Ceneral Anginess.

## 50 YEARS

EXCURSIONS.

JUNE. JULY & AUGUST TUREDAYS, THUGSDAYS and SATURDAYS CARDINGKRIES od for 10 return trips from Novemble 61. Unethan Tabels sale had from Mr. I. S. Call Meycasti W. T. CONNORS

W.A.N. P.B.D.

FURNACES FURNACES. WOOD OR COAL REASONABLE PRICES

STOVES DOKING, HALL AND PARLOR STOVES AT LOW PRICES. PUMPS, PUMPS,

ahs. Iron Pipe, Baths, Creamers the very he also Japanned stamped and plain threare in and less variety, all of the best stock which I will well low for each A.C. McLean Chatham

WANTED

PERFUMES AND SACHETS. CHOICE TOOTH POWDERS AND TOOTH WASHI FINE TOILET SOAPS AND TOILEL POWDERS, SPONGE BAGS, SPLENDID VALUES IN

HAIR, TOOTH AND NAIL BRUSH-MS, COMMS AND WHISKS, CHAMOIS SKINS, LADIES AND GENTS' SHOUL-

CENTURY CREAM. MINO'S. HONEY AND LIMOND GREAM Apothecaries' Hall. C. HIGKEY. - - PROP.



EXHIBITION 895, SEPT. 24 TO OCT. 4

Farm and Dairy Products.

Cash prizes are offered in the LIVE STOCK, AGRICULTURAL



Miramichi Advance.

HATHAM, R. R. . SEPTEMBER 5, 1895. To be, or not to be.

The ADVANCE expressed the view about a month ago, that the political cuation in the Province—with practi-Premier Blair has said there will be no court. general election before another session of the Assembly is held. The statement, however, is not authenticated, nor do we believe it can be. It is robable that Mr. Blair has been nonmittal and evasive, as one would

and concerning which there is, no and returns for 1892-3 and 4. suggest a general Assembly election, and we believe there will be one before

the Government's policy; and whether he appeared. wasn't there only one witness out of six or seven in that case who gave existence out of six or seven in that case who gave evidence, which, in your opinion, would about the notes. Is that correct?

You have heard Murphy's testimony by counsel. hands but those of the Blair adminis- sustain the judgment? candidates must necessarily espouse, endorse and represent the cause, tactics and policy of the gentlemen led are hardly to be thought of as leaders outrageous one?

The Case of the Chatham Police

nent, in this county, at least.

days, have been undecrived by the facts. The Commissioner worked atiently from Tuesday until Saturday vening after six o'clock, and the fact that the defendants' counsel fairly dirided the afternoon with Dr. Pageley in his cross-examination of the last

sideration suggested by such enquiries, is the necessity of maintaining purity and integrity in the administration of instice-of all laws alike. It is of laborer, Chatham, sworn:-I have been should be free from the imputation of happens that stipendiary magistrates mportant as those involved in cases letermined by our county and circuit urts, and which both judges and uries are required to consider. In natters similiar to those which juries ecide in the higher courts, the stipen diary magistrate is the sole judge, and there is no appeal from his judgment. How important, therefore, is it, that he should be above the slightest zies a day or two before. uspicion of bias, the warping of inter-

est, or collusion. To what, if any extent, the charges nvolved in the present inquiry have been sustained, it would be improper, nessed them. I got out of jail in at this stage of the proceedings, for us mediately after I signed the notes. Mento say. We publish, in full, the testimony and other evidence, as far as it time I served in jail. has gone, and while everybody who takes interest enough in the matter to talk about it should read it for themselves, all should suspend judgment

until the case on both sides is developed. The complainants have not yet submitted all their testimony, and we have not heard any of that for the defence. When it is all submitted and read the local public at least will not need the action of either the Commis-had been too long in. sioner or Government to instruct them as to what they should believe in reference to the fitness or otherwise of the gentleman accused for the important public office he holds. For these reasons, therefore, we devote nearly all of the ADVANCE's reading matter space,

in the Chatham Police Magistrate's care.

this week, to the report of testimon v

Ottawa despatch says that Capt. str. Miramichi and schooner Osceola. A lespatch says he is to leave there | with the Scott Act?

