

16
of
t.
i-
y
i-
e
w
e,
at

THE
Canada Law Journal.

Vol. XXX.

MAY 1, 1894.

No. 8.

WE would call attention to the new series of reports known as "The Reports," published under a council of supervision, of which Sir Frederick Pollock is president. This new series is referred to more fully in our Book Reviews.

WE have arranged for the publication of early notes of the decisions of the Court of Queen's Bench in Manitoba. It is the Province most nearly identified with Ontario, both as to its people, its business relations, and its legislation. Its decisions are, therefore, those most likely to be of interest to the bulk of our readers. That the work will be done promptly and well may, we think, be prophesied from a perusal of those notes which appear in a subsequent page of this number. As will be seen, though they come all the way from Winnipeg, they are brought down to a much later date than those supplied by the reporters of our own courts.

JURISDICTION OF THE COUNTY COURTS.

(Continued.)

WE have referred to the opinion of Armour, C.J., in *M'Gugan v. M'Gugan* that the term "personal action" is a term signifying, as used in the County Court Act, a common law action. This, we think, it must be admitted, is good law as far as it goes; but the learned judge does not say whether, though it be a common law action, the right to recover may not be an equitable one only, and still the County Court have jurisdiction, as pro-