

British American Presbyterian

Vol. 6—No. 12.]

TORONTO, CANADA, FRIDAY, APRIL 20, 1877

[Whole No. 272

Contributors and Correspondents

For the Presbyterian.]

THE LATE REV. ARCHIBALD HENDERSON, M.A.

BY REV. D. PATTERSON, M.A.

No. III.

Here we may indicate Mr. Henderson's ecclesiastical relations, the narrative of which involves some curious things in Colonial Church history. We shall give it partly in his own words. In a letter to the late Dr. Taylor, of Montreal, dated Nov. 22, 1854, he says, "I will cheerfully do what I can to assist Dr. McKelvie" (of Balgownie, then preparing his invaluable Annals and Statistics of the U. P. Church); "by telling him what I know of that lost tribe 'the Presbytery of the Canadas.' . . . Going a little farther back, I may mention that among the ministers who came over to the United States with Mr. (afterwards Dr.) Mason, in the autumn of 1802, to labour in connection with the Associate Reformed body, were Mr. Forrest, formerly of Salt-coats, and Mr. Easton of Morpeth. That body appears to have thought of extending itself into Canada; for I have learnt that Mr. Forrest preached for some time, I know not how long, in St. Gabriel Church, Montreal. The attempt was abortive. When he had upon one occasion proposed to the congregation to connect itself with the Associate Reformed, a gentleman, as they were dismissing, gave utterance to the prevalent anti-American feeling in the form of a profane oath against the proposal. When Mr. Easton afterwards settled in Montreal, there was a fairer prospect of introducing the Secession, and that in immediate connexion with our Synod at home. He succeeded in erecting a place of worship, chiefly by the help of contributions obtained in the United States, on the express condition that it should be for the use of a congregation in connexion with the Secession."

He goes on to say that when he left Scotland for Canada, in May 1818, he brought with him a minute of the Associate Synod, authorizing Messrs. Easton, Taylor, Bell, and Smart, to form themselves into a Presbytery, in accordance with a petition those brethren had sent, and then proceeds—"I had no sooner arrived in this country than I found that the brethren instead of waiting the result of application to our Synod, had formed themselves into a Presbytery on entirely different grounds, and had proceeded to license and ordain some very unworthy characters." Mr. H. therefore declined to join them. Mr. Easton, however, having gone on a visit to the old country, made such representations that the Synod at his request, put "the Presbytery of the Canadas" on their roll. This action of Mr. Easton's which had been entirely unauthorized, was repudiated by his co-presbyters, who did not wish to connect themselves with any church at home. "After some time it divided itself into three Presbyteries,* composing, I believe, the Synod of the Canadas. . . . Having become desirous, as well they might, to get rid of their brother—" (one of the 'unworthy characters,') "and fearing that, if they attempted to proceed in the way of discipline, he would plague them with civil law, they could think of no better way of accomplishing this end than by dissolving their corporate existence, and so letting him drop. After remaining thus separate for about a year they formed themselves anew under the title of the 'United Synod of Upper Canada,' and at length became absorbed in the Synod connected with the Church of Scotland."

Mr. Easton's congregation in Montreal had already preceded them to the same destination, carrying their property with them, by means of a "manoeuvre," in violation of express engagements. "It was this resolution that gave rise to the American Presbyterian Church of Montreal. The Americans belonging to St. Peter's street, many of them very excellent people, would most willingly have stood connected with the Secession; but they were indignant at the management which had been used, and spurned the idea of being handed over to another body."

In December 1834, the "Missionary Presbytery of the Canadas" was formed in connexion with the Secession Church of Scotland, containing eight or ten ministers, from Mr. Proudfoot of London to Mr. (afterwards Dr.) Taylor of Montreal (V. M. Sec. Mag., June 1835). There were then the following three Presbyterian Churches in Canada; as appears also from the interesting notices of Mr. Smart in recent numbers of this paper (which show, as to Mr. H's reminiscences, the confused

character of the proceedings of certain of those worthy fathers, arising probably from the imperfect training in church order of some of their leading spirits.) There were (1) what had been the "United Synod of Upper Canada," which came into existence in the singular manner related above, but which had now descended from its Synodal Status, and became the "United Presbytery of Upper Canada," occupying an independent position (2) The Synod of the Presbyterian Church of Canada in connexion with the Church of Scotland, formed in 1831. (3) The "Missionary Presbytery of the Canadas," connected with the United Secession Church. Of these the first two united in 1840, or according to Mr. H's version No. 1 became absorbed in No. 2, while No. 3 had become in 1842, or sooner, a synod embracing three Presbyteries.

