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## REMARKS

ON THE

## LACHINE CANAL.

OF all the obstacles which militate against public improvements, none is more powerful in ity effects, more pernicious in its consequences, or more deserving of reprobation, than an inordinate degree of self-interestedness. Wherever this feeling is allowed to appear in opposition to the public good, it rises like a baneful blight, and by assertions, truc or false, by every cunning device and duceifful stratagem which it can invent, operates in proventing the commencement-retarding the progress, and by defeating the best laid schemes, not unfrequently marrs the result of undertakings which if allowed to proceel wrould confer the most essential service on the community at large.

These observations it is to pe feared have been too strikingly illu trated in the contests and disputes relative to the direction in which the La Chine Canal ought to proceed. For although it was to be hoped that all differences and contentions respecting its route, extent and termination, \&sc. had been adjusted by the last Legislative enactment for making that Canal-(and which alhough its gensral utilhty was then circumscribed far
within what it would be, if properly completed) yet appears that a third plan (from the same party who have all along opposed its extension to the Cross) is now in agitation to have its course altered so as to cramp its usefulness still farther. Bat a brief detail of the various proceedings relative to this importanc work from the time it was first seriously agitated to the present period will best exhibit, how actively, and unceasingly this selfish principle has been employed respecting it.

At first it was resolved, to follow that plan which common sense, and the opinions of scientific men dictated as the best; and the Canal was to extend from La Chine to the foot of the Current St. Mary or to the Cross through the city of Montreal, thereby answering: every intention which could be desired in a work for the public good-for according to this plan it would have at once accomplished the wished for object by overcoming the impediments in the river St . Lawrence, between la Chine and the Cross, and by proceeding in this way the greatest possible portion of the community would have participated in the benefirs acising from it.

The propriety (nay the necessity) of its being carried to this extent was pointed out in the following remarks on the subject drawn up at the time this question was in agitation.

> Hrom the Ifontreal Herald, 13 ith February, 1819.

* We have been led to underntand that a difference wof opinion exists respecting the place where the Cao chat should join the St. Latwrence. Some maintaip *What it stubld fall into the tiver at the present. Port, of
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$\square$ Montreal; while others with more propriety and cese. "tainly with more correct views respecting its general "utility, wish it to be carried below the Curreft (St. ".Mary's). This last is certainly the preferable plan, " and if it should be atrended with an additional expence c (which is sy no means certain) a piiful saving upon a "work of so great public importance would be highly * improper. The current from the Port to the Cross " (foot of the current) forms one of the greatest obstacles "to pur Montreal navigation, although our Steam-Boats " in a great measure obvizte this; yet with the view of " facilizating the access to our river craft ; and such ves" sels as come from see, it would be of the utmost im"portance to extend the Canal to the Cross. Indeed it. "mnst be obvious to every one, that unless this be done, " the chief impediments in the navigation to La Chine "are bat partially removed. Loaded boats crossing from. " the opposite side below the island, and those coming. "from the island St. Helen (now about to be made a "depot for government stores) soldom make the Mon"treal side nbove the Brick Store. It is also well known "that it talyes as much tive to bring them from thence "to the Port, as to bring them from Bout de l'Isle to w thit place. If therefore the canal joined the river at "the Brick Store, (now a naval depot,) boats entering " at that point might reach Lachine (by the canal) nearly "as soon as they could be brounght up to the port at " Montreal; a circumstance of no small consideration if "these boats on the canal should ever be employed to "transport government stores. To obviate or answer"this end, those who maintain that the canal should " enter at the port of Montreal, propose to have a tow-"ing-path from the Cross to the harbour, and that bat-

4 tenux and other craft should be brought up by horses: * This is a thing totally impracticable from the existing c" iature of affairs. During a great part of the summer " the bay or eddy opposite to the island, is filled with "rafts, and the beach is covered with staves, boards, " and other lumber, piled up so as to impede any towing " path which could be made. A little further out from " the shore the water is too deep to use setting poles, an : "the rapidity of the current must necessarily preven $\ddagger$ "t the vessels being carried up by oars. Benides to form " such a path and keep it in repair where it is liable (als' mast certain) to be broken up every season by the ac${ }^{\mu}$ cumulation of ice, and to enlarge our harbour from $\omega$ whit it is at present would require a greater capital, "than to extend the canal to the foot of the current.* "Under these circumstances therefore we should readily *subscribe to the idea of carrying the canal through "the town so as to join the St. Lawrence at the Cross. * And if the meadows behind the Champ de Mars "(which are by nature formed for the purpose) were " made into a bason or barbour, the dues arising from it "would be amply sufficient to recompence the stock* holders for the additional monies which would be re«quired to extend the canal to the Cross. And this " would be more effectually the case if that part of the "canal lying between this bason and the foot of the cur"'rent where it joins the St. Lawrence were excavated "to such a depth as to admit vessels drawing 16 or 18

[^0]
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"feet of water. At the same time a lateral branch of " the canal might be made so as to pass off at some point " near the Brewery in the Recollet Suburbs to fall in at "the present port of Montreal ; and thiy branch might " be made of a sinaller size as it would be required on"ly for such goods as might be brrught to the Port from " the Upper Province, or for what might be sent there "from that place.-From all this we sincerely hope that "our Legislature will feel inclined to accord with the "wishes of the present applicants, and while they grant "them the privilege of curting the canal from Montreal "to Lachine, they will also see the propriety of enabling " them to make: it so as to join the St . Lawrence at the "foot of the current St. Mary in the first instance, and "at the same time enable them to make the lateral " branch to the Port should they hertafter find it new ${ }^{*}$ cessary."

