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## Preface.

「لIE word "Eissays" appears on the title of this book in the sense in which ohd Montaigue cmploged it-attempts, endeavors. The articles which make np the whme have been collected from many seattered senteres, to whel I hate from time to time contributed then, for the definite purpose of endeavoringe to vindicate certain opinions about debated subjeets concerning the aneient pepulation of the American continent.

In a number of points, as for example in the antiguity of man upon this continent, in the specifie distinetion of ant Ameriean race, in the generic similarity of its languages, in recognizing its methology as often abotract and sombolic, in the phonetic character of some of its graphic methools, in betieving that its tribes possessed comsiderable pectic fecling, in maintaining the absolnte antochthony of their colture--in these and in many other points referred to in the followings pages I an at varance with most modern anthropologists ; and these essays are to show more fully and combectedy than could their separate pubtication, what are ny gromuls for such opinions.

There is a prevaling temdency among ethologists of to(iii)

## PRI:FJCに

day to moderrate the peschongey of savage life. This error armes partly from an mwillinguess to go beyond merely physical inestigations, partly from judging of the anciont comblition of a tribe be that of its modem and degencrate representatives, partly from: inability to speak its tongne and to gatin the real semse of its expressions, partly from preconceived theories as to what a savage might be expeeted to know and feel. As against this error I have essayed to show that among very rude tribes we find sentiments of a high character, proving a mental nature of excellent eapacity in certain directions.

Several of the lissays have not previously appeared in print, and others have been substantially re-written, so as to bring them up to the latest researches in their special fields. Nevertheless, the reader will find a certain anount of repetition in several of them, a defect which I hope is compensated be the greater clearness which this repetition gives to the special subject disenssed.

Philadelphiar, Pebruarr, asigo.
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## PART I.

## ETHNOLOGIC AND ARCHEOLOGIC.

## INTRODUCTORY.

EVIRR since America was discovered, the question abont it which has excited the most general interest has been, Whence came its inhabitants? The inquiry, Who are the American Indians? has been the theme of many a ponderons folio and labored dissertation, with answers nearly as various as the number of debaters.

Few or none of them have reflected on the unphilosophical character of the inquiry as thus crudely put. Take a precisely analogous question, and this will be apparentWhence came the African Negroes? All will reply-FFrom Africa, of course. Originally? Ves, originally; they constitute the African or Negro sub-species of Man.

The answer in the case of the American Indians is entirely parallel-their origin is American; the racial type was created and fixed on the American continent: they constitute as true and distinct a sub-species as do the African or the White Race.

$$
2 \quad(17)
$$

Each of the great continental areas monlded the plastic, primitive man into a conformation of body and mind peculiar to itself, in some special harmony with its own geographic features, thus producing a race or sub-species, subtly correlated in a thousand wass to its enviromment, but never forfeiting its clain to limmanity, never failing in its parallel and progressive development with all other varieties of the species.

America was no exception to this rule, and it is time to dismiss as trivial all attempts to commect the American race genealogically with any other, or to trace the typical culture of this continent to the historic forms of the Old World. My early studies inclined me to these opinions, and they have been constantly strengthened by further research. Yet they are not popularly accepted; the very latest writer of competence on the pre-history of America says, " It is now generally held that the earliest population (of the continent) was intruded upon by other races, coming either from Asia or from the Pacific Islands, from whom were descended the varions tribes which have occupied the soil down to the present time."*

It is true that this opinion is that generally held, and for this reason I have selected for reprinting some articles intended to show that it is utterly fallacious-devoid of any respectable foundation.

The first two papers treat of the archzeologic material, and its value for ascertaining the pre-historic life of the American race; the third, on its pretended affinities to Asiatic

[^1]peoples. These are followed by two papers respectively on the Toltecs and Mound Builders, setting aright, I hope, the position of these semi-mythical shapes in the culture-history of North America, maintaining that for neither do we have to call in as cxplanation migrations from Asia, Europe. Oceanica or Africa, as has so often been attempted.
is time to ican race oical culthe Old ions, and research. est writer It is now ontinent) rom Asia nded the th to the , and for ticles in1 of any
rial, and AmeriAsiatic tca, p. 329.

## a REVIEW OF THE daTA FOR THE STUDY OF THE PREHISTORIC CHRONOLOGY OF AMERICA.:

$\int$ ARLY in this century the donbt was expressed by Alexander von Humboldt + whether it is philosophical to inquire into the origin of any of the hmman races or sul)species. Althongh he expressed this doubt with particular reference to the American race, I believe I am right in assmming that the hesitancy he felt in pushing inquiry so far should now diminish in view of new methouls of research and a wider range of observations. We may not, in fact we slaall not, be able to trace the American or any other snidspecies directly back to its origin in place or time; but by reviewing all the data which have been offered in solution of such a problem, we may preceptibly narrow the question, and also estimate the relative valne of the means proposed. It is to such a review, applieri to the American race, that I now invite your attention.

The data upon which theories of we antiquity, the genealogy and the affinities of this race have been constructed are varied. For convenience of treatment I shall class them under six heads. They are :

[^2]ssed by sophical ; or subarticular it in asry so far arch and fact we ler suibbut by ution of nestion, roposed. e, that I
ity, the en conI shall
ciation for meeling in we. Intro-
I. Lecendary, including the traditions of the native tribes and their own statements of their history.
II. ifonumontal, where we have to do with those structures whose age or character seems to throw light on the question.
III. Industrial, muder whieh heading we may inquire as to the origin of both the useful and the decorative arts in the New World.
IV. Linguistic, broaching the immense and important questions as to the diversity and affinities of languages.
V. Ihersicol. which takes into consideration the anatomic and morphologic peenliarities of the American race: and finally,
VI. Gcolegie, where its position in the geologre horizons is to be determined, and the influence upon it of the physical geograply of the continent.

Lecondary. Turning to the first of these, the legendary data, I confess to a feeling of surprise that learned scholars should still hold to the opinion that the native tribes, even some of the most savage of them, retain to this day traditions which they had brought from their supposed Asiatic homes. Thus the missionaries, Bishop Henry Farand and the Abbe Einile Petitot, both entirely familiar with the Cree and the Athapaskan languages and lore, insist that the myths and legends of these tribes bear such strong resemblances to the Semitic traditions that both must have had a common origin.* No one can deny the resemblance;

[^3]hut the seientific student of mythology discovers such identities too frequently, and at points too remote, to ask anys other explanation for them than the common nature of the haman mind.

The question has been often raised how long a savage tribe, ignorant of writing, is likely to retan the memory of past deeds. From a great many examples in Ameriea and ebewhere, it is probable that the lapse of five gencrations, or say two centuries, completely obliterates all recollection of historic occurrences. Of course, there are certain events of continuons influence which may be retained in memory longer-for example, the federation of prominent tribes; and perhaps a genealogy may rhm back farther. My friend, Dr. Franz Boas, informs me that some tribes on Canconver's Island pretend to preserve their gencalogies for twelve or fifteen generations back; but he adds that the remoter names are clearly of mythical purport.

It appears obvions that all efforts to establish a pre-historic chronology by means of the legends of savage tribes, are and must be vain.

The case is not much better with those semi-civilized American mations, the Mayas and Nalnas, who possessed a partially phonetic alphabet, or with the Quichuas, who preserved their records by the ingenions device of the quipu. Manco Capac, the alleged founder of the Peruvian state, floats before us as a vague and mythical figure, thougli he is placed in time not earlier than the date when Leif, the son of Erik, anchored his war-ship on the Nova Scotian coast.*

[^4] ask any re of the a savage emory of rica and crations, ollection 11 events memory bes ; and friend. Vancontwelve remoter
-historic bes, are ¢ ¢uipu. 11 state, ugh he the son coast.* ind of the "the line-

Historians are agreed that the long lists of Incas in the pages of Montesinos, extending about two thonsand years anterior to the Comquest, are spurions, due to the imagination or the easy erednlity o $f$ that writer.

The annals of Mexien fare no better before the fire of eriticism. It is extremely doubtful that their earliest reminiscences refe to any event ontside the narow valley parcelled ont between the petty states of 'renochtitan, Pezenco, and Tacopan:* The only fact that bears out the long and mysterions jommey from the land of the seren Caves, Chicomoztoe, in the distant northwest, be the great water, is that the learned and indefatigable Buschmann has conclusively shown that the fone languages of Sonora and all the dialects of the Shoshonian family reveal marks of eontinned and deep impressions of the Nahuat tongue.t But the chronicles of Mexico proper contain no fixed date prior to that of the fomnding of the city of 'renochtitlan, in the year 1325 of our cra.
I an aware that there are still some writers who maintain that both the Mexican and the Maya astronom:c eveles assume a commencement for their records centurics, even thousands of years, before the begiming of our era. These opinions, however, have not obtained the assent of other students. We are too ignorant both of the astronomy and the methods of writing of these mations to admit such clams; and the facts advanced are capable of gnite other interpretation.

[^5]It is, on the whole, rare for the Ameriean tribes to deelare themselves autoelthonons. The Mayas, on the peninsula of V'neatan, stated that their earliest ancestors came there from beyond the seas, some from the far east, others from the west. So the Ioltees, under Quetzaleont!, were fabled to have entered Mexien from beyond the leastern Oeem. The Creeks and Choctaws pointed to the west, the Algonkins generally to the east, as their primal home.* 'Ihese legends are ehiefly mythical, not much truer than those of other tribes who elamed to have climbed up from some under-world. Sifting them all, we shall find in them little to enlighten us as to the pre-historic chronology of the tribes, though they may furnish interesting vistas in comparative mythology.
That in which we may expeet the legends of tribes to be of most arail is their later history, the record of their wars, migrations and social development within a few generations. The spirit of the uneivilized man is, however, very eareless of the past. We have means of testing the exactness of such traditions in some instances, and the result is rarely such as to inspire confidence in verbal records. 'Those of you who were present at the last meeting will remember how diversely two able students of Irocuois tradition estimated its value. Fien when remarkable events are not forgotten, the dates of their occurrence are generally vague. The inference, therefore, is that very few data, dejendent on legrendary evidence alone, can be accepted.

[^6]Vomumental. When we turn to the momumental data, to the architecture and structural relies of the ancient Anericans, we naturally think first of the imposing stone-buitt fortresses of Pern, the hassive pramids and temples of Yucatan and Mexico, and the vast brick-piles of the Pueblo Indians.

It is donbtful if any of these notable monmments supply pre-historic dates of excessive anticuity. The pueblos, both those now ocenpied and the vastly greater mumber whose rums lie seattered over the valleys and mesas of New Mexico and Arizona, were constructed by the ancestors of the tribes who still inhabit that region, and this at no distant day. Though we cannot assign exact dates to the development of this peenliar civilization, there are abundant reasons, drawn from language, physical grography and the character of the architecture, to include all these structures within the period since the commencement of our era.*

There is every reason to suppose that the same is true of all the stone and briek edifices of Mexico and Central America. 'The majority of them were occupied at the period of the Conquest; others were in process of buiding ; and of others the record of the date of their construction was clearlyin memory and was not distant. Thus, the famons temple of Huitzilopochtli at Tenochtithan, and the spacions palace -or, if you prefer the word, "commumal house"-of the ruler of Tezenco, had been completed within the lifetime of many who met the Spaniards. To be sure, even then there were once famons cities fallen to ruin and sunk to oblivion

[^7]in the tropical forests. Such was Palempue, which could not have failed to attract the attention of Cortes had it been inhabited. Such also was TrIfo, on the site of the present city of Merida, Vonatan, where the earlicst explorers found lofty stone monnds and temples covered with a forest as heary as the primitive growth aromed it.* But tradition and the present condition of such of these old cities as have been examined, mite in the probability that the do wot antedate the Compuest more than a few centurics.

In the opinion of some observers, the enigmatient rans On the plain of Tiahnameo, a few lengues from the shore of Iake Titicaea, in Peru, carry as far, very far, beyond any such modern date. "liven the memory of their buiders," says one of the more reecnt visitors to these marvellons relics, Gemeral Bartolome Mitre, "even their memory was lost thousands of years before the diseovery of America." $t$

Such a statement is neither more nor less than a confession of ignorance. We have not diseovered the period nor the people concerned in the ruins of 'liahuanaco. It must be remembered that they are not the remains of a populons city, but merely the fomblations and begimings of some vast religions edifice which was left incomplete, probably owing to the death of the projector or to menferseen difficulties. If this is borne in mind, much of the obsenrity about the origin, the purpose and the position of these structures will be removed. They do not justify a claim to an age of

[^8]thousands of yeats before the Complest; handreds will suffiee. Nor is it necessary to assent to the opinion advaneed bye General Mitre, and supperted by some other arehseolo. gists, that the most ancient momments in Ameriea are those of most perfect comatraction, and, therefore, that in this continent there hats been, in civilization, mot progress lat failure, not adsance but retrogersion.

The mecrtainty which restsoner the age of the strmetares at Piahmanaco is searecly greater than that which still shatods the origin of the momats and earthworks of the Ohio and Leper Mississippi valleys. Vet I venture to say that the opinion is steadily graming grombl that these interesting memorialis of vanished nations are not olfer than the mediaval perior of lamopean history. The comdition of the arts which they reveal indieates a date that we must phace among the more recent in Ameriean chronology. The simple fact that tobacen and maize were cultivated plants is evidence enough for this.: :

There is, howerer, a class of momments of moth greater antiguity than any I have mentioned. These are the artificial shell-heaps whieh are fomen along the shores of both oceans and of many rivers in both North and Sonth America. They eorrepomd to the kitehen-middens of limopean archacology.
In several parts of the continent they have been examined be competent observers and the question of their date approximately ascertained. I need not say this differs widely,

[^9]for these refuse heaps of ancient villages or stations were of course begun at wide intervals.

Iomg ago I called attention to the singular sioe and antiquity of those I found in Florida and along the Fennessee River:* and the later rescarches of Professor Jeffries Wyman wonk, in his opinion, measure the age of some of the former by tens of thonsands of years.t

Further to the south, in Costa Rica, In. Liarl Flint has examined the extensive artificial shell deposits which are found along the shores of that republic. They are many feet in height, covered by a dense forest of primeval appearance, and are undoubtedly of human origin.

In Brazil such shell-heaps are called sambaquis, and they are of frequent ocenrence along the bays and inlets of the coast. Some of them are of extraordinary dimensions, rising oceasionally to more than a hundred feet in height. The lower layers have been consolidated into a firm, stony breccia of shelts and boncs, while the surface stratum, from six to ten feet thick, is composed of sand and vegetable loam supportiag a growth of the largest trees. Yeet even the lowest lasers of this breceia, or shell-conglomerate, yield tokens of hmman industry, as stone ases, flint arow-heads, chisels, and fragments of very ruck pottery, as well as human bones, sometimes split to extract the marrow. The shells are by no means all of mokern type. Many are of
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species now wholly extinct, or extinct in the locality. This fact alone carries ns back to an antiguity which probably should be counted by thonsands of years before our cra.

At that remote period not only did a fishing and hunting race dwell along the Brazilian coast, but this race was fairly advanced on the path to culture; it was acpuainted with pottery, with compond implements, and with the polishing of stone. Wैe further know that this race was not that Which ocenpied the land when the whites diseovered it ; for the haman skulls disinterred from the sambaguis are, craniologicaliy, almost diametrically opposite those of the Botocudos and the Tupis. Vet if we can trust the researches of Dr. I mund in the caverns of brazil, the oldest skulls in these deposits, found in immediate comnection with the bones of extinct mammalia, iselonged to the ancestors of these tribes. Markedly dolichocephatic, they present an entire contrast to the brachyeephalie type from the sambaquis.:

This class of momments, therefore, supply us data which prove man's existence in America in what some call the "diluvial," others the "quaternary," and others again the "pleistocente" epoch-that characterized by the presence of some extinct species.

Industrial. I et us now turn to the industrial activity of the American race, and see whether it will furnish us other data concerning the pre-historic life of the New World. We may rasomably look in this direction for aid, since it is now miversally conceded that at no time did man spring into being fully armed and equipere for the struggle for exist

[^11]ence, but everywhere followed the same path of painful effort from absolute ignorance and utter fecbleness to knowledge and power. At first, his only weapons or tools were such as he possessed in common with the antliropoid apes: to wit, an mushapen stone and a broken stick. Little by little, he learned to fit his stone to his hand and to chip it to an edge, and with this he could sharpen the end of his stiek, thus providing hinself with a spear and an axe.

It was long before he learned to shape and adjust the stone to the end of the stick, and to hurl this be means of a cord attached to a second and elastic stiek-in other words, a bow; still longer before he discovered the art of fashioning clay into vessels and of polishing and boring stones. These simple arts are landmarks in the progress of the race: the latter divides the history of culture into the palacolithic or rough stone period, and the neolithic or polished stone period; while the shaping of a stone for attachment to a handle or shaft marks the difference between the epoch of componnd implements and the earlier epoch of simple inplements, both included in the older or palaolithic age.* With these principles as guides, we may ask how far back on this seale do the industrial relies in Ancrica carry us?

I have spoken of the great anticnity of some of the American shell-heaps, how they carry us back to the dilnvial epoch, and that of mumerons extinct species. Yet it is generally true that in the oldest litherto examined in Bra-

[^12]zil, Guiana, Costa Rica and Florida, fragments of potters, of polished stone, and eompound implements, ocenr even in the lowest strata.* Venerable though they are, they supply no date older than what in I:urope we should eall the neolithic period. The arrow-heads which have been exhmmed from the loess of the ancient lake-beds of Nebraska, the netsinkers and celts which have been recovered from the auriferons gravels of California, prove by their form and finish that the tribes who fashioned them had already taken long strides beyond the culture of the earlier palaeolithic age. The same is true, though in a less degree, of the ehipped stones and bones which Ameghino exhmmed from the lacrnstine deposits of the Pampas, although he proves that these relies were the products of tribes contemporary with the extinct glyptodon and mylodon, as well as the fossil horse and dog. In the very oldest station which he examined, there appears to have been found a quart\% arrow-head; yet he argues that this station dated from the pliocene division of the tertiary, long anterior to the austral glacial epoelit This leaves another such open conflict between geology and the history of culture, as Professor Ran has already pointed ont as existing in Californian arehacology.

There is, however, one station in America which has furnished an ample line of sifecimens, and among then not

[^13]one, so far as I know, indicating a knowledge of compound implements. This is that of the "Trenton gravels," New Jersey. There we appear to be in face of a stage of culture as primitive as that of the stations of Chelles and St. Achenl in France, absolutely without pottery, without polished stone, without compound implements.;

Assuming that these post-glacial gravels about 'Trenton supply one of the earliest authentic starting points in the history of culture on this continent, the later developments of industry will furnish a number of other data. This first date was long before the extinction of the native American horse, the elephant, the mammoth, and other animals important to early man. There is nothing malikely therefore in the reported discoveries of his pointed flints or his bones in place along with the remains of these quadrupeds.

Not only the form but the material of implements supplies us data. If man in lise earliest stage was, as some maintain, quite migratory, it is certain that he did not carry his stone implements with him, nor did he obtain by barter or eapture those of other tribes. All the oldest implements are manufactured from the rocks of the locality. When, therefore, we find a weapon of a material not obtainable in the vicinity, we have a sure indication that it belongs to a period of derelopment considerably later than the earliest. When the obsidian of the Yellowstone Park is fomm in Ohio, when the blaek slate of Vancourer's Island is exlmmed in Delaware, it is obrious we must assume for such extensive transits a very noticeable asthetic and commercial development.

[^14]I ean but touch in the lightest manner on the data offered by the vast realm of industrial activity. The return it offers is abundant, but the harresting delicate. In the dissemination of ecrtain kinds of arts, certain inventions, certain decorative designs and westhetic conceptions from one tribe to another, we have a most valuable means of tracing the pre-historic intercourse of nations: but we must sedulonsly discriminate such borrowing from the synchronous and similar development of independent eulture under like conditions.

In one department of industry we shall be largely free from this danger, that is, in the extension of agriculture. One of America's ablest ethmologists, Dr. Charles Pickering, as the result of a lifetime devoted to his seience, finally settled upon the extension of eultivated plants as the safest guide in the labyinth of pre-historic migrations. Its value is easily seen in America when we reflect that the two tropical plants, maize and tobacco, extended their area in most remote times from their limited local habitat about the Isthmus of Teluantepee to the north as far as the St. Lawrence river and to the south quite to the Arehipelago of Chiloe. Their presence is easily traced by the stone or earthen-ware implements required for their use. How many ages it must have required for these plants to have thus extended their domain, amid hostile and savage tribes, through five thousand miles of space! The squash, the bean, the potato and the mandioea, are native food-plants offering in a less degree simmar material for tracing ancient commerce and migration. Humboldt and others have clamed as much for the banana (Mhusa paradisiaca), but thr
recent researehes of Dr. Karl von den Steinen have removed that valued fruit from the list of mative Ameriean plants. Both species of banana (1/. paradisiaca and h. sapiontinm) were mudoubtedly introduced into the New World after the discovery.* Indeed, smmming up the reply to an inguiry Which has often been addressed to the industrial evolntion of the indigenes of our continent, I shonid say that they did not borrow a single art or invention nor a single cultivated plant from any part of the Old World previons to the arrival of Cohmbus. What they had was their own, developed from their own soil, the outgrowth of their own lives and needs.

Limguistic. This individuality of the race is still more strongly expressed in their languages. You are all aware that it is upon linguistic data amost exclusively that American ethnology has been and must be based. The study of the native tongues becomes therefore of transcendent importance in the pre-historic chronology of the Coniinent. But to obtain its best results, this study must be conducted in a much more thorough manmer than has hitherto been the eustom.

In America we are confronted with an astonishing multiplicity of linguistic stocks. They have been placed at about eighty in North and one hundred in South America. It is stated that there are that many radically diverse in elements and structure. To appreciate the vista in time that this fact opens to our thoughts, we must recognize the tenacity of life manifested by these tongues. Some of them have scores of dialects, spoken by tribes wandering over the

[^15]widest areas. Take the Athapasean or Tinmé, for example, found in its greatest purity amid the tribes whodwell on the Aretic sea, and along the Mackenzie river, in Britioh America, but which is also the tongue of the Apaches who carried it almost to the valley of Mexico. The Algonkin was spoken from Hudson Bay to the Saramaln river and from Newfoundland to the Rocky Mountains. The Guarani of the Rio de la llata muderlies dialects which were current as far morth as Florida.

How, then, in spite of such temacity of American languages, have so many stocks come into existence? This was the question which my predecessor in this chair last year mudertook to answer. His suggestions appear to me extremely valuable, and only in one point do I widely differ from him, and that is, in the length of time required for these numerous tongues to originate, to sever into dialects and to he carried to distant regions.* According to the able linguist, Dr. Stoll, the difference which is presented between the Cakeliqued and Maya dialects contd not have arisen in less than two thousand years: $\dagger$ and any one who has carefully. compared the earliest grammars of an Ameriean tongue witi: its present condition will acknowledge that the changes are surprisingly few. To me the exceeding diversity of languages in America and the many dialects; into which these have split, are cogent proofs of the vast antiquity of the race, an antiquity streteling back tens of thousands of years.

[^16]Nothing less can explain these multitudinous forms of speech.

Vnderlying all these varied forms of expression, however, I think future investigation will demonstrate some curious iclentities of internal form, traits ahmost or entirely peculiar to Anmerican languages, and never quite absent from any of them.

Such was the opinion of the two earliest philosophical inrestigators of these tongues, P. S. Duponcean and Wilheln von Humboldt. They called these traits polysuthesis and incorporation, and it was proposed to apply the term incorporatie' as a distinguishing adjective to all American languages. Of late years this opinion has been earnestly combatted by M. Lucien Adam and others; but my own studies have led me to adopt the views of the older analysts against these modern crities. I do not think that the student can compare any two stoeks on the continent withont being impressed with the resemblance of their expression of the relations of Being, through the incorporative plan.

Along with this identity of plan, there coëxists the ntmost independence of expression. An American language is usually perfectly transparent. Nothing is easier than to reduce it to its ultimate elements, its fundamental radicals. These are few in numbers and interjectional in elharacter. The Atlapascan, the Algonkin, whose wide extension I have referred to, have been reduced to half a dozen particles or sounds expressive of the simplest conceptions.* Upon these, by combination, repetition, imitation and other such processes, the astonishing structure of the tongue has been

[^17] me curious ly peculiar rom any of ophical ind Wilhelm nthesis and term incorerican lanlestly comwn studies sts against tudent can being imof the re-
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erected, every portion of it displaying the mechanism of its origin. It is this transpareney which renders these tongues so attractive to the philosophic student of haman expression, and so valuable to him who would obtain from them the record of the progress of the nation.

A thorougls study of such a language would embrace its material, its formal and its psychologic contents. Its material elements include the peculiarities of its vocabulary : for example, its numerals and the system they indicate, its words for weights and measures, for color and diection, for relations of consanguinity and affinity, for artieles of use and ornament, for social and domestic conditions, and the like.

Few studies of American languages go beyond this material or lexicographic limit; but in truth these are merely. the externalities of a tongue, and have nothing to do with linguistic seience proper. This concerns itself with the forms of the language, with the relation of parts of speech to each other and to the sentence, and with the historical development of the grammatical categories. Begond this. again, is the determination of the psychical character of the tribe through the forms instinctively adopted for the expression of its thoughts, and reciprocally the reaction exerted bey these forms on the later intellectual growth of those who were taught them as their only means of articulate expression.

These are data of the highest value in the study of prelisistoric time : but so far as America is concemed, I could name very few scholars who have pursued this promising line of research.

Plesiath. Much more attention has been paid to the physical than the linguistic data of the native Americans, but it may freely be said, with not more satisfactory results. This failure is partly owing to the preconceived notions Which still govern the study of ethmology. Limmens offered the cantions division of the human species into races named from the fise great geographical areas it inhabited; Blamenbach pointed out that this roughly corresponded with the division into five colors, the white, black, yellow, brown and rel races, occupying respecticely Europe, Africa, Asia, I'olymenia and America. Unfortmately, Cuvier chose to simplify this scheme, byerging the brown and red races, the Polynesian or Malayan and the American, into the yellow or Mongolian. The latest writers of the French sehool, and I ann sory to add varions Americans, servilely follow this gromudless rejeetion of the older scheme, and speak of Malayans and Americans alike as Mongolians or Mongoloids. Neither in langnage nor ethnic anatomy is there any more resemblance than between whites and Mongolians.

It is gratifying to see that the more acemate German investigators decidedly reject the blunder of Cuvier, and declare that the American race is as independent as any other of those named. Thus Dr. Paul Ehrenreich, who has lately published an admirable monograph on the lotocudos of Brazil, a tribe often quoted for its so-ealled "Mongoloid" aspect, declares that any such assertion must be contradicted in positive terms. Both in osteology and anatomy, in formation of the hair and shape of the skull, the differences are marked, permanent and radical.
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American tribes which are claimed to present Mongelian traits. Such assertions are based on the superficial observations of travellers, most of whom do not know the first principles of ethic anatomy. This is sufficiently shown by the importance they attach to the oldique eye, a slight malformation of the skin of searely any weight.*
The anatomy and phesiology of the varions American tribes present, indecd, great diversity, and yet, beneath it all is a really remarkable fixedness of type. We observe this diversity in the shape of the skull, whieh may be, as among the Betocudos, strietly dolichocephatic, while the Araucanians are brachycephalie; the nasal index varics more than in the extremest members of the white race ; the tint of the skin may be a dark brown with an under-color of red, or of so light a hue that a blush is easily perceptible. The beard is usually absent, but D'Orligny visited a tribe who wore it full and long. $\phi$ The height varies from an arerage of six feet four inches for adult males in Patagonia to less than five fect among the Warraus of Guiana ; and so it is with all the other traits of the race. There is not one which is not subjeet to extensive variation.

On the other hand, these variations are not greater than can be adduced in various mentbers of the white or black race. In spite of them all, there is a wonderful family likeness among trikes of American origin. No observer well acquainted with the type would err in taking it for another. Darwin says that the Fuegians so closely resemble the Ro-

[^18]tocudos that they seem members of the same tribe. I have seen Arawacks from Guman who in the northwest would have pasised for Sious.

In spite of the total dissimilarity of climate and other physical surromdings, the tribes of the tropics differ no more from those near the Aretic circle than they do among themselves. This is a striking lesson how independent of enviromment are the essential characteristics of a race, and it is a sweeping refutation of those theories which make such characteristics dependent upon extemal agencies.

A still more remarkable fact has been demonstrated by Professor J. Kollmann of Bale: to wit, that the essential physical identity of the American race is as extended in time as it is in space. This aceurate student has analyoed the eranioscopic formulas of the most ancient American skulls, those from the alleged tertiary deposits of the Pampas, thone from the eaverns of Lagoa Santa in Brazil, that obtained from Rock Bluff, Illinois, the celebrated Calaveras skull from Californa, and one from Pontemelo in Buenos Ayres of geologic antiquity. His results are most interesting. These very ancient remains prove that in all important craniologic indicia the earliest Amer:cans, those who were contemporaries of the fossil horse and other long since extinet quadrupeds, possessed the same racial character as the matives of the present day, with similar skulls and a like physiognomy:* We reach therefore the momentous conchision that the American race throughout the whole continent, and from its earliest appearance in time, is and has been ome, as distinet in type as any other race, and from its isolation

[^19]probably the purest of all in its racial traits. Ilhis is a fact of the first order in estahlishing its prehistoric chronology. biolegic. I have left the geologic data to the last, as it is these which carry us with reasonable safety to the remotest periods. No one who examines the evidence will now deny that man lived in both North and South America during and after the glacial epochs, and that he was the contemporary of many species of animats now extinet. As you are aware, the attempt has several times been made to fix the date for the final retrocession of the glaciers of North America. The estimates have varied from about 12,000 years ago up to $50,0 c o$, with a majority in favor of about 35,000 years.

There have also been varions diseoveries which are said to place the human species in America previous to the appearance of the glaciers. Some remanis of man's inchastry or of his skeleton have been reported from interglacial, others from tertiary deposits.* Unfortunately, these finds have not always been sufficient, or not of a character to convince the archeologist. I have before aderted to the impossibility, for instance, of an areheologist accepting the discovery of a finely-polished stone implement in a tertiary gravel, except as an intrusive deposit. It is a violent anachronism, Which is without a parallel in other countries. Fiven the discovery of a compound implement, as a stemmed arrow-

[^20]head, in strata of tertiary date, is, with our present knowledge, quite out of the question.

Although there are well recognized signs of glacial action in South America, it is not certain that the glacial epoch coincided in time in the two continents. That there was a reasonable approximation is probable from the appearance of later deposits. We may suppose therefore that the habitable area of the New World was notably less at that period, and that the existing tribes were confined to a muth narrower space. This would force them into closer relations, and tend powerfully to the production of that unifornity of type to which I have before referred.

We might also expect to rlincover in the tropical regions of America more frequent evidence of the primitive Americans than in either temperate zone. This has not been the case, probably because the geologic deposits of the tropics have been less investigated. Throughout the West Indies there is an entire absence of paleolithic remains. Those islands. Were first peopled by tribes in the polished stone stage of culture. In the valley of Mexico human remains have been disinterred from a volcanic deposit of supposed tertiary age, and you have all heard of those human footprints which Dr. Larl Itint has unearthed in Nicoragua. These are found under layers of compaet volcanac tufas, separated by strata of sand and veg'table loam. There can be no doubt of their human origin or of their great antiquity; but no geologist need be informed of the difficulty of assigning an age to volcanic strata, especially in a tropical country, subject to eartliquakes, subsidence and floods.*

[^21]It worlh! not be in accordance with my present purpose to examine the mmerous aligese finds of human remains in the strata of the tertiary and quaternary. All such furnish data for the pre-historic chronologe of America, and should be carefnly serntinized by him who wonld obtain further light upon that chronology. I mnst hasten to some other considerations which toneh the remote events to which I am now alluding.

Since a comparison of the fatma of Sonth America and Africa, and a survey of the sea-bottom between those centinents, have dispelled the drean of the ancient Atlantis, and relegated that land comection at least to the eocene period of the tertiary, no one can stippose the American man to have migrated from Africa or sonthwestern laurope. lior other and equally solid reasons, no immigration of Polynesians can be assumed. Vet zoölogists, perfectly willing to derive man from an anthropoid, and polygenists to the utmost, hesitate to consifler man an autochthon in the New World. There is too wiele a gap between the highest monkeys and the haman species in this continent.* I)iscoveries of fossil apes might bridge this, lut none such has been reported.

If we accept the theory that man as a species spread from one primal centre, and in the higher plasticity of his early life separated into well defined races, which became malterably fixed not much later than the close of the glacial epoeh -and this theory appears to be that mow most agreable to anthropologists-then the carliest Americans made their ad-

[^22]vent on this continent as immigrants. This is our first fact in their pre-historic chronology; but before we can assign it an accurate position on the scale of geologic time, we must await more complete discoveries than we now have at our command.

We must also wait until our friends the geologists have come to some better understanding among themselves as to what took place in the pleistocene age. You have heard me talking freely about the glacial epoch and its extension in America; but geologists are by no means of one mind as to this extension, and a respectable minority of them, led by Sir J. Williann Dawson, deny the existence or even possibilityof any continental glacier. What others point out as a terminal moraine they explain to be "nothing but the sonthern limit of the ice-drift of a period of submergence. ${ }^{\prime} *$

It is clear that when we speak about the migration of the Americans at a time when the polar half of each continent was either covered with a glacier thousands of feet thick, or submerged to that deptli beneath an arctic sea, we have to do with geographical conditions totally unlike those of today. I call attention to this obvious fact because it has not been obvious to all writers.

In your archeological reading you will rarely come across a prettier piece of theoretical listory than Mr. Lewis A. Morgan's description of the gradual peopling of the two Americas by tracing the lines of easiest subsistence. He begins at the fishy rivers of the northwest coast, and follows the original colony which he assumes landed at that point,

[^23]all the way to Patagonia and Florida.* But how baseless becomes this vision when we consider the geography of America as it is shown by geology to have been at a period contemporary with the earliest remains of man! We know to a certainty that the human race had already spread far and wide over both its continental areas before Mr. Morgan's lines of easiest nutrition had cone into existence.

Properly employed, a study of those geologic features of a country which determine its geograply will prove of vast advantage in ascertaining the events of pre-historic time. These features undoubtedly fixed the lines of migration and of early commerce. Man in his wanderings has always been guided by the course of rivers, the trend of mountain chains, the direction of ocean currents, the position of deserts, passes and swamps. The railroad of to-day follows the trail of the primitive man, and the rivers have ever been the natural highways of nations. The theories of Morgan therefore remain true as theories; only in their application he fell into an error which was natural enough to the science of twenty years ago. Perhaps when twenty years more shall have elapsed, the post-tertiary geology of our continent will have been so clearly defined that the geography of its different epochs will be known sufficiently. to trace these lines of migration at the various epochs of man's residence in the westem world, from his first arrival.
I have now set before you, in a superficial mamer it is, true, the various sources from which we may derive aid in establishing the pre-historic chronology of Anterica. I have

[^24]also endeavored, to a limited extent, to express myself as to the relative value of these sources. None of them can be neglected, and it will be only from an exhanstive study of them all that we can expect to solve the mumerous knotty problems, and lift the veil which hangs so darkly on all that concerns the existence of the American race before the sixteenth century.

We are merely beginning the enormons labor whic. is before us; we have yet to discover the methods by which we can analyze fruitfully the facts we already know. But I look forward with the utmost confidence to a rich return from such investigations. The day is coming, and that rapidly, when the pre-historic life of man in both the New and the Old World will be reveaied to us in a thousand mexpected details. We have but to turn backward about thirty years to reach a time when the science of pre-historic archæology was maknown, and its early gropings were jeered at as absurdities. Already it has established for itself a position in the first rank of the sciences which have to do with the highest of problems. It has east a light upon the pathway of the human race from the time that man first deserved his name down to the commencement of recorded history. Its conquests are but beginning. Year by year masses of new facts are brought to knowledge from mexpected quarters, current errors are corrected, and novel methods of exploration devised.

As Americans by adoption, it should be our first interest and duty to study the Americans by race, in both their present and past development. The task is long and the opportunity is fleeting. A century more, and the anthropologist
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## first interest

 I their presd the opporthropologistwill scarcely find a native of pure blood; the tribes and languages of to-day will have been extinguished or corrupted. Nor will the archeologist be in better case. Eivery day the progress of civilization, ruthless of the monmments of barbarism, is destroying the feeble vestiges of the ancient race; mounds are levelled, embankments disappear, the stones of temples are built into factories, the holy places desecrated. We have assembled here to aid in recovering something from this wreck of a race and its monnments: let me urge upon yon all the need of prompt action and earnest work, inasmuch as the opportmities we enjoy will never again present themselves in such fulness.

## ON PALAOLTTHS, AMERICAN AND OTHER::

THERE has been much talk in scientific circles lately about Palæoliths, and much misunderstanding abont them. Let me try to explain in a few words what they are, what they tell, and what mistakes people make abont them.

Since man first appeared on this planet, his history has been a slow progress from the most rudimentary arts up to those which he now possesses. We know this, becanse in a given locality those remains of his art which are found mdisturbed in strata geologically the oldest are always the rudest. The exceptions to this rule are in appearance only, as for instance when a given locality was not occupied by men until they had already acquired considerable knowledge of arts, or when a cultivated nation was overrun by a barbarous one.

The general line of advance $I$ have indicated shows, wherever we can trace it, many similarities-similarities not necessarily dependent on an ancient intercourse, but simply becanse primitive man felt everywhere the same wants, and satisfied them in pretty much the same manner.

[^25]He felt the need of defence and attack, and everywhere a stick and a stone offered themselves as the handiest and most effective weapons; he used both wherever he was, and adapted them to like shapes.

In casting about for some standard wherewith to measure the long progress from this simple beginning to the present day, antiquaries have hit upon a very excellent one-the choice of a material employed at any given epoch for obtaining a cutting edge-for manufacturing lionstrument tranchant. Man conquers mature as he does his enfor-by chtting her down. The world at present uses iron, or its next product steel, for that purpose; before it came into vogue many nations employed bronze; but in the earliest periods of man's history, and to-day in some savage tribes, stone was the substance almost exclusively wrought for this purpose. These distinctions divide the progress of man into the three great periods; the. Age of Iron, the Age of Bronze, and the Age of Stone.

Do not make the mistake of supposing that the remains of human art reveal this sequence in every locality : I have already hinted that this is not the case. And do not make that other mistake of supposing that all three are found in chronologic sequence over the whole world. On the contrary, they are synchronous even to-day, as there are now tribes in IBrazil in the Age of Stone and nations in Asia in the Age of Bronze. The word "Age" in this commection does not mean a definite period of time, but a recognized condition of art.

In Western Europe, however, where these terms originated, the three Ages were chronologic. Previons to
about two thousand years before the Christian era, all the nations in that region employed stone exclusively to manufacture their cutting implements; later, bronze was preferred for the same purpose; and still later, iron. I say "preferred," for do not imagine that the implement of stone or of brouze was straightway disearded when the better material was learned. We know that stone battleanes were used in Ireland and Germany'down to the tenth century, and bronze was employed by Romans and liggptians long after they became acquainted with iron.

Each of these three Ages has various subdivisions. Those of the Age of Stone are particularly important. 'They are two, based upon the mamer in which the stone was brought to an edge. All the specimens in geologically the oldest deposits have been brought to an edge by a process of chipping off small picces, so as to produce a sharp line or crest on a part or the whole of the border of the stone. This artificia process leaves such peculiar traces that a practiced eye carmot confound it with any aceidental chipping which natural means effect.

The later deposits of the Age of Stone show that the early workmen had aequired another manner of dressing their material : they rubbed one stone against another, thus grinding it down to a sharp polished edge.

These two methods give the names to the two periods of the Age of Stone, the Period of Chipped Stone and the Period of Polished Stone. Do not suppose, however, that the workmen in polished stone forgot the art of ehipping stone. On the contrary, they continued it side by side with their new learning, and you will find on the sites of their
workshops plenty of stone implements in form and teelnical production like the ehipped implements of the oder period.

We know that the polished or ground-stone implements came into use later than the earliest chipped inplements, for in the oldest leels the latter are found exchusively. Hence the time when they were used exelusively is ealled the older stone implement period or the Paleolithic period; while, the time when both ehipped and polished stones were used, metals were yet mbnown, is named the newer stone implement period, or the Neolithic period. A true "Palaolith" is a typical chipped stome implement, the position of which when found leads us to beliese that it was mannfactured in the older of these periods.

We are not entirely depentent on its position to decide its antiquity. The kind of stone it is, the amount of weather-wearing or patine it shows, certain characteristics of shape and size, the indication that the chipping was done in a peculiar manner, all these aid the skilled observer in pronomeng definitely as to whether it is a true Paleolith.
Nor is position always a guarantee of antinnity. A gennine Palecolith may have been washed into newer strata, or be exposed by natural agencies on the surface of the ground, and in such cases it may not be possible to distinguish it from the products of Neolithic industry. A recent product of art may have sunk or been buried in an ancient stratum. and thus become what is termed an "intrusive deposit."

The Palacolithic period itself is advantageously sublivided further into two Eporhs, an earlier one in which men made "simple" implements only, and a later one in which they manufactured "compome " implements as well. I was the first
to point out this distinction, and as I have found it really useful, and athers have also expresed to me the value which it has been to then in this line of researeh, I will explain it further." A "componnd" implement is one eomposed of sevcral parts adapted to each other, as the bow and the arrow, the spear with its shaft and blade, or the axe with its head and helve and the means of fastening the one to the other. These were not early acquisitions. During long ages man contented himself with such tools or weapons as he could frame of a single piece of wood or stone, simply holding it in his hand. When he found he could inerease its effectiveness by fitting it to a handle, the diseovery marked an era in his culture.

He may indeed in his rudest ages have lashed a stone to the end of his clab, or have inserted a spall of flint in the split end of a stick; but these are not componnd inplements in the proper sense of the term. The expression means an art-product which clearly shows that it was but one part of a mechanical apparatus. The arrow-head with its stem, barbs and body, the stone axe with its grooves or drilled perforation for the handle, are incomplete in themselves, they diselose a preconceived plan for the adjustment of parts which man in his carliest and rudest condition does not seem to have possessed. The most ancient strata in which the remains of human art have been fonnd, either in Europe or America, yield "simple" implements only; "compound" implements are a conquest of his inventive faculty at a later date.

[^26]So far as Ameriea is conecrmed it is probable that the oldest remains of man yet diseorered on the northern continent have feen those exhmond in the valley of the Delaware River, in the states of Pemisylvania, New Jersey and Delaware. Aceording to the most earefnl geological observers that large deposit of gravel covering about five thousatal acres on both banks of the riser below Trenton is a positglacial deposit not less than twelve or fifteen thonsand years old. Imbedded in this at various depths a large number of true palaeoliths have been diseovered be Dr. C. C. Ablott, Professor $\mathrm{F}^{\mathrm{F}}$. A. Putnam, myelf and others. Fivery one of them so far as I am aware belongs to the class of "simple" implements, not an arrowhead nor grooved axe nor stemmed seraper laving been reported.

Another deposit of gravel further down the Delawate River is much older: The best authorities in such matters believe that it was deposited, not after the reeession of the great glacier which once covered Canada and the northem portion of the Lnited States, but while that tremendous phenomen was at its height, and when all the streans of the central United States were periodically choked with rast masses of ice and snow. lathis, whieh is called the Columbian gravel, elipped stone implements have been found by Mr. Cresson, all of the "simple" variety, and at such depths as to preclude the theory of an intrusive deposit. These discoveries earry the age of the appearance of man in the Delaware valley back to a date which is possibly over a hundred thousand years ago.
The great glacier left its mass of boulders, pebbles and broken stone, which it pushed before it, or carried with it,
le on Ire-historie I, p. 28, 1'lntadel.
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in a long line of so-called "moraines," extending, roughty speaking, from New York to St. Louis. In this mass, at its edges where the great wash from the melting ice poured down, palaeoliths have been found in modisturbed position, proving that atso there man had struggled with the inelemency of the ice-age, and, poorly provided as he was, had come out victorions. Here too all the implements he left are of the "simple" type, indicating at once the vast antiquity of the period and the presence of a race substantially the same as that to the east at the same date.

No tribe has been known to history which was confined to the knowledge of "simple" implements, or which manufactured stone implements exclusively in the Palacolithic forms. Wherever, therefore, $t$ ' $e$ are found without the admixture of artificially ground c 'shed stones we may be sure we face the remains of a time whose antiquity cannot be measured by any chronology applied to the historic records of humanity.

This enables us in a measure to define the limits of the region known to the human race at this, its earliest epoch; with our present deficient knowledge we can do so only partially and by exelusion. It is safe to state that in Europe Palicolithic man did not oecupy the central alpine area of Switzerland and its surromblings, nor the plains of Russia, nor any part of the Scandinavian peninsula, Scotland, Ireland, nor Ieeland. In North America he had no habitations north of the forty-first parallel of latitude except perhaps close to the shores of the two great oceans: * it is not prob-
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able that his foot pressed the soil of any of the Whest Indian Islauds: but when the great Anstral Glacier was in its recession depesiting the fertile loam of the pampas of buenos Ayres haman beings with their rude balaediths were following up the retreating line of ice, as in the Northern Hemis. phere. Ages uncounted and meomitable have passed since then, but man has left indestructible evideness that even in that early mom of his existence he had explored and conquered that continent which a late generation has ehosen to call "the New World."

## 0n Tille hlegelil mongolian affintites of the MMERICAN RACE.:

II1:RI: the question I am abont todisenss one of merely. theoretical bearings, I shombl not appoach it ; but the widespread belief that the American tribes are gencalogically connceded witi the Mongolians is constantly directing and coloring the stadies of many Americanists, very much as did at ore the the belief that the red men are the present representatives of the ten losit tribes of Isface. It is practically. worth while, therefore, to examine the gronnds on which the Ammeram race is classed be these anthropologists as a branch of the Mongolian, and to inguire whether the ancient colture of Imerica betrayed any positive signs of Mongolian intluence.

Von will permit me to arod the disenssion as to what constitutes races in anthropology. Tome they are zö̈logical suh-species, maked he fixed and correlated characteristies, impressed so firmly that they hate suffered no appreciable alteration within the historic period either through time or emiomment. In this semse, mhmenbach, in the last century, recognized five races, corresponding to the five great land-areats of the glohe and to their characterincic famal and

[^28]floral centres. This division was an cminently seientifie one, and still remains the most in accord with anatomical and linguistic reasearch- About twenty years after the appearance of Bhamentach's work, howerer, the eminent naturalist Cuvier published his great work on "'rlac Animal Kinglom," in which he rejeeted Blamenhach's elassifieation, and proposed one dividing the haman species into thare races,-the white or Cancasian, the back or lithiopian, and the yellow or Mongolian. In the latter he ineluded the Malass and the American Indians.

This triple division has been very popular in france, and to some extent in other comatries. It is not, and it was not in its inception, a seientifie deduction from observed fiet.s, but was a sort of a frion hepothesis based on the physiological theories of bichat, and at a later day derived support from the philosophic dreams of Atguste Comte Bichat, for instance, had recognized three fundamental physiological sistems in man-the vegetative or viseeral, the osso-mascular, and the cerchoropinat. The anthropologists, in tum, considered it a happe thonght to divide the haman species into three races, each of which shonk show the predominance of one or other of these systems. Thas the black race Was to show the predominance of the vegetative sistem ; the yellow race, the osso-musenlar system; the white race, the nervons system.* As Bichat had not discosered ang more physiological systems, oo there cond be bo more human races on the earth : and thas the saced triplets of the comtian phibsophy cond be vindicated.

How litule value attaches to any such gemeralizations you

[^29]will readily perceive, and you will be prepared, with me, to dismiss them all, and to turn to the facts of the case, inquiring whether there are any traits of the red race which justify their leing callled " Mongolian" or "Mongoloid."

Such affinities have been asserted to exist in language, in culture, and in physical peculiarities, and I shall take these up seriatim for examination.

First, as to language.
The great Mongolian stock is divided into the southern branch, speaking monosyllabic, isolating languages, and the northern branch, whose dialects are polysyllabic and aggluttinating. The latter are sometimes called Turanian or UralAltaic; and as they are geographically contiguous to the Eskimo, and almost to the A thabascans, we miglit reasonably expect the linguistic kinship, if any exists, to be shown in this branch of Mongol speech. Is such the case? Not in the least. To prove it, I think it enough to quote the positive statement of the best European authority on the UralAltaic languages, Dr. Heinriel Winkler. He emphatically says, that, in the present state of linguistic seience, not only is there no connection apparent between any Ural-Altaic and any American language, but that such connection is shown to be lighly improbable. The evidence is all the other way.*

I need !not, therefore, delay over this part of my snbject, but will proceed to inquire whether there are any American affinities to the monosyllabic, isolating languages of Asia.

[^30]There is one prominent example, which has often been put forward, of a supposed monos. llabic American language; and its relationship to the Chinese has frequently been as-serted-a relationship, it has been said, extending both to its vocabulary and its grammar. This is the Otomi, spoken in and near the vallev of Mexico. It requires, however, but a brief analysis of the Otomi to see that it is not a monosyllabic language in the linguistic sense, and that in its sentencebuilding it is incorporative and polysynthetic, like the great majority of American tongues, and totally unlike the Chinese. I may refer to my own published study of the Otomi, and to that of the Count de Charencey, as proving: what I say.*
Some have thought that the Maya of Yucatan has in its vocabulary a certain number of Chinese elements; but all these can readily bee explained on the doctrine of coincidences. The Mexican anticuary Mendoza has marshalled far more coincidences of like character and equal worth to show that the Naluatl is an Aryan dialect descended from the Sanscrit. $\dagger$ In fine, any, even the remotest, linguistic connection between American and Mongolian languages has yet to be shown; and any linguist who considers the radically diverse genius of the two groups of tongues will not expect to find such relationship.
I shall not detain you long with argmments touching sup-

[^31]posed Mongolian elements of culture in ancient America. Any one at all intimately conversant with the progress of American archrology in the last twenty years must see how rapidly has grown the conviction that Anerican culture was homebred, to the manor born: that it was wholly indigenous and had borrowed nothing-nothing, from either Europe, Asia, or Africa. The peculiarities of native American culture are typical, and extend thronghout the continent. Mr. Lewis Morgan was perfectly right in the general outline of his theory to this effect, though, like all persons enamored of a theory, he carried it too far.

This typical, racial American culture is as far as possible, in spirit and form, from the Mongolian. Compare the rich theology of Mexico or Peru with the barren mythis of China. The theory of govermments, the method of house-construction, the position of woman, the art of war,* are all equally diverse, equally mondongolian. It is useless to bring up single art-products or devices, such as the calendar, and lay stress on certain similarities. The doctrine of the parallelism of human development explains far more satisfactorily all these coincidences. The sooner that Americanists generally, and especially those in Europe, recognize the absolute antochthony of native American culture, the more valuable will their studies become.

It is no longer in season to quote the opinions of Alexander von Humboldt and his contemporaries on this subject,
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as I see is done in some recent works. The seieuce of archteology has virtually come into being since they wrote, and we now know that the development of human culture is governed by laws with which they were unacyuainted. Civilization sprang ul in certain centres in both contincints, widely remote from each other; but, as the conclitions of its origin were everywhere the same, its early products were much alike.

It is evident from what I have said, that the asserted Mongolian or Mongoloid connection of the American race finds no support either from linguisties or the history of entture. If anywhere, it must be in physical resemblances. In fact, it has been mainly from these that the arguments have been drawn. I, et us examine them.
Cusier, who, as I have said, is responsible for the confusion of the American with the Mongolian race, baved his racial seheme on the color of the skin, and included the American within the limits of the yellow race. Cuvier had seen very few pure Mongolians, and perlaps no pureblooded Americans; otherwise he would not have maintained that the lue of the latter is yellow. Certainly it is not. You may call it reddish, or coppery, or cimamon, or burnt sugar, but you camot call it yellow. Some individuals or small tribes may approach the peculiar dusky olive of the Chinaman, but so do some of the European peoples of Aryan descent; and there are not wanting anthropologists who maintain that the Aryans are also Mongoloid. The one position is just as defensible as the other on the ground of color.
Several of the most prominent elassifications of mankind
are based upon the character of the hair: the three great divisions being, as you know, into the straight, the curly, and the woolly haired varieties. These external features of the hair depend upon the form of the individual hairs as seen in cross-section. The nearer this approaches a circle, the straighter is the hair. It is true that both Mongolians and Americans belong to the straight haired varieties; but of the two, the American has the straighter hair, that whose cross-section comes nearer to a perfect circle. So that by all the rules of terminology and logie, if we are to call either branch a variation from the other, we should say that the Mongol is a variety of the American race, and call it "Americanoid," instead of zicic rersa.

The color of the hair of the two races is, moreover, distinctly different. Although superficially both seem black, yet, observed carefully by reflected light, it is seen that the ground-tone of the Mongolian is bluish, while that of the American is reddish.

Of positive cranial characteristics of the red race, I call attention to the interparietal bone (the os Incor), which is found in its extreme development in the American, in its greatest rarity among the Mongolians; also to the form of the glabella, found most prominent in American crania, least prominent in Altaic or northern Mongoloid crania; and the peculiar American characteristics of the occipital bone, flattened externally, and internally presenting in nearly forty per cent. of cases the "Aymarian depression," as it las been termed, instead of the internal occipital protuberance..*
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The shape of the skull has been made another ground of race-distinction; and, although we have learned of late years that its value was greatly over-estimated by the earlier eraniologists, we have also learned that in the average, and throughout large numbers of peoples, it is a very persistent claracteristic, and one potently inclicative of descent or relationship. Now, of all the peoples of the world, the Mongols, especially the 'Turanian branch, are the most brachycephalic; they have the rounclest heads; and it is in a high degree noteworthy that precisely the American mation clwelling nearest to these, having muclonbted contact with them for unmmbered generations, are long-headed, or dolichocephatic, in a marked degree. I mean the Eskimo, and I cannot but be surprised that such an eminent anthropologist as Virchow,* in spite of this anatomical fact, and in defiance of the linguistic evidence, should have repeated the assertion that the Eskimo are of Mongolian descent.

Throughout the American continent generally, the natives were not markedly brachycephalic. This was abumdantly illustrated more than twenty years ago by the late Prof. James Aitkins Meigs, in his "Observations on the Cranial Forms of the American Aborigines." They certainly, in this respect, show no greater Mongoloid affinities than do their white successors on the soil of the United States.
If color, hair, and erania are thus shown to present such feeble similarities, what is it that has given rise to a notion of the Mongoloid origin of the American Indian? Is it the so-called Mongolian eye, the oblique eye, with a seeming

[^34]droop at its inner canthus? Yes, a good deal has been made of this by certain writers, especially by travellers who are not anatomists. The distinguished ethologist Topinard says the Chinese are very often found without it, and I can confirm this opinion by those I have seen in this country. It is, indeed, a slight deformity, affecting the skin of the eyebrow only, and is not at all infrequent in the white race. Surgeons know it under the name cpiconthus, and, as with us it is considered a disfigurement, it is usually removed in infancy by a slight operation. In a few American tribes it is rather prevalent, hut in most of the pure Indians I have seen, no trace of it was visible. It certainly cloes not rank as a racial characteristic. *

The nasal index has been recommended by some anatomists as one of the most persistent and trustworthy of racial indications. The Mongolian origin of the red race derives faint support from this quarter. From the measurements given in the last edition of Topinard's work $\dagger$ the Mongolian index is so, while that of the Iiskimo and tribes of the United States and Canada, as far as observed, is 7 o , that of the average Parisian of to-day being 69 (omitting fractions). According to this test, the American is much closer to the white than to the yellow race.

Most of the writers (for instance, Avé-Iallemant St. Hilaire, Peschel, and Virchow) who have argued for the

[^35]

Mongoloid character of the Americans, have groted some one tribe which, it is asserted, shows marked Chanese traits. This las especially beern said of the natives of three locali-ties,-the liskimo, the tribes of the North Pacifie coast, and the Botocudos of Brazil. So far as the last-mentioned are concerned, the Botocudos, any such similarity has been categorically denied by the latest and most seientifie traveller who has visited them, Dr. Panl Eharenreich. It is enough if I refer yon to his paper in the Zeitschritit fïr I:thnolocie for tiss-, where he dismisises, I shonld say once for all, the notion of any such resemblance existing. I have already pointed out that the Eskimo are totally m-Mongolian in cranial shape, in nasal index, and in linguistic character. They do possess in some instances a general phesiognomical similarity, and this is all; and this is not worth much, as against the dissimilarities mentioned. The same is true of the differences and similarities of some tribes of the north-west coast. In estimating the value of resemblances observed in this part of our continent, we should remember that we have sufficient evidence to believe that for many generations some slight intercourse has been going on between the adjacent mainlands and islands of the two continents in the regions of their nearest proximity. The same train of events led to a blending of the negro and the white races along the shores of the Red Sea ; but any one who recognizes the distinction of races at all-and I am aware that certain eccentric anthropologists do not-will not, on that account, c'aim that the white race is negroid. With just as little reason, it
seems to me, has it been argued that the mative Americans as a race are Mongoloit. *

An acnte philosopical writer has stated that the superficial observer is apt to be impressed with the similarities of objects; while the profoumder stadent fimls his attention more profitally attracted to their differences. By this maxim we may explain this theory of the affinities of the American race as well as many another which has been broached.

[^36]the stuperficial ilarities of obattention more this maxim we of the Ameribeen broached.
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## THE PROBABLE NATIONALITY OF THE: "MOUNDBUILDERS."

[The following 1ssay is reprinted withont alteration. It appeared in the American Intiquarian for October, iss, and has certain degree of historic value as illustrating the progress of archavologic stmby in the Conited states. It is, I believe, the first reasomed argnment that the constretors of the momals of the Ohio Valley were the ancestors of tribes known and resident not remote from the sites of these ancient works. Though this opinion has not yet heen fully accepted, the tendency of later studies is uncquestionably in its favor.]

T
'HIF: question, Who were the Monnd-builders? is one that still remains open in American archeology, Among the most recent expressions of opinion I may quote Prof. John I. Short, who thinks that one or two thousand years may have elapsed since they deserted the Ohio valley, and probably eight handred since they finally retired from the Gulf coast.; Mr. J. P. MacLean continnes to believe them to have been somehow related to the "Toltees." \& Dr. J. W. Foster, making a tremendons leap, conneets them with a tribe "who, in times far remote, flomished in Brazil," and adds: "a broad chasm is to be spanned before we can link

[^37]the Mombl-huilders to the North American Indians. They were essentially different in their form of government, their habits and their daily pursuits. The later were never kimwin tw erect strmetures which should survive the lapse of a generation." *

On the other hand, we have the recent atterance of so able an ethmologist as Major J. W. Powell to the effect that, "With regard to the monuds so widely soattered between the two oceans, it may be said that mound-buikling tribes were known in the early history of diseovery of this continent, and that the vestiges of art diseovered do not excel in any respect the arts of the Indian tribes known to history. There is, therefore, no reason for 1 ns to seareh for an extralimital origin throngh lost tribes for the arts discovered in the mounds of North America. ${ }^{\prime}+$

Between opinions so diserepant the student in archeology may well be at a loss, and it will therefore be worth while to inguire just how far the tribes who inhabited the Mississippi valley and the Atlantic slope at the time of the discorery were aceustomed to heap nip mounds, excavate trenches, or in other ways leave upon the soil permanent marks of their ocenpancy.

Begiming with the warlike northern invaders, the Iroquois, it clearly appears that they were acenstomed to construct burial mounds. Colden states that the corpse was phaced in a large round hole and that "they then raise the liarth in a round Hill over it." + Further particulars are
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given be lafitat the grave was lined with bark, and the body roofed in with bark and branches in the shape of an areh, which was then covered with earth and stones so ats to
 was erceted over a single corpse; but it was also the custm among the Harons and Iropuois, as we are informed be Charlevoix, to collect the bones of their dead every ten years, and inter them in one mass together, $f$ The slain in a battle were also collected into one place and a large momad heaped over them, as is stated by Mr. Pabl Kane,$+\begin{gathered}+ \text { and that }\end{gathered}$ such was an ancient enstom of the Irogusis tribes, is further shown le a tradition handed down from the last century, according to which the Iroquois believed that the Ohio monnds were the memorials of a war whieh in ancient times they waged with the Cherokees. || Mr. İ. G. Syuier, who carcfully examined many of the carthworks in the commtry of the ancient Iroquois, was inclined at first to suppose the remains he fonnd there were parts of " a system of defence extending from the source of the Allegheny and Suspuchama in New York, diagonally aceross the commtry through central and northem Ohio to the Wabash," and hence drew the inference that "the pressure of hostilities [upon the mond-buikders] was from the north-east."'s This opinion has been repeated by some recent writers; but Mr. Squier

[^39]himself substantially retracted it in a later work, and reached the comvietion that whatever ancient remains there are in Wentern New York and Pemsiviania are to be athributed to the later Indian tribes and not to the Mommeb-bibers.:

The neighbors of the Iroquois, the various Agomkin tribes, were oceasionall constractors of mounds. In comparatively recent times we have a deseription of a " victory momod" rased be the Chippeways after a successful enconnter with the Sions. The women and children there up the adjacent surface soil into a heap abont five feet high and eight or ten feet in diancter, upon which a pole was erected, and to it tufts of grats were lamg, once for each sealp taken. $\dagger$

Robert Beverly, in his Mistory of igeinia, first published int to 5 . describes some curions constructions be the tribes there located. He tells us that they erected "peramids and colmmins" of stone, which they painted and decorated with wamphen, and paid them a sort of worship. 'They also constructed stone altars on which to offer satrifices. 早 This adoration of stones and masses of rocks-or mather of the genins which was supposed to reside in them-prevailed also in Massachnssetts and other Algonkin localities, anm easily led to erecting such piles. |l

Another aceasion for monnd hilding anong the Virginian Indians was to celebrate or make a memorial of a solemm

[^40]$\therefore$ and reached there are in attributed to .ilders.* ntis Algonkin Ifls. In comof a " richory suceessful chtitheren threw five feet high li a pole was once for cach
irst published by the tribes pyramids and coorated with locy also confices. 省 This mather of the :113-prevailed ocalities, and
treaty. Ons shellan aceasion they performed the time honored cermony of "burying the hatelact," a tomalanw beings litcrally pot in the gromad, "and they raise a pile of stones wer it, as the Jews did orer the botly of Absatom. ":

I an mot awate of any evidence that the cherokes were momblhaiders: but they appreciated the comvenientes of such stractures, and in one of their villages William bartram fomme their conncil lomse situated on a large momad. He adde: " Bat it may be proper to observe that this motnt on which the rotmola stands is of a math ancienter date than the buiding, and perhaps was raised for another purpose." $\begin{gathered}\text {, ientenant limberlake is abont our }\end{gathered}$ best carly athority on the Cherokees, and I helieve he nowhere mentions that they built mon momuts of artificial constraction. Adair, howerer, states that they were acenstomed to leap an and add to piles of loose stones in memory of a depated chicef, or as montments of important cement.

The tribes who inhabited what we now call the (ind States, embacing the region between the eastern border of F'exas and the Athantic Oecan somth of the Silvamala River, belonged, with few and small exceptions, to the great Chahta-Mnskoke fanily, cmbating the tribes kinown ats Choctaws, Chikasams, Muskokees or Crecks, Seminokes, Allibamons, Natelue and others. The languages of all these have mancrons and manistakable affinties, the Choctan or Chalata presenting pohably the most archaic form. It is ammer them, if anywhere within our limits, that we

[^41]must look for the descendants of the mysterions " Moundbuilders." No other tribes can approach them in clains for this distinction. Their own traditions, it is true, do not point to a migration from the north, but from the west; nor do they contain any reference to the construction of the great works in question : but these people seem to have been a building race, and to have reared tumnli not contemptible in comparison even with the migltiest of the Ohio Valley.

The first explorer who has left us an acconnt of his journey in this region was Cabeza de Vaca, who accompanied the exposition of Panfilo de Narvaez in 1527. He, however, kept close to the coast for fear of losing his way, and saw for the most part only the inferior fishing tribes. These he describes as generally in a miserable condition. Their huts were of mats erected on piles of oyster shells (the shell heaps now so frequent along the southern coast). Vet he mentions that in one part, which I judge to be somewhere in L, onisiana, the natives were accustomed to erect their dwellings on steep lills and around their base to dig a ditch, as a means of defence. *

Our next anthorities are very important. They are the narrators of Captain Hernando de Soto's famous and ill starred expedition. Of this we have the brief account of Biedma, the longer story of "the gentleman of lilvas," a Portnguese soldier of fortune, intelligent and clear-headed. and the poctical and brilliant composition of Garcilasso de la

[^42]mis. " Moundem in clams s true, do not the west; nor action of the eem to have muli not conlitiest of the
collut of his who accomin 5 527. He, ing his way, ishing tribes. ole condition. oyster shells, thern coast). ce to be somemed to erect - base to dig a

They are the mous; and ill ef accomint of of İluas," a clear-headed, arcilasso de la

Vega. In all of these we find the southern tribes described as constructing artificial momels, using earthworks for defence, excavating ditches and canals, etc. I quote the following passage in illnstration :
"The town and the honse of the Cacigute Ossachile are like those of the other caciques in Florida. * * * The Indians try to place their villages on elevated sites: but inasmueh as in Florida there are not many sites of this kind where they can conseniently build, they erect elevations themselves in the following manner: They select the spot and carry there a quantity of earth which they form into a kind of platform two or three pikes in height, the summit of which is large enongl to give room for twelse, fifteen or twenty houses, to lodge the cacique and his attendants. At the foot of this elevation they mark ont a spuare place according to the size of the village, around which the leading men have their houses. * * * To aseend the elevation they have a straight passage way from bottom to top, fifteen or twenty feet wide. Here steps are made by massive beams, and others are planted firmly in the ground to serve as walls. On all other sides of the platform, the sides are cut steep., ${ }^{*}$

Later on La Vega describes the village of Capaha:
"This village is situated on a small hill, and it has about five hundred good honses, surrounded with a ditch ten or twelve eubits (brazas) deep, and a width of fifty paces in most places, in others forty. The diteh is filled with water from a canal which has been cut from the town to Chicagua.

[^43]The cantal is three leagnes in length, at least a pike in depth, and so wide that two large boats could easily ascend or descend it, side by side. The ditch which is filled with water from this canal surrounds the town except in one spot, which is closed by heary beans planted in the earth." $*$

Biedma remarks in one passage, speaking of the provinces of Yeascui and Pacalha: "The caciques of this region were accustomed to erect near the house where they lived very high monnds (tertres trisedeates), and there were some who placed their houses on the top of these momnds. " '

I camot state precisely where these provinces and towns were situated ; the successful tracing of De Soto's journey has never yet been accomplished, but remains as an interesting problem for future antiquaries to solve. One thing I think is certain; that until he crossed the Mississippi he at no time was ontside the limits of the wide spread ChalitaMuskokee tribes. The proper names preserved, and the courses and distances given, both confirm this opinion. We find them therefore in his time aceustomed to erect lofty monnds, terraces and platforms, and to protect their villages by extensive circumvallations. I shall proceed to inquire whether such statements are supported by later writers.

Our next authorities in f oint of thme are the French Huguenots, who undertook to make a settlement on the St. John River near where St. Augustine now stands in Florida. The short and sad history of this colony is familiar to all.

[^44]pike in depth, : ascend or deled with water $t$ in one spot, c cartl.' ${ }^{2}$ of the provinces his region were hey lived very were some who 1s."
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The eolonists have, however, left us some interesting deseriptions of the aborigines. In the neighborhood of St. Augustine these belonged to the 'rimuluana tribe, specimens of whose language have leen preserved to us, but which, according to the careful analysis recently published by Mr. A. S. Gatschet,* has no relationship with the Chahta-Muskokee, nor, for that matter, with any other known tongue. Throughout the rest of the peninsula a Muskokee dialect probably prevailed.

The "Portuguese gentleman" tells us that at the very spot where De Soto landed, generally supposed to be somewhere about Tampa Bay, at a town called Veita, the house of the chief "stood near the shore upon a very high mound made by hand for strength." Sueh monnds are also spoken of by the Huguenot explorers. They served as the site of $t^{\text {the che chain's house in the villages, and from them led a }}$ broad, smooth road through the village to the water. $\dagger$ These descriptions correspond closely to those of the remains which the botanists, John and William Bartram. discovered and reported about a century ago.

It would also appear that the natives of the peninsula erected mounds over their dead, as memorials. Thus the artist Ie Moyne de Morgues, writes: "I Defuncto aliquo rege ejus provicia, magna solemmitate sepelitur, et ejus tumulo crater, e guo bibere solebat, innonitur, defixis circum ipsum tumulum multis sagittis. $\xlongequal[+]{+}$ The picture he gives of the "tumulus" docs not represent it as more than three or four

[^45]feet in height ; so that if this was intended as an aceurate representation, the structure searecly rises to the dignity of at mound.

After the destruction of the Huguenot colony in 1565, the Spanish priests at once went to work to plant their missions. The Jesuit fathers established themselves at various points, sonth of the Savamah River, but their marratives, which have been preserved $\mathrm{in}_{1}$ full in a historic work of great rarity, describe the natives as broken up into small clans, waging constant wars, leading vagrant lives, and withont fixed hahitations.* Of these same tribes, however, Richard Blomes, an IEnglish traveler, who visited them about a century later. says that they erected piles or pyranids of stones, on the occasion of a successful conflict, or when they founded a new village, for the purpose of keeping the fact in long remembrance. $t$ Abont the same time another Inglish traveler, by mane Bristock, clained to have visited the interior of the country and to have found in "Apalacha" a halfcivilized nation, who constructed stone walls and had a developed sun worship ; but in a discussion of the authenticity of his alleged narrative I have elsewhere shown that it camot he relied upon, and is largely a fabrication. ${ }^{*}$ A correct estimate of the constructive powers of the Crecks is given by the botanist, Willian Bartram, who visited them twice in the latter half of the last century. He fonnd they had "chunk yards" surromnded by low walls of earth, at
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one end of which, sometimes on a morerate artificial eleration, was the ehief's dwelling and at the other end the public council house.* His deseriptions resemble so elosely those in Ia Vega that evidently the latter was deseribing the same objects on a larger seale-or from magnified reports.

Within the present century the Seminoles of Florida are said to have retained the custom of eollecting the stain after a battle and interring them in one large momud. The writer on whose authority I state this, adds that he "observed 'on the road from St. Augustine to Tomaka, one monnd which must have covered two acres of gromind, "; but this must surely have been a commmal burial mound.

Passing to the tribes nearer the Mississippi, most of them of Choctaw affiliation, we find considerable testinony in the French writers to their use of mounds. Thans M. de la Harpesays: "The cabins of the Yasous, Courous. Offogoula and Ouspie are dispersed over the country on mounds of earth made with their own hands." $\$$ The Natehe\% were mostly of Choctaw lineage. In one of their villages Dumont notes that the cabin of the chief was elevated on a mound.s Father Le Petit, a missionary who labored among them, gives the particulars that the residence of the great chief or "Brother of the Sun," as he was called, was erected on a mound (butte) of earth carried for that purpose. When the chief died, the house was destroyed, and the same mound was not used as the site of the mansion of his successor, but

[^47]was left vacant and a new one was constructed.* This interesting fact goes to explain the great number of momis in some localities ; and it also teaches us the important truth that we cannot form any correct estimate of the date when a mound-building tribe left a locality by comnting the rings in trees, ete., because long before they departed, certain tummli or earthworks may have been deserted and tabooed from superstitious notions, just as many were among the Natehe\%

We have the size of the Natehe\% mounds given approximately by M. Le Page du Prat\%. He observes that the one on which was the house of the Great Sun was "about eight feet high and twenty feet over on the surface.' + He adds. that their temple, in which the perpetual fire was kept burning, was on a mound about the same height.

