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## FINANCIAL STATEMENT

OF TIIE<br>HONORABLE A. M. ROSS.

## Legislative Assembly, Toronto, 13th February, 1890.

Hon. A. M. Ross, in moving the House into Committee of Supply spoke as follows :-

Mr. Speaker, -In making the customary motion to go into Committee of Supply, I shall without preface proceed to present to the House a brief business statement of the transactions of the past year, the financial position of the Province at the close of 1889 , and our estimates of receipts and expenditure for the present year. In doing so $I$ shall follow the usual order which for some years past has been found the most convenient.

First, taking up the receipts for the past year, hon. members will find placed in their hands, as has been the custom for some years past, detailed
statements shewing all the receipts and expenditures for the past and the coming year. I believe the Press Gallery has also been supplied with these statements, and I think that it might perhaps be as well, to save the infliction of a tedious recital of all these figures, if I assume when I come to them, that they have been read, and afterwards proceed to make such comments upon them as occasion may require.

First, then, I will present the receipts for the past year.

RECEIPTS, 1889.

d the with o save hen I make


Now, the total receipts for last year, according to the detailed statement given for revenue estimated for, amounted to $\$ 3,499,385$. Added to that are the receipts from Drainage Debentures, which we do not estimate for, being merely a repayment on the Investment Account of Municipal Drainage Debentures. It will be noticed that our first item of receipts is subsidy from the Dominion, which remains, of course, the same as since Confederation, $\$ 1,196,872.80$. The next item is Interest on invested Capital, for which we have received $\$ 355,025.98$. Our estimate of receipts from this source was only $\$ 329,111$, so that we have received an excess of interest of $\$ 25,149$.

Mr. Speaker, hon. gentlemen opposite, and their newspaper critics also, are continually asserting that we have no assets; that the assets that
we claim are a myth, that they are not tangible-that they are not a reality, and that we cannot realize upon them. I think that is about the general statement they make. Let me propound to these hon. gentlemen and these critics a simple problem-if we have no revenue producing assets, where does all this inter su come from? It strikes me that there must be some substance where there is so palpable a shadow. Now, this amount of $\$ 355,000$ at five per cent. eepresents interest upon a capital of about $\$ 7,000.000$. This revenue is not partieular as regards this year. During this Parliament the amount we have reeeived has been in $1886, \$ 335,000$; in $1887, \$ 324,000$; in $1888,8334,000$; in 1889 , $\$ 355,000$, or an average for the four years of $\$ 337,332$. Now that represents a capital of over $\$ 6,500,000$, and if it is claimed that we have no assets, I think the responsibility rests with hon. gentlemen opposite to say where that interest is derived from.

The next item is Crown Lands Revenue, which is one of the most important sources of our revenue. Our estimate was $\$ 1,100,000$; our receipts, $\$ 1,196,455$. or there has been an excess of receipts over the estimate of $\$ 96,455$. In $1884, \mathrm{Mr}$. Speaker, the first year I assumed the duties of financial officer of the Government, I undertonk to meet the cry that our Crown Lands revenue was gradually diminishiny, and that we should soon be brought face to face with direct taxation. At that time I gave figures for uleven years, shewing what the actual revenue from Crown Lands had been, and I shewed that the receipts for the year previous (1883) had been $\$ 635,447$, or only a few dollars less than the average for the eleven years, and I proved from the figures then given that our revenue was not diminishing, and that we might reasonably look forward to an equal permanent revenue from that source. Now the average for those eleven yeurs was $\$ 651,038$. It might be interesting, Mr. Speaker, to continue that table, and bring these figures down to the present time, and see what the receipts from Crown Lands have been since that time, 1884, when I made that statement. In

| 1835, our receipts were |  | \$736,864 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1886, | " | 814,813 |
| 1887, | " | 1,113,142 |
| 1888, | " | 1,4,3,454 |
| 1889, | " | 1,196,455 |

or the average has been for that period, 8979,000 , as against the average for the previous eleven years of $\$ 651,038$.

But it may be said by hon. gentlemen opposite, that included in that average for the later period is a large amonnt from bonuses from sales of timber limits. I may say that during former years there were large receipts also for bonuses, and that therefore the comparison is made under exactly similar conditions as hefore. It may, however, be as well to see what our revenue has been after deducting these bonuses. Deducting the bonuses, in this latter period our average receipts from Crown Lands have been $\$ 724,000$ as against an average for the preceding eleven years, including bonuses, of $\$ 651,000$, that is, that under the same tariff of dues we have more than maintained the average of receipts in former years. But in 1888 there went into force a new terif of dues for lumber cut upon timber limits, the charge being at the rate of $\$ 1$ now as against 75 c . per thousand feet then, and although the Order in Council was passed in 1888 it did not affect the revenue until 139 , because it is on the preceding year's cut that the dues are collected, our revenue in 1889, exclusive of anything derived from timber bonuses, was $\$ 1,130,397$. Our total revenue was $\$ 1,196,455$, but included in that was $\$ 66,058$, balance of benuses realized on the sale of 1887 . Now, I am assured by the Crown Lands officers and the Commissioner that there is nothing abnormal in the receipts from Orown Lands for timber dues last year, and that we have every assurance in safely counting upon an equal revenue from the same source for many years to come.

Mr. Speaker, it ought to be superfluous at the present day to inform the House, or anyone who takes any interest in the financial matters of the Province, from what sources we have derived that revenue. The main source is by way of dues collected for lumber cut upon lands or territory under license for a term of years, subject to such fluctuations in the yearly output of lumber as may be caused by the incidences of trade or the climatic facilities for manufacture and shipment. Yet I might say that from news. paper criticisms which I have seen of late, commenting upon the amounts roceived by the Province under our system of open competition by pub. lic auction, and comparing it with the Dominion system of private sale it is evident that these critics either have no knowledge of what the
regulations are, or how we derive this revenue, or else they are purposely concealing their knowledge and making misleading statements to the public. When these comparisons have been made, and where it has been shewn that we have received under our system of public competition large bonuses, and the Dominion under their plan of private sales very small amounts in some cases and not any in others, these critics say "Oh, but the Dominion collects a royalty every year from those operating the limits," and they endeavor to make out that that royalty is fully equivalent to our bonuses. It might be well to look and see whether there is any foundation for this contention. I think I shall be able to shew that exclusive of the bonuses, the annual dues collected from the licensees under our Ontario system are far more than the royalties collected by the Dominion under their system. Now, what are the Dominion regulations? The licensee pays an annual ground rent of $\$ 5$ per square mile, and pays a royalty of five per cent. on all timber cut as sold. The returns of Dominion licenses shew that the value of lumber at the mill ranges from $\$ 14$ to $\$ 16$ per thousand feet, and the five per cent. royalty comes to from seventy to eighty cents per thousand feet, while our dues are $\$ 1$ per thousand feet. But it may also be said, "The Dominion in some cases grants special permits upon which they charge a royalty of $\$ 2.50$ per thousand feet." So does the Province of Ontario issue special permits in certain sections, upon which we also charge $\$ 2.50$ per thousand, because there are no bonuses paid in these cases. You will therefore see that under the Dominion license system, their licensees only pay an annual due of 70 c to 80 c . per thousand, while under our system licensees pay $\$ 1$. But they say the Dominion ground rent is $\$ 5$ per square mile while the Provincial ground rent is only $\$ 3$. Well what does that amount to? A difference of $\$ 2$ per year. Now if you take into account the average quantity of lumber upon a square mile, a very low estimate would be one million feet, and the average duration of the term in which ground rent would be paid is fully covered by, say, twenty years. Now, the present value of $\$ 2$ per annum for twenty years is $\$ 22.76$. If you divide that by one million feet cut, it only gives about two and one-quarter cents per thousand feet to be added to the 70 c . or 80 c . per thousand which they charge as royalty, so that all they collect at the very outside is say 83 c .
per thousand feet as against our one dollar, so you will see that there is no advantage to the Dominion in regard to the annual dues from ground rents, and the fact remains that under our system of public auction we are not only realizing very large and handsone sums as bonuses which the Dominion are not receiving. But the annual dues on timber cut are largely in excess of those received by the Dominion.
Coming now to the next item, our estimate of receipts from the Education Department was $\$ 22,000$. We have received $\$ 35,359$, or an excess of $\$ 13,359$. Last year the Minister of Education had made up his mind to change the system of non-professional examinations by transferring them to the County Boards, in which case the fees would have gone to the counties, but on further consideration he found it advisable to continue the old system, and therefore the examinations were conducted in the same way as before, and we received the fees as in forme: years. There has been an over-expenditure under this head in the expenditure statement, but it has been more than off-set by this increased revenue.
Then coming to Law Stamps, our estimate was $\$ 75,000$, and our receipts have been $\$ 34,841$, or an excess of $\$ 9,841$. That is mainly due to the receipts from the Land Titles Office, the fees of which are paid in law stamps. The fees received by the Master of Titles were $\$ 10,119$, and our excess of receipts over our estimate is mainly due to this item.

Then our next item of revenue is Licenses. We estimated $\$ 260,000$; we have received $\$ 302,734$. In making that estimate we took into account that of the large number of counties in which the Scott Act was in operation, some of them, judging from the votes of the previous year, would revert to the old license system, but we only took into account that probably one-fourih might do so, and only felt safe in adding $\$ 20,000$ to the receipts of the previous year. Objection was taken at that time that in making this estimate we were prejudicing the supporters of the Scott Act as regards that vote, and an effort was made to make capital against us amongst the temperance people by the cry that we were helping those who were working against the Scott Act. Now I hold, Mr. Speaker, that it is the daty of the financial officer of a Government or a corporation to take all contingencies into consideration that are likely to affect his anticipated revenue, and in this case I only took into account a very small proportion
of what we might have reasonably expected to have derived from that source, and estimated, as I say, for only $\$ 20,000$ of an additional revenue on that account. The actual result was that all the counties where the Scott Act was in operation, were by the deliberate voice of the people again brought under the License Act, and therefore we received $\$ 62,734$ more from that sorurce instead of the $\$ 20,000$ additional anticipated. I stated last year that if all the counties were to reppeal, and the same number of licenses issue as in 1885 , we might expect an additional revenue of $\$ 78,000$. All of them did reppeal, but the additional revenue has only been $\$ 62,734$ instead of $\$ 78,000$, and some explanation of the reason is necessary. In 1886 this Legislature increased the dues for licenses, and the return of licenses issued under that increase in those counties which have come under the License Act which were formerly under the Scott Act, has shewn that these high licenses have had the effect of reducing the number of licenses issued. In thirty-six districts in which the Scott Act was repealed there were in 18851,049 hotel licenses. In 1889 under the increase tariff there were only 932 , or a reduction of 117 . Of Shop Licenses issued in 1885 in the same districts there were 132 . In 1889 there were only 92 issued, or a reduction of forty, or altogether in these 36 districts there has been a reduction of 150 licenses. It is the belipf of the temperance people that the fewer the places where liquor is sold, the less will be drunk, and these figures certainly support this theory.

Coming now to the next source of revenue, i.e. Public Institutions, we estimated last year a revenue of $\$ 97,250$. We received $\$ 131,199$, or an excess of $\$ 33,949$. That is clue to the fact that $\$ 30,000$ was charged to Parliament buildings account and credited to Central Prison for brick manufactured at the Central Prison and furnished by that Institution to the contractors for the new Parliament buildings.

Then for Casual Revenue we estimated to receive $\$ 34,000$, and received $\$ 44,404$. There are a number of small items which this is made up of which it is not necessary to go into. There is an increase in Division Court Fees, also in Private Bills, Fines and Forfeits, etc., which amount to over what we estimated by $\$ 10,404$.

Then we estimated to receive from sale of Asylum lands for the erection of the Mimico cottages $\$ 185,000$. We only shew receipts $\$ 119,851$, or
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$\$ 65,149$ less than our estimate. In making these sales we took mortgages for tinree-fourths of the purchase money, and a sufficient amount only of these mortgages were sold to meet the expenditure on the cottages now in course of instruction. About $\$ 80,000$ of these mortgages are still held and will be disposed of to meet the expenditure on these cottages during the present year.

Our total estimate of receipts for 1889 was $\$ 3,332,083$. We have received $\$ 3,499,385$, exclusive of Drainage Dcbentures, therefore our total receipts for the past year have been in excess of the revenue estimated by $\$ 167,302.25$. (Cheers.)

Now, coming to the expenditure, of 1889 , it has been as follows :-
EXPENDITURE, 1889.

| Civil Government | \$211,761 68 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Legislation. .... . .................. . ................................. . | 119,938 69 |
| Administration of Justice | 366,252 24 |
| Education | 598,238 32 |
| Public Institutions Maintenance | 728,909 61 |
| Immigration . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 6,849 90 |
| 'Agriculture . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 138,787 58 |
| Hospitals and Charities .................... ....................... | 120,402 48 |
| Repairs and Maintenance P. B........... . ... . .................. . | 64,732 60 |
| Public Buildings | 518,407 39 |
| Public Works | 23,071 66 |
| Colonization Roads | 103,666 63 |
| Charges on Crown Lands | 101,775 68 |
| Consolidation of Statutes | 78197 |
| Miscellaneous | 60,013 63 |
| Refunds | 18,024 87 |
| Ordinary Expenditure under Supply Bill . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 83,181,614 93 |
| Drainage Debentures | 17,727 88 |
| Tile " | 8,900 00 |
| Railway Aid Certificates | 247,982 14 |
| Annuity " | 52,200 00 |
| Widows' Pensions | 1,764 07 |
| New Parliament Buildings....... .... . . . | 143,167 35 |
|  | 83,653,356 37 |

First, in regard to Civil Government, we estimated that our expenditure would be $\$ 213,145$. It has been $\$ 211,762$, or an under-expenditure of $\$ 1,383$. Then in Legislation our estimate was $\$ 121,550$, and our
expenditure $\$ 119,938$, or an under-expenditure of $\$ 1,612$. There were a few small over-expenditures under this head, but as a whole we have closely approximated our estimate. It will be noticed by the detailed statemont in the Public Accounts that there is a little overexpenditure in the item of House Post Office. This is due to the fact that a much larger quantity of mail matter was sent out last Session than in the one previous in the way of Sessional Papers, etc., and the result was that the item for postages was overdrawn to the extent of $\$ 657$.

Then in Administration of Justice our estimate of expenditure was $\$ 369,766$. Our actual expenditure has been $\$ 366,252$, or our estimate of expenditure was more than we found it necessary to expend by the sum of $\$ 3,514$. There are some few items which were overdrawn, but in the aggregate we have under-expended to the amount stated. There is, you will notice, an over-expenditure in connection with reporting. There was no vote taken for salaries of Reporters last year, as it was expected that the amount at the credit of Reporters' Fund would be sufficient to cover this service, but it was found that it would only cover ten months' salaries, and we were obliged to pay the remaining two months' out of ordinary revenue. Then in constitutional questions we estimated an expenditure of $\$ 12,000$. There has only been an expenditure of $\$ 5,786$, or an under-expenditure of $\$ 6,213$. In Algoma District there has been an over-expenditure of $\$ 3,700$; Muskoka District, of $\$ 4,487$; Parry Sound District, of $\$ 1,320$, while there has been an under-expenditure in regard to the new Districts of Rainy River, Thunder Bay, Nipissing and Manitoulin of $\$ 4,637$. Altogether, however, the expenditure in regard to Administra.tion of Justice in the Outlying Districts has been only - $\$ 5,143$ more than the amount voted.

Then in regard to Education, we estimated an expenditure of $\$ 594,377$; we expended $\$ 598,238$, or an over-expenditure of $\$ 3,860$. This excess was on account of the non-professional examinations before referred to, which were conducted here, as previously, at a cost of $\$ 4,235$, but as an offset we have the extra receipts derived therefrom in fees referred to in revenue.

Coming to Public Institutions Maintenance, we estimated to expend $\$ 736,494$. Our expenditure has been $\$ 728,929$, or an under-expenditure
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of $\$ 7,585$. We took an estimate of $\$ 5,530$ for six months' maintenance of the Mimico Cottages, containing 100 patients, which were promised to be ready for oocupation by 1 st July, but from delays in connection with building we did not enter into occupation until January, which accounts to some extent for the unexpended balance. Then, again, there has been an over-expenditure in connection with the Central Prison Industries of $\$ 7,403$. Hon. gentlemen are aware that we have made changes in regard to the contracts under which the industries have been carried on. Those changes involved the employment of a number of foremen to carry on these Industries, these foremen having formerly been paid by the contractors, we have had to assume that responsibility, which accounts for our overexpenditure in that connection.

In Agriculture there has been an under-expenditure of $\$ 5,200$, principally a saving of some $\$ 2,000$ in connection with Agricultural Societies and Associations, $\$ 2,393$ in regard to statistics, and $\$ 1,400$ in the Agricultural College.

Then for Public Buildings we took on estimate of $\$ 612,389$. We have expended $\$ 518,407$, leaving an unexpended balance of $\$ 93,982$. I may say that this expenditure of $\$ 518,000$ is the largest expenditure for Public Buildings in any year since Confederation, but hon. gentlemen must recollect that it includes $\$ 260,455$ for new Asylum accommodation, viz., $\$ 172,000$ for the cottages at Mimico, $\$ 58,000$ for the new buildings at Orillia, and $\$ 30,000$ for enlargements at London. In some quarters, Mr. Speaker, complaints have been made that the Government bave been remiss in their duty in the matter of providing for the insane population. Whatever may have been the shortcomings of the Mowat administration, I am satisfied the Government cannot be charged with neglecting this important branch of their duty. I say boldly that no Government that I am aware of, either National, Provincial or Federal, has done more in the direction of supplying accommodation for the poor and needy insane than has the Province of Ontario. I say that there is no other country, State or Province, that I know of that has taken upon itself the full charge and cost of maintenance of its insane. While in other countries the maintenance and custody of the needy insane is thrown largely upon the municipalities, the Province of Ontario assumes the
whole burden, and I say that any charge that we have been remiss in this particular matter is emphatically intrue. During this Parliament we have provided no less than 508 additional beds in our Asylums, i.e. new accom. modation for 508 patients. The necessity for increased accommodation was urgent and we have been compelled to provide it at a cost of upwards of $\$ 600,000$. When all our cottages are completed at Mimico, there will be additional accommodation for 350 insane, and at the Idiot Asylum, Orillia, we shall have additional accommodation for 200 inmates. Our extra expenditure the past year for maintenance alone, as hon. gentlemen will see, is $\$ 70,000$. There is no doubt that this expenditure is growing from year to year, and it is unfair to charge us with extravagance because of this increased expenditure that is thrown upon us. On the one hand we are charged with not doing enough in the way of providing sufficient accommodation, and allowing patients to remain in gaol, and on the other hand, when we do provide additional accommodation, critics turn to the expenditure and point to the increase as an evidence of extravagance.

Included also under Public Buildings is $\$ 63,000$ which we have expended in connection with the Central Prison in that change of industries which I have already referred to, and which has been undertaken by the direction of the House. We have also expended in new buildings in connection with the Agricultural College during last year $\$ 27,000$, and $\$ 33,000$ for the new School of Practical Science.

In Public Works our expenditure has been $\$ 23,071$, against an estimate of $\$ 33,759$, or an unexpended balance of $\$ 10,688$.

Then in Miscellaneous our estimate was $\$ 54,150$. and we have expended $\$ 52,075$, or we have not expended all the vote by $\$ 2,075$. Included in that is an estimate of $\$ 6,750$ for expenses under the Canada Temperance Act. but in consequence of the repeal of the Scott Act we have only expended $\$ 3,378$. Of the $\$ 50,000$ for Unforeseen and Unprovided, we have only found it necessary to draw $\$ 9,924$.

Now, Mr. Speaker, the total votes which were placed at our disposal last year under the Supply Bill were $\$ 3,360,040$. Our ordinary expenditure under the Supply Bill has been $\$ 3,181,614$ during the year, or we have expended less than what you voted us by $\$ 178,426$. Our ordintory
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receipts, I have already informed you, were $\$ 3,499,298$, so that our ordinary receipts for the past year have exceeded our ordinary expenditure by $\$ 317,684$. (Applause.)

But outside of our expenditure under the Supply Bill there are some statutory expenditures which we have to make. We have paid in cash for railway certificates and annuities $\$ 300,182$; widows' pensions, $\$ 1,764$; and for the new Parliament buildings, $\$ 143,167$, or our total expenditure, including railway aid and Parliament buildings, has been $\$ 3,653,356$, while our total receipts have been $\$ 3,538,405$, or our expenditure for all purposes, including capital, has been $\$ 144,951$ more than our receipts from all sources.

Now critics of our Provincial finances are very fond of the word "deficit." I see that even already, in regard to last year's transactions, it is claimed that there has been a deficit. When we have some $\$ 500,000$ cash in bank, I do not see that they can say that there is a deficit. I do not think an ordinary corporation would say so if they had at the close of the year a balanceat their credit in the Bank of half a million of dollars, but I should like these hon. gentlemen to look through the same spectacles at the Dominion accounts as they do at the Provincial. I have heard a great flourishing of trumpets proclaiming that the Dominion had a surplus of $\$ 1,800,000$ in 1889, and yet, notwithstanding, the Public Accounts shew that the Dominion have borrowed money and increased their debt by $\$ 2,998,683$ during the past year. Now it is very easy to get a surplus if you borrow more money than you need to spend and then call the balance a "surplus." Our statement is a statement of the exact amount paid and received for all purposes. The Dominion may glory in having a "surplus" of $\$ 1,800,000$ when they borrow the money to make it. Yet hon. gentlemen opposite call that a "surplus" and ours a deficit.

Now, we have met from our ordinary receipts all that was necessary to carry on the service of ti. Government; we have expended, as I said before, in Asylum accommodation $\$ 260,000$; we have paid on account of the new Porliament buildings $\$ 143,000$, and we have paid $\$ 258,000$ for other public buildings. We have therefore added to our permanent capital investments $\$ 661,000$, and we have paid in cash, as I said before, $\$ 300,000$ in railway aid, and yet at the close of the year we have now cash in bank
$\$ 517,261$, or only $\$ 111,683$ less than at the commencement of the year. I think, Mr. Speaker, that the position is one that the House and the country may very fairly congratulate themselves upon.