Again in the Herald of the 20th February, 1810, the same subject is taken up in the following extract:
"In works of great public utility, like the one in "question, a regard ought to be had to futurity as well ef as to the present time, otherwise the benefit arising " from them must be very circuinscribed. Such men as "c have performed any great pubic undertaking, without " attention to this principle have often had reason to re"gret their illiberal and contracted notions, during their "lives; and instead of their names being handed down "s to posterity with applause and gratitude for their spiof rited exertions, future generations have reproached "their memories, and despised them for their imbecili-. isty in having done that by halves which they might
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whave aeromplished effectualls. This will undoubredo "ly be the case with the Lachine Canal, should it be es brought to the port of Montreal instead of entering "the river below the current. The port of Monireal in "too small for our commerce at the present day, and " froin its local situation thuse is no means of enlarging "it. If therefore its contracted size be attonded with " inconvenience for our present trade; what will it be at " no very distant period when the country bordering on a the lakes and rivers which fall into the St. Lawrence "becomes settled and under cultivation, and when our "trade will be encreased several hundred fold. And "this we should think a sufficient reason for prolonging "t the canal to the Cross, where harbours or basons *r might be made sufficiently large for the encrease that 41 we may tairly expect in our commerce hereafter, were " there no other advantages to be reaped from extend *ing it thus far."

So mush for the way in which this subject was argoed when first under discussion: we shall see by and by to what conclusion these strong arguments led.

The urgent necessity for a Canal in this place, the great advantages which would result to the public from lt, combined with the reasonableness of their request, and the clear demonstration that this was the proper direction and eatent it ought to have, induced the Legislature at the time to comply with the public wish, and 2 Company was incorporated to make the Lachine Conal from funds to be raised by a joint stock to be held in shares; and by this act of incorporation the said Come pany, were obliged to make this Canal from Lachine $\omega$

Dhe foot of the Current St Mary in the first instance, rivith , luteral branch going from the most convenuent point of it to the present Port. Reasonable and expedient as all this was, and although the Act was carefully framed so as to meet the wishes of all parties as far as consistant with the public good: this was not obtained without some opposition, and even afier bsing passed, could not prevent some individuals attempting to frustrate it. Those who held property in the vicinity of the Port instantly took the alarm. They soon discovered (or pretended to discover) that if the Canal passed through the Town to the Cross, vessels from sea and river craft would stop at the foot of it, and send their cargoes up from thence by the Canal in boats, or lodge them in stores which might be built there for their reception. They began to suspect that in time the bulk of the business might be removed from the present Port to the Cross, and foresaw that such a change would produce a depreciation in the value of their stores, \&c. around the Port. This idea immediately called up the action of the laurtful principle (inordinate self-interest) mentioned in the outset, and set it to work to frustrate the plan. It was a stretch of generosity beyond their mode of acting to make any sacrifice for a public good, however great or however necessary, if such a sacrifice involved any loss to their dear selves. The arguments they employed on this occasion will be noticed hereafter, when it will also be shown, that it is the same party and the same principle now struggling to obtain a third alteration in the direction of this Canal, which has all along been exerting itself to prevent its extension to the Cros: : for the presen it is sufficient to observe that at the tume alluded to their representations (rather misrepresentations) prevailed
on far with Governement, as io saddle the Company with the additional expense, of a lateral branch to the Port, (the utility of, which, by the bye, has never been showa) although they were not able in the face of common sense to prevent the Canal's being extended to the foot of the Current.

This side cut (or lateral branch) had the effect of shutting their moutbs for the moment, and the work night have gone on well agreeable to the provisions of that act, had it been practicable to carry them into effect at the time.

Bor those opposed to the plan of extending the camat to the Cross, tried many manoeuvres for the purpose of defeating it. They first contrived to get some clausea introduced into the act which operated as a preventative against the stock's being taken up, and afterwards by raising a jealousy among the friends and partisans of the different banks by a foolish diapute as to. where the mor niey was to be lodged when colleeted from the differens share holders. This combined with the unfortunate filling off in $i_{i}$, commercial prosperity at the time had the effect they desired, and before the Company could get the stock taken up, it became necessary to apply to the Legislature for a repeal of the obnoxious clauses in the act.

An alteration in the manper: of accompliching this great un lertaking now became necessary and as the company had intimated their inability to make, it according to :che, Act which had incorporated them ; the canal wass to be cut at the expence of the Province. The proceedings attendant on this change gave these selfish gen-
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liemen another opportunity of foisting in their cavebo lings, objections, and remonstrances. (For they are ever on the watch, that being a propery attendant on selfistiness.) 'Arat in this' case they sticceeded but'too well Although the government from : convistion of the necessity for its extending to that point would not bandon the plan of its gcing to the Cross; the oppos. bents to this measure, succeeded in getring the fortitet order of thinigs 'reversed. The lateral branch which was héretofore only considered as a secondary business, now became a primary object and it was determined that it should be made as soon as the main trunk reached the point where it appeared from the Engineer's plan, the said lateral branch should break off: and the further extension of the main triunk was to be reserved for a future time, before the arrival of which, this same party might perhaps find the means of defeating it altogether. That this, though not the avowed, is the true object of their. proceeding may be inferred from various reasons and among others from the support they now give the application for a third change in its direction. For they now wish it to break off at a point still more remote from the Cross, for fear of its ever extending to that place, as they well know the greater a disiance it is from its ultimate destination the more arguments they may adduce, and the more impediments they may throw in the way of its ever reaching it. But these gentlemen are not satisfied with all they have heretofore obtained, they wish to make "assurante doubly sure," and have come forward as above noticed praying for a third change in the roure and extent of this canal. They now wish the lateral branch to break off from a point never before thought of, and to pursue a disection never till now
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dreamt of. It is not proper as these wise heads suppose that this canal should join the river at the Cross, or that its lateral branch should fall in at the Port-but they want the main trunk to come on in the present intended line to near the Turnpike gate (about 41 miles short of the original and proper destination of the canal) and that a branch should break off from that to join the river at the wind mills. .The falacy of the arguments adduced in support of this absurd plan will be shown when we come to examine the petition they have got up for it. For the present it is only necessary to obserye that such a direction would be entirely incompatible with the original object and sole intention for which this canal was made. By the Lachine Canal it was intended to obviate the impediments in the river St. Lawrence below Lachine. These impediments consist of a chain of rapids commencing at this last place and extending down the river with little interruption to the foot of St Mary's current at the Cross below Montreal. It is evident that any canal made for the purpose of obviating these ought to embrace the whole of them in its course, by emanating from the river above the highest and entering it below the lowest of them. But if the plan now proposed by these gentlemen be adopted the Lachine Canal will fall into the river a little below the middle of these ra. pids, and at a point where its lower end will be totally inaccessible, without first expending a large sum of money to deepen the river below it. Absurd as this plan is it has found some to aid and abet it, acting upon the selfish maxim," take care of yourself never mind the publie good." Together with all those who originally opposed the canal's being extended to the Cross $\boldsymbol{y}_{\text {, }}$ such as have property around the wind mills and which would haw
its value enhanced by this change in the canal line havereadily come into this proposal, and not only given their sanction to the petition themselves but used their influence in getting signatures to it, and in this way the original non-contents have received a rcinforcement.