The custom of commmal burial has been arverted to. At the time of the discovery it appears to have prevailed in most of the tribes from the Great Iakes to the Gulf. The bones of each phratry or gens-the former, probably-were collected every eight or ten years and conveyed to the spot where they were to be finally interred. A mound wa: raised over them which gradually increased in size with each additional interment. The partienlars of this method of burial have often been deseribed, and it is enough that I refer to a few anthorities in the note.t Indeed it has mot
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been pretended that such mounds necessarily date back to a race anterior to that which ocenpied the soil at the advent of the white man．

I have not inchuded in the abose surver the important Dakota stock who once ocetipied an extended territory on the upper Mississippi and its affluents，and seattered clans of whom were resident on the Atlantic Coast in Virginia and Carolina．But，in fact，I have nowhere found that they erected carthworks of any pretentions whatever．

From what I have collected，therefore，it would appear that the only resident Indians at the time of the discovery who showed any evidence of mound－building comparable to that fomul in the Ohio valley were the Chahta－Muskokees． I believe that the evidence is sufficient to justify us in ac－ cepting this race as the constructors of all those extensive mounds，teraces，platforms，artificial lakes and ciremmalla－ tions which are seattered over the Gulf states，Georgia and Florida．＇The earliest explorers distinctly state that such were used and constructed by these nations in the sisteenth century，and probably had been for many generations．Such too，is the opmion arrived at by Col．C．C．Jones，than whom no one is more competent to speak with anthority on this point．Referring to the earthworks found in Georgia he writes：＂We do not concur in the opinion so often ex－ pressed that the momd－buiklers were a race distinct from and superior in art，govermant，and religion，to the Sontlen Indians of the fifteenth and sixteenth centuries．＂

It is a Baconian rule which holds good in every depart－ ment of science that the simplist explanation of a given fact or series of facts shond ahwass be acecpted；therefore if we
can point ont a well known race of Indians who, at the tinte of the diseovery, raised mounds and other earthworks, not wholly dissimilar in character and not much inferior in size to those in the Olion valles, and who resided not very far away from that region and directly in the line which the Monnd Builders are beliced be all to have followed in their emigration, then this rule constrains us to accept for the pressent this race as the most probable descendants of the Mound Tribes, and seek no further for Polteces, Asiaties or Brazillians. All these conditions are filled be the Chalita tribes,

It is true, as I have already said, that the traditions of their own origin do not point to the north but rather to the west or northwest ; but in one of these traditions it is noticeable that they cham their origin to have been from a large artificial momal, the celebrated Nianih Haira, the Sloping Hill, an immense pile in the valley of the Big Black River ; and it may be that this is a vague reminisence of their remote migration from their majestic works in the north.

The size of the southern monnds is often worthy of the descendants of those who raised the vast piles in the northern valles.s. Thus one in the litowah Valley, Georgia, has a cubical eapacity of $1,000,000$, cubic feet. ${ }^{+}$The Messicr Mound, near the Chatahoolice River, contains about 700,000 cubic feet.s. Wholly artificial mounds 50 to 70 fect in height.

[^49]$\ddagger$ C. C. Jones. .1/onumental Remains of firorgia, p. 32.
Ibid. Antiquities of the Siouthern Indians, p. 169.
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With the e figures we may compare the dimembions of the northern momads. The massive one near Miamisharg, Ohio, 68 fere high, has becen calentated to contain $311,3.50$ culic feet-abemt hatf the size of the Messier Momind. At Clark's Works, Ohio, the embankments and momeds thgether com-
 three mikes long, mont of this is not in the momols themselves. Greater than any of these is the trmeated pyamid at Cahokia, Illinois, which hats an altitude of on feet and a base arca of fooby son fect. It is, howerer, doubt ful whether this. is. wholly an artificial construction. Professor spencer Smith has shown that the once famous "big momul" of $s t$. Louns wat largely a matural formation ; and lee expresses the opinion that mange of the momads in Missouri and Illinois, popularly suppoced to be artificial constructions, are whonly, or in great part, of gerolugic origin. * There is apparently therefore mo such great difference between the carth struetures of the Chalhata tribes, and those left us by the more northern mound-himiders, that we need suppose for the latter any materal superiority inculture over the fomer when first they became known to the whites: nor is there any improbability in assuming that the Momod-builders of the Ohio were in fact the progsitors of the Chalita tribes, and were driven south probably about three or four hundred years before the discovery. Such is the conviction to which the above reasoning leads us.

[^50]In the course of it, I have said mothing about the condition of the arts of the Mound-buiders compared with that of the endy sonthern Indians: nor have I spoken of their supposed pecular religions beliefs which a reecht writer think
 comparative eraniology of the Momad-builders, upon which some very remarkable hypotheses have been ereeted; mor do I think it worth while to doso, for in the present state of anthopologic science, all the facts of these kinds relating to the Mombl-builders which we have as yet learned, can have no appreciable weight to the investigator.

 (Mayswille, $n-6$. )
Itmentigations eombucted since the above lissay was printed regnire some montifications in its statements. The researehes of lrofessor corns phomas render it likely that the Cherokees were also Mombl-bmidders, and that they ocenpied pers. tions of Westerth lemmsyman and Western Virginfa less than two eenturies ase
 I'rohathy the ohio Valley Mound-balders were the aneestors of wome of the Cher okees as well as of the Chahta-Mankoki tribes. Cramiologic data from the ohio monnds are still too vaguc to permit inference: from them.]
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## THE TOLTELS AND THEIR fabulous eypire．

I
 lisher in ris6s．I asserted that the story of the city of Tulat and its inhabitante，the Toltees，ats eurremty related in an－ cient Mexican history，is a myth，and not history．This opinion I have since repeated in varions pablications，that writers on pre－columbian Ameriem civilization have been very mailling to give up their Toltecs，and lately m． Chamay has componed a laborions monograph to defend them．
I．et me state the question spuarcly．
The orthodex opinion is that the Toltes，coming from the north（west or－east），foumbed the city of Thla（about forty



$\ddagger$ N．Charnay，in his essay，Lat Cönliwation Zobliquf，publiched in the Kerue
 ＇existence da Tolterue que certalns ont biee ；je venx pronver que les eivilisations Ameticancene sont qu＇me stule et méme eivilisation ；cnfin，je venx promser que cette civilisation est tolteque．＂I eonsider each of these statements an atter error．
 a map showing the migrations of the ancient loltecs．As a trandation of this work，with this map，has recently been published in this country，it appearsto me the more needful that the baseleas character of the doblece legend he distinctly stated．
miles north of the present city of Mexicol in the sisth cen tury, A. 1).: that the : state flourished for about five hun ded years, until it mombered meaty four millions of inhab itants, and extended its sway from ocean to ocean over the Whote of central Nexico:* that it reached a rematably high stage of culture in the arts: that in the tenth or cleventh century it was ahmost totally destroyed bey wand famine: $;$ and that 1ts fragments, escaping in scparate colonics, carried the civilization of Tula to the south, to Tabasco (Palengue), Vucatan, Guatemala and Nicaragua. Quctzaleoatl, the last fuler of Tula, himself went to the south-east, and reaplears in Yucatan as the culture-liero Culalkan, the traditional founder of the Maya civilization.

This, I say, is the current opinion about the Toltes. It is found in the works of Istlilnoclitt, Veitia, Clavisero, Prescott, Brasseur de Bourbourg, Orozco y Berra, and scores of other reputable writers. The dispersion of the 'roltecs has been offered as the casy solution of the origin of the civilization not ons of Central America, but of New Mexien and the Mississin! ${ }^{\prime}$ valley...


 eight handred from east to west : and in the wars that attended its downfall five million six handred thonsand persons were slain!!

 down to g69 A. D. ; the Codrremine (p. 25) to nis ; and so on. There is an equal variation about the date of fonnding the city.
$\pm$ Since writing the above 1 have seceived from the comte de charencey a reprint of his article on . Vilatha, in whieh he seth forth the theory of the late M. I.. Angramd, that all anciont Ametican elvilization was cine to two "chatents" of Thltecs, the western, straight-headed 'tollces, who cutcred Anahmac by land from the
he sisth cen ont five linn ons of inhal, ceall over the larkably hish hor cleventh and famine: ; lonics, camicel o (Paknque), coath, the last and reappears le traditional
e'Toltces. It ia, Clavigero, ra, and scores of the Toltecs origin of the f New Mexien
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The opinion that I oppose to this, and which I hope to establioh in this article, is as follows:

Tula was merely one of the towns buit and oceupied by that tribe of the Nahmas known ats A Etcat or I/esta, whose tribal god was Huitzilopochtli, and who finally settled at Mex-ico- 'Tenochtitlan (the present eity of Mexieo) : its inhabitants were ealled Toltees, but there was never any such distinet tribe or nationality; they were merely the ancestors of this branch of the Azteca, and when Tula was destrosed by civil and foreign wars, these survions removed to the valley of Mexien and beame merged with their kindred ; they enjoyed no sumemaes, either in power or in the arts; and the Toltee "empire" is a baseless fable. What gave them their singular fane in later legend was partly the tendency of the human mind to glorify the "good of times" and to merge ancestors into divinities, and eqpecially the significance of the name 'Pula, "the Place of the Sum," leading to the confounding and identification of a half-forgotten legend with the erer-living light-and-darkness myth of the gols Quct\%alcoatl and Trezatlipoca.

Tos support this view, -let ans inguire what we know about Tula as an historic site.

Its location is on one of the great ancient trails leading from the north into the Valley of Nexico.* The rains of

[^51]the ofd town are upon an elevation about no feet in height. Whose summit presents a level surface in the shape of ant irregular triangle some soo yards long, with a central width of $3^{\circ}$ yards, the apex to the south-east, where the face of the hill is fortiffed by a rough stone wall.* It is a matural hill, overlooking a small muddy ereck, called the Rio de Told F $^{\prime}$ Yet this mpretending mound is the celebrated Coatcpetl, Serpent-Mount, or Suake-Hill, famous in Nahuatl kegend, and the central figure in all the wonderful stories about the Toltecs.t. The remains of the artificial tumuli and
of the forefathers," where they lived. In Aztee pieture-writing this is represented bey a hill with a bent top, on the "ikonomatie" system, the verb colot, "beaning to bend, to stoon). Those Mexici who mid the Collun procceded them at thata, simply meant that their own ancestors dwelt there. 'The Ahales dre Cumhtulth (1) " 33) distinctly states that what Toltecs survived the wars which drove them southward bee me merged in the collmas. As these wars largely arose from eivil dissensions, the aceomit no donbt is correct which states that others settled in Aedhmacan, on the eatern slore of the principal lake in the Valley of Mexico. The mame means "Collmacan by the water," and was the state of which the eapital was Tezeoco.

* 'This deseription is taken from the map of the location in M. Charnay's Ami-
 map do not agree with those stated in the text of the book, but are, I take it, more accurate.
 This stream plays a conspicuons part in the guctaaleoath myths. It appears to be the same as the river flogut flowing or spreading water, alh, towath, or lifut woth (where precious stones are washed, from vifill, pata, jam), referred to by

 probably from being adorned with this metal (.fmes de ( Frathtithth).


 alsu may mean town or city, as such were unatly buill of elevations. fle form
 perhaps, "at suaketown."
cet in height. : slope of all central width re the face of $t$ is a natural al the Rio di he celebrated us in Nahmatl derful stories ial tumnti ant
this is represented b colon, meaning to com at Tula, simply
 1 drove them southrose from civil dis. sers settect in Acoley of atexico. The of which the capital
M. Charnay's Ancirave made from the are, I take it, more
nuth, water of Tula. 15. It ippears to be all, tovalua), or Nifa. (an), referred to ly were the celchratwl in the tin pritace itith(th).
legend says mot. : ce mythology, The (ain, bat which ma? levations. The form the shake hill," or
walls, which are abundantly seattered over the summit, show that, like the pueblos of New Mexico, they were built of large stm-thaked bricks mingled with stones, rongh or ©:innmed, and both walls and floors were laid in a firme cement, which was nisually painted of different colors. Hence probably the mame Palpan. "amid the eolors," which tratdition soys was applied to these structuren on the Conatepeth.* The stome-work, represented by a few broken fragnents, appears equal, bot not superior, to that of the Vialley of Mexico. Both the free and the attached eolamin ocenr, and figurecarring was known, as a few weather-beaten relics testify. The lonses emband many rooms, on different levels, an! the roofs were flat. They were no toubt mostly communal structures. It the fort of the serpent-Hill is a level plain. but little above the river, on which is the motern village with its corn-fields.

These geographical particulars are necessary to muderstand the ancient legend, and with them in mind its real purport is evident. $\dagger$

That legend is as follows: When the Azteca or Mexica
 tor rios, in Kingslostough, Meitio, Vol, is., b. 320. Its derivation is from palh, a color (root par, and the postponition fon, It is noteworthy that thic legend states that guetzatcoat! in his avatar as (i, flath was born in the latpan, " Homse of Colors: " white the ashat story was that he came from 'thapallan, the plate of color- Thin indicates that the two accomats are versiont of the mane myth.
†there are two ancient Codices extant, giving in picture-writing the migrations



 that they refer to sequent and not symbobons events. Fhete is, however, yet manch to do before their full meaning is a certaileal
-for these mames were applied to the same tribe*-left their carly home in Aztlan-which Ramirez locates in Lake Chateo in the Valley of Mexico and Orozeoy Berra in Lake Chapallan in Michoacant-they pursned their course for some generations in harmony; but at a certain time, somewhere between the eighth and the eleventh century of ont era, they fell ont and separated. The legend refers to this as a dispute between the followers of the tribal god Huitzinopochtli and those of his sister Malinalxochith. We may understand it to have been the separation of two "totems." The latter entered at once the Valley of Mexico, while the

[^52]te tribe*-left ocates in I.ake Berra in Lake cir course for in time, some chtury of ontr refers to this god Huitzilitl. We may wo "totems." ico, while the (11), :and from the" 2. The Azteca ar" tmunco. The ratithe Nalunath. My 1. i. p. 191 wan tiglut thencin' (a, from the - of the diwn : but of $a-i s t u-l$ lhan, "ing wother namic for the (4) 4 Prorgucmata itt as a compomul dmenher" (H/ist did He mames of (Quctz , in Kingrlurrough Gh cach had diven
lum Ahert Gallatin Whans. Amer icon ot the small intame :s this name mean: abmulatuce of mad turd the terminal a
 called mexalli.
followers of Intatzopechth pased on 'o the plain of 'itula and setted on the Coatepetl. Here, says the narrative, they constructed honees of stones and of rushes. built a temple for the worship, of Ituitzilopochth, set up his image and those of the fifteen divinities (gentes?) who were sulbject to him, and erected a large altar of senbetured stone and a court for their ball phay: The level sround at the foot of the hill dhey partly flooded by damming the river, and used the remander for phanting their erops. After an indeterminate time they abondened Tula and the Coatepetl, driven out ly civil strife and warike neighbors, and joumeyed southward into the Valley of Dexico, there to formot the famons city of that name.

This is the simple marrative of Tulan, stripped of its contradictions, metaphors and confusion, as handed down hy those highent anthorities the Codex Ramire\%, Te\%ozomose and Father Duran. ${ }^{+}$It is a plain statement that Tula and its Suake-Hill were merely one of the stations of the Azteca in their migrations an important station, inclece, with matural strength, and one that they fortified with care, where for some gencrations, probably, they maintancel an inde-

[^53]pendent existence, and which the story-tellers of the tribe recalled with pride and exaggeration.

How long they ocopied the site is meertain.: Ixtlilxochitl gives a list of eight suceessive rulers of the "'loltees." each of whom was computed to reign at least fifty-two years. or one eycle; but it is moteworthy that he states these rulers were not of "folte " blook, but imposed npon thember the "Chichimees." This does not reflect ereditably on the supposed singular cultivation of the Toltees. Probably the warrior Aztees subjected a mumber of neighboring tribes and imposed upon them mulers.t

If we aceept the date given by the Coder Ramine for the departure of the Aztees from the Coatepetl-A. I). $1168-$ then it is quite possible that they might have controlled the site for a conple of centuries or longer, and that the number of successive chicftians named by Ixtlilxochith should not be

[^54]rs of the trile n1.* Istlilxule "'Toltecs," ifty-two years, es these rulers in them by the ly on the supProbably the aboring tribes
amines for the -A. 1). 1168 controlled the rat the number 1 should not be tatl hangnage, gives es a dyuasty of only A. D. (Ifistom ula dr mal critical orozens fi sathan A. 1), "\% bering that there in ath anud twelfth eenamioer, p. 21,3). The Thacellan, Incemt
ets. Those given in merated in his tech f Axtithochit'swat Cruuhtithan. How of Mexican mythen vinitics Tezcatlip, alsallerom muy vale
far wrong. The destrnctive battles of which he speaks as preceding their departure-hattles resulting in the shanghter of more than five million sonls-we may regard as the grossly oxerstated aceonnt of some really desperate conflicts.

That the wartions of the Azteca, on leaving Thla, scattered over Mexico, Vucatan and Central America, is directly contrary to the assertion of the high anthorities 1 have quoted, and also to most of the mythical descriptionss of the event, which deelare they were all, or nearly all, massacred.;

The above I clain to be the real history of Tula and its Serpent-Hill, of the Toltecs and their dynasty. Now comes the question, if we accept this view, how did this ancient town and its inlabitants come to have so wide a celebrity, not merely in the myths of the Nahuas of Mexico, but in the sacred stories of Yucatan and Ginatemala as well-which was unguestionably the case?

To explain this, I must have recourse to some of those curions principles of language which have had such influence in buidding the fabric of mythology. In such inquiries we have more to do with words than with things, with natues than with persons, with phrases than with facts.

First abont these names, 'rula, Tollan, 'roltec-what do

[^55]they mean? 'Ihey are evidently from the same root. What idea did it consey ?

We are first struck with the fact that the Thula I have been deseribing was not the only one in the Nahuath district of Mexico. There are other Tulas and Tollans, one near Oencingo, another, now San Pedro Tula, in the State of Mexico, one in Guerrero, San Antonio Tula in Potosi, \%ete. The nane munst have been one of common inport. Herrera, who spell, it Tulo, by an error, is just as erroneous in his suggestion of a meaning. He says it means "place of the tuma," this being a term used for the prickly pear.t But lune was not a Nahnatl word; it helongs to the dialeet of Haiti, and was introdnced into Mexico by the Spaniards. Therefore Herrera's derivation must be ruled out. Ixtlilnoehitl pretend that the name follan was that of the first chieftain of the Poltecs, and that they were named after him: but elsewhere himself contradiets this assertion.* Most writers follow the Coder Ramires, and maintain that Tollan-of which Tula is but an abbrevation-is from tolin, the Nahnatl word for rush, the kind of which they made mats, and mean " the place of rushes," or where they grow.

The respectable authority of Buschmann is in favor of this derivation: but according to the analogy of the Nahuatl language, the "place of rushes" should be Toltitlan or Tolinan. and there are localitie: with these mames.s

[^56]ne root. What
ula I have bect matl district of $\therefore$ one near Ocuitate of Mexico, ete. The name rera, who spells is suggection of tuma," this leethum wats not a Haiti, ancl wat Therefore Herochitl pretens chieftain of the him: but cle st writers follow ollan-of which c Nahuatl word ats, and means
;infaror of this he Nahuatl lan than or Tolinan.

[^57] 1. 392. Compare 1:-

Without dombt. I thank, we must accept the derivation of
 writer, thomghly fanitiar with his native tonguc, conseys to the its ancient form and reat sense. Speaking of the carly Aztess, hestys: "They arrived at the opot called Contejee


This name, fomallan, is still mot manabal in Mexico. Buschmann (mmmerates four villages so callecl, besides a mining town, Tometh:n," "Place of the sum" is a literal rendering, and it wond be equally acenate to translate it "sumbr-pot," or "warm place," or "smmaneplace." There is mothing very pectiar or distinctive abont these meanings. The warm, smmy phan at the foot of the shake-
 Tollan, and thus to 'rula.*

[^58]Bat the literal meaning of Tollan-" Place of the sun" bonght it in later days into intimate comection with man? a meth of light and of solar divinities, matil this ancions Aatee puelon became apotheosized, its inhahitants trame formed into magicians and demigots, and the corn-fielden Trula stand forth as fruitful plains of l'aradise.

In the historic fragments to which I have alluded there is seant reference to miracmbone events, and the gods play an part in the sober chronicle. But in the mythieal eyelus we are at once tramslated into the splere of the supernal. 'The Suake-Hill Coatepetl beomes the Aztee Olympus. On it dwells the great goddess "Our Mother amid the Serpents.' Cotlan Toman,* otherwisce called "The serpent-skirted, Coatliou', with her children, The Myriad sages, the Comtom I/nitanahmat It was her duty to sweep the Suake-Hit:
tility, abmalance, the sme the eath, the shmmer, the day, and others expreand
 As in the Algonkin diadects the words lor eold, night and death are from the same root, so in Nahuatl are those for warmoth, day and life. (Comp. Muponcean

 the gochess of flowers, and the florists paid her especial devotion (sahaghon, /the foria, I, ib, ii, eap. 22). A precinct of the city of Mexieo wats mamed after her, and also one of the edifices in the great temple of the city. Here captives were satrifice to her and to the Ithitzatha. (fbid., I, ib. ii. Appendix. Aee also Torquemmber A/onuryuia Indiama, L, ib), x, cap. 12.)
 dred, however, in Nahuatl means any indeterminate large momber, and hence ${ }^{-}$ properly transhated myriad, legion. . Vathath meants wise, skillinl, a diviner, but b also the proper name of the Nahbath-speaking tribes; and as the Nalhats derive their word for sonth from hutti, a thorn, the Ithitzuahua may mean " the somth


 in a later note.
: of the sum" tion with mally atil this anciens habitants tramm lie corr-field. .in e.

## allumen there F .

 Ic gools play m thical eyclus we supernal. The mympuns. On it the Sierpents. erpent-skirted.' ges, the (intom the Suake-Hil. and others exprecoins: Nahualls s. v. tometlis ith are from the samu(Comy). Dnjonméatu , 1,
cur mother. She wis contion (saltagun, /h. mumel after her, an. aptives were sacrifice sice also Torpunemata
" Thorns." Four hun number, and hence io killiul, a diviner, but 10 as the Nahats derive may mean "the sonth d the Huitznalana wert , 1.ib. vii, cap. 5). The lividual, as we shall :o
every day, that it might bekept elean for her chidere. One day while thas engaged, a little bumeh of feathers fell upon her, and she hid it mader her role. It was the descent of the pirit, the divine Ammaciation. When the Myriad Sages salw that their mother was pregnath, they were enraged, and act abont to kill her. But the mbom bate spake from her womb, and provided for her safety, matil in dhe
 painted hhes, and with a blac shield. His left lege was thin and conered with the phanage of the hamming-hird. Hence the name was given to him "On the left, a hmmming-lirel," Hutzilopochtli.: Four times aromed the serpent Momatain did he drive the Dy riad sages, motil neatle all hat fallen dead before his dart, and the remainder fled far to the somth, Then all the Mexiea ehose Initzilopochth for their gocl, and paid honors to the serpent-Hill by Tulat as his birthplace.

[^59]

## IMAGE EVALUATION TEST TARGET (MT-3)



An cqually ancient and anthentic myth makes huitzin, pechatione of four brothers. bern at one time of the mere ated, bi-cexmel divinity, the Gool of our life. Tomacatecutli. who leoms dimly at the head of the Aztee lantheon. His brothers were the hback and white Te\%catlipeca and the fair skimed, bearded guctzalcoatl. Yet a third myth place the hirthplace of Quetzalcontl directly in Tula, and mame his mother. Chimalman, a virgin, divinely impregnated. like Coaticue, by the deseending spirit of the Father of All:

Tuk was not only the birthplace, but the seene of the highest activity of all these greatest divinities of the anciont Nahmas. Aromed the Coatepetl and on the shores of the Tollamatl-"the Water of Tula"-as the stream is callut which laves the base of the hill, the mighty struggles of the gools took phace which form the themes of almost all A\%tu mythology. Tulan itself is no longer the hanlet of rash houses at the foot of the Coatepee, surmounted ly its pucto of rough stone and baked brick : it is a glorions city, fomded and gowerned begetzaleont himeself, in his first aratar: Hueman, the strong-handed. "All its structures whe

[^60]makes Huitaim ne of the mere . Jomacatecuth. Pantheon. Ili, ca and the fair rl myth place nla, and namu y impreguatcul. : Father of All. he scene of the $\therefore$ of the anciont e shores of the stream is called struggles of the almost all Axte hamlet of m:sh cal ly its pucole us city, foundel is first abatar in structures wor forth Ithitailopochats
 : Azlecs had oceupiod cl the phain, a diawer o move on ; the other. The former attachel? nss and buildings, and $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{pp}, 25,26$ ). Accord itzilopochuli. see m?
, Myths, chap. iii., and
stately and gracions, abomeling in ormaments. The walls within were inconsed with precions stones or fanished in beantiful stuces, precenting the appearance of a rich mosaic. Most womderful of all was the temple of Quetzaleoath, it had fome chambers, one toward the east finished in pure gold. another toward the west lined with turguoise and cmeralds. a third toward the sonth decorated with all mamer of delicate sa-shells, and a fourth toward the north resplendent with red jasper and shells." ${ }^{\text {a }}$ The descriptions of other buildings, egually wombous, have been bovingly presemed by the ancient songs. ${ }^{t}$ What a grief that our worthy friend, M. Chamas, digging away in 1 sso on the coatepee, at the head of a gemg of forty-five men, as he tells us, ${ }^{+}$mearthed no sign of these ancient glories, in which, for one, he fully believed! But, alas! I fear that they are to be songht nowhere out of the golden realm of faney and mythical dreaming.

Nor, in that happe age was the land buworthy such a glorions city. Where now the neglected com-patehes sur-

[^61]
round the shably huts of Trula, in the good old time " the cropss of maize never failed, and each ear was as long as a man's arm; the cotton burst its pods, not white only, but spontaneonsly ready dyed to the hand in brilliant scarlet, green, blue and yellow; the gourds were so large that they could not be clasped in the arms; and birds of brilliant plamage nested on crery tree!’

The subjects of Quetzalcoath, the Toltees, were not less marvelously gualified. They knew the virtues of plants and could read the forceast of the stars; they could trace the veins of metals in the mountains, and discern the deposits of precious stoncs by the fine vapor which they emit ; they were orators, poets and magicians; so swift were they that they could at once he in the place they wished to rach; as artisans their skill was umateled, and they were not subject to the attacks of disease.

The failure and end of all this goodly time came about by a battle of the gools, by a contest between 'rezatlipoea and Huitzilopoehtli on the one hand, and Quetzaleoatl on the other. Quetzalcontl refused to make the savrifices of human beings as required by Huitzilopochtli, and the latter, with Teqcatlipoca, set about the destruction of Tula and its people. This was the chosen theme of the later Aztee bards. What the siege of Troy was to the Grecian poets, the fall of Tula was to the singers and storytellers of Amahuac-an inexhanstible field for imagimation. for glorifieation, for lamentation. It was placed in the remote past-according to Sahagm, perhaps the best authority, about the year 319 before Clirist.* All arts and sei-

[^62]ences, all knowledge and culture, were ascribed to this wonderful mythical people; and wherever the natives were asked concerning the origin of ancient and maknown structures. they wond reply: "The Toltees built them." ${ }^{\circ}$

They fixedly believed that some day the immortal Quet\%alcoatl would appear in another avatar, and would bring again to the fields of Mexico the exuberant fertility of 'rula, the peace and happiness of his former reign, and that the departed glories of the past should surromed anew the homes of his votaries. $\dagger$

What I wish to point out in all this is the contrast between the dry and seanty historic marrative which shows Tula with its Snake-Hill to have been an early station of the Azteca, occupied in the eleventh and twelfth century by one of their clans, and the monstrous myth of the later priests and poets, which makes of it a birthplace and abode of the gorls, and its inhabitants the semi-divine conguerors and civilizers of Mexico and Central America. For this latter fable there is not a vestige of solid foundation. The references to Tula and the Toltecs in the chomicles of the

[^63] later methongy of the Nahuas. It is high time for this talk alout the Toltees as a mighty people, precursors of the Azteca, and their instructors in the arts of civilization, to disappear from the pages of history. The residents of ancient Tula, the 'Tolteca, were nothing more than a sept of the Nahas themselves, the ancestors of those Mexiea who built Tenochtitlan in 1,325 . This is stated as plandy as can be in the Aztec records, and should now be conceded by all. The mythical Tula, and all its rulers and inhabitants, are the baseless dreans of poctic fance, which we principally owe to the Tezcucan poets.*

* Amorioun Hero. $1 / 1 / 2 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{p}$. 35. The only writer on ancient American history before tae who has wholly rejected the Toltecs is, 1 lelicve, Albert rallatin. In his able and critical study of the origin of American civilization ( $\mathrm{Na}_{\mathrm{a}}$ ansactions of the
 ical consideration with the words: "rhe tratition respecting the foltecs aseends to so remote a date, and is so chome and intermised withmy hological fables, that it is impossible torlesisnate either the locatity of their primitive abores, the time when they firt appeated in the vieinity of the Valley of Mexieo, or whether they were preceded by mations speaking the same or different lamguages." Hat this well-grombled skepticion sathed the ears of writers since $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{s}$, when it was published, we shonhl have bech saved a vast amount of rabhish whieh has been heaped "p mader the name of history.
 has joincel in rejecting the ethnic existence of the Toltees. As in later Namatl the word bothcetl meant mot only "resident of 'rollan," but also "artificer" and "traler," Ir. stoll thinks that the central American legends which speak of "'lollecs" shonld be interpreted merely as referring to foreign meehanics or pedlers, and not to any particnlar notionality. I quite agree with this view.

In the $r$ this of the ont to its of ept of who dy as ed by tants, ipally
tory be In his is if the I histor ascends les, that the time cr they tiad this as pulbheaperd
ig. 158.6 ) watl the r" and reak of or peed-

## PART II.

## MYTHOLOGY AND FOLK-LORE.

## INTRODUCTORY.

1ASHIONS in the study of mythology come and go with something like the rapidity of change in costume feminine, subject to the autocrace of a Parisian man-modiste. Myths have been held in turn to be of some deep historical, or moral, or physical purport, and their content has been sought through prechologic or philologic amalysis. Just now, all these methods are ont of fashion. The newest theory is that myths generally mean motiong at all; that they are merely fumb or fearsome stories and never were much more; and that at first they were not told of anybody in particular nor about anything in partienlar.

As for philologic analysis, it is accused of failures and contradictory results; the names which it makes its material are alleged not to have belonged to the origimal story ; and their ctymology casts no more light on the meaning or the soure of the myth than if they were smith or Brown.

According to this facile method, the secret of all mythol(101)
ogy is an open one, because there is no secret at all. No painful preliminary study of language is necessary to the science, no laborions tracing of mames throngh their varions dialectic forms and phonetic changes to their first and original sense, for neither their earlier nor later sense is to the purpose.

This new method goes still further. Some former mythologists had supposed that even in the savage state man feels a sense of awe before the mighty forces of nature and the terrible mysteries of life; that joy in light and existence, dread of death and darkness, love of family and country, are emotions so intimate, so native to the soul, as nowhere to be absent-so potent as to find expressions; in the highest imagative forms of thought and speech. Not so the latest teachers. They sneer at the possibility of such inspiration even in the divine legends of cultivated nations, and are ready to brand them all as but the later growthis of "myths, cruel, puerile and obscene, like the fancies of the savage myth-makers from which they sprang. ${ }^{\prime}$ *

Like other fashions, this latest will also pass away, becanse it is a fashion only, and not groumded on the permanent, the verifiable facts of limman nature. Etymology is as yet far from an exact science, and comparative mythologists in applying it have made many blunders: they have often erred in asserting historical connections where none existed ; they have been slow in recognizing that primitive man works with very limited materials, both physical and mental, and as everywhere he has the same problems to solve, his physical and mental productions are necessarily-

1. No to the -arious d origto the

Minth an feels turl the istence, try, are re to be t imag-
latest piration ind are myths, savage
ay, be-perma$\log y$ is ytholoy have e none imitive ral and ems to ssarily
very similar. These are objections, mot against the method, hat aganst the maner of its application.

Those who have studied savage races most intinatately and "the most mbiased minds have never fomm their religions fincies merely "pucrile and ohscenc," as some writers suppose, but significant and didactic Savage symbolism is rich and is expressed both in ohject and word : and what appears craclty, puerility or obsentity assumes a very different anpect when regarded from the comeet, the mative, point of view, with a full knowledge of the surromandigs and the intentions of the myth-makers themselves.

In the sections which follow I have enciavored to illustrate these opinions by some studies from American mythology. I have chosen a series of mpromising mames from the socred books of the Quiehes of Guatemata, and endeavored to ascertain their exact definition and original purport. I have taken up the most unfavorable aspect of the Algonkin hero-god, and shown how parallel it is to the tendencies of the homan mind everywher ; in the Journey of the Sond, the striking analogies of liggptian, Aryan and Aztec myth have been brought together and an explanation offered. which I believe will not be gainsaid by any competent student of Egyptian symbolism. The Sacred Symbols found in all continents are explained by a similar train of reasoning ; while the modern folk-lore of two tribes of semi-Christianized Indians of to-day reveals some relies of the ancient usages.

## THE SACRED NAMES IN OUICHE MYTHOLOGY.*

Gontcnts.-fhe Gniches of Chatemala, and their rehatomship



 amd his Jommey to Niballat.

$0^{15}$Fhe ancient races of America, those which approached the nearest to a civilized comblition somke related dialects or a tongue, which from its principal members has been called the "Maya-Vniche" linguistic stock. Fiven to-day, it is estimated that about half a million persoms ase these dialects. They are seattered oner Vucatan, (inatemala and the adjacent territory, and one banch formerly occupied the hot howlands on the Culf of Mexion, north of Vera Crio.

The so-ealled " metropolitan" dialeets are those spoken relatively near the eity of Guatemala, and inchole the Cakchiquel, the Quiche, the lownochi and the Trutuhil. They are quite closely allied, and are matablly intelligible, resembling each other about as much as did in ancient (ireece

[^64]the Attie, Ionic and Dorie dialects. 'Ihese elosely related members of the Maya-Quiche family will be referred to muler the sulb-title of the oniche-Coskehiguel dialects.

The civilization of these people was sheh that they ased varions munemone signs, appoaching our alphabet, to reord and recall their mothology and history. leragments, more or less complete, of these traditions have been prererved. The most notable of them is the National legend of the guiches of finatemala, the so-ealled /apor lith. It was written at an mknow date in the guiche dialeet, bẹ a mative who was familiar with the ancient records. A Spanish translation of it was made early in the lat century ho a Spanish priest, leather Francised Ximenco, and was
 text wats printed in Paris, with a French translation ly the Abse Brasecur (de bourbourg). 'This original covers abont 175 octave pages, and is therefore highty important as a lingnistic as well as an arehtologic monmment.

Both these translations are open to eemsure. It needs but litte study to see that they are both strongly colored bey the views which the respective transhators entertained of the purpose of the original. Ximene\% thonght it was principally a satire of the devil on Christianity, and a share spread by him to entrap sonls; Brasseur believed it to be a history of the ancient wars of the Quinhes, and frepuently carres his $^{\text {and }}$ conlemerism so far as to distort the sense of the original.

What has added to the diffiedty of correcting these erroneons impresions is the extreme pancity of material for

[^65]


 for critical pixpores.



 toachings. Such all opinion will pass alway when the origitarl in acomately tamslated. For one familatr with

 its fellerally low athd natow ratge of thonght and ex pressiont, its accasional loftiness of thoth, its strange meta phots, athe the prominence of strictly heathen mathes athed potencies. bring it into manistakahle mationshap the the trace mative myth. 'This experially hods good of the fitst twodhards of it, which ate centirely methongioal.

As a contribution th the stady of this interesting momat ment. I shatl molertake to athlyze some of the proper matmes of the divintites which appear in its pages. The especial facilities that 1 have for doing so ate farmished be two MS. Vocalmbaties of the Cabchignel dialeet, presented to the libany of the American Phibsophical secicty be the (ow(rhar of (itatemala in sis. One of these wat writen in 10,51, he lather Thomatis Coto, and was based on the pre-
 chiguel only, and the final pages, ongether with a gramman and an essay on the mative calendar, promised in a body of
the work, are milathmately minaing. What remains, luw









father Colowherses that the matives lowed to tell lomber जhates, and th repeat chants, keeping time the them in their
 Worls, from tril, wom, and mes. to fantell fowers into Wreathes, to set in order a dance, to arrange the heads of a discourse, ete. As preserverl to tas in the /apod lith, the thethmical fom is mondy lost, hat here and there one finds pasenges, retained intact by memory wo donbt, where a distinct halance in diction, and an cflont an hamony are moted.

The mame /apel lioh given to this work is that appled by the matives themselves. It is trandated by Ximenco "libno del commu," by Brasicur " lisre matiomal." The word piper is applicel to something hede in common ownershij by a mumber thus foest belonging to a mumber is popol
 mative conneil where the eders met to disenss publie affairs Was puphet tik, the common speceh or talk. The worl pop means the mat or rog of woven rashes or batk on which the family or company sat, and from the commanity of interests thas typified, the word came to mean anything in common.
lith or $u$ uh is in Guiche and Cakehigue the word for paper and book. It is ant original term in these and conneeted dialects, the Maya having moh, a letter, writing, woch, w write.

There is a sehool of writers who deprecate such researehes as ! an abont to make. They are of opinion that the apper lations of the mative gods were derived from trivial or aceidental circmostances, and had mo reondite or symbolic meaning. In fact, this assertion has been made with reference to the rery names which I an about to diseuss.

I do mot share this opinion. Mang of the sacered mames among the American tribes I feed sure had oeent and metaphorical siguifience. This is proved he the profomed researches of Cothing among the \%anis: of borsey among the 1)akotas: and others. But to reach this hidhen purport, one must stady all the ideas which the matme comotes, especially those which are archate.

I begin with the masterions ofening worts of the lapol lah. 'They intronluce us at once to the mighty and manifold divinity who is the sontere and eanse of all things, antil the original comple, mate and femake, who in their persoms and their powers tepify the sexual and repodative princialent organic life. These words are as follows:
" Here begins the record of what happened in ohd times in the land of the (Juiches.
"Here will we begin and set forlh the story of past time, the ontset and starting point of all that took phace in the city of ghiche, in the dwelling of the guiche people.
"Here we shall bring to knowledge the explanation and the diselosure of the Disappearance and the Reappearance throngh the might
of he hathers and creators, the bearers of ehiklten and the legenters

 ihras.itcel.
". Aul along with these it is sung and related of the gramburenerstandither, when mane is Xpisaco and Xmucanc, the comeater
 they when in the legendo of the guichos."

It will be here observed that the dedaration of the attributes of the highest disinity sets forth distinctly sexmal beas, and, as was often the case in (erecian, ligytian and Oriental mytholege this disinity is represented as embacing the powers and functions of both sexes in his own person: and it is curious that both here amb in the seomed pamgraph, the female attributes are named finst.

First in the specific names of divinity given is //un-ahtutuh. Fou derive any appropriate signification for this has baffed stadents of this mythologes. /lan is the maneral ome, hut which also, as in most tongues, has the other meanings of first, formost, self, migute, most prominent, "the ome," ete. . Ih pu is derived both hy Ximenco and Brasicur from the prefix ah, which is used to signify knowledge or possession of, or control aver, mastership or akill in, origith from or practice in that to which it is prefixed; and ab, or pub, the sarbatana or howpipe, which these badians used to employ as a weapon in war and the chase. Ah fu, therefore, they take to mean, He who uses the sarbacane, a

[^66]limuter. If:ch, the last member of this componmed name, is muderstood by both to mean the oposism.

In aceordanee with these deriviations the name is translated "an opossmm hanter."

Such a mane bears little meaning in this relation ; little relevancy to the nature and functions of deity : and if a more appopriate and not less phatsible composition cond be sug. gested, it wond have intrinsic elams for adoption. There is such a composition, and it is this: The derivation of Ahpu from ah-pub is mot only monecessary but hardly defensible. In Cakehiquel the sarbacane is futb, but in Quiche the initial $p$ is dropped, as can be seen in many passages of the Papal lioh. 'The tane composition of this word I take to be ah-foz, for fuz has a signification associated with the mysterics of religion ; it expressed the divine power which the native priests and prophets chamed to have received from the gods, and the essentially supermatural attributes of divinity itself. It was the word which at first the natives applied to the power of forgiving sins clamed by the Catholic missiomaries; but as it was associated with so many heathen notiont, the elergy decided to drop it altogether from religions langnage, and to leave it the meaning of necromaney and naholy power. Thus Coto gives it as the Cakehiquel word for mas ic or meromanch,*

[^67]The worl pae is ased in varions passages of the /apol ! inh to express the supernatural power of the gexls and priests ; hat probably by the time that Nimenco wrote, it had, in the current dialeet of his parish, bost its highest siguification, amd heree it did mot suggest itself to him as the trace derivation of the name I am diemssing.

The third term, I'urh or l'esh, was chosen acoorting to Nimene becanse this amimat is notorionsly emming, "porsu wisucte." 'This may be conrect, and we may have here a reminiscence of an amimal meth. But the word has several other signifieations which should be eonsidered. It was the name of a satere dance: it expresed the trembling in the asue chill; the warmth of water: and the darkness whieh comes before the dawn.:

Of these varions meanings ome is tempted to take the last, and comect Itum-ahnu-vith with the atorong gots, the forermmers of the light, life the "Kichigouai, those who make the day, " of Algonkin mythology.

There is a curious passage in the Popol I wh which is in support of such an opinion. It oceurs at a certain period of the history of the mythical hero I Imahnu. The text reads:

| ' . | " And now it wats about to become white. |
| :---: | :---: |
| "Chi zaktarin, | And the dawn canme, |
| " ${ }^{\prime}$ x xecah ca xaquinuchie. | The day opened. |
| " Jma x 11 ch'ux ri Vuch? | -Is the link about to be?' |

[^68]－Vir，vall if In：ann：
＂＇lit rhi va川ninic：



Vís，illullolal line whl matt．
＇Then ho epreal apart hic lese：

部品
 sillithli．
his leg．＂．sive the people yel （meantu！that the day ap


As the sime woral limh meant both the opossmmand the atmosilheric change which in that elimate procoles the dawn，the text may be tamalater either way，atol the hom ＂phomy womla give rise to a domble meaning of the mame．



 whole cyede ol embons mathe in which the（ireat llate ar the Mighty Rabhit ligutes as the Creator of the worla，the Wise Maker，ame the elici（ion wf the widely spread Agom kin tribes．\％
 me：ms the conote，the mative wolf，an animal which phas an import．mits symbor part in the eosmogomical mythe oi C＇alifomian，Mexican and Combal American tribes．It ap

[^69]pars gemeralle to represent the night，and I womblater the esoterice sernse of the twor tathes lis＂Manter of the




＇These mances are repeated in a later passage of the／ipor linh（1）．20）．

 なが，master of the comerald，cle．

The name dien－ak is elsewhere given \％aki－nim－ak．＇The fommer means＂Creat llog，＂the latter＂White，（ireat Hog．＂Brassemr tramslates ak as wild bear（somg／iro），bat it is the common mane for the native loge withont dintine－ tion of sex．In a later passage，we wre informed that it Was the name of an old man with white hair，and that Zaki－ nima－tay was the matne of all old woman，his wife，all bent
 magie power．＇Thos we find here an almost migue example of the deifeation of the loge for once，this aseful animat， gememally despised in mytholosy and amathematioed in re－ ligiom，is given the highest perlestal in the Pantheon．

I＇erhaps we should moderstand these and nearly all similar brate gods to be relies of a primitive form of totemic Wership，such as was foum in rigor among some of the nothem tribes．Varions other indications of this can be discovered among the branches of the Maya family．The

Cakchiquels were called "the people of the bat" (zoq'). that animal being their national sign or token, and also the symbol of their god.* '1he tucur owl, chan or cumatz serpent, balam tiger, and gch deer, are other animals whose names are applied to prominent families or tribes in these nearly related myths.

The priests and rulers also assumed frequently the names of animals, and some pretended to be able to transform themselves into them at will. Thus it is said of Gucumatz Cotuha, fifth king of the Quiches, that he transformed himself into an eagle, into a tiger, into a serpent, and into coagulated blood. $\dagger$ In their dances and other sacred ceremonies they used hideons masks, carved, painted and ormamented to represent the heads of eagles, tigers, etc. These were called coh, as cohbal mai cot, the mask of an eagle; cohbal mai balam, the mask of a tiger, etc. In Maya the same word is found, koh, and in the Codex Troano, one of few original Maya mamseripts we have left, these masks are easily distinguished on the heads of many of the persons represented. Recent observers tell us that in the more remote parishes in Central America these brute-faced masks are still worn by the Indians who dance in accompanying the processions of the Clurch! ! Even yet, every new-bom child among the Quiches is solemnly named after some beast by the native "medicine man" before he is baptized by the padre. ||

[^70]This brings me to a name which has very curious meanings, to wit, Tipell. It is the ordinary word in these dialects for lord, ruler, chief or king. Its form in Cakehiguel is Tiper, in Maya Tipal, and it is pobably fom the adjective root tep, fifled up, supplied in abundance, satisfied. In Quiche and Cakehiquel it is used syonyounsly with , gelel or sogel and ahau, as a translation of Senor or Cacique. But it has another definite meaning, and that is, the disease sphilis: and what is not less curious, this meaning extends also in a measure to gagal and ahan.

This extraordinary collocation of ideas did not escape the notice of Nimenez, and he undertakes to explain it by suggestion that as syphilis arises from cohabitation with many different women, and this is a privilege only of the great and powerful, so the name came to be applied to the chiefs and nobles, and to their god.:*

Of course, syphilis has no such origin ; but if the Indians thought it hat, and considered it a proof of extraordinary genctic power, it would be a plausible supposition that they applied this term to their divinity as being the type of the fecundating principle. But the original sense of the adjective tepdes not seem to bear this out, and it woukl rather appear that ine employment of the word as the name of the disease was a later and secondary sense. Such is the opinion of Father Coto, who says that the term was applied jestingly to those suffering from syphilitic sores, because, like a chieftain or a noble, they did no work, but had to sit still with their hands in their laps, as it were, waiting to get well. $\dagger$

[^71]






 selted as sumering form sume or bubses.


 The secome derivation is formerg, feather, and camate, the generie mathe for serpent. The liset of these is that which How witer of the lepol 1 ith prefered, as apeats from his expresion: "They are folded in the feathers (ow ) the

 ical hirds was comstatly used be these tribes as ath ormanemt for their chothing and their idols, and the possession of many of these expuisite feathers was a matter of pride.
 Lake, the Heart of the sea." 'To them may beadded "I ()m


 metaphoricamente para decir que matather moza tiene bubas ; porgue se esta sin



 37, 3 .
wh. "He lleart of the Sky," and "(bur uld". "the Heant
 plied to divinity. 'Ilae literal semse of the word heat was, howerer, not that which was intemeded in those dialeets his worl had a moch richer metaphorical meaning than in Whe tonger in them it stoxil for all the perchical powers, the memory, will and reasming facolties, the life, the spitit, the semil.
 the "spirit" or "somb" of the lake, cte., than the "heart." "flay represent hroadly the dextrine of " animism" as bela fe these people and gencrally by man in his carly stages of religions development. 'Plocy indicate also a dimly molershan semse of the mity of spirit or energy in the different manifestations of organic and inorganie existence.

This was not peouliar to the tribes maler comsideration. The heart was very genemally looked upon, wot only as the wat of life, but as the souree of the ferelings, intellect and
 tims it was tom ont and offered to the god as representing the immaterial part of the individual, that which survived the death of the beoly.


[^72]mean, "He of the green dish," "He of the green eup." Thus Ximenez gives them, and adds that forms of speech with rew signify things of beatty, fit for kings and lords, as are brightly colored eups and dishes.

Rew is the name of the colors blue and green, which it is said by many writers camot be distinguished apart by these Indians; or at least that they have no word to exprese the difference. Riar, by extension, means new, strong, rough, violent, ete. * Coming immediately after the names "Soul of the Lake," "Soul of the Sea," it is possible that the "blue plate" is the azure surface of the tropical sea.

In the second paragraph I have guoted, the narrator introduces us to "the ancestress (irom), the ancestor (mamom), by name Xpiyacoc, Xmucane." These were prominent figures 'n Quiche mythology; they were the embodiments of the paternal and maternal powers of organic life; they were invoked elsewhere in the Popol I'ul' to favor the germination of seeds, and the creation of mankind ; they are addressed as "ancestress of the sun, ancestress of the light." The old man, Xpiyacoc, is spoken of as the master of divination by the taite, or sacred beans; the old woman, Xmucanc, as she who could forecast days and seasons (aheih); they were the parents of those mighty ones "whose name was Ahpu," masters of magic. $\dagger$ From this ancient couple, Ximenez tells us the native magicians and medicine men of his day elamed to draw their inspiration, and they were especially constulted tonching the birtlo of infants, in which they were still called upon to assist in spite of the efforts on

[^73] rds, as
the padres. It is clear thronghout that they represented mainly the peculiar functions of the two sexes.
Their manes perhaps belonged to an arehaic dialect, and the Quiches either could not or would not explain them. All that Ximene\% says is that Xmucane men.s fomb or aratio, deriving it from the verl, tin muk, I bury.

In most or all of the languages of this stock the root muk or muc means to cover or cover up. In Maya the passive form of the verbal nom i : mucath, of which the INatomario di hotal" gives the translation "somcthing covered or buried," the second meaning arising maturally from the enstom of eovering the dead body with earth, and indicated that the mortuary rites among them were by means of interment : as, indeed, we are definitely informed by Bishop Landa. ${ }^{*}$ The feminine prefix and the terminal emphonic $c$
 up," or buried.

But while etymologically satisfactory, the appropriateness of this derisation is not at once apparent. Can it have reference to the sect cosered by the soil, the child buricel in the womb, the egg hidden is the nest, ete., and thns typify one of the principles or pliases of reproduction? For there is no doubt, but that it is in the eategory of divinities presiding over reproduction this deity belongs. Not only is she called "primal mother of the sun and the light," +

[^74]but it is she who cooks the pounded maize from which the first of men were formed.

Both names may be interpeted with appropriateness 10 tion sphere and functions of their suppored powers, from radicals common to the Maya and Quiche dialects. . Vimecanc may be composed of the feminine prefis of (the same in sound and meaning as the linglish pronominal aljective shi in such terms as she-betrr, she-adl): and makemil, vigor, force, power.

Wipirece is mot so easy of solntion, but I belicue it to be a derivative from the root wh, the male, whence ripbit, maseulinity, ${ }^{\text {and }}$ an or ococ, to enter, to accomple in the act of.rencration. +

We can readity see, with these meanings hiden in them, the subtler sense of which the matives had prohably lost, that these names wonld be diffecult of satisfactory explanation to the missiomaries, and that they would be left bey lit in as of moletermined origin.

The second fragment of Quiche mythology which I shall analye is one that relates to the Gods of the Storm. These are introduced as the three manifestations of Oul-cha, the Soul of the Sky, and collectively " their mame is Iturakan:"
"Cakulha Hurakan is the first ; Chipi-cakulla is the second ; the third is Raxateakulha; and these three are the soul of the Sky."

Elsewhere we read :

[^75]"Spak therefore our name, hamor your mother, your fallare ; call
 Anul of the sky, Cremtor, Maker, Her who brings forth, Hith who hanetis ; speak, call upon us, silute us." *
(ithellhe (Cakehiquel, wholhay) is the ordinaty word for the lightuing; Raxa-cakulha, is remered by Coto ats "the Hiah of the lightuing" (a) mplamdor del rotor): Chipiakkulha is stated by Brasseur to mean " le sillomement de leclair:" ship is used to designate the latest, youngest of hast of chideren, or fingers, ete., and the expresion therefore is "the trate of the lightaing."

There remains the name llurakan, and it is confersedly diffonlt. brassem sas that uo explamation of it can be formd in the guiche or Cokehignel dictionaries, and that it must have been brought from the ditilles, where it was the mame applied to the terrible tomado of the West Indian latitudes, and, borrowed from the laytians by carly navigators, has mader the forms ommest", hurucom, hurviame; pased into limopean lagnages. I ann comineed, however, that the word Hurakan belongs in its etymologs to the Maya gromp of dialects, and must be amalyed by them.

One such etymology is indeed offered by Ximene\%, but an absurd one. He supposed the word was eompounded of hum, one: ra his; and rekon, foot, and tramiates it "of one font." This has very properly heen rejected,.

On collating the proper mames in the Popel 1 inh there are s-reral of them which are evidently allied to liurakan. Thas we have Cabrakor, who is represented as the god of the earthquake, he who shakes the solid earth in his might

[^76]and topples over the lofty monatains. His mane is the common word for earthonake in these dialects. Again, one of the titles of Ximmeane is chioctern . Vommant.

The temminal rakan in these manes is a word used to ex press greatuess in size, height or higuess. Many examples are foumd in Coto's I bectulario.*

For a person tall in stature le gives the expression tegam rakan: for large in body, the Cakeliquel is matht rakon, and for gigantic, or a giant, har rakon.

This idea of strength and might is of conse very appropriate to the deity who presides over the appalling forees of the tropieal thunder-storm, who flashes the lightuing and hurls the thumderbolt.

It is also germane to the conception of the earthquake god. The first syllable, cob, means twice, or two, or second: and apparently has reference to hum, one or first, in hurakall. As the thunderstorm was the most terrifying display of power, so next in order came the earthquake.

The name Chiorkan as applied to Xmueane may have many meanings ; chi in all these dialects means primarily montil; but it has a vast mmber of secondary meanings, as in all languages. Thus, acording to Coto, it is currently

[^77]nsed to designate the mouth of a jar, the erader of a voleamo, the eve of a needle, the door of a lonse, a window, a gate to a field, in faet, almost any opening whatever. I suspeet that as here used as part of the mane of the mythical mother of the race and the representation of the female principle, it is to he understood as referring to the ostiotm adgince, from which, as from an immeasumble arg ina gomfinm, all amimate life was believed to have drawn its existence.

If the derivation of Harakan here presented is correct, we can hardly refuse to explain the word as it oecurs elsewhere with the same meaning as an evidence of the enty influence of the Maya race on other tribes. It would appear to have been throngh the Caribs that it was carried to the West India islands, where it was first heard by the limopean mavigators. 'Thus the lictionarire (ialibi (1'aris, 17, 3.) gives for "diable," iroucan, jeroucen, hrorokn, precisely as Coto gives the Cakehiquel equivalent of "diablo" as hurakan. This god was said by the Caribs to have torn the islands of the West Indian arehipelago from the mainland and to have heaped up the sand hills and bluffs along the shores.* As an associate or "captain" of the hurricane, they spoke of a huge bird who makes the winds, by name Satacon, in the middle syllable of which it is possible we may recognize the bird atku, which the Quiches spoke of as the messenger of Htaakan.

I now pass to the myth of the kescent of the hero-god, Xhalanque, into the malerworld, Xiballa, his vietory over

[^78]the ir inabitants, and trimmphant return to the realm of light. The exploits of this demigod are the principal theme of the earlier portion of the lopol I'uh.

It was the vague similarity of this myth to the narrative of the descent of Clurist into hell, and his ascent into heaven. to which we owe the earliest reference to these religions beliefs of the Gnatemalan tribes; and it is a gratifying proof of their genuine antiquity that we have this reference. Our authority is the Bishop of Chiapas, Bartolome de las Casas, with other contemporary writers. The Bishop writes that the natives oi Guatemala alleged that Xbalanque was born at Uthatlan, the ancient Quiche capital, and having governed it a certain time with success, went down to hell to fight the devils. Having conquered them, he returned to the upper world, but the Quiches refused to receive him, so he passed on into another province. *

As related in the Popol lith, the myth rums thas:
The divine pair, Xpiyacoc and Xmucane had as sons Hunhum-Ahpu and Vukub-Hum-Ahpu (Iach-one-a-Magician and Seven-times-a-Magician). They were invited to visit Xibalba, the Conderworld, by its lords, Hum-Came and Vonkul-Came (One-Death and Seven-Deaths), and accepting the invitation, were treacheronsly murdered. The head of Hmmhm-Alipu was cut off and suspended on a tree. A maiden, by name Xquiq, (Blood,) passed that way, and looking at the tree, longed for its fruit; then the head of Hun-hun-Ahpu cast forth spittle into the outstretched palm of

[^79]the maiden, and forthwith she beeame pregnant. Angered at her condition, her father set about to slay her, but she c-acaped to the upper world and there brought forth the twins: Hum-Ahpu and Xbalanque. They grew in strength, and performed various deeds of prowess, which are related at length in the Popol liuh, and were at last invited by the fords of the Underworld to visit then. It was the intention of the rulers of this dark land that the youthe shouk meet the same fate as their father and uncle. But, prepared by warnings, and skilled in magic power, Xbalanque and his brother foiled the murderous designs of the lords of Xibalba; pretending to be burned, and their ashes cast into the river, they rose from its waves umbarmed, and by a stratagem slew Ifun-Came and Vukub-Came. Then the inhabitants of the Conderworld were terrified and fled, and Hun-Ahpu and Xbalangue released the prisoners and restored to life those who had been shain. The latter rose to the sky to become its countless stars, while Hunhum-Ahpu and Vukub-HunAhpu ascended to dwell the one in the sum, the other in the moon.

The portion of the legend which narrates the return of Xbalanque to the upper world, and what befell him there, as referred to in the myth preserved by Las Casas, is not presersed in the Popol I'uh.

The faint resemblance which the ear' $\because$ missionaries noticed in this religions tradition to that of Christ would not lead any one who has at all closely studied mythology to assume that this is an echo of Christian teachings. Both in America and the Orient the myths of the hero god, born of a virgin, and that of the descent into Hades, are among the most
common. Their explanation rests on the miversality and prominence of the processes of nature which are typified under these narratives. It is unscientific to attempt to derive one from the other, and it is not less so to endeavor to invest them with the character of history, as has been done in this instance by the Abbe Brassenr and varions other writers.

The Abbe maintaned that Xibalba was the name of an ancient State in the valley of the Usumasinta in Tabasco. the capital of which was Palenque.* He inclined to the belief that the original form was ticalba, which would mean painted mole, in the Tzendal dialect and might have reference to a custom of painting the face. This far-fetched derivation is unnecessary. The word Vibalba, (Cakchiquel Xibalbay, Maya Xibalba, Xabalba, or Xubalba) was the common term throngl:ont the Maya stock of langnages to denote the abode of the spirits of the dead, or Hades, which with them was held to be under the surface of the earth, and not, as the Mexicans often supposed, in the far north. Hence the Cakchiquels used as symonyons with it the expression "the centre or heart of the earth." $\dagger$

After the conquest the word was and is in common use in Guatemalan dialects to mean hell, and in Maya for the decil. Cogolludo states that it was the original Maya term for the

[^80]fivil Spirit, and that it means " He who disappears, or vanWhaes." H He evidently derived it from the Maya verb, wibit, and I believe this derivation is correct ; but the signification the gives is incomplete. The original sense of the word was " to melt," hence "to disappear." 中 This became comected with the idea of disappearance in death, and of ghosts and specters.
It is interesting to note how the mental processes of these secluded and semi-barbarous tribes led them to the same association of ideas which our greatest dramatist expresses in Hamlet's soliloquy:

> "O, that this too, too solid flesh would melt, Thaw, and resolve itself into a dew:"
and which Cicero records in the phrase dissolutio nature, in the sense of death. ${ }_{+}^{\text {早 }}$

The natural terror and fright with which death and ghosts are everywhere regarded, and especially, as Ianda remarks, by this people, explain how this secondary meaning became predominant in the word. The termination ba means in the Guatemalan dialects, where, whence, whither, ber, a path or road ; Xibilbay thus signifies, in the locative sense, "the place where they (i. c. the dead) disappear," the

[^81]Hades, the Invisible Realm, which was supposed to be under the gromed.

It was a common belief among many tribes in America, that their earliest ancestors emerged from a world which underlies this one on which we live, and in ancient Cakehiquel legend, the same or a similar notion seems to have prevailed.

The name of the hero-god Vbalanque is explaned by the Abbe Brasseur as a compound of the dimimative prefix $x$. balam, a tiger, and the plural termination que.* Like so many of his derivations, this is quite incorrect. There is 110 plural termination que, either in the Quiche or in any related dialect; and the signification "tiger" (jaguar, Ficlia unca Lin. in Mexican octlotl), which he assigns to the word balum, is onty one of several which belong to it.

The name is compounded of the prefix, either feminine or diminutive, $x$; balam, or, as given by Gumman, balan ; + and guch, deer. This is the composition given by Ximenez, who translates it literally as "a diminutive form of tiger and deer. ${ }^{\prime \prime}+$

The name balam, was also that of a class of warriors: of a congregation of priests or diviners; and of one of the inferior orders of deities. In composition it was applied to a spotted butterfly, as it is in our tongue to the "tiger-lily;" to the king-bee ; to certain rapacious birds of prey, ete.

None of the significations concerns us here; but we do sce our way when we learn that both balam and queh are names

[^82]if days in the Quiche-Cakeliquel calendar. The fommer stow for the twelfth, the latter for the seventh in their week (1) twenty days.: liach of the days was saered to a particular divinity, hut owing to the inadequate material pre--oteed for the study of the ancient calendars of Guatemata, we are mucli in the dark as to the relationship of these divinities.

Suffice it to say that the hero-god whose name is thas componnded of two signs in the calendar, who is bom of a virgin, who perfoms many suprising feats of prowess on the earth, who descends into the world of darkness and sets free the stm, moon and stars to perform their daily and nighty joumeys through the heavens, presents in these and other traits such numerous resemblances to the Divinity of Light, reappearing in so many American myths, the Daymaker of the northern lumting tribes, that I do not hesitate to identify the narrative of Xbalanque and his deeds as one of the presentations of this widespread, this well-nigh miversal myth-guarding my words by the distinct statement, howerer, that the identity may be solely a psychological, not a historical one.

[^83]
## THE HERO-GOD OF THE ALCONKINS AS A CHEAT ANID LIAR.:

II the pleasant volume which Mr. Charles G. Leland has written on the surviving aboriyinal folk-lore of New Fingland, the chief divinity of the Miemacs and Penobscots appears muder what seems at first the outrageously ineongruous name of ciluskat, the liar! This is the translation of the name as given by the Rev. S. T. Rand, late missionary among the Micmacs, and the best auhority on that language. From a comparison of the radicals of the name in related dialects of the Algonkin stock, I shonld say that a more strictly literal rendering wonld be "worl-breaker," or "deceiver with words." In the Penobscot dialect the word is divided thus,-Cilus-Gahbe, where the component parts are more distinctly visible. .

The explanation of this epithet, as quoted from native sources by Mr. Leland, is that he was called the liar lecause "when he left earth, like King Arthur, for fairy land, he promised to return, and has never done so."

It is true that the Algonkian Hero-God, like all the Amercan culture-heroes, Ioskeha, Quetzalcoatl, Zamma, Bochica,

[^84]Yir:

Viracocha, and the rest, disappeared in some mysterions Way, promising again to visit his people, and has long delayed his coming. But it was not for that reason that he was called the "deceiver in words." Had Mr. I.eland made himself acquainted with Algonkin mythology in general, he would have fomd that this is but one of several, to our thinking, opprobrious names the applied to their highest divinity, their mational hero, and the reputed saviour and benefactor of their race.

The Crees, living morthwest of the Miemacs, eall this divine personage, whom, as lather I acombe tells us, they regard as "The principal deity and the founder of these mations," by the name ll isakiktjik, which means "the trickster," "the deceiver." ${ }^{*}$ The Chipeways apply to him a similar term, Venaboj, or as it is usually written, Nanabojor, and Nanaboshoo, "the Cheat," perhaps allied to Nanabanisi, he is cheated. $\dagger$

This is the same deity that reappears under the names Mamabozho, Michabo, and Messou, among the Chipeway tribes; as Napiai among the Blackfeet; and as IVetucks among the New England Indians where he is mentioned by Roger Williams as "A man that wrought great miracles among them, with some kind of broken resemblance to the Some of God. ${ }^{\prime \prime}+$

[^85]

















In all the phte and atheient Ngonkin eosmegomical

 teaches his fatorite people the ats of the chases, allel gives them the cont and beans. Itis work is distuther be enemies of rations kinds, somethes his own brothers, sometimes b a formidable serpent and his minions.
'These myths, when athaty thongh the proper manes they contain, and compared with those of the better klown mythologies of the ohd work, show phathe that their origital purport was to recombt, ander metaphorical langrager, on the
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 of the combless taten which are tok of him here the hative story tellers，wily a small part of which，and those moll





 kegends．Aichab，does mot compluer his anemion by brate
 dictit herpert，ath Mgonquin legentl．＂
｜1／4．！kiswathes，Vol．1，1．1．31
force, nor by superior strength, hat by eraft and rases, hey tramsforming himself into msinspected shapes, by cmming and strategs. He thas comes to be represemed as the arelo. deceiver: but in a good semee, as his ememies on whom ho pratices these wiles are also those of the laman race, ame he exereises his powers with a benevolent intention.

Thas it comes to pass that this highest divinity of there nations, their chief god and culture-hero, bears in familiar natrative the smprising titles, "the liar," " the cheat," and "the deceiver."

It would be an in terestang literary and poychological stady to compare this form of the Michalan mith with some in the old world, which closely resemble it in what artists call molier. I would name particularly the story of the "wily Ulyses" of the Greeks, the "transfomations of EDOM Sed of Serng" and the like in Arabic, and the famous tale of Reynath the loox in medieval literature. The satue spirit breathes in all of them; all minister to the delight with which the mind contemplates mere physical strength beaten in the struggle with intelligence. They are all peans sung for the victory of mind over matter. In none of them is there much nieety about the means used to aceomplish the ends. Deceit by word and action is the general resource of the heroes. They all act on the Italian maxim:

[^87]
## THE NOURNEY OF THE: SOUL..":

|A.M alout to invite gour attention to onte of the many
 which is still in its infancy, may be regarted by stme of bons as it is be the world at large, as one of little practional importance, and guite remote from the interests of daily life and thought. hat some of the remilts it attains are so startling, and throw such a singular light on varions faniliar enstoms and popmatar lecliefs, that the thene is mot far off when it will be recognemed as one of the most potent solvents in the erncible of intelligence.
The point to which I shall address meself to-night is the opinion entertained loy three anceint nations, very wide apart in space, time and bood, conecming the jonney of the soul when it leaves the body:
These mations are the ancent Iogyptians, the ancicut Araans, and the Aztees or Nalma of Central Mexieo.
All these people believed, with equal faith, in the existence of a soul or spirit in man, and in its contiming life after the death of the body. How they came be this belief doces not concern my present thesis; that they hedd it in mugnestioning faith mone can deny who has studical even superficially their surviving momments. They supposed

[^88]this assmmed after-life was continued moder varying com ditions in some other locality than this present world, and that it reguired a journey of some length for the diem bodied spirit to reach its destined abode. It is the events which were supposed to take phace on this jomrney, and the goals to which it led, that 1 anm almot to marrate. It will be seen that there are several emrions similarites in the opinions of these widely diverse peoples, which ean only be explained by the supposition that they based their theories of the sombls journey and goal on some amalogy faniliar to them all.

I begin with the ligyptian theorg. It appears in its mose complete form in the sepulehal records of the New Kinglom. after the long period of antrely of the shepherd Kings hat passed, and when mader the isth, wh and zoth dyansties, legyt may be satid to have risen to the very pimache of her greathess.
'The eollection of the sacred funcrary texts into the fanous ritual known as "'ilac Book of the lead," dates from this, time. Many of its elapters are, indeed, very much odder: but ligyptian religion, which wats not stationary, hat cont stantly progressive toward higher intellectual forms and purer ethical standarts, can best ixe judged as it was in this period, that of the Theinat dymaties of the New Kinglom. Fooncign a date, we may say in round mombers, two thon salld years before the Charistian erat.

From that invalmable docmment, therefore, the "Book of the bad," we leam what this andent people expeeted to happen to the somb when it left the boly. (Of the millions of mammices which were acalously prepared in those ages. none was complete maless it had folded with it one or a
mamer of chapters of this holy hook, the formalas in: which were safeguards and pasiwords to the spirit on its !eribons јиитеу.

The general statement is that the sonl on leaving the conpe pasees toward the West, where it deseconds inte the divine infentor region called Amenti, over which presides Wiris, "chicf of chicfi divine," who represents the Sum-god in his absence, in other words the smin at night, the smo Which has sumk in the west and stays somewhere all night.

In this place of darkness the soml modergoes its varions tests. The deeds done in the flesh, the words spoken in life, the thonglts of the heart, are brought up agamst it be different acensers, who appear in the form of monsters of the Wep. As the sum has to combat the darkness of the bight and to owerome it before it can again rise, so the somb has to combat the record of its sins, amd conguer the frightful images which represent them. 'rhis was to be done in the Pipptian, as in almost all religions, be the power of magic formulas, in other words berayers, and the invocation of hole names.

Having suceceded, the somb saw the nightly eonstellations and the heavenly stars, and reached the great celestial river, whose mame was Num. This was the eelfereated, pimordial element. from its green depths all ereated things, even the gods themselves, took their origin. It is alled in the texts, "father of all gools." liom it rose ka, the smagod, in his bightaess. In its dark deptlas lies lumad in chains of iron the serpent Refref, the sembel of wil. otherwise called $\lambda_{\text {papl }}$ But, thomgh bomme 'his momster embators to seize each soul that erosses the river.

The fortmate sond repels the serpent by bows and incanta tions which destroy its power, but the unfortmate one is swallowed up and amihilated.

This danger passed, the soml reathes the farther strand. and rises from the waters, ats Horms, who represents the sum at dawn, rises from the eastern wases. This is the porpore of all the rites and pravers-to have the somb, as the expere sion is. "rise at day" or "riee in the daytime." In other worls, to rise as the sum and with the sam, or, to tase again the eonstant formula of the "Book of the Dead," to "enter the bat of the sime " for the sum was supposed to satil throngh celestal and tamslacent waters on its grand journey from horizon to zenith and zenith to horizom. Starting at dawn as the child Horts, son of the slatin and lost Osiris, the orb of light became at midday the mighty Ra, and as evening approached, was transformed into Khep-Ra or llarmachis, agam to become Osiris when it had sunk beneath the western verge.

So strict and absolute was the ambogy supposed by the ligyptians to exist between the conrse of the sum and the destiny of the soul, that every soul was said to become Osiris at the moment of death, and in the copies of the "Book of the lead," enclosed in a mannmy, the proper mame of the definct i s always preceded by the name " Oniris," as we might say "Ositis Rameses" or "Osiris Sesor tris."

To illustrate further what I have said, I will translate a few passages from the most recent and correct version of the " book of the bead," that published at laras a few monthe ago, and mate by Prof. Panl Pierret, of the ligyptian Masemm of the Iomve.