[Continued from 1st page.] THURSDAY, FORENOON, AUG. 29.

Newcastle, Clerk of the Peace, secretar treasurer of Northumberland county, who greated the holding of a general, said: "I have the accounts and returns rather than bye elections. We observe filed by police magistrate McCulley, of that there are conflicting rumors affoat Chatham for 1892.3 and 4. They inas to which it shall be, and it is inti- clude Scott Act accounts and returns, mated in some of the papers that and those of ordinary business of his

Mr. Lawlor offers said accounts

Witness:-I have no other returns expect him to be. in reference to a accounts of police magistrate McCulley. ter not yet determined in Council, I have the Scott Act inspector's account

partial filling of seats that are practimerely certified by Mr. McCulley to encally vacant, and English precedent, as

able Mr. Menzies to get his expenses. well as good politics and sound policy Returns of Inspector Menzies were you and the party released?

siveness of our friends of the Executive.

Clerk of the Peace?

I make the entry on the bool of that, but can't say; I can't say who wife does, by my authority.

Mr. Lasler here exhalt that the control of that, but can't say who wife does, by my authority. November, notwithstanding the eva- Convictions Act for convicting magic-The question of a local election is, of law. I have received no such returns

se, discussed all around, in view of from Mr. McCulley. The fact is, the rumors prevailing on the subject, and there seems to be very little said to encourage opposition to the government in Northumberland. We hear magistrate McCulley; was counsel for John Cassidy in one case before him, in is not based on any lucid opposition to the case pointed out was the one in which

and that immediately before it—there judgment.

The was dissatisfied, however, with his by prisoners; can't give stance without the books.

Wasn't there only one witness out of the wit

tration. The reason is because such I can't say without looking at the

Did you not, immediately after the by Messra. Stockton and Pitts, who judgment was delivered, say it was an it fit to supplant Messrs Blair Mitchell' I did not say that, so far as I remem-

the other way. ministering it, by which a government Cross examined by Mr. Murray.

ought to be judged, and as we have both a good policy and good men, we may anticipate no trouble for the govern-All these returns put in evidence were notes, would you release him? put before the Municipal Council and passed. I don't recollect having any other case than the one named before say whether he is prejudiced in his magis. you held Murphy? terial conduct or not; I think he has the Yes, I think so? courage of his convictions. When he And you released him because Mr. Men- Nothing at all—Yes, my wife told me vestigation of the charges made in makes up his mind to do a thing he will zies told you to?

> Your knowledge of Mr. McCulley's conduct would be gained in that one

I think that was the only care. I was dissatisfied with his judgment in it and suit he was confined on?—Speak on your bavn't changed my opinion since. I was own knowledge. witness, and said he would probably advising him in the Barry case. In the vant an hour or two more to finish other case I was counsel for Cassidy and Act Inspector. with him, alone, indicates not only may have been prejudiced in favor of my his view of the gravity of the enquiry client. I did not appeal, because I felt I and its developments, but the prospect | would not gain, as the supreme court asprejudice, now, I am of the same opinion,

was in my favor.

interest to the public—and, in this before the Chatham police magistrate on case, particularly so to the people of several occasions for violation of the this County-that our minor, but none Scott Act, convicted, and sent to jail the less important criminal courts, once. I pleaded guilty; was sent to jail prisoners' notes and had them released? ould be free from the imputation of justice or corrupt interest. It often full time-4 months. I was, at the exhave to personally adjudicate upon and sixty days for violation of the Scott Act. piration of the 4-months, put back for decide matters embracing interests as I put in fourteen or fifteen days of that

How did you get out, theu?. I made an agreement with Mr. Men

Allowed, as the discharge could not made, save on order of Mr. McCulley. Where was this agreement made? In the jail, or by the door of it. was in the cell. I had sent for Mr. Mer

Tell us what passed between you. He let me out by my giving my note of hand (4 of them) and promising to pay sum, or \$14 each. Mr. Wm. Irving wit-

How long were the notes to run? Each one was to be paid in 2 monthsin 2, 4, 6, and 8 months. It was on 1st December '93 I first we

up to jail; served 4 mos. and 15 days, and then gave the notes. Was there any understanding betwee Menzies and you that you were to give information about violation of the Sco

No, there was no chance then: What did Menzies say to Irving after the notes were signed? I didn't shear him say anything as

started away. The notes were signed in Since the notes were signed you have been in the employ of Mr. Menzies? No sir, only when he'd come after me

with a paper.