As it has been seen that all this opposition to the original plan of this canal-and all the various quirks and quibbles which have been tried to effect an alteration in its course, were brought forward with the design of defeating or protracting its original object, it may not be amiss to examine some of the arguments these gentlemen have adduced in favour of their schemes, for they have with a little deviation adapted to circumstances been the same in all cases. It is not usual for those who lrave a sinister object to attain, to declare openly and candidly the true cause of their acting in the manner they do, nor to use such arguments as would admit of their real designs beeing seen through. This principle was displayed in the point in question. Few would have been ready to side with these characters had they openIy avowed "wc wish the canal to pass in such and suck a direction because it will benefit us to the prejudice of the Public." Other methods must be resorted to, and they commenced by raising the alarm on those whose credulity they could work upon. "If, said they," the canal extents to the Cross, a new and rival town will start up there-that will become the seat of business-Montreal will be thrown in the back ground and all property in it will fall in value, and so forth. Their next pretext if true would have perhaps been more excusible as it had ostensibly the preservation of the trade of Montreal, for its object. They asserted that if the canal stould extc.ad
to the Cross thie inerchants from Upper Canada woult pass by it directly to Quebec to make their parchases, and instead of being supplied by Montreal dealers as at present, this would become nothing more than a resting place for them. If the falacy of these argurnents and their injurious effect to the public were not suffici nt to overset them, they would perhaps meet with their defeat in those interested in the prosperity of Quebec, who have here an opportunity of judgirg of the very liberal principles of these gentlemen. But all this is only as it were raising a shadow to frighten children, and employed to eover their deeper and more selfish designs. They well know the shortness of the distance between Montreal and the Cross will prevent a rival city in trade ever rising up there. They are aware that before a town at the Cross could attain to any important extent it would be joined to Montreal, become one and the same city where property would have its value according to its locality as at present. The bugbear about the Upper Canada merchant descending to Quebec is equally absurd, many of these merchants do so at present when they can afford to make large purchases, the saving on which will defray the expence of the journay, and if the canal was made in the way it ought, those from the upper Province are not such tools as go that distance and incur the expenceof time and labour, besides other charges, if the business they have to do will not pay for such outlay.-Next to these specious reasons came their great knock him downs and last argument which they have employed on all occasions whiere it suited their purposes, and which it was of course to be expected they would use to prevent the canal's extending to the foot of the current. This is the saving of expence, for as they pretend it could be made
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to the port or to the wind-mills for muck less meney than it would cost to carry it to the foot of the current. It may not be improper here to observe that althoughthis is one argument these gentlemen have always at hand, they take specions care not to employ it when it? would militate against any of their own schemes; a very striking instance of which was seen in their proceeding on this canal. When the spirited company who were incorporated for making this canal at first, in conformity to the opinions of all scientific men represented' to the government the necessity of its being extended to the Cross, and when the Legislature convinced of the prow priety of its being so, passed an act to that effect, then came " the tug of words," and says this party "we must have a lateral branch, a branch from the main trunk to the port by all means;" no word in that case of the ex-pence-no, (although knowing that the funds were thert ta be raised by a company in shares; and well aware there would be difficulty in getting the stock subscribed from the scarcity of money,) this party did not hesitate to burden the company with the additional expence for cutting and making the lateral branch, but now that the work is to be done at the expence of the Province, save I save! all you can, is their constant cry. This pernis cious principle which is so justly held in execration in an individual, amounts to little short of criminality, in those intrusted to carry on any improvement where the public are to bear the costs and to reap the benefit : because in such an improvement be imperfectly done, (which rarely fails to be the case when this saving principle predos minates too far, instead of being a benefit to that public, as intended, it becomes an injury, and saddles them with an anntal charge to preserve it in an effective stater at
is not necessary to search far for illustrations of this posin. cion. Where has there ever been a public work properly accomplished in this country (or any, other) where this saving principle was the primary object of consideration to those performing it ? The Court-house of this city was built at the expence of government-save, save all! was the object of the Commissioners-the work was done in so flimsy a style that it has already cost more in alterations and repairs, than with the original amount would have built it in a handsome and substantial manner, and 2 considerable outlay must still be made to keep it in repair. The same thing occurred in building the gaol of this place, a disgrace to any civilized country, and an enormous annual expence to keep it in repair. In both these instances these gentlemen entrusted with them had the honor of saving the public money, and that public the pleasure of gaining a loss! The locks at the Cascades 1 believe were built on this famous saving system. They were first too small and had to be pulled down and wid-ened-and even as they are now constructed, will in a fow years be found still too small or entirely unsuitable ${ }^{-}$ for the purpose they were designed for. One more instance shall suffice. A few years ago the government with a becoming liberality voted 50,000 l for internal improvements. It is strongly suspected that this money has been entrusted to some of these saving gentlemen who have saved it so well that hardly a vestige of any part of its being expended in the country is to be scen or any public benefit derived from it. So much for the lonour of saving the public money, and the blessed effects of this economising principle when exerted where public ondertakings are carrying òn. Altho' it might be supgosed that the baneful effects of this saving system have
been witnessed often enough to cause a discontinuance of it, yet such is its predominance, and so much sas been lately suid upon it that serious apprehensions are entersained least it should cregp in to frustrate the designs at cramp the utility of the Lachine Canal, unless those entrusted with the management of that undertaking exert themselves to prevent it. But it is to be hoped the commissioners for this wark will be warned by those anployed for superintending public improvements bosore and avoid the rack they have whit upop. Whil' they are careful by taking advantage of the times to make their contracts judiciously, and avoid a foolith or lavish expenditure in this or any ather way; they will be equally zealous to guard agaiust this injurious saving plan; 80 as not to cranip the extent and general uility of thework, or to have it performed in a dimsy and imperfect mapner.