The following is an extract from the first chapter of this Ritual:
"o ye who open the rards! O ye who make smooth the pathe to the sonds in the abode of osiris! Make smonth the paths, ofen the roads to Osiris sueh-a-one that be may enter, loy the aid of this chapter, into the aloote of oniris; that he may cuter with \%at and emerge with joy: that this Osiris Sucherome be not repulsed, wor miss his way, that he may outer as he wishes and leave when he wills. lect his words loe made true and his orders excented in the abode of ( ) siris.
"This Osiris Such-a-one is jommeying toward the west with good fortume. When weigled in the batance be is fonm to be withont sin; of mamerons months, mone has condemmed him; his soul stands ereet before Osiris ; ont of his month when on earth no impurity procecded.'
(Here the soul speaks:)
"I place myself before the master of the gods; I reach the divine abode; I raise myself as a living god; I shine among the gods of beaten; I am beome as one of you, 0 segrods. I witness the progress of the holy stars. I eross the river Num. I am not far removed from the fellowship of the gods. I eat of the food of the gools. I sit among them. I am inooked as a divine being; I hear the payers offered to me ; I enter the boat of the smi my soul is mot far from its lord. Hail to thee, Osiris! Grant that I sail joyonsly to the west, that I be received by the lords of the West ; that they say to me, 'Adoration, adoration and peace be thine:' and that they prepare a place for me near to the chief of chiefs divine."

Through the rhetoric of this mystic rhapsody we see that
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 julges, and by them its linture late was decided. An: bere decision comblemed it wander lonely in the dankness, but a lavemble verdict anthonized its contrance into the hany fields of lilysimus. 'This joyons abode was in the far west, in that land beyond the shining waters and the purple
sumset sea, where the orb of light goes to rest himself at night. Its light is etermal, its joys permaial, its happiness perfect.

With little difference, this faith was shared by ancient Indians and ancient Norsemen. 'The latter often haried with the dead a canoe or boat, destined to conver the soml aerose the waves to the happe land beyomed.
leven the ancient Kelt of Comwall or Brittany had this same myth of the Islands of the Blessed, hing somewhere far out in the Westem sea, What to the Greek was the Garden of the Hesperides with it: froit of golden guinees. was to the Kelt the Isle of Aralon, with its orchards of apples.

Thither was convered the noble Arthur when slain on the field of fyoness. He was bome away in a royal boat by the fairy women of the strand. There Ogier the Dane, wom by the wars of a hundred years, was carried by his divine godmother to be restored to youth and strength, and to retum again to wield his battle-axe under the Oriflamme of France.

Wherever we thrn, whether in the most aneient chants of the Vedas, in the graceful foms of the (ireek religions fancy, in the gatunt and weird imaginings of the Norse poets, or in the complex but brilliant pictures of medieval romance, we find the same distinct plan of this journey of the sonl.

I pass now to the New World, almost to the antipodes of India, and take up the doctrines of the Aztees. We have sufficiently anple acconnts of their notions, preserved by various early writers, especially by Father Sahagun, who took down the words of the priests in their own tongue, and at a date when their knowledge was not dimmed or distorted

小 Contistian teaching. Something may also be leaned from 'looromoc, a native chronicler, and others.
from these it appear that the dateces hed that after death the souls of all people pass downward into the ander-wordd, to the phace called bictlan. This is translated be the missomaties as "hell" or "infermo." but be derivation it means simply " the place of the slain," from an active verb meaning "to kill."
'lo explain this further, I actd that in all primitive Americ:m tribes, there is no notion of matural death. No man "dies, " he is always "killed." Death as a necessary incident in the course of nature is catirely maknown to them. When a person dies by disease, they suppose he has been killed by some sorcery, or some unknown venomons creatare.
The journey to Mictlan was long and perilons. 'The sonl first passed throngla a marrow deffe between two monntains which tonched each other, where it was liable to be ernsined ; it then reached a path by which lay in wait a serpent ; next was a sipot where a hage green lizard whose name was "'The Flower of Heat," was concealed. After this, eight deserts stretched their wild wastes, and beyond these, eight stecp hills reared their toilsome sides into the region of snow. Over their smmmits blew a wind so keen that it was called "The Wind of Knives." Much did the poor sonl suffer, exposed to this bitter cold, unless many conts of cotton and other clothing were burnt upon his tomb for use at this lofty pass.

These hills descended, the shivering ghost reached the river called "By the Nise Waters." It was broad, and deep, and swift. Little chance had the soul of crossing its dark
emone was the aid for this purpose forgotlen during life, of be the momaters. This ad was a dog, of the spectes tratmed


But the doge mast be of a particular color: white wombt not answer, efse be would sily, when bought to the brink, "As for me, 1 am ablealy washed." Black wonth fail ath much, for the amimal would say, "I am too back myself to help another wash." The ombe color was red, and for this reason great mambers of reddish cons were fostered by the Aztecs, and one was saterificed at cach funcral. Clinging to it, the sond erossed the river and reached the finther brink in safety, being purged and chamsed in the tramsit of all that wonld make it unfit for the workls beyond.
'These worlds were threefold. One was called "floe nime Abodes of the bead," where the ordinary mass of mankind were said to go and forever abide. The second was paradise, 'Tlaboan, the dwelling-place of the 'Plabocs, the gods of fertility and rain. It was full of roses and fraits. No pain was there, and mo sorrow, Sorehing heat and cold were alike unkown. Green fiedds, rippling brooks, bamy airs and perpetand joy, filled the immortal days of the happe souls in Tlalocan. 'Those who were destined for its elysian years were divinely designated by the diseases or aceidents of which they died. These were of singular variety. All struck by lightuiner or wounded, the leprons, the gonty, the dropsical, and what at first sight seems curious, all those who died of the forms of venereal diseases, were believed to pass directiy to this Paradise.

The third and highest reward was reserved for the brave who died upon the fied of battle, or, as eaptives, perished by aine 1 vomld rink, ail elf 1 Il学 the ing lo brink ol all
the malice of publie enemice, and for women who died in
 in the bright heavers. After fons gears they retmond in anth, ame mater the form of bight phomaged singing hirds a joised the hants of men, ant were agan ofectators of haman life.

In this A\%ter dectrine the raler of the matermenta is -puken of as . Wirtlanterntli, which the ohtase mis.sonaries persintently remeder as the devil.

The mame means simply "Sond of the Ahote of the Stam," or of the deat. In several of mythe he is bomght into close relation with the Azter national herogrod, Suetralconatl.
like Osiris, Quetzaleoatl was said to be absent, to have fome away to the home of the smothat home where the sman rents at might. More specifically, this was said to be maler the earth, and it was spoken of as a place of delights, like Tlaloem. Its mane was (ïncalo, which means the llonse of Abmatance ; for no want, no dearth, bu hanger and wo suffering, were known there. With him dwelt the sonls of his disciples and the Toltees, his people, and at some day or other he and they would return to clam the land and to resture it to its pristine state of perfection.

The thoughts in these faths which I have deseribed are the same. In each of them the supposed history of the desting of the some follows that of the sum and the stars. In all of them the spirits are beliceed to deseend into or mater the surface of the earth, and then, after a certain lapse of time, some fortmate ones are released to rise like the orls of light into the heavens above.
strikint analogies exist among the allo 'The river Which in ench flaws throngh the molerword, is mothing ctice thath the great world-streatm wheh in the primitive gengraphe of every nation is believed to survomad the habitable lamed, and leyoud which the sums sinks at might. 'Io reach the aloote of the sum int the west this river mant be erosed.

The mumbers + and $s$ which oxeme in the logytian and
 ness attacher to the cardinal prints.
'Tlse ruler of the realon of shadows is mot a malerolen being. Osiris, IIades or Plato, Mietlantecutli, פuct\%alcoath, all originally represented the sum in its absence, and nome of them in any way corresponds to the mediaceal or modern motion of the devil. As ( Siris, who is musestionable the departed sum-god, was represented with heary and batided hair, so his Aztec eomelative was also mamed Tomtemer, which means, he of the ahmodant falling hatr. In cach ease the amagy was to the long shating rays of the setting silll.

The role of the dog in these methe is a curions one. II appears as a ghardian and preserver. Deven Corberas is good to the grood sons. It hat been arened by the eminent Sanserit anticuary Rajendalala, in his late volume on the Indo- Sryans, that this is a reminisecmee of an ancient enstom of throwing the dead bodies to the dogs to be comsumed, rather than have them decay. 'This to me is mot a very satisfactory explanation, but I have nome other to offer in its place, and i therefore merely all attention to this singular similarity of notions.

Thongh I have confined my comparison to these three
ancient mations, you would er wively if yon imagine that it is for lack of material wextend it. I could easily summon mamberkes other amalogies from classic, from leasian, from faramian, from semitie sourese to show that these motions were almost universal to the race of man.

They carrice themselves into carly Christian teachings. and to-thy the wording of this ancient smomyth is repeated in most of the charehes of Clmistendon. We hate but to mention the "river of death" which is shpposed to limit homan life ; we have but to look at the phaseology of the Niene Symbol, where it is said that Christ "desecmed into hedl (Hakles), ${ }^{\circ}$ and after there days rose from the dead and ascemded into heaven, to see how persistently the oft ideas have retained their sway over the religions sentiments and expressions of man.

## 

11
 cal. A! intention is to combat the 中pinions of theme Writers who, like If. Hame, A. Beamonis and mathe others, ${ }^{\circ}$

 fomad among the American aborgines, they ate evidence of Mongolian, Buldhistie. Coristian or Aryan inmagrations, previons to the disconery be Cohmons: and labllatso try to show that the position is emponems of 11 who, like William II. Hohmes, of the Burean of lithmolons, mathata that "it is imposible togive a satisfactory explatation of the religions signifienter of the cross as a religions symbor in . America.'

In apposition to both these views I propese to show that the primaty significance of all these widely extended sym
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Pheir wide prevalence in the old World is familiar to all





 sinterne of symbolic forms, the traveler in the latter rexion
 mative inhablatats. As a deorative motive, on pertaps With a deeper significance, it is repeatedly fomblan ancicent

 Prequently the figure is simply that of there straght or cumed linces springing from a central point and surrounded be a circle, as:

[^90]

1F1: 1 ,


Fig. 2.

In the latter we have the precise form of the Chinese Pa $K i$ a symbolic figure which plays a prominent part in the mystical writing, the divination and the decorative art of China.*

As it is this symbol which, according to Dr. Hamy, the distinguished ethologist and Director of the Musemm of the Trocadero, Paris, indicates the preaching of Buddhistic doctrines in America, it merits close attention.

The Ta Ki, expressed by the signs.

fili. .
is properly translated, "The Great Vniter" (ta, great; ki to join together, to make one, to mite); as in modem ( mi nese philosophy, expressed in Platonie language, the One in distinguished from the Many, and is regarled as the basis of the numerieal system. But as the Chinese helieve in the

[^91]mestic powers of mumbers. and as that which rednces all multipliey to mity naturally comtrols or is the smmmit of all things, therefore the fa Ki expresice the completest and highest creative foree.

In Chinese philosophy the loniverse is made up of opposites, heaven and carth, light and darkness, day and night, land and water, concave and convex, male and female, cite. the highest terms for which are lian and bing. 'These are hed to be brought into fructifying mion by Tra Ki . Abstractly, the latter would be regarded as the symthesis of the two miversal antitheses which make ap all phenomenat:

The symbolic representation of Yin and Vang is a cirele divided by two ares with opposite eentres, while the symbol of 'Ta Ki adds a third are from above miting these two.


114: 1


1Ftis.

It is possibie that these symbols are of late origin, devised to express the ideas above mamed. One Chinese selolar (Mr. S. (Culin) tells me that it is doubtful if they ocemr carlier than the twelfth centme, A. D., ant that they were probably introdnced for parposice of divination. In this ease I befiere that the were introduced from the sonth, and that they originally had another and eomerete significance, at 1 shall explain later.

[^92]Others consider these sombols as essentially Mongoliam 'The Ta Ki or 'riskeles is to them the Momgolian, while the Sastika is the ethmid Argan symbon, Such writers smepert Indo-limogean immigration where they diseover the latter Chinese immigration were they find the fomer emblem.
 grammated cross, nswally repersented as bollows:


the fome ams of conal length, the book momally pointing from left toright. In this form it ocemes in hatia and on Pery ealy (meolithe) (oreo-ltalic and Iherian remains. So monch has been written upon the suastika, however, that I beed not enter apon its arehaobogical distribution.

Its primary significance has been varomsty explanked. Some have regated it ats a graphic representation of the lightning, others ats of the two fire sticks used in oltaming fire be friction, and som.

Whatever its signifinace, we are safe in considering it a form of the Cross, and in its special form ohtaming its symbolic or sacted association from this origin.

The widely opead mestie purport of the Cross symbol has long beon matter of comment. Indoubtedly in many parts: of Ameriea the matives regarded it with reverence antem to the arrival of liumpeans; as in the Ohl Work it was hons a sacred simbol before it lecame the distinctive emblan of Christanity.
ds in previons writings I have bronght together the evidence of the vencration in which it was hed in America, I -hall mot repeat the references here.
I believe we may go atep further and regard all there of the ex subols, the 'ra Ki or Prinkeles, the suastika, and the Cosse ats originally the sante in signification, or, at least, chocly allied in meaning. I believe, further, that this cant be shown from the relics of anciont American art so clearly that wo one, free from prejulice, and whote mind is open to combiction, will deny its correctuess.

My theory is that all of the symbols are graphic reprenemtations of the movements of the smath with reference on the ligure of the earth, as umberstoced ly primitive man everywhere and henee that these symbols ate fombed in varions parts of the globe without necessarily inply ing any historic comections of the peoples uning them.
This explanation of them is mot cotirely new. It has pro vimoly bect patly shggested ley Pofesors Worsac and Virchow: but the demonstration I shatl offer hats mot heretofore been smbmitad to the seientife world, atad its material is nowel.

Begiming with the 'la ki , we find its primary elements in the symbolic picture-writigg of the North Ametican Indians. In that of the Ojibwass, for example, we hase the following three characters:


Of these, the Fig. 7 represents the sumrise; ligg. 9, sum-

 the come comblat comeniently be misel，straight lime womble be apted：


1い：．い。
thats giving the ortinaty form of the priskeles．But the identical fom of the＇lia Ki is fomm in the callendar seroll attached th the Conker－Ponsedt，an mumblished origital
 can Philocophical society．A line fom this sotoll is as find lows：


111： 11.
llere eath circle means a day，and these with the priskele． culnimating days．$\dot{\text { o }}$

[^93]Smother form of representing discis is seen int the Vatican
 Inl. ifi:

$$
\theta \theta
$$

1:16: 12,
Thas is mot far form the fightre ont the stome at Copata, desribed in lot. Ilamy's paper, where the design in ats follows:

1516. 1.3

This does not resemble the Ta Ki , as I)r. Hany supposes, but rather the Vin-Yang; yet differs from this in having a ecntral circle (apparently a eup-shaped depression . This eentral eireular figure, whether a boss or nave, or a eup-shaped pit, has been explained by Worsaae as a comventionalized form of the sun, and in this he is borne out by primitive American art, as we shall see. The twents clevations which surround the stone, corresponding in 111111 ber to the twenty days of the Maya month, indicate at once that we have here to do with a monmment relating to the calendar.

Turning now to the development of this class of figures in primitive American art, I give first the simplest representations of the sun, such as those painted on buffalo skins by the Indians of the Plains, and seratelsed on the surface of rock: The examples are selected from many of the kind published by Col. Garrick Mallery.*


$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{G} . \mathrm{I} . \mid .
$$

The design is merely a rude device of the human face. with four rays proceeding from it at right angles. These four rays represent, according to the manimons interpretation of the Indians, the four directions defined by the appar

[^94](nit motions of the sum, the Eart and West, the North and sonth. By these directions all travel and all alignments of habldings, oorpes, ete., were defned ; and hence the earth Whe regarded as fomr-sided or fon-comered: or, when it was cxpresed as a circle, in aceorlance with the appearance of the visible horizon, the fom radia were drawn as inpinging on the four sides:


1"14. 15.

live 16.
[iig. 15 is a design on a vase from Maraja, Brazil, and is of common oceurrence on the pottery of that region.* lig. 16 represents the circle of the visible horizon, or the earthplain, with the four winds rushing into it when summoned by a magician. It is a figure from the Meday Magic of the Ojibwayst Dr. Ferraz de Macedo has clamed that such devices as Fig. 16 "show Chinese or IGgptian inspiration." + It is certainly monecesary to accept this alternative when both the origin and significance of the symbol are so plain in mative American art.

When the symbol of the sun and the four directions was inscribed within the circle of the visible horizon, we obtain the figure representing the motions of the sm with reference to the earth, as in:


*. Captiaity and Idientures of John 7anner, pl). 359, 360.
+1p. cit., P. 3s.


1116, 17.
'This is what German arelneologists call the whece-cross, Redliores, distinguished, as Worsate perinted ont, by the presence of the central hoss, enp or mase, from the ringcross, Ringhoruz, lig. is:


1ill: 19.


in which, also, the arms of the cross do not reach to the circomference of the wheel. Worsane very justly haid much stress on the presence of the central boss or cup, and correctly explained it as indicative of the sun ; but both he and Virchow, who followed him in this explanation, are, I think, in error in supposing that the circle or wheel represents the rolling sun, dic rollende Somuc. Me proof of this is that this same figure was a familiar symbol, with the signification stated, in tribes who did not know the mechanical device of the wheel, and conld have had, therefore, no notion of such an analogy as the rolling whee of the stu1.*

[^95]When applied to time, the symblof the cirele in primitive ant referred to the retarn of the seasons, mot to an ideat of motion in space. This is very phatus secen both in art and lambage. In the year-comats or wintereonatsof the Americall tribes, the years were very genemally signified by circles athaged in rows of epials. lig. zo shows the bakota winter-comint, as depieted on their buffato robes.:


Nif. 20,
This count is to be rad from right to left. beamse it is written from left to right, and hence the gear last reeorded is at the ended of the lane.

Precisely similar series of cireles ocem on the A\%tee and Maya codices, with the same signification. Noreover, the yeareyeles of both these mations were reperented by a cirele of the border of which the years were inseribed. In Maya this was called maslazon katan, the tuming about again, or revohtion of the katuns. $\dot{\gamma}$

The Aytec figure of the year-cele is so instructive that I give a sketch of its principal clements (lig. 21), as portrayed int the athas to Duran's History of Mexico. *



 Mi lion Vol. ii.


\#/fishoria de lu Niu'ia Fispuñz, Trat. III, catp. i.


In this remarkable figne we observe the development and primary signification of those world-wide symbols, the sfuare, the eross, the wheel, the circle, and the soastika. The last-mentioned is seen in the elements of the broken circle, which are:


1'14. 22.

These, conventionalized into rectilinear figures for seratehing on stone or wood, became:

1116. 23.

In the Mexican time-wheel, the years are to be read from right to left, as in the Dakota winter-eounts; each of the quarter circles represents thirteen years; and these, also, are to be read from right to left, begimning with the top of the figure, which is the East, and proceeding to the North, South and West, as indicated.

The full analysis of this suggestive and authentic astronomical figure will reveal the secret of most of the rich symbolism and my thology of the American mations. It is easy to see how from it was derived the Nahuatl doctrine of the nahua ollin, or Four Motions of the Sun, with its accessories of the Four Ages of the world. The Tree of Life, so constantly recurring as a design in Maya and Mexican art, is but another outgrowth of the same symbolic expression for the same ideas.

That we find the same figurative symbolism in China, India, Iycia, Assyria and the valley of the Nile, and on ancient urns from Eitruria, Iberia, Gallia, Sicilia and Scythia, needs not surprise us, and ought not to prompt us to assert any historic connection on this account between the early development of man in the New and Old World. The
path of emblate is marrow, equecially in its carly stages, amb
 footsteps in aldancing from the darkness of harbarisul to the light of civilization.

## THE FOLK-LORE OF YUCATAN.:

VCOMAN presents a strange spectacle the the cthoologist. The native race, which in mearly every other part of the American comtatent has disappeared before the white insaters or else beconte their acknowledged inferior, hats there gatined the mper hand. The native langmage has onsted the Sbanish to that extent that whole villages of Whites speak Maya only, and the fortmes of war in the last generation have sided so much with the mative batses that fley have reganed madioputed possescion of by far the larger part of the peninsula.

Is there to be recognioged in this a revival of that inherent chergy wheh prompted their recestors to the construction of the most remarkable specimens of mative architectare on the continent, and to the development of a ripe social and political fabric?

It ean sareely be cloubted: but, howerer that may be, such considerations cannot fail to excite our interest in all that relates to a race of such plucky persistence.

As throwing a side-light on their mental constitution. their superstitions and folk-lore merit attention. I happen

[^96](163)
to have some material on this which has never been published, and some more which has only appeared in mediums quite inaceessible even to diligent students. Of the former are a manuseript by the Licentiate Zetint of Tabasco, a mative of Tihosuco, and some notes on the subject by Don Jose Maria Iopez, of Merida, and the late I r. Carl Hermanm Berendt; while of the latter a report by Don Bartholome Granado de Baeza, cura of Yascaba, written in isı3, and an article of later date by the learned cura, Istanislao Carrills, are particularly noteworthy.* From these sources I have gathered what I here present, arranging and studying the facts they give with the aid of several dictionaries of the tongue in my possession.
'These Mayas, as the natives called themselves, were converted at the epoch of the conquest (about 1550) to Christianity in that summary way which the Spaniards delighted in. If they would not be baptized they were hanged or drowned ; and, once baptized, they were flogged if they did not attend mass, and burned if they slid back to idol-worship. They were kept in the densest ignorance, for fear they should learn enough to doubt. Their alleged Christianity was therefore their ancient heathenism under a new name, and brought neither spiritual enlightemment nor intellectual progress. As a recent and able historian of

[^97]Yucatan has said, " the only difference was that the natives were changed from pagan idolaters to Christian idolaters." w

To this day the belief in soreerers, witelocraft and magic is as strong as it ever was, and in varions instances the very same rites are observed as those which we know from early anthors obtained before the conquest.

The diviner is called $k$ imen, a male personal form of the verb men, to muderstand, to do. He is one who knows, and who accomplishes. His main instrument is the zaztun, "the clear stone" (zaz, clear, transparent; tun, stone). 'This is a quartz erystal or other translueent stone, which has been duly sanctified by burning before it gum copal as an incense, and by the soienn recital of certain magic formnlas in an archaie dialect passed down from the wise ancients. It is thus endowed with the power of reflecting the past and. future, and the soothsayer gazes into its clear depths and sees where lost articles may be recovered, learns what is happening to the absent, and by whose witchery sickness and disaster have come upon those who call in his skill. There is searcely a village in Yneatan without one of these wondrons stones.

The wise men have also great infuence over the growing crops, and in this direction their chiefest power is exercised. By a strange mixture of Christian and pagan superstition, they are called in to celebrate the misa milpora, the "field mass" (mise, Spanish, "mass"; milpera, a word of Aztec (lerivation, from milfa, "cornfied "'). In the native tonguc

[^98]this is c:alled the thith. Which means the offering or saterifice. It is a distine surviald of a rite mentioned by biego ofe


The eremony is as billows: On a sort of altar constructed of sticks of equal length the mative pies places a lowl, and. having thrown on its beak some of the fermented liguor of the comatre, the fitarmilh, le kills it, and his assistante cook and some it with eertain maize eakes of large size and special preparation. When the least is ready, the priest
 of fitamilla, and asperges the four cardialal points, at the same time calling on the three persons of the Claristian Trinity, and the sacred form of his own ancient religion, the Iath ath tan. These materions heings were before the eonguest and to this day ramain in the native belice the geds of ram, and hence of fertility. floey are identical with the Winds, and the four carclinal points from which they bow. Toreach is sacted a particnlar color, and in mondern time
 Thus Pather Batesa telts us that the red Pahahtum is placed at the lisist, and is kown as Simint bominic ; to the North the white ome, who is saint Gabriel; the batek, toward the West, is saint James ; the yellow is toward the South, and is a female, called in the Maya tongue . V"人antor, "the y cllow goddess," and bears the Christian mame of Mary Magdalen.
'The name Pahahtun is of difficnlt derivation, but it probibly means " stome, or pillar, set up or erected," and this
 fors printedat lasis in ase.
ballies quite exactiy with a long deseription of the atheient rites comected with the worship of theere impertant disini tics in the ohl times. 'fleme are ander diserepancien in the colom ansigued the different peints of the compass, but this anpars to hate varied considerably among the Central American mations, thongh many of them mited in having


The insocation to the form peints of the compass in its mokem form was fortumatety obtained and preserved in the wigimal tomge by that indefatigable student, the late Abbe Brosecur de bourbourg, while on a visit to the phatation of Xeanchakan, in the interior of vacatan, the transation of it rums as follows:-
"At the rising of the Sim, ford of the East, my word goce forth to the form conners of the leaven, to the four coraters of the earth, in the mane of forl the liather, fool the Son, and Gorl the Ifoly Chost.
" When the elonds rise in the east, when be comes who seta in order the thiteen forms of the chonds, the yedrow bord of the haricalle, the hope of the fords to conte, the who rules the preparation of the divine liguor, be who boves the guardian spirits of the fichts, then I pray to him for his precions favor; for I trast all in the hands of Cod the father, God the Som, ant God the Iloly Ghost."

Such is an example of the strange mixture of heathen and

[^99]Claristian superstition which has been the interne of there centuries of sa called（＇haitian instruction！

There still continue to be relies of ant ancient form of fare Worship which once prevailed commonly throughout the pen insula．＇The missionaries refer to it as＂the festival of fire，${ }^{\circ}$ 来 hat the exact rites performed were so carefully com－ coated that we have tho description of them．＇That they ate bot yet ont of date is apparent for a copy of a native calendar for as．f1－2，ohtamed by Mr．Stephens when in Yucatan．In it the days are marked as lucky or malacky， and against certain ones such entries are made as＂mow the homer lights his fire，＂＂the burner gives his fire scope，＂ ＂the barer takes his fire，＂＂the barer puts ont his fire．＂ This burner，ah tor，is the modern representative of the ancient priest of the fire，and we find a few obscure references to an important rite，the tuft k ak，extinction of the fire，which was kept ap long after the commonest，and probably is still celebrated in the remoter villages．I In e sacred fire in ancient Maya land is sated to have been gated be chose d virgins， and it appears in some way to have beet identified with the force which gives life to the animal and vegetable world．

Another of the modern ceremonies which is imbued with the old notion，common to them at to all primitive people， of a son with material wants，is that called＂the feast of tl ： food of the son．＂small cakes are made of the flesh of hems and pomaded maize and are baked in an modergromd oven． Of these as many are placed on the altar of the chanel as the person making the offering has deceased relatives for whose

[^100]well being he is solicitoms. These eakes are ealled hathal firan. "the food of the somb." Lividently they are intended (1) repreacent the momishment destined for the soul on its jomme throngh the shatowy lands of death.

Aong with these there are many minor superstitions conne ted especially with the growth of emps and fruits. 'Thas it in widely believed that the froit kuown as the white gapote (. Siopole arhres, in Maya, (hoch) will not ripen of itself. Onc most tap it lightly several times as it approaches maturity, repating the formula:

Thokern, whechi; oren, lation:
lopart, grecomess: enter, rijeness
The ow is looked upon as an meamy bird, presaging death or disease, if it alights on or even flies over a house. Auother hird, the orr, a specics of pheasamt, is said to predied the approach of high notherly winds, when it calls lomdy and frepucotly in the woods; thongh this, aceording torne writer, is not so much a superstition as an observation of mature, and is usmally correct.

A singular ceremony is at times performeal to prevent the death of those who are sick. The dread being who in mediaval symbolism was repenented by a skekem, is known to the Mayas as J'um ( im il, Lord of Death. He is suppesed to lurk aromed a house where a person is ill, ready to enter and carry off his life when opportunity offers. He is, however, willing to aceept something in lien thereof, and to bring about this result the matives perform the rite ealled kex, or "barter." 'They bang jars and nets eontaning food and drink on the trees around the house, repeating certain
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 alld such trickery，coll whlan the mysteries of mastaliam，

 the insisible diaholic aselleces？
 mala rehted to the Mays，aserilue this permer to one of their
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[^102]elakan, yan $n$ zaxil thh, cit tu ntueubin lixib tu booy noltoch meadow, there being a bright moon, then hid himself the man in the shadeof a domt


 fine of the moon: then she stripped of her shin, and rematned mere bones. flan
 sherose to the sky, When she eame down again, then she satid to hitn: "Womblat thom
 renelstothesky?" hast not conld she aseend again, becanse of the throwing tatill. (of) silt.
To the Maya, the woods, the air, and the darkness are nined with mysterions beings who are ever ready to do him injury or service, but generally injury, as the greater mumber of these creations of his fancy are malevolent sprites.
Of those which are well disposed, the most familiar are the Balams (Maya, Itbalamob, masculine plural form of batam). This word is the common name of the American tiger, and as a title of distinction was applied to a class of priests and to kings. The modern notions of the Balams are revealed to us by the Licentiate Zetina of Tilhosuco, in his manuscripts to which I have previously referred.

He tells us that these beings are supposed to be certain very ancient men who take charge of and guard the towns. One stands north of the town, a second south, a third cast, and the fourth to the west. They are usually not visible during the day, and if one does see them it is a sign of ap. proaching illuess, which suggests that it is the disordered vision of some impending tropical fever which may occasionally lead to the belief in their apparition.
At night the Balans are awake and vigilant, and prevent many an accident from befalling the village, such as violent
mins, tormadoes, and pestilential diseases. They summon eath other he a loud, shrill whistle; and, though without wings, they fly through the air with the swiftuess of a bird. Occasionally they have desperate confliets with the evil powers who would assail the town. The signs of these noctumal struggles are seen the next day in trees broken down and uprooted, the ground torn up, and large stones split and thrown around.
Another of their duties is to protect the cornfields or mi"pas. It seems probable, from comparing the atthorities before me, that the Balams in this eapacity are identical with the Pa ahtons, whom I have referred to above, and that both are lineal descendants of those agricultural deities of the ancient Mayas, the Chac or Bacab, which are described by Bishop Lauda and others. No Indian on the peninsula neglects to propitia.- the Balam with a suitable offering at the time of corn-planting. Were he so negligent as to forget it, the erop wonld wither for lack of rain or otherwise be rumed.

An instance of this is told by Señor Zetina. An Indian near Tihosuco had paid no attention to the usual offering, perhaps being infected with evil modern skeptical views. His crop grew fairly ; and as the ears were about ripening he visited his field to examine them. As he approached he saw with some dismay a tall man among the stalks with a large basket over his shoulders, in which he threw the ripening ears as fast as he could pluck them. The Indian saluted him hesitatingly. The stranger replied, "I am here gathering in that which I sent." Resting from his work, he drew from his pocket an immense cigar, and, taking out a flint and steel, began to strike a light. But the sparks he struck

Were that hes of lightning，amd the some of his blows was terrible thandereps which show the very earth．＇flo 1 ＂en Indian fell to the gonad meonseions with fright ：and when he came to himself a hailstorm had destroyed his corn，and as some as he reached home he himself was seized with a fever which nigh cost hin n his life．
＇lowe Balms are great smokers，and it is a general belief among the Indians that the shooting stars are nothing close than the stamps of the huge cigars thrown down the sky hey these giant bergs．

Sometimes the cary off children for purposes of their own．When Dr．Berendt was exploring the east cont of Vineatan he was told of such an occurrence on the Island of San Pedro，worth of Belize．A little bog of form yeats wandered to som be cacao bushes not more than fifty yard from the house，and there all trace of him was lost．＇Plate Was nos sign of wolf or tiger，a no footprint of kidnapper．＇fl ow sought him the whole day in van，and then gave up the seared，for they knew what had happened－the Bantam ham taken hin！

The Bantams have also the reputation of inenleating a spent for the proprieties of lite．Zctimaters this story which he heard among his native friends：（he day an Indian and his wife went to their com－pateh to gather ears．The man left the field to get some water，and his wife them off the gown she wore lest it should he tom，and was naked．Sib－ deny she heard some one call to her in a loud voice，limn avito，theol cizin，which Zetina translates literally into span－ isbn，Tapas ta a lo，gran diabolo！At the same time she re－ deceived two smart blows with a cane．She turned and beheld
at.ill man with a long leate and a pown which reached to
 anat hows on the part of the persen to which he had reFended, and then disappeated; fant the marks of the fome honse remained as bong as she lived.

It is vain to attempt to permate the Indian that such motions are false and camot be facts. Ife will mot try to rasom with yon. He contents himacli with a patient gesture and the despairing exclamation, lio ma hathel." How
 (116e.)

These Batams ate in fact the gods of the cardinal perint and of the winds and ratise whels paneed from them, and ate thas a survisal of some of the central figures of the anciont mythology. 'The wind still holds its prembernce as a sumenatural ocentence in the native mind. One day In: Beremelt was traveling with some matise darongh the forests when the somm of a tropical tomato was heade approching with its fomidable roar throngh the trees. In
 rikel mohel tat: Here comes the mighty wind of the (ireat Father." But it is only in an mangarded moment that in the presence of a white man the Indian hetrays his beliefs, and no questioning could elicit further infomation. A hint in suplical by Scunor Zetima. He mentions that the whistling of the wind is called, or attributed to, tat anam. words which mean Father strong-hird. This shggests many anakgion from the methologies of other races : for the notion of the primeval bird, at once lord of the winds and father of the race, is found in mancons American tribes, and is dintinctly contained in the metaphors of the first chapter of Gemesis.

The belam, as I have said, is estecomed a kindly and pros. teetive being ; he is affectionately rekered to as. 1 rum belam, Father Balam. He is satid to have a hmman form, that of an old man with a long beard and ample flowing robes. Bat there are other gigantic spectres of terrible aspect and trueg. kont homor. One of these is so tall that a man camme reach his knees. He stalks into the towns at midnight, and planting his feet like a luge Colossus, one on each side of the roadway, he seizes some incantions passer-by and breaks his legs with his teeth, or compuers him with a sudden faime ness. The name of this terror of late walkers is Ciant Crab, l'a un paich.

Another is the (\%e l"inic, the Man of the Woods, called by the Spanish population the salonge. He is a hage follow without bones or joints. For that reason if he lies down he emmot rise without extreme diffienty; hence he sleeps leaning against a tree. Dis feet are reversed, the heels in front, the toes behmal. He is larger and stronger than a bull, and his color is red. In his long arms he carries a stick the size of a tree-trunk. He is on the watel for those who stray through the woots, and, if he can, will selioe and devour them. But a ready-witted man has always a means of escape. All he has to do is to phek a green branch from a tree, and waving it before him, begin a lively dance. This invariably throws the Wood Man into convolsions of mirth. He langhe and langhs matil he falls to the ground, and once down, having no joints, he camot rise, and the hunter ean proced leisurely on his journey. It is singular. says Dr. Berendt, how widely distributed is the belief in this strange fancy. It recurs in precisely the sanse form in Yineatan, in Peten, in Tabasco, aromed Palenque, ete.
 me:atis "the priest withont : beck," and the hobegohlat on mamer is deseribed as a being with head ant off evell with the homblers, who wathers armme the villages at might, frishteming men and children.

In contrast ta the giante are the dwafn ame impe which ase ready in their maliciont wass to some the pleamber of

 are indeed, believed to be the actual idols and figure in day which are fommatront the old temples and tombs, and hence an Indian braks these in pieces whenever he finds them, to the great detriment of arehacological researeh. Ther only appear after sminct, amb then in the shape of a child of three or four years, or sometimes mot over a apan in height, maked execpt wearing a large hat. 'They are swift of foot, and can rom backwards ats fast as forwarls. Among other pranks, they throw stones at the dogs and eanse them to howl. Thair wuch produces siekness, especially chills and fever. It is best, therefore, not to attempt to eatels them.

Of similar matevolent disposition is the (\%an /al, I, ittle Boy, who larks in the woods and is alleged to bring the small-pex into the villages.
()thers are mereiy tuasing in character, and not positively
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 Six Nations, in Ontario, Commla. Ont immerliate business

 fombshania hat in the intervals of that rather adomes
 thonght, and our discomse often foll on the ancient tatid tions, folle-fore, and customs of the denipe, mow fint diap pearing.

Mr. Anthome was on his father's side a Delaware, of Iden ape, of the Mansi wibe, while his grambuether was a
 and un to his thirtecnth gear spoke mothing but pure lemipé. Ilis memory caries him back to the fomoth de cate of this contury.
 (migrant from the native lome of his ancestors in fantem

 left the monntams between the Iedigh and susige hamma

[^104](181)
rivers, she was "ohd emongh therry a pack"-twele
 the Firmed War (175.5) the matives rapidly deserted that rgion.

I wat, surprised to find how corrertly the old men of the tribe hat preserved and handed down reminiseences of their former homes along the iblaware River. The flat matres "Neck," south of Philadelphia, between the Delawate amt Shaydill rivers, was peinted out to me Mr. Ambons (who had meverseen it before) as the spot where the trite prefered to gather the rashes with which they mannfac tures rags and mats. He recognized various trees, mot seen in Canada, bey the deseriptions be had heard of them.

Such narratives formed the themes of many a long tate bex the winter fire in the olden time. like most Indians, fle I enipe are or rather were-for, alas: the good old enstome are nearly all gone-inexhatustible fatomethes. They hem not only semi-historic traditions, hat momberless fanciful tale of pirits and sprites, giants and dwarfs, with their kith amd Kin. Such talle were called tomoteth, which means " taice for kenare homes." They retate the deets of perent neeromancers, and their power ower the mochtanhat. "those who are bewitehed."

It greathe interested me when that several of thene tales tefercel distinctly the the enture-hero of the tribe, that ancient man who tanght them the arts of life, and on his disuppearane- thene heroes do thot die-promised to retum at some futhe day, and resore his favorite people to powe and happhess. 'llits Messanic hope was often the cental idea in American mative religions, as witness the worship of

Snetakeoat in Mexico, of Kukulean in Vucatan, of Vira arela in l'ent. Mr. Anthony atsured me that it wan ferfectly familiar to the ohd belawares, and ahdel that in his
 ing. to wit, "the mand connes," with reference to the second ment of thei culture-hero.: This is singular conformation of the fragnentary methe collected by the swedish engine
 about a century later. These I have eollected in "'lace
 disetsed the gencral subject at such lensth in my " American lero- My the" (Philadelphia, ssisz) that the reader will probally be satisficd to escape further expansion of it here.

Only in traditions does the " Stone Ase" survite among the Delawares. In Mr. Anthony's youth, the bow-andarow was still oceasionally in use for hanting ; but he hat never seen employed arron-points of stome 'liby were either of deer's horns of of sharpened bones. The natue for the componald instrument "bow-and-arres" is manhidht, the first a being masal; and from this word, Ar. Anthony - tates, is derived the name Alanhathan, properly manahat leank, "the pate where they gather the wood to make bows." The bow-string is tichipan: the arrow, alhonth. The genemic name for stome weapen is athllamiar, achsinhitan, and the word from which we derive "tomalawk," fomahicon, is strietly applicel to a stome hatelet. Wiar-chabs were of several varieties, called apechlit and mohillymth, Which were different from an ordinary stick or cance, claterath.

[^105]'Thoogh the war-whoop is beard no more, its name remains, Roa'd'mo, and tradition still recalls their ancient contests with the Irogunis, their cruel and hated enemies, to whom they applied the oppobrions epithet mongate that is, ghens penis.

Ilmating is searcely worth the name any longer on the Camadian reserations. The debated question as to whether the lemape knew the buffalo attracted me. Mr. Anthome assured me that they did. It was ealled sisibiti, which be explained as "the animal that drops its exerement when in motion," walking or ruming : though he added that another possible derivation is from sischemen, to butt against, from which comes sisciahen, to break in picees by butting.

In former times a favorite method of honting in the autum was for a large number of hamters to form a line and drive the game before them. 'This was called fomothapen. This amswered well for deer, but now little is left save the mukrat, chmoskioui, the ground-hog, monachern, the white rabbit, adporihthuts, the weasel, mathi'tohmiseh, and the little chipmunk, pochga"upüth (literally, "he sits upright om soncthing"). For such small game, it is searcely worth While ruming the risk of the bite of the bow adder, pethor tolace and the much-feared "bloody-monthed lizard," mokdemas; though I suspect both are more terrible in tale than in fiect.

In fishing, they appear to have known not only the brushnet and the spear, but the hook-and line as well. The line, arondamakion, was twisted from the strands of the wild hemp, achhalhop, or of the milk-weed, pichtokennar; and the hook was amed with a bait, ataththon, which might be accheson, the ground-wom, literally, "he who extends and retracts
himself," or the adoticheluchees, grasshopler, litcrally, 'one that hops." 'lhis corresponds with what the old swedish wacker, Peter Kalm, relates in the first half of the last cembry. IIe describes the native looks as made of bone or of the spur of a fowl.
They still gather for food the phorymim, wahnint, literally, "romid mut:" the quinokgim, butternut, literally, "ohfong mint:" and various berrics, as the kehbohhilleth, here red raspbery, literally, "the berry that falls to pieces."

Among utensils of ancient chate and aboriginal invention secel1 to have been wooden dishes or bowls, aoblationes, made from the clm-tree, aollakenchungi: wocelen mortars. in which corn was pounded, laguachheken: and fegind, enps with handles. The art of pottery, which they once possesecd, has been entirely lost.

Althongh now resident inland, they remember the matnfacture and use of canoes, amochol. Some were of bireh bark, aiguen, and were called arigutamoinol: others were dugonts, for which they preferred the American syamore, distinctively named canoc-wbod, amodhol-he:

The ordinary word for house is still aikacom, wigwam, while a brush-lut is calded pimoaken. I was particular to impuire if, as far as mow known, the lemper ever ocenpied commmal hontises, as did the Iroguois. Mr. Anthony as sured me that this was never the enstom of his mationt, so far as any recollection or tradition goes. Every fanily had its. own lodge. I called his attention to the discovery in ancient village sites in New Jersey of two or thre fire-phaces in a mow, and too close to belong to different lodges. This has been adduced by Dr. C.. C. Ablott as evidence of commmal
dwellings. He replied that these were the sites of the vit lage conncil-honses; be himself conld remember some with two or thre fires : but theironly permanent ocenpants wete the head chicf with his wives and children.
 (1) the rising gencration, in my infomant's boybore thes still figurel eompicuonsly be the mative firesiles, where bow "prostensive enchere" and the like hold may. One stuch wats a démollis. In this a hollow bone is attached by a string tor a pointed stick. The stick is held the hand, and the bone is thrown up be a rapid movement, and the grane is to eateh the bone, white in motion, on the pointed ent of the stick. It was a gambling gane, often played be adtult.

A very pepular oport was with a boop, tantmosig, amol spear or artow, allonth. 'The players arranged themselves in two paralled lines, some forty feet apart, cach one armed with a reed spear. A hoop was then rothed rapidly at an enpal distance between the lines. Fach phayer hanted his spear at it, the object being to stop the hoop by easting the sfear Withia its rim. When stopped, the shaft mutht he within the honp, or the shot did not comit.

A third game, oceasionally seen, is matmandidi. 'lhin is phayed with twelve flat bones, watally those of a deer, and a bowl of wood, constructed for the purpose. One side of cath bone is white: the other, colored. They are placed in the bowl, thrown into the air, and eanght as they descemel. Those with the white side uppermost are the wimning piecte. Bets usmally accompany this game, and it had, in the wh days, a place in the native religious rites; probahly an a means of telling fortunes.

Fhe Delamates on the Ontarion keseration have hang since Wen comserted w Colristianity, and theme is little trace left of their fomer pagan practices. If thes watan ansulate, it is in their medical rites. I inguired lationarly if thete are amy remmants of the emiona arloration of the sacerel twelve
 Ifimm that the custom of the "sweat-haige," a suall hat haitt for taking sweat-baths, still prevails. The stean is generated beg puring water on hot stomes. 'Thin in chate be the "medicine-man," who is known ats queh倍épial. He bings in one stome after another, and pours water now it matil it ceasces "to sing: " and invariably he uses preaisely tialian stomes.

I'robably eome of the more benighted still soek winsure the suceses of their erops he offering food to the misimk. This is a falce face, or mask, rudely eat from wool to represent the haman visage, with a large month. Tlace victuats are purbed into the momth, and the genins is suppored to be thus fed.

Our word cention, applied to a jollification, and by some etymologists, maturally emoush, baced to the latin cantare, in reality is derived from the lemape gonth: $/$, $n$, to sing and dance at the same time. This was their most usual religions ceremony, and to this day serdtoma means "to beegin religions services," cither Christian or heathen: and getedtoren signifies "to be a worshipper." These dances were often comected with sacred feasts, loward which cach participant contributed a portion of food. 'lon express such a commmal religious banduet the used the term andindin. and for inviting to one, aingindin; and they were clearly
distinguished from an ordinary meal in commont，an entmy together，lachquipuin or tachquituasan．

My informant fully believe：；that there is yet moth medt eal koowledge held sectedy by the old men and women． He has known persons bitten by the rattesmake who were promptly and patinlessly ented by a specific known to these natice practitioners．It is from the vegetable mathrin
 gical skill．It was interesting to learn that an operation similar to trophining has been practiced among the lemipe time ont of mind for sewere headaches．The sealp）on or near the vertex is laid open bey a crncial incision，and the bone is seraped．＇This perhaps explains those trepanned skulls which have been been disintered in Pern and other parts of America．
＇Ihe mational legends have mostly faded out，but the Lemape perfectly remember that they are the＂grandfather＂ of all the Agonkin tribes，and the fact is still recogni\％ed bey the Chipeways and sone others，whose orators employ the term mumol＇homns，＂my grandfather，＂in their formal ad－ dresses to the I enaper．＇The old men still relate with pride that，in the good old times，before any white man had landed on their shores，＂the Lenape had a string of white wampmon beads，a apakeckig，which stretehed from the Atlantic to the Pacifie，and on this white road their envoys travelled from one great ocean to the other，safe from attack．＂

There are still a few among them who pretend to some knowledge of the art of reading the wampum belts．The beads themselves are called kectig＇；a belt handed forth at a treaty is mochkumdma＇oagom，literally，＂an answering ：＂and
atter ごいに゙
＇l’に ami th fallen totcill． Trurtle tion of the mi fad the he idel followi
the in one salivima their el or old 1

Some
1＇he
duce it sionary
New I
stand a printed mulerst sounds．
＇There and a occurre
atter the treaty has heen ratified the belt is called apheni. medn, the covenant.

The tribal and totemio divisions are bater remembered, ant the ancient prohibitions abont codegamons marriage have Galten completely into desuctude. Mr. Anthony's term for twem, or sub-tribe, is atalocitic: as, tutponalodikit, the Tomtle totem. 'The mame , Minsi, he believes, is an aboreviation of minachsinink, the place of broken stones, referring to the monntains north of the Iechigh river, where his ancestors had their homes. The 11 omalachte ${ }^{\prime}$ go of the early historians he identifies with the Nanticokes, and translates it "people following the waves;' that is, living near the ocean.

The chieftaney of the tribe is still, in theory, hereditary in one fanily, and in the female line. 'The ordinary tern sakima, sachem, is not in use among the Minsi, who call their chief Kika, or kitschikikal' (kitschi, great; Kikioy, old, or old man: the eldoman, or alderman, of the Saxons).

Some peculiarities of the language deserve to be noted.
The German alphabet, employed by the Moravians to reduce it to writing, answered so well that the Moravian missionary, Rev. Mr. Hartmann, at present in charge of the New Fairfield Reservation, Ontario, who does not understand a word of Delaware, told me he had read the books printed in the native tongue to his congregation, and they moderstood him perfectly. But I soon detected two or three sounds which had escaped Zeisberger and his followers. There is a soft th which the German ear could not catch, and a kth which was equally difficult, both of frequent ocurrence. There is also a slight breathing between the posisestives $n^{\prime}$, my, $k$, thy, $\pi^{\prime}$, his, and the names of the
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things possessed, which the missionaries sometimes dinte garded, and sometimes wrote as a full fowed. But after a little practice I hat rarely any dibicuby in promonempe the work in an intelligible manter. 'lhis I wat oblige tor m with the whole dictionary, for althemgh Mr. Anthong equak his langmage with perfect ease, he does not read or write it and has moteratintance with Coman or its alphale

On one point I crosicexamined him carefully. It is weit kinown to linguists that in Agonkin grammar the vot madergese a vowe change of a peobliar character, which nobally throws the sentence into an indefante or dubitative form. 'This is a very marked mat, recogrizel early by the misnomary leliot and others, and the omission of all refor
 leen eommented on as a serions oxersight. Wedl, after ath me ghentions, and after explaining the point fully fo. Mr Anthong, he insisted that now such ehange takes phace in Delaware verbs. I read to him the forms in \%eisberser Cramman which are supposed to indicate it, but he explainel then all be obler reasoms, mere irregularitien or cromentr expressions.

IThe intricacies of the lemate verh hate never yet bext solved, and it is mow doubtfal if the erer will be, fin the baguage is fast changing and disapparing, at least in lath reereations ita Camala, and also among the representativen of the tribe at their settlement in Kimsats. It is mot mon. and Mr. Anthong asomed me hat, so far as he knew, it never was, a custom for parents to correet their children in foaking the language. Probable this is true of most mat disilized tribes. The chitdren of such leam their excemt
inely complicated languse with a facility and acomacy
 ©perience，that me chikl leans to peak pure limglinh with－ wat incesont convection from parents and terobers．

The gem ral realt of me comersations with Mr．Anthomy on the grammar of his langmage led me to cotimate at a hance value the knowledge of it dimplayed in the works of \％wherger，letwein，and theckevelder．The first and last
 han wot the power to amblye it，mor to deteet its foner －bavk of meaning，mor to appeciate many refincments in it wordhaildiag，nor to catch mang of it ：ami motes．
＇Tい sive an example：－
Heckeweder davel hanomecan atompumb which hat oftern feen quoted as a striking instance of vertal somthens．It is
 We promom，seand peran singular：uli，ablow iation of
 dmimative temination：in all，＂thy prote little paw．＂ Now，there is no such word in Lemper an athert．＂His

 this was not in the componand heard by Ifeckevelfer．What

 temanation．He lost the pernharwhistled ar and the nasal－
 ment that ofat is the last ollable of the worl for fort is totally eromeons．I am embinced that muth of the excen －ive symthesis，so calied，in the lemipe arises from a lack of
appreciation on the part of the white of delicate phonetie clements. If 1 had heard many more of Mr. Anthoms analyse of compounds, I believe 1 should have reached the conclusion that symbesis in lemape means little beyom justaposition with emphonic elinion.

## 1 NRN「II.

## GRAPHIC SYSTEMS AND LITERATURE.

## In Thopuctoriy.

${ }^{\top}$ 「III: intellectual development of a mation attains its fullest exprestion in language, oral os written. 'This "divine art" as Platocalls it, clams therefore from the student of man in the ageregate a prolonged attention and the most painstaking analysis. 'Tos frequently one hars among anthor pologiste the clams of linguisties decried, and the many Whadersand over-hasty generalizations of philohogists guoted as good reasons for the neglect or distrust of their brach.

The rat reation of this attitude 1 believe to be not so much the mintakes of the linguists, as a strong aterson which 1 have moticed in mang distinguished teachers of physical science to the study of amgatere and the philosophy of expresion. The subject is diffeult and distasteful to them. Having mo aptitude for it, nor real aequaintance witl it, they condemm it as of small value and of doubtat remblts. I have never known a seientifie man who wats really a well-read phitologist who thms moder-estinated the
position of linguistics in the seheme of anthropology ; but I have known many who, not having such thorongh knowl edge, depreciated its value in others.

The third and fourth parts of this volume are devoted to langnage, the third as it appears especially in its written forms, the fourth particularly to the profombler question of linguistic philosophy: Here again I shall be fomm in opposition to the majority who have written on these subjects. The cham I make for the largely phonetic character of the Mexican and Maya hieroglyphe is mot generally acecpted; and the poctical spirit which I argue exists in many prodactions of the aboriginal mase will not be farored by thone who deny the higher sentiments of humanity to uncivilized man.

I have condeasored be freguent ilhstration, and reference to the best sources of information, to put the reader in the position to judge for himself: and I shall feel highly gratificel if h. is prompted to such insestigations hy what I may say, whether his fimal conclusions agree with mine or mot

# IHE PHONETLC ELEMFXTS IN THE: GRAPHIC SYSTEMS OF THE MAYSS ANA MEXICASS: 

D.I, Who have real the wombent story of the Spanish conguest of Mexicoand Central Ameriea will remember that the limropean invalers canc menn varions nations who were well acguanted with some methon of writing, who were skilled in the mannfacture of parehment and paper, and who filled thousands of volmes fomed of the ere materiats with the records of their history, the theories of their seiences, and the traditions of their thenongies. Aming at greater permanence than these perishahe materials would offer, they also inseribed on plinths of stome, on mabs of hard wood, and on tera cotta tablets, the designs and figures which in the system they adopted served to consey the ideas they wi ited to transmit to posterity:

In spite of the deliberate and wholesale destruction of these reoods at the compuest, and their complete negleet for centuries afterwards, there still remain enough, were they collected, to form a respectahly large Corpers Inscriptionum Imerianarmm. Within the present century many Mexican and Maya Mss. have for the first time been published, and

[^106]the inceriptims on the tomples of sonthern Nexieo ame

 to their faithtinhers.

Now hate there hern lacking ditigent stutents who have
 key to these myterions recorts. It is a pleasure tomention He names of 'lomats and Ifolden in the lonited states, of
 mann, selew amd schellhas in (emmamy, of Ramite\% ath Oroan in Xexico. But it mast framkle be confored that
 We have mot yot pase the threshold of insestigation.

The yue-tion which fores itself upon our attention as dematuling a reply at the very outset, is whether the date and Mayat steme of witing were or were mot, in whole or in part, formethe sytems? bid they appeal, in the hirst instance, th the metain! of the worl, of to the sollat of the worl: If to the latter if, in other words, they were phonctic, of exen partialle phonetic then it is van to at tompt any interpretation of these reoth without a prelimimary stuly of the languges of the mations who were the writers. Ifacse languases mast moreover be stadied in the forin in which they were poken at the perion of the conquest, and the conse of mative thonght ase expessed in the primitive grammatical structure mast be maderstood and taken into aceomet. I hasten to add that we have ahmolant materials for such studies.

This cssential preliminary gucstion, as to the extent of the phonetic chement in the Mexican and Maya systems of writ-
img, is that which I propose to put at present, and to antiver 14. Wh far as mathe Hitheros, the erreatent diversity of minion abont it has prevale . Some able writers, such as Vakntini and Hoblen, have quentioned the existence of any phometic elements: but most have leen willing to concede that there are such present, hough their quatity and fualite are by no means clearly definer.

We may assmme that both systeme mader consideration are partly ideographic. Every sstem of phonetic writiag introdnces ideograms to some extent. our own among the number. 'The question is, to what extent?
bint before we are preparel to answer this question almont the extent of the phonetie clement, we mat sere to aneertain its eharacter. We are all aware that a phonetio symber may express the sotud either of a whole wore of sexoral s.lables, or of a single syllable, or of a simple acomstice chment. Again, a single phonetic symbol may expres scomal quite diverse sombls, as is familarly exemplifect in the first letter of the linglish alphanet, which represents there ery different sombls: and, on the other ham, we may find there four or more sombols, no wise alike in form or origin, bearing one and the same phonetio value, a fact expectally familiar to ligyptologists.

We must further bear in mind that the arrangement to the eve of phonetic symbols is altogether arbitary Becance a prefix is promomeed first in the orefer of time and a suffix last, it bey means follows that the order in -pace of their conreponding symbols shall bear ang analegom. relation. The idea awakened be the somud of the word is a whole, and one ; and so that this somed is represented, the disponition of
its component parts is, phifosophically spaking, indiffer ent. When it is rememberel that in most American lan ghages, and motably in the Mexicall or Nahath, there is a temberey to comodidate each phrase into a simgle word, the importance of this comsideration i g geatly increasel.

As the position of the phonetic parts of the phaterenond may thas be diswegated, yet more indifferent is the order of
 this should be from left to right ats in lenglish, or from right to left as in Hebrew : alternately, as in the Bonstrophedon of the Creek; or from top to bottom, as in Chinese.

In such an examination as the present one, we mast rid our minds of the expectation of finding the phonctic ele ments in some familiar form, and simply ask whether they are to be found in any form.

We are not without a trastworthy guide in this quest. It is agreed among those who have most carefnlly studied the subject that there is but one path be which the laman mind could have origimally proceded from picture-writing or thought-writing to phonetic or sound-writing. This was through the existence of homophones and homoso phones in a language, of words with the same or similat sounds, but with diverse significations. The deliberate analysis of a language back to its phonetie cements, and the constrnction upon those of a series of symbols, as was ate complished for the ( heroke by the half-breed sequosalt. has ever leent the problact of enlture, not a process of prinsitive evolution.

In this primitive process the somals which were most fre quently repeated, or were otherwise most prominent to the





 l:on of figute with momutl.

Aceorling to the theny here very brictly indicated, I - brall examine the Maya ame Nahmatl sisteme of writang, to areptain if they preselnt any phonctio elemento, and of what mathere these are

Fomang firat tothe Maya, I max in pasime refor the

 cemed a complete phonetic alphabel, which should at onec malock the mysteries of the inseriptions on the lembles of Sucatan and Chiapas, and emable tis to interpet the seript of the Itresden and other Coolices. lixperience proved the ntter fallacy of athe such bope. Ilis work is mokey to the Mayat seript: lut it dese indicate that the Maya seribes were alle to assign a character to a somble cere a somble so meaningless as that of a single letter.
 skeptices as to the phonetice value of amy of the May characters. 'Io name a conspicuous atme recent example, Prof.


 phometic signtionther than I, ambat

But if we tarn to the most recent and ciossit stadents of



 of Itre $1 / \mathrm{S}$. Vomen, that several of the day and month dhat acters ate , leyomil dombt, oceanionally phonetic.
 Costex has appeared phite recently amonnces his exth chasion that the Maya seript in essentially idengraphic: ; but immediately adde that the mancoros small figmo attached to the matu sign are 20 be considered phanctic, amp no matter in what focal relation they may stand th this sign. they are to be regarded either as prefises or sumfese of the word. Hedocs bot attempt to work ont their posible mean ing, hat, as hesess, leaves that to the future

Ahmost identical is the comelacion of 1)r. schellhas, whome essay on the breaden condext: is a most moritorion study. Dis fimal decision is in these wods: "phe Maya writurg is incographic in principle and pobable arail itself. in orker to complete its idergraphic hieroglypho of a nomber of fixed phonetic sishls."
 their phonetie value may be considered to have beed deter mined with reasomable certainty. An interesting example is show in fig. I, for the allalysis of whel we are inchenter to Dr. Selhelhats. The guadribateral higure at the top repe sents the fimament. Once of the spmates into which it is

[^107]

1F。
divided portrays the tiy in the day time, the wher, the tary sly at night. Bencath cach are white and band ab-

 of the figure is the well-known idengram of the -man, on the rinht that of the moom. In the May:a langutse the stat in called kive, the moon 1 , and these figures ate fomm whe where, not indicating these colestial bothes, but merely the phonetic valnes the one of the sillable kith, the other of the letter ". The two signs given in danda's alphanct fir the Fette: " are really one, separated in tramoription, and a variant of the figure for the mon with the wasy line lemeath it. The word "in Maya is the porneronive adjective of the
thiad persom, and as such is comployed in comjugatinge verte. the Maya rerbal leing really a posisesive.

A very common teminal shlable in Maya is il. It is called heg grammarians "fle determinatioe ending." and in employed to indieate the semitive and ablative relations. Dr. Schellats comsiders that this is represented be the sish affixed to the main bicroglyphs shown on IFig. 2.*


The upper figure be reads kimil the lower cill-ill. The wo signs are the title to a pieture in the Codex Promor representing a storn with destrnction of human life. The two words kim-il cim-il may be translated " At the time of the killing." 'The syllable cim is expresised in several

[^108]Whants in the Codices, examples of two of whieh, from the morlen Codex, are perented in lig. 3 .


Flas. 3.-Maya bhondic Forminals.
The signs for the four cardinal points appear to be expresed phonetically. They are represented in Figs. 4 and 5. The words are for North, raman, East, lakin, Sonth, nothil. Wext, chikin. Of these the splable kith appeats in hkin and chition, and is represented as above deseribed. The worl for North has not lecen analyod; that for Sonth has been trobshated he Prof. Londe Rosing as mata, the word matmeaning hands or arms, the lower an either a frat or the manculine sign. in cither case the phonetic value being alone mended. Both the name and the etymology are, bowerer, dombful, resting upon late and imperfect anthorities.

 a merely phonctic value in wher combinations，a centan



onk of our prominent joumals，states that he has＂inter petad satisfactorily to himself twelve or fiftern compormat chameters which appear to be phonetic．＂尔

It is oldious，howerer，that small progress has beem mate in this direction compared to the labor expented．By fir

[^109] mobophered. It is acknomberged beg all recent stmdent that they camot be representative, as they recme tow fre yminty. 'for explain them, there is bat one sure comene, and that in, be a close amalysis of the May language to get
 theit own phontice stom.

When we turn th the Mexiean slotern or witing, mach mone defmite and cxtemive infomation an to its phonetic chmonts amats as. It is possible that at bothon it has
 combined to give us a letter maleratanding of its stancture. In the birst phace, mone examples of it have been perered,
 the carlier writers, those whom we low ypon as one historical anthritics, have been more explicit and ample in their deeription of Mexican mative literature than of hat of Vocatan. binally, and most inportant, the Mexican langmage, the Xahmath, was studicd at all canly date, and with surprising
 after the comprest they hate completed a prite acemate analysis of its grammatical stracture, and had printed a Nahath-spanioh dictionary contabing more word than are


These intelligent missionaries acquatiotel themselses with the principles of the Mexican seript, and to a limited extent mate nee of it in their religions instructions, as did aloo the Spanish serivencers in their legal documents in transactions with the natives. They found the native phonetio writing party splabic and partly alpabetic: and it was easy for the
pricsts to devise a wholly alphatie seript ont the ambe plan. An interesting example of this is preserved in the



 simple and indeed the forms and mane of the lefore letter secen to indieate that they arose in the same way. Aphly ing it to langlish, we shomid sode the word at bey a picture of a chair, of an axe, and of a table, each of these beins the recosuized spmbel of its first phonetice element or initial het ter. Often ally one of several objects whose mames begith with the same letter could be ased, at choice. This i:s aho ilhnstated in Vabades alphabet, where, for instance, due leter /: is reperented by four different objects.
ds I have wherred, the native genins had mot arrived at a complete analysis of the phonetic elementio of the languse: but it was distintly progressing in that direction. of the five rowels and fonteen consomants which make mp the Nahmatl alphabet, the voweds certamly, and probably there consonants, had reached the stage where they were often expressed as simple letters bey the method above deseribed The vowels were a, for whieh the sign was ath, water ; erpresented by a bean, atl: andoby a footprint, or path, ofli: the consonants were $p$, represented either he a flag, pan, of a mat, petl: t, by a stome. tith, or the lips, tentli; and $z$, ly a lancet, zo. 'These are, however, exceptions. Most of the Nahuat phonetics were syllabic, sometimes one, sometimes two syllables of the mame of the objeet being employed. When the whole name of an ohjeet or most of it was used as
a :hometic value, the seript rmans thaly photic, lut becomes of the mature of a robse atal this is the character of mat of the phonetic Mexican writing.

Brery one is familiar with the principle of the rebns. It is where a phate is epresented by pietures of objects whose
 . -tack cxample in that of the gallant who to tentifis his de

 an cee a loaf of bead, and a well, which was to be inter. preted. "Rore llill I lowe well."
 Amorial le:nings were selected, the mane of the clements of which expresed that of the family whe lene them. Thus Pope Ahrian IV, whose mane was Nientan brake peare, carted the deviee of a epar with a broken shatt ; the bot the of lang land wear ams representing a cank or tan pierced by a cross-bow shaft or tolt: cte Such arms wexe called athting arms. the tem being derived fom the latin rantater. toring of chant, the ams themedes chanting or amome ing the family surname.

Ne have, so far as 1 am anare, monemtifie torm to expron this mamer of phonctic writing, and I propoe for it therefore the adjective ikomematio, from the Cireck rikom, a figure oi image, and omoma (genitive, omomatos) name,-a writing be means of the manes of the figures or images repreentel. The correponding mom would be ikonomatoBrophe. It differs radically from picture-writing (bildorshrift.) for although it is composed of petures, these were
nsed solely with reference to the somud of their mames, mot theirobjective signifieance.


The Mexicans, in their phonctic writing, were never far remored from this ike?matic stage of developane Phes combined, howerer, with it certain clearly defined monosillabie signs, and the separate alphabetic elements which I haw already noted. An exmmation of the MSS. proves that there was no special disposition of the parts of a word. In other words, they might be arranged from right to left or from left to right, from below upwards or from above downWards : or the one may be placed within the other. It will easily be seen that this greatly increases the diffientty on deciphering these fignres.

Ss illustrations of the phoneticism of Mexican writing I Shw two compounds, quoted hy M. Aubin in his wellknown essay on the subject. The first is a proper nom, that of the emperor Montezmana (Fig. 6). It shoukd be read from right to left. The pietare at the right represents a monse trap, in Nahnath, monthi, with the phonetic value mo, of mon: the head of the eagle has the value quath, from quanhtli; it is transfixed with a lancet, oo; and smmomed with a hand, matl, whose phonetic value is ma; and these values combinced give mo-quanh-zo-ma.


Fitg, 7.-Mexicall lhonetic mieroglyphies of the manc of a serpent.
The second example is a common noun, the name of a serpent techhtlacozanhqui ( F ig. 7 ). It is also read from right to left ; the head with the peculiar band and frontal omament is that of one of the noble class, leculth; at the base of the left figure is a familiar sign for the, and represents two teeth, thatli; they are surmomited hy a jar, comitl with the value $\sigma$; and this in turn is piereed by a lancet, which here
has on:'y its alphabetic value $z$. The remamer of the word was mot expressed in the writing, the above signs bempr decmed sufficient to eonsey the dea to the reader.

In presenting these examples I do not bring forward anything new. 'They are from an essay which has been in print nearly forty years.* Many other examples are to be seen in the great work of Lord Kingsborough, and later in publications in the city of Mexico. The learmed Ramire\% mudertook a dietionary of Nahuath hieroglyphies whel has in part been published; Orozeo y lerra in his " History of Ancient Mexieo" gathered a great many facts illustratice of the phonetic character of the Mexican seript ; and within a year Dr. Peñafiel has issued a quarto of considerable size giving ancient local Mexican mames with their phonetie representations. $\dagger$

[^110]With these aids at command, why hat not one progress in the interpretation of the ancient records on stome and paper heen more rapid? Why do we stand now almost at the same frint as in isso?

There can be but one answer, and that will immediately shagest itself from the nature of the phoneticism in the Mexionn writing. What I have called the ikomomatic system of writing san be elncidated only by one who has a wide eommand of the vocabulary of the language. Consider, for a moment, the difficulty which we experience, with all our knowledge of our native tongne, in solving one of the rebuses which appear in the purale columms of periodieals for chididen; or in interpreting the eanting arms in armotial bearings. Not only must we recall the varions names of the objects represented, and select from them sueh as the semse of the context requires, but we mast make allowance for extensive onissions, as in one of the examples above quoted (lig. 7), and for mere similarities of somnd, often quite remote, as well as for the abbreviations and conventionalimas of practiced scribes, familiar with their subject and with this method of writing the somnds of their language.

Such diffienlties as these can only be orercome by longcontinned application to the tongnes themselves, and beve quainting one's self intimately with the forms, the methools, and the variations of this truly puzeling graphic system. Every identification is solving an enigma; but once solved, each illustrates the methol, confirms its acenraes, and facilitates the leamer's progress, and at the same time stimulates him with the joyous sense of diffieulties comquered, and with the vision of discovered truth illnminating his onward path.

Althongh, as I have stated, the general prineiples of this method were pointed out forty years ago, the prevaiting ignorance of the Nalmath lauguge has prevented any one from successfruly deciphering the Mexican script. This ignorance has had even a worse effeet. \en who did mon know a dozen worls of Nahuath, who were mable to comstrue a single sentence in the language, have taken mun themselves to condem Ambin's explanations as visiomary and untrue, and to deny' wholly the phonetic elements of the Mexiean writing. Lacking the cesemtial comdition of testing the accuracy of the statement, they have presumed blanky to condemn it !
ill

THE LRONOMATLC METHOI OF PHONETIC WRITING.:

All methods of recording ideas have been divided into two classes, Thought Writing and Sound Writing.

The first, simplest and oldest is Thought Writing. 'This in turn is subdivided into two forms. Ikonographie and symbolic Writing. The former is also known as Imitative, Representative or Pieture W'riting. The object to be held in memory is represented be its pieture, drawn with such skill, or lack of skill, as the writer may possess. In Symbolic IVriting, a single characteristic part or trait serves to represent the whole object; thus, the track of an animal will stand for the animal itself: a representation of the !emliar round impression of the wolf's foot, or the threebued track of the wild turkey, being amply sufficient to designate these creatures. Fiven the rudest savages practice both these forms of writing, and make use of them to scrateh on rocks, and paint on bark and hides, the record of their deeds.

It will be observed that Thought Writing has no reference to spoken langrage; neither the pieture of a wolf, nor the representation of his footprint, conveys the slightest
*'this paper was originally read before the Aberican Philosophical society in October, is86, and was published in their Procedings.
motion of the sombl of the word abll: How was the enoro mons leap made from the thomght to the somble -in other words, from an idengraphic to a phonetic medood of writios?
'lhis ghestion has received considerable attention from selobats with referate to the development of the two mom important alphatets of the world, the legyptian and the Chinese. both these legan as simple pietare writing, and both progressed to aboost complete phoneticism. In luth easen, howerer, the earliest steps are lost, and can be re traced only be indications remaning after a high degree of phometic power had been reached. On the other hand, in the Dexican and probably in the Maya hieroglyphies, we fand a methon of writing which is intermediate between the two great elasise I have mentioned, and which illustrates in at striking manner the phases through which both the Jingutian and semitic alphabets passed somewhat before the dawn of history.
I'r this method, which stands midway between the ikomegraphic and the apprabetic methods of writing, I have given the name ikomomatio, derived from the (ireck fondomon, an image, a figure; mometion, a mame. That which the figme or pieture refers to is not the object represented, but the u:tme of that bliject-a somed, not athing. But it doest mot reler to that sombl as the mame of the objeet, but precisely the eontrary-it is the somat of the name of some sther object or idea. Many ideas have no objective representation. and others are mach more simply expressed by the une of figures whoe manes are familiar and of similar somed. Thus, to give a simple example, the infinitive "to hide" conld be written by a figure 2 , and the pieture of a skin or
hife. It is this plan on which those familiar purales are anstructed which are called reboses, and nome other than this which served to brigge over the wide gitp between Thonght and sound writing. It is, however, not corget to -ily that it is a writing be thines. "rome:" but it is he the Memes of things, and heroce i have coned the word ikengmetlie, to ceprese this clearly.

I shall select several ilhastrations from two widely diverse - onteses, the we the hieroglyphe of bigyt, the other the hraldry of the Middle Ages, and from these mone familiar fichb obtain some hints of sorvice in matacling the intricacies of the Mexican and Maya serolls.

