

### Farms for Sale.

# LANDLESS HOMES FOR THE HOMELESS



Landless! Homes for the Homeless!   
 If you are a homeless man or woman, or a family of homeless people, you can have a home of your own. We have farms for sale in the most fertile and productive regions of the country. The farms are of various sizes, from a few acres to several hundred acres. They are all well watered, and have excellent soil. They are all in good condition, and are ready for occupation. They are all sold at a low price, and on easy terms. If you are interested, please send for our catalogue and information.   
 **Price: 25 Cents.**

### ABLE INVESTMENT FOR THE CAPITALIST IN A KANSAS

Over \$500,000 Acres of Land from \$5 to \$5 per acre.   
 **W. H. MILLS & CO., Hamilton, Ont.**

### ESTIMATE JEWELRY COMPANY'S TRIAL CASKET - FREE!

**JEWELRY CO. No. 5 ARCADE, CINCINNATI, O.**

### TARRH'S EYE REMEDY

**KNOW YOURSELF**   
 **HEAL YOURSELF**

### WANTED - LADIES

**FOR SALE - IMPROVED**

### Collins' Kidney Pills

**LITTLE PLASTER ALWAYS CURES**

### DRAIN TILE

**THE BEST QUALITY**

### LANDS

**THE POCKET STOVE**

### VOL. VI. NO. 292

**TORONTO, FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 2, 1877.**

**SUMMARY OF NEWS.**  
By Allan Stewart.  
From Liverpool, Oct. 18th.

### THE WILSON CASE.

The London Court of Admiralty has decided for the third time that Sir John Wilson's right to represent the vessel 'The Wilson' is not to be determined by the court, but by the charter party. This is a landmark decision in maritime law, as it establishes that the charter party, rather than the bill of lading, is the governing document in such cases. The court's decision is based on the fact that the charter party contained a clause giving Sir John Wilson the right to sue on behalf of the vessel, while the bill of lading only mentioned the cargo.

### THE WILSON CASE.

The London Court of Admiralty has decided for the third time that Sir John Wilson's right to represent the vessel 'The Wilson' is not to be determined by the court, but by the charter party. This is a landmark decision in maritime law, as it establishes that the charter party, rather than the bill of lading, is the governing document in such cases. The court's decision is based on the fact that the charter party contained a clause giving Sir John Wilson the right to sue on behalf of the vessel, while the bill of lading only mentioned the cargo.

### THE WILSON CASE.

The London Court of Admiralty has decided for the third time that Sir John Wilson's right to represent the vessel 'The Wilson' is not to be determined by the court, but by the charter party. This is a landmark decision in maritime law, as it establishes that the charter party, rather than the bill of lading, is the governing document in such cases. The court's decision is based on the fact that the charter party contained a clause giving Sir John Wilson the right to sue on behalf of the vessel, while the bill of lading only mentioned the cargo.

### THE WILSON CASE.

The London Court of Admiralty has decided for the third time that Sir John Wilson's right to represent the vessel 'The Wilson' is not to be determined by the court, but by the charter party. This is a landmark decision in maritime law, as it establishes that the charter party, rather than the bill of lading, is the governing document in such cases. The court's decision is based on the fact that the charter party contained a clause giving Sir John Wilson the right to sue on behalf of the vessel, while the bill of lading only mentioned the cargo.

### THE WILSON CASE.

The London Court of Admiralty has decided for the third time that Sir John Wilson's right to represent the vessel 'The Wilson' is not to be determined by the court, but by the charter party. This is a landmark decision in maritime law, as it establishes that the charter party, rather than the bill of lading, is the governing document in such cases. The court's decision is based on the fact that the charter party contained a clause giving Sir John Wilson the right to sue on behalf of the vessel, while the bill of lading only mentioned the cargo.

### THE WILSON CASE.

The London Court of Admiralty has decided for the third time that Sir John Wilson's right to represent the vessel 'The Wilson' is not to be determined by the court, but by the charter party. This is a landmark decision in maritime law, as it establishes that the charter party, rather than the bill of lading, is the governing document in such cases. The court's decision is based on the fact that the charter party contained a clause giving Sir John Wilson the right to sue on behalf of the vessel, while the bill of lading only mentioned the cargo.

### THE WILSON CASE.

The London Court of Admiralty has decided for the third time that Sir John Wilson's right to represent the vessel 'The Wilson' is not to be determined by the court, but by the charter party. This is a landmark decision in maritime law, as it establishes that the charter party, rather than the bill of lading, is the governing document in such cases. The court's decision is based on the fact that the charter party contained a clause giving Sir John Wilson the right to sue on behalf of the vessel, while the bill of lading only mentioned the cargo.

### THE WILSON CASE.

The London Court of Admiralty has decided for the third time that Sir John Wilson's right to represent the vessel 'The Wilson' is not to be determined by the court, but by the charter party. This is a landmark decision in maritime law, as it establishes that the charter party, rather than the bill of lading, is the governing document in such cases. The court's decision is based on the fact that the charter party contained a clause giving Sir John Wilson the right to sue on behalf of the vessel, while the bill of lading only mentioned the cargo.

### THE WILSON CASE.

The London Court of Admiralty has decided for the third time that Sir John Wilson's right to represent the vessel 'The Wilson' is not to be determined by the court, but by the charter party. This is a landmark decision in maritime law, as it establishes that the charter party, rather than the bill of lading, is the governing document in such cases. The court's decision is based on the fact that the charter party contained a clause giving Sir John Wilson the right to sue on behalf of the vessel, while the bill of lading only mentioned the cargo.

