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## PREFACE

In writing my husbands life I have triad, so fire as it was possible. to lat him, especially in maters scientific, speak for himself.

Fin the purpose of his biographer it is mufortmate that my husband lived in almost daily intereomser for pates of matey yeats with more than me of his most intimate friends. Hone there are wo letters to several people with whom he was in the habit of dispensing scientific, philosophic, and theological questions.

The letters relating to his work will, I hope. interest any one who cares for biological science. Whatever may be the exact place which shall be assigned to him, by those who come after, in the great army of workers for science, this much mary be said: that no me exam served in the cause of Science with more passionate and wholehearted devotion, more entire disinterestedness-

> All for Lore, and nothing for liescerd.

I have to acknowledge the kindness of many who have put letters at my disposal. I came suliciontly
expmess my thanks to Mr. Francis Darwin for armeronsly allowing me to print portions of the comespondence which for seven or right gats wa one of the rhief ploasures and privileges of m hasband's life. I most also thank my brother an sister-in-law, the Dean of Christ Chureh, Proferss Poulton, Profressor Schaifer, Professor Da Conte Mr. 'Thiseltom-I yer, and others for like permission.

And I mast express my most sincere eratitua to the Rev. P. N. Wangrett, to Professor C. Len Morgan, and to my romsin Mrs. St. Georce liod (late of Newnham (ollowe, (ambridese), for the: comstant help and adviere.

I'o Ars. Redid owe more than I can well expro. Her sciontific, knowledore and ability have been simpl invaluable, and have been used with ever-ready an mbrudging generosity and kindness.

There are other asperts of my hushandes lit which are interesting, but agrain I think he has the his own story, and it is needless for me here to spout of what, to some extent, he has haid bare-of ment perplexity and of steadfast endurance and loyalty: I'ruth. It may be that others, wandering in th twilight of this 'dimly lighted world,' may be stime lated and encouraged and helped to go on in pation matil on them also dawns that Light. If this bre it will not be altorether in vain that he bore lom yours of very real and very heavy sorrow.
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(ikonge dons Romasiss wias born at Kingetom. Canada, on Ma! $20,1 \mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{S}$, the third son of the
 in the l'miversity of that planer.
 previonsly, and, after a short experienee of work in country parishrs, had sattled down to tareh (imereli to the alammi of tha little Comirersity.
[)r. Romambes was desermaled from an old seottish family settled sinore lase in liownickshire: he had been educated at the Migh Srohool and University of Edinburgh, and was an exerllont rlassic and leamed theologian, with views of a strictly 'Morlerate' typer. From him his distinguished son imherited the swerthess of temper and ralmmess of mammor which charactarised (ieorge John lamames thromgh life, and which earned for him amomgst his friends the playful sobriguet of "The Philosopher.
lor. Romanos married, after his arrival in ('anada, Mis: Kaborlat (iair Smith, dammhter of the Rev. Robert Smith, for many yoars parish minister of Cromarty. Mri. Romamos was commerted with several old Highl-
land families, and was a thoromoh Highlander. Han some, vivacions, meonventional, and elover, sher of in all respects a great rontrast to her hushand, wi as years went on, seems to have lived mainly ther of a student, and to have left the care of mond things to his wife. Therer sons and two danght were born. Of these, mily two, the aldest som at romgost damghter, now survise.

In lsts, the inheritane of a considerable forth erlieved Jor. Romanes from any neeressity to contio. the duties of his rhair, and the family returned hon wandering about for a fow yars and finally sett in Is Comwall Teraco. Resonts lank. There wa good deal of continental trased during the se first y after their return, and as he grew inte boyhood (ion Romanes spent sexeral monthes at varions times Heidetherg and other (idman towns, and the fans performed a joumey from Niere to Floremer in delightfal and mow hegome fashion, travelling wit retturino.

Probably the beamty of the seemery, the fasceinat of trasel, and the charm of the beantiful sumemodia
 did something to romse the poetie semse which w to be se great an element in his life. Otherwise the seemis to have been little or mo semse of plasime the art treasures or the historie assoriations of Itat and at no time of his life did he ever rare for piedur in anything like the same dengree as he loved pore: or music.

Afterthe familysettled in Lomedon, (ieorge lioman was sent to a preparatory school near his own how 'Iwo of his sehoolfollows berame in after life intinai friends. 'These were Francis Paget, the present De of Christ Chureh, and his brother, Hemry Lake lion now Viear of St. Pancras, London.
to his public. 111. at hom ingr dhll berg. :II few lus somethi alway: a litth. boy min Heil to the: 'This romoded his youn tent. mi: borıl 1 mit but mar alonc of them ment, 11 or carry A longi only twi sister w aftor the Tlı"
Preblevt partiality ferrod this Religion early yen taking 11
collas If of

In attack of measles; put a stop) once and for
ler. Hin re, sho shand, wi inly the of munda 0 daught som
able forth to comtil moed hon ally settl. There wi ie first ye oood (iome IIs timu: I the lim. merner in lling with.
r fascinat. urroundia he bos: which erwise thr pleasime nis of Iti. for pictur oved pers gr. Pomial: own hon ife intinna (esent I) ruke latur
and for
to his preparatory sehool career, and the idea of a publice selaol was nexer entertamed.

He wats educated in a desultory andaimless fashion at home, and was remaded by his family as a shorking dunce. Parts of two years were spent in Heidelberg, and here he pieked inp some (ierman, and hat a few lessons on the violin, and salw as he grew up something of stadent life in (iemmany: Music was always a perfeet passion with (icorge Romanes, and if a litile whokesome discipline had been exereised, the boy might have become a very grod monsician.

Hodedherg and the days at Heidedhergerpresented to the rommer liomanes the "erolden age."

The: lised in an wh homse ontside the town, surromaded by woods, and here the ehildren, (ieorge and his yommer sister. rommed abont to their hearts' comtent. making colleretions and kerpiug pets, like the born matmalists they were. Shoekingly idle children but materlomsty happe ones, and in the perentiar 'let alome' system of their homsehold, they srew up, neither of them remembering any reproof, far less any pmishment, hon any attempt to make them leam lessons or carre on stadies for which ther were mot ine lined. A long interval of years separated the bothers, now only two in mmber,' and the pommer brother and sister were lowked on and treated as children lomg after they had emerged from dildhood.

Thare father and mother seem to have attended Prebrterian and Andiem rharehes with entire inmpartiality, but the yomerer members of the family preferred the Eholish chareh, and wore comfimed in it. Religion was a potent influence with the boy in quite early pars, and there grew in in him a pmopese of taking Holy (Orders, a purgose which met with no mcommanment from ather of his parents.

If of intellectalal achevemont he gave as yet no - Hobert, the second son, died in childhood.
promise, at least there were the signs of a singulat pure and monstish nature which seemed to gro and develope with the growing years. All theme his lifo he was peroliarly temder, gentle, and unseltiand his youmger sister derseribes a little seene of how while a children's party was going on downstain (ieorge fomd her upstaiss alomeand miserable, sulfe ing from some odd childish misery of nerves, mal to go down, and ret hating to be alone ; how her once soothed and petted her, sat by her the whe arming, telling her stories and sucerssfully drive a way her mhappiness. 'The most characteristie appears at the end. 'This sort of maselfish conduct is so usial, that his little sister rally forgot to tha him, nor did it oceme to her till long after that theren : anything monsual in his willingness to satrifior whole arening's ammsement to what most boys wom have regarded as mere fancifulness, only deserving due amome of serere teasing.
1)ming these reats the Romanes family spo their smmmers at Dmakaith, on the shomes of ti ('romaty Firth. Here (ieorge Romanes had his firs lessons in sport at the hands of I)r. Brydon, the we kinown survivor of the fatal retreat from (ablal, bist. He soon becanne an ardent sportsman and exerolle shot, and not mutil his fatal illomss began did he ow fail to keep August $1 \times$ and Seppember 1 in the prop $^{\prime}$ way.

When George lomanes was abont seventeent was sent to a tutor to read in preparation for $t$ Ciniversity, his mother having suddenly awamed: the fact that he was nearly grown up and not at is ready for college. One of his fellow pupils was It
( Dr. Drydon resided on a small but bemutiful property overlwhen the Cromurts Firth, and. ufter his death, I)r. Romanes rented the pl from its owners, who were distant consins of Mrs. Romanes, in order - (ieorre might have some shooting.'
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Charlis Edammd Lister, brother of the present owner of Shiblen Hall, Halifan. With Mr. Sister he formed a friendship destined to be omly broken ly Mr. Listeres premature death in Lse!. This friemdiniphad important results for (inorgr limmans. He had been intended for ()xford, and his name had been ontered at Brasmose (ollowe bit Mr. Lister was to go to ('ambridge, and he masily persmaded his friend to follow hill.
 Gomville and ('ains ('ollwer, ('ambridge.

## 

 the most manked phases of their aimer. Exen to those who (eonme uf) from a pmblies shool. with all the prestige and with all the friondships, the sense of fellowship, the handred and one intluences, the ernstoms of a geat achomb lying thick " 1 pon them, realis. more and more, as time sons mo how great a part Oxford of ('ambridge plase in thair lives; how it is in their loniversity life they make their intellectual cheice, and receive the bias which. foresodor forevil. will inthenere their whole life.

Shat to this raw bor, fresh from al sechaded amd sommenat marow atmosphere, phomed for the first time into a areat soridets, bromght for the first time underesme of the influenees of the then "/aitgerist, inter eonitact with some of the leaders of thomght, entrance into the laiversity was the beriming of an

 tramed, with no kmowledion of men on of books. Ha left it, to all intents and purposise a trained worker and camest thinker, with his life work begm-that work which was an manariod search after truth, at
work chatacterised by an exer-incrasing rexereme for goodness, and, as years went on, by it disperap for applanse of for reward. His c'ambridge life wa happy; he made seseral friends, chief of whom wa Me: Proby ('antley, the present reetor of (painto near Ayleshmy
 drowning in the ('am.'

It first (ieorge liomanes fell completely mod Beangelical influmees, at that time practically the most potent religions force in ('ambridge. He win regular commanicant, and it is tomehing to look ai the little Bible he used while at ('ambridere, wome and marked, and perneilled, with reforenees to sermon. which had exidantly raght the boy's attention. If. used to attemed meretingi for (ireek Terstament studs and enjoged hearing the distinguished preathers wi: visited the Chisersity:

But of the intrellecturl inflaceners in the religiom world of the Cnirersity he kinew mothing. IF. I Mamiere was still in ('ambridere, but he serms to has repedeel rather than to hase attracted (ienger Pa manes, nor did herever eome mader the mblamere Westeott, or of Lierhteoot, or of IIort.

And, when the intelleetnal strogghe beman, I: secoms in caty pears to hase owed rery little to an ('hristian writer, Bishop) Butler alome exerpted.
llis smmmers were spent in hioss-shire, and them is no dombthese monthe were of great mise to hime Ho was perfeetly mhatassed se far as peremiary amo or family ambition were coneremed, and he had abme dant time to think. Years afterwards, Mr. Darmi
 of her brothers arecident she whs travelling in Spain wihl her father al

 motes, it was fomal that the time of his aceident eoinedided with that of lis illuess.
said t.ul of merdit as a 1 ma develonn it is mut not beer absolute gretted: of early Thire cherisher Mr. ('ill both int. vacation theolors. Hooker ${ }^{\text {P }}$ - Analon. Romance boyish yet full ledgr of Hess temperer minderd natur: : of anly tinction. his atter were ro: Gemp berall t wiming Pig for his
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At the tion r father :t Itirims, we (1) comparis ha thint of the
said to him: • Abowe all. Romanes, contivate the hathit of meditation.: and Mr. Romames always puoted this as a most valmabe bit of advice. His intellectual development was rapid in these (ambridge years, and it is mot improbable that his slowly growing mind had not bern ill wered be bedine allowed to matmer in absolute freedom, althom he himself bitterly regretted :and, throngh his whole life, deplored the !ark of early traming, and of mental disciplime.

Thromah these eaty ('mmbridge yerm he still cherished the idea of Hily ()eders, and with his friend, Mr. ('antler. he had many talks abont the camere they both intended to chows. 'I'here apelit a part of one lomes vacation tomether, and orempied themselses in reading theology such books as 'Parson on the (reed, Hookers • Eerelesiastical Polity, Bishop Buther"s "Analome, and in writing semmens. Some of Mr. Romanes are still extant, and are ramions bits of boyish rompesition-arnde, miformed in state : and yet full of thought, amb showing a remarkable kiow ledge of the Bible.

 minder mistakes, for his home legs, for his pernliar name: and herertainly sime mone the faintest ide: of amy partionlar ahility, an! likelihood of future distinction.' Somme slight rhaimes, as it semed, turned his attention to matmal solenere: onfe of two friends were reading for the Natmal siobene Tripos, and Germe limmanes ramed to read mathematies amd began to work at matmal seifelre, competing for and wiming: seholarship in that smberet.

Kizhteron menthe moly remaned for him to work for his 'Tripos, and it is mot sumpising that he omls.

 bearing . . . never furgrtinal of irimis.'
obtained a Serond Class. In the Tripes of 18 for the same list amomg the First-Class men, Mr. Frame Darwincs hame appears.

Mr. Romanes had gome but a little distance alon. the road on which he was destined te traved very fat Ho had up to this time read mone of Mr. Darwin hooks, and to a durestion on Natumal Selection whe oremered in the Tripos papers he cond dive mo answ

By this time he had abamdomed the idea of How Ortars, perhaps on ancomat of the opposition a home, perhaps beramse of the first beriminge of th intelleretual strugges of dombt and of bewild ermens: He bewan to study medicime, and madre a liferme friondship with l)r. Latham, the well-known ('ant bridge phesician, of whese kimduess Mr. Romanm when spoke, and to whom he dedieaterel his first bem which was the Bumes Prize for 1s7:3. But he al began to study physiology mader the direetion of of Xiehael Foster, the present Professor of Physiohegy ('ambridge, to whom she owes her fimmons medie sehool, at that time in its wery rarly begmings.
 into real scientifie work opened to him: mew life, s.an him the first semse of power and of apareity. Now read Mr. Darwin's books, and it is impossible to ow rate the extraordinary offere they had on the yomb. manis mind. Something of the feeling whirh kiot describes in the semmet ' (On Looking inte ('hapmam' Homer serms to have berell his:

- 'Then felt I like somar watcher of the skies When a 1 ..W platnet swims into his ken; or like stom (cortor when, with carrle eyen. He stared at the latifie amd all his men Lanked all wath other with :a wild smmise Silent, upon a prak in barien.'

About the spring of 1872 Mr. Romames begant show signs of ill-health. He was harassed by faint
ness all
up to shoot. II excroine be sulf. had : deal 11 constin! shire ds celler. 'Christi assiguco Inuc. his siste and his Both we. bodily w hoorl lic work, thi more th: 'Th1. thall on stremeth It is to some writtirl impus intellert in stre. his filmi letters s.

My rejoicins Ir．Fran allere aloly． 4 very fat
Darwin tion whit HO alllsw a of ll o （sition nigs of th． ildermen： a liferm． OWI（＇：nt
Rombilut tirst bunis it he in ： ic， 11 of 1 ）． siolomy s modic 1118
st ploun life，，sm

Now le torome he youll． ich lient hapman by faint．
ness and incessant lassitude，but strugeled onn，winns up to beotland in the summer and beximing to sheot．muder the belief that all he wanted was hard exerefore．It lant he broke down and was dee dared to be suffering frem a bad attark of typheid fover．Ha had a rery hard strmgere for life，and werd at gexat deal wol）．Lathan，who from（＇amhridge kept up）a constant telegraphic commmmication with the linsis－ shire dorents．It was along and weary emmales－ center，bemblal in part by writing all assay on
 assigned for the Bumey Prize lissay of 1sis．

Mard of this essay was dietated to man or ather of his sisters，amel it is a emboms fact that his first book
 Both were writed when he was strugeling with great bodily weakiness，amel in these months of carly man－ hood he shewed the same ahmest pathetie desire to work，the same activity of themoht whieh he displatered more than twenterais later in the last dals of his life．
＇Theressay was sureessfal，and its ant how was mome than onere damed as at champion of faith on the stremoth of it．

It is a rem hard bit of reading，and of eomers has to some exterit the drawback of a prize（ssias，a work written mot simply to comsinee the public，but to impersos examiners．It is full of kombedge and of intellertual awility，but is perhaps medlessly differolt in style．Ilis suceres wats absolutely mexperted by his family，and made him wery happer as the following letters show，written in the first alow of suceres．

15（onmwall Terrace．
My dearest Mother，Kome letter of sumprise and rejoicing has been to me one of the best parts of the
result. All the letters of congratulation which an now coming in mention yon: • How delighted some mother will be. dee: and it is a great thing for me to find that you are so. Withont apperemative sumb pathy surecess soom palls; but the two combined ${ }^{\circ}$ to make up the best happiness.

I went to ('imbloridge lesterday to get the manuseript, and as there happened to be a congregat tion in the afternoon, I also tow mey degree. I sal all my friends, who were overflowing with delight Indeed, I nerer before realised how great the compre tition is, for I mever had an opportmity of knowin. how the suleressfal man is lionised. 'The C'aims dons espectially are uf , in the air abont it, as this is the fire time in the history of the colleme that one of it members has got the Bumer ; so that, as Ferver writes to me. when the same sear produces a temin Wramgler and a Bumey Prizeman, the college mat be sald to be looking np.' I was invited to breakfac with the Irofessor of I)ivinity (who is the principu adjudieator), and I fomm him very pleasant inderd Afterwards I went to the Vice-('hancellor, from when. 1 got the woll-rimembered 'piges (but now wit Pri\%e I. written acoss them) ; and lastly, to the thime adjudicator, the master of Christ's. They all sail more in pratise of the essay than I would catre t repeat, but, to tell you the simple truth, I was perfectly astonished. For cemmple, 'In the history of the Bumey Prize there have only been two equals an 110 superiors.

The Viee-Chancellor told me that there was anothe essay well deserving of a prize which was writte.
by a 11 a said 1 him ver? three se: in Philo up eight, the llmi to we the be the be have wri should h
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## s inoth

writte:
by a man of whom I dare saly you will remember I said I wats mont aftaid, vi\%.. Mr. ——. I knew him wery well when we were madergradnates, and three reats ago he whained the 'Trinity Scholarship in Philosophy, open to all competitors, and ended up eighteen menthe aco beradnating as Semior of
 to me that the mata who was miversally admitted to be the best of the ('ambridge metaphriciams should have writtell, and that, motwithstanding, the deevision should have bern given mamimonsly in my fand
T", Jıme:s liomunn's, Lisy.

## is cormwall Terace: April : at.

 pleased with the result of m! lathours as I ammerneff I remomber an well our seecolating upon the probable. chanees of meress, amd how low we set them downe Had I known for rertain that ——was willis to compere. I think I should hase wiven up altomethere His (essay deen seem tol have beed extraordinatily goorl, and iet he cammet get a seremad prize, becallise the fommation requires that erey pemme of the interest shall $g$ g to the first mam. As this seems rather harel limes for - I have to-day written to the Divinity Professer offerine to shate the pri\%e money, on condition that the linvensity reerognise as a pri\%enatll.
The extramdinaly thing abont the whole alfair is. not so much the award, as the opinion which the adjudicaters entertam of the work. I domot know how
it is that, stranded on a samdamk and in a half de and-alive state, withont thinking I was doming an thing mansmal, I shomld have written the prize rase Bont I font care how it is so long as it is so, as writes, 'Yon certainly have arhieved at great sume... handiapped as yon were in so many wass. 'Th: of conerse, relates to the a ward ; but, as I said beffe What surprisel me most is that I shomld mot only tirst, but surf a good tirst. 'The praise given by ex of the adjodicators separately, in as strong terme it is pesiblhe in domish phraseologe to eomsey it. "



I hoper soon to sere goll and tell gon mone aly the whole thing ; fore one of the best parts of it that. if our member be homemede all the membs rejuicor with it.'

Buer your lowing Brother.
(imo. .l. lamman.
 abamdoned the idea of a profession and resolved. devote himself to seremtitie researeh.

It was about this time that a letter of his - Xature' (sere ' Xature, vol. viii. p. (o) attrate Wr. Danwin's attention, and andised hime to somd friendly litt le note to the genthfal writer.

Probathy Mr. Darwin had little idea of the effer his loterer produced on its recipiont, whe was the recovering form his Jong illness. That larwin shme adetally write tor him seemed toe grod to bedieve. Was a great comemagement to gro on with serientit work.
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ing. when at ('ambridge, in 1)r. Nichand Fionteres laborators. and was a member of that band who formed the mellens of what was destined to be the famons phasiohugal whool of (ambridge. Sidu by side with Itr. Romanes were working Inr. (iaskell, Mr. Dew Smith, and others bow wrll kown for their work and arhievemments.
la shm ways. Mr. Romanus suffored from mot remainimg at ('mbridge and beroming a permanomt member of the band.

It is impersible but to ferl that had he stayed on at the laiversity he wonld have devoted himself more and more to itrictly experimental work and las to what mat be ralled philosophieal matural history: Some will regarl his remmal as a misfortmoe, and
 of life are meser sary profitable subjects for pecomat tion.

In ordar to be with his now widowed mother, he returned to landon, and madr his homm with her amd his sisters. 'They spath their summers at I Dumskath, and Mr. Romanme (mblarked ond researehers ont the nervons sintem of the Medhsir. Ho begall also to work
 under Dr. Sharperand Dr. Burdom Samersom. Buth he rugathed as mastors and friemeds, and perhaps, next to Mr. Wamin, Dr. Sanderson was the serintitio. friend (ienoge Romanms most valued and lowed. althomeh it is impossible tor osemate what he owed to ('ambrider, and to those carly lomgings for bionlogieal stady whel were impired by Dr. Ferstere.

As has been said, a lettar in 'Sinture' attracted Mr. Darwin's motice, and somewhere about 18Tt he insited Mr. Romannes to rall on him.

Prom that timm beraln an mbroken friendship. matked of ome side be absolnte womship, wemenere. and afleretion, on the other by an ahost fatherly kind
ness and a womderful interest in the yommer mas Work and in his career．＇That first inceting was real eporh in Mr．Romannes＇life．Mr．Darwin u him，as he oftem used to tell，with outstretehed hane a bright smile，and a＇How what I am that fou ar romur！！

Perhaps mo hero－worship wias ever more maselfi more utterly loyal，or more finlly rewarded．As th Went on，and intimatey incerased，and restraint w off，Mr．Romames fommed that the wreat manter was much to be admired for his persomal rehatactor as ： his wonderful wifts，and to the youth who never，int darkest days of utter scepticeism，parted with the le
 whohming joy．

In a poem writton about list Mr．Romanes h expressed something of what he felt for Mr．Darwi amd in this he has ponred ont his＇hem－worshije terms which were to him the espresionos of simp truth．

It is interesting to look ovar the lomg serios lotters from $18 . t$ to 1882 and motiee how the forms －Dear Mr．Romames drops into the familiar • Do liomames，and the letters beeome more and mos． afferetionate，intimate，persomal．

Abont this time also Mr．Rommanos made man： other scientific friends，Professor Schäfer，Professe （＇ossar Chart，Mr．Francis I）arwin，I）r．Pye Simath Professor Re．Lankester，Professom（＇lifford，I）r．I ande Grmatom，and many more ；and as his work beramb known it is pleasant to ser with what kindness sa weleome the new recruit was woleomed to the saide tific army by such men as Irofesson Huxley，Sir dohn I abbork，Sir Joseph Hooker，Mr．Bmsk，Mr．F＇．（ialtmi． and Mr．Spottiswoode，then President of the Royn Socroty．

Inst at that time there was a set of rising pouns whethe existed
nger ma： ting W\％ ）arwin －hed hanni yoll are
e mascelfi As tis traint wis ster Wits い・teras： （x）世，int the the lo as：
manes ho （r．1）alm orship $;$ of simp．

2 serios he form： lial • 1$)_{c}$ and mow． ade mant Profess ye Simitl： 1r．I silude ：becount drlesses her serient Sir ．Joll． $\therefore$（ialtoli． le Ros：a
（9）Youl：
biolngints who all seremed destined to do geod work， and it is melancholy to look back and to see＇how of that wet tow mumerons band a momber have been taken from us in the prime of life（iarrod，Frank Balfomb，Moseley，H．（＇arpenter，Milnes Marshall． Romantes．

It Dumskath a little labomatore was fitted up in an adjuming enttage and here during the smmmer Mr．Romames worked constantly for some rears，diver－ sifying his habomes beroting．It was in his comentry home also that he hegall thense serties of observations on amimats which he worked up into the＇Animal Intelligenee of the latematimal seimentice series， perhaps the most perpular of his books．＇The terrien Mathat wis his sperial compamion，and he observed varions tratso of her intelligenere which are reenoded
 It was alon at Dumskaith that he began his limst attempts at verse makime，but for some rears these did mot（onlu to mur h．

His sceiontific work at Dmakiath led to a paper commmicated to the Royal Society in 187．5，and entithed Preliminary Ohservations an the Loemmotor Systrom of Modusar．

This paper the lioyal Soe intr homomed hy making it the（romian Lederime，an homom awarded to the best homugial paper of each yoar：＂

Mr．Romanes had worked for two gears，or mather two simmers，very constantly and very stremomsly the Medusar．Ho set himself to tiry and discover whether ar mot the rodiments of a inmous stistem existed in these creatures．．Anassi\％had mamatamed it

＂Rat he alan commoniented a paper to the hoyal Society entitled， －The bulnence of haimer on the Excitability of Motor Nerves．＇Of this paper Professor Burdon Saderson says that the observations were made with arem（arre and that the new facts recorded have hecufully confirmed Ls lume observers．This work was dome at C＇ambride．
did, others comsidered his deductions prematmee an Hosler, in his ' ('lassification of Animals,' smmm mp the murh-delated question by saying that ' wo me vons system had ret been diseovered in Medusia.

Mironscopically, it had ahready been shown the in some forms of Medusia there are present rertai fine fibres rmming along the margin of the swimmit. bell, from their apparanee said to be nevers, bife in mo case had it been shown that they functions ats surch. 'Thus it was to solve this question, whethe or mot a memons system, known to be present in a animats higher in the zoological seale, makes is first appeanamer in the Mednsir, that Mr. Romanm entered upen a long series of physiological experi: ments, first on the gromp of small 'makedersed Medusid, and then on the larger 'eovered-eyed' form the latter division containing the common jelly-fist These mames, 'maked-eyed' and 'eovered-eyed, an given to the two groups on areount of a differemer their semse organs, which are situated on the maresi of the mombella or swimming bell, and are protecte by a hood of welatinoms matter in the 'covered-esed forms, so called in contradistinetion to the baked eyed group, where the hood is absent.

Romanes first carefnlly observed the mosemant of the Mednsir, which, it will be remembered, all effected by the dilatation and contraction of the entire swimming bell, and he fomed that if, in the 'naked-eyed' fromp, the extreme mamin of thi swimming bell be exerised, immediate, total, and per manent paralysis of the whole organ took place. 'I'hi result was obtained with every species of this grom. which he examined; he therefore coneladed that is the margin of all these forms there is situated localised system of centres of spontaneity, hasin. for ome of its fime tions the origination of impulses th which the eontraction of the swimming bell is, made
ordinary tion was threald-lit its rhytl severam ing pell forms 1 of the $m$ or margi the para great m after : ments h eyed ' II the ercll" locomot, contriati Havi nervols Romathe: tissum of general : it wass als lation by As ise
prove (o) 'naked-e bodies re luminous, of light they wert removed, regard t, sufficient póssessed sense urg The
atimro, al
stllall t • $1 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{l} \mathrm{m}$ - dısir. 1own the it cortail iwinlmil. wes, b: unctione 1, wheth irnt in it makes it. R(0) 1 alle al exper kerd-eyed ed ' follo. jolly-fish eyed,' ar ferenter i: 20 man proterter. red-ervid 'naktol
 cred, ath 11 of thr fi, in the of thin and per. ©. 'l'hi lis מrom! d that in turited :
hatin. pulsers is, mind
 tion wis comfomed by the behasione of the sesored thread-like portion of the marrin, which contimed its rhythmical contractions quite mimpaired by its severamere form the main orsmaism, the latter remaining perferetly motiomless. In the "rovered-eyed" forms lemmanes fommd that expision of the margin of the monbrella, we rather excision of the semse orralls or matrimal botios, podnced paralysis; in this rase. the paralysis wats of a temporary charactor, as in the great majority of rases contrations womeresmand after a valiablio period. From this series of experiments he wias hed to beliese that in the eowamedeyed Mednad the marem is the meimeipul, but mot the emplesire, neat of spontanmity, there hemer wher locomotor rantres seattered thronshont the general contratetike tisisle of the swimmang hell.

Having demonstratud the existemer of a comtral nervons stisteme (appable of origimating impulses. Romanes had yot to prove tha dematy of this newons tissur of thar Jednsir with that of nervous tissules in general: tharefore, ho next proceeded to test whether it was also rapable of respondiner to external stimmlation by light, heat, reretricity, \&

As rogards appreciation of light, he was able to prove eomelasively for at least two species of the 'naked-ered' forms that as lomg as their maroinal bodies remained intact they wonld always respond to luminoms stimulation, and wonld rowd along a bean of light cast through a darkened bell jar in which they were swimming; if their maremal bodies were removed, they remained indifferent to light. With regard to the 'covered-ryed' forms, he obtained sufficient evidence to indince him to believe they possossed a visual sense localised in their marginal sense orchals.

The effects of electrical stimmation agreed in all
respertis with those produred on the excitable tisum of wher amimals. He next experimentally inse gated in the jelly-fish the paths along which th nervons impulses mast pass in the ir pissage from th loromotor centres, where they originate, to the genem rontratile tissues of the ammal.

The results of these experiments led him to ins the existence of a very time plexus of nerve fibres. which the eonstituent threads cross and re-eross on another withont artmallycomesesing. This conclusin which hearived at from purely experimental grome was some years afterwards contimed by minute he tolowical researeh.

Finally, the effere of various poisoms, chhorofor? alcohol, de., was tricod, and the striking resemblan of their artion on the nervous system of the Madn with that which they exert on that of higher anims supports the belief that nerve tissue when it fir appeats in the scene of life has the satue fundanme properties as it has in higher animals.

This piece of work was important, as the far threw light, as Professor Sanderson has said, on \& mentary guestions of physiology relating to excit bility and ronduction, and it was a characteristic Mr. Rommes that in all his work, of whatever kin he was always searching for principles. 'The minutio datail never escaped his attention if it appeared at likely in any way to throw light on some biologir or pisyrhological problem. Only a trained scientit worker can appreciate the amount of labour the Royal Soriety papers represented. In 1875 he gat a Friday evening lecture at the Royal Institution his work on Medusse.

He was also at this time working on the subje of 'Pangenesis,'" and a series of letters to $\mathrm{X}:$
' The following extract from 'An Examination of Weisfmanmist pp. 2, 3, will possibly explain the theory of l'menenesis, which assumes

1. That all the component cells of a multicellular organism thron
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Darwin and to Professer Shehiafer may interest some readers.

1s Comwall Tervare, Regents I'ark, N.W: Jammiry 11. 1875.

1) arar. Mr. Darwin,-I shonld very much like to see the papers to which yon allade. A priori one would hase thomgt the hisereting phan the more hopeful, but if the other has rieded positive results, in the case of an cere and tubers. I think it would be worth while to try the cffect of tramsplanting varions. kiads of pipsinto the pulps of kindred varieties of inconceivably minute germs, of 'gemmales.' which are then dispersed throughomt the whole esstem.
2. That these gemmules, when so dispersed amb supplied with proper nutriment, multiply ley self livision, imd, muler unitable combitions, tre capable of developine into phasis oogical eralls like thase from which thes were originally mad severally deriverl.
3. That, while still in this gemmular combition, these cell-seeds have for one anther a mutnal aftinity, which leats to thoir being collected from ali purts of the system by the reprodnction glamds of the organism ; and thut. when so collected, they go to constitute the essential material of the sexmal cloments ova and spermatomal being thos acoregated packets of gemmalos, which have emanated from all the cells of all the tissmes of the organism.
4. That the development of a new organimin of the fusion of two such packets of gemmales is dae to an smmation of all the developments of some of the gemmules which these two packets eomtain.
5. That a lare proportional momber of the gemmules in each packet, howewr, fail to develop, and are then tromsmittod in a dormant state to future senerations, in any of which ther mas be developed subseguently, thus giving rise to the phenomena of reversion or atavism.
6. That in all cases the developmont of gemmales into the form of their parent cells depends on their snitable mion with other partiully doveloped gemmules which precele them in the regular course of growth.
7. That grmmules are thrown off by all physiologieal cells, not only daring the adult state of the organism, bit during all stages of its develop. mont. Or, in other words, that the production of these cell-seeds depends upon the ablult condition of parent cells, not upon that of the multioallular organism as a whole.
fruit ; for the homologieal relations in this case won? be pretty much the same as in the other, with th: exeeption of the bud being an impregnated one. pasitive results ensued, however, this last-mention firet would be all the better for ' Pangenesis.'
lou have doubtless observed the very remarkal case given in the 'Gardener's ('hronicle' for Jamm 2-I mean the vine in which the seion appears to hat untably affected the stocli. Altogether viness sete very promising ; and as their buts admit of beil planted in the gromme, it womld be much more eav to try the bisecting plan in their case than in other where one half-bud, besides rempiring to be fitted. the other half, has also to have its shield fitted ins the bark. All one's aneques might then be expende in coaxing adhesiom, and if once this were obtaine I think there womld here be the best chanow whathing a hybrid: for then all, or mearly all, th cells of the future branch would be in the state gemmules. I am very smgane about the bor growing under these circmastances, for the vigh with which bisected soeds germinate is perfort astomishing.

Very sincerely and most respectfully yours, Gbio. J. Romanis.
P.S.-I have been to see Dr. Hooker, and fom: his kindness and courtesy quite what you led me: expect. Such men are rare.

April 21, 187.
In returning yon ---'s papers, I should like to sis that the one on 'Inheritance' appears to me quitede
stitut. samer 1 compla the wo which the wh assume sis.' that fol doctrill upon there is germini force-r" units, " Lin of the: after th accepteri Darwin showing ample, 'Pangel foree : ever I heredit. out the thing 1 , gencrali that ( i because ultimate
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stitute of intedligible meaning. It is a jumble of the same romfused ineas upon heredity about which I complained when you were at this homse. How in the world ran fore ard withont any material on which to act? Yet, mancos we assmme that it canl, the whole discussion is either memingless, or and assumes the truth of some surf theory as 'Pimerenesis.' In other words, as it must be 'mathinkable' that forere should are independently of matter, the doctrine of its persistemer call mily be made to bear upon the fuestion of heredity, hes supesing that there is a material fommertion betwern emporeal and gemmal refls-i.f. beranting the existence of
 units, of what we please.
 of the ownm after impreqution can be followed only
 accepted of surb a hopothesis as is embtained in Mr. Darwin's "Pangemesis:", and it is interesting, as showing the truth of the pemation. tompare, for example, p. 2 ? of the other pamphetefor, of comse, 'Pamgenesis assmmes the truth of the persistence of force as the pribue romation of its possibility. If ever I have occasion to prepare a paper about heredity, I think it would be worth while to point out the absurdity of thinking that we explain anything by vague allusions to the most mltimate gencralisation of science. We might just as well say that Canadian institntions resemble British ones because force is persistent. 'This doubtless is the ultimate reasom, but our explanation would be scien-
tifically valueless if we neglected to observe that th. Camadian colony was founded by British individual

The leaf from 'Nature' arrived last night. I hat previonsly intended to try mangold-wurael, as I hem it has well-marked varicties. The reference, ther fore, will be valuable to me.

Before closing, I should like to take this opmo tumity of thanking yon again for the very pleasan: time I spent at Down. The phace was one which had long wished to see, and now that I have seen j I am sure it will ever remain one of the most agre able and interesting of memory's pictures.

With kind regards to Mrs. Darwin, I remain, very sincerely and most respectfully yours,

Geo. J. Romanes.

> I'o Professor E'. Schäfer.

Dunskaith, Ross-shire.
My dear Schaifer,-[ am ghad to hear that yow rest has been beneficial, and also about all the athe news you give.

I should like to hase your opinion abont thr meaning of the following facts.

In Sarsia gentle irritation of a tentacle or an er speck eauses the prolypite to respond, but not the bell (stronger irritation, of course, causes both 1 respond) ; this secoms to show that there are nervow comnections between the eye-specks and the polypite By introducing cuts between former and latter, these commections may be destroyed-the tolerance of the tissue to such sections being variable in different:
cases, b then, the theory. In Medusie Tiarops polypite injured. stroyed which a been in betweer ing floll radial. and the one to 1 in the a spiral the oth causes trying t importal of the r irritation sponse © tion of this be seems t has its the com Thu nerve-pl
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cases, but never being anything remarkable. So far, then, the matter seems fasomable to the nerve-plexis: theory.

In another dise-shaped species of maked-eyed Meduse with a long, polypite, which I hase called Tianomis impicans, from its habit of applying this lons polypite to any part of the bell which is beings injured, the locrelising, fumetion of the polypite is destroyed as regards any area of bell-tisswe between which and the polypite a cirominferential section has been introduced. In other words, the comnections between the bell and the polypite, on which localising function of the latter depends, are exchasively radial. But not so the connections between the bell and the polypite, which render it possible for the one to be aware that something is wrong sometrhere in the other. For if the whole animal be cut into a spiral with the polypite at one end, irritation of the other and of the spiral, or any part of its lemoth, causes the polypite to sway about from side to side trying to find the offemding body. And here it is important to observe that whereser a portion of one of the radial tubes orcours in the course of the spiral, irritation of that portion canses a much stronger response on the part of the polypite than does irritation of any of the reneral bell-tissue, wen thongh this be situated much nearer to the polypite. This seems to show that the nervons plexus, if present, has its constituent fibres agregated into trunks in the course of the nutriment tubes.
'Thus far, then, I should be inclined to adopt the nerve-plexus theory. But lastly, we come to another
species with a very large bell and a very small polypite. Irritation of margin or radial tubes causes the animal th go into a violent spasm, but irritation of the general muscular layer only causes an ordinary locomotor contraction. On cutting the whole animal into a spiral, and irritating the extreme end of several marginal strips, the entire muscular part of the spiral goe into spasm. On interposing a great number of interdigitating cuts in the comrse of the spiral. there is no difference in these results. Now the question is, What is the mature of the tissue that conducts impression.


Fia. 1. from the ganglionic tissue to the muscular. making the latter on into a spasm? A spasin is as different as possible $f \cdots n$ an ordinary contraction, and will continue to pass long after the ordinary contractions have been blocked by severity of section. It is scarcely possible to suppose a norve-plexus here-the tolerance towards section being so great, although it varies in different cases. Besides, suppose this to be a segment of animal cut as represented. On irritating margin at a all the bell goes into a spasm, and it is evident that whatever the nature of the conductile tissue, all the connections must pass throngh the tract of tissue at $b$. Yet on irritating that tract no spasm is given. I camnot understand this on any view as to the nature of the conductile tissue.

Alt perplexi poisont. are in ' I hat as ret. various arrive is to be c $x$ in spirit few pred qu Sarsi another decided beaker a by mem observal
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Altogether, then, this part of the inguiry is very perplexing. Other parts are definite enongh. All the poisons, for instance, yield very definite results, which are in conformity with their actions elsewhere.

I have had no time to do any thing at the histology as yet. Would it be worth while for me to send you various species in a little sea water? They would arrive in a twlerably fresh condition, but would require to be examined at once. I might try sending some in spirit and others in chromie acid. I have made a few preliminary experiments with the galamometer on Sarsia, placing one clectrode on the margin and another on the muscular sheet, but withont any decided results. I also tried placing a Sarsia in one beaker and simple sea water in another, comnecting by means of the electrodes, but no disturbance was observable.

Tune 4.
I am working very hard just now, as there are so many irons to keep hot at once. It is too soon yet to see the results of spring grafting on the many plants I have operated on, and I have not had time to do anything with animals since I left London.

The Meduse have now come on in their legion, and occupy my modivided attention. The results so far have proved as definite as they are interesting and important. The following is a summary of the principal.

All genera of maked-eyed yet cxamined become immediately and permanently paralysed (except polypite) upon excision of margin, but not so with the covered-eyed.

The organism thas matilated responds with single contraction to a nip with the foreeps, also $t$ various chemical stimmli. The chain of ganglia d the same, and forther resemble the mutilated organist: in contracting once to both make and break of direc or of induced shock. 'They differ, however, in mb important particular: the severed margin retains it sensibility to the indured shork much longer than t the direct, while with the necto-calys the convers. is the case-the latter responding vigoronsly to mak and break of direct coment after it has ceased to lo affected by even interrupted current with secondars coil pushed up) to \%ero (one eoll).

A strange and, so far as I am a ware, an mparalleled phenomenon is sometimes manifested by Sarsia afte: removal of ganglia. It only happens in about on case out of tem, and urome exerpt in response to either chemical or electrical stimulation. A bell quite paralysed, and which may hase responded nommally enough to stimmation for a mumber of times, sind denly begins an active shivering motion, which may last from a mimute to half an homr. This motion i. totally different from anything exhibited by the animal when alive, and after ceasing never reerommences without fresh stimulation. The shiverime appearance, I think, is due to the varions systems of muscles contracting without co-ordination, but why it should take place in some cases and not in others. I am quite mable to determine.

Irritability of bell to shocks increases progresively from centre to circumference, and is greatest when electrodes are placed on marginal camal. Also
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a similar promessive increase is observable on ap proaching one of the raliml canals, and is greatest when clectrodes are placed on one of these. (I may observe that however neat a person's fingers may be it would be simply impossible to conduct these and other observations of the same nature without a mechanical stage. The electrodes mast be needlepoints passed throurh cords, the latter being supportod by a copper wire fixed to the stage, and therefore moveable with it; and I defy anybody to get the clectrodes into the field, and at the same time upon the marginal canal, muless they all move together.)

Sarsia stands an astonishing almount of section withont losing nervons comductibility: For instance, the whole orgimism misy be cut

lini, 9. into a three-turned spiral, and on irritating the end, the whole contracts; yet a moment's thonght will show how trying this mode of section is to nervous comections. As the animal may be cut, as in the following diarram, which represents the whole organism in projectionthe dotted lines being the camals, and the thick ones the cuts-on now irritating any part of the amimal, the whole contracts, but the co-ordination power is lost, both in spontaneons contraction and for those in response to stimuli.

If the entire margin be cat ont in a romtinusu. piece salse a small portion to mite it with the bed and if the distal and be now irritated, a main a contraction roms along the entire severed part till arrives at the small mited part, when the whole bed contracts. I should like to try whether under sud circumstances the margin would be thrown into state of clectrotomes, but mly having one cell I at not able to make ont this point satisfactorily:

The serowed margin continnes its shythnical cons. tractions for two or thre days. I am now tryin. the offere of different chemical stimuli, and if you com sugerest any further line of experimentation, of conme I shall be very pleased. Only, if you com think of anything which might be tried and which is mo: mentioned in this letter, please write soom, as the Sarsia will not last much longer, and they we the best adapted for my purposes.

I remain, very sincerely yours, Geo. I. Romanes.
P.S.-I should have said that neither gold nem silver brings ont any nerons tissue.

Medusa musele is not doubly refracting, but then nome that I have here seen is striated, and mastriated muscle is not doubly refracting anywhere, is it."

Dunskaith: June 24.
Many thanks for your long and suggestive letter. The poisons also are most acceptable. I havi waited before writing to try effect of the latter, but
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the weather hat been so stomy that no jelly-fish could be sent.

The most interesting observations I hase made since witing before are the following. I'mmotated Sarsia in a dark room seek a beam of light thrown into the bell-jar contaming them, and this as keenly as domoths. But when the so-called eye-specks are cut ont, the animal no longer cates low light.

I hate only come across two species of lmminous Modnsin-both, I believe, as get madescribed-and in these the light is emitted from the margin alone, and, with electrical stimulus, is strictly continced to the intra-polar regions, being strongest at the two pole's.

There is mo doubt at all abont the masculat nature of the fibres we saw. In the larger kinds of Medusar the eoveredereged) these fiberes are much coarser and are clearly seen to be arranged in comcentrix bomdles, hasing fome on fise fiberes in each bundle. Ilternating with these bomdles, amd about the same width as these, are strands of madifferentiated protoplasim. These strands are mot spontaneonsly contractile, althomerh their dimensions arm altered by the contraction of the muscoular banch (on mach of their sides. No part of the tissue is donbly refracting in the fresh state. Is there :my. way of treating it with a view of brimging out this property if latent, so to speak? 'The pecollarity is not due to the transparency of the tissue, for I find that the muscular fibre of the tramsparent osseons fish Luptrecpllalus is as doubly-refracting as combld be wished. There are no sigus of strie, but . Igassi\%
says that in some of the Mediterrancan species strix are well marked. But if both striated and motriato fibres are elsewhere doubly-refracting, it does not, I suppose, much signify whether or not the muscles of Medosir are striated-so far, I mean, as the perem. liarity in question is concerned.

I wish you would say what you think about this peculiarity in relation to a subject that I have bern working up. You no doubt remember that in -paper that we heard read, he said that the smail's heart had no nerves or ganglia, but novertholdss behaved like nervous tissue in responding to elere trical stimmbe tion. He hence concluded that in mudifferentiated tissue of this kind, nowe and musele were, so th speak, amalgamated. Now it was primeipally with the view of testing this idea about physiological contimity' that I tried the mode of spimal and other sections mentioned in my last letter. 'The result if these sections, it seems to me, is to prechade, on the one hand, the supposition that the masemar tissum of Meduse is merely muscular (for mo muscle would respond to local stimulus throughont its substane when so severely (out), and, on the other hand, the suppos: ion of a nervous plexus (for this would reguire to be so very intricate, and the hypothesis of scattered cells is without microscopical evidence here or elsewhere). I think, therefore, that we are driver to conclude that the muscular tissue of Medusid, though more differentiated into fibres than is the contractile tissue of the smail's heart, is, as much as the latter, an instance of 'physiological continuity: (Whether or not the interfascicular protoplasmic
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substaner before spoken of is the seat of this physiological romtimity is here immaterial.) Dr. lioster fully agress with me in this deduction from my experiments, and is wery phased about the latter, thus affording additional support to his views. But what I want to ank you is, suppering the interfaseicular substance to have no share in comducting stimulus (and I have wo evidence of its presence in Sarsia), and hemee that the propertios of mere and masele are mited in the contractile fibres of Medusir-supposing this. do yon thimk that the pereuliarity yon observed in the milerenlar conformation of this tissure, comsidered as muscolar, is likely to have mything to do with this perenliarity in it. function?

I know you do not like theory, so I shall retum to fact. There call be mo dombt whatever that the seat of spontaneity is as morh localised in the margin as the semsibility to stimmons is diffused throughout the bell. There must, therefore, be some structural differemee in the tissue here to correspend to this great functional differemere. Agassi\% is very positive in describing a chain of cells rmming romed the immer part of the marginal camal. Now, althongh I sometimess see a thin cord-like appearance here, I should mot dare to say it was mervous. Gold fertaimly stains it, but it also stams many other parts. of the tissue, and mitil I can ser corls here I camot be sure about a risilde newous cord. The cord I do see may be the wall of the marginal camal. I intend to persevere, however, trying your suggestions, also ssmic acid.

I can get no indications of electrical disturbance
during contraction in the way you sugerest-at lea* not with Sarsia; hut I intend to try with some: the larger Mednser.

Some apparatus is coming from Cambridge t enable me to test for electrotomus and Pflïger's las I shall apply it to the hminoms Mednsie also, when light, I forgot to say, is seen mader the miom scope in the dark to proceed not only from the margin alone, but from that particular part of the marem where drassiz deseribes his chatu of nemom colls.
(ieo. J. Romanfs.

> From (I. Inarmin to (f. I. Romanes.

Down, Beckenh:m, Kent : July 18, 1875.
I have been much interested by your letter, and am truly deliwhted at the prospeet of sureess. Sued energy as fons is almost sure to command victory. The world will be much more influenced by experiments on amimals than on plants. But in any case I think a large momber of successinl results will br necessary to comwince physiologists. It is rash th be sanguine, but it will be splendid if you sucered. My object in writing has been to say that it hat ondy just occurred to me that I have not sent you a copy of my • Insectivorous Plants; ' if you would core to have a copy, and do not possess one, semd me a postrard, and one shall be sent. If I do not hear, I shall moderstand.

> Yours very sincerely,
(hi. Darmin.
-at leaw sollle
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My dear Mr. i arwin, - lour letter arrived just in time to present mysending an order to my booksellor for 'Inseretivorons Plants,' for, of course, it is needless to say that I shall highly value a copy from. yoursolf. It first I intouded to wait matil I should have more time to enjoy the work, but a passage in this week's 'Nature' detrmined me to get acopy at once. This passage was one about reflex action, and I ann very anxious to see what you say about this, beramse in a paper I have prepared for the 'B.A.' on Merdusir I have had oecasion to insist upon the ocrurenere of reflex aetion in the ease of these, notwithstanding the absence of any distinguishable system of afferent and efferent nervers. But as physiologist. have beed so long ace instomed to associate the phemomena of reflex action with some such distinguishathe. system, I was afraid that they might thimk me rather andacions in propomeling the doe trine, that there is surh a thing as reflex artion without well-detined structural chamels for it to oecour in. But if you have fombl something of the same sort in plants, of course I shall be very elad to have your authority to guote. And I think it follows deductively from the wemmal theory of exolution, that reflex aretion onght to be present before the lines in which it flews are sulficiently differentiated to become distinginishable as nepres.

I am very glad that you are pleased with my pro. grossis fiar.

## From C. Darwin to G. J. Romanes.

Down, Beckenham, Kent: Sept. 24.
I shall be very glad to propose you for Limmert Soc., as I have just done for my son Francis. Ther is no doubt about your election. I have written for blank form. Please let me have your title, B.A. M.A., and title of any book or papers, to which I conli add 'various contributions to "Nature.", Also shai I say "attached to Physiology and Zoology'? Wher I have signed whole, shall I send a paper to Hooke: and others at Kew; or do you wish it sent to some ome else for signature? 'Thee signatures are reguired The paper will have to be read twice or thrice whe Sor. meets in November. But you could get books. out of library or ont of that of Royal Soc. by inf signature or that of any other member.

I am terribly sorry about the onions, as I expecter great things from them, the seeds coming, I believ. always true. As tubers of potatoes graft so well would it not be grood to try other tubers as of dahlin and other plants? I have been re-writing a lars portion of the chapter on Pangenesis, and it has ber awfully hard work. I will, of course, send you a cop? when the work is printed. How I do hope that you: fowls will survive! F. (ialton was i wor for hown yesterday; I see that he is much lesis soreptical abont Pangenesis that he was.

> Dunskaith, Nugg, Ross.shire, N.B., Sept. 29. 14ī.

My dear Mr. Jarwin, -Many thanks for your kind letter. I am an M.A. and a fellow of the Philosophical Society of ('anbridge, but otherwise I am mothime.
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nor have I any publication worth alluding to. I suppose, however, this will not matter if I am proposed by yourself, Dr. Hooker, and Mr. I)yer. I think there would be no hamm in saying 'attached to Physiology' and Zoolory.' I may read a paper before the Limean next Nowember on some new species of Meduse, but I think it is better not to allude to any contributions in adsance.

Sour letter about Pangemosis made me long for success more even than does the biolorieal importance of the problem.' Y'esterday I dug up all my potatoes. Some of the produce looked suspicious, but more than this I should not dare to saly. By this post I send you a box containing some of the best specimens, thinking yon maty like to see them. 'The lots marked A and 13 are sont for comparison with the others, being the kinds I grafted torgether. If you think it worth while to have the eyes of any of the other lots planted, you might rither do so fourself or send them back to me. Lot (' is the quecerest, and to my perhaps too partial age looks very like a misture. In the case of this graft the seed potato was rotten when dug up
' The experiments in graft-hybridisation were to prove that formative material (or gemmules) was actually present in the general tissues of plants :und was capable of uniting with the gimmules of another plant and thus of reproducing the entire organism For if the hymid, afterwards produced, presents equally the charneters of the scion and the stock, then formative material must have been present in the tissues of the scion, and it is demonstrated that the somatic tissues of the scion have siercised an effect on the germinal elements of the stock. inasmuch ats it has caused their offispring in part to resemble it. Such facts Romanes considered to be fulty in harmony with the theory of Pangenesis, und inconsistont with my theory which supposes that mo part of the parent organism generates any of the formative matherial.
yesterday, and this may areoome for the small size mis the tubers sent.

I did try dahlias and peonies, but in the formertir 'finger and we shape of the tubers, with the reve situated in the worst parts for cutting out clearls. prevented me from gettinge adhesion in any one ("ar With the peonies I was toolate in begimming. It wis also too late in the year when I began Pangenesiot try the spring fowers, but I hope to do so extemsivel this winter. Next year I shall try grafting berets an mangolds by ratting the yomme white root into sphate shape and plation four red roots all rommel. I this way the white ome will have a maximmon surfore exposed to the influenee of the red ones. I shall als try orafting the crown of the red in the root of the white variety, and rice remsi. I have already dob this very successfully with carrots-making a littir hole in the top) of the root, and titting in the (rom: like a cork in a bottle.

I shall look forward with wreat interest to the "ppearance of the new edition of the "Vilriation." only wish I had begun Pangenessis a jear carlint when perhaps by this time the graft-hybrid question might have becusettled. l'erhaps, however, it is is well to have this question once more presented in it a priori form, for if it ean soon afterwards be prove that a graft hybrid is possible, the theoretical impons: ance of the fact may be more generally apprecerated.

A day or two ago $[$ sam on a firm near this beantiful specinen of stripuing on a horse. The amimal is a dark dun col), with a very divided shoulde? stripe coming off from the spinal one on either side
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Eateln -houlder stripe then divides into tinee promgs. and weh prong ends in a shatp point. All the legs are black as far as the knees (corpi and tarsi), and aboes the batek part for a comsiderable distance all four legs are deeply marked with mumerous stripes. 1 (ath get no history of parentage. If you would like a drawing I ean somd me, but perhaps you hate


Vieg sincorely and mont reperethlly yours, (ibo. J. Romantis.

> Ta Iro!essur li. schäter.
hunsaith: Sept. 18 in.
My dear fohafer.-I have to apologise for having left yomr last letter so homs manswered, but there has really been nothing anom on here to make it worth while writing.

I sater my eareful comsideration to all you satd about publishing. and at one time nearly decided to wait mosher year. But wentually I sent in the paper. It seemes to me that the histolegy com very well wait for fature treatment-that its absence is not sufficient justification for withholding the results 1 haswalready observed. These results, after all, are the must important ; for they prove that some structural moditication there menst be ; whether or not this moditiontion is cisille is of subordinate interest. Besides, I do not, of comme, intend to abandon the mirroseopical part of the subject altegether. In my viow, inguiry into function in this rase monst certainly always preede inguiry into structure; for - To the Royal society.
although, when all the work shall have been collectem into one monograph, the histology must occupy the first place in order of presentation, very little way rould have been made by following this order of it vestigation.

I also had to reflect, that if I postponed public: tion, it would be impossible to expect the R.S. : publish the results in ertense, -i.e., I should have t bring out the work through some other medium.

And in addition to all this, there came a lette from Foster preaching high morality about it beins the duty of all scientific workers to give their result to others as soom as possible.

As I said before, I thank you very much for thr consideration and advice you have given, but I know that you would not like me to feel that the expression. of your opinion in a matter with which you are not $n$ fully acquainted as myself should lay me moder any obligation to be led by it, after mature consideration seemed to show that the best comrse for me to follow was the one which I took.

Hoping soon to see you, I remain, very sincerely yours,

> Geo. J. Romanes.
P.S.-I forgot to say that I acted upon your surgestion about the Limean, and have been proposed by Darwin, Hooker, and Huxley.

From C'. Darmin to (i. J. Romanes.
Down, Beckenham, Kent : July 12, 18i,
I ann correcting a second edition of 'Var. under 1)om.,' and find that I must do it pretty fully. There-
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fore I aive a short abstract of potato graft hybrids, and I wint to know whether I did not send you : reference about beet. Did you look to this, and can you tell me anything about it? I hope with all my heart that you are getting on pretty well with your experiments; I have been led to think a good deal on the subject, and ann convinced of its high importance, thongh it will take years of hammering before physiologists will admit that the sexual organs only collect the generative elements.

The edition will be published in November, and then you will see all that I have collected, but I believe that yon saw all the more important cases. The case of vine in 'Gardeners' Chronicle' which I sent you I thimk may only be a bud-variation, not due to sraftings.

I have heard indirectly of your splendid success with nerves of Medusie. We have been at Abinger Hall for a month for rest which I much required, and I saw there the cut-leaved vine, which seems splendid for graft hybrelisation.

Yours very sincerely, Сh. Darmin.

## To C'. Darwin, Esq.

Dunskaith : July 14, 1875.
I was very glad to receive your letter, having been previously indecided whether to write and let you know how I ann getting on, or to wait until I got a veritable hybrid.

In one of your letters you advised me to look up
the 'beet' a ase, but I could nowhere find any reference. to it. Dr. Hooker told me that although he coold not then remember the man's name, he remembered that the experimenter did not save the seed, but du. up his roots for exhibition. I forget whether it wa Dr. Masters, Bentham, or Mr. Dyer who told me that the experiment had been performed in I reland. although they could not remember by whom. Buti: the experimenter did not save the seed, the mere fac: of his sticking two roots together wonld have no bearing on Pangenesis, and so l did not take any trouble to find out who the experimenter was.

As you have heard about the Medusar, I fear you will infer that they must have diverted my attention from Pangenesis ; but although it is true that they have consmmed a great deal of time and energy, hase done my best to keep l'angenesis in the forground.

