The appeal was heard by MEREDITH, C.J.C.P., RIDDELL, LENNOX, and MASTEN, JJ.

T. N. Phelan, for the appellants.

No one appeared to oppose the appeal.

Mercer v. Graves (1872), L.R. 7 Q.B. 499: a right now expressly given in Rule 689.

Under the Creditors Relief Act, sec. 5(1), moneys attached in garnishee proceedings are deemed to be so attached for the benefit of all creditors.

Any right the solicitors can have cannot be greater than the right of their client. Anything that may have been preserved or recovered has been recovered for the client's benefit; there is no conflict of interest between solicitors and client: see Francis v. Francis (1854), 5 D. M. & G. 108, and Re Harrald, Wilde v. Walford (1884), 51 L.T.R. 441.

The solicitors relied on Bell v. Wright (1895), 24 S.C.R. 656, but it is not in point, being a case of set-off of debts to the prejudice of a solicitor's claim.

The Creditors Relief Act, sec. 6(2), in unmistakable words, provides that the moneys in question shall go to the creditors, who come within its provisions, ratably, less the costs of the garnishee proceedings, which the attaching creditor—the client in this case—is to have. How then can client or solicitor have more than that?

The appeal should be dismissed.

Lennox, J., was of opinion, for reasons stated in writing, that the appeal should be dismissed.

RIDDELL and MASTEN, JJ., agreed that the appeal should be dismissed.

Appeal dismissed without costs.