In October, 1848, another Presbytery, the "Missionary Presbytery of Eastern Canada," was formed by authority of the United Secession Synod of Scotland. It consisted of the Rev. Andrew Kennedy of Lachine (now of London, Ont.), and the Rev. Alex. Lowden of New Glasgow, with their respective elders, Messrs. John McAnat and John Murray. In the year after it joined the Missionary Synod of the same body, and was strengthened in 1845 by the accession of Dr. Taylor of Montreal and his congregation in Lagachetiere St., which had been formed in 1838, but had hitherto been in Presbyterian connexion with Upper Canada. The Church was then being consolidated by a sort of crystallizing process, the scattered elements coming together gradually according to their natural affinities. The last named Synod grew rapidly into the United Presbyterian Church, their name being assumed on the Union of the Secession and Relief Churches which took place in Scotland in 1847. We do not know that there were any Relief congregations in Canada. On the other hand, the body formed by the union of 1840 was broken into two by the disruption of 1844, making three bodies once more. These all vigorously pushed their way, shooting up with varying measures of strength, till the unions of 1861 and 1875 reunited the whole Presbyterian family in the Dominion, and healed, let us hope for ever, the breaches of a hundred and forty years.

If the Presbyterian Church has been noted for divisions, it has also been distinguished by its efforts to heal them. These divisions have arisen from the clear apprehension of principles characteristic of the Scottish mind, and strong attachment to them; and when the separated brethren have come to see that they could unite without compromising truth, the same loyalty to their Master which parted them has brought them together again. The poet says of the two alienated friends:

"They parted—never to meet again!
But never either found another,
To free the hollow heart from paining;
They stood aloof, the scars remaining,
Like cliffs which had been rent asunder:
A dreary sea now flows between.
But neither heat, nor frost, nor thunder,
Shall wholly do away, I ween,
The marks of that which once hath been."

And so, in the Church of Christ divided, the sense of brotherhood remains. But what the elements of nature cannot do, in joining cliffs that had been covered by some convulsion, any more than in obliterating the traces of their former union; and what natural affection and worldly interest will not always do in reconciling separated friends, duty to Christ and love to him have done, and will do again in gathering together the dispersed of Israel, all their suspicions and jealousies and envies being left behind. The subject of this notice lived to see no fewer than five such unions, those, viz., of 1820, 1840, 1847, 1851 and 1875, in the last and greatest of which he was honoured, and counted it no small privilege to take a public part.

(To be continued)

(In the former article, column two, line six from the bottom, for "active" read—"otiose.")

The contributions of the Orillia congregation for 1876, are not \$1,887.72, but upwards of \$2,400.

The Rev. O. Chiquay lectured to a crowded house at Stratroy, on Tuesday evening of last week. The net proceeds, amounting to \$60, were handed to the lecturer in aid of the French Mission.

Mr. Wm. Weatherstone, for many years leader of the choir of St. Andrew's Church, Galt, was lately presented by the members of the congregation with a beautiful Albert gold chain, an album, and a violin case, in recognition of the endeavors which he had put forth on behalf of improving the Psalmody of the church. The presentation was accompanied by a very feeling and complimentary address, to which Mr. Weatherstone made a fitting response.

MODERN BIBLICAL HYPER-CRITICISM.

MR. EDITOR.—In his article No. V. (in the BRITISH AMERICAN PRESBYTERIAN, March 23rd), Mr. Gray says:—"Principal Snodgrass certainly misinterprets my meaning, however unwittingly when he takes a sentence out of my introduction, changes it from a fact to a motive, and then concludes that I am ascribing a motive of a very low order to the Professor."

My letter (in the PRESBYTERIAN, March 9th), had reference solely to Mr. Gray's first communication, in which, immediately before alluding to Prof. Smith's article "on the Bible in the 9th edition of the *Encyclopædia Britannica*," the Professor was named as a "conspicuous example" of many "who are trying in their longings after originality to find out how far they can wander outside of the wholesome teachings of creeds and confessions without exposing themselves to church discipline or expulsion." Before quoting these words I remarked that I could not convince myself that Mr. Gray was justified in using them. After quoting them I stated that my repeated perusal of the Professor's article did not tempt me to account for it as Mr. Gray does. Mr. Gray tells me that I have changed the sentence from a fact to a motive. Admitting the sentence to be a fact there is certainly room in it for a motive. Statements of fact are not necessarily statements of motive, but in this case the look of the thing seems to be in favour of identity. What does Mr. Gray ascribe to Prof. Smith? The Professor has longings after originality. Creeds and confessions are not favourable to the gratification of such longings, and the gratification of them is kept in check by the dread of exposure to Church discipline or expulsion. With the fear of exposure before his eyes, there is, nevertheless, a certain point in the direction of heterodoxy to which the Professor thinks he may venture; outside of creeds and confessions, a certain domain within which he may wander. He is trying to find out how far he can go and wander. This effort relates itself somehow to longing after originality. In his "trying," the Professor has an object—a very unworthy object—in view, and in his longings he tries to attain it. This seems to be the amount of Mr. Gray's sentence, and yet no motive is ascribed! Well, the philosophy of motives has puzzled many an intellect, and little good results from mere verbal disputes. I am not desirous of accusing Mr. Gray of imputing what is usually understood to be a motive; and therefore whatever it is that he ascribes to the Professor in connection with the production of his article on the Bible, whether a longing after originality or an effort in the line of heterodoxy, or both, my judgment is that it is something of "a very low order."