The prosemete success of my grafting is all that 1 ram desire, althourh, of course, it is as yet too emty in the year to know what the "ltimate suceress will be. I mean that, although I cammot yet tell whether the tissue of one variety is affecting that of the other. I have obtained intimate adhesion in the wreat majonity of experiments. Potatoes, however, are an exerption, for at tirst I begim with a method which I thonght very cumning, and which I still think would have been surcessful but for one little oversight. The method was to pmeh out the rees with an electro plated cork-borer, and replace them in a flat-bottomed hole of a slightly smaller size made with another instrmment in the other tuber. The fit, of course.
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Was alwars perfere ; but what I went wrong in was not having the cork-borers made of the best steel; for after I got about one hundred potatoes planted out, I found that the inserted phigs did not adhere. I therefore tried some sections with in exceedingly sharp kife that surgeons use for amputating, and the surfaces cut with this always adhered under pressure. The linife, however, minst be set up in a guide, in order to wot the surfaces perfectly that. Next year I shall get cork-borers made of the same steel as this knife is made of, and them hope to tum out graft-hybrids by the seore. Leen this year, however, a surat many of my potatoes are coming up, so I hope that some of the eves may have strock. I think it is desirable to got sombe easy way of experimenting with pokstoes (such as the rork-boring plan), and one independent of delicacy in manipulation, for then everybody could verify the results for himself, and not, as now, look with suspicion upon the suceres of other people.

With beans I get rerygood adhesion of the pomms shoots, but the parts which grow after the operation always contime separate. In some cases I am trying a suceression of operations ats the plant erows.

With beetroots and mangrold-wimel of all varieties, adhesion is certain to ocelle with my method of getting up great pressure by allowing the plants to grow for a fow days inside the binding. I have therefore made grafts of all ages, begiming with roots only an inch or two lonig and as thin as threads.

The other vegetables also are doing well, but with flowers I have had no success. The vine-cuttings
were too young to do anything with this year, but I hear from my cousin, who has charge of them, thit they are doing well. 'They certainly have very extraordinary leaves.

This year I never expected to be more than olle in which to gain experience, for embryo graftin, as it has never been tried by anybody, camot be learned abont except by experiments. But as I wr a young man yet, and hope to do a good deal 'hammering,' I shall not let Pangenesis lone mutit I feel quite sure that it does not admit of being any further driven home by experimental work; and eve if I never get positive results, I shall always continur to believe in the theory.

I am very sorry to hear that you' much needed rest,' and do earnestly hope that you will not wor too hard over the new edition of one of the most laborions treatises in our language - a treatise to which we always refer for every kind of information that we cannot find anywhere else.

Dunskaith: November $\bar{i}$.
I have to-day sent you a beantifully successful graft. It is of a red and white carrot, each bisected longitudinally, and two of the opposite halves joined. You will see that the mivin is very intimate, and that the originally red half has become wholly whits. The graft was made about three months ago, at which time the carrots were very small, but the colours very decided. I think, therefore, that unless red carrot: ever turn into white ones-which, I suppose, is absurd -the specimen I send is a graft-hybrid so far as the
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parts in contact are concerned. It will be of great importance, as you observed in your last letter, in a case like this, to see if the other parts are affectedi.e. to get the plant to seed if possible. This, I suppose, can only be done at this late season with so young a plant by putting it in a greenhouse. Perhaps, therefore, you might pot it, as soon as it arrives, and keep it till I go up. If you do not care, to take charge of it altogether, I can then get a home for it somewhere in the South. It will not require a deep pot, for I see that I have cut throngh the end of one of the roots. It would be as well, before potting, to cast off the end of the other root also, so that the one half may not grow longer than the other, and thus perhaps assert an modue amount of inflnence during the subsequent history of the hybrid. If the plant when you get it, or after potting, shows signs of drooping, I should suggest clipping off the older leaves to rheck evaporation: having found this a good plan with beets, dore.

In the same box with the hybrid there is another carrot. This is for comparison, it having been from the same seed and grafted (upon the (rown) at the same time as the originally red half of the hybrid.

I an doubtful about the potatoes I sent. On looking ower a number of 'red flukes,' I find some here and there are mottled. At any rate, I shall try other varieties next year, and not say anything about this doubtful case.

I forgot to say that the hybrid carrot is the only specimen of longitudinal grafting which I tried with carrots, having been somewhat disheartened with
this method by the persistent way in which beets and mangolds refuse to blend when grafted longitudinalls. There have thus been no failures with carrots grafted in this way.

If it is not too late, I may suggest that the passage in the 'Variation' about the deformity of th. stermm in poultry had better be modified. I hails this year tried some experiments upon Brahma chickens, and find that the deformity in question i. caused by lazy habits of roosting-the constantly recuming pressure of the roost upon the cartilaginon. stermon cansing it to yield at the place where the pressule is exerted. The experiments consistell merely in confining some of a brood of goms. chickens in a place without any roost, and allowin. the others to go about with all the Mareh chickem. 'The former lot have the sternum quite straight, and the latter lot have it deeply notched.

I write to thank you for the copy of the mew edition of the 'Variation' which I received a few dans ago. I ann very glad to see that you have thought my views about rudimentary organs worth a plare. and that yon speak so well of them.

The chapter on Pangenesis is admirable. The case is so strong, that it makes me more ansions than aver to get positive results in this years experiment, I mean there seems less donbt than ever that sum results must be obtainable if one hammers long enough. I did not know that there were so many cases of graft-hybridisation in potatoes. Perhapsit will be better this year to give one's main cmergies th other vegotables.
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1s: TG THE LAECTURE AT THE ROY゙ML INSTITCTION 4is
I find that a German, In . Eimer, is on the scent of the jelly-tish, but he does not seem to have done much work as yot. It is arranged that I am to have a Priday evaning at the Institution soon after Fiaster, to tell the people about my own work.

## Jrom ('. Darwin to G. J. Ramanes.

© Queen Amue Street : April 29, 1876.
I must hase the pleasmer of saying that I have just heard that your lecture was a splendid success in all ways. I further hear that you were as cool as the Aretie regions. It is evident that there is no orasion for you to feel your pulse mader the circumstancers which we disenssed.

Yours very sincerely,
Cin. Jabiwin.

## T'ぃ C. 1) trwin, Lisq.

I write to thank you for the slip about graft hybrids, and to saly that as yet I have obtained no results myself. 'This place is too firr north to ardmit of the seeds ripening properly after the phants hat w been thrown back several weeks by the operation. I'his ipplies especially to onions, so next year-the neck of Madusa having now been broken-I intend to wait in London till all the grafting and phanting ont is tinished. I do not think yon will regret mer not hating followed such a course this year when you conne to read the paper I ann now writing. I never did such a successful four months' work, and if as many yeats sulfice to answer all the burning puestions
that are raised by it, I think they will require to be years well spent.

And this makes me remember that I have $t$ t apologise for the inordinate time I have kept your copy of Professor Häckel's essay on Perigenesis. Since you sent it I have scarcely had any time for reading, and as you said there was no hurry about returning it, I have let it stand over till this paper is off my hands.

Lankester seems to have doubled up Slade in fine style. I suppose the latter has always trusted to hi, customers not liking to resort to violent methods. His defence in the 'Times' about the locked slates was unnsually weak. 'Once a thief always a thief' applies, I suppose, to his case ; but it is hard to understand how Wallace could not have seen him inverting the table on his head. In this we have another of those perplexing contradictions with which the whole subject appears to be teeming. I do hope next winter to settle for myself the simple issue between Ghosi rersus Goose.

Very sincerely and most respectfully yours, Geo. J. Romanes.

> To C. Darwin, Eisq.

18 Comwall Terrace.
Professor Häckel's paper on the Medusae is called - Beitrag zur Naturgeschichte der Hydromedusen (Leiprig, 186; ). Professor Huxley has lent me his copy, but says he wants it returned in a week or two. I ought certainly to have the work by me next summer, so I thought that if you happen to have it
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and can spare it till next antmm, I need not send to Germany for it, remembering what you said when I last saw you. I should also much like to see the other paper of Haickel's about cutting up the ova of Medusar.

I have an idea that you are afraid I am neglecting Pangenesis for Medusie. If so, I should like to assure yon that such is not the case. Last year I gave more time to the former than to the latter inquiry ; and although the results proved very disproportionate, this was only due to the fact that the one line of work was more difficult than the other. However, I always expected that the first ear would require to be spent in breaking up the gromed, and I ann quite satisfied with the experience which this work has brought me. I confess, however, that but for personal reasons I should have postponed Pangenesis and worked the Medusir right through in one year. There is a grlitter about immediate results which is very alluring.

## From C. Darwin to (i. J. Romanes.

I will semd the books off by milway on Monday or 'Tuesday. Yon may keep that on Meduse mutil I ask for it, which will probably be newer. 'That on Siphonophora I should like to have back at some future time.

So far from thinking that you have nocrlected Pangenesis, I have been astomished and pleased that your splendid work on the jelly-fishes did not make you throw every other subject to the dogs. Even if your experiments tum out a failure, I believe that
there will he some compensation in the skill you will have acquired.
P.S.-I have been having more correspondente With (alton about Pangenesis, and my confusion i. more confomoded with respect to the points in whist he differs from me.

Abont this time Mr. Romames made the acpmaint. ance of Mr. Herbert Spencer and also that of Mr: (i. H. Jewes, and of the wonderfnl woman known to the outer world as George Eliot, and to a small rird of frionds as Mrs. Lewes.

Mr. Romanes was one of the faroured few who were allowed to join the charmed circle at the Priory on sunday aftermoons. He enjoyed the few talks he had with (ieorge Eliot, and, amongst other reminiscenco. he told a characteristic story of Lewes. One after. noon, when there were very few people at the Priory. the conversation drifted on to the Bible, and (ieorn Eliot and Mr. Romanes began a discriasion on the merits of the two tramslations of the Psalms beot known to English people-the Bible and the Praver Book version. 'They 'quoted' at cach other for a short time, and then Lewes, who had not his Bible at his finger ends to the extent the other two had, ex. clamed impatiently, ' ('ome, we've had enongh of this; we might as well be in a Smaday school.' Buth George Eliot and Mr. Romanes, by the way, preferred the Bible version.

In one of the letters to Mr. Darwin, Mr. Romame alludes to the guestion of spiritualism, and his own determination to investigate the question so far as in him lay for himself.

He worked a good deal at spiritualism for a year or two and he never could assure himself that there was absolutely nothing in spiritualism, no monown
phemon and rin manifes He as hyp wrote a - Ninete and m article thieriscl The through Datrwin
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phenomena mulerlying the mass of frand, and trickery, and volgarity which have surromeded the so-called manifestations.

He was always willing to investigate such subjects as hypnotism, thonght reading, de., and in 1880 he wrote an article for the September number of the ' Nineteenth Century,' in which he pleads for a candid and mprejudiced investigation of the facts. The article Was a review of Heidenhain's ' Der sogenamote thierische Magnetismus.'

The work on Pangenesis and on Mednsat went on through 1876 , and some letters to and from Mr. Darwin are here inserted.

## Fromin (', Darwin, Esq., to G. J. Romames.

i) ear Lomanes,-As yon are interested in Pangenesis, and will some day, I hope, convert an 'airy nothing ' into a substantial theory, therefore I send by this post an essay by Häckel, attackinge ' P'an.,' and substitnting a molecular hypothesis. If I moderstand his views rightly, he would say that with a bird which strengthened its wings by use, the formative protoplasin of the strengthened parts becomes changed, and its molecular vibrations consequently changed, and that their vibrations are transmitted throughout the whole frame of the bird. How he explains reversion to a remote ancestor I know not. Perhaps I have mismuderstood him, though I have skimmed the whole with some care. He lays much stress on inheritance being a form of unconscious memory, but how far this is part of his molecular vibration I do not maderstand. His views make nothing clearer to me, but this may be my fault. No one, I presume, would doubt about
molecular movements of some kind. His essay is clever and striking. If you read it (but you must not on my accomt), I should much like to hear your judgment, and you can return it at any time.

We have come here for rest for me, which I much needed, and shall remain here for about ten days more. and then home to work, which is my sole pleasure in life. I hope your splendid Meduse work and your experinuents on Pan. are going on well. I heard from my son Frank yesterday that he was feverish with a cold, and could not dine with the Physiologists, which I am very sorry for, as I should have heard what they think about the new Bill. ${ }^{1}$ I see that you are one of the secretaries to this young society. I was very much gratified by the wholly unexpected honour of being elected one of the hon. members. This mark of sympathy has pleased me to a very high degree.

> Believe me, yours very sincerely, $$
\text { Ch. Darwis. }
$$

Häckel gives reference to a paper on Pan. of which I have never heard.

I fear that you will have difficulty in reading my scrawl.

Do you know who are the other hon. members of your Society?

## From G. J. Romanes to C. Darwin.

Dunskaith. ${ }^{-}$'igg, Rosshire, N.B.: June 1, 1876.
Many thanks for your long and kind letter. Also for the accompanying essay. It seems to me.
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from your epitome of the latter, that if Pangenesis is 'airy,' Perigenesis must be almost vacuous. However, I anticipate much pleasure in reading the work, for arything by Häckel on such a subject camnot fail to be interesting.

I am sorry to hear that you 'much needed rest,' and also about Frank. I had hoped, too, that you would have mentioned Mrs. Litchfield.

Having been away from London for several weeks, I camot say anything about the feeling with regard to the Bill. Sanderson and Foster think it 'stringent,' and so I suppose will all the Physiologists. The former wants me to write articles in the 'Fortnightly,' - to make people take nore sensible views on vivisection:' but I camnot see that it would be of any use. The heat of battle is not the time for us to expect famatics to listen to 'seuse.' Do you not think so?

I am sure the Physiological Society will be very pleased that you like being an hon. member, for it was on your account that honorary membership was instituted. At the committee meeting which was called to frame the constitution of the Society, the chairman (Dr. Foster) ejaculated with reference to you -' Let us pile on him all the honour we possibly can,' a sentiment which was heartily enough responded to by all present; but when it came to considering what from the expression of it was to take, it was found that a nascent society could do nothing further than make honorary members. Accordingly you were made an hon. member all by yourself; but later on it was thought, on the one hand, that you might feel lonely, and on the other that in a Physiological

Society the most suitable companion for you was l)". Shapey

Perhaps a 'secretary' onght not to be giving all the details about committee meetings, but if not. l know you will take it in confidence. It seems to ner that fon never fully realise the height of your pedestal, so that $I$ am shad of any little opportunity of this kind to show you the ange at which the upturned faces are inclined. I am glad, too, to sew from the inscription in Haickel's essay, that he is still doing his best to show that in Germany this angle is fast being lost in horizontality.

As the spring was sobackward, the plants at Kew were too small to graft before I had to leave for the Medusar. But this does not much matter, as I had a lot of vergetables planted down here also, which are doing well. Pangenesis I always expected wond require a good deal of patience, and one year's worl: on such a subject only comnts for apprenticeship. If. by the time I am a skilled workman, I an not able to send anything to the intermational exhibitions, I shall not envy any one else who may resolve to enter the same trade.

I ann working hard at the jelly-fish just now, and have succeeded in extracting several new confessions. The nerve-plexus theory, in particular, is coming ont with greater cleamess. 'The new poisons, too, are giving very interesting results. I suppose you do not happen to know where I could get any sum poison. 'The 'Phil. 'Trans.' seem very long in coming out. I have not yet grot the proofs of my paper.
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I am very glad you sent me the extract from Lamarck, for I had just been to the R.S., humting up several of the older authors to see whether any mention had been made of the theory before Sperneer wrote.

While at Down I forgot my speculations about inter-crossing, and, therefore, althongh I do not think they are much worth. I send you a copy. of my notes. The ideas are not clearly put-having been jotted down a few years ago merely to preserve them -but no doubt you will be able to maderstand them. Do not trouble to return the MS.

I had intended to ask you while at Down if you happen to know whether stinging nettles are endemic plants in South America. 'The reason I should like to know is, that last year it occurred to me that the stinging property probably has reference to some widely distributed class of animals, and being toldrightly or wrongly, I do not know-that rmminants do not object to them, I tried whether my tame mablits world eat freshly phacked nettles. I found they world not do so even when very hungry, but in the same out-house with the mbbits there were confined a number of guinea-pigs, and these always set upon the nettles with great avidity. Their noses were tremendomsly stmo, however, so that between every few nibbles they had to stop and seratch vigorously. Ifter this process had been gone throngh several times, the gruinea-pig would generally become furions, and thinking apparently that its pain must have had some more obvious cause than the nettles, would
fall upon its nearest neighbour at the feast, when a guinea-pig fight would ensue. I have seldom sem a more amusing spectacle than twenty or thirty of these animals closely packed round a bunch of nettles, a third part or so eating with apparent relish, another third scratching their noses, and the remaining third fighting with one another. But what [ want to ask you is this. Does it not seem that the marked difference in the behaviour of the rabbits and the guinea-pigs points to inherited experience on the part of the former which is absent in the case of the latter? If nettles are not endemic in South America, this inference would seem almost irresistible. Dr. Hooker tells me nettles grow there now, but he does not know whether they did so before America was risited by Europeans. Possibly there might be some way of ascertaining.

I have now made a number of grafts at Kew. In about a month, I should think, one could see which are coming up as single and which as double sprouts. If, therefore, Frank is going to work in the laboratory in July, he might perhap)s look over the bed (which is just outside the door), and reject the double-stalked specimens. I could trust him to do this better than any one at Kew, and if the useless specimens were rejected, there would afterwards be much less tromble in protecting the valuable ones. But do not suggest it unless you think it would be quite agreeable to him. If he is in town within the nexi fortnight, 1 wish he would look me up.
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I have deferred answering your letter until having had a talk with Mr. (ialton about rudimentary organs. He thinks with me that if the nomnal size of a useful organ is maintained in a species, when natural selection is removed, the average size will tend to become progressively reduced by inter-crossing, and this down to whatever extent economy of growth remains operative in placing a premium on variations below the average at any given stage in the history of reduction:

I think I thoronghly well know your vitws about natural selection. In. writing the mannscript note, so far as I remember, I had in view the possibility which Huxley somewhere advocates, that nature may sometimes make a considerable leap by selecting from single variations. But it was not becanse of this point that I sent you the note; it was with reference to the possibility of matural selection acting on organic types as distinguished from individuals-a possibility which you once told me did not seem at all clear, although Wallace maintained it in conversation.

I do not myself think that Allen ${ }^{1}$ made out his points, although I do think that he has made an effort in the right direction. It seems to me that his fundamental principie has probably much truth in it, viz. that asthetic pleasure in its last malysis is an effect of normal or not excessive stimulation.

Very sincerely and most respectfully yours,
Geo. J. Romanhs.
${ }^{1}$ Mr. Grant Allen.

> From C. Darwin, Esq.

Down, Beckenham, Kent: August 9.
My dear Romanes,-I have read your two article. in 'Nature,' and nothing can be clearer or more interesting, though I had gathered your conclusion. clearly from your other papers. It seems to me that muless you can show that your muslin (in your simile) is rather coarse, the transmission may be comsidered as passing in any direction from cell or mit of structure to cell or unit; and in this case the transmission would be as in Dionaea, but more easily effected in certain lines or directions than in others. It is splendid work, and I hope you ar getting on well in all respects. 'The Mr. Lawless tu whom you refer is the Hon. Miss Lawless, as I know. for she sent me a very good manseript about the fortilisation of plants, which I have recommended her to send to 'Nature.'

As for myself, Frank and I have been workin! like slaves on the bloom on plants, with very poor success ; as usual, almost everything goes differently from what I had anticipated. But I have been absolutely delighted at two things: Cohn, of Breslan, has seen all the phenomena described by Frank in Dipsacus, and thinks it a very remarkable discovers: and is going to work with all reagents on the filiments as Framk did, but no donlot he will know much better how to do it. He will not promounce whether the filaments are some colloid substance or living protoplasin; I think he rather leans to latter, and he
quite se rally on The half of lished tl use to one ma minder e plants o the fed coloured and mo capsules larly int rery ma more pr stained the makt

Ther do you doing.

I wi letter, w
'The be very -the ye forms of
quite sees that Frank does not pronounce dogmatically on the question.

The second point which delighted me, seeing that half of the botanists throughout Europe have published that the digestion of meat by plants is of no use to them-(a mere pathological phenomenon as one man says!)--is that Frank has been feeding under exactly similar conditions a large number of plants of Drosera, and the effect is wonderful. On the fed side the leaves are much larger, differently coloured, and more numerous-flower stalks taller and more numerous, and, I believe, far more seedcapsules, but these not yet counted. It is particularly interesting that the leaves fed on meat contain rety many more starch granules (no doubt owing to more protoplasm being first formed), so that sections stained with iodine of fed and unfed leaves are to the maked eye of very different colour.

There, I have boasted to my heart's content; and do you do the same, and tell me what you hase been doing.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Yours very sincerely, } \\
& \text { Ch. Dabin. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## From G. J. Romanes.

Dunskaith, lioss-shire: Augnst 11, 1877.
I was very pleased to get your long and genial letter, which I will answer seriatim.
'The 'muslin' in the hypothetical plexas seems to be very coarse in some specimens and finer in others -the young and active individuals enduring severer forms of section than the old. And in exploring by
graduated stimuli, areas of different degrees of excitability may be mapped out, and these areas are pretty large, averaging about the size of one's finger-mails. I am rather inclined to think that these areas are determined by the course of well-differentiated nervetracts, while the less-differentiated ones are probably more like muslin in their mesh. But the only reason why I resort to the supposition of nerve-tracts at all is because of the sudden blocking of contractile waves by section, and the fact that stimulus (tentacular) waves very often continue to pass after the contractile ones have been thus blocked.

I am sorry I made the mgallant mistake about Miss Lawless, but I had no means of knowing. If I had known I should not have written the letter, becanse $I$ ann almost sure the movements of the Medusia were accidental, and my pointing ont this source of error may be discouraging to a lady observer.

I remember thinking you were too diffident about the bloom, but I suppose that is the advantage of experience ; it keeps one from forming too high hopes at the first.

The rest of your letter contains glorious nows. Cohn, I suppose, is about the best man in Emrope to take up the subject, and although 1 camot conceive what else he can do than Frank has done aheady, it is no doubt most desirable that his opinion should be formed by working at the problems himself.

I'he other item about the effects of feeding Drosera is really most important, and in particular about the starch. I have heard the doubts you allude to expressed in severul quarters, but this will set them
all at res the work Frank is
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all at rest. It was just the one thing required to cap the work on insectivorous plants. What capital work Frank is doingr!

I have nothing in the way of 'boasting' to set off against it. The year has been a very bad one for jelly-fish, so that sometimes I have not been able to work at them for several days at a time. 'lhe most important new observation is perhaps the following.


Fiti, 3.
Suppose a portion of Aurelia to be cut into the fom of a pair of trousers, in such a way that a fraglion, a, oceupies the bottom of one of the legr. l'sually, of course, contractile waves starting from "comse along to $b$, and thence round to $c$ and backwards to $d$. But in one specimen I observed that every now and then the exact converse took place-viz. the contractile wave starting at a to course to $c, b$, and $\quad \prime$. On now excising the fanglion at a both sets of contractile waves ceased -thus showing that even in the case where they started from il it was the granglion at a which started them. 'This power on the part of Medusoid samglia to discharge their influence at a distance from their own seat I have also observed in other forms of section, and it affords the best kind of rvidence in favour of nerves.
()n the days when I. could get no jelly-fish I tonk to star-fish. I want, if possible, to make out the functions of the sand-canal and the aviculae; but a yet I have only discovered the difficulties to be over. come. I had intended to make a cell to cover the calcareons plate at the end of the sand-camal, and th fill the cell with dye, in order to test Siebold's hyprthesis that the whole apparatus is a filter for the ambulacral system; but Providence seems to havi specially designed that no substance in creation should be adapted for sticking to the back of a starfish.

The avicule are very puzaling things. I an surn Allen is wrong in his hypothesis of their function being to remove parasitical growths; for, on the omr hand, parasites are swarming around them unheeded. and on the other, they go shapping away apparently at mothing. It is more casy, however, to say what they are not than what they are.

I went a few days ago to see the vine. It is mow five fert high and vigorous, but I believe spring in the proper time for grafting.

With best thanks for your 'boasting' and grool wishes, I remain very sincerely and most respectfully yours,

Geo. J. Romanes.

> From C. Daruin, Esq.

Down: June 4.
Sir Joseph Fayrer supplied me with cobra poison. It is very precions, but I have no doubt that by
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Your letter has, made me as prond and conceited as ten peacocks. I am inclined to think that writing against the bigots about vivisection is as hopeless as stemming a torrent with a reed. Frank, who has just come here, and who speaks with indignation on the subject, takes an opposite line, and perhaps he is right; anyhow he had the best of an argment with ne on the subject. By the way, I think Frank has made a fine discovery, but I won't say what, for fear it should break down. It seems to me the Physiologists are now in the position of a persecuted religions sect, and they mast grin and bear the persecution, however ruel and monust, as well as they can.

I shall be very glad to hear what you think about Häkel; perhaps I have shamefully misrepresented lim. About the other subject (never mentioned to a haman being) I shall be glad to hear, but I fear that I am a wretched bigot on the subject. ${ }^{1}$

> Yours very sincerely, Chambes Dabme.

The rest has done me much good. We return on the 10th. My daughter is certainly better a good deal, but not up to her former poor standard.

F'rom G. J. Romanes to ('. Darmin, lisq.
Dunskaith, Nign, Hoss-shire: Jume 11.
We had a good langh over some parts of your letter. I have not, as yet, had time to read any of Häckel's book.

[^1]I am delighted to hear about the discovery, and hope, if it turns out well, to have my stimulated curiosity satisfied with regard to it. If it is a interesting as the observations about the seeds, people will think Frank a val lucky fellow to hook so man! grod fish in such ane time.

Not having hearhis a"guments about the articlewriting, I am still strongly of your opinion, and, bein! besides ill able to afford any time just now, I shall not bother with it. When I think that in this one county (Ross, and still more in (romarty) there are more rabbits expressly bred every year for trapping than could be vivisected in all the physiological laboratories in Europe during the next thousimd years, it seems hopeless to reason with people who. knowing such facts, expend all their energies in straining at a wonderfully small gnat, while swallowing, as an article of daily food, such an enormonsly large camel.

## From C. Darwin, Esq.

Down: August 10.
Dear Romanes,-When I wrote yesterday, I had not received to-day's 'Nature,' and I thought that your lecture was finished. This final part is one of the grandest essays which I ever read.

It was ver foolish of me to demmer to your line of conveyance like the threads in muslin, knowing how you have considered the subject, but still I must confes I cannot feel quite easy. Every one, I suppose, thinkon what he has himself seen, and with Drosera, a bit
cy, and rulated is : peopl. , llan! uticle. , bein! shall is one re at apping logical ousand e who. ries in vallow. nously
of meat put on any one gland on the dise causes all the surromding tentacles to bend to this point ; and here there can hardly be differentiated lines of conveyance. It seems to me that the tentacles probably bend to that point whonce a molecular wave strikes them, which passes through the cellular tissue with equal ease in all directions in this particular case. But what a fine case that of the Aurelia is !

Forgive me for bothering you with another note. Yours very sincerely, (.. Dabmin.

> From G. J. Romanes to C. Darwin, Essq.

Dunskaith, Ross-shire, N.13.: August 13, 1877.
I thonght you had given me quite enough praise. in your first letter, but am not on that account the less pleased at the high compliment you pay me in the second one. The ending up was what the people at the Institution ${ }^{1}$ seemed to like best.

Pray do not think that I have yet made up my mind about the 'muslin.' On the contrary, the more I work at the tissues of Aurelia the more puzzled I become, so that I am thankful for all criticisms. If Aurelia stood alone, I should be inclined to take your riew, and attribute blocking of contractile waves in spiral strips, \&ce, to some accidental strain previously suffered by the tissue at the area of blocking. But the fact that in 'liaropsis the polypite is so quick and precise in localising a needle prick, seems to show that here there must be something more definitc

[^2]in the way of conducting tissue than in Drosera. although I confess it is most astonishing how precise the localising function, as described by you, is in the latter. In 'Nature' I did not express my doubts. but it was because I feared there may yet turn out to be a skeleton in the cuphoard that I kept all these more or less fisiny deductions out of the R.S. papers. Further work may perhaps make the matter more certain one way or another. Possibly the microscope may show something, and so I have asked Schäfer to come down, who, as I know from experience, is what spiritualists call 'a sensitive '-I mean he can see ghosts of things where other people can't. But still, if he can make ont anything in the jelly of Aurelia, I shall confess it to be the best case of clairvoyance I ever knew.

I am very ghad you have drawn my attention prominently to the localising function in Drosera, as it is very likely. I have been too keen in my scent after nerves; and I believe it is chiefly by comparing lines of work that in such novel phenomena truth is to be got at. And this reminds me of an observation which I think onght to be made on some of the excitable plants. It is a fact not generally known, even to professed physiologists, that if you pass a constant current through an excised muscle two or three times successively in the same direction, the responses to make and break become much more feeble than at first, so that unless you began with a strong current for the first of the series, you have to strengthen it for the third or fourth of the series in order to procure a contraction. But on now reversing
the dirt dously the seco effect of direction point, I the cons mentary the jelly be very contracti things to you,' and have to $b$,
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the direction of the corrent, the muscle is trememdomsly excitable for the first stimulation, less so for the second, and so on. Now this rapidly exhansting rffect of passing the current successively in the same direction, and the wonderfnl effect of reversing it, point, I believe, to something very fundamental in the constitution of muscular tissue. 'The complementary effects in question are quite as decided in the jelly-fish as in frog's muscle ; so I think it would be very interesting to try the experiment on the contractile tissines of plants. But there are so many things to write about that I am afraid of bothering you,' and this with much more reason that you can have to be afraid of 'bothering' me.

Aurelia is, as you say, 'a fine case, and I often wish you could see the experiments.

Very sincerely and most respectfully yours, Geo. J. Romanes.

The leading Physiologists felt the importance of co-operation and of alliance, and a society entitled tho Physiolorical Society was formed of whirh Mr. Romanes and Professor Gerald Yeo were the first honorary secretaries.

In 1876 Mr. Romanes made his first appearance at the British Association ; he recounts his experiences in the following letter.

> T'o Miss C. E. Romanes.
> British Association, Glasgow: Monday, 1sfit.

My dearest Puffin,-I have received all your letters, and had a grood langh over them ; it is evident
that I must get back soon to pilot the way. We shall indeed have a jolly time.

I have just got out from the section room, and my work is over. I had a splendid audience both as to number and quality.

When I had finished, all the great gums had their say, Professor Häckel leading off with a tremendons a ulogimm on the work, laying special stress on the great difficulty of conducting an incuiry of the kind. and complimenting me highly on the suceess obtained. sianderson then made a long speech, and then Stirling and Balfour, de.

The latter stated it as his opinion that my investigation is the most important that has as yet been conducted in any department of invertebrate physiology. The discussion was then cut short by the president to leave time for the other papers, my own exposition having taken so long. I replied brietly:

Shortly after this, Mr. Romanes delivered a lecture on the Evidences of Organic Evolution, which he reprinted in the ' Fortnightly,' and afterwards worked up into a little book called 'The Scientific Evidence of Organic Evolution.' About this lecture Mr. Darwin wrote:-

Down.
My dear Romanes, - I have just finished your lecture. It is an admirable scientific argment amd most powerful. I wish that it could be sown broadcast throughout the land. Your courage is marvellous, and I wonder that you were not stoned on the spot. And in Scotland! Do please tell me how it was received in the Lecture Hall. About man bein!
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made like a monkey (p. 37) is quite new to me ; and the aromment in an earlier place on the law of parsimony admimaly put. Yes, p. 21 is new to me. All strikes me as very clear, and considering small patce you have chosen your lines of reasoning excellently.

> But I imn tired, so grood night! C. Darwin.

The few last pages are awfully powerful in my opinion.

Sunday Morning.-The above was written last night in an enthusiasm of the moment, and now this dark, dismal Sunday morning I fully agree with what I said.

I ann very sorry to hear about the failure in the wraft experiments, and not from your own fault or ill-luck. Trollope, in one of his novels, gives us a maxim of constant use by a brick-maker, 'It is dogred as does it!' and I have often and often thought this is the motto for every scientific worker. I am sure it is yours if you do not give up Pangenesis with wicked imprecations. By the way, G. Jäger has just brought out in 'Kosmos' a chemical sort of Pangenesis, bearing chiefly on inheritance.

I camnot conceive why I have not offered my sarden for your experiments. I would attend to the plants, as far as mere care goes, with pleasure, but Down is an awkward place to reach.
C. D.
(Would it be worth while to try if the 'Fortnightly, would publish it?)

To this Mr. Romanes replied:

18 Cornwall Terrace : Dec. 2, $18 \% \pi$.
It was most kind of you to write me such a long mad enowing letter. In ome way it is a grood thing that all the world are not so big-hearted as yourself -it would make yomg men awfully conceited. Yit I value your opinion more than the opinion of any. body, becanse in other things I have always fomd your judgment more deep and somod tham anybody: However, I will go to Hasley next Saturday for an antidnte, as it is quite true what he said abomt himself at Cambridge, that he is not given to making pancgurics.

On the whole, as I have said, I was surprised how woll it was taken. And still more so in Yorkshire last week-where I was locturing at leeds and Halifin on Medusar, and took ocrasion to wind up about you and your degrees. I was perfectly astonished at the reception yon got among such popular andiences. What a remge som have lived to see.' If ever haman being had a right to cry - loici-but you know it all better than I do.

Dbout the grafts, I thomght it most matural that you should not like the bother of having them dome at Down, when there are such a multitude of other garcens belonging to do-mothing people. But as you have mentioned it, I may suggest that in the case of onions there is a difficulty in all the gardens I know -vi\%, that they are more or less infested with onion worms. If, therefore, yon shomld know any part of your garden where onions have not grown for some
years, I the pro seed co All the dens, an That What de same nu have sel think wi With and mos reserve f frar of $n$
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years, I might do the grafts here in pots, and bring the promising ones to plant ont at Down in May. seed conld then be sared in the following autmon. All the other plants could be grown in the other simdens, and well attended to.

That is a very interesting letter in 'Nature.' What do you think of Dr. Sianderson's paper in the same number, as to its philosophy and expression? I hase sent a letter about amimal psychology which I think will interest you.

With kind regards to all, I remain, very sincerely and most respectfulty (this is a bow which I specially reserve for you, and would make it lower, but for the frar of making myself ridicolous),
(ieo. J. Romanes.
P.S.-I I fear Mr. Morley would think my lecture tor lons, and not original enomgh for the 'Fortnightly."
barly in the year 1s7s, a great sorrow fell on the Romanes family. The calder of the two sisters, Georgina, died in April, ind to her hrother, her junior by two or three years, her loss was very great. She wats a brilliant musician, and had done much to prewht her young brother from becoming too entirely absorbed in science, and in keeping alive in him the passionate love for music which was always one of his characteristics.

They went much tonether to concerts, and the house was the centre of a sood deal of musical society. Among the many masicians who came and went may be mentioned (iomod. He had a great admiration and liking for Miss Romanes, and used to make her

[^3]sing to him. And also there was Dr. Joachim who with charocteristic lindness came in the last days of Georgina's life and played, as only he can play, to her.

## From G. J. Romames to C'. Derwin, E'sq.

18 Cornwall Terrace : April $10,1878$.
Many thanks for your kind expressions of sympathy. When the sad event ocemred I had somb. thoughts of sending you an amomoment; but is you had scarcely ever seen my sister, I afterwards filt that you might think it superfluous in me to let yom know.

The blow is indeed felt by us to be one of dirn severity, the more so because we only had about a fortnight's waming of its advent. My sister did mot pass throngh much suffering, but there was somethin painfully pathetic abont her death, not only becanse sha was so young and had alway beenso strong, but alow because the ties of affection by which she was bomud to ns, and we to her, were more than ordinarils tender. And when in her delirimm she reverted tn the time when our positions were reversed, and when by weeks and months of arduous heroism she saved my life by constant musing-upon my word it wan mbearable. ${ }^{1}$ The blank which her death has created in our small family is very distressing. She alwas: used to be so prond of my worl that I feel that half the pleasure of working will now be gone-but 1 du not know why I an roming on like this. (Of course it will give me every plensure to go to Down before leaving for Scotland. If you have no preference
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about time, I suppose it would be best to go when you retum home in May, as the onions might possibly be then ready for grafting. Unless, therefore, I hear from you to the contraty, I shall write again somm time between the middle and end of May.

Then came a pecond appearance at the British Asocociation. Mr. Tomanes was asked to deliver one of the evening lectures at the meeting of 1878 , which took place at Dublin.

The subject was amimal intelligence, and seems to have excited agood deal of attention. The following letters relate to the leeture and to his book on Animal Intelligence:
> 'to ('. Intruin. İsq.

- 18 Comwall 'Territer, licmont's Park, N.W', June 18.

Verymany thanks for yonr permission to use yomr observations, ats well as for the additional information which sou have supplied. If all the !!anuseript chapter on instinct is of the same quality as the enclosed portion, it must be very valuable. Time will prevent me from treating rery fully of instinct in my lecture, but when I come to write the book for the International Sience Series on ('omparative Psychology, I shall try to say all that I can on instinct. Your letter, therefore, induces me to sily that I hepe your notes will be published somewhere before my book comes ont (i.e. within a year or so), or, if you have no intention of publishing the notes, that you would, as you say, tet me read the mannscript, as the references, do., would be much more important for the purposes of the book
than for those of the lecture. But, of course, I should not ash to publish your work in my book, menless you have no intention of publishing it yourself. I do not know why you have kept it so long unpublished, and your laving offered me the manuscript for preparing my lecture makes me think that you might not object to lending it me for preparing my book. But please moderstand that I only think this on the sup. position that, from its unsuitable length, isolated character, or other reason, you do not see your way to publishing the chapter yourself.

> F'rom C. Darwin, L'sı.

Down: June ! 9.
My dear Romanes, - You are quite welemes to havemy longer chapter on instinct. It was abstacted for the Origin. I have never bad time to work it us in a state fit for publication, and it is so much more interesting to observe than to wite. It is rery mlikely that I should ever find time to prepare my several long chapters for publication, as the materal collected since the publication of the Origin has been so emormous. But I have sometimes thought that when incapacitated for observing, I would looks ower my mannseripts, and see whether any deserved publi(ation. You are, therefore, heartily welcome to use it, al whom you desire to do so at any time, inform mo wiod it shall be sent.

> Yours very sincerely,
> C'mables Dablin.
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From G. J. Romanes to C. Darwin, Ess

18 Cornwall Terrace: Jime $21,1878$.
I am of course very glad to hear that you have no objection to letting me have the benefit of consulting your notes.

Most observers are in a frantic hurry to publish their work, but what you say about your own feeling; seems to ane very characteristic. Like the bees, you ought to have some one to take the honey, when you make it to give to the world-not, however, that I want to play the part of a thieving wasp. I will send you my manuscript about instinct (or the proofs when out), and you can strike out anything that you would rather publish yourself.

I shall not be able to begin my book till after the jelly-fish season is over. I'his will be in September or October ; but I will let you know when 1 want to iead up about instinct.

With very many thanks, I remain, fours very sincerely and most respectfully,

Geo. J. Romanes.

The Palace, Dublin: Augnst 17, 1878.
Your letter and enclosure about the geese arrived the day after I left J)unskaith, but have been forwarded here, which accounts for my delay in answering, for I only arrived in Dublin a few days ago.

I an sorry to hear about the onions, and can only quote the beatitude which is particularly upplicable to a worker in science, Blessed is he that expecteth nothing, for he shall not be disappointed.

But I ann still more sorry to hear of your ferling knocked up. I meet your son here, who tells mes about you.

Yesterday was the evening of my big lecture, and I send you a copy as well as a newspaper accomut. (The latter was in type before delivery, and so mo 'applanses, ('心. are put in.) 'The thing was a most ehomons success, far surpassing my utmost expectations. I had a momber of jokes which do not appear in the printed lecture, and I never saw an andiener hangh so much. 'The applanse also was really extraordinary, expecially at some phaces, ad most of all at the mention of fom mame at the grand fimele. Lu fact, it was here tremendons, and a most impres. sive sight to see such a multitude of people so enthmsiastic. I expereted an motburst, but the loud and lomerontioned cherime heat amything that ever 1 leard befone. I do mot kiow whether your son wis there, but if so he will tell yon.

Hooker, Huxley, Allen, and sir WV. Thomsom. Flower, 1). Galton, atal a lot of other good mon were present, and had mothing but praise to give, (aptain (aalton going so far ats to saty that it was the mont successful lecture he had ever heard. So 1 am quite conceited.

> Ever your devoted worshipper, (iso. J. Ronases.
From ('. Damin, Esw.

August 20, 1875.
My dear Romanes, - I am most heartily ghad that your lecturn (just received and read) has been sin
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eminently successful. You have indeed passed a most magnificent anogimu on me, and I wonder that you were not afraid of hearing ' Oh! oh!' or some other sign of disapprobation. Many persons think that what I have done in science has been much overrated, and I very often think so myself; but my comfort is that I have never conseiously done anything to gain applatuse. Enough and too much about my dear self. The sole fanlt that I find with your lecture is that it is too short, and this is a rare fanlt. It strikes me as admirably clear and interestmg. I meant to have remonstrated that you had not discussed sufficiently the necessity of sighe, for the formation of abstract ideas of any (omplesity. and then 1 came on to the disconssion on deaf mutes. This latter seems to me one of the richest of all the mines, and is worth workinig carefully for years and very deeply. I should like to read whole chapters on this one head, and others on the minds of the higher idiots. Nothing can be better, ats it seems to me, than your several lines or sources of evidence, and the mamer in which you hase arranged the whole subject. Your book will assuredly be worth years of hard labour, and stick to your subject. By the way, I was pleased at your discossing the selection of varying instincts or mental tendencies, for 1 have often been disappointed by no one ever hasing noticed this notion.

T have just finished La Paydhologio. soll prisent et sum menir, 1si 6 , by Delbouf (a mathematician and physicist of Belgimm), in about one hundred pares; it has interested me a grood deal, but why I
hardly know; it is rather like Herbert spencer ; if you do not know it, and would care to see it, send me a post-card.

Thank Heaven we return home on Thursday, and I shall be able to gro on with my humdrum work. and that makes me forget my daily discomfort.

Have you ever thought of keeping a jomns monkey, ${ }^{1}$ so as to observe its mind? At a honse where we have been staying there were Sir A. and Lady Hobhouse, not long ago returned from India. and she and he kept three young monkeys, and told me some comious particulars. One was that the monkey was very fond of looking through her eyeglass at objects, and moved the ghass nearer and further so as to vary the focus. This struck me, an Frank's son, nearly two years old (and we think much of ins intellect!), is very fond of lookin! throngh my porket lens, and I have quite in vain endeavomred to teach him not to put the glass close down on the object, but he will always do so. Therefore I conclude that a child just under two years is inferior in intellect to a monkey.

Once again I heartily congratulate you on your well-earned present and I feel assured grand future success.

> Yours very truly, Ch. DARwis.
P.S. 28th.-Cim you spare time to come down here any day this week, except Saturday, to dine and
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sleep here? We should be very glad indeed if you can come. If so, I would suggest your leaving Charing Cross by the 4.12 train, and we would send a carriage to Orpington to meet you, and send you back next moming. In this case let us have a line fixing your day. It will be dull for you, for none of my sons except Frank are at home.

The extraordinary modesty, the absolute simplicity, the fatherly kindness, which breathe in this letter, cannot but give some idea of what Mr. Darwin was and why he was so much loved.

$$
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My dear Mr. Darwin,-I only retumed here yesterday and found your letter awaiting me.

Your letter has made me as proud as Punch, and as you have such a grood opinion of the line of work, I think I shall adopt your plan of working up the whject well before I publish the book. The greatest difticulty I had in writing the lecture was to make it short enom!gh, but it will be splenelid to be able to spread oneself over the whole subject in a book. I was at one time in doubt whether it would be better to spend time over this subject or orer something mone purely physiological, but of late! had begun to incline towards the former, and your opinion has now settled mine.

I have not previously heard of the book by the Belgian physicist, and should murch like to read it. I have ahready such a number of sour books that I fear youmust sometimes miss them: but I cim retumany of them at a minute's notice.

I had thonght of keeping a monkey and teaching its young ideas how to shoot, and wrote to Frank Bucklaud for his advice as to the best kind to set. but he has never answered my letter. The cane about the lens is a capital one.

I have such a host of letters to answer, which have accomulated during my absence, that I munt make this a short one. Your 'congratulations "are of more value to me than any of the others, and I thank you for them much.

Ever your devoted disciple, (ibo. J. Ronases.
P.S.-Science is not a world where a man need trouble himself about getting more credit than is due.

## From (\%. Darrin.

Hown: Sept. 2, 1878.
My dear Romanes, - Many thanks for your letter. I am delighted to hear that you 峝ean to work the comparative psychology well. I thonght your letter to the 'limes' very grood indeed. Bartlett, at the Zoological Gardens, I feel sure, would advise you infinitely better about hardiness, intellect, price, de., of monkeys than $F$. Buckland, but with him it must be viva coce.

Frank says you ought to keep an idiot, a deaf mute, a monkey, and a baby in your house !

Ever yours sincerely, (h. Darmin.

In ac sophical his Bum between more str which me of his de chastene was a r emotion, by Chris felt, like bility of

Jumbiaith, Russohire, N.P: Sept. 10, 1878.
My dear Mr. Darwin, Hasing hecon away for a weeks deer-stalking in the hills. I have only to-dity received your letter together with the book. Thank you very much for both, and also for the hints about Eipinas and Bartlett. I ant whad yon thought well of the letter to the 'Times.' 1 a a book I shall be able to make more evident what I mean.

Frank's idea of 'a happy family' is a very grood ome: but I think my mother would becrin to wish that my serentifie inguiries had taken some other direction.

The baby too, I fear, would stand a poor chance of showing itself the fittest in the strugerle for existrince.

I am now going to write my concluding paper on Medusae, also to try some experiments on lmminosity of marine animals.

Weer sincerely and most respertfully yours,

Gro. J. Romandes.

In addition to other sicientific and purely philosophical work, Mr. Romanes had, even while writing his Burney Prize, entered on that period of conflict between faith and scepticism which grew more and more strennous, more painful, as the years went on, which never really ceased until within a few weeks of his death, and which was destined to end in a chastened, a purified, and a victorious faith. 'His was a religious nature, keenly alive to religious emotion, profoundly influenced by Christian ideals, by Christian modes of thonght. As time went on he felt, like all philosophically minded men, the impossibility of a purely materialistic position, and as he
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pondered on the final, ultimate mysteries, on' (God. [mmortalits, Duty;' he arrived very slowly, vers painfully, hat vory surely, at the Christian position.

But these years were, to him and to many, years of peconliar and of extraordinary difficulty. Ronghly speaking, the time between 1860 and 1880 was a the of great perplexity to those who wished to adhere to the faith of Christendom.

It is impossible to exagrerate the influence which Mr. Darwin's great work has had on every department of science, of literature, and also of art. 'I'lirty-six years have passed away sine the publication of the 'Origin of Species,' and we have lived to see that again tempora mutrantur, nos of mutammin in illis. Now we see that a man can fully accept the doctrine of evolution, and yet cam also believe in a persomal God and in the doctrines which logicilly follow on such a belief. But it was not so at first. To many on both sides the new teaching semed to threaten destruction to Theism, at least to Theism as molerstood either by Newman or by Martinean.

Again, in philosophy Herbert Spencer seemed to many to have constructed a lasting system of philosophy, a systell sufficient to account for all things in heaven, in carth, and under the earth. And Gemman criticism seemed to many to be rapidly destroying the aredibility of the early docmments of Christianit:

Many a noble soul made shipwreck of its faith. nor is this disaster wonderful. For popular theology had made many mwise, many matenable clains, and the gromed had to be cleared before the battle cond be fought out on its real issues. There were some who, amidst all the strife of tongues, kept their homds, remembered bygone storms, and did not lose their courage, their whole-heartedness, but they were few.
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and were not over much heard or heeded.' For the mest part, these on the C'hristian side adopted the line taken by the Bishop of (Oxford in his review of Mr. Darwins - Origin of Specers's in the ' Quarterly Roview; and in his famons speech at ()xford during the British Association of 1skio.
(ertainly the outlook now is more eneombaning than it was twenty years ago.

It has been well and eloguently said by one than Whom none is more qualitied to speak on this subjeet: * 'It is puite certain that this secientific obstacle has beron, in the main, remomed. In part, it has been through the theologians aimuloning false claims, and leming, if somewhat mwillingly, that they have no "Bible revelation" in matters of serence ; in part, it hats been through its becoming contimally more apparent, that the limits of scientific "explanation" of nature are soon reached; that the ultimate canses, foreces, conditions of matme are as mexplatined as (ever, or rather postulate as are for the ir explamation a Divine mind. Thas, if one "argment from design" Was destroyed, another was only bronght into prosminture. No account which science can give, by discovery or conjecture, of the mothor of areation, ran wor weaken the argmont which lies from the miversality of law, order, and beanty in the miverse to the miversality of mind. The mind of man looks forth into mature, and timbs nowhere mintelligible rhance, but everywhere all urder, a system, a law, a beanty, which eorresponds, as incater to less, to his own rational and spiritnal intuitions, methods, and expertations. Universal order, interligibility, beanty, man that something akin to the hmman spirit, something of which the hmman spirit is an offshoot

[^7]and a reflection, is in the miverse before it is in milli.
'(Or, again, a prolonged period of controversy and reflection has resinted in making it fainly apparent that mo seicntific doctrine or conjecture about the dime migins of the spiritual life of man (xan affect the argment from its development and persistemee. It has developed and persisted, as one of the most prominent features of hmman life, soldy on the postulate of (iod. And is it not ont of analogy with all that seionce taches us to imagine that so important, contimons, and miversal a development of hmman faculty could have arisen and persisted moness it were in correspondence with reality?

- In fact we may almost say that the obstacles to beliaf on tha side of sidence were gone when onee it
 mature and ours, and made this revelation in part through an historical process and in the literature of a mation, has yet, and for obvions reasons, wiven us no revelation at all on matters which fall within the domain of scomentic researeh.
'A similar removal of obstacles must be dained in the werion of historical criticism. There, agim, it has become apparent that. whatever torns out true about this or that Old 'Testament marative, mo question really vital to the Christian religion ain be said to be at stake in this field; while in the region of the New 'lestanent the most sifting aritieism has had a result emphatically reassuring. The ritical evidence justifies, or more than justifies, the beliof of the ' 'hureh which is expressed in her Creeds.'

But this has been a hard-wom fight for most-

> 'Friends, companions, mad tain The avaliuche swept from our sidu,"
a ald ano folt the strain, the positive agony of soml,


1sis
in 品" by sto in his it scom reluretat agnosti wrote a A CA necodles: with by Raligion markerd nesss, al sharply in the $($

His
lines, : such ed
'limitati mictaphy to orers

Ther set in Perhaps Rede

Yot : the 'C'm ing and

[^8]* And forasmach as I an fir from beine able to noree with those who athim that the twilight doctrine of the bew finth' is a desirable substitute for the waning splemdome of 'the old,' 1 an not ashamed to confess that with this virtual negntion of (iod the mivarse to me has lost its sonl of loveliness ; mat athomen from henceforth the preept 10 a work whitr it is dny' will donbtless but rain man intensified foree from the: thribly intensified memingre of the words that * the night cometh when no man cin work,' yet when at timen I think, us think at times ! monst, of the "ppalling eontrast hetween the hallowed ghory of that creed which

Thare are many who abandon belief for varions reasons, and who in various methods stifle regret and call in stroicism to their aid. 'There are those who really was very little about the 'ultimate problens.' and who find the wotd of sense quite emongh to orcupy them. And there are sonls whoseem to be emo stantly orying ont in their darkness for light, the bur-

 These lasthave within them the rapacity for holineson. the caparity for a real and tremendonspower to witnens for the truth, to do and to suffer fire cansin lei. Ti, this class (ieonge lomanes belonged. Bynatmrehe wan decoly and traly religions, and interested and absorbed as he was 10 s.ience, it is no exargeration to say he wan just as keenly interested in theology, that is to sin, in the deepest and ultimate problems of theolog. By the questions which divide Christians he was not graty attracted, and he never conld see any reanom for the bitterness which exists between e.!. Romman and Anglican.

This is anticipating. In 1878 he had tomehed the vory depths of scepticism, and he would have rejected the iden of a peossibility of retum, and would have rejected it in terms of immeasured regret.

> A letter from Mr. Jarwin is interesting.
once was mine, and the lonely mstery of existene ne now I find it, at such times I slall ever feel it inpossible tomoid the sharpest pang of which my natmer is suserptible. For whether it be due to my intelligene not beind sulticiemts andmeed to mect the reguirements of the and on whether it be dur to the memory of these sacred associations which th me at kast were the swertest that life has given, I camot but feel that for me, and for others who think as I do, there is udradful trath in th... words of Hmmiton, philosophy having becone a meditation not merdy: of death but of amihilation, the precept linow thyself lans become trann forned into the terrific orncle to didipms-

- Mayest thon ne'er know the truth of what thou art.'


## 1)

My dear Romames, - I am murh pleased to send my photograph to the future Mrs. Romanes.

I have read your amomyoms book-some patts twireose-with very meat interest ; it seems admirabls: and here and there very elopuently witten, but from mot maderstanding metaphysical terms I could mot alwas follow you. For the sake of outsiders, if there is mother edition, could yom make it clear what is the differenere betwern treating at suljeet mader a 'seirntific.' 'logical.' 'symbelical,' and 'formal' peint of views or mammer? With regard to yomr areat leading idea, I shonld like sometimes to hear from som verbally (for to answer would be too long for letters) what fon womld say if a theologian addressed you as follows:

I grant you the attraction of gravit. persistenew of force (or conservation of energy), and ome kind of matter, thongh the latter is mimmense admission ; but I maintain that (iod must have given surf attributes to this forere, independently of its persistfree, that moder certain conditions it develops or whages into light, heat, electricitr., salvanism, perhajs weon life.

- Kom (amot powe that fore (which physicists define as that which (allises motion) womld mevitably thas ehange its character mader the above conditions. Again I maintain that mattere, though it may in the future be ctemal, was reated by (iod with the most marvollons aftinitios, lading to romplex definite compomads and with polatities leading to beantiful

 have moriorlat to say that foll have" demonnstrated" that all mataral laws meressarily follow fronn spatit: the persisterner of foree, and existemer of mattere. If foll say that molndoms matter existed aborigimally and from eternit! with all its present romplex peowros in a peratatial state, woll sern to me to berg the whole. fulestionl.'

Jlease ohserve it is not $I$, but a theologian who has thus addressed fon, but I rould mot answrer him. In gome present ‘idiotir" state of mind, yon will wish me at the davil for botheriner gon. Yomos very sincerely, (11. J) AimiN.

M. dran Mr. Jarwin, - Many thanks fon fanf portrait-mot omly from myself but also from the 'fature Mrs. lesmanters.'

I am shad that yon thank well of the literary stote of the book on 'larisme. As regrards the remantis of the supposed tho olorian, I have no dombt that be is entitled to them. 'J'he only question is whether I havr beron suceressinl in making ont that rall matumal cases must reasomably be supposed to follow from the comservation of emeroy. If so, as thr transmutations
 in acrondance with law, and as the phemomenema of polarity in crystals de. do the same, it follows that neither these mof any othere reass of phenomment

[^9]:fforit : Clan firn il (i) 16,1 whethe And if still sen ()f from 1. 1m":all horical prow millunt laws at that fol is reani last of ultima, may (on HIEP of trife wi that th But be fact of (2):(II) meredy adser in
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moner
whrl nse in of inte
afforl ans better evidrare of lority than do ans wher
 from the persisterner of forere, tha furestion of beity

 And if wresay it is rarated, the fare of self-existencer still reguimes to be mot in the ('reatore.
()f eromer it may lof (lanial that all latrs do follow from the persistobrer of forere. And this is what I mann by thr distinrtion brewern at selentifir and at

 manment, so that if from this assmmption all matmral laws and proceroses ambit. of brimer derlurerd, it follows that for a scientifir (osimolory no further assumption is required; all the phemenmenta of Natore rerevie their lant or ultimate serontifir explamation in this the most ultimate of seientifir hypotheses. lunt now logic may come in and say, 'This hepothesis of the persist-
 trare within the range of seirnere (i.e. reperience), so that thas far it is mot only an lospothersis lout a faret. Bat before logire canl ronsent to allow this ultimate fart of seionare to be matre the nitimate basis of all e:ommelogit. I most be shomon that it is matimate, mot
 adso in it selase absolute to all else.
lint the meme I think abont the whole thing the
 wherl gon were hare, and that, there is abont as much nsw in tryiner to illmminate the sulojert with the light of intelleret as there womld bre in trying to illmminate
the midnisht aky with a camdle. I intend, therefores. to drop it, and to take the adviere of the peret. Pe lieve it mot, regret it met, but wait it ont, () Man.
(i.J. It.

