

added before I signed and think it probable that they were put in by mistake later by some one who noticed that Dr Rutten - whose report immediately precedes mine - signs as Director Department of Chemistry.

As a matter of strict fact I am one of the "joint Directors" of the Chemistry or Mining Building to which honour Dr Walker and I were advanced by the Board of Governors shortly after Dr Harrington's death, and our names appear together as joint Directors in the Annual Reports of the C. & M. building in the Reports of 1907-12 incl. When Dr Rutten replaced Dr Walker as head of the Chemistry Dept. we decided to submit separate reports and I took up the question of my title with the Principal through Dr Nicholson who edits both the Report and the Calendar. I was first instructed to call myself Director of the Mining & Metallurgical Laboratory but later this was changed to Director of the Mining Building. This latter title has appeared in the Calendar staff list ever since 1913 or 1914. but so far as I remember I did not use it in the Annual Report until last year when Dr Rutten's name appeared as Director and I told my typist to follow suite.

As regards the newspaper article - I know nothing except that the Gazette telephoned me in the evening just before my party started out and asked for my itinerary and that of Dr Stausfeld. - questions were also asked about Prof. Kelly's survey school etc. I gave a brief statement of the whole matter pre telephone but most certainly did not claim to be in charge of anything but the Mining Class. I have never seen the article either in draft or print and do not know anything more than what you say in your letter.

It is scarcely necessary for me to add that I am very much annoyed by the mistakes above referred to and shall see that Dr Stausfeld gets a copy of this letter at the first opportunity. I shall also write to