


RELIABLE STORAGE. ROBERT CARRIE. On all kinds of goods. Consignments Solicited. Business Confidential.

FIFTEENTH YEAR

THE MEN WHO WILL DECIDE MACWHERRELL AND WALKER'S FATE.



Peter Smith, Root, Steele, Wm. Dick, Jr., Fred F. Haines, S. Hunter, Wm. Caldwell, John Watson, Jas. Stubbs, Jos. Davis, Samuel Elliott, W. Fines, Jr.

RAMPTON, March 13.—The trial of Walter MacWherrell and John Walker on a charge of murdering James Williams is now in full swing. Although the counsel for the defendants exhausted 39 of the 40 peremptory challenges they were entitled to and the Crown requested 10 to stand aside the jury was selected within an hour. The defence challenged every juror from Toronto Township, the locality of the murder, and every man who had passed his 60th year except in the case of the second last juror chosen. He probably would have suffered the same fate but that the defence had one challenge left, except for cause, and like the constable who saw the head of a boa constrictor enter at his bedroom window, they did not know what might follow.

The great part of the climatic conditions prevalent at the time of the murder will play in the case as evidenced early in the day, when Mr. Oler put in a report from the Toronto Observatory showing the amount of rain and snowfall during the three days from Thursday, Dec. 14, to Saturday, Dec. 16, as well as the temperature, the velocity of the wind and other facts.

Mr. Oler's opening address showed that the Crown was still of the opinion that the murder was committed by the defendants and that the prosecution would be satisfied with a verdict that Walker was the murderer and MacWherrell was the accessory after the fact. The second indictment will not be tried when the indictment, charging the prisoners with the murder of James Williams, was read. Mr. Oler stated and stated that inasmuch as the murders of Williams and his wife were committed at one time, and by the same persons, it was desirable that both cases should be tried together, and that the Crown would be satisfied with a verdict that Walker was the murderer and MacWherrell was the accessory after the fact.

Mr. Oler's opening address showed that the Crown was still of the opinion that the murder was committed by the defendants and that the prosecution would be satisfied with a verdict that Walker was the murderer and MacWherrell was the accessory after the fact. The second indictment will not be tried when the indictment, charging the prisoners with the murder of James Williams, was read. Mr. Oler stated and stated that inasmuch as the murders of Williams and his wife were committed at one time, and by the same persons, it was desirable that both cases should be tried together, and that the Crown would be satisfied with a verdict that Walker was the murderer and MacWherrell was the accessory after the fact.

Mr. Oler's opening address showed that the Crown was still of the opinion that the murder was committed by the defendants and that the prosecution would be satisfied with a verdict that Walker was the murderer and MacWherrell was the accessory after the fact. The second indictment will not be tried when the indictment, charging the prisoners with the murder of James Williams, was read. Mr. Oler stated and stated that inasmuch as the murders of Williams and his wife were committed at one time, and by the same persons, it was desirable that both cases should be tried together, and that the Crown would be satisfied with a verdict that Walker was the murderer and MacWherrell was the accessory after the fact.

WEDNESDAY MORNING, MARCH 14 1894

A REVERSE FOR ROSEBERY.

LABOUCHERE'S AMENDMENT CARRIED BY A MAJORITY OF TWO.

The Division sprang during the Dinner Hour when the Members Were in Their Seats—The House Will Now Vote on the Address as a Whole—Shelving of Home Rule.

LONDON, March 13.—The House of Commons, by a vote of 147 to 145, has passed Mr. Labouchere's amendment to the Queen's Speech, recommending the abolition of the veto power of the House of Lords.

Mr. Labouchere's amendment to the Queen's Speech, recommending the abolition of the veto power of the House of Lords, was passed by a vote of 147 to 145. The amendment was introduced by Mr. Labouchere and was supported by a large number of members of the House of Commons.

The amendment was passed by a vote of 147 to 145. The House of Commons has shown a clear majority in favor of the abolition of the veto power of the House of Lords.

The amendment was passed by a vote of 147 to 145. The House of Commons has shown a clear majority in favor of the abolition of the veto power of the House of Lords.

The amendment was passed by a vote of 147 to 145. The House of Commons has shown a clear majority in favor of the abolition of the veto power of the House of Lords.

The amendment was passed by a vote of 147 to 145. The House of Commons has shown a clear majority in favor of the abolition of the veto power of the House of Lords.

The amendment was passed by a vote of 147 to 145. The House of Commons has shown a clear majority in favor of the abolition of the veto power of the House of Lords.

The amendment was passed by a vote of 147 to 145. The House of Commons has shown a clear majority in favor of the abolition of the veto power of the House of Lords.

The amendment was passed by a vote of 147 to 145. The House of Commons has shown a clear majority in favor of the abolition of the veto power of the House of Lords.

The amendment was passed by a vote of 147 to 145. The House of Commons has shown a clear majority in favor of the abolition of the veto power of the House of Lords.

The amendment was passed by a vote of 147 to 145. The House of Commons has shown a clear majority in favor of the abolition of the veto power of the House of Lords.

A LITTLE OF HIS OWN.

GATHERING OF THE MEMBERS.

AT OTTAWA READY FOR THE WORK OF THE SESSION.

Decision of the Supreme Court in the Partitioning Power Case expected to-day. Gibbon Macdonald County Judge of Peel-Canadian Grain At the Midwinter Fair-General Ottawa Gossip.

OTTAWA, March 13.—Ottawa to-night is beginning to put on its usual seasonal appearance, and every incoming train brings its quota of members. Hon. Peter Wilson, Speaker of the Commons and Col. Smith, Sergeant-at-Arms, were in their offices to-day making final arrangements for the opening on Thursday, and there is a general buzz of preparation about the building. Hon. Mr. Laurier and Madame Laurier are expected tomorrow morning and are expected to arrive in the morning and take up their customary quarters at the Russell, and a large number of members and senators are expected to arrive tomorrow morning and take up their quarters at the Russell, and a large number of members and senators are expected to arrive tomorrow morning and take up their quarters at the Russell.

The Partitioning Power Case. Two important cases were disposed of in the Supreme Court yesterday. They are the Ross Will Case and the Partitioning Power Case. Justice Fournier, Isaacson, Gwynne, Sutherland and King were on the bench. In the case of the Attorney-General of Canada v. the Attorney-General of Ontario, judgment was given dismissing the appeal with costs. Justice Gwynne dissenting. This question arose out of the constitutionality of an Ontario statute which gave power to the Municipalities or the Lieutenant-Governor-in-Council to pardon in cases which arose under provincial statutes.