1 retam the papers. having taken dosm the ra forences. 'Jhe bows I shatl retum when reald. mit


## （＇HAP＇IEK \｜

1．ハリハバ，トロー！：－！！

 whom her had met．att the homse of here romsin and
 Fifonhere．

From 1s79 to La！n Mr．Romames resided in ls （bmand Therare，whirh his mother give up to hime， and these elecern vears were premagn the brightest and mont fruitful of his life．

It is difficult to mive ans just iflea of the extrome happiness and pleasantuess of the home life and of ontwarl rimemmstanes；；happiness which omly seremed in farpase as yeats went on．Ha wrew more berysh，
 fine mijesumbt，for frimalship，for happiness of the bent，and purest kind．
 mation for seeving the kind hre liked best，the ereann of the intelleremal world of Lomblomerand perthaps ome




 in Amimals，＇＇Mental Vivolntion in Man，＇＇Itlly－F＇ish and Star－F＂ish，＇＇loarwin and aftallarwin，＇．Sin Exa－


 and in! his wwn laboratory in fertland, and it sumex sion of impertant anticles in reviexs, rhiretly the



It womld be quite absurd to dense that. Nr. Lommanes liked a fair and free dight, and theme Was at

 imported into private life ans gharmel in print. In hard plenty of stilf tights, rhiefly with Mr. 'ThiseltonHyor, Professon I ankester, and Mr. Wallarer. but the first two were alwass his friends, and with the latter ho hatl a very slight arognaintaner. The following latter, thomorh it belomgs to a later date, will show his ferelings on the subject of controversy :

Christ Church, oxford.
Dear l'rofesosor Meldola,-I trast that ona differ-raness-and disetforements-as presented in • Natnre. will not distarb onf relations in private. Andhow, I send the inrlosed cirrolar, which I am addressing to Fanglish biologists, and hoper yon will testify to yom desime for • facts by signing the memorial.

Yours trul!

> (:1:0. J. Liomasi:-

He lecenred agood deal in provine ial towns. and gave several Friday ereming diseonmes at the howal Institution. Leretiming, evemin dassof failing health. Was always a pleasme, mever a burden to him. The following lotter is a moek trimmphant description of a lecture in (ilasgow, written pinely to ammo hin wife, and provoke some mock depreciatery rematis.


 mareh the best thing in the was of leretmring that I
 were not there．

Foirst of all we had a dimmer wiven by my host in

 of all big swrells，Sir W＂．＇Thomsom．：
＇Iher dimmer was to me hiohly interestingr，at I tatled nearly all the time to sir William，whe is a wonderfol psychological studs．

We then went to the leetore，where Sir Williann wok the chair，and introdneed mo to the andienore with such a glowing omation that it would has startled yon．（It quite astomisheel me．）＇I＇he arr－ dienere being thas led to supperse that I was onar of the brightest of all bright lighto．reeroived mer very
 Wram along in the most manniticent styla erell fir 1ne，which，foll komo，is the highest pratise I ranl
 at the end the people applionded so，I felt really awfolly sorry foll were mot there．＇There serems tober a cruel fate proventing yon from witnessimg my per－ fonmancors．

The vote of thanks was properacel by Professor MrFembliek．I was met by another stomm of ap－


[^10]a．frow words with all broonning hamility，and the Sir Willianm stmmmed up．

Mrofe is an affertiomate onthorst to his mothes writtal alonot this timm：

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Hy mother.' }
\end{aligned}
$$






 am oflall you are mily mothor．



 ｜a••t．111～．＇





 t．0 thr L immean Soreirty on indirations of stmell in Areinia，and it is erratily for forared．surd is the frimolit！of literary men，that Mr．Pollork romathat thre whole affair as a vere goorel jokre






T＇，m siens mat！ （．6）性：1imb that：his． from Sur！ nen irekn Aぃクดか， whaticons that，hain 1．एoll 1 molewilat in il fill जalsimg th dialut．t．o． ＋$h_{1}$ • ult．inn
 ，मीlla．
als ：hinus than il 1 trime of lomat hats （misahla： （川小性い日
 alul 1.110 ancrin！：I 1

By this pest I return boll Hiarkid's maty , wh

 menarn it at any particular time.


 that hin Plastidnlas. differ in anderthay but, in namm.

 Ambus, the therovim bering the salme, the semme



 an a flll "xphallation of heredite. ser.ome t.0 ma like





 Whan a motatment of the mestery of heredity in








Hiarkal) is beset, viz. that atavism sometimes oram
 What remains of the original 'stem-vibrations' after their charactars have been suceessively modified at fach 'bifurcation.' But it would be tedions to fater into details. I'erigemesis, in my opinion, is 'mere: simple than P'angenesis, only becanse its terms are st) murlh more general.
P.S.—I forgot to tell yon, when we were at lomeh. that the seed of the grafted beets is ready for sowing: alse that the vine is now fome feet high, and so, I should think, might be grafted next spring.

Ionwn: Febrmary 8, 18*0.
I will keep your diagram for a few days, but I find it very diffienlt, now to think wher new subject. so that it is mot likely that I shall be able to sent any criticisms ; but yon may rely on it that 1 will dn my best.

I am oflat you like Ginthries book. If you care to read a little book on pure instinct, set frabre, 'Sombenirs Entomologiques,' 187!. It is really admirable, and wery good on the sense of direction in inserts. I have sent him some sugerestions such as rotating the inserets, but i do mot linow whether he will try iherli.
rours sary sincorely,
('mantas D).nawis.

[^11]

Fibruars 6; 18s0.
I have to thank fon vory manch for yom two
 I now return. 'The latter consery exartly the ratirimathat I shonld have (expereted from——, for while Writing my assay on Thainm I hatl sevoral eontversations with him upon the shbjert of Surenceres whituss, and so linow exatotly what he thinks of them. Jint in nome of these eonserpations ronld I wet at anything more definite than is ronvered by the
 fre make it rear to me that Sipencer wat wrong and the only resint of omr eonsersations wats to show me that in_opiniom it was omly my iornomane of mathematios that frevented me from soring that Mr. Spernery is merely as word philasopher'. Ipon which

 they alome of men are conmpertent to think about the farts of thr eosmos. And this refleretion beroomes still mome startlang when emplomanted by another, si\% that althongh one may not kow any mathemat tics, everybody knows what mathomatios are: they
 - moh, me is at a loss to promerive why they shomld be ") (womtially nereossary to mable a man to think farly and well upon other subjects. But it is, as you Were said, that when a mann is to bre killed by the wome mathomatical, ho mast mot has the satisfate-
tion of exen knowing how he is killed. (of comme, in a general way I gnite moderstand and adree with ——that spencer has done but little service to science. Bat I beliese that he has done areat service to thinking, and all the mathematicians in the: world would mot convine me to the rontrars, ewn though they should all drixar their judgment with the magnificent anthority of a -. .
 t.e you for your sugesestions. The 'Deserent of Mant' with all its reforemees mion the subjeret, and all romr paper on the "Pabses, were read, and the monde embodied in the diagram, so 1 and vere indat fon did mot take the needlass trondle of eomsulting than works. B! 'Lave' $[$ intiad to denote the comples amotion (dependent on the representatio faroltiow which, having been so lately smitten myself, I and perhaps inclined to placer in too exalted a position. But youd did mot observe that I placed 'Parental Sffer


In my essay I carefally repplain the two (:asm of brosera and Dionara an bring the best hitherte observed for my purpose in astablishime ther prinriple of discrimination among stimmli, as a principle displayed by nom-noweons tisulles.

April $2: 2,1$ ant.
As soon as T recocived four tirst intimation abment Achacidra's book I wrote oser for it, and recerived: eopge some werks ago. I then lent it to Sully, who wanted to read it, so do bot, pet koow what it is worth. I, tegether with mes wife-whereads limern much more quickly than lath—am now rngated

11proll all willen ! H5V lios: will afte. and. last formo il $1 w r l$ H. : alls - Anthre, rul 11 (1)
pleasant thing nev hase the Thins, fol :nlyject o thomeght pirit.s or -xarcise milderen alpoady is ston!!

Iy " that ther which ha: that hain

IIrs perscolit,
upen all the French beoks on ammal intellidence which sou kindly lent me. I ann also preparing for my leosal Institution leeture on the Th of May. I will :ufterwards publish it in somu of the magrazines, amd. liest of all, in atn expanded and more detaled form, it will go intomy book on Animal Intellisenoere. 1 went to see —— the other day on Spiritualism. He answered privately a letter that I wrote to - Sature', signed 'RF.R.S., which was a ferler for ranr material to investirate. I had never spoken to - before, but althomeh I passed a very pleatant afternoon with him, I did mot learn anythimg new about Spiritualism. He seemed to me to hase the facolty of deghtition ton well developerd. Thus, for instance, he seemed rather (fueer on the subjert of astrology ! and when I asked whether he thonght it worthy of eommon semse to imacine that, firits of me spirits, the combunctions of planets comld -x.erise any callsative influenee on the destinies of Wilden bom moler them, he mawered that haviner almany'swallowed so mach,' he did mot know where to stor! !

My wife and baby are both fombishing. I motired that the latter, at four days old, could always tell which hand I tomehed, inelininir its hearl towards that hamd.

Siphermber il, isko.
 promit of grame $I$ have not tastred blark-game for
 father-in-law's land in Stalfordshire.

I hoper that yon are woll and stronge and do mot
 lomamars, if fon turn idle, I shall say it is hom fanl. and being an whe man, shall seold hor. lont fog have done too splendid work to tome idle, so I med

 Yon refer to sonne Voological station on your romi. and I now remember seedng something abont it, and that mone money was wanterl for apparatns, therm fore I send a cherpue of $5 /$. 5s. just to show mas groodwill.

Gours very sincerely,

We went to the Lakes for three werks to Comin tom, and the seenery gave me more pleasure than 1 thought my soml, or whatever remams of it. Wan rapable of feeling. Wo saw linskin seremal timo. and he was meommonly pleasant.

## 

1s Cornwall Terace: Xosember 18, 1 -8\%.
Vory may thanks for yomr kime assistance am expresisons of apporal. It was stmpiol of me to for
 ghite well remembere rading it, whell it eame ont.

Forke'sbonk is just the bery thing I wanterl. in it supplies such at complete history of the sulha.e.t. I

fow day ant to sketelo :1 1 hin phasiols. The me: merphols (atremal t(1) (0)-(1) whilderf tallkine and thin that this land ther ctablish animal is

1 wits thit I hat and also hard seetur ing comoli that heep approbern
( 111 ll it Ni.wera tirn. and tased w
 Win (1)11 m Wher of
fow days to refor to when the prow which I have ant to press shall be retmoned with my historical slisetrlo added.

I hase now nearly finished my paper wh the phasiologe of the locomoter ssisem in Erhinoterms. The most important resinlt in it is the proef, both mophological and physiolowiral. of a merons plexus, atrmal to exergthing. Whirh in lechinns serves
 whalerfal mamer. By the way, I remember one talking with for abont the fune tion of the latter, and thinking it mysterions. There is no dombt mow that this fonction is to sori\%e bits of seatwerd, and hold them strady till the shelime foet hase time to stablish their adhesions, so assisting loremotion of animal when arawling abont satwed-rovered rocks.

I was somy to hear on mereturn from Soothand that I had missed the pleasime of a call from yon. and also to hear from Mr. Treestiale to-day that yon had returned to lown, wing, he feats, to the alaming eondition of Miss Wedgwood. I trast, however, that her state of health may bot be se serions as he appromemes.
(O) my wise Gonth I stalyed for a conple of days
 timb and hemen my absence when son called. I

 Win (1) my side, in the matem of wiving us a rlean Af fre observing, mather at rate thing at Neweatle.

Yon will be grlat to hear that our seasomis wank at the ' Zowlomical station' hats heen wery surerosful.

 Ferhinoderms, he taking the morpholegical and I the physiological part. When next I see yon I shall tedl you the principal perints, but to do so in a lettep wonld be tedions.

I think it is probable that Mivart and I shall have a magazine bettele some day on Mental livelntiom, as I think it is bettor to draw him in this was before finally disernssing the whole subjeet in my book.

I ann grieved to hear from Mr. Teesclale that hin feass were only ton well fommded. Althongh 1 had not myself the privilage of Miss Wedgwoed's ace quaintance, I know, from what I have been told bs these who had, how greatly gour household mast fow her loss.

I shonld mot, however, have written only to tromble you with expressions of sympathy. I desire to ark you one or two questions with reference to an article on Hybridism which I have written for the 'Enerclopedia Britamica, ' and the comered proof of whim I semel. It is in rhicf part an epiteme of yome own whapters upon the subjecet, and therefore yom med arit tronble to read the whole, moless yon rare to ser whether I have been sulliciently cloar and acernmate. But there are two points on which I should like ow have jour opinion, beth for my own benefit and fin

The will tor-1 1 may 1 buthered 1 will se rewedual haser me, Thble of murn aly ("all ; for -uljerets, - Hewnstir - Hhjeret

Kïln, the mosis
that of my readrer:. First, I thin! it is desirable to append a list of the mone important works bearing upen the smbert, and if I maker surh a list I should met like to trust to my own infommation, lest I should do matiting injustiee to somf olsoeving writers. If,
 jot down from memory the works you think most deserving of mentim, I think it would be of benefit (t) the reading pultire.

1own: November 14, 14x9.
My drar Pomanos, Wany thanks for your kind -rmpathy. Wy wife's sister was, I fully belicere, as grod and gencrons a woman as exer walked this (anth.

The proof-sheets have mot arrived, but probably will to-morrow. I shall like to read them, thouch I may mot be able to do so rerty guickly, as I am bothered with a heap of little jolos whichmost be done. I will semd by to-day's pest a large book by Fookit, reverived a werk or two aro, on Hybrids, and which I have mot had time to look at, but which I see in Table of Contents includes full history of smbject and mucha rese besides. It will aid you far bettor than I "an; for I have mow been so lonig attending to other - wiberes, and with old age, I fear I romld make mo - Westions worth anything. Fornorly I knew the -ubjeret well.

Liänenter, (iartner, and Herbert are ererainly far the most trustworthy authorities. There was also a
(irrman, Whase mamre I mention in ' ()rigim,' wha wrote on Ilybrid Willows. Nimdin, whor is ofter. quoterl, I hatre marel, less eonfidenere in. liy the was. Näncli (whom many thank the greatent botaniot in (aromany) wrote a few years ago on Hybridism: I ramaot remomber title, but I will hanat for it if bun wish. The title will be sure to be in loorke.

I quite agree with what you say alonat Passitlonat Herbert olsorved an analogens case in (finmon.

Novemuber 1.i, 1mal.
I hate just read your artirle. Is far as my jula mont gres it is rexellent and eromld not be improved. Yom have skimmed the rexam off the whole subjere It is also very clear. (one or two sentenees near the bersimming somen rather ton strong, as I have marken wî̂h perreil, without attending tostyle. I have marly. once of two small suggestions. If youll ram find mat acrommt in ' Xature' (last smmmer I think) abrom the hyrbid ('hinese erese beiner fortile inter se it would be worth adding, and womld regnire only two or three lines. I do not suppose you wish to add. but in my paper on Lythrma, and I think requoted in 'Var. moder Jom.' vol. ii. Sond edit. bottom in page Ifit, I hava a good sentence abont. a man finding two vars. of lythrmm, and testing them bs fortinity, and roming to reregionsly womg romednsion.

I thank your iolea of reforence to best bookis and short history of smbject goond. By the way, you has. made me quite prond of my chapter on Mybidism, I

[^12]



I have had a home and fommed ms litto articio on （ivene，which please hereafter mothrn．

> Froml (i. J. limmanos tor I Inarmill, list.

In Cornwall Terrace，Hegent＇s lark，N．W．：December 10，1stio．
I petam by this post the book on Hybridism， with many thanks．It has been of ergeat nive to me in riving an alsistract of the history．

I have read your own book with an amonnt of pleathre that I（：ammet express：

One ideat ocomred to ne with reference to lani－ mons stimmation，whirh，if it has mot aheatly oecoured （1）gon，womld be well worth trying．The sugsestion megests itself．How abont the period of latent stima－ lation in these non－nervons and yet imitable tissues： And esperebally with reference to lmminons stimmlation it womld be most interesting to ascertain whether the thosues are affected by brief flashes of light．If you lad an apparatas to sive bright electrical sparks in a datk roon，and were to expose one of gome plants to Hashes of timed intervals between each other，you might asecrtain，first，whether（1m！m mmber of sparks in＂m！length of time womld afferet the julatsis at all ； and second，if so，what mmmber in a given time．I thonlel not wonder（from sonne of my experinents on Malusar，see＇Jhil．＇Trans．＇vol．（dxvii．pt．ii．pp．（98：3－1） if it would turn ont that a continuons mantermpted reties of sparks，however bright，would produce no
rffere at all，wwiner to thre plant tisisurs beriner torn sha．．．号ish to admat of being afferted by a sureression of
 were prodnced，it would still be interestiner tor matio out whethor this intermpted somere of thashinge liorhe
 sonure of the semofe intensity．

Very sineerely and most respretfally yonts， （isor，J，liomanis．

Limean Society，Phrlington Itonse，liecadills，Lambon，W：：
1）ecembrar 11，14M（I）．
My dear Mr．Darwin，－－I am orfall that gon thimb： the experiment worth trying．As gon say youl has mot got the reguisite apparatus for tryine it，I harw written to Professer＇Jymdall to sere if he wond allow it to be carried throurh at the Reyal Jnstitution．

If I had known you were in town I shondd have called to tedl you abont the Eechinoderms．Wy paper
 to eome here（Burlineton Howse）to read up s．rete matically all the literature I can find on amimal intelligence．Hence it is that，having left your lotem at home，and mot remembering the address upon it．I have to send this answer to bown．
—— is a lomatice bencath all eontempt－an object of pity were it not for his vein of malier．

Very sincerely and most resperefnlly yours，
（iloo．J．Rimmas．

$M_{y}$ dear Mr．Darwin，－－Jnst a line to let sun know that Professon＇I＇yndall has kindly placed at mos
do that

1 h churse affertion mintery met wit
 （1） le
$\mathrm{M}_{5}$, P＇mpili rend 101 my（ros （1） $1 \mathrm{I} \boldsymbol{1} \times \mathrm{l}$ imp地かった Mixinal pait of whigen phace，a
dioperal the apparatus required to condurt the expriment with tashing light.

Frank's papers at the limmean were, as bon will probably hasw heard from other soureres, a most brilliant surerss, as mot only was the attendance anmmonsly large and the interest great, but his exproition was a masterpiere of serientitio reaseming, Findmed with it chaice and flueney of langhate that weme really chaminis. I knew, of conses, that he is a wey clever fellow, but I did not know that he condd du that sort of thinif so well.

I have now wot a monkey. Sclater let mo: fhose one firm the Zors, and it is a very intelligent, affertionate little animal. I wanted to keep it in the musery for purpeses of comparison, but the properal met with so mich oppesition that I had to give ways. I an afraid to suggest the idliot, lest I should be toold to orempy the mursery myself.

Very sincerely and most respertfully yours, (imoo. J. Romanes.

Down, Beckemhan, Kent : Jimmary 24.
My dear liomans,-I have been thinking about Pempilins and its allies. Pleasse take the trentle to reat on' ' Derforation of the Corellaby liees, p. Aes of my ('ross Fertilisation to rand of ehapter. Bees show -1) mard intelligence in their acts, that it seemes not, impmotable to me that the premenitors of Pompilins misimally staber raterpillars and spidars, der., in any part of their bodies, and then observed ly thoir inwhigenee that if they stmer them in one partienlar phare, as betwem certain serments on the lower side,
their prey was at mere paralysed．It does mot sere： to mur at all ineredible that this action shomld than beromme instinetive，i．e．memory transmitted from mo．
 to suppese that when Pompilins stme its perey in the gatnglion that it intemeded on knew that the prey womld Jong kepp alive．＇The development of the latron may have beern subserpently modified in redation to them half－dearl instead of wholly dead prey，sumpenime that the prey was at first guite killed，whirh womb have reguited murly stimging．＇Furn this motion wer in your mind，but do mot trouble jommalf las answering．
Yours wery simedres,
（11．Dnimo．

X．B．Once om a time ：a fool said to himself that at an andicont perion small soft rabse whem reatures sturd to certain fishes；these strumend violontly，and in dsing so，discharged electricits． which ammosed the parasitese，so that they offor wrigerled away．＇The fish was very ergat，amd sham of its chiddren eradually prodited in a highor dewnex and in varions ways by dischatging mone elertratits and by mote struggeling．Ihe foel who thometht than persmaded another fool to try an on in seothand，and lo amd behold eleretricity was dischanged when it strugened violently．He then placed in romtare with the fish，or mear it，a small medusa of wther amimal which he deverly knew was semsitive to derdicites and when the eed strmoghed violently，the little mimal－ in contare showerl by their movements that they fett
a lixht shorels．Fiver afterwarls man said that the
 thlo．

S゙11．T1：。


I ham ord a lot of rats waitine for me at differemt
 mext werk shall sumpiss wir machman by making ：
 inte the rembtrys，and then let them ont of their rer
 in oflaer dimertions before finally tryine the rotation rxperiment．

1 ann alse getting the expreriment on flashimerg light asome．The first apparatus did mot answer，so mow I have insested in a large（ight－day cleck，the pern－ dulum of which I intend to make do the Hashing．

I write to ask you what gon thank of the followimg


 ime tor different varioties：If arthesion takes placere， the wary might then bre swored form its parent phat，and left to deralop upen the foreign me．

If yon think this a pesisible axperiment，mes watil be the time of yar to try it．Therefore l write． thank whether you do think it pessible，and if so，what phants yom may think it．＂omld berost to try it with．

All the cats ${ }^{1}$ I have hitherto let out of their we spective bags have shown themselves exceedinds stapid, wot one having fomed her way bark.

Very sincerely and most respertfully yours,
Geo. J. Romayms.

I'rom C. Inarwin, E'sy., to (i. J. Momaness.
Down, Beckenhan, Fent: March 20, 1Ass.
You are very plucky abont Pangenesis, and I murh wish that you conld have any suceess. I du not understand your scheme. In you intend to operate on an ovarimn with a single ovale, and th bisect it after being fertilised? I should fear that this was quite hopeless. If you intend to operate m waria with many seeds, whether before or after fertilisation, I do not see how you could possibly distinguish any effect from the mion of the twe waria. Any operation before fertilisation would, I presmme, quite prevent the act; for very few flower can be fortilised if the stem is cut an? placed in water. Ciartner, however, says, that some Liliacere (an be fertilised mader these ciremmstanees.

If Hooker is correct, he fomm that cutting off of making a hole into the summit of the ovarime and then inserting pollon cansed the fertilisation of the ovoles. 'This has always stretched my belicf to the racking point. I think he has published a motice on this experiment, but forget where, and I think it

[^13]Wals (0l|
ahout it. the cハal the ovar. remosed (p)eration

1 : 111 with cat accome

My pinters, to yon sour tin Firstly, to 112 e , I have 11 (putedy how far they m anyhow,

Seron in' ' Nat plexity, midinati you sho they can
'Thir publishe
was on 'Papater.' Dyer (ould probably tell you about it. Perhaps gour plan is to remose mat half of the matimon of a cme-seeded plant and join it on to the ovary of another of a distincet rar., with its orale remosed; but this would be a frighthilly difficult (1) Mation.

I am very sory to hear about your ill success with cats, and I wish you could get some detailed accoment of the Belgimen trials.
lomes very sincerely, C. 1)mawis.

April 16, 1881.
My mannscript on Worms has been sont to printers, so I am groing to ammie myself by scribbling to gou on a few points; but you mast not waste rour time in answering at any lemgth this soribble. Firstly, your letter on intelligence was very useful to me, and I tore up and rewrote what I sent yon. I have not attempted to define intelligence, but have ghoted your remanks on experience, and have shown how far they apply to worms. It seems to me, that they must be said to work with some intelligence, anyhow, they are not guided by a blind instinct.

Soromdly, I was greatly interested by the abstract in 'Nature' of your work on Exhinoterms; the compexity, with simplicity, and with such rurions (o)wrdination of the nervons system, is marvellons ; and you showed me before what splendid gymastie feats they can perform.
'Ihirdly, 1)r. Romx has sent me a books just published by him, 'Der Kampe der 'Theile,' doe..
 reall physiologist amd pablologist, and from hia position a erood amatomist. It is full of reasomime. and this in (icmonal is very difticult to mes, so that I hase maly skimmed thromgh rateh page, home man
 rall imperfectly julare, it is the mest, important bum:
 believe that (i. II. Iarwes hinted at the samme fund


 that exery erell which best performs its lometion is an aromserpmence at the samme time best memrisherl amm
 mental phenomenat, but, there is much disentsion me rudimentary or atrophied parts, to which subjere gom fammery atemeded. Now if you wond like to read the book, I will semd it alter F'rank has ollaneed at it, for I do mot think he will hase time to read it with came If gou read it and are strmek with it, (hat I may lo $^{2}$ wholly mistaken about its valmen, gon womld do a publice servier by amalysing and reriticising it in - Xatmre.' Dre lions makes, I think, a gigantie onemsight in mever romsiderimg phats; these womld simplify the problem for him.

Fennthly, I do not know whether gon will disenm in your book on tha' Xind of Amimals ‘ally of the mone complex and womberfal instimets. It is masatisfactory work, as there (eall be me fossilised instinets, and the solle gender is their state in whem

if rons "f (1,1) (:a) 1 h
 |ril种 in lins lailol thiat thi rall y it, :and the : ill rigimal III 11211 pmay :InIe this the then: the tor (1) 01 $=4 x+y$ its peysy 14 lialt it an st $0^{\prime \prime}$ sit it Min 17

 （and thatl that of the samd－waspe，which paralyor that

 in his admirable＇sumsemits．＇Whilst manding this
 Astomishing monsemse is whon spoken of the sathe
 that the（ a and hes on ther plains of lat lata hase
 strughting and lassoced eww on the eromm with mor erving skill，which me mere anlatomist comld imitate． ＇The perinted knife was infallibly drivel in between the wertebme by a simgle slight throst．I persmue that the art was lirst discomered by ehance，and that
 it，and then with a very little pratiee leaming the art．Now I suppese that the sand－wasps wiginally merely killed their prey by stimeing them
 page 9．41），on the lower and softer side of the beoly， and that to stimg a rertain secment was fomm by far the mest sumeressfal metherl，amd wats imherited，like the tendencey of a buildoge to pina the nose of a bull，
 a wey ereat step in andame to prick the gamplon of it．pery only slighty，and thas to wise its lame fresh





I fear that I shall have utterly wearied yon with my scribbling and bad handwriting.

My dear Romanes, lours very sincerdy,
(hi I) manis.

## From Ci. J. Ramanes to ( I. Darmin, E'sq.

is Cornwall Terrace, lecent's l'ark, N.W.: April 17, 1smo.
Four long letter has been most refreshing to me in every way.

I am looking forward with keen interest to the appearance of your book on Worms, and am mos. pectedly glad to hear that my letter was of any nos.

I shonld very much like to see the book you mention, and from what you say about sondin, it I shall not order it. But there is no need to semel it soon, as I have abrealy an acommation of bows to review for ' Nature.'

I am very glad that you think well of the Ereninuderm work. Several other experiments have ocenred to me to try, and I hope to be able to do so mext autumm, as also the interesting experiment suggested by Frank of rotating by elockwork (as you did the plants) an Eachinms inverted upon its aboral pole, to see whether it would right itself when the influene of eravity is removed.

No doubt I must in my second book deal with instincts of all kinds, comples or otherwise. Your 'speculations' on the samd-wasp) seem to me wey pithy-excuse the pun surgested by the amaloge of the cattle-and I think there can be little donbt that
nurlı is lx. sumb bs the - finde: (1) the 1 therefor determil of the: nmonter the stim: I have viell mis ternillill sembith andection mggest. I hat the flash resinlt.
the flash athomgh perfectly the rea that the seedlinis tensity. minitive whether

I ha berell tow the vine
in sines

Nof is the direetion in which the explanation is to lu. amorht. I also think that the difficulty is mitigated We the rensideration that both the enameron of the fider and the sting of the wapp are organs sitmated oll the median line of their resperetive pessessoms, and therefore that the orging of the instinet may have been determined or assisted by the mere amatemical form of the ammals- the wasp mot stmong till secomely momuted on the spideres batel, and when so momented the stine might matmally strike the gamglon. But I have not yet read frabers own areoment, so this view may not hold. Anyhow, and whatever detemining conditions as to orinin may have been, it arems to me there can be little doult that matmal selention wonld have develofed it in the way yon mugest.

I have now grown a manber of seeds exposed to the flashing light, but ann mot get fuite sure as to the misult. About one seedling out of ten bends towards the flashing souree very deridedly, while all the rest, although exposed to just the same conditions, grow perfectly strajght. But I shall, wo doubt, find out the reason of this by further trials. It is strange that the same thing happens when I expose other seedlings to constant light of excecdingly dim intensity. It looks as if some individuals were more mensitive to light than others. I do not know whether you fornd any evidence of this.

I have just fomed that this rail again I have hern too late in asking them to semd me cuttings of the vine for erafting. I did not know that the salp in vines berran to rim so early.

I remain ever yours, very sincerely and mont respectfilly,

I :ann extremely glad of your suceress with the flashimg light. If phats areacted on by light, likn some of the lower amimals, there is an additional peint of interest, as it seems to me, in your result Most botanists. believe that light rauses a plant th bend $t$, it in as direet a mammer as light affere nitrate of silver.

I believe that it merely tells the plant tw whed side $t o$ bend, amd I see indications of this brdide prevailing even with Suchs. Now it might br expereted that light would art on a plant in somer thing the same mamer as on the lower animals. A you are at wonk on this subjeret, I will call your attention to another point. Wiesuner, of Viama (whe has lately pmblishore a good book on Helotropisme finds that an intermitent light during 20 m prodnem same effere ats a contimons light of samme brilliance during (j) m. So that Van 'lieghem, in the first part of his book, which hats just appeatere, remarks, the light during to m. wht of the (if) m. produced meffere I whereved an antalogons case described in my botk. Wiessuer and 'Tirehem seem to thiak that this is explamed by calling the whole proeress 'induction." borrowing a term used by some physico-chemists uf whom I believe lioseoe is one), and implying ant agency which does not produre any effect for somber
time. : (:Alls. p:iperic inl inte. an (1) : explami dirkure

1 h (0) at $)^{\circ}$ t Vill' 1111 110i. 110 (ハ) timbe of in take arcondia and there (whom anomaly nus.s. ont! werre at motion () the stin madre sil and that the ress athor hat rexpects

I he: amber
I) 0 apportur
time and enntimes its effere for some time after the
 paper is an instance. I monst ask Leonard whethere an interpupted light arts on it in the same manner an (1) a plant. At present I mast still beliewe in my explantion that it is the eontrast betwern light and darkmess which excites: : plant.

I have forgottern my main objeet in writing, vi\%. to sity that I beliew (and hate so stated) that seedlings baly murh in their sensitiveness to light; but I did wet pore this, for there are maty difienlties, whether time of imeipient. rarvature of amomat of empature is taken as the eriterion. Moreover, they vary acenting to ago and perhapsis from vigome of growth ; and thope serms inherent valability, as Strashomen fohom I quate) fomol with spores. If the corions anmaly observed by you is due to varying semsitivemes. onght mot ell the seedlings to bend if the flashes were at lomere intervals of time? Acoording to my motion of contrast betweren light and dankness being the stimmlus, I should experet that if flashes were mande sufficiantly slow it would be a powerful stimulns, and that you would suddemly arrive at a period when the result womld suldirnly berome great. ()n the other hand, as far as merexperione goes, what one expects mely haperas.

I heartily wish gom sumerse, and momian, gomes are very sine erely,
('in. J) alimin.

De you read the 'T'imes'? As 1 hat a fair npentmity, I sinnt at letter to the 'Times' on Visi-
section, which is printed to-day I thonght it fair tw bear my share of the abuse poured in so atrocion a manmer on all physiologists.

1s Cornwall Trerace: Amil $\because$ ?
I have left your last letter so long manswered in order that I might be able to let you know the result of the next experiment I was trying on the seeds with flashing light. I think in the end the rondmsion will ine that short flashes, such as I an now using. influence the seedlings, but only to a comparatiseds small degree, so that it is only the more sensitise seedlings that perceive them.

Your letter in the 'Thimes' was in every way admirable, and coming from you will produce mome effect than it conld from anybody else. The answer to-day to - is also first-rate-just enough without being too mand . It would have been a areat mistake to have descemeded into a controversy. I thought _ hat more wit than to adopt such a tack and tone, and an sure that all physiologists will be for ever gratefnl to you for such a trenchant expression of opinion.

I have a little piece of gossip to tell. Yesterdiy. the Comacil of the Limean nominated me Koologival Secretary, and some of the members having presead me to accept, I have accepted. I also hear that your son is to be on the same Council, and that sir John Labbock is to be the new President.

I have at length decided on the armanement of my material for the books on Animal Intelligener
and Mental Livolntion. I shall reserve all the heavier parts of theoretical disenssion for the serond bookmaking the first the chief repository of facts, with only a slender network of theory to bind them into matnal relation, and save the book as much as pusible from the danger that you suggested of being ton much matter-of-fact. It will be an advantage to have the farts in a form to admit of brief reference when discosssing the hoatier philosophy in the second book, whirll will be the more important, thongh the less popular, of the two.

Just then some correspondence had been going on in the 'Times' on the subject of Vivisertion, and Mr. Darwin wrote to Mr. Romanes as follows:-

Down, Beckenham, Kent: April $2.5,1881$.
My dear Romanes,-I was very ghad to read your last notes with much news interesting to me. But I write now to say how $I$, and indeed all of us in the house, have admired your letter in the "Times.' It was so simple and direct. I was particularly glad about Burdon Sanderson, of whom I have been for araral years a great admirer. I was, also, especially ghad to read the last sentences. I have been buthered with sevoral letters, but none abosive. louder a selfish point of view I am wery grad of the pmblation of your letter, as I was at first inclined to think that I had done mischicf by stirring up the mand, now I feed sure that I have done good. . . . .

The following letters relate to the portait of A A letter written at the end of April 1881.

Mr. Darwin which was painted heg the Iont. John Colliar for the I, inmoan Soricty.

My alar Wr. Darwin, - When at the Limmean thin afternoon, I was told by lor. M—— that he hamd obtained your consent to sit for a portrait for ther
 ask of gon to sit for yet another portrait, the leas we eanl do, if you comsent, is to amploy a thomomehly groorl man to print it. 'Iherofore, if form have mot already antered into any definite agreroment, I write to sugherst a little delay (say of a month), wholl, an Secoctary, I misht ascertain the amonme of the mblosraption on which we mioght rely, and arrange matter arcordingly. John Collier (Huxteys som-in-law) told me some time ago that he womld deary like to hatr. yon to paint, and I doulst not that he would do it at loss than his ordinary charges if nerersialy. Ho wonld be sure to do the work well, and so I write to asseretain whether yon would not prefer him, or somme wher artist of known ability, to do the work, if 1 were to modertake to provide the needful.

Please give to Mrs. Darwin, and talie to yourarlf. onr best thanks for your kind comgratmations on the opportane arrival of another baby-just in time to lo. worked into the book on Xental Evolution. Every thing is going well.

Very sincercly and most respectfully yours, (if:o. J. Riomines.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { is Comwall Terace, Rements lomp, N.W.: July } 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

I have told Collier that he hat mow better write tw som direet at whateree time he intends to make his timal armagements. with fom as to plare and time of stting. He hats just finished a portrat of me, which my mother had painted ats a present to my wife. It is exceedingly erood, and as all his reerent purtrats are the same-notably one of Haxley-I am vere shad that he is to paint gom. Besides, he is surd a phasant man to talk to, that the sittinges are mot so tedions as they would be with a less intelligent math.

I shall certamly read the '('reed of sidenere as oxn ats 1 ram. The (iemman book on Ewolntion I have not pee looked at, as I hase been wiving all my time to my own book. This is now fimished. But talking of my time, I do not see how the two three homs which I have spent in armaging to have a pertait, which will be of so much historical impertance, taken by a competent attist, could well have been better amployed.

You will see that I have got into a row with ('arpenter wer the thonght-reading. Everybody thinks he made a mistake in lemting himself to Bishop's design of posing as a scientific womder. Bishop is a very sly doge and has played his eards passing well. In an article which he published two rams ago in an American newspaper, he explains the philosophy of advertising, and says the first thing to
attend to is to rateh good mames. He has now sme ceeded wrll.

Very sineerely and most resperetfully yours,
Geo. J. Romanes.
Down: Abrime 7 .
My dear Romanes, - I received yesterday the an chosed motice, and I send it to yon, as I have thonght that if you motice I)r. Ronx's book in "Nature" s ( Wewhere the review might possibly be of ase tu som. As far as I (am judge the book whint to be beomethe before English maturalists. You will have heard from Collier that he has tinished my pieture. All my family who have seen it think it the best likemon which has been taken of me, and, as far ats I can julme. this seems true. Collier was the most considerate. hind, and pleasant painter a sitter conld desire.

> My dear Romanes,
> Yom's bery sincerely, Cha Jams.

> Tol: Darwin, Eisq.

Many thanks for the notice of Romx's book. I have not get looked at the latter, but Preger, of dema (who has been our gest during the 'ongress meetime.' and who knows the anthon), dors mot think math of it.

I am delighted that the portmit has pleased thmen whe are the best jumeres. I sall it the day it canme up, and feed no dombt at all that it in far and atway the
a International Medimal Compress.
lof ot of simture of it.
| hal affaits hats been a sote 0 land tol of all 11 and sice bing $p$ last incoll (1) जigh1 if the se
$11 e$ hope to tilst rees

1 ro somes,

The of $\overline{\text { Kivisis }}$

My
t1) dull illupere alternat
'Tho
(anmom)州tin!
-4tion.

## NES.

11. 7. $16^{\prime}$ ( $11-$ oldit 1'•• 川 (1). 'ousht | floll $111!1!$ krllts ju1dirn lel:ite.
bert of the three. But I did not like to write and venture this opinion till I knew what you all thought of it.

I hase been very busy this past week with the affairs of the Congress in relation to Visisection. It han becen resolved by the Physiological heetion to get a wote of the whole (ompress upon the subjeet, and I had to prepare the resshation and are the signatures of all the viee-presidents of the Comgress, presidents and viep-peresidents of sertions, and to armane for its being put to the rote of the whole (ongress at its late genemal meeting to-morrow. The only refusal (1) sign came appropriately emonoh from the president of the sertion • Mental Jiseanes.'

We leave for scotland to-momow, when I shall hope to get time to read houx's book, themoh I shall first review 'The Student's Darwin.

1 remain, very simeerely and most resperetfolly 5MO:
(ifo. J. Rumanis.

The following letters relate to the buming guestion of Vivisection:-

$$
\text { Gurvock, P'erthshiere: dunint } 31,1881 \text {. }
$$

M! (law Mr. J)arwin, -It is not often that I write t1) dun fon, and I am sorry that duty should now impore on me the task of doing so, hat l have no altemative, as you shall immediately see.
 remmber, for the propese of obtaining combined artion among physiologists on the sulbere of Vivieretion. The result in the first instance was to
resolve on a tentative policy of silence, with the vion of seeing whether the agitation womld not bum itardt ont. It is now thomght that this policy has bern tried sufficiently longr, and that we are losing gronind by rontiming it. After moneln deliberation, there fore, the society has resolved to speak ont upon the subject, and the ' Nineteenth ('entury' has beron involved as the medimm of publication. Arvangemment have been made with Knowles for a simposimm-like series of shopt essays by all the leathers of bolowiand medicine in this comntry-areh to write on a bramed of the subject (•hosen by himself or allotted to him by the society. [n this matter of organising the constributions, the society is to be represented by lor. P'ue Smith, who combines selence, medicine and litormy culture better than any other member of ons body:

As secretary I am directed to write to all the mon whose namos are mentioned in a resolntion passed buy the society in aceordance with the report of a comsmittee appointed by the sorioty to consider the whjeet. Hence these tears.

Of comse, your name in this matter is one of tha most important, and as the idea is to geet a borly of sreat names, it would be a disappointment of no small magnitude if yours should fail. It does mot mattor so murch that you should write a long dissertation, - " long as you allow younself to stand among this moble army of martyrs. 'Iwo or three pages of the • Ninsteenth Centmy' on one, say, of the followins topic would be all that we should want:-
"The limits and safeguads desirable in (arryins on sciontific experiments on amimals.'

Mist homanity

- The
()r and which :o write.
$\ln y f$ whatl be much rou

This conducive land and rientific will tind,
'I'he alle cominn be out in results.

Ewart Obam, so dwn who the boil.
| rem loull's,

My de beyond a wersone, his opini, and my
－Mistaken humanity of the agitation：real hunnanity of vivisection．＇
－The Royal Commission and its report．＇
（）r ally other topic commected with Vivisection on which you may feel the spirit most to move you to write．

Iny further infomation that you may desire I Wall be happy to give；but please remember how murh your assistance is desired．

This is a rery delightful place，thongh not very． condure ive to work．If any of your sons are in seot－ laud and should care for a few days＇sort with other wisutific men on the spree，please tell them that the y will tind open house and weloome here．

The proofs of my book on Animal Intelligence are coming in．I hope your work on Worms will he out in time for me to mention it and its main results．

Ewart has pitched his zoolonical laboratory at Obam，so as to be as near this as possible．I shall go duwn when I an to keep his pot of sea－ecogs upon the boil．

I remain，very sineerely and most respertfully y，Murs，
(ien, J. liomanes.

Down，Beckenham，Kent：September 2 ，insis．
My dear Romanes，－Your letter has perplexed me berond all measure．I fully recognise the duty of wergone，whose opinion is worth angthing，expressing his opinion publicly on vivisection，and this made me vod my letter to the＇T＇imes．＇I have been thinking
at intervals all moming what I conld say, and it in the simple truth that I have nothing worth saying. Yin. and men like yon, whose ideas flow freely, and who can express them easily, cammot maderstand the state of mental paralysis in which I find myself. What is ment wanted is a careful and accomate attempt to show what physiology has already done for man, and wern sill more strongly what there is arer reason to beliowe it will herealter do. Now I am absolutely incapabin of doing this, of of disemssing the other points shement by you.

If gom wish for my name (and I should be glat that it should appear with that of others in the same (anse), conld you mot quote some sontence from mis letter in thr "Times,' whirh I inclose, but please whern it. [f you thomoht tit you might say that you quoten] it with my appowal, and that, after still further isflection, I still abide most strongly in my expreseall conviction. For Héreren's sulie, do think of this: 1 do not grudge the labour and thought, but I cond write nothing worth anyone's reading.

Allow me to demme to your calling your conjoint article a 'symposimm,' strictly a 'drimking-patty: this seedns to me very bad taste, and I do hope weryone of you will aroid any semblance of a joke on the subject. I know that words like a joke on this sul) jecet have quite disgusted some persoms not at all inimiad to physiologr. One person lamented to me that Mr. Simon, in his truly admimble address at the Medical Comgress (by far the best thing which! hat read), spoke of the 'fantastice semsuality" (or some sum

[^14]'T'wo appeater howing letrin ho sood and ${ }^{\text {yon }}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{Ko}$

Yon brother resterdia
$3 y$ mind ald nome of you feel micll to marked the stat
twin) of the many mistaken, but homest men and wonen whe are half mad on the subjeet.

Do pray try and let me escape and fuote my letter, which in some resperts is more valmble, as wiving my independent judgucent before the Medieal Comgress. I really camot imagine what I could say:

I will now turn to mother suljeert: my little book on liomms has been long finished, but Muray was se stronsly opposed to publishing it at the drad season, that I yielded. I have told the printers to send you a set of clean sheets, which yon can afterwards have stitched together. There is hardly anything in it which can interest you.

Two or three papers by Hermam Mäller have just appared in' Kosmos,' which seem to me interesting, as -howing how soon, i.e. after how many attempts, bees leam how best to suck a mew thower ; there is also at good and landatory review of Dr. Fions. I could lemed rom 'Kosmos' if you think fit.

You will perhaps have seen that my poor dear bonther Erasmus has just died, and he was butied resterday here at lown.

Garvock, Bridere of liam, Ierthshire: September 4.
My dear Mr. Darwin,-I hasten to relieve your mind about writing on visisection, as I am sure that nome of the physiologists would desire you to do so if fou feel it a bother. After all, thereare plenty of other menl to do the writing, and if some of them quote the marked sentences in your letter (which I return), with the statement that you still adhere to them, the ehief
thing will be done－vi\％．showing again and emphati－ rally on which side yon are．

It is not intended to call the artirlea＇Symposimm： $I$ only ased this word to show that they are to be of the same composite kind as those which the ＇Ninoteenth Century＇presionsly pmblisher murdo this designation．

Yon letter sives me the first news of yonr brother＇s death．I remember very well seroing himone das whan I rallad on rou at his homse．［t monst malie bon reve sall，and I ：an solry to hase written yon at sum il time．

I have ahraciy sent in a short review of lions＇， book，but shonld like to see abont the bees in＇Kosmon． I am trying sonne experiments with bershere on wit． finding ；but，contrary toms expectations，I find that． most bees，when marked and liberated at ond homdred rards from their hive，do not aret barek for along time： ＇This：fact makes it mone diflioult to test their modeon Way－finding，as the facoulty（whaterey it is）Aoes mot seem to be certain．

Many thanks for sending me the book wom Womm so eamly．As yet I have omly hate time to look it the table of contents，whirh somos most intorestims．

Larliyer is staying here jont mow，and has given me the proofs of his book．It seems to me that her has guite carried the position as to the celements beines products of development．

I Oown：Uctober 11 ．
My dear Pomanes，－ I have just read the splendid review of the Worm book in＇Natare．＇I have bern
［1H11 $]_{1} p$ hater yoll that I il viewers t I hop and whe ansions

III ： in（＇ialn） Pray and［ hol
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murll plased bse it，but at the samme time fon so wer－estimate the valne of what I do，that fon make me feel ashamed of myself，and wish to be worthy of －urh praise．I cammot think how yon ran enture to －pend so mach time wer another＂s worls，when jou have bourself so much in hand ；I feel so worn ont，
 viewors trouble．
 and when we meret latel in tho antanma I shall be ansious to hoar about it．

In a few days time we are womg to visit Horace in（＇ambridere for a vierels，to see if that will refresh me．

Pray eriveny kind remombranees to Mrs．Rommanes， and［ hope jout ate all wroll．

My dear Mr．J）mbwin，－If I did mot kom you so well，I fhomle think that yon are gnilty of what our nurse calls＇mork monlesty：At least I know that if 1．wingloody else，hat written the book which I re－ viewed，jour judgment womld have been the first to madorse ll I hate said．I nevorallow personal friend－ Ahip to influence what I say in reviews ；and if I am
 all fon do，it is at any rate some（oonsolation to linow that my stupidity is sommivorsally shamed by all the men of my generations．Dut bonr letters are to me
 at in this one，you seeme withrolt imon intontiomally win to refor to m！Worli in juxtaposition with fonm （，wn．

The proof-sheets are coming in, and I suppose the book will be out in a month or two. I do not know why they are so slow in setting up the type. But, is I said once before, this book will not be so grood (on so little bad) as the one that is to follow.

Ewart and I have been working at the Eichinns. demms again, and at last have fomed the intemal nervors plexus. Also tried poisoms, and proved still further the locomotor function of the pedicellaria.

I observed a emious thing about amemones. If a piece of food is placed in a pool or tank where a number are closed, in a few minntes they all expand: rlearly they smell the food.

I an deeply sorry to hear that you feel' womont. but camot imagine that the reviewers have done with you yet.

The vivisection fight does not promise well. Likn yourself, most of the champions do not like tha idea.
G. J. Romanis.

There are many other letters, but care has bern taken only to select the most interesting. In 1hsid (ame the last visit to Down, full of brightness. Mr. Dawwin was most particularly kind, and sane Mr. Romanes some of his own MSS., including a paper on 'Instinct,' w, la is bound up with Mr. Romanes' own book, ' Mental Leolution in Animals.' It trams. pired that Mr. Darwin was extremely fond of novels. and had the most delightful way of offering his goests. books to take to bed with them. In fact, I) own was one of the few houses in which readabie books adomend the grest-chambers.

It came out on this occasion that Mr. Darwinhand an esperial love for the books written by the authom of ' Mademoiselle Mori.' He offered one of his guests

- Denise,' saying it was his fat:omite tale, er words to that effect.

Down was indeed mer of the most delightfal of homes in which to stals, am that smow January sunday of 1 ssi wats a very real red letter day.

$$
\text { To Miss: ( } \therefore \text {. Limmanes. }
$$

18 Comwall Terrace. lienent: liow, N. Ni: July e4, 1881.
My dearest Charlotte, -There have been no letters from fou for two days, so I have nothing to maswer.

I did not write yesterday beranse we were spending the day with Mr. Teerdale in his honse at Down, and did not get back again till past the post hour. We went over to pay a (all upon Darwin. He and his wife were at home, and as kind and inlad to see us as possible. The servant gine our manes wrongly to then, and they thonght we were a very old couple whon they know, called Nomman. Soold Darwin came in with a hage camister of smuff moder lis arm-old Coman being very partial to this luxury-and looked rey much astonished at tinding us. He was as armen and good and bright as ever.

In to-day"s 'Times' you will see a letterby ' F.R.S.' which is worth reading, ats are all the productions of hiss able peri.

I have been applie? to by the Editor of the - Euccolopedia Bratamical to supply an article on 'Hnstinct.' 'This I ann writin.

We are all quito well, arept that I have had a cold. which is now going anay.

With united love to all, sours ever the same.
Geongr.
 Mr. Darwin to the layal lastitution to hear a lere ture by Dr. Sanderson on - Diomara. I burst , if applanse invered Mr. Dawwin's entrance, mumeh to thai great mans surprise. Earlier in the day he had halt timidly asked Mr. Romanes if there wonld be romm at the Royal Institution for him.
 death. 'The following letters show something of what the lose was the the ardent disciple, the lever hearted friem.

## To I'rancis Inaruin, Eisq.


 thonght it might trouble you, but I sent some flower yesterday which did not require andmowledgment.

Lien you, I do not think, can know all that this death means to me. I have long dreaded the time. and now that it has come it is worse than I comld anticipate. Even the death of my own father-thomgh I loved him deeply, and thongh it was nume sudden, did not learo a desolation so terrible. Half the interest of m! life seems to have wone when ! camot look forward amy more to his dear voice of welcome, or to the letters that were my greatest happiness. For now there is no one to venerate, mo min te work for, or to think abont while working. I alway knew that I was leaning on these fereling tow much, but I conld not try to present them, and on an last I ann left with a lomeliness that never can line filled. And when I think hew grand and generonhis kindness was to me, erief is mord for my low. death. that he hatre di wirk. thomernt millist greatest (onsolat

1 lox when wo writter olll very other mo

After writes:-

1) tr-diay sis bouk. all the wi unco to : cartied se
 and that, like him, I shomed tey lo think of athers before misself. And lafeed for fon all very murh indeed. Jout althongh $I$ ammot amblume to pieture foll honsis or your homsehole ats the sereme of sureh a death. I ann derive some comsolation from the thonght that he died as fern men in the history of the world
 worl, sereing how that work hats transfommed the thomghts of mankind, and fomeserimes that his manta must andure to the emd of time amoms the very gratest of the hmman race. Vore, very rate is surh comsolation as this in a homse of momming.

I look forward to hearime more abont the emd when we meet. I ferel it is rory kind of fon to have writtern to me so soon, alld I hope font will romsey onf rery sincere sympathy to Mra. Dinwin and the other members of your family.

Founs evorsinuerely.
(ik:o, J. liomanes.
Ifter ' Mr. Dinwin's Life‘ appeated, Mr. Romanes writes:-

> To I'rancis Inarmin, líy.

Geanies. Ross shire N.R: Nusmber 21,185 .
 to-day sern the 'limes revien, and sent for the book. But from what the revien sals I call see that all the world has to thank fon. 'Jherefone I write at mace to say haw mone than ghad I feel that yon hasw carried so wreat a work to susuceresfulatemmination.

How enlad ！fo＇m mant be that the iammense laboum and anxiety of it all is over．Do not tromble to answer． but believe in the gemmine comgratulations of Yours very truly，
（iko．J．Romaxis．
Nowember 2 in， 1 sisi．
I write again to thank you－this time for the pre－ sentation copg of the Life and Latters．I had pre viously got olle，but am very glad to have the work in duplieate．It is indered splendidly done．

I send you the enelosed to post or not，as you think best．On reading ——s letter yesterday it oeromend to me that if ally answer were repuired，it might be better for somebody other than yourself to supply it． But I do not know how you mas think it bost to treat this man，therefore post the latter or mot．ar－ cording to your judgment．

Yous very sincerely，
（ieo．J．Romanis．
（ieanies：December 1，18si．
I have now nealy tinished the＇Life and Letters． and ramoot express my admiration of pour work． What a merey it is that jom were so womderfully qualified to do it．

Yours ever indebtedly，
（ibe．J．Romines．
Mr．Romanes wrote one of the memorial noti is in the little volume＇Charles Dawin，＇publishad by Messrs．Macmillan．

Thus closed a very significant and important chapter in his life．

The relationship of disciple to master ceased for
him．II haid he and de： Sander of＇Thi

The of griciof sonl ：

To ： given，at which t revereme aproval these le small min apascolo and it is ：hould 1 reverenc．

18
1）ear and writ winled ad
him. mo one else exactly held the plate Mr. Darwin had held, to no one else did he su comstantly refer ; and dear as were other frimods, motably Dr. Burdon sandersom, mone stood in the pesition to Romanes of 'The Master:.'

There was mo exaggation in his expressions of igref, or in the verses in which he poured out his soul:

- I lowed him with a strelgeth of low.

Which man to man can menly bear
When one in station fier abowe
The rest of mean, wet deinis to blitue,
A fricmulship trine with these far lown
The ramks: as though a mighty king,
Giit with his amies of renown.
shomld call within his narow rine
Of comsellors and chosen frimuts
some gonth who searer ean muleratand
How it begrun or how it chlls
That he should grasi, the momath's hamb.'
T'o all those to whom a great friendship hats been given, a frimutship, not on equal temms, but one in which the chief elements on one side have been revernew and gratitude. On the other affectionate apporal and esterm, to all these fortmate souls these letters and verses will appal. For it is no small matter in a man's life that he shond have had a passionate friendship for a great man, a real leader; and it is a still greater matter that the vommer man Whould have fomed his contidencer, his devotion, his tevereme worthily bestowed.

## To Francis Darmin, Ésy.

1s Comwall Terace, Raments l'ark. N. W. : Jamary 1:3, 18sio.
Dem larwin, - I will think wer the comsersations and write sou again whether there is angthing that would do for publishing.

[^15]Memwhile I send for your pernsial some verse which I have written at odds and ends of time simere he ded．＇This wats only done for my own gratifica－ tion，and without any viow to publishing．But having recently had them pat together and copied out，I have sent them to two or three of the best poretion arities for their opinion upon the literary merits of the pocen as a whole．The result of this has been mont satisfactory than I anticipated；and as one of them suggests that I should offer the verses ats an addendum to the biography，I act upou the enime dence of recoiving rour letter and his at about the same tille．

It seems to we there are two things for you to consider：first，whether anything in the way of poetry，however good，is desirable ；ind next，if so． whether this poetry is good enomish for the occasiom． The first question would be answered by your own feelings，and the secomd，I suppose，by submitting the verses to some good anthority for an opinion－－ say one to whom I have not sent them．Only，if the matter were to go ats far as this， 1 should like you to explain to the eritie that as it stands the prom is only in the rough．If it were to be revised for publication I should spend a sood deal of trouble over the propers of polishine＂，and some of the lines expressive of pan－ sionate grief would be altogether changed．

In semding gou the MS．I mely uron you not to let the anthorship be known to anyone withont firs asking me，becamse，although i have published poetry already．${ }^{1}$ it hats been anomyonous，and 1 do not wamt

[^16]it to be known that I have this propensity. And on this arcount, if these rerses were to appear in the biography; it would repuire to be withont my name, (1) hateded in some such way as Memorial verses by a friemd.' In this rase I shomld modify any of the lines which might lead to the anthon being spotted.
shomld fon deride against admitting them, I do mot think that I shouk publish them anywhere ase, berallse where such a persomality is ancerned, independent publication (without the oreasion mmished he the appearance of a hiographye might seem presmmptuons even on the part of an immomons writer.
lesterday I received a letter from the Fremehman who translated my book on " Montal Evolution, asking me to let him know whether he might apply for the translation of the biography. His mame is De Varigny, and he does some original work in vertebate physiology. I think he hats done my book wery well.

> Youss exor sincerely,
(i. J. Romanes.
('ill you suggest a subject for a Rede lecture which I have to give in May:

## CHAPTER III

$1 \times 81-1 \times 90$

## LON゙いO゙ーー（iliANIES

One may mow for a shoit space tum away from the scientifie side of Mr．liomanes＇life and speak a littl． of other aspeects．

No one was ever a more incessant worker and thinker．If he went away for a short visit，his writing went too；and if in scothand wet weather interfered with shooting，he would sit down and write something，perhaps a poen，perhaps（as he once said playfully when condoled with on account of heary rain and absence of books，＇I don＇t care，I＇ll write an essity on the freedom（ff the will＇）an article for a magazine．

A great deal of reviewing，chiefly in＇Nature，＇ filled up some of his time，and he also tmod his attention more and mome to poetry：

In the postsicript in a letter written in 189s tw Mr．Darwin he says：＇I am begimang to write poetry！＇and poetry interested him more and mone as yen＂s went on．（Of this，more later．

He marh emjoyed andity；he reased to mingle exclusively with scientitic and philosophical perple． and as time went on he became acrpainted wit！ many of the notabilition of the diy．And，as has beom said，it is impossible perhaps to exagerate the out－ ward pleasanthess of those years．

He was able to devote himself to his work；he had in arer－increasing number of devoted friend
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buth of men and women, and he was intensely happy in his home life.

His children were a great and increasing interest to him. and he was an ideal father, tender, sympathetice especially as infancy grew into childhood. Ht shared in all his children's interests, and lived with them on terms of absolute friendship, chatfing and being chaffed, onjoying an interchange of pet manes and jokes, and ret exacting obedience and quitle mamers, and never pemitting them as small children to make themselves tronblesome to visitors in my way, or to chatter freely at meals when ginests were present.