The general principle which underlies "ikomomatic writing" is the presence in a language of words of different meaning but with the same or similar sombls; that is, of himophomons words. The figure which represents one of these is used phonctically to signify the wher. There are homophones in all haguages; but they abound in some more than in others. For obvious reasons, they are more abmodat in languages which tend toward monosylahism, such as the Chinese and the Maya, and ir a less degree the ancient Coptic. In these it is mo memmon ocembence th find four or five quite different meanings to the same word : that is, the same somed has served as the radieal for that many different mames of diverse objects. The picture of any of these objects would, to the speaker of the hanghage, recall a sombl which would have all these significations, and cond be employed indifferently for any of them. This circle of meanings would be still more widely extended when mere similarity, not strict identity, was aimed at.

Such wisp pataly the origin of phometicion in the ligyptim hieroslyphe inseriptions. Prake the worl metir. Its mant combum comerete signification was "a hate." and in the ficture writing proper the late is reperenter bits figme But megi hand sereral wher significations in Coptic. It
 sord. The pieture of the hate therefore was hasel to signtif every one of these.

It will be observer that this is an example of a pate iknow graph the pieture is that of the object in fill, a late: hat precisely in the shme way the seond chase of hation in pieture writigg, those which ate wholly sombolic, :maty be employed. 'lhis, tow, lath ample illustration in the lisypt ian hicroglyphics. Instead of the pieture of at bobse, the figute of as spate was emploged, with ore side incomplete. Phometically, this comesed the somal por, which me:mhomse, amb several other things.

It will reatily be seen that where a figute represente a mamber of homephomus worts, comsiterable confusion maty result from the difliculty of ase tabing which of these in intended. 'lo meet this, we lind both in Foxptian and Chine writing series of signs which ate written but mot promomeed, ealled "determinatives." 'These indieate the
 and of fixed meaming. 'Thus, after the word metir, when med for conseript, the determinative is the pieture of a math, ete.

[^111]
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other systems of ikonomatic writing, and may serve as histh in interpreting them.

Levidently, one of the carliest stimuli to the development of phonetice was the wish to record proper mames, which in themselves had no demite signifieation, such as those drawn from a foreign language, or those which had lost through time their original sense. In savage eonditions every proper name is significant ; but in conditions of social life, as devel oped as that of the Iegyptians of the carlier dymasties, and as that of the Mayas and Mexicans in the New Workd, there are fomm many names without meaning in the current tongue. These could not be represented by any mode of pieture writing. To be recorded at all, they must be written phonetically ; and to acemplish this the most ohsions plan was to select objects whose names had a similar somml. and by portraying the latter, represent to the ear the former. The Creek mames, Hexander and Hixendrio, oceurring on the Rosetta Stone, were wholly meaningless to the Eigyptian ear; but their serihes succeeded in expressing them very nearly by a series of signs which in origin are rebnses.

This inception of the ikonomatic methool, in the effort to express phonetically proper mames, is admirably illustrated in medieval heraldry. Very eary in the history of armonial bearings, we find a elass of seutal devices ealled in Iatin armat cantantia, in Engelish cantin! arms, in IVrench arms: parlantes. The Finglish term anting is from the Latin antare, in it- later sense of chanting or annomeng. A momial bearings of this: character present charges, the manes of which resemble more or less closely in somd the proper names of the family who carry them.

Sime writers on heraldry have asserted that bearings of this character should be considered as what are known as a, unptiate arms. those which have been assumed by fanilice. withont just title. Excellent anthorities, however, such as Womblhan and Iower, have shown that these devices were frequent in the remotest ages of heraldry.* For instance, in the carlicst Figglish Roll of Amms extant, recorded in the reing of the thiod Henry, alout the year 12fo, nine such charges oecur, and still more in the Rolls of the time of Fhward the second. They are also abundant in the heraldry of spain, of Italy and of sweden: and analogons examples lave been adduced from ancient Ronne. In fact, the plan is so obvions that instances could $1 x$ quoted from cerery quarter of the globe. In later centuries, such phming allusions to proper mames became mopular in heraldry, and are now comsidered in bad taste.
Ton illustrate their character, I will mention a few which are of ancient date. The well-known linglish family of Debells carry a hart fassant, and three bells argomt, thus expresing very acenrately their mance, doc-bells. The equally ancient family of boltons carry a device representing a cask or tun, transfinced by a crossbow or bolt. Few canting arms, howerer, are so perfect as these. The Swinhurnes, who are amomg those mentioned on the Roll of 12 fon $^{\text {a }}$ already referred to. bear three boar-heads, symblical af sainc: the Bolevenes carry three bulls' heads, which reminds us of Cardinal Wolsey's prommeiation of the name in Shakespeare's Itenry VIII, Pimllen:


"Anne Bullen? No; I'll no Anne Bullens for hinn ; Theres more in't than fair visage- - Buthen!


Not rarely the antiguity of such bearings is evidenced hes the loss of the allusion in the current langmage, and recomere must be had to ancient and obsolete words to appreciate it. The English Harrisons display in their shied a hedgelng. which is to be explained by the IErench hirissom, and tentifies to their Norman origin. The Sykes of the north of lingland show a fotmatan in their shield, whose significance is first ascertained on leaming that in the Northumbrian dialect sthe means a flowing spring or stream. The celebrated flemesede-ll's of the rosal honse of France are traced back to the first Louis, whose name was pronounced Loms, and from the similarity of this to the common name of the flower, the latter was adopted as the charge on his shield.

Hundreds of such examples conth be addnced, and the task of examining and analyang them would not be an altogether vain one, as the principles mpon which they were applied are the same which control the development of iknnomatic writing wherever we find it. But I pass from the consideration of these facts of gencral knowledge to the lese known and much misumderstood forms of this writing which are presented in American archeology.

These are best exemplified in the so-called Mexican picture writing. For many years seholars have been divided in opinion whether this was purely ikonographic or partly phonetic. Abont forty years ago M. Athbin wrote an essuly maintaining that it is chiefly phonetic, and laid down mes for its interpretation on this theory. But neither he nor ans.
who molertook to apply his teachings succeeded in offering any acceptalle renderings of the Aztec Codices. I ampersmaled, however, that the canse of this failure lay, not in the theory of Aubin, but in the two facts, first, that not one of the students who approached this subject was well gromeded in the Nahtatl language : and, secondly, that the principles of the interpretation of ikonomatic writing have never been carefully defmed, and are extremely diffientt, ambignons and obseure, enough so to disconrage any one not specially gifted in the solution of enigmas. At first, every identification is as pmazhing as the effort to decipher an artificial rebus.

There are, indeed, some able scholars who still deny that any such phoneticism is to be found in Aexican pictography. To convince such of their error, and to illustrate the methods employed by these native American scribes, I will present ani analyze several typical examples from Aztec manuscripts.

Begiming with proper names drawn from other langnages, we find that the Nahuas lad a number of such, which, of course, had no meaning in their own tongue. One of their documents speaks of the town of the Huastecas, called by that tribe Tamuch, which means in their tongue "near the seorpions," and by the Aztecs, in imitation, Tamuoc:* As the Huasteca is a Maya dialeet, totally distuct from the Nahuatl, this word had no sense to the cars of

[^112]the Aytecs. 'To convey its sound, they portrayed a man holding in lis hands a measuring stick, and in the act of measuring. Now, in Nahnath, the verb "to measure" in lamachiua; the measuring stiek is oclocatl; and to make the


Satter plainer, several foot-prints, roctli, are painted ypur the measuring stick, giving an example of the repetition of the sound, sneh as we have already seen was common among the ligyptian scribes.

In another class of proper names, in their own tongue, althongh they had a meaning in the Nahnatl, the scribe preferred to express them by ikonomatic instead of ikmographic devices. Thus, Mapachtcpoc, means literally,


Ifis, 2.-Mapachtepec.
> "badger hill," or "badger town," but in phace of depicting a badger, the native writer made a drawing of a liand granp-
 ake the
ing a bunch of Spanish moss, the Tillandsia usucoides. The hand or arm in Nahnatl is maill, the moss pochlli: and taking the first syblables of these two words we obtain mod puth: the word tepet, locative form of tipetl, hill or village, is expressed by the usnal conventional ideographic or determinative sign.

In other names, the relative positions of the objects are significant, reminding us of the rebns of a well-known town in Massachusetts, celebrated for its educational institutions:

## 心

Mass.
which is to be read, "Andover, Massachusetts;" so in the Aztee scrolls, we have itmiquilpan represented by an onsidian knife, itzlli, and an edible plant, guilitl, which are placed above or over ( $\neq a n$ ), the sign for cultivated land, milli, thns giving all the elements of the name, the last syllable by position only.
*


Frg. 3.-Itamiguipan.
In one respeet I believe the ikonomatic writing of the Mexicans is peenliar ; that is, in the phonetic value which it assigns to coors. Like the Egyptian, it is polychromatic, but, so far as I know, the liggeptian polychromes never had a phonetic valne: they were, in a general way, used by that people as determinatives, from some supposed similarity of hue; thus green indicates a vegetable substance or bronze,
yellow, certain woods and some animals, and so on. In herably the colors are very important and have well-defined significations, but very seldom, if ever, phonctic man, Quite the contrary is the ease with the Mexiean seript. It presents ahtudant instances where the color of the object an portrayed is an integral phonetic element of the sombl designed to be conveyed.

To quote examples, the Nahmatl word for vellow is cu:tio or coztic, and when the hieroglyphices expres phonctically such proper names as . Loz-pa, Cozamaloapan, Cozhnipilion, ete., the monosylable of is expressed solely by the yellow color which the seribe lays upon his picture. Again, the name Xïhhucan, "the place of grass," is represented by a


Ific. 4.-Acozpa. (A gellow center sutromided by water drops, all, a.)
circle colored pale blue, xinhtic. The name of this tint supplies the phonetic desired. The name of the village Thapan is conveyed by a circle, whose interior is painted red, tlapalli, containing the mark of a hmman foot-print. Such examples are sufficient to prove that in undertaking to decipher the Mexican writing we must regard the color as well as the figure, and be prepared to allow to each a defmite phonetic value.
in. In clefiner! ones. pt. It ject is somul is cultic ctically ipilian, sellow iin, the $\therefore$ by villaye led red,

Such ing to olor :as definite

It mast mot he muderstom that all the A\%tee writing is mate up of phonetic symbols. 'This is far frombering the cone We diseover among the hamdreds of empons figures which it presents, determinatises, as in the ligeptian in-


Vti, s.-Tlamapa
se:iptions, and mumerons ideograms. Sometimes the ideogran is associated with the phonetic symbol, acting as a sort of determinative to the latter. An interesting example of this is given at the beginning of the "Mannserito Hier15
 is the more valuable as an example, as the pieture writing is tranhialed into N゙ahnatl and written in Spanish characters. 'Tlue date of the docmument, 1,526 , leates no doubt that it is in the same atyle as the ancient coolices. The page is leated with the pietate of a charely edifies : maderneath is the ontline of a lonnan arm, and the legend in Nalmatl is:
In . Iltipitl y Santle Cillz Tlamapa.
 The name "thamapa" means "on the hillside," and douht. less originally reforred to the position in which the villase Wats situated. But the prefix "Mama" matally signifien, "to do something with the arms or hands," derised from matl, hamd or arm. Henee, the figme of the extended amm gives this disyllable, t/ama, which was sufficient to recoll the name of the town.

The dotece by no means eomfine the ikomomatic system to proper names. They composed in it words, sentemoco. and treatises on varions subjects. In proportion as it is ap. plicel to these commeted and lengthy compositions, its pros. ceses become more recondite, curions and diffient of inter pretation. Withont a knowlelge of the spoken langhase comsiderably more tian rudincotary, it would be lopeles for the student to attempt to solse the engmas which he meets at every step. Vet every well-directed effort will comvince him that he is on the right track, and he will com-

[^113]stantly be cheered and stimulated to further endeanor he the victuries lee will win day leg day.

The abalogy which is presented in so many particulars 1xtween Mexican and Masa civilization womld keal mis th infor that the Maya writing, of which we have a mamber of examples wed preserved, should be mandekel by the same
 combes. The latest writers on the Mayamomeripts, whike agreeing that they are in part, at least, in phanctio characters, eonsider then mondy idengraphice But it is to be moted that arot one of these writers had ans practical acquantance with the somuls of the Maya languge and searecty any with its vocabulary. lerom this it is evident that even were these condices in ikomomatie writing, such incestigators could make very little progress in deciphering them, and might readily come to the emmelasion that the fignes are ant phonctic in any sense Precisely the same position was taken hy a manber of students of ligyptian antipuity long after the amomancement of the diseovery of Champollion ; and exen within a few years works have been printed denying all phoneticism to the Nibotic inseriptions.

What induces me to believe that mach of the Mayal serijet in of the matne of the Mevican is the endeaver, moleraken fira very different purpose, of leofessor Valcutini to explain the origin of the so-called Maya alphabet, presered by Bishop) Ianda, and printed in the editions of his cele-


[^114]shom: he argmuents and illustrations, which I think ane in the main concer, that when the matives were ander torepe
 Writing, they selected ahgects to clepiet, whone names, of ini. tial sombeds, of first syllables, were the same of akill, to the
 Sonnetine they womld give several words, with their conto. pentling pietures, for the same somat ; just ats I have whan was the enstom of the ancent lienghtians. 'Ilhas, for the
 called be: for the somad a an obsidian knife, in Masa, whe ete. Valentan thinks aloo that the letter of was delinenter
 beg further researel, would show that the Mayas, like the Mexicans, attributed phonetic values to the colons they emphosed in their painted serolls.

Ontside of the two mations mentioned, the natives of the American continent made little advance towarl a phonctic system. We have no positive evidence that exen the cultivated 'laraseas and Zanoteres had anthing better than iknongraphes and of the Guiches and Conkehiquels, both ment relatices of the Mayas, we only know that they had a writ. ten literature of considerable extent, but of the plan bey Which it was preserved we have only obseure hints. Next to these we should probably phace the Chipeway pietography, as preserved on their modd sticks, bark records, and adjed jutige or srave-posts. I have examined a momber of spectmens of these, but have failed to find ange evidence that the characters refer to somuls in the language; howeer. I might not consider it improbable that further researeles conter how for the
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## tHE IURITING AND ReCORDS OF THE ANCLEXT MAYAS:*

## 1.-Introductory.

0NI: of the ablest living ethmologistis has classified the means of recording knowledge under two general head-ings--'Thought-writing and Sound-writing. : The former is again divided into two forms, the first and earlicat of which is by pietures, the second lop picture-writing.

The superiority of pieture-writing over the mere depicting of an occurrence is that it analyzes the thought and expresses separately its component parts, whereas the pieture presents it as a whole. The representations familiar anoug the North American Indians are nsually only pictures, while most of the records of the Aztec communities are in picture-writing.

The genealogical development of somul-writing hegins hy the substitution of the sign of one idea for that of another whose somul is nearly or fuite the same. Such was the carly graphic system of Fogpt, and such substantially to-diy

[^115]is that of the Chincee. Aloove stands syllabic writing, this at that of the Japenese athe the semi-syllabic signs of the wh semitic alphalet - While, at the perfected reent of these vatons attempts. We reach at lat the mention of a trate aphalet, in which a definite figure comeremonds to a definite clementary somul.

It is a primary question in Americen anelocolngy, How fir did the most cultivated mations of the western continent ascend this seale of graphic development? This question is an get manswered. All agree, howerer, that the highest evolntion took place among the Nahnath-speakiner tribes of Mexico and the Maya race of Veneatar.

I do mot gotoo far in saying that it i.s prosed that the Azteces used to a certain extent a phonetic system of writing. ore in which the figures refer not to the thonght, but to the sound of the thought as expresed in spoken language. This has been demonstrated by the researches of M. Anbin, :and, of late, by the studies of semor Orozeo y Bera.*

Thoo evolutionary steps can be distinguished in the Aztee writing. In the earlior the plan is that of the rehas in combination with ideograms, wheh latter are mothing more than the elements of pieture-writing. Fxample of this plan are the familiar "tribute wolls" anc! the names of towns and kings, as shown in several of the codices publishat he Iord Kingstorotgh, The second itep is where a conventional image is employed to represent the somed of its first

[^116]syllable. This advances actually the level of the swhbic alphabet; but it is doubtul if there are ang Aatec recond entirely, or cen largely, in this form of writing. They had only reached the commencement of its development.

The graphic system of the Mayas of Vucatan was very different from that of the Aytecs. No one at all famitiar with the two conld fail at once to distinguish between the mamseripts of the two mations. They are plainly independent developments.

We know much more abont the ancient civilization of Mexico than of Yeatan; we have many more Aztee than Maya manuscripts, and hence we are more at a losis to speak with positivencss about the Maya systen of writing than about the Mexican. We must depend on the brief and minsatisfactory statements of the early Spanish writers, and on what little modern researeh has accomplished, for means to form a correct opinion; and there is at present a justifiable discrepancy of opinion about it among those who have given the subject most attention.

> 2.-Mescriplions br Spamish Hitters.

The earliest exploration of the coast of Yucatan was that of Franciseo Hernande\% de Condova, in 15 \%. The year fob lowing, a second expedition, under Jnande Grijalva, visited a mmaber of points between the island of Cozmmel and the Bahia de ferminos.

Several aceotnts of Gifigahas soyage have been preserved, but they make no distinet reference to the method of writing they fomm in use. Some native books were obtaned, how-
c.en, probably from the Mayas, and were sent to span, where they were seen by the historian Peter Martyr. He dencribes them in ereneral terms, and compares the characters in which they were written to the Ropptian hieroglyphics, some of which he had seen in Rome. Hesupposes that they contan the laws and ceremonies of the people, astronomical calcmations, the deeds of their kings, and other events of their history. He also speaks in commendation of the neatnesi of their gencral appearance, the skill with which the drawing and painting were carried ont. He further mentions that the natives used this method of writing or drawing in the affairs of common life.:

Athough Vucatan beane thas eary known to the spanards, it was not until $15+1$ that a permanent settlement was effected, in which year Franciseo de Montejo, the younger, aknane into the central province of Celn Pech, and estab)lished a city on the site of the anciont town called likem:ihe, Which means "the five (temples) of many orackes (or serpents)," to which he gave the mame Mirida, on aceoment of the magnifieent ancient edifices le foumd there.

Previons to this date, however, in is.3. Father Jacobo de Testera, with four other missionaries, proceeded from Tabasco up the west const to the neighborhood of the Bay of Campeachy. They were received amicably be the natives, and instructed them in the articles of the Christian faith. 'rime abso obtained from the chiefs a submission to the King of Span: and I mention this carly missiomary expedition for the fact stated that each chief signed this act of submiscion "with a certain mark, like an atutograph." 'This docmment

[^117]was subsequently taken to Spain by the celcbrated Binhom Ias Casas.* It is elear from the aceonnt that some defmite form of sigmature was at that time in usce among the chicfo,

It might be objected that these signatmes were nothins more than rude totem marks, such as were fomad even amburg the lanting tribes of the Northern Mississippi Valley. But Lat Casac himself, in whose possession the docments were, here comes to our aid to refute this opinion. He was familiar with the picture-writing of Mexico, and recosui\%ed in the hieroglyphies of the Mayas something different and superior. He says expressly that these had insoriptions, writings, in certain characters, the lake of which were found nowhere else. $\psi$

One of the early visitors to Vncatan after the conquest was the Pope's commissary-general, Father Alonzo Ponce, who was there in $158 s$. Many natives who had grown to adult years in heathenism must have been living then. He makes the following interesting observation:
" The matives of Yucatan are, among all the inhalitants of New Spain, especially deserving of praise for three things: First, that before the Spaniards came they made use of characters and letters, with which they wrote ont their histories, their ceremomies, the order of sacrifices to their idols, and their calcudars, in books made of bark of a certan tree.

[^118] clefmite chects. mothims 1 anthys $\because \quad$ lint tis wore, is famil$1 \% \mathrm{cl}$ in cht and riptions, re foumb condpest , Ponce. rown to en. Ife thing : of charistorice, ols, and fin tree.
illis. recilis. c licictorl - (x) Firatho rtalambe de Turrpe
$\therefore 1 / 1,4112$

These were on very long strips, a quarter or a third fof a vird in width, doubled and folded, so that they resembled a bumd book in quarto, a little larger or smaller. 'These Jetters and characters were materstood only be the pricsts of the idols (who in that language are called Ahkins) and a few prineipal matives. Afterwards some of our friars learned (1) moderstand and read then, and eren wrote them, ${ }^{\text {a }}$

The interesting fact here stated, that some of the early misionaries not only learned to read these characters, but (mployed them to instruet the Indians, hat been anthenticated by a recent discovery of a devotional work written in this way.
The earliest historian of Vonatan is lir. Bernardo de Lizana. $\dot{t}$ But I do not know of a single complete coply of his work, and only one imperfect copy, which is, or was, in the city of Mexico, from which the Abe Brasseur (cle Bourbourg) copied and republished a few chapters. Lizana was himself not much of an antiquary, but he had in his hands the manuseripts left by Father Alonso de Solana, who canc to Vueatan in 1565 , and remained there til his death, in 1.599 . Solana was an able man, acguiring thoroughly the Maya tongue, and left in his writings mang notes on the antiquities of the country.t Therefore we may put

[^119]comsiderable confidence in what Lizana writes on theme matters.

The reference which I find in his work to the Mala writings is as follows:
"Tlle most celebrated and revered sanctuary in this lamd, and that to which they resorted from all parts, was this town and temples of Vtzamal, as they are now ealled: and that it was fonnded in most ancient times, and that it is utill known who did found it, will be set forth in the next chapter.
"III. The history and the anthorities which we can cite are eertan ancient characters, scarcely moterstood by many: and explained by some old Indians, sons of the priente of their gods, who alone knew how to read and exponnd them. and who were believed in and revered as much as the gods themselves, etc.*. ${ }^{\circ}$

We have here the positive statement that these hieno glyphie inseriptions were used by the priests for recording their national history, and that byeans of them they preserved the recollection of events which took place in a very remote past.

Another valuable early witness, who testifies to the same effect, is the Dr. Don Pedro Sanche\% de Agnilar, who was cura of Valladolid, in Yneatan, in $159^{6}$, and, later, dean of the elapter of the eathedral at Merida. IIis book, too, is extremely saree, and I have never seen a cops; but I have

[^120] Bacmit fom a cons in Vacatam. Aguilar write of the NM: 14 :

They had books made from the bark of trees, conted with a white and durable vamish. They were ten or twele parth bing, and were gathered logether in folds, like a patm leaf. On these they painted in colors the reckoning of their rears, wars, pentilenese, harricanes, inmadations, fimmes, and ofler cemets. From one of these books, which I myself took from some of the en iblaters, I satw and leamed that to one pestilence they gave the mance l/e"ercimit, and to another Gemakimblil, which mean 'suditen deaths' and -timen when the erows enter the houses to eat the compese. And the inmation they ealled /hengrat, the sulmersion of tres. ": ${ }^{\text {. }}$

The writer leaves it uncertain whother he leaned these word directly from the characters of the book or through the explamations of some mative.

It has sometimes been said that the early Somish writers drew a broad line between the pieture-writing that they fomd in America and an alphaletic soript. This may be true of other parts, but is not so of Vucatan. 'These signs, or sonte of them, are repeatedy referred to as "letters," letres.

This is pointedly the case with Father Gabricl de sam Buenarentura, a French Francisean who served in Vinatan about $56,0-$ so. He published one of the earliest grammars of the languase, and also composed a dictionary in three

[^121]large vohmes, which was not printed. Father leltran ice Santa Rosa quotes from it an interesting tradition preservel by Buenaventura, that among the incentions of the my the an
 "the letters of the Maya language, ' with which kettere they wrote their books.* It\%amma, of course, dates back to a misty antiguity, but the legend is of value, as showing that the eharacters used bey the natives did, in the opinion of the early missiomaries, deserve the mane of lethers.

Father Diego I ope\% Cogolludo is the best-known historian of Vincatan. He lived about the middle of the seventernth century, and says himself that at that time there was littic more to be learned about the antiquities of the race. He adds, therefore, substantially nothing to our knowtedge of the subject, although he repeats, with positiveness, the state ment that the natives "had characters by which they comb understand each other in writing, such as those yet seen in great mumbers on the ruins of their buiddings."

This is not very full. Yet we know to a certainty that there were quantities of these mannseripts in use in Vincatan for a gencration after Cogrolludo wrote. To be sure, thane in the Christianized districts had been destrosed, wherever the priests could lay their hands on them ; but in the southern part of the peninsula, on the islands of Lake Peten and

[^122][^123]tablet about a grarter (of a sard) wide, and of the thickneof a price of eight, folderlat one edge and the other in the


When the ishate of Flores was captured these bows were fomm stored in the honse of the king Canck, containing the account of atl that hatd happened to the tribe. $\begin{aligned} & \text { lohan din }\end{aligned}$ position was made of then we are not informed.

I have teserved antil now a disensson of the descriptinn of the Maya writing prescited in the well-known work of Diego de landa, the secomd hishop of Veatant. Landa atrrived in the province in Augnst, $1.5+9$, and died in April. 1579, having pased most of the intervening thirty yours there in the discharge of his religions duties. He beeane well acquanted with the language, which, for that matter. is a comparatively easy one, and though harsh, illiberal, and bitterly fanatie, he paid a certain anoment of attention to the arts, religion, and history of the ancient inhabitants.

The notes that he made were copied after his death and reached span, where they are now preserved in the libary of the Royal Academy of History, Madrid. In isot they were published at Paris, with a French translation, by the Abse Brassemr (de Bourbourg).

Of all writers Landa comes the nearest telling us how the Mayas used their system of writing ; but, unfortumately, he also is so superficial and obscure that his worls have given rise to very erroneons theories. His description runs ats follows:

[^124]This people abo used certain characters or letters, with Which they wrote in their books their ancient matters and their aciences, and with then ( $i, f_{\text {o }}$ with their chameters or lethers), and figures (i. ci. drawings or pietures), and some signs in the figures, they materstood their matters, and condi explain them and teach them. We fonnd great manber in these letters, but as they contaned mothing that did mot sivor of superstition and lies of the devil, we burnt them all, at which the matioes gricued most keenly and were greatly pained.
"I will give here an $a, b, c$, as their elmmsiness does not allow more, becanse they use one character for all the aspirations of the letters, and for marking the parts another, and thns it conk go on in infinitum, as may be seen in the following example. Le means a moose and to lomst with one; to write in their characters, after we had made them molerstand that there are two letters, they wrote it with three, giving to the aspiration of the / the sowel $i$, which it carries before it; and in this they are not wrong so to use it, if they wish to, in their curions manner. After this they add to the end the componal part." *

I need not pursue the quotation. The above words show clemry that the matives did not in their method of writing amalye a word to its primitive phonetic elements. "This," said the bishop, "we had to do for them." Therefore they did not have an alphabet in the sense of the word as we wie it.

On the other hand, it is equally clear, from his words and examples, that they had figures which represented sounds,

```
* Diego de Landa, Relacion de las Cosas de l'ucahtn, pp. jl6, 318, seq.
16
```

and that they combined these and added a determinationor an idengram to reperesent words or phrases.
desprues at cabo le pegan la prizte prontr. Lha gave quiere desir agwe procla bacbó tiene a.h ante de si Co ponene ellas al primeipio cone yat cabo desta
 no pusiera agoni mi tratura dello siono pordar cuenta entera Sugne'se swa,b.c.
 $G_{n}^{n} \cdot \stackrel{n}{0}$ $\underbrace{k}_{\text {cosa }}$ $\frac{3}{4}$
$\frac{\pi}{4}=$
9

$: 0$


De las letzas que aguifaltane cazece öflo bengna Y tiene orias añadudas de la mestian para otias
las manester y ya nio viam paza nada de motos

The aphabet which he inserts has been engraved and printed several times, but nowhere with the fidelity desiable for so important a momment in American arelncology Fon that reason I insert a photographie reprochetion of it from the original MS. in the library of the Aeademia de la His. toria of Mastrid.

A comparison of this with the alphabed as given in brat seur's edition of Landa discloses several variations of im-
puthace．＇Thas the Abre phaces the birst form of the letter C harizontally intead of mpright．Sgain in the Ms．，the two figures for the letter 1 stand，the first at the ent of ome line，the secoble at the begraning of the next．From theis －tronse allalogy with the sign of the ske at night， 1 ann of opinion that they belong together as members of ofe comb frite sigh，not separatele as basiong gives them．
foth in it and in the inseriptions，manneripts，and paint． inge the forms of the ketters ate remblef and a row of them preante the ontlines of a bumber of pebhes ent in two． Hence the systen of writing has been called＂ealenliform，＂ from dathlas．a peblole．The expresion has been eriticised， but I agree with Dr．liorstemann in thinking it atery ap propniate once．It was suggested，I bedieve，be the Mbot Bra－cour（de Bourbourg ）

This alphathe of comrse，can mot be used as the Iatin a，b，c． It in surprising that ayy selolar shond have ere thonght in． It wonk be anexeeption，even a contratietion，to the history of the evolution of hamban intelligence，to find such an alpha－ bet among mations of the stage of coltivation of the Mayas or A\％ters．
The severest criticism which I anda＇s figures have met has been from 1）r．Phillip J．J．Vakentini．He discosered that many of the somuds of the spanish alphabet were represented by signs of pietures of objects whose mance in the May begin with that somud．Tlhus he supposes that latidataked an ludian to write in the native character the spanish letter a，and the Indian drew an obsidian knife，which，says br． Valentini，is in the Maya ach；in other words，it begins with the vowel $a$ ．So for the sombl hi，the Indian gave the sign of the day maned kimich．

Such is 1)r. Valentini's theory of the formation of lamata alphabet: and not satisfied with lashing with considemble sharphes those who have chdeavored hy its aid to decipher the mamseripts and moral inseriptions, he goes sol far a- th term it " a Squmish fabrication."

I shall not enter into a close examination of Dr. Vakeminis supposed identification of these figures. It is evident that it has been dome by rmming over the Maya dictionary to find some worl begiming with the letter maler criticiom, the figurative representation of which word might bear some resemblance to Landa's letter. When the Maya fails, such a worl is songht for in the Kiehe or other dialect of the stock: and the resemblances of the pietures to the suppored originats are sometimes greatly stratued.

But 1 pass hy there dabions methods of eriticisun, at woll an several lexicographie objections which might be raised. I believe, inceed, that Dr. Valentini is not wrong in a mamber of his identifications. But the conchusion I draw is a differ ent one. Instead of proving that this is pietnre-writing, it indicates that the Dayas used the second or higher ghate of phonctic sydabie writing, which, ats I have before observed. has heen shown by M. Auhin to have been developed to some extent hy the A\%teres in some of their historice and connected compositions (see above, pase 231). Therefore the importance and anthenticity of handa's aphabet are ? think, vindieated isy this attempt to treat it as a " fabrication." *

[^125]Landa also gives some interesting details about their books. Ife writes:
"The seiences that they tanglt were the reckoning of the Fears, months, and days, the feasts and ceremonics, the administation of their sacraments, the fatal days and seasons, their metherls of divination and prophecies, events abont to happen, remedies for diseases, their ancient history, tegether with the art of reading and writing their hooks with characters which were written, and pietures which represented the things written.
"They wrote their books on a large shect doubled into folds, which was afterwats inclosed between two bards, Whie! they decorated handsomely. They were written from side to side in columms, as they were folded. They mamfactured this paper from the root of a tree and gate it a White surface on which one could write Some of the principal nobles eultivated these seionces out of a taste for them, and althongh the did not make phblie use of them, as did the priests, yet they were the more highly estemed for this knowledse. "

From the above extacts from spanish writers we may infer that -

1. The Maya graphic system was recognized from the first to be distinet from the Mexiem.
2. It was a hieroglyphic system, known only to the pricests and a few nolbers.






3. It was employed for a varicty of purposes, prominent among which was the preservation of their history and calendar.
+. It was a composite system, containing pietures, fir"ras), ideograms (caracteres), and phonctic signs (letresi).
3.-Reformes from Viatiec Sources.

WVe might reasonably expect that the Maya language should contain terms relating to their books and writing which would throw light on their methods. So, no domht. it did. But it was a part of the narrow and crushing policy of the missionaries not only to destroy everything that related to the times of heathendom, but even to drop all words which referred to ancient usages. Hence the dictionaries are more sterile in this respect than we might have supposed.

The verb "to write" is $d z i b$, which like the (ireck ;Hioner. meant also to draw and to paint. From this are derived the terms dziban, something written; dzibal, a signature, etc.

Another word, meaning to write, or to paint in black, is caboce. As a nom, this was in ancient times applied twa black flud extracted from the zabacche, a species of tree, and used for dyeing and painting. In the sense of "to write," abore is no longer fonmd in the language, and instead of it old meaning, it now refers to ordinary ink.

The word for letter or character is moh. This is a primitive root fotmed with the same or a closely allied meaning in other branches of this linguistic stock, as, for instance, in the Kiche and Cakehiquel. A a verb, pret. mooth, fut. nooli, it
abomeans to form letters, to write: and from the pascive fomm, weolar, we have the participial nomb, ucolan, something written, a mannseript.
fle ordinary word for book, paper, or letter, is hum, in which the aspirate is almost mute, and is droped in the
 the book of God, il being the so-ealled "determinative" emping. It oceurs to me ats mot malikely that $\quad m m$, book, is a sucopated form of mohan, something written, given above. Tor read a book is sochen, literally to comet a book.
Acoording to Villagutierre Soto-Mayor, the mane of the sacted books of the Itzas was analie. In the printed /hic-
 spelled anahti, which seems to be a later form.
The term is not found in several early Maya dietionaries in my possession, of dates previons to 1 zoo. The Abbe Brassenr indeed in a note to Landa, explains it to mean " a book of wood," but it can lave no such sign: fieation. Perhaps it should rearl humilti, this being composed of humit. the " determinative" form of hmm, a book, and the termination te, which added to mouns, gives them a specifie semse,
 a province, from tur, a portion separated from the rest. It would mean especially the sacred or mational books.
The particular class of books which were ocenpied with the calentar and the ritual were ealled teolanti, which is a paticipial noun from the verl) たol, pasive たolal, to set in orker, to arrange, with the suffix ti. By these books were set in order and arranged the varions festivals and fasts.

When the eonguest wats and aceomplished fact and the
priests had got the upper hand, the natives did not dare we their ancient characters. They exposed themselves to the suspicion of heresy and the risk of being burnt alive, a more than once happened. But their strong passion for literature remained, and they gratified it as far as they dared by writing in their own tongue with the Spanish alphalict volumes whose contents are very similar to those described hy Ianda.

A number of these are still in existence, and offer an interesting field for antiguarian and lingnistic study. Although, as I say, they are no longer in the Maya letters, they contain quite a mumber of ideograms, as the signs of the days and the months, and occasional cartouches and paintings, which show that they were made to resemble the ancient mannscripts as closely as possible.

They also contain not infrequent references to the "writing " of the ancients, and what are alleged to be extracts from the old records, chiefly of a mystic character. The same terms are employed in speaking of the ancient graphic system as of the present one. Thas in one of them, known as "The Book of Chilan Balam of Chmmayel," oeeturs this
 lam-" as it was written by the Evangelists, and ako by the prophet Balam," this Balam leing one of their own celebrated ancient seers.

Among the predictions presersed from a time anterior to the Conquest, there are oceasional references to their books and their contents. I quote, as an example, a short prophecy attributed to Allkul Chel, "priest of the idols." It is foumd in several of the oldest Maya manuseripts, and is in all pro-
bhhility anthentic, as it contains nothing which would lead nis to suppose that it was one of the "pious fraturs" of the mis-iomaries.
" limhi cibte Ratune ${ }^{1}$ rume. maivtan it ucrati;
I ittac "l tald, mac bill ar betbac the son pop:
Liatune I'ume bin uluc, holom wil tucal. I't:

Ihac to alkin. mac to ahbobat, bin ahic "than moohe;
Thit Bolon Ahan, maintan is mati.".
"The lord of the eycle has been written down, but ye will not maderstand :
"He has come, who will give the enrolling of the years:
"The lord of the cyele will arrive, he will come on acconnt of his love :
"He came from the north, from the west. I'lacre are priests, there are fathers,
"But what priest, what prophet, shall explain the words of the books,
"In the Ninth Ahan, which ye will not moderstand?" :

* 1 atd a few motes on thic text:
 rem, father or lord of the Katun or eyele. İach katm was maler the protection of a peecial deity or lond. who contronled the events which ocourred in it. F'u (o)



 ing." "wombl," and "strength," and there is no chac which of there signification
 after the phrase to mean " 1 n that day there are fathers" or borts, the wort 1 atm. fither, being constantly ued for lorel or ruter. The whtin wat the pries ; the Whtobat was a diviner or prophet. 'The cth Ahath katun wat the period of zo geats Which began in 154 , aceorling to mot mative anthots, but acconting to lathats reckuming in the year 156 .

From this designedly obsenre chant we perceive that the ancient prients insoribed their predictions in books, which were afterward explained to the people. The expresision bin alic "than woolde-literally, "he will speak the words of the letters "-secmis to point to a plometic writing, but as it may be used in a figurative sense, I shall not lay stress on it.*
t. -The livistine Codices.

The word Coder onght to be confined, in American ardsacology, to mannseripts in the original writing of the matives. Some writers have spoken of the "Codex Climator poca," the "Coolex Zumarraga," and the "Codex Pere\%" which are nothing more than manuscripts either in the native or Spanish tongues written with the Latin alphabet.

Of the Maya Codices known, only four have been published, which I will mention in the order of their appearance.

The Dresden Codet.-This is an important Maya manuseript preserved in the Rosal Library at IOresden. How or when it canc to Europe is not known. It was obtained from some nuknown person in Vienna in 1739.

This Corlex corresponds in si\%e, appearance, and manner of folding to the deecriptions of the Maya books which I have presented above from $S_{\text {panish sourees. It has thirty- }}$ nine leaves, thirty-five of which are colored and inseribed on

[^126]Wenth sicles, and four on one side only, so that there are only - - aty-four pages of matter. 'lice total length of the sheet in 3.5 meters, and the height of each page is 0.295 meter, the winth o.oss meter.

The first publicaton of aly portion of this Codex was by Acxander von Humboldt, who had five pages of it copied fir his work, I'us des Cordillires at llomumens des liuples
 the title-page has it). It was next very earefully copied in full in the Italian artist, Agostino Aglio, for the third volume of Lord Kingsborough's great work on lhewiedn Intiguties, the first volume of which appeared in $1 \begin{gathered}3 \\ 3\end{gathered}$.

From Kingsborough's work a few pages of the Corlex have been from time to time republished in other books, which call for no special mention; and two pages were copied from the original in Winttke's Geschichte der Schrift, Leiprig, 1872.

Ifinally, in 1880 , the whole was very admirably chromophotographed by A. Namman's establishment at I.cipzig, to the number of fifty copies, forty of which were placed on sale. It is the first work which was ever published in chromo-photography, and has, therefore, a high scientific as well as antiquarian interest.

The editor was In. İ. Förstemann, aulic counselor and librarian-in-chicf of the Royal Libary. He wrote an introduction ( 17 pp . fto.) giving a history of the mannseript, and bibliographical and other motes upon it of much value. One opinion lie defends must not be passed be in silence. It is that the Dresden Collex is not one, but parts of two original mannseripts written by different hands.

It appears that it has always heen in two medpal frate ments, which all previons writers have attributed to an aceidental injury to the original. Inr. Fionstemann given a mamber of reasons for believing that this is not the comect explanation, but that we have here portions of two differnt books, having general similarity but also mang point of diversity.

This separation led to an erroneons (or perhaps erronemos segnence of the pages in Kingsborongh's edition. 'The artist Agho took first one fragment and copied both sider, and then proceeded to the next one ; and it is not certain that in either ase he begins with the first page in the original order of the book.

The Codex Ieresianas, or Coder .Mevicanus, Io. I/, of the biblothiguc . Vertomale of Paris.-This fragment-for it is unfortmately nothing more-was discovered in 1859 by Prof. Iecon de Roiny among a mass of old papers in the National library. It consists of eleven leaves, twenty-two pages, each 9 inches long and $5^{\prime}+$ inches wide. The writing is very much defaced, but was evidently of a highly artistic character, probable the most so of any mannseript known. It mquestionably belongs to the Maya mannseripts.

Its origin is manown. The papers in which it was wrapped bore the name "Pere\%" in a Spanish hand of the serenteenth century, and hence the name " Peresianus" was given it. By order of the Minister of Public Instruction, tell photographic eopies of this Colles, without reduction, were prepared for the use of seholars. None of them were phacel on sale, and so far as I know the only one which has fomm
it Way to the Enited States is that in me own library All onlinary lithographie reporluction was given in the . 1 .


The Coder Tho, or Trotho. - The publication of this valnable Codex we owe to the enthusiasim of the Dhbe Bras-- ur (de Bompourg). On his return from Vncatan in sotot He visited Madrid, and found this Mannseript in the posicesNom of Don Juan de 'froy Ortolano, professor of pateography, and himself a descendent of Hernan Cortes. The abbe named it Fiotano, as a componnd of the two mames of its owner: but later writers often content themselves by referring to it simply as the Coden Vio.

It comsists of thirty-five leaves and serenty pages, each of which is larger than a page of the Dresden Codex, but kess than one of the Coder Piosiants. It was published by chromo-lithography at Paris, in tsoy, prefaced by a study on the graphic system of the Mayas by the aboe, and an attempt at a translation. The reproduction, whel was carried out mader the efficient care of M. I, eonce Angrand, is extremely aceurate.

The Coder Cortestanas.-This Codex, published at Paris, 18s.3, under the editorship of Professor Leon de Rosny, presents the elosest analogy to the Codex Troano, of which, indecl, it probably formed a part. It has forty-two leans, chosely written in the calculiform character. There is no evidence that it was brought to Spain by Cortes, but from a tradition to that effect, it has reecised its name.

All four of these codices were written on paper mannfactured from the leaves of the magucy plant, such as that in
eommon use in Mexieo. In Maya the magney is called 1 . the raricties being distinguished by various prefixes. It grows luxuriantly in most parts of Vocatan, and althongh the faworite tipple of the ancient inhabitants was mead, they were not matequanter with the intoxicating fulyter. He liguor from the magucy, if we can judge from their worl for
 probably in error when they spoke of the looks heiner make of the harks of trees; or, at least, they were not all of that material.

The abovementioned Mannseripts are the only ones which have been published. I shall not emmerate those which are satid to exist in private hands. So long as they are with. held from the examination of seientifie men they ean add nothing to the general stock of knowledge, and as statementh about them are not verifiable, it is useless to make ane.

In addition to the Manmscripts, we have the maral paintings and inseriptions fonnd at Palengue, Copan, Chichon Itza, and various rumed cities within the boundaries of the Maya-speaking races. There is no mistaking these inscriptions. They are uncuestionably of the same character ar the Manuseripts, although it is also casy to perceive variations, which are partly owing to the necessary differences in technigue between painting and senpture : partly, no doubt. to the separation of age and time.

Photographs and "spueczes" have reproduced many of these inseriptions with entire fidelity. We ean also depend upon the aceurate pencil of Catherwood, whose delincation have never been equalled. But the pietures of Waldeck and some other travelers do not deserve any confidence, ami should not be quoted in a discussion of the subject.

THE BOOKS OF CHILAN BALAM.:
 the arehitectural mommentis which still remain to attent tins, we have the evidene of the carliest missimaties to the fat that they alone, of all the natives of the Now Nomed, prisess a literature written in "lethers and chatacters," presered in volmues neatly bound, the paper manafetured from the material derived from fibrons phants, and sized with a durable white varnish. $\%$


















A few of these books still remain, preserved to $u$; by aceident in the great $1: 0$ mencon libraries ; but most of them were destrosed by the monks. Their contents were fonad to rebate chicfly to the pagan ritual, to traditions of the beathen times, to astrological superstitions, and the like. Hence, they were considered deleterions, and were bamed whereter discorered.

This amminiation of their sacred books affected the matives most keconly, ats we are pointedly informed by Bislop lamba, himself one of the most rathless of Vandals in this respect. But already some of the more intelligent had learmed the Spanish alpiabet, and the missionaries had added a sumicient number of signs to it to express with tolerable acenracy the phonetics of the Maya tongue. Relying on their memories, and no doubt aided by some manuseripts seceretly preserved, many natives set to work to write ont in this now alphabet the contents of their ancient records. Much was added which had been bronght in by the Europeans, and much omitted which had become unintelligible or obsolete since the Conquest: while, of course, the different writers, varying in skill and knowledge, produced works of very various merit.

Nevertheless, each of these books bore the same name.

[^127]In whatever village it was writter, or he whaterer hame it
 Lan Balam." 'for dintingainh thent aprat, the mane of the villate where a copre was fond or written, is akded. Probahs, in the last econtery, ahant every village hat one, Which was treasured with superatitions vencration. lint the Mnmsition of the padres to thin kind of literature, the deens of ducient sympathies, and expecially the long war of races, Which since istr has desshated so much of the peminsula, hate destroged most of them. There remain, however, dither portions or deseriptionss of mot less than sistecol of thene corions records. 'They are known from the nathes of the villages respectively as the Book of Chilan Batam of Xabma, of Chumayed, of Kinna, of Mani, of ()xkutzent, of Isil, of 'Tihosneo, of 'liscocob, ete., thee being the manes of earions mative towns in the peninsula.

When I add that not a single onte of these has wer been printed, or exen entirely tramslated into any forropean thenge, it will be evident to every archerngitit and linghist What a rich and mexplored mine of infomation alont this interesting people they may present. It is my intention in this article merely to tomeln mon a few salient prints to illustrate this, leaving a thorough disenssion of their origin and contents to the future editor who will bring then to the knowledge of the learned world.

Thrning first to the meaning of the name "chilan Bahem," it is not diffientt to find its derivation. " chilan," says Bishop Landa, the second bishop of Vucatan, whose deereftion of the mative enstoms is an invalmable somree to us, "was the name of their priestin, whose duty it was to 17
teach the seiences, to appoint holy days, to treat the sid. to offer saerifieces, and especially to ntter the oracles of the gods. They were so highly homored by the perple that nsually the were carried on litters on the shonders of the devotecs." ${ }^{*}$ Strictly speaking, in Maya "chilan" mean"interpreter," "month-piece," from "chij," "the month," and in this ordinary sense frefuently ocens in other writings. 'The word, "balam"-literally, "tiger,"—Wats akn applied to a class of priests, and is still in use anoms the natives of Vncatan as the designation of the protective spirits of fields and towns, as I have shown at length in a previous study of the word as it ocemrs in the mative myth, of Comatemala.' "Chilan Balam." .therefore, is not a prope: mane, but a title, and in ancient times desiguated the prient who amommed the will of the gods and explainced the sacred oracles. This accounts for the miversality of the name and the sacredness of its associations.
'The dates of the books which have come down to ats ate varions. One of then, "The book of Chilan Balam of Mani,'" was mudoubtedly composed not later tham 1505 as is proved hy intemal evidence. Various passanges in the

[^128]Wonk of Ianda，I izana，Sanche\％Aguilar and Cogolludo－ all early historians of Vucatan－prove that many of these mative mamseripts existed in the sixteenth century，ser－ eral reseripts date from the sevententh century，－most from the batter half of the eighteenth，
The names of the writers are generally not given，probably beanse the books，as we have then，are all copies of ofler manuseripts，with merely the oceasional addition of current items of mote by the coprist；as，for instance，a malignant epidemic which prevailed in the penimsula in 167.3 is men－ tioned as a present oceurrence by the coprist of＂The book of Chilan Balan of Nabula．＂

I come now to the contents of these curious works．What they contain may conveniently be clasified muler fonr headings：

Astrological and prophetie matter：：
Ancient chronology and history ；
Medical recipes and directions；
Iater history and Christian teachings．
The last－mentioned consist of translations of the＂Dor－ trina．＂Bible stories，narratives of events after the Conquest， ete．，which I shall dismiss as of least interest．

The astrology appears partly to be reminiscences of that of their ancient heathendom，partly that borrowed from the Fimopean almanaes of the century $1550-1650$ ．These，as is well known，were crammed with predietions and divinations． A careful amalysis，based on a comparison with the Spanish ahmanats of that time，wond dountless reval how much wats taken from them，and it would be fair to presme that the remander was a survival of ancient native theories．

But there are not wanting actual prophecies of a much mone striking character. These were attributed to the ane int priests and to a date long preceding the adrent of Chrintianity. Some of them have been printed in transhations in the "/historias" of lizana and Cogollucto, and of some the migi. nals were published by the late Abe braseenr de bourbourg, in the second volume of the reports of the " l/iwion Srientifaque an lhasigue et dans I' Amerigue (intrale." Their anthenticity has been met with considerable skepticion by Waitz and others, particularly as they secon to protiet the arrival of the Christians from the Fast and the introntuc tion of the worship of the eross.

It appears to me that this incredulity is uncalled for. It is known that at the close of each of their larger divisiontio of time (the so-called "katums,") a "chilan," or inspired diviner, uttered a prediction of the character of the far or epoch which was about to begin. like other wouldte prophets, he had doubtless learned that it is wiser to peotict evil than grood, inasmuch as the probabilities of ewil in the worried world of ours ontweigh those of good; and when the evil comes his, worts are remembered to his eredit, while if, perchance, his gloomy forecasts are not reali\%ed, 110 one will bear him a grudge that he has been at fant. The temper of this people was, moreoter, gloomy, ant it suited them to liear of theatened danger and destruction by foreign foes. But, alas ! for them. The worst that the bit ing words of the oracle foretold was as nothing to the dire event which overtook them-the destruction of their nation, their temples and their freedon, 'neath the iron lieel of the Spanish conqueror. As the wise Goethe says:
". Sillisum ist Irophetentied.


Io to the supposed reference to the cross and its worship, it may be remarked that the native word transated "cruss" by the missionaries, simply means "a piece of wood set upright," and may well have had a different and special signification in the old days.
by way of a specimen of these prophecies, I quote one from "The Book of Chilan Balam of Chmayel," saying at once that for the translation I have depended upon a comparison of the Spanish version of Iizana, who was blindly prejudied, and that in French of the Ab, Brasseur de Bourbourg, who knew next to mothing about Maya, with the original. It will be easily moderstood, therefore that it is rather a paraphase than a literal rendering. The origimal is in short, aphoristic sentences, and was, no doubt, chanted with a rude rhethm:

- What time the sun shall brightent slime, Tearful will be the eyes of the king. lour ages yet shall be inseribed, Then shall come the holy prient, the holy som. With grief I speak what now I see. Witel well the road, ye dwellers of toan. The master of the earth shall come to ns. Thus prophesics Naham Pech, the seer, In the days of the fourth age, At the time of its begimuing."

Such are the obseure and ominuss words of the ancient orack. If the date is authentic, it would be about afor-the "fourth age" in the Maya system of computing time being
a period of either twenty or twenty-four years at the close of the fifteenth century.

It is, however, of little importance whether these are accurate copies of the ancient prophecies: they remain, at leant, faithful imitations of them, composed in the same spirit and form which the native priests were wont to employ. Anum. ber are given much longer than the above, and containing various eurions references to ancient usages.

Another value they have in common with all the rest of the text of these books, and it is one which will be properly appreciated by any student of langnages. They are, by conmon consent of all competent anthorities, the gentume productions of mative minds, cast in the idiomatic forms of the native tongue by those born to its use. No matter how fluent a foreigner becomes in a language not his own, he can never use it as cloes one who has heen familiar with it from childhood. This general maxim is ten-fold true when we apply it to a Enropean learning an American language. The flow of thought, as exhibited in these two linguistic families, is in such different directions that no amount of practice can render one equally aceurate in both. Hence the importance of studying a tongue as it is employed by natives; and hence the very high estimate I place on these " Books of Chilm Balam" as linguistic material-an estimate much increased by the great rarity of independent compositions in their own tongues by members of the native races of this continent.

I now approach what I consider the pectuliar value of these records, apart from the linguistie mould in which they are cast; and that is the light they throw upon the chronological systen and ancient history of the Mayas. To a linited
extent, this has already been bronght before the public. The late Don I'io Pere\% gave to Mr. Stephens, when in Y'ueatan, an essay on the method of complating time among the anciont Mayas, and also a brief syopsis of Maya history, apparently going back to the third or fourth century of the Christian era. Both were puhdished by Mr. Stephens in the appendix to his " Pravels in Vocatan," and have appeared repatedly since in Figelish, Spanish and Irench.* They have, up th the present, constituted almost our sole sources of infomation on these interesting peints. Don Jio I'erem was rather vague as to whence he derived his knowledge. He refers to " ancient mannseripts," "old anthorities," and the like; but, as the Abbe Brasseur de Bourbourg justly comphans, he rarely guotes their words, and gives mo deseriptions as to what they were or how he gamed aceess to them. $\psi$ In fact, the whole of Señor Peres's information was derived from these "Books of Chilan Balam;" and withont wishing at all to detract from his reputation as an antiguary and a Maya seholar, I am obliged to say that he has dealt with them as seholars so often do with their anthorities; that is, having framed his theories, he quoted what he found in the ir faverand neglected to refer to what he observed was against them.

[^129]Thas, it is a cardinal question in Vocatecan arehemhery as to whether the epoch or age he which the great cyele the ahan ketm, ) was reckoned, embraced twenty or twenty-fur years. Contrary to all the Spanish authoritices, Pere\% declared for twenty-four years, supporting himself by "the mannseripts." It is true there are three of the "Books of Chitan Balan"-those of Mani, Kiun and Oxkutzab,which are distinctly in favor of twenty-four years; but. (1n the other hand, there are four or five others which are clarly for the period of twenty years, and of these Don P'ere\% abil nothing, akhough copies of more than one of them were in his library. So of the epochs, or Ratans, of Maya history; there are three or more copies in these books which he dex not seem to have compared with the one he fumbised Stephens. Ilis labor will have to be repeated aceording to the methods of modern eriticism, and with the additional material obtained since he wrote.

Another valuable feature in these records is the hints they furnish of the hieroglyphic system of the Mayas. Ahmot our only athority heretofore has been the essay of Landa. It has suffered somewhat in eredit because we had mo means of verifying his statements and comparing the characters he gives. Dr. Valentini hat erengome so far as to attack sombe of his assertions as "fabrications." 'This is an anoment of skepticism which execeds both justice and probability.

The chronological portions of the "Books of Chiian Balam" are partly written with the ancient signs of the days, months and epoehs, and they furnish us, also, delineation of the "wheels" which the natives used for computing time. The former are so important to the stodent of Maya hiero-
shlyhies, that I have added photegraphic reproductions of the 11 to this paper, giving also representations of those of Lamia for comprison. It will be observed that the signs of the days are distinctly similar in the majority of eases, but that those of the months are hardly alike.

The hicroglyples of the dats taken from the " (iode: Trome," an ancient Maya book written before the Compuest. probably abont $4(x)$ are also added to illustrate the variations which oceurred in the hands of differeat seribes. Thase from the "Books of Chilan Balam" are copsicd from amanuseript known to Maya scholars as the " Codict líne," of madoubted anthenticity and antignity.." *

The result of the comparison I thas institute is a trimmphe ant refutation of the doubts and shars which have been cast on Bishop Landa's work, and vindieate for it a rery high destece of acemacy.

The hieroglyphics for the monthe are quite complicated, and in the " Books of Chilan Balam" are molely drawn : but, for all that, two or three of them are evidentle identical with those in the calendar pererved he Ianda. Some years ago, Professor de Rosing expressed himself in great doubl as to the fidelity in the tracing of these heroglyphe of the months, principally beanse he could not find them in the two codices at his command. As he observes. they are
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Fici,,- -igns of the Months, from the book of Chilan Balam of Chmmacl,


ZAC

Agosto 5
ZIP.
Tebrerol.
CEH


Agosto 25


TEEC : ZEEC

Octubre 4


Felureto 21
MAC


XUL

Octubire 24 YAXKIN


Noviembre 13 MOL


Diciemblres
Alril 22
PAX


Mayo 12


1Flli. 2.-Sigus of the Months, as given by mishop I, anda.
composite signs, and this goes to explain the diserepanm: for it may be regarded as established that the Maya erriph permitted the use of several sighs for the same somm, and the sentptor or seribe was not obliged to represent the sumbe word always by the same figure.

In close relation to chronology is the sysem of numera tion and arithnctical signs. 'These are disensed with comsiderable fulness, especiatly in the "Book of Chilan hatam of Katha." 'The mamerals are represented bex exactly the same figures as we find in the Maya manuseripts of the libraries of Dresden, Pesth, Paris and Madrid: that is, hy points or dots up to five, and the fives by single straight lines, which may be indiserminately drawn vertieally or hori\%ontally. The same book contains a table of multipitcation in Spanish and Maya, which settles some disputed points in the ase of the vigesimal system by the Mayas.

A curious chapter in several of the books, especially thme of Kina and Mani, is that on the thirteen ahan Rotuns, of epochs, of the greater eycle of the Mayas. This cyele embraced thirteen periods, which, as I have before remarked, are computed by some at twenty years each, by others at twenty-four years cach. Each of these kotums was presidel ower by a chicf or king, that being the meaning of the worl ahate. The books above mentioned give both the name and the portrat, drawn and colored by the rude hand of the mative artist, of each of these kings, and they suggest sereral interesting analogies.

They are, in the first place, identical, with one exceptiom, with those on an ancient native painting, an engraving of which is given by Father Cogolludo in his "History of
"ncatan," and explained by him as the repreatatann of an oscurbere which took place atter the spmards arrived in the penimana. lividently, the native in whose hands the worthe father fombl it, feming that he partow of the fanaticfoll which had led the missionaries to the destrate tom of st many reords of their nation, deceived him ats to its purpont, and gave him and explanation which imparted to the seroll the chamater of a hambes history.

The one execption is the lant or thinternth chicf. Cignthoto appends to this the name of an Indian who probably did fall a victim to his friendship to the spaniands. This name, as a sort of guarantee for the rest of his story, the native seribe inserted in place of the gentine one 'The pecmiarity of the figure is that it has an arrow or dagger driven into its eye. Not only is this mentioned hy cogolludo's informant, hut it is represented in the pantings in both the "Books of Chilan Balan" above moted, and abso, be a fortmate conncidence, in one of the catendar pages of the " Coder Thatho," plate xiii., in a remarkable cartonche, which, from a wholle indepentent comre of reasoning was some time since identified be the well-known antiquary, Profeser Cyrus Thomas, of Illinois, as a eartouche of one of the chand katans, and probably of the last of them. It gives me moch pleasure to add such conclusive proof of the sagacity of his suposition.*

There is other covence to show that the engraving in Cogolludo is a relic of the purest ancient Maya symbolisin-
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one of the mast interesting which have been presereal tur
 be too far from me perent topic.

A fiserite theme with the writers of the " Pookes of Chilat Balam" was the cure of discases. Rislog Ianda ceplaina

 out their appoptiate remerlies. As we might extert, thereme, considerable promineme is siven to the dermpe tion of symploms and shgestions fin their alleriation. Becding and the administration of preparations of mative plants are the m:anal preseriptions: but there are wher Which hate pobably beon bormed from some domentio madicinc-look of limopean migin.

The late oon lion l'eres gave agrat deal of attention to collecting these mative fecipes, and his manseripts were carcially examined by br. Beremth, who combined all the necesiay knowledge, botanical, linguistic and medical, and
 Indios," whide presents the suljeet fully. He consider: the scientitie valne of these remedies to be next to mothings, and the latsuage in which they are recorded to be distinctly inferior to that of the remander of the " books of Chitan Balam." Hence, le lelieves that this portion of the anciont records was supplanted senne time in the has century by medical notions introeluced from Fimope m mares. Such. in fact, is the statement of the coprists of the book them-

[^132] wis rolmme, entitled "The Book of the Jew," $I=1$ /iboo d.l/mde." Whor this alleger Jewish physician was, who lett or wide-spread and durable a remown among the Vikatecan matives, mone of the arehacologists hat lecen able (1) find ont.: :':
'fore language and style of most of these books are aphorFive elliptical and olscome forme Maya language has matmally madergone considerable altemation since they were whiten: therefore, even to eompetent readers of ompanary Maya, they are not readily intelligible Fortmately, lowcror, there are in existence excellent dietiomaries, which, wete they published, would be sufficient for this purpose.

 bow - athers device toaid the sate of the book by attributhg it to the "great maһмแแッ."

## IN THI: "STINE: OF TIIF: LiANTS.":

1'f the las mocting of this socies. a photograph wa

 Mexio. It was ohligingly formated by the Moxem
 the sexiety to me for a possible interpetation of the figum represinted.

 Which contained a kengthe interpetation of the figure by
 down in his recenty published work on the deciphomem
 figmes a mastical allusion the the coming of Christ th the

 agree in the remotes with his hepothesis, I shall sis moth. ing finther abont it, hat proced to give what I consiber the trace sisulfance of the inseriber lizures.
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 Fhan the real chatacter and meaning of the drawing. It

 tion traits. It giver him a gindle where mone is delineated, and the redative sion and pronntion of all the the fisure
 pattionlars whielt the Rer. Sonomason omitted to tate. Finm the formers deseription we leall that the stone on tather pock, on which the inseription is kand is mathly triallsular in shate, prencoting a mearly staight borker of thity fet on cach sicke. It is hatal and mifom in lexture, amb of a dark color. 'The length or height of the pationgat figure is twenteseren feet, and the incined lines which denisnate the
 perition of the stome, whels is the salle as that stated by Captain Jngaix, the head of the principal figure, called "the giant," bies tomatel the cant, white the right hated is extemerl toward the morth and the left towarl the wes. It is repen to dombe whether this disponition was acerilental of intentional, as the fe is rason to bedese that the stome is mot
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 1and 1, s. $i^{\prime}$.
 internder.









 Ont sensed in the sight of the Mexicat catembar will at mate






 in the ir cerle, making, as 1 hate sald, al term of filt two yous in all. I fear was desisulated be one of the forn



The dass were amathed in zonle or wers of twoty the different ereme being mambered, and also mamed fom a
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 thmen lwomy make omly two bumderl and sisty, ill this
 batal. Iheir device to acomplish this was simple: they
 Wrek for this remainder, adding a haid meries of alpellat
 minht." At the ' al the legegming of the previons sear.:

 with the sear and day deaty set forth. 'floc gear is wope sented th the left of the figure, alle is that mombered "ten" muler the sign of the rabhit, in Nahmatl, 1 ilumitl mellarll bohtli; the date of the gear in mombered "ome" maler the sign af the fish, ar afarlli.

These precise dates redured ance, and omby onee, every fifte two gears : and had rectured omly once between the

 equelh, as from wher ciromatances, such ats local tratitioni

[^136]and the chanacter of the work, it is not likely that the inseription wat previons to the middle of the fifteenth century: Within the perion nanmed, the year "or rabite" of the D/ate calentar corresponded with the year 1.502 of the (iregonam calcmatr. It is more diffiente to fix the hay at the mathe matieal problems relating to the $A$ atec dimmal reekomims are extrencly complicated, and have not yet been sati-lice torily worked out: hat it is, I think, sale to say, that acome. ing to both the most probable computations the day "ome fisll" - W cifactli-oceurred in the first month of the yat 15\%2, which month coincided in whole or in part with wir february.
such is the date on the inseription. Now, what is intimated to have oecurred on that date? The elue to this is furnished by the figure of the giant.

On looking at it closely we perceive that it represents an ogre of horrid mien with a death-head grin and formidable tecth, his hair wild and long, the locks falling down lapon the neek; and suspended on the breast as an omanemt is the bone of a haman lower jaw with its incisor teeth. The lefteg is thrown forward as in the act of walking, and the arms are mplifted, the hands open, and the fingers extended, as at the moment of seizing the prey or the victim. Tlue lines about the matilicus represent the knot of the girdle which supported the martli or beech-eloth.

There is no doubt as to which persomage of the A\%ter panthicon this fear-inspiring figure represents; it in Fion
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Fita, 1. The stone of the diamto
temoc Mictlanterthi, "the Lord of the Realm of the Ih W. He of the Falling Hair,' the dread god of death and the dead. * His distinctive marks are there, the death-hemd, the falling har, the jaw bone, the terrible aspect, the winnt si\%e.

There can be no question but that the Picdrade los (iementio establishes a date of death; that it is a neerological tahket, a mortuary momment, and from its size and workmanhip, that it was intended as a memorial of the decease of sume very inportant personage in ancient Mexico.

Provided with these deductions from the stone itself. let us turn to the records of old Mexieo and see if they corroborate the opinion stated. Fortunately we possess several of these venerable documents, chronicles of the empire before Cortes destroyed it, written in the hieroglyphe which the inventive genins of the matives had devised. Taking two of these chronicles, the one known as the Coder Tiller. iano-Remensis, the other as the Coder laticanns, $\dagger$ and tuming to the year numbered "ten" mader the sign of the rabhit. I find that both present the same record, which I eops in the following figure.

[^138]
140. 2. Vixatrat from the Vatican Comex

Gon will observe the sign of the year, the rabhit, shown merely by his head for brevity. The ten dots which give its number are beside it. Immediately bencath is a curion' quadruped with what are intended as water-drops dripping from him. The amimal is the hedge-hog and the figure is to be consitrued ionnomatically, that is, it mant be read as a rehns through the medinm of the Nahnatl langrage In that langage wate is ath, in composition $n$. and hedge-hog is witooth. Combine these and yon get ahuitorl, or, with the reverential termination, whateot:ia. This wats the mane of the ruler or emperor, if you allow the worl, of ancient Mexico before the accession to the throne
of that Monteruma whom the Spanish conguistador Cinter put to death. His hieroglyph, as I have described it. is well known in Mexican contices. $\%$

Returning to the page from the chandele, we observe that the hieroglyphof dhat\%otzin is placed inmediately one a conpse swathed in its mammy choths, as was the chatom of interanent with the highest classes in Mexieo. This signi fies that the death of Ahnitzotzin took place in that yeme Aljacent to it is the figure of his succesior, his mane iemmmatically represented by the headdress of the moblen: the
 Bencath is also the figure of the new ruler, with the ontlines of a flower and a bonse, which would be translated be the iconomatic system rochicalli or sochialoo: but the significance of these does not concern us here.

This page of the Codices gives us therefore a record of a death in the year " io tochlli" - 1502 -of the utmost importance. No previous ruler had brought ancient Mexien th such a heiglat of glory and power. "In his reign," silys Orozeo y Berra, "Mexico reached it; utmost extension. Tributes were levied in all directions, and fabulous riches poured into the eapital eity. "卉 The death of the ruler wat therefore an event of the profondest mational significance We may well believe that it would be commemorated by some artistic work commensurate with its importance: and

[^139]; Ifistaria Antigua de Metion, Tomo III. p. 426.
thin I clam was the purpose of the /idetre de los Gientmbes of 1"~(")

Lat we may add further and condincing testimonty to this
 gated to the right of the figute as eombected with the event commemorated. Now, althomgh I have not fomme in the re comb the exact day of Almitantzin's death, I do fime that the

 mate historian, Chimalpahin, states distinctly that this took place " immediately" after the death of his predecesor on the throne. ${ }^{\circ}$ It may possibly have been on the very day of Almit\%ot\%in's decease, as still another mative writer, 'l"\%azomex, informs the that this was mot sudelen, but the show reant of a womad on the head. 少

It is indeed remarkable that we shombl find the precise dates, the year and the day of the year, depieted on this stone, and also recorded by varions mative writers, as eonnected with the demise of the emperor Ahnitzotzin. 'Ihese womedences are of such a mature that they leave mo doubt that lat Piedra de los Giganhes of Iescancla is a neerologic tablet commemorating the death of the emperor Ahmit\%otain some time in Feloruary, 1502.
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## NATIVE AMERICAN POETRY.:

IN our modern civilization we are apt to consider that a taste for poetry is a mark of high eulture, something which belongs exclusively to trained mental fibre and educated perceptions. It causes us, therefore, some surprise when we study the psechology of savage tribes, to find them almont everywhere passionate lovers of verse and measure, of mosic and song. 'This fact, well established by the researelue of ethmogy, was recognized by more than one keen thinker before ethology was born. In the last ecotury that ematic genims, Hamam, known in Gemman literatare as "the magician of the north," penmed the memorable worts. "Poetry is the common mother-tongue of the hman rite," and insisted that to attain its noblest flights, "we munt re turn to the infancy of the race, and to the simplicity of a childike fath," a dietum wamly esponsed by the philom. phic Herder and be the enthasiasm of the yomer (inthe. Iater on, that profoundest of prychologists, Wilheln tom Hamboldt, refleeting on the problems presented by the origin of languages, expressed his convietion that man in a zoological species is a singing anmal, like many birls: that

[^141]hiv weal organs turn to song as their appropriate function whit: like spontancity as his mind turns to thonght on his eve :o the light.

If we inguire into the prechological principle which makes fly than agreable to the car, we shall find that this princiole St that of epetition. I could carre the analysis still further, ani demonstrate to you that the physiological principle of all pleasure is expresed in the formma-" maximum action
er that : 119. which educited when we :111 :11110 of mon ic earclum of 11 thinker lat erratie as " the (c. words. all risce, " - 111110 l icit! of al philıma. r (ictix. lelan lin 1 l! the 111:111 in rels: that with minimmm effort:" and that the werves of atdition are mont successfully acted mon in accordance with this baw hy limited repetitions with harmonions intervals. All metres, all rhythm, all forms of atliteration and assomance, are but caried applications of the principle of harmonious repectition ; and the poet, as a poct, as ant artist, must be rated, and practically always is rate l, by the skill with which he emflors the resomeces of repetition. I.ofty thoughts, beantiful metaphors, delicate allusions, these are his extraneons aids, and be no means his exclusive property : hat the form is his own, be it quantity, rhyme, alliteration or accent.

I have felt it necesiary to state very briefly these general principles, in order to place in its proper light that form of pontry which is most prevalent among the native tribes of America. Vou will not find amons them ans developed examples of either rhyme or alliteration; their dialects do not abluit of fixed vocalic guantity, like the Latin; even aceent and asomance, which are the more inperfect resourese of the poitic art, are generally absent. IVhat, then, i:n a literary analysis, constitutes their poctic form?

1 answer, repetition in its simplest expressions. These are two. The same verse may be repeated over and over again;
or the wording of the verses may be changed, but each may be accompanied be a burden or refrain, which is repeatey ly the singer or the chorms. 'rlaese are the two fundammat characteristies of aboriginal poctry, and are foumb cicts. where on the Ameriean continent. The refrain is unally interjectional and meaningless: and the verses are ofton repeated without alteration, four or five times over.

We may, if we choose, begin our survey of the comtinent with its extreme northermmost inhabitants, the Iokimo. whose abode is along the inhospitable shores of the . Dictic sea. One might think that the cternal shows which ant round them, the vast glaciers which chill the air for milen beyond their limits, would also freeze out and kill all fire of poesy. Quite the contrary. I doubt if thronghout the American continent I could quote you a more thoroughly pectic people, one taking a greater delight in song, than these sime boreal, blubber-eating, ice-bound IEskimo. Their great delight is in long tales of magic and adventure, and in inmerovisation. An liskimo hunter, with a ready power to string together verse after verse of their peculiar poetry, somex ex tends his fame berond the confues of his native village and becomes known for many a league up and down the shome Often in the long winter nights, gemnine tourneys of ong are organized between the chanpions of villages, not mulike those which took place in fair Provence in the palmy day: of la gave scionce. Nore than this, I have been assured by Dr. Framz Boas, who recently passed two years anong the liskimo of Baffin's Land, living with them as one of thom. that it is nothing uncommon for downright hostile feclings, personal grudges, to be settled by the opponents meeting in in impros r to string , sox Hage, and the shore. $\therefore$ of oung not malike hme day: ssured ly mong the of them. feclings, ceting $\quad$ In a ficod oceasion and singing satirical and abonsive vongs at eal other. He who comes omt hest, mising the mont langhter it his antagonist's expellise, is comsidered to hate comqueted, and his eneme aceepts the defeat. These controsersat ongs have been called by the Inaish writers "nith mans." from the word with, which is alson old linglish, and mens cursing and contention.

