

	Page.
SUBPŒNA—Continued.	
To Rejoin, abolished	49
(See "Additions and Corrections," No. 4.)	
Form of Subpœna issued by Master, to add parties	139
TACKING.	
Definition of	16
Doctrine of, abolished	15
TRAVERSING NOTE.	
Form of	51
Effect of	51
English Cases on the subject	52
Orders of 1850 compared with English Orders	52
VACATION	106
WITNESS, (See "Evidence")	53 to 64

ADDITIONS AND CORRECTIONS.

1. At page 5, the first line should read "is said to deal with it" &c., instead of "deals" &c.
2. At page 19, the last paragraph would seem to refer to some mode of proceeding different from the practice by "Bill". It was written before the New Orders were issued, when it was believed the "Claim" would be adopted, as in England, and hence the inaccuracy; but the last Chapters afford sufficient explanation on the point.
3. At page 24, in the last paragraph but one, read "all such applications" &c., instead of "all applications for leave" &c.
4. At page 49, the Order 157 of 1850, is stated as repealed, instead of the 157th of 1845.
5. On the subject of the production of documents (Chapter X.) the reader is referred to an Essay, in which the cases are fully considered, in several consecutive numbers of the *London Jurist* for 1851, Vol. 15, part 2, continued from Vol. 13, part 2.
6. The Order set out at page 67, is the 116th Order of 1842, the number having been inadvertently omitted in the margin.
7. At page 96, for "it does", read "they do".
8. At page 103, in the third paragraph, for "determine", read "determining".
9. At page 104, in the first paragraph, for "the hearing appointment", read "the appointment"; and for "constitutes appointments", read "constitutes the appointment".
10. As to Counsel fees (page 150), the Master has allowed a larger fee than there stated on the motion under the 77th Order. See page 152. The view there stated seems to have been that acted upon.

In addition to the above, the Editor has to apologise to his readers for several misprints, which have passed unobserved until it was too late to correct them, and as they will be at once seen to be mere typographical errors, they need not be here particularized.