The Partitioning Power Case. Two important cases were disposed of in the Supreme Court yesterday. They are the Ross Will Case and the Partitioning Power Case. Justice Fournier, Isaacson, Gwynne, Sutherland and King were on the bench. In the case of the Attorney-General of Canada v. the Attorney-General of Ontario, judgment was given dismissing the appeal with costs. Justice Gwynne dissenting. This question arose out of the constitutionality of an Ontario statute which gave power to the Municipalities or the Lieutenant-Governor-in-Council to pardon in cases which arose under provincial statutes.

The Partitioning Power Case. Two important cases were disposed of in the Supreme Court yesterday. They are the Ross Will Case and the Partitioning Power Case. Justice Fournier, Isaacson, Gwynne, Sutherland and King were on the bench. In the case of the Attorney-General of Canada v. the Attorney-General of Ontario, judgment was given dismissing the appeal with costs. Justice Gwynne dissenting. This question arose out of the constitutionality of an Ontario statute which gave power to the Municipalities or the Lieutenant-Governor-in-Council to pardon in cases which arose under provincial statutes.

The Partitioning Power Case. Two important cases were disposed of in the Supreme Court yesterday. They are the Ross Will Case and the Partitioning Power Case. Justice Fournier, Isaacson, Gwynne, Sutherland and King were on the bench. In the case of the Attorney-General of Canada v. the Attorney-General of Ontario, judgment was given dismissing the appeal with costs. Justice Gwynne dissenting. This question arose out of the constitutionality of an Ontario statute which gave power to the Municipalities or the Lieutenant-Governor-in-Council to pardon in cases which arose under provincial statutes.

The Partitioning Power Case. Two important cases were disposed of in the Supreme Court yesterday. They are the Ross Will Case and the Partitioning Power Case. Justice Fournier, Isaacson, Gwynne, Sutherland and King were on the bench. In the case of the Attorney-General of Canada v. the Attorney-General of Ontario, judgment was given dismissing the appeal with costs. Justice Gwynne dissenting. This question arose out of the constitutionality of an Ontario statute which gave power to the Municipalities or the Lieutenant-Governor-in-Council to pardon in cases which arose under provincial statutes.

The Partitioning Power Case. Two important cases were disposed of in the Supreme Court yesterday. They are the Ross Will Case and the Partitioning Power Case. Justice Fournier, Isaacson, Gwynne, Sutherland and King were on the bench. In the case of the Attorney-General of Canada v. the Attorney-General of Ontario, judgment was given dismissing the appeal with costs. Justice Gwynne dissenting. This question arose out of the constitutionality of an Ontario statute which gave power to the Municipalities or the Lieutenant-Governor-in-Council to pardon in cases which arose under provincial statutes.

The Partitioning Power Case. Two important cases were disposed of in the Supreme Court yesterday. They are the Ross Will Case and the Partitioning Power Case. Justice Fournier, Isaacson, Gwynne, Sutherland and King were on the bench. In the case of the Attorney-General of Canada v. the Attorney-General of Ontario, judgment was given dismissing the appeal with costs. Justice Gwynne dissenting. This question arose out of the constitutionality of an Ontario statute which gave power to the Municipalities or the Lieutenant-Governor-in-Council to pardon in cases which arose under provincial statutes.

The Partitioning Power Case. Two important cases were disposed of in the Supreme Court yesterday. They are the Ross Will Case and the Partitioning Power Case. Justice Fournier, Isaacson, Gwynne, Sutherland and King were on the bench. In the case of the Attorney-General of Canada v. the Attorney-General of Ontario, judgment was given dismissing the appeal with costs. Justice Gwynne dissenting. This question arose out of the constitutionality of an Ontario statute which gave power to the Municipalities or the Lieutenant-Governor-in-Council to pardon in cases which arose under provincial statutes.

The Partitioning Power Case. Two important cases were disposed of in the Supreme Court yesterday. They are the Ross Will Case and the Partitioning Power Case. Justice Fournier, Isaacson, Gwynne, Sutherland and King were on the bench. In the case of the Attorney-General of Canada v. the Attorney-General of Ontario, judgment was given dismissing the appeal with costs. Justice Gwynne dissenting. This question arose out of the constitutionality of an Ontario statute which gave power to the Municipalities or the Lieutenant-Governor-in-Council to pardon in cases which arose under provincial statutes.

The Partitioning Power Case. Two important cases were disposed of in the Supreme Court yesterday. They are the Ross Will Case and the Partitioning Power Case. Justice Fournier, Isaacson, Gwynne, Sutherland and King were on the bench. In the case of the Attorney-General of Canada v. the Attorney-General of Ontario, judgment was given dismissing the appeal with costs. Justice Gwynne dissenting. This question arose out of the constitutionality of an Ontario statute which gave power to the Municipalities or the Lieutenant-Governor-in-Council to pardon in cases which arose under provincial statutes.

The Partitioning Power Case. Two important cases were disposed of in the Supreme Court yesterday. They are the Ross Will Case and the Partitioning Power Case. Justice Fournier, Isaacson, Gwynne, Sutherland and King were on the bench. In the case of the Attorney-General of Canada v. the Attorney-General of Ontario, judgment was given dismissing the appeal with costs. Justice Gwynne dissenting. This question arose out of the constitutionality of an Ontario statute which gave power to the Municipalities or the Lieutenant-Governor-in-Council to pardon in cases which arose under provincial statutes.

The Partitioning Power Case. Two important cases were disposed of in the Supreme Court yesterday. They are the Ross Will Case and the Partitioning Power Case. Justice Fournier, Isaacson, Gwynne, Sutherland and King were on the bench. In the case of the Attorney-General of Canada v. the Attorney-General of Ontario, judgment was given dismissing the appeal with costs. Justice Gwynne dissenting. This question arose out of the constitutionality of an Ontario statute which gave power to the Municipalities or the Lieutenant-Governor-in-Council to pardon in cases which arose under provincial statutes.

MACKINTOSHES IN STOCK AND MADE TO ORDER. TORONTO RUBBER COMPANY, LTD. 28 KING-ST. W., MANNING ARCADE. ONE CENT.

A LITTLE OF HIS OWN.

GATHERING OF THE MEMBERS.

AT OTTAWA READY FOR THE WORK OF THE SESSION.

Decision of the Supreme Court in the Partitioning Power Case expected to-day. Gibbon Macdonald County Judge of Peel-Canadian Grain At the Midwinter Fair-General Ottawa Gossip.