The distinguished traveler. Hr. Heimrich Rink, who has pated nincteen winters in (ireenland, has fumi-hed me the originals, with trambations, of several of these mith songs.

As an example, I will read yon one which towk place between two rivals, Sardlat and /'uhasit-Miswh. Savdlat lised to the north, Pulangit-Sissok to the south. Ton ap. preciate the satire, you must know that an Iiskimo gentleman prides himself chicfly on two points: first, that he speaks his own tongue with preciecly the right accent, which, I need not say, he emsiders to be the accelt of his own village, wherever that may be ; and secondly, that he is a skillful boatman.
savellat hegins the poetic dued in these words:
SAVDLAT AND PULANGIT-SISSOK.
s.ath.at

The South shore, O yes, the South shore, I kuow it : Once l lived there and unt loulangit-sisook, A fat fellow who lived on halibut; " yes, I kwow him. 'those south-shore folk cant talk: 'They don't know how to pronomece our language ; 'Truly they are dull fellows ; They don't even talk alike; Some have one accent, some another;

Sohnerly can mulderstand them:
They com satreely melerstand each other.

```
I'1.ANV:IT-4ISSOO:-
```

O yes, savallat and I are old acpmantances: He wished bue extrencly well at times: Guce 1 know he wished 1 was the hat loathan on the - finte; It was a rough day, atul 1 in morey took his hoat in tow . Ha! ha! Savellat, thou diden cry mont pitiful;
Thon wast awfully afeard; In truth, thon wast neaty upact; Aud hatst to kerp hoh of my boat strings, And give sue part of thy loard. O ges, savellat and I are old acopaintances.
A similar humorous strain is very marked in most of the Eiskimo songs. Indeed, I know no other tribe in Ambrica where the gennine fum-lowing spirit bubbles forth so frecly: In Mexieo and Central Ameriea, in the midst of beamiful scenery and where the flowery earth hasks in the lap of an eternal spring, the tone of most of the songs is sath and lugubrious; or, if hmmorons, with a satirical, bitter, umhealthy humor, a Schadenficude, which is far from wholesome merriment. Dr. Berendt, who spent sevented vears in studying the languages of Central America, has pointerly ealled attention to the great predominance of words in them expressing painful, over those expressing pleasuralike cmotions. It teaches us how little the happiness of man repemes upon his enviromment, that the merriest of the American nations is found precisely where according to our usmal notions almost every cheering and enlivening element is withdrawn from life, where darkness, cold, and destitution have undisputed rule.

Bat I will uot continne with such generalizations, attactin thongh they are bet me relieve their drymes by a lith lickimosong, the full bokimotext of which you will fimi printed in Dr. Rink's work entitled "'lales of the Ehhmo." As msual, each lime is followed by an interjectimbil burden, which I shall repeat only in part. The song is calle el

THE. SONG OF KUK-OOK, THE BAD BOY.
This is the song of Kink-ook, lle bat bog.
Itmakayah-hayah,
Imakiyah-hah-hayals.
I all going to rim away from home, hayah,
In a great hige boat, hayah.
To hunt for a swee little sirl, hayals;
I shall get her some beats, hayaln;
The kint that look like boike: ones, hayaln;
Then atter a while, hay:h.
I shall come hack home, hayah,
I shall call all my relations tonether, hayah,
And shall give them all a good thrashing, hayah;
Then I shall go and get married, hayah,
I shall marry two girls at once, hisath;
One of the sweet little darlinst, hayah,
I shall dress in spotted seal-skins, hayah, Asd the other dear little pel, hayah, Shall wear skins of the hooded seal only, hayah.

But yon must not derive the idea from these specimens that the liskimos are triflers and jesters only. Some of their pretical productions reveal a true and deep appreciation of the marvellous, the impressive, and the beatiful senes which their land and climate present. Prominent features 19
in their tales and chants are the flashing, variegated anma, whose showting streaners they fable to be the sult it departed heroes; the milky way, gleaming in the with Aretic night, which they regard as the bridge he whic hat sombs of the good and brave monnt to the place of jon: the bat, glittering, somblless stowfolds: and the mishts, erashing glacier, sphintering from his shoreward clifithe iee momatains which float down to the great ocean.

As an instance of this appreciation of natural seenery shall read gou a song obtained by Dr. Rink, at the -mand trading station of Aratut on the southern coast of Cireenhand. near lirederickshaab. Close to Arsnt stands Mt. Komask, whose precipitous sides rise fully fon thousand feet alreve the billows of the Athatio which dash against its foot. It:s the play of the clouds abont the monntain which inspires the poet :

MOUNT KOONAK: A SONG OF ARSUT.
1 look toward the sonth, to great Monnt Koonak, To great Monnt Koontak, there to the sonth;
I watch the clonds that gather romal him;
1 contemplate their shinimg brightness:
They spread abroad npon great Koontak ; They ciamb up his seaward flanks;
see how they shift and change;
Watch them there to the south;
How the one makes beantiful the other :
Ilow they mount his sonthern slopes,
Iliding him from the stormy sea, liach lending beataty to the other.

No doubt there were and are many historical or traditional
sn: - among the natives: but I should have little hope of done ing from them much information of a really historical dhater. Their references to ocemrences are very vague, and rather in the form of shgsestion than marration. The anthons are supposed to be familiar with the story, and a sinste name or prominent word is enongh to recall it to their minls.

I may illustrate this by a shont Pawnee song sent me by Mr. Dubhar, whoe intimate acquantance with the language and castoms of that tribe kends entire anthority to all he writes abont them.
Shant heo the Pawnees eaptured a young girl from their enemies the Padncas, and according to enstom, prepared to hom her alive. On the appointed day she was fastened to the stake, and the village gathered around in order to commence the tortures which were to precede her death. At that monent a young Pawnee brave, by name Pilale-Sharn, whose heart had been touched with pity and perhaps with love, dashec! madly into the ring with two fleet horses. In a moment with his ready knife he had stit the thongs which fastened the girl to the stake, had thrown her on one horse, himself on the other, and was speeding away on the prairie toward her father's village. The Pawnees were literally stricken dumb. 'They retired silently to their cabins, and when, three days later, litale-Sharn returned to the village, no man challenged his action. All regarded it as an aet of divine inspiration, even to inquire about which would be sacrilege. This act is remembered to this day in the tribe, and commemorated in the following song :

## A PAWNEE COMMEMORATIVE SONG.

Wiell, lue foretom his.
Werll, he feretold this,
Yes, he forctold thin;
I, Pitile-Shan.
Am arrived here.
Well, lee foretold this,
les, he forctold this,
I, Bitale Sharn,
Am arrived here.
One of the Pawnee war-songs has a curions metapherical turn. It is one which is sung when a warrior madertakion perform some particularly daring individual exploit, which may well cost him his life. The words seem to call mom the gods to decide whether this mortal life is only : m illusion, or a divine trmth mader the guidance of divine intelligence.

PAWNEE WAR-SONG.
I.et us see is this real, lect thesee, is this real, let hissee, is this real, I.ct us see, is this real. This life I am living? Se gools, who dwell everywhere, Lect us see, is this real, 'This life I am living?

The so-called Indian medicine-songs camot be understood without a thorongh insight into the habits and superstitions of these peoples, and it would ony fatigue you were I to repeat them to you.

I prefer to turn to some of the less esoteric productions of
the mative mase, to some of its exprestions of those emotions whin are common to mamkind evervolere, and which crob where seek their expresion in meter and riythom.

I recent German traweler, Mr. Pheodore Bater, furmish:es me with a couple of simple mpretending but gembinely abnisimal songs wheh he heard among the Kiowaty lndians. One is a

## SONG OF A KIOWAY MOTHER WHOSE SON HAS CONE TO WAR.

Young men there are in plenty. But I love omly one:
Himb I'se wot seen for lons. Though he is my omly son.

When he comes, Ith hate to mect him,
I think of him all nisht: He too will be ghall to sere me,
Hise eges will gleam will delight.
The second example from the Kioways is a song of true love in the ordinary sense. Such are rare among the North American Indians anywhere Dost of their chants in relation to the other sex are erotic, not emotional; and this hoden equally true of those which in some tribes on certain occasions are addreseed by the women to the men. The one I give you from the Kioway is not open to this censure

[^142]The moons are passing, and some moxn
1 whall see my home longolont.
And of all the greetings that meet me,
My maiden's will ghaden me mont.
A specimen of at characteristic Chipeway lovesonts is given in olle of the works of the late Henry R. Schow fatit It was chanter be the lower, at night, in front of the dwell. ling of the girl he would eaptivate. 'fle song is inf fint verses, and it will be moticed that each verse appoblle nearer and nearer the final regtuest. It should be muderstood that each verse was to be repeated several times, was to give the fair one an opportmity to express her appocoal or disapproval by some of those signs which belong to the freemasonry of love the world over. If the sign was negative and repelling, the singer abruptly ceased his chant and retired, concealed by the darkness of the night; but if he was encouraged, or heard withont rebuke, he continned, in lope that at the elose of the song timid fingers would partially draw aside the curtain which closes the lodge dowr, and that his prayer would be granted.

The serenade rums as follows:

SERENADE SONG OF A CHIPEWAY LOVER TO HIS MISTRESS.
I would walk into somelooly's dwelling. Into sormebocly's dwelling would I walk.

Into thy dark med dwelling, me beloved, some night would I walk, would I walk.

Some might at this season, my beloved,
Into thy darkened dwelling wond I walk.
 Into thy darkened dwelling womll I watk

While dealing with these antatory eflasions，I will add （n）解 two from another part of the map，from the tribes who
 an me that there are mane tribes there bares tinged with
 torstues and modes of thonght．The sworl and whip of the Spanard compelled an external obedience to chareh and －tate，but the deference to either was reluetant，and in the minimum degree Comsequently，there atso the fied for reacareh is rich and practically uncoltivated．＇To employ a mative metaphor，frefucht in the Aztee poets．I will eanse yon to smell the fragrance of a few of the flowers I have gathered from those meads．

My late friend，Dr．Berendt，persomally known，I doubt mot，th some present，ohtainced a enroms Aztee lose－song from the lips of an Indian girl in the Sierra of＇lamantipats． It is particularly noticeable from the strange，mystical con－ ceit it contains that to the person who truly loves，the mere bully presence or absence of the belosed object is unin－ portant，nay，not even noticed．The literal tramsation of this song is as follows：

> I know mot whether thon hath heorn absent:
> 1 lie down with here, I rine up with theer, It my ifreans thou art wih me.
> If my car drops tremble in me cars.
> I know it is thon moving within my heat.

This rongh rendering has been put into metrical form as follows：

I knew it mot that thom hallst also nt lecen, So full thy presence all my som had left; By uight, he day, in guict or chansing sectue,
'Tis the alome I see, solue of all chice berett. And when the tinkling pendants sway and ring, - fis thon who in mey heart lost mose and sing.

In another love-song in the same languge I have met a conceit which I distinctly remember to have read in sme old English poet, that of a lover who complatios that his heart has been gathered in along with her flowers by a maiden pieking roses.

The literal translation of this song reads thas:
On a cortain momutian side,
Where they phek fowers,
1 saw a pretty mailen,
Who pheked from we my heart.
Whither thon goest,
Therege 1.
As a metrial expansion of this complet the following has been shggested:

## AZTEC LOVE-SONG.

Wa you know that monntain si.le
Where litey sather roses?
There I strolled one eventide
In the gatiden closes.
Som I metalowe maid
Fairer than all fancies.
Quick she gathered in my heant
With her buds and pansies,

But take heot, my pretw mas,
In te:ping and in sowins.
Gnce with there I'llever stay,
And go where hoth art gaing.
Ferhaps the refinenent of some of these semtimenti may
 clim of writers that delicacy of sexmal feeling is quite mo
 is that mere bestiality preails, more or lese kept in bounds bex suerstition and tribal law. I ann well acepaninteg with this theory of several popular philosophers, and do wot in the least aceent it. Any suel dogmatic assertion is maseientific. Inelicacy of sentinent bears ane sont of constant relation to enlare, Ifvery man present knows this. Ife
 who are coarse vohuptatries, and others of the lamblest education who have the delieacy of a refined woman. So it is with families, and so it is with trihes. I have illustrated this lately by an antalysis of the worts meaning " to lose" in all its senses in five leading American linguistio stocks. and have showa by the irrefagable pronf of languate how much they differ in this reseect, athed how much alse the same tribe may differ from itself at varionte perionds of its growtli. Sts the remalt of this and similar stadies I may assure you that there is mo oceasion for questioning the exintence of highly delicate sentiments anmong sonne of the Ancrican tribes.

As I fonad the Mexiean lowe poems the most delicate, so I have found their wate songs the most stiming. IV e hate a mamber of specimens written down in the matioe tongue
shortly after the conquest. They have never been translated or published, but I will give you a rendering of , me in my possession which, from intrinsic evidence, wå- writ. ten abotit 1510 . I say arillen advisedly, for the nation who sang these songs possessed a phonetic alphabet, and wrote many volumes of poenis by its aid. Their historian, liernardino de Sahagun, especially mentions that the works used for the instr ction of youth in their schools containeld "poemts written in antique characters."

The first of my selections is supposed to be addresied by the poet to certain friends of his who were unwilling to go to war.

A WAR-SONG OF THE OTOMIS.

1. It grieves me, dear friends, that you walk not with me in spirit. that I have not your company in the scenes of joy and pleasure, that never more in mion do we seek the same paths.
2. Io you really see me, dear friends? Will no God take the hlimdness from your eyes? What is life on earth? Can the dead return? No, they live far within the heavens, in a place of joy.
3. The joy of the Lord, the Giver of Life, is where the warriors sing. and the smoke of the war-fire rises up; where the flowers of the shichds spread abroad their leaves; where deeds of valor shake the carth: where the fatal flowers of death cover the fields.
4. The battle is there, the begiming of the batte is there, in the open fields, where the smoke of the war-fire winds around and curls upward from the fatal war-flowers which adorn you, ye frients and warriors of the Chichimees.
5. Iee not my soul dreal that open field; I earnestly desire the begiming of tise slanghter, my soul longs for the murderons fray.
6. O you who stand there in the battle, I earnestly desire the begin ning of the slanghter, my sonl longs for the murderous fray.

- ifle warelond rises upwarl, it rises into the bhe $k$ where flue: the river of Iife ; in it hlossom forth the flowers of prowess ami whor, bencath it, in the battle field, the chidhen ripen to matnnit!.

4. Kejoice with me, dear friembs, and do ye rejoice, ge chibdren, mine forth to the open fied of hattle: latus rejoice aml revel amul thece shichls, flowers of the murileront fraty.

The song which I have just read, like most which I bring betore you, has no mame of author. The poet has pasied to ant cternal ohlivion, thongh his work remains. More fortanate is the composer of the next one I shall read you. It is a poent by an Aztec prince and bard who bore the sonorons afpellation, Tellapan Quctanitioin. I can tell you little about hinn. At the time Cortes entered the City of Mexico, Tetlapan Quetzanitzin was ruler of one of its suburbs, Tlaeopan or Tacnba. At the interview when the daring Spaniard seized upon the person of Montezuma and made him a captive, this Tetlapan was one of the attendants of the Aztee monareh, and it is recorded of him that he made his escape and disappeared. I have fonnd no mention of his subsequent adventures.

This war-song is one of two of his poenns which have survived the wreek of the ancient literature. It is highly metaphorical. Vou might at first think it a drinking song ; but the drankenness it refers to is the intoxication of battle, the bersereraruth of the Norse Vikings: the flowers which he sings are the war-shiekls with their gay ormanents: and the fertile plains whieh he lands are those which are watered with the blood of heroes. Finally, I shonld tell you that the white wine he speaks of was a sacred heverage
ammer the Mexicans, set forth at ecrtain solemen fertivals. Like the rest of their wille, it wat mandactared from the magncy.

## a War-song of tetlapan ouetzanitzin (1519).

 were drank with the wine? drise from your stifer, of frients, come hither and sing: let as seek for homes in some thonery land ; thene your drankemuess.
2. 'lloe precept is ohl that one shomble ghaff the strons. white wime in the moment of dificulty, as when one colters the hatthe plain, when he goes forth to the place of shattered stomes, where the premen stomes are plituterel, the emeralds, the turemoses, the youthe, the
 white winc.
$\therefore$ I.et us drink together amid the flowers, let mes haind ome homan
 olors as a fombatin its waters, where the beath of the dew laten flowers makess sweet the air ; there it is that mobitity and setrength will make ghosions our homes, there the flowern of war blemen wer a for tile land.
 forth the white wine, the stambes wine of batte; bet ats drink the wine which is ats sweet as the dew of roses, bet it intexicate our somb, let our sembls the steeper in itsielights, let them be enriched as in sume opulem place, some fertile land. Why deres it tromble son? Come with mes, ath listen to my sums.

Ahongsite of these specimens from Mexieo, I pat a wat someg of the Permeians. It is from the drama of ollanta, a prohnction dating from shortly before the comquest, and wife of the most interesting momments of Ameriean mative literature. 'The hero, Ollanta, a wartior of remown but of humble parentage, had, on the strength of his suceceses


 asamat his mative commtry and it raler in these worla:

A W13-SOVG OF OLLANTA.
() Cusco, lx:alltiful chty,

1 maill break the wails of thy lomata,

Ald lling it follue viltures.

II y Ilons:ands al wartiors,
Armad amel leal liver
ciather, like a croms ol ceurses,



Amel lly kines shall perish will there



Never thall the le thine."
A varicty of poctic prolluction of frequent aceurrence amber the alongines is the pophetic. Sou are aware that it is ley no means peeniar to them; the oracle at Detphi, the
1 a W:
M/anter, it alld (1)t
11 mitive
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fuccusses silstline leaves in the Capitol, the words of the Hebrew seers, wen the foreensts of Nostralamms, were usmally east in pretie form. The effint to lift the veil of futurits is one incradicable from the haman breat, and faith in its posstbility is universal. Those prophets who are wise, those augurs who pass the wink to each other, favor great
obscurity and ambignity in their commmaications, 11 dee express themselves in such commomplaces as that man in mortal; that all beanty facleth; that power is tran-maty and the like. We find both kinds flourished in ancient America. Von may remember that Montemmat in hio firet interview wita Cortes told the spanish invader that the arrival of a white and bearded conqueror from the lian hat lomg heen predieted by Mexican soothsayers. Simblar prophecies were enrrent in Vocatan, in Pern, and in other portions of the contincolt. They are all easily explamed. and there is no occasion either to (fuestion the fact, of to seek for them any supernatural inspiration. It would lead me away from my theme to enter into a disenssion of their meaning, but I should like to read you two brief example of them. Both are from the Maya language of Vosatin, and I have no doubt both antedate the conquest. The first, according to an expression in the poem itself, was composed in the year 469 . It was the prediction of a Maya priest at the close of the indiction or cyele which terminated in that year of our chronology.

THE PROPHECY OF PECH, PRIEST OF CHICHEN-ITZA (1469).

Ye men of Itza, hearken to the tidings, I, isten to the forecaste of this eycle's end ; Fonr have been the ages of the world's progressing. Now the fourth is ending. and its end is near.
A mighty lord is coming, see you give him honor; A potent lord approaches, to whom all must bow; I, the prophet, warn you, keep in mind my botling, Men of Itza, mark it, and await your lord.
$\uparrow$ :cesend example of these mystic chants which I shall git wou is from a curious native production called, "The Bun of Chilan Balam," a repertory of wild imagininge and son the of ancient and modern magieal lore, which is the very bithe of the Maya Indians. Athonght I hate a copre of it, I hance ben unable to translate any large portion of it, and my confopondents in Vueatan, though some of them speak Maya as radily as spanish, find the expresestas tow archaic and whecure to be intelligible. This partientar ontig is that of the priest and soothayer Chilan, from whom the saered bow takes its mame. There is every reason to belece that it dates from the fifteenth century.

## recital of the priest chilan

IFat, eat, while there is bread, I rink, drink, while there is water; A day eomes when dust shall darken the air, When a blight shall wither the land, When a cloud shall arine,
When a monntain shall be lifted up,
When a stronge man shall selize the city,
When ruin shall fall upon all things.
When the tender leaf shall be destroyed.
When eyes shall be elosed in death:
When there shall he three sigus on a tree,
lather, son and grandsom hanging deal onthe same tree;
When the battle flate slall be rabed. And the people sattered abroal in the ferents.

Such poems properly belong to the mythologic class. This class was fully represented in the productions of the
primitive bards, iut chicfly owing to the prejudices of the carly missionaries, the examples remaning are few.

I could contintie to bring before you speciment if this quaint and ancient lore. My garner is by no mean emp. tied. But probably I have sad enough for my purpue. You see that the study of the aboriginal poetry of our continent opens up an mexpectedly rich field for investigation. It throws a new light not cnly on the folk songs of wher nations, but on the gencral history of the growth of the poetie faculty. Nore than this, it elevates our opinion of the nations whom we are acenstomed to call by the terms satage and barbarons. We are taught that in much which we are inchined to claim as our special prerogatives, they tow have an interest. In the most precions possessions of the race, in its aspirations for the infinite and the forever true, they also have a share. They likewise partake, and in no mean degree, of that sweetest heritage of man, the glorious gift of song, "the vision and the faculty divine."

## LINGUISTIC．

## INTROIUCCTORY．

TYIl：processes，psychical and logieal，which lie at the basis and modify the forms of artienlate speed，have yet to the defined and elassified in a mamer to secure the gen－ eral acceptance of seholars．While these processes are oper－ ative and recognizable in all languages，it has ever seemed to we that they are more apparent and transparent in the muwritten tongues of savage tribes．As the stream is more diaphanous near its source，as the problem of organic life is more readily studied in the lowest groups of animals and vegetables，by such analogies we are prompted to select the monltured speech of the rudest of our race $t$ ，discover the laws of growth in haman expression．
Thongh such laws are not precisely the same thronghont space and time，they mofuestiomably partake of the same miformity as we note in other natmal phemomena，and no language has get been reported which stands alome in its formation．
Perhaps the general laws muder which languages stould
 jeet permits. The labors of Wilhelm von Itmabolt, on expanded be profeseor stenthal, womld apear to mexem the mont comprehensine and satisfatony classifieation set attempted. Such is the conclusion to which my own sindion of the subject have led me, and in the first three eminn of this Part, I have set forth in eonsiderable detatil the applit cation of this opinion to the languages of America. B-pece a ally in the second essay, I have attempted to pophlatize a profoumder philosophic analysis of these tongues tham has heretofore appeared in works on the subject.

The essay on "The liarliest Form of Human Spech" offers a series of inferences drawn from the study of Americm tongues as to the general characteristics of the artionlate utterances of the species when it first became posisesied by some show evolationary proces-of the power of conveying ideas by intelligible somms. It is an application of facts drawn from a limited momber of languages to the lingnitic status of the whole speeies at an indeterminately remote period, but is, I think, a fair use of the materials offered.

The analysis of worls for the affections is the theme of the essay on "The Conception of love in some Amersom Ianguages." It is an example of the use to which linguin ties may be put in the science of racial peychology: whike the essay on the words for linear measures in certan tomen illustrates what knowledge as to the condition of a matimin arts may be ditained by a serntiny of its kexicon.

The next essay, on the curions hoas perpetrated in some Faropean and American linguists by the manuficure of a novel American tongue by some French students, is an
innt ace, not wholly maprecolented, of minplaced ingembity on the one side, and casy eredulity on the other. It belongs amme the "enriosities of literature."
popersonal lingusts will probably comsider the most impertant gencralization debated in hais lart that of the identity or diversity of the agolntinative and incorporative proseses of tomgtes. These two proceses are considered as formo of but one be most of the present French school ; bit I hase mantaned their radical distanction, following the German writers above mentioned; and I have further insisted that the incorporative plan is that enpecially prominent in American languages.

# AMERICAN LANGUAGES, ANII WHY WE SHOULII STUIY THEM: 

Contents.-mindian geographic manes-tanguge a guide wremme $\log y$-Reveals the growth of arts and the peschologie procesenco of a people-mastration from the Lemipe tongue-st meture of languge best studied in :avage tongues-Rank of American tongnes-Charate teristic traits; pronominal forms; intea of personality ; polys.mhenis; incorporation; holophrasis; origin of these-hacility of Ammitan tongues ; their vocabularies; power of expressing abstract idnasConclusion.
I

APPIEAR before you this evening to enter a plea for me of the most neglected branches of learning, for a study usually considered hopelessly dry and unproductive-that of American aboriginal languages.

It might be thought that such a topic, in America and among Americans, would attract a reasonably large number of students. The interest which attaches to our mative soil and to the homes of our ancestors might be supposed to extend to the languages of those nations who for uncomited generations possessed the land which we have ocenpied relatively so short a time.

[^143]"l". is supposition would eem the more reasomable in view of tiec fact that in one semse the he hatages have unt died out mong us. "Irme. they are mo longer medta of inter conter, but they = all wer our land. In the state of Combertient alone it ex

 -hmald direct itedf to the prenceratio 11 of the correct forms and precise meanings of the momerons and pecoliarly natimal designations. One womld think that this alone Whald thet fail to excite something more than a languid curnsity in American linguintics, at least in one institutions of haming and societies for historical researeh.

That this subject has received an slight attention I attribute to the comparatively recent moderatanding of the value of the stady of lamguages ingeneral, and mote partionarly to the fact that no one, so fat an I linow. has set forth the purpose for which we shouk investigate these tomgues, and the resulte which we expect to reach be means of them. 'l'his it is my present purpose to attempt, so far ats it can be accomplished in the seope of an evening address.

The time has mot long pased when the only geod reasons for studying a language were hed to be either that we might thereby acquaint ourseles with its literature; or that certain business, trading, or political interents might te subserved; or that the mation peaking it might be made acepuainted with the blessings of civilization and Christimity. 'These were all good and sufficient reasens, but I camot adduce any one of them in suphort of my pea to-night: for the languages I shall speak of have no literature; all transactions
with their people call be caried on as well or beter in

 mater with others. What I have to arsue for is the - maty of the dead langhage of extinet and harbarons tribes.

Som will realily se that my argments mast $1 x$ drann foom other considerations than thone of immerliate mitity. I mast seck them in the moader fiches of ethmongs and
 as a member of a common sucters, as possessing in all has families and tribes the same mind, the same soul. Langhatge is ahmost our only clue to discover the kimblip of
 this brode contincot. 'Their traditions are vasue or low writen records they had mone, their consoms and arthare minkading, their religions mismolerstood; their lamguages alone remain to textify to a ofermes of bood oftern secmingly repuliated ley an internceine lostility.

I an well aware of the limits which a wise cantion andign to the cmplorment of linguistics in cthologey and 1 am only for fand lar with the many foolish, meciontific at. tempto to cmplos it rith reference to the Americull race But in sibe of all this, I repeat that it is the sure and almost our only mean: whe trace the anciot combection and migrations of nations in America.

Thronsh its ad alone we hate reaced a positive kimwlcefer that mose of the area of south America, inclutins the whele of the Went Indies, was ocenpicel by three stath families of mations, not one of which had fommed any ime pe:tant settlenent on the northern continent. By similar onk are :mantrit lice rindy e mitits. los! : and an al rate. 11 all lits
11. L:A11iunhip of forceto of or lat. 1 arthare alys lases cenimyly
 1 n 11 : 111 ntife at. $\because 11$ race. 1re-t : and ction and
cknm ding the ee grat ally im-- smimar

जf. nee we kumw that the tribe which greeted Pean, when he :anded on the site of this city where I mow suak, wat a maviser of the one vant family the great Alomkin ntok Whane varions chans extomed form the palane the - Wampe of
 cantument cape of Newfimmathal th the peake of the Remky Monmtains, wer 20 of latitule and su of longitude. llicath know that the genctal tered of migration in the wothern comtinent hat lecan from woth to month, and that this is trate wot only of the mone save tribes, at the 1 . gronkins, Ir"poois, and Athapacas, lat ahon of those who. in the farored somthern laths, apporhed a form of civitattim, the dztees, the Mayas, and the gniehes. There and many minor ethoologic facts have abeady been ohtaned by the stuty of American lagnages.
lant such external information in only a small part of what they are capable of disclosing, Vie ean than them, like the reflector of a mieroscope, on the seete amd hidden mysteries of the aboriginal man, and diseoser his immot motives, his impules. his conceaked hopes and fears, those that satwe fixe th his customs and laws his selemest of social life his -uperstitions and his religions.

Persomal manes, family mames, titles. forms of salntation, atethods of address, termes of endearment, repocet, and reprovel, words expressing the emotions, these are what infatlibly reval the daily social family life of a commmaty, and the way in which its members regard ome athother. 'They are precisely as corret when applided to the insertigation of the Americall rate as ehsewhere, and they are the more vat mable just there, beanme his decp-acated distrust of the

White insaders-for which, let ass ackowledge, he had andmdant cance-led the Indian to practice concealment and equivocation on these personal topics.

In no other way ean the listory of the development of his arts be reached. Von are doubtless aware that diligent -tudents of the Aryan languages hate suceeded in fathmity depieting the arts and habits of that ancient commonity in which the common ancestors of Greck and Roman, Pervian and Dance, Brahmin and Irishman, dwett together as of ome blood and one speceh. This has been done by ascentaming what household words are common to all these tonguce, amt therefore must have been in nee among the printeval hate from which they are all deseended. The method is conemsive, and yields positive results. There is no reason why it should not be addressed to Ameriean languages, and we may be sure that it would be most fratitul. How valmalke it wonld be to take even a few words, as maize, tobacon. pipe, bow, arrow, and the like, each representing a wike spread art or costom, and trace their derivations and affinities throngh the languages of the whole continent! We may be sure that striking and mexpected rentits. wonld be obtained.

These languages also offer an entertaining fich to the psichologist.

On acconnt of their transparency, as I may call it, the clearness with which they retain the primitive forms of their radieals, they allow the to trace ont the growth of words, and thas reveal the operations of the native mind by a series of witneses whose testimony cannot be fuce tioned. Often curions asscciations of ideas are thus dis-
cho . vers instructive to the student of mankind. Many illu*tions of this could he given, hat I do mot wish to a-n if yonr cars by a host of maknown sommels, ar I shall com tent myself with one, and that taken from the langonge of the I cunper, or I claware Indians.

1-hall endeavor to trace ont one single ratical in that langraice and show you how many, and how strangely diverse idea-were built up) 1 pon it.

The ratical which I select is the personth prontonn of the first peron, $/$, Latin ligo. In Jelaware this is a single syllable, a slioht nasal, Ne, or Mi.

Ice me premise be informing yon that this is both a persomal and a possessive pronomm; it means bot! /amemime. It is both singular and pinral, both $/$ and ar, mine and 0"1.

The changes of the application of this root are made by adding suffixes to it.

I hegin with mikilhan. literally, "mine, it is so," or " she, it, is truly mine," the accent lecing ont the first syllable, mi, mince. But the common meaning of this verh in belaware is more significant of ownership than this tane expresion. It is an active, amimate verls, and means, "I leat, of strike, somelooly." 'F'o the rade minds of the framers of that tonguc, ownership meant the right to beat what one moned.

We might hoge this sense was confued to the bower animals: lut not so. Change the aceent from the firet to the econd syblable, $n$ ihillan, 1 o mhillan, and yon have the smmate active verl with an intensive force, which signifies "to beat to death," "to kill some person;" and from this, by another suffix, yon have nihilborn, to murder, and mihil'-
lowet, murderer. The bad semse of the root is here phathe: to its uttermost.

But the root also developed in a mobler direction. Wh to nithillat the termination ape, which means a math, ant you have mihillope, literally, " 1 , it is true, a man," which, as an adjective, means free, indepentient, one's own monter, "I am my own man." Ferm this are derived the mon, nihilloptait, a freeman: the vern mikillopeain, to le free: and the absimet, nihillasoadega, freedom, liberty, indepombuce These are glorions words: but I can go even farther. From this, same theme is derived the verb nihillape-ahell, wat free, to liberate, to redecm; and from this the missionatice framed the word mikillapi-athalid, the Redecmer, the Saviour.

Here is an mexpected antithesis, the words for a murderer and the sationr both from one root! It illustrate how strange is the concatenation of hman thoughts.

These are be moneans all the derivatives from the remt ni, I.

When rednplicated as mime, it has a plural and strengthened form, like "our own." With a pardomable and well. nigh miversal weakness, which we share with them, the nation who spoke the langnage believed themselves the first created of mortats and the most favored by the creator. Hence whatever they designated as "omss" was both older and better than others of its kind. Hence nemni came to mean ancient, primordial, indigenous, and as such it in a frepuent prefix in the Delaware langage. Agai:n, as they considered themselves the first and only trace men, others being barbarians, ememies, or strangers, mono was mulurstood to be one of us, a man like ourselves, of our nation.

In their different dialects the somuds of $11, I$, and, were abletated, so that while Thomats Companins, who trans.

 late it "man," 'This is the wod which we find in the mame Lemin lemape, which, ly its lerivation, means " we, we:men." 'Yhe antecelent lenni is superfluns. 'Theproper mane of the Delaware mation was and still is /oon dipe. "we men." or "our men," and those eritien who hase maintained that this was a mismomer, introduced beg Mr. Iteckewelter. have heen mistaken in their facts. :

I hase not dome with the root mi . I might go om and bher you how it is at the base of the demomstrative promoms, this, that, those, in Delaware; how it is the ratical of the words for thinkings, reflecting, and meditating: how it also gives rise to words expressing similarity and identity; how it means to be foremost, to stand allead of others: and fintly, low it signifies to come to me, to mify or compregate together. Ibat dombtless I have trepassed on yoner ears What cumgh with mamilar worls.

Such mggestions as these will give gou some idea of the value of American langnages to American ethobogy. But I shonld be doing injustice to mes subject were I to confine my arguments in favor of their stuty to this horizon. If they are comential to a comprehension of the red race, not less so are they to the science of linguisties in gemeral. This scionce deals not with languages, hat with langemes. It Hoks at the idiom of a mation mot as a dry catahone of werls and grammatical mes, but at the living expresion

[^144]of the thinking power of man, as the highest manifes ation of that mpiritual energy which has lifted him from the ice of the brute, the complete defation of which, in ithmisin and evolntion, is the loftiest ain of materesal history. In the intention of all specel is the expresion of thompht, and as the final purpose of all thinking is the diseovery of thath so the ideal of language, the point toward whel it nemos is the absolute form for the realization of intellectual function.

In this high quest no tongue can be oferluoked, mone can be left ont of accomit. One is just as important as another. Gecthe once said that he who knows but one language knows nome; we may extend the apothegm, and say that on long as there is a single language on the globe not nuter stood and analyod, the seience of language will be incomplete and il usory. It has often proved the case that the insestigation of a single, narrow, obsenre dialect has changed the most important theories of history. IVhat hith done more than anything elee to overthrow, or, at keat. seriondy to shake, the time-honored notion that the White Race first cane from Central $A$ sia? It was the study of the Lithuanian dialeet on the Baltic sea, a langmage of peats ants, withont literature or enlture, but which displays forme more archaic than the Sanserit. What has led to a complete change of views as to the prehistoric population of sonthem Emrope? The study of the Basque, a language makmown out of a few secluded valleys in the Pyrences.

There are many reasons why moritten langunges, like those of America, are more interesting, more promising in result.s, to the student of linguistics, than those which fir
gendrations have been cast in the conventional moulds of witicon speech.
Wheir structure is more direct, simple, tramsparent; they reve. more elearly the laws of the linguistie powers in their duly exercise; they are less tied down to heredtary fommbe and meaningless repetitions.

Would we explain the eomplicated strueture of highlyormaized tongues like our own, would we learn the laws which have assigned to it its material and formal clements, We monst turn to the naïre speech of savages, there to see in their makedness those processes which are too obsemre in our own.

If the much-debated question of the origin of language engages us, we must seek its sohtion in the simple radieals of savage idioms; and if we wish to institute a comparison between the relative powers of languages, we can by no means omit them from our list. They offer to us the raw material, the essential and indispensable requisites of artienlate commmication.

As the structure of a langnage reflects in a measine and as, on the other hand, it in a measure controls and directs the mental workings of those who speak it, the student of prechology must ocenpy himself with the specell of the most illiterate races in order to understand their theory of things, their motions of what is abont them. They teach him the andisturbed evoltation of the nutrained mind.

As the biologist in prossit of that marrellons ormething which we call "the vital principle" turnt from the complex organims of the higher amimals and phats to life in its simplest expression in mierobes and single cells, so in the
finture will the linguist find that he is nearest the sulntion of the most weighty prohlems of his seience when he dimethis attention to the least cultivated languages.

Comvinced as I amo of the correctuest of this anatown I venture to predict that in the future the analysis of the American langunges will be regarded as one of the mont important fields in linguistic study, and will modify mont materially the findings of that science. And I make this, prediction the more confidenty, as I an supported in it be the great anthority of Wilhchm von Humboldt, whe for twenty years devoted himself to their investigation.

As I am advocating so warmly that more attention should be devoted to these languages, it is but fair that yon shom require me to say something deseriptive about them, th explain some of their peenliarities of structure. To do this properly I should regnire not the fag end of one lecture, bat a whole course of lectures. Vet perhaps I can say emough bow to show you how much there is in them worth sturlying.

Before I turn to this, however, I should like to combat a prejudice which I fear yon may entertan. It is that same ancient prejudice which led the old (ireeks to call all thene Who did not speak their smoronts idioms batorroms; for that word meant nothing more nor lesis than bablaters in , minn), people who spoke an mintelligible tongre. Nombern civelized mations hold that prejudiee yet, in the seme that each insists that his own language is the best one cextant the lighest in the seale, and that wherein others differ from it in structure they are inferior.

So mortmately placed is this prejudice with reference th
 mext at Washington to enemarabe the staty of the hatian
 molkot, most perfect langmage in the world, while all the mative languages are, in comparinon, of a rery low grade inder! ! :
The ensayist draws his argments chiefly from the alosence of inflections in linglish. Vet many of the profomadent linguists of this century have maintamed that a fully inflected language, like the creck or I atin, is for that very reason ahead of all others. We may smopet that when a writer latus his native tongue at the expense of others, he is influenced be a prejudice in its favor and an absence of facility in the others.
Those best acepainted with Ameriean tomgues praise them most highly for flexibility, acematy, and remomese of expresson. They place some of them aliove any drym language. But what is this to those who do mot know them? To him who camot bend the bow of llyses it maturally secms a niceless and awkward weapon.
I do not ask you to accept this opinion either; hat I do ask that you rid your minds of hias, and that you do mot comdemm a tongue becanse it differs widely from that which son speak.

American tongues do, indecd, differ very widely from thone familiar to Aryan ears. Not that they are all alike ia structure. That was a hasty generalization, dating fom a time when they were less known. Vet the great majority

[^145] tian, Wia-litughom, 14an).
of them have certain characteristies in common, suffich int on place them in a linguistic class by themselves. I shath mane and explain some of these.

As of the first importance I would mention the promi. nence they asign to pronoms and promominal forms. 1 an deed, an eminent lingnist has been so impressed with this feature that he has proposed to elassify them distinctivils as "pronominal languages." 'They have many clases of pronombs, sometimes as many as eighteen, which is more than twice as many as the Greck. There is often no diatinction between a mom and a verl, other than the promom which governs it. That is, if a word is emploged with one lom of the pronown it becomes a nom, if with another promm, it becomes a verb.

We have something of the sume kind in English. In the phrase, "I love," love is a verl; but in "my love," it is a noun. It is moteworthy that this treatment of work as either noms or verbs, as we please to employ them, was carried further by Shakespeare than by any other English writer. He seemed to divine in such a trait of langrage vast resources for varied and pointed expression. If I miy venture a suggestion as to how it does confer peculiar strength to expressions, it is that it brings into especial prominence the idea of Personality; it directs all subjecte of diseontace be the notion of an molividual, a living peromal mait. 'llois imparts vividnces th marratives, and directacos and life to propositions.

Of these pronotins, that of the first person is ustally the most developed. From it, in many dialects, are derived the demonstratives and relatives, which in Aryan languages
质 this comblenee in self, in a trat of the race an woll an
 (i) Sameter which provented then craloncins intor steat


 mamed foldsenthosis. It meant be this a power of rumbing somal words into once, dropping patis of them and retaining whe the significant sylable. Long deroriptive mames of all
 with the estatest case Some of the se ate carion comongh. The Pavant Indians call asedool hone by one worl, which means "a stopping-phace where strery is practiond:" their motion of book-laming being that it belongs tothe meamy arth, fle Delaware word for horse means "the four-footed amimal which carries on his back."

This method of coming worde is, however, by mone mans miversal in American languges. It prevails in most of
 and varions south American idoms: hut in others, as the diakes.s found in V'ucatan and Comamala, and in the Tupi of hazil, the Otomi of Mexico, and the Klamath of the Paefie const, it is scarcely or wot all present.
Another trait, however, which was confonded with this by Mr. Dh loncean, but really I clongs in a different eategory of grammatical structure is truly distinctive of the lanshates of the continent, and I am not sure that any one of them has been shown to be wholly devoid of it. This is what is called incorporation. It includes in the verb, or in the ecrbal expression, the object and manner of the action.
 separable !refixa and by inserting between it and the verb itneff, or sometimes directly in the latter, between it - 1 lha bles, the object, direct or vemote, and the particlen imber ting mode. 'The thate or tense particles, on the other hamb,
 fixes or sumises, thats placing the whole expersonon midety within the limits of a verhal form of specel.
beoth the abose chameteristics, I mean lolysymber and Inconporation, are mennscious eforts to cary ont a ser tan theory of spech which hats aptly enongh been tement holophosis, of the putting the whole of a phase into a simgle word. 'This is the am of each of them, thongh each ent dearors to accomplish it be different means. Incorpmation confles itself exchasively to verbal forms, while polsume thests embraces both nomus and verts.
smpose we cary the amalysis further, and see if we can whtain an answer to the query-Why did this effort at blending forms of speech ohtain so widely? Such an inguiry will indieate how valuable to linguistic search wonld prose the study of this group of langanges.

I think there is no donbt lat that it points mmistakably to that very ancient, to that primordial period of haman - ntterance when men had not yet leamed to comed words into sentences, when their uthost efforts at articulate ifecth did not go beyoud single words, which, aided be genture and signs, served to convey their limited intellectuat converse. Such single vocables did not belong to any particular part of speech. There was no grammar to that antipue tongue. Its discomected exclamations mean whole sentences in themselves.

I harge part of the haman race, motably, bat mot exelaasels, the aborigines of this continent, continned the tradition of this mode of expresson in the strneture of their
 amtinke suech had been homght to : high degree of per foctont.

 centingly low stage of haman development. it by momas follons- that this is an evidence of their inferionity.
'fle Chinese, who made no cfort to combine the primitive vocables into one but range them ankedly site by side, suceceded no better than the Americom Imlians: and there is mot mach beyond ansertion to prove that the Aryans, who, through their inflections, marked the relation of each wend in the sentence by momoun tage of ease gender. momber, ete. got ang neater the ibeal perfection of lamgnage.

If we apply what is ecrtamly a very fair test, w wit: the ane to which a language is and ean be pat, I camot see that a wefl-developer Amerjean tomgue, such ats the Azter or the Agomkin, in any way falls shont of, sily lirench or Fughish.

It is true that in many of these tomguen there is mo distinction made between exprestions, which with as are care fulle ecparated, and are so in thonght. 'Tlus, in the 'lupi of brazil and elsewhere, there is but one worl for the there expersions, " his father," "he is a father," amel "he has a father:" in many, the simple form of the verb may convey three different deas, as in lite, where the word for " he
seizes" means also " the seqzer, ${ }^{\prime}$ and as a deseriptive mon " a bear," the ammal which seizes.

This has heen charged agamst these languace ar: back of "differentiation." (imamatioally, this is so; but the same charse applies with almot equal foree to the bomelon lambage, where the some worl may belong to asy of tind five, eren sis parts of speech, dependent entirely on the eommection in which it is necd.

As a setoff. the Americom langmaes avoid combinions if expresion which preail in Limopean tongenes.

Thas in mone of these latter, when I say " the bexe of God," "l'amour de Dict," "amor bei," can yon meker stand what I mean. Vold do not know whether I intem the love whicl we have or should have toward ferd, of Gol's love toward us. Vet in the Dexican language and many other American tongues these two quite opporite ideat are so clearly distinguished that, as Father Comochi warns the readers of his . //exican Crammar, th comfom them would not merely be a grievous solecism in speed, but a formidable heresy as well.

Another example What can you make ont of this sem tence, which is strictly correct be Finglish grammar: "John told kotert's som that he must help him?" Von cm make nothing ont of it. It may have any one of six different meanings, depending on the persons refered to by the pros nouns "he" and "hma." No such lamentable confinion could ocen in any American tongue known to me. The Chippeway, for instance, has three pronoms of the thind person, which designate the near and the remote antecedents with the most lucid accuracy.

There is another point that I must mention in this connection, becanse I find that it has ammet always been orerbooken or misumberstoon hes erition of these langnages. The- have been free in condemming the sinthetic forms of combunction. But they seem to be ighorant that their use is bagely optional. Thus, in Mexicm, one can arrange the same sentence in an analytic or a sonthetic fom, and this is alon the case, in a less degree, in the Alomkin. By this mems a remarkable richness is added to the langmage. The higher the grade of semthesis emplosed, the more striking, elevated, and pointed heeomes the expresion. In common life long compomeds are rare, while in the native Sexiean pretry each line is often but one word.
fruming now from the structure of the en bangages io their weabularies, 1 mast correct a widenpead motion that they are scanty in extent and defeicont in the means to ceprese lofty or abstract ideas.

Of conse, thore are many tracts of thangh and karning fambiar to tis mow which were ntterly manmon to the:
 a full centuries ago. It would le very mation to compare the dictimary of an hation language with the lant edition of

 and thakepare wrote, and compare them with the Jexican
 or with the Maya rocambary of the coment of Montal, Which
 precenve will be just, and som will fime it mot disadmamtagenes to the American side of the guention.

The deficiency in abstract terms is generally true of thene languages. They did not inave them, because they ham no use for them-and the more blessed was their comlition. European languages have been loaded with several thourand such by metaphysics and mysticism, and it has reyured many generations to discover that they are empty windbags, full of sound and signifying nothing.

Yet it is we!l known to students that the power of forming abstracts is possessed in a remarkable degree by many. native languages. The most recondite formule of dognatic religion, such as the definition of the 'Irinity and the difference between consubstantiation and transubstantiation, have been translanted into many of them without introdneing foreign words, and in entire conformity with their grammatical structure. Indeed, Dr. Augustin de la Rosa, of the University of Guadalajara, says the Mexican is peeuliarly adapted to render these metaphysical subtleties.

I have been astonished that some writers should bring up the primary meaning of a word in an American language in order to infer the coarseness of its secondary meaning. This is a strangely unfair proceeding, and could be directed with equal effect against our own tongues. Thus, I read lately a traveler who spoke hardly of and Indian tribe becanse their word for "to love" was a derivative from that meaning "to buy," and thence "to prize." But what did the Latin amare, and the English to low'e, first mean? Ciarnally living together is what they first meant, and this is not a nobler derivation than that of the Indian. Jeven yet, when the most polished of Fituropean mations, that one which most exalts la grande passion, does not distinguish in
lansange between loving their wives and liking their din-wor- hat ases the same word for both emotions, it is searesty wi-c for ns to indulge in muth latitucle of inference from such ctymologies.
such is the general character of American languages, and such ate the reasons why deey shonld be preserved and studied. The field is vast and demands many babometo to reap all the froit that it pomises. It is lelieved at present that there are about two handred wholly independent stoeks of languages among the aborigines of this continent. 'They vany most widely in vocabnlary, and seemingly soarecly less so ill grammar.

Besides this, each of these stocks is sublivided into dialects, each distinguished by its own series of phonetic changes, and its own new words. What an opportmity is thus offered for the study of the natural evolution of language, minfettered by the petrifying art of writing !

This is the case which I present to you, and for which I earnestly solicit your consideration. And that I may add weight to my appeal. I close by quoting the words of one of America's most distinguished scientists, Professor William Dwight Whitney, of Yale College, who writes to this effect:
"The study of American languages is the most fruitful and the most important branch of American Arehienogy.."

# WILHELIN VON HUMBOLDTS RESEARCHES IN AMERHM LANGUAGES.: 

Conk ats.-What led IImmboldt toward the American tonstenProgress of his sturlies-Fumbamental doctrine of his philoon hy of languare-blis theory of the evolntion of languages-opinion ant American languases-IIs criterion of the relative perfection of han-
 tongues not degenerations-Ifmboldtes classifieation of lamsumse
 -In simple sentences-In compoumd sentence:-Absence of tan formal chenents-rhe mature of the dmerican verl).
${ }^{\prime}$ 「IIE: fomulations of the Philosophy of Janguage were
 died $A$ pril s, 1835). The prineiples he adroenten have frequenty been misunderstood, and some of then have heon

[^146]monatied，or even controverted，hemore extended researeln ； but a careful sumey of the tendencies of modern thonght in
 and growth of languagen which he set forth，is gradmally reameting its sway after having been neglected and denied thenng the preponderance of the so－called＂naturalistie＂ schosel during the last quarter of a century．

The thme seems ripe，therefore，to bring the general prin－ ciples of his philosophy to the knowledge of American scholars，as applied by himself to the analysis of Ancrican languages．

There languages oceupicel Inmaholdt＇s attention camestly and for many years．He was first led to their study ley his broder Alexander，who presented him with the laree lim－ suintic eollection amatied during his travels in Somth amd Nurth America．

White Jrussian Xinister in Rome（1ぶッ－8）Willelan ransacked the library of the Collogio Momans for rate or ma－ pmblished works on American tonglles：he obiabled mom the ex－Jestit Fomeri all the information the laticr conth give about the Vurari，a tonguc spoken on the Meta river，
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New Gramala:* and he seemed aceurate copies of will the mamseript material on these idioms left by the difisem collector and linguist, the Able Hervas.

A few years later, in 1812 , we find him writing th his friend Baron Alexander won Remenkampff, then in it. Petersharg: "I have selected the American lansuate as the speecial subject of my investigations. They have the closest relationship of any with the tongues of morth eantern Asia: and I beg yon therefore to oltain for me all the dictionaries and grammars of the latter which yon can." ${ }^{\text {* }}$
It i.s probable from this extract that Humbold was then studying these languages from that limited, ethmographic point of view, from which he wrote his essay on the Basure tongue, the anmouncement of whieh appeared, indeed, in that year, 1812 , although the work itself was not issued until t82r.

Ten years more of study and reflection tanght him a far loftier flight. He came to look upon each language as an organism, all its parts bearing harmonious relations to each other, standing in a definite comection with the intellectual and emotional development of the nation speaking it. Each language again bears the relation to language in gencral that the species does to the genus, or the genus to the order, and b a a comprehensive process of analysis he hoped to arrive at those fundamental laws of artienlate speech which

[^147] ie Jasigue ndeed, in ot issued
lime a far hage an an 15: to each stellectual it. Each 11 general the order. lopered to ch which
from the Philosophy of Ianguage, and which, as they are ath the lats of haman thonght, at a certain point coincide, he believed, with those of the Philosopher of IIistory.

In the completion of this vast sheme, he contined to athen the ntmost importance to the American bugnages.

His illustrations were eonstantly drawn from them, and they were ever the subject of his earnest studies. He prized them as in eertain respects the most valuable of all to the phifmophic student of haman speech.
Thus, in 1826, he annonnced before the Berlin Academy that he was preparing an exhanstive work on the "Orsal1ism of Language," for which he had selected the American lugruages exclusively, as best suited for this purpose. "'The languages of a great continent," he writes, "peopled by mumerous nationalities, probably never subject to foreign influence, offer for this branch of linguistic study specially farorable material. There are in America as many as thirty little known languages for which we have means of study, each of which is like a new natural species, besides many others whose data are less ample." ${ }^{*}$

In his memoir, read two years later, "On the Origin of Grammatic Forms, and their Influence on the Development of ldeas," he chose most of his examples from the idioms of the New World ; $\dagger$ and the year following, he read the mongraph on the Verb in American languages, which I refer to on a later page.

[^148]In a subsequent commmonication, he anmounced his - $p$ ceial study of this group as still in preparation. It was, howort never completed. His earnest desire to reach the limbla mental lats of language led hinn into a long serico wif restigations into the systems of recorded speech, phnetie hieroglyphices and alphabetic writing, on which he rad memoirs of great acuteness.

In one of these he again mentions his studies of the American tongues, and takes occasion to vindicate them from the current charge of being of a low grade in the linguistic scale. "It is certainly unjust," he writes, "to call the American languages rude or savage, although $h_{\text {dis }}$ structure is widely different from those perfectly formed."*

In 8 ses, there is a published letter from him making an appointment with the Abbe Thavenct, missionary w the Canadian A!gonkins, then in Paris, "to cujoy the pleoner of conversing with him on his interesting studics of the Algonkin language." : And a private letter tells ns that in 18.31 he applied limself with new real to mantering the intricacies of Mexicangrammar. *

All these yeats he was working to complete the researdee Which led him to the far-reaching generalization which in at the basis of his linguistic philosophy.

Iet me state in a few words what this philosophy teachen
It ains to establish as a fundamental truth that the
 vi, s. 526 .

 xviii (lima, wen).
$\ddagger$ Compare l'rof. Adler's lissay, above mentioned, p. if.

1i. ith of structure in lamplesers is hoth the natesemp anteri-
 mi, l:

I: the entablishment of thin thesis he begins with a shbthe matris of the nature of suecel in erencral, and then prowede to define the recipmosal influences which thonght extr- mon it, and it upon thonght.

It will readily be seen that a comolary of this theorem is that the seicnce of Langmage is and mane be the most instructive, the indisperwable suide in the stmely of the mental evolation of the haman race. Hmmbolelt recognized thi- finly. He taught that in its highest -anse the phitasophy of langmage is one with the philosophy of history. The seience of language mises its pmonote mones it secks :ts chef end in explaning the intellectual growt of the race. ;

Wach separate tongue is "a thonght-world an tones" established between the minds of those who speak it and the abective world without. F Fach mirrors in itself the spirit of the nation to which it belongs. IBut it has also an earlier

[^149]and independent origin: it is the prodnet of the conceptims of antecedent generations, and thas exerts a formatiocand directive influence on the mational mind, an infloter met slight, but more potent than that which the mational mind exerts 110011 it .*

He fally recognized a progress, an organic growth, in haman speceli. 'This growth may be from two sourcos, mas the enltivation of a tongue within the nation bex enthing its vocabulary, separating and elassifying its element- fixing its expressions, and thas adapting it to wider ases: the second, by forcible amalgamation with another tongue.

The latter exerts always a more profonnd and oftem a more beneficial influence. The organism of hoth tomstas: may be destrosed, but the dissolvent force is atso an orymic and vital one, and from the ruins of both constructs a spech of grander plants and with wider views. "The secmingly aimless and confused interminglings of primitive trike: sowed the seed for the flowers of speech and song which flourished in centuries long posterior."

The immediate causes of the imporement of a langtarge through forcible admisture with another, are: that it is obliged to drop all manecessary accessory elements in a proposition; that the relations of ideas mast be expresed by conventional and not significant syllables; and that the limitations of thouglit imposed by the genins of the language are viokently broken down, and the mind is thats given wider play for its faculties.

Such influences, however, do not act in accordance with

[^150]fixe lats of growth. 'There are no such laws wheh are of munersal application. 'The development of the Mongolian of Cobat tongues is mot all that of the American. The
 for this reason each gromp of clas of languages mast be stmbich by itself, and its own peenlan developmental lambe anctained hy seareling its history:

With reference to the growth of American langanges, it was Ilmmbollt's view that they manifest the utmost retiactorines both to external influences and to intemal morlifications. 'They reveal a maredons tenacite of traditiomal words and forms, not only in dialects, but even in partientar chance of the eommunity, men having different expresions from women, the ofl from the yoming, the higher from the lower clases. 'Ihese are maintained with serupulons exactitude throngh generations, and three centuries of dably commingling with the white race have scarecly attered their grammar or phonetics.

Nor is this referable to the eontrast between an dryan and an American language The same immiscibility is shown between themselves. "IEven where many radically different languages are located closely together, as in Mexico, I have not found a single example where one exercised a constructive or formative influence on the other. lant it is by the enconnter of great and combasted differmes that languages gain strength, riches, and completences. Only thus are the perceptive powers, the imagination and the feclings impelled to enrich and extend the means of expres-

[^151]sion, which, if keft to the labors of the materatanding fink, are liable tol 0 but meatice and arid. " : :

 this is secorer just in propertion as the grammatical - Has
 its relations: in ofloce words, as it separates the matumb fonat the infle etimal ckementiof specels. CClear thanking. he
 a lower penition both to thoce tongues which insepmally comeet the idea with its relatoms, as most Amerian lam ghages, and to those which, like the Chinese and in ales degree the modern dinglish, have scarecly any formal de ments at all, but deperd now the position of words (place ment) to signify their relatiocs. But he wanns us that it is of importance to recogni\% $\mathcal{F}$ "that grammatical primciples dwell rather in the mand of the speaker than iat the material and mechanism of his langhage, " and that the power of expressing ideat in any tongue depends much more on the intellectual eapacity of the speaker than the stracture of the tongue itself.

He deensures the common error (common now as it was in his days) that the abundance and regularity of forms in a language is a mark of exectlence. This very muthplicity, this excessive superfluity, is a burden and a drawback, and obscures the integration of the thonght by attaching to it a quantity of meedless qualifications. Thus, in the laturuge of the Abipones, the pronomn is clifferent as the person

[^152]spoken of is conceived as present, absent, sitting, walking, lying or raming-all quite mancessary specifications. 非

In some languages much appears as form which, on chose scrutiny, is nothing of the kind.
'lhis misunderstanding has reigned almost miversally in the treatment of American tongues. The grammars which have been written upon them proceed generally on the principles of Latin, and apply a series of grammatical mames to the forms explained, entirely inappropriate to them, and misisading. Our first duty in taking up such a grammar as, for instance, that of an American language, is to dismiss the whole of the arrangement of the "parts of speech," and by an analysis of words and phrases, to ascertain by what collocation of elements they express logieal, significant relations. $\dagger$

For example, in the Carib tongue, the grammars give aiciridaco as the second person singular, subjunctive imperfect, "if thou wert." Analyze this, and we discover that $a$ is the possessive pronom" "thy;" iciri is "to he" or "being" (in a place); and daco is a particle of definite time. Hence, the literal rendering is "on the day of thy being." The so-called imperfect subjunctive turns out to be a verbal nom with a preposition. In many American langnages the

[^153]hypothetical supposition expressed in the Latin subjumpive is indicated be the same cirembocntion.

Ayrain, the infinitive, in its classieal sense, is mankmen in most, probably in all, American languages. In the 'Tupinf Brazil and frequently elsewhere it is simply a nom : am in both " to eat" and " food;" che car" ai-poter, "I wish w eat," literally " my food I wish."

Alame writers continue to maintain that a criterion of a languge is its lexicographic richness- the number of wond it possesses. Fiven recently, Prof. Max Mailler has applied such a test to American languages, and, finding that ome of the Finegian dialects is reported to have nearly thirty thonsand words, he maintains that this is a proof that there sur ages are a degenerate remmant of some moneh more highly developed ancestry. Fomnding his opinion largely on simitar facts, Alexander von Humbold applied the expremion to the Amerien nations that they are "des debris echapme à an hanfrage commun."

Such, however, was not the opinion of his brother Witheho. He somoded the depths of linguistic philosophy far more deeply than to accept mere abmanace of words an proof of rielness in a language. Anny savage langhage have twenty words signifying to eat particnar things. lat no word meaning " to eat" in general ; the liskimo langumge has different words for fishing for cach kind of fish, but wh word "to fish," in a general sense. Such apparent richuce is, in fact, actual poverty.

Itmobollt tanght that the quality, not merely the quanity, of words was the decisive measure of verbal wealth. Such quality depends on the relations of concrete worls. on
the one hand, to primitive objective perceptions at their ron, and, on the other, to the abstract general ideas of which they are particular representatives: and besides this, on the relations which the spoken worl, the artienlate sound, bearn to the philosophic laws of the formation of language in sencral.*

In his letter to Aled-Remusat he disenses the therry that the American languages point to a once higher combition of civilization, and are the corrupted idioms of deteriomated races. He denies that there is linguistic evidence of any stuch theory. These languages, he says, posess a remartable regularity of structure, and very fell anmmalics. Their grammar does not present any visible traces of cormpting intermintures. ${ }^{-1}$

Humboldt's chassification of languages was based on the relation of the worl to the sentence, whieh, expressed in logic, wonld mean the relation of the simple iclea to the proposition. He tanglit that the plans on which languages combine words into sentences are a basic character of their structure, and divide them into classes as distanct and as decisive of their futhre, as those of vertebrate and invertebrate animals in matural history.

These plans are fonr in number:

1. By Isolation.

The words are placed in juxtaposition, withont change.

* Hinteachings ont this point. of which I give the hatent ontline, are develnged


 the chain of llmmbohle's reamonimg.
+ Lithe in M. Abid-Rimmat, Werke, Bd, vii. s. s.s.

Their relations are expressed by their location only (placement). The typical example of this is the Chinese.
2. By Agglutination.

The sentence is formed by suffixing to the word expressive of the main idea a number of others, more or less altered, expressing the relations. Examples of this are the liskimo of North America, and the Northern Asiatic dialects.
3. By Incorporation.

The leading word of the sentence is divided, and the accessory words either included in it or attached to it with abbreviated forms, so that the whole sentence assumes the form and sound of one word.
4. By Inflection.

Each word of the sentence indicates by its own form the character and relation to the main proposition of the idea it represents. Sanscrit, Greek and Latin are familiar examples of inflected tongues.

It is possible to suppose that all four of these forms were developed from sn we primitive condition of ntterance unknown to us, just as naturalists believe that all organic species were developed out of a homogeneous protoplasmic mass; but it is as hard to see how any one of them in its present form could pass over into another, as to understand how a radiate could change into a mollusk.

Of the four plans mentioned, Incorporation is that characteristic of, though not confined to, American tongues.

The psychological origin of this plan is explained rather curiously by Humboidt, as the result of an craltation of the imaginative over the inicllcetual elements of mind. By this method, the linguistic faculty strives to present to the un-
derstanding the whole thought in the most compact form powible, thus to facilitate its comprehension; and this it does, because a thought presented in one word is more vivid and stimulating to the imagination, more individual and pieturesque, than when narrated in a number of words.*

Incorporation may appear in a higher or a lower grade, but its intention is everywhere the effort to convey in one word the whole proposition. The verb, as that part of spech which especially conveys the synthetic action of the mental operation, is that which is selected as the stem of this word-sentence; all the other parts are subordinate accessories, devoid of syntactic value.

The higher grade of incorporation includes both subject, object and verb in one word, and if for any reason the object is not included, the scheme of the sentence is still maintained in the verb, and the object is placed outside, as in apposition, without case ending, and under a form different from its original and simple one.

This will readily be understood from the following examples from the Mexican language.
The sentence ni-naca-qua is one word, and means "I, flesh, eat." If it is desired to express the object independently, the expression becomes mi-c-qua-in-nacatl, "I it eat, the flesh." The termination tl does not belong to the root of the nomn, but is added to show that it is in an external and, as it were, unnatural position. Both the direct and remote object can thus be incorporated, and if they are not,
*"Daher ist das Einschliessen in Lin Wort mehr Sache der linbildnugtkraft, die frennung mehr die des Verstandes." Veber die Verschiedenheil, ete, s. 327. Compare also, s. 326 and 166.
but separately appended, the scheme of the sentence in atill preserved; as mi-tc-tla-maca, literally, "I, to sometnoly, something, give." How closely these acressories are incorporated is illustrated by the fact that the tense-angmentin are not added to the stem, but to the whole word; o-ni-c-ti-maca-e, where the $o$ is the prefix of the perfect.

In these languages, every element in the sentence which is not incorporated in the verb has, in fact, no syntax at all. The verbal exhatusts all the formal portion of the language. The relations of the other words are intimated by their position. Thus ni-tlagotlaz-ncquia, I wished to love, is literally. "I, I shall love, I wished." Tharotlaz is the first ferion singular of the future; ni-ncquia, I wished; which is divided. and the future form inserted. The same expression may stand thus: ni-c-nequia-tlaso-tlaz, where the $c$ is an intercalated relative pronoun, and the literal rendering is, "I it wished, I shall love.'

In the Itule language the construction with an infinitive is simply that the two verbs follow each other in the same person, as caic tucucc, "I am aceustomed to eat," literally, "I anl aceustomed, I eat."

None of these devices fulfils all the uses of the infinitive, and hence they are all inferior to it.

In languages which lack formal elements, the deficiency must be supplied by the mind. Words are merely placed in juxtaposition, and their relationship guessed at. Thus, when a language constructs its cases merely by prefixing prepositions to the unaltered noun, there is 110 grammatical form; in the Mbaya language $c$-tiboa is translated "throngh me," but it is really "I, through;" l'cmani, is rendered "he wishes," but it is strictly, "he, wish." are incor111ents are o-ni-c-teace which tax at all. lang nage. their posisliterally. rist person is divided, ssion may an interg is, " I it
nfuintive is the same ' literally, infinitive, deficiency placed in at. 'Thus, prelixing ammatical "through idered "he

In - weh languages the same collocation of words often correpmonds to quite different meanings, as the precise relation of the thoughts is not defned bey formal clements. This is well illustrated in the Pupi tongue. The word wha is "father:" with the pronoun of the third person prefixed it is tuth, literally "he, father." This may mean either "his tather," or "he is a father," or "he has a father," just as the sease of the rest of the sentence requires.
Certanly a language which thus leaves confonnted together ideas so distinet as these, is inferior to one which discriminates them; and this is why the formal elements of a tongue are so important to intellectual growth. The 'lupis may le an energetic and skillful people, hut with their language they enn never take a position as masters in the realm of ideas.
The absence of the passive in most American tongues is supplied by similar inadequate collocations of words. In Huasteea, for example, nana tanin tahjal, is translated " I am treated by him;" actually it is, "I, me, treats he." This is not a passive, but simply the idea of the ligo connected with the idea of another acting upon it.

This is vastly below the level of inflected speech; for it camot be too strenmously mantaned that the grammatical relations of spoken language are the more perfect and favorable to intellectual growth, the more elosely they correspond to the logical relations of thought.
Sometimes what appears as inflection turns ont on examimation to be merely adjunction. Thus in the thaya tongue there are such verbal forms as datadi, thou wilt throw, nilubuitc, he has spun, where the $d$ is the sign of the future,
and the $n$ of the perfect. These look like inflections: lint in fact, $d$ is simply a relic of quidc, hereafter, later, and $n$ stands in the same relation to quine, which means "and alsor."

To become true formal elements, all such adjuncts must have completely lost their independent siguification: because if they retain it, their material content requires qualification and relation just as any other stem-word.

A few American languages may have reached this stage. In the Mexican there are the terminals $y^{\prime} a$ or $a$ in the innperfect, the angment $o$ in the preterit and others in the future. In the Tamanaca the present ends in $a$, the preterit in $c$, the future in $c$. "There is nothing in cither of these tongues to show that these tense-signs have independent meaning, and therefore there is no reason why they shoud not be classed with those of the Greek and Sanscrit as true inflectional elements. ${ }^{\prime}$ *

The theory of Incorporation, it will be noted, is to express the whole proposition, as nearly as possible, in one word; and what part of it cannot be thas expressed, is left without any syntax whatever. Not only does this apply to individ. ual words in a sentence, but it extends to the various clanses of a compound sentence, such as in Aryan language.s show their relation to the leading clauses by means of prepositions, conjunctions and relative pronouns.

When the methods are analyzed by which the major and minor clauses are assigned their respective values in these tongues, it is very plain what difficulties of expression the

[^154]system of Incorporation involves. Few of them have any trise comecting word of either of the three classes above mentioned. They depend on seareely veiled material words, simply placed in juxtaposition.

It is probable that the prepositions and conjumetions of all languages were at first significant words, and the degree to which they have lost their primary signifieations and have become purely formal elements expressing relation, is one of the measures of the grammatical evolution of a tongue. In most American idioms their origin from substantives is readily recognizable. Frequently these substantives refer to parts of the body, and this, in passing, suggests the an tiquity of this class of words and their value in comparison.

In Maya tan means in, toward, among; but it is also the breast or front of the body. The Mexican has three classes of prepositions-the first, whose origin from a strbstantive camot be detected; the second, where an unknown and a known element are combined ; the third, where the substantive is perfectly clear. An example of the last mentioned is itic, in, compounded of ite, belly, and the locative particle $c$; the phrase ilhuicatl itic, in heaven, is literally" "in the belly of heaven." Precisely the same is the Cakchiquel pamah. literally, "belly, heaven"=in hearen. In Mexican, notepotzo is "behind me," hiterally, "my back, at;" this corresponds again to the Cakchiquel chuih, behind me, from chi, at, $u$, my, $z^{\prime i} h$, shoulder-blades. The Mixteca prepositions present the crude nature of their origin without disguse, chisi huahi, belly, house-that is, in front of the house ; sata huahi, back, house-behind the house.

The conjunctions are equally transparent. "And" in

Maya is getel, in Mexican ihman. One would suppose that such an indispensable comneetive would long since have heen worn down to an insoluble entity. On the contrary, luth these words retain their perfeet material meaning. Viulis a compound of $y^{\prime}$, his, $c t$, companion, and $d$, the delinte termination of noturs. /huan is the possessive, $i$, and h/um, associate companion, used also as a termination to form a certain class of plurals.

The deficiency in true conjunctions and relative pronoms is met in many American languages by a reversal of the phan of expression with us. The relative clanse beeones the principal one. There is a certain logical justice in this; for if we reflect, it will appear evident that the major propmition is in our construction presented as one of the conditions, of the minor. "I shall drown, if I fall in the water," means that, of the various results of my falling in the water, one of them will be that I shall drown. "I follow the road which you deseribed," means that you deseribed a road, and one of the results of this act of yours was that I follow it.

This explains the plan of constructing compound sentences in Qquichua. Instead of saying "I shall follow the road which you describe," the construction is, "You deserile, this road I shall follow ;" and instead of "I shall drown if I fall in the water," it would be, "I fall in the water, I shall drown."

The Mexican language introduces the relative clause by the word in, whieh is an artiele and demonstrative pronom, or, if the proposition is a conditional one, by intla, which really signifies "within this," and conveys the sense that the major is included within the conditions of the minor clanse.

The Cakehiquel conditional particle is a me, if, which appears to be simply the particle of affimation " ses," employed to give extension to the minor clanse, which, as a rule, is placel first.

Of a conventional arrangement of words may be adopted which will convey the idea of certain dependent clanses, as there expressing similitude, as is often the case in Mexican.