Isn't it the fact that you have, either for reward or promise of reward, by o through Mr. Menzies, undertaken to get information for him?

Not for myself, and I can't say fo tween Mr. Menzies and your wife and daughter to get information in connection

This witness was not cross-examined.

told him. WILLIAM IRVING. Court re-assembled pursuant to adjournment. The first witness called and jailor for five years past in April; know conversing with prisoners? Thomas Murphy and remember the time

All she did say was that Mr. Menzies

Didn't you tell Mr. McCalley the sailors he was in my charge in jail. It was a and Mr. McCulley came there. Mr. before over near Lamont's mill, and were year and a half ago or a little more. He Menzies, or Mr. McCullay said Mr. Mcwas in 4 months and, at the end of that Culley was there to take a prisoner's term, another commitment was put in my hands and I detained him for a time Cassidy. She said she let them into the on board the schooner that night. I was longer; I haven't the warrants of committed files and took the prisoner out and put in a hurry to get the warrant into the ment, as such warrants are handed to the him into the office with Menzies and Mc-County Auditor for the meetings of County Culley. She didn't say how long they were going away. I saw the policemen o cil in July and January; sometimes he were there, or what he said to them; or the street and told them about the warrants hands them back to me, after looking what time it occurred.

them over to check prisoners' board bill, Have you had Cassidy in jal more than know who delivered the warrants to the

and I hand them to the Secretary- once?

Treasurer. When the fines and costs, I don't think so. I had him only in the boat. It was ten o'clock at night, for which I hold prisoners on warrants of the Scott Act case. commitment, are paid, I generally give Wasn't that the day on which Cassidy applied for the warrants. them to the magistrate who had made was released? ont the papers; I hold the papers as vouchers for the board bills. In Scott was not there that day and I don't know—though I won't be certain—that out the papers; I hold the papers as I can't say, of my own knowledge; I Act cases, as a rule, I have paid Mr. know-though I won't be certain-that Menzies something; I don't remember I was there the day Casaidy was released. | vices ?

No. I can't. Mr. Murray -- The Mrs. Pratt case? Witness: I have a slight recollection my wife.

comprise the four gentlemen who have comprise the four gentlemen who have so unitedly and efficiently represented Northumberland in the present house I was dissatisfied, however, with his was dissatisfied, however, with his prisoners; can't give any other in the afterwoon and circ in the advector in the a

By what authority did you release

released him on those grounds. Mr. ment. Exhibits admitted and fyled. Menzies said it was satisfactory, and to it to supplant Messrs Blair Mitchell I did not say that, so far as I remember Mr. Mental and Tweedie. After all, however, it is ber; I said it was not right. If I had been judge I would have given judgment its policy, more than the men adwould make it all right with the magis-

I wouldn't, on my own responsibility. Do you remember that it was on a warrant of commitment from Mr. MCulpolice magistrate McCulley. I cannot ley, police magistrate of Chatham, that

enough, that he should give you the She then opened the door leading into No. Labought it was all right for him keen the notes. What had Mr. Menzies to do with the

Well, he was the informant—the Scott How would that give him any right to

take notes in the case? All I know is, he asked me that it will perhaps occupy as many sumes that the magistrate is sole judge of Murphy into the office and I know he weeks as there were days assigned to it the facts. Had I been in Mr. McCul- drow out the notes and he saked me to that day; she cannot tell whether it was ley's place I would have given judgment witness the notes, which I did, and he that day or not. the other way. Looking at it without gave me to understand that the notes Did you ask her about Menzies were in settlement of the fine and released back : fterwards and having him released? for I think the preponderence of evidence him from jail. He said by Murphy sign. | She thinks, but is not positive, that ing these notes, it released him from fail I cannot give any other instance in which him released. a prisoner gave notes in that way.

