as expressed in his "Geology and palæontology of the Judith River beds," 1905, p/97. This author in the writer's opinion also erred in his remarks², under the same heading in his report, on the material on which S. marginatus was established, as further work on the rich dinosaurian fauna

of the Belly River beds of Alberta has so fully proved.

The members of the Hadrosaurinæ and Saurolophinæ resemble each other in having an elongated narial vacuity, opening through the skull, enclosed above by the nasals and premaxillaries. In both subfamilies there is a forward extension of the nasals, and a limitation of the premaxillaries to an anterior position in the skull. They differ from each other in the absence in the first and the presence in the other of a "foot" or distal expansion in the ischium.

The three subfamilies show distinctive characters in the relative size of the parieto-frontal region, and of the lachrymal.

The Stephanosaurina are characterized by an extremely high skull in marked contrast to the relatively low skull of the Hadrosau ina and Saurolophinæ, the extreme of skull depression being reached in the Hadrosaurinæ, viz., in the genus Diclonius. The primary character, of the Stephanosaurinæ separating it from the other two are—(1) the envelopment of the anterior nares in, and their separation by, the premaxillaries, (2) the extreme backward extension of the premaxillaries and their enclosure of the narial passages, (3) the enlargement of each narial passage posteriorly, within the supraorbital hood or dome, into an extensive air-chamber bounded by the premaxillaries and nasals.

In these subfamilies the appendicular skeleton and that of the trunk provide also characters which no doubt can be relied on as being distinctive

in each.

The Stephanosaurina were more highly specialized than either the Hadrosaurina or the Saurolophina. The arrangement of the elements enclosing the anterior nares and narial passages implies different habits and may indicate a better adaptation to an aquatic life.

The earliest member of the Hadrosaurina is Gryposaurus from the Belly River formation of Alberta represented in Figure 36A by the skull of G. notabilis remarkable for its completeness and wonderful state of

The second genus of this subfamily, viz., Kritosaurus, from a not. definitely determined geological horizon in New Mexico (the Ojo Alamo beds of San Juan county = ?Edmonton formation of Alberta) is noteworthy for the great posterior height of the skull. The radical differences between Gryposaurus and Kritosaurus, particularly those conspicuously seen in the shape and size of the predentary and the proportionate length of the quadrate, make it probable that further fundamental dissimilarities. will be revealed in the skull and other parts of the skeleton when the osteology of Kritosaurus is better known.

The type of Kritosaurus consists in general terms of the hinder half of the cranium, and a complete mandible, which latter reveals the length of the skull (Figure 36B). The orbital rim, including the postorbital bar, is, in the mounted skull, mostly restored in plaster, and all the facial

^{1&}quot;Geology and palsontology of the Judith River beds", by T. W. Stanton and J. B. Hatcher, with a chapter on the fossil plants by F. H. Knowlton; Bull. No. 257, U.S. Geol. Surv

2Cited by C. F. Bowen in "The stratigraphy of the Montana group, with special reference to the position and age of the Judith River formation in north-central Montana", Prof. Paper 90, U.S. Geol. Surv., 1914.