Befong entering on any remarks relative to the different routes which have been proposed for this canal, or detailing their comparative expence and advantapes-it may not be improper to examine this celebrated petition for the canal's diverging from its present line somewhere near the Turnpike gate, and that it may pass in at the Wind mills. This although apparento a new plan, has, as was already mentioned, originated with the same party who first opposed the canal's going tc the Cross, and proceeds from the saine rotten reason which actuated ghem in the first instance.

The grand bait held out in this petition and on which it is said the promoters of it build their hopes of success is the great saving, or diminished price it would cost to
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pring the canal hy the route the propose, in prefcrence to any other. From this argument tating the lead of all others it may be inferred that pome, of these siaving genilemen are concerned, and if they propose to save on the Lachine Canal as they hinve done io the oither public works above cited no better reason need be asked for rejecting the petition at once, But we shall hereafter see - What this saving would be when we come to estimate the expence of making the camal by the different routes proBosed. For although these gentiemen very speciously Cold forth the assertion that? $a$ saving could be made in that part berween where the branch diverges froms the Grunk mear the Turnpike gate and the Wind nills, the'y have taken care not to say any thing of the great and unnecessary expence which would by this route be unaGoidably incurred in opening a water communication for vessels from their Wind mill bason to the port and froth thence to the foor of the current. And it deserves to be renarked that even the most zealous advocates for this saving plan and those selfish measures before meñ. Cioned, have never dared to advance the absurdity, that the objects or design of this canal would be compleated without its removing the obstacles of the navigation as tar as the foot of the current St. Mary. On the contrary they have always helld ott a substitute for this by proposing the ridiculous plan of a towing path from the Port to the last mentioned spot, the absurdity of which or rather is utter impracticability has been already noticed, and will be reverted to hereafier. The great ground work of their saving which they themselves do not consider as more Than the greatest part of 15,0001 . is on the purchase of property. Because the canal in its present line passes through valuable orchärds añd bailding lots, On this
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principle the very: foundation of their argument may bo, slanken. If it be indispensably necessary to avoid these yaluable grounds, this can be effected in an easier way without injuring the general utiling of the canal. Ater leaving the Turnpike gate, (instead of diverging to the south is they wish,) let it bend a shorter distance to the other side, it will then go through lands of trifling value onsil it comes opposite the head of the St. Antoine Suburb, from whence it can pass paralel to that street until it arrives at the bridge, at the fout of it a and from thif the nearest and most convenient point to the port o yranch (if still thought necessary) could oe taken of to fall into it $;$ which in its course would intersect a s san cious and convenient scite for a bason now nearly formed by nature and lying between the bridge leading to Pointe a Callière and thas leading to the S. Ann's Suburb. In this way the ostensible wishes of the promoters of this petition could be answered as the canal by this route would pass through little valuable property, encept what already belongs to the public. The second inducement held out in this petition is the facility with which a bason could be formed.-In this respect the wind mill toute has no advantages over any other, were the canal sarried to the Cross as it ought to be there are plenty of situations in its course far better adapted for basons to suite the public convenience than the litile bend in the riper ax the wind mills. Besides what would be the sut perior adkantages of a bason in the spo above mentioned compared with one at the the Windmills:-it is situated in the centre of the business part of the Kown, alreidy surrounded/with buildings cwell adapt? ed for stores of évery description ; so close to the presens port that it would have no effect in depreciating the wis
twe of property around it a at a convenient distance from. the minin trunk of the canals and lasily to suite their saving vicw would cose no expence for purchasing and but a trifle for fis construction. But the absurdity of this route may be farther seen from the following supposed case, and as the ultimate object of this canat, namely, the carrying if to the Cross has never been abandoned, it is not an improbable supposition. Let us for a moment consider the main trunk of the canat conplePed from Lachine to the Cross and a survegor or engineer appointed to examine the most proper place for the brunch to lead off to the port. What would be said of him if he proposed its branching off near the Turnpike gate , why certainly he would be considered as a madman, and would perhaps incur the same imputation if he fixed on any other spot or line for this lateral brancls than the one nbove mentioned, namely from the foot of the St. Ansoine Suburb to the Port. The last argument they employ in their petition is from the shortness of dintunce the canal would have to pass by this roure over iny other. True, the wind mills are nearer Lachine than the Port is, but what has ithat to do with a can al making for the pvowed purpose of joining Lachine and the Cross or foot of the Current. If shortening the discance be their object why ever bring the canal past the Tannery or farther than the Turnpike gate? Other arious obstacles to this direction will be mentionied hereafter, for this windmill route has not one terible argoment in is favour, and were it not pell known that it is seld forth only to cover ocher plans, it mighe justly be conapared to some of the schmanes hid by Doe Quisotep af wind mill meqpery.

## 21

Havine in the preceding pages derelppied in some measure the plans and proceddings of those who have opposed the extension of this canal, and also scouched upon some of the arguinents they, have employed; it only remains by a dispasionate examination of the'colnparhtive expence and advantages of the iwo routes to see which is to be preferred. Some points of this part of the subject have unavoidably been anticipated in the preceeding pages, but any thing tending to illusirate the ailvantages of this work if properly made, or 10 deprecate the idea of its urility being cramped by being superficially executed cinnot be too deeply impressed on the mindi of those engaged in carrying it on.

Ar. parties having agreed as to the direction of the $m$ in trunk as far as from Lachine to near the furnpike gate, nothing reed be said relaitive to that part of the. line. The chief point apparently in contest is as to where the lateral branch shall direrge from the thain stunk: and as this branch cannot touch every. man's door as to the dircction in which it shall proceed. To an imparial inind, this question would appear to hate been settled long since. A plan of the whole line was made and had been approved of, and its execution enacted by legislative authority. By that act the Commissioners appointed to sec the work done were to continue the main trunk in a specified direction, until it seached a certain point, from which the lateral brhnch Wis to break off to the Port, and under this authority, and in conformity to this plan the work was commenced. But the selgsh principle so frequently noriced began again to make its appearance, and now wishes the literal branch' tif ghtueded': froma:differrent point, apd tif pars.