I do not doubt the earnestness of Mr. Gray's love of truth, and it may be quite interesting to the public to learn that part of his excuse "for intruding at all into this controversy" is the presentation to him, by an old country friend, of "the forthcoming volumes of the new edition of the *Encyclopædia Britannica*." Nor do I question the sense of responsibility with which he undertook to give to thousands, not so befriended as he, his judgment on the views of Prof. Smith. It is altogether to the manner in which he presents the Professor's statements that I demur. In any case there is a demand for the fullest fairness in the exposure of error, real or supposed, and in this instance the demand is specially exacting when nothing less is at stake than the reputation and status of a Christian theologian, of acknowledged scholarship, the occupant of an important professional position by virtue of the appointment of the General Assembly of one of the leading Scottish Churches. It ought not to be without indisputable reasons that the epithet "unscrupulous writer" is flung at such a man, or that an "unhealthy moral tone" is ascribed to his writings.

The "Oracles of God" themselves often suffer by the manner in which particular passages are quoted, and perhaps we should not wonder at the writings of honest Biblical students being sometimes presented in such fragmentary forms that misleading impressions are produced as to their real attitude. Prof. Smith affirms that he is not a "destructive" theologian, and yet his history of the literature of the Bible is represented as sapping the foundation of the Christian faith: he believes in the inspiration and divine authority of the Scriptures, and yet, when giving the results of investigations into their human authorship, his statements are identified in character and tendency with the rationalistic positions of what is called the higher German criticism.

Mr. Gray is constrained to say: "After frequent perusal of the article of Professor

Smith, I cannot but express a very high opinion of its freshness, originality, and ability." As to originality, it must be in form rather than in matter, for Mr. Gray has found no difficulty in tracing the writer's views to German sources, to one German author in particular, supplemented by "a work so very hostile to truth as *Paine's Age of Reason*."

In my former communication I remarked that in my opinion Mr. Gray's method of quotation needs reconsideration, and gave what appeared to be some pertinent examples of his method. Although I had abandoned all intention to revert to the subject, I now venture upon another line of observation.

The subject discussed is very large, inclusive of an immense mass of historical materials, numerous principles of literary and linguistic criticism with their applications, endless details and illustrations. For such a subject the condensation of the article is remarkable. This quality more than "vagueness" makes quotation difficult. It is no doubt to some extent a reflection of the author's ideas and habits of literary execution, but in any attempt to account for it fully, the hampering effect of limited space should not be overlooked. Admirable as conciseness is in any production, in the present instance it may occasion some liability to misapprehension. But it is nothing short of the wildest hypothesis to credit a possibility of that sort to an improper design in the authorship, when adequate rational causes are assignable; and the contributor of that article, to such a work as the one in which it appears, is surely entitled to assume that his readers are prepared to discriminate between the application of general principles and the introduction of subversive elements, and that they will be considerate enough not to tear examples from the positions they are adduced to illustrate.

With regard to the text of Scripture, Mr. Gray affirms that Prof. Smith "seems to take delight in proving it to be incorrect and unreliable," citing as an instance of this what the Professor says about the text of Micah, namely, that it is "often unrecognizable, and many hopeless errors" older than the oldest version." This statement is introduced by Mr. Gray as if it were advanced in proof of a declaration made by the Professor that "the Hebrew text of Scripture" is in "a very unsatisfactory state." The Professor, however, does not introduce it for that purpose, but in illustration of a brief historical survey of causes which led to errors in copying. The really important questions—open for disputation to any competent reviewer—are (1) whether or not the positions affirmed historically accord with the facts of the case; if so, then (2), whether or not the alleged state of the text in Micah is a fair exemplification of their tenableness. If it be possible to predicate absolute purity of the text, then no discussion can arise, but Mr. Gray himself affirms of his favourite Masoretic no more than general, substantial correctness and purity. Allow any uncertainty and the question of purity becomes a comparative one, as regards the condition of the text, in the several books of Scripture. They are not all alike in that respect. The Professor's statements, according to Mr. Gray, one of his "fierce onslaughts" on the integrity, authenticity, and genuineness of the Old Testament. It is impossible, however, to discover a greater indication of delight than of regret, and I am inclined to think that even "the famous spectacles of Joe Smith" to which the Professor's "critical insight" is not too happily compared by his Canadian Reviewer, could not detect a trace of sanguinary satisfaction.