He had rery strong feelings abont the importance of making children familiar with the Bible. He used to say that as a mere matter of literary edncation everyone ought to be familiar with the bible from begiming to end. He himself was exceedingl; we! remsed in Holy Scripture.

He also thonght a grood classical training very desiratble for boys (and wirls also), and had no very great belief in scionce being tanght to any great extent during a boy's school carocr. Memory, he considered, onght to be cultivated in childhood, and he did not think that the reasoming powers ought to be much taxed in emply geans. He lised to saty that Fudid conld be learnt much more ansily if it were berim later in borhood. He also much wished that fore ign langages should be tanght very early in life, and with little or no attention to grammain.

Perhaps a few words of reminiserener from one of his children may not be mardeome.

## MEMOHII: S -G. . .J. Li.

I remember that when my father was particularly ammsed at anything, he med a rertain gesture, which,
according to the Life of Darwin,' ${ }^{\prime}$ must have bran preceisely similar to that of Darwin, and was probatly manomscionsly copied by my father. He never used the gesture except when rery mach tickled at heang some ammsing story; when the elimax of the story was reathed he would burst into a peal of hearty lanohter, at the same time bringing his hand heavils but noiselessly down upon his knee or on the talle ne:r him.

When we were at Cemaise, our greatest delight was' t 0 g g ) out shooting with father.' We msed to tramp for homs together over tumip and grass ficlds behind my father and the gamekeeper. We used to enjoy the experlitions so much better if our father was the only sportsmam, for then we had him all to ourselves. We were very small then; our ages were ten, nine, and six respectively, but we were groo? wakers and we never becane tired. What little sumburt, healthy, grubly children we were to be sure! When Bango, the setter, pointed at a cover. we all hat to stand quite still while our father walked forward towards the dog. Jirectly the cosey rone we all 'ducked' for safety. I shall never forget the joy and pride we felt when a bird fell, and we rall with shonts of trimuph to pick it up. Then the delight of eating lomeh mader a hedge or in a wood! That was a time of jokes and fun, and we talled in freely and unrestrainedly as we liked about all kinds of subjects. Then cane some more tramping in the turnips, and we would journey homewards, a weary but very happy little party. The counting of the

[^17]sillie when th wichadl In fact. a sery we not 1 surdy" ver hea

Ther and reve and for (xpresse
game would follow, and our pide wats very great when the momber of batere wats high, for we felt that we had been helping our father to slay the partridges. In fact. we thonght that sambly. the gamekerper, was a rery useless pertsomage when we went ont, for did we not mark as well as, or better, that he did? And surely we conld ante the game bags: the were not very heas exen when they were full to bursting !

There was some thing very beantifnl in the respect and reverence which (ieorge Romanes felt for children and for child-life, and a sommet 'To me' ('hildren' expresses these feelings :-

> 'Of all the little ones whom I have linown
> le are so much the fairest in my viow
> So much the sweetest and the dearent few-
> That not because ye are my very own
> Do I behold a womed that is shown Of loveliness diversified in yon: It is becanse each nature as it grew
> Surpassed a work of joy already grown.
> If months bestow such purpose on the vems. May not the years work ont a greater plan?
> Vast are the heights which fom this 'vale of tears.' And thongh what lies begond we may not sean,
> Thence came my little flock-strayed from their opheres, As lambs of (iod thmed children into mam.'

As has been satid, for music Mr. Romames had an absolute passion. A grood eoncert of chamber or of orchestral music was absolute happiness to him, and he heard a great deal in these years. One or two of his friends were excellent musicians. 'Toome of these he once wrote a somet, 'To a Member of the Bach ('hoir,' ' and sent it to her in the form of a Christmas aurd, prodncing much pleasant mystification and

[^18]langhter when it wats discovered from whom the somnet came.

> T'u Miss I'a!et.

18 Cornwall Terrace, Legent's Park: December $2 \overline{2}, 1 \times \rightarrow$.
Dear Miss Paget, -If my sommet gave half as much pleasure as your note, I an sutre we have both the best reasons to be glad. The letter was as much a surprise to me as the former was to you, becianse, far from seeing the 'maraciousness' of yesterday, exen then I thought that my reward was much in exces, of my deserving. But your further response of today has given me a greater happiness than I can tell; let it, therefore, be told in some of the greatest words of the greatest man I ever knew. These you will find in the first nine lines of a letter on page 3 :3, vol. ii., of the 'Life of Dinwin,' and in one respect, you have conferred an additional benefit, for, unlike him, I did not previously know that my own feeling of friendship were so fully reciprocated. If you think that this amounts to a confession of duhness on my part, my only exense is that I formed too just in estimate of my own merits as compared with thone of a friend. All that the latter were, or in this estimate must ever continue to be, I shall not now venture to say; for, if I did, the peculiar ethics of the Paget fanily (which you have been good enough to explain) would certainly pound this letter into a pulp. But there are two remarks which I may havard. Thw first is, that I make it a point of what may be callent asthetic conscience never to write anything in was which is not perfectly sincere. The next is, that my
dulacess (i) dervin $^{2}$
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duhess is lat so bad as to hater prevented me from (hareving the sebastian attachment.

Lant ('hristmans I lost my irreatest and my dearest friend.' 'This Christmas I have fomm that I had a better friend than I was aware of. For the seasomable kindness, therefore, of four truly Yule-tide (onsolation, gratias tibi "!yo.

Fver yours, most sincerely, G. J. Romanes.

For some vears a delightful socioty existed in Lomdon, known as the 'Home ( n artet C 'nion,' the nucmbers of which met at different honses and listened to perfect music performed by first-rate artists under perfeet couditions.

There were few happier aroming in his life than those spent in such a way.

Of all composers, Beethoven represented to him everthing that was highest in art or poetry; for Bethoven, Mr. Romanes had much the same reverence and admiration which he felt for Darwin, and perhaps Beethoven, in other and very different ways, taught him and influenced him much.

He wats very watholic in his masical tastes, except perhaps that Italian opera never greatly fascinated him. Wagner's operas, on the other hand, became a wreat delight, particularly after a visit to Bairenth in 1ss:9, where he saw Parsifal and Meistersinger.

Politics interested Mr. Romanes moderately. He was byature and by family tradition a Conservative, hat he eared very little for parties, and almired great men on whichever side of the Honse they sat.

Perhaps of all living politicians, the one for whem he had the greatest enthusiasm and respect was

[^19]Mr. Arthur Balfonr. For him, both as a politician and as a thinker, Mr. liomanes had an mbomadent admiration.

## 

 ral people, inaclading Willian Black, the movelist. were there. After Mr. Lockyer had shown us several experiments in spectrum amalysis, a lady asked him "What is the use of the spectroserpe?" ('alleed on Mr: Cotter Morison and salw some beantiful bonks. He is a wonderfully good talker.

Jume lis $1 .-1$ Binner at the Spottiswoodes'. Mr. Browning was there and talked much about Xiator Hugo. He mentioned that when Wordsworth was told that Miss Barrett had married Mr. Brownims. he replied, 'It's a good thing these two moderstand each other, for no one chse maderstands them.'

Garvock, Perthshire: November :5. 1 shl .
My dearest Chambte, - I thought yon would like, the photos, and yom letter to-day more than justifies my anticipation. Coming events cast their shadows before, and it will not now be loug before yon see the former. These are both exceedingly well. I wish you could see little Ethel dancing. It is now hum greatest ammement, and she does it with all the state and iravity of an eighteenth contur? ! framede dame.

Many thanks for your prompt action about the proofs. Yon dide ererything in the best possible way. as I knew you would. It is a great blessing you wew in London at the time, ats the earetaker wonld be sume to have made somme mistahe, and time is pressimg.
${ }^{1}$ It should be explimes that the writer of this memoir is resumsibl for the Jomma, but as it was kept for the henctit of betia husk hand and wife a few extracts are given.
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The duke hats answeredme in this week＇s ‘Nature， and likewise has（＇arpenter．I hase written a re－ juinder for next weekis issime ill a tone which I have tried to make at once dignified and blont．

I send you a riddle which I have just made．Seo if rom can answer it in rome next．

> My lirst is fomm in seripture.
> My second hams in air.
> My third a thing to all monown,
> let natps can tell you where.

M！whole is neither fiect nor thing，
A word，yet not a word．
And if you stand ne on my heal． I＇m bigner ley n thime．＇＂

Mach love from both to both．

> Yours ever the same,
> Cibongr.

In this Journal constant mention occurs of con－ certs and of the pleasme given by amatem musical friends．The late lornessor Rowe＇s mame often ocemes， he succeeded Professor（＇lifford at Liniversity（＇ollege， and besides his great mathematioal attaimments he was also a most accomplished mosician．He played Schmam especially in the most pretio way．
 on the action of moleconar heat．＇Trimmphat vindi－ （ation of his own work agamst Xagme and Tait．

Amil 2．—Smaday，the 并th，we spent at Oxford， met the Wiarden of Kehle in Mr．F＇．Paget＇s rooms， as a year ago we had met Dr．Liddom．Met Mr． Vemon Harcourt at Chitist Chureh．

[^20]May.-Met Shorthonse, anthor of 'John [nalw. sant, at the F'. Pollocks'. He spoke of Mr. .hente Holland's review of his book. Sir 'I'. Brammell lectured the other day at the Royal lonstitution inn the making of the Chamel tmmel, and wat as ammsing as hatal.

JInne-Dhteresting talk with Mr. J. R. (irem. Both J. R. (i. and (i. .I. R. agreed that Herbert Spencer, Professor Haxhey, and Leslie Stephem only represented one side of the question, i.c. that conduct can only be called moral when it is beneficial to the race, and that the ethical quality of an action in determined solcly by its effects as bencficial on injurions: 'This purely mechanical view of mo:ality deprives monality of what both speakers romsidered the essential elements of morality as surh, i.e. the foeling of right and wrong, so that, r.g., ants and bees, according to this canom, have a right to le coms sidered more troly moral tham imon.

The view taken by.J. R. (i. and (i. Ji R. wast that the essential element of momaty rosided in foeling and inclination.

## T'o Miss C. E'. Romanes.

18 Comwall Tervace: Jume 9.
My dearest ('harlotte.—We Wreall well and livels: Ascot and an 'at home y yesterday ; to-day atints' studios, dimer at the Pagets', and Simdursom's lecture ; to-morrow, College of Smoenns rexption ano dimer party of our own; and next week, one. two, or three engagemonts for every day. 'Babyon is in full swing, and I heard yesterday, from the head
of the (i it han be. I hats and the !u, min!
'The disconery? (ialldens) ther ' 'l'i in the fr such it $t$ heard of to work go to the bound $t$ o

It wo coverer, was a li wone to see the begin $t$. some wal

1 mm are in a a rexula

The lise in it it in $t$ there of 1 "ork lon

Fron
[114]. somit :11mmill ioll 1,1 Wins as
(itreil). lertert (ii) ouly -modurt to the tiom is icial in ur:ality usidered i.e. the its: and bereme)
ns. that foeling,
of the ('ronsins department, that for the last ten years it hat beed growing at the rate of 1 , one per woek.

I hase only time to write a few lines to thank yon and the mother fore the very jolly letters remived this moming, and to let yom know that we are all well.

The reanom of my haste mow is this axtrandinary disocorey that has been made in the Butanical (iardens, and which you have probably read abent in the 'Thimes.' Meduse have been fomed in swarms in the fresh-water tank of the Vietorial liewina Lily. Such a thing as a fresh-water Mednsa has neverbeen heard of before, and 1 want $t$ b lose no time in getting to work noon his physiology. You see when I don't wo to the jelly-fish the jelly-fish come to me, and I am bemen to have jelly-fish wherever I gor.

It wonld have been very odd if I had been the discoverer, as I shonld have been had I known that there was a living Vietoria Regis, for then I should have gone to see the plant, and would mit have failed to see the Medusar. Only in that casi I might have hegun to grow superstitions, and to think that in some way my fate wats bomd up in jelly-tish.

I must get to work seom beraluse all the matmadists are in a high state of excitement, and there has been a regular scramble for prionity.

The worst about this jolly-fish is that it will only live in a temperature of $90^{\circ}$, so I shall have to work at it in the Victoria Honse, which is kept at a tempera. ture of 100 ', and makes one 'sweat.' Jout I shall not work long at a time.

From 1852 to 1890 Mr. Romanes rented (iemices,
a beantiful plate owerlooking the belong to at eonsin of the Romamean :. : Muray, of the 81st Rogiment. (ay:...: mother and sisters lived not far in : Muratis and Romanes formed a little (ateri, if $\cdot$... not way populons neighbowhood.

He contimed to be an ardent sportsimam, and probably his happest days were those he suent tramping ove moors or phodding thromg turnips in those October days of perfert beauty, which seem especially peculiar to Scotland.

The smroundings of Geanies, withont being romantically beantiful, have a charm of their own. There is a certain melancholy and loneliness about the inland landscape round (ieanies which appeaded strongly to him. It is a place abounding in every kind of sea-bird, and it is ahnost impossible to describe the weird, uncamy effect which the long endless twilight of the simmer, the silence broken by hootings of owls, by the serean of a sea-gull, produce on one.

It is an old rambling house with long passages and mysterious staircases, and, as the children fomd. endless conveniences for playing at hide-and-seek. The libray is a most lovely room, lined with bookcases, and i eading into an old-fashionnd garden, full of sweet-simolling flowers.

It is intpossible to imaginn a more ideal aboole for a poet, a maturalist, a botanist, a sportsmam, than this, his smmmer home ; and as Mr. "manes wats. to some extent, all fom, (ieanies w: plime of excerding happiness to him.
'Two of his sommets are dic...ed $t$. my Setters', and 'T'0 Comites' and . . letter will show him as a sports,


GEANIES, ROSS-SHHRE

Even part of the rest Very prenings rery ant Xithing was alw: dreamy, dearest al hool' 'wio minly kine
$\Sigma_{0}$ he, :IIId, and an of

Hiso killuluess. Matere

## T', Mis. Rinmun's.

Achalibiter.' ('aithmess: Auguse 14. 188:i.
Thoday turned out mot at all bad after all: and athough there wis a good deal too much rain I had a ghorions time. Bag twenty brace of gronse, one brace plover, one hare, one duck; I comld eanily have got more, only Bango got so tired in the afternoon that we knocked off at five ordock, morewee I did not begin till cleven, as I did not wake till ten! So the twenty brace was shot in about five hours. 'The new setter 'Flora' is a beant.!. She is extrandinarily like Bango, but with a prettier face. she is a splendid worker.

Even at Geanies he alwass worked for some part of the day, and sport, temis, boating, filled up the rest of his time.

Sery often there was a honse party, and the evemings were particulaty bright-memy talk, games, very amatemrish theatricals, leamed discossions. Cothing came amiss to the master of the honse. Hes was always a little apt to be absent-minde. . : dramy, and his pet name, bestowed on him dearest and merriest of all the merry ' Gemies br hood' was 'Philosopher.' It sturk, and many per maly knew him by that name.

Noo ohe ever appreciated a good story mone than he, and, ats a friend hats said, his lamgh wats sumerr, and on oftell heard.

His own jokes were imsaliably frer from any mo kinderess, and he did not in the least apmereiate apatere or epigrame the peint of which lay chiefly, if
not wholly，in makindmess．Mans friende malivand his smmmer home，amd all those who paid at serond risit were known as the （Geanies brotherhood．＇
 temible news of Mr．Frank Balfour＇s sudden death．＇ His loss is irreparable．It is only a month since we met him at（iambridgre，lookings so well，quite recovered from his recent illnoss ；we wore looking forward to his promised visit．

Sept．—Mr．Iocelyer，the Brmatoms，and the Burdon Simalersoms have been here．Memorial Poem to barwin becinn．

Nor．14，Eirlimburgh．—Met for the first time Mr． and Mrs．Buteher，who were just taking possession of the Greek Chair ；also Professor Blackie，who was limself，and tallied much of the insolence of John Bull．

Jom．188：3．－1）r．Sinnterson is elected professor of Physiology at（）xford．
＇To this election was due the ultimate change in Mr．Romanes＇life in 1800 ，when he followed Dr．Sanderson to Oxford，attracted mainly by the farcilities for physiological research．
（ $)_{11}$ Jann．$\because$ of this year（1ssis）his mother died．
Mr．Romanes lertmed at the Royal Institution in ． annuan $^{\prime}$ ，and immediately afterwards went abroad on one of the only two（＇ontinental tons he took simply for pleasmre．He mach enjoyed this lalian jommes． and the rhyming instinct woke np in hin ereatly． He wrote a good deal abont this time，amd one of his sommets has reference to this jommey－Flomence．

[^21]Ho also made acpuaintance for the first time with a good many well-known novels, read to him during a temporary illness at Flomence -the precorsor, alas, of many such times of novel-reading. He shared Mr. barwin's tastes for simple, pure, love stories, and one of the party at Floremee well remembers how - The Heir of Redclyffe' brought tears to his eyes. For this and "The Chipplet of Pearls,' read to himi some years later, he had a ineat admimation.
 in St. Paul's, a special anthem by Stainer. The Warden of Keble and Wr. Liddon married them, and the whole service was very infmessive.

June-Mr. Spottisworders death hats beedi a terrible blow. Service at the . Dhbey. Wio put off our party on June 27 th ; it seemed improper to hate a party, mainly composed of scientitir people, the very day after the death of the President of the Royal Society.

12th.-Dinner at the Pagetre. Mret Browning, who is entirely on Carly le's side is props of l'roude s recent revelations.

15th.-Went to Professor and Mrs. Alhman, at Parkston. He is a most fascinating matmalist of the old type, caring for birds, and beants, and towers.

Met Mr. R. Clodd the uther uight, who alluded to - Physicus ' ${ }^{-}$and the tome of depmeswion in the book. (' ('andid Examination of 'Therinm.')


*Therom de plame adnted in writiur (iontid Firamimetion aj Thism.

This year Mr. Romanes and Professor Ewart set up a small laboratory on the Geanies coast, and the Jommal motes:

Professor Ewart conld not get the fammonse he hoped, and this wals monfomate, as he had writton to the British Asiociation and invited one or two foreigners to come and work and live in this farmhonse. In vain were the foreigners wamed not to come, for one evening in walked a young l)ame, who preceded a postcard he had sent amomeing his arrival. Very nice, and extremely embarrasised at finding himself in a comotry honse where people dressed for dimer.

However, he got acommodation in the neighbouhood and worked at Ascidians, but the experiment of inviting stray foreign scientists wan abamdoned.

Sipt.-The Alhnans, 'Tumers, and Mr. Lockiyer have been here, and we have been getting wip some prisate theatricals. $v$

Jon. 1sst.-Lecture at the Royal Institution on - the Darwinian 'Theory of Instinct.'

> To Mis ('. É. Pamomis.

Jamary in. 188.
I :man preparing a beatiful surprise for Vthel after she comes down agam. The library is to have its end wall papered and panelled, the conservatory is to be painted green, and tilled with stamds of flowers, and the little room is to have the window filled with stamed glass, the walls, ceiling, and doors,
beant if bow to
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Ms tite the written suid it how sol the sed how t.o write lis She al-
beantifully papereed and derorated. I experet my book to pay the bills. Is not this a niore idea?

Little Ethel's ideas about writing, by the way. are original. A few days ago she wanted me to play at ger-riee. I said, 'No, Ethel, father is writing.' She asked, "Writing letters on witing book?" I sajd, - Writing book.' Wherenpon she made the shrewd remark- 'Father not writing to anybody, father can play gee-gee.' So much for her estimate of my popularity as an author.

Jomroml, April.—Lecture at Manchester ; stayed with Professor Boyd Dawkins.
'This year Mr. Komanes attended (imon ('urteis' -Boyle Lectures' at Whitehall.

Jomruml, March 18sis.——(i. Laretured at ——. One of the hearers asked whether in the lereturers apinion man or animals had first appeared on the earth! (i. spent a pleasant day at Bromsighove with the F. Pagets.'

## I'口 James Romanes, İst.

1s Commall Tervace Hegent's Tark. N.N: : Jme 1, 1884.
My dearest James, - little Fthel has just brought mo the enclosed letter to semd to poll. She had written it as fiar as the up and down lines aro, and silid it was to tell you how mind she hoved pou, mad how solery she was that she shonld not sex poll when dre goes to (ieanies. She then skied mo to tell her how to write lisis. I told her that in letters they write kiss by a repess, and then she mate the orosses. she also made me promise to somed pou tho letter at
once, without any delary: and as the idea of writing you a letter wats entirely her own, I do ats I was told. Yon maty take it as a lefinite experession of the emotions, aren thongh it be not a very intelligible expression of idens.

She wants to kow why you are eroing away and whether gou will write to her when yon areatway and a heap) of other (questions of the same kind.

We are all well now, and I ann just going with the two Ethels to a children's semvice, which they both enjoy. It is very pretty to hear the little mes simging with the other children, which she doem perfectly in turne.

They are wating for me now, so with best how from all,

Yours ever the sames.
GEondis:
In 18s.i. (rame the first wamings of ill-health. Nh. Romanes had a short but very sharp ilhess, and after that year he suffered freguently from gont, which necessitated visits to varions foreign 'cures.' He war a perfect travelling companion, he liked to have armag ments made for him, and was never diseromponed if anything went wrong, never put out by any of the ordinary misehamees of trasel. Athomgh he alyay professed indifference to arehiter ture and art, he wombl grow quite boyishly anthasiastic over some cathedral. as his sommets to Imiems, and ('hrist ('hureh, () afored. testify, and for sempture he had ad real lowe.

In May Wes. (ame the first marked publie ntterance which showed that Mr. Romanmes wits bew in at very different mental attitude to that in whish he wrote his ' ('andid lixammation of 'Theism.

[^22]ToIrofissum Asen Gru!!.
$$
\text { Min: 16; } 188: 3 .
$$

Wear Professor（imy，－＇Threrecipt of bour kind letter of the lat instant has given me in full measime the sincerest kind of pleanme：for in the light sup－ plied bey sour second letter commmmicated to
 img of thespirit in which ？an wrote the first ome，and How son amble low tw foel that we hase shaken hands wer the matter．

For my own part 1 am always !-lad when diffor. enocs in matter of opinion admit of being home-tly
 in *a persent case, this disemssion leates to a basis of fas: ship). I therefore thamk fou most heartily fon sum latier, and remain vomes very truly,

G, J. Romixis.

J'S.- If you have mot already happermed torand at
 like to send you a eopy. I wore it six or seven veats ago and published it anonymomsly in 1878. I domat mow hold to all the aromments, mor shonld I expmos myself so strongly on the aremmentative fore of the remainder, but I shomld like fon to read the book, in order to show fou how glanlly I would enter font camp if 1 could only sae that it is on the side of 'I'rith.
f)ecember :0, lsasis.

1) (ar Professor (imy, — I sent you my papers an a return for those which you so kindly sent to mere, and for which I have written to thank yom before. I quite agree with your vew, that the doctrine of the homan mind having been proximately evolved from lower minds is not ineompatible with the dortrine of its having been due to a higher and supreme mind. Indeed, I do not think the theory of evolation. wern if-fully proved, would seriomsly affert the previons standing of this more important furstion.

The serrow is, that this guestion is so farm remosed from the reach of amy trustworthy answer. Or. at least. such is the sorrow if that answer when it anmes
is to pl lecy. 11 the rer Ifre (:All) मlestur thomen faith, th
(alll trll hyputhe: which mornty beliese t

But with my som lett we 1sillat Ampow Lon will

The: history trying t

The down " immer lit plexity, Etcrint

Real Religion fore. I co of this ed from trine if (1) mind. (on. amill previon
is th prose am affimative. If it is to be an ceternal Wepe mon donbt it is better to live as we are then in the certainty of a (iodless miverer. But although we rammet find anl sure absime the this momentens (fuestion, I (ammot help) fee ling that it in remomable (allthomeh it may not be orthodes) to werish this much faith. that if there is a (iod. whom, when weree, we ram tmly worship as well as dread. ild (amot ex humpthesi be a (iod who will thwart tine :rong desire
 merely becallse we fammet find wiabere chongh to believe this or that doctrime of dre matic 'Thendog.

But I do not know why I shomid thus tronble you with my troubles, muless it is that the kind hess of your letters has broken thromg the bars by which we usially imprison surh feeling from the world. durhow, I thank you for that lindness, and hope sum will forgive this somewhat odd repuital.

Very sincerely yours. (i. J. Romanes.

## - Ther desive to "rorship, Him.'

These words are the key-mote of the religions history of the pure and noble ehamatere which I am trying to describe.

The letters, so tour himg in the monentary beaking dom of reserve, qive, as it were, a mlimpere of the imen life, wive an indiation of the strugeng, the perpexity, the somew which eleven sears later anded in 'Fithonal P'eate.'
lieaders of the lately published 'Thoughts on heligion will see how gradmally he grew to pereenve
the remsomelleness of the. ('hristian F'aith: her hat never dombed the bealles, the momal woth, the attraction of that faith. And with him it war what Dante in his 'Paradime puts into is. Bempart's mouth:

> - (luella luce cotal si diventa,
> Che vonersi dat lei per ntro aspett"
> E: innossibil che mai si consenta.'

And thromg all thes pars there was a romanat willinguess to try to ad other people in their diftirultios, ter remose stumbling-bloeks which hinderen others. Ho was always willing todisenss problemenf belief, always perferety fair and candid, and theme wert net a fow who, sinere his death, have spoken of the real help which he gate them He did not drep pe ligions observances; on sumday in London he nanally went tu ('hrist ('hurd, Albany Street, of which the present Bishop of st. Albans was then vicat, and fow some years at Gemais hat had a short Evening hervion forguests and servants who could not drive tem mikn to churd.

This service, matess a depgoman happened to lut staying at (Gemies, he comdurted himself, and ended it by reatling a semon. He had all his Presty terime ancestors' lowe for a mood discourse, and serions efforthad tobe mathe to prevent him from reading tow lon at semilom.

Mozley's 'Vnivemity Sermons' he liked partiandarly, and when these were divided, they wor tolerated by his andience, who at first considered them mach tow long. He also read many of Dean Churdisermolls.

He first haew the Dean in 18s:3, and althomath only went wery occanionally to the Deamery. he wia greatly impresised be the striking personality of the areat divine and scholar, whon to kinem wats to lowe

|en+11ial and 1 in qrat w :ll ap all thr:
 ッi\%ed rexern nisㄴ.

He and th Kinma indered had 10 mer of iffts of (hly 11 - Land,

The Mr. Min ().fored
bertial sympathe with perplexitioxad touble of heart and mind, and the indefinable air of distimetion which a Ireat writer stamps on every hit of work he modertakes, all appeated to Mr. Romanes ; and abose and heyond all these, the almost anstere loftimen of thomght, the minal heights implied in Dean (hurehos writiogs, , rixed on the mind of olle who beyond all dse, miserenced persomal dhamere and persomal geodpips.

He really enjoyed reading Inem Churehis sermons, and they exercised much inflnence on him. For Ni.wman, on the other hand, he had littla liking, and indeed he never did Newman adenmate justice. He hall promised a friculd just before his death to read move of Newman, and disower for himself the great gifte of that wonderful man, lat there was not time. Only one lit of Newman's wringe wat dear to him, - Lead, kindly Light.'

The following letter rose out of a conversation Mr. liommes had with I)r. Paret, daring one of the ()xford visits:

The Palace, Ely: June 15, 18sti.
My dear Romames, - I have often and anxionsly thmght over the guestion whieh you askerl me when fon were at Oxford abont your boys edncation, and the part which you should take in hic religions training: and I would venture, with most true and affertionate gratitude for yom trust. to write a few limes in partial gualification of what I then sated.

I start on the ground of gom own wish (for which imbed I am with all my hear thamkfol) that your beys Warater should be fashioned after the ('hristian trpe and mader the inthence of ('hrist. And 1 am ats maxions an we that, even if your own estimate of the evideneres
of Christianity shonld for a long while remain ats it in. your children may mever, in their later gears, foed that you arer tanght them anything which you did mit believe: on every gromad I long to avoid all damgern such a thonght arossing their minds. But at the same time I do long that they may be spared to the very last possible moment the knowledge that in the judgment of the mind which they, I hope, will men: reverence and love, the bases of their religions trunt and hepe are uncertain. It is only far on in life. 1 think, that a man comes to realise either the vant ind portance of things which are not held with absolute certainty, on the mysterions and complex mature of ther act of fath, and the diseipline of obscurity, and the way in which real spiritnal prontess may be going on where the mind seems omly to be holding on, as it were, with fear and trembling.

T'o a boy of sixtern the mere knowledge of mater tainty in his father's mind may drain all the moral rogency ont of the whele conception of religion :-the very suspicion of the murertainty may monerve him more than the full realisation of the doubt womb change his fathers aim and hope in domeg his duts.

And so, at the risk of paining you-believe me, would rather have the pain than give it you-and presuming very thankfully on the wish of which you spoke, I would plead that your children might remain as long ats possible in ignorance of your uncertaints and ansiety; that they should only know in a gemeal way that the religions influences, the principhes of their Gedwated life which they receive, ate given to them by yon wish-that you would have them grow
i1) aft and I wilfer t where served. that in lix sille if erem acto of

Ple: written 1 pras and you

My mader Streme riderate Pain I Mower
'The my"ow that in vatisfial ippeatis ... siot that in Prowe di at al 11

11 as it i. frell that 1 didi mi dalligern! it it the. rl tor tir lat in thr. will muni (1)lis tront in lift. 1 PVat intualowhlutr wre of thr , illud thr going on On, is it
of macerhe: moral (oll :-ther erve him bt wonld is dut!. we life, l -and prehich you it remailu (erertaint! a gralleral (ip)les of givell to -111! ! リル
II) after that type with that hope and aspiration ; and I would plead that for their solies jour should suffer the pain, wrat as it mas be, of bering reticent
 served. Yoll may be maspeakably thankful some day that yon did sosuffer : -and, whatever comes, you wiil be sume of your childrenis derpest love and gratitude, if ever they shond know that this was one of your acts of self-saleritice for them.

Please forgive me dear lionnantes, where I have written blanderingly, of given jon monecersialy pain. I pras (aod to wide and teard and whaden both you alld yours, and I alm

> Youl afferetiomate friend, ['mavios Pabra.

Geanies, hosseshire, N.I;: June 24.1886.
My dear Paget,--I should indeed reguire to be made of maduly sensitise material, if either the astreme kindaess of your thomght or the most ron-- iderate delicacy of your expression conld give me pain. Patal have, but it is of a kind that is beyond the power of friends either to mitigate or to increase.

The advice which you give areords precisely with my"own view of the matter, and it is meedless to say that in surh an arreement I find mo small degree of artisfaction. Moreoser, the primephor whioh it thus appeats to be my duty to adopt ame made easey for me. ... So that on the whole it does mot now appear to me that in its practical aspects the problann is likely to prove diftioult of solntion ; althomin theoretically, or as a matter of ethics, I do think it is a complex
gucetion whether (ow how firs) parente shmald teak dogmas as farcts, of matters of faith at mattors of kowledge. Happily. however, othies ate tor mats
 ing to follow the right of the gemed. instine is whell a better guide than sylloginm.

And mow, in emblasiom, let mumdeavom-inade.
 gratitule 10 you for having so earnestly taken me trombles into yomr (omsideration. I assume som that some letter has; tomehed me truly, and that on is aceomat I am mose than wer happy to subseribu me. nelf

> Yous: alfertionate triond.

(imo. J. Rimsina.
Inmmal sily:-
 St. J'all's and heard a tine sermon from Inr. Liddan. He - poke very tomehingly of Lady helbomes death. and alsu alluded to Max Mialler"s new bow.

Hase been to Pteiderers Hibbert Leetures. Whe met Peflederer the other days, and he desertibed : Sumday in whieh he had tried to study Emolish religious life. Spurgeom, liarker, and, I thimk, stop ford Browke or Haweis, I forget which, he took an samples: Phoiderer also went to st. Pimil: an the dily the Bishop of Limeoln: was comsereated, and an he get within emshot he heard lor. Liddonis silvery voice promomating his own name tet with approval.
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Wers are like teleneopes，bumase they are made to
 arame heranse they far exerent the males（mails）in intrigisence．
（i．fiddled at an amaternr comerert at＇Tain．
Ifr．F．（ialtom is here Ho toll 11 a all ammsing dild＇s question：• How did sallsitges ind along when they were alive？＇

The two Ethels left this aftermonn minns．their hos－ Yut and luncheon，which arrived at the station with the don－cart just as the train wis hation．Pathetio． it was to see their humg ryou lowking at the noat furcheon basket from the train windows！Wianer all will here．L＿＿is here．Ho hats mon limed his first homedred caltridges，and hats mothing to show hat a mane of cats which he took a pot shat at in the trees．

Nosember 1：
I ：man now playing at the last diys in the old homsen， and daing so in the libraty all bey murlf．1，－－left this moming，and we all lealse to－momows．（iemald



I hatve sommewhat reliesed the momotomy of my whitus lifn by buying a homse．This yon will mo dulth think is a purehase well timed and thas worthy

have tor pay for his lierp, and meror hatre a rhanere of a single bit of use for hime all that time. Yet, strange to say, I think I hame marle a gomed batrain.

 of Sretic fintme.

 says the stady of statistios firsoinates hom jont in


Redmminer for alittle while to the seremtitie went of these yeatrs, ome maty say that they wore rhitht devotere to the more philosuphiral side of his worl .a a matmbalist.
'Animal latrlligroncr, • Mantal Fivolntion is Smimals, appeared respertively in lSBl and lises, and arre worls designed to prove that the lan of - Volntion is maversal, and applies to the mind of man as woll as to his borlily organisation.

 ohsorving, of of being a "papor philosophor" both these books alomand in stories of amimals, amd armefll of interest, for allyonn caringe at all for 'beasto, puitu apart from the spereial objeret of the books.




 mation-why, the writer af this memorn hat mern harn able to diserover.
 the Limmean Socroty on - Physiologioal Soleotion an
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Mr. 1 Matturial Hmbun ine lifr-p
 phikomply of evolution，durime which time．he hall Gradnally beroll coming th the romalnson that matmal
 int the proderetion of speeies，hat that there monst be
 avolation．
 danses of whervable fallote：lime that all planto and
 Wemere，there being in every erompation of mery vecien a great many mome individuals bom than ran misibly survise；and seemally，that the offorminge，al－ themeh elosedy resembling the patment form，do pesent individual variations．It follows therefore，that，these individuals pressenting variation in any wis belorfacial on them in the struggle for existenmer will surver as

 ant mily te lise themselves，but alon to trammit their manmable gualitios to their offoprime．If a sperial lime of bariation is in some way presement．theme may ＂malt，：batioty se fixed and on distime from the
 apabate speries．

Fimther，sime thermiomment（i．e．the sum total a the extemal emmition of lifer is emomamally rhanging it follows that matmad solvertion man showly alter a type in aldaptalimen the shenly rhamening －nviramment，and if in ams rane the altratioms




Ar．Remmanes perinted and that the thents of



origin and ermmative development of adaptations. whether these be distinctive of operies, of of ameran families, rasses, de.

The question then arines. do yeeries differ from -peries soldely in points of a nsefnl character, as they modombedly should do if matural selection has beem the sole factor in their formation? lasestigation shows that systematists recomive a sperios by a collection of chamacters, the salae of a chameter depending not on its utility, but upon its stahility: in fact, a large proportional nomber of speritio chat racters, surch as minute detaits of structure, form, and rolour, are wholly without moming from a ntilitarim point of view. Investigation thether shows that the most general of all the beras of a true sperion in ross-infertility, that is. The infertility of the offoming of two individarls belonge to separate spectes:
 natural selection. Iastly, apart from the primary distime ton of aros-infertility, and the inutility of so many of the secomdary sperefice distinctions. Weither of whels be bexplained by the action of matural seleretion, Mr. Romanes watestrongly of the ophinion that wen if a beneficial variation did arise, the swamping efferts of free intererossing wonld reabsorb, it, and so render acolation of species in divergent lines, in distingushed from linear tramsmatation, imposible. 'This last difficulty can only be met by assmming that the same beneficial rartation arises in at momber of individuals simultaneonsly. for which assumption our present knowledge fumishes mo wamant. If matural selection is bromght forward as the sole fareor in the sudance of orgmir arolution, then heremsidered that these difficolties rematin insumbomtable: if, however, it is regarded as a factors, wom the rhief factor, then these ditticoltties samish, it being consistent, in the latter rass. Wh hold the wther
factor: the di this pis of ip nlecti ralled (apable m"1"! spicios. Vime 1 is is is present only th by mat allownd
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factor, or factors. Respomsibla for an explamation of the diffienlties in question. It wats the ohjeret of this parar to sugerat another fareme in the formation of spereios, which, althomeh imhepementer of matural

 rapable of explamimu the farts. of ibe inmitit! of man!! spurific chanactoms, the ross-intiotilit! af whiril



 (only thr ones that happern to be bateral are presarved by matmad selection. 'The maters variations are allower to die out immediatery be intererossims.


 when mader the mosing influenme of matmbal semetios!
 prevonted from interoposinge wit! the foret of it.
 for the most part of a trivi and mansedal kinalsombl arise within that ar ion, ambl in time patis

 which are particolarly riels in perenliar sperefos, and Where intercerossing wans. 1 enmar. provented with the migimal paremt form ber the atomon of the


 and the corss-infortilit! remanime to be exphamed.
 the intial step in the whisia of sperios is the arising of this infertility as ant independent variation, by whis! frere intereros-ins with tho parent form ons ar
eommon area is presented, and sperifie differemtiatmen mendered pessible. Immmemble varisties are known to orcoll which do mot piss into distinet spercis. the reason being that this initial rariation, that in incipient infertility whereby the swamping efferen of intererossing might be obviated, was lackinge and the variations became re-absorbed. That is, wiven any demee of sterility towards the parental form whid doess mot extend to the varidal form, then a mew species momst take its arigin. Withont the bar of sterilits: in Mr. Romanest opinion, free interemonime most render the formation of speries impossibla. Matual sterility is thas the eames ant the resolt, if sperific differ, nitiation. As reards the oremernere of this intial variation, the reproductive system is kmon to be highly variable, its variability taking the form wither of inereased fortilitr. or of sterility in all degreme. amd depemting of either extrinsic canses (chamen of forl. © limate, de.), of on an intrinsic canse arising in the sostem itself.

Firom the mature of this additional faretor at work in the formation of species, Mr. Romames called his thew "phymongioal selection.
 "preating with matmal selection, the former allowing the latter to act be interposing its law of sterilits. with the result that the secomdary specitio chatacter mas be either adaptive of mom-adaptive in ehatacter.

T', Miss ('. LA. Romumes.

'The Limuen Socioty paper went off admiably. There was a laver attendance that exer I sam theme before but this may hase been partly due to the presielent (lubbock) hasing had a paper down for the same weming. He was emosiderate momeh to with
dran it at the last moment so as to leave alif the wring for mine. I spole for an hom and a half, and the diselnsion lasted another homr. The paper itself I have brought with me here, and an mow putting the lan tomehes umen it.

Probably I shall have to try the mat experiment dean, if the gomg omes show no signs of piebalding. But look at them oreasionally to see

There would be no nse in getting the parrot to make a gesture sign at the same time as he makes a verbal me; for, as sou say, he would om! show that he call establish an association between a phatase amd a thing (whether object quality, or action), and about this there is no guestion. 'The question is whether he wall inse verbal signs, not only ins stepeotyped in phrases (when they are really equivalent to only one word), but as movable types, which he can tramspose for the parpose of expressing different idens with the surtur words.

He writes concerning: Jmion Sciontitio Socicty which had a meeting to diancons his theory:
-The meeting wis the best fun immoinable, the faper was morely a statement of my theory by a gomg man who made it very clear. ——got upand mpresed disapporal of the theory, but expressly dedined to argue, so I had merely to give him some chaff. The yommen highly enjoged it. Afterwath; they were enthusiastie in their applanse.

I hate no doube, if I had mot been presemt, the elans wonld have hed a very different innpression both of mo and m! themy:

TV I'roliessur M/rmbula.

Dear Profenow Meldola, - Phesiohogical selertion
 my head. If I hat kaown there wats to hame bem so much tall abont it at the British Assorfiation I wheld have geme 明 to defend the new-born. If gem were there, call son let me know the main ohjeetions that were meged? It seemes to mere there is alsmif deal of mismoderstamding abroad, due, mo doubt. :" the insulticioney with which my theory hat heres stated. In 'studyinge the paper, therefore, plear keep standily in view that the batkbone of the whene romsista in reganding matnal sterility as the conser mo at least. the (chief ramlition) instaded of the result of specific differemtiation. 'This is just the opposite virw to that mon hedl by all exolutionists, and. I belime. by D:
 somad, mey theory is obviomsly not restricted tw ans one chast of ramses that may indure muthal sterility.
 regards the repreductise system; the may be either direet in the if action on that system or indirect 10.4 Hatural solection, or ase and disuse, dre. produring
 (on that system) : therefore these (allises maly wis either on a fell or on many individnals. Set Wallawe does mot seem to see this, but arenes in the Font nightly that they call only ate on an individuat lame and theres.


 aking the tomblo tomalarstand it．How abomed

 －idered the theore limel with its eontext，the pats－ ＊ balitions in the wa！of sterility with paremt forms
 imetions by matmal selactions．lont physiolowieal


 Wen that nothing eonld hase heren farther from the mind of the writer than a theory which womld have membered his whole argoment stuperflooms，and I ran areoly beliere that if the therory of physiological vection had rerocecomed to hims，he would not have mentionere it，if only to state his ohjeretions to it．as



 at this kind，and therefore I shomld like it to he ofiven with folle eyes operl．I＇rejudiee at first there mant se，but there meed mot loe mismoderstamdines inne mivate eontespondernee shows me that the theory hats ahemd！e stanek root in some of the best minde who inmalamand it．Ans explamation，therefore，will be Ladly grivn youl ly

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Somis rey trilly, } \\
& \text { (imo. .l. Rovaxas. }
\end{aligned}
$$

 rlosed, and therefore murh obliged to you for lation. me see it. But it would hate been made a better 'answar' if it had gome on to say something ahmas the relation of surh all experiment (supposing it ane
 Some wreks ago I was plaming with a friond Clesely amalogrons experiment, but designed th mox duce a 'family' which would be sterile toward the majonity of the parent form, or not only towarde mis other 'family: And it seremed to me that if the could be done it womld amomat to the artitiofiat cration of a new sperios be consedons selertion of physiologic:al kind.

But, as far as I (all gather from the cmelond the idea secons to be that of experimenting on the whe ditions leading to storility; bot that of manding sterility, howerer comditional, as itself the comdition of sperifie divergence. In other words, the parmite seems to go upon the supposition that stemility is the result and not the camse of specifice divergener. Bai if so, I do not see that it afferts the question whether he ever contemplated the later possibility:

I have just received Seebohm's Sritish Assoriatimen paper, which, except when it repeats Wallacers abjere tion about the doctrine of chancers, clsewhere comiond comtradicts all the points in his reiticism.

The editor of the 'Fortnightly' tells mer that : further delay has arisen in bringing out my mels, on
 "man part I thimk that all this time of aritions at the ancolt jumeture is a mistales. In ret the theory is
 wherlate dat:a for forminis a definite cpinion.
Therefore I regret the porbinhed aposition-those


 are in so laborions a meatreh that I moblinhed the -4ntion in ontlins.

1 wonder who ('atchpule is:' His :manery in


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (in.o. J. linwnis. }
\end{aligned}
$$



 thar rewardin, comdition
 lity is $d n$ ice. Bmin whe the
 an mation to strerility. I mamot lind ally bowk on ane of his at the L.S. library which trate of this

I am making aramoments for trying whether dreme ally dempers of sterility to be fomm between Wrillomitred amd constant lariotios of plants. But, Whase never done anythine in the way of hetride Bimge prothas you womld be geod among to let me ban whether the emelosed plan of experimenting Ppresents the full and proper way of enning to work. lkme that four do mot beliew in the whene of it, int. wem suppesing it to be a wild geose chase there Wimald be mo ham in your telling me the beat way to
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rum. 'Thern, whether the results prose paritio. on negative, it will mot be open for ans one to domber then: on the gromud of any fanlt in the methend.
 (ritices in the "Nimaterenth ('ratury"? ()f rama! might have satid mowe about the swamping efferem free intererossing (which appeats to me thr mily point in which I deviate at all from the "()rixin if
 dealt with in a review. My greaterst difficollt! heret is to comeroise the pessibility of differentiation on
 withont the assistanere of isolation in some fown of amother.

> fonis wer trily,
> (imo. J. Ramama.
 what I ford most in need of, and therefore it in me morit on mer part to like it when it comes.

The peint about the eombined artion of matural and physiologieal selection is, after all, a wery van ordinate once, mal, as I satid in ' Xature' some werkago, is the most highly sperenlative and leant tmot worthy part of the thenct: Moreover, it is the ml! part that is direretly opposed to an expressed comelnime in the "Origin," though, wem here, the ondenition is not real. If matmal seloction ran do amythins at all in the way of bringing about sterility with parent forms, it can only do so bey acting on the typ of whole commmaty (for I quite agree with the

intin!
mold
smir it
an hi hivinel
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ROMND:
(-1tt kindire it is l:
of matural
 Fonte Mrntis loast trur is the mil! (conctlasin (1) praitin. () allsthim milit! wit OH the t! ! (1) with the t do an l!
arting (an individuals) ; and whe ther matural seler tion monld in any caise act ont a type is an question which minf father has told me he could mever puite make af his mind aboult. except in tha "atse of sorcial hymumpteral and moral semse of man.

Lom will see what I mem ly serondary variafimbs by looking at page sefif of my parer. It is merely a short-hand expmession for all othere aperific differences a save the sexual differefne of strerility. My. wiew is that these secondary differemers ate always sure to arise somen or hater in some dire tion on an, the wherever a pertion of a species is separated from the ret. whether bygergraphical or physiolowical inolation, whicth indeed, as regards the former, is ne mome than ynn following Weismam, de. a mementedge. Now, to Ine it secems obvions that Weismamis samiations wis. slight changes in the form of shells) (ammot panibly be themselves my 'plysidumial sports,' athough they may very well be the consergueneres of Whe a sport leading to physiolougionl isulation, and so an independent variation in twa on three dirertions -imultamensly, till afterwards bended by intermassing. And my reasm for thinking this is that - Wrisitallin's variations, always arose in crops at mumumisly long intervals of time. (Sn the mere dantrine of chances it therefore heromme imposibible © onppose that cach of thes sariations was due to

 if met, why should they always have arisen in (rops, ach member of which was demomstrably fertile with Hew wher members of that arop. While wio) less
demonstrably streile with the arimal parant fomm？ Therefore，what I see in these facts is preceisely what． upon m！theory，I should expect to see vi\％．tirst．a ＇primatry vation，or＇physiolomical sport，arisum at long intervals；secondly，closely following mpen this，a crop of＇seecondary rariations＇in the way of shight morphological changes affereting two or thre different＇strains＇simultaneously；and thirdly，an eventual blowding of these strains by interemoming with one another without being able to intererow with the suromaling and（at first）very murh more numerous parent form．

But I can now quite understand why you thondh these fants were＇dead against＇me；you thomat that every single slight change of morphology mas （on my theory）hase had a separate＇physioluyical sport＇to acoome for it．＇This，however，most cm－ phatically is not my theory．Physiological indil－ tion I regard as hasing momphological consemperner precisely amalogous to those of geographical isolation： and you would not think of agming that there mant be a separate geographical isolation for ereer slight change of structur－for．example，that areonliar species of plant growing on a mombain top mant have had one isolation to explain its chamge of form，and mother isolation to explain its chanse of colon＇．

Lastly，if you will look up Hilgendorfs paper about these smails of Steinheim，I think yon will tind it impossible to suppeser that all these little chature （thus arising at lomg intervals in（rops）（ath have been useful．Or，if wou can still dombt，look mpthe
－11．forln？ aly what． i\％．tirut．a ， ，ivin！ －ing 11 pun he will of ，of there hirdly，all ereporains intrimpor HIt $\cdot \mathrm{h}$ mone

Ill thromght 11 thought ，loge mant ysiological most rell－ i（：al）inolile Lserylteller lisolation： here munt veres slinht a perculial
top） 11111 h change of chathe of

ハf゙ゥ n will tind Ir ch：angin （：all hatr ook 11 p the



What I meant abont the sexmal sistembeing －werially liable to variation is，that it is sperefatly liathe to variation in the way of sterility．In other watho．Hhanged eomelitions of life mone readily effect mationse in the primary functioms of the sexnal ットten than they do in genoral mompholog．But at the sume time，I fuite agree with rour view that in the latsi resort all ehanges of stoucture may be fenaded as date to variatioms of this system．And， ary will see by tmming to pp． $371-7.3$ of my paper， improtant copital is made out of this doctrine．

Sow about making too murl of the imatity of perific characters；if I do so，it is eming on the side of matural selection ；for it rearly follows from this theory that，if there are ally neleses struc－ twien at all，they onght to orrobr with（oreater ？）
 seleciom has mot had time to remove them．But I rammet think I hase here mandely faromed matural veretion．For althomerh thore are not a few instances of apparently aseless structures rmming thronah eren an entire reass（as ther（）rigia＇remarks），these are mint moly infinitely less mannerons thann apparently
 mume liare trivial．

Sow the latter fact，compled with that of the aratly wider ratige of their orearrencer，appeats to lue internsely to strengthen＇the argument from
 hedieving that they are now，on once were，of use．

Fior in the case of speries, the 'once were' promibilit: is virtaally excluded.

1 mopes to this point, I do mot believe that an:one ret has half done justice to matmal selfertion in respere of its artion subsergent to the formation in queries-at least, mot expressly: But I most , hme口и.

I shondd greatly like to sere fordanis paper. sif J. Hooker and Professor ()liver have sent merefor rences to literature, but neither of them mention this.

Why my answer to Wallare hats mot apleared in this month's "Fortnightly` I allo at a losis to malrestand. 'The editor bullied mer with letters and telemrans to have it ready in time, till I haid everything else aside, and sent him bark the prow on the 1 :5th.

This new theory ronsed the pablic interest (an far as the seicentifie public wore coneerned) and produced much criticism.

There is a serentifie orthodeny as well as a themlogical orthedoxy 'plus loyal gue le roi, mand by the ultra-Darwinians Mr. Romantes was regarded as being stromgly tainted with heresy.
'The 'Tlimes' devoted a leader' in Augist hisilita the therory, and the president of Seretion 1 ) it the British ! Siseriation at Bath in the sime month alla rritieised it.

A shatep discussion took place in the colmum in - Nature, and it is characteristice of those who took the chicf part in this controversy that their frimedly relations remained mudistmbed. Mr. Wiallare riti-

Ruma愔: rined the theory in the F'ortuightly, and Mr.
hat ：1lli－ artion in Mation 14 $111: 5, \checkmark h 110 i$

Ramanes wrote an article in the＂Nincternth Century＂ Wescribing his beliefs on the subjert．This theory was sey（dose to his heart，and perhaps mo part of his work was left minfished with more keen regret．

Ha plamed a course of experiments on plants ia an apine sarden which，through the kindness of M． forreom，Professon of butany at（ieneva，he was able in berin on a plot of eromind near Bomrg St．Pievere，on dhe great St．Bernard．

Other work diverted him a mood deal from this， int Mr．Romanes had always latge plans of work． lowing forward through a coums of years．

There were some experiments on the power dogs


With this year came the appointmont to a Leer－ tureship in the Eniversity of Edinburgh on＂Ther Mhesophy of Natural History．！This lectureship Mr．Romanes held for five years，and he conoged the inthight＇s residence in Edinhmog it involved，and the meetings with Edinhorgh perople．He gan to his Hase a comse on the History of Biology，and them proweded to take them thromigh a comrse of lectures in the Evidences of Organic liwolution，on the theo－ rim of Lamarck，of Mr．Darwin himself，and on post－ Darwinian theories．These lertures he worked up into the three years＇comse he gavas Finderim Pro－ Fwor at the Royal Institution，with many additions and alterations．The substance of them now apperars in＇Darwin anr after larwin，parts i．and ii．I thima wimme was to hate been devoted to lhysiological －wection，and amongh was prepared in the form of Lans to justify publication．

It the end of lises there fell on the Romames manly a bitter sorrow．Of the（ieanies borother burl．＂the brightest and mervest，a remomably hamd－ ＂ule joyous girl，absolutely miselfish and＂sweet， ＇Through the kindness of Lord lineders．
most dearly loved and lovimg, was the first to dir. Her death was a terrible sompow not only to her own immediate circle of relations, but to the frimels to whom she had been as a very dear sister. (On Mr. Romanes this death, so sudden and so startime. made a deep and lasting impression. From thin time more and more he turned in the direction of faith, and his ferlings foumd an ontlet in poetry mone fregumatly and more effectually than before.
T'u Miss C. İ. Romanes.

Edimburgh: Christmat Dity, 16ert.
My dearest Charlotte, The time has come when it is some relief to write, but how shall I begin to tell the sadness of the saddest tragedy that has ever bern put together? First the homs of fluctuating hom: and then the growing darkness of despair. She hand previonsly asked whether Ethel and G. J.' hat come down from London, and on being told that we were in the house was soglad. We were admitted at night. and only had to watch for three hours the peaceful breathing, slower, slower, slower, until the hast. ()h. the mearthly beanty of that face! Nothins I have ere seen in flesh or in marble-nothing I could have wer conceived fould approach it. But try to picture it as you lnew it in life changed into something so mat more beatiful that it seemed no longer hmman, but the face of the angel that she was. Then in one rown her little child, in another her mother, utterly hrokew by illness. For my own part I have never had a grief so great as this. Even in our sistar's case theri

[^23]were clements of mitigation; but hereabsolutely none. ()h. it is bitter, bitter; so much of lifers happinesis ruptied out and Edith, onr own Edith, no longer heres!

In memory of this friend Mr. Romanes wrote a little poem called 'I'o a Bust,' and from this a few lines are given.

There is one point to which the writer of this memoir would like to call attention.

Mr. Romanes was incapable of examgeration, of writing for effect, of insincerity: What he wrote he int , and his very simplicity and sweetness of character, his childlike trust in the sympathy of others, made him unreserved to his friends, to those whom he loved.

> "Upon shat Christmas Vive
> We saw thee poss away,

We heard the music of the parting breath;
We saw a light of angels in thy faceA beanty so incffable, that Death Was changed into a minister of (irace:

The momatains in their antmm lines. Of momtain reds and momatain bhes, With heather and with highland bells, Wwait thy step on hills and fells; The sponigy peat and dew. moss Remember where we nsed to crossRemember how they loved thy tram, Make for thy stejes their softest bed: The murmuring streans are callong thee. The woodlands sigh in evers tree; Yet when I walk nuon the shore, The waves are whispering-nevernome!

Mourntulls, monrufilly whispering, thes, Whispering, whispering every das, Thys sonl in their waters, thy breath in their spraty, 'Ihy spirit still speaking in all that they say.

Ther linew there well, those werely rorks, Amb now they rear thrir rugered blaclas When I [mse bes,
T'o atsk mur why
'Threy never feal thy tender hands ; Amel all the yellow of the sands Is spreat to erreat They tirrless feet, Which loved to walk them when the tide was low.

Now when I walk alome.
To herar the oerean moan:,
The seta-birds circlinct rombl
Sweep almost to the gromme.
And perp and pry above my head to know
Why thon dost never comr,
'lo watch them flying home,
I pon the purple breast,
Whar daylight simks to mest.
The Joumal 1887, 1888 , and 1859) is full of mand tion of plasiant dimers and meetings with interestime people. Yomigas Mr. Romanes was, he attained lome before he died 'that whirh should aceompany old asw honomr, lowe, oberdionce, troops of friends.' 'and at man turns ove the brief peeneds of the Jommal one is strmet with the brightuess of his outward life. He emporedent stant pleasint intercourse with mem and women differ ing widely in pursuits, in opinions, in sor ial positins: he was full of plans for work, work which led him into many different phases of intellectual life, and he haw a ery year an atmixture of conntry life and comuta pursuits, and the love for masic and for poetres. which incerased each year, kept him from growing low absorbed in science, from being at all ome-sided. He used sometimes to say he had too mamy interosta. hat be that as it mar, these intorests ano him murn enjoyment and made him the most delightfinl of rompanionis.

A dear friend wrote of him after his death that 'In the home few men have beem more smromodnes
has.
$10+1 \mid 1$
fove, of hase better dosersed it, and few men have hern more loved by thase ontside his home. He had an anlimited apacity for loyal, the-hearted friembliph. Lome most truly said, 'lommanes was the most loyal of frimuls.'

There was something womanly in the tendemess which he felt for anyone in tromble of mind or body, and he was-what pertaps is ceren mone ratr-ahwas: andy io put aside his own work to help other people. He never grudged time or tromble to write letters or intimonials; he was ahwas ready to an and see perple who were sat or lonely; he wis never ton insy to be kind. He was internsely loved by those who served him, and few hase bern better served. There were very few rhanges in his homsehold, and In one was ever more mowilling to give med dess aromble, to find fanlt without cansis, than he, or more pady to be really grateful for the morndging and hring and devoted serviee he rereived. 'Son were the niesest master I wer served,' wrote a gamekeeper. Tho think I have lived for fifteen year's with him and never heard a cross word,' was said the day he was taken from his home. In money matters he was anerons and almost lavish in readiness to wive and also to lend.