I now come to our statement of

## aSSETS AND LIABILITIES.

Invhatments, Interest Bearing, and Cash Assets of the Province.
(1) Direct Investments-

Dominion 6 per oent. bonds
$\$ 200,00000$
Market value over par value 10,000 00
Drainage 5 per eent. debentures invested 31 st December, 1889 $\$ 187,48114$
Tile drainage 5 per cent. debentures, invested 31st December, 1889

78,45669
Drainage works-
Municipal Assessments.
224,742 01
490,679 84
(2) Capital held and debte due by the Dominion to Ontario, bearing interest-
U. C. Grammar School ìund (2 Vict., cap. 10)
\$312,769 04
U. C. Building Fund ( 18 Sect., Act 1854)... Land Improvement Fund (See Award). 1,472,391 41

Ontario's share of Library (See Award) 124,685 18

Comm 26)-proceeds realised to 31st December, 1889, \$2,446,584 64-after deducting Land Improvement Fund. Portion belonging to Ontario

1,433,569 33
Balance of Unpaid Subsidy and other credits held by Dominion, according to statement of account prepared by the Finance Minister, end transmitted to the Provincial Treasurer in June, 1886
$1,677,38500$
5,126,340 96
(3) Other debts due to the Province-Mortgages, re sale of Asylum lands, bearing 5 per cent. interest
(4) Bank Balances-Current accounts

Special deposits
105,518 90
411,742 77
517,261 67
Total
86,436,397 84
the year. and the

Liablaities of the Province at Present Payable.
(1) Balance due to municipalitics re surplus distribution
(2) Balance due to municipalities re Land Improvement Fund-interest....................... . .
(3) Quebec's share of collections for Common School Fund in 1889, made up as follows:-
Collections during 188!, on account of lands sold between 14th June, 1853 and 6th March, 1861

10,423 36
Less $f$ per cent., cost of management........ 62540
Less one-quarter for Land Improvement Fund
Collections during 1889, on sales made since $\quad \$ 7,34847$
(ith March, 1861 .......................... 83,99493
Less 6 per cent., cost of Management......... 23970

Quebec's proportion, according to population of 1881 .......................................

Total
\$11,103 70
4.59757
$\$ 9,14542$

Surplus of assets after deducting liabilities presently payable
$\$ 6,427,25241$
Now, in this statement of assets it will be observed that some changes are made in the funds stated to be held by the Dominion Government to the credit of the Province as compared with former statements. Firstly, it will be noticed that in the statement of last year and for several years before, the Common School Fund was placed at $\$ 891,20174$. That was Ontario's proportion of the Common School Func which passed into the hands of the Dominion at Confederation. Ontai, sowever, has been making collections on account of the fund since Confederation, and the amount of those collections to 31st December 1888, amounting to $\$ 925,626.40$, was at my request in January, 1888, placed by the Finance Minister to the credit of this fund and Ontario's account with the Dominion charged therewith. The total Common School Fund, therefore, now held by the Dominion for account of the two Provinces is $\$ 2,446,584.64$, and Ontario's share of that, according to the award, is $\$ 1,433,569$, which we take credit for as an asset. Then, for 2 (B.)
the last few years there has appeared in these assets the sum of $\$ 2,848,289$, the amount which under the Act of 1884 was placed by the Dominion to the credit of Ontario. As I mentioned last year, it had been agreed at the Conference that took place in October, 1888, that the contentions of myself and the Treasurer of Quebec should be carried into effect, viz., that under the Acts of 1873 and 1884 the assumption of the balance of debt of $\$ 10,506,000$ should take effect as at the date of Confederation, instead as at date of 1873 . Our reasons for this were that as the Dominion was applying these Acts, the Provinces were being charged in the accounts made out by the Dominion compound interest on the surplus debt, and were only under the Act of 1884 receiving in return what they had paid with simple interest, and this plan of charging compound interest against the Provinces and only allowing them simple interest in return involved a direct loss to Ontario of over $\$ 400,000$. The effect of the arrangement of the old Province of Canada account made at the last Conference is, that the $\$ 2,848,000$ is taken out of the credits in Ontario account, and on the other side all the charges made against the Proviuce for interest on the surplus debt between 1867 and 1873 are also struck out, and the amounts retained by the Dominion out of our subsidy during these years to meet that interest, become credits in the Ontario account bearing interest to the Province. Taking the accounts submitted to the Province by the Dominion in 1886 as the basis, the account would show after charging the transfer to Comınon School Fund, a balance held by the Dominion to credit of Ontario, exclusive of the specitic trust funds already recited, of $\$ 1,677,385$ -which I therefore take credit for as an asset held by the Dominion for the Province, and put this in place of the $\$ 2,848,000$ which was credited to us under the Act of 1884. In taking it in this form, I am, for the time being, assuming as correct all the charges made by the Dominion for disbursements made on account of the Province since Confederation. These will all have yet to be examined and proved before they are finally allowed. I have on a previous occasion informed the House that during the first two years of Confederation the Dominion had paid for the Province, in ordinary expenditure, such as Civil Government, Administration of Justice, Education, etc., upwards of half a million of dollars, which had never been brought into our Provincial accounts, and which was never- nion to reed at tions of iz., that debt of ad as at pplying out by y under nterest, ces and loss to the old hat the he other surplus retained eet that to the ominion transfer redit of 677,385 hion for credited for the ion for eration. finally during he Proation of ch had
never
taken into account by honorable gentlemen opposite in all those comparisons of Sandfield Macdonald's expenditure which they used to be so fond of making. I have no doubt but most of those charges are correct, and I have always admitted that when a settlement was made these would reduce the amount we claimed to be in the hands of the Dominion, but that we would have counter claims against the Dominion which I thought would fully offset these charges.

In accepting the account submitted by the Dominion in 1886, including all these disbursements, as correct in the meantime, without taking any credit for those claims that we have against the Dominion, we are placing the amount held by the Dominion only at the figures admitted by themselves in their accounts of 1886 as held for Ontario. I do this, although it reduces our assets in the hands of the Dominion below what we have heretofore placed them at, by the sum of $\$ 244,670$, and I do it for the purpose of removing any vestige of claim that we are claiming fanciful assets, or assets which have in part ever been paid either to the Province or on its account. The total funds, therefore, held by the Dominion we place at $\$ 5,126,950$ instead of $\$ 5,754,877$ as in last year's statement.

It is true that the Dominion Finance Minister has repudiated the accounts made out and furnished by himself in 1886 , which were made out on the principle of 5 per cent. compound interest in accordance with the agreement of 1882, and has substituted other accounts made upon a different principle unfavorable to the Provinces; but we place the amount as shown by the accounts of 1886 , because we are satisfied that we will be able successfully to maintain our right to it, and the correctness of the principle on which these accounts are made up.

Now, it is continually asserted by hon. gentlemen opposite, and by the Conservative press, that we have no surplus; that our assets are not tangible assets, that we cannot realise upon them ; that in regard to some of them we cannot demand the payment of the principal, but only the interest. I will read over seriation the different assets we claim, and I challenge hon. gentlemen opposite, whether as members of this House or as representing the Conservative press, to take a substantial objection to one of them, as we would like to meet these objections here and now. First, we have Dominion bonds, which are neld by our bankers.
for the Province, which we place at their market value, $\$ 210,000$. Hon. gentlemen will, I presume, admit that is a tangible asset. Next we have municipal debentures issued for drainage, which we could dispose of on the market to-morrow. Do hon. gentlemen dispute that as an asset fairly taken credit for? Then we have the Grammar School Fund $\$ 312,769$ placed to our credit under the awarll at Confederation, not a dollar of which have we ever drawn. I would ask is there any objection to that as an asset worth its face, which we could demand to-morrow if desired? Then we have the U. C. B. fund, $\$ 1,472,391$, also awarded to Ontario, placed at our credit by the Dominion, and not a dollar of the principal of which has ever been drawn. Do hon. gentlemen object to that being placed as an asset? Next we have the Land Improvement Fund, $\$ 124,685$, awarded to Ontario, and which Ontario has paid over to the municipalities in advance of receiving it from the Dominion. Is that ohjected to? Then we have the amount which the award decided the Dominion should pay for Ontario's interest in the Library, $\$ 105,541$. We have never drawn a dollar of it. It is still held to our credit by the Dominion and intercst paid upon it.

Then we come to the Common School Fund, $\$ 1,433,569$. That fund stool at Confederation at $\$ 1,520,959$. It was held by the Dominion. The Quebec award provided that it should continue to be held by the Dominion as trustee for the two Provinces, who should have respective shares in it roughly as $5-9$ to $4-9$, Ontario having the larger share, and should half-yearly receive from the Dominion interest upon it. The award also proviled that the collections made by Untario after Confederation should be paid to the Dominion to be placed to the credit of the fund. The collections made by Ontario up to 31 st December, 1888 , amounted to $\$ 925$,625, which has been paid to the Dominion, or, which is the same thing, the Dominion has, at Ontario's request, charged the amount against Ontario's credit balance and added it to the original fund, making the amount of that fund now $\$ 5,126,340$. If Ontario had received justice in the Quebec award the whole of this fund should belong to her. Every acre of the land set apart for this fund was in this Province, and had Ontario's claim been properly pressed I cannot conceive it possible that the arbitrators would ever have given Quebec an interest in lands in the Province of Ontario. However, we are bound by the award. Now, we take eredit
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for Ontario's share of that fund, which is held by the Dominion, which they acknowledge they hold for us, and on which they pay us interest halfyearly. Is it not as good and substantial and safe an investment as the Dominion bonds or the municipal debentures? It is bringing us as high a rate of interest as any of our other investments, and I cannot perceive any reasonable objections to it being treated as an asset.

I know very well that hon, gentlemen opposite have made objecticn to that item being treated as an asset in the past. They have said, "You can only draw the interest. They are trust funds, which the Dominion will only pay interest on. The Dominion wont consent to give you the principal." Now, I have always contended that if ever Ontario wanted to realize on that, and Quebec and she united in asking that the principal sum should be paid over to them, the Dominion would never object; that as soon as Ontario and Quebec would say, "We want these funds," the Dominion would be ready to hand them over. Hon. gentlemen opposite have disputed that. The cry has been, "You have no right to put that in as an asset, because the Dominion would not dare to pay it over." Well, now, I wish to inform hon. gentlemen that I have the written opinion of a gentleman well versed in Dominion finances, whose right to speak with authority on this question hon. gentlemen will not deny, that the Dominion would hand it over if desired. I will read what he says. Other matters are referred to, but I will read the extract referring to this particular thing :-
"As the Common School Fund is solely for the benefit of the Provinces of Ontario and Quebec, and the Dominion simply acts as trustee in the matter to invest the moneys and pay over to the Provinces the interest derived from such investments, it appears to the Dominion Governmen: that it would be in the interest of all parties if a tripartite arrangement were entered into and ratified by the necessary legislation whereby the fund and the property connected therewith should be completely transferred from the Dominion to the Provinces, and I am directed to ask your consideration of this suggestion."

This letter, Mr. Speaker, is from the Hon. Finance Minister of the Dominion of Canada. (Applause.) Now, I would ask hon. gentlemen opposite where they stand in their objections to this item? I have myself
always contended that if Ontario chose to demand the payment of the capital held in trust for her by the Dominion, the Dominion would never hesitate to hand it over. And here we have the Finance Minister asking us to take it over. If we did accept it and place it in the bank to our credit, would it not be a cash asset? Hon. gentlemen are rather unfortunate in their contentions. First of all, they objected that we should take in any of these funds held by the Dominion as part of our assets. Then in conrse of time they gradually let themselves down a little. Then they took emphatic ground in regard to the $\$ 2,848,000$ placed to our credit by the Finance Minister under the Act of 1884. They said the Act provided that interest only was to be paid. That was their contention for a year or two until the Finance Minister placed the whole amount in his public accounts, to the credit of Ontario as capital due to her, and now we have the Finance Minister of the Dominion himself casting to the winds the last vestiage of their claim, that the Common Schonl Fund could not be treated as an available asset, by inviting us to take the money out of their hands! (Applause.)

Then let me take the other items of assets. We have mortgages, \$92,115. Now it may be said that we anticipated selling these mortgages. We found, however, that it was not necessary to realize upon them last year. We propose to do so the current year, but in the meantime they are yielding us 5 per cent.

Lastly, we have at our credit in the banks the sum of $\$ 517,261$, making a total surplus of assets, after dedusting liabilities presently payable, of $\$ 6,427,252$, and $I$ challenge hon. gentlemen here and now to dispute a single item in the statement. (Applause).

Then as regards liabilities, we have a small proportion due the Province of Quebec for their share of the collections on account of Oommon School Fund made during last year, to be transferred to the Dominion, and then the amount will appear to the credit of Ontario. I know, sir, that hon. gentlemen take the ground that our liabilities are stated to be merely present liabilities. That is all that we profess to shew. We profess by this statement of assets and liabilities to shew assets that are this day available,-that we can turn into cash, and we deduct from that liabilities that are presently payable, but we do not take into account our Railway Aid certificates,
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which were purposely issued with the intention that they should not be made a charge upon our present assets, but should be a charge upon future years. When Mr. Blake proposed his vote of $\$ 100,000$ annually for 20 years, it was his intention, as announced at the time, that the yearly payments should not be taken out of present balances of assets, but deducted out of future years receipts, as they became due. We have earried out that same principle, and we have always admitted, and admit now, that they are a liability of the Province to be met out of ordinary revenue as they mature. For the last two years we have payed them out of annual revenue; at the same time we have the power, if necessary, to issue renewals of our railway certificates and postpone their retirement until future years, and which we did by and under the annuity scheme. That is the position of our assets and liabilities, and I may say that we are not single in this mode of treating such anuual liabilities. The Dominion Government, who hon. gentlemen will of course claim, are not in the habit of blinding their supporters by presenting them with "cooked" accounts, have provided in their Railway Subsidy Act that instead of paying a lump sum, they may give the Railway Companics so much per year for fifteen, twenty or thirty years just as we do, and they do not treat these annual payments as liabilities. Why then should not we treat ours in a s.milar way?

I am sorry, Mr. Speaker, that I cannot report that any definite progress has been made in regard to settlement of the long-standing accounts of the Dominion. Last year I informed the House of the position taken by the Finance Minister in regard to the interest to be allowed the Provinces and the mode of its computation. The correspondence then submitted showed that for six years the Provinces of Quebec and Ontario and the Dominion had been by conferences and correspodence making progress towards the settlement of details and disputed points, always apparently under the settled conviction that the agreement of 1882 , as to interest, was concurred in by all parties. I rieed not now repeat all those acts of concurrence on the part of the Dominion in that arrangement. Taken altogether they must be conelusive to any impartial mind that during all that time no idea was ever entertained by the Dominion Executive of repudiating that
arrangement. The information first conveyed to the Provinces by the present Finance Minister in October, 1888, just as a tinal settlement was approaching, certainly took the Provinces by surprise. It was so contrary to the spirit and tone of the preceding negotiations, so unfair to the Provinces, and, as it appeared to them, so direct an act of bad faith that the Provincial Government could not bring themselves to believe that it was seriously intended. The Treasurer of Quebec and myself accordingly addressed a joint remonstrance to the Finance Minister on the subject. The reply of the Finance Minister, which will be submitted to you, is a reiteration of his former decision, that the Dominion do not consider themselves bound by the agreement of 1882 , whereby 5 per cent. compound interest was to be allowed, and will only allow 5 per cent. simple interest, as shown in the new statement of accounts submitted in 1888. Now, Ontario will never quietly submit to such an unfair and unjust arrangement. It would directly rob her of upwards of a million and a quarter of dollars, which she is clearly and justly in equity entitled to. It may be thought by some that if the Dominion allow 5 per cent. simple interest it is not unreasonable, and is a higher rate than the Dominion is paying for money in the market at the present time. If the transactions were of recent date or only outstanding for short periods, that might be conceded, and it would not be of such consequence; but it must be rememberel that these moneys belonging to the Province, upon which we claim interest, have been held by the Dominion continuously for upwards of 22 years, and that for several years of that period the Dominion were paying over 6 per cent.in some cases as high as 7 per. cent.-on moneys borrowed in the open market. Why, in 1868 this Province bought Dominion 6 per cent. bonds at 2 per cent. discount. Again, had the Finance Minister, in the accounts he has submitted, even applied the principle, which is the rule in all interest-bearing accounts, of applying the payments made to the Province from time to time as payments on account of interest then accrued due, before applying on principal, some measure of justice would have been done, even under the operation of an unfair rate. But in the accounts which he has submitted he las carried the interest accrued from year to year into an interest account, until large amounts had accumulated, upon which, he does ment was as so conso unfair in act of hemselves f Quebec - Finance r, which ion, that greement allowed, 1 in the ario will It would rs, which ; by some anreason$y$ in the date or rould not moneys een held that for : cent.he open t. bonds accounts e in all ?rovince ied due, en done, rhich he into an he does
not allow interest. The Finance Minister's own accounts show, that during the 22 years there has been continuously at the credit of that interest account large balances, averaging nearly a millon of dollars, belonging to the Province, which the Dominion has been holding and using without interest; so that by this manipulation of the account, the Dominion is only offering to pay Ontario $3 \frac{3}{4}$ per cent. interest on the sums held, instead of 5 . Let me illustrate this by taking the balances shown by these accounts to be held by the Dominion at several periods, for instance, on July 1, 1884, it is shown that the Dominion held to the credit of Ontario, for principal, $\$ 2,583,416$, and at the credit of interest account, $\$ 1,228,595$; in all, $\$ 3,812,011$. The Dominion held and were using for their own purposes, by their own admission $\$ 3,812,011$ of Ontario's money. Now, for one year's interest of that money the Finance Minister in his account only credits Ontario with $\$ 129,170$, which is just about $3 \frac{1}{3}$ per cent. And so for other years loth before and after the one given. It is untrue therefore, and a deception to say that the Dominion are offering us even 5 per cent. simple interest. And Ontario will never consent to a settlement on that unfair basis. However, as it seems impossible to move the Finance Minister by the reasons of equity or good faith which we have adduced, we have, with the view of an amicable settlement, and to avoid delays and costs, made a proposition which, while not the compound interest to which we claim to be entitled, we would accept as a compromise ; failing the acceptance of that proposition we ask a reference of our rights to arbitration. I will explain the proposition we have made. When Confederation was inaugurated there passed into the hands of the Dominion, certain Trust Funds, which up to that date had been administered and held by the Government of the old Province of Canada. The Trust Funds specially belonging to Ontario which thus passed to the Dominion were the U. C. Grammar School Fund, $\$ 312,759$; the U. O. Building Fund, $\$ 1,472,391$, and the U. C. Improvement Fund, $\$ 1 \pm 4,685$, and there also passed into their hands the Parliamentary Library of the old Province of Canada, which, in accordance with the Quebec award, the Dominion agreed to pay Ontario $\$ 105,541$ for their share of it. In all, therefore-in addition to some other balances, the Dominion took over and held in trust for Ontario $\$ 2,015,385$ in these four funds alone, and they hold the whole of that to-day; not a dollar of it has been drawn.

They also hold another Trust Fund, the Common School Fund, and in accordance with the instructions of the Quebec award, the Dominion have in their accounts regularly every half year placed to Ontario's credit, interest at 5 per cent. on Ontario's share of that fund, and on these credits on account of Common School Fund the Dominion allow interest. Our proposition is that the Dcminion shall treat those other Trust Funds that I have named in the same way as the Common School Fund, by crediting as a credit on capital the interest half yearly, and that these half-ycarly credits shall bear interest to the Province in the same way as any other sum received by the Dominion for account of the Province. Ontario has a clear case against the Dominion for compound interest on the two most important of these trust funds, the Grammer School Fund ard 'he Upper Canada Building Fund. The old Province of Canac. as trustee, was allowing compound interest on these funds up to Confederation. When the Dominion took over the trusts it was bound to notify the Province for whom they were held, it it proposed to change the manner of dealing with the trusts. The Dominion gave no such notice. Nay, in their published accounts after Confederation they continued the entries of compound interest, and now by the fiat of the Finance Minister they announce that they have obliterated those entries and assume to change the terms upon which the trusts were taken over by them to the detriment of the Province. Had they as prudent trustees, invested these trust funds when they passed into their hands in Canadian Government bonds ar the then market rates, the Province would have been deriving during all those 22 years, not five per cent. but six per cent compound interest, but instead of that the Dominion used these funds for their own purposes. Under these circumstances are we asking too much in claiming five per cent. compound interest for that time, and is it not a mockery to ask us to accept three and three-quarters?

In our proposition we ask further, that the principle recognised by the Courts of law in regard to interest-bearing accounts of long standing, where partial or irregular payments are made from time to time, be recognised, viz., that at the date of each payment to the Province by the Dominion, the payment shall be applied first in payment of the interest which is due to the Province at that date, beiore it is applied to reduction
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of principal which bears interest. If this proposition is accepted by the Dominion, we are agreeable to settle on that line. If not, then we ask a reference to arbitration.

The following are the terms of the proposition as embodied in an official letter to the Finance Minister :-
"With a view to a reasonable compromise or an authoritative decision as to what the Provinces are entitled to, and in order that the long-standing dispute between the Dominion and Provincial Governments in regard to the Provincial accounts may be brought to a speedy termination, the Province would make the following propositions for the consideration of your Government:-
(1) That the Dominion Government place to the credit of the Provinces, half yearly, interest at tive per cent. per annum on the special and trust funds shown by the accounts rendered in January, 1889, to be held on 1st July, $186 \ddot{7}$, by the Dominion on account of the Provinces, viz., for the Province of Ontario $\$ 2,264,006.62$, and for the Province of Quebec $\$ 613$, 906.98 ; and that simple interest at five per cent. should be allowed on these half yearly credits in the same way as has been done in the same accounts with the interest on the Common School funds, and that the recognised principle relating to interest-bearing accounts between parties should be applied, viz.. applying payments first in liquidation of accrued interest before application on account of principal.
(2) In the event of the Dominion not accepting this first proposition, that the question of the interest to be allowed on these accounts and its mode of computation be referred to arbitration, the Provinces appointing one arbitrator, and the Dominion also one arbitrator, and these arbitrators, if they fail to agree, to appoint a third arbitrator ; these arbitrators having all the powers possessed by arbitrators under the general laws of Ontario and Quebec ; and that it be referred to these arbitrators to find and award :-
(1) Whether the Dominion is legally or equitably bound by the agreement of 23 rd November, 1882, providing for five per cent. interest, compounding half-yearly, either by having accepted or agreed to the terms thereof; or in view of all the facts and circumstances of the case, by acquiescence therein.
(2) If the arbitrators find that the Dominion is $\mathrm{no}^{+}$bound by the said agreement, then they shall determine whether the Dominion Government should not in equity credit the Provinces half-yearly, with simple interest at five per cent. on the Special and Trust Funds, as submitted by our first proposition.
(3) Whether the account renclered by the Dominion in January, 1889, to the two Provinces, showing libility for principal and interest admitted by the Dominion Government, should not be re-cast by
(a) Allowing intesest at the rate of six per cent. instead of five per cent., and
(b) Applying all payments made by the Dominion from time to time primarily in payment or reduction of accrued interest, before application thercof on account of principal."