## 理

iin a Jifferont dircction. Let ite nows see what weuld be the expepces required to make the canal äccording 10 , their plan, (that is to bave the lateral branch passing off near the 'Surnpike gate, to the, Wind mills, and the ob; otructions, af the navigation from thence to the Crope semaveds) and the loss the public wonid sustain by fol. lewing the direction they wiah. In the first place to ex: savare the branch from where it wpuld leave the main usunk to the Wind mille, a distance of perhape more than a mile and a half, would cost $3000!$. To make thrir baion and build a break water or wharf, according en thoir own accoupt: would cost $5000 \%$. And as the coutemplate having thair bason cappble of wintering weam-boats-chey would require three very large locks so join it to the siver co as to admit such vesselis. The sapence of these could nat be less than 40001 . each, or 12,000/. for the whole. Still it is necessary as above stated to get to the port, and excavating the river at this place to the extont they rould require could not probably be done for less than 2000\%. Berides the annual cxpence of keeping this channel clear cannot be considered less than $150 \%$ per pnnum, thereby swallowing up a capital of 25001 . more.

THE probable consequences of making a cut and deepening the river in this place merits some considerafion. By letting in more water it would evidently encrease the current between their famous bason and the Port which is alseady too stropg at this place; and as is

[^1]Ro a well known fict that every earfent cartles in tho course a deposition which it lodges in the still water bee low it, where would the deposition in this case be made? Why exactly in the present port And it requires no great foresight to discover that it would from this cause be filled up and readered totally useless in a very iew years. What then would be the value of the stores and waildings which surround it ? ' In addition to this it ough: to bekept in mind that the ice at its setting, every teason shoves from the Le Prairie side directly towards shis point, (Point Blondeau,) and the shallow water and hard bottom here sets as a barrier against it and prevents its coming with all its force agninst the port or the buildiings which surround it. Even the partial change in the current of 2 river may be attended with unforeseen, but terious consegיי्יnces.

Bur to revert to the sutbject of expence we have only got as far as the Port by this route, and as it is univetally acknowtedged that the obstacles to the navigation below it must be removed, the preposterous plan of i towing path on the river side must be had recourse th. From the present port downwards to nemirly opposite the middle of the Quebec Suburb the river near the bank is ione continued seties of shallows and strong currents, which must be over come by being deepened, so as to allow boats loaded to be dragged up with facility from the Cross. From the middle of the Quebec Suburb down to the foot of the Current St. Mary, (the Cross)) the river is desp but the current so strong as frequently to delay some of dur best steam-boats, in assending it : and the vexatious detention of vesaels in waiting a wind to bring them up is too well known to require comment.

An shis bat been proposed to be overcome by deepening: the river in its shillow places, and making a cowing path ths whole way. To this however there are many serip ous objections. The first cost of such a inwing path could not be less than $1500 /$ as the distance is litte short of two miles. And from its heing linble to he broken up every yeur by the ice, as well as the deepened parts of the river pt to be filled up probably not less than $500 \%$. per anmum would be required for the purpose, of keeping it in repair which engrosses a capital of 8330 /. The shale Wwart of the river could not be deepened for less than s000l. more; thereby making the actual cot of bringing the canal this way and clearing the river to the Cross, s9330). It ought also to be observed that the construcvion of a towing path in this place, and the keeping of it in repair, would accelerate the washing away ot the bank, to the serious injury of the property on the top of it. But this is not all, to the above sum is to be added the damage and loss the public would sustain by carrying the eanal in this direction, and were the towing path made as they wish it. In the first place where would, the inAabitants of:Montreal who now go to the beach and purthase their fire wood find that necessaty article ? This plan would induce the necessity of its being brought to their famons bason at the Wind mills or to the Cross, for it could not be landed on a towing path where hoises are passing and repassing every minute, far less could it be loaded from the rafts into carts as is now done, for the siver being deepened would prevent carts getting at it. Nor is it probable that zafts would anchor so far out in the river as to leave a boats track between shem and the beack where they would be constanily exposed to b:: lost. By this means tharcfore the inhabitants of Mop-1
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Treal would be saddled with the additional expence of about 1 s 6 d . for the cartage of every cord of fire wood, which in 90,000 cords the quantity trought every year to Montreal by water amounts to $2250 \%$. the interest of $.37,500 \%$. The same would be the fate of all the different kinds of lumber used in Montreal and its vicinity. It could not be brought to the beach as at present, and the extra price to be paid for the cartage of it would amount to as much inore-say 37,500/ more. Another additonat expence to the public would arise from the encreased price for bringing up every fort of merchandise by the towing path from the Cross besides what it would cost if brought by the Canal, on account of the encreased power required in the former case to stem the current. This could not be less than !s. on every ion, as it would require three horses to drag up by the towing path against the current what could be done by one on the smooth water in the canal. Now supposing only 10,000 tons of merehandise brought in this way eveity year : here is an annual expenditure of $500 \%$. or a capital of 8330\% locked up by this plan.

These are only a few of the inconveniencies and expences the public would sustain by the adoption of this plan. Every thing coming to town by the canal would evidently have to bear the additional expence of cart age from the Wind-mill bason, in addition to what it would cost to bring it that far; for the whole, beach which is now employed as a landing place for the marker boars, and which now affords a selection of the most convenient spots for landing every description of lunner, King's stores, \&cc. would be blocked up from these pur oses, and entirely engrossed by the towing path. And it is
not on what is termed the beach alone these inconveniences would be felt; even the present port would be in a great neasure condemned, for the towing path must extend along the beach opposite to the port. Surpose this done, and a loailed boat. either ascending or descrnding from the bason to the Cross-these boats are incapable of being propelled by sails, and should they pass in the outside of the port the river is too deep for setting poles-They are only constructed for being dragged; in passing the port it is therefore obvious that the vessels lying there must either sheer off so as to allow the boat to pass, or it must go on the outside of the vessels and suffer a serious delay, or otherwise the boat's cargo must be landed and carried past the port ly carts.
, Br this famous saving plan (if adopted) it is therefore obvious that the navigation from Lachine to the foot of the current St. Mary could not be opened (which is the sole intention of the Lachine Canal) for less than the sum of $122.660 \%$. And it will be observed in the above ca culations that uany items have been omitted; for instance, no accounr has been ftaken of the expence of transport from the port $t 0$ he bason, nor of the sum required to form the towing path between these places, round Point Blondeau although it would be indispensably necessary from the encreased strength of the current were the river detpened there. Neither has the expences of building bridges been noticed although one would be required on the towing path at the place where the ereek water runs into the river. From all which it is evident the sums here will be found below what would be the actual cost if put in practice according to this plan.