OTTAWA, March 13.—Ottawa to-night is beginning to put on its usual seasonal appearance, and every incoming train brings its quota of members. Hon. Peter Wilson, Speaker of the Commons and Col. Smith, Sergeant-at-Arms, were in their offices to-day making final arrangements for the opening on Thursday, and there is a general buzz of preparation about the building. Hon. Mr. Laurier and Madame Laurier are expected tomorrow morning and are expected to arrive in the morning and take up their customary quarters at the Russell, and a large number of members and senators are expected to arrive tomorrow morning and take up their quarters at the Russell.

The Partitioning Power Case. Two important cases were disposed of in the Supreme Court yesterday. They are the Ross Will Case and the Partitioning Power Case. Justice Fournier, Isaacson, Gwynne, Sutherland and King were on the bench. In the case of the Attorney-General of Canada v. the Attorney-General of Ontario, judgment was given dismissing the appeal with costs. Justice Gwynne dissenting. This question arose out of the constitutionality of an Ontario statute which gave power to the Municipalities or the Lieutenant-Governor-in-Council to pardon in cases which arose under provincial statutes.

The Partitioning Power Case. Two important cases were disposed of in the Supreme Court yesterday. They are the Ross Will Case and the Partitioning Power Case. Justice Fournier, Isaacson, Gwynne, Sutherland and King were on the bench. In the case of the Attorney-General of Canada v. the Attorney-General of Ontario, judgment was given dismissing the appeal with costs. Justice Gwynne dissenting. This question arose out of the constitutionality of an Ontario statute which gave power to the Municipalities or the Lieutenant-Governor-in-Council to pardon in cases which arose under provincial statutes.

The Partitioning Power Case. Two important cases were disposed of in the Supreme Court yesterday. They are the Ross Will Case and the Partitioning Power Case. Justice Fournier, Isaacson, Gwynne, Sutherland and King were on the bench. In the case of the Attorney-General of Canada v. the Attorney-General of Ontario, judgment was given dismissing the appeal with costs. Justice Gwynne dissenting. This question arose out of the constitutionality of an Ontario statute which gave power to the Municipalities or the Lieutenant-Governor-in-Council to pardon in cases which arose under provincial statutes.

The Partitioning Power Case. Two important cases were disposed of in the Supreme Court yesterday. They are the Ross Will Case and the Partitioning Power Case. Justice Fournier, Isaacson, Gwynne, Sutherland and King were on the bench. In the case of the Attorney-General of Canada v. the Attorney-General of Ontario, judgment was given dismissing the appeal with costs. Justice Gwynne dissenting. This question arose out of the constitutionality of an Ontario statute which gave power to the Municipalities or the Lieutenant-Governor-in-Council to pardon in cases which arose under provincial statutes.

The Partitioning Power Case. Two important cases were disposed of in the Supreme Court yesterday. They are the Ross Will Case and the Partitioning Power Case. Justice Fournier, Isaacson, Gwynne, Sutherland and King were on the bench. In the case of the Attorney-General of Canada v. the Attorney-General of Ontario, judgment was given dismissing the appeal with costs. Justice Gwynne dissenting. This question arose out of the constitutionality of an Ontario statute which gave power to the Municipalities or the Lieutenant-Governor-in-Council to pardon in cases which arose under provincial statutes.

The Partitioning Power Case. Two important cases were disposed of in the Supreme Court yesterday. They are the Ross Will Case and the Partitioning Power Case. Justice Fournier, Isaacson, Gwynne, Sutherland and King were on the bench. In the case of the Attorney-General of Canada v. the Attorney-General of Ontario, judgment was given dismissing the appeal with costs. Justice Gwynne dissenting. This question arose out of the constitutionality of an Ontario statute which gave power to the Municipalities or the Lieutenant-Governor-in-Council to pardon in cases which arose under provincial statutes.

The Partitioning Power Case. Two important cases were disposed of in the Supreme Court yesterday. They are the Ross Will Case and the Partitioning Power Case. Justice Fournier, Isaacson, Gwynne, Sutherland and King were on the bench. In the case of the Attorney-General of Canada v. the Attorney-General of Ontario, judgment was given dismissing the appeal with costs. Justice Gwynne dissenting. This question arose out of the constitutionality of an Ontario statute which gave power to the Municipalities or the Lieutenant-Governor-in-Council to pardon in cases which arose under provincial statutes.


The Partitioning Power Case. Two important cases were disposed of in the Supreme Court yesterday. They are the Ross Will Case and the Partitioning Power Case. Justice Fournier, Isaacson, Gwynne, Sutherland and King were on the bench. In the case of the Attorney-General of Canada v. the Attorney-General of Ontario, judgment was given dismissing the appeal with costs. Justice Gwynne dissenting. This question arose out of the constitutionality of an Ontario statute which gave power to the Municipalities or the Lieutenant-Governor-in-Council to pardon in cases which arose under provincial statutes.

The Partitioning Power Case. Two important cases were disposed of in the Supreme Court yesterday. They are the Ross Will Case and the Partitioning Power Case. Justice Fournier, Isaacson, Gwynne, Sutherland and King were on the bench. In the case of the Attorney-General of Canada v. the Attorney-General of Ontario, judgment was given dismissing the appeal with costs. Justice Gwynne dissenting. This question arose out of the constitutionality of an Ontario statute which gave power to the Municipalities or the Lieutenant-Governor-in-Council to pardon in cases which arose under provincial statutes.

The Partitioning Power Case. Two important cases were disposed of in the Supreme Court yesterday. They are the Ross Will Case and the Partitioning Power Case. Justice Fournier, Isaacson, Gwynne, Sutherland and King were on the bench. In the case of the Attorney-General of Canada v. the Attorney-General of Ontario, judgment was given dismissing the appeal with costs. Justice Gwynne dissenting. This question arose out of the constitutionality of an Ontario statute which gave power to the Municipalities or the Lieutenant-Governor-in-Council to pardon in cases which arose under provincial statutes.

The Partitioning Power Case. Two important cases were disposed of in the Supreme Court yesterday. They are the Ross Will Case and the Partitioning Power Case. Justice Fournier, Isaacson, Gwynne, Sutherland and King were on the bench. In the case of the Attorney-General of Canada v. the Attorney-General of Ontario, judgment was given dismissing the appeal with costs. Justice Gwynne dissenting. This question arose out of the constitutionality of an Ontario statute which gave power to the Municipalities or the Lieutenant-Governor-in-Council to pardon in cases which arose under provincial statutes.