Menzies went in that way to jail, took Yes-one or two cases-in which John Hayes, of Nelson, went to the juil and took a man's note who was in jail and asked me to release them. It was in cases of debt due Hayes. The cases in one. Allowed. were all civil cases; one case was that of an Indian and the other a Frenchman. Murt. Carrol of Nelson had George honey or notes. Grotto in for debt and Grotto was released on notes given in the same vay. Can you give any instance of such re

No. I can't remember any. Isn't it the fact, that you knew the Mr. Menzies and Mr. McCulley ran County together, and Menzies acted for

about all the Spott Act business of the Objected to by Mr. Murray. Di-

allowed. Did Menzies ever pay you anything in hese matters? Witness: I don't know of ever receiving a dollar from Mr. Menzies in my worn: I am master of the barque Prinds

last year. Three of my sailors ran away Do you know of Mr. McCulley visiting the juil and having conversation with prisoners in custody there? Not of my own knowledge. Police Magistrate McCulley. Are there any entries in your books Did he give you the warrants?

papers showing Mr. McCulley ever went there and had anything to do with prisoners there? Did it come to your knowledge through

your deputy or any person acting fur How long were you in port after you you, that Mr. McCulley had visited the the warrants? jail to see prisoners? Objected to by Mr. Murray; the Com'r when I got the warrante. says it will be allowed only if pressed. Mr. Did you speak to the police mayistra Lawlor presses the question, No, but I got an account from him through

Witness: I can produce the jail book the acting consu', Mr. Call.
[Paper produced] Is that the paper My wife acts for me in my absence. The Com'r: Do you know anythin came to you through Mr. Call. from your wife that took place between Yes.
[Paper offered in evidence and admitted her and Mr. McCulley?

I do. She told me-Objected to by Mr. Murray. The Com'r. Don't make any state Witness here stated, in reply to a request to bring his wife to court, that she was in delicate health—troubled with heart disease and that it might be danger

the excitement of the court. would pay it, and if it was wrong I would Mr. Murray stated that he knew there be back again and could get it paid back was something that Mrs. Irving could state, but he would like to know what I can't recollect whether I put my name Objected to by Mr. Murray and dis- Mr. Irving's version of it would be, lest to a paper, or information, when I went to

ous to bring her here and subject her to

Police Magistrate McOulley of Chatham.

allowed, as the other parties may be call- he might have some erroneous idea of ed if necessary.

what his wife knew. Mr. Murray finally Ritchie's mitl all the time I was here. consented to witness saying what his wife Wasn't she nearly ready for sea when you

you make any memorandum on the comMr. Call's office I settled the bill; it was in mitment?

wife does, by my authority.

Mr. Lewlor here asked that the returns Clerk of the Peace?

Clerk of the Peace?

I can't tell without referring to the for I pass it over. My books are the iail so that they may be examined by counsel,

Re examined by Mr. Lawlor. record. I cannot, from memory, fame and he slso asked for an adjointment Witness: I told Mr. Call to write to any persons who paid fines and coats to until to-morrow, to enable counsel to ex-

and there seems to be very fitted state of the government in Northumberland. We hear of some objections to the government supporters, but it certain government supporters. The supporters are least of the case should cases, can you remember such ca blamed for their disappearance. He said Can you recall any o hers save Cassidy that kind of thing happened in the H.1.—

The men we want more, and when I came to the men we want more, and when I came to the men we want more, and when I came to the men we want more, and when I came to the men we want more, and when I came to the men we want more. the Government's policy; and whether the appeared.

Witness: I presume that was the case. How did Mr. McCulley treat you in ticket"—whether it will or will not be changes in "the Month of the solution of th

by prisoners; can't give any other in- ten and come in the afternoon and give in it and chased them, but they got away, the papers out one by one for examination for the policemen were no good to row.

This was about 4 o'clock in the morning.

FRIDAY FORENOON, AUGUST 30.

Court re-assembled on Friday forenoon, pursuant to adjournment.

When I paid the policemen in watts, I would have gone across to pay Mr. Mc-Culley if I hadn't already paid Mr. Call for him. I can't say whether I had cleared or

"John Cassidy June 7, 1894—Scott Act McCulley this time in port, to get a reduction of his bill. I intended to ask for a ment. Exhibits admitted and fyled.