## 27

The next part of the subject to be noticed is to ascertain the expence of making this Canal by the proptr route, together with th: advantages the public would reap from its passing in this direction, (i. e. to extend from Lichine to the foot of the Curren: through the town of Muntreal,; a route which would embrace all the objects contemplated hy chis Canal-produce th: greatest shore of bc.lefit to the public-and admit the greatest number of that public to participate in its advantages. First to excavate the inain trunk from the Turnpike-gate to the foot of the Current Sr. Mary, a distance of about four miles and a half, at $2500 \%$. per mile, 11,2501 . For building 5 locks at 2000 . each, 10,000 . For ertating brid. ges and other extra expences, 50001 . In estinating the purchase of property, it is perhaps more difficult to come to a correct amount, but even here an estimate very near the truth may be formed. From the public spirit of sone individuals, who would reacuily give up a part of their property for a public good, more particularly as the remainder would be encreazed in value, and from the small quantity of valuable property the Canal would touch, if carried in the proper direction, it is probable that the whole required to be purchased would not cost above $60,000 /$. These items altogether, amounting to $86,250 i$ form the expence of ca... ing the Canal by this route to the foot of the Current St. Mary, where is ought to disembogue, according to the plan adopted by Government, and agreeable to the opinions of every scientific man who has been consulted on the subject.

Fuox this it will be seen, that even on the principle of swing expence, the sum of $36,410 \%$. could be saved to the public by adopting this preferable route over what
they now propose; a circurastance which of itself (unattended with other advantages to be hereafter mentioned) should induce the Commissioners to decide on its ex-* tending to the Cross at once, if. they have power to do so, and if not, it ought to influence our Legislature to grant them a dditional authority for that purpose.

But as all this dissention has been made about a lateral branch to extend to the Port, and as this could be obtained with superior aldvantages, even if the main trunk should extend to the Cross as has been already shown, and this lateral branch taken offat the proper part; it may not be in. oper to consider what would be the expence of such a branch, bason, \&\&. Then provided it should diverge from the trunk at the bridge at. the foo: of the St. Antoine-suburb and proceed directlyto the Port as mentioned (page 19.) The excavating a branch this distance, say half a mile, 500\%. (for it would not require to be so large as the main trunk). For exexcavating and forming a bason, $500 \%$. For erecting : three locks to bring the bason from the summit level of the Canal to that oi : he Port at 20001. each-making in all 7000\%. Here we have the branch and bason made. agreable to their wishes. The latter in a situation far better adapted for public convenience than if made at the Wind-mills, and if the expence of making this be deducted from the sum of $36,410 /$, there will still: be a clear saving to the country of $29,410 \%$, while at the same time the Canal will be carried in the proper direction, and so as to answer the purpose originally intended.

Ir will be observed that in calculating the expence of this lateral branch, no notice has been taken of what sum-
tnight be required to purchase the property throigh which it would pass. But it ought to be remarkud the in calculating the expence of that branch which would pass in at the Wind-mills nothing was charged for the property; and as the distance the former has to pass is: - shorter, and besides as it extends through little private property, the actual expence of purchasing would probably be equal in both cases; or perlaps less in this case than if it passed by the Wind-mill route.

A: great deal has been said about this last route deser* ving the preference because it is shorter; but in reference to the ultimate destination of the Cadal, namely; the foot of the Current or the Cross, the distance between it and the ' $u r n$ nike-gate, or where they want the branch to break off, is perhap; not much altered whether going by the Wind-mills, the Port and along the river side, or if we proceed directly through the town parallel to the river. At all events, it cannot be denied that if the Canal was once in operation, the passing it through the town by loaded boats could be accomplished far easier, thon if they had to go along by the towingpath from the Port to the Cross, and:were subjected to the delays, attendant on passing the Port, either by the vessels shifting, or iy the unloading; carting and reimbarking of their cargoes; .\&c. \&c. as before mentioned: But in addition to all this; the saving to the public which could be attained by the one route in preference to the other has to be added; for it is obvious that whatever can be.saved is a direct gain to the country. To enquire into this torms the next subject for our consideration.

Ir will be obvious to the most superficial observer that if the canal was continued down from Lachine to the Cross (or foot of the current) and a lateral branch taken off at the most convenient point to pass in at the port, the facility of intercourse between these three great points, nainely, Lachine, the Port, and the Cross would be very much encreased, and all the advantages attending this route enjoged in a very eminent degree. In this case boats wishing to ascen 1 from the Cross to the portcould come up the canal until they reached the point where the lateral branch breaks off and from thence to the Port, at once, and vice versa in wishing to descend to the Cross. But in addition to this tacility of intercourse between these different points, if basons or landing places were made on the canal in its passage through the town, the advantages the public would reap from this route over that by the Wind-mills would be of the utmost importance. It has been already stated that about 25,000 tons of merchandise are brought to Montreal every year, and that of this qu ntity not less. than $\mathbf{1 0 , 0 0 0}$ tons would be discharged at the Cross It has also been observed that a saving of $500 \%$. per annum, could be made on this quantity if brought up from thence by the canal, instead of being dragged up by their towing path, even admitting that the making and preserving of it was practicable (page 25.) But the whole annual imports of Montreal which have been calculated at 95,000 tons a year, are at present, (and still would be if the canal should not descend through the town,) subjected to a heavy charge for cartage both from the port to the stores, and a considerable portion (supposed one half) liable to a second cartage when sent from the stores to those who purchase it for a distant
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onarket. This, including the double cartage on the ove half, cannot be estimated at less than 3s. per ton, giving a sum of $3750 \%$. per annum, or a principal of 62,500 . all of which could be sared by the canal's going in the proper direction, and by having ware-houses and stores into which merchandise could be landed from the boats as they passed. It may be said that all this could be effected by following the other route as ware-houses might be built on their famous bason at the Wind-mills or on the towing path along the beach. To this it is ,answered that in the former situation, their distance from the town would be attended with very serious inconvenience without diminishing the expence of erecting them, and the plan of placing them on or near the towing path is totally impracticable as they would be liable to be swept away every year with the breaking .up of the ice.