Whout 1822 Humboldt read a memoir before the berlin Acalemy on "The American Verb," which remained unpublished either in German or English until I translated and printed it in the Proceedings of the American Philosophical Suciety in 1885 . At its close he smms up his results, and this summary will form an appropriate conclusion to the present review of his labors in the field of American linguintics:
"If we reflect on the structure of the various verkal forms here amalyed, certain general condhsions are reached, which are calculated to throw light upon the whole organism of these languages.
"The leading and governing part of speed in them is the Pronom; every sulject of discourse is comected with the idea of Persomality.
" Noun and Verb are not separated; they first hecome so through the provom attached to them.
"The employment of the Pronoun is two-fold, one applying to the Soun, the other to the Verb. Both, however, convey the ideal of belonging to a person-in the nom appearing as Possession, in the verb as linergy. But it is on this point. on whether these ifleas are confused and obscure, or whether they are defined and clear, that the grammatical perfection of a language depends. The just diserimination of the kinds of pronoms is therefore conchusive and in this respect we must gich the decided pre-eminence to the Mexican.
"It follows that the speaker must constantly make mp, his verbs,
instead of using those already on hand ; and also that the structure of the verl) must be identical throughout the language, that there must be only one conjugation, and that the verls, except a few ir regular ones, can possess mo peculiarities.
"This is different in the Greek, Iatin and ancient Indian. In these tongues many verbs must be studied separately, as they have numer. ous exceptions, phonetic changes, deficiencies, ete., and in other respects carry with then a marked individuality.
" The difference between these cultivated and those rude hankilses is chiefly mercly one of time, and of the more or less fortunite mix. ture of dialects; though it certainly also depends in a measure on the original mental powers of the nations.
"Phose whose languages we have here analyzed are, in speaking. constantly putting together elementary parts; they comect nothing, firmly, becanse they follow the changing requirements of the monent, joining together only what these requirements demand, and often leave connected through habit that which clear thinking would nec. essarily divide.
"Hence no just division of words can arise, such as is demanded by accurate and appropriate thought, which reguires that each worl must have a fixed and certain content and a defined grammatical form, and as is also demanded by the highest phonetic laws.
"Nations richly endowed in mind and sense will have an instinct for such correct divisions; the incessant moving to and fro of clementary parts of speech will be distasteful to them ; they will seek true individuality in the words they use ; therefore they will comect them firmly, they will not accumulate too much in one, and they will only leave that connected which is so in thought, and not merely in usage or habit."

In these ve numerSOME CHARACTERISTICS OF AMERICAN LANGUAGES,* int onter latsunges unatc mix. inre on the spe:ikin!. et nothing c momems. and often would nec.
manted ly word mulst f form, and an instinct fro of ele$y$ will seek ill comect d they will merely in
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AS the careful study of the position of man toward his surromblings advances, it becomes more and more evident that like other members of the higher fanma, he bears many and close correlations to the geographical area he inhabits. Hence the present tendency of anthropology is to return to the classification proposed by Limmens, which, in a broad way, subdivides the human species with reference to the continental areas mainly inhabited by it in the earliest historic times. This is found to accord with color, and to give five sub-species or races, the White or Enropean, the Black

[^155]or African, the Vellow or Mongolian (Asiatic), the litumn in Malayan (Oceanic), and the Red or American Raco.

Nocthologist nowadays will seck to estahlish fixal and absolnte lines between these. Ihey shade into one , mother in all their peenliarities, and no one las trats entioly mo. known in the others. Vet, in the mass, the chatatertintes of each are prominent, permancot and mmastakable: and to deny then on account of occasional exceptions is the le tray an inability to estimate the relative value of semotific facts.

Does this racia! similarity extend to language? (math surface, apparently not. Only one of the races mamer the Malavan-is monoglotic. All the others seem to yamk tongues with no genctic relationship, at least mone indicated by etymology. The profounder study of language, howerer, leads to a different conclusion-to one which, as camtionsty expressed hy a recent writer, teaches that "every large, combected, terrestrial area developed only one or soarcely more than one, fundamental linguistic type, and thin with such marked individuality that rarely did any of it. lamguages depart from the general seleme. "*

This similarity is not to be looked for in likeness between words, but in the inner structural development of tomens. 'To ascertain and estimate such identities is a far more delicate undertaking than to compare colmmns of word in vocabularies: but it is proportionately more valuable.

[^156]Sir should we expect it to be absolnte. The example of In Bisefue in a pure white nation in Weretern lamoge wans Ha blat there are exceptions which, thongh they may find a hiswice explanation, forbid tos all dogmatic assertion, They
 the correct expresion of the latest linguistic seience, and I with that some insestigator wonld make it the motho of has study of American bongues.

The task-bo light one-which such an insestigator womld have, would be, first to ascertain what structural traik form the ground plan or phans (if there are more than one of the langmages of the New Work. I porn this gromblphan he would fund rery different edifices have been eveted, which, nevertheless, can be chassified into groups, ach gronp marked by traits common to every member of it. These trats and groups be mast earefully defme. Then Would come the exparate question as to whether this communty of traits has a genetic explamation or not. If the decision were affirmative, we might expect eondusions that womb carry us much further than etymological comparfoms, and might form a scicutifie basis for the classifieation of American mations.

Posibly some one or two features might be discovered which though not peculiar to American tongues, nor fully present in every one of them, set would extend an influence over them all, and impart to them in the aggregate a certain angect which could fairly be called distinctive. Such feature are clamed to have been found in the grammatic processes of polysinthesis and iscorporation.

Peter Stephen Duponcean, at one time President of the

American Philosophical Society, was the first to assert that there was a prevailing unity of grammatic schems: in American tongues. His first published utterance was in 1819, when he distinguished, though not with desirable lucidity, between the two varieties of synthetic construction, the one (incorporation) applicable to verbal forms of expression, the other (polysynthesis) to nominal expresions. His words are-
" A polvsunthetic or sintactic construction of language is that in which the greatest number of ideas are comprised in the least number of words. This is done principally in two ways. I. By a mode of compounding locutions which is not confined to joining two words together, as in Greek, or varying the inflection or termination of a radical word as in most Luropean languages, but by interweaving together the most significant sounds or syllables of each simple word, so as to form a compound that will awaken in the mind at once all the ideas singly expressed by the words from which they are taken. 2. By an analogons combination [of] the various parts of speech, particularly by means of the verb, so that its various forms and inflections will expres; not only the principal action, but the greatest possible number of the moral ideas and plysical objects comected with it, and will combine itself to the greatest extent with those conceptians which are the subject of other parts of specch, and in other languages require to be expressed by separate and distinct words. Such I take to be the general character of the fudian languages.' ${ }^{*}$ *

[^157]Imponcean's opinion fonnd an able supporter in Willelm von llumbold, who, as already shown, placed the American languages among those acting on the incorporative phan rlas l:inzerliburgsestem. The spirit of this sistem he defines to be, "to impress the mity of the sentence on the understanding be treating it, not as a whole composed of varions words, but as one word." A perfect type of incorporation will group all the elements of the sentence in and arond the ferbal, as this alone is the bond of mion between the several ideas. The designation of time and mamer, that is, the tense and mode signs, will inchule both the onjject and subjeet of the verb, thus suborlinating them to the motion of action. It is "an indispensable basis" of this system that there shonld be a difference in the form of words when incorporated and when mot. This applies in a meature to nouns and verbals, hat especially to pronomins, and Hmmboldt names it as "the characteristic tendency" of American languages, and one directly drawn from their incorporative phan, that the personal pronouns, both subjective and objective, used in comsection with the verbs, are of a different form from the independent personal pronoms, either greatly abbreviated or from wholly different roots. Outside of the verbal thus formed as the central point of the sentence, there is no syntax, no inflections, mo declension of nomis or adjectives.*

Humbold was far from saying that the incorporative

 xsx AXNI,

23
system was exchusively seen in American langura - am more than that of isolation in Chinese, or flexion in . Iryan speech. On the contrary, he distinctly staten then every language he had examined shows traces of all threw phane: but the preponderance of one plan ower the other in on marked and so distinctive that they afforl us the best means. known for the morphongical classification of lmanaco. especially as these traits arise from pisechological operations widely diverse, and of no small influence on the development of the intellect.
I) r. Irancis I Ieber, in an essay on "The Plan of 'lamught in American I angrages, " ${ }^{*}$, bjected to the temens polvernthesis and incorporation that "they begin at the wrong ent : for these names indicate that that which has been separated is put together, as if man began with analysis, wheren he ends with it." Ife therefore proposed the nom holuphemis with its adjective holophorestic, not as a substitmte for the terms he criticised, but to express the meaning or purpme of these processes, which is, to convey the whote of a sentence or proposition in one word. Polysynthesis, he explains, indicates a purcly etymological process. bome phasasis "refers to the meaning of the word comsidered in a philosophical point of view."

If we regard incorporation and polysinthesis ats strue tural processes of language aming to accomplish a certain theoretical form of speech, then it will be comvenient th have this word holophasis to designate this theoretical

[^158]Form, which is, in short, the expression of the whole proposition in a single word.

The eminent linguist Professor I . Steinthal, has developed the theory of ineorporation more fully than any other writer. He expresses himself without reserve of the opinion that all Ameriean languages are constructed on this same plan, more or leas developed.

I need not make long quotations from a work so wellknown as his (Warakieristik dor humptsïchlichsten Tipen des sprochbates, one section of which, about thirty pages in kongth, is devoted to a searehing and admiable presentation of the characteristics of the incorporative plan as shown in Ameriean languages. Bat I may give with brevity what he regards as the most striking features of this plan. These ar. eprecially three :-

1. The construction of words by a mixer system of derivation and new formation.
2. The objective relation is treated as a species of posisession; and
3. The possessive relation is regarded as the leading and substantial one, and controls the form of expression.

The first of these corresponds to what I should call polysymesis: the others to incorporation in the limited seme of the term.

Some pecial stndies on this subject have been published by M. Incien Adam, and he clams for them that they have refuted and overturned the thesis of Duponcean, Immbuldt, and steinthal, to the effect that there is a process called inchporatien or pollsinthetic, which ean be traced in all American languages, and though not in all points confined to
them, may fairly and profitably be taken as characterintio of thenn, and indientive of the psyehological procesicen which underlie them. This opinion M. Adann speaks of ats a " stercotyped phase which is alsolutely false." ${ }^{*}$

So rude an iconoclasm as this must attract our carcfni consideration. I, et us ask what M. Aclam molerstands be the terms pollspothesis and incorporation. 'To our surpnist, we shall find that in two works published in the sane yent, he adrances definitions by no means identical. Thus, in his "Examination of Sixteen American Languages," he say. " Polveswthesis consists essentially in the affixing of mbordinate personal pronoms to the noun, the preposition and the verb." In his "Study of Six Languages," he writes: "By pollosuthesis I understand the expression in onfe word of the relations of canse and effect, or of subject :and object." ${ }^{\prime}$

Certainly these two defmitions are not convertible, and we are almosi constrained to suspeet that the writer who gives then was not clear in lis own mind as to the mature of the process. At any rate, they differ widely from the plan or method set fortl by Humboldt and Steinthal as characteristic of American languages. M. Adam in showing that

[^159] (inammatical : blove quoted, p. :4, 2.1.3.
poly enthesis in his maderstanding of the term is not confincel to or characteristic of American tongues, misised the point, and fell into an ignoratio clench:
ligually narrow is his definition of incorporation. He writes, "When the object is interealated between the subject and the verbal theme there is imontoration." If this is to be molerstood as an explanation of the German expresion, Simatribune, then it has been pared down matil nothing bitt the stem is left.

As to In. Lieber's suggestion of holophorstic as an adjective expressing the plan of thonght at the basis of polysinthesis and ineorporation, M. Adan smmmarily dismisses it as "a pedantic stecedanctan" of our linguistic vocabulary.

I cannot acknowledge that the propositions so carefully worked up by Humboldt and Steinthal have been refuted by M. Adann; I mast say, indeed, that the jejnue significance he ataches to the incorporative process secme to show that he did not grasp, it as a struetural motive in language, and a wide-reaching piychologic process.

Professor Firiedrich Mialler, Whose studies of Amerie:n languages are anong the most extended and profitable of the present time, has not given to this peenliar feature the attention we might reasonably expect. Indeed, there appears in the standard treatise on the science of language which he has published, almost the same vagueness ats to the nature of incorporation which I have pointed out in the writings of M. Adam. Thas, on one page he defines incorporating langrages as those whieh "do away with the distinction loctween the word and the sentence;" while on another he explains ineorporation as "the including of the olject within the boty"
of the verb." 摂 He calls it "a pecolianty of most Amuman languages, but not of all." 'That the structural prosi an of incorporation is ley mo means exhansted he the reception of the object within the boly of the vert, even that this is met reguisite to incorporation, I shall endeavor to show.

Finally, I may close this brief review of the histony of these doctrines with a reference to the faet that neither of them appears anywhere mentioned in the official "Introlnetion to the Study of Indian Languages," issued by the United States Burean of lithmology! How the anthor of that work, Major J. IV. Powell, Director of the Bumean, condel have written a treatise on the study of American languages, and have not a word to say about these doctrines, the most salient and charaeteristic features of the gromp, is to me as inexplicable as it is extraordinary. He certambly conde not have supposed that Duponcean's theory wat cont pletely dead and laid to rest, for Steinthal, the most eminem! philosoplice linguist of the age, still teaches in berlin, and teaches what I have already quoted from him about these traits. What is more, Major Powell does not even refer to this structural plan, nor include it in what he te ans the "grammatic processes" which he explaninst 'This is inded the play of "Hamlet" with the part of Hamlet omitted!

I believe that for the seientific study of langrage, and especially of Ameriean languages, it will be profitable to restore and elearly to differentiate the distinction betwern

[^160]phlathesis and incorparation, dimly perecived by $10 n$ prom ant and expressed be him in the words alreaty quoterl. Witio these may be retained the mentogism of I icher, helo poronis, and the three defled :a follows:
 cither to mominals or verhals, which not omly emploge jux
 words, forms of words and signifiant phone tic chements Which have no sepame existence apart from such compumbls. 'This latter peenharity mark it of altogether from the procesees of agglatination and collocation.
 (w verbals, be which the mominal ar pamminal elemonts
 (imer in form or position; in the former eatice having mo independent existence in the language in the form required be the vert, and in the batter case being inchaded within the -pecifie verlal signs of tense and mond. In a fully inconporative language the verbal exhanst: the suntax of the grammar, all other parts of soceell remaming in isolation and without stractural combection.

Hehophoasis does not refer to stractural pecoliaritice of langrage, but to the peychongic impulse which lies at the ront of polysynthesis and ineonporation. It is the same in both instances - the effort to express the whale propmention in one word. This in turn is instigated by the stronger ammlas which the imagination receives from ann idea comreyed in one word rather than in many.

A few illustrations will aid in impressing these defmitions. on the mind.

As polvisulhctic elements, we have the inseparable fore sive promotns which in many languages are attacherl whe names of the parts of the homan body and to the wonts for near relatives; also the so-called "generic fommatice," partieles which are prefixed, suffixed, or inserted to imbicate to what elass or material objects belong ; also the "mmeral terminations's affixed to the ordinal numbers to indicate the mature of the objects counted ; the negative, diminutive and amplificative particles whiel convey certain conceptions of a general character, and so on. These are constantly ural in word-building, but are generally not words themselve, having no independent status in the language. Ther may be single letters, or even merely vowel-changes and consonantal substitutions: but they have well-defined siguficance.

In incorporation the object may be mated to the verbal theme either as a prefix, suffix or infix ; or, as in Nahuat, etc., a pronominal representative of it may be thus attachen to the verb, while the object itself is placed in isolated apposition.

The subject is ustally a pronoun inseparably connceted. or at least included within the tense-sign: to thin the nominal subject stands in apposition. Both subjective and objective pronoms are apt to have a diffierent form from either the independent personals or possessises, and this difference of form may be accepted as a priori evidence of the incorporative plan of structure-though there are other possible origins for it. The tense and mode signs are generally separable, and, especially in the componnd tense, are seen to apply not only to the verb itself, but to the whole
scope of its action, the tense sign for instance preceding the mbiject.

Sume further observations will set these peculiarities in a yet clearer light.

Athough in polysinthesis we speak of prefixes, suffixes. and juxtaposition, we are not to moderstand these terms an the same as in commection with the Aryan or with the agolutinative langages. In polysinthetic tongues they are not intended to form words, but sentences: not to express an idea, but a proposition. This is a fumdamental logical distinction between the two elases of languages.

With certain prefixes, as those indieating possession, the form of the word itself alters, as in Mexican, amath, book, no. mince, but mamauh, my book. In a similar mamer suffixes or post-positions affeet the form of the words to which they are added.

As the holophrastic method makes 10 provision for the symax of the sentence ontside of the expression of action (i. $c$. the verbal and what it embraces), noms and adjeetives are not declined. The "cases" which appear in many grammars of American languages are usually indications of space or direction, or of posisession, and not case-endings in the sense of Afyan grammar.

A further consequence of the same method is the absence of true relative pronoms, of copulative conjunctions, and generally of the machinery of dependent elanses. The devices to introduce subordinate propositions. I have referred to in a previous essay (above, 1 . $i+f^{6}$ ).

As the effort to speak in sentences rather than in words entails constant variation in these word-sentences, there arise
both an chormons increase in verbal forms and a mant ien tion of experssions for ideas closely allied. This is the , mese of the apparently entlese conjugations of many such tomster, and alse of the exuberance of their rocabalaries in womb of closely similar signification. It is an ancient error whing. however. I find repeated in the official " Introdnction th the Study of Indian I amguages," issued by our Burean of lith-nology-that the primitive condition of langnage is mae "where few ideas are expresed by felw worls." (Wh the eontrary, languages structurally at the bottom of the seale have an enormons and aseless exees of words. The satare tribes of the plains will call a colon be three or font differnt Words as it appears on different objects. The liskimu han about twenty words for fishing, depending on the nature of the fish pursued. All has arises from the "holophatio" plan of thonght.

It will be seen from these explanations that the defmition of Incorporation as given by M. Lacien Adam unoted above) is erroncous, and that of Professor Mailler is inale quate. The former reduces it to a mere matter of positionor placement; the latter either does not distinguish it from polysinthesis, or limits it to only one of its several expresisionts.

In fact, Incorporation may take place with any one of the six possible modifieations of the grammatical formma, "subjeet + verb + object." It is quite indifferent to th. theory which of these comes first, which last; although the most usual formula is either,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { subject }+ \text { object }+ \text { verb, or, } \\
& \text { object }+ \text { subject }+ \text { verb } ;
\end{aligned}
$$

the weth being maderstond to be the verbal theme omb-but fis terne and mode signs. Whate either of the above arramements ocens, we may comsider it to be an indieation of the incorporative tendence; hat as mere position is intufficion widence, Incorporation may be present in other arrampernents of the elaments of the poposition.
As a fatrexample of polysythesis in momes, we may - elect the word for " cross " in the Cree. The Indians render it hy "praving-stick" or "holy wood." and their word for "ont praving-sticks" (crosscs) is:

Thin is analyged as follows:

"lami, something relating to religion.
hi. indicative termination of the foregong.
$i$ it a comnective.
allik, suffix indicating wooden or of wood.
4 , a conncetive.
II, sign of posisession
$i$ a comncetive.
min, temination of : person plural.
ak, termination of anmate plural (the eross is spokem of as animate leg a figure of speech).

Siot a single one of the abose clements can be employed as an independent word. 'They are all only the raw material (1) Weave into and make app words.

As a characteristic specimen of incorporation we may eket this Nahuatl word-sentence:
omiclemacac,

I have given amothing to somelordy:
Which is allalyzed as follow:
o, allginent of the preterit, a tense sign.
III, pronoum, subject, ist persom.
c: "semi-pronoma," object, $3^{1}$ person.
ti, "inamimate semi-promomn," object, $3^{d}$ person.
mata, theme of the verb, "to give."
$c_{1}$ suffix of the preterit, a tense sign.
Here it will be observel that between the tenserigh, Which are logieally the essential limitations of the action are ineluded both the agent and the near and remote obe jects of the action.

In the modifications of meaning they undergo, American verbal themes may be divided into two great clasese, either as they express these modifications (1) by suffixes to an m. changing radical, or (2) by internal changes of their ratical.

The last mentioned are most characteristic of sombetic tongues. In all pure dialects of the Algomkin the vows of the verbal root mulergocs a peonliar change called "flattening" when the proposition passes from the "positive" th the "smpositive" mood.* The same principle is strikingly illustrated in the Choctaw language, as the following ing example will show: *
twhelhi, to tic (active, definite).
takeli, to be tying (active, (listinctive).

[^161]hlithi, to tir (active, emplatie).
herthit, to tie tightly (active, intesive). Whathi, to keep tying (active, ferquentative). Whkehi, to tie at once (active immediate). mlictivhi, to be tied (passive definite).
hallatichi, to be the one tied (passive distinctive), ete., ete. Thin example is, however, left far hehind be the gefuchua of 'lemw, which by a series of so-called "verhat particles" affixed to the perbal theme confers an ahmost endless varicty of modification on its verbs. Thas Anchorena in his (irammar gives the form and sharles of meaning of 67.5 modifications of the verl menter, to love. W
These verbal particles are not other words, as alverbs, cte. , phalifying the meaning of the verb and merely added (w it, but have no indejendent existence in the language. Von Trschadi, whose admirable analysis of this interesting tongule camot be too highly parased, explatins flem as "vepal roots which never reached independent development, or fragments handed down from some cartier epoch of the evolution of the language. "t The are therefore true sunthetic cements in the sense of Duponcean's definition, and not at all examples of collocation or justaposition.
While the genius of American langmages is such that they permit and many of them favor the formation of long componnds which express the whole of a sentence in one word, this is by no means necessary. Most of the examples of words of ten, twenty or more syllables are not gemme



native words, but novelties mannfactured by the ranion aries. In ordinary intercourse such compounds at sun in use, and the specel is comparatively simple.

Of two of the mosit synthetic languages, the Algomin and the Naluatl, we have express testimony from expert that they ean be employed in simple or compomed forms, at the speaker prefers. The Able Lacombe observes that in Lre "sometimes one can emplog very long words to expura whole phrase, although the same ideas can be eatify ren dered by periphrasis. ${ }^{*}$ In the syllabns of the lecturen an
 of Guadalasara, I note that he explans when the Nahat is to be employed in a synthetic, and when in an analytic Gomin'

I shall now proceed to examine those American tompe which have been anthoritatively declared to be exceptions to the general rules of American grammar, as being devoid of the incorporative and polssynthetie eharacter.

THI: WHOMH. *
As I have said, the Othomi was the stumbling bock of





 enlazandelate solo por regimen." lirom the programme of brob A. de ta ken, conce in 20.0
$\ddagger$ The original anthoritich 1 have consulted on the othomi are:
 y Molina (Mexico, 12er),


 -ytion is as a characteristic of American tongues. Athough in tis earlier writings he expresly manes it as one of the fllotrations sumerting his theory, later in life the informatim le derived from feno limmantel Nasera led him on restorl it as an isolating and momosplabic languase, quite on a par with the Chincse He expresed this change of fio in the frankest manner, and sunce that time writers have opoken of the ()thomi as a marked exepetion in structume the general rules of synthesis in American tongues. Thin continnes to be the case even in the latest writings, as, for instance, in the recently publinhed . Inthropoteoie der Me vintue of I)r. Hamy:*
Ict the examine the grounds of this opinion.
The othomis are an ancient and extended fomily, who from the remotest traditional epochs weomped the eentrai walleys and mountams of Mexion morth of the Aatecs and Tezoncans. Their language called ley themselver mhian

[^162] fixed), presents extraodinary phonetic diffienties an aco connt of its masals, guthurals and explosives.

It is one of a group of related dialects which may ix are ranged as follows:

The Othomi.
The Mazahna.
The Pame and its dialects.
The Meco or Jonaz.
It was the opinion of M. Charencey, that another member of this gronp was the Pirinda or Matlazinca ; a pe ;ition com batted by Senor Pimentel, who acknowledges some common property in words, but considers them merely borrowed.t

Naxera made the statement that the Mazaha is momost labic, an error in which his copyists have obediently followed him ; but Pinentel pointedly contradicts this assertion and shows that it is a mistake, both for the Mazalna and for the Pame and its dialects. 少

We may legin our study of the language with an exanination of the

TMEsb-signs in Othoni.
PRESENT TIENSE。

1. I wish,
2. 'Ilou wishest,
․ He wishes,
di nre.
. mince
$1 \mathrm{ln} \mathrm{c}^{\circ}$.
[^163]PAS＇T AOR1S＇T．

1．I wished，
2．＇lhon wished，
． I＇$^{\prime}$－wished，
da nee．
sil nié．
bi mice．
1いにばいました。
1．I have wished，
rla ner．
2．Thon hast wished， siat mei．
3．Ile has wished，
spimit．
PIMPはR1：CT．
I．I had wislied，ita nee hma．
2．Thom hadst wisherd，la nee hma．
3．He had wished，rpinerhma．
FIRSTVITVRI\％。
5．I shall wish，
ga nee．
2．＇Mhon wilt wish，
sheines．
3．He will wish，
dar nec．
SHCOND FUTLR1：。
1．I shall have wished，suata mod．
2．＇Thou wilt have wished，sua sat nec．
3．He will have wished，gut ifille
The pronoms here employed are neither the ordinary persomals nor possessives（thongh the Othomi admits of a ponesaive conjugation），but are verbal pronomns，strictly anakogons to those found in varions other Anserican lan－ grages．The radicals are：

| I， | $d-$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Thon， | $d-$ |
| He，it， | $b-$ |

In the present，the first and second are prefixed to what is 2.4
really the simple concrete form of the verl, f-nti: In the past tenses the personal signs are varionsly miteit with particles denoting past time or the past, as $a$, the end, whinh, ma and hma, yesterday, and the prefin . 1 , which in rese noteworthy as being preeisely the same in somd anf we which we find in the Cakehiquel past and future ternen It is pronomed sh (as in shove) and precedes the whole verbal, including subject, object, and theme; while in the phe perfect, the seeond sign of past time hma is a suffix the the collective expression.

The future third person is given by Neve as da, but be Pere\% as $d i$, which latter is apparently from the future particle $n i$ given by Neve. In the second future, the distinctive particle goat precedes the whole verbal, thus inclowing the subject with the theme in the tense-sign, strictly acomoling to the principles of the incorporative conjugation.
'lhis incorporative character is still more marked in the objective conjugations, or "transitions." 'The object, indeed, follows the verb, but is not only incorporated with it, but in the componad tense is included within the donhte tense sigus.

Thus, I find in Perez's Catechism,
di ûl-ba magetsi,

He will give-them heaven.
In this sentence, di is the personal prone an combined with the future sign ; and the verl is $\hat{l n-n i}$, to give to another, Which is compounded with the personal ba, them, drop, its final syllable, forming a true syuthesis.

In the phrase,

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
\text { rpi } & \text { un-ba } & \text { hma magetzi, } \\
\text { he hatl } & \text { give them } & \text { (hac:) } & \text { heaven, }
\end{array}
$$

in the with partw finish, lo is very 1 :111] 11世 (allen It whole verin the phofix to the
der, but by ture partidistinctive chasins the according
ked in the object, inled with it. the domble
ribined with (t) another. 1., drop, its.
both subject and object, the latter inclosed in a symbesis with the radical of the theme, the former phonctically altered ant coalesced with a tense particle, are inchuded in the double tense-sign, $x$-hme. 'This is as real an example of ineorporation as can be found in any American language.

Ordinary synthesis of words, other than verbs, is béno mens rare in Othomi. Simple juxtaposition, which Naxera states to be the rule, is not all universal. Such a statement hey him leads us to suspect that he had moly that ekmentary knowleyge of the tongue which Neve refers to in a foreible pasalge in his Reglas, He writes: "A good share of the diffentty of this tongue lies in its custom of syonepe; and beeanse the tyros who make use of it do mot symeonate it, their compositions are so rongh and lacking in harmony (1) the ears of the matives that the latter coment their talk as no better than that of horse-jockeys, as we would say.".

The extent of this sycopation is occasionally to such a degree that only a fragment of the original word is retained. As:

The charcoal-vendor, na mäthia.
Here $n a$ is a demonstrative particle like the Aztec im, and mithia is a compound of pie, to sell, and thethmi, charcoal.
The expression,

$$
y^{\prime} \text { mathy' oq ha, he loves God, }
$$

is to the analyzed,

[^164]y' mâhd muny oqha;
he loves him con ;
where we perceive not only synthesis, but the object mant ing in apposition to the pronom representing it which is in corporated with the verb.

So: yot-gua, light here; from yotti, to !ight, nug un, here,
These examples from many given in Neve's work som th me to prove beyond eavil that the Othomi exhibit,, when properly spoken, precisely the same theories of incorpmation and polysuthesis as the other American languages at though undoubtedly its more monosyllabie character and the extreme complexity of its phonetic do not permit of a development of these peenliarities, to the same degree as many:

Nor am I alone in this opinion. It has already been announced by the Count de Charencey, as the result of his emmparison of this tongue with the Mazahua and Pirinda. "'The Othomi," he writes, "has all the appearance of a language which was at first incorporative, and which, wom down by attrition and linguistic decay, has at length come to simulate a language of juxtaposition." ${ }^{\prime}$ :

Some other peculiarities of the language, thongh mot directly bearing on the question, point in the same directinn. A certain class of compound verbs are said by Neve to have a possessive deelension. Thus, of the two words purn"u. he draws, and hiu, breath, is formed the verb buckiti, which is conjugated by using the verb in the indefmite third per-

[^165] ant and inserting the possessives ma, mi, mu, my, thy, his: t110.

Ibucmahia, I breathe.
ybucmihir, thou breathest.
1rouchatioe, he breathes.;
Litually this would be "it-is-drawing, my beath," ete.
In the Mazahua dialects there is a remarkable change in the oljective conjugations (transitions) where the whole fom of the veth appears to alter. In this fanguge $t i=1$; kior like $=$ thon.

I give, $t i u m$.
I give thee, ti dutike.
He will give ns, ti gakmed
The last example is not fully explained by my anthorities ; but it shows the verbal change.

Something like this ocenrs in the Pane dialects. They reveal a manifest indifference to the intergrity of the theme, characteristic of polysynthetic languages. Thus, our only authority on the Pame, Father Juan (inadalupe Soriano. gives the preterit forms of the verb "to aid :"

K"! pait. I aided.
Kigait, thou aidedest.
K'u mait, he aided.
So, of " to burn:"
人ํu" aum, I burned.
人uddu dutam, they burned.t.
A large number of such changes rin throus the conjuga-

* Neve. Reglats etc., 11). 159, 16n.
† l'mentel, Cuadro Dearriplizo, 'rom, iii, p. pri.

tion. Pimentel calls them phonetic changes, but they are certanly, in some instances, true symtheses.

All these traits of the Othomi and its related dialects serve to place them unquestionably within the genemp phan of structure of American langtages.

THE BRI-DRI LAN(ildal:.
The late Mr. Wm. M. Gabl), who was the first to fumish any satisfactory information about it and its allied dialect, in Costa Rica, introdnces the Bri-Bri language, spoken in the highlands of that State, by quoting the worls of Alexander von Humboldt to the effect that "a multiplicity. of tenses characterizes the rudest American langutges." On this, Mr. Gabl) comments: "This certainly doe mot apply to the Costa Rican family, which is equally remarkable for the simplicity of its inflections." *

This statement, offered with such confidence, has been accepted and passed on withont close examination bex several unusually careful linguist. Thus Professor Priedrich Mialler, in his brief description of the Bri-Bri (taken exelusively from Gabbes work), inserts the observation"The simple structure of this idion is sufficient to contradict the theories generally received about American languages." $\dagger$ And MI. Incien Adam has lately instanced its verbs as notable examples of inflectional simplicity. 少 The

[^166] 1cral phan d dialects Spoken in worls of ultiplicity "gitages." - does mot y remark. hats been nation by sor PricalBri (taken ;errationto contraerican lanstanced its ity. * The cat, in the lim.
min . Ilgemeinen Sphuthianen.
ficl 1: Inrinton,
stuls of this group of tomgucis becomes, therefore, of peenlan monertance to my present topic.
Since dr, Gabb published his memoir, some independent material, grammatical as well as lexieographical, has been furnioned by the Rt. Rer. B. A. Thich, Bishop of Costa Kicos and I have obtained, in addition, several MS. vocab. nlaties and notes on the langrage prepared bey Prof. I. J. J. Vakentini and others.

The stock is divided into three gromps of related dialects, as fillows:-
I. The Bramka, Bronka or Bonnca, now in southwe stern Costa Rica, but believed by (abblo have been the carliest of the stock to ocenpy the soil, and to have been crowded out le later arrivals.
11. The Tiribi and Terraba, prineipally on the leadwaters of the Rio Telorio and sonth of the momntams.
111. The Bri-Bri and Cabecar on the head-waters of the Rio Tiliri. The Biecitas (Vizeitas) or Cachis, near the month of the same stream, are off-shoots of the Bri-Bris; so also are the small tribes at Orosi and Tucurrique, who were removed to those localities by the spanards.

The bri Bri and Cabecar, althongh dialects of the same original speech, are not sufficiently alike to be mutually intelligible. The Cabecars ocempied the land before the Bri-bris, but were eompuered and are now subject to them. It is probable that their dialect is more arehaic.

The Bri-Bri is a language of extreme porerty, and as

[^167]spoken at present is plainly corrupt. (Babl) estimiti - Hue whole number of words it contains as probably not exomentin fifteen humdred. Some of these, thongh ( Babbl thimb, mit very many, are borowed from the Spanish; but it in cant, that among them is the pronomin "that," the Sminath ese.

I et us now examine the Bri-Bri verb, said to be st man larly simple. We are at once strnck by Mr. Cablbs remark (just after he has been speaking of their umparatlelent sim plicity) that the inflections he gives "have been verified with as much care as the difficulties of the ease womblat. mit." IEsidently, then, there were diffienties. What they are, beeonies apparent when we attempt to amalye the form of the eiglteen brief pararligms which he gives.

The personal pronouns are

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
j e, \text { I. } & \text { sa, we. } \\
b c, \text { thou. } & \text { ha, yon. } \\
\text { Ir, he, ete. } & \text { Ie-pa, the }
\end{array}
$$

These are both nominative and objective, persomal and, with the suffix cha, possessives.

The tenses are usually, not always, indicated by suffixe to the theme: but these vary, and no rule is given for them, nor is it stated whether the same theme ean be used with them all. 'Thus,
'To burn, i-norke,
'To cook, $i-/ u$ '.
'To start, $i-b e-t e$.

> Present, $i-n 10 \%-h t-k d$.
> " $i-l u k$.
> " $\quad i-6 c-1 c$.

Here are three forms for the present, not explained. Dre they three eonjugations, or (l) they express three shaten of meaning, like the three English presents? I suspert the used with nerl. Ire shaclen of ispert the


The patstarist has two terminations, one in - - and and one in , abont the nses and meanings of which we are loft equally in the dark.

Flace future is ntterly inexplicable Eiven Prof. Minller, junt after his note calling attention to the "ireat simplicity" of the tongue, is obliged to give up this temse with the obocration, "the structural latw regulating the formation of the future are still in obentity!" Wias it not somewhat premature to dwell on the simplicity of a tongle whose simplest tenses he acknowledges himself mable to amalye?
The futures of some verbs will reveal the diffienties of this tense:-

'lor cook, $i-l l^{\prime}$;
'Tostart, i-bete;
To want, i-ki-ande;
To count, ishtame;
Forme shta'at.
In the last example mia is the future of the verb imia, to go, and is used as an ansiliary.
The explanation I have to suggest for these varying forms is, cither that they represent in fact that very "moniplicity of tense-formations" which Humboldt alhoded (t), and Whish were too subtle to be apprehemed ley Mr. Cabh within the time he devoted to the study of the language : or tint they are in modern Bri-Bri, which I have shown is noticeably eormpted, survivals of these formations, hat are mow largely disregarled be the natives themselves.
Signs of the incorporative plan are not wanting in the


tongue. 'Thas in the objective eomjugation not onls :- the objeet phaced between subjeet and verb, but the lathen mat madergo visible syathetic changes. Thus:
fe be stros.
I thee see.
人i je br áai sur-na.
Not I thee (?) see-did.
In the latter sentence $m$ is the sign of the past anotion and the verh in suthesis with it drops its last sylable. 'Ithe ion Gahb could not explain. It will be moticed that the newative precedes the whole verbal form, thans indicating that it is treated as a collective idea (holophrastically).

Prepositions ahways appear as suffices to nomes, which, in eomposition, may suffer clision. 'This is strictly simblar to the Nahnatl and other symbthe tomgues.

Other examples of developed symthesis are not mome mont as-
away, imibak, from imir to go, jobak, already.
very hot, palina, from ba +ilimia.
The opinion that the Bri-Bri is at present a considerably eorrupted and worn-down dialeet of a group of origimaty. highly synthetic tongues is bone ont bey andination of the seanty materials we have of its mearest relations.

Thus in the 'rerraba we find the same superfluous richmen of pronominal forms which oeemrs in many South Americun tongues, one indicating that the person is sitting, another that he is standing, a thind that he is walking.*

The Branka has several distinct forms in the present teme:
I eat. cha cadeh, and atqui chan (atqui=I).

[^168]mil: :- lic lattor maty 1ori-t. and 'l'turai the nexalng that it 1s. whinl. tly similar it uncran 19. 11siderably originally iination of s. ssichnes. American 5, allowher
ient telle:

Whough Bishop Thiel supplies a mumber of verhat foms from this dialect, the plan of their constraction is ant ond ons. This is seen from a comparison of the presemt and perict temses in varions worls. The pronomns atre-

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \text { atqui, I. } \\
31 \text { ique, he. }
\end{array}
$$

Fin instance:

'To kill (radical, ai).
I'resent, I kill, cha atqui i aira.
l'erfeet, he has killed, ionge $i$ aic. To die (radical, cojt).
Present, I die, cigo drah.
Perfect, he has died, ajt wah.
To hear (radical, diej).
Present, I hear, aari dij os, rah.
Perfeet, I have heard, aqui dij wah.
'To forget.
Present, I forget, asqui chita uringra.
Perfect, I have forgotten, whitd wingete.
These examples are sufficient to show that the Branka comjugations are neither regular nor simple and such is the emphatic statement of Bislop Thicl, both of it and all these allied dialects. In his introduction he states that he is not set ready to offer a grammar of these tongues, though well applied with lexicographical materials, and that "their itres are especiall! difficult."

[^169]The Cabsear dialect, in which he gives severai mate funcral poems, withont transations, is apparenth mone complicated than the Bri-Bri. The words of the mons atre long and seem much syocopated.

Several writers of the highes position have aserpthe that these diallects, spoken over so large a pertion of the termans of Brazil, are neither polysinthetic nor incorporative. Than the late Prof. Charles $\mathrm{l}^{\mathrm{F}}$. Hartt in his " Notes on the limsor Geral or Modern 'rupi," expressed himself: "I'nlike the North American Indian tongues, the langnages of the fupi
 seancely less positiveness Professor Fercirich Miiller write "The oljective conjugation of the 'lupi-Cinarani dox wot show the incorporation usnally scen in Anterican langust but rather a mere collocation. $\cdots$

It is. I acknowledge. somewhat hazardons to venture an opinion contrary to such excellent anthoritics. But I man say, that while, no doult, the 'rupi in its stmeture differ widely from the Algonkin or Nahbath, it set scems to pre sent momistakalle signts of an incorporative and puly -rn thetic character, such as would be diffientt to parallel mutive of America.

I am enconraged to maintain this by the recent example of the ermelite Ir. Amaro Cavaleanti, himself well and pran

 venturing pesitive ascertions.

 ive. 'Tluthe liment lulike the
 With ler write ii down mix
 13ut I mani lure diffor Mims tupto 1 pelyonnlel witrinc 1t example I and prace.
itted meame... alntinus almat?
:tanin versed in the spoken Tupi of to-day, who has is-ned a baned treatise to prove that " the brazilian dialect- prearnt undonbtedly all the supposed eharacterintices of an agstatimative language, and helong to the same gromp as the mamerens other dialects or tongues of America.": ${ }^{\circ}$ Dr. Cavaleanti does not, indeed, distingrish so elearly between agyluthative and incorporative langmages as I shonld wish. but the trend of his work is altogether parallel of the argu-ment-I am about to advance.

Fortmately, we do mot suffer from a lack of materials to stuly the Tupi, ancient and modern. There are plenty of dictomaries, grammars and texts in it, and even an "ollendoff": Method." for those who prefer that intellectail (!) Yistem. $\dagger$

All recent writers agree that the modern Tupi has exn materially changed by long contact with the whites. The traders and missionaries have exerted a disintegrating effert on its ancient forms, to some of which I shall have occasion to refer.

[^170]'ruming our attention first to its sonthetic charac ar ane camot but be surprised after reading Prof. Hart's = phinim abowe quoted to find him a few pages later introlucing to w the following example of "word-building of a more ihn usually polysinthetic character.":
akded'u, head: alíu, bad.



Such examples, however, are not rare, as may be ecen ho thming over the leaves of Montoyas Tesoro de la lonsme Cimarami. The most noticeable and most . Imerien perll. larity of such compounds is that they are not collocation of words, as are the agglatinative eompounds of the lealAltaic tongues, but of particles and phonetic elements whid have no separate life in the language

Father Montoya calls special attention to this in the fire words of his . Aderrtencia to his Tisoro. He says:-." "lhe foundation of this language consists of partieles which frequently have no meaning if taken alone; but when compounded with the whole or parts of others (for they ent them up a great deal in composition) they form signifiemt expressions; for this reason there are no independent verbs in the language, as they are built up of these partickes with nouns or pronouns. Thus, $\tilde{n}$ ombor is composed of the three particles $\bar{m}$, mo, $\therefore$. The $\pi($ is reciprocal; mo an active partiele; $e$ indieates skill; and the whole means 'to exercise oneself,' which we translate, 'to leam,' or 'to teach,' indeterminately; but with the personal sign added, anember. ' I learn.' '"

[^171] collecation of the 「ral-cut- Which
in the first ns:--. "The which fre when comor they cont siguificant udent verls ricles with f the three active parto exerciex :ach,' imbeanember.
 revome wis foreibly of the extraotharily acute amalys of
 the bwo tongues have been buift of from siguificant partiele (and words) in the same manner.
shme of these particles convey a peculiar tam to the while sentence, diffient to expers in our thagues. 'Thus. the clement $i$ attached to the lant allable of a componm give an oppositive sense to the whole expresion ; for example, "jur. "I come" simply : but if the question follows: "Who ordered you to come?" the answer might be, ajure. "I come of my own acord: mobrely ordered me." $\boldsymbol{q}^{-}$

Coaraleanti obserses that man of these bomative elements Which existed in the of 'lupi have now fallen out of unc. This is one of sereral evidences of a change in structure in the language, a loss of its more pliable and creative powers.

This symbesis is also dipplayed in the Tuph, as in the Crece be the inseparable union of certain noms with pronoms. 'The latter are constantly mited with terms of consamginity and generaly with those of members of the body, the form of the noun undergomg material modifications. Thus:
tete, body ; ate, his body: mete, my body.
twou, father ; os rubur, his father; werub, my father.
 tro, mame; sucra, his name.
 probluction which hats never received (he attention from lingnists, which it merits.
†. Inchicta, Ale de' Grammatiad, ete., j). Fs.
:The Brazilian Language. ele., p1. fo 9 .

Postpositions are in a similar mamer sometimes 1. . Fent into the monns or pronoms which they limit. 'Thus in onde, before: $s$ wimomde, before him.

It appears to me that the substratum, the stamomat theory, of such a tomgne is decidedly polys.athetic , wh mot agrelutinative, still less analytic.

I, et ns now inguire whether there are any signts of the in corperative process in 'Tupi.

We are at once struck with the peenliarity that there are two special sets of pronouns used with verbats, one set bub. jective, and the other objective, several of which ronnot he
 nostic of the holophrastic method of speech. 'The pros noms in such cases are evidently regarded by the langugefaculty as subordinate accessories to the verbal, and whether they are phonetically merged in it or wot is a secombary fuestion.

The 'Tupi pronouns (confining myself to the singular number for the sake of brevity) are as follows:

| Imdepemient persomats. |  | Verbal affixes. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Possessives. | subject. | Mrjeet. |
| lite or de. | sic or .le. | $a$. | li'. |
| inde or me. | $n c$ or re. | re, repe. | O\% |
| atioto. | a or $i$. | 0. | ar or i |

'The verbal affixes are united to the theme with varions phonctic changes, and so intimately as to form one word. The grammars give steln example as:-
atico, I hold:
ahenow, 1 call:
alari, I dispute him:
gucroo, they hold him.
.romor, they call me. oroact, I dispute thee. Ie sed－ult， cilnnet ho 110－9 diag． The pro－ langnage－ Id．whether secombary singular
mjewt．
ic．
ar． ac or $i$ ．
th varions one word．
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 phat the object in all instaneen bofre the verts，that is，bee twe of the rerb athe its subject when the latter wats ather
 in a meanure changed，st that when the objoe is of the thind peran it is placed after the vert，althongh in the first



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { boin "ti w-sere. } \\
& \text { shake him he-pitus. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Bat in the motern tongue it is：

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { huide o-sioll ar } \\
& \text { shake heritos him. }
\end{aligned}
$$

With the other prants the rule is still for the ofigect to presele and to be attached to the theme：

> wominc:. I the kill.
upieinco，I you kill．
scincelvo，me killest then．
Amy highly complex verbal forms seem to me to illus－ trate a close incorporative tendency foct us analyo for instance the worl，
wremimbs．
which means＂him whom I teach＂or＂that which I teach．＂Its theme is the verbal mbor，which in the extract
 of the thate chementary particles itt, me, and $\because: 1$, the posicestive form of the persomal promome "my" It is followed by the participial expresion limi or tombin, whins
 its temanal vowel is symopated with the relative 1 ar 1 "him, it"; so the separate part, of the expresion atre

$$
1++l m b i+n \cdot \pi+m n+t
$$

I shall wot pursuc the examination of the Thpi firthes It were, of comsee catse io maltiply examples. I But 1 am willinge to leave the case an it stands, and to ask line uin whether, in view of the above, it was mot a fremitme judgment that promonced it a tongue neither polyontiatic nor incorporative.

## T1IF: MCTSIN.

T'his is atse ome of the languages which has lext an nomberl as " neither polysuthetic no incorporative." and the comstruction of ith verin ats "simple to the last deyrec.":

We know the tonguc omly throngh the Grammat and Pharase-hook of loather de la Conesta, who acknowlelge himelf to be very imperfecty aergainted with it. With its associated dialeets, it was spoken near the site of the present city of san Francisen, Califomia.

[^172]1. whing first at the vert, it "extreme simplicity" in bot
 expect.

In the first place, the maked rerbal theme molergen a valkty of ehanges by incertion athd sulfixes. like thone of the !uiche and Dqumelma, which modify its me:ming. Th114:
. Mra, to give.
Isa, to give to maty, or to sive moch.
. Haple, to give to oneself.

- Irasi, to order to give, cte., cte.

A!in:
()in, to catel.
()imi, to come to eateh

Dimu, to catch amother, cte.
The anthor enmmerates thirty-rne form- thas deriver from eath reth, some conjugated like it, some imegularly. With regard to tenses, he gives eight preterits and fond futhere: and it camot be said that they are formed simply adding adrerbs of time, as the theme itself takes a diferent form in seceral of them, aran, aras, aragts, cte. In the reflexive conjugation the pronom follows the verb and is mated with it: . 1 s,

> aras.nca, I give myself,
where $a$ is a stffixed form of ath. I: ne represents nomissia, oneself: the $g$ is apparently a connective: and the theme is aro. This is quite in the order of the polysuathetic theory and is also incorporative.

Such syutheses are prominent in imperative forms. Thus from the above-mentioned verb, wio, to catch, we have,
siomitrots, (Bather thon for me. in Which mit is apharently die second persont me

 abont," or "to get dome." 'This inturative, therefic as
 with thy gathering." It is a marked case of poly-rataA momber of such are fomm in the Matsm phato sion as:

Runcmililhs!uts ammis, (ive me arrows.
In this componmed commis, is for coll + hats, me + for : int is the imperatise interjection for geterns: the remamote of the worl is not clear. 'The phrase is given elsewhere

Pus's, mitit. (ive (thon) me arrows.
Withont gonge further into this langatge, of which we kow so little, it will be ceident that it is very far from simple, an that it is certandy highly synthetic in varions features.

The conchasions to which the above study leade man be briefly smmarized as follows:

1. The structural processes of incorporation and pulyenthesis atre mach more influential elements in the mophat ogy of language than hats been conceded hy some recent writers.
2. They are clearly apparent in a nmmber of American languages where their presence has been heretofore denied.
3. Athongh so long as we are withont the means of examing all American tongues, it will be premature to asert that these 1 roce ses prevail in all, nevertheless it is safe to say

 1．1． 1 hecision．













 than to prove a linguintie tratit．He then procent to lemgthy and







 of M．Alam，which is much the most harongh yet writhen on the re demicd． Bof exam－ ascor that afe to ay negrative side of the debatce］

# THE EARLIEST FORY OF HưMAN SPEECH, As HeVEALED BY AMERICAN TONGUES.: 

ARC'HAOOLOGISTS tell as that the manmature of those rude stone implements called pabeolithe wan. dered up and down the world while a period of something like two handred thonsand years was marolling its cerentere centuries. Many believe that these early artisans had mot the power of articulate expression to convey their cantions or ideas; if such they had, they were confmed to inarticnlate grmuts and cries.

Hacekel proposed for the species at this period of it. existence the designation /Homo aldas, speechless man. . Inatomists have come forward to show that the inferior maxil lary bones disinterred in the coves of La Nankette and schipka are so formed that their original possessom combly not have had the power of articulation $\dagger$ But the latest in restigators of this point have reached an opposite ennchasion.* We mast, bowever, concede that the aral com-

[^173]mancation of men during that long eporh wan ait atry ratimentary character: it in contrary to crery then of in-

 Limatiotic stock
 - mewhat corret conception of what was the datater of the molimentary ntterances of the race? I thank we can, hat. an I helicere I am the firs to attompt wheh a pioture, I Wher it with heoming diflickuce

 cated: and that he was intellectally capabe of sperely conk, I think. scarcely be denicel be any ome who wall contemplate the conceptions of symutry, the terlaical skill, and the wioe alaption to use, manifeted in sume of the oldent - peci men of his art: as for example the ase disinteres from the ancient strata of san Ividen, near Mathid, thome fomed
 Jerey, or some of those figured be be Marthet an derived

 wote protect himelf from the weather: that he poscosed anme means of madigating the streans; that he conth acea -imally orercome pewerfal and ferocions beants: thot be arcally paid some attention to ornamenting his perion : that le lived in communities: and that his migration were ex

[^174]tensive: In view of all this, is it mot highly inure mate that he was destitute of any voeal powers of exproniza his plans and desires? I maintain that we should dis: 1 : the /homo chalus, as a scientific romance which has - xomen ita time.

More than this, I believe that be a judiciou stul? wis isting lamgages, especially hose which have sufferel hate by admisture or by distant reamoals, we can pictare with reasomable focklity the elaracter of the earlicet tungun spoken be man, the specelt of the Pabeolithic Ase.
'This primitive utteranee was, of comrse, ant the - man everywhere. It varied indefmitely. But for all that it in almost certain that in all locilitice it proceceded om :mmb. gous lincs of developucht, just as languse hate ever where and at all times since. By studying simple min ion lated languages, those which have suffered least ber contut with others, or by alterations in conditions of enlture, we can eately some glimpses of the character of manis cerlice signifient expresion, the "baberalk of the race," if 1 may use the expression. I have gleaned a certain manher of such traits in the field of Anerican linguistics, and prexem then to you as euriosities, which, like other euriontion. have considerable significance to those who will manter their fill prarport.

The question I ann abont to consider, is, you will wherse guite different from that which concerns itself with the origin of lingmistic stocks. Many of these mugustimaty arose long after man had aequired well-developed han

[^175]ghace and when the eeremal combohtions whose ativity is matrifested in artienlate expresion had acguired a high gt we of development thomeh herelitary tatimg. Ifow
 my learned friend Mr. Itoratio Hale Ite demomatrate by many examples that in the pronent ectebal exolution of man, infants develop an articulate langunge with the same matmal facility that athy other species of amimal does the acal utterances pecular to its kime.

But in this exsay I am contemplating man as he was before handreds of gemerations of opeaking ancentors had exolved such eerebral powers.

I hegin with some observations on the phonetic elements. These are no other than what we call the aphabet, the simphe somuds wheh eombined tugether make mp the words of a langage In all limopean baguse, the mete letters of the aphatbet, by themselver, have no meaning and enmey mo ikes: furthermore, their value in a worl in fixed; and, thirelly, arranged in a worl, they are sufficient theonvey its solum and sense to one acyuaintel with their values.
Jadged by eertain Ameriean examples all thee of these seemingly fundanental characteristics of the phometic dements were absent in primitise specels, and hate lecome stable only be a long proces of growth. We find tomgues in which the primary sounds are themselves signifieath, and Set at the same time are highly varible: and we find many examples in wheh they are inatergate to eomey the semse of the articulate sound.

 Sthere Vol. xxxv, p. 279.

As exemplifying these peenliarities I take the finos. in Athapascan, spoken widely in British America, and of which the Apache and Navabo in the United States are bramber Yon know that in linglish the vowels $\dot{A}, I, I, O$, I , and the eonsonants, as such, $\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{K}$, and the others, conver w Your mind no meaning, are not attached to any idea wim of ideas. This is altogether different in the Tinne. Weare informed by Bishop larand,* a thorough master of that tongre, that its significant radicals are the five primitive rowel somuls, A, I: I, O, I. Of the ese A expresice matter. I: existence. I force or energy, O existence doubtint, and I existence absent, non-existence, negation or sucewimn. These vowels are "put in action," as be phrases it, beingle or double eomsomants, "which have more or les value in propertion as the vowel is more or less strong." 'These consonantal sombls, as we learn at length from the works On this language by Father Petitot, are also materially signifieant. They are momerons, being sisty-three in all, and are divided into mine different classes, each of whieh eomere a series of related or associated ideas in the mative mind.

Thlus, the labials express the ideas of time and space. as age, length, distance, and also whiteness, ble bat mentioned, perhaps, through assoeiation with the white hair of age, or the cudless showfieds of their winter. The dentals express all that relates to force terminatims. hence uselessuess, inanity, privation, smalluess, fechlemes: and also greatness, clevation, the motor power. The namath comey the general notion of motion in repetition; bence rotation, reduplication, gravitation, and, by a singrularly

[^176]Tin:l" ur 10f which hranther. (). I. mind comver to $\therefore$ oi train Vicare or of that pimitise cimatter. finl, and I necen-inn. it. ly , ᄂulen value These the work: rially sig11 all, and A comey: mind
and siace.
the lint the white ir winter. mimating fecblene:- : lloe natanh In: hence. singularly
burical association, organic life. The gutturals intieate motion in curves; hence, sinnousuess, flexibility, ehullition, rombluess, and by a linear fogure different from that which muderlies the Latin rectitudo, justness, correctuess. 'The H, either as an aspirate or an hiatus, introduces the ideas of command and subjection, elevation and prostration, and the tike: :

Sou will observe that in some of these cases the signifieation of a somed includes both a motion and its opposite, as greatness and smalluess. This is an interesting feature, to which I shall refer later.
C'm now to another language, the Crec. Ceographically. it is contignous to the Times; but, says bishop Farand, who spoke them both fluently, they resemble each other no more than the French does the Chinese. Nevertheless, we discoser this same peenliarity of materially significant phonetic ekments. Howse, in his (ire (irammar, observes that the guttural $K$ and the labial $I V$ constitute the esemtial part of all intensive terms in that langage, "whether the same be attributive, formative, or persomal aceident." Indecd, he maintains that the artionlate somels of the ciree all express relative powers, feebleness or force, independent of their position with reference to other somme.

Sou may inguire whether in the different groups of American tongues the same or a similat signification is attached to any one sound, or to the sounds of any one organ. If it wereso, it would give comitenance to those theories which manstain that there is some fixed relation between somud and sense in the radicals of languages. I must reply that I hase

[^177]fomm very little widence for this theory ; and rit me For example, the $N$ somad expresses the notion of the in of myself-ness, in a great many tongues, far apart geternph ically and lingraistically. It is fommat the basis of the per somal promom of the first person and of the worts for men in momerons dialcet- in North and Somth America. Aann the $K$ somed is almost as widely asonciated with the fife of olhorncse and is at the base of the personal promom of the second person singular and of the expersions for sumperm. man persomalities, the divine existence.: It is essemitally demonstrative in its power.

Again, in a long array of tonguce in varions parth of the Work, the subjective relation is expresed be the 11 samb, as has been perinted ont be Dr. Winkler; and other example conld be added. Mang of these it is impossible to attribnte to derivation from a common source. Some writers maintain that sombls have a subjective and fixed relation to ideas: others eall such comedences "hlind chance:" bat these shond remember that chance itself means merely the action of lans not yet discosered.

Gon might suppose that this distinction, I mean that between self and ofthr, between /, thon and he, is fimdid mental, that specel conld not proceed withont it. Vin would be mistaken. American languages furnish conclusive evidence that for mammbered generations mankind ont along well enough withont any such diserimination. One

[^178]mili in the $r$ sulerlin. cercentiall!
art. of the : II мый, - example: 10 attribute Ler mainclation tu nee," lint nerely the mean that is fumblait. Yon ll concha nkind gnt ion. (Onc
and the same monosylable sorved for all there peremband best manbers. The meaming of this momoybable wat mutubtedly "any living ham:an being." only after a lons time did it heeome differentiated be the addition of hentive particles into the motions, "I living . mman being," "Thon-living haman being," "He-hivis haman
 a perple as the ancient Permians beam momintakable traces of this process, at has been show by Von liseladi in his abmiable analysis of that tompe: and the langnage of the Bances of bolivia still presents example of verbs ennjugated without pronoms or promomina! affixes.:

The extraordinary development of the promouns in many American languages-some have as many as cighteen different forms, as the person is contemplated as standing. lying, in motion, at rest, alone, in compmy, cte., ete this multiplicity of forms, I say, is proof to the seientific linguist that these tongues have hat recently developed this grammatical catcgory. Wherever we find overgrowth, tee wil is new and the crop rank.
In spite of the significance attached to the phonetie dements, they are, in many American languages, singulaty vague and fluctuating. If in linglish we were to prommace

[^179]three words, loll, mor, oll, indifferently as one or the wher. you see what vinlence we should do to the theon in mur alphabet. Vet amalogons examples are constant in man! American languages. Their consomants are "alternating." in large gromps, their vowels "permutable." It. Stitnt calls this phenomenon "literal affinity," and shows that in the 'lime it takes place not only between eonsonamth of the same group, the labials for instance, but of differentgromp, as labials with dentals, and dentals with masals. Fhere differences are not merely dialectic: they are fonmed in the same village, the same fanily, the same person. Thes are not peenliar to the 'limes ; they recur in the Klamath. In. Behrendt was pur\%led with then in the Chapance. "Sou other langnage," he writes, "has left me in such doult as this one. The same person pronounces the same word differently ; and when his attention is called to it, will insint that it is the same. Thms, for devil he will give Tilambit and Sisambui: for hell, Vakupaju and . Vakotorti.". Speaking of the Guarani, Father Montoya says: "Phere i in this langnage a constant changing of the letters, for which 110 sufficient rules can be given." And Dr. Darapoky in his recently published study of the Arancanian of Chile gives the following equation of permutable letter: in that tongue:
$$
l=I I=l=l:=l^{\circ}=I=I:=C=C I I=I I l^{+}
$$

The laws of the conversion of sombls of the one organ into those of another have not yet been discovered: but the

[^180]the. wher.
 It in $111: 11$ ! Lernatimg," 11. Pretitnt ows tho.t in ant of the
 15. 'Tluč und int the lloware math. In. nec. "Nu ll choubt as salle word will insin - Tïumbi thaperti.
"phere is ; for which arapky in of chile ers. ifl that ed: but the
above examples, which are be moneans isolated ones, serve to wmonish us that the phonctic elements of primitise -feerh probably had no fixedues.
flace is another ordity about some of these consomantal somads which I may motice in pasong. Some of them are not the chementary sombls: they camot stand alone, but mut always have amother consomant asociated with them. Thns, the labial $B$ is compon in (inamani ; but it must
 frepuent: but it is the bintial of ano word in that hatuage. The Nahuas apparently conld not pronomoce it, unkes some other articulate somed preceded it.

Albonnoz, in his (frammar of the Chapaner Timente: * states that the natives camot pronomuce an initial $/ i$, (i, $)$, or $/ l$, withont uttering an $I$ somed before it.

The third point in the phomology of these tomgree to which I alluded is the frepucner with which the phonetie dements, as graphically expressed, are inadequate to comey the idea. I may fuote a remark by Ifonse in his cre Corammar, which is true probably of all primitioe speceh, "limplasis, accent and modifications of vocal expression ; which are inadeguately expressed in writing, secm to constitute an essential, perlaps the vital part of Indian langhage." In such modifications I include tone, aceent, stress, weal inflection, quantity and pause. These are with mush diffentty or not at all includable in a graphie methot, and yet are frequently significant. Take the pause or hiatus. I have already mentioned that in Time it correlates a whole series of ideas. M. Beleourt, in his Grammar of the

[^181]Santerix, an Agonkin dialect, states that the pan of completely change the meaning of a word and ph, in another elans: it is also cosemtial in that langats , the fomation of the tomses Mhe is the case in the fill in if sonth America. Montoya illatrates it by the ex....
 another thing, yon will observe.t

 only distinction between the first and secomd peranm in participles. In the Nahnath this alome distingui-he- mame plaral forms from their singulats: and many similar ex. amples could be eited.

With difficulties of this mature to encomater, a perton acenstomed to the definite phonology of lemopean tomsuce is maturally at a loss. The Spanish scholar Yricocelneate preses this in relating his efforts to learn the Chibeln of New Granada, a tongue also characterized by these motnating phonctics. He visited the region where it is still spokea with a grammar and phrase-book in his hand, and found to his disappointment that they could not underimul one word he said. He then employed a native who - froke Spanish, and with himprasticed some phrases matil be lieved ine had them perfect. Another disappontment mot one of them wa; maderstood. He returned to his tencher and agaln repeated them; but what was his dismay when

[^182]In (wen his teachor roognized a single word! After that fisuechea gave up the attempt.:
laving wow the domain of phomology and toming to thet of lexicographe, I will pint out to you a very curions phammenon in primitive specels. I hate already alluted wit in guoting M. Petitot's remark that in 'Jimé a whund oftra means both a notion and its opposite: that, for instance, the same word may expres good and bat, and another both high and low. 'lon use M. Jetitot's own worls, "a certain number of consonants have the pater of expersing a given order of ideas or things, and also the contradictory of this order." In 'Timme, a great many worls for opposite ideas are the same or nearly the same, derised from the same significant elements. 'Thas, son
 very small ; inla one time, inlasin every time ; and so om.
This mion of opposite significations reappars in the ultimate radicals of the Cree language. These, suys Mr. Howse, $\dagger$ whose C Commar I again guote, expres Peing in its positive and nogative modes: "'Phese opponite mones are expresed by modifisations of the same clement, furmishing two classes of terms widely different from cach other in signification.' In Cree the leading substantive radical is eth, which originally meant both Being and Not-Being. In the present language cth remains as the current positive, ith as t'e enrrent privative. It means within, $/ /$ withont: and like parallelisms run through many expressions, indicating

[^183] from the s.mate urigintal sumbls.


 the ancient Coptice and I donht mot they wate charsah hatio of all primitive sperels.
 the hamam mind, and the ase tatimed laws of thomght. Whe


 their mosern followers in the fomma, ". I is mot met. I:" in other words, a puality, ant iden, an clement of knowlelse. con
 mot. 'That by which it is limited is known in logie an it. pris ative, In a work publinhed obme years ago I pointed ant that this privative is not an independent !hought, as: shate have mantained, but that the positive and its privative are ondly two atpects of the s:ame thought.: This highly impurtant distinction explans how in primitive speed, before the ine hat risen into clan engution, both it and its prative were expersed loy the same soment; and when it did rise imn such cognition, and then into expresion, the originat mity

[^184]
 :H:mmar dowe ohtain a confimation of the starting geint


"lle gratual development of gramman is strikinely illum


 have clatmed that there are Ancrican hasuage of when

 insulticient knowledge of the allaged exeptions. It athy rate, this incorporation was malombtally a trait of primitive
 Herker, was like a baby for wated to sity all at ance. He condensed his whole semtence into as singhe worl. Arels
 an an example the seriptmal phane, "I shanl have yon for
 wond.'

So far as I have been able to analyo the primitive not wnce-words, they always expmes being in relethons and hence they partake of the matare of verns rather than monns. In this conclusion I am ohliged to differ with the eminemt linguist Jrofessor Stcinthal, who, in his profomed expmition of the relations of peychologe to grammar, mamatas that

[^185]white the primitive sentence was a single word, that we wl Wats a moth1, a mance:*

It is evident that the primitive mand did not commet his sentences. One followed the other disjointerlly, mamosnectedly. This is so platily marked in American tomstan that the machinery for comecting sentences is ansent, fhis machintry consists properly of the relative pronoman and the conjunction. Vou will be surpuised to hear that there in mo
 either of these parts of speech. 'That which does daty for the comjunction in the Maya and Nahmatl, for instance, in d nonn meaning asociate or companion, with a prefixed possessive t

Iepually foreign to primitive speech was any expression of time in connection with verbal forms; in other worls, there was wo such thing as tenses. We are so acenstonced to link actions to time, past, present, or future, that it is a little dif. fiend to understand how this acecssory can be omitted in intelligible discourse. It is perfectly evident, howerer, from the study of many Aneriean tongues, that at one perind of their growth they possessed for a long interval only one tense, which served indiffermenty for past, present, and future; and even yet most of them form the past and finture


 is precisely the sathe in composition.


 timment Versangenheir gesprochen wird." J. J. von 'rschndi, fogan, whe it Ehelsua. Spache, s. isy. The same (ense is shoo employed for future ocembme - here is 110 porssicu duty for stancer, is prefixed
rescion of rls, there (1) link little difmitter in Perr, from ne perion ral only sent, and nul fiture

In purely material means, as the adtition of an alvert of time, beg accent, puantity or repetition, and in whote the tome relation is still makown.*
 (ximple, there is no sort of combertion between the vertal som and its signs of tense, mode or periom. 'fley hate not wen any lixed order. In such laggages there is mo differ ence in sonnd between the words for "I marry," and "my wife:" "I eat," and "my frod;" hetween "Panl dies," "Pand died," "Paml will die," and "Patd is dead." ${ }^{\text {t }}$ Thongh streh tongites we ean distinctly perective a time when the verb had neither tense, moke, wor person: when it was not even a rerb nor yet a verbal, but an epicene somm which could be atapted to any serviee of specelt.

It is also evident that things wore not thonght of or talked of. Out of their natural relations. There are still in most Ameriean tongues large clastes of worls, such as the parts of the body and terms of kimblif which camot stand abone. They mot always be acompanied by a promom expresing relation.

Few . American tongues have any adjectives, the Cree, for Bntance, not a dozen in all. Prepositions ane equally rate, and articles are not fomme. These facto terify that what are called "the gratmatical categorics" were wholly aboent in the primitive speech of m:m.

[^186]So also were those adjectives which are called mun- $\therefore$ There are American tomgues which have no worde fon .ns mumerals whaterer. The mumerical conecpts one. inn. three. fome camot be expressed in these language on lank of terms with any such meaning.: Thion was a great ghe ere to the mis-imatice what they undertosk to expomal to the it flocks the doetrine of the Trinity. They were in worse cate even thar the missiomary to an (oregon trile, who to. wo sey the dation of soml to his hearers, conld find no wimite the ir language nearer to it than ome which meant "the hane gut.'

I very interesting chapter in the study of these thigne is that which reveals the erohntion of specifie distinctions. thene inductive gemeralzations muler which primitioe man clani fied the objecte of the minerse about him. These distinctions were cither grammatical or legieal, that is. cither forman on material. That most widelyseen in Ameriea is a divisim of all existence into those which are comsidered living: and thase considered not living. 'This comstitutes the secomit great queralization of the primitioe mind, the first, as I have said, having been that into Being and Not-laing. The distinctions of Living and Not-fiving gate rive th the animate and inanimate comjugations. A grammation oex dietinction, which is the perailing one in the grammate of the Aran tongues, does not exist in any American dialect known to me: :

[^187] tik most primitive tomgeres On the other hand, We find in


 Whte what they are lye an example when from the lidntra, a thateet of the Dakota.

The word for sled in that diabeet in mide-memblutionte.
 Wonel or into which wool enters. Iface is mide leceatle it is Kept ny with wood. With the fhonetic laxity which 1 have before motea, the first sylhate mimay at motecth he




 action is that of sepabation ; det, that this is dome puicdiy theteder, to shide) $\because=$

Thus be the justapmition of once clasificatory particle afier another, seven in mumber, all we them losicol matier-


This sistem was pobably the fors aropter by man when








pression. It is a plan which we find most highly dew ;exd in the rulest languages, and therefore we may rean; , bly believe that it eharacterized prehistoric speceh.

The question has been put by psychological grammatan. which one of the semses most helped man in the creation of language-or to express it in morem scientifie parlanc, wan primitive man a risuatre or an atditaire? Did he mand his sounds after what he hearl, or what he saw: The former opinion has been the more popular, and has giten rise to the imitative or "onomatopoctie" theory of lamguage. No doubt there is a certain degree of trutlo in this, but the amalysis of American tongues leans decidedly twam classing primitive man among the aismaiors. His cartent sigmifient sounds seem to have been expressive of motion and rest, energy and its absence, space and direction, cohor and form, and the like. A different opinion has been man tained by Darwin and by many who have studied the pmot lems presented by the origin of words from a merely phys. cal or physiological standpoint, but a careful inve tigation shows that it was the sense of sight rather than of heamer which was the prompter to vocal utterance. But the comsideration of the source of primitive significant somdi lies withont the bounds of my present study.

It will be seen from these remarks that the primitive speech of man was far more rudimentary than any languge known to us. It had no grammatical form : so fluctuating were its phonctics, and so much depended on gesture, tome, and stress, that its words could not have been reluced to writing, nor arranged in alphabetic sequence; these work often mignified logical contratictories, and whels of the anti-
the meanings was interbled could be guessed only from the wecent or sign; it possescel no prepositions nor comjumetion no numerals, no promoms of any kincl, no forms to expese singular or pharal, mate or fenale, past or present : the different vowel-somuds and the different consemantal graths comered specifie significance, and were of more inn port than the syllables which they formed. 'The concept of time came much later than that of space, and for a long while was absent.

## THE COMCEPTION OF LOYE IN SOME AMEBIC: LANGUALES: :

 at once powertal and delicate. reveal the inmotherat of those who ereater them. The vial importane athenden
 alapted to point ont the execeding value of lamsuase an "true atobongraphy of mations."