The Partitioning Power Case. Two important cases were disposed of in the Supreme Court yesterday. They are the Ross Will Case and the Partitioning Power Case. Justice Fournier, Isaacson, Gwynne, Sutherland and King were on the bench. In the case of the Attorney-General of Canada v. the Attorney-General of Ontario, judgment was given dismissing the appeal with costs. Justice Gwynne dissenting. This question arose out of the constitutionality of an Ontario statute which gave power to the Municipalities or the Lieutenant-Governor-in-Council to pardon in cases which arose under provincial statutes.



HE Church—What is it? Webster defines it to be "a building set apart for Christian worship." Are there many such buildings in Toronto? A great many of them. How many would you say? It would be hard to give the exact number, but in value they amount to over six million dollars. All this property escapes taxation! It does. The Salvation Army people pay no taxes on their barracks! They don't. Do you believe in their methods of teaching the poor? It makes me sick to listen to their drumming. But you are forced to pay a share of the expense connected with running the barracks! Such is the law. Well, the man who headed the believers in the "Flying Roll" had long been in the city and suburbs. Certainly, we can't open up a new faith easily without long hair or playing a trombone or some such freak. Mr. Wild would be a good man to push the scheme. We must see him. It ought to develop rapidly, this new faith. Once the ice is broken we won't be able to supply the demand for churchmen. Methinks I already see the churches of the Wise Disciples sitting on every vacant lot in the city and suburbs. Let us withdraw for liquid refreshment, brother. Gigantic ideas like these unduly excite the brain. We must keep our heads clear. And our hair long. Correct you are, brother.

Mr. M. Ooster-Cathoon, Eleventh of New York, after of late a general Court. Mrs. Caldwell, Mrs. J. D.E. Smith, Miss G. Hamilton, Mr. Harry W. Rich, Mr. K.W. Harrison and Mr. W. M. Stewart take part in the programme of the unemployed, during the patronage of Lieutenant Kirkpatrick tomorrow (Thursday), night in the Pavilion. Plan at Northminster.

A Grand Concert. The I. O. F. concert at the Pavilion on Thursday evening next promises to be the event of the season. The Lieutenant-Governor and Mrs. Kirkpatrick have signified their intention of being present, and a number of seats have been reserved. Mrs. Kirkpatrick has kindly volunteered her services as pianist. Messrs. A. M. Gorrie and Harry W. Rich are also on the programme, together with several other talented artists. These names alone are a sufficient guarantee of the success of the concert, but when it is borne in mind that the entire proceeds are to be handed over to the Mayor for the benefit of the unemployed, standing room should be at a premium. His Worship the Mayor will occupy the chair.

The talent engaged for to-morrow, Thursday, night concert for the unemployed is of the highest order, and well embraced the best artists from abroad and in the city. Plan at Northminster.

You cannot compare them. You might as well compare Vin Ordinaire regular brandy with the best brandy in Toronto to one that is bottled at the Springs. Every bottle of "Springs" is guaranteed to be put up at the celebrated Mt. Clemens Springs, and it is the reason why the most prominent physicians recommend it, not only as a table water, but for stomach and kidney troubles.

Academy of Music. Tony Farrell, the sweet singer of Erin, is pleasing the large audience this week at each entertainment at the Academy in the beautiful Irish drama "My Cousin." Matinee Thursday and Saturday, regular evening performances. Prices 15, 25, 35 and 50 cents.

HOP TEA, the finest tea in the market. 50c per lb. For sale by Messrs. Swan Bros., 25-26, Queen St. W.

Fetherstonhaugh & Co., patent collectors and exporters. 222 Queen St. W., Toronto.

ROBINSON—On Thursday, March 8, 1894, at 10:30 a.m., the wife of Mr. Daniel Robinson of a son.

SWAIN—On the 11th inst., at 4 Humbert street, the wife of Edward Swain, of a daughter.

DEATHS. PERRY—At Brantford, Ont., Robert E. Perry, eldest son of the late Peter Perry of Whitby, aged 69 years 11 months and 12 days.

Have you a cough? Try Conchocera, 10c.

LABOUCHERE'S AMENDMENT CARRIED BY A MAJORITY OF TWO.

The Division sprang during the Dinner Hour when the Members Were in Their Seats—The House Will Now Vote on the Address as a Whole—Shelving of Home Rule.

LONDON, March 13.—The House of Commons, by a vote of 147 to 145, has passed Mr. Labouchere's amendment to the Queen's Speech, recommending the abolition of the veto power of the House of Lords.

Mr. Labouchere's amendment to the Queen's Speech, recommending the abolition of the veto power of the House of Lords, was passed by a vote of 147 to 145. The amendment was introduced by Mr. Labouchere and was supported by a large number of members of the House of Commons.

The amendment was passed by a vote of 147 to 145. The House of Commons has shown a clear majority in favor of the abolition of the veto power of the House of Lords.

The amendment was passed by a vote of 147 to 145. The House of Commons has shown a clear majority in favor of the abolition of the veto power of the House of Lords.

The amendment was passed by a vote of 147 to 145. The House of Commons has shown a clear majority in favor of the abolition of the veto power of the House of Lords.

The amendment was passed by a vote of 147 to 145. The House of Commons has shown a clear majority in favor of the abolition of the veto power of the House of Lords.

The amendment was passed by a vote of 147 to 145. The House of Commons has shown a clear majority in favor of the abolition of the veto power of the House of Lords.

The amendment was passed by a vote of 147 to 145. The House of Commons has shown a clear majority in favor of the abolition of the veto power of the House of Lords.

The amendment was passed by a vote of 147 to 145. The House of Commons has shown a clear majority in favor of the abolition of the veto power of the House of Lords.

The amendment was passed by a vote of 147 to 145. The House of Commons has shown a clear majority in favor of the abolition of the veto power of the House of Lords.

The amendment was passed by a vote of 147 to 145. The House of Commons has shown a clear majority in favor of the abolition of the veto power of the House of Lords.

A LITTLE OF HIS OWN.

GATHERING OF THE MEMBERS.

AT OTTAWA READY FOR THE WORK OF THE SESSION.

Decision of the Supreme Court in the Partitioning Power Case expected to-day. Gibbon Macdonald County Judge of Peel-Canadian Grain At the Midwinter Fair-General Ottawa Gossip.

OTTAWA, March 13.—Ottawa to-night is beginning to put on its usual seasonal appearance, and every incoming train brings its quota of members. Hon. Peter Wilson, Speaker of the Commons and Col. Smith, Sergeant-at-Arms, were in their offices to-day making final arrangements for the opening on Thursday, and there is a general buzz of preparation about the building. Hon. Mr. Laurier and Madame Laurier are expected tomorrow morning and are expected to arrive in the morning and take up their customary quarters at the Russell, and a large number of members and senators are expected to arrive tomorrow morning and take up their quarters at the Russell.