One of the great superiorities of a water carriage over that by, land, and which has been the cause of its obtaining a preference wherever it could be obtained, is its greater safety and the diminished risk from breakage artending it ; and the farther goods can go by the canal the less distance they will have of land carriage, and the less risk of loss in this way. The annual sum lost by breakage from land carriage on the importations to Montreal, or what is the same thing, the encreased price the public must pay for the sound portion lin consequence of this loss forms no inconsiderable item on the amount of articles liable to such casualtics. Suppose for the sake of round numbers the yearly importations to. Montreal of foreign goods amounts to $1,000,0001$. and if the great portion of these articles which are liable to
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damage, breakage and orher casualties incitent to land sarriage be considered perhaps one-sixteenth per cent. may not be reckoned too much. This, on the whole sum of $1,000,000$, will give an annual loss of $\mathbf{6 2 5 1}$. or 2 principal of 10,4 i $6 l$ at 6 per cent.

The extension of the Canal to the Cross would ensure a facility and guick dispatch in delivering the cargoes of vessels coming from sea, which they could not enjoy at *he same expence were it to go by any other route. Whenever this was known, vessels could be obtained - $e \mathrm{o}$ charter for Montreal at a much cheaper rate than could be done heretofore, because they would be exempted from the delay of waiting for a wind to bring ehem up the Current St. Mary. This would produce a seduction in freight of $2 s, 6 d$. per ton, (surely a very moderate allowance) which sum on the whole 25,000 ton!, would produce an annual saving of $3125!$, or the interest of $52,080 \mathrm{l}$. These items, amounting to $124,990 \%$, saved - to the public by carring the Canal in this direction, have to be added to the actual saving of 29,410 , on the exeeution of the work, purchase of property, by the ot her soute, making a clear gain of $154,400 \%$. which might be eftected by carrying the Canal directly through the towin to the Cross, instead of its going by the Wind-mills; ats now proposed: and all this could be accomplished without interfering with the present Port, and leaving the beach to be employed for its present uses.

Ir farther deserves to be mentioned that this saving - Dot only operates on the consumers of goods in Montreal $\therefore$ and in the lower Province, but is also influential on the sale of merchandise in Upper Canada; and while it les.
sens the actual expence to our merchants individualis it confers a bentefit on the community at large, and rends to improve the trade of the country. In proof of which it is only necessary to observe that the lower the merchant from Lower Canada can sell his goods, the better is he prepared to enter into competition with the rrader from the United States who now comes into Upper Canada and underselis him to the injury of the trade of the country; and who will have a still better oppottunity of doing so when their projected canals in the northern states are completed.

But in the foregoing calculations the advamageous saving which would accrue from this Canal's being properily made on the importation of foreign merchandise only has been noticed. Nothing has been said relating to the savings on importations from the upper country, whether for consumption here or for shipment thete have also to be taken into account.

On the article of Fire-wood alone no inconsiderable sum could be saved every year. Even if the beach were left open, as at present, the route by the Caual; if following a proper direction, would be so inuch cheaper more expeditious, and so much less liable to loss, that there is little doubt it would be preferred; and a great pprtion of the cord-wood be brought by it into the centre of the town at once. But let it be supposed that only one half the quantity, 15,000 cords, should come that way every jear, from the shorter distance it would bave to be carted 1s. per cord might be saved, which is equal to $750 \%$. per annum, or a capital of 12,500 . Then es it is well known that about one-twelfth of the cord
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Home spade, a farsher saving of 62,660 , may be made by giving this eanal- it' propel direction and extent, which when added to the sum of 124,990 . which it hes before been shown could be savedtra' the impertation' of foreign merchiandice togethior, with the sum of 29,01016 being the difference of expence between carrying the 6 capal to the Cross direct and the Wind-anill soute give a fotal of 215,060 . And it is equally obvious thet not shing more than properly mecompliahing ehiomernes in the way and difection formerly pointed oun and bwiorerapproved of, is tequired soieffect thin object's and at then same time it canf hot be attanided, by any other mathod. a for no other change in'the-direction of chis samal,non ant abridgment of its exxent will produce the above adrappo eages, or attufin the primary object for which-it was orim ffisally undertalen.

The comparative advantages, and expences attending Che two rbtites will be seen from thelfollowing stetements pade agrectble the foregoing colculation.

## $8 \%$

- 


## FIRST.

Twe expence of taking the branch of the Canal from the muin rrunk, nearly opposite the Turnpike-gate, 10 Join the Riyer at the Wind-mills, and so remove the im: pediments (fit the navigation from thence to the foot of the Current.

To curing frem.the main trunk, to
the Wind-milly, . . . Wo. 5000
at miltiog the Buoon and Wharf, . $\quad 5,000$

- do. outra Inrge Locks, .. 12,000
- deepening the River from the

Lacky to the Port, . . . 8,000

- kopping the aume in repair, pr. 2,500
L.24,500

To deopening the River below the
Port . . . . . 5,000

- making a Towing-path - 1,500
- kerpuing it in repair. . . 8,330
L. 14,850

Th. evira expence on Fire.rood, for
Cartage and loss, de \&e. . . 37,500

- do. dp an differrnt kinds of
L.unisher,

37,500

- Kxtra exprence of transporting

10,000 tons frow the Choss jearly, 8,350
L. 182,660

## SECOND.

 Shinhilt Const of itc foxe of the Current Sc. Nary, and afloting a bereral branch trom is so cirioge foes the
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trumk near to St. Antoine bridgeis 20 join the River a. the Port, with a Bason on said branch, \&e.
To excavating the main trunk from ${ }^{\prime \prime}$. . 'r $^{\text {- }}$ near the Turnpike-gate to the foot

- of the Current,

11,250
-building Locks, . . . $\mathbf{1 0 , 0 0 0}$

- ditto Bridges and other extra ex-
pences, - . . . . 5,000
;
- The price of property on the line, $\mathbf{6 0 , 0 0 0}$
L.86,250.

