

MCGILL UNIVERSITY
FACULTY OF MUSIC

DEAN - DR. H. C. PERRIN

323 W. SHERBROOKE STREET

MONTREAL 26th Octr., 1926.

Sir Arthur Currie,
McGill University.

Dear Sir Arthur,

I have been making enquiries in regard to the matter of the 'Gazette' to which you referred after luncheon to-day.

I find from a perusal of the Order Book that advertisements equal in amount and space have been inserted in the 'Star' and 'Gazette' for years. Advance notices of any event taking place in connection with the Faculty of Music have been given to both papers for use in paragraph form, and no distinction has been made between them. Each paper has had tickets put at its disposal for every public event. Whenever the 'Gazette' has been unable to send a reporter to these, and has asked us to supply them with an account this, though causing us considerable trouble and inconvenience, has always been done. The 'Star' has always preferred - sometimes to our disadvantage in a great many people's eyes - to send its own representative on such occasions.

I find that the results of the sessional examinations have always been given to both papers, but, owing to the fact that one comes out in the afternoon and the other in the morning, it naturally follows that the results have appeared in one paper and the other paper, for some reason known only to itself, has thought it inadvisable to publish these results. I find, on referring to our newspaper scrap-book, that in 1924 the 'Gazette' published these results and the 'Star' did not do so; in 1925, the 'Star' published them but not the 'Gazette!', and in July of this year the 'Star' published them and the 'Gazette' did not.

You, perhaps, are aware as well as myself that the 'Star' reporters are always around McGill, but, so far as the Faculty of Music is concerned, I am satisfied, on enquiry, that there has been no discrimination between these papers. The difficulty seems to lie in the attitude assumed by the papers themselves, namely, that they will not publish anything which appears previously in the other paper. They seem to lose sight of the fact that the clientèle of one paper is very often not that of the other. While I myself may have a predilection for the