agreement that has hitherto been reached. I think I have answered the question that my hon, friend has asked.

Mr. MERRITT: I should like to ask the Prime Minister if the course he proposes will give opportunity to the house to discuss the question of veterans pensions and an increase in them to meet the increased cost of living?

Mr. MACKENZIE KING: My hon. friend will have all the time that he wishes to discuss that question at the right time.

An hon. MEMBER: Next February.

Mr. MACKENZIE KING: The government intends to follow the procedure that I have indicated, namely, to seek to get through the matter that I have already laid before the house either, as I have said, before Christmas or before the new year. Whether we shall get through at the earlier date will depend very much on hon. gentlemen opposite. It is in their own hands to decide how much time they wish to take up in obstructing the business of the house and how much they wish to devote to the immediate business that is before the country.

Mr. BRACKEN: I know the Prime Minister would not wish to leave any impression with the house that was not correct in what he said a moment ago about the mover and the seconder proceeding on Friday last. I just wish to refer to him his own remarks which he made at the close of the session on Friday. When he hears these remarks I am sure he will be agreeable to putting the matter right. Here is what the Prime Minister said, as reported at page 15 of *Hansard*:

Mr. Speaker, the next item I have on my list is the debate on the address, the speeches of the mover and the seconder. It is now twenty minutes to six o'clock. It is quite apparent that the mover of the address would not have time to conclude his speech before six o'clock. In the circumstances the house might be agreeable to an immediate adjournment, with the debate on the address to commence on Monday.

From the Prime Minister's remarks I gathered the impression that he was blaming those on this side of the house for not having proceeded with the debate on Friday. I quoted the Prime Minister's words to show that that was not the case. I just wish to make one other observation.

Some hon. MEMBERS: Question.

Mr. COLDWELL: I rise to a point of order.

Mr. SPEAKER: Order. 5849—3½

Mr. COLDWELL: How many times may an hon, member speak on a motion before the house?

Mr. SPEAKER: I am sorry to interrupt the hon. member. The leader of the opposition has already spoken. I gave him the privilege of making a few remarks but I cannot permit him to make a speech.

Mr. BRACKEN: I accept your ruling, but I point out to you that the Prime Minister of Canada was allowed to speak the second time. You are not allowing me to say one word.

The house divided on the motion (Mr. King) which was agreed to on the following division:

YEAS

Messrs:

Gauthier (Portneuf) Abbott Archibald Gibson (Hamilton West) Argue Arsenault Gillis Baker Gingues Gladstone Beaudoin Beaudry Glen Belzile Golding Gour (Russell) Benidickson Gourd (Chapleau) Bentley Bertrand (Prescott) Gregg Hallé Blanchette Boivin Harris (Grey-Bruce) Healy Bonnier Bourget Herridge Bowerman Howe Ilslev Bradette Irvine Breithaupt Brown Isnor Jaenicke Brunelle Bryce Jean Burton Jutras Campbell King, Mackenzie Kirk Castleden Knight Chevrier Clark Knowles Claxton LaCroix Cleaver Lafontaine Lalonde Cloutier Coldwell Laurendeau Coté (St. Johns-Iber-Leger ville-Napierville) Lesage Little Coté (Verdun) Cournoyer McCann Croll McCuaig Cruickshank McCubbin McCulloch (Pictou) Dechene McCullough (Assini-Denis boia) Dickey Dion (Lake St. John-Macdonald (Brantford City) Roberval) McDonald (Parry Douglas Sound) Emmerson Eudes McGarry Farquhar McIlraith Fournier (Hull) Fournier (Maison-MacInnis McIvor neuve-Rosemont) McKay Gardiner Mackenzie Gariépy MacKinnon Gauthier (Nipissing) MacLean