Mr. Lawlor: I refer you again to the of Newcestle, laborer, sworn: I have seen

Mr. Lawlor: Have you had any further conversation with your wife in reference to the release of Cassidy?

admitted and fyled.

She did not.

Yes, sir.

I don't know.

It is dated 22nd, June 1894.

Mr. Call demanded this money from you

difference whether he paid under protest onot, as it was paid. Allowed, however.

I paid the money and Mr. Call said

ld pay it under protest and I said

Cross examined by Mr. Murray:

Did you pay under, protest? obje

Did she give you any more informa-Five days.

investigation of the charges made in the petition submitted to the Governor of the charges made in the petition submitted to the Governor of the charges made in the petition submitted to the Governor of the charges made in the petition submitted to the Governor of the charges made in the petition submitted to the Governor of the charges made in the petition submitted to the Governor of the charges made in the petition submitted to the Governor of the charges made in the petition submitted to the Governor of the charges made in the petition submitted to the Governor of the charges made in the first instance of the first instance of the first instance of the first instance of the petition submitted to the Governor of the charges made in that Menzies said Mr. McCulley wanted McCulley bidding her the time of day. the cell corridor and told the prisoner, Cassidy, to come out into the office; that Mr. McCulley wanted to see him. They was it you were put in the cell as a witness went into the office in the jail. She against McKay? Thos. Murphy commitment, or with the stayed outside of the effice door until Right away. they were through. She didn't hear any

conversation that took place. One of the two said they were through with the prisoner, and she then locked him up.

Was he released that day? According to the paper he was rele after you were fined? jail. I can't say whether he was present Menzies came back after that and had

to let me go. I was let go.
Witness here stood aside and Did she tell you it was in the evening JOHN CASSIDY that Mr. McColley was there? Do you recollect any instances of W. S.

What was the object of his visit or Scott Act fines and letting them out I gave him my affidavit. Who was present with him? Objected to by Mr. Lawlor, as Brown Mr. Menzies. In what matter was it you gave him doing a wrong would not justify Menzies

I recollect of settlements by Mr. Brown I told him where I got the liquo Wm. Rigley. but I don't recollect whether it was How long was it after Mr. Menzies said to you you would get out if you would tell Mr. Lawlor: Can you tell me to who where you got the liquor? he Murphy notes were payable? I think it was the same day : I don't As near as I can remember, they

favor of Mr. Menzies. the time. Mr. Menzies was up to see me The Com'r : In either the Murnhy twice and I think it was the first time. He assidy cases had you either written or might have told me again. What he said erbal orders from Mr. McCulley to discharge the prisoners from custody? liquor I would get out. It was two or three nights after that that Mr. McCulley You did it un Menzies' directions

Mr. Lawlor : Had you any direction day the affidavit was made He told me to give my affidavit and I from the sheriff to release the prisoners would get out soon. I had not. Did you and Mr. McCulley have any con-Oscar of Norway; was in port of Newcastle

and I went to Chatham to get Polic sale of liquors; he didn't say a word about Magistrate McCulley's services for their my getting out of iail. How long was it after you made the affidavit that you were released? A couple of days, I think. No, he said he was going to give then Can you tell me whether Riglay w rrested before you were released? Do you know whether the policemen got

He wasn't arrested. for Mr. McCulley?

jail against Riglay produced, offered in painter. which Magistrate McCulley would not put that the case of the Queen vs. Robi to say that all that passed between you and on the record? Mr. McCulley was in respect of what was in the affidavit?

Nothing more. PHILIP GALLEY, RECALLED Mr. Lawlor: You say Mr. Menzie And were you at once rele

went to the magistrate?

Pretty near. Where were the men supposed to be? On board of a Nova Scotia schoone

Didn't Mr. McCulley say he would do all

he could? He said he would make out the warrants

dood the first state of opinion amongst the members of the Executive. There are constitutional reasons against a shing device against Mr. Menzies; being a shing device against Mr. Menzies something; I don't remember I was there the day Casaidy was released. It was Mr. Menzies?