In Mr. Romanes there was a certain chivalrous temper which could be roused to strong indignation where it was encountered by injustice and oppression, and the following letter to the 'T'imes' is one of may such:

## To the Eititor of the 'Times.'

Sir,-On several previons occasions I have been instrmental in obtaining remission of grievons sentences at the police-courts by simply drawing attention in your correspondence columns to the cases as
they appear in your police reports. Adopting this course, I think that the following, which apperated in your issue of the 2!th ult., reguires some explan tion:
'At Wandsworth, James Clarke, iged 17. a weakly-looking lad, residing at Byegrove Road. Mitchan, was chaged with stealing two tumip. value Brl., growing in a field belonging to Mr. H. Bance, at Merton. The prosecutor having lost a glantity of produce, Police Constable Whitty was set to watch the property, and saw the prisoner pull the tumips and put them in his pocket. The acrosed said he had had nothing to eat all day, and being very hungry, he took the turnips ! A previous conviction was proved agrinst him for felony, and he was now committed by Mr. Demman for six weeks' hard labour.'

One would like to possess a good large ficld of turnips, where each turnip can be fairly valued at 11 d. But, taking this as the true value of the parl ticular turnips in question, it appears that a starving man is now serving a week's hard labour for every half-penny's worth of the cheapest possible kind of food that he could steal. It is, of course, very right that he should have received some measure of pmishment, if only as a warning to others in the neighbourhood; but the measure of punishment which he did receive seems, in the face of the matter, monstrons. We are not told what was the 'felony' for which this 'weakly-looking lad' was previonsly convicted ; but. at any rate, we do know that on the present occasion his theft was not for any purpose of gain. It must
have b hlimiser mol his sto - ily (111) ijon.

He
turel (an his ows alilities Limel not less 'His upon hi level of quite a moment

Joll the H talk ane like an could It derful better. ()n one seseral once tw to say t and add

## 1691

hare been, as he said, merely to allwiate the pains of humger, for otherwise he would hate carried some more capacious receptacle than either his poekets on his stomatch. On the whole, therefore, I say-and ay emphatically-this (ase demando some explamatim.

I am, sir, yours, do.
(I. . I ).

He was always ready to listen to what yomener men (and women) had to say, to talle to them about his own subjects, his own work, to draw out their athilities, to discouss their difficulties. What Mr. Lionel 'Tollemache has written of Professor Owen is wot less applicable to him:

- His imate modesty enabled him, when speaking upon his own subject, so to let himself down to the level of the ordinary listenors that they mot only felt quite at their ease with him, but fanciod for the moment that they were experts likr himself.'

Journal, Jan. 1888.—Met Mr. Burne-Jones at the H'mphry Wards', and had much interesting talk inent Rossetti. Bume-Jones said Rossetti was like an emperor; his voice was that of a king who could quell his subjects. Also that he had a womderful memory for metre, but that Swimburne's is better still, inasmuch as he can remember prose. ()n one oceasion Swinburne recited to Burne-.Jones several pages of Milton's prose which he had read once twenty years previonsly. Burne-dones wout on to say that Rossetti worked a great deal at his portry, and added, 'That's what you can do with words,

Wory theme at much as you like, but you rant tomen a picture.
 ridere most admarably.

14 Cornwall Torrace: March 1, 1~..
My dearest Charlotete, I !ind that neither of un wrote yesterlay, so I hate two of yourr letters io answer tu-day.

You rertainly serem to be having marbl the lax time of it as rexards weather. Fivery week and ewny day here is worse than the last--the month when hats just rarledhaving been the most saviag formomary in the memory of living Lomdoners. Yon will hate seen that porer (outar Morison has mot survierel it.

 sreat desire to live lomer emomosh to have had this meetingr, and it sormos hard that when he strugerlend on so long and painfully at the rand, that he whond just have missed it.
 and his death wats areal sormow.
 of the Derimal System,' calling it a lecture without apoint. He was killingly ammsing. Dimmer at sir H. 'Thompson's, met Mr. J. Fronde, Hammen, and ,thers.
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We met the anthon of＇The Now Antignme the ther bight at the Lillys．He reviewed－Mental Fiohution in Man＇in a R．C＇．piaper the other day： anording to him it＇s the（ins）of of Dirt！Lant －maday we went to hear Shmernon：of his persomal anduess there is mo dombt．

May 14．—Staged in（hrist，（homeh with ther Pasets．（i，had a most interestme talk with Anbres Whene Mr．limmane had alreaty，at the Aristotelian wings，met Mr．Anbere Momer．Lamehed ond －matar with the Max Mïllers．He showed his a Fiter from Mr．Darwin mast elamateristia in its ．．maility and sweetums．
 Halland on the Eviflene of the（iespels．＇That at the Wemery，and（i．had a little talk with the l）ean．

There are frequent mentions mow of Mr．Sinth－ Finland，whon Mr．Romanes ofton went to hrat．

In 1888 appeared＇Mantal Liwhotion in Man．＇

> To, Miss r'. R Liommues.

Comwall Terrace：May 15， $1 \times \mathrm{ms}$ ．
My own beek is artatin to matkr a •emmmotion．
 ＂he saints＇upone eath．One of thene salme satints． wathera behasing outragethsty in print，and wery－ mely is full either of jubilation of indimbation at

This is in allusion torminister of thath combry math in secothat．
 －re preat commotion among the uncels．＇
what he has been writing about Darwin and Darwinism. F'. Darwin asked me to do the replyim, and to-day I am returning proof of an article for the ' Contemporary Review.'

I am ashamed to have been so long in witinu. but the truth is that, notwithstanding hatving put down Finis to my M.S., other things oceurred to mer to add, which required recasting some of the chapters. and so I have been fighting against time, and and still.

It will not be long now before you have the children.

Ihey are looking forward with great glea to bun. skaith ; but you must take are that they do mit make it too lively. I never saw such nice childrem myself, but fames may find them over-moisy whon they are particularly high-spirited. His godsom in the most comical (hap) that ever was born. He hat a passion for what he ralls 'Ioaded matrhes,' $i$, . matehes mused, and so ready to 'go off.' Yesterday his fingors were fomm to be bumb. Asked as to the canse, he said he had lighted some loaded matches and held his fingers in the flames so as to see if her could 'keep back reying.' 'This he seems to haw done to his own satisfaction, and now wants to prow his prowess in public. Little Eithel was found bathed in tears a few ditss ago in a room by herself, and the grief tarned out to have been on aceount of the death of the Emperor.'

You ask how the lectures are 'groing on.' 'They are 'going on' rather too well. Owing to schaifer

[^24] rele for the
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having been taken ill with bronchitis, I agreed to ratiere hing of some engrgements he had entered into for wiving lectures to a Highgate Institution. ('onequently I had to give two lertures on 'Tuesday (in the aftermoon at the Institution, and in the evening at Highgate), and another yesterday, besides attending ('ouncil meetings, der. The Institution lectures give much more satisfaction than I anticipated, as I thonght the historical charactor of this year's comrse wrold appeal but to a small mumber of people. But the andience keeps up to betwern one homdred and awo hundred very steadily (usially one hmadred and fifty), and is in part made up of outsiders. But I Wall not be sory when they are orer, as it will leave we more time for bette. work.

I ann sorry that there still rontime to be so many ups and downs in your daly reports.' The case is, indend, dreadfully tedions. How would you like me to run down to see you after my lectures are over?

I enclose a photo which hats just come from a man who is photographing the Royal Society:

We are all well and flying about in all direetions. Such a time for dimers and concerts and all mamore of things; it is a wonder that we are living at all, as恨 Jean"used to say.

> T'o J. liomurnues, L'sy.

March 15, 18s!).
I am glad you think so well of what I write, for it oftell secmes to me that, amid so many distractions

[^25]and in so many directions, I work to very little purpose. 'I'he 'Guardian' reviewer ' has written to me a private letter, from which it appears that he is a man I know very well. Ite is Anbrey Mowere, of Oxford, and is considered one of the ablest men them I enclose his letter, which I failed to send before.

It is indeed a change for you to like being mineti. and perhaps mot altogether a bad one from the (hamacter point of view. 'The only' explanation' I ran give is that of the 'adaptation of the organism th rlorenged comertitions.s of life.'

Abont this time Mr. Romanes drew up a paper. Which is given here, as it may interest some reader-

18 Cornwall Torrace, leconent's Park, Lommom, Sill.
Dear Siror Madam,-While engatged in colle What materials for a work on Ilmman Psychology, I haw been surprised to find the irratness of the differenco which ohtain between different races, and esw botween different individnals of the same race, conceming sentinents which attach to the thoughts of death. With the view, if possible, of ascertamime the canses of such differemees, I am addressing a ropy of the appended guestions to a large nomber of representative and average individuals of both sexs. various nationalities, areeds, occupations, de. It would oblige me if jou would be kind enomgh tu further the object of my impuiry by answering som on all of these questions, and addling any remath

1 Mr. Aubrey Moore reviewed Mental Exolution in Man in the Guardien.
little pur. tion to me hat her is a Montr. mon there before.
Hg marem. from the allation' | remism:
II) : a patan. ine reader
ominn, Xiv: 1 collertims gy, I hat differemon :and emon rate, conhoughts of scertanime dressing a number of both sexes. B, der. It enomgh th ring som $y$ remaths

Man in tive
that mat oreur to fon as bearing upon the sub) ject.

In order to satre manecessary tromble, I maty explain What, in the come of fonl not caring to answer any of the questions, I shall not experet you to acknowledge Whis letter: and that, if you shomld reply, answers to nany of the questions may be most briefly furnished widerlining the portion of each, which by its repedition would serve to comvery your answer.

It is meedless to add that the mames of my corrependents will mot be pmblished.

I am yours ray faithfully,
(ilenige J. Romanas.
(1) 1) youregat the prospert of your own death al with indifference. (18) with dislike, (c) with drome, of (1) with inexpressible horem:
(2) If you chtertain any form of death at all, is the (anse of it (1) prosperet of bodily suffering moly, ( 1 : Wead of the manown, (c) idea of lometimess and - paration from fricomes, or (1) in addition to all or any of these, a perentiar horror of ant indescribabla kind!"
(8) Is the state of your beliof with regard 10 it tane life that of (.) virtmal comsiction that there is a future life, (a) suspended judement inclining tor wards surl belief, (1) suspended judgoment inclining matust surh beliof, or (1) virtual comsiction that. there is 110 sumblifo?
(1) Is your religions beliof, if amy, (o) of a vivid arder, of (B) withont mach practical inlhamere on vine life and eondurt."
(5) Is four tomperamont matmally of (.) a arageons or (i) of a timid order as rearads the amperet of berlity pain or mental distros.
(6) More generally, do you regatd your own din. position as (a) stronir, determined, and self-relimat: (b) nemons, shrinkings, and despondent; on ( 1 ) mulinn. in this resperet?
(7) Shomld you say that in your chatatetire tha intelleretarl or the emotiomal predominates: Dow your intellect incline to abstract of concorer wint in thomoht" Is it theoretical, praretical, of both! Im your emotions of the tender or heroic order, or both? Are yom tastes in any way artistic, and, if so, in what way, and with what strengeth?
(S) What is your age or orecupation! ('an !on trace any ehange in jone ferlings with recrated to drath as having taken plare during the course of pom lif(?
(!) If ever you have been in danger of death, what were the riremmstances, and what your feelings".
(10) liematris.
(Signatume.

This commmication well exemplifies the spirit in which: Mr. Romanes apmoathed the problems of amimal facolty. Je spent, indeed, much time and labour in coller ting and (lassifying the observations and aneedotes which he pulbished in' 'Animal Intelligence' ; but he lost noopportmities of observing and experimenting for himself. Ln this, as in other departments of impuiry, his constant effort wats tolar ia dieret and immediate tonch with facts. Ili, observations on his own dogs, especially those whim he published in his artiele"on' Fetiehism in Animath: wherein he deseribes the effects on a terrier of the apparent eoming to life of a dry bome which the dow had been playing with, and to which a finc therad
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fand been attarhed, and those which dealt with the fower of tracking their master by seront, forther Exemplify his careful methoeds and his resort, wherwempsible, to experimental conditions. His obseratimis, too, on the 'hon ing' of bees," by which he bewed that the insects find the ir way back to the bive throngh their experience of the toporitaphy and by kewledge of landmarks, rather than through any miverions imate faculty or sense of direction, are the work of a scientific obsorver, and very different from the ehance tales of a mere ane dotist.

The whole subject of comparative psycholory had a sperial and peculiar fascimation for Xr. Romanes, partly on account of its intimate commection with the thery of evolution, and partly from its bearing on those deeper philosophic problems which were never bug absent from his thonghts. His treatment of the phenomena of instinct in 'Mental Piohntion in Aminals,' and elsewhere, was both eomprehensive and exact, and still forms, in the opinion of compant anthorities, the best reneral acoount of the wheet that we have; though, had he lived to review and consolidate his work, some changes would probably have been introduced in view of later discossions an the nature and method of hereditary tramsmission. His arguments in 'Mental Evohntion in Man,' in apport of the essential simflaty of the reasoming processes in the higher animals and in man, ereated atir, at the time of their publication, which was in itweff evidence that his rritios felt that they had a ariter and thinker that mast be seriomsly and vaply met. He hoped by this work to wih over are pischologists to the eyolution ramp; and he mimsilf felt stromely that in some ranes, when he sited fully to comvince them of the adergatey of his

[^27]method of treatmont and of the inmoments lin adducerl, it was rathor in matters of definitome than in matters of faret that the somere of thair differenne lay. We was somewhat disappointerel that his trame 'recept' and 'rereptnal' for mental prodnctic imter. mediate between the 'percerpt' and the 'roneran
 since, in his mathored opinion, they and the connerptin they repmesent were aminently helpfal in briderins the debatable space betweren the intellerdand pewas of mann and the faronltios of the fower amimals.

It was Mr. Liomannes' intention to rontinne fla mantal exolation sories and to deal, in farther instal. monts of his work, with the intellectaal ranotions. volition, morals, and relogion. 'This intontion, hosrore he did not live to fultil. His further drwaps mont of mental reolation in the light of his bater
 thonght wonld have heren profomadly interemtime bate one's rexpet that this part of his life wrols remather inaromplete is tempered by the reconllertint that what he did comp) $\begin{gathered}\text { ate was so worthily donse }\end{gathered}$ fore, in the words of Mr. Idoyd Morgan, which wey
 his Royal Koricty ohithary motice: 'by his pationt rolleretion of rlata; by his rarofal diselassion of them data in the light of principles relearly and dofinituly formalated ; hy his wide and forefble advocace of his views ; and, above all, by his own observations am! experiments, Mo. Romanmes left a mark in this bidn uf monestigation and intorpretation which is mot likely to be effared.
 riation which mot that yoar at Newoastle. Howe he and Professon Ponlton had a lomg disconssion on the
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timue the har instid. amotimas. tion, how $r$ dexathe his. lattor draliginl Interestime: life work weollection hils dome. hich wem ducrsim in uis pationt 11 of threx definitads acco of his tions and his bicllif not liken
tish . \s:unHame. 11s (1)11 (1) the sumb. sisorciation:
that the Neweastle papers described him ans a most bedliserent persem.

He wrote afterwards from lidinbursh :
Things progress as nsual. Aftor my lecture I payed chess with Mrs. Butcher and dined with the lomans. Margaret, in tedling me the pretty things he had heard, drew from her hasband the rebuke that she was not judicjons. So I told them your wimate of my merits, and Charles ' wats guite satisfied that I was in grool keeping.

You have made a 'philosophical' mistakeabent the dimer party to the R.'s which, of eomese, I imitated. butcher has given me a MS. of his to wad on the


## T'o Professer Proulton.

Newcastle: Mondax. Septembrr Jxs $)$.
My dear Poulton,-I an very glat to recrive your Fong and friendly letter ; becanse, althomigh I have the Fimadelike reputation of finding my hamd against Eserman, and every man's aganst mine, my blastoanctice endowments are really of the peacefnl order. Noroner, in the present instance the 'row' wats not Nur that affected me with any fectings of real opposistim, althongh it seemed expedient to print ont that anmewhat hasty inference had not been judicionsly vated. 'Therefore, I take it, we may now cordially, an well as formally, shake hands, and probably be Wthe friends than ever. In token of which I may
${ }^{\prime}$ C. L.sinm, Essl., W.S., who had married Mr. Romanes' ronsin.
begin by furnishing the explanation of what wa meant by the passage in the＇Contemporary leeviow to which you alluded．

I quite argee that Weismann＇s suggestion abme causes of variability is an admirable one．But it he always seemed to me that it is comprised mon Darwin＇s general category of callses internal th the organism（or，in his terminology，ranses duc to－the nature of the organism＇）．But besides this，he merest nised the category of canses extemal to the ormand （or the so－ratled Lamarekian principles of direw action of enviromment，plus inherited efforts of $12+$ and disuse）．Now，anyone who arecepts this lattor category as comprising refer cathise，obsionsly hat a larger area of cansality on which to draw for his theoretical explamations of variability，than ina man who expressly limits the possibility of ant： canses to the former category．This is all that 1 had in my mind when writing the line in the＇（＇me temperary Review＇which led you to suppose that I was expomding $W$ ．without hasing read him：and althomg I freely allow that the meaning wan min that required explanation to bring out，you may remember that this meaning had nothing whatem to do with the subject which I was exponndims and therefore it was that I neglected to dran it cm： You will observe that．so far as the present mantom is concerned，it does not signify what viows we severally take touching the validity of Lamardime hypotheses．The point is，that anyone who ans his way to entertaming them theroby fumions， himself with a lager fied of ramsality for explaming

## 1ッい

rabiations than does a man who limits that field to catloses intermal to orgatisms-aron though, like II.. he sugrests an extemsion of the latter.

And mow abont the "A the mamm.' I fear you think I hatw been taking an minfir opportmity of wiving foll a back-hander. In point of fact, however, I never
 thing like hitting back (which, howerer. is entirely abent on the present ocrasion), the more (arofnl honld I be to avoid any appearance of deing so in ant maigned review. I neither wote, nor have I read the partionlar review in question.

Regarding articolation, read in my 'Menta! Frolution in Man,' Mr. Hales' admimble remarks on Whildren having probably been the constructors of all bugnages, I believe this theory will prove to be the true solution of the origin of lon!!ut!ers, as distinguished from the ficenlty of lon"!!u!!e. What you say ahont the latter being blastogenctic, rergires you to unsit! what is sad by W.

Please let me know whether there is anything that yon see in my' 'ressation of selection' different fmon WI.s 'Pammixia.' 'The debate to-day failed to amish my opposition.

> Yours very sincerely, G. J. Romanes.

## Geanies, loss-shire. N.li.: Octoher e1, 18s!).

My dear Poulton, - Many thanks for your interestmis letter. From it I guite maderstand your views annt the relation between reproduction and repair ; ar they those of Weismam or altogether your own?

And have they, as yet, bern published anywhere? it not, I suppose it is madesimble to allude to them in publice? The theory is ingemions, but seems to sail rather near Pangenesis (as do many of the latter amendments of germplasm by W.) ; and I should have thonght that the limbs of samanders, de... ane too late products, both phylogenctically and ontomen.. tically, to fall within its terms.

I also see bettor what you mean about sibles. But Darwin's letter in "Mantal Evolution in Animals' seems to me to meet (or rather to antiripaten the 'dilliculty.' Of course, he did not suppose that the insects' knowledge of 'suceress' woes further than finding out and obe rving the best place to sting in order to produce the maximum effect. The imatoey of Cymphs is apposite ; but is it the fact that there is any species whose localisation is really comparat ble with that of Sphex? Contrasting Wieimman aceount with Fabre's, I should say not.

As for neuter insects (which you mentioned at Newcastle), Darwin allows that they constitute one of the most difficult cases to bring mader natural selecetion, seemg that this has here to act at the cod of a long lever of the wrong kind, so to speak. livad Perrier's preface to French translation of 'Mrentall Evolution in Animals, and observe how orod hiv suggestion is, on the supposition that Lanarckian prineiples have any applicability at all.

Lastly, at Newcastle you said something that seemed to imply a doubt upon such farcts an Lam Morton's mare. Do you really doubt such ficts.:" [ camot suppose it.
'Th
ham: i , theln in 11s \{10 sitil the latter I shonld $\therefore$, dr... itre ontomann
sit Śplex. 1 Animath" (j)at(1) tw ie that the rther than to stings ill 'he allalowey thait them
 $V^{\circ}$ (isillamm
ritioned it stituta onfer tural seleche cold of a eak. liead of ' Menital argood his callarrekita

There are plenty of white stoats hereabonts, I beliowe though I have merer actually seea them, becallse I do mot stay late anomerh in the year. I hase told my kerper to try to catch some withont injuring them, and, if he sucereds, to semd them traight to the Koo. The experiment would be a very interesting one. But the kepper says that even here the whiteness depends as to its intensity upon the amomet of show in difforent seasoms. He is most positive abont this; he says it depends upon sum, and not on cold. Howerer, I donot puote him ats an athority in science, although he eortamly is :m intrlligent and observing man.

Ramarding the Royal Institution, an after Eiaster romse by you would be doubly interesting, because before Easter I have to wive one on the 'Postbarwinian Period,' which will he mainly concerned with Weismam. Your lecetures might then serve as a comber-irvitant, therefore ! will do anything I (an to bring them about, onty, not being on the mansing body, I can help merely by backing any appliation you may make. And, of course, thare wught to be no difficulty about it. Only let me know if you should want backing.

Would it not be worth while to get also some momatain hares for observation at the Zoo? These, I think, I could get.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Gours very truly, } \\
& \text { Geo. J. Romank. } \\
& \text { Geanies, Ross-shire, N.B. : October 15. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Would you mind sending me the part of your MS. dealing with Sphex? I do not know that I quite
canght jomr objeretion to my dimionlty，and Wint tu aliude to it in lectures which I ann now proparmar for my Edimburgh（lats．

Also，did I rorteredy moderstand yon to sisc that you refused to acknowledge ant fundanomial indrotity between processes of reprodaction and those of repaif．＂ For this identity is to my mind the most importamt of all objections to W．＇s theory．
（i．J．ROMND：
is Cornwall Terrace，Regent＇s Park，N．W．：Incember：： 1 ， 5 ．
My dear l＇onlton，－I retmened here a day on two ago，and now send you my eopy of Perrien＇s rematis abont the meaters of hymemopterons inserets．bint he salid a good deal more in sulsocpuent and private corresponclence．IIS preface，however，will serve to show you the gemeral tome of argmont．

With regard to Pammixia，it occoms to mer that very likely you have not seen all that I Wrote uponit． as the threr papers were seatteredover sereral months in＇Nature．＇＇The following are the referencos：Val． ix．ple． $3(31,+40$ ；vol．天．1）．1（j－4．

You will see that I took wo a decoided stand＂队＂川 the principle of Pammixia not being able altogether to supersede that of disuse．This was for the reanmin stated in my last latter；and I still see no further reason for changing the opinion that was then formed mader the inthence of Darwinis judgment．

With reference to the difference that you athoded tomand which，as far as I ann see，is the only differ ence between Weismamms presentation of the prim－ （ $\cdot$ iple and my own－I enclose an extract from the

Cecture which I have just been riving in Edinbursh. From this extract I think you will see that the one mint of difference does not redound to the aredit of Hirismamis logic. After reading the extract in amjunction with the papers in' Nature, perhaps you will let me know whether yon now maderstand my vew any better, or still believe that the erssation of wection alone can reduce the atverage of a useless ursu below fifty per cent. of its original size-so foms that is, as the force of heredity contimues mimpaired.
(i. J. liomines.
sme further letters to Mr. 'Ihiselton Dyer and is Mr. F'. Darwin follow.

## To Professon Thiseltom I!yer.

December 20, 1888.
Dear Dyer,—Would you mind sending me on a postard the name of the gemms of plants the contitumat species of which you alluded to in the train as being mutually fertile, and also separated from me another topographically? I want to get ats many if such cases as I possibly cant so, if any others occor to som, please mention them likewise.

By realling pages tol and tot of my paper, you will see why such cases are of guite as much importinne to me as the conserse, viz. where closely alliod pecies inhabiting contimmons areas are more of less muthally sterile (see p. 392).

If you have hitherto failed to apply these converse iets to my theory, I camot conceive by what wther
principle you have songht to test it. Pray read the passages referred to, which present the shortont summary of what I regard as the very backhone it my evidence.

If your lage knowledge of geographical distribmtion should enable you to supply me with sperific cases of the general principle mentioned by Darwin in the quotation given on page 392 (' Origin of Specins. (ith ed., pp. 134-5), I should much like to try experiments on the sterility which I should expect to find between these interlocking species.

It seems comical to ask a scientific opponent fur assistance, but the fact of being able to do w proves the superiority of science to politics.

## 

It is very good of you to write such a long and suggestive letter.

As a result of attentively reading your letter, it appears to me that you think I suppose sterility in a high degree to be much more usual among allied species than I do suppose it. I well know the lape amount of natural as well as artificial hybridisation that goes on. But, on the other hand, there are su many species which either will not cross at all, or produce sterile hybrids, that, taking a general view of all apecies together, mutual sterility does become by far the :most generally distributed single pee uliarity -i.e. is the one peculiarity which, more than my other that can be named, is common to mmberlen species.

Thms much for mutual sterility that is absolnte. of Sipecies. try experiec't to find
ponent fon to do :
ber $0.0,15$ a long and

Ir letter, it erility in is ongs allied v the lame bridisation ere are so at all, or reral view es become beculianit! thi:ll :m! numberlesin
absolnte.
either in first crosses or in their hybrid proseny. But now, the most important thing for me is mutmal serility that is not absolute (though, on my theory, perlaps on its way to becoming so) but relative, i.e. there being a lower degree of fertility between $\mathrm{A} \times \mathrm{B}$ (ar $B \times A$, than there is between $A \times A$ or $B \times B$.

Hitherto very few experiments have been made wn these comparative degrees of fertility, yot it is by such alone, it seems to me, that physiological recetions can be tested. Thus, e.!., my point about the 'interlocking' species ( $p$. $39 \cdot 2$ ) is that in such ases I should expect a higher decree of fertility in $I \times A$ and $B \times B$ than crosswise. Indeed, my fear is that when I shall have proved by experiment that wh is the general rule in such ases, natmoulists will turn romed and say: "Well, of course, on merely "promi gromeds you might have known that suleh must have been the case: for otherwise the two interlocking species conld never have existed as separate species, they would have hybridised freely along the whole frontier line and eventually blended orer the whole area.' And still more may this be aid in the case of allied species, not merely interlurking, but intermixed through common areas. Therefore, as a believing I.R.S. sad to me the other dar: 'Your letters in "Nature" will at least have the effect of blunting the edge of such possible criticism in the future.' Of course you will hang at the robnstness of my faith in thus forecosting tho line of inture opposition, but I would like to ask you this much: Supposing, for the sake of argment, that twenty years hence 1 publish one hundred instances
of allied species which grow intermixed in cmmmon areas, proving by experiment that in all the can there is some comparative dergee of sterility betwee them (if only due to pre-potenceg of their own prilim. would you regard this as making in favour of physime. logical selection? Or are you already propared in admit that such must be the rase, simere otherwion tho speeies A and 13 could not exist withomt finson into one? If you say that you are prepared to adnit this, it seems to me that you have already acompted the theory of physiological selection on " priori gromids.

Again, if I should publish one hundred other in stances of allied species topographically isolated firm one amother, all of which were proved by experinment to present no degree at all of mutual infertility ( -1 that $\mathrm{A} \times \mathrm{A}$ and $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{B}$ are not more fertile than (rosswise), would you allow that, taken in conjuntion with the previons set of experiments, them tinally prowe the theory of physiological selection in be true? If not, I do not see how it is possible th verify the theory at all: it is only by means of the e two complementary lines of researeh that, as it sombs to me, the theory can be experimentally tested.

In the formor case-i.e. where allied sperise intermix in common areas-sometimes they intercross freely (e.g. I'rimula rulyaris and roris, (irmm
 in other instances they don't (e.g. Romunrullus reprens and bullosuss, Lepidellm S'mithii and compestm.
 regards the iatter, I suppose you would not quention
 thr（：ローい ty botwer wh pollan。 of physio． meprated lo otherwin． （10）llt fusion d to adhuit y accopten n＂frionti
d other ins－ olated from exp）（rinnent fertility（－1） ertile than 11 conjuma－ ents，there jelection 0 possible ：0 Is of there ss it serms siterl．
ad species hey intro－ pris，（imm （ $(\cdot 0)$, while

 Now，は yucstion

What the＂physiological isolation＇has to do with pre－ wnting the speries from fusing：But，if so，by mity of reaseming，shomld we mot experet to moert
 which，althonoth not here sulfierently pronomoned to ihock off frepuent hybridisation，is neverthelosis －afticient to prevent the species from blending over ihejr commmon area？

And here，I may say，I should not at all object to Whe charge of mismoderstanding Darwin on any merely aivial point such as the one yon mention．Bat in this instane it so happeras that it is bather you who have momulerstood me．I know that＇a hybrid is mot an intermediate form in his sense，＇and this is just what mantitutes my difficulty aganst his paragraplas quoted on p． 392 of my paper．For what I say is， these intermediate forms on！flit to be hybrids，＂uless physiological salection，（i．e．mutural strivilit！g）lus bere＂ It arorli．＇In his sense＇I cambot conceive how such ＇intermediate forms＇can exist in the riremmstanees derribed，seemge that they are mot hybrids，and yot What（in the absence of any hypothesis of physiolorical mation for which $I$ ann eontending）there is no reton given why the two interlocking species should wet freely intereross．
liengrding sexual selection I eratainly ann vory much in earnest abont its parallel to p．s．If $y^{\prime}$（on in－ Fand the meaming of mos．so as to（mblaceres．s．it will the same time embrace also pos．Fors．s．s．，like p．s．，


[^28] revtion，
pet, also like p.s., it has to do with the differentiations of specifir forms. ('There is no distinction to bo drawn between 'the species of a cock' and 'that phomage of a rock': plumage is the most facomith part of a bird with ornithologists on which to fomm sperefice diagnoses.) Therefore, if p.s. is truc at allwhich, of course, is another question-even my coty. brated powers of ' dialectical subtlety' are completely matble to perceive any difference between p.s. will s.s. in respect of their relation to n.s.

Lastly, as regards Nageli, no donbt he is an ont. and-ont Lamarckian, but I did not see that this shonld make any difference touching his opinion on: a matter of fact not more commected with Lism. than Dism. I will look up ' Niature' for 1870.

With best Christmas wishes and many thanks for botanical hints.

December 26, 18ss.
It has occumed to me that if you know Churehills, address, I might satve time by writing to him before seeing him when he comes in spring.

It has also orcoured to me that I might perhaps put the argument on pp. 801-4 better before rom thas:

If phys. sel. is true, it would follow that as betwerll allied species, mutnal sterility ought to occur in all degrees (from \%ero to absolute), and that there whont to be a corvelation between these degrees of strility" and degrees of non-separation, toporraphically:

Now, you camot possibly doubt that the first expectation is realised in mature ; as between allime
eremination: tion to b and 'thr t farourit. h to fomm? rue at allon my coln e(omp) 3 p.s. and
is ill milt. that this op)inion on Lisml, than!

Churchills him before
ht perhap before son
as betwent ccoll in all here ought of sterility all: it the first recol allind
wedes sterility does oreor in all degrees, from there himg no such sterility at all in very many cases, to there being absolnte sterility in other ases. Therefore in stating this fact as a fact, I am mot playing at 'heads I win and tails you lose,' nor 'berging the whole question at the outset.' Any' 'question' really arises only with regard to the second expectation vi\%. whether there is a general correlation between deares of mutual fertility and denrees of topooraphical ivlation.

Sow, this question I have not begged, but, on the mantrary, stated as the question by an experimental answer to which my theory must stand or fall.

Thns, the cases which you mention obvionsly wo ansport the theory, inasmuch as they conform to the expectation above mentioned. What I want to do is to find as many genera as possible like binchoma and begonia, where the constitnent species are separated geographically or topographically, and (? in (onsequence) easily hybridise with one another.

Therefore, as a mere matter of method, I camot we that I have begged any question; for the only question is not about the facts which I state, but bont my suggested explanation of them. And this plestion can only be answered by ascertaining whether there is in mature any such general comelation beWeen isolation and capability of hybridising (also, of Furse, between the absence of isolation and tho Whence of such (apability) as my theory would remire.

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\text { Yoms very sincerely, } \\
\text { (i. .J. liomanes. }
\end{array}
$$


I am most glad that in your last lotter fon dat with what I consider the reat' 'question'-vi\% wn whether degrees of sterility obtain amomin a buen proportional number of sperese but whether there any surh eorrelation between them and absenmen isolation of other kinds as my theory womld apere. And, in dealing with this question fon hit men. prorisely the two groatest diffienities whirh I ham myself roneladed lie arganst the theory. 'lher firu is about areas now discontinuons having beem mow continuous, and our being so often mablele to suly whether or not surb has been the rase. But thin difficulty is one that lies agranst rerifiration of the theory; not against the theory itself. It was in viow of this difliculty that I mentioned oceanic islands in farnishing the best flom for trying experiments mon: but since I published the paper, I have not been able to hear of any botanists visiting islands. Shonke rom ever hear of any you might let me know.

The second difficulty is one that lies agrainst the theory itsolf, and has always seemed to ma mont formidable. But as mobody else has ever mentioned it, İ have not hitherto dome so, as I want to work it out quietly: I allude to four remark about the extraordinary difforences that obtain amoner different gencra with regard to the capability of intererosinus exhibited by their constituent species. 'Jhis. I eonfess, has from the first appeared a tromondonobjeretion to my thewre. ()n the othere hand. I have taken ronnfort from the consideration that bubden
bimin a tremendons objection, it is also a trememdons mintery. For, as it must admit of somme explanation, and as this explamation mast ahmost eortainly have il de with the sexmal system, it beromes mot improbable that when fomed the explamation may oyblare with p.s. That the differenee in question is functional and not structural (or physiological as ditinguished from mophological) seroms to be proved by the fact that in some cases it obtains as between the most closely allied gonera, being, foy., most aromely promounced of all botween (icramimu and Prdaronimm. Even guite apart from my own theory, it semms to mo that this is a subjeet of the highest impertaner to investigate.

As regards sexmal selection I allow, of comber, that the 'law of battle' is a form of matmal selection. But where the matter is merely a pleasing of ersthetio binte, and the resulting stronetures therefore omly manental, I can see mothing "advantageons " in thr swar of life-preserving. On the contrary, in most (ases such structures entail considerable expenditure of physiological energy in their prochaction. On this arcoment Darwin says that nat. sel. must impose a fheck on sexual selection rmming beyond a certain
 phasological selection is never thus injurions; : mad whongh it is a 'form of isolation,' the isolation is a ithers so extreme now of surh long romtimanmer an the mes sou compara it with. Doneower, the ansimaarnt (therefore all other or extermal eomertitions of lifes) matn the sime, which is not the ease mulue the Wher forms of isolation. Provided that ther physio-
logical chamge is not in itself injurions, I do mer w. why physiologically isolated forms shonld be lew the than those from which they have beed separated. thongh I can vary well see why this should be the case with such geogronhlically isolated forms ans sem mention, for there the schomlimy is different. Latwis: physiological selection, if not in itself injurions, dute not require that its children should be 'pmotereme against the strugrole for existence.' On the contrary, as I say in my paper, it is calloulated to give thi strugule a better chance than ever to develope adap. tive character in the sexually isolated forms, becanser the swamping effects of intercrossing are diminisherd.

But I really did not intend to afflict you with another jaw of this kind. I am, however, wery wad that we now moderstand each other botter than wh did. At all events on my side I think I now know exactly the points which I have to make mond if Nature is so comstituted as to admit of my theory. One thing only I have forgotten to say, vi\% that nothing can be aremed against the theory from the fact of hybridisation ocrurring in cases where, arcording to the theory, it ought not to occur. 'Thin argment only beromes valid where it is fomod that the resulting hybrids are fertile. In relation to the theory, a sterile hybrid is all the samm as a failume to (ross.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Yours very sincerely, } \\
& \text { G. J. Romas:s. }
\end{aligned}
$$

P.s.-I forgot to ask you if there would be any

The 1 facilities in spring at Kew for repeating Adam's sath
if purple on gellow labumam. I want to try this esperiment in budding on a large seale becanse of its. fupertance on Weismamism, should the result of
 if the way in which lee produced the hybrid. If you arpe to the experiments being tried at Kew, perhaps mon might let me know wether the are any prope latummens already in the gardens, or whether I dould get the material over from Framer. lint in that case you might also let me know to whom in Fruce or elsewhere I had best apply. However, din bother to answer alyy other parts of this trimmdons letter, these we can disenss in conversa:im hereafter. A postcard to answer this postseript, bowerer, is desirable, as then it might be possible to at matters in train for next budding seasom. G. J. I.

I should much like to meet Churchill. Will you remember to tell me when he comes".

$$
\text { T'o } F \text {. Durwin, E'sq. }
$$

18 Comwall Terrace, Regent's l'ark, N.W. : Jamary 20 . i889.
Dear Darwin, -Many thanks for your long letter. I thonght you might have had some notes or memofis of conversations, to show in a gencral way what the 'line' would have been.' If so, of course I should Dat have said that my sayings were inspired, but bould myself have known that I was not going atrily.
The line I all going to take is:

[^29]1st. Even assimming, for salke of argoment, that heightened colour is remerelated with inereased virmur. Wallace exergwhere fails to distingoish betwern bril. lianey and ornament ; get it is the dispositiomof enome in patterns, de. that is the chief thing to be explainel.

Qud. In many cases (r.! peacock's tail) the pattern is only revealed when unfolded during rombthip Besides matural selertion could not be such a fool asta develope large (physiologicallyexpressive) and wompy (imperding flight) structures like this-stans' antwo. $\& \in$. merely as correlates of vigomr.
:3rd. There is not much in Wallace's mureds negative difficulty, about onr not knowing what for on in the mind of a hen, when we sot against that difficulty the positive fact that we can sere what dure go on in the mind of a cock-display, antics, somg, de.

4th. 'T'o say that 'each bird finds a mate mader inn rircmastances' is merely to beg the whole question.
oth. There remains Wallace's jearousy of matural selection. He will not have any other 'factor,' and therefore says matural selection must eat up sexmal selection like the lean kine have the fat kine. Jut natural selection alone does not explain all the phenomena of sexual colomring, courtship, de., and sexual selection is exactly the theory that does. Wallace's jealonsy, therefore, is foolish and inimical to matural selection theory itself, by forming it inth explanations which are plainly false.

My own belief is, that what Lankester calls the 'pure Darwinians' are doing the same thins in another direction. By endearomring, with Wallate and Weismam, to make matural seloction all in all at
the sole comse of adinptive stroucture, and experesisly dincording the Dinwinian reeognition of nse and disper. I think they are doing harm to matmal selection theory itself. Moreover, berallise I do not see any anflicient reason as yet forme from the real Darwinian standpeint (Weismanm has added nothinge io the facts which were known to (harles Darwin),
 Harwinian prine-iples. But it is they who are movins. and, becomse they see a change in onf relative pasitions, alfirm that it is $I$. In point of fact, my postion has never varied in the least, and my romfoven of faith would still follow, in exery detail, that aren on p. 4.21 of 'Origin,' (ith ed.. which, it seems in me. might also be pegarded as prophotio mo lasis than retrospertive.

If 1 did not say all this in my papor in physiolugical selection, it is only becanse I never concoived the possibility of my being accused of trying to malormine matural selection ; and, therefore, I omly stated Wbrietly as possible what my relations were to it. let it seems to me that this statement was relear Hongh if Wallace had not come down with his prepaterons 'Romanes rersas Darwin.' It all avents, it is not in my power-or, I beliere, in that of amybudy clse-to express more strongly than I now have
 natural selection in its relation to phrsiological selertion sexual scection, and other subordinate prineiples. atome, if there were a dobate on these lines at the B..l., I should wet my part of it publisherd somewhore. W far as I can homestly ser, my ' position' is also-
lutely identical with that in last editions of '()riwin and 'Descent,' with, perhaps, a 'tendency' to las more stress on levelling influence of Pammixia.

Re physiological selection. I have sent Correm. of Geneva, fiso to help in founding at garden in the Alps, which will have the prond distinction of bein the highest garden in the world. He is a oplemdid man for his knowledge of Alpine flora, and besides, in strongly hitten with a desire to test physiological sidme. tion. Of course I shall do the hybridising experment myself, but he will collect the material from the different momatains-i.e. nearly allied specoics. topugraphically separated, and therefore, I hope, mutnally: fertile. The converse experiments of nearly allind species on common areas may be tried in England.

I an making arrangements for repeating on in extensive scale experiments on budding purple laburnum on yellow, to see if it is possible to reprodnce 'Adam's eye 'hybrid. If so, it would now be of nume importance than ever in relation to Weismam. By the way, he is sorely put to it in the case of plants which reproduce themselves not only by cuttings. buteven by leaves. Here he is bound to confess that his germ-plasma occopies all the cellular tissum of the entire plant. But if so, how in the world does his germ-plasma differ from gemmules?

There! I did not intend to write you imything of a letter when I began, bat have gone on and nut till it is well for you that the second sheet is coming to an end.
$f \cdot(0)+$ in (r) to lay ixia.
; Comporna. den in the mof of bill a splamid I bexider. gical sider - x )erim! 1 fromen the eccios. top) e, mutnall! rearly allied Eugland. ating on and meple laburo reprodure $\because$ be of mone fllatllll. By se of plants - uttings, hit fess that his issiste of the fld doen his

In anything on alld (114 $t$ is coming

Romancs.
P.S.-Any contributions to Correvon's sarden homerer small) would be thamkfully received by him. Pasibly his garden may be of some use to English motanists ; if so, jou might semt the hat romm, and allect any coppers that fall.

## To Professor Thiselton I!ger.

is Comwall Terrace, Regent's Park. N.W. : Jamary 7. 1889.
My dear Dyer, -Knowing what a busy man mu are, I never expected you to answer my last Bter, and therefore it has conne as an arreable surpine. For no doulot you will believe me when I say What I value much more commmaications which are aposed to physiological selection than those in its hrour ; the former show me botter what has to be dune in the way of veritication, as woll as the general news which may be taken on the subjecet by other minds. And most of all is this the case when anyone fike yourself gives me the benefit of opinions whith ate :med by a tramed experience in botany, secing that atre atm myself such a sorry ignoranmos. And I rillingly confess that your strongly oxpressed npinion ar serionsly shaken my hopes for physiological seler*u, notwithstanding that some (iemman botamists -ank ocherwise. Nevertheless, I still think that it Worth while to devote some years to experimental rang, and then, if the results are agininst me-well, hall be sorry to have spont so momeh time wrex a rikl flower chase, and to have kicked up so murh *untitie dust in the process; but I will not be *amed to arknowledre that Natme has said No.

And now for your last letter. Read in the liwht of subsequent experience, I hase no doubt that I ought to have expressed myself with more care whiln writing my paper. But, to tell the honest truth, it never once occurred to me that I of all men could be suspected of trying to mondermine the theorios of Darwin. I was entirely filled with the one idea of presenting what seemed to me 'a supplementary hypothesis,' which, while 'in no way opposed to natural selection,' would 'release the latter from the omly difficulties' which to my mind it had ever prosented. Therefore I took it for granted that armp body would go with me in recognising natural selfe. tion as the 'hoss' round which every' 'other themy must revolve, without my having to say so on arver pase. So, of course, by 'other theory' I did not mem that physiological selection was in my opinion the only theory of the origin of species. Everywher throughout the paper, from the title-page to the comclusion, I represented it as an 'additional suggestim.' a'supplementary hypothesis,' \&c., \&c. Sexual selution is in my view (as it is also in Darwin's, Wallames. and donbtless that of all evolutionists) one of the 'other theories that have been propounded on the origin of speries.' So is Lamarek's theory, which was considered by Darwin as more or less "supplementary' to natural selection; and this is all that 1 meant —or, I should say, conld possibly be monderstoned to mean in view of the title-page, io. - by spaking of physiological selection as another theory of the mivin of species. It certamly is not the sume thing as matural selection or either of the 'other theories' just ment-
timend of the I mu: tical w But th it is in fore sill br the 47iph Lestiug which,
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timed : but no less certainly it is not ermaire of any of the three. Luquestionably it is as you saly and as I myself said, an indrpendrut theory-i.e. not identical with, but additional to, that of matural selection. But this is a widely different thing from saying that it is in itself an erhenstier theory. which must therefire swallow up all or any" others.' In short, I abide be the closing statement of my introductory para-graph-vi\% that the theory is an 'attempt at sugsesting another factor in the formation of species, which, although quite indrependent of natural selection, i, in no way opmesed to natural selection, and may Wherefore be regarded as a factor supplementary to natural selection.' 'Statements to the same effect are indeed scattered through the entire paper ; but, of course, could I have foreseen the interpretations which afterwards arose, I should have reiterated such tatements cul nolusectm.

Sory you camot come to the B.A., or to dine, "lant certainly do not wonder.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Yours very sincerely, } \\
& \text { G. J. 弓omanes. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Lastly, about species not being able to exist as species without the physiological isolation of physiohavieal selection (p. !0:3), the statement of course only applies to nearly allied species occupying common areas (see p. 40-4). If this statement is wrong, wo mine has yet shown me wherein it is so. I fanmy you Whot quite appreciate that by'sterility' I always mean (muless otherwise expressly stated) sterility in wine degree, and this not only with regrard to the
fertile hybrids. It is by no means (mongh to puint th natural and fertile hybrids as cases opposed to physiological selection muless it has been shown in experiment throngh a generation or two that them hybrids are fully fertile - i.f. as fertile ats their parent species. Now, experiments of this kind hase mots been carried through. If you assmme that the mond of carrying them through would be destructive of physiological selection by proving that fertile hyrman are, as a rule, fully fertile, and also (which is very important) that in any cases where experiment may show them to be so, further experiment woml fail to show that isolation has not been effected in any other way (as by pre-potence, differences of insect fertilisation, de.)-in short, if you assmue that fertility is as complete between the two isomciated species as it is within each species, how is it conceivable that they should continue to be distinet" In this commection it is well to consult (inlick's paper already referred to (especially p. 25s), paragraph lot on the theoretical side, and Jordan's papers amd books on the practical side. I have repeated the latter's observations on poppies, and find that where any considerable number of individuals are concement. natural selection is not nearly so great a power in this respect. (Even in cases where it happens that in-breeding is necessarily confined to single hemitphrodite individuals for numberless generations, the handicapping is not fatal: witness flowers which habitually fertilise themselves before openimpecially some species of orchids, which never setm to do otherwise, notwithstanding the elaborate pro-

Winons I belie" variatic if phy (")! 1114 riduals rexualll Fulater inomy abhont med
to point tw sed to pher showal h that therer heir parent have rately there lemult tructive of ile habrid， ich is rery iment mal ent wond effereted int Perences if
 two itsol－ ，how is it ＂（listinet． ick＇s paper graph lat apors and oeated the thalt where concramed． power in plens that le hominis－ tions，the Hs which － び川 serm orate pion－
rimons for（ross－fertilisation in other spereios．）Sow， I bediese most of all in what I have called＇collecetive matian of the reprodnctive system in the wats uf physiological selection，whereby，owing to somme anmmon influence acting on a large momber of indi－ viduals similarly and simmltanoonsly，they all become rxatly co－adapted inter se while physiolorically whated from the rest．This essential feature of the Fhery serms to me enticely to remove the diffirnley about in－breeding，as well as that which Wallaner mat about the chances arginst a suitable merting uf physiolonical（omp）lements．

Is for my having attributed too moreh to the Wmping eiffects of intercossing（Pammixia），this，I an convinced，is the one and only particular wherom Ihave at all departed from the judgments of Dinwin； thomgh，ruriously emourh，it is the particular on which my critice have haid least stress whem acrensing me of Darwinian heresy．But it is too big it（puestion to treat in eorrespondence．（inliclis recontly pul）－ Fhed paper at the Limmean Society sermas to mo a mont important one in this commeretion，and I have a large body of other evidence．

## 


Dear Darwin，—Hate yon，indeed！Why，I（an－ ant imagine any better sorvice than that of stoppings aftlow from making a fool of himself，and I most， midially thank you for having done so in this cater．

Mr．F．Inarwin had pointed out some orroneons conchasions in it pro－ ented soientilic puper．

The business was so completely ont of my line: : hat: I did not know what was recquired. It seromed th me that if I got any evidence of benting toward the sparks, the only question I wanted to answer womb be answered, and, therefore, that it did mot matter a straw about temperature, moisture, and the mi. Moreover, the results did not seem to me to be of any importance, as they were just what might have berel expected, and, therefore, I donbted whether it wis worth while publishing a paper about them. Han they gone the other way, and proved that the paniwould not bend to flashing light, i should hate thomatia it much more interesting. Lastly, the rescarch wan so expensive, costing el per day at the only plaw where I rould get the requisite apparatus, and thetw they slut inp at night.

Of course, I will withdraw this paper, and, if ran think the thi $;$ is worth working out in all the detaik. you suggest, will do so. In that case, it would he worth while to assertain whether there would in any electrical apparatus at Cambridge which I comld the use of at a lower rate of profit to the owners. I good-sized induretion coil is really all that is remuited. and they probably have this in the Cavendish. Pat there is not one avalable in any of the London worl:shops, and so I had to go to Appes, in the Strand. I: is surgerested that the debate in Sertion () at the $\therefore$ ritish Association this year should be opened by me on the question of utility as miversal. Before later. I should like to know what you thimk about the 'Nature' rontroversy which I have recently had with byar, and out of which the present sugrgestion hat
y lini. : han: screrluen ol cowardi the. swer wonla not mattre? ad the reme to be of ally thave bew there it Wa hem. Itan ; the plana-
 escalreh win onl! plam ; and thiti
and, if ron the detail t wonld ho mlel ine an! I combld owners. is reguitad. iclish. Bon! maton worl: Strand. It 1 () at tla
 fore 1 :HEx. about the $y$ late with restimi lain
rmanated. Perhaps we might armage to meet somewhere soon to have a talk over the expediency of wich a debate at all, and the lines on which, if held, it hould rim. Of conrse, physiological selection would be carefully kept out. My object would be to show the prime importance of matural selection as a theory which everywhere accounts for adaptations.

> You's very sincerely,
(G. J. Romavis.

May 27. 1swa.
Herewith $[$ roturn, with many thanks, a pamphled be Kerner, mumbered 733 .

In my experiments with electric spark illmminatim on plants, I notice that the seedinges, althomen so wonderfully heliotropic, never form ehhlorophyll, even if exposed to a contimons stream of sparks for 30 hours on end, while they will bend throngh ! $N^{\prime}$ inserem hours to single sparks following ome another at me per second. This proves that there is no combection at all between heliotropism and formation of chlorophyll, or vice versu-a point which I camnot find to have been hitherto stat.d. Do you happen to know if it has been? If you do not happen to rewember anything bearing on this subject, do not troulbe to seareh or to answer.

Wallacers book ${ }^{1}$ strikes me as very able in many parts, though singularly ferble in others-esperially the last ahapter. He has done but seant justice to (inlick's paper. Had he read it with ally rame, he misht have seen that it fully antioppates his oriticism

[^30]on mine. But I think he deserves great ardit for nowhere chackling. From the first he hats been onnsistent in holding natural selection the sole factor of organic evolution-leasing no room for sexual selec. tion, inheritance of acquired characters, de. di And now that he had lived to see an important body of evolutionists adopting this view, there munt have been a strong temptation to 'I always told yon so.' Yet there is nowhere any note of this, or (wom so much as an allusion to his previons utteraners on the subject.

> To E. B. Poulton, E'sq.

Geanies, loss-shire : November $2,15 \mathrm{~s} 9$.
My dear Poulton,-Continuing our antipodal (ontrespondence, and taking the points in your last letter seriatim, I quite saw that your theory of repair was 'the logical ontcome of Weismam's' (being, in fact, a direct appliation of his views on phylogeny to the case of repair) ; but I did not know whether the ontcome had been traced by him or by yourself. Now, I moderstand, I may allude to it as yours. Again, what I meant about regeneration of entire limbs, do. was that, to meet such cases, you diagra , would require modification in the way that you mu suggest. Has it oceurred to you as an argument in favour of this sugrestion (i.e. that the 'potentiality' of somatic germ-plasm may in such cases be arrested in its pro(ess of ontogenetic diffusion), that Darwin has shown, or at least alleged, that all such cases may be tracoct to spectial adaptation to special needs, dangers. de.-
(riodit fur lexell (onti( fatron 1 chal nelere $d \cdot$ important here matst 's told yon is, or (as) 2rallers m
aber $2,15 \mathrm{~s} \%$ ipodal ronlast lettor repair wat , in fact. : -ny to the or the outf. Now, I gain, what B, de., was la require gest. Has our of thin f somatic in its prohats shownt. be tracerl ers. de.
." that the arrest may have been bromght abont in these cases by matural selection ?

If son deem the 'chief difference' between batwin's and Weismann's theory of heredity to be 'that the ome implies material partirles and the other only flymical and chemical constitntion,' then, it seems to me. Weismam's theory will become identieal with Herbert Spencer's--seeing that this is virtually the mly respect in which Spencer's differs from Darwin's. But I think there is another and a much more impertant respect in which W's theory differs from buth these predecessors. However, to proceed to thre west point, I agree with you, that the sole object of the suhe stinging the larrae is mow to cause them io beep,' and that natural selection must have morked upon this for perfecting the instinct. But the point is, what was the origin of the selective simging? If merely chance congenital variations, mond mity to billions express the chances against their ever arising? Get some mathematician to cal-culate-giving as data superficial area of caterpillar none one hand and that of nine ganglia on the other. Even neglecting the consideration that the variation must occur many times to give maided matural election a chance to fix it as an instinct, the chances arainst its occurring only once would be represented by the following series, where $x$ is the superficial area of the caterpillar mimus that of eight ganglia, and mity is superficial area of one ganglia:

$$
\frac{1}{\mathrm{x}} \times \frac{1}{\mathrm{x}} \times \frac{1}{\mathrm{x}} \times \frac{1}{\mathrm{x}} \times \frac{1}{\mathrm{x}} \times{ }_{\mathrm{x}}^{1} \times \frac{1}{\mathrm{x}} \times{ }_{\mathrm{x}}^{1} \times \frac{1}{\mathrm{x}}
$$

If, as I suppose, $x$ may here be takell as $=100,000$,
the chances against the variation occorring mat would be written in figures expressing mity to an thomsand million billion trillions. Of fourse I donn rely on calleulations of this kind for giving anythan like accurate results (mathematics in biology alwarseems to me like a scalpel in a (arpenter"s shop), but it makes no difference how far one ruts down sum figures as these. Therefore, if Lamatek won't satisf such facts, neither do I think that Darwin minns. Lamarek can do so. We must wait for the next man.

I will send you 'Perrier' on my return to town next month.

Lord Morton's experience is so miversally that of all breeders of live stock, that I never knew anyody ever doubted it. But, if they do, there is no reamon why they should not satisfy themselves on the paint. For my part I do not feel that the fact repuires any corroboration as regards mammals, though I have some experiments going on with birds. Lastly the apparently amalogons cases in plants are still wore for Weisman's theory, and they stand on the beat authorities.

I enclose a letter received by same pont that brought yours. It is from a former keeper of mine who is now more in the moorlands. Other application we out, so [ hope some of them will be succosful. Very little doubt it will prove to be temperature. I found a dead stoat here to-day; it had not turned white at all, but then the season is very mild.

The Secretary of the R.I. is Sir F. Bramwell. Bart., F.R.s. You had better write to him. Ilan w his son-in-law, Victor Horsley, who is more of a
hindori wurht
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mindogist. Tell Bramwell, if you like, that I think he Nught to jump at you.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Yours very truly, } \\
& \text { (i. J. Romanes. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Gemies, Ross-shire, N.13. : November 6, 1ss9.
My dear Poulton,-Many thanks for your paper, which is the clearest exposition I have yet seen of Heismam's views. But how about your allusion to epleriments in grafting" As regards plants, there is a sund deal of evidence as to the possibility of a graftbubrid. As regards animals, fifteen years aro I spent an immensity of time in experimenting, and could not hern find that there was any literature on the subject. Cobody who had grafted animal tissues had done so with any reference to the heredity question, nor in I know of any publications on the subjeret since thell.

> Yours very truly,
> (i. J. Romanes.

Geanies, Ross-shire, N.13. : November 11, 1889.
My dear Poulton,-Althongh I spent more time and trouble than I like to acknowledge (even to my.rlf) in trying to prove Pingenesis between $7: 3$ and 2). I never obtained any positive results, and did int care to publish negative. Therefore there are no papers of mine on the subject, althongh I may fairly hilieve that no other humim being has tried so many: experiments upon it. No doubt you will think that Ionght to regrard this fact as so much megrative evibance in favour of the new theory; and, up to a
(ertain point, I do, only the issumbetween Pamsink and Germ-plasm is not really or nearly so well definent as Weismam represents, where the matter of experiments is concerned ; r.!. it is not the case that ans crucial test is furnished by the nom-transmissibility mutilations; Darwin did not set much store by there. though Eimer and others have done so sinee. In fact all the Gemmans on both sides, and all the Englishmen on Weismann's side, seem to me minnt to Darwin in this respect.

