

made for a permanent representative. The moment those arrangements are concluded the War Mission will go out of existence, but we do not consider it expedient that there should be an interregnum between the end of that mission and the appointment of a permanent representative. That is the object of inserting this item in the Estimates.

Mr. LEMIEUX: I am pleased with the answer given by my hon. friend. If we are going to have a representative elsewhere than at London and Paris, certainly it should be at Washington, and if I may be allowed to say so, the Government by selecting two years ago Sir Charles Gordon certainly did something which pleased immensely all Canadians who happen to know that gentleman. No abler man could have been found for the position which he filled with such distinction during the last two years and, I am told, without any cost to the Canadians exchequer. No man is more conversant with trade questions or is better able to judge of our financial conditions than Sir Charles Gordon. It is not because he is a good Liberal, but I would like the Government to try, if it is at all possible, to induce Sir Charles Gordon to become our permanent representative in the future at Washington.

Resolution reported.

On motion of Hon. Mr. Rowell, the House adjourned at 10.55 p.m.

Wednesday, April 2, 1919.

The House met at Two o'clock.

EASTER ADJOURNMENT.

Sir THOMAS WHITE (Acting Prime Minister): With reference to the Easter adjournment I give notice that I shall tomorrow move that this House adjourn on Thursday the 17th instant at 6 p.m., and that when the House so adjourns, it stand adjourned until Tuesday the 22nd instant.

QUESTIONS.

JUDGE F. S. MACLENNAN.

*Mr. ARCHAMBAULT:

1. Has His Honour Judge F. S. MacLennan, of Montreal, filled any permanent or temporary positions under Federal Government control since the first of January, 1912?

2. If so, on what dates was he appointed to such positions, and what was the remuneration or salary attached thereto?

3. What amounts, if any, have been paid to His Honour Judge F. S. MacLennan by the Government, since January 1, 1912?

Hon. Mr. MEIGHEN: Stand.

Mr. ARCHAMBAULT: When may I expect an answer to this question?

Hon. Mr. MEIGHEN: The first two questions which appertain to the Department of Justice have been answered some two weeks or more ago and the answers sent to the Secretary of State (Mr. Burrell). Inasmuch as the last question bears on every department of the Government, the Secretary of State must, before he puts his answers in, receive communications from all departments.

IMPERIAL COURT OF APPEAL.

Mr. CRONYN:

1. Has the Lord Chancellor, in pursuance of a resolution passed at the Imperial Conference of 1918, transmitted to the Canadian Government a memorandum of proposals with reference to the constitution of one Imperial Court of Appeal?

2. When such proposal is received, is it the intention of the Government to lay before this House its views with regard thereto, before making reply to the Government of the United Kingdom?

Hon. Mr. ROWELL:

1. No.

2. Answered by No. 1.

SOLDIERS' SETTLEMENT BOARD.

Mr. SINCLAIR (Antigonish and Guysborough):

What has been the total expenditure up to December 31, 1918, in Nova Scotia, in connection with the Soldiers' Settlement Board?

Hon. Mr. MEIGHEN: The total expenditure in connection with loans under the Soldiers' Settlement Board to the 31st December last, in the province of Nova Scotia, is: Loans to settlers, \$2,500; inspections and general expenses, \$309.25; total, \$2,809.25.

NATIONAL SERVICE REGISTRATION.

Mr. MARCILE (Bagot):

What were the amounts allowed by the Government in connection with National Service Registration in the County of Bagot during June, 1918, for (a) the registrar; (b) the assistant registrars; and (c) rental of buildings for registration purposes?

Hon. Mr. ROWELL: (a) \$506.20; (b) \$834.13; (c) \$100.

Mr. MARCILE (Bagot):

1. What were the respective amounts of the account rendered by the Registrar of National Service in the County of Bagot, for the month of June, 1918, for (a) salary to employees in connection therewith; (b) salary to the assistant registrars; (c) payment for rental of buildings used for registration purposes?

2. What amount has been paid therefor?