

Burnham,
Burpee (Sunbury),
Cameron (Victoria),
Campbell (Renfrew),
Campbell (Victoria),
Carling,
Cartwright,
Cochrane,
Cockburn,
Dickinson,
Farrow,
Fisher,
Fleming,
Foster,
Gault,
Gillmor,
Gillet,

Kilvert,
King,
Kinney,
Kirk,
Kranz,
Lister,
Livingstone,
Mackintosh,
Macmaster,
Macmillan (Middlesex),
McCallum,
McDongald,
McLelan,
McMullen,
McNeill,
Mitchell,
Mulock,

Stairs,
Taylor,
Tilley,
Tyrwhitt,
Vail,
Wallace (Albert),
Wallace (York),
Watson,
Weldon,
White (Cardwell),
White (Hastings),
White (Renfrew),
Wigle,
Williams,
Wood (Brockville),
Wood (Westmoreland),
Woodworth.—84.

Baker (Missisquoi),
Baker (Victoria),
Béchar, d,
Bernier,
Blake,
Bourassa,
Burpee (Sunbury),
Cameron (Huron),
Cameron (Middlesex),
Campbell (Renfrew),
Cartwright,
Casey,
Catudal,
Charlton,
Cockburn,
Coursol,
De St. Georges,
Fairbank,
Farrow,

Gunn,
Hall,
Harley,
Hay,
Hesson,
Hilliard,
Holton,
Homer,
Innes,
Irvine,
Ives,
Jamieson,
King,
Kinney,
Kirk,
Landerkin,
Lister,
Livingstone,
McCraney,

Platt,
Reid,
Rinfret,
Robertson (Hastings),
Robertson (Shelburne),
Sriver,
Shakespeare,
Somerville (Brant),
Somerville (Bruce),
Stairs,
Temple,
Thompson,
Trow,
Vail,
Wallace (Albert),
Watson,
Weldon,
Wilson.—74.

NAYS:
Messieurs

Allison (Lennox),
Amyot,
Anger,
Bain (Soulanges),
Bain (Wentworth),
Béchar, d,
Belleau,
Benoit,
Bergeron,
Bergin,
Bernier,
Billy,
Blondeau,
Bossé,
Bourassa,
Bourbeau,
Cameron (Huron),
Cameron (Middlesex),
Caron,

Catudal,
Chapleau,
Costigan,
Coursol,
Curran,
De St. Georges,
Dupont,
Fairbank,
Fortin,
Geoffrion,
Gigault,
Girouard,
Grandbois,
Guilbault,
Gunn,
Harley,
Holton,
Hurteau,
Landerkin,

Landry (Montmagny),
Langevin,
Lesage,
McMillan (Vaudreuil),
McCraney,
Méthot,
Pinsonneault,
Platt,
Rinfret,
Riopel,
Robertson (Shelburne),
Somerville (Brant),
Somerville (Bruce),
Thompson,
Trow,
Wilson,
Yeo.—56.

Bill passed on a division.

PUNISHMENT OF SEDUCTION.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on Mr. Charlton's proposed motion, that Bill (No. 6) to provide for the punishment of seduction and like offences, be read the third time; and the motion of Mr. Foster in amendment thereto.

Mr. BOSSÉ moved in amendment to the amendment:

That all the words after "that," be struck out and be replaced by the following: This Bill be read the third time this day six months.

Amendment to the amendment negated on the following division:—

YEAS:
Messieurs.

Abbott,
Amyot,
Bain (Soulanges),
Barnard,
Bell,
Bélieau,
Benoit,
Benson,
Bergeron,
Bergin,
Billy,
Blondeau,
Bolduc,
Bossé,
Bourbeau,
Bryson,
Burnham,
Cameron (Inverness),
Cameron (Victoria),
Campbell (Victoria),
Carling,
Caron,
Chapleau,

Costigan,
Curran,
Daly,
Dawson,
Dupont,
Fortin,
Gault,
Gigault,
Grandbois,
Guilbault,
Hackett,
Hickey,
Hurteau,
Kilvert,
Kranz,
Landry (Montmagny),
Langevin,
Lesage,
Macdonald (King s),
McDonald (Cape Breton),
Mackintosh,
Macmaster,
Macmillan (Middlesex),

McMillan (Vaudreuil),
McCallum,
McDougald,
McGreevy,
Massue,
Méthot,
Mitchell,
Montplaisir,
Orton,
Paint,
Pinsonneault,
Riopel,
Robertson (Hamilton),
Small,
Smyth,
Sproule,
Tyrwhitt,
Wallace (York),
White (Hastings),
White (Renfrew),
Wigle,
Williams,
Wood (Westm'l'nd)—69.

NAYS:
Messieurs.

Allen,
Allison (Hants),
Allison (Lennox),
Armstrong,
Anger,
Bain (Wentworth),
Mr. JAMIESON,

Fisher,
Fleming,
Foster,
Geoffrion,
Gillmor,
Girouard,

McIntyre,
McMullen,
Mulock,
O'Brien,
Paterson (Brant),
Patterson (Essex),

Mr. FOSTER. In advising with some of the friends and promoters of the Bill, it is thought best that I should ask for leave to withdraw the amendment. That is not my opinion, as I believe the amendment is a just one, which should be as much a part of the Bill as any clause in it, but in deference to those who take a great interest in the Bill, I ask leave to withdraw it.

Motion for leave to withdraw amendment negated.

Amendment negated on a division; and Bill read the third time and passed on a division.

PROHIBITION OF THE LIQUOR TRAFFIC.

Mr. FOSTER. When six o'clock came on the day upon which I moved a Resolution, respecting the enactment of a law prohibiting the importation, manufacture and sale of intoxicating liquors for beverage purposes, I had not finished the remarks which I had intended to address to the House. However, as the time of this House is valuable, and as there are quite a number of hon. gentlemen who are disposed to take some part in this debate, I shall with your permission, Sir, waive any remarks which I had intended to make, until the close of the debate, when I believe I shall have the opportunity of making a reply.

Mr. FISHER. In seconding the resolution moved by my hon. friend, I wish to say a few words, especially as the standpoint from which I view this question is a little different from that of my hon. friend, although we arrive at the same conclusion in regard to the principle at issue. Notwithstanding the able and exhaustive argument of the hon. gentleman who made this motion, I find that there are a considerable number of points which have not yet been touched upon; and it is for this reason that I ask the attention of the House for a few minutes, after which any hon. gentleman who wishes to speak in opposition to the principle before us, may have an opportunity to address you. First, Sir, I would like to have it clearly understood that, in treating of this question, I do not consider that the ordinary use of liquor is at all a crime or a sin. I say this because I know that a large number of people who think as I do, that the use, the manufacture and the traffic of intoxicating liquors in this country should be prohibited, do hold that opinion. But, notwithstanding that I differ from them on this premise, I am happy to say that the conclusions which we draw from different premises arrive at the same end. When I say that, I do not in any way wish to endorse the use of liquor, because I believe that is inadvisable, that it is contrary to the public good, and that it is contrary to the physical advantage of those who indulge in that use. Unfortunately, however, we invariably find that the use is attended with abuse; and it is because of this invariable fact in the world's history, that I am opposed even to the use of intoxicating liquors. In saying this, I do not wish to be understood as saying that I believe that no individual can use intoxicating liquors without abusing them; but I be-