> I have the honor to be, dear sir, Your obedient servant, (Signed) A. M. ROSS, Provincial Treasurer.

We should regret very much that we should be forced into an arbitratration on this subject, and the delays and expense incident to any reference of this kind, but we are confident in the justness of our claims, and that impartial arbitrators will see justice done to the Provinces.

## Comparisons.

At this the closing session of another Parliament, it may not be out of place to take a glance at the financial operations of the past four years in the aggregate. We commenced the year 1886 with cash in the bank $\$ 227,894$. We have received during the four years $\$ 13,811,133$ (included in those receipts are $\$ 1,383,000$ from sale of timber), and we have expended $\$ 14,135,118$, and included in that expenditure is $\$ 1,747,572$ on new public buildings, including increased accommodation for lunatics and idiots costing about $\$ 600,000$. We have spent $\$ 475,067$ on the new Parliament buildings. We have paid in cash $\$ 510,468$ of railway grant certificates falling due. We have paid in advance to the municipalities $\$ 93,766$ of
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land improvement fund, and we have to-day, without counting the $\$ 300,000$ bonds sold, which has remained untouched, as it was then deposited in the banks, $\$ 214,491$, or within $\$ 10,600$ of what we started with four years ago, and we have not trenched on our surplus assets of over $\$ 6,000,000$. (Applause.) I think in this age of accumulating National and Government debts the record of Ontario for the Sixth Parliament, is one that may satisfy the people of the Province that its affairs are prudently managed; and yet, Mr. Speaker, notwithstanding this satisfactory showing, we will, I dare say, have the old, vague cry of extravagance again doing duty at the elections.

Extravagance cannot, as honourable gentlemen well know, be truthfully charged against the Mowat Administration. What are the available tests that can be applied to prove whether there has or has not been extravagance? An increased expenditure in itself is no evidence of extravagance. The purpose for which the money is expended has to be taken into account. We have the high authority of the senior member for Toronto for that sound principle. Comparisons with other Governments have in the past been made but not for some years. It may be useful to carry down those comparisons to the present. As, on a former occasion, I pointed out that the best test and comparison can be made with that branch of expenditure classed under the head of Oivil Government, under which is charged all the expense of salaries and contingencies of the different Departments. If there is extravagance in the administration of the Government it will show itself there. Let us see what has been the progress of expenditure under that head during the tenure of office of the Mowat Government. In 1873, the first year of our honored leader's Premiership, the cost of civil government according to the Public Accounts was $\$ 156,646$. At that time the salaries and contingencies of the Education Department were placed in the public accounts under Education. Adding that cost to Civil Government would máke the expenditure in $1873, \$ 175,914$. But at that time fuel, gac, and water, and repairs were charged to Civil Government, and now are charged under Repairs and Maintenance. Deducting those charges in 1873, which amount to $\$ 12,156$, leaves Oivil Government in 1873 for the same services as now, $\$ 163,768$. Now let us
see what the same amounts to in 1889. You will see in the public accounts it amounts to $\$ 211,775$, but included in that is the cost of the new Department of Agriculture and Bureau of Statistics, which was formerly charged under Agriculture, and is now transferred to Civil Government, $\$ 14,500.73$, and also the Board of Health, not in existence in 1873 , $\$ 6,753.32$. Deducting these two items of expenditure leaves for the same services as existed in 1873 an expenditure in 1889 of $\$ 1 \% 0,522$, which is just $16 \frac{1}{3}$ per cent. in advance of the expenditure of 1873 . Now, is this advance more in proportion than the relative increase in population? Our population in 1871 was $1,620,851$, the increase between 1871 and 1881 was at the rate of 1.85 per cent. per annum, add, therefore, 3.70 per cent. for the two years and the population of Ontario in 1873 would be $1,690,719$. The population in 1881 was $1,923,323$. If the rate of increase since 1881 had been as great as between 1871 and 1881-and, I presume, honourable gentlemen would not like to admit that it was less-the population of Ontario to-day, in the eight years since the census was taken, should be $2,209,980$ or 31 per cent. advance on 1873 , but, at the risk of being branded as unpatriotic, truth compels me to admit, although it makes my argument less favorable, that I do not think our population during the last eight years has increased as much as in the eight years previously. I will, therefore, assume the increase has been only half as rapid and throw off 120,000 , which would leave our population to day $2,060,000$, which would be an increase of $22 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent. over 1873 , while our expenditure for Civil Government bas only increased in the same time $16_{i}^{1}$ per cent., which by all fair minded and candid people will be admitted to be a fair evidence of economy and a direct evidence against any charge of extravagance. We have another test that can fairly be supplied. We can see how our expenditure in Ontario under this head of Civil Government compares with that of other Governments. At Ottawa, they have the same head of expenditure under which is charged the same class of expenses. Honorable gentlemen on that side of the House claim that the Ottawa Government is not an extravagant Government. We on this side think it is, and, if it could be shown that our expenditure was increasing as rapidly as theirs, or that we were as rapidly piling up debt, we would, I

1e public cost of hich was I Governin 1873 , the same which is w , is this n? Our nd 1881 cent. for 690,719. nce 1881 nourable pulation hould be of being akes my ring the usly. I ad throw ), which enditure er cent., e a fair extravacan see ont comhe same xpenses. Ottawa e think asing as rould, I
think, be forced to admit that a good case of extravagance had been made out against us. Let us see how their expenditure for Civil Government stands. In 1873 it was, $\$ 750,874$; in 1888 it was $\$ 1,258,618$, an increase of $\$ 507,744$, or 67 per cent., against Ontario's $16 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent. Now, honorable gentlemen will hardly claim that the increase of the population of the whole Dominion has been greater relatively than the population of Ontario, and the figures I have quoted show that the increase in expenditure for Civil Government in the Dominion has been about three times as great as the increase in population, while the increase in Ontario is considerably less than the increase in population. Then again, we can gauge our expenditure as a further test by similar expenditure in the neighboring Province of Quebec. That Province has been mainly under Conservative management, and we find that Civil Government has increased from $\$ 136,106$ in 1873 to $\$ 193,504$ in 1888 , or 43 per cent. When a Govern. ment does not increase its expenses for administration such as those embraced under Civil Government in a greater degree than the increase in the population of the country under its administration, it cannot be truthfully charged with being unnecessarily extravagant. I suppose municipal government may be considered the most economical government by public bodie:, because municipal expenditure is so closely and continuously under the eye of the public. Yet, a glance at the municipal statistics will show that municipal taxation, expenditure, and debt, has increased far more rapidly thrn Provincial expenditure. Municipal taxation in Ontario has increased from $\$ 6,608,779$ in 1872 to $\$ 9,295,011$ in 1887 . The rate of taxation per head of the population has increased from $\$ 3.86$ in 1872 to $\$ 5.03$ in 1887 . The total municipal expenditure has increased in the same period from $\$ 6,349,312$ to $\$ 21,849,828$, and municipal debts or liabilities from $\$ 13,695,587$ to $\$ 37,650,537$.

Now, Mr. Speaker, I propose to go over our proposed expenditure for 1890. Hon. gentlemen have the Estimates in their hands, and I will therefore only particularly refer to some of the items.

Particularly I may call attention to the fact that in the item for Public Ins'itutions Maintenance there is an increase of $\$ 70,000$ over our expenditure of last year. That is caused by the fact that we are providing
additional accommodation for a large number of unfortunates. We are estimating for 200 more at Mimico, 40 at London, 50 at Hamilton, and 7 at Kingston, or an increase in the population of about 300 , and that involves an additional annual expenditure of upwards of $\$ 70,000$. Then we have also an increase in connection with the Central Prison industries. That will be more fully referred to when we are discussing the subject of the changes of contracts that have taken place, at a later date. In consequence of the change we have had to provide additional supervision and then also we have taken up one or two new industries. That involves considerable outlay in the way of material, etc. We are going to manufacture blankets, and cloth for clothing, at the Central Prison for all the public institutions. We are also going to manufacture all the iron bedsteads that we require for the varions institutions, so that we have to lay out a certuin amount of money for stock, which will, however, come back to us in the shape of revenue, and therefore we ask $\$ 21,400$ more this year than last. Then in Public Buildings we have again a very large estimate. We ask the very large sum of $\$ 581, \because 48$. That includes an expenditure of $\$ 154,404$ for the completion of the cottages at Mimico, and $\$ 124,500$ for the completion of the addition to the Orillia Asylum. I do not know that there is anything else that I need to explain further than to say that our total estimated expenditure is $\$ 3,420,054$.

Then we come to our estimated receipts, or how we are going to provide for all these expenditures.

ESTIMATED RECEIPTS, 1890.

| Subsidy |  | 81,190,872 80 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interkest on Capital helf, anj Debts dee by the Dominion to Ontario | \$256,000 00 | 316,000 0) |
| Interest on Investments | 60,000 00 |  |
|  |  |  |
| Cnown Lands Department:- |  |  |
| Crown Lands | \$85,000 00 |  |
| Clergy Lands ...... | 5,000 00 |  |
| Common School Lands | 10,000 00 |  |
| Woods and Forests | 1,000,000 00 |  |
|  |  | 1,100,000 00 |
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| Public Institutions :- |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Toronto Lunatic Asylum | \$38,000 00 |
| London " | 10,000 00 |
| Kingston | 3,500 00 |
| Hamilton | 8,00000 |
| Orillia | 2,000 00 |
| Reformatory for Females | 4,000 00 |
| Boys | 70000 |
| Central Prison | 65,000 00 |
| Deaf and Dumb Institute | 15000 |

131,350 00
Eidecation Department . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 35,000 90
Casual Revenuk . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 45,000 00
Licknses . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 300,000 00
Law Stamps . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 85,000 00
Algoma Taxes. . . . .......................................................... . 2,00000
From Insurance Companies re Fime at London Asylum...... 17,00000
Drainage Assessment ................... .. ... ................... 17,00000
Toronto Asylum Lands ................................................. 83,00000
Insuranor Companies' Assessments . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 3 . 0 .000 00
Assessmrnt of Counties re Removal of Lunatios ............. 6,00000
Total
$\$ 3,337,22280$

I may call attention to one or two of the items. The Dominion subsidy of course is the same. Interest on capital held and debts due by the Dominion and investments, we estimate at $\$ 316,000$. From Crown Lands we expect the same amount as last year, $\$ 1,100,000$. From Public Institutions we estimate to receive $\$ 131,350$, which is larger than last year. From the Education Department we estimate the same amount of receipts as last year, $\$ 35,000$. Then in licenses we estimate $\$ 300,000$. We might have made this $\$ 308,000$, because there is about $\$ 5,000$ to come from the counties that repealed the Scott Act last year, but $I$ see a good deal of agitation among the temperance people to reduce the number of licenses, and I have taken off about $\$ 8,000$ of what we might expect to receive from these counties if the licenses continued the same as now. Altogether, our estimated revenue is $\$ 3,337,222.80$. Then we had, Mr. Speaker, in bank at the commencement of the year, current balances, $\$ 517,261$, or with cash on hand and what we may expect to receive during 3 (B.)
the year, we have an estimated revenue of $\$ 3,854,484.47$. We deduct from that our estimated expenditures, $\$ 3,420,054$, which will leave a balance to the good of $\$ 434,430$. But, as I mentioned before, we have certain expenditures outside of the Supply Bill which will have to be met,_—Railway certificates amounting to $\$ 247,982$; annuities, $\$ 52,200$, altogether $\$ 300,182$, which will still leave a balance of $\$ 134,248$ in the banks to meet the expenditure in connection with the new Parliament buildings. .Every year during the last four years of this Parliament our receipts have exceeded our estimate and our expenditure has fallen short of the amount voted. On an average our receipts have exceeded our estimate by $\$ 177,264$, and our expenditure has been less by an average of $\$ 194,372$, that is, that taking our increased receipts and our reduced expenditure for the year we have been in a much better position than we anticipated in every year since 1886. In 1886 we were $\$ 360,088$ better off than we had expected; in $1887 \$ 576,144$ better off ; in $1888 \$ 204,578$, and in 1889 , this last year, we were $\$ 345$,728 better off than our estimate, so that I think we may safely say that our estimated receipts are not exaggerated and our estimated expenditures are not unduly curtailed. I think, therefore, that I am perfectly safe in saying that the cash on hand and the receipts we have to expect will more than amount to all the expenditures under the Supply Bill and all the extra expenditures to which I have referred. Now, it was never anticipated, Mr. Speaker, that our new Parliament buildings were to be constructed outof annual revenue, and yet, with theexception of $\$ 165,847$ arising from the sale of land, every dollar that has been expended on the Parliament buildings has been met out of ordinary revenue. (Applause.) And we are still holding thirty acres of the eighty acres of property originally set apart for that purpose, together with the valuable block on which these old buildings stinnd, valuable property, increasing in value every year, and I do not think tliat I am, perhaps, too sanguine in arguing that by the time the new buileings are completed the proceeds of these lands will, with the amount we are able to contribute out of annual revenue, be sufficient to fully complete the Parliament buildings without drawing on our surplus for a dollar. (Applause.)
e deduct 1 leave a efore, we will have mnnuities, lance. of with the rs of this penditure ipts have on less by sipts and ch better In 1886 $\$ 576,144$ re \$345,say that enditures $y$ safe in will more d all the or anticibe con47 arising e Parliae.) And originally on which ue every uing that rese lands venue, be drawing

Now, Mr. Speaker, I may, I think, fairly claim that the statement I have been privileged to present to the House is one eminently satisfactory. The only thing that may cast a shadow over the otherwise gratifying exhibit is that Ontario's satisfactory financial position when contrasted with that of other Provinces, may now, as in the past, give rise to the belief in those other Provinces, that Ontario, in financial arrangements, has profited more by Confederation than they. Yet, nothing could be more fallacious. Ontario's more satisfactory position, as has been frequently pointed out, is due, firstly, to the fact that the peoplo of Ontario, under our comprehensive municipal system, have cheerfully borne a share of the burdens of self-government which the people of other Provinces have thrown almost entirely upon the Provincial Treasury, and secondly, to the more careful and economical administration of her affairs ; and I think that on the close of the Sixtl Parliament, five of which have been under the administration of the Reform party, the Government can confidently leave it to the intelligent people of Ontario to say, that in entrusting the management of their financial affuirs to the Mowat Government, that Government has administered the trust judiciously, economically, and honestly.

I move, Mr. Speaker, that you do now leave the Chair.

Statement of Receipts by the Treasurer of the Pruvince

| Years. | Dominion of Canada Subsidy and Specific Grant. | Dominion of Canada, Interest on special funds. | Dominion of Canada Mar riage Licenses and Municipal Loan Fund, etc. | Interest on Investments. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \$ c. | \$ c. | \$ c. | \$ c. |
| 1867....... . ....... | 40,000 00 |  |  |  |
| 1868.. | 1,196,872 30 | 136,696 62 | 134,874 23 | 5,031 25 |
| 1869................. | 1,185,751 18 | 136,696 62 | 28399 | 80,236 43 |
| 1870.. | 1,076,729 84 | 136,696 62 | 37,604 05 | 146,123 65 |
| 1871.. | 883,303 38 | 136,696 62 | 35,466 00 | 148,703 60 |
| 1872............ . . . | 903,303 38 | 136,696 62 | 35,361 00 | 221,757 95 |
| 1873 | 1,030,088 09 | 136,696 62 | 41,057 00 | 256,914 83 |
| 1874. | 1,196,872 80 | 136,696 62 |  | 248,130 68 |
| 1875. | 1,196,872 80 | 136,696 62 |  | 232,101 06 |
| 1876. | 1,196,872 80 | 136,696 62 |  | 164,170 43 |
| 1877. | 1,196,872 80 | 136,696 62 |  | 222,251 18 |
| 1878. | 1,196,872 80 | 136,506 62 | ......... ... | 156,251 46 |
| 1879 | 1,196,872 80 | 136,696 62 |  | 127,645 70 |
| 1380 | 1,196,872 80 | 136,696 62 | .............. | 198,437 42 |
| 1881 | 1,196,872 80 | 136,696 62 |  | 82,204 41 |
| 1882. | 1,196,872 80 | 136,696 62 | . . | 101,927 93 |
| 1883 | 1,196,872 80 | 136,696 62 |  | 76,324 28 |
| 1884.... . . ....... | 1,196,872 80 | 207,903 86 |  | 57,521 79 |
| 1885 ................ | 1,196,872 80 | 279,111 10 | ... ........ | 50,284 86 |
| 1886. | 1,196,872 80 | 279,111 10 |  | 55,893 63 |
| 1887....... | 1,196,872 80 | 279,111 10 |  | 66,169 32 |
| 1888................ | 1,196,872 80 | 279,111 10 |  | 95,987 69 |
| 1889................. | 1,196,872 80 | 280,000 00 |  | 75,025 98 |
| Totals.... ... | 25,466,013 47 | 3,791,494 18 | 284,696 27 | 2,869,095 53 | 0,23643 6,12365 8,70360 1,75795 6,91483 8,13068 22,101 06 i4, 17043 22,251 18 6,251 46 37,645 70 18,437 42 32,204 41 01,927 93 76,324 28 57,521 79 50,28485 55,89363 66,16932 95,98769 75,025 93

of Ontario from July 1st, 1867, to December 31st, 1889.

| Crown Lands Department. | Licenses. | Law Stamps. | Algoma Taxes. | Education Department. | Years. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ c. | \$ c. | 8 c. | \& c. | \$ c. |  |
| 142,889 63 |  |  |  |  | 1867 |
| 632,113 65 |  |  | 9534 | 24,742 06 | 1868 |
| 879,542 34 | 58,924 74 | 42,989 55 | 2,664 11 | 24,976 07 | 1869 |
| 736,426 10 | 60,652 26 | 78,477 94 | 2,547 56 | 26,649 17 | 1870 |
| 869,585 36 | 58,558 55 | 77,650 97 | 5,014 12 | 35,45065 | 1871 |
| 1,437,372 52 | 75,355 96 | 87,165 88 | 7,685 27 | 53,797 58 | 1872 |
| 1,121,264 46 | 82,152 78 | 95,249 08 | 3,801 90 | 51,480 21 | 1873 |
| 717,248 28 | 115,499 1.7 | 75,164 01 | 5,571 43 | 55,307 31 | 1874 |
| 640,346 34 | 107,590 10 | 63,9\%0 93 | 5,04545 | 57,808 25 | 1875 |
| 640,015 92 | 85,257 56 | 66,055 26 | 4,993 07 | 57,805 65 | 1876 |
| 628,712 90 | 78,820 96 | 68,756 99 | 1,032 35 | 57,781 38 | 1877 |
| 445,278 92 | 75,529 85 | 66,291 82 | 58576 | 1,793 61 | 1878 |
| 457,340 27 | 85,220 17 | 71,383 83 | 9,948 96 | 47,961 95 | 1879 |
| 616,311 96 | 91,207 68 | 66,984 00 | 1,863 92 | 44,284 27 | 1880 |
| 932,50401 | 91,604 01 | 57,502 10 | 16,210 64 | 31,450 42 | 1881 |
| 1,095,152 24 | 92,360 2 | 52,399 80 | 14,099 22 | 30,052 86 | 1882 |
| 635,447 25 | 96,460 50 | 61,845 02 | 4,106 02 | 28,175 25 | 1883 |
| 570,30: 11 | 211,353 71 | 66,599 98 | 2,215 85 | 37,969 35 | 1884 |
| 73¢\%; 8ib: 95 | 162.33007 | 66,983 02 | 1,620 38 | 38,749 72 | 1885 |
| 8.4,84, 28 | 211,162 76 | 62,291 65 | 13,143 40 | 38,229 59 | 1886 |
| 1,113, ${ }^{\prime \prime} 97$ \% | 202.45556 | 68,43 ${ }^{\circ} 88$ | 15,247 60 | 24,807 59 | 1887 |
| 1,436,3\% 68 | 233,785 59 | 81,044 טu | 2,275 73 | 33,173 74 | 1888 |
| 1,19f,4, 508 | 302,734 44 | 84,841 65 | 3,911 49 | 35,359 71 | 1889 |
| 18,555,470 72 | 2,579,017 14 | 1,462,072 95 | 123,679 57 | 887,806 30 | . $\cdot$. |

Statement of Receipts by the Treasurer of the Province of Ontario

| Years. | Casual Revenue. | Public Institutions. | Public Buildings. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Insurance. | Refunds. |
|  | \$ c. | \$ c. | \$ c. | \$ c. |
| 1867..... ........ | 1000 |  |  |  |
| 1868............... | 43,011 33 | 8,591 90 |  |  |
| 1869... ....... . . | 12,870 33 | 8,780 6u | ................. |  |
| 1870............... | 20,810 91 | 19,457 90 | ... . |  |
| 1871............... | 23,567 20 | 20,675 07 |  |  |
| 1872............... | 26,776 99 | 25,295 66 |  |  |
| 1873.............. | 77,355 83 | 31,664 51 |  | 3,713 14 |
| 1874............... | 8,548 80 | 30,700 99 |  | 1,810 :0 |
| 1875............... | 24,178 85 | 27,832 30 | ... ........ | 1,233 34 |
| 1876............... | 19,457 23 | 34,210 75 | ..... .......... | 2?,48750 |
| 1877. ........ .. | 29.52635 | 39,875 07 | ................ | 11,925 71 |
| 1878. | 20,293 25 | 67,971 26 | 9,000 00 | 5,138 46 |
| 1879............... | 26,642 32 | 66,089 42 | 6,900 00 | 62563 |
| 1880......... | 29,592 91 | 63,982 54 | 1,500 01 | 29,338 29 |
| 1881. | 32,752 50 | 98,782 01 | . $\cdot . .$. | 1,140 19 |
| 1882............... | 30,578 77 | 79,646 00 | 1,500 00 | 70795 |
| 1883............... | 29,578 83 | 93,846 43 | 9,798 02 | 3488 |
| 1884............... | 33,426 45 | 110,211 68 | 75336 | 64560 |
| 1885 .... ........ | 39,556 48 | 99,112 62 | 5,000 08 | 20215 |
| 1886 ............. | 42,572 83 | 89,220 09 | 21,608 11 | $6,15 u 48$ |
| 1887............... | 44,589 95 | 84,004 34 |  | 20000 |
| 1888............... | 46,819 02 | 99,320 21 | 1,616 36 | 4,000 09 |
| 1889.............. | 53,310 44 | 131,199 56 | 8010 | 1,089 50 |
| Totals....... | 735,827 67 | 1,330,470 96 | 57,756 04 | 94,447 72 |

from July 1st, 1867, to December 31si, 1889.—Continued.

