

reports and considered them from day to day under motions. The business of the House would then turn not to the consideration of the regular business, and we would possibly never get to the question period from day to day, but to the considering of these many reports with recommendations, very valid I am sure in many instances, but coming from the estimates committees where these committees are required to consider the supplementary estimates and report back on them.

The honourable Member for Winnipeg North Centre and other honourable Members have raised the point that perhaps this Report is out of order in that it should not have been accepted at all. I am not prepared to go that far. I am not saying the Report is in order or not, but I am saying that if it has any validity at all it must be as a report of the committee on estimates, and therefore it should be considered as required by Standing Order 58.

I have some qualms about the work which is assigned to these committees, and particularly to the Standing Committee on Miscellaneous Estimates. I see no reason that the estimates should be referred to that particular committee. I refer, of course, to the supplementary estimates and I wonder why, by way of an agreement, this work should not be spread over a number of committees.

Perhaps this could be done rather than heaping it on the Standing Committee on Miscellaneous Estimates and its poor Chairman who is then called upon to allocate time, perhaps with imaginative time pieces.

I am sure honourable Members would have sympathy for the Chairman of that Committee, and if they were placed in a like position they might feel they had to resort to that kind of extreme measure so that all the estimates of the different departments are considered before the time the report is to be made.

For all those reasons I think honourable Members will agree that it is perhaps generous on the part of the House to suggest that this particular report should stand as a matter of business of supply rather than under the heading of motions. The honourable Member for Winnipeg North Centre has suggested it rest with other members or the sponsors of these supply motions to decide whether motions to concur in recommendations from committees might be called and debated by the House.

I suggest to him that, if he reads the ruling made on June 18, there would be considerable resistance on the part of the Chair to this. It was my impression when the ruling was made that there was at least a tacit understanding that these motions perhaps ought not to be where they are now and that certainly we should think twice before we embark by common consent and with the sanction of the Chair on the consideration of motions or reports of this kind from the committee charged with the responsibility of considering estimates. For these reasons I hope honourable Members may see their

way clear to the accepting of the decision of the Chair to allow the notices of motions in question to stay where they are at least for the time being.

Mr. Duquet, from the Standing Committee on Miscellaneous Private Bills and Standing Orders, presented the Fourth Report of the said Committee, which is as follows:

Pursuant to its Order of Reference of Thursday, November 22, 1973, your Committee has considered Bill S-7, An Act respecting The National Dental Examining Board of Canada, and has agreed to report it with the following amendments:

*Clause 3*

Strike out lines 6 to 9 on page 2 and substitute the following therefor:

for a single national standard certificate of qualification for general practitioner dentists;

*Clause 4*

Strike out lines 31 to 33 on page 2 and substitute the following therefor:

practitioner dentists to ensure that the

Strike out lines 5 to 7 on page 3 and substitute the following therefor:

which a general practitioner dentist may obtain and

Strike out lines 23 and 24 on page 3 and substitute the following therefor:

dentists;

Strike out lines 33 to 35 on page 3 and substitute the following therefor:

to general practitioner dentists and dental specialists in

Strike out lines 39 to 43 on page 3 and substitute the following therefor:

general practitioner dentists and dental specialists who have been granted certificates of qualification by the Board;

*Preamble*

Strike out line 5 of the English text on page 1 and substitute the following therefor:

expedient to grant the prayer of the peti-

Your Committee has ordered a reprint of Bill S-7, as amended, for the use of the House of Commons at the report stage.

A copy of the Minutes of Proceedings and Evidence relating to this Bill (*Issue No. 4*) is tabled.

(*The Minutes of Proceedings and Evidence accompanying the said Report recorded as Appendix No. 69 to the Journals*).