The Partitioning Power Case. Two important cases were disposed of in the Supreme Court yesterday. They are the Ross Will Case and the Partitioning Power Case. Justice Fournier, Isaacson, Gwynne, Sutherland and King were on the bench. In the case of the Attorney-General of Canada v. the Attorney-General of Ontario, judgment was given dismissing the appeal with costs. Justice Gwynne dissenting. This question arose out of the constitutionality of an Ontario statute which gave power to the Municipalities or the Lieutenant-Governor-in-Council to pardon in cases which arose under provincial statutes.

The Partitioning Power Case. Two important cases were disposed of in the Supreme Court yesterday. They are the Ross Will Case and the Partitioning Power Case. Justice Fournier, Isaacson, Gwynne, Sutherland and King were on the bench. In the case of the Attorney-General of Canada v. the Attorney-General of Ontario, judgment was given dismissing the appeal with costs. Justice Gwynne dissenting. This question arose out of the constitutionality of an Ontario statute which gave power to the Municipalities or the Lieutenant-Governor-in-Council to pardon in cases which arose under provincial statutes.

The Partitioning Power Case. Two important cases were disposed of in the Supreme Court yesterday. They are the Ross Will Case and the Partitioning Power Case. Justice Fournier, Isaacson, Gwynne, Sutherland and King were on the bench. In the case of the Attorney-General of Canada v. the Attorney-General of Ontario, judgment was given dismissing the appeal with costs. Justice Gwynne dissenting. This question arose out of the constitutionality of an Ontario statute which gave power to the Municipalities or the Lieutenant-Governor-in-Council to pardon in cases which arose under provincial statutes.

The Partitioning Power Case. Two important cases were disposed of in the Supreme Court yesterday. They are the Ross Will Case and the Partitioning Power Case. Justice Fournier, Isaacson, Gwynne, Sutherland and King were on the bench. In the case of the Attorney-General of Canada v. the Attorney-General of Ontario, judgment was given dismissing the appeal with costs. Justice Gwynne dissenting. This question arose out of the constitutionality of an Ontario statute which gave power to the Municipalities or the Lieutenant-Governor-in-Council to pardon in cases which arose under provincial statutes.

The Partitioning Power Case. Two important cases were disposed of in the Supreme Court yesterday. They are the Ross Will Case and the Partitioning Power Case. Justice Fournier, Isaacson, Gwynne, Sutherland and King were on the bench. In the case of the Attorney-General of Canada v. the Attorney-General of Ontario, judgment was given dismissing the appeal with costs. Justice Gwynne dissenting. This question arose out of the constitutionality of an Ontario statute which gave power to the Municipalities or the Lieutenant-Governor-in-Council to pardon in cases which arose under provincial statutes.

The Partitioning Power Case. Two important cases were disposed of in the Supreme Court yesterday. They are the Ross Will Case and the Partitioning Power Case. Justice Fournier, Isaacson, Gwynne, Sutherland and King were on the bench. In the case of the Attorney-General of Canada v. the Attorney-General of Ontario, judgment was given dismissing the appeal with costs. Justice Gwynne dissenting. This question arose out of the constitutionality of an Ontario statute which gave power to the Municipalities or the Lieutenant-Governor-in-Council to pardon in cases which arose under provincial statutes.

The Partitioning Power Case. Two important cases were disposed of in the Supreme Court yesterday. They are the Ross Will Case and the Partitioning Power Case. Justice Fournier, Isaacson, Gwynne, Sutherland and King were on the bench. In the case of the Attorney-General of Canada v. the Attorney-General of Ontario, judgment was given dismissing the appeal with costs. Justice Gwynne dissenting. This question arose out of the constitutionality of an Ontario statute which gave power to the Municipalities or the Lieutenant-Governor-in-Council to pardon in cases which arose under provincial statutes.

The Partitioning Power Case. Two important cases were disposed of in the Supreme Court yesterday. They are the Ross Will Case and the Partitioning Power Case. Justice Fournier, Isaacson, Gwynne, Sutherland and King were on the bench. In the case of the Attorney-General of Canada v. the Attorney-General of Ontario, judgment was given dismissing the appeal with costs. Justice Gwynne dissenting. This question arose out of the constitutionality of an Ontario statute which gave power to the Municipalities or the Lieutenant-Governor-in-Council to pardon in cases which arose under provincial statutes.

The Partitioning Power Case. Two important cases were disposed of in the Supreme Court yesterday. They are the Ross Will Case and the Partitioning Power Case. Justice Fournier, Isaacson, Gwynne, Sutherland and King were on the bench. In the case of the Attorney-General of Canada v. the Attorney-General of Ontario, judgment was given dismissing the appeal with costs. Justice Gwynne dissenting. This question arose out of the constitutionality of an Ontario statute which gave power to the Municipalities or the Lieutenant-Governor-in-Council to pardon in cases which arose under provincial statutes.

The Partitioning Power Case. Two important cases were disposed of in the Supreme Court yesterday. They are the Ross Will Case and the Partitioning Power Case. Justice Fournier, Isaacson, Gwynne, Sutherland and King were on the bench. In the case of the Attorney-General of Canada v. the Attorney-General of Ontario, judgment was given dismissing the appeal with costs. Justice Gwynne dissenting. This question arose out of the constitutionality of an Ontario statute which gave power to the Municipalities or the Lieutenant-Governor-in-Council to pardon in cases which arose under provincial statutes.

The Partitioning Power Case. Two important cases were disposed of in the Supreme Court yesterday. They are the Ross Will Case and the Partitioning Power Case. Justice Fournier, Isaacson, Gwynne, Sutherland and King were on the bench. In the case of the Attorney-General of Canada v. the Attorney-General of Ontario, judgment was given dismissing the appeal with costs. Justice Gwynne dissenting. This question arose out of the constitutionality of an Ontario statute which gave power to the Municipalities or the Lieutenant-Governor-in-Council to pardon in cases which arose under provincial statutes.

The Partitioning Power Case. Two important cases were disposed of in the Supreme Court yesterday. They are the Ross Will Case and the Partitioning Power Case. Justice Fournier, Isaacson, Gwynne, Sutherland and King were on the bench. In the case of the Attorney-General of Canada v. the Attorney-General of Ontario, judgment was given dismissing the appeal with costs. Justice Gwynne dissenting. This question arose out of the constitutionality of an Ontario statute which gave power to the Municipalities or the Lieutenant-Governor-in-Council to pardon in cases which arose under provincial statutes.

LABOUCHERE'S AMENDMENT CARRIED BY A MAJORITY OF TWO.