Mr. Gray, in his second article, is explosive against Prof. Smith because of his remarks in reference to a partition of the prophecies of Zechariah and Isaiah. The canonicity of these books or of any part of them is not questioned. The question adverted to is not new, and by any one who does not start, as Prof. Smith does not, from the empirical position that an inspired record is impossible, if it should be to a certain extent anonymous, the conditions of authenticity and genuineness are not imperilled. The question claims discussion according to its merits, and a decision according to evidence. To ignore it is more than that simple acknowledgment of difficulty which is sometimes all that is possible or safe. To honest students following the preponderance of proof a choice of sides cannot be denied, except from a fear that the Word of God may not survive any test but that of friendly criticism. Had Prof. Smith originated the question, and with "youthful" (?) rashness committed himself absolutely to one or other of the views that have been adopted, the epithet, "presumptuous critic," might have been plausibly if not de-

visedly applied: had he driven out in support of either opinion, some reasons so paltry as to make the absurdity of his position only the more apparent, that other term of reproach, "unscrupulous writer," might have been flung at him. The Professor's account of the question as it stands at the present stage of criticism may not be incontrovertible, but Mr. Gray, I cannot help thinking, would have been more like himself as a lover of truth, if instead of calling a brother by such names, he had allowed the Professor's statements through a full quotation of them to speak in the first place for themselves.

To advert to one other point—the apostolicity of the Synoptical Gospels—there appears to be no good reason to deny that apostolicity is a characteristic, or that the belief of it was a condition of these books. The extent to which this may be affirmed is the subject of questionings. Mr. Gray is not dogmatic on this point, for while he speaks of the "Apostolic origin of the New Testament," he represents the view of the Church in all ages to be, that the New Testament was written either by apostolic men, or under their direct or indirect superintendence. But he tells us (in the PRESBYTERIAN, March 9th) that Prof. Smith's statement and reasoning tend in the direction of doing away with this principle, and that the general drift of his conclusions is to unsettle the mind in regard to the apostolic origin of the Greek Scriptures. The Professor says, as quoted by Mr. Gray, "It appears from what we have already seen that a considerable portion of the New Testament is made up of writings not directly apostolical (the italics are mine), and the main problem of criticism is to determine the relation of these writings, especially of the Gospels, to apostolic teaching and tradition." The Professor's remark, which Mr. Gray also quotes, that "all the earliest external evidences point to the conclusion that the synoptical Gospels are non-apostolical digests (the italics are Mr. Gray's, although he does not say so), of spoken and written apostolic tradition," is not to be overlooked, but in all fairness it should be taken in connection with the preceding quotation, and it is specially important to observe that it is not in the article, as it appears in the quotation, an absolute, but a relative and hypothetical statement, dependent upon the acceptance of one of two possible solutions of a well-known difficulty with regard to the original form of the Gospel according to Matthew. From a critical point of view the Professor's survey of varying positions on this topic is perhaps the ablest and most interesting portion of that branch of the subject headed, *Motives and origin of the first Christian Literature*. It is impossible to do it justice except by a complete transcription.

Mr. Gray tells a capital story about acquiring fame by killing a famous man—a story specially interesting because of the manifold applications of which it admits. If the notoriety which comes of manslaughter be ever a proper object of ambition, it may be emulated by the manner in which the deed is done, for even murder admits of degrees in the artfulness of its execution. Should the Presbyterian "thunder" which, Boanerges-like, the minister of Orillia invokes to complete the business he has on hand, reverberate in answer to his call, we can only hope that it will do more good than harm, for we must not forget the well-known lesson of sacred history, that it is not always a Christian or a prudent course to imitate in every particular the "Prophet of Fire." Yours, etc., W. SNODGRASS. Queen's College, March 26, 1877.

THE QUARTERLY. A periodical connected with the Hamilton Collegiate Institute. A little more learned than usual. The article on "The Science of Language" is good. The poem called "The Plea of the Rivulet," contains forty-seven stanzas.

THE NORMAL CLASS. A Quarterly Magazine. J. H. Vincent, Editor. New York: Nelson & Phillips. Cincinnati: Hitchcock & Walden. Price fifty cents per year.

This magazine is entirely devoted to Sabbath schools and Sabbath school teaching. Normal classes for the training of Sabbath school teachers are now established at various points in the United States, at which the course marked out by the Chautauqua Convention is followed. All necessary information regarding that course and the working of the normal classes is given in this magazine and in a series of tracts, the first seven of which we have received along with it. The tracts are published at the rate of four pages for one cent. The magazine also gives the regular S. S. Lessons with a number of fresh anecdotes to illustrate them.

British American Presbyterian, 102 BAY STREET, TORONTO.