To culting á branch from the maia.
trunk to the Port, . . . 500

- forming a Bason on do. . . 500
- Building Locks, : . . 6000


Balance, as appears above, in savour of bringjing the Canal to thie foot of the Current at ofrice, and carrïing a lateral brapch to the Port in the dirgction abóvé mentioned, "
L. $29,10^{\circ}$

To saving on trahsport of $\mathbf{1 0 , 0 0 0}$ tons from the Cross (annually,) : 8,830

- do, do. on cartage of imporis, . 62,500
- do. on breakage," : 10,416

T, do. on freight from Europe, - 52,080 1.. 1


Thou all this it may be clearly seen, that by carrying shis Canal on in the proper and originally intended line, instead of the deviation now petitioned for, or any other soute, a decided snving of 225,396 . could be made io the public And in the above gratement only the diffepence of expence between the routes and a few, very few of the advantages attendant on its extension to the Cross have been taken into account-were they all addel, 'perhaps it is not exagerating to say, the saving and advenpages would be more than treble this amount. Bur taking the above svia as it now stands, there would be an ann::al saving of ' $\mathrm{s}, 0001$; equal to 14 per cent. on the whole capital required to complete" this Canal in the manner most condacive to the public advantage.

Althuvers in the foregoing calculations nothing has been said respecting the superior revenue which could be drawn from the Canal by consinuing it to the Cross, one part is so obvicue as to merit süme attention. By following this line a supply of water could be obtained from it to drive eve. j description of hydraulic machinery; anas when it is considered that these could be aconstructed as not to be lidable to be stopped by the frost ase any season of the year, froin this combined with the advantages of theit situation they would be eagerly sought after. It is perhaps not thin much to say that the profits from this alone would nay the interest of the sum required to extend the Canal through the town.
In all the foregoing calculations only the difference Detween the present state of a Fairs when there is no Ca. nal, and what would be the cise if extended oc to the Port or foo: of the Current have been taken into consideration; should it join the river above the Port or et any poiat bigher than the foot of the Carrent, these fispings and advantages wruld act anly be propertionably
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fessened, but the community subjected to pay 'an additional price for many articles above what they now costi. Let a cord of fire-wood be taken as an example: we have already seen that it costs for cartage 1s. 6 d . more to bring it from their fanous Wind-mill basbn, than it would cost to bring it from the beach:- But were', th brought by the Canal to the centre of the iowth it conla then be carted to the deors of the consuatiers still 1 si pit cord cheaper, thereby making the difference of $2 \mathrm{~s}: 6 \mathrm{~d}$. per cord, on what it would cost at the sald babon. This sum on the cartage of half the quantity brought evert year, say on 15000 cords, amounts to 18751 . or a edpitat of 81,2501 ., and there is little doubt but the allowance of one-half i, here too small, for were the Canal completed much more than that' quantity would come ciown by it.

In conclusion, let it be remeribered that this is a work from which the publit are anxiously expecting great bbnefits, and that if properly performed it is eminemily calculated to realise those expectations. . The Commis"sioners entrusted with its execution, are by the act which constitutes them, invested with exxiensive powers on some points; and on others they are perhaps circumscribed within that they ought io be:- But still; by keeping strictly within the provisions of that act, they have it in their power to perform the work ia a way which would ensure a large share of the advantages which are expected froni it; and as it progresses, añd when a more intimate acquaintance with the subject shall have furnished them with opportuntities for reflecting "upon it, it is to be hoped wherever that authority' is ciamped by the present act, or where they deem themCeelves incompetent under its provisiont so make 'apy change which they see woula be for the public goodo
they will not hesitate by a respectful application to the Legislature to seek for more ample powers. It is a duty no less incumbent on them to use their hest exertions in accelerating the work. This will lessen the expence. At present labour and property is low, and this ought to be taken advantage of. Some of those connected with it, have annual salaries for their services which will of course cease when the work is done. But in addition to this, the Lachine Canal may justly be considered as the first great work wherein the public are all interested which was ever undertaken in Canada; and if an example be shown of the facility and expedition with which public improvements can be made, it will aparate as a stimulus to proceed with others where weres y
. LET them banish from their minds this baneful economising plan, which (if carried to excess) never fails to snake a bad and useless job. Let them go onsteadily, regardless of private interest or party views. By doing so they will eftectually accomplish a woik to Canada the most important that ever was undertaken, and leave to posterity a lasting monument of their sound judgment, patriotic zeal, and indefatigable perseverance for the public good*.

[^2]



[^0]:    (* The danger from the effects of the ice happens twice every gear in this place. It always moves once (perhaps iwice) in the heginning of the winter when it setts, and in spring when it breaks upit goes with such violence as to tear up earth and stories teighing several tons along with it.)

[^1]:    I In this and the aubsequent celcmitions no notice has been paken of fractional parte of pounde, apd in some caveb, for the pake of brevity the oda pounds have been omitted s but thit doest ont affect ite general result por the principle here coatended fox relitite so ithe campractive adrantagee of tive suo repatse.

[^2]:    - If they require the force of example to direct them in this occasion, let them turn their attention to the magnificent $p$ itio works which have been prected in other countries-for inotant, the Wepping, East and West India Docke in London, siats: works in Liverpool, Bristol, Hull, Leith, \&c. as well as the nuats. zous and Extensive Canals in Great-Britain, or in the neighbouring: state, where 200 miles of canal has been cut in three years: and let them compare the well-earned honour those entrusted with the erection of these, and that which is acquired by saving the public money, appropriated for public buildingr, internal communications, \&c. in Canada. The difference in the magnitude of the undertakinge does not affect the principle derived from this comparison; for those incapable of properly directing the expenditure of $\& 30,000$ are far less able to do so if the sum be greatef.