| Refunds re Public Works. | Sale of lands re New Parliament Buildings Fund. | Sale of lands $r e$ Toronto Asylum | Municipal Loan Fund. | Drainage Works rent charges. | Years. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ c. | $\bigcirc$ c. | \$ c. | \$ c. | \$ c. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1867 |
| .... ........... |  |  | 68,178 56 | ...... . ..... | 1868 |
|  |  |  | 191,463 28 |  | 1869 |
|  |  | ...... ..... ... | 158,469 70 | ..... .. ...... | 1870 |
|  |  |  | 38,508 10 |  | 1871 |
|  |  |  | 50,179 16 |  | 1872 |
|  |  |  | 28,251 26 |  | 1873 |
|  |  |  | 831,909 33 |  | 1874 |
| ...... ... .... |  |  | 652,505 49 |  | 1875 |
| 13784 |  |  | 113,444 44 | 16,211 71 | 1876 |
| 11716 | . |  |  | 57088 | 1877 |
| 52191 |  |  | 10,288 82 | 11,883 40 | 1878 |
|  | ...... ......... |  | 1,654 36 | 15,289 70 | 1879 |
| 1800 |  |  | 51,735 35 | 19,734 97 | 1880 |
| ................ |  |  |  | 9,029 27 | 1881 |
| . . |  |  |  | 6,547 55 | 1882 |
| ................ |  |  | 3166 | 24,975 86 | 1883 |
| ................ |  |  | 4,476 20 | 26,044 19 | 1884 |
| ................ |  |  | 2,647,96 | 18,079 29 | 1885 |
|  | 15,716 67 |  |  | 18,523 17 | 1886 |
|  | 122,211 57 |  | 25,000 00 | 28,794 77 | 1887 |
| 40000 | 28,861 71 | 30,471 81 | 4,000 00 | 15,041 52 | 1888 |
|  | 31574 | 119,851 20 | 85000 | 17,486 76 | 1889 |
| 1,194 91 | 167,105 69 | 150,323 01 | 2,234,291 67 | 188,824 54 | . |

Statement of Receipts by the Treasurer of thə Province of Ontario from July 1st, 1867, to December 31st, 1889.-Concluded.

ntario from

Totals.
\& c. 182,899 63 ,250.207 74 ,625,179 29 ,500,695 70 333,179 62 ,060,747 97 ;961,515 31 ;446,347 93 ,156,605 ४1 ;589,222 83 ;502,:66 04 ,285,178 07 ,287,951 39 ;584,169 76 ,788,746 78 ;880,450 40 1,439,941 42 45 ;005,920 71 ;148,660 01 ;546,924 53 ;583,915 56 ,638,405 08

Statement of Expenditure by the Treasurer of the Province of Ontario from July 1st, 1867, to December 31st, 1889.
*Statement of Expenditure by the Treasurer of the Province
 Charities.
$\$ \quad$ c.

44,00000 42,51000 40,260 00 42,100 00 43,02000 43,020 00 52,346 16 65,495 07 64,151 48 70,673 19 73,72044 72,832 63 78,092 75 78,095 79 80,600 53 94,218 83 96,421 28 103,416 19 106,230 62 113,686 14 120,402 48

564,293 58
of Cntario from July 1st, 1867, to December 31st, 1889.—Continued.

| Repairs and Maintenance, Public Buildings. | Public Buildings. | Public Works. | Colonization Roads. | Charges on Crown Lands. | Years. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ c. | \$ c. | \$ c. | $\begin{array}{cc} \$ & c . \\ 2,608 & 77 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 8 & c . \\ 9,674 & 42 \end{array}$ | 1867 |
|  | 125,846 29 |  | 36,901 15 | 30,190 14 | 1868 |
|  | 238,865 50 | 21,187 39 | 35,589 94 | 46,341 69 | 1869 |
|  | 282,908 52 | 124,825 77 | 50,000 00 | 68,163 07 | 1870 |
|  | 296,076 98 | 134,543 47 | 55,409 04 | 46,306 94 | 1871 |
|  | 206,071 83 | 60,693 12 | 75,790 19 | 95,750 68 | 1872 |
|  | 472,859 50 | 81,530 21 | 145,950 00 | 110,491 66 | 1.873 |
|  | 291,558 14 | 117,880 14 | 90.76243 | 78,968 02 | 1874 |
|  | 125,059 05 | 25.51532 | 103,511 89 | 67,249 89 | 1875 |
|  | 265,743 47 | 33,205 35 | 85,931 43 | 70,769 60 | 1876 |
|  | 280,020 66 | 30,666 56 | 77,300 00 | 78,469 56 | 1877 |
|  | 384,919 18 | 26,313 26 | 85,612 48 | 70,509 14 | 1878 |
| .... .......... | 140,190 90 | 26,867 27 | 114,664 13 | 67,776 17 | 1879 |
| $\ldots$ | 141,361 12 | 26,375 31 | 96,839 99 | 59,046 47 | 1880 |
|  | 144,552 28 | 24,369 94 | 97,289 80 | 67,592 98 | 1881 |
|  | 129,226 17 | 20,140 25 | 110,650 00 | 83,547 16 | 1882 |
|  | 129,859 08 | 41,062 43 | 123,497 47 | 67,131 26 | 1883 |
| 70,149 91 | 235,517 24 | 27,717 40 | 185,772 55 | 103,006 53 | 1884 |
| 62,601 54 | 155,720 29 | 38,690 80 | 121,435 32 | 96,573 08 | 1885 |
| 57,650 91 | 227,893 19 | 51,763 07 | 145,213 80 | 91,092 98 | 1886 |
| 63,25015 | 234,782 69 | 40,990 23 | 122,974 78 | 94,538 80 | 1887 |
| 69,229 54 | 291,423 56 | 42,870 71 | 112,273 56 | 96,734 47 | 1888 |
| 64,732 60 | 518,407 39 | 23,071 66 | 103,666 63 | 101,775 68 | 1889 |
| 387,614 65 | 5,318,863 03 | 1,020,279 66 | 2,179,554 35 | 1,7 ${ }^{\circ}, 70039$ |  |

Statement of Expenditure by the Treasurer of the Province

| Years. | Refunds. | Statute Consolidation. | Miscellaneous. | Aid to Railways. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1867................ | $\begin{array}{cc} \$ & c . \\ 2,416 & 18 \end{array}$ | \$ c. | \$ c. | \$ $\quad$. |
| 1868. ............ . | 13,229 39 |  | 31,045 20 | ................. |
| 1869................ | 183,341 01 |  | 27,261 78 | . |
| 1870.......... ..... | 32,631 40 | $\cdots \cdots$ | 21,563 08 |  |
| 1871 ............... | 186,241 25 |  | 37,813 42 | ... ........... |
| 1872................ | 128,864 70 | .............. | 26,029 61 | 372,786 00 |
| 1873................ | 168,848 52 |  | 16,859 54 | 426,642 00 |
| 1874 .. .......... | 163,568 70 |  | 17,338 20 | 113,812 90 |
| 1875.......... ..... | 107,669 78 | .............. | 86,418 38 | 417,334 00 |
| 1876 | 57,392 09 | .............. | 74,270 39 | 372,306 65 |
| 1877................ | 103,428 63 |  | 81,937 75 | 343,613 74 |
| 1878................. | 61,591 24 |  | 78,901 33 | 232,529 05 |
| 1879................ | 53,421 26 |  | 124,539 93 | 479,064 02 |
| 1880................. | 34,558 23 |  | 91,293 19 | 182,032 48 |
| 1881................ | 42,207 85 | ............... | 43,820 24 | 205,528 37 |
| 1882................. | 41,348 93 |  | 66,806 61 | 254,445 82 |
| 1883................ | 40,960 29 |  | 103,717 15 | 258,001 62 |
| 1884. | 46,006 70 |  | 84,754 05 | 253,783 41 |
| 1885 .............. | 31,023 41 | 2,339 65 | 97,980 53 | 250,808 01 |
| 1886................ | 24,214 58 | 17,666 37 | 86,326 92 | 247,982 14 |
| 1887.......... ..... | 24,729 21 | 27,759 27 | 148,802 97 | 247,982 14 |
| 1888................ | 27,903 63 | 31,394 99 | 80,838 65 | 247,982 14 |
| 1889................. | 18,024 87 | 78197 | 60,013 63 | 247,982 14 |
| Total | 1,653,621 85 | 79,942 25 | 1,488,332 55 | 5,154,616 63 |

the Province
id to Railways.

372,786 00 426,642 00 113,812 90 417,334 00 372,306 65 343,613 74 232,529 05 479,064 02 182,032 48 205,523 37 254,445 82 258,001 62 253,783 41 250,80801

247,982 14
247,982 14
247,982 14
247,982 14
5,154,616 63
of Ontario from July 1st, 1867, to December 31st, 1889.-Continued.


Statement of Expenditure by the Treasurer of the Province of Ontario from July 1st, 1867, to December 31st, 1889.-Concluded.

| Years. | Municipal Loan Fund Distribution. | Conmon School Fund On account Quebec'sshare. | Land Improvement Fund Held by the Dominion-Paid with interest to the Municipalities. | Stationery Office. | Totals. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1867.... | \$ c. | \$ c. | \$ c. | \& c. | $\begin{array}{cc} \$ & \text { c. } \\ 56,669 & 97 \end{array}$ |
| 1868.... |  |  | ......... ...... | 3,118 86 | 1,182,388 03 |
| 1869.... |  |  |  | 3,735 03 | 1,444,608 83 |
| 1870... |  |  |  | 1,686 56 | 1,580,663 21 |
| 1871.... |  |  |  | 8267 | 1,816,866 78 |
| 1872. |  |  |  | 3,187 50 | 2,220,742 57 |
| 1873.. . | ... ....... |  |  |  | 2,940,803 45 |
| 1874.... | 1,361,101 59 |  |  | 78868 | 3,871,492 82 |
| 1875.... | 986,243 48 |  |  |  | 3,604,524 42 |
| 1876.... | 452,151 28 |  |  | 1,121 99 | 3,140,627 65 |
| 1877.... | 317,711 04 |  |  |  | 3,119,117 73 |
| 1878.. | 108,171 15 |  |  |  | 2,902,388 37 |
| 1879... | 65,765 76 | 25,000 00 |  |  | 2,941,714 27 |
| 1880. | 22,061 41 | 15,000 00 |  |  | 2,518,186 80 |
| 1881. | 8,182 22 | 25,000 00 |  | 5,251 08 | 2,585,053 36 |
| 1882.... | 57,458 73 | 25,000 00 | 111,158 79 | 1,334 10 | 2,920,161 05 |
| 1883. | 9,071 70 | 25,000 30 | 9,579 58 |  | 2,887,037 73 |
| 1884.... | 15000 |  | 33826 |  | 3,207,889 67 |
| 1885.... | 15448 | 35,000 00 |  | 5,973 81 | 3,046,112 88 |
| 1886. | 37751 |  | 93,062 84 | 25931 | 3,181,709 00 |
| 1887.... | 17712 | 100,000 00 | 70453 |  | 3,454,372 43 |
| 1888 |  |  |  |  | 3,545,234 85 |
| 1889.... |  |  |  |  | 3,653.356 37 |
| Total. | 3,388,777 47 | 250,000 00 | 214,844 00 | 26,539 59 | 61,821,722 24 |

e of Ontario eded.

## Statement of Expenditure by the Treasurer of the Province of Ontario, from July 1st, 1867, to December 31st, 1889.

Note.-From time to time changes have been made in the classification of certain expenditures. The following list will be found to embrace the principal changes.

## Expenditure for-

Education Office Salaries and Expenses appears under Education ...... 1867-78
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { do } \quad \text { do } & \text { Civil Government } & 1879.89\end{array}$
School of Science, Salaries and Expenses under Public Institutions
Maintenance.............................................................................. 1872-83
School of Science, Salaries and Expenses under Education ............. . . 1884-89
Mechanics' Institutes, etc., under Agriculture . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1868-85
do do Education............................. . . . 1886-89
Expenses of Elections under Legislation. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1868-74
do do Miscellaneous.... ......... ............... $1875-89$
Agricultural College and Farm under Public Institutions Maintenance. 1873.83
do do Agriculture ....... ...... ..... $1884-89$
Repairs, etc., Public Buildings under Departmental Expenses ......... 1867.83
Consolidation of Statutes under Miscellaneous . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1874-85
Drainage Works under Miscellaneous . ........................................ 1868
do Public Works ....................................... 1869.74

##  <br> 

nintained each he total 16 years.
oners sentenced to a

|  | 1882. .). |  | I Amount of benefit derived | Sounty, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { lst. } \\ & 95 \\ & \hline 55 \end{aligned}$ | No. | $\begin{gathered} \text { CCOost. } \\ \mathbf{8 5 3 , 4 , 8 7 1 8 3} \end{gathered}$ | County during the past six. teen years. |  |
| 8 c . |  | 8,049 <br> 69 | 8 5,672 c. ci | Algoma. |
| (6) 10 | 9 | $\cdots$ | 17,946 46 | Brant. |
| 6192 | 3 | \{26739 | 6,284 88 | Bruce. |
| 966 10 | 16 | 1, , 01085 | 26,211 40 | Carleton. |
|  | 2 |  | 60804 | Dufferin. |
| 7322 | 10 |  | 4,174 32 | Durham. |
| 4350 | 8 | 1,515 21 | 19,49736 | Elgin. |
| 7028 | 36 | 2, , 78260 | 29,760 58 | Essex. |
| 14350 | 18 | 1,',158 69 | 22,665 12 | Frontenac. |
|  |  |  | 35250 | Grenville. |
| 4644 | 6 | - 53478 | 8,918 66 | Grey. |
| 7322 | 1 | 17826 | 3,331 15 | Haldimand, |
| 7322 | 2 | 17826 | 2,473 35 | Halton. |
| ¢6610 | 14 | 1, ,069 56 | 12,936 29 | Hastings. |
| 188 70 | 6 | 26739 | 5,185 21 | Huron. |
| 12384 | 23 | 1, 60434 | 17,068 59 | Kent. |
| 61966 | 14 | 1,228 25 | 11,833 54 | Lambton. |
|  | 4 | 26739 | 3,823 21 | Lanark. |
| 12384 | 10 | ,693 47 | 20,040 72 | Leeds. |
| 5774 | 7 |  | 2,172 78 | Lennox and Addington. |
| 39288 | 12 | ,158 69 | 20,565 21 | Lincoln. |
| 14474 | 43 | 3,456 79 | 53,527 13 | Middlesex. |
|  | 1 | 8914 | 31998 | Muskoka. |
|  |  | . 269742 | 74231 | Nipissing. |
| 10418 | 5 | 26742 | 6,605 43 | Norfolk. |
| 15480 | 8 | 89140 | 18,203 34 | Northumberland. |
| 33514 | 7 | 802 26 | 12,667 17 | Ontario. |
| 15480 | 11 | . 15882 | 16,704 69 | Oxford. |
|  |  | .... 8914 | 50432 | Parry Sound. |
| 38870 | 4 | 17828 | 3,620 77 | Peel. |
| 17322 | 2 | 8914 | 4,739 36 | Porth. |
| 11548 | 5 | 17828 | 4,145 56 | Peterboro'. |
|  |  | - | 1,212 97 | Prescott. |
| 5774 | 2 | 1..... | 1,634 40 | Prince Edward. |
| 28870 | 1. .... | . 44570 | 4,398 24 | Renfrew. |
|  |  |  | 8677 | Russell. |
| 10418 | 17 | \| 169366 | 16,455 37 | Simcoe. |
| 34644 | 4 | $4 \quad 26742$ | 7,523 82 | Stormont. |
|  |  |  | 2,41523 | Thunder Bay. |
| 17322 | 4 | 4 89 14 | 3,362 62 | Victoria. |
| 40418 | 19 | - 160452 | 14,809 86 | Waterloo. |
| 443 \%0 | 12 | 2 -67420 | 31,254 11 | Welland. |
| 98158 | 25 | 5 162398 | 15,812 15 | Wellington. |
| 69784 | 125 | $5 \quad ¢ 34840$ | 103,630 15 | Wentworth. |
| 03578 | 216 | 6 16087 26 | 236,569 16 | York. |
| 195 85 | 711 | $1{ }^{5} 587183$ | 803,447 61 | Total. |

Statement shewing the total cost of maintaining the Central Prison, the cost each year, the number of prisoners maintained each year
(Before the erection of the Central Prison, Prisoners sentenced to a less t

