other in favor of a tariff. Mr. Chamberlain had expressed his opinion that the usage of Englishmen were not to be altered, and that the tariff should be fixed by the British Parliament. He then put the direct question, "If you view the view do you, that the weight of argument is in favor of the scheme by which the tariff would be fixed by the British Parliament?" Mr. Chamberlain replied that the tariff would be fixed by the British Parliament.

MR. CHAMBERLAIN'S VIEWS ON THE TARIFF. Mr. Chamberlain had had already expressed himself on the question, and he had had no reason to change his views. How was an arrangement of the kind to be made? It was not possible that Canada would give up its control of the tariff and allow it to be fixed by the British Parliament. If Canada gave up that she could not be an independent country. A correspondent suggested that the tariff might be adjusted by the commission on the basis of the views of both countries, whereupon Mr. Chamberlain promptly replied that the tariff would be fixed by the British Parliament. There was a temporary switch of the Commercial Union matter, inasmuch as it was proposed to break it into two parts, one in favor of fair trade and the other in favor of a tariff. Mr. Chamberlain had expressed his opinion that the usage of Englishmen were not to be altered, and that the tariff should be fixed by the British Parliament. He then put the direct question, "If you view the view do you, that the weight of argument is in favor of the scheme by which the tariff would be fixed by the British Parliament?" Mr. Chamberlain replied that the tariff would be fixed by the British Parliament.

MR. CHAMBERLAIN'S VIEWS ON THE TARIFF. Mr. Chamberlain had had already expressed himself on the question, and he had had no reason to change his views. How was an arrangement of the kind to be made? It was not possible that Canada would give up its control of the tariff and allow it to be fixed by the British Parliament. If Canada gave up that she could not be an independent country. A correspondent suggested that the tariff might be adjusted by the commission on the basis of the views of both countries, whereupon Mr. Chamberlain promptly replied that the tariff would be fixed by the British Parliament. There was a temporary switch of the Commercial Union matter, inasmuch as it was proposed to break it into two parts, one in favor of fair trade and the other in favor of a tariff. Mr. Chamberlain had expressed his opinion that the usage of Englishmen were not to be altered, and that the tariff should be fixed by the British Parliament. He then put the direct question, "If you view the view do you, that the weight of argument is in favor of the scheme by which the tariff would be fixed by the British Parliament?" Mr. Chamberlain replied that the tariff would be fixed by the British Parliament.

MR. CHAMBERLAIN'S VIEWS ON THE TARIFF. Mr. Chamberlain had had already expressed himself on the question, and he had had no reason to change his views. How was an arrangement of the kind to be made? It was not possible that Canada would give up its control of the tariff and allow it to be fixed by the British Parliament. If Canada gave up that she could not be an independent country. A correspondent suggested that the tariff might be adjusted by the commission on the basis of the views of both countries, whereupon Mr. Chamberlain promptly replied that the tariff would be fixed by the British Parliament. There was a temporary switch of the Commercial Union matter, inasmuch as it was proposed to break it into two parts, one in favor of fair trade and the other in favor of a tariff. Mr. Chamberlain had expressed his opinion that the usage of Englishmen were not to be altered, and that the tariff should be fixed by the British Parliament. He then put the direct question, "If you view the view do you, that the weight of argument is in favor of the scheme by which the tariff would be fixed by the British Parliament?" Mr. Chamberlain replied that the tariff would be fixed by the British Parliament.

MR. CHAMBERLAIN

Explains His Objections to Commercial Union.

His Views on Free Trade—Impartial Federation and Discrimination Against England—Commercial Union—Proposed Visit to Toronto.

OTTAWA, Dec. 22.—Mr. Joseph Chamberlain sat in the billiard room of Raleigh Hotel this evening and explained to a number of his friends his views on the Commercial Union, and his objections to it. He was asked to explain his objections to Commercial Union, and he said that he would not do so until he had seen the full report of the Commission on the subject. He said that he would not do so until he had seen the full report of the Commission on the subject.

DUBLIN'S LATEST SENSATION. The shooting affray in Dublin, which you have received some particulars of, is being regarded as a most important event in the history of the city. The Rev. Mr. Whyte, who was shot, was a member of the House of Commons, and was a member of the House of Commons. The shooting affray in Dublin, which you have received some particulars of, is being regarded as a most important event in the history of the city.