LIVINGSTONIA.

Cheering intelligence comes to us from the heart of Africa as to the mission which was established in 1875 upon Lake Nyassa.

The experiment of carrying a steamer in detached pieces from England to Lake Nyassa seems to have been most successfully carried out.

The colony has been somewhat afflicted with fever, but not to any great extent. There has been no decimating epidemic amongst them.

THE REV. JOSEPH COOK.

The name of Rev. Joseph Cook has become known through the lectures which he has been delivering in Boston.

Mr. Cook must be a remarkable man to make good such an unwonted position. He is no ordinary speaker who can on the Sabbath day, when men have leisure from their employment, crowd a large building with eager and earnest listeners.

It is evident that great changes are taking place in the religious world. That there is a special place and office for a man like Mr. Cook is evident.

A man like Mr. Cook would be of great value in every great centre of population. One of the felt wants from which the hard-working clergy suffer, is that they are constantly pumping themselves dry to slake the thirst of their people.

THE BIBLE IN INDIA.

If it is difficult to introduce the Bible and its religion among savage tribes, still more arduous is the task of introducing them among what are usually called half-civilized nations such as the Hindus and Chinese.

For India's own sake as well as for the sake of Christianity—for the sake of its permanence as a nation—for the sake of its prosperity as one of the most important parts of the British Empire—we are glad to find that the Bible is now gaining a better footing there than it ever had before.

"Vellooer, 11th Oct.—A person came to me and asked, 'Are you not the same man that once came to Ahtoor when the collector was there and distributed such books?'"

"Ehpoor, 4th Feb.—A Brahmin pointed out to me several passages to prove that Christ was only a man. In reply I quoted several passages to prove that Christ is God. He then went away quietly."

I have a doubt as to how Christ could save us when He, while young, was required to flee from the wrath of Herod. I answered him suitably, and sold him a copy of the Gospel according to Luke.

"Dundivanam, 20th Oct.—Rev. Mr. Martyn and I visited sixty houses. When we exhorted them to purchase, I was asked by one of them, 'what wisdom was and its source, and how it was to be obtained and understood?'"

"Mannarkudy, 12th April.—When I was speaking to the people in the street, a certain man said to the audience: 'This is a bad book; why do you listen?'"

From the report of the Madras Religious Tract and Book Society we learn that, since the establishment of the Society in 1818, no year had been so prosperous as that to which the report refers, and which closed on 30th Sept., 1876.

LEGAL ASPECTS OF THE MACDONELL CASE.

MR. EDITOR.—I wish to direct the attention of your contributor, Union, and your readers to a few facts, and to ask in view of them if the article in your issue of the 18th inst. is a true statement of the case.

1. The reference was for judgment. "The whole matter" was "referred to the General Assembly with the request that that venerable court would finally issue it."

2. The decision of the Assembly (Min., page 41) is based, not on the sermon preached months before, nor on the statements sent up from the Presbytery with the reference, but on "statements laid before this Assembly," in which Mr. Macdonell "has declared that he does not hold the doctrine of everlasting punishment in the sense held by this church," etc.—and these statements are declared by the Assembly to be unsatisfactory.

3. Most of the resolutions offered during the debate, and nearly all the speeches, either explicitly or implicitly, gave prominence to these statements as the ground on which the decision should be based.

4. No dissent from that decision was taken for the reason that the statements of Mr. Macdonell before the Assembly had been made the ground of decision, instead of the alleged offences concerning which prolegnition was being made by the Presbytery when the appeal was taken and the reference made.

5. The report of Committee, which, being adopted, become the ultimate judgment of the Assembly, contains the following words: Mr. Macdonell "respectfully referred them (the committee) to the statement made on Thursday evening before the Assembly, as clearly defining his position, and stated that the report of that statement which was published in the Daily Mail of the 16th inst. is substantially correct." (Min., page 58.)

resolution adopting the report, is an allegation, that "the committee, in considering the position of Mr. Macdonell, do not appear to have attached due weight to the full statement of his views submitted by himself to the Assembly on the evening of Thursday last" (Min., page 64.)

From the above it is evident that after having sustained the reference and heard Mr. Macdonell's statement (a statement which led the representatives of the Presbytery to withdraw what they had said in support of the reference), the Assembly proceeded to deal with Mr. Macdonell's statement made in its presence, and not with the matter referred to them. Hence the offence, if there be one, whether "flagrant" or not, consists in stating publicly, i.e., publishing in presence of the Synodical Court certain views, and expressing doubt as to certain doctrines, in a way which the Assembly declares to be unsatisfactory, in as much as "the doctrine of the eternity or endless duration of the future punishment of the wicked, as taught in the confession of faith, is a doctrine of Scripture which every minister of this Church must hold and teach."