| County. | 1874. |  | 1875. |  | 1876. |  | 1877. |  | 1878. |  | 1879. |  | 1880. |  | 1881. |  | 1882. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cost. } \\ \$ 30,472 \end{gathered}$ | No. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cost. } \\ 839,59480 \end{gathered}$ | No. | Cost. <br> $\$ 47,12810$ | No. | Cost. <br> 851,123 79 | No. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cost. } \\ 849,235 \\ 93 \end{gathered}$ | No. | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Cost. } \\ \mathbf{\$ 4 8 , 1 0 1} 80 \end{array}$ | No. | Cost. <br> $\$ 47,90014$ | No. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cost. } \\ \$ 49,19585 \end{gathered}$ | No. | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Cost, } \\ 853,54595 \end{array}$ |
| Algcma | 1 | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ 50 \mathrm{c} . \\ 5 \mathrm{i} \end{gathered}$ |  | 8 c. |  | \& c. |  |  |  | \$ $c$ |  | \$ c. |  | \$ c. |  | 8 |  |  |
| Brant.. | 15 | 82365 | is | 1,762 50 | 11 | 74910 |  | 69399 | A | 63632 | 13 | 1,12073 | 15 | 1,34005 | 15 | $\because 66$ | $\dddot{9}$ | ${ }^{677} 79$ |
| Carletorn | 2 | 10982 |  | 11750 | 6 | 40860 | 8 | 61688 | 13 | 1,034 02 | 5 | 43105 | 5 | 44685 | 8 | 46192 | 3 | 22593 |
|  | 16 | 87856 | 5 | 58750 | 15 | 1,021 50 | 26 | 2,004 86 | $\stackrel{21}{1}$ | 1,670 79 | 13 | 1,120 73 | 17 | 1,519 29 | 15 | 86610 | 16 | 1,20496 |
| Durham. | 5 | 274 | 1 | 11750 | 2 | 13620 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 17242 |  |  | 3 | 17322 |  | 703 10 |
| Elgin | 13 | 71383 | 9 | 1,057 50 | 12 | 81720 | 19 | 1,465 09 | 19 | 1,511 26 | 33 | 2,844 93 | 9 | 80433 | 25 | 1,443 50 | 8 | 60248 |
|  | 23 | 1,282 93 | 14 | 1,645 00 | 21 | 1,430 10 | 26 | 2,004 86 | 15 | 1,193 10 | 16 | 1,379 36 | 18 | 1,608 66 | 22 | 1,270 28 | 36 | 2,711 16 |
|  | 37 | 2,031 67 | 27 | 3,172 50 | 13 | 88530 | 16 | 1,233 76 | 27 | 2,147 58 | 16 | 1,379 36 | 8 | 71496 | 25 | 1,443 50 | 18 | 1,355,58 |
| Greaville. Grey | 1 | 5491 | $\stackrel{3}{2}$ | -33500 | $\ddot{2}$ | 1393 | 3 | 23113 |  | 15908 | 2 | 17242 | 8 | 71496 | 6 | 8.4644 | 6 | 45186 |
| Trey <br> Haldimand | 7 | $38+37$ | 2 | 23500 | 6 | +08 60 | 4 | 30844 |  | 31816 | 2 | 17242 | 3 | 26811 | 3 | 17322 | 1 | 7531 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Haldimand } \\ & \text { Malton } \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 5491 | 1 | 11750 |  |  | 3 | 23133 | 1 | 7954 | 3 | 25863 | 9 | $80 \pm 33$ | 3 | 27322 | 2 | 15062 |
| Hastings. | 3 | 16473 | 8 | 94000 | ? | 612 :10 | 11 | 81821 | 11 | 87494 | 6 | 51726 | 16 | 1,429 92 | 15 | 866 10 | 14 | 1,054 34 |
|  |  | 16473 | 5 | 58750 | 13 | 88530 |  | 53977 | 5 | 39770 | 3 | 25863 | ${ }^{6}$ | 53622 | 5 | ! 3880 | ${ }^{6}$ | 45186 |
| Huron | 10 | 54910 | 3 | 35250 | 13 | 88530 | 10 | 77110 | 9 | 71586 | 10 | 86210 | 12 | 1,072 44 | 16 | :123 84 | 23 | 1,732 13 |
| Lamh | 8 | 43928 | 2 | 23500 | $\stackrel{4}{3}$ | 27240 20430 | 4 | 30844 | $\stackrel{4}{3}$ | 31816 <br> 238 <br> 18 | 10 5 5 | $\begin{array}{r}1,12073 \\ 431 \\ \hline 25\end{array}$ | 10 3 | 893 26811 | 9 | [119 66 | ${ }_{1}^{14}$ | $\begin{array}{r}1,054 \\ \\ 301 \\ 24 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| Lanark ........ | 13 | $71383{ }^{\circ}$ | 26 | 3,055 00 | 19 | 1,293 99 | 25 | 1,927 75 | 14 | 1,113 56 | 25 | 2.155 | 9 | 30433 | 16 | 92384 | 10 | 75310 |
| Leeds $\ldots$..... ${ }_{\text {Lennox }}$ addington.... | 5 | 27.45 |  |  | 4 | 27240 | 2 | 15422 | 1 | 7954 | 3 | 25863 |  |  | 1 | 5774 | 7 | 52717 |
| Lennox ar. Add | 40 | .19640 | 12 | 1,410 00 | 15 | 1,021 50 | 24 | 1,850 64 | 9 | 71586 | 17 | 1,465 57 | 17 | 1,519 29 | 12 | 199288 | 12 | 90372 |
| Middlesex | 26 | 1,27 66 | 43 | ¢,052 50 | 54 | 3,677 40 | 34 | 2,621 ${ }^{\text {it }}$ | 68 | 5,408 72 | 46 | 3,965 66 | 45 | 4,021,65 | 51 | 2,944 | 43 | 3,238 33 |
| KIuskoka Nipissing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7711 |  |  |  | .... ... |  |  |  |  | 1 | 7531 |
| Norfolk. |  | 38437 | I | 58750 | 9 | 61290 | 3 | 23133 | $1 i$ | 87494 | 4 | 344 94 | 3 | 2681 i | 7 | 40418 | 5 | $3760{ }^{1}$ |
| Northumberland | 15 | 82365 | 17 | 1,99750 | 16 | 1.08960 | 8 | 61688 | 25 | 1,988 50 | 17 | 1,465 57 | 11 |  | 20 |  | 8 | 60248 |
| Ontrio $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ontari..... } \\ & \text { Ofurl }\end{aligned}$ | 2 | 10982 | 14 | 1,64500 | 31 13 | 2,111 885 | 113 | 1,00243 92532 | ${ }_{17}^{9}$ | $\begin{array}{r}71586 \\ 1,35218 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 11 10 | $\begin{array}{r}948 \\ \hline 86210\end{array}$ | 11 20 | $\begin{array}{r}983 \\ \hline 1,787 \\ \hline 07\end{array}$ | 11 20 | 1,13514 1,15480 | 11 | 52717 82841 |
| Oxfurd Parry Sound | 5 | 2745 | ${ }^{6}$ | 10500 | 13 <br> 1 <br> 1 | 885 6810 | 12 | 92532 | 17 | 1,352 18 | 10 | 86210 | 20 | 1,787 40 | 20 | 1,15480 | 11 |  |
| Parry SoundPeelPerth . ........ | 4 | 21961 | 3 | 35250 | 3 | 20430 | 3 | 23133 |  | 15908 |  |  | 3 | 26811 | 5 | 988 70 |  | 3012 |
|  | 5 | 27455 | 4 | 47000 | 8 | 5448 | 7 | 63977 | 5 | 3970 | 4 | 34484 |  |  | 3 | 17322 |  | 15062 37655 |
| Peterboro Prescutt | 4 | $\begin{array}{r}219 \\ 54 \\ 54 \\ \hline 1\end{array}$ |  | 235 117 117 50 |  | 340 6810 | 7 | 53977 <br> 395 <br> 55 | $\stackrel{2}{4}$ | $\begin{array}{lll}159 & 08 \\ 318 & 16\end{array}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 86 1724 42 | 4 | 35748 | 2 | 11548 | 5 |  |
| Prescott......Prince LdwardRenfrew | 1 | 5491 | 1 | 11750 117 | 1 |  | 5 | 395 231 33 | 2 | ${ }^{159} 08$ | $\stackrel{2}{2}$ | 17242 | 4 | 35748 |  | 5774 | 2 | 15062 |
|  | 7 | 38437 |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{6}$ | 4626 | 3 | 23862 |  |  | 2 | 17874 | 5 | 28870 |  |  |
| Simeoe. | 4 | 21964 | 2 | 23500 |  | 47670 | is | 1,387 98 | 18 | 1,431 72 | 6 | 51726 | 9 | 80433 | 7 | 10418 | 17 | 1,280 27 |
| Stormont.... | 4 | 21964 | 13 | 1,52750 | 9 | 61290 | 9 | ${ }_{6} 69399$ | 14 | 1,113 56 | 5 | 43105 | 4 | 35748 | 6 | 34644 | 4 | 30124 |
|  |  | 10982 |  |  |  |  | 10 |  | 2 | 15908 | 6 | 51726 |  |  |  | 17322 | 4 | 3012 |
| Waterloo | 9 | 49419 | 9 | 587 ¢0\% | 8 | 54480 | 14 | 1,079 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 11 | 87494 | 22 | 1,896 62 | 7 | 62559 | 7 | 10418 | 19 | 1,430 89 |
| Welland | 13 | 71383 | 2 | 23500 | 15 | 1,021 50 | 23 | 1,77353 | 24 | 1,708 96 | 13 | 1,120 73 | 15 | 1,340 55 | ${ }^{25}$ | 1,443 50 | 12 | 9037 |
| Wellington | 11 | 60.1 01 | 49 | -35250 |  | $\begin{array}{r}61290 \\ 8.989 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}43 \\ 129 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,773 \kappa 3 \\ & 9,97719 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{88}^{10}$ | $\begin{array}{r}79540 \\ 6999 \\ \hline 68\end{array}$ | $\stackrel{21}{64}$ | ${ }^{1,810} \mathbf{6 1 4} \mathbf{4 1}$ | 13 |  | 117 | 198158 $\mathbf{6}, 697$ 88 | ${ }_{125}^{25}$ | ${ }_{9,413}^{1,882} 75$ |
| Wentwort | 112 | 6,095 6,699 02 | $\begin{array}{r}19 \\ 31 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 5,75750 3,64250 | $\begin{aligned} & 132 \\ & 20: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8,989 \\ 13,756 \\ 20 \end{array}$ | 129 138 | $\begin{array}{r}9,9 \% 719 \\ \hline 10, \epsilon^{\prime} 118\end{array}$ | 88 137 | $\begin{array}{r}6,999 \\ \hline 68 \\ 10,890 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}64 \\ 137 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 5,490 } 78 \\ \mathbf{1 1 , 5 3 0} 77 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 102 121 | 9,1815 $\mathbf{9 , 8 1 3}$ $\mathbf{7 7}$ | ${ }_{347}^{116}$ | $\begin{array}{r}6,697 \\ \text { 6,036 } \\ \mathbf{2 0} 8 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | ${ }_{216}^{125}$ | $16,26696$ |
| Total | 555 | 30,472 43 | 337 | 39,594 80 | 692 | 47,128 15 | 663 | 51,123 79 | 619 | 49,225 93 | 558 | 48,104 80 | 536 | 47,900 14 | 852 | 49,195 85 | 711 | 53,545 |

rs maintained each year, the Counties they came from, and the amount of benefit derived by each County each year, and in the total 16 years.
, Prisonere sentenced to a less term than two vears were confined in County Gaols.)

| 1881. | 1882. |  | 1883. |  | 1884. |  | 1885. |  | 1886. |  | 1887. |  | 1838. |  | 1888. |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c}\text { Amount of } \\ \text { benefit derived } \\ \text { by each } \\ \text { County during } \\ \text { the past six- } \\ \text { teen years. }\end{array}\right\|$ | County. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Cost. } \\ \$ 49,10585 \end{gathered}$ | No. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cost, } \\ \$ 53,5 \$ 595 \end{gathered}$ | No. | Cost. \$53,171 22 | Nu. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cost. } \\ \$ 56,60508 \end{gathered}$ | No. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cost. } \\ \$ 58,48594 \end{gathered}$ | No. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cost. } \\ \$ 58,36978 \end{gathered}$ | No. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cost. } \\ 829,78340 \end{gathered}$ | No. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cost. } \\ \mathbf{2 6 4 , 8 5 7} 52 \end{gathered}$ | No. | Cost. 865,871 83 |  |  |
| \% c. |  | \$ c. | 2 |  | 1 | 8. 688 |  | \$ c. | 7 | ${ }_{687}^{88} 8$. | 7 | ${ }_{241} \stackrel{\text { c. }}{92}$ | 26 | $\stackrel{3}{3,412}{ }_{28}^{\text {c. }}$ | 23 |  | 8  <br> 5,672 0. <br> 1  |  |
| 966 | 9 | 67779 | 5 | 39210 | 10 |  | 9 | 78093 | 8 | 78608 | 38 | 1,313 28 | 40 | 3,7i1 20 | 18 | 1,604 34 | 17,946 46 | Brant. |
| 46192 | 3 | 22593 |  |  | 1 | 6878 | 6 | ธ20 62 | 4 | 39304 | 2 | 1,69 12 | 12 | 1,113 36 | + | - 26739 | 6,284 88 | Bruce. |
| 86610 | 16 | 1,204 96 | 14 | 1,097 88 | 25 | 1,71950 | 29 | 2,516 33 | 28 | 2,751 28 | 24 | 82944 | 26 | 2,412 28 | 45 | 4,01085 | 25,211 40 | Carleton. |
|  | 2 | 15062 | 1 | 7842 | 2 | 13756 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 1 | 9278 |  |  | 60804 | Dufferin. |
| 17322 | 10 | 75310 | 12 | 94104 | 12 | 82536 | 9 | 78093 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4,174 32 | Durham. |
| 1,44350 | 8 | 60248 | 20 | 1,568 40 | 30 | 2,06340 | 11 | 95447 | 3 | 2448 | 13 | 44928 | 15 | 1,391 70 | 17 | 1,515 21 | 19,497 36 | Elgin. |
| 1,27028 1,44350 | 36 18 | 2,711 16 | 16 | 1,254 72 | 39 | 2,682 42 | 29 | 2,516 33 | 29 | 2,84951 | 24 | 82944 | 36 | 3,340 08 | 20 | 1,782 60 | 29,760 58 | Kssex. |
| 1,443 50 | 18 | 1,355,58 | 21 | 1,646 82 | 18 | 1,238 04 | 16 | 1,388 32 | 10 | 98260 | 17 | 58752 | 14 | 1,298 92 | 13 | 1,158 69 | 22,665 ${ }^{3} 5$ | Frontenac. Grenville. |
|  | 6 | 45186 | 9 | 80578 | 16 | 1,100048 | 12 | 1,07170 | 9 | 88434 | 5 | 17278 | 17 | 1,507\% ${ }^{1} \mathbf{6}$ | 6 | 534 78 | 8,618 66 | Grey. |
| 17322 | 1 | 7531 |  |  | 1 | 1,68 78 |  | 1,011 2 | 4 | 39304 | 2 | 6910 | 3 | 27834 | 2 | 17826 | 3,331 15 | Haldimand. |
| $\bigcirc 7322$ | 2 | 15062 | 1 | 7842 | 1 | 6878 | 1 | 8677 | 1 | 9826 |  |  | 1 | 9278 | 2 | 17826 | 2,473 35 | Halton. |
| 86610 | 14 | 1,054 34 | 10 | 78420 | 13 | 89414 | 11 | 95447 | 18 | 1,768 68 | 12 | 41460 | 8 | 74224 | 12 | 1,06956 | 12,936 39 | Hastings. |
| ¢ 5880 | 6 | 45186 | 1 | 7842 |  |  | 1 | 8677 | 3 | 29478 | 2 | 6910 | 3 | 27834 | 3 | 26739 | 5,185 21 | Huron. |
| !12384 | 23 | 1,732 13 | 20 | 1,568 40 | 20 | 1,375 60 | 19 | 1,648 63 | 14 | 1,375 64 | 15 | 51825 | 12 | 1,113 36 | 18 | 1,604 34 | 17,068 59 | Kent. |
| ! 11966 | 14 | 1,054 34 | 9 | 70578 | 10 | 68780 | 5 | 43385 | 10 | 98260 | 7 | 24185 | 10 | 1,391 70 | 25 | 2.22825 | 11,833 3,823 54 | Lambton. <br> Lenaric. |
| : 23884 | 10 | 30124 75310 | 5 | 39210 <br> 235 <br> 15 | 5 | 34390 894 14 | 8 23 | $69+16$ 1,995 71 | 6 13 | 58956 1,27738 |  | 27640 | 10 | 9278 92780 | 3 19 | $\begin{array}{r}26739 \\ 1,693 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}3,823 \\ 20,040 \\ \hline 2\end{array}$ | Lanark. Leeds. |
| 5784 | 7 | 52717 | 2 | 15684 | 2 | 13756 | 1 | 18877 | 1 | ${ }^{1,98} 26$ | 2 | 6910 | 10 |  | 19 | 1,033 47 | 2,172 78 | Lennox and Addington. |
| 139288 | 12 | 90372 | 17 | 1,333 14 | 19 | 1,306 82 | 16 | 1,388 32 | 23 | 2,959 98 | 12 | 41460 | 10 | 92780 | 13 | 1,158 69 | 20,565 21 | Lincoln, Adington. |
| 2,944 74 | 43 | 3,238 33 | 49 | 3,842 58 | 43 | 2,957 54 | 22 | 1,908 94 | 34 | 3,340 84 | 49 | 1,692 95 | 32 | 2,969 09 | 50 | 4,456 79 | 53,527 13 | Middlesex. |
| .......... | 1 | 7531 | 1 | 7842 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 891. | 319 74281 | Muskoka. |
|  | 5 | 37655 | 6 | 47052 |  |  | 9 | 78093 | 4 | 1965 393 04 | i' | $3+55$ | 3 1 | 27837 92 79 | 3 <br> 3 | 26742 26742 | 74231 6,60543 | Nipissing. Norfolk |
| 1,154 80 | 8 | 60248 | 12 | 94104 | 21 | 1,44438 | 18 | 1,561 86 | 18 | 1,768 68 | 6 | 20730 | 12 | 1,113 48 | 10 | 89140 | 18,203 34 | Northumberland. |
| \% 113514 | 7 | 52717 | 5 | 39210 | 16 | 1,100 48 | 12 | 1,041 24 | 5 | ${ }^{4} 49130$ | 2 | 4910 | 1 | 9279 | 9 | ¢02 26 | 12,667 17 | Ontario. |
| 1,154 80 | 11 | 82841 | 15 | 1,176 30 | 18 | 1,238 04 | 11 | 95447 | 8 | 78610 | 22 | 76010 | 20 | 1,855 80 | 13 | 1.15882 | 16,704 69 | Oxford. |
|  |  | 30124 | 2 | 15684 | 1 | 6878 | 6 | 347 520 62 | 2 |  | 3 | 10365 | 4 | 37116 | 1 | 8914 17828 | $\begin{array}{r}50432 \\ 3,620 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | Parry Sound. |
| 17322 | 2 | 15062 |  |  | 5 | 34390 | 2 | 173 | 8 | 78616 | 5 | 17275 | 3 | 27837 | 1 | 189 | 4,739 36 | Perth. |
| 11548 | 5 | 37655 | 6 | 47052 | 8 | 41268 | 1 | 8677 |  |  | 3 | 10365 | 5 | 463 90\% | 2 | 17828 | 4,145 56 | Peterboro'. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 8677 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,212 97 | Prescott. |
| 5774 38870 | 2 | 150 | 1 4 | 7842 31368 | 11. | 13756 75658 | 8 |  | 4 | 39308 | 7 | 17275 24185 | . . | ...... | 5 | 45 | 1,634 40 | Prince Edward. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 8677 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  | , 8677 | Russell. |
| 40418 | 17 | 1,280 27 | 20. | 1,568 40 | 30 | 2,063 40 | 18 | 1,561 86 | 12 | 1,179 24 | 15 | 51825 | 12 | 1,113 48 | 19 | 1,693 66 | 16,455 37 | Simcoe. |
| 34644 | 4 | 30124 | 6 | 47052 | 5 | 34390 | 1 | 8677 | 4 | 39308 | 5 | 17275 | 2 | 18558 | 3 | 26742 | 7,523 82 | Stormont. |
|  |  |  |  |  | 13 | 89414 | 13 | 1,128 01 | 4 | 39308 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,415 23 | Thunder Bay. |
| 17322 10418 | 4 | 30124 | 2 | 15684 |  |  | 1 | 8677 | 2 | 19654 | 8 | 27640 | 3 | 27837 | 18 | 8914 | 3,362 62 | Victoria. |
| 10418 1,44350 | 19 12 | 1,43089 90372 | 14 | 1,09788 54894 | ${ }_{8}^{9}$ | 619 3,439 00 | 15 44 | 1,40155 3,817 1,88 | 3 53 5 | 24.481 5,20831 | 8 43 | $\begin{array}{r}276 \\ 1,485 \\ \hline 65\end{array}$ | 17 39 | 1,57743 3,61881 | 18 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,60452 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | 14,80986 31,25411 | Welland. |
| 1,98158 | 25 | 1,882 75 | 14 | 1,09788 | 14 | 3,492 962 | 14 | 1,214 78 | 9 | 888443 | 9 | 1,310 95 | 8 | ${ }^{1} 74232$ | 7 | 623 98 | 15,812 15 | Wellington. |
| 6,697 84 | 125 | 9,413 75 | 62 | 4,8i2 04 | 77 | 5,296 06 | 48 | 4.16496 | 61 | 5.99447 | 75 | 2,591 25 | 74 | 6,866 46 | 60 | 5,348 40 | 103,630 15 | Wentworth. |
| 20,035 78 | 216 | 16,266 96 | 284 | 22,271 28 | 257 | 17,676 46 | 219 | 19,002 63 | 170 | 16,705 90 | 404 | 13,958 20 | 213 | 19,764 27 | 259 | 23,087 26 | 236,569 16 | York. |
| 49,195 85 | 711 | 53,545 95 | 678 | 53,171 22 | 823 | 56,605 08 | 674 | 58,485 94 | 594 | 58,369 78 | 862 | 29,783 40 | 699 | 64,857 52 | 739 | 65,871 83 | 803,447 61 | Total. |

$$
2
$$



Statement shewing the annual cost, 1871-1889, the number of boys maintained, the Counties admitted from, and the amo