Yes, I paid them \$5 apiece—2 of them, the shink—though I am not clear—the shink—though I am not cle Can you remember a Scott Act case Cassidy, his father, was there when he came back to Chatham before I sailed; don't in which the fine and costs were paid to was released. recollect whether I had settled Mr. Mc-When a prisoner is released by you do Culley's bill with Mr. Call before that. I I make the entry on the book, or my the same time as I cleared at consul's. I suppose you thought you would sneak

> The Com'r stops this question as un Witness: I told Mr. Call to write to my wife.
>
> Although you can't remember such
>
> Mr. Murray objects; as the case should answer. I can't say whether it was before

> > WILLIAM IRVING (continued.)
> >
> > Witness exhibite jail record (reads)
> >
> > To Mr. Murray: I didn't go to see Mr.

Act fine -60 days—no days in prison, 15, released 15th April. Settled." Murphy the cell in default of payment. I was afterwards called as a witness in a Scott Act case in Newcastle. I was held as a witness against George McKay here in the lockup imposed on me that I was held here in the lockup to give evidence against Mr. McKay, The Com'r: How long?

Were you arrested in your own case in the first instance and brought down to

How long were you in before you were never in the liquor business; I used to attend told me who was against me and when I heard the names of the witnesses I pleaded

them; my eldest child is 9 years of age. Who put you in the cell?

going to keep me there and he said he was going to keep me as a witness against McKay. I got no papers as a witness in the McKay Did Mr. McCulley say anything to you

when I was put in the cell. I didn't give. estimony against McKay; he settled it.

of Chatham was recalled and said: I was visited by Mr. McCulley when I was in jail

I didn't want to come down here at

D.d't von tell me that? ou saying, if I was sent to Newcastle I'd think Mr. McCalley was in the building at | but, I don't remember saying I'd rather stay n the lockup here. detained in the lockup after your of

was, if I would tell who gave me the tion. What did Mr. Menzies say to you on the Adjourned until 2.30.

Court reassembled at 2.30 JOHN CASSIDY. Did he have a paper already written out? on 24th Nov 1893, charged with violating No-He asked me questions about the

Weren't you asked, in this examination take a glass of liquor in my life; Francis

pefore, whether you had signed any papers Ruth, a pedler, is also a decent man. the charges now under investigation? Will you swear that the story you tell Russell, who gave evidence for the prosenow and that you told before correspond.

I'll swear that what I have told is the crank, and not of sound mind. I think you How long after you were released was it you would meet him. James Black was before you were in the company of Mr. also called as a witness. He is a respectable

you can get drunk on a soda biscuit ?"
Russell said, "Mrs. Cas sidy said I would."

Has Upset

the old ideas, and revolutionized cooking—What? COTTOLENE. Why? Because it is clean, pure, healthful, economical, and makes the most delicate and delicious food. 51bs. of Cottolene equals 71/2 1bs. of lard, saving 1/3 the cost. Get the genuine, with trade mark-steer's head in cotton-plant wreath—on every tin. Made only by


The N. K. Fairbank Company. Wellington and Ann Sts., MONTREAL.

## ASK FOR BULL DOG

AND TAKE NO OTHERS.

KERR & ROBERTSON.

SAINT JOHN, N. B. N. B.-IN STOCK AND TO ARRIVE 100 DOZEN K & R. AXES



FOR SALE BY CLIFFORD HICKEY, CHATHAM, N. B.

your release? Objected to by Mr. Murray down, meaning what the witness said about who asked what this had to do with Mr getting drunk on a soda biscuit. McCulley?
The Commissioner:—We have this fact,

that Mr. Menzies goes up and makes an arrangement with this man and Mr. Mc-Culley afterwards goes up, and it shows they are acting together, I don't say whether wrongly or not. I therefore admit the testimony. Witness: I had an arrangement with Mr. Menzies.

I was to get out if I told on the other imon Treadwell and Geordie McKay. Was that the whole of the agreement? I was not to render any other service for Menzies. For that I was to be let clear.

What was it?

No sir. Did you pay anything on account of the Cross-examined by Mr. Murray. I am a poor man, have no property; was

have six children If you had gone to jail that time what ed. I think Mr. Murray's re-examination support would your wife and children have was confined to that point,

tell him that and plead with him about nesday is my son. I heard his statement your wife and children ? Wasn't it part of the arrangement that ou were not to handle liquor any more?

was given. Objected to. Mr. McCulley had nothing to do with it. And that the fine was to stand against ou for your behaviour in that respect? Didn't Mr. Menzies tell you the would not be enforced against you if you stopped.

and so I did. Didn't you ask to be detained at the ockup rather than be sent to jail, till Mc-Kay's trial was over ?

