# Order Paper Questions

in Canada (f) competition within any industry or industries in Canada (g) employment within Canada Carbon and Ribbon Company Limited of Toronto, Ontario and within the industry?

7. (a) How many persons did Canada Carbon and Ribbon Company Limited of Toronto employ before the takeover (b) how many are presently employed?

8. (a) What are the unions, if any, who represented the employees (b) did they approve or disapprove of the takeover (c) was their opinion sought?

9. What is the degree and significance of participation by Canadians in the business enterprise or new business and in any industry or industries in Canada of which the business enterprise or new business forms or will form?

10. How is the takeover (a) compatible (b) incompatible with national industrial and economic policies, taking into consideration industrial and economic policy objectives of any province likely to be affected by the takeover?

11. What is the significant benefit to Canada of the takeover?

Mr. Marcel Roy (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of Industry, Trade and Commerce): See reply to question No. 3,954 answered on March 2, 1976.

#### COMPANY TAKOVERS

## Question No. 5,334-Mr. Nystrom:

1. In the takeover of Dominion Coachcraft Industries (Canada) Ltd. of Regina, Saskatchewan by Standard Auto Glass Western Limited of Winnipeg, Manitoba (Standard Auto Glass Western Limited is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Vanfax Corporation Ltd. of Montreal, which in turn is wholly-owned by Libbey-Owens-Ford Company of Toledo, Ohio), what percentage of control will Standard Auto Glass Western Limited of Winnipeg, Manitoba acquire?

2. What is the total and/or per share price of the transaction, including the value of share transfers or other considerations?

3. Who were the principal shareholders of Dominion Coachcraft Industries (Canada) Ltd. and what control, if any, will they retain in the company?

4. Who are the principal shareholders of Libbey-Owens-Ford Company of Toledo, Ohio and what are their holdings in the company?

5. Does Libbey-Owens-Ford Company of Toledo, Ohio control and/or partially own, directly or indirectly, any other businesses in Canada and, if so, in each case, what are the holdings by per cent of control and value?

6. What will be the effect of the takeover on (a) the level and nature of economic activity in Canada (b) resource processing in Canada (c) utilization of parts components, materials and services produced in Canada (d) exports from Canada (e) productivity, industrial efficiency, technological development, product innovation and product variety in Canada (f) competition within any industry or industries in Canada (g) employment within Dominion Coachcraft Industries (Canada) Ltd. of Regina, Saskatchewan and within the industry?

7. (a) How many persons did Dominion Coachcraft Industries (Canada) Ltd. employ before the takeover (b) how many are presently employed?

8. (a) What are the unions, if any, who represented the employees (b) did they approve or disapprove of the takeover (c) was their opinion sought?

9. What is the degree and significance of participation by Canadians in the business enterprise or new business and in any industry or industries in Canada of which the business enterprise or new business forms or will form?

10. How is the takeover (a) compatible (b) incompatible with national industrial and economic policies, taking into consideration industrial and economic policy objectives of any province likely to be affected by the takeover?

11. What is the significant benefit to Canada of the takeover?  $[Mr.\ Nystrom.]$ 

Mr. Marcel Roy (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of Industry Trade and Commerce): See reply to question No. 3.954 answered on March 2, 1976.

#### QUESTIONS ON THE ORDER PAPER

# Question No. 5,364—Mr. Cossitt:

Has a policy been established by the Department of Agriculture that all *Order Paper* questions pertaining to the Department must have answers prepared within fifteen days of the questions being asked and (a) if not, what is the exact number of days allotted for the answering of such questions (b) if so, what are the reasons that Question No. 3,240 concerning the use of government aircraft by the Minister and members of his family has remained unanswered since October 21, 1975?

Hon. Mitchell Sharp (President of the Privy Council): (a) The general policy of the government regarding written questions is that they will be replied to as quickly as possible. While it would be ideal to have all written questions replied to within fifteen days and this is urged upon Ministers, this is not always possible. Strict adherence to such a policy would require the institution of a system similar to that found in Great Britain and accepted by M.P.'s where interim replies frequently are given or, more frequently the Minister replies that he will write to the hon, member. The length of time required for preparing replies to written questions among other factors depends upon the length of the question, its complexity, the availability of information, the number of departments and agencies involved, and the number of questions directed to the particular department of agency. (b) The Government takes responsibility for the answers it provides to questions and for the process involved in replying to such questions. It is not appropriate therefore to provide information about internal administrative arrangements.

### ORDER PAPER QUESTION 3,240

#### Question No. 5,365—Mr. Cossitt:

1. On what date was Question No. 3,240 received by the Department of Agriculture from the Privy Council Office and what are the names of any persons assigned to prepare the answer?

2. Was an answer to the Question prepared a number of weeks ago and, if so, on what date was the answer completed?

3. Were representations made by the Minister, his Executive Assistant or anyone else acting on his behalf that the answer be altered and, if so, in each case (a) on what date (b) by whom?

4. Was the answer forwarded to the Minister for signature and subsequent transmittal to the Privy Council Office and, if so, on what date?

5. Has the Minister signed the answer and, if not (a) for what reason (b) on what date will he sign it?

6. If the Minister has signed the answer, has it been transmitted to the Privy Council Office and (a) if so, on what date (b) if not, for what reason?

Hon. Mitchell Sharp (President of the Privy Council): The Government takes responsibility for the answers it provides to questions and for the process involved in replying to such questions. It is not appropriate therefore to provide information about internal administrative arrangements.