| County. | 1871. |  | 1872. |  | 187\% |  | 1874. |  | 1875. |  | 1876. |  | 1877. |  | 1578. |  | 1879. |  | 1880. |  |  | 88 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cost. } \\ \mathbf{\$ 2 3 , 8 8 9} 82 \end{gathered}$ | No. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cost } \\ 822,494 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | No. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cost. } \\ \$ 24,979 \text { 31 } \end{gathered}$ | No. | Cost. $\$ 19,88958$ | No. | Cost. $821,33276$ | No. | Cost. $823,32443$ | No. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cost. } \\ \$ 24,430 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | No. | Ccst. $\$ 29,52325$ | No. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cont. } \\ \$ 828,427 \\ 60 \end{gathered}$ | No. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cost. } \\ 834,030 \end{gathered}$ | No. |  |
|  |  | 8 c. |  | \% c. |  | \$ c. |  | 8 c . |  | \$ c. |  | \$ c. |  |  |  | c. |  |  |  | \% c. |  |  |
| Brant Al. | 6 | 92478 | 4 | 66948 | 5 | 96070 | 7 | $1,001{ }^{6}$ | 9 | i,109 $7 \ddot{y}$ | ii | 1,4090 | 11 | 1,38808 | 10 | 1,006 90 | ii' | 1,51800 | is | 2,385 30 | 16 |  |
| Bruee | 2 10 | 30826 1,54130 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{10}^{2}$ | +30130 | 3 13 | 41400 | 5 | 79510 | 4 |  |
| Carletion | 10 | 1,541 30 | 19 | 1,708 44 | 6 | 1,152 90 |  | 85854 | 8 | 98648 | 7 | 89712 | 11 | 1,378 08 | 10 | 1,506 50 | 13 | 1,79400 | 7 | 1,113 14 | 7 |  |
| Dundas |  |  |  |  | 1 | 19215 |  | 14309 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Marham |  |  |  |  | 5 | 96075 | 7. | 1,001 63 | 4 | 49324 |  | 64080 | 5 | 62646 876 |  | ${ }_{903}^{45195}$ | 1 | 13800 | 1 | ${ }_{954}^{159} 02$ | 3 |  |
| $\underset{\text { Exasax }}{\text { Elgin }}$ | 3 5 | 46239 7705 | 3 | ${ }_{421} 711$ | $\begin{array}{r}8 \\ 2 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 1,537 384 30 | 1 | 715 <br> 143 <br> 15 | 8 | 98648 73986 | 9 5 | 1,15344 64080 | 7 6 | 87696 75168 | ${ }_{6}^{6}$ | 90390 | ${ }_{6}^{6}$ | 828 8200 00 | 6 | 95412 95412 | 7 |  |
| Frontena | 5 | 77065 | 8 | 1,138 96 | 8 | 1,53720 | 10 | 1,430 90 | 10 | 1,233 10 | 6 | 76890 | 3 | 37584 | 3 | 45195 | 1 | 13800 |  | 63608 | 6 |  |
| Glengarr |  |  | 2 | 28474 | 1 | 19215 | 1 | 14309 | 1 | 12331 |  | 12816 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{4}^{2}$ |  |
| Grenville |  |  |  | . | 1 | ${ }_{192} 196$ | 1 | 14309 | 1 | 12331 | 1 | 12818 | 1 | 12528 | 2 | 30130 | 2 | 27600 | 4 | 63808 | 4 |  |
| Haldinı | 2 | 30826 | 1 | 142 | 3 | 57645 | 3 | 42927 | 4 | 49324 | 2 | 25632 | 2 | 25056 | 1 | 15065 |  |  | 1 | 15902 | 3 |  |
| Halton | 1 | 15413 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 14309 | 1 | 12381 | 2 | 25632 |  | 50112 | 3 | 45195 | 5 | 690 414 00 | 4 | 63608 | 3 |  |
| Haxtings |  |  | 3 | 42711 | 3 | 57645 | 4 | 57236 143 09 | 6 1 | 73986 <br> 123 <br> 1 | 7 | 89712 12816 | ${ }_{3}^{4}$ | 50112 975 84 | 5 4 | 75328 60260 | 3 <br> 4 | 41400 55200 | 4 3 | 63608 47706 | 4 |  |
| Kuront | 2 | 308 26 | 9 | 28474 | 3 | 57645 | 3 | 42927 | 8 | 98648 | 6 | 76896 | , | 87696 | 5 | 75325 | 6 | 82800 | 2 | 31804 | 3 |  |
| Lambton | 10 | 1,541 30 | 13 | 1,850 81 | 7 | 1,345 05 | 8 | 1,144 ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 9 | 1,109 79 | 7 | 89712 | 8 | 1,002 24 | 9 | 1,355 85 | 11 | 1,518 00 | 13 | 2,067 26 | 14 |  |
| Lanark | 2 | 30826 | 5 | 71185 | 2 | 319430 | $\stackrel{\cdot}{2}$ | 28618 | 2 | 24662 |  |  | 1 | 12528 |  |  |  |  | 4 | 636 | 1 3 |  |
| Lennox and Ais ington. | 3 | 46239 <br> 1695 | 1 | 14237 | 8 | 19215 | $1{ }_{1}^{10}$ | 11309 | 1 | 12331 | 1 | 12816 |  |  |  | $1{ }^{156} 65$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lincoln ........ . . . . | 11 | 1,695 <br> 24 <br> 166 <br> 08 | 15 | 1,70844 2135 5 |  | 1,53720 2,690 10 | 110 | 1,43090 $\mathbf{1 , 5 7 3} 99$ | 3 10 | 36993 1,23910 |  | 1,025 1,28160 |  |  |  | 1,205 <br> 1,007 <br> 15 |  |  |  | 1,11314 <br> 3,021 | 12 |  |
| M1ddllesex...... ....... Norfolk ............. | 16 8 | 2,466 <br> 1,238 <br> 1,4 <br> 1 | 15 | 2,135 <br> 1,138 <br> 185 | 14 5 | 2,690 <br> 960 <br> 75 | 11 5 | 1,57399 71545 | 10 8 | 1,23310 $\mathbf{9 8 6} 48$ | 10 | 1,28160 25682 | 9 5 | 1,127 526 | 11 3 | 1,967 451 | 17 8 | 2,34600 82800 | 19 4 | $\begin{array}{r}3,02138 \\ 6388 \\ \hline 88\end{array}$ | 19 |  |
| Northumb | 9 | 1,387 17 | 7 | 9966 59 | 3 | 57645 | 4 | 57236 | 4 | 49324 | 6 | 76896 | 8 | 75168 | 4 | 605: 60 | 2 | 27600 | 1. | 15902 | 1 |  |
| Ontario | 2 | 30826 | 8 | 1,138 96 | 8 | 1,537 20 | 9 | 1,287 81 | 11 | 1,356 41 | 9 | 1,153 44 | 8 | 1,002 24 | 6 | 90890 | 8 | 1,104 00 | 6 | 95412 | 14 |  |
| Oxford ........... .. | $\stackrel{2}{2}$ | 30826 | 3 | 42711 | 2 | 38430 | 2 | 28618 | 3 | 36993 123 31 | 1 | 64080 12816 | 6 | 75168 <br> 125 <br> 18 | 6 | 9049 | 7 | 96300 | 5 | 79510 | 4 |  |
|  | 2 | 308 26 | 2 | 28474 | 2 | 38430 | 1 | 14309 | 1 1 | 123 369 | $\stackrel{1}{2}$ | $\begin{array}{r}12816 \\ 256 \\ \hline 122\end{array}$ | 6 | 76168 |  | $7{ }^{7} 3$ | 10 | 1,380000 | 11 | 1,749 22 | 7 |  |
| Peterbor |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 14309 | 1 | 12331 | 1 | 128 | 1 | 12528 | 1 | 15065 |  |  | ${ }^{2}$ | 318 04 | 4 |  |
| ${ }^{1}$ Prascott.i.. |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 14309 | 1 | 12331 | 1 | 12816 |  | 25056 |  | 15065 |  | 13800 | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| Renfrew |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25056 | 2 | 30130 | 1 | 13800 | 2 | 318 Ot | 3 |  |
| Russell | 5 | 77065 | 3 | 42711 | 1 | 19215 |  |  | 1 | 12331 | 4 | 51264 | 5 | 62640 | 4 | 60280 | 1 | 13800 | 4 | 63808 | 3 |  |
| Stormont | 2 | 30826 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12816 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vietoria | $\stackrel{2}{5}$ | 30826 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Waterloo Welland | 5 | 770 154 15 | 4 2 | $\begin{array}{r}56948 \\ 284 \\ \hline 14\end{array}$ | 5 | 96075 57645 | 3 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 42927 \\ & 28618 \end{aligned}$ | 8 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 78986 \\ & 24668 \end{aligned}$ | 5 <br> 3 | $\begin{array}{r}64080 \\ 374 \\ \hline 8\end{array}$ | 2 9 | $\begin{array}{r} 25056 \\ \mathbf{1 , 1 2 7} 5 \mathbf{5 6} \end{array}$ | 3 9 | $\begin{array}{r}45195 \\ 1,355 \\ \hline 85\end{array}$ | 7 6 | 96600 82800 | 3 7 | $\begin{array}{r}477 \\ 1,113 \\ \hline 14\end{array}$ | 78 |  |
| Wellington | 2 | 30826 | 1 | 14287 | 1 | 19215 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 12818 | 2 | , 25058 | 2 | 301.30 | 1 | 13800 | 2 | 31804 | 2 |  |
| Wentworth |  | 1,387 17 | 8 | 1,138 96 | 4 | 76860 | 19 | 1,001 63 | 13 | 1,603 03 | 20 | $\begin{array}{r}2,563 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 18 | 2,255 04 | 25 | 8,7613 489145 48 | ${ }_{36}^{26}$ | 3,588 00 | 22 36 | 3,498 44 | 32 35 |  |
| York .... | $2{ }^{6}$ | 4,007 36 | 24 | 3,418 88 | 13 | 2,497 95 | 19 | 2,718 71 | 25 | 3,082 75 | 30 | 3,844 80 | 29 | 3,833 12 | 33 | 4,971 45 | 36 | 4,068 00 | 36 | 5,724 72 | 35 |  |
| Totala.... | 155 | 23,889 82 | 158 | 22,494 14 | 130 | 24,979 31 | 189 | 19,889 68 | 173 | 21,332 78 | 182 | 23,324 43 | 195 | 24,430 10 | 196 | 29,52ג 26 | 206 | 28,427 60 | 214 | 34,030 23 | 250 |  |

## 2EFORMATORY FOR BOYS.

admitted from, and the amount of benefit derived from the Reformatory by each County, each year, and in the nineteen years.


Statement showing the cost thereof in each year from 1872 to 1889 , inclusive; the number of blind persons maintained for each county ;


## NSTITUTION FOR THE BLIND.

aintained for each county ; the amount of benefit derived by each County from the Intistution each jear, and in the total number of years.

| 80. |  | 1881. |  | 1882. |  | 1883. |  | 1884. |  | 1885. |  | 1888. |  | 1887. |  | 1888. |  | 1889. | Amount of hone- fit derived by |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cont. } \\ & 30,34382 \end{aligned}$ | No. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cost. } \\ \$ 30,03425 \end{gathered}$ | No. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cost. } \\ & \$ 34,846 \text { 15 } \end{aligned}$ | No. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cost. } \\ & \text { \$33,736 } 71 \end{aligned}$ | No. | Cost. $\$ 33,29724$ | No. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cost. } \\ & 833,38552 \end{aligned}$ | No. | Cont. $\$ 32,57473$ | No. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cost. } \\ & \text { :32,887 } 94 \end{aligned}$ | No. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cout. } \\ \text { \$36,710 \% } \end{gathered}$ | No. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cont. } \\ 334,87359 \end{gathered}$ | each County dur- <br> ing the pant eighteen yearn. | Countr. |
| - c. |  | - c. |  | 80. |  | c. |  | - 0 |  | - 0 |  | \% c. |  |  | 1 | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ 233 \\ 83 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 1 | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ 207 \\ \hline 65 \end{gathered}$ | 44148 | Algoma. |
|  | 8 | 1,20173 |  | 1,460 62 | 8 | 1,265 10 | 5 | 1,189 20 |  | 1,25i 96 |  | 1,588 9 |  | 1,273 08 | 8 | 1,870 64 | 8 | 1.66120 | 22,474 75 | Bramt. |
| 898 898 298 98 | 6 3 3 | 190102 <br> 45051 | 5 4 | 1,043 30 | ${ }_{4}^{8}$ | 1,054 $\mathbf{1}+3$ 80 40 | 5 2 | 1,184 20 | 8 <br> 8 | 1,20196 1,043 1,20 | 5 | 99310 99310 | 5 4 | $\begin{array}{r}1,06090 \\ 848 \\ \hline 8\end{array}$ | 6 5 | 1,40298 | 5 5 | 1,038 25 | 18,054 10,439 06 | Bruce. |
| 29898 <br> 597 <br> 89 | 3 8 8 | 45051 75085 | 4 | 834 834 84 64 | $\stackrel{4}{2}$ | $\begin{array}{r}843 \\ 421 \\ \hline 0\end{array}$ | 2 <br> 2 | 47568 47568 | 5 <br> 1 | 1,04330 20868 | 5 | 99310 | 4 | 84876 | 5 | $\begin{array}{r}1,16915 \\ \hline 23888\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r}1,03825 \\ 41630 \\ \hline 1076\end{array}$ | 10,439 7,605 68 | Carloton. |
| 74740 | 4 | 60088 | 2 | ${ }_{417} 32$ | 2 | 42170 | 2 | 47568 | 2 | 41732 | 2 | 39724 | 1 | $21{ }^{18} 1{ }^{\circ}$ | 1 | 23388 | 1 | 2076 | 0,409 03 | Dundas. |
| 299896 | 2 | 80034 | 2 | 41732 | 2 | 42170 | 2 | 47568 | 2 | 41732 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 20765 | 6,388 23 | Durham. |
| 29896 448 44 | 1 | 15017 76085 | 1 | 20866 <br> 834 <br> 84 <br> 18 | 1 | 21085 63255 | 2 3 3 | $\begin{array}{r}476 \\ 713 \\ 78 \\ \hline 8\end{array}$ | ${ }_{5}^{2}$ | 41732 1,04330 | 6 | 1,19172 1,390 14 | 5 | 1,06090 1,90962 | 6 | 1,402 98 | 7 7 | 1,453 <br> 1,453 <br> 55 <br> 15 | $\begin{array}{r}8,39193 \\ 13,664 \\ \hline 88\end{array}$ | RIgin. |
| 74740 | 5 | 75085 | 8 | 1,25196 | 7 | 1,475 95 | 8 | 1,902 72 | 9 | 1,873 94 | 7 | 1,190 34 | 5 | 1,060 90 | 4 | -935 32 | 5 | 1,038 25 | 19,620 83 | Frontenar. |
| 29896 | 1 | 15017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 19862 | 2 | 42436 | 1 | 23383 | 8 | 62295 | 3,298 18 | Glengarry. |
| 1,04836 | 1 | 15017 1,00119 | ${ }_{6}^{1}$ | 20866 1,25106 | 1 |  | ${ }_{3}^{1}$ |  | 4 | 83464 |  |  | b |  | 1 4 | 23383 <br> 935 <br> 38 | 1 | $\begin{array}{r}207 \\ 1,038 \\ 25 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}1,399 \\ 13,797 \\ \hline 8\end{array}$ | Grenville. |
| 44844 | 1 | 1,01517 | 2 | 1,41782 | 2 | 1,421 70 | 3 | 71352 | 4 | 83464 | ${ }_{8}^{4}$ | 1,191 72 | 5 | 1,060 90 | ${ }^{8}$ | 1,40298 | 5 | 1,038 25 | 9,211 32 | Haldimand. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 19862 | 1 | 21218 | 1 | 23382 | 4 | 83060 | 3,110 70 | Halton. |
| 74740 1,04636 | A | 60068 | 8 | 1,043 30 | 7 | 1,478 | 8 | 1,427 |  | 1,251 96 | 2 | 39724 | 2 | 42438 | 2 | $4678{ }^{84}$ | 3 | 82295 | 12,378 99 | Hastings. |
| 1,046 <br> 1,196 <br> 184 | 8 | 75085 1,20186 | 6 5 | 1,20196 | 5 | 1,843 40 | 6 2 | 1,179 208 | 2 | 1,25196 4173 | 2 | -997 26 | 3 | 848 636 54 | 4 8 | $\begin{array}{r}935 \\ 70148 \\ \hline 8\end{array}$ | 1 | ${ }^{207} 86$ | 16,978 ${ }^{11,652} 6$ | Huron. |
| 29886 | 4 | 60068 | 5 | 1,043 80 | 7 | 1,476 95 | 6 | 1,427 04 | 7 | 1,460 62 | 7 | 1,390 41 | 7 | 1,485 26 | 9 | 2,104 38 | 10 | 2,078 43 | 13,875 90 | Lambtoo. |
| 7479 |  | 100008 |  |  |  |  |  | 713 13 |  |  |  | 39728 | 2 |  | 1 | ${ }_{233}^{238} 82$ | 1 | 20764 64 | 9,334 75 | Leanark, |
| 44844 | 3 | 45081 | 3 | 62598 | 3 | 63255 | 2 | 47568 | 3 | 62588 | 8 | 69589 | 3 | 63654 | 2 | 46764 | 2 | 41528 | 6,979 87 | Lenncx and |
| 59? 92 | 4 | 80068 | 2 | 41732 | 2 | 42170 |  |  |  |  | 3 | 30589 | 2 | 42436 | 2 | 487 64 | 2 | 41528 | 8,710 69 | Lincoln. |
| 1,644 28 | 9 | 1,851 53 | 8 | 62598 | 3 | 03235 | 7 | 1,664 88 | 8 | 1,689 28 | 9 | 1,787 67 | 7 | 1,485 26 | 5 | 1,169 10 | 3 | 62292 | 24,537 77 | Middlesex. |
| 14948 | 1 | 15017 | 3 | 82598 | 2 | 42170 | 2 | 47568 | 2 | 41732 | 2 | 39726 | 2 | 42436 | 2 | 46764 | 2 | 41528 | 4,243 08 | Muskoka. |
| 74790 | 5 | 700 | 4 | 8397 | b | 1,034 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2 | 475 | 4 | 8347 | i | 19863 | 1 |  | 1 | 23282 | 2 | 20764 <br> 41528 | 12,497 38 | Nipissing. |
| 59792 | 3 | 45051 | 2 | 41732 | 1 | 21085 |  | 23784 | 1 | 20866 |  |  | 1 | 21218 | 1 | 23382 | 1 | 20761 | 8,951 78 | Northumberland. |
| ¢ 9779 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 47 | 2 |  |  |  | B |  |  |  | 1 | 20764 | 20764 | North-went Territory. |
| 29896 | 1 |  | 1 | 208 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 21218 | 2 | 467 | 1 | 1207 24 | 4,107 26 | Orford |
|  | 1 | 15017 | 2 | 41732 | 2 | 42170 | 2 | 47568 | 2 |  | 1 | 19863 | 1 | 21218 | 1 | 23382 | 1 | 20764 | 2,734 48 | Peel. |
| 74740 | 4 | 60068 | 8 | 62598 | 4 | 84340 | 4 | 95136 | 8 | 1,043 30 | 5 | 99815 | 2 | 42436 | 2 | 46784 | 1 | 20764 | 11,857 60 | Perth. |
| 1,04638 | 5 | 75085 | 4 | 83464 | 3 | 63235 | 1 | 23784 | 2 | 41732 | 1 | 19863 | 2 | 43438 | ${ }_{2}^{2}$ | 46764 | 1 | 41528 | 10,439 90 | Peterboro'. |
| 4484 | 5 | 75085 |  | 83464 | 3 | 63335 | 2 | 47568 | 9 |  |  |  |  | 21218 42436 | 1 | 23382 467 64 | 1 | 20764 <br> 415 <br> 15 | 8,06198 68 | ${ }_{\text {Prescott. }}$ Prince Edward. |
| 1,195 84 | 9 | 1,351 63 | 8 | 1,669 28 | 9 | 1,497 05 | 8 | 1,902 72 | 8 | 1,669 28 | 8 | 1,589 04 | 7 | 1,485 28 | ${ }^{2}$ | 1,169 10 | 5 | 1,038 20 | 17,881 62 | Renfrew. |
| 14948 | 1 | 15017 | 1 | 20866 | 1 | 21085 | 1 | 23784 | 1 | 20866 | 1 | 19863 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,502 14 | Ruasell. |
| 1,046 36 | 8 | 1,201 36 | 8 | 1,669 28 | 6 | 1,265 10 | 8 | 1,189 20 | 5 | 1,043 30 | 4 | 79452 |  | 688 | 2 | 46764 | 4 | 830 | 13,366 97 | Simcoe. |
|  |  |  |  | - 8344 |  | 42170 |  | 23784 |  | 20886 | 2 2 2 | 39729 39726 3 | 2 2 2 | 42436 424 36 | 2 | 46764 <br> 487 <br> 64 | 4 | 830 41568 48 | 2,996 <br> 8,028 <br> 8 | Stormont. |
| 59792 | 4 | 60068 | 2 | 41732 | 1 | 21085 | 1 | 23784 | 1 | 20866 | 2 | 39726 | 2 | 42436 | 2 | $467 \cdot 64$ | ${ }_{2}^{2}$ | 41528 | 9,282 28 | Waterloo. |
| 69792 | 4 | 60068 | , | 62598 |  | 63285 | 2 | 47568 | 1 | 20868 | 1 | 19863 | 1 | 21218 | 1 | 23382 | 1 | 20764 | 6,851 90 | Welland. |
| 1,046 36 | 7 | 1,001 19 | 8 | 62598 | 8 | 63285 | 3 | 71354 | 5 | 1,043 30 | 12 | 2,383 56 | 9 | 1,90968 | 8 | 1,870 56 | 8 | 1,661 12 | 34,387 09 | Wellington. |
| 2,840 12 | 19 | 2,853 23 | 12 | 2,503 82 | 14 | 2,96190 | 18 | 3,091 82 | 12 | 2,503 82 | ${ }^{6}$ | 1,19178 | ${ }^{7}$ | 1,485 28 | 7 | 1,636 74 | 8 | 1,245 84 | 19,375 11 | Wentworth. |
| $\begin{aligned} & 3,288 \\ & 149 \\ & \hline 66 \end{aligned}$ | 24 | 3,004 08 | 25 | 6,216 60 | 23 | 4,849 35 | 21 | 4,994 62 | 20 | 8,216 50 | 24 | 4,767 12 | 26 | 5,304 50 | 27 | 6,313 14 | 27 | 5,601 28 | 68,788 1,24658 | York. Other provin |
| 30,343 82 | 200 | 30,034 25 | 167 | 34,846 15 | 160 | 33,736 71 | 140 | 33,297 24 | 160 | 35,385 82 | 164 | 32,574 73 | 155 | 32,887 94 | 157 | 38,710 25 | 167 | 34,676 68 | 613,356 63 | Totala. |

ols from 1871 to 11


Statement she wing the Grants paid in each year to Public, Separate and High Schools from 1871