Hence it seems to follow that the "summary process" of which Union speaks may constitutionally be taken by the Assembly, as the case is one of "offence in its own presence."

I have no reason to expect that such action will be called for, and I hope for a more happy termination of this case; but seeing that the two last numbers of your paper have contained articles calculated to prejudice the case, I have felt it only right to direct attention to the facts, so that your readers may not be misled. I do not know who Union is, but I am yours, etc., JOHN LAING.

Dundas, Ont., April 14th, 1877. Meeting of Home Mission Committee, Western Section.

At Toronto, and within the Deacon's Court Room of Knox Church there, on Monday, the 2nd day of April, 1877, at seven o'clock in the evening, the Home Mission Committee of the Presbyterian Church in Canada—Western Section—met, and was constituted with prayer. Rev. W. Cochrane D.D., Convener; Rev. Robert H. Warden, Secretary. The Committee continued in session till ten o'clock p.m., on Tuesday, 3rd April. The following members were present:—Dr. Cochrane, Dr. Froudford, Rev. Prof. McLauren, Rev. Messrs. J. McTavish, R. Torrance, R. Hamilton, J. Laing, J. M. King, D. J. Macdonnell, J. Carmichael, (King), P. Wright, W. Donald, Arch. Brown, Joseph White, T. G. Smith, F. McCrug, J. S. Burnett, R. Rodgers, J. L. Murray, A. Tolmie, H. Crozier, G. Bruce, and R. H. Warden, ministers; and Messrs. H. Young, T. W. Taylor, and T. McOrae, elders. Messrs. R. Dewar, J. Little, and W. Walker were invited to sit with the Committee as corresponding members.

CLAIMS FOR THE PAST HALF YEAR.

The claims of the representative Presbyteries for services rendered in Supplemental Congregations and Mission Stations during the past six months were carefully considered, and the following sums were ordered to be paid:

Table listing claims for various presbyteries: Quebec (\$308.00), Montreal (\$208.25), Glengarry (\$12.00), Brockville (\$130.00), Kingston (\$900.50), Peterboro' (\$448.50), Whitby (\$100.00), Lindsay (\$275.50), Barrie (\$525.50), Owen Sound (\$457.00), Saugeen (\$25.00), Guelph (\$100.00), Hamilton (\$378.00), Paris (\$100.00), London (\$75.50), Chatham (\$28.00), Stratford (\$50.00), Bruce (\$200.00), Huron (\$153.00). Total: \$7332.96.

N. B.—These are the NETT sums due on 1st April, 1877, including retrospective grants, special claims, etc., passed at this meeting, particulars of which are here given:

Presbytery of Quebec.—Winslow: Claim for \$50. Disallowed. Claim for travelling expenses, \$14. Allowed.

Presbytery of Montreal.—Arundel and De Salaberry: Special claim for \$4 per Sab. for past six months. Allowed.—East End, Montreal: Claim of \$3 per Sab. for past six months. Allowed.

Presbytery of Ottawa.—Nepesin: Claim of \$50 for past six months. Allowed.—Admaston: Claim of \$50 for past six months. Allowed.—Claim of \$5 travelling expense. Allowed.

Presbytery of Kingston.—Camden and Sheffield: Claim of \$75. Disallowed.—Claim of \$43 for travelling and exploring expenses. Allowed.

Presbytery of Toronto.—Mono E. and St. Andrew's Church, Caledon: Special claim of \$37.50. Allowed.—Sutton: Special claim of \$25. Allowed.

Presbytery of Saugeen.—S. L. Ther and Little Toronto: Claim of \$37.50. Granted \$50.

NEW APPLICATIONS, CHANGES IN THE GRANTS, &c.

Presbytery of Quebec.—Richmond Mills: Removed from list of Supplemental Congregations: Windsor Mills: do.—Winslow: do.—Danville: Granted \$200 per annum, from 1st October, 1876.—Scotstown: Transferred to list of Supplemental Congregations, with grant of \$150 per an.—Hampden: Transferred to list of Supplemental Congregations with grant of \$100 per an. Conditional on settlement.—Valcartier: Put on list of Mission Stations, with grant of \$3 per Sabbath.—Metis: Grant withdrawn, the requirements of the Committee in October last not having been complied with.

TO CORRESPONDENTS. Letters and articles intended for the next issue should be in the hands of the Editor not later than Tuesday morning.

OUR GENERAL AGENT. Mr. CHARLES NICOL, General Agent for the Presbytery in Western Ontario publishing the interests of this journal. We commend him to the best efforts of ministers and people. Any publications rendered him in his work will be taken by us as a personal kindness.

British American Presbyterian, FRIDAY, APRIL 20, 1877.

HOME MISSION FUND.—THE OUTLOOK.