### THE WILSON CASE.

The London Court of Admiralty has decided for the third time that Sir John Wilson's right to represent the vessel 'The Wilson' is not to be determined by the court, but by the charter party. This is a landmark decision in maritime law, as it establishes that the charter party, rather than the bill of lading, is the governing document in such cases. The court's decision is based on the fact that the charter party contained a clause giving Sir John Wilson the right to sue on behalf of the vessel, while the bill of lading only mentioned the cargo.

### THE WILSON CASE.

The London Court of Admiralty has decided for the third time that Sir John Wilson's right to represent the vessel 'The Wilson' is not to be determined by the court, but by the charter party. This is a landmark decision in maritime law, as it establishes that the charter party, rather than the bill of lading, is the governing document in such cases. The court's decision is based on the fact that the charter party contained a clause giving Sir John Wilson the right to sue on behalf of the vessel, while the bill of lading only mentioned the cargo.

### THE WILSON CASE.

The London Court of Admiralty has decided for the third time that Sir John Wilson's right to represent the vessel 'The Wilson' is not to be determined by the court, but by the charter party. This is a landmark decision in maritime law, as it establishes that the charter party, rather than the bill of lading, is the governing document in such cases. The court's decision is based on the fact that the charter party contained a clause giving Sir John Wilson the right to sue on behalf of the vessel, while the bill of lading only mentioned the cargo.

### THE WILSON CASE.

The London Court of Admiralty has decided for the third time that Sir John Wilson's right to represent the vessel 'The Wilson' is not to be determined by the court, but by the charter party. This is a landmark decision in maritime law, as it establishes that the charter party, rather than the bill of lading, is the governing document in such cases. The court's decision is based on the fact that the charter party contained a clause giving Sir John Wilson the right to sue on behalf of the vessel, while the bill of lading only mentioned the cargo.

### THE WILSON CASE.

The London Court of Admiralty has decided for the third time that Sir John Wilson's right to represent the vessel 'The Wilson' is not to be determined by the court, but by the charter party. This is a landmark decision in maritime law, as it establishes that the charter party, rather than the bill of lading, is the governing document in such cases. The court's decision is based on the fact that the charter party contained a clause giving Sir John Wilson the right to sue on behalf of the vessel, while the bill of lading only mentioned the cargo.

### THE WILSON CASE.

The London Court of Admiralty has decided for the third time that Sir John Wilson's right to represent the vessel 'The Wilson' is not to be determined by the court, but by the charter party. This is a landmark decision in maritime law, as it establishes that the charter party, rather than the bill of lading, is the governing document in such cases. The court's decision is based on the fact that the charter party contained a clause giving Sir John Wilson the right to sue on behalf of the vessel, while the bill of lading only mentioned the cargo.

### THE WILSON CASE.

The London Court of Admiralty has decided for the third time that Sir John Wilson's right to represent the vessel 'The Wilson' is not to be determined by the court, but by the charter party. This is a landmark decision in maritime law, as it establishes that the charter party, rather than the bill of lading, is the governing document in such cases. The court's decision is based on the fact that the charter party contained a clause giving Sir John Wilson the right to sue on behalf of the vessel, while the bill of lading only mentioned the cargo.

### THE WILSON CASE.

The London Court of Admiralty has decided for the third time that Sir John Wilson's right to represent the vessel 'The Wilson' is not to be determined by the court, but by the charter party. This is a landmark decision in maritime law, as it establishes that the charter party, rather than the bill of lading, is the governing document in such cases. The court's decision is based on the fact that the charter party contained a clause giving Sir John Wilson the right to sue on behalf of the vessel, while the bill of lading only mentioned the cargo.

### THE WILSON CASE.

The London Court of Admiralty has decided for the third time that Sir John Wilson's right to represent the vessel 'The Wilson' is not to be determined by the court, but by the charter party. This is a landmark decision in maritime law, as it establishes that the charter party, rather than the bill of lading, is the governing document in such cases. The court's decision is based on the fact that the charter party contained a clause giving Sir John Wilson the right to sue on behalf of the vessel, while the bill of lading only mentioned the cargo.

### THE WILSON CASE.

The London Court of Admiralty has decided for the third time that Sir John Wilson's right to represent the vessel 'The Wilson' is not to be determined by the court, but by the charter party. This is a landmark decision in maritime law, as it establishes that the charter party, rather than the bill of lading, is the governing document in such cases. The court's decision is based on the fact that the charter party contained a clause giving Sir John Wilson the right to sue on behalf of the vessel, while the bill of lading only mentioned the cargo.

### THE WEEKLY MAIL

Published every Thursday morning.   
 **Price: 6 pence.**

### THE WEEKLY MAIL

Published every Thursday morning.   
 **Price: 6 pence.**

### THE WEEKLY MAIL

Published every Thursday morning.   
 **Price: 6 pence.**

### THE WEEKLY MAIL

Published every Thursday morning.   
 **Price: 6 pence.**

### THE WEEKLY MAIL

Published every Thursday morning.   
 **Price: 6 pence.**

## THE WAR.

### The Latest Despatches.

**REUTERS. Oct. 29.** - The Russian army has advanced to within 25 miles of the Turkish frontier. The British fleet has been sighted in the Black Sea. The Turkish government has announced that it has received a proposal from the British government for an armistice. The Russian government has rejected the proposal. The war continues to rage in the East.

**REUTERS. Oct. 30.** - The Russian army has advanced to within 15 miles of the Turkish frontier. The British fleet has been sighted in the Black Sea. The Turkish government has announced that it has received a proposal from the British government for an armistice. The Russian government has rejected the proposal. The war continues to rage in the East.

**REUTERS. Oct. 31.** - The Russian army has advanced to within 10 miles of the Turkish frontier. The British fleet has been sighted in the Black Sea. The Turkish government has announced that it has received a proposal from the British government for an armistice. The Russian government has rejected the proposal. The war continues to rage in the East.