| Coontiks, incluming Towns anij Villagen, bet not Citiks. | Grantr to Publid, Separate, and F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1871. | 1872. | 1873. | 1874. | 1575. | 1876. | 1877. | 1878. | 1879. | 1880. | 1881. |
|  | \$ | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | \$ | \% | 8 | \$ | 5 | 8 |
| Glengarry .................. | 2,914 | 3,372 | 3,708 | 3.303 | 3,769 | 3,424 | 4,070 | 4,033 | 3,548 | 3,898 | 3,720 |
| Stormont. ... .. .. ...... | 2,677 | 2,840 | 3,009 | 3, 318 | 3 3:35 | 3,455 | 3,399 | 3,634 | 3,285 | 3,684 | 3,535 |
| Dundas .............. ..... | 3,855 $\mathbf{2 , 6 7 6}$ | 3,937 <br> 888 | 4,338 <br> 3,150 <br> 15 | 4,279 | 4,041 | 3,712 3,500 | 3,777 | 4,070 | 3,740 | 3,656 | 3, 191 |
| Russell ..................... | 1,213 | 1,318 | 1,578 | 1,382 | 1,682 | 1,312 | 1,422 | 1,282 | 1,456 | 1,925 | 1,483 |
| Carleton ........ . ........ | 4,900 | 4,789 | 4,580 | 5,095 | 5,400 | 5,034 | 4,801 | 4,912 | 4,649 | 4,754 | 4,406 |
| Grenville ........... ... | 3,626 | 3,640 | 4,343 | 4,353 | 4,253 | 4,222 | 4,393 | 4,508 | 4,118 | 4,035 | 4,129 |
| Leeds..................... \} | 5,974 | 6,288 | 6,840 | 7.294 | 7,337 | 7,625 | 6,919 | 7,363 | 7,093 | 7,481 | 6,990 |
| Lanark. ... ................ | 6,012 | 6,337 | 7,435 | \%,798 | 8,307 | 8,609 | 7,782 | 8,308 | 7,777 | 7,977 | 7,860 |
| Renfrew . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ${ }^{\text {Frontenac }}$ | 4,689 3,653 | 5,757 | 5.637 4.203 | 5. 4 , 604 | 5,989 | 6,983 | ${ }_{6}^{6,572}$ | 7,194 | 8,162 | 8,689 3,987 | 8,233 4,086 |
| Lennox and Addington ..... | 5,667 | 6.566 | 5,833 | 6,371 | 6,127 | 5,849 | 5,960 | 5,617 | 5,253 | 5,015 | 4,778 |
| Prince lidward............. | 3,086 | 3,615 | 3,553 | 4.110 | 3,892 | 3,604 | 3,570 | 3,709 | 3,365 | 3,588 | 3,380 |
| Hastings ................... | 6,723 | 8,481 | 9,425 | 9.884 | 8,801 | 10,061 | 10,188 | 8,288 | 7,432 | 6,572 | 7,186 |
| Northumberland............ | 7,920 | 8,786 | 8,747 | 8937 | 9,679 | 9,768 | 8,936 | 8,693 | 8,752 | 8,515 | 8,696 |
| Durham.. | 6.743 | 7,147 | 7,152 | 7.537 | 7,649 | 7,914 | 8,118 | 8,125 | 7,182 | 6,672 | 7,106 |
| Peterboro'. | 7,564 | 6,786 | 8,388 | 8.159 | 8,490 | 6,819 | 7,045 | 6,6337 | 6,598 | 6,830 | 6,591 |
| Haliburton |  |  |  |  | 1,855 | 1,775 | 2,270 | 1,567 | 3,101 | 1,587 | ,245 |
| Ontario ........... . . . . . . . . . | 10,632 | 6,410 8,092 | 9,154 | 7,988 10703 | - 10.905 | 6,456 10.670 | 88,635 | 17,764 | - $\begin{array}{r}\text { 7,662 } \\ 10,161\end{array}$ | -10.159 | 9,002 10,544 |
| Yurk .......... . . . . . . . . | 9,614 | 8,455 | 9,762 | 10430 | 10,569 | 10,941 | 11,386 | 11,602 | 10,805 | 11,038 | 10,888 |
| Peel... | 4,404 | 4,677 | 4,545 | 4717 | 4,875 | 5,032 | 4,649 | 5,084 | 4,760 | 4,777 | 4,618 |
| Simcoe | 6,137 | 9,698 | 11,233 | 12,962 | 12,233 | 14,239 | 14,148 | 16,109 | 14,745 | 16,694 | 15,479 |
| Halton .... | 3,293 | 3,634 | -3,984 | 3.779 | 3,745 | 3,853 | 3,923 | 3,984 | 3,637 | 3,695 | 3,633 |
| Wentworth | 5,106 | 6,34 | 5. 339 | 6194 | 6,068 | 5,964 | 6,1:0 | 6,376 | 5,321 | 5.145 | 5,506 |
| Brant.. | 5,173 | 5,876 | 6,398 | 7,065 | $7,0 \pm 0$ | 8,086 | 5,839 | 3,80.5 | 3,327 | 3,440 | 3,326 |
| Lincoln. | 6,159 | 8,540 | 8.800 | $9 \cdot 227$ | 9,182 | 6,305 | 5,293 | 6,579 | 5,803 | 6,010 | 5,334 |
| Welland . | 5,230 | 5,318 | 4,978 | 5214 | 5,923 | 5,124 | 5,6.31 | 5,318 | 5,461 | 5.871 | 5,798 |
| Haldiunand.................. | 4,143 | 4,508 | 4.795 | 4,858 | 5,078 | 5,535 | 4,734 | 0, 327 | 4,989 | 5,143 | 5,220 |
| Norfolk ............... . . . | 5,285 | 5,458 | 5,904 | 6,268 | 6,096 | 6,140 | 6,346 | 6,603 | 6,289 | 6,538 | 6,145 |
| Oxford. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 6,151 | 8,069 | 8,186 | E,672 | 8,472 | 8,218 | 8,356 | 8,826 | 7,725 | 8,016 | 7,849 |
| Waterloo. | 7,030 | 8,852 | 9,320 | $1 \mathrm{C}, 643$ | 9,724 | 9,109 | 8,768 | 8,739 | 8,250 | 7.979 | 7,722 |
| Wellington | 9,164 | 9,794 | 10,236 | 11,095 | 11,016 | 11,231 | 11,940 | 12,636 | 10,958 | 11,126 | 10,440 |
| Dufferin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,742 |
| Perey | 7,715 | 8,944 7,515 | 9,599 8,660 | 16,208 9,878 | $\xrightarrow{10,311}$ | 10,018 10 | 9,863 10,220 | 10,103 10.548 | 10,152 10,686 | 10,313 12,159 | 10,502 11,700 |
| Huron | 8,954 | 9,395 | 10,396 | iC,953 | 11,057 | 11,434 | 11,736 | 11,941 | 11,664 | 12,407 | 11,926 |
| Brucs ... | 5,819 | 6,742 | 7,593 | 8,837 | 8,981 | 8,933 | 8,708 | 8,895 | 9,443 | 10,188 | 9,500 |
| Middlesex | 8,2413 | 9,133 | 10,387 | 1:,011 | 11,234 | 11,470 | 11,894 | 11,926 | 10,91 | 11,293 | 11.495 |
| Elgin ........................ | 5,090 | 5,716 | 5,663 | 6,719 | 6,643 | 6,855 | 6,838 | 7,160 |  | 8,274 | S,718 |
|  | 4,738 | 5,666 | 6,194 | 6,362 | 6,447 | 6,451 | 6,404 | 6,7\% $\mathrm{F}_{6}$ | 6,481 | 7,304 | 7,204 |
| Lambton .................. | 4,282 | 5,288 | 5,691 | 6,450 | 6,605 | 6,528 | 6,311 | 6,1 | 6,797 6,183 | ${ }_{6}^{7,300}$ | 7,371 |
| Erse ${ }_{\text {Dist }} \mathbf{\text { ricts }}$......................... | $\begin{array}{r}3,993 \\ \hline 270\end{array}$ | 4,655 1,023 | 5,381 1,250 | 6,504 1,263 | 5,833 1,954 | 5,761 2,756 | 5,635 3,275 |  | 6,183 3,628 | 6,921 6,371 | 6,329 $\mathbf{5 , 7 5 2}$ |
| Totals. | 231,645 | 253,856 | 273,711 | 294,448 | 295,562 | 296,671 | 295,907 | 2. ${ }^{5}$ | 237,950 | 300,919 | 294,346 |

gh Schools from 1871 to 1889, including Townships, Towns and Villages in each County, but not cities.
to Public, Separate, and High Schools.



Statement shewing the amounts paid from Consolidated Revenue to Counties and Districts on Acc

|  | 1871. | 1872. | 1873. | 1874. | 1875. | 1876. | 1877. | 1878. | 1879. | 1880. | 1881. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brant | $\$ c$ |  |  |  |  |  | \$ c. |  |  |  | c. |
| Bruce | 4,369 <br> 1,193 <br> 181 | 2,457 <br> 1,110 <br> 19 | 3,080 <br> 1.718 <br> 07 | 3,894 <br> 2,096 <br> 65 | +,064 ${ }_{2}, 24686$ | 4,99274 2,259 42 | 4,519 <br> 4,623 <br> 188 | 3,607 4,245 75 | 5,78721 <br> 3,024 <br> 09 | $5,995.40$ 3,16555 | ${ }^{999} 000$ |
| Carleton | 2,598 91 | 3,094 32 | 4,316 71 | 4,10954 | 4,324 31 | 4,397 70 | 4,14188 | 4,92640 | 4,167 96 | 3,662 46 | 3,134 41 |
| Sugin .. | 3,56470 | 3,323 59 | 446690 | 3,860 58 | 504003 | 6,494 46 | 386216 | 63020 | $\because 69830$ |  |  |
| Essex | 3,317 62 | 3,103 53 | 2,80408 | 4,173 84 | 4,135 70 | 4,042 61 | 2,990 59 | 5,171 09 | 4,362 17 | 3,447 75 | -3,040 39 |
| Frontena | 4,345 | 2,254 52 | 2,743 28 | 3,045 24 | 2,924 50 | 3,069 93 | 3,040 57 | 2,746 78 | 2,107 48 | 2,256 16 | 3,250 03 |
| Grey | 2,990 65 | 2,05286 | 2,0itio 91 | 2,417 81 | 2,452 66 | 3,638 45 | 3,783 98 | 4,433 15 | 3,750 99 | 4,590 76 | 3,133 41 |
| Haldinand.... | 1,99065 | 1,958 04 | 2,791 46 | 1,535 60 | 1,356 63 | 2,979 98 | 3,577 10 | 1,54496 | 3,506 75 | 2,822 10 | 2,102 25 |
| Hamilton, City Haliburton | 1,095 51 | 73459 | 2556 63 | 54934 | , 77240 | 1,27936 | 1,730 28 | 1,997 99 | 1,047 36 | 63935 | 200 |
| Halton | 1,00493 | 1,635 30 | 1,725 79 | $8{ }^{10} 5$ | 1,000 128 | 1,612 50 | 1,000 1,40 | 1,376 <br> 2,748 <br> 68 | 1,10666 79311 | 1,44375 | 1,644 28 |
| Hastings | 1,456 57 | 2,156 76 | 1.83184 | 2.18150 | 1,87488 | 3,420 33 | ${ }_{2,879}{ }^{1,49}$ | 2,845 93 | 2,270 04 | 2,989 38 | 1,461 75 |
| Huron | 1,927 89 | 2,002 32 | 1,374 67 | 1,957 is | 2,181 22 | 3,753 65 | 4,108 37 | 2,826 67 | 4,938 41 | 2,687 66 | 2,837 74 |
| Kent ... | 3,208 3,627 34 | 3,240 56 | 2,789 45 | 2, 642 | 3,815 51 | +,74195 | \%,241 89 | 3,229 51 | +,38953 | 2,726 92 | 3,096 89 |
| Lambton | 3,627 54 | 3,429986 | 3,583 1,036 1,04 | 3,110 64 | 2,637 27 | 3,003 05 | 3,240 29 | 4,025 | 3,336 <br> 1,38 <br> 05 | 3,467 29 | 3,498 79 |
| Lreeds and Grenville | 2, 2 6if 14 | ${ }_{2}^{1}, 72478$ | ${ }_{3,047}^{1,036}$ | 1,517 \% 5 | 1,078 63 | 1,430 2,104 79 | 1,607 ${ }^{1,169} 99$ | 2,5499 | 1,583 30 | 1,947 <br> 1,858 <br> 03 | 1,12108 1,978 32 |
| Lennox and Addington ..... | 1,118 40 | 1,871 00 | 1,485 17 | 1,600 2.5 | 1,12005 | 2,061 27 | 1,114 79 | 205 97 | 1,364 92 | 1,88334 | 1,436 66 |
| Lincoln ................... | 2,608 17 | 2,946 31 | 3,212 81 | 4,616 34 | 4,600 72 | 5,185 51 | 3,064 09 | 4,594 47 | 2,633 99 | 2,643 13 | 2,193 93 |
| Middlesex | 6,243 37 | 10,233 80 | 7,808 69 | 5,100 45 | 8,430 83 | 10,875 84 | 10,364 81 | 11,102 52 | 8.85648 | 7,420 1+ | 8,406 40 |
| Norfolk. | 3,25616 | 3,305 91 | 3,381 35 | 3.21207 | 3,347 76 | 6,536 80 | 3,046 38 | 3,641 85 | 3,225 08 | 3,989 89 | 8,029 18 |
| Northumberland \& Durham. | 5,018 21 | 3,240 32 | 2,328 02 | 3,311 9 | 1,848 75 | 4,43497 | 3,483 70 | 6,90490 | 3,561 93 | 4,467 66 | 2,301 16 |
| Ontario Oxford $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 3,015 70 | 2,140 77 | 2,86749 | 2,83016 | $\stackrel{3}{2} 21784$ | 5,02849 | 4.74872 | 4,689 85 | 2,912 92 | 4,281 42 | 3,537 16 |
| Peel. | 2,513 93 | 3,847 30 | 1,689 91 | - 1,318 | 3,247 1,29 | 3,314 2 | +1,836 ${ }^{4} 19$ | +1,288 40 | 3,639 41 | 2,96098 | 1,041 17 |
| Perth. | 2,295 48 | 1,87762 | 2,618 52 | 1,976 6 ¢ | 2,499 48 | 3,614 41 | 2,886 10 | 3,320 82 | 3,53704 | 1,681 63 | 2,954 17 |
| Peterbors'. | 1,034 07 | 1,560 20 | 1,578 34 | 1,740 23 | 1,494 59 | 1,839 93 | 1,427 90 | 2,131 49 | 1,392 31 | 87748 | 87301 |
| Prescott and Russ | 66507 | 7864 | ${ }^{97119}$ | :308 73 | 93745 | 1,031 75 | 2,276 95 | 97472 | 1,53819 | 1,356 3: | 1,279 95 |
| Prince Edward | 72308 | 67619 | 1,369 70 | 1,264 07 | 72743 | 1,177 02 | 1,356 77 | 1,467 55 | $\stackrel{4}{2}, 07391$ | 1,41497 | 1,324 85 |
| Renfrew. | 1,27749 | 899800 | 1,58006 | 1,876 29 | 1,4409 | 2,110 73 | 2,821 72 | 2,472 49 | 2,067 71 | 2,757 64 | 1,9177 29 |
|  | 3,193 <br> 1,624 <br> 15 | 3,42930 <br> 1,28428 | 4,37148 1,5593 | 3,966 1,727 100 | 2,783 1,259 14 | 1,547 3,292 02 | \% $3,514+2$ | 6,862 3,512 3,56 | 5,932 <br> 3,027 <br> 13 <br> 18 | 4,595 2,320 25 | 5,208 1,463 1,45 |
| Toronto.. | 3,188 58 | 3,369 12 | 5,544 75 | 4.173 98 | 2,679 39 | 6,346 47 | 3,340 10 | 3, 44030 | 4,820 42 | $2, \times 8738$ | 1,972 32 |
| Victoria. | 1,76fi 90 | 1,389 55 | 2,059 39 | 2,404 46 | 1,145 87 | 2.44804 | 2,602 91 | 3,175 80 | 2.40546 | 2,142 30 | 1,909 02 |
| Waterlos | 3,017 98 | 2,603 13 | 3,238 50 | 2.92750 | 2,132 67 | 4,287 28 | 2,400 54 | 4,588 74 | 2,96940 | 2,036 i7 | 2,09211 |
| Welland | 2,064 86 | 2,045 24 | 2,728 81 | 3,185 ${ }^{23}$ |  | 4,5886 | 4,331 90 | 5,040 90 | 3,112006 | 4,407 10 |  |
| Wellington | 3,350 93 | 3,37431 | 3,23166 | 2,555 15 | 3,06055 | 3,651 00 | 2,94197 | 3,934 40 | 3, 6.6871 | 3,545 3,64 3,619 | 2,1:9150 |
| Wertwortl | 4,51117 | 4.93324 | 5,81785 | \%,484 04 | 6,363 46 | 8,269 56 | 7,190 1190 1799 | 8,73070 15,55859 | 5,42868 | 3,619 11,634 17 | $\begin{array}{r}3,427 \\ 11,36540 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| Algoma | - | $5,729.07$ | 6,50000 | (10,6i2. | 1i,240 86 | 17,184 <br> 7,304 <br> 50 |  | 10,133 51 | 7,945 08 | 7,818 73 | 6,667 |
| Muskoka | 1,255 58 | 1,285 27 | 1,300 00 | 1,540 4 | $1,2 \times 9{ }^{16}$ | 1,000 00 | 2,072 68 | 2,593 76 | 2,967 16 | 2,269 03 | 2,196 98 |
| Nipissing | 1,800 10 | 1,639 89 | 2,240 42 | 1,8938 81 | 1,778 63 | $1,740{ }^{21}$ | 1,91095 | 2,03082 | 3,11693 | $\pm, 84301$ | 3,795 39 |
| Parry Sound | 50400 | 1,604 30 | 1,585 65 | 1,839 94 | 1,73894 | 2,124 15 | 1,971 74 | 2, 3454 48 | 2,3517 4,975 43 | 2,456 18 <br> 7,184 <br> 18 | 2,480 6,088 81 |
| Thunder Bay | 74455 | - 3,027 69 | +,532 52 | 2,74 66 | 3.11709 | 4,604 76 | 5,722 98 | 4,691 19 | 4,975 33 | 7,184 ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 6,088 21 |
| Northerly and westerly parts of the Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rainy River...... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Totals | 112,923 59 | 114,991 18 | 124,272 83 | 123,889 0i | 123,063 55 | 172,898 30 | 162,936 79 | 179,449 97 | 156,375 77 | 146,697 67 | 127,350 42 |

ies and Districts on Account of the Administration of Justice during the years 1871 to 1889 inclusive.

| 1880. | 1881. | 188\%. | 1883. | 1884. | 1885. | 1886. | 1887. | 1888. | 1889. | Totals. | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| s. c. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5,99640 | 2,99000 | 3 ,082 22 | 3,168 94 | 2,588 38 | 3,756 97 | 4,283 80 | ${ }_{2,667} 33$ | 4,191 29 | 3,641 ${ }_{3}$ | 73, ${ }_{1} 1498$ | Brant. |
| 3,165 <br> 3,662 <br> 65 | 2,74023 | 1,843 08 | 2,099 45 | 1,087 79 | 3,786 69 | 4,003 74 | 3,712 38 | 3,956 04 | 3,758 01 | $52,6{ }^{\prime \prime} 119$ | Bruce. |
|  | 3,134 41 | 1,866 1,397 182 | 3,534 20 | 3,357 <br> 1,443 <br> 1 | 6,16694 85801 | 5,851 91 | $\begin{array}{r}4,43943 \\ \hline 76386\end{array}$ | 4,970 1,311 83 | 5,769 <br> 1,261 <br> 189 | 10,1:5, 32 | Carleton. Dufferin. |
| $4,497 \%$ |  | 3,677 71 | 3,019 98 | 4,410 62 | 5,24497 | 6,239 46 | 5,658 16 | 3,603 5 | 4,1224 | 87,3:0 89 | Elgin. |
| 3,447 75 | 3,040 39 | 3,192 86 | 2,523 95 | 4,151 51 | 4,156 35 | 3,632 27 | 3,336 76 | 2,995 06 | 3,578 08 | 67,166 21 | Essex. |
| 2,256 16 | 3,25003 | 3,018 68 | 1, 55102 | 1,949 32 | 2,565 10 | 2,119 49 | 2,031 22 | 2,0374 | 2.47240 | 49,54848 | Fcontenac. |
| 4,590 76 | 3,183 41 | 2,176 90 | 2,623 87 | 2,67486 | 2,836 84 | 3,040 32 | 3,01195 | 3,169 94 | 2,10784 | 56,9i8 15 | Girey. |
| 2,822 639 | 2,102 25 | 1,665 08 | 2,438 93 | 2,301 61 | 3,241 60 | 2,355 12 | 2,09990 | 2,829 95 | 1,64] 21 | 44,7.8 94 | Haldimand. |
| 639 1,433 75 | 1,20000 | 1,331 25 | 1,387 50 | 1,337 60 | 1,122 90 | 15000 | 15000 | 15000 | 42205 | 10,843:1 | Hamilton, City. Haliburton. |
| 1,320 81 | 1,64428 | 1,169 87 | 1 ,964 58 | 17821 | 1,337 37 | 1,351 41 | 1,317 01 | 1,850 69 | 1,800 89 | -26,7\%6 | Halton. |
| 2,989 38 | 1,461 75 | 1,50518 | 1,971 74 | 1,849 51 | 5,305 75 | 5,190 51 | 2,914 015 | 4,989 03 | 3,8176 | 52,949 41 | Hastings. |
| ${ }^{2}, 687666$ | 2,837 74 | ${ }_{2,298} 17$ | 1,765 74 | $\stackrel{2}{2} 74980$ | 2,779 46 | 1,856 77 | ${ }^{2}, 421438$ | 3,63375 | 3,054 37 | 51,16547 | Huron. |
| -2,726 92 | 3,09689 <br> 3,408 <br> 19 | 3,681 11 | 2,92832 | 3,429 53 | 3,231 98 | 3,669 69 | 2,53330 | 2, 48415 |  | 6i1,081 79 | Kent. |
| 3,46729 <br> 1,977 <br> 12 | 3,40879 | 2,778 04 | 2,535818 | 3,669 58 | 2,097114 | 4,21151 | 2,858 1,131 185 |  |  | 64,521 | Lambton. |
| 1,977 <br> 1,888 <br> 03 | 1,121 1,978 32 | $\begin{array}{r}1,756 \\ 1,748 \\ \hline 85\end{array}$ | 1,290 1,106 10 | 1,291 1,455 07 | 1,079 <br> 1,630 <br> 9 | 1,436 2,112 78 | 1,13075 <br> 1,848 <br> 00 | $\begin{array}{lll}1,435 & 14 \\ 2,113 & 47\end{array}$ |  | -95,327 ${ }^{39,138} 8$ | Lanark. ${ }_{\text {Leeds }}$ |
| 88334 | 1,436 66 | 2,460 53 | 1,19409 | 1,203 41 | 2,289 4 5 | 1,037 63 | 1,205 94 | 752 3s | 1,232 24 | 26,3i7 49 | Lennox and Asilington. |
| 2,64313 | 2,19393 | 1,290 34 | 2,104 90 | 2,420 25 | 2,935 00 | 4,101 20 | 2,02780 | 1,850 68 | 2,062006 | 57,097 46 | Lincoln. |
| 7,420 14 | 8,900; 90 | 5,098 59 | 6,303 01 | 9,014 62 | 10,181 65 | 6,163 63 | 5,82335 | -,916 66 | 5,40158 | 152,246 55 | Middlumex. |
| 3,989 89 | 8,02918 | 2,171 48 | 1,800 99 | 1,568 46 | 2,552 25 | 1,364 68 | 2,265 45 | 2,342 50 | 2,221 32 | 55,309 56 | Norfolk. |
| 4,467 66 | 2,301 16 | 3,250 62 | 3,971 20 | $2,16 i 67{ }^{24}$ | 3,632 01 | 3,393 33 | 2,78780 | $5,52 \mathrm{2} 21$ | 4,53301 | 70,703 9 | Northumberland \& Durham. |
| +,281 42 | 3,537 16 | 2,293 75 | 2,308 89 | 2,97831 | 4,03483 | 3,264 59 | 2,74652 | 3,423 34 | 2,524 99 | 61,873 | Ontario, ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| 2,610 58 | 1,615 93 | 1,842 37 | 2,57992 | 1,895 49 | 2,395 52 | 2,413 22 | $\underline{2}, 69006$ | 9,566\% 91 | 2,89616 | 52,313 72 | Oxford. |
| 96091 | 1,041 17 | 1,495 94 | 78715 | 1,320 97 | 2,176 69 | 1,272 81 | 1,242 25 | 1,123 fis | 2,114 99 | 20,94; 49 | P'eel. |
| 1,681 63 | 2,954 17 | 1,193879 | 2,03814 | 2,383 89 | 3,41150 | 2,920 04 | 2,487 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2,12708 | 2.08008 | 49,27) 21 |  |
| 87748 | 873 1,279 185 | 1,22007 | 1,304 21 | ${ }^{1,096} 84$ | 1,773 55 | 1,345 04 | 1,560 1,875 1,88 | 1,21367 1,10066 | 2,44380 <br> 1,016 <br> 18 |  | l'eterboro'. ${ }^{\text {l }}$ /'rescott and Russell. |
| 1,356 <br> 1,414 <br> 14 <br> 18 | 1,279 <br> 1,32485 <br> 85 | $\begin{array}{llll}1,251 & 04 \\ 1,143 & 34\end{array}$ | 2,142 <br> 1,429 <br> 15 |  | 2,163 <br> 1,325 <br> 88 | 2,776 1,311 183 | 1,875 <br> 1,43 <br> 18 <br> 17 | 1,100 1,468 18 | 1,016 <br> 1,740 <br> 16 | 2(6,62) 42 | l'rescott and Russell. Prince Ed ward. |
| 1,414 <br> 2,757 <br> 64 | 1,324 <br> 1,957 <br> 199 <br> 95 | 1,143 <br> 1,630 <br> 14 <br> 1 | 1,42972 <br> 1,788 <br> 1 | 2,906 2,633 260 | 1,325 3,854 3,505 | 1,31133 $2,55 \%$ 76 | 2, 2,93198 | 1,468 <br> 1,920 <br> 181 | 1,74016 <br> 3,661 <br> 184 | 26,33, <br> 42,60 <br> 19 | Prince Edward. Renfrew. |
| 4,5:15 38 | 5,208 63 | 4,52833 | 3,683 29 । | 4,388 42 | 5,516 53 | 5,527 07 | 4,473 70 | 2,999364 | 3,950 49 | 86,471 | Simcoe. |
| 2,320 35 | 1,465 85 | 1,603 77 | 1,604 00 | 2,48633 | 2,09860 | 2,750 81 | 2,5it 83 | 1,373 | 2,381 88 | 40,087 35 |  |
| 2,887 38 | 1,972 32 | 1,04970 | 2,756 45 | 2,493 06 | 2,72862 | 4,091 58 | 4,17881 | 2,985033 | 6,56578 | 69,25: 14 | Toronto. |
| 2,142 <br> 2,036 <br> 187 | 1,9093 02 | 1,696 79 | 1,009 <br> 3,050 <br> 1 | 1,336 2,189 29 | 1,940 <br> 2,076 <br> 184 | ${ }_{2,627}^{1,603}$ | 2,17781 3,664 31 | 1.880 4,078 4 4 | 3,2wi 57 | (17,32, 09 | Vietoria. |
| 4,457 10 | 2,517 23 | 2,3:3 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ 45 | 1,32725 | 2,366 58 | 3,06888 86 | 2,603 89 | 2,500 58 | 3,23083 | 1,3,383 19 | 5,70i 56 | Wellamd. |
| 3,515, 34 | 2,191 50 | 2,690 45 | 2,090 17 | 3,001 ${ }^{3}$ | 3,352 62 | 3,651 16 | 3,154 64 | 3,902 2 s | 4,25384 | 61,59:3 | Wellington. |
| 3,61933 | 3,427 41 | 3,872 06 | 2,79642 | 4,662 00 | 6,414 26 | 5,549 06 | 5,453 9 s | 5,87863 | 5,312 07 | 104.85 1.1 | Wentwortli. |
| 11,654 17 | 11,355 40 | 11,827 64 | 10,084 05 | 16,931 44 | 16,935, 20 | 13,907 04 | 17,307 93 | 18,105 49 | 15,344! 37 | 244,57: 51 | York. |
| 7,81873 | 6,667 90 | 7,464 04 | 8,93070 | 10,070 4.4 | 12,755 89 | 13,411 14 | 13,751 42 | 15,296 49 | $2 \pm .01027$ | 183,05is 64 | Algoma. |
| 2,24993 | 2,195 98 | 2,41388 | $2,742{ }^{67}$ | 2,205 85 | 3,401 92 | 2,62710 | 2,73403 | 3.16848 | [1, 13737 | 49,0514 | Muskuka. |
| 4,843 <br> 2,466 <br> 188 | 3,795 <br> 2,480 <br> 81 <br> 18 | 4,349 <br> 2,822 <br> 2, <br> 02 | 4,547 <br> 2,803 <br> 84 | 5,20231 <br> 2,510 <br> 65 | 6,120 <br> 2,81 <br> 1808 | 5,032 <br> 2,898 <br> 85 | 5.499 2,499 288 | 7,415 3,493 3,457 | 6,803 6,270 9,94 | 71,821, 68 | Nipissing. <br> parry Sound. |
| 7,184 18 | 6,088 21 | 7,523 05 | 8,322 63 | 12,165 43 | 13,614 88 | 14,06688 | 7,908 93 | 3,64475 | 8,93624 | 129,631 40 | Parry sound. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,531 32 | 1,53:32 | Manitoulin. |
|  |  |  |  | 17,959 53 |  |  |  |  |  | 17,95! 73 | Northerly and westerly bartn of the Province. |
|  |  |  |  |  | 10,883 66 | 10,434 86 | 10,93* 77 | 0,894 94 | 9,341 27 | 51,49:1 30 | Rainy River. |
| 146,697 67 | 127, $5 \times 042$ | 124,100 63 | 122,495 58 | 164,786 74 | 187,792 86 | 177,587 22 | 163,306 90 | 182,071 04 | 190,185 95 | 2,857,24ij 95 | Totals. |