Regarding the cessation of selection, the motive that prompted my question to you was not the paltry one of claming priority in the enmenation of anm ex. reedingly obvious idea. My motive was to assure myself that this iciea is exactly the same as WeismaniPammixia; for, although I could see no difference. I thought perhaps he and you did (from absener of allusion to my paper, while priority is acknowledsed as regards a later one) ; and, if this were so, I wanterl to know where the difference lay. And the reasom I wanted to know this was because when my paper was published, and Darwin accepted the idea with enthusiasm, I put it to him in conversation whether this idea might not supersede Lamarckian principhes altogether. (By carefully reading between the lines of the priper itself, you will see how much this question was occupying my mind at the time, though I did not dare to challenge Lamarck's principles in totn without much more full inquiry.) Then it was that Darwin dissuaded me from groing on to this point, on the gromed that there was abundant evidence of Lamarck's principles apart from use and disuse of
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srictures-e.g. instincts-and also on the gromed of his theory of Pangenesis. Therefore I abandoned the matter, and still retain what may thus be now a prepulice against exactl; the same line of thought as Darwin talked me out of in 1873. Weismam, of curre, has greatly elaborated this line of thought ; but what may be called the scientific axis of it (vi\%. posible non-inheritance of acquired characters) is mentical, and all the more metaphysical part of it about the immortality, immutability, de., of a hypohetical germ-plasm is the weakest part in my estimation.
Now, the point I am working up to is this. If there to no difference between Pammixia and Cessation of -lection, from what I have briefly sketched abont it. :follows that, had Darwin lived till now, he would annost certainly have been opposed to Weismam. This is not a thing I should like to say in public, but mer that I should like to feel practically assured about in my own mind.
Regarding the numerical calculations, I have not It a copy of the 'Nature' paper here, but, so far as I sumber (and I think I am right), the idea was that Economy of Growth ' would go on assisting Cessalin of Selection till the degenerating organ became 'midinentary.' In other words, reversal of selection rould co-operate with cessation of it.
This, as I understand it, is now exactly Weismam's: fiem; only he thinks that thus the rudimentary organ rould finally become extinguished. Here, however, *:eems to me evident he must be wrong. The Fansis are obvious, as I am going to show this week
to my Edinburgh class．Six lectures are to be dewted entirely to Weismam，and when they are publishod （as they will be this time next year），I think it will he seen that Weismamism is not such vere phatin sailing as Weismam himself seems to think．Vines hats inti－ cipated some of my points in his paper in＇Nature＇： but I hope this may have the effect of letting mes see what answers c：un be given before I shatl have th publish．

Yours very truly， G．J．Romista，

In the midst of these scientific labours and serem－ tific controversies，Mr．Romanes found time for other thoughts and for other work．

At the begimning of 1889 he delivered an addrens at＇Toynbee Hall on the Ethical Teaching of Christ， of which the following is an extract：
＇The services rendered by Christ to the callse of morality have been in two distinct directions．The first is in an unparalleled change of moral concep－ tion，and the other in an mparalleled moral example， joined with peculiar powers of moral exposition and enthnsiasm of moral feeling which have never before been approached．The originality of Christ＇s teach ing might in some quarters be over－rated，but the achievement it was impossirle to overrate．It is only before the presence of Christ that the dry bomes of ethical abstraction have sprung into life．＇The very essence of the new religion consists in re－ establishing more closely than ever the bonds hee
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Grist's teaching and influence has been the carrying into effect of the doctrine of miversalism, for prerionsly the idea of hmman brotherhood cam not be aid to have existed. Again, in the exaltation of the benevolent virtues at the expense of the heroic, the change effected is fundanental and abrupt. (hrist may be said to have crated the virtues of alf-ibnegation, universal beneficence, unflinching mumility-indeed, the divine supremacy of comasion. Whether Christ be regarded as human or livine, all mast agree in regarding the work of His life as by far the greatest work ever achieved in the nistory of the human race. A topic of great imporance is the influence of Christ's personality in securing the acreptance of His teaching. 'The personal Waracter of Christ is of an order suigeneris, and even the most advanced of sceptics have done homage to it. The more keen the intellectual criticism, the erreater sthe appreciation of the miqueness of the personality. Men may cease to wonder at the effect of Christ's teaching; for, given the wonderful persondity, all the rest must follow. Whatever answers ifferent persons may give to the questions, "What wink ye of Christ? Whose son is He?" everyone must agree that "His name shali be called Wonder4!",

This brought on him two characteristic letters, De from an Agnostic laty, blaming him for attachaty so much importance to Him whom she was leased to call 'The Peasant of Nazareth,' the other' Rom Dr. Paget:

Christ Church, Oxford: January 14. 1~n9.
My dear Romanes,-I hope you will not think me impertinent if I write a few words of gratitude for the happiness which I enjoyed in reading to-day even such an account of your address at 'Toynbee Hatl as the "Times " gave me. There is always a risk of impertinence in thanking a man for what he has said: for of course he has said it because he saw it, and thought he ought to say it, quite simply. But I may: just thank you for the generous willingness sith which you accepted such a task:-and for the highi in which you looked at it:-as an opportunity for saying ... ${ }^{\frac{C}{C}}$ ungrudgingly, so open-heartedly, that which is cleal to you about our Lord. This must be, please (ion. a real bit of help to others ; and I trust and pray that it may return in help to you.

But how dark you were about it! I should have been furious if I had been in London, and not there.

Please forgive me this letter; and do not think it needs any answer.

> Affectionately yours, Francts Pagi:it.

At the begimning of this year Mr. Romames collected his various poems and had them privately printed. He writes to his ,ister:

Febraary 154:.
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Cebruary 18s?. ; wondering ent to mark d to sily thit so that she d to me the
wea of putting them into type for private cireulation, when they might serve at once as the required wedding-present, and as a preliminary to publication at any future time either by myself or, more probably, wher or someone else. So 1 got an estimate from the printer, and with an awful rush he set up the whole in a week. Proof corrections occupied another week, and the binding of a grand presentation copy the third week. Thus I only had my present ready a few hours before it had to be presented. Binding the other copies occupied the time till I sent you ronrs. In Ethel's copy (which is awfully swoll) I have written a special sonnet, as I did in yours.

These poems, or rather a selection from them, will be published, in accordance with the anthor's minh.

Of his poetry, his sommets (which were privately pinted) seem the most successful. Varions friends wiff the privately printed book, and tho present Professor of Poetry at Oxford gratified Mr. Vommanes Wert much by his own kind words respecting them, ad also by submitting them to Lord 'l'emyson, who voke of them in kindly terms, as did also Dean Chureh, Mr. Edmmed Gosse, Mr. George Meredith, and others. 'Two letters he received about his poems ir here given:

## From the Dern of St. I'aul's.

Ettenheim, Torquay: Februnry e6, 1889.
My dear Mr. Romanes,-Thank you very much Why koundness in thinking ne worthy of your wift. lan always glad to see science and poetry go together.

It was the way with the earliest efforts of natural science, as Empedocles and Lucretius; and when th strictest thinking of science is done, there is still something more of expression and meaning, of which poetry is the natural and only adequate interpreter.

My acquaintance with your volume is as yet mily superficial. But I have been very much impressed hy 'Charles Darwin,' and by the 'Dream of Poetry.' It ia very pleasant volume to open, and does not senif one away empty and cold; which means that it igenuine poetry. We do not get on very fast : but we are better here than in London, and the place i. pleasant.

Please remember us all to Mrs. Romanes. Mary sends a very special remembrance.

Yours faithfully, R. W. Cheria.

## From the Rt. Hon. W. E. Gladstone.

Hawarden.
Dear Mr. Romanes,-You have sent me ant acceptable gift, and a most considerate note; considerate as regards me, but not, I fear, as respect. yourself ; for you have made your appeal to an incompetent judge. I do not think I possess, though I have always coveted, the gift of song, and I am not a qualified judge of those who have it.

But in your case there can surely be neithen difficulty nor doubt. I came home on Saturda! evening and found a book awaiting me with prion
of natumal id when the lere is still reaning, , f adequatt
as yet mily npressed hy oetry.’ It ires not sellif is that it iy fast; but the plate i-
mes. Mary
fully, Сниян.
one.
Hawarden.
nt me all note ; coll as respect o an interns, though I I allm luot :
be meither (1 Saturdit! with prion
personal claims, which has taken up most of the hort time since my arrival. It does not, however, I think, require much time to learn from your book whether you have or have not the poetic gift. Before many minutes had passed the affirmation, I will not say dawned, but glared, upon me.

I am very glad that you have proceeded to its firther exercise. I can see no good reason why a matn of science should not be a poet. Lord Bacon arely shows in his Essays that he had the poet in bim. It all depends upon the way of groing about it, and on the man's keeping himself, as man, above his pursuit, as Emerson well said long ago.

I do not quite apprehend your estimate of Darwin. nor of Ditrwin's works, in p. 119. This is no doubt duc to my ignorance. I knew him little, but my slight intercourse with him impressed me deeply as well as plensurably:

With sincere thanks, I remain, dear Mr. Romanes, fathfully yours,
W. E. Gladstone.

Mr. Romanes was an omnivorous reader of poetry, and this taste grew by what it fed on. On a holiday be read poetry in preference to anything else, and he mas very fond of grood anthologies, begiming first and Gremost with the 'Golden 'l'reasury.' Shakespeare, Nilton, and, above all, Temyson were the poets he most loved. For Byron he had had an early boyish enthusiasm, but this he seemed to outgrow ; at last Byron was not an anthor to whom in later years he tumed. He grew more and more addicted to versifing in the later yours of his life, and girl friends who srew into intimate acquaintances were sure to have
sooner or later a somet sent to them on sonse sperial oecasion.

As the years went on he became more interested in work amongst the poor, and longed to take up some special line. For a while he set up a small school in a slum near the Enston Road, in which he tried to attract the very poorest boys who had managed to elude the vigilance of the School Board. His plan was to have only morning school, and to give the children their dimer. The School Bomd officer came to his aid, and the school was mantanined for one or two winters.

He visited the school regularly, and on ona occasion, finding that a boy had been grossly rude ta the mistress, he gave the young scamp a sound whipping.

For other people's interests in the way of work he had much sympathy; he several times went down to the Christ Church mission at Poplar when the Rev. H. L. Paget was in charge, and he lectured at Tornber Hall and at the Oxford House.

Of the work of the clergy as a whole Romame always spoke most warmly ; of the peculiar dislike of and suspicion of 'black coats,' so often attributed t" laymen in general and to scientific men in particular. he had no trace, and as years went on he used to be gently chaffed for his clerical tendencies and the way in which he was consulted as to the bearings of Science on Religion.
'Two new correspondents were now added to Mr. Romanes' list, Professor Joseph Le Conte, of the University of California, and the Rev. J. Gulick, whn was, and is still, an American missionary in Tapran. Of Mr. Gulick's scientific attaimments, Mr. Romanes entertained a very high opinion. Unfortmately, none of the letters to Mr. Gulick have come to hand.
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Thought,' Mr. Romanes thought very highly, and introduced it to the notice of varions people, especially to Mr. Aubrey Moore.

He writes to Mr. Le Conte:
To Professon Lr Conte.

Geamies, Ross-shire, N.B.: October 11, 1887.
Dear Sir,-I am much obliged to you for sending me a copy of your most interesting paper on Flora of the Coast Islands, dec.

If you are acquainted with my new theory of Physiological Selection' (published in 'Journ. Lin. onc.' 1886) you will understand why I regard your Wets as furnishing first-rate material for testing int theory. If you camot get access to my paper, I will send you a copy on my return to London in December.

My object in now writing-over and above that of thanking you for your paper-is to ask whether you rourself, or any other American naturalist whom you may know, would not feel it well worth while to try - me experiments on the hybridisation of the peculiar vecies. Although I agree with you in thinking it pobable that many of these species may be 'remnants,' I also think it abundiantly possible that some it them may be merely evolved forms. A botanist nt the spot might be able to determine, by intelligent comparison, which of the peculiar species are most probably of the last-mentioned character. 'These he minht choose for his experiments on hybridisation. thad I should expect him to find marked evidence of
mutual sterility between closely allied unique speciogrowing on the same island, with possibly mimpairnd fertility between allied species growing on different islands. If this anticipation should be realised by experiment, the fact would go far to prove my then

Even if you do not happen to know of any botamit who would care to undertake this experimental research, you might possibly know of some one whe would gather and transmit seeds for me to grow in hothouses here.

I shall be much interested to hear what you think of these proposals, and meanwhile remain Yours truly,
G. J. Romanis.

Geanics.
My dear Sir,-Your book I will look forward to with much interest, and certainly not least sin th your treatment of that very comprehensive question -' What then?'

I will send you a copy of my paper on Physiolonian Selection as soon as I return to London, which will be about Christmas.

With many thanks for your kindness, I remain. yours truly,
G. J. Romatis. May 7, 15s.
My dear Sir, - Many thanks for sending me a cops of your book, ${ }^{\text {' }}$ which seems to me everywhere admirable. Of course, I am particularly glad that you think with me so much on physiological selection, but
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rem apart from this, the work is, to my mind, one of the most clearly thought out that I have met with in Darwinian literature. I have sent it on to 'Nature, for review, understanding from the office that a copy had not then been received. But for your kind mention of myself, I should have reviewed it.

A most remarkable paper has been sent to the Limean Society by a Mr. Gulick on 'Divergent Evolution,' for the publication of which in the 'Journal ' you might look out.

G. J. Romanes.

January 21, 1889.
My dear Sir,-I should like you to set your lucid mits to work upon the following questions, and let me how whether you can devise any answers.

On pp. 220226 of your book, you state with extreme felicity, and much better than he does, Weismam's theory of the causes of variation. But it does not occur to him, and does not seem to have oeemred to you, that there is a curious and maccountable interruption in the ascending grades of sexual differentiation, for in the vegetable kingdom these do not bllow the grades of taxonomic ascent; but, on the contrary, and as a general rule, the lower the order of trolution, the greater is the tendency to bi-sexualism. lhecious species (i.e. male and female organs on different plants) occur in largest propertion among the lover Cryptogams, less frequently anong the higher, and more rarely still among Phanerogams. Monorcions species (i.e. male and female organs on the same plant, but locally distinct) occur chiefly among the
higher Crypugams and lower Phanerogans; Hemad phrodite species (i.e. male and female organs in the same flower) occur much more frequently inmong higher Phamerogams.
'There is, besides, another difficulty. Accordins io Weismann and youself, it is natural selection that has brought about sexuality' for the sake of better results in the offspring,' by making them more variabie or plastic. But how can matural selection act prophetically? Unless the variability is of use tu the individuals at each stage of its advance, it camot come under the sway $\sigma^{\prime}$ natural selection, howerer adrantageous it may ecentucilly prove to the type. But, if one thinks about it, how ean such variability be of any use to the individual? Observe, beneticial variabiity is quite different from beneticial variation. It is the temlency to cory that is in question, not the occurrence of this, that, and the other display of it. Now, I do not see how sexuality can have been evolved by matural selection for the purpose of securing their tendency in the future, when it can never be of ans use to individuals of the present. Each individual of the present is an accomplished fact ; the tendency to produce variable offspring is, therefore, of no use to it individually, and so matural selection would have no reason to pick it out for living and propasating, Such is my difficulty touching this point. Anether is, why do we meet with such great differences between (sometimes) allied natural genera, and aem whole matural orders, as to the facility with which their constituent species hybridise? For eximple, species of genus Geranimu will hybridise almost better
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I hope that at some time you will be able to get ent to me seeds of species peculiar to oceanic islands, should you hear of any botanists who are visiting such islands.
G. J. Romanes.

I note that you have been good enough to pass my questions on to Mr. Greene, whose great kindness already experienced by me) will, I trusi, prevent him from thinking that the failure of the seeds to tower here was the to any negrigence on my part.

Yes, it is the same Rev. Mr. Gulick whom you describe that wrote the paperon 'Divergent Evolution' to which I alluded, and which is a most remarkable paper in every way, though not at all easy to master. Wallace completely misunderstood it in his letter to - Nature.' It was his work in shells that first led Mr. Gullick to study Isolation, and he has been at work upon the subject ever since. To the best of my judment, he has demonstrated the necessity of what he calls 'segregate breeding' for 'polytypic evoludion,' and in this comnection has worked out the idea of physiological selection (which he calls segregate fecundity) much more fully than I have.

It is most astonishing to me with what a storm of opposition this idea has been met in England, and how persistent is the misunderstanding. In Germany and America it is being much more fairly treated, but meanwhile I intend to keep it as quiet as possible, till I shall be in a position to publish a large
body of experimental observations. As far ats time has hitherto allowed, the results are strongly commonrative of the theory,

I have now read your admirable book, and my only objection to it is that it seems in such latin measure to anticipate the publication of my own course of lectures on the theory of Evolution which I am now giving at the Royal Institution. But, on the other hand, this will relieve me of the necessity of printing a good deal of my matter, as it will be sufficient to refer to your book in mine when the two cover common ground. It is needless to add that I am very glad to note you think so well of phesiodogical selection.

> Yours very truly,
> G. J. Ronases.

The theory of the Non-Inheritance of Acruired Characters, with which Professor Weismamn's mane is inseparably comnected, was now coming to the front.

Mr. Romanes was, of course, intensely interestel, and set himself not to dispute so much as to examine and to test it.

He devoted a large part of his last year at the Royal Institution to lecturing on Prof. Weismann's theory, which lectures he worked up into his book, 'An Examination of Weismannism,' published in 1892.

He devised many experiments to test that theory, experiments which have a pathetic interest for those who love him, for they occupied his mind up to the very day of his death.

Of this theory it may safely be said that since the promulgation of Mr. Darwin's great doctrine, no problem has interested the world of science so profoundly.
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For the most part the younger English maturalists hase accepted Professor Weismann's theory, which, of the way, had long ago been anticipated by Mr. Francis Galton, and Mr. Romanes was not much -upported in his opposition, or; rather, his nonatherence to Weismamnism.
1.innean Society, Burlingtom Honse, London, W:: March 21, 1890.

My dear Dyer,-I have come to the conclusion dart anything published in 'Nature' might as well vever have been published at ail; and therefore have wome here to-day in order to look through the back numbers of 'Nature,' with a view to republishing as a mall book the varions things that I have contributed huring the past twenty years. Thus it is I find that the explanation which I gave to Herbert Spencer re Pammixia and his articles on the 'Factors of Organic Evolution,' appeared in August 25, 1887, and showed whit his whole argment was in the air.

I have also read my own article on Pammixia, mritten about two months ago, and published last week. The result is to satisfy me that your 'intellisent' friends must have had minds which do not Yong, to the a priori order-i.e. are incapable of ferciving other than the most familiar relations. such monds may do admirable work in other directoms, but not in that of estimating the value of barwinian speculations. A few years ago they would have thought the cessation of selection a very mimportant principle, and one which could not possibly custain any such large question as that of the transmissibility of acquired character. And a
few years hence they will wonder why they raiser? such an ado over the no less obvious principle of physiological selection.

Yours very truly, G. J. Romayes.

## He writes to his brother :

18 Cornwall Terrace, Regent's Park, N.IN: : Sundal.
My dearest James,-This theory, of the SonInheritance of Acquired Characters, is that mothinn that can happen in the lifetime of the individual exercises any influence on its progeny; effects of uッ" or disuse, for example, camot be inherited, nor, therrfore, can any adaptation to external conditions which are brought about in individual organisms. Nitural selection thas can only operate in spontaneons variations of germ-plasm, choosing those variations which. when 'writ large' in the resulting organisms, are bet suited to survive and transmit.

This is the most important question that has beem raised in biology since I can remember, and onlc proof of an inherited mutilation wonld settle the matter against Weismann's theory. I an therefure also trying the mutilation of caterpillars at the $\%$ on. in the hope that a mutilation during what is virtuall! an embryonic period of life will be most likely to he transmitted, seeing that comyenital variations are on readily transmissible, and that these are chamges if a pre-embryonic kind.

All well and with much love, yours ever,

Tune wi selld it.

Anet
the inte Zomlonis wibes:

Have you got the 'Contemporary Review' for Jone with my article on Dawinism? If not, I will selld it.

Inother bit of work was an investigation into Che intelligence of the chimpanzee 'sadly' at the Zundogieal Gardens, which the following letter deyribers:

## sathere vense bhete.

To the Eiditor of the "Times' (Sept. 19, 18ss).
Sir,-In comertion with the correspondence on be powers of counting displayed by savages, it may e of interest to marate the following facis with reard to similar powers as displayed by brutes.
One often hears a story told which seems to show Bat rooks are able to comont as far as five. The Gurce of this story, however, is generally fomad to have been forgotten, and therefore the story itself is Wreredited. Now, the facts stand on the anthority a very aceurate observer, and as he adds that they I2e always to be repeated when the attempt is ade." so that they are regarded by him an 'among atery commonest instances of amimal sagacity, at amot lightly set them aside. The observer in pinstion is Levoy, and the facts for which he (thonally vouches in his work on amimat intelligence Wh briefly as follows:

- The rooks will not return to their nests during Walight should they see that amyone is waiting to Font them. If to lull suspicion a hut is made below \% rookery and a man conceal himself therein, he
will have to wait in vain, should the birds have we: been shot at from the hat on a previous arcansm. Leroy then goes on to say: "To deceive this suspicions bird. the plan was hit upon of sending two mein into the watch-house, one of whom passed out while the other remained; but the rook comoted and kept her distance. The next day three went, and again the perceived that only two returned. In time, it was found necessary to send five or six men to the wated house in order to throw out her calculation.'

Finding it on this testimony not incredible that a bird could count as far as five, I thought it worth while to try what might be done with a more intelligent animal in this comnection. Acrordingly about a year ago, I began, with the assistance of the keeper, to instruct the rhimpanzee at the Zoolugial Gardens in the art of computation. The method adopted was to ask her for one, two, three, fom, " five strans, which she was to pick up and hand out from among the litter in her cage. Of course, $n$ constant order was observed in making these remperts but whenever she handed a mmber not asked for het offer was refused. In this way the animal leant to associate the numbers with their mames. Lastl! if more than one straw were asked for she was tamb to hold the others in her month until the repuined number was romplete, and then to deliver the what at once. This method prevented any possible errod arising from her interpretation of vocal tomes, it error which might well have arisen if each straw had been asked for separately.
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prfectly well understood what was reguired of her, and up to the time when I left town, several months ano she rarely made a mistake in handing me the exact number of straws that I named. Doubtless she still contimues to do so for her keeper. For instance, if she is asked for fom straws she succes, irely picks up three and puts them in her month, then she picks up a fourth and hands over all the inur together. Thus, there can be no dombt that the aminal is clearly able to distingoish between the numbers $1,2,3,4$, and 5, and that she moderstands the name for each. But as this chimpanzee is somewhat capricious in her moods, even private visitors must not be disappointed if they fail to be contertained bran exhibition of her leaming, a aution which it setms desirable to add, as this is the first time that the attainments of my pupil have been made known in the public, although they have been witnessed by oftieres of the Society and other biological friends.

I have sent these facts to you, Sir, beamse $\bar{i}$ think that they bear ont the psychological distinction which S dran in your leading article of the 17 th inst. Briefly put, this distinction amoments to that between ansuons estimation and intellectual notation. Any milld. a year after emerging from infancy, and not yet mowing its momerals, could immediately see the bifterence between five pigs and six pigs, and therefre, as your writer indicates, it would be an extraadiary fact if a savage were mable to don so. 'The are, of course, is different where any process of alculation is concerned : $e . y$. each sheep mast bo paid for separately; thus, suppose two stickis of
tobacco to be the rate of exchange for one sherp. it would sorely puzale a Damara to take two sheep and give him four sticks.' (F. Galton, 'Tropical South Africa, p. 213.) But if the sarage had to deal with a larger number of pigs the insufficiency of his semsuous estimation would incrense with the increare of numbers, mutil a point would be reached at which. if he were to keep rount at all, he would be ohliged tw resort to some system of notation, i.e. to mark , fif each separate mit with a separate mitn, whether by: fingers, motches, or words. Similarly with the sume of hearing and the so-called muscular semse. We can tell whether a clock strikes $1,2,3,4$, or.5 withont naming each stroke, and whether we have walked 1 , $2,3,4$, or 5 paces without naming each pace, but we camot in this way be sure whether a clock hats struck 11 or 12 , or we ourselves have walked as many vards.

Thus there is counting and comnting, distingishs. ing between low numbers by directly appreciatim; the difference between two quantities of somsums perceptions, and distinguishing between numbers of any amount by marking each sensuous perception with a separate sign. Of course, in the ahow instance of animals comnting it most be the former method alone that is employed, and, therefore, I hare not songht to carry the ape beyond the monber is lest I should spoil the results atready gained. But a careful research has been made to find how far this method can be carried in the case of man. The experiments comsisted in aseertaining the number of objects (such as dots on a piece of paper) whichadmit of being simultaneonsly estimated with accurace. It
me shoep，it o sheep and pical houth to dral with of his semisu－ incroman uf at which，if be obligen to to mark off ，whether br the the sellew sellse．Whe ，or：क withomt we walked 1 ， pace，but we ck has strurk many vard． distinguish－ apprectiatint of simsinulis numbers of －perception he abovir in－ the former efore，I have e manher is need．But a how far this math．The （e．number if which admit ccuracy：It
mas fomd that the number admits of being largely anceasod by practice，matil，with an exposure to view a fure second＇s duration，the estimate admits of Geing（omrectly made up to between 20 and 30 objects． Prever．＇Sitzumgerer．d．（iesell．f．Med．u．Natmrwiss．，＇ wi．）In the case of the ape it is astomishing over bur long a time the estimate endures．Supposing， in instance，that she is reguested to find five coloured nilws．She perfectly well understands what is manted，but as coloured straws are rare in the litter， Fhe has to seek about for them，and thas it takes her a hig time to complete the number；yet she remem－ mow how many she has successively fomd and put ato her mouth，so that when the number is com－ preted shedelivers it at once．After having consigned Benn to her mouth she never looks at the straws，and berefore her estimate of their number minst be formed rther by the feeling of her month，or by retaining a antal impression of the successive movements of her ann in picking up the straws and placing them in her muth．Without being able to decide positively in which of these wiys she estimates the momber，I am ．rlined to think it is in the latter．But，if so，it is aprising，as atready remarked，over how long a time ins restimate by muscular sense endures．Should In trust Honzoan＇s statement，however（and he is Mrally trustworthy），it appeas that computation or museular sense may extend in some amimals over a very long period．For he says that mules used in be tramways at New Orleans have to make five vineys from one end of the ronte to the other before aey are released，and that they make four of these
journeys withont shownis any expectation of being released, but begin to bray towards the end of the fifth. ${ }^{\text {. }}$

From this letter it will, I hope, be apparent that so far as 'counting' by merely sensuons computation is concerned, the savage cannot be said to show much advance upon the brute. 'Once, while I watched a Damara floundering hopelessly in a calculation on me side of me, I observed Dinah, my spaniel, equally embarrassed on the other. She was overlooking half " dozen of her new-born puppies, which had bem ripmoved two or three times from her, and her anxiety was expressive as she tried to find out if they were all present, or if any were still missing. She kept puzzling and rumning her eyes over them, backward and forwards, but could not satisfy herself. She aridently had a vague notion of counting, but the figure was too large for her brain. Taking the two as they stood, dog and Damara, the comparison reflected no great honour on the man.' (Galton, loc. cit.) But the case, of course, is quite otherwise when, in virtne of the greatly superior development of the sign-making faculty in man, the savage is enabled to employ the intellectual artifice of separate notation, wherdy: he attains the conception of number in the abstract, and so lays the foundation of mathematical serence. Now, so far as I am aware, there is no trustworth: evidence of any race of savages who are withont ans idea of separate notation. Whether the system of notation be digital only, or likewise verbal, is, poychologically speaking, of comparatively little moment.
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For it is historically certain that notation berins by nsing the fingers, and how far any particular tribe may have advanced in the direction of naming their numbers is a question which ought never to be confised with that as to whether the tribe can 'count' i.c. notate.

Ghonge J. Romanes.

## ("APTER IV

OXFORD
Life had run very smoothly during these years from 1879 to 1890 , only now and then fits of gout had shaken the belief Mr. Romanes had hitherto folt in his own strength, in his possession of perfect health.

But about the end of 1889 other signs of ill-health appeared in the shape of severe headaches; he began to weary of London and the distractions of London life.

By degrees his thoughts and inclinations turned strongly in the direction of Oxford. Oxford semmed to satisfy every wish. The beautiful city gratified his poetic sense; there were old friends already there to welcome him, and there seemed abondance of appliances and of facilities for scientific work.

Also the ease with which he could get into the comntry, the opportunities for constant exercise, the freedom he would obtain from councils and rommittees, were tempting. A beautiful old house opposite Christ Chureh was to be had, and this finally determined him. He fell absolutely in love with ()xford, and brief as his comection with her was to be, the University has had few more loyal sons, nor hats she ever exercised more complete influence over any who have fallen under her sway.

It is surnrising, as one looks back on the Oxford years, to reaise how short a time Mr. Romanes spent there, and yet it is impossible not to realise also for how much that time comnted in his life.

Many influences were working in him, a ripening judgment, a growth of character, a deepening sense
it the specul in the cirud.
tw her,
all the
is we callise's is be f
at the inadequacy of scientitic researeh, philosophical peculation, and artistic pleasures to till ' the vacomm in the soul of man which nothing can fill save faith in Gud.: And now Oxford, with all the beanty still left to her, with all the associations which hame her, with all the extraordinary witching sell which she knows sin well how to exercise-()xford, the home of 'lost tallses' 'and also of forward movements, Oxford came whe for four brief years his home.

18:0) opened with the death of Mr. Aubrev Moore. Only a very few weeks before his too early death, Mr. Shore had been present at the Aristotelian Society, and had heard the joint papers contributed by ProEmon : Alexander, the Rev. S. (iilden, and Mr. Rommes wn the 'Evidences of Design in Niture.'

Here, again, Mr. Romanes showed how far he had receded from the materialistio point of view. In his paper he guoted passages from Aubrey Moore's essay in 'Lux Mundj ' (just published), and says:

Set once more, it may be arged, as it has been anged by a member of this Soriety in a recently-published essay-and this an essaly of such high ability that in my opinion it must be ramked among the very few of the very greatest achievements in the department of literature to which it belongs-it may, I say, ie arged, as it recently has been arged by the Rev. Anbrey Moore, that the comuterpart of the theological belief in the mity and ommipresence of God is the scentific belief in the mity of nature and the reign of the Oxford nanes spent lise also for
, a ripening
 ening sense exercise, the $;$ and comhouse oppoinally deterith ()xford, s to be, the nor hats she er my who of gout had rerte felt in fect health. of ill-health s ; he began London life. jions turned ford seemed gratificed his ddy there to ce of appli-
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law'; that 'the evolution which wasat first suppored th have destroyed teleology is fomd to be more saturated with teleology than the view which it superseded that "it is a great gain to have eliminated chance, to find seience declaring that there must be a reason for everything, even when we cannot hazard a conjecture as to what the reason is' ; that ' it seems as if in the providence of God the mission of modern science was to bring home to our mometaphysical ways of thinking the great truth of the Divine inmmence in creation. which is not less essential to the Christian idea of God than to the philosophical view of nature.' But on the opposite side it may be represented-as. indeed, Mr. Aubrey Moore himself expressly allow:that all these deductions are valid only on the preformed supposition, or belief, 'that God is, and that He is the rewarder of such as diligently seek Him. Granting, as Mr. Aubrey Moore insists, that a precisely analogous supposition, or belief, is required fur the suceessful study of nature-vi\%. 'that it is, and that it is a rational (? orderly) whole which reasom can interpret,' still, where the question is is th the existence of God, or the fact of design, it constitutes no final answer to show that all the deductions would logically follow if such an answer were yielded in the affirmative. All that these deductions amount to is an argument that there is nothing in the constitution of mature inimical to the hypothesis of design: beyond this they do not yield any independent verification of that hypothesis. Innumerable, indeed, are the evidences of design in nature if once a designer be supposed; but, apart
talppored tu ore saturated :uperseded ad chance, to a reason fon a conjecturt as if in the science wa, $s$ of thinking in creation. tian idea of ature.' But esented-as. sly allow:on the preis, and that - seek Him.' that a prerequired for lat it is, and hich reasom 011 is as to design, it t all there atl inswer that these aat there is incal to the lo not yield thesis. Indesign in but, ipart
from any such antecedent supposition, we are without an means of gramging the validity of such evidence as is presented. And the reason of this is, that wo are withont any means of ascertanimg what it is that lies behind, and is itself the canse of, the miformity of nature. In other words, we do not know, and cannat discover, what is the nature of natural causation. Cevertheless, I think it is a distinct gain, both to the philosophy and the theology of our age, that science has reduced the great and old-standingr question of Jesign in Nature to this comparatively narrow issue. 'Therefore, I have directed the purpose If this paper to showing that, in view of the issue to which science has reduced this question, it cannot be answered on the lower plane of aromment which Mr. Hexander has chosen. All that has been effected br our recent discovery of a particular case of causality in the selection princ(iple is to throw back the question of design, in all the still outstanding prorinces of Nature, to the question-What is the mature of natural cansation! (Or, again, to quote Mr. Aubrey Moore, ' Darwinism has conferred upon philosophy and religion an inestimable benefit by howing us that we must choose between two altermaves : either God is everywhere present in Nature, or He is nowhere.' 'This, I apprehend, puts the issue into as small a mumber of words as it well can be put. dud whether God is everywhere or nowhere depends on what is the nature of matural cansation. Is this intelligent or unintelligent:. Is it the mode in which a Divine being is everywhere simultaneously and enmally operating; or is it but the practical expres-
sion of what we moderstind by a mechanicalnecessity: In short, is it original or derised-final, and therefore inexplicable, becanse self-existing ; or is it the effect of a higher callase in the existence of a disposing Mind:

Although I camot wait to arge this, the ultimate guestion which we have met to consider, I mas briefly state my own view with regard to it. This is the same view that the originator of the doctrine of natural selection himsolf used habitually to express to me in conversation-vi\%. to use his own words. I have long ago come to the conchasion that it is a question far beyond the reath of the human mind.' Such, of course, is the position of pure agnosticism.

At the end of this paper, Mr. Aubrey Moore remarked that he agreed with all Mr. Alexander"s agmments, but disagreed with all his conclusions, mul that he disagreed with all Mr. Gildea's argmont, but agreed with his conclusions ; and an for Mr. Romanes, he could only leave him out, after the kiml and flattering terms in which he had spoken of the essay in 'Lax Mundi.' At the end of his little speech he said aside to a friend, 'What a fellow Romanes is! "Lux Mundi" has been out about three weeks, and he knows all about it.'

The friends are lying almost side by side in Holywell, ${ }^{1}$ and it is impossible not to feel that their deaths have left places hard to fill. About Aubrey Moore, Mr. Romanes wrote some touching words in the 'Gumdian ' (he was never afraid to express his admiration. to wear his heart upon his sleeve). The little notice has now been reprinted with two others as a Preface to the volme of Mr. Moore's Essays 'Science and the Faith.'

[^33]
## T'o Professor I'onlton.

18 ('omwall Termace. Regent's Park, N.W. : January ét, 1890.
My dear Poultom, Miny thanks for your letter, with its very clear and cogent reasoning. But I an not sure that the latter does not hit Weismam harder than it hits me. For the cases you have in view are those where very recently acquired chameters are concerned; and where, therefore, according to my views, 'the fore of heredity' is weak and thus puickly 'worn out.' In such cases (as I say in the last passages of enclosed, which I return for you to hand me on Friday) '(essation will (quiclily) ensure the reduction of an mused organ below fifty per cent. of its original size, and so on down to zero ; but this it does because it is now assisted by another and couperating principle-vi\%. the eventual failure of heredity.'

Now it is just this co-operating principle that Weismam is debarred from recognising by his dogma about 'stability of gem-plasm.' And it is a principle that must act the more energetically (i.e. ' (quickly') the shorter the time since the now degenerating organ mas originally acquired. In the 'Nature' articles I was speaking of 'rudimentary organs' which in Dawin's sense are very old heirlooms. All this to make you reconsider whether there is any disagreement between us upon this point.

It is, indeed, a terrible thing about Anbrey Moore, and also a loss to Dirwinism on its popular side.
(i. J. R.

After receiving your letter this day a month ant. it oremred to me that I had better write an artiele in 'Nature' on Pammixia, pointing out the rescemblanmen and the differences between Weismamis statement of the principle and mine. Shortly after sembing it in, Weismames answer to Vines appeared, and fron this it seems that he has modified his views mpon the subject. For while in his essays he says that the complete disappearance of a modimentary oram ran only take place by the operation of natural selection (i.e. reversal of selection throngh economy, dio) in 'Nature' he says, 'Organs no longer in use herome radimentary, and must finally disappear, soldely by Pammixia.' 'Thus, the same facts are attributed it one time 'only' to the presence of selection, and at another time 'solely' to its absirnce.

Now, the latter view seems exactly the same as mine, if it means (as I smppose it must) that the ressation of selection ultimately leads to a failure of heredity. (How about stability of germ-phasm here... 'The time during which the force of heredity will persist, when thus merely left to itself, will vary with the oliginal strength of this force, which, in turn, will presimably vary with the length of time that the organ has previonsly been inherited. Thas, differmon of merely specific value (to which you allude in sour letter) will quickly disappear moder cessation of selection, while 'restiges' of class value are lomsenduring. The point to be clear about is that the cessation of selection (in my view) entails tom comsto quences, which are quite distinct. First, a compura-
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arely small amome of reduction due to promiscuous aribility round an areme which, howerer, will be a montinuonsly sinking aremge if the cessation is anded by a resersal of siflection: and second, later (1). a failure of the form of heredity itself.
'Touching the first of the two consequences you ay that variations below or away from the standard would not be balanced by those above, becanse the tandird was reached by the selection of such an estremely minute fraction of all variations which weurred.' But can variations in the matter of incrase or decrease take place in more than two drections, up or down, smaller and larger, better or mase". (Read Wallace, ' Darwinism,' pl. 143-4.)

I write this in view of the lecture fou saly you are ming to give, because I do not know when ' Nature, will bring out my article.

Mard $20,1890$.
It might perhaps be well for you toreat the typewritten reply which I have prepared to Wallatees criticism on 'physiological selection.' luat this is Gom you to consider. He has fallen into some errors of great carelessness, not mily with regard to my paper, but also to that of Mr. Gulick, whose theory of "qugregate fecundity. is the sime as mine. ()n this acement I am able to upset the whole eriticisum, and, bintom upwards, to show that it really supports the therory.

I see 'Nature' of this week contains m! Ietter on Paminit, and hope it will deffer in pour and wher minds the outs and ins of the mattor.

Platse return the enclomed. which I send an a fact that may interest rem.

As Fithed has almenly fold yom, I bedieve. Wr heve taken a three rears' lease of a chamming wh hmote and lot this one for a comrepomeling period. It in very ofl homse in Oxford, hasing been haila Carclinal Wonsery. It is immediately epposita lan Towne of ('hrist ('hureh, and full of old galk-wall. fioners, and reilings of the prine ipal rooms hemes nothing clse.
$I$ du wish gom eould come wh before wh lache
 se splemelicl all opportunity in the way of deromatom shombld be utilised. We have to geet out of this homene with all our famitures on or before Mine 20. The rhiderem and servants will thengoto (icamion, whilumb wife and I will go to ()xford to bewin the decomations.
 press, se that they may be ready for puldication! the last ding of my comen at Edinhargh in Nownome I suppose I haw your permission to reprodure yonp
 send the for a das on two Haddon's book on lim bryology?

I hame just heard that ('harles Lister (whm think fon met at (iembies) hats died of ferem Brazil, where he was zoologising.

Sours ever sincorcly,
Geo. J. Rominis.
end in a fall:
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The move was mad, from Landon to (0xford in Vay 1s:90. Mr. Rommes ineorporated with the ('niants and became a member of Christ Churdh. 'This ancertion with 'the Homsie' was a inveat pleasure to sillu.

Fora little while during the carly smmer of 1 soo IIr. Romanes was alone in Oxford, and he writes:

## T', Mis. limmintrs.

I catled to-day on Mr. Dodgson, to sign my name the Common Room, and signed my name in the mak where the signatures go bark to the fommation the Honse. It is certainly the best thing I could ave done to join Christ Church, and I anm enjoying .... return to my madergraduate dis's as something thenowed. Yesterday Liddon' araced the high table in his company. He was particulaty grabens to $\because$ Femembering all about om meetimg yats ago, .. homing to be allowed to have the pleasume of call..nnem us when we were settled in the 'ahmshonse.' Iny dimer in the Common Room, seemg that the aty was both elderly and reverend, all the other six ,ing parsons, I started what secmed to me a suitWhme, vi\% who could best 'aurd wool' in oppoan directions, or turn the right hand romod and and one way, while at the same time tuming the athand round and round the other way. This imoent occupation at once became very popular-the anm in particular being gratly interested in the mulhar difliculty which it presents. For my own ant, I much enjoyed the spectacle of all thase dons
lr. Lidmon died in september 1890.
The homse whidh Mr. Liommes had taken was orminally in ahms. $\cdots$
winding their hands about, and this enjoyment reached its climax when Dr. Liddon ended by tiltin. his glass of claret off the table into his lap.

But there is a good deal of fun from behind his serious exterior, and he enjoyed this little catastroph as much as the rest of us. So you see that the sname and temptations of University life do not dangeronsly assail your husband at the high table of Christ Church.

Yesterday we had our physiological pienic, stâting in five boats, and taking tea on the river-hanh near the old farmhouse. I took supper with the Sandersons, who had a party. Ine Victor Horsew were at the picnic, and I have arranged that they will pay us a visit in October.

It is rery jolly living in this honse, but it is well we are both sood sleepers, the noise of traftic is ... great, even the foot-pasengers somd like burplats.

But this with not affect the children in the other wing, and ats for me, I could sleep if the carriages weme driving through the rooms, with the burglars to bout.

I have only time to write a very few lines, an 1 am now momentarily expecting to be called om to give my exposition before the Physiological Society, which has mastered in considerable force, and is now being regaled by Honsley ${ }^{2}$ and Gotch" while I an watching my plants which are coming on next.

The dimer at Ch. Ch. yesterday was most enjow able, though there were only four others besides myself at the high table. We had turtle soup and very good wine; is that sood for sout?
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Sit. Adate's: Iuly 1. 1890.
I have just come batk from dimer. My next neighbour to-night was Liddon, and we had a long till on the ethis of anicide regatrded from the preChristian or purely ‘secolay point of riew.

I also improved the occasion in the interests of _-. It was clearly a new light to Liddon that - should be so highly thonght of by a man of science, and he appeared to have determined there and then to exert himself in getting a more suitable berth for 'a man now so greatly needed in the Church.'

## Oxt mid

'Two bits of news. Dunstan' hats a som and liddom is seriously ill. Dr. John Ogle came yesterday afternoon from town to see him, and dined with 7. There is great pain in the neck.

I hunched with the Sandersons, or wather with Mrs. Smderson, as the Professor did not leave his room, but he is getting on very well.

Last night after dimner I looked in at the Ponltons, and found them entertaining two Natural Science young ladies from Somerville Hall. A very agreable party. Huxley is expected here this week. His article on 'Lax Mandi' is very chatracteristic..'

It would be very enjoyable to go with you to Ober Ammergan, but I an sure I ought not. First, I should not enjoy it half so much as you; second, it would double the expense ; third, it would rum away mith all the time I want to give to the book. So in

[^35]this catse what is salle for the goose is mot samer fing the gander.

I wish I had somm jokes to treasure ap, bint Oxford is not a joke-yiedding place at pmentit: Geanies must be jubilation itself rompared with U ford now.

I an the sole occupant of the laboratory an of the house. But I rather enjoy the exchasise privilene of my own company, sate so far ats it is relieved ha
 which will fumisha little joke for yon on l'riday mext.

I am sory to hear poor old Parker ${ }^{\text {b }}$ is dend. Yon did not know him, but he was a real good fellom. and hearty friend to me.

I enjoged my thred days in lamdon sem manh Went twice to the theatre, and one of tho phas was' Jutah.' Mr. H. A. Joness siateme a bes. Sian a great deal of the Pollocks; met Soott," who ankwdme to let him put me up for Royal sociaty ('lub) : payed rhess with (i. R. Tumer.

I have now got to work on my plants and guineo piss.

> To Professor Proulton.

My dear Poulton,-I went to the temis gromd yesterday week, but, as I expected, on accomit of the rain, found nobody there.

I now write to ask you if you would have any objection to my borrowing with acknowledment figures from your boot for mine, supposing the phi-

ot sillore fin
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Whers also comsent. In particonlar fies. I, e, 6, 10, 41. and 41 .

Having now road the book, I maty sily how ereatly it has delighted mer. 'The whole is a womderful story, and I eompratulate fon on the larere share which fou have had in adding to this chapter of Jarwinisum.

There is only omm point I anm not quite clear about, ~1\%. pr. $21: 3$ 21\%. It is dombtless an advantage to the parasites that the vatorpillars should wam them uff as having been already' 'oroupied.' But would not this be rather a disurliontre!g to the caterpillats -i.r. to their spmeirs" lor in this wat, it seems to mex, a weater momber of raterpillars would beromme infested than womld be the case in the absence of anch waming. Or is there any point abont it which I do not understand:

When is four next book coming out? I shomid like you to read my repl! to Wallace before it does. Hso my re-statement of physiolorical selection, with disenssion on the prineiples of Seereqation and biverence. I hope the whole will be in type before Susember. Can yon wait till then, or shall I send !pe-written MSis.?

> Yours rery sincerely, Gbonge .J. homanes.
P.S.- Talking abont hon. degrees the last time I sill youreminded me-but something again put it at of my head-that I had been wondering wisy

The Colours of Animils. by E. P. Poulton, M.A., F.R.S., Inter. ational Scientific Series, vol. Ivviii.

Oxford or ('ambridge does mot offer one to F . (ialtom. Could you start a movement in that direction:". .

I am getting so convinced about physiological selection, that I do not care what is said at randon, or withont mederstanding the theory.

Later in the autumn he writes:

## T', Mrs. Rimmom's.

I hope to find letters from Ober Ammergan when I return to Geamies, with a dozen bottles of sulphur water and several pounds of heather hones. Winit yesterday to see a waterfall, which was wonderfully: beantiful ; on the way back met a pony with half a trap, and afterwards came on the other half with it, previous occupants, Lord and Ladly ——, cut about the face, but not seriously hurt. 'There is an awfill row going on here in the Free Kirk, which bids fair to end in bloodshed locally, if not dismiption gemerally.

I am so glad you do not repent going, and ann longing to hear what you think of the phay. I took Ethel and Emest partridge-shooting, and had tean ontside. The new homod, 'J)art,' has amrived. He in beantiful, and as gentle as a lamb with the children. 'This threw us off our guard, and at tea there was a horrible seene, ending in the murder of Sharpe.' The latter barked at him, and five minutes afterwards was a mangled misery. Have returned Dart with a civil note, for the sake of Norah and Jack," the latter having only been sared by heroic measures on the part of Mytsie.
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Later in the allumm he wrote:
To Mis. Hén'y I',llocli.

> (iramics: Uetwher 9, 1890.

My dear Mentor,-The lyric is certamly very pretty, but I am still-and much-more touched by the marhymed, and perhaps monseions, poetry that accompanies it. We have, indeed, many associations with Geanies in common ; ${ }^{1}$ and as neither the furs nor the sorrows of them call ever return into our lives as they were when they arose, it is perhaps better that they should be kept in our memories as they now are, without being overlaid by future esperiences in the same moods and the same cliffs by the same sea. 'The water that has passed' has been beatiful, even in its sadness ; and however long the Whed of life may still have to go, I do not think it could have done better work for any of us than during the years that it has gone at Geanies.

With my philosophic love to both of you, ever the same,

## Geo. J. Romanes.

My very dear Mentor,-- You are quite too lind to me. The touching little present has just arrived, and I am smoking it now. It is just the kind that I like best. I wonder whether the vendor thought it was for yourself? Very many thanks.

Ethel sends her love, and tells me to ask you whether you want a copy of the photo group, where Yon do not look like a Mentor.

I enclose payment for the pipe in the form of son-- This was the last summer at Geames.
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nets-althongh I am sure they are not so sweet--and remain, with love to Marion,

Ever yours most sincerely,
(ieo. J. Romises.
This antumn Mr. Romanes delivered the lant of his Edinburgh comse of lectures. (iising the lecturn had been a real pleasure, and he liked his Sonteh students, who on their side were keenly appreciation and intelligent.

He was alone at Geamies for a few days beform leaving for Edinburgh, and a letter written at this time shows for the first time a foreboding of failing health; but when the headaches left him the foreboding vanished, and there was no real ideal of serins mischief.

## To his Wife.

Geanies: November 1s!0.
I really have three of your dear letters to answer. I did not write yesterday. I have had one continuous headache ; it is now nearly away, but the matter is getting serious, and I have written to lidward. to send the 'home tramer' to Oxford, so that I may lose no time in giving his cure (exercise) it trial.

Don't get low about me ; I begin to doubt if these headaches are due to gout at all, and somehow or other I shall find a means of preventing them.

I am sorry for myself, my work, and mosis of all for you; but we must take illness as it comes, and be glad it is $n 0$ worse.

[^37]
## Romines.

1 the last of the lectures his Soreth apprectiatio.
days befor titen at this ng of failing $m$ the forecat of serions
ovember 1830 .
s to answer.
one continut the matter to Edward. d, so that I (exercise) a
oubt if these somehow of ;hem.
mose of all omes, and be

## Geanies: October: :1.

I will not disappoint you about the somet, which nim expect to be in the vein of 'Weltschmerg,' and therefore send you the first of the series which I wrote in the small hours, after rending your favourite Psalm.' There was only one verse that remaned appropriate tome. so I took it as a text.

The principal thing that has happened to-day is mix having seen on the shore a sea otter. It was wing on a rock, and I came upon it at such close puarters I conld hare hit it with a stone. But it mas so quick that I had not even time to fire my sulu.

I may return the compliment as to letters. I did not intend to send the somet even to you when I wrote it, but afterwards thought I ought to have 100 secrets.

Erit\%" and Ernest came out shooting. I am all right as to hitting; ; and my head is perfectly well. Jack' hats been very Jackish. I told him we were all going to leave Geanies. He said, 'Geanies belongs to us.' I answered, 'No, it belongs to the limmass.' 'Part of it belongs to me,' he continned. 'How is that?' said I. 'Because I was born here.' What would Victor Horsley say to this for early appreciation of rights conferred by birth?

Emest and Gerald are very happy. T allow them to play with the fire when they are with me, and this I find to be very popular.

[^38]> To Mrs. Ramom's.

Edinbugh : November 2:3, 1star.
My lectures are now concluded, and I took in affectionate farewell of the rlass amid murh anthansiasm on their side.

There is no news to give. I play chess with Mr. Butcher and read MSS. which Professor Butchee lends me of his own ; pay many calls, hate smadry talks with professors that come to dine with Lamat, and so on.

Yesterday we had here what at Cambridge ned to be called a 'Perpendicular,' twenty students to supper. Mrs. Butcher and Miss Trench (anne in th help to entertain them; the latter samg Irish somgs.

I am going to give an additional lecture to the class on the controversy in 'Nature.'
i send you a report of my lecture, that you may see how orthodox I was. Sellar 2 was at the lecture. and told me that I reminded him of some professon at Sit. Andrews, who had told him as a fact that he (the St. Andrews professor) always made a point of alluding to J. Providence in an introductory lecture, and afterwards 'threw him aside!’

The somet alluded to in one of the betters ( $p .2(5)$ is so beantiful that it is inserted here. It shows better than any words could do the attitude of (ieorge Romanes' mind. Profomndly sincere, anxious, atmost unduly anxions, to give no indulgence to his own
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mings, to state to himself and to others miparingly, antinechingly, what appeared to him the as jet irreatable arguments against the Faith, when he was anme he relaned and poured ont his immost heart.
> - I ask not for Thy love, O Lord: the days Can never come when anguish shall atone. Enongh for me were but Thy pity shown,
> To me as to the stricken sherp that strays.
> With ceaseless ery for unforgotten waysO lead me back to pastures I have known, Or find me in the wildemess alone,
> And slay me, as the hand of mercy slays.
> I ask not for 'Thy love; nor e'en so mueh As for a hope on 'Thy dear breast to lie; But be Thon still my shepherd-still with such Compassion as may melt to such a cry;
> That so I hear Thy feet, and feel Thy touch, And dimly see Thy face ere yet I die.'

In November Mr. Romanes came formally into residence, and at first nothing could have been happier than his Oxford life.

He simply revelled in the facilities for work which the splendidly equipped laboratories afforded, and he are said, that the laboratory alone had made the wive from London to Oxford worth while!'

He set to work on his book, 'Dawin, and after Darwin, and on many experiments bearing on ProEssine Weismann's theories and on some other points.

He much wished to see established in Oxford what M. Giard has called an Institut tronsformiste, and wrote to many leading men of science on the anlyect. As yet the idea has come to nothing, but pasibly it may be revived.

Janumry 2: 1891.
My dear Poulton,-I am very sorry that, being alrondy engaged for to-morrow, I camot attend the
meeting. But I should like to join the Seremo. Only, please, postpone any suggestion about lewturine as this term 1 shall be dreadfully busy, betwern the book and the experiments. H. has reptainly lien bery suceressful wer a very difficult experiment. I tried it in an elabonate way: But I laceled aswintane for the meehanical performance, and so intemed in do it here this term. Now I :lln satsed the tromble. but have gatined experience. This prevents me from regarding H.'s result as final, althomgh, as you sat. valuahle. My seepticism is fomded on at guen freak of heredity, which my own work showed me: lint as I think I spoke too murh abont the experiments I was trying, in future I shall adopt Wemimamis method of silence before publication.

Yours ever,
Geo. I. Romaxis.

About this time Mr. Romanes was murh interestoi in a seheme for promoting the establishment of a ${ }^{2}$ arden or farm for the papose of studying gurstions of hereditary transmission, or heredity. His object wan to alford facilities which at present do not exist for observing the modifications produced in amimals and plants by subjerting them during long periods and in suceressive generations to suitable external (omations. and for testing the transmissibility of the moditications so produced. He was anxions that such an Institntion should be fomeded in eomeretion with one of the ['nirersities, and with this view, direnlated the followime memoramdum.
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## 

In an English tmaslation of a lerelume which was perently delivered by M. (iatrd, as I'rofessoor of Livoanmary Biology in Frame thereorembsthe following gunce:

- If colutionists mast antent themselves in most ant with experiments carried on in mature, or these Fheeders, instead of applying themsedsesto verifica-- mis matle with all the rigone of modern scientifie pecision, is it not becamse of the deplomable insmfErime of om laboratorios? It is astoniohing that ar men contry, mot exoll where seionce is held in vatest homour, does there bet exist inn Institut *msformiste devoted to the long and costly experiarnt. now indispensable for the progress of arolnanary biology:
That an institution of the kind in grestion womld and to promote the solution of problems in 'avolnChary biology, it seems needlesse to arsue. Many A the most desirable experiments in heredity and ariation, for example, rergire such probonged time and such constant attention, that it is practically innmasible for individual workers to malertake them; and, cherefore, as M. (ifard observes, they have never been mudertaken. But if there were ant Institut ransformiste to which material might be sent from uny part of the world, with directions as to its treatment, biologists of all eomutries would be fumished With an opportmity of experimentally testinf any Thens which might orcur to them in regard to these w kindred matters.

Again, it seems needless to remarli that Emglam onght to be regarded as the matmal temitory of an establishment of this character ; that the establind ment itself should be situated in the vicinity of whers which are already devoted to the stmely of memphoms and physiology ; and that sufficient land shombld hermen to the Institut to admit of plots of gromod beins at apart for researches on plants, as well as buidimus for the areommorlation of anmals.

In order to satisfy all these conditions, the Insitite ought to be established either in Oxford or ('ambrider ; and at least, one skilled matmalist, one rompetmat gardener, and one tristworthy keeper onght to he resident. This wonld involve an ammal expenditure of between 3300 . and 400). But the capital simm which would have to be sumk in the purchase of land and the erection of buildings would not be eomsiderable; because, in the first instance, at all exents, two or three acres of ground wonld probably be sufficiont; while the animal honses would be chictly-if mot ex-chasively-reguived for the accommodation of mall mammalia, birds, insects, and aquatic organisms.

Novertheless, seemg that an initial expenditure of at last 1,0 ool. Would be needed for the purpores just mentioned, as well as an amnal income of at least $400 \%$, and secing that even this much money is not likely to be fortheoming for objects of a purely scientific nature, the scheme on behalf of which we solicit your opinion is the following.

From inquiries which we have made here, we think it is probable that the University would take up the matter, or, at any rate, render important
that limund erritory of in the (stablion inity of others of turophollowy should belong mad brill: vet as buildinus s, thre Instit. it Ir ('ambriden: ne completent ought to be 1 expenditure (al) (atal sum rhase of land be romsidep11 events. two be suificiont; ly-if mot tion of mall rgimism!.
expenditure the purperes incolnte of at weh money is is of a purely of which we
dde heve, we y would talie (l) important
wistance thereto, if the Hebdomadal commeil were -xtistied as to the desirability of the project from a - dentific point of view. It is on this aceoment that we bave sentured to address you upon the subject. The appended memorial is beine sent, together with this direnlar letter, to all the other leading biologists in hit combtry ; and if you could see your way to signing be former, you would render additional weight to the body of authoritative opinion which it will eventually miney to the [niremsity.

One of the expriments Mr. Rommes tried in the summer's of $1891-93$ was as to whether mimals completely isolated would reproduce the real somads atural to their kind. In other words, whether these manal somnds were due to imitation. Throngh the Gindness of Mr. Arthur Balfome, Mr. Romanes got the promission of the 'lrinity Brethren to try these experimants on lighthonses situated on lonely islands or ruks; he selected puppies, chickens, \&e., but the renults were not decisive. The puppies batked and the youngecocks crowed, but Mr. Romanes was not able entircly to establish to his own satisfaction that the isolation had been complete.

Experiments were also carried on bearing on Heliotropism and on seed Gemmation. Of these mention will be made later.

In the spring of 1391, he paid a visit to Paris and saw. M. Pasteur and his laboratory, and also M. BrownSéquiad, in whose work he was specially interested.

Ind, apart from his work, Oxford and Oxford life were great sombes of enjoyment. He made many new frionds, and keenly enjoyed the institution, so characteristic of Oxford, of "walks.'