In another column will be found the minutes of the half-yearly meeting of the Home Mission Committee, held in Toronto a fortnight ago. From these minutes we are glad to learn that the finances are in a much more satisfactory condition than at the same period last year.

Table with columns for 1876 and 1877. Rows include Disbursements, Receipts, Claims for half year ending March 31st, and Leaving fund in debt 1 Ap.

From the above it will be seen that on the 1st of April the indebtedness was about \$8,000 less this year than last.

Between the 1st of April and the Assembly Meeting last year, there was Received \$8,000 and Expended \$2,000.

On the supposition that the result will be similar this year, the Committee will only have to report a deficit of \$2,000 to the Assembly. We trust that those congregations which have not yet contributed to this scheme for the current year will do so within the next few days.

From present indications, the total expenditure for this year's work,—not including the debt of \$9,000 at the beginning of the year—will be about \$27,000, \$4,500 less than last year. This reduction is owing almost entirely to the transfer of Manitoba College from the Home Mission to a separate fund. The total grants to supplement congregations and mission stations for the year ending 31st March, 1876, were \$19,225; and for the current year \$18,468.

It must not, however, be forgotten that there are many new openings into which the Church should at once enter. Presbyteries have been deterred from beginning operations in several districts owing to the depressed state of the fund for the last two years. Cries for help are coming from the east and the west, and if the Church is to be true to her mission she must without delay respond to these appeals by furnishing additional missionaries.

The Woodville Presbyterian congregation hold their annual meeting on the 5th inst. The meeting was not very large owing to bad roads, but was very cordial, and notwithstanding the hard times the financial statement was not far behind other years. Over \$1,600 were collected for the congregation besides the sum of \$2,888, that was contributed towards their new church, making a total of nearly \$4,000 for all purposes. Not so bad for Woodville. Messrs. Duncan Campbell and John Campbell, were appointed auditors.

Spring.

He who, from some dreary mountain, watches... For the coming of the brook, rising from his rocky sleep... Sees the darkness slowly risen, and a line of silvery grey...

—Once a Week.

Mohammedanism, Past and Present.

The speaker, after referring to the malignity with which the memory of the Arabian prophet had been pursued by Christian writers, said that recent researches and more liberal thoughts had placed a different construction upon his acts.

preserved their feasts and fast days; taught the efficacy of prayer, absolutism and pilgrimages; but in this mass of error preserved intact the great truths he had first preached.

Heat of Rooms.

The investment of a shilling for a thermometer will make paying returns in health. The great tendency in winter is to keep rooms too warm.

Progress of Geography.

At the annual meeting of the American Geographical Society, held in New York, January 16th, Judge Daily gave a review of the progress made in geographical discovery for the year.

Is Aerated Bread WHOLESOME?

Price: One of University of Toronto, Dr. J. H. Richardson, Professor Hill, Dr. J. H. Richardson, Professor Hill, Dr. W. GANNETT, of Church Street...

Missionary Wanted.

The Presbytery of Owen Sound is anxious to obtain an Ordained Missionary for the Perry Sound District immediately. Salary at least \$500 per annum.

GALT COLLEGIATE INSTITUTE.

Each Department in charge of an experienced Graduate. Every facility afforded to those preparing for Examinations.

HAMILTON COLLEGIATE INSTITUTE.

SPECIAL FEATURES OF THE SCHOOL ARE:—(1) Large classes organized for those reading for the Universities, Professional Examinations, and for Teachers' Certificates.

ONTARIO BAKING POWDER.

White, Odorless, and does not discolor. 10c, 20c and 40c Packages.

A. & S. NAIRN, Coal Merchants, TORONTO.

DOCKS foot of Church St. OFFICE 80 Adelaide St., next Post Office. COAL FOR HOUSEHOLD, FOUNDRY, AND BLACKSMITH'S USE, shipped f. o. b. cars.

TO INVESTORS. W. MCWAT & SON, BANKERS, STRATFORD.

Have had many years experience investing money on Mortgage and have applications constantly at 8 per cent. on improved farms in this section at less than half their cash value.

Sabbath School Presbyterian FOR 1877.

Notwithstanding the almost insuperable difficulties in the way of printing our Sabbath School in Paris we continue the publication for another year.

TERMS.

Single Copies... Twenty Copies and under... Postage prepaid. Subscriptions must be paid in advance. Specimen copies to any address on application.

The paper is good, and both printing and illustrations are well executed. —London Advertiser. "Very much needed by the Presbyterian Schools of our country." —Z. E. Hart, North Pelham.

British American Presbyterian, FOR 1877.

TERMS.—200 per annum in advance. Postage prepaid by Publisher.

Efforts will be made during the coming year to make the BRITISH AMERICAN increasingly attractive and useful to the large constituency it aims to represent.

