

Managing Committee, { E. F. Jordan, (Chairman)
F. H. Beals,
Miss Gourley.

These meetings were formerly of an instructive and profitable character, and no doubt the debates on missionary subjects which then formed part of the programme tended much to foster the missionary spirit. The same may be said of them now in a less degree. Those attending them should not forget, at least, their supposed character and objects, and certainly there is a chance for improvement on the somewhat farcical tone of the last meeting.

FACULTY'S RECEPTION.—On Friday evening, February 2nd, the long talked-of and anxiously awaited general Reception was held in the Assembly Hall. Besides the students and teachers of the Seminary, College and Academy, a large number of Wolfville people were present, including the families of the Professors, all attending the Institutions as day-pupils and others. It is estimated that at least one hundred and ninety persons were present. The Reception was carried out on much the same plan as those given in the Seminary, although the arrangements in regard to music and the charade by Mrs. Neily and Mr. Bigelow were something more than common. The music, all of which was of a high order, consisted of vocal solos by Misses Harding and Harris, and Mrs. Armstrong, and a piano duet by Misses King and Hill. There is no doubt, however, that the Reception was lacking in some respect essential to an enjoyable social gathering. There was too much formality, as all will agree, and until some new and attractive features are introduced, these Receptions *will* be lacking. Some of the students have made a good suggestion,—that formal introductions, which a rigid custom seems to make necessary, be done away with for the occasion; but they will certainly have to be adhered to until there is a general understanding on the subject. On the whole, the Faculty's Reception was a success, and the students are agreed as to having spent a very pleasant evening. The Sems, as well as the students, it is believed, carried out, as far as possible, the laws as laid down by their solicitous counselors in the early part of the day. It is to be hoped that efforts will be put forth to have at least three or four receptions this winter, and thus give all an opportunity to become acquainted with one another.

Our Table.

[Crowded out last issue.]

The December numbers of the following college journals have been received:—“The Argosy,” “King's College Record,” “Dalhousie Gazette,” “Varsity,” “McGill Gazette,” “Rouge et Noir,” “Delaware College Review,” “Hesperian Student,” “Niagara Index,” “Wittenberger,” “Oberlin Review,” “College Rambler,” “College Times,” “Adelphian,” “Pennsylvania Western,” “Lutherville Seminary,” “Emory Mirror,” “Haverfordian,” “Morris College Review,” “Colby Echo,” “The Beacon.”

The *College Rambler* thinks we ought to improve in typographical neatness. “Ditto, brother,” and you should also be more careful with your punctuation marks. It was difficult to understand some things in your December number.

The *Morris College Review*, Vol. 1, No. 1, lies on our table. It is to be published monthly by the students of Morris College, Quebec. It is as yet a very small sheet, rather in want of mechanical neatness, and bearing manifest signs of youth. The *Review* is rather young yet to deserve harsh criticisms.

The *King's College Record* has presented its readers with a brilliant Christmas number, for which the editors deserve credit. “Reminiscences of Oxford” is very interesting, but some of the other pieces are of a rather “romantic” character, and are hardly suitable for a college journal. The *Record*, however, has done nobly in comparison with its first two numbers.

The *Wittenberger* uses most of its space for editorials and locals. Most of the former are worth reading, but a George Washington could hardly say as much of the latter. The *Wittenberger* has an amusing collection of College Poetry, so-called. It is time college journals published less of this trash. We would much prefer the gloomy *Oberlin Review* to a journal filled with such nonsense.

The *Delaware College Review* contains a splendid article on “Compulsory Education,” and another on “Physical Culture in American Colleges.” The writer of the latter would evidently not agree with Dr. Crosby, of New York, in this matter, and we think quite properly so. The *Review* is rather hard on lawdy-dah young men, and the young lady of the period, but its articles on these two celebrities are but slightly, if at all, overdrawn.

The *Dalhousie Gazette*, of Dec. 8th, contains a very amusing account of the trip of the Dalhousie F. B. Club to Wolfville. In commenting upon matters in connection with Acadia, the *Gazette* says,—“But the system of education does not appear so complete as our own.” It would have afforded us a sense of relief had the *Gazette* explained *why* our system of education does not appear to be so complete as theirs, and further what opportunity their trip afforded them to make such a discovery. The Christmas number of the *Gazette* contains a capital article on “Romance,” while in other respects also the number gives its readers excellent matter.

The *Argosy*, we think, hardly understood our criticism of its first number. We read the article on the class of '82, and enjoyed it too; but our opinion was that the subject was treated at too great a length for the size of the paper. We would infer from *The Argosy's* remarks that an article so long as it is interesting may quite properly occupy any amount of space, without even the probability of a criticism from other journals. The article referred to occupied about one-half of the October issue. Why was it not made lengthy enough to occupy the whole paper?—The editors could raise no objections.

What has become of the Fredericton “University Monthly?” It has not reached our sanctum since November '82.