Intinacies seemed to grow up guickly, and he
oftem spoke of the extreme lindliness, the "plasint. ness' which matked Oxford society.

Of all the friemels made in these fom seme, Mr. Romanes modoubtedly was most drawn th the Rev. ('harles Gore.

It is rery diffientt, very often misleading and wem impertinent to speak of what one man owes toramether in the way of direct or indirect intellecential or spiritual help. But those few persons who really watched and could see the workings of George Romanme mind, sam that these Oxford years were, even before the firt begimings of fatal illness, years of rapid gronth in what perhaps may be termed spiritual perception.

In 1891 Mr. Gore's famons Bampton Lecturen were preathed. Mr. Romanes heard them all, and was intensely interested by them; he wrote many nutes on them for his own private nse, notes by mon mens always in agreement with them, and in his •Thomgts on Religion' he refers to them.

Many of his older friends were derghmen. and he was once monch amosed by hearing that a scientific friend in London had sitid, 'How ont carth will Romanes stand the clerieal atmosphere of Oxford?' Another time, a very eminent scientific man asked him his opinion of Liberal High Churchuen, 'Do you really think these people beliere what they say "' to which Mr. Romanes reeplied that he kinew several pretty intimately, and he "Is sure they would all go to the stake on behalf of their Faith.

In the spring of 1891 Mr. Romanes wits elected ly the committee a momber of the Athernamm ('lub). I'he Journal notes:

Pleasant dimers at Merton, Keble, der. Visit from the Gills,' which we much enjoyed. Lord and Lady Compton, from the (ith to the Sth of Tme. He delighted us with his magnificent singing.

[^41]Th

This smmmer, for the first time, scotland and -moting were given up, and Mr. Romanes, aceompanied by his wife and danghter, tried what the Fansuline would do for his incersiant headaches.

He emjoved this tomer, espereially three weoks at Tande, in the lower Engidine, where he met his old Ennd Professor Joachim and also Profossor Victor (arms. On the way back the Romanes stayed with Mr. H. Graham, II.P., at his lovely romintry home arat Heidelberg, emoying themselves much, but ailing to see the famons ghost which is s:aid to amint the place. In the antmm, in spite of oftenaurring headaches, he struggled on with his work adectured in one or two provincial towns.
He says in one of his letters at this time: 'There - much excitement in Oxford to-day over the momement that Paget is to be the new Dean of Christ Church. Of course we are greatly delighted. Whe said to me to-day, 'We may now look forward abeing close neighbours for not a few years to come.'

Jomrual, Nor., Birmim! lame Festical.—'I'he' Mes, iah' and Drorak's 'Requiem,' Parry's ' Blest Pair of firens,' which one never hears too often. Went to :mpton Wynyates, a splendid old house of temp. Henry VII. Only Lady Compton at home, but we anch enjoyed our little visit. Went up to town ad saw the Edmund Gosses and varions other old mends. Saw Miss Rehan and her company in their ast performance, 'A Last Word.' Poor play, but well acted.

It was during this antum that Mr. Romanes reWred to fomed a lectureship at Oxford on the lines of be Rede Lectures at Cambridge, and after consulting arions friends, chiefly the present Master of Pem-
broke,' the idea was submitted to the ('misernity mat the offor was arerpted. 'The prefarer, which is to he profixed to the first volume of leectures, wives the fommerers ideas.

> l'oumeders P'rejucre.
'The primary object of this Lactureship is th secure a perpetnal serios of discourses in the lonisemity of Oxford mader the conditions lad down in the forme going Statute. But secoing that these conditions are necessarily of a gemoral character, I add the following suggestions with regard to certain matters of detail, in order that, as far as from time to time may semm experdiont, the proceedings may be conducted in acoorlance with my wishes.
(1) I desire that the selection of lecturess be irresperetive of nationality, and determined with reference either (a) to gencral minence in art, litanare, or science, or (b) to sperial claims for disemssing my particular subject of high interest at the time.
(2) I deem it desirable that foreigners, otherwise eligible, should not be discualified from recorving insitations to lecture merely becanse they may not ho able to do so in English. And, in order to moret surh cases, I suggest that the translated addresses should be delivered before the University by som, competent reader (to be selected by the Vice-Chancellor) in the presence of their authors.
(3) I further suggest that the same method of delivery should be adopted in cases where are or infirmity would render the roice of the lecturer
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inandible, or indistind to any portion of his andionce. fand I hope that neither age nor intimity, any more tham imability to speak the English lamonge, will be deened a hindrane to the issuing of invitations to the men of high distinction in their several departments. For, on the one hand, in order to have atianed such distinction, it must often happen that surh men will have attainted old age, while, on the ather hand, it is of more inportance that they should be represented in these decemmial volumes than that wrin of less eminence shonld be chosen in view of their aperiority as lecturers.

G. J. Romanes.

To the great satisfaction of the whole University. Vir. ( iladstone most generonsly consented to give the ist lecture, which consent he signified in the followwn letter:

Grand Hotel, Biarritz: December 1s, 1891.
Dear Mr. Romanes,—Until I received your kind keter I reposed undoubtingly in the belief that the Vice-Chancellor had accepted my answer as the anser which best met the calse. ${ }^{1}$ I thought and aink it right, for no one knows my poverty except, arself. But Oxford is Oxford, and I think that if she lesired me to climb up tine spire of Salisbury, I should atempt it, or platy the Graculus essuriens in any ammer she desired. Your letter opents to me mexaetedly the fact that there is a desire, and that the proposal was not simply a comtesy.
'Mr. Gladstone hat declined at first, but yielded to a second urgent filest from the founder.

I therefore thankfully and respectfully accept; secretly relying a good deal, as I own, on the fact that there is (if I recollect the V.C.'s letter rightly) a roond deal of time before me, and that the chances of intermediate reflection may bring up something to the surface which is not now there, for I own m! perplexity continnes as to the chance of makingry an presentation not wholly worthless. But enongh of this: and let me thank you very much for the interest you, who have so high a title, have personally talken in bringing me to the front.

We are much delighted with this place; more eminently, I think, a sea place than any other I happen to know.

I am sure, let me add, that you will make my apologies to the Vice-Chancellor; for I am semsible that the altered reply may seem less than respectful to the resident Head of the University.

> Believe me, most faithfully yours, W. E. Gbabstose.

It had been arranged that the lectures (which the University, rather against the Founder's wish, deeided should be called the 'Romanes Lectures') were to be given in the Trinity T'em, but owing to the (ieneral Election of 1891, Mr. Gladstone postponed the delivery of his inagural lecture until October ls 2 .

Journal, March 1892.-The Comptons have been here for Norman's baptism, which was a strikingly pretty ceremony in cathedral at evening servion with the choir. Our Dean and the President of Magdalm, as well as Lady Compton, stood sponsor's, so the biy
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swell provided. 'The students at St. Hugh's Hall lecorated the font, and as the boy's second name is Hogh, he is a special protére of the little Hall.

April 1.--We spent a week at Malvern, in company with the Walter Hobhouses, and then went on a Denton Danor, ${ }^{\text {I }}$ where a company of the wise, including Ray Lankester, Professors Poultonand Shadworth Hodgson, and Mr. Sully, were. Also others, including Lady Cecil Scott Montagu, who walked abroad with advining rod, a real act of comrage considering who rele among the party.

At Malvern Mr. Romanes wrote a somet which, in the light of after years, was a sad prophecy.

## MALVERN 1892

- 'To doze upon a sumny hill in June, And hear the lullaby that Nature lends; To drink the enp that sweet contentment blends: With sweetlier love of those whose hearts shall soon Reverberate with joy, as they attme Their praise to praises that achievement sends: This is to feel that bonnteous Nature bends A mother's smile on mamhood in its noon.

But when the shadows of the twilight come, And high Ambition needs must fold his wings, While voices both of hearts and hills grow dumb, Can she still bring the smile that now she brings: Yea, by the memory of brighter things, I'll trust her in the night that ealls me home.'

Journal, Maty and June 1892.—Had a delightful fisit from the Butchers and Mr. H. Graham, later on the Comptons, and Mr. Edmund Gosse, full of witty and wise sayings. Lord Compton sang more divinely

[^43]than ever, and the Principal of Brasenose played the piano. It was a real musical feast.

Professor Le Conte came to stay here, we had Mr. Gore and one or two others to meet him.

T'o Miss ('. E. Romanes.<br>94 St. Aldate's, Oxford: June 10, 18:2.

My dearest Charlotte,-I received your letter of the 6 th inst., together with the pair of slippers; the latter are the very thing that is required when occasion again arises.

Ever since you left we have been having Italian weather, the only objection to which being, that for my taste the sunshine is too continuous.

We have had staying with us Professor Palprave and his daughter. I am going to take her to the ('onversazione of the Royal Society on Wednesday next, as Ethel is going to stay behind for her political work. We have also had Lord Justice Mry, with his wife and danghter, staying with us for two or three days.

I have got a promise from Professor Huxley to give the second Romanes Lecture, provided he is able to do so next year. It will be an interesting occasion if he can, becanse he has not lectured for the last five or six years.

I am glad you like my book, which is selling offi very well ; but, as you know, the second volume will be much more interesting.

We are all well, and, with mited love to both. I remain yours ever the same,

Geo. J. Romases. him.
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w TERMINAL PHALANGES OF THE PRIMATES 279
A new investigation is here described.

$$
94 \text { St. Aldate's, Oxford : March } 27,1892 .
$$

My dear Schaifer,-I think I have found a new ordinal character peculiar to the Primates- $\mathrm{Vi} \%$ a a nude condition of the terminal phatanges. This does not ocelr in any other order of mammals that I have lonked at, but in all species of primates from Lemurs to Man, as far, at all events, as I have been able to examine. Now I want to see whether hair-follicles, on restiges thereof, can be found in the terminal phalanges of any species of the order. So I am making a number of sections of the skin of the backs of the terminal phalanges of fingers and toes, of man (adult and foetal) apes, monkeys, baboons, and lemurs. Hitherto I camot detect (nor (an Kent) any signs or vestiges of follicles. But I should much like jou to look over some of the specimens (a few would be enough), in order to see whether your trained eyes would be also unable to trace any rudiments of follicles. If yon would care to do this, of course I should acknowledge my obligations in a paper which I an preparing on the subject.

> Yours very truly,

> (t. J. Romanfs.
'Darwin, and after Darwin ' appeared in the spring of 1892.

It wats a book which was written, so to speak, with the writer's life-blood, it was a great burden on him from the moment he commenced it, and one of his greatest sorrows was his inability to finish it.

It is curions to those who know Mr. Romanes' mind
intimately to mote the asceeding severity, the ahmot harsh mamer in which he treated the theolwian questions involved in the doctrines called, for wam... nience sake, 'Dawinism.' As more and mone he found himself yielding on the side of amotion, of momal comvictions, inducement, of spiritnal need th the relimpuished faith, so much the more did hr ar solve to be utterly true, to face every difficolty, to push no objection aside, to leave nothing misaid-to be, in fact, absolntely and entirely honest. As a frimd after his death, speaking of this very book, said. 'It was his righteonsmess which made hinin seem so hard."

Yet there is a ring of hope of something which will one day turn to faith in the words which mod the book:
'Upon the whole, then, it seems to me that surk evidence as we have is against rather than in farmur of the inference, that if design be operatior in animate nature it has reference to ammal emjosment or well-being, as distinguished from amimal improse ment or evolution. And if this result shomal bee fomed distastefnl to the religions mind-if it bo felt that there is no desire to save the evidences of design unloss they serve at the same time to testify to the nature of that design as beneficent--I must omere more observe that the difficulty thas presented to theism is not a difficulty of modern creation. (on the contrary, it has always constituted the fundamental difficulty with which matural theologians have had to contend. The external world appears, in this respect, to be at variance with our momal sense ; and when the antagonism is brought lome to the religions mind, it must ever be with a shock of
the allument thenlugisal d，for •・カルロー． bind mome he emotion，uf 11ial need th e did heres－ liflicult：，to ；misaid－tu As a frimuld ok，said．＇It （lin so hat d． thing which hich（end the
we that surth fil in fatour operatise in enjorment Hal improser－ should be －if it be folt es of design estify to tha munst onere presented to eation．On the fumbla－ theologians rid appeats． olu bumial sht home to a shork of
：erritied surprise．It has been newly brought home a us by the generalisations of Dinwin，and there－ fore，as I said at the begiming，the religions thonght if our generation has been more than aver stagered by the question－Where is now thy（iod？But I hase condeavoured to show that the logical standing if the case has not beem materially changed ；and when this ary of reason pierees the heart of laith it re－ mains for Faith to answer now，as she always answered before－and answored with that trust which is at mee her beanty and her life－Verily thon art a God that hidest＇Thyself．＇

June 1892 brought the first warnings of serions illhess．One day Mr．Romanes amomo od at homeh that he noticed a blind spot in one aye．He con－ sulted his friend Mr．Doyne，the well－known oculist， who from the first thonght serionsly of the case．

He went up to town，and salw various doctors， and had some thonghts of taking a voyage．He was，however，well enomgh to attend the Conversa－ rione at the Royal Society，and showed some ex－ periments on rabbits and rats which bore on questions of acepuired characters．He writes：

## To Mis．Romomis．

I have been thinking of you a great deal，and， with a somewhat literal application of a certain ex－ pletive addressed by a fast man to his eyes，am driven to address you throngh my goggles．

Nettleship has appointed to－momow morning to see me，so I shall not be able to get home soomer than（f train．I）on＇t tronble to meet me，as I must
take a (ab) for the rabbits and rats. The latter are now at the Royal Society, where ample spare has been provided for their exhibition. The Zools, erical paper' went off very well, and Flower made a seme good remark on it, the substance of which I will tull you when we meet, it had not previonsly ordurend to me. Your letter to the Pollocks never reached them, so they had given me up. They were an enthusiastically kind as usual, and very sympathetic about my eyes.

He returned to Oxford, and was persuaded to rest. and not to go to Lomdon again to pay a promised visit to Professor Palgrave.

> To Miss C. E. Romanes.

94 St. Aldate's, Oxford : June 18, 149.
My dearest Charlotte, -Your little differencen of opinion with regard to the rats are very ammsing " me, and I quite see how the matter stands.

I am very grad to hear of your improvement in general health, and also of James' continued vigomr. As regards myself I have no very satisfactory arcount to give. The headaches indeed are not worse --if anything they are better; but the gout is at work on other parts of this vile body, and the latest assault is a very serious one for a man of my pursuit.. About ten days ago I found myself partially blind in the right eye-the upper half of the field of rision being totally obliterated. I have seen an Oxford
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and also a Lomdon oculist, who have both examined the eye and pronounce the sudden seizure to be one of erons effusion upon the retina. It seems probable that the impaiment of rision will be permanent, and . prevent all operative work where any delicacy is repuired. The blindness is so complete, that if I bok about an inch below the electric light placed at a distance of a very few yards, I am not able to peraive any luminosity. Meanwhile, I have to wear the darkest of possible goggles, and generally to live the life of a blind mam. Per contra, this may prove a blessing in disguise, as it compels me to abstain from work for some considerable time to come, and I had been advised to this course on account of the headaches. How I an to spend the six months' rest which is prescribed I have not yet determined. shooting will be probably out of the question, as I camot use the left eye in any form of recreation. Ny idea is rather to go to Egrypt and Palestine, to aike a royage to the Cape, or in some other such may to break my usual habits without altogether Wasting time.

All the rest of the household are flomishing, and with love to both,

I remain yours ever the same,
GEORGE.
In a day or two a second blind spot appeared, and now the doctors took a very serious view of his case. life and sight alike were threatoned, and instant rest and quiet were ordered. For about three weeks he remained in bed, mitil the extreme pulse tension whs reduced, and then it seemed as if hope might
be entertained of years of life, if only care wno taken about diet, and work, and thought.

Now began the two years of quiet, stadfast. an dumace; no one conld realise from his quiet mammer and cheerfal talk how great was the incomvemioner ansed by the affection of his eyes, no one ever fomed him anything but maselfish and gentle. 'The nime difficulty was to persuade him not to work, and this was ahmost impossible. He was ahmost fererinhly anxious to finish his book, to work ont experimmes he had been planning; and as time went on, and he thought and pondered as he had ever done on the ultimate mysteries of life and being, other books were plamed, other courses of reading mapped out.

Just then a letter came from Canon ScottHolland which much touched the recipient.

Mr. Holland writes:
'I hear sad news of you throngh Philip Wiagrett.' You have passed under the sorest trial perhaps that could have been laid on your courage, your hopefulness, your peace.

I trust, indeed, that there is much to look for yet of recovered power and renewed work, but, fur the moment, there must be anxiety, and the bitter strain of disappointment, and the rough (curi) of paill. You are assured of the deep sympathy of many wamhearted friends to whom you have always show most generous kindness, and I venture to rank m!self among them. We shall remember you oftol ind anxiously.

It is a tremendous moment when first one is called upon to join the great army of those who suffer:
${ }^{1}$ The Liev. l'hilip Napier Waggett, now of Cowley St. Jolm, who was one of Mr. Lomanes' most intimate friends. Mr. Wagrett's scimatife attaimments made him a valnable as well as a much loved friend.
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That vast world of love and pain opens suddenly admit us one by one within its fortress．
We are afraid to enter into the land，yet you mill．I know，feel how high is the call．It is as a mumpet speaking to us，that cries aloud－＇It is your ann－endure．＇Play your pa．t．As they endured iefore you，so now，close up the ranks－be patient and strong as they were．Since Christ，this world of min is no accident untowat or sinister，but a lawful apartment of life，with experiences，interests，adven－ ares，hopes，delights，secrets of its own．These are all drown open to us as we pass within the gates－ hings that we could never learn or know or see，so ong as we were well．
God help you to walk through this world now pened to you as through a kingdom，regal，royal，and ride and glorious．My wamest sympathies to your wife．＇

The first weeks of ilhess passed away，the phy－ scians seemed more satisfied with his condition， and he was sent to Carlsbad，and after five weeks there，came the last bit of pleasant foreign travel． He and his wife travelled in the Tyrol and in the Pasarian Highlands，and Mr．Romanes was able to ajoy the glorious scenery with what seemed keener appreciation than ever；he especially took a fancy to Parten Kirchen，in Bararia，and planned a return to it mother year with his children．

He got as far as Meran，and much enjoyed meet－ ing Mr．and Mrs．Lecky（Mr．Lecky＇s works were anong the very few historical books he read with any real pleasure）．And on his return，Sir Andrew Clark was encouraging，holding out hopes of a return to
health: 'You've made a bid for recovery,' he said in his renial way. It was thought best that Mr. Rimmans should spend the winter in a wam climate, and $H_{a}$ deira was chosen.
'Then came the first Romanes lecture, which was a great success in every way. Mr. Gladstone called it 'An Academic Sketch,' and nothing could have been a happier inanguration of the series. It was a memorable scenc. The Prime Minister in his dortor's robes, the arowded Sheldonian theatre, the cloment lecture, the inspiring words of which cane like a trumpet call to Oxford's sons, ending with her motte, Dominus illuminatio mea.'

The few days of Mr. and Mris. Gladstone's visit to Oxford were days of real enjoyment to Mr. Romanes. The Journal notes: 'We had a pleasant luncheon party for the Gladstones and Lord Actom, who was also in Oxford; also a breakfast party on the moming after the lecture, to which, among others, came the Principal of St. Edmund's Hall.' I put him next Mr. Gladstone, and the conseguonce was a Dante talk, to Lady Compton's great satisfaction. Mr. Gladstone's tallk was wonderful, and no one wonld have suspected that he had any political cares whatsoever, or that the Election of 1892 was only just over.'

On the day of the lecture we had a delightful time before lunch. Mary Paget and Lord Compton sang for an hour, and put us in good humour.

It was with real regret that good-bye was said to the illustrious guests, with hopes of future meetings never to be realised.

Mr. Huxley accepted the invitation which the Vice-Chancellor permitted Mr. Romanes to give him privately. The following delightful letter gives his final decision: ${ }^{2}$
${ }^{1}$ 'The Rev. E. Moore, D.D.
"Since this letter has been in type the world has had to lament Mr. Huxley's death.
$y$, hre mid in Mr. $\lim$ mann'; ate, and $\|_{\text {a }}$
e, which was lstome callexd combl have s. It was a 1, his dorton"s the clomuent came like a h her motto,
one's visit to [r: Piomanes. acheon party o was also in ing after the he Principal r. Gladstone, lk, to Lady lstome's talk spected that or that the
lightful time mpton sang was said to re meetinss
which the to give him er gives his

Hodeslof, Stavey Roal, Easthoume: Noveminy 1, 18:2.
My dear Mrs. Romanes,-I have just written to e Vice-Chancellor to say that I hope to meet his apposition any time next May.
My wife is 'larking' - 1 inn sorry to nse such a wrold but what she is pleased to tell me of her dings leaves me no alternative-in London, whither I ${ }^{\text {w }}$ on Monday to fetch her back-in chains, if secessary. But I know, in the matter of lowing taken mand done for' by your hospitable selves, I may, for ane, speak for her as much as myself.
Don't ask anybody above the rank of the younger on of a peer, becanse I shall not be able to go into dimer before him or her, and that part of tuy dignity buaturally what I prive most.
Would you not like me to come in my P.C.' suit? ill ablaze with gold, and costing a sum with which I could buy, oh! so many books.
Only if your late experiences shond prompt yon to mstruct your other guests not to contradict me--don't -I rather like it.

> Ever yours very truly, 'T'. H. Huxley.

Bon voyage! You cim tell Mr. Jones " that I will have him brought before the Privy Comncil, and fined is in the good old days, if he does not treat you moperly.

Then came the departure for Madeira, which was Ireal trial, for never before had Cliristinas been spent
${ }^{1}$ I'rivy Councillor. : The proprietor of an hotel in Madeira.
away from home. But the change seemed to do him much good. Save for occasional days of headachere was very bright and well, and worked at his book aml wrote several articles for the "Contemporary Reviow' on Professor Weismam's theory. But poetry he could not manage.

> T'o Mis. Memry Polloreli.

Madeira: 1ecember 1s, 1stro.
My dear Mentor,-I fear you must have been thinking that I an either very ill or very heartlessinnt to have written ere this. Yet neither is the case. Ill I assuredly am, but not so much as to have prevented me fi m sending you a letter for the mariage day. The fact is I have been trying to write a somat for that occasion ever since I came out here, and comnot. Since my breakdown in June I have antirely lost the power of poetising ; I suppose it will come back if my general health should ever retum, but still I did think that such an oceasion ought to have inspired me. Nothing further than rhymes, however, would come, so the day passed over without my intended contribution to its memorials.

So, dear Mentor, do not think hardly of me. For indeed both you and Marion have been much in my thoughts ; and for you especially I know this time must be one of many and varied feelings of the lind that sink deepest into the heart. ${ }^{1}$ So not only m. old affection, but a new sympathy, is with you-a sympathy in the joy as in the grief of it.

[^45]ed to do him headache he: his book ant ary Roview, poctry he
anber 14,1 and.
$t$ have bern heartless mit is the case. to have promthe mariage rite a sommet ere, and canlave cutively it will conne turn, but still to have intes, however, hout my inof me. For nuch in my w this time of the kind not only 114 with you-a

Ethel will have told you what little has to be told sont our uneventful life here. As I have said to all ay correspondents, it is the island that 'Temysom must have had in view when he wrote his' Lotusaters.' 'The description is so exact, that $I$ need not write anything in the way of description, if you will mly read it.
My headaches are growing less intense, although they still keep wonderfully persistent. I camot forete what is likely to happen in the end, as no one tems to know exactly what is the matter with me.
The last mail brought me a letter from the Master imy College at Cambridge, telling me that I had feel unanimously elected to fill a vacancy in the list fHonorary Fellows. 'This seems to me very generous, reting how I have played the prodigal and squandered ${ }^{2 y}$ living on endowing the enemy.
Please give my very heartiest love and good wishes :o the bride. 'Take also my Christmas greetings for Ill three of you, coupled with the congratulations that ve so meet, and believe me to remain, Yours ever affectionately, Geo. J. Romanes.

> To James Romanes, E'sy.

$$
\text { Madeira: } 1892 .
$$

I suppose you will have seen in the newspapers, or ave been told by Char., ${ }^{1}$ that Caius College has made a an Honorary Fellow. ${ }^{2}$ This is a great pleasure to ne, becaluse I have always retained my first love for I pet name for his sister.
I window to his memory is to be placed in Cains ('ollege: ('hapel.

Cambridge, and yet of late years I have so semered my connection with it. These coals of fire hav therefore a heat about them which is all the mone gratifying.

## To Professor Ewart.

This would be a wonderful place for natural history if I were well enough to knock about.

I get fishermen, however, to bring any marim animals which they know to be rare. There is mm fish which I never heard of before, and which semmi to me remarkable on accomnt of its curious combinations of character, for in all respects it seems to bee a large dog-fish, excepting its teeth, which are thome of a shark.

## T'o Professon Poulton.

New Hotel, Madeira: December 2,1 142.
My dear Poulton,-I have now read the comr. spondence in 'Niature.' It seems to me that guite absurdly' 'agressive,' even supposing that he proves to be right. But I send this to ask you about the grasshopper letter in last week's 'Nature,' just received here. I have noticed the same thing in grasshoppers, but do not remember to have secm any account of the changes of colour, or mechanism thereof, in them. Iro you know if it has ever been worked at? If not, I might do so here.

The same question applies to lizards. It seems to me that those here sary their colours to suit those uf Terbitual stations. I remember Eimer read a paper
so servered of fire hame ll the mome There is allm which seemis trious combit seems to ho: ich are thone
sember 2, 184. ad the corte , that $\qquad$ is osing that he isk you aboult Nature,' junt ane thing in lave sem an! mech:nis.m has ever beell

It seems to suit those of read a paper
about the lizards in Capri, but forreet details. He aften alludes to it in his book translated by Cummingmam. What are his main results?
G. J. R.

The Cambridge Fellowship was a great pleasure to Mr. Romanes. In the last months of his life he longed eagerly to visit his first University and his. urn college, and plamed visits to Cambridge which, alas, were never paid.

Canon Isaace 'Taylor was in the same hotel at Madeira, and this considerably relieved the weariness of xile. Mr. Romanes wasstill full of funand merriment; the headaches diminished ; he played chess inteminably, and even took part in a little play given one afternoon by a few people who formed themselves into an ' Oxford Brotherhood,' most of the member's having some connection with the University of (xford.

The members of the brotherhood were supposed to deliver lectures in turn, but the burden chiefly iell on Mr. Romanes. The lecturing, which in this particular case was simply talking, was never any tromble to him, and he used to deliver little inpromptu discourses which apparently pleased his friendly andience. Camon Thaylor kindly gave a discourse on the Aryans, and displeased one of his andience, a young lady, by remarking at the outset. 'Aly specimens (alluding to Romanes' scientific leetures) are before me, and I suppose we are all Aryans." The youmg lady had imagined she was about to hear a lecture on Church history, and was not pleased at being dubbed an Arian.

Mr. Romanes' letters showed nearly always groat brightness and increased feelings of health, althoush now and then he had 'bad days.'

> To James Romanes, Eisy.

Madeira: January 1. 1893.
This is the first letter which I write in $189 \%$, and am writing it early in the morning before breakfast. New Year's Day is as glorious in sumshine and waure as all-or nearly all-the others have been since we came. I wish you many returns of them and happy; whether in cloud or sumshine.

January 31. 1593.
Your letter on the 15 th has been a great treat to me; it rings true and deep, and the next best thing to having dear ones near is to receive expressions of their dearness.

Besides, I am all alone here, for but a few days, it is true, still the place seems dreary under present circumstances, therefore all you say is opportmely said.

For my own part I have always felt that the two most precious things in life are faith and love, and more and more the older that I grow. Ambition and achievement are a long way behind in my experience, in fact out of the rumning altogether. The disappointments are many and the prizes few, and by the time they are attained seem small.

The whole thing is vanity and vexation of spirit without faith and love.

Perhaps it is by way of compensation for having lost the former that the latter has been dealt to me in such full measure. I never knew anyone so well off in this respect. . . .

Although I have been very much in the world I have not a single enemy, unless it be the ——, who have entirely dropped out of iny life.

On the other hand, I do not know anyone who has so many friends, not merely acquaintances, but men and women who are devoted with an ardent affection. . . .

Now, all this might somed very conceited to anyone who would not understand me as I know you will do. But I have been thinking the matter over in my solitude, and candidly I am wholly unable to account for it. Still, to be further candid, even love is not capable of becoming to me any compensation for the loss of faith. . . .

But it is time for me to go to bed and shat up) this egotistic screed to post by to-morrow's mail.

I received a telegram yesterday announcing the arrival in England of my brace of Ethels, and tomorrow I expect the arrival here of Charlotte and Mytsie. ${ }^{1}$

I forgot about the mesmerism article. You will have seen that the writer rather caved in at the end, so that one camot well understand how much he himself supposes was genuine and how much imposture.

But quite apart from (this), there is no question in my mind that the facts, even as far as hitherto established, are very perplexing. But on this account there is all the more need for caution. I myself went over the Paris Salpétrière two years ago, and saw the doctors' experiments on a number of girls, who were trotted out for my benefit.

[^46]But there was such a lot of hocus pocus with magnets that I was much disappointed. Erom if none of the girls were hmmbugging, I saw mothing that could not be explained by suggestion.

For the doctors made suggestions while performing the very experiments which were designed to exclude suggestion.

## To Mis. Vernon Boys.

New Hotel, Madeira: February 1, 1s:33.
My dear Marion,-If I have your hushand's permission still to call you so-your kind letter has been a great solace to me, after my ineffectual efforts to supply a somnet for the great occasion. For it shows me that your Laureate is forgiven, and my friend, what that friend has always been. Besides, I am now lonely-as my brace of Ethels has thown away-and therefore your affectionate words are all the more welcome.
'This, however, is the last day of my solitude, as Charlotte and Mytsie onght to arrive in a few homs.

And now, having given you all my little news, let me pile up my congratulations as high as words fan pile them. I heard all about the wedding fromi many different sources, and there was but one opinion as to the bride. I will not say what it was, but oh. had I been there to see. It is so so good of you to miss us in the middle of it all. But it may have been telepathy, because I was hard at work om mi abortive somnet all that day.

It is like northern breezes to read form acoment at letter hats ectual efforts ;ion. For it ren, and my Besides, I Is has tlown rords are all
solitude, as few honrs. little news. igh as words edding fromi tone opinion Wias, but oh. od of yout to it may hatu work on m!
oril aleormet
of all the happy doings you have had on your wedding rip, and it makes me happy to feel that you have made so wise a choice in the greatest event of your life. Long may you live together in the cultivation of domestic bliss, although of course only in the moments snatched from the cultivation of science!

Febrinary 2.
Charlotte and Mytsie arrived last night at ten iclock-twelve hours late. They had the roughest royage which the boat has ever experienced. Poor char. ${ }^{1}$ is literally more dead than alive. But the weather here is beautiful, and I hope she may soon set to rights again.

With affectionate regards to my mentor, and to yours, I remain, ever the same,

Philosopilier.

## To James Romanes, Essq.

Madeira: March 8.
Charlote enjoys this place amazingly, she is always saying, 'Just a very Paradise for James.' I quite agree with her. You liked Nice very much, but Nice is far from being up to this either in regard tu sum, flowers, rocks, or momatains. It has certainly done me a lot of good. My headaches are virtually wne, and I can work a little again, which makes all the difference between Heaven and its antipodes.

Matrh 13.
I am glad you are pleased about the lectureship foundation. The principal feature of the scheme is

[^47]the perpetual publication of the lectures in volmes of ten each through all time, or at least as long in Oxford lasts.

I am better even since I last wrote to you. Even my powers of work have, to a considerable extent. returned. So I am answering H. Spencer's articte, on ' Weismamism.'

With warmest love, yours ever the same.

> Geonge.
To Mis. G. J. Romenes.

I got your dear note soon after we went down to the pier to see you start. Through the cluh telescope I thought 1 saw you and Fritz. When yon got far out I came home. The Taylors joined our table, which is very agreeable. The Canon told me a grood joke which came off to-day. Sir 'Gorgias ' told the Canon he had bought a second-hand book which he thought Dr. Taylor might find interesting.

The Canon asked what the book was, and the Knight replied it was by a man called Locke, and was all about the Human Understanding.

February :
Char., Mytsie, and maid arrived ; they had a perfectly frightful passage. All passengers shut down for two days, crockery broken, dec.

S—— presented a large wedding cake for the Sunday tea of the Imer Brotherhood.
in rolnmen as long in
you. Even able extent. er's articlo, the same.

Grongi:

Madeiri. went down h the cluh
When you ; joined our on told me 1. 'Gorgias " l-hand book nteresting. is, and the Locke, and

February had a pershat down
lae for the

February 11.
This is the joyful day.' Your telegram was handed to me at lunch, so all the Inner Brotherhood bad the benefit. The Canon said you onght to have used the comparative degree, so as to leave me an apportunity of returning the superlative.

What a journey you had, poor dears! It does not seem so certain after all that we should be safe tor comfort on a long voyage. Mytsie and Char. had a worse passage than you, the wind was dead against them all the way.

It is indeed shocking about the Dean. ${ }^{2}$ I heard it before you did. I will write to him by this mail.
So glad you had such a good concert. If you only new how I was longing to enjoy it with you. . . .

An adagio movement has now followed the dlegro, and I am looking forward to a presto home is a tinale.

My news is not much. My cold was very bad from Saturday to Monday, but I slept most of the aine straight on. If it were not for my eyes I should be almost as well as ever I was.

I read Walter Hobhouse's child story, and Mrs. - capped it with another. A little girl she knew asked whether, when she got to heaven, she might 'have a little devil up to play with.' Mytsie's nephew, when three years old, had a much prettier idea. On M. telling him that something had hapvened before he was born, he said, 'Then that was

[^48]when I was still in heaven.' 'Yes,' mswered M., 'but what was heaven like?' 'Oh, there I playad with angels, and there was nothing but Christunas trees.'

Are not the debates first-rate? It scems to me I never read so many grood speeches as those of Balfour, Bryce, and Chamberlain. But the measure itself is absurd.

We had a party on board the 'Royal Sovereign' on Tuesday last. It was a dance on deck, and was very pretty. Enormous profusion of flags and flowers all over the ship. I asked one of the midshipmen to dine with us at the 'round table; ' he had shown us over one of the ships on a previous day, as 1 told you, and proved an awfully nice little fellow, curionsly like P. N. W.: Suffers always horribly from sea-sickness, and gave a dismal account of his life at sea.

By the way, a propos of the B.A. I suppose som have heard that Lord Salisbury is to be President next year at Oxford. You had better be thinking whom to invite as guests, leaving a margin in case —_ should redeem his promise. I shall meet him between this and then somewhere and ascertain.

March 1 •2.

There has been a most extraordinary change in the weather. Up to yesterday we had three of the, calmest days that have been since I came. The sea was without a ripple, and Char. and I were last night

[^49]mswered 11. ere I plabed it C'hristmas
scems to me as those of the measure
l Soverejsn eck, and was s and flowers midshipmen a hat shown ay, as I told fellow, curilomibly from of his life at
suppose von be President be thinking rgin in case shall meet e and ascer-

March 1י. y chamge in three of the
e. 'The sea re last niwht
aping it would be like that when we start, as it sould be sure to last till we got home. When, lo wh behold, this moming there is by far the highest find and sea I have yet seen. The spray is tlying inht over the rocks, once up to where Fritz got over be wall by the bathing-place. hain in sheets. 'The Drmmond Castle will have an awfil time of it. To hope of a letter to-day.

March 16.
Letter:, such jolly good gosip that I feel disposed :o follow the example of the 'distinguished man' tho lived apart from his wife becanse he so much ajoyed her letters. And yet I am like a hound raining at his leash to get away.
I cannot read what it is that York Powell is going have designed for us, it looks like 'booky thash.' '

By the time you get this, it will only be wother fortnight before you set me, and I believe yon will get me in a wonderfully restored state of aealth.

March 17.
The weather is still the same. 'Tremendons wind and perpetual squalls of rain, 'the sea and the wares rarring,' also 'men's hearts failing them for fear,' for the occupants of the rooms we used to have never rent to bed last night.

This morning an English man-of-war ran in for refuge, but had to run out again before the return alutes had been fired, as her anchors could not hold, and an odd accident happened. At the 18 -mimute

[^50]gun from the fort, one of the grmers somehow sut in front of the camon and was blown to atoms. I suppose they were all confused with the wind and the spray.

The waterproof coat you sent me is in wrat requisition. Moreover it is a source of great ammen. ment to the Inner Brotherhood, as Miss Taylor has discovered in it a close resemblance to a hassockno, I mean a cassock. She wants me to get a ronnd hat wherewith to 'cap' it when I return to ()xfor?. All the same, it is the best thing in the way of a waterproof that I have met as yet.

March 19.
I have got Weismam's new book, 'The Germ$\mathrm{P}^{1}$ asm.' It is a much more finished performance than the 'Essays.' In fact, he has evidently been consulting botanists, reading up English literature on the subject, so he has anticipated nearly all the points of my long criticisms. This is a musance.

Per contra, since coming here I have heard of mo less than three additional cases of cats which have lost their tails afterwards having tailless kittens. I wish to goodness I had been more energetic in getting on with my experiments about this, so I have written to John to get me twelve kittens to meet me on my 1 eturn. It would be a grand thing to knock down W.'s whole edifice with a cat's tail.

The monotony of life here is becoming intolerable. There is nothing to write about.

You will have seen that Taine is dead. I was just about to write to him, to ask if he would be the Romanes lecturer.
sonsehow gut to atomss. [ wind and the
e is in whent great ammonss 'Taylor has a hassocko get a romid rn to Oxfort. the way of a
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## March 21 .

Here is an odd thing. I find that Weismam in ak new book has discussed all the points raised by vencer. So Spencer and I have been hammering may at things which W. has already written upon. Luckily, he says about what I anticipated he would ay (see my article), but how absurd a fiasco! I ave written a postscript to go by the mail, hoping it aay arrive in time to be bound up as a separate slip rfore the issue of April number, explaining that mence from England prevented me from getting IT.'s new book until now. But S. ought to have มown.

March 22.
I have written to Weismamn telling him that Bunting will send him a copy of the 'Cont. Review.' ' I have asked W. if he will give the Romanes Lecture some year. Love to you and the chicks. Tou will have to tell me which is which of the boys.
Unless he has already procured ordinary kittens, John² to get them either Angora or Persian. They will cost more, but will be much better.
I had a long imnings with the doctor to-day; he ays I an perfectly sound; believes my headaches we all gastric.
Your last letter just received is such a relief to me. I was just Ernest's age when I nearly died of whooping cough.

The home coning was very bright, and again Mr. Romanes set to work with renewed and, alas, too

[^51]great vigour. Beyond absolntely refusing invitations to dine out at Oxford, and living as guietly as possible at home, there was no keeping him in order. Whe following letters show how irrepressible his spirits were whenever a day's health made him hopeful again.

## To Mis. G. J. Romumes.

Athenamm Club: Mat 10, 159:3.
I was very sorry that I could not get home tuday, and hope you will have received my telogran. Everybody was at the Royal Society except Balfour, and I became wearied with congratulations (on my improved appearance. I met Moulton,' who was awfully nice, and wanted me to dine and sleep it his house some day if I can, in order to talk wer 'physiological selection.'

So I asked him to come and hear Huxley. He said he would try. . . . Galtom asked me to join in an investigation of the French calculating boy at his house to-day, so I did. Oliver Lodge wats there. The boy was most marvellons.

I am going to the Globe to-night and ann very well. After the R.S. last night I went to a party at Lady Tenterden's. Very smart.

Yours ever lovingly,
Geongis.

Journal: May.—Sir A. Clark is fairly encourawin. Dimer at Mrs. Pollock's; met the R. Palgraves and W. Flowers, who have blossomed out into K.C.b.'s; since we left.

If invitations ly as possible order. The is spirits were ful arrain.
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20th.-The Huxleys' visit has been most delightanl. He was most genial and 'mellow,' and his lectme bas, of course, aroused great interest. Various people in meet them. Mr. Gore and Professor Froude onne lay to lunch. Somewhat heterogeneous elements. When the former had gone, Mr. Huxley suddenly awaked to the fact that it was the Principal of the Pusey House whom he had met.

Count and Countess Balzani have been here, and we had an 'historical' dimer for them.

This was the last bit of the old pleasant life which Mr. Romanes had so much enjoyed. He was busy arraging experiments on heliotropsm and on the nower of germination in dry seeds after precations had been taken to prevent any ordinary processes of respiration, which were worked up into a Royal 3ociety paper. He writes:

> To I. Darwin, Esq.

St. Aldate's, Oxford: June 14.
My dear Darwin,-There has been no hurry about answering my letter because I cannot publish until I shall have ascertained what has already been done upon the subject, and for this purpose I have had to write to Germany. I an greatly obliged to you for the substantial assistance which your letter has given me.

My morlus operandi was to give nine different kinds of seeds to Crookes, ${ }^{1}$ to place them in one of his Tindoñ atmosphere vacuuns for three months last year (viz. February, March, and April). He then 1 I'rofessor W. Crookes, F.R.S.
left one set undisturbed, whilst the other eight sets were transferred to their respective gases (nine in number), where they remained sealed up for a sear. On being planted last month they have all geminated even better than those from the control packets of seeds, which have been in air all the time.

I should have thought beforehand that at any ratu the seeds which have been in so high a vacuum for fifteen months would have had any residual air cxtracted. But I will now thy for next year, pecling peas, beans, \&c., as you suggest. Do you think it would be well also to soak the seeds for a few hours before sealing in Crookes' tubes?

Do not trouble to answer by letter, as I an aning to Cambridge on the 21 st inst. for the day, and will then see you if I can find you at home.

I am not exactly 'at work,' as I am not ans yet well enough to attempt it at anything like ordinatry pressure, but I am certainly better, and much obliged to you for your kind inquiries upon the subject.

With our united kind regards to Mrs. Darwin and yourself,

I remain, yours sincerely,
G. J. Romanes.
P.S. My illness has left me half blind, so I write as much as possible by dictation. (What a bull!)

94 St. Aldate's, Oxford: June $1 \overline{0}$.
My dear Dyer,-Many thanks for your letter with enclosures. The letter shows that --_'s opinion hats not altered since I last saw him. As I think I told
er eight sets es (ninte in p for a year. 1 germinated ) patckets uf e.
at any rate - vaculum for idual air exeas, perlins you thimk it : a few homs
s I : all ging ging day, and will

In not is yet like ordinary much obliged ubject.
Darwin and

Ronanes.
d, so I write t a bull!)
ford: June 15.
w letter with opinion has think I told
ron at the A thenmum, he undertook some two or three reatrs ago on my behalf to raise diseassions in the papers, to which he alludes. Since that time he has sent me, I believe, copies of all the numberless letters which have been published in consequence. The result of our inguiry hats been to confirm the opinion which he gave me at the first, and also to form my wrn in the same direction. (See my article in answer to Herbert Spencer in the 'Contemporary leview' for April. ${ }^{\text { }}$ )

As regards the isolation of species I do not muderstand why you should suppose that the facts of hrbridisation to which you allude should in any wa! modify my 'belief.' As fully set forth in 'PhysioWival Sclection,' what I maintain is that the origin ispecties is in cll casses due to isolation of some kimd, but that only in the case of differential fertility can phrio. sel. have been the kind of isolation at work. Therefore, it would be fatal to my views if all species mere cross-sterile, because this would prove vastly an mach. What the theory of phy. sel. requires F axactly what occurs, viz aross-sterility between Hised species in nearly all cases where species hare wen ditfererentiated on common areas or identical sations, and more or less complete cross-fertility where they have been differentiated on different (disMinnous) areas, or else prevented from intererossing yet some other means of isolation.
I have collected a quantity of evidence in favom of buth these otherwise inexplicable correlations.

[^52]But I should like to know the species of wild fow which you have found to be hybridisable or arosfertile, so that I may ascertain whether their matural breeding areas are, or are not, identical. Of comen I should expect them not to be.

I have been told to save my ejes as much as possible, and therefore conduct most of my correspondence by dictation. But not being used to this process, I find it even more difficult than bofore to express my meaning with clearness, so I will tarkle with my own hand what you say about Acuilemian.

I have looked up the group, and find that, with the exception of vulgaris (common colmmbinc), all the European species seem to oceupy restricted areas, or else well-isolated stations. Also, that the same seems to apply as a very general rule to other speriss all over the world, for, wherever mountains are roncerned, stations are apt to be isolated by differeme of altitude, de.

Now if such be the (ase with the group) in ques tion, the fact of its constitnent species boing fremy hybridisable when artificially brought together is exactly what my theory requires. For the epecific differentiation has presumably been affected by geographical (or topographical) isolation, without physiological having had anything to do with it. In fact, as stated over and over again in my original paper, this correlation between seographital ishation and cross-fertility is one of my lines of veritication, the other line being the correlation between idential stations and cross-sterility.

Now, as above stated. I have fomm both thase ble oif (.) heir natmal Of rombse l
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1 both thuse
comelations to obtain in a surprisingly gemeral manner.

I wish that, instearl of perpertoally misumderstanding the theory, you binglish botinnists would help me br pointing ont erceptions to these two rules, so that Imight specially investigate them. It seems to mo that the group you name gocs to corroborate the first of them, while all Jordan's work, for instance, miformly bears out the second. And whatever may be thought about him in other respects, I ann not aware that anyone has ever refuted his observations and experinents so far as I an eoncerned with them. lours ever sincerely,
(i. J. Romunes.
! 4 St. Ndate's. Oxford : Jumo 22.
Dear Dyer,—I received a letter from .... by the ame post that brought youms of the lath inst. From it I wather that his opinion on the subjere of telegony bas mot changed in ayy material respert since onr inguiry began. His opinion has ahays been such as son now quote ('atavism' on the one hand, with a mall minority of 'dormant fertilisation' asses on the "ther'). His hats likewise always been my own view with the addition of coincidence), and has been corroborated by the result of these inguiries. Lol I think we are all three pretty well in agrement, because both ——and myself share in your doubts as to the minority of the cases being really due to domant iertilisation-ie not to be ascribed to coincidence or mad-observation. Aso, at I said before I quite agree with you that neither view is any help to Herbert

Spencer: In fact, I have somewhat elabmattels sought to prove this in my " (ontemporary Review article for April, and have been in private componomdence with him ever since, but without getting ins 'forerder.'

But in this comertion I should like to kinon whether you have any opinion upon the apparentl! analogous ( lass of phenomena in plants which Warvin gives in the eleventh chapter of his 'Variation." dre Here, it seems to me, the evidence is much mon cogent and of far more importance to the insul Weismam $r$. Lamarck. Focke and Dr. Vris, hownows seem to doubt the facts or their interprotation. although, as it seems to me, without presenting an! adequate reasons for doing so. You need not bother with Dr. Vris, as he merely follows Focke, but I widh you would read Focke ('D) ie Pflanzen-Mischlinge. p. Solo, et sy.), and compare what he salys with the evidence which Darwin presents.

As I do not know in what respects fon have found one part of my previons letter not to ' tally with another, I camot fully explain it; but I fances that you will find they do, if, in reading the letter. you carry in your mind the simple proposition that, from the mature of the case, there can be no physiological selection except where differentiating variotion ("incipient species ) ocerm upon common areas and identical stations. I do not see : my difficultey abont willows, roses, brambles, de., since Nimdin:reseateches on Datura have shown how much ratiability, due to the hybridisation of any two specim. may give rise to the "pmeatomer of there bemgern!
elahemataly uy Revim (orrespongetting anl
ike to kims e apparently hich lawnin uriation. is muld $\boldsymbol{l}_{1}$ In () the insille ris, howerner. iterpretation. esenting inl anot buthe川 ie, but I winh - Mischlinge: ays with the
th you have rot to ' tally but I fimes go the letter. oosition that. be 10 physinting valiction onl areals and ny difficulty ace . Ximudin:much vallitwo sperifs. being many
pecies. 'This, you will remember, is the view that Sandin himself takes with regard to willows do. althomgh, of course, without any reference to phys sel. If you will refer to p. 40:5 of the paper on phy. sel. you will tind that from the first I have been a ware of the difticoulty about discontimuons areas to which you allude. But I think the converse line of evidence wiz. that of cross-sterility between incipient species (1) identical stations) will alone prove sufficient to verify the theory. At the same time I look for more (arroboration from the cross-fertility of well difterentiated species upon discontinnons areas where these are, as you say, ocemic isliands, or, still better, momatainons districts where the allied speecies are ererally peculiar to mometain tops and isolated valleys. For in these cases there must be much doubt, as a general rule, touching the species having hecn differentiated by topographical isolation upon the particular areas where they are now found. Moreover, and this I think quite as important, the consideration which barwin adduces in another comection is obviated, viz. 'that if a species was rendered sterile with some one eompatriot, sterility with other species world follow ats a mecessary contingency.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Yours very sincerely, } \\
& \text { (i. .J. Romanks. }
\end{aligned}
$$

P.S.-Wrom your first letter it would almost seem that you had supposed me to donbt the fact (or. at iny rate, the frequency) of cross-fertility in general. And this after I had written the article on 'Hybridisation ' in the 'Ency. Brit.'!

In June Mr. Romanes took a small house for the smmmer months outside Oxford at Boarrs Hill. a district well linewn to Oxiord people, and it wan homed romiry air and quiet might do him mach good.

He was rather headachy, and liked to lie on tho grass in the garden and have novels read to him. but he was able to go m) to London one (lay, and wem plamed to take a joumey to Wiesbaden in order to consult an eminent oculist.

But on July 11 he was stricken down by homiplegia. And now began the last year of pationt endurance, for from that time the Shadow of beath was ever on him, and he knew it ; from that duly dily he regarded himself as doomed. Sometimes the thought of leaving those whom he lowed with such intense devotion, such wonderful tenderness. orerwhelned him; sometimes the longing to tinish his work was too great to be borne, but generally he was calm, and always, even when he was most sad, lu was ge tle and patient, and willing to be annsed.

On duly 13 Dr . Paget gave him the Holy ('ommunion.

He slowly recovered from this attack, and there were hopes-not of perfect health, but of life, and of power to work. Now, more resolutely than erer. he set himself to face the ultimate problems of Life and Being, to face the question of the possibility of a return to Faith.

It is impossible here to tell of the imner working of that pure and meltish soul, of those longings and searchings after God, of the gradual growth in steadfast endurance in faith.

Tho one or two these are known, and the example of lofty patience and of single-heartedness is not me they are likely to forget. Of this more later.

It was almost pathetic to see how keen and vigorous his intellect was. In fact, the great
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difficulty was to keep the busy brain from thinking. Sovels helped to some degree, and occasional visits from friends as he grew better. Dr. and Mrs. Burdon Sandersom, the President of Trinity and Mrs. Woods, the Dean, Mr. Gore, the President of Magdalen amd Mrs. Warren, and Mr. Waggett, all helped, coming and paying brief visits, which did him good, for if he was not listening to reading or comsersation, he would be plaming experiments or pondering problems of theology, and ask by-and-by that his thoughts should be taken down from dietation, an that paper and pencil shonld be given him, or, worse than all, devising arrmgenents for finishing - Dawin, ant after Darwin, He dictated some Thomehts on Things' in the very first days of his illness, and sent for Professom Lloyd Norgan, who came and received instructions about the untinished books. instructions which he has carried out with muthagging diligence and never-failing kindness.

But still he grew better, and early in Augnst he went back to Oxford, and by the first of September he was able to be present in the cathedral at the baptism by IDr. 'ralloot of his youngest son.

The fact that the Vicar of Leeds ' and Mrs. lathot were in Oxford during that August was a sreat pleasure to him, and he much enjoyed occadomal talks with 1)r. 'Taboet.

## I'o Profossurn ! ! wort.

I do not know what account li. gave you of my illness, but it is much too serious an affair to admit of our going to the British Assuciation. Indered, I hardly anticipate being ahle to make any engage-

[^53]ments or do mach work during the rest of m! life, which is not likely to be a long one. It is just such ath attack as I expected when walkin! with you over Magdalen Bridge.'

## Youns erer.

G. J. Rominta.

By September he was able to listen to, and dis(cuss, Dr. Sinderson's Presidential Address, which was delivered in Nottingham at the British Association of 1893.

It was one of the great disappointments of that illness that he could not go to Nottingham. To be at the Association when his dear friend and manter was president was a great wish of his, and early in the smmmer a kind invitation from Lady Lama Ridding, to stay with the Bishop of Sonthwell and herself for it, had been accepted.

Nottinghain and a visit to Denton, to which Mr. Romanes had been looking forward, had to be given up.

These things were real trials. It wats not the giving up particular bits of pleasure, but the realisation that he was too much of an invalid to do anything of the sort, which he found so hard to bear. and which he did bear with ever-increasing patience.

His letters sometimes show how hard he felt his trial.

> T'o Iame's liomin, les, E'sy.

Oxford: September t.
My dearest James, - I have had two reanoms for not writing to Dunskath since my letter about tue birth of Edmund.
' About eighteen months before. when a very temporary attack of aphasia had come on.

It is just alking. with
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September 4. reanoms fir ir about tue

1 agree with all you say about lrit\% and her gamerous brothers, the last two of whom you have arer seen. But, although I have been so signally West in my family . . . I am not disposed to fall in with yur optimism in other respects. Rather am I disansed to agree with the Sootch minister, that 'Man a mi-ser-able worm, (rataling upon the airth; for, both as regards the misery and the crating I anl now a type.

And this brings me to my two reasons for not ariting before. 'The first is, that I am almost mable in write; and the second is, that I did not want to let you and Charlote know all the facts sooner than I conld help.

The long and the short of it is that I believe I and dying. I have been gradually getting worse and morse, . . . nor shall I be sorry when it comes. such being the case, I should like to consult fou ahont setting my house in order.

The photos which the children brought with them of Jmaskaith make me realise what splendid work the buildings are, and even although it is now innprobable that I shall ever see them, 1 am glad to think that they will be in the fimmily:'

I cannot write more now. In fact 1 have not written so much since my attack. But I send you the best love of a life-time's growth and that of your minly brother,

> Gliong:

[^54]\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 'I'い II. T'. Thiseiton-Dyer, E'ェッル. }
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

Dear Dyer．—Many thanks for your letter with enclosures．As you siay，there does not seem to bee anything remarkable about the hybrid；bit I inn glad to see that both its parent species are will marked and presmmahly both of momatain origin． The case thas well areords with my views，an ex． plained in my previons letters．I met with man！： such（i．e．hybrids between miginally isolated sumber in Madeimatad the C＇anaries．

There are none so blind an those who will not see． Where eall your powers of＇observation＇have beem when you can still remank that I ignore the facto wif hybridisation？I cam only repeat that from the first I have regarded them as evidence of the utmost importance as establishing a highly general comela－ tion between sepurnate origin of allied speries and absencer of cross－sterility：In fact，for the last tive years I have had experiments going on in my Apine garden，which I helped in founding for the very pur－ pose of incuiring into this matter．And Focke，with whom I have been in eorrespondence from the tirst and who does understand the theory，writes that in his opinion it will＇solve the whole mystery＇of natural hybridisation in relation to artificial．

Since my last letter to you I have been at deathes door．On July 11，I was struck down by paralysis of the left side，and am now a wreck．Not the leat of my sorrow is that I fear I shall have to leave the verification of phys．sel．to other hands in larger mea－
mber 1.5 , $1 \times 3$.
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are than I had hoped. I have little doubt that it will rentually prevail ; but more time will probably be seted before it dows.

Yoms very sincerely,
G. J. Romanes.

## Oxford: September 1s. 1893.

Dear Dyer, —I am not a little tonched by the and sympathy expressed in your letter of the lath. When one is descending into the dark valley, seiconaitic sprabbles seem to fate away in those elementary minciples of good will which bind mankind together. tud I am glad to think that in all the large eirele of friends and correspondents there is no vestige of II will in any quarter, maless it be with ——ord -, who both seem to me half-crazy in their ennity, and therefore not of much count.

As for 'fortitude,' sooner or later the night must, come for all of us; and if my daylight is being suddenly eclipsed, there is only the more need to work while it lasts. But, to tell the truth, I do not on this account feel less keenly the pity of it. With five boy:-the eldest not yet in his teens: and the youngest still in his weeks; with piles of note-books which mobody else can utilise, and heaps of experimental resarches in project which nobody else is likely to mudertake, I do bitterly feel that my lot is a hard one. Looking all the facts in the face, I do not expect ter to see another birthday; ${ }^{1}$ and therefore, like Job, ann disposed to curse my first one. For I know that all my best work was to have been published in the

[^55]next ten or fifteen years; and it is wretehed to think of how much labour in the past will thas be wantol.

However, I do not write to constitute you my confessor, but to thank you for your letter, and also to say that I am semding you a ropy of my - Examination of Weismamism,' just published hy Longmans.

With onr mited kind regards to Mrs. Iser and yourself, I remain, yours very sincerely,

Geo. J. Riomane.

My dear Dyer,-This is one of my bad days. and I have just exhansted my little store of conergy by answermg a lind letter from Huxley. So please excuse brevity, as I camot leave your highly aprediated benevolence without an immediate response.

I am much concerned to hear what jou say abont yourself, and it makes me donbly desirous of seming you. On Monday next I am to try to go to town for the purpose of consulting doctors. But amy day before that we should be truly grlad if you could come as you so lindly propose. Possibly I might be able to drive out to Kew on 'Tuesday or Wednesday of next week, should you find it impracticable to !om down here before then. But I fluctuate so much from day to day that I cannot make any engagements.