The Division sprang during the Dinner Hour when the Members Were in Their Seats—The House Will Now Vote on the Address as a Whole—Shelving of Home Rule.

LONDON, March 13.—The House of Commons, by a vote of 147 to 145, has passed Mr. Labouchere's amendment to the Queen's Speech, recommending the abolition of the veto power of the House of Lords.

Mr. Labouchere's amendment to the Queen's Speech, recommending the abolition of the veto power of the House of Lords, was passed by a vote of 147 to 145. The amendment was introduced by Mr. Labouchere and was supported by a large number of members of the House of Commons.

The amendment was passed by a vote of 147 to 145. The House of Commons has shown a clear majority in favor of the abolition of the veto power of the House of Lords.

The amendment was passed by a vote of 147 to 145. The House of Commons has shown a clear majority in favor of the abolition of the veto power of the House of Lords.

The amendment was passed by a vote of 147 to 145. The House of Commons has shown a clear majority in favor of the abolition of the veto power of the House of Lords.

The amendment was passed by a vote of 147 to 145. The House of Commons has shown a clear majority in favor of the abolition of the veto power of the House of Lords.

The amendment was passed by a vote of 147 to 145. The House of Commons has shown a clear majority in favor of the abolition of the veto power of the House of Lords.

The amendment was passed by a vote of 147 to 145. The House of Commons has shown a clear majority in favor of the abolition of the veto power of the House of Lords.

The amendment was passed by a vote of 147 to 145. The House of Commons has shown a clear majority in favor of the abolition of the veto power of the House of Lords.

The amendment was passed by a vote of 147 to 145. The House of Commons has shown a clear majority in favor of the abolition of the veto power of the House of Lords.

The amendment was passed by a vote of 147 to 145. The House of Commons has shown a clear majority in favor of the abolition of the veto power of the House of Lords.

A LITTLE OF HIS OWN.

GATHERING OF THE MEMBERS.

AT OTTAWA READY FOR THE WORK

ART. J. W. FORSTER, PUPIL OF MONSIEUR...

VETERINARY. OBTAIN VETERINARY COLLEGE HORSE...

PROPERTY WANTED. BLACKSMITH SHOP AND FOUNDRY...

TO BE LET. TWO LARGE ROOMS HEATED BY...

PERSONAL. SPECIAL PRICES THIS WEEK...

ARTICLES FOR SALE. ADVERTISEMENTS under this head...

BUSINESS CARDS. OAKVILLE DAILY-25 YONGE-STREET...

JEWELRY. WATCHES AND JEWELRY...

MONUMENTS. GRANITE MONUMENTS-LARGE VARIETY...

MARRIAGE LICENSES. J. S. MARA, ISSUER OF MARRIAGE...

OPTICIANS. EYE-SIGHT PROPERLY TESTED BY MY...

PATTERNS AND MODELS. JAMES BOWDEN, 166 ADELAIDE-STREET...

VALUATORS. JOHN PLETCHER, VALUATOR OF BUILDINGS...

MUSICAL. P. W. NEWTON, TEACHER OF PIANO...

TOBACCO AND TOBACCOES. THOMAS MULROONEY (LATE OF THE...

ISLAND BOATS. CAPT. GOODWIN BEGS TO ANNOUNCE...

FOR EXCHANGE. FURNITURE-WANTED IN EXCHANGE...

MEDICAL. DR. J. E. FAHEY HAS OPENED AN OFFICE...

LEGAL CARDS. ALEX. S. BARRIE, BARRISTER, ETC.

PATENT SOLICITORS. RICHARD & MAYBEE, SOLICITORS OF PATENTS...

DENTISTRY. RICHARD & MAYBEE, DENTISTS ON PLATES...

HOTELS. ROYAL HOTEL, HARBOR, ONE OF THE...

LADIES. Pamphlet Free, re Dr. Kirkwood's...

PROPERTIES FOR SALE. BEAUTIFUL BRICK HOUSE FOR SALE...

DOMESTICS WANTED. WANTED-AT ONCE-GENERAL...

FINANCIAL. LARGE AMOUNT OF PRIVATE FUNDS...

BILLIARDS. BILLIARD AND POOL TABLES...

The Toronto World. NO 21 YONGE-STREET TORONTO...

A Sad Confession by the Church. The Private Bills Committee of the...

Mr. McKenzie: I am in receipt of a communication this morning from the...

Mr. Clarke: I would be quite satisfied for the men to take a ballot on it.

Mr. McKenzie: About 800 men of whom 650 were asked for the legislation.

Mr. Clarke: The question is not whether this draft is agreeable to the...

Mr. McKenzie: I am in receipt of a communication this morning from the...

Mr. Clarke: I would be quite satisfied for the men to take a ballot on it.

Mr. McKenzie: About 800 men of whom 650 were asked for the legislation.

Mr. Clarke: The question is not whether this draft is agreeable to the...

Mr. McKenzie: I am in receipt of a communication this morning from the...

Mr. Clarke: I would be quite satisfied for the men to take a ballot on it.

Mr. McKenzie: About 800 men of whom 650 were asked for the legislation.

Mr. Clarke: The question is not whether this draft is agreeable to the...

Mr. McKenzie: I am in receipt of a communication this morning from the...

Mr. Clarke: I would be quite satisfied for the men to take a ballot on it.

Mr. McKenzie: About 800 men of whom 650 were asked for the legislation.

Mr. Clarke: The question is not whether this draft is agreeable to the...

Mr. McKenzie: I am in receipt of a communication this morning from the...

Mr. Clarke: I would be quite satisfied for the men to take a ballot on it.

Mr. McKenzie: About 800 men of whom 650 were asked for the legislation.

Mr. Clarke: The question is not whether this draft is agreeable to the...

Mr. McKenzie: I am in receipt of a communication this morning from the...

Mr. Clarke: I would be quite satisfied for the men to take a ballot on it.

Mr. McKenzie: About 800 men of whom 650 were asked for the legislation.

Mr. Clarke: The question is not whether this draft is agreeable to the...

Mr. McKenzie: I am in receipt of a communication this morning from the...

Mr. Clarke: I would be quite satisfied for the men to take a ballot on it.

Mr. McKenzie: About 800 men of whom 650 were asked for the legislation.

Mr. Clarke: The question is not whether this draft is agreeable to the...

Mr. McKenzie: I am in receipt of a communication this morning from the...

Mr. Clarke: I would be quite satisfied for the men to take a ballot on it.

Mr. McKenzie: About 800 men of whom 650 were asked for the legislation.

Mr. Clarke: The question is not whether this draft is agreeable to the...

