

(The President)

matter at length in the appropriate forums. Paragraph 73 as drafted is of course related to paragraph 74. But if I understand properly there is no objection to the present wording of paragraph 73, on the understanding that the text of the report will be inserted. The only question is whether the appendix containing the text of the convention can be separated from the main body of the report and be appended, as it says in paragraph 74. So, once we resolve that problem, there will be no problem! The more questions I hear raised, the clearer the matter seems to me. Can paragraph 73 as now worded be adopted?

Mr. KAMAL (Pakistan): The first sentence of paragraph 73 says that the Conference adopted the report. What is the report that we have adopted? Is it a report in 41 pages? Or is it a report in 41 plus 193 pages? My understanding is that the report that we have adopted a few minutes ago is 41 plus 193 pages. If that understanding is not correct, then the implication is that we have only adopted (in the first sentence of paragraph 73 what we are saying is that we have adopted "only") the first 41 pages of CD/1170. That is factually incorrect. My understanding is that we have adopted 41 pages plus 193 pages, when we say that the Conference adopted the report which refers to the whole of CD/1170 which is 41 plus 193 pages. In the second sentence, we are talking of that report - the word report has to have the same meaning; the first "report" cannot mean 41 plus 193 pages and in the same paragraph the second word "report" means only 41 pages - it's a very big change in the same paragraph, so some re-drafting is necessary in order to clarify this confusion. The way to get out of it is to leave the first sentence as it is, interpreting the word "report" to mean 41 plus 193, and to change the second sentence by saying "the main body of the report (CD/1170), pages 1 to 41" or "paragraphs 1 to 43", or something like that, then it is clear that we are referring to only part of the report, which is the first 41 pages, in the second sentence, and then the question of duplication will have been resolved and we will be nearer to a solution when we come to paragraph 74. But until that drafting change is done, there is confusion in paragraph 73 and duplication in paragraph 74.

Mr. TOTH (Hungary): In the report of the Ad Hoc Committee in paragraph 43, we have a reference to the report and its appendix. I would suggest to use the same formulation and to refer to the adoption of the report and its appendix, and then if the wish of the Conference is to include under that paragraph only the report, then it will be clear that we are referring only to the report and not to the report and the appendix. So my suggestion would be that in the first sentence we refer to both the report and its appendix, and in the second sentence, according to the wish of the Conference, if this will be the wish, to refer only to the report.

The PRESIDENT (translated from French): I thank Ambassador Tóth - yet again a constructive proposal. I give the floor to Ambassador Ledogar.

Mr. LEDOGAR (United States of America): Perhaps this could be made easier if, in effect, we moved the first sentence of paragraph 74 into 73 and