MR. CHAMBERLAIN'S VIEWS ON THE TARIFF. Mr. Chamberlain had had already expressed himself on the question, and he had had no reason to change his views. How was an arrangement of the kind to be made? It was not possible that Canada would give up its control of the tariff and allow it to be fixed by the British Parliament. If Canada gave up that she could not be an independent country. A correspondent suggested that the tariff might be adjusted by the commission on the basis of the views of both countries, whereupon Mr. Chamberlain promptly replied that the tariff would be fixed by the British Parliament. There was a temporary switch of the Commercial Union matter, inasmuch as it was proposed to break it into two parts, one in favor of fair trade and the other in favor of a tariff. Mr. Chamberlain had expressed his opinion that the usage of Englishmen were not to be altered, and that the tariff should be fixed by the British Parliament. He then put the direct question, "If you view the view do you, that the weight of argument is in favor of the scheme by which the tariff would be fixed by the British Parliament?" Mr. Chamberlain replied that the tariff would be fixed by the British Parliament.

MR. CHAMBERLAIN'S VIEWS ON THE TARIFF. Mr. Chamberlain had had already expressed himself on the question, and he had had no reason to change his views. How was an arrangement of the kind to be made? It was not possible that Canada would give up its control of the tariff and allow it to be fixed by the British Parliament. If Canada gave up that she could not be an independent country. A correspondent suggested that the tariff might be adjusted by the commission on the basis of the views of both countries, whereupon Mr. Chamberlain promptly replied that the tariff would be fixed by the British Parliament. There was a temporary switch of the Commercial Union matter, inasmuch as it was proposed to break it into two parts, one in favor of fair trade and the other in favor of a tariff. Mr. Chamberlain had expressed his opinion that the usage of Englishmen were not to be altered, and that the tariff should be fixed by the British Parliament. He then put the direct question, "If you view the view do you, that the weight of argument is in favor of the scheme by which the tariff would be fixed by the British Parliament?" Mr. Chamberlain replied that the tariff would be fixed by the British Parliament.

MR. CHAMBERLAIN'S VIEWS ON THE TARIFF. Mr. Chamberlain had had already expressed himself on the question, and he had had no reason to change his views. How was an arrangement of the kind to be made? It was not possible that Canada would give up its control of the tariff and allow it to be fixed by the British Parliament. If Canada gave up that she could not be an independent country. A correspondent suggested that the tariff might be adjusted by the commission on the basis of the views of both countries, whereupon Mr. Chamberlain promptly replied that the tariff would be fixed by the British Parliament. There was a temporary switch of the Commercial Union matter, inasmuch as it was proposed to break it into two parts, one in favor of fair trade and the other in favor of a tariff. Mr. Chamberlain had expressed his opinion that the usage of Englishmen were not to be altered, and that the tariff should be fixed by the British Parliament. He then put the direct question, "If you view the view do you, that the weight of argument is in favor of the scheme by which the tariff would be fixed by the British Parliament?" Mr. Chamberlain replied that the tariff would be fixed by the British Parliament.

MR. CHAMBERLAIN'S VIEWS ON THE TARIFF. Mr. Chamberlain had had already expressed himself on the question, and he had had no reason to change his views. How was an arrangement of the kind to be made? It was not possible that Canada would give up its control of the tariff and allow it to be fixed by the British Parliament. If Canada gave up that she could not be an independent country. A correspondent suggested that the tariff might be adjusted by the commission on the basis of the views of both countries, whereupon Mr. Chamberlain promptly replied that the tariff would be fixed by the British Parliament. There was a temporary switch of the Commercial Union matter, inasmuch as it was proposed to break it into two parts, one in favor of fair trade and the other in favor of a tariff. Mr. Chamberlain had expressed his opinion that the usage of Englishmen were not to be altered, and that the tariff should be fixed by the British Parliament. He then put the direct question, "If you view the view do you, that the weight of argument is in favor of the scheme by which the tariff would be fixed by the British Parliament?" Mr. Chamberlain replied that the tariff would be fixed by the British Parliament.