Most fully do I agree with all that you saly regarding criticism. And, especially from yourself, i have never met with any but the fairest. Even the spice of it was never bitter, or such as conld injure the gustatory nerves of the most thin-skimed of
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and (atys. and of (2lterge by $\therefore \quad$ So please highly apme te response. you sily about Onts of seeding So to town fot But amy duy Dil conld come light be able Vednesday of cable to :m ate so mach engigemonts. t you saly re(1) youtsiself, I t. Even the could injut n-skimed of
2.m. I have, indeed, often wondered how Pou and — and _ can have so persistently misumder-- mod my ideas, seeming that neither on the C'ontinent an in America has there been any diflicolty in miking myself intelligible. But this, of romrse is pite another matter.

As regiads Wequmammism, I do not incelnde moder his term the guestion of the inheritance of acpuired daracters. 'That has beron a puestion for mo sincer : a p publication of Galton's 'theory of heredity' in G. Indeed, even before that, everybody linew the (ontrast between conqenital and acquired chanactors ar respect of heritability ; and you may remember, de first time we met you give me a lot of good alver regarding my experiments on this sulboet.

Please remember both of us very kindly to your rife when you wite to her, and with onr mited best rishes to yoursclf.

Believe mo, erer yoms sincerely,
G. J. Romanes.

To i'rancis I) (nwin, Ėst.

$$
\text { St. Aldate's. Oxford: Octoler \&. } 1893 .
$$

My dear Darwin, Your very kind latter has been one ray of light to me in my enloom. Yet you must not think it is the only one.

It is comparatively easy to set om teeth and face the inevitatle with 'a grin; but the 'highest bavery' is to hide our anguish with a smile. I do think I make a decently good Stoic, but confess that
in times like this Christians have the pull. Nineme theless, I have often thought of the words, ' I an mot in the least affaid to die,' 'and wondered, when my time should come, I would be able to say them, But now I know that I can, and this even in the bitterness of feeling that one's work is prematurely
cut short. . . . 'Somewhat too mach of this,' hon. ever. What I want to tell you is that I manamed to get to Lomadon on Friday for the purpose of consult ing my doctors as to my prospects. They twe a more hopefnl riew than l expected, i.e. notwith standing that I have had three attacks in one reat (in both eyes and now in the brain), it is not imprit able that I shonld have anothor for years to come provided that I become a strict teetotaller, vese tariam, hermit, and abstainer from work. In short 'that my rule of life,' 'the exemplar' for my 'imitar tion,' is to be that of a tortoise. Hence it does no appear that there is any immediate necessity fo saying farewell to my friends, and hence also I will not bother yon by falling in with your kind properial to come over from Cambridge to see me, much an - should like to see jou in any case. But if you would care to pay a visit to Oxford any time between this. and to-mortow week (lith), when I shall start for the vicinity of Nice, we should both be awfully glad to put you up. I think I); er will probably be with us from Saturday to Monday ( $1+$ to $^{2} 16$ ).

With our mited very kind regards to all, Youss ever sincercly, (i. .J. Rimansis.

II
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Then came the journey to Costebelle, which he describes as follows:

> To James Romanes. Fisy.

My dearest Janes,-I onght to have answered Vong ago the kind letter which I received from yon gast as I was driving to the Oxford station, and read in the train. But I an still such a wretehed invalid that I shrink from the smallest exertion, whether of body or mind. I camght a violent cold in crossing the Chammel, which liept me in bed for three days at taicons, and left me so weak that I had to further pat the joumey at Paris, Lyons, and Marseillesandiy ariving here with a still feverish temperature. But this has now subsided.

We found not only Paris but quite as much Lyous and Marseilles in a state of delimimover the Rasiam deet officers, with whom we were muddled up all the way, greatly to our inconvenience. 'This was espedally the case on leaving Lyons, where the railway "ticials, after having put our hagnge (containing our (iferular notes) in the railway station, locked the hoors of the latter in our facers, when the police and military officiads hurved us down the hill agatin in the ansu (in the rudest of ways) till the arrival of the Rinssians nearly an hom after onm train was timed to tepart. We had no doubt that our hand baggage had 4l been carried off in our milway carriage without us ad withont labels; but on at last getting into the fation found that our train had not started.

This is one of the most charming places I have ever seen. 'The hotel is situated on the top of a hill which slopes for a mile to the sea, and which is thickly clothed with pine and olive woods in all directions. The climate admits of our sitting ont of doors without overcoats or shawls till sunset, amid the most womderful profusion of aromas I have ever met with.

## L'u the lorell of (hlurist (llu ch.


My dear Dean, - In the firmament of my frimedships there is no such star as yourself, and I timel it belongs to them all that the darker and the colder the night beromes, the more brightly do they shime.

It is puite certain that the south has not pett rendered its full service,' inasmuch as it has int rendered me any service at all. If anything I and worse than when I left Oxford. My muscular power indeed, has somewhat improved, but my nervoms exhanstion seems to be growing upon me, week hy week; so that I alm now able to walk hat very little-to hope, not murh, to think, not at all.

The truth is that my ailment, whaterer it is, is not to be reached by elimatic inflnences: it belomes to those mysterions miternal ehanges, which Darwin ascribes to what he calls ' the mature of the orgminm - variations which to our ignorance appear to artive spontaneously.' Hence, I :m out of hamony with my enviromment, whatever the enviromment may be. And, as this Spencerianism applies to my spiritual,
laces I hare top of a hill ich is thickly 11 directions. toons withomet e most womret with.

of my fricmuland I find it d the collder they shime. has not yet it hats mits ything I an scular pown iㅡㄴ nervons me, week hy lli but very it all.
ever it is, is $\therefore$ : it belomes hich |)inwin be organism peal to arise rmony with ent may be. 1!. spiritual,
no less than to my bodily organisation, it would seem - hat somehow or other I have been born into a wrong rofld-like those poor Porto Simito rablits, which took home with me last year, and the history of which I think I told you. However, I do not intend a mimble at the visible miverse until I shall have ...ld an opportunity of looking round the edge and weing what is behind.

Nost of our time is spent in sheer idleness, or ather, I should say, wll of my time, and that proporfin of my wife's which is spent in reading to mehiefly novels, poetry, and history. Yesterday, we an Coppée's play 'Le Pater,' which I know you are read. For the length of it, I think it is as powerat a piece of dramatic writing is I have ever read.
Very few worries find their way to L’Emitage. The worst at present is the choice of the next Romanes Lecturer.' Owing to his areident, Helmmilt has blocked the way for the last two months, at now promises a final reply in the course of a few hys. If he does come, I hope the University will are him the D.C.I.

With our mited kindest regards to Mrs. Paget, those messages to me are of more bomofit than all wis doctor's drugs (now that is a thing I 'would ather have expressed otherwise ' ! : and yoursilf.

I remain, ever your affectionate friond, (i. .). limmanis.

For a while all went well, he liked the place, and as able to work a little, and to have many books read him. He had taken out Dr. Martinean's's 'Sudy
of Religion,' and other philosophical books, and he also plimged into poetry, reading Wordsworth chiell:

In December came what seemed to be a sevare gastric attack, with other alaming symptoms, and for a few hours he seemed to be dying. But this pasond off, and although he was kept in bed for three wooks he grew better, and in some ways there semed grounds for fresh hope.

For a few days in Tanuary he was mader the care of a consin with two trained murses, and his letters home were surprisingly bright.

His wife's mad, of whom he was very fond, was terribly ill in Jamary, and he writes:

Give Jane my love, and tell her I never formet how good she was to me when I thought I was dring in her arms at Boar's Hill.

And again he wrote:
So glad to hear the operation has been successful, Congratulate her from me. Tell her I heartily wish I were in her place as to this, but that neverthe less I have not 'lost heart.' I an now certainly stronger, and if I could only subnit my cranial cavity to 'Tom's ' hands for removal of anything disauree able, I should be comparatively joyful.

The weather is glorious. Marian is at mass, having read me one of Church's semons.

Please tell John to send me a couple of hundred cigarettes (to prevent influenza!).

When you come out you will not find me a lilljoy; the danger will mather be that of my scandalising you all by riotous conduct on Sunday:
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And cortainly he was astonishingly bright when his wife returned to him. It was on a Sunday afternoon, and his first proposition was, 'The church bell is timkling, let's go to church.' It was the twenty-righth of . Fannary, and the brightness and glatness of two of the Evening Psalms were oddly appropriate, and chimed in with feelings of a greater gladness dawning an him, for he was leaving the strange land in which fin years ho had not been able to sing 'I'he Lord's sumg.'

And then began a time, often saddened by hours of intense physical exhanstion and physical depresson, but also of what can only be called growth in holiness, in all that comes from nearness to God.

In the early autumn and winter there had been ad moments when still the clonds of darkness, of mability to grasp the Hand of God stretched out to meet him, hung over him, but in these months there had been the same growth.

One to whom he often spoke of the deepest things of life and of death will never forget his saying one day just after the attack of ilhess in Derember': I have come to see that cleverness, success, attamment, coment for little; that goodness, or, as F. (naming a dear friend) would say, "cherrocter," is the important bactor in life.'

For in early days Mr. Romanes had attached, so it remed to some of those who knew him best, an monde importance to intellect, to cleverness, to intelligence, and the same person to whom he said the few words finst guoted had often discussed with him the relation value of goodness and of intellect.

By goodness is meant perfect and complete gooduess, not such as that of which it has been said, 'It a the business of the wise to rectify the mistakes of the good.'

And as works passed on he would oftern plan a
country house and a life in which good works wom to have a share.

He had always had a high ideal of what Lowe and Faith should bring about, and in the last monthe of his life he said to one whom he dearly loved, Darlime, if you believe what you say you believe, why should you mind so muth?' With absolute resignation he gave up all his ambitions, the old longing for distinction, for greater fame, and yet he did mot lose for one moment the old interest in his serientific work.

T'wo papers of his were read at the Royal Soobity in October 1892. The tirst described experiments modertaken by Mr. Romanes, the primary object of which was to ascertain whether seeds which had bern kept out of contact with air for a lengthy period of time still possessed the power of gemination. The mothod adopted was as follows: a certain number of seeds were taken from each packet, mustard, cress, beans, peas, de., being the kinds employed, and having been weighed in a chemical balance were sealed up in tubes which had previously been exhansted of air, and kept exposed to the vacum for a period of fifteen months. At the end of that time they were removed from the tubes and sown in flower-puts buried in moist soil. In some cases, after the reeds had been in the vacum tubes for three months, they were transferred to other tubes charged with pure gases, such as oxygen, nitrogen, hydrogen, carbon monoxide, or with aqueous or chloroform rapomr, and there kept for a further period of twelve months, when they were sown as before.

In all cases the same number of seeds, of similar weights to those sealed up in the tubes, were taken from each packet, kept in ordinary ain for the fifteen months, and then sown as control experiments.
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'The results clearly showed that the seminating power of the seeds was hardly, if at all, affected either by being exposed to the racumm or to the atmopheres of the varions gases and vapours. Further, in 110 single case, in the hundreds of seeds so treated, did the plants produced from then differ from the standard types grown from the control seeds even in the smallest degree.

The second paper described experiments in heliotropism, which had been undertaken by Mr. Romanes with the object of ascertaining whether plants would bend towards a light that is not continnous, but intermittent.

Mustard seedlings, grown in the dark matil they were about one or two inches high, were used in all the experiments; they were either placed in a dark room and exposed to flashes of light in the form of electric sparks passed at regular intervals, or they were put in a camera ohscura, before which was placed a Swan bumer or are lamp, the light from which was rendered intermittent by the regular opening and shatting of the photographic shatter. The heliotropic effect on the seedlings was found in all cases to be very marked, the most vigorous ones beginning to bend towards the light ten minutes after the flashing began, bending through $45^{\circ}$ in as mamy minutes, and often throngh another $45^{\circ}$ in as many minutes more. By protecting half of the seedlings from the interrupted light, by means of a cardboard cap, then after the experiment movering them and exposing that half for the same duration of time to constant sumlight, Mr. Romanes found that the bending was less in this latter case, that is, when the light wits continuous. This result was eonfimed by placing two sets of plants under exactly similar conditions before a swan burner, the light from which Was constant for one set of seedlings, and rendered
intermittent for the other set by working the Hianh shutter ; in all cases the intermpted light callsed the plants to start bending more quickly, and throngh a greater angle in a given time.

As regards the rate the flashes mast sureend one another to produce this heliotropir effect, Mr. Romanes found that sparks passed at the rate of fifty in an hour wonld cause considerable bending in half an hour. It is of interest to note that in no single case was there any green colouring matter produced, the seedlings remaining colourless even when the sparks were passed at the rate of 100 per second (ontinuously during forty-eight hours.

Dr. Sinderson writes:

$$
\text { Friday, November } 1 \text { i. }
$$

My dear Romanes,-There was a rather interesting discussion at the R.S. on your paper about the fresh experiments with seedlings. It was objected that there was no evidence that the effects were not due to one-sided drying of the stems of the seedlings, and —— wanted to know whether sufficient precautions were taken to guard against this. I suppose that he meant heat effects. I said that, under the conditions of this experiment, I could not see how any 'drying effect' could possibly take place.

My suggestion is that it would be worth while to add a note, if you think of the impossibility of any effect, excepting a light effect, being concerned. I asked Foster just now, and he agreed with me that it would be useful. I ought to ald that it was admitted that the observation was a new one which promised to have very important bearings.
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I ann writing this in oreat haste. I trust that fon are enjoying Costebelle.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Very truly yours, } \\
& \text { 'T'. Bundos Sandeksos. }
\end{aligned}
$$

It this time Mr. Romanes had a very interesting arrespondence with the Liev. G. Henslow, on the oubject of the direct action of the enviromment on plant structures.

Ealing: October 19, 1893.
Dear Mr. Romanes,-If you are in town on November 16, I should be very slad indeed if you could come to the Limean Society, and criticise my paper which I am going to read: 'On the origin of plant structures by self-adaptation to the environment, exemplified by desert and xerophyllous plants.'

In this and in subsequent letters Mr. Henslow explained the subject-matter of his paper, and as it formed the basis of the correspondence, a briof analysis, furnished by Mr. Henslow in a later letter, is here inserted.

The object of the paper is to show that the origin of varieties and species-as far as the vegetative organs are concerned-is solely clue to climatic manses. For the acquired (somatic) characters berome more or less hereditary if the same environment be maintained. But plants possess every desre in their capacities either of rerertin!, changing, in of stability.

The result is that I do not see any necessity for
natural selection at all in Niture, for the followinis reasons.

Variations are often indefinite in contivation, especially after several years. Therefore to serme a useful rate artificial selection is necessary. ()n the other hand, variation is definite in Nature. "ll the seedlings varying in one and the same ditertion, i.e. towards equilibrium with the envirommental forces. Dawin knew of this fact, and you have abundantly described it. But J)arwin failed to w" that this definite variation in Nature is the rulte. "mol not the erception Hence, as he admits, natural selection is not wanted at all i.e. if all variations are definite in Nature.

Moreover, it is contended that climatic variations are of no great, even of any useful importance. 'This may be so, for all I know, with animals; but it is precisely the reverse with plants. I took my illustrations from desert plants, and showed that their remarkable characteristics, which give the facies to desert plants, are on the one hand the direct results of the excessive drought, heat, light, \& 6 . () 1 the other, they are just those features which emable the plants to live under their extremely inhospitable enviromment. 'These characters are the minnte leaves, hardening of woody tissues, thick cuticle, dense clothing of hair, wax, storage of water tissues, dc.; so that the whole economy of the plant, including its specific characters, is all climatically acquired. Althounn some may vary when the plants are grown in ordinary gardens, such is no more than one would expect on a priori grounds to be the case.
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I would limit natural selection, as far as plants are concerned, to there things:

1. Mortality mong seedlings with the survival of the stron!!est.

I do not say 'fittest,' because it is ordinarily molerstood to mean that the survivors have some morpholorgical fertwos, by which they are bonefited, which lead on finally to specific eharacters.

I do not find this to be the case. Take an instance of sreat contrast. Sow 100 seeds of the wator (submerged) Ramunculus fluitans in a girden. They all grow up as ä̈rial plants, i.c. they vary as they grow precisely in the same way. It is only the wealiest (from badly nourished seeds) which gret crowded ont of existence. Here, then, is definite ariation withont the aid of matmral selertion. Eive uno diser ommes.
2. Delimitation of carieties amr species by the non-reprorluction of intermediate forms.

It is generally said that if 'good species' are isolated, the intemediate forms have been killed off by natural selection. I maintain that they were necer reproducerl. Thus if a has passed by successive grenerations, $A^{\prime}, A^{\prime \prime}, A^{\prime \prime \prime}$, \&c., to $A^{\prime \prime} ; A$ and $A^{\prime \prime}$ being now only in existence, then $A^{\prime}, A^{\prime \prime}$, $d \cdot$, represented a simgle generation apiece, each offspring being one degree nearer to $\mathrm{A}^{\prime \prime}$, but could never be reproduced, as the enviromment was continmally acting mpon the whole series, urging each generation forwards till it became stable in $\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{n}}$.

This is precisely what takes place in cultivating a wild plant like tha parsnip. Farch year the growne
selects a slightly improved form, till the romired type is fixed. 'The 'Student' is now s', a mmin on less permanently fixed form, each of the intermediate forms, lasting one year, having ceased to be remoducod.
3. The geographical distribution of variotios and species by self-alapitation.

That is, if a number of plants migrate to a maw locality with new envirommental conditions, half of them may die; beamse they camot adapt themselves; the other half may live--chame, and become fixed forms, by their power of adaptation. The tinal conclusion of the whole is that plants reguire nothing more than climatic influences, to which their protoplasm may respond. The result is new varietal or specific chamaters. Then, if the same enviromucht lasts, these become gradually more and mone fixed and hereditary, but one can never tell beforehand but that the oldest plant in creation may not chamge again as soon as it finds a new enviromment. . . This is what a long study of plants and experiments has led me to ; and it is not a conclusion arrived at solely by 'thinking ont' or evolving from my wwn ronscionsness-like the Geman camel!

Hoping you are progressing,
Believe me, yours sincerely, Geonge Hexslow.

Hôtel l'Emitage, Costehelle, Hyères, France: Octoriar 29 , 1s:3i.

1) ear Mr. Henslow,--You will correctly infer from this address that I shall not be able to attend the Limean Society meeting on the 16 th prox. For two
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y inter from attend the For two
pthrer years past my health hats been breaking up, add several months ago I had a stroke of paralysis. "I have had to knock off all work, and have just arived here to spend the winter-finding your letter, mwarded from Oxford, awaiting me.
It has interested me very much, and some time should like to see the paper to which it refers, whether in MS. or print. As far as I call gather, am are spontaneonsly following in the fortsteps of dal Gray, Nageli, and some other botanists. But, it vems to me, this self-adaptation doctrine is equiallent to an a priori abandoning of all hope to obtain aly maturalistic explamation of the phenomena in fuestion. It simply refers the facts of adaptation mumediately to some theory of design, and so bings - back again to Paley, Bell, and Chathers. As when a child asks why a flower closes at night, and we answer him: Becanse (iod has made it o, my dear. C'est muynifique, muis ce liest funs la aience.

But do not mistake me. My quarrel is with the erte colf-adaptation, which seems to imply caluses of anon-naturalistic kind. Which, of course, is quite a different thing from doubting whether the naturalstic explanation given by Darwin is adequate to meet all the facts. I am myself more and more den to question the all-sufficiency of matural wlection,' and this, whether or not use-inheritance is one of the supplementary factors. But that here are some hitherto undiscovered filctors of Lis kind where many of the phenomena of adapation are concerned, I am more and more disposed
to suspect. Nevertheless I believe, in the light of analogy, that they will all prove to be natural eanses, and therefore not correctly detinable as due to 'self-ataptation.'

My hemiplegria has given me a terrible shake, so
camot write much. Indeed, this is the longest of the few letters which I have written sime my attack. So please exense seeming bluntness, and believe me to remain,

Ever yours, very truly and most interestedly,
Geo. J. Romases.
P.S.-Of course you would not in any case exped to find so much variability of the conspicuonsly in definite kind in mature as in cultivation. For, by hypothesis, natural selection is present in the one case (to destroy useless variations) while absent i the other. But I allow this does not apply to the examples you give me. Only remember the print publishing your paper.

Hôtel Costebelle, Hyères : February 10, 189.4.
Dear Mr. Henslow,- I am much indebted to you for all your most interesting letters, and also for prospect of receiving your books. Althongh for bidden to write letters myself, or to think about anything as yet, I must send a few lines, pendius arival of the books and papers, giving my generef impression of your views as set out in your rone spondence.

Briefly, it seems to me that your argment is pat
the liwht o ) be matiral dectimathl.
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tly elear up to a certain point, but then suddenly comes a petitio principii. In other words, so far as wir view is critical of natural selection considered hypothetical canse of adaptive evolution, I ean till believe you have adduced a formidable amay of arts. But I fail to follow, when you pass on to the wnstructive part of your case-or your suggested abstitute for natural selection in self-adaptation. Gor self-adaptation, I understand, consists in results i immediate response to stimuli suppliel by encironuent. Bat, if so, surely the statement that all the daptive machinery of plant-organisation is due to wfiadaptation is a mere begging of the question meinst natural selection muless it arn: be showen how If-allaptation works in each case. Now I do not find ne suggestion as to this. And get this is obvionsly the esssential point ; since, muless. it con be shown home refferlaptetion works-i.e. that it is a rera rellisen, md not a mere word serving to re-state the facts of daptive evolution. We have got no further in the say of erplanation than the physician, who said, that the reason why morphia produces sleep is beatuse it possesses a soporific quality.

Observe, I purposely abstain from considering your criticism of natural selection, which, although perfectly lucid and possibly justitiable, yet eertainly does admit of the answer that incipient matiations of a fortuitons. kind mader nature mit! often be incongicolous (while Wallace shows that in animals they are, as a matter of fact, usually comsiderable). But, we need not go into this. 'The interesting point to all of us must be the constructive part of your work;
and I have tried to expiain m!y difficulty with reand to it. Whey should protoplasme be able to crlapt itwisf isito the millions of diverse mechanisms of mature by converse with emviromment? The theory of matural selection gives a logically possible, even if it be a biologically inadequate answer. But I camot see that the theory of self-adaptation does, $\quad$ ulloss it ant be sherwen that tharore is somme sulfficient remserm whl!, say a direct-comriromment shomed produce self-reduptationt in the direction of hairs, a marine one in that of fleshiness, der. de.

I have been very firank, becanse I know yon, and therefore that this is what you would prefer. But am too ill to make myself elear in a letter. I wish you could stop here for a day on your way home, by which time I shad probably have read your books, and we might disconss the whole business before publish mine on the Post-Darwinian Theories.

With very may thanks,
I remain yours very truly,
G. J. Romants.

Hotel Costebelle, Hyères: February $24,15: 4$.
Dear Mr: Henslow,--Nothing can be more clear than are all your letters, and the last one, I take it, sets at rest the only question which I had to ank. Fow it expressly answers that, in your own view, hypothesis of 'self-adaptation' is a statement rather than an e.rplanation of the facts. Nevertheless, it is also to some certain extent adranced as an explanation on Lamarckian lines, for in your books (for which I much thank you) you attribute adaptive medhaniom
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in flowers to thrusts, strains de. cansed by insects. But here, if I may say so, it does not seem to me that you sufficiently deal with an obvious criticism, miz. How is it so much as conceivable that protoplasin should always respond to insect irritation adoptively, when we look to the endless varioty and ftem great elaboration of the mechanism? Similaty (1) regards the inorganic enviromment, Lamarek's hypothesis of "sse-inheritance (i.e. mere increase and decrease of parts as due to inherited efforts of greater ir less development by altered flow of mutrition) was at least theoretically valid. But how can you extend dhis to structures which, thongh mseful, are never uctire, so as to modify low of mutrition, e.g. hard bells of muts, soft pulp of fruits, \&c.? Here it is that matural selection theory has the pull. And so of adaptive colonrs, orlom's, and secretions? I contess that, even accepting inheritance of acquired characters, I could conceive of 'self-adaptation' alone producing all such immmerable and diversified adjustments only by seeing with Newman (in his Apologia') an angel in every flower.

Besides, I do not see why you are shout up to this, even on your own principles. For surely, be there as much self-adaptation in Nature as ever yon please, it would still be those individuals (or incipicut mpes) which best respomul to stimulation (i.e. most adraptively do so) that, other things equal, would survier in the struggle for existence, and so be maturally selected. In other words, I do not sore why you should accept matural selection as regards 'rigour' of seedlings, and nowhere etse.

I quite accept the validity of your criticism of my physiological selection in your book, supposing rour 'self-adaptation' true to the extent you suppose. But otherwise what you say tells in favour of physion logical selection, at least, excepting the statement as to new allied species originating as a rule on distant areas from parent types. This, however, is certainly an erroneous statement, though I should like to know how you came to make it.

I much wish I could write more or meet jou. For, notwithstanding apparent bluntness (for brevity's sake), I see you are one of the few evolutionists who think for yourself.

With many thanks, yours very truly, G. J. Romasia.

I am not against your criticism of matural selection, for I have always thought there must be some other additional principle of adaptation ato work.

Grand Hôtel, Costebelle, Hyères (Var): March 12.
Dear Mr. Henslow,-My husband hats much enjoyed your long and clear lecter which I have just read to him. He is too ill to reply himself, but he will dictate a few motes to me to semod to you.

> Yours very truly,

Ether Romanes.
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that, even if it does, you are not concerned with this fact-i.a. of the development of the adaptation, but only with its origin.
(b) All the same, however, we must remember that where high elaboration of mechanism is concerned, the question as to the canses of its derelonment become of more importance than those of its migin; $e!!$ even if self-adaptation be conceived mpable of making a first step towards producing the exquisite mechanism of a bivalve shell, by discriminate variation, how is it comcerable that it hould go on through the odd millioms of successive steps of improvement needed to produce the perfect mechanism in which the great wonder of adaptation really occurs?

I can conceive of no natnral process to accomplish this a levelopment even in one such case of mechanism other than matural seloction. Leet alone the 'endless rariety' of elaborate mechanism elsewhere.
(c) Of course, if you conld fore that indiscriminate variations have not occurred in wild plants, but unly under cultivation, you would destro!, Darwimism -in toto. But is the proposition credible a priore; nir sustainable a posteriori, dc.?

I suppose you have read Wallace on the subject as regards wild animals, and if yon were to make similar meensurments with regard to wild plants, you would obtain analogons results.

I remember as a boy having a gimme of who could find most specimems of ferm-leaved clover in a given time, or even two leares of rlover which would be axactly alike in all respects. But I have already
discossed the imatter of definite and indefinite variability in ' Darwon and after Dirwin.
(1) I will let the guestion of Use-Inheritance in relation to seemingly Passive Organs, go by default agranst me, as it is rather a side issue and would need much writing to discuss. The same applies to your remarks on 'Teleology. As regards both points I agree with your observations.
(e) Tonching varieties as found in different areas from parent types, I suppose you heard how carefully Niigeli has gone into the subject, with the result that after making allowances; for defects of isolatiom, change of enviromment, de., only about fice prit cent. of spectes of plants seem to hare originated on distant areas, while Wallace has shown that some such proportion applies to animals.
(F) As regards plants having been brourht under cultivation, and yielding variations that prove heredity, I knew there were immmerable cases where artificial selection had been brought into play: But of course they are all out of court until the question on which you are engaged has been decided in your favour, i.c. until you have succeeded in disproving ratural selection as analogons or parallel to artificial. It was for this reason I mentioned the case of parsnips, where the hereditary variations seem to have taken place in the first gencration after transplanting, and therefore without leaving time for selection of any lind to have come into play.
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## Hötel Costehelle, Hyères : Marcn 29.

Dear Mr. Henslow, - 1 ant still terribly ill and camot write mach. We must have a talk. Could rom come to Oxford any day you like and be our suest? I think we might derive mutual benetit. I dhall be there from the middle of April till I do not know when. Why not come on May 2, to hear Weismamn give his lecture in the afternoon?

I much wish you would sate seed of any fixed local varieties of plants you may find to be in seed, while gou are in Malta (or bulbs), in order to see whether plants grown from them in England will or will not prove fully fertile. This is in relation to my own theory of physiological selection, according to which isolation produces segregration of type; in the ame was as it does that of a lammage-vi\%. by prevention of intercourse with the pareat type and consequently with an independent history of variation. Where the isolation is due to physical barriers as at Malta) there is no need for any sexmal differentiation to originate a species. But on common areas, exual differentiation is the only means of securing the isolation. Therefore ( I say) we (an see why Jordan's French varieties all prose sterile with their parent forms, and I should expect your Malta varieties to prove fertile with theirs elsewhere.

> (i. .J. R.

Costebelle: April 1:5, $18: 4$.
Dear Mr. Henslow,--Yes, please write when yon set back, suggesting any time gon may find conremient for spending a day or two with us at $\% \because$

94 St. Aldate's, Oxford (immediately opposite ('hrist Church). I camot talk long at a time, but I think the mecting will be of use to both.

Of comse 'Isolation mofluces segregation of type, is only a shot-p and expression, meaning-indiscriminate eariatan b, "u! supposed-isolation supplien a necessary condation $t$, sergregation of type by upsetting the previous stability that was due to fres inter-crossing.

I quite agree that Darwin rer!! greatly worestimated the benefit of inter-crossing, as J am showing in my forthcoming book on 'Physiological Selection.' But this is quite a different thing from his having mate too much of inter-coossing as a condition to stability of type ; I do not think that this ran be made too much of. Indeed, how is it con(eivable that there ever can be divergence of type without isolation of some kind having first oecomed at the origin, and throughout the growth of every branch? Moreover, I agree with you about selffertilisation, but see in it a form of physiological selection; it is one kind of sexual isolation, or prevention of inter-crossing with neighbouring individuals. So that the more perfectly it obtains in any given type, the better chance there is for that type to become a new species by independent vari-ability-and this whether or not the imelepredent variability is likewise indiscriminate (or in your teminology 'indefinite').

In my last letter I referred to the works of Jordian and Niageli for any number of 'facts in Nature of varieties arising amon! the type forms.' I will show
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you the passiges when we meet. But aren in casess of 'local varieties,' where a variety has a habitat of its own surroumbed by the type-form, I should expect experiment would often (though by no means: always) show some degree of cross-infertility between the two, pointing to prepotency (i.e. early stages of physiological selection) being the origin of the diversence.

Before we meet I wish you would try to think of ay plants which can be propagated by cuttings (a otherwise asexually) which are known to be moditiable by changed conditions of life in the first gene. . tion. I monderstand you that in some cases the seed of such a plant will not revert-when sown in its natural enviromment, though, of course, the rule is that it does. Well, in either case, I should much like to try whether a cutting $\& \in$. from the transplanted (and therefore modified) tubers (de. would revert to its ancestral character. When retransplanted to its natural enviromment, much would follow from result of such an experiment as regards Weismammism.

Yours very and always truly,
G. J. Romanes.
P.S.-Of course in saying on common areas, sexual differentiation is the only means of securing the isolation,' I did not include self-fertilising plants -any more, e.g. than insect fertilising where changes in the instincts of insects may cause sexmal isolation.

I leate for Oxford to-morrow.

These months were made very happy to him by the fact that three friends, Mrs. and Miss (humet and the Res. R. ('. Moberly, were staying in the same hotel. He often alludes in his letters to the intense pleasure these friends gave him, and peake of how much he owed to their tenderness and smapat hy, and to their perecption when to come and whon to stay away.

Many books were heard and read by him. Mr. Gore's Bampton Lectures were read alond to him, and he liked then even better than when he heard them preached. Several other theological books were read, and of all these the one which bears marks of most careful study is Pascal's 'Pensees.' He used Mr. C. Kegm Panl's translation. The copy he had at Costebelle, which used to lie by his bedside, is marked and annotated. It is the last book hre read to himself in his own careful and studentlike fashion. He also wrote some notes of advice to his boys.

At this time he began to make notes for a work which he intended to be a supplement or an answer to the 'Candid Examination of Theism.' As he went on, his notes grew-so it seemed to one who read them-increasingly nearer Faith, but of them the world can now judge.

He said one day, while scribbling down motes, 'If anything happens to me before I can work them up into a book, give them to Gore. He will understand.'

Nothing can be nore erroneous than to suppose that the change in point of view was sudden, or due to any fear of death, or that it caused mental suffering to the author of 'Ihoughts on Religion,' or that he was influenced by anyone, priest or layman.

There will ahwas be unconscions influence, and it probably was not altogether in vain that two or thre
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of Mr. Romames greatest and most intimate friends were Christian as well as intellectual men. Sut of intluencer and argument and persuasion, as most people imagine them, there was nothing. Discusvons mans, duming the past lears, but to these be awed little.

It is writton, that those who seek find, and to no one do these words more fitly apply.

During these months Mr. Romames read many books of a reliqions nature; particolarly and preeminently he liked to have Dean Chureh read alond, and he also liked Mr. Holland's 'City of God' and Mr. Illingworth's sermons, particularly one on'Innocence, which he asked for more than once. He also read murh poetry, Miss liossetti and Archbishop Trench being esperial farourites at this time.

To himself he read or had read to him the Bible and 'Thomas a Kempis, and he liked Dr. Bright's Ancient Collects, and in part bishop Andrewes' Devotions. He never would read or have anything read to him which did not ring true to him and which he could not appreciate; for instance, the Pleadings of Our Lord's Physical Sufferings in Andrewes' Devotions for Friday were very distasteful to him.

He often went to the English Chureh for short services, and on Laster Monday Dr. Moberly gave him Holy Commmion, for which he had asked and for which he wished.

In the week before Easter he felt very ill, and said, 'I wish Moberly (who had gone away for a few days) were here, and we could have that Celebration: I 'don't think I shall live till Easter.' But this passed away, and on Easter Day he was peculiarly bright, and in the evening said, 'I have written this poem to-day.'

It is impossible to resist the wish to insert it here:

HEBREWS xi. 10 (or ii. 10 ).
'Amen, now lettest Thon Thy servant, 1 cord, Depart in prace, according $t$ 'Thy Word: Althongh mine eves may mot have fully sem Thy great salvation, surely there have heen Enough of sorrow and enough of sight To show the way from darkness into light; And Thon hast brought me, through a wilderness of pain, To love the sorest paths if soonest they attain.

- Enough of sorrow for the heart to cry-
" Not for myself, nor for my kind, um I: " Enough of sight for Reason to disclose, "The more I Jearn the less my knowledge grows." Ah! not as citi\%ens of this onr sphere, But aliens militant we sojomm here, Invested by the hosts of Evil and of Wrong, Till Thon shalt come again with all Thine angel throng.
'As Thon hast found me ready to Thy call, Which stationed me to watch the onter wall, And, quitting joys and hopes that once were mine, 'Io pace with patient steps this narrow line, Oh! may it be that, coming soon or late, Thou still shalt find Thy soldier at the gate, Who then may follow Thee till sight needs not to prove, And faith will be dissolved in knowledge of Thy love.'

From the mannscript it is difficult to determine what was the motto of the poem, Hebrews xi. or Hebrews ii.; the latter is more probable, at least so it seems to the present writer.

On the 28th Mr. Romanes wrote a letter to the Dean of Christ Church, which, besides some items of persomal interest, and of expressions of affection too intimate to be given, contains the following:

$$
\text { Costebelle: March 2s. } 1894 .
$$

My dear Paget,-I have had to abandon letter writing for several weeks past, as the least effort,
wen in the way of conversation, produces exhanstion in a painful degree. So, as msual, I had to ask my wife to answer your kind letter yesterday. But this morning I feel a little bit better, so I should like to have a try. She has gone to chureh, and therefore, is $[$ could not even hear her read the letter which the posted to you yesterday, there is likely to be whe repetition.

Oddly enongh for my time of life, I have begon to discover the truth of what you once wrote about logical processes not being the only means of research in regions transcendental. It is too large a matter (1) deal with in a letter, but I hope to have a conrersation with you some day, and ascertain how far gou will agree with a certain new and short way with the Agnostics.'

> Yours ever sincerely and affectionately, (iso. J. homans.

He had all his old interest in pischical research, and it friend, Mrs. Crawfurd, of Anehinames, who shared this interest, used to beguile many weary hours with ghost stories, and he and she used to '(ap ' each other's narratives.

There were pleasint people in the hotels around, and the bright sunshine and balny air were wreat sources of enjoyment to him. Dr. Bidon, of Hyères, was mufailing in constant kindness, and it woutd be marateful not to say how much was owed io the kind landlord, M. Pegron, and to Madame Peyron.

The jommey to England was apparently berne without undue fatigues, and the home coming was very bright, with joyous merting with his childeen and with varions friends. The only diftionlty wis to keep him guict enomes. It was said one day: When you wo home son must not see too many perple. (Oh, no,' he replied, 'I only want to see laget, and Dr. Simdersom, and Gore and Philip (Wiagett), and Mrs. Woods, and Ray Lamkester, and-- but he stopped, langhing, the list was already so long and wond som hawe been dombled. For a few days his wife was away, and durimg this brief absemere in wery dear frimed, Diss Rose Price, the danghter of the Master of Pembroke, died.

Ho writes:

## T'o Mrs. Rinmantis.

Hos shad I amb yon are still mine! I lave just returmed frem Rose's fumeral, which was all but too much for me. As you know, I have seem other such things on at grander scale, but neser any approarh to this ome in point of beanty and pathos. The ('ollege ('hapel was completely tilled with mombers of the liniversity, with wives and damenters fat all persomal friemds of hers, including all members of the family, the poor Master separated from the rest in his official seat. All the modergradnates of Pembroke were present, each provided with a lowely wrath, carried in procession to the grave. 'The whale of the east end was one mass of white fowers, the coftin with its own flowers being placed in the midlle of the aisle. The procession walked first all romed the quard, and then through Christ Chureh Meadons, being met at Holywell by the choir.'
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This is the last letter I shall write. All well here. and the Jaterlepers' kow me now. Weismam acepts imsitation to herture alded is on his way on menese. I hase obtained an invitation from the Howal Sorecty for him to the " sombe.

Fonle warks mere, and the writer of this letter wis alse burne thromgh (hrist (hareh Madow, and aid to rest mear the rombe wid whom he ham mathe as friend, alld whowe death he deeply membed.

It was thoment at this time that a combtre home mand be possibly better for him. Mans drives were aken in seareh of homses of of possible sites for milding, amd he was often positively boyish and wher durim these expeditions.
He begall to devise experiments agata, amd alon et to work to atrage his papers and mamseripto a the most methedieal way: Is has beem sald he add aheady armaged that it he died before eompleting Darwin, and after Dawin,' Professon Lond Morgan hould finish it and publish it, and amy otheren sementife. pares, an armagement to which Mr. Lheyd Morgan most kindly consented. T'o Mr. Gore were befreathed the fragmentary motes now published moder the title "Ihoughts on Religion.'

On May 3 ame the third Romames Laretmer. It Was given by Professor Werismam, and was a worthy veressor to the two which had preeeded it.

Mr. Rommanes was ghad to meot Professom Weismamm, and emjoyed the pheasamt tall he and his distimguished opponent had in his homse after hine lecture.

On the seventh of May he went to lamelon to mansult doctors, and for the last time he staped with his two dear friends, Sir Jannes and Lady Painom.

[^60]He satw one or two people and was. as ome frimed said, 'just his dear merry old self, chatting and lowing chaffed.'

He rmjoyed music as much as ever, and on the nineteenth of May he went to a concert given by the Ladies' Orchestral Society.

He was often at the Musemm, and he wote frequently of the experiments he was devising, all bearing on Professor Weismamn's theory; in these he was assisted by Dr. Leonard Hill.

He wrote several times to Professor hehafor, and on May 19, fom days before his death, in the miden of a long ledter too technical to begiven, he sinss, . III I (an do now for seience is to pay:

Ho still took much interest in Oxford life, and one of the last things he did was to vote against the introduction of the English Languge and Litemare ischool.

Cathedral was more than ever a platane th him, and he nsed often to slip in for bits of the semvice, particalarly if some particular service or anthom was going to be given. Esiperefally he loved a lew special anthems; Brahms' How lovely are 'Ihy dwellings fair' being at great favourite.

He nsed to wo down to the 'Eights' when they beram, and on ahost the very last day of his life he Wats with dificulty dissuaded from writing a letter to the 'Tlimes,' strongly supporting the Christ ('hareh anthorities whose proceedings in some disturbines in the Colloge had been criticised. On Whit Sumday, for the last time, he went to the University Semm, which happened to be preached by the Bishop of Lincoln, and which greatly impressed Mr. Romanes, bromght as he wats for the first time moder the spell of one who has influenced more than one generation of Oxford mem.

And as the days went on, there was a momen
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Fthing of preparation for some change. He made all dis amangements and was quite calm, quite gentle, fen memy at times: now and then the weary fits of hasical lassitude or of headache would prostrate Sim. but when these were past he would placidly win some bit of work.
()n Thumalay in Whit week he went to the eight Fhek ('elebation of Holy (ommmon in the Latin herel of Christ Chureh, and in the course of that he he said. I have now rome to see that faith is atelled 1 ally justifiable.' By-and-by he added. • It ( 'hristianity' or nothing.
Dresently he added, ' 1 as yet have not that real .unard assmanere; it is with me as that text says," I .un not able to look ap," but I feel the serviee of this


This was ahmost the last time he ever spoke on aligions subjects.

With Mr. Philip Wiggett there had been in these ant days some talks, and the two friends, mited as daey had been in carlier years by their common anterest in science, and in those problems which all who think at all must sooncr or later face, now fom themselves in closer and fuller asreement than either conld at one time have believed possible.

Sumday, the twentieth of May, was his birthday mad that of his oldest som, and had alwiys been a family festa. He was bright and memy, went to Magdalen to er Mrs. Wiaren, saw for the last time Dr. Pagent, and had a little talk about his "Thoughts on Religion with Mr. (iore, whom he went to hear proach in one of the Oxford churehes. And on Monday he leeenly enlfoyed a small luncheon party, consisting of the Mastor of Balliol, Mr. Gore, and Miss Wordsworth, saying that Poetry, Sicience, Theology, Philosophy were all repreanted, and that he womd have such-like little partions
every now and then, they were so refreshins and did not tire him.

Onc of two spereal friends came in to ste hime on these last days, and he had plamed in wo and stay at a comntry house belonging to the President of Trinity, which had been with chamacteristic kindness put at his disposal.

On Wednesday, May 23, he seemed partiondaty well ; he wrote a letter to the Editor of the " ('mintemi ponary leview and did some bits of work. It was Sir James and Lady Paret's (iokden Wedding dey, and he despatched a telegram of congratulation ${ }^{+}$ them. ('The very last bit of shopping he everdidulat to buy a present for that Golden Wedding, wha reached those for whom it was intended afor be wa dead.)

He came into his study about twelse, am! : that the book in which he was them interem, d, wa Aspects of 'Theism,' ' misht be read aloud ; but bufore the reading began he chamed his mind, and satid he would lie down in his bedrom an be read to there On lying down he complained a aceling very ill, ailid a few loving words to one who was with him, and became monscions. His (hildren and the Deant anme to him, but he did not recover enomgh to know them, and passed away in less than an hour: Eid nmbrias at imatginilus. in cervitatem.

Five days later he was laid to rest in Holywell Cemetery, afteran early Celebration in Chist Chur-h, the first part of the service being said in the cathe(hall which he had loved so much, and which had brought him so much comfort in the last week of life,

Hi, favourite hymm, 'Lead, kindly Lisht,' was . 21 , and the service was said in part by the fricond who had been with him on his wedding din, giwn
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Holywelt ist Churrh, ther cathewhich hatd erks of life. imht," was the friend diy, sivem
ann his first Commmion after the illness beym, and wo had been bomed up with many joys and somows ; and in part by Mr. Philip, Wiagent, who had been , him as a gomg brother, more and more lowed, Luring the seven veas in which they had walked and alked as friends, the friend kinw as ' ('arissime. Sur other special friond, Ans. Gore, was peranted by luess from coming.)

Looking back over these two years of illuess, it is apossible not to be struck by the calmones and fortiade with which that illness wats met. 'There were. Shas been said, moments of terrible depression and disappointment and of grief. It wis not easy for dim to give $\quad$ 日, ambition, to lave so many projects mfultilled, so murh work andone.

But to him this illness surew to be a momit of mification,

> Ove l' mamo spirito si purga, E. di salire al ciel diventa degno:

Ahore and more there grew on him a deepening whe of the goodness of (iod. No ome had wer sufared more from the Edelipse of Faith, mo ome had rer been more honest in dealing with himself and ith his difficulties.
The chamge that came over his mental attitude ay seem ahost incredible to those who knew him my as a scientific man; it does not seem son to th. who knew :mything of his mer life. 'To then ha impression given is, not of am chemy rhatered ato a friend, antagonism altered into submission: ather is it of one who for long has been bearug a heavy burden on his shoulders batsely and atiently, and who at hast has had it lifted from iinn, and lifted so gradually that he conld mot tell the exaret moment when he fomed it sone, and

[^62]himself standing, like the Pilgrim of never to be forgotten story, at the foot of the Cross, and Three Shining Ones coming to greet him.

It was recovery, to some extent discovery, which befell him, but there was no change of purpose, no sudden intellectual or moral conversion.

He had always rated more for 'Iruth, for the knowledge of God, than for anything alse in the world. In the gears most outwardly happy he was crying out in the darkness for light, with a som athirst for God, amd, as was said before, he did most truly re-erho st. Augustine's words, 'Pireisti mes ud Tee, et inguietmar est coll mastrom, domere requitiscat in T'e.

It is dittienalt for amyone who has lived in clonest intinater with him to speak of him in words which will not to those who did not know him seem exaggeated, may, extravagat: to those who kinew and loved him, cold, inadequate, lifeless; for he bore 'the white flower of a blameless life" from boythod onwardi, and in heart and life he was unstamed, pure, miselfish, anwoddy in the trenest sense.

When the shadow of Death bay ou him, and the dread messemger was drawing near, and he looked back on his short life, he conld reproach himself. only for what he called sins of the intellect, mentad arrosime e, undue regard for intellectual supremac!

No one better madenstood him than the friend who wrote:

When a man has lived with broad and strong interest in life, neither discarding nor slighting any true part of it in home, or society, or work, the varions asperets of his character and career are likely to be many and suggestive. And so there may be
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- me warrant for an attempt to disengrage one line of adrance in the life, one trait in the eximple, and to concentrate attention upon that, while the other and perhaps more widely recornised elements are for the moment left munoticed. 'There Wins wne sureh line of advance in the life of Geore lamanos, of whirh it may be hard to speals, but wrons. perhaps, to be wholly silent. Few men have shown more finely the simplicity and patience in sustained endeavome which are the conditions of atiamment in the quest of truth. It is absy to see how the traning and habits of a mind devoted to matural scionce mar. render faith more difficult, and aross on ehoret the renture of the sonl towards the things eternat and mseen. But there is one quality proper to such a mind which should have a different effect, and act as a safeguard agianst a fanlt that often ehereks or mars the growth of faith. That quality is tenarity of muarrelated fragments ; the endmrance of incompleteaess ; the patient refusal to attemate or discard a fact because it will not fit into a system: the detarmined hope that whatsoever things are true hare further truth to teach, if only they are held first and fairly dealt with. 'The sincerely seientific mind hows such tenacity as that moder every trial of its with and patience, howsoever long and momonnising and unrelieved; for it knows itself responsible not for attaimment, but for perseverance; not for conquest, but for loyalty. It resists even the temptation to dislike the matidy scraps of observation or experience which will match nothing and go nowhere; for it uspects and reveres in all the possibility of new light.

And surely there is a like excellence of thonght, rare, and high, and exemplary, in regard to the things unseen, the things that are spiritually disermed. Scattered up and down the word, coming one way or another within the ken of all men, there are facts of Hain experience which will not really fit, mumut lated, mudistigured, into any scheme or view of life that leares (iod out of sight. 'They are facts, it may be, of which a full account can hardly, if at all, be given. 'They are fragmentary, isolated, impoulerable ; clearer at one time than at another; largely dependent, for anything like due recognition, upon the individual mind, and heart, and will. Yet there they are, flashing out at times with an intensity which makes all else seem pale and cold ; disclosing, or ready to disclose, to any quietness of thonght, great hints of worlds unrealised and possibilities of owerwhelming glory.

And it is on loyalty, on justice to such fragnemts of truth, maceominted for and marranged, that for many men the trial of faith may turn. All is now lost, and everything is possible, so long as the mind refuses to doubt the reality of the light that has come, perhaps, as yet only in broken rays. Of such justice and loyalty George Romanes set a very high example. The strength and simplicity and patience of his character appeared in- nothing else more re, markably, more happily, than in his undisconnaged grasp of those unseen realities which invade this world in the name and power of the world to come. Ths love of precision and completeness never dulled hiscare for the things that he could neither define, nor label,
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frigments d, that for All is not ; the mind $t$ that has Of such very high d pratience e more re liscomraged e this world ome. Ths led his care , nor label,
aur arrange ; in their fragmentariness he treasured them, in their reserve he trusted them, waiting faithinlly to see what they might have to show him. And they did not fail him. This is not the place in which an try to speak of the graces and the gladness which from such loyal sincerity passed into his life, nor of the clearer light that grew and spread before his wistinl, hopeful ga\%e. But it hardly ean be wrong to have said thas much of so noble and so timely a pattern of allegriance to all truth discemed; and of this great lesson in a life which seemed even here to have the earnest of that promise- He that seeketh, findeth '-a life which seemed to be moving steadily : wards the blessing of the pure in heart, the vision of Amighty God.'

$$
\mathrm{F} . \mathrm{P} \text {. }
$$

A letter from Mr. Gladstone ammot be omitted, and seems to come in fittingly at this place :

$$
1 \text { Carlton (iardens: June. }
$$

Dear Mrs. Romanes,-My present circumstances are not very favourable to direct personal communication, and my personal intercourse with Mr. Romames was so scanty in its quantity as hardly to warant my present intrusion, but I camot help writing a few words for the purpose of conveying my deep sympathy on the heary bereavement you have sustained, and further of saying how deep an impression he left upon my mind in the point of character not less than of calpacity. He was one of the men whom the age

[^64]specially reguires for the insestigation and solution of its espectial difficulties, and for the conciliation and harmony of interests between which a factitions rivalry hats been ereated.

Your heary private loss is then compled in my view with a public calanity; but while I am rejoice in your retrospect of his labour, I also trist it may please (iod in His wisdom to raise up others to fill up his place and comy forward his work. Maty you enjoy the abmadance of the Divine comsolations in proportion to your great need.

Believe me, most truly yours, W. E. (ilabstone.

Not much remains to be said. The life here described would seem to have been cut short, but, as was said by a friend, 'in a short time he fultilled a long time,' ${ }^{\text {and }}$ few have won for themselves more love in the home and beyond it. He left no ememy, and those wholoved him aud to whom his loss has left a blank and desolation of which it is not well to speak, can only be thankful for what he was and for what he is. Not indeed that one would forget those words of Dean Church quoted in the beantiful preface to his Life : "
'I often have a kind of waking dream: up one road, the image of a man decked and adomed as if for a trimmph, carried up by rejoicing and exulting friends, who praise his goodness and achievements; and, on the other road, tumed back to back to it, there is the very man himself, in sordid and sipualid apparel, surrounded not by friends but by ministers of
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life here it, but, is fulfilled ia lues more 110 ehemp, ass has left Il to speak, or what he - words of ice to his
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nstice, and golug on, whild his friemels are exulting, a his certain and perhaps awful judgment. That rision rises when I hear, not just and conscientions rudeavoms to make out a man's chamater, but when I hear the loose things that are said-often in kindwess and lowe-of those beyond the srave.

But there have been men and women who have lifted the minds and the hearts of those who knew and loved them to incresing love for soodness, to inreasing loftiness of ideal, and for these, whom now wo praise can hurt, 10 b) anme (an wound, one can but lift one's hourt in aro growing thankfulness for the gifts and graces which made them what they rere, and which will grow and incrase in them matil the Perfect 1)as.

Beati mundu conder, quomiann ipsi De'min cidelmut. May 23.189.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ For the Suppression of Vivisection.

[^1]:    'Spiritualism.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ He had just lectured at the Royal Institution.

[^3]:    ' It was subsequently published in the fortnightly.

[^4]:    ' He refers to the attack of typhoid ferer in 1873.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ Mr. Romanes carried out this suggestion, or rather his sister, Miss C. E. Romanes, did; she keptamon'ey for observation for several month, as is recorded at p. 484 of 'Animal Intelligence.'

[^6]:    ' Cf. F. Myern's ' lissny on George Ehot,' Modern Essays, 1. 269.

[^7]:    ' (If. 'Sife and Letters of Jem ('hurdh. p. 15.
    " Buying up the Oppormity,' " wrmon he the Rev. ('. (iowe
    

[^8]:    ' Nown
    " And fo, attirm that substitule fic confless that its sond of la While it is wribly inte пини сени $w$, thr uppallia

[^9]:    1 Ife was (ongaged to be married.

[^10]:    1 The Brit．Assor．Lacture， 1 sis．
    ＂The pesent Mastor of Ballind．＂Now Lomd Kelvin．

[^11]:    ' Diagrman for a lexture on 'Montal livolation.'

[^12]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Noture, vol. xxi. p. 207.

[^13]:    1 Mr. Kommanes nsed to deseribe with moneh ammsenont the ludierns mathere of the experiment as sean by passers-by. He drowe in at cab well Buto the eomatre, released the rats, mal momited the poof wh the cab in order to eret at erod view of the eats spereting away in diffremt dirertions.

[^14]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Lite we of ('. Darwin, vol. iii. 1. 910.

[^15]:    1 Charles Darwin: a memorial poem.

[^16]:    1 I lew stray poems in magazines．

[^17]:    ${ }^{1}$ Liffe and Letters of Chates Iarain. La Francis Darwin, vol. i. pr in.

[^18]:    ' Miss M. M. Puget.

[^19]:    - The friend referred to on p. 178.

[^20]:    －The antwer is the word siv．

[^21]:     1ヶポ．

[^22]:    

[^23]:    ${ }^{1}$ One of Mr. liomanos' numerous pet names.

[^24]:    ' Of (icrmamy.

[^25]:    ' His brother was ill. An old nure.

[^26]:    I Ihave mot been able to disenver my answer to thene.
    *'ature, vol. swii. p. 16s.

[^27]:    Sinture, vol. axsui. p. 273.
    2Naturo, vill axxii. p. (9:30.

[^28]:    

[^29]:    ' Of Mr. Darwin.

[^30]:    ' Darwinism, by Alfred Russell Wallaes.

[^31]:    ' Erolution and Religious Thought.

[^32]:    ${ }^{1}$ l'ur. Ment. des Anim. tom ii. p. 207.

[^33]:    ${ }^{1}$ The beautiful cemetery adjoining Holywell Churoh, Oxford.

[^34]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Ihysiological society has a yearly mecting nt 0aford.
    2 Irufessor Vietor Horsley, F.lis.s., L'nic: Coll. London. I'roficserr of Physiology at (Oxford.

[^35]:    ${ }^{1}$ I'rofessor W. Dunstan, F.R.S.
    $\because$ Lights of the Church and of science.'

[^36]:    ${ }^{1}$ A benutiful terrier.

    * Two more dons.

[^37]:    ' Mr. E. B. Turner, F.R.C.S.

[^38]:    ' I'salm xxvii. $\quad{ }^{2}$ A pet name for his daughter.
    ${ }^{3}$ He had slipped on the rocks and hurt his arm.
    ${ }^{1}$ His third son.

[^39]:    ' On ' Physiological Selection.' See Niture, vol, xlii. pl. i. T. :mb vol, xliii. 12, 7 ! and 127.

    * Tho late I'rofessor Sellar.

[^40]:    1 'The Oxford Nitural History Society.

[^41]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Astronomer Royal at the Cape and his wife.

[^42]:    1 The Rev. Bartholomew l'rice, D.II. J'R.S.

[^43]:    ' The home of sir Willian and the Hon. Lady Welby-Gregory.

[^44]:    ' On the work alluded to in a letter to Professor Schiafer.

[^45]:    ${ }^{1}$ Miss Pollock's marriage to Mr. Vernon Boys, I'.R.S.. in here 11. ferred to.

[^46]:    ${ }^{1}$ A favourite consin, who died a few months after Mr. Romanes.

[^47]:    ' See p. 289, above.

[^48]:    ${ }^{1}$ His wedding-day. $\quad$ Itr. Paget had been very ill.

[^49]:    ' Mr. Waggett.

[^50]:    ' It was 'book-plate.'

[^51]:    ${ }^{1}$ Contemporary, April 1892.
    ${ }^{2}$ His butler, an old and valued servant.

[^52]:    'Mr. Merbert Spencer on 'Natural Selection, Contrmporar! Rrmen'. Ipril 1893.

[^53]:    1 Now Bishop of Rochester.

[^54]:    His brother was making alditions to the house at Innskaith.

[^55]:    ${ }^{1}$ He did see one more.

[^56]:    ${ }^{1}$ see Life und Letters of ('. Inarin, vol, iii. p. 3.5.

[^57]:    1 Mr. G. R. Turner, F.R.C.S., one of Mr. Rommes's dearest fricme: a was also his brother, Mr, li, B. 'Turner, F'R.C.s.

[^58]:    ${ }^{1}$ Regins l'rofessor of Pastoral Theology at Oxford.

[^59]:    ${ }^{1}$ Of st. (iiless Parish Church.

[^60]:    ' A pet hame fir the two babies.

[^61]:    ${ }^{1}$ By Professor linight of St. Andurns.

[^62]:    

[^63]:    'The Dean of Chist Chureh.

[^64]:    ${ }^{1}$ lieprinted from the Guardian of June 6 .

[^65]:    ${ }^{1}$ Wisdom, iv. 13.
    " Ireface to Life and Letters of Dean Church, p. xxiv.