I don't remember that. Didn't you say you did't want to go to Didn't you say you wanted to remain in of June, 1894. It was payable to Mr. Menthe lockup and not go to jail?

After your trial was over, did you stay there willingly in the cell? I don't remember ever making any I don't remember doing so. I remember The Union Corset Company, Montreal

have to be brought back to give evidence,

You're not complaining now about it? FRIDAY AFTERNOON.

of Newcastle, constable, sworn: I was brought before Police Magistrate McCulley the Canada Temperance Act. Samuel Thomson, Esq, was my counsel. I was

James Russell was the only witness who of the decision rendered in that case? gave testimony against me. Geo Black, Francis Ruth, Alfred C. Allen, Thomas Keating, Geo O'Hearn and myself were witnesses for my defence. Geo Black is a to? sober and decent man; I never knew him to Thomas Keating is a decent man also— sometimes he will get drunk, James would take him for a crank the first time man. Geo O'Hearn works in Hickson's mill, and is a decent man. Alfred C. Allen, eleased. [Affidavit made by witness in the who also testified, is a decent man-a

witness, how many glasses of liquor it would. violation of the Canada Temperance Act, take to make him drunk, and he said "Ugh! convicted and fined by Police Magistrate I could get drunk onla soda biscuit." Mr. McCulley is an instance in support of that McCulley said, "Witness, mind what you're charge? saying, you're on your oath." Mr. Murray said. "Witness what do you mean by saving

THEY NEVER LET GO.

Orders filled at Factory Price, and a Freight Allowance made on ots of 10 kegs and upwards at one shipment.

I can't say I've an extra good memory Was that the answer given directly to the question: How many glasses of liquor does

t take to make a man drunk? Will you say it was an answer to the That was the answer he gave. Did Mrs, Cassiday make that rem

sherriff of this county, a constable and a Have you been asked to pay the fine since policeman; have attended a good many trials, as such, Have nt you often heard witness:s make such remarks, speaking sarcastically ? I don't think I ever did. I don't remem

ber what took place after Mr. McCulley cautioned Russell about his testimony. Re-examined by Mr. Lawlor.

In talking with Mr. Menzies did you not John Cassidy, who gave evidence on Wedabout a joint note given by him and me to State the circumstances under which it

> It was a private transaction between Mr. Menzies and myself, and took place in my What transaction or conversation had you with Mr. McCulley in reference to the not None whatever, or in any other way.

Have you ever seen that note since you You did not pay it? No, not as yet. How long since was it due? I can't say. It appears to me it was s

It probably is. Where was it payable? I can't say; can't say whether it was payable at Mr. McCulley's office, or not. got notice from Mr. Murray that it was due What house do you represent?

ROBERT H. ARMSTRONG Did you make any complaint about being | Canada Temperance Act, sworn and examined by Mr. Winslow About April, 1892, I was charged before Police Magistrate McCulley with violation of the Scott Act and attended the trial while testimony was being given. The witnesses subpensed by the prosecution were James Mitchell, of Newcastle, brother of Hon. Peter Mitchell, formerly Sheriff of the County and afterwards governmen spector of Lights; W. W. McLellan, track. master, I. C. R. and W. A. Park, Neweastle, Collector of Customs. Those were,

I think, all the witnesses. Those gentlemen are all prominent and respectable citi-Have you made any complaint on accom-I have. You were convicted, were you not

he evidence given by the gentlemen referred You are the R. H. Armstrong who signed

What was your fine in 1892?

\$50. That was a first offence knowing that he is, under the decisions of and maliciously convicts persons charged before him with the commission of offences, when there is absolutely no evidence to Armstrong in April 1892 to which you have Yes :- Mr. Thomson asked Russell, the referred, and in which you are charged with

> Objected to by Mr. Murray., The Com'r:-On what grounds?

Mr Murray:-Because the question general. The witness should be asked to.

Mr. McCulley said he would not take that himself, state the instances in support, and