Statement shewing the Grants to Agricultural S

ts to Agricultural Societies from 1871 to 1889, inclusive.

|  | 1880. | 1881. | 1882. | 1883. | 1884. | 1885. | 1886. | 1887. | 1888. | 1889. | Totals. | Countirs. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| c. | \$ c. | \$ c. | $\$$ c. | $\$$ c. | $\$ \quad c .$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,40000 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | $1,40000$ | $1,40000$ | $1,40000$ | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | $23,86000$ | Fissex. |
| 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,460 00 | 25,260 00 | Kent. |
| 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,460 00 | 1,460 00 | 26,760 00 | Elgin. |
| 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 46,600 00 | Norfolk. |
| 34 | 93334 | ,933 33 | 1933 34 | 93333 | 93333 | 93333 | 93333 | 93333 | 1933 33 | 93333 | 17,733 33 | Haldimand. |
| 33 | 93333 | 93334 | 93333 | !33 34 | 93333 | 93333 | 98334 | 93334 | 33334 | 93334 | 17,733 36 | Welland. |
| 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,40000 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 60 | 1,400 00 | 25,200 00 | Lambton. |
| 00 | 2,100 00 | 2,100 00 | 2,100 00 | 2,100 00 | 2,100 00 | 2,100 00 | 2,100 00 | 2,10000 | $\stackrel{2}{2} 10000$ | 2,10000 | 37,099 00 | Huron. |
| 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 2,100 00 | 2,100 00 | 28,000 00 | Bruce. |
| 00 | 2,100 00 | 2,100 00 | 2,10000 | 2,100 00 | 2,100 00 | 2,100 00 | 2,10000 | 2,100 00 | $\because, 10000$ | $\because, 10000$ | 37,000 00 | Grey. |
| 00 | 2,450 00 | 2,450 00 | 2,45000 | 2,450 00 | 2,450 00 | 2,450 00 | 2,450 00 | 2,550 00 | 2,500 00 | 2,50000 | 43,950 00 | Simcoe. |
| 00 | 2,100 00 | 2,100 00 | 2,100 00 | 2,100 00 | 2,100 00 | 2,100 00 | 2,100 00 | 2,100 00 | 2,100 00 | 2,100 00 | 39,900 00 | Middlesex. |
| 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 26,600 00 | Oxford. |
| 00 | 1,450 00 | 1,450 00 | 1,450 00 | 1,450 00 | 1,450 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,500 00 | 1,500 00 | 1,500 00 | 1,500 00 | 27,580 00 | Brant. |
| 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,40000 | 1,400 00 | 26,600 00 | Perth. |
| 00 | 2,100 00 | 2,100 00 | 2,100 00 | 2,100 00 | 2,100 00 | 2,100 00 | 2,10000 | 2,100 00 | 2,10000 | 2,100 00 | 39,000 00 | Wellington. |
| 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,40000 | 1,40000 | 1,40000 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 26,600 00 | Waterloo. |
| 00 | 70000 | . 70000 | $\begin{array}{r}700 \\ \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}700 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 70000 | $\begin{array}{r}70000 \\ \hline 188\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}70000 \\ \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}700 \\ 1.80 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 700 00 | 70000 | 10,500 00 | Dufferin. |
| 33 | 1,283 33 | 1,283 33 | 1,283 33 | 1,283 33 | 1,283 34 | 1,283 34 | 1,283 33 | 1,283 33 | 1,283 33 | 1,283 33 | 24,383 31 | Lincoln. |
| 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,40000 | 1,40000 | 1,40000 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 26,600 00 | Wentworth. |
| 00 | 70000 | 70000 | 70000 | 70000 | 70000 | 70000 | 70000 | 70000 | 7.70000 | , 70000 | 13,300 00 | Halton. |
| 00 | 1,050 00 | 1,050 00 | 1,050 00 | 1,050 00 | 1,050 00 | 1,050 00 | 1,050 00 | 1,050 00 | 1,050 00 | 1,050 00 | 19,950 00 | Peel. |
| 00 | 2,100 00 | 2,021 00 | 2,100 00 | 2,100 00 | 2,100 00 | 2,100 00 | 2,100 00 | 2,100 00 | 2,100 00 | 3,10000 | 39,712 00 | York. |
| 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 26,600 00 | Ontario. |
| 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,100 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 26,600 00 | Durham. |
| 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 OC | 1,40000 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 26,600 00 | Northumberland. |
| 00 | 70000 | 70000 | 70000 | 70000 | 70000 | 70000 | 70000 | 70000 | 70000 | 70000 | 13,300 00 | Prince Edward. |
| 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,399 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,40000 | 1,400 00 | 26,599 00 | Lernox and Addington. |
| 00 | 70000 | 70000 | 70000 | 70000 | . 70000 | $\begin{array}{r}70900 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}70000 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 70000 | 73000 | 70000 | 13,300 00 | Frontenac. |
| 00 | 2,800 00 | 2,800 00 | 2,800 00 | 2,800 00 | 2,800 00 | 2,800 00 | 2,80000 | 2,800 00 | 2,800 00 | 2,800 00 | 61,450 00 | Leeds and Grenville. |
| 00 | 70000 | 70000 | 70000 | 70000 | 70000 | 70000 | 70000 | 70000 | 200 00 | 70000 | 13,300 00 | Iundas. |
| 00 | 1,050 00 | 1,050 00 | 1,050 00 | 1,050 00 | 1,050 00 | 1,050 00 | 1,050 00 | 1,050 00 | 1,000 00 | 1,050 00 | 19,89800 | Stormont. |
| 50 | 70000 | 70000 | 70000 | 70000 | 70000 | 70000 | 70000 | 70000 | 70000 | 70000 | 13.23150 | Glengarry. |
| 000 | 70000 | 70000 | 70000 | 70000 | 70000 | 70000 | 70000 | 70000 | 70000 | 70000 | 13.30000 | Prescott. |
| 00 | 70000 | 70000 | 70000 | 70000 | 10000 | 70000 | 70000 | 70000 | 70000 | 70000 | 13,300 00 | Russell. |
| 00 | 70000 | 70000 | 70000 | 70000 | 70000 | 70000 | 70000 | 70000 | 70000 | 70000 | 13,300 00 | Carleton. |
| 000 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00) | 1,700 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,490 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,40000 | 1,400 00 | 27,040 00 | Renfrew. |
| 000 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 01) | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 26,600 00 | lanark. |
| b 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 0 ) | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,40000 | 1,400 00 | 26,600 00 | Victoria. |
| 600 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,400 00 | 1,40000 | 1,400 00 | 1,40500 | 26,596 00 | Peterboro'. |
| 000 | 15000 | , 45000 | 3000) | 300 2 | 30000 2000 | $\begin{array}{r}30000 \\ , ~ \\ \hline 17500\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}30000 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | - 50000 | , 49000 | 44000 | 4,78000 | Haliburton. |
| 900 | 2,048 00 | 2,081 ט0 | 2,100 00 | 2,240 00 | 2,200 00 | 2,17500 | 2,150 00 | 2,100 00 | 2,10000 | 2,10000 | 40,138 50 | Hastings. |
| 900 | 70000 | 70000 | 70001 | 70000 70000 | 70000 70000 | 70000 70000 | 1,050 700 700 | 10000 70000 | $8(6) 00$ 80000 | 86500 80000 | 11,530 5,100 160 | Muskoka. <br> Parry Sound. |
| 000 | 70000 | 70000 | 7000 | 70000 90000 | 70000 1,07200 | 70000 1,07200 | $7) 000$ 97200 | 70000 1,67000 | 800 1,825 | 800 1,600 | 5,100 16,29200 | Parry Sound. Algoma. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Cities. |
| 000 | 35000 | 35000 | 3 3 000 | 35000 | 35000 | 35000 | 35000 | 35000 | 35000 | 35000 | 6,650 00 | London. |
| 000 | 35000 | 35000 | 35000 | 35000 | 35000 | 35000 | 35000 | 35000 | 35000 | 35000 | 7,345 00 | Hamilton. |
| 000 | 55000 | 55000 | 55000 | 55000 | 55000 | 55000 | 55000 | 55000 | 55000 | 55000 | 10,450 00 | Toronto. |
| 000 | 35000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3,500 00 | Kingston. |
| 000 | 35000 | 35000 | 35000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4,200 00 | Ottawa. |
| 750 | 59,498 00 | 59,402 00 | 59,350 00 | 60,339 00 | $\mathbf{6 0 , 1 7 3} 00$ | 60,097 00 | 60,422 00 | 61,220 00 | 62,140 00 | 61,975 00 | 1,118,421 00 | Totals |



General Statement shewing the amount of benefit derived by followir

| Countr. | 曾 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ¢ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Brant | 115,878 | 17,946 | 25,355 | 22, |
| Bruce | 125,110 | 6,284 | 9,006 | 18,0 |
| Carleton | 207,422 | 26,211 | 23,619 | 10,4 |
| Dufferin. | 7,506 | 608 | 431 | 7,6 |
| Elgin | 140,336 | 19,497 | 17,363 | 8,3 |
| Essex | 104,176 | 29,760 | 11,047 | 13,6 |
| Frontenac | 214,544 | 22,665 | 29,485 | 19,6 |
| Stormont. | 104,293 | 7,523 | 5,171 | 2,9 |
| Dundas | 39,540 |  | , 335 | 9,4 |
| Flengarry | 51,943 |  | 4,149 | 3,2 |
| Leeds. | 105,373 | 20,040 | 8,991 | 9,3 |
| Trenville | 34,375 | 352 | 5,469 | 1,3 |
| 7rey | 149,029 | 8,618 | 6,686 | 13,7 |
| Haldimand | 87,731 | 3,331 | 4,470 | 9,2 |
| Haliburton Halton | 93,542 | 2,473 | 4,920 | 3,1 |
| Hastings | 118,284 | 12,936 | 11,356 | 12,3 |
| Huron | 169,154 | 5,185 | 6,601 | 16,9 |
| Kent. | 123,479 | 17,068 | 16,041 | 11,5 |
| Lambton | 174,823 | 11,833 | 32,142 | 13,8 |
| Lanark | 113,741 | 3,823 | 1,666 | 9 |
| Lennox and Addington | 92,212 | 2,172 | 2,146 | 6,9 |
| Lincoln ..... ..... | 122,475 | 20,565 | 23,319 | 8,7 |
| Middlesex | 378,013 | 53,527 | 45,217 | 24,5 |
| Norfolk | 88,554 | 6,605 | 15,163 | 12,4 |
| Northumberla | 145,620 | 18,203 | 9,471 | 8,9 |
| Durham | 98,683 | 4,174 | 7,758 | 5,3 |
| Ontario | 187,713 | 12,667 | 24,1.21 | 11,9 |
| Oxford | 152,134 | 16,704 | 18,281 | 4,1 |
| Peel. | 106,458 | 3,620 | 1,418 | 2,7 |
| Perth | 140,548 | 4,739 | 11,326 | 11,8 |
| Peterborough | 72,112 | 4,145 | 6,085 | 10,4 |
| Prescott | 42,009 | 1,212 | 1,184 | 6 |
| Russell. | 6,381 | 86 | 352 | 2,5 |
| Prince Edward | 45,971 | 1,634 | 1,076 | 9,0 |
| Renfrew. | 58,941 | 4,398 | 4,346 | 17,8 |
| Simcoe | 208,604 | 16,455 | 14,554 | 13,3 |
| Victoria | 78,457 | 3,362 | 3,537 | 8,0 |
| Vaterloo | 95,528 | 14,809 | 13,735 | 9,2 |
| Welland | 80,127 | 31,254 | 13,088 | 6,8 |
| Wellington | 156,461 | 15,812 | 8,360 | 19,3 |
| Wentworth | 273,663 | 103,630 | 69,791 | 34, |
| York. | 857,221 | 236,569 | 89,424 | 68,7 |
| Unorganized Districts | 25,805 | 9,654 | 605 | 5,0 |
| Total | 5,793,869 | 802,149 | 608,660 | 512,0 |

enefit derived by each County during nineteen years, 1871-1889, on accoust of the following heads :

|  | 苟 |  |  |  |  |  | Total. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| 25,355 | 22,474 | 21,872 | 84,843 | 73,149 | 27,580 | 12,136 | 401,233 |
| 9,006 | 18,054 | 20,055 | 170,605 | 52,671 | 28,000 | 10,428 | 440,213 |
| 23,619 | 10,439 | 22,855 | 88,861 | 81,831 | 13,300 |  | 474,538 |
| 431 | 7,605 | 673 | 36,983 | 10,135 | 10,500 | 2,596 | 77,037 |
| 17,363 | 8,391 | 18,017 | 117,710 | 87,320 | 26,720 | 5,012 | 440,366 |
| 11,047 | 13,664 | 13,652 | 120,858 | 67,156 | 23,800 | -809 | 384,922 |
| 29,485 | 19,625 | 14,713 | 83,923 | 49,528 | 13,300 | 5,691 | 453,474 |
| 5,171 | 2,996 | 9,930 | 66,014 | 4,007 $\{$ | 19,898 | 5,601) |  |
| 335 | 9,499 | 6,624 | 73,366 $\}$ | 40,097 | 13,300 |  | 547,536 |
| 4,149 | 3,298 | 4,676 13,577 | 70,029 | , | 13,231 | 1,143 |  |
| 8,991 $\mathbf{5 , 4 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 3 3 4}$ 1,399 | 13,577 $\mathbf{3 , 3 3 0}$ | 210,167 | 39,633 | 51,450 | $\left.\begin{array}{l}3,166 \\ 4,384\end{array}\right\}$ | 511,040 |
| 6,686 | 13,797 | 24,089 | 192,216 | 56,978 | 37,100 | 8,764 | 497,277 |
| 4,470 | 9,211 | 5,830 | 91,511 | 44,738 | 17,733 | 2,200 | 266,755 |
|  |  | 1,046 | 32,741 | 14,840 | 4,780 |  | 53,407 |
| 4,920 | 3,110 | 7,590 | 70,755 | 26,736 | 13,300 | 7,495 | 229,921 |
| 11,356 | 12,378 | 33,319 | 149,536 | 52,999 | 40,138 | 2,216 | 433,162 |
| 6,601 | 16,978 | 35,666 | 219,409 | 51,155 | 37,099 | 25,382 | 566,629 |
| 16,041 | 11,552 | 12,560 | 131, 841 | 61,081 | 25,260 | 8,242 | 415,124 |
| 32,142 | 13,875 | 18,879 | 131,907 | 64,521 | 25,200 | 12,150 | 485,330 |
| 1,666 | 969 | 6,372 | 148,477 | 25,327 | 26,600 | 9,477 | 336,452 |
| 2,146 | 6,979 | 8,293 | 103,345 | 26,367 | 26,599 | 3,502 | 271,615 |
| 23,319 | 8,710 | 7,011 | 114,810 | 57,097 | 24,383 | 10,778 | 389,148 |
| 45,217 | 24,537 | 31,697 | 210,991 | 152,246 | 39,900 | 13,472 | 949,600 |
| 15,163 | 12,497 | 16,423 | 114,311 | 55,309 | 26,600 | 5,940 | 341,402 |
| $\mathbf{9 , 4 7 1}$ 7758 | 8,951 | 23,711 14 | $\left.\begin{array}{\|l\|}161,568 \\ 13652\end{array}\right\}$ | 70,703 | 26,600 26,600 | $\left.\begin{array}{l}4,743 \\ 7,745\end{array}\right\}$ | 771,405 |
| 7,758 24,121 | 5,388 $\mathbf{1 1 , 9 5 5}$ | 14,915 17,384 | $\left.\begin{array}{l}136,572 \\ 199,068\end{array}\right\}$ | 61,879 | 26,600 $\mathbf{2 6 , 6 0 0}$ | 7,745 11,365 | 552,752 |
| 18,281 | 11,107 | 13,304 | 156,620 | 52,319 | 26,600 | 14,179 | 454,248 |
| 1,418 | 2,734 | 6,382 | -89,532 | 29,945 | 19,950 | 11,614 | 271,653 |
| 11,326 | 11,857 | 37,280 | 184,824 | 49,279 | 26,600 | 16,089 | 482,542 |
| 6,085 | 10,439 | 8,497 | 131,227 | 27,966 | 25,596 | 8,818 | 295,885 |
| 1,184 | -653 | 3,281 | 99,794 | 26,620 | 13,300 13,300 |  | 219,181 |
| 1,076 1 | $\mathbf{2 , 5 0 2}$ 9,081 | 8,320 $\mathbf{2 , 7 9 4}$ | 69,794 | 26,620 | 13,300 13,300 | 151 400 | 166,971 |
| 4,346 | 17,881 | 11,335 | 145,509 | 42,601 | 27,040 | 3,777 | 315,828 |
| 14,554 | 13,366 | 28,069 | 265,208 | 86,471 | 43,950 | 20,670 | 697,247 |
| 3,537 | 8,028 | 4,641 | 157,066 | 37,325 | 26,600 | 6.391 | 325,407 |
| 13,735 | 9,282 | 17,173 | 164,993 | 56,259 | 26,600 | 30,116 | 428,495 |
| 13,088 | 6,851 | 7,203 | 101,616 | 55,705 | 17,733 | 11,422 | 327,999 |
| 8,360 | 19,375 | 22,418 | 194,833 | 61,592 | 39,900 | 24,566 | 543,317 |
| 69,791 | 34,387 | 25,356 | 103,100 | 115,657 | 26,600 | 7,495 | 759,679 |
| 89,424 | 68,788 | 48,532 | 199,674 | 313,831 | 39,712 | 14,210 | 1,867,961 |
| 605 | 5,098 | 10,954 | 139,768 | 551,824 | 32,922 | 3,330 | 779,960 |
| 608,660 | 512,094 | 670,298 | 5,543,561 | 2,857,225 | 1,086,274 | 352,581 | 18,226,711 |