Mr. McKenzie: I am in receipt of a communication this morning from the...

Mr. Clarke: I would be quite satisfied for the men to take a ballot on it.

Mr. McKenzie: About 800 men of whom 650 were asked for the legislation.

Mr. Clarke: The question is not whether this draft is agreeable to the...

Mr. McKenzie: I am in receipt of a communication this morning from the...

Mr. Clarke: I would be quite satisfied for the men to take a ballot on it.

DO NOT TAKE KINDLY TO IT. THE PROPOSED TORONTO RAILWAY...

The Private Bills Committee discuss the scheme and the members have a good deal to say respecting it.

The clause in the Toronto Street Railway Company's bill, with respect to the...

Messrs. N. Kingsmill and Laidlaw are the authors of the bill and provisions...

Mr. Clarke: The proposition that members may vote by proxy is most objectionable...

Mr. McKenzie: I propose that the following questions be submitted to the...

Mr. Clarke: I would be quite satisfied for the men to take a ballot on it.

Mr. McKenzie: About 800 men of whom 650 were asked for the legislation.

Mr. Clarke: The question is not whether this draft is agreeable to the...

Mr. McKenzie: I am in receipt of a communication this morning from the...

Mr. Clarke: I would be quite satisfied for the men to take a ballot on it.

Mr. McKenzie: About 800 men of whom 650 were asked for the legislation.

Mr. Clarke: The question is not whether this draft is agreeable to the...

Mr. McKenzie: I am in receipt of a communication this morning from the...

Mr. Clarke: I would be quite satisfied for the men to take a ballot on it.

Mr. McKenzie: About 800 men of whom 650 were asked for the legislation.

Mr. Clarke: The question is not whether this draft is agreeable to the...

Mr. McKenzie: I am in receipt of a communication this morning from the...

Mr. Clarke: I would be quite satisfied for the men to take a ballot on it.

Mr. McKenzie: About 800 men of whom 650 were asked for the legislation.

Mr. Clarke: The question is not whether this draft is agreeable to the...

Mr. McKenzie: I am in receipt of a communication this morning from the...

Mr. Clarke: I would be quite satisfied for the men to take a ballot on it.

Mr. McKenzie: About 800 men of whom 650 were asked for the legislation.

Mr. Clarke: The question is not whether this draft is agreeable to the...

Mr. McKenzie: I am in receipt of a communication this morning from the...

Mr. Clarke: I would be quite satisfied for the men to take a ballot on it.

Mr. McKenzie: About 800 men of whom 650 were asked for the legislation.

Mr. Clarke: The question is not whether this draft is agreeable to the...

Mr. McKenzie: I am in receipt of a communication this morning from the...

Mr. Clarke: I would be quite satisfied for the men to take a ballot on it.

Mr. McKenzie: About 800 men of whom 650 were asked for the legislation.

Mr. Clarke: The question is not whether this draft is agreeable to the...

Mr. McKenzie: I am in receipt of a communication this morning from the...

Mr. Clarke: I would be quite satisfied for the men to take a ballot on it.

Mr. McKenzie: About 800 men of whom 650 were asked for the legislation.

Mr. Clarke: The question is not whether this draft is agreeable to the...

Mr. McKenzie: I am in receipt of a communication this morning from the...

Mr. Clarke: I would be quite satisfied for the men to take a ballot on it.

Mr. McKenzie: About 800 men of whom 650 were asked for the legislation.

Mr. Clarke: The question is not whether this draft is agreeable to the...

Mr. McKenzie: I am in receipt of a communication this morning from the...

Mr. Clarke: I would be quite satisfied for the men to take a ballot on it.

Mr. McKenzie: About 800 men of whom 650 were asked for the legislation.

THE NEXT SUNDAY CAR VOTE. MAY NOT BE TAKEN UNTIL JANUARY, 1896.

An Attempt to Deprive 10,000 Young Men of their Franchise is being made by the Private Bills Committee of the Legislature...

The bill respecting the taking of votes on Sunday in this city was taken up at the meeting of the Private Bills Committee...

Mr. Clarke: I would be quite satisfied for the men to take a ballot on it.

Mr. McKenzie: About 800 men of whom 650 were asked for the legislation.

Mr. Clarke: The question is not whether this draft is agreeable to the...

Mr. McKenzie: I am in receipt of a communication this morning from the...

Mr. Clarke: I would be quite satisfied for the men to take a ballot on it.

Mr. McKenzie: About 800 men of whom 650 were asked for the legislation.

Mr. Clarke: The question is not whether this draft is agreeable to the...

Mr. McKenzie: I am in receipt of a communication this morning from the...

Mr. Clarke: I would be quite satisfied for the men to take a ballot on it.

Mr. McKenzie: About 800 men of whom 650 were asked for the legislation.

Mr. Clarke: The question is not whether this draft is agreeable to the...

Mr. McKenzie: I am in receipt of a communication this morning from the...

Mr. Clarke: I would be quite satisfied for the men to take a ballot on it.

Mr. McKenzie: About 800 men of whom 650 were asked for the legislation.

Mr. Clarke: The question is not whether this draft is agreeable to the...

Mr. McKenzie: I am in receipt of a communication this morning from the...

Mr. Clarke: I would be quite satisfied for the men to take a ballot on it.

Mr. McKenzie: About 800 men of whom 650 were asked for the legislation.

Mr. Clarke: The question is not whether this draft is agreeable to the...

Mr. McKenzie: I am in receipt of a communication this morning from the...

Mr. Clarke: I would be quite satisfied for the men to take a ballot on it.

Mr. McKenzie: About 800 men of whom 650 were asked for the legislation.

Mr. Clarke: The question is not whether this draft is agreeable to the...

Mr. McKenzie: I am in receipt of a communication this morning from the...

Mr. Clarke: I would be quite satisfied for the men to take a ballot on it.

Mr. McKenzie: About 800 men of whom 650 were asked for the legislation.

Mr. Clarke: The question is not whether this draft is agreeable to the...

Mr. McKenzie: I am in receipt of a communication this morning from the...

Mr. Clarke: I would be quite satisfied for the men to take a ballot on it.

Mr. McKenzie: About 800 men of whom 650 were asked for the legislation.

Mr. Clarke: The question is not whether this draft is agreeable to the...

Mr. McKenzie: I am in receipt of a communication this morning from the...

Mr. Clarke: I would be quite satisfied for the men to take a ballot on it.

Mr. McKenzie: About 800 men of whom 650 were asked for the legislation.

Mr. Clarke: The question is not whether this draft is agreeable to the...

Mr. McKenzie: I am in receipt of a communication this morning from the...