MR. CHAMBERLAIN'S VIEWS ON THE TARIFF. Mr. Chamberlain had had already expressed himself on the question, and he had had no reason to change his views. How was an arrangement of the kind to be made? It was not possible that Canada would give up its control of the tariff and allow it to be fixed by the British Parliament. If Canada gave up that she could not be an independent country. A correspondent suggested that the tariff might be adjusted by the commission on the basis of the views of both countries, whereupon Mr. Chamberlain promptly replied that the tariff would be fixed by the British Parliament. There was a temporary switch of the Commercial Union matter, inasmuch as it was proposed to break it into two parts, one in favor of fair trade and the other in favor of a tariff. Mr. Chamberlain had expressed his opinion that the usage of Englishmen were not to be altered, and that the tariff should be fixed by the British Parliament. He then put the direct question, "If you view the view do you, that the weight of argument is in favor of the scheme by which the tariff would be fixed by the British Parliament?" Mr. Chamberlain replied that the tariff would be fixed by the British Parliament.

MR. CHAMBERLAIN'S VIEWS ON THE TARIFF. Mr. Chamberlain had had already expressed himself on the question, and he had had no reason to change his views. How was an arrangement of the kind to be made? It was not possible that Canada would give up its control of the tariff and allow it to be fixed by the British Parliament. If Canada gave up that she could not be an independent country. A correspondent suggested that the tariff might be adjusted by the commission on the basis of the views of both countries, whereupon Mr. Chamberlain promptly replied that the tariff would be fixed by the British Parliament. There was a temporary switch of the Commercial Union matter, inasmuch as it was proposed to break it into two parts, one in favor of fair trade and the other in favor of a tariff. Mr. Chamberlain had expressed his opinion that the usage of Englishmen were not to be altered, and that the tariff should be fixed by the British Parliament. He then put the direct question, "If you view the view do you, that the weight of argument is in favor of the scheme by which the tariff would be fixed by the British Parliament?" Mr. Chamberlain replied that the tariff would be fixed by the British Parliament.

MR. CHAMBERLAIN'S VIEWS ON THE TARIFF. Mr. Chamberlain had had already expressed himself on the question, and he had had no reason to change his views. How was an arrangement of the kind to be made? It was not possible that Canada would give up its control of the tariff and allow it to be fixed by the British Parliament. If Canada gave up that she could not be an independent country. A correspondent suggested that the tariff might be adjusted by the commission on the basis of the views of both countries, whereupon Mr. Chamberlain promptly replied that the tariff would be fixed by the British Parliament. There was a temporary switch of the Commercial Union matter, inasmuch as it was proposed to break it into two parts, one in favor of fair trade and the other in favor of a tariff. Mr. Chamberlain had expressed his opinion that the usage of Englishmen were not to be altered, and that the tariff should be fixed by the British Parliament. He then put the direct question, "If you view the view do you, that the weight of argument is in favor of the scheme by which the tariff would be fixed by the British Parliament?" Mr. Chamberlain replied that the tariff would be fixed by the British Parliament.

MR. CHAMBERLAIN'S VIEWS ON THE TARIFF. Mr. Chamberlain had had already expressed himself on the question, and he had had no reason to change his views. How was an arrangement of the kind to be made? It was not possible that Canada would give up its control of the tariff and allow it to be fixed by the British Parliament. If Canada gave up that she could not be an independent country. A correspondent suggested that the tariff might be adjusted by the commission on the basis of the views of both countries, whereupon Mr. Chamberlain promptly replied that the tariff would be fixed by the British Parliament. There was a temporary switch of the Commercial Union matter, inasmuch as it was proposed to break it into two parts, one in favor of fair trade and the other in favor of a tariff. Mr. Chamberlain had expressed his opinion that the usage of Englishmen were not to be altered, and that the tariff should be fixed by the British Parliament. He then put the direct question, "If you view the view do you, that the weight of argument is in favor of the scheme by which the tariff would be fixed by the British Parliament?" Mr. Chamberlain replied that the tariff would be fixed by the British Parliament.

MR. CHAMBERLAIN'S VIEWS ON THE TARIFF. Mr. Chamberlain had had already expressed himself on the question, and he had had no reason to change his views. How was an arrangement of the kind to be made? It was not possible that Canada would give up its control of the tariff and allow it to be fixed by the British Parliament. If Canada gave up that she could not be an independent country. A correspondent suggested that the tariff might be adjusted by the commission on the basis of the views of both countries