This quotation is from an essig be a thonghtful writere Dr. Carl Axel, in which he has gathered from fone lan ghages, the latin, English, Ifebew and Russian, their ex. pressions for this sweet emotion, and subjected them to a carefal amalysis. The pernsal of his article has fer me th make semse similar examinations of Dmerican lampape : but with this difference in methos, that white brif. Nod takes the laguages named in the fallaces of their elevelnp ment and dees not vecupe himself with the geme.is of the terms of affection, I shatl si:ce mone particular attemtion th their history and derivat:on ab farnishing illastration of the origin and growth of those altruistic semtiments which are revealed in their stronsest expresion in the emotion of friendship and bove.

[^188]Ifon these sentiments are baed those acts which unite man to man in amicable fellew-hip and matual interehange of kimbly offices, thas crations a mobler social compact than


 mon which the family in the trate sisnificance of the term -homblest. These are they which, directed toward the rulet of the state, find expronion in feromal logatte and patintic devotion. Suppasing all in feron and puterne thene sentiments, when exhibited in bove between the sexes, divert the greater part of the activity of each imbividual life mond the forms of the sucial relitions, and comton the perpetation of the specis. Fianally, in their lat amd highen manifestatims, these sentiments ate thone whirh have shegested to the purces and clearest intellecto both the mon exalted intelleetalal condition of man, amd the most -ublime defintion of divinity.: 'Tluene are gomel reatoms, thetefore, whe we should sean with mese than minal dosefest the terms for the conseption of love in the languase of mations.
Amother purpose which I shall have in view will be w illunate by these words the womlerfol paralleling whing everwhere presents itself in the operations of the haman mind, and to show how it is governed be the same : anctations of ideas both in the new and the old worlds.


 the lant hook of his I:thica.

As a preparation for the latter object, let us take of ome at the derivation of the principal worla expresing inse in the Aryan languages. 'lowe moit prominent of then mo le traced back to one of two ruling ideas, the one intimatine similarity or likeness between the perisons lowing, the wher a wish or desire. The former eonvers the notion that the feeling is mutnal, the latter that it is stronger on one sime than on the other.

These diverse origins are well illnstrated by the firench aimer and the English lowe. Simer, from the Latin annm brings us to the Greck mur, ofur, both of which spring from the Sanserit som: from which in turn the Germans get tiseif worls sammt, along with, and ansammon, together; whike we obtain from this root almost without change om work similar and same. Iitymologically, therefore, thore who love are alike; they are the same in such rejpeets that they are attracted to one anotler, on the proverbial principle that "birds of a feather flock together."

Now turning to the word lowe, German liche, Rmaian Inboi', lubity, we find that it leads us quite a different road. It is traced back without any material change to the sumerit lobha, covetonsness, the ancient Coptic $\% \dot{\beta}$, to want, to desire. In this origin we see the passion portraged as a yearning to possess the loved object; and in the higher sense to enjoy the presence and sympathe of the belosed, to hold sweet commmion with him or her.

A clasi of ideas closely akin to this are convered in and worls as "attached to," "attraction," "affection," and the like, which make use of the figure of speed that the lower is fastenced to, drawn toward, or bound up with the belowed
nobut. We often expren this metaphor in full in such: phrates as "the bonds of frientship." ete.
This third elass of worts, althugh in the histore of hangrage they are frequently of later growth than the two former, probably exprese the sentiment which maderlie both these, and that is a dim, memacions semse of the mity which is revealed to man most perfectly in the purest and highe-t love, whieh at its sublimest height does atway with the antagonism of indepentent personality, and blends the / and the thou in a oneness of existence.

Althongh in this, its completest expression, we must seek examples solely between persons of opposite sex, it will he well to consider in an examination like the preent the love between men, which is called friendship, that between parcuts and children, and that toward the gods, the givers of all good things. The words conveying such sentiments will illustrate many features of the religions and social life of the nations using them.

## I. 'The: Adgonkin.

I begin with this group of dialects, once widely spread thonghont the St. Iavrence valley and the regrons adjoining: and among them I select espesially the Cree and the Chipeway, partly because we know more about them, and partly because they probably represent the common tongue in its oldest and purest type. 'They are elosely allied, the same roots appearing in both with slight phonetic variations.
In both of them the ordinary words for love and friendiship are clerived from the same monosyllabie root, sats. On this, according to the inflectional laws of the dialects, are built up
the terme for the lose of man woman, a lover. I " the
 simally wed be the miscomatice for the lowe of man limi and of (iocl to mata.":

In the Chiperay this root hats but one form, serst . In in Cree it has two, a weak and a strong form, wetiz ame whe The meaning of the latter is more particularly (1) laten the to attach to. brom it are derived the worlas for stans on
 the coarsest words to expers the sexual relations limen these roots are traced back to the primary clement of the Agonkin language expressed he the lettersi whe of o This consers the gencric notion of force or pewer exoted be one orer another, 具 and is apparently precisely idention with the fundamental meaning of the I atin a!ficio. "tw dfiet
 I becel hardly add, were derived affethes and affectio amp and "affection:" thas we at once mect with an aboolute pramlelis!n in the working of the Aryan Italie and the . Incricin: Algomkin mind.

The Cree has several words which are confined to patemble and filal love and that which the gods have formen. Tlien

[^189]



 rectived.

 pumblel with the root ait or ailh. which means "in conn
 ( Latin, coll) in the linglinh worls companion, commate, connpeer, conferlerate, etce: it consers the ikea of anmociation in life and action, and that asonciation a voluntary and fleano aresiving ome.

In the Chipeway there in a serico of expersions for family
 the sume prechological procen which developed the latin atmene from the Samserit sem see abover 'lowe may be illuntrated be the melodions term, which in that diateet

 hk him, whel is hath up from the raticle in. 'Thin partiche demotes a certain promiling way or mamer, and apmears luth in Crece and Chigeway in a vaticte of worlat the
 the chinitren.

+Chifeway: intatema, 1 am hinntative, or, his frient.

 tanguage. (i) atmmat of thi ('ice lankuaste. 1. 135.
principhe of sminarity is thas folly expressed an the uf
 member the linglish, " I like him," i, t., there is man " in himlifa me.

The fedher sentiment of merely liking a peraon or ti: is expered in the Chipeway be a derivative fom the tive mime, good, well, and signifies that he or it eceme and to me.*

The highest form of hove, however, that which e:n? 1 ase all men and all beings, that whase conception is conser in in
 derivation foom a root different from any I have mentinned. It is in its dialectic foms kis, 保he, or kiji, and in its misin it is an intensive interjectionat expression of pleasume, intica tive of what gives joy ${ }^{\prime}$ Comeretely it signifies what in man pleted, permanent, powerful, perfected, perfect. As frimm ship and love yield the most exalted pleasure, from thin font the natives drew a fund of worts to expreses fombencos, attachment, hospitality, charity; and from the same worthe source they selected that adjective which they applied b the greatest an: most benevolent divinity. 星

[^190]









 chater, between men and between the sexcos, ame by haman lemse towial inamimate things.

 We know that the root is : We Whate fom this sme row several other words of curionsly divere meanims. 'Flos, ian th beerl, to draw blocel, ciller fin health, on, an wan the custom of those nations, as a sacrifice before ishom; $i=0$ lini, 10 grow ohd, to wear ont, appliel to gaments: llate to offer fir sale at a high price: and arow, to string tosether, an the matives did flowers, peppers, beads, cte. Now, what iskat arred as the common starting point of all these expersions? The answer is that we find it in the worl or as applied to a Ahrp-pointed instrument, a thom, or a bone or stome awt, Hecl in the carliest times for puncturing or transfixing ols. jects. From this came anoo, to transfix with such an instrnment, and string on a cond: $i=0 / i m i$, be foll of boles, as if repentedly punctured, and thus wonn ont: and $i=0$, to beed, 27
becanse that was done by puncturing the flesh with os thorns of the maguey or sharp obsidian points.*

But how (h) we bring these into comection with the -an timent of hove and its verbal expression? We might int en seck an illustration of the transfer from classical mythown and adduce the keen-pointed arrows of Cupid. the dart- if love, as pointing out the comection. But I fear this wnot be erediting the ancient Nahnas with finer feclings than they deserve. I gravely doubt that they felt the shaf of the tender passion with any such susceptibility as $\mathfrak{t o}$ emphy this metaphor. Much more likely is it that thaitha, where is derived directly from the noun thanth, which necans - - mane thing strung with or fastened to another. This bring- in directly back to the semse of "attached $\mathrm{ta}^{\circ}$ " in Finglish, and to that of the root saki in Algonkin, the itlea of being bomm to another be ties of emotion and affection.

But there is onc feature in this derivation which tedl- serionsly against the national psyehology of the Nahnas: thin, their only word for lose, is uot derived, as is the Algonkin, from the primary meaning of the root, but from a secombary and later signifieation. This hints ominously at the prohability that the ancient tongue had for a long time no word at all to express this, the highest and noblest emotion of the

[^191]hman heart，and that consequently this emotion itself had mut risen to consciousiness in the mational mind．

But the omissions of the fathers were more than atoned fir be the efforts of their chilhen．I know mo mone instrme－ tive instance in the history of language to illlatrate how ofisinal defects are amended in periods of higher culture be the lingustie faculty，than this precise point in the gencsis of the Nahuatl tongue．The Nahuas，when they ap－ proached the upper levels of emotional development．Fonnd their tongue singularly por in radicals comering such con－ ceptions．As the literal and material portions of their sfecch offered them such inadeguate means of expresion， they turned toward its tropical and formal portions，and in those realmi reached a degree of development in this diree－ tion which far surpasses that in any other lampage known to me．

In the formal portion of the langnage they were not antis－ fied with one，but adopted a variety of devices th this cend． Thus：all verbs expressing emotion may have an intensive termination suffixed，imparting to them additional force： asain，certain prefixes indicating civility，repect and affec－ tion may be employed in the imperative and optative moods；again，a higher synthetic construction may be em－ ployed in the sentence，by wheh the idea expresed is em－ phasized，a device in constant use in their peretry ：and erpecially the strengil of emotion is indicated by sumixing a series of terminations expressing contempt，reverence or love．The latter are womerfully characterintic of Nahuat specels．They are not confined to verbs and nouns，but may he added to adjectives，pronoms，participles，and event to
adverts and postpositions. Thus every word in $t_{1}$ tence is mace to carry its burden of affection to the the belosed object!

Add to these facilitice the remarkable power of: Nahuatl to : mpart tropical and figurative sences to wome the emphoyment of rhetorical resources, and to presemt the.. as one idea ber means of the pecularities of its constructions. and we shall not consider as orerdrawn the expreston of Profesior Ibe la Rosa wher he writes: "There ean be mo question but that in the manifestation in words of the varions cmotions, the Nahuatl funds no rival, not mily among the languages of modern latope, but in the Citeck itself. ${ }^{\text {at }}$

The Nahuatl word for friendship is ichinhtli. 'Thi- is a componnd of the preposition $i c$, with ; the noun-ending the: and the adverbial 1 ruh, or nor'uh, which means "of the -ame kind." The word, therefore, has the same fumdamental conception as the Latin amious and the Cree inatocma, but it was not developed into a verbal to express the suffering of the passion itself. $\dagger$

## III. 'THE M.nvi.

The whole penimsula of lucatan was inlabited by the Mayas, and tribes speaking related dialecte of their thgue lived in Guatemala, Chipapas, and on the Gulf shome

[^192]: nth of Vera Cruz. All these depended chiefly on agrient twe for subsistence, were buikers of stome houses, and made the of a system of written reoords. Their tongute, therefore. beerves special consideration as that of a mation with strong matural tendencies to development.

In turning to the word for fore in the Maya vocabntary, we are at once struck with the preance if a commected series of words expresing this emotion. While at the same time they, or others closely akin to them and from the same root. mean min, injury, difficulty, suffering, wounds and minery. both are formed be the ustal ruke from the monotillathe m, \%

Were the ancient Mayas so semsitive to lowe's wounts and the pangs of passion as to derive their reve words for -nffering from the name of this sentiment?

No: that solution is too malikely for our aceptance. More probable is it that we bave here an illustration of the devel opment of language from interjectional eries. In fact, we may be said to have the proof of it, for we diseorer that this momosyllable $\mathrm{l}^{\prime}$ is still retained in the langmage as a reet. with the signifieation "to feet anything deply, whether an a pain or as a pleasure." Its derimatives were developed

> *'Th1s:

whei to love.
fatamah, tolove.
whi, panfully, baborion-ly
1akl, to taste; to have relations with a woman.

+"las: sentir mathormatera.
vamah: sin sentit [the mat is the negative?.

with both meanings, and as love and friemdship an : ho highest forms of pleasure, the word yo in its happier atas became confined to them.

It seems to have sufficed to express the conception in and its forms, for the writers in the language apply it to the fose of the sexes, to that between parents and chidern, that among friends, also to that which men feel toward God, and that which He is asserted to feel toward men.*

The Mayas, therefore, were superior to the Nahuas in possessing a radical word which expressed the joy of lowe; and they must be placed above even the early Aryans in that this radical was in significance purely pesehical, referring strictly to a mental state, and neither to similarity nor desire.

It is moteworthy that this interjectional root, althongh belonging to the substructure of the language, does not appear with the meaning of love in the dialects of the Maya stock. In them the words for this sentiment are derived from other roots.

Thus among the Hunstecas, residing on the Gulf of Mexico, north of Vera Cruz, the word for love is cancal. It is employed for both lmman and divine love, and also means anything precious and to be earefully guarded as of advant-

* Thus:
rahtitubat cah tumen Dios, we are loved by God.
a yacanah Jios toon, the love of (iod to us.
ractuthil lios, the love with which God is toved.
methenbil. wacunh, fithal love.
bakil, rucumah, carnal love.
All from the Itcionatio de Wotul (3ti.).
ase to the possessor:* There is mo diffienty in following its W- $\begin{gathered}\text { eppment when we turn to the Maya, which preserves }\end{gathered}$ the most mmerons anciont forms and meanings of any dialect of this stock. In it we diseover that the verly com means "to affect another in some wig, to give another either by physical contact or example a virtue, vice, disease or attribute, ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ Here again we conte upon the precise cortchative of the Latin afficio, from which proceeds onr "affection," cte.

The Goatemalan tribes, the principal of which were and are the Quiches and Cakehiguels, did wot accept either $y^{\prime}$ a or cen as the root from which to build their expressions for the sentiment of love. In both these dialects the word for to love is legoh. It also means "to hay," and this has ked a recent writer to hold up to ridicule the spanish misionaries who chose this word to express both hman and divine bove. Dr. Stoll, the writer referred to, intimates that it had no other meaning than "to buy" in the pure orisinal tongue, and that the only word for the passion is ah, to want, to desire. ${ }^{*}$ In this he does not display his nsual accuracy, for we find logoll nsed in the sense "to like," "to love," in the Amals of the cakihiquels, written by a mative who had grown to manhood before the spaniards first entered his country.s

Thus: Hell cathel isalle, my hehoved wife. ma a cancala a Dios, Gost theal love Cica?

$\dagger$ A number of examples are given in the Jivionalin de Motul Ma)
*"ber bosse begriff actjenigen liche, welche das latebinche Zeitwort amate




That the verh lesold means, both in origin and hat:
 ront legh is identical with the Maya loh. which hae the meanings " to exchange, to buy, to redeem, to emancit It was the word selected by the fratheiscan missionatio - on express the redemption of the world be Christ, and was applied to the redenption of eaptives and shaves. It minht be shgesested that it beats a reference to " marriage he far
 construed as developments of the same idea of frisige hishli. When we say that a person is appociated, we re ills. say that he has had a proper price put upon him. 'the
 costly in price as well as belowed: and the tender longli-h "dear" means fatite as often that the ohject is expernsite on bus, as that we dote very much nomit. Nor necelwe go ontside of American langunges for illnstrations: ; Nableat the eriti means to offer for sale at a high price: and iat llato
 something precious in a pecmatary sense as well as and ant of the affections. Other instances will present thematien When we come to examine some of the south American

[^193]"whiltw miat, the price of their langhers.
This word maty be a derivative from the Maya lat. above mentioned.

 th: : there is nothang contradictory in the donble meaning of the verh legoh.

## 

The ancient Pernvians who spoke the (Qunchan langrage hat orsanized a system of gowernment and a complex secial
 ofecimens of their arts which have heon preserted testify stromgly to the licentionsacso of the manners, standinge in this respect in marked contrast to the doteces, whese art was phre. It must be regarded as distinctly in commetion with this that we find a similar comtrast in their languases. We. have secen that in the Nahnath there appears to have been no worl with a primary signification "to lowe" or ans such conception. The Ognichata, on the contrary, is probahty the riehest langatge on the continemt, not only in separate worls demoting affection, but in moxlifications of these by imparting to them delicate shates of meanme thongh the addition of particles. As an exidence of the latter, it is dnongh to cite the fact that Dr. Anchomena, in his grammar of the tongue, sets forth nearly six hundred combinations of the word mather, to lose! :
The gquichas is fortmate in other respects: it has some literature of its own, and its structure has been carcfulls. stadied beg competent scholars: it is pessible, therefore, to examine its locutions in a more satisfactory manner than is the case with most American langages. Its mont celebated literary momment is the drama of ollante, suppocil

[^194]to hase been composed abont the tame of the conglue - it has been repeatedly edited and tramslated, most ace mathe by lacheco Zegarra: Ilis text may be considered in the standard of the pare ancient tongre.

Of Qquichata words for the affections, that in wiflet we is the one above fuoted, monary. It is as minersal in its application ats its fonglish equivalent, being appled to filial and parental lowe as well as to that of the sexes, to afferem between persons of the same sex, and to the love of lime No other word of the elass has such a wide significanee. It ranges from an expression of the warmest emotion down to that faint amouncement of a preference which is conserged in the Einglish, " I should prefer.' 'i

On looking for its earlier and concrete sense, we find that mmenele expressed merely a sense of want, an appetite and the accompanying desire of satisfying it, hence the will, or the the wish, not subjectively, but in the objective manifentation. . . Therefore it is in origin nearly equivalent whe earliest meaning of "iove," as seen in the Sanserit and the Coptic.


$\dagger$ 'rhus, from the (Manta:

muhtruscialloy, my well beloved! \{the thea to his danghter, line 341. mumal'man, 1 should prefer (line 1606 ).
Holgnin, in his Iotahulatio de la heng uat (quichua, gives:
Dtos muther, the love of cod.

$\ddagger$ +10lguin (t. s.) gives the defintions:
munama, la volnntad que es potentia.
munus, volumtad, el querer, el gnslo, appetito $\begin{gathered}\text { atmor que es acto. }\end{gathered}$
nypu-t it accollthely cered is the
willent the crasl in its liced to filial to alfortion se of liml. ficance It on down to is converel ie find that tite and the will, or the e manifestaalent to the rit and the

While maney is thas to lowe on reasomable gromms amb with definite purpose, blind, unteanoming, absorbing promon iscopressed by homylluni, fhis is mearly alwas comfmed to - sual lose, and eonsers the idea of the sentiment show ing itself in action by those sweet signs and marks of devotion which are so highly prized by the loving heart. The orisin of this word indicates its semtient and spontancons dharacter. Its radical is the interjcetion huen, which ammer that people is an inarticulate ery of temderness and affection.*

The verb lluylluy means literally to be tender or soft, as fruit, or the yomng of anmals; and applied to the sentiments, to love with tenderness, to have as a darling, focareso lovimgly. It has less of sexuality in it than the word last mentionced, and is applied by girls to cach other, and as a term of family fondness. It is on a paralle with the linglish "dear," " to hold dear," ete. $\dagger$

In the later compositions in Qquichat the favorite word for love is conyal. Origimally this expression meant to pity, and in this sense it oceurs in the drama of Ollanta; but also wen there as a term signifying the passion of love apart from any idea of compasion. ${ }^{\text {* }}$ In the hater song.s, those

[^195]whene composition may be placel in this century. ferred to mone? as the most appropriate term fine the bex between the sexes.": From it alson is derived the win! fin elarity and benevolence.

As mune! is considered to refer to matural affeetion ith within the mind, merlmely is that ostentations acmiment which displays itself in words of temerness and actom on dearment, but leases it an open question whether these are anything more than simulated signs of emotion.;

This list is not exhanstive of the tender words in the Qquichaa: but it will serve to show that the tompue wa rich in them, and that the ancient Pernvians recosniest many degrees and forms of this moving semtiment.

What is also noteworthy is the presence in this langure of the most phitosophical term for friendship in its wident sense that can be guoted from any American languge. It is rumatcolver', componnded of cruprani, mentioned above, and rum, man-the love of mankind. This compomat, how. ever, does not oecur in the Ollanta drama, and it may have been mandactured by the missionaries. The hisual term is macil', which means merely "associate," or kochomatily a table-companion or con:

## V. Thie Trp-Gedravi.

The linguistic stock which has the widest extension in South America is that which is represented in southem Brazit by the Guarani, and in Central and Northern by the Tupi or Lingoa Geral. The latter is spoken alomg the Amia-

[^196]zen and its tribmaries for a distance of twenty-fise hamiter mik . It is ly mo mean identical with the (ilatani, but the near relationshif of the two in momistakable 'lhe (innomi presents the simpler and more primitive orms, and mall be lede to present the more archate type.

The word for lose in the Emanai is ailut, in another form haikin, the intial /heing drepped in composition. 'This ex. presion is employed for all the varictien of the econtiment, between men, between the mexes, and for that which is re-
 than one which means a visitor or guest and from this their cxpmesion for "fremdship" is derived, which really means "hopitality." $\dagger$
Vorbal combinations in Cuarmi are ustany simple, and I do not thank we can be far wrong in looking unon "ihu as a mion of the two primary words ai and hu. Tlue former, ai, means self or the same: and the latter, /lue, is the vert to find or, to be present. . " Po bove," in Gamani, therefore, wonld mean, "to find oncectf in another," or, less metaphesically, "to discover in another a likenes to one's self." This agan is precisely the primary sinnification of the Latin amare: and if the sentment impresied in that way the bar-

[^197]harous ancient Aryats, there is morason why it wonk mot have struck the Guaranis in the same mamer.
 dently but a dialectic variation of that in Gatami, it is given by some anthors as saigh, planly a form of hathe, .um? by others as satro.* These forms camot be analyorel in the 'Tupi itself, whieh illustrates its more modern type.

There are other diakets of this widespread stem, bont it wonld not be worth while to follow this expression further in its diverse forms. It is interesting, howerer, to note that which appears in the Arawack, spoken in Cuiana. In that tonghe to love is kentisin, in which the radical is chior ansi. Now we fand that ani means " of a kind," peentiar to, be longing to, ete. Once more it is the notion of similatity, of "birds of a feather," which moder" ithe expression for the conception of love. $\dagger$

## Concirsmons.

If, now, we review the gromed we have gone over, and chassify the conception of love as revealed in the langutan muder diseussion, we find that their original modes of expression were as follows:

[^198] ly̌ul in the

```
x.
```

```
x.
```

stem, hat it sion further to note that 1a. In that (thi ior nllsi. liar to, be imilarity, of siou for the

10 over, and e lang natge modes of ex-
da Limena firva valceanti in Thn $^{2}$ sansuth wan cat in him chos.t...
 in 心2, in Thulue of the Moravian the romertu $1 / 1 / \cdots$ Tramstated and

1 Inarticulate crice of emotion (Cree, Maya, Oynichata).
 Tuli, Arawack)
$\therefore$ Ssertions of combuntion or main (Crere Nalluatl. Maya).

- Assertions of a wish, deme or longing (Cree, Cak chiquel, (9quichua, Tupi).

These eategories are not exhanstive of the words which 1 have brouglat forwarl, bint they include most of them, and probably were this insestigation extembed to embrace numerous other tomgues, we shond fand that in them all the principal expressions for the sentiment of lase are drawn from one or other of these fandancotal motions. $I$ mos int structive fact is that these notions are thene which moderlie the majority of the worls for bose in the great Aryan family of hanguages. They thas reseal the patallel pathis whith the haman mind exerghere pursucd in giving artionlate expression to the pasions and emotions of the somb. In thin sense there is a oneness in all langutges, whieh speaks conchnsively for the onences in the sentient and intellectual attributes of the species.

We may also investigate these categories, than shown to be pactically maversal, from another puint of view. NE may inguire which of them comes the nearest to the correct expression of love in its highest philosophie meming. Was this meaning appelanded, howerer dimly, ber min the very infancy of his specelt-insenting facult? ?

In another work, published some years age, I have attempted a philosophic analysis of the sentiment of bove Quoting from some of the subtlen discetors of haman
motion, I have shown that they prome love to be volition of the end," or "the resting in an oljeet as anc ctn These rather ohseare selolastic formulas I have attemptest on explain be the defnition: "Lowe is the mental imporation of rational action whese end is in itself." As every und om purpone of action implice the will or wish to that chat, thane expressions for love are most truly philosophic which ex pressthe will, the desire, the yearning after the object The fourth, therefore, of the above categories is that whith preecuts the highest forms of expression of this cone ptime That it also expresses lower forms is true, but this merely illustrates the evolution of the human mind as expressed in language. Iove is ever the wish; but while in lower race and coarser uature: this, wish is for an object which in turn is but a means to an end, for example, sensual gratifieation. in the higher this object is the end itself, beyond which the soul does not seck to go, in which it rests, and with which both reason and emotion find the satisfaction of bomudteme actisity withont inemring the danger of satiety:

[^199]POSITIVI: progress in constructive art can be acomately estimated by the kind and perfection of the instruments of precision employed by the artist. A correct theory of arehitecture or of senlpture must have as its foumdation a correet system of weights and measures and recognized mits and standards of gravity and extension. Where these are not found, all is guessework, and a more or less haphazard rule-of-thumb.

In a study of the art-products of Mexico and Contral Ameriea, it has ocenred to me that we may with allantage cail linguistics to our aid, and attempt to ascertain, he an analysis of the words for weights and meanemes, what mints, if any, were employed be those who constracted the massive works in that region, which still remanin for onr astomishment. The tongues I shall examine are the Mayat of Vac tan, its related dialeet the Cabehiquel of Comatemala, and the Nahmatl or Aztee of Mexico. The most striking mommantis of art in North America are fonmel in the territories where these where spoken at the time of the Conquest. The Cak chiguel may be eonsidered to inclute the guiche and the

[^200]Tyutuhil, both of which are clonely associated to it ats dialects of the same mother tongue.

## THE M.JV゙スS.

The generic word in Maya for both measuring and weighing, and for measires and weights, is at present ppiz, the radical sense of which is "to put in order," "to arrange definite limits." Its apparent simbarity to the Spanish pesar, lirench peser, ete., seems accidental, as it is in Maya the root of varous words meaning battle, to fight, ete., from the "order of lattle," observed on such oceasions. Any reight or measure is spoken of as ppizib. to measure land is ppie-hum, a foot measinre ppie-oc eto But I am quite certain that the onginal soope of the word disl not include weight, as there is no evidence that the ancient Mayas knew anything about a system of estimating quantity by gravity. If the word is not from the Spmish peserr; it has extended its meaning since the conquest.
The Alaya measures are derived dicetly, and almost exclusively, from the human body, and largely from the hand and foot.
(), the foot: checke, the footstep, the print or length of the foot, is a measure of length. Other forms of the same are cheke, chickel, chetict-oc, ete.: and this abundance of symonems would seem to show that the measine of a fort wath veres familiar and frepuent. The vert, is chectioe (tath, ti), as in the phrase:
(Wekocter otoch Kiu.
He masured by fect His house cod.
i. c. He measured by feet the chareh. lirom this was distinguished-

S'ukab, paces or strides, a word confined to the paces of man. The verl) is J'ukab (tah, ti), to step off, to measure hy paces.

Quite a series of measures were recognized from the ground (or, as some say, from the point of the foot, to the upper portions of the body.
/hun cal coy u-tut (one to the neck of the ankle its-cund extending from the grouind to the marowest portion of the ankle.

Man ppuloc u-rul (one calf-of-the-leg its-end), from the ground to the highest portion of the calf of the leg. The word .tel means end or limit, and is used often anserbially, as in the phrase ucy $u-t u /$, literally "here its encl," or "thus far" (Span. hasta aqui).

Hun piati, the distance from the ground or point of the toes) to the knee-cap, From piia, the knce. Also called hum hol piat, from hol, head, the knee cap being ealled " the knee-head."

Ifun harkabex, one girdle, from the gromud to the belt or girdle, to which the skirt was fashioned (from hach, to tic, to fasten). The same measure was ealled hun theth, the word theth being applied to the knot of the girdle.

Ihun tamam, from the gromid to the border of the true ribs; from tanam, the liver. 'The Iticciomario de Jotul sives the example, hun tanam in uat, one tanam (is) my conn, i. $i$. my corn reaches to my chest. It adds that the meature is from the point of the foot to the chest.

Mun teem, a measure from the gromed to a line drawn from one mamma to the other.
/ /un cal 12 -inl, one neek its-end, from the gromed to the border (upper or lower) of the neek.
//un chi, from the mouth, chi, to the gromind.
/Hm holom, one head, from the top of the head to the gromud. This is also called hum uallah, one time the stature o: lieight of a man, from a root meaning "to draw to a point," " to finish off." The Spanish writers say that one mallah was equal to abont three caras, and was used as a sfliare measure in meting corn field.s.* The Spanish arara differed an much as the linglish ell, and to the writer in question conld not lave represented quite two feet. Elsewhere he defmes the arar: as half a braza or fathom. (See below, betan.)

The hand in Maya is expressed by the word kab, whieh also means the arm, and is more correctly therefore translated by the anatomical term "upper extrenity." This is not an uncommon example in Ameriean tongues. When it is necessary to define the hand specifically the Mayas say " chat kab, "the branch of the arm," and for the fingers' " wi Aab, "the points (literally, noses) of the arm" or upper extremity.

The shortest measurements known to them appear to have been finger-breadths, which are expressed by the phrase " niikab. The thamb was called $n$ mīkb, literally "the mother of the hand" or arm, and as a measure of length the distance from the first joint to the end of the nail was in use and designated hy the same term.

With the hand open and the fingers extended, there were three different measures or spans recognized by the Mayas.

[^201]1. 'The mub, from the tip of the thmmb to the tip of the middle finger.
2. The sconab, or little mabl, from the tip of the thmmb to the tip of the index finger. This is the span yet most in use by the native inhabitants of Vneatan (I)r. Berempt).
3. The chi math, or the math whieh extends to the edge, from the tip of the thmmb to the tip of the little finger (Pio Perez).

The kole was a hand meastre formed by closing the fingers and extending the thmmb. Measuring from the onter border of the hand to the end of the thmmb, it would be about seven inches.

The cuc or noch cuc (noch is a term applicd to a bomy prominence, in this instance to the olecranon) was the cnbit, and was meastred from the smmmit of the olecranon to the end of the fingers, abont eighteen inehes.

The most important of the longer measures wan the aup or zapal. It was the distance between the extremities of the extended arms, and is msnally put down at a fathom or six feet.

The half of it was ealled betan or patan, meaning " to the middle of the ehest." Canes and cords were ent of the fixed lengtl of the sap and bore the mane rapalike, sapsticks, as our_lard-stisk (che stick), and hilptio, measuring rods (hil, a species of cane, and ppic, to meastre, liue. llotul).

On this as a mint, the enstomary land measure was based. It was the kaan, one shorter, hun kaan áah o. sapalithe, a kaan of three sap, and one longer, han kaan tah ant sapalche, a kaan of four zup. The former is stated to be thirty-
six fathoms square, the latter fortye eight fathoms square Twenty Kodn made a aimic, man, that amount of land being considered the area requisite to support one family in maize.

The uncertainty about this measure is increased by the evident error of Bishop Landa, or more prohably his coprist, in making the aimic equal to foo square feet, whiel ceen in the most favored soils would never support a fanily. He probably said " +00 feet square," whiel in that climate would be suffieient. The kadn is said by Spanish writers to be equal to the Mexican mecate, which contains $518_{+}$square feet. I acknowledge, however, that I have not reconeiled all the statements reported by authors ahout these land measures.

Greater measures of length are rarely mentioned. Journeys were measured by lub, whieh the Spaniards translated "leagues," but by derivation it means "resting places," and I have not ascertaned that it liad a fixed length.

The Mayas were given to the drawing of maps, and the towns had the boundaries of their common lands laid ont in definite lines. I have manuscripts, some dated as early in $15+2$, which describe these town lands. In most of them only the courses are given, but not the distances. In one, a title to a domain in Acanceh, there are distances given, but in a measure quite manown to me, sicina. preceded by the numeral and its termination indicating measures, hulucppiz sicina, eleven sieinas.*

The maps inclicate relative position only, and were evidently not designed by a scale, or laid off in proportion to distance. The distinguished V'ueatecan antiquary, the Rev.

[^202]Don Cresceneio Carrillo, in his essay on the cartography of the ancient Mayas,* apparently came to the sante conchision, as he does not not mention any method of measurement.

I do not know of any measurements mulertaken in Vineatan to ascertain the metrical standard emphoved be the amcient arehitects. It is truc that In . Augustus Ierlomgeon asserts positively that they knew and need the metrie stistem, and that the metre and its divisions are the only dimensions that can be applied to the remams of the edificest But apart from the cecentricity of this statement. I do not see from Inr. Ie elongeon's own measurements that the metre is in any sense a common divisor for them.

From the linguistic evidence, I ineline to believe that the $\sigma_{\text {; }}$ the foot, was their ehief lineal mit. This mane was also applied to the seventh day of the series of twenty which made up the Maya month: and there may be some combection between these facts and the frequent reanrence of the number seven in the details of their edifiecs. ${ }^{\text {t }}$

## THI: C.AKCHIOC1:1.A.

The root-worl for measuring length is, in Cakchignel, it. Its primitive meaning is, a sign, a mark, a characteristic. From this root are derived the verbal stah, to meatare length, to lay out a plan, to define limits; ctol, a sign, matr,

[^203]limit: chetat, measuring fiedd; chama, to know, i. c., to recognion the signs and chameters of things: etamemianh, to canse to know, to teach, to instruet, ete.

My athorities do not farnish evidence thot the Cakehiquels used the foot as the mit of measurement. differing in this from the Mayas. They had, however, like the latter, a series of measmements from the ground to certain points of the boty, and they used a special terminal particle, bem (probably from be, to go', "up to" to indicate such measurements, as exthem, tu) th the girdle (ires, girdle, $i$, commetive. (n'm, 11р) to, or "it goces to").

These body measures, as far as I have fomm them named, are as follows:
quiqucbem, from the ground to the knee.
re-iench a, from the ground to the midelle of the thigh; litcrally" "its front, the thigh," ru, its, zeth, face, front, of the muscles of the thigh). )
irctibem, from the ground to the girdle, ater.
quedgaribem, from the gromud to the first true ribs.
Fullim, from the ground to the neek (kul).
The more exact Cakchiquel measures were derived from the upper extremity. The smallest was the finger breadth. and was spoken of as one, two, there, four fingers, han ca, cely ca, ot cot, all cal (ad = finger). This wats used in connection with the measure called taio; the same that I have deseribed as the Maya kok, obtained by elosing the hand and extending the thumb. 'They combined these in such expressions ats ar tuiuc raqiu han ca, two turizs with (plus) one finger breadth.*

[^204]The path of the Cobkehiguels was solely that ohtained bex extending the thmab and fingers and incluting the pace between the extremities of the thamb and madde linger. It was called guth, from the radieal gut, which means tw hew, to make manifest, and is henee akin in meaning to the root ct, mentioned above.

The cubit, chanter, was measured from the print of the ellow to the extremities of the fingers. We are expersty informed ly loather Coto that this was a chstomary buidinge measure. "When they buike their houses they nise this cubit to measure the length of the logs. They also measure ropes in the same manner, and say, Fïn chmmah reteric rigam, I lay ont in cubits the rope with which I ant to measure."

The different measures drawn from the arms were:
chamary, from the elbow to the end of the fingers of the same hatucl.
hathenth, from the efbow to the ends of the fingers of the opposite hand, the arms being ontstretehed.

When, from the point of the shombler of one side to the ends of the finsers of the ontatretehed arm on the other side.
tam telch, from the point of the shombler to the ends of the fangers on the same side. Fra;: means nose, print. beak, etc.
rellarly guri, from the middle of the breast to the end of the ontstreteled hand.
hath, from the tips of the figeres of one hand to those of the other, the arms outstretelied.

Another measure was from the point of the shombler to the wrist.

The hah, or fathom, was one of the mits of land measure, and the corn fieds and cacao plantations were surveged and lata out with ropes, gam, marked off in fathoms. The fiedes are deseribed as of five ropes, ten ropes, ete., but 1 have not fomm how many fathoms each rope containerl.

Another mit of hand measure in frequent use was the matoh. This was the circmmference of the haman figure A man stoocl crect, his feet together, and both arms extended. 'The end of a rope was placed muler his feet and its slack passed ofer one hand, then on top of his head, then wer the other hand, and finally brought to touch the begiming, This gives somewhat less than three times the height. 'This singular unit is described by both Varea and Coto as in common nise by the matives.

There were no acenrate measures of long distances. As anong the Masas, joumegs were connted by resting places, called in Cakehiguel urlanibal, literally "breathing places," from $u .1 / d$, the breath, itself, a derivative of the radical $u .1$, to exist, to be, to live, the breath being taken as the most evident sign of life.

There was originally no word in Cakehiquel meaning "to weigh," as in a halance, and therefore they adopted the Spanish peso, as tia pesoih, I weigh. Nor, althongh they constructed stone walls of considerable height, did the have any knowledge of the phomb line or plommet. The name they gave it even shows that they had no idea what its nse Was, as they called it "the piece of metal for fastening together," smpposing it to be an aid in cementing the stone work, rather than in adjusting its lines.*

[^205]The: A\%tics.
In turning to the Mexicans or A\%tecs, some interesting problems present themselves. As far as I can judge by the Nahnatl lagrage, measmes drawn from the upper extiomity were of secondary importance, and were not the base of their metrical standards, and, as I shall show, this is bome ont by a serices of proofs from other directions.

The fingers, mapilli, appear to have been enstomary measures. They are mentioned in the early writers as one equal to an inch. The mame mapilli, is a suthesis of maitl, hand, and pilli, child, offipring, addition, ete,
'The span was called miztell or miztitl, a word of ohvions derivation, meaning "between the finger mails," from iztetl. finger mail. 'This span, however, was not like ours, from the extremity of the thimb to the extremity of the little finger, nor vet like that of the Cakchiguels, from the extremity of the thumb to that of the middle finger, but like that now in use among the Mayas (see above), from the extremity of the thumb to that of the index finger.*

There were four measures from the point of the elbow ; one to the wrist of the same arm, a second to the wrist of the opposite arm, a third to the ends of the fingers of the same arm, and the fourth to the ends of the fingers of the opposite arm, the arms always considered as extended at right angles to the body. The temns for these are given somewhat confusedly in my anthorities, but I believe the following are correct.

1. From the elbow to the wrist of the same arm ; cimmat-
*"Cuanto se mide con el pulgar y el indice." Molina, I buxhoular io de bu lionuua Mexicama.
 matl，arm or hand，tootionet，satall，inferior，pateon，to matie small，to diminislo．

2．I＇rotit the elbow to the wrist of the opposite arma，cem－ mill，ant artow，a shath，fromer ce，and mill，arfow，this dis． tance being the apposed length of ant arrow．We may eompatre the old linglishexpression，a＂choth－iard whaft．＂

3．Fionn the cllow to the ends of the fingers of the same arn1，cemmolicpill，oncellow，er，onse，molipill，ellow，＇Ihis is the cubit．
f．lironn the ellome to the ender of the fingers of the oppor－ site armi．

The following were the arm meastires ：
Cimasolli，from the tip）of the shoulder to the end of the hand（er，one，magor，to extend the armi）．

Commall，from the tip of the fingers of onte hand to those of the other．Althongh this word is apparemtly athentice of ec，one，matl，arm，and means＂one arm，＂it is maformly rendered by the early writers and braだ居，a fathom．

Cindollotli，from the middle of the breast to the end of the fingers（ic，onte，dolloth，breast）．

It is known that the Aztecs had a standard mensure of length which they enplosed in lasing ont gronnds and con－ structing buildings．It was called the oclacall，but meithor tise derivation of this word，nor the exact length of the measure it represented，has been positively ascertamed．＇The first syllable，of，it will be noticed，is the same as the Maya worl for foot，and in Nahuatl rocopalli is＂the sole of the foot．＇＇＇lhis was used as a measure by the decinal systent， and there were in Nalnatl two separate and apparently a) make 111, cimlisis dio. VC may haft." |e s:alluc Mhis
 I of the to thase :utheris iformly 1 of the asitre of nd conneither of the d. The c. Maya e of the sistem, arently
original words to express a measure of ten font lemghts. One wats:
 analy\%ed as follows: mathe, from mathertli, tern, wpal, fom
 sign or mark, like the Cakehiquel itel). I, for le, sign of the passive, oni, a verhal temmation "equivalent to the latin
 able by ten foot-lengthe.
'lhe second worl was mathervitllatamarhiunhoui.
The composition of this is similar to the former, except that in the place of the perhaps foreign root tore foot, $\begin{aligned} & \text { with }\end{aligned}$ foot, is used, which secelts to have been the proper Nablata term.

As these words prove that the foot-length was onse of the standards of the Aatees, it remains to be seen whether they
 teresting passage by the native historian, Femando de Nata Ixtlilxochitl in his //istaria (hichimen, published in Iomd Kingsborough's great work on Mexico (Vol, ix., p. 2fz). Ixtlifxochitl is deseribing the vast commmal duceling buitt by the Pe\%encan chieftan Ne\%ahnaleosotl, capable of aceommodating over two thousand persons. He writes: "phese honses were in length from east to west four handred and eleven and a half [native] measmes, which reduced to our [Spanish] measures make twelve hundred and thite-four and a half yards (zeras), and in hreadth, from worth to suthth three hundred and twenty six measures, which are nine humdred and seventy-eight yards."

[^206]＇Ilhis passage has been analyzed by the learned antiquary， Senor Orozeo y Berra．＊The native measure referred to by Istlilxochith was that of Fe\％enco，which was identical with that of Mexieo．The yard was the earad dereses，which had been ordered to be adopted throughont the colony by an ordinance of the viceroy Antonio de Mendoza．＇This， rara was in length o． $83 \begin{gathered}\text { mette and，as according to the }\end{gathered}$ chronicher，the mative measmement was just three times this
 been $2.51+$ metre．This is equal in our measure to $9.8+2$ feet，or，say，nine feet ten inches．

This would make the atacatl identical with those long－ named ten－foot measures，which，as I have shown，were multiples of the length of the foot，as is proved by analy－ sis of their component words．
＇This result is as interesting as it is new，since it demon－ strates that the metrical anit of ancient Mexieo was the same as that of ancient Rone－the length of the foot－print．

Some testimony of another kind may be brought to illus－ trate this jwint．

In 186t，the Mexican government appointed a commission to survey the celebrated ruins of＇reotiluacan，ander the care of ！om Ramon Almaraz．At the suggestion of Señor Orozeo，this able engineer ran a momber of lines of eonstruc－ ton to determine what had been the metrical standard of the builders．His decision was that it was＂about＂met． o．s，or，suy， $3^{\prime \prime}$ ，meles．$t$ This is very close to an even

[^207]ticuary, y cal with s, which lony by 1. Thi x to the mes thin, ist have to $9.8+2$ se long11, were 11 analy-demonwas the ot-print. to illusminission uder the of señor onstrucndard of it'" met. an even i, $1 \mathrm{pp}, 55-\mathrm{F}$,
third of the oftecath, and would thas be a common divion of leng the laid off loy it.
I may lere turn aside from my immaliate topic wempare these metrical standaods with that of the Mommb Builders of the ohio valley.

In the Imicrican .Intiglurrian, April, Asst, Prof. IV. J. Meciec applied Mr. Petrie's arithmetical system of "imbluctive metrology" to a large mumber of meanurements of momeds and carthworks in lowa, with the result of aseertaining a common standard of 25.716 inches.

It: iss... Col. Charles Whittesey, of Clevelam, analyad cis; 1ateseren measimements of ohio canthworks by the method of eren divisors and coneluded that thirty inches wats about the length, or was one of the multiples, of their metrical stamdarl.:

Moreover, fifty-seven per cent. of all the lines were divisihe without remainder bey ten feet. Ifow mueld of this may have been owing th the tendeney of harried meatimets th arerage on fives and tems. I cannot say; but leaving this ont of the gucstion, there is a pobability that a ten fiot-length rule was used by the "momat-builders" to lay ont their works.

It may not be out of phace to add a suggestion here as to the applicability of the methosls of inductive metrongey to American monaments. The propertions given athere bey Ixtlikochitt, it will be moted, are strikingly irregnlar

[^208](411,2, 326). Was this accident or design? Very likely the latter, based upon some superstitions or astrological motive. It in far from a solitary example. It recurs everywhere in the remarkable ruins of Mitha. "Careful attention," suys Mr. Lonis 11. Aymé, "has been paid to make the whole asymmetrical. $:: \quad: \quad:$ This asymmetry of Mitla is not accidental, I am certain, but made designedly. M. Desire Charmay tells me he has observed the same thing at Palenque." These examples should be a warning against phacing implicit reliance on the mathematical procedures for obtaining the lineal standards of these forgotten mations:*

Whatever the lineal standard of the Aztees may have been, we have ample evidence that it was widely recosnized, rery exact, and officially defned and protected. In the great market of Dexico, to which thousands flocked from the neighboring comentry (seventy thonsand in a day, siys Cortes, but we can ent this down one-half in allowance for the exaggeration of an enthnsiast), there were regularly appointed government officers to examine the measures used by the merchants and compare them with the correct standard. Did they fall short, the measures were broken and the merehant severely pmished as an enemy to the public weal. $\dagger$

The road-measures of the $A$ \%teces was be the stops of the earriers, as we have seen was also the ease in Guatemala, In Nibluatl these were ealled mectulli, resting places, or

[^209]likely ological ; evers attenmake etry of medly. thing against ures for H15: :
have gnized In the d from y, siys nce for rly ap stused stand mind the public of the emala. ces, or prit, ins i, $1, i 1$, iv. enemigo
nctlatolli, sitting places; and distances were reckoned mamerically by these, as one, two, three, etc., resting places. Althought this seems a vague and inacomate method, mage had attached comparatively definite ideas of di-tance to these terms. Father Duran tells us that along the highways there were posts or stones erected with marks 11 pon them showing how many of these stops there were to the next market-towns-a sort of mile-stones, in fact. As the competition between the varions markets was rery active, each set up) its own posts, giving its distance, and adding a cmese on all who did not attend, or were led away be the superion attractions of its rivals. *

So far as I have learned, the lineal measures above mentioned were those applied to estimate superficies. In some of the plansio fields, ete., handed down, the size is marked by the mative manterals on one side of the plan, which are understood to indicate the square measure of the ineluded tract. The word in Nahmatl meaning to survey or measure lands is Ilalpor, literally " to connt land," from thalli land, poa to count.

The Aztees were entirely ignorant of balances, seales or weiglats. Cortes says distinetly that when he risited the great marke of Mexico-Tenochtitlan, he saw all artieles sold by mamber and measure, and nothing by weight. $\dagger$

[^210]The historian Iferrera confirms this from other anthorities, and adds that when grass or hay was sold, it was estimated ly the length of a cord which conld be passed around the mandle.*

The plumb-line must have been unknown to the Mexicans also. They called it temetitepilolli, "the piece of lead which is hung from on hight," from temetzli, lead, and piloo, to fasten sontething higgh up. I, ead was not muknown to the Aztecs before the conguest. They collected it in the Provinces of Tlacheo and Itzmicurilpan, but did not esteem it of much value, and their first knowledge of it as a plummet must lhave been when they saw it in the hands of the Spaniards. Hence their knowledge of the instrument itsclf could not have been earlier.

The conclusions to which the above facts tend are as follows:
r. In the Maya system of lineal measurcs, foot, hand, and body measures were nearly efually prominent, but the foot unit was the enstomary standiard.
2. In the Cakchiguel system, hand and body measares were almost exclusively used, and of these, those of the hand prevailed.
3. In the Aztec system, body measurements were umimportant, hand and arm measures held a secondary position, white the foot measure was atopted as the official and obl' gatory standard botli in commerce and architecture.

[^211]rities, mated d the

Mexf lead piloa, wn to in the steem plam-
of the itself
as fol-
hand, it the asures of the unimsitiont, $1 \mathrm{ob})^{\circ}$.
4. The Aztee terms for their lineal standart being apparently of Maya origin, suggest that their standard was derived from that nation.
5. Neither of the three nations was acquainted with a system of estimation by weight, nor with the use of the plumb-line, nor with an accurate measure of long distances.

## THE CURIOUS HOAX OF THE TAENSA LANGUAGE.*

0NE: miglit think it a difficult task to manufacture a new language "from the whole cloth;" but, in fact, it is no great labor. We have but to remember that within the last dozen years more than a dozen "world-languages' have been framed and offered for acceptance, and we at once perceive that a moderate knowledge of tongues and some linguistic ingennity are all that is required.

It is an innocent amusement so long as no frandulent use is made of the manufactured product; but the temptation to play a practical joke, and to pahn off a deception on overcager linguists, is as great in languages as it is in arehæ-ology-and every antiquary knows how suspicionsly he has to scrutinize each new specimen.

A curions hoax, which deceived some of the best linguists of liurope and America, was perpetrated abont a decade ago by two young French seminarists, Jean Parisot and A. Dejouy. Interested by reading Châteaubriand, and by varions publications on Anerican langnages which appeared in France about that time, they made up a short grammar and a list of words of what they called the Tansa language, from a name they fonnd in Chateanbriand's Ioyage con Amerique, and into this invented tongue they translated the Lord's

Prayer, the Creed, an Algonkin hymn publiched in Paris, and other material.

At first, the two students pursued this occupation merely as an amusement, but it soon occurred to them that more could be made of it ; so M. Parisot sent a batel of the alleged "fragments" of the "「ansa" to the publishers, Maisonneuse et Cie, Paris, for publication. The mannscripts were passed over to M. Julien Vinson, editor of the Reizue de linguistique, who addressed the young anthor for further particulars. M. Parisot replied that these pieces were copies of originals obtaned many years before by his grandfather, from what source he knew not, and on the strength of this rague statement, they duly appeared in the Rézue.

Their publication attracted the attention of the eminent French linguist M. I,teien Adann who had long oecmpied himself with American tongues, and he entered into correspondence with M. Parisot. 'The latter's stock meanwhile had considerably inereased. He and his friend had published at Eipinal, apparently privately, a small pamph"ct, with an introductory note in bad Spanish, containing a numbber of "songs" in the "Taensa," as they now called their language. They clamed in the note that the songs liad been obtained by a traveler in America, in the year 1827 or 1828, "int the Taensa town, on the banks of the Mississippi or the Alabama " (! **

[^212]With this abundant material at hand, young Parisot replied cheerfully to M. Adam, and supplied that scientist with "copy" from the alleged ancestral MSS. quite enough to fill a goodly volume of grammar, songs, lexieon, and the various paraphernalia of a linguistic apparatus, all of which eager M. Arlam and his collahorator, Mr. A. S. Gatsehet, the expert linguist attached to our Burean of İthuology, receised in good faith and without a suspicion of the joker who victimized them; and what is more singular, withont having a donlot excited by the many and gross blunders of the young seminarist.

Their joint work reached the United States in 1883 , and for two years was received both here and in Europe as a genuine proluction. My attention was first attracted to it in 188.3 , and then I referred to it as a "strange" production; but I did not give it a close examination matil the close of 18s. This examination led me to prepare the following article, which was published in the Imerican . Antiquarian for March, 1885 :

THL: TAIFNSA GRAMMAK AND DICTIONARY.

## A Deceplion Exposed.

The student of American languages is mander many obligations to the editors and publishers of the Bibliothiquc L.in$g^{\prime \prime}$ istique Imericaine', nine volmmes of which have been is-

[^213]it reentist Ongh I the hich t, the ; rejoker thout ers of and as a to it tion: se of wing arian
obli-Linnn is-
bama, gos de
sund by the firm of Maisomente et Cie., Daris. Most of these contain valnable anthentic original material, from approved sources, and edited with judgnent. The exception to this rule is the volume last issued, whieh from its character deserves more than a passing eriticisin.

This volunce beats the following title: Crammaire et lio
 montis par ./. I). Hammonti, I'arisol, I. Adam. Ip. 19, 11t. It contains what professes to be a grammar of the Tacnsas Indians, who lived near the banks of the lower Mississipple, in the parish of that mane in Lenisiana, when it was first diseovercel, bint who have long since become extinct. Following the grammar are the "Fexts," a remarkable series of mative somgs in the alleged Taensen tongue, with a French translation, accompanied by a commentary and a vocabulary.
A.al this array has been received by seholars withont question. It looks so extremely scientifie and satisfactory that no one has dared assail its authenticity. Moreover, the book appears with an historical introduction by Mr. Albert S. Gatschet, of our Bureau of Ethnology, and one of the editors is M. Incien Adann, a gentleman who stands at the head of Emropean Americanists. Mr. Gatsehet, moreover, fully recognizes the authenticity of the whole in his latest work, and 11p to the present I know of no one who has doubted it, either in this country or in Iiurope.

It is, therefore, only after a great deal of consideration and hesitation that I now give publicity to the opinion I have long entertained, that a gross deeption has been somewhere practiced is the preparation of this book, and
that it is not at all what it phrports to be. Let it be uiderstood that I distinctly exenpate the gentlemen I have naned from any share in this: they can only be eharged with the venial error of allowing their enthasiasm for knowledge to get the better of their critical acumen.

I slatl proceed to give with as much hrevity as posisible the reatons which have led me to rejeet the pretended character of this work.

And first I may note that both the history of the alleged original mannseript and the method in which it has been presented are to the last degree mosatisfactory. Abont the former, M. Hanmonte tells as that among the papers of his grandfather, who died as mayor of Plomberes, in $8: 82$, he found a mannseript in Spanish, without date or name of author, and that it is this manoseript " translated and arranged," Which is the work before nis. M. Adan adds that for his part he had revised this translation and advised the omission of eertain passages not "profitable to seience." I have leen informed by a private sonre that M. Adam was not shown the original Spanish mannseript, athongh he asked to see it. We are deprived therefore of any expert opinio:1 as to the age of the mannseript, or its athorship.

We naturally ask, how did this mannseript come to be in Spanish? No one has been able to point out in the solnminous listories of the Spanish Missions a single reference to any anong the 'raensas. Moreover, this tribe was constantly under French observation from its first discovery by I a Salle in $168_{2}$, until its entire destruction and disappearance about $\mathrm{r} 73^{0}-40$, as is minutely recorded by Charlevoin, who even adds the name of the planter who obtanined the kiow-
concession of their lands. With the knowledge we have of the early Ionisiana colony, it wonh hate been next to imposibible for a Spanish monk to have lived with them lomg enough to have aeguired their langnage, and no mention to have been made of him in the lirench acconnts. 'lhat a Spaniard, not a monk, should have attempted it, wombl have excited still more attention from mational distrmst.

This preliminary gromod of skepticism is not remosed by turning to the grammar itself. As M. Alam remarks, the langmage is one "of extreme simplicity," such simplicity that it excites more than the feeling of astonishment. How much liberty M. Hammonte allowed himself in his transiation he mfortmately does not inform us; but I smpose that he scarcely went so far as to offer original opinions on the prommeiation of a language which no man has heard spoken for more than a century. If he did not, then the writer of the origimal mannseript must have been a prett. good linguist for his day, since he explanis the pronmetation of the Facnsa be the French, the Ionglish, the Cemman, and the spanish! ! (p. \&). I suppose the references on $p$. it, to the Nahnatl, Kechua and Algonkin tongues are by the translator, though we are not so told; at any rate, they are by some one who has given a certain amome of stndy to American langmages, and cond get up one wot wholly monlike them. There is, however, just enough malikeness to all others in the so-called Paensa to make us aceept it "with all reserves," as the French say. That an American langrage should have a distinctively grammatical gender, that it should have a true relative pronom, that its mumeral system should be based on the nine mints in the extraordi-
marily simple manner here proposed, that it should bave three forms of the plaral, that its rerbs should present the singular simplicity of these, - these trats are inded not inspossible, but they are too mansal not to demand the best of evidence.

But the evidence which leaves no doubt as to the hambuggery in this whole business is found in the so-called "Cancionere Comsa," or Tacnsa Peens. There are eleven of these, and acoording to M. Adam, "they give us mexpected information about the manmers, enstoms and social condition of the 'radmas." If he had abso added, still more mexpected information about the physical geography of Lomisiana, be would have poken yet more to the point. For instance, our botanists will be charmed to learn that the sugar maple flourishes in the Ionisiana swamps, and that it fumished a favorite food of the matives. It is repeatedly referred to (p1. 3r, $34,45,67$ ). They will also learn that the sugar cane was raised by the 'raensas, although the books say it was introlneed into I ounsiana by the Jesuits in 176t ( 1.45 ). The potato and rice, apples and bamanas, were also familiar to them, and the white birch and wild rice are deseribed as flourishing around the bayous of the lower Mississijpi! It may be urged that these are all mistranslations of misumderstood native words. To this I reply, what sort of editing is that which not only conld commit such mpardonable blumders, but send them forth to the seientifie world without a hint that they do not pretend to be anything more than guesses?

But no such apology can be made. The author of this fabrication had not taken the simplest precaution to make
have nt the int imbest of : h111m -alled deven mex social 1 miore hyy of point. at the that it lly reat the books 11761 e also re de-lissisations it sort h 111 entific thing
his statements coincide with facts. How dense was his ignomance of the climate of Iomisiana is manifested in the pretended "Calemar of the 'Tacosisas," which is primed on p. 4 of his book. He tells wes that their year began at the vermal equinos and consisted of twelve or thirteen monthis named as follows:

1. Moon of the sugar maples ( $A_{\text {pril }}$ ).
2. Moon of howers (May').
3. Meon of strawterrices (Jame).
f. Xoom of heal (July).
4. Monn of fruits Angust).
5. Moon of the summer hunts (Scptember).
6. Moon of leaves, (falling leaves) (fotober).
s. Moon of cold (Nowember).
7. Moon of whiteness (i. e. of show) (Inecember).
8. Moon of fogs (Jamary).
9. Moon of winter humts (lechmary).
10. Moon of birds (retmrnins).
11. Moon of green retuming green), (Mareh).

How absurd on the face of it, such a calentar would be for the climate of 'Pemsas Parish, La., need not be urged. The wonder is that any intelligent editor would pass it over without hesitation. The not infrernent references to show and ice might and onght to have put him on his guard.

The text and vocabulary teem with such imposibibitities; While the style of the alleged original songs is ntterly malike that reported from any other native tribe. It much more closely resembles the stilted and tamid imitations of supposed savage simplicity, common enough among Fimeh writers of the eighteently century.

As a fair example of the nonsense of the whole, I will transiate the last song given in the book, that called

## THI: MARRIAMF: SON(i.

1. The chief of the Chactas has come to the hat of the warrions "I come." "Thou comest."
2. Wromat his borly is a beatiful garment, le wears large legegings, sambals, tablets of white wood, feathers behime his heal and behimel his shoulders, on his head the antlers of a deer, a heavy war elub in his right hamd.
$\therefore$ What is the wish of the great warior who hat come?
3. He wishes to speak to the chief of the mumerons and powerful Tatusils.
4. let the warior enter the house of the old men. The chiof is seated in tite midst of the oid men. Ite will certainly lear thee linter the lionse of the old men.
5. Circat chief, $\therefore$ man, I enter. 'lhon eomest. I:nter; brins him in. What wishes the foreign warrior? Speak, thon who hast conle.
6. Old men, ancient men, 1 am the eltief of many mon; at ten dives journey up the river there lies the lamd of poplats, the land of the wild rice, whiel !e fonges to the hrave wariors, the brothers of the l’acusas.
S. They said to me-since thou hast not chosen a bride, go to the Tatesist our brotlers, ask of then a bride: for the Chactats are strong: we will ask a bride of the Tamsas.
7. 'That is well; but speak, warrior, are the Chactas mmerons?
8. Comnt: they are six lmmolred, and 1 atm stronger than ten.

It. That is well; but speak, do they know how to limit the buffalo and the cleer? does the spuirrel rmin in your great forests?
12. The land of the wild rice has no great forests, but cows, stags and elks clwell in our lamd in great immbers.
I. What plants grow in your country ?
1.4. Fophas; the slupe tree, the myrtle grow there, we mave the
sugar maple, ebony to make collars, the oak from which to make wat chabs: our hills have magnolias whose shining leaves enser our lomses.
15. That is well; the 'iandsas have neither the shape tree nor the chony, but they have the was tree and the vine: has the latnd of the wild rice these also?
16. The Tatnsats are strong and rich, the Chactas are strong atso, they are the hrothers of the facmas.
17. The Tatests lowe the bave Chatas, they will give yon a brale, hat say, dost thon conse alone? dost that bine beidal presents,
18. 'Iwenty wartors are with me, and hutls drase a atin.
19. Let six, seven, twonty fiknsal wariors go forth to mex those who come. Fior thee, we will let thee see the brick, she is my datugher, of me, the steat ehbef: she is young; she is beamiful as the lily of the waters: she is stationt as the white biteh; fores are like unto the tears of gum that distil from the trees; she knoss how to prepare the meals for the warriors and the sap of the subar maple; she knows how to kitit the finhing nets and keep in or ter the weapous of war-we will show thee the bride.
20. The strangers have arived, the halls have draged up the watio. The warrior offers his presents to the bride, paint for her eves, fine woven stuff, scalpos of enemics, collars, beantinl bracelets, rinss for her feet, and swathing-hands for her first born.
21. The father of the liride and the old man receive skins, homs of dree, solid bows and sharpened atrows.
22. Now let the people repose during the night; at sumbe there shall be a feast ; then you shall take the bride in mariase

And this is the somg of the marriage.
The assurance which has offered this as a genuine composition of a Lonisiana Indian is only equalled be the docility with which it has been accepted by Americamists. The marks of fraud upon it are like Falstaff's lies-.". gross as a momatan, open, palpable." The Choctaws are located ten
days' joumes up the Mississippi in the wild rice region about the headwaters of the stream, whereas they wore the immediate neighbors of the real lamens, and dwelt when first discovered in the middle and sonthem parts of the present State of Mississippi. The sugar maple is made to grow in the $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{o}}$ ouisiana swamps, the broad-feaved magnolia and the ebony in Minnesota. The latter is described as the land of the myrtle, and the former of the vine. The northenn warrior brings feet-rings and infant elothing as presents, While the southern bride knows all about boiling maple sap, and is like a white birch. But the author's knowledge of aboriginal enstoms stands out most prominently when he has the up-river chief come with an ox-cart and boast of his cows! After that passage I need say nothing more. He is indeed ignorant who does not know that not a single draft animal, and not one kept for its milk, was ever fomed among the matives of the Mississippi salles.

I have made other notes tending in the same direction, but it is searcely necessary for me to proceed further. If the whole of this pretended Taensa language has been fabricated, it wonld not be the finst time in literary history that such a frand has been perpetrated. In the last century, George Psalmanazar framed a grammar of a fictitious langrage in Formosa, which had no existence wintever. So it seens to be with the Taensa; not a serap of it can be found elsewhere, not a trace of any such tongue remains in Ionisiana. What is more, all the e! writers distinctly deny that this tribe had any independent langnage. M. De Montigny, who was anong them in 1699 , Father Cravier, Who was also at thenr towns, and Du Prat\%, the histonan,
all say positively that the Tacnsas spoke the Nateho\% lan guage and were part of the same people. We have ample speciments of the Natehe\%, and it is mothing like this allenged Taensa. Moreover, we have in old writers the names of the Taensa villages furnished by the Tacmsas themsetion and they are nowise akin to the matter of this grammar, but are of Chalıta-Mnaskoki derivation.

What I have now said is I think sufficient to hand this grammar and its associated texts as deecptions practiced on the scientifie world. If it whe mes the editors and introducers of that work to discover who prateticed and is responsible for that deception, let the original mannseript be produced and submitted to experts; if this is not done, let the book be hereafter pilloried as an imposture.

As soon as I could obtain reprints of the above article I forwarded them to M. Adam and others interested in American languages, and M. Adan at once took measures to obtain from the now " Abbe" Parisot the original Mss. That young ecelesiastic, however, professed entire ignorance of their whereabonts; he had wholly forgotten what disposition he had made of this portion of his grandiather's. papers! He also charged M. Adam with having worked over (remania) his material: and finally disclamed all responsibility concerning it.

In spite, however, of his very matisfactory statements, M. Adam deelined to recognize the fabrication of the tongue, and expressed hinself so at length in a brochmre entitle 1, Le Titenser a-t-il ite forge de toutes libes. Reponse


#### Abstract

$4^{6}+$ HSshes or An Ambrichist.  Ch. I.eclere, Paris, 1885). The argument which he made nise of will be seen from the foliowing reply which I published in The Amorican Intiquarian, September, 1885:



'ilse eriticism on the Pacnsa Giammar published in the Imoriant Intiguarion lant March has leal to a reply from M. Lacien Adam, the principal editor, under the following title: "Le Tionser a-t-il-iti" forger de toutes /bices.". As the ynestion at issue is one of material importance to American arelneology, I shall state M. Adam's argmonents in defense of the Grammar.

It will be remembered that the eriticism published last March elosed with an urgent call for the production of the original MS., which M. Adam himself had never seen. To meet this, M. Adam as soon as practicable applied to M. Parisot, who alleged that he had translated the Grammar from the spanish original, to produce that original. Ihis M. Parisot professed himself mabie to do; although only two or three years have elapsed, he cannot remember what he did with it, and le thmks it possible that it is lost or destroyed! The insestigations, howerer, reveal two facts fuite clearly: first, that the original MLs., if there was one. was not in Spanish as asserted, and was not in the handWriting of M. Parisot's grandfather, as was also asserted, as the latter was certainly not the kind of man to oeenper himself with any such docmment. He kept a sort of boardinghonse, and the suggestion now is that one of his temporary
guests left this supposed as. at his house. As itsexistemed is still in doult, this meertainty abont it: orisin nectl not further concern us.

The more important question is whether the languate as presented in the Grammar and texts bars intemal ervence of anthenticity or not.
M. Adan begins with the texts, the so-ealled peoms. 'To my supprise, M. Adam, so far as they pretend to be native productions, tosses them orerbard withont the slightest comptanction. "In my own mind," he writes, "I have always considered them the work of some diseiple of the Jesinit Fathers, who had taken a faner to the Tacman pectry." This emphatic rejection of their aboriginal origin has let me to look wer the colmme again, as it seemed to me that if such was the opinion of the learned editor he should certainly have hinted it to his readers. Not the slightest intimation of the kind ean be fomm in its pages.
The original Ms. having disappeared, and the text having been ruled out as at best the botelthork of some Eato pean, M. Adan takes his stand on the Grammar and maintams its anthenticity with earnestness.

I named in me eriticism six points in the grammatical structure of the alleged Taensia, secifying them an so extrentey rate in American languages, that it demanded the best evidente to suppose that they all were pesent in this extraordinary tongue.

These points are discussed with much acntemes and fairness by M. Adam, and his argmments within these limits are considered convincing by eminent an anthonity as Professon dreaterich Miiller, of Vienna, to whonn they were 30
submitted, and whose letter concerning them he publishes. What M. Adam does is to show that each of the pectulitities named finds a parallel in other American tongnes, or he clains that the point is not properly taken. As I never denied the former, but merely called attention to the rarity of such features, the question is, whether the evidence is sufficient to suppose that several of them existed in this tongue; while as to the correctness of my characterization of Taensa Grammar, seholars will decide that for themselves.

It will be seen from the above that, even if some substructure will be shown to have existed for this Thensa Grammar and texts (which, individually, I still deny), it has been presented to the scientific world ander conditions which were far from adequate to the legitimate denands of students.
M. Adam in the tone of his reply is very fair and uniformly courteous, except in his last sentence, where he cannot resist the temptation to have a fling at us for the supposed trait which Barntum and his compeers have conferred upon us anong those who do not know us. "Permettezmoi de vous dire," he writes, "que la France n'est point la terre classique du humbug." Has M. Adam forgotten that George Psalmanazar, he who in the last century mannfactured a langurge out of the whole cloth, grammar and dictionary and all, was a Frenchman born and bred? And that if the author of the Taensa volume has done the same, his only predecessor in this peculiar industry is one of his own nation?
M. Adam continned his praiseworthy efforts to mearth the intaginary ofiginals of the Ablee Parisot's hoax, but with the results one can easily anticipate-they were not fortheoming.*

The diseussion continned in a desultory manner for some time, and Mr. Gatschet made the most strennons efforts during his offieial journeys as govermment linguist in the southwest and in the Indian territory to find evidence showing that he had not been taken in by the ingenions French seminarists ; but his continued silence was evidence enough that none such came to his ken.

In 1886 Professor Julien Vinson reviewed the question for the Rezue de Linguistique, and delivered what may be considered the final verdiet in the case. It is to the effeet that the whole alleged language of the Taensas,-grammar, voeabulary, prose and poetry-is a fabrieation by a couple of artful students to impose on the learned. I mave cose with the Professor's own elosing words:
"Que restera-t-il du tacnsa? A mon avis, me mystification sans grande portée et much ado about nothing..."

[^214]5)
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Ralu，Charles，id．
Kecetarion le lutios，M心．， 272.
Reichelt，（i．1I．，4．3．
Registro Vincateco，16．4．26，3．
Remmenkamplf，，von，3．30．
Rink，Heinrich，2si，zsg．
Romams，larmard，is．
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## INJEX OF SUBJECTS.

Abañeenga, language, 3 s.
Ahipones, language of, 3,36 .
Abmindance, the honse of, 145 .
Acheron, the river of Hades, 141. Acollhacan, 86.
Acozpa, hieroglyph of, 224.
Adjectives, absence of, 405 .
Adjidjiatig, or grave posts of Chipeways, 22 S .
Age of !ron, Bronze, and Stone Amenti, the Exyptian Hales, 137. 49.

Agglutination in language, 340 , 361.

Altan katuns of Mayas, 264, 268, 269.

Ahkul Chel, a Maya priest, 2.4 S .
Alipn, magicians, 115.
Alt-raxa-lak, a sacred nane, 117 .
Ah-raxa-sel, a sacred name, 1 . 7 .
Ahnitzotzin, Emperor of Mexico, 2S1-3.
Akalal tribe, 423 .
Algonkin grannmar, remarks on, 190, 364, 366.
Algonkin langnage, extension of, 35 ; radicals of, $36,332,400$; "love words" in, 413.
Algonkins, hero-god of, 130-134.
Algonkin stock, area of, 311.
Algonkin tribes, their "grandfather,' 184 ; as monnd-builders, 70 ; legendary origin of, $24 . \quad$ Arancantans, sknhls of, $39{ }^{\circ}$.
(475)

Arawack Argillite implements，．$\downarrow$ ．
Arithmetio of May：as，265．
Ari／a $\because$ ，minn in， 25.
Arrhenice gemeler，for）．

Arow beads，meient formo of， 31. Argow－release，lise Americall， 6 a．
Arath，at solls of $2 y^{\circ}$
Art．Ambrican，wholly indigenons， （ $x$ ）．
Arthur，King，story of，1，30，i．f2． Artificial shell－heaps，age of 27.
Aryan limgnages，the ，it2， 32.3
iH．． 3.5 St dalects，alleged，in America，sy：mations，mythom－ GE：of， $1 / 1$.
Assmptive arms，in heraldry． 214.

