

6. What will be the effect of the takeover on (a) the level and nature of economic activity in Canada (b) resource processing in Canada (c) utilization of parts, components, materials and services produced in Canada (d) exports from Canada (e) productivity, industrial efficiency, technological development, product innovation and product variety in Canada (f) competition within any industry or industries in Canada (g) employment within Pacific Inland Resources Ltd. and within the industry?

7. (a) How many persons did Pacific Inland Resources Ltd. employ before the takeover (b) how many are presently employed?

8. (a) What are the unions, if any, who represented the employees (b) did they approve or disapprove of the takeover (c) was their opinion sought?

9. What is the degree and significance of participation by Canadians in the business enterprise or new business and in any industry or industries in Canada of which the business enterprise or new business forms or will form?

10. How is the takeover (a) compatible (b) incompatible with national industrial and economic policies, taking into consideration industrial and economic policy objectives of any province likely to be affected by the takeover?

11. What is the significant benefit to Canada of the takeover?

Mr. Marcel Roy (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of Industry, Trade and Commerce): See reply to question No. 3,954 answered on March 2, 1976.

COMPANY TAKEOVERS

Question No. 4,140—Mr. Nystrom:

1. In the takeover of United Dental Supply Corp. of Montreal, wholly owned by Sybron, Inc. of Rochester, N.Y. by Dental Depot (Canada) Ltd. of Montreal, wholly owned by Healthco Inc. of Boston, Massachusetts what percentage of control will Dental Depot-Healthco Inc. acquire?

2. What is the total and/or per share price of the transaction, including the value of share transfers or other considerations?

3. Who were the principal shareholders of Sybron, Inc. and what control, if any, will they retain in the company?

4. Who are the principal shareholders of Healthco Inc. and what are their holdings in the company?

5. Does Healthco Inc. or Sybron, Inc. control and/or partially own, directly or indirectly, any other businesses in Canada and, if so, in each case, what are the holdings by per cent of control and value?

6. What will be the effect of the takeover on (a) the level and nature of economic activity in Canada (b) resource processing in Canada (c) utilization of parts, components, materials and services produced in Canada (d) exports from Canada (e) productivity, industrial efficiency, technological development, product innovation and product variety in Canada (f) competition within any industry or industries in Canada (g) employment within United Dental Supply and within the industry?

7. (a) How many persons did United Dental Supply employ before the takeover (b) how many are presently employed?

8. (a) What are the unions, if any, who represented the employees (b) did they approve or disapprove of the takeover (c) was their opinion sought?

9. What is the degree and significance of participation by Canadians in the business enterprise or new business and in any industry or industries in Canada of which the business enterprise or new business forms or will form?

10. How is the takeover (a) compatible (b) incompatible with national industrial and economic policies, taking into consideration industrial and economic policy objectives of any province likely to be affected by the takeover?

11. What is the significant benefit to Canada of the takeover?

Mr. Marcel Roy (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of Industry, Trade and Commerce): See reply to question No. 3,954 answered on March 2, 1976.

Measures Against Crime

COMPANY TAKEOVERS

Question No. 4,141—Mr. Nystrom:

1. In the takeover of Japan Oil Sands Co. Primrose Ltd. of Calgary, Alberta by Japan Oil Sands Company Ltd. of Tokyo, Japan what percentage of control will Japan Oil Sands Co. Ltd. of Tokyo acquire?

2. What is the total and/or per share price of the transaction, including the value of share transfers or other considerations?

3. Who were the principal shareholders of Japan Oil Sands Co. Primrose Ltd. of Calgary and what control, if any, will they retain in the company?

4. Who are the principal shareholders of Japan Oil Sands Co. Ltd. of Tokyo and what are their holdings in the company?

5. Does Japan Oil Sands or Japan Oil Sands Primrose control and/or partially own, directly or indirectly, any other businesses in Canada and, if so, in each case, what are the holdings by per cent of control and value?

6. What will be the effect of the takeover on (a) the level and nature of economic activity in Canada (b) resource processing in Canada (c) utilization of parts, components, materials and services produced in Canada (d) exports from Canada (e) productivity, industrial efficiency, technological development, product innovation and product variety in Canada (f) competition within any industry or industries in Canada (g) employment within Japan Oil Sands Primrose Ltd. of Calgary and within the industry?

7. (a) How many persons did Japan Oil Sands Co. Primrose Ltd. of Calgary employ before the takeover (b) how many are presently employed?

8. (a) What are the unions, if any, who represented the employees (b) did they approve or disapprove of the takeover (c) was their opinion sought?

9. What is the degree and significance of participation by Canadians in the business enterprise or new business and in any industry or industries in Canada of which the business enterprise or new business forms or will form?

10. How is the takeover (a) compatible (b) incompatible with national industrial and economic policies, taking into consideration industrial and economic policy objectives of any province likely to be affected by the takeover?

11. What is the significant benefit to Canada of the takeover?

Mr. Marcel Roy (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of Industry, Trade and Commerce): See reply to question No. 3,954 answered on March 2, 1976.

GOVERNMENT ORDERS

[English]

CRIMINAL LAW AMENDMENT ACT (NO. 1), 1976

MEASURES FOR BETTER PROTECTION OF CANADIAN SOCIETY AGAINST CRIME

The House resumed, from Tuesday, March 9, consideration of the motion of Mr. Basford that Bill C-83, for the better protection of Canadian society against perpetrators of violence and other crime, be read the second time and referred to the Standing Committee of Justice and Legal Affairs.

Mr. John Gilbert (Broadview): Mr. Speaker, on Tuesday evening, prior to the adjournment, I outlined my general criticisms on the shortcomings of Bill C-83. I also made some observations about the problem of violence in today's society. Then I made comments on the first of the five areas which are covered by the bill—and the first one was