- Rev. Dr. Watson, St. John, N.B. Rev. Prof. Bryce, M.A., Winnipeg, Ma. Rev. Principal McVicar, LL.D., Montreal. Rev. John Cook, D.D., Quebec. Rev. Prof. George, M.A., Toronto.

The Sabbath School Lessons will be continued, and increased attention will be paid to the question of Prohibition now happily growing on the public mind.

We invite the cordial co-operation of ministers, elders, and people generally to aid in extending the circulation of the PRESBYTERIAN.

C. BLACKETT ROBINSON, Publisher and Proprietor. P. O. Drawer 2484, Toronto, Ont.

JUST PUBLISHED THE PRESBYTERIAN YEAR BOOK

For The Dominion of Canada and Newfoundland, for 1877.

Edited by the Rev. James Cameron,

OUR OWN CHURCH.

The Year Book for 1877 contains full, accurate, and detailed information regarding the Presbyterian Church in Canada.

PAPERS.

There are in the Year Book, also, able Papers, brief, and to the point, from the pens of PRINCIPAL GAVEN, Toronto.

PRESBYTERIAN CHURCHES OF THE UNITED STATES.

The information in regard to the ten Presbyterian Churches of the United States is very full and complete this year, the only annual exhibit of the kind as yet published on this continent.

ALLIANCE OF PRESBYTERIAN CHURCHES

The Constitution, and as far as it is possible to ascertain in this the meantime, the Constitution of congregations and Churches belonging to the Alliance of Presbyterian Churches in the United States is given in full.

OPINIONS.

The Year Book has established for itself a high character not only in Canada but in the United States, for editorial ability and care, fulness, clearness, and correctness in matter and arrangement, in witness of which statement we append one or two of the many notices and reviews that have reached the Publisher.

PRICE 25 CENTS.

Mailed to any address in Canada or United States for 25 Cents.

EARLY ORDERS SOLICITED.

Usual Discount to the Trade.

O. BLACKETT ROBINSON, Publisher.

National Investment Co. OF CANADA.

10 KING ST. EAST, TORONTO.

LEND MONEY ON MORTGAGE

RATE OF INTEREST LIMITED To 8 Per Cent.

WILLIAM ALEXANDER, President. JOHN STARR, Manager

SARSASTILLINGUM, S.I.

Care: Blood Disorder, Scrofula, Consumption, Old Sores, all taints NEURAL, by single or 21 bottle.

BRAIN AND BODY BUILDER, S.I.

For nervousness, over-worked brain, depression, PAIN SPECIFIC, all pain immediately.

UNIVERSAL MANDRAKE PILLS, 25 cts.

Whittier, Son & Co., 102 Front St. West, Toronto.

D. S. KEITH & CO.,

PLUMBERS, GAS & STEAM FITTERS BRASS FOUNDERS AND FINISHERS

PETROLEUM GAS WORKS

Engineers and Plumbers Brass Work, Ac. Consign Factory and Gas-oven Heating.

100 KING STREET WEST, TORONTO

STAINED GLASS WORKS

Established 1856. Ecclesiastical and Domestic Stained Glass, with designs executed in the best style.

BANNERS AND FLAGS PAINTED TO ORDER

JOSEPH McCausland Proprietor 8 King Street West, Toronto.

MILLER'S TICKET REMEDY

EFFECTUALLY DESTROYS TICKS

penetrating to the vermin in all grades of development, extinguishing, by contact and its hatched life. It also improves the growth and quality of the wool, adding weight and lustre; and enables sheep to rest well and thrive.

HUGH MILLER & CO., Agricultural Chemists, 167 King Street East, Toronto.

CONSTITUTIONAL CATARRH REMEDY

LITTLEFIELD & CO. PROPRIETORS.

CATARRH

Cannot be cured by snuffs, washes or local applications. It is a weakness of the constitution, developing itself in the nasal organs first, afterwards extending to the throat and lungs, ending generally in Consumption, if not checked by proper remedies.

Visitors to Toronto will find comfortable accommodation, by the day or week, at

THE FORTY TEMPERANCE HOUSE

centrally situated at 84 Bay Street near King

WONDERFUL SUCCESS: 25,000 of the CENTENNIAL EXPOSITION

Sold in 60 days, it is the only complete low-price work (only \$2.50) treating of the entire history of the United States, and exhibiting the history of the world, and is the only book of the kind ever published, and it is the only book of the kind ever published, and it is the only book of the kind ever published.

GREY, DRY, FADED AND FALLING HAIR

is now restored to its natural condition by the use of Wood's Improved Hair Restorative.

The Improved Article is now taking the lead over all others, leaving the hair clean, soft and glossy. C. A. COOK & Co., Chicago, Sole Agents for the United States and Canada.

Sold by all druggists everywhere. The Trade supplied by J. F. HENRY CURRAN & CO., New York.

