

City was authorized to expend \$15,672 as the cost of the improvement.

7. The Recorder, on behalf of himself and the other Commissioners appointed by the City, declined to make any decision upon that question, stating that it might turn out to be unnecessary so to do after hearing the evidence. The Recorder further stated that there was a judgment of the Court ordering them to proceed and that they must go forward with the evidence.

8. Counsel for the Proprietor then stated that, of course, his client must submit to the ruling, but that it must be understood that they proceeded under reserve of all their rights, and under the exception filed.

9. No evidence was taken that day (13th January), and the consideration of Mrs. Ryan's case was not resumed until the 2nd day of February, the three other cases having been heard in the meantime.