Astrolosy，Hative Vucat can， 259.
Abtronomice reclen of Mexie：ths allul Mayas， 2.3 ．
Asymmetry，intentional，fr．
Athapensan lamgutge，the 21，5s． 30，；extension of the， 35 ；cle－ ments of． $\mathbf{3} 6$.
Atecpamamocheo， 86 ．
Allamtis，dhe fabled，fis．
Atosace，the river，is．

Antochthony of American culture， （r）．
Avalon，the liste of， $\mathbf{t . p 2}$
Aymarian depresion in American skulls， 62.
－Bteca or ． 1 zto ， $85,87,367$ ．
datee calendar explained，2769； codieces，221；lowe songs，295－7；Brachyecphatism in America，63． war somps， $294^{\circ}$ ；year cycles of． 159．sec Nahnatl，Mexican．
Aathan，derivation of，ss．

Bacab，Maya deities，17．3．
Bamin＇s lamal，matives of，zho．
Balam，meaning of，125，25：；the Maya propltet， 2 亿．
halams．Maya deities．172－1／6．
Ball play in Mexieo，hg．
lianama，not an American illat． 33.3.

Baspue latgage，the ，ith， 3,5 ．
Bat，as a totemic amimal， 11 ．

Beand in American Jmblans，so．
Deing and Not－heing，in langutace．中ツ．
Diecitan，tribe， 375.
liblerselarift．20．
Divds as wimls，123．175：sombol． ism of， $169,179$.
Bi－sexnal divinitics，of， 169.
Hhackfect，meths of．I．it．
Black－tail，a faloulons suake，1っく．
Bloor，in myths，11．f． 12.1.
Howpipe，ase of，rog．
Hhe，as sateral color，95．1ts．
Boat of the Sim，s，${ }^{4}$ ，of Charom， 141.

Boncs，collection of． z ．
lanok，Maya word for，2． 7.
Books of Chilam Balam， $255 \mathrm{sg} / \mathrm{g}$
Dhoses of Mayas desoribed， 232. 23．5． 2.37.
Molivia，tribers of， 397 ， 105.
Borucal lamguage，the， 37.5 m ．
Botoculos，traits of，is，i9．fo，65．
Bow－ind－arrow，modern nse of ， 3 ， 1s，

Brazil，designs of pottery from， $1.57,159$ ；mound builders from， 67 ；ethnology of， 38,40 ；lan－
 heapos in，2s．

Caracaracol，a llaytian divinity． 11 h.
Bri－hri langutge，the． 37 s s．


Brmaithet，lise of，is．p． sigu－for in Maya．203．
 fo．
Butfalo，I．enapé mame for，isp．
Carils，mythology of $12,3$.
Burial chstoms．75． 72,7 ， 119.
Corvara，the loge eft．
Burial momuls in Florida，is．
Catarlhine monkeys mot fomme in America，1．i－

Cabecar laggatge，the， 37.5 ve
Cabrakan，gerl of earthquakes． 121，122．
Cathis，tribe．3．5．
Cohoskia，pramial at，st．
Coakehigucts，totemine animals．11．1：
到有， 300,423 ；lincal meatmes of 13．3．h．39：writing of，2ss
Caknlha－llarakan，a Oniche gool． 120． 121.
Calaveras sknll，the，fo．
Coalculitorm writing explaned，24． 25.3.

Calendar，mystie relations of go， 129；of Mexicans，2－6－s：the Guiche－Čakehiguc），129；of the

Califomia，lamguages of 3 ， 3 ； auriferous gravels of， 3 ；re－ mains from，fo．
Campeachy，Bay of，23．3．
Cantils，anciont，in Florida， 73.
Cantek，chici of Itzats， 2.39.
Commibalisan，unknown in V＇uca－ tall，2．35．
Canoes，manmfacture of， 155.
Cantieo，meaning of，1s\％．
Canting amms，in lemaldry， 218.

23．3．
Ceratral Ammericin，pectry of，zhs．
Comtan lluitatahat，the，91．
Cerberus，the doge，1．f1． 1 ／t
Chiapas，dialerets of， 120 ．
Cincaleo，in Azter myth， 165.
Civilzation，centers of，bt．
Chate，Maya leitios，18．．．
Chathta－Mmakokee family，the，7s， ig．
Chalitat trilues，the，ors，st；soe Choctaws．

Chapallan，I．ake，sis．

Charon，the ferryman，if．
Clomes，whects froms，iz．
Cherokeces．wars with looplosic，Gg： ats momal－buiders，it，iz；al－ phabet，bgh．
Che Vinice，a Maya ogre，1；h．
Chibelai languace，f（x）．
Clacagma，a village，7．
Chiclacy Itza，25t．3い2．
Chichimmes，trihe，ger．zos．
Chicomast w．lame or．2？
Chikasaws， 7 。
Chilan，signification of，25s，2fus， 272 prophtere of． 3 os．

## INDNX OF SUBJECTS.

Chilan lalatm, books of, 255.
Chile, languages of, 3 gis.
Chimalman, the virgin, 96 .
Chinese, alphahet of, 21.f, 215 ; langrage, the, 323. 3.36; supposed presence in America, 59 ; phitosophy amd symbolism, 150, 151 .
Chipi-caknlha, al Ouiche gorl, 120.
Chipeways, buid momeds, $7^{\circ}$; mythe of, I3t.
Chipeway pronomis, 324 ; pictography, 22 S .
Chipeways, their "grandfather," 188.

Chipeway love song, 29.4; love worls, 418.
Chipped stone, period of, 50 .
Chiguita language, 405.
Clairakan Xmuenne, a Quiche golldess, 122.
Choctaws, $2.4,71,77,461$; sec Chalita.
Choctaw language, the, 364 .
Chronological system of Mayas, 263.

Climmayel, book of, 248, 257, 291.
"Chunk yards" of the Creeks, 76 .
Clark's Works, mounds at, St.
Classification of languages, 339 .
Coatepetl, the, 86, sig.
Coatlin Tonan, an Aztec goddess, 9.4.

Codices, the existing Maya, 250.
Coatlicue, an Aztec goddess, 94, 95.
Colhma, Colhuacan, 85.
Color of American Indians, 39, 6r.
Colors in races of men, 38 ; phonetic value in hieroglyphs, 223 ; symbolism of, 166,167 .
Columbian gravel, relies found in, 53.

Commmual hurial, 7 S .
Commumal Mwellings, 185,415 .
Conjunctions, in American lans. grages. 3.45, do.,
Commecticut, Indian manes in, 3 (ox).
Consonants, alternating, $3 y^{\circ}$; significante of, 39.4.
Copan, calendar stone from, 15.5 , 2.54.

Coptic, ancient, 215, qu2.
Cordova, Hernandez de, his experlition, 232.

Costa Rica, age of shell-leaps in, 25,31 ; sulugnages of 374 sq.
Connter-sense in language, fos.
Courous, tribe, 77.
Coyote, as sacred animal, 112.
Cozumel, island of, 232.
Cranial characteristies of red race, 62, 63.
Craniologic data from the momels, S2.
Cramoscopic formulas of American Indians, to.
Cree, language, 21, 363, 383, 395, 4o1, 403 : love words in, 413 .
Crees, maths of, 131 ,
Creeks, 24, 71, 76.
Criteria of languages, 336 .
Cross, as a sacred symbol, 148 sqq .
Cubit, as a measure, 44 .
Cukulkan, S.
Culcalkin, a Maya ogre, 177.
Culture-heroes, American, 130 .
Cycles, of Ǎtees and Mayas, 159, 26.4.

Dakotas, 79: winter counts of, 159; dialects, 407.
Dawn, master of the, II3.

Diy-maker, the, $11 t, 129$.
Days, signc of, in Maya MSS., 2\%o.
Death, prognostics of, 169 ; lorl of, rof primitive notion of, 1.43: river of, 1.47.

Weer, as totemic animal, $11.4,12 \mathrm{~S}$,
Delaware, state, discoveries in, 32, 53.

Delaware river, relies from, 41, 53.
Delaware Indians, see Jemapé.
Déné Dinljić, trile, 21 ; language, 39.5.

Dependent clanses, fay.
Determinatives, their nse in writing. 216.
I Devil, worls for, 126.
Diluvial epoch, human remains iil, 29.
Divination, by bems, us; by thorns, 94 : by stones, 165.
Diviners, of Mayas, 165.
Dogs, as sacred animals, $1.40,1.41$, 1.4.4. 1.46.

Dresten, the Maya Ms. at, 250 .
Dwarfs, fabmons, of Mayas, 177 .
Dyes used by the Mayas, 2.46 .
Earth, the heart of the, 126.
Ego, phonctic element of, 396 .
Figyptian theory of the soul, 136 -
1.40; hieroglyphic origin of, 216; ajphalet, 217 .
Fight, as sacred number, i.fo, 1.46.
Ekoneil, a fabulous suake, 179.
Elephant, the American, 32.
Elysium, fiedels of, 1.41 .
Ifpicanthus, in Ameriea, 64.
English language, the, 336 .
Fpochs of the Palacolithie Period. 51.

Escamela, inseribed stone at, 274.
linkiuno, skulls of, 63: plysical traits of, 65 ; songs of $2 \times 6-2901$ : language, $5 \mathrm{~s}, 5,35,3.40$.
litowah valley, momal in, so,
leye, oblique or Mongolian, in Ameriea, 63, 6.4.
Fiac-simile of Ianla's MS., 2.12.
Father, the great, 175.
leathers, as symbolie ornaments, 116.

Female line, hereditary, isg.
life, carliest knowledige of, .391; festival of, among Mayas, 16 S .
1 ish, the in Aztece calemdar, 253.
liishing, ancient methots of, sif
lemerle-lys, origin of, 220 .
lilores, island, eapture of, 2,39 .
IFlorida, ancient momuls in, $7.3,75$, 77 ; shell heaps in, 2s, 31 ; linnonite skeletons from, f1.
liolk-lore of V'ucatan, 16.3 ; of Lenâpé, isi.
Food-plants of mative Americans, 33.

Foot, as measure of length, 43.4 sq. , 4.4.

Four, as sacred mumber, 1.40, 1.46, ${ }^{1} 57$.
Four Ages of World, 16 r.
Fonr handred, meaning of, 94.
Friendship, native words for, 420 , 42 S
luegians, appearance of, 39 ; langrage, 335 .
Games, of Lemape, 186.
(ieneration, gods of, 120 .
Ceorgia, antiepuities in, so.
Ghosts, superstitions about, 127.
Giant bison, the dmerican, $f^{1}$, note.
 204 m Hillte， 16.
Ciacial age in North Imerica，Ileart，at at symbol， $11 \%$ ． date of，11，14． $51:$ in sonth Hell，words for， 126,127 ：desectit

 1，3\％．
Ciammatio forms，origin of，：33．



Giaphiar motellas，plometio cer－ ments af，l95 5\％．

Cireck langmage the ．ill，its．
（ireen，its a color symbol， 1 is．
Ifemajolis，eight gorlo of， 1 w．
Ife－peribles．gatiden of the $1 \not 2$.
Hillatsa language，fö．
Hieroglyphs，May：201，265－7．
（irecolaml，poctry from，25－20n．
Hoge，the ats a homl，113．
Ilolophrasis，explained，322，35／ sq．
 232.
fomophones in latmatages．tys．
（illal：alajara，l＇niversity of， 326. 215，216．

品。
Cimarayos，bearded Imlians， 39, note．
Cinatemala，dialectsin，wof tribes of， 115.120.

Horse Delawate worl for， 321 ： fossil，in Interica，31，32， 12.
 185.

Hnastera langmage，the，221， 3.31 ， S．3：lowe words in，422．
（illownat／，Acrivation of ： 16 ： transfonmations of， 171 ．

Hucmath，all later hero－gonl，gh．

 nolory of． $3 \%$ ． 10 SS：derisation of， 95 ；hirth of，
（；ulf Sitates，dutigutities of，72－hos． ge ；temple of， 25.
IHATmathat，Azter divinities， 94.
Mades，derivation of， 1 ；；deseent （0），125－6）．
Hair，of Imeric：m Indians，62：of the sum－got，49，1ft，1．f6：long． as symbol．1ffor， 2 sis．
Hare，the（ireat， 1,32 ． 95．
Itmman species，rivisions of ． 3 S．
Ifmom，amoner native Inmericans． 2 SN.
IIm1－ahpm，birth of． 125 ．
Hum－ihpu－utin，derivation， 112.

Hatchet，burying the， 7 ．

1月ayti，mythology of，116， 121.
Ifumhm－ahpu，a guiche grol， $12 . \frac{1}{2}$ ．


 12.3.

Ifarons, burial customs, fog. Jew, lionk of the, 27.3
 lowd of, 167.

Icheamziho, anciont mane of Merinla, 2,3,i-
fleograms in phonctic writing. 197 ; of Mayas, 2.
Ithols of l.antuc, 157; of Itzas, 2. 1 ; pricet of, 2fs.
Iflols, superstitions eomecraing. $17 \%$
Ikonogriphic writing. 21,3.
Ikomomatic methon of phonetio writin!s, is, B9, 207, 211, 21,-229, 2 Si .
Illinois, arehamogy of, fo, st.
Implements, simple amd componnd, 31, 51,52.
Inca bones, the 62.
lueats of Jem, false lists of $2,3$.
Incorporative character of American lamguages, 36 .
Incorporation, explained. 321, 3;0, 3+1, 352-.3.57, +1"3.
Imdo-Aryams, myths of, r.f6.
fudustrial art in anciont America, 29.

Ioskeha, Iroguois hero-god, 130.
Iron, Age of, 49, 50.
Iroppuis, 24. 68, 69; 1, enaipé mame for, ist.
Itza, town in Vucatan, 302.
Itammi, temples of, 2,36 .
Itamma, hero-god of Mayas, 235 . Itzas, the tribe of, 239 .
Iztinugulpam, hicroglyph of, 223.
Labrador, matives of, ill.
J.acauton, province of, 2.39.
lagoa santa, skulls from, fo.
La Nallutte, jaw from, syo.
Lamguage, cthmologic value of, 193: origin of zor.
Lamguages discusseal: . Sec tribat names.
Laws of Thought, foz.
Leat, known to Mexicals, 45\%.
Leif Lisikson, his voyage, 22.
Left hand, ats stronger, 95.
Leqemes, value in satage tribes, 2.1.
Lenape, lolk-lowe of the, ist; Aerivation of, 153.

## 

Lanipe dialert, prommatition of, Manhattan, herivation of, sis.

latters, single, signilicatht, 391.
dife, the Tree of, ıf.
light, the mother of, 119; divinitş of, 112, 129.
J,ighthing, ats at deity, 12r, I.3.3. 1\%.

limonite skeletons from lelorida, 11.
1.ancal measures, . Dmericam, 4,3 sig.
L,insuistic stocks, mumber of in America, 3.1 : origin of, 392.
dithmaman dialect, the, 316 .
lomelei, an dmerican, 17 s .
lowe, somigs of, 29.3-7 ; conceptions of in American langhages, fors s\%, Alefintion of: 4.32.
I, ule lansuage, the $331,3.2$.
Mbaỹ langmage, the, 331, 3t2, Mayacimil, meaning of, 237. $31,3$.
Mackentie Kiver, tribes of, 35.

Magney, llee, a sucred plant. Ss; paper, 25.3.
Maine, origin and extension of, 3.3.
Manyant race, the, 3 lo.
Malimalvochith, an Ayter godeless. ss.
Mammoth, remains of , 32.
Malllaseripts in Maya chatraters. 25:

Maps of Mayas. I.3.
Markets, Mexican, Iby.
Marriage molls, for
Masks, used in rites, 111 , ins
Mars, the field, 105.
Maya language, the, 181 , 5 ; lowe worls in, f2l: civili/athon, of, witeh story, 1;1: ! Cat-onthts. 1.59; phonctie chatateters, 109. hiconglyhic sysicoll, 227, 22s:
Mayas, almeicht, Writing and recorts of, 230-251; carlient ancer tors of, 2.1 : the tratitiont of 22: commersion of, thl: folk-lore of, 162 ; burial customs of, 119 : lincal measures of hit; map of, 4.3 s.

Mayapan, ancicnt city of, 2.39.
Maya-guiche limsuisticesteck, wor


Meconction, a name of guctat. coath, is.
Medaty magic, fuguren in, $1.5 \%$
Meda sticks of Chipewalys, 2s.
Medical practice athong Dedawares. $15 \%$
Manaboho, a Chipeway hero, Medicines of the Mayas, 2;2. 1.31, 13.3. Medicine-somgs, hative, dy2.

Man, not developed in America, Mengwe, name of fropmis, mean4. ; oldest remains of. in tmerican 5.3; a singing animal, 2St; subdivisions of, its.
Manco Capace, his date, 22.
Mandioca, a native foor-plant, 33. ing: of, ist.
Neriba, allciont rinis: at, 26, 23.3.
Messier Mombl, the, iss.
Messianic hope, anoms matives. $1 \mathrm{SH}_{3}$.

Messem，sep Mieholus． Metar river，tribes wf，iz2
 Mexcalla，am inlamd，sis．
Mexial Mevica， $\mathrm{S}_{5}, \mathrm{~A} \%$ ．
Mexican monsetie writing， 205.
Mexitl，an datere chief，ss．
 human remains in，12．
Mexioall krammar，i2．1，i4t，ift． 316．Sice Datere，Nohbtatl．

Micmates，mythology of，is M，
Michalos，a Chipeway deity， 1.5 ， 1．32－1．
Mirhoacall，sis．
Mietlan，the Datee Dates， $14, \mathrm{~B}$
Mictlanteruti，the later Inato， 1.45.
 45.


Mississippli，the，7．1． 77.
Mitla，ruins of， 4 s ．
Mixteca lamguage，the， $3.3 \mathrm{t}, \mathbf{3} 5$.
Mongolian affinities，alleged，of the American race， 56 ．
Mongolian（eve，the，in America，6．3．
Mongoleid traits in Americans， 35，3y， 56
Monosplahism in languages， 21.5 ．
Montejo，Prancisco de，23．3．
Montcomma，his liferoglyphs，20s， $209,2 \mathrm{Si}_{2}$ ；lis forebodings， 302.
Montezuma，Riode，sto．
Months，hieroglyphs of，of Mayas， 265－7．
Moom，origin of， 125.
Moraines，the line of，in North America， 5.4 ．

Matcllcronlat／in，2． 3.
Mamal－Builders，their natiomalit！． 67：their metrical atamaral．16．
Monnds ill（Hine allal Minsionjpi vallegs，ige of 2－8．
Mural pritutinga，of Masar． 2.51.
Mantukeren，71，7．5．

Mythology，interprctationnof，mot
Nabula，the luok uf， 259.
Xagualima，in ceontral Ambricat． 170.

Nahut ollin，the，tot．
Nahuatl hicrostypho，dictionary of，210：\＆＂ographic namos，2l＂； tincal meanmers．小年．


Nillles，bestowal of， 111.
Namahojow，a Chipeway horo，1．3．
Namalmatl，all hace divinity， 116.
Nimila Hatisa，the sloping Ililt，tios．
Nanticolese，native name of，sky．
Napis，a matafoot lera， 1,11 ．
Nimal index in dmerican dulians， 39． 64.

Navahn lamyutse，391．
Nobraskit，ancient lake－berla of，，it．
Nenaboj，a Chipeway hero，1．iь．
Neolithic perion，the ，so， 5 ．
Xew longlaml dulians， 1.31 ．
Sewfomallam，matives of，ith．
New（iramada，tribes of，i．3＂；lan－ RHages，f（x）．
N゙ゃW Jarace，archicology of， 32, 5.3 ．

New Mexico，ruins in， 25.

## 

 （1）



 prillto in，：－．
Nigla，mator of the 11：
Nim，は，He．mins af，11：
Ninc W．aters，rive af，11：


（Homis，＂t Mhomis，the titue． 15：Wall
 Guspie，tribe，洛
Wal．superations comerning．
North lawitic comt，bilen oft， 65

 mon．$\therefore$ ．

I＇allucias，trila al，zot．


Numbers，sacere of mastic，got．

Numerals，deficience of，iso． f。
Palatolthice perionl，the ．3＂． 51. Bur．
N゙mucration，Ma！sighs of，zos： ＂orklefor，for．

Palawhithic man，his hahiat， 51 ； latgolige ，som sy．
 $25 \%$ IN．
 01.

Palpan．：plate name，s－

Whaliam fomml in（Hin，．s．
Gecipital bone ia Wmarican skalls． 6：
 skalls from，for
Ocelotl，ar jugnar，in meths， 12 s．
（）whaknelil，meanimg of 2．8．

I＇ap：a，name of guctaalcontl，go
Pamalise，the A／ter， $1 / 1$.
 sidian in，： 2 ．
Ojilaty picture writug．15． 15.5 ．
Ollogoula，tibe，汭．
Ollamti，Mramal of，iom
（ Onatgua language fas．
Oriental swmbols in America，I sq\％．
Origill ol huthose．．：\％．
Orinocos，tribes oti，gas．
Orizalh．1，insoribed stome at．2－7．
Orosi，nutives ath，．7：


Piatigemians，hejghn of，io．
Patince，ds a sigh of age， 51.
Pavant thilians，the， 321.
Fannees，poctry of，291 2.
Peol，a Maya prien，，was．
Pembstmania，anciont works in． Fo：Indiam names in，jo9；relios fommel in，5，3．
lemobicots，mythology of，1，so．
Personality，idear of in lamgatace． ． ar $^{\text {．}}$
lem，mined eition of， 20.
 ol. .inn.


Philomplly uf lamentere, zst.

Pholletios of Mexicath allal Maly "riting. 195.
 216.
 Wils, 15.5 151. 2s: of M1, io rillts, 22 $\%$

fimete, the white, 11.:
Plastree flanalogical primiple al. $\therefore 5$.
 ill, 24.
Plamed semperts, hound af, 9\%.
 112, 150.
Plute, the riferk gorl, 1/t.

Pokntrlit dialect, the, "'1. 112.

Polvelhomatic hicoogly phe 22s.
 of, 1s. 13 .
施 $\%$.






Pomad-dhe-stomes, Mins, 179.
Prehistoric atrehiowhene ig2.
l'reponitions, ill dmericall lans RHiges. 315.

1. 2 ,

Relation promomme, in Americ:m



Repoctition, in poctry, 2 S 5.
Reproductive prineiple, worship of, 119.
Rhyme, monown in mative poetry. 2 S 5.
Ring-eross, the, 15 s.
Rio de Montemma, So.
Rio de llula, sto.
Ritnal of the dead. in Exypt, 139.
Kituals of Mayats, 2.77.
River, the celestial and infermal,

$$
1.5-14.5
$$

Rovek linff, skulls from, fo.
Rovetta stome, the, zis.

Seveln, as saced mumber, 121, 129, 171. 439.

Seven Caves, land of the, 23 .
scx distinctions in grammar, fo6.
Shell-heaps, the age of, 27 ; in Florida, Temessee, Costa Riea, Irazil, 2 s ; in ciulf states, 72.
shooting stars, in mythes, 17.
Shoshomian family, languages of, 2.3.

Signatures of matives, $23+$.
skin, color of, in American Indians, 39.
Skull, slape of, in Americans, 63.
Skulls, types of, in Mrazil, 29.
St. Augustine, florida, 74, 75, 77.
St. John River, 7.
St. Lomis, "hig momme" at. St.
San Isidro, stone relies from, 391.
Sacred book of the guiches, to5, 107.

Saliva, in myths, 12.4.
Salongre, an ogre, 176.
Salt, magic power of, 17.
Samserit lamgage, the, 3-1), 3tt. +15; alleged affinity with NaIntate, 57 .
Sambaquis, shell heaps in Brawil, $2 \mathrm{~S}, 29$.
sarbacame, the, 109.
samtens, lamguage of foo.
Savacom, a Carib deity, 123.
Schipka cave bones from, 390.
sciences of the Mayats, 2.15.
scminoles, 71,72.
semitic traditions, supposed in America, 21.
Sorpent, as sacred amimal, 116 , 1.32, 13.3.

Serpent momet, the, so.
Serpent, falbulons, of Mayas, 79.
sky, soml of the, 120 .
Snake-llill, the, s6.
Solomy, not found in Vucat:m, 2.35.

Somora, languages of, 2.3 .
Soto, Hermando de, his expedition, $72,7.4$.
Soul, seat of, 117 ; fool of the, 168: Journey of the, 1.35-14.5.
Sombl-writing, $213,230$.
Span, as measure, $4 . f 1$.
Speech, earliest form of, 390 sq .
Specchless man, 390-392.
Spiral, development of the 159 . note.
spittle, as genctie fluid, 12.4 .
Squaw, word for, 1 sis.
Stars, origin of, 125 .
Stature of American Indians, . 39 .
Stone, age of, its subdivisions, 50 ; survivals of, 183.
Stome ant brick edifices, 25 .
Stone of the Giants, $27+\mathrm{sq}$.
stone implements, oldest specimens. 391.
Stone, the clear, divination by, 165 .

Stones，adoration of， 40 ；colmm Tenochtitlan，state of，2．3．2 2 3． of， 7 o．
Stom，Guiche gots of， 120 ．
Straw hirel，the， 179.
Sum－grol，Aztec myth of，if6；in Vheatam， 167 ．

Terraba languase，the， 37.5 sq ． in Tertiary，haman remains in，fi．

Sun workhip in and white，gf ；contests of，gs．

 161；Worship，170；place of the． 93：cration of 95：rays of in stmbolisim，146，2so．
Svastika，the as a symbol， 1.48 sqg．
Sweat lorlye，of Iemâpé， 1 s；
Syllabic writing， 231.
Symbols，phonctie，r97；hesacred，

Symbolic writing，213．
syncope in American languages， $37 \%$

Teotiluacan，ruins of，fifs．
＇Tepell，sacred name，meaning of， 115.

Forminos，Bahia de． $2,32$.

Teacatlipoca，ss，go：the blate rezoluen，state of， $2.3,25,86,115$. of， 15
Thirtecol，as sacerel mamber， 16 t ， 167.

T＇Ho，native name of Merina， 26.
Thought－writing，21，3，230．
Three－legrad fistmes， 49.
Thumber，in my thology， 17.
Tiahnanaco，ruins near， 26.
friger，as totemic aminal，14． 12 s ．
Times，itea of，absent，frof．
Syhilis，saced asociations Timmpuana tribe， 75.

$$
115,116,144
$$

Caensa language，the hoax of， 152 $s q$.
Ta Ki，a Chinese sombol，ifis sqg． Tales，Indian， 182.
Tamamate language，the， 33 ．
Tamanlipas，Sierra of， 295.
Tompa lay，mound at， 75.
Tamuch，a llatisteca town， 221.
Parascas，a tribe， 22 S ．
Fat Acmo，a Maya deity， 175.
Tata［olin，a Maya sprite， 170.
Tat Ich，a Maya sprite， 1 go．
Tennessee River，shell heaps on， 2 S.
Penochtithan，25，85， 100 ．
＇Tin，usc of，sto．
Timmé languagre，35．30．1，feo．
Tiribi language，the， 375 sq ．
Citicaca，Jake，ruins ncar， 26.
＂Macopan，state of，23． 299.
Mabocan，the Aztee Paralise， 14.
Thalocs，Aztee min－gouls，tif．
Plamata，hioroslyph of 225.
Tlapallath，the plate of colors，so． Ilapan，hicroglyph of，22．1．
Tobaceo，its origin amel e ension， ．3．3．
Tollan，93．
Pollamatl，the，so，96．
Tolteces，suphonelmomal－lmiders． at：their fabulous histore 2.4 S3－t（x）；their mythical home， 1.45.
'lomahawk, worl for, isa.
’omaka, atown, 77 .
Fontacateentli, an Azter god, 96.
Tomatan, the smmy place, 93.
Topiltzin, king of Tula, s.f.
Totem marks, as atographes, 23.4.
Cotemic deities. SS, 11.3, 1t.7; divisions of lemitus, lisy.
Trandion, permancuce of, inso agces, 22.
Transitions in verhs, 3\%
Trece of Jife, in Mayand Mexiom art, 161.
Tronton gramels, objects diseoscrel in, 32, 53.
Trepanmed skill; from I'ern, tss.
Irephining, among the Jenipes, sss.
Tribute rolls of ancient Mexieans, 231.

Triplicate constitntion of things, 154.

Priple division of the human race, 57.

Iriguctrmun, as a symbol, 1.49 sig . Triskeles, a stered semb:ol, 1.49 sqg .
'Incurrique, tribes at, .375.
Thla, the story of, s. 3 - 100 ; terivation, 93.
 sof., foo: love words in, 42 s .
Turamian lagnatges, 5 s.
Thrtle totem of I،entué, lisg.
Twins, the divine, 125.

Trendal dialect. 126.
「yontemoc, Aətec deity, 1.46 .
Trontemoe mictlan teentli, $278-9$.
F\%utulnil dialect, the, 10.4.434.
L'a mach, a Maya :rod, 1;6.
locita, a town in lelorida, 75
lomerworla, the, in guiche msth, 125: in other tribes, 12 S .
luiter, the creat, tso.
lowniten langulges. stmer of, $3 \cdot 5$.
Fral-Alaic lamgages, 5 s .
I'rina, (encral, expedition of, 2.39.
Isumasinta, river, 126.
Tte languase, the, .32.3.
('tlathan, a guiche city, 12.f.

Vakn, a guiche goll, 123.
Vallarlolid, in V'ucatan, 2.36.
Vanconmer's lslamd, trihes on, 22: black slate from, 32.
Vara, spanish, length of, $1,36,146$.
Verb, the American, 3t, fos.
Verb, in Agonkin granmmar, 190.
Vincland, its position, 22, note.
Virginia, antiguitices of, zo.
Virginia, West, Cherokeces in, S2.
Virgin-mother, the mythts of, 95 , 96, 124, 125.
Vismares, primitive men were, post.
Vizeitas, tribe, 375.
Vowels, permmatale, 39': significather of. 394.
Vuch, the opossum, cte., 111.
Vukub-c:mac, a Quiche gool, 12.
Vukub-hum-ahpm, a guiche gorl, 12.

Wampmon, use among Lemajé, 185.

Warralls, height of, 39 .
War clubs, ISA.
War Songs of Aztecs, 299 sq.
War-whoop, name for, ist.
Way cot, a Maya imp, 1-8.

Werghing, maknown in Imerica, Ximmeane, a guiche goddess, is, 4.3.1,4.49.

West, as abode of souls . 119, 122, 12.1.
Cocotitlan, a place name, g3.

Wetucks, a leco-god, ise.
Npisilcos, a guiche eleits, us,
 119, 12.
 tilue, 26.1.
Whecteross, the, 1.58 .
White, as sacred color, 11,3, I.32. 166,186, iss.
Wigwants of Jemâpe., 185.
Xtabai, a Maya sprite, 1 ss.
Xthol Chaltmin, a Maya sprite, 17 S.
Vancopek, a Maya imp. 178.
Winds, the Vodesons, tribe, 77.
Winter-con $\quad$ Vasisui, a province, it.
Wisakketjak, of Dakotas, 139 . Cear eomints, of natives, 159. Ifo.
1.32.

Wives, busings, -12.
Wooden ntensils of lemipe. sis.
Woods, the Man of the, $1-6$.
Words, mamber of in Anerican tongues, 325.
Writing, different methods of, 21.3.
230 . 230.

Vellow, stumbolism of, $166,167$.
Yin and Yoang, 151.
Voncatan, anciont, 302 ; folk-lore of, 163 : dialects in, 10.1 : civilization of, sta mins in, 26 ; legendary peopling of, 24.
vimimil, a Maya divinity, $f$ fo. Vurari, the langiage. 329 .

Yaki-nim1-ak, name of a gorl, 11,3.
 123-129.
Xholonthoroch, a Maya inp, s-s. Zapote, superstition concerning. Nkanleos, a Maya godeless, 666. Xibalha, the guiche Mades, st. 123-129.
Xipacovan, a river, s6.

Yaztim, the, of Miyas, 165 .
Yohol chich, a phantom bird, 179. Zonis, tlue, ios.

$$
\nabla
$$


[^0]:    Natme Amektan poter
    

[^1]:    * Prof. If. W. Haynes, in The Narative and Critical History of Amelta, p. 329. Fidited by Justin Winsor. Boston, Isig.

[^2]:    * This paper was my address as vice-president of the American Association for the Advancement of Science, before the Seetion of Anthropology, at the merting in ish-. I have added the foot notes, and revised the text.
    t lues de's Cordilliws, al Monumens des Peuples Indigines de litmerique. Introduction.

[^3]:    
    

[^4]:    * Drofessor Gustav storm has rendered it probable that the Vineland of the Northmen was not further sonth than Nova scotia. see his studtes on the l'ine" land Ioyages, in . Vems. de la Sociale Korale des .Intiquaires du . Vord., sish.

[^5]:    * Such was the opinion of the late Jose Fernando Ramire\%, one of the mont acnte and learned of Mexican antiquaries. see his words in Oroze y berra's hatroduction
    
    

[^6]:    * I would refer the reader who eares to pursue this branch of the subject to my analysis of these storics in The . loyths of the Neit 11 onld (second ed., New Vork,
    

[^7]:    *The resnlts of the recent " Hennenway south-western Fixploring lixpertition" do not in the least invalidate this statement.

[^8]:    * A brief hat most interesting deseription of these monnments is preserved in a letter to the limperor Charles C . by the Friar 1 , orenzo de Biensenida, written from Yucatan in $15.4^{2}$.

[^9]:    * This assertion was altacked by br. Č. C. . Wbolt, in an address before the
    
     corn fimpire, I do not retire from my position.

[^10]:    
     River 1 deseribed itl the . Ianmal Kipont of the . Simthantian 'Institution, for wete, 1). ant.

    + His accounts wore principally in the Fourth and seventh Repors of the leabouly Muserm.

[^11]:     ins.

[^12]:    *I have bronght ont the distinction between the epoch of simple implements and that of eompond implements in an article which is reprinted in this collection. The expressions "early" and "hate" aphed to these epoehs do not refer to absolute periods of time, but are relative to the progress of individual civiliza. t ons.

[^13]:     where.
    
    
     atticle, "The Low and Arrow nakmown to l'alicohthe Man." in Proceptongs of Boston Soc. Niat. Mistor, Vol. XXIIL.

[^14]:    * Dr. C. C. Abhott, the dincoverer and principal explorer of these gravels, reported his discoverice in mamerons papers, and cspecially in his work Isimitive Industr.', ehap, xxxii.

[^15]:    * Expedition durch Central-Brasilien, pp. 310-344 (I, eipzig, 1566).

[^16]:    *The reference is to Mr. Horatio Hale's Address "On the Origin of tanguage
     Sctence, vol xaxs., p. 2.99, sis.
    t Ethnographice der hipublik Cinatemath, p. 15: (Kurich, Int

[^17]:    * See Howse, Grammar of the Ciee Language, p. 443 , squ.

[^18]:    * This question is discused in more detail in the next essay.
     the ciuaranis.

[^19]:    * Zeitschnift fïr I:thnolugit, 1884, p, 181.

[^20]:    * Since this address was delivered Mr. H. T. Cresson has reported the finding of chipped implements mate of argillite in a leposit of mid-glacial age on the bank of the Delaware River-hor. Boston Sif, Liat. Ilist. wol, sxiv: and portions of two skeletons completely converted into limonite have heen exhibited at the Aealemy of Natural sciences, Philadelphin, from a deposit in Florida, beloz one containing the remains of the extinct giant hison.

[^21]:    * i have discussed this fully in a paper in the Pordings of the Amer. Philosoph. soc. for that, chtitled " On an Ancient Hmman lootprint from Nicaragua."

[^22]:    * Man must have descended from the catarthine divinion of the anthropoids, in me of which occur in the New World, See Datwin, The /estent of han, 1. 153,

[^23]:    * Addre!s at the British Association for the Adv. of Science, 1857 .

[^24]:    *lis article, which was first printed in the North Ameriall Reziew, 1 soo, may be found in leach's Indian Miscellann, p. $15^{5}$ (Albany, 1877).

[^25]:    *The subject of an address before the American Association for the Advancement of Science in ross, with revision.

[^26]:    * The carlics publication I mate on this subject was in an article on Ite-historic Archwology, contributed to The lionographic lincropicdia (Vol. 11, p. 2S, 1huladelplia, 1086).

[^27]:    *A possible exception may have heen along the line of the Mississippi River, where a paleolithic workshop appears to have been discovered above st. lanl, by Miss Babitt.

[^28]:    * This l'aper was beal before the Amernean Associalion for the Advancement of science, at its mectibig it cleveland, imo.

[^29]:    

[^30]:    * lialallaisthe' 1 wher and sprachen. p, 16- I do not think that the verbal cois:cidences pointed ont by letitot in his Monographie des Jéne Jindji, and by Ilatzmann in his Amerikunisch-. siatische Flvmologirn, merit serious consideration.

[^31]:    * Brinton, in Poccedings of the Americtn thilosaphical Society, for ins ; Chat-
     also a later dissay in this volmme.
    +This example of misdirected erudition may be seen in the dnale's del . $1 / a s e^{\prime}$ Vacional de Mevico Tomo I

[^32]:    * Prof. Morse has also poined ont to ne that the Mongolian arrow-release-one of the most eharacteristic of all releases-las been nowhere fomd on the American continent. 'rhis is an importat fact, proving that neither as huters mor confuerors did any stray Mongols leave a mark on Imerican culture

[^33]:    *Hovelaeque et Hervé, inthropologie, p1, 23t, 234, 236; and on the Inca bone. see Dr. Washington Matthews in the fimerican .f nth ropologist, vol. II., p. 337 .

[^34]:    * In Ler handlungen der berlines Anthrop. Gesellachaft, 15si-82.

[^35]:    * Dr. Franz Boas, whose aceurate studies of the Indians of the Northwest coast are well known, informs me that he las rarely or never noted the oblique eye among them. Yet preeisely on that const we shond look for it, if the Mongolian theory has any fomblation. Dr. Ranke's reeent studies have proved the oblique eye to be merely an arrest of development.

[^36]:    * When this paper appeated in . Sidore (september tpth, issos), it led to a reply from Dr. It. F . C. 'Ten Kate, of feyden, who had published varions stadies endeav. oring to prove the Alongoloid character of the American race. dinargaments, however, were merely a repetition of those which 1 believe I have refuted ith the above article, and for that reason 1 do not include the ditensmion.

[^37]:    
    $\dagger$ The Mound Ruillers, clanp. xii, (Cimn., 14:9.)

[^38]:    
     $\dagger / /$ story of the Pize' Nations, Introduction, p. 16 (I,ondon, $175^{\circ}$ ).

[^39]:     xiii.
    †fourmal /historique, p. 377.
     14.591.

    If. R schoolcraft, Notes on the Thoquis, pp. 162, 16.3, compare pp. 66, 67.
    

[^40]:    
    
    \& Hisfory of litsimit, book ii, clapp. iii, ch, viii.
     four hal of Sitime vol, vii, p. 15.3.

[^41]:    
    
    

[^42]:     fol. 31 , 323 (Venice, 1556.)

[^43]:    

[^44]:    *Ibid, I ib, vi, cap. vi, see for other examples from this work: I,ib. ii, cap xxx, I,ib, iv, cap. xi, I, 11). v, cap. iii, cte.
     Compans).

[^45]:    * Domedings of the American lhilosophical society, 1579-1980.
    $\dagger$ Histoire Votable de la Foride, pp, 124, 16. 4 , cte.
    

[^46]:     Tom, ii, Dec. iii, cap. vi, (Madrid, $1 ; 10$.)

    + The Present Shate of Wis Wajestir's Isles and Territories in America, p. 156, (T,ondon. $166 \%$.
    ! The Flon idith Peninsula, p. 95, sq4. (Phita. 1859)

[^47]:    * Bartram Ms.s., in the library of the leansylvania Historicat sociely.
     71-2, (Lomdon, s:4.)
    $\ddagger$ Ammal, in I, ouisiana Mis/. colls., p. ıg6.
    

[^48]:    
    
    
     et al. : Bermard Romans, Vatmral and cöil Historvor forida, pp. SS-go, (a good a count.)
    The Relations des Jisuils deseribe the enstom among the Northern Indians.

[^49]:    *. Intiquities of the sutholl Indians, partlenarly the Georgian Tribes, p. 1ss, (New York, 1803.$)$
     18.76.)

[^50]:    

    + Origin of the big Mount of st. Louis, a paper read bifore the St. Louis.Academv of Science.

    6

[^51]:     is to eriticine athel vague theoriving that I have writton thin paper.
    
    
     there wete the samb people In fact. Colthat is a form of a worl which meathe "ancestors:" colli, forcfather; moroh-hman, my forefathers; cimhatath, "the phace

[^52]:    
    
     cals of both woth have now become somewhat obocured in the Nialuatl. Nay
    
     radical isfue, white. 'this may refer to the liat, as the place of the dawn: but there is also atomptation to look ppon iztlatn ats a syncope of a-izte-flan, "by the salt water."
    
    
     of moth, the magney, and cith, which means "hate" athd "granthother" Hist. dit
    
     Vol ix, p. 2s4). These two grods were originally brotlects, thomgh each had diver mytheal ancentors
    
    
     called Mivalla in Lake Chapallan, apparently boanse be thinks this mame mearn " lemsses of the Mexi;' but it may also signify "where there in ahmotance of mat grtey leates," this delicacy being called mata alli in Nalmath, and the tomamal at
     vii, catp.9.) At present, one of the smaller spereies of magney is called merami.

[^53]:     Nomatal, 1/umete, p. Fi, and the stone ring nsed in the thethth, batl phaty, which he figures, p. Fit ate thone refered to in the histeric legend.
     turc-writing - Which is realls phonctic, or, as 1 have termed it, thomomatio-repre-
    
    
     parian. The artificint inumbation of the phain to which the accome refer probably means that a ditch or mat was comstracted to proted the font of the hinh. Herrean says. "Cercatom de agna el cemon hamato Coatepec." Jecatus de Indrus, Dec. iii. J.ih. ii, cap. It.

[^54]:    * The Ammals of C" 309 years from the fombling to the destruction of 'ruta, but mames a dyasty of only four rulers. Veitia puts the founding of Tula in the year 713 A . I). (/historm de . Wecta lispaña, cap. 23.) I, et us suppose, with the laborions and critical orozeo y
     These thrce dates wonld fit into a rational chronolugy, remembering that there is an ack now edged tiatus of a mumber of years abont the eleventh and twelfth cernturies in the Azter records orozeo y berra, notes to Coder Kaminez, p. 21.3. The
     zinco and Clanhtitian (p. 29).
    
    
     ings). Entirely different from both is the list in the flation de (uanhtillan. How eompletely euhemeristic inthixochith is in his interpretations of Nexican mythot ogy in shown ly his speaking of the two leading Nahnatl divinities Fexathomes
    
    

[^55]:    * See the sote to page 8. But it is not at all likely liat fula was absolutely deserted. (on the contrary, lletrera anserts that after the fommation of alexico and the adjacent citicsolespues de la fundacion de Mexien i de toda la tietra) it reached
     If. The gencral statement is that the sites on the Contepetl and the adjacent
     year-alter the cisil strife amb massere, and then were sedled agatu. bhe /fos-
     los de Trula, y̧ue no quedó ningumo."

[^56]:    
    

    + Histor iat de las /ndias ocidentales. Dee. iii, Lib), ii, cap. 11.
    $\ddagger$ Welaciones Histericas, in Kingsborongh's herico, Vol. ix. p. 392. Compare hi* Mistoria Chichimecta.

    Blnschmann, liber die Aztekischen Orlsmamen, ss. 682, 797.

[^57]:    Tar. Orozeo y Berta

[^58]:    
    
     termination; fonalli moans warmth, sumintss, akin to lonaliuh, shn : but it aloo
     tonal, our \%hil, onr immaterial esence. By a further syncope toncthan was reduced
     came 'fula. 'this name may therefore mean "the place of sons", ant acecsury signification which donbtless hat its intluence on the growth of the myths concerning the lucality

    It may he of sime importance to note that fula or Pollan was not at first the name of the town, but of the locality-that is, of the wamm and fertile meadow-lands at the foot of the Coatepett. The town wat at firet callerl Noeotithan, the place of
     Historia te Vurat lipunti, I,ib, x, cap. 29, secs, I and 12.) This hante wats also applied to ore of the quarters of the eity of Mexieo when contured by Cortes, as we learn from the same anthority.
    $\dagger$ Buschmann, Cober die A-tekischen Ortsnamen, ss. 794, 79 , (Berlin, 1952.)
    $\ddagger$ The verbal radical is toma, to warm (hazer cator, Molina, focubutario de a Lengua the vicana, s. v.); from this root come many words signifying warmith, fer-

[^59]:     This is the u*ath derivation : but $t$ ann quite sure that it is an ertor arising from the ikonomatic representation of the manc. The name of his beother, thit\%nahma, indieates strongly that the predix of both names is identicat. 'rhin, foubt mot, is from hlitz-than, the somth; ilo, is from ilm, tothan: this gives nothe meaning "the left hand tarned toward the sonth." Orozed y berra has pointed ont that the Mexlea regarded lefthanded warriors as the more formidable (Ifistmm Imtisuth de
     that lluitzilopochtli was born in Thla, ath insisted on leading the Mesion toward the sonth, the opposition to which hy his brother led to the massitere and to the destruction of the town.
     "On the origin of the liods." It is prenerved with some curions variations in the
     they also formed fonr hundred men and five women for him to eat. At the death of the women their robes were preaerved, atd when the people carried these to the Coateper, the five women cance again into being. one of these wat cinatliche, an untonched virgin, who after four years of dinting phaced a bunch of white leathers

[^60]:    
     all of this into platin hi-tory. Ilis aceonnt is that when the satecs had ocenpied Follan for some time, and luat fortificd the lill and cultivated the platin, a dis-onsion arose. Gne party, followers of Ilnitzilopoelitli, desired to move on ; the ot lez
     the latter at misht, massacred flem, destroyed the water-dams and buildings, ant
     ing to several aceomets, Innitzmalna was the brother of Huitzilopochtif. see mis Amcican /lcro $1 / 1 / t h s$, p. st.
    *I have discussed both these accomsts in my A merien //ero M/yths, ehap. iii., and need not repeat the anthorites here.

[^61]:    *The mon highty colored dereriptions of the mythical Thlatare be be formo iat the
     Cuablithan, and in the varions writings of Iatitsochitl. Ifater anthors, such as Veita, Torghemada, ete., have conied from these txtimochill spaks of the "legions of fable" about Tuban and getzabeoatl which even in his day were still current ("otrat trescientas fabmati cue ann tulavia corren." Relaciones Mistoricas, in Kintriworongh, levion, Vol. is. p. 3.32).
    IIn the collection of fucient Wahath liems, which forms the seventh volume of
     text of one of the old songs recallitg the glories of Tuta, with its "homse of beams" huapalialli, and its "honse of phomed serpents," corallaguctablh, attributed on Quetzalcoatl

[^62]:    

[^63]:    * lather Duran relates, " liven to this diy, when innk the 1ndians, " Whonereated this pass in the mometans? Whoopened this opring? Whodiecovered trin cave? or. Who hime this difiee? they reply, 'The foltecs, the disciphes of rapa.'" His-
     was one of the nance of guetzalcontl. But the earlier misionary, Jather Motilinia, diatinctly states that the Nexiea invented their own ant and owed mothing to any
    
    
    
    + guetzalcontl annonnced that his return shomblate thace 5012 years after his final departure, as is mentioned byg Ixtidochitl (in Kinghorough, d/e:too, Vol, ix, p. 332). This number has probally sume mystic relation to the ealendar.

[^64]:    * Reviect extate from an article read before the Americam Philowophical sucidy in mis.

[^65]:     1. 1: Franciso Nimenco.

[^66]:     differ from this able writer, whose stmbies of the ghiche mat Cokehigned ate the most thorongh yet made, and from whose version the above trathslation of the "pening lines of the ftopot 1 "uh is taken.

[^67]:    
     para decir por obra vel poder del spirito samo. Al poder que tienen los sacerdotes de perdonar peeatos $y$ dar sacramentos, se laman, o an llamado, puz, natah. Asi
     muchas pattes destos vocablos en este sentido, Va wo estan tan en uso, pute entienden por el nombre poder $y$ irtaintagibal; $y$ son vocablos pue antisumente aplicaban a sus inlolos, 9 oy se procura que vayan oblidando todo atuchlo con que se tes pucde hacer memoria kellos."

[^68]:     Con los pies dan buchatan pabo tambien signtica el tember de cherper que da con la terciana, o la misma cission ; signilica ani méme quande quicre ya amane eot
     por antiparasassis, catiente, al tal ealorsillo thaman l"ugh."

[^69]:    
    
    
     dialect henatho means＂moon man，＂and＂month，＂reforing thereforeba night
    

[^70]:    *Ibid. pp. 225, 249.
    $\dagger$ Ibid. p. 31.4.
    $\ddagger$ Die Indianer zon Sama Calalina Istlavacan : cin Reilrag zur Cullurgeschichte der L'rbentohner Central Amerikas. Von Dr. Karl scherzer, p. 9 (Wien, 1856).
    \|Ibid., p. 11.

[^71]:    * Rescolios ì las Mistorias del origen de los Indiars, p. 157.
    $\dagger$ To guote his words:
    "Bersts: gatel vel teper. * * Quando an pasado dicen rion cohth ahatarem,

[^72]:    
    
    
    
     prepensar, cuidar, imaginar."
    
     mar:" Tatdes .tmerianas, Tarde is, p. 1/t. (Mexieo. 175.)

[^73]:    * Ximenez, Gramatica de la langa (uiche, p. 17.
    $\dagger$ hopol $l^{\prime \prime}$ hh, pp. 18, 20, 23. 69, etc.

[^74]:     complete dictionary of the Maya ever made. It dates from abont 1590 and has its name from de town of Motnl, Sucatan, where it was written. The anthor is whknown. (Aly two eopies of it are in exi:tence, one, very earefully male, with
    

    + Relacian de las cosas de lucalan, \% XXXII.
    ;" R'atit zih, r'atit zak," /opol l'uh, pir. 19, 20.

[^75]:     +" lint:ar, juntarse el macho con la hembra." Hrasseur, locabulatir . $1 / a 1 a$ laheatis, s.v.

[^76]:    

[^77]:    * 1 take ane following entries from cotos . $1 / \begin{array}{r}\text { Si: }\end{array}$
     cordel, ete.
    
    
     (imhiss."
    Lgnosant, appatestly of thic meanins, ar stolt contimes in his latest work to
    
     in this intigertant work.

[^78]:    

[^79]:    * I.as Casas, Histomia Apolegetica de las Indias octadentales, cap cxxiv Madrid edition): 1'. 1*. Alonzo Fernandez, Itistoria Ecclesiastica de Nizestoos Fiempos, p. Is: ('Tolede, 1611 ).

[^80]:     note to the $\begin{aligned} & \text { anol } \\ & \text { tinh, p. ;o. }\end{aligned}$

    + Ch'u qu $r$ ulen, "in its heart the earth." (Coto, Dice, s. v.)
    Coto adds that the ancient meaning of the word was a ghost or vision of a departed spirit-"antiguamente este nombre . Vibalbury signifieaba el demonio, vel los diffuntos ó visiones que se les aperescian, y asi decian, $y$ ann algumos ay que to di-
    

[^81]:    *" $1: 1$ lemonio se llamaba. $\operatorname{li} b i h a t$, que quiere deeir el que se desparece $\dot{\text { d deshan- }}$ ece." Historia de bucathan, i,ib. iv, eap. vii. Cogolludo had lived in Vincalan twentyone years when lie was making the final revision of his Ifistory, and was moderately well aequainted with the Maya tongue.
    $\dagger$ The Jiccionalio de' , Motul, Ms., gives:
    " Nmb, ribi, ribic: enndir como gota de aceita; esparcirse la comida en la digestion, $y$ deshacerse la sal, mieve o yelo, humo o mebla. Item: dorarecerse ma vision 8 fantasma. Hem : temblar de midedo y espantarse."
    ; De l.egibus, I, ib. ii, eap. 2.

[^82]:    *" Les petits Tigres," Mrathes de l'Antiquide Americane, zo viii, Popol l'uh, p. 34. note.

    + Compendio de Nombres en lengua Cakchiquel, ilS.
    $\ddagger$ Las Mistorias del Origen de los Indios, p. 16.

[^83]:    * Father Varea, in his Calipino al la Lengua Cakehiquel, .M.S., gives the following entrics:
    "B.anm: el tigre, sakbalam, tigre pequeño de su natnrelezo; gana balam, el grande, tambein sisa $1 n$ signo de los lndios. Maceal gih pobam, o Maria rhalam. Bulam se llama el echizero."
    " (Hek : el venado, Sig² meierto dia; otras veces dos dias; otras veces es signo de trece, ot ras veces cineo óseis dias it la quenta de los Indios: xa hunqueh ve gih, i, cay queh, zooqueh, zahaki, $\dot{\text { v. oxlahuh queh. }}$

[^84]:    * Published in the Amer ican Antiquarian, for May, 1 sis.
    
    $\ddagger$ The aticmac word kidooskituac, means "he is a cheat," probably one who cheats by lying. See Rand, Miemac Dectionarli, s, w, a cheat.

[^85]:    
     un gratul mombre de tmens, de tonrs, et de folies. 11 est reararie comme le principat génje ct le fondatenr deces mations. Chez les santens on l'appelle binaboj, chez
    

    + Maraga, ()hhipace lictionar.1.
    

[^86]:    

[^87]:    "O per fortma, o per ingano,
    Il vencer sempre c lamlabil cosa."

[^88]:    * An addre: 4 deliveted at the ammmat meting of the Nomi= matic and Antiguatian
    

[^89]:    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    

[^90]:    
    

[^91]:    
    

[^92]:    
     phat.

[^93]:    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    

[^94]:     the Butcut of R:thmeleger. p. 239.

[^95]:    * see Worsate, thansh fits, and Vireloow, in varions mombers of the Keitsehtift fii Ithmologic. The ring-cross is a common figure in American symbolism and decorative art. It frequently ocents on the shieds depicted in the bologna condes. and the two codices of the Vatican (kings boronglis . Intiquities of . Me:tico, Vols, it.

[^96]:    

[^97]:    * Informe del siñon ciura de liatabid, bon bartolomé del granado baeza, in the R'gristo, lucutero, tomo i, pp, ios at seq.

    The Res. Intanistao Carrillo was cura of licul, where he died in 15af. He wan a zealons areheologint, and is frequently mentioned by Mr. stephens in his travels
    
     in Ihe Figistro Vucatero, tomo is. p. 103.

[^98]:    * " be iddatras paganos que eran, sobo se ha comeghido que se convictan en
     Jucatan, 1'rologo, p. xxiv (Merida, ist5).

[^99]:    
    
    
     Ie Sratime Grophigue ef la hangue dis . Ihaves (1:aris, 18 go).

[^100]:    ＊Jat licata de lugo．que hosta hora coll efta provincial se hatia．＂－pre Diego
    

[^101]:    
    
    
    
    

[^102]:    

[^103]:    
    
    
    
     dicates the maverlime gember.

[^104]:    

[^105]:    *The form from which be derive it in lennitu.

[^106]:    * Reml before the Anthropologionl section of the Sumerican Asocialion for the Alvancement of science, at buffilo, Angms, inti, and published in the American
    Anttyarman in November of the same year.

[^107]:    
    
    

[^108]:    

[^109]:    

[^110]:     begun in soso. A new and enlaged chlition has lately becon editec be br. Itany
    
     its of a sober apprectiation of M. Aubin's results when he writes: " I.es reetherehe, de at. Anbin ont renssi a resondre prespe tontes les difficultes ghe presentait ba lecture des hiernglyphes mahats." (Introhetion, p. riii.) Ite is also in error in app. posing (in at note to same page) that Aubin's beory is not well-knownto Americanists. Brasseur popularized it in his introdnctions to his Mistoire du lexique. Abhin, in fact, guided by the spanish writers of the bith century and the amotators of the Codices, first elarly expresed the general principles of the phonetic pichere wisting: but his rules and identifications are entirely inadequate to its complete or cem partial interpretation.
     work contains a large mumber of of prepoed identifation: of hiercghphics. See also by the same writer. Emsato de Desifitation (ionglifica in the -thates ath $1 / 1$ usen Daciomat, tom. II. Much of this is fommed on Ramirco's studies, who, however, by his own admission, knew little or mothing of the Nahuatl language as he states in his introxluction to die coter chimathopora or Amates de (banditlan). Dr. I'enafiel's praiseworthy collection is entilled Cutathgeo Al/atetico de los nombersat lu-
    

[^111]:    

    > 1. Weostaphic.- (a) Dicturesor ihomographs. (b) Eymbuld. (1) Beleminatives

[^112]:     som. This and most of !! - .... - instances quoted are to be fonm in lard kines-
    
    

[^113]:    
     Rada y belkatla (Madrid, m4).

[^114]:    
    
     sion ol Den Juan de Dios de la Rala y lelgata.

[^115]:    
    
     volumbe.
    

[^116]:    
    
    
     i, ii.

[^117]:    * Peter Manter, becad iv, cars, viii.

[^118]:    
    
    
    
    
    
     Apolosertica de las Indias do idtrutales, cap. exsiii.

[^119]:    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
     may have sent them to spain.

[^120]:     podemos alegar son mos antiguos caracteres, mal entendidos de muchos, y abo... ados de mos indios antignos, ghe son hijos de los sacertotes de sus diones, que mat los que solo sabian leer $y$ adivinar, $y$ a quicu creian $y$ reverenciavan como a binne destos."

[^121]:     catith. fto. Aadrid, 1639, ff. I2s.

[^122]:    
    
    
    
    
     dian, de que se ven mathos en las minas de los edificios."

[^123]:    
    
    
    

[^124]:    * Ihid, lit. vii, cap. i.
    $\dagger$ Yen sh eata dambien tenia de estos Itolos, y Mena de sacrificios, y los Amaltehes, o litistorias de todo quanto los avia sucedido." Ibid., lib. viii, cap. xiii.

[^125]:    
     bet as " cin Versul hon ladinos, von in die spanische Wissenschat cingeweiht

[^126]:    
    
     session. It was compored abont $15 \bigcirc$. The still older Maya dictionary of father Villalpando, printed in Mexico in 15 , is yet in exintence in one or two copies, but I have never seen it.

[^127]:    
    
    I know no other anthor who makes the interesting statement that these chatacters were actually necd by the missionaries to impart instruction to the natives; but $t$ have heard that an example of one suth mantseript has been diseovered, and in now in the hands of a well-known dmeriennist.
     -"Relacion di las Cosas de lincatan," page 316.

[^128]:    
    
    
    
    
    
     be the early misatomates in ridiente, derivitg it from the well-known permbaci
    
     of bishop Carrillo. Indeed, the most of his treatment of the ancient history of his country is disappointingly superficial.

[^129]:    
    
    
    
    
    
     (iases de' biluctath.

[^130]:    
    
    
    
    
    
    
     identity of the names is confining and umformate.

[^131]:    
     thog, by the Fernel fovernment.

[^132]:    
     loguial, ath not clasesical.

[^133]:    
    

[^134]:    

[^135]:    
    
    
     ship.

[^136]:    
    
    
    
    
    
    

[^137]:     momeover, not a part of the Alexican state motil some time after che midelle of the
    

[^138]:    
    
     gested that the falling hat had reference to the long slanting rays of the arthang sllin. Sice above, p. $1.1^{\circ}$
    
     the work of some ignorant and cateless elerk, who often applien the explatation of one phate and date to another, throngh sheer negligence.

[^139]:    
    
    $\dagger$ the phonctic signifieance of this symber is well entablishet. Sce Jubin in the
     pr Ixix.

[^140]:    
    
    
    
    
     pected hisappraching end, and made a mamber of preparations with regate to it. The thales de cuanhtillan, p. So, places the events of to lorhlli mater the following
     tzm, le sucedio immediatamente Motenczomatzin."

[^141]:    * Selections from an Address read before the Numismaticand Antiguarian -wiety of Phitadelphia, in 1586.

[^142]:    A KIOWAY LOVE-SONG.
    I sat and wept on the libleside,
    I wept till the darkneso fell
    I wept for a maiden afir off
    A matiden who lover me se

[^143]:    * An Adress delivered liy requent lefore the thistorical societies of templatia
     and biagrophy for lat year.

[^144]:    

[^145]:    

[^146]:    
    
    
    
    
    
    
     inteflectatal things. of the many eommentators on this mantery prontatimat bave uned particularly the following:
     (328)

[^147]:     my references, matess otherwise stated, are to the edation of thmbendt's own
    
    
    

[^148]:    
    

    + He draws examples from the Carib, l, me, Thpi, Mbata, Hablecal Nabuth,
    
    

[^149]:    
    
    
    
     : inzugchert." Bd. vi. s. 1of.
    
    
     जhifl mit der . Spache, Bil. vi, s. 12 .

    + "1:ine Gedankenwell an löne geheftel." teber die: Buchstabenachrifl und ihere Susammenhang mit dem sprathtau, 1ke, vi, s. 5,30,

[^150]:    * This cardinal point in Humboldt's philosophy is very clearly set forth in his essay, Leber die Aufyabe des Geschichtscheibers. 13d, i, s, 23, and cisewhere.

[^151]:     etc, (iesammelle ll'oke, Bd, iii, ss. 2.11-264; athl see lbid, s. 270.

[^152]:    
    

[^153]:    * Ceber das IEntsthen der grammatischen Iormen, ete, Wioke, B4. iii, s. 292.
    tspeaking of such "imperfect" languages, he gives the following wine sugges. tion for their study: " har cinfaches ceheimmiss, welches den Weg anzeigt, auf weletem man sie, mit gänzlicher Vergessenheit unserer Grammatik, immer znerst zu entrathseln versuchen muss, ist, das in sich Bedeutende mumittelbar an cinander auteihen." Leber das Verglethende spor achatudium, etc., Werki', I:d, iii, s. 2.55; and for a practical illustration of his melhod, see the essay, lober das lintstion der grummatischen Formen, etc., Bd. iii, s. 274.

[^154]:    *"Der Mexikanischen kann man an Verbum, in welehem die Zeiten durch einzelne Findbuchstaben and zm Theil offenhar symboliseh bezeichnet werden, Flexionen und ein gewisses Streben nach Sanskritischer Worteinheit nicht absprechen." Veber die I'erschiedenheil, ctc., Werke, Bd, vi, s. 1;6.

[^155]:    *Read before the American Thilosophical Society in 1885, and revised from the Proceedings of that year.

[^156]:    *" Dieme thatsachen seheinen darauf hinzodenten, dase jeder gröscote in wich zusammenhaingende ländereomplex nur cinen oder doch mar ganz wenige matiogrundegrat herabbildet, so eigenartig, dass selten cine sprache gatne ath lem
     whd Sprathen, s. 14; (Iberlin, 1S84).

[^157]:    * Report of the Corresponding Secretary to the Committee, of his progress in the 'Inrestigation committect to him of the General Chavacter and Forms of the languges

[^158]:    
    

[^159]:    * "Je suindouc antorise à conclure qu'il fant tenit pour aboblument fance cette proposition devemue late d'y awoir tegarde de pres ame sorte de cliché: que i fobangues americaines different cutre elles par ba lexique, ches posedent memb moins an commun une sente et meme grammaire " Examon stammationl com
     des Americanister, infa. Tome $i i_{i}$ p. 242. As no one ever mantatined the unity of American grammar ontside of the fimed leibungsondem, it must be to this themy only that M. Adam alludes.

[^160]:    * (i) und iss des Sprachaissonschaft, Von Dr. Friedrich Mülter. Compare lid i., s. es, null 13d. ii, s. 182.
    $\dagger$ Introduction to the Staty of Indian Ianguages. By J. W. Powell. p. 5.5, somat edition. Washington, isso.

[^161]:    *This onsenre feature in Algonkin (irammar hats not yet been sitinficturity
    
    
     by D. Ci, Mrinton, pp. $35.3^{6}$ (Philadelphia, 1570 ).

[^162]:    
    
    
     that it is my objeet to eontrosert. Many other writert have matatathel it Flan-
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
     1,thoni firammar,

[^163]:    * This is the orthography of vere. The terminal vowels are both misals, whan is from the radical hia, to brathe, breath.
    
     dimentel. Tomo ii, ple. 431-4,45 (Mexico, 1975).
    

[^164]:    *" parte de fa dificnltad de cate idiomia consinte en la syncopa, phes el wo syneopar los principiantes artintas, escathat de que sus periodos y uracione nean tan rispidos, $y$ faltos de harmonia, por enyo motivo lon nativon don murnuran, $y$ tienen (como valgarmente decinosi), por guartreros." hestas dr oblumbphat. etc , 1, 1.46

[^165]:    *" I, othomi nons a tont l'air d'une langue primitivement incorporante, et quit. parvenn an dermier degré d'usure et delabrement, an fini par prendre lew athure
     caine. lar le Comfe de Clatencey, p. So (1'aris, tss 3 ).

[^166]:    * W'm. M. Gabl, On the Indian Tribes and Lansuages of Coste R'iat, in the I'ro
    
    f" Dessen eintacher Ban die aber die Amerikanisehen spracher im Altwemeinen
     schaft, ii Batul, s. 3 ts (Wien, 2.ssz).
     Dar lacien Adam, 1. 19 (Paris, Masomenve et cie, 1855).

[^167]:    
     Imprenta Nacional).

[^168]:    *Gabl. uli supria. 1. 5.39.

[^169]:     p. is. This expression is conclative as to the incorrectacen of the opinion of of Wham, and lrof. Aballer above quoted, and shows how easily even justly eminent

[^170]:     ret., fr. 5 (Rio Janeiro, 158, 3 ).
    †the most valuable for linguistic researehes ate the liollowing
     Ancheta. This is the oldest allthority, Anchietar having commersed á miwionary wh the Tupis in $\mathbf{1 5 5 6}$.
     Ruiz de Montoya. Ats admirable work representing the sonthern fupi an it wat int the first half of the seventeenth century
    ghth the above have been repmblished in recent gears. of mokern writing it whald particularly mame:
     I) A. Nogutira (Rio Jantiro. 18,6).
     Janciro, 15;6).

[^171]:    *. Notes on the Lingoa Geral, as above, p. it

[^172]:    
    
    
     11 2,5 .
    
    

[^173]:     motraings umber the titte "The lamgate of latientithic Man."
    
    
     who teleares the dischsion of the point with suffeient fulluess.

[^174]:    
    

[^175]:     the foungraplaic Emewhotedia, Vol, it.

[^176]:    * Dir-huit Ans her= les. Sthatgers, p. 5.

[^177]:    * l'elitot, Mictionnaine de la langra' lené Minajiti, lutronduclion.

[^178]:    
     (Berlin, 1sid). For other comparisons, see Tohnie and Dawson. locabmbun ". Inds. of Cirlish Columbiar, p. I2S.

[^179]:    
    
     bastare of the bantes of bolivia when the vert take the newative totmination
    
    
     vethath diypensed with pronounc attogether.

[^180]:    
    $\dagger$ Alfe de la Li'mgua (inurani, p. 93 .
    ! la hengrau Slaucana, p. 15 (Siantiago di Chile, 1S58).

[^181]:    

[^182]:    
     Montoya, p. Ino.

[^183]:    * Cirammalica de la Lengua Chithar. Introd.
    

[^184]:    
    
    
    
    
     (1.0nlon, 14.5.).

[^185]:    
    
    

[^186]:     phament of a single tonace for pant and fature tame.
    
    
    
    
    

[^187]:    
    
    
    
    

[^188]:    
    

[^189]:    
    
    
     $\dagger$ Chipewas: waitidficun, a string or comal.
     copulati sunt.
    
    Esce the remarks in Andrew's Ialin leviom, s. i.

[^190]:    * Chipeway: nin mintnima, llike (him, her, it).
     of ple ontre, he considers in antilhesis to di (compare Cerman ak't an an interjewtion or pain, and eites abundant examples.
    
    
    
     abl mumerons others.

[^191]:     Dictionary of this tompate:
    
    
    fotion, cotar cusartarlat laternta, ele.
    Hajoll, éssa ensirtiadia.
    The orisinal meaming of an, a pointed tool or awl, is not given by atomat bit is repatedy eapressed in the phonetic pietnrewriting of the Aatecs.

[^192]:    
    
    $\dagger$ 'lhere is amother word in Nalmall of simila, derivalion. It is pohat to make
    
    
    

[^193]:    
     (patge 1の21:

    Whi hes "t ith their lelosed face,
     "to bose" to denire, in the Jopot foth is applied to the price pad lor wive (p. 30,11 :

[^194]:    

[^195]:    * From the ( $)$ llana:

    Muav cosvailay, Muary mamahay,
    As: h washlu'ascay crosallay.
    oh, my queer! ol my mother!
    (Oh, my husibathe su beloved! (305, 306).
    These lines show both the word and its derivation.
    +1rom the chlenta:
    

    * From the (Hhanfa :
    curtucuscallus, my beloved one ( $\mathrm{t} \frac{7}{} 5^{9}$ ).
    couvaska, compassionate ( 1,65 ).

[^196]:     Anchorena in his (i) amation (wechut, pp. 131-135.

[^197]:    
    Tuta mathe withu, (iod loves us.
    Tuf hamte hath", the lowe which we have for liod.
    whath", 1 lowe ber him, it).
    
    
    
    \#. Another possible derivation woud be from whit, desire, appelite (spanish,
     hike buve (nee above).

[^198]:    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    

[^199]:    
    

[^200]:    

[^201]:    * Diciomario del Comarmio de' Modal, Ms., s. v.

[^202]:    *. Acanch (\%ithen. Tiluto de un selar. M Monte in Acanch, 1767, MS.

[^203]:    
    
    
    

    + Vearly all the monmments of Vacatan bear evinence that the Mayat had a pre-
    
    

[^204]:    * Coto, Diciomario de ha Lempua (idichiquel, aIs.

[^205]:    *Coto, Diccionario, als., s. v. " 1'loma de albañit,"

[^206]:    * Carochi, . Whe de la limpua Ménama, p 123

[^207]:     （ACxicr，1゙ャか）．
    

[^208]:    
     pitlames prisimanmente."
    
    

[^209]:     1). ©.
     eap xwii. "Catigaban motho aldue fatseaba medidas, diciendo que era enemigo de texhes i ladron publico," ete.

[^210]:    
    
    
    
    
    
    

[^211]:    
    
    
    

[^212]:    * A cony of this curious production called Cancionero almericano is the the libraty of the burean of E thmology at Washington. 'The introdnctory note is as follows:
    "Fsos cantos, escogidos en el ano mil y ocho cientos veinte y sicte, o veinte y ocho, por m viagero en Ancrica, $y$ despucs hallados en sus papeles, no vinicron jamás, siquiera por lo que podemos saber, conocidos del publico sabio. Ifstos son

[^213]:     todos eseritos en el dulee y pulido dialecto de aquel pueblo. Todos los amigos de La ciencia lan de sentir el precio de esta pequeño colleccion,"

    It will be noticed that the spanish is full of errors, as esos for estos, hallados for encomtades, para las on illas for por las on illas; and sentir el precio does not mean $\boldsymbol{a}_{i}$ ppociult, as the aththor wonld say, but "regret the price."

[^214]:    *The discussion elicited the following additional brochures from M, Adam:
    Le Taensa n'a pas itte forge de toutes piecus. Vellve de M. Friedrich vialle, i Lucich Aldum, pp. 4.
    