Mr. Clarke: I would be quite satisfied for the men to take a ballot on it.

Mr. McKenzie: About 800 men of whom 650 were asked for the legislation.

Mr. Clarke: The question is not whether this draft is agreeable to the...

Mr. McKenzie: I am in receipt of a communication this morning from the...

Mr. Clarke: I would be quite satisfied for the men to take a ballot on it.

CO-OPERATIVE STORES. Toronto Men Who Are Interested in the...

There are further evidences of the concentration of trade. The days of the small storekeeper seem to be doomed, and already there is little chance of the small capitalist doing a profitable business.

Within a year a number of British capital stores have been established in Toronto, and it has been decided to establish large stores on the co-operative system.

Mr. Clarke: I would be quite satisfied for the men to take a ballot on it.

Mr. McKenzie: About 800 men of whom 650 were asked for the legislation.

Mr. Clarke: The question is not whether this draft is agreeable to the...

Mr. McKenzie: I am in receipt of a communication this morning from the...

Mr. Clarke: I would be quite satisfied for the men to take a ballot on it.

Mr. McKenzie: About 800 men of whom 650 were asked for the legislation.

Mr. Clarke: The question is not whether this draft is agreeable to the...

Mr. McKenzie: I am in receipt of a communication this morning from the...

Mr. Clarke: I would be quite satisfied for the men to take a ballot on it.

Mr. McKenzie: About 800 men of whom 650 were asked for the legislation.

Mr. Clarke: The question is not whether this draft is agreeable to the...

Mr. McKenzie: I am in receipt of a communication this morning from the...

Mr. Clarke: I would be quite satisfied for the men to take a ballot on it.

Mr. McKenzie: About 800 men of whom 650 were asked for the legislation.

Mr. Clarke: The question is not whether this draft is agreeable to the...

Mr. McKenzie: I am in receipt of a communication this morning from the...

Mr. Clarke: I would be quite satisfied for the men to take a ballot on it.

Mr. McKenzie: About 800 men of whom 650 were asked for the legislation.

Mr. Clarke: The question is not whether this draft is agreeable to the...

Mr. McKenzie: I am in receipt of a communication this morning from the...

Mr. Clarke: I would be quite satisfied for the men to take a ballot on it.

Mr. McKenzie: About 800 men of whom 650 were asked for the legislation.

Mr. Clarke: The question is not whether this draft is agreeable to the...

Mr. McKenzie: I am in receipt of a communication this morning from the...

Mr. Clarke: I would be quite satisfied for the men to take a ballot on it.

Mr. McKenzie: About 800 men of whom 650 were asked for the legislation.

Mr. Clarke: The question is not whether this draft is agreeable to the...

Mr. McKenzie: I am in receipt of a communication this morning from the...

Mr. Clarke: I would be quite satisfied for the men to take a ballot on it.

Mr. McKenzie: About 800 men of whom 650 were asked for the legislation.

Mr. Clarke: The question is not whether this draft is agreeable to the...

Mr. McKenzie: I am in receipt of a communication this morning from the...

Mr. Clarke: I would be quite satisfied for the men to take a ballot on it.

Mr. McKenzie: About 800 men of whom 650 were asked for the legislation.

Mr. Clarke: The question is not whether this draft is agreeable to the...

Mr. McKenzie: I am in receipt of a communication this morning from the...

Mr. Clarke: I would be quite satisfied for the men to take a ballot on it.

Mr. McKenzie: About 800 men of whom 650 were asked for the legislation.

Mr. Clarke: The question is not whether this draft is agreeable to the...

Mr. McKenzie: I am in receipt of a communication this morning from the...

Mr. Clarke: I would be quite satisfied for the men to take a ballot on it.

AN INDIAN IN TROUBLE. He is Arrested as a Cayuga Under the...

High Constable George Cochrane of Peterborough passed through Toronto yesterday with a prisoner named Martin Davis. Davis is an Indian and was arrested at Cayuga...

Mr. Clarke: I would be quite satisfied for the men to take a ballot on it.

Mr. McKenzie: About 800 men of whom 650 were asked for the legislation.

Mr. Clarke: The question is not whether this draft is agreeable to the...

Mr. McKenzie: I am in receipt of a communication this morning from the...

Mr. Clarke: I would be quite satisfied for the men to take a ballot on it.

Mr. McKenzie: About 800 men of whom 650 were asked for the legislation.

Mr. Clarke: The question is not whether this draft is agreeable to the...

Mr. McKenzie: I am in receipt of a communication this morning from the...

Mr. Clarke: I would be quite satisfied for the men to take a ballot on it.

Mr. McKenzie: About 800 men of whom 650 were asked for the legislation.

Mr. Clarke: The question is not whether this draft is agreeable to the...

Mr. McKenzie: I am in receipt of a communication this morning from the...

Mr. Clarke: I would be quite satisfied for the men to take a ballot on it.

Mr. McKenzie: About 800 men of whom 650 were asked for the legislation.

Mr. Clarke: The question is not whether this draft is agreeable to the...

Mr. McKenzie: I am in receipt of a communication this morning from the...

Mr. Clarke: I would be quite satisfied for the men to take a ballot on it.

Mr. McKenzie: About 800 men of whom 650 were asked for the legislation.

Mr. Clarke: The question is not whether this draft is agreeable to the...

Mr. McKenzie: I am in receipt of a communication this morning from the...

Mr. Clarke: I would be quite satisfied for the men to take a ballot on it.

Mr. McKenzie: About 800 men of whom 650 were asked for the legislation.

Mr. Clarke: The question is not whether this draft is agreeable to the...

Mr. McKenzie: I am in receipt of a communication this morning from the...

Mr. Clarke: I would be quite satisfied for the men to take a ballot on it.

Mr. McKenzie: About 800 men of whom 650 were asked for the legislation.

Mr. Clarke: The question is not whether this draft is agreeable to the...

Mr. McKenzie: I am in receipt of a communication this morning from the...

Mr. Clarke: I would be quite satisfied for the men to take a ballot on it.

Mr. McKenzie: About 800 men of whom 650 were asked for the legislation.

Mr. Clarke: The question is not whether this draft is agreeable to the...

Mr. McKenzie: I am in receipt of a communication this morning from the...

Mr. Clarke: I would be quite satisfied for the men to take a ballot on it.

Mr. McKenzie: About 800 men of whom 650 were asked for the legislation.

Mr. Clarke: The question is not whether this draft is agreeable to the...

Mr. McKenzie: I am in receipt of a communication this morning from the...

Mr. Clarke: I would be quite satisfied for the men to take a ballot on it



