

Mr. Sharp: I answered a similar question some time ago. The President of France visited Canada and the head of our government has not since visited France. I think the invitation ought to be the other way.

LABOUR RELATIONS

PACIFIC PRESS, VANCOUVER—ENTRY INTO CANADA OF U.S. PROFESSIONAL STRIKEBREAKER

Mrs. Grace MacInnis (Vancouver-Kingsway): In the absence of the Minister of Manpower and Immigration I should like to direct a question to the Acting Prime Minister arising out of the strike at Pacific Press in Vancouver. Is the government aware that Leroy Norton, a professional strikebreaker from the United States, has arrived in Vancouver to help break the strike at Pacific Press?

Mr. Speaker: I wonder whether the question as asked is in order. The hon. member is asking whether the government is aware that a certain individual has arrived in Canada. I doubt whether the question, simply stated in those terms, is in order.

Mrs. MacInnis: Would the government look into these circumstances having regard to the fact that this individual came to Canada for a similar purpose on another occasion?

Hon. Mitchell Sharp (Acting Prime Minister): It is my understanding that this strike falls within provincial jurisdiction. My attention has not so far been drawn to the report.

Mrs. MacInnis: On a point of order, Mr. Speaker, this question directly involves the entry of an individual into this country from the United States.

NATIONAL FILM BOARD

RECONSIDERATION OF ORDER LEVYING CHARGES FOR USE OF FILMS

Mr. Jack Marshall (Humber-St. George's-St. Barbe): My question is directed to the Secretary of State. In light of representations made to the minister by the Newfoundland Teachers' Association, will the hon. gentleman indicate whether he intends to take action to relieve the financial burden which will fall upon Newfoundland schools by deferring or removing rental fees charged by the National Film Board for films needed by these schools which will otherwise be denied the use of this educational service?

Inquiries of the Ministry

Mr. Speaker: Here again, I have the impression that the question has been asked before. Nevertheless, the minister might be allowed to answer.

[Translation]

Hon. Gérard Pelletier (Secretary of State): Mr. Speaker, I can but repeat the reply which I gave the last time—it was on Thursday last, I believe—namely that this policy had been studied. Unless new factors intervene, no change is foreseen.

[English]

Mr. Marshall: Does the minister not feel that something should be done to help schools which will not be able to afford to rent these films in future? Is this not a factor which ought to be taken into consideration?

Mr. Speaker: Order. The hon. member's supplementary is in the form of a representation or submission and he has to assume it will be taken into account.

Hon. Marcel Lambert (Edmonton West): If the Secretary of State is saying there is to be no change in the policy, will he kindly advise me why, in a letter he wrote to me, he tells me the matter is subject to review and that there may be a change in the decision?

Mr. Speaker: Order. It seems to me the question is argumentative.

Mr. R. Gordon L. Fairweather (Fundy-Royal): I have a supplementary on this subject which I should like Your Honour to rule out of order so that it can be debated at ten o'clock.

Mr. Speaker: The hon. member will realize that he has not yet asked his question, so there is no assurance it will be debated at the time of the adjournment.

[Translation]

INQUIRY OF THE MINISTRY

Mr. Adrien Lambert (Bellechasse): Mr. Speaker, I have a question for the Acting Prime Minister.

Would he have some statement to make concerning charges made last Saturday against the Prime Minister of Canada by the Premier of Quebec who said that the federal government was interfering in provincial affairs, especially as regards relations between Ottawa and the municipalities, which